

UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA

Form 10-K

March 02, 2012

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
Form 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011
Commission File number 0-7617
Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Pennsylvania **23-1886144**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of (IRS Employer Identification No.)
organization)

14 North Main Street
Souderton, Pennsylvania **18964**
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code
(215) 721-2400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Class	Number of shares outstanding at 1/31/12
-----------------------	--

Common Stock, \$5 par value	16,745,004
-----------------------------	------------

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, a non-accelerated file or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="radio"/>
---	--	---	---

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO
The approximate aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant is \$251,684,935 as of June 30, 2011 based on the June 30, 2011 closing price of the Registrant's Common Stock of \$15.63 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part I and Part III incorporate information by reference from the proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders on April 17, 2012.

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PART I

<u>Item 1. Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	6
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	14
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	14
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	14
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	14

PART II

<u>Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	15
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	18
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	44
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	46
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	99
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	99
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	101

PART III

<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	101
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	101
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	101
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	101
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	101

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules 102

Signatures 105

EX-21

EX-23.1

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

PART I

The information contained in this report may contain forward-looking statements. When used or incorporated by reference in disclosure documents, the words believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, project, target, goal, expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. Such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including but not limited to those set forth below as well as the risk factors described in Item 1A, Risk Factors :

Operating, legal and regulatory risks

Economic, political and competitive forces impacting various lines of business

The risk that our analysis of these risks and forces could be incorrect and/or that the strategies developed to address them could be unsuccessful

Volatility in interest rates

Other risks and uncertainties, including those occurring in the U.S. and world financial systems

Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, expected or projected. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of the report. The Corporation expressly disclaims any obligation to publicly release any updates or revisions to reflect any change in the Corporation's expectations with regard to any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Item 1. Business

General

Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania, (the Corporation), is a Pennsylvania corporation organized in 1973 and registered as a bank holding company pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. The Corporation elected to become a Financial Holding Company in 2000 as provided under Title I of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The Corporation decided to terminate its Financial Holding Company status which became effective in February 2011; this had no material impact on the Corporation. The Corporation owns all of the capital stock of Univest Bank and Trust Co. (the Bank), Univest Delaware, Inc., and Univest Reinsurance Corporation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries. The Corporation's and the Bank's legal headquarters are located at 14 North Main Street, Souderton, PA 18964.

Effective as of the close of the business on June 29, 2011 and following receipt of required regulatory approvals, the Bank converted from a national bank to a Pennsylvania state-chartered bank and trust company, as authorized by the National Bank Act and Pennsylvania law. The Corporation believes that the charter conversion will allow greater flexibility to execute its strategy as a community bank and remain competitive in the markets it chooses to serve. As a state-chartered member bank of the Federal Reserve System, the Bank is regulated primarily by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. The conversion to a state charter did not have any significant financial or regulatory impact or affect the Corporation's current activities or customers.

The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business and provides a full range of banking services and trust services to its customers. The Bank is the parent company of Delview, Inc., which is the parent company of Univest Insurance, Inc., an independent insurance agency, and Univest Investments, Inc., a full-service broker-dealer and investment advisory firm. Univest Insurance has two offices in Pennsylvania and one in Maryland. Univest Investments has two offices in Pennsylvania. The Bank is also the parent company of Univest Capital, Inc., a small ticket commercial finance business, and TCG Investment Advisory, a registered investment advisor which provides discretionary investment consulting and management services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bank provides a variety of financial services to individuals, municipalities and businesses throughout its markets of operation.

Univest Delaware, Inc. is a passive investment holding company located in Delaware.

Univest Reinsurance Corporation, as a reinsurer, offers life and disability insurance to individuals in connection with credit extended to them by the Bank.

Univest Investments, Inc., Univest Insurance, Inc., Univest Capital, Inc. and Univest Reinsurance Corporation were formed to enhance the traditional banking and trust services provided by the Bank. Univest Investments, Univest Insurance, Univest Capital and Univest Reinsurance do not currently meet the quantitative thresholds for separate

disclosure as a business segment. Therefore, the Corporation currently has one reportable segment, Community Banking, and strategically is how the Corporation operates and has positioned itself in the marketplace. The Corporation's activities are interrelated, each activity is dependent, and performance is assessed based on how each of these activities supports the others. Accordingly, significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Corporation as one Community Banking operating segment.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011, the Corporation had total assets of \$2.2 billion, net loans and leases of \$1.4 billion, total deposits of \$1.7 billion and total shareholders' equity of \$273.0 million.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011, the Corporation and its subsidiaries employed five hundred and sixty-six (566) persons. None of these employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement and the Corporation believes it enjoys good relations with its personnel.

Market Area

The Corporation is headquartered in Souderton, Pennsylvania, which is located in southeastern Pennsylvania, approximately thirty-five miles north of Philadelphia. The highest concentration of our deposits and loans are in Montgomery and Bucks counties where all of our thirty-two retail financial service centers are located. These are two of the wealthiest counties in Pennsylvania. Significant types of employment industries include pharmaceuticals, health care, electronics, computer services, insurance, industrial machinery, retailing and meat processing. Major companies throughout the two counties include Merck and Company, Jefferson Health Care, Prudential Insurance, Glaxo Smith Kline, Lockheed Martin, Aetna/U.S. Healthcare, Unisys Corporation, St. Mary Medical Center, Healthcare Services, Giant Food Stores LLC, Doylestown Hospital, Grand View Hospital and Northtec LLC. Unemployment rates as of December 2011 were 6.3% and 6.5% in Montgomery and Bucks counties, respectively, significantly lower than Pennsylvania's state unemployment rate of 7.2% and the federal unemployment rate of 8.3%, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. In addition to our hub in Montgomery and Bucks counties, we have commercial lending and insurance offices in Lehigh and Chester counties. These areas currently represent a small segment of the Corporation's market area.

The Corporation ranks sixth in market share in Montgomery County with fifteen financial service centers and 5.15% of total market share, and eleventh in Bucks County with seventeen financial service centers and 3.04% of total market share according to data provided by SNL Financial. Montgomery County's population has grown 6.8% to 800,000 since the year 2000, and is expected to grow 2.9% through 2015, while Bucks County's population has grown 5.4% to 630,000 during the same period, and is expected to grow .6% through 2015, according to SNL Financial. The median age is 40.6 years and 42.0 years in Montgomery and Bucks counties, respectively, consistent with the median age of 40.2 years in Pennsylvania and slightly higher than the median age in the United States of 37.2 years. County estimates project the median age to increase over the next two decades. Median yearly household income of \$81,000 during 2010 for Montgomery County has increased 32.3% since 2000, and is expected to increase 16.6% through 2015; median yearly household income of \$79,000 during 2010 for Bucks County has increased 31.9% since 2000 and is expected to increase 15.8% through 2015, according to SNL Financial. The yearly median income for both counties is well above that of both the state of Pennsylvania and the United States of \$53,000 and \$54,000 during 2010, respectively.

Competition

The Corporation's service areas are characterized by intense competition for banking business among commercial banks, savings and loan associations, savings banks and other financial institutions. The Corporation's subsidiary bank actively competes with such banks and financial institutions for local retail and commercial accounts, in Bucks, Montgomery, Chester and Lehigh counties, as well as other financial institutions outside its primary service area.

In competing with other banks, savings and loan associations, and other financial institutions, the Bank seeks to provide personalized services through management's knowledge and awareness of their service area, customers and borrowers.

Other competitors, including credit unions, consumer finance companies, insurance companies, leasing companies and mutual funds, compete with certain lending and deposit gathering services offered by the Bank and its subsidiaries, Univest Investments, Inc., Univest Insurance, Inc. and Univest Capital, Inc.

Supervision and Regulation

The Bank is subject to supervision and is regularly examined by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. The Bank is also subject to examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Table of Contents

The Corporation is subject to the provisions of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is registered pursuant to its provisions. The Corporation is subject to the reporting requirements of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Board); and the Corporation, together with its subsidiaries, is subject to examination by the Board. The Federal Reserve Act limits the amount of credit that a member bank may extend to its affiliates, and the amount of its funds that it may invest in or lend on the collateral of the securities of its affiliates. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, insured banks are subject to the same limitations.

The Corporation is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX). SOX was enacted to address corporate and accounting fraud. SOX adopted new standards of corporate governance and imposed additional requirements on the board of directors and management of public companies. SOX also requires that the chief executive officer and chief financial officer certify the accuracy of periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Pursuant to Section 404 of SOX (SOX 404), the Corporation is required to furnish a report by its management on internal controls over financial reporting, identify any material weaknesses in its internal controls over financial reporting and assert that such internal controls are effective. The Corporation has continued to be in compliance with SOX 404 during 2011. The Corporation must maintain effective internal controls which require an on-going commitment by management and the Corporation's Audit Committee. The process has and will continue to require substantial resources in both financial costs and human capital.

In October 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) was enacted and in February 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) was enacted. Under these laws, the Treasury was granted authority to provide a financial stability plan intended to provide for the government to invest additional capital into banks and otherwise facilitate bank capital formation; increase the limits on federal deposit insurance; and provide for various forms of economic stimulus, including to assist homeowners restructure and lower mortgage payments on qualifying loans. The Corporation did not participate in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act).

The Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law on July 21, 2010. Generally, the Dodd-Frank Act was effective the day after it was signed into law, but different effective dates apply to specific sections of the law. Uncertainty remains as to the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act, which could have a material adverse impact either on the financial services industry as a whole, or on the Corporation's business, results of operations and financial condition. The Dodd-Frank Act, among other things:

- Centralized responsibility for consumer financial protection by the creation of a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, that has rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and has broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws;

- Increased the FDIC assessment for depository institutions with assets of \$10 billion or more, changed the basis for determining FDIC premiums from insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital; and increases the minimum reserve ratio for the deposit insurance fund to 1.35% by September 30, 2020;

- Permanently increased the federal deposit insurance coverage to \$250 thousand, increased the Securities Investor Protection Corporation protection from \$100 thousand to \$250 thousand, and provides unlimited federal deposit insurance until December 31, 2012 for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions;

- Repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts;

- Amended the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, Regulation E to give the Federal Reserve authority to establish rules to limit debit-card interchange fees and rules regarding overdraft fees;

- Provides for new disclosures and other requirements relating to executive compensation, proxy access by shareholders and corporate governance;

- Provides mortgage reform provisions regarding a customer's ability to repay, restricting variable-rate lending by requiring the ability to repay to be determined for variable-rate loans by using the maximum rate that will apply during the first five years of a variable-rate loan term, and making more loans subject to provisions for higher cost loans, new disclosures, and certain other revisions; and

Created a financial stability oversight council responsible for recommending to the Federal Reserve increasingly strict rules for capital, leverage, liquidity, risk management and other requirements as companies grow in size and complexity.

Table of Contents

Credit and Monetary Policies

The Bank is affected by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board of Governors. An important function of these policies is to curb inflation and control recessions through control of the supply of money and credit. The Board uses its powers to regulate reserve requirements of member banks, the discount rate on member-bank borrowings, interest rates on time and savings deposits of member banks, and to conduct open-market operations in United States Government securities to exercise control over the supply of money and credit. The policies have a direct effect on the amount of bank loans and deposits and on the interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits, with the result that the policies have a material effect on bank earnings. Future policies of the Board and other authorities cannot be predicted, nor can their effect on future bank earnings.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System (FHLBanks), which consists of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks, and is subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Housing Finance Agency. The FHLBanks provide a central credit facility primarily for member institutions. The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB), is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB as regulated by the FHLB. In December 2008, the FHLB suspended its dividends and the repurchase of capital stock due to capital compliance requirements. Since October 2010, the FHLB has repurchased a limited amount of excess capital stock each quarter. The FHLB will make decisions on future repurchases of excess capital stock on a quarterly basis. At December 31, 2011, the Bank owned \$5.8 million in FHLB capital stock.

The deposits of the Bank are insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to applicable limits. Under the cross-guarantee provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, in the event of a loss suffered or anticipated by the FDIC either as a result of default of a banking subsidiary or related to FDIC assistance provided to a subsidiary in danger of default the other banks may be assessed for the FDIC's loss, subject to certain exceptions. Presently, the Bank has affiliates but none of them is a separate banking institution. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the FDIC Board implemented an institutional prepaid FDIC assessment to recapitalize the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) which was finalized in the Fourth Quarter of 2009. The amount was paid on December 30, 2009 for the Fourth Quarter 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. At December 31, 2011 \$4.0 million remained in a prepaid asset account. The prepaid amount of \$4.0 million has a zero percent risk-weighting for risk-based capital ratio calculations. The remaining prepaid amount will be expensed over the 2012 through 2013 period as the actual FDIC assessment is determined for each interim quarterly period. Any excess prepaid amounts may be utilized up to December 30, 2014 at which time any excess will be returned to the Bank.

In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new DIF restoration plan to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the new restoration plan, the FDIC will maintain the current schedule of assessment rates for all depository institutions. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the fund and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that provide for temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts. The separate coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts became effective on December 31, 2010 and terminates on December 31, 2012.

In February 2011, the FDIC issued a final rule regarding deposit insurance assessments. The rule changed the assessment base as required by the Dodd-Frank Act from domestic deposits to average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, adopted a new large-bank pricing assessment scheme, and set a target size for the DIF. The changes became effective beginning with the second quarter 2011 and were payable at the end of September 2011. The rule, as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act, finalizes a target size for the DIF at 2 percent of insured deposits. It also implements a lower assessment rate schedule when the fund reaches 1.15 percent (so that the average rate over time should be about 8.5 basis points) and, in lieu of dividends, provides for a lower rate schedule when the reserve ratio reaches 2 percent and 2.5 percent. The rule lowers overall assessment rates in order to generate the same approximate amount of revenue under the new larger base as was raised under the old base. The assessment rates in total would be between 2.5 and 9 basis points on the broader base for banks in the lowest risk category, and 30 to 45 basis points for banks in the highest risk category. Nearly all institutions with assets less than \$10 billion pay smaller

assessments as a result of this rule. The rule eliminated the adjustment to the rate paid for secured liabilities, including Federal Home Loan Bank advances, since these are part of the new assessment base. It also created a new depository institution debt adjustment that increases the assessment rate of an institution that holds long-term unsecured debt issued by another insured depository institution. This adjustment amounts to 50 basis points for every dollar of long-term unsecured debt held in excess of 3 percent of Tier 1 capital. The final rule also created a scorecard-based assessment system for banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. The scorecards include financial measures that the FDIC believes are predictive of long-term performance.

Table of Contents

Statistical Disclosure

Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania and its subsidiaries Univest Bank and Trust Co., Univest Insurance, Inc., Univest Capital, Inc., Univest Investments, Inc. and TCG Investment Advisory, provide Financial Solutions to individuals, businesses, municipalities and nonprofit organizations. The Corporation prides itself on being a financial organization that continues to increase its scope of services while maintaining traditional beliefs and a determined commitment to the communities it serves. Over the past five years, the Corporation and its subsidiaries have experienced stable growth, both organically and through various acquisitions to be the best integrated financial solutions provider in the market. The acquisitions included:

Liberty Benefits, Inc. on December 29, 2008

Trollinger Consulting Group (commencing in January 2011, Trollinger Consulting Group is operating under the trade name of Univest Municipal Pension Services)

TC Group Securities Company, Inc. on December 31, 2008

Allied Benefits Group, LLC on December 31, 2008

TCG Investment Advisory Inc. on December 31, 2008

Securities and Exchange Commission Reports

The Corporation makes available free-of-charge its reports that are electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) including its Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports on its website as a hyperlink to EDGAR. These reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after the material is electronically filed. The Corporation's website address is www.univest.net. The Corporation will provide at no charge a copy of the SEC Form 10-K annual report for the year 2011 to each shareholder who requests one in writing after March 31, 2012. Requests should be directed to: Karen E. Tejkl, Corporate Secretary, Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania, P.O. Box 64197, Souderton, PA 18964.

The Corporation's filings are also available at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information on the hours of operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains the Corporation's SEC filings electronically at www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in the Corporation's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Corporation's business. Before making an investment, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

Risks Relating to Recent Economic Conditions and Governmental Response Efforts

The Corporation's earnings are impacted by general business and economic conditions.

The Corporation's operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions; these conditions include long-term and short-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance, and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which we operate, all of which are beyond our control.

The U.S. economy entered into one of the longest economic recessions in December 2007. The capital and credit markets experienced extreme volatility and disruption for an extended period of time. The volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices of, and credit availability to, certain companies without regard to those companies' underlying financial strength. This resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. Uncertainty in the financial markets and downturn in general economic conditions, including dramatic declines in the housing market, with falling home prices and increased foreclosures and high levels of unemployment, has persisted over the past few years. Although general economic trends and market conditions have since stabilized to some degree, the continued economic pressures on consumers and businesses and continued high unemployment rate may adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Downgrades in U.S. Government and federal agency securities and Europe's debt crisis could adversely affect the Corporation.

On August 5, 2011, Standard & Poor's downgraded the U.S. long-term debt rating from AAA rating to AA+. On August 8, 2011, Standard & Poor's downgraded the credit ratings of long-term debt instruments issued by ten of the twelve FHLB Banks, including the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh from AAA to AA+ (two of the FHLBs were already rated AA+). The full impact of these recent credit rating downgrades is currently unknown. However, in addition to causing potential economic and financial market disruptions, the recent downgrade, and any future downgrades and/or failures to raise the U.S. debt limit if necessary in the future, could, among other things, materially adversely affect the market value of the U.S. and other government and governmental agency securities that the Corporation holds, the availability of those securities as collateral for borrowing, and the Corporation's ability to access capital markets on favorable terms, as well as have other material adverse effects on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the possibility that certain European Union (EU) member states will default on their debt obligations have negatively impacted economic conditions and global markets. The continued uncertainty over the outcome of international and the EU's financial support programs and the possibility that other EU member states may experience similar financial troubles could further disrupt global markets. The negative impact on economic conditions and global markets could also have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

We cannot predict the effect of recent legislative and regulatory initiatives and they could increase our costs of doing business and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in July 2010, instituted major changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regimes in light of the recent performance of and government intervention in the financial services sector. Included is the creation of a new federal agency to administer and enforce consumer and fair lending laws, a function that is now performed by the depository institution regulators. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our business and operations will not be known for years until regulations implementing the statute are written and adopted. The Dodd-Frank Act may have a material impact on our operations, particularly through increased compliance costs resulting from possible future consumer and fair lending regulations. Other changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, could affect the Corporation in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Corporation to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Corporation may offer, limit the fees we may charge, increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products and limit our ability to attract and maintain our executive officers, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputation damage, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, recent government responses to the condition of the global financial markets and the banking industry has, among other things, increased our costs significantly and may further increase our costs for items such as federal deposit insurance. The FDIC insures deposits at FDIC-insured financial institutions, including our Bank up to applicable limits. The FDIC charges the insured financial institutions premiums to maintain the Deposit Insurance Fund at a certain level. Current economic conditions have increased bank failures and expectations for further failures, in which case the FDIC would pay all deposits of a failed bank up to the insured amount from the Deposit Insurance Fund. Increases in deposit insurance premiums could adversely affect our net income.

The recent repeal of Federal prohibitions on payment of interest on business demand deposits could increase the Corporation's interest expense.

All federal prohibitions on the ability of financial institutions to pay interest on business demand deposit accounts were repealed as part of the Dodd-Frank Act. As a result, beginning on July 21, 2011, financial institutions could commence offering interest on business demand deposits to compete for clients. The Corporation does not yet know what interest rates other institutions may offer. The Corporation's interest expense will increase and its net interest margin will decrease if it begins offering interest on business demand deposits to attract additional customers or maintain current customers, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve, and these lenders could modify or terminate their current programs which could have an adverse affect on our liquidity and profitability.

We at times utilize the FHLB for overnight borrowings and term advances; we also borrow from the Federal Reserve and from correspondent banks under our federal funds lines of credit. The amount loaned to us is generally dependent on the value of the collateral pledged as well as the FHLB's internal credit rating of the Bank. These lenders could reduce the percentages loaned against various collateral categories, could eliminate certain types of collateral and could otherwise modify or even terminate their loan programs, particularly to the extent they are required to do so because of capital adequacy or other balance sheet concerns. Any change or termination of our borrowings from the FHLB, the Federal Reserve or correspondent banks would have an adverse affect on our liquidity and profitability.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected by other-than-temporary impairment charges relating to our investment portfolio.

We may be required to record future impairment charges on our investment securities, including our investment in the FHLB, if they suffer declines in value that we consider other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including the lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, the absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in the business climate, adverse regulatory actions or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment, could have a negative effect on our investment portfolio in future periods. If an impairment charge is significant enough, it could affect the ability of our Bank to pay dividends to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to pay dividends to shareholders. Significant impairment charges could also negatively impact our regulatory capital ratios and result in our Bank not being classified as well-capitalized for regulatory purposes.

We may need to raise additional capital in the future and such capital may not be available when needed or at all.

We may need to raise additional capital in the future to provide us with sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet our commitments and business needs. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of our control, and our financial performance. The ongoing liquidity crisis and the loss of confidence in financial institutions may increase our cost of funding and limit our access to some of our customary sources of capital, including, but not limited to, inter-bank borrowings, repurchase agreements and borrowings from the discount window of the Federal Reserve.

Such sources of capital may not be available to us on acceptable terms or not available at all. Any occurrence that may limit our access to the capital markets, such as a decline in the confidence of debt purchasers, depositors of our subsidiary bank or counterparties participating in the capital markets may adversely affect our capital costs and our ability to raise capital and, in turn, our liquidity. An inability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Market and Business

The Corporation's profitability is affected by economic conditions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Unlike larger regional banks that operate in large geographies, the Corporation provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in Bucks, Montgomery, Chester and Lehigh Counties in Pennsylvania. Because of our geographic concentration, continuation of the economic downturn in our region could make it more difficult to attract deposits and could cause higher rates of loss and delinquency on our loans than if the loans were more geographically diversified. Adverse economic conditions in the region, including, without limitation, declining real estate values, could cause our levels of non-performing assets and loan losses to increase. If the economic downturn continues or a prolonged economic recession occurs in the economy as a whole, borrowers will be less likely to repay their loans as scheduled. A continued economic downturn could, therefore, result in losses that materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation operates in a highly competitive industry and market area which could adversely impact its business and results of operations.

We face substantial competition in all phases of our operations from a variety of different competitors. Our competitors, including commercial banks, community banks, savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, credit unions, consumer finance companies, insurance companies, securities dealers, brokers, mortgage bankers, investment advisors, money market mutual funds and other financial institutions, compete with lending and

deposit-gathering services offered by us. Increased competition in our markets may result in reduced loans and deposits.

Table of Contents

Many of these competing institutions have much greater financial and marketing resources than we have. Due to their size, many competitors can achieve larger economies of scale and may offer a broader range of products and services than we can. If we are unable to offer competitive products and services, our business may be negatively affected.

Some of the financial services organizations with which we compete are not subject to the same degree of regulation as is imposed on bank holding companies and federally insured financial institutions. As a result, these non-bank competitors have certain advantages over us in accessing funding and in providing various services. The banking business in our primary market areas is very competitive, and the level of competition facing us may increase further, which may limit our asset growth and financial results.

The Corporation's controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.

Our management diligently reviews and updates the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any failure or undetected circumvention of these controls could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Potential acquisitions may disrupt the Corporation's business and dilute shareholder value.

We regularly evaluate opportunities to acquire and invest in banks and in other complementary businesses. As a result, we may engage in negotiations or discussions that, if they were to result in a transaction, could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition, including short and long-term liquidity. Our acquisition activities could be material to us. For example, we could issue additional shares of common stock in a purchase transaction, which could dilute current shareholders' ownership interest. These activities could require us to use a substantial amount of cash, other liquid assets, and/or incur debt. In addition, if goodwill recorded in connection with our prior or potential future acquisitions were determined to be impaired, then we would be required to recognize a charge against our earnings, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations during the period in which the impairment was recognized. Any potential charges for impairment related to goodwill would not impact cash flow, tangible capital or liquidity.

Our acquisition activities could involve a number of additional risks, including the risks of:

- incurring time and expense associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisitions and negotiating potential transactions, resulting in management's attention being diverted from the operation of our existing business;

- using inaccurate estimates and judgments to evaluate credit, operations, management, and market risks with respect to the target institution or assets;

- the time and expense required to integrate the operations and personnel of the combined businesses;

- creating an adverse short-term effect on our results of operations; and

- losing key employees and customers as a result of an acquisition that is poorly received.

We may not be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with potential acquisitions. Our inability to overcome these risks could have an adverse effect on our ability to achieve our business strategy and maintain our market value.

The Corporation may not be able to attract and retain skilled people.

We are dependent on the ability and experience of a number of key management personnel who have substantial experience with our operations, the financial services industry, and the markets in which we offer products and services. The loss of one or more senior executives or key managers may have an adverse effect on our operations. The Corporation does not currently have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of our named executive officers. Also, as we continue to grow operations, our success depends on our ability to continue to attract, manage, and retain other qualified middle management personnel.

If we lost a significant portion of our low-cost deposits, it would negatively impact our liquidity and profitability.

Our profitability depends in part on our success in attracting and retaining a stable base of low-cost deposits. As of December 31, 2011, 17.4% of our deposit base was comprised of noninterest bearing deposits, of which 13.8%

consisted of business deposits, which are primarily operating accounts for businesses, and 3.6% consisted of consumer deposits. While we generally do not believe these core deposits are sensitive to interest rate fluctuations, the competition for these deposits in our markets is strong and customers are increasingly seeking investments that are safe, including the purchase of U.S. Treasury securities and other government-guaranteed obligations, as well as the establishment of accounts at the largest, most-well capitalized banks. If we were to lose a significant portion of our low-cost deposits, it would negatively impact our liquidity and profitability.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

The Corporation relies heavily on information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security or operational integrity of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management and general ledger, deposit, loan, and other systems. The Corporation has policies and procedures designed with the intention to prevent or limit the effect of any failure, interruption, or breach in our security systems. The occurrence of any such failures, interruptions, or breaches in security could expose the Corporation to reputation risk, civil litigation, regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The Corporation continually encounters technological change.

Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to effectively embrace technology efficiencies to better serve customers and reduce costs. Failure to keep pace with technological change could potentially have an adverse effect on our business operations and financial condition.

The Corporation is subject to claims and litigation.

Customer claims and other legal actions, whether founded or unfounded, could result in financial or reputation damage and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations if such claims are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Corporation.

Natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events could negatively impact the Corporation.

Natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Corporation's ability to conduct business. In addition, such events could affect the stability of the Corporation's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Corporation to incur additional expenses. Our management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures that are expected to mitigate events related to natural or man-made disasters; however, the occurrence of any such event and the impact of an overall economic decline resulting from such a disaster could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition.

The Corporation depends on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties.

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions with customers and counterparties, we may rely on information furnished to us by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements and other financial information. We also may rely on representations of customers and counterparties as to the accuracy and completeness of that information and, with respect to financial statements, on reports of independent auditors. For example, in deciding whether to extend credit to clients, we may assume that a customer's audited financial statements conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the customer. Our earnings are significantly affected by our ability to properly originate, underwrite and service loans. Our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively impacted to the extent we incorrectly assess the creditworthiness of our borrowers, fail to detect or respond to deterioration in asset quality in a timely manner, or rely on financial statements that do not comply with GAAP or are materially misleading.

Risks Related to the Banking Industry

The Corporation is subject to interest rate risk.

Our profitability is dependent to a large extent on our net interest income. Like most financial institutions, we are affected by changes in general interest rate levels and by other economic factors beyond our control. Although we believe we have implemented strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on our results of operations, any substantial and prolonged change in market interest rates could adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

Net interest income may decline in a particular period if:

In a declining interest rate environment, more interest-earning assets than interest-bearing liabilities re-price or mature, or

In a rising interest rate environment, more interest-bearing liabilities than interest-earning assets re-price or mature.

Our net interest income may decline based on our exposure to a difference in short-term and long-term interest rates. If the difference between the interest rates shrinks or disappears, the difference between rates paid on deposits and received on loans could narrow significantly resulting in a decrease in net interest income. In addition to these factors, if market interest rates rise rapidly, interest rate adjustment caps may limit increases in the interest rates on adjustable rate loans, thus reducing our net interest income. Also, certain adjustable rate loans re-price based on lagging interest rate indices. This lagging effect may also negatively impact our net interest income when general interest rates continue to rise periodically.

The Corporation is subject to lending risk.

Risks associated with lending activities include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and economic conditions, which may adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, and impact the value of the associated collateral. Various laws and regulations also affect our lending activities and failure to comply with such applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to enforcement actions and civil monetary penalties.

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 82.7% of our loan and lease portfolio consisted of commercial, financial and agricultural, commercial real estate and construction loans and leases; these are generally perceived as having more risk of default than residential real estate and consumer loans. These types of loans involve larger loan balances to a single borrower or groups of related borrowers. Commercial real estate loans may be affected to a greater extent than residential loans by adverse conditions in real estate markets or the economy because commercial real estate borrowers' ability to repay their loans depends on successful development of their properties, as well as the factors affecting residential real estate borrowers. An increase in non-performing loans and leases could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans and leases, an increase in the provision for possible loan and lease losses, and an increase in loan and lease charge-offs. The risk of loan and lease losses will increase if the economy worsens.

Commercial business loans are typically based on the borrowers' ability to repay the loans from the cash flow of their businesses. These loans may involve greater risk because the availability of funds to repay each loan depends substantially on the success of the business itself. In addition, the collateral securing the loans often depreciates over time, is difficult to appraise and liquidate and fluctuates in value based on the success of the business.

Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon whether our initial estimate of the property's value at completion of construction equals or exceeds the cost of the property construction (including interest). During the construction phase, a number of factors can result in delays and cost overruns. If estimates of value are inaccurate or if actual construction costs exceed estimates, the value of the property securing the loan may be insufficient to ensure full repayment when completed through a permanent loan or by seizure of collateral. Included in real estate-construction is track development financing. Risk factors related to track development financing include the demand for residential housing and the real estate valuation market. When projects move slower than anticipated, the properties may have significantly lower values than when the original underwriting was completed, resulting in lower collateral values to support the loan. Extended time frames also cause the interest carrying cost for project to be higher than the builder projected, negatively impacting the builder's profit and cash flow and, therefore, their ability to make principal and interest payments.

Commercial real estate loans secured by owner-occupied properties are dependent upon the successful operation of the borrower's business. If the operating company suffers difficulties in terms of sales volume and/or profitability, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Loans secured by properties where repayment is dependent upon payment of rent by third party tenants or the sale of the property may be impacted by loss of tenants, lower lease rates needed to attract new tenants or the inability to sell a completed project in a timely fashion and at a profit.

Commercial business, commercial real estate, and construction loans are more susceptible to a risk of loss during a downturn in the business cycle. Our underwriting, review, and monitoring cannot eliminate all of the risks related to these loans.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's allowance for possible loan and lease losses may be insufficient and an increase in the allowance would reduce earnings.

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses. The allowance is established through a provision for loan and lease losses based on management's evaluation of the risks inherent in our loan portfolio and the general economy. The allowance is based upon a number of factors, including the size of the loan and lease portfolio, asset classifications, economic trends, industry experience and trends, industry and geographic concentrations, estimated collateral values, management's assessment of the credit risk inherent in the portfolio, historical loan and lease loss experience and loan underwriting policies. In addition, we evaluate all loans and leases identified as problem loans and augment the allowance based upon our estimation of the potential loss associated with those problem loans and leases. Additions to our allowance for loan and lease losses decrease our net income.

If the evaluation we perform in connection with establishing loan and lease loss reserves is wrong, our allowance for loan and lease losses may not be sufficient to cover our losses, which would have an adverse effect on our operating results. Due to the volatile economy, we could experience an increase in delinquencies and losses as these loans continue to mature.

The federal regulators, in reviewing our loan and lease portfolio as part of a regulatory examination, may from time to time require us to increase our allowance for loan and lease losses, thereby negatively affecting our financial condition and earnings at that time. Moreover, additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic and real estate market conditions, new information regarding existing loans and leases, identification of additional problem loans and leases and other factors, both within and outside of our control.

Changes in economic conditions and the composition of our loan portfolio could lead to higher loan charge-offs or an increase in our provision for loan losses and may reduce our net income.

Changes in national and regional economic conditions could impact our loan portfolios. For example, an increase in unemployment, a decrease in real estate values or increases in interest rates, as well as other factors, could weaken the economies of the communities we serve. Weakness in the market areas we serve could depress our earnings and consequently our financial condition because customers may not demand our products or services; borrowers may not be able to repay their loans; the value of the collateral securing our loans to borrowers may decline and the quality of our loan portfolio may decline. Any of the latter three scenarios could require us to charge off a higher percentage of our loans and/or increase our provision for loan and lease losses, which would reduce our net income and could require us to raise capital.

The Corporation is subject to environmental liability risk associated with lending activities.

In the course of our business, we may foreclose and take title to real estate and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. The Corporation may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. Our policies and procedures require environmental factors to be considered during the loan application process. An environmental review is performed before initiating any commercial foreclosure action; however, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. Possible remediation costs and liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The Corporation is subject to extensive government regulation and supervision.

We are subject to Federal Reserve Board regulation. Our Bank is subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by our primary federal regulators, the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, and by the FDIC, the regulating authority that insures customer deposits. Also, as a member of the FHLB, our Bank must comply with applicable regulations of the Federal Housing Finance Board and the FHLB. Regulation by these agencies is intended primarily for the protection of our depositors and the deposit insurance fund and not for the benefit of our shareholders. Our Bank's activities are also regulated under consumer protection laws applicable to our lending, deposit, and other activities. A large claim against our Bank under these laws could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Proposals for further regulation of the financial services industry are continually being introduced in the Congress of the United States of America and the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. New financial reform

legislation has been enacted by Congress that will change the bank regulatory framework, create an independent consumer protection bureau that will assume the consumer protection responsibilities of the various federal banking agencies, and establish more stringent capital standards for financial institutions and their holding companies. The legislation will also result in new regulations affecting the lending, funding, trading and investment activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. Such additional regulation and oversight could have a material and adverse impact on us.

Table of Contents

Consumers may decide not to use banks to complete their financial transactions.

The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as disintermediation, could result in the loss of fee income as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

An investment in the Corporation's common stock is not an insured deposit.

The Corporation's common stock is not a bank deposit, is not insured by the FDIC or any other deposit insurance fund, and is subject to investment risk, including the loss of some or all of your investment. Our common stock is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company.

The Corporation's stock price can be volatile.

The Corporation's stock price can fluctuate in response to a variety of factors, some of which are not under our control. These factors include:

our past and future dividend practice;

our financial condition, performance, creditworthiness and prospects;

quarterly variations in our operating results or the quality of our assets;

operating results that vary from the expectations of management, securities analysts and investors;

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance;

the operating and securities price performance of other companies that investors believe are comparable to us;

future sales of our equity or equity-related securities;

the credit, mortgage and housing markets, the markets for securities relating to mortgages or housing, and developments with respect to financial institutions generally; and

changes in global financial markets and global economies and general market conditions, such as interest or foreign exchange rates, stock, commodity or real estate valuations or volatility and other geopolitical, regulatory or judicial events.

These factors could cause the Corporation's stock price to decrease regardless of our operating results.

The Corporation's common stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol **UVSP**; the trading volume has historically been less than that of larger financial services companies. Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive.

A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of our common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which we have no control. Given the relatively low trading volume of our common stock, significant sales of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline or to be lower than it otherwise might be in the absence of those sales or perceptions.

Anti-takeover provisions could negatively impact our shareholders.

Certain provisions in the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, as well as federal banking laws, regulatory approval requirements, and Pennsylvania law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Corporation, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Corporation's shareholders.

Table of Contents***There may be future sales or other dilution of the Corporation's equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.***

The Corporation is generally not restricted from issuing additional common stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock. The issuance of any additional shares of common stock or preferred stock or securities convertible into, exchangeable for or that represent the right to receive common stock or the exercise of such securities could be substantially dilutive to shareholders of our common stock. Holders of our shares of common stock have no preemptive rights that entitle holders to purchase their pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of offerings or because of sales of shares of our common stock made after offerings or the perception that such sales could occur. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our shareholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their stock holdings in us.

The Corporation relies on dividends from our subsidiaries for most of our revenue.

The Corporation is a bank holding company and our operations are conducted by our subsidiaries from which we receive dividends. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends is subject to legal and regulatory limitations, profitability, financial condition, capital expenditures and other cash flow requirements. The ability of our Bank to pay cash dividends to the Corporation is limited by its obligation to maintain sufficient capital and by other restrictions on its cash dividends that are applicable to state member banks in the Federal Reserve System. If our Bank is not permitted to pay cash dividends to the Corporation, it is unlikely that we would be able to pay cash dividends on our common stock.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2. *Properties*

The Corporation and its subsidiaries occupy forty-one properties in Montgomery, Bucks, Chester and Lehigh counties in Pennsylvania and Prince Georges County in Maryland, which are used principally as banking offices. Business locations and hours are available on the Corporation's website at www.univest.net.

The Corporation owns its corporate headquarters building, which is shared with the Bank and Univest Investments, Inc., in Souderton, Montgomery County. Univest Investments, Inc. also occupies a location in Allentown, Lehigh County. Univest Insurance, Inc. occupies three locations, of which two are owned by the Bank, one in Lansdale, Montgomery County and one in West Chester, Chester County; and one is leased in Upper Marlboro, Prince Georges County in Maryland. Univest Capital, Inc. occupies one leased location in Bensalem, Bucks County. The Bank serves the area through its thirty traditional offices and two supermarket branches that offer traditional community banking and trust services. Fifteen banking offices are located in Montgomery County, of which ten are owned, two are leased and three are buildings owned on leased land; seventeen banking offices are located in Bucks County, of which five are owned, ten are leased and two are buildings owned on leased land. The Bank has two additional regional leased offices primarily used for loan productions one of which is located in Bucks County and one in Lehigh County.

Additionally, the Bank provides banking and trust services for the residents and employees of twelve retirement home communities. The Bank has eight off-premise automated teller machines located in Montgomery County, and one off-premise automated teller machine located in Bucks County. The Bank provides banking services nationwide through the internet via its websites www.univestdirect.com or www.univest.net.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Management is not aware of any litigation that would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheet or statement of income. There are no proceedings pending other than the ordinary routine litigation incident to the business of the Corporation. In addition, there are no material proceedings pending or known to be threatened or contemplated against the Corporation or the Bank by government authorities.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures*

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

The Corporation's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol UVSP. At December 31, 2011, the Corporation had 4,856 stockholders.

StockTrans, Inc., a Broadridge Company, serves as the Corporation's transfer agent. StockTrans, Inc. is located at 44 West Lancaster Avenue, Ardmore, PA. Shareholders can contact a representative by calling 610-649-7300.

Range of Market Prices of Common Stock and Cash Dividends

The following table shows the range of market values of the Corporation's stock. The prices shown on this page represent transactions between dealers and do not include retail markups, markdowns, or commissions. The table also presents the cash dividends paid per share for each quarter.

	Market Price		Cash dividends paid per share
	High	Low	
2011			
January-March	\$ 19.98	\$ 15.82	\$ 0.20
April-June	17.99	15.00	0.20
July-September	16.91	12.09	0.20
October-December	16.09	12.86	0.20

	Market Price		Cash dividends paid per share
	High	Low	
2010			
January-March	\$ 19.90	\$ 16.64	\$ 0.20
April-June	21.86	17.08	0.20
July-September	18.25	15.71	0.20
October-December	20.41	17.08	0.20

Table of Contents**Stock Performance Graph**

The following chart compares the yearly percentage change in the cumulative shareholder return on the Corporation's common stock during the five years ended December 31, 2011, with (1) the Total Return Index for the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. Companies) and (2) the Total Return Index for NASDAQ Bank Stocks. This comparison assumes \$100.00 was invested on December 31, 2006, in our common stock and the comparison groups and assumes the reinvestment of all cash dividends prior to any tax effect and retention of all stock dividends.

**Comparison of Cumulative Total Return on
\$100 Investment Made on December 31, 2006
Five Year Cumulative Total Return Summary**

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania	100.00	71.64	112.31	63.87	72.98	58.73
NASDAQ Stock Market (US)	100.00	110.65	66.44	96.52	114.02	113.14
NASDAQ Banks	100.00	80.13	62.94	52.67	60.11	53.82

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding options and shares under the equity compensation plan, Uninvest 2003 Long-term Incentive Plan, as of December 31, 2011:

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(b) Weighted- Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plan approved by security holders	497,499	\$ 22.09	762,758
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	497,499	\$ 22.09	762,758

The following table provides information on repurchases by the Corporation of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2011:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share \$	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Oct. 1, 2011 - Oct. 31, 2011				587,374
Nov. 1, 2011 - Nov. 30, 2011				587,374
Dec. 1, 2011 - Dec. 31, 2011	20,629	13.83	20,629	566,745
Total	20,629	13.83	20,629	

1. Transactions are reported as of trade dates.
2. The Corporation's current stock repurchase program was approved by its Board of Directors and announced on 8/22/2007. The repurchased shares limit is net of normal Treasury activity such as purchases to fund the Dividend Reinvestment Program, Employee Stock Purchase Program and the equity compensation plan.

3. The number of shares approved for repurchase under the Corporation's current stock repurchase program is 643,782.
4. The Corporation's current stock repurchase program does not have an expiration date.
5. No stock repurchase plan or program of the Corporation expired during the period covered by the table.
6. The Corporation has no stock repurchase plan or program that it has determined to terminate prior to expiration or under which it does not intend to make further purchases. The plans are restricted during certain blackout periods in conformance with the Corporation's Insider Trading Policy.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Earnings					
Interest income	\$ 85,468	\$ 91,003	\$ 96,359	\$ 108,057	\$ 116,144
Interest expense	10,728	17,469	28,723	42,310	54,127
Net interest income	74,740	73,534	67,636	65,747	62,017
Provision for loan and lease losses	17,479	21,565	20,886	8,769	2,166
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	57,261	51,969	46,750	56,978	59,851
Noninterest income	34,407	34,418	29,917	26,615	27,268
Noninterest expense	68,010	67,349	65,324	57,225	52,211
Income before income taxes	23,658	19,038	11,343	26,368	34,908
Applicable income taxes	4,776	3,282	563	5,778	9,351
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780	\$ 20,590	\$ 25,557
Financial Condition at Year End					
Cash, interest-earning deposits and federal funds sold	\$ 107,377	\$ 29,187	\$ 68,597	\$ 40,066	\$ 59,385
Investment securities	471,165	467,024	420,045	432,266	415,465
Net loans and leases	1,416,536	1,440,288	1,401,182	1,436,774	1,342,356
Assets	2,206,839	2,133,893	2,085,421	2,084,797	1,972,505
Deposits	1,749,232	1,686,270	1,564,257	1,527,328	1,532,603
Borrowings	137,234	143,865	214,063	312,736	208,729
Shareholders equity	272,979	266,224	267,807	203,207	198,726
Per Common Share Data					
Average shares outstanding (in thousands)	16,743	16,645	14,347	12,873	12,885
Earnings per share basic	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.98
Earnings per share diluted	1.13	0.95	0.75	1.60	1.98
Dividends declared per share	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Book value (at year-end)	16.34	15.99	16.27	15.71	15.49
Dividend payout ratio	70.87%	84.32%	109.33%	50.03%	40.40%
Profitability Ratios					
Return on average assets	0.89%	0.75%	0.52%	1.02%	1.32%
Return on average equity	6.91	5.82	4.68	10.09	13.44
Average equity to average assets	12.87	12.92	11.06	10.08	9.84
Asset Quality Ratios					
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases	2.94%	3.16%	2.65%	0.45%	0.65%

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Net charge-offs to average loans and leases outstanding	1.28	1.07	0.63	0.62	0.17
Allowance for loan and leases losses to total loans and leases	2.07	2.10	1.74	0.90	0.97
Allowance for loan and leases losses to nonperforming loans and leases	70.34	66.48	65.54	200.15	148.79

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

(All dollar amounts presented within tables are in thousands, except per share data. N/M equates to not meaningful ; - equates to zero or doesn't round to a reportable number ; and N/A equates to not applicable.)

Certain amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation.)

The information contained in this report may contain forward-looking statements, including statements relating to Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania (the Corporation) and its financial condition and results of operations that involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The Corporation's actual results may differ materially from those anticipated, projected, expected or projected as discussed in forward-looking statements. A discussion of forward-looking statements and factors that might cause such a difference includes those discussed in Item 1.

Business, Item 1A. Risk Factors, as well as those within this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this report.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management, in order to prepare the Corporation's financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Corporation's financial statements. There are uncertainties inherent in making these estimates and assumptions. Certain critical accounting policies, discussed below, could materially affect the results of operations and financial position of the Corporation should changes in circumstances require a change in related estimates or assumptions. The Corporation has identified the fair value measurement of investment securities available for sale and assessment for impairment of certain investment securities, reserve for loan and lease losses, valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets and liabilities, benefit plans and stock-based compensation as areas with critical accounting policies.

Fair Value Measurement of Investment Securities Available for Sale and Assessment for Impairment of Certain Investment Securities: The Corporation designates its investment securities as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading. Each of these designations affords different treatment in the statement of operations and statement of financial condition for market value changes affecting securities that are otherwise identical. Should evidence emerge that indicates that management's intent or ability to manage the securities as originally asserted is not supportable, securities in the held-to-maturity or available-for-sale designations may be re-categorized so that either statement of financial position or statement of operations adjustments may be required.

Fair values for securities are determined using independent pricing services and market-participating brokers. The Corporation's independent pricing service utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary by asset class and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information for structured securities, cash flow and, when available, loan performance data. Because many fixed income securities do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service's evaluated pricing applications apply information as applicable through processes, such as benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing, to prepare evaluations. If at any time, the pricing service determines that it does not have sufficient verifiable information to value a particular security, the Corporation will utilize valuations from another pricing service. Management has a sufficient understanding of the third party service's valuation models, assumptions and inputs used in determining the fair value of securities to enable management to maintain an appropriate system of internal control.

Management evaluates debt securities, which comprise of U. S. Government, Government Sponsored Agencies, municipalities, corporate bonds and other issuers, for other-than-temporary impairment and considers the current economic conditions, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, interest rates and the bond rating of each security. All of the debt securities are rated as investment grade and management believes that it will not incur any losses; except for one corporate bond with a downgraded rating and is closely monitored by management. The unrealized losses on the Corporation's investments in debt securities are temporary in nature since they are primarily related to market interest rates and are not related to the underlying credit quality of the issuers within our investment portfolio. The Corporation does not have the intent to sell the debt securities and believes it is more likely than not, that it will not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis. The credit portion of any loss on debt securities is recognized through earnings and the noncredit portion of any loss related to debt securities that the Corporation does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not be

required to sell the securities prior to recovery is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax. The Corporation evaluates its equity securities for other-than-temporary impairment and recognizes other-than-temporary impairment charges when it has determined that it is probable that certain equity securities will not regain market value equivalent to the Corporation's cost basis within a reasonable period of time due to a decline in the financial stability of the underlying companies. Management evaluates the near-term prospects of the issuers in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment and the Corporation's positive intent and ability to hold these securities until recovery to the Corporation's cost basis occurs.

Table of Contents

Reserve for Loan and Lease Losses: Reserves for loan and lease losses are provided using techniques that specifically identify losses on impaired loans and leases, estimate losses on pools of homogeneous loans and leases, and estimate the amount of unallocated reserve necessary to account for losses that are present in the loan and lease portfolio but not yet currently identifiable. The adequacies of these reserves are sensitive to changes in current economic conditions that may affect the ability of borrowers to make contractual payments as well as the value of the collateral committed to secure such payments. Rapid or sustained downturns in the economy may require increases in reserves that may negatively impact the Corporation's results of operations and statements of financial condition in the periods requiring additional reserves.

Valuation of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill and other intangible assets have been recorded on the books of the Corporation in connection with its acquisitions. The Corporation completes a goodwill impairment analysis at least on an annual basis or more often if events and circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. Identifiable intangible assets are evaluated for impairment if events and circumstances indicate a possible impairment. The Corporation elected to early adopt, during the fourth quarter of 2011, new accounting guidance which provides entities the option of performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the Corporation, including each of its reporting units, is less than its carrying amount prior to performing the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. The Corporation performs this assessment at the reporting unit level including the Bank, Univest Insurance and Univest Investments. The Corporation identifies the significant drivers of fair value including macroeconomic and microeconomic conditions, overall financial performance, management's knowledge of the business, key assumptions used in the most recent fair value determination and assumptions at the time of acquisition. As part of this analysis, the Corporation considers the results of the most recent fair value determination performed as of October 31, 2010, including the amount of excess between the unit's fair value and its carrying amount, and changes in the reporting unit and the economic environment in which it operates. The Corporation performs a qualitative assessment of the likely impact of the factors on the fair value of the reporting unit and considers what events and circumstances have occurred that may have impacted the drivers of fair value. The Corporation considers the overall financial performance of the reporting unit, including current and projected earnings, funding resources, cashflows, salary and benefits expense, capital and tangible capital as well as changes in management and customers, general economic conditions and the regulatory environment. The Corporation considers the reporting unit's performance in comparison to its peers and recent merger and acquisition data including trading multiples of independent publicly traded entities of comparable sizes. The Corporation also considers changes in its stock price and in comparison to the banking industry. During 2011, the Corporation determined based on the assessment of these qualitative factors and events and circumstances that may impact the drivers of fair value, it was not more likely than not, that the fair value of the Corporation and each of the reporting units was less than its carrying amount; therefore, it was not necessary to perform the two-step impairment test for the Corporation or the reporting units. The Corporation will perform the two-step impairment test as described below when the qualitative assessment indicates a material negative impact of the factors on the operating performance or cashflows of the Corporation and its reporting units which would more likely than not, result in the fair value of the Corporation, including its reporting units, being less than its carrying amount. There can be no assurance that future impairment analyses will not result in a charge to earnings.

The Corporation completed a goodwill impairment test as of October 31, 2010. The Corporation's two-step impairment testing of goodwill is described as follows. Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level and an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. The Corporation employs general industry practices in evaluating the fair value of its goodwill and other intangible assets. The Corporation tests for impairment by first allocating its goodwill and other assets and liabilities, as necessary, to defined reporting units, which are generally the Bank, Univest Investments and Univest Insurance. After this allocation is completed, a two-step valuation process is applied. For the Bank, in Step 1, fair value is determined based on a market approach, which measures fair value based on trading multiples of independent publicly traded entities of comparable sizes. A second fair value analysis is performed using the income approach. The Corporation utilizes a net present value of cash flows of projected net income based on the compound annual growth rate of equity and a discount rate. The discount rate is calculated by utilizing the cost of equity and the cost of debt methods. If the fair

value of the Bank exceeds its adjusted book value, no write-down of goodwill is necessary. If the fair value of any reporting unit is less than its adjusted book value, a Step 2 valuation procedure is required to assess the proper carrying value of the goodwill. The valuation procedures applied in a Step 2 valuation are similar to those that would be performed upon an acquisition, with the Step 1 fair value representing a hypothetical reporting unit purchase price. If the current market value does not exceed the net book value, impairment exists which requires an impairment charge to noninterest expense.

In its two-step impairment analysis of goodwill for Univest Insurance, Inc. and Univest Investments, Inc., the Corporation utilizes a net present value of cash flows of projected net income based on the compound annual growth rate of equity and a discount rate. The discount rate is calculated by utilizing the cost of equity and the cost of debt methods. A second fair value analysis is performed using the market approach which measures fair value based on trading multiples of independent publicly traded entities of comparable sizes. The fair value that is calculated is compared to the net book value

Table of Contents

of each company. If the fair value exceeds the net book value, no impairment exists. If the fair value of any reporting unit is less than its adjusted book value, a Step 2 valuation procedure is required to assess the proper carrying value of the goodwill. The valuation procedures applied in a Step 2 valuation are similar to those that would be performed upon an acquisition, with the Step 1 fair value representing a hypothetical reporting unit purchase price. If the current market value does not exceed the net book value, impairment exists which requires an impairment charge to noninterest expense.

For other identifiable intangible assets, changes in the useful life or economic value of acquired assets may require a reduction in the asset value carried on the financial statements of the Corporation and a related charge in the statement of operations. Such changes in asset value could result from a change in market demand for the products or services offered by an acquired business or by reductions in the expected profit margins that can be obtained through the future delivery of the acquired product or service line.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: The Corporation has mortgage servicing rights for mortgages it originated, subsequently sold and retained servicing. The value of the rights is booked as income when the corresponding mortgages are sold. The income booked at sale is the estimated present value of the cash flows that will be received from servicing the loans over the entire future term. The term of a servicing right can be reasonably estimated using prepayment assumptions of comparable assets priced in the secondary market. As mortgage rates being offered to the public decrease, the life of loan servicing rights tends to shorten, as borrowers have increased incentive to refinance. Shortened loan servicing lives require changes in the value of the servicing rights that have already been recorded to be marked down in the statement of operations of the servicing company. This may cause a material change in reported operations for the Corporation depending on the size of the servicing portfolio and the degree of change in the prepayment speed of the type and coupon of loans being serviced.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities: The Corporation recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future effects of temporary differences, net operating loss carryforwards, and tax credits. Enacted tax rates are applied to cumulative temporary differences based on expected taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax asset or liability is anticipated to be realized. Future tax rate changes could occur that would require the recognition of income or expense in the statement of operations in the period in which they are enacted. Deferred tax assets must be reduced by a valuation allowance if in management's judgment it is more likely than not that some portion of the asset will not be realized. Management may need to modify their judgments in this regard from one period to another should a material change occur in the business environment, tax legislation, or in any other business factor that could impair the Corporation's ability to benefit from the asset in the future.

Benefit Plans: The Corporation has a retirement plan that it provides as a benefit to employees hired before December 8, 2009 and former employees. The Corporation also provides supplemental retirement plans that it provides as a benefit to certain current and former executives. Determining the adequacy of the funding of these plans may require estimates of future salary rate increases, of long-term rates of investment return, and the use of an appropriate discount rate for the obligation. Changes in these estimates and assumptions due to changes in the economic environment or financial markets may result in material changes in the Corporation's results of operations or statement of financial condition.

Stock-Based Compensation: The fair value of share based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period based on the grant-date fair value of the awards. The Corporation uses the Black-Scholes Model to estimate the fair value of each option on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model estimates the fair value of employee stock options using a pricing model which takes into consideration the exercise price of the option, the expected life of the option, the current market price and its expected volatility, the expected dividends on the stock and the current risk-free interest rate for the expected life of the option. The Corporation's estimate of the fair value of a stock option is based on expectations derived from historical experience and may not necessarily equate to its market value when fully vested. The Corporation grants stock options to employees with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant. The fair value of restricted stock is equivalent to the fair value on the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period.

Readers of the Corporation's financial statements should be aware that the estimates and assumptions used in the Corporation's current financial statements may need to be updated in future financial presentations for changes in

circumstances, business or economic conditions in order to fairly represent the condition of the Corporation at that time.

General

The Corporation earns its revenues primarily through its subsidiaries, from the margins and fees it generates from the loan and lease and depository services it provides as well as from trust fees and insurance and investment commissions. The Corporation seeks to achieve adequate and reliable earnings by growing its business while maintaining adequate levels of capital and liquidity and limiting its exposure to credit and interest rate risk to Board of Directors approved levels. Growth is pursued through expansion of current customer relationships and development of additional relationships with new offices and strategically related acquisitions. The Corporation has also taken steps in recent years to reduce its dependence on net interest income by intensifying its focus on fee based income from trust, insurance, and investment services to customers.

Table of Contents

The principal component of earnings for the Corporation is net interest income, which is the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The net interest margin, which is the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets, is affected by several factors including market interest rates, economic conditions, loan and lease demand, and deposit activity. As interest rates increase, fixed-rate assets that banks hold will tend to decrease in value; conversely, as interest rates decline, fixed-rate assets that banks hold will tend to increase in value. The Corporation is in a more asset sensitive position; although interest rates are expected to remain low for the foreseeable future, it anticipates increasing interest rates over the longer term, which it expects would benefit its net interest margin. The Corporation seeks to maintain a steady net interest margin and consistent growth of net interest income.

Effective as of the close of the business on June 29, 2011 and following receipt of required regulatory approvals, the Bank converted from a national bank to a Pennsylvania state-chartered bank and trust company, as authorized by the National Bank Act and Pennsylvania law. The Corporation believes that the charter conversion will allow greater flexibility to execute its strategy as a community bank and remain competitive in the markets it chooses to serve. As a state-chartered member bank of the Federal Reserve System, the Bank is regulated primarily by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. The conversion to a state charter did not have any significant financial or regulatory impact or affect the Corporation's current activities or customers.

Executive Overview

The Corporation's consolidated net income, earnings per share and returns on average equity and average assets were as follows:

Dollars in thousands, (except per share data)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Net income per share:			
Basic	1.13	0.95	0.75
Diluted	1.13	0.95	0.75
Return on average assets	0.89%	0.75%	0.52%
Return on average equity	6.91%	5.82%	4.68%

2011 versus 2010

The 2011 results compared to 2010 include the following significant components:

Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis increased \$1.6 million, or 2.1% during 2011 over 2010. The net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis increased 4 basis points to 4.15% from 4.11%. The increases in net interest income and net interest margin were a result of declines in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, exceeding the declines in yields on interest-earning assets. The increases were also attributed to declines in the volume of FHLB borrowings. The Corporation repaid its maturing FHLB advances in 2010 reducing average year-to-date FHLB advances from \$45.8 million in 2010 to \$5.0 million in 2011. FHLB advances at December 31, 2011 remained at \$5.0 million.

The provision for loan and lease losses declined by \$4.1 million primarily as a result of the migration and resolution of loans through the loan workout process, lower loan volume and a decrease in historical loss factors.

Non-interest income remained level with the prior year. Non-interest income for 2011 included increases from trust fees, investment advisory commissions and fees, bank owned life insurance, and an increase in the net gain on sales of securities. Additionally, the year ended December 31, 2010 was impacted by fair value write-downs on the ineffective portion of a fair value swap of \$1.1 million, which was terminated in August 2010. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decline of \$1.6 million in service charges on deposit accounts due to the amendments to Regulation E (requires customers to opt-in for overdraft protection on debit card and point of sale transactions), which was implemented on August 15, 2010; a decline of \$1.1 million in the net gain on mortgage banking activities due to weaker mortgage demand in the

first six months of 2011 with significant improvement in the last six months of 2011 due to re-financings; and an increase in the net loss on sales and write downs of other real estate owned.

Table of Contents

Non-interest expense increased \$661 thousand, or 1.0%. Salaries and benefits increased \$196 thousand mainly as a result of higher commissions, employee incentives, special awards and annual performance increases. Salaries and benefits expense also increased as the Corporation continued to grow the mortgage banking business. These increases were partially offset by higher deferred loan origination costs. The Corporation implemented higher deferred loan origination costs commencing during the fourth quarter of 2010 based upon an in-depth study performed which incorporated management's additional review time in connection with the loan approval process in the current environment. In addition, non-interest expense included increases in premises and equipment expenses of \$497 thousand and increases in loan workout, legal and other real estate owned expenses. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by a decline of \$558 thousand in marketing and advertising expenses and a decline of \$631 thousand in deposit insurance premiums mainly due to the amended assessment calculation requirement through the FDIC.

During the third and fourth quarters of 2011, the Corporation deployed \$1.0 million of capital to repurchase 77,037 shares of common stock through the stock repurchase program. Maximum shares available for future repurchases through the plan at December 31, 2011 was 566,745. Total shares outstanding at December 31, 2011 were 16,702,376.

2010 versus 2009

The 2010 results compared to 2009 include the following significant pretax components:

Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis increased \$6.4 million, or 9.0% during 2010 over 2009. The net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis increased 32 basis points to 4.11% from 3.79%. The increases in net interest income and the net interest margin during 2010 were mainly attributable to declines in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, primarily time deposits, and a decline in the volume of FHLB borrowings, exceeding the declines in yields on total interest-earning assets. The Corporation experienced core deposit growth which allowed the Corporation to not replace or renew its maturing FHLB advances.

The provision for loan and lease losses increased by \$679 thousand primarily due to the migration of loans to higher-risk ratings as a result of deterioration of underlying collateral and economic factors.

Non-interest income increased \$4.5 million, or 15.0% primarily due to increased income from trust fees, investment advisory commissions and fees, insurance commissions and fees, other service fee income, a higher net gain of mortgage banking activities and a litigation settlement. Additionally, 2009 was impacted by other-than-temporary impairments of \$1.7 million on equity securities and \$500 thousand on other long-lived assets compared to \$62 thousand of other-than-temporary impairments recorded during 2010. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decline in service charges on deposit accounts in part due to Regulation E which was implemented in the third quarter of 2010, a reduction in the net gain on sales of securities and a net loss on the interest rate swap of \$1.1 million during 2010 compared to a net gain of \$641 thousand during 2009.

Non-interest expense increased \$2.0 million, or 3.1%. Salaries and benefits increased \$612 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in the prior year mainly due to additional personnel to grow the commercial lending and mortgage banking businesses, higher restricted stock expense and increased incentive awards partially offset by reduced pension plan expenses. Equipment expense increased \$373 thousand primarily as a result of increased computer software contract expenses. Marketing and advertising expenses increased \$478 thousand mainly to support a major brand campaign to position the Corporation to take advantage of the disruption in its markets. Other expenses increased \$875 thousand primarily due to increased director fees resulting mainly from fair value adjustments on directors' deferred fees, increased legal fees resulting from non-performing loan activity, increased audit expenses and increased interchange expenses.

Acquisitions

On December 31, 2008, the Corporation completed the acquisition of the Trollinger Consulting Group and related entities, an independent actuarial, administrative, consulting/compliance, and investment counseling firm that exclusively serves Municipal Pension Plan clients. The Corporation recorded \$2.9 million in goodwill and \$3.0 million in customer related intangibles as a result of the Trollinger Consulting Group acquisition. The Corporation recorded additional goodwill of \$157 thousand in 2009. The Corporation recorded additional goodwill of

\$1.8 million and \$925 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively for earn-out payments related to the acquisition of Trollinger Consulting Group for achieving specified operating income targets. The Corporation has no remaining contingent earn-out payments.

On December 29, 2008, the Corporation completed the acquisition of Liberty Benefits, Inc., a full service employee benefits brokerage and consulting firm specializing in providing comprehensive employee benefits packages to businesses both large and small. The Corporation recorded \$2.8 million in goodwill and \$740 thousand in customer related intangibles as a result of the Liberty Benefits, Inc. acquisition.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on loans and leases, investments and other interest-earning assets and interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is the principal source of the Corporation's revenue. Table 1 presents a summary of the Corporation's average balances; the tax-equivalent yields earned on average assets, and the cost of average liabilities, and shareholders' equity on a tax-equivalent basis for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009. The tax-equivalent net interest margin is tax-equivalent net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The tax-equivalent net interest spread represents the difference between the weighted average tax-equivalent yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The effect of net interest free funding sources represents the effect on the net interest margin of net funding provided by noninterest-earning assets, noninterest-bearing liabilities and shareholders' equity. Table 2 analyzes the changes in the tax-equivalent net interest income for the periods broken down by their rate and volume components. Sensitivities associated with the mix of assets and liabilities are numerous and complex. The Investment Asset/Liability Management Committee works to maintain an adequate and stable net interest margin for the Corporation.

Table of Contents**Table 1 Average Balances and Interest Rates Tax-Equivalent Basis**

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,									
	Average Balance	2011 Income/Average Expense Rate	Average Balance	2010 Income/Average Expense Rate	Average Balance	2009 Income/Average Expense Rate	Average Balance	2009 Income/Average Expense Rate	Average Balance	
Assets:										
Interest-earning deposits with other banks	\$ 44,696	\$ 116	0.26%	\$ 24,790	\$ 72	0.29%	\$ 5,645	\$ 16	0.28%	
U.S. Government obligations	145,253	2,366	1.68	151,725	3,160	2.08	110,781	3,608	3.26	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	111,722	6,875	6.15	108,694	7,006	6.45	104,481	6,890	6.59	
Other debt and equity securities	172,238	5,697	3.31	172,763	7,217	4.18	218,660	10,406	4.76	
Federal funds sold							58			
Total interest-earning deposits, investments and federal funds sold	473,909	15,054	3.18	457,972	17,455	3.81	439,625	20,920	4.76	
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	428,222	19,721	4.61	422,401	20,315	4.81	410,729	18,838	4.59	
Real estate-commercial and construction loans	541,073	29,152	5.39	534,573	30,834	5.77	521,029	30,549	5.86	
Real estate-residential loans	246,102	10,740	4.36	256,427	11,124	4.34	291,229	13,520	4.64	
Loans to individuals	42,760	2,433	5.69	45,287	2,698	5.96	49,930	3,440	6.89	
Municipal loans and leases	129,880	7,471	5.75	107,832	6,248	5.79	90,238	5,360	5.94	
Lease financings	60,042	5,856	9.75	75,565	6,851	9.07	90,019	7,739	8.60	
Gross loans and leases	1,448,079	75,373	5.21	1,442,085	78,070	5.41	1,453,174	79,446	5.47	
Total interest-earning assets	1,921,988	90,427	4.70	1,900,057	95,525	5.03	1,892,799	100,366	5.30	
Cash and due from banks	41,028			35,612			33,514			
Reserve for loan and lease losses	(33,152)			(28,688)			(18,200)			
Premises and equipment, net	34,376			34,914			33,170			
Other assets	158,548			151,527			142,164			

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Total assets	\$ 2,122,788			\$ 2,093,422			\$ 2,083,447		
Liabilities:									
Interest-bearing checking deposits	\$ 206,830	238	0.12	\$ 178,679	242	0.14	\$ 162,615	257	0.16
Money market savings	299,299	701	0.23	303,012	1,060	0.35	305,113	1,724	0.57
Regular savings	482,064	1,468	0.30	445,721	2,555	0.57	353,748	2,955	0.84
Time deposits	408,638	6,576	1.61	432,919	10,054	2.32	508,337	17,371	3.42
Total time and interest-bearing deposits	1,396,831	8,983	0.64	1,360,331	13,911	1.02	1,329,813	22,307	1.68
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	102,873	286	0.28	97,667	390	0.40	91,390	544	0.60
Other short-term borrowings	3,407	46	1.35	42,109	1,726	4.10	92,209	2,937	3.19
Long-term debt	5,000	190	3.80	5,363	190	3.54	48,979	1,640	3.35
Subordinated notes and capital securities	23,425	1,223	5.22	24,927	1,252	5.02	26,427	1,295	4.90
Total borrowings	134,705	1,745	1.30	170,066	3,558	2.09	259,005	6,416	2.48
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,531,536	10,728	0.70	1,530,397	17,469	1.14	1,588,818	28,723	1.81
Demand deposits, non-interest bearing	284,850			259,303			224,417		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	33,147			33,232			39,817		
Total liabilities	1,849,533			1,822,932			1,853,052		
Shareholders Equity:									
Common stock	91,332			91,332			80,969		
Additional paid-in capital	61,457			61,420			37,844		
Retained earnings and other equity	120,466			117,738			111,582		
Total shareholders equity	273,255			270,490			230,395		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,122,788			\$ 2,093,422			\$ 2,083,447		
Net interest income		\$ 79,699			\$ 78,056			\$ 71,643	
Net interest spread			4.00			3.89			3.49
			0.15			0.22			0.30

Effect of net
interest-free funding
sources

Net interest margin	4.15%	4.11%	3.79%
---------------------	--------------	-------	-------

Ratio of average
interest-earning assets
to average
interest-bearing
liabilities

125.49%	124.15%	119.13%
----------------	---------	---------

Notes: For rate calculation purposes, average loan and lease categories include unearned discount.

Nonaccrual loans and leases have been included in the average loan and lease balances.

Loans held for sale have been included in the average loan balances.

Tax-equivalent amounts for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 have been calculated using the Corporation's federal applicable rate of 35.0%.

Table of Contents**Table 2 Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income**

The rate-volume variance analysis set forth in the table below compares changes in tax-equivalent net interest for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009, indicated by their rate and volume components. The change in interest income/expense due to both volume and rate has been allocated proportionately.

(Dollars in thousands)	The Years Ended December 31, 2011 Versus 2010			The Years Ended December 31, 2010 Versus 2009		
	Volume Change	Rate Change	Total	Volume Change	Rate Change	Total
Interest income:						
Interest-earning deposits with other banks	\$ 52	\$ (8)	\$ 44	\$ 55	\$ 1	\$ 56
U.S. Government obligations	(131)	(663)	(794)	1,094	(1,542)	(448)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	195	(326)	(131)	268	(152)	116
Other debt and equity securities	(22)	(1,498)	(1,520)	(2,018)	(1,171)	(3,189)
Interest on deposits, investments and federal funds sold	94	(2,495)	(2,401)	(601)	(2,864)	(3,465)
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans and leases	273	(867)	(594)	550	927	1,477
Real estate-commercial and construction loans	371	(2,053)	(1,682)	769	(484)	285
Real estate-residential loans	(436)	52	(384)	(1,555)	(841)	(2,396)
Loans to individuals	(147)	(118)	(265)	(303)	(439)	(742)
Municipal loans and leases	1,266	(43)	1,223	1,026	(138)	888
Lease financings	(1,482)	487	(995)	(1,294)	406	(888)
Interest and fees on loans and leases	(155)	(2,542)	(2,697)	(807)	(569)	(1,376)
Total interest income	(61)	(5,037)	(5,098)	(1,408)	(3,433)	(4,841)
Interest expense:						
Interest-bearing checking deposits	35	(39)	(4)	22	(37)	(15)
Money market savings	(12)	(347)	(359)	(12)	(652)	(664)
Regular savings	194	(1,281)	(1,087)	675	(1,075)	(400)
Time deposits	(538)	(2,940)	(3,478)	(2,309)	(5,008)	(7,317)
Interest on time and interest-bearing deposits	(321)	(4,607)	(4,928)	(1,624)	(6,772)	(8,396)
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	20	(124)	(104)	36	(190)	(154)
Other short-term borrowings	(971)	(709)	(1,680)	(1,894)	683	(1,211)
Long-term debt				(1,538)	88	(1,450)
Subordinated notes and capital securities	(77)	48	(29)	(75)	32	(43)
Interest on borrowings	(1,028)	(785)	(1,813)	(3,471)	613	(2,858)

Total interest expense	(1,349)	(5,392)	(6,741)	(5,095)	(6,159)	(11,254)
Net interest income	\$ 1,288	\$ 355	\$ 1,643	\$ 3,687	\$ 2,726	\$ 6,413

Notes: For rate calculation purposes, average loan and lease categories include unearned discount.

Nonaccrual loans and leases have been included in the average loan and lease balances.

Loans held for sale have been included in the average loan balances.

Tax-equivalent amounts for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 have been calculated using the Corporation's federal applicable rate of 35.0%.

2011 versus 2010

Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$79.7 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 2.1% compared to 2010. The tax-equivalent net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased 4 basis points to 4.15% from 4.11% for 2010. The increase in net interest income and the net interest margin during 2011 was a result of declines in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, exceeding the declines in yields on interest-earning assets. The increases were also attributed to declines in the volume of FHLB borrowings. The Corporation repaid its maturing FHLB advances in 2010 reducing average year-to-date FHLB advances from \$45.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. FHLB advances at December 31, 2011 remained at \$5.0 million.

Table of Contents***2010 versus 2009***

Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$78.1 million, an increase of \$6.4 million, or 9.0% compared to 2009. The tax-equivalent net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased 32 basis points to 4.11% from 3.79% for 2009. The increase in net interest income and the net interest margin during 2010 was mainly attributable to declines in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, primarily time deposits, and a decline in the volume of FHLB borrowings, exceeding the declines in yields on total interest-earning assets. The Corporation experienced core deposit growth which allowed the Corporation to not replace or renew its maturing FHLB advances reducing FHLB advances from \$92.0 million at December 31, 2009 to \$5.0 million at December 31, 2010.

Interest Income***2011 versus 2010***

Interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the year ended December 31, 2011 decreased \$5.1 million, or 5.3% from 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a 63 basis point decrease in the average rate earned on investment securities and deposits at other banks as well as a 20 basis point decrease in the average rate earned on loans. The decline in interest income on investment securities and deposits at other banks of \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to maturities, pay-downs and calls of investment securities and replacement with lower yielding investments due to the lower interest rate environment and an increase in interest-bearing deposits as the Corporation continues to keep the investment portfolio short. Interest and fees on loans and leases declined by \$2.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The Corporation experienced decreases in the average rates on commercial real estate and construction loans and commercial business loans as well as decreases in average volume for residential real estate loans and lease financings. These decreases were mostly attributable to the lower interest rate environment and increased refinancing activity as well as reduced leasing origination volume. These unfavorable variances were offset by growth of municipal loans and leases, commercial business loans and commercial real estate and construction loans.

2010 versus 2009

Interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$4.8 million, or 4.8% from 2009. This decrease was mainly due to a 95 basis point decrease in the average rate earned on investment securities and deposits at other banks, a 6 basis point decrease in the average rate earned on loans and an \$11.1 million decrease in average loan volume. The decline in interest income on investment securities and deposits at other banks of \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 was mostly due to the lower interest rate environment during 2010. The decline in interest and fees earned on loans and leases of \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to decreases in the average rates on residential real estate loans, commercial real estate and construction loans and loans to individuals as well as decreases in average volume for residential real estate loans and lease financings. These decreases were mostly attributable to the lower interest rate environment and increased refinancing activity as well as reduced lease origination volume. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by growth and higher average rates of commercial business loans as well as growth in commercial real estate and construction loans and municipal loans and leases.

Interest Expense***2011 versus 2010***

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 decreased \$6.7 million, or 38.6% from 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a 38 basis point decrease in the Corporation's average cost of deposits as well as a \$35.4 million decrease in average borrowings and a 79 basis point decrease in the average borrowing rate. The decrease in the Corporation's cost of deposits was largely attributable to re-pricing of time deposit accounts as well as regular savings accounts. For the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense on time deposits decreased \$3.5 million and interest expense on savings accounts decreased by \$1.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2011, average interest-bearing deposits increased by \$36.5 million with increases in average interest-bearing checking of \$28.2 million, average regular savings of \$36.3 million partially offset by a decrease in average time deposits of \$24.3 million. The Corporation's focus on growing low cost core deposits by attaining new customers and the lower interest rate environment has resulted in a shift in customer deposits from time deposits to savings accounts and

interest-bearing checking accounts. Interest on other short-term borrowings mainly includes interest paid on federal funds purchased and short-term FHLB borrowings as well as the amortization of fees on short-term FHLB letters of credit. Interest expense on other short-term borrowings decreased \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to a decrease in average volume of \$38.7 million and a reduction in average rate of 275 basis points. The decreases in average rate and volume were mostly due to maturities of FHLB advances.

Table of Contents***2010 versus 2009***

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$11.3 million, or 39.2% from 2009. This decrease was mainly due to a 66 basis point decrease in the Corporation's average cost of deposits and an \$88.9 million decrease in average borrowings. The decrease in the Corporation's cost of deposits was largely attributable to maturities of higher yielding time deposit accounts. For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense on time deposits decreased \$7.3 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, average deposits increased by \$30.5 million with increases in average regular savings of \$92.0 million and interest-bearing checking of \$16.1 million partially offset by decreases in average time deposits of \$75.4 million. The Corporation's focus on growing low cost core deposits and the lower interest rate environment resulted in a shift in customer deposits from time deposits to savings accounts. In addition, the average balance of time deposits decreased, in part, from a reduction of brokered deposits due to the Corporation's reduced reliance on wholesale funding sources. Interest expense on other short-term borrowings decreased \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 2009 due to a decrease in average volume of \$50.1 million partially offset by an average rate increase of 91 basis points. Interest on long-term debt, which consisted of long-term FHLB borrowings, decreased by \$1.5 million mainly due to a decline in average volume of \$43.6 million, resulting from reclasses from long-term FHLB debt to short-term borrowings as the remaining term to maturity became one year or less.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The reserve for loan and lease losses is determined through a periodic evaluation that takes into consideration the growth of the loan and lease portfolio, the status of past-due loans and leases, current economic conditions, various types of lending activity, policies, real estate and other loan commitments, and significant changes in charge-off activity. Loans and leases are also reviewed for impairment based on discounted cash flows using the loans' initial effective interest rates or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral dependent loans. Any of the above criteria may cause the reserve to fluctuate. The provision for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$17.5 million, \$21.6 million and \$20.9 million, respectively. The decrease in the provision during 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily the result of the migration and resolution of loans through the loan workout process, lower loan volume and a decrease in historical loss factors. The increase in provision in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to the migration of loans to higher-risk ratings as a result of deterioration of underlying collateral and economic factors that began to manifest in June 2009.

Noninterest Income

Non-interest income consists of trust department fee income, service charges on deposit accounts, commission income, net gains (losses) on sales of securities and loans, net gains (losses) on mortgage banking activities, net gains (losses) on interest rate swaps, net gains (losses) on sales and write-downs of other real estate owned and other miscellaneous types of income. Other service fee income primarily consists of fees from credit card companies for a portion of merchant charges paid to the credit card companies for the Bank's customer debit card usage (Mastermoney interchange fees), non-customer debit card fees, other merchant fees, mortgage servicing income and mortgage placement income. Bank owned life insurance income represents changes in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance policies, which is affected by the market value of the underlying assets, and also includes any excess proceeds from death benefit claims. The net gain (loss) on mortgage banking activities consists of gains (losses) on sales of mortgages held for sale and fair value adjustments on interest-rate locks and forward loan commitments. Other non-interest income includes gains (losses) on investments in partnerships and other miscellaneous income.

Table of Contents

The following table presents noninterest income as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December			\$ Change		% Change	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009
Trust fee income	\$ 6,344	\$ 6,080	\$ 5,536	\$ 264	\$ 544	4.3%	9.8%
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,057	6,693	7,036	(1,636)	(343)	(24.4)	(4.9)
Investment advisory commission and fee income	5,373	4,626	3,427	747	1,199	16.1	35.0
Insurance commission and fee income	7,733	7,694	7,081	39	613	0.5	8.7
Other service fee income	5,240	5,046	3,410	194	1,636	3.8	48.0
Bank owned life insurance income	1,668	1,270	1,321	398	(51)	31.3	(3.9)
Other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities	(16)	(62)	(1,708)	46	1,646	74.2	96.4
Other-than-temporary impairment on other long lived assets			(500)		500		N/M
Net gain on sales of securities	1,417	432	1,150	985	(718)	N/M	(62.4)
Net gain on mortgage banking activities.	1,868	2,960	2,378	(1,092)	582	(36.9)	24.5
Net (loss) gain on interest rate swap		(1,072)	641	1,072	(1,713)	N/M	N/M
Net loss on dispositions of fixed assets	(12)	(11)	(144)	(1)	133	(9.1)	92.4
Net loss on sales and write-downs of other real estate owned	(798)	(377)	(207)	(421)	(170)	N/M	(82.1)
Other	533	1,139	496	(606)	643	(53.2)	N/M
Total noninterest income	\$ 34,407	\$ 34,418	\$ 29,917	\$ (11)	\$ 4,501		15.0

2011 versus 2010

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$34.4 million, remaining level with the prior year. Non-interest income for 2011 included increases from trust fees of \$264 thousand, investment advisory commissions and fees of \$747 thousand, bank owned life insurance income of \$398 thousand and an increase in the net gain on sales of securities of \$985 thousand. Additionally, the year ended December 31, 2010 was impacted by fair value write-downs on the ineffective portion of a fair value swap of \$1.1 million, which was terminated in August 2010 due to the forecasted low interest rate environment. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decline of \$1.6 million in service charges on deposit accounts due to the amendments to Regulation E which were implemented on August 15, 2010; a decline of \$1.1 million in the net gain on mortgage banking activities due to weaker mortgage demand in the first six months of 2011 with significant improvement in the last six months of 2011 due to

re-financings; and an increase in the net loss on sales and fair value write-downs of other real estate owned properties of \$421 thousand. The first quarter of 2010 also included proceeds from a litigation settlement which is reflected in other income.

Investment advisory commissions and fee income, the primary source of income for Univest Investments, Inc., increased \$747 thousand for the year December 31, 2011 over 2010 mostly as a result of attaining several new customers.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$1.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 over 2010 mainly due to the implementation of Regulation E. In November 2009, the Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule that, effective July 1, 2010, in accordance with Regulation E, prohibits financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions. The Corporation implemented the provisions of Regulation E in the third quarter of 2010. In July 2011, the Corporation implemented changes reducing insufficient funds and overdraft fees which reflected changes in industry practices to benefit customers.

Other service fee income for the year ended December 31, 2011 included increases in interchange fees compared to 2010 which were mostly offset by an increase in unfavorable valuation adjustments on mortgage servicing rights of \$641 thousand in 2011 due to the decline in interest rates which increased the projected speeds of prepayment.

The sale of available for sale investment securities during the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$40.5 million and \$13.5 million, respectively, and consisted primarily of U.S. government agency and municipal bonds. The Corporation did not realize any significant other-than-temporary impairment charges on its equity portfolio during year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Corporation carefully monitors all of its equity securities and has not taken impairment losses on certain other securities in an unrealized loss position, at this time, as the financial performance and near-term prospects of the underlying companies are not indicative of the market deterioration of their stock. The Corporation has the positive intent and ability to hold these securities and believes it is more likely than not, that it will not have to sell these securities until recovery to the Corporation's cost basis occurs.

Table of Contents**2010 versus 2009**

For the year ended December 31, 2010, total non-interest income was \$34.4 million, an increase of \$4.5 million, or 15.0% compared to 2009. This was primarily due to increased income from trust fees, investment advisory commissions and fees, insurance commissions and fees, other service fee income primarily related to interchange fees, a higher net gain of mortgage banking activities and a litigation settlement reflected in other income. Additionally, the year ended December 31, 2009 was impacted by other-than-temporary impairments of \$1.7 million on equity securities and \$500 thousand on other long-lived assets compared to \$62 thousand of other-than-temporary impairments recorded in the year ended December 31, 2010. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decline in service charges on deposit accounts in part due to Regulation E which was implemented during the third quarter of 2010, a reduction in the net gain on sales of securities and a net loss on the interest rate swap of \$1.1 million during 2010 compared to a net gain of \$641 thousand during the same period in the prior year.

Investment advisory commissions and fee income increased \$1.2 million for the year December 31, 2010 over 2009 primarily due to an increase in the market value of client assets as well as higher business volume. Insurance commission and fee income increased by \$613 thousand during the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily attributable to increased volume. The increase in the net gain on mortgage banking activities of \$3.0 million was primarily due to an increase in volume.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately \$14.6 million of available for sale securities were sold recognizing a net gain of \$432 thousand. During the year ended December 31, 2009, approximately \$50.8 million of securities were sold recognizing a net gain of \$1.2 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Corporation recognized a loss of \$1.1 million related to fair value adjustments on an interest rate swap for a commercial real estate loan, due to the decline in interest rates during 2010. This interest rate swap was terminated during the third quarter of 2010 due to the forecasted low interest rate environment. Fair value adjustments on the interest rate swap for the year ended December 31, 2009 resulted in a net gain of \$641 thousand.

Noninterest Expense

The operating costs of the Corporation are known as noninterest expense, and include, but are not limited to, salaries and benefits, equipment expense, and occupancy costs. Expense control is very important to the management of the Corporation, and every effort is made to contain and minimize the growth of operating expenses, and to provide technological innovation whenever practical, as operations change or expand.

The following table presents noninterest expense as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,			\$ Change		% Change	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009	2011 to 2010	2010 to 2009
Salaries and benefits	\$ 38,230	\$ 38,034	\$ 37,422	\$ 196	\$ 612	0.5%	1.6%
Net occupancy	5,782	5,476	5,274	306	202	5.6	3.8
Equipment	4,002	3,811	3,438	191	373	5.0	10.8
Marketing and advertising	1,760	2,318	1,840	(558)	478	(24.1)	26.0
Deposit insurance premiums	2,039	2,670	3,185	(631)	(515)	(23.6)	(16.2)
Other	16,197	15,040	14,165	1,157	875	7.7	6.2
Total noninterest expense	\$ 68,010	\$ 67,349	\$ 65,324	\$ 661	\$ 2,025	1.0	3.1

2011 versus 2010

Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$68.0 million, an increase of \$661 thousand or 1.0% compared to 2010. Salaries and benefits increased \$196 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared 2010 mainly as a result of higher commissions, employee incentives, special awards for employees up through senior vice president and annual performance increases. Salaries and benefits expense also increased as the Corporation continued to grow the mortgage banking business. These increases were partially offset by higher deferred loan origination costs. The Corporation implemented higher deferred loan origination costs on loan credits, commencing during the fourth quarter of 2010, based upon an in-depth study performed which incorporated management s additional review time spent as a result of

Table of Contents

increased scrutiny of loan credits. Additionally, as more loan approvals are currently being approved at the Committee level as opposed to individual relationship managers and as the Corporation proactively manages its credit risk given the current economic environment, increased costs for each loan credit are being incurred in connection with the loan approval process; and as a result, a higher level of costs are being deferred. In addition, non-interest expense included increases in occupancy expenses of \$497 thousand primarily due to increased rent, taxes and other occupancy costs related to a branch relocation and branch improvements and increases in other expenses mostly due to increased loan workout, legal and other real estate owned expenses. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by a decline of \$558 thousand in marketing and advertising expenses due to a major brand campaign in 2010 and a decline of \$631 thousand in deposit insurance premiums mainly due to the amended assessment calculation requirement through the FDIC implemented April 1, 2011. The payment was formerly based on deposits whereas the rule change now bases the payment on the average consolidated total assets less average tangible equity.

2010 versus 2009

For the year ended December 31, 2010, non-interest expense was \$67.3 million, an increase of \$2.0 million or 3.1% compared to 2009. Salaries and benefits increased \$612 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in the prior year mainly due to additional personnel to grow the commercial lending and mortgage banking businesses, higher restricted stock expense and increased incentive awards partially offset by reduced pension plan expenses and higher deferred loan origination costs. The reduction in pension plan expenses was primarily a result of the Corporation's conversion to a cash balance plan effective December 31, 2009 as well as a change in the valuation of the Corporation non-qualified pension plans associated with projecting future changes in the Consumer Price Index. The Corporation implemented higher deferred loan origination costs commencing during the fourth quarter of 2010 as previously discussed. Equipment expense increased \$373 thousand primarily as a result of increased computer software contract expenses. Marketing and advertising expenses increased \$478 thousand mainly to support a major brand campaign to position the Corporation to take advantage of the disruption in its markets. Deposit insurance premiums decreased \$515 thousand primarily due to the FDIC special assessment which affected all banks and resulted in an additional charge of \$947 thousand to the Corporation in the second quarter of 2009 partially offset by higher deposit insurance premiums in 2010 due to the growth in deposits. Other expenses increased \$875 thousand primarily due to increased director fees resulting mainly from fair value adjustments on directors deferred fees, increased legal fees resulting from non-performing loan activity, increased audit expenses and increased interchange expenses.

Tax Provision

The provision for income taxes was \$4.8 million, \$3.3 million and \$563 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively at effective rates of 20.2%, 17.2% and 5.0%, respectively. The effective tax rates reflect tax-exempt income from investments in municipal securities, loans and bank-owned life insurance. Additionally, 2009 reflects the benefits of tax credits generated from investments in low-income housing projects. The increase in the effective tax rate between the years of 2011 and 2010 was primarily due to a smaller percentage of tax-exempt income to pre-tax income in 2011. The increase in the effective tax rate between the years of 2010 and 2009 was also primarily due to a smaller percentage of tax-exempt income to pre-tax income in 2010.

Financial Condition

During 2011, total assets increased primarily due to an increase in cash and other short-term interest-earning deposits, as well as growth in bank-owned life insurance, partially offset by a decrease in total loans and leases. Detailed explanations of these fluctuations are discussed as follows.

Table of Contents**ASSETS**

The following table presents assets as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	2011	At December 31,		% Change
		2010	\$ Change	
Cash and interest-earning deposits	\$ 107,377	\$ 29,187	\$ 78,190	N/M%
Investment securities	471,165	467,024	4,141	0.9
Loans held for sale	3,157	4,178	(1,021)	(24.4)
Total loans and leases	1,446,406	1,471,186	(24,780)	(1.7)
Reserve for loan and lease losses	(29,870)	(30,898)	1,028	3.3
Premises and equipment, net	34,303	34,605	(302)	(0.9)
Goodwill and other intangibles, net.	58,039	56,797	1,242	2.2
Bank owned life insurance	61,387	48,010	13,377	27.9
Accrued interest and other assets	54,875	53,804	1,071	2.0
Total assets	\$ 2,206,839	\$ 2,133,893	\$ 72,946	3.4

Cash and Interest-earning Deposits

Interest-earning deposits increased \$50.0 million as of December 31, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010. Excess funds generated from increases in public funds as well as lower loan volume from continued light credit demand were invested in Federal Reserve interest-earning deposits as the Corporation continues to keep the investment portfolio short.

Investment Securities

The investment portfolio is managed as part of the overall asset and liability management process to provide liquidity to the Bank, optimize income and market performance over an entire interest rate cycle while mitigating risk. Activity in this portfolio is undertaken primarily to manage liquidity and interest rate risk and to take advantage of market conditions that create more economically beneficial returns on these investments, and to collateralize public fund deposits. The securities portfolio consists primarily of U.S. government agencies, municipals, residential mortgage-backed securities and corporate bonds. Total investments increased primarily due to purchases of corporate and municipal bonds, partially offset by maturities, sales and calls of U.S. Government agency securities and mortgage-backed securities.

Table 3 Investment Securities

The following table shows the carrying amount of investment securities as of the dates indicated. Held-to-maturity and available-for-sale portfolios are combined.

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
U.S. treasury	\$ 2,525	\$	\$
U.S. government corporations and agencies	154,264	188,100	119,992
State and political subdivisions	117,005	108,048	107,566
Residential mortgage-backed securities	78,801	85,116	101,376
Commercial mortgage obligations	61,464	73,091	79,454
Asset-backed securities			573
Corporate bonds	50,571	7,974	7,192
Money market mutual funds	3,851	1,710	1,968
Equity securities	2,684	2,985	1,924

Total investment securities	\$ 471,165	\$ 467,024	\$ 420,045
-----------------------------	-------------------	------------	------------

Table of Contents**Table 4 Investment Securities (Yields)**

The following table shows the maturity distribution and weighted average yields of the investment securities as of the dates indicated. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because debt issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties; therefore, the stated yield may not be recognized in future periods. Equity securities have no stated maturity and the current dividend yields may not be recognized in future periods. The weighted average yield is calculated by dividing income, which has not been tax equated on tax-exempt obligations, within each contractual maturity range by the outstanding amount of the related investment. Held-to-maturity and available-for-sale portfolios are combined.

(Dollars in thousands)	2011		At December 31, 2010		2009	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
1 Year or less	\$ 17,219	0.89%	\$ 12,205	1.58%	\$ 14,495	1.91%
After 1 Year to 5 Years	205,123	1.79	195,127	1.89	125,349	3.01
After 5 Years to 10 Years	39,666	3.53	38,812	4.12	54,795	4.48
After 10 Years	206,473	3.62	217,895	4.10	223,482	4.48
No stated maturity	2,684	1.82	2,985	1.39	1,924	2.42
Total	\$ 471,165	2.71	\$ 467,024	3.10	\$ 420,045	3.94

Loans and Leases

Total gross loans and leases decreased \$24.8 million at December 31, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010 primarily due to decreases of \$49.6 million in construction loans, \$7.0 million in residential real estate loans and \$8.8 million in lease financings, net of unearned income. These decreases were partially offset by increases of \$21.2 million in commercial, financial and agricultural loans and \$18.6 million in commercial real estate loans. While the Corporation experienced increased loan activity in the fourth quarter of 2011, overall credit demand and utilization of lines by businesses and consumers remains light as a result of the prolonged challenging economic environment.

At December 31, 2011 there were no concentrations of loans or leases exceeding 10% of total loans and leases other than as disclosed in Table 5.

Table 5 Loan and Lease Portfolio

The following table presents the composition of the loan and lease portfolio as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 484,687	\$ 463,518	\$ 447,495	\$ 424,649	\$ 381,826
Real estate commercial	514,953	496,357	467,320	395,855	390,568
Real estate construction	90,397	139,958	112,259	156,654	137,566
Real estate residential	238,179	245,210	266,622	316,039	310,571
Loans to individuals	44,965	44,087	46,761	54,212	72,476
Lease financings	73,225	82,056	85,523	102,483	62,435
Total loans and leases, net of deferred income	\$ 1,446,406	\$ 1,471,186	\$ 1,425,980	\$ 1,449,892	\$ 1,355,442

Table of Contents**Table 6 Loan and Lease Maturities and Sensitivity to Changes in Interest Rates**

The following table presents the maturity and interest rate sensitivity of the loan and lease portfolio at December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Total	Due in One Year or Less	Due after One Year to Five Years	Due after Five Years
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 484,687	\$ 335,454	\$ 135,411	\$ 13,822
Real estate commercial	514,953	160,244	322,709	32,000
Real estate construction	90,397	59,172	20,237	10,988
Real estate residential	238,179	90,168	41,680	106,331
Loans to individuals	44,965	18,130	10,721	16,114
Leases financings	73,225	32,634	40,543	48
Total gross loans and leases	\$ 1,446,406	\$ 695,802	\$ 571,301	\$ 179,303
Loans and leases with fixed predetermined interest rates	\$ 712,279	\$ 144,010	\$ 438,529	\$ 129,740
Loans and leases with variable or floating interest rates	734,127	551,792	132,772	49,563
Total gross loans and leases	\$ 1,446,406	\$ 695,802	\$ 571,301	\$ 179,303

The commercial mortgages and Industrial Development Authority mortgages that are presently being written at both fixed and floating rates of interest primarily include loans written for three or five-year terms with a monthly payment based on up to a twenty-year amortization schedule. At each three-year or five-year anniversary date of the mortgages, the interest rate is renegotiated and the term of the loan is extended for an additional three or five years. At each three-year or five-year anniversary date of the mortgages, the Bank also has the right to require payment in full. These are included in the Due in One to Five Years category in the table above.

Asset Quality

Performance of the entire loan and lease portfolio is reviewed on a regular basis by bank management and loan officers. A number of factors regarding the borrower, such as overall financial strength, collateral values and repayment ability, are considered in deciding what actions should be taken when determining the collectability of interest for accrual purposes.

When a loan or lease, including a loan or lease impaired, is classified as nonaccrual, the accrual of interest on such a loan or lease is discontinued. A loan or lease is classified as nonaccrual when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due or management has serious doubts about the further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan or lease is currently performing. A loan or lease may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan or lease is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest credited to income is reversed. Interest received on nonaccrual loans and leases is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management's judgment as to the collectability of principal.

Loans or leases are usually restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt.

Total cash basis, troubled debt restructured and nonaccrual loans and leases totaled \$42.1 million at December 31, 2011, \$45.8 million at December 31, 2010, and \$37.1 million at December 31, 2009; the balance at December 31,

2011 and 2010 primarily consisted of commercial real estate, construction and commercial, financial and agricultural loans. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, interest income that would have been recognized under the original terms for impaired loans was \$2.6 million, \$2.1 million, and \$969 thousand, respectively. Interest income recognized in the years ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$261 thousand, \$119 thousand and \$79 thousand, respectively. The Corporation's ratio of nonperforming assets to total loans and leases and other real estate owned was 3.38% as of December 31, 2011, 3.32% as of December 31, 2010, and 2.89% as of December 31, 2009. The ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was 2.22% at December 31, 2011, 2.29% at December 31, 2010, and 1.98% at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011, the recorded investment in loans and leases that are considered to be impaired was \$42.1 million, all of which were on a nonaccrual basis or troubled debt restructured. The related reserve for loan losses was \$1.3 million. At December 31, 2010, the recorded investment in loans and leases that are considered to be impaired was \$45.8 million, all of which were on a nonaccrual basis or troubled debt restructured. The related reserve for loan losses was \$1.6 million. The amount of the specific reserve needed for these credits could change in future periods subject to changes in facts and judgments related to these credits. Specific reserves have been established based on current facts and management's judgments about the ultimate outcome of these credits. Impaired loans and leases decreased \$3.7 million during 2011 mainly consisting of decreases in commercial, financial and agricultural of \$3.1 million, construction loans of \$1.6 million and residential real estate loans of \$1.2 million, partially offset by an increase in commercial real estate of \$2.8 million. Charge-offs, foreclosures and pay-downs exceeded the additions to impaired loans during 2011. Impaired loans at December 31, 2011 included one large Shared National Credit to a theatre with an outstanding balance of \$7.7 million. At December 31, 2011, the credit was secured with sufficient estimated collateral and therefore, there was no specific reserve on this credit. The theatre continues to be open and operating. In addition, impaired loans at December 31, 2011 included one large credit which went on non-accrual during the third quarter of 2009 and is for four separate facilities to a local commercial real estate developer/home builder, aggregating to \$14.4 million at December 31, 2011. There is no specific allowance on this credit as the credit was secured with sufficient estimated collateral. The borrower does not have the resources to develop these properties; therefore, the properties must be sold.

During 2010, the Corporation acquired two other real estate owned properties, one of which was sold during the fourth quarter of 2010 and the other was sold during 2011. Eight other real estate owned properties were acquired in 2011, four of which were sold in 2011. At December 31, 2011, the Corporation owned four other real estate owned properties which were commercial properties. For the years ended December, 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the net loss on sales and write-downs of other real estate owned was \$798 thousand, \$377 thousand and \$207 thousand, respectively. The other real estate owned balance was \$6.6 million and \$2.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table 7 Nonaccrual, Past Due and Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases, and Other Real Estate Owned

The following table details the aggregate principal balance of loans and leases classified as nonaccrual (including nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases), past due loans and leases and accruing troubled debt restructured loans and leases as well as other real estate owned as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Nonaccrual loans and leases, including nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases*:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 4,614	\$ 7,627	\$ 3,275	\$ 520	\$ 3,473
Real estate commercial	18,085	17,750	3,482	1,758	1,036
Real estate construction	14,479	17,307	25,395	1,640	2,308
Real estate residential	191	1,625	572	813	
Loans to individuals		21			
Leases financings	838	902	774	298	61
Total nonaccrual loans and leases, including nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases*	38,207	45,232	33,498	5,029	6,878
Accruing troubled debt restructured loans and leases, not	3,893	550	3,611	380	

included above

Total impaired loans and leases	\$ 42,100	\$ 45,782	\$ 37,109	\$ 5,409	\$ 6,878
Accruing loans and leases 90 days or more past due:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	\$	\$ 134	\$ 315	\$ 1,147
Real estate commercial				299	243
Real estate residential	117	314	273	175	401
Loans to individuals	204	382	319	356	126
Leases financings	44				
Total accruing loans and leases, 90 days or more past due	\$ 365	\$ 696	\$ 726	\$ 1,145	\$ 1,917
Total non-performing loans and leases	\$ 42,465	\$ 46,478	\$ 37,835	\$ 6,554	\$ 8,795
Other real estate owned	\$ 6,600	\$ 2,438	\$ 3,428	\$ 346	\$
Total non-performing assets	\$ 49,065	\$ 48,916	\$ 41,263	\$ 6,900	\$ 8,795
* Nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases included in nonaccrual loans and leases in the above table	\$ 8,551	\$ 1,155	\$ 575	\$ 807	\$

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional information on the Corporation's nonaccrual loans:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Total nonaccrual loans, including nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases	\$ 38,207	\$ 45,232
Nonaccrual loans and leases with partial charge-offs	9,399	10,527
Life-to-date partial charge-offs on nonaccrual loans and leases	10,040	5,497
Charge-off rate of nonaccrual loans and leases with partial charge-offs	51.6%	34.3%
Specific reserves on impaired loans	1,253	1,623

Reserve for Loan and Lease Losses

Management believes the reserve for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level that is adequate to absorb known and inherent losses in the loan and lease portfolio. Management's methodology to determine the adequacy of and the provision to the reserve considers specific credit reviews, past loan and lease loss experience, current economic conditions and trends and the volume, growth, and composition of the loan portfolio.

The reserve for loan and lease losses is determined through a monthly evaluation of reserve adequacy. This analysis takes into consideration the growth of the loan and lease portfolio, the status of past-due loans and leases, current economic conditions, various types of lending activity, policies, real estate and other loan commitments, and significant changes in charge-off activity. Nonaccrual loans and leases, and those which are troubled debt restructured, are evaluated individually. All other loans and leases are evaluated as pools. Based on historical loss experience, loss factors are determined giving consideration to the areas noted in the first paragraph and applied to the pooled loan and lease categories to develop the general or allocated portion of the reserve. Loans are also reviewed for impairment based on discounted cash flows using the loans' initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral-dependent loans. Management also reviews the activity within the reserve to determine what actions, if any, should be taken to address differences between estimated and actual losses. Any of the above factors may cause the provision to fluctuate.

Wholesale leasing portfolios were purchased by the Bank's subsidiary, Univest Capital. The Corporation discontinued the practice of purchasing wholesale leasing portfolios during 2010. Credit losses on these purchased portfolios are largely the responsibility of the seller up to pre-set dollar amounts initially equal to 10 to 20 percent of the portfolio purchase amount. The dollar amount of recourse for purchased portfolios is inclusive of cash holdbacks and purchase discounts. Purchased wholesale leasing portfolios outstanding were \$3.0 million and \$9.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The reserve for loan and lease losses is based on management's evaluation of the loan or lease portfolio under current economic conditions and such other factors, which deserve recognition in estimating loan and lease losses. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Additions to the reserve arise from the provision for loan and lease losses charged to operations or from the recovery of amounts previously charged off. Loan and lease charge-offs reduce the reserve. Loans and leases are charged off when there has been permanent impairment or when in the opinion of management the full amount of the loan and lease, in the case of non-collateral dependent borrowings, will not be realized. Certain impaired loans are reported at the present value of expected future cash flows using the loan's initial effective interest rate, or at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral, less cost to sell, if the loan is collateral dependent.

The reserve for loan and lease losses consists of allocated reserve and unallocated reserve categories. The allocated reserve is comprised of reserves established on specific loans and leases, and class reserves based on historical loan

and lease loss experience, current trends, and management assessments. The unallocated reserve is based on both general economic conditions and other risk factors in the Corporation's individual markets and portfolios and is to account for a level of imprecision in management's estimation process and the potential volatility in the aforementioned markets and portfolios.

The specific reserve element is based on a regular analysis of impaired commercial and real estate loans. For these loans, the specific reserve established is based on an analysis of related collateral value, cash flow considerations and, if applicable, guarantor capacity.

Table of Contents

The class reserve element is determined by an internal loan and lease grading process in conjunction with associated allowance factors. The Corporation revises the class allowance factors whenever necessary, but no less than quarterly, in order to address improving or deteriorating credit quality trends or specific risks associated with a given loan or lease pool classification.

The Corporation maintains a reserve in other liabilities for off-balance sheet credit exposures that currently are unfunded in categories with historical loss experience.

Table 8 Summary of Loan and Lease Loss Experience

The following table presents average loans and leases and summarizes loan and lease loss experience as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Average amount of loans and leases outstanding	\$ 1,448,079	\$ 1,442,085	\$ 1,453,174	\$ 1,401,971	\$ 1,367,017
Loan and lease loss reserve at beginning of period	\$ 30,898	\$ 24,798	\$ 13,118	\$ 13,086	\$ 13,283
Charge-offs:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	6,784	3,436	4,116	6,194	1,143
Real estate loans	10,435	10,573	2,167	1,392	499
Loans to individuals	968	883	1,470	1,217	1,272
Lease financings	1,516	2,213	2,695	502	106
Total charge-offs	19,703	17,105	10,448	9,305	3,020
Recoveries:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	318	129	332	134	225
Real estate loans	213	772	33	28	95
Loans to individuals	174	227	434	315	337
Lease financings	491	512	443	91	
Total recoveries	1,196	1,640	1,242	568	657
Net charge-offs	18,507	15,465	9,206	8,737	2,363
Provisions to loan and lease loss reserve	17,479	21,565	20,886	8,769	2,166
Loan and lease loss reserve at end of period	\$ 29,870	\$ 30,898	\$ 24,798	\$ 13,118	\$ 13,086
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans and leases	1.28%	1.07%	0.63%	0.62%	0.17%

The increase in charge-offs during 2011 compared to 2010 was mainly due to the increased charge-off activity for commercial, financial and agricultural loans. The increase in charge-offs during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to the increase in real estate loans charge-offs due to the prolonged down economic cycle and were not

concentrated in any one industry.

Table 9 Allocated, Other Loan and Lease Loss Reserves

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the percentage of loans and leases in each major loan category to total loans and leases as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,									
	2011		2010		2009		2008		2007	
Commercial, financial and agricultural loans	\$ 11,262	33.5%	\$ 9,630	31.5%	\$ 12,148	31.4%	\$ 6,432	29.3%	\$ 6,295	28.2%
Real estate loans	14,875	58.3	17,165	59.9	9,534	59.3	4,800	59.9	4,836	61.9
Loans to individuals	730	3.1	734	3.0	887	3.3	581	3.7	730	5.3
Lease financings	1,344	5.1	1,950	5.6	1,175	6.0	574	7.1	356	4.6
Unallocated	1,659	N/A	1,419	N/A	1,054	N/A	731	N/A	869	N/A
Total	\$ 29,870	100.0%	\$ 30,898	100.0%	\$ 24,798	100.0%	\$ 13,118	100.0%	\$ 13,086	100.0%

The allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans and leases equaled 70.34% at December 31, 2011, 66.48% at December 31, 2010, and 65.54% at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2011 the specific allowance on impaired loans was \$1.3 million, or 3.0% of the balance of impaired loans and leases of \$42.1 million. At December 31, 2010 the specific allowance on impaired loans was \$1.6 million, or 3.5% of the balance of impaired loans and leases of \$45.8 million. At December 31, 2009, the specific allowance on impaired loans was \$1.4 million, or 3.8% of the impaired loan and lease balance of \$37.1 million. Management closely monitors the credit worthiness and the value of underlying collateral as a commercial credit becomes past-due. These factors along with historical and economic trends, and management's assumptions, are taken into consideration in providing the allowance for loan and lease losses. When the loan becomes impaired and is placed on non-accrual, a specific allowance is created for the impaired loan.

Table of Contents

The ratio of the reserve for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases was 2.07% at December 31, 2011 compared to 2.10% at December 31, 2010. Allocated reserves at December 31, 2011 decreased by \$1.3 million compared to December 31, 2010. The allocation of the allowance for real estate loans decreased by \$2.3 million at December 31, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 mainly due to lower construction loan volume, a decrease in the level of criticized commercial real estate/construction loans resulting from the migration and resolution of loans through the loan workout process, a decrease in the historical loss factor for non-criticized commercial real estate/construction loans partially offset by an increase in the historical loss factor for criticized commercial real estate/construction loans. The changes in the historical loss factors for commercial real estate/construction loans were primarily due to the migration of loans with losses from the non-criticized to criticized category during 2011. These previously discussed changes for commercial real estate/construction loans resulted in a lower total reserve on non-criticized real estate loans partially offset by a higher total reserve on criticized real estate loans at December 31, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. The allocated reserves for commercial, financial and agricultural loans increased by \$1.6 million at December 31, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 mainly due an increase in the volume of non-criticized loans and to a lesser degree, an increase in the level of criticized loans.

The ratio of the reserve for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases was 2.10% at December 31, 2010 compared to 1.74% at December 31, 2009. Allocated reserves at December 31, 2010 increased by \$5.7 million compared to December 31, 2009. The allocation of the allowance for real estate loans increased by \$7.6 million at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 mainly due to an increase in the historical loss factor related to non-criticized commercial real estate/construction loans which incorporated the Corporation's higher level of net charge-offs during 2010 relative to its previous historical average for these types of loans. In addition, the allowance was impacted slightly by increased volume of non-criticized commercial real estate/construction loans. Allocated reserves for lease financings increased by \$775 thousand at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 mainly due to an increase in the historical loss factor based upon the Corporation's higher level of net charge-offs. Allocated reserves for commercial, financial and agricultural loans decreased by \$2.5 million at December 31, 2010 from December 31, 2009 primarily due to a decrease in the level of criticized loans as well as a decrease in the adjusted historical loss factor related to criticized loans. Unallocated reserves increased in 2010 by \$365 thousand primarily due to economic volatility.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets have been recorded on the books of the Corporation in connection with acquisitions. The Corporation has covenants not to compete, intangible assets due to branch acquisitions, core deposit intangibles, customer-related intangibles and mortgage servicing rights, which are not deemed to have an indefinite life and therefore will continue to be amortized over their useful life using the present value of projected cash flows. The amortization of these intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.5 million respectively. The Corporation also has goodwill of \$53.2 million, which is deemed to be an indefinite intangible asset and is not amortized. The Corporation completes a goodwill impairment analysis at least on an annual basis or more often if events and circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. Identifiable intangible assets are evaluated for impairment if events and circumstances indicate a possible impairment. There was no goodwill impairment and no material impairment to identifiable intangibles recorded during 2011, 2010 or 2009. There can be no assurance that future impairment assessments or tests will not result in a charge to earnings.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Other Assets

The FDIC Board implemented an institutional prepaid FDIC assessment to recapitalize the Deposit Insurance Fund in the Fourth Quarter of 2009. The amount was paid on December 30, 2009 for the Fourth Quarter 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. At December 31, 2011, \$4.0 million remained in a prepaid asset account. The prepaid amount of \$4.0 million has a zero percent risk-weighting for risk-based capital ratio calculations. The remaining prepaid amount will be expensed over the 2012 through 2013 period as the actual FDIC assessment is determined for each interim quarterly period. Any excess prepaid amounts may be utilized up to December 30, 2014 at which time any excess will be returned to the Bank.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank held \$3.3 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock as required by the Federal Reserve Bank. The Bank is required to hold stock in the FHLB in relation to the level of outstanding borrowings. The Bank held FHLB stock of \$5.8 million and \$7.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. On December 23, 2008, the FHLB announced that it would be suspending the payment of dividends and the repurchase of excess capital stock in-order to rebuild its capital levels. Additionally, the FHLB might require its members to increase its capital stock requirement. During the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first through fourth quarters of 2011, the FHLB repurchased a limited amount of excess capital stock. The FHLB will make decisions on future repurchases of excess capital stock on a quarterly basis. Effective February 28, 2011, the FHLB entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement with the other 11 Federal Home Loan Banks (collectively, the FHLBanks). The agreement calls for a plan for each FHLBank to build additional retained earnings and enhance capital. On August 5, and August 8, 2011, the Standard & Poor's Rating Services downgraded the credit ratings of the U.S government and federal agencies, including the FHLB, respectively, from AAA to AA+, with a negative outlook. These recent downgrades, and any future downgrades in the credit ratings of the U.S. government and the FHLB could increase the borrowing costs of the FHLB and possibly have a negative impact on its operations and long-term performance. It is possible this could have an adverse effect on the value of the Corporation's investment in the FHLB stock. However, based on current information from the FHLB, management believes that if there is any impairment in the FHLB stock it is temporary. Therefore, as of December 31, 2011, the FHLB stock is recorded at cost.

LIABILITIES

The following table presents liabilities as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,			
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Deposits	\$ 1,749,232	\$ 1,686,270	\$ 62,962	3.7%
Short-term borrowings	109,740	114,871	(5,131)	(4.5)
Long-term borrowings	27,494	28,994	(1,500)	(5.2)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	47,394	37,534	9,860	26.3
Total liabilities	\$ 1,933,860	\$ 1,867,669	\$ 66,191	3.5

Deposits

Total deposits increased during 2011 primarily due to increases in noninterest-bearing demand deposits of \$32.9 million, interest-bearing demand deposits of \$17.2 million and savings deposits of \$22.1 million. These increases were partially offset by decreases in time deposits of \$9.3 million. Core deposits increased \$72.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to the Corporation's focus on growing low cost core deposits and attaining new customers.

Table 10 Deposits

The following table summarizes the average amount of deposits for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 284,850	\$ 259,303	\$ 224,417
Interest-bearing checking deposits	206,830	178,679	162,615
Money market savings	299,299	303,012	305,113
Regular savings	482,064	445,721	353,748
Time deposits	408,638	432,919	508,337
Total average deposits	\$ 1,681,681	\$ 1,619,634	\$ 1,554,230

The following table summarizes the maturities of time deposits with balances of \$100 thousand or more at December 31, 2011:

	Due Three Months or Less	Due Over Three Months to Six Months	Due Over Six Months to Twelve Months	Due Over Twelve Months
(Dollars in thousands)				
Time deposits	\$ 36,395	\$ 45,600	\$ 38,055	\$ 41,637

Borrowings

Long-term borrowings at December 31, 2011, included \$1.9 million in Subordinated Capital Notes, \$20.6 million of Trust Preferred Securities and \$5.0 million in long-term borrowings from the FHLB. Short-term borrowings typically include securities sold under agreement to repurchase, federal funds purchased, Federal Reserve Bank discount window borrowings and short-term FHLB borrowings. At December 31, 2011, the Bank also had outstanding short-term letters of credit with the FHLB totaling \$55.0 million, which were utilized to collateralize seasonal public funds deposits.

Table of Contents**Table 11 Short Term Borrowings**

The following table details key information pertaining to securities sold under agreement to repurchase on an overnight basis as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Balance at December 31	\$ 109,740	\$ 90,271	\$ 95,624
Weighted average interest rate at year end	0.20%	0.30%	0.50%
Maximum amount outstanding at any month's end	\$ 111,724	\$ 109,712	\$ 133,140
Average amount outstanding during the year	\$ 102,873	\$ 97,667	\$ 91,390
Weighted average interest rate during the year	0.28%	0.40%	0.60%

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The following table presents the shareholders' equity as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	2011	At December 31,		
		2010	\$ Change	% Change
Common stock	\$ 91,332	\$ 91,332	\$	%
Additional paid-in capital	58,495	59,080	(585)	(1.0)
Retained earnings	157,566	151,978	5,588	3.7
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,101)	(6,766)	665	9.8
Treasury stock	(28,313)	(29,400)	1,087	3.7
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 272,979	\$ 266,224	\$ 6,755	2.5

Total shareholders' equity increased since December 31, 2010 primarily due to an increase in retained earnings of \$5.6 million.

Retained earnings during the year ended December 31, 2011 was impacted by net income of \$18.9 million partially offset by cash dividends of \$13.4 million. Treasury stock decreased primarily due to shares issued for the employee stock purchase plan, the dividend reinvestment plan and restricted stock awards, partially offset by purchases of treasury stock. There is a buyback program in place that allows the Corporation to purchase an additional 566,745 shares of its outstanding common stock in the open market or in negotiated transactions.

Accumulated other comprehensive income related to securities of \$7.3 million and \$884 thousand, net of taxes, is included in shareholders' equity at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to securities is the unrealized gain (loss), or difference between the book value and fair value, on the available-for-sale investment portfolio, net of taxes. The period-to-period gain in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was mainly a result of increases in the fair values of municipal bonds and U.S. Government agency securities.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to an interest rate swap, net of taxes, amounted to \$932 thousand and accumulated other comprehensive income of \$320 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to an interest-rate swap reflects the current fair value of the swap used for cash flow hedging purposes, net of taxes.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to pension and other post-retirement benefits, net of taxes, amounted to \$12.5 million and \$8.0 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The change in the accumulated other comprehensive income loss related to pension and other post-retirement benefits represent the changes in the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period. The significant change in the actuarial loss was due to a decrease in the discount rate of 100 basis points.

Capital Adequacy

Capital guidelines which banking regulators have adopted assign minimum capital requirements for categories of assets depending on their assigned risks. The components of risk-based capital for the Corporation are Tier 1 and Tier 2. Minimum required total risk-based capital is 8.00%. At December 31, 2011, the Corporation had a Tier 1 capital ratio of 14.29% and total risk-based capital ratio of 15.56%. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation had a Tier 1 capital ratio of 14.17% and total risk-based capital ratio of 15.47%. The Corporation continues to be in the well-capitalized category under regulatory standards. Details on the capital ratios can be found in Note 19 Regulatory Matters, included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Form 10-K along with a discussion on dividend and other restrictions.

Table of Contents

Asset/Liability Management

The primary functions of Asset Liability Management are to assure adequate earnings, capital and liquidity while maintaining an appropriate balance between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Liquidity management involves the ability to meet cash flow requirements of customers and corporate needs. Interest-rate sensitivity management seeks to avoid fluctuating net interest margins and to enhance consistent growth of net interest income through periods of changing rates.

The Corporation uses both an interest-sensitivity gap analysis and a simulation model to quantify its exposure to interest rate risk. The Corporation uses the gap analysis to identify and monitor long-term rate exposure and uses a simulation model to measure the short-term rate exposures. The Corporation runs various earnings simulation scenarios to quantify the effect of declining or rising interest rates on the net interest margin over a one-year horizon. The simulation uses existing portfolio rate and re-pricing information, combined with assumptions regarding future loan and deposit growth, future spreads, prepayments on residential mortgages, and the discretionary pricing of non-maturity assets and liabilities.

The Corporation may use interest-rate swap agreements to modify the interest rate characteristics from variable to fixed or fixed to floating in order to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on future net interest income. The Corporation's credit exposure on interest rate swaps includes fair value and any collateral that is held by a third party. The Corporation accounts for its interest-rate swap contracts in cash flow hedging relationships by establishing and documenting the effectiveness of the instrument in offsetting the change in cash flows of assets or liabilities that are being hedged.

Credit Risk

Extending credit exposes the Corporation to credit risk, which is the risk that the principal balance of a loan and any related interest will not be collected due to the inability of the borrower to repay the loan. The Corporation manages credit risk in the loan portfolio through adherence to consistent standards, guidelines and limitations established by the Board of Directors. Written loan policies establish underwriting standards, lending limits and other standards or limits as deemed necessary and prudent.

The loan review department conducts ongoing, independent reviews of the lending process to ensure adherence to established policies and procedures, monitors compliance with applicable laws and regulations, provides objective measurement of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio, and ensures that proper documentation exists.

The Corporation focuses on both assessing the borrower's capacity and willingness to repay and on obtaining sufficient collateral. Commercial and industrial loans are generally secured by the borrower's assets and by personal guarantees. Commercial real estate loans are originated primarily within the Eastern Pennsylvania market area at conservative loan-to-value ratios and are often supported by a guarantee of the borrowers. Management closely monitors the composition and quality of the total commercial loan portfolio to ensure that any credit concentrations by borrower or industry are closely monitored.

The Corporation originates fixed-rate and adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans that are secured by the underlying 1- to 4-family residential properties. Credit risk exposure in this area of lending is minimized by the evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower, including debt-to-equity ratios, credit scores and adherence to underwriting policies that emphasize conservative loan-to-value ratios of generally no more than 80%. Residential mortgage loans granted in excess of the 80% loan-to-value ratio criterion are generally insured by private mortgage insurance.

Credit risk in the direct consumer loan portfolio and credit card portfolio is controlled by strict adherence to conservative underwriting standards that consider debt-to-income levels and the creditworthiness of the borrower and, if secured, collateral values. In the home equity loan portfolio, combined loan-to-value ratios at origination are generally limited to 80%. Other credit considerations may warrant higher combined loan-to-value ratios and are generally insured by private mortgage insurance.

The primary risks that are involved with lease financing receivables are credit underwriting and borrower industry concentrations. The Corporation has strict underwriting, review, and monitoring procedures in place to mitigate this risk. Risk also lies in the residual value of the underlying equipment. Residual values are subject to judgments as to the value of the underlying equipment that can be affected by changes in economic and market conditions and the financial viability of the residual guarantors and insurers. To the extent not guaranteed or assumed by a third party, or

otherwise insured against, the Corporation bears the risk of ownership of the leased assets. This includes the risk that the actual value of the leased assets at the end of the lease term will be less than the residual value. The Corporation greatly reduces this risk by using \$1.00 buyout leases, in which the entire cost of the leased equipment is included in the contractual payments, leaving no residual payment at the end of the lease terms.

Table of Contents

The Corporation closely monitors delinquencies as another means of maintaining high asset quality. Collection efforts begin after a loan payment is missed, by attempting to contact all borrowers. If collection attempts fail, the Corporation will proceed to gain control of any and all collateral in a timely manner in order to minimize losses. While liquidation and recovery efforts continue, officers continue to work with the borrowers, if appropriate, to recover all monies owed to the Corporation. The Corporation monitors delinquency trends and past due reports which are submitted to the Board of Directors.

Liquidity

The Corporation, in its role as a financial intermediary, is exposed to certain liquidity risks. Liquidity refers to the Corporation's ability to ensure that sufficient cash flow and liquid assets are available to satisfy demand for loans and leases and deposit withdrawals. The Corporation manages its liquidity risk by measuring and monitoring its liquidity sources and estimated funding needs. The Corporation has a contingency funding plan in place to address liquidity needs in the event of an institution-specific or a systemic financial crisis.

Sources of Funds

Core deposits and cash management repurchase agreements (Repos) have historically been the most significant funding sources for the Corporation. These deposits and Repos are generated from a base of consumer, business and public customers primarily located in Bucks and Montgomery counties, Pennsylvania. The Corporation faces increased competition for these deposits from a large array of financial market participants, including banks, thrifts, mutual funds, security dealers and others.

The Corporation supplements its core funding with money market funds it holds for the benefit of various trust accounts. These funds are fully collateralized by the Bank's investment portfolio and are at current money market mutual fund rates. This funding source is subject to changes in the asset allocations of the trust accounts. The Bank may purchase Certificates from the Pennsylvania Local Government Investment Trust (PLGIT) to augment its short-term fixed funding sources. The PLGIT deposits are public funds collateralized with a letter of credit that PLGIT maintains with the FHLB; therefore, the Bank is not required to provide collateral on these deposits. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank had no PLGIT deposits.

The Corporation, through the Bank, has short-term and long-term credit facilities with the FHLB with a maximum borrowing capacity of approximately \$371.5 million. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, total outstanding short-term and long-term borrowings with the FHLB totaled \$5.0 million. At December 31, 2011, the Bank also had outstanding short-term letters of credit with the FHLB totaling \$55.0 million, which were utilized to collateralize seasonal public funds deposits. The maximum borrowing capacity changes as a function of qualifying collateral assets as well as the FHLB's internal credit rating of the Bank and the amount of funds received may be reduced by additional required purchases of FHLB stock.

The Bank maintains federal fund credit lines with several correspondent banks totaling \$82.0 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010. There were no outstanding borrowings under these lines at December 31, 2011 and \$24.6 million outstanding at December 31, 2010. Future availability under these lines is subject to the prerogatives of the granting banks and may be withdrawn at will. The Corporation, through the Bank, has an available line of credit at the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, the amount of which is dependent upon the balance of loans and securities pledged as collateral. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Corporation had no outstanding borrowings under this line.

Cash Requirements

The Corporation has cash requirements including various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments that require cash payments. The following contractual obligations and commitments table presents, as of December 31, 2011, significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties. The most significant obligation, in both the under and over one year time period, is for the Bank to repay its certificates of deposit. Short-term borrowings consisting of securities sold under agreements to repurchase constitute the next largest payment obligation. The Bank anticipates meeting these obligations by continuing to provide convenient depository and cash management services through its branch network, thereby replacing these contractual obligations with similar fund sources at rates that are competitive in our market.

The table also shows the amounts and expected maturities of significant commitments as of December 31, 2011. These commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements in that these commitments often expire

without being drawn upon. Commitments to extend credit are the Bank's most significant commitment in both the under and over one year time periods.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

The Corporation enters into contractual obligations in the normal course of business as a source of funds for its asset growth and its asset/liability management, to fund acquisitions and to meet required capital needs. These obligations require the Corporation to make cash payments over time as detailed in the table below.

The Corporation is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to manage the Corporation's exposure to fluctuation in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby and commercial letters of credit and forward contracts. These financial instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of these financial instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Corporation has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Corporation's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby and commercial letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Corporation uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Unless noted otherwise, the Corporation does not require and is not required to pledge collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. These commitments expire over time as detailed in Table 12. The Corporation also had possible future commitments on Risk Participation Agreements, totaling \$2.3 million at December 31, 2011. For further information regarding the Corporation's commitments, refer to Footnote 15 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

Table 12 Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth contractual obligations and other commitments representing required and potential cash outflows, including interest payable, as of December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Due in One Year or Less	Due after One Year to Three Years	Due after Four Years to Five Years	Due in Over Five Years
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase(a)	\$ 109,740	\$ 109,740	\$	\$	\$
Long-term debt(b)	5,192	188	5,004		
Subordinated capital notes(c)	1,899	1,147	752		
Trust preferred securities(d)	36,283	712	1,424	1,424	32,723
Time deposits(e)	421,892	254,482	73,912	72,140	21,358
Operating leases	28,312	2,086	3,937	3,555	18,734
Standby and commercial letters of credit	47,786	30,209	2,236	15,341	
Commitments to extend credit (f)	473,789	167,530	52,651	18,241	235,367
Derivative loan commitments (g)	777	777			
Total contractual obligations	\$ 1,125,670	\$ 566,871	\$ 139,916	\$ 110,701	\$ 308,182

Notes:

- (a) Includes interest on variable rate obligations. The interest expense is based upon the fourth quarter average interest rate. The contractual amounts to be paid on variable rate obligations are affected by changes in the market interest rates. Future changes in the market interest rates could materially affect the contractual amounts to be paid.

- (b) Interest expense is projected based upon the weighted average interest rate of long-term debt.
- (c) Includes interest on variable rate obligations. The interest expense associated with the variable rate obligations is based upon interest rates in effect at December 31, 2011. The contractual amounts to be paid on variable rate obligations are affected by changes in the market interest rates. Future changes in the market interest rates could materially affect the contractual amounts to be paid.
- (d) Includes interest on variable rate obligations. The interest expense is based upon interest rates in effect at December 31, 2011. The contractual amounts to be paid on variable rate obligations are affected by changes in the market interest rates. Future changes in the market interest rates could materially affect the contractual amounts to be paid. The trust preferred securities mature in 2033 and interest is calculated to this maturity date. The Corporation may choose to call these securities as a result of interest rate fluctuations and capital needs without penalty for the remainder of the term.
- (e) Includes interest on both fixed and variable rate obligations. The interest expense is based upon the fourth quarter average interest rate. The contractual amounts to be paid on variable rate obligations are affected by changes in the market interest rates. Future changes in the market interest rates could materially affect the contractual amounts to be paid.
- (f) Includes both revolving and straight lines of credit. Revolving lines, including unused credit card lines, are reported in the "Due in One Year or Less" category.
- (g) Includes the fair value of these contractual arrangements at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. In the course of its lending, leasing and deposit taking activities, the Corporation is subject to changes in the economic value and/or earnings potential of these assets and liabilities due to changes in interest rates. The Corporation's Investment Asset/Liability Management Committee manages interest rate risk in a manner so as to provide adequate and reliable earnings. This is accomplished through the establishment of policy limits on maximum risk exposures, as well as the regular and timely monitoring of reports designed to quantify risk and return levels.

The Corporation uses both an interest-rate sensitivity gap analysis and a simulation model to quantify its exposure to interest rate risk. The Corporation uses the gap analysis to identify and monitor long-term rate exposure and uses a simulation model to measure the short-term rate exposures. The Corporation runs various earnings simulation scenarios to quantify the effect of declining or rising interest rates on the net interest margin over a one-year horizon. The simulation uses existing portfolio rate and re-pricing information, combined with assumptions regarding future loan and deposit growth, future spreads, prepayments on residential mortgages, and the discretionary pricing of non-maturity assets and liabilities. The Corporation is permitted to use interest-rate swaps and interest-rate caps/floors with indices that correlate to on-balance sheet instruments, to modify its indicated net interest sensitivity to levels deemed to be appropriate based on the Corporation's current economic outlook.

At December 31, 2011, the simulation, based upon forward-looking assumptions, projects that the Corporation's greatest interest margin exposure to interest-rate risk would occur if interest rates decreased from present levels. Given the assumptions, a 200 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve applied on a ramp-up basis would cause the Corporation's net interest margin, over a 1-year horizon, to be approximately 2.95% more than it would be if market rates would remain unchanged. A 100 basis point (a 200 basis point ramp down would not be relevant in the current market conditions) parallel shift in the yield curve applied on a ramp-down basis would cause the Corporation's net interest margin, over a 1-year horizon, to be approximately 2.84% less than it would be if market rates would remain unchanged. Policy limits have been established which allow a tolerance for no more than approximately a 5.0% negative impact to the interest margin resulting from a 200 basis point parallel yield curve shift over a forward looking 12-month period. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Net Interest Income and Asset/Liability Management, Liquidity and Table 13.

Table of Contents**Table 13 Interest Sensitivity Analysis**

Interest Sensitivity Analysis at December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Within Three Months	After Three Months to Twelve Months	After One Year to Five Years	Over Five Years	Non-Rate Sensitive	Total
Assets:						
Cash and due from banks	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39,857	\$ 39,857
Interest-earning deposits with other banks	67,520					67,520
Investment securities	44,214	86,627	215,329	124,995		471,165
Loans held for sale	3,157					3,157
Loans and leases, net of reserve for loan and lease losses	660,023	257,167	446,204	83,012	(29,870)	1,416,536
Other assets					208,604	208,604
Total assets	\$ 774,914	\$ 343,794	\$ 661,533	\$ 208,007	\$ 218,591	\$ 2,206,839
Liabilities and shareholders equity:						
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 304,006	\$ 304,006
Demand deposits interest-bearing	335,560	33,391	178,083			547,034
Savings deposits	27,835	73,700	388,157			489,692
Time deposits	57,711	145,105	183,681	22,003		408,500
Borrowed funds	131,984		5,250			137,234
Other liabilities					47,394	47,394
Shareholders' equity					272,979	272,979
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 553,090	\$ 252,196	\$ 755,171	\$ 22,003	\$ 624,379	\$ 2,206,839
Incremental gap	\$ 221,824	\$ 91,598	\$ (93,638)	\$ 186,004	\$ (405,788)	
Cumulative gap	\$ 221,824	\$ 313,422	\$ 219,784	\$ 405,788		
Cumulative gap as a percentage of interest-earning assets	11.16%	15.76%	11.05%	20.41%		

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding recent accounting pronouncements, refer to Footnote 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

The following audited consolidated financial statements and related documents are set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K on the following pages:

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u>	50
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	51
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	53

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 2, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 2, 2012

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 39,857	\$ 11,624
Interest-earning deposits with other banks	67,520	17,563
Investment securities held-to-maturity (fair value \$45,639 and \$32 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively)	45,804	32
Investment securities available-for-sale	425,361	466,992
Loans held for sale	3,157	4,178
Loans and leases	1,446,406	1,471,186
Less: Reserve for loan and lease losses	(29,870)	(30,898)
Net loans and leases	1,416,536	1,440,288
Premises and equipment, net	34,303	34,605
Goodwill	53,169	51,320
Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization and fair value adjustments of \$11,646 and \$9,495 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively	4,870	5,477
Bank owned life insurance	61,387	48,010
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	54,875	53,804
Total assets	\$ 2,206,839	\$ 2,133,893
LIABILITIES		
Demand deposits, noninterest-bearing	\$ 304,006	\$ 271,125
Demand deposits, interest-bearing	547,034	529,884
Savings deposits	489,692	467,511
Time deposits	408,500	417,750
Total deposits	1,749,232	1,686,270
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	109,740	90,271
Other short-term borrowings		24,600
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	47,394	37,534
Long-term debt	5,000	5,000
Subordinated notes	1,875	3,375
Company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding junior subordinated debentures of Uninvest (Trust Preferred Securities)	20,619	20,619
Total liabilities	1,933,860	1,867,669
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, \$5 par value; 48,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2011 and 2010; 18,266,404 shares issued at December 31, 2011 and 2010; and 16,702,376 and 16,648,303 shares outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively	91,332	91,332

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	58,495	59,080
Retained earnings	157,566	151,978
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax benefit	(6,101)	(6,766)
Treasury stock, at cost; 1,564,028 shares and 1,618,101 shares at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively	(28,313)	(29,400)
Total shareholders' equity	272,979	266,224
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,206,839	\$ 2,133,893

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Interest income			
Interest and fees on loans and leases:			
Taxable	\$ 67,902	\$ 71,822	\$ 74,086
Exempt from federal income taxes	4,918	4,178	3,731
Total interest and fees on loans and leases	72,820	76,000	77,817
Interest and dividends on investment securities:			
Taxable	8,063	10,377	14,014
Exempt from federal income taxes	4,469	4,554	4,512
Other interest income	116	72	16
Total interest income	85,468	91,003	96,359
Interest expense			
Interest on demand deposits	939	1,302	1,981
Interest on savings deposits	1,468	2,555	2,955
Interest on time deposits	6,576	10,054	17,371
Interest on short-term borrowings	332	2,116	3,481
Interest on long-term borrowings	1,413	1,442	2,935
Total interest expense	10,728	17,469	28,723
Net interest income	74,740	73,534	67,636
Provision for loan and lease losses	17,479	21,565	20,886
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	57,261	51,969	46,750
Noninterest income			
Trust fee income	6,344	6,080	5,536
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,057	6,693	7,036
Investment advisory commission and fee income	5,373	4,626	3,427
Insurance commission and fee income	7,733	7,694	7,081
Other service fee income	5,240	5,046	3,410
Bank owned life insurance income	1,668	1,270	1,321
Other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities	(16)	(62)	(1,708)
Other-than-temporary impairment on other long lived assets			(500)
Net gain on sales of securities	1,417	432	1,150
Net gain on mortgage banking activities	1,868	2,960	2,378
Net (loss) gain on interest rate swap		(1,072)	641
Net loss on dispositions of fixed assets	(12)	(11)	(144)
Net loss on sales and write-downs of other real estate owned	(798)	(377)	(207)
Other	533	1,139	496

Total noninterest income	34,407	34,418	29,917
Noninterest expense			
Salaries and benefits	38,230	38,034	37,422
Net occupancy	5,782	5,476	5,274
Equipment	4,002	3,811	3,438
Marketing and advertising	1,760	2,318	1,840
Deposit insurance premiums	2,039	2,670	3,185
Other	16,197	15,040	14,165
Total noninterest expense	68,010	67,349	65,324
Income before income taxes	23,658	19,038	11,343
Applicable income taxes	4,776	3,282	563
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.75
Diluted	1.13	0.95	0.75
Dividends declared	0.80	0.80	0.80

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)	Accumulated		Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total
	Common Shares Outstanding	Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income					
Balance at December 31, 2008	12,938,514	\$ (8,619)	\$ 74,370	\$ 22,459	\$ 151,816	\$ (36,819)	\$ 203,207
Comprehensive income:							
Net income for 2009					10,780		10,780
Other comprehensive income, net of income tax of \$4,359:							
Unrealized gain on investment securities available-for-sale		3,092					3,092
Unrealized gain on swap		1,299					1,299
Unrecognized pension benefits		3,704					3,704
Total comprehensive income							18,875
Cash dividends declared (\$0.80 per share)					(11,786)		(11,786)
Stock issued under dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans and other employee benefit programs	95,973			27	(344)	2,375	2,058
Issuance of common stock	3,392,500		16,962	38,635			55,597
Exercise of stock options	2,547			(10)	(3)	60	47
Cancelled stock options and awards					46		46
Purchases of treasury stock	(11,642)					(370)	(370)
Restricted stock awards granted	47,191			(1,118)	(2)	1,120	
Vesting of restricted stock awards				133			133
Balance at December 31, 2009	16,465,083	(524)	91,332	60,126	150,507	(33,634)	267,807
Comprehensive income:							
Net income for 2010					15,756		15,756
Other comprehensive loss, net of income tax benefit of \$3,362:							
Unrealized loss on investment securities available-for-sale		(4,489)					(4,489)
Unrealized loss on swap		(830)					(830)
Unrecognized pension costs		(923)					(923)
Total comprehensive income							9,514
Cash dividends declared (\$0.80 per share)					(13,284)		(13,284)
Stock issued under dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans and other employee benefit programs	123,750				(605)	2,794	2,189
Purchases of treasury stock	(8,512)					(153)	(153)

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Restricted stock awards granted	67,982			(1,206)	(396)	1,593	(9)
Vesting of restricted stock awards				160			160
Balance at December 31, 2010	16,648,303	(6,766)	91,332	59,080	151,978	(29,400)	266,224
Comprehensive income:							
Net income for 2011					18,882		18,882
Other comprehensive income, net of income tax of \$358:							
Unrealized gain on investment securities available-for-sale		6,422					6,422
Unrealized loss on swap		(1,252)					(1,252)
Unrecognized pension costs		(4,505)					(4,505)
Total comprehensive income							19,547
Cash dividends declared (\$0.80 per share)					(13,382)		(13,382)
Stock issued under dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans and other employee benefit programs	141,485			65	13	2,209	2,287
Cancelled stock options and awards	(8,654)			166	28	(166)	28
Purchases of treasury stock	(137,494)					(1,928)	(1,928)
Restricted stock awards granted	58,736			(1,019)	47	972	
Vesting of restricted stock awards				203			203
Balance at December 31, 2011	16,702,376	\$ (6,101)	\$ 91,332	\$ 58,495	\$ 157,566	\$ (28,313)	\$ 272,979

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan and lease losses	17,479	21,565	20,886
Depreciation of premises and equipment	2,591	2,517	2,357
Other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities	16	62	1,708
Other-than-temporary impairment on other long-lived assets			500
Net gain on sales of investment securities	(1,417)	(432)	(1,150)
Net gain on mortgage banking activities	(1,868)	(2,960)	(2,378)
Net loss (gain) on interest rate swap		1,072	(641)
Net loss on dispositions of fixed assets	12	11	144
Net loss on sales and write-downs of other real estate owned	798	377	207
Bank owned life insurance income	(1,668)	(1,270)	(1,321)
Net amortization (accretion) on investment securities	641	(18)	97
Amortization, fair market value adjustments and capitalization of other intangibles	607	100	238
Premium accretion on deposits and FHLB borrowings		(190)	(447)
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	1,613	(2,247)	(4,480)
Other adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities			(115)
Originations of loans held for sale	(176,503)	(170,266)	(143,615)
Proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale	179,414	170,098	144,688
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets	692	3,734	(10,168)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	1,409	(3,063)	2,939
Net cash provided by operating activities	42,698	34,846	20,229
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Net cash paid due to acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,849)	(927)	(157)
Net capital expenditures	(2,301)	(2,932)	(3,289)
Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities held-to-maturity	32	72	336
Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available-for-sale	212,964	287,035	208,612
Proceeds from sales of securities held-to-maturity			930
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	40,481	13,466	48,647
Purchases of investment securities held-to-maturity	(45,952)		
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(201,025)	(352,989)	(242,202)
Purchases of lease financings		(4,816)	(4,178)
Net (increase) decrease loans and leases	(1,153)	(55,800)	15,153
(Increase) decrease in interest-bearing deposits	(49,957)	30,499	(42,796)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	2,681	1,843	304
Purchases of bank owned life insurance	(12,500)		
Proceeds from bank owned life insurance	791		

Net cash used in investing activities	(57,788)	(84,549)	(18,640)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net increase in deposits	62,962	122,013	36,929
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(5,131)	(68,508)	(97,162)
Repayment of subordinated debt	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,875)
Issuance of common stock			55,597
Proceeds from exercise of stock options			47
Purchases of treasury stock	(1,928)	(153)	(370)
Stock issued under dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans and other employee benefit programs	2,287	2,189	2,058
Cash dividends paid	(13,367)	(13,249)	(11,078)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	43,323	40,792	(15,854)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	28,233	(8,911)	(14,265)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	11,624	20,535	34,800
Cash and due from banks at end of year	\$ 39,857	\$ 11,624	\$ 20,535

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 11,202	\$ 21,202	\$ 30,440
Income taxes, net of refunds received	4,626	2,730	5,080
Goodwill and other intangibles due to acquisitions	1,849	927	157
Noncash transfer of loans to other real estate owned	7,426	1,205	3,289
	See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(All dollar amounts presented in tables are in thousands, except per share data. N/M equates to not meaningful ; - equates to zero or doesn't round to a reportable number ; and N/A equates to not applicable .)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania (the Corporation) through its wholly owned subsidiary, Univest Bank and Trust Co. (the Bank), is engaged in domestic commercial and retail banking services and provides a full range of community banking and trust services to its customers. The Bank wholly owns Univest Capital, Inc., which provides lease financing, and Delview, Inc., who through its subsidiaries, Univest Investments, Inc. and Univest Insurance, Inc., provides financial planning, investment management, insurance products and brokerage services. Univest Investments, Univest Insurance, Univest Capital and Univest Reinsurance Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Corporation, were formed to enhance the traditional banking and trust services provided by the Bank. Univest Investments, Univest Insurance, Univest Capital and Univest Reinsurance do not currently meet the quantitative thresholds for separate disclosure provided as a business segment. Therefore, the Corporation currently has one reportable segment, Community Banking, and strategically is how the Corporation operates and has positioned itself in the marketplace. The Corporation's activities are interrelated, each activity is dependent, and performance is assessed based on how each of these activities supports the others. Accordingly, significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Corporation as one Community Banking operating segment. The Bank serves Montgomery, Bucks, Chester and Lehigh counties of Pennsylvania through thirty-two banking offices and provides banking and trust services to the residents and employees of twelve retirement communities. Banking services are also available on-line at the Corporation's websites at www.univest.net and www.univestdirect.com.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries, the Bank, Univest Delaware, Inc. and Univest Reinsurance Company. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation and certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current-year presentation. The Corporation's former subsidiary, Univest Realty Corporation, was liquidated during 2010 and the net assets were transferred to the Corporation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes include fair value measurement of investment securities available for sale and assessment for impairment of certain investment securities, reserve for loan and lease losses, valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets and liabilities, benefit plans and stock-based compensation expense.

Interest-earning Deposits with Other Banks

Interest-earning deposits with other banks consist of deposit accounts with other financial institutions generally having maturities of three months or less.

Investment Securities

Securities are classified as investment securities held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost if management has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Securities purchased with the intention of recognizing short-term profits are placed in the trading account and are carried at fair value. The Corporation did not have any trading account securities as of December 31, 2011 or 2010. Securities not classified as held-to-maturity or trading are designated securities available-for-sale and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of estimated income taxes. Realized gains and losses on the sale of investment securities are recognized using the specific identification method and are included in the consolidated statements of income. The amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts are included in interest income and calculated using the effective yield method for mortgage-backed securities and the constant yield method for all other

securities.

Table of Contents

Management evaluates debt securities, which comprise of U. S. Government, Government Sponsored Agencies, municipalities, corporate bonds and other issuers, for other-than-temporary impairment and considers the current economic conditions, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, interest rates and the bond rating of each security. All of the debt securities are rated as investment grade and management believes that it will not incur any losses; except for one corporate bond with a downgraded rating and is closely monitored by management. The unrealized losses on the Corporation's investments in debt securities are temporary in nature since they are primarily related to market interest rates and are not related to the underlying credit quality of the issuers within our investment portfolio. The Corporation does not have the intent to sell the debt securities and believes it is more likely than not, that it will not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis. The credit portion of any loss on debt securities is recognized through earnings and the noncredit portion of any loss related to debt securities that the Corporation does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax. The Corporation has not recognized any other-than-temporary impairment charges on debt securities during 2009 through 2011.

The Corporation evaluates its equity securities for other-than-temporary impairment and recognizes other-than-temporary impairment charges when it has determined that it is probable that certain equity securities will not regain market value equivalent to the Corporation's cost basis within a reasonable period of time due to a decline in the financial stability of the underlying companies. Management evaluates the near-term prospects of the issuers in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment. The Corporation has the positive intent to hold these securities and believes it is more likely than not, that it will not have to sell these securities until recovery to the Corporation's cost basis occurs.

Loans and Leases

Loans and leases are stated at the principal amount less net deferred fees and unearned discount. Interest income on commercial, consumer, and mortgage loans is recorded on the outstanding balance method, using actual interest rates applied to daily principal balances. Loan commitments are made to accommodate the financial needs of the customers. These commitments represent off-balance sheet items that are unfunded. Accrual of interest income on loans and leases ceases when collectability of interest and/or principal is questionable. If it is determined that the collection of interest previously accrued is uncertain, such accrual is reversed and charged to current earnings. Loans and leases are considered past due based upon failure to comply with contractual terms.

A loan or lease is classified as nonaccrual when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due or management has serious doubts about the further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan or lease is currently performing. When a loan or lease, including an impaired loan or lease, is classified as nonaccrual, the accrual of interest on such a loan or lease is discontinued. A loan or lease may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan or lease is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed. Interest received on nonaccrual loans and leases is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management's judgment as to the collectability of principal. Loans and leases are usually restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt. A loan or lease is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Interest on impaired loans and leases, which are not classified as nonaccrual, is recognized on the accrual basis.

Loan and Lease Fees

Fees collected upon loan or lease origination and certain direct costs of originating loans and leases are deferred and recognized over the contractual lives of the related loans and leases as yield adjustments using the interest method. Upon prepayment or other disposition of the underlying loans and leases before their contractual maturities, any associated unearned fees or unamortized costs are recognized.

Reserve for Loan and Lease Losses

The reserve for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level that management believes is adequate to absorb known and inherent losses in the loan and lease portfolio. Management's methodology to determine the adequacy of and the provision to the reserve considers specific credit reviews, past loan and lease loss experience, current economic conditions and trends and the volume, growth, and composition of the loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

The reserve for loan and lease losses is determined through a monthly evaluation of reserve adequacy. This analysis takes into consideration the growth of the loan and lease portfolio, the status of past-due loans and leases, current economic conditions, various types of lending activity, policies, real estate and other loan commitments, and significant changes in charge-off activity. Nonaccrual loans and leases, and those which are troubled debt restructured, are evaluated individually. All other loans and leases are evaluated as pools. Based on historical loss experience, loss factors are determined giving consideration to the areas noted in the first paragraph and applied to the pooled loan and lease categories to develop the general or allocated portion of the reserve. Loans are also reviewed for impairment based on discounted cash flows using the loans' initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral-dependent loans. Management also reviews the activity within the reserve to determine what actions, if any, should be taken to address differences between estimated and actual losses. Any of the above factors may cause the provision to fluctuate.

The reserve for loan and lease losses is based on management's evaluation of the loan and lease portfolio under current economic conditions and such other factors, which deserve recognition in estimating loan and lease losses. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans and leases that may be susceptible to significant change. Additions to the reserve arise from the provision for loan and lease losses charged to operations or from the recovery of amounts previously charged off. Loan and lease charge-offs reduce the reserve. Loans and leases are charged off when there has been permanent impairment or when in the opinion of management the full amount of the loan or lease, in the case of non-collateral dependent borrowings, will not be realized. Certain impaired loans and leases are reported at the present value of expected future cash flows using the loans' or leases' initial effective interest rate, or at the loans' or leases' observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan or lease is collateral dependent. For commercial impaired loans which are collateral dependent, the fair value of collateral is based on appraisals performed by qualified licensed appraisers hired by the Corporation less management's costs to sell. Appraisals are updated at least annually and obtained more frequently if changes in the property or market conditions warrant. Once an updated appraisal is received, if the fair value less estimated costs to sell is less than the carrying amount of the fully collateral dependent loan, a charge-off to the reserve for loan and lease losses is recorded for the difference.

The reserve for loan and lease losses consists of an allocated reserve and an unallocated reserve. The allocated reserve is comprised of reserves established on specific loans and leases, and class reserves based on historical loan and lease loss experience, current trends, and management assessments. The unallocated reserve is based on both general economic conditions and other risk factors in the Corporation's individual markets and portfolios, and is to account for a level of imprecision in management's estimation process.

The specific reserve element is based on a regular analysis of impaired commercial and real estate loans and leases. The specific reserve established for these loans and leases is based on a careful analysis of related collateral value, cash flow considerations and, if applicable, guarantor capacity.

The class reserve element is determined by an internal loan and lease grading process in conjunction with associated allowance factors. The Corporation revises the class allowance factors whenever necessary in order to address improving or deteriorating credit quality trends or specific risks associated with a given loan or lease pool classification.

The Corporation maintains an unallocated reserve to recognize the existence of credit exposures that are within the loan and lease portfolio although currently undetected. There are many factors considered such as the inherent delay in obtaining information regarding a customer's financial condition or changes in their business condition, the judgmental nature of loan and lease evaluations, the delay in the interpretation of economic trends and the judgmental nature of collateral assessments. The Corporation also maintains a reserve in other liabilities for off-balance sheet credit exposures that currently are unfunded. In addition, the Bank's primary examiner, as a regular part of their examination process, may require the Bank to increase the level of reserves.

Premises and Equipment

Land is stated at cost, and bank premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method and charged to operating expenses over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful life for new buildings constructed on land owned is forty years, and for new buildings

constructed on leased land, is the lesser of forty years or the lease term including anticipated renewable terms. The useful life of purchased existing buildings is the estimated remaining useful life at the time of the purchase. Land improvements are considered to have estimated useful lives of fifteen years or the lease term including anticipated renewable terms. Furniture, fixtures and equipment have estimated useful lives ranging from three to ten years.

Table of Contents***Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets***

The Corporation accounts for its acquisitions using the purchase accounting method. Purchase accounting requires the total purchase price to be allocated to the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including certain intangible assets that must be recognized. Typically, this allocation results in the purchase price exceeding the fair value of net assets acquired, which is recorded as goodwill. Core deposit intangibles are a measure of the value of checking, money market and savings deposits acquired in business combinations accounted for under the purchase method. Core deposit intangibles and other identified intangibles with finite useful lives are amortized using the sum of the year's digits over their estimated useful lives of up to fifteen years. Customer related intangibles are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of five to twelve years. The covenants not to compete are being amortized over their three- to five-year contractual lives. The Corporation completes an annual goodwill analysis at least on an annual basis or more often if events and circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. The Corporation elected to early adopt, during the fourth quarter of 2011, new accounting guidance which allows entities the option to perform a qualitative assessment of goodwill. In accordance with the new accounting guidance, the Corporation determined, based on the assessment of qualitative factors and events and circumstances that may impact the drivers of fair value, it was not more likely than not, that the fair value of the Corporation, and each of its reporting units, was less than its carrying amount; therefore, it was not necessary to perform the two-step impairment test for the Corporation or the reporting units. Identifiable intangible assets are evaluated for impairment if events and circumstances indicate a possible impairment. There can be no assurance that future impairment analyses will not result in a charge to earnings.

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are recognized as separate assets when mortgage loans are sold and the rights are retained. Capitalized MSRs are reported in other assets and are amortized into noninterest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing period of the underlying mortgage loans. MSRs are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to amortized cost. The Corporation estimates the fair value of MSRs using discounted cash flow models that calculate the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model uses readily available prepayment speed assumptions for the current interest rates of the portfolios serviced. MSRs are carried at the lower of amortized cost or estimated fair value. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance, to the extent that fair value is less than the unamortized capitalized amount.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

The Corporation carries bank owned life insurance (BOLI) at the net cash surrender value of the policy. Changes in the net cash surrender value of these policies are reflected in noninterest income. Proceeds from and purchases of bank owned life insurance are reflected on the statement of cash flows under investing activities. The Corporation recognizes a liability for the future death benefit for certain endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements that provide an employee with a death benefit in a postretirement/ termination period.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned represents properties acquired through customers' loan defaults and is included in accrued interest and other assets. The real estate is stated at an amount equal to the loan balance prior to foreclosure, plus costs incurred for improvements to the property, but no more than the fair value of the property, less estimated costs to sell. Any write-down, at or prior to the dates the real estate is considered foreclosed, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent write-downs and any gain or loss upon the sale of real estate owned is recorded in other noninterest income. Expenses incurred in connection with holding such assets are recorded in other noninterest expense.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Corporation recognizes all derivative financial instruments on its balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is recognized in earnings immediately. To determine fair value, the Corporation uses a third party's pricing models that incorporate assumptions about market conditions and risks that are current as of the reporting date.

Table of Contents

The Corporation may use interest-rate swap agreements to modify the interest rate characteristics from variable to fixed or fixed to floating in order to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on future net interest income. The Corporation accounts for its interest-rate swap contracts in cash flow hedging relationships by establishing and documenting the effectiveness of the instrument in offsetting the change in cash flows of assets or liabilities that are being hedged. To determine effectiveness, the Corporation performs an analysis to identify if changes in fair value or cash flow of the derivative correlate to the equivalent changes in the forecasted interest receipts related to a specified hedged item. Recorded amounts related to interest-rate swaps are included in other assets or liabilities. The change in fair value of the ineffective part of the instrument would need to be charged to the statement of operations, potentially causing material fluctuations in reported earnings in the period of the change relative to comparable periods. In a fair value hedge, the fair values of the interest rate swap agreements and changes in the fair values of the hedged items are recorded in the Corporation's consolidated balance sheets with the corresponding gain or loss being recognized in current earnings. The difference between changes in the fair values of interest rate swap agreements and the hedged items represents hedge ineffectiveness and is recorded in net interest income in the statement of operations. The Corporation performs an assessment, both at the inception of the hedge and quarterly thereafter, to determine whether these derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in the value of the hedged items.

In connection with its mortgage banking activities, the Corporation enters into commitments to originate certain fixed-rate residential mortgage loans for customers, also referred to as interest rate locks. In addition, the Corporation enters into forward commitments for the future sale or purchase of mortgage-backed securities to or from third-party investors to hedge the effect of changes in interest rates on the value of the interest rate locks. Forward sales commitments may also be in the form of commitments to sell individual mortgage loans at a fixed price at a future date. Both the interest rate locks and the forward commitments are accounted for as derivatives and carried at fair value, determined as the amount that would be necessary to settle each derivative financial instrument at the end of the period. Gross derivative assets and liabilities are recorded within other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets, with changes in fair value during the period recorded within the net gain on mortgage banking activities on the consolidated statements of operations.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock, Federal Reserve Bank Stock and Certain Other Investments without Readily Determinable Fair Values

Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Federal Reserve Bank stock and certain other investments without readily determinable fair values are classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. These investments are carried at cost and evaluated for impairment periodically or if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment.

Income Taxes

There are two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense approximates cash to be paid or refunded for taxes for the applicable period. Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between amounts reported for financial statement and tax purposes. Deferred income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method, such that deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between financial reporting amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities based on currently enacted tax laws and tax rates in effect for the periods in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are subject to management's judgment based upon available evidence that future realizations are more likely than not. If management determines that the Corporation is not, more likely than not, to realize some or all of the net deferred tax asset in the future, a charge to income tax expense may be required to reduce the value of the net deferred tax asset to the expected realizable value. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Penalties are recorded in non-interest expense in the year they are assessed and paid and are treated as a non-deductible expense for tax purposes. Interest is recorded in non-interest expense in the year it is assessed and paid and is treated as a deductible expense for tax purposes.

Retirement Plan, Supplemental Plans and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Substantially all employees who were hired before December 8, 2009 are covered by a noncontributory retirement plan. Effective December 31, 2009, the benefits under the noncontributory retirement plan, in its current form, was

frozen and the plan was amended and converted to a cash balance plan, with participants not losing any pension benefits already earned in the plan. Prior to the cash balance plan conversion effective December 31, 2009, the plan provided benefits based on a formula of each participant's final average pay. Future benefits under the cash balance plan accrue by crediting participants annually with an amount equal to a percentage of earnings in that year based on years of credited service as defined in the plan. Additionally, employees hired on or after December 8, 2009 are no longer eligible to participate in the noncontributory retirement plan. The Corporation also provides supplemental executive retirement benefits, a portion of which is in excess of limits imposed on qualified plans by federal tax law. These plans are non-qualified benefit plans. The Corporation provides certain postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired employees. The Corporation's measurement date for plan assets and obligation is fiscal year-end. The Corporation recognizes on its balance sheet the funded status of its defined pension plans and changes in the funded status of the plan in the year in which the changes occur. An under-funded position would create a liability and an over-funded position would create an asset, with a correlating deferred tax asset or liability. The net impact would be an adjustment to equity as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Corporation also recognizes as a component of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period.

Table of Contents

The Corporation sponsors a 401(k) deferred salary savings plan, which is a qualified defined contribution plan, and which covers all employees of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, and provides that the Corporation make matching contributions as defined by the plan.

The Corporation sponsors a Supplemental Non-Qualified Pension Plan (SNQPP) which was established in 1981 for employees who have served for several years, with ability and distinction, in one of the primary policy-making senior level positions, with the understanding that the future growth and continued success of the Corporation's business may well reflect the continued services to be rendered by these employees and the Corporation's desire to be reasonably assured that these employees will continue to serve and realizing that if these employees would enter into competition with the Corporation, it would suffer severe financial loss. The SNQPP was established prior to the existence of a 401(k) Deferred Savings Plan, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Long-Term Incentive Plans and therefore is not actively offered to new participants. These non-qualified plans are accounted for under guidance for deferred compensation arrangements. The disclosures for the SNQPP are separately disclosed for all presentation periods.

Stock Based Compensation

The fair value of share based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period based on the grant-date fair value of the awards. The Corporation uses the Black-Scholes Model to estimate the fair value of each option on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes Model estimates the fair value of employee stock options using a pricing model which takes into consideration the exercise price of the option, the expected life of the option, the current market price and its expected volatility, the expected dividends on the stock and the current risk-free interest rate for the expected life of the option. The Corporation grants stock options to employees with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant. The fair value of restricted stock is equivalent to the fair value on the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period.

Dividend Reinvestment and Employee Stock Purchase Plans

The Univest Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Reinvestment Plan) allows for the issuance of 1,968,750 shares of common stock. During 2011 and 2010, 106,827 and 98,158 shares, respectively, were issued under the Reinvestment Plan, with 834,549 shares available for future purchase as of December 31, 2011.

The 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan) allows for the issuance of 984,375 shares of common stock. Employees may elect to make contributions to the Purchase Plan in an aggregate amount not less than 2% nor more than 10% of such employee's total compensation. These contributions are then used to purchase stock during an offering period determined by the Corporation's Administrative Committee. The purchase price of the stock is based solely on the market price of the shares at the date of purchase. Compensation expense is recognized if the discount is greater than 5% of the fair value. During 2011 and 2010, 21,266 and 25,514 shares, respectively, were issued under the Purchase Plan, with 797,023 shares available for future purchase as of December 31, 2011.

Marketing and Advertising Costs

The Corporation's accounting policy is to expense marketing and advertising costs as incurred, when the advertisement first takes place, or over the expected useful life of the related asset, as would be the case with billboards.

Statement of Cash Flows

The Corporation has defined those items included in the caption "Cash and due from banks" as cash and cash equivalents.

Trust Assets

Assets held by the Corporation in a fiduciary or agency capacity for its customers are not included in the consolidated financial statements since such items are not assets of the Corporation.

Table of Contents***Earnings Per Share***

Basic earnings per share represents income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects additional common shares that would have been outstanding if option common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from the assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Corporation relate solely to outstanding stock options, and are determined using the treasury stock method. The effects of options to issue common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive.

Variable Interest Entities

Variable interest entities (VIE s) are certain legal entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. A company must consolidate a VIE if the company has a variable interest or interests that provide the corporation with a controlling financial interest in the VIE which includes the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE S economic performance, the obligation to absorb expected losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE and the right to receive expected benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The accounting standards related to Subsidiary Trusts, as interpreted by the SEC, disallow consolidation of Subsidiary Trusts in the financial statements of the Corporation. As a result, securities that were issued by the trusts (Trust Preferred Securities) are not included on the Corporation s consolidated balance sheets. The junior subordinated debentures issued by the Parent Company to the Subsidiary Trusts, which have the same total balance and rate as the combined equity securities and trust preferred securities issued by the Subsidiary Trusts remain in long-term debt.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU) to simplify testing goodwill for impairment. The amendments will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. An entity no longer will be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not, that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. This update is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 or March 31, 2012 for the Corporation. Early adoption is permitted. The Corporation elected to early adopt this standard during the fourth quarter of 2011 and it did not have a material impact on the Corporation s financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding the presentation of comprehensive income and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income and facilitate the convergence of U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The guidance requires entities to report the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. This update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, or March 31, 2012 for the Corporation, and is to be applied retrospectively. In December 2011, the FASB issued an ASU deferring the effective date for amendments to the presentation of reclassifications of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The Corporation does not expect the guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements but will result in a revised format for the presentation of comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding fair value measurements which establishes a global standard in U.S. GAAP and IFRS for applying fair value measurements and disclosures. Consequently, the amendments in this update change the wording to describe many of the requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments do not require additional fair value measurements and most of the amendments are not intended to result in a change of the application of fair value measurement requirements. Additional disclosures required include: 1) for fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy: a) the valuation processes used by the reporting entity; and b) the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, if any; and 2) the categorization by level of the fair value hierarchy for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of

financial position but for which the fair value is required to be disclosed. This amendment is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, or March 31, 2012 for the Corporation, and is to be applied prospectively. The Corporation does not anticipate the guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements but will result in revised and expanded disclosures.

Table of Contents

In April 2011, the FASB issued an ASU regarding a creditor's determination of whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that the restructuring constitutes both a concession and the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties under the guidance provided by this update. In addition, the amendments clarify that a creditor is precluded from using the effective interest rate test in the borrower's guidance on restructuring of payables when evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The guidance on identifying and disclosing troubled debt restructurings was effective and implemented commencing for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, or September 30, 2011 for the Corporation, and applied retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the year or January 1, 2011 for the Corporation. The guidance on measuring the impairment of a receivable restructured in a troubled debt restructuring was effective on a prospective basis. The applications of the provisions of this standard did not have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an ASU for improving disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable. The required disclosures include, among other things, a roll-forward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, nonaccrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. For disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period, the update was effective and implemented commencing as of December 31, 2010 for the Corporation's financial statements. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period were required for financial statements that include periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011, or March 31, 2011 for the Corporation. The guidance related to troubled debt restructurings was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after June 15, 2011, or September 30, 2011 for the Corporation, in order to be concurrent with the effective date of guidance under the ASU issued in April 2011 regarding a creditor's determination of whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. The application of the provisions of these standards did not have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements although it resulted in expanded disclosures effective March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, which are included under Note 4, Loans and Leases.

Note 2. Restrictions on Cash and Due from Banks and Interest-earning Deposit Accounts

The Bank maintains reserve balances under Federal Reserve Bank requirements. The reserve requirement at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$6.2 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, and was satisfied by vault cash held at the Bank's branches. No additional reserves were required to be maintained at the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia in excess of the required \$25 thousand clearing balance requirement. The average balances at the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia were \$60.7 million and \$47.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Corporation also maintains interest-earning deposit accounts at other financial institutions as collateral for Risk Participation Agreements. The pledging requirement at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.5 million and \$430 thousand, respectively. See Note 15 Commitments and Contingencies for additional information.

Table of Contents**Note 3. Investment Securities**

The following table shows the amortized cost and the estimated fair value of the held-to-maturity securities and available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010, by contractual maturity within each type:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011				December 31, 2010			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities								
Held-to-Maturity								
Residential mortgage-backed securities:								
Within 1 year	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 15	\$	\$	\$ 15
					15			15
Corporate bonds:								
After 1 year to 5 years	45,804	154	(319)	45,639				
	45,804	154	(319)	45,639				
Other securities:								
Within 1 year					17			17
					17			17
Total	\$ 45,804	\$ 154	\$ (319)	\$ 45,639	\$ 32	\$	\$	\$ 32
Securities								
Available-for-Sale								
U.S. treasuries:								
Within 1 year	\$ 2,525	\$	\$	\$ 2,525	\$	\$	\$	\$
	2,525			2,525				
U.S. government corporations and agencies:								
Within 1 year	10,009	77		\$ 10,086	7,000			7,000
After 1 year to 5 years	143,189	1,022	(33)	144,178	182,585	515	(2,000)	181,100
	153,198	1,099	(33)	154,264	189,585	515	(2,000)	188,100

State and political
subdivisions:

Within 1 year	752	5		757	451			451
After 1 year to 5 years	10,082	308	(16)	10,374	8,801	281		9,082
After 5 years to 10 years	11,846	664	(3)	12,507	14,042	281	(69)	14,254
Over 10 years	87,896	5,472	(1)	93,367	86,315	639	(2,693)	84,261
	110,576	6,449	(20)	117,005	109,609	1,201	(2,762)	108,048

Residential
mortgage-backed
securities:

After 5 years to 10 years	20,745	743		21,488	14,709	743		15,452
Over 10 years	55,328	2,665	(680)	57,313	66,919	3,222	(492)	69,649
	76,073	3,408	(680)	78,801	81,628	3,965	(492)	85,101

Commercial mortgage
obligations:

After 5 years to 10 years	5,547	124		5,671	8,855	252		9,107
Over 10 years	54,994	799		55,793	63,827	1,321	(1,164)	63,984
	60,541	923		61,464	72,682	1,573	(1,164)	73,091

Corporate bonds:

Within 1 year					2,999	30		3,029
After 1 year to 5 years	4,991		(224)	4,767	4,988		(43)	4,945
	4,991		(224)	4,767	7,987	30	(43)	7,974

Money market mutual
funds

Within 1 year	3,851			3,851	1,693			1,693
	3,851			3,851	1,693			1,693

Equity securities:

No stated maturity	2,364	544	(224)	2,684	2,447	680	(142)	2,985
	2,364	544	(224)	2,684	2,447	680	(142)	2,985

Total	\$ 414,119	\$ 12,423	\$ (1,181)	\$ 425,361	\$ 465,631	\$ 7,964	\$ (6,603)	\$ 466,992
-------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------	----------	------------	------------

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because debt issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

Securities with a fair value of \$338.5 million and \$347.3 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes as required by law.

The following table presents information related to sales of securities available for sale during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Securities available for sale:			
Proceeds from sales	\$ 40,481	\$ 13,466	\$ 48,647
Gross realized gains on sales	1,428	453	1,178
Gross realized losses on sales	11	21	28
Tax expense related to net realized gains on sales	496	151	403

Accumulated other comprehensive income related to securities of \$7.3 million and \$884 thousand, net of taxes, has been included in shareholders' equity at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Unrealized losses in investment securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 do not represent other-than-temporary impairments.

The Corporation realized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$16 thousand and \$62 thousand, respectively, to noninterest income on its equity portfolio during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Corporation determined that it was probable that certain equity securities would not regain market value equivalent to the Corporation's cost basis within a reasonable period of time due to a decline in the financial stability of the underlying companies. The Corporation carefully monitors all of its equity securities and has not taken impairment losses on certain other under-water equity securities, at this time, as the financial performance of the underlying companies is not indicative of the market deterioration of their stock and it is probable that the market value of the equity securities will recover to the Corporation's cost basis in the individual securities in a reasonable amount of time. The equity securities within the following table consist of common stocks of other financial institutions, which have experienced recent declines in value consistent with the industry as a whole. Management evaluated the near-term prospects of the issuers in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment. The Corporation has the positive intent and ability to hold these securities and believes it is more likely than not, that it will not have to sell these securities until recovery to the Corporation's cost basis occurs. The Corporation did not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no investments in any single non-federal issuer representing more than 10% of shareholders' equity.

The following table shows the amount of securities that were in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31, 2011					
	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 24,967	\$ (33)	\$	\$	\$ 24,967	\$ (33)
State and political subdivisions			1,997	(20)	1,997	(20)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	5,184	(20)	3,311	(660)	8,495	(680)
Corporate bonds	34,851	(543)			34,851	(543)
Equity securities	920	(224)			920	(224)

Total **\$ 65,922** **\$ (820)** **\$ 5,308** **\$ (680)** **\$ 71,230** **\$ (1,500)**

Table of Contents

(Dollars in thousands)	Less than Twelve Months		At December 31, 2010 Twelve Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 107,978	\$ (2,000)	\$	\$	\$ 107,978	\$ (2,000)
State and political subdivisions	52,531	(2,589)	1,589	(173)	54,120	(2,762)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	10,096	(38)	4,419	(454)	14,515	(492)
Commercial mortgage obligations	19,322	(1,164)			19,322	(1,164)
Corporate bonds	4,945	(43)			4,945	(43)
Equity securities	951	(140)	17	(2)	968	(142)
Total	\$ 195,823	\$ (5,974)	\$ 6,025	\$ (629)	\$ 201,848	\$ (6,603)

Note 4. Loans and Leases**Summary of Major Loan and Lease Categories**

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 484,687	\$ 463,518
Real estate-commercial	514,953	496,357
Real estate-construction	90,397	139,958
Real estate-residential secured for business purpose	32,481	42,459
Real estate-residential secured for personal purpose	125,220	121,876
Real estate-home equity secured for personal purpose	80,478	80,875
Loans to individuals	44,965	44,087
Lease financings	73,225	82,056
Total loans and leases, net of deferred income	\$ 1,446,406	\$ 1,471,186
Unearned lease income, included in the above table	\$ (9,965)	\$ (10,561)
Net deferred costs (fees), included in the above table	\$ 876	\$ (228)
Overdraft deposits included in the above table	\$ 123	\$ 199

Overdraft deposits are re-classified as loans and are included in the total loans and leases on the balance sheet.

The Corporation is a lessor of primarily small-ticket equipment under agreements expiring at various dates through the year 2018. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the schedule of minimum lease payments is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31	
	2011	2010
Within 1 year	\$ 37,552	\$ 42,310
After 1 year through 2 years	22,670	27,071

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

After 2 years through 3 years	13,688	13,595
After 3 years through 4 years	6,769	7,460
After 4 years through 5 years	2,461	2,132
Thereafter	50	49
Total future minimum lease payments receivable	83,190	92,617
Less: Unearned income	(9,965)	(10,561)
Total lease financing receivables, net of unearned income	\$ 73,225	\$ 82,056

Table of Contents**Age Analysis of Past Due Loans and Leases**

The following presents, by class of loans and leases, an aging of past due loans and leases, loans and leases which are current and the recorded investment in loans and leases greater than 90 days past due which are accruing interest at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	30-59 Days Past Due*	60-89 Days Past Due*	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due*	Total Past Due*	Current*	Total Loans and Leases	Recorded Investment Greater than 90 Days Past Due and Accruing Interest*
(Dollars in thousands)							
At December 31, 2011							
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 3,741	\$ 33	\$	\$ 3,774	\$ 476,222	\$ 484,687	\$
Real estate-commercial real estate and construction:							
Commercial real estate	2,212	723		2,935	491,498	514,953	
Construction					74,656	90,397	
Real estate-residential and home equity:							
Residential secured for business purpose	340			340	32,026	32,481	
Residential secured for personal purpose	1,783			1,783	123,380	125,220	
Home equity secured for personal purpose	298	68	117	483	79,968	80,478	117
Loans to individuals	386	236	204	826	44,089	44,965	204
Lease financings	1,203	544	44	1,791	70,535	73,225	44
Total	\$ 9,963	\$ 1,604	\$ 365	\$ 11,932	\$ 1,392,374	\$ 1,446,406	\$ 365

At December 31, 2010

Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 924	\$	\$	\$ 924	\$ 454,792	\$ 463,518	\$
Real estate-commercial real estate and construction:							
Commercial real estate	1,377			1,377	477,230	496,357	
Construction	2,615			2,615	120,036	139,958	

Real estate-residential and home equity:								
Residential secured for business purpose					42,008	42,459		
Residential secured for personal purpose	92		270	362	120,250	121,876	270	
Home equity secured for personal purpose	118	74	44	236	80,639	80,875	44	
Loans to individuals	537	153	382	1,072	42,934	44,087	382	
Lease financings	1,071	421		1,492	79,437	82,056		
Total	\$ 6,734	\$ 648	\$ 696	\$ 8,078	\$ 1,417,326	\$ 1,471,186	\$ 696	

* Excludes impaired loans and leases.

Table of Contents***Nonaccrual and Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases***

The following presents by class of loans and leases, nonaccrual loans and leases (including nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases), and accruing troubled debt restructured loans and leases at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	At December 31,					
	Nonaccrual Loans and Leases	2011 Accruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases	Total Impaired Loans and Leases	Nonaccrual Loans and Leases	2010 Accruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases	Total Impaired Loans and Leases
(Dollars in thousands)						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 4,614	\$ 77	\$ 4,691	\$ 7,627	\$ 175	\$ 7,802
Real estate-commercial real estate and construction:						
Commercial real estate	18,085	2,435	20,520	17,750		17,750
Construction	14,479	1,262	15,741	17,307		17,307
Real estate-residential and home equity:						
Residential secured for business purpose	107	8	115	361	90	451
Residential secured for personal purpose	57		57	1,264		1,264
Home equity secured for personal purpose	27		27			
Loans to individuals		50	50	21	60	81
Lease financings	838	61	899	902	225	1,127
Total	\$ 38,207	\$ 3,893	\$ 42,100	\$ 45,232	\$ 550	\$ 45,782

Credit Quality Indicators

The following tables present by class, the recorded investment in loans and leases by credit quality indicator at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

The Corporation employs a ten (10) grade risk rating system related to the credit quality of commercial loans and residential real estate loans secured for a business purpose of which the first six categories are pass categories (credits not adversely rated). The following is a description of the internal risk ratings and the likelihood of loss related to each risk rating. Loans with risk ratings of one through five are reviewed based on the relationship dollar amount with the borrower: loans with a relationship total of \$2.5 million or greater are reviewed quarterly; loans with a relationship balance of less than \$2.5 million but greater than \$500 thousand are reviewed annually based on the borrower's fiscal year; loans with a relationship balance of less than \$500 thousand are reviewed only if the loan becomes 60 days or more past due. Loans with risk ratings of six are also reviewed based on the relationship dollar amount with the borrower: loans with a relationship balance of \$2.0 million or greater are reviewed quarterly; loans with a relationship balance of less than \$2.0 million but greater than \$500 thousand are reviewed annually; loans with a relationship balance of less than \$500 thousand are reviewed only if the loan becomes 60 days or more past due. Loans with risk ratings of seven are reviewed at least quarterly, and as often as monthly, at management's discretion. Loans with risk

ratings of eight through ten are reviewed monthly.

1. Cash Secured No credit risk
2. Fully Secured Negligible credit risk
3. Strong Minimal credit risk
4. Satisfactory Nominal credit risk
5. Acceptable Moderate credit risk
6. Pre-Watch Marginal, but stable credit risk
7. Special Mention Potential weakness
8. Substandard Well-defined weakness
9. Doubtful Collection in-full improbable
10. Loss Considered uncollectible

Table of Contents**Commercial Credit Exposure Credit Risk by Internally Assigned Grades**

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial, Financial and Agricultural At December 31,		Real Estate Commercial At December 31,		Real Estate Construction At December 31,		Real Estate Residential Secured for Business Purpose At December 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Grade:								
1. Cash secured/ 2. Fully secured	\$ 2,426	\$ 2,714	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
3. Strong	4,441	16,350	9,365	10,268	1,124	3,948		28
4. Satisfactory	32,730	71,258	28,517	47,755	89	12,217	1,309	1,836
5. Acceptable	296,860	254,422	296,499	256,788	35,207	82,848	18,990	24,987
6. Pre-watch	79,402	70,259	100,581	108,784	33,993	12,005	8,853	6,322
7. Special Mention	26,162	8,476	29,055	17,596	1,715	684	663	700
8. Substandard	40,634	36,933	49,943	53,905	18,269	28,256	2,666	8,586
9. Doubtful	2,032	3,106	993	1,261				
10. Loss								
Total	\$ 484,687	\$ 463,518	\$ 514,953	\$ 496,357	\$ 90,397	\$ 139,958	\$ 32,481	\$ 42,459

The Corporation monitors the credit risk profile by payment activity for the following classifications of loans and leases: residential real estate loans secured for a personal purpose, home equity loans secured for a personal purpose, loans to individuals and lease financings by payment activity. Nonperforming loans and leases are loans past due 90 days or more and loans and leases on non-accrual of interest as well as troubled debt restructured loans. Performing loans and leases are reviewed only if the loan becomes 60 days or more past due. Nonperforming loans and leases are reviewed monthly. Performing loans and leases have a nominal to moderate risk of loss. Nonperforming loans and leases are loans with a well-defined weakness as well as loans where collection in-full is improbable.

Credit Exposure Real Estate- Residential Secured for Personal Purpose, Real Estate-Home Equity Secured for Personal Purpose, Loans to individuals, Lease Financing Credit Risk Profile by Payment Activity

(Dollars in thousands)	Real Estate Residential Secured for Personal Purpose At December 31,		Real Estate Home Equity Secured for Personal Purpose At December 31,		Loans to individuals At December 31,		Lease Financing At December 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Performing	\$ 125,163	\$ 120,342	\$ 80,334	\$ 80,831	\$ 44,711	\$ 43,624	\$ 72,282	\$ 80,929
Nonperforming	57	1,534	144	44	254	463	943	1,127
Total	\$ 125,220	\$ 121,876	\$ 80,478	\$ 80,875	\$ 44,965	\$ 44,087	\$ 73,225	\$ 82,056

Risks associated with lending activities include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and economic conditions, which may adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, and impact the value of the associated collateral.

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans and residential real estate loans with a business purpose are generally perceived as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans with a personal purpose and consumer loans. These types of loans involve larger loan balances to a single borrower or groups of related borrowers. Commercial real estate loans may be affected to a greater extent than residential loans by adverse conditions in real estate markets or the economy because commercial real estate borrowers' ability to repay their loans depends on successful development of their properties, as well as the factors affecting residential real estate borrowers.

Commercial, financial and agricultural business loans are typically based on the borrowers' ability to repay the loans from the cash flow of their businesses. These loans may involve greater risk because the availability of funds to repay each loan depends substantially on the success of the business itself. In addition, the collateral securing the loans often depreciates over time, is difficult to appraise and liquidate and fluctuates in value based on the success of the business.

Table of Contents

Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon whether our initial estimate of the property's value at completion of construction equals or exceeds the cost of the property construction (including interest). During the construction phase, a number of factors can result in delays and cost overruns. If estimates of value are inaccurate or if actual construction costs exceed estimates, the value of the property securing the loan may be insufficient to ensure full repayment when completed through a permanent loan or by seizure of collateral. Included in real estate-construction is track development financing. Risk factors related to track development financing include the demand for residential housing and the real estate valuation market. When projects move slower than anticipated, the properties may have significantly lower values than when the original underwriting was completed, resulting in lower collateral values to support the loan. Extended time frames also cause the interest carrying cost for a project to be higher than the builder projected, negatively impacting the builder's profit and cash flow and, therefore, their ability to make principal and interest payments.

Commercial real estate loans and residential real estate loans with a business purpose secured by owner-occupied properties are dependent upon the successful operation of the borrower's business. If the operating company suffers difficulties in terms of sales volume and/or profitability, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Loans secured by properties where repayment is dependent upon payment of rent by third party tenants or the sale of the property may be impacted by loss of tenants, lower lease rates needed to attract new tenants or the inability to sell a completed project in a timely fashion and at a profit.

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans and residential real estate loans secured for a business purpose are more susceptible to a risk of loss during a downturn in the business cycle. The Corporation has strict underwriting, review, and monitoring procedures in place, however, these procedures cannot eliminate all of the risks related to these loans.

The Corporation focuses on both assessing the borrower's capacity and willingness to repay and on obtaining sufficient collateral. Commercial, financial and agricultural loans are generally secured by the borrower's assets and by personal guarantees. Commercial real estate and residential real estate loans secured for a business purpose are originated primarily within the Eastern Pennsylvania market area at conservative loan-to-value ratios and often by a guarantee of the borrowers. Management closely monitors the composition and quality of the total commercial loan portfolio to ensure that any credit concentrations by borrower or industry are closely monitored.

The Corporation originates fixed-rate and adjustable-rate real estate-residential mortgage loans that are secured by the underlying 1- to 4-family residential properties for personal purposes. Credit risk exposure in this area of lending is minimized by the evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower, including debt-to-equity ratios, credit scores and adherence to underwriting policies that emphasize conservative loan-to-value ratios of generally no more than 80%. Residential mortgage loans granted in excess of the 80% loan-to-value ratio criterion are generally insured by private mortgage insurance.

In the real estate-home equity loan portfolio secured for a personal purpose, combined loan-to-value ratios at origination are generally limited to 80%. Other credit considerations may warrant higher combined loan-to-value ratios and are generally insured by private mortgage insurance.

Credit risk in the loans to individuals portfolio, which includes, direct consumer loans and credit cards, is controlled by strict adherence to conservative underwriting standards that consider debt-to-income levels and the creditworthiness of the borrower and, if secured, collateral values.

The primary risks that are involved with lease financing receivables are credit underwriting and borrower industry concentrations. The Corporation has strict underwriting, review, and monitoring procedures in place to mitigate this risk. Risk also lies in the residual value of the underlying equipment. Residual values are subject to judgments as to the value of the underlying equipment that can be affected by changes in economic and market conditions and the financial viability of the residual guarantors and insurers. To the extent not guaranteed or assumed by a third party, or otherwise insured against, the Corporation bears the risk of ownership of the leased assets. This includes the risk that the actual value of the leased assets at the end of the lease term will be less than the residual value. The Corporation greatly reduces this risk by using \$1.00 buyout leases, in which the entire cost of the leased equipment is included in the contractual payments, leaving no residual payment at the end of the lease terms.

Table of Contents**Reserve for Loan and Lease Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans and Leases**

The following presents, by portfolio segment, a summary of the activity in the reserve for loan and lease losses, the balance in the reserve for loan and leases losses disaggregated on the basis of impairment method and the recorded investment in loans and leases disaggregated on the basis of impairment method for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

(Dollars in thousands)	Real Estate		Real Estate Residential		Real Estate and Home Equity		Real Estate Residential		Unallocated	Total
	Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	Commercial and Construction	Residential for Business Purpose	Secured for Personal Purpose	Secured for Personal Purpose	Loans to Lease	Individual Financing			
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011										
Reserve for loan and lease losses:										
Beginning balance	\$ 9,630	\$ 15,288	\$ 1,333	\$ 544	\$ 734	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,419		\$ 30,898	
Charge-offs	(6,784)	(10,033)	(323)	(79)	(968)	(1,516)			(19,703)	
Recoveries	318	151	43	19	174	491			1,196	
Provision (recovery of provision)	8,098	7,911	(230)	251	790	419	240		17,479	
Ending balance	\$ 11,262	\$ 13,317	\$ 823	\$ 735	\$ 730	\$ 1,344	\$ 1,659		\$ 29,870	

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010**Reserve for loan and lease losses:**

Beginning balance	\$ 12,148	\$ 7,975	\$ 1,058	\$ 501	\$ 887	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,054		\$ 24,798	
Charge-offs	(3,436)	(9,267)	(1,298)	(8)	(883)	(2,213)			(17,105)	
Recoveries	129	651	45	76	227	512			1,640	
Provision (recovery of provision)	789	15,929	1,528	(25)	503	2,476	365		21,565	

Ending balance \$ 9,630 \$ 15,288 \$ 1,333 \$ 544 \$ 734 \$ 1,950 \$ 1,419 \$ 30,898

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial, Real Estate and Financial and Agricultural		Real Estate Residential	Real Estate and Home Equity Secured for Personal Purpose	Loans to Individual	Lease Financing	Unallocated	Total
	Commercial, Real Estate and Financial and Agricultural	Real Estate and Construction	Residential for Business Purpose	Real Estate and Home Equity Secured for Personal Purpose	Loans to Individual	Lease Financing	Unallocated	Total

At December 31, 2011

Reserve for loan and lease losses:

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 510	\$ 743	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ N/A	\$ 1,253
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	10,752	12,574	823	735	730	1,344	1,659	28,617
Ending balance	\$ 11,262	\$ 13,317	\$ 823	\$ 735	\$ 730	\$ 1,344	\$ 1,659	\$ 29,870

Loans and leases:

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,691	\$ 36,261	\$ 115	\$ 84	\$ 50	\$	\$	\$ 41,201
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	479,996	569,089	32,366	205,614	44,915	73,225		1,405,205
Ending balance	\$ 484,687	\$ 605,350	\$ 32,481	\$ 205,698	\$ 44,965	\$ 73,225		\$ 1,446,406

Table of Contents

	Real Estate Residential		Real Estate and Home Equity Secured		Loans to Leasees		Unallocated		Total
(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	Real Estate Commercial and Construction	Residential Secured for Business Purpose	Real Estate Residential for Personal Purpose	and Home Equity Secured for Personal Purpose	Individual	to Leasees	Unallocated	Total
At December 31, 2010									
Reserve for loan and lease losses:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 650	\$ 942	\$ 29	\$ 2	\$	\$	\$ N/A	\$	1,623
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	9,160	14,166	1,304	542	734	1,950	1,419		29,275
Ending balance	\$ 9,810	\$ 15,108	\$ 1,333	\$ 544	\$ 734	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,419	\$	30,898
Loans and leases:									
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 7,802	\$ 35,057	\$ 451	\$ 1,264	\$ 81	\$	\$	\$	44,655
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	455,716	601,258	42,008	201,487	44,006	82,056			1,426,531
Ending balance	\$ 463,518	\$ 636,315	\$ 42,459	\$ 202,751	\$ 44,087	\$ 82,056			\$ 1,471,186

A summary of the activity in the reserve for loan and lease losses is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 30,898	\$ 24,798	\$ 13,118
Provision for loan and lease losses	17,479	21,565	20,886
Loans and leases charged off	(19,703)	(17,105)	(10,448)
Recoveries	1,196	1,640	1,242
Balance at end of year	\$ 29,870	\$ 30,898	\$ 24,798

Table of Contents**Impaired Loans**

The following presents, by class of loans, the recorded investment and unpaid principal balance of impaired loans, the amounts of the impaired loans for which there is not an allowance for credit losses and the amounts for which there is an allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,					
	Recorded Investment	2011 Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	2010 Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 3,384	\$ 4,422		\$ 4,761	\$ 5,074	
Real estate commercial real estate	19,453	27,146		13,634	14,610	
Real estate construction	15,741	17,268		13,994	16,509	
Real estate residential secured for business purpose	115	631		361	730	
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose	57	57		632	632	
Real estate home equity secured for personal purpose	27	27				
Loans to individuals	50	58		81	81	
Total impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:	\$ 38,827	\$ 49,609		\$ 33,463	\$ 37,636	
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,307	\$ 1,700	\$ 510	\$ 3,041	\$ 3,058	\$ 650
Real estate commercial real estate	1,067	1,067	743	4,116	5,231	765
Real estate construction				3,313	3,739	177
Real estate residential secured for business purpose				90	90	29
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose				632	632	2
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,767	\$ 1,253	\$ 11,192	\$ 12,750	\$ 1,623
Total impaired loans:						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 4,691	\$ 6,122	\$ 510	\$ 7,802	\$ 8,132	\$ 650

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Real estate commercial real estate	20,520	28,213	743	17,750	19,841	765
Real estate construction	15,741	17,268		17,307	20,248	177
Real estate residential secured for business purpose	115	631		451	820	29
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose	57	57		1,264	1,264	2
Real estate home equity secured for personal purpose	27	27				
Loans to individuals	50	58		81	81	
Total impaired loans:	\$ 41,201	\$ 52,376	\$ 1,253	\$ 44,655	\$ 50,386	\$ 1,623

Table of Contents

The following presents by class of loans, the average recorded investment in impaired loans and an analysis of interest on impaired loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized*	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recognized Under Original Terms	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized*	Interest Income That Would Have Been Recognized Under Original Terms
(Dollars in thousands)						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 6,357	\$ 30	\$ 377	\$ 3,790	\$ 13	\$ 234
Real estate commercial real estate	18,850	130	1,300	8,280	63	744
Real estate construction	16,720	64	886	20,228		1,062
Real estate residential secured for business purpose	306	6	14	928	29	63
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose	491	25	25	1,201	13	62
Real estate home equity secured for personal purpose	25	1	1	231		9
Loans to individuals	57	5	1	62	4	
Total	\$ 42,806	\$ 261	\$ 2,604	\$ 34,720	\$ 122	\$ 2,174

* Includes interest income recognized on accruing troubled debt restructured loans of \$196 thousand and \$97 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Any income accrued on one-to-four family residential properties after the loan becomes 90 days past due is held in a reserve for uncollected interest. The reserve for uncollected interest was \$0 and \$42 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Other real estate owned was \$6.6 million and \$2.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Bank maintains a reserve in other liabilities for off-balance sheet credit exposures that currently are unfunded. The reserve for off-balance sheet credits was \$220 thousand and \$178 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases

The following presents, by class of loans and leases, information regarding accruing and non-accrual loans and leases that were restructured during the year ended December 31, 2011:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Number Of	Pre- Restructuring Outstanding Recorded	Post- Restructuring Outstanding Recorded

(Dollars in thousands)	Loans	Investment	Investment	Allowance
Accruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	2	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$
Real estate commercial real estate	5	2,438	2,435	
Real estate construction	5	2,182	2,182	
Real estate residential secured for business purpose	1	98	98	
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose	1	156	156	
Real estate home equity secured for personal purpose	1	31	31	
Total	15	\$ 4,985	\$ 4,982	\$
Nonaccrual Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases:				
Real estate commercial real estate	3	\$ 11,368	\$ 11,368	\$
Real estate residential secured for personal purpose	1	61	61	
Total	4	\$ 11,429	\$ 11,429	\$

Table of Contents

The Corporation grants concessions primarily related to extensions of interest-only payment periods and an occasional payment modification. These modifications typically are on a short-term basis up to one year. Our goal when restructuring a credit is to afford the customer a reasonable period of time to provide cash flow relief to customers experiencing cash flow difficulties.

Accruing loans totaling \$5.0 million were restructured during the year ended December 31, 2011. Accruing troubled debt restructured loans were primarily comprised of two categories of loans on which interest is being accrued under the restructured terms, and the loans were current or less than ninety days past due. The first category included four commercial real estate loans totaling \$1.2 million, which had their interest only payment terms extended due to reduced cash flows, and one loan with a balance of \$1.2 million, which had an interest rate reduction and maturity date extension due to inability to make payments because of reduced cash flows caused by economic conditions. The second category consisted of five construction loans totaling \$2.2 million, which had interest only payment terms extended due to stalled land development projects. Accruing troubled debt restructured loans charged-off during the year ended December 31, 2011, subsequent to the restructuring, totaled approximately \$241 thousand, primarily due to declines in collateral values.

Nonaccrual loans totaling \$11.4 million were restructured during the year ended December 31, 2011. Nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans were primarily comprised of two commercial real estate loans totaling \$8.6 million. The first restructure was necessary due to the customer's declining revenue and inability to raise additional capital needed to fund future projects, placing a strain on cash flow. To allow payments to be made, based on projected cash flows, two loans were restructured into one loan with a balance of \$6.7 million at a reduced interest rate, with repayment beginning in October of 2011. Periodic review of the financial performance of the company has been included in the covenants of the restructure, requiring additional payments on the loans as the company's financial condition improves. At December 31, 2011 the balance of this loan was \$6.5 million. The second restructure was necessary due to a bankruptcy filing. This loan has been modified to allow interest only payments at a reduced interest rate. At December 31, 2011, the balance of this loan was \$1.9 million.

The following presents, by class of loans and leases, information regarding accruing and nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans and leases, included in the table above, for which there was a payment default during the year ended December 31, 2011.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	
	Number of Loans	Recorded Investment
(Dollars in thousands)		
Accruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases:		
Real estate-residential secured for personal purpose	1	\$ 158
Real estate-home equity secured for personal purpose	1	31
Total	2	\$ 189
Nonaccrual Troubled Debt Restructured Loans and Leases:		
Real estate-commercial real estate	1	\$ 2,761
Total	1	\$ 2,761

Accruing troubled debt restructured loans, restructured during 2011, totaling \$189 thousand, had payment defaults subsequent to restructuring in 2011. As a result of the payment default, these loans have been placed on nonaccrual status.

Nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans, restructured during 2011, totaling \$2.8 million, had payment defaults subsequent to restructuring in 2011. The commercial real estate loan for \$2.8 million was foreclosed on and \$1.0 million was charged-off based on the appraised value of the property and the remaining \$1.8 million was transferred to other real estate owned during the third quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents**Note 5. Premises and Equipment**

The following table reflects the components of premises and equipment:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land and land improvements	\$ 9,039	\$ 8,906
Premises and improvements	35,821	35,449
Furniture and equipment	18,853	18,042
Total cost	63,713	62,397
Less: accumulated depreciation	(29,410)	(27,792)
Net book value	\$ 34,303	\$ 34,605

Note 6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Corporation has covenants not to compete, intangible assets due to branch acquisitions, core deposit intangibles, customer-related intangibles and mortgage servicing rights, which are not deemed to have an indefinite life and therefore will continue to be amortized over their useful life using the present value of projected cash flows. The amortization of these intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.5 million respectively. In 2011, impairment on customer-related intangibles was recognized in other noninterest expense in the amount of \$11 thousand; there was no impairment in 2010. The Corporation also has goodwill with a net carrying amount of \$53.2 million at December 31, 2011, which is deemed to be an indefinite intangible asset and is not amortized. The Corporation recorded additional goodwill of \$1.8 million and \$925 thousand at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively for earn-out payments related to its 2008 acquisition of Trollinger Consulting Group for achieving specified operating income targets. The Corporation has no remaining contingent earn-out payments.

The Corporation completed an impairment test for goodwill as of October 31, 2010. The Corporation elected to early adopt, during the fourth quarter of 2011, new accounting guidance which allows entities the option to perform a qualitative assessment of goodwill. In accordance with the new guidance, the Corporation determined, based on the assessment of qualitative factors and events and circumstances that may impact the drivers of fair value, it was not more likely than not, that the fair value of the Corporation, and each of its reporting units, was less than its carrying amount; therefore, it was not necessary to perform the two-step impairment test for the Corporation or the reporting units. There was no impairment recorded during 2011 or 2010. There can be no assurance that future impairment assessments or tests will not result in a charge to earnings.

Changes in the carrying amount of the Corporation's goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 50,393
Additions:	
Trollinger Consulting Group	927
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 51,320
Additions:	
Trollinger Consulting Group	1,849
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 53,169

The following table reflects the components of intangible assets as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Fair Value Adjustments	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Fair Value Adjustments	Net Carrying Amount
Amortized intangible assets:						
Covenants not to compete	\$ 320	\$ 320	\$	\$ 320	\$ 308	\$ 12
Branch acquisitions	2,951	2,951		2,951	2,951	
Core deposit intangibles	2,201	2,148	53	2,201	2,007	194
Customer related intangibles	5,291	3,213	2,078	5,302	2,472	2,830
Mortgage servicing rights, net	5,753	3,014	2,739	4,198	1,757	2,441
Total amortized intangible assets	\$ 16,516	\$ 11,646	\$ 4,870	\$ 14,972	\$ 9,495	\$ 5,477

Table of Contents

The estimated aggregate amortization expense includes covenants not to compete, core deposit intangibles and customer related intangibles for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

Year	(Dollars in thousands)	Amount
2012		\$ 670
2013		498
2014		380
2015		263
2016		146
Thereafter		174

The Corporation has originated mortgage servicing rights which are included in other intangible assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Mortgage servicing rights are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing income on a basis similar to the interest method using an accelerated amortization method and are subject to periodic impairment testing. The aggregate fair value of these rights was \$2.8 million and \$2.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights was determined using discount rates ranging from 3.5% to 7.3% for 2011.

Changes in the mortgage servicing rights balance are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$ 2,441	\$ 1,437	\$ 418
Servicing rights capitalized	1,555	1,380	1,280
Amortization of servicing rights	(665)	(425)	(178)
Changes in valuation	(592)	49	(83)
Ending balance	\$ 2,739	\$ 2,441	\$ 1,437
Mortgage loans serviced for others	\$ 418,224	\$ 306,403	\$ 174,066

Activity in the valuation allowance for mortgage servicing rights was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$ (201)	\$ (250)	\$ (167)
Additions	(592)		(83)
Reductions		49	
Direct write-downs			
Ending balance	\$ (793)	\$ (201)	\$ (250)

The estimated amortization expense of mortgage servicing rights for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

Year	(Dollars in thousands)	Amount
2012		\$ 632

2013	499
2014	379
2015	289
2016	217
Thereafter	723

Table of Contents**Note 7. Accrued Interest Receivable and Other Assets**

The following table provides the details of accrued interest receivable and other assets:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Other real estate owned	\$ 6,600	\$ 2,438
Accrued interest receivable	6,904	7,206
Accrued income and other receivables	3,106	2,843
Fair market value of derivative financial instruments	1,079	1,291
Prepaid FDIC insurance assessments	4,025	6,132
Other prepaid expenses	9,813	7,256
Federal Reserve Bank stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other not readily marketable equity securities	9,145	10,457
Net deferred tax assets	13,579	15,557
Other	624	624
Total accrued interest receivable and other assets	\$ 54,875	\$ 53,804

The FDIC Board implemented an institutional prepaid FDIC assessment to recapitalize the Deposit Insurance Fund during the fourth quarter of 2009. The amount was paid on December 30, 2009 for the fourth quarter 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. At December 31, 2011, \$4.0 million remained in the prepaid asset account. The prepaid amount of \$4.0 million has a zero percent risk-weighting for risk-based capital ratio calculations. The remaining prepaid amount will be expensed over the 2012 through 2013 period as the actual FDIC assessments are determined for each interim quarterly period. Any excess prepaid amounts may be utilized up to December 30, 2014 at which time any excess will be returned to the Bank.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank held \$3.3 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock as required by member banks of the Federal Reserve System. The Bank is also required to hold stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) in relation to the level of outstanding borrowings. The Bank held FHLB stock of \$5.8 million and \$7.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. On December 23, 2008, the FHLB announced that it would be suspending the payment of its dividends and the repurchase of excess capital stock in order to rebuild its capital levels. Additionally, the FHLB might require its members to increase its capital stock requirement. During the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first through fourth quarter of 2011, the FHLB repurchased a limited amount of excess capital stock. The FHLB will make decisions on future repurchases of excess capital stock on a quarterly basis. Effective February 28, 2011, the FHLB entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement with the other 11 Federal Home Loan Banks (collectively, the FHLBanks). The agreement calls for a plan for each FHLBank to build additional retained earnings and enhance capital. Under the plan, each FHLBank will, on a quarterly basis, beginning in the third quarter of 2011, allocate at least 20 percent of its net income to a separate restricted retained earnings account until the balance of the account equals one percent of that FHLBank's balance of outstanding obligations. On August 5, and August 8, 2011, the Standard & Poor's Rating Services downgraded the credit ratings of the U.S. government and federal agencies, including the FHLB, respectively, from AAA to AA+, with a negative outlook. These recent downgrades, and any future downgrades in the credit ratings of the U.S. government and the FHLB could increase the borrowing costs of the FHLB and possibly have a negative impact on its operations and long-term performance. It is possible this could have an adverse effect on the value of the Corporation's investment in the FHLB stock. However, based on current information from the FHLB, management believes that if there is any impairment in the FHLB stock, it is temporary. Therefore, as of December 31, 2011, the FHLB stock is recorded at cost.

Table of Contents**Note 8. Income Taxes**

The provision for federal and state income taxes included in the accompanying consolidated statements of income consists of the following:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Current:			
Federal	\$ 2,829	\$ 5,142	\$ 5,057
State	334	387	(14)
Deferred:			
Federal	1,480	(2,162)	(4,543)
State	133	(85)	63
	\$ 4,776	\$ 3,282	\$ 563

The provision for income taxes differs from the expected statutory provision as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Expected provision at statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Difference resulting from:			
Tax exempt interest income	(14.0)	(16.0)	(25.0)
Increase in value of bank owned life insurance assets	(2.4)	(2.3)	(4.1)
Other, including state income taxes, valuation allowance and rate differential	1.6	0.5	(0.9)
	20.2%	17.2%	5.0%

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Corporation did not record any tax benefits resulting from the exercise of employee stock options and restricted stock to additional paid-in capital.

As of December 31, 2011 the Corporation had no material unrecognized tax benefits, accrued interest or penalties. Penalties are recorded in non-interest expense in the year they are assessed and are treated as a non-deductible expense for tax purposes. Interest is recorded in non-interest expense in the year it is assessed and is treated as a deductible expense for tax purposes. The Corporation's 2006 and 2007 federal tax returns were examined with some minor adjustments, and tax years 2007 through 2011 remain subject to federal examination as well as examination by state taxing jurisdictions.

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for income tax purposes. Deferred state taxes are combined with federal deferred taxes (net of the impact of deferred state tax on the deferred federal tax) and are shown in the table below by major category of deferred income or expense. The Corporation had state net operating loss carry-forward of \$15.2 million which will begin to expire after December 31, 2018 if not utilized. A valuation allowance at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was attributable to deferred tax assets generated in certain state jurisdictions for which management believes it is more likely than not that such deferred tax assets will not be realized. Additionally, deferred tax assets of \$7 thousand and \$9 thousand were reversed and charged to equity during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of unrecognizable restricted stock and non-qualified stock option expense.

Table of Contents

The assets and liabilities giving rise to the Corporation's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Loan and lease loss	\$ 10,559	\$ 10,941
Deferred compensation	2,539	2,531
Postretirement benefits	653	614
Actuarial adjustments on postretirement benefits*	6,717	4,292
Vacation accrual	352	370
State net operating losses	987	813
Other-than-temporary impairments on equity securities	1,259	1,279
Other	1,270	1,553
Gross deferred tax assets	24,336	22,393
Valuation allowance	(1,392)	(1,281)
Total deferred tax asset, net of valuation allowance	22,944	21,112
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Market discount	1,337	1,276
Retirement plans	2,183	1,612
Depreciation	504	482
Deferred fees and expense	274	268
Prepaid expenses	535	406
Intangible assets	1,100	863
Net unrealized holding gains on securities available for sale and swaps*	3,432	648
Total deferred tax liabilities	9,365	5,555
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 13,579	\$ 15,557

* Represents the amount of deferred taxes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Note 9. Retirement Plan and Supplemental Retirement Plans

Substantially all employees who were hired before December 8, 2009 are covered by a noncontributory retirement plan. Employees hired on or after December 8, 2009 are no longer eligible to participate in the noncontributory retirement plan. The Corporation also provides supplemental executive retirement benefits, a portion of which is in excess of limits imposed on qualified plans by federal tax law. These plans are non-qualified benefit plans. Information on these plans are aggregated and reported under Retirement Plans within this footnote.

The Corporation also provides certain postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired employees. Information on these benefits is reported under Other Postretirement Benefits within this footnote.

The Corporation sponsors a 401(k) deferred salary savings plan, which is a qualified defined contribution plan, and which covers all employees of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, and provides that the Corporation makes matching contributions as defined by the plan. Expense recorded by the Corporation for the 401(k) deferred salary savings plan for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$639 thousand, \$588 thousand and \$536 thousand, respectively.

The Corporation sponsors a Supplemental non-Qualified Pension Plan (SNQPP) which was established in 1981 prior to the existence of a 401(k) Deferred Savings Plan, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Long-Term Incentive Plans and therefore is not actively offered to new participants. Expense recorded by the Corporation for the SNQPP for the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$463 thousand was more than offset by a reversal in the accrual of \$467 thousand related to the passing of one of the participants. The expense for 2011 was estimated using a weighted-average discount rate of 4.59%. Expense recorded by the Corporation for the SNQPP for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$88 thousand and \$576 thousand, respectively. The reduction in expense during 2010 was the result of a change in valuation estimates associated with projecting future changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Table of Contents

Information with respect to the Retirement and Supplemental Retirement Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Change in benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 32,250	\$ 29,386	\$ 1,974	\$ 1,636
Service cost	556	362	66	76
Interest cost	1,725	1,708	117	115
Actuarial loss	5,368	2,239	267	226
Benefits paid	(1,525)	(1,445)	(80)	(79)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 38,374	\$ 32,250	\$ 2,344	\$ 1,974
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 24,366	\$ 21,606	\$	\$
Actual return on plan assets	127	2,145		
Benefits paid	(1,525)	(1,445)	(80)	(79)
Employer contribution and non-qualified benefit payments	2,245	2,060	80	79
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	25,213	24,366		
Funded status	(13,161)	(7,884)	(2,344)	(1,974)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	20,482	16,508	819	561
Unrecognized prior service costs	(2,061)	(4,739)	(48)	(68)
Net amount recognized	\$ 5,260	\$ 3,885	\$ (1,573)	\$ (1,481)

Information for the pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 37,307	\$ 31,718
Accumulated benefit obligation	35,286	29,679
Fair value of plan assets	25,213	24,366

The retirement benefit cost includes the following components:

(Dollars in thousands)	Retirement Plans			Other Postretirement Benefits		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 556	\$ 362	\$ 1,094	\$ 66	\$ 76	\$ 68
Interest cost	1,725	1,708	1,700	117	115	91
Expected return on plan assets	(1,895)	(1,670)	(1,545)			
Amortization of net loss	728	686	899	15	7	25

(Accretion) amortization of prior service cost	(235)	(236)	47	(20)	(20)	(20)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 879	\$ 850	\$ 2,195	\$ 178	\$ 178	\$ 164

(Dollars in thousands)	Retirement Plans	Other Postretirement Benefits
<u>Expected amortization expense for 2012:</u>		
Amortization (accretion) of net loss	\$ 1,074	\$ (23)
(Accretion) amortization of prior service cost	(241)	20

Table of Contents

During 2012, the Corporation expects to contribute approximately \$40 thousand to the Retirement Plans and approximately \$87 thousand to Other Postretirement Benefits.

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

(Dollars in thousands)	Retirement Plans	Other Postretirement Benefits
For the fiscal year ending:		
2012	\$ 1,671	\$ 87
2013	1,739	89
2014	1,750	118
2015	2,025	129
2016	2,203	135
Years 2017-2021	10,602	772

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Assumed discount rate for obligation	4.5%	5.5%	4.5%	5.5%
Assumed salary increase rate	3.0	3.0		

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic costs for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	Retirement Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Assumed discount rate for obligation	5.5%	6.0%	5.5%	6.0%
Assumed long-term rate of investment return	8.0	8.0		
Assumed salary increase rate	3.0	3.0		

The discount rate was determined utilizing the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. Historical investment returns is the basis used to determine the overall expected long-term rate of return on assets.

Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates	2011	2010	2009
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline	5.0	5.0	5.0
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate rate	2013	2012	2011

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for health care plans. A one-percentage-point change in the assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

(Dollars in thousands)	One Percentage Point Increase Decrease	
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$ 7	\$ (7)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	85	(75)

The Corporation's pension plan asset allocation at December 31, 2011 and 2010, by asset category was as follows:

**Percentage of Plan Assets at
December 31,**

Asset Category:	2011	2010
Equity securities	49%	52%
Debt securities	47	47
Other	4	1
Total	100%	100%

Table of Contents

Plan assets include marketable equity securities, corporate and government debt securities, and certificates of deposit. The investment strategy is to keep a 50%-equity-to-50%-fixed-income mix to achieve the overall expected long-term rate of return of 8.0%. Equity securities do not include any common stock of the Corporation.

The major categories of assets in the Corporation's pension plan as of year-end are presented in the following table. Assets are segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy described in Note 17, Fair Value Disclosures.

(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at December 31,	
	2011	2010
Level 1:		
Common stocks	\$ 8,056	\$ 8,119
Mutual funds:		
U.S. Mid Cap	986	1,043
U.S. Small Cap	991	1,068
International	2,202	2,421
Income	1,577	1,518
Short-term investments	1,250	395
Level 2:		
U.S. government obligations	2,359	2,482
Corporate bonds	4,203	4,422
Level 3:		
Certificates of deposit	3,589	2,898
Total fair value of plan assets	\$ 25,213	\$ 24,366

Investments in common stocks primarily include U.S. companies with large market capitalizations and some foreign exposure in their markets. The common stock investments are diversified amongst various industries including basic materials (oil, gas, and other), financial services, healthcare, technology and other industries with the primary objective of long-term capital appreciation and a secondary objective of current income. Mutual fund investments in U.S. mid cap and small cap companies are comprised mainly of growth and value equity funds with some foreign exposure in the companies' markets. Mutual fund investments in international funds consist mainly of equity funds that invest in diverse companies mostly based in Europe and the Pacific Basin with the primary objective to provide long-term growth of capital and a secondary objective of current income. Mutual fund investments in income funds are comprised of short-term and intermediate-term bond funds. Corporate bonds are fixed income investment grade bonds of primarily U.S. issuers from diverse industries. Other fixed-income investments include U.S. government agency securities and bank certificates of deposits. The fixed income investments have varying maturities ranging from one to ten years with the objective to maximize investment return while preserving investment principal. Short-term investments are comprised of an interest-bearing money market deposit account with the Bank.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for measurements in hierarchy Level 3 at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance at December 31, 2010	Total Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	Total Realized Gains or (Losses)	Purchases	Maturities/ Redemptions	Balance at December 31, 2011
Certificates of deposit	\$ 2,898	\$	\$	\$ 1,305	\$ (614)	\$ 3,589

Total Level 3 assets \$ 2,898 \$ \$ \$ 1,305 \$ (614) \$ 3,589

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance at December 31, 2009	Total Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	Total Realized Gains or (Losses)	Purchases	Maturities/ Redemptions	Balance at December 31, 2010
Certificates of deposit	\$ 2,273	\$	\$	\$ 1,599	\$ (974)	\$ 2,898
Total Level 3 assets	\$ 2,273	\$	\$	\$ 1,599	\$ (974)	\$ 2,898

Table of Contents**Note 10. Stock-Based Incentive Plan**

The Corporation has a shareholder-approved 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan under which the Corporation may grant options and share awards to employees up to 1,500,000 shares of common stock. The plan provides for the issuance of options to purchase common shares at prices not less than 100 percent of the fair market value at the date of option grant and have a contractual term of ten years; and for restricted stock awards valued at not less than 100 percent of the fair market value at the date of award grant. For the majority of options issued, after two years, 33.3 percent of the optioned shares become exercisable in each of the following three years and remain exercisable for a period not exceeding ten years from the date of grant. For the majority of the restricted stock awards, the restriction lapses over a three-year period at 33.3 percent per year. There were 762,758 common shares available for future grants at December 31, 2011 under the plan. At December 31, 2011, there were 497,499 options to purchase common stock and 156,297 unvested restricted stock awards outstanding under the plan.

Following is a summary of the status of options granted under the 2003 Long-term Incentive Plan during 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value at December 31, 2011
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	428,032	\$ 23.07		
Granted	90,000	17.24		
Expired	(6,033)	25.16		
Forfeited	(14,500)	19.53		
Exercised				
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	497,499	22.09	5.6	\$
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	251,556	24.57	4.0	

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2009 was \$25 thousand. There were no stock options exercised during 2010 or 2011. The Corporation has a stock-for-stock-option exchange (or cashless exercise) program in place, whereby optionees can exchange the value of the spread of in-the-money vested options for Corporation stock having an equivalent value. This exchange allows the optionees to exercise their vested options on a net basis without having to pay the exercise price and or related taxes in cash. However, it will result in the optionees acquiring fewer shares than the number of options exercised. During 2009, optionees exchanged 1,586 shares, net shares acquired amounted to 2,547 common shares.

The Corporation's estimate of the fair value of a stock option is based on expectations derived from historical experience and may not necessarily equate to its market value when fully vested. The life of the option is based on historical factors which include the contractual term, vesting period, exercise behavior and employee turnover. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury strip rate in effect at the time of grant. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Corporation's stock over the expected life of the grant. The Corporation uses a straight-line accrual method to recognize stock-based compensation expense over the time-period it expects the options to vest.

The Corporation recognizes compensation expense for stock options over the requisite service period based on the grant-date fair value of those awards expected to ultimately vest. Forfeitures are estimated on the date of grant and revised if actual or expected forfeiture activity differs materially from original estimates. The following aggregated assumptions were made for options granted during fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Expected option life in years	7.9	8.0	7.8
Risk free interest rate	3.04%	3.60%	2.34%
Expected dividend yield	4.64%	4.55%	3.60%
Expected volatility	49.11%	47.16%	45.95%
Fair value of options	\$ 5.72	\$ 5.81	\$ 7.67

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of nonvested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2011 including changes during the year:

(Dollars in thousands)	Nonvested Share Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested share awards at December 31, 2010	116,813	\$ 19.96
Granted	58,736	17.34
Vested	(10,598)	19.05
Forfeited	(8,654)	19.17
Nonvested share awards at December 31, 2011	156,297	19.08

The fair value of restricted stock is equivalent to the fair value on the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period. The fair value of the restricted stock awards granted during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$17.34, \$17.62 and \$23.08 per share, respectively. The total intrinsic value of restricted stock awards that vested during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$183 thousand, \$138 thousand and \$112 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, there was \$1.3 million in total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years.

The following table presents information related to the Corporation's compensation expense related to stock incentive plans recognized for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Stock-based compensation expense:			
Stock options	\$ 301	\$ 211	\$ 376
Restricted stock awards	587	834	445
Employee stock purchase plan	33	46	32
Total	\$ 921	\$ 1,091	\$ 853

Tax benefit on nonqualified stock option expense and restricted stock awards	\$ 203	\$ 292	\$ 171
--	--------	--------	--------

There were no modifications or accelerations to options or restricted stock awards during 2009 through 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$47 thousand; there was no tax benefit recognized and recorded to additional paid in capital.

The Corporation typically issues shares for stock options exercises and grants of restricted stock awards from its Treasury Stock.

Note 11. Time Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits in denominations of \$100 thousand or more was \$161.7 million at December 31, 2011 and \$150.7 million at December 31, 2010, with interest expense of \$2.0 million for 2011 and \$2.9 million for 2010.

At December 31, 2011, the scheduled maturities of time deposits in denominations of \$100 thousand or more are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Due in 2012	\$ 120,050
Due in 2013	11,079
Due in 2014	4,266
Due in 2015	6,270
Due in 2016	12,720
Thereafter	7,302
Total	\$ 161,687

Table of Contents**Note 12. Borrowings**

At December 31, 2011 and 2010 long-term borrowings consisted of the following:

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance		Interest Rate		Maturity
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	3.75%	3.75%	January 2013
Subordinated Term Loan Note	625	1,125	1.68%	1.67%	April 2013
Subordinated Term Loan Note	1,250	2,250	1.68%	1.67%	May 2013
Trust Preferred Securities	20,619	20,619	3.45%	3.34%	October 2033
	\$ 27,494	\$ 28,994			

The contractual maturities of long-term borrowings as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Due in 2012	\$ 1,125
Due in 2013	5,750
Due in 2014	
Due in 2015	
Due in 2016	
Thereafter	20,619
	\$ 27,494

Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by a blanket floating lien on all first mortgage loans of the Bank, FHLB capital stock owned by the Bank and any funds on deposit with the FHLB. The Corporation, through the Bank, has short-term and long-term credit facilities with the FHLB with a maximum borrowing capacity of approximately \$371.5 million. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank's outstanding short-term and long-term borrowings under the FHLB credit facilities totaled \$5.0 million. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank also had outstanding short-term letters of credit with the FHLB totaling \$55.0 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, which were utilized to collateralize seasonal public funds deposits. The maximum borrowing capacity changes as a function of the Bank's qualifying collateral assets as well as the FHLB's internal credit rating of the bank and the amount of funds received may be reduced by additional required purchases of FHLB stock.

The Corporation secured two subordinated term loan notes during the second quarter of 2003. The first note was issued for \$5.0 million at the fixed rate of 5.5% per annum. This note converted to a floating rate in second quarter 2008 based upon the one-month U.S. London Interbank Borrowing Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.40% per annum. Quarterly principal and interest payments are made on this note. The second note was issued for \$10.0 million at a floating rate based upon the one-month LIBOR plus 1.40% per annum. Quarterly principal and interest payments are made on this note. Both of these notes mature in the second quarter of 2013. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the outstanding balance of these notes was \$1.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

On August 27, 2003, the Corporation issued \$20.0 million of Capital Securities of Uninvest Capital Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust formed by the Corporation. This issuance constitutes Trust Preferred Securities, which were completed through a placement in Junior Subordinated Debentures of the Corporation. The deconsolidation of Uninvest Capital Trust I increased the carrying amount of the Trust Preferred Securities by \$619 thousand. The 30-year term securities were issued on a variable rate based upon the published LIBOR rate plus 3.05% per annum. The securities are callable

by Uninvest at par in whole or in part after five years. Quarterly interest payments are made on this note. At December 31, 2011, the \$20.0 million in Trust Preferred Securities qualified as Tier 1 capital under capital guidelines of the Federal Reserve. The proceeds from the Trust Preferred Securities were used to support the future growth of the Corporation and its banking subsidiary, the Bank.

The Bank maintains federal fund credit lines with several correspondent banks totaling \$82.0 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010. There was no outstanding balance at December 31, 2011, outstanding borrowings under these lines totaled \$24.6 million at December 31, 2010. Future availability under these lines is subject to the prerogatives of the granting banks and may be withdrawn at will.

Table of Contents

The Corporation, through the Bank, has an available line of credit at the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, the amount of which is dependent upon the balance of loans and securities pledged as collateral. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Corporation had no outstanding borrowings from this line.

The following table details key information pertaining to securities sold under agreement to repurchase on an overnight basis for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Balance at December 31	\$ 109,740	\$ 90,271	\$ 95,624
Weighted average interest rate at year end	0.20%	0.30%	0.50%
Maximum amount outstanding at any month's end	\$ 111,724	\$ 109,712	\$ 133,140
Average amount outstanding during the year	\$ 102,873	\$ 97,667	\$ 91,390
Weighted average interest rate during the year	0.28%	0.40%	0.60%

Note 13. Earnings per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

(Dollars and shares in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share income available to common shareholders	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted-average shares outstanding	16,743	16,598	14,347
Effect of dilutive securities employee stock options			
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding	16,743	16,598	14,347
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.75
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.75
Average anti-dilutive options excluded from computation of diluted earnings per share	491	403	407
Average exercise price of anti-dilutive options excluded from computation of diluted earnings per share	\$ 22.09	\$ 23.41	\$ 23.45
Anti-dilutive options have been excluded in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common stock.			

Table of Contents**Note 14. Comprehensive Income and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

The following shows the components of comprehensive income, net of income taxes, for the periods presented:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investment securities:			
Net unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period	7,333	(4,248)	2,730
Less: reclassification adjustment for net gains on sales realized in net income	921	281	748
Less: reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities realized in net income	(10)	(40)	(1,110)
Total net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investment securities	6,422	(4,489)	3,092
Net change in fair value of derivative used for cash flow hedges	(1,252)	(830)	1,299
Defined benefit pension plans:			
Net unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period	(4,822)	(1,208)	1,314
Less: amortization of net loss included in net periodic pension costs	(483)	(450)	(601)
Prior service costs rising during the period			1,771
Less: accretion (amortization) of prior service cost included in net periodic pension costs	166	165	(18)
Total defined benefit pension plans	(4,505)	(923)	3,704
Total comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 19,547	\$ 9,514	\$ 18,875

The following shows the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income taxes, for the periods presented:

(Dollars in thousands)	Net	Net	Net	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
	Unrealized	Change	Change	
	(Losses) Gains Available for Sale Investment Securities	in Fair Value of Derivative Used for Cash Flow Hedges	Related to Defined Benefit Pension Plan	
Balance, December 31, 2008	2,281	(149)	(10,751)	(8,619)
Net Change	3,092	1,299	3,704	8,095
Balance, December 31, 2009	5,373	1,150	(7,047)	(524)

Net Change		(4,489)		(830)		(923)		(6,242)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	884	\$	320	\$	(7,970)	\$	(6,766)
Net Change		6,422		(1,252)		(4,505)		665
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	7,306	\$	(932)	\$	(12,475)	\$	(6,101)

Note 15. Commitments and Contingencies

Loan commitments are made to accommodate the financial needs of the Bank's customers. The Bank offers commercial, mortgage, and consumer credit products to their customers in the normal course of business, which are detailed in Note 4. These products represent a diversified credit portfolio and are generally issued to borrowers within the Bank's branch office systems in eastern Pennsylvania. The ability of the customers to repay their credit is, to some extent, dependent upon the economy in the Bank's market areas. Collateral is obtained based on management's credit assessment of the customer.

Standby letters of credit commit the Bank to make payments on behalf of customers when certain specified future events occur. They primarily are issued to support commercial paper, medium and long-term notes and debentures, including industrial revenue obligations. The approximate term is usually one year but some can be up to five years. Historically, substantially all standby letters of credit expire unfunded. If funded the majority of the letters of credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because letters of credit are generally un-assignable by either the Bank or the borrower, they only have value to the Bank and the borrower. The carrying amount is recorded as unamortized deferred fees and the reserve for credit risk. As of December 31, 2011, the maximum potential amount of future payments under letters of credit is \$47.8 million. The current carrying amount of the contingent obligation is \$238 thousand.

Table of Contents

This arrangement has credit risk essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to the Bank's normal credit policies. Collateral is obtained based on management's credit assessment of the customer. The Bank also controls their credit risk by limiting the amount of credit to any business, institution, or individual. Management evaluates the creditworthiness of the institution on at least a quarterly basis in an effort to monitor its credit risk associated with this concentration.

The Bank significantly grew its mortgage-banking business during 2011 and due to this growth increased its potential to have to repurchase the mortgages due to errors in documentation and underwriting. The Bank maintains a reserve in other liabilities for estimated losses associated with sold mortgages that may be repurchased. At December 31, 2011, the reserve for sold mortgages was \$112 thousand.

The Corporation periodically enters into risk participation agreements (RPAs) as a guarantor to other financial institutions, in order to mitigate those institutions' credit risk associated with interest rate swaps with third parties. The RPA stipulates that, in the event of default by the third party on the interest rate swap, the Corporation will reimburse a portion of the loss borne by the financial institution. The third parties usually have other borrowing relationships with the Corporation. The Corporation monitors overall borrower collateral and performance, and at the end of December 31, 2011, believes sufficient collateral is available to cover potential swap losses. The Corporation pledges cash or securities to cover a portion of the negative fair value of the RPAs, as measured by the participant financial institution. The terms of the RPAs, which correspond to the terms of the underlying swaps, range from 3 to 13 years. At December 31, 2011, the notional amount of the RPAs was \$24.2 million, with a negative fair value of \$2.3 million, of which \$1.5 million was pledged to the participant financial institutions as collateral. The maximum potential future payment guaranteed by the Corporation cannot be readily estimated, but is dependent upon the fair value of the interest rate swaps at the time of default. If an event of default on all contracts had occurred at December 31, 2011, the Corporation would have been required to make payments of approximately \$2.3 million.

Based on consultation with the Corporation's legal counsel, management is not aware of any litigation that would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheet or statement of income. There are no proceedings pending other than the ordinary routine litigation incident to the business of the Corporation. In addition, there are no material proceedings pending or known to be threatened or contemplated against the Corporation or the Bank by government authorities.

The following schedule summarizes the Corporation's off-balance sheet financial instruments:

(Dollars in thousands)	Contract/Notional Amount
Financial instruments representing credit risk:	
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 473,789
Performance letters of credit	15,020
Financial standby letters of credit	31,552
Other letters of credit	1,214

As of December 31, 2011, the Corporation and its subsidiaries were obligated under non-cancelable leases for various premises and equipment. A summary of the future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases net of related sublease revenue is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amount
<u>Year</u>	
2012	\$ 2,086
2013	1,969
2014	1,968
2015	1,831
2016	1,724

Thereafter	18,734
Total	\$ 28,312

Table of Contents

Rental expense charged to operations was \$2.2 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note 16. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Corporation may use interest-rate swap agreements to modify the interest rate characteristics from variable to fixed or fixed to floating in order to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on future net interest income. Recorded amounts related to interest-rate swaps are included in other assets or liabilities. The Corporation's credit exposure on interest rate swaps includes fair value and any collateral that is held by a third party. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as hedges of future cash flows are recognized in equity until the underlying forecasted transactions occur, at which time the deferred gains and losses are recognized in income. For a qualifying fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the hedging instrument is recognized in earnings, and the change in fair value on the hedge item to the extent attributable to the hedged risk adjusts the carrying amount of the hedge item and is recognized in earnings.

Derivative loan commitments represent agreements for delayed delivery of financial instruments in which the buyer agrees to purchase and the seller agrees to deliver, at a specified future date, a specified instrument at a specified price or yield. The Corporation's derivative loan commitments are commitments to sell loans secured by 1-to-4 family residential properties whose predominant risk characteristic is interest rate risk. The fair values of these derivative loan commitments are based upon the estimated amount the Corporation would receive or pay to terminate the contracts or agreements, taking into account current interest rates and, when appropriate, the current creditworthiness of the counterparties. Loans held for sale are included as forward loan commitments.

On March 24, 2009, the Corporation entered into a \$22.0 million notional interest rate swap, which had been classified as a fair value hedge on a real estate-commercial loan. Under the terms of the swap agreement, the Corporation paid a fixed rate of 6.49% and received a floating rate which is based on the one month LIBOR with a 357 basis point spread and a maturity date of April 1, 2019. The Corporation performed an assessment of the hedge at inception, and at re-designation. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation participated \$5.0 million of the hedged real estate-commercial loan and de-designated the hedge relationship. During the first quarter of 2010, the Corporation re-designated \$17.0 million of the interest rate swap. Upon re-designation, \$17.0 million of the swap had some ineffectiveness and the \$5.0 million remained undesignated. During the third quarter of 2010, the Corporation terminated the swap. The underlying commercial loan had a positive fair value adjustment on the termination date of \$859 thousand which is being amortized through a reduction of interest income over the remaining life.

On December 23, 2008, the Corporation entered into a cash flow hedge with a notional amount of \$20.0 million that had the effect of converting the variable rates on trust preferred securities to a fixed rate. Under the terms of the swap agreement, the Corporation pays a fixed rate of 2.65% and receives a floating rate based on the three month LIBOR with a maturity date of January 7, 2019. The Corporation expects that there will be no ineffectiveness in 2012, and therefore anticipates no portion of the net loss in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified to interest expense within the next twelve months.

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Notional Amount	Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities	
		Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value
At December 31, 2011					
Interest rate locks with customers	\$ 35,934	Other Assets	\$ 1,079		\$
Forward loan commitments	39,080			Other Liabilities	302
Total	\$ 75,014		\$ 1,079		\$ 302

At December 31, 2010

Interest rate locks with customers	\$ 37,691	Other Assets	\$ 530	\$
Forward loan commitments	41,842	Other Assets	269	
Total	\$ 79,533		\$ 799	\$

Table of Contents

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of derivatives designated as hedging instruments recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Derivative Assets			Derivative Liabilities	
	Notional Amount	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value
At December 31, 2011					
Interest rate swap cash flow hedge	\$ 20,000		\$	Other Liabilities	\$ 1,435
Total	\$ 20,000		\$		\$ 1,435
At December 31, 2010					
Interest rate swap cash flow hedge	\$ 20,000	Other Assets	\$ 492		\$
Total	\$ 20,000		\$ 492		\$

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amounts included in the consolidated statements of income for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are shown in the table below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Statement of Income Classification	Years Ended December 31,		
		2011	2010	2009
Interest rate locks with customers	Net gain (loss) on mortgage banking activities	\$ 549	\$ 506	\$ 24
Forward loan commitments	Net gain (loss) on mortgage banking activities	(572)	138	132
Total		\$ (23)	\$ 644	\$ 156

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amounts included in the consolidated statements of income for derivatives designated as hedging instruments are shown in the table below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Statement of Income Classification	Years Ended December 31,		
		2011	2010	2009
Interest rate swap fair value hedge interest payments	Interest income	\$	\$ (374)	\$ (454)
Interest rate swap fair value hedge	Net (loss) gain on interest rate swap	\$	(1,072)	\$ 641
Interest rate swap cash flow hedge interest payments	Interest expense	(475)	(468)	(377)
Interest rate swap cash flow hedge ineffectiveness	Interest expense			

Total \$ (475) \$ (1,914) \$ (190)

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income for derivatives designated as hedging instruments are shown in the table below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	At December 31,		
		2011	2010	2009
Interest rate swap cash flow hedge	Fair value, net of taxes	\$ (932)	\$ 320	\$ 1,150
Total		\$ (932)	\$ 320	\$ 1,150

Table of Contents**Note 17. Fair Value Disclosures**

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Corporation determines the fair value of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy. The Corporation maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Corporation. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Corporation's assumptions that the market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available in the circumstances. Three levels of inputs are used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement.

Level 1 Valuations are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Corporation has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment. Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 1 inputs include: Exchange-traded equity, most U.S. treasury securities and money market mutual funds.

Level 2 Valuations are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly. Assets and liabilities generally utilizing Level 2 inputs include: most U.S. Government agency mortgage-backed debt securities (MBS), corporate debt securities, corporate and municipal bonds, residential mortgage loans held for sale, certain commercial loans, certain equity securities, mortgage servicing rights, other real estate owned and derivative financial instruments.

Level 3 Valuations are based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 3 inputs include: financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash-flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the fair value calculation requires significant management judgment or estimation. These assets and liabilities include: certain commercial mortgage obligations (CMOs) and impaired loans and leases.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Investment Securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market for identical instruments, investment securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 investment securities include highly liquid U.S. Treasury securities, most equity securities and money market mutual funds. Mutual funds are registered investment companies which are valued at net asset value of shares on a market exchange as of the close of business at year end. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows. Examples of instruments, which would generally be classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, include U.S. Government sponsored enterprises, certain MBS, CMOs, and corporate and municipal bonds and certain equity securities. In cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, investment securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. Investment securities classified within Level 3 include certain CMO securities.

Fair values for securities are determined using independent pricing services and market-participating brokers. The Corporation's independent pricing service utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary by asset class and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information for structured securities, cash flow and, when available, loan performance data. Because many fixed income securities do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service's evaluated pricing applications apply information as applicable through processes, such as benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing, to prepare evaluations. If at any time, the pricing service determines that it does not have sufficient verifiable information to value a particular security, the Corporation will utilize valuations from another

pricing service. Management has a sufficient understanding of the third party service's valuation models, assumptions and inputs used in determining the fair value of securities to enable management to maintain an appropriate system of internal control.

Table of Contents

On a quarterly basis, the Corporation reviews changes, as submitted by the pricing service, in the market value of its security portfolio. Individual changes in valuations are reviewed for consistency with general interest rate movements and any known credit concerns for specific securities. Additionally, on an annual basis, the Corporation has its security portfolio priced by a second pricing service to determine consistency with another market evaluator, except for municipal bonds which are priced by another service provider on a sample basis. If, on the Corporation's review or in comparing with another servicer, a material difference between pricing evaluations were to exist, the Corporation may submit an inquiry to its current pricing service regarding the data used to make the valuation of a particular security. If the Corporation determines it has market information that would support a different valuation than its current pricing service's evaluation it can submit a challenge for a change to that security's valuation. There were no material differences in valuations noted in 2011.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair values of derivative financial instruments are based upon the estimated amount the Corporation would receive or pay to terminate the contracts or agreements, taking into account current interest rates and, when appropriate, the current creditworthiness of the counterparties. Derivative financial instruments are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

The following table presents the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, classified using the fair value hierarchy:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31, 2011			Assets/ Liabilities at Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S government treasuries	\$ 2,525	\$	\$	\$ 2,525
U.S government corporations and agencies		154,264		154,264
State and political subdivisions		117,005		117,005
Residential mortgage-backed securities		78,801		78,801
Commercial mortgage obligations		61,464		61,464
Corporate bonds		4,767		4,767
Money market mutual funds	3,851			3,851
Equity securities	2,684			2,684
Total available-for-sale securities	9,060	416,301		425,361
Interest rate locks with customers		1,079		1,079
Total assets	\$ 9,060	\$ 417,380	\$	\$ 426,440
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap	\$	\$ 1,435	\$	\$ 1,435
Forward loan commitments		302		302
Total liabilities	\$	\$ 1,737	\$	\$ 1,737

At December 31, 2010

Assets/

(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Liabilities at Fair Value
Assets:				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S government corporations and agencies	\$	\$ 188,100	\$	\$ 188,100
State and political subdivisions		108,048		108,048
Residential mortgage-backed securities		85,101		85,101
Commercial mortgage obligations		68,760	4,331	73,091
Corporate bonds		7,974		7,974
Money market mutual funds	1,693			1,693
Equity securities	2,985			2,985
Total available-for-sale securities	4,678	457,983	4,331	466,992
Interest rate swap		492		492
Interest rate locks with customers		530		530
Forward loan commitments		269		269
Total assets	\$ 4,678	\$ 459,274	\$ 4,331	\$ 468,283
Liabilities:				
Liabilities	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total liabilities	\$	\$	\$	\$

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Corporation utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance at December 31, 2010	Total Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	Total Realized Gains or (Losses)	Paydowns	Transfers to Level 2	Balance at December 31, 2011
Available-for-sale securities:						
Commercial mortgage obligations	\$ 4,331	\$ (26)	\$	\$ (135)	\$ (4,170)	\$
Total Level 3 assets	\$ 4,331	\$ (26)	\$	\$ (135)	\$ (4,170)	\$

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance at December 31, 2009	Total Unrealized Gains or (Losses)	Total Realized Gains or (Losses)	Paydowns	Balance at December 31, 2010
Available-for-sale securities:					
Commercial mortgage obligations	\$ 5,172	\$ 375	\$	\$ (1,216)	\$ 4,331
Asset-backed securities	573	(9)		(564)	
Total Level 3 assets	\$ 5,745	\$ 366	\$	\$ (1,780)	\$ 4,331

Realized gains or losses are recognized in the consolidated statements of income. There were no realized gains or losses recognized on Level 3 assets during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010. The CMO security which was previously classified at Level 3 at December 31, 2010 was transferred to Level 2 at March 31, 2011 as the CMO market for these types of securities are again being actively traded in the market and quoted prices remain observable at December 31, 2011.

The following table represents assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31, 2011			Assets/Liabilities at Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Impaired loans and leases	\$	\$	\$ 40,847	40,847
Mortgage servicing rights		2,739		2,739
Other real estate owned		6,600		6,600
Total	\$	\$ 9,339	\$ 40,847	\$ 50,186

At December 31, 2010

(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Assets/Liabilities at Fair Value
Loans held for sale	\$	\$ 4,178	\$	\$ 4,178
Real estate-commercial loan		17,650		17,650
Impaired loans and leases			44,159	44,159
Mortgage servicing rights		2,441		2,441
Other real estate owned		2,438		2,438
Total	\$	\$ 26,707	\$ 44,159	\$ 70,866

The fair value of the Corporation's loans held for sale are generally determined using a pricing model based on current market information obtained from external sources, including, interest rates, and bids or indications provided by market participants on specific loans that are actively marketed for sale. The Corporation's loans held for sale are primarily residential mortgage loans and are generally classified in Level 2 due to the observable pricing data. Loans held for sale at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value.

The fair value of the hedged real estate-commercial loan (as discussed in Note 16 – Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities) was based on a discounted cash flow model which takes into consideration the changes in market value due to changes in LIBOR. Commercial loans are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation participated \$5.0 million of the hedged real estate-commercial loan and at that time the remaining \$17.0 million loan was marked to fair value due to the de-designation of the fair value hedge. During the first quarter of 2010, the swap was re-designated and the hedged loan was being marked to fair value on a recurring basis. During the third quarter of 2010 the swap was terminated and the loan was marked to fair value. The fair value is being amortized to par value over the remaining life of the loan using the level-yield method.

Table of Contents

Impaired loans and leases include those collateral-dependent loans and leases for which the practical expedient was applied, resulting in a fair-value adjustment to the loan or lease. Impaired loans and leases are evaluated and valued at the time the loan is identified as impaired, at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing these loans less cost to sell and is classified at a Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of collateral is based on appraisals performed by qualified licensed appraisers hired by the Corporation. At December 31, 2011, impaired loans and leases had a carrying amount of \$42.1 million with a valuation allowance of \$1.3 million. At December 31, 2010, impaired loans and leases had a carrying amount of \$45.8 million with a valuation allowance of \$1.6 million.

The Corporation estimates the fair value of MSR s using discounted cash flow models that calculate the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model uses readily available prepayment speed assumptions for the current interest rates of the portfolios serviced. MSR s are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. MSR s are carried at the lower of amortized cost or estimated fair value.

The fair value of other real estate owned is estimated based upon its appraised value less costs to sell. The real estate is stated at an amount equal to the loan balance prior to foreclosure, plus costs incurred for improvements to the property but no more than the fair value of the property, less estimated costs to sell. New appraisals are generally obtained at least on an annual basis. Other real estate owned is classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Certain non-financial assets subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis include goodwill and other intangible assets. During 2011 and 2010, there were no triggering events to fair value goodwill and other intangible assets.

The following table represents the estimates of fair value of financial instruments:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31, 2011		At December 31, 2010	
	Carrying, Notional or Contract Amount	Fair Value	Carrying, Notional or Contract Amount	Fair Value
Assets:				
Cash and short-term interest- earning assets	\$ 107,377	\$ 107,377	\$ 29,187	\$ 29,187
Investment securities	471,165	471,000	467,024	467,024
Loans held for sale	3,157	3,255	4,178	4,178
Net loans and leases	1,416,536	1,453,129	1,440,288	1,499,065
Interest rate swap			20,000	492
Interest rate locks with customers	35,934	1,079	37,691	530
Forward loan commitments			41,842	269
Liabilities:				
Deposits	1,749,232	1,709,444	1,686,270	1,666,566
Short-term borrowings	109,740	106,677	114,871	114,908
Long-term borrowings	27,494	27,654	28,994	29,363
Interest rate swap	20,000	1,435		
Forward loan commitments	39,080	302		
Off-Balance-Sheet:				
Commitments to extend credit		(1,227)		(1,069)

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and short-term interest-earning assets: The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheets for cash and due from banks, interest-earning deposits with other banks, and federal funds sold and other short-term investments approximates those assets' fair values.

Table of Contents

Investment securities: Fair values for the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale investments securities are based on quoted market prices that are available in an active market for identical instruments. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows.

Loans held for sale: The fair value of the Corporation's loans held for sale are generally determined using a pricing model based on current market information obtained from external sources, including, interest rates, and bids or indications provided by market participants on specific loans that are actively marketed for sale. The Corporation's loans held for sale are primarily residential mortgage loans. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value.

Loans and leases: The fair values for loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using a discount rate consisting of an appropriate risk free rate, as well as components for credit risk, operating expense, and embedded prepayment options. As permitted, the fair value of the loans and leases are not based on the exit price concept as discussed in the first paragraph of this note.

Derivative financial instruments: The fair values of derivative financial instruments are based upon the estimated amount the Corporation would receive or pay to terminate the contracts or agreements, taking into account current interest rates and, when appropriate, the current creditworthiness of the counterparties.

Deposit liabilities: The fair values for deposits with fixed maturities are estimated by discounting the final maturity. At December 31, 2011, the fair value for non-maturing deposits are established based on expected cash flows and repricing characteristics for the instruments and incorporates Corporation developed, market-based assumptions regarding the impact of changing interest rates on these financial instruments. At December 31, 2010, the fair values for non-maturing deposits were established using a decay factor estimate of cash flows based upon industry-accepted assumptions with the discount rate consisting of an appropriate risk free rate and includes components for operating expense.

Short-term borrowings: The carrying amounts of securities sold under repurchase agreements, and fed funds purchased approximate their fair values. Short-term FHLB advances with embedded options are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis using a discount rate consisting of an appropriate risk free rate, as well as operating expense, and embedded prepayment options.

Long-term borrowings: The fair values of the Corporation's long-term borrowings (other than deposits) are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis using a discount rate consisting of an appropriate risk free rate, as well as components for credit risk, operating expense, and embedded prepayment options.

Off-balance-sheet instruments: Fair values for the Corporation's off-balance-sheet instruments are based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Note 18. Common Stock Issuance

On August 12, 2009, the Corporation completed its public offering of 3,392,500 shares of common stock at a price of \$17.50 per share, including 442,500 shares of common stock purchased by the underwriters pursuant to their over-allotment option, which was exercised in full. The net proceeds of the offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were approximately \$55.6 million. As a result of the stock issuance, common stock increased by \$17.0 million and additional paid-in capital increased by \$38.7 million.

Note 19. Regulatory Matters

The Corporation and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's and Bank's financial statements. Capital adequacy guidelines, and additionally for the Bank prompt corrective action regulations, involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting and other factors.

Table of Contents

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined).

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2011:						
Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Corporation	\$ 265,105	15.56%	\$ 136,343	8.00%	\$ 170,429	10.00%
Bank	249,694	14.89	134,158	8.00	167,697	10.00
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Corporation	243,474	14.29	68,172	4.00	102,257	6.00
Bank	228,619	13.63	67,079	4.00	100,618	6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets):						
Corporation	243,474	11.53	84,501	4.00	105,627	5.00
Bank	228,619	10.91	83,840	4.00	104,800	5.00

As of December 31, 2010:

Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Corporation	\$ 260,244	15.47%	\$ 134,623	8.00%	\$ 168,279	10.00%
Bank	243,908	14.71	132,674	8.00	165,842	10.00
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets):						
Corporation	238,393	14.17	67,312	4.00	100,968	6.00
Bank	223,050	13.45	66,337	4.00	99,505	6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets):						
Corporation	238,393	11.54	82,649	4.00	103,311	5.00
Bank	223,050	10.89	81,911	4.00	102,389	5.00

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, management believes that the Corporation and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. The Corporation, like other bank holding companies, currently is required to maintain Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital (the sum of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 capital) equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance-sheet items, such as standby letters of credit). The Bank, like other depository institutions, is required to maintain similar capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. For a depository institution to be considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios must be at least 6.0% and 10.0% on a risk-adjusted basis, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the Bank is categorized as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Dividend and Other Restrictions

The primary source of the Corporation's dividends paid to its shareholders is from the earnings of its subsidiaries paid to the Corporation in the form of dividends.

The approval of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors is required for a state bank member in the Federal Reserve system to pay dividends if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year exceeds the Bank's net profits (as defined) for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two calendar years. Under this formula, the Bank can declare dividends in 2012 without approval of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors of approximately

\$8.4 million plus an additional amount equal to the Bank's net profits for 2012 up to the date of any such dividend declaration.

Table of Contents

Federal Reserve Board policy applicable to the holding company also provides that, as a general matter, a bank holding company should inform the Federal Reserve and should eliminate, defer or significantly reduce the holding company's dividends if the holding company's net income for the preceding four quarters, net of dividends paid during the period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividends, the holding company's prospective rate of earnings retention is inconsistent with capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition, or the holding company will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. Federal Reserve Board policy also provides that a bank holding company should inform the Federal Reserve reasonably in advance of declaring or paying a dividend that exceeds earnings for the period or that could result in a material adverse change to the organization's capital structure.

The Federal Reserve Act requires that extension of credit by the Bank to certain affiliates, including the Corporation (parent), be secured by readily marketable securities, that extension of credit to any one affiliate be limited to 10% of the Bank's capital and surplus (as defined), and that extensions of credit to all such affiliates be limited to 20% of the Bank's capital and surplus.

Note 20. Related Party Transactions

At December 31, 2011, loans to directors and executive officers of the Corporation and companies in which directors have an interest (Related Parties) aggregated \$22.9 million. These loans have been made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the same time for comparable transactions with customers and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable terms.

The summary of activity for the past year is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Balance at January 1, 2011	Additions	Amounts Collected and Other Reductions	Balance at December 31, 2011
\$ 45,302	\$ 1,380	\$ 23,736	\$ 22,946

The Corporation paid \$400 thousand and \$1.4 million during 2011 and 2010, respectively, to H. Mininger & Son, Inc. for building expansion projects which were in the normal course of business on substantially the same terms as available for others. H. Ray Mininger, a director of the Corporation, is secretary of H. Mininger & Son, Inc.

Deposits received from Related Parties as of December 31, 2011 were \$6.6 million.

At December 31, 2011, the Bank had commitments to extend credit to Related Parties of \$8.7 million and standby and commercial letters of credit for Related Parties of \$192 thousand. These commitments have been made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the same time for comparable loans with persons not related to the lender and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Table of Contents**Note 21. Condensed Financial Information Parent Company Only**

Condensed financial statements of the Corporation, parent company only, follow:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
Balance Sheets		
Assets:		
Cash and balances due from financial institutions	\$ 2,554	\$ 648
Investments in securities	5,208	9,985
Investments in subsidiaries, at equity in net assets:		
Bank	276,405	263,183
Non-banks	16,126	16,120
Other assets	27,126	24,376
Total assets	\$ 327,419	\$ 314,312
Liabilities:		
Dividends payable	\$ 3,344	\$ 3,329
Other borrowings	332	372
Subordinated capital notes	1,875	3,375
Trust preferred securities	20,619	20,619
Other liabilities	28,270	20,393
Total liabilities	54,440	48,088
Shareholders' equity	272,979	266,224
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 327,419	\$ 314,312

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Statements of Income			
Dividends from bank	\$ 12,482	\$ 12,482	\$ 12,482
Dividends from non-banks	1,190	1,190	1,190
Other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities	(16)	(62)	(1,708)
Other-than-temporary impairment on other long-lived assets			(500)
Net gain (loss) on sales of securities	58	105	(28)
Other income	14,986	15,976	14,014
Total operating income	28,700	29,691	25,450
Operating expenses	16,946	15,715	16,376
Income before income tax (benefit) and equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries	11,754	13,976	9,074

Edgar Filing: UNIVEST CORP OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-K

Applicable income (benefit) tax	(547)	73	(1,745)
Income before equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries	12,301	13,903	10,819
Equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries:			
Bank	6,576	1,777	(71)
Non-banks	5	76	32
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780

Table of Contents

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Statements of Cash Flows			
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 18,882	\$ 15,756	\$ 10,780
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed net (income) loss of subsidiaries	(6,581)	(1,853)	39
Other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities	16	62	1,708
Other-than-temporary impairment on other long-lived assets			500
Net (gain) loss on sales of securities	(58)	(105)	28
Depreciation of premises and equipment	341	185	143
Increase in other assets	(1,728)	(2,736)	(1,298)
Increase (decrease) increase in other liabilities	1,176	(76)	(774)
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,048	11,233	11,126
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investments in subsidiaries			(55,000)
Proceeds from sales of securities	7,127	7,265	5,989
Purchases of investment securities	(2,525)	(7,000)	(7,000)
Liquidation of subsidiary, net of cash acquired		2,384	
Other, net	(196)	147	(393)
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	4,406	2,796	(56,404)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net change in purchased funds and other short-term borrowings	(40)	(754)	(57)
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,875)
Purchases of treasury stock	(1,928)	(153)	(370)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock			55,597
Stock issued under dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans	2,287	2,189	2,058
Proceeds from the issuance of stock options			47
Cash dividends paid	(13,367)	(13,249)	(11,078)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(14,548)	(13,467)	44,322
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from financial institutions	1,906	562	(956)
Cash and due from financial institutions at beginning of year	648	86	1,042
Cash and due from financial institutions at end of year	\$ 2,554	\$ 648	\$ 86
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 1,211	\$ 1,250	\$ 1,480

Income tax, net of refunds received	\$	4,626	\$	2,501	\$	4,977
-------------------------------------	----	--------------	----	-------	----	-------

Table of Contents**Note 22. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

The unaudited results of operations for the quarters for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2011 Quarterly Financial Data:	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Interest income	\$ 20,821	\$ 21,237	\$ 21,704	\$ 21,706
Interest expense	2,487	2,621	2,723	2,897
Net interest income	18,334	18,616	18,981	18,809
Provision for loan and lease losses	3,140	3,649	5,556	5,134
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	15,194	14,967	13,425	13,675
Noninterest income	8,978	8,974	8,696	7,759
Noninterest expense	17,563	17,295	16,406	16,746
Income before income taxes	6,609	6,646	5,715	4,688
Applicable income taxes	1,349	1,402	1,199	826
Net income	\$ 5,260	\$ 5,244	\$ 4,516	\$ 3,862
Per share data:				
Net income:				
Basic	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.23
Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.23
Dividends per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20
2010 Quarterly Financial Data:	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Interest income	\$ 22,580	\$ 23,060	\$ 22,878	\$ 22,485
Interest expense	3,380	4,107	4,602	5,380
Net interest income	19,200	18,953	18,276	17,105
Provision for loan and lease losses	6,276	5,529	4,865	4,895
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	12,924	13,424	13,411	12,210
Noninterest income	9,268	8,884	8,059	8,207
Noninterest expense	16,190	17,171	16,909	17,079
Income before income taxes	6,002	5,137	4,561	3,338
Applicable income taxes	1,093	990	831	368
Net income	\$ 4,909	\$ 4,147	\$ 3,730	\$ 2,970

Per share data:

Net income:

Basic	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	0.23	\$	0.18
Diluted	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	0.23	\$	0.18
Dividends per share	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$	0.20	\$	0.20

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Change in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management is responsible for the disclosure controls and procedures of the Corporation. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods required by the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be so disclosed by an issuer is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2011.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Corporation's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, as stated in their reports, which are included herein.

There were no changes to the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f)) of the Securities Exchange Act during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, the Corporation's control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania:

We have audited Univest Corporation of Pennsylvania and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011, and our report dated March 2, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 2, 2012

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information required by Items 401, 405, 406 and 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5), of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A for the annual meeting of shareholders on April 17, 2012 (2012 Proxy), under the headings: Election of Directors and Alternate Directors, Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, The Board, the Board's Committees and Their Functions, Audit Committee, Board Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Disclosure and Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Corporation maintains in effect a Code of Conduct for Directors and a Code of Conduct for all officers and employees, which includes the CEO and senior financial officers. The codes of conduct are available on the Corporation's website. The Corporation's website also includes the charters for its audit committee, compensation committee, and nominating and governance committee as well as its corporate governance principles. These documents are located on the Corporation's website at www.univest.net in the Investors Section under Governance Documents and are also available to any person without charge by sending a request to the Corporate Secretary at Univest Corporation, P. O. Box 64197, Souderton, PA 18964.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by Item 402 and paragraphs (e)(4) and (e)(5) of item 407 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's 2012 Proxy under the headings: The Board, the Board's Committees and Their Functions, Executive and Director Compensation, and Compensation Committee Report.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required by Items 201(d) and 403 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's 2012 Proxy under the heading, Beneficial Ownership of Directors and Officers.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required by Items 404 and 407(a) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's 2012 Proxy under the headings, The Board, the Board's Committees and Their Functions and Related Party Transactions.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required by Item 9(e) of Schedule 14A is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's 2012 Proxy under the headings: Audit Committee and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees.

Table of Contents

Part IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

- (a) 1. & 2. Financial Statements and Schedules

The financial statements listed in the accompanying index to financial statements are filed as part of this annual report.

3. Listing of Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits are filed as part of this annual report.

- (b) Exhibits The response to this portion of item 15 is submitted as a separate section.

- (c) Financial Statement Schedules none.

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
[Item 15(a) 1. & 2.]**

Annual Report to Shareholders	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	47
Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010	48
Consolidated statements of income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	49
Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	50
Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	51
Notes to consolidated financial statements	53
Financial statement schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the financial statements and notes thereto.	

Table of Contents

**UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO EXHIBITS
[Item 15(a) 3. and 15(b)]**

Description

- (3.1) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation are incorporated by reference to Appendix A of Form DEF14A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 9, 2006.
- (3.2) Amended By-Laws dated September 26, 2007 are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 27, 2007.
- (4.1) Shareholder Rights Agreement dated September 30, 2011 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 6, 2011.
- (14) Code of Ethics is incorporated by reference from Item (10) of this Form 10-K.
- (21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- (23.1) Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP
- (31.1) Certification of William S. Aichele, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (31.2) Certification of Jeffrey M. Schweitzer, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32.1)* Certification of William S. Aichele, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 1350, as enacted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (32.2)* Certification of Jeffrey M. Schweitzer, Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation, pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 1350, as enacted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 101.INS XBRL Instance Document

Exhibit 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

Exhibit 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

Exhibit 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

Exhibit 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Exhibit 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

- * A certification furnished pursuant to this item will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNIVEST CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA
Registrant

By: */s/ Jeffrey M. Schweitzer*
Jeffrey M. Schweitzer
*Senior Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer,
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)*
March 2, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
<i>/s/ WILLIAM S. AICHELE</i>	Chairman, President, Chief Executive	March 2, 2012
William S. Aichele	Officer and Director <i>(Principal Executive Officer)</i>	
<i>/s/ R. LEE DELP</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
R. Lee Delp		
<i>/s/ WILLIAM G. MORRAL</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
William G. Morral		
<i>/s/ H. PAUL LEWIS</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
H. Paul Lewis		
<i>/s/ H. RAY MININGER</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
H. Ray Mininger		
<i>/s/ MARK A. SCHLOSSER</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
Mark A. Schlosser		
<i>/s/ P. GREG SHELLY</i>	Director	March 2, 2012
Paul G. Shelly		
<i>/s/ MARGARET K. ZOOK</i>	Director	March 2, 2012

Margaret K. Zook

/s/ DOUGLAS C. CLEMENS

Director

March 2, 2012

Douglas C. Clemens

/s/ K. LEON MOYER

Vice Chairman

March 2, 2012

K. Leon Moyer