

Cogdell Spencer Inc.
Form 10-K
March 15, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549**

FORM 10-K

- þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006**
- or**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

Commission file number 001-32649

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*
4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300
Charlotte, North Carolina
(Address of principal executive offices)

20-3126457
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*
28209
(Zip code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(704) 940-2900**

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Title of Each Class | Name of Exchange on Which Registered |
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. |

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed fiscal quarter. \$138,009,425

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 7,999,574 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding as of February 28, 2007.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the registrant's 2007 Annual Meeting, to be filed within 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------------|--|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Business</u> 1 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 7 |
| <u>Item 1B</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> 25 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Properties</u> 25 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 29 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders</u> 29 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> 29 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> 32 |
| <u>Item 7</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 35 |
| <u>Item 7A</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> 46 |
| <u>Item 8</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> 47 |
| <u>Item 9</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> 82 |
| <u>Item 9A</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 82 |
| <u>Item 9B</u> | <u>Other Information</u> 84 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> 84 |
| <u>Item 11</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> 84 |
| <u>Item 12</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> 84 |
| <u>Item 13</u> | <u>Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> 84 |
| <u>Item 14</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> 85 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> 85 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 87 |
| <u>Exhibit 23.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32.1</u> | |

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

Note that the financial statements covered in this report for the period from January 1, 2005 to October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004, contain the results of operations and financial condition of Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor, which is not a legal entity, but represents a combination of certain real estate entities based on common management by Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. In addition, the financial statements covered in this report contain the results of operations and financial condition of Cogdell Spencer Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from November 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005. Due to the timing of the initial public offering and the formation transactions, Cogdell Spencer Inc. (the Company) does not believe that the results of operations set forth in 2005 and 2004 in this document are necessarily indicative of the Company s future operating results as a publicly-held company.

Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information

When used in this discussion and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the words believes, anticipates, projects, should, estimates, expects, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements with the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and in Section 21F of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Actual results may differ materially due to uncertainties including:

- the Company s business strategy;*
- the Company s ability to obtain future financing arrangements;*
- estimates relating to the Company s future distributions;*
- the Company s understanding of the Company s competition;*
- the Company s ability to renew the Company s ground leases;*
- changes in the reimbursement available to the Company s tenants by government or private payors;*
- the Company s tenants ability to make rent payments;*
- defaults by tenants;*
- market trends; and*
- projected capital expenditures.*

Forward-looking statements are based on estimates as of the date of this report. The Company disclaims any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements reflecting new estimates, events or circumstances after the date of this report.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

The Company

Cogdell Spencer Inc. (the Company), incorporated in Maryland in 2005, is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices, ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers, in the United States of America. The Company focuses on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry. The Company's management team has developed long-term and extensive relationships through developing and maintaining modern, customized medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. The Company has been able to maintain occupancy above market levels and secure strategic hospital campus locations. The Company operates its business through Cogdell Spencer LP, its operating partnership subsidiary (the Operating Partnership), and its subsidiaries.

The Company derives a significant portion of its revenues from rents received from tenants under existing leases in medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities. The Company's portfolio is stable with an occupancy rate of 94.2% as of December 31, 2006, and favorable leases generally with consumer price index, or CPI, increases and cost pass throughs to the tenants. The Company derives a lesser portion of its revenues from fees that are paid for managing and developing medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities for third parties. The Company's management believes a strong internal property management capability is a vital component of the Company's business, both for the properties the Company owns and for those that the Company manages. Strong internal property management allows the Company to control costs, increase tenant satisfaction, and reduce tenant turnover, which reduces capital costs.

The Company's management team has developed long-term and extensive relationships through developing and maintaining modern, customized medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. Approximately 79% of the net rentable square feet of the Company's wholly-owned properties are situated on hospital campuses. As such, the Company believes that its assets occupy a premier franchise location in relation to local hospitals, providing its properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in an area. The Company believes that its property locations and relationships with hospitals will allow the Company to capitalize on the increasing healthcare trend of outpatient procedures.

The Company's growth strategy includes leveraging strategic relationships for new developments and off-market acquisitions. The Company will also continue to enter into development joint ventures with hospitals and physicians. The Company is active in seeking new client relationships in new markets. During 2006, the Company acquired properties totaling \$100.4 million.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company's portfolio consisted of 112 medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, serving 27 hospital systems in ten states. The Company's aggregate portfolio was comprised of:

50 wholly-owned properties;

four joint venture properties; and

58 properties owned by third parties.

At December 31, 2006, the Company's aggregate portfolio contains approximately 5.3 million net rentable square feet, consisting of approximately 2.6 million net rentable square feet from wholly-owned properties, approximately 0.2 million net rentable square feet from joint venture properties, and approximately 2.5 million net rental square feet from properties owned by third parties and managed by the Company. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's wholly-owned properties were approximately 94.2% occupied, with a weighted average remaining lease term of 3.8 years.

Table of Contents

The Company's Management Companies

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, a specified percentage of the Company's gross income must be derived from real property sources, which would generally exclude the Company's income from providing development and management services to third parties. In order to avoid realizing such income in a manner that would adversely affect the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT, some services are provided through the Company's management company, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, LLC (CSA, LLC), electing, together with the Company, to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary or TRS. CSA, LLC is wholly-owned and controlled by the Operating Partnership.

During 2006, the Company acquired Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC (Consera). Consera provides property management services to third parties and Consera, together with the Company, has elected Consera to be treated as a TRS.

Management

The Company's senior management team has an average of more than 11 years of healthcare real estate experience and has been involved in the development, redevelopment and acquisition of a broad array of medical office space. The Company's Chairman and founder, James W. Cogdell, has been in the healthcare real estate business for more than 34 years, and Frank C. Spencer, Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors (the Board of Directors), has more than 11 years of experience in the industry. Three members of the senior management team have entered into employment agreements with the Company. At December 31, 2006, the Company's senior management team owned approximately 23.3% of the Operating Partnership units and Company common stock on a fully diluted basis.

Business and Growth Strategies

The Company's primary business objective is to develop and maintain client relationships in order to maximize cash flow available for distribution to the Company's stockholders.

Operating Strategy

The Company's operating strategy consists of the following principal elements:

Strong Relationships with Physicians and Hospitals.

Healthcare is fundamentally a local business. The Company believes it has developed a reputation based on trust and reliability among physicians and hospitals and believes that these relationships position the Company to secure new development projects and new property acquisition opportunities with both new and existing parties. Many of the Company's healthcare system clients have collaborated with the Company on multiple projects, including the Company's five largest healthcare system clients, with whom the Company has an average relationship lasting more than 23 years. The Company's strategy is to continue to grow its portfolio by leveraging these relationships to acquire existing properties and to selectively develop new medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in communities in need of additional facilities to support the delivery of medical services. The Company believes that physicians particularly value renting space from a trusted and reliable property owner that consistently delivers an office environment that meets their specialized needs.

Active Management of the Company's Properties.

The Company has developed a comprehensive approach to property and operational management to maximize the operating performance of its medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, leading to high levels of tenant satisfaction. This fully-integrated property and operating management allows the Company to provide high quality seamless services to its tenants on a cost-effective basis. The Company believes that its operating efficiencies, which consistently exceed industry standards, will allow the Company to control costs for its tenants. The Company intends to maximize the Company's stockholders' return on their investment and to achieve long-term functionality and appreciation in its medical office

Table of Contents

buildings and healthcare related facilities through continuing its practice of active management of its properties. The Company manages its properties with a view toward creating an environment that supports successful medical practices. The properties are clean and kept in a condition that is conducive to the delivery of top-quality medical care to patients. The Company understands that in order to maximize the value of its investments, its tenants must prosper as well. Therefore, the Company is committed to maintaining its properties at the highest possible level.

Key On-Campus Locations.

At December 31, 2006, approximately 79% of the net rentable square feet of the wholly-owned properties are situated on hospital campuses. On-campus properties provide the Company's physician-lessees and their patients with a convenient location so that they can move between medical offices and hospitals with ease, which drives revenues for the Company's physician-lessees. Many of these properties occupy a premier franchise location in relation to the local hospital, providing the Company's properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in the area. The Company has found that the factors most important to physician-lessees when choosing a medical office building or healthcare related facility in which to locate their offices are convenience to a hospital campus, clean and attractive common areas, state-of-the-art amenities and tenant improvements tailored to each practice.

Loyal and Diverse Tenant Base.

The Company's focus on maintaining the Company's physician-lessees' loyalty is a key component of the Company's marketing and operating strategy. A focus on physician-lessee loyalty and the involvement of the physician-tenants and hospitals as investors in the Company's properties results in one of the more stable and diversified tenant bases of any medical office company in the United States. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's properties had an average occupancy rate of approximately 94.2%. The Company's tenants are diversified by type of medical practice, medical specialty and sub-specialty. As of December 31, 2006, no single tenant accounted for more than 7.0% of the annualized base rental revenue at the wholly-owned properties. None of the tenants are in default.

Unique Focus.

The Company focuses exclusively on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition and management of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in the United States. The focus on medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities allows the Company to own, develop, redevelop, manage and acquire medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities more effectively and profitably than its competition. Unlike many other public companies that simply engage in sale/leaseback arrangements in the healthcare real estate sector, the Company operates its properties. The Company believes that this focus may position the Company to achieve additional cash flow growth.

Acquisition and Development Strategy

The Company's acquisition and development strategy consists of the following principal elements:

Development Expertise.

The Company's development activities are focused on the design, construction and financing of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. The Company and Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor (the "Predecessor") has completed the development of more than 70 medical office properties, many of which represent repeat business with its clients. The Company has built strong relationships with leading for-profit and non-profit medical institutions who look to it to provide real estate solutions that will support the growth of a medical community built around their

hospitals and regional medical centers. The Company focuses exclusively on medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and believes that its understanding of real estate and healthcare gives it a competitive advantage over less specialized developers. Further, the Company's regional focus has provided extensive local industry knowledge and insight. The Company believes the network of relationships that have been developed in both the real estate and healthcare

Table of Contents

industries over the past 34 years provides access to a large volume of potential development and acquisition opportunities.

Selective Development and Acquisitions.

The Company s intends to leverage its strong development and acquisition track record to continue to grow its portfolio of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities by selectively acquiring existing medical office buildings and by developing new projects in communities in need of additional facilities to support the delivery of medical services.

Develop and Maintain Strategic Relationships.

The Company intends to build upon its key strategic relationships with physicians, hospitals, not-for-profit agencies and religious entities that sponsor healthcare services to further enhance the Company s franchise. Historically, the Predecessor financed real property acquisitions through joint ventures in which the physician-lessees, and in some cases local hospitals or regional medical centers, provided the equity capital. The Company expects to continue entering into joint ventures with individual physicians, physician groups and hospitals. These joint ventures have been, and the Company believes will continue to be, a source of development and acquisition opportunities. Of the 54 healthcare properties the management team developed or acquired over the past 11 years, 36 of them represent repeat transactions with an existing client institution. The Company anticipates that it will also continue to offer potential physician-lessees the opportunity to invest in the Company in order that they may continue to feel a strong sense of attachment to the property in which they practice. The Company intends to continue to work closely with its tenants in order to cultivate long-term working relationships and to maximize new business opportunities. From time to time, the Company may make investments or agree to terms that support the objectives of clients without necessarily maximizing the Company s short-term financial return. The Company believes that this philosophy allows the Company to build long-term relationships and obtain franchise locations otherwise unavailable to the Company s competition.

Investment Criteria and Funding.

The Company intends to expand in its existing markets and enter into markets that research indicates will meet its investment strategy in the future. The Company generally will seek to select clients and assets in locations that the Company believes will complement its existing portfolio. The Company may also selectively pursue portfolio opportunities outside of its existing markets that will not only add incremental value, but will also add diversification and economies of scale to the existing portfolio.

In assessing a potential development or acquisition opportunity, the Company focuses on the economics of the medical community and the strength of local hospitals. The analysis focuses on trying to place the project on a hospital campus or in a strategic growth corridor based on demographics.

As an incentive for future development deals, the Company intends to establish a program whereby units of limited partnership interests or common stock can be offered to potential development partners to help finance a project. Historically, the Company has financed real property acquisitions through joint ventures in which the physicians who lease space at the properties, and in some cases, local hospitals or regional medical centers, provided the equity capital. The Company expects to continue this practice of entering into joint ventures with individual physicians, physician groups and hospitals.

On November 1, 2005, the Company, as guarantor, and the Operating Partnership entered into a \$100.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility). In August 2006, the Credit Facility s borrowing capability

increased from \$100.0 million to \$130.0 million. As of December 31, 2006, the Credit Facility had approximately \$50.1 million of available borrowings, which the Company can use to finance development and acquisition opportunities. The Company plans to finance future acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under the Credit Facility, traditional secured mortgage financing, and equity offerings.

Table of Contents

Regulation

The following discussion describes certain material U.S. federal healthcare laws and regulations that may affect the Company's operations and those of the Company's tenants. However, the discussion does not address state healthcare laws and regulations, except as otherwise indicated. These state laws and regulations, like the U.S. federal healthcare laws and regulations, could affect the Company's operations and those of the Company's tenants.

The regulatory environment remains stringent for healthcare providers. Fraud and abuse statutes that regulate hospital and physician relationships continue to broaden the industry's awareness of the need for experienced real estate management.

New requirements for Medicare coding, physician recruitment and referrals, outlier charges to commercial and government payors, and corporate governance have created a difficult operating environment for some hospitals.

Generally, healthcare real estate properties are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations. Changes in any of these laws or regulations, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Compensation Liability Act, increase the potential liability for environmental conditions or circumstances existing or created by tenants or others on properties. In addition, laws affecting development, construction, operation, upkeep, safety and taxation requirements may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, loss of healthcare real estate property sites or other impairments to operations, which would adversely affect the Company's cash flows from operating activities.

As the Company's properties and entities are not healthcare providers, the healthcare regulatory restrictions that apply to physician investment in healthcare providers are not applicable to the ownership interests held by physicians in the Company's properties. For example, the Stark II law, which prohibits physicians from referring patients to any entity if they have a financial relationship with or ownership interest in the entity and the entity provides certain designated health services, does not apply to physician ownership in the Company's entities because these entities do not own or operate hospitals, nor do they provide any designated health services. In addition, the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which generally prohibits payment or solicitation of remuneration in exchange for referrals for items and services covered by federal health care programs to persons in a position to refer such business, also does not apply to ownership in the existing properties as these entities do not provide or bill for medical services of any kind. Similar state laws that prohibit physician self referrals or kickbacks also do not apply for the same reasons. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company cannot assure you that regulatory authorities will agree with the Company's interpretation of these laws.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all places of public accommodation are required to meet certain U.S. federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. A number of additional U.S. federal, state and local laws also exist that may require modifications to properties, or restrict certain further renovations thereof, with respect to access thereto by disabled persons. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and also could result in an order to correct any non-complying feature, and in substantial capital expenditures. To the extent the Company's properties are not in compliance, the Company may incur additional costs to comply with the ADA.

Property management activities are often subject to state real estate brokerage laws and regulations as determined by the particular real estate commission for each state.

In addition, state and local laws regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare related facilities, by requiring a certificate of need, which is issued by the applicable state health planning agency only after that agency makes a determination that a need exists

in a particular area for a particular service or facility, or other similar approval. New laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could negatively affect the financial condition of the Company's lessees. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. In addition, certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and their lessees may require licenses or certificates of need to operate. Failure to obtain a license or certificate of need, or loss of a required license would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the lessee.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

Pursuant to U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be required to investigate, remove and/or remediate a release of hazardous substances or other regulated materials at or emanating from such property. Further, under certain circumstances, such owners or operators of real property may be held liable for property damage, personal injury and/or natural resource damage resulting from or arising in connection with such releases. Certain of these laws have been interpreted to be joint and several unless the harm is divisible and there is a reasonable basis for allocation of responsibility. The failure to properly remediate the property may also adversely affect the owner's ability to lease, sell or rent the property or to borrow funds using the property as collateral.

In connection with the ownership, operation and management of the Company's current or past properties and any properties that the Company may acquire and/or manage in the future, the Company could be legally responsible for environmental liabilities or costs relating to a release of hazardous substances or other regulated materials at or emanating from such property. In order to assess the potential for such liability, the Company conducts an environmental assessment of each property prior to acquisition and manages the Company's properties in accordance with environmental laws while the Company owns or operates them. All of the Company's leases contain a comprehensive environmental provision that requires tenants to conduct all activities in compliance with environmental laws and to indemnify the owner for any harm caused by the failure to do so. In addition, the Company has engaged qualified, reputable and adequately insured environmental consulting firms to perform environmental site assessments of all of the Company's properties and is not aware of any environmental issues that are expected to have materially impacted the operations of any property.

Insurance

The Company believes that its properties are covered by adequate fire, flood, earthquake, wind (as deemed necessary or as required by the Company's lenders) and property insurance, as well as commercial liability insurance, provided by reputable companies and with commercially reasonable deductibles and limits. Furthermore, the Company believes that its businesses and assets are likewise adequately insured against casualty loss and third party liabilities. The Company engages a risk management consultant. Changes in the insurance market since September 11, 2001 have caused increases in insurance costs and deductibles, and have led to more active management of the insurance component of the Company's budget for each project; however, most of the Company's leases provide that insurance premiums are considered part of the operating expenses of the respective property, and the tenants are therefore responsible for any increases in the Company's premiums.

Competition

The Company competes in developing and acquiring medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities with financial institutions, institutional pension funds, real estate developers, other REITs, other public and private real estate companies and private real estate investors.

Depending on the characteristics of a specific market, the Company may also face competition in leasing available medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities to prospective tenants. However, the Company believes that it brings a depth of knowledge and experience in working with physicians, hospitals, not-for-profit agencies and religious entities that sponsor healthcare services that makes the Company an attractive real estate partner for both development projects and acquisitions.

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has 118 employees. The Company's employees perform various property management, maintenance, acquisition, renovation and management functions. The Company believes that the Company's relationships with the Company's employees are good. None of the Company's employees are represented by a union.

Offices

The Company's corporate headquarters are located at 4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300, Charlotte, North Carolina 28209-4670. The Company has 29 offices located in California, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Virginia. The Company

Table of Contents

believes that its current offices are adequate for its present and future operations, although it may add regional offices depending on the volume and nature of future acquisition and development projects.

Available Information

The Company files its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You may obtain copies of these documents by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by accessing the SEC's Web site at www.sec.gov. The Company's Web site is www.cogdellspencer.com. Its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K, and all amendments to those reports are posted on the Company's Web site as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports and amendments are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. The contents of the Company's Web site are not incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to the Company's Properties and Operations

The Company's real estate investments are concentrated in medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, making the Company more vulnerable economically than if the Company's investments were diversified.

As a REIT, the Company invests primarily in real estate. Within the real estate industry, the Company selectively owns, develops, redevelops, acquires and manages medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. The Company is subject to risks inherent in concentrating investments in real estate. The risks resulting from a lack of diversification become even greater as a result of the Company's business strategy to invest primarily in medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. A downturn in the medical office building industry or in the commercial real estate industry generally, could significantly adversely affect the value of the Company's properties. A downturn in the healthcare industry could negatively affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock. These adverse effects could be more pronounced than if the Company diversified the Company's investments outside of real estate or outside of medical office buildings and healthcare related properties.

The Company depends on significant tenants.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company's five largest tenants represented \$12.6 million, or 23.2%, of the annualized rent generated by the Company's properties. The Company's five largest tenants based on annualized rents are Palmetto Health Alliance, NorthEast Medical Center, University Hospital (Augusta, GA), Carolinas HealthCare System, and Gaston Memorial Hospital. The Company's tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses, which may weaken their financial condition and result in their failure to make timely rental payments or their default under their leases. In the event of any tenant default, the Company may experience delays in enforcing the Company's rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting the Company's investment.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company's tenants under the Company's leases could seriously harm the Company's operating results and financial condition.

The Company will receive substantially all of the Company's income as rent payments under leases of space in the Company's properties. The Company has no control over the success or failure of the Company's tenants' businesses and, at any time, any of the Company's tenants may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its financial condition. As a result, the Company's tenants may delay lease commencement or renewal, fail to make rent

payments when due or declare bankruptcy. Any leasing delays, lessee failures to make rent payments when due or tenant bankruptcies could result in the termination of the tenant's lease and, particularly in the case of a large tenant, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of

Table of Contents

operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

If tenants are unable to comply with the terms of the Company's leases, the Company may be forced to modify lease terms in ways that are unfavorable to the Company. Alternatively, the failure of a tenant to perform under a lease or to extend a lease upon expiration of its term could require the Company to declare a default, repossess the property, find a suitable replacement tenant, operate the property or sell the property. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to lease the property on substantially equivalent or better terms than the prior lease, or at all, find another tenant, successfully reposition the property for other uses, successfully operate the property or sell the property on terms that are favorable to the Company.

If any lease expires or is terminated, the Company will be responsible for all of the operating expenses for that vacant space until it is re-let. If the Company experiences high levels of vacant space, the Company's operating expenses may increase significantly. Any significant increase in the Company's operating costs may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Any bankruptcy filings by or relating to one of the Company's tenants could bar all efforts by the Company to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that lessee or seize its property, unless the Company receives an order permitting the Company to do so from the bankruptcy court, which the Company may be unable to obtain. A tenant bankruptcy could also delay the Company's efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases and could ultimately preclude full collection of these sums. If a tenant assumes the lease while in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must be paid to the Company in full. However, if a tenant rejects the lease while in bankruptcy, the Company would have only a general unsecured claim for pre-petition damages. Any unsecured claim the Company holds may be paid only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims. It is possible that the Company may recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims the Company holds, if any, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock. Furthermore, dealing with a tenant bankruptcy or other default may divert management's attention and cause the Company to incur substantial legal and other costs.

Adverse economic or other conditions in the markets in which the Company does business could negatively affect the Company's occupancy levels and rental rates and therefore the Company's operating results.

The Company's operating results are dependent upon its ability to maximize occupancy levels and rental rates in the Company's portfolio. Adverse economic or other conditions in the markets in which the Company operates may lower the Company's occupancy levels and limit the Company's ability to increase rents or require the Company to offer rental discounts. The following factors are primary among those which may adversely affect the operating performance of the Company's properties:

the national economic climate in which the Company operates, which may be adversely impacted by, among other factors, industry slowdowns, relocation of businesses and changing demographics;

periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rental rates or an increase in tenant defaults;

local or regional real estate market conditions such as the oversupply of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or a reduction in demand for medical office buildings and healthcare related

facilities in a particular area;

negative perceptions by prospective tenants of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of the Company's properties and the neighborhoods in which they are located;

earthquakes and other natural disasters, terrorist acts, civil disturbances or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses; and changes in the tax, real estate and zoning laws.

Table of Contents

The failure of the Company's properties to generate revenues sufficient to meet the Company's cash requirements, including operating and other expenses, debt service and capital expenditures, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

All of the Company's wholly-owned properties are located in California, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia, and changes in these markets may materially adversely affect the Company.

The Company's wholly-owned properties located in California, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia provide approximately 5.4%, 10.0%, 6.7%, 3.4%, 6.0%, 30.1%, 35.6%, and 2.8%, respectively, of the Company's total annualized rent as of December 31, 2006. As a result of the geographic concentration of properties in these markets, the Company is particularly exposed to downturns in these local economies or other changes in local real estate market conditions. In the event of negative economic changes in these markets, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

The Company may not be successful in identifying and consummating suitable acquisitions or investment opportunities, which may impede the Company's growth and negatively affect the Company's results of operations.

The Company's ability to expand through acquisitions is integral to its business strategy and requires the Company to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet its criteria and are compatible with its growth strategy. The Company may not be successful in identifying suitable properties or other assets that meet the Company's acquisition criteria or in consummating acquisitions or investments on satisfactory terms or at all. Failure to identify or consummate acquisitions or investment opportunities will slow the Company's growth, which could in turn adversely affect the Company's stock price.

The Company's ability to acquire properties on favorable terms and successfully integrate and operate them may be constrained by the following significant risks:

competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including other publicly-traded REITs and institutional investment funds;

competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price for an acquisition property, which could reduce the Company's profitability;

unsatisfactory results of the Company's due diligence investigations or failure to meet other customary closing conditions;

failure to finance an acquisition on favorable terms or at all;

the Company may spend more than the time and amounts budgeted to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties; and

the Company may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental

contamination, claims by persons in respect of events transpiring or conditions existing before the Company acquired the properties and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If any of these risks are realized, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

If the Company is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures to attract new tenants, then the Company's business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Virtually all of the Company's leases are on a multiple year basis. As of December 31, 2006, leases representing 17.5% of the Company's net rentable square feet will expire in 2007, 13.8% in 2008 and 14.3% in 2009. These expirations would account for 17.8%, 12.8% and 15.0% of the Company's annualized rent, respectively. Approximately 74% of the square feet of the Company's properties and 60% of the number of the Company's properties are subject to certain restrictions. These restrictions include limits on the Company's ability to re-let these properties to tenants not affiliated with the healthcare system that own the underlying property, rights of first offer on sales of the property and limits on the types of medical procedures that may be performed. In addition, lower than expected rental rates upon re-letting could impede the Company's growth. The Company cannot assure you that it will be able to re-let space on terms that are favorable to the Company or at all. Further, the Company may be required to make significant capital expenditures to renovate or reconfigure space to attract new tenants. If it is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures in connection with re-letting units, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Certain of the Company's properties may not have efficient alternative uses.

Some of the Company's properties, such as the Company's ambulatory surgery centers, are specialized medical facilities. If the Company or the Company's tenants terminate the leases for these properties or the Company's tenants lose their regulatory authority to operate such properties, the Company may not be able to locate suitable replacement tenants to lease the properties for their specialized uses. Alternatively, the Company may be required to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any loss of revenues and/or additional capital expenditures occurring as a result may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company faces increasing competition for the acquisition of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, which may impede the Company's ability to make future acquisitions or may increase the cost of these acquisitions.

The Company competes with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, including national, regional and local operators, acquirers and developers of healthcare real estate properties. The competition for healthcare real estate properties may significantly increase the price the Company must pay for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or other assets the Company seeks to acquire and the Company's competitors may succeed in acquiring those properties or assets themselves. In addition, the Company's potential acquisition targets may find the Company's competitors to be more attractive because they may have greater resources, may be willing to pay more for the properties or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger healthcare REITs may enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital and enhanced operating efficiencies. In addition, the number of entities and the amount of funds competing for suitable investment properties may increase. This competition will result in increased demand for these assets and therefore increased prices paid for them. Because of an increased interest in single-property acquisitions among tax-motivated individual purchasers, the Company may pay higher prices if the Company purchases single properties in comparison with portfolio acquisitions. If the Company pays higher prices for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or other

assets, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

The Company may not be successful in integrating and operating acquired properties.

The Company expects to make future acquisitions of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. If the Company acquires medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, the Company will be required to integrate them into the Company's existing portfolio. The acquired properties may turn out to be less compatible with the Company's growth strategy than originally anticipated, may cause disruptions in the Company's operations or may divert management's attention away from day-to-day operations, any or all of which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, their associated hospitals and the Company's tenants may be unable to compete successfully.

The Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, and their associated hospitals often face competition from nearby hospitals and other medical office buildings that provide comparable services. Some of those competing facilities are owned by governmental agencies and supported by tax revenues, and others are owned by nonprofit corporations and may be supported to a large extent by endowments and charitable contributions. These types of support are not available to the Company's buildings.

Similarly, the Company's tenants face competition from other medical practices in nearby hospitals and other medical facilities. The Company's tenants' failure to compete successfully with these other practices could adversely affect their ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect the Company's rental revenues. Further, from time to time and for reasons beyond the Company's control, referral sources, including physicians and managed care organizations, may change their lists of hospitals or physicians to which they refer patients. This could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect the Company's rental revenues.

Any reduction in rental revenues resulting from the inability of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, their associated hospitals and the Company's tenants to compete successfully may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's investments in development and redevelopment projects may not yield anticipated returns, which would harm the Company's operating results and reduce the amount of funds available for distributions.

A key component of the Company's growth strategy is exploring new-asset development and redevelopment opportunities through strategic joint ventures. To the extent that the Company engages in these development and redevelopment activities, they will be subject to the following risks normally associated with these projects:

the Company may be unable to obtain financing for these projects on favorable terms or at all;

the Company may not complete development projects on schedule or within budgeted amounts;

the Company may encounter delays or refusals in obtaining all necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations;

occupancy rates and rents at newly developed or redeveloped properties may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, and may result in the Company's investment not being

profitable; and start-up costs may be higher than anticipated.

In deciding whether to develop or redevelop a particular property, the Company makes certain assumptions regarding the expected future performance of that property. The Company may underestimate the costs necessary to bring the property up to the standards established for its intended market position or the Company may be unable to increase occupancy at a newly acquired property as quickly as expected or at all. Any substantial unanticipated delays or expenses could adversely affect the investment returns from these development or redevelopment projects and have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the

Table of Contents

Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company may in the future develop medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in geographic regions where the Company does not currently have a significant presence and where the Company does not possess the same level of familiarity, which could adversely affect the Company's ability to develop such properties successfully or at all or to achieve expected performance.

The Company relies to a large extent on the investments of the Company's joint venture partners for the funding of the Company's development and redevelopment projects. If the Company's reputation in the healthcare real estate industry changes or the number of investors considering the Company as an attractive strategic partner is otherwise reduced, the Company's ability to develop or redevelop properties could be affected, which would limit the Company's growth.

If the Company's investments in development and redevelopment projects do not yield anticipated returns for any reason, including those set forth above, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Uninsured losses or losses in excess of the Company insurance coverage could adversely affect the Company's financial condition and the Company's cash flow.

The Company maintains comprehensive liability, fire, flood, earthquake, wind (as deemed necessary or as required by the Company's lenders), extended coverage and rental loss insurance with respect to the Company's properties with policy specifications, limits and deductibles customarily carried for similar properties. Certain types of losses, however, may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable, such as losses due to earthquakes, riots, acts of war or terrorism. Should an uninsured loss occur, the Company could lose both the Company's investment in and anticipated profits and cash flow from a property. If any such loss is insured, the Company may be required to pay a significant deductible on any claim for recovery of such a loss prior to the Company's insurer being obligated to reimburse the Company for the loss, or the amount of the loss may exceed the Company's coverage for the loss. In addition, future lenders may require such insurance, and the Company's failure to obtain such insurance could constitute a default under loan agreements. As a result, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

The Company's mortgage agreements and ground leases contain certain provisions that may limit the Company's ability to sell certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities.

In order to assign or transfer the Company's rights and obligations under certain of the Company's mortgage agreements, the Company generally must:

obtain the consent of the lender;

pay a fee equal to a fixed percentage of the outstanding loan balance; and

pay any costs incurred by the lender in connection with any such assignment or transfer.

In addition, ground leases on certain of the Company's properties contain restrictions on transfer such as limiting the assignment or subleasing of the facility only to practicing physicians or physicians in good standing with an affiliated hospital. These provisions of the Company's mortgage agreements and ground leases may limit the Company's ability

to sell certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities which, in turn, could adversely impact the price realized from any such sale.

Table of Contents

22 of the Company's wholly-owned properties are subject to ground leases that expose the Company to the loss of such properties upon breach or termination of the ground leases.

The Company has 22 wholly-owned properties that are subject to leasehold interests in the land underlying the buildings and the Company may acquire additional buildings in the future that are subject to similar ground leases. These 22 wholly-owned properties represent 57% of the Company's total net rentable square feet. As lessee under a ground lease, the Company is exposed to the possibility of losing the property upon termination, or an earlier breach by the Company, of the ground lease, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with operating the Company's properties may affect the Company's results of operations.

Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, owners and operators of real estate may be liable for the costs of investigating and remediating certain hazardous substances or other regulated materials on or in such property. Such laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such substances or materials. The presence of such substances or materials, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to lease, sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances or other regulated materials may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for release of asbestos-containing materials into the air and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials.

Certain environmental laws also impose liability, without regard to knowledge or fault, for removal or remediation of hazardous substances or other regulated materials upon owners and operators of contaminated property even after they no longer own or operate the property. Moreover, the past or present owner or operator from which a release emanates could be liable for any personal injuries or property damages that may result from such releases, as well as any damages to natural resources that may arise from such releases.

Certain environmental laws impose compliance obligations on owners and operators of real property with respect to the management of hazardous materials and other regulated substances. For example, environmental laws govern the management of asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint. Failure to comply with these laws can result in penalties or other sanctions.

No assurances can be given that existing environmental studies with respect to any of the Company's properties reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner or operator of the Company's properties did not create any material environmental condition not known to the Company, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist as to any one or more of the Company's properties. There also exists the risk that material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future. Finally, future laws, ordinances or regulations and future interpretations of existing laws, ordinances or regulations may impose additional material environmental liability.

The realization of any or all of these risks may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Costs associated with complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 may result in unanticipated expenses.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all places of public accommodation are required to meet certain U.S. federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. A number of additional U.S. federal, state and local laws may also require modifications to the Company's properties, or restrict certain further renovations of the properties, with respect to access thereto by disabled persons. Noncompliance

Table of Contents

with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and/or an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures. The Company has not conducted an audit or investigation of all of the Company's properties to determine the Company's compliance and the Company cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with the ADA or other legislation. If one or more of the Company's properties is not in compliance with the ADA or other related legislation, then the Company would be required to incur additional costs to bring the facility into compliance. If the Company incurs substantial costs to comply with the ADA or other related legislation, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Risks Related to the Healthcare Industry

Adverse trends in healthcare provider operations may negatively affect the Company's lease revenues and the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing:

changes in the demand for and methods of delivering healthcare services;

changes in third party reimbursement policies;

substantial competition for patients among healthcare providers;

continued pressure by private and governmental payors to reduce payments to providers of services; and increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by U.S. federal and state authorities.

These factors may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of the Company's tenants and, in turn, the Company's lease revenues, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Reductions in reimbursement from third party payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, could adversely affect the profitability of the Company's tenants and hinder their ability to make rent payments to the Company.

Sources of revenue for the Company's tenants may include the U.S. federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations, among others. Healthcare providers continue to face increased government and private payor pressure to control or reduce costs. Efforts by such payors to reduce healthcare costs will likely continue, which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of the Company's tenants. In addition, the failure of any of the Company's tenants to comply with various laws and regulations could jeopardize their ability to continue participating in Medicare, Medicaid and other government sponsored payment programs. A reduction in reimbursements to the Company's tenants from third party payors for any reason could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated, and new laws or regulations, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could result in the inability of the Company's tenants to make rent payments to the Company.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated by U.S. federal, state and local governmental bodies. The Company's tenants generally will be subject to laws and regulations covering, among other things, licensure, certification for participation in government programs and relationships with physicians and other referral sources.

In addition, state and local laws regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare related facilities, by requiring a certificate of need, which is issued by the applicable state health planning agency only after that agency makes a determination

Table of Contents

that a need exists in a particular area for a particular service or facility, or other similar approval. New laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could negatively affect the financial condition of the Company's tenants. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. In addition, certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and their tenants may require licenses or certificates of need to operate. Failure to obtain a license or certificate of need, or loss of a required license would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the tenant.

These events could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's tenants are subject to fraud and abuse laws, the violation of which by a tenant may jeopardize the tenant's ability to make rent payments to the Company.

There are various federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent and abusive business practices by healthcare providers who participate in, receive payments from or are in a position to make referrals in connection with government-sponsored healthcare programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company's lease arrangements with certain tenants may also be subject to these fraud and abuse laws.

These laws include:

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, the offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of Medicare and Medicaid patients;

the Federal Physician Self-Referral Prohibition, which, subject to specific exceptions, restricts physicians who have financial relationships with healthcare providers from making referrals for specifically designated health services for which payment may be made under Medicare or Medicaid programs to an entity with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has a financial relationship;

the False Claims Act, which prohibits any person from knowingly presenting false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government, including under the Medicare and Medicaid programs; and

the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to impose monetary penalties for certain fraudulent acts.

Each of these laws includes criminal and/or civil penalties for violations that range from punitive sanctions, damage assessments, penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Additionally, certain laws, such as the False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring whistleblower actions on behalf of the government for violations thereof. Imposition of any of these penalties upon one of the Company's tenants or associated hospitals could jeopardize that tenant's ability to operate or to make rent payments or affect the level of occupancy in the Company's medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities associated with that hospital, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede the Company's ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of the Company's properties.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, the Company's ability to promptly sell one or more properties in the Company's portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand, that are beyond the Company's control. The Company cannot predict whether the Company will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms set

Table of Contents

by the Company or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to the Company. The Company also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property.

The Company may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. The Company cannot assure you that it will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements. In acquiring a property, the Company may agree to transfer restrictions that materially restrict it from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These transfer restrictions would impede the Company's ability to sell a property even if the Company deems it necessary or appropriate. These facts and any others that would impede the Company's ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of its properties may have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Any investments in unimproved real property may take significantly longer to yield income-producing returns, if at all, and may result in additional costs to the Company to comply with re-zoning restrictions or environmental regulations.

The Company has in the past, and may in the future, invest in unimproved real property. Unimproved properties generally take longer to yield income-producing returns based on the typical time required for development. Any development of unimproved real property may also expose the Company to the risks and uncertainties associated with re-zoning the land for a higher use or development and environmental concerns of governmental entities and/or community groups. Any unsuccessful investments or delays in realizing an income-producing return or increased costs to develop unimproved real property could restrict the Company's ability to earn its targeted rate of return on an investment or adversely affect the Company's ability to pay operating expenses, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Risks Related to the Company's Debt Financings

Required payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave the Company with insufficient cash to operate the Company's properties or to pay the distributions currently contemplated or necessary to qualify as a REIT and may expose the Company to the risk of default under the Company's debt obligations.

At December 31, 2006, the Company has approximately \$261.5 million of outstanding indebtedness, \$184.0 million of which is secured. Approximately \$62.0 million and \$105.0 million of the Company's outstanding indebtedness will mature in 2007 and 2008, respectively. The Company expects to incur additional debt in connection with future acquisitions. The Company may borrow under its Credit Facility, or borrow new funds to acquire these future properties. Additionally, the Company does not anticipate that the Company's internally generated cash flow will be adequate to repay the Company's existing indebtedness upon maturity and, therefore, the Company expects to repay the Company's indebtedness through refinancings and future offerings of equity and/or debt.

If the Company is required to utilize the Company's Credit Facility for purposes other than acquisition activity, this will reduce the amount available for acquisitions and could slow the Company's growth. Therefore, the Company's level of debt and the limitations imposed on the Company by the Company's debt agreements could have adverse consequences, including the following:

the Company's cash flow may be insufficient to meet the Company's required principal and interest payments;

the Company may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, including to make acquisitions;

Table of Contents

the Company may be unable to refinance the Company's indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of the Company's original indebtedness;

because a portion of the Company's debt bears interest at variable rates, an increase in interest rates could materially increase the Company's interest expense;

the Company may be forced to dispose of one or more of the Company's properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

after debt service, the amount available for distributions to the Company's stockholders is reduced;

the Company's debt level could place the Company at a competitive disadvantage compared to the Company's competitors with less debt;

the Company may experience increased vulnerability to economic and industry downturns, reducing the Company's ability to respond to changing business and economic conditions;

the Company may default on the Company's obligations and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on the Company's properties that secure their loans and receive an assignment of rents and leases;

the Company may violate financial covenants which would cause a default on the Company's obligations;

the Company may inadvertently violate non-financial restrictive covenants in the Company's loan documents, such as covenants that require the Company to maintain the existence of entities, maintain insurance policies and provide financial statements, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate the Company's debt obligations; and

the Company defaults under any one of the Company's mortgage loans with cross-default or cross-collateralization provisions could result in default on other indebtedness or result in the foreclosures of other properties.

The realization of any or all of these risks may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's ability to pay distributions is dependent on a number of factors and is not assured.

The Company's ability to make distributions depends upon a variety of factors, including efficient management of the Company's properties and the successful implementation by the Company of a variety of the Company's growth initiatives, and may be adversely affected by the risks described elsewhere in this Annual Report of Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors and depend on the Company earnings, the Company's financial condition, the REIT distribution requirements and other factors that the Board of Directors may consider from time to time. The Company cannot assure you that the level of the Company's distributions will increase over time or that the Company will be able to maintain the Company's future distributions at levels that equal or exceed the Company's historical distributions. The Company may be required to fund future distributions either from borrowings under the Company's Credit Facility, with the proceeds from equity offerings, which could be dilutive, or from property sales, which could be at a loss, or reduce such distributions.

The Company could become highly leveraged in the future because the Company's organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt the Company may incur.

The Company's organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of indebtedness that the Company or the Operating Partnership may incur. The Company could alter the balance between the Company's total outstanding indebtedness and the value of the Company's wholly-owned properties at any time. If the Company becomes more highly leveraged, the resulting increase in debt service could adversely affect the Company's ability to make payments on the Company's outstanding indebtedness and to pay the Company's anticipated distributions and/or the distributions required to qualify as a REIT, and may materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Table of Contents

Increases in interest rates may increase the Company's interest expense and adversely affect the Company's cash flow and the Company's ability to service the Company's indebtedness and make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has approximately \$261.5 million of outstanding indebtedness, of which approximately \$115.4 million, or 44.1%, is subject to variable interest rates (excluding debt subject to variable to fixed interest rate swap agreements). This variable rate debt had a weighted average interest rate of approximately 6.68% per year as of December 31, 2006. Increases in interest rates on this variable rate debt would increase the Company's interest expense, which could adversely affect the Company's cash flow and the Company's ability to pay distributions. For example, if market rates of interest on this variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, the increase in interest expense would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.2 million annually.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect the Company's results of operations.

In certain cases, the Company may seek to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements. Hedging involves risks, such as the risk that the counterparty may fail to honor its obligations under an arrangement, that the arrangements may not be effective in reducing the Company's exposure to interest rate changes and that a court could rule that such an agreement is not legally enforceable. In addition, the Company may be limited in the type and amount of hedging transactions the Company may use in the future by the Company's need to satisfy the REIT income tests under the Code. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's Credit Facility contains financial covenants that could limit the Company's operations and the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's Credit Facility contains financial and operating covenants, including net worth requirements, fixed charge coverage and debt ratios and other limitations on the Company's ability to make distributions or other payments to the Company's stockholders (other than those required by the Code), sell all or substantially all of the Company's assets and engage in mergers, consolidations and certain acquisitions.

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including: (1) limitations on the Company's ability to (A) incur additional indebtedness, (B) make distributions to the Company's stockholders, subject to complying with REIT requirements, and (C) make certain investments; (2) maintenance of a pool of unencumbered assets subject to certain minimum valuations thereof; and (3) requirements for the Company to maintain certain financial coverage ratios. These customary financial coverage ratios and other conditions include a maximum leverage ratio (65%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (150%), maximum combined secured indebtedness (50%), maximum recourse indebtedness (15%), maximum unsecured indebtedness (60%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratio (175%, with the flexibility for one two quarter decrease to 150%) and minimum combined tangible net worth (\$30 million plus 85% of net proceeds of equity issuances by the Company and its subsidiaries after November 1, 2005). Failure to meet the Company's financial covenants could result from, among other things, changes in the Company's results of operations, the incurrence of debt or changes in general economic conditions. Advances under the Company's Credit Facility may be subject to borrowing base requirements on the Company's unencumbered medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities. These covenants may restrict the Company's ability to engage in transactions that the Company believes would otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders. Failure to comply with any of the covenants in the Company's Credit Facility could result

in a default under one or more of the Company's debt instruments. This could cause one or more of the Company's lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to the Company's Organization and Structure

The Company's management has limited experience operating a REIT or a public Company and therefore may have difficulty in successfully and profitably operating the Company's business, or complying with regulatory requirements, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Prior to November 1, 2005, the Company's management had limited experience operating a REIT or a public company, or complying with regulatory requirements, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. As a result, the Company cannot assure you that it will be able to successfully operate as a REIT, execute the Company's business strategies as a public Company, or comply with regulatory requirements applicable to public companies, and you should be especially cautious in drawing conclusions about the ability of the Company's management team to execute the Company's business plan.

The Company's two largest stockholders, Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, and Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, and their respective affiliates owned 17.8% and 3.8%, respectively, as of December 31, 2006 of the Company's outstanding common stock and units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (OP units) on a fully-diluted basis and therefore have the ability to exercise significant influence over the Company and any matter presented to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's two largest stockholders, Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, and Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, and their respective affiliates owned approximately 17.8%, and 3.8%, respectively, as of December 31, 2006 of the Company's outstanding common stock and OP units on a fully-diluted basis. Consequently, those stockholders, individually or, to the extent their interests are aligned, collectively, may be able to influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the election of the Board of Directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers and the determination of the Company's day-to-day corporate and management policies. Therefore, these stockholders have substantial influence over the Company and could exercise their influence in a manner that is not in the best interests of the Company's other stockholders.

The Company's business could be harmed if key personnel terminate their employment with the Company.

The Company's success depends, to a significant extent, on the continued services of Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, and the other members of the Company's senior management team. The Company's senior management team has an average of 11 years of experience in the healthcare real estate industry. In addition, the Company's ability to continue to acquire and develop properties depends on the significant relationships the Company's senior management team has developed. There is no guarantee that any of them will remain employed by the Company. The Company does not maintain key person life insurance on any of the Company's officers. The loss of services of one or more members of the Company's senior management team could harm the Company's business and the Company's prospects.

Tax indemnification obligations could limit the Company's operating flexibility by limiting the Company's ability to sell specified properties.

In connection with the formation transactions and certain other property acquisitions, the Company entered into a tax protection agreement with the former owners of each contributed medical office building or healthcare related facility who received OP units.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of the medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities (each a protected asset) or any interest in a protected asset prior to the eighth anniversary of the closing of the offering unless:

1. a majority-in-interest of the holders of interests in the existing entities (or their successors, which may include the Company to the extent any OP units have been redeemed or exchanged) with respect to such protected asset consent to the sale, transfer or other disposition; provided, however, with respect to three of the existing entities, Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC, the required consent shall be a majority-in-interest of the beneficial owners of interests in the existing entities other than Messrs. Cogdell and Spencer and their affiliates; or
2. the Operating Partnership delivers to each such holder of interests, a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability related to the recognition of such holder's built-in gain resulting from the sale of such protected asset; or
3. the sale, transfer or other disposition would not result in the recognition of any built-in gain by any such holder of interests.

Protected assets represent approximately 79% of the Company's total net rentable square feet. If the Company were to sell all of these protected assets and the Company undertook such sale without obtaining the requisite consent of the contributing holders, then the Company would be required to make material payments to these holders. The prospect of making payments under the tax protection agreements could impede the Company's ability to respond to changing economic, financial and investment conditions. For example, it may not be economical for the Company to raise cash quickly through a sale of one or more of the Company's protected assets or dispose of a poorly performing protected asset until the expiration of the eight-year protection period.

Tax indemnification obligations may require the Operating Partnership to maintain certain debt levels.

The Company's tax protection agreements also provide that during the period from the closing of the Offering through the twelfth anniversary thereof, the Operating Partnership will offer each holder who continues to hold at least 50% of the OP units received in respect of the consolidation transaction the opportunity to: (1) guarantee debt or (2) enter into a deficit restoration obligation. If the Company fails to offer such opportunities, the Company will be required to deliver to each holder a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability resulting from the Company's failure to make such opportunities available to that holder. The Company agreed to these provisions in order to assist such holders in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after the consolidation transaction. These obligations may require the Company to maintain more or different indebtedness than the Company would otherwise require for the Company's business.

The Company may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of contribution and other agreements because of conflicts of interest with certain of the Company's officers.

Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, Charles M. Handy, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Secretary, and other members of the Company's management team, have direct or indirect ownership interests in certain properties contributed to the Operating Partnership in the Formation Transactions. The Company, under the agreements relating to the contribution of such interests, is entitled to indemnification and damages in the event of breaches of representations or warranties made by the contributors. The Company may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, the Company's rights under these agreements because of the Company's desire to maintain the

Company's ongoing relationships with the individual's party to these agreements. In addition, the Company is party to employment agreements with Messrs. Cogdell, Spencer and Handy, which provide for additional severance following termination of employment if the Company elects to subject the executive officer to certain non-competition, confidentiality and non-solicitation provisions. Although their employment agreements require that they devote substantially all of their full business time and attention to the Company, if the executive officer forgoes the additional severance, he will not be subject to such non-competition provisions, which would allow him to compete with the Company. None of these agreements were negotiated on an arm's-length basis.

Table of Contents

Conflicts of interest could arise as a result of the Company UPREIT structure.

Conflicts of interest could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between the Company and the Company's affiliates, on the one hand, and the Operating Partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. The Company's directors and officers have duties to the Company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of the Company. At the same time, the Company, through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, has fiduciary duties, as a general partner, to the Operating Partnership and to the limited partners under Delaware law in connection with the management of the Operating Partnership. The Company's duties, through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, as a general partner to the Operating Partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of the Company's directors and officers. The partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership does not require the Company to resolve such conflicts in favor of either the Company's stockholders or the limited partners in the Operating Partnership.

Unless otherwise provided for in the relevant partnership agreement, Delaware law generally requires a general partner of a Delaware limited partnership to adhere to fiduciary duty standards under which it owes its limited partners the highest duties of good faith, fairness and loyalty and which generally prohibit such general partner from taking any action or engaging in any transaction as to which it has a conflict of interest.

Additionally, the partnership agreement expressly limits the Company's liability by providing that neither the Company, nor the Company's wholly-owned Maryland business trust subsidiary, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership, nor any of the Company or its trustees, directors or officers, will be liable or accountable in damages to the Operating Partnership, the limited partners or assignees for errors in judgment, mistakes of fact or law or for any act or omission if the general partner or such trustee, director or officer, acted in good faith. In addition, the Operating Partnership is required to indemnify the Company, the Company's affiliates and each of the Company's respective trustees, officers, directors, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities (whether joint or several), expenses (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and other legal fees and expenses), judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts arising from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits or proceedings, civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, that relate to the operations of the Operating Partnership, provided that the Operating Partnership will not indemnify any such person for (1) willful misconduct or a knowing violation of the law, (2) any transaction for which such person received an improper personal benefit in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement, or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, the person had reasonable cause to believe the act or omission was unlawful.

The provisions of Delaware law that allow the common law fiduciary duties of a general partner to be modified by a partnership agreement have not been resolved in a court of law, and the Company has not obtained an opinion of counsel covering the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to waive or restrict the Company's fiduciary duties that would be in effect under common law were it not for the partnership agreement.

Certain provisions of the Company's organizational documents, including the stock ownership limit imposed by the Company's charter, could prevent or delay a change in control transaction.

The Company's charter, subject to certain exceptions, authorizes the Company's directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve the Company's qualification as a REIT and to limit any person to actual or constructive ownership of 7.75% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's outstanding common stock or 7.75% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's outstanding capital stock. The Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt additional persons from the ownership limit. However, the Board of Directors may not grant an exemption from the ownership limit to any proposed transferee whose ownership could jeopardize the Company's qualification as a REIT. These restrictions

on ownership will not apply if the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in the Company's best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT. The ownership limit may delay or impede a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders. Different ownership limits apply to Mr. Cogdell, certain of his affiliates, family members and estates and trusts formed for the benefit of the foregoing, and Mr. Spencer, certain of his affiliates, family members and estates and trusts formed for the benefit of the foregoing.

Table of Contents

These ownership limits, which the Board of Directors has determined will not jeopardize the Company REIT qualification, allow Mr. Cogdell, certain of his affiliates, family members and estates and trusts formed for the benefit of the foregoing, as an excepted holder, to hold up to 18.0% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's common stock or up to 18.0% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's outstanding capital stock.

Certain provisions of Maryland law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of the Company.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of the Company that might involve a premium price for holders of the Company's common stock or otherwise be in their best interests, including:

business combination provisions that, subject to certain limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between the Company and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the Company's shares or an affiliate thereof) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose special minimum price provisions and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

control share provisions that provide that control shares of the Company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by the Company's stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

These provisions of the MGCL relating to business combinations do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by a board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, the Board of Directors has by resolution exempted Mr. Cogdell, his affiliates and associates and all persons acting in concert with the foregoing, and Mr. Spencer, his affiliates and associates and all persons acting in concert with the foregoing, from these provisions of the MGCL and, consequently, the five-year prohibition and the supermajority vote requirements will not apply to business combinations between the Company and these persons. As a result, these persons may be able to enter into business combinations with the Company that may not be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders without compliance by the Company with the supermajority vote requirements and the other provisions of the statute. In addition, the Company's by-laws contain a provision exempting from the provisions of the MGCL relating to control share acquisitions any and all acquisitions by any person of the Company's common stock. There can be no assurance that such provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

Additionally, Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL permits the Board of Directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in the Company's charter or bylaws, to take certain actions that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of the Company that might involve a premium to the market price of the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the Company's stockholders' best interests.

The Board of Directors has the power to cause the Company to issue additional shares of the Company's stock and the general partner has the power to issue additional OP units without stockholder approval.

The Company's charter authorizes the Board of Directors to cause the Company to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of common stock, or preferred stock and to amend the Company's charter to increase the aggregate

number of authorized shares or the authorized number of shares of any class or series without stockholder approval. The general partner will be given the authority to issue additional OP units. In addition, the Board of Directors may classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common stock or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. The Board of Directors could cause the Company to issue additional shares of the Company's common stock or establish a series of preferred stock that could have the

Table of Contents

effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control or other transaction that might involve a premium price for the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders.

The Company's rights and the rights of the Company's stockholders to take action to recover money damages from the Company's directors and officers are limited.

The Company's charter eliminates the Company's directors' and officers' liability to the Company and the Company's stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit in money, property or services or active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment and which is material to the cause of action. The Company's charter authorizes the Company, and the Company's bylaws require the Company, to indemnify the Company's directors and officers for liability resulting from actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. In addition, the Company may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by the Company's directors and officers.

You will have limited ability as a stockholder to prevent the Company from making any changes to the Company's policies that you believe could harm the Company's business, prospects, operating results or share price.

The Board of Directors will adopt policies with respect to certain activities, such as investments, dispositions, financing, lending, the Company's equity capital, conflicts of interest and reporting. These policies may be amended or revised from time to time at the discretion of the Board of Directors without a vote of the Company's stockholders. This means that the Company's stockholders will have limited control over changes in the Company's policies. Such changes in the Company's policies intended to improve, expand or diversify the Company's business may not have the anticipated effects and consequently may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

To the extent the Company's distributions represent a return of capital for tax purposes you could recognize an increased capital gain upon a subsequent sale by you of the Company's common stock.

Distributions in excess of the Company's current and accumulated earnings and profits and not treated by the Company as a dividend will not be taxable to a U.S. stockholder to the extent those distributions do not exceed the stockholder's adjusted tax basis in its common stock, but instead will constitute a return of capital and will reduce the stockholder's adjusted tax basis in its common stock. If distributions result in a reduction of a stockholder's adjusted basis in such holder's common stock, subsequent sales of such holder's common stock potentially will result in recognition of an increased capital gain or reduced capital loss due to the reduction in such adjusted basis.

Risks Related to Qualification and Operation as a REIT

The Company's failure to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to the Company and the value of the Company's common stock.

The Company intends to operate in a manner that will allow the Company to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the Code. The Company has not requested and does not plan to request a ruling from the IRS that the Company qualifies as a REIT, and the statements in the Company's prospectus and other filings are not binding on the IRS or any court. If the Company fails to qualify or loses the Company's qualification as a REIT, the Company will face serious Company tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to the Company's stockholders for each of the years involved because:

the Company would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing the Company's taxable income and the Company would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

the Company also could be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

Table of Contents

unless the Company is entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, the Company could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following a year during which the Company was disqualified.

In addition, if the Company loses its qualification as a REIT, the Company will not be required to make distributions to stockholders, and all distributions to the Company's stockholders will be subject to tax as regular corporate dividends to the extent of the Company's current and accumulated earnings and profits. This means that the Company's U.S. individual stockholders would be taxed on the Company's dividends at a maximum U.S. federal income tax rate of 15% (through 2010), and the Company's corporate stockholders generally would be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to such dividends, subject, in each case, to applicable limitations under the Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions and regulations promulgated thereunder for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable U.S. Treasury Department regulations, or Treasury Regulations, that have been promulgated under the Code is greater in the case of a REIT that, like the Company, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within the Company's control may affect the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the composition of the Company's assets and sources of the Company's gross income. Also, the Company must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income, excluding capital gains.

As a result of these factors, the Company's loss of its qualifications as a REIT also could impair the Company's ability to expand the Company's business and raise capital, and would adversely affect the value of the Company's common stock.

To maintain the Company REIT qualification, the Company may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, the Company generally must distribute to the Company's stockholders at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and the Company will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that the Company distributes less than 100% of the Company's net taxable income each year. In addition, the Company will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by the Company in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of the Company's ordinary income, 95% of the Company's capital gain net income and 100% of the Company's undistributed income from prior years. In order to qualify as a REIT and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, the Company may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis, or possibly on a long-term basis, to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, a difference in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt amortization payments.

Dividends payable by REITs generally do not qualify for reduced tax rates.

The maximum tax rate for dividends payable by domestic corporations to individual U.S. stockholders (as such term is defined under U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations below), is 15% (through 2010). Dividends payable by REITs, however, are generally not eligible for the reduced rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause stockholders who are individuals to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including the Company's common stock.

In addition, the relative attractiveness of real estate in general may be adversely affected by the favorable tax treatment given to corporate dividends, which could negatively affect the value of the Company's properties.

Table of Contents

Possible legislative or other actions affecting REITs could adversely affect the Company and the Company's stockholders.

The rules dealing with U.S. federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department. Changes to tax laws (which changes may have retroactive application) could adversely affect the Company or the Company's stockholders. The Company cannot predict whether, when, in what forms, or with what effective dates, the tax laws applicable to the Company or the Company's stockholders will be changed.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause the Company to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the Company must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of the Company's income, the nature and diversification of the Company's assets, the amounts the Company distribute to the Company's stockholders and the ownership of the Company's stock. In order to meet these tests, the Company may be required to forego attractive business or investment opportunities. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may adversely affect the Company's ability to operate solely to maximize profits.

The Company will pay some taxes.

Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the Company will be required to pay some U.S. federal, state and local taxes on the Company's income and property. In addition, the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries, CSA, LLC and Consera Healthcare Real Estate LLC, (the TRSs) are fully taxable corporations that will be subject to taxes on their income, including their management fee income, and that may be limited in their ability to deduct interest payments made to the Company or the Operating Partnership. The Company also will be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain amounts if the economic arrangements among the Company's tenants, the Company's TRSs and the Company are not comparable to similar arrangements among unrelated parties or if the Company receives payments for inventory or property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. To the extent that the Company or the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries are required to pay U.S. federal, state or local taxes, the Company will have less cash available for distribution to the Company's stockholders.

The ability of the Board of Directors to revoke the Company REIT election without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's charter provides that the Board of Directors may revoke or otherwise terminate the Company REIT election, without the approval of the Company's stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in the Company's best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT. If the Company ceases to qualify as a REIT, the Company would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on the Company's taxable income and the Company would no longer be required to distribute most of the Company's taxable income to the Company's stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total return to the Company's stockholders.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2. *Properties*

As of December 31, 2006, the Company owns and/or manages 112 medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, 50 of which are wholly-owned, four of which are jointly owned with unaffiliated third parties and managed through a TRS, 58 of which are managed for third parties through a TRS. Medical office buildings typically contain suites for physicians and physician practice groups and also may include facilities that provide hospitals with ancillary and outpatient services, such as ambulatory surgery centers, imaging and diagnostic centers (offering diagnostic services not typically provided in physician offices or clinics), rehabilitation centers, kidney dialysis centers and cancer treatment centers. The Company's aggregate portfolio contains an aggregate of approximately 5.4 million net rentable square feet of as of December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, the

Table of Contents

Company's wholly-owned properties were approximately 94.2% occupied, with a weighted average remaining lease term of approximately 5.49 years, and accounted for 94.1% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 94.3% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005.

At December 31, 2006, 79% of the Company's wholly-owned properties are located on hospital campuses and 6% are located off-campus but in which a hospital is the sole or anchor tenant.

The following table contains additional information about the Company's wholly-owned properties as of December 31, 2006.

| Wholly-Owned Property | City | Year Built(1) | Net Rentable Square Feet(2) | Occupancy Rate | Annualized Rent(3) | Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot(4)(5) | Associated Health Care System |
|--|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| California: | | | | | | | |
| Verdugo Professional Building I(6) | Glendale | 1974 | 63,887 | 88.4% | \$ 1,717,659 | \$ 30.41 | Verdugo Hills Hospital |
| Verdugo Professional Building II(6) | Glendale | 1984 | 42,906 | 88.2 | 1,240,676 | 32.78 | Verdugo Hills Hospital |
| Total California | | | 106,793 | 88.3 | 2,958,335 | 31.36 | |
| Georgia: | | | | | | | |
| Augusta POB I(6)(7) | Augusta | 1978 | 99,494 | 99.5 | 1,202,288 | 12.14 | University Health Services |
| Augusta POB II(6)(7) | Augusta | 1987 | 125,634 | 93.2 | 2,603,054 | 22.23 | University Health Services |
| Augusta POB III(6)(7) | Augusta | 1994 | 47,034 | 90.0 | 761,623 | 17.99 | University Health Services |
| Augusta POB IV(6)(7) | Augusta | 1995 | 55,134 | 85.2 | 887,602 | 18.90 | University Health Services |
| Total Georgia | | | 327,296 | 93.3 | 5,454,567 | 17.86 | |
| Indiana: | | | | | | | |
| Methodist Professional Center One(6)(8)(16) | Indianapolis | 1984 | 174,114 | 95.4 | 3,658,887 | 22.02 | Methodist Hospital |
| Kentucky: | | | | | | | |
| Our Lady of Bellefonte(6)(8)(9) Adjacent Parking Deck | Ashland | 1997 1997 | 46,907 | 100.0 | 1,108,305 771,593 | 23.63 | Our Lady of Bellefonte Hospital |
| Total Kentucky | | | 46,907 | 100.0 | 1,879,898 | 23.63(10) | |
| Louisiana: | | | | | | | |
| East Jefferson Medical Office Building(6)(8)(9) | Metairie | 1985 | 119,921 | 100.0 | 2,338,867 | 19.50 | East Jefferson General Hospital |
| East Jefferson Medical Specialty | Metairie | 1985 | 10,809 | 100.0 | 966,359 | 89.40 | East Jefferson General Hospital |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Building(6)(8)(9)(11)

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Total Louisiana | | | 130,730 | 100.0 | 3,305,226 | 25.28 | |
| North Carolina: | | | | | | | |
| Barclay Downs | Charlotte | 1987 | 38,395 | 100.0 | 785,462 | 20.46 | |
| Birkdale Medical Village(9)(12) | Huntersville | 1997 | 64,669 | 100.0 | 1,350,759 | 20.89 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Birkdale Retail(9) | Huntersville | 2001 | 8,269 | 100.0 | 205,860 | 24.90 | |
| Cabarrus POB(6)(8)(9) | Concord | 1997 | 84,972 | 96.6 | 1,697,889 | 20.69 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Copperfield Medical Mall(12) | Concord | 1989 | 26,000 | 100.0 | 569,920 | 21.92 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Copperfield MOB(6)(8)(9) | Concord | 2005 | 61,789 | 82.7 | 1,102,971 | 21.58 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| East Rocky Mount Kidney Center(9)(13) | Rocky Mount | 2000 | 8,043 | 100.0 | 167,033 | 20.77 | |
| Gaston Professional Center(6)(8)(9) | Gastonia | 1997 | 114,956 | 100.0 | 2,551,072 | 22.19 | Caramont Health System |
| Adjacent Parking Deck | | 1997 | | | 610,008 | | |
| Harrisburg Family Physicians Building(12) | Harrisburg | 1996 | 8,202 | 100.0 | 206,049 | 25.12 | Carolinas HealthCare System |
| Harrisburg Medical Mall(9)(12) | Harrisburg | 1997 | 18,360 | 100.0 | 446,699 | 24.33 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Lincoln/ Lakemont Family Practice Center(12) | Lincolnton | 1998 | 16,500 | 100.0 | 391,338 | 23.72 | Carolinas HealthCare System |
| Mallard Crossing Medical Park(9) | Charlotte | 1997 | 52,540 | 89.5 | 1,127,549 | 23.98 | |
| Midland Medical Mall(9)(12) | Midland | 1998 | 14,610 | 92.1 | 360,528 | 26.79 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Mulberry Medical Park(6)(8)(9) | Lenoir | 1982 | 24,992 | 94.8 | 406,137 | 17.14 | Caldwell Memorial Hospital, Inc. |

Table of Contents

| Property | City | Year Built(1) | Net Rentable Square Feet(2) | Occupancy Rate | Annualized Rent(3) | Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot(4)(5) | Associated Health Care System |
|---|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| Northcross Family Medical Practice Building(12) | Charlotte | 1993 | 8,018 | 100.0 | 214,428 | 26.74 | Carolinas HealthCare System |
| Dolph Medical Park(9) | Charlotte | 1973 | 84,131 | 94.6 | 1,705,029 | 21.42 | |
| Rocky Mount Kidney Center(9) | Rocky Mount | 1990 | 10,105 | 100.0 | 198,765 | 19.67 | Rowan Regional Medical Center NorthEast Medical Center |
| Rocky Mount Medical Center(9) | Rocky Mount | 1991 | 96,993 | 95.8 | 1,811,022 | 19.49 | |
| Rowan Outpatient Surgery Center(6)(7)(13) | Salisbury | 2003 | 19,464 | 100.0 | 404,073 | 20.76 | |
| Salisbury Internal & Pediatric Medicine(12) | Concord | 2000 | 7,750 | 100.0 | 175,228 | 22.61 | NorthEast Medical Center |
| Total North Carolina South Carolina: | | | 768,758 | 96.1 | 16,487,819 | 21.50(14) | |
| St. Francis Medical Center(6)(8) | Greenville | 1994 | 22,898 | 100.0 | 419,992 | 18.34 | Bon Secours St. Francis Health System |
| St. Francis Medical Center Northwest(9) | Columbia | 1986 | 38,703 | 100.0 | 736,311 | 19.02 | Beaufort Memorial Hospital |
| St. Francis Medical Center(6)(8)(9) | Beaufort | 1999 | 59,340 | 100.0 | 1,178,424 | 19.86 | |
| St. Francis Medical Center Black Westside(6)(8)(9) | Spartanburg | 1991 | 37,455 | 100.0 | 754,082 | 20.13 | Mary Black Hospital The Regional Medical Center of Orangeburg and Calhoun Counties |
| St. Francis Medical Center Arts Center of Orangeburg(7)(9) | Orangeburg | 1984 | 49,324 | 100.0 | 867,515 | 17.59 | Roper St. Francis Healthcare |
| St. Francis Medical Center Pleasant MOB(3)(6)(9) | Mt. Pleasant | 2001 | 38,735 | 77.4 | 721,878 | 24.08 | Palmetto Health Alliance |
| St. Francis Medical Center MOB(6)(8)(9) | Columbia | 1984 | 69,840 | 100.0 | 1,547,263 | 22.15 | Sisters of Charity Providence Hospital |
| St. Francis Medical Center Kridger MOB(6)(8) | Columbia | 2003 | 89,451 | 94.6 | 1,881,026 | 22.23 | |
| St. Francis Medical Center Providence MOB I(6)(8)(9) | Columbia | 1979 | 48,500 | 100.0 | 961,970 | 19.83 | Sisters of Charity Providence Hospital |
| St. Francis Medical Center Providence MOB II(6)(8)(9) | Columbia | 1985 | 23,280 | 100.0 | 431,534 | 18.54 | Sisters of Charity Providence Hospital |
| St. Francis Medical Center Providence MOB III(6)(7)(9) | Columbia | 1991 | 54,417 | 94.1 | 1,025,273 | 20.02 | Providence Hospital |
| St. Francis Medical Center Grand Strand MOB(9)(12) | Little River | 1999 | 27,566 | 100.0 | 812,298 | 29.47 | Grand Strand Regional Healthcare |
| St. Francis Medical Center Roper MOB(6)(8)(9) | Charleston | 1990 | 122,785 | 90.7 | 2,143,058 | 19.24 | Roper St. Francis Healthcare |
| St. Francis Medical Center Francis Community Medical Office | Greenville | 2001 | 45,140 | 100.0 | 1,086,299 | 24.07 | Bon Secours St. Francis |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------|-----------|-------|---------------|--------------|---|
| Building(6)(8)(9) | | | | | | | Health System Bon Secours St Francis |
| Francis MOB(6)(8)(9) | Greenville | 1984 | 49,767 | 95.6 | 885,677 | 18.62 | Health System Bon Secours St Francis |
| Francis Medical za(6)(8)(9) | Greenville | 1998 | 62,724 | 53.1 | 649,684 | 19.51 | Health System Bon Secours St Francis |
| Francis Women's Center(6)(8)(9) | Greenville | 1991 | 57,590 | 65.2 | 763,617 | 20.34 | Health System Palmetto Health Francis |
| Lee Medical Park(6)(8)(9) | Columbia | 1988 | 88,755 | 100.0 | 1,926,733 | 21.71 | Alliance Roper St. Francis |
| West Medical I(6)(8)(9) | Charleston | 2003 | 28,734 | 100.0 | 719,438 | 25.04 | Healthcare |
| South Carolina Virginia: | | | 1,015,004 | 92.1 | 19,512,072 | 20.87 | |
| Over MOB(6)(7) | Mechanicsville | 1993 | 56,610 | 100.0 | 1,507,190 | 26.62 | Bon Secours Hea System |
| Total | | | 2,626,212 | 94.2% | \$ 54,763,994 | \$ 21.58(15) | |

(1) Represents the year in which the property was placed in service.

(2) Net rentable square feet represents the current square feet at a building under lease as specified in the lease agreements plus management's estimate of space available for lease. Net rentable square feet includes tenants' proportional share of common areas.

(3) Annualized rent represents the annualized monthly contracted rent under existing leases as of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

- (4) Annualized rent per leased square foot represents annualized rent, excluding revenues attributable to parking, divided by the net rentable square feet divided by occupancy rate.
- (5) Unless otherwise indicated, annualized rent per leased square foot includes reimbursement to the Company for the payment for property operating expenses, real estate taxes and insurance with respect to such property.
- (6) On-campus facility.
- (7) Subject to a restrictive deed on the property.
- (8) Property is a tenant under a long-term ground lease on the property with an unrelated third party.
- (9) The Company developed this property.
- (10) Excludes annualized rent of adjacent parking deck to Our Lady of Bellefonte from calculation.
- (11) East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building is recorded as a sales-type capital lease in the Company's consolidated financial statements. As such, the annualized rent related to the minimum lease payments is not reflected as rental revenue in the statement of operations. However amortization of unearned income is recorded in interest income.
- (12) Off-campus facility hospital anchored.
- (13) The annualized rent per leased square foot does not include any payments to the Company for payment of property operating expenses, real estate taxes and insurance with respect to such property. The tenant is responsible for payment of these expenses.
- (14) Excludes annualized rent of adjacent parking deck to Gaston Professional Center from calculation.
- (15) Excludes annualized rent of adjacent parking decks to Our Lady of Bellefonte and Gaston Professional Center from calculation.
- (16) Parking revenue from an adjacent parking deck is approximately \$880,000 per year.

Joint Venture Properties

As of December 31, 2006, the Company manages and jointly owns four properties with unaffiliated third parties. The Company's ownership interest in these properties ranges from 1.1% to 34.5%.

The following table provides additional information about the Company's joint venture properties as of December 31, 2006.

| Year | Net Rentable Square | Occupancy | Annualized | Annualized Rent per Leased Square | Ownership | Debt | Associated Healthcare |
|------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---|-----------|------|--------------------------|
|------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---|-----------|------|--------------------------|

| Property | City, State | Built | Feet | Rate | Rent | Foot Percentage | Balance(1) | System |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| McLeod MOB East(2) | Florence, SC | 1993 | 127,458 | 97.4% | 1,964,759 | 15.83 | 13,068,020 | McLeod Regional Medical Center |
| McLeod Pee Dee Medical Park(2) | Florence, SC | 1982 | 33,756 | 99.5 | 509,742 | 15.18 | 13,068,020 | McLeod Regional Medical Center |
| McLeod MOB West(2) | Florence, SC | 1986 | 52,574 | 96.7 | 692,928 | 13.63 | 13,068,020 | McLeod Regional Medical Center |
| Rocky Mount MOB | Rocky Mt., NC | 2002 | 35,393 | 89.6 | 768,941 | 24.25 | 4,245,316 | |
| Total | | | 249,181 | | \$ 3,936,370 | | \$ 17,313,336 | |

(1) Amounts are for the entity, not just the Company's interest in the real estate joint venture.

(2) Total debt of \$13.1 million is secured by all three properties listed.

The Company has a 2.0% ownership in Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1 and a 2.0% ownership in BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2. These ownership interests were assumed as part of the Consera acquisition (See Note 4 to the accompanying financial statements). The partnership agreements and tenant leases of the limited partners are designed to give preferential treatment to the limited partners as to the operating cash flows from the partnerships. The Company, as the general partner, does not generally participate in the operating cash flows from these entities other than to receive property management fees. The limited partners can remove the Company as the property manager and as the general partner. Due to the structures of the partnership

Table of Contents

agreements and tenant lease agreements, the Company reports the properties owned by these two joint ventures as fee managed properties owned by third parties.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

The Company is, from time to time, involved in routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business or which is expected to be covered by insurance and which is not expected to harm the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations. The Company is not, however, involved in any material litigation nor, to its knowledge, is any material litigation pending or threatened against the Company.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

No matters were submitted to a vote by security holders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

PART II**Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Market Information**

The Company's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol CSA . The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the high and low sales price for the Company's common stock as reported by the NYSE and the per share dividends declared:

| Period | High | Low | Dividends Declared |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 2005 | | | |
| October 27, 2005 to December 31, 2005 | \$ 17.29 | \$ 15.98 | \$ 0.2333(1) |
| 2006 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 21.51 | \$ 17.30 | \$ 0.35 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 21.13 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 0.35 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 21.29 | \$ 18.20 | \$ 0.35 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 22.89 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 0.35 |

(1) Pro-rata quarterly dividend is for the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 and is based on a dividend of \$0.35 per share for a full quarter.

On February 28, 2007, the closing price of the Company's common stock (Common Stock) as reported by the NYSE was \$21.92. At February 28, 2007, the Company had 101 holders of record of its Common Stock.

Holders of shares of Common Stock are entitled to receive distributions when declared by the Board of Directors out of any assets legally available for that purpose. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, which, as defined by the relevant tax status and regulations, is generally equivalent to net taxable ordinary income, to shareholders annually in order to maintain the Company's REIT status for federal income tax purposes. The Company's Credit Facility includes limitations on the Company's ability to make distributions to its

stockholders, subject to complying with REIT requirements.

The Company's dividend is restricted by its Credit Facility. The Company can pay dividends of the greater of (A) 100% of Funds from Operations per quarter or (B) \$0.35 per share per quarter.

The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of its common stock for issuance under its 2005 long-term incentive plan.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Return Performance

Prior to October 27, 2005, the Company was not publicly traded and there was no public market for the Company's securities. The following graph compares the cumulative total return on the Company's Common Stock with that of the Standard and Poor's 500 Stock Index (S&P 500 Index) and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity Index (NAREIT Equity Index) from October 27, 2005 (the date that the Company's Common Stock began to trade publicly) through December 31, 2006. The stock price performance graph assumes that an investor invested \$100.00 in each of the Company and to the indices, and the reinvestment of any dividends. The comparisons in the graph are provided in accordance with the SEC disclosure requirements and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of the future performance of the Company's shares of Common Stock.

Except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, the foregoing Stockholder Return Performance information shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Annual Report on form 10-K into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. This information shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On September 28, 2006, the Operating Partnership issued an aggregate of 289,197 OP units, having an aggregate value of \$6.0 million. These OP units were issued in exchange for ownership interest in limited liability companies as part of private placement transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. In light of the manner of sale and information obtained by the Operating Partnership from persons receiving OP units in connection with these transactions, the Operating Partnership believes it may rely on this exemption. OP units are redeemable for the cash equivalent thereof at a time one year after the date of issuance, or, at the option of the Company and Operating Partnership, exchangeable into shares of common stock in the Company on a one-for-one basis. No underwriters were used in connection with such issuance.

Table of Contents**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Below is a summary of equity repurchases by month for the quarter ended December 31, 2006:

| For the Month Ended | Total Number of Equity Securities Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Equity Security | Total Number of Equity Securities Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Equity Securities that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| October 1 - October 31, 2006 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| November 1 - November 30, 2006 | 64,336 | \$ 21.98 | N/A | N/A |
| December 1 - December 31, 2006 | 5,568 | \$ 21.35 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | 69,904 | \$ 21.93 | N/A | N/A |

These figures only relate to repurchases of Operating Partnership units. The Company did not repurchase shares of Common Stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan category | (a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (b) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | N/A(1) | N/A | 596,107 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |

| | | | |
|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Total | N/A(1) | N/A | 596,107 |
|-------|--------|-----|---------|

(1) These amounts include information related to the Company's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2006, the Company issued 58,100 shares of restricted stock and 345,793 LTIP units.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial and operating data on an historical basis for the Company and a combined historical basis for the Predecessor. The Predecessor is not a legal entity, but represents a combination of certain real estate entities based on common management by Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. No historical information for the Company is presented prior to the consummation of the Company's Initial Public Offering on October 27, 2005 (the Offering) because the Company did not have any corporate activity until the completion of the Offering other than the issuance of shares of Common Stock in connection with the initial capitalization of the Company.

The following table should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| | Company | | | Predecessor | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the year ended December 31, 2004 2003 2002 | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 52,614 | \$ 7,006 | \$ 35,794 | \$ 40,440 | \$ 38,783 | \$ 37,470 |
| Management fee revenue | 1,304 | 136 | 770 | 1,230 | 1,182 | 1,540 |
| Expense reimbursements | 773 | 94 | 565 | 840 | 806 | 875 |
| Development fee revenue | 158 | 85 | 680 | 1,134 | 179 | 331 |
| Interest and other income | 928 | 127 | 879 | 843 | 849 | 842 |
| Total revenues | 55,777 | 7,448 | 38,688 | 44,487 | 41,799 | 41,058 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Property operating and management | 19,848 | 2,583 | 13,058 | 14,756 | 14,032 | 13,259 |
| General and administrative | 6,368 | 7,791 | 5,129 | 3,075 | 2,928 | 2,845 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 30,273 | 4,125 | 8,444 | 9,561 | 11,381 | 15,656 |
| Interest | 14,199 | 1,500 | 8,222 | 9,024 | 9,760 | 9,524 |
| Loss from early extinguishment of debt | 37 | 103 | | | | |
| Total expenses | 70,725 | 16,102 | 34,853 | 36,416 | 38,101 | 41,284 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gain from sale of real estate partnerships, | (14,948) | (8,654) | 3,835 | 8,071 | 3,698 | (226) |

minority interests in real estate partnership, and minority interests in operating partnership

Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated real estate

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| partnerships | 4 | 3 | (47) | (60) | (74) | (136) |
| Gain from sale of real estate partnerships | 484 | | | | | 27 |
| Minority interests | 5,087 | 3,054 | | | | |

Income (loss) from continuing operations

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | (9,373) | (5,597) | 3,788 | 8,011 | 3,624 | (335) |
|--|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

Discontinued operations:

Income (loss) from

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| discontinued operations | (9) | (4) | 36 | 33 | 47 | 121 |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|

32

Table of Contents

| | Company | | | Predecessor | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------|---------------|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the year ended December 31, | | |
| | | | | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Gain from sale of real estate properties | 435 | | | | | 613 |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | (150) | 1 | | | | |
| Total discontinued operations | 276 | (3) | 36 | 33 | 47 | 734 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) | \$ 3,824 | \$ 8,044 | \$ 3,671 | \$ 399 |
| Per Share: | | | | | | |
| Declared dividend | \$ 1.40 | \$ 0.2333 | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations basic and diluted | \$ (1.17) | \$ (0.70) | | | | |
| Net loss basic and diluted | \$ (1.14) | \$ (0.70) | | | | |
| Weighted average shares basic and diluted | 7,975 | 7,972 | | | | |
| Weighted average shares and units basic | 12,590 | 12,197 | | | | |
| Weighted average shares and units diluted | 12,612 | 12,225 | | | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Real estate properties, net | \$ 351,172 | \$ 257,144 | | \$ 155,376 | \$ 148,439 | \$ 147,544 |
| Other assets, net | 41,886 | 49,808 | | 21,915 | 16,411 | 17,942 |
| Other assets held for sale | | 1,530 | | 1,134 | 1,149 | 1,184 |
| Total assets | \$ 393,058 | \$ 308,482 | | \$ 178,425 | \$ 165,999 | \$ 166,670 |
| Liabilities and owners equity (deficit): | | | | | | |
| Mortgages and line of credit | \$ 262,031 | \$ 158,974 | | \$ 213,536 | \$ 201,133 | \$ 197,126 |
| Other liabilities, net | 17,351 | 7,750 | | 10,016 | 10,532 | 11,789 |
| Other liabilities held for sale | | 1,272 | | 1,300 | 1,421 | 1,444 |
| Minority interests | 54,001 | 62,018 | | | | |
| Owners equity (deficit) | 59,675 | 78,468 | | (46,427) | (47,087) | (43,689) |
| | \$ 393,058 | \$ 308,482 | | \$ 178,425 | \$ 165,999 | \$ 166,670 |

Total liabilities and
owners' equity (deficit)

Cash Flow Data:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|-------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 15,900 | \$ | 1,635 | \$ | 10,312 | \$ | 16,089 | \$ | 12,738 | \$ | 13,326 |
|--|----|--------|----|-------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|----------|----|---------|----|----------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ | (103,587) | \$ | (27,462) | \$ | (5,939) | \$ | (13,767) | \$ | (7,523) | \$ | (8,584) |
|--|----|-----------|----|----------|----|---------|----|----------|----|---------|----|---------|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|----|-------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ | 78,932 | \$ | 35,398 | \$ | (5,863) | \$ | 1,880 | \$ | (6,339) | \$ | (3,644) |
|---|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|----|-------|----|---------|----|---------|

Other Data:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|--------|----|---------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|-------|
| Funds from operations(1) | \$ | 15,037 | \$ | (4,518) | \$ | 12,303 | \$ | 17,656 | \$ | 13,462 | \$ | 9,276 |
|--------------------------|----|--------|----|---------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|-------|

Table of Contents

- (1) As defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, funds from operations, or FFO, represents net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company adjusts the NAREIT definition to add back minority interests expense. The Company presents FFO because the Company considers it an important supplemental measure of the Company's operational performance and believes it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. The Company computes FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002), which may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the Company's performance, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund the Company's cash needs, including the Company's ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

The following table presents the reconciliation of FFO to net income (loss), which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO (in thousands):

| | Company | | | Predecessor | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------------|-----------------|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 2003 2002 | | |
| Funds from operations: | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) | \$ 3,824 | \$ 8,044 | \$ 3,671 | \$ 399 |
| Minority interests | (5,058) | (3,055) | | | | |
| Real estate depreciation and amortization | 30,052 | 4,128 | 8,384 | 9,533 | 9,702 | 9,466 |
| Unconsolidated entities real estate depreciation and amortization | 59 | 9 | 95 | 79 | 89 | 51 |
| Gain on sale of real estate properties | (919) | | | | | (640) |
| Total funds from operations | \$ 15,037 | \$ (4,518) | \$ 12,303 | \$ 17,656 | \$ 13,462 | \$ 9,276 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Where appropriate, the following discussion includes analysis of the effects of the Company's Offering, the Formation Transactions and related refinancing transactions and certain other transactions. The Company makes statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information." Certain risk factors may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the following discussion. For a discussion of such risk factors, see the section in this Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information."

Overview

The Company is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices, ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers, in the United States of America. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry. The Company's management team has developed long-term and extensive relationships through developing and maintaining modern, customized medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. The Company has been able to maintain occupancy above market levels and secure strategic hospital campus locations. The Company operates its business through Cogdell Spencer LP, its operating partnership subsidiary, and its subsidiaries.

The Company derives a significant portion of its revenues from rents received from tenants under existing leases in medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities. The Company derives a lesser portion of its revenues from fees that are paid for managing and developing medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities for third parties. The Company's management believes a strong internal property management capability is a vital component of the Company's business, both for the properties the Company owns and for those that the Company manages.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company owned and/or managed 112 medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, serving 27 hospital systems in ten states. The Company's aggregate portfolio was comprised of:

50 wholly-owned properties;

Four joint venture properties; and

58 properties owned by third parties

At December 31, 2006, the Company's aggregate portfolio contains approximately 5.3 million net rentable square feet, consisting of approximately 2.6 million net rentable square feet from wholly-owned properties, approximately 0.2 million net rentable square feet from joint venture properties, and approximately 2.5 million net rental square feet from properties owned by third parties and managed by the Company. Approximately 79% of the net rentable square feet of the wholly-owned properties are situated on hospital campuses. As such, the Company believes that its assets occupy a premier franchise location in relation to local hospitals, providing the Company's properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in an area. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's wholly-owned properties were approximately 94.2% occupied, with a weighted average remaining lease term of

approximately 3.8 years.

Factors Which May Influence Future Results of Operations

Generally, the Company's revenues and expenses have remained consistent except for development fees and changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements reflected in interest expense. Development fees from wholly-owned and consolidated joint venture projects are eliminated in consolidation and will continue to vary to the extent there are fees from third party projects.

Related to the Company's interest rate swap agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting, changes in fair values, which vary from period to period based on changes in market interest rates, are recorded in interest

Table of Contents

expense. Generally, increases (decreases) in market interest rates will increase (decrease) the fair value of the derivative, which will decrease (increase) current period interest expense for the change in fair value. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company terminated several derivative transactions and entered into new agreements with the appropriate hedge documentation in place, which will reduce the variances previously experienced in interest expense. The Company will continue to have interest expense variability for variable rate mortgages that do not have interest rate swap agreements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements and the Company's Predecessor's combined financial statements, which have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation and combination.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. The Company's actual results may differ from these estimates. Management has provided a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies in Note 2 to the Company's consolidated and combined financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Critical accounting policies are those judged to involve accounting estimates or assumptions that may be material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for uncertain matters or susceptibility of such matters to change. Other companies in similar businesses may utilize different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of the Company's results of operations and financial condition to those companies.

Investments in Real Estate

Acquisition of real estate. The price that the Company pays to acquire a property is impacted by many factors, including the condition of the buildings and improvements, the occupancy of the building, the existence of above and below market tenant leases, the creditworthiness of the tenants, favorable or unfavorable financing, above or below market ground leases and numerous other factors. Accordingly, the Company is required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in real estate among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the Company's estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes determining the value of the buildings and improvements, land, any ground leases, tenant improvements, in-place tenant leases, tenant relationships, the value (or negative value) of above (or below) market leases and any debt assumed from the seller or loans made by the seller to the Company. Each of these estimates requires significant judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. The Company's calculation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to the Company's audited consolidated and combined financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on the Company's results of operations because if the Company were to allocate more value to land there would be no depreciation with respect to such amount or if the Company were to allocate more value to the buildings as opposed to allocating to the value of tenant leases, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a much longer period of time, since the amounts allocated to buildings are depreciated over the estimated lives of the buildings whereas amounts allocated to tenant leases are amortized over the terms of the leases. Additionally, the amortization of value (or negative value) assigned to above (or below) market rate leases is recorded as an adjustment to rental revenue as compared to amortization of the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, which is included in depreciation and amortization in the Company's consolidated and combined statements of operations.

Useful lives of assets. The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of the Company's properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to record on an annual basis with respect

to the Company's investments in real estate. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income (loss) because if the Company were to shorten the expected useful lives of the Company's investments in real estate the Company would depreciate such investments over fewer years, resulting in more depreciation expense on an annual basis.

Table of Contents

Asset impairment valuation. The Company reviews the carrying value of its properties when circumstances, such as adverse market conditions, indicate a potential impairment may exist. The Company bases its review on an estimate of the future cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. The Company considers factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If the Company's evaluation indicates that it may be unable to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the property. These losses have a direct impact on the Company's net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to operating results. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Since cash flows on properties considered to be long-lived assets to be held and used are considered on an undiscounted basis to determine whether an asset has been impaired, the Company's strategy of holding properties over the long-term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss. If the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized and such loss could be material. If the Company determines that impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value. No such impairment losses have been recognized to date. The Company estimates the fair value of rental properties utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis that includes projections of future revenues, expenses and capital improvement costs, similar to the income approach that is commonly utilized by appraisers. The Company reviews the value of Goodwill using an income approach on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate a potential impairment may exist.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income related to non-cancelable operating leases is recognized using the straight line method over the terms of the tenant leases, which is the period from occupancy to lease termination date. Deferred rents included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets represent the aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight line basis over the rental revenue that would be recognized under the cash flow received, based on the terms of the leases. At times the Company will receive cash payments at the inception of the lease for tenant improvements and these amounts are amortized into rental revenue over the life of the lease. The Company's leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for all property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred by the Company. Such reimbursements are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are canceled and the Company has no continuing obligation to provide services to such former tenants. As discussed above, the Company recognizes amortization of the value of acquired above or below market tenant leases as a reduction of rental income in the case of above market leases or an increase to rental revenue in the case of below market leases. The Company receives fees for property management and development and consulting services from time to time from third parties which is reflected as fee revenue. Management fees are generally based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. Development and consulting fees are recorded on a percentage of completion method using management's best estimate of time and costs to complete projects. The Company has a long history of developing reasonable and dependable estimates related to development or consulting contracts with clear requirements and rights of the parties to the contracts. Although not frequent, occasionally revisions to estimates of costs are necessary and are reflected as a change in estimate when known. Due to the amount of control retained by the Company, most joint venture developments will be consolidated, therefore those development fees will be eliminated in consolidation. Other income shown in the statement of operations, generally includes interest income, primarily from the amortization of unearned income on a sales-type capital lease recognized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, and other income incidental to the Company's operations and is recognized when earned.

The Company must make subjective estimates as to when the Company's revenue is earned and the collectibility of the Company's accounts receivable related to minimum rent, deferred rent, expense reimbursements, lease termination fees and other income. The Company specifically analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debts, tenant concentrations, tenant creditworthiness, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. These estimates have a direct impact on the Company's net income

Table of Contents

because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income, and recognizing rental revenue as earned in one period versus another would result in higher or lower net income for a particular period.

REIT Qualification Requirements

The Company is subject to a number of operational and organizational requirements to qualify and then maintain qualification as a REIT. If the Company does not qualify as a REIT, its income would become subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates that would be substantial and the Company cannot re-elect to qualify as a REIT for five years. The resulting adverse effects on the Company's results of operations, liquidity and amounts distributable to stockholders would be material.

Results of Operations

The discussion below relates to the financial condition and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. The results of operations for January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 have been combined to provide a meaningful comparison to the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company's income (loss) from operations is generated primarily from operations of its properties and development and property management fee revenue. The changes in operating results from period to period reflect changes in existing property performance and changes in the number of properties due to development, acquisition, or disposition of properties.

Property Summary

The following is an activity summary of the Company's property portfolio (excluding unconsolidated real estate partnerships) for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

| | Company 2006 | Company/ Predecessor 2005 |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| Properties at January 1 | 44 | 43 |
| Consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB LLC | 1 | |
| Acquisitions | 6 | |
| Disposition | (1) | |
| Development that began rental operations | | 1 |
| Properties at December 31 | 50 | 44 |

The above table excludes East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building, which is accounted for as a sales-type capital lease and includes Rocky Mount MOB, a consolidated entity owned 34.5% by the Company.

Year ended December 31, 2006 compared to year ended December 31, 2005

Revenue. Total revenue increased \$9.6 million, or 20.9%, for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005.

Rental revenue increased 22.9%, from \$42.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$52.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Same-property rental revenue increased \$0.9 million, or 2.2%, which is due primarily to general increases in rent related to Consumer Price Index (CPI) escalation clauses. Rental revenue from acquisition properties and Rocky Mount MOB was \$8.9 million.

Management fee revenue and expense reimbursement revenue increased \$0.5 million from \$1.6 million in 2005 compared to \$2.1 million in 2006. This increase is mainly due to property management fee revenue generated by Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC, which was acquired in September 2006.

Table of Contents

Development fees earned on third party development contracts decreased \$0.6 million or 79.3% for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. There were no significant development contracts with third parties for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to two projects in 2005.

Interest and other income did not change significantly from 2005 to 2006.

Property Operating and Management Expenses. Property operating management expenses increased 26.9%, from \$15.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Same-property operating expenses increased approximately \$0.5 million, or 3.1%. Operating expenses from acquisition properties and Rocky Mount MOB and personnel and operating costs of Consera Healthcare Real Estate were \$3.7 million.

Interest Expense. Interest expense, excluding changes in fair values of the interest rate swap agreements, for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$14.3 million compared to \$12.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 16.3%. This increase is primarily due to the increased borrowing to fund the acquisitions during 2006 and increased variable interest rates offset by the change in the Company's capital structure as a result of the Offering. Proceeds from the Offering were used in part to reduce debt principal balances which reduced interest expense.

Changes in interest rate swap fair values were recorded as a decrease or increase to interest expense until the swaps were terminated in November 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the interest rate swap agreement fair values increased by approximately \$9,000, which resulted in a reduction of interest expense of the same amount. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the change interest rate swap agreement fair values reduced interest expense by \$2.5 million due to increases in the fair values of the agreements.

Depreciation and Amortization Expenses. Depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$30.3 million compared to \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$17.7 million, or 140.85%. Same-property depreciation and amortization expenses increased approximately \$13.0 million, or 103.7%. The increase was primarily due to the increase in the cost basis for the real estate properties and intangible assets as a result of the purchase accounting for the Formation Transactions on November 1, 2005. Depreciation and amortization expenses from acquisition properties and Rocky Mount MOB were \$4.6 million.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$6.4 million compared to \$12.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$6.5 million, or (50.4)%. The decrease was due primarily to \$6.4 million related to a non-cash compensation charge incurred in connection with the grant of vested equity incentives to the Company's management team and employees in connection with the completion of the initial public offering. In addition, increased capitalized development personnel compensation decreased general and administrative expenses by \$0.5 million offset by increased professional fees related to public company administrative expenses including increased audit, legal, tax, and Sarbanes-Oxley related compliance fees.

Minority Interests in Operating Partnership. The loss allocated to the minority interests in Operating Partnership represents a weighted average 35.6% of the Operating Partnership's net loss for the year ended December 31, 2006. For the period of November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006, the weighted average was 35.7%.

The above changes contributed to net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 decreasing from a net loss of \$1.8 million to a net loss of \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, or a \$7.3 million decrease.

Year ended December 31, 2005 compared to year ended December 31, 2004

Revenue. Total revenue increased \$1.6 million, or 3.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to the year ended December 31, 2004.

Rental revenue increased 5.9%, from \$40.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$42.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, due primarily to general increases in rent related to CPI escalation clauses as well as a full year of operations for properties added during 2004 and operations for Copperfield MOB.

Table of Contents

Development fees earned on third party development contracts decreased 27.3% from \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 due to one development fee recognized in 2004, that accounted for approximately 54.5% of the total 2004 development fees, that was non-recurring in 2005.

Advisory fees decreased 100%, from \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to zero for the year ended December 31, 2005, due to no advisory projects being performed in 2005.

Management fees earned from management contracts and payable by third parties, expense reimbursements and interest and other income did not change significantly from 2004 to 2005.

Property Operating and Management Expenses. Property operating and management expenses increased 5.4%, from \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$15.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, as the result of general expense increases related to inflation as well as experiencing a full year of operations in 2005 for properties added in 2004 and Copperfield MOB added in the first quarter of 2005.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$9.7 million compared to \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of \$0.7 million, or 7.8%. This change was primarily due to interest on three new properties, a smaller reduction of interest expense due to changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap agreements, an increase in interest expense on variable rate debt related to an increase in interest rates, offset by a decrease in interest expense due to the repayment of \$71.0 million of debt in November 2005. The Company's interest rate swap agreements are reported at fair value in the Company's balance sheet and changes in fair value are recorded as increase or decrease to interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2005, interest expense was reduced by \$2.5 million related to increases in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements. During the year ended December 31, 2004, interest expense was reduced by \$2.9 million related to net increases in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements.

Depreciation and Amortization Expenses. Depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$12.6 million compared to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of \$3.0 million, or 31.3%. The increase was primarily due to the increase in the cost basis for the real estate properties and intangible assets as a result of the purchase accounting resulting from the Formation Transactions on November 1, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$12.9 million compared to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of \$9.8 million, or 316.1%. The increase was due primarily to \$6.4 million related to a non-cash compensation charge incurred in connection with the grant of vested equity incentives to the Company's management team and employees in connection with the completion of the initial public offering and an increase of \$1.7 million related to legal, tax, accounting and auditing costs associated with preparing for the initial public offering and for the 2005 audit and tax compliance. The remaining increase relates to increased staffing and changed job responsibilities to meet the reporting and operational demands of a publicly registered company, Sarbanes Oxley Section 404 compliance and other consulting costs, travel, and reduction of capitalized development personnel compensation due a decrease in wholly-owned construction projects in 2005.

Minority Interests. Loss allocated to the minority interests in Operating Partnership represents a weighted average 35.7% of the Operating Partnership's net loss for the period of November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

The above changes contributed to net income for the year ended December 31, 2004 decreasing from net income of \$8.0 million to a net loss of \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, or a \$9.8 million decrease.

Cash Flows

Year Ended December 31, 2006 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2005

Cash provided by operations was \$15.9 million and \$11.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase of \$4.0 million was primarily due to (1) a \$3.1 million increase in earnings before non-cash depreciation, amortizations, straight-line rent and change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements,

Table of Contents

(2) proceeds of \$0.7 million from the termination of interest rate swap agreements, and (3) a \$0.2 million net increase due to changes in operating assets and liabilities primarily resulting from increased collected prepaid rent and increased accruals for interest.

Cash used in investing activities was \$103.7 million and \$33.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase of \$70.3 million was primarily due an increase to investments in real estate properties and businesses of \$96.5 million offset by the proceeds from the sale of real estate property and partnership interests of \$2.8 million and the cash paid of \$27.0 million in the Formation Transaction in 2005.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$78.9 million and \$29.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Cash provided by financing activities in 2006 was primarily due to net proceeds from debt of \$92.5 million, primarily drawn from the Credit Facility and the new mortgage for Methodist Professional Center One. These net proceeds were used in order to fund the current period acquisitions offset by dividends and distributions of \$13.0 million. Cash provided by financing activities in 2005 was primarily due to the receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of common stock offset by the repayment in full of certain mortgages and notes payables.

Year Ended December 31, 2005 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2004

Cash provided by operations was \$11.9 million and \$16.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in 2005 was due primarily to an increase in general and administrative costs paid during 2005, a decrease in development and advisory fees received, and an increase in interest payments associated with higher debt balances and increasing interest rates on variable rate debt.

Cash used in investing activities was \$33.4 million and \$13.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase was due to \$36.5 million paid to certain Predecessor members and partners who elected cash as part of the Formation Transactions, offset by \$9.5 million of cash assumed from the Predecessor entities. During 2004, the Predecessor paid \$4.2 million to acquire Rowan OSC Investors, whereas in 2005, except for the Formation Transactions, there were no acquisitions funded using cash proceeds. During 2005, restricted cash decreased \$2.4 million due to the release of restrictions when the associated debt was repaid.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$29.4 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The change was primarily due to the receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock offset by the repayment in full of certain mortgages and notes payables.

Construction in Progress

Construction in progress at December 31, 2006 consisted of four development projects, Carolina Forest Medical Plaza, Lancaster Rehabilitation, Lancaster General Health Campus and Mebane Medical Park for which the Company has acquired the land and has begun construction. The following is a summary of the construction in progress balance (dollars in thousands):

| Property | Location | Estimated Completion Date | Net Rentable Square Feet | Investment to Date | Estimated Total Investment |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Carolina Forest Medical Plaza | Horry County, SC | 2Q 2007 | 39,000 | \$ 4,342 | \$ 7,400 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Lancaster Rehabilitation Hospital | Lancaster, PA | 2Q 2007 | 52,800 | 5,130 | 12,300 |
| Lancaster General Health Campus MOB | Lancaster, PA | 4Q 2007 | 64,070 | 1,483 | 15,500 |
| Mebane Medical Office Building | Mebane, NC | 1Q 2008 | 60,000 | 413 | 16,200 |
| Land and pre-construction developments | | | | 1,486 | |
| | | | 215,870 | \$ 12,854 | \$ 51,400 |

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had \$1.0 million available in cash and cash equivalents. The Company is required to distribute at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to the Company's stockholders on an annual basis due to qualification requirements as a REIT. Therefore, as a general matter, it is unlikely that the Company will have any substantial cash balances that could be used to meet the Company's liquidity needs. Instead, these needs must be met from cash generated from operations and external sources of capital.

As a result of the Offering and the Formation Transactions, the Company's debt and liquidity changed significantly. The Company believes that the Offering and the Formation Transactions improves the capital structure and financial flexibility of its business compared to its Predecessor's structure. The Company also expects to access additional funds through secured and unsecured borrowings.

On November 1, 2005, the Company, as guarantor, and the Operating Partnership entered into our \$100.0 million Credit Facility, with a syndicate of financial institutions (including Bank of America, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc. and Branch Banking & Trust Company) (collectively, the Lenders), with Bank of America, N.A., as the administrative agent for the Lenders, and Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as joint lead arrangers and joint book managers. The Credit Facility shall be available to fund working capital and other corporate purposes; finance acquisition and development activity; and refinance existing and future indebtedness. In August 2006, the Credit facility capacity was increased from \$100.0 million to \$130.0 million. The Credit Facility permits the Operating Partnership to borrow up to \$130.0 million of revolving loans, with sub-limits of \$25.0 million for swingline loans and \$25.0 million for letters of credit.

The Credit Facility shall terminate and all amounts outstanding thereunder shall be due and payable in full, three years from November 1, 2005, subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option. The Credit Facility also allows for up to \$120.0 million of increased availability (to a total aggregate available amount of \$250.0 million), at the Company's option but subject to each Lender's option to increase its commitment. The interest rate on loans under the Credit Facility equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR plus a margin of between 100 to 130 basis points based on the Company's leverage ratio or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate.

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including: (1) limitations on the Company's ability to (A) incur additional indebtedness, (B) make distributions to the Company's stockholders, subject to complying with REIT requirements, and (C) make certain investments; (2) maintenance of a pool of unencumbered assets subject to certain minimum valuations thereof; and (3) requirements for the Company to maintain certain financial coverage ratios. These customary financial coverage ratios and other conditions include a maximum leverage ratio (65%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (150%), maximum combined secured indebtedness (50%), maximum recourse indebtedness (15%), maximum unsecured indebtedness (60%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratio (175%, with the flexibility for one two quarter decrease to 150%) and minimum combined tangible net worth (\$30 million plus 85% of net proceeds of equity issuances by the Company and its subsidiaries after November 1, 2005).

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$50.1 million available under the Credit Facility. There is \$77.5 million outstanding at December 31, 2006 and \$2.4 million of availability is restricted related to outstanding letters of credit.

Certain of the Company's mortgage notes payable and the Company's Credit Facility require that the Company comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The Company was in compliance with the covenants as of December 31, 2006.

For mortgages with maturities during 2007, the Company expects to refinance these mortgages. For purchase commitments related to development projects, the Company expects to fund these projects either from the Credit Facility or from construction notes payable.

Table of Contents

Management believes that the Company will have sufficient capital resources as a result of operations and the borrowings in place and availability under the Credit Facility to fund ongoing operations.

Long-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company's principal long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of new property development, property acquisitions, principal payments under various mortgages and other credit facilities and non-recurring capital expenditures. The Company does not expect that its net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to meet all of these long-term liquidity needs. Instead, the Company expects to finance new property developments through modest cash equity capital contributed by the Company together with construction loan proceeds, as well as through cash equity investments by its tenants. The Company expects to fund property acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under its Credit Facility and traditional secured mortgage financing. In addition, the Company expects to use OP units issued by the Operating Partnership to acquire properties from existing owners seeking a tax deferred transaction. The Company expects to meet other long-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations and through additional equity and debt financings, including loans from banks, institutional investors or other lenders, bridge loans, letters of credit, and other lending arrangements, most of which will be secured by mortgages. The Company may also issue unsecured debt in the future. However, in view of the Company's strategy to grow its portfolio over time, the Company does not, in general, expect to meet its long-term liquidity needs through sales of its properties. In the event that, notwithstanding this intent, the Company was in the future to consider sales of its properties from time to time, the proceeds that would be available to the Company from such sales, may be reduced by amounts that the Company may owe under the tax protection agreements entered into in connection with the Formation Transactions or those properties would need to be sold in a tax deferred transaction which would require reinvestment of the proceeds in another property. In addition, the Company's ability to sell certain of its assets could be adversely affected by the general illiquidity of real estate assets and certain additional factors particular to the Company's portfolio such as the specialized nature of its target property type, property use restrictions and the need to obtain consents or waivers of rights of first refusal or rights of first offers from ground lessors in the case of sales of its properties that are subject to ground leases.

The Company intends to repay indebtedness incurred under its Credit Facility from time to time, for acquisitions or otherwise, out of cash flow and from the proceeds of additional debt or equity issuances. In the future, the Company may seek to increase the amount of the Credit Facility, negotiate additional credit facilities or issue corporate debt instruments. Any indebtedness incurred or issued by the Company may be secured or unsecured, short-, medium- or long-term, fixed or variable interest rate and may be subject to other terms and conditions the Company deems acceptable. The Company intends to refinance at maturity the mortgage notes payable that have balloon payments at maturity.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006, including the maturities and scheduled principal repayments and the commitments due in connection with the Company's ground leases and operating leases for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| Obligation: | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt principal payments and maturities(1) | \$ 62,002 | \$ 105,036 | \$ 46,438 | \$ 1,456 | \$ 3,562 | \$ 43,035 | \$ 261,529 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Standby letters of credit(2) | 2,352 | | | | | | 2,352 |
| Interest payments(3) | 13,187 | 7,464 | 3,511 | 2,949 | 2,684 | 4,708 | 34,503 |
| Purchase commitments(4) | 22,159 | 159 | | | | | 22,318 |
| Ground leases(5) | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 6,781 | 7,756 |
| Operating leases(6) | 334 | 403 | 406 | 350 | 354 | 30 | 1,877 |
| Total | \$ 100,229 | \$ 113,257 | \$ 50,550 | \$ 4,950 | \$ 6,795 | \$ 54,554 | \$ 330,335 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes notes payable under the Company's Credit Facility.
- (2) As collateral for performance on a mortgage note payable, the Company is contingently liable under a standby letter of credit, which also reduces the availability under the Credit Facility.
- (3) Assumes one-month LIBOR of 5.33% and Prime Rate of 8.25%.
- (4) These purchase commitments are related to the Company's development projects that are currently under construction.
- (5) Substantially all of the ground leases effectively limit the Company's control over various aspects of the operation of the applicable property, restrict the Company's ability to transfer the property and allow the lessor the right of first refusal to purchase the building and improvements. All of the ground leases provide for the property to revert to the lessor for no consideration upon the expiration or earlier termination of the ground lease.
- (6) Payments under operating lease agreements relate to several of our properties' equipment leases. The future minimum lease commitments under these leases are as indicated above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company guarantees debt in connection with certain of its development activities, including joint ventures. The Company has guaranteed, in the event of a default, the mortgage note payable for one unconsolidated real estate joint venture. An initial liability of \$0.1 million has been recorded for the guarantee using expected present value measurement techniques. The guarantee, which has a principal balance of \$9.0 million at December 31, 2006, will be released upon the full repayment of the mortgage note payable, which matures in December 2007. The mortgage is collateralized by property and the collateral will revert to the guarantor in the event the guarantee is performed.

Management believes the probability the Company will have to perform on the guarantee in the future is minimal and therefore the Company does not expect the Company's guarantee to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Real Estate Taxes

The Company's leases generally require the tenants to be responsible for all real estate taxes.

Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on the results of operations for the periods shown in the consolidated and combined financial statements. Although the impact of inflation has been relatively insignificant in recent years, it remains a factor in the United States economy and may increase the cost of acquiring or replacing properties.

Seasonality

The Company does not consider its business to be subject to material seasonality fluctuations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force's consensus on Issue No. 04-5 Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights (EITF 04-5). This consensus establishes the presumption that general partners in a limited partnership control that limited partnership regardless of the extent of the general partner's ownership interest in the limited partnership. The consensus further establishes that the rights of the limited partners can overcome the presumption of control by the general partners, if the limited partners have either (a) the substantive ability to dissolve (liquidate) the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partners without cause or (b) substantive participating rights. Whether the presumption of control is overcome is a matter of judgment based on facts and circumstances, for which the consensus provides additional

Table of Contents

guidance. This consensus was applicable in 2005 for new or modified partnerships, and is applicable to existing partnerships beginning in 2006. This consensus applies to limited partnerships or similar entities, such as limited liability companies that have governing provisions that are the functional equivalent of a limited partnership.

The Company implemented EITF 04-5 effective January 1, 2006 for existing partnerships. As a result of the implementation, the Company determined that Rocky Mount MOB is required to be included in the Company's consolidated financial results. The Company owns 34.5% of Rocky Mount MOB. Pursuant to the transition guidance contained in EITF 04-5, the Company has included a cumulative effect adjustment related to the consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB effective January 1, 2006. The Company's investment in Rocky Mount MOB of \$0.8 million has been eliminated, which reduced other assets and additional paid in capital. The following was Rocky Mount MOB's balance sheet as of January 1, 2006, as consolidated by the Company (in thousands):

Assets

Real estate property:

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Land | \$ 228 |
| Buildings and improvements | 4,990 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (856) |

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Total real estate property, net | 4,362 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 213 |
| Other assets | 8 |

Total assets \$ 4,583

Liabilities and members' equity

| | |
|--|----------|
| Mortgage loans | \$ 4,340 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 18 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| Total liabilities | 4,358 |
| Minority interests in real estate partnership | 170 |
| Company's equity | 55 |

Total liabilities and members' equity \$ 4,583

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," an interpretation of SFAS No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how companies should recognize, measure, present, and disclose in their financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. For those benefits recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained based solely upon the technical merits of the position. Such tax positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that, on a cumulative basis, is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming that the taxing authority has full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. FIN 48 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007. The Company has preliminarily assessed the effect of adopting FIN 48 and does not expect to record a material FIN 48 adjustment effective January 1, 2007.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of prior-year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in the current year financial statements. SAB 108 requires registrants to quantify misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) and balance sheet (iron curtain) approach and evaluate whether either approach results in a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. If prior year errors that had been previously considered immaterial now are considered material based on either approach, no restatement is required so long as management properly applied its previous approach and all relevant facts and circumstances were considered. If prior years are not restated, the cumulative effect adjustment is recorded in opening accumulated earnings as of the

Table of Contents

beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of SAB 108 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value for assets and liabilities, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective January 1, 2008. SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 Employer's Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS No. 158 requires recognition of the funded status of such plans as an asset or liability, with changes in the funded status recognized through comprehensive income in the year in which they occur. These provisions of SFAS 158 are effective December 31, 2006. Additionally, SFAS 158 requires measurement of a plan's assets and its obligations at the end of the employer's fiscal year, effective December 31, 2008. SFAS 158 has not had, and is not expected to have, a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. SFAS No. 159 does not affect any existing accounting literature that requires certain assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this new standard on its financial statements.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk*

The Company's future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The Company uses some derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to the Company's borrowings. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enters into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately \$261.5 million of consolidated debt outstanding. Approximately \$115.4 million, or 44.1%, of the Company's total consolidated debt was variable rate debt that are not subject to variable to fixed rate interest rate swap agreements. Approximately \$146.1 million, or 55.9%, of the Company's total indebtedness was subject to fixed interest rates, including variable rate debt that is subject to variable to fixed rate swap agreements.

If LIBOR were to increase by 100 basis points, the increase in interest expense on the Company's variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.2 million. Interest rate risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on the Company's financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, the Company may take actions to further mitigate the Company's exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in the Company's financial structure.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 48 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 49 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Operations for Cogdell Spencer Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 and for Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor for the period January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004</u> | 50 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Stockholders and Owners Equity (Deficit) for Cogdell Spencer Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 and for Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor for the period January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004</u> | 51 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Cash Flows for Cogdell Spencer Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 and for Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor for the period January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004</u> | 52 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements</u> | 53 |
| <u>Supplemental Schedule Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | 79 |
| <u>Notes to Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | 81 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Cogdell Spencer Inc.
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from November 1, 2005 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, owners equity, and cash flows of Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor, as defined in note 1 to the consolidated and combined financial statements, for the period from January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 8. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated and combined financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from November 1, 2005 (commencement of operations) through December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, owners equity, and cash flows of Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor, for the period from January 1, 2005 through October 31, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, in 2006 the Company changed its method of accounting for consolidating joint ventures to conform to EITF No. 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights* .

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina
March 14, 2007

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate properties: | | |
| Land | \$ 22,768 | \$ 16,798 |
| Buildings and improvements | 339,214 | 241,946 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (23,664) | (2,699) |
| Total operating real estate properties, net | 338,318 | 256,045 |
| Construction in progress | 12,854 | 1,099 |
| Real estate property, net held for sale | | 1,379 |
| Total real estate properties, net | 351,172 | 258,523 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1,029 | 9,571 |
| Restricted cash | 982 | 779 |
| Investment in capital lease | 6,193 | 6,499 |
| Acquired above market leases, net of accumulated amortization of \$290 in 2006 and \$25 in 2005 | 966 | 852 |
| Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$11,184 in 2006 and \$1,399 in 2005 | 18,205 | 21,069 |
| Acquired ground leases, net of accumulated amortization of \$146 in 2006 and \$15 in 2005 | 3,092 | 2,919 |
| Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$342 in 2006 and \$31 in 2005 | 1,018 | 913 |
| Goodwill | 5,326 | 2,875 |
| Other assets | 5,075 | 4,331 |
| Other assets held for sale | | 151 |
| Total assets | \$ 393,058 | \$ 308,482 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Notes payable under line of credit | 77,487 | 19,600 |
| Mortgage loans | 184,544 | 139,374 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 9,851 | 4,687 |
| Accrued dividends and distributions | 4,404 | |
| Acquired below market leases, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,384 in 2006 and \$164 in 2005 | 3,096 | 2,893 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | 170 |
| Other liabilities held for sale | | 1,272 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total liabilities | 279,382 | 167,996 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Minority interests in real estate partnership | 157 | |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | 53,844 | 62,018 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding | | |
| Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 8,000 shares issued and outstanding | 80 | 80 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 87,224 | 86,154 |
| Unamortized restricted stock compensation | | (299) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 73 | |
| Accumulated deficit | (27,702) | (7,467) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 59,675 | 78,468 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 393,058 | \$ 308,482 |

See notes to consolidated and combined financial statements

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Company | | Predecessor | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Rental | \$ 52,614 | \$ 7,006 | \$ 14,078 | \$ 15,482 |
| Rental related party | | | 21,716 | 24,958 |
| Management fee revenue | 1,304 | 136 | 770 | 1,230 |
| Expense reimbursements | 773 | 94 | 565 | 840 |
| Development fee revenue | 158 | 85 | 680 | 1,134 |
| Interest and other income | 928 | 127 | 879 | 843 |
| Total revenues | 55,777 | 7,448 | 38,688 | 44,487 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Property operating and management | 19,848 | 2,583 | 13,058 | 14,756 |
| General and administrative | 6,368 | 7,791 | 5,129 | 3,075 |
| Depreciation | 20,177 | 2,713 | 8,386 | 9,497 |
| Amortization | 10,096 | 1,412 | 58 | 64 |
| Interest | 14,199 | 1,500 | 8,222 | 9,024 |
| Loss from early extinguishment of debt | 37 | 103 | | |
| Total expenses | 70,725 | 16,102 | 34,853 | 36,416 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gain from sale of real estate partnerships, minority interests in real estate partnership, and minority interests in operating partnership | (14,948) | (8,654) | 3,835 | 8,071 |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated real estate partnerships | 4 | 3 | (47) | (60) |
| Gain from sale of real estate partnerships | 484 | | | |
| Minority interests in real estate partnership | (121) | | | |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | 5,208 | 3,054 | | |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (9,373) | (5,597) | 3,788 | 8,011 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (9) | (4) | 36 | 33 |
| Gain from sale of real estate property | 435 | | | |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | (150) | 1 | | |
| Total discontinued operations | 276 | (3) | 36 | 33 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) | \$ 3,824 | \$ 8,044 |
| Per share data basic and diluted | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (1.17) | \$ (0.70) | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.00) | | |
| Net loss | \$ (1.14) | \$ (0.70) | | |
| Weighted average common shares basic and diluted | 7,975 | 7,972 | | |

See notes to consolidated and combined financial statements

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS AND OWNERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

| | Number of Common Shares | Common Stock | Unamortized | | | Predecessor s Owners Deficit | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Restricted Stock Compensation | Other Comprehensive Income | | |
| | | | | | Accumulated Deficit | | |
| | | | | | | | (In thousands) |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (47,087) \$ (47,087) |
| Contributions | | | | | | | 6,744 6,744 |
| Distributions | | | | | | | (14,128) (14,128) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 8,044 8,044 |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | | | | | | | (46,427) (46,427) |
| Contributions | | | | | | | 320 320 |
| Distributions | | | | | | | (9,250) (9,250) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 3,824 3,824 |
| Balance at October 31, 2005 | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (51,533) \$ (51,533) |
| The Company | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock, net of costs and adjusted for EITF 94-2 historical cost basis | 7,942 | \$ 79 | \$ 85,516 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 85,595 |
| Net loss | | | | | | (5,600) | (5,600) |
| Grants of restricted stock | 58 | 1 | 638 | (639) | | | |
| Restricted stock vested at Offering | | | | 327 | | | 327 |
| Amortization of restricted stock grants | | | | 13 | | | 13 |
| Dividends to common stockholders | | | | | | (1,867) | (1,867) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 8,000 | 80 | 86,154 | (299) | | (7,467) | 78,468 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-----------|-----|----------|-------------|-----------|
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | | (9,097) | | (9,097) |
| Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps | | | | 73 | | | 73 |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (9,024) |
| Amortization of restricted stock grants | | | 88 | | | | 88 |
| Dividends to common stockholders | | | | | (11,197) | | (11,197) |
| Transfer of unamortized restricted stock compensation to additional paid-in capital | | | (299) | 299 | | | |
| Cumulative effect adjustment associated with the implementation of EITF 04-5 | | | (785) | | 59 | | (726) |
| Adjustment to record change of interest in the operating partnership due to the issuance of operating partnership units in excess of book value | | | 2,066 | | | | 2,066 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 8,000 | \$ 80 | \$ 87,224 | \$ | \$ 73 | \$ (27,702) | \$ 59,675 |

See notes to consolidated and combined financial statements

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Company | | Predecessor | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Operating activities: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) | \$ 3,824 | \$ 8,044 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Minority interests | (4,937) | (3,055) | | |
| Depreciation and amortization (including amounts in discontinued operations) | 30,325 | 4,142 | 8,480 | 9,620 |
| Amortization of acquired above market leases and acquired below market leases, net (including amounts in discontinued operations) | (990) | (134) | | |
| Straight-line rent revenue | (189) | (7) | (603) | (730) |
| Amortization of deferred finance costs and debt premium | 92 | (18) | 371 | 485 |
| Equity-based compensation | 88 | 6,397 | | |
| Gain from sale of real estate partnership interests | (484) | | | |
| Gain from sale of property discontinued operations | (435) | | | |
| Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated real estate partnerships | (4) | (3) | 47 | 60 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements | (9) | (14) | (2,436) | (2,874) |
| Termination of interest rate swap agreements | 736 | | | |
| Write-off of debt premium upon extinguishment of debt | | (70) | | |
| Prepayment penalty for early extinguishment of debt | 37 | | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Other assets | (966) | 144 | (1,509) | 261 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1,733 | (147) | 2,138 | 1,223 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 15,900 | 1,635 | 10,312 | 16,089 |
| Investing activities: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Cash paid in Formation Transactions, net of cash assumed | | (27,032) | | | |
| Investment in real estate properties and businesses | (104,778) | (2,715) | (6,067) | (13,182) | |
| Purchase of minority interests in the operating partnership | (1,414) | | 61 | | |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate property and capital lease | 2,215 | 51 | (82) | 73 | |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate partnership interests | 587 | | | | |
| Advances to unconsolidated real estate partnerships | | | | | (209) |
| Distributions received from unconsolidated real estate partnerships | 6 | | | | 54 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | (203) | 2,234 | 149 | (503) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (103,587) | (27,462) | (5,939) | (13,767) | |
| Financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from mortgage notes payable | 43,270 | 4,550 | 1,987 | 32,084 | |
| Repayments of mortgage notes payable | (8,675) | (71,357) | (4,154) | (19,264) | |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 92,250 | 19,600 | 3,198 | | |
| Repayments to line of credit | (34,363) | (4,711) | | (525) | |
| Prepayment penalty for early extinguishment of debt | (37) | | | | |
| Equity contributions to Predecessor entities | | | 142 | 3,349 | |
| Net proceeds from sale of common stock | | 91,368 | | | |
| Dividends and distributions | (12,981) | (2,886) | (7,026) | (13,143) | |
| Distributions to minority interests in real estate partnership | (134) | (222) | | | |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (398) | (944) | (10) | (621) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 78,932 | 35,398 | (5,863) | 1,880 | |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (8,755) | 9,571 | (1,490) | 4,202 | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 9,571 | | 13,459 | 9,257 | |
| Cumulative effect adjustment associated with the implementation of EITF 04-5 | 213 | | | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,029 | \$ 9,571 | \$ 11,969 | \$ 13,459 | |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest | \$ 13,372 | \$ 1,672 | \$ 10,464 | \$ 12,045 | |

Supplemental cash flow information noncash investing and financing activities:

Acquisitions (See Note 4 for purchase price allocation):

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|---------|-------|-------|
| Common stock and limited partnership units issued in connection with the acquisition of real estate properties and businesses, net of EITF 94-2 historical cost basis adjustment | \$ | 6,017 | \$ | 55,773 | \$ | \$ |
| Debt assumed with purchase of properties | | 5,178 | | 212,393 | | |
| Assumption of accounts payable and accrued expenses and interest rate swap agreements | | | | 5,194 | | |
| Assumption of construction in progress, restricted cash, and other assets | | | | 5,016 | | |
| Contributions receivable | | | | | 178 | |
| Accrued dividends and distributions | | 4,404 | | | 2,348 | |
| Negative carrying amount in unconsolidated real estate partnership distributed to owners | | | | | 124 | |
| Property contribution from member | | | | | | 3,395 |
| Property distribution to member | | | | | | 985 |

See notes to consolidated and combined financial statements

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Ownership

Cogdell Spencer Inc. (the Company), incorporated in Maryland in 2005, is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices, ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers, in the United States of America. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's portfolio consisted of 50 wholly-owned properties, four joint venture properties, and 58 managed medical office buildings.

James W. Cogdell (the Founder) formed the Company with the intent to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and to effect an initial public offering (the Offering) of the common stock of the Company. The Company completed its initial public offering on November 1, 2005. The Offering resulted in the sale of 5,800,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$17.00 per share, generating gross proceeds to the Company of \$98.6 million. On November 29, 2005, an additional 300,000 shares of common stock were sold at \$17.00 per share as a result of the underwriters exercising their over-allotment option, generating gross proceeds to the Company of \$5.1 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriter's discounts, commissions and financial advisory fees and other offering costs, were \$91.4 million.

Cogdell Spencer Inc. Predecessor (the Predecessor) was engaged in the business of owning, developing, redeveloping, acquiring and managing medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities primarily in the southeastern United States. The Predecessor was not a legal entity, but represented a combination of certain real estate entities based on common management. During all periods presented in the accompanying combined financial statements of the Predecessor, it had the responsibility for the day-to-day operations of such combined entities. Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. had management agreements with other entities that have not been combined with the Predecessor entities as other partners or members did not contribute their interests as part of the formation transactions discussed below.

On November 1, 2005, concurrent with the consummation of the Offering, the Company and a newly formed majority-owned limited partnership, Cogdell Spencer LP (the Operating Partnership), and its taxable REIT subsidiary, together with the partners and members of the affiliated partnerships and limited liability companies of the Predecessor, engaged in certain formation transactions (the Formation Transactions). The Operating Partnership received a contribution of interests in the Predecessor in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, shares of the Company's common stock and/or cash (see Note 4). Substantially all of the operations of the Company are carried out through the Operating Partnership. A wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company is acting as sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Company and the Operating Partnership had no operations prior to the Offering.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Predecessor consisted of Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc., and the limited liability companies and partnerships as shown in the following chart:

| Entity | Property Location | Property Type | Properties |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Augusta Medical Partners, LLC | Augusta, GA | Medical Office | 4 |
| Baptist Northwest Limited Partnership | Columbia, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Barclay Downs Associates, LLC/Matthews Land Group, LLC | Charlotte, NC | Corporate Offices | 1 |
| Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC | Beaufort, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Cabarrus Medical Partners, LLC | Greater Concord, NC | Medical Office | 5 |
| Cabarrus POB, LLC | Concord, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Cogdell Investors (Birkdale), LLC | Huntersville, NC | Wellness, Medical Office | 1 |
| Cogdell Investors (Mallard), LLC | Charlotte, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Cogdell Investors (Birkdale II), LLC | Huntersville, NC | Retail Center | 1 |
| Copperfield MOB, LLC | Concord, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| East Jefferson Medical Office Building Limited Partnership | Metairie, LA | Medical Office | 1 |
| East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building Limited Partnership | Metairie, LA | Medical Office | 1 |
| East Rocky Mount Kidney Center, LLC | Rocky Mount, NC | Medical Office, Kidney Dialysis | 1 |
| Franciscan Development Company, LLC | Ashland, KY | Medical Office, Surgery | 1 |
| Gaston MOB, LLC | Gastonia, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| HMOB Associates Limited Partnership | Columbia, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Medical Arts Center of Orangeburg General Partnership | Orangeburg, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Medical Investors, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC, Medical Investors III, LLC | Charlotte, NC and Charleston, SC | Medical Office | 5 |
| Medical Park Three Limited Partnership | Columbia, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Mulberry Medical Park Limited Partnership | Lenoir, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Providence Medical Office Building, LLC | Columbia, SC | Medical Office | 3 |
| River Hills Medical Associates, LLC | Little River, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Rocky Mount Kidney Center Limited Partnership | Rocky Mount, NC | Medical Office, Kidney Dialysis | 1 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Rocky Mount MOB, LLC | Rocky Mount, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Rocky Mount Medical Park Limited Partnership | Rocky Mount, NC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Roper MOB, LLC | Charleston, SC | Medical Office | 1 |
| Rowan OSC Investors, LLC | Salisbury, NC | Surgery Center | 1 |
| St. Francis Community MOB, LLC | Greenville, SC | Medical Office | 2 |
| St. Francis Medical Plaza, LLC | Greenville, SC | Medical Office | 2 |
| West Medical Office I, LLC | Charleston, SC | Medical Office | 1 |

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Company reviews its interests in entities to determine if the entity's assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and results of activities should be consolidated by an entity that is included in the consolidated financial statements in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46R) and EITF 04-5 Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights.

The accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) and represent the assets and liabilities and operating results of the Company and the Predecessor. The consolidated financial statements include the Company's accounts, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. The consolidated financial statements also include any partnerships for which the Company or its subsidiaries is the general partner or the managing member and the rights of the limited partners do not overcome the presumption of control by the general partner or managing member. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation and combination. The accounting policies of the Predecessor and the Company are consistent with each other, except as noted.

Use of Estimates in Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions are used by management in determining the useful lives of real estate properties and the initial valuations and underlying allocations of purchase price in connection with real estate property acquisitions. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues related to leasing activities at properties owned by the Company, management fees related to managing third party properties, development fees related to the general oversight of medical property development, other advisory fees, and operating expense reimbursement for payroll related and other expenses incurred by third party properties managed by the Company.

Rental income related to non-cancelable operating leases is recognized as earned over the term, which is the period from occupancy to lease termination date, of the lease agreements on a straight-line basis. Rental income recognized on a straight-line basis for certain lease agreements results in recognized revenue exceeding or less than amounts contractually due from tenants. These leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes. At times the Company will receive cash payments at the inception of the lease for tenant improvements and these amounts are amortized into rental revenue over the life of the lease. These amounts are included in Accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated and combined balance sheets. The Company monitors the creditworthiness of its tenants on a regular basis and maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts. Such amount is immaterial to the financial statements.

The Company recognizes sales of real estate properties upon closing. Payments received from purchasers prior to closing are recorded as deposits. Profit on real estate sold is recognized using the full accrual method upon closing when the collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured and the Company is not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale. This includes the buyer's initial and continuing investments being adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay for the property and the Company not having substantial continuing involvement whereby the usual risks and rewards of ownership would not be transferred to the buyer. Profit may be deferred in whole or part until the sales meet the requirements of profit recognition on sales of real estate under Financial

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate (SFAS 66).

The Company receives fees for property management and development and consulting services provided from time to time to third parties which are reflected as management fee revenue and development fee revenue. Management fees are generally based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. Development and advisory fees are recorded based on a percentage of completion method using management's best estimate of time and costs to complete projects. There are no significant over-billed or under-billed amounts and changes in estimates during the three years ended December 31, 2006 have not been material. Other income on the Company's statement of operations generally includes income incidental to the operations of the Company and is recognized when earned. Interest income includes the amortization of unearned income related to a sales-type capital lease.

The Company pays certain payroll and related costs related to the operations of third party properties that are managed by the Company. Under terms of the related management agreements, these costs are reimbursed by the third party property owners. The amounts billed to the third party owners are recognized as revenue in accordance with FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Abstract No. 01-14, Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for Out of Pocket Expenses Incurred.

Fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2004, includes \$0.6 million of revenue related to a project where services were performed prior to 2004 but collectibility was not assured. During 2004, payment for services performed was received and the revenue has been reflected as fee revenue in 2004.

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that 90% of ordinary taxable income to be distributed. As a REIT, the Company will generally not be subject to federal income tax to the extent that it meets the organization and operational requirements and distributions exceed taxable income. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company met the organization and operational requirements and distributions exceeded net taxable income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal and state income taxes.

Cogdell Spencer Advisors, LLC (CSA, LLC), wholly-owned by the Operating Partnership, has elected to be a Taxable REIT Subsidiary. Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC (Consera) was acquired in September 2006 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. Consera has elected to be a Taxable REIT Subsidiary. As Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, the operations of CSA, LLC and Consera are generally subject to corporate income taxes. Consera has a deferred tax liability of \$0.2 million. The taxable income and deferred taxes, except as previously mentioned, of CSA, LLC and Consera are immaterial to the financial statements.

During 2006, the Company paid three quarterly dividends of \$0.35 per share, totaling \$1.05 per share for the year. These quarterly dividends were paid in April, July, and October 2006. The dividends of \$1.05 per share are classified for income tax purposes as 34.3% taxable ordinary dividend, 3.8% capital gain (2.9% long term capital gain and 0.9% unrecaptured Section 1250 gain), and 61.9% return of capital (non-taxable). No portion of the dividends constitutes qualified dividends eligible for the reduced rates on dividends pursuant to the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief

Reconciliation Act of 2003.

No provision for income taxes is included in the Predecessor's combined financial statements, as each shareholder, partner or member is individually responsible for reporting its respective share of the S-Corporation's, partnership's or limited liability company's taxable income or loss in its income tax returns.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Comprehensive Income or Loss

Comprehensive income or loss includes net income (loss) and all other non-owner changes in stockholders' equity during a period including unrealized fair value adjustments on certain derivative agreements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all short-term investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Restricted cash and short-term investments are excluded from cash for the purpose of preparing the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows.

The Company maintains cash balances in various banks. At times the amounts of cash may exceed the \$0.1 million amount insured by the FDIC. The Company does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes escrow accounts held by lenders. Restricted cash also includes proceeds from property sales deposited with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations.

Real Estate Properties and Related Intangible Assets

Land and buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. For developed properties, direct and indirect costs that clearly relate to projects under development are capitalized in accordance with SFAS No. 67, Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects. Costs include construction costs, professional services such as architectural and legal costs, travel expenses, capitalized interest and direct payroll and other acquisition costs. The Company begins capitalization when the project is probable. Capitalization of interest ceases when the property is ready for its intended use, which is generally near the date that a certificate of occupancy is obtained.

Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over 13 to 50 years. Tenant improvement costs, which are included in building and improvements in the consolidated balance sheets, are depreciated over the shorter of (i) the related remaining lease term or (ii) the life of the improvement. Corporate furniture, fixtures and equipment, which are included in Other assets, are depreciated over three to seven years.

Acquisitions of properties and Operating Partnership minority interests are accounted for utilizing the purchase method in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and accordingly the purchase cost is allocated to tangible and intangible assets and liabilities based on their relative fair values. The fair value of tangible assets acquired is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, applying methods similar to those used by independent appraisers of income-producing property. The resulting value is then allocated to land and buildings improvements, and tenant improvements based on management's determination of the relative fair value of these assets. The assumptions used in the allocation of fair values to assets acquired are based on management's best estimates at the time of evaluation.

Fair value is assigned to above-market and below-market leases based on the difference between (a) the contractual amounts to be paid by the tenant based on the existing lease and (b) management's estimate of current market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, over the remaining terms of the in-place leases. Capitalized above-market lease amounts are amortized as a decrease to rental revenue over the remaining terms of the respective leases. Capitalized below-market lease amounts are amortized as an increase to rental revenue over the remaining terms of the respective leases. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The aggregate value of other acquired intangible assets consists of acquired ground leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships. The fair value allocated to acquired in-place leases consists of a variety of components including, but not necessarily limited to: (a) the value associated with avoiding the cost of originating the acquired in-place leases (i.e. the market cost to execute a lease, including leasing commissions and legal fees, if any); (b) the value associated with lost revenue related to tenant reimbursable operating costs estimated to be incurred during the assumed lease-up period (i.e. real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses); (c) the value associated with lost rental revenue from existing leases during the assumed lease-up period; and (d) the value associated with any other inducements to secure a tenant lease.

As required by SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 144), the Company assesses the potential for impairment of its long-lived assets, including real estate properties, whenever events occur or a change in circumstances indicate that the recorded value might not be fully recoverable. Management determines whether impairment in value has occurred by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected from the use and eventual disposition of the asset to its carrying value. If the undiscounted cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, the real estate is adjusted to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized.

SFAS 144 requires that the operations and gains and losses associated with sales of components of an entity, as defined in SFAS 144, be reclassified and presented as discontinued operations. The Company generally has no plans to actively engage in the disposition of any specific real estate property or group of real estate properties, but does from time to time dispose of properties in the normal course of business.

Repairs, Maintenance and Major Improvements

The costs of ordinary repairs and maintenance are charged to operations when incurred. Major improvements that enhance the value or extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. In some circumstances lenders require the Company to maintain a reserve account for future repairs and capital expenditures. These amounts are classified as restricted cash.

Capitalization of Interest

The Company capitalizes interest costs on borrowings incurred during the construction of qualifying assets. Capitalized interest is added to the cost of the underlying assets and is depreciated over the useful lives of the assets. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company capitalized interest of approximately \$324,000, \$24,000, and \$52,000, respectively, in connection with various development projects.

Tenant Receivables

Tenant receivables are recorded and carried at the amount billable per the applicable lease agreement, less any allowance for uncollectible accounts. An allowance for uncollectible accounts is made when collection of the full amounts is no longer considered probable. There are allowances for uncollectible accounts for each period presented which are not significant. Tenant receivables and straight-line rent adjustments are recorded in *Other assets* in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Investment in Capital Lease

Investment in capital lease consists of a building on a sales-type capital lease. The Predecessor recognized the sale in accordance with SFAS 66. Unearned income is amortized into interest income using a method that is not materially different from a method that produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the lease. The interest income is recorded in Interest and other income.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs include fees and costs incurred in conjunction with long-term financings and are amortized over the terms of the related debt using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. Upon repayment of or in conjunction with a material change in the terms of the underlying debt agreement, any unamortized costs are charged to earnings.

Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

The Company records investments in which it does not control but exercises significant influence under the equity method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Common Stock, and AICPA Statement of Position 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures. In circumstances where the real estate partnerships have distributions in excess of the investment and accumulated earnings or experienced net losses in excess of the investment and the Company has guaranteed debt of the entity or otherwise intends to provide financial support, the Company has reduced the carrying value of its investment below zero and recorded a liability in Accounts payable and accrued expenses. Services performed for real estate joint ventures and capitalized by real estate joint ventures are recognized to the extent attributable to the outside interests in the real estate joint venture.

Goodwill

The Company accounts for Goodwill under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill is tested annually at September 30 for impairment and is tested for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount, including goodwill, exceeds the reporting unit's fair value and the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the carrying amount of that goodwill.

Guarantees

The Company records a liability using expected present value measurement techniques for guarantees entered into or modified subsequent to December 31, 2003 in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45).

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company follows SFAS No. 107, Disclosures about the Fair Value of Financial Instruments which requires the disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company considers the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, tenant receivables, interest rate swap agreements, accounts payable and accrued expenses to approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The Company has estimated the fair value of the mortgages and notes payable utilizing present value techniques. At December 31, 2006, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of the mortgages and notes payable was \$262.0 million and \$262.3 million, respectively. At December 31, 2005, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of the mortgages and notes payable was \$160.2 million and \$160.7 million, respectively.

Offering Costs

Underwriting commissions and other offering costs of raising equity are reflected as a reduction in additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock based compensation, including restricted stock grants and fully vested long-term incentive units granted in connection with the Offering, in accordance with SFAS No. 123R (Revised), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). The Company measures the compensation cost based on the estimated fair value of the award at the grant date. The estimate is based on the share price of the common stock at the grant date. Where an observable market value of a similar instrument is not available an option-pricing model is utilized. The compensation cost is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period required for vesting.

The estimated fair value of fully vested stock awards and long-term incentive units (LTIP) granted on the offering date was recorded as an expense upon closing of the Offering. The estimated fair value of the restricted stock granted by the Company is being amortized over the vesting period of the restricted stock agreements. On November 1, 2005, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$6.4 million related to the grant of vested equity incentives in connection with the completion of the Offering.

Reclassifications

Certain 2005 and 2004 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation. The reclassifications did not affect previously reported stockholders' equity or net loss. These reclassifications include the reclassification of the operating results of one property to discontinued operations. For additional information, see Note 4, Acquisitions and Dispositions.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force's consensus on Issue No. 04-5 Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights (EITF 04-5). This consensus establishes the presumption that general partners in a limited partnership control that limited partnership regardless of the extent of the general partner's ownership interest in the limited partnership. The consensus further establishes that the rights of the limited partners can overcome the presumption of control by the general partners, if the limited partners have either (a) the substantive ability to dissolve (liquidate) the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partners without cause or (b) substantive participating rights. Whether the presumption of control is overcome is a matter of judgment based on facts and circumstances, for which the consensus provides additional guidance. This consensus was applicable in 2005 for new or modified partnerships, and is applicable to existing partnerships beginning in 2006. This consensus applies to limited partnerships or similar entities, such as limited liability companies that have governing provisions that are the functional equivalent of a limited partnership.

The Company implemented EITF 04-5 effective January 1, 2006 for existing partnerships. As a result of the implementation, the Company determined that Rocky Mount MOB LLC (Rocky Mount MOB) is required to be included in the Company's consolidated financial results. The Company owns 34.5% of Rocky Mount MOB. Pursuant to the transition guidance contained in EITF 04-5, the Company has included a cumulative effect adjustment related to the consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB effective January 1, 2006. The Company's investment in Rocky Mount MOB of \$0.8 million has been eliminated, which reduced other assets and additional

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

paid in capital. The following was Rocky Mount MOB's balance sheet as of January 1, 2006, as consolidated by the Company (in thousands):

Assets

Real estate property:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Land | \$ 228 |
| Buildings and improvements | 4,990 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (856) |
| Total real estate property, net | 4,362 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 213 |
| Other assets | 8 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,583 |

Liabilities and members' equity

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Mortgage loans | \$ 4,340 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 18 |
| Total liabilities | 4,358 |
| Minority interests in real estate partnership | 170 |
| Company's equity | 55 |
| Total liabilities and members' equity | \$ 4,583 |

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how companies should recognize, measure, present, and disclose in their financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. For those benefits recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained based solely upon the technical merits of the position. Such tax positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that, on a cumulative basis, is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming that the taxing authority has full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. FIN 48 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007. The Company has preliminarily assessed the effect of adopting FIN 48 and does not expect to record a material FIN 48 adjustment effective January 1, 2007.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of prior-year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in the current year financial statements. SAB 108 requires

registrants to quantify misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) and balance sheet (iron curtain) approach and evaluate whether either approach results in a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. If prior year errors that had been previously considered immaterial now are considered material based on either approach, no restatement is required so long as management properly applied its previous approach and all relevant facts and circumstances were considered. If prior years are not restated, the cumulative effect adjustment is recorded in opening accumulated earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of SAB 108 had no impact on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value for assets and liabilities, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective January 1, 2008. SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 "Employer's Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires recognition of the funded status of such plans as an asset or liability, with changes in the funded status recognized through comprehensive income in the year in which they occur. These provisions of SFAS 158 are effective December 31, 2006. Additionally, SFAS 158 requires measurement of a plan's assets and its obligations at the end of the employer's fiscal year, effective December 31, 2008. SFAS 158 has not had, and is not expected to have, a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (SFAS 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. SFAS 159 does not affect any existing accounting literature that requires certain assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this new standard on its financial statements.

3. Minimum Future Rental Revenues

The Company's properties are generally leased to tenants under non-cancelable, fixed-term operating leases with expirations through 2023. Some leases provide for fixed rent renewal terms or market rent renewal terms. The Company's leases generally require the lessee to pay minimum rent, additional rent based upon increases in the Consumer Price Index and all taxes (including property tax), insurance, maintenance and other operating costs associated with the leased property.

Future minimum lease payments by tenants under the non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2006 were as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| For the year ending: | |
| 2007 | \$ 48,889 |
| 2008 | 42,042 |
| 2009 | 35,126 |
| 2010 | 26,849 |
| 2011 | 20,844 |
| Thereafter | 42,621 |
| | \$ 216,371 |

The Company has one building leased to a tenant under a capital lease that began in 1987 and expires in 2017, with a bargain renewal option through 2027 that the Company intends to exercise. The tenant is the owner of the land and

has leased the land to the Company. Upon renewal of the ground lease, the building lease automatically extends for the same 10 year extension period. The components of the Investment in capital lease are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 14,187 | \$ 14,948 |
| Less: Unearned income | (7,994) | (8,449) |
| Investment in capital lease | \$ 6,193 | \$ 6,499 |

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Total minimum lease payments receivable on the capital lease as of December 31, 2006, exclusive of the operating expense reimbursement payments, are as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2007 | \$ 766 |
| 2008 | 772 |
| 2009 | 778 |
| 2010 | 784 |
| 2011 | 790 |
| Thereafter | 10,297 |
| | \$ 14,187 |

4. Acquisitions and Dispositions

During year ended December 31, 2006, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, acquired for investment purposes, real estate properties and businesses as follows:

Methodist Professional Center One was acquired on February 15, 2006, for \$39.9 million inclusive of credits from the seller in the amount of \$0.5 million related to potential future capital improvements, reducing the initial purchase price to \$39.4 million. The property has 171,114 square feet of medical office space, inclusive of a 24,080 square foot sublease in Methodist Professional Center II. The property was 94.7% leased at the time of purchase, and an adjacent 951-space parking deck.

On March 30, 2006, the Company acquired Hanover Medical Office Building One and the 1808/1818 Verdugo Boulevard properties. The combined portfolio purchase price was \$36.1 million, inclusive of \$0.8 million in credits from the seller relating to potential future capital improvements, reducing the initial purchase price to \$35.3 million. The properties have 163,403 square feet of medical office space and was 98.5% leased on the date of acquisition.

Mary Black Westside MOB was acquired on August 4, 2006, for \$5.2 million. The Company received credits from the seller of \$0.8 million related to potential future capital improvements, which reduced the initial purchase price to \$4.4 million. The building has 37,455 square feet of medical office space and was 100.0% leased on the date of acquisition.

On September 28, 2006, the Company acquired Parkridge MOB for \$19.1 million. The Company received credits from the seller of \$1.5 million related to potential future capital improvements, which reduced the initial purchase price to \$17.6 million. The property has 89,451 square feet of medical office space and was 94.6% leased at the time of acquisition.

On September 28, 2006, the Company acquired Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC, a medical office building management company based in Columbia, SC. Consera manages 39 properties containing 1.6 million

square feet in South Carolina, Kentucky, Virginia and Florida.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table is an allocation of the purchase price of the acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Land | \$ 5,719 |
| Building and improvements | 85,136 |
| Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs | 6,853 |
| Acquired below market ground leases | 232 |
| Acquired above market leases | 376 |
| Acquired below market leases | (1,417) |
| Other assets | 1,232 |
| Interest rate cap transaction agreement | 245 |
| Deferred tax liability | (242) |
| Acquired above market ground lease | (191) |
| Goodwill | 2,451 |
| Total purchase price allocated | \$ 100,394 |

The following summary of selected unaudited pro forma results of operations presents information as if the purchase of Methodist Professional Center One, Hanover Medical Office Building One, 1808 and 1818 Verdugo Boulevard, Parkridge MOB, Mary Black Westside and Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC properties and businesses had occurred at the beginning of each period presented. The unaudited pro forma information is provided for informational purposes only and is not indicative of results that would have occurred or which may occur in the future (in thousands, except per share amount):

| | Company | Company/ Predecessor | Predecessor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Total revenues | \$ 66,004 | \$ 64,670 | \$ 62,791 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (8,737) | \$ 393 | \$ 10,213 |
| Per share information: | | | |
| Loss per share basic and diluted | \$ (1.10) | | |

On November 1, 2005, as discussed in Note 1, the Operating Partnership engaged in certain formation transactions that resulted in the acquisition of 44 properties, the acquisition of minority ownership interests in eight real estate properties, and the acquisition of Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. Concurrent with the Formation Transactions, the Company acquired a majority ownership in the Operating Partnership. In connection with the Formation Transactions, 3,831,040 Operating Partnership units and 1,842,274 shares of common stock were issued. The Formation

Transactions purchase price was allocated as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|------------|
| Operating Partnership units issued | \$ 65,132 |
| Common shares issued | 31,319 |
| Cash | 36,454 |
| Assumption of liabilities | 216,764 |
| Assumption of assets, including cash | (17,916) |
| EITF 94-2 historical cost basis adjustment | (43,878) |
| Purchase price to be allocated | \$ 287,875 |

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In accordance with the EITF Abstract No. 94-2, Treatment of Minority Interests in Certain Real Estate Investment Trusts (EITF 94-2) and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 48, Transfers of Nonmonetary Assets by Promoters or Stockholders, the transfers made by the Promoter, James W. Cogdell, in exchange for Operating Partnership units and common shares have been recorded at his historical cost basis. To the extent the other investors exchanged their ownership interests; the acquisition has been recorded at the estimated fair value of the consideration exchanged.

The following is an allocation of the purchase price in accordance with SFAS 141 (in thousands):

| | |
|--|------------|
| Land | \$ 15,868 |
| Buildings and improvements | 238,798 |
| Investment in capital lease | 6,550 |
| Acquired above market leases | 877 |
| Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs | 22,399 |
| Acquired ground leases | 2,661 |
| Goodwill | 2,875 |
| Other assets | 1,712 |
| Premium, net on assumed debt | (823) |
| Acquired below market leases | (3,042) |
| Total purchase price allocated | \$ 287,875 |

On November 1, 2005, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, acquired 190 Andrews Medical Office Building located in Greenville, South Carolina from unaffiliated third parties. The property was acquired in exchange for 188,236 Operating Partnership units, equal to \$3.2 million, based upon the Offering price of \$17.00 per share, and the assumption of approximately \$53,000 in cash, for a total consideration of \$3.2 million. The following table is an allocation of the purchase price in accordance with SFAS 141 (in thousands). The pro forma results had the building been acquired at the beginning of the year would have been immaterial to both revenue and net income for the year.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Building and improvements | \$ 2,663 |
| Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs | 221 |
| Acquired ground lease | 274 |
| Acquired below market leases | (11) |
| Total purchase price allocated | \$ 3,147 |

Amortization expense related to lease intangibles for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$10.1 million, \$1.5 million, and \$0.1 million, respectively. The Company expects to recognize amortization expense from existing lease intangible assets of \$6.3 million, \$4.5 million, \$3.0 million, \$1.8 million, and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively. Goodwill is not amortized and is associated with the Real Estate Services segment.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In accordance with SFAS No. 144 net income and gain on disposition of real estate properties are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as discontinued operations for all periods presented. In addition, the assets and liabilities of this property are separately reflected in Other assets held for sale and in Other liabilities held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets for all periods presented. Below is a summary of discontinued operations for the property sold during 2006 (in thousands):

| | Company 2006 | Company/ Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Predecessor Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2004 |
|--|-----------------|--|---|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Rental | \$ 129 | \$ 230 | \$ 217 |
| Total revenues | 129 | 230 | 217 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 44 | 79 | 81 |
| General and administrative | | 1 | 1 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 51 | 53 | 59 |
| Interest expense | 43 | 65 | 43 |
| Total expenses | 138 | 198 | 184 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations before gain from sale of real estate property and minority interests in operating partnership | (9) | 32 | 33 |
| Gain from sale of real estate property | 435 | | |
| Income from discontinued operations before minority interests in operating partnership | 426 | 32 | 33 |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | (150) | 1 | |
| Total discontinued operations | \$ 276 | \$ 33 | \$ 33 |

There were no properties being actively marketed for sale as of December 31, 2006.

5. Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had an ownership interest in four limited liability companies or limited partnerships. The following is a description of each of the entities:

McLeod Medical Partners, LLC, a South Carolina limited liability company, founded in 1982, 1.1% owned by the Company, and owns three medical office buildings;

Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2001, 2.0% owned by the Company, and owns ten medical office buildings

BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2002, 2.0% owned by the Company, and owns nine medical office buildings; and

Rocky Mount MOB, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company, founded in 2002, 34.5% owned by the Company, and owns one medical office building.

The Company is the general partner or managing member of these real estate partnerships and manages the properties owned by these entities. The Company, through its taxable REIT subsidiaries, receives property management fees, leasing fees, and expense reimbursements from the partnerships.

The 2.0% ownerships in the Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1 and BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2 were assumed as part of the Consera acquisition (See Note 4). The partnership agreements and

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

tenant leases of the limited partners are designed to give preferential treatment to the limited partners as to the operating cash flows from the partnerships. The Company, as the general partner, does not generally participate in the operating cash flows from these entities other than to receive property management fees. The limited partners can remove the Company as the property manager and as the general partner. These are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Rocky Mount MOB is included in the Company's consolidated financial statements because the non-managing members do not have sufficient participation rights in the entity to overcome the presumption of control by the Company as the managing member. The non-managing members do have certain protective rights such as the ability to prevent the sale of building, the dissolution of the entity, or the incurrence of additional indebtedness.

The Company's other real estate partnerships are unconsolidated and accounted for under the equity method of accounting based on the Company's ability to exercise significant influence. The following is a summary of financial information for the limited liability companies and limited partnerships for the periods indicated. The information set forth below reflects the financial position and operations of the entities in their entirety, not just the Company's interest in the real estate partnerships (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|---|
| Financial position: | | |
| Total assets | \$ 58,267 | \$ 32,606 |
| Total liabilities | 51,441 | 23,752 |
| Members' equity | 6,826 | 8,854 |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 |
| Results of operations: | | |
| Revenues | \$ 11,270 | \$ 1,070 |
| Operating and general and administrative expenses | 5,012 | 375 |
| Net income | 804 | 44 |

During 2006, the Company acquired the remaining 95% interest in Mary Black Westside that it did not previously own and began to consolidate the entity (Note 4). The Company sold its interest in Mary Black MOB Limited Partnership and Mary Black MOB II Limited Partnership. Prior to the disposal the Company owned 9.6% of Mary Black MOB Limited Partnership and 1.0% of Mary Black MOB II Limited Partnership. The Company recorded a gain of \$0.3 million on the sale of these interests. Also during 2006, the Company sold its interest in Cabarrus Land Company, LLC. Prior to the sale, the Company owned 5.0%. The Company recorded a gain of \$0.2 million on the sale of this interest. All of these investments were previously accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

The Predecessor had investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships that were accounted for under the equity method of accounting based on the Predecessor's ability to exercise significant influence. These entities primarily own medical office buildings or hold investments in companies that own medical office buildings. The following is a summary of financial information for the limited liability companies and limited partnerships for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Ten Months Ended October 31, 2005 | Year Ended December 31, 2004 |
|---|--|---|
| Results of operations: | | |
| Revenues | \$ 5,126 | \$ 5,604 |
| Operating and general and administrative expenses | 2,527 | 2,302 |
| Net income | 466 | 663 |

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****6. Mortgages, Notes Payable and Guarantees**

Mortgages and notes payable consist of the following (dollars in thousands):

| Entity | Outstanding | | Stated Interest Rate | Interest | | Maturity Date | Amortization |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | at December 31, 2006 | at December 31, 2005 | | Rate at December 31, 2006 | Rate at December 31, 2006 | | |
| Baptist Northwest Medical Park LP | \$ 2,297 | \$ 2,344 | 8.25% | 8.25% | 2/1/2011 | 25 years | |
| Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC | 5,152 | 5,270 | LIBOR + .95 | 6.28 | 8/18/2008 | 25 years | |
| Cabarrus Medical Partners, LLC | 8,935 | 10,378 | LIBOR + 1.50(1) | 6.83 | 12/15/2014 | 25 years | |
| Cogdell Investors (Birkdale), LLC | 7,623 | 7,758 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 10/1/2008 | 25 years | |
| East Jefferson Medical Office Building LP | 9,589 | 9,773 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 8/10/2014 | 25 years | |
| Gaston MOB, LLC | 16,566 | 17,358 | LIBOR + 1.25 | 6.58 | 11/22/2007 | 25 years | |
| Hanover MOB, LLC | 5,088 | | 6.00 | 6.00 | 11/1/2009 | 25 years | |
| HMOB Associates Limited Partnership | 5,743 | 5,935 | 5.93 | 5.93 | 11/1/2013 | 20 years | |
| Barclay Downs Associates, LLC | 4,550 | 4,550 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 11/15/2012 | 25 years(5) | |
| Medical Arts Center of Orangeburg GP Medical Investors I, LLC | 2,628 | 2,723 | 5.95 | 5.95 | 12/18/2007 | 20 years | |
| Medical Park Three Limited Partnership | 8,803 | 8,962 | LIBOR + 1.85 | 7.18 | 12/10/2007 | 25 years | |
| Indianapolis MOB, LLC | 8,243 | 8,418 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 3/25/2014 | 25 years | |
| Mulberry Medical Park LP | 30,000 | | LIBOR + 1.30 | 6.63 | 10/31/2009 | interest only | |
| | 1,101 | 1,152 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 10/15/2007 | 20 years | |
| | 13,270 | | LIBOR + 1.45 | 6.78 | 10/1/2007 | interest only | |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|--------------|------|------------|----------|
| Parkridge MOB, LLC | | | | | | |
| Providence Medical Office Building, LLC | 9,019 | 9,206 | 6.12 | 6.12 | 1/12/2013 | 25 years |
| River Hills Medical Associates, LLC | 3,069 | 3,161 | LIBOR + 2.00 | 7.33 | 11/30/2008 | 22 years |
| Rocky Mount Kidney Center LP | 1,107 | 1,141 | 6.25 | 6.25 | 1/21/2009 | 20 years |
| Rocky Mount MOB, LLC | 4,175 | | 6.25 | 6.25 | 6/21/2007 | 25 years |
| Rocky Mount MOB, LLC | 70 | | 6.25 | 6.25 | 6/21/2007 | 25 years |
| Rocky Mount Medical Park LP(2) | 7,953 | 7,953 | Prime | 7.25 | 8/15/2008 | 25 years |
| Roper MOB, LLC | 9,860 | 10,164 | LIBOR + 1.50 | 6.83 | 7/10/2009 | 18 years |
| Rowan OSC Investors, LLC | 3,477 | 3,547 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 7/6/2014 | 25 years |
| St. Francis Community MOB, LLC | 7,280 | 9,448 | LIBOR + 1.40 | 6.73 | 8/18/2007 | 25 years |
| St. Francis Medical Plaza, LLC | 8,444 | 10,689 | LIBOR + 1.43 | 6.76 | 2/15/2007 | 25 years |
| Cogdell Spencer LP(3) | 77,487 | 19,600 | (4) | (4) | 10/31/2008 | |
| Total | 261,529 | 159,530 | | | | |
| Premium, net | 502 | 704 | | | | |
| Total debt | \$ 262,031 | \$ 160,234 | | | | |

- (1) Maximum interest rate of 8.25%; Minimum interest rate of 3.25%.
- (2) Maximum interest rate of 7.25%; Minimum interest rate of 4.25%. Interest only through December 2006.
- (3) Unsecured revolving credit facility.
- (4) The interest rate is, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR plus a margin of between 100 to 130 basis points based on the Company's leverage ratio (6.63% at December 31, 2006) or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate (8.25% at December 31, 2006).
- (5) Interest only through January 2008.

The LIBOR rate was 5.33% and 4.39% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The prime rate was 8.25% and 7.25% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On November 1, 2005, the Company, as guarantor, and the Operating Partnership entered into our \$100.0 million Credit Facility, with a syndicate of financial institutions (including Bank of America, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc. and Branch Banking & Trust Company) (collectively, the Lenders), with Bank of America, N.A., as

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

the administrative agent for the Lenders, and Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as joint lead arrangers and joint book managers. The Credit Facility shall be available to fund working capital and other corporate purposes; finance acquisition and development activity; and refinance existing and future indebtedness. In August 2006, the Credit facility capacity was increased from \$100.0 million to \$130.0 million. The Credit Facility permits the Operating Partnership to borrow up to \$130.0 million of revolving loans, with sub-limits of \$25.0 million for swingline loans and \$25.0 million for letters of credit.

The Credit Facility shall terminate and all amounts outstanding thereunder shall be due and payable in full, three years from November 1, 2005, subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option. The Credit Facility also allows for up to \$120.0 million of increased availability (to a total aggregate available amount of \$250.0 million), at the Company's option but subject to each Lender's option to increase its commitment. The interest rate on loans under the Credit Facility equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR plus a margin of between 100 to 130 basis points based on the Company's leverage ratio or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate.

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including: (1) limitations on the Company's ability to (A) incur additional indebtedness, (B) make distributions to the Company's stockholders, subject to complying with REIT requirements, and (C) make certain investments; (2) maintenance of a pool of unencumbered assets subject to certain minimum valuations thereof; and (3) requirements for the Company to maintain certain financial coverage ratios. These customary financial coverage ratios and other conditions include a maximum leverage ratio (65%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (150%), maximum combined secured indebtedness (50%), maximum recourse indebtedness (15%), maximum unsecured indebtedness (60%, with flexibility for one two quarter increase to not more than 75%), minimum unencumbered interest coverage ratio (175%, with the flexibility for one two quarter decrease to 150%) and minimum combined tangible net worth (\$30 million plus 85% of net proceeds of equity issuances by the Company and its subsidiaries after November 1, 2005).

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$50.1 million available under the Credit Facility. There was \$77.5 million outstanding at December 31, 2006 and \$2.4 million of availability is restricted related to outstanding letters of credit.

The mortgages are collateralized by property and principal and interest payments are generally made monthly. Scheduled maturities of mortgages and notes payable under the line of credit as of December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| For the year ending: | |
| 2007 | \$ 62,002 |
| 2008 | 105,036 |
| 2009 | 46,438 |
| 2010 | 1,456 |
| 2011 | 3,562 |
| Thereafter | 43,035 |
| | \$ 261,529 |

Certain of the Company's mortgage notes payable and the Company's Credit Facility require that the Company comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. The Company was in compliance with the covenants as of December 31, 2006.

In February 2007, the Company refinanced the St. Francis Medical Plaza, LLC mortgage note payable. The note payable now matures on June 15, 2008 and has a variable interest rate of LIBOR + 1.55%. In connection with the refinancing, there was a \$0.8 million principal reduction payment.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Guarantees*

The Company guarantees debt in connection with certain of its development activities, including joint ventures. The Company has guaranteed, in the event of a default, the mortgage note payable for one unconsolidated real estate joint venture. An initial liability of \$0.1 million has been recorded for the guarantee using expected present value measurement techniques. The guarantee, which has a principal balance of \$9.0 million at December 31, 2006, will be released upon the full repayment of the mortgage note payable, which matures in December 2007. The mortgage is collateralized by property and the collateral will revert to the guarantor in the event the guarantee is performed.

Management believes the probability the Company will have to perform on the guarantee in the future is minimal and therefore the Company does not expect the Company's guarantee to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

7. Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest rate swap agreements are utilized to reduce exposure to variable interest rates associated with certain mortgage notes payable. These agreements involve an exchange of fixed and floating interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount (the notional amount). The net difference between the interest paid and the interest received is reflected as an adjustment to interest expense.

The interest rate swap agreements have been recorded on the balance sheet at their estimated fair values and included in Other assets or Accounts payable and accrued expenses. The agreements entered into prior to the Offering were not designated for hedge accounting and, accordingly, any changes in fair values are recorded in interest expense. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, approximately \$9,000, \$2.5 million, and \$2.9 million, respectively, was recorded as a decrease to interest expense as a result of the change in the interest rate swap agreements' fair value. The following table summarizes the terms of the agreements and their fair values at December 31, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in thousands):

| Entity | Notional Amount as of December 31, 2006 | Receive Rate | Pay Rate | Effective Date | Termination Date | December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|----------|----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----|--------|
| | | | | | | 2006 | 2005 | | |
| | | | | | | Asset | Liability | | |
| Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC | \$ | 1 Month LIBOR | 5.81% | 10/25/1999 | 11/2/2006 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 133 |
| Gaston MOB, LLC | | 1 Month LIBOR | 3.25% | 1/23/2003 | 11/2/2006 | | | 467 | |
| | | 1 Month LIBOR | 4.82% | 2/10/2003 | 11/2/2006 | | | | 37 |

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Medical Investors I, LLC River Hills Medical Associates, LLC | 1 Month LIBOR | 3.63% | 3/10/2003 | 11/2/2006 | 94 |
| Roper MOB, LLC | 1 Month LIBOR | 4.45% | 7/26/2004 | 11/2/2006 | 90 |
| | | | | | \$ \$ \$ 651 \$ 170 |

In November 2006, the Company terminated the five interest rate swap agreements. The Company received cash \$0.5 million for the value of these agreements upon termination. The Company then entered into new interest rate swap agreements and had cash flow hedge documentation in place to qualify for hedge accounting. In addition, the Company entered into a new interest rate swap agreement related to the financing obtained for Methodist Professional Center One. The interest rate swap agreements are reported at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet and changes in the fair value are reported in accumulated comprehensive income (loss) exclusive of

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

ineffectiveness amounts, which are reported in interest expense. The following table summarizes the terms of the new agreements as of December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

| Entity | Notional Amount as of December 31, 2006 | Receive Rate | Pay Rate | Effective Date | Expiration Date | December 31, | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| | | | | | | 2006 | 2005 | | |
| | | | | | | Asset | Liability | Asset | Liability |
| Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC | \$ 5,049 | 1 Month LIBOR | 5.01% | 11/2/2006 | 7/25/2008 | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Gaston MOB, LLC | 16,566 | 1 Month LIBOR | 5.18% | 11/3/2006 | 11/22/2007 | 8 | | | |
| Medical Investors I, LLC | 8,803 | 1 Month LIBOR | 5.15% | 11/2/2006 | 12/10/2007 | 6 | | | |
| Methodist Professional Center I | 30,000 | 1 Month LIBOR | 4.95% | 11/2/2006 | 10/31/2009 | 34 | | | |
| River Hills Medical Associates, LLC | 3,069 | 1 Month LIBOR | 4.97% | 11/2/2006 | 12/15/2008 | 4 | | | |
| Roper MOB, LLC | 9,860 | 1 Month LIBOR | 4.95% | 11/2/2006 | 7/10/2009 | 12 | | | |
| | | | | | | \$ 70 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

As part of the asset purchase of Parkridge MOB, the Company assumed an Interest Rate Cap Transaction agreement with a notional amount of \$13.3 million at September 28, 2006. The Cap Rate is 4.50% per annum on 1 month LIBOR. The termination date of the agreement is January 1, 2010. Due to differences in principal amounts, payment dates, payment amounts, and maturity dates, the agreement was determined to be ineffective for hedge accounting and changes in fair value are recorded in interest expense. In November, 2006, the Company terminated the Interest Rate Cap Transaction agreement and received cash proceeds of \$0.2 million, which was the value of the agreement at the termination date.

8. Commitments and Contingencies*Construction in Progress*

Construction in progress at December 31, 2006 consisted of four development projects, Carolina Forest Medical Plaza, Lancaster Rehabilitation, Lancaster General Health Campus and Mebane Medical Park for which the Company has begun construction. The following is a summary of the construction in progress balance (dollars in thousands):

| Property | Location | Estimated Completion Date | Net Rentable Square Feet | Investment to Date | Estimated Total Investment |
|---|------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Carolina Forest Medical Plaza | Horry County, SC | 2Q 2007 | 39,000 | \$ 4,342 | \$ 7,400 |
| Lancaster Rehabilitation Hospital | Lancaster, PA | 2Q 2007 | 52,800 | 5,130 | 12,300 |
| Lancaster General Health Campus MOB | Lancaster, PA | 4Q 2007 | 64,070 | 1,483 | 15,500 |
| Mebane Medical Office Building | Mebane, NC | 1Q 2008 | 60,000 | 413 | 16,200 |
| Land and pre-construction developments | | | | 1,486 | |
| | | | 215,870 | \$ 12,854 | \$ 51,400 |

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has remaining purchase commitments totaling \$22.3 million related to these projects. The Company has provided \$2.4 million of bank letters of credit to one municipality as security for its obligations with two development projects. The outstanding letters of credit reduce Credit Facility availability by \$2.4 million.

Operating Leases

The Company makes payments under operating lease agreements relating to various equipment leases and ground leases related to many of the Company's properties. Future minimum lease commitments under these leases are as follows:

| | |
|----------------------|----------|
| For the year ending: | |
| 2007 | \$ 529 |
| 2008 | 598 |
| 2009 | 601 |
| 2010 | 545 |
| 2011 | 549 |
| Thereafter | 6,811 |
| | \$ 9,633 |

Many of the ground leases effectively limit the Company's control over various aspects of the operation of the applicable building, restrict the Company's ability to transfer the building and allow the lessor the right of first refusal to purchase the building and improvements. All the ground leases provide for the property to revert to the lessor for no consideration upon the expiration of the ground lease.

Tax Protection Agreements

In connection with the Formation Transactions, the Company entered into a tax protection agreement with the former owners of each contributed property who received Operating Partnership units.

Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of the properties (each a protected asset) or any interest in a protected asset prior to the eighth anniversary of the closing of the Offering unless:

1. a majority-in-interest of the holders of interests in the existing entities (or their successors, which may include the Company to the extent any Operating Partnership units have been redeemed or exchanged) with respect to such protected asset consent to the sale, transfer or other disposition; provided, however, with respect to three of the existing entities, Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC, the required consent shall be a majority-in-interest of the beneficial owners of interests in the existing entities other than Messrs. Cogdell and Spencer and their affiliates; or

2. the Operating Partnership delivers to each such holder of interests, a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability related to the recognition of such holder's built-in gain resulting from the sale of such protected asset; or

3. the sale, transfer or other disposition would not result in the recognition of any built-in gain by any such holder of interests.

Litigation

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain with certainty the ultimate outcome of such matters, in management's opinion, the

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts provided or covered by insurance, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

Indemnities

At times the Company may be obligated per agreement to indemnify another party with respect to certain matters. Typically, these obligations arise in contracts into which the Company enters, under which it customarily agrees to hold the other party harmless against certain losses arising from breaches of representations, warranties and/or covenants related to such matters as, among others, title to assets, specified environmental matters, qualification to do business, due organization, non-compliance with restrictive covenants, laws, rules and regulations, maintenance of insurance and payment of tax bills due and owing. Additionally, with respect to office lease agreements that are entered into as landlord, the Company may also indemnify the other party against damages caused by its willful misconduct or negligence associated with the operation and management of the building. Although no assurances with certainty can be made, it is believed that if the Company were to incur a loss in any of these matters, such loss would not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations. Historically, payments made with regard to these agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

9. Stockholders Equity

Shares and Units

An Operating Partnership unit and a share of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. An Operating Partnership unit may be redeemed for cash, or, at the Company's option, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis after one year from issuance. A Long-term Incentive Plan (LTIP) unit is generally the economic equivalent of an Operating Partnership unit.

Dividends

During 2006, the Company paid three quarterly dividends of \$0.35 per share, totaling \$1.05 per share for the year. These quarterly dividends were paid in April, July, and October 2006. Total dividends paid during 2006 were \$8.4 million. On December 18, 2006, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.35 per share of common stock payable on January 17, 2007 to stockholders of record on December 29, 2006. The dividend covers the fourth quarter of 2006 and \$2.8 million is included in Accrued dividends and distributions in the December 31, 2006 consolidated balance sheet.

For the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, the Company paid one dividend of \$0.2333 per share on December 27, 2005. The dividend payment totaled \$1.9 million.

Distributions

Earnings and profits, which determine the tax treatment of distributions to stockholders, will differ from income reported for financial reporting purposes due to the differences for federal income tax purposes in the treatment of loss

on extinguishment of debt, revenue recognition, compensation expense and in the basis of depreciable assets and estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation.

10. Minority Interests

Minority interests in the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$53.8 million and \$62.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2006, there were 12,583,906 units of limited partnership in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 7,999,574, or 63.6%, were owned by the Company and 4,584,332, or 36.4%, were owned by other partners (including certain of our directors and senior management).

During 2006, 69,904 Operating Partnership units were redeemed by Operating Partnership Unitholders. The Company, through the Operating Partnership, redeemed the units for cash payments totaling \$1.5 million. The per unit redemption prices ranged from \$21.35 to \$22.00, based on the preceding 10 day average of the Company's Common Stock price per share.

During 2006, in connection with acquisition of properties and businesses, the Operating Partnership issued 289,197 units with a total value of \$6.0 million. The per unit issuance prices ranged from \$20.79 to \$20.82, based on the preceding 8 or 10 day average of the Company's Common Stock price per share.

Three quarterly distributions of \$0.35 per unit, totaling \$1.05 per unit for the year, were paid to Operating Partnership Unitholders. Total distributions paid to during 2006 were \$4.6 million, excluding distributions to the Company. On December 18, 2006, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.35 per share of common stock payable on January 17, 2007 to stockholders of record on December 29, 2006. Distributions of \$0.35 per unit for the fourth quarter of 2006 totaling \$1.6 million are included in Accrued dividends and distributions in the December 31, 2006 consolidated balance sheet.

For the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, the Company paid one distribution of \$0.2333 per unit on December 27, 2005. The distribution payment totaled \$1.0 million, excluding distributions to the Company.

Minority interests in real estate partnership relates to Rocky Mount MOB.

11. Incentive Plan

The Company's 2005 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (2005 Incentive Plan) provides for the grant of incentive awards to employees, directors and consultants to attract and retain qualified individuals and reward them for superior performance in achieving the Company's business goals and enhancing stockholder value. Awards issuable under the incentive award plan include stock options, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock appreciation rights, LTIP, cash performance bonuses and other incentive awards. Only employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options under the incentive award plan. The Company has reserved a total of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the incentive award plan, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the plan. Each LTIP issued under the incentive award plan will count as one share of stock for purposes of calculating the limit on shares that may be issued under the plan and the individual award limit discussed below.

In connection with the Offering, the Company issued 345,793 vested LTIP units, 22,600 vested shares of restricted stock, and 35,500 shares of restricted stock that vested 20% on November 1, 2005 and will vest 20% on January 1, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively. The LTIP Units and restricted stock were valued at \$17.00 per share resulting in a compensation charge of \$6.4 million for the period November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. The compensation expense associated with the unvested restricted stock is recognized over the vesting period.

No stock options, dividend equivalents, or stock appreciation rights were issued in 2006. The Company values stock options using an option pricing model in accordance with SFAS 123R.

12. 401(k) Savings Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) plan (the Plan) covering substantially all of its employees. The Plan provides for the Company to make matching as well as profit-sharing contributions. Profit-sharing contributions are made at the discretion of management and are allocated to participants based on their level of compensation. Profit-sharing contributions were not paid in 2006, 2005 or 2004. The Company and Predecessor matched 100% of the employees contributions to the Plan up to a maximum of 4% of compensation in 2006, 2005 and 2004. The 401(k)

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

matching expense for the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$0.1 million for each year.

13. Loss per Share

The following is a summary of the elements used in calculating basic and diluted loss per share (in thousands, except per share amount):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|---|
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (9,373) | \$ (5,597) |
| Income (Loss) from discontinued operations | 276 | (3) |
| Net loss | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) |
| Per share data basic and diluted: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ 1.17 | \$ (0.70) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.00) |
| Net loss | \$ (1.14) | \$ (0.70) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted | 7,975 | 7,972 |

The following potentially dilutive securities were outstanding, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effects of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive:

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Operating Partnership units | 4,584,332 | 4,365,039 |
| Unvested restricted stock | 20,700 | 28,400 |
| | 4,605,032 | 4,393,439 |

14. Related Party Transactions

The Fork Farm, a working farm owned by the Company's Chairman and the Predecessor's founder, periodically hosts events on behalf of the Company and the Predecessor. Charges for such events of approximately \$30,000 annually are

reflected in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated and the combined statement of operations.

The Predecessor provided certain payroll, employee benefit and other administrative services for The Fork Farm. These services were fully reimbursed by The Fork Farm to the Predecessor at the Predecessor's cost, which was approximately \$0.1 million annually.

Certain partners, including hospitals which may be lessors under air rights or ground leases, and members of the affiliated partnerships and limited liability companies of the Predecessor are also tenants in the properties in which they have an ownership. Total rental revenues related to these partners and members is reflected as Rental-related party revenue in the accompanying combined statements of operations. Subsequent to the Formation Transactions, Operating Partnership Unitholders or common stockholders of the Company who are also tenants do not qualify as related parties.

Effective January 2005, Copperfield MOB, LLC, as the lessor, has a master lease agreement with Cabarrus Land Company, LLC, an entity that the Company and the Predecessor owned a 5% interest. The master lease provides for a rental payment based on the unleased square footage in the building and expires in 2009. The maximum annual rental payment from the lessee related to the unleased square footage was \$0.4 million. For the

Table of Contents

COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

year ended December 31, 2005, rental revenue related to this lease was \$0.4 million. During 2006, the Company sold its interest in Cabarrus Land Company, LLC. During the period of common ownership, rental revenue related to this lease was \$0.2 million.

Pursuant to an engagement letter entered into on December 1, 2004, the Predecessor engaged Realty Capital International Inc., an affiliate of Richard B. Jennings, one of the Company's directors, to provide advisory services to the Predecessor relating to the structure and terms of the Formation Transactions and the Offering. As part of this engagement, the Predecessor paid \$10,000 in cash per month in fees for its role as adviser through the closing of the Offering. Upon the closing of the Offering, Realty Capital International Inc. received a success fee of \$0.5 million, which was equal to 0.5% of the gross offering proceeds, inclusive of the over-allotment proceeds.

15. Segment Reporting

The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided based on the financial information used by our chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance. There are two identified reportable segments: (1) property operations and (2) real estate services. Management evaluates each segment's performance based on net operating income, which is defined as income before corporate general and administrative expenses, depreciation, amortization, interest expense, loss on early extinguishment of debt, gain on sale of real estate property, loss on unconsolidated real estate joint ventures, and minority interests in Operating Partnership. Intersegment revenues and expenses are reflected at the contractually

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
 stipulated amounts and eliminated in consolidation or combination. The following table represents the segment information for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Company | | Predecessor | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 | January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 |
| Property operations: | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 51,807 | \$ 7,006 | \$ 35,794 | \$ 40,440 |
| Interest and other income | 831 | 116 | 878 | 831 |
| Operating and general and administrative expenses | (19,148) | (2,583) | (11,122) | (11,563) |
| Intersegment expenses | (3,507) | (507) | (2,778) | (3,228) |
| Net operating income | \$ 29,983 | \$ 4,032 | \$ 22,772 | \$ 26,480 |
| Total segment assets, end of period | \$ 379,574 | \$ 301,792 | | \$ 176,929 |
| Real estate services: | | | | |
| Fee revenue | \$ 1,495 | \$ 221 | \$ 1,450 | \$ 2,364 |
| Expense reimbursements | 804 | 94 | 565 | 840 |
| Other income | 53 | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| Intersegment revenues | 3,507 | 507 | 2,778 | 3,228 |
| Operating and general and administrative expenses | (3,453) | (652) | (3,893) | (4,900) |
| Net operating income | \$ 2,406 | \$ 181 | \$ 901 | \$ 1,544 |
| Total segment assets, end of period | \$ 8,970 | \$ 5,160 | | \$ 1,496 |
| Reconciliations: | | | | |
| Total segment revenues | \$ 58,497 | \$ 7,955 | \$ 41,466 | \$ 47,715 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | (3,507) | (507) | (2,778) | (3,228) |
| Rocky Mount MOB and elimination of related intersegment revenues | 787 | | | |
| Total combined revenues | \$ 55,777 | \$ 7,448 | \$ 38,688 | \$ 44,487 |
| Segment net operating income | \$ 32,389 (3,414) | \$ 4,213 (7,139) | \$ 23,673 (3,172) | \$ 28,024 (1,368) |

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Corporate general and administrative expenses | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (30,155) | (4,125) | (8,444) | (9,561) |
| Interest expense | (13,928) | (1,500) | (8,222) | (9,024) |
| Loss from early extinguishment of debt | (37) | (103) | | |
| Gain from sale of real estate partnership interests | 484 | | | |
| Net income of Rocky Mount MOB, net of minority interests | 76 | | | |
| Gain (loss) on unconsolidated real estate partnerships | 4 | 3 | (47) | (60) |
| Minority interests in operating partnership | 5,208 | 3,054 | | |
| Total discontinued operations | 276 | (3) | 36 | 33 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (9,097) | \$ (5,600) | \$ 3,824 | \$ 8,044 |
| Total segment assets | \$ 388,544 | \$ 306,952 | | \$ 178,425 |
| Rocky Mount MOB total assets | 4,514 | | | |
| Total discontinued operations | | 1,530 | | 1,530 |
| Total assets, end of period | \$ 393,058 | \$ 308,482 | | \$ 179,955 |

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC. AND COGDELL SPENCER INC. PREDECESSOR****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****16. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)**

The tables below reflect the Company's selected quarterly information for the Company and the Predecessor for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands, except per share amounts).

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | December 31, 2006 | September 30, 2006 | June 30, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
| Total revenue | \$ 15,295 | \$ 13,892 | \$ 13,978 | \$ 12,612 |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (3,067) | \$ (2,622) | \$ (2,161) | \$ (1,523) |
| Total discontinued operations | | 283 | (5) | (2) |
| Net loss | \$ (3,067) | \$ (2,339) | \$ (2,166) | \$ (1,525) |
| Per share basic and diluted: | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.33) | \$ (0.27) | \$ (0.19) |
| Total discontinued operations | | 0.04 | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| Net loss | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.27) | \$ (0.19) |
| Weighted average shares basic and diluted | 7,977 | 7,976 | 7,975 | 7,973 |

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | December 31, 2005(1) | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 | March 31, 2005 |
| Total revenue | \$ 11,649 | \$ 11,498 | \$ 11,469 | \$ 11,520 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (5,032) | \$ 834 | \$ (68) | \$ 2,458 |
| Total discontinued operations | (7) | 8 | 14 | 17 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (5,039) | \$ 842 | \$ (54) | \$ 2,475 |
| Per share basic and diluted: | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.70) | | \$ | \$ |
| Total discontinued operations | (0.00) | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|----|
| Net loss | \$ | (0.70) | \$ | \$ |
| Weighted average shares basic and diluted(2) | | 7,972 | | |

- (1) Represents consolidated operating results for the Company for the period from November 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 and combined operating results for the Predecessor for the period October 1, 2005 to October 31, 2005. The operating results for the quarter ended December 31, 2005 may not be comparable to future expected operating results of the Company since they include various Offering-related charges.
- (2) The net loss per share-basic and diluted is for the period from November 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005. This may not be comparable to future net income (loss) per share since it includes the effect of various Offering-related charges.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC.**

**SCHEDULE III
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

| Location | Encumbrances | Initial Costs(J) | | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2006 | Total(B) | Accumulated Da Depreciat(C) | Construct | |
|----------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----|
| | | Land | Improvements(D) | Development | | | | | |
| | | Building and | Improvements (E) | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition or | Land Improvements(A) | Building and | | | |
| | | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| California | \$ | \$ 1,218 | \$ 8,228 | \$ 331 | \$ 1,220 | \$ 8,557 | \$ 9,777 | \$ 406 | 19 |
| California | | 3,531 | 8,915 | 26 | 3,539 | 8,933 | 12,472 | 461 | 19 |
| Georgia | | 259 | 8,431 | 372 | 259 | 8,803 | 9,062 | 872 | 19 |
| Georgia | | 602 | 10,646 | (38) | 603 | 10,607 | 11,210 | 878 | 19 |
| Georgia | | 339 | 3,986 | 274 | 340 | 4,259 | 4,599 | 297 | 19 |
| Georgia | | 551 | 4,672 | 342 | 552 | 5,013 | 5,565 | 376 | 19 |
| Indiana | 30,000 | | 37,830 | 1,036 | | 38,866 | 38,866 | 1,370 | 19 |
| Kentucky | | | 13,938 | 76 | | 14,014 | 14,014 | 837 | 19 |
| Louisiana | 9,589 | | 12,239 | 42 | | 12,281 | 12,281 | 922 | 19 |
| North Carolina | 4,550 | 2,084 | 3,363 | 132 | 2,087 | 3,492 | 5,579 | 406 | 19 |
| North Carolina | 7,623 | 1,087 | 5,829 | 14 | 1,089 | 5,841 | 6,930 | 415 | 19 |
| North Carolina | | 142 | 992 | 17 | 142 | 1,009 | 1,151 | 69 | 20 |
| North Carolina | | | 7,446 | 187 | | 7,633 | 7,633 | 530 | 19 |
| North Carolina | (D) | 1,380 | 4,047 | 39 | 1,382 | 4,084 | 5,466 | 385 | 19 |
| North Carolina | | | 9,281 | 805 | | 10,086 | 10,086 | 446 | 20 |
| North Carolina | | 260 | 1,194 | 4 | 260 | 1,198 | 1,458 | 94 | 20 |
| North Carolina | 16,566 | | 21,358 | 1,414 | | 22,772 | 22,772 | 2,649 | 19 |
| North Carolina | (E) | 270 | 509 | 91 | 270 | 600 | 870 | 67 | 19 |
| North Carolina | (D) | 441 | 1,722 | 4 | 442 | 1,725 | 2,167 | 126 | 19 |
| North Carolina | (E) | 270 | 1,025 | 164 | 270 | 1,189 | 1,459 | 135 | 19 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|----|
| nt | | | | | | | | | | |
| g | North Carolina | | 1,256 | 4,626 | 11 | 1,258 | 4,635 | 5,893 | 489 | 19 |
| l | North Carolina | (D) | 288 | 1,134 | 36 | 288 | 1,170 | 1,458 | 100 | 19 |
| al | North Carolina | 1,101 | | 2,283 | 87 | | 2,370 | 2,370 | 279 | 19 |
| ly | North Carolina | (E) | 270 | 498 | 93 | 270 | 591 | 861 | 66 | 19 |
| al | North Carolina | (E) | 1,621 | 5,366 | 11 | 1,623 | 5,375 | 6,998 | 576 | 19 |
| dney | North Carolina | 1,107 | 198 | 1,366 | 10 | 198 | 1,376 | 1,574 | 106 | 19 |
| | North Carolina | 4,245 | 228 | 4,990 | | 228 | 4,990 | 5,218 | 983 | 20 |
| | North Carolina | 7,953 | 982 | 9,854 | 66 | 985 | 9,917 | 10,902 | 1,179 | 19 |
| nt | North Carolina | 3,477 | 399 | 4,666 | 15 | 400 | 4,680 | 5,080 | 264 | 20 |
| tric | North Carolina | (D) | 489 | 838 | 6 | 490 | 843 | 1,333 | 68 | 20 |
| | South Carolina | | | 2,663 | 228 | | 2,891 | 2,891 | 219 | 19 |
| st | South Carolina | 2,297 | 398 | 2,534 | 1,195 | 1,566 | 2,561 | 4,127 | 249 | 19 |
| l | South Carolina | 5,152 | | 7,399 | 16 | | 7,415 | 7,415 | 454 | 19 |
| tside | South Carolina | | | 3,922 | 82 | | 4,004 | 4,004 | 62 | 19 |
| nter | South Carolina | 2,628 | 605 | 4,172 | 254 | 606 | 4,425 | 5,031 | 317 | 19 |
| DB | South Carolina | | | 3,320 | 137 | | 3,457 | 3,457 | 305 | 20 |
| k | South Carolina | 5,743 | | 8,767 | 295 | | 9,062 | 9,062 | 545 | 19 |
| | South Carolina | 13,270 | | 16,353 | 84 | | 16,437 | 16,437 | 142 | 20 |
| B I | South Carolina | (F) | | 5,152 | 57 | | 5,209 | 5,209 | 376 | 19 |
| B II | South Carolina | (F) | | 2,441 | 106 | | 2,547 | 2,547 | 167 | 19 |
| B III | South Carolina | (F) | | 5,459 | 3 | | 5,462 | 5,462 | 372 | 19 |
| cal | South Carolina | 3,069 | 1,428 | 4,202 | 18 | 1,430 | 4,218 | 5,648 | 245 | 19 |
| | South Carolina | 9,860 | | 11,586 | 108 | | 11,694 | 11,694 | 1,105 | 19 |
| lical | South Carolina | (G) | | 5,934 | 402 | | 6,336 | 6,336 | 542 | 20 |
| cal | South Carolina | (H) | | 8,007 | 74 | | 8,081 | 8,081 | 417 | 19 |
| | South Carolina | (G) | | 5,522 | 326 | | 5,848 | 5,848 | 463 | 19 |
| en s | South Carolina | (H) | | 7,352 | 134 | | 7,486 | 7,486 | 340 | 19 |
| ark | South Carolina | 8,243 | | 10,405 | 190 | | 10,595 | 10,595 | 829 | 19 |

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----|
| South Carolina | | | 3,792 | 822 | | 4,614 | 4,614 | 391 | 20 |
| Virginia | 5,088 | 970 | 9,890 | 74 | 971 | 9,963 | 10,934 | 273 | 19 |
| | | \$ 21,566 | \$ 330,144 | \$ 10,272 | \$ 22,768 | \$ 339,214 | \$ 361,982 | \$ 23,664 | |

Table of Contents

- (A) - Includes building and improvements, site improvements, furniture, fixtures, and equipment and construction in progress.
- (B) - The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$376.2 million as of December 31, 2006. Depreciable lives range from 3-50 years.
- (C) - Represents the year in which the property was placed in service.
- (D) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$8.9 million at December 31, 2006.
- (E) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$8.8 million at December 31, 2006.
- (F) - Collateral for fixed rate mortgage which had a balance of \$9.0 million at December 31, 2006.
- (G) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$7.3 million at December 31, 2006.
- (H) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$8.4 million at December 31, 2006.
- (I) - A consolidated, less than 100% owned, real estate partnership.
- (J) - During 2006, certain amounts from the November 1, 2005 purchase accounting have been reallocated among properties.

Table of Contents**COGDELL SPENCER INC.**

**NOTES TO SCHEDULE III
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

A summary of activity for real estate properties and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Real estate properties: | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 260,137 | \$ |
| Formation Transactions | | 254,666 |
| Consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB, LLC | 5,221 | |
| Property acquisitions | 90,855 | 2,663 |
| Purchases of minority interests in Operating Partnership | 573 | |
| Improvements | 6,589 | 2,808 |
| Disposition | (1,393) | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 361,982 | \$ 260,137 |
| Accumulated depreciation: | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,713 | \$ |
| Consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB, LLC | 856 | |
| Depreciation | 20,151 | 2,713 |
| Disposition | (56) | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 23,664 | \$ 2,713 |

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in Rule 13a-15(e). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, the Company has investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As the Company does not control these entities, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those the Company maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company acquired the Consera Healthcare Real Estate (Consera) during 2006. Management excluded from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, Consera's internal control over financial reporting associated with total assets of approximately \$0.2 million and total revenue of \$0.7 million included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included below.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Cogdell Spencer Inc.
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*, that Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC, which was acquired on September 28, 2006 and whose financial statements constitute 2.2% percent and 0.7% percent of net and total assets, respectively, 1.2% percent of revenues, and -0.3% percent of net income of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 of the Company and our report dated March 14, 2006 which includes an explanatory paragraph related to the adoption of EITF 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights* and expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina
March 14, 2006

Table of Contents

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during our most recent quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance*

As required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listing Company Manual, our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to the NYSE stating that he was not aware of any violation by our Company of the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. In addition, the Company has filed, as Exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Ethics, which applies to all employees, officers and directors, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, and is posted on the Company's website at <http://www.cogdellspencer.com>. The Company intends to satisfy and disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on its Web site at the address and location specified above.

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines and charters for its Audit Committee and Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee, each of which is posted on the Company's Web site. Investors may obtain a free copy of the Code of Business Ethics, the Corporate Governance Guidelines or the committee charters by contacting Investor Relations, Cogdell Spencer Inc., 4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300, Charlotte, North Carolina 28209, Attn: Dana Crothers or by telephoning (704) 940-2900.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2006 under the captions Election of Directors Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Security Ownership of

Management.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2006.

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.2 Bylaws of Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cogdell Spencer LP, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.4 Declaration of Trust of CS Business Trust I., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.5 Declaration of Trust of CS Business Trust II, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 4.1 Form of stock certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.0 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.1 Form of Registration Rights Agreement, by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc. and the parties listed on Schedule I thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
- 10.2 Form of 2005 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan. incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.3 Form of Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan Award for employees without employment agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.4 Form of Cogdell Spencer Inc. Performance Bonus Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.5 Merger Agreement for Cogdell Spencer Inc., CS Merger Sub LLC and Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. dated August 9, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.6 Form of Indemnification Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.7 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and James W. Cogdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.8 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and Frank C. Spencer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.9 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and Charles M. Handy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.10

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

- Engagement Letter from the Company to Realty Capital International Inc, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.11 Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement by and among James W. Cogdell, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.12 Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement by and among Frank C. Spencer, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------|---|
| 10.13 | Form of Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement for all holders of interests in the Existing Entities, with the exclusion of James W. Cogdell and Frank C. Spencer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.14 | Form of Tax Protection Agreement for Existing Entities, except for Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.15 | Form of Tax Protection Agreement for Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.16 | Form of Transaction Agreement by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP, the applicable Existing Entity and CS Merger Sub LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.17 | Commitment letter dated October 4, 2005, for \$100,000,000 senior unsecured revolving credit facility among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., and Citigroup North America, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.18 | Form of Cogdell Spencer Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.19 | Put Assignment Agreement dated August 11, 2005., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.20 | Form of Consent and Election Form, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.21 | Form of Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan Award for employees with employment agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.22 | Schedule to Exhibit 10.14 reflecting consideration to be received by Randolph D. Smoak, M.D. and Charles M. Handy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 10.23 | Methodist Professional Center Purchase and Sale Agreement dated December 13, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. |
| 10.24 | Hanover Medical Office Building One and 1808/1818 Verdugo Boulevard Purchase and Sale Agreement dated March 1, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. |
| 10.25 | Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement and Waiver dated August 23, 2006 by and among Cogdell Spencer LP, Cogdell Spencer Inc., each subsidiary of Cogdell Spencer LP to the Guaranty, each lender signatory thereto and Bank of America, N.A., incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. |
| 14.1 | Code of Ethics, incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. |
| 21.1 | List of Subsidiaries of Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396). |
| 23.1 (1) | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP. |
| 31.1 (1) | Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. |
| 31.2 (1) | Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. |
| 32.1 (1) | Certifications pursuant to Section 1350. |

(1) Filed herewith.

86

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

Registrant

/s/ Frank C. Spencer

Date: March 14, 2007

Frank C. Spencer

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Charles M. Handy

Date: March 14, 2007

Charles M. Handy

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ James W. Cogdell

Date: March 14, 2007

James W. Cogdell

Chairman of the Board of Directors

/s/ Frank C. Spencer

Date: March 14, 2007

Frank C. Spencer

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

/s/ John R. Georgius

Date: March 14, 2007

John R. Georgius

Director

/s/ Christopher E. Lee

Date: March 14, 2007

Christopher E. Lee

Director

/s/ Randolph D. Smoak, M.D.

Date: March 14, 2007

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Randolph D. Smoak, M.D.
Director

Date: March 14, 2007

/s/ Richard C. Neugent

Richard C. Neugent
Director

Date: March 14, 2007

/s/ Richard B. Jennings

Richard B. Jennings
Director