CONTINUCARE CORP Form 10-K September 09, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended: June 30, 2008

OR

• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _

from _____ to ____ Commission file number: 001-12115 CONTINUCARE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

59-2716023 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7200 Corporate Center Drive,

Suite 600

Miami, Florida 33126

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(305) 500-2000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class COMMON STOCK \$.0001 PAR VALUE

Name of each exchange on which registered AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer þ

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting Smaller reporting company o

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of that Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on December 31, 2007 was approximately \$100,907,000.

Number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant s classes of Common Stock at August 25, 2008: 64,442,503 shares of Common Stock, \$.0001 par value per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the registrant s 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	General	3	
PART I			
<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	3	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	13	
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	19	
<u>Item 2.</u>	Properties	19	
<u>Item 3.</u>	Legal Proceedings	19	
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	19	
PART II			
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	20	
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	23	
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	33	
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	33	
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	33	
<u>Item 9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	33	
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	36	
PART III			
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	36	
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	36	

PAGE

<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	36
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	36
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	36
	PART IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	37
	<u>Signatures</u>	39
EX-21.1 Subst	idiaries of the Company	
EX-23.1 Cons	ent of Ernst & Young LLP	
EX-31.1 Secti	on 302 Certification of CEO	
EX-31.2 Secti	on 302 Certification of CFO	
EX-32.1 Secti	on 906 Certification of CEO	
EX-32.2 Secti	on 906 Certification of CFO	

GENERAL

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, all references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to we, us, our, Continucare or the Company refer to Continucare Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, and references to the MDHC Companies refer to Miami Dade Health Centers, Inc. and its affiliated companies. All references to a Fiscal year refer to our fiscal year which ends June 30.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The following business description should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Company Overview

We are a provider of primary care physician services. Through our network of 18 medical centers, we provide primary care medical services on an outpatient basis. We also provide practice management services to independent physician affiliates (IPAs) at 25 medical offices. All of our medical centers and IPAs are located in Miami-Dade, Broward and Hillsborough Counties, Florida. Substantially all of our revenues are derived from managed care agreements with three health maintenance organizations (HMOs), Humana Medical Plans, Inc. (Humana), Vista Healthplan of South Florida, Inc. and its affiliated companies including Summit Health Plan, Inc. (Vista) and Wellcare Health Plans, Inc. and its affiliated companies (Wellcare). Our managed care agreements with these HMOs are primarily risk agreements under which we receive for our services a monthly capitated fee with respect to the patients assigned to us. The capitated fee is a percentage of the premium that the HMOs receive with respect to those patients. In return, we assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to our patients even for services we do not provide directly. For Fiscal 2008, approximately 89% and 9% of our revenue was generated by providing services to Medicare-eligible and Medicaid-eligible members, respectively, under such risk arrangements. As of June 30, 2008, we provided services to or for approximately 26,200 patients on a risk basis and approximately 8,700 patients on a limited or non-risk basis. Additionally, we also provided services to over 2,500 patients as of June 30, 2008 on a non-risk fee-for-service basis.

Effective October 1, 2006, we completed the acquisition of the MDHC Companies (the Acquisition). Accordingly, the revenues, expenses and results of operations of the MDHC Companies have been included in our consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition. The MDHC Companies, which opened their first medical center in 1999, provided primary care physician services and certain medical specialty and diagnostic services to approximately 17,000 patients at the time of the Acquisition in five medical centers in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The majority of the MDHC Companies patients are participants in Medicare and Medicaid HMO plans and substantially all of the MDHC Companies contracts with HMOs are on a risk basis. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for unaudited pro forma financial information for Fiscal 2007 and 2006 presenting our operating results as though the Acquisition occurred at the beginning of the respective periods.

Effective March 1, 2007, one of the Physician Provider Agreements with Wellcare was amended from a non-risk arrangement to a risk arrangement. Under the risk arrangement, we receive for our services a monthly capitated fee with respect to patients assigned to us that represents a percentage of the premium that Wellcare receives for those patients and we assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to those patients. Under this Physician Provider Agreement, as of June 30, 2008, we provided services to approximately 1,200 Medicare Advantage patients enrolled in Wellcare managed care plans.

Effective January 1, 2006, we entered into an Independent Practice Association Participation Agreement (the Risk IPA Agreement) with Humana under which we agreed to assume certain management responsibilities on a risk basis for Humana s Medicare and Medicaid members assigned to certain IPAs practicing in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Under the Risk IPA Agreement, we receive a capitation fee established as a percentage of the premium that Humana receives for its members who have selected the IPAs as their primary care physicians and assume responsibility for the cost of substantially all medical services provided to these members, even those we do not provide directly. Medical service revenue and medical services expenses related to the Risk IPA Agreement approximated \$14.9 million and \$14.0 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2008, \$15.7 million and \$14.5 million,

respectively, in Fiscal 2007 and \$8.7 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2006. As of June 30, 2008, the IPAs provided services to or for approximately 1,600 Medicare and Medicaid patients enrolled in Humana managed care plans. The Risk IPA Agreement replaces the Physician Group Participation Agreement with Humana (the

Humana PGP Agreement) that was terminated effective December 31, 2005. Under the Humana PGP Agreement, we assumed certain management responsibilities on a non-risk basis for Humana s Medicare, Medicaid and commercial members assigned to selected primary care physicians in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Revenue from this contract consisted of a monthly management fee intended to cover the costs of providing these services and amounted to approximately \$0.2 million during Fiscal 2006.

³

We were incorporated in Florida in 1996 as the successor to a Florida corporation formed earlier in 1996. During Fiscal 2000 and 2001 we restructured much of our indebtedness, including the convertible subordinated notes we then had outstanding. During Fiscal 2004, the notes were converted into shares of our common stock. In an effort to streamline and stem operating losses, we implemented a plan to dispose of our home health operations in December 2003. The home health disposition occurred in three separate transactions and was concluded in February 2004. As a result of these transactions, the operations of our home health operations are shown as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Our principal place of business is 7200 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 600, Miami, Florida 33126. Our telephone number is 305-500-2000.

Acquisition

Effective October 1, 2006, we completed the acquisition of the MDHC Companies. In connection with the completion of the Acquisition and in consideration for the assets acquired pursuant to the Acquisition, we paid the MDHC Companies approximately \$5.7 million in cash, issued 20.0 million shares of our common stock to the MDHC Companies and assumed or repaid certain indebtedness and liabilities of the MDHC Companies. The 20.0 million shares of our common stock issued in connection with the Acquisition were issued pursuant to an exemption under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and 1.5 million of such 20.0 million shares were placed in escrow as security for indemnification obligations of the MDHC Companies and their principal owners. In Fiscal 2007, 264,142 of such shares were cancelled in connection with post-closing purchase price adjustments. The balance of the shares held in escrow was released to the principal owners of the MDHC Companies in April 2008 in accordance with the terms of the escrow agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition, we paid the principal owners of the MDHC Companies not expected to exceed \$0.1 million depending on the collection of certain receivables that were fully reserved on the books of the MDHC Companies as of December 31, 2005.

The purchase price, including acquisition costs, of approximately \$66.2 million was allocated to the estimated fair value of acquired tangible assets of \$13.9 million, identifiable intangible assets of \$8.7 million and assumed liabilities of \$15.3 million, resulting in goodwill totaling \$58.9 million. This purchase price allocation includes certain adjustments recorded during Fiscal 2008 and 2007 that resulted in a decrease in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. These adjustments primarily related to Medicare risk adjustments and pharmacy rebates relating to the operations of the MDHC Companies for periods prior to the completion of the Acquisition and to adjustments to increase the estimated fair values of the identifiable intangible assets based on updated available information and assumptions. The identifiable intangible assets of \$8.7 million consist of estimated fair values of \$1.6 million assigned to the trade name, \$6.2 million to customer relationships and \$0.9 million to a noncompete agreement. The trade name was determined to have an estimated useful life of six years and the customer relationships and noncompete agreements were each determined to have an estimated useful life of eight and five years, respectively. The fair values of the customer relationships and other identifiable intangible assets are amortized over their estimated lives using the straight line method. The customer relationships are non-contractual. The fair value of the identifiable intangible assets was determined, with the assistance of an outside valuation firm, based on standard valuation techniques. The Acquisition consideration of \$66.2 million includes the estimated fair value of our common stock issued to the MDHC Companies of \$58.5 million, cash paid to the principal owners of \$5.7 million, cash paid to the principal owners of \$1.0 million in October 2007, and acquisition costs of approximately \$1.0 million. The estimated fair value of the 20.0 million shares of our common stock issued effective October 1, 2006 to the MDHC Companies was based on a per share consideration of \$2.96 which was calculated based upon the average of the closing market prices of our common stock for the period two days before through two days after the announcement of the execution of the Asset Purchase Agreement for the Acquisition. The fair value of the 264,142 shares cancelled in Fiscal 2007 in connection with post-closing purchase price adjustments was approximately \$0.7 million based upon the closing market price of our common stock on the dates the shares were cancelled.

On September 26, 2006, we entered into two term loan facilities funded out of lines of credit (the Term Loans) with maximum loan amounts of \$4.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. Each of the Term Loans requires mandatory monthly payments that reduce the lines of credit under the Term Loans. Subject to the terms and conditions

of the Term Loans, any prepayments made to the Term Loans may be re-borrowed on a revolving basis so long as the line of credit applicable to such Term Loan, as reduced by the mandatory monthly payment, is not exceeded. The \$4.8 million and \$1.0 million Term Loans mature on October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively. Each of the Term Loans (i) has variable interest rates at a per annum rate equal to the sum of 2.4% and the One-Month LIBOR (2.46% at June 30, 2008), (ii) requires us and our subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$12 million and a debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1, and (iii) are secured by substantially all of our assets, including those assets acquired pursuant to the Acquisition. Effective October 1, 2006, we fully drew on these Term Loans to fund certain portions of the cash payable upon the closing of the Acquisition and these drawings were repaid during Fiscal 2007. As of June 30, 2008, we had no outstanding principal balance on our Term Loans.

Also effective September 26, 2006, we amended the terms of our existing credit facility that provides for a revolving loan to us of \$5.0 million (the Credit Facility). As a result of this amendment, we, among other things, eliminated the financial covenant which previously required our EBITDA to exceed \$1,500,000 on a trailing 12-month basis any time during which amounts are outstanding under the Credit Facility and replaced such covenant with covenants requiring us and our subsidiaries, on a consolidated business, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$12 million and a debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1. Effective October 1, 2006, we drew approximately \$1.8 million under the Credit Facility to fund portions of the cash payable upon the closing of the Acquisition and this drawing was repaid during Fiscal 2007. The Credit Facility has a maturity date of December 31, 2009. As of June 30, 2008, we had no outstanding principal balance on our Credit Facility.

As a result of the Acquisition, we became a party to two lease agreements for office space owned by certain of the principal owners of the MDHC Companies, one of which we terminated effective September 30, 2007. For Fiscal Years 2008 and 2007, expenses related to these two leases were approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Industry Overview

The United States health care market is large and growing. According to the Centers For Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), total outlays on health care in the United States were approximately \$2.0 trillion in 2006 and were projected to reach approximately \$4.3 trillion in 2017, representing an annual rate of increase of approximately 6.7%. The rate of the overall increase of health care outlays in the United States has been greater than the growth of the economy as a whole (measured by gross domestic product, or GDP). For example, in 2006 the rate of growth of total United States medical outlays was approximately one percentage point higher than the growth of GDP. The high growth rate of health care outlays is expected to continue. In 2007, health care outlays represented approximately 16.3% of GDP. CMS projects that this amount will increase to 19.5% of GDP by 2017. In addition, United States health care outlays have increased at a faster rate than the consumer price index. According to CMS, medical outlays in the United States were projected to grow by approximately 6.7% in 2007, as compared to actual increases of 6.7% in 2006, 6.5% in 2005 and 6.9% in 2004.

The Medicare sector of the United States health care market is also large and growing. Medicare provided health care benefits to approximately 44 million elderly and disabled Americans in 2007, or approximately 15% of the population of the United States. With the coming retirement of the Baby Boom generation, a significant increase in the number of Medicare beneficiaries is forecast, with the number of Medicare beneficiaries expected to rise to over 75 million, or greater than 20% of the projected population of the United States, by 2030. Medicare outlays have also grown faster than both the GDP and the consumer price index, which growth is forecast to continue. For example, annual Medicare outlays exceeded \$400 billion in 2006 and are expected to grow to over \$800 billion by 2017.

Medicare was established in 1965 and traditionally provided fee-for-service (indemnity) coverage for its members. Under fee-for-service coverage, Medicare assumes responsibility for paying all or a portion of the member s covered medical fees, subject, in some cases, to a deductible or coinsurance payment. There are private Medicare managed care programs that provide an alternative to traditional fee-for-service coverage. Through a contract with CMS, private insurers, such as HMOs, may contract with CMS to provide health insurance coverage in exchange for a fixed monthly payment per member per month for Medicare-eligible individuals. Individuals who elect to participate in private Medicare managed care programs typically receive additional benefits not covered by Medicare s traditional fee-for-service coverage program and are relieved of the obligation to pay some or all deductible or coinsurance amounts due.

Participation in private Medicare managed care programs increased during the 1990s reaching a peak of 6.2 million participants in 1998, or approximately 16% of the Medicare-eligible population. As of November 2003, the number of participants had decreased to 4.6 million, or approximately 11% of the Medicare-eligible population. The number of participating private health plans also decreased during this period going from 346 plans in 1998 to 155 in November 2003. This decline in participation has been attributed to unpredictable and insufficient payments resulting from the alteration of payments to private plans associated with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

The Medicare Modernization Act, adopted in December 2003, was intended, in part, to modernize and revitalize private plans under Medicare. The Medicare Modernization Act established the Medicare prescription drug offering

that began in 2006, established new tax-advantaged Health Savings Account regulations and made significant changes to the private Medicare managed care programs which were named Medicare Advantage. These changes were a response to the decreased managed care participation in Medicare and the resulting lack of choice for Medicare beneficiaries. The Medicare Modernization Act made favorable changes to the premium rate calculation methodology and generally provides for program rates that we believe will better reflect the increased cost of medical services provided to Medicare beneficiaries.

As a result of the Medicare Modernization Act s enhanced payment rates and other provisions designed to expand Medicare Advantage offerings and make them more attractive to plan sponsors and beneficiaries, enrollment in Medicare Advantage programs has generally increased since December 2003 from approximately 5.3 million participants, or approximately 13% of the Medicare-eligible population, to approximately 9.7 million participants, or approximately 22% of the Medicare-eligible population, as of July 2008. The number of participating private health plans also increased dramatically during this period going from 155 plans in November 2003 to 612 plans in July 2008.

As a result of the growing increases in health care outlays in the United States, insurers, employers, state and federal governments and other health insurance payors have sought to reduce or control the sustained increases in health care costs. One response to these cost increases has been a shift away from the traditional fee-for-service method of paying for health care to managed health care models, such as HMOs.

HMOs offer a comprehensive health care benefits package in exchange for a fixed prepaid monthly fee or premium per enrollee that does not vary through the contract period regardless of the quantity of medical services required or used. HMOs enroll members by entering into contracts with employer groups or directly with individuals to provide a broad range of health care services for a prepaid charge, with minimal deductibles or co-payments required of the members. HMOs contract directly with medical clinics, independent physician associations, hospitals and other health care providers to administer medical care to HMO enrollees. The affiliated physician organization contracts with the HMOs provide for payment to the affiliated physician organizations. Often the payment to the affiliated physician organization is in the form of a fixed monthly fee per enrollee, which is called a capitation payment. Once negotiated, the total payment is based on the number of enrollees covered, regardless of the actual need for and utilization of covered services.

Physicians, including sole practitioners and small physician groups, find themselves at a competitive disadvantage in the current managed care environment. Physicians are generally not equipped by training or experience to handle all of the functions of a modern medical practice, such as negotiation of contracts with specialists and HMOs, claims administration, financial services, provider relations, member services, medical management including utilization management and quality assurance, data collection and management information systems. Additionally, a proliferation of state and federal regulations has increased the paperwork burden and hampered the application of the traditional controls used by managed care organizations. Physicians increasingly are responding to these pressures within the managed care industry by affiliating with organizations such as ours to assist them in managing their practices.

Our Market and Business Strategy

The population of Florida was approximately 18.3 million in 2007, and approximately 29% of those residents were located in Miami-Dade, Broward and Hillsborough Counties. As of July 2008, approximately 860,000 residents of Florida were enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans out of a Medicare-eligible population of approximately 3.2 million. The three HMOs with which we are affiliated account for approximately 48% of Medicare Advantage participants in the markets we serve.

Our strategy is to:

increase patient volume at our existing medical centers;

selectively expand our network to include additional medical centers or other medical facilities and to penetrate new geographic markets; and

further develop our IPA management activities.

We are also actively exploring expansion of our operations into other areas in which we believe we can leverage our expertise in providing primary care medical services in order to establish a new revenue source to supplement the revenue we receive from providing medical services at our medical offices. As part of this strategy, during Fiscal 2008 we opened Continucare ValuClinic, our new line of consumer oriented, retail-based health centers. Continucare ValuClinic offers treatment for common illnesses and also offers other high demand health care services such as common vaccinations, physical examinations and diagnostic screenings in a quick, convenient, and patient-friendly health care setting. The clinics are staffed primarily by certified nurse practitioners and physician assistants and are open seven days a week with extended hours on weekdays. No appointment is necessary and fees for services represent a meaningful discount to care provided in more traditional healthcare settings. The three Continucare ValuClinic health centers opened during Fiscal 2008 are located within Navarro s Pharmacy stores located in South Florida.

In September 2008, we announced that we had decided to terminate our ValuClinic operations and instead establish full-service primary care services for walk-in patients at selected existing medical centers. The focus of these services will be on addressing the needs of the uninsured population by offering affordable access to quality primary

care medical service. We expect to establish our new walk-in services beginning in October 2008. We incurred an operating loss of \$1.3 million related to the ValuClinic operations for Fiscal 2008. We estimate that we will incur a charge of approximately \$0.1 million in our first quarter of Fiscal 2009 in connection with the termination of ValuClinic operations.

Increasing Patient Volume

Our core business is comprised of our established network of medical centers from which we provide primary care services on an outpatient basis. As of June 30, 2008, we provided services at our medical centers under agreements with HMOs to approximately 24,600 patients on a risk basis and approximately 6,400 patients on a limited or non-risk basis. Additionally, we also provided services to over 2,500 patients as of June 30, 2008 on a non-risk fee-for-service basis. The dominant focus of these medical centers has historically been serving patients enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans sponsored by our HMO affiliates. We seek to increase the number of patients using our medical centers through the general marketing efforts of our affiliated HMOs and on our own through targeted marketing efforts. In addition to building our Medicare Advantage patient base we seek to increase the number of patients we serve in other lines of business. In particular we desire to increase our Medicaid patient base. In furtherance of this objective we have modified our arrangements with certain of our existing HMO affiliates to add Medicaid as a covered line of business and intend to expand our Medicaid HMO affiliations.

Selectively Expanding Our Network

In addition to the MDHC Acquisition, we may seek to add additional medical centers or other medical facilities to our network either through acquisition or start up, although no assurance can be given of our ability to establish or acquire any additional locations. To date, we have focused on Miami-Dade, Broward and Hillsborough Counties, Florida. We expect we will identify and select acquisition candidates based in large part on the following broad criteria:

staffed with highly qualified medical professionals;

a history of profitable operations or a predictable synergy such as opportunities for economies of scale through a consolidation of management functions;

a competitive environment with respect to a high concentration of hospitals and physicians; and

a geographic proximity to our current operations.

Developing Our IPA Management Activities

We currently provide management services to a network of IPAs at 25 medical offices. We enhance the operations of our IPA physician practices by providing assistance with medical utilization management, pharmacy management and specialist network development. Additionally, we provide financial reports for our IPA practices to further assist with their operations. We believe that we can leverage our skill at providing practice management services to IPA practices to a larger group of IPA practices and will seek to selectively add new IPA practices to enhance our IPA management activities. We intend to continue affiliating with physicians who are sole practitioners or who operate in small groups to staff and expand our network.

Our Medical Centers

At our medical centers, physicians who are our employees or independent contractors act as primary care physicians practicing in the area of general, family and internal medicine with medical specialty services provided in certain of our centers. A typical medical center is operated in an office space that ranges from 5,000 to 8,000 square feet although two of our medical centers comprise approximately 23,000 and 49,000 square feet of space. In addition, certain of our medical centers provide diagnostic imaging services. A medical center is typically staffed with approximately two to three physicians, and is open five days a week. The physicians we employ or with whom we contract are generally retained under written agreements that provide for a rolling one-year term, subject to earlier termination in some circumstances. Under our standard physician agreements we are responsible for providing our physicians with malpractice insurance coverage.

Our IPAs

We provide practice management assistance to IPAs. Our services include providing assistance with medical utilization management, pharmacy management and specialist network development. Additionally, we provide financial reports for our IPAs to further assist with their practices. These services currently relate primarily to those patients served by the IPAs who are enrolled in Humana and Vista health plans. As of June 30, 2008, these IPAs

provided services to approximately 1,600 patients on a risk basis and approximately 2,300 patients on a limited or non-risk basis. Effective January 1, 2006, we entered into the Risk IPA Agreement with Humana under which we agreed to assume certain management responsibilities on a risk basis for Humana s Medicare and Medicaid members assigned to certain IPAs practicing in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. The Risk IPA Agreement replaced the Humana PGP Agreement under which we assumed certain management responsibilities on a non-risk basis for Humana s Medicare, Medicaid and commercial members assigned to selected primary care physicians in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Effective January 1, 2008, we entered into an agreement with Vista under which we agreed to assume certain management responsibility on a limited risk basis for Vista s Medicare members assigned to certain IPAs practicing in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Our IPAs practice primary care medicine on an outpatient basis in facilities similar to our medical centers. Our IPA physicians typically earn a capitated fee for the services they provide and may be entitled to obtain bonus distributions if they operate their practice in accordance with their negotiated contract.

Medicare and Medicaid Considerations

In Fiscal 2008, approximately 89% and 9% of our revenue was generated by providing services to Medicare-eligible members and Medicaid-eligible members, respectively. The federal government and state governments, including Florida, from time to time explore ways to reduce medical care costs through Medicare and Medicaid reform, specifically, and through health care reform generally. Any changes that would limit, reduce or delay receipt of Medicare or Medicaid funding or any developments that would disqualify us from receiving Medicare or Medicaid funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects, financial results, financial condition and cash flows. Due to the diverse range of proposals put forth and the uncertainty of any proposal s adoption, we cannot predict what impact any Medicare reform proposal ultimately adopted may have on our business, financial position or results of operations.

On January 1, 2006, the Medicare Prescription Drug Plan created by the Medicare Modernization Act became effective. As a result, our HMO affiliates have established or expanded prescription drug benefit plans for their Medicare Advantage members. Under the terms of our risk arrangements, we are financially responsible for a substantial portion of the cost of the prescription drugs our patients receive, and, in exchange, our HMO affiliates have agreed to provide us with an additional per member capitated fee related to prescription drug coverage. However, there can be no assurance that the additional fee that we receive will be sufficient to reimburse us for the additional costs that we may incur under the Medicare Prescription Drug Plan.

In addition, the premiums our HMO affiliates receive from CMS for their Medicare Prescription Drug Plans are subject to periodic adjustment, positive or negative, based upon the application of risk corridors that compare their plans revenues as estimated in their bids to actual prescription drug costs. Variances exceeding certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to the HMOs or require the HMOs to refund to CMS a portion of the payments they received. Our contracted HMO affiliates estimate and periodically adjust premium revenues related to the risk corridor payment adjustments, and a portion of each such HMO s estimated premium revenue adjustment is allocated to us. As a result, the revenues recognized under our risk arrangements with these HMOs are net of the portion of the estimated risk corridor adjustment allocated to us. The portion of any such risk corridor adjustment that the HMOs allocate to us may not directly correlate to the historical utilization patterns of our patients or the costs that we may incur in future periods. During Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, our HMO affiliates allocated to us adjustments related to their risk corridor payments which had the effect of reducing our operating income by approximately \$3.1 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

The Medicare Prescription Drug Plan has also been subject to significant public criticism and controversy, and members of Congress have discussed possible changes to the program as well as ways to reduce the program s cost to the federal government. We cannot predict what impact, if any, these developments may have on the Medicare Prescription Drug Plan or on our future financial results.

Our HMO Affiliates

We currently have managed care agreements with several HMOs. Our most significant HMO affiliates are Humana, Vista and Wellcare. Under our risk agreements with Humana, Vista and Wellcare, we receive for our services capitated monthly payments per patient at a rate established by the contract. In return, we assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to our patients, even services we do not provide directly. In Fiscal 2008, we generated approximately 72%, 19% and 8% of our revenue from contracts with Humana, Vista and Wellcare, respectively. We continually review and attempt to renegotiate the terms of our managed care agreements in an effort to obtain more favorable terms. We may selectively add new HMO affiliations, but we can provide no assurance that we will be successful in doing so. The loss of significant HMO contracts and/or the failure to regain or retain such HMO s patients or the related revenues without entering into new HMO affiliations could have a material adverse effect on our business results of operations and financial condition. *Humana*

We currently have three agreements with Humana under which we provide medical services to members of Humana s Medicare, Medicaid, commercial and other group health care plans; however, the majority of the revenue that we derive from our relationship with Humana is generated under two agreements, a Physician Practice Management Participation Agreement (the Humana PPMP Agreement) and an Integrated Delivery System

Participation Agreement (the IDS Agreement). Under these agreements we provide or arrange for the provision of covered medical services to each Humana member who selects one of our physicians as his or her primary care physician. We receive a capitated fee with respect to the patients assigned to us. For most of our Humana patients the capitated fee is a percentage of the premium that Humana receives with respect to those patients. These agreements are subject to Humana s changes to the covered benefits that it elects to provide to its members and other terms and conditions. We must also comply with the terms of Humana s policies and procedures, including Humana s policies regarding referrals, approvals and utilization management and quality assessment.

The term of the Humana PPMP Agreement extends through July 31, 2011, unless terminated earlier for cause, and, thereafter, the Humana PPMP Agreement renews for subsequent three-year terms unless either party provides 90-days written notice of its intent not to renew. The IDS Agreement extends through April 1, 2011 with automatic subsequent three-year renewal terms unless either party provides 180-days written notice of its intent not to renew. Each of these agreements provide Humana the right to immediately terminate the agreement, and/or any individual physician credentialed under the agreements, upon written notice, (i) if we and/or any of our physician s continued participation in the relevant agreement may affect adversely the health, safety or welfare of any Humana member; (ii) if we and/or any of our physician s continued participation in the relevant agreement may bring Humana or its health care networks into disrepute; (iii) in the event of one of our doctor s death or incompetence; (iv) if any of our physicians fail to meet Humana s credentialing criteria; (v) in accordance with Humana s policies and procedures, (vi) if we engage in or acquiesce to any act of bankruptcy, receivership or reorganization; or (vii) if Humana loses its authority to do business in total or as to any limited segment or business (but only to that segment). We and Humana may also each terminate these agreements upon 90 days prior written notice (with an opportunity to cure, if possible) in the event of the other s material breach of the relevant agreement.

In some cases, Humana may provide 30 days notice as to an amendment or modification of these agreements, including but not limited to, renegotiation of rates, covered benefits and other terms and conditions. Such amendments may include changes to the compensation rates. If Humana exercises its right to amend these agreements upon 30 days written notice, we may object to such amendment within the 30-day notice period. If we object to such amendment within the requisite time frame, Humana may terminate the relevant agreement upon 90 days written notice.

One of our other agreements with Humana is the Risk IPA Agreement. Under the Risk IPA Agreement, we agreed to assume certain management responsibilities on a risk basis for Humana s Medicare and Medicaid members assigned to selected primary care physicians at 14 medical offices in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida in return for a capitated fee per patient. The capitated fee is based on a percentage of the premium that Humana receives with respect to that patient.

Vista

We provide medical services to members of Vista s Medicare, Medicaid, commercial and individual health care plans. Under our agreements with Vista, we provide or arrange for the provision of covered medical services to each Vista member who selects one of our physicians as his or her primary care physician. Under our two primary agreements with Vista, we receive a capitated fee with respect to the Vista patients assigned to us. For commercial and individual Vista patients the capitated fee is a fixed monthly payment per member. For Medicare and Medicaid patients the capitated fee is a percentage of the premium that Vista receives with respect to those patients. Our agreements with Vista are subject to Vista s changes to the covered benefits that Vista elects to provide to its members and other terms and conditions. We must also comply with the terms of Vista s policies and procedures, including Vista s policies regarding referrals, approvals and utilization management and quality assessment.

One of our two primary agreements with Vista expires on June 30, 2009 and the other expires on September 1, 2009 and each will automatically renew for successive one year periods unless either party provides the other with 60-days notice of its intent to terminate such agreement. Vista may terminate either of these agreements with us immediately if we materially breach the relevant agreement, provided that we are given an opportunity to cure such breach, and if we experience certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency. In addition, each of these agreements permits Vista to immediately terminate the agreement if Vista determines, in its sole reasonable discretion, that (i) our actions or inactions or those of our health care professionals are causing or may cause imminent danger to the health, safety or welfare of any Vista member; (ii) our or our health care professionals licenses, DEA registrations, hospital staff privileges, rights to participate in the Medicare or Medicaid program or other accreditations are restricted, suspended or revoked or if any of our health care professionals voluntarily relinquish any of those credentials and we do not promptly terminate that professional; (iii) our health care professionals ability to practice medicine is effectively impaired by an action of the Board of Medicine or other governmental agency; (iv) we are convicted of a criminal offense related to our involvement in Medicaid, Medicare or social service programs under Title XX of the Social Security Act; or (v) we or our medical professionals engaged in any other behavior or activity that could be hazardous or injurious to any Vista member.

Effective January 1, 2008, we entered into an agreement with Vista under which we agreed to assume certain management responsibility on a limited risk basis for Vista s Medicare members assigned to certain IPAs practicing at eight medical offices in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. To date we have not received meaningful revenue under our IPA agreements with Vista.

Wellcare

We are a party to two agreements with Wellcare under which we provide or arrange for the provision of medical services to each member of Wellcare s Medicare plans who selects one of our physicians as his or her primary care physician. One of these agreements, the Physician Provider Agreement, was initially entered into on September 1, 2004 as a non-risk arrangement and was amended effective March 1, 2007 to a risk arrangement under which we receive for our services a capitated fee with respect to the patients assigned to us. The capitated fee is a percentage of the premium that Wellcare receives with respect to those patients. This agreement has a one-year term and automatic subsequent one-year renewal terms, subject to certain termination provisions stipulated in the agreement. Under the risk arrangement we assume financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to our patients. Our other agreement with Wellcare, which is also a risk arrangement for Wellcare s Medicare members, expires November 1, 2008 with automatic subsequent one year renewal terms unless either party provides the other with 90-days notice of its intent to terminate.

We also have contracts with Wellcare and its affiliates for the provision of care for members of their Medicaid plans.

Under our agreements with Humana, Vista and Wellcare, there exist circumstances under which we could be obligated to continue to provide medical services to patients in our care following a termination of the applicable agreement. In certain cases, this obligation could require us to provide care to patients following the bankruptcy or insolvency of our HMO affiliate. Accordingly, our obligations to provide medical services to our patients (and the associated costs we incur) may not terminate at the time that our agreement with the HMO terminates, and we may not be able to recover our cost of providing those services from the HMO.

Compliance Program

We have implemented a compliance program intended to provide ongoing monitoring and reporting to detect and correct potential regulatory compliance problems but we cannot assure that it will detect or prevent all regulatory problems. The program establishes compliance standards and procedures for employees and agents. The program includes, among other things: written policies, including our Code of Conduct and Ethics; in-service training for our employees on topics such as insider trading, anti-kickback laws, Federal False Claims Act and Anti-Self Referral Act; and a hot line for employees to anonymously report violations.

Competition

The health care industry is highly competitive. We compete for patients with many other health care providers, including local physicians and practice groups as well as local, regional and national networks of physicians and health care companies. We believe that competition for patients is generally based upon the reputation of the physician treating the patient, the physician s expertise, the physician s demeanor and manner of engagement with the patient, and the HMOs that the physician is affiliated with. We also compete with other local, regional and national networks of physicians and health care companies for the services of physicians and for HMO affiliations. Some of our competitors have greater resources than we do, and we may not be able to continue to compete effectively in this industry. Further, additional competitors may enter our markets, and this increased competition may have an adverse effect on our revenues.

Government Regulation

General. Our business is regulated by the federal government and the State of Florida. The laws and regulations governing our operations are generally intended to benefit and protect health plan members and providers. The government agencies administering these laws and regulations have broad latitude to enforce them. These laws and regulations, along with the terms of our contracts, regulate how we do business, what services we offer, and how we interact with our members, other providers and the public. We are subject to various governmental reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with our contracts and applicable laws and regulations.

A summary of the material aspects of the government regulations to which we are subject is set forth below. However, there can be no assurance that any such laws will not change or ultimately be interpreted in a manner inconsistent with our practices, and an adverse interpretation could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Present and Prospective Federal and State Reimbursement Regulation. Our operations are affected on a day-to-day basis by numerous legislative, regulatory and industry-imposed operational and financial requirements, which are administered by a variety of federal and state governmental agencies as well as by self-regulatory associations and commercial medical insurance reimbursement programs. Our physicians must reassign their Medicare billing and collection rights to us. Accordingly, we have filed with Medicare the necessary applications for all our physicians to reassign their Medicare billing and collection rights to us.

Federal Fraud and Abuse Laws and Regulations. The Anti-Kickback Law makes it a criminal felony offense to knowingly and willfully offer, pay, solicit or receive remuneration in order to induce business for which reimbursement is provided under federal health care programs, including without limitation, the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Violations of these laws are punishable by monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties, exclusion from care programs and forfeiture of amounts collected in violation of such laws. The scope of prohibited payments in the Anti-Kickback Law is broad and includes economic arrangements involving hospitals, physicians and other health care providers, including joint ventures, space and equipment rentals, purchases of physician practices and

management and personal services contracts. The Anti-Kickback Law provides for certain safe harbors to its prohibitions, however, failure to meet a safe harbor does not necessarily mean that an arrangement violates the Anti-Kickback Law. Rather, each arrangement must be analyzed based on its specific facts and the intent of the parties.

State Fraud and Abuse Regulations. Various states also have anti-kickback laws applicable to licensed health care professionals and other providers and, in some instances, applicable to any person engaged in the proscribed conduct. For example, the Florida Patient Brokering Act imposes criminal penalties, including jail terms and fines, for offering, soliciting, receiving or paying any commission, bonus, rebate, kickback, or bribe, directly or indirectly in cash or in kind, or engaging in any split-fee arrangement, in any form whatsoever, in return for the referral of patients or patronage from a health care provider or health care facility or in return for the acceptance or acknowledgement of treatment from a health care provider or health care facility. The Florida statutory provisions regulating the practice of medicine include similar language as grounds for disciplinary action against a physician.

Restrictions on Physician Referrals. Federal regulations under the Social Security Act that restrict physician referrals to health care entities with which they have financial relationships (commonly referred to as the Stark Law) prohibit certain patient referrals by physicians. Specifically, the Stark Law prohibits a physician, or an immediate family member, who has a financial relationship with a health care entity, from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients with limited exceptions, to that entity for the following designated health services : clinical laboratory services, physical therapy services, occupational therapy services, speech-language pathology services, radiology services, including magnetic resonance imaging, computerized axial tomography scans and ultrasound services, speech-language pathology services, durable medical equipment and supplies, radiation therapy services and supplies, parenteral and enteral nutrients, equipment and supplies, prosthetics, orthotics and prosthetic devices, home health services, outpatient prescription drugs, and inpatient and outpatient hospital services. A financial relationship is defined to include an ownership or investment in, or a compensation relationship with, a health care entity. The Stark Law also prohibits a health care entity receiving a prohibited referral from billing the Medicare or Medicaid programs for any services rendered to a patient as a result of the prohibited referral. The Stark Law contains certain exceptions that protect parties from liability if the parties comply with all of the requirements of the applicable exception. The sanctions under the Stark Law include denial and refund of payments, civil monetary penalties and exclusions from participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Further, the Florida Anti-Kickback statute makes it unlawful for any health care provider to offer, pay, solicit or receive remuneration or payment by or on behalf of a provider of health care services or items to any person as an incentive or inducement to refer patients for past or future services or items, when the payment is not tax deductible as an ordinary and necessary expense. Violation of the Florida Anti-Kickback statute is a third degree felony. Unlike the federal Anti-Kickback Law, the Florida Anti-Kickback statute does not provide for safe harbors. Rather, each arrangement must be analyzed based on its facts and the intent of the parties.

The Florida Patient Self Referral Act of 1992 (Florida Act) regulates patient referrals by a health care provider to certain providers of health care services in which the referring provider has an investment interest. Unlike the federal Stark regulations, the Florida act applies only to investment interests and does not affect compensation relationships between the referring provider and the entity to which the provider is referring patients. The penalties for breach of the Florida Act include denial and refund of claims payments and civil monetary penalties. Further, the Florida Act is not limited to referrals for items and services paid for by the Medicare or Medicaid programs.

Privacy Laws. The privacy, security and transmission of health information is subject to federal and state laws and regulations, including the Healthcare Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and regulations enacted under HIPAA with respect to, among other things, the privacy of certain individually identifiable health information, the transmission of protected health information and standards for the security of electronic health information. Florida professional licensing statutes also include privacy laws specific to the profession. For example, there are certain privacy laws that apply to physicians and mental health professionals.

Corporate Practice of Medicine Doctrine. Many states prohibit business corporations from providing, or holding themselves out as a provider of medical care. Possible sanctions for violation of any of these restrictions or prohibitions include loss of licensure or eligibility to participate in reimbursement programs (including Medicare and Medicaid), asset forfeitures and civil and criminal penalties. These laws vary from state to state, are often vague and loosely interpreted by the courts and regulatory agencies. Currently, we only operate in Florida, which does not have a corporate practice of medicine doctrine with respect to the types of physicians employed with us.

Clinic Regulation and Licensure. The State of Florida Agency for Health Care Administration requires us to license each of our medical centers. Each medical center must renew its health care clinic licensure bi-annually. Further, the Florida Health Care Clinic Act requires that clinics have a medical director and prohibits such medical director or any physician affiliated with the medical director s group practice from making referrals to the clinic if the clinic provides certain health care services, such as magnetic resonance imaging, static radiographs, computed tomography, or positron emission tomography. Violation of this prohibition against medical director referrals is a third degree felony.

Limitations on Contractual Joint Ventures. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) issued a Special Advisory Bulletin raising concerns throughout the healthcare industry about the legality of a variety of provider joint ventures. The suspect arrangements involve a healthcare provider expanding into a related service line by contracting with an existing provider of that service to serve the providers existing patient population. In the OIG s view, the provider s share of the profits of the new venture constitutes remuneration for the referral of the provider s Medicare/Medicaid patients and thus may violate the federal Anti-kickback Statute.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). In addition to OSHA regulations applicable to businesses generally, we must comply with, among other things, the OSHA directives on occupational exposure to blood borne pathogens, the federal Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act, OSHA injury and illness recording and reporting requirements, federal regulations relating to proper handling of laboratory specimens, spill procedures and hazardous waste disposal, and patient transport safety requirements.

Environmental Regulations. Our clinics are required to comply with federal and state regulations applicable to the disposal of biomedical waste and the use of radiology and nuclear medicine, as with diagnostic imaging equipment. Our magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines must be accredited by the American College of Radiology in order to maintain our Florida Health Care Clinic License. Failure to obtain and maintain such accreditation could result in a prohibition against the use of the MRI machine.

Medicare Marketing Restrictions. As a health care provider, we are subject to federal marketing rules and regulations that limit, among other things, offering any gift or other inducement to Medicare beneficiaries to encourage them to come to us for their health care.

Sanctioned Parties. The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) includes provisions that allow for the temporary or permanent exclusion from participation in Medicare or any state health care program of any individual or entity who or which has been convicted of a health care related crime as well as specified. The BBA also provides for fines against any person that arranges or contracts with an excluded person for the provision of items or services.

Healthcare Reform. The federal government from time to time explores ways to reduce medical care cost through Medicare reform and through healthcare reform, generally. Any changes that would limit, reduce or delay receipt of Medicare funding or any developments that would disqualify us from receiving Medicare funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects, financial results, financial condition or cash flows. Due to the diverse range of proposals put forth and the uncertainty of any proposal s adoption, we cannot predict what impact any Medicare reform proposal ultimately adopted may have on our business, financial position or results of operations.

Health Care Professional Licensure and Supervision. Our physicians are subject to licensure requirements administered by the applicable Florida professional licensing board, including the Florida Board of Medicine and the Florida Board of Nursing. The failure of a health care professional to maintain a license with the applicable board could result in a shortage of health care providers and may trigger termination of one or more of our managed care agreements.

Employees

At June 30, 2008, we employed or contracted with approximately 589 individuals of whom approximately 65 are physicians in our medical centers.

Insurance

We rely on insurance to protect us from many business risks, including medical malpractice and stop-loss insurance. Our business entails an inherent risk of claims against physicians for professional services rendered to patients, and we periodically become involved as a defendant in medical malpractice lawsuits. Medical malpractice claims are subject to the attendant risk of substantial damage awards. Although we maintain insurance against these claims, if liability results from any of our pending or any future medical malpractice claims, there can be no assurance that our medical malpractice insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liability arising out of these proceedings. There can be no assurance that pending or future litigation will not have a material adverse affect on us or that liability resulting from litigation will not exceed our insurance coverage.

In most cases, as is the trend in the health care industry, as insurance policies expire we may be required to procure policies with narrower coverage, more exclusions and higher premiums. In some cases, coverage may not be available at any price. There can be no assurance that the insurance that we maintain and intend to maintain will be adequate, or that the cost of insurance and limitations in coverage will not adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC s public reference rooms in Washington, D.C., New York, New York, and

Chicago, Illinois. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference rooms. Our SEC filings are also available to the public from the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov. In addition, you can inspect the reports, proxy statements and other information we file at the offices of the American Stock Exchange, Inc., 86 Trinity Place, New York, New York 10006.

Our website address is www.continucare.com. We make available free of charge on or through our internet website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after such material has been filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Our website does not constitute part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects, and the prevailing market price and performance of our common stock, may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the matters discussed below. Certain statements and information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as other written or oral statements made from time to time by us or by our authorized officers on our behalf, constitute

forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Federal Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We intend for our forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should note that forward-looking statements in this document speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and we undertake no duty or obligation to update or revise our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Although we believe that the expectations, plans, intentions and projections reflected in our forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements to be materially differents. The risks, uncertainties and other factors that our shareholders and prospective investors should consider include the following:

Risks related to our business

Our operations are dependent on three health maintenance organizations.

We derive substantially all of our revenues under our managed care agreements with three HMOs, Humana, Vista and Wellcare. In Fiscal 2008, we generated approximately 72%, 19% and 8% of our revenues from contracts with Humana, Vista and Wellcare, respectively. These agreements have terms ranging from one to three years, with automatic renewal terms unless a party provides prior notice of its intention not to renew. These agreements also provide the HMOs with the right to terminate an agreement prior to the expiration of the term upon the occurrence of specified events. Accordingly, there is no assurance that these agreements will remain in effect. The loss of our managed care agreements with these HMOs, particularly Humana or Vista or significant reductions in payments to us under these contracts could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Under our most important contracts we are responsible for the cost of medical services to our patients in return for a capitated fee.

Our most important contracts with Humana, Vista and Wellcare are risk agreements under which we receive for our services fixed monthly payments per patient at a rate established by the contract, also called a capitated fee. In return, we assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to our patients, even services we do not provide directly. Accordingly, we will be unable to adjust the revenues we receive under those contracts and, if medical claims expense exceeds our estimates, our profits may decline. Relatively small changes in the ratio of our health care expenses to capitated revenues we receive can create significant changes in our financial results.

If we are unable to manage medical benefits expense effectively, our profitability will likely be reduced.

We cannot be profitable if our costs of providing the required medical services exceed the revenues that we derive from those services. However, our most important contracts with Humana, Vista and Wellcare require us to assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care in return for a capitated fee per patient at a rate established by the contract. Accordingly, as the costs of providing medical services to our patients under those contracts increases, the profits we receive with respect to those patients decreases. If we cannot continue to improve our controls and procedures for estimating and managing our costs, our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to satisfy our obligations could be adversely affected.

A failure to estimate incurred but not reported medical benefits expense accurately will affect our profitability.

Our medical benefits expense includes estimates of medical claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR. We estimate our medical cost liabilities using actuarial methods based on historical data adjusted for payment patterns, cost trends, utilization of health care services and other relevant factors. Actual conditions, however, could differ from those assumed in the estimation process. Due to the inherent uncertainties associated with the factors used in these assumptions, materially different amounts could be reported in our financial statements for a particular period under

different possible conditions or using different, but still reasonable, assumptions. Adjustments, if necessary, are made to medical benefits expense when the criteria used to determine IBNR change and when actual claim costs are ultimately determined. Although we believe our past estimates of IBNR have been adequate, they may prove to have been inadequate in the future and our future estimates may not be adequate, any of which would adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Further, our inability to estimate IBNR accurately may also affect our ability to take timely corrective actions, further exacerbating the extent of any adverse effect on our results of operations.

We compete with many health care providers for patients and HMO affiliations.

The health care industry is highly competitive. We compete for patients with many other health care providers, including local physicians and practice groups as well as local, regional and national networks of physicians and health care companies. We believe that competition for patients is generally based upon the reputation of the physician treating the patient, the physician s expertise, and the physician s demeanor and manner of engagement with the patient, and the HMOs with which the physician is affiliated. We also compete with other local, regional and national networks of physicians and health care companies for the services of physicians and for HMO affiliations. Some of our competitors have greater resources than we do, and we may not be able to continue to compete effectively in this industry. Further, additional competitors may enter our markets, and this increased competition may have an adverse effect on our revenues.

We may not be able to successfully recruit or retain existing relationships with qualified physicians and medical professionals.

We depend on our physicians and other medical professionals to provide medical services to our managed care patients and independent physicians contracting with us to participate in provider networks we develop or manage. We compete with general acute care hospitals and other health care providers for the services of medical professionals. In addition, the reputation, expertise and demeanor of our physicians and other medical professionals are instrumental to our ability to attract patients. Demand for physicians and other medical professionals are high and such professionals often receive competing offers. If we are unable to successfully recruit and retain medical professionals our ability to successfully implement our business strategy could suffer. No assurance can be given that we will be able to continue to recruit and retain a sufficient number of qualified physicians and other medical professionals.

Our business exposes us to the risk of medical malpractice lawsuits.

Our business entails an inherent risk of claims against physicians for professional services rendered to patients, and we periodically become involved as a defendant in medical malpractice lawsuits. Medical malpractice claims are subject to the attendant risk of substantial damage awards. Although we maintain insurance against these claims, if liability results from any of our pending or any future medical malpractice claims, there can be no assurance that our medical malpractice insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liability arising out of these proceedings or that as a result of such liability we will be able to renew our medical malpractice insurance coverage on acceptable terms, if at all. There can be no assurance that pending or future litigation will not have a material adverse affect on us or that liability resulting from litigation will not exceed our insurance coverage.

Our revenues will be affected by the Medicare Risk Adjustment program.

The majority of patients to whom we provide care are Medicare-eligible and participate in the Medicare Advantage program. CMS implemented its Medicare Risk Adjustment project whereby it transitioned its premium calculation methodology to a new system that takes into account the health status of Medicare Advantage participants in determining premiums paid for each participant, rather than only considering demographic factors, as was historically the case. Beginning January 1, 2004, the new risk adjustment system required that ambulatory data be incorporated into the premium calculation, starting from a blend consisting of a 30% risk adjustment payment and the remaining 70% based on demographic factors. For 2005, the blend of demographic risk adjustment payments and demographic factors were given equal weight. For 2006, the blend consisted of a 75% risk adjustment payment and 25% based on demographic factors. For 2007 and 2008, the premium calculation is 100% based on risk adjustment payments.

We believe the risk adjustment methodology has generally increased our revenues per patient to date but cannot assure what future impact this risk adjustment methodology will continue to have on our business, results of operations, or financial condition. It is also possible that the risk adjustment methodology may result in fluctuations in our revenues from year to year.

We presently operate only in Florida.

All of our revenues are presently derived from our operations in Florida. Adverse economic, regulatory, or other developments in Florida (including hurricanes) could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. In the event that we expand our operations into new geographic markets, we will need to establish new relationships with physicians and other health care providers. In addition, we will be required to comply

with laws and regulations of states that differ from the ones in which we currently operate, and may face competitors with greater knowledge of such local markets. There can be no assurance that we will be able to establish relationships, realize management efficiencies or otherwise establish a presence in new geographic markets.

Failure to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock price.

Effective December 31, 2006, we became subject to the assessment and attestation processes required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 404). Section 404 requires management s annual review and evaluation of our internal control systems, and attestation as to the effectiveness of these systems by our independent registered public accounting firm. We have expended and expect to continue to expend significant resources and management time documenting and testing our internal systems and procedures. Although our management has determined, and our independent registered public accounting firm has attested, that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2008, we cannot assure you that we or our independent registered public accounting firm will not identify a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting in the future. A material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting would require management and our independent registered public accounting firm to evaluate our internal controls as ineffective. Failure to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock price.

A significant portion of our voting power is concentrated.

One of our directors, Dr. Phillip Frost, and entities affiliated with him, beneficially owned approximately 40% of our outstanding common stock and the principal owners of the MDHC Companies, in the aggregate, beneficially owned approximately 11% of our outstanding common stock as of August 25, 2008. Based on the significant beneficial ownership of our common stock by Dr. Frost and the principal owners of the MDHC Companies, other shareholders have little ability to influence corporate actions requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors. If Dr. Frost and the principal owners of the MDHC Companies voted in the same manner, they would be able to effectively control any shareholder votes or actions with respect to such matter. This influence may make us less attractive as a target for a takeover proposal. It may also make it more difficult to discourage a merger proposal that Dr. Frost or the principal owners of the MDHC Companies favor or to wage a proxy contest for the removal of incumbent directors. As a result, this may deprive the holders of our common stock of an opportunity they might otherwise have to sell their shares at a premium over the prevailing market price in connection with a merger or acquisition of us or with or by another company.

We are dependent on our executive officers and other key employees.

Our operations are highly dependent on the efforts of our Chief Executive Officer and our other key employees. Our executive officers and key employees do not have employment agreements with us, but are instead employed on an at will basis. While we believe that we could find replacements, the loss of any of their leadership, knowledge and experience could negatively impact our operations. Replacing any of our executive officers or key employees might be difficult or take an extended period of time because a limited number of individuals in the managed care industry have the breadth and depth of skills and experience necessary to operate a business such as ours. Our success is also dependent on our ability to hire and retain qualified management, technical and medical personnel. We may be unsuccessful in recruiting and retaining such personnel, which could negatively impact our operations. **We depend on the management information systems of our affiliated HMOs.**

Our operations are dependent on the management information systems of the HMOs with which we contract. Our affiliated HMOs provide us with certain financial and other information, including reports and calculations of costs of services provided and payments to be received by us. Both the software and hardware our HMO affiliates use to provide us with that information have been subject to rapid technological change. Because we rely on this technology but do not own or have direct access to it, we have limited ability to ensure that it is properly maintained, serviced and updated. In addition, information systems such as these may be vulnerable to failure, acts of sabotage such as hacking, and obsolescence. If either of our principal HMO affiliates were to temporarily or permanently lose the use of the information systems that provide us with the information on which we depend or the underlying patient and physician data, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Because our HMO affiliates generate certain of the information on which we depend, we have less control over the manner in which that information is generated than we would if we generated the information internally.

We depend on our information processing systems.

Our information processing systems allow us to monitor the medical services we provide to patients. They also enable us to provide our HMO affiliates with information they use to calculate the payments due to us. For example, revenue we are entitled to receive under our HMO agreements is dependent, in part, on the health status of our patients and demographic factors, and we rely on our information processing systems to compile all or a portion of that data. The failure to accurately and timely provide that data to our HMO affiliates could impact the revenue we receive for our patients. These systems are vital to our growth. Although we license most of our information processing from third-party vendors we believe to be reliable, we developed certain elements of our information processing systems internally. Our current systems may not perform as expected or provide efficient operational solutions if:

we fail to adequately identify or are unsuccessful in implementing solutions for our information and processing needs;

our processing or information systems fail; or

we fail to upgrade systems as necessary.

Volatility of our stock price could adversely affect you.

The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly as a result of many factors, including factors that are beyond our ability to control or foresee. These factors include:

state and federal budget decreases;

adverse publicity regarding HMOs and other managed care organizations;

government action regarding eligibility;

changes in government payment levels;

changes in state mandatory programs;

changes in expectations of our future financial performance or changes in financial estimates, if any, of public market analysts;

announcements relating to our business or the business of our competitors;

conditions generally affecting the managed care industry or our provider networks;

the success of our operating strategy;

the operating and stock price performance of other comparable companies;

the termination of any of our contracts;

regulatory or legislative changes;

acts of war or terrorism or an increase in hostilities in the world; and

general economic conditions, including inflation and unemployment rates. The Internal Revenue Service may disagree with the parties description of the federal income tax consequences.

Neither we nor the MDHC Companies has applied for, or expects to obtain, a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the federal income tax consequences of the Acquisition nor have we or the MDHC Companies received an opinion of legal counsel as to the anticipated federal income tax consequences of the Acquisition. No assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge the income tax consequences of the Acquisition to us.

Our intangible assets represent a substantial portion of our total assets.

Our balance sheet includes intangible assets, including goodwill and other separately identifiable intangible assets, of approximately \$79.7 million, which represented approximately 67% of our total assets at June 30, 2008. The most significant component of our intangible assets consists of intangible assets recorded as a result of the Acquisition, which increased goodwill by approximately \$58.9 million and other intangible assets by approximately \$6.5 million at June 30, 2008.

We are required to review our intangible assets, including our goodwill, for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if certain indicators of permanent impairment arise. Because we report as a single segment of business, we perform our impairment test on an enterprise level. In performing the impairment test, we compare the then-current market price of our outstanding shares of common stock to the current value of our total net assets, including goodwill and intangible assets. Should we determine that an indicator of impairment has occurred we would be required to perform an additional impairment test. Indicators of impairment include, among other things:

a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate;

the loss of a key HMO contract;

an adverse action by a regulator;

unanticipated competition;

loss of key personnel; or

allocation of goodwill to a portion of business that is to be sold.

Depending on the market value of our common stock at the time that an impairment test is required, there is a risk that a portion of our intangible assets would be considered impaired and must be written-off during that period. The market price of our common stock can fluctuate significantly because of many factors, including factors that are beyond our ability to control or foresee and which, in some cases, may be wholly unrelated to us or our business. As a result, fluctuations in the market price of our common stock, even those wholly unrelated to us or our business may result in us incurring an impairment charge relating to the write-off of our intangible assets. Such a write-off could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and a further adverse impact on the market price of our common stock.

Competition for acquisition targets and acquisition financing and other factors may impede our ability to acquire other businesses and may inhibit our growth.

We anticipate that a portion of our future growth may be accomplished through acquisitions. The success of this strategy depends upon our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, reach agreements to acquire these companies, obtain necessary financing on acceptable terms and successfully integrate the operations of these businesses. In pursuing acquisition and investment opportunities, we may compete with other companies that have similar growth strategies. Some of these competitors are larger and have greater financial and other resources than we have. This competition may prevent us from acquiring businesses that could improve our growth or expand our operations.

Our acquisitions could result in integration difficulties, unexpected expenses, diversion of management s attention and other negative consequences.

As part of our growth strategy, we plan to continue to evaluate potential business acquisition opportunities that we anticipate will provide new product and market opportunities, benefit from and maximize our existing assets and add critical mass. Any such acquisitions would require us to integrate the technology, products and services, operations, systems and personnel of the acquired businesses with our own and to attempt to grow the acquired businesses as part of our company. The successful integration of businesses we have acquired and may acquire in the future is critical to our future success, and if we are unsuccessful in integrating these businesses, our operations and financial results could suffer. The risks and challenges associated with the acquisition and integration of an acquired business include, but are not limited to, the following:

we may be unable to centralize and consolidate our financial, operational and administrative functions with those of the businesses we acquire;

our management s attention may be diverted from other business concerns;

we may be unable to retain and motivate key employees of an acquired company;

litigation, indemnification claims and other unforeseen claims and liabilities may arise from the acquisition or operation of acquired businesses;

the costs necessary to complete integration may exceed our expectations or outweigh some of the intended benefits of the transactions we complete;

we may be unable to maintain the patients or goodwill of an acquired business; and

the costs necessary to improve the operating systems and services of an acquired business may exceed our expectations.

Risks related to our industry

We are subject to government regulation.

Our business is regulated by the federal government and the State of Florida. The laws and regulations governing our operations are generally intended to benefit and protect health plan members and providers rather than our shareholders. The government agencies administering these laws and regulations have broad latitude to enforce them. These laws and regulations, along with the terms of our contracts, regulate how we do business, what services we

offer, and how we interact with our patients, other providers and the public. We are subject to various governmental reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with our contracts and applicable laws and regulations. Any adverse review, audit or investigation could result in:

forfeiture of amounts we have been paid;

imposition of civil or criminal penalties, fines or other sanctions on us;

loss of our right to participate in government-sponsored programs, including Medicare and Medicaid;

damage to our reputation in various markets;

increased difficulty in hiring or retaining qualified medical personnel or marketing our products and services; and

loss of one or more of our licenses to provide health care services.

Any of these events could reduce our revenues and profitability and otherwise adversely affect our operating results.

The health care industry is subject to continued scrutiny.

The health care industry, generally, and HMOs specifically, have been the subject of increased government and public scrutiny in recent years, which has focused on the appropriateness of the care provided, referral and marketing practices and other matters. Increased media and public attention has focused on the outpatient services industry in particular as a result of allegations of fraudulent practices related to the nature and duration of patient treatments, illegal remuneration and certain marketing, admission and billing practices by certain health care providers. The alleged practices have been the subject of federal and state investigations, as well as other legal proceedings. There can be no assurance that we or our HMO affiliates will not be subject to federal or state review from time to time, and any such investigation could adversely impact our business or results of operations, even if we are not ultimately found to have violated the law.

Our insurance coverage may not be adequate, and rising insurance premiums could negatively affect our profitability.

We rely on insurance to protect us from many business risks, including, stop loss insurance. In most cases, as is the trend in the health care industry, as insurance policies expire, we may only be able to procure policies with narrower coverage, more exclusions and higher premiums. In some cases, coverage may not be available at any price. There can be no assurance that the insurance that we maintain and intend to maintain will be adequate, or that the cost of insurance and limitations in coverage will not adversely affect our business, financial position or results of operations. **Deficit spending and economic downturns could negatively impact our results of operations.**

Adverse developments in the economy often result in decreases in the federal budget and associated changes in the federal government s spending priorities. We are presently in a period of deficit spending by the federal government, and those deficits are presently expected to continue for at least the next several years. Continued deficit spending by the federal government could lead to increased pressure to reduce governmentally funded programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. If governmental funding of the Medicare or Medicaid programs was reduced without a

counterbalancing adjustment in the benefits offered to patients, our results of operations could be negatively impacted. Many factors that increase health care costs are largely beyond our ability to control.

Increased utilization or unit cost, competition, government regulations and many other factors may, and often do, cause actual health care costs to increase and these cost increases can adversely impact our profitability. These factors may include, among other things:

increased use of medical facilities and services, including prescription drugs and doctors office visits;

increased cost of such services;

new benefits to patients added by the HMOs to their covered services, whether as a result of the Medicare Modernization Act or otherwise;

changes or reductions of our utilization management functions such as preauthorization of services, concurrent review or requirements for physician referrals;

catastrophes (including hurricanes), epidemics or terrorist attacks;

the introduction of new or costly treatments, including new technologies;

new government mandated benefits or other regulatory changes; and

increases in the cost of stop loss or other insurance.

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict.

Health care reform initiatives, particularly changes to the Medicare system, could adversely affect our operations.

Substantially all of our revenues from continuing operations are based upon Medicare funded programs. The federal government from time to time explores ways to reduce medical care costs through Medicare reform and through health care reform generally. Any changes that would limit, reduce or delay receipt of Medicare funding or mandate increased benefit levels or any developments that would disqualify us from receiving Medicare funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects, financial results, financial condition or cash flows. There are currently pending legislative proposals in the United States Congress that could reduce future Medicare premium rates, eliminate coverage for certain benefits and mandate increased benefit levels and , as a result, medical expenses for Medicare beneficiaries. Due to the diverse range of proposals put forth and the uncertainty of any proposal s adoption, we cannot predict what impact any Medicare reform proposal ultimately adopted may have on our business, financial position or results of operations. In addition, to the extent that we are successful in increasing our Medicaid patient base and line of business, we would be subject to similar risks as they apply to Medicaid funded programs.

Medicare premiums have generally risen more slowly than the cost of providing health care services.

Our revenues are largely determined by the premiums that are paid to our affiliated HMOs under their Medicare Advantage (formerly known as Medicare+Choice) contracts. Although CMS has generally increased the premiums paid to the HMOs for Medicare Advantage patients each year, the rate of increase has generally been less than the rate at which the cost of providing health care services, including prescription drugs, has increased on a national average. As a result, we are under increasing pressure to contain our costs, and the margin we realize on providing health care services has generally decreased over time. There can be no assurance that CMS will maintain its premiums at the current level or continue to increase its premiums each year. Additionally there can be no assurances that we will receive the total benefit of any premium increase the HMOs may receive.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease approximately 9,800 square feet of corporate office space in Miami, Florida under a lease expiring in December 2009 with average annual base lease payments of approximately \$14,000.

Of the 18 medical centers that we operated as of June 30, 2008, five are leased from independent landlords, one is leased from a landlord affiliated with certain of the principal owners of the MDHC Companies, and ten are leased from Humana. The leases with Humana are tied to our managed care arrangement. We also own a facility in Hialeah, Florida, comprising approximately 49,000 square feet of medical office and administrative space and a 7,000 square foot medical facility in Homestead, Florida.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in legal proceedings incidental to our business that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business including, but not limited to, claims related to the alleged malpractice of employed and contracted medical professionals, workers compensation claims and other employee-related matters, and minor disputes with equipment lessors and other vendors. We record an accrual for claims related to legal proceedings, which includes amounts for insurance deductibles and projected exposure, based on our estimate of the ultimate outcome of such claims. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. However, the results of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, cash flow, and prospects.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our shareholders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) under the symbol CNU. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices of our common stock as reported by the composite tape of AMEX for each of the quarters indicated.

	HIGH	LOW
Fiscal Year 2008:		
Quarter Ended June 30, 2008	\$ 2.79	\$ 1.04
Quarter Ended March 31, 2008	2.68	1.70
Quarter Ended December 31, 2007	2.90	2.16
Quarter Ended September 30, 2007	3.10	2.08
Fiscal Year 2007:		
Quarter Ended June 30, 2007	\$ 3.62	\$ 2.97
Quarter Ended March 31, 2007	3.69	2.56
Quarter Ended December 31, 2006	2.99	2.29
Quarter Ended September 30, 2006	3.05	2.46

As of the close of business on August 25, 2008, there were approximately 139 record holders of our common stock. We have not declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not contemplate declaring or paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of June 30, 2008, with respect to all of our compensation plans under which equity securities are authorized for issuance:

	Number of securities to be issued upon	Weighted average	
	exercise of	exercise price of	
	outstanding options, warrants	outstanding options, warrants	Number of securities remaining available for future
Plan Category	and rights	and rights	issuance
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	5,373,804	\$ 1.95	2,224,667
	5,373,804		2,224,667
	20		

Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total shareholder return on Continucare s common stock against the cumulative total return of the AMEX Composite Index and the NASDAQ Health Services Index for the period from June 30, 2003 to June 30, 2008, based on a \$100 investment.

				Cumulative '	Total Return	1	
		6/03	6/04	6/05	6/06	6/07	6/08
	Continucare Corporation	100.00	457.14	583.33	702.38	735.10	554.76
	Amex Composite	100.00	128.79	165.82	204.19	253.70	243.41
	NASDAQ Health Services	100.00	130.39	165.22	158.60	175.67	133.92
*	\$100 invested						
	on 6/30/03 in						
	stock or index						
	including						
	reinvestment of						
	dividends.						
	Fiscal year						
	ended June 30.						
			21				

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

In May 2008 and June 2008, we increased our previously announced stock repurchase program to authorize the repurchase of an additional 1,000,000 and 3,500,000 shares of common stock, respectively, bringing the total number of shares of common stock authorized for repurchase under the program to 10,000,000 shares. Any such repurchases will be made from time to time at the discretion of our management in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions subject to market conditions and other factors. We anticipate that any such repurchases of shares will be funded through cash from operations. There is no expiration date specified for this program. The following table provides information with respect to our stock repurchases during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2008:

	Total Number of Shares	Average Price Paid	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under
Period	Purchased	per Share	Plan	the Plan ⁽¹⁾
April 1 to April 30, 2008		N/A		6,457,500
May 1 to May 31, 2008	48,100	\$ 2.28	48,100	6,409,400
June 1 to June 30, 2008	2,897,400	\$ 1.97	2,897,400	3,512,000
Totals	2,945,500	\$ 1.97	2,945,500	
⁽¹⁾ Amounts in				
this column				
include the				
additional				
1,000,000				
shares and				
3,500,000				
shares of				
common stock				
authorized to be				
repurchased				
under the plan				
as a result of the				
increases				
approved by the				
Board of				
Directors in				
May 2008 and				
June 2008,				
respectively.				
The stock				
repurchase				
program was				
originally				

Board of

approved by the

Directors in February 2005.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Set forth below is our selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for Fiscal 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 that has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected historical consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere herein.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA:

	2008	For th 2007	e Year Ended Ju 2006	ne 30, 2005	2004 (1)
Revenue	\$254,440,048	\$217,146,287	\$132,990,912	\$112,231,113	\$101,824,102
Operating expenses:					
Medical services:					
Medical claims	181,097,183	161,153,828	97,781,447	81,104,665	76,333,580
Other direct costs	26,942,472	22,919,746	13,137,396	12,648,297	11,665,894
Total medical services Administrative payroll and	208,039,655	184,073,574	110,918,843	93,752,962	87,999,474
employee benefits	12,119,139	9,192,670	6,538,295	5,107,672	3,822,949
General and administrative	16,413,801	13,990,439	7,584,205	7,059,602	5,821,871
Gain on extinguishment of debt				(3,000,000)	(850,000)
Total operating expenses	236,572,595	207,256,683	125,041,343	102,920,236	96,794,294
Income from operations Other income (expense):	17,867,453	9,889,604	7,949,569	9,310,877	5,029,808
Interest income	602,826	356,192	331,001	108,000	4,793
Interest expense	(67,898)	(49,746)	(12,870)	(702,946)	(1,006,082)
Medicare settlement related to terminated operations					2,218,278
Income from continuing operations before income					
tax provision (benefit)	18,402,381	10,196,050	8,267,700	8,715,931	6,246,797
Income tax provision (benefit)	7,132,727	3,892,605	2,930,161	(7,175,561)	
Income from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations:	11,269,654	6,303,445	5,337,539	15,891,492	6,246,797
Home health operations Terminated IPAs					(1,666,934) 73,091
Total loss from discontinued operations					(1,593,843)
Net income	\$ 11,269,654	\$ 6,303,445	\$ 5,337,539	\$ 15,891,492	\$ 4,652,954

Basic net income per common share: Income from continuing operations Loss from discontinued operations	\$.16	\$.10	\$.11	\$.32	\$.14 (.03)
Net income per common share	\$.16	\$.10	\$.11	\$.32	\$.11
Diluted net income per common share: Income from continuing operations Loss from discontinued operations	\$.16	\$.10	\$.10	\$.31	\$.12 (.03)
Net income per common share	\$.16	\$.10	\$.10	\$.31	\$.09
Cash dividends declared	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DATA:

	As of June 30,									
		2008		2007		2006		2005	2	004 (1)
Total assets	\$11	8,490,304	\$11	16,937,548	\$4	1,994,347	\$3	4,137,935	\$2	1,908,181
Long-term obligations,										
including current portion	\$	196,379	\$	331,319	\$	195,819	\$	107,710	\$	337,186

(1) These amounts have been adjusted to reflect the termination of certain lines of business. We implemented a plan to dispose of our home health operations in December 2003. The home health disposition occurred in three separate

transactions and was concluded in February 2004.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We are a provider of primary care physician services. Through our network of 18 medical centers, we provide primary care medical services on an outpatient basis. We also provide practice management services to IPAs at 25 medical offices. All of our medical centers and IPAs are located in Miami-Dade, Broward and Hillsborough Counties, Florida. As of June 30, 2008, we provided services to or for approximately 26,200 patients on a risk basis and approximately 8,700 patients on a limited or non-risk basis as of June 30, 2008. Additionally, we also provided services to over 2,500 patients as of June 30, 2008 on a non-risk fee-for-service basis. In Fiscal 2008, approximately 89% and 9% of our revenue was generated by providing services to Medicare-eligible and Medicaid-eligible members, respectively, under risk agreements that require us to assume responsibility to provide and pay for all of our patients medical needs in exchange for a capitated fee, typically a percentage of the premium received by an HMO from various payor sources.

Effective October 1, 2006, we completed the acquisition of the MDHC Companies. Accordingly, the revenues, expenses and results of operations of the MDHC Companies have been included in our consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for unaudited pro forma financial information for Fiscal 2007 and 2006 presenting our operating results as though the Acquisition occurred at the beginning of the respective periods.

Effective March 1, 2007, one of the Physician Provider Agreements with Wellcare was amended from a non-risk arrangement to a risk arrangement under which we receive for our services a monthly capitated fee with respect to patients assigned to us that represents a percentage of the premium that Wellcare receives for those patients. Under the risk arrangement we assume full financial responsibility for the provision of all necessary medical care to our patients. Under this Physician Provider Agreement, as of June 30, 2008, we provided services to approximately 1,200 Medicare Advantage patients enrolled in Wellcare managed care plans.

Effective January 1, 2006, we entered into the Risk IPA Agreement with Humana under which we agreed to assume certain management responsibilities on a risk basis for Humana s Medicare and Medicaid members assigned to certain IPAs practicing in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Under the Risk IPA Agreement, we receive a capitation fee established as a percentage of premium that Humana receives for its members who have selected the IPAs as their primary care physicians and assume responsibility for the cost of all medical services provided to these members, even those we do not provide directly. Medical service revenue and medical services expenses related to the Risk IPA Agreement approximated \$14.9 million and \$14.0 million in Fiscal 2008, respectively, \$15.7 million and \$14.5 million in Fiscal 2007, respectively, and \$8.7 million and \$8.5 million in Fiscal 2006, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, the IPAs provided services to or for approximately 1,600 Medicare and Medicaid patients enrolled in Humana managed care plans. The Risk IPA Agreement replaces the Humana PGP Agreement that was terminated effective December 31, 2005. Under the Humana PGP Agreement, we assumed certain management responsibilities on a non-risk basis for Humana s Medicare, Medicaid and commercial members assigned to selected primary care physicians in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Revenue from this contract consisted of a monthly management fee intended to cover the costs of providing these services and amounted to approximately \$0.2 million during Fiscal 2006.

In an effort to streamline and stem operating losses, we implemented a plan to dispose of our home health operations in December 2003. The home health disposition occurred in three separate transactions and was concluded in February 2004. As a result of these transactions, the home health operations are shown as discontinued operations. **Medicare and Medicaid Considerations**

Substantially all of our revenue is generated by providing services to Medicare-eligible members and Medicaid-eligible members. The federal government and state governments, including Florida, from time to time explore ways to reduce medical care costs through Medicare and Medicaid reform, specifically, and through health care reform generally. Any changes that would limit, reduce or delay receipt of Medicare or Medicaid funding or mandate increased benefit levels or any developments that would disqualify us from receiving Medicare or Medicaid

funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects, financial results, financial condition and cash flows. Due to the diverse range of medical care related proposals put forth and the uncertainty of any proposal s adoption, we cannot predict what impact any Medicare reform proposal ultimately adopted may have on our business, financial position or results of operations.

On January 1, 2006, the Medicare Prescription Drug Plan created by the Medicare Modernization Act became effective. As a result, our HMO affiliates have established or expanded prescription drug benefit plans for their Medicare Advantage members. Under the terms of our risk arrangements, we are financially responsible for a substantial portion of the cost of the prescription drugs our patients receive, and, in exchange, our HMO affiliates have agreed to provide us with an additional per member capitated fee related to prescription drug coverage. However, there can be no assurance that the additional fee that we receive will be sufficient to reimburse us for the additional costs that we may incur under the new Medicare Prescription Drug Plan.

In addition, the premiums our HMO affiliates receive from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for their Medicare Prescription Drug Plans is subject to periodic adjustment, positive or negative, based upon the application of risk corridors that compare their plans revenues targeted in their bids to actual prescription drug costs. Variances exceeding certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to the HMOs or require the HMOs to refund to CMS a portion of the payments they received. Our contracted HMO affiliates estimate and periodically adjust premium revenues related to the risk corridor payment adjustment, and a portion of the HMO s estimated premium revenue adjustment is allocated to us. As a result, the revenues recognized under our risk arrangements with our HMO affiliates are net of the portion of the estimated risk corridor adjustment allocated to us. The portion of any such risk corridor adjustment that the HMOs allocate to us may not directly correlate to the historical utilization patterns of our patients or the costs that we may incur in future periods. Our HMO affiliates allocated to us adjustments related to their risk corridor payments which had the effect of reducing our operating income by approximately \$3.1 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, during Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The Medicare Prescription Drug Plan has also been subject to significant public criticism and controversy, and members of Congress have discussed possible changes to the program as well as ways to reduce the program s cost to the federal government. We cannot predict what impact, if any, these developments may have on the Medicare Prescription Drug Plan or on our future financial results.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements and accompanying notes requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Certain of the amounts recorded on our financial statements could change materially under different, yet still reasonable, estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience, knowledge of current events and expectations of future events, and we continuously evaluate and update our estimates and assumptions. However, our estimates and assumptions may ultimately prove to be incorrect or incomplete and, as a result, our actual results may differ materially from those previously reported. We believe the following critical accounting policies involve the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Under our risk contracts with HMOs, we receive a percentage of premium or other capitated fee for each patient that chooses one of our physicians as their primary care physician. Revenue under these agreements is generally recorded in the period we assume responsibility to provide services at the rates then in effect as determined by the respective contract. As part of the Medicare Advantage program, CMS periodically recomputes the premiums to be paid to the HMOs based on updated health status of participants and updated demographic factors. We record any adjustments to this revenue at the time that the information necessary to make the determination of the adjustment is received from the HMO.

Under our risk agreements, we assume responsibility for the cost of all medical services provided to the patient, even those we do not provide directly, in exchange for a percentage of premium or other capitated fee. To the extent that patients require more frequent or expensive care, our revenue under a contract may be insufficient to cover the costs of care provided. When it is probable that expected future health care costs and maintenance costs under a contract or group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated capitated revenue on those contracts, we recognize losses on our prepaid health care services with HMOs. No contracts were considered loss contracts at June 30, 2008 because we have the right to terminate unprofitable physicians and close unprofitable centers under our managed care contracts.

Under our limited risk and non-risk contracts with HMOs, we receive a capitation fee or management fee based on the number of patients for which we are providing services on a monthly basis. The capitation fee or management fee is recorded as revenue in the period in which services are provided as determined by the respective contract. Payments under both our risk contracts and our non-risk contracts (for both the Medicare Advantage program as well as Medicaid) are also subject to reconciliation based upon historical patient enrollment data. We record any adjustments to this revenue at the time that the information necessary to make the determination of the adjustment is received from the HMO or the applicable governmental body.

Medical Claims Expense Recognition

The cost of health care services provided or contracted for is accrued in the period in which the services are provided. This cost includes our estimate of the related liability for medical claims incurred in the period but not yet reported, or IBNR. IBNR represents a material portion of our medical claims liability which is presented in the balance sheet netted against amounts due from HMOs. Changes in this estimate can materially affect, either favorably or unfavorably, our results from operations and overall financial position.

We develop our estimate of IBNR primarily based on historical claims incurred per member per month. We adjust our estimate if we have unusually high or low inpatient utilization or if benefit changes provided under the HMO plans are expected to significantly increase or reduce our claims exposure. We also adjust our estimate for differences between the estimated claims expense recorded in prior months to actual claims expense as claims are paid by the HMO and reported to us. We use an actuarial analysis as an additional tool to further corroborate our estimate of IBNR.

Based on our analysis as of June 30, 2008, we recorded a liability of approximately \$23.9 million for IBNR which was relatively unchanged from the liability of \$23.6 million recorded as of June 30, 2007. The increase in the liability for IBNR of \$9.4 million or 66.2% to \$23.6 million as of June 30, 2007 from \$14.2 million as of June 30, 2006 was primarily due to the additional liability recorded for IBNR related to the operations of the MDHC Companies.

Consideration of Impairment Related to Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Our balance sheet includes intangible assets, including goodwill and other separately identifiable intangible assets, of approximately \$79.7 million, which represented approximately 67% of our total assets at June 30, 2008. The most significant component of the intangible assets consists of the intangible assets recorded in connection with the Acquisition. The purchase price, including acquisition costs, of approximately \$66.2 million was allocated to the estimated fair value of acquired tangible assets of \$13.9 million, identifiable intangible assets of \$8.7 million and assumed liabilities of \$15.3 million, resulting in goodwill totaling \$58.9 million.

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are no longer amortized, but are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if certain indicators of impairment arise. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their respective useful lives to their estimated residual values and also reviewed for impairment annually, or more frequently if certain indicators of impairment arise. Indicators of impairment include, among other things, a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate, the loss of a key HMO contract, an adverse action by a regulator, unanticipated competition, and the loss of key personnel or allocation of goodwill to a portion of business that is to be sold.

Because we operate in a single segment of business, we have determined that we have a single reporting unit and we perform our impairment test for goodwill on an enterprise level. In performing the impairment test, we compare the total current market value of all of our outstanding common stock, to the current carrying value of our total net assets, including goodwill and intangible assets. Depending on the market value of our common stock at the time that an impairment test is required, there is a risk that a portion of our intangible assets would be considered impaired and must be written-off during that period. We completed our annual impairment test as of May 1, 2008, and determined that no impairment existed. In addition, no indicators of impairment were noted and accordingly, no impairment charges were required at June 30, 2008. Should we later determine that an indicator of impairment exists, we would be required to perform an additional impairment test.

Realization of Deferred Income Tax Assets

We account for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS 109) which requires that deferred income tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 109 also requires that deferred income tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax asset will not be realized.

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we estimate our income taxes based on our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. We also recognize as deferred income tax assets the future tax benefits from

net operating loss carryforwards. We evaluate the realizability of these deferred income tax assets by assessing their valuation allowances and by adjusting the amount of such allowances, if necessary. Among the factors used to assess the likelihood of realization are our projections of future taxable income streams, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences, and the impact of tax planning strategies that could be implemented to avoid the potential loss of future tax benefits. However, changes in tax codes, statutory tax rates or future taxable income levels could materially impact our valuation of income tax accruals and assets and could cause our provision for income taxes to vary significantly from period to period. At June 30, 2008, we had deferred income tax liabilities in excess of deferred income tax assets of approximately \$3.3 million.

Share-Based Payment

We use the modified prospective transition method under SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123 (R)). SFAS 123(R) requires us to recognize compensation costs in our financial statements related to our share-based payment transactions with employees and directors. SFAS 123(R) requires us to calculate this cost based on the grant date fair value of the equity instrument.

Consistent with our practices prior to adopting SFAS 123(R), we have elected to calculate the fair value of our employee stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Using this model we calculated the fair value for employee stock options granted during Fiscal 2008 and 2007 based on the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate ranging from 1.61% to 4.22% and 4.81% to 5.18%, respectively; dividend yield of 0%; weighted-average volatility factor of the expected market price of our common stock of 59.5% and 63.7%, respectively, and weighted-average expected life of the options ranging from 2 to 6 years depending on the vesting provisions of each option. The fair value for employee stock options granted during Fiscal 2006 was calculated based on the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate ranging from 4.21% to 5.16%; dividend yield of 0%; volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company s common stock of 71.1%; and weighted-average expected life of the option ranging from 3 to 6 years depending on the vesting provisions of each option. The storical exercise behavior of our employees. The expected volatility factor is based on the historical volatility of the market price of our common stock as adjusted for certain events that management deemed to be non-recurring and non-indicative of future events.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), we recognized share-based compensation cost of \$1.3 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006. For Fiscal 2008 and 2006, the Company did not recognize any excess tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options. For 2007 the Company recognized excess tax benefits of approximately \$0.5 million resulting from the exercise of stock options. As of June 30, 2008, there was \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years.

SFAS 123(R) does not require the use of any particular option valuation model. Because our stock options have characteristics significantly different from traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management s opinion, it is possible that existing models may not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of our employee stock options. We selected the Black-Scholes model based on our experience with it, its wide use by issuers comparable to us, and our review of alternate option valuation models.

The effect of applying the fair value method of accounting for stock options on reported net income for any period may not be representative of the effects for future periods because our outstanding options typically vest over a period of several years and additional awards may be made in future periods.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, selected operating data as a percentage of total revenue.

	Year	ended June 3	30,
	2008	2007	2006
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Operating expenses:			
Medical services:			
Medical claims	71.2	74.2	73.5
Other direct costs	10.6	10.6	9.9
Total medical services	81.8	84.8	83.4
Administrative payroll and employee benefits	4.7	4.2	4.9
General and administrative	6.5	6.4	5.7
Gain on extinguishment of debt			
Total operating expenses	93.0	95.4	94.0
Income from operations	7.0	4.6	6.0
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	0.2	0.1	0.2
Interest expense			
Income before income tax provision	7.2	4.7	6.2
Income tax provision	2.8	1.8	2.2
Net income	4.4%	2.9%	4.0%

COMPARISON OF FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 TO FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 *Revenue*

Revenue increased by \$37.3 million, or 17.2%, to \$254.4 million for Fiscal 2008 from \$217.1 million for Fiscal 2007 due primarily to increases in our Medicare revenue.

The most significant component of our revenue is the revenue we generate from Medicare patients under risk arrangements which increased by \$32.2 million, or 16.6%, during Fiscal 2008. During Fiscal 2008, revenue generated by our Medicare risk arrangements increased approximately 7.0% on a per patient per month basis and Medicare patient months increased by approximately 9.0% over Fiscal 2007. The increase in the per member per month Medicare revenue was primarily due to a rate increase in Medicare premiums and an increase in premiums resulting from the Medicare risk adjustment program. The increase in Medicare patient months was primarily due to the operations associated with the MDHC Companies which we acquired effective October 1, 2006 and which were included in our results for only part of Fiscal 2007.

Under the Medicare risk adjustment program, the health status and demographic factors of Medicare Advantage participants are taken into account in determining premiums paid for each participant. CMS periodically recomputes the premiums to be paid to the HMOs based on the updated health status and demographic factors of the Medicare Advantage participants. In addition, the premiums paid to the HMOs for their Medicare Prescription Drug Plan are subject to periodic adjustment based upon CMS s risk corridor adjustment methodology. The net effect of these premium adjustments included in revenue for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were favorable retroactive Medicare adjustments of \$1.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, and for Fiscal 2008 and 2007 were unfavorable retroactive Medicare adjustments of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Future Medicare risk adjustments may result in reductions of revenue depending on the future health status and demographic factors of our

patients as well as the application of CMS s risk corridor methodology to the HMOs Medicare Prescription Drug Programs.

During Fiscal 2008 and 2007, we received payments and recorded amounts due from our HMO affiliates of approximately \$0.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, related primarily to Medicare risk adjustments and pharmacy rebates relating to the operations of the MDHC Companies for periods prior to completion of the Acquisition. While these transactions ordinarily are reflected in our results of operations, since they related to periods prior to our acquisition of the MDHC Companies, they were instead recorded as purchase accounting adjustments which decreased the amount of goodwill we recorded for the Acquisition.

Revenue generated by our managed care entities under contracts with Humana accounted for approximately 72% and 74% of our total revenue for Fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. Revenue generated by our managed care entities under contracts with Vista accounted for approximately 19% and 20% of our total revenue for Fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Operating Expenses

Medical services expenses are comprised of medical claims expense and other direct costs related to the provision of medical services to our patients. Because our risk contracts with HMOs provide that we are financially responsible for the cost of substantially all medical services provided to our patients under those contracts, our medical claims expense includes the costs of prescription drugs these patients receive as well as medical services provided to patients under our risk contracts by providers other than us. Other direct costs consist primarily of salaries, taxes and benefits of our health professionals providing primary care services including a portion of our share-based compensation cost, medical malpractice insurance costs, capitation payments to our IPA physicians and fees paid to independent contractors providing medical services to our patients.

Medical services expenses for Fiscal 2008 increased by \$23.9 million, or 13.0%, to \$208.0 million from \$184.1 million for Fiscal 2007 primarily due to the medical expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies being included in our results for the entire Fiscal 2008 period. Medical claims expense, which is the largest component of medical services expense, increased by \$19.9 million, or 12.4%, to \$181.1 million for Fiscal 2007 primarily due to an increase in Medicare claims expense of \$17.0 million, or 11.6%. The increase in Medicare claims expense resulted from a 2.5% increase in medical claims expense on a per patient per month basis and a 9.0% increase in Medicare patient months. The increase in Medicare per patient per month medical claims expense is primarily attributable to enhanced benefits offered by our HMO affiliates and inflationary trends in the health care industry, partially offset by a general improvement in medical claims expense management and utilization outcomes. The increase in Medicare patient months is primarily attributable to the operations associated with the MDHC Companies which we acquired effective October 1, 2006 and which were included in our results for only part of Fiscal 2007.

As a percentage of revenue, medical services expenses decreased to 81.8% for Fiscal 2008 as compared to 84.8% for Fiscal 2007. Our claims loss ratio (medical claims expense as a percentage of revenue) decreased to 71.2% for Fiscal 2008 from 74.2% for Fiscal 2007. This decrease was primarily due to an increase in revenue at a greater rate than the increase in both our medical services expenses and our medical claims expense resulting primarily from a general improvement in medical claims expense management and utilization outcomes. HMOs, however, are under continuous competitive pressure to offer enhanced, and possibly more expensive benefits to their Medicare Advantage members. The premiums CMS pays to HMOs for Medicare Advantage members are generally not increased as a result of those benefit enhancements. This could increase our claims loss ratio in future periods, which could reduce our profitability and cash flows.

Other direct costs increased by \$4.0 million, or 17.6%, to \$26.9 million for Fiscal 2008 from \$22.9 million for Fiscal 2007. As a percentage of revenue, other direct costs remained unchanged at 10.6% for Fiscal 2008 and 2007. The increase in the amount of other direct costs was primarily due to the expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies being included in our results for the entire Fiscal 2008 period.

Administrative payroll and employee benefits expenses increased by \$2.9 million, or 31.8%, to \$12.1 million for Fiscal 2008 from \$9.2 million for Fiscal 2007. As a percentage of revenue, administrative payroll and employee benefits expenses increased to 4.7% for Fiscal 2008 from 4.2% for Fiscal 2007. The increase in administrative payroll and employee benefits expenses was primarily due to an increase in incentive plan accruals related to our earnings during Fiscal 2008 and an increase in personnel in connection with the acquisition of the MDHC Companies which were reflected in our results for the entire Fiscal 2008 period.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.4 million, or 17.3%, to \$16.4 million for Fiscal 2008 from \$14.0 million for Fiscal 2007. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to 6.5% for Fiscal 2008 from 6.4% for Fiscal 2007. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies and an increase in amortization expense resulting from the intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition of the MDHC Companies which were reflected in our results for the entire Fiscal 2008 period as well as an increase in marketing expenses.

Income from Operations

Income from operations for Fiscal 2008 increased by \$8.0 million to \$17.9 million from \$9.9 million for Fiscal 2007.

Taxes

An income tax provision of \$7.1 million and \$3.9 million was recorded for Fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. The effective income tax rates were 38.8% and 38.2% for Fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to an increase in the statutory federal income tax rate resulting from an increase in taxable income.

Net Income

Net income for Fiscal 2008 increased by \$5.0 million, or 78.8%, to \$11.3 million from \$6.3 million for Fiscal 2007.

COMPARISON OF FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 TO FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 *Revenue*

Revenue increased by \$84.1 million, or 63.3%, to \$217.1 million for Fiscal 2007 from \$133.0 million for Fiscal 2006 due primarily to revenue related to the operations of the MDHC Companies.

The most significant component of our revenue is the revenue we generate from Medicare patients under risk arrangements which increased by \$66.2 million or 51.9%, during Fiscal 2007. During Fiscal 2007, revenue generated by our Medicare risk arrangements increased approximately 12.0% on a per patient per month basis and Medicare patient months increased by approximately 35.6% over Fiscal 2006. The increase in Medicare patient months was primarily due to the Acquisition of the MDHC Companies effective October 1, 2006 and the conversion of the IPAs from a non-risk arrangement to a risk arrangement effective January 1, 2006. The increase in the per member per month Medicare revenue was primarily due to a rate increase in the Medicare premiums and the increased phase-in of the Medicare risk adjustment program. Included in revenue for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were favorable retroactive Medicare adjustments of \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, related primarily to Medicare premiums and risk corridor adjustments. The net results of these adjustments included in revenue for Fiscal 2007 and 2006 were unfavorable retroactive Medicare adjustments of \$0.1 million and favorable retroactive Medicare adjustments of \$0.9 million, respectively.

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 and Fiscal 2007, we received payments and recorded amounts due from our HMO affiliates of approximately \$0.4 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, related primarily to Medicare risk adjustments and pharmacy rebates relating to the operations of the MDHC Companies for periods prior to completion of the Acquisition. While these transactions ordinarily are reflected in our results of operations, since they related to periods prior to our acquisition of the MDHC Companies, they were instead recorded as purchase accounting adjustments which decreased the amount of goodwill we recorded for the Acquisition.

Revenue generated by our managed care entities under contracts with Humana accounted for approximately 74% and 80% of our revenue for Fiscal 2007 and Fiscal 2006, respectively. Revenue generated by our managed care entities under contracts with Vista accounted for approximately 20% of our revenue for Fiscal 2007 and Fiscal 2006. *Operating Expenses*

Medical services expenses for Fiscal 2007 increased by \$73.2 million, or 66.0%, to \$184.1 million from \$110.9 million for Fiscal 2006 primarily due to the medical expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies. Medical claims expense, which is the largest component of medical services expense, increased by \$63.4 million, or 64.8%, to \$161.2 million for Fiscal 2007 from \$97.8 million for Fiscal 2006 primarily due to an increase in Medicare claims expense of \$51.4 million or 54.3% resulting from a 13.7% increase on a per patient per month basis in medical claims expenses related to our Medicare patients and a 35.6% increase in Medicare patient months. The increase in Medicare per patient per month medical claims expense is primarily attributable to enhanced benefits offered by our HMO affiliates and inflationary trends in the health care industry. The increase in Medicare patient months is primarily attributable to the acquisition of the MDHC Companies and the conversion of the IPAs to a risk arrangement effective January 1, 2006.

Medical services expenses increased to 84.8% of revenue for Fiscal 2007 as compared to 83.4% for Fiscal 2006. Our claims loss ratio (medical claims expense as a percentage of revenue) increased to 74.2% for Fiscal 2007 from 73.5% for Fiscal 2006. These increases were primarily due to an increase in Medicare claims expense at a greater rate than increases in Medicare revenue on a per patient per month basis caused by enhanced benefits offered by our HMO affiliates and inflationary trends in the health care industry.

Other direct costs increased by \$9.8 million, or 74.5%, to \$22.9 million for Fiscal 2007 from \$13.1 million for Fiscal 2006. As a percentage of revenue, other direct costs increased to 10.6% for Fiscal 2007 from 9.9% for Fiscal 2006. The increase in the amount of other direct costs was primarily due to the expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies.

Administrative payroll and employee benefits expense increased by \$2.7 million, or 40.6%, to \$9.2 million for Fiscal 2007 from \$6.5 million for Fiscal 2006. As a percentage of revenue, administrative payroll and employee benefits expense decreased to 4.2% for Fiscal 2007 from 4.9% for Fiscal 2006. The increase in administrative payroll and employee benefits expense was primarily due to an increase in personnel in connection with the acquisition of the

MDHC Companies.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$6.4 million or 84.5%, to \$14.0 million for Fiscal 2007 from \$7.6 million for Fiscal 2006. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to 6.4% for Fiscal 2007 from 5.7% for Fiscal 2006. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to expenses related to the operations of the MDHC Companies, an increase in professional fees and an increase in amortization expense resulting from the intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition of the MDHC Companies.

Income from Operations

Income from operations for Fiscal 2007 increased by \$2.0 million to \$9.9 million from \$7.9 million for Fiscal 2006.

Taxes

An income tax provision of \$3.9 million and \$2.9 million was recorded for Fiscal 2007 and Fiscal 2006, respectively. The effective tax rates for Fiscal 2007 and Fiscal 2006 were 38.2% and 35.4%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to certain adjustments recorded in Fiscal 2006 related to non-deductible items.

Net Income

Net income for Fiscal 2007 increased by \$1.0 million to \$6.3 million from \$5.3 million for Fiscal 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2008, working capital was \$20.3 million, an increase of \$2.8 million from \$17.5 million at June 30, 2007. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$2.6 million to \$9.9 million at June 30, 2008 compared to \$7.3 million at June 30, 2007. The increase in working capital and cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2008 as compared to June 30, 2007 was primarily due to net cash provided by operating activities of \$15.2 million for Fiscal 2008, partially offset by \$11.5 million of cash used to repurchase our common stock and \$0.9 million cash used for the purchase of property and equipment.

Net cash of \$15.2 million was provided by operating activities during Fiscal 2008 compared to \$10.9 million in Fiscal 2007 and \$6.8 million in Fiscal 2006. The \$4.3 million increase in cash provided by operating activities for Fiscal 2008 compared to Fiscal 2007 was primarily due to an increase in net income of \$5.0 million. The \$4.1 million increase in cash provided by operating activities for Fiscal 2007 compared to Fiscal 2006 was primarily due to an increase in net income of \$1.0 million, a net increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.3 million and a net increase in income taxes payable of \$1.5 million.

Net cash of \$1.0 million was used for investing activities in Fiscal 2008 compared to \$7.0 million in Fiscal 2007 and \$1.2 million in Fiscal 2006. The \$6.0 million decrease in net cash used for investing activities for Fiscal 2008 primarily related to the Acquisition of the MDHC Companies in Fiscal 2007. The \$5.8 million increase in net cash used for investing activities for Fiscal 2007 compared to Fiscal 2006 primarily related to the Acquisition of the MDHC Companies and the purchase of equipment.

Net cash of \$11.6 million was used in financing activities in Fiscal 2008 compared to net cash used of \$7.3 million in Fiscal 2007 and \$0.7 million in Fiscal 2006. The \$4.3 million increase in cash used for financing activities for Fiscal 2008 was primarily due to \$11.5 million of cash used to repurchase our common stock during Fiscal 2008, partially offset by \$7.8 million of cash used for the repayment of long-term debt related to the acquisition of the MDHC Companies, net of proceeds from the long-term debt, during Fiscal 2007. The \$6.6 million increase in cash used for financing activities for Fiscal 2007 compared to Fiscal 2006 was primarily due to the repayment of long-term debt.

Pursuant to the terms under our managed care agreements with certain of our HMO affiliates, we posted irrevocable standby letters of credit amounting to \$1.1 million to secure our payment obligations to those HMOs. We are required to maintain these letters of credit throughout the term of the managed care agreements.

In May 2008 and June 2008, our Board of Directors increased our previously announced program to authorize the repurchase of an additional 1,000,000 and 3,500,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, bringing the total number of shares of common stock authorized for repurchase under the program to 10,000,000 shares. Any such repurchases will be made from time to time at the discretion of our management in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions subject to market conditions and other factors. We anticipate that any such repurchases of shares will be funded through cash from operations. During Fiscal 2008 we repurchased 5,330,533 shares of our common stock for approximately \$11.5 million. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during Fiscal 2007. As of August 25, 2008, we had repurchased 6,831,800 shares of our common stock for approximately \$15.2 million.

We believe that we will be able to fund our capital commitments and our anticipated operating cash requirements for the foreseeable future and satisfy any remaining obligations from our working capital, anticipated cash flows from

operations, our Credit Facility, and our Term Loans. At June 30, 2008, approximately \$10 million was available for future borrowing under those facilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of June 30, 2008, and have not entered into any transactions involving unconsolidated, limited purpose entities or commodity contracts.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our long-term debt, capital and operating lease obligations, and contractual obligations as of June 30, 2008:

		Payment due by Period					
		Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years	
	Capital Lease Obligations (1)	\$ 251,719	\$ 118,272	\$ 133,447	\$	\$	
	Operating Lease Obligations (1)	7,588,106	2,256,533	3,295,466	1,168,384	867,723	
	Obligations (1)	7,500,100	2,230,335	5,275,400	1,100,504	007,725	
	Total	\$7,839,825	\$ 2,374,805	\$3,428,913	\$1,168,384	\$ 867,723	
(1)	The payments shown above for Capital Lease Obligations and Operating Lease Obligations reflect all payments due under the terms of the respective leases. See Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to reconcile the payments shown above to the capital lease obligations recorded in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.	our liquidity and	cash flow are di	scussed elsewhe	ere in this Annua	al Report.	
			cash now are di	scussed eisewile	AC III UIIS AIIIIU	a Kepolt.	

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects, and the prevailing market price and performance of our common stock, may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the matters discussed below. Certain statements and information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as other written or oral statements made from time to time by us or by our authorized executive officers on our behalf, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Federal Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We intend for our forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and we set forth this statement and these risk factors in order to comply with such safe harbor provisions. You should note that our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or when made and we undertake no duty or obligation to update or revise our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Although we believe that the expectations, plans, intentions and projections reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. The risks, uncertainties and other factors that our shareholders and prospective investors should consider include, but are not limited to, the following:

Our operations are dependent on three health maintenance organizations;

Under our most important contracts we are responsible for the cost of medical services to our patients in return for a capitated fee;

If we are unable to manage medical benefits expense effectively, our profitability will likely be reduced;

A failure to estimate incurred but not reported benefits expense accurately will affect our profitability;

We compete with many health care providers for patients and HMO affiliations;

We may not be able to successfully recruit or retain existing relationships with qualified physicians and medical professionals;

Our business exposes us to the risk of medical malpractice lawsuits;

Our revenues will be affected by the Medicare Risk Adjustment program;

We presently operate only in Florida;

Failure to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock price;

A significant portion of our voting power is concentrated;

We are dependent on our executive officers and other key employees;

We depend on the management information systems of our affiliated HMOs;

We depend on our information processing systems;

Volatility of our stock price could adversely affect you;

The Internal Revenue Service may disagree with the parties description of the federal income tax consequences;

Our intangible assets represent a substantial portion of our total assets;

Competition for acquisition targets and acquisition financing and other factors may impede our ability to acquire other businesses and may inhibit our growth;

Our acquisitions could result in integration difficulties, unexpected expenses, diversion of management s attention and other negative consequences;

We are subject to government regulation;

The health care industry is subject to continued scrutiny;

Our insurance coverage may not be adequate, and rising insurance premiums could negatively affect our profitability;

Deficit spending and economic downturns could negatively impact our results of operations;

Many factors that increase health care costs are largely beyond our ability to control;

Health care reform initiatives, particularly changes to the Medicare system, could adversely affect our operations; and

Medicare premiums have generally risen more slowly than the cost of providing health care services.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

At June 30, 2008, we held certificates of deposit and cash equivalent investments in high grade, short-term securities, which are not typically subject to material market risk. At June 30, 2008, we had capital lease obligations outstanding at fixed rates. For loans with fixed interest rates, a hypothetical 10% change in interest rates would have no material impact on our future earnings and cash flows related to these instruments and would have an immaterial impact on the fair value of these instruments. Our Term Loans and Credit Facility have variable interest rates and are interest rate sensitive, however, we had no amount outstanding under these facilities at June 30, 2008. We have no material risk associated with foreign currency exchange rates or commodity prices.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements and independent registered public accounting firm s report thereon appear beginning on page F-2. See index to such consolidated financial statements and reports on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2008, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our Chief Executive Officer s and Chief Financial Officer s conclusions regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures should be considered in light of the following limitations on the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, some of which pertain to most, if not all, business enterprises, and some of which arise as a result of the nature of our business. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all errors or improper conduct. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of improper conduct, if any, will be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. Further, the design of any control system is based, in part, upon assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any control system design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Additionally, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. In addition, we depend on our HMO affiliates for certain financial and other information that we receive concerning the revenue and expenses that we earn and incur. Because our HMO affiliates generate that information for us, we have less control over the manner in which that information is generated.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules Rule 13a-15(f) or Rule 15d-15(f)). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets, (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors, and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this report based on the Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2008. Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, which audited our financial statements included in this report, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. Their report is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In connection with its evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, our management did not identify any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Section 302 Certifications

Provided with this report are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the SEC s implementing regulations. This Item 9A contains the information concerning the evaluations referred to in those certifications, and you should read this information in conjunction with those certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Continucare Corporation

We have audited Continucare Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Continucare Corporation s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Continucare Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Continucare Corporation as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2008 of Continucare Corporation and our report dated September 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Certified Public Accountants

Fort Lauderdale, Florida September 5, 2008

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120 day period.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120 day period.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120 day period.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120 day period.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120 day period.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements

Reference is made to the Index set forth on Page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted because they are inapplicable or the information is provided in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes hereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

- 3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws (2)
- 3.3 First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws (18)
- 4.1 Form of certificate evidencing shares of Common Stock (1)
- 4.2 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 30, 1997, by and between Continucare Corporation and Loewenbaum & Company Incorporated (3)
- 4.3 Continucare Corporation Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan** (4)
- 4.4 Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Option Plan ** (19)
- 4.5 Convertible Subordinated Promissory Note (6)
- 4.6 Form of Convertible Promissory Note, dated June 30, 2001 (7)
- 4.7 Amendment to Convertible Promissory Note, dated March 31, 2003, between Continucare Corporation and Frost Nevada Limited Partnership (7)
- 4.8 Form of Amendment to Convertible Promissory Note, dated March 31, 2003 (7)
- 10.1 Form of Stock Option Agreement**(8)
- 10.2 Physician Practice Management Participation Agreement between Continucare Medical Management, Inc., and Humana Medical Plan, Inc. entered into as of the 1st day of August, 1998 (9)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Primary Care Provider Services dated November 12, 2004, by and between Vista Healthplan of South Florida, Inc., Vista Insurance Plan, Inc. and Continucare Medical Management, Inc. (10)
- 10.4 Airport Corporate Center office lease dated June 3, 2004, by and between Miami RPFIV Airport Corporate Center Associates Limited Liability Company and Continucare Corporation (11)
- 10.5 Agreement, dated March 31, 2003, between the Company and Pecks Management Partners, Ltd. (7)
- 10.6 Agreement, dated March 31, 2003, between Continucare Corporation and Carret & Company (7)
- 10.7 WCMA Loan and Security Agreement dated March 9, 2000 between Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. and Continucare Corporation (12)
- 10.8 Letter Agreement dated March 18, 2005 between Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. and Continucare Corporation (13)
- 10.9 Form of Promissory Note dated December 29, 2004 (14)
- 10.10Letter Agreement between Continucare Corporation and Merrill Lynch Business
Financial Services, Inc. regarding amendment and extension of Credit Facility (15)

10.11	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2006, among Continucare Corporation, a Florida corporation, CNU Blue 1, Inc., a Florida corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of CNU, CNU Blue2, LLC, a Florida limited liability company and a wholly-owed subsidiary of Buyer, Miami Dade Health and Rehabilitation Services, Inc., a Florida corporation, Miami Dade Health Centers, Inc., a Florida corporation, West Gables Open MRI Services, Inc., a Florida corporation, Kent Management Systems, Inc., Pelu Properties, Inc., a Florida corporation, Peluca Investments, LLC, a Florida limited liability company owned by the Owners, and Miami Dade Health Centers One, Inc., a Florida corporation, MDHC Red, Inc., a Florida corporation, and each of the shareholders of each Seller identified therein. (16)
10.12	Integrated Delivery System Participation Agreement effective as of April 1, 1999 between MDHRS and Humana Medical Plan, Inc., as amended (17)
10.13	Management Services Agreement dated as of September 1, 2004 between MDHC and Vista Healthplan, Inc., as amended (17)
10.14	WCMA Reducing Revolver Loan and Security Agreement dated September 26, 2006, between Continucare MDHC LLC and Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. (17)
10.15	WCMA Reducing Revolver Loan and Security Agreement dated September 26, 2006, between Continucare MDHC LLC and Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. (17)
10.16	Amendment of Credit Facility dated September 26, 2006, between Continucare Corporation and Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. (17)
10.17	Independent Practice Association Participation Agreement dated as of October 11, 2007 by and among Continucare Medical Management, Inc. and Humana Insurance Company, Humana Health Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., Humana Medical Plan, Inc. and their affiliates that underwrite or administer health plans. (20)
10.18	Independent Practice Association Participation Agreement dated as of October 11, 2007 by and among Continucare Medical Management, Inc. and Humana Insurance Company, Humana Health Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., Humana Medical Plan, Inc. and their affiliates that underwrite or administer health plans. (20)
10.19	Independent Practice Association Participation Agreement dated as of October 11, 2007 by and among Continucare Medical Management, Inc. and Humana Insurance Company, Humana Health Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., Humana Medical Plan, Inc. and their affiliates that underwrite or administer health plans. (20)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company*
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm *
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer *
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer *
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *

Documents incorporated by reference to the indicated exhibit to the following filings by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- (1) Post Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on SB-2 on Form S-3 Registration Statement filed on October 29, 1996.
- (2) Form 8-K dated September 12, 2006, filed September 13, 2006.

- (3) Form 8-K dated October 30, 1997 and filed with the Commission on November 13, 1997.
- (4) Schedule 14A dated December 26, 1997 and filed with the Commission on December 30, 1997.
- (5) Schedule 14A dated January 8, 2007, filed January 8, 2007.
- (6) Form 8-K dated August 3, 2001, filed August 15, 2001.
- (7) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003.
- (8) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004.
- (9) Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000.
- (10) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2004.
- (11) Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.
- (12) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000.
- (13) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005.
- (14) Form 8-K dated December 30, 2004, filed January 5, 2005.
- (15) Form 8-K dated March 8, 2006, and filed on March 10, 2006.
- (16) Form 8-K dated May 10, 2006 and filed on May 11, 2006.
- (17) Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006.
- (18) Form 8-K dated November 6, 2007 and filed November 7, 2007.
- (19) Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
- (20) Form 8-K dated October 11, 2007 and filed on October 15, 2007.
- * Filed herewith
- ** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION

By: /s/ Richard C. Pfenniger, Jr. RICHARD C. PFENNIGER, JR. Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

Dated: September 9, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/s/ Richard C. Pfenniger, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	September 9, 2008
Richard C. Pfenniger, Jr.	(Principal Executive Officer)	a 1 a
/s/ Fernando L. Fernandez	Senior Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and	September 9, 2008
Fernando L. Fernandez	Secretary	
	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Luis Cruz, M.D.	Vice Chairman of the Board and Director	September 9, 2008
Luis Cruz, M.D.		
/s/ Robert J. Cresci	Director	September 9, 2008
Robert J. Cresci		
/s/ Neil Flanzraich	Director	September 9, 2008
Neil Flanzraich		
/s/ Phillip Frost, M.D.	Director	September 9, 2008
Phillip Frost, M.D.		
/s/ Jacob Nudel, M.D.	Director	September 9, 2008
Jacob Nudel, M.D.		
/s/ A. Marvin Strait	Director	September 9, 2008
A. Marvin Strait		
	39	

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	PAGE F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and 2007	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-1	F-8

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Continucare Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Continucare Corporation as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Continucare Corporation at June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, applying the modified prospective method as of July 1, 2005.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Continucare Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated September 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Certified Public Accountants

Fort Lauderdale, Florida September 5, 2008

F-2

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30,	
ASSETS	2008	2007
ASSETS Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,905,740	\$ 7,262,247
Due from HMOs, net of a liability for incurred but not reported medical	φ ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	¢ ,202,217
claims expense of approximately \$23,900,000 and \$23,618,000 at June 30,		
2008 and 2007, respectively	15,325,783	13,525,092
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	708,841	1,581,704
Deferred income tax assets	413,932	740,264
Total current assets	26,354,296	23,109,307
Certificates of deposit, restricted	1,274,147	1,176,635
Property and equipment, net	8,363,427	8,509,454
Goodwill	73,204,582	73,670,225
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of approximately		
\$2,168,000 and \$929,000 at June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.	6,492,333	7,731,000
Deferred income tax assets	2,574,472	2,289,811
Other assets, net	227,047	451,116
Total assets	\$ 118,490,304	\$116,937,548
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 402,718	\$ 1,007,869
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	4,458,119	4,542,097
Income taxes payable	1,198,722	67,398
Total current liabilities	6,059,559	5,617,364
Deferred income tax liabilities	6,256,205	6,215,483
Other liabilities	948,263	1,046,316
Total liabilities	13,264,027	12,879,163
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders equity:		
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value: 100,000,000 shares authorized;		
64,796,303 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2008 and 70,043,086	C 100	7.004
shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2007	6,480	7,004
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit	114,514,853	124,616,091
Accumulated deficit	(9,295,056)	(20,564,710)
Total shareholders equity	105,226,277	104,058,385
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$118,490,304	\$ 116,937,548

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Year Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	2006	
Revenue Operating expenses: Medical services:	\$ 254,440,048	\$217,146,287	\$ 132,990,912	
Medical claims Other direct costs	181,097,183 26,942,472	161,153,828 22,919,746	97,781,447 13,137,396	
Total medical services	208,039,655	184,073,574	110,918,843	
Administrative payroll and employee benefits General and administrative	12,119,1399,192,67016,413,80113,990,439		6,538,295 7,584,205	
Total operating expenses	236,572,595 207,256		125,041,343	
Income from operations Other income (expense):	17,867,453	9,889,604	7,949,569	
Interest income Interest expense	602,826 (67,898)	356,192 (49,746)	331,001 (12,870)	
Income before income tax provision Income tax provision	18,402,381 7,132,727	10,196,050 3,892,605	8,267,700 2,930,161	
Net income	\$ 11,269,654	\$ 6,303,445	\$ 5,337,539	
Net income per common share: Basic	\$.16	\$.10	\$.11	
Diluted	\$.16	\$.10	\$.10	
Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic	68,862,836	65,044,319	49,907,898	
Diluted	70,007,760	66,324,613	51,230,435	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Delence et lune 20	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at June 30, 2005	49,595,702	\$ 4,960	\$ 67,924,068	\$ (32,205,694)	\$ (5,424,701)	\$ 30,298,633
Recognition of compensation expense related to issuance of stock options			1,292,234			1,292,234
Issuance of stock upon exercise of stock options	826,363	82	640,386			640,468
Issuance of stock upon conversion of related party note payable	102,180	10	102,170			102,180
Repurchase of common stock	(281,767)				(696,134)	(696,134)
Retirement of common stock		(28)	(6,120,807)		6,120,835	
Net income				5,337,539		5,337,539
Balance at June 30, 2006	50,242,478	5,024	63,838,051	(26,868,155)		36,974,920
Recognition of compensation expense related to issuance of stock options			1,692,190			1,692,190
Excess tax benefits related to exercise of stock options			523,964			523,964
Issuance of stock related to acquisition of MDHC	19,735,858	1,974	58,502,594			58,504,568

Edgar Filing: CONTINUCARE CORP - Form 10-K

Companies					
Fees related to issuance of stock			(44,402)		(44,402)
Issuance of stock upon exercise of stock options	64,750	6	103,694		103,700
Net income				6,303,445	6,303,445
Balance at June 30, 2007	70,043,086	7,004	124,616,091	(20,564,710)	104,058,385
Recognition of compensation expense related to issuance of stock options			1,332,786		1,332,786
Tax deficiency related to exercise of stock options			(1,776)		(1,776)
Issuance of stock upon exercise of stock options	83,750	9	64,366		64,375
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(5,330,533)	(533)	(11,451,614)		(11,452,147)
Fees related to issuance of stock			(45,000)		(45,000)
Net income				11,269,654	11,269,654
Balance at June 30, 2008	64,796,303	\$ 6,480	\$ 114,514,853	\$ (9,295,056) \$	\$ 105,226,277
The accord	mpanying note	s are an int	tegral part of the F-5	se consolidated financia	al statements.

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Year Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	2006	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$ 11,269,654	\$ 6,303,445	\$ 5,337,539	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by				
operating activities:	0 507 525	0.010.400	(05.005	
Depreciation and amortization	2,507,535	2,013,486	695,095	
Loss on impairment of fixed assets	171,515	25.024		
Loss on disposal of fixed assets Provision for bad debts	181,081	35,924 165,181	163,105	
Compensation expense related to issuance of stock options	1,332,786	1,692,190	1,292,234	
Excess tax benefits related to exercise of stock options.	1,332,780	(523,964)	1,292,234	
Deferred income tax expense	(198,598)	2,172,618	2,767,095	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding the	(190,990)	2,172,010	2,707,095	
effect of disposals:				
Due from HMOs, net	(1,251,657)	(1,803,016)	(2,853,996)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	676,782	(870,957)	(219,483)	
Other assets	(128,743)	151,360	(125,964)	
Accounts payable	(605,151)	369,688	(84,214)	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	145,851	(275,196)	8,354	
Income taxes payable	1,131,324	1,419,894	(106,934)	
Net cash provided by continuing operations	15,232,379	10,850,653	6,872,831	
Net cash used in discontinued operations			(32,512)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	15,232,379	10,850,653	6,840,319	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Purchases of certificates of deposit	(112,512)	(49,648)	(596,637)	
Proceeds from sales of certificates of deposit	15,000			
Proceeds from sales of fixed assets		70,000		
Acquisition of MDHC Companies, net of cash acquired		(6,109,980)		
Purchase of property and equipment	(939,270)	(894,325)	(280,675)	
Other assets			(359,147)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,036,782)	(6,983,953)	(1,236,459)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from note payable		1,813,317		
Repayments on note payable		(1,813,317)	(520,000)	
Proceeds from long-term debt		6,917,808		
Repayment on long-term debt	(6,083)	(14,690,960)		
Principal repayments under capital lease obligations	(113,249)	(96,248)	(127,053)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	64,375	103,700	640,468	
Excess tax benefits related to exercise of stock options	(AE,000)	523,964		
Payment of fees related to issuance of stock	(45,000)	(44,402)		

Edgar Filing: CONTINUCARE CORP - Form 10-K

	F-6			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of fiscal year		\$ 9,905,740	\$ 7,262,247	\$ 10,681,685
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of fiscal year		2,643,493 7,262,247	(3,419,438) 10,681,685	4,901,141 5,780,544
Net cash used in financing activities		(11,552,104)	(7,286,138)	(702,719)
Repurchase of common stock		(11,452,147)		(696,134)

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

	For the Year Ended June 30,				0,
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING TRANSACTIONS:		2008	2007		2006
Purchase of equipment, furniture and fixtures with proceeds of capital lease obligations	\$	38,922	\$ 171,135	\$	215,162
Retirement of treasury stock	\$	11,452,147	\$	\$:	5,424,701
Stock issued upon conversion of related party notes payable	\$		\$	\$	102,180
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Cash paid for taxes	\$	6,200,000	\$ 306,000	\$	270,000
Cash paid for interest	\$	20,898	\$ 49,746	\$	12,870
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these	e coi	nsolidated fina	ncial statement	ts.	

CONTINUCARE CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1

General

Continucare Corporation (Continucare or the Company), is a provider of primary care physician services on an outpatient basis in Florida. The Company provides medical services to patients through employee physicians, advanced registered nurse practitioners and physicians assistants. Additionally, the Company provides practice management services to independent physician affiliates (IPAs). Substantially all of the Company s revenues are derived from managed care agreements with three health maintenance organizations, Humana Medical Plans, Inc. (Humana), Vista Healthplan of South Florida, Inc. and its affiliated companies (Vista) and Wellcare Health Plans, Inc. and its affiliated companies (Wellcare) (collectively, the HMOs). The Company was incorporated in 1996 as the successor to a Florida corporation formed earlier in 1996. All references to a Fiscal year refer to the Company s fiscal year which ends June 30.

Business

Effective October 1, 2006, the Company completed the acquisition (the Acquisition) of Miami Dade Health Centers, Inc. and its affiliated companies (collectively, the MDHC Companies). Accordingly, the revenues, expenses and results of operations of the MDHC Companies have been included in the Company s consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition. See Note 3 for a description of the Acquisition and for unaudited pro forma financial information for Fiscal 2007 and 2006 presenting the Company s operating results as though the Acquisition occurred at the beginning of the respective periods.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company entered into an Independent Practice Association Participation Agreement (the Risk IPA Agreement) with Humana under which the Company agreed to assume certain management responsibilities on a risk basis for Humana s Medicare and Medicaid members assigned to certain IPAs practicing in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Medical service revenue and medical services expenses related to the Risk IPA Agreement approximated \$14.9 million and \$14.0 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2008, \$15.7 million and \$14.5 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2007 and \$8.7 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, in Fiscal 2006. The Risk IPA Agreement replaces the Physician Group Participation Agreement with Humana (the Humana PGP Agreement) that was terminated effective December 31, 2005. Under the Humana PGP Agreement, the Company assumed certain management responsibilities on a non-risk basis for Humana s Medicare, Medicaid and commercial members assigned to selected primary care physicians in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida. Revenue from this contract consisted of a monthly management fee intended to cover the costs of providing these services and amounted to approximately \$0.2 million during Fiscal 2006.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Company is as follows:

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (generally accepted accounting principles) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Because of the inherent uncertainties of this process, actual results could differ from those estimates. Such estimates include the recognition of revenue, the recoverability of intangible assets, the collectibility of receivables, the realization of deferred income tax assets and the accrual for incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company s financial instruments consist mainly of cash and cash equivalents, certificates of deposit, amounts due from HMOs, accounts payable, and capital lease obligations. The carrying amounts of the Company s cash and cash equivalents, certificates of deposit, amounts due from HMOs, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, the carrying value of the Company s capital lease obligations approximate fair value based on the terms of the obligations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company defines cash and cash equivalents as those highly-liquid investments purchased with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase.

Certificates of Deposit

Certificates of deposit have original maturities of greater than three months and are pledged as collateral in support of various stand-by letters of credit issued as required under the managed care agreements with the Company s HMO affiliates and as security for various leases. Included in the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008 are two separate certificates of deposit for approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million which each exceed the \$100,000 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limit.

Due from HMOs

The HMOs process and pay medical claims and certain other costs on the Company s behalf. Based on the terms of the contracts with the HMOs, the Company receives a net payment from the HMOs that is calculated by offsetting revenue earned with medical claims expense, calculated as claims paid on the Company s behalf plus an amount reserved for claims incurred but not reported. Therefore, the amounts due from the HMOs are presented on the balance sheet net of an estimated liability for claims incurred but not reported which is independently calculated by the Company based on historical data adjusted for payment patterns, cost trends, utilization of health care services and other relevant factors.

Property and Equipment

Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the underlying assets useful lives or the term of the lease, whichever is shorter. The buildings and land purchased in connection with the Acquisition of the MDHC Companies were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the date of the Acquisition. The buildings are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives which approximate forty years. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Improvements and replacements are capitalized.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company accounts for goodwill and other intangible assets under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142). Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are reviewed annually for impairment, or more frequently if certain indicators arise. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and also reviewed for impairment annually, or more frequently if certain indicators arise, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144). Indicators of an impairment include, among other things, significant adverse changes in legal factors or the business climate, loss of a key HMO contract, an adverse action by a regulator, unanticipated competition, loss of key personnel or allocation of goodwill to a portion of a business that is to be sold.

As the Company operates in a single segment of business, the Company has determined that it has a single reporting unit and performs the impairment test for goodwill on an enterprise level. In performing the impairment test, the Company compares the current market value of all of its outstanding common stock to the current carrying value of the Company s total net assets, including goodwill and intangible assets. Depending on the aggregate market value of the Company s outstanding common stock at the time that an impairment test is required, there is a risk that a portion of the intangible assets would be considered impaired and must be written-off during that period. The Company performs the annual impairment test as of May 1st of each year. Should it later be determined that an indicator of impairment has occurred, the Company would be required to perform an additional impairment test. No impairment

charges were required during the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 or 2006.

The most significant component of goodwill and other intangible assets consists of the intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition of the MDHC Companies (see Note 3). Included in other assets are managed care contracts related to the value of certain amendments to a managed care agreement entered into with one of the Company s HMOs. The amendments, among other things, extended the term of the original agreement with the HMO from six to ten years and modified for the Company s benefit the value of the Medicare premium received by the Company. In consideration of these amendments, the Company gave the HMO a \$3.9 million promissory note (see Deferred Revenue section below). The managed care contracts are subject to amortization and are being amortized over a weighted-average amortization period of 9.6 years. The intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition of the MDHC Companies are subject to amortization and are being amortized over a weighted average amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization was approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.3 million, and \$0.4 million during Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The estimated aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets as of June 30, 2008 will be approximately \$1.3 million, \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million, and \$0.9 million for each of the five succeeding fiscal years, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

Expenses incurred in connection with the Credit Facility had been deferred and were amortized using the straight-line method which approximates the interest method over the life of the facility.

Share-Based Payment

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) (SFAS No. 123(R)), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, using the modified prospective transition method. (See Note 7). Under this method, compensation cost includes: (i) compensation cost for all share-based payments modified or granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (ii) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company (see Note 6).

Revenue Recognition

The Company provides services to patients on either a fixed monthly fee arrangement with HMOs or under a fee for service arrangement. The percentage of total revenue relating to Humana approximated 72%, 74% and 80% for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The percentage of total revenue relating to Vista approximated 19%, 20% and 20% for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The percentage of total revenue relating to Wellcare approximated 8%, 5% and 0% for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Under the Company s risk contracts with Humana, Vista and Wellcare, the Company receives a capitated monthly fee from the HMOs for each patient that chooses one of the Company s physicians as their primary care physician. The capitated monthly fee is typically based on a percentage of the premium received by the HMOs from various payor sources. Revenue under these agreements is generally recorded in the period the Company assumes responsibility to provide services at the rates then in effect as determined by the respective contract. As part of the Medicare Advantage program, the Centers for Medicare Services (CMS) periodically recomputes the premiums to be paid to the HMOs based on updated health status of participants and updated demographic factors. The Company records any adjustments to this revenue at the time that the information necessary to make the determination of the adjustment is received from the HMO.

Under the Company s risk agreements, the Company assumes responsibility for the cost of all medical services provided to the patient, even those it does not provide directly in exchange for a percentage of premium or other capitated fee. To the extent that patients require more frequent or expensive care than was anticipated by the Company, revenue to the Company under a contract may be insufficient to cover the costs of care provided. When it is probable that expected future health care costs and maintenance costs under a contract or group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated capitated revenue on those contracts, the Company recognizes losses on its prepaid health care

services with HMOs. No contracts were considered loss contracts at June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 because the Company has the right to terminate unprofitable physicians and close unprofitable centers under its managed care contracts.

The Company s HMO contracts have various expiration dates ranging from one to three years with automatic renewal terms. Upon negotiation of any of the HMO contracts, the expiration dates may be extended beyond the automatic renewal terms.

Under the Company s limited risk and non-risk contracts with HMOs, the Company receives a capitation fee or management fee based on the number of patients for which the Company provides services on a monthly basis. The capitation fee or management fee is recorded as revenue in the period in which services are provided as determined by the respective contract.

Medical Service Expense

The Company contracts with or employs various health care providers to provide medical services to its patients. Primary care physicians are compensated on either a salary or capitation basis. For patients enrolled under risk managed care contracts, the cost of specialty services are paid on either a fee for service, per diem or capitation basis. The cost of health care services provided or contracted for under risk managed care contracts is accrued in the period in which services are provided. In addition, the Company provides for an estimate of the related liability for medical claims incurred but not yet reported based on historical claims experience and current factors such as inpatient utilization and benefit changes provided under HMO plans. Estimates are adjusted as changes in these factors occur and such adjustments are reported in the period of determination. The Company uses an actuarial analysis as an additional tool to further corroborate the Company s estimate of the related liability for medical claims incurred but not yet reported.

Prior to January 1, 2007, pharmacy rebates were recognized on a cash basis due to the lack of information available to make a reliable estimate. For Fiscal 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded an estimate of pharmacy rebates due from one of our HMO affiliates based on the accumulation of sufficient historical information enabling management to formulate a reasonable estimate. The impact of recording these pharmacy rebates due from one of our HMO affiliates resulted in decreases in claims expense and increases in net income of approximately \$1.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, or \$.01 per fully diluted share, for each of Fiscal 2008 and 2007.

Stop-loss Insurance

Effective June 1, 2007, the health care costs for the Company s 18 medical centers are limited through agreements with the HMOs. The HMOs charge the Company a per member per month fee that limits the Company s health care costs for any individual patient. Health care costs in excess of annual limits are generally handled directly by the HMOs and their contracted physicians and the Company is not entitled to and does not receive any related insurance recoveries. Prior to June 1, 2007, the Company purchased stop-loss insurance for three of its 18 medical centers. Health care costs in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income for the three medical centers include expenses of approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million of stop-loss insurance premiums and reductions of expenses of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million of related recoveries for Fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. No material impact on the Company s financial statements is expected from the adoption of this standard.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits companies to voluntarily choose to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Upon initial adoption, SFAS No. 159 permits companies with a one-time chance to elect the fair value option for existing eligible items. The effect of the first measurement to fair value is reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the year SFAS No. 159 is adopted. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of fiscal years starting after November 15, 2007. No material impact on the Company s financial statements is expected from the adoption of this standard.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141R). SFAS No. 141R expands the definition of a business combination and requires the fair value of the purchase price of an acquisition, including the issuance of equity securities, to be determined on the acquisition date. SFAS No. 141R also requires that all assets, liabilities, contingent consideration, and contingencies of an acquired business be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. In addition, SFAS No. 141R requires that acquisition costs generally be expensed as incurred, restructuring costs generally be expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date and changes in accounting for deferred income tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period impact income tax expense. SFAS No. 141R is effective for the Company s fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. The effect of SFAS No. 141R on the Company s financial statements will be dependent on the nature and terms of any business combination consummated by the Company on or after July 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 requires minority interests to be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and reported as a component of equity. In addition, SFAS No. 160 requires that purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control be accounted for as equity transactions and, upon a loss of control, requires the interests sold, as well as any interests retained, to be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. No material impact on the Company s financial statements is expected from the adoption of this standard.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivatives and Hedging Activities, which enhances the requirements under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity s derivatives and hedging activities and how they affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This Statement will be effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. No material impact on the Company s financial statements is expected from the adoption of this standard.

Other Comprehensive Income

The Company had no comprehensive income items other than net income for all years presented.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

NOTE 3 ACQUISITION

Effective October 1, 2006, the Company completed its acquisition of the MDHC Companies. In connection with the completion of the Acquisition and in consideration for the assets acquired pursuant to the Acquisition, the Company paid the MDHC Companies approximately \$5.7 million in cash, issued to the MDHC Companies 20.0 million shares of the Company s common stock and assumed or repaid certain indebtedness and liabilities of the MDHC Companies. The 20.0 million shares of the Company s common stock issued in connection with the Acquisition were issued pursuant to an exemption under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and 1.5 million of such 20.0 million shares were placed in escrow as security for indemnification obligations of the MDHC Companies and their principal owners, and, in Fiscal 2007, 264,142 of such shares were cancelled in connection with post-closing purchase price adjustments. The balance of the shares held in escrow agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition, the Company paid the principal owners of the MDHC Companies an additional \$1.0 million in cash in October 2007. The Company paid the principal owners of the MDHC Companies to the principal owners of the MDHC Companies not expected to exceed \$0.1 million depending on the collection of certain receivables that were fully reserved on the books of the MDHC Companies as of December 31, 2005.

The purchase price, including acquisition costs, of approximately \$66.2 million was allocated to the estimated fair value of acquired tangible assets of \$13.9 million, identifiable intangible assets of \$8.7 million and assumed liabilities of \$15.3 million, resulting in goodwill totaling \$58.9 million. This purchase price allocation includes certain adjustments recorded during Fiscal 2008 and 2007 that resulted in a decrease in goodwill of approximately \$0.5 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. These adjustments primarily related to Medicare risk adjustments and pharmacy rebates relating to the operations of the MDHC Companies for periods prior to completion of our acquisition and to adjustments to increase the estimated fair values of the identifiable intangible assets based on updated available information and assumptions. The identifiable intangible assets of \$8.7 million consist of estimated fair values of \$1.6 million assigned to the trade name, \$6.2 million to customer relationships and \$0.9 million to a noncompete agreement. The trade name was determined to have an estimated useful life of six years and the customer relationships and noncompete agreements were each determined to have an estimated useful life of eight and five years, respectively. The fair values of the customer relationships and other identifiable intangible assets are amortized over their estimated lives using the straight-line method. The customer relationships are non-contractual. The fair value of the identifiable intangible assets was determined, with the assistance of an outside valuation firm, based on standard valuation techniques. The Acquisition consideration of \$66.2 million includes the estimated fair value of Continucare s common stock issued to the MDHC Companies of \$58.5 million, cash paid to the principal owners of \$5.7 million at the closing of the Acquisition, cash paid to the principal owners of \$1.0 million in October 2007, and acquisition costs of approximately \$1.0 million. The estimated fair value of the 20.0 million shares of Continucare s common stock issued effective October 1, 2006 to the MDHC Companies was based on a per share consideration of \$2.96 which was calculated based upon the average of the closing market prices of Continucare s common stock for the period two days before through two days after the announcement of the execution of the Asset Purchase Agreement for the Acquisition. The fair value of the 264,142 shares cancelled in Fiscal 2007 in connection with post-closing purchase price adjustments was approximately \$0.7 million based upon the closing market price of Continucare s common stock on the dates the shares were cancelled.

On September 26, 2006, the Company entered into two term loan facilities funded out of lines of credit (the Term Loans) with maximum loan amounts of \$4.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. Each of the Term Loans requires mandatory monthly payments that reduce the lines of credit under the Term Loans. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Term Loans, any prepayments made to the Term Loans may be re-borrowed on a revolving basis so long as the line of credit applicable to such Term Loan, as reduced by the mandatory monthly payment, is not exceeded. The \$4.8 million and \$1.0 million Term Loans mature on October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively. Each of the Term Loans (i) has variable interest rates at a per annum rate equal to the sum of 2.4% and the One-Month LIBOR rate (2.46% at June 30, 2008), (ii) requires the Company and its subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$12 million and a debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1 and (iii) are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, including those assets acquired pursuant to the Acquisition. Effective October 1, 2006, the Company fully drew on these Term Loans to fund certain portions of the cash payable upon the closing of the Acquisition and these drawings were repaid during Fiscal 2007. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had no outstanding principal balance on its Term Loans.

Also effective September 26, 2006, the Company amended the terms of its existing credit facility that provides for a revolving loan to the Company of \$5.0 million (the Credit Facility). As a result of this amendment, the Company, among other things, eliminated the financial covenant which previously required the Company s EBITDA to exceed \$1,500,000 on a trailing 12-month basis any time during which amounts are outstanding under the Credit Facility and replaced such covenant with covenants requiring the Company and its subsidiaries, on a consolidated business, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$12 million and a debt coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1. Effective October 1, 2006, the Company drew approximately \$1.8 million under the Credit Facility to fund portions of the cash payable upon the closing of the Acquisition and this drawing was repaid during Fiscal 2007. The Credit Facility has a maturity date of December 31, 2009. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had no outstanding principal balance on its Credit Facility.

The following unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only and presents the operating results for the Company for the years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 as though the Acquisition of the MDHC Companies occurred at the beginning of the respective periods. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information is not intended to be indicative of the operating results that actually would have occurred if the transaction had been consummated on the dates indicated, nor is the information intended to be indicative of future operating results. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information does not give effect to any integration expenses or cost savings or unexpected acquisition costs that may be incurred or realized in connection with the Acquisition. For Fiscal 2007 and 2006, pre-tax non-continuing compensation expenses incurred by the MDHC Companies of approximately \$8.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, are included in the unaudited pro forma consolidated net income. The unaudited pro forma financial information reflects adjustments for the amortization of intangible assets established as part of the Acquisition consideration allocation in connection with the Acquisition, additional depreciation expense resulting from the property adjustment to reflect estimated fair value, additional rent expense related to a lease for a warehouse building excluded from the Acquisition, a reduction in interest income resulting from the use of cash for payment of the cash consideration in the Acquisition and the income tax effect on the pro forma adjustments. The pro forma adjustments are based on estimates which may change as additional information is obtained. In addition, adjustments to goodwill subsequent to the Acquisition may result primarily from adjustments to amounts due from HMOs, other receivables and accrued expenses as additional information is obtained.

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2007	2006	
Revenue	\$240,389,071	\$222,599,520	
Net income	277,454	6,125,247	
Diluted earnings per share		.09	

The Acquisition was accounted for by the Company under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations . Accordingly, the results of operations of the MDHC Companies have been included in the Company s consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition.

NOTE 4 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are summarized as follows:

			Estimated Useful
	June	e 30,	Lives
	2008	2007	(in years)
Land	\$ 1,919,746	\$ 2,153,525	
Building and improvements	4,738,175	3,862,088	40
Construction in progress	119,829	714,280	
Vehicles	622,444	845,324	5
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	4,894,797	4,530,178	3-5
Furniture and equipment under capital lease	517,253	756,005	3-5
Leasehold improvements	267,526	304,399	5
	13,079,770	13,165,799	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,716,343)	(4,656,345)	
	\$ 8,363,427	\$ 8,509,454	

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$901,000, \$687,000 and \$342,000, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CONTINUCARE CORP - Form 10-K

The Company has entered into various noncancellable leases for certain furniture and equipment that are classified as capital leases. The leases are payable over three to five years at incremental borrowing rates ranging from 6% to 8% per annum. Accumulated amortization for assets recorded under capital lease agreements was approximately \$384,000 and \$558,000 at June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amortization of assets recorded under capital lease agreements was approximately \$100,000, \$74,000 and \$126,000 for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and is included in depreciation expense for all years presented.

Included in general and administrative expense for Fiscal 2008 is a loss on impairment of fixed assets of approximately \$0.2 million related to the ValuClinic operations.

Future minimum lease payments under all capital leases are as follows:

For the year ending June 30,	
2009	\$118,272
2010	89,695
2011	43,752
	251,719
Less amount representing imputed interest	55,340
Present value of obligations under capital lease	196,379
Less current portion	91,457
Long-term capital lease obligations	\$104,922

The current and long-term portions of obligations under capital leases are classified within accrued expenses and other current liabilities and other liabilities, respectively, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. **NOTE 5 DEBT**

The Company has in place a Credit Facility that provides for a revolving loan to the Company of \$5.0 million and two Term Loans with maximum loan amounts available for borrowing totaling \$5.0 million as of June 30, 2008 (see Note 3). At June 30, 2008, there was no outstanding principal balance on the Credit Facility and Term Loans. The Credit Facility and Term Loans have variable interest rates at a per annum rate equal to the sum of 2.5% and the 30-day Dealer Commercial Paper Rate (2.45% at June 30, 2008) and the sum of 2.4% and the one-month LIBOR (2.46% at June 30, 2008), respectively. All assets, excluding capitalized lease assets, of the Company serve as collateral for the Credit Facility and Term Loans.

NOTE 6 EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the denominator of the basic and diluted earnings per share computation is as follows:

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding	68,862,836	65,044,319	49,907,898
Dilutive effect of stock options	1,144,924	1,280,294	1,303,126
Dilutive effect of convertible debt			19,411
Diluted weighted average number of shares			
outstanding	70,007,760	66,324,613	51,230,435
Not included in calculation of dilutive earnings per			
share as impact is antidilutive:	2 000 250	10.000	20.000
Stock options outstanding	2,998,250	18,000	20,000
Warrants		760,000	760,000

NOTE 7 SHARE-BASED PAYMENT

The Amended and Restated Continucare Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan), which has been approved by the Company s shareholders, permits the grant of stock options and restricted stock awards in respect of up to 9,000,000 shares of common stock to the Company s employees, directors, independent contractors and consultants. Under the terms of the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, options are granted at the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant and expire no later than ten years after the date of grant. Options granted under the plan generally vest over four years, but the terms of the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan provide for accelerated vesting

Edgar Filing: CONTINUCARE CORP - Form 10-K

if there is a change in control of the Company. Historically, the Company has issued authorized but previously unissued shares of common stock upon option exercises. However, the Company does not have a policy regarding the issuance or repurchase of shares upon option exercise or the source of those shares. No restricted stock awards have been issued under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, using the modified prospective transition method. Under this method, compensation cost includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments modified or granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

The Company calculates the fair value for employee stock options using a Black-Scholes option pricing model at the time the stock options are granted and that amount is amortized over the vesting period of the stock options, which is generally up to four years. The fair value for employee stock options granted during Fiscal 2008 and 2007 was calculated based on the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate ranging from 1.61% to 4.22% and 4.81% to 5.18%, respectively; dividend yield of 0%; weighted-average volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company s common stock of 59.5% and 63.7%, respectively; and weighted-average expected life of the options ranging from 2 to 6 years depending on the vesting provisions of each option. The fair value for employee stock option spranted during Fiscal 2006 was calculated based on the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate ranging from 4.21% to 5.16%; dividend yield of 0%; volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company s common stock of 71.1%; and weighted-average expected life of the option ranging from 3 to 6 years depending on the vesting provisions of each option. The fair value for employee stock of 71.1%; and weighted-average expected life of the options is based on the historical exercise behavior of the Company s employees. The expected volatility factor is based on the historical volatility of the market price of the Company s common stock as adjusted for certain events that management deemed to be non-recurring and non-indicative of future events.

The Company recognized share-based compensation cost of \$1.3 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and Fiscal 2006, respectively. For Fiscal 2008, the Company did not recognize any excess tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options. For Fiscal 2007, the Company recognized excess tax benefits of approximately \$0.5 million resulting from the exercise of stock options. The excess tax benefits had a positive effect on cash flow from financing activities with a corresponding reduction in cash flow from operating activities in Fiscal 2007 of \$0.5 million. For Fiscal 2006, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards and did not recognize any tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options because the related tax deductions would not have resulted in a reduction of income taxes payable. During Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company issued 83,750 shares, 64,750 shares and 826,363 shares, respectively, of common stock resulting from the exercise of stock options. The following table summarizes information related to the Company s stock option activity for Fiscal 2008:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
Outstanding at beginning of the year Granted Exercised Forfeited	4,844,220 907,500 (83,750) (231,250)	\$ 1.87 2.49 0.77 2.58	
Expired Outstanding at end of the year	(62,916) 5,373,804	2.42 \$ 1.95	
Exercisable at end of the year	3,495,554		
Weighted average fair value per share of options granted during the year	\$ 1.17		

The weighted average fair value per share of options granted during Fiscal 2007 and 2006, was \$1.42 and \$1.41, respectively.

	Option	ns Outstandi	ng	Options Exercisable				
			Weighted			Weighted		
Range of			Average			Average		
Exercise	Number	Weighted Average Exercise	Remaining Contractual	Number	Weighted Average Exercise	Remaining Contractual		
Prices	Outstanding	Price	Life	Exercisable	Price	Life		
\$2.48-\$3.57	2,240,500	\$ 2.65	8.4	770,750	\$ 2.73	7.9		
\$0.66-\$2.42	3,133,304	\$ 1.45	5.6	2,724,804	\$ 1.33	5.3		

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2008:

The total intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable was \$2.8 million at June 30, 2008. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, there was approximately \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

The Company had 7,598,471 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance related to stock options at June 30, 2008.

NOTE 8 INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes under FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes . Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return.

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48. The implementation of FIN 48 had no impact on our liability for unrecognized tax benefits. Our liability for unrecognized tax benefits remained unchanged at approximately \$0.8 million at June 30, 2008 and July 1, 2007 and is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that if recognized would affect the effective tax rate is \$0.9 million, which includes accrued interest and penalties of approximately \$47,000 and \$20,000 at June 30, 2008 and July 1, 2007, respectively. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expense. The Company does not currently anticipate that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease by the end of Fiscal 2009. The Company is no longer subject to tax examinations by tax authorities for fiscal years ended on or prior to June 30, 2004.

The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$7.1 million, \$3.9 million and \$2.9 million for Fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The income tax provision consisted of the following:

	Year Ended June 30,					
	2008	2007	2006			
Current:						
Federal	\$6,408,183	\$ 1,425,993	\$ 163,066			
State	923,142	293,994				
Total	7,331,325	1,719,987	163,066			
Deferred:						
Federal	(171,415)	1,897,669	2,339,256			
State	(27,183)	274,949	427,839			
Total	(198,598)	2,172,618	2,767,095			
Total income tax provision	\$7,132,727	\$ 3,892,605	\$ 2,930,161			

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effect of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred income tax assets and deferred income tax liabilities are as follows:

	June 30,					
	2008	2007	2006			
Deferred income tax assets:						
Bad debt and notes receivable reserve.	\$ 303,871	\$ 296,420	\$ 294,922			
Alternative minimum tax credit			291,467			
Other	110,062	434,225	363,847			
Impairment charge	1,256,398	1,476,110	1,726,600			
Share-based compensation	1,318,073	823,320	395,246			
Net operating loss carryforward			3,397,248			
Deferred income tax assets Deferred income tax liabilities:	2,988,404	3,030,075	6,469,330			
Depreciable/amortizable assets	(5,638,652)	(5,342,721)	(1,929,501)			
Basis difference in tangible assets	(617,553)	(872,762)				
Deferred income tax liabilities	(6,256,205)	(6,215,483)	(1,929,501)			
Net deferred income tax (liability) asset	\$ (3,267,801)	\$ (3,185,408)	\$ 4,539,829			

SFAS No. 109 requires a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets reported if, based on the weight of the evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. After consideration of all the evidence, both positive and negative (including, among others, the Company s projections of future taxable income and profitability in recent fiscal years), management determined that no valuation

allowance was necessary at June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that will more likely than not be realized. At June 30, 2008, the Company did not have any net operating losses available for carryforward.

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate with the Company s effective income tax rate for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	Year Ended June 30,				
	2008	2007	2006		
Statutory federal rate	35.0%	34.0%	34.0%		
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	3.58	3.63	3.63		
Goodwill and other non-deductible items	0.18	0.55	(2.22)		
Other			0.03		
Effective tax rate	38.76%	38.18%	35.44%		

NOTE 9 SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAM

In May 2008 and June 2008, the Company s Board of Directors increased the Company s previously announced program to authorize the repurchase of an additional 1,000,000 and 3,500,000 shares of the Company s common stock, respectively, bringing the total number of shares of common stock authorized for repurchase under the program to 10,000,000 shares. Any such repurchases will be made from time to time at the discretion of our management in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions subject to market conditions and other factors. As of August 25, 2008, the Company had repurchased 6,831,800 shares of its common stock for approximately \$15.2 million.

NOTE 10 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

As of January 1, 1997, the Company adopted a tax qualified employee savings and retirement plan covering the Company s eligible employees. The Continucare Corporation 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the 401(k) Plan) was amended and restated on January 1, 2001. The 401(k) Plan is intended to qualify under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and contains a feature described in Code Section 401(k) under which a participant may elect to have his or her compensation reduced by up to 75% (subject to IRS limits) and have that amount contributed to the 401(k) Plan. In October 2001, the Internal Revenue Service issued a favorable determination letter for the 401(k) Plan. Under the 401(k) Plan, new employees who are at least 18 years of age are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan after 90 days of service. Eligible employees may elect to contribute to the 401(k) Plan up to a maximum amount of tax deferred contribution allowed by the Internal Revenue Code. The Company may, at its discretion, make a matching contribution and a non-elective contribution to the 401(k) Plan. There were no matching contributions for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 or 2006. Participants in the 401(k) Plan would not begin to vest in the employer contribution until the end of two years of service, with full vesting achieved after five years of service.

NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in legal proceedings incidental to its business that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business including, but not limited to, claims related to the alleged malpractice of employed and contracted medical professionals, workers compensation claims and other employee-related matters, and minor disputes with equipment lessors and other vendors. The Company has recorded an accrual for claims related to legal proceedings, which includes amounts for insurance deductibles and projected exposure, based on management s estimate of the ultimate outcome of such claims. The Company does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. However, the results of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition, financial condition, cash flow, and prospects.

Leases

The Company leases office space and equipment under various non-cancelable operating leases. Rent expense under such operating leases was approximately \$2.8 million, \$2.6 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Future annual minimum payments under such leases as of June 30, 2008 are as follows:

For the fiscal year ending June 30,	
2009	\$ 2,256,533
2010	1,859,468
2011	1,435,998
2012	712,251
2013 and thereafter	1,323,856
Total	\$ 7,588,106

NOTE 12 VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Activity in the Company s valuation and qualifying accounts consists of the following:

	Year ended June 30,					
	2008	2007	2006			
Allowance for doubtful accounts related to accounts and						
other receivables:						
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 802,239	\$ 798,257	\$ 842,751			
Provision for doubtful accounts	181,081	165,181	163,105			
Write-offs of uncollectible accounts receivable	(199,579)	(161,199)	(207,599)			
Balance at end of period	\$ 783,741	\$ 802,239	\$ 798,257			

NOTE 13 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As a result of the acquisition of the MDHC Companies, the Company became a party to two lease agreements for office space owned by certain of the principal owners of the MDHC Companies, one of which the Company terminated effective September 30, 2007. For Fiscal 2008 and 2007, expenses related to these two leases were approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

On February 5, 2008, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 600,000 shares of its common stock from Dr. Luis Cruz, a director of the Company, as trustee of the Luis Cruz Irrevocable Trust A, the Luis Cruz Irrevocable Trust B and the Luis Cruz Irrevocable Trust C. The Company paid \$2.25 per share for the shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,350,000. The per share purchase price paid by the Company represented a 10% discount from the closing price of the Company s common stock on February 4, 2008.

NOTE 14 QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

	For the Year Ended June 30, 2008									
	First Quarter				Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter		Full Year	
Total revenue	\$60,922	2,664	\$61	,485,447	\$65,	936,165	\$66,0	095,772	\$254	,440,048
Net income	\$ 1,921	,500	\$ 2	2,511,685	\$3,	675,501	\$ 3,	160,968	\$ 11	,269,654
Basic and diluted net income per common share	\$.03	\$.04	\$.05	\$.05	\$.16

	For the Year Ended June 30, 2007									
	First		Second		Third		Fourth		Full	
	Qua		Quarter		Quarter		Quarter		Year	
Total revenue	\$ 35,93	3,599	\$ 55,399,607		\$60,371,155		\$65,441,926		\$217,146,287	
Net income	\$ 1,39	7,119	\$ 1,3	80,675	\$ 1,1	148,439	\$ 2,3	577,212	\$	6,303,445
Basic and diluted net income										
per common share	\$.03	\$.02	\$.02	\$.03	\$.10
Basic and diluted net income per common share for each of the quarters presented above are based on the respective weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the quarters. The sum of the quarterly basic and diluted net income per common share amounts may not be equal to the full year basic and diluted net income per common share amounts due to rounding.										
-				F-21						

EXHIBIT INDEX

Description	Exhibit
Subsidiaries of the Company	Number 21.1
Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	23.1
Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer	31.1
Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer	31.2
Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	32.1
Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 F-22	32.2