#### ALAMOSA HOLDINGS INC Form 10-Q November 14, 2002

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-0

(Mark One)

[X] OUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002.

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 0-32357

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 75-2890997

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Incorporation or organization)

5225 SOUTH LOOP 289, SUITE 120 LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79424

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(806) 722-1100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES [X] NO [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

YES [ ] NO [X]

As of November 14, 2002 approximately 94,071,938 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, were issued and outstanding.

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

#### Item 1. Financial Statements

		Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001	3
		Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, (unaudited)	4
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, (unaudited)	5
		Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item	2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item	3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item	4.	Controls and Procedures	29
PART	ΙΙ	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item	1.	Legal Proceedings	30
Item	2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item	3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	30
Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	30
Item	5.	Other Information	30
Item	6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	30
SIGNA	TUI	RES	32
CERTI	FTO	PATIONS	33

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(dollars in thousands, except share information)

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2002	2 DECEMBER 31, 2001
	(UNAUDITED)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,411	\$ 104,672
Short term investments		1,300
Restricted cash	34,988	51 <b>,</b> 687
Customer accounts receivable, net	49,994	42,740
Receivable from Sprint	9,080	9,137
Interest receivable	347	2,393
Inventory	5,717	4,802
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,475	4,749
Deferred customer acquisition costs	6,560	5,181

Deferred tax asset	8,112	8,112
Total current assets	175 <b>,</b> 684	234,773
Property and equipment, net	462,142	455,695
Debt issuance costs, net	34,561	36,654
Restricted cash		43,006
Goodwill		293,353
Intangible assets, net	498,438	528,840
Other noncurrent assets	7,712	6,087
Total assets	 \$ 1 178 537	\$ 1,598,408
rocar assects	========	========
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 15,900	\$ 44,012
Accrued expenses	30,999	29,291
Payable to Sprint	25,946	16,133
Interest payable		22,123
Deferred revenue		15,479
Current installments of capital leases	856	596
Total current liabilities	100,948	127,634
Long term liabilities:		
Capital lease obligations	1,767	1,983
Other noncurrent liabilities	10,720	7,496
Senior secured debt	•	187,162
12 7/8% senior discount notes	260,573	237,207
12 1/2% senior notes	250,000	250,000
13 5/8% senior notes	150,000	150,000
		•
Deferred tax liability	44,385	98,940
Total long term liabilities	917,445	932,788
Total liabilities	1,018,393	1,060,422
Consideration of the Constitution (constitution)		
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares		
authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 290,000,000 shares		
authorized, 93,371,938 and 92,786,497 shares issued		
and outstanding, respectively	934	927
Additional paid-in capital	799 <b>,</b> 937	799,366
Accumulated deficit	(639 <b>,</b> 087)	(261,371)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(1,640)	(936)
Total stockholders' equity	160,144	537,986
Total liabilities and starbbalders to the	c 1 170 F27	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,178,537 =======	\$ 1,598,408 =======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

3

# ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

FOR	THE	THREE	MON	ITHS	ENDED
	5	SEPTEME	BER	30,	

	SEFTEMBER 30,	
	2002	
Revenues:		
Subscriber revenues		\$ 67,559
Roaming revenues	39 <b>,</b> 129	31,594
Total service revenues	142,771	99,153
Product sales	4 <b>,</b> 657	8 <b>,</b> 721
Total revenue	147,428	107,874
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of service and operations	92,560	67,698
Cost of products sold	·	16,591
Selling and marketing  General and administrative expenses (excluding non-cash compensation of \$0 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and		31,367
2001, respectively, and \$0 and \$183 for the		
nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively)	4,102	3,535
Depreciation and amortization	26,897	27,305
Impairment of goodwill	291,635	27,303
Impairment of goodwill  Impairment of property and equipment	271,033	
Non-cash compensation		
Total costs and expenses	460,601	146,496
Tana fuan anaustiana	(212 172)	/20 (22)
Loss from operations Interest and other income	(313 <b>,</b> 173) 678	(38,622) 2,531
Interest expense	(26, 158)	(23,626)
Net loss before income tax benefit and		
extraordinary item	(338,653)	(59,717)
Income tax benefit	17,806	22,005
Net loss before extraordinary item	(320,847)	(37,712)
Loss on debt extinguishment, (net of tax benefit of \$0 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and \$0 and \$1,969 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively)		
Mark Tarre		
Net loss	\$ (320,847)	\$ (37,712)

	====	======	====	
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted: Net loss before extraordinary item	\$	(3.45)	\$	(0.41)
Loss on debt extinguishment, net of tax				
Net loss	\$	(3.45)	\$	(0.41)
	====		====	
Weighted average common shares outstanding,				
basic and diluted	93	,069,446	92	,030,496
	====	=======	====	=======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

4

# ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (dollars in thousands)

	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
		2001
Cash flows from operating activities:	\$(377.716)	\$ (99,479)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	, (o , · = o,	, (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Non-cash compensation		183
Provision for bad debts	32,765	4,338
Non-cash interest expense on derivative instruments Depreciation and amortization of property and	503	
equipment	47,702	30,745
Amortization of intangible assets	30,402	33,731
Amortization of financing costs included in		
interest expense	3,148	2,231
Amortization of discounted interest	297	
Loss on debt extinguishment, net of tax		3,503
Deferred tax benefit	(52,463)	(53,311)
Interest accreted on discount notes	23,365	20,679
Impairment of property and equipment	1,332	
Impairment of goodwill	291,635	
Loss from asset disposition	30	39
Increase in, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Receivables	(37,916)	(32,416)
Inventory	(915)	(842)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(2,825)	(3,283)
Decrease in, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(897)	(4,533)
Net cash used in operating activities	(41,553)	(98,415)

Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sale of assets Purchases of property and equipment Repayment of notes receivable Acquisition related costs Net change in short term investments Other	1,673 (80,939)   1,300 58	(101,462) 11,860 (37,617) 1,600
Net cash used in investing activities	(77 <b>,</b> 908)	(125,619)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of senior notes		384,046
Borrowings under senior secured debt Repayments of borrowings under senior secured debt Debt issuance costs Stock options exercised	12,838  (1,350) 1	203,000 (289,422) (16,315) 211
Shares issued to employee stock purchase plan Payments on capital leases Change in restricted cash	576 (570) 59 <b>,</b> 705	366 (205) (96,336)
Net cash provided by financing activities	71,200	185,345
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		(38,689) 141,768
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 56,411 ======	\$ 103,079 ======
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing and investing activities:		
Capitalized lease obligations incurred Change in accounts payable for purchases of property and equipment	\$ 613 (24,368)	\$ 1,188 981

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

5

# ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (dollars in thousands, except as noted)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2002, the unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, the unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, and related footnotes, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial information presented should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001. In the opinion of management, the interim data

includes all adjustments (consisting of only normally recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2002.

Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock is computed by dividing net loss for each period by the weighted-average outstanding common shares. No conversion of common stock equivalents has been assumed in the calculations since the effect would be antidilutive. As a result, the number of weighted-average outstanding common shares as well as the amount of net loss per share are the same for basic and diluted net loss per share calculations for all periods presented. Common stock equivalents excluded from diluted net loss per share calculations consisted of options to purchase 6,031,830 and 5,512,003 shares of common stock at September 30,2002 and 2001, respectively.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period balances to conform to current period presentation.

#### 2. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS OPERATIONS

Alamosa Holdings, Inc. ("Alamosa Holdings") was formed in July 2000. Alamosa Holdings is a holding company and through its subsidiaries provides wireless personal communications services, commonly referred to as PCS, in the Southwestern, Northwestern and Midwestern United States. Alamosa (Delaware), Inc. ("Alamosa (Delaware)"), a subsidiary of Alamosa Holdings, was formed in October 1999 under the name "Alamosa PCS Holdings, Inc." to operate as a holding company in anticipation of its initial public offering. On February 3, 2000, Alamosa (Delaware) completed its initial public offering. Immediately prior to the initial public offering, shares of Alamosa (Delaware) were exchanged for Alamosa PCS LLC's ("Alamosa") membership interests, and Alamosa became wholly owned by Alamosa (Delaware). These financial statements are presented as if the reorganization had occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented. Alamosa Holdings and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to in these financial statements as the "Company."

On December 14, 2000, Alamosa (Delaware) formed a new holding company pursuant to Section 251(g) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In that transaction, each share of Alamosa (Delaware) was converted into one share of the new holding company, and the former public company, which was renamed "Alamosa (Delaware), Inc." became a wholly owned subsidiary of the new holding company, which was renamed "Alamosa PCS Holdings, Inc."

On February 14, 2001, Alamosa Holdings became the new public holding company of Alamosa PCS Holdings, Inc. ("Alamosa PCS Holdings") and its subsidiaries pursuant to a reorganization transaction in which a wholly owned subsidiary of Alamosa Holdings was merged with and into Alamosa PCS Holdings. As a result of this reorganization, Alamosa PCS Holdings became a wholly owned subsidiary of Alamosa Holdings, and each share of Alamosa PCS Holdings common stock was converted into one share of Alamosa Holdings common stock. Alamosa Holdings' common stock is quoted on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "APS."

6

#### 3. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Since inception, the Company has financed its operations through capital contributions from owners, through debt financing and through proceeds generated from public offerings of common stock.

As of September 30, 2002, the Company had \$56,411 in cash and cash equivalents plus an additional \$34,988 in restricted cash held in escrow for debt service requirements. The Company also had \$25,000 remaining on the revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility subject to the restrictions discussed below. Management believes that this \$116,399 in cash and available borrowings is sufficient to fund working capital, capital expenditure and debt service requirements through the point where the Company generates free cash flow.

On September 26, 2002 the Company entered into the sixth amendment to the amended and restated credit agreement relative to the Senior Secured Credit Facility which among other things, extended Stage I covenants for an additional quarter and modified certain financial and statistical covenants. Specifically, the new agreement modified the covenant addressing minimum subscribers such that the minimum subscriber requirement is now 575,000 at September 30, 2002, 610,000 at December 31, 2002 and 620,000 at March 31, 2003. As a result of the amendment, the Company is required to maintain a minimum cash balance of \$10,000. In addition to the covenant modifications, the overall interest rate was increased by 25 basis points such that the interest margin as a result of the amendment is 4.25% for LIBOR borrowings and 3.25% for base rate borrowings.

The September 26, 2002 amendment also placed restrictions on the ability to draw on the \$25,000 revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The first \$10,000 can be drawn if cash balances fall below \$15,000 and the Company substantiates through tangible evidence the need for such advances. The remaining \$15,000 is available only at such time as the leverage ratio is less than or equal to 5.5 to 1.

Management does not anticipate the need to raise additional capital in the foreseeable future. The Company's funding status is dependent on a number of factors influencing projections of operating cash flows including those related to subscriber growth, average revenue per user ("ARPU"), churn and cost per gross addition ("CPGA"). Should actual results differ significantly from these assumptions, the Company's liquidity position could be adversely affected and the Company could be in a position that would require it to raise additional capital which may or may not be available on favorable terms.

4. MERGERS WITH ROBERTS WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, L.L.C., WASHINGTON OREGON WIRELESS, LLC, AND SOUTHWEST PCS HOLDINGS, INC.

The Company completed the acquisitions of three PCS Affiliates of Sprint during the first quarter of 2001. On February 14, 2001, the Company completed its acquisitions of Roberts Wireless Communications, L.L.C. ("Roberts") and Washington Oregon Wireless, LLC ("WOW"). In connection with the Roberts and WOW acquisitions, the Company entered into a new senior secured credit facility (the "Senior Secured Credit Facility") for up to \$280 million. On March 30, 2001, the Company completed its acquisition of Southwest PCS Holdings, Inc. ("Southwest"). In connection with the Southwest acquisition, the Company increased the Senior Secured Credit Facility from \$280 million to \$333 million. Each of these transactions was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and the results of the acquired companies are included in these consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The merger consideration in the Roberts acquisition consisted of 13.5 million shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$4.0 million in cash. The Company also assumed the net debt of Roberts in the transaction, which amounted to approximately \$57 million as of February 14, 2001.

The merger consideration in the WOW acquisition consisted of 6.05 million shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$12.5 million in cash. The Company also assumed the net debt of WOW in the transaction, which amounted to approximately \$31 million as of February 14, 2001.

7

# ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (dollars in thousands, except as noted)

The merger consideration in the Southwest acquisition consisted of 11.1 million shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$5.0 million in cash. The Company also assumed the net debt of Southwest in the transaction, which amounted to approximately \$81 million as of March 30, 2001.

The Company obtained independent valuations as of the date of acquisition of Roberts, WOW and Southwest to allocate the purchase price. The results of the allocations are as follows:

	ROBERTS	WOW	SOUTHWEST
Consideration:			
Common stock issued	\$ 291,060	\$ 130,438	\$ 123 <b>,</b> 543
Stock options granted	1,134		
Cash (including merger related costs)	8,940	15,962	12,715
Total	301,134	146,400	136,258
Allocated to:			
Current assets	4,545	1,969	5,923
Property, plant and equipment	53 <b>,</b> 506	35 <b>,</b> 732	36,722
Intangible assets (other than goodwill)	258,300	116,400	187,000
Liabilities acquired (including deferred taxes)	(185,452)	(85,433)	(152,955)
Goodwill	\$ 170 <b>,</b> 235	\$ 77 <b>,</b> 732	\$ 59 <b>,</b> 568
	=======	=======	=======

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 set forth below, presents the results of operations as if the acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the period and are not necessarily indicative of future results or actual results that would have been achieved had these acquisitions occurred as of the beginning of the period.

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001 (UNAUDITED) Total revenues \$ 256,166 ======= Net loss before income tax benefit \$(173,023) and extraordinary item Income tax benefit 61,128 Net loss before extraordinary item (111,895)Loss on debt extinguishment, net of tax benefit of \$1,969 (3,503)Net loss \$ (115, 398) -----Basic and diluted net loss per share before extraordinary item \$ (1.22) \_\_\_\_\_ Basic and diluted net loss per share \$ (1.25)

#### 5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE -- Customer accounts receivable represent amounts owed to the Company by subscribers for PCS service. The amounts presented in the consolidated balance sheets are net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$10.1 million and \$5.9 million at September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively.

8

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

RECEIVABLE FROM SPRINT -- Receivable from Sprint in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets includes net roaming revenue receivable from Sprint. This receivable also includes amounts billed by Sprint on the Company's behalf to other communications providers for calls terminated on the Company's network. In addition, this item includes accruals for estimated unbilled revenue through the end of the period.

Receivable from Sprint consists of the following:

SEPTEMBER 30, 2002	DECEMBER 31, 2001
(UNAUDITED)	
\$ 5,170 (130)	\$1,731 3,252
4,040 	4 <b>,</b> 154
\$ 9,080	\$9 <b>,</b> 137
	(UNAUDITED) \$ 5,170 (130) 4,040

#### 6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are stated net of accumulated depreciation of \$106.5 million and \$60.9 million at September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively.

#### 7. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In connection with the acquisitions completed during 2001 discussed in Note 4, the Company allocated portions of the respective purchase prices to identifiable intangible assets consisting of (i) the value of the Sprint agreements in place at the acquired companies and (ii) the value of the subscriber base in place at the acquired companies. In addition to the identifiable intangibles, goodwill was recorded in the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired including identified intangibles.

The value assigned to the Sprint agreements is being amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining original terms of the agreements that were in place at the time of acquisition or approximately 17.6 years. The value assigned to the subscriber bases acquired is being amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated life of the acquired subscribers or approximately 3 years.

The Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," on January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 142 primarily addresses the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to their initial recognition. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 (i) prohibit the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, (ii) require that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be tested annually for impairment (and in interim periods if certain events occur indicating that the carrying value may be impaired), (iii) require that reporting units be identified for the purpose of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill and (iv) remove the forty year limitation on the amortization period of intangible assets that have finite lives. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had recorded \$15.9 million in accumulated amortization of goodwill. Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 142 the amortization of goodwill was discontinued.

SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be tested annually for impairment using a two-step process. The first step is to identify a potential impairment by comparing the fair value of reporting units to their carrying value and, upon adoption, must be measured as of the beginning of the fiscal year. As of January 1, 2002, the results of the first step indicated no potential impairment of the Company's goodwill. The Company will perform this assessment annually and the first such assessment was done as of July 31, 2002.

The annual assessment as of July 31, 2002 was performed with the assistance of a nationally recognized appraisal firm. In performing the evaluation, the appraisal firm used information from various sources

9

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

including, but not limited to, current stock price, transactions involving similar companies, the business plan prepared by management and current and past operating results of the Company. The appraisal firm used a combination of the guideline transaction approach, the discounted cash flow approach and the public price approach to determine the fair value of the Company which had been determined to be the single reporting unit. The quideline transaction approach used a sample of recent wireless service provider transactions to determine an average price per POP and price per customer. The discounted cash flow approach used the projected discounted future cash flows and residual values of the Company to determine the indicated value of invested capital. The public price approach was based on the market price for the Company's publicly traded equity securities along with an estimated premium for control. This was combined with the carrying value of the Company's debt securities to arrive at the indicated value of invested capital. The results of this valuation indicated that the fair value of the reporting unit was less than the carrying amount.

Based on the indicated impairment resulting from this valuation, the Company proceeded to the second step of the annual impairment testing which involves allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to its identifiable assets and liabilities as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination where the purchase price is considered to be the fair value of the reporting unit. Any unallocated purchase price is considered to be the implied fair value of goodwill. The second step of this impairment test has not been completed as of the filing of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2002. An impairment charge of \$291,635 was recorded in the third quarter of 2002 to reflect management's best estimate of the potential goodwill impairment as of July 31, 2002. After the completion of the second step, any change in the estimated amount of impairment will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002. This impairment charge is included as a separate line item in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002.

The impairment of goodwill was deemed to be a "triggering event" requiring impairment testing of the Company's other long-lived assets under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." In performing this test, assets are grouped according to identifiable cash flow streams and the undiscounted cash flow over the life of the asset group is compared to the carrying value of the asset group. No additional impairment was recorded as a result of this test.

Goodwill and intangible assets consist of:

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2002	DECEMBER 31, 2001
	(UNAUDITED)	
Goodwill	\$ =======	\$ 293,353 =======
<pre>Intangible assets:     Sprint affiliation and other agreements     Accumulated amortization</pre>	\$ 532,200 (47,900)	\$ 532,200 (25,768)
Subtotal	484,300	506,432
Subscriber base acquired Accumulated amortization	29,500 (15,362)	29,500 (7,092)

Intangible assets,	net \$ 498,438	\$ 528,840
Subtotal	14,138	22,408

Amortization expense relative to intangible assets was \$10,267 and \$30,402 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002 and will be \$10,017 for the remainder of 2002.

10

# ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (dollars in thousands, except as noted)

Aggregate amortization expense relative to intangible assets for the periods shown will be as follows:

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
2002	\$ 40,419
2003	40,067
2004	32,079
2005	30,234
2006	30,234
Thereafter	355 <b>,</b> 807
	\$ 528,840
	=======

The following tables present net loss before extraordinary item, net loss and the respective per-share amounts as if the provisions of SFAS 142 had been adopted January 1, 2001:

F	OR THE	THREE MONTHS	ENDED SE	EPTEMBER 30,	FOR THE
·		 2002		2001	
	(UNA	UDITED)	J)	UNAUDITED)	(UNA
Reported net loss before extraordinary item Add back: goodwill amortization	\$ (32)	0,847)		(37,712) 4,634	\$(377
Adjusted net loss before extraordinary item		 20,847) =====	\$	(33 <b>,</b> 078)	\$ (37 ====
Reported net loss Add back: goodwill amortization		20 <b>,</b> 847) 		(37,712) 4,634	\$ (37
Adjusted net loss		20 <b>,</b> 847)		(33,078)	\$ (37
NET LOSS BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC AND DILUTED: As reported	\$	(3.45)	\$	(0.41)	\$
Goodwill amortization		 		0.05	
Adjusted	\$	(3.45)	\$	(0.36)	\$

	========	========	=====
NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC AND			
DILUTED:			
As reported	\$ (3.45)	\$ (0.41)	\$
Goodwill amortization		0.05	
Adjusted	\$ (3.45)	\$ (0.36)	\$
	========	========	=====

#### 8. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2002	DECEMBER 31
	(UNAUDITED)	
Senior secured debt 12 7/8% senior discount notes 12 1/2% senior notes 13 5/8% senior notes	\$ 200,000 260,573 250,000 150,000	\$ 187,1 237,2 250,0 150,0
Total debt Less current maturities	860 <b>,</b> 573	824 <b>,</b> 3
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	\$ 860,573 =======	\$ 824,3 ======

11

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

#### SENIOR SECURED CREDIT FACILITY

On February 14, 2001, Alamosa Holdings, Alamosa (Delaware) and Alamosa Holdings, LLC, as borrower; entered into a \$280 million senior secured credit facility (the "Senior Secured Credit Facility") with Citicorp USA, as administrative agent and collateral agent; Toronto Dominion (Texas), Inc., as syndication agent; EDC as co-documentation agent; First Union National Bank, as documentation agent; and a syndicate of banking and financial institutions. On March 30, 2001, this credit facility was amended to increase the facility to \$333 million in relation to the acquisition of Southwest. This credit facility was again amended in August 2001 to reduce the maximum borrowing to \$225 million consisting of a 7-year senior secured 12-month delayed draw term loan facility of \$200 million and a 7-year senior secured revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$25 million. On February 11, 2002, the Company drew the remaining \$12,838 on the term portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility. No advances have been taken on the revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

Interest on the Senior Secured Credit Facility accrues at the option of the Company at either (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") adjusted

for any statutory reserve, or (ii) the base rate which is generally the higher of the administrative agent's base rate, the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50% or the administrative agent's base CD rate plus 0.50%, in each case plus an interest margin which is initially 4.00% for LIBOR borrowings and 3.00% for base rate borrowings. These margins are subject to adjustment under certain conditions.

Repayment of amounts borrowed under the Senior Secured Credit Facility will begin on May 14, 2004 and payment will be made quarterly thereafter in amounts to be agreed upon by the Company and the lenders.

On September 26, 2002 the Company entered into the sixth amendment to the amended and restated credit agreement which among other things, extended Stage I covenants for an additional quarter and modified certain financial and statistical covenants. Specifically, the new agreement modified the covenant addressing minimum subscribers such that the minimum subscriber requirement is now 575,000 at September 30, 2002, 610,000 at December 31, 2002 and 620,000 at March 31, 2003. In addition to the covenant modifications, the overall interest rate was increased by 25 basis points such that the interest margin as a result of the amendment is 4.25% for LIBOR borrowings and 3.25% for base rate borrowings.

The September 26, 2002 amendment also placed restrictions on the ability to draw on the \$25,000 revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The first \$10,000 can be drawn if cash balances fall below \$15,000 and the Company substantiates through tangible evidence the need for such advances. The remaining \$15,000 is available only at such time as the leverage ratio is less than or equal to 5.5 to 1.

#### NORTEL/EDC CREDIT FACILITY

On February 14, 2001, the outstanding balance of \$54,524\$ related to the Nortel/EDC Credit Facility, which was originally entered into in 1999, was paid in full plus accrued interest in the amount of \$884\$ with proceeds from the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The Company was refunded \$1,377\$ of the original issuance cost as a result of the early extinguishment. The balance of unamortized cost totaling \$5,472\$ was written off and classified as an extraordinary item (net of an income tax benefit of \$1,969\$) in the quarter ended March 31, 2001.

#### 12 7/8% SENIOR DISCOUNT NOTES

On December 23, 1999, Alamosa (Delaware) filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the issuance of \$350 million face amount of senior discount notes (the "12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes Offering"). The 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes Offering was completed on February 8, 2000 and generated net proceeds of approximately \$181 million after underwriters' commissions and expenses of approximately \$6.1 million. The 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes mature in ten years (February 15, 2010) and carry a coupon rate of 12 7/8%, and provides for interest deferral for the first five years. The 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes will accrete to their \$350 million face amount by February 8, 2005, after which, interest will be

12

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

paid in cash semiannually. The proceeds of the 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes Offering were used to prepay \$75 million of the Nortel credit facility that was in place at the time, to pay costs to build out the system, to fund operating working capital needs and for other general corporate purposes.

#### 12 1/2% SENIOR NOTES

On January 31, 2001, Alamosa (Delaware) consummated the offering (the "12 1/2% Senior Notes Offering") of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes (the "12 1/2% Senior Notes"). The 12 1/2% Senior Notes mature in ten years (February 1, 2011), carry a coupon rate of 12 1/2%, payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1, beginning on August 1, 2001. The net proceeds from the sale of the 12 1/2% Senior Notes were approximately \$241 million, after deducting the discounts and commissions to the initial purchasers and offering expenses.

Approximately \$59 million of the proceeds of the 12 1/2% Senior Notes Offering were used by Alamosa (Delaware) to establish a security account (with cash or U.S. government securities) to secure on a pro rata basis the payment obligations under the 12 1/2% Senior Notes and the 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes, and the balance was used for general corporate purposes of Alamosa (Delaware), including, accelerating coverage within the existing territories of the Company; the build-out of additional areas within its existing territories; expanding its existing territories; and pursuing additional telecommunications business opportunities or acquiring other telecommunications businesses or assets.

#### 13 5/8% SENIOR NOTES

On August 15, 2001, Alamosa (Delaware) issued \$150 million face amount of Senior Notes (the "13 5/8% Senior Notes"). The 13 5/8% Senior Notes mature in ten years (August 15, 2011), and carry a coupon rate of 13 5/8% payable semiannually on February 15 and August 15, beginning on February 15, 2002. The net proceeds from the sale of the 13 5/8% Senior Notes were approximately \$141.5 million, after deducting the discounts and commissions to the initial purchasers and offering expenses.

Approximately \$39.1 million of the proceeds of the 13 5/8% Notes Offering were used by Alamosa (Delaware) to establish a security account (with cash or U.S. government securities) to secure on a pro rata basis the payment obligations under the 13 5/8% Senior Notes, the 12 1/2% Senior Notes and the 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes. Approximately \$66 million of the proceeds were used to pay down a portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The balance was used for general corporate purposes.

#### 9. INCOME TAXES

The income tax benefit represents the anticipated recognition of the Company's deductible net operating loss carry forwards. This benefit is being recognized based on an assessment of the combined expected future taxable income of the Company and expected reversals of the temporary differences from the Roberts, WOW and Southwest mergers.

The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate in 2002 primarily due to the fact that the impairment of goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

#### 10. HEDGING ACTIVITIES AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities" on January 1, 2001. The statement requires the Company to record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through earnings. If the derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are either recognized in earnings or are recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. Approximately \$550 and \$ 1,608 in cash settlements under derivative instruments classified as hedges is included in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002.

13

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

As of September 30, 2002, the Company has recorded \$3,813 in "other noncurrent liabilities" relative to the fair value of derivative instruments including \$2,650 representing derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. In addition, the Company has recorded \$12 in "other noncurrent assets" at September 30, 2002 related to the fair value of derivative instruments. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2002, the Company recognized losses of \$704 (net of income tax benefit of \$431) in other comprehensive income. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2001, the Company recognized losses of \$1,228 (net of income tax benefit of \$680) in other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income appears as a separate component of Stockholders' Equity as "Accumulated other comprehensive income," as illustrated below:

	Tl	nree months en	ided Sep	tember 30,	Ni	ne months er
		2002		2001		2002
Net loss Change in fair values of derivative instruments, net of income tax benefit of \$279, \$773, \$431 and \$680,	\$	(320,847)	\$	(37,712)	\$	(377,716)
respectively		(456)		(1,394)		(704)
Comprehensive loss	\$	(321,303)	\$ ====	(39,106)	\$ ===	(378,420)

#### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

ACCESS REVENUE REFUND -- On July 3, 2002, the Federal Communications Commission issued a ruling on a dispute between AT&T, as an interexchange carrier ("IXC"), and Sprint Spectrum L.P., a Commercial Mobile Radio Service ("wireless carrier"). This ruling addressed the wireless carrier charging terminating access fees to the IXC for calls terminated on a wireless network indicating such fees could be assessed; however the IXC would only be obligated to pay such fees if a contract was in place providing for the payment of access charges. As a result of this ruling,

Sprint has requested that the Company refund approximately \$1.4 million of a total \$5.6 million in amounts that had been previously paid to the Company by Sprint relative to terminating access fees. Although the Company intends to contest the refund of these amounts, a liability has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2002.

LITIGATION -- The Company has been named as a defendant in a number of purported securities class actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, arising out of its initial public offering (the "IPO"). Various underwriters of the IPO also are named as defendants in the actions. The complaints allege, among other things, that the registration statement and prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of the IPO were false and misleading because they failed to disclose that the underwriters allegedly (i) solicited and received commissions from certain investors in exchange for allocating to them shares of common stock in connection with the IPO, and (ii) entered into agreements with their customers to allocate such stock to those customers in exchange for the customers agreeing to purchase additional Company shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices.

The Court has ordered that these putative class actions against the Company, along with hundreds of IPO allocation cases against other issuers, be transferred for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. At a status conference held on September 7, 2001, the Court adjourned all defendants' time to respond to the complaints until further order of the Court. These cases remain at a preliminary stage and no discovery proceedings have taken place.

On January 23, 2001, Jerry Brantley, President and COO of the Company, terminated his employment with the Company at the unanimous request of the board of directors. On April 29, 2002, Mr. Brantley initiated litigation against the Company and the chairman of the Company, David E. Sharbutt, alleging wrongful

14

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

termination among other things. The Company believes that there is no basis for Mr. Brantley's claim and intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

The Company is involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The ultimate disposition of these matters are not expected to have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

NYSE LISTING REQUIREMENTS -- The Company is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and is subject to various listing requirements set forth by the NYSE. The Company received written notice from the NYSE on August 29, 2002 indicating that the Company had fallen below the requirements to (1) maintain an average closing price that is not less than \$1.00 per share over a consecutive 30 trading-day period and (2) to maintain an average global market capitalization over a consecutive 30 trading-day period of not less than \$100 million. The Company submitted a proposed business plan to the NYSE on October 15, 2002 to address plans to cure the violations of listing requirements and is awaiting approval of the plan by the NYSE.

#### 12. EFFECTS OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recognized in the period that it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 143 will have on the Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for long-lived assets to be disposed of. The provisions of SFAS No. 144 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2001. As discussed in Note 7, the impairment of goodwill recorded as a result of the Company's annual testing under SFAS 142 triggered an impairment analysis under SFAS 144 relative to the Company's other long-lived assets.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Recission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2002," which rescinded or amended various existing standards. One change addressed by this standard pertains to treatment of extinguishments of debt as an extraordinary item. SFAS No. 145 rescinds SFAS No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt" and states that an extinguishment of debt cannot be classified as an extraordinary item unless it meets the unusual or infrequent criteria outlined in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 "Reporting the Results of Operations -- Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The provisions of this statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002 and extinguishments of debt that were previously classified as an extraordinary item in prior periods that do not meet the criteria in Opinion 30 for classification as an extraordinary item shall be reclassified. The adoption of SFAS No. 145 is expected to result in a reclassification of the extinguishment of debt that the Company previously reported in the three-month period ended March 31, 2001.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," which requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. The provisions of this statement are effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002 and are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

15

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements"

within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as, "may," "might," "could," "would," "believe," "expect," "intend," "plan," "seek," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or "continue" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and are made pursuant to the "safe-harbor" provisions of the private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are made based on management's current expectations or beliefs as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management.

A variety of factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements, including the following factors:

- o our dependence on our affiliation with Sprint;
- o shifts in populations or network focus;
- o changes or advances in technology;
- o changes in Sprint's national service plans or fee structure with us;
- o difficulties in network construction;
- o increased competition in our markets;
- o failure to consummate anticipated acquisitions or financings;
- o customer credit quality; and
- o the potential to experience a continued high rate of customer turnover.

For a detailed discussion of these and other cautionary statements and factors that could cause actual results to differ from our forward-looking statements, please refer to our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, "Item 1. Business" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date hereof. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### GENERAL

Since our inception in 1998, we have incurred substantial costs in connection with negotiating our contracts with Sprint, obtaining our debt financing, completing our public equity offerings, engineering our wireless PCS network, developing our business infrastructure and building out our portion of Sprint's PCS network. Prior to the launch of our first market in June 1999, we did not have any markets in operation and we had no customers. At September 30, 2002, we have approximately 591,000 subscribers. As of September 30, 2002, our accumulated deficit is \$639.1 million and we have spent a cumulative total of approximately \$637 million in capital expenditures (including that spent by Roberts, WOW and Southwest prior to our acquisition) in connection with constructing our portion of Sprint's PCS network and developing our business

infrastructure including the establishment of our retail distribution channels. While we anticipate operating losses to continue, we expect revenue to continue to increase substantially as our subscriber base increases.

On July 17, 1998, we entered into our original affiliation agreements with Sprint. We subsequently amended our original agreements in 1999 to add additional territories to our licensed area. In the first quarter of 2001, we completed the acquisitions of Roberts, WOW and Southwest bringing our total licensed POPs to approximately 15.8 million at September 30, 2002.

16

As a PCS Affiliate of Sprint, we have the exclusive right to provide wireless, mobility communications network services under the Sprint brand name in our licensed territory. We are responsible for building, owning and managing the portion of Sprint's PCS network located in our territory. We offer national plans designed by Sprint and can offer local plans tailored to our market demographics. Our portion of Sprint's PCS network is designed to offer a seamless connection with Sprint's 100% digital PCS nationwide wireless network. We market wireless products and services through a number of distribution outlets located in our territories, including our own retail stores, major national distributors and local third party distributors.

We recognize revenues from Sprint PCS subscribers based in our territories, proceeds from the sales of handsets and accessories through channels controlled by us and fees from Sprint and other wireless service providers when their customers roam onto our portion of Sprint's PCS network. Sprint retains 8% of all collected service revenue from our subscribers (not including product sales) and fees collected from other wireless service providers when their customers roam onto our portion of Sprint's PCS network. We report the amount retained by Sprint as an operating expense.

As part of our affiliation agreements with Sprint, we have the option of contracting with Sprint to provide back office services such as customer activation, handset logistics, billing, customer care and network monitoring services. We have elected to delegate the performance of these services to Sprint to take advantage of their economies of scale, to accelerate our build-out and market launches and to lower our initial capital requirements. The cost for these services is primarily on a per subscriber and per transaction basis and is recorded as an operating expense.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The fundamental objective of financial reporting is to provide useful information that allows a reader to comprehend the business activities of an entity. To aid in that understanding, we have identified our "critical accounting policies." These policies have the potential to have a more significant impact on our consolidated financial statements, either because of the significance of the financial statement item to which they relate, or because they require judgment and estimation due to the uncertainty involved in measuring, at a specific point in time, events which are continuous in nature.

ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS -- Estimates are used in determining our allowance for bad debts and are based on our historical collection experience, current trends, credit policy and expectations of future bad debts based on current collection activities. In determining the allowance, we consider historical write-offs of our receivables and our history is limited. We also look at current trends in the credit quality of our customer base as well as changes in the credit policies. Under Sprint PCS service plans, customers who do not meet certain credit criteria can nevertheless select any plan offered,

subject to an account spending limit, referred to as ASL, to control credit exposure. Account spending limits range from \$125 to \$200 that could be credited against future billings. In May 2001, the deposit requirement was eliminated on certain, but not all, credit classes ("NDASL"). As a result, a significant amount of our new customer additions have been under the NDASL program. The NDASL program was replaced by the "Clear Pay" program in November 2001, which reinstated the deposit requirement for certain of the lowest credit class customers, and features increased back office controls with respect to collection efforts. We reinstated the deposit for customers in certain credit classes on the Clear Pay program as of February 24, 2002 and we have modified the requirement in certain markets since then.

REVENUE RECOGNITION -- We record equipment revenue for the sale of handsets and accessories to customers in our retail stores and to local resellers in our territories. We do not record equipment revenue on handsets and accessories purchased by our customers from national resellers or directly from Sprint. Our customers pay an activation fee when they initiate service unless waived. We defer this activation fee and the related expense and record the activation fee revenue and expense over the estimated average life of our customers which ranges from 12 to 36 months depending on credit class and based on our past experience. We recognize revenue from our customers as they use the service. Additionally, we provide a reduction of recorded revenue for billing adjustments and billing corrections.

We record revenue for product sales in connection with our sales of handsets and accessories through our retail stores and our local indirect retailers. The cost of handsets sold generally exceeds the retail sales price as we subsidize the price of handsets for competitive reasons. We reimburse Sprint for the amount of subsidy incurred by them on handsets sold through channels controlled by them.

17

ACCOUNTING FOR GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS -- In connection with our acquisitions of Roberts, WOW and Southwest in the first quarter of 2001, we recorded certain intangible assets including both identifiable intangibles and goodwill. Identifiable intangibles consist of the Sprint agreements and the respective subscriber bases in place at the time of acquisition. The intangible assets related to the Sprint agreements are being amortized over the remaining original term of the underlying Sprint agreements or approximately 17.6 years. The subscriber base intangible asset is being amortized over the estimated life of the acquired subscribers or approximately 3 years.

We adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," on January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 142 primarily addresses the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to their initial recognition. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 (i) prohibit the amortization of goodwill and indefinite—lived intangible assets, (ii) require that goodwill and indefinite—lived intangible assets be tested annually for impairment (and in interim periods if certain events occur indicating that the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite—lived intangible assets may be impaired), (iii) require that reporting units be identified for the purpose of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill and (iv) remove the forty—year limitation on the amortization period of intangible assets that have finite lives. As of December 31, 2001, we had recorded \$15.9 million in accumulated amortization of goodwill. Upon the adoption of SFAS No. 142 the amortization of goodwill was discontinued.

SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be tested annually for impairment using a two-step process. The first step is to

identify a potential impairment by comparing the fair value of reporting units to their carrying value and, upon adoption, must be measured as of the beginning of the fiscal year. As of January 1, 2002, the results of the first step indicated no potential impairment of our goodwill. We will perform this assessment annually and the first such assessment was done as of July 31, 2002.

The annual assessment as of July 31, 2002 was performed with the assistance of a nationally recognized appraisal firm. In performing the evaluation, the appraisal firm used information from various sources including, but not limited to, current stock price, transactions involving similar companies, the business plan prepared by management and our current and past operating results. The appraisal firm used a combination of the quideline transaction approach, the discounted cash flow approach and the public price approach to determine the fair value of the Company which had been determined to be the single reporting unit. The guideline transaction approach used a sample of recent wireless service provider transactions to determine an average price per POP and price per customer. The discounted cash flow approach used the projected discounted future cash flows and residual values of the Company to determine the indicated value of invested capital. The public price approach was based on the market price for our publicly traded equity securities along with an estimated premium for control. This was combined with the carrying value of our debt securities to arrive at the indicated value of invested capital. The results of this valuation indicated that the fair value of the reporting unit was less than the carrying amount.

Based on the indicated impairment resulting from this valuation, we proceeded to the second step of the annual impairment testing which involves allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to its identifiable assets and liabilities as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination where the purchase price is considered to be the fair value of the reporting unit. Any unallocated purchase price is considered to be the fair value of goodwill. The second step of this impairment test has not been completed as of the filing of the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2002. An impairment charge of \$291,635 was recorded in the third quarter of 2002 to reflect our best estimate of the potential goodwill impairment as of July 31, 2002. After the completion of the second step, any change in the estimated amount of impairment will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002. This impairment charge is included as a separate line item in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2002.

LONG-LIVED ASSET RECOVERY -- Long-lived assets, consisting primarily of property, plant and equipment and intangibles, comprise approximately 82 percent of our total assets. Changes in technology or in our intended use of these assets may cause the estimated period of use or the value of these assets to change. In addition, changes in general industry conditions such as increased competition, lower average revenue per user ("ARPU"), etc., could cause the value of certain of these assets to change. We monitor the appropriateness of the estimated useful lives of these assets. Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these assets may not be recoverable, we review the respective assets for impairment. The impairment of goodwill discussed above was deemed to be a "triggering event" requiring impairment testing of our other long-lived assets under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." In performing this test, assets are grouped according to identifiable cash

18

flow streams and the undiscounted cash flow over the life of the asset group is compared to the carrying value of the asset group. No additional impairment was

recorded as a result of this test. Estimates and assumptions used in both estimating the useful life and evaluating potential impairment issues require a significant amount of judgment.

INCOME TAXES -- We utilize an asset and liability approach to accounting for income taxes, wherein deferred taxes are provided for book and tax basis differences for assets and liabilities. In the event differences exist between book and tax basis of our assets and liabilities that result in deferred assets, an evaluation of the probability of being able to realize the future benefits indicated by such assets is made. A valuation allowance is provided for the portion of deferred tax assets for which there is sufficient uncertainty regarding our ability to recognize the benefits of those assets in future years.

Deferred taxes are provided for those items reported in different periods for income tax and financial reporting purposes. The net deferred tax asset was fully reserved through December 31, 2000 because of uncertainty regarding our ability to recognize the benefit of the asset in future years. In connection with the acquisitions in 2001, a significant deferred tax liability was recorded relative to intangibles. The reversal of the timing differences which gave rise to the deferred tax liability will allow us to benefit from the deferred tax asset. As such, the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset was reduced in 2001 to account for the expected benefit to be realized. Prior to February 1, 2000, our predecessor operated as a limited liability company ("LLC") under which losses for income tax purposes were utilized by the LLC members on their income tax returns. Subsequent to January 31, 2000, we became a C-corp for federal income tax purposes and therefore subsequent losses became net operating loss carryforwards to us. We continue to evaluate the likelihood of realizing the benefits of deferred tax items. Should events or circumstances indicate that it is warranted, a valuation allowance will again be established.

RELIANCE ON THE TIMELINESS AND ACCURACY OF DATA RECEIVED FROM SPRINT -- We place significant reliance on Sprint as a service provider in terms of the timeliness and accuracy of financial and statistical data related to customers based in our service territory that we receive on a periodic basis from Sprint. We make significant estimates in terms of revenue, cost of service, selling and marketing costs and the adequacy of our allowance for uncollectible accounts based on this data we receive from Sprint. We obtain assurance as to the accuracy of this data through analytic review and reliance on the service auditor report on Sprint's internal control processes prepared by Sprint's external service auditor. Inaccurate or incomplete data from Sprint could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flow.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT AS NOTED)

FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTH PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 COMPARED TO THE THREE AND NINE MONTH PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

The acquisitions of Roberts, WOW and Southwest took place on February 14, February 14, and March 30, 2001, respectively. These acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting such that the results of operations for the acquired entities are included in our consolidated operating results only from the date of acquisition. This, coupled with our substantial growth during 2001 in terms of subscribers and network coverage, impacts the comparison of 2002 operating results to those reported in 2001.

SUBSCRIBER GROWTH AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS -- We had total subscribers of approximately 591,000 at September 30, 2002 compared to approximately 404,000 at September 30, 2001. This growth came as a result of increasing our network coverage from 10.8 million to 11.5 million covered POPs providing additional marketing opportunities. Monthly churn (rate of deactivation of existing subscribers) for the third quarter of 2002 was approximately 3.8 percent compared to approximately 2.7 percent for the third

quarter of 2001. This increase in churn is a result of higher involuntary deactivations related to lower credit quality customers obtained during the second half of 2001 and early 2002 as a result of removing the requirement that these customers pay a security deposit. This deposit requirement was reinstated on February 24, 2002 and we have modified the requirement in certain markets since then. Increases in churn negatively impact our operations as we incur significant up front costs in acquiring customers. Our cost per gross addition ("CPGA") includes handset subsidies, and selling and marketing costs and was \$442 per activation in the third quarter of 2002 compared to \$324 in the third quarter of 2001. This increase is due to a lower number of activations in the third quarter of 2002 compared to the third quarter of 2011 due to the reimplementation of deposit requirements for lower credit quality customers and increased levels of competition in the marketplace. Due to this lower level of activations, our fixed marketing dollars were spread over fewer customer activations.

19

SERVICE REVENUE -- Service revenues consist of revenue from subscribers and roaming revenue earned when customers from other carriers roam onto our portion of Sprint's PCS network. Subscriber revenue consists of revenue earned from our subscribers for monthly service under their service plans. Subscriber revenue also includes activation fees and charges for the use of various features including the wireless web, voice activated dialing, etc.

Subscriber revenues were \$103,642 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 compared to \$67,559 for the three months ended September 30, 2001. This increase of 53 percent was due to the increase in subscribers from approximately 404,000 subscribers at September 30, 2001 to approximately 591,000 subscribers at September 30, 2002. ARPU before roaming revenue declined in the third quarter of 2002 to \$60 compared to \$63 in the third quarter of 2001. Subscriber revenues were \$289,720 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 compared to \$151,372 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. This increase of 91 percent was also due to the increase in subscribers discussed above. ARPU before roaming revenue and a one-time revenue adjustment for terminating access revenue was \$59 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 compared to \$62 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

In July 2002, the Federal Communications Commission issued a ruling on a dispute between AT&T, as an interexchange carrier ("IXC"), and Sprint Spectrum L.P., a Commercial Mobile Radio Service ("wireless carrier"). This ruling addressed the wireless carrier charging terminating access fees to the IXC for calls terminated on a wireless network indicating such fees could be assessed; however the IXC would only be obligated to pay such fees if a contract was in place providing for the payment of access charges. As a result of this ruling, Sprint has requested that we refund amounts that had been previously paid to us by Sprint relative to terminating access fees. Although we have contested the refund of these amounts, we recorded an adjustment in 2002 to reflect this liability of approximately \$5.6 million in the consolidated financial statements.

Roaming revenue is primarily comprised of revenue from Sprint and other PCS subscribers based outside of our territories that roam onto our portion of Sprint's PCS network. We have a reciprocal roaming rate arrangement with Sprint where per minute charges for inbound and outbound roaming are identical. This rate was 20 cents per minute during the first quarter of 2001, declining to 15 cents on June 1, 2001; 12 cents on October 1, 2001 and declined to 10 cents per minute as of January 1, 2002. The toll rate for long distance charges associated with travel minutes was 6 cents per minute for 2001 and was approximately 2 cents per minute in 2002. The decline in rates was offset by significant

increases in roaming minutes due to the fact that we added additional cell sites which allowed us to capture this additional roaming traffic as well as growth in the customer bases of Sprint and other PCS providers. The reciprocal rate is expected to remain at 10 cents through December 31, 2002. We have been notified by Sprint that the reciprocal rate will be 5.8 cents per minute beginning January 1, 2003. The toll rate for long distance is expected to remain at approximately 2 cents per minute. We had approximately 307 million minutes of inbound roaming traffic in the third quarter of 2002 compared to approximately 153 million minutes in the third quarter of 2001. The increase in minutes offset by the decrease in rates accounted for the 24 percent overall increase in roaming revenue to \$39,129 in the third quarter of 2002 from \$31,594 in the third quarter of 2001. Roaming revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was \$99,154 compared to \$67,204 during the nine months ended September 30, 2001. This increase of 48 percent was driven by the volume of roaming traffic on our network as the blended rate for the first nine months of 2002 was lower than that in 2001 due to the rate changes discussed previously. We had approximately 780 million minutes of inbound roaming traffic in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 compared to approximately 333 million minutes for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. This was made possible by our placing over 180 new sites on air from September 30, 2001 to September 30, 2002 to capture this traffic as well as a significant increase in the volume of inbound traffic from PCS carriers other than Sprint.

PRODUCT SALES AND COST OF PRODUCTS SOLD -- We record revenue from the sale of handsets and accessories, net of an allowance for returns, as products sales. Product sales revenue and costs of products sold are recorded for all products that are sold through our retail stores as well as those sold to our local indirect agents. The cost of handsets sold generally exceeds the retail sales price as we subsidize the price of handsets for competitive reasons. Sprint's handset return policy allows customers to return their handsets for a full refund within 14 days of purchase. When handsets are returned to us, we may be able to reissue the handsets to customers at little additional cost to us. However, when handsets are returned to Sprint for refurbishing, we receive a credit from Sprint, which is less than the amount we originally paid for the handset.

20

Products sales revenue for the third quarter of 2002 was \$4,657 compared to \$8,721 for the third quarter of 2001. Cost of products sold for the third quarter of 2002 was \$12,904 compared to \$16,591 in the third quarter of 2001. As such, the subsidy on handsets sold through our retail and local indirect channels was \$8,247 in the third quarter of 2002 and \$7,870 in the third quarter of 2001. On a per activation basis, the subsidy was approximately \$160 per activation in the third quarter of 2002 and approximately \$121 per activation in the third quarter of 2001. The increase in subsidy per activation is due to more aggressive promotional efforts in the third quarter of 2002 which involved a higher level of instant rebates and other discounts on handset prices.

Product sales revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was \$17,730 compared to \$18,668 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. Cost of products sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was \$36,134 compared to \$35,150 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. As such, the subsidy on handsets sold through our retail and local indirect channels was \$18,404 for the nine months ended September 20, 2002 and \$16,482 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. On a per activation basis, the subsidy was approximately \$128 per activation for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and approximately \$107 per activation for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. The increase in subsidy per activation is due to more aggressive promotional efforts in the first nine months of 2002 which involved a higher

level of instant rebates and other discounts on handset prices.

COST OF SERVICE AND OPERATIONS -- Cost of service and operations includes the costs of operating our portion of Sprint's PCS network. These costs include items such as outbound roaming fees, long distance charges, tower leases and maintenance as well as backhaul costs. In addition, it includes the fees we pay to Sprint for our 8 percent affiliation fee, back office services such as billing and customer care as well as our provision for estimated uncollectible accounts. Expenses of \$92,560 in the third guarter of 2002 were 37 percent higher than the \$67,698 incurred in the third quarter of 2001. This increase in cost is the result of the completion of the build out of our network which drove an increase in the number of subscribers using our network. In addition, costs of service and operations are driven by the volume of traffic on our network. Total minutes of use on our network were 1,074 million minutes in the third quarter of 2002 compared to 581 million minutes in the third quarter of 2001 for an increase in traffic of 85 percent. Expenses of \$256,378 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 were 66 percent higher than the \$154,620 incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2001. This increase was also due to the increase in our subscribers and the increased volume of traffic on our network. Total minutes of use on our network were 2,994 million minutes in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 compared to 1,338 million minutes in the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

SELLING AND MARKETING -- Selling and marketing expenses include advertising, promotion, sales commissions and expenses related to our distribution channels including our retail store expenses. In addition, we reimburse Sprint for the subsidy on handsets sold through national retail stores due to the fact that these retailers purchase their handsets from Sprint. This subsidy is recorded as a selling and marketing expense. The amount of handset subsidy included in selling and marketing was \$4,618 and \$12,483 in the third quarter and first nine months of 2002, respectively, compared to \$5,264 and \$9,697 in the third quarter and first nine months of 2001, respectively. Total selling and marketing expenses of \$32,503 in the third quarter of 2002 were 4 percent higher than the \$31,367 incurred in the third quarter of 2001 due to the expansion of our distribution channels resulting from the additional markets launched during 2001. Total selling and marketing expenses of \$88,360 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 were 20 percent higher than the \$73,929 incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2001 due to the same expansion of our distribution channels through the last three months of 2001 and first nine months of 2002.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES -- General and administrative expenses include corporate costs and expenses such as our executive, administrative, human resources and corporate finance areas. General and administrative expenses of \$4,102 in the third quarter of 2002 were 16 percent higher than the \$3,535 incurred in the third quarter of 2001. General and administrative expenses of \$10,890 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 were consistent with the \$10,602 incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION -- Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation of our property and equipment as well as amortization of intangibles. Depreciation is calculated on the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the underlying assets and totaled \$16,630 in the third quarter of 2002 as compared to \$12,774 in the third quarter of 2001. This increase of 30 percent is due to the increase in depreciable costs as a result of our capital expenditures. Depreciation expense of \$47,702 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was 55 percent higher than the \$30,745 incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2001 due to the increase in depreciable costs as a result of our capital expenditures.

Amortization expense of \$10,267 and \$30,402 in the third quarter and first nine months of 2002, respectively, relates to intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisitions closed in the first quarter of 2001. We recorded two identifiable intangibles in connection with each of the acquisitions consisting of values assigned to the agreements with Sprint and the customer base acquired in connection with each of the three acquisitions. Amortization expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2001 was \$14,531 and \$33,731 which included \$4,634 and \$10,803 in amortization of goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Roberts, WOW and Southwest. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002 as discussed in "Critical Accounting Policies" which resulted in no amortization of goodwill being recorded in the first nine months of 2002.

IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL -- In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142 we performed our first annual assessment of goodwill for impairment as of July 31, 2002. The results of the first step of this assessment indicated that goodwill was impaired and we recorded an estimated impairment charge of \$291,635 in the third quarter of 2002. Upon completion of the second step of the impairment testing, any change in the estimated amount of impairment will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002.

IMPAIRMENT OF PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT -- In the nine months ended September 30, 2002 we recorded impairment of property and equipment in the amount of \$1,332 related to a switching facility that was closed.

NON-CASH COMPENSATION -- Non-cash compensation expense related to stock options that were granted to employees with exercise prices that were below then current market prices. This expense was being recorded over the vesting period of the underlying options. Compensation expense relative to these options was \$183 in the first nine months of 2001. No non-cash compensation expense was recorded in the first nine months of 2002 as all options that had originally been granted with exercise prices below then current market prices had been forfeited by the holders prior to January 1, 2002.

OPERATING LOSS -- Our operating loss for the third quarter and first nine months of 2002 was \$313,173 and \$356,229, respectively, compared to \$38,622 and \$101,716 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2001. This increase is attributable to the \$291,635 impairment of goodwill recorded in the third quarter of 2002 offset by the leverage we are beginning to experience in spreading our fixed costs over a larger base of subscribers who generate ARPU that is relatively stable.

INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME -- Interest and other income represents amounts earned on the investment of excess equity and debt offering proceeds. Income of \$678 in the third quarter of 2002 was 73 percent less than the \$2,531 earned in the third quarter of 2001 due to declining interest rates and the fact that excess cash and investments were liquidated during the fourth quarter of 2001 as well as the first and second quarters of 2002, in connection with funding our capital expenditures and net operating cash flow outflow. Income of \$2,882 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 was 73 percent less than the \$10,718 earned in the first nine months of 2001 due to declining interest rates and the fact that excess cash and investments were liquidated during the last three months of 2001 in connection with funding our capital expenditures and net operating cash outflow.

INTEREST EXPENSE -- Interest expense for the third quarter of 2002 includes non-cash interest accreted on our 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes of \$8,034 as well as interest accrued on the two senior notes issued during 2001 and interest on our senior secured debt. The increase in total interest expense to \$26,158 from \$23,626 in the third quarter of 2001 is due to the increased level of debt

after the two issuance of senior notes in 2001 and the increased level of advances under senior secured borrowings. Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 of \$76,832 was 32 percent higher than the \$58,289 incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2001 due to the two additional senior notes borrowings in 2001 as well as the increased level of advances under senior secured borrowings.

EXTRAORDINARY ITEM -- In connection with the closing of our Senior Secured Credit Facility in February 2001, we drew down on that facility and used the proceeds to repay the Nortel/EDC credit facility which was in place at the time. We had originally capitalized loan costs in connection with obtaining the Nortel/EDC credit facility that had a remaining unamortized balance of \$5,472. The extraordinary loss recorded in 2001 represents the \$5,472 in unamortized loan costs written off, net of a tax benefit of \$1,969 relative to this loss.

22

#### INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes." As of December 31, 2000, the net deferred tax asset consisted primarily of temporary differences related to the treatment of start-up costs, unearned compensation, interest expense and net operating loss carry forwards. The net deferred tax asset was fully offset by a valuation allowance as of December 31, 2000 because there was sufficient uncertainty as to whether we would recognize the benefit of those deferred taxes in future periods. In connection with the mergers completed in the first quarter of 2001, we recorded significant deferred tax liabilities due to differences in the book and tax basis of the net assets acquired particularly due to the intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisitions.

The reversal of the timing differences which gave rise to these deferred tax liabilities will allow us to realize the benefit of timing differences which gave rise to the deferred tax asset. As a result, we released the valuation allowance with a corresponding reduction to goodwill during the first quarter of 2001. Prior to 2001, all deferred tax benefit had been fully offset by an increase in the valuation allowance such that there was no financial statement impact with respect to income taxes. With the reduction of the valuation allowance in 2001, we began to reflect a net deferred tax benefit in our consolidated statement of operations.

#### NYSE LISTING REQUIREMENTS

We are listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and is subject to various listing requirements set forth by the NYSE. We received written notice from the NYSE dated August 23, 2002 indicating that we had fallen below the requirements to (1) maintain an average closing price that is not less than \$1.00 per share over a consecutive 30 trading-day period and (2) to maintain an average global market capitalization over a consecutive 30 trading-day period of not less than \$100 million. We submitted a proposed business plan to the NYSE on October 15, 2002 in response to their letter to address our plans to cure the violations of the listing requirements and we are awaiting approval of the plan by the NYSE.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

OPERATING ACTIVITIES -- Operating cash flows were negative \$41,553 in the first nine months of 2002 and negative \$98,415 in the first nine months of 2001. The increase in operating cash flows of \$56,862 is primarily related to a decrease in net loss before non-cash items of \$58,335.

INVESTING ACTIVITIES -- Our investing cash flows were a negative \$77,908 in the first nine months of 2002 compared to a negative \$125,619 in the first nine months of 2001. Our cash capital expenditures for the first nine months of 2002 totaled \$80,939 while our cash capital expenditures for the first nine months of 2001 totaled \$101,462. In the first nine months of 2001, we also incurred \$37,617 in acquisition related costs relative to the acquisitions of Roberts, WOW and Southwest.

FINANCING ACTIVITIES — Our financing cash flows decreased in the first nine months of 2002 to \$71,200 from \$185,345 in the first nine months of 2001. In the first nine months of 2002 we received \$12,838 in proceeds representing the remaining borrowings under the term portion of our Senior Secured Credit Facility as well as \$59,705 in restricted cash which was released from escrow to make interest payments on the 12 1/2% Senior Notes and the 13 5/8% Senior Notes. In the first nine months of 2001, we received \$384,046 in net proceeds from the offering of our 12 1/2% and 13 5/8% Senior Notes offset by net repayment of secured debt of \$86,422, debt issuance costs of \$16,315 and \$96,336 in funds placed into escrow to secure debt service requirements.

#### CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

Our capital expenditure requirements for 2002 are expected to be less than \$75 million which includes upgrading our portion of Sprint's PCS network to 1XRTT. Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") is expected to continue to be positive for the remainder of 2002 as we continue to realize the benefits of the subscriber growth that we have experienced over the past two years. We expect to be free cash flow positive (EBITDA less capital expenditures and cash interest expense) for the first time in 2003 and believe we are fully funded to that point as discussed below.

23

#### LIQUIDITY

Since inception, we have financed our operations through capital contributions from our owners, through debt financing and through proceeds generated from public offerings of our common stock.

We entered into a credit agreement with Nortel effective June 10, 1999, which was amended and restated on February 8, 2000. On June 23, 2000, Nortel assigned the entirety of its loans and commitments to EDC, and Alamosa and EDC entered into the credit facility with EDC (the "EDC Credit Facility"). The EDC Credit Facility was paid in full in the first quarter of 2001 with proceeds from the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

On October 29, 1999, we filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the sale of 10,714,000 shares of our common stock (the "Initial Offering"). The Initial Offering became effective and the shares were issued on February 3, 2000 at the initial price of \$17.00 per share. Subsequently, the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option for an additional 1,607,100 shares. We received net proceeds of approximately \$193.8 million after commissions of \$13.3 million and expenses of approximately \$1.5 million. The proceeds of the Initial Offering were used for the build-out of our portion of Sprint's PCS network, to fund operating capital needs and for other corporate purposes.

On February 8, 2000, we issued \$350 million face amount of senior discount notes (the "12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes"). The 12 7/8% Senior Discount Notes mature in ten years (February 15, 2010), carry a coupon rate of 12 7/8%, and

provide for interest deferral for the first five years. The  $12\ 7/8\%$  Senior Discount Notes will accrete to their \$350 million face amount by February 8, 2005, after which interest will be paid in cash semiannually.

On January 31, 2001, we issued \$250 million face amount of senior notes (the "12 1/2% Senior Notes"). The 12 1/2% Senior Notes mature in ten years (February 1, 2011), carry a coupon rate of 12 1/2%, payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1, beginning on August 1, 2001.

On February 14, 2001, we entered into a \$280 million Senior Secured Credit Facility with Citicorp USA, as administrative agent and collateral agent; Toronto Dominion (Texas), Inc., as syndication agent; First Union National Bank, as documentation agent; Export Development Corporation ("EDC") as co-documentation agent; and a syndicate of banking and financial institutions. The Senior Secured Credit Facility was closed and initial funding of \$150 million was made on February 14, 2001 in connection with the completion of the Roberts and WOW mergers. A portion of the proceeds of the Senior Secured Credit Facility were used (i) to pay the cash portion of the merger consideration for the Roberts and WOW mergers, (ii) to refinance existing indebtedness under our credit facility with EDC and under Roberts' and WOW's existing credit facilities, and (iii) to pay transaction costs. The remaining proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes, including funding capital expenditures, subscriber acquisition and marketing costs, purchase of spectrum and working capital needs. This facility was amended in March 2001 to increase the maximum borrowings to \$333 million as a result of the acquisition of Southwest and was again amended in August 2001 to reduce the maximum borrowings to \$225 million of which \$200 million is outstanding as of June 30, 2002. The terms of this credit facility contain numerous financial and other covenants the violation of which could be deemed an event of default by the lenders. Should we be deemed to be in default, the lenders can declare the entire outstanding borrowings immediately due and payable or exercise other rights and remedies. Such an event would likely have a material adverse impact to us.

On August 15, 2001, we issued \$150 million face amount of senior notes (the "13 5/8% Senior Notes"). The 13 5/8% Senior Notes mature in ten years (August 15, 2011), carry a coupon rate of 13 5/8%, payable semiannually on February 15 and August 15, beginning on February 15, 2002. The Senior Secured Credit Facility was amended simultaneously with the closing of the 13 5/8% Senior Notes offering to, among other things, permit the 13 5/8% Senior Notes offering, reduce the amount of the Senior Secured Credit Facility to \$225 million and modify the financial covenants.

On November 13, 2001, we completed an underwritten secondary offering of our common stock pursuant to which certain of our stockholders sold an aggregate of 4,800,000 shares at a public offering price of \$14.75 per share. We did not receive any proceeds from the sale of these shares, however the underwriters were granted an option to purchase up to 720,000 additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments. This option was exercised on

24

November 16, 2001 and we received net proceeds from the sale of these shares after offering costs of approximately \$9.1 million which were used for general corporate purposes.

As of September 30, 2002, we had \$56,411 in cash and cash equivalents plus an additional \$34,988 in restricted cash held in escrow for debt service requirements. We also had \$25,000 remaining on the revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility subject to the restrictions discussed below. We believe that this \$116,399 in cash and available borrowings is sufficient to

fund working capital, capital expenditure and debt service requirements through the point where we generate free cash flow.

On September 26, 2002 we entered into the sixth amendment to the amended and restated credit agreement relative to the Senior Secured Credit Facility which among other things, extended Stage I covenants for an additional quarter and modified certain financial and statistical covenants. Specifically, the new agreement modified the covenant addressing minimum subscribers such that the minimum subscriber requirement is now 575,000 at September 30, 2002, 610,000 at December 31, 2002 and 620,000 at March 31, 2003. As a result of the amendment, we are required to maintain a minimum cash balance of \$10,000. In addition to the covenant modifications, the overall interest rate was increased by 25 basis points such that the interest margin as a result of the amendment is 4.25% for LIBOR borrowings and 3.25% for base rate borrowings.

The September 26, 2002 amendment also placed restrictions on the ability to draw on the \$25,000 revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The first \$10,000 can be drawn if cash balances fall below \$15,000 and we substantiate through tangible evidence the need for such advances. The remaining \$15,000 is available only at such time as the leverage ratio is less than or equal to 5.5 to 1.

We do not anticipate the need to raise additional capital in the foreseeable future. We believe our operations can be funded through operating cash flow. Our funding status is dependent on a number of factors influencing our projections of operating cash flows including those related to subscriber growth, ARPU, churn and CPGA. Should actual results differ significantly from these assumptions, our liquidity position could be adversely affected and we could be in a position that would require us to raise additional capital which may not be available or may not be available terms.

 $\,$  INFLATION -- We believe that inflation has not had a significant impact in the past and is not likely to have a significant impact in the foreseeable future on our results of operations.

FUTURE TRENDS THAT MAY AFFECT OPERATING RESULTS, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We may not be able to sustain our planned growth or obtain sufficient revenue to achieve and sustain profitability. Recently, we have experienced slowing net customer growth. Net customer growth was approximately 48,000 net subscribers in the first quarter of 2002, 20,000 net subscribers in the second quarter of 2002 and recently 20,000 net subscribers in the third quarter of 2002. This trend is attributable to increased churn and competition, slowing wireless subscriber growth and weakened consumer confidence. We are currently experiencing operating losses as we continue to add subscribers which requires a significant up-front investment in acquiring those subscribers. If the current trend of slowing net customer growth does not increase, it will lengthen the amount of time it will take for us to reach a sufficient number of customers to achieve free cash flow, which in turn will have a negative impact on liquidity and capital resources. Our business plan reflects continuing growth in subscribers and eventual free cash flow in 2003 as the cash flow generated by the growing subscriber base exceeds costs incurred to acquire new customers.

We may continue to experience higher costs to acquire customers. For the third quarter of 2002, our CPGA was \$442 per activation compared to \$402 per activation in the second quarter of 2002 and \$340 per activation in the first quarter of 2002. The fixed costs in our sales and marketing organization are being allocated among a smaller number of activations due to the slowdown in subscriber growth. In addition, handset subsidies have been increasing due to more aggressive promotional efforts. With a higher CPGA, customers must remain on our network for a longer period of time at a stable ARPU to recover those acquisitions costs.

We may continue to experience a higher churn rate. Our average customer monthly churn (net of deactivations that take place within 30 days of the activation date) for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 was 3.8 percent. This rate of churn is the highest that we have experienced since inception of the Company and compares to 2.7 percent in the

25

quarter ended September 30, 2001. We expect that in the near term churn will remain higher than historical levels as a result of a greater percentage of sub-prime versus prime credit class customers imbedded in the subscriber base in our territory as a result of various programs that were run during 2001 and the first two months of 2002 which encouraged sub-prime credit individuals to subscribe to our service. We have experienced a significantly higher rate of involuntary deactivations due to non-payment relative to these customers. If the rate of churn continues at current rates or increases over the long-term, we would lose the cash flow attributable to these customers and have greater than projected losses.

We may experience a significantly lower reciprocal roaming rate with Sprint in 2003 and thereafter. Under our original agreements with Sprint, Sprint had the right to change the reciprocal roaming rate. On April 27, 2001, we entered into an agreement with Sprint which reduced the reciprocal roaming rate from 20 cents per minute to 15 cents per minute beginning June 1, 2001, and to 12 cents per minute on October 1, 2001. Beginning January 1, 2002 and throughout the year ending December 31, 2002, the rate will be 10 cents per minute. We have been notified by Sprint that beginning January 1, 2003, the reciprocal rate will be 5.8 cents per minute. We are currently a net receiver of roaming with Sprint meaning that other PCS customers roam onto our portion of Sprint's PCS network at a higher rate than our customers roam onto other portions of Sprint's PCS network. The ratio of inbound to outbound Sprint PCS travel minutes was 1.14 to 1 for the third quarter of 2002 and we expect this margin to trend to 1 to 1 over time.

Our ability to borrow funds under the revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility may be limited due to our failure to maintain or comply with the restrictive financial and operating covenants contained in the agreements covering our Senior Secured Credit Facility. We amended our credit agreement on September 26, 2002 and modified certain of the financial and operating covenants and are in compliance with the lending agreement at September 30, 2002. We believe we will meet the requirements of these covenants in future periods, however, if we do not, our ability to access the remaining \$25,000 in the form of the revolving portion of the Senior Secured Credit Facility could be limited which could have a material adverse impact on our liquidity.

We may incur significant handset subsidy costs for existing customers who upgrade to a new handset. As our customer base matures and technological advances in our services take place, more existing customers will begin to upgrade to new handsets to take advantage of these services. We do not have any historical experience regarding the rate at which existing customers upgrade their handsets and if more customers upgrade than we are currently anticipating, it could have a material adverse impact on our earnings and cash flows.

We may not be able to access the credit or equity markets for additional capital if the liquidity discussed above is not sufficient for the cash needs of our business. We continually evaluate options for additional sources of capital to supplement our liquidity position and maintain maximum financial flexibility. If the need for additional capital arises due to our actual results differing

significantly from our business plan or for any other reason, we may be unable to raise additional capital.

#### RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recognized in the period that it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 143 will have on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for long-lived assets to be disposed of. The provisions of SFAS No. 144 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2001. The adoption of SFAS No. 144 effective January 1, 2002 did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Recission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2002," which rescinded or amended various existing standards. One change addressed by this standard pertains to treatment of extinguishments of debt as an extraordinary

26

item. SFAS No. 145 rescinds SFAS No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt" and states that an extinguishment of debt cannot be classified as an extraordinary item unless it meets the unusual or infrequent criteria outlined in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 "Reporting the Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The provisions of this statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002 and extinguishments of debt that were previously classified as an extraordinary item in prior periods that do not meet the criteria in Opinion 30 for classification as an extraordinary item shall be reclassified. The adoption of SFAS No. 145 is expected to result in a reclassification of the extinguishment of debt that we reported in the first quarter of 2001.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," which requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. The provisions of this statement are effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002 and are not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not engage in commodity futures trading activities and do not enter into derivative financial instrument transactions for trading or other speculative purposes. We also do not engage in transactions in foreign currencies that could expose us to market risk.

We are subject to some interest rate risk on our senior Secured Credit

Facility and any future floating rate financing.

GENERAL HEDGING POLICIES -- We enter into interest rate swap and collar agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes on our variable rate term portion of our Senior Secured Credit Facility. We seek to minimize counterparty credit risk through stringent credit approval and review processes, the selection of only the most creditworthy counterparties, continual review and monitoring of all counterparties, and through legal review of contracts. We also control exposure to market risk by regularly monitoring changes in interest rate positions under normal and stress conditions to ensure that they do not exceed established limits. Our derivative transactions are used for hedging purposes only and comply with Board-approved policies. Senior management receives frequent status updates of all outstanding derivative positions.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT — Our interest rate risk management program focuses on minimizing exposure to interest rate movements by setting an optimal mixture of floating and fixed-rate debt. We utilize interest rate swaps and collars to adjust our risk profile relative to our floating rate Senior Secured Credit Facility. We have hedges in place on approximately 42 percent of the outstanding advances under our Senior Secured Credit Facility at September 30, 2002.

The following table presents the estimated future outstanding long-term debt at the end of each year and future required annual principal payments for each year then ended associated with the senior discount notes, capital leases and the credit facility financing based on our projected level of long-term indebtedness:

27

			YEARS ENDI	NG DECEMBER
	2002	2003	2004	2005
		(DOL	LARS IN MILLION	S)
Fixed Rate Instruments				
12 7/8% senior discount notes	\$ 269	\$ 305	\$ 345	\$ 350
Fixed interest rate	12.875%	12.875%	12.875%	12.875%
Principal payments				
12 1/2% senior notes	250	250	250	250
Fixed interest rate	12.500%	12.500%	12.500%	12.500%
Principal payments				
13 5/8% senior notes	150	150	150	150
Fixed interest rate	13.625%	13.625%	13.625%	13.625%
Principal payments				
Capital leases				
Annual minimum lease payments (1)	\$ 1.126	\$ 1.305	\$ 0.586	\$ 0.161
Average interest rate	12.327%	12.327%	12.327%	12.327%
Variable Rate Instruments:				
Senior Secured Credit Facility (2)	\$ 225	\$ 225	\$ 200	\$ 149
Average interest rate (3)	9.44%	9.44%	9.44%	9.44%
Principal payments			25	51

<sup>(1)</sup> These amounts represent the estimated minimum annual payments due under our estimated capital lease obligations for the periods presented.

- (2) The amounts represent estimated year-end balances under the credit facility based on a projection of the funds borrowed under that facility pursuant to our current plan of network build-out.
- (3) Interest rate on the Senior Secured Credit Facility advances equal, at our option, either (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate adjusted for any statutory reserves ("LIBOR"), or (ii) the base rate which is generally the higher of the administrative agent's base rate, the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50% or the administrative agent's base CD rate plus 0.50%, in each case plus an interest margin which is initially 4.25% for LIBOR borrowings and 3.25% for base rate borrowings. The applicable interest margins are subject to reductions under a pricing grid based on ratios of our total debt to our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"). The interest rate margins will increase by an additional 200 basis points in the event we fail to pay principal, interest or other amounts as they become due and payable under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

We are also required to pay quarterly in arrears a commitment fee on the unfunded portion of the commitment of each lender. The commitment fee accrues at a rate per annum equal to (i) 1.50% on each day when the utilization (determined by dividing the total amount of loans plus outstanding letters of credit under the Senior Secured Credit Facility by the total commitment amount under the Senior Secured Credit Facility) of the Senior Secured Credit Facility is less than or equal to 33.33%, (ii) 1.25% on each day when utilization is greater than 33.33% but less than or equal to 66.66% and (iii) 1.00% on each day when utilization is greater than 66.66%. We have entered into derivative hedging instruments to hedge a portion of the interest rate risk associated with borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. For purposes of this table, we have used an assumed average interest rate of 9.44%.

Our primary market risk exposure relates to:

- o the interest rate risk on long-term and short-term borrowings;
- o our ability to refinance our senior discount notes at maturity at market rates; and
- o the impact of interest rate movements on our ability to meet interest expense requirements and meet financial covenants.

As a condition to the Senior Secured Credit Facility, we must maintain one or more interest rate protection agreements in an amount equal to a portion of the total debt under the credit facility. We do not hold or issue financial or derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. While we cannot predict our ability to refinance existing debt or the impact that interest rate movements will have on our existing debt, we continue to evaluate our financial position on an ongoing basis.

28

At September 30, 2002, we had entered into the following interest rate swaps:

INSTRUMENT	NOTIONAL	TERM	FAIR VALUE
4.9475% Interest rate swap	\$21 <b>,</b> 690	3 years	\$(1,178)
4.9350% Interest rate swap	\$28,340	3 years	(1,472)
			\$(2,650)

\_\_\_\_\_

These swaps are designated as cash flow hedges such that the fair value is recorded as a liability in the September 30, 2002 consolidated balance sheet with changes in fair value (net of tax) shown as a component of other comprehensive income.

We also entered into an interest rate collar with the following terms:

NOTIONAL	MATURITY	CAP STRIKE PRICE	FLOOR STRIKE PRICE	FAIR VALUE
\$28,340	5/15/04	7.00%	4.12%	\$(1,153)

This collar does not receive hedge accounting treatment such that the fair value is reflected as a liability in the September 30, 2002 consolidated balance sheet and the change in fair value has been reflected as an adjustment to interest expense.

We also entered into an interest rate cap agreement during the first quarter of 2002 with the following terms:

NOTIONAL	MATURITY	STRIKE PRICE	FAIR VALUE
\$5,000	5/21/04	7.00%	\$2

This cap does not receive hedge accounting treatment such that the fair value is reflected as an asset in the September 30, 2002 consolidated balance sheet and the change in fair value has been reflected as an adjustment to interest expense.

These fair value estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of considerable judgment and therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.
- (b) Changes in Internal Controls. Since the Evaluation Date, there have not been any significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls.

2.9

PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 23, 2001, Jerry Brantley, our former President and COO terminated his employment with us at the unanimous request of the board of directors. On April 29, 2002, Mr. Brantley initiated litigation against us and our chairman, David E. Sharbutt, alleging wrongful termination, among other things. We believe that there is no basis for Mr. Brantley's claim and intend to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

We have been named as a defendant in a number of purported securities class actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, arising out of our initial public offering (the "IPO"). Various underwriters of the IPO also are named as defendants in the actions. The complaints allege, among other things, that the registration statement and prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of the IPO were false and misleading because they failed to disclose that the underwriters allegedly (i) solicited and received commissions from certain investors in exchange for allocating to them shares of Alamosa common stock in connection with the IPO, and (ii) entered into agreements with their customers to allocate such stock to those customers in exchange for the customers agreeing to purchase additional Alamosa shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices.

The Court has ordered that these putative class actions against us, along with hundreds of IPO allocation cases against other issuers, be transferred to Judge Scheindlin for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. At a status conference held on September 7, 2001, Judge Scheindlin adjourned all defendants' time to respond to the complaints until further order of the Court.

These cases remain at a preliminary stage and no discovery proceedings have taken place. We believe the claims asserted against us in these cases are without merit and intend to defend vigorously against them.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) The following set forth those exhibits filed pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
99.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

30

(b) The following sets forth the reports on Form 8-K that have been filed during the quarter for which this report is filed:

None.

31

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALAMOSA HOLDINGS, INC. Registrant

/s/ David E. Sharbutt

\_\_\_\_\_

David E. Sharbutt
Chairman of the Board of Directors and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Kendall W. Cowan

\_\_\_\_\_

Kendall W. Cowan
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

32

#### CERTIFICATIONS

- I, David E. Sharbutt, certify that:
  - I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Alamosa Holdings, Inc.;
  - 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
  - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and

cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c. presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the discloser controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
  - a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report, whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 14, 2002

/s/ David E. Sharbutt

\_\_\_\_\_

David E. Sharbutt, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

33

- I, Kendall W. Cowan, certify that:
  - 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Alamosa Holdings, Inc.;
  - Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact

necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
  - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
  - b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - c. presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the discloser controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
  - a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report, whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: November 14, 2002

34

Exhibit	Number	Exhibit Title
99.1		tion of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.2		tion of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.