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## Part 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## FRED S, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except for number of shares)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 28, } \\ & 2006 \\ & \text { (unaudited) } \end{aligned}$ |  | January 28, 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,470 | \$ | 3,145 |
| Inventories |  | 388,092 |  | 303,800 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$756 and \$629, respectively |  | 24,523 |  | 20,622 |
| Other non-trade receivables |  | 15,090 |  | 11,181 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 13,370 |  | 10,790 |
| Total current assets |  | 443,545 |  | 349,538 |
| Property and equipment, at depreciated cost |  | 139,423 |  | 139,134 |
| Equipment under capital leases, less accumulated amortization of \$4,462 and |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,203, respectively |  | 505 |  | 765 |
| Other noncurrent assets, net |  | 10,155 |  | 8,704 |
| Total assets | \$ | 593,628 | \$ | 498,141 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EOUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 118,893 | \$ | 78,491 |
| Current portion of indebtedness |  | 243 |  | 510 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations |  | 393 |  | 543 |
| Accrued expenses and other |  | 43,344 |  | 31,449 |
| Income taxes payable |  |  |  | 6,196 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 19,442 |  | 18,329 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 182,315 |  | 135,518 |
| Long-term portion of indebtedness |  | 35,080 |  | 6,338 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 10,842 |  | 10,494 |
| Capital lease obligations, long term portion |  | 192 |  | 477 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities |  | 7,366 |  | 5,719 |
| Total liabilities |  | 235,795 |  | 158,546 |
| Commitments and Contingencies |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, nonvoting, no par value, $10,000,000$ shares authorized, none outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, Series A junior participating nonvoting, no par value, 224,594 shares authorized, none outstanding |  |  |  |  |


| Common stock, Class A voting, no par value, 60,000,000 shares authorized, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 40,005,522 and $39,860,188$ shares issued and outstanding, respectively <br> Common stock, Class B nonvoting, no par value, 11,500,000 shares authorized, | 135,011 | 134,218 |
| none outstanding <br> Retained earnings <br> Unearned compensation | 222,822 | 207,643 |
| Total shareholders equity | 357,833 | 339,595 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | $\$ 593,628$ | $\$ 498,141$ |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME <br> (unaudited) <br> (in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended |  |  | Thirty-nine Weeks Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | October |  | October |  | October |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 28, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } 29, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| Net sales | \$ 407,872 | \$ | 376,754 |  | 1,231,675 |  | \$ 1,132,811 |
| Cost of goods sold | 288,374 |  | 267,942 |  | 877,289 |  | 810,238 |
| Gross profit | 119,498 |  | 108,812 |  | 354,386 |  | 322,573 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,970 |  | 7,073 |  | 21,092 |  | 20,519 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 103,226 |  | 91,878 |  | 306,739 |  | 276,502 |
| Operating income | 9,302 |  | 9,861 |  | 26,555 |  | 25,552 |
| Interest income | (1) |  | (47) |  | (63) |  | (47) |
| Interest expense | 288 |  | 307 |  | 565 |  | 767 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,015 |  | 9,601 |  | 26,053 |  | 24,832 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3,062 |  | 3,280 |  | 8,479 |  | 8,306 |
| Net income | \$ 5,953 | \$ | 6,321 | \$ | 17,574 | \$ | \$ 16,526 |
| Net income per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | . 15 | \$ | . 16 | \$ | . 44 | \$ | \$ . 42 |
| Diluted | \$ . 15 | \$ | . 16 | \$ | . 44 | \$ | \$ . 42 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 39,794 |  | 39,661 |  | 39,753 |  | 39,616 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | 111 |  | 98 |  | 104 |  | 145 |
| Diluted | 39,905 |  | 39,759 |  | 39,857 |  | 39,761 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ . 02 | \$ | . 02 | \$ | . 06 | \$ | . 06 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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| Net cash provided by financing activities | 27,019 | 18,532 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | $(675)$ | 13,941 |  |
| Beginning of period cash and cash equivalents | 3,145 | 5,365 |  |
|  | $\$ 2,470$ | $\$$ | 19,306 |
| End of period cash and cash equivalents | $\$$ | 465 | $\$$ |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | $\$ 16,781$ | $\$$ |  |
| Interest paid |  |  |  |
| Income taxes paid | $\$$ | 100 | $\$$ |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## FRED S, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Fred s, Inc. ( We , Our or Us ) operates, as of October 28, 2006, 687 discount general merchandise stores, including 24 franchised Fred s stores, in 15 states in the southeastern United States. 285 of the stores have full service pharmacies.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP ) for interim financial information and are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and therefore do not include all information and notes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. The statements do reflect all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position in conformity with GAAP. The statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2006 incorporated into Our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The results of operations for the thirty-nine week period ended October 28, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

## NOTE 2: RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. SFAS No. 155 provides a fair value measurement option for certain hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that would otherwise require bifurcation. SFAS No. 155 also provides clarification of specific derivative accounting exceptions and sets forth requirements to analyze certain financial assets to determine whether they require bifurcation. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued subsequent to fiscal years that begin after September 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 155 to have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, which addresses the valuation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 eliminates the requirement to value servicing assets and servicing liabilities at the lower of cost or market and instead permits these assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 156 is effective for fiscal years that begin after September 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 156 to have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB s Emerging Issues Task Force released Issue 06-3, How Sales Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement, or EITF 06-3. A consensus was reached that entities may adopt a policy of presenting sales taxes in the income statement on either a gross or net basis. If taxes are significant, an entity should disclose its policy of presenting taxes and the amount of taxes if reflected on a gross basis in the income statement. EITF 06-3 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company presents sales net of sales taxes in its consolidated statement of operations and does not anticipate changing its policy as a result of EITF 06-3.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No.109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes in an
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enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, which will be the Company s fiscal 2007 year beginning February 4, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the impact FIN 48 will have on its results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) ( SFAS 158 ). SFAS 158 requires, among other items, recognition of the over funded or under funded status of an entity $s$ defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability, respectively, in the balance sheet, requires the measurement of defined benefit postretirement plan assets and obligations as of the end of the employer s fiscal year, and requires recognition of changes in funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans in the year in which the changes occur in other comprehensive income. SFAS 158 is effective for publicly traded companies as of the end of its fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006 and early application is encouraged. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 158 to have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and for interim periods within those years. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. The Company is in the process of determining the effect, if any, that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on its results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ( SAB 108 ). Due to diversity in practice among registrants, SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006, and early application is permitted. The adoption of SAB 108 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

## NOTE 3: INVENTORIES

Merchandise inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the retail first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for goods in our stores and the cost first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for goods in our distribution centers. The retail inventory method is a reverse mark-up, averaging method which has been widely used in the retail industry for many years. This method calculates a cost-to-retail ratio that is applied to the retail value of inventory to determine the cost value of inventory and the resulting cost of goods sold and gross margin. The assumption that the retail inventory method provides for valuation at lower of cost or market and the inherent uncertainties therein are discussed in the following paragraphs.

In order to assure valuation at the lower of cost or market, the retail value of our inventory is adjusted on a consistent basis to reflect current market conditions. These adjustments include increases to the retail value of inventory for initial markups to set the selling price of goods or additional markups to adjust pricing for inflation and decreases to the retail value of inventory for markdowns associated with promotional, seasonal or other declines in the market value. Because these adjustments are made on a consistent basis and are based on current prevailing market conditions, they approximate the carrying value of the inventory at net realizable value (market value). Therefore, the cost value of our inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market as is prescribed by U.S. GAAP.

Because the approximation of net realizable value (market value) under the retail inventory method is based on estimates such as markups, markdowns and
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inventory losses (shrink) there exists an inherent uncertainty in the final determination of inventory cost and gross margin. In order to mitigate that uncertainty, the Company has a formal review by product class which considers such variables as current market trends, seasonality, weather patterns and age of merchandise to ensure that markdowns are taken currently, or a markdown reserve is established to cover future anticipated markdowns. This review also considers current pricing trends and inflation to ensure that markups are taken if necessary. The estimation of inventory losses is a significant element in approximating the carrying value of inventory at net realizable value, and as such the following paragraph describes our estimation method as well as the steps we take to mitigate the risk of this estimate in the determination of the cost value of inventory.

The Company calculates inventory losses (shrink) based on actual inventory losses occurring as a result of physical inventory counts during each fiscal period and estimated inventory losses occurring between yearly physical inventory counts. The estimate for shrink occurring in the interim period between physical counts is calculated on a store-specific basis and is based on history, as well as performance on the most recent physical count. It is calculated by multiplying each store $s$ shrink rate, which is based on the previously mentioned factors, by the interim period $s$ sales for each store. Additionally, the overall estimate for shrink is adjusted at the corporate level to a three-year historical average to ensure that the overall shrink estimate is the most accurate approximation of shrink based on the Company s overall history of shrink. The three-year historical estimate is calculated by dividing the book to physical inventory adjustments for the trailing 36 months by the related sales for the same period. In order to reduce the uncertainty inherent in the shrink calculation, the Company first performs the calculation at the lowest practical level (by store) using the most current performance indicators. This ensures a more reliable number, as opposed to using a higher level aggregation or percentage method. The second portion of the calculation ensures that the extreme negative or positive performance of any particular store or group of stores does not skew the overall estimation of shrink. This portion of the calculation removes additional uncertainty by eliminating short-term peaks and valleys that could otherwise cause the underlying carrying cost of inventory to fluctuate unnecessarily. The Company has not experienced any significant change in shrink as a percentage of sales from year to year during the subject reporting periods.

For pharmacy inventories, which are $\$ 35.7$ million and $\$ 35.5$ million at October 28, 2006 and January 28, 2006, respectively, cost was determined using the retail LIFO (last-in, first-out) method in which inventory cost are maintained using the Retail Inventory Method, then adjusted by application of the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor for the cumulative annual periods. The current cost of inventories exceeded the LIFO cost by $\$ 13.4$ million at October 28, 2006 and $\$ 12.2$ million at January 28, 2006. LIFO pharmacy inventory costs can only be determined annually when inflation rates and inventory levels are finalized; therefore, LIFO pharmacy inventory costs for interim financial statements are estimated.

Cost of goods sold and gross profit margins for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 were favorable impacted by none and $\$ 1.0$ million due to settlement of insurance claims related to damaged merchandise, business interruption, etc. related to Hurricane Katrina.

## NOTE 4: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 29, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment , using the modified prospective transition method. Under this method, compensation expense recognized in 2006 includes: (1) compensation expense for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 29, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (2) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 29, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Results for prior periods have not been restated.
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In November 2005, FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards (FSP FAS 123R-3 ). Effective January 29, 2006, the Company has elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in FSP FAS 123R-3 for calculating the income tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123(R). The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in-capital pool ( APIC Pool ) related to the income tax effects of stock based compensation, and for determining the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and consolidated statements of cash flows of the income tax effects of stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Stock-based compensation expense, post adoption of SFAS 123(R), is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, and therefore has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant based on the Company s historical forfeiture experience and will be revised in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The current forfeiture estimate for stock options is $11 \%$ and for restricted stock is $4 \%$. For periods prior to 2006, the Company in its proforma disclosures under SFAS 123, recognized forfeitures as they occurred.

For the 13 weeks ended October 28, 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) fair value method resulted in share-based expense (a component of selling and general and administrative expenses) in the amount of $\$ .50$ million before income taxes and consisted of stock option, ESPP and restricted stock expense of $\$ .31$ million, $\$ .07$ million and $\$ .12$ million, respectively. The related total tax income benefit was $\$ .07$ million.

For the 39 weeks ended October 28, 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) fair value method resulted in share-based expense (a component of selling and general and administrative expenses) in the amount of $\$ 1.59$ million before income taxes and consisted of stock option, ESPP and restricted stock expense of $\$ 1.02$ million, $\$ .22$ million and $\$ .35$ million, respectively. The related total tax income benefit was $\$ .22$ million.

Prior to January 28, 2006, the Company accounted for share-based payments using the intrinsic-value-based recognition method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, ( APB 25 ). As stock options were granted at an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, no stock option compensation expense was reflected in net income prior to adopting SFAS 123(R).

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company s income before income taxes and net income for the thirteen weeks ended October 28, 2006 were $\$ .38$ million and $\$ .35$ million lower, respectively, and the Company s income before income taxes and net income for the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 were $\$ 1.24$ million and $\$ 1.14$ million lower, respectively, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB 25. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 were $\$ .01$ and $\$ .02$ lower respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB 25.

SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$ also requires the benefits of income tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required prior to SFAS 123(R). The impact of adopting SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$ on future results will depend on, among other things, levels of share-based payments granted in the future, actual forfeiture rates and the timing of option exercises.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share for the 13 and 39 weeks of the previous fiscal year which ended October 29, 2005, as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to stock based employee compensation.
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(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

|  | October 29, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 |  |  |
|  | Weeks | 39 Weeks |  |
|  | Ended | Ended |  |
| Net income, as reported | \$6,321 | \$ | 16,526 |
| SFAS No. 123 pro forma compensation expense, net of income taxes | (205) |  | (744) |
| SFAS No. 123 pro forma Net income | \$ 6,116 | \$ | 15,782 |
| Earnings per share, as reported: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.16 | \$ | . 42 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ | . 42 |
| Pro forma earnings per share: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.15 | \$ | . 40 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.15 | \$ | . 40 |

The amounts in this table have been adjusted from the amounts reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended October 29, 2005 to be calculated following the same method that has been utilized under SFAS No. 123(R). The total impact of the change was to increase the incremental stock option expense per SFAS No. 123(R), net of taxes by $\$ .12$ million for the thirteen weeks ended October 29, 2005 and $\$ .41$ million for the thirty-nine weeks ended October 29, 2005.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees. The Black-Scholes option model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock volatility. Because the Company s employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management s opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options. Stock options granted have exercise prices equal to the market price of Fred s common stock on the grant date.

The fair value of each option granted during the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:
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|  | October 28, 2006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 <br> Weeks | 39 Weeks <br> Ended |
| Ended | $4.4 \%$ |  |
| Stock Options | $40.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | $4.7 \%$ | 5.84 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 5.84 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Expected option life (in years) | $0.36 \%$ | 6.02 |
| Expected dividend yield | 6.00 | $\$$ |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | $40.3 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | $4.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | 0.75 | 0.5 |
| Risk-free interest rate | $0.39 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| Expected option life (in years) | $\$ 4.62$ | 4.09 |

The following is a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption:
Expected Volatility This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The Company uses actual historical changes in the market value of our stock to calculate expected price volatility because management believes that this is the best indicator of future volatility. The Company calculates weekly market value changes from the date of grant over a past period representative of the expected life of the options to determine volatility. An increase in the expected volatility will increase compensation expense.
Risk-free Interest Rate This is the yield of a U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bond issue effective at the grant date with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.
Expected Lives This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding and is based on historical experience. Options granted have a maximum term of seven and one-half years. An increase in the expected life will increase compensation expense.

Dividend Yield This is based on the historical yield for a period equivalent to the expected life of the option. An increase in the dividend yield will decrease compensation expense.

Forfeiture Rate This is the estimated percentage of options granted that are expected to be forfeited or cancelled before becoming fully vested. This estimate is based on historical experience. An increase in the forfeiture rate will decrease compensation expense.

## Table of Contents

## NOTE 5: Stock Plans

## Stock Option Plans

The Company grants stock options to key employees including executive officers, as well as other employees, as prescribed by the Compensation Committee (the Committee ) of the Board of Directors. The number of options granted is directly linked to the employee s job classification. Options, which include non-qualified stock options and incentive stock options, are rights to purchase a specified number of shares of Fred s common stock at a price fixed by the Committee. The exercise price for stock options issued under the plan that qualify as incentive stock options within the meaning of Section 422 (b) of the Code shall not be less than $100 \%$ of the fair value as of the date of grant. The option exercise price may be satisfied in cash or by exchanging shares of Fred s common stock owned by the optionee for at least six months, or a combination of cash and shares. Options have a maximum term of five to seven and one-half years from the date of grant. Options granted under the plan generally become exercisable ten percent during each of the first four years on the anniversary date and sixty percent on the fifth anniversary date. The rest vest ratably over the requisite service period. Stock option expense is generally recognized using the graded vesting attribution method. The plan contains a non-compete provision and a provision that if the Company meets or exceeds a specified operating income margin during the most recently completed fiscal year that the annual vesting percentage will accelerate from ten to twenty percent during that vesting period. The plan also provides for annual stock grants at the fair value of the stock on the grant date to non-employee directors according to a non-discretionary formula. The number of shares granted is dependent upon current director compensation levels.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the 2004 Plan ), which was approved by Fred s stockholders, permits eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions at the lower of $85 \%$ of the fair market value of the stock at the time of grant or $85 \%$ of the fair market value at the time of exercise. There were 17,336 shares issued during the thirteen weeks ended October 28, 2006 and 49,352 shares issued during the thirty-nine ended October 28, 2005. There are 1,000,000 shares approved to be issued under the 2004 Plan and as of October 28, 2006, there were 918,065 shares available.

## Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006:


The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between Fred s closing stock price of $\$ 13.14$ on the last trading day of the period ended October 28, 2006 and the exercise price of the option multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on that date. This amount changes based on changes in the market value of Fred s stock. The total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised during the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended

October 28, 2006 was $\$ .01$ million and $\$ .14$ million, respectively. Cash received from the exercise of stock options during the thirteen weeks ended October 28, 2006 totaled $\$ .35$ million and the related tax benefits recognized from the exercise of stock options totaled $\$ .00$ million. Cash received from the exercise of stock options during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 totaled $\$ .69$ million and the related tax benefits recognized from the exercise of stock options totaled $\$ .05$ million. As of October 28, 2006, total unrecognized stock-based
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compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures related to non-vested stock options was approximately $\$ 2.4$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 3.6 years. The total fair value of options vested during the thirteen weeks ended October 28, 2006 was $\$ 1.06$ million. The total fair value of options vested during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 was $\$ 1.29$ million.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at October 28, 2006:


## Restricted Stock

The Company s stock incentive plans also allow for granting of restricted stock having a fixed number of shares at a purchase price that is set by the Compensation Committee of the Company s Board of Directors, which purchase price may be set at zero, to certain executive officers, directors and key employees. The Company calculates compensation expense as the difference between the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant and the purchase price if any. Restricted shares granted under the plan have various vesting types, which include cliff vesting and graded vesting with a requisite service period of three to ten years. Restricted stock has a maximum term of five to ten years from grant date. Compensation expense is recorded on a straight-line basis for shares that cliff vest and under the graded vesting attribution method for those that have graded vesting.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006:

|  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date |  |
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The aggregate pre-tax intrinsic value of restricted stock outstanding as of October 28, 2006 is $\$ 2.6$ million with a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.8 years. The unrecognized compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures, related to the outstanding stock is approximately $\$ 2.4$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 7.3 years. No restricted stock awards vested during the thirteen weeks ended October 28, 2006. The total fair value of restricted stock awards that vested during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006 was $\$ .07$ million.

The unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock awards was recorded as unearned compensation in shareholders equity at January 28, 2006. With the adoption of SFAS 123 (R), the unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock awards granted prior to January 29, 2006 was charged to common stock.

## NOTE 6: Property and Equipment

Property and Equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Improvements to leased premises are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the initial term or the lease of the useful life of the improvement. Leasehold improvements added late in the lease term are amortized over the shorter of the remaining term of the lease (including the upcoming renewal option, if the renewal is reasonably assured) or the useful life of the improvement, whichever is lesser. Assets under capital leases are amortized in accordance with the Company s normal depreciation policy for owned assets or over the lease term (regardless of renewal options), if shorter, and the charge to earnings is included in depreciation expense in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Gains or losses on the sale of assets are recorded at disposal as a component of operating income. The following illustrates the breakdown of the major categories within Property and Equipment:

|  | October 28, 2006 (unaudited) | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 28, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building and building improvements | \$ 75,664 | \$ | 74,960 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 213,670 |  | 200,049 |
| Leasehold improvements | 41,149 |  | 38,901 |
| Automobiles and vehicles | 6,326 |  | 6,232 |
| Airplane | 4,697 |  | 4,697 |
| Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | $\begin{gathered} 341,506 \\ (208,668) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 324,839 \\ (190,306) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 132,838 |  | 134,533 |
| Construction in Progress | 2,322 |  | 325 |
| Land | 4,263 |  | 4,276 |
| Total Property and Equipment, at depreciated cost | \$ 139,423 | \$ | 139,134 |
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## Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## GENERAL

## Executive Overview

Throughout the first nine months of 2006, the Company has continued its strategy of growth initiatives and productivity improvements. In the first nine months of 2006, the Company opened 46 new stores and closed 4 stores. The majority of our new store openings were in Georgia, Mississippi, and North Carolina. This has caused a decline in the rate of our new store openings in 2006 because of the stricter building codes being imposed in areas that were affected by last years catastrophic hurricane season. We did not enter into any new states in the quarter. Additionally, we opened twelve new pharmacies and closed two pharmacies during the first nine months of 2006.

For the balance of the year, the Company plans to open 12 new stores and 8 new pharmacies, with the net effect being an annual increase in selling space in the range of $8 \%$ to $10 \%$. Increased selling space will help drive increases in total sales, while comparable store sales increases will be driven by our continued focus on merchandising, with initiatives such as our Merchandising Refresher program. Additionally, our cooler program, which was implemented in the latter half of last year, is expected to continue to drive increases in comparable store sales. Also, the new store prototype, which was introduced on a limited basis in the second quarter of 2006, changes our merchandise presentation by moving higher margin items to the front of the store and lower margin items to the back with the intention of increasing overall gross margin. The Company will continue in the fourth quarter of 2006 with capital investment in infrastructure, including new store expansion, distribution center upgrades and further development of our information technology capabilities.

Key factors that will be critical to the Company s future success include managing the growth strategy for new stores and pharmacies, including the ability to open and operate effectively, maintaining high standards of customer service, maximizing efficiencies in the supply chain, controlling working capital needs through improved inventory turnover, increasing the operating margin through improved gross profit margin and leveraging operating costs, and generating adequate cash flow to fund the Company s expansion.

Other factors that will affect Company performance for the remainder of 2006 include managing the impacts of the implementation of Medicare Part D, which has a negative effect on gross margin with a partial positive offset from increasing Part D scripts, market driven revisions of the generic pricing model, which negatively affects sales and gross margin, and the implementation of the federally approved change in pricing of generic pharmaceuticals to Average Manufacturer s Price (AMP), which could negatively affect gross margin. Additionally, the implementation in the first quarter of Financial Accounting Standard No 123(R), Share Based Payments , will continue to increase compensation expense over historical periods.

We continue to focus our merchandising and store direction on maintaining a competitive differentiation within the $\$ 25$ shopping trip. Our unique store format and strategy combine the attractive element of a discount dollar store, drug store and mass merchant. During the first nine months of 2006, our average comparable customer transaction was approximately $\$ 18.17$. In comparison, the discount dollar stores average $\$ 8 \quad \$ 9$ and chain drugs and mass merchants average in the range of $\$ 40 \quad \$ 80$ per transaction. Our stores operate equally well in rural and urban markets. Our everyday low pricing strategy is supplemented by 14 promotional circulars per year, as well as radio and television advertising during seasonal periods. Our product selection is enhanced by a private label program and opportunistic buys.

As previously reported, the Company expects an increase in earnings per diluted share for 2006. The Company bases this increase in estimated earnings for fiscal 2006, which will be a 53 -week year, on the following assumptions:

- The Company expects a charge of $\$ 0.03$ per diluted share relating to the expensing of stock options as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment .
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Comparable store sales are anticipated to increase for the full year in the range of $1 \%-2 \%$. Comparable store sales are anticipated to increase in the $0 \%-3 \%$ in the fourth quarter. Total sales are expected to increase in the range of $10 \%-12 \%$ for the year 2006 (which will be a 53 week year).

The Company expects to open 55-60 new stores and 15-20 new pharmacies in 2006 and close 5-10 stores and 5-10 pharmacies, with the net effect being an annual increase in selling space in the range of $8 \%-10 \%$.

A net effect of $\$ 0.05-\$ 0.06$ per diluted share from the implementation of Medicare Part D , reflecting a lower margin on pharmacy sales, which contains a partial offset from increasing Part D scripts.

A lower estimated tax rate resulting from additional Work Opportunity Tax Credits offered in the areas affected by Hurricane Katrina.

Excludes any estimated potential impact to our pharmacy department from the federally approved Average Manufacturer s Price (AMP) program that will become effective in 2007, which could be initiated earlier by individual states.

Our business is subject to seasonal influences, but has tended to experience less seasonal fluctuation than many other retailers due to the mix of everyday basic merchandise and pharmacy business. Our fiscal fourth quarter is typically the most profitable quarter because it includes the Christmas selling season. The overall strength of the fourth quarter is partially mitigated, however, by the inclusion of the month of January, which is generally the least profitable month of the year.

The impact of inflation on labor and occupancy costs can significantly affect our operations. Many of our employees are paid hourly rates related to the federal and state minimum wage regulations and, accordingly, any increase affects us. In addition, payroll taxes, employee benefits and other employee-related costs continue to increase. Occupancy costs, including rent, maintenance, taxes and insurance, also continue to rise. We believe that maintaining adequate operating margins through a combination of price adjustments and cost controls, careful evaluation of occupancy needs, and efficient purchasing practices are the most effective tools for coping with increasing costs and expenses.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The critical accounting matters that are particularly important to the portrayal of the Company sfinancial condition and results of operations and require some of management s most difficult, subjective and complex judgments are described in detail in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2006. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to inventories, income taxes, insurance reserves, contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The only material changes in critical accounting policies during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 28, 2006, were the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment and FAS No. 123 (R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards Product, freight and distribution costs. Included in ending inventory are capitalized costs of the product itself, inbound freight and duties and the costs associated with purchasing, receiving, handling, and securing the product. Cost of merchandise sold includes the cost of the product sold, along with all costs associated with inbound freight.
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Selling, general and administrative expenses include the costs associated with purchasing, receiving, handling, securing, and storing the product. These costs are associated with products that have been sold and no longer remain in ending inventory.
Insurance reserves. The Company is largely self-insured for workers compensation, general liability and employee medical insurance. The Company s liability for self-insurance is determined based on claims known at the time of determination of the reserve and estimates for future payments against incurred losses and claims that have been incurred but not reported. Estimates for future claims costs include uncertainty because of the variability of the factors involved, such as the type of injury or claim, required services by the providers, healing time, age of claimant, case management costs, location of the claimant, and governmental regulations. These uncertainties or a deviation in future claims trends from recent historical patterns could result in the Company recording additional expenses or expense reductions that might be material to the Company s results of operations. The Company carries additional coverage for excessive or catastrophic claims with stop loss limits of $\$ 250,000$ for property and general liability and $\$ 200,000$ for employee medical. The Company s insurance reserve was $\$ 9.7$ million and $\$ 8.5$ million on October 28, 2006 and January 28, 2006, respectively. Changes in the reserve over that time period were attributable to additional reserve requirements of $\$ 21.3$ million netted with reserve utilization of $\$ 20.1$ million.
Comparable store sales. Our policy regarding the calculation of comparable store sales represents the increase or decrease in net sales for stores that have been opened after the end of the twelfth-month following the store s grand opening month, including stores that have been remodeled or relocated during the reporting period. The majority of our remodels and relocations do not include expansion. The purpose of the remodel or the relocation is to change the store s layout, refresh the store with new fixtures, interiors or signage or to locate the store in a more desirable area. This type of change to the store does not necessarily change the product mix or product departments; therefore, on a comparable store sales basis, the store is the same before and after the remodel or relocation. In relation to remodels and relocations, expansions have been much more infrequent and consequently, any increase in the selling square footage is immaterial to the overall calculation of comparable store sales.
Vendor rebates and allowances. The Company receives rebates for a variety of merchandising activities, such as volume commitment rebates, relief for temporary and permanent price reductions, cooperative advertising programs, and for the introduction of new products in our stores. In accordance with EITF 02-16, rebates received from a vendor are recorded as a reduction of cost of sales when the product is sold or a reduction to selling, general and administrative expenses if the reimbursement represents a specific incremental and identifiable cost. Should the allowance received exceed the incremental cost, then the excess is recorded as a reduction of cost of sales when the product is sold. Any excess amounts for the periods reported are immaterial. Any rebates received subsequent to merchandise being sold are recorded as a reduction to cost of goods sold when received.

The Company has approximately 750 vendors who participate in vendor rebate programs and the terms of the agreements with those vendors vary in length from short-term arrangements to be completed within three months to longer-term arrangements that could last up to three years.

In accordance with AICPA SOP 93-7: Reporting on Advertising Costs, the Company charges advertising, including production costs, to selling, general and administrative expense on the first day of the advertising period. It would be the Company s intention to incur a similar amount of advertising expense as in prior years and in support of our stores even if we did not receive support from our vendors in the form of cooperative adverting programs.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Thirteen Weeks Ended October 28, 2006 and October 29, 2005
Net sales increased to $\$ 407.9$ million in 2006 from $\$ 376.8$ million in 2005 , an increase of $\$ 31.1$ million or $8.3 \%$. The increase was attributable to comparable store sales increases of $3.0 \%$ ( $\$ 11.3$ million) and sales by stores not yet included

## Table of Contents

as comparable stores ( $\$ 19.4$ million). Sales to franchisees increased $\$ .4$ million in 2006 compared to the same quarter last year. It is anticipated that this category of business will continue to decline as a percentage of total Company sales since the Company has not added and does not intend to add any additional franchises. The sales mix for the period was $34.0 \%$ Pharmaceuticals, $20.6 \%$ Household Goods, $13.4 \%$ Food and Tobacco, $12.1 \%$ Apparel and Linens, $9.2 \%$ Paper and Cleaning Supplies, $8.4 \%$ Health and Beauty Aids, and $2.3 \%$ Franchise. This compares with $31.6 \%$ Pharmaceuticals, $21.3 \%$ Household Goods, $13.3 \%$ Apparel and Linens, $13.5 \%$ Food and Tobacco, $9.3 \%$ Paper and Cleaning Supplies, $8.6 \%$ Health and Beauty Aids, and $2.4 \%$ Franchise for the same period last year.

Gross profit for the third quarter increased to $29.3 \%$ of sales in 2006 from $28.9 \%$ of sales in 2005. The improvement is primarily a result of managing our initial mark-up and controlling markdowns in the general merchandise departments. Additionally, the pharmacy margin continues to benefit from our new supply agreement in effect this year. This benefit partially offset the reduction in reimbursement rates for Medicare Part D sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to $\$ 110.2$ million in 2006 from $\$ 99.0$ million in 2005. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased primarily due to higher labor ( $\$ 4.1$ million), property and equipment rent ( $\$ 2.4$ million), advertising ( $\$ 2.0$ million), utilities expenses ( $\$ 1.3$ million) insurance ( $\$ 0.9$ million), and other ( $\$ 0.5$ million). Approximately $\$ 3.2$ million of the increased labor costs are directly attributable to the net addition of 45 stores and 12 pharmacies when compared to last year. As a percentage of sales, expenses increased to $27.0 \%$ of sales compared to $26.3 \%$ of sales last year.

For the third quarter of 2006 net interest expense was $\$ .3$ million, the same as in the third quarter of 2005.
For the third quarter, the effective income tax rate was $34.0 \%$, as compared to $34.2 \%$ in the third quarter of last year.
Thirty-nine Weeks Ended October 28, 2006 and October 29, 2005
Net sales increased to $\$ 1.232$ billion in 2006 from $\$ 1.133$ billion in 2005, an increase of $\$ 99$ million or $8.7 \%$. The increase was attributable to comparable store sales increases of $2.7 \%$ ( $\$ 30.6$ million) and sales by stores not yet included as comparable stores ( $\$ 67.1$ million). Sales to franchisees increased $\$ 1.3$ million in 2006. The sales mix for the period was $33.1 \%$ Pharmaceuticals, $21.8 \%$ Household Goods, $13.2 \%$ Food and Tobacco, $12.6 \%$ Apparel and Linens, $8.9 \%$ Paper and Cleaning Supplies, $8.2 \%$ Health and Beauty Aids, and $2.2 \%$ Franchise. This compares with 32.6\% Pharmaceuticals, 22.2\% Household Goods, 13.6\% Apparel and Linens, 12.3\% Food and Tobacco, 8.7\% Paper and Cleaning Supplies, $8.3 \%$ Health and Beauty Aids, and $2.3 \%$ Franchise for the same period last year. For the year to date period we opened 46 new stores and 12 new pharmacies and we closed four stores and two pharmacies.

Gross profit increased to $28.8 \%$ of sales in 2006 compared with $28.5 \%$ of sales in the prior-year period. The improvement is primarily a result of managing the initial mark-up and controlling markdowns and shrinkage throughout our product departments.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to $\$ 327.8$ million in 2006 from $\$ 297.0$ million in 2005. As a percentage of sales, expenses increased to $26.6 \%$ of sales compared to $26.2 \%$ of sales last year. The increase is primarily due to stock compensation expenses ( $0.1 \%$ ), which resulted from the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), and expenses related to fuel and energy usage such as transportation and utilities $(0.3 \%)$.

For the first nine months of 2006, we incurred net interest expense of $\$ 0.5$ million as compared to interest expense of $\$ 0.7$ million last year. The decrease
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in interest results from better management of cash flow.
For the first nine months of 2006, the effective income tax rate was $32.5 \%$, compared with $33.4 \%$ for last year. The decrease in the rate is due to certain federal jobs credits available to the Company. We anticipate the tax rate for the balance of the year to remain in the $32 \%$ to $33 \%$ range.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Due to the seasonality of our business and the continued increase in the number of stores and pharmacies, inventories are generally lower at year-end than at each quarter-end of the following year.

Cash flows used in operating activities totaled $\$ 5.4$ million during the thirty-nine week period ended October 28, 2006. Cash was primarily used to increase inventories by approximately $\$ 85.5$ million in the first nine months of 2006. This increase in inventory was primarily attributable to 46 new stores and 23 remodeled stores in the first nine months of 2006, increased store square footage of $9.0 \%$ over the same period last year and additional inventory levels for the Christmas season. Accounts payable and accrued expenses increased by approximately $\$ 52.3$ million due to the increase in inventory and the number of stores and income taxes payable decreased by $\$ 6.1$ million due to estimated tax payments in the first 9 months of 2006. Trade accounts receivable increased by approximately $\$ 10.9$ million primarily due to increases in pharmacy third-party receivables.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled $\$ 22.3$ million, and consisted primarily of capital expenditures associated with the store and pharmacy expansion program ( $\$ 16.8$ million), acquisitions of customer lists ( $\$ 3.4$ million), technology and other corporate expenditures ( $\$ 2.6$ million) and minimally offset by positive cash flow produced by asset dispositions and insurance recoveries ( $\$ 0.5$ million). In 2006, the Company is planning capital expenditures totaling approximately $\$ 34.6$ million. Expenditures for the balance of the year are planned totaling approximately $\$ 5.1$ million for upgrades, remodels, or new stores and acquisitions of pharmacies and customer lists; $\$ 4.4$ million for technology upgrades, $\$ 0.8$ million for distribution center equipment and capital replacements. Depreciation expense for the year will be approximately $\$ 29$ million.

Cash flows provided by financing activities totaled $\$ 27.0$ million and consisted primarily of $\$ 28.9$ million of borrowings under the Company s revolving credit agreement for inventory needs, offset by $\$ 1.9$ million used for other financing activities. There were $\$ 34.6$ million in borrowings outstanding under the Company s revolving line of credit at October 28, 2006 and $\$ 5.7$ million in borrowings outstanding at January 28, 2006.

We believe that sufficient capital resources are available in both the short-term and long-term through currently available cash and cash generated from future operations and, if necessary, the ability to obtain additional financing.
Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK
We have no holdings of derivative financial or commodity instruments as of October 28, 2006. We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. All borrowings under our Revolving Loan and Credit Agreement bear interest at $1.5 \%$ below prime rate or a LIBOR-based rate. An increase in interest rates of 100 basis points would not significantly affect our income. All of our business is transacted in U.S. dollars and, accordingly, foreign
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exchange rate fluctuations have not had a significant impact on us, and they are not expected to in the foreseeable future.

## Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, as of the date of their evaluation, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information required to be included in the Company s periodic SEC reports, subject to the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Consistent with the suggestion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has formed a Disclosure Committee consisting of key Company personnel designed to review the accuracy and completeness of all disclosures made by the Company.
(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION/ RISK FACTORS

Other than statements based on historical facts, many of the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q relate to events which we expect or anticipate may occur in the future. Such statements are defined as forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act ), 15 U.S.C.A. Sections $77 \mathrm{z}-2$ and $78 \mathrm{u}-5$ (Supp. 1996). The Reform Act created a safe harbor to protect companies from securities law liability in connection with forward-looking statements. Fred s, Inc. ( Fred s or the Company ) intends to qualify both its written and oral forward-looking statements for protection under the Reform Act and any other similar safe harbor provisions.

The words believe , anticipate , project , plan , expect , estimate , objective , forecast , goal , intend will continue and similar expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain, and concern matters that involve risks and other factors, which may cause the actual performance of the Company to differ materially from the performance expressed or implied by these statements. Therefore, forward-looking statements should be evaluated in the context of these uncertainties and risks, including but not limited to:

Economic and weather conditions which affect buying patterns of our customers and supply chain efficiency; Changes in consumer spending and our ability to anticipate buying patterns and implement appropriate inventory strategies;
Continued availability of capital and financing;
Competitive factors;
Changes in reimbursement practices for pharmaceuticals;
Governmental regulation;
Increases in fuel and utility rates;
Other factors affecting business beyond our control including (but not limited to) those discussed under Part 1, ITEM 1A Risk Factors of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2006. There have been no material changes during the quarter to the Risk Factors indicated in Form 10-K for the year ended January 28, 2006.
Consequently, all forward-looking statements are qualified by this cautionary statement. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date on which it was made.

## Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibits:

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
$31.2 \quad$ Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13a 14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
All other information in Part II are either not applicable to the Company during the quarter ended October 28, 2006, the answer is negative, or a response has been previously reported and an additional report of information is not required, pursuant to the instructions to Part II.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: December 7. 2006

Date: December 7. 2006

FRED S, INC.
/s/ Michael J. Hayes
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Chief Executive Officer
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