# MFS INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR

[graphic omitted]

January 09, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-5440 MFS INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter) 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code) Susan S. Newton Massachusetts Financial Services Company 500 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02116 (Name and address of agents for service) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (617) 954-5000 Date of fiscal year end: October 31 Date of reporting period: October 31, 2005 ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS. MFS(R) Mutual Funds 10/31/05 ANNUAL REPORT MFS(R) INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST A path for pursuing opportunity [logo] M F S(SM) INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

1

NOT FDIC INSURED MAY LOSE VALUE NO BANK OR CREDIT UNION GUARANTEE NOT A DEPOSI NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY OR NCUA/NCUSIF

MFS(R) INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST

10/31/05

The trust seeks to preserve capital and provide high current income.

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: MIN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER FROM THE CEO	1
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION	2
MANAGEMENT REVIEW	3
PORTFOLIO MANAGER'S PROFILE	4
PERFORMANCE SUMMARY	5
DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN	7
PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS	8
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	13
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	17
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	24
TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS	25
BOARD REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT	30
PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION	34
QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE	34
FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION	34
CONTACT INFORMATION BACK	COVER

LETTER FROM THE CEO

[Photo of Robert J. Manning]

Dear Shareholders,

Most investors are familiar with the basic principles of investing – focus on the long term and keep your assets diversified across different investment

categories. Still, it is surprising how often we forget these basic lessons. Certainly, the dot-com euphoria that overtook Wall Street in the late 1990s had many people hoping they could become millionaires overnight. But the market decline that started in the spring of 2000 taught everyone how misguided those hopes had been.

Now, less than five years after the market taught a harsh lesson in the follies of speculative investing, we are seeing a number of trends that suggest many investors, including professionals, are hoping for overnight miracles again. We see a steady stream of market "gurus" on television news shows, promising to teach amateur investors the strategies that will allow them to get rich quick. Hedge funds - which by their nature move in and out of investments rapidly - have soared in popularity over the past five years. We are reminded every day that we live in a "what have you done for me lately?" world, but this mindset should not influence how we invest and manage our money. In fact, investors often fall short of their goals because they trade in and out of investments too frequently and at inopportune times.

Throughout our entire 80-year history, MFS' money management process has focused on long-term investment opportunities. We firmly believe that one of the best ways to realize long-term financial goals - be it a college education, a comfortable retirement, or a secure family legacy - is to follow a three-pronged approach that focuses on longer time horizons. Allocate holdings across the major asset classes - including stocks, bonds, and cash. Diversify within each class to take advantage of different market segments and investing styles. And rebalance assets regularly to maintain a desired asset allocation.\*

This long-term approach requires diligence and patience, two traits that in our experience are essential to capitalizing on the many opportunities the financial markets can offer.

Respectfully,

/s/ Robert J. Manning

Robert J. Manning Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer MFS Investment Management(R)

December 15, 2005

\* Asset allocation, diversification, and rebalancing does not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss. The opinions expressed in this letter are those of MFS, and no forecasts can be guaranteed.

### PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Ronde

#### PORTFOLIO STRUCTURE\*

Bolius	90.00
Cash & Other Net Assets	1.4%
MARKET SECTORS*	
Non U.S. Government Bonds	36.7%
Mortgage-Backed Securities	22.4%
U.S. Government Agencies	19.0%

98 62

U.S. Treasury Securities	15.4%
Emerging Market Bonds	3.5%
Cash & Other Net Assets	1.4%
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities	1.2%
Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities	0.3%
High Grade Corporates	0.1%
CREDIT QUALITY OF BONDS**	
AAA	95.2%
AA	0.7%
А	1.5%
ВВВ	1.9%
ВВ	0.2%
Not Rated	0.5%
PORTFOLIO FACTS  Average Duration****	3.5
Average Life***	5.5 yrs.
Average Maturity***	8.4 yrs.
Average Credit Quality of Rated Securities****	AAA
Average Short Term Quality	A-1
COUNTRY WEIGHTINGS*	
United States	60.1%
Germany	7.0%
Spain	4.6%
United Kingdom	4.2%
Netherlands	4.0%
Ireland	3.9%
Finland	3.4%
New Zealand	3.3%
France	3.3%

Other Countries 6.2%

- \* For purposes of this graphical presentation, the bond component includes both accrued interest amounts and the equivalent exposure from any derivative holdings, if applicable.
- \*\* Each security is assigned a rating from Moody's Investors Service. If not rated by Moody's, the rating will be that assigned by Standard & Poor's. Likewise, if not assigned a rating by Standard & Poor's, it will be based on the rating assigned by Fitch, Inc. For those portfolios that hold a security which is not rated by any of the three agencies, the security is considered Not Rated. Holdings in U.S. Treasuries and government agency mortgage-backed securities, if any, are included in a "AAA"-rating category. Percentages are based on the total market value of investments as of 10/31/05.
- \*\*\* The average maturity shown is calculated using the final stated maturity on the portfolio's holdings without taking into account any holdings which have been pre-refunded to an earlier date or which have a mandatory put date prior to the stated maturity. The average life shown takes into account these earlier dates.
- \*\*\*\* The Average Credit Quality of Rated Securities is based upon a market weighted average of portfolio holdings that are rated by public rating agencies.
- \*\*\*\*\* Duration is a measure of how much a bond fund's price is likely to fluctuate with general changes in interest rates, e.g., if rates rise 1.00%, a fund with a 5-year duration is likely to lose about 5.00% of its value.

Percentages are based on net assets as of 10/31/05, unless otherwise noted.

The portfolio is actively managed, and current holdings may be different.

#### MANAGEMENT REVIEW

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

For the twelve months ended October 31, 2005, shares of the MFS Intermediate Income Trust provided a total return of 1.61%, at net asset value. In comparison, the fund's benchmarks, the Citigroup World Government Bond Non-Dollar Hedged Index, returned 5.82% while the Citigroup Medium Term (1 - 10 Year) Treasury Government Sponsored Index, returned 0.24%.

### DETRACTORS FROM PERFORMANCE

MFS Intermediate Income Trust, a closed-end fund, maintains a portfolio primarily consisting of short and intermediate-term U.S. government and foreign high-grade securities.

Relative to its benchmarks, the yield component of return detracted from performance. This was a result of our defensive posture; with interest rates rising domestically, we shortened the duration of bonds within the portfolio. Our currency positions in the yen and euro also detracted from relative results. European bonds did particularly well over the period. The trust's underexposure therefore hurt relative performance.

#### CONTRIBUTORS TO PERFORMANCE

Our duration stance contributed to the trust's relative performance (duration is a measure of a portfolio's sensitivity to changes in interest rates). Believing that U.S. rates would continue to rise, we kept the fund's duration shorter than that of the benchmark. Our positioning in non-OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) foreign and quasi-sovereign debt added incrementally to returns. Contributing countries included debt from Russia, Panama, Brazil, and Mexico.

Respectfully,

James J. Calmas Portfolio Manager

The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover and do not necessarily reflect the views of MFS or any other person in the MFS organization. These views are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and MFS disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice or as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any MFS fund. References to specific securities are not recommendations of such securities and may not be representative of any MFS fund's current or future investments.

#### PORTFOLIO MANAGER'S PROFILE

James J. Calmas is Senior Vice President of MFS Investment Management (R) (MFS(R)) and portfolio manager of the limited maturity portfolios of our mutual funds, variable annuities, and offshore investment products. He is a member of the MFS Fixed Income Strategy Group.

Jim joined MFS in 1988 and was named portfolio manager in 1998, and Senior Vice President in 2002. He is a graduate of Dartmouth College and holds an M.B.A. degree from the Amos Tuck School of Business Administration of Dartmouth College.

#### PERFORMANCE SUMMARY THROUGH 10/31/05

All results are historical. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, and shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. More recent returns may be more or less than those shown. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

#### PRICE SUMMARY

Year ended 10/31/05			
	Date		Price
Net asset value	10/31/2005		\$7.04
	10/31/2004		\$7.32
New York Stock Exchange price	10/31/2005		\$6.29
	2/11/2005	(high) *	\$6.61
	10/13/2005	(low)*	\$6.22
	10/31/2004		\$6.59

-----

TOTAL RETURN VS BENCHMARKS

TOTAL RETURN VS BENCHMARKS	
Year ended 10/31/05	
	1 Yr
New York Stock Exchange price**	0.85%
Net asset value**	1.61%
Citigroup World Government Bond Non-Dollar Hedged Index#	5.82%
Citigroup Medium Term (1-10 Years) Treasury Government Sponsored Index#	0.24%

\*\* Includes reinvestment of dividend and capital gain distributions.

-----

# Source: Standard & Poor's Micropal, Inc.

#### INDEX DEFINITIONS

Citigroup World Government Bond Non-Dollar Hedged Index - is a market capitalization weighted index that tracks the currency-hedged performance of the major government bond markets, excluding the United States. Country eligibility is determined based upon market capitalization and investability criteria.

Citigroup Medium-Term (1 to 10 Years) Treasury Government Sponsored Index – is a capitalization-weighted index of U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency securities with fixed-rate coupons and weighted average lives between one and ten years.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

#### NOTES TO PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The trust's shares may trade at a discount or premium to net asset value. Shareholders do not have the right to cause the trust to repurchase their shares at net asset value.

When trust shares trade at a premium, buyers pay more than the net asset value underlying trust shares, and shares purchased at a premium would receive less than the amount paid for them in the event of the trust's liquidation. As a result, the total return that is calculated based on the net asset value and New York Stock Exchange price can be different.

The trust's monthly distributions may include a return of capital to shareholders. Distributions that are treated for federal income tax purposes as a return of capital will reduce each shareholder's basis in his or her shares and, to the extent the return of capital exceeds such basis, will be treated as gain to the shareholder from a sale of shares. Returns of shareholder capital have the effect of reducing the trust's assets and increasing the trust's expense ratio.

The trust's target annual distribution rate is calculated based on the trust's average daily net asset value, not a fixed share price, and the trust's dividend amount will fluctuate with changes in the trust's average daily net asset value.

<sup>\*</sup> For the period November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005.

From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.

#### KEY RISK CONSIDERATIONS

The portfolio may invest in derivative securities, which may include futures and options. These types of instruments can increase price fluctuation. The portfolio invests in foreign and/or emerging markets securities, which are more susceptible to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, economic, and political conditions. The portfolio invests in government-guaranteed securities. These guarantees apply to the underlying securities only and not to the prices and yields of the portfolio. The portfolio can invest a relatively high percentage of its assets in one or more issuers, thereby making it more susceptible to any single economic, political or regulatory occurrence.

The portfolio's value will fluctuate in response to market conditions and issuer, regulatory, economic, or political developments. In general, fixed income securities will decline when interest rates rise and will increase when interest rates fall. Many fixed income securities also involve credit risk, which is the risk that issuers may fail to make timely principal or interest payments. In addition, fixed income securities with longer maturity dates will be subject to greater price fluctuations than those with shorter maturity periods. These risks will increase share price volatility.

In accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, the trust hereby gives notice that it may from time to time repurchase shares of the trust in the open market at the option of the Board of Trustees and on such terms as the Trustees shall determine.

#### DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN

The trust offers a Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan that allows you to reinvest either all of the distributions paid by the trust or only the long-term capital gains. Purchases are made at the market price unless that price exceeds the net asset value (the shares are trading at a premium). If the shares are trading at a premium, purchases will be made at a discounted price of either the net asset value or 95% of the market price, whichever is greater. Twice each year you can also buy shares. Investments may be made in any amount over \$100 in January and July on the 15th of the month or shortly thereafter.

If your shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the plan, you may wish to request that your shares be re-registered in your own name so that you can participate.

There is no service charge to reinvest distributions, nor are there brokerage charges for shares issued directly by the trust. However, when shares are bought on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise on the open market, each participant pays a pro rata share of the commissions. The automatic reinvestment of distributions does not relieve you of any income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on the distributions.

To enroll in or withdraw from the plan, or if you have any questions, call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time. Please have available the name of the trust and your account and Social Security numbers. For certain types of registrations, such as corporate accounts, instructions must be submitted in writing. Please call for additional details. When you withdraw from the plan, you can receive the value of the reinvested shares in one of two ways: a check for the value of the full and fractional

shares, or a certificate for the full shares and a check for the fractional shares.

#### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS - 10/31/05

The Portfolio of Investments is a complete list of all securities owned by your trust. It is categorized by broad-based asset classes.

ISSUER		PAR AMOUNT	
Agency - Other - 0.1%			
Small Business Administration, 7.64%, 2010	\$	1,083,546	 \$  1,156,918
Asset Backed & Securitized - 1.5%			
Commercial Mortgage Acceptance Corp., 6.04%, 2030 Commercial Mortgage Acceptance Corp., FRN, 1.1457%, 2008^ Falcon Franchise Loan LLC, FRN, 3.3861%, 2023#^ Multi-Family Capital Access One, Inc., 6.65%, 2024	\$	6,000,000 81,521,889 14,562,214 2,449,619	6,151,708 2,657,002 1,737,425 2,534,201
			\$ 13,080,336
Banks & Credit Companies - 0.1%			 
SG Capital Trust I, 7.875%, 2049	EUR	375,000	
Emerging Market Quasi-Sovereign - 0.7%			
Korea Development Bank, 4.75%, 2009 Petroleos Mexicanos, 9.375%, 2008 Petronas Capital Ltd., 7.875%, 2022	\$	1,700,000 2,318,000 1,158,000	1,677,322 2,572,980 1,400,878
			5,651,180
Emerging Market Sovereign - 2.3%			 
Federal Republic of Brazil, 8%, 2018 Republic of Chile, FRN, 4.63%, 2008 Republic of Panama, 9.375%, 2029 Republic of South Africa, 9.125%, 2009 Russian Federation, 3%, 2008 State of Israel, 5.125%, 2014 State of Qatar, 9.75%, 2030 United Mexican States, 8.125%, 2019 United Mexican States, 8.3%, 2031	\$	183,000 2,321,000 1,486,000 1,935,000 3,277,000 5,000,000 1,299,000 2,205,000 279,000	189,039 2,331,444 1,816,635 2,172,038 3,084,968 4,878,015 1,929,015 2,637,180 341,775
			19,380,109
International Market Quasi-Sovereign - 1.9%			 
KFW International Finance, Inc., 2.375%, 2006 KFW International Finance, Inc., 3.25%, 2007 Landesbank Baden-Wurttemberg, 5.125%, 2007	\$		4,031,441 4,105,710 7,740,387

\$ 15,877,538 \_\_\_\_\_\_ International Market Sovereign - 34.2% \_\_\_\_\_\_ Canada Housing Trust, 4.65%, 2009 CAD 1,935,000 \$ 1,682,586 EUR 11,016,000 13,470,856
EUR 22,961,000 28,367,020
AUD 5,989,000 4,725,730
CAD 2,472,000 2,243,121
NZD 3,964,000 2,851,986
NZD 26,773,000 19,298,796
NZD 8,665,000 6,073,083
DKK 1,000 187 Federal Republic of Germany, 3.5%, 2008 Federal Republic of Germany, 3.75%, 2015 Government of Australia, 6.25%, 2015 Government of Canada, 5.25%, 2012 Government of New Zealand, 7%, 2009 Government of New Zealand, 6.5%, 2013 Government of New Zealand, 6%, 2015 DKK 1,000 187

EUR 11,823,000 14,743,165

EUR 14,992,000 18,546,048

NOK 18,941,000 21,118,877

EUR 12,272,000 16,494,633

EUR 10,678,000 13,488,867

EUR 5,252,000 6,340,377

EUR 16,028,000 21,971,489

EUR 8,446,000 10,471,934

EUR 12,714,000 16,717,025

EUR 14,225,000 17,568,967

EUR 11,337,000 14,997,331

GBP 7,827,000 20,074,420 Kingdom of Denmark, 6%, 2011 Kingdom of Netherlands, 5.75%, 2007 Kingdom of Netherlands, 3.75%, 2009 Kingdom of Norway, 6.5%, 2013 Kingdom of Spain, 6%, 2008 Kingdom of Spain, 5.35%, 2011 Republic of Austria, 5.5%, 2007 Republic of Finland, 3%, 2008 Republic of Finland, 5.375%, 2013 Republic of France, 4.75%, 2007 Republic of France, 4.75%, 2012 Republic of Ireland, 4.25%, 2007 Republic of Ireland, 4.6%, 2016 United Kingdom Treasury, 5.75%, 2009 United Kingdom Treasury, 8%, 2015 GBP 8,727,000 20,074,420 \_\_\_\_\_ \$289,255,101 Mortgage Backed - 24.5% 

 6,627,051
 \$ 6,626,001

 3,421,039
 3,510,715

 6,800,000
 7,113,174

 9,049,769
 8,885,155

 2,127,020
 2,093,727

 4,737,019
 4,620,044

 4,235,255
 4,174,987

 2,074,594
 2,138,771

 989,772
 957,834

 2,207,315
 2,183,923

 19,029,549
 19,241,792

 28,348,750
 28,207,551

 7,950,743
 7,848,616

 12,049,440
 11,660,885

 8,933,258
 9,187,299

 18,994,000
 18,465,416

 17,544,800
 17,660,844

 2,869,334
 2,928,707

 36,383,432
 36,086,518

 Fannie Mae, 5.669%, 2006 \$ 6,627,051 \$ 6,626,001 Fannie Mae, 7.004%, 2007 Fannie Mae, 6.022%, 2010 Fannie Mae, 4.556%, 2011 Fannie Mae, 4.845%, 2013 Fannie Mae, 4.667%, 2014 Fannie Mae, 4.846%, 2014 Fannie Mae, 5.412%, 2014 Fannie Mae, 4.62%, 2015 Fannie Mae, 4.925%, 2015 Fannie Mae, 6%, 2016 - 2034 Fannie Mae, 5.5%, 2017 - 2035 Fannie Mae, 5%, 2018 - 2019 Fannie Mae, 4.5%, 2019 Fannie Mae, 6.5%, 2031 Freddie Mac, 4.125%, 2010 Freddie Mac, 5.5%, 2017 - 2020 Freddie Mac, 6%, 2017 - 2034 36,383,432 36,086,518 4,700,000 4,590,104 1,372,460 1,420,123 Freddie Mac, 5%, 2019 - 2024 Freddie Mac, 3%, 2021 Ginnie Mae, 8.5%, 2006 - 2009 3,418,346 3,552,593 Ginnie Mae, 6.5%, 2028 Ginnie Mae, 6%, 2033 3,891,124 3,960,059 \$207,114,838 Oil Services - 0% \_\_\_\_\_ \$ 309,000 \$ 307,429 Petroleum Export Peloil, 5.265%, 2011#

Central American Bank, 4.875%, 2012#	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,883,042
U.S. Government Agencies - 16.4%		
Aid-Egypt, 4.45%, 2015	\$ 3,963,000	\$ 3,833,608
Fannie Mae, 4.75%, 2007	4,000,000	4,004,540
Fannie Mae, 6.625%, 2007	5,000,000	5,181,815
Fannie Mae, 3.375%, 2008	17,000,000	16,376,236
Fannie Mae, 4, 25%, 2008	10,000,000	10,316,950
Fannie Mae, 4.25%, 2009 Federal Home Loan Bank, 2.25%, 2006	5,000,000 10,415,000	4,930,535 10,295,915
Freddie Mac, 4.25%, 2009	7,000,000	6,881,931
Freddie Mac, 6.875%, 2010	6,337,000	6,906,823
Freddie Mac, 5.125%, 2012	27,600,000	28,010,439
Small Business Administration, 5.34%, 2021	7,263,842	7,381,084
Small Business Administration, 6.34%, 2021	3,164,716	3,317,106
Small Business Administration, 6.35%, 2021	3,838,568	4,026,113
Small Business Administration, 6.44%, 2021	2,770,687	2,912,121
Small Business Administration, 6.625%, 2021	3,270,881	3,473,119
Small Business Administration, 4.93%, 2024	2,724,452	2,702,608
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development, 5.53%, 2008	11,000,000	11,233,519
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development, 7.198%, 2009	6,000,000	6,502,794
		\$138 <b>,</b> 287 <b>,</b> 256
U.S. Treasury Obligations - 14.6%		
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 13.875%, 2011	\$ 25,500,000	\$ 26,787,954
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 10.375%, 2012	32,600,000	36,356,628
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 12%, 2013	32,500,000	38,900,973
U.S. Treasury Notes, 6.125%, 2007##	5,000,000	5,148,240
U.S. Treasury Notes, 6.5%, 2010	4,500,000	4,851,914
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.875%, 2012	5,000,000	5,099,415
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.25%, 2013	1,220,000	1,196,410
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.75%, 2014	4,700,000	4,758,750 
		\$123,100,284 
Utilities - Electric Power - 0.1%		
HQI Transelec Chile S.A., 7.875%, 2011	\$ 686,000	•
Total Bonds (Identified Cost, \$842,989,015)		\$817 <b>,</b> 383 <b>,</b> 813
Repurchase Agreement - 2.4%		
ISSUER		\$ VALUE
Merrill Lynch & Co., dated 10/31/05, due 11/1/05, total to be received \$20,369,269 (secured by various U.S. Treasury and Federal Agency obligations in a jointly traded account), at Cost	\$ 20,367,000	
46 0006	~ 20,301,000	

Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 0.9% 7,282,935
----Net Assets - 100.0% \$845,033,748

- # SEC Rule 144A restriction.
- ## All or a portion of the security has been segregated as collateral for an open futures contract.
- ^ Interest only security for which the fund receives interest on notional principal (Par amount). Par amount shown is the notional principal and does not reflect the cost of the security.

The following abbreviation is used in the Portfolio of Investments and is defined:

FRN Floating Rate Note. The interest rate is the rate in effect as of period end.

FORWARD FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE CONTRACTS

Sales and Purchases in the table below are netted by currency.

1	CONTRACTS TO DELIVER/RECEIVE	SETTLEMENT DATE	IN EXCHANGE FOR	CONTRACTS AT VALUE	NET UN APPR (DEPRE
SALE	s 				
AUD	6,309,088	12/7/05	\$4,798,453	\$4,707,086	
EUR	179,950,094	11/22/05-12/14/05	217,614,781	•	1
GBP	35,645,674	11/2/05-1/31/06	63,859,720	63,030,963	
NOK	9,578,273	11/22/05	1,496,377	1,474,577	
NZD	37,902,924	12/7/05	26,114,322	26,431,027	
			\$313,883,653	\$311,602,749	\$2
PURC	HASES				
DKK	733 <b>,</b> 546	 11/15/05	\$121 <b>,</b> 043	\$117 <b>,</b> 910	
GBP	15,950,181	11/2/05	28,474,902		
SEK	1,631,962	12/14/05	210,304	205,998	
			\$28,806,249	\$28,536,745	\$

At October 31, 2005, forward foreign currency purchases and sales under master netting agreements excluded above amounted to a net payable of \$395,023 with Merrill Lynch International.

FUTURES CONTRACTS OUTSTANDING AT OCTOBER 31, 2005

DESCRIPTION	CONTRACTS	VALUE	EXPIRATION DATE	UNR APPRE (DEPREC
U.S. Treasury Note 10 yr (Long)	101	\$10 <b>,</b> 953 <b>,</b> 766	Dec-05	\$(3
U.S. Treasury Note 5 yr (Short)	80	8,471,250	Dec-05	
				\$ (3

At October 31, 2005, the trust had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover

any commitments under all derivative contracts.

Abbreviations indicate amounts shown in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. All amounts are stated in U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated. A list of abbreviations is shown below:

AUD	Australian Dollar	GBP	British Pound
CAD	Canadian Dollar	NOK	Norwegian Krone
DKK	Danish Krone	NZD	New Zealand Dollar
EUR	Euro	SEK	Swedish Krona

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Statement of Assets and Liabilities

This statement represents your trust's balance sheet, which details the assets and liabilities composing the total value of the trust.

## AT 10/31/05

AT 10/31/05		
ASSETS		
Investments, at value (identified cost, \$863,356,015) Cash	\$837,750,813 20,961	
Foreign currency, at value (identified cost, \$787,135) Receivable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts	787,132 2,597,609	
Interest receivable	13,167,763	
Other assets	23 <b>,</b> 566 	
Total assets		\$854 <b>,</b> 34
LIABILITIES		

Payable to dividend disbursing agent	\$3,609,894	
Payable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts	586,209	
Payable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts subject		
to master netting agreements	395,023	
Payable for daily variation margin on open futures contracts	734	
Payable for investments purchased	3,860,905	
Payable for trust shares reacquired	322,207	
Payable to affiliates		
Management fee	44,654	
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	31,172	
Administrative services fee	653	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	462,645	

10041 11421110100	4 3 <b>/</b> 0 ±
Net assets	\$845,03

## NET ASSETS CONSIST OF:

Paid-in capital	\$944,380,963
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and	
translation of assets and liabilities in foreign currencies	(24,395,801)
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign	
currency transactions	(80,516,233)

Undistributed net investment income	5,564,819
Net assets	\$845,03
Shares of beneficial interest outstanding (128,514,566 iss	
less 8,404,650 treasury shares)	120,1
Net asset value per share (net assets of \$845,033,748 / 120,109,916 shares of beneficial interest outstanding)	
SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Statement of Operations	
This statement describes how much your trust received in i It also describes any gains and/or losses generated by tru	
YEAR ENDED 10/31/05	
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	
Income Interest	\$42,334,274
Foreign taxes withheld	(15,501)
Total investment income	\$42,
Expenses	CE (14 21E
Management fee	\$5,614,315
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	263,043
Administrative services fee	97,269
Independent trustees' compensation	133,429
Custodian fee	539,577
Printing	36,468
Postage	360,973
Auditing fees	76,986
Legal fees	24,796
Shareholder solicitation expenses	14,939
Miscellaneous	177 <b>,</b> 882
Total expenses	\$7,3
Fees paid indirectly	(49,882)
Reduction of expenses by investment adviser	(4,138)
Net expenses	\$7,2
Net investment income	\$35,
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS	
Realized gain (loss) (identified cost basis)	
Investment transactions	\$16,249,788
Futures contracts	(492,933)
Foreign gurnongu trongogtions	622 000

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign

Foreign currency transactions

623**,**890

Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments Futures contracts Translation of assets and liabilities in foreign currencie		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency translation		\$(44,3
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency		\$(27 <b>,</b> 9
Change in net assets from operations		\$7 <b>,</b> 1
SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Statements of Changes in Net This statement describes the increases and/or decreases in n resulting from operations, any distributions, and any shareh transactions.	et assets	
FOR YEARS ENDED 10/31	2005	2004
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		
FROM OPERATIONS		
Net investment income Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency transactions	\$35,033,116 16,380,745	\$39 <b>,</b> 12
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency translation	(44,302,596)	(16,49
Change in net assets from operations	\$7,111,265	\$34,01
DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS		
From net investment income	\$ (43,759,496)	
Change in net assets from trust share transactions	\$(23,430,508)	\$(19 <b>,</b> 13
Total change in net assets	\$(60,078,739)	\$(31,54
NET ASSETS		
At beginning of period At end of period (including accumulated undistributed net investment income of \$5,564,819 and accumulated	\$905,112,487	\$936 <b>,</b> 65
distributions in excess of net investment income of \$5,363,096, respectively)	\$845,033,748	\$905 <b>,</b> 11

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

currency transactions

\$16,3

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Financial Highlights

The financial highlights table is intended to help you understand the trust's financial performant Certain information reflects financial results for a single trust share. The total returns in the which an investor would have earned (or lost) on an investment in the trust share class (assuming distributions) held for the entire period. This information has been audited by the trust's independent firm, whose report, together with the trust's financial statements, are included in the

		YE.	ARS ENDED 10/31
	2005	2004	2003
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$7.32	\$7.40	\$7.57
INCOME (LOSS) FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS(S)			
Net investment income#	\$0.29	\$0.31	\$0.31
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency	(0.23)	(0.04)	(0.08)
Total from investment operations	\$0.06	\$0.27	\$0.23
LESS DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS			
From net investment income	\$(0.36)	\$(0.37)	\$(0.40)
Net increase from repurchase of capital shares	\$0.02	\$0.02	\$
Net asset value, end of period	\$7.04	\$7.32	\$7.40
Per share market value, end of period	\$6.29	\$6.59	\$6.89
Total return at market value (%)&*	0.85	1.02	5.49
RATIOS (%) (TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS) AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
Expenses before expense reductions##	0.84	0.84	0.86
Expenses after expense reductions## Net investment income(S)	0.84	0.84 4.24	0.86
Net investment income(S)  Portfolio turnover	4.01 58	4.24 45	4.14 90
Net assets at end of period (000 Omitted)			\$936 <b>,</b> 653
nee debeed de end el pellod (out emileed),	4010,001	4300/112	4300,000

- \* Certain expenses have been reduced without which performance would have been lower.
- + Per share amount was less than \$0.01.
- # Per share data are based on average shares outstanding.
- ## Ratios do not reflect reductions from fees paid indirectly.
- (S) Effective November 1, 2001, the trust adopted the provisions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Companies and began amortizing and accreting all premiums and discounts on debt securities. For periods prior to October 31, 2002 have not been restated to reflect this change.
  - & From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which p

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (1) BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

MFS Intermediate Income Trust (the trust) is a non-diversified trust that is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company.

#### (2) SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

GENERAL - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The trust can invest in foreign securities. Investments in foreign securities are vulnerable to the effects of changes in the relative values of the local currency and the U.S. dollar and to the effects of changes in each country's legal, political, and economic environment.

INVESTMENT VALUATIONS - Bonds and other fixed income securities, including restricted fixed income securities, (other than short-term obligations) in the trust's portfolio are valued at an evaluated bid price as reported by an independent pricing service, or to the extent a valuation is not reported by a pricing service, such securities are valued on the basis of quotes from brokers and dealers. Prices obtained from pricing services utilize both dealer-supplied valuations and electronic data processing techniques which take into account appropriate factors such as institutional-size trading in similar groups of securities, yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics and other market data without exclusive reliance upon quoted prices or exchange or over-the-counter prices, since such valuations are believed to reflect more accurately the fair value of such securities. Futures contracts are valued at the settlement price as reported by an independent pricing service on the primary exchange on which they are traded. Forward foreign currency contracts are valued using spot rates and forward points as reported by an independent pricing source. Short-term obligations with a remaining maturity in excess of 60 days will be valued upon dealer-supplied valuations. All other short-term obligations in the trust's portfolio are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value as determined by the Board of Trustees. Investment valuations, other assets, and liabilities initially expressed in foreign currencies are converted each business day into U.S. dollars based upon current exchange rates. When pricing service information or market quotations are not readily available, securities are priced at fair value as determined under the direction of the Board of Trustees.

REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS - The trust may enter into repurchase agreements with institutions that the trust's investment adviser has determined are creditworthy. Each repurchase agreement is recorded at cost. The trust requires that the securities collateral in a repurchase transaction be transferred to the custodian in a manner sufficient to enable the trust to obtain those securities in the event of a default under the repurchase agreement. The trust monitors, on a daily basis, the value of the collateral to ensure that its value, including accrued interest, is greater than amounts owed to the trust under each such repurchase agreement. The trust, along with other affiliated entities of Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS), may utilize a joint trading account for the purpose of entering into one or

more repurchase agreements.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION - Purchases and sales of foreign investments, income, and expenses are converted into U.S. dollars based upon currency exchange rates prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions. Gains and losses attributable to foreign currency exchange rates on sales of securities are recorded for financial statement purposes as net realized gains and losses on investments. Gains and losses attributable to foreign exchange rate movements on income and expenses are recorded for financial statement purposes as foreign currency transaction gains and losses. That portion of both realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments that results from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates is not separately disclosed.

DERIVATIVE RISK - The trust may invest in derivatives for hedging or non-hedging purposes. While hedging can reduce or eliminate losses, it can also reduce or eliminate gains. When the trust uses derivatives as an investment to gain market exposure, or for hedging purposes, gains and losses from derivative instruments may be substantially greater than the derivative's original cost. Derivative instruments include forward foreign currency exchange contracts and futures contracts.

FUTURES CONTRACTS - The trust may enter into futures contracts for the delayed delivery of securities or currency, or contracts based on financial indices at a fixed price on a future date. In entering such contracts, the trust is required to deposit with the broker either in cash or securities an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount. Subsequent payments are made or received by the trust each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and are recorded for financial statement purposes as unrealized gains or losses by the trust. Upon entering into such contracts, the trust bears the risk of interest or exchange rates or securities prices moving unexpectedly, in which case, the trust may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the futures contracts and may realize a loss.

FORWARD FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE CONTRACTS - The trust may enter into forward foreign currency exchange contracts for the purchase or sale of a specific foreign currency at a fixed price on a future date. Risks may arise upon entering into these contracts from the potential inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from unanticipated movements in the value of the contract. The trust may enter into forward foreign currency exchange contracts for hedging purposes as well as for non-hedging purposes. For hedging purposes, the trust may enter into contracts to deliver or receive foreign currency it will receive from or require for its normal investment activities. The trust may also use contracts in a manner intended to protect foreign currency denominated securities from declines in value due to unfavorable exchange rate movements. For non-hedging purposes, the trust may enter into contracts with the intent of changing the relative exposure of the trust's portfolio of securities to different currencies to take advantage of anticipated changes. The forward foreign currency exchange contracts are adjusted by the daily exchange rate of the underlying currency and any gains or losses are recorded as unrealized until the contract settlement date. On contract settlement date, the gains or losses are recorded as realized gains or losses on foreign currency transactions.

DOLLAR ROLL TRANSACTIONS - The trust enters into dollar roll transactions, with respect to mortgage backed securities issued by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac, in which the trust sells mortgage backed securities to financial institutions and simultaneously agrees to accept substantially similar (same type, coupon, and maturity) securities at a later date at an agreed-upon price. During the period between the sale and repurchase in a dollar roll transaction the trust will not be entitled to receive interest and principal payments on the securities sold but is compensated by interest earned on the proceeds of the initial sale and by a lower purchase price on

the securities to be repurchased which enhances the trust's total return. The trust accounts for dollar roll transactions as purchases and sales. If certain criteria are met these dollar roll transactions may be considered a financing transaction whereby the difference in the sales price and the future purchase price is recorded as an adjustment to interest income.

INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS AND INCOME - Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. All premium and discount is amortized or accreted for financial statement purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All discount is accreted for tax reporting purposes as required by federal income tax regulations. The trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements involving its portfolio holdings. Any proceeds received are reflected in realized gain/loss in the Statement of Operations, or in unrealized gain/loss if the security is still held by the trust.

The trust may enter into "TBA" (to be announced) purchase commitments to purchase securities for a fixed unit price at a future date. Although the unit price has been established, the principal value has not been finalized. However, the principal amount of the commitments will not fluctuate more than 0.01%. The trust holds, and maintains until settlement date, cash or high-grade debt obligations in an amount sufficient to meet the purchase price, or the trust may enter into offsetting contracts for the forward sale of other securities it owns. Income on the securities will not be earned until settlement date. TBA purchase commitments may be considered securities in themselves, and involve a risk of loss if the value of the security to be purchased declines prior to settlement date, which is in addition to the risk of decline in the value of the trusts' other assets. Unsettled TBA purchase commitments are valued at the current market value of the underlying securities.

The trust may enter into "TBA" (to be announced) sale commitments to hedge its portfolio positions or to sell mortgage-backed securities it owns under delayed delivery arrangements. Proceeds of TBA sale commitments are not received until the contractual settlement date. During the time a TBA sale commitment is outstanding, equivalent deliverable securities, or an offsetting TBA purchase commitment deliverable on or before the sale commitment date, are held as "cover" for the transaction.

FEES PAID INDIRECTLY - The trust's custody fee is reduced according to an arrangement that measures the value of cash deposited with the custodian by the trust. This amount, for the year ended October 31, 2005, is shown as a reduction of total expenses on the Statement of Operations.

TAX MATTERS AND DISTRIBUTIONS - The trust's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders all of its net taxable income, including any net realized gain on investments. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is provided.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The trust distinguishes between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis and only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as distributions from paid-in capital. Differences in the recognition or classification of income between the financial statements and tax earnings and profits, which result in temporary over-distributions for financial statement purposes, are classified as distributions in excess of net investment income or net realized gains. Common types of book and tax differences that could occur include differences in accounting for foreign currency transactions, amortization and accretion on debt securities, derivatives, straddle loss deferrals, and wash sales.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended October 31, 2005 and October 31, 2004 was as follows:

10/31/05 10/31/04
Distributions declared from:
Ordinary income \$43,759,496 \$46,430,094

During the year ended October 31, 2005, undistributed net investment income increased by \$19,654,295 and accumulated net realized loss on investments and foreign currency transactions increased by \$19,654,295 due to differences between book and tax accounting for foreign currency transactions and amortization and accretion on debt securities. This change had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share.

As of October 31, 2005, the components of accumulated losses on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed ordinary income	\$9,155,083
Capital loss carryforward	(60,299,863)
Unrealized depreciation	(46,152,230)
Other temporary differences	(2,050,205)

For federal income tax purposes, the capital loss carryforward may be applied against any net taxable realized gains of each succeeding year until the earlier of its utilization or expiration as follows:

#### EXPIRATION DATE

October 31,	2006	\$(11,137,819)
October 31,	2007	(11,376,973)
October 31,	2008	(11,439,294)
October 31,	2010	(1,074,810)
October 31,	2012	(20,820,223)
October 31,	2013	(4,450,744)
Total		\$(60,299,863)

#### (3) TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

INVESTMENT ADVISER - The trust has an investment advisory agreement with Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS) to provide overall investment advisory and administrative services, and general office facilities. The management fee is computed daily and paid monthly at an annual rate of 0.32% of the trust's average daily net assets and 5.65% of gross investment income. The management fee, from net assets and gross investment income, incurred for the year ended October 31, 2005 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.64% of the trust's average daily net assets.

TRANSFER AGENT - The trust pays a portion of transfer agent and dividend-disbursing costs to MFS Service Center, Inc. (MFSC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of MFS. MFSC receives a fee from the trust, for its services as registrar and dividend-disbursing agent. The agreement provides that the trust will pay MFSC an account maintenance fee of no more than \$9.00 and a dividend services fee of \$0.75 per reinvestment. For the year ended October 31, 2005, these fees amounted to \$151,115. MFSC also receives payment from the trust for out-of-pocket expenses paid by MFSC on behalf of the trust. For the year ended October 31, 2005, these costs amounted to \$86,537.

ADMINISTRATOR - MFS provides certain financial, legal, shareholder communications, compliance, and other administrative services to certain funds for which MFS acts as investment adviser. Under an administrative services agreement, the funds may partially reimburse MFS the costs incurred to provide

these services, subject to review and approval by the Board of Trustees. Each fund is charged a fixed amount plus a fee based on calendar year average net assets. Effective July 1, 2005, the fund's annual fixed amount is \$10,000. The administrative services fee incurred for the year ended October 31, 2005 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.01% of the trust's average daily net assets.

TRUSTEES' AND OFFICERS' COMPENSATION - The trust pays compensation to Independent Trustees in the form of a retainer, attendance fees, and additional compensation to Board and Committee chairpersons. The trust does not pay compensation directly to Trustees who are officers of the investment adviser, or to officers of the trust, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the trust from MFS. Certain officers and Trustees of the trust are officers or directors of MFS and MFSC. The trust has an unfunded, defined benefit plan for retired Independent Trustees which resulted in a pension expense of \$18,991. The fund also has an unfunded retirement benefit deferral plan for certain current Independent Trustees which resulted in an expense of \$803. Both amounts are included in Independent trustees' compensation for the year ended October 31, 2005.

DEFERRED TRUSTEE COMPENSATION - Under a Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan) Independent Trustees previously were allowed to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual compensation. Trustees are no longer allowed to defer compensation under the Plan. Amounts previously deferred are treated as though equivalent dollar amounts had been invested in shares of the trust or other MFS funds selected by the Trustee. Deferred amounts represent an unsecured obligation of the trust until distributed in accordance with the Plan. Included in other assets, and accrued expenses and other liabilities, is \$16,358 of Deferred Trustees' Compensation.

OTHER - This trust and certain other MFS funds (the funds) have entered into a services agreement (the Agreement) which provides for payment of fees by the funds to Tarantino LLC in return for the provision of services of an Independent Chief Compliance Officer (ICCO) for the funds. The ICCO is an officer of the funds and the sole member of Tarantino LLC. MFS has agreed to reimburse the fund for a portion of the payments made by the funds to Tarantino LLC in the amount of \$4,138, which is shown as a reduction of total expenses in the Statement of Operations. Additionally, MFS has agreed to bear all expenses associated with office space, other administrative support, and supplies provided to the ICCO. The funds can terminate the Agreement with Tarantino LLC at any time under the terms of the Agreement.

#### (4) PORTFOLIO SECURITIES

Purchases and sales of investments, other than purchased option transactions and short-term obligations, were as follows:

	PURCHASES	SALES
U.S. government securities	\$177,139,718	\$248,612,274
Investments (non-U.S. government securities)	\$317,084,002	\$285,045,474

The cost and unrealized appreciation and depreciation in the value of the investments owned by the trust, as computed on a federal income tax basis, are as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$883,903,043
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$3,447,137
Gross unrealized depreciation	(49,599,367)

Net unrealized depreciation \$(46,152,230)

## (5) SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST

The trust's Declaration of Trust permits the Trustees to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest. The Trustees have authorized the repurchase by the trust of up to 10% annually of its own shares of beneficial interest. The trust repurchased and retired 3,617,900 shares of beneficial interest during the year ended October 31, 2005 at an average price per share of \$6.48 and a weighted average discount of 10.17% per share. The trust repurchased and retired 2,927,500 shares of beneficial interest during the year ended October 31, 2004 at an average price per share of \$6.53 and a weighted average discount of 10.01% per share. Transactions in trust shares were as follows:

Year	ended 10/31/05	Year	ended 10/31/04
SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT

Treasury shares

reacquired (3,617,900) \$(23,430,508) (2,927,500) \$(19,130,079)

#### (6) LINE OF CREDIT

The trust and other affiliated funds participate in a \$1 billion unsecured line of credit provided by a syndication of banks under a credit agreement. Borrowings may be made for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, at a rate equal to the Federal Reserve funds rate plus 0.35%. In addition, a commitment fee, based on the average daily, unused portion of the line of credit, is allocated among the participating funds at the end of each calendar quarter. The commitment fee allocated to the trust for the year ended October 31, 2005 was \$5,003, and is included in miscellaneous expense. The trust had no significant borrowings during the year ended October 31, 2005.

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and the Shareholders of MFS Intermediate Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of MFS Intermediate Income Trust (the "Trust") as of October 31, 2005, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Trust's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Trust is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence

supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2005, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements and financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MFS Intermediate Income Trust as of October 31, 2005, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and its financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts December 22, 2005

#### TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS -- IDENTIFICATION AND BACKGROUND

The Trustees and officers of the Trust, as of December 2, 2005, are listed below, together with their principal occupations during the past five years. (Their titles may have varied during that period.) The address of each Trustee and officer is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

NAME, DATE OF BIRTH	POSITION(s) HELD WITH FUND		
INTERESTED TRUSTEES Robert J. Manning(3) (born 10/20/63)	Trustee	February 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Chief Executive O President, Chief Investmen Officer and Director
Robert C. Pozen(3) (born 08/08/46)	Trustee	February 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Chairman (since F 2004); Harvard Law School (education), John Olin Vis Professor (since July 2002 Secretary of Economic Affa Commonwealth of Massachuse (January 2002 to December Fidelity Investments, Vice Chairman (June 2000 to Dec 2001); Fidelity Management Research Company (investme adviser), President (March July 2001); Bell Canada Enterprises (telecommunica Director; Medtronic, Inc. technology), Director; Tel (satellite communications)

Director

INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES			
J. Atwood Ives (born 05/01/36)	Trustee and Chair of Trustees	February 1992	Private investor; Eastern Enterprises (diversified s company), Chairman, Truste Chief Executive Officer (u November 2000)
Lawrence H. Cohn, M.D. (born 03/11/37)	Trustee	August 1993	Brigham and Women's Hospit Senior Cardiac Surgeon, Ch Cardiac Surgery (until 200 Harvard Medical School, Pr of Surgery; Brigham and Wo Hospital Physicians' Organ Chair (2000 to 2004)
David H. Gunning (born 05/30/42)	Trustee	January 2004	Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. (min products and service provi Vice Chairman/Director (si April 2001); Encinitos Ven (private investment compan Principal (1997 to April 2 Lincoln Electric Holdings, (welding equipment manufac Director
William R. Gutow (born 09/27/41)	Trustee	December 1993	Private investor and real consultant; Capitol Entert Management Company (video franchise), Vice Chairman
Michael Hegarty (born 12/21/44)	Trustee	December 2004	Retired; AXA Financial (fi services and insurance), V Chairman and Chief Operati Officer (until May 2001); Equitable Life Assurance S (insurance), President and Operating Officer (until M
Amy B. Lane (born 02/08/53)	Trustee	January 2004	Retired; Merrill Lynch & C Inc., Managing Director, Investment Banking Group ( February 2001); Borders Gr Inc. (book and music retai Director; Federal Realty Investment Trust (real est investment trust), Trustee
Lawrence T. Perera (born 06/23/35)	Trustee	July 1981	Hemenway & Barnes (attorne Partner
J. Dale Sherratt (born 09/23/38)	Trustee	August 1993	Insight Resources, Inc. (acquisition planning specialists), President; W Investments (investor in h care companies), Managing Partner (since 1993); Camb Nutraceuticals (profession nutritional products), Chi Executive Officer (until M
Laurie J. Thomsen (born 08/05/57)	Trustee	March 2005	Private investor; Prism Ve Partners (venture capital)

OFFICERS			founder and General Partne June 2004); St. Paul Trave Companies (commercial prop liability insurance), Dire
Maria F. Dwyer(3) (born 12/01/58)	President	November 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Executive Vice Pr and Chief Regulatory Offic (since March 2004); Fideli Management & Research Comp Vice President (prior to M 2004); Fidelity Group of F President and Treasurer (p March 2004)
Tracy Atkinson(3) (born 12/30/64)	Treasurer	September 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Senior Vice Presi (since September 2004); PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Partner (prior to Septembe
Christopher R. Bohane(3) (born 1/18/74)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President an Counsel (since April 2003) Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP firm), Associate (prior to 2003); Nvest Services Comp Assistant Vice President a Associate Counsel (prior t January 2001)
Jeffrey N. Carp(3) (born 12/19/56)	Secretary and Clerk	September 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Executive Vice Pr General Counsel and Secret (since April 2004); Hale a LLP (law firm), Partner (p April 2004)
Ethan D. Corey(3) (born 11/21/63)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Special Counsel ( December 2004); Dechert LL firm), Counsel (prior to D 2004)
David L. DiLorenzo(3) (born 8/10/68)	Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President (s June 2005); JP Morgan Inve Services, Vice President ( 2001 to June 2005); State Bank, Vice President and C Audit Manager (prior to Ja 2001)
Timothy M. Fagan(3) (born 7/10/68)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	September 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President an Counsel (since September 2 John Hancock Advisers, LLC President and Chief Compli Officer (September 2004 to 2005), Senior Attorney (pr September 2004); John Hanc Group of Funds, Vice Presi

			Chief Compliance Officer (September 2004 to Decembe
Mark D. Fischer(3) (born 10/27/70)	Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President (s 2005); JP Morgan Investmen Management Company, Vice P (prior to May 2005)
Brian T. Hourihan(3) (born 11/11/64)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	September 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President, S Counsel and Assistant Secr (since June 2004); Affilia Managers Group, Inc., Chie Officer/Centralized Compli Program (January to April Fidelity Research & Manage Company, Assistant General (prior to January 2004)
Ellen Moynihan(3) (born 11/13/57)	Assistant Treasurer	April 1997	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President
Susan S. Newton(3) (born 03/07/50)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	May 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Senior Vice Presi Associate General Counsel April 2005); John Hancock Advisers, LLC, Senior Vice President, Secretary and C Legal Officer (prior to Ap 2005); John Hancock Group Funds, Senior Vice Preside Secretary and Chief Legal (prior to April 2005)
Susan A. Pereira(3) (born 11/05/70)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President an Counsel (since June 2004); McCutchen LLP (law firm), Associate (January 2001 to 2004); Preti, Flaherty, Be Pachios & Haley, LLC, Asso (prior to January 2001)
Frank L. Tarantino (born 03/07/44)	Independent Chief Compliance Officer	June 2004	Tarantino LLC (provider of compliance services), Prin (since June 2004); CRA Bus Strategies Group (consulti services), Executive Vice President (April 2003 to J 2004); David L. Babson & C (investment adviser), Mana Director, Chief Administra Officer and Director (Febr 1997 to March 2003)
James O. Yost(3) (born 06/12/60)	Assistant Treasurer	September 1990	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Senior Vice Presi

<sup>(1)</sup> Date first appointed to serve as Trustee/officer of an MFS fund. Each Trustee has served continuously since appointment unless indicated otherwise.

- (2) Directorships or trusteeships of companies required to report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (i.e., "public companies").
- (3) "Interested person" of the Trust within the meaning of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (referred to as the 1940 Act), which is the principal federal law governing investment compan like the fund, as a result of position with MFS. The address of MFS is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

The Trust holds annual shareholder meetings for the purpose of electing Trustees, and Trustees are elected for fixed terms. The Board of Trustees is currently divided into three classes, each having a term of three years.

Each year the term of one class expires. Each Trustee's term of office expires on the date of the third annual meeting following the election to office of the Trustee's class. Each Trustee has be elected by shareholders and each Trustee and officer will serve until next elected or his or her earlier death, resignation, retirement or removal.

Messrs. Ives and Sherratt and Mses. Lane and Thomsen are members of the Trust's Audit Committee.

Each of the Trust's Trustees and officers holds comparable positions with certain other funds of which MFS or a subsidiary is the investment adviser or distributor, and, in the case of the officers, with certain affiliates of MFS. As of December 31, 2004, each Trustee served as a board member of 99 funds within the MFS Family of Funds.

The Statement of Additional Information for the Trust and further information about the Trustees available without charge upon request by calling 1-800-225-2606.

On April 20, 2005, Robert J. Manning, as Chief Executive Officer of the Trust, certified to the NY York Stock Exchange that as of the date of his certification he was not aware of any violation by the Trust of the corporate governance listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Trust filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the certifications of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003 as an exhibit to the Trust's Form N-CSR for the period covered by this report.

-----

INVESTMENT ADVISER

Massachusetts Financial Services Company
500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA
02116-3741

PORTFOLIO MANAGER James J. Calmas CUSTODIANS
State Street Bank and Trust Company
225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110

JP Morgan Chase Bank One Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, New York 10081

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC
ACCOUNTING FIRM
Deloitte & Touche LLP
200 Berkeley Street, Boston, MA 02116

## BOARD REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that both the full Board of Trustees and a majority of the non-interested ("independent") Trustees, voting separately, annually approve the continuation of MFS Intermediate Income Trust's (the "Fund's") investment advisory agreement with MFS. The Trustees consider matters bearing on the Fund and its advisory arrangements at their meetings throughout the year, including a review of performance data at each regular meeting. In addition, the independent Trustees met several times over the course of three months beginning in May and ending in July, 2005 ("contract review meetings") for the specific purpose of considering whether

to approve the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for the Fund and the other investment companies that the Board oversees (the "MFS Funds"). The independent Trustees were assisted in their evaluation of the Fund's investment advisory agreement by independent legal counsel, from whom they received separate legal advice and with whom they met separately from MFS during various contract review meetings. The independent Trustees were also assisted in this process by the MFS Funds' Independent Chief Compliance Officer, a full-time senior officer appointed by and reporting to the independent Trustees.

In connection with their deliberations regarding the continuation of the investment advisory agreement, the Trustees, including the independent Trustees, considered such information and factors as they believed, in light of the legal advice furnished to them and their own business judgment, to be relevant. The investment advisory agreement for the Fund was considered separately, although the Trustees also took into account the common interests of all MFS Funds in their review. As described below, the Trustees considered the nature, quality, and extent of the various investment advisory, administrative, and shareholder services performed by MFS under the existing investment advisory agreement and other arrangements with the Fund.

In connection with their contract review meetings, the Trustees received and relied upon materials which included, among other items: (i) information provided by Lipper Inc. on the investment performance (based on net asset value) of the Fund for various time periods ended December 31, 2004 and the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds with substantially similar investment classifications/objectives (the "Lipper performance universe"), as well as the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds identified by objective criteria suggested by MFS ("peer funds"), (ii) information provided by Lipper Inc. on the Fund's advisory fees and other expenses and the advisory fees and other expenses of comparable funds identified by Lipper (the "Lipper expense group"), as well as the advisory fees and other expenses of peer funds identified by objective criteria suggested by MFS, (iii) information provided by MFS on the advisory fees of comparable portfolios of other clients of MFS, including institutional separate account and other clients, (iv) information as to whether and to what extent applicable expense waivers, reimbursements or fee "breakpoints" are observed for the Fund, (v) information regarding MFS' financial results and financial condition, including MFS' and certain of its affiliates' estimated profitability from services performed for the Fund and the MFS Funds as a whole, (vi) MFS' views regarding the outlook for the mutual fund industry and the strategic business plans of MFS, (vii) descriptions of various functions performed by MFS for the Funds, such as compliance monitoring and portfolio trading practices, and (viii) information regarding the overall organization of MFS, including information about MFS' senior management and other personnel providing investment advisory, administrative and other services to the Fund and the other MFS Funds. The comparative performance, fee and expense information prepared and provided by Lipper Inc. was not independently verified and the independent Trustees did not independently verify any information provided to them by MFS.

The Trustees' conclusion as to the continuation of the investment advisory agreement was based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees' deliberations are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently from one another, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for the Fund and other MFS Funds are the result of years of review and discussion between the independent Trustees and MFS, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than others, and that the Trustees' conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of

these same arrangements during the course of the year and in prior years.

Based on information provided by Lipper Inc. and MFS, the Trustees reviewed the Fund's total return investment performance as well as the performance of peer groups of funds over various time periods. The Trustees placed particular emphasis on the total return performance of the Fund's common shares in comparison to the performance of funds in its Lipper performance universe over the three-year period ended December 31, 2004, which the Trustees believed was a long enough period to reflect differing market conditions. The Fund's performance was in the  $94\t/h$  percentile relative to the other funds in the universe for this three-year period (the  $1\s/\t$ ) percentile being the best performers and the  $100\t/h$  percentile being the worst performers). The total return performance of the Fund's common shares was in the  $95\t/h$  percentile for the one-year period and the  $72\n/d$  percentile for the five-year period ended December 31, 2004 relative to the Lipper performance universe. Because of the passage of time, these performance results are likely to differ from the performance results for more recent periods, including those shown elsewhere in this report.

In the course of their deliberations, the Trustees took into account information provided by MFS in connection with the contract review meetings, as well as during investment review meetings conducted with portfolio management personnel during the course of the year. Among other information, the Trustees took into account information from MFS identifying differences between the Fund and other funds in its Lipper performance universe that adversely affected the Fund's relative performance results, including that the Fund does not employ financial leverage, and also reviewed the Fund's performance in comparison to other benchmarks developed by MFS. After reviewing these and related factors, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that they were satisfied with MFS' responses and efforts relating to investment performance.

In assessing the reasonableness of the Fund's advisory fee, the Trustees considered, among other information, the Fund's advisory fee and the total expense ratio of the Fund's common shares as a percentage of average net assets and the advisory fee and total expense ratios of peer groups of funds based on information provided by Lipper Inc. and MFS. The Trustees considered whether the Fund was subject to any fee waivers or reductions or expense limitations. The Trustees also considered that, according to the Lipper data, the Fund's effective advisory fee rate and the Fund's total expense ratio each were approximately at the Lipper expense group median.

The Trustees also considered the advisory fees charged by MFS to institutional accounts. In comparing these fees, the Trustees considered information provided by MFS as to the generally broader scope of services provided by MFS to the Fund in comparison to institutional accounts and the impact on MFS and expenses associated with the more extensive regulatory regime to which the Fund is subject in comparison to institutional accounts.

The Trustees considered that, as a closed-end fund, the Fund is unlikely to experience meaningful asset growth. As a result, the Trustees did not view the potential for realization of economies of scale as the Fund's assets grow to be a material factor in their deliberations. The Trustees noted that they would consider economies of scale in the future in the event the Fund experiences significant asset growth, such as through an offering of preferred shares (which is not currently contemplated) or a material increase in the market value of the Fund's portfolio securities.

The Trustees also considered information prepared by MFS relating to MFS' costs and profits with respect to the Fund, the MFS Funds considered as a group, and other investment companies and accounts advised by MFS, as well as MFS' methodologies used to determine and allocate its costs to the MFS

Funds, the Fund and other accounts and products for purposes of estimating profitability.

After reviewing these and other factors described herein, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that the advisory fees charged to the Fund represent reasonable compensation in light of the nature and quality of the services being provided by MFS to the Fund.

In addition, the Trustees considered MFS' resources and related efforts to continue to retain, attract and motivate capable personnel to serve the Fund. The Trustees also considered current and developing conditions in the financial services industry, including the entry into the industry of large and well-capitalized companies which are spending, and appear to be prepared to continue to spend, substantial sums to engage personnel and to provide services to competing investment companies. In this regard, the Trustees also considered the financial resources of MFS and its ultimate parent, Sun Life Financial Inc. The Trustees also considered the advantages and possible disadvantages to the Fund of having an adviser which also serves other investment companies as well as other accounts.

The Trustees also considered the nature, quality, cost, and extent of administrative services provided to the Fund by MFS under agreements other than the investment advisory agreement. The Trustees also considered the nature, extent and quality of certain other services MFS performs or arranges for on the Fund's behalf, including securities lending programs, directed expense payment programs, class action recovery programs, and MFS' interaction with third-party service providers, principally custodians and sub-custodians. The Trustees concluded that the various non-advisory services provided by MFS and its affiliates on behalf of the Funds were satisfactory.

The Trustees also considered benefits to MFS from the use of the Fund's portfolio brokerage commissions to pay for research and other similar services (including MFS' general policy to pay directly for third-party research), and various other factors. Additionally, the Trustees considered so-called "fall-out benefits" to MFS such as reputational value derived from serving as investment manager to the Fund.

Based on their evaluation of factors that they deemed to be material, including those factors described above, the Board of Trustees, including a majority of the independent Trustees, concluded that the Fund's investment advisory agreement with MFS should be continued for an additional one-year period, commencing August 1, 2005.

A discussion regarding the Board's most recent review and renewal of the Fund's investment advisory agreement will be available on or before December 1, 2005 by visiting the Closed-End section of the MFS Web site (mfs.com).

#### PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION

A general description of the MFS funds' proxy voting policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-225-2606, by visiting the Proxy Voting section of mfs.com or by visiting the SEC's Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available without charge by visiting the Proxy Voting section of mfs.com or by visiting the SEC's Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

**OUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE** 

The fund will file a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund's Form N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the:

Public Reference Room Securities and Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549-0102

Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The fund's Form N-Q is available on the EDGAR database on the Commission's Internet Web site at http://www.sec.gov, and copies of this information may be obtained, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing the Public Reference Section at the above address.

A shareholder can also obtain the quarterly portfolio holdings report at  ${\sf mfs.com.}$ 

FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION (unaudited)

In January 2006, shareholders will be mailed a Form 1099-DIV reporting the federal tax status of all distributions paid during the calendar year 2005.

CONTACT INFORMATION AND NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

INVESTOR INFORMATION

Transfer Agent, Registrar and Dividend Disbursing Agent

Call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time

Write to: State Street Bank and Trust Company

c/o MFS Service Center, Inc.

P.O. Box 55024

Boston, MA 02205-5024

NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

As of October 31, 2005, our records indicate that there are 7,343 registered shareholders and approximately 53,541 shareholders owning trust shares in "street" name, such as through brokers, banks, and other financial intermediaries.

If you are a "street" name shareholder and wish to directly receive our reports, which contain important information about the trust, please write or call:

State Street Bank and Trust Company c/o MFS Service Center, Inc. P.O. Box 55024
Boston, MA 02205-5024
1-800-637-2304

M F S (SM)
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

(C) 2005 MFS Investment Management (R)

500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116.

MIN-ANN-12/05 72M

#### ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

The Registrant has adopted a Code of Ethics pursuant to Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and as defined in Form N-CSR that applies to the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. The Registrant has amended its Code of Ethics to reflect that the Registrant's Principal Financial Officer and Principal Executive Officer have changed.

#### ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Mr. J. Atwood Ives and Mses. Amy B. Lane and Laurie J. Thomsen, members of the Audit Committee, have been determined by the Board of Trustees in their reasonable business judgment to meet the definition of "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined in Form N-CSR. In addition, Mr. Ives and Mses. Lane and Thomsen are "independent" members of the Audit Committee as defined in Form N-CSR.

#### ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

#### ITEMS 4(a) THROUGH 4(d) AND 4(g):

The Board of Trustees has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte") to serve as independent accountants to the Registrant (hereinafter the "Registrant" or the "Fund"). The tables below set forth the audit fees billed to the Fund as well as fees for non-audit services provided to the Fund and/or to the Fund's investment adviser, Massachusetts Financial Services Company ("MFS") and to various entities either controlling, controlled by, or under common control with MFS that provide ongoing services to the Fund ("MFS Related Entities").

For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, audit fees billed to the Fund by Deloitte were as follows:

		Audit Fees
FEES BILLED BY DELOITTE:	2005	2004
MFS Intermediate Income	Trust \$44,663	\$40,375

For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, fees billed by Deloitte for audit-related, tax and other services provided to the Funds and for audit-related, tax and other services provided to MFS and MFS Related Entities were as follows:

DDEC DILLED DV DELOISSE	Audit-Related Fees(1)		Tax Fees(2)	
FEES BILLED BY DELOITTE:	2005	2004	2005	2004
To MFS Intermediate Income Trust	\$20,900	\$0	\$9,900	\$9,700
To MFS and MFS Related Entities of MFS Intermediate Income Trust*	\$959,191	\$928 <b>,</b> 350	\$62,000	\$35,000

AGGREGATE FEES FOR NON-AUDIT SERVICES:

2005 2004

To MFS Intermediate Income Trust, MFS and MFS Related Entities#

\$1,930,562 \$1,041,550

- \* This amount reflects the fees billed to MFS and MFS Related Entities for non-audit services reperations and financial reporting of the Fund (portions of which services also related to the financial reporting of other funds within the MFS Funds complex).
- # This amount reflects the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for non-audit services rendered to non-audit services rendered to MFS and the MFS Related Entities.

  1) The fees included under "Audit-Related Fees" are fees related to assurance and related services.
- (1) The fees included under "Audit-Related Fees" are fees related to assurance and related service related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements, but not reported us including accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports, attestation reports, comforced control reviews.
- (2) The fees included under "Tax Fees" are fees associated with tax compliance, tax advice and tax services relating to the filing or amendment of federal, state or local income tax returns, recompany qualification reviews and tax distribution and analysis.
- (3) The fees included under "All Other Fees" are fees for products and services provided by Deloi reported under "Audit Fees," "Audit-Related Fees" and "Tax Fees", including fees for services refunds, consultation on internal cost allocations, consultation on allocation of monies purs administrative proceeding regarding disclosure of brokerage allocation practices in connectic analysis of certain portfolio holdings verses investment styles.

For periods prior to May 6, 2003, the amounts shown above under "Audit-Related Fees," "Tax Fees" and "All Other Fees" relate to permitted non-audit services that would have been subject to pre-approval if the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules relating to pre-approval of non-audit services had been in effect.

#### ITEM 4(e)(1):

Set forth below are the policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees relating to the pre-approval of audit and non-audit related services: To the extent required by applicable law, pre-approval by the Audit Committee of the Board is needed for all audit and permissible non-audit services rendered to the Funds and all permissible non-audit services rendered to MFS or MFS Related Entities if the services relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant. Pre-approval is currently on an engagement-by-engagement basis. In the event pre-approval of such services is necessary between regular meetings of the Audit Committee and it is not practical to wait to seek pre-approval at the next regular meeting of the Audit Committee, pre-approval of such services may be referred to the Chair of the Audit Committee for approval; provided that the Chair may not pre-approve any individual engagement for such services exceeding \$50,000 or multiple engagements for such services in the aggregate exceeding \$100,000 between such regular meetings of the Audit Committee. Any engagement pre-approved by the Chair between regular meetings of the Audit Committee shall be presented for ratification by the entire Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

#### ITEM 4(e)(2):

None, or 0%, of the services relating to the Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees paid by the Fund and MFS and MFS Related Entities relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant disclosed above were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraphs (c) (7) (i) (C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (which permits audit committee approval after

the start of the engagement with respect to services other than audit, review or attest services, if certain conditions are satisfied).

ITEM 4(f): Not applicable.

ITEM 4(h): The Registrant's Audit Committee has considered whether the provision by a Registrant's independent registered public accounting firm of non-audit services to MFS and MFS Related Entities that were not pre-approved by the Committee (because such services were provided prior to the effectiveness of SEC rules requiring pre-approval or because such services did not relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant) was compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm as the Registrant's principal auditors.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The Registrant has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section  $3(a)\ (58)\ (A)$  of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. J. Atwood Ives and J. Dale Sherratt and Mses. Amy B. Lane and Laurie J. Thomsen.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

A schedule of investments of the Registrant is included as part of the report to shareholders of the Registrant under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The Board of Trustees and the Board of Managers of the investment companies (the "MFS Funds") advised by Massachusetts Financial Services Company ("MFS") have delegated to MFS the right and obligation to vote proxies for shares that are owned by the MFS Funds, in accordance with MFS' proxy voting policies and procedures (the "MFS Proxy Policies"). The MFS Proxy Policies are set forth below:

#### MASSACHUSETTS FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANY

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

SEPTEMBER 17, 2003, AS REVISED ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2004 AND MARCH 15, 2005

Massachusetts Financial Services Company, MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc. and MFS' other investment adviser subsidiaries (collectively, "MFS") have adopted proxy voting policies and procedures, as set forth below, with respect to securities owned by the clients for which MFS serves as investment adviser and has the power to vote proxies, including the registered investment companies sponsored by MFS, other than the MFS Union Standard Equity Fund (the "MFS Funds"). References to "clients" in these policies and procedures include the MFS Funds and other clients of MFS, such as funds organized offshore, sub-advised funds and separate account clients, to the extent these clients have delegated to MFS the responsibility to vote proxies on their behalf under MFS' proxy and voting policies.

These policies and procedures include:

- A. Voting Guidelines;
- B. Administrative Procedures;
- C. Monitoring System;

- D. Records Retention; and
- E. Reports.
- A. VOTING GUIDELINES
- 1. GENERAL POLICY; POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

MFS' policy is that proxy voting decisions are made in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in the interests of any other party or in MFS' corporate interests, including interests such as the distribution of MFS Fund shares, administration of 401(k) plans, and institutional relationships.

MFS has carefully reviewed matters that in recent years have been presented for shareholder vote by either management or shareholders of public companies. Based on the overall principle that all votes cast by MFS on behalf of its clients must be in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of such clients, MFS has adopted proxy voting guidelines, set forth below, that govern how MFS generally plans to vote on specific matters presented for shareholder vote. In all cases, MFS will exercise its discretion in voting on these matters in accordance with this overall principle. In other words, the underlying guidelines are simply that - guidelines. Proxy items of significance are often considered on a case-by-case basis, in light of all relevant facts and circumstances, and in certain cases MFS may vote proxies in a manner different from these guidelines.

As a general matter, MFS maintains a consistent voting position on similar proxy proposals with respect to various issuers. In addition, MFS generally votes consistently on the same matter when securities of an issuer are held by multiple client accounts. However, MFS recognizes that there are gradations in certain types of proposals that might result in different voting positions being taken with respect to different proxy statements. There also may be situations involving matters presented for shareholder vote that are not clearly governed by the guidelines, such as proposed mergers and acquisitions. Some items that otherwise would be acceptable will be voted against the proponent when it is seeking extremely broad flexibility without offering a valid explanation. MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines with respect to a particular shareholder vote when such an override is, in MFS' best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients.

From time to time, MFS receives comments on these guidelines as well as regarding particular voting issues from its clients and corporate issuers. These comments are carefully considered by MFS, when it reviews these guidelines each year and revises them as appropriate.

These policies and procedures are intended to address any potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its affiliates that are likely to arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS' clients. If such potential conflicts of interest do arise, MFS will analyze, document and report on such potential conflicts (see Sections B.2 and E below), and shall ultimately vote these proxies in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of its clients. The MFS Proxy Review Group is responsible for monitoring and reporting with respect to such potential conflicts of interest.

2. MFS' POLICY ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

MFS believes that good governance should be based on a board with a majority of directors who are "independent" of management, and whose key committees (e.g. compensation, nominating, and audit committees) are comprised entirely of "independent" directors. While MFS generally supports the board's nominees in uncontested elections, we will withhold our vote for a nominee for a board of a U.S. issuer if, as a result of such nominee being elected to the board, the board would be comprised of a majority of members who are not "independent" or, alternatively, the compensation, nominating or audit committees would include members who are not "independent." MFS will also withhold its vote for a nominee to the board if he or she failed to attend at least 75% of the board meetings in the previous year without a valid reason. In addition, MFS will withhold its vote for all nominees standing for election to a board of a U.S. issuer: (1) if, since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval, the board or its compensation committee has repriced underwater options; or (2) if, within the last year, shareholders approved by majority vote a resolution recommending that the board rescind a "poison pill" and the board has failed to take responsive action to that resolution. Responsive action would include the rescission of the "poison pill" (without a broad reservation to reinstate the "poison pill" in the event of a hostile tender offer), or public assurances that the terms of the "poison pill" would be put to a binding shareholder vote within the next five to seven years.

MFS evaluates a contested election of directors on a case-by-case basis considering the long-term financial performance of the company relative to its industry, management's track record, the qualifications of the nominees for both slates and an evaluation of what each side is offering shareholders.

#### CLASSIFIED BOARDS

MFS opposes proposals to classify a board (e.g., a board in which only one-third of board members are elected each year). MFS supports proposals to declassify a board.

#### NON-SALARY COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

Restricted stock plans are supposed to reward results rather than tenure, so the issuance of restricted stock at bargain prices is not favored. In some cases, restricted stock is granted to the recipient at deep discounts to fair market value, sometimes at par value. The holder cannot sell for a period of years, but in the meantime the holder is able to vote and receive dividends. Eventually the restrictions lapse and the stock can be sold by the holder.

MFS votes against stock option programs for officers, employees or non-employee directors that do not require an investment by the optionee, that give "free rides" on the stock price, or that permit grants of stock options with an exercise price below fair market value on the date the options are granted.

MFS opposes stock option programs that allow the board or the compensation committee, without shareholder approval, to reprice underwater options or to automatically replenish shares (i.e., evergreen plans). MFS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals to exchange existing options for newly issued options (taking into account such factors as whether there is a reasonable value-for-value exchange).

MFS opposes stock option and restricted stock plans that provide unduly generous compensation for officers, directors or employees, or could result in excessive dilution to other shareholders. As a general guideline, MFS votes against stock option and restricted stock plans if all such plans for a particular company involve potential dilution, in the aggregate, of more than 15%. However, MFS may accept a higher percentage (up to 20%) in the case of

startup or small companies which cannot afford to pay large salaries to executives, or in the case where MFS, based upon the issuer's public disclosures, believes that the issuer has been responsible with respect to its recent compensation practices, including the mix of the issuance of restricted stock and options.

MFS votes in favor of stock option or restricted stock plans for non-employee directors as long as they satisfy the requirements set forth above with respect to stock option and restricted stock plans for company executives.

### EXPENSING OF STOCK OPTIONS

While we acknowledge that there is no agreement on a uniform methodology for expensing stock options, MFS supports shareholder proposals to expense stock options because we believe that the expensing of options presents a more accurate picture of the company's financial results to investors. We also believe that companies are likely to be more disciplined when granting options if the value of stock options were treated as an expense item on the company's income statements.

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

MFS believes that competitive compensation packages are necessary to attract, motivate and retain executives. Therefore, MFS opposes shareholder proposals that seek to set limits on executive compensation. Shareholder proposals seeking to set limits on executive compensation tend to specify arbitrary compensation criteria. MFS also opposes shareholder requests for disclosure on executive compensation beyond regulatory requirements because we believe that current regulatory requirements for disclosure of executive compensation are appropriate and that additional disclosure is often unwarranted and costly. Although we support linking executive stock option grants to a company's stock performance, MFS opposes shareholder proposals that mandate a link of performance-based options to a specific industry or peer group index. MFS believes that compensation committees should retain the flexibility to propose the appropriate index or other criteria by which performance-based options should be measured. MFS evaluates other executive compensation restrictions (e.g., terminating the company's stock option or restricted stock programs, freezing executive pay during periods of large layoffs, and establishing a maximum ratio between the highest paid executive and lowest paid employee) based on whether such proposals are in the best long-term economic interests of our clients.

### EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS

MFS supports the use of a broad-based employee stock purchase plans to increase company stock ownership by employees, provided that shares purchased under the plan are acquired for no less than 85% of their market value and do not result in excessive dilution.

### "GOLDEN PARACHUTES"

From time to time, shareholders of companies have submitted proxy proposals that would require shareholder approval of severance packages for executive officers that exceed certain predetermined thresholds. MFS votes in favor of such shareholder proposals when they would require shareholder approval of any severance package for an executive officer that exceeds a certain multiple of such officer's annual compensation that is not determined in MFS' judgment to be excessive.

### ANTI-TAKEOVER MEASURES

In general, MFS votes against any measure that inhibits capital

appreciation in a stock, including proposals that protect management from action by shareholders. These types of proposals take many forms, ranging from "poison pills" and "shark repellents" to super-majority requirements.

MFS will vote for proposals to rescind existing "poison pills" and proposals that would require shareholder approval to adopt prospective "poison pills." Nevertheless, MFS will consider supporting the adoption of a prospective "poison pill" or the continuation of an existing "poison pill" if the following two conditions are met: (1) the "poison pill" allows MFS clients to hold an aggregate position of up to 15% of a company's total voting securities (and of any class of voting securities); and (2) either (a) the "poison pill" has a term of not longer than five years, provided that MFS will consider voting in favor of the "poison pill" if the term does not exceed seven years and the "poison pill" is linked to a business strategy or purpose that MFS believes is likely to result in greater value for shareholders; or (b) the terms of the "poison pill" allow MFS clients the opportunity to accept a fairly structured and attractively priced tender offer (e.g., a "chewable poison pill" that automatically dissolves in the event of an all cash, all shares tender offer at a premium price).

MFS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals designed to prevent tenders which are disadvantageous to shareholders such as tenders at below market prices and tenders for substantially less than all shares of an issuer.

### REINCORPORATION AND REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS

When presented with a proposal to reincorporate a company under the laws of a different state, or to effect some other type of corporate reorganization, MFS considers the underlying purpose and ultimate effect of such a proposal in determining whether or not to support such a measure. While MFS generally votes in favor of management proposals that it believes are in the best long-term economic interests of its clients, MFS may oppose such a measure if, for example, the intent or effect would be to create additional inappropriate impediments to possible acquisitions or takeovers.

### ISSUANCE OF STOCK

There are many legitimate reasons for issuance of stock. Nevertheless, as noted above under "Non-Salary Compensation Programs", when a stock option plan (either individually or when aggregated with other plans of the same company) would substantially dilute the existing equity (e.g., by approximately 15% or more), MFS generally votes against the plan. In addition, MFS votes against proposals where management is asking for authorization to issue common or preferred stock with no reason stated (a "blank check") because the unexplained authorization could work as a potential anti-takeover device.

## REPURCHASE PROGRAMS

MFS supports proposals to institute share repurchase plans in which all shareholders have the opportunity to participate on an equal basis. Such plans may include a company acquiring its own shares on the open market, or a company making a tender offer to its own shareholders.

### CONFIDENTIAL VOTING

MFS votes in favor of proposals to ensure that shareholder voting results are kept confidential. For example, MFS supports proposals that would prevent management from having access to shareholder voting information that is compiled by an independent proxy tabulation firm.

### CUMULATIVE VOTING

MFS opposes proposals that seek to introduce cumulative voting and for proposals that seek to eliminate cumulative voting. In either case, MFS will consider whether cumulative voting is likely to enhance the interests of MFS' clients as minority shareholders. In our view, shareholders should provide names of qualified candidates to a company's nominating committee, which now for the first time (for U.S. listed companies) must be comprised solely of "independent" directors.

### WRITTEN CONSENT AND SPECIAL MEETINGS

Because the shareholder right to act by written consent (without calling a formal meeting of shareholders) can be a powerful tool for shareholders, MFS generally opposes proposals that would prevent shareholders from taking action without a formal meeting or would take away a shareholder's right to call a special meeting of company shareholders.

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

MFS believes that the appointment of auditors is best left to the board of directors of the company and therefore supports the ratification of the board's selection of an auditor for the company. Recently, some shareholder groups have submitted proposals to limit the non-audit activities of a company's audit firm. Some proposals would prohibit the provision of any non-audit services by a company's auditors to that company. MFS opposes proposals recommending the prohibition or limitation of the performance of non-audit services by an auditor, and proposals recommending the removal of a company's auditor due to the performance of non-audit work for the company by its auditor. MFS believes that the board, or its audit committee, should have the discretion to hire the company's auditor for specific pieces of non-audit work in the limited situations permitted under current law.

#### BEST PRACTICES STANDARDS

Best practices standards are rapidly developing in the corporate governance areas as a result of recent corporate scandals, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and revised listing standards on major stock exchanges. MFS generally support these developments. However, many issuers are not publicly registered, are not subject to these enhanced listing standards, or are not operating in an environment that is comparable to that in the United States. In reviewing proxy proposals under these circumstances, MFS votes for proposals that enhance standards of corporate governance so long as we believe that - given the circumstances or the environment within which the issuers operate - the proposal is consistent with the best long-term economic interests of our clients.

### FOREIGN ISSUERS - SHARE BLOCKING

In accordance with local law or business practices, many foreign companies prevent the sales of shares that have been voted for a certain period beginning prior to the shareholder meeting and ending on the day following the meeting ("share blocking"). Depending on the country in which a company is domiciled, the blocking period may begin a stated number of days prior to the meeting (e.g., one, three or five days) or on a date established by the company. While practices vary, in many countries the block period can be continued for a longer period if the shareholder meeting is adjourned and postponed to a later date. Similarly, practices vary widely as to the ability of a shareholder to have the "block" restriction lifted early (e.g., in some countries shares generally can be "unblocked" up to two days prior to the meeting whereas in other countries the removal of the block appears to be discretionary with the issuer's transfer agent). Due to these restrictions, MFS must balance the benefits to its clients of voting proxies against the

potentially serious portfolio management consequences of a reduced flexibility to sell the underlying shares at the most advantageous time. For companies in countries with potentially long block periods, the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock regardless of changing conditions generally outweighs the advantages of voting at the shareholder meeting for routine items. Accordingly, MFS generally will not vote those proxies in the absence of an unusual, significant vote. Conversely, for companies domiciled in countries with very short block periods, MFS generally will continue to cast votes in accordance with these policies and procedures.

#### SOCIAL ISSUES

There are many groups advocating social change, and many have chosen the publicly-held corporation as a vehicle for advancing their agenda. Common among these are resolutions requiring the corporation to refrain from investing or conducting business in certain countries, to adhere to some list of goals or principles (e.g., environmental standards) or to promulgate special reports on various activities. MFS votes against such proposals unless their shareholder-oriented benefits will outweigh any costs or disruptions to the business, including those that use corporate resources to further a particular social objective outside the business of the company or when no discernible shareholder economic advantage is evident.

The laws of various states may regulate how the interests of certain clients subject to those laws (e.g., state pension plans) are voted with respect to social issues. Thus, it may be necessary to cast ballots differently for certain clients than MFS might normally do for other clients.

#### B. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

### 1. MFS PROXY REVIEW GROUP

The administration of these policies and procedures is overseen by the MFS Proxy Review Group, which includes senior MFS Legal Department officers and MFS' Proxy Consultant. The MFS Proxy Review Group:

- a. Reviews these policies and procedures at least annually and recommends any amendments considered to be necessary or advisable;
- b. Determines whether any material conflicts of interest exist with respect to instances in which (i) MFS seeks to override these guidelines and (ii) votes not clearly governed by these guidelines; and
- c. Considers special proxy issues as they may arise from time to  $\lim_{t\to\infty}$

The current MFS Proxy Consultant is an independent proxy consultant who performs these services exclusively for MFS.

### 2. POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The MFS Proxy Review Group is responsible for monitoring potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its affiliates that could arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS' clients. Any significant attempt to influence MFS' voting on a particular proxy matter should be reported to the MFS Proxy Review Group. The MFS Proxy Consultant will assist the MFS Proxy Review Group in carrying out these monitoring responsibilities.

In cases where proxies are voted in accordance with these policies and

guidelines, no conflict of interest will be deemed to exist. In cases where (i) MFS is considering overriding these policies and guidelines, or (ii) matters presented for vote are not clearly governed by these policies and guidelines, the MFS Proxy Review Group and the MFS Proxy Consultant will follow these procedures:

- a. Compare the name of the issuer of such proxy against a list of significant current and potential (i) distributors of MFS Fund shares, (ii) retirement plans administered by MFS, and (iii) MFS institutional clients (the "MFS Significant Client List");
- b. If the name of the issuer does not appear on the MFS Significant Client List, then no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist, and the proxy will be voted as otherwise determined by the MFS Proxy Review Group;
- c. If the name of the issuer appears on the MFS Significant Client List, then at least one member of the MFS Proxy Review Group will carefully evaluate the proposed votes in order to ensure that the proxy ultimately is voted in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in MFS' corporate interests; and
- d. For all potential material conflicts of interest identified under clause (c) above, the MFS Proxy Review Group will document: the name of the issuer, the issuer's relationship to MFS, the analysis of the matters submitted for proxy vote, and the basis for the determination that the votes ultimately were cast in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in MFS' corporate interests. A copy of the foregoing documentation will be provided to the MFS' Conflicts Officer.

The members of the MFS Proxy Review Group other than the Proxy Consultant are responsible for creating and maintaining the MFS Significant Client List, in consultation with MFS' distribution, retirement plan administration and institutional business units. The MFS Significant Client List will be reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.

### 3. GATHERING PROXIES

Most proxies received by MFS and its clients originate at Automatic Data Processing Corp. ("ADP") although a few proxies are transmitted to investors by corporate issuers through their custodians or depositories. ADP and issuers send proxies and related material directly to the record holders of the shares beneficially owned by MFS' clients, usually to the client's custodian or, less commonly, to the client itself. This material will include proxy cards, reflecting the proper shareholdings of Funds and of clients on the record dates for such shareholder meetings, as well as proxy statements with the issuer's explanation of the items to be voted upon.

MFS, on behalf of itself and the Funds, has entered into an agreement with an independent proxy administration firm, Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. (the "Proxy Administrator"), pursuant to which the Proxy Administrator performs various proxy vote processing and recordkeeping functions for MFS' Fund and institutional client accounts. The Proxy Administrator does not make recommendations to MFS as to how to vote any particular item. The Proxy Administrator receives proxy statements and proxy cards directly or indirectly from various custodians, logs these materials into its database and matches upcoming meetings with MFS Fund and client portfolio holdings, which are input into the Proxy Administrator's system by an MFS holdings datafeed. Through the use of the Proxy Administrator system, ballots

and proxy material summaries for the upcoming shareholders' meetings of over 10,000 corporations are available on-line to certain MFS employees, the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group.

#### 4. ANALYZING PROXIES

After input into the Proxy Administrator system, proxies which are deemed to be routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (e.g., those involving only uncontested elections of directors and the appointment of auditors) (1) are automatically voted in favor by the Proxy Administrator without being sent to either the MFS Proxy Consultant or the MFS Proxy Review Group for further review. All proxies that are reviewed by either the MFS Proxy Consultant or a portfolio manager or analyst (e.g., those that involve merger or acquisition proposals) are then forwarded with the corresponding recommendation to the MFS Proxy Review Group.(2)

(1) Proxies for foreign companies often contain significantly more voting items than those of U.S. companies. Many of these items on foreign proxies involve repetitive, non-controversial matters that are mandated by local law. Accordingly, the items that are generally deemed routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (and therefore automatically voted in favor) for foreign issuers include the following:

(i) receiving financial statements or other reports from the board; (ii) approval of declarations of dividends; (iii) appointment of shareholders to sign board meeting minutes; (iv) discharge of management and supervisory boards; (v) approval of share repurchase programs; (vi) election of directors in uncontested elections and (vii) appointment of auditors.

(2) From time to time, due to travel schedules and other commitments, an appropriate portfolio manager or research analyst is not available to provide a recommendation on a merger or acquisition proposal. If such a recommendation cannot be obtained within a few business days prior to the shareholder meeting, the MFS Proxy Review Group may determine the vote in what it believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients.

Recommendations with respect to voting on non-routine issues are generally made by the MFS Proxy Consultant in accordance with the policies summarized under "Voting Guidelines," and other relevant materials. His or her recommendation as to how each proxy proposal should be voted, including his or her rationale on significant items, is indicated on copies of proxy cards. These cards are then forwarded to the MFS Proxy Review Group.

As a general matter, portfolio managers and investment analysts have little or no involvement in specific votes taken by MFS. This is designed to promote consistency in the application of MFS' voting guidelines, to promote consistency in voting on the same or similar issues (for the same or for multiple issuers) across all client accounts, and to minimize the potential that proxy solicitors, issuers, or third parties might attempt to exert inappropriate influence on the vote. In limited types of votes (e.g., mergers and acquisitions), the MFS Proxy Consultant or the MFS Proxy Review Group may consult with or seek recommendations from portfolio managers or analysts. But, the MFS Proxy Review Group would ultimately determine the manner in which all proxies are voted.

As noted above, MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines when such an override is, in MFS' best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients. Any such override of the guidelines shall be analyzed, documented and reported in accordance with the procedures set forth in these policies.

## 5. VOTING PROXIES

After the proxy card copies are reviewed, they are voted electronically through the Proxy Administrator's system. In accordance with its contract with MFS, the Proxy Administrator also generates a variety of reports for the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group, and makes available on-line various other types of information so that the MFS Proxy Review Group and the MFS Proxy Consultant may monitor the votes cast by the Proxy Administrator on behalf of MFS' clients.

### C. MONITORING SYSTEM

It is the responsibility of the Proxy Administrator and MFS' Proxy Consultant to monitor the proxy voting process. As noted above, when proxy materials for clients are received, they are forwarded to the Proxy Administrator and are input into the Proxy Administrator's system.

Additionally, through an interface with the portfolio holdings database of MFS, the Proxy Administrator matches a list of all MFS Funds and clients who hold shares of a company's stock and the number of shares held on the record date with the Proxy Administrator's listing of any upcoming shareholder's meeting of that company.

When the Proxy Administrator's system "tickler" shows that the date of a shareholders' meeting is approaching, a Proxy Administrator representative checks that the vote for MFS Funds and clients holding that security has been recorded in the computer system. If a proxy card has not been received from the client's custodian, the Proxy Administrator calls the custodian requesting that the materials be forward immediately. If it is not possible to receive the proxy card from the custodian in time to be voted at the meeting, MFS may instruct the custodian to cast the vote in the manner specified and to mail the proxy directly to the issuer.

### D. RECORDS RETENTION

MFS will retain copies of these policies and procedures in effect from time to time and will retain all proxy voting reports submitted to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds for the period required by applicable law. Proxy solicitation materials, including electronic versions of the proxy cards completed by the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group, together with their respective notes and comments, are maintained in an electronic format by the Proxy Administrator and are accessible on-line by the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group. All proxy voting materials and supporting documentation, including records generated by the Proxy Administrator's system as to proxies processed, the dates when proxies were received and returned, and the votes on each company's proxy issues, are retained as required by applicable law.

### E. REPORTS

#### MFS FUNDS

Annually, MFS will report the results of its voting to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds. These reports will include: (i) a summary of how votes were cast; (ii) a review of situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the guidelines and the rationale therefor; (iii) a review of the procedures used by MFS to identify material conflicts of interest; and (iv) a review of these policies and the guidelines and, as necessary or appropriate, any proposed modifications thereto to reflect new developments in corporate governance and other issues. Based on these reviews, the Trustees and Managers of the MFS Funds will consider possible modifications to these policies to the extent necessary or advisable.

### ALL MFS ADVISORY CLIENTS

At any time, a report can be printed by MFS for each client who has requested that MFS furnish a record of votes cast. The report specifies the proxy issues which have been voted for the client during the year and the position taken with respect to each issue.

Generally, MFS will not divulge actual voting practices to any party other than the client or its representatives (unless required by applicable law) because we consider that information to be confidential and proprietary to the client.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

GENERAL. Information regarding the portfolio manager(s) of the MFS Intermediate Income Trust (the "Fund") is set forth below.

			TITLE AND FIVE YEAR
PORTFOLIO MANAGER	PRIMARY ROLE	SINCE	HISTORY
James J. Calmas	Portfolio Manager	2002	Senior Vice President of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 1988

 ${\tt COMPENSATION.}\ {\tt Portfolio}\ {\tt manager}\ {\tt total}\ {\tt cash}\ {\tt compensation}\ {\tt is}\ {\tt a}\ {\tt combination}\ {\tt of}\ {\tt base}\ {\tt salary}\ {\tt and}\ {\tt performance}\ {\tt bonus:}$ 

- o Base Salary Base salary represents a relatively smaller percentage of portfolio manager total cash compensation (generally below 33%) than incentive compensation.
- o Performance Bonus Generally, incentive compensation represents a majority of portfolio manager total cash compensation. The performance bonus is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors, with more weight given to the former (generally over 60 %) and less weight given to the latter.
  - >> The quantitative portion is based on pre-tax performance of all of the accounts managed by the portfolio manager (which includes the Fund and any other accounts managed by the portfolio manager) over a one-, three- and five-year period relative to the appropriate Lipper peer group universe and/or one or more benchmark indices with respect to each account. The primary weight is given to portfolio performance over a three-year time period with lesser consideration given to portfolio performance over one- and five-year periods (adjusted as appropriate if the portfolio manager has served for shorter periods).
  - >> The qualitative portion is based on the results of an annual internal peer review process (conducted by other portfolio managers, analysts and traders) and management's assessment of overall portfolio manager contributions to the investment process (distinct from portfolio performance).

Portfolio managers also typically benefit from the opportunity to participate in the MFS Equity Plan. Equity interests in MFS or its parent company are awarded by management, on a discretionary basis, taking into account tenure at MFS, contribution to the investment process and other factors.

Finally, portfolio managers are provided with a benefits package including a defined contribution plan, health coverage and other insurance, which are available to other employees of MFS on substantially similar terms. The percentage of compensation provided by these benefits depends upon the length of the individual's tenure at MFS and salary level as well as other factors.

OWNERSHIP OF FUND SHARES. The following table shows the dollar range of equity securities of the Fund beneficially owned by the Fund's portfolio manager as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2005. The following dollar ranges apply:

- N. None
- A. \$1 \$10,000
- B. \$10,001 \$50,000
- C. \$50,001 \$100,000
- D. \$100,001 \$500,000
- E. \$500,001 \$1,000,000
- F. Over \$1,000,000

NAME OF PORTFOLIO MANAGER

DOLLAR RANGE OF EQUITY SECURITIES IN FUND

Ν

James J. Calmas

OTHER ACCOUNTS. In addition to the Fund, the Fund's portfolio manager is responsible (either individually or jointly) for the day-to-day management of certain other accounts, the number and total assets of which as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2005 were as follows:

		REGISTERED INVESTMENT COMPANIES		OTHER POOLED INVESTMENT VEHICLES		OTHER ACC	
NAME	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS*	TOTAL ASSETS*	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS	TOTAL ASSETS	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS	Т	
James J. Calmas	7	\$2.7 billion	4	\$768.1 million	2	_	

<sup>\*</sup> Includes the Fund.

Advisory fees are not based upon performance of any of the accounts identified in the table above.

POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. MFS seek to identify potential conflicts of interest resulting from a portfolio manager's management of both the Fund and other accounts and has adopted policies and procedures designed to address such potential conflicts.

In certain instances there may be securities which are suitable for the Fund's portfolio as well as for accounts with similar investment objectives of the Adviser or subsidiary of the Adviser. Securities transactions for the Fund and other accounts with similar investment objectives are generally executed on the same day, or the next day. Nevertheless, it may develop that a particular security is bought or sold for only one client even though it might be held by, or bought or sold for, other clients. Likewise, a particular security may be bought for one or more clients when one or more other clients are selling that same security.

When two or more clients are simultaneously engaged in the purchase or sale of the same security, the securities are allocated among clients in a manner believed by MFS to be fair and equitable to each. It is recognized that in some cases this system could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security as far as the Fund is concerned. In most cases, however, MFS believes that the Fund's ability to participate in volume transactions will produce

better executions for the Fund.

MFS does not receive a performance fee for its management of the Fund. MFS and/or a portfolio manager may have an incentive to allocate favorable or limited opportunity investments or structure the timing of investments to favor accounts other than the Fund--for instance, those that pay a higher advisory fee and/or have a performance fee.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

MFS INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST						
PERIOD	(A) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED	(B) AVERAGE PRICE PAID PER SHARE	(C) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED AS PART OF PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED PLANS OR PROGRAMS	(D) (C DC SH BE THE		
11/1/04-11/30/04	576 <b>,</b> 500	\$6.51	576 <b>,</b> 500			
12/1/04-12/31/04	714,600	\$6.46	714,600			
1/1/05-1/31/05	317,200	\$6.54	317,200			
2/1/05-2/28/05	119,500	\$6.61	119,500			
3/1/05-3/31/05	441,600	\$6.41	441,600			
4/1/05-4/30/05	359,500	\$6.44	359,500			
05/01/05-05/31/05	234,800	\$6.53	234,800			
06/01/05-06/30/05	139,500	\$6.53	139,500			
07/01/05-07/31/05	129,700	\$6.55	129,700			
08/01/05-08/31/05	287,300	\$6.49	287,300			
09/01/05-09/30/05	59,800	\$6.50	59,800			
10/01/05-10/31/05	237,900	\$6.32	237,900			
TOTAL	3,617,900	\$6.48	3,617,900			

Note: The Board of Trustees approves procedures to repurchase shares annually. The notification to shareholders of the program is part of the semi-annual and annual reports sent to shareholders. These annual programs begin on March 1st of each year. The programs conform to the conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the securities Exchange Act of 1934 and limit the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased in each annual period (March 1 through the following February 28) to 10% of the Registrant's outstanding shares as of the first day of the plan year (March 1). The aggregate number of shares available for purchase for the March 1, 2005 plan year are 12,200,002.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may send recommendations to the Board for nominees to the Registrant's Board since the Registrant last provided disclosure as to such procedures in response to the requirements of Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A.

#### ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) Based upon their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act")) as conducted within 90 days of the filing date of this Form N-CSR, the registrant's principal financial officer and principal executive officer have concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the material information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter covered by the report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

#### ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- (a) File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.
  - (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Code of Ethics attached hereto.
  - (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2 under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2): Attached hereto.
  - (3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the Act sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the Registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)), Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: Attached hereto.

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant MFS INTERMEDIATE INCOME TRUST

\_\_\_\_\_\_

By (Signature and Title) \* MARIA F. DWYER

\_\_\_\_\_

Maria F. Dwyer, President

Date: December 22, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) \* MARIA F. DWYER

\_\_\_\_\_

Maria F. Dwyer, President (Principal Executive

Officer)

Date: December 22, 2005

By (Signature and Title) \* TRACY ATKINSON

\_\_\_\_\_

Tracy Atkinson, Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer

and Accounting Officer)

Date: December 22, 2005

\* Print name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.