

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC
Form 424B3
August 14, 2003

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration No. 333-82402

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 9
(TO PROSPECTUS DATED JULY 1, 2002)

ATX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

This Prospectus Supplement No. 9 supplements and amends the Prospectus dated July 1, 2002, and amended on July 2, 2002, July 16, 2002, August 2, 2002, August 15, 2002, August 16, 2002, November 14, 2002, April 11, 2003 and May 16, 2003, relating to the shares of common stock, par value \$ 0.01 per share, of ATX Communications, Inc., including the associated rights to purchase Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of ATX Communications, Inc.

The purpose of this Prospectus Supplement is to provide supplemental information that was contained in ATX Communications, Inc.'s quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2003.

The Prospectus, together with all of the supplements filed to date (including this supplement), constitutes the prospectus required to be delivered by Section 5(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, with respect to offers and sales of the Common Stock.

Prospective investors should carefully consider matters discussed under the caption "RISK FACTORS" beginning on page 9 of the prospectus.

THESE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION NOR HAS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this Prospectus Supplement No. 9 is August 14, 2003.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 000-49899

ATX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-4078506

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

50 Monument Road, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania

19004

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(610) 668-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (As defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock as of June 30, 2003 was 29,967,430.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

ATX Communications, Inc.

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets -
June 30, 2003 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2002
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations -
Three and Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (Unaudited)
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Deficiency -
Six months ended June 30, 2003 (Unaudited)
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -
Six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (Unaudited)
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of
Operations and Financial Condition

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.....

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....

Item 2. Changes In Securities and Use of Proceeds.....

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

SIGNATURES.....

ATX Communications, Inc.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

June 30, 2003

(Unaudited)

Assets

Current assets:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,23
Accounts receivable-trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9,122,000 (2003) and \$8,755,000 (2002)	32,41
Due from NTL Incorporated	94
Other	4,75

Total current assets 44,34

Fixed assets, net 32,75

Goodwill 79,55

Other, net of accumulated amortization of
\$2,423,000 (2003) and \$1,871,000 (2002) 9,36

\$ 166,03

Liabilities and shareholders' deficiency

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$ 64,380
Accrued expenses	54,840
Current portion of long-term debt, less unamortized discount	8,271
Current portion of capital lease obligations	8,866
Deferred revenue	19,208

Total current liabilities 155,565

Long-term debt, less unamortized discount 140,741

Notes payable to NTL Incorporated, less unamortized discount 18,617

Commitments and contingent liabilities

Shareholders' deficiency:

Preferred stock-- \$.01 par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding none	
Common stock-- \$.01 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares; issued 30,000,000 shares; outstanding 29,967,000 shares (2003) and 29,667,000 shares (2002)	300
Additional paid-in capital	1,030,044
Deficit	(1,179,163)

(148,819)

Treasury stock at cost, 33,000 shares (2003) and 333,000 shares (2002) (73)

(148,892)

\$ 166,031

Note: The balance sheet at December 31, 2002 has been derived from the audited balance sheet at t

See accompanying notes.

ATX Communications, Inc.
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2003	2002	Six 2003
Revenues	\$ 71,934,000	\$ 75,209,000	\$ 142,
Costs and expenses			
Operating	45,939,000	48,758,000	91,
Selling, general and administrative	20,054,000	20,224,000	39,
Corporate	1,826,000	1,616,000	3,
Recapitalization costs	--	4,270,000	
Other charges	293,000	--	
Depreciation	6,034,000	9,140,000	9,
Amortization	--	83,000	
	74,146,000	84,091,000	145,
Operating loss	(2,212,000)	(8,882,000)	(2,
Other expenses			
Interest expense and other, net	(5,613,000)	(3,637,000)	(10,
Net loss	\$ (7,825,000)	\$ (12,519,000)	\$ (12,
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.42)	\$
Weighted average number of shares	29,734,000	30,000,000	29,

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Deficit
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Deficit
	Shares	Par	Paid-In Capital	
Balance, December 31, 2002	30,000,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,030,613,000	\$ (1,166,380)
Issuance of Shares from Treasury	--	--	(569,000)	
Net loss	--	--	--	(12,770)
Balance, June 30, 2003	30,000,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,030,044,000	\$ (1,179,150)

See accompanying notes.

4

ATX Communications, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended 2003
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,380,000
Investing activities	
Purchase of fixed assets	(4,728,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,728,000)
Financing activities	
Principal payments of capital lease obligations	(376,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	(376,000)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(3,724,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,959,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 6,235,000
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information	
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,672,000
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities	
Liabilities incurred to acquire fixed assets	\$ 32,000

See accompanying notes.

5

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, known as the SEC. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2003. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in Item 8 of ATX Communications, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Certain amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2003 presentation.

Note 2. ATX Recapitalization

In July 2002, ATX Communications, Inc., referred to herein as ATX or the Company, completed a recapitalization, which began in December 2001. Pursuant to the terms of the recapitalization, the Company eliminated approximately \$600 million of debt and preferred stock and more than \$100 million of other liabilities and future obligations. The Company incurred additional costs, which consist primarily of employee incentives, legal fees, accounting fees and printing fees, in connection with the recapitalization of \$4,270,000 and \$5,452,000, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2002.

Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions impact, among others, the following: the amount of uncollectible accounts receivable, the amount to be paid to terminate certain agreements included in reorganization costs, the amount to be paid to settle certain toll and interconnection liabilities, the amount to be paid as a result of certain sales and use tax audits, potential liabilities arising from other sales tax matters and estimates related to the value of long-lived assets, goodwill and other intangible assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

6

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Contingent Liabilities

The Company's determination of the treatment of contingent liabilities is based on a view of the expected outcome of the applicable contingency. The Company's legal counsel is consulted on matters related to litigation. Experts both within and outside the Company are consulted with respect to other matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. Examples of matters that are based on assumptions, judgments and estimates are the amount to be paid to terminate some agreements included in reorganization costs, the amounts to be paid to settle some toll and interconnection liabilities, the amount to be paid as a result of some sales and use tax audits and potential liabilities arising from other sales tax matters. A liability is accrued if the likelihood of an adverse outcome is probable of occurrence and the amount is estimable.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company reports its basic and diluted net loss per share in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, referred to as FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, referred to as SFAS, No. 128, "Earnings Per Share."

Revenue Recognition and Certain Cost Classifications

Revenues are recognized at the time the service is rendered to the customer or the performance of the service has been completed. Charges for services that are billed in advance are deferred and recognized when earned.

Operating costs includes direct costs of sales and network costs. Direct costs of sales include the costs directly incurred primarily with other telecommunications carriers in order to render services to customers. Network costs include the costs of fiber and access, points of presence, repairs and maintenance, rent, utilities and property taxes of the telephone, Internet and data network, as well as salaries and related expenses of network personnel.

7

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

(Unaudited)

Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's employees participate in the ATX stock option plan. ATX applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", known herein as APB Opinion No. 25, and related interpretations. When applying APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense for compensatory plans is measured based on "intrinsic value" (i.e., the excess of the market price of the stock over the exercise price on the measurement date). Under the intrinsic value method, compensation is determined on the measurement date; that is, the first date on which both the number of shares the employee is entitled to receive and the exercise price, if any, are known. Compensation expense, if any, generally is recognized over the equity award's vesting period. Compensation expense associated with awards that immediately are vested or attributable to past services is recognized when granted.

The following table provides pro forma information regarding net loss as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method pursuant to SFAS No. 123 "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation."

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2003	2002	2003
Net loss-- as reported	\$ (7,825,000)	\$ (12,519,000)	\$ (12,774,000)
Stock based compensation expenses under SFAS No. 123	(907,000)	(895,000)	(1,807,000)
Pro forma net loss	(8,732,000)	(13,414,000)	(14,581,000)
Basic and diluted per share information:			
Net loss-- as reported	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.42)	\$ (0.43)
Stock based compensation expenses under SFAS No. 123	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.06)
Pro forma net loss per share	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.49)

8

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 4. Revenues

Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

	2003	2002	2003
Local exchange services	\$ 22,672,000	\$ 20,787,000	\$ 45,266,000
Internet, data and web-related services	20,934,000	22,931,000	41,896,000
Toll-related telephony services	18,086,000	18,221,000	36,117,000
Other (a)	10,242,000	13,270,000	19,614,000
	\$ 71,934,000	\$ 75,209,000	\$ 142,893,000

(a) Other includes carrier access billing, reciprocal compensation, wireless, paging and information services.

Note 5. Fixed Assets

Fixed assets consist of:

	June 30, 2003	Decem 20
Operating equipment.....	\$ 33,018,000	\$ 30
Computer hardware and software.....	8,749,000	6
Other equipment.....	5,903,000	5
Construction-in-progress.....	130,000	
	47,800,000	43
Accumulated depreciation.....	(15,042,000)	(5
	\$ 32,758,000	\$ 37

9

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 6. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of:

	June 30, 2003
Toll and interconnect.....	\$22,503,000
Taxes, including income taxes.....	10,549,000

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Payroll and related.....	6,514,000	
Accrued interest.....	5,824,000	
Other.....	5,141,000	
Reorganization costs.....	3,386,000	
Professional fees.....	923,000	

	\$54,840,000	\$
	=====	

Note 7. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of:

		June 30,	
		2003	

Senior secured credit facility, less unamortized discount of \$9,509,000 (2003) and \$10,291,000 (2002).....	\$146,591,000		\$
6% Convertible Notes, less unamortized discount of \$1,937,000 (2003) \$2,846,000 (2002).....	2,421,000		

	149,012,000		
Less current portion.....	(8,271,000)		

	\$140,741,000		\$
	=====		

The Company's consolidated balance sheet includes CCL Historical, Inc.'s, 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes. These notes are obligations of CCL Historical, Inc., referred to herein as CCL, and do not represent obligations of the Company or any of its other subsidiaries. The semi-annual interest payments that were due under the outstanding notes since April 1, 2002 have not been made and CCL is in default under these notes. As such, the notes and the accrued interest thereon are currently due and payable in full.

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 7. Long-Term Debt (continued)

On March 31, 2003, the Company entered into an amendment to its senior secured credit facility. Under this amendment, the lenders under the facility agreed to defer interest payments on the outstanding loans during the period beginning March 12, 2003, and ending on February 2, 2004, during which time the loans will accrue interest at a rate of 5.5% per annum plus the base rate, which is the higher of the prime rate or the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5% per annum. As of June 30, 2003, this rate was 9.75%. In addition, the required principal payments originally scheduled for 2003, which totaled \$1,950,000, were deferred to February 2, 2004. The lenders have also agreed to waive and/or amend certain financial covenants set forth in the credit agreement until January 31,

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

2004, and added other financial covenants, in order to better reflect the Company's current operations. The Company incurred deferred financing costs of \$557,000, which consist primarily of legal and consulting fees, in connection with this amendment. These deferred financing costs will be amortized during the effective term of this amendment. The current portion of long-term debt includes principal payments due under the senior credit facility within one year.

All of the Company's subsidiaries have unconditionally guaranteed payment under the senior secured credit facility.

Note 8. Related Party Transactions

Some of the directors of the Company were or are officers or directors of NTL Incorporated, referred to herein as NTL. In April 2001, CCL and the Company as co-obligors issued to NTL \$15 million aggregate principal amount of 10.75% Unsecured Convertible PIK Notes Due April 2011. At June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the total amount of the notes outstanding, less the unamortized discount of \$308,000 and \$327,000, respectively, was \$18,617,000 and 17,632,000, respectively. These notes are now held by NTL Europe, Inc.

Until 2002, NTL provided the Company with management, financial, legal and technical services, access to office space and equipment and use of supplies. Amounts charged to the Company by NTL consisted of salaries and direct costs allocated to the Company where identifiable, and a percentage of the portion of NTL's corporate overhead, which could not be specifically allocated to NTL. Effective January 1, 2001, the percentage used to allocate estimated corporate overhead was reduced. It is not practicable to determine the amounts of these expenses that would have been incurred had the Company operated as an unaffiliated entity. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, NTL charged the Company \$88,000 and \$172,000, respectively, which is included in corporate expenses.

11

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 8. Related Party Transactions (continued)

A subsidiary of the Company provides billing and software development services to subsidiaries of NTL. During the third quarter of 2002, the Company began recording the billings for these services as revenue. The Company recorded revenues for these billings, totaling \$708,000 and \$1,440,000, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003. The Company historically recorded these billings as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were reduced by \$254,000 and \$566,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 for these billings.

In 2001, the Company and NTL entered into a license agreement whereby NTL was granted an exclusive, irrevocable, perpetual license to use certain billing software developed by the Company for telephony rating, digital television events rating, fraud management and other tasks. The Company recorded the \$12.8 million received as deferred revenue to be recognized over a period of three years, which was the estimated amount of time the Company expected to provide services under this arrangement. The Company recognized \$1,069,000 of this revenue during each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 and recognized \$2,137,000 during each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

The Company leases office space and a network facility from entities controlled by an individual who owns 34% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. Rent expense for these leases was approximately \$418,000 and \$450,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 and approximately \$836,000 and \$900,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The Company engaged B/G Enterprises, LLC, a company affiliated with a director of the Company, to provide travel related services. The cost of these services totaled \$81,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2003.

Note 9. Other Charges

During June 2003, the Company paid \$200,000 in cash and issued 300,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$93,000, from its treasury in consideration for settlement of certain legal matters. The Company has recorded these costs in other charges for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003.

Note 10. Reorganization Costs

The Company recorded no reorganization costs during the first six months of 2003. In 2001, the Company announced that it was taking actions to reorganize, re-size and reduce operating costs and create greater operating efficiencies. The major actions involved in the 2001 reorganization included: (1) consolidation of functions such as network operations, customer service and finance, (2) initiatives to increase gross margins and (3) agreements with vendors to reduce or eliminate purchase commitments. Charges for these actions included lease exit costs and agreement termination charges. All of these actions were completed during 2002 and the remaining liability is expected to be paid through 2005.

12

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 10. Reorganization Costs (continued)

The Company utilized \$1,156,000 of accrued reorganization costs during the six months ended June 30, 2003. As of June 30, 2003, the Company's remaining accrued reorganization costs totaled \$3,386,000 consisting primarily of accruals for agreement terminations.

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

As of June 30, 2003, the Company had purchase commitments of approximately \$2,894,000 outstanding, all of which are due during 2003. Additionally, the Company had standby letters of credit of approximately \$2,704,000 outstanding as of June 30, 2003, which are fully collateralized by certificates of deposit.

The Company is involved in various disputes, arising in the ordinary course of its business, which may result in pending or threatened litigation. None of these matters are expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Some of these disputes, regardless of their merit, could subject the Company to costly litigation and the diversion of technical and/or management personnel. Additionally, in light of the Company's ongoing litigation and other disputes with various local exchange carriers, some of whom the Company depends upon for certain services, from time to time, those carriers have and will likely continue to threaten

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

service disruptions or terminations. Certain service disruptions or terminations, if actually implemented, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, finances and/or results of operations.

Currently, the Company has the following outstanding matters, which if resolved unfavorably, could have a material adverse effect on the Company:

- o On August 12, 2002, Verizon Communications, Inc. and several of its subsidiaries filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against the Company and several of its indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, referred to herein as the defendants, seeking payment of approximately \$37 million allegedly owed to Verizon under various contracts and state and federal law. Verizon also asked the Court to issue a declaratory ruling that it has not violated the antitrust laws.

13

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

The defendants believe that they have meritorious defenses to the complaint, and further, that the amounts owed are substantially less than the amounts claimed by Verizon. For example, the defendants believe the figure specified in the complaint includes payments that have been made by the defendants to Verizon (including in excess of \$14 million paid soon after the filing of the complaint), credits that Verizon has issued to the defendants since the filing of the complaint, and additional disputes for which Verizon owes credits to the defendants. The defendants have filed an answer to Verizon's complaint denying Verizon's claims, in part, and have asserted various counterclaims against Verizon, including claims seeking damages for breach of contract and treble damages for violating the antitrust laws. The defendants have also moved to dismiss Verizon's request for a declaratory ruling on the antitrust claims, which Verizon has opposed.

On November 18, 2002, Verizon filed a motion to dismiss defendants' antitrust counterclaims, relying heavily on a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit in Goldwasser vs. Ameritech Corp., 222 F.3d 390 (7th Cir. 2000) dismissing antitrust claims brought on behalf of a class of consumers who had purchased services from Ameritech in Illinois. On January 9, 2003, the defendants filed their opposition to Verizon's motion, noting not only that the Goldwasser case is distinguishable from the defendants' antitrust claims, but also that the appellate court's rationale in Goldwasser had been effectively repudiated by the appellate courts of the 2nd and 11th circuits, as well as by a federal trial court in the antitrust claim raised by the Company against SBC/Ameritech in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

On March 20, 2003, the Court issued an order denying the parties' respective motions without prejudice to renew, pending a decision by the United States Supreme Court in Verizon Communications, Inc. vs. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP, Supreme Court Docket No. 02-682 (cert. granted March 10, 2003). By order of the Court issued May 6,

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

2003, the parties have been directed to proceed with discovery on all issues. The Company and its subsidiaries intend to pursue all available remedies and counterclaims and defend themselves vigorously; however, the Company and its subsidiaries cannot be certain how or when these matters will be resolved or of the outcome of the litigation.

14

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

- o On March 7, 2002, CoreComm Massachusetts, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, initiated litigation against Verizon New England d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts in the Suffolk Superior Court, Massachusetts, alleging breach of contract and seeking a temporary restraining order against Verizon Massachusetts. Verizon has filed its answer to CoreComm Massachusetts' complaint and filed counterclaims seeking payment of approximately \$1.2 million allegedly owed by CoreComm Massachusetts under the parties' interconnection agreement and Verizon's tariffs. During the course of discovery, Verizon conceded that it had over-billed CoreComm Massachusetts by approximately \$800,000. As a result, CoreComm Massachusetts amended its complaint to include claims against Verizon for unfair and deceptive acts or practices in violation of Massachusetts' fair trade practice laws. Verizon subsequently amended its complaint to specify a revised claim of \$1.1 million. CoreComm Massachusetts ceased offering local telephone services in Massachusetts in December 2002 and is presently withdrawing from the market. CoreComm Massachusetts' withdrawal from providing telephone services in Massachusetts has not had any material adverse affect on the Company's consolidated business.

- o By letter dated April 4, 2003, the Company received a notice from Verizon claiming that Verizon is owed approximately \$8.4 million by one of its subsidiaries, CoreComm New York, Inc., for services allegedly purchased in the state of New York, including approximately \$5.1 million of charges that Verizon contends were mistakenly credited to the accounts of CoreComm New York, Inc. in connection with the acquisition out of bankruptcy of certain assets of USN Communications, Inc. in May 1999. In response, CoreComm New York, Inc. challenged the accuracy of Verizon's figures and provided formal written notification that it was disputing Verizon's right to payment of the amounts specified in Verizon's April 4 letter. Subsequently, by letter dated June 24, 2003, Verizon made a demand for payment from CoreComm New York of approximately \$6 million of alleged charges, including approximately \$2.3 million of charges that have been disputed by CoreComm New York and are the subject of pending litigation between the parties in the federal case in Delaware, and threatening to implement an embargo on CoreComm New York's accounts if the requested payment was not received by July 25, 2003. In response, CoreComm New York challenged Verizon's right to proceed as threatened and Verizon implemented the embargo over CoreComm New York's objections. CoreComm New York intends to pursue this matter in its pending litigation with Verizon, but is not presently able to predict how or when this matter will be resolved. The operations of CoreComm New York do not represent

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

a material component of the Company's revenue, profits or operations and the Company does not anticipate that an embargo of CoreComm New York's accounts will have a material adverse affect on its business, finances or results of operations.

15

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

- o The Company and CoreComm Newco, Inc., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, are currently in litigation with SBC Corp., Ameritech Ohio and other SBC subsidiaries over various billing and performance issues, including SBC/Ameritech's alleged violation of the antitrust laws and the adequacy of SBC/Ameritech's performance under a 1998 contract between CoreComm Newco and Ameritech Ohio. This litigation began in June 2001 when Ameritech threatened to stop processing new orders following CoreComm Newco's exercise of its right under the contract to withhold payments for Ameritech's performance failures. On October 9, 2001, Ameritech filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio seeking a total of approximately \$14.4 million in alleged outstanding charges.

On December 26, 2001, CoreComm Newco filed its answer to Ameritech's amended complaint and simultaneously filed three counterclaims against SBC Corp., Ameritech Ohio and certain of their respective subsidiaries and affiliates, alleging breach of contract, antitrust violations, and fraudulent or negligent misrepresentation claims. On July 25, 2002, the Court issued a decision denying a motion to dismiss from Ameritech and upholding CoreComm Newco's right to proceed with its antitrust, breach of contract and misrepresentation claims against all counter-defendants. On January 21, 2003, CoreComm Newco amended its complaint to include the Company and other affiliates as additional claimants and to add additional allegations supporting its claims, and on February 17, 2003, SBC/Ameritech filed its answer to the amended complaint. On May 22, 2003, the parties entered into a stay agreement pursuant to which they agreed to jointly petition the Court to suspend the litigation in all respects, including all claims and counterclaims, until 15 calendar days after the United States Supreme Court issues its opinion in the Trinko case, or until further order of the Court. Pursuant to that agreement, the parties subsequently filed a joint motion for stay of the litigation, which was granted by the Court on June 19, 2003.

The Company believes that CoreComm Newco has meritorious defenses to Ameritech's amended complaint that could reduce the amount currently in dispute. For example, the figure specified in Ameritech's complaint may not account for various amounts that have been properly disputed by CoreComm Newco as a result of billing errors and other improper charges, various refunds that Ameritech contends it has already credited to CoreComm Newco's accounts since the filing of the complaint, and payments that were made by CoreComm Newco in the ordinary course after the time of Ameritech's submission. However, the Company cannot be certain how or when the matter will be resolved. The Company also believes that, to the extent Ameritech prevails with

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

respect to any of its claims, Ameritech's award may be offset in whole or in part by amounts that the Company and CoreComm Newco are seeking to obtain from SBC/Ameritech under their counterclaims. The Company and CoreComm Newco intend to pursue all available remedies and to defend themselves vigorously. However, it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of the litigation.

16

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

- o On April 16, 2003, SBC Ohio (formerly known as SBC Ameritech Ohio) filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, known as the PUCO, a third supplement to its application for review of an order entered by a PUCO Hearing Examiner barring SBC Ohio from refusing to process new service orders from CoreComm Newco pending the resolution of various billing disputes at issue between the parties. Among other things, the April 16 supplement contends that the Hearing Examiner's entry provided CoreComm Newco with a competitive advantage by allowing it to withhold payment on approximately \$8.7 million of alleged undisputed charges for local and collocation services in Ohio as of March 31, 2003. On May 2, 2003, CoreComm Newco submitted a reply to the April 16 supplement in which it disputed the accuracy of SBC Ohio's claims and explained that the outstanding balance of approximately \$1.9 million is consistent with common practice considering SBC Ohio's billing problems and the numerous payment cycles at issue. On June 20, 2003 CoreComm Newco and the Company's operating subsidiaries in the states of Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin entered into a standstill agreement with SBC's operating subsidiaries in those states pursuant to which the parties agreed to refrain from taking certain actions against one another for a period of at least nine months while working to reconcile their respective accounts. Pursuant to that agreement, SBC Ohio asked the PUCO to place into abeyance its appeal of the Hearing Examiner's Entry for the duration of the nine month standstill. CoreComm Newco has already identified and lodged millions of dollars worth of billing and performance disputes and is continuing to identify charges that it believes are not properly owed to SBC Ohio. Should the PUCO litigation resume, CoreComm Newco intends to defend itself vigorously and to pursue all available remedies and counterclaims. However, it is not possible to predict the outcome of this matter at this time.

17

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

- o By letters dated April 23, 2003 and April 25, 2003, SBC/Midwest demanded payment from certain of the Company's subsidiaries of approximately \$9.5 million of alleged undisputed, past due charges for wholesale services allegedly provided to its operating subsidiaries in

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin, and threatened to pursue further collection activities against those entities. The letters regarding Michigan and Wisconsin requested that the recipients pay into escrow an unspecified sum for Michigan and approximately \$135,240 for Wisconsin in connection with charges that SBC Midwest contends the Company's subsidiaries have disputed in those states. In response, the Company's subsidiaries notified SBC Midwest that they are disputing the accuracy of the figures set forth in its letters as well as its right to request an escrow deposit to cover disputed charges, and that they are prepared to engage in further discussions regarding the various amounts at issue. As noted above, on June 20, 2003, the Company's operating subsidiaries in Ohio, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin entered into a standstill agreement with SBC's operating subsidiaries in those states pursuant to which the parties agreed to refrain from taking certain actions against one another for a period of nine months. The Company's subsidiaries intend to contest any charges that they believe are not properly owed and to vigorously pursue all claims and defend themselves against any collections action. However, the Company is not currently able to predict how or when these matters will be resolved or what amount, if any, will need to be paid at the time of resolution.

- o On December 3, 2001, General Electric Capital Corp., referred to as GECC, filed a civil lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois against CCL and MegsINet, Inc., an indirect subsidiary of the Company, seeking approximately \$8 million in allegedly past due amounts and the return of equipment under a capital equipment lease agreement between Ascend and MegsINet. Thereafter, on May 1, 2002, the complaint was amended to add the Company as an additional defendant. Although neither CCL nor the Company are parties to the agreement between Ascend and MegsINet, the complaint contends that CCL and/or the Company should be held responsible for MegsINet's obligations under an "alter ego" theory of liability. CCL and the Company are contesting this claim and do not believe that the obligations of MegsINet are obligations of CCL or the Company.

18

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

Subsequent to the filing of its initial complaint, GECC filed a second complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois against MegsINet, CCL and the Company seeking a court order allowing it to take repossession of its alleged equipment. On September 24, 2002, the Court issued an order granting GECC's request for repossession of the equipment. MegsINet has allowed GECC to take possession of the equipment, which has not had any material impact on the Company's business or operations. On April 23, 2003, GECC filed a motion for summary judgment asking the Court to rule in its favor, without the need for trial, that MegsINet, CCL and the Company breached their alleged contractual obligations to make required lease payments to GECC and awarding GECC damages in the amount of \$9,100,053 plus attorneys' fees and interest. MegsINet, CCL and the Company have filed a consolidated opposition to that motion and oral argument on the

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

matter was heard by the Court on August 6, 2003. The defendants do not believe that it would be appropriate for the Court to resolve this litigation through summary judgment as requested by GECC and they intend to defend themselves vigorously and to pursue all available claims and defenses. However, it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of the litigation. MegsINet does not represent a material component of the Company's revenue, profits or operations. All of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, including those of MegsINet, are subject to a first priority security interest in favor of the senior lenders under the \$156 million senior credit facility.

- o On May 25, 2001, KMC Telecom, Inc. and some of its operating subsidiaries filed an action in the Supreme Court of New York for New York County against CCL, Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc., CoreComm New York, Inc. and MegsINet. KMC contends that it is owed approximately \$2 million, primarily in respect of alleged early termination liabilities, under a services agreement and a co-location agreement with MegsINet. The defendants have denied KMC's claims and have asserted that the contracts at issue were signed without proper authorization, that KMC failed to perform under the alleged contracts, and that the termination penalties are not enforceable. On March 27, 2002, certain of the defendants initiated litigation against several former principals of MegsINet seeking indemnification and contribution against KMC's claims for breach of various representations and warranties made under the merger agreement pursuant to which MegsINet became a subsidiary of the Company. Defendants have also initiated coverage under an insurance policy designed to protect against such claims; the insurance carrier has initially declined coverage and it may be necessary to pursue litigation to obtain coverage in the event of a loss under the policy.

19

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

- o On September 24, 2002, GATX Technologies, Inc., known herein as GATX, filed an action in the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in Florida against CoreComm-Voyager, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, seeking recovery of amounts allegedly owed under an equipment lease totaling approximately \$150,000. On October 21, 2002, CoreComm-Voyager moved to dismiss GATX's action for lack of jurisdiction. The motion is now pending with the Court. On October 28, 2002, 3Com Corporation, known as 3Com, filed an action against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania seeking payment of approximately \$900,000 under an equipment lease. The Company has filed preliminary objections to 3Com's complaint on the basis that the Company is not a proper party to the dispute, and the Court has not yet ruled on those objections. Should either action proceed further, the defendants will defend themselves vigorously and pursue all available claims. However, it is not possible at this time to predict how or when either of these matters will be resolved.
- o On March 1, 2002, Easton Telecom Services, LLC initiated litigation in the Northern District of Ohio against CoreComm Internet Group, Inc. seeking payment of approximately \$4.9 million, primarily in respect of

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

alleged early termination penalties for telecommunications services purportedly provided under alleged contracts. On August 23, 2002, the Court issued an order dismissing approximately \$4 million of Easton's claims as invalid. Upon the conclusion of a jury trial that ended on November 8, 2002, Easton obtained a judgment against CoreComm Internet Group, Inc., Voyager Information Networks, Inc. and MegsINet in the total amount of \$1,085,000. On February 4, 2003, the defendants filed an appeal in this matter with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the plaintiff has filed a cross-appeal. Plaintiff is currently pursuing discovery in aid of execution on its judgment against defendants. All of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, including those of the defendants, are subject to a first priority security interest in favor of the senior lenders under the \$156 million senior credit facility.

- o On June 7, 2002, the Board of Revenue and Finance of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania issued an order granting in part and denying in part a petition for review of a decision by a lower administrative authority relating to the Company's alleged liability for sales and use tax for the period September 1, 1997 through July 31, 2000. Pursuant to the June 7 order, the Company has been assessed sales and use tax for the period at issue in the amount of \$631,429, which has been accrued in the Company's consolidated financial statements. On July 8, 2002, the Company filed a petition for review of the board's order in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania seeking a further reduction of the assessment. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses and that the assessment should be reduced; however it is not possible at this time to predict how this matter will be resolved.

20

ATX Communications, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(Unaudited)

Note 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (continued)

- o On February 28, 2003, Focal Communications Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries initiated adversarial proceedings in Focal's Chapter 11 case under the U.S. bankruptcy laws against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries seeking payment of an aggregate of approximately \$859,514 in charges for interstate and intrastate switched access services allegedly provided by Focal's subsidiaries in Illinois, Pennsylvania, Delaware and New York. On April 7, 2003, Focal filed a motion for summary adjudication for services allegedly provided to the Company's subsidiaries operating in Illinois, and these subsidiaries filed an opposition to that motion challenging the validity of Focal's charges as well as its right to summary adjudication of the issues. On August 8, 2003, the bankruptcy court issued a Report and Recommendation finding that Focal's action is a "non-core" proceeding and issuing an advisory opinion to the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware recommending that summary judgment be granted in favor of Focal against the Company's operating subsidiaries in the aggregate amount of \$134,376. The Defendants believe that the recommendation reached by the bankruptcy court is erroneous and the defendants intend to file objections to the bankruptcy court's report and recommendation with the District Court. In addition, the defendants intend to seek a stay from the bankruptcy court of the pending summary adjudication motions for services allegedly provided

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

to the Company's subsidiaries operating in Pennsylvania, Delaware and New York. Although the Company and its subsidiaries continue to believe that they have meritorious defenses and arguments on appeal, it is not possible at this time to predict how or when these matters will be resolved.

- o On January 3, 2003, the Company and its indirect subsidiary, MegsINet, Inc., filed a complaint against Broadwing in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania seeking the return of approximately \$700,000 in taxes billed by Broadwing in alleged violation of two Master Service Agreements. The Court issued an order referring the matter to arbitration pursuant to the terms of the contract between MegsINet and Broadwing. A schedule for the arbitration has not yet been established.

21

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Results of Operations

Until December 2001, we were a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of CCL Historical, Inc. (formerly named CoreComm Limited, known herein as CCL). As a result of the recapitalization transactions completed in December 2001 and on July 1, 2002, CCL has been merged into one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Prior to our recapitalization, CCL operated the same businesses that we currently operate.

In 2001, we significantly revised our business plan to focus on our most profitable businesses and geographic areas, and reduce our operational costs and need for capital. In 2001 and 2002, we streamlined our strategy and operations to focus on our two most successful and promising lines of business. The first is integrated communications products and other high bandwidth/data/web-oriented services for the business market. The second is bundled local telephony and Internet products for the residential market, with a focus on using Internet interfaces, as well as our call centers, to efficiently sell and install our products and service our customers. As a result of these changes, we are now focused primarily in the Mid-Atlantic and Mid-West regions of the U.S.

We have implemented cost savings through a variety of means, including facility consolidation, efficiency improvements, vendor negotiations, network optimization and headcount reduction. We have improved our operating efficiency through improved pricing terms and the elimination of duplicative or unnecessary network facilities. We have also reduced network costs and capital expenditures by converting many of our local access lines to more profitable Unbundled Network Element - Platform pricing from Total Service Resale pricing, which provides higher margins. In addition, we were able to reduce the number of our facilities without substantially affecting our service area by leasing enhanced extended local loops from the incumbent local exchange carriers.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002

The decrease in revenues to \$71,934,000 from \$75,209,000 is primarily attributable to a decrease in Internet access services and other revenue partially offset by an increase in local exchange revenue.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Operating costs include direct cost of sales, network costs and salaries and related expenses of network personnel. Operating costs decreased to \$45,939,000 from \$48,758,000 due to a decrease in costs as a result of optimization of our network and facilities and reduced headcount.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to \$20,054,000 from \$20,224,000 due to a decrease in costs as a result of reduced headcount and a reduction of our facilities.

Corporate expenses include the costs of our officers and headquarters staff, the costs of operating the headquarters and costs incurred for strategic planning and evaluation of business opportunities. Corporate expenses increased to \$1,826,000 from \$1,616,000 due to increased costs incurred for strategic planning and other corporate activities.

22

During the three months ended June 30, 2002, we incurred additional costs of \$4,270,000, in connection with our recapitalization, which consisted primarily of employee incentives, legal fees, accounting fees and printing fees.

During the three months ended June 30, 2003, we incurred other charges of \$293,000, which consist of settlement costs related to legal matters.

Depreciation expense decreased to \$6,034,000 from \$9,140,000 primarily as a result of the reduction in the carrying value of our fixed assets during the fourth quarter of 2002 as determined by fair value analysis performed in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

Amortization expense has been eliminated due to the reduction in the carrying value of our intangible assets as determined by a fair value analysis performed on October 1, 2002 in accordance with SFAS No. 144.

Interest expense and other increased to \$5,613,000 from \$3,637,000 primarily due to the net effect of an increase in the effective interest rate on our senior secured credit facility and the amortization of debt discount associated with CCL's 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes. The effective interest rate on our senior secured credit facility during the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was 9.75% and 6.75%, respectively.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002

The decrease in revenues to \$142,893,000 from \$149,520,000 is primarily attributable to a decrease in Internet access services and other revenue partially offset by an increase in local exchange revenue.

Operating costs include direct cost of sales, network costs and salaries and related expenses of network personnel. Operating costs decreased to \$91,899,000 from \$96,796,000 due to a decrease in costs as a result of optimization of our network and facilities and reduced headcount.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to \$39,629,000 from \$42,537,000 due to a decrease in costs as a result of reduced headcount and a reduction of our facilities.

Corporate expenses include the costs of our officers and headquarters staff, the

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

costs of operating the headquarters and costs incurred for strategic planning and evaluation of business opportunities. Corporate expenses increased to \$3,923,000 from \$3,314,000 due to increased costs incurred for strategic planning and other corporate activities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2002, we incurred additional costs of \$5,452,000, in connection with our recapitalization, which consisted primarily of employee incentives, legal fees, accounting fees and printing fees.

During the six months ended June 30, 2003, we incurred other charges of \$293,000, which consist of settlement costs related to legal matters.

23

Depreciation expense decreased to \$9,799,000 from \$18,021,000 primarily as a result of the reduction in the carrying value of our fixed assets during the fourth quarter of 2002 as determined by fair value analysis performed in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

Amortization expense has been eliminated due to the reduction in the carrying value of our intangible assets as determined by a fair value analysis performed on October 1, 2002 in accordance with SFAS No. 144.

Interest expense and other increased to \$10,124,000 from \$7,406,000, primarily due to the net effect of an increase in the effective interest rate on our senior secured credit facility and the amortization of debt discount associated with CCL's 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes. The effective interest rate on our senior secured credit facility during the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was 8.37% and 6.80%, respectively.

24

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Based on our current business plan, we anticipate that we will have sufficient cash and cash equivalents on hand to fund our operations, capital expenditures and debt service for the remainder of 2003. Our ability to raise additional capital in the future will be dependent on a number of factors, such as our results of operations, the amount of our indebtedness, and also general economic and market conditions, which are beyond our control. If we are unable to obtain additional financing or to obtain it on favorable terms, we may be required to further reduce our operations, forgo business opportunities or take other actions, each of which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we are also involved in litigation, which if resolved unfavorably to us, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, including our ability to fund our operations.

As of June 30, 2003, we had long-term debt, which consisted of a \$156.1 million senior secured credit facility, approximately \$18.9 million in principal amount

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

of 10.75% Unsecured Convertible PIK Notes due 2011 and approximately \$8.9 million of capital leases. In addition, as of June 30, 2003, CCL had \$4.4 million of 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes outstanding.

On March 31, 2003, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility. Under this amendment, the lenders under the facility agreed to defer interest payments on the outstanding loans during the period beginning March 12, 2003 and ending on February 2, 2004, during which time the loans are accruing interest at prime plus 5.5% (9.75% at June 30, 2003). In addition, the required principal payments originally scheduled for 2003, which totaled \$1.95 million, were deferred until February 2, 2004. The lenders have also agreed to waive and/or amend certain financial covenants set forth in the credit agreement until February 2, 2004, and also added other financial and operating covenants during 2003, in order to better reflect our current operations. As of June 30, 2003, we are in compliance with all of the required ratios and covenants contained in our agreement. We intend to utilize the increased liquidity afforded by the amendment to invest in several areas of our core operations. In addition, during this period, we intend to seek and consider strategic alternatives in order to reduce our overall indebtedness, including amounts under the senior secured credit facility. Such strategic alternatives may include, among other things, debt or equity financings or refinancings, recapitalizations, restructurings, mergers and acquisitions or other transactions. It is likely that any of such transactions, if implemented, would result in material dilution to common stockholders.

Although the amendment has been designed to provide us with significant relief from cash obligations under the senior secured credit facility until February 2, 2004, there can be no assurance that the financial and other covenants under the facility will continue to be met or that we will be successful in identifying or implementing one or more strategic alternatives to reduce our indebtedness. In addition, based on our current business plan, we do not expect that we will have the cash available to fund the required deferred interest and principal payments on or before February 2, 2004, the date on which such payments become due. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that there will not be an event of default under the credit facility at that time, or that we will be able to enter into additional amendments to the senior secured credit facility by that time.

25

Taking the amendment into effect, debt service on the senior secured credit facility includes approximately \$1.7 million in cash interest expense paid in 2003, \$23.0 million due in 2004 and \$8.6 million due in 2005, on an annualized basis, based on current interest rates, as well as quarterly amortization and principal reductions which total \$0 in 2003, \$11.7 million in 2004, and \$25.4 million in 2005. The 10.75% Unsecured Convertible PIK Notes due 2011 have no cash interest payments, and are not due until 2011. As of June 30, 2003, our current liabilities exceed our current assets by approximately \$111 million.

Under our current business plan, capital expenditures remain significantly reduced from their historical levels. Total actual capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2003, described as cash used to purchase fixed assets in our cash flow statement, were approximately \$4.7 million. According to our current plans, capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$4.2 million during the remainder of 2003, \$8.9 million in 2004, and \$10.2 million in 2005. These future capital expenditures will depend on a number of factors relating to our business, in particular the growth level, geographic location and services provided to new customers added during these years. Capital

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

expenditures in future years will also depend on the availability of capital and the amount of cash, if any, generated by operations, which may impact our capital decisions relating to initiatives such as, for example, network expansion and the implementation of upgrades to our information services platforms.

For the first six months of 2003, cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$1.4 million. If we are unable to continue to generate cash from operations and/or raise additional financing, it may affect our ability to meet our cash requirements, which may have an adverse affect on us, and potentially our viability as an ongoing business.

Our capital requirements in 2003 and thereafter will depend on the success of the continued execution of our business plan, and the amount of capital required to fund future capital expenditures and other working capital requirements that exceed net cash provided by operating activities. We anticipate that we will not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to repay at maturity the entire principal amount of our outstanding indebtedness. In addition, based on our current business plan, we do not expect that we will have the cash available to fund the required deferred interest and principal payments on or before February 2, 2004, the date on which such payments become due. In addition, we anticipate that we may be required to raise additional capital in the future in order to fund the capital expenditures and other working capital requirements that exceed net cash provided by operating activities.

26

Accordingly, we may be required to consider a number of measures, including: (1) seeking modifications or waivers to certain provisions of the terms of our indebtedness, (2) refinancing all or a portion of our indebtedness, (3) seeking additional debt financing, which may be subject to obtaining necessary lender consents, (4) seeking additional equity financing, (5) completing a recapitalization or restructuring of our indebtedness or (6) a combination of the foregoing. The consideration, timing and implementation of such measures will depend upon the success of the execution of our business plan, the amount of capital required to fund our operations in the future and the terms of any financings or other transactions that we may consider.

In addition, we cannot assure you that:

- (a) actual costs will not exceed the amounts estimated in our business plan or that additional funding will not be required;
- (b) we will prevail in our material litigation matters as described in Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements;
- (c) we and our subsidiaries will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations to meet capital requirements, debt service and other obligations when required;
- (d) we will be able to continue to be in compliance with all required ratios and covenants contained in agreements governing our outstanding indebtedness or that we will be able to modify the requirements or terms of such indebtedness;
- (e) we will be able to refinance our indebtedness as it comes due;

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

- (f) we will be able to sell our assets or businesses (the net proceeds from a sale may be required to be used to repay certain indebtedness);
- (g) we will not be adversely affected by interest rate fluctuations; or
- (h) we will be able to access the cash flow of our subsidiaries.

27

The following table shows our aggregate cash interest expense and principal payments on our existing long-term debt, anticipated capital expenditures, payments on capital leases and other debt, as well as the sources of funds that we expect to use to meet these cash requirements through 2005.

	Six Months	For the Year Ended		Source of
	Ended December 31,	December 31,		
	2003	2004	2005	
	(in millions)			
Cash interest expense on existing long-term debt (1)	\$ 0.5	\$ 23.3	\$ 8.9	For 2003: cash and cash and cash from operat 2005: cash and cash cash from operations refinancing or other (4)
Estimated capital expenditures (2)	4.2	8.9	10.2	Cash and cash equivale from operations
Principal payments on existing long-term debt (3)	-	11.7	25.4	Cash and cash equival from operations a refinancing or other (4)
Payments on Capital Leases	8.9	-	-	Approximately \$8.0 mil leases are obligation MegsINet Internet, In obligations. The sour remaining amounts: equivalents on han operations (5)
	\$13.6	\$ 43.9	\$ 44.5	

- (1) During the remainder of 2003, the only long-term debt that requires cash interest expense is CCL's \$4.4 million 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes.
- (2) Future capital expenditures will depend on a number of factors relating to our business, in particular the growth level, geographic location and services provided to new customers during these years.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

- (3) Principal payments indicated are principal reductions under our senior secured credit facility. The 2003 amount excludes the outstanding \$4.4 million 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2006 of CCL. CCL is in default on these notes.
- (4) Refinancing sources may include, for example, a new bank facility used to repay these amounts; other sources of financing may include capital raised through new debt or equity financing or asset sales. There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance our indebtedness or raise the required funds. Based on our current business plan, we do not expect that we will have the cash available to fund the required deferred interest and principal payments on or before February 2, 2004, the date on which such payments become due.
- (5) Approximately \$8.0 million of the capital lease and other debt obligations of MegsINet Internet, Inc. are the subject of current litigation, as described in Part II, Item I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q entitled "Legal Proceedings."

28

Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations as reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the date of this quarterly report, we can give no assurance that our plans, intentions and expectations will be achieved in a timely manner if at all.

In addition, we are a holding company with no significant assets other than cash and securities and investments in, and advances to, our subsidiaries. We are, therefore, likely to be dependent upon receipt of funds from our subsidiaries to meet our own obligations. However, our subsidiaries' debt agreements prevent the payment of dividends, loans or other distributions to us, except in limited circumstances. However, the limited permitted circumstances of distributions from our subsidiaries may be sufficient for our operations, because nearly all of the uses of funds described above are cash requirements of our subsidiaries.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

Our contractual obligations and commercial commitments are summarized below, and are fully disclosed in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table includes aggregate information about our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2003 and the periods in which payments are due:

	Payments Due by Period			
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4 Ye
(in thousands)				
Long-Term Debt (1)	\$ 179,383	\$ 10,208	\$ 44,850	\$
Capital Lease Obligations	8,866	8,866	-	

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

Operating Lease Obligations	23,594	5,283	9,607	
Unconditional Purchase Obligations	-	-	-	
Other Long-Term Obligations....	-	-	-	

Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$ 211,843	\$ 24,357	\$ 54,457	\$
	=====			

(1) Long-term debt includes the senior secured credit facility of \$156,100,000, 10.75% Unsecured Convertible PIK Notes due April 2011 of \$18,925,000 including accrued PIK interest, and CCL's 6% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2006 of \$4,358,000. The Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2006 of \$4,358,000 have been reflected as due in less than one year because CCL is currently in default of this obligation.

29

The following table includes aggregate information about our commercial commitments as of June 30, 2003 and the periods in which payments are due. Commercial commitments are items that we could be obligated to pay in the future. They are not required to be included in the consolidated balance sheet.

Other Commercial Commitments	Amount of Commitment Expiration P		
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years
	(in thousands)		
Guarantees	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lines of Credit	-	-	-
Standby Letters of Credit	2,704	2,704	-
Standby Repurchase Obligations	-	-	-
Other Commercial Commitments	2,894	2,894	-

Total Commercial Commitments	\$ 5,598	\$ 5,598	\$ -
	=====		

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2003, cash provided by operating activities increased to \$1,380,000 from \$1,126,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The change in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to changes in our operating assets and liabilities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2003, cash used to purchase fixed assets decreased to \$4,728,000 from \$5,448,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2002, which reflects decreased purchases of operating equipment.

Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

Certain statements contained herein constitute "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used herein, the words, "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "will," "expects," "projects," "positioned," "strategy," "targeted" and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company, or industry results, to be materially different from those contemplated, projected, forecasted, estimated or budgeted, whether expressed or implied, by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, without limitation, the following: the ability of the Company to obtain trade credit and shipments and terms with vendors and service providers for current orders; the Company's ability to maintain contracts that are critical to its operations; the ability to remain in compliance with all required ratios and covenants contained in agreements governing its outstanding indebtedness; the Company's ability to identify or implement one or more strategic alternatives to reduce the Company's indebtedness; the ability of the Company to generate sufficient cash to fund its interest and principal payments when such payments become due; potential adverse developments with respect to the Company's liquidity or results of operations; the ability to fund and execute its business plan; the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern; potential adverse developments resulting from litigation; the ability to attract, retain and compensate key executives and associates; the ability of the Company to attract and retain customers; general economic and business conditions, technological developments, the Company's ability to continue to design networks, install facilities, obtain and maintain any required governmental licenses or approvals and finance construction and development, all in a timely manner at reasonable costs and on satisfactory terms and conditions, as well as assumptions about customer acceptance, churn rates, overall market penetration and competition from providers of alternative services, the impact of restructuring and integration actions, the impact of new business opportunities requiring significant up-front investment, interest rate fluctuations and availability, terms and deployment of capital. The Company assumes no obligation to update the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in factors affecting such statements.

We encourage you to review the risk factors relating to our business and our industry set forth in Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The SEC's rule relating to market risk disclosure requires that we describe and quantify our potential losses from market risk sensitive instruments attributable to reasonably possible market changes. Market risk sensitive instruments include all financial or commodity instruments and other financial instruments, such as investments and debt, that are sensitive to future changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, commodity prices or other market

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

factors. We are not exposed to market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates or commodity prices. We do not hold derivative financial instruments nor do we hold securities for trading or speculative purposes. Under our current policies, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage our exposure to interest rate changes.

The fair market value of long-term fixed interest rate debt is subject to interest rate risk. Generally, the fair market value of fixed interest rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. The carrying amount of the variable rate senior secured credit facility approximates the fair value. The fair value of our other notes payable are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on our current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Interest Rate Sensitivity As of June 30, 2003

Principal Amount by Expected Maturity Average Interest Rate

	July 1, 2003 to December 31,	For the Years Ending December 31,				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Thereafter
Long-term debt, including current portion:						
Fixed rate	\$ 4,358	\$--	\$--	\$--	\$--	\$46,909 (a)
Average interest rate	6.00%					10.75%
Variable rate	\$ --	\$11,700	\$25,350	\$50,700	\$39,000	\$29,350
Average interest rate	Base rate + 5.5% (b)	Libor + 4.5% or base rate + 3.5% (b)	Libor + 4.5% or base rate + 3.5%	Libor + 4.5% or base rate + 3.5%	Libor + 4.5% or Base rate + 3.5%	Libor + 4.5% or base rate + 3.5%

(a) Represents the value at maturity of 10.75% Unsecured Convertible PIK Notes due April 2011.

(b) On March 31, 2003, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured credit facility. Under this amendment, the lenders under the facility agreed to defer interest payments on the outstanding loans during the period beginning March 12, 2003 and ending on February 2, 2004, during which time the loans will accrue interest at the base rate plus 5.5%.

(c) Amounts not determinable.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of June 30, 2003 (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.
- (b) Changes in Internal Controls - Since the Evaluation Date, there have not been any significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls.

33

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Through our various operating subsidiaries, we purchase goods and services from a wide variety of vendors under contractual and other arrangements that sometimes give rise to litigation in the ordinary course of business. Our subsidiaries also provide goods and services to a wide range of customers under arrangements that sometimes lead to disputes over payment, performance and other obligations. Some of these disputes, regardless of their merit, could subject us to costly litigation and the diversion of technical and/or management personnel. Additionally, in light of our ongoing litigation and other disputes with various local exchange carriers, some of whom we depend upon for certain services, from time to time, those carriers have and will likely continue to threaten service disruptions or terminations. Certain service disruptions or terminations, if actually implemented, could have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, liabilities from litigation that are not covered by insurance or that exceed such coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, finances and/or results of operations.

Currently, we have the following outstanding matters, which if resolved unfavorably to us, could have a material adverse effect on us:

- o On August 12, 2002, Verizon Communications, Inc. and several of its subsidiaries filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against us and several of our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, referred to herein as the defendants, seeking payment of approximately \$37 million allegedly owed to Verizon under various contracts and state and federal law. Verizon also asked the Court to issue a declaratory ruling that it has not violated the antitrust laws.

The defendants believe that they have meritorious defenses to the complaint, and further, that the amounts owed are substantially less than the amounts claimed by Verizon. For example, the defendants

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

believe the figure specified in the complaint includes payments that have been made by the defendants to Verizon (including in excess of \$14 million paid soon after the filing of the complaint), credits that Verizon has issued to the defendants since the filing of the complaint, and additional disputes for which Verizon owes credits to the defendants. The defendants have filed an answer to Verizon's complaint denying Verizon's claims, in part, and have asserted various counterclaims against Verizon, including claims seeking damages for breach of contract and treble damages for violating the antitrust laws. The defendants have also moved to dismiss Verizon's request for a declaratory ruling on the antitrust claims, which Verizon has opposed.

34

On November 18, 2002, Verizon filed a motion to dismiss defendants' antitrust counterclaims, relying heavily on a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit in *Goldwasser vs. Ameritech Corp.*, 222 F.3d 390 (7th Cir. 2000) dismissing antitrust claims brought on behalf of a class of consumers who had purchased services from Ameritech in Illinois. On January 9, 2003, the defendants filed their opposition to Verizon's motion, noting not only that the *Goldwasser* case is distinguishable from the defendants' antitrust claims, but also that the appellate court's rationale in *Goldwasser* had been effectively repudiated by the appellate courts of the 2nd and 11th circuits, as well as by a federal trial court in the antitrust claim raised by us against SBC/Ameritech in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

On March 20, 2003, the Court issued an order denying the parties' respective motions without prejudice to renew, pending a decision by the United States Supreme Court in *Verizon Communications, Inc. vs. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP*, Supreme Court Docket No. 02-682 (cert. granted March 10, 2003). By order of the Court issued May 6, 2003, the parties have been directed to proceed with discovery on all issues. We and our subsidiaries intend to pursue all available remedies and counterclaims and defend ourselves vigorously; however, we and our subsidiaries cannot be certain how or when these matters will be resolved or of the outcome of the litigation.

- o On March 7, 2002, CoreComm Massachusetts, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, initiated litigation against Verizon New England d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts in the Suffolk Superior Court, Massachusetts, alleging breach of contract and seeking a temporary restraining order against Verizon Massachusetts. Verizon has filed its answer to CoreComm Massachusetts' complaint and filed counterclaims seeking payment of approximately \$1.2 million allegedly owed by CoreComm Massachusetts under the parties' interconnection agreement and Verizon's tariffs. During the course of discovery, Verizon conceded that it had over-billed CoreComm Massachusetts by approximately \$800,000. As a result, CoreComm Massachusetts amended its complaint to include claims against Verizon for unfair and deceptive acts or practices in violation of Massachusetts' fair trade practice laws. Verizon subsequently amended its complaint to specify a revised claim of \$1.1 million. CoreComm Massachusetts ceased offering

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

local telephone services in Massachusetts in December 2002 and is presently withdrawing from the market. CoreComm Massachusetts' withdrawal from providing telephone services in Massachusetts has not had any material adverse affect on our consolidated business.

35

- o By letter dated April 4, 2003, we received a notice from Verizon claiming that Verizon is owed approximately \$8.4 million by one of our subsidiaries, CoreComm New York, Inc., for services allegedly purchased in the state of New York, including approximately \$5.1 million of charges that Verizon contends were mistakenly credited to the accounts of CoreComm New York, Inc. in connection with the acquisition out of bankruptcy of certain assets of USN Communications, Inc. in May 1999. In response, CoreComm New York, Inc. challenged the accuracy of Verizon's figures and provided formal written notification that it was disputing Verizon's right to payment of the amounts specified in Verizon's April 4 letter. Subsequently, by letter dated June 24, 2003, Verizon made a demand for payment from CoreComm New York of approximately \$6 million of alleged charges, including approximately \$2.3 million of charges that have been disputed by CoreComm New York and are the subject of pending litigation between the parties in the federal case in Delaware, and threatening to implement an embargo on CoreComm New York's accounts if the requested payment was not received by July 25, 2003. In response, CoreComm New York challenged Verizon's right to proceed as threatened and Verizon implemented the embargo over CoreComm New York's objections. CoreComm New York intends to pursue this matter in its pending litigation with Verizon, but is not presently able to predict how or when this matter will be resolved. The operations of CoreComm New York do not represent a material component of our revenue, profits or operations and we do not anticipate that an embargo of CoreComm New York's accounts will have a material adverse affect on our business, finances or results of operations.

- o We and CoreComm Newco, Inc., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, are currently in litigation with SBC Corp., Ameritech Ohio and other SBC subsidiaries over various billing and performance issues, including SBC/Ameritech's alleged violation of the antitrust laws and the adequacy of SBC/Ameritech's performance under a 1998 contract between CoreComm Newco and Ameritech Ohio. This litigation began in June 2001 when Ameritech threatened to stop processing new orders following CoreComm Newco's exercise of its right under the contract to withhold payments for Ameritech's performance failures. On October 9, 2001, Ameritech filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio seeking a total of approximately \$14.4 million in alleged outstanding charges.

On December 26, 2001, CoreComm Newco filed its answer to Ameritech's amended complaint and simultaneously filed three counterclaims against SBC Corp., Ameritech Ohio and certain of their respective subsidiaries and affiliates, alleging breach of contract, antitrust violations, and fraudulent or negligent misrepresentation claims. On July 25, 2002, the district Court issued a decision denying a motion to dismiss from Ameritech and upholding CoreComm Newco's right to proceed with its antitrust, breach of contract and misrepresentation claims against all counter-defendants. On January 21, 2003, CoreComm Newco amended its

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

complaint to include the Company and other affiliates as additional claimants and to add additional allegations supporting its claims, and on February 17, 2003, SBC/Ameritech filed its answer to the amended complaint. On May 22, 2003, the parties entered into a stay agreement pursuant to which they agreed to jointly petition the Court to suspend the litigation in all respects, including all claims and counterclaims, until 15 calendar days after the United States Supreme Court issues its opinion in the Trinko case, or until further order of the Court. Pursuant to that agreement, the parties subsequently filed a joint motion for stay of the litigation, which was granted by the Court on June 19, 2003.

36

We believe that CoreComm Newco has meritorious defenses to Ameritech's amended complaint that could reduce the amount currently in dispute. For example, the figure specified in Ameritech's complaint may not account for various amounts that have been properly disputed by CoreComm Newco as a result of billing errors and other improper charges, various refunds that Ameritech contends it has already credited to CoreComm Newco's accounts since the filing of the complaint, and payments that were made by CoreComm Newco in the ordinary course after the time of Ameritech's submission. However, we cannot be certain how or when the matter will be resolved. We also believe that, to the extent Ameritech prevails with respect to any of its claims, Ameritech's award may be offset in whole or in part by amounts that CoreComm Newco and we are seeking to obtain from SBC/Ameritech under their counterclaims. CoreComm Newco and we intend to pursue all available remedies and to defend ourselves vigorously. However, it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of the litigation.

- o On April 16, 2003, SBC Ohio (formerly known as SBC Ameritech Ohio) filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, known as the PUCO, a third supplement to its application for review of an order entered by a PUCO Hearing Examiner barring SBC Ohio from refusing to process new service orders from CoreComm Newco pending the resolution of various billing disputes at issue between the parties. Among other things, the April 16 supplement contends that the Hearing Examiner's entry provided CoreComm Newco with a competitive advantage by allowing it to withhold payment on approximately \$8.7 million of alleged undisputed charges for local and collocation services in Ohio as of March 31, 2003. On May 2, 2003, CoreComm Newco submitted a reply to the April 16 supplement in which it disputed the accuracy of SBC Ohio's claims and explained that the outstanding balance of approximately \$1.9 million is consistent with common practice considering SBC Ohio's billing problems and the numerous payment cycles at issue. On June 20, 2003 CoreComm Newco and our operating subsidiaries in the states of Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin entered into a standstill agreement with SBC's operating subsidiaries in those states pursuant to which the parties agreed to refrain from taking certain actions against one another for a period of at least nine months while working to reconcile their respective accounts. Pursuant to that agreement, SBC Ohio asked the PUCO to place into abeyance its appeal of the Hearing Examiner's Entry for the duration of the nine month standstill. CoreComm Newco has already identified and lodged millions of dollars worth of billing and

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

performance disputes and is continuing to identify charges that it believes are not properly owed to SBC Ohio. Should the PUCO litigation resume, CoreComm Newco intends to defend itself vigorously and to pursue all available remedies and counterclaims. However, it is not possible to predict the outcome of this matter at this time.

37

- o By letters dated April 23, 2003 and April 25, 2003, SBC/Midwest demanded payment from certain of the Company's subsidiaries approximately \$9.5 million of alleged undisputed, past due charges for wholesale services allegedly provided to our operating subsidiaries in Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin, and threatened to pursue further collection activities against those entities. The letters regarding Michigan and Wisconsin requested that the recipients pay into escrow an unspecified sum for Michigan and approximately \$135,240 for Wisconsin in connection with charges that SBC Midwest contends our subsidiaries have disputed in those states. In response, our subsidiaries notified SBC Midwest that they are disputing the accuracy of the figures set forth in its letters as well as its right to request an escrow deposit to cover disputed charges, and that they are prepared to engage in further discussions regarding the various amounts at issue. As noted above, on June 20, 2003, our operating subsidiaries in Ohio, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Wisconsin entered into a standstill agreement with SBC's operating subsidiaries in those states pursuant to which the parties agreed to refrain from taking certain actions against one another for a period of nine months. Our subsidiaries intend to contest any charges that they believe are not properly owed and to vigorously pursue all claims and defend themselves against any collections action. However, we are not currently able to predict how or when these matters will be resolved or what amount, if any, will need to be paid at the time of resolution.

- o On December 3, 2001, General Electric Capital Corp., referred to as GECC, filed a civil lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois against CCL and MegsINet, Inc., an indirect subsidiary of ours, seeking approximately \$8 million in allegedly past due amounts and the return of equipment under a capital equipment lease agreement between Ascend and MegsINet. Thereafter, on May 1, 2002, the complaint was amended to add us as an additional defendant. Although neither CCL nor we are parties to the agreement between Ascend and MegsINet, the complaint contends that CCL and/or we should be held responsible for MegsINet's obligations under an "alter ego" theory of liability. CCL and we are contesting this claim and do not believe that the obligations of MegsINet are obligations of CCL or us.

38

Subsequent to the filing of its initial complaint, GECC filed a second complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois against MegsINet, CCL and us seeking a court order allowing it to take repossession of its alleged equipment. On September 24, 2002, the Court issued an order granting GECC's request for repossession of the equipment. MegsINet has allowed GECC to take possession of the equipment, which has not had any material impact on our business or

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

operations. On April 23, 2003, GECC filed a motion for summary judgment asking the Court to rule in its favor, without the need for trial, that MegsINet, CCL and ATX breached their alleged contractual obligations to make required lease payments to GECC and awarding GECC damages in the amount of \$9,100,053 plus attorneys' fees and interest. MegsINet, CCL and us have filed a consolidated opposition to that motion and oral argument on the matter was heard by the Court on August 6, 2003. The defendants do not believe that it would be appropriate for the court to resolve this litigation through summary judgment as requested by GECC and they intend to defend themselves vigorously and to pursue all available claims and defenses. However, it is impossible at this time to predict the outcome of the litigation. MegsINet does not represent a material component of our revenue, profits or operations. All of our assets and those of our subsidiaries, including those of MegsINet, are subject to a first priority security interest in favor of the senior lenders under the \$156 million Senior Credit Facility.

- o On May 25, 2001, KMC Telecom, Inc. and some of our operating subsidiaries filed an action in the Supreme Court of New York for New York County against CCL, Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc., CoreComm New York, Inc. and MegsINet. KMC contends that it is owed approximately \$2 million, primarily in respect of alleged early termination liabilities, under a services agreement and a co-location agreement with MegsINet. The defendants have denied KMC's claims and have asserted that the contracts at issue were signed without proper authorization, that KMC failed to perform under the alleged contracts, and that the termination penalties are not enforceable. On March 27, 2002, certain of the defendants initiated litigation against several former principals of MegsINet seeking indemnification and contribution against KMC's claims for breach of various representations and warranties made under the merger agreement pursuant to which MegsINet became a subsidiary of ours. Defendants have also initiated coverage under an insurance policy designed to protect against such claims; the insurance carrier has initially declined coverage and it may be necessary to pursue litigation to obtain coverage in the event of a loss under the policy.

39

- o On September 24, 2002, GATX Technologies, Inc., known herein as GATX, filed an action in the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in Florida against CoreComm-Voyager, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, seeking recovery of amounts allegedly owed under an equipment lease totaling approximately \$150,000. On October 21, 2002, CoreComm-Voyager moved to dismiss GATX's action for lack of jurisdiction. The motion is now pending with the Court. On October 28, 2002, 3Com Corporation, known as 3Com, filed an action against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania seeking payment of approximately \$900,000 under an equipment lease. We have filed preliminary objections to 3Com's complaint on the basis that we are not a proper party to the dispute, and the Court has not yet ruled on those objections. Should either action proceed further, the defendants will defend themselves vigorously and pursue all available claims. However, it is not possible at this time to predict how or when either of these matters will be resolved.
- o On March 1, 2002, Easton Telecom Services, LLC initiated litigation in the Northern District of Ohio against CoreComm Internet Group, Inc.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

seeking payment of approximately \$4.9 million, primarily in respect of alleged early termination penalties for telecommunications services purportedly provided under alleged contracts. On August 23, 2002, the Court issued an order dismissing approximately \$4 million of Easton's claims as invalid. Upon the conclusion of a jury trial that ended on November 8, 2002, Easton obtained a judgment against CoreComm Internet Group, Inc., Voyager Information Networks, Inc. and MegsINet in the total amount of \$1,085,000. On February 4, 2003, the defendants filed an appeal in this matter with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the plaintiff has filed a cross-appeal. Plaintiff is currently pursuing discovery in aid of execution on its judgment against defendants. All of our assets and those of our subsidiaries, including those of the defendants, are subject to a first priority security interest in favor of the senior lenders under the \$156 million senior credit facility.

40

- o On June 7, 2002, the Board of Revenue and Finance of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania issued an order granting in part and denying in part a petition for review of a decision by a lower administrative authority relating to our alleged liability for sales and use tax for the period September 1, 1997 through July 31, 2000. Pursuant to the June 7 order, we have been assessed sales and use tax for the period at issue in the amount of \$631,429, which has been accrued in our consolidated financial statements. On July 8, 2002, we filed a petition for review of the board's order in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania seeking a further reduction of the assessment. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and that the assessment should be reduced; however it is not possible at this time to predict how this matter will be resolved
- o On February 28, 2003, Focal Communications Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries initiated adversarial proceedings in Focal's Chapter 11 case under the U.S. bankruptcy laws against us and certain of our subsidiaries seeking payment of an aggregate of approximately \$859,514 in charges for interstate and intrastate switched access services allegedly provided by Focal's subsidiaries in Illinois, Pennsylvania, Delaware and New York. On April 7, 2003, Focal filed a motion for summary adjudication for services allegedly provided to our subsidiaries operating in Illinois, and these subsidiaries filed an opposition to that motion challenging the validity of Focal's charges as well as its right to summary adjudication of the issues. On August 8, 2003, the bankruptcy court issued a Report and Recommendation finding that Focal's action is a "non-core" proceeding and issuing an advisory opinion to the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware recommending that summary judgment be granted in favor of Focal against our operating subsidiaries in the aggregate amount of \$134,376. The Defendants believe that the recommendation reached by the bankruptcy court is erroneous and the defendants intend to file objections to the bankruptcy court's report and recommendation with the District Court. In addition, the defendants intend to seek a stay from the bankruptcy court of the pending summary adjudication motions for services allegedly provided to our subsidiaries operating in Pennsylvania, Delaware and New York. Although we and our subsidiaries continue to believe that we have meritorious defenses and arguments on appeal, it is not possible at this time to predict how or when these matters will be resolved.

Edgar Filing: ATX COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 424B3

- o On January 3, 2003, we and our indirect subsidiary, MegsINet, Inc., filed a complaint against Broadwing in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania seeking the return of approximately \$700,000 in taxes billed by Broadwing in alleged violation of two Master Service Agreements. The Court issued an order referring the matter to arbitration pursuant to the terms of the contract between MegsINet and Broadwing. A schedule for the arbitration has not yet been established.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On June 9, 2003, we issued 300,000 shares of common stock in consideration for settlement of certain litigation issues. This distribution was made in accordance with the exemption from registration provided under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

41

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

- 31.1 Certification dated August 12, 2003 pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of principal executive officer as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003, by Thomas J. Gravina, President - Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification dated August 12, 2003 pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of principal financial officer as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003, by Michael A. Peterson, Executive Vice President - Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification dated August 12, 2003 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of CEO and CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Thomas J. Gravina, President - Chief Executive Officer, and Michael A. Peterson, Executive Vice President - Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2003, ATX Communications filed the following reports on Form 8-K:

- (i) Report dated April 10, 2003, reporting under Item 7, Exhibits, ATX Communications, Inc. announced its operating results for the year ended December 31, 2002
- (ii) Report dated May 15, 2003, reporting under Item 9, Regulation FD disclosure, that ATX Communications, Inc. announced its consolidated operating results for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.

No financial statements were filed with these reports.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ATX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Date: August 12, 2003

By: /s/ Michael A. Peterson

Michael A. Peterson
Executive Vice President -
Chief Operating Officer and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 12, 2003

By: /s/ Neil Peritz

Neil Peritz
Senior Vice President - Controller
and Treasurer (Principal Accounting
Officer)