FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP /OH/ Form 10-Q May 09, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

Commission file number 0-12055

FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO 34-1371693

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No)

20 South Broad Street Canfield, OH

44406

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(330) 533-3341

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes β No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at April 30, 2011

Common Stock, No Par Value

18.673.921 shares

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page Number
Item 1 Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Included in Part I of this report:	
Farmers National Banc Corp. and Subsidiaries	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	1
Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income	2
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	3
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	4-20
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21-29
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	29
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	30
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A Risk Factors	30
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	30
Item 4 (Removed and Reserved)	30
Item 5 Other Information	30
Item 6 Exhibits	31
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	32
10-Q Certifications	
Section 906 Certifications	
EX-31.A EX-31.B EX-32.A	

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES (Unaudited)

	(In Thousa March 31, 2011	nds of Dollars) December 31, 2010		
ASSETS Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold	\$ 44,098 37,841	\$ 30,772 6,533		
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	81,939	37,305		
Securities available for sale	315,039	314,347		
Loans Less allowance for loan losses	576,450 10,137	590,367 9,307		
NET LOANS	566,313	581,060		
Premises and equipment, net Bank owned life insurance Goodwill Other intangibles Other assets	13,767 11,580 3,709 3,068 19,146	13,944 11,529 3,709 3,211 17,646		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,014,561	\$ 982,751		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing Interest-bearing	\$ 81,607 683,670	\$ 77,728 683,322		
TOTAL DEPOSITS	765,277	761,050		
Short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Other liabilities	119,497 23,784 2,881	105,634 24,733 3,286		
TOTAL LIABILITIES	911,439	894,703		

Commitments and contingent liabilities

Stockholders Equity:

Edgar Filing: FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q

Common Stock Authorized 25,000,000 shares; issued 18,673,934 in 2011 and		
15,699,184 in 2010	103,885	96,142
Retained (deficit) earnings	(3,713)	14,502
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,950	2,907
Treasury stock, at cost; 13 shares in 2011 and 2,053,149 in 2010	0	(25,503)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	103,122	88,048
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 1,014,561	\$ 982,751

See accompanying notes

1

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES (Unaudited)

	(In Thousands except Per Shar Data)			
		For the Three (arch 31, 2011		Ended arch 31, 2010
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME				
Loans, including fees	\$	8,466	\$	9,232
Taxable securities		1,869		2,241
Tax exempt securities		739		591
Dividends Endown! for do cold		46		53 9
Federal funds sold		9		9
TOTAL INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME		11,129		12,126
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits		1,698		2,745
Short-term borrowings		97		285
Long-term borrowings		251		282
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE		2,046		3,312
NET INTEREST INCOME		9,083		8,814
Provision for loan losses		1,875		2,778
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES		7,208		6,036
NONINTEREST INCOME				
Service charges on deposit accounts		473		478
Bank owned life insurance income, including death benefits		159		126
Trust fees		1,338		1,232
Insurance agency commissions		64		62
Investment commissions		191		111
Other operating income		392		327
TOTAL NONINTEREST INCOME		2,617		2,336
NONINTEREST EXPENSES				
Salaries and employee benefits		4,188		3,977
Occupancy and equipment		916		925
State and local taxes		247		232
Professional fees		236		309

8

Advertising	145	130
FDIC insurance	348	303
Intangible amortization	143	145
Core processing charges	245	239
Other operating expenses	1,346	1,272
TOTAL NONINTEREST EXPENSES	7,814	7,532
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	2,011	840
INCOME TAXES	321	(7)
NET INCOME	\$ 1,690	\$ 847
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME NET OF TAX: Change in net unrealized gains on securities, net of reclassifications	43	1,067
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 1,733	\$ 1,914
NET INCOME PER SHARE basic and diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.06
DIVIDENDS PER SHARE See accompanying notes	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03

2

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES (Unaudited)

	(In Thousands Three Month March 31, 2011			·		
				2010		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	1,690	\$	847		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:		4.055				
Provision for loan losses		1,875		2,778		
Depreciation and amortization		428		428		
Net amortization of securities Loss on sale of other real estate owned		1,499 16		250 49		
Increase in bank owned life insurance		(159)		(126)		
Net change in other assets and liabilities		(1,628)		(1,525)		
Net change in other assets and naorities		(1,020)		(1,323)		
NET CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		3,721		2,701		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Proceeds from maturities and repayments of securities available for sale		10,876		13,145		
Purchases of securities available for sale		(13,002)		(18,803)		
Loan originations and payments, net		12,473		(1,773)		
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned		59		323		
Proceeds from BOLI death benefit		108		0		
Additions to premises and equipment		(83)		(461)		
NET CASH FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		10,431		(7,569)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Net change in deposits		4,227		(757)		
Net change in short-term borrowings		13,863		26,293		
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and other debt		(949)		(1,649)		
Cash dividends paid		(559)		(405)		
Proceeds from dividend reinvestment		128		120		
Proceeds from common stock offering, net of offering expenses		13,772		0		
NET CASH FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		30,482		23,602		
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		44,634		18,734		
Beginning cash and cash equivalents		37,305		51,160		
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$	81,939	\$	69,894		
Supplemental cash flow information: Interest paid	\$	2,068	\$	3,481		

10

Edgar Filing: FARMERS	NATIONAL BANC	CORP /OH/ -	Form 10-Q

Income taxes paid	\$ 0	\$ 0
Supplemental noncash disclosures: Transfer of loans to other real estate See accompanying notes	\$ 399	\$ 75

3

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Principles of Consolidation:

Farmers National Banc Corp. (the Company) is a multi-bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company provides full banking services through its nationally chartered subsidiary, The Farmers National Bank of Canfield (the Bank). The Company provides trust services through its subsidiary, Farmers Trust Company (the Trust), and insurance services through the Bank s subsidiary, Farmers National Insurance. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank, the Trust and Farmers National Insurance. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

Basis of Presentation:

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The interim consolidated financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring items) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. The results of operations for the interim periods disclosed herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year.

Estimates:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, deferred tax assets, carrying amount of goodwill and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Segments:

The Company provides a broad range of financial services to individuals and companies in northeastern Ohio. While the Company s chief decision makers monitor the revenue streams of the various products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is primarily aggregated and reported in two lines of business, the Bank segment and the Trust segment.

4

Table of Contents

Securities:

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

(In Thousands of Dollars) March 31, 2011	A	mortized Cost	Un	Gross realized Gains	Uı	Gross realized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government sponsored entities State and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities residential Collateralized mortgage obligations Equity securities Other securities	\$	71,717 80,100 139,322 18,963 149 250	\$	2,474 1,418 3,662 0 299 17	\$	(202) (1,655) (1,193) (266) (16) 0	\$ 73,989 79,863 141,791 18,697 432 267
Totals	\$	310,501	\$	7,870	\$	(3,332)	\$ 315,039
(In Thousands of Dollars) December 31, 2010 U.S. Treasury and U.S. government sponsored entities State and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities residential Collateralized mortgage obligations Equity securities Other securities	\$	67,376 81,397 140,681 20,021 149 250	\$	2,768 1,215 4,099 1 66 17	\$	(166) (2,146) (1,003) (362) (16) 0	\$ 69,978 80,466 143,777 19,660 199 267
Totals	\$	309,874	\$	8,166	\$	(3,693)	\$ 314,347

There were no sales of securities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The amortized cost and fair value of the debt securities portfolio are shown by expected maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage backed securities are not due at a single maturity date and are shown separately.

March 31, 2011				
Amortized Cost		Fair Value		
\$	2,068	\$	2,081	
	78,784		81,010	
	46,167		45,672	
	25,048		25,356	
	158,285		160,488	
	\$	Amortized Cost \$ 2,068 78,784 46,167	Amortized Cost \$ 2,068 \$ 78,784 46,167 25,048	

Total \$ 310,352 \$ 314,607

5

Table of Contents

	December 31, 20			
	An	nortized		Fair
(In Thousands of Dollars)		Cost		Value
Maturity				
Within one year	\$	2,588	\$	2,593
One to five years		73,969		76,346
Five to ten years		46,151		45,317
Beyond ten years		26,315		26,455
Mortgage-backed and CMO securities		160,702		163,437
Total	\$	309,725	\$	314,148

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, aggregated by major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less Than	n 12 Months	12 Month	s or Longer	T	otal
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
(In Thousands of Dollars) March 31, 2011 Available-for-sale U.S. Treasury and U.S.	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
government-sponsored entities	\$ 12,627	\$ (196)	\$ 301	\$ (6)	\$ 12,928	\$ (202)
State and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities	29,603	(1,497)	801	(158)	30,404	(1,655)
residential Collateralized mortgage	46,630	(1,192)	26	(1)	46,656	(1,193)
obligations	18,672	(266)	0	0	18,672	(266)
Equity securities	0	0	8	(16)	8	(16)
Total	\$ 107,532	\$ (3,151)	\$ 1,136	\$ (181)	\$ 108,668	\$ (3,332)
	Less Than	n 12 Months	12 Month	s or Longer	Т	otal
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
(In Thousands of Dollars) December 31, 2010 Available-for-sale U.S. Treasury and U.S.	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
government-sponsored entities	\$ 8,458	\$ (160)	\$ 313	\$ (6)	\$ 8,771	\$ (166)
State and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities	36,118	(1,981)	790	(165)	36,908	(2,146)
residential Collateralized mortgage	45,567	(1,002)	26	(1)	45,593	(1,003)
obligations	19,594	(362)	0	0	19,594	(362)
Equity securities	0	0	8	(16)	8	(16)

Total \$109,737 \$ (3,505) \$ 1,137 \$ (188) \$110,874 \$ (3,693)

6

Table of Contents

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Investment securities are generally evaluated for OTTI under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 320, *Investments Debt and Equity Securities*. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions and whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, the Company may consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, or U.S. government sponsored enterprises, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer s financial condition. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

When OTTI occurs, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If an entity intends to sell or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2) other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. For equity securities, the entire amount of impairment is recognized through earnings.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company s security portfolio consisted of 407 securities, 82 of which were in an unrealized loss position. The majority of the unrealized losses on the Company s securities are related to its holdings of U.S. government-sponsored entities, state and political subdivisions, and mortgage-backed securities as discussed below. Unrealized losses on debt securities issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities have not been recognized into income because the securities are of high credit quality, management does not have the intent to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery and the decline in fair value is largely due to fluctuations in market interest rates and not credit quality. Consequently, the fair value of such debt securities is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity date.

Unrealized losses on debt securities at March 31, 2011 relative to obligations of state and political subdivisions have not been recognized into income. Generally, these debt securities have maintained their investment grade ratings and management does not have the intent to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery, which may be at maturity.

All of the Company s holdings of mortgage-backed securities were issued by U.S. government sponsored enterprises. Unrealized losses on mortgage-backed securities have not been recognized into income. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these mortgage-backed securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be OTTI. The Company s securities portfolio includes collateralized mortgage obligations with a market value of \$18.7 million which had unrealized losses of approximately \$266 thousand at March 31, 2011. The Company monitors to insure it has adequate credit support and as of March 31, 2011, the Company believes there is no OTTI and does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery.

Loans:

Loan balances were as follows:

(In Thousands of Dollars)	M	Iarch 31, 2011	Dec	cember 31, 2010
Commercial real estate				
Owner occupied	\$	108,762	\$	111,261
Non-owner occupied		76,325		76,592
Other		16,182		16,582
Commercial		76,086		76,635
Residential real estate				
1-4 family residential		149,472		154,132
Home equity lines of credit		22,607		23,624
Consumer				
Indirect		112,746		116,999
Direct		11,210		11,302
Other		1,433		1,485
Subtotal		574,823		588,612
Net deferred loan (fees) costs		1,627		1,755
Allowance for loan losses		(10,137)		(9,307)
Net loans	\$	566,313	\$	581,060

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ending March 31, 2011. The recorded investment in loans includes the unpaid principal balance and unamortized loan origination fees and costs:

		nmercial Real				sidential Real					
(In Thousands of Dollars)	E	Estate	Con	nmercial	I	Estate	Coı	nsumer	Unal	located	Total
Allowance for loan losses											
Beginning balance, January 1,											
2011	\$	5,780	\$	1,707	\$	881	\$	875	\$	64	\$ 9,307
Provision for loan losses		323		283		1,120		77		72	1,875
Recoveries		2		22		1		189			214
Loans charged off		(85)		(134)		(741)		(299)			(1,259)
Ending balance, March 31, 2011	\$	6,020	\$	1,878	\$	1,261	\$	842	\$	136	\$ 10,137

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ending March 31, 2010.

(In Thousands of Dollars)	
Allowance for loan losses	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 7,400
Provision for loan losses	2,778
Recoveries	147

Loans charged off (2,105)

Ending balance, March 31, 2010 \$ 8,220

8

Table of Contents

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The recorded investment in loans includes the unpaid principal balance and unamortized loan origination fees and costs but excludes accrued interest receivable, which is not considered to be material:

March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

	Co	mmercial			Re	esidential Real						
(In Thousands of Dollars) Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:	Real Estate		Coi	mmercial	Estate		Consumer		Unall	ocated		Total
Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for	\$	675	\$	191	\$	1.061	\$	0.42	\$	126	\$	866
impairment		5,345		1,687		1,261		842		136		9,271
Total ending allowance balance	\$	6,020	\$	1,878	\$	1,261	\$	842	\$	136	\$	10,137
Loans: Loans individually evaluated												
for impairment Loans collectively evaluated	\$	6,424	\$	1,254	\$		\$		\$		\$	7,678
for impairment		194,319		74,832		171,400	12	28,221			5	568,772
Total ending loans balance	\$	200,743	\$	76,086	\$	171,400	\$ 12	28,221	\$		\$ 5	576,450
December 31, 2010												
	Co	ommercial			R	esidential						
(In Thousands of Dollars) Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:	Re	eal Estate	Co	mmercial		Real Estate	Con	sumer	Unall	located		Total
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	572	\$	33	\$		\$		\$		\$	605
Collectively evaluated for impairment		5,208		1,674		881		875		64		8,702
Total ending allowance balance	\$	5,780	\$	1,707	\$	881	\$	875	\$	64	\$	9,307
Loans: Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	6,045	\$	1,015	\$		\$		\$		\$	7,060
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		197,849		75,620		177,067	13	32,771			5	583,307

21

Total ending loans balance \$ 203,894 \$ 76,635 \$ 177,067 \$ 132,771 \$ \$590,367

Interest income recognized during impairment for the three months ending March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 was immaterial.

9

Table of Contents

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the average recorded investment by class for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

(In Thousands of Dollars) March 31, 2011 With no related allowance recorded:	Pri	npaid incipal alance	corded estment	Allow fo Loan I Alloo	or Losses	Red	verage corded estment
Commercial real estate Owner occupied Non-owner occupied Other Commercial Residential real estate 1-4 family residential Home equity lines of credit Consumer Indirect Direct Other With an allowance recorded:	\$	1,309 460 1,248 782	\$ 1,314 461 1,257 784	\$		\$	1,356 464 1,267 790
Commercial real estate Owner occupied Non-owner occupied Other Commercial Residential real estate 1-4 family residential Home equity lines of credit Consumer Indirect Direct Other		2,428 302 659 468	2,430 303 659 470		310 40 325 191		2,435 303 645 474
Total	\$	7,656	\$ 7,678	\$	866	\$	7,734
(In Thousands of Dollars) December 31, 2010 With no related allowance recorded: Commercial real estate	Pri	npaid incipal alance	corded estment	Allow fo Loan I Alloo	or Losses		
Owner occupied Non-owner occupied Other Commercial Residential real estate 1-4 family residential	\$	821 466 365 800	\$ 818 465 364 798	\$			

3,147		3,141		134
167		167		3
1,097		1,090		435
219		217		33
\$ 7,082	\$	7,060	\$	605
\$	1,097 219	167 1,097 219	167 167 1,097 1,090 219 217	167 167 1,097 1,090 219 217

10

Table of Contents

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	March 31, 2011 December Loans Past Due over 90 Days Still								
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Nor	naccrual	•	ruing	Nor	naccrual		ys Still cruing	
Commercial real estate				C				C	
Owner occupied	\$	1,185	\$		\$	1,960	\$		
Non-owner occupied		1,663				550			
Other		1,069				1,462			
Commercial		553		264		400			
Residential real estate									
1-4 family residential		4,989				3,362		190	
Home equity lines of credit		944		196		815		10	
Consumer									
Indirect		15		115		27		53	
Direct				8				48	
Other				10				24	
Total	\$	10,418	\$	593	\$	8,576	\$	325	

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual included both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

	Ma	rch 31,	Dece	ember 31,
(Dollars in Thousands)	,	2011		2010
Percentage of nonperforming loans to gross loans		1.91%		1.51%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets		1.17%		0.96%
Loans delinquent 30-89 days	\$	3,392	\$	7,924
Percentage of loans delinquent 30-89 days to total loans		0.59%		1.34%

11

Table of Contents

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by class of loans:

March 31, 2011

	3	30-59		60-89 Days		Freater nan 90				
	Da	ys Past		Past	Da	ys Past	To	tal Past	Loans Not	
(In Thousands of Dollars)		Due		Due		Due		Due	Past Due	Total
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied	\$	260	\$	380	\$	1,185	\$	1,825	\$ 106,653	\$ 108,478
Non-owner occupied				23		1,663		1,686	74,440	76,126
Other						1,069		1,069	15,070	16,139
Commercial		56		8		817		881	75,205	76,086
Residential real estate										
1-4 family residential		905		96		4,989		5,990	142,803	148,793
Home equity lines of credit		130				1,140		1,270	21,337	22,607
Consumer										
Indirect		1,115		164		130		1,409	114,169	115,578
Direct		193		59		8		260	10,950	11,210
Other		3				10		13	1,420	1,433
Total	\$	2,662	\$	730	\$	11,011	\$	14,403	\$ 562,047	\$ 576,450
December 31, 2010										
					C	Freater				
	3	30-59	6	50-89	tl	nan 90				
	Da	ys Past	Da	ıys Past	Da	ıys Past	To	otal Past	Loans Not	
(In Thousands of Dollars) Commercial real estate		Due		Due		Due		Due	Past Due	Total
Owner occupied	\$	407	\$	91	\$	1,960	\$	2,458	\$ 108,509	\$110,967
Non-owner occupied	_	499	_	59		550		1,108	75,281	76,389
Other						1,462		1,462	15,076	16,538
Commercial		286		275		400		961	75,674	76,635
Residential real estate									, , , , , ,	, ,,,,,,
1-4 family residential		2,981		435		3,552		6,968	146,475	153,443
Home equity lines of credit		334		16		825		1,175	22,449	23,624
Consumer								,	, -	- ,-
Indirect		1,668		519		80		2,267	117,716	119,983
Direct		253		91		48		392	10,911	11,303
Other		9		1		24		34	1,451	1,485
Total	\$	6,437	\$	1,487	\$	8,901	\$	16,825	\$ 573,542	\$ 590,367

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

Included in loans individually impaired are loans with balances of \$3.4 million and \$3.0 million for which the Company has modified the repayment terms at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company has allocated \$40 thousand of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as

of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. There are no commitments to lend additional amounts to borrowers with loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

12

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on a monthly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution s credit position at some future date. Special mention assets are not adversely classified and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

March 31, 2011

		S	Special					
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Pass	N	I ention	Sul	ostandard	Doubtful	Not Rated	Total
Commercial real estate								
Owner occupied	\$ 89,711	\$	5,833	\$	12,934	\$	\$	\$ 108,478
Non-owner occupied	62,219		1,073		12,834			76,126
Other	12,468		752		2,919			16,139
Commercial	64,826		5,243		6,017			76,086
Total	\$ 229,224	\$	12,901	\$	34,704	\$	\$	\$ 276,829
December 31, 2010								
		S	Special					
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Pass	N	I ention	Sul	ostandard	Doubtful	Not Rated	Total
Commercial real estate								
Owner occupied	\$ 91,976	\$	3,893	\$	15,098	\$	\$	\$ 110,967
Non-owner occupied	63,502		1,075		11,812			76,389
Other	12,005		786		3,747			16,538
Commercial	65,358		4,076		7,201			76,635
Total	\$ 232,841	\$	9,830	\$	37,858	\$	\$	\$ 280,529

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential, consumer and indirect loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential, consumer and indirect auto loans based on payment activity as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Nonperforming loans are loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest and nonaccrual loans.

March 31, 2011

		Residentia	al Real	Estate		Consumer								
	1-4 Family Home Equity Lines of													
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Re	esidential		Credit]	Indirect]	Direct	(Other				
Performing Nonperforming	\$	143,804 4,989	\$	21,467 1,140	\$	115,448 130	\$	11,202 8	\$	1,423 10				
Total	\$	148,793	\$	22,607	\$	115,578	\$	11,210	\$	1,433				
December 31, 2010														
		Residentia	al Real	Estate			Co	onsumer						
	1-	4 Family		me Equity Lines of										
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Re	esidential		Credit	Indirect]	Direct	(Other				
Performing Nonperforming	\$	149,891 3,552	\$	22,799 825	\$	119,903 80	\$	11,255 48	\$	1,461 24				
Total	\$	153,443	\$	23,624	\$	119,983	\$	11,303	\$	1,485				

Earnings Per Share:

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is shown in the following table:

		Three Mon		ded
(In Thousands, except Share and		Marc	h 31,	
Per Share Data)		2011	2	2010
Basic EPS computation				
Numerator Net income	\$	1,690	\$	847
Denominator Weighted average shares outstanding	16	,956,808	13,	519,888
Basic earnings per share	\$.10	\$.06
Diluted EPS computation Numerator Net income Denominator Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share Effect of Stock Options	\$ 16	1,690 5,956,808 0	\$ 13,	847 519,888 0
Weighted averages shares for diluted earnings per share	16	,956,808	13,	519,888
Diluted earnings per share	\$.10	\$.06

Stock options for 28,500 and 34,000 shares were not considered in the computing of diluted earnings per share for 2011 and 2010, respectively, because they were antidilutive.

Stock Based Compensation:

The Company's Stock Option Plan (the Plan), permitted the grant of share options to its directors, officers and employees. Under the terms of the Plan no additional shares can be issued. Option awards were granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's common shares at the date of grant, with a vesting period of 5 years and have 10-year contractual terms. At March 31, 2011 there were 28,500 outstanding options of which 25,500 were fully vested and are exercisable.

14

Table of Contents

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes model. Total compensation cost charged against income for the stock option plan for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was not material. No related income tax benefit was recorded.

Comprehensive Income:

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss consists solely of the change in net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, net of reclassification for gains and losses recognized in income.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide disclosures designed to facilitate financial statement users evaluation of (i) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity s portfolio of financing receivables, (ii) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses and (iii) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable, which is generally a disaggregation of portfolio segments. The required disclosures include, among other things, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 became effective for the Company s consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period became effective for the Company s consolidated financial statements beginning on January 1, 2011. ASU 2011-01, Receivables (Topic 310) Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, temporarily deferred the effective date for disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings to coincide with the effective date of the then proposed ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310) A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring, which is further discussed below.

ASU No. 2010-28, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts. ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist such as if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. ASU 2010-28 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2011 and did not have a significant impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310) A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. ASU 2011-02 clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings and is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude, under the guidance clarified by ASU 2011-02, that both of the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. ASU 2011-02 will be effective for the Company on July 1, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after January 1, 2011. Adoption of ASU 2011-02 is not expected have a significant impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

15

Table of Contents

Fair Value

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument:

<u>Investment Securities</u>: The fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3).

<u>Impaired Loans:</u> The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent independent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

16

Table of Contents

Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at
March 31, 2011 Using:

		Quote Prices Activ Marke for			Significant Other		Sig	nificant
			Identical		Observable		Unobservable	
	Carrying		Assets		Inputs		Inputs	
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Value		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)	
Financial Assets								
Investment securities available-for sale								
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government sponsored								
entities	\$	73,989	\$	0	\$	73,989	\$	0
State and political subdivisions		79,863		0		79,863		0
Mortgage-backed securities-residential		141,791		0		141,779		12
Collateralized mortgage obligations		18,697		0		18,697		0
Equity securities		432		432		0		0
Other securities		267		0		267		0
Total investment securities	\$	315,039	\$	432	\$	314,595	\$	12

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using:

			01 31, 2010 00	·····5·				
			Pri	oted ces in ctive	S	ignificant		
		Markets for				Other	Significant	
	Carrying Value		Identical Assets (Level 1)		Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
(In Thousands of Dollars)								
Financial Assets								
Investment securities available-for sale								
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government sponsored								
entities	\$	69,978	\$	0	\$	69,978	\$	0
State and political subdivisions		80,466		0		80,466		0
Mortgage-backed securities-residential		143,777		0		143,765		12
Collateralized mortgage obligations		19,660		0		19,660		0
Equity securities		199		199		0		0
Other securities		267		0		267		0
Total investment securities	\$	314,347	\$	199	\$	314,136	\$	12

There were no significant transfers between level 1 and level 2 during the three months ending March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Investment Securities						
		Available-for-sale					
	(Level 3)						
	Three months ended March 31, 2011 2010						
(In Thousands of Dollars)	20	2010					
Beginning balance	\$	12	\$	13			
Total unrealized gains or losses:							
Included in other comprehensive income or loss		0		0			
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net		0		0			
Transfer in and/or out		0		0			
Ending balance	\$	12	\$	13			

Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

		Fair Value Me at March 31, 2 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets					Significant Unobservable Inputs		
(In Thousands of Dollars)		Carrying Value		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)	
Financial assets:	•	v alue		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)	
Impaired loans									
Commercial real estate									
Owner occupied	\$	351	\$	0	\$	0	\$	351	
Non-owner occupied		262		0		0		262	
Other		334		0		0		334	
Commercial		277		0		0		277	
Other real estate owned		11		0		0		11	
			Fair `						
			at December 31, 2010 Using:						
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for		Significant Other Observable		Signi	ficant	
							_	ervable	
			Ident		Obsci	vauic	Choos	civabic	
				Assets		Inputs		Inputs	
		rying	_		_		_		
(In Thousands of Dollars)	V	alue	(Leve	el 1)	(Lev	el 2)	(Lev	rel 3)	
Financial assets:									
Impaired loans									
Commercial real estate									

Owner occupied	\$ 1,239	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,239
Non-owner occupied	164	0	0	164
Other	662	0	0	662
Commercial	186	0	0	186

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a principal balance of \$2.1 million with a valuation allowance of \$826 thousand, resulting in an additional provision for loan loss of \$331 thousand for the three month period ending March 31, 2011. At December 31, 2010, impaired loans had a principal balance of \$2.8 million, with a valuation allowance of \$565 thousand. Provision for loan losses was \$.3 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, for loans carried at fair value. Excluded from the fair value of impaired loans, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, discussed above are \$1.8 million of loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, which are not carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Carrying	
March 31, 2011	Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 81,939	\$ 81,939
Securities available-for-sale	315,039	315,039
Restricted stock	3,977	n/a
Loans, net	566,313	577,969
Accrued interest receivable	4,440	4,440
Financial liabilities		
Deposits	765,277	768,002
Short-term borrowings	119,497	*
Long-term borrowings	23,784	25,960
Accrued interest payable	681	681
(In Thousands of Dollars)		
	Carrying	
December 31, 2010	Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 37,305	•
Securities available-for-sale	314,347	*
Restricted stock	3,977	
Loans, net	581,060	*
Accrued interest receivable	4,125	4,125
Financial liabilities		
Deposits	761,050	764,170
Short-term borrowings	105,634	105,634
Long-term borrowings	24,733	27,080
Accrued interest payable	703	703

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities were described previously. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of restricted stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance-sheet items is not considered material.

Segment Information

The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, primarily distinguished between banking and trust operations. They are also distinguished by the level of information provided to the chief operating decision makers in the Company, who use such information to review performance of various components of the business, which are then aggregated. Loans, investments, and deposits provide the revenues in the banking operation, and trust service fees provide the revenue in trust operations. All operations are domestic.

19

Table of Contents

Significant segment totals are reconciled to the financial statements as follows:

(In Thousands of Dollars) March 31, 2011 Assets	Trust Segment		Bank Segment		(Others	Consolidated Totals		
Cash and due from banks Securities available for sale Net loans Premises and equipment, net Goodwill and other intangibles	\$	1,212 2,622 0 110 6,777	\$	81,032 312,293 566,313 13,657	\$	(305) 124 0 0	\$	81,939 315,039 566,313 13,767 6,777	
Other assets		849		29,840		37		30,726	
Total Assets	\$	11,570	\$	1,003,135	\$	(144)	\$	1,014,561	
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Deposits, borrowings and other liabilities Stockholders equity	\$	626 10,944	\$	916,470 86,665	\$	(5,657) 5,513	\$	911,439 103,122	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	11,570	\$	1,003,135	\$	(144)	\$	1,014,561	
(In Thousands of Dollars) December 31, 2010 Assets	Trust Bank Segment Segment Others		Others	Co	onsolidated Totals				
Cash and due from banks Securities available for sale Net loans Premises and equipment, net Goodwill and other intangibles Other assets	\$	1,122 2,627 0 113 6,920 425	\$	36,343 311,601 581,060 13,831 0 28,336	\$	(160) 119 0 0 0 414	\$	37,305 314,347 581,060 13,944 6,920 29,175	
Total Assets	\$	11,207	\$	971,171	\$	373	\$	982,751	
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Deposits, borrowings and other liabilities Stockholders equity Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	368 10,839	\$	894,052 77,119	\$	283 90	\$	894,703 88,048	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	11,207	\$	971,171	\$	373	\$	982,751	
(In Thousands of Dollars) For the Three Months ended March 31, 2011	S	Trust egment	S	Bank Segment	(Others	Co	onsolidated Totals	
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	\$	11 0	\$	9,085 1,875	\$	(13) 0	\$	9,083 1,875	
Service fees, security gains and other noninterest income		1,350		1,305		(38)		2,617	

Edgar Filing: FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q

Noninterest expense	1,200	6,512	102	7,814
Income before taxes Income tax	161 55	2,003 318	(153) (52)	2,011 321
Net Income	\$ 106	\$ 1,685	\$ (101)	\$ 1,690

(In Thousands of Dollars)

For the Three Months ended March 31, 2010		rust gment	Bank egment	O	thers	Consolidated Totals		
Net interest income	\$	19	\$ 8,803	\$	(8)	\$	8,814	
Provision for loan losses		0	2,778		0		2,778	
Service fees, security gains and other noninterest								
income		1,232	1,068		36		2,336	
Noninterest expense		1,142	6,346		44		7,532	
Income before taxes		109	747		(16)		840	
Income tax		38	(39)		(6)		(7)	
Net Income	\$	71	\$ 786	\$	(10)	\$	847	

20

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward Looking Statements

Discussions in this report that are not statements of historical fact (including statements that include terms such as will, may, should, believe, expect, anticipate, estimate, project, intend, and plan) are forward-looking involve risks and uncertainties. Any forward-looking statement is not a guarantee of future performance and actual future results could differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, without limitation, risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in the Company s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including without limitation the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Many of these factors are beyond the Company s ability to control or predict, and readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on those forward-looking statements. The following list, which is not intended to be an all-encompassing list of risks and uncertainties affecting the Company, summarizes several factors that could cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from those anticipated or expected in these forward-looking statements:

general economic conditions in market areas where we conduct business, which could materially impact credit quality trends;

business conditions in the banking industry;

the regulatory environment;

fluctuations in interest rates:

demand for loans in the market areas where we conduct business;

rapidly changing technology and evolving banking industry standards;

competitive factors, including increased competition with regional and national financial institutions; new service and product offerings by competitors and price pressures; and other like items.

Other factors not currently anticipated may also materially and adversely affect the Company s results of operations, cash flows and financial position. There can be no assurance that future results will meet expectations. While the Company believes that the forward-looking statements in this report are reasonable, the reader should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. In addition, these statements speak only as of the date made. The Company does not undertake, and expressly disclaims, any obligation to update or alter any statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by applicable law.

21

Table of Contents

Overview

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company reported net income of \$1.69 million, an \$843 thousand increase from the \$847 thousand reported for the same period in 2010. The Company s pre-tax, pre-provision income increased to \$3.89 million for the first quarter of 2011, which represents a 7% increase over the \$3.62 million reported for the first quarter of 2010. This improvement was driven by a \$269 thousand, or 3%, increase in net interest income and a \$281 thousand, or 12%, increase in noninterest income. First quarter net income results are less than the fourth quarter of 2010 because of security gains of \$1.5 million recorded in the previous quarter, compared to none in the current quarter and a \$679 thousand decline in net interest income. The Company s strategies continue to include maintaining the appropriate levels of capital that are essential to remain a well-capitalized institution under all regulatory guidelines; continuing to deal with the number of issues the banking industry has been facing; closely monitor the efficiency ratio; and strategically manage interest rate risk and credit risk, specifically, the non-performing assets.

Pre-tax pre-provision income is a non-U.S.GAAP financial measure. A non-U.S.GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that excludes or includes amounts that are required to be disclosed by U.S.GAAP. The Company believes that non-U.S.GAAP financial measures provide both management and investors a more complete understanding of the underlying operational results and trends and the Company s marketplace performance. The presentation of this additional information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the numbers prepared in accordance with U.S.GAAP.

Reconciliation of Income Before Taxes to Pre-Tax Pre-Provision Income

	For	the three mor	nths ende 0,	d March
(In Thousands of Dollars)		2011		2010
Income before income taxes	\$	2,011	\$	840
Provision for loan losses		1,875		2,778
Pre-tax pre-provision income	\$	3,886	\$	3,618

Results of Operations

The following is a comparison of selected financial ratios and other results at or for the three-month period ending March 31, 2011 and 2010:

At or for the three months

	end	months
	Marc	
(In Thousands, except Per Share Data)	2011	2010
Total Assets	\$ 1,014,561	\$ 1,040,211
Net Income	\$ 1,690	\$ 847
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$.10	\$.06
Return on Average Assets (Annualized)	.69%	.34%
Return on Average Equity (Annualized)	7.12%	4.16%
Efficiency Ratio (tax equivalent basis)	62.57%	63.74%
Equity to Asset Ratio	10.16%	7.91%
Tangible Common Equity Ratio *	9.56%	7.25%
Dividends to Net Income	33.08%	47.93%
Net Loans to Assets	55.82%	57.77%
Loans to Deposits	75.33%	78.42%

22

Table of Contents

* The tangible common equity ratio is calculated by dividing total common stockholders—equity by total assets, after reducing both amounts by intangible assets. The tangible common equity ratio is not required by U.S.GAAP or by applicable bank regulatory requirements, but is a metric used by management to evaluate the adequacy of the Company—s capital levels. Since there is no authoritative requirement to calculate the tangible common equity ratio, the Company—s tangible common equity ratio is not necessarily comparable to similar capital measures disclosed or used by other companies in the financial services industry. Tangible common equity and tangible assets are non-U.S.GAAP financial measures and should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for or superior to, financial measures determined in accordance with U.S.GAAP. With respect to the calculation of the actual unaudited tangible common equity ratio as of March 31, 2011, reconciliations of tangible common equity to U.S.GAAP total common stockholders—equity and tangible assets to U.S.GAAP total assets are set forth below:

(In Thousands of Dollars) Reconsiliation of Common Steelsholders - Family to Toneikle Common Family	I	March 31, 2011	1	March 31, 2010
Reconciliation of Common Stockholders Equity to Tangible Common Equity Stockholders Equity Less Goodwill and other intangibles	\$	103,122 6,777	\$	82,257 7,355
Tangible Common Equity	\$	96,345	\$	74,902
(In Thousands of Dollars) Reconciliation of Total Assets to Tangible Assets	Mai	rch 31, 2011	Ma	rch 31, 2010
Total Assets Less Goodwill and other intangibles	\$	1,014,561 6,777	\$	1,040,211 7,355
Tangible Assets	\$	1,007,784	\$	1,032,856

Management continues to actively monitor and address asset quality issues, and has made appropriate provisions to the allowance for loan losses accordingly. The Company s challenges for the future quarters will continue to be managing issues related to the general economic conditions and to develop relationships to grow core business lines. Net Interest Income. The following schedules detail the various components of net interest income for the periods indicated. All asset yields are calculated on a tax-equivalent basis where applicable. Security yields are based on amortized cost.

23

Table of Contents

Average Balance Sheets and Related Yields and Rates

(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

	M	arc	Ionths En h 31, 2011		M		Three Months End March 31, 2010		
	AVERAGE	,		RATE	A	VERAGE			RATE
	BALANCE	IN'	TEREST	(1)	BA	ALANCE	ΙΝ΄	TEREST	(1)
EARNING ASSETS				. ,					. ,
Loans (3) (5) (6)	\$ 573,047	\$	8,554	6.05%	\$	600,026	\$	9,326	6.30%
Taxable securities (4)	236,729		1,869	3.20		241,488		2,241	3.76
Tax-exempt securities (4) (6)	77,070		1,124	5.91		58,798		885	6.10
Equity Securities (2) (6)	4,126		46	4.52		4,126		53	5.21
Federal funds sold	29,494		9	0.12		25,743		9	0.14
Total earning assets	920,466		11,602	5.11		930,181		12,514	5.46
NONEARNING ASSETS									
Cash and due from banks	27,111					22,940			
Premises and equipment	13,887					14,385			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(9,559)					(7,071)			
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities	3,614					6,511			
Other assets (3)	42,049					42,162			
Total Assets	\$ 997,568				\$ 1	1,009,108			
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES									
Time deposits	\$ 252,828	\$	1,308	2.10%	\$	320,307	\$	2,168	2.75%
Savings deposits	323,802	·	372	0.47		280,395	Ċ	529	0.77
Demand deposits	111,268		18	0.07		104,951		48	0.19
Short term borrowings	105,496		97	0.37		123,125		285	0.94
Long term borrowings	23,996		251	4.24		26,647		282	4.29
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	817,390		2,046	1.02		855,425		3,312	1.57
NONINTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY									
Demand deposits	80,326					67,760			
Other Liabilities	3,539					3,437			
Stockholders equity	96,313					82,486			
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equit	ty \$ 997,568				\$ 1	1,009,108			

Net interest income and interest rate spread \$ 9,556 4.09% \$ 9,202 3.89%

Net interest margin 4.22% 4.01%

- (1) Rates are calculated on an annualized basis.
- (2) Equity securities include restricted stock, which is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.
- (3) Non-accrual loans and overdraft deposits are included in other assets.
- (4) Includes unamortized discounts and premiums. Average balance and yield are computed using the average historical amortized cost.
- (5) Interest on loans includes fee income of \$436 thousand and \$430 thousand for 2011 and 2010 respectively and is reduced by amortization of \$447 thousand and \$434 thousand for 2011 and 2010 respectively.
- (6) For 2011, adjustments of \$88 thousand and \$385 thousand respectively are made to tax equate income on tax exempt loans and tax exempt securities. For 2010, adjustments of \$94 thousand and \$294 thousand respectively are made to tax equate income on tax exempt loans and tax exempt securities. These adjustments are based on a marginal federal income tax rate of 35%, less disallowances.

24

Table of Contents

Net interest income. Net interest income was \$9.08 million for the first quarter of 2011, which compares to \$8.81 million in the first quarter of 2010. This represents a 3.1% increase quarter over quarter. The annualized net interest margin to average earning assets on a fully taxable equivalent basis was 4.22% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to 4.01% for the same period in the prior year. In comparing the two quarters, yields on earning assets decreased 35 basis points, while the cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased 55 basis points. This equates to an increase in the Company s net interest margin of 21 basis points compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income was \$2.62 million for the first quarter of 2011, which is a \$281 thousand, or 12%, improvement over results for the same quarter of 2010. Trust fees were \$1.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$106 thousand, or 8.6%, compared to the same quarter in 2010. Investment commissions also increased for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 to \$191 thousand, compared to \$111 thousand in the same quarter in 2010.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense totaled \$7.8 million for the first quarter of 2011, which is \$282 thousand more than the \$7.5 million in the same quarter in 2010. This increase is spread among several expense categories. The current period s total noninterest expense of \$7.8 million is \$56 thousand less than the \$7.9 million reported for the fourth quarter in 2010.

The following is a detail of non-interest expense line items classified between the Trust and the other entities in the Company for the three-month periods ending March 31, 2011 and 2010:

					For t	he Three	Mon	ths Endec	1			
]	Marc	h 31, 201	1]	Marcl	n 31, 2010	0	
	,	Trust	Ba	ınk and	,	Total	,	Trust	Ba	nk and		Total
(In Thousands of Dollars)	Co	mpany	(Others	Co	mpany	Co	mpany		Others	Co	mpany
Noninterest expense												
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	712	\$	3,476	\$	4,188	\$	650	\$	3,327	\$	3,977
Occupancy and equipment		139		777		916		124		801		925
State and local taxes		25		222		247		30		202		232
Professional fees		11		225		236		15		294		309
Advertising		0		145		145		2		128		130
FDIC insurance		0		348		348		0		303		303
Intangible amortization		143		0		143		145		0		145
Other operating expenses		170		1,421		1,591		176		1,335		1,511
Total noninterest expense	\$	1,200	\$	6,614	\$	7,814	\$	1,142	\$	6,390	\$	7,532

The Company s tax equivalent efficiency ratio for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 was 62.57% compared to 63.74% for the same period in 2010. The improvement in the efficiency ratio was the result of the \$269 thousand improvement in net interest income and a \$281 thousand increase in noninterest income, offset by the \$282 thousand increase in noninterest expense.

<u>Income Taxes</u>. Income tax expense totaled \$321 thousand for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and a \$7 thousand tax benefit for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. The increase in the current quarter can be attributed to the \$1.2 million increase in income before taxes.

Other Comprehensive Income. For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the change in net unrealized gains on securities, net of reclassifications, resulted in an unrealized gain, net of tax, of \$43 thousand, compared to an unrealized gain of \$1.1 million for the same period in 2010. Management believes the increases in fair value for the three month periods ending March 31 in 2011 and to a greater extent, 2010 are the result of the continued securities market recovery.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition

<u>Cash and cash equivalents</u>. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$13.3 million during the first three months of 2011. The Company expects this increase to level off and then decrease over the next few months. The increase is a result of the seasonality in public funds and repurchase agreements as customers realize larger inflows of cash during this time of year.

<u>Securities</u>. Securities available-for-sale increased by \$692 thousand since December 31, 2010. Securities were purchased in an effort to increase returns on some of the cash available from the additional core deposit account balances and repurchase agreements sold during the period. There was a \$65 thousand increase in the net unrealized gains on securities during the first three months of 2011.

Loans. Gross loans decreased \$13.9 million, or 2.36%, since December 31, 2010. The indirect automobile loan category decreased \$4.3 million, coupled with a \$5.7 million decrease in residential real estate loans, accounted for the majority of the decrease in gross loans during the first three months of 2011. The decline in loans is related to seasonality in the retail lending portfolio and slow economic growth in the Mahoning Valley. The decline in loan balances resulted in a lower level of loan income for the current quarter. On a fully tax equivalent basis, loans contributed 73.73% of total interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 74.52% for the same period in 2010.

<u>Allowance for Loan Losses</u>. The following table indicates key asset quality ratios that management evaluates on an ongoing basis.

Asset Quality History (In Thousands of Dollars)

X		3/31/11		2/31/10		30/10		/30/10		3/31/10
Nonperforming loans	\$	11,011	\$	8,901	\$	9,207	\$	9,954	\$	10,740
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans		1.91%		1.51%		1.52%		1.62%		1.76%
Loans delinquent 30-89 days Loans delinquent 30-89 days as		3,392		7,924		5,888		5,652		6,076
a % of total loans		.59%		1.34%		.97%		.92%		1.00%
Allowance for loan losses	\$	10,137	\$	9,307	\$	7,785	\$	8,255	\$	8,220
Allowance for loan losses as a % of loans Allowance for loan losses as a %		1.76%		1.58%		1.28%		1.35%		1.35%
of nonperforming loans Annualized net charge-offs to		92.06%		104.56%		84.56%		82.93%		76.54%
average net loans outstanding		.74%		.46%		1.31%		1.04%		1.30%
Non-performing assets Non-performing assets as a % of		11,867		9,433		9,533		10,099		10,817
total assets		1.17%		.96%		.90%		1.00%		1.04%
Net charge-offs for the quarter For the three months ended March	31 ′	1,045 2011 manag	emen	677	19 m	1,970	e allo	1,565	oan 1c	1,958

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, management provided \$1.9 million to the allowance for loan losses, a decrease of \$903 thousand over the same three month period in the prior year. Net charge-offs for the quarter ending March 31, 2011 were \$1.0 million, compared to \$2.0 million for the same period ending March 31, 2010. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans increased from 1.76% at March 31, 2010 to 1.91% at March 31, 2011. Loans

delinquent 30-89 days to total loans decreased from 1.00% at March 31, 2010 to 0.59% at March 31, 2011. On March 31, 2011, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses (ALLL) to non-performing loans was 92.06%, compared to 76.54% at March 31, 2010. The Company increased the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans because of the previous four quarter s elevated loan loss experience and increased level of nonperforming loans.

25

Table of Contents

Based on the evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, management believes that the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2011 to be adequate and reflects probable incurred losses in the portfolio. The provision for loan losses is based on management s judgment after taking into consideration all factors connected with the collectability of the existing loan portfolio. Management evaluates the loan portfolio in light of economic conditions, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, industry standards and other relevant factors. Specific factors considered by management in determining the amounts charged to operating expenses include previous credit loss experience, the status of past due interest and principal payments, the quality of financial information supplied by loan customers and the general condition of the industries in the community to which loans have been made.

<u>Deposits</u>. Total deposits increased \$4.2 million, or .56%, since December 31, 2010. Balances in the Company s non-interest bearing deposits increased \$3.9 million, or 4.99%, between December 31, 2010 and March 31, 2011. Time deposit accounts decreased \$6.3 million, or 2.50%, during the quarter, as customers moved deposit dollars from time deposit seeking liquidity. The Company s focus is on core deposit growth and the Company will continue to price deposit rates to remain competitive within the market and to retain customers. At March 31, 2011, core deposits savings and money market accounts, time deposits less than \$100,000 and demand deposits represented approximately 87% of total deposits.

<u>Borrowings</u>. Total borrowings increased \$12.9 million, or 9.91%, since December 31, 2010. The increase in borrowings is due to the increase in securities sold under repurchase agreements, which increased \$13.9 million, during the first three months of 2011. The large increase in repurchase agreements is the result of an increase in public funds deposits and customers seeking liquidity.

Capital Resources. Total stockholders equity increased from \$88.0 million at December 31, 2010 to \$103.1 million at March 31, 2011. The increase is a result of the completion by the Company of a common share offering during January 2011. Specifically, in January 2011, the Company successfully completed a rights and public offering of 5,000,000 of its common shares, which injected approximately \$14 million into the Company. The increase is also the result of net income and mark to market adjustments in the Company s investment securities partially offset by cash dividends paid to shareholders during the past twelve months. Shareholders received a \$0.03 per share cash dividend on March 31, 2011 and a total of \$0.12 per share cash dividends paid in the past four quarters. Book value per share decreased 9.1% from \$6.07 per share at March 31, 2010 to \$5.52 per share at March 31, 2011. This decrease is mainly the result of the sale of stock at less than book value.

The capital management function is a regular process that consists of providing capital for both the current financial position and the anticipated future growth of the Company. As of March 31, 2011 the Company s total risk-based capital ratio stood at 16.59%, and the Tier I risk-based capital ratio and Tier I leverage ratio were at 15.31% and 9.43%, respectively. Management believes that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject, as of March 31, 2011.

Due to the continuing growth in Farmers Bank s business and the increase in its allowance for loan losses associated with current economic conditions, senior management and the Board have determined that higher levels of capital are appropriate. The OCC concurred in the Board s view that additional capital would be beneficial in supporting its continued growth and operations. As a result, effective February 2, 2010, the OCC proposed and Farmers Bank accepted the following individual minimum capital requirements for Farmers Bank: Tier I Capital to Adjusted Total Assets of 7.20% and Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets of 11.00%. At March 31, 2011, the Bank is in compliance with these minimum capital requirements.

26

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company follows financial accounting and reporting policies that are in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These policies are presented in Note 1 of the consolidated audited financial statements in the Company s Annual Report to Shareholders included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Critical accounting policies are those policies that require management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The Company has identified two accounting policies that are critical accounting policies and an understanding of these policies is necessary to understand the Company s financial statements. These policies relate to determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and other-than-temporary impairment of securities. Additional information regarding these policies is included in the notes to the aforementioned 2010 consolidated financial statements, Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies), Note 2 (Securities), Note 3 (Loans), and the sections captioned Loan Portfolio and Investment Securities

Management believes that the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets also involves a higher degree of judgment than most other significant accounting policies. U.S.GAAP establishes standards for the amortization of acquired intangible assets and the impairment assessment of goodwill. Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible assets in the business acquired. The Company s goodwill relates to the value inherent in the banking industry and that value is dependent upon the ability of the Company s trust subsidiary to provide quality, cost-effective trust services in a competitive marketplace. The goodwill value is supported by revenue that is in part driven by the volume of business transacted. A decrease in earnings resulting from a decline in the customer base or the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods. U.S.GAAP requires an annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The fair value of the goodwill, which resides on the books of the Company s subsidiary, Farmers Trust, is estimated by reviewing the past and projected operating results for the subsidiary and trust banking industry comparable information.

Liquidity

The Company maintains, in the opinion of management, liquidity sufficient to satisfy depositors—requirements and meet the credit needs of customers. The Company depends on its ability to maintain its market share of deposits as well as acquiring new funds. The Company—s ability to attract deposits and borrow funds depends in large measure on its profitability, capitalization and overall financial condition. The Company—s objective in liquidity management is to maintain the ability to meet loan commitments, purchase securities or to repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms without an adverse impact on current or future earnings. Principal sources of liquidity for the Company include assets considered relatively liquid, such as federal funds sold, cash and due from banks, as well as cash flows from maturities and repayments of loans, and securities.

Along with its liquid assets, the Bank has additional sources of liquidity available which help to insure that adequate funds are available as needed. These other sources include, but are not limited to, loan repayments, the ability to obtain deposits through the adjustment of interest rates and the purchasing of federal funds and borrowings on an approved line of credit at a major domestic bank. At March 31, 2011, this line of credited totaled \$15.0 million and Farmers Bank had not borrowed against this line. In addition, the Company has a \$1.5 million revolving line of credit with a correspondent bank. The outstanding balance at March 31, 2011 was \$1.1 million. Management feels that its liquidity position is adequate and continues to monitor the position on a monthly basis. As of March 31, 2011, the Bank had outstanding balances with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB) of \$23.6 million with additional borrowing capacity of approximately \$80.6 million with the FHLB as well as access to the Federal Reserve Discount Window, which provides an additional source of funds. The Bank views its membership in the FHLB as a solid source of liquidity.

The primary investing activities of the Company are originating loans and purchasing securities. During the first three months of 2011, net cash provided by investing activities amounted to \$10.4 million, compared to \$7.6 million used in investing activities for the same period in 2010. \$12.5 million in net cash provided by loan originations and payments during the first three months of 2011, compared to \$1.8 million used in loan originations and payments during the

same period in 2010, accounted for a majority of the \$18.0 million change in cash provided by investing activities. The cash provided by lending activities during this year s first three month period can be attributed to a reduction to the activity in the indirect, consumer real estate, and the commercial loan portfolios.

27

Table of Contents

The primary financing activities of the Company are obtaining deposits, repurchase agreements and other borrowings. Net cash provided by financing activities amounted to \$30.5 million for the first three months of 2011, compared to \$23.6 million provided by financing activities for the same period in 2010. \$13.8 million of this change is a result of the issuance of the Company s common and treasury shares during the public offering during the first quarter of 2011. A reduction of \$12.4 million in the short term borrowings category along with an increase of \$5.0 million in cash provided by deposits in 2011 accounted for the remaining differences in financing activities.

Recent Market and Regulatory Developments

In response to the current national and international economic recession, and in an effort to stabilize and strengthen the financial markets and banking industries, the United States Congress and governmental agencies have taken a number of significant actions over the past several years, including the passage of legislation and the implementation of a number of programs. The most recent of these actions was the passage into law, on July 21, 2010, of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act). The Dodd-Frank Act is the most comprehensive change to banking laws and the financial regulatory environment since the Great Depression of the 1930s. The Dodd-Frank Act affects almost every aspect of the nation s financial services industry and mandates change in several key areas, including regulation and compliance, securities regulation, executive compensation, regulation of derivatives, corporate governance, and consumer protection. While these changes in the law will have a major impact on large financial institutions, even relatively smaller institutions such as the Company will be affected. For example, state consumer financial protection laws historically have been preempted in their application to national banking associations by the National Bank Act and rules and interpretations adopted by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) under that statute. Federal preemption of these laws will be diminished under the new regulatory regime. As Congress has authorized states to enact their own substantive protections and to allow state attorneys general to initiate civil actions to enforce federal consumer protections. In this respect, the Company will be subject to regulation by a new consumer protection bureau known as the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the Bureau) under the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board). The Bureau will consolidate enforcement currently undertaken by myriad financial regulatory agencies, and will have substantial power to define the rights of consumers and responsibilities of providers, including the Company. In addition, and among many other legislative changes that the Company will assess, the Company will: (1) experience a new assessment model from the FDIC based on assets, not deposits; (2) be subject to enhanced executive compensation and corporate governance requirements; and (3) be able, for the first time (and perhaps competitively compelled) to offer interest on business transaction and other accounts. The extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act and initiatives thereunder will succeed in addressing the credit markets or otherwise result in an improvement in the national economy is uncertain. In addition, because most aspects of this legislation will be subject to intensive agency rulemaking and subsequent public comment prior to implementation

otherwise result in an improvement in the national economy is uncertain. In addition, because most aspects of this legislation will be subject to intensive agency rulemaking and subsequent public comment prior to implementation over the next several months, it is difficult to predict at this time the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the Company. It is likely, however, that the Company is expenses will increase as a result of new compliance requirements. Various legislation affecting financial institutions and the financial industry will likely continue to be introduced in Congress, and such legislation may further change banking statutes and the operating environment of the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways, and could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance depending upon whether any of this potential legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it or any implementing regulations, would have on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. With the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, the nature and extent of future legislative and regulatory changes affecting financial institutions remains very unpredictable at this time.

28

Table of Contents

To the extent that the previous information describes statutory and regulatory provisions applicable to the Company, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of those provisions or agreement. Also, such statutes, regulations and policies are continually under review by Congress and state legislatures and federal and state regulatory agencies and are subject to change at any time, particularly in the current economic and regulatory environment. Any such change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to the Company could have a material effect on the business of the Company.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company s ability to maximize net income is dependent, in part, on management s ability to plan and control net interest income through management of the pricing and mix of assets and liabilities. Because a large portion of assets and liabilities of the Company are monetary in nature, changes in interest rates and monetary or fiscal policy affect its financial condition and can have significant impact on the net income of the Company. Additionally, the Company s balance sheet is currently liability sensitive and in the low interest rate environment that exists today, the Company s net interest margin should maintain current levels throughout the near future.

The Company considers the primary market exposure to be interest rate risk. Simulation analysis is used to monitor the Company s exposure to changes in interest rates, and the effect of the change to net interest income. The following table shows the effect on net interest income and the net present value of equity in the event of a sudden and sustained 200 basis point increase or decrease in market interest rates:

	December										
	March 31,	31,									
Changes In Interest Rate	2011	2010	ALCO								
(basis points)	Result	Result	Guidelines								
Net Interest Income Change											
+200	-0.87%	-3.54%	15.00%								
-200	-5.62%	-3.10%	15.00%								
Net Present Value											
Of Equity Change											
+200	-4.70%	-2.24%	20.00%								
-200	-42.54%	-32.08%	20.00%								

The results of the simulation indicate that in an environment where interest rates rise or fall 100 and 200 basis points over a 12 month period, using March 31, 2011 amounts as a base case, and considering the increase in deposit liabilities, and the volatile financial markets. It should be noted that the change in the net present value of equity exceeded policy when the simulation model assumed a sudden decrease in rates of 200 basis points (2%). This was primarily because the positive impact on the fair value of assets would not be as great as the negative impact on the fair value of certain liabilities. Specifically, because core deposits typically bear relatively low interest rates, their fair value would be negatively impacted as the rates could not be adjusted by the full extent of the sudden decrease in rates. Management does not believe that a 200 basis rate decline is realistic in the current interest rate environment. The remaining results of this analysis comply with internal limits established by the Company. A report on interest rate risk is presented to the Board of Directors and the Asset/Liability Committee on a quarterly basis. The Company has no market risk sensitive instruments held for trading purposes, nor does it hold derivative financial instruments, and does not plan to purchase these instruments in the near future.

29

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Based on their evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective. There were no changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a 15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the opinion of management there are no outstanding legal actions that will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the Company s risk factors from those disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Purchases of equity securities by the issuer.

On July 14, 2009, the Company announced the adoption of a stock repurchase program that authorizes the repurchase of up to 4.9% or approximately 657 thousand shares of its outstanding common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. This program expired in July 2010 and as of this filing had not been renewed. There was no treasury stock purchased by the issuer during the first quarter of 2011.

30

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this report:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of Farmers National Banc Corp., as amended (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC on October 3, 2001 (File No. 333-70806).
- 3.2 Amended Code of Regulations of Farmers National Banc Corp. (incorporate by reference from Exhibit 3(ii) to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on March 16, 2010).
- Amendment No. 1 to Agency Agreement, dated January 26, 2011, by and among Farmers National Banc Corp., The Farmers National Bank of Canfield and Sandler O Neill + Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 1.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 31, 2011).
- Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of John S. Gulas, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company (filed herewith).
- Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Carl D. Culp, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of John S. Gulas, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Carl D. Culp, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company (filed herewith).

31

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FARMERS NATIONAL BANC CORP.

Dated: May 9, 2011

/s/John S. Gulas

John S. Gulas President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 9, 2011

/s/Carl D. Culp

Carl D. Culp Executive Vice President and Treasurer

32