

BANCORPSOUTH INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010**

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-12991

BANCORPSOUTH, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi

64-0659571

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Mississippi Plaza
201 South Spring Street
Tupelo, Mississippi

38804

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (662) 680-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on
Which Registered

Common stock, \$2.50 par value

New York Stock Exchange

Common stock purchase rights

New York Stock Exchange

Guarantee of 8.15% Preferred Securities
of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common stock, \$2.50 par value

Common stock purchase rights

Guarantee of 8.15% Preferred Securities of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I

(Title of Class)

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2010 was approximately \$1,417,000,000, based on the last reported sale price per share of the registrant's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2010.

As of February 14, 2011, the registrant had outstanding 83,481,737 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement used in connection with the registrant's 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be held April 27, 2011, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC.
FORM 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u> | 18 |

PART II

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 59 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 117 |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u> | 117 |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information</u> | 118 |

PART III

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 118 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u> | 120 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 120 |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 120 |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 120 |

PART IV

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 120 |
| <u>EX-11</u> | |
| <u>EX-21</u> | |
| <u>EX-23</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |

EX-32.2

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

GENERAL

BancorpSouth, Inc. (the Company) is a financial holding company incorporated in 1982. Through its principal bank subsidiary, BancorpSouth Bank (the Bank), the Company conducts commercial banking and financial services operations in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida, Missouri and Illinois. At December 31, 2010, the Company and its subsidiaries had total assets of \$13.6 billion and total deposits of \$11.5 billion. The Company's principal office is located at One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804 and its telephone number is (662) 680-2000.

The Company's Internet website address is www.bancorpsouth.com. The Company makes available its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports free of charge on its website on the Investor Relations webpage under the caption SEC Filings as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file or furnish information electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov. The Company's Internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this Report).

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Bank has its principal office in Tupelo, Lee County, Mississippi, and conducts a general commercial banking, trust and insurance business through 314 offices in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida, Missouri and Illinois. The Bank has grown through the acquisition of other banks and insurance agencies and through the opening of new branches and offices.

The Bank and its subsidiaries provide a range of financial services to individuals and small-to-medium size businesses. The Bank operates investment services, credit insurance and insurance agency subsidiaries which engage in investment brokerage services and sales of other insurance products. The Bank's trust department offers a variety of services including personal trust and estate services, certain employee benefit accounts and plans, including individual retirement accounts, and limited corporate trust functions. All of the Company's assets are located in the United States and all of its revenues generated from external customers originate within the United States.

The Company has registered the trademarks BancorpSouth, both typed form and design, and Bank of Mississippi, both typed form and design, with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The trademark BancorpSouth will expire in 2024, and Bank of Mississippi will expire in 2020, unless the Company extends these trademarks for additional ten year periods. Registrations of trademarks with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office generally may be renewed and continue indefinitely, provided that the Company continues to use these trademarks and files appropriate maintenance and renewal documentation with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at times required by the federal trademark laws and regulations.

COMPETITION

Vigorous competition exists in all major areas where the Bank is engaged in business. The Bank competes for available loans and depository accounts with state and national commercial banks as well as savings and loan associations, insurance companies, credit unions, money market mutual funds, automobile finance companies and financial services companies. None of these competitors is dominant in the entire area served by the Bank.

The principal areas of competition in the banking industry center on a financial institution's ability and willingness to provide credit on a timely and competitively priced basis, to offer a sufficient range of deposit and investment opportunities at competitive prices and maturities, and to offer personal and other services of sufficient quality and at competitive prices. The Company and its subsidiaries believe they can compete effectively in all these areas.

Table of Contents**REGULATION AND SUPERVISION**

The following is a brief summary of the regulatory environment in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate and is not designed to be a complete discussion of all statutes and regulations affecting such operations, including those statutes and regulations specifically mentioned herein. Changes in these applicable laws, and their application by regulatory and law enforcement agencies, cannot necessarily be predicted, but could have a material effect on the business and results of the Company and its subsidiaries.

The Company is subject to regulation and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve). The Company is required to file annual reports with the Federal Reserve and such other information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may also conduct examinations of the Company.

In 2004, pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLBA), the Company elected to be a financial holding company regulated as such under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the Bank Holding Company Act). Financial holding company powers relate to financial activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve to be financial in nature, incidental to an activity that is financial in nature or complementary to a financial activity (provided that the complementary activity does not pose a safety and soundness risk). GLBA expressly characterizes certain activities as financial in nature, including lending activities, underwriting and selling insurance, providing financial or investment advice, securities underwriting, dealing and making markets in securities and merchant banking. According to Federal Reserve policy and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), a financial holding company must act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and commit resources to support each such subsidiary. This support may be required at times when a financial holding company may not be able to provide such support.

The Bank is incorporated under the laws of the State of Mississippi and is subject to the applicable provisions of Mississippi banking laws and the laws of various states in which it operates, as well as federal law. The Bank is subject to the supervision of the Mississippi Department of Banking and Consumer Finance and to regular examinations by that department. Deposits in the Bank are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) and, therefore, the Bank is subject to the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and to examination by the FDIC. FDIC regulations require that management report annually on its responsibility for preparing its institution's financial statements, and establishing and maintaining an internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting and compliance with designated laws and regulations concerning safety and soundness. The Bank is not a member of the Federal Reserve.

The Company and the Bank are subject to the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA). Among other things, FDICIA provides a framework for a system of supervisory actions based primarily on the capital levels of financial institutions. FDICIA identifies five capital categories for insured depository institutions (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized) and requires the respective federal regulatory agencies to implement systems for prompt corrective action for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements within such categories. Capital is measured in two Tiers Tier I capital consists of common shareholders equity and qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets, and Tier II capital consists of general allowance for losses on loans and leases, hybrid debt capital instruments and all or a portion of other subordinated capital debt, depending upon the remaining term to maturity. Total capital is the sum of Tier I and Tier II capital. For an insured financial institution to be classified as well capitalized, the Tier I capital, total capital and Tier I leverage capital (Tier I capital divided by total assets, less goodwill) ratios must be at least 6%, 10% and 5%, respectively. The Bank exceeded the criteria for the well capitalized category at December 31, 2010. The Company is required to comply with the risk-based capital guidelines established by the Federal Reserve and with other tests relating to capital adequacy that the Federal Reserve adopts from time to time. See Note 21 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report for a discussion of the Company's capital amounts and ratios.

In September 2010, the oversight body of the Basel Committee announced a package of reforms, commonly referred to as Basel III, that will increase existing capital requirements substantially over the next four years as well as

add liquidity requirements for banks. These reforms were endorsed by the G20 at the summit held in Seoul, South Korea in November 2010. The short-term and long-term impact of the new Basel III capital standards and the forthcoming new capital rules to be proposed for non-Basel III United States banks is uncertain.

Table of Contents

As a result of the recent deterioration in the global credit markets and the potential impact of increased liquidity risk and interest rate risk, it is unclear what the short-term impact of the implementation of Basel III may be or what impact a pending alternative standardized approach to Basel III option for non-Basel III United States banks may have on the cost and availability of different types of credit and the potential compliance costs of implementing the new capital standards.

FDICIA provides for a risk-based deposit insurance premium structure for insured financial institutions. The FDIC generally provides deposit insurance up to \$250,000 per customer per institution for depository accounts held at insured financial institutions. Substantially all of the deposits of the Bank are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) of the FDIC and are subject to deposit insurance assessments to maintain the DIF. The FDIC utilizes a risk-based assessment system that imposes insurance premiums based upon a risk matrix that takes into account a bank's capital level and supervisory rating. As a result of increased bank failures and a decrease in the DIF, in December 2009, the FDIC required all insured financial institutions to prepay three years worth of insurance premiums with the prepayment including a 5% annual growth rate in the projected assessment base and a three basis point increase in the annual assessment rate for 2011 and 2012. The FDIC may require additional special assessment payments if the DIF balance continues to decline.

The Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law in July 2010, resulting in a major overhaul of the financial institution regulatory system. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act established a new, independent Consumer Financial Protection Bureau tasked with protecting consumers from unfair, deceptive and abusive financial products and practices. The Dodd-Frank Act also created the Financial Stability Oversight Council to focus on identifying, monitoring and addressing systemic risks in the financial system. The Financial Stability Oversight Council is tasked with recommending increasingly strict rules for capital, leverage and other requirements based on a company's size and complexity. The Dodd-Frank Act required the implementation of the Volcker Rule for banks and bank holding companies, which prohibits, with certain limited exceptions, proprietary trading and investment in and sponsorship of hedge funds and private equity funds, and generally otherwise limits the relationships with such funds. The Dodd-Frank Act also includes provisions that, among other things, reorganize bank supervision and strengthen the Federal Reserve.

The Dodd-Frank Act revamped the federal regulatory agencies by eliminating the Office of Thrift Supervision, while keeping the thrift charter, and dividing federal regulatory oversight of thrifts among the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. The Dodd-Frank Act also eliminated many of the remaining regulations that limited the ability of a bank to open branches in different states. The Dodd-Frank Act included savings associations and industrial loan companies, as well as banks, in the nationwide deposit limitation. Consequently, no acquisition of any financial institution can be approved if the effect of the acquisition would be to increase the acquirer's nationwide deposits to more than 10% of all deposits. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires fees charged for debit card transactions, commonly referred to as interchange fees, to be both reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the card issuer.

Further, the Dodd-Frank Act provided that the appropriate federal regulators must establish standards prohibiting as an unsafe and unsound practice any compensation plan of a bank holding company or other covered financial institution that provides an insider or other employee with excessive compensation or could lead to a material financial loss to such firm. Prior to the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act, the bank regulatory agencies promulgated the Interagency Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies, which requires financial institutions to establish metrics for measuring the impact of activities to achieve incentive compensation with the related risk to the financial institution of such behavior. Together, the Dodd-Frank Act and the recent guidance on compensation may impact the current compensation policies at the Company and the Bank.

The Company is a legal entity that is separate and distinct from its subsidiaries. There are various legal limitations on the extent to which the Bank may extend credit, pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to the Company or its affiliates. In particular, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal law, including without limitation, sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, on any extensions of credit to the Company or, with certain exceptions, other affiliates.

The primary source of funds for dividends paid to the Company's shareholders is dividends paid to the Company by the Bank. Various federal and state laws limit the amount of dividends that the Bank may pay to the Company without regulatory approval. Under Mississippi law, the Bank must obtain approval of the Commissioner of the Mississippi Department of Banking and Consumer Finance prior to paying any dividend on the Bank's common stock. Under FDICIA, the Bank may not pay any dividends if, after paying the dividend, it would be undercapitalized under applicable capital requirements. The FDIC also has the authority to prohibit the Bank from

Table of Contents

engaging in business practices that the FDIC considers to be unsafe or unsound, which, depending on the financial condition of the Bank, could include the payment of dividends.

In addition, the Federal Reserve has the authority to prohibit the payment of dividends by a bank holding company if its actions constitute unsafe or unsound practices. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement, Supervisory Release 09-4 (SR 09-4), on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which outlines the Federal Reserve's view that a bank holding company that is experiencing earnings weaknesses or other financial pressures should not pay cash dividends that exceed its net income, that are inconsistent with its capital position or that could only be funded in ways that weaken its financial health, such as by borrowing or selling assets. The Federal Reserve has indicated that, in some instances, it may be appropriate for a bank holding company to eliminate its dividends. Further, in the current financial and economic environment, the Federal Reserve has indicated that bank and financial holding companies should carefully review their dividend policy and has discouraged payment ratios that are at maximum allowable levels unless both asset quality and capital are very strong.

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 prohibits a financial holding company, following an interstate acquisition, from controlling more than 10% of the nation's total amount of bank deposits or 30% of bank deposits in the relevant state. States retain the ability to adopt legislation to effectively raise or lower the 30% limit.

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) and its implementing regulations provide an incentive for regulated financial institutions to meet the credit needs of their local community or communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of such financial institutions. The regulations provide that the appropriate regulatory authority will assess reports under CRA in connection with applications for establishment of domestic branches, acquisitions of banks or mergers involving financial holding companies. An unsatisfactory rating under CRA may serve as a basis to deny an application to acquire or establish a new bank, to establish a new branch or to expand banking services. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a satisfactory rating under CRA.

The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001, as extended and revised by the PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005 (the USA Patriot Act), requires each financial institution (i) to establish an anti-money laundering program; (ii) to establish due diligence policies, procedures and controls with respect to its private banking accounts and correspondent banking accounts involving foreign individuals and certain foreign financial institutions; and (iii) to avoid establishing, maintaining, administering or managing correspondent accounts in the United States for, or on behalf of, foreign financial institutions that do not have a physical presence in any country. The USA Patriot Act also requires that financial institutions must follow certain minimum standards to verify the identity of customers, both foreign and domestic, when a customer opens an account. In addition, the USA Patriot Act contains a provision encouraging cooperation among financial institutions, regulatory authorities and law enforcement authorities with respect to individuals, entities and organizations engaged in, or reasonably suspected of engaging in, terrorist acts or money laundering activities.

The activities of the Company and its subsidiaries are also subject to regulation under various federal laws and regulations thereunder, including the Truth-in-Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act (Bank Secrecy Act), the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, among others, as well as various state laws.

GLBA and other federal and state laws, as well as the various guidelines adopted by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, provide for minimum standards of privacy to protect the confidentiality of the non-public personal information of customers and to regulate the use of such information by financial institutions. The Company and its subsidiaries have adopted a customer information security program to comply with these regulatory requirements.

The Bank's insurance subsidiaries are regulated by the insurance regulatory authorities and applicable laws and regulations of the states in which they operate.

The Bank's investment services subsidiary is regulated as a registered investment adviser and broker-dealer by federal and/or state securities regulations and self-regulatory authorities.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act is applicable to all companies with equity or debt securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established: (i) requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise and responsibilities; (ii) responsibilities regarding financial

Table of Contents

statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; (iii) standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and (v) civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

In addition, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals that could have an impact on the operation of financial holding companies and their bank and non-bank subsidiaries. Management is not able to predict whether or in what form these proposals may be adopted in the future and, if adopted, what their effect will be on the Company and its subsidiaries.

LENDING ACTIVITIES

The Bank's lending activities include both commercial and consumer loans. Loan originations are derived from a number of sources including direct solicitation by the Bank's loan officers, existing depositors and borrowers, builders, attorneys, walk-in customers and, in some instances, other lenders, real estate broker referrals and mortgage loan companies. The Bank has established systematic procedures for approving and monitoring loans that vary depending on the size and nature of the loan, and applies these procedures in a disciplined manner.

Commercial Lending

The Bank offers a variety of commercial loan services including term loans, lines of credit, equipment and receivable financing and agricultural loans. A broad range of short-to-medium term commercial loans, both secured and unsecured, are made available to businesses for working capital (including inventory and receivables), business expansion (including acquisition and development of real estate and improvements), and the purchase of equipment and machinery. The Bank also makes construction loans to real estate developers for the acquisition, development and construction of residential subdivisions.

Commercial loans are granted based on the borrower's ability to generate cash flow to support its debt obligations and other cash related expenses. A borrower's ability to repay commercial loans is substantially dependent on the success of the business itself and on the quality of its management. As a general practice, the Bank takes as collateral a security interest in any available real estate, equipment, inventory, receivables or other personal property, although such loans may also be made infrequently on an unsecured basis. In many instances, the Bank requires personal guarantees of its commercial loans to provide additional credit support.

The Bank has had very little exposure as an agricultural lender. Crop production loans have been either fully supported by the collateral and financial strength of the borrower, or a 90% loan guaranty has been obtained through the Farm Service Agency on such loans.

Residential Consumer Lending

A portion of the Bank's lending activities consists of the origination of fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans secured by owner-occupied property located in the Bank's primary market areas. Home mortgage lending is unique in that a broad geographic territory may be serviced by originators working from strategically placed offices either within the Bank's traditional banking facilities or from affordable storefront locations in commercial buildings. In addition, the Bank offers construction loans, second mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit.

The Bank finances the construction of individual, owner-occupied houses on the basis of written underwriting and construction loan management guidelines. First mortgage construction loans are made to qualified individual borrowers and are generally supported by a take-out commitment from a permanent lender. The Bank makes residential construction loans to individuals who intend to erect owner-occupied housing on a purchased parcel of real estate. The construction phase of these loans has certain risks, including the viability of the contractor, the contractor's ability to complete the project and changes in interest rates.

In most cases, the Bank sells its mortgage loans with terms of 15 years or more in the secondary market and either retains or releases the right to service those loans. The sale of mortgage loans to the secondary market allows the Bank to manage the interest rate risks related to such lending operations. Generally, after the sale of a loan with servicing retained, the Bank's only involvement is to act as a servicing agent. In certain cases, the Bank may be required to repurchase mortgage loans upon which customers have defaulted that were previously sold in the secondary market if these loans did not meet the underwriting standards of the entity that purchased the loans. These loans would be held by the Bank in its mortgage loan portfolio.

In most cases, the Bank requires fire, extended casualty insurance and, where appropriate, wind and hail insurance and, where required by applicable regulations, flood insurance to be obtained by the borrower. The Bank

Table of Contents

maintains its own errors and omissions insurance policy to protect against loss in the event of failure of a mortgagor to pay premiums on fire and other hazard insurance policies. Mortgage loans originated by the Bank customarily include a due on sale clause giving the Bank the right to declare a loan immediately due and payable in the event, among other matters, that the borrower sells or otherwise disposes of the real property subject to a mortgage. In general, the Bank enforces due on sale clauses. Borrowers are typically permitted to refinance or repay residential mortgage loans at their option without penalty.

Non-Residential Consumer Lending

Non-residential consumer loans made by the Bank include loans for automobiles, recreation vehicles, boats, personal (secured and unsecured) and deposit account secured loans. Non-residential consumer loans are attractive to the Bank because they typically have a shorter term and carry higher interest rates than those charged on other types of loans.

The Bank also issues credit cards solicited on the basis of applications received through referrals from the Bank's branches and other marketing efforts. The Bank generally has a small portfolio of credit card receivables outstanding. Credit card lines are underwritten using conservative credit criteria, including past credit history and debt-to-income ratios, similar to the credit policies applicable to other personal consumer loans.

The Bank grants consumer loans based on employment and financial information solicited from prospective borrowers as well as credit records collected from various reporting agencies. Financial stability of the borrower and credit history are the primary factors the Bank considers in granting such loans. The availability of collateral is also a factor considered in making such loans. The Bank seeks collateral that can be assigned and has good marketability with an adequate margin of value. The geographic area of the borrower is another consideration, with preference given to borrowers in the Bank's primary market areas.

OTHER FINANCIAL SERVICES

The Bank's insurance service subsidiary serves as an agent in the sale of title insurance, commercial lines of insurance and a full line of property and casualty, life, health and employee benefits products and services and operates in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Missouri and Illinois.

The Bank's investment services subsidiary provides brokerage, investment advisory and asset management services and operates in certain communities in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Florida and Missouri.

See Note 22 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for financial information about each segment of the Company, as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP).

ASSET QUALITY

Management seeks to maintain a high quality of assets through conservative underwriting and sound lending practices. Management intends to follow this policy even though it may result in foregoing the funding of higher yielding loans. Management believes that the Bank has adequate underwriting and loan administration policies in place and personnel to manage the associated risks prudently.

In an effort to maintain the quality of the loan portfolio, management seeks to limit higher risk loans. These loans include loans to provide initial equity and working capital to new businesses with no other capital strength, loans secured by unregistered stock, loans for speculative transactions in stock, land or commodity markets, loans to borrowers or the taking of collateral outside the Bank's primary market areas, loans dependent on secondary liens as primary collateral and non-recourse loans. To the extent risks are identified, additional precautions are taken in order to reduce the Bank's risk of loss. Commercial loans entail certain additional risks because they usually involve large loan balances to single borrowers or a related group of borrowers, resulting in a more concentrated loan portfolio. Further, because payment of these loans is usually dependent upon the successful operation of the commercial enterprise, the risk of loss with respect to these loans may increase in the event of adverse conditions in the economy.

The Board of Directors of the Bank focuses much of its efforts and resources, and that of the Bank's management and lending officials, on loan underwriting and credit quality monitoring policies and practices. Loan status and monitoring is handled through the Bank's loan administration department. Also, an independent loan review department of the Bank is responsible for reviewing the credit rating and classification of individual credits

Table of Contents

and assessing trends in the portfolio, adherence to internal credit policies and procedures and other factors that may affect the overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. Weak financial performance is identified and monitored using past due reporting, the internal loan rating system, loan review reports, the various loan committee functions and periodic asset quality rating committee meetings. Senior loan officers have established a review process with the objective of quickly identifying, evaluating and initiating necessary corrective action for problem loans. The results of loan reviews are reported to the Audit Committee of both the Company's and the Bank's Board of Directors. This process is an integral element of the Bank's loan program. Nonetheless, management maintains a cautious outlook in anticipating the potential effects of uncertain economic conditions (both locally and nationally) and the possibility of more stringent regulatory standards.

RECENT ACQUISITIONS

The Company completed no acquisitions during 2010.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2010, the Company and its subsidiaries had approximately 4,311 full-time equivalent employees. The Company and its subsidiaries are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements and employee relations are considered to be good.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information follows concerning the executive officers of the Company who are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16 of the Exchange Act:

| Name | Offices Held | Age |
|--------------------------|---|-----|
| Aubrey B. Patterson | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank; Director of the Company | 68 |
| James V. Kelley | President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and the Bank; Director of the Company | 61 |
| William L. Prater | Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company; Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Cashier of the Bank | 50 |
| Larry Bateman | Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman of the Bank | 61 |
| W. James Threadgill, Jr. | Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman of the Bank | 56 |
| Gordon Lewis | Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman of the Bank | 61 |

Table of Contents

| Name | Offices Held | Age |
|------------------|---|-----|
| Gregg Cowsert | Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank | 63 |
| Cathy S. Freeman | Executive Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company and the Bank | 45 |
| Gary C. Bonds | Senior Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer of the Company and Executive Vice President and Controller of the Bank | 63 |
| Carol Waddle | Senior Vice President of the Company and Senior Vice President, Audit and Loan Review of the Bank | 49 |

None of the executive officers of the Company are related by blood, marriage or adoption to each other or to any of the Company's directors or nominees up for election at the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders. There are no arrangements or understandings between any of the executive officers and any other person pursuant to which the individual named above was or is to be selected as an officer. The executive officers of the Company are elected by the Board of Directors at its first meeting following the annual meeting of shareholders, and they hold office until the next annual meeting or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Mr. Patterson has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank and the Company for at least the past five years.

Mr. Kelley has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank and the Company for at least the past five years.

Mr. Prater joined the Company on September 1, 2008 and served as Executive Vice President until June 30, 2009 when he was named Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Cashier of the Bank. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Prater most recently served as Executive Vice President of Finance at Regions Bank and held the office of Senior Vice President of Finance at AmSouth Bank from 2004 to 2006.

Mr. Bateman has served as Executive Vice President of the Company for at least the past five years. He has served as Vice Chairman of the Bank during this same period.

Mr. Threadgill has served as Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman of the Bank for at least the past five years.

Mr. Lewis had served as Louisiana/Texas Region President of BancorpSouth Bank for at least two years prior to December 2007 when he was named Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman of the Bank.

Mr. Cowsert has served as Executive Vice President of the Company and Vice Chairman and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank for at least the past five years.

Mrs. Freeman has served as First Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company and the Bank or Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company and the Bank for at least the two years prior to January 2008 when she was named Executive Vice President of the Company and the Bank.

Mr. Bonds has served as Senior Vice President of the Company and Senior Vice President and Controller of the Bank for at least the three years prior to September 2008, when he was named Executive Vice President and Controller of the Bank, and the three years prior to December 2008, when he was named Senior Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer of the Company.

Ms. Waddle joined the Company on March 20, 2006 and served as Senior Vice President and General Auditor of the Company until January 27, 2010, when she was named Senior Vice President of the Company and Senior Vice President, Audit and Loan Review of the Bank. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Waddle was a partner with T. E. Lott & Company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report may not be based on historical facts and are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, as amended. These forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period(s) or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, plan, predict, forecast, might, will, would, should, could or intend, future or conditional verb tenses, and variations or negatives of such terms. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those relating to the expiration of the Company's trademarks, the Company's ability to compete effectively, the effect of changes in laws, governmental regulations and legislative proposals affecting financial institutions, examinations of the Company by the Federal Reserve, the Company's operating results, interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, commercial loans, mortgage loans, economic conditions in the Company's market area and the impact of the economic downturn on the Company's financial condition, internal control over financial reporting, the Company's remediation efforts with respect to the material weakness in internal control over financial reporting, maturities and fair values of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities, valuation of mortgage servicing rights, diversification of revenue stream, the Company's policy regarding underwriting and lending practices, other real estate owned, asset quality, net interest revenue, net interest margin, interest rate sensitivity, credit quality and credit losses, determination of collateral fair value, analysis of guarantors, compliance with underwriting and/or appraisal standards, losses from representation and warranty obligations, the Company's foreclosure process, inspection of projects corresponding to construction, acquisition and development loans, renewal of construction, acquisition and development loans, deferred tax assets, unrecognized tax benefits, capital resources, uses of capital, sources of liquidity and liquidity strategies, sources of maturing loans and investment securities, sales of loans held for sale, cash from operating activities, deposits, non-performing assets (NPA's), the ability to declare and pay dividends, future acquisitions, market risk, critical and significant accounting policies, the impact of recent accounting pronouncements, estimated amortization expense of amortizable identifiable intangible assets, market conditions, stock repurchase program, allowance for credit losses, vesting of restricted stock and performance shares, valuation of stock options, fair value of loans and leases, values of investment securities, contributions to pension plans, goodwill, related party transactions, loan concentrations, impaired loans, non-performing loans, non-accrual loans and leases, allowance for loan losses, economic value of equity, the ratio of tangible equity to tangible assets, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, financial condition of the Company's borrowers, off-balance sheet commitments and arrangements, future lease payments, pension and other post-retirement benefit amounts, charge-offs, legal and regulatory limitations and compliance, amendments to the Company's code of business conduct and ethics or waiver of a provision thereof, junior subordinated debt securities and the effect of certain legal claims and pending lawsuits.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this Report in that actual results could differ materially from those indicated in such forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

Local, regional and national economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Company and its customers and the Company's assessment of that impact;

The ability of the Company to increase noninterest revenue and expand noninterest revenue business;

Changes in general business or economic conditions or government fiscal and monetary policies;

Fluctuations in prevailing interest rates and the effectiveness of the Company's interest rate hedging strategies;

The ability of the Company to maintain credit quality;

The ability of the Company to provide and market competitive products and services;

Changes in the Company's operating or expansion strategy;

Geographic concentration of the Company's assets and susceptibility to economic downturns in that area;

The availability of and costs associated with maintaining and/or obtaining adequate and timely sources of liquidity;

Volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets;

Government intervention in the U.S. financial system;

Laws and regulations affecting financial institutions in general;

The ability of the Company to operate and integrate new technology;

Table of Contents

The ability of the Company to manage its growth and effectively serve an expanding customer and market base;

The ability of the Company to attract, train and retain qualified personnel;

Changes in consumer preferences;

The ability of the Company to collect amounts due under loan agreements and to attract deposits;

Legislation and court decisions related to the amount of damages recoverable in legal proceedings;

Possible adverse rulings, judgments, settlements and other outcomes of pending litigation; and

Other factors generally understood to affect the financial results of financial services companies.

The Company undertakes no obligation to update its forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this Report.

In addition to the factors listed above that could influence our forward-looking statements, management believes that the risk factors set forth below should be considered in evaluating the Company's business. Other relevant risk factors are outlined below and may be supplemented from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our business may be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally.

Since mid-2007 the financial services industry and the securities markets generally have been materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a serious lack of liquidity. The global markets have been characterized by substantially increased volatility and short-selling and an overall loss of investor confidence. Market conditions have led to the failure or merger of a number of prominent financial institutions. Financial institution failures or near-failures have resulted in further losses as a consequence of defaults on securities issued by them and defaults under contracts entered into with such entities as counterparties. Furthermore, declining asset values, defaults on mortgages and consumer loans, and the lack of market and investor confidence, as well as other factors, have all combined to increase credit default swap spreads and to cause rating agencies to lower credit ratings. Despite recent stabilization in asset prices, economic performance and significant declines in Federal Reserve borrowing rates, there remains a risk of continued asset and economic deterioration, which may increase the cost and decrease the availability of liquidity. Additionally, some banks and other lenders have suffered significant losses and they have become reluctant to lend, even on a secured basis, because of capital limitations, potentially increased risks of default and the impact of declining asset values on collateral. The foregoing has significantly weakened the strength and liquidity of some financial institutions worldwide.

Our financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans, is highly dependent upon the business environment in the markets where we operate and in the United States as a whole. A favorable business environment is generally characterized by, among other factors, economic growth, efficient capital markets, low inflation, high business and investor confidence, and strong business earnings. Unfavorable or uncertain economic and market conditions can be caused by declines in economic growth, business activity or investor or business confidence, limitations on the availability or increases in the cost of credit and capital, increases in inflation or interest rates, natural disasters or a combination of these or other factors.

Overall, the 2010 business environment continued to be adverse for many households and businesses in the United States. While the business environment in the markets in which we operate has been less adverse than in the broader United States, it has deteriorated nonetheless. It is possible that the business environment in the United States will continue to deteriorate for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance that these conditions will improve in the near term. Such conditions could adversely affect the credit quality of our loans, our results of operations and our financial condition.

We may be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral we hold cannot be realized

Table of Contents

upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure owed to us. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may elect or be compelled to seek additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available on favorable terms when it is needed.

We are required by federal regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. In addition, we may elect to raise additional capital to support our business or to finance any acquisitions or we may otherwise elect or be required to raise additional capital. In that regard, a number of financial institutions have recently raised considerable amounts of capital, which could adversely impact our ability to access the capital markets on favorable terms.

Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets, economic conditions and a number of other factors, many of which are outside our control, and on our financial performance. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance of our ability to raise additional capital if needed or to be able to do so on terms acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital on favorable terms when needed, it may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Liquidity risk could impair our ability to fund operations and jeopardize our financial condition.

Liquidity is essential to our business. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities or the terms of which are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry or economy in general. A decrease in the level of our business activity as a result of a downturn in the markets in which our loans are concentrated could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as a disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry in light of the recent turmoil faced by banking organizations and the continued deterioration in credit markets.

We make and hold in our portfolio a significant number of real estate construction, acquisition and development loans, which are based upon estimates of costs and values associated with the completed project and which pose more credit risk than other types of loans typically made by financial institutions.

At December 31, 2010, we had a balance of \$1.1 billion in real estate construction, acquisition and development loans, representing 12.3% of our total loan portfolio. These real estate construction, acquisition and development loans have certain risks that are not present in other types of loans. The primary credit risks associated with real estate construction, acquisition and development loans are underwriting, project risks and market risks. Project risks include cost overruns, borrower credit risk, project completion risk, general contractor credit risk and environmental and other hazard risks. Market risks are risks associated with the sale of the completed residential and commercial units. They include affordability risk, which means the risk that borrowers cannot obtain affordable financing, product design risk, and risks posed by competing projects. Real estate construction, acquisition and development loans also involve additional risks because funds are advanced upon the security of the project, which is of uncertain value prior to its completion, and costs may exceed realizable values in declining real estate markets. Because of the uncertainties inherent in estimating construction costs and the realizable market value of the completed project and the effects of governmental regulation of real property, it is relatively difficult to evaluate accurately the total funds required to complete a project and the related loan-to-value ratio. As a result, real estate construction, acquisition and development loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment dependent, in part, on the success of the ultimate project and the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property, rather than the ability of the borrower or guarantor to repay principal and interest. If our appraisal of the value of the completed project proves to be overstated or market values or rental rates decline, we may have inadequate security for the repayment of the loan upon completion of construction of the project. If we are forced to foreclose on a project prior to or at completion due to a default, there can be no assurance that we will be able to recover all of the unpaid balance and accrued interest on the loan as well as related foreclosure and holding costs. In addition, we may be required to fund additional amounts to complete the project and may have to hold the property for an unspecified period of time while we attempt to dispose of it. The adverse effects of the foregoing matters upon our real estate construction, acquisition and

development portfolio could necessitate a further increase in non-performing loans related to this portfolio and these non-performing loans may result in a material level of charge-offs, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Due to the downturn in the housing market, demand for construction, acquisition and development loans has been declining, a trend that management expects to continue. The decline in this portfolio presents an additional challenge to maintaining and growing our earning assets.

Our allowance for credit losses may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan and lease portfolio and provide an allowance for potential losses based on a number of factors. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for credit losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for credit losses and may require an increase in the provision for credit losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Any increases in the allowance for credit losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses included herein for more information regarding our process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses.

Our operations are subject to extensive governmental regulation and supervision.

The Company has elected to be a financial holding company pursuant to GLBA and the Bank Holding Company Act and the Bank is a Mississippi state banking corporation. Both are subject to extensive governmental regulation, supervision, legislation and control. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. These laws and regulations limit the manner in which we operate, including the amount of loans we can originate, interest we can charge on loans and fees we can charge for certain services. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. Most recently, the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted, implementing sweeping reforms to the financial services industry. A number of provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act remain to be implemented through the rulemaking process at various regulatory agencies. We are unable to predict what the final form of these rules will be when implemented by the respective agencies, but management believes that certain aspects of the new legislation, including without limitations, the additional cost of higher deposit insurance and the costs of compliance with disclosure and reporting requirements and examinations by the new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, could have a significant impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

It is possible that there will be continued changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regimes in the future. Changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations or policies, could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject us to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products we may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. We cannot predict the extent to which the government and governmental organizations may change any of these laws or controls. We also cannot predict how such changes would adversely affect our business and prospects.

Because of the geographic concentration of our assets, our business is highly susceptible to local economic conditions.

Our business is primarily concentrated in selected markets in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida, Missouri and Illinois. As a result of this geographic concentration, our financial condition and results of operations depend largely upon economic conditions in these market areas. Deterioration in economic conditions in the markets we serve could result in one or more of the following: an increase in loan delinquencies; an increase in problem assets and foreclosures; a decrease in the demand for our products and services; and a decrease in the value of collateral for loans, especially real estate, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, the value of assets associated with problem loans and collateral coverage.

Table of Contents

We obtain a significant portion of our noninterest revenue through service charges on core deposit accounts, and recent legislation and regulations impacting service charges could reduce our fee income.

A significant portion of our noninterest revenue is derived from service charge income. The largest component of this service charge income is overdraft-related fees. Changes in banking regulations, and in particular the Federal Reserve's recently adopted rules pertaining to certain overdraft payments on consumer accounts and the FDIC's Overdraft Payment Programs and Consumer Protection Final Overdraft Payment Supervisory Guidance, will have a significant adverse impact on our service charge income and overall results. Additionally, changes in customer behavior as well as increased competition from other financial institutions will result in declines in deposit accounts or in overdraft frequency resulting in a decline in service charge income. A reduction in deposit account fee income could have a material adverse effect on our earnings.

Changes in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our earnings and financial condition are dependent to a large degree upon net interest income, which is the difference or spread between interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets and interest paid on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. When market rates of interest change, the interest we receive on our assets and the interest we pay on our liabilities may fluctuate. This can cause decreases in our spread and can adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors including:

The rate of inflation;

Economic conditions;

Federal monetary policies; and

Stability of domestic and foreign markets.

The Bank originates residential mortgage loans for sale and for our portfolio. The origination of residential mortgage loans is highly dependent on the local real estate market and the level of interest rates. Increasing interest rates tend to reduce the origination of loans for sale and fee income, which we report as gain on sale of loans. Decreasing interest rates generally result in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities, as borrowers refinance their debt in order to reduce their borrowing cost. This typically leads to reinvestment at lower rates than the loans or securities were paying. Changes in market interest rates could also reduce the value of our financial assets. Our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if we are unsuccessful in managing the effects of changes in interest rates.

Monetary policies and economic factors may limit our ability to attract deposits or make loans.

The monetary policies of federal regulatory authorities, particularly the Federal Reserve, and economic conditions in our service area and the United States generally, affect our ability to attract deposits and extend loans. We cannot predict either the nature and timing of any changes in these monetary policies and economic conditions, including the Federal Reserve's interest rate policies, or their impact on our financial performance. The banking business is subject to various material business risks, which have become more acute during the current environment of economic slowdown and recession. In the current economic environment, foreclosures have increased and such conditions could also lead to a potential decline in deposits and demand for loans.

Volatility in capital and credit markets could adversely affect our business.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for several years. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength. If market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on our ability to access capital and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Hurricanes or other adverse weather events could negatively affect local economies where we maintain branch offices or cause disruption or damage to our branch office locations, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

We have operations in Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, Texas and Florida, which include areas susceptible to hurricanes or tropical storms. Such weather conditions can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our branch office locations or negatively affect the local economies in which we operate. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage caused by future hurricanes or storms will affect our operations or the economies in our market areas, but such weather conditions could result in a decline in loan originations and an increase in the

Table of Contents

risk of delinquencies, foreclosures or loan losses. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of devastating hurricanes or storms.

We face risks in connection with completed or potential acquisitions.

Historically, we have grown through the acquisition of other financial institutions as well as the development of de novo offices. If appropriate opportunities present themselves, we intend to pursue additional acquisitions in the future that we believe are strategic, including possible FDIC-assisted transactions. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, negotiate or finance potential acquisitions successfully or integrate such acquisitions with our current business.

Upon completion of an acquisition, we are faced with the challenges of integrating the operations, services, products, personnel and systems of acquired companies into our business, which may divert management's attention from ongoing business operations. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in effectively integrating any acquisition into the operations of our business. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the anticipated benefits of any acquisition will be realized.

The success of our acquisitions is dependent on the continued employment of key employees. If acquired businesses do not meet projected revenue targets, or if certain key employees were to leave, we could conclude that the value of the businesses has decreased and that the related goodwill has been impaired. If we were to conclude that goodwill has been impaired, it would result in an impairment of goodwill charge to us, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Issuing additional shares of our common stock to acquire other banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and/or insurance agencies may result in dilution for existing shareholders and may adversely affect the market price of our stock.

In connection with our growth strategy, we have issued, and may issue in the future, shares of our common stock to acquire additional banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies, insurance agencies and/or other businesses related to the financial services industry that may compliment our organizational structure. Resales of substantial amounts of common stock in the public market and the potential of such sales could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities. We usually must pay an acquisition premium above the fair market value of acquired assets for the acquisition of banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies. Paying this acquisition premium, in addition to the dilutive effect of issuing additional shares, may also adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock.

Our ability to declare and pay dividends is limited by law.

We derive our income primarily from dividends received from owning the Bank's common stock. Federal and state law limit the Bank's ability to declare and pay dividends. In addition, the Federal Reserve may impose restrictions on our ability to declare and pay dividends on our common stock.

Our growth strategy includes risks that could have an adverse effect on financial performance.

A significant element of our growth strategy is the acquisition of additional banks (which might include the acquisition of bank assets in FDIC-assisted transactions), bank holding companies, financial holding companies, insurance agencies and/or other businesses related to the financial services industry that may compliment our organizational structure in order to achieve greater economies of scale. We cannot assure you that appropriate growth opportunities will continue to exist, that we will be able to acquire banks, insurance agencies, bank holding companies and/or financial holding companies that satisfy our criteria or that any such acquisitions will be on terms favorable to us. Further, our growth strategy requires that we continue to hire qualified personnel, while concurrently expanding our managerial and operational infrastructure. We cannot assure you that we will be able to hire and retain qualified personnel or that we will be able to successfully expand our infrastructure to accommodate future acquisitions or growth. As a result of these factors, we may not realize the expected economic benefits associated with our acquisitions. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Diversification in types of financial services may adversely affect our financial performance.

As part of our business strategy, we may further diversify our lines of business into areas that are not traditionally associated with the banking business. As a result, we would need to manage the development of new business lines in

which we have not previously participated. Each new business line would require the investment

Table of Contents

of additional capital and the significant involvement of our senior management to develop and integrate the service subsidiaries with our traditional banking operations. We can offer no assurances that we will be able to develop and integrate new services without adversely affecting our financial performance.

We compete with other financial holding companies, bank holding companies, banks, insurance and financial services companies.

The banking, insurance and financial services businesses are extremely competitive in our service areas in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida, Missouri and Illinois. We compete, and will continue to compete, with well-established banks, credit unions, insurance agencies and other financial institutions, some of which have significantly greater resources and lending limits. Some of our competitors provide certain services that we do not provide.

Information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of these information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of these information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may be adversely affected by the failure of certain third party vendors to perform.

We rely upon certain third party vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain our day-to-day operations. Accordingly, our operations are exposed to the risk that these vendors might not perform in accordance with applicable contractual arrangements or service level agreements. We maintain a system of policies and procedures designed to monitor vendor risks. While we believe these policies and procedures help to mitigate risk, the failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with applicable contractual arrangements or service level agreements could be disruptive to our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Anti-takeover provisions may discourage a change of our control.

Our governing documents and certain agreements to which we are a party contain provisions that make a change-in-control difficult to accomplish, and may discourage a potential acquirer. These include a classified or staggered Board of Directors, change-in-control agreements with members of management and supermajority voting requirements. These anti-takeover provisions may have an adverse effect on the market for our common stock.

Securities that we issue, including our common stock, are not FDIC insured.

Securities that we issue, including our common stock, are not savings or deposit accounts or other obligations of any bank and are not insured by the FDIC or any other governmental agency or instrumentality or any private insurer and are subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of your investment.

We reported a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and if we are unable to improve our internal controls, our financial results may not be accurately reported.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 identified a material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting designed to ensure proper accounting for allowance for credit losses, as described in Item 9A. Controls and Procedures. This material weakness, or difficulties encountered in implementing new or improved controls or remediation, could prevent us from accurately reporting our financial results, result in material misstatements in our financial statements or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. Failure to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could negatively affect our business, the price of our common stock and market confidence in our reported financial information.

Table of Contents

We could be required to write down goodwill and other intangible assets.

When we acquire a business, a portion of the purchase price of the acquisition is allocated to goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets. The amount of the purchase price that is allocated to goodwill and other intangible assets is determined by the excess of the purchase price over the net identifiable assets acquired. At December 31, 2010, our goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets were \$289.7 million. Under current accounting standards, if we determine goodwill or intangible assets are impaired, we are required to write down the carrying value of these assets. We conduct a review at least annually to determine whether goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets are impaired. We completed such an impairment analysis in 2010 and concluded that no impairment charge was necessary for the year ended December 31, 2010. We cannot provide assurance, however, that we will not be required to take an impairment charge in the future. Any impairment charge would have an adverse effect on our shareholders' equity and financial results and could cause a decline in our stock price.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The physical properties of the Company are held by its subsidiaries as follows:

- a. The Bank The main office is located at One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street in the central business district of Tupelo, Mississippi in a seven-floor, modern, glass, concrete and steel office building owned by the Bank. The Bank occupies approximately 75% of the space, with the remainder leased to various unaffiliated tenants.

The Bank owns 253 of its 282 branch banking facilities. The remaining 29 branch banking facilities are occupied under leases with unexpired terms ranging from one to 13 years. The Bank also owns other buildings that provide space for computer operations, lease servicing, mortgage lending, warehouse needs and other general purposes.

The Bank considers all its buildings and leased premises to be in good condition. The Bank also owns parcels of property acquired under foreclosure.

- b. BancorpSouth Insurance Services, Inc. This wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank owns six of the 25 offices it occupies. It leases 19 offices that have unexpired terms varying in duration from one to seven years.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions with numerous customers through offices in nine states. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, litigation presents an ongoing risk.

On May 12, 2010, the Company and its Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer were named in a purported class-action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee on behalf of certain purchasers of the Company's common stock. On September 17, 2010, an Executive Vice President of the Company was added as a party to the lawsuit. The amended complaint alleges that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business and financial results. The plaintiff seeks class certification, an unspecified amount of damages and awards of costs and attorneys' fees and such other equitable relief as the Court may deem just and proper. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In November 2010, the Company was informed that the Atlanta Regional Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission had issued an Order of Investigation related to the Company's delay in filing its Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2009 and related matters. The Company is cooperating fully with the SEC. No claims have been made by the SEC against the Company or against any individuals affiliated with the Company. At this time, it is not possible to predict when or how the investigation will be resolved or the cost or potential liabilities associated with this matter.

On May 18, 2010, the Bank was named as a defendant in a purported class action lawsuit filed by two Arkansas customers of the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. The suit challenges the manner in which overdraft fees were charged and the policies related to posting order of debit card and ATM transactions. The suit also makes a claim under Arkansas' consumer protection statute. The case was transferred to pending multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management of the Company cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Otherwise, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business, including claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. It is possible, however, that future developments could result in an unfavorable ultimate outcome for or resolution of any one or more of the lawsuits in which the Company or its subsidiaries are defendants, which may be material to the Company's results of operations for a particular quarterly reporting period. Litigation is inherently uncertain, and management of the Company cannot make assurances that the Company will prevail in any of these actions, nor can it reasonably estimate the amount of damages that the Company might incur.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK**

The common stock of the Company trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol BXS. The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the range of sale prices of the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange:

| | | High | Low |
|-------------|--------|----------|----------|
| 2010 | Fourth | \$ 16.31 | \$ 12.27 |
| | Third | 18.73 | 12.41 |
| | Second | 23.25 | 17.86 |
| | First | 24.75 | 17.55 |
| 2009 | Fourth | \$ 25.19 | \$ 21.71 |
| | Third | 24.96 | 19.41 |
| | Second | 25.30 | 19.46 |
| | First | 23.87 | 15.60 |

HOLDERS OF RECORD

As of February 14, 2011, there were 8,817 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock.

Table of Contents

DIVIDENDS

The Company declared cash dividends each quarter in an aggregate annual amount of \$0.88 per share during 2010 and 2009. Future dividends, if any, will vary depending on the Company's profitability, anticipated capital requirements and applicable federal and state regulations. The Company is further restricted by the Federal Reserve's authority to limit or prohibit the payment of dividends, as outlined in SR 09-4. Based on management's discussions with the Federal Reserve in the first quarter of 2011, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.11 per share for the first quarter of 2011, down from \$0.22 per share for the previous quarter. There can be no assurance that the Federal Reserve Board will not limit or prohibit future dividends. See Item 1. Business Regulation and Supervision and Note 17 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for more information on restrictions and limitations on the Company's ability to pay dividends.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Selected Financial Information for the Selected Financial Data.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

OVERVIEW

The Company is a regional financial holding company with \$13.6 billion in assets headquartered in Tupelo, Mississippi. The Company's wholly-owned banking subsidiary has commercial banking operations in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida, and Missouri. The Bank and its consumer finance, credit insurance, insurance agency and brokerage subsidiaries provide commercial banking, leasing, mortgage origination and servicing, insurance, brokerage and trust services to corporate customers, local governments, individuals and other financial institutions through an extensive network of branches and offices. The Bank's insurance agency subsidiary also operates an office in Illinois.

Management's discussion and analysis provides a narrative discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations for the previous three years. For a complete understanding of the following discussion, you should refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes presented elsewhere in this Report. This discussion and analysis is based on reported financial information, and certain amounts for prior years have been reclassified to conform with the current financial statement presentation. The information that follows is provided to enhance comparability of financial information between years and to provide a better understanding of the Company's operations.

As a financial holding company, the financial condition and operating results of the Company are heavily influenced by economic trends nationally and in the specific markets in which the Company's subsidiaries provide financial services. Generally, during the past two years, the pressures of the national and regional economic cycle have created a difficult operating environment for the financial services industry. The Company is not immune to such pressures and the continuing economic downturn has had a negative impact on the Company and its customers in all of the markets that it serves. The impact was reflected in a decline in credit quality and increases in the Company's measures of non-performing loans and leases (NPLs) and net charge-offs in 2010 compared to 2009. While NPLs and net charge-offs have increased, management believes that the Company is well positioned with respect to overall credit quality and the strength of its allowance for credit losses to meet the challenges of the

Table of Contents

current economic cycle. Management believes, however, that continued weakness in the economic environment could adversely affect the strength of the credit quality of the Company's assets overall. Therefore, management will continue to focus on early identification and resolution of any credit issues.

Most of the revenue of the Company is derived from the operation of its principal operating subsidiary, the Bank. The financial condition and operating results of the Bank are affected by the level and volatility of interest rates on loans, investment securities, deposits and other borrowed funds, and the impact of economic downturns on loan demand, collateral value and creditworthiness of existing borrowers. The financial services industry is highly competitive and heavily regulated. The Company's success depends on its ability to compete aggressively within its markets while maintaining sufficient asset quality and cost controls to generate net income.

The information that follows is provided to enhance comparability of financial information between periods and to provide a better understanding of the Company's operations.

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Earnings Summary: | | | | | |
| Interest revenue | \$ 582,762 | \$ 615,414 | \$ 705,413 | \$ 801,242 | \$ 681,891 |
| Interest expense | 141,620 | 170,515 | 264,577 | 378,343 | 296,092 |
| Net interest revenue | 441,142 | 444,899 | 440,836 | 422,899 | 385,799 |
| Provision for credit losses | 204,016 | 117,324 | 56,176 | 22,696 | 8,577 |
| Net interest revenue, after provision for credit losses | 237,126 | 327,575 | 384,660 | 400,203 | 377,222 |
| Noninterest revenue | 264,144 | 275,276 | 245,607 | 232,151 | 207,017 |
| Noninterest expense | 487,033 | 490,017 | 455,913 | 428,410 | 394,077 |
| Income before income taxes | 14,237 | 112,834 | 174,354 | 203,944 | 190,162 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (8,705) | 30,105 | 53,943 | 66,001 | 64,968 |
| Net income | \$ 22,942 | \$ 82,729 | \$ 120,411 | \$ 137,943 | \$ 125,194 |
| Balance Sheet Year-End Balances: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 13,615,010 | \$ 13,167,867 | \$ 13,480,218 | \$ 13,189,841 | \$ 12,040,521 |
| Total securities | 2,709,081 | 1,993,594 | 2,316,380 | 2,627,110 | 2,765,419 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | 9,333,107 | 9,775,136 | 9,691,277 | 9,179,684 | 7,871,471 |
| Total deposits | 11,490,021 | 10,677,702 | 9,711,872 | 10,064,099 | 9,710,578 |
| Long-term debt | 110,000 | 112,771 | 286,312 | 88,977 | 135,707 |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,222,244 | 1,276,296 | 1,240,260 | 1,196,626 | 1,026,585 |
| Balance Sheet Average Balances: | | | | | |
| Total assets | 13,304,836 | 13,203,659 | 13,200,801 | 12,857,135 | 11,798,007 |
| Total securities | 2,157,096 | 2,179,479 | 2,417,390 | 2,781,232 | 2,943,556 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | 9,621,529 | 9,734,580 | 9,429,963 | 8,784,940 | 7,579,935 |
| Total deposits | 11,107,445 | 10,155,730 | 9,803,999 | 10,200,098 | 9,554,441 |
| Long-term debt | 111,547 | 290,582 | 278,845 | 139,537 | 136,411 |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,241,321 | 1,255,605 | 1,224,280 | 1,121,000 | 999,989 |
| Common Share Data: | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.99 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 1.58 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.27 | 0.99 | 1.45 | 1.69 | 1.57 |
| Cash dividends per share | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.79 |
| Book value per share | 14.64 | 15.29 | 14.92 | 14.54 | 12.98 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Dividend payout ratio | 314.29 | 88.89 | 60.00 | 49.11 | 50.32 |
| Financial Ratios: | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 0.17% | 0.63% | 0.91% | 1.07% | 1.06% |
| Return on average shareholders' equity | 1.85% | 6.59% | 9.84% | 12.31% | 12.52% |
| Total shareholders' equity to total assets | 8.98% | 9.69% | 9.20% | 9.07% | 8.53% |
| Tangible shareholders' equity to tangible assets | 7.00% | 7.63% | 7.15% | 7.09% | 7.25% |
| Net interest margin-fully taxable equivalent | 3.70% | 3.77% | 3.75% | 3.68% | 3.70% |
| Credit Quality Ratios: | | | | | |
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases | 1.90% | 0.76% | 0.40% | 0.14% | 0.15% |
| Provision for credit losses to average loans and leases | 2.12% | 1.21% | 0.60% | 0.26% | 0.11% |
| Allowance for credit losses to net loans and leases | 2.11% | 1.80% | 1.37% | 1.25% | 1.26% |
| Allowance for credit losses to NPLs | 49.93% | 94.41% | 207.45% | 394.76% | 421.36% |
| Allowance for credit losses to NPAs | 37.31% | 71.64% | 120.36% | 215.47% | 291.38% |
| NPLs to net loans and leases | 4.23% | 1.91% | 0.66% | 0.32% | 0.30% |
| NPAs to net loans and leases | 5.65% | 2.51% | 1.14% | 0.58% | 0.43% |
| Capital Adequacy: | | | | | |
| Tier I capital | 10.61% | 11.17% | 10.79% | 10.63% | 12.34% |
| Total capital | 11.87% | 12.42% | 12.04% | 11.81% | 13.55% |
| Tier I leverage capital | 8.07% | 8.95% | 8.65% | 8.13% | 8.73% |

Table of Contents

In addition to financial ratios defined by U.S. GAAP, the Company utilizes tangible shareholders' equity and tangible asset measures when evaluating the performance of the Company. Tangible shareholders' equity is defined by the Company as total shareholders' equity less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Tangible assets are defined by the Company as total assets less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Management believes the ratio of tangible equity to tangible assets to be an important measure of financial strength of the Company. The following table reconciles tangible assets and tangible shareholders' equity as presented above to U.S. GAAP financial measure as reflected in the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Tangible Assets: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 13,615,010 | \$ 13,167,867 | \$ 13,480,218 | \$ 13,189,841 | \$ 12,040,521 |
| Less: Goodwill | 270,097 | 270,097 | 268,966 | 254,889 | 143,718 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 19,624 | 23,533 | 28,164 | 26,549 | 22,442 |
| Total tangible assets | \$ 13,325,289 | \$ 12,874,237 | \$ 13,183,088 | \$ 12,908,403 | \$ 11,874,361 |
| Tangible Shareholders' Equity | | | | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 1,222,244 | \$ 1,276,296 | \$ 1,240,260 | \$ 1,196,626 | 1,026,585 |
| Less: Goodwill | 270,097 | 270,097 | 268,966 | 254,889 | 143,718 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 19,624 | 23,533 | 28,164 | 26,549 | 22,442 |
| Total tangible shareholders' equity | \$ 932,523 | \$ 982,666 | \$ 943,130 | \$ 915,188 | \$ 860,425 |

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Company reported net income of \$22.9 million for 2010 compared to \$82.7 million in 2009 and \$120.4 million in 2008. The provision for credit losses was the most significant factor contributing to this decrease in earnings, as the provision for credit losses was \$204.0 million in 2010 compared to \$117.3 million in 2009 and \$56.2 million in 2008. Net charge-offs also increased to \$183.1 million, or 1.90% of average loans and leases in 2010, compared to \$74.1 million, or 0.76% of average loans and leases in 2009. The increase in the provision for credit losses in 2010 reflected the impact of a significant increase in NPLs from \$186.5 million at December 31, 2009 to \$394.4 million at December 31, 2010, as the length and severity of the recession, as well as the lackluster current economic environment, affected a larger portion of the Company's borrowers. This pressure continues to be evident on real estate construction, acquisition and development loans and more specifically on residential construction, acquisition and development and commercial construction loans. Many of these loans became collateral-dependant during 2009 and 2010, requiring recognition of an impairment loss to reflect the decline in real estate values.

The largest source of revenue for the Company is the amount of net interest revenue earned by the Bank. Net interest revenue is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and other obligations. Net interest revenue for 2010 was \$441.1 million, compared to \$444.9 million for 2009 and \$440.8 million for 2008. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's long-term objective is to manage those assets and liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit, liquidity and capital risks. The Company experienced an increase in lower rate demand and time deposits and a decrease in higher rate long-term borrowing, which resulted in a decrease in interest expense of \$28.9 million or 17.0% in 2010 compared to 2009. However, the declining interest rate environment combined with the low loan demand resulted in a decrease in interest revenue of \$32.7 million or 5.3%, causing net interest revenue to remain

stable in 2010 compared to 2009. While loan demand has been weak, the Company has managed to replace some loan runoff with new loan production, primarily in its east Texas and Louisiana markets.

The Company attempts to diversify its revenue stream by increasing the amount of revenue received from mortgage lending operations, insurance agency activities, brokerage and securities activities and other activities that generate fee income. Management believes this diversification is important to reduce the impact of fluctuations in

Table of Contents

net interest revenue on the overall operating results of the Company. Noninterest revenue for 2010 was \$264.1 million, compared to \$275.3 million for 2009 and \$245.6 million in 2008. One of the contributors to the decrease in noninterest revenue in 2010 was the decrease in mortgage lending revenue, which decreased to \$29.7 million in 2010 compared to \$32.2 million in 2009. The decrease in mortgage lending revenue was primarily a result of larger mortgage originations in 2009, the majority of which were refinancings in the first half of 2009 resulting from historically low mortgage interest rates. Also contributing to the decrease in mortgage lending revenue was the \$4.0 million decline in the fair value of the Bank's mortgage servicing rights in 2010 compared to a \$2.4 million increase in the fair value in 2009. The decline in fair value was somewhat offset by the better pricing and delivery execution on a lower level of mortgage originations for 2010 as origination volume decreased 6.6% to \$1.4 billion from \$1.5 billion in 2009.

Noninterest revenue was positively impacted by the 10.0% increase in credit card, debit card and merchant fee income, as the number and monetary volume of items processed increased. Noninterest revenue was also positively impacted by net security gains of \$2.6 million in 2010 compared to net security losses of approximately \$55,000 in 2009. The Company recognized \$4.7 million of gains on sales and calls of available-for-sale securities and calls of held-to-maturity securities in 2010, with these gains somewhat offset by \$2.1 million recognized as other-than-temporary impairment on pooled trust preferred securities in 2010. The 2009 and 2008 net security losses included other-than-temporary impairment charges of approximately \$250,000 and \$8.6 million, respectively, related to the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities.

Revenue from bank-owned life insurance decreased approximately \$877,000, or 10.2%, in 2010 after increasing \$1.3 million, or 17.4% in 2009 as the Company recorded claims related to bank-owned life insurance of \$1.4 million in 2009 compared to approximately \$723,000 in 2010. No significant claims related to bank-owned life insurance were recorded in 2008. Other miscellaneous noninterest revenue decreased \$13.8 million, or 48.9%, in 2010 compared to 2009. There were no significant non-recurring noninterest revenue items in 2010. In 2009, the Company recorded interest on tax refunds of \$2.8 million, gains on the sale of student loans of \$3.7 million, a gain of \$1.8 million on the sale of the Company's remaining shares of MasterCard, Inc. common stock, and an insurance recovery of \$1.3 million related to a casualty loss.

Noninterest expense for 2010 was \$487.0 million, a decrease of 0.6% from \$490.0 million for 2009, which was an increase of 7.5% from \$455.9 million for 2008. The increase in noninterest expense in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily related to the significant increase in deposit insurance assessments in 2009 compared to 2008. Deposit insurance assessments increased \$16.8 million during 2009 which included a \$6.1 million special FDIC assessment during the second quarter of 2009 as part of the FDIC's restoration plan for the Deposit Insurance Fund. Excluding the \$6.1 million special FDIC assessment in 2009, deposit insurance assessments increased in 2010 compared to 2009, as the Company's depository insurance assessment base, or average deposits, increased 9.4% during 2010. Income tax expense decreased in 2010 and 2009 primarily as a result of the decrease in pretax income in both years. The major components of net income are discussed in more detail in the various sections that follow.

The Company continued its commitment to a strong capital base as its total shareholders' equity to total assets ratio was 8.98%, 9.69% and 9.20% in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Also, interest bearing demand deposits increased 14.1% contributing to an overall deposit increase of 7.6% in 2010 compared to 2009. Interest bearing demand deposits increased 10.7% in 2009 contributing to an overall deposit increase of 9.94% compared to 2008. This increase in deposits allowed the Company to continue to reduce its reliance on short-term borrowings, which decreased 40.4% to \$443.3 million at December 31, 2010 after decreasing 60.8% to \$743.4 million at December 31, 2009 compared to \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2008.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions (see Note 1 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report). Management believes that its determination of the allowance for credit losses, valuation of other real estate owned, the annual goodwill impairment assessment, the assessment for other-than-temporary impairment of securities, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights and the estimation of pension and other postretirement benefit amounts involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity than the

Company's other significant accounting policies. Further, these estimates can be materially impacted by changes in market conditions or the actual or perceived financial condition of the Company's borrowers, subjecting the Company to significant volatility of earnings.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Credit Losses**

The allowance for credit losses is established through the provision for credit losses, which is a charge against earnings. Provisions for credit losses are made to reserve for estimated probable losses on loans and leases. The allowance for credit losses is a significant estimate and is regularly evaluated by the Company for adequacy by taking into consideration factors such as changes in the nature and volume of the loan and lease portfolio; trends in actual and forecasted portfolio credit quality, including delinquency, charge-off and bankruptcy rates; and current economic conditions that may affect a borrower's ability to pay. In determining an adequate allowance for credit losses, management makes numerous assumptions, estimates and assessments. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different provisions for credit losses. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses included herein for more information. At December 31, 2010, the allowance for credit losses was \$196.9 million, representing 2.11% of total loans and leases, net of unearned income.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned, consisting of assets that have been acquired through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated selling costs. Fair value is based on independent appraisals and other relevant factors. Other real estate owned is revalued on an annual basis or more often if market conditions necessitate. Valuation adjustments required at foreclosure are charged to the allowance for credit losses. Subsequent valuation adjustments on the periodic revaluation of the property are charged to net income as noninterest expense. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of other real estate owned, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility, as experienced during the past two years. As a result, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other real estate owned.

Goodwill

The Company's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting segment level on an annual basis or sooner if an event occurs or circumstances change which indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit is below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of each reporting segment in assessing impairment at least annually. The Company's annual assessment date is during the Company's fourth quarter. Because of the volatile market conditions during which the Company's market value fell below book value, the Company performed a complete goodwill impairment analysis for all of its reporting segments during the third quarter of 2010. Based on this analysis, the estimated fair value of all of the Company's reporting segments exceeded the respective carrying values. The Company's annual goodwill impairment evaluation performed during the fourth quarter of 2010 also indicated no impairment of goodwill for its reporting units. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was recorded. In the current environment, forecasting cash flows, credit losses and growth in addition to valuing the Company's assets with any degree of assurance is very difficult and subject to significant changes over very short periods of time. Management will continue to update its analysis as circumstances change. As market conditions continue to be volatile and unpredictable, impairment of goodwill related to the Company's reporting segments may be necessary in future periods. Goodwill was \$270.1 million at December 31, 2010.

Assessment for Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Securities

Securities are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other-than-temporary. The term other-than-temporary is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. Rather, it means that the prospects for near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable. Management reviews criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, as well as the reasons for the decline, and whether the Company would be required to sell the securities before a full recovery of costs in order to predict whether the loss in value is other-than-temporary. Once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the impairment related to the credit loss and (b) the amount of the impairment related to all other factors. The value of the security is reduced by the other-than-temporary impairment with the amount of the impairment related to credit loss recognized as a charge to earnings and the amount of the impairment related to all other factors recognized in other

comprehensive income.

Table of Contents**Mortgage Servicing Rights**

The Company recognizes as assets the rights to service mortgage loans for others, known as mortgage servicing rights (MSRs). The Company records MSRs at fair value on a recurring basis with subsequent remeasurement of MSRs based on change in fair value in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 860, Transfers and Servicing (FASB ASC 860). An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSRs is determined utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. Because the valuation is determined by using discounted cash flow models, the primary risk inherent in valuing the MSRs is the impact of fluctuating interest rates on the estimated life of the servicing revenue stream. The use of different estimates or assumptions could also produce different fair values. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of MSRs and, therefore, the Company is susceptible to significant fluctuations in the fair value of its MSRs in changing interest rate environments. At December 31, 2010, the Company's mortgage servicing asset was valued at \$38.6 million.

Pension and Postretirement Benefits

Accounting for pension and other postretirement benefit amounts is another area where the accounting guidance requires management to make various assumptions in order to appropriately value any related asset or liability. Estimates that the Company makes to determine pension-related assets and liabilities include actuarial assumptions, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, rate of compensation increase for participants and discount rate. Estimates that the Company makes to determine asset and liability amounts for other postretirement benefits include actuarial assumptions and a discount rate. Changes in these estimates could impact earnings. For example, lower expected long-term rates of return on plan assets could negatively impact earnings, as would lower estimated discount rates or higher rates of compensation increase. In estimating the projected benefit obligation, actuaries must make assumptions about such factors as mortality rate, turnover rate, retirement rate, disability rate and the rate of compensation increases. The Company accounts for the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit and postretirement plans as an asset or liability in its consolidated balance sheets and recognizes changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income as required by FASB ASC 715, Compensation - Retirement Benefits (FASB ASC 715). In accordance with FASB ASC 715, the Company calculates the expected return on plan assets each year based on the balance in the pension asset portfolio at the beginning of the year and the expected long-term rate of return on that portfolio. In determining the reasonableness of the expected rate of return, the Company considers a variety of factors including the actual return earned on plan assets, historical rates of return on the various asset classes of which the plan portfolio is comprised and current/prospective capital market conditions and economic forecasts. The Company used an expected rate of return of 8% on plan assets for 2010. The discount rate is the rate used to determine the present value of the Company's future benefit obligations for its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The Company determines the discount rate to be used to discount plan liabilities at the measurement date with the assistance of our actuary using the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Company developed a level equivalent yield using the expected cash flows from the BancorpSouth, Inc. Retirement Plan (the Basic Plan), the BancorpSouth, Inc. Restoration Plan (the Restoration Plan) and the BancorpSouth, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Plan) and the December 31, 2010 Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Citigroup Pension Discount Curve is published on the Society of Actuaries website along with a background paper on the interest rate curve. Based on this analysis, the Company established its discount rate assumptions for determination of the projected benefit obligation at 5.50% for the Basic Plan, 5.15% for the Restoration Plan and 4.50% for the Supplemental Plan based on a December 31, 2010 measurement date.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Net Interest Revenue**

Net interest revenue is the difference between interest revenue earned on assets, such as loans, leases and securities, and interest expense paid on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, and continues to provide the Company with its principal source of revenue. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's long-term objective is to manage interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit and liquidity risk. Net interest margin is

Table of Contents

determined by dividing fully taxable equivalent net interest revenue by average earning assets. For purposes of the following discussion, revenue from tax-exempt loans and investment securities has been adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis, using an effective tax rate of 35%. The following tables present average interest earning assets, average interest bearing liabilities, net interest revenue-FTE, net interest margin-FTE and net interest rate spread for the three years ended December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| (Taxable equivalent basis) | 2010 | | | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|--|---|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| | (Dollars in thousands, yields on taxabale equivalent basis) | | | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases (net of unearned income) (1)(2) | \$ 9,621,529 | \$ 500,108 | 5.20% | \$ 9,734,580 | \$ 520,315 | 5.35% | \$ 9,429,964 | \$ 593,258 | 6.29% |
| Loans held for sale | 68,980 | 3,024 | 4.38% | 115,181 | 3,965 | 3.44% | 156,857 | 7,667 | 4.89% |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable (3) | 985,606 | 36,718 | 3.73% | 1,015,440 | 47,397 | 4.67% | 1,282,512 | 59,119 | 4.61% |
| Non-taxable (4) | 236,530 | 16,014 | 6.77% | 194,370 | 13,619 | 7.01% | 184,243 | 12,480 | 6.77% |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 863,091 | 32,033 | 3.71% | 898,073 | 35,026 | 3.90% | 859,932 | 35,813 | 4.16% |
| Non-taxable (5) | 71,869 | 5,039 | 7.01% | 71,596 | 5,223 | 7.30% | 90,703 | 6,470 | 7.13% |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreement to resell and short-term investments | 376,328 | 961 | 0.26% | 49,197 | 205 | 0.42% | 32,930 | 972 | 2.95% |
| Total interest earning assets and revenue | 12,223,933 | 593,897 | 4.86% | 12,078,437 | 625,750 | 5.18% | 12,037,141 | 715,779 | 5.95% |
| Other assets | 1,293,963 | | | 1,275,150 | | | 1,291,675 | | |
| Less: allowance for credit losses | (213,060) | | | (149,928) | | | (128,015) | | |
| Total | \$ 13,304,836 | | | \$ 13,203,659 | | | \$ 13,200,801 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand interest bearing | \$ 4,649,235 | \$ 35,187 | 0.76% | \$ 4,051,362 | \$ 40,047 | 0.99% | \$ 3,552,690 | \$ 60,333 | 1.70% |
| Savings | 784,504 | 3,576 | 0.46% | 712,740 | 3,700 | 0.52% | 712,330 | 5,280 | 0.74% |
| Other time | 3,782,727 | 83,999 | 2.22% | 3,633,453 | 101,308 | 2.79% | 3,874,192 | 148,591 | 3.84% |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, short-term FHLB borrowings and other short term borrowings | 539,524 | 1,384 | 0.26% | 1,175,708 | 2,378 | 0.20% | 1,565,381 | 26,858 | 1.72% |
| | 160,312 | 11,461 | 7.15% | 160,312 | 11,630 | 7.25% | 160,312 | 12,469 | 7.78% |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|------------|--------|
| Junior subordinated debt securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term FHLB borrowings | 111,547 | 6,013 | 5.38% | 290,582 | 11,452 | 3.93% | 278,845 | 11,046 | 3.95% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities and expense | 10,027,849 | 141,620 | 1.41% | 10,024,157 | 170,515 | 1.70% | 10,143,750 | 264,577 | 2.61% |
| Demand deposits - noninterest bearing | 1,890,979 | | | 1,758,175 | | | 1,664,787 | | |
| Other liabilities | 144,687 | | | 165,722 | | | 167,984 | | |
| Total liabilities | 12,063,515 | | | 11,948,054 | | | 11,976,521 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 1,241,321 | | | 1,255,605 | | | 1,224,280 | | |
| Total | \$ 13,304,836 | | | \$ 13,203,659 | | | \$ 13,200,801 | | |
| Net interest revenue-FTE | | \$ 452,277 | | | \$ 455,235 | | | \$ 451,202 | |
| Net interest margin-FTE | | | 3.70% | | | 3.77% | | | 3.75% |
| Net interest rate spread | | | 3.45% | | | 3.48% | | | 3.34% |
| Interest bearing liabilities to interest earning assets | | | 82.03% | | | 82.99% | | | 84.27% |

- (1) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of approximately \$3,326,000, \$3,302,000 and \$3,293,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (2) Non-accrual loans are included in Loans and leases (net of unearned income).
- (3) Includes taxable equivalent adjustments to interest of approximately \$440,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008 using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (4) Includes taxable equivalent adjustments to interest of approximately \$5,605,000, \$4,767,000 and \$4,368,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.
- (5) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of approximately \$1,764,000, \$1,827,000 and \$2,265,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

Table of Contents

Net interest revenue-FTE decreased 0.7% to \$452.3 million in 2010 from \$455.2 million in 2009, which represented an increase of 0.9% from \$451.2 million in 2008. The slight decrease in net interest revenue-FTE for 2010 compared to 2009 was a result of continued deposit growth, combined with a decrease in net loans and leases, resulting in an increase in short-term investments that had lower average rates earned than the average rates paid on the deposit growth. Overall, the yield on interest earning assets declined 32 basis points to 4.86% in 2010 from 5.18% in 2009, which exceeded the decline of 29 basis points in the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities to 1.41% in 2010 from 1.70% in 2009. The slight increase in net interest revenue-FTE for 2009 compared to 2008 was a result of rates paid on interest bearing liabilities declining at a faster rate than rates earned on interest earning assets. The decline in rates paid on interest bearing liabilities was a result of the increase in low cost demand deposits coupled with the decline in other time deposits and short-term borrowing rates. The declining loan yields experienced by the Company was a result of reduced interest rates with this decline being somewhat offset by the impact of the interest rate floors evident on a portion of the Company's variable rate loans. The effect of the interest rate floors on the Company's variable rate loans is more fully discussed in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Interest Rate Sensitivity.

Interest revenue-FTE decreased 5.1% to \$593.9 million in 2010 from \$625.8 million in 2009, which represented a decrease of 12.6% from \$715.8 million in 2008. The decrease in interest revenue-FTE in 2010 and 2009 was primarily a result of the declining loan yields as interest rates were at historically low levels resulting in an overall decrease in the yield on average interest earning assets of 32 basis points during 2010 and 76 basis points during 2009. Average interest earning assets increased \$145.5 million, or 1.2%, to \$12.2 billion in 2010 and increased \$41.8 million, or 0.4%, to \$12.1 billion in 2009 from \$12.0 billion in 2008. The increase in average interest earning assets during 2010 was primarily a result of the increase in short-term investments, which was attributable to continued deposit growth, combined with a decrease in net loans and leases. The increase in average interest earning assets during 2009 was primarily a result of average loans and leases increasing \$305.2 million to \$9.7 billion, partially offset by the decrease in average loans held for sale during 2009 as the Company sold its remaining portfolio of student loans.

Interest expense decreased 17.0% to \$141.6 million in 2010 from \$170.5 million in 2009, which represented a decrease of 35.6% from \$264.6 million in 2008. The decrease in interest expense during 2010 was a result of the increase in lower cost interest bearing demand deposits combined with the decrease in demand and other time deposit rates, resulting in an overall decrease in the average rate paid of 29 basis points during 2010. The decrease in interest expense during 2009 was a result of the increase in lower cost interest bearing demand deposits combined with the decrease in other time deposits and short-term borrowing rates, resulting in an overall decrease in the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities of 91 basis points. Average interest bearing liabilities remained stable at \$10.0 billion in 2010 and 2009 after decreasing \$119.6 million, or 1.2%, from \$10.1 billion in 2008. The decrease in short-term and long-term borrowings during 2010 was offset by the slightly larger increase in the Company's interest bearing demand, savings and other time deposits, resulting in average interest bearing liabilities that remained flat from 2009 to 2010. The decrease in interest bearing liabilities in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily a result of the decrease in short-term borrowings, partially offset by the increase in lower cost interest bearing demand deposits.

Net interest margin-FTE for 2010 was 3.70%, a decrease of seven basis points from 3.77% for 2009, which represented an increase of two basis points from 3.75% for 2008. The decrease in the net interest margin-FTE during 2010 was primarily a result of the higher level of average nonaccrual loans and the reversal of current year interest for loans placed on nonaccrual status or charged off during 2010 as compared to 2009. The higher level of average nonaccrual loans and the reversal of current year interest for loans placed on nonaccrual status or charged off during 2010 decreased net interest margin-FTE by 14 basis points in 2010. Also, the combination of increased deposits and weak loan demand resulted in higher levels of short-term investments with relatively low yields. Net interest margin-FTE remained relatively stable for 2008 and 2009, as the Company was able to mitigate the effect of lower loan yields by increasing lower cost demand deposits and decreasing higher rate time deposits. The Company was also able to maintain stability in the loan portfolio from 2008 to 2009 by replacing loan runoff with modest new loan production.

Net interest revenue-FTE may also be analyzed by segregating the rate and volume components of interest revenue and interest expense. The table below presents an analysis of rate and average volume change in net interest revenue from 2009 to 2010 and from 2008 to 2009. Changes that are not solely a result of volume or rate have been allocated to volume.

Table of Contents

| (Taxable equivalent basis) | 2010 over 2009 - Increase (Decrease) | | | 2009 over 2008 - Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| INTEREST REVENUE | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases (net of unearned income) | \$ (5,876) | \$ (14,331) | \$ (20,207) | \$ 16,282 | \$ (89,225) | \$ (72,943) |
| Loans held for sale | (2,025) | 1,084 | (941) | (1,435) | (2,267) | (3,702) |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | (1,111) | (9,568) | (10,679) | (12,466) | 744 | (11,722) |
| Non-taxable | 2,854 | (459) | 2,395 | 710 | 429 | 1,139 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | (1,298) | (1,695) | (2,993) | 1,488 | (2,275) | (787) |
| Non-taxable | 19 | (203) | (184) | (1,394) | 147 | (1,247) |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreement to resell and short-term investments | 835 | (79) | 756 | 68 | (835) | (767) |
| Total increase (decrease) | (6,602) | (25,251) | (31,853) | 3,253 | (93,282) | (90,029) |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits interest bearing | 4,525 | (9,385) | (4,860) | 4,929 | (25,215) | (20,286) |
| Savings deposits | 327 | (451) | (124) | 2 | (1,582) | (1,580) |
| Other time deposits | 3,315 | (20,624) | (17,309) | (6,712) | (40,571) | (47,283) |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, short-term FHLB borrowings and other short term borrowings | (1,632) | 638 | (994) | (788) | (23,692) | (24,480) |
| Junior subordinated debt securities | | (169) | (169) | | (839) | (839) |
| Long-term FHLB borrowings | (9,651) | 4,212 | (5,439) | 463 | (57) | 406 |
| Total increase (decrease) | (3,116) | (25,779) | (28,895) | (2,106) | (91,956) | (94,062) |
| Total net increase (decrease) | \$ (3,486) | \$ 528 | \$ (2,958) | \$ 5,359 | \$ (1,326) | \$ 4,033 |

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between the maturity or repricing opportunities of interest sensitive assets and interest sensitive liabilities for a given period of time. A prime objective of asset/liability management is to maximize net interest margin while maintaining a reasonable mix of interest sensitive assets and liabilities. The following table presents the Company's interest rate sensitivity at December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | Interest Rate Sensitivity - Maturing or Repricing | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 0 to 90 Days | 91 Days to One Year | Over One Year to Five Years | Over Five Years |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| INTEREST EARNING ASSETS: | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | \$ 172,170 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell | 150,000 | | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 79,007 | 172,944 | 1,034,650 | 326,418 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 47,473 | 108,603 | 266,605 | 673,381 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | 4,691,770 | 1,754,845 | 2,636,483 | 250,009 |
| Loans held for sale | 42,872 | 1,137 | 6,676 | 43,012 |
| Total interest earning assets | 5,183,292 | 2,037,529 | 3,944,414 | 1,292,820 |
| INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand and savings deposits | 5,794,552 | | | |
| Other time deposits | 634,860 | 1,750,168 | 1,249,058 | 1,238 |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, short-term FHLB borrowings and other short-term borrowings | 440,593 | 2,727 | | |
| Long-term FHLB borrowings and junior subordinated debt securities | | | 55,000 | 215,312 |
| Other | 1 | | 79 | |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 6,870,006 | 1,752,895 | 1,304,137 | 216,550 |
| Interest rate sensitivity gap | \$ (1,686,714) | \$ 284,634 | \$ 2,640,277 | \$ 1,076,270 |
| Cumulative interest sensitivity gap | \$ (1,686,714) | \$ (1,402,080) | \$ 1,238,197 | \$ 2,314,467 |

In the event interest rates decline after 2010, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, it is likely that the Company would experience slightly increased net interest revenue in the following one-year period, as the cost of funds would decrease at a more rapid rate than interest revenue on interest earning assets. Conversely, in the event interest rates increase after 2010, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, the Company would likely experience decreased net interest revenue in the following one-year period. It should be noted that the balances shown in the table above are at December 31, 2010 and may not be reflective of positions at other times during the year or in subsequent periods. Allocations to specific interest rate sensitivity periods are based on the earlier of maturity or repricing dates. The increased liability sensitivity in the 0 to 90 day category was primarily a result of the Company's utilization of core deposit growth, particularly in short-term demand deposits, to repay borrowings and to fund asset growth during 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$2.5 billion in variable rate loans with interest rates determined by a floor, or minimum rate. This portion of the loan portfolio had an average interest rate earned of 4.54%, an average maturity of 26 months and a fully-indexed interest rate of 3.77% at December 31, 2010. The fully-indexed interest rate is the interest rate that these loans would be earning without the effect of interest rate floors. While the Bank benefits from interest rate floors in the current interest rate environment, loans currently earning their floored interest rate may not experience an immediate impact on the interest rate earned should key indices rise. Key indices include, but are

not limited to, the Wall Street Journal prime rate, the Bank's prime rate and the London Interbank Offering Rate. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$1.2 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$96 million in variable rate loans with interest rates tied to the Bank's prime rate, the Wall Street Journal prime rate and the London Interbank Offering Rate, respectively. The Bank's net interest margin may be negatively impacted by the timing and magnitude of a rise in key indices.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk Management**

Interest rate risk refers to the potential changes in net interest income and Economic Value of Equity (EVE) resulting from adverse movements in interest rates. EVE is defined as the net present value of the balance sheet's cash flow. EVE is calculated by discounting projected principal and interest cash flows under the current interest rate environment. The present value of asset cash flows less the present value of liability cash flows derives the net present value of the Company's balance sheet. The Company's Asset / Liability Committee utilizes financial simulation models to measure interest rate exposure. These models are designed to simulate the cash flow and accrual characteristics of the Company's balance sheet. In addition, the models incorporate assumptions about the direction and volatility of interest rates, the slope of the yield curve, and the changing composition of the Company's balance sheet arising from both strategic plans and customer behavior. Finally, management makes assumptions regarding loan and deposit growth, pricing, and prepayment speeds.

The sensitivity analysis included below delineates the percentage change in net interest income and EVE derived from instantaneous parallel rate shifts of plus and minus 200 and 100 basis points. The impact of minus 200 and 100 basis point rate shocks as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was not considered meaningful because of the historically low interest rate environment. Variances were calculated from the base case scenario, which reflected current market rates. Management of the Company assumed all non-maturity deposits have an average life of one day for calculating EVE, which management believes is the most conservative approach.

| Rate Shock | Net Interest Income % Variance from Base Case Scenario | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| | +200 basis points | -7.4% |
| +100 basis points | -4.1% | -2.5% |
| -100 basis points | NM | NM |
| -200 basis points | NM | NM |

NM=not meaningful

| Rate Shock | Economic Value of Equity % Variance from Base Case Scenario | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| | +200 basis points | -15.1% |
| +100 basis points | -8.1% | -4.8% |
| -100 basis points | NM | NM |
| -200 basis points | NM | NM |

NM=not meaningful

In addition to instantaneous rate shocks, the Company monitors interest rate exposure through simulations of gradual interest rate changes over a 12-month time horizon. The results of these analyses are included in the following table.

| Rate Ramp | Net Interest Income % Variance from Base Case Scenario | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| | +200 basis points | -6.1% |
| -200 basis points | NM | NM |

NM=not meaningful

Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses

In the normal course of business, the Bank assumes risks in extending credit. The Bank manages these risks through underwriting in accordance with its lending policies, loan review procedures and the diversification of its loan and lease portfolio. Although it is not possible to predict credit losses with certainty, management regularly reviews the characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio to determine its overall risk profile and quality.

The provision for credit losses is the periodic cost of providing an allowance or reserve for estimated probable losses on loans and leases. The Bank's Board of Directors has appointed a loan loss reserve valuation committee (the Loan Loss Committee), which bases its estimates of credit losses on three primary components:

Table of Contents

(1) estimates of inherent losses that may exist in various segments of performing loans and leases; (2) specifically identified losses in individually analyzed credits; and (3) qualitative factors that may impact the performance of the loan and lease portfolio. Factors such as financial condition of the borrower and guarantor, recent credit performance, delinquency, liquidity, cash flows, collateral type and value are used to assess credit risk. Expected loss estimates are influenced by the historical losses experienced by the Bank for loans and leases of comparable creditworthiness and structure. Specific loss assessments are performed for loans and leases of significant size and delinquency based upon the collateral protection and expected future cash flows to determine the amount of impairment under FASB ASC 310, Receivables (FASB ASC 310). In addition, qualitative factors such as changes in economic and business conditions, concentrations of risk, loan and lease growth, acquisitions and changes in portfolio risk resulting from regulatory changes are considered in determining the adequacy of the level of the allowance for credit losses.

Attention is paid to the quality of the loan and lease portfolio through a formal loan review process. An independent loan review department of the Bank is responsible for reviewing the credit rating and classification of individual credits and assessing trends in the portfolio, adherence to internal credit policies and procedures and other factors that may affect the overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee is responsible for ensuring that the allowance for credit losses provides coverage of both known and inherent losses. The Loan Loss Committee meets at least quarterly to determine the amount of adjustments to the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee is composed of senior management from the Bank's loan administration and finance departments. In 2010, the Bank established a real estate risk management group and an Impairment Committee. The real estate risk management group oversees compliance with regulations and U.S. GAAP related to lending activities where real estate is the primary collateral. The Impairment Committee is responsible for evaluating loans that have been specifically identified through various channels, including examination of the Bank's watch list, past due listings, findings of the internal loan review department, loan officer assessments and loans to borrowers or industries known to be experiencing problems. For all loans identified, the responsible loan officer in conjunction with his credit administrator is required to prepare an impairment analysis to be reviewed by the Impairment Committee. The Impairment Committee deems that a loan is impaired if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the contractual principal and interest on the loan. The Impairment Committee also evaluates the circumstances surrounding the loan in order to determine if the loan officer used the most appropriate method for assessing the impairment of the loan (i.e., present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price or fair value of the underlying collateral). The Impairment Committee meets on a monthly basis.

Loans of \$200,000 or more that become 60 or more days past due are identified for review by the Impairment Committee, which decides whether an impairment exists and to what extent a specific allowance for loss should be made. Loans that do not meet these requirements may also be identified by management for impairment review. Loans subject to such review are evaluated as to collateral dependency, current collateral value, guarantor or other financial support and likely disposition. Each such loan is individually evaluated for impairment. The impairment evaluation of real estate loans generally focuses on the fair value of underlying collateral obtained from appraisals, as the repayment of these loans may be dependent on the liquidation of the collateral. In certain circumstances, other information such as comparable sales data is deemed to be a more reliable indicator of fair value of the underlying collateral than the most recent appraisal. In these instances, such information is used in determining the impairment recorded for the loan. As the repayment of commercial and industrial loans is generally dependent upon the cash flow of the borrower or guarantor support, the impairment evaluation generally focuses on the discounted future cash flows of the borrower or guarantor support, as well as the projected liquidation of any pledged collateral. The Impairment Committee reviews the results of each evaluation and approves the final impairment amounts, which are then included in the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses in accordance with FASB ASC 310. Loans identified for impairment are placed in non-accrual status.

The Company's policy is to obtain an appraisal at the time of loan origination for real estate collateral securing a loan of \$250,000 or more, consistent with regulatory guidelines. The Company's policy is to obtain an updated appraisal when certain events occur, such as the refinancing of the debt, the renewal of the debt or events that indicate potential impairment. A new appraisal is generally ordered for loans greater than \$200,000 that have characteristics of potential impairment, such as delinquency or other loan-specific factors identified by management, when a current

appraisal (dated within the prior 12 months) is not available or when a current appraisal uses assumptions that are not consistent with the expected disposition of the loan collateral. In order to measure impairment properly at the time that a loan is deemed to be impaired, a staff appraiser may estimate the collateral fair value based upon earlier appraisals, sales contracts, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, officer

Table of Contents

estimates or current market conditions until a new appraisal is received. This estimate can be used to determine the extent of the impairment on the loan. After a loan is deemed to be impaired, it is management's policy to obtain an updated appraisal on at least an annual basis. Management performs a review of the pertinent facts and circumstances of each impaired loan on a monthly basis. As of each review date, management considers whether additional impairment should be recorded based on recent activity related to the loan-specific collateral as well as other relevant comparable assets. Any adjustment to reflect further impairments, either as a result of management's periodic review or as a result of an updated appraisal, are made through recording additional loan loss provisions or charge-offs.

At December 31, 2010, impaired loans totaled \$273.4 million, which was net of cumulative charge-offs of \$72.0 million. Additionally, the Company had specific reserves of \$40.7 million included in the allowance for credit losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2010 were primarily from the Company's consumer real estate or residential construction, acquisition and development real estate portfolios. The loans were evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral securing the loan. As part of the impairment review process, appraisals are used to determine the property values. The appraised values that are used are generally based on the disposition value of the property, which assumes Bank ownership of the property as-is and a 180-day marketing period. If a current appraisal or one with an inspection date within the past 12 months using the necessary assumptions is not available, a new third-party appraisal is ordered. In cases where an impairment exists and a current appraisal is not available at the time of review, a staff appraiser may determine an estimated value based upon earlier appraisals, the sales contract, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, comparable appraisals, officer estimates or current market conditions until a new appraisal is received. After a new appraisal is received, the value used in the review will be updated and any adjustments to reflect further impairments are made. Appraisals are obtained from state-certified appraisers based on certain assumptions which may include foreclosure status, bank ownership, other real estate owned marketing period of 180 days, costs to sell, construction or development status and the highest and best use of the property. A staff appraiser may make adjustments to appraisals based on sales contracts, comparable sales and other pertinent information if an appraisal does not incorporate the effect of these assumptions.

When a guarantor is relied upon as a source of repayment, it is the Company's policy to analyze the strength of the guaranty. This analysis varies based on circumstances, but may include a review of the guarantor's personal and business financial statements and credit history, a review of the guarantor's tax returns and the preparation of a cash flow analysis of the guarantor. Management will continue to update its analysis on individual guarantors as circumstances change. Because of the continued weakness in the economy, subsequent analyses may result in the identification of the inability of some guarantors to perform under the agreed upon terms.

Any loan or portion thereof which is classified as loss by regulatory examiners or which is determined by management to be uncollectible, because of factors such as the borrower's failure to pay interest or principal, the borrower's financial condition, economic conditions in the borrower's industry or the inadequacy of underlying collateral, is charged off.

An analysis of the allowance for credit losses for the five years ended December 31, 2010 is provided in the following table:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 176,043 | \$ 132,793 | \$ 115,197 | \$ 98,834 | \$ 101,500 |
| Loans and leases charged off: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | (11,879) | (9,534) | (7,124) | (2,656) | (2,008) |
| Consumer mortgages | (25,639) | (13,917) | (8,161) | (4,801) | (3,370) |
| Home equity | (5,215) | (5,372) | (1,307) | (537) | (361) |
| Agricultural | (1,201) | (848) | (381) | (45) | (217) |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | (9,200) | (4,033) | (1,970) | (1,126) | (3,099) |
| Construction, acquisition and development | (113,237) | (32,638) | (15,332) | (818) | |
| Commercial | (14,084) | (3,584) | (814) | (465) | (1,743) |
| Credit cards | (4,559) | (4,770) | (3,636) | (2,979) | (2,189) |
| All other | (6,008) | (3,517) | (3,342) | (3,414) | (3,116) |
| Total loans and leases charged off | (191,022) | (78,213) | (42,067) | (16,841) | (16,103) |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | 1,330 | 761 | 1,134 | 997 | 1,801 |
| Consumer mortgages | 1,448 | 824 | 532 | 836 | 496 |
| Home equity | 179 | 109 | 30 | 117 | 3 |
| Agricultural | 12 | 2 | | 29 | |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 399 | 297 | 75 | 261 | 89 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 1,706 | 128 | 263 | 27 | 4 |
| Commercial | 845 | 189 | 23 | 126 | 66 |
| Credit cards | 829 | 617 | 319 | 282 | 347 |
| All other | 1,128 | 1,212 | 1,537 | 1,680 | 2,054 |
| Total recoveries | 7,876 | 4,139 | 3,913 | 4,355 | 4,860 |
| Net charge-offs | (183,146) | (74,074) | (38,154) | (12,486) | (11,243) |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 204,016 | 117,324 | 56,176 | 22,696 | 8,577 |
| Other, net | | | (426) | 6,153 | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 196,913 | \$ 176,043 | \$ 132,793 | \$ 115,197 | \$ 98,834 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | average | \$ 9,621,529 | \$ 9,734,580 | \$ 9,429,963 | \$ 8,784,940 | \$ 7,579,935 |
|--|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | period end | \$ 9,333,107 | \$ 9,775,136 | \$ 9,691,277 | \$ 9,179,684 | \$ 7,871,471 |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

RATIOS

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases | 1.90% | 0.76% | 0.40% | 0.14% | 0.15% |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Provision for credit losses to average loans and leases, net of unearned income | 2.12% | 1.21% | 0.60% | 0.26% | 0.11% |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Allowance for credit losses to loans and leases, net of unearned income | 2.11% | 1.80% | 1.37% | 1.25% | 1.26% |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

The increase in the provision for credit losses in 2010 compared to 2009, as well as the increase in 2009 compared to 2008, was primarily a result of the increased credit risk experienced by the Company, as the length and severity of the recession, as well as the lackluster prevailing economic environment, affected the liquidity of the Company's borrowers. Increases in net charge-offs during 2010 along with a significant increase in NPLs resulted in a provision for credit losses of \$204.0 million in 2010 compared to a provision of \$117.3 million in 2009. Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases increased in 2010 when compared to 2009 and in 2009

Table of Contents

when compared to 2008, primarily as a result of the Company experiencing increased losses within the real estate construction, acquisition and development segment of the Company's loan portfolio and in its consumer mortgage portfolio. These portfolios experienced increased losses primarily because of the weakened financial condition of the corresponding borrowers and guarantors. These borrowers' weakened state hindered their ability to service their loans with the Company, which caused a number of loans to become collateral dependent. Once it is determined a loan's repayment is dependent upon the underlying collateral, the loan is charged down to net realizable value or a specific reserve is allocated to the loan. This process resulted in an increased level of charge-offs in 2010 and 2009, with a more pronounced increase in 2010. The increased level of charge-offs caused the ratio of the allowance for credit losses to charge-offs to decline below historic levels. As of December 31, 2010, 79% of nonaccrual loans had been charged down to net realizable value or had specific reserves to reflect recent appraised values as of December 31, 2010. This resulted in impaired loans having an aggregate net book value of 67% of their contractual principal balance at December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2009, 89% of nonaccrual loans had been charged down to net realizable value or had specific reserves to reflect recent appraised values as of December 31, 2009. This result in impaired loans having an aggregate net book value of 65% of their contractual principal balance at December 31, 2009.

The breakdown of the allowance by loan and lease category is based, in part, on evaluations of specific loan and lease histories and on economic conditions within specific industries or geographical areas. Accordingly, because all of these conditions are subject to change, the allocation is not necessarily indicative of the breakdown of any future allowance for losses. The following table presents (i) the breakdown of the allowance for credit losses by loan and lease category and (ii) the percentage of each category in the loan and lease portfolio to total loans and leases at the dates indicated:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | Allowance for Credit Loss | % of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Allowance for Credit Loss | % of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Allowance for Credit Loss | % of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial Real estate | \$ 22,479 | 16.0% | \$ 21,154 | 15.1% | \$ 19,150 | 14.7% |
| Consumer mortgages | 37,347 | 21.1 | 37,048 | 20.5 | 31,158 | 21.5 |
| Home equity | 7,305 | 5.8 | 7,218 | 5.6 | 5,689 | 5.3 |
| Agricultural | 4,997 | 2.7 | 4,192 | 2.7 | 3,167 | 2.4 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 20,403 | 14.2 | 22,989 | 14.7 | 17,982 | 15.0 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 57,241 | 12.3 | 46,193 | 14.9 | 29,771 | 17.4 |
| Commercial | 33,439 | 19.4 | 26,694 | 18.4 | 17,899 | 16.1 |
| Credit cards | 4,126 | 1.1 | 3,481 | 1.1 | 1,572 | 1.0 |
| All other | 9,576 | 7.4 | 7,074 | 7.0 | 6,405 | 6.6 |
| Total | \$ 196,913 | 100.0% | \$ 176,043 | 100.0% | \$ 132,793 | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

| | 2007 | % of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | 2006 | % of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans |
|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | Allowance for Credit Loss | | Allowance for Credit Loss | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 17,764 | 15.0% | \$ 14,257 | 14.4% |
| Real estate | | | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 28,632 | 23.0 | 30,399 | 29.8 |
| Home equity | 4,401 | 4.5 | 3,562 | 4.2 |
| Agricultural | 2,368 | 1.9 | 2,778 | 2.7 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 18,194 | 15.8 | 17,463 | 17.0 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 19,903 | 18.1 | 9,372 | 11.1 |
| Commercial | 14,564 | 12.9 | 13,704 | 13.6 |
| Credit cards | 3,111 | 1.1 | 2,917 | 1.2 |
| All other | 6,260 | 7.7 | 4,382 | 6.0 |
| Total | \$ 115,197 | 100.0% | \$ 98,834 | 100.0% |

Noninterest Revenue

The components of noninterest revenue for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the percentage change between such years are shown in the following table:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|---|------------------------|--------|------------|----------|------------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Mortgage lending | \$ 29,745 | (7.7)% | \$ 32,225 | 1,401.6% | \$ 2,146 |
| Credit card, debit card and merchant fees | 37,663 | 10.0 | 34,244 | 1.5 | 33,743 |
| Service charges | 70,690 | (3.0) | 72,864 | (5.5) | 77,091 |
| Trust income | 11,149 | 15.0 | 9,698 | 3.9 | 9,330 |
| Securities (losses) gains, net | 2,569 | NM | (55) | (99.1) | (5,849) |
| Insurance commissions | 82,172 | 1.5 | 80,937 | (6.6) | 86,661 |
| Annuity fees | 2,474 | (33.5) | 3,721 | (41.5) | 6,363 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 5,512 | 14.8 | 4,803 | (11.6) | 5,434 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 7,737 | (10.2) | 8,614 | 17.4 | 7,338 |
| Other miscellaneous income | 14,433 | (48.9) | 28,225 | 20.9 | 23,350 |
| Total noninterest revenue | \$ 264,144 | (4.0)% | \$ 275,276 | 12.1% | \$ 245,607 |

NM = not meaningful

The Company's revenue from mortgage lending typically fluctuates as mortgage interest rates change and is primarily attributable to two activities—origination and sale of new mortgage loans and servicing mortgage loans.

Since the Company does not hedge the change in fair value of its MSR, mortgage revenue can be significantly affected by changes in the valuation of MSR in changing interest rate environments. The Company's normal practice is to originate mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market and to either retain or release the associated MSR with the loan sold. The Company records MSR at fair value on a recurring basis with subsequent remeasurement of MSR based on change in fair value in accordance with FASB ASC 860. For more information about the Company's treatment of MSR, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Mortgage Servicing Rights of this Report.

Table of Contents

In the course of conducting the Company's mortgage lending activities of originating mortgage loans and selling those loans in the secondary market, various representations and warranties are made to the purchasers of the mortgage loans. These representations and warranties also apply to underwriting the real estate appraisal opinion of value for the collateral securing these loans. Under the representations and warranties, failure by the Company to comply with the underwriting and/or appraisal standards could result in the Company being required to repurchase the mortgage loan or to reimburse the investor for losses incurred (make whole requests) if such failure cannot be cured by the Company within the specified period following discovery. During 2010, eleven mortgage loans totaling \$1.6 million were repurchased or otherwise settled as a result of underwriting and appraisal standard exceptions or make whole requests. Losses of approximately \$314,000 were recognized related to these repurchased and make whole loans.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had reserved approximately \$880,000 for potential losses from representation and warranty obligations. The reserve is based on the Company's repurchase and loss trends, and quantitative and qualitative factors that may result in anticipated losses different than historical loss trends, including loan vintage, underwriting characteristics and macroeconomic trends.

Management believes that the Company's foreclosure process related to mortgage loans continues to operate effectively. A mortgage loan foreclosure committee of the Bank reviews all delinquent loans before beginning the foreclosure process. All documents and activities related to the foreclosure process are executed in-house by mortgage department personnel.

Origination revenue, a component of mortgage lending revenue, is comprised of gains or losses from the sale of the mortgage loans originated, origination fees, underwriting fees and other fees associated with the origination of loans. Mortgage loan origination volumes of \$1.4 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$963.0 million produced origination revenue of \$28.6 million, \$25.7 million and \$8.1 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. While volume decreased slightly in 2010 compared to 2009, better pricing and delivery execution resulted in an increase of 11.2% in mortgage lending revenue. Significantly increased volume and better pricing and delivery execution during 2009 when compared to 2008 contributed to higher mortgage lending revenue during 2009.

Revenue from the servicing process, another component of mortgage lending revenue, includes fees from the actual servicing of loans. Revenue from the servicing of loans was \$11.9 million, \$10.8 million and \$9.7 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Changes in the fair value of the Company's MSR's are generally a result of changes in mortgage interest rates from the previous reporting date. An increase in mortgage interest rates typically results in an increase in the fair value of the MSR's while a decrease in mortgage interest rates typically results in a decrease in the fair value of MSR's. The fair value of MSR's is impacted by principal payments, prepayments and payoffs on loans in the servicing portfolio. Decreases in value from principal payments, prepayments and payoffs were \$6.8 million, \$6.7 million and \$5.2 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008. The Company continued to see a large number of refinancing in 2010 because of the low interest rate environment. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of its MSR's and is susceptible to significant fluctuations in their value in changing interest rate environments. Reflecting this sensitivity to interest rates, the fair value of MSR's decreased \$4.0 million in 2010, increased \$2.4 million in 2009 and decreased \$10.5 million in 2008, respectively.

The following table presents the Company's mortgage lending operations for 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| | Amount | % Change | Amount | % Change | Amount |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Production revenue: | | | | | |
| Origination | \$ 28,635 | 11.2% | \$ 25,746 | 216.0% | \$ 8,148 |
| Servicing | 11,920 | 10.5 | 10,783 | 10.8 | 9,734 |
| Payoffs/Paydowns | (6,781) | (1.1) | (6,706) | (28.0) | (5,239) |
| Total | 33,774 | 13.2 | 29,823 | 135.9 | 12,643 |
| Market value adjustment | (4,029) | NM | 2,402 | NM | (10,497) |
| Mortgage lending revenue | \$ 29,745 | (7.7) | \$ 32,225 | 1,401.6 | \$ 2,146 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Origination volume | \$ 1,440 | (6.6) | \$ 1,542 | 60.1 | \$ 963 |
| Mortgage loans serviced at year-end | \$ 3,871 | 11.6 | \$ 3,469 | 13.1 | \$ 3,068 |

NM =not meaningful

Credit card, debit card and merchant fees increased in 2010 when compared to 2009 as a result of an increase in the number and monetary volume of items processed but remained relatively stable in 2009 when compared to 2008. If the Durbin debit interchange amendment in the Dodd-Frank Act is implemented on July 21, 2011 by the Federal Reserve as currently stated, the Company estimates that debit card revenue would be reduced in 2011 by approximately \$10.2 million and would be reduced in 2012 by more than \$20.0 million. Service charges on deposit accounts, which include insufficient fund fees, decreased in 2010 when compared to 2009 and in 2009 when compared to 2008 as a result of a lower volume of items processed. Recent changes in banking regulations, and in particular the Federal Reserve's new rules pertaining to certain overdraft payments on consumer accounts, are estimated to decrease service charge revenue by \$9.0 million in 2011. The Company has taken steps to mitigate the impact of these new regulations on the Company's service charge revenue by offering new deposit products to customers. Trust income increased in 2010 when compared to 2009 primarily as a result of increases in the value of assets under management or in custody as revenue is earned on assets under management.

Net securities gains of \$2.6 million were recorded in 2010, while net securities losses of approximately \$55,000 and \$5.8 million were recorded in 2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts reflected the sales and calls of securities from the available-for-sale portfolio and held-to-maturity portfolio. Any sales of held-to-maturity securities occurred within three months of maturity and were so near maturity that management believed changes in interest rates would not have a significant impact on fair value. The security activity included other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$2.1 million, approximately \$250,000 and \$8.6 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The other-than-temporary impairment charges related to the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities. The fair value of these securities was negatively impacted by prevailing market conditions. Subsequent to the other-than-temporary charges in 2010, 2009 and 2008, the pooled trust preferred securities had no remaining book value.

Insurance commissions remained relatively stable in 2010 compared to 2009 but decreased in 2009 when compared to 2008 with the decrease primarily attributable to lower insurance premiums resulting in reduced commissions paid by the underwriters. Annuity fees decreased in 2010 and 2009 as a result of the prevailing interest

rate environment.

Brokerage commissions and fees increased in 2010 because activity increased during the first six months of 2010 as the financial markets recovered somewhat and decreased in 2009 as a result of the lower volume of transactions and the reduction in market values coupled with a customer shift from equity into fixed income investments which have a lower commission scale. Bank-owned life insurance revenue decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of the Company recording life insurance proceeds of \$1.4 million net of cash surrender value during 2009.

Other miscellaneous income includes safe deposit box rental income, gain or loss on disposal of assets, and other non-recurring revenue items. Other miscellaneous income decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased in 2009 compared to 2008 as other miscellaneous income in 2009 included various non-recurring items. The 2009 other miscellaneous income non-recurring items included interest on tax refunds of \$2.8 million, a gain of \$3.7

Table of Contents

million from the sale of the Company's remaining student loans as the Company is no longer originating and selling student loans, a gain of \$1.8 million on the sale of the Company's remaining shares of MasterCard, Inc. common stock, and an insurance recovery of \$1.3 million related to a casualty loss. Other miscellaneous income for 2008 included some non-recurring items such as a gain of \$2.8 million related to the sale of shares of Visa Inc. common stock in connection with its initial public offering, a gain of approximately \$704,000 from the sale of student loans and a gain of \$2.6 million related to the sale of shares of MasterCard, Inc. common stock. The Company owned 103,193 shares of Visa Inc. class B common stock at December 31, 2010.

Noninterest Expense

The components of noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the percentage change between years are shown in the following table:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Amount | % Change | Amount | % Change | Amount |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 271,688 | (2.5)% | \$ 278,734 | 2.6% | \$ 271,556 |
| Occupancy, net | 43,008 | 2.1 | 42,108 | 5.7 | 39,846 |
| Equipment | 22,598 | (3.9) | 23,508 | (6.8) | 25,211 |
| Deposit insurance assessments | 19,259 | (2.1) | 19,672 | 589.8 | 2,852 |
| Advertising | 5,354 | (16.0) | 6,377 | (16.5) | 7,640 |
| Foreclosed property expense | 18,355 | 35.0 | 13,599 | 175.5 | 4,936 |
| Telecommunications | 9,466 | 6.9 | 8,854 | 2.1 | 8,672 |
| Public relations | 6,088 | 3.2 | 5,900 | (9.0) | 6,483 |
| Data Processing | 6,068 | (1.7) | 6,175 | 13.0 | 5,467 |
| Computer software | 7,334 | 1.0 | 7,260 | 2.5 | 7,082 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 3,909 | (21.1) | 4,957 | (16.4) | 5,927 |
| Legal fees | 6,240 | 5.2 | 5,932 | 15.3 | 5,147 |
| Postage and shipping | 5,044 | 2.1 | 4,939 | (6.7) | 5,293 |
| Other miscellaneous expense | 62,622 | 1.0 | 62,002 | 3.7 | 59,801 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 487,033 | (0.6)% | \$ 490,017 | 7.5% | \$ 455,913 |

Salaries and employee benefits decreased slightly in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily because the Company employed fewer people during 2010, combined with a decrease in the amounts accrued under the Company's incentive plans. Salaries and employee benefits expense increased slightly in 2009 as a result of increases in the cost of employee health care benefits and pension expenses, as well as costs associated with the hiring of employees to staff the new banking locations added during 2009. Pension plan costs, a component of salaries and employee benefits expense, decreased in 2010 to \$3.7 million after increasing in 2009 to \$8.1 million from \$3.9 million in 2008. Occupancy expense increased in 2010, 2009 and 2008, principally as a result of additional branch offices, bank buildings, insurance agencies and facilities opened during those years.

Equipment expense decreased in 2010 when compared to 2009 and in 2009 when compared to 2008 as a result of a decrease in depreciation expense coupled with the Company's continued focus on controlling such expenses. The decrease in deposit insurance assessments in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily a result of the special FDIC assessment during the second quarter of 2009 with no special assessment during 2010, offset somewhat by deposit growth and a slightly higher assessment rate. The Company was assessed a special FDIC assessment of \$6.1 million during the second quarter of 2009. This special FDIC assessment, along with increased regular premiums for 2009 and credits used to partially offset 2008 premiums, contributed to the increase in deposit insurance assessments in 2009 compared to 2008.

Foreclosed property expense increased in 2010 and 2009 as the Company experienced larger losses on the sale and writedown of other real estate owned as a result of the decline in property values attributable to the prevailing economic environment. During 2010, the Company added \$129.8 million to other real estate owned through foreclosure. Sales of other real estate owned in 2010 were \$45.2 million resulting in a net loss on sale of other real estate owned of \$3.8 million. The components of foreclosed property expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the percentage change between years are shown in the following table:

40

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| | Amount | % Change | Amount | % Change | Amount |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | \$ 3,762 | 20.9% | \$ 3,111 | 124.3% | \$ 1,387 |
| Writedown of other real estate owned | 10,432 | 35.1 | 7,720 | 319.1 | 1,842 |
| Other foreclosed property expense | 4,161 | 50.3 | 2,768 | 62.2 | 1,707 |
| Total foreclosed property expense | \$ 18,355 | 35.0% | \$ 13,599 | 175.5% | \$ 4,936 |

While the Company experienced some fluctuations in various other components of other noninterest expense including advertising, telecommunications, legal fees, data processing, amortization of intangibles and legal fees, total other noninterest expense remained relatively consistent when comparing 2010, 2009 and 2008. Included in noninterest expense in 2009 was a \$2.4 million in litigation contingencies primarily related to the adverse resolution of a legal matter. Included in noninterest expense in 2008 was a \$1.1 million reversal of a portion of the \$2.3 million litigation expense reported in 2007 related to the Company's guarantee of Visa Inc.'s projected obligations for certain litigation matters during the first quarter of 2008, as well as the \$1.1 million reversal of a portion of a previously recorded litigation contingency as a result of a favorable court ruling during the second quarter of 2008.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.7 million in 2010 compared to an income tax expense of \$30.1 million in 2009 and \$53.9 million in 2008. The income tax benefit in 2010 was a result of the decrease in taxable income in 2010 compared to 2009, while tax preference items, such as tax-exempt interest income, remained relatively consistent with prior years. The decrease in the income tax expense in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily a result of a decrease in the level of pre-tax income, which decreased 35.3% in 2009 compared to 2008.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

The percentage of earning assets to total assets measures the effectiveness of management's efforts to invest available funds into the most efficient and profitable uses. Earning assets at December 31, 2010 were \$12.5 billion, or 91.5% of total assets, compared with \$11.9 billion, or 90.7% of total assets, at December 31, 2009.

Loans and Leases

The Bank's loan and lease portfolio represents the largest single component of the Company's earning asset base, comprising 78.7% of average earning assets during 2010. The Bank's lending activities include both commercial and consumer loans and leases. Loan and lease originations are derived from a number of sources, including direct solicitation by the Bank's loan officers, existing depositors and borrowers, builders, attorneys, walk-in customers and, in some instances, other lenders, real estate broker referrals and mortgage loan companies. The Bank has established systematic procedures for approving and monitoring loans and leases that vary depending on the size and nature of the loan or lease, and applies these procedures in a disciplined manner. The Company's loans and leases are widely diversified by borrower and industry. Loans and leases, net of unearned income, totaled \$9.3 billion at December 31, 2010, representing a 4.5% decrease from \$9.8 billion at December 31, 2009. The decrease in loans and leases, net of unearned income, was primarily a result of continued low loan demand in the markets served by the Company; however, the Company was able to replace some loan runoff with new loan production, particularly out of its east Texas and Louisiana markets.

The following table shows the composition of the Company's gross loans and leases by collateral type at December 31 for the years indicated:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | December 31, 2008 (In thousands) | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 1,505,471 | \$ 1,484,011 | \$ 1,433,690 | \$ 1,387,548 | \$ 1,136,495 |
| Consumer mortgages | 1,978,145 | 2,017,067 | 2,096,568 | 2,118,641 | 2,358,861 |
| Home equity | 543,272 | 550,085 | 511,480 | 411,346 | 332,033 |
| Agricultural | 252,292 | 262,069 | 234,024 | 173,575 | 213,085 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 1,331,473 | 1,449,554 | 1,465,027 | 1,453,158 | 1,343,412 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 1,148,161 | 1,459,503 | 1,689,719 | 1,671,359 | 875,218 |
| Commercial | 1,816,951 | 1,806,766 | 1,568,956 | 1,192,353 | 1,082,882 |
| Credit cards | 106,345 | 108,086 | 93,650 | 104,037 | 98,249 |
| All other | 694,241 | 685,845 | 647,753 | 715,478 | 477,288 |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ 9,376,351 | \$ 9,822,986 | \$ 9,740,867 | \$ 9,227,495 | \$ 7,917,523 |

The following table shows the Company's net loans and leases by collateral type as of December 31, 2010 by geographical location:

| | Alabama and Florida Panhandle | Arkansas | Mississippi | Missouri | Tennessee* | Texas and Louisiana | Other | Total |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 78,249 | \$ 194,324 | \$ 310,101 | \$ 88,494 | \$ 120,206 | \$ 273,747 | \$ 426,062 | \$ 1,491,183 |
| Consumer mortgages | 123,313 | 275,873 | 785,321 | 66,829 | 259,717 | 388,085 | 79,007 | 1,978,145 |
| Home equity | 68,188 | 44,804 | 179,074 | 32,818 | 155,975 | 59,962 | 2,451 | 543,272 |
| Agricultural | 6,742 | 79,051 | 75,959 | 4,176 | 30,524 | 50,654 | 5,186 | 252,292 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 121,009 | 176,297 | 465,894 | 69,541 | 212,835 | 225,728 | 60,169 | 1,331,473 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 129,398 | 95,620 | 294,139 | 100,980 | 312,743 | 193,494 | 21,787 | 1,148,161 |
| Commercial | 211,758 | 332,504 | 352,873 | 267,433 | 238,543 | 368,859 | 44,981 | 1,816,951 |
| Credit cards** | | | | | | | 106,345 | 106,345 |
| All other | 16,875 | 37,999 | 76,937 | 1,284 | 64,080 | 27,024 | 441,086 | 665,285 |
| Total | \$ 755,532 | \$ 1,236,472 | \$ 2,540,298 | \$ 631,555 | \$ 1,394,623 | \$ 1,587,553 | \$ 1,187,074 | \$ 9,333,107 |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

** Credit card receivables are spread across all geographic regions but are not viewed by the Company's management as part of the geographic breakdown.

Commercial and Industrial Commercial and industrial loans are loans and leases to finance business operations, equipment and owner-occupied facilities primarily for small and medium-sized enterprises. These include both lines of credit for terms of one year or less and term loans which are amortized over the useful life of the assets financed. Personal guarantees are generally required for these loans. Also included in this category are loans to finance agricultural production and business credit card lines. Commercial and industrial loans outstanding increased modestly during 2010.

Real Estate Consumer Mortgages Consumer mortgages are first- or second-lien loans to consumers secured by a primary residence or second home. These loans are generally amortized over terms up to 15 or 20 years with maturities of three to five years. The loans are secured by properties located generally within the local market area of the community bank which originates and services the loan. These loans are underwritten in accordance with the Bank's general loan policies and procedures which require, among other things, proper documentation of each borrower's financial condition, satisfactory credit history and property value. Consumer mortgages outstanding continued to decline during 2010, as the housing sector slowed and lower long-term

Table of Contents

mortgage rates were available. In addition to loans originated through the Bank's branches, the Bank originates and services consumer mortgages sold in the secondary market which are underwritten and closed pursuant to investor and agency guidelines. The Bank's exposure to sub-prime mortgages is minimal.

Real Estate - Home Equity Home equity loans include revolving credit lines which are secured by a first or second lien on a borrower's residence. Each loan is underwritten individually by lenders who specialize in home equity lending and must conform to Bank lending policies and procedures for consumer loans as to borrower's financial condition, ability to repay, satisfactory credit history and the condition and value of collateral. Properties securing home equity loans are located in the local market originating and servicing the loan. The Bank has not purchased home equity loans from brokers or other lending institutions.

Real Estate - Agricultural Agricultural loans include loans to purchase agricultural land and production lines secured by farm land. Agricultural loans outstanding remained stable during 2010.

Real Estate - Commercial and Industrial-Owner Occupied Commercial and industrial-owner occupied loans include loans secured by business facilities to finance business operations, equipment and owner-occupied facilities primarily for small and medium-sized enterprises. These include both lines of credit for terms of one year or less and term loans which are amortized over the useful life of the assets financed. Personal guarantees are generally required for these loans. Commercial and industrial-owner occupied loans decreased 8.1% during 2010 because of the low loan demands in the markets served by the Company.

Real Estate - Construction, Acquisition and Development Construction, acquisition and development loans include both loans and credit lines for the purpose of purchasing, carrying and developing land into commercial developments or residential subdivisions. Also included are loans and lines for construction of residential, multi-family and commercial buildings. These loans are often structured with interest reserves to fund interest costs during the construction and development period. Additionally, certain loans are structured with interest only terms. The Bank primarily engages in construction and development lending only in local markets served by its branches. The weakened economy and housing market has negatively impacted builders and developers in particular. Sales of finished houses slowed during 2009 and activity remained slow during 2010, which has resulted in lower demand for residential lots and development land. The Company curtailed the origination of new construction, acquisition and development loans significantly during 2009 and the Company maintained that strategy during 2010. Construction, acquisition and development loans decreased 21.3% in 2010 and 13.6% in 2009.

The underwriting process for construction, acquisition and development loans with interest reserves is essentially the same as that for a loan without interest reserves and may include analysis of borrower and guarantor financial strength, market demand for the proposed project, experience and success with similar projects, property values, time horizon for project completion and the availability of permanent financing once the project is completed. Construction, acquisition and development loans, with or without interest reserves, are inspected periodically to ensure that the project is on schedule and eligible for requested draws. Inspections may be performed by construction inspectors hired by the Company or by appropriate loan officers and are done periodically to monitor the progress of a particular project. These inspections may also include discussions with project managers and engineers. For performing construction, acquisition and development loans, interest is generally recognized as interest income as it is earned. Non-performing construction, acquisition and development loans are placed on non-accrual status and interest income is not recognized, except in those situations where principal is expected to be received in full. In such situations, interest income is recognized as payment is received.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$52.5 million in construction, acquisition and development loans that provided for the use of interest reserves with \$3.6 million recognized as interest income during 2010. The amount of loans with interest reserves that were on non-accrual status was \$20.1 million at December 31, 2010. Interest income is not being recognized on construction, acquisition and development loans with interest reserves that are in non-accrual status. Loans with interest reserves normally have a budget that includes the various cost components involved in the project. Interest is such a cost, along with hard and other soft costs. The Company's policy is to allow interest reserves only during the construction phase.

So that interest capitalization is appropriate, interest reserves are not included for any renewal period after construction is completed or otherwise ceases, requiring borrowers to make interest payments no less than quarterly.

Loans for which construction is complete, or has ceased, and where interest payments are not made on a timely basis are considered non-performing and are generally placed in nonaccrual status. Procedures are in place to restrict the structuring of a loan with terms that do not require performance until the end of the loan term, as well as to restrict the advancement of funds to keep a loan from becoming non-performing with any such advancement identified as a troubled debt restructuring (TDR).

Table of Contents

On a case-by-case basis, a construction, acquisition and development loan may be extended, renewed or restructured. Loans are sometimes extended for a short period of time (generally 90 days or less) beyond the contractual maturity to facilitate negotiations or allow the borrower to gain other financing or acquire more recent note-related information, such as appraisals or borrower financial statements. These short-term extensions are not ordinarily accounted for as TDRs if the loan and project are performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement and/or promissory note. Construction, acquisition and development loans may be renewed when the borrower has satisfied the terms and conditions of the original loan, including payment of interest, and when management believes that the borrower is able to continue to meet the terms of the renewed note during the renewal period. Many loans are structured to mature consistent with the construction or development period or at least annually. If concessions are granted to a borrower as a result of its financial difficulties, the loan is classified as a TDR and analyzed for impairment.

The Bank's real estate risk management group is responsible for reviewing and approving the structure and classification of all construction, acquisition and development loan renewals and modifications above a threshold of \$500,000. The analysis performed by the real estate risk management group may include the review of updated appraisals, borrower and guarantor financial condition, construction status and proposed loan structure. If the new terms of the loan meet the criteria of a TDR as set out in FASB ASC 310, the loan is identified as such.

Each construction, acquisition and development loan is underwritten to address: (i) the desirability of the project, its market viability and projected absorption period; (ii) the creditworthiness of the borrower and the guarantor as to liquidity, cash flow and assets available to ensure performance of the loan; (iii) equity contribution to the project; (iv) the developer's experience and success with similar projects; and (v) the value of the collateral. Each factor must be acceptable under the Company's lending policy and risk review.

The construction, acquisition and development portfolio may be further categorized by risk characteristics into the following six categories: commercial acquisition and development, residential acquisition and development, multi-family construction, one-to-four family construction, commercial construction and recreation and all other loans. Construction, acquisition and development loans were \$1.1 billion and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The following table shows the Company's construction, acquisition and development portfolio by geographical location at December 31, 2010:

| Real Estate Construction, Acquisition and Development | Alabama and Florida Panhandle | Arkansas | Mississippi | Missouri | Tennessee* | Texas and Louisiana | Other |
|--|--|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Multi-family construction | \$ 1,906 | \$ | \$ 15,431 | \$ 6,898 | \$ 739 | \$ 700 | \$ 2,318 |
| One-to-four family construction | 18,776 | 16,065 | 51,510 | 11,049 | 59,140 | 32,787 | 2,645 |
| Recreation and all other loans | 1,140 | 14,880 | 17,839 | 2,211 | 4,922 | 6,717 | 666 |
| Commercial construction | 11,577 | 7,189 | 49,745 | 23,098 | 52,437 | 23,083 | 6,428 |
| Commercial acquisition and development | 13,044 | 24,176 | 55,016 | 27,453 | 64,616 | 62,900 | 3,453 |
| Residential acquisition and development | 82,955 | 33,310 | 104,598 | 30,271 | 130,889 | 67,307 | 6,277 |
| Total | \$ 129,398 | \$ 95,620 | \$ 294,139 | \$ 100,980 | \$ 312,743 | \$ 193,494 | \$ 21,787 |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

Real Estate Commercial Commercial loans include loans to finance income-producing commercial and multi-family properties. Lending in this category is generally limited to properties located in the Bank's trade area with only limited exposure to properties located elsewhere but owned by in-market borrowers. Loans in this category include loans for neighborhood retail centers, medical and professional offices, single retail stores, warehouses and apartments leased generally to local businesses and residents. The underwriting of these loans takes into consideration the occupancy and rental rates as well as the financial health of the borrower. The Bank's exposure to national retail tenants is minimal. The Bank has not purchased commercial real estate loans from brokers or third-party originators. Real estate-commercial loans remained stable during 2010.

Credit Cards Credit cards include consumer and business MasterCard and Visa accounts and private label accounts for local merchants. The Bank offers credit cards primarily to its deposit and loan customers. Credit card balances remained stable in 2010.

All Other All other loans and leases include consumer installment loans and loans and leases to state, county and municipal governments and non-profit agencies. Consumer installment loans include term loans of up to

Table of Contents

five years secured by automobiles, boats and recreational vehicles. The Bank offers lease financing for vehicles and heavy equipment to state, county and municipal governments and medical equipment to healthcare providers across the southern states. All other loan and lease balances remained stable in 2010.

The maturity distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio is one factor in management's evaluation by collateral type of the risk characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio. The following table shows the maturity distribution of the Bank's loans and leases, net of unearned income, as of December 31, 2010:

| | One Year or Less | One to Five Years (In thousands) | After Five Years |
|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 942,072 | \$ 425,964 | \$ 123,147 |
| Real estate | | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 489,084 | 1,238,588 | 250,473 |
| Home equity | 98,015 | 445,230 | 27 |
| Agricultural | 93,504 | 126,562 | 32,226 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 276,834 | 831,121 | 223,518 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 845,936 | 284,716 | 17,509 |
| Commercial | 333,217 | 1,302,583 | 181,151 |
| Credit cards | 106,345 | | |
| All other | 163,409 | 362,711 | 139,165 |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ 3,348,416 | \$ 5,017,475 | \$ 967,216 |

The interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's loan and lease portfolio is important in the management of net interest margin. The Bank attempts to manage the relationship between the interest rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities to produce an effective interest differential that is not significantly impacted by the level of interest rates. The following table shows the interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's loans and leases net of unearned income due after one year as of December 31, 2010:

| | Fixed Rate (In thousands) | Variable Rate |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Loan and lease portfolio | | |
| Due after one year | \$ 3,428,131 | \$ 2,556,560 |

NPLs consist of non-accrual loans and leases, loans and leases 90 days or more past due, still accruing, and accruing loans and leases that have been restructured (primarily in the form of reduced interest rates and modified payment terms) because of the borrower's or guarantor's weakened financial condition or bankruptcy proceedings. The Bank's policy provides that loans and leases are generally placed in non-accrual status if, in management's opinion, payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan or lease is both well-secured and in the process of collection. The Bank's NPAs consist of NPLs and other real estate owned, which consists of foreclosed properties. The Bank's NPAs, which are carried either in the loan account or other assets on the consolidated balance sheets, depending on foreclosure status, were as follows at the end of each year presented:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans and leases | \$ 347,499 | \$ 144,013 | \$ 28,168 | \$ 9,789 | \$ 6,603 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due, still accruing | 8,500 | 36,301 | 33,373 | 18,671 | 15,282 |
| Restructured loans and leases, but accruing | 38,376 | 6,161 | 2,472 | 721 | 1,571 |
| Total NPLs | 394,375 | 186,475 | 64,013 | 29,181 | 23,456 |
| Other real estate owned | 133,412 | 59,265 | 46,317 | 24,281 | 10,463 |
| Total NPAs | \$ 527,787 | \$ 245,740 | \$ 110,330 | \$ 53,462 | \$ 33,919 |
| NPLs to net loans and leases | 4.23% | 1.91% | 0.66% | 0.32% | 0.30% |
| NPAs to net loans and leases | 5.65% | 2.51% | 1.14% | 0.58% | 0.43% |

NPAs increased significantly in 2010 compared to 2009 and in 2009 compared to 2008. Specifically, NPLs increased 111.5% in 2010 compared to 2009 after increasing 191.3% in 2009 compared to 2008 and other real estate owned increased 125.1% in 2010 compared to 2009 after only increasing 28.0% in 2009 compared to 2008. Included in NPLs at December 31, 2010 were \$273.4 million of loans that were impaired. These impaired loans had a specific reserve of \$40.7 million included in the allowance for credit losses of \$196.9 million at December 31, 2010, and were net of \$72.0 million in partial charge-downs previously taken on these impaired loans. NPLs at December 31, 2009 included \$128.5 million of loans that were impaired and had a specific reserve of \$22.7 million included in the allowance for credit losses of \$176.0 million at December 31, 2009. The significant increase from 2009 to 2010 in restructured loans and leases still accruing reflects the increase in loans which meet the criteria for disclosure as TDRs because payment terms or pricing had been modified by the Company or by orders under bankruptcy proceedings but which demonstrated sufficient performance or collateral to support the remaining principal and accrued interest.

The following table provides additional details related to the Company's NPLs and the allowance for credits losses at December 31 for the years indicated:

Table of Contents

| | December 31, | |
|--|------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans | \$ 345,377 | \$ 161,631 |
| Cumulative charge offs on impaired loans | 71,972 | 33,094 |
| Outstanding balance of impaired loans | 273,405 | 128,537 |
| Other non-accrual loans and leases not impaired | 74,094 | 15,476 |
| Total non-accrual loans and leases | \$ 347,499 | \$ 144,013 |
| Allowance for impaired loans | 40,719 | 22,747 |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases, net of specific reserves | \$ 306,780 | \$ 121,266 |
| Loans and leases 90+ past due, still accruing | 8,500 | 36,301 |
| Restructured loans and leases, still accruing | 38,376 | 6,161 |
| Total non-performing loans and leases | \$ 394,375 | \$ 186,475 |
| Allowance for impaired loans | \$ 40,719 | \$ 22,747 |
| Allowance for all other loans and leases | 156,194 | 153,296 |
| Total allowance for credit losses | \$ 196,913 | \$ 176,043 |
| Outstanding balance of impaired loans | \$ 273,405 | \$ 128,537 |
| Allowance for impaired loans | 40,719 | 22,747 |
| Net book value of impaired loans | \$ 232,686 | \$ 105,790 |
| Net book value of impaired loans as a % of unpaid principal balance | 67% | 65% |
| Coverage of other non-accrual loans and leases not impaired by the allowance for all other loans and leases | 211% | 991% |
| Coverage of non-performing loans and leases not impaired by the allowance for all other loans and leases | 129% | 265% |

The increase in non-accrual loans in 2010 is reflective of the continuing effects of the prevailing economic environment on the Bank's loan portfolio, as a significant portion of the increase in the Bank's NPLs was attributable to problems developing for established customers with real estate related loans, particularly residential construction and development loans, primarily in the Bank's more urban markets. These problems resulted primarily from the decreased liquidity of certain borrowers and third party guarantors, as well as the declines in appraised real estate values for loans which became collateral dependent during 2010 and certain other borrower specific factors. Of the Bank's construction, acquisition and development loans, which totaled \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2010, \$442.1 million represented loans made by the Bank's locations in Alabama and Tennessee, including the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi. Residential acquisition and development loans were the largest component of the Bank's real estate construction, acquisition and development loans and totaled \$455.6 million at December 31, 2010 with 46.9% of those loans made by the Bank's locations in Alabama and Tennessee. These areas have experienced a higher incidence of NPLs, primarily as a result of a severe downturn in the housing market in these regions. Of the Company's total NPLs of \$394.4

Table of Contents

million at December 31, 2010, \$177.9 million, or 45%, were loans made within these markets. These markets continue to be affected by high inventories of unsold homes, unsold lots and undeveloped land intended for use as housing developments. Unlike the Bank's NPL concentrations in Alabama and Tennessee which are being affected by the severe downturn in the housing market, the Missouri markets' NPLs are generally a result of borrowers experiencing financial difficulties, or difficulties with a specific project, rather than problems more associated with product types in specific geographic areas. Missouri's NPLs are represented by fewer and larger individual credits in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios, some of which are participations with other financial institutions that pre-date our acquisition of The Signature Bank in 2007. The following table presents the Company's NPLs by geographical location at December 31, 2010:

| | Outstanding | 90+ Days Past Due still Accruing | Non-accruing Loans (Dollars in thousands) | Restructured Loans, still accruing | NPLs | NPLs as a % of Outstanding |
|---------------------|--------------|---|---|--|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Alabama and Florida | | | | | | |
| Panhandle | \$ 755,532 | \$ 274 | \$ 57,412 | \$ 8,122 | \$ 65,808 | 8.7% |
| Arkansas | 1,236,472 | 334 | 15,171 | 4,500 | 20,005 | 1.6 |
| Mississippi | 2,540,298 | 971 | 46,756 | 5,200 | 52,927 | 2.1 |
| Missouri | 631,555 | 19 | 68,341 | 12,447 | 80,807 | 12.8 |
| Tennessee* | 1,394,623 | 679 | 109,359 | 2,072 | 112,110 | 8.0 |
| Texas and Louisiana | 1,587,553 | 414 | 24,843 | 390 | 25,647 | 1.6 |
| Other | 1,187,074 | 5,809 | 25,617 | 5,645 | 37,071 | 3.1 |
| Total | \$ 9,333,107 | \$ 8,500 | \$ 347,499 | \$ 38,376 | \$ 394,375 | 4.2% |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

The increase in other real estate owned in 2010 reflected the general slow-down in the residential real estate sector in certain of the Bank's markets, resulting in increased foreclosures. The Bank recorded losses from the loans that were secured by these foreclosed properties in the allowance for credit losses at the time of foreclosure.

The ultimate impact of the economic downturn on the Company's financial condition and results of operations will depend on its severity and duration. Continued weakness in the economy could adversely affect the Bank's volume of NPLs. The Bank will continue to remain focused on early identification and resolution of potential credit problems. Loans identified as meeting the criteria set out in FASB ASC 310 are identified as TDRs. The concessions granted most frequently for TDRs involve reductions or delays in required payments of principal and/or interest for a specified time, the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan or the charge-off of a portion of the loan. In most cases, the conditions of the credit also warrant non-accrual status, even after the restructure occurs. TDR loans may be returned to accrual status if there has been at least a six-month sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower. For reporting purposes, if a restructured loan is 90 days or more past due or has been placed in non-accrual status, the restructured loan is included in the loans 90 days or more past due category or the non-accrual loan category of NPAs. Restructured loans of \$83.4 million and \$72.6 million were included in the non-accrual loan category at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The total amount of interest earned on NPLs was approximately \$11.2 million, \$4.1 million, \$495,000, \$385,000 and \$114,000 in 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The gross interest income that would have been recorded under the original terms of those loans and leases if they had been performing amounted to approximately \$21.7 million, \$8.4 million, \$1.8 million, \$964,000 and \$475,000 in 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006,

respectively.

Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310 are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans the Bank considered impaired, which were included in NPLs, totaled \$273.4 million, \$128.5 million, \$25.5 million, \$9.5 million and \$9.1 million at December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, with a valuation allowance of \$40.7 million, \$22.7 million, \$9.1 million, \$4.4 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, the Company did not have any concentration of loans or leases in excess of 10% of total loans and leases outstanding which were not otherwise disclosed as a category of loans or leases. Loan concentrations are considered to exist when there are amounts loaned to multiple borrowers engaged in similar

Table of Contents

activities which would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. The Bank conducts business in a geographically concentrated area and has a significant amount of loans secured by real estate to borrowers in varying activities and businesses, but does not consider these factors alone in identifying loan concentrations. The ability of the Bank's borrowers to repay loans is somewhat dependent upon the economic conditions prevailing in the Bank's market areas.

In the normal course of business, management becomes aware of possible credit problems in which borrowers exhibit potential for the inability to comply with the contractual terms of their loans and leases, but which do not yet meet the criteria for disclosure as NPLs. Historically, some of these loans and leases are ultimately restructured or placed in non-accrual status. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$11.0 million of potential problem loans or leases that were not included in the non-accrual loans and leases or in the loans 90 days or more past due categories, but for which management had concerns as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the contractual terms of their loans and leases.

Collateral for some of the Bank's loans and leases is subject to fair value evaluations that fluctuate with market conditions and other external factors. In addition, while the Bank has certain underwriting obligations related to such evaluations, the evaluations of some real property and other collateral are dependent upon third-party independent appraisers employed either by the Bank's customers or as independent contractors of the Bank. During the current economic cycle, some subsequent fair value appraisals have reported lower values than were originally reported. These declining collateral values could impact future losses and recoveries.

The following table provides additional details related to the make-up of the Company's loan and lease portfolio, net of unearned income, and the distribution of NPLs at December 31, 2010:

| Loans and leases, net of unearned income | Outstanding | 90+ Days Past Due still Accruing | Non-accruing Loans (Dollars in thousands) | Restructured Loans, but accruing | NPLs | NPLs as a % of Outstanding |
|---|---------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,491,183 | \$ 675 | \$ 13,075 | \$ 2,226 | \$ 15,976 | 1.0% |
| Real estate | | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 1,978,145 | 6,521 | 46,496 | 3,317 | 56,334 | 2.8 |
| Home equity | 543,272 | 173 | 811 | 100 | 1,084 | 0.2 |
| Agricultural | 252,292 | 123 | 7,589 | 651 | 8,363 | 3.3 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 1,331,473 | 20 | 20,338 | 7,682 | 28,040 | 2.1 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 1,148,161 | 197 | 199,072 | 2,162 | 201,431 | 17.5 |
| Commercial | 1,816,951 | | 57,766 | 16,370 | 74,136 | 4.1 |
| Credit cards | 106,345 | 330 | 720 | 2,960 | 4,010 | 3.8 |
| All other | 665,285 | 461 | 1,632 | 2,908 | 5,001 | 0.8 |
| Total | \$ 9,333,107 | \$ 8,500 | \$ 347,499 | \$ 38,376 | \$ 394,375 | 4.2% |

The following table provides selected characteristics of the Company's real estate construction, acquisition and development loans at December 31, 2010:

| Real Estate Construction, Acquisition and Development | Outstanding | 90+ Days Past Due still Accruing | Non-accruing Loans | Restructured Loans, but accruing | NPLs | NPL as a % of Outstanding |
|--|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------|------------|----------|------------|-------|
| Multi-family construction | \$ 27,992 | \$ | \$ 12,517 | \$ | \$ 12,517 | 44.7% |
| One-to-four family construction | 191,972 | | 11,319 | 63 | 11,382 | 5.9 |
| Recreation and all other loans | 48,375 | | 481 | | 481 | 1.0 |
| Commercial construction | 173,557 | 195 | 34,710 | | 34,905 | 20.1 |
| Commercial acquisition and development | 250,658 | | 29,658 | 604 | 30,262 | 12.1 |
| Residential acquisition and development | 455,607 | 2 | 110,387 | 1,495 | 111,884 | 24.6 |
| Total | \$ 1,148,161 | \$ 197 | \$ 199,072 | \$ 2,162 | \$ 201,431 | 17.5% |

Table of Contents**Securities**

The Company uses its securities portfolio to make various term investments, to provide a source of liquidity and to serve as collateral to secure certain types of deposits and borrowings. The following tables show the carrying value of the Company's held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities by investment category at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

| | 2010 | December 31, 2009 (In thousands) | 2008 |
|---|---------------------|---|---------------------|
| Held-to-maturity Securities: | | | |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ 1,246,649 | \$ 798,660 | \$ 1,079,431 |
| Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions | 37,103 | 20,045 | 70,337 |
| Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions | 329,267 | 214,117 | 183,753 |
| Total | \$ 1,613,019 | \$ 1,032,822 | \$ 1,333,521 |

| | 2010 | December 31, 2009 (In thousands) | 2008 |
|---|---------------------|---|-------------------|
| Available-for-sale Securities: | | | |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ 433,158 | \$ 512,088 | \$ 516,281 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities | 503,229 | 292,418 | 319,175 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-backed securities | 29,994 | 18,837 | 18,553 |
| Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions | 38,019 | 38,188 | 7,772 |
| Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions | 72,146 | 72,650 | 74,767 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | | 2,125 | 2,375 |
| Other securities | 19,516 | 24,466 | 43,936 |
| Total | \$ 1,096,062 | \$ 960,772 | \$ 982,859 |

A portion of the Company's securities portfolio continues to be tax-exempt. Investments in tax-exempt securities totaled \$401.4 million at December 31, 2010, compared to \$286.8 million at the end of 2009 and \$258.5 million at the end of 2008. The Company invests only in investment grade securities, with the exception of obligations of certain counties and municipalities within the Company's market area, and avoids other high yield non-rated securities and investments.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's available-for-sale securities totaled \$1.1 billion. These securities, which are subject to possible sale, are recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2010, the Company held no securities whose decline in fair value was considered other than temporary, except for pooled trust preferred securities that incurred an other-than-temporary charge related to credit loss of \$2.1 million recorded during 2010, resulting in no remaining book value for these pooled trust preferred securities.

The following tables show the maturities and weighted average yields at December 31, 2010 for the carrying value of the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities:

Table of Contents

| | Securities Maturing | | | | Total |
|--|---------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Within One Year | After One But Within Five Years (Dollars in thousands) | After Five But Within Ten Year | After Ten Years | |
| Held-to-maturity Securities: | | | | | |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ 217,842 | \$ 958,807 | \$ 70,000 | \$ | \$ 1,246,649 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 34,987 | 95,827 | 48,174 | 187,382 | 366,370 |
| Total | \$ 252,829 | \$ 1,054,634 | \$ 118,174 | \$ 187,382 | \$ 1,613,019 |
| Weighted average yield | 5.03% | 2.17% | 4.41% | 6.54% | |

| | Securities Maturing | | | | Total |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Within One Year | After One But Within Five Years (Dollars in thousands) | After Five But Within Ten Year | After Ten Years | |
| Available-for-sale Securities: | | | | | |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ 115,394 | \$ 269,658 | \$ 48,106 | \$ | \$ 433,158 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities | 25,654 | 302,623 | 80,672 | 94,280 | 503,229 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-backed securities | | 3,520 | 6,897 | 19,577 | 29,994 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 5,102 | 10,383 | 18,606 | 76,074 | 110,165 |
| Other | | 20 | | 19,496 | 19,516 |
| Total | \$ 146,150 | \$ 586,204 | \$ 154,281 | \$ 209,427 | \$ 1,096,062 |
| Weighted average yield | 4.68% | 3.28% | 3.65% | 5.07% | |

The yield on tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions has been adjusted to a taxable equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate.

Net unrealized gains on investment securities as of December 31, 2010 totaled \$41.4 million. Net unrealized gains on held-to-maturity securities comprised \$19.7 million of that total, while net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities were \$21.7 million. Net unrealized gains on investment securities as of December 31, 2009 totaled \$75.4 million. Of that total, \$45.3 million was attributable to held-to-maturity securities and \$30.1 million was attributable to available-for-sale securities.

The following table shows the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities portfolios by credit rating as obtained from Moody's rating service as of December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | Amortized Cost | | Estimated Fair Value | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Available-for-sale Securities: | | | | |
| Aaa | \$ 963,940 | 89.7% | \$ 985,862 | 89.95% |
| Aa1 to Aa3 | 45,324 | 4.2% | 45,229 | 4.13% |
| A1 to A3 | 1,897 | 0.2% | 1,917 | 0.17% |
| Baa1 | 880 | 0.1% | 881 | 0.08% |
| Caa1 | 66 | 0.0% | 131 | 0.01% |
| Not rated (1) | 62,243 | 5.8% | 62,042 | 5.66% |
| Total | \$ 1,074,350 | 100.0% | \$ 1,096,062 | 100.00% |
| Held-to-maturity Securities: | | | | |
| Aaa | \$ 1,230,548 | 76.29% | \$ 1,253,477 | 76.77% |
| Aa1 to Aa3 | 129,478 | 8.03% | 129,515 | 7.93% |
| A1 to A3 | 16,472 | 1.02% | 16,357 | 1.00% |
| Baa1 to Baa3 | 5,957 | 0.37% | 6,040 | 0.37% |
| Ba1 to Ba3 | 495 | 0.03% | 529 | 0.03% |
| Not rated (1) | 230,069 | 14.26% | 226,773 | 13.89% |
| Total | \$ 1,613,019 | 100.00% | \$ 1,632,691 | 100.00% |

(1) Not rated securities primarily consist of Mississippi and Arkansas municipal bonds.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned was \$133.4 million and \$59.3 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Other real estate owned at December 31, 2010 had aggregate loan balances at the time of foreclosure of \$237.2 million. The following table presents the other real estate owned by geographical location and collateral type at December 31, 2010:

| | Alabama and Florida Panhandle | Arkansas | Mississippi | Missouri | Tennessee* | Texas and Louisiana | Other | Total |
|--|--|----------|-------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 358 | \$ 19 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 377 |
| Consumer mortgages | 7,334 | 1,068 | 4,154 | 1,407 | 9,534 | 831 | 2,892 | 27,220 |
| Home equity | 20 | | 67 | | 575 | | | 662 |
| Agricultural | | 93 | 333 | | 935 | | | 1,361 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 294 | 93 | 663 | 78 | 5,376 | | | 6,504 |
| | 12,241 | 1,322 | 14,570 | 5,387 | 37,132 | 41 | 263 | 70,956 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Construction, acquisition and development | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 5,511 | 1,913 | 2,308 | | 11,465 | 227 | 903 | 22,327 |
| All other | | 43 | 2,024 | | | | 1,938 | 4,005 |
| Total | \$ 25,758 | \$ 4,551 | \$ 24,119 | \$ 6,872 | \$ 65,017 | \$ 1,099 | \$ 5,996 | \$ 133,412 |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

Of the \$65.0 million other real estate owned located in the Tennessee market, 89.2% was specifically located in the greater Memphis area. Because of the relatively high number of our NPLs that have been determined to be collaterally dependent, management expects the resolution of a significant number of these loans to necessitate foreclosure proceedings resulting in a further increase in other real estate owned.

Deposits

Deposits originating within the communities served by the Bank continue to be the Bank's primary source of funding its earning assets. The Company has been able to effectively compete for deposits in its primary market areas, while continuing to manage the exposure to rising interest rates. The distribution and market share of

Table of Contents

deposits by type of deposit and by type of depositor are important considerations in the Company's assessment of the stability of its fund sources and its access to additional funds. Furthermore, management shifts the mix and maturity of the deposits depending on economic conditions and loan and investment policies in an attempt, within set policies, to minimize cost and maximize net interest margin.

The following table presents the Bank's noninterest bearing, interest bearing, savings and other time deposits for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the percentage change between years:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | Amount | % Change | Amount | % Change | Amount |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Noninterest bearing deposits | \$ 2,060 | 8.3% | \$ 1,902 | 9.6% | \$ 1,735 |
| Interest bearing deposits | 4,932 | 14.1 | 4,324 | 10.8 | 3,904 |
| Savings | 863 | 19.0 | 725 | 6.9 | 678 |
| Other time | 3,635 | (2.5) | 3,727 | 9.8 | 3,394 |
| Total deposits | \$ 11,490 | 7.6 | \$ 10,678 | 10.0 | \$ 9,711 |

The 7.6% increase in deposits in 2010 compared to 2009 has been experienced broadly across all of the Company's markets and is a result of the expansion of existing customer relationships and some new customer relationships.

The following table presents the classification of the Bank's deposits on an average basis for the three years ended December 31, 2010:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| | Average Amount | Average Rate | Average Amount | Average Rate | Average Amount | Average Rate |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Noninterest bearing demand deposits | \$ 1,890,979 | | \$ 1,758,175 | | \$ 1,664,787 | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | 4,649,235 | 0.76% | 4,051,362 | 0.99% | 3,552,690 | 1.70% |
| Savings deposits | 784,504 | 0.46% | 712,740 | 0.52% | 712,330 | 0.74% |
| Other time deposits | 3,782,727 | 2.22% | 3,633,453 | 2.79% | 3,874,192 | 3.84% |
| Total deposits | \$ 11,107,445 | | \$ 10,155,730 | | \$ 9,803,999 | |

The Bank's other time deposits of \$100,000 and greater, including certificates of deposits of \$100,000 and greater, at December 31, 2010 had maturities as follows:

| Maturing in | Amount (In thousands) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 275,076 |
| Over three months through six months | 244,467 |
| Over six months through 12 months | 607,004 |
| Over 12 months | 633,980 |

Total \$ 1,760,527

The average maturity of time deposits at December 31, 2010 was approximately 14 months, virtually unchanged from December 31, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

One of the Company's goals is to provide adequate funds to meet increases in loan demand or any potential increase in the normal level of deposit withdrawals. This goal is accomplished primarily by generating cash from the Bank's operating activities and maintaining sufficient short-term liquid assets. These sources, coupled with a

Table of Contents

stable deposit base and a strong reputation in the capital markets, allow the Company to fund earning assets and maintain the availability of funds. Management believes that the Bank's traditional sources of maturing loans and investment securities, sales of loans held for sale, cash from operating activities and a strong base of core deposits are adequate to meet the Company's liquidity needs for normal operations over both the short-term and the long-term.

To provide additional liquidity, the Company utilizes short-term financing through the purchase of federal funds and securities sold under agreement to repurchase. All securities sold under agreements to repurchase are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were acquired or sold plus accrued interest. Further, the Company maintains a borrowing relationship with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) which provides access to short-term and long-term borrowings and also has access to the Federal Reserve discount window and other bank lines. The Company had short-term advances from the FHLB totaling \$2.7 million and \$203.5 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company had federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase of \$440.6 million and \$539.9 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company had long-term advances totaling \$110.0 million and \$112.8 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company has pledged eligible mortgage loans to secure the FHLB borrowings and had \$3.0 billion in additional borrowing capacity under the existing FHLB borrowing agreement at December 31, 2010.

The Company also had non-binding federal funds borrowing arrangements with other banks aggregating \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2010. Secured borrowing arrangements utilizing the Company's securities portfolio also provide substantial additional liquidity to the Company. Such arrangements typically provide for borrowings of 95% to 98% of the unencumbered fair value of the Company's federal government and government agencies securities portfolio. The ability of the Company to obtain funding from these or other sources could be negatively affected should the Company experience a substantial deterioration in its financial condition or its debt rating, or should the availability of short-term funding become restricted as a result of the disruption in the financial markets. Management does not anticipate any short- or long-term changes to its liquidity strategies and believes that the Company has ample sources to meet the liquidity challenges caused by the current economic conditions. The Company utilizes, among other tools, maturity gap tables, interest rate shock scenarios and an active asset and liability management committee to analyze, manage and plan asset growth and to assist in managing the Company's net interest margin and overall level of liquidity.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into various off-balance sheet commitments and other arrangements to extend credit that are not reflected on the consolidated balance sheets of the Company. The business purpose of these off-balance sheet commitments is the routine extension of credit. As of December 31, 2010, commitments to extend credit included \$171.9 million for letters of credit and \$2.0 billion for interim mortgage financing, construction credit, credit card and other revolving line of credit arrangements. While most of the commitments to extend credit were made at variable rates, included in these commitments were forward commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans of \$70.1 million at December 31, 2010, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$639,000, which has been recognized in the Company's results of operations. Fixed-rate lending commitments expose the Company to risks associated with increases in interest rates. As a method to manage these risks, the Company also enters into forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$151.3 million in such commitments to sell, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of \$2.5 million, which has been recognized in the Company's results of operations. The Company also faces the risk of deteriorating credit quality of borrowers to whom a commitment to extend credit has been made; however, no significant credit losses are expected from these commitments and arrangements.

Regulatory Requirements for Capital

The Company is required to comply with the risk-based capital guidelines established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. These guidelines apply a variety of weighting factors that vary according to the level of risk associated with the assets. Capital is measured in two Tiers : Tier I consists of common shareholders equity and qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets; and Tier II consists of general allowance for losses on loans and leases, hybrid debt capital instruments and all or a portion

of other subordinated capital debt, depending upon remaining term to maturity. Total capital is the sum of Tier I and Tier II capital. The required minimum ratio levels to be considered adequately

Table of Contents

capitalized for the Company's Tier I capital, total capital, as a percentage of total risk-adjusted assets, and Tier I leverage capital (Tier I capital divided by total assets, less goodwill) are 4%, 8% and 4%, respectively. The Company exceeded the required minimum levels for these ratios at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---|------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| BancorpSouth, Inc. | | | | |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | \$ 1,070,744 | 10.61% | \$ 1,143,019 | 11.17% |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 1,197,626 | 11.87 | 1,271,634 | 12.42 |
| Tier I leverage capital (to average assets) | 1,070,744 | 8.07 | 1,143,019 | 8.95 |

The FDIC's capital-based supervisory system for insured financial institutions categorizes the capital position for banks into five categories, ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. For a bank to be classified as well capitalized, the Tier I capital, total capital and leverage capital ratios must be at least 6%, 10% and 5%, respectively. The Bank met the criteria for the well capitalized category at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---|------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| BancorpSouth Bank | | | | |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | \$ 1,040,714 | 10.32% | \$ 1,119,612 | 10.95% |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 1,167,596 | 11.58 | 1,248,227 | 12.21 |
| Tier I leverage capital (to average assets) | 1,040,714 | 7.87 | 1,119,612 | 8.79 |

There are various legal and regulatory limits on the extent to which the Bank may pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to the Company. In addition, federal and state regulatory agencies have the authority to prevent a bank or bank holding company from paying a dividend or engaging in any other activity that, in the opinion of the agency, would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. The Company does not expect these limitations to have a material adverse effect on its ability to meet its cash obligations.

Uses of Capital

The Company may pursue acquisitions of depository institutions and businesses closely related to banking that further the Company's business strategies, including FDIC-assisted transactions. The Company anticipates that consideration for any transactions other than FDIC-assisted transactions would include shares of the Company's common stock, cash or a combination thereof.

On March 21, 2007, the Company announced a new stock repurchase program whereby the Company may acquire up to three million shares of its common stock in the open market at prevailing market prices or in privately negotiated transactions during the period from May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2009. The original expiration date for this stock repurchase program has been extended until April 30, 2011. The extent and timing of any repurchases will depend on market conditions and other corporate considerations. Repurchased shares will be held as authorized but unissued shares. These authorized but unissued shares will be available for use in connection with the Company's stock option plans, other compensation programs, other transactions or for other corporate purposes as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. At December 31, 2010, 460,700 shares had been repurchased under this program but the Company has not repurchased any shares of its common stock since March 2008. The Company will continue to evaluate additional share repurchases under this repurchase program and will evaluate whether to adopt a new stock repurchase program before the current program expires. The Company conducts its stock repurchase program by using funds received in the ordinary course of business. The Company has not experienced, and does not expect to experience, a material adverse effect on its capital resources or liquidity in connection with its stock repurchase program.

In 2002, the Company issued \$128.9 million in 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities to BancorpSouth Capital Trust I (the Trust), a business trust. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of five million shares of

8.15% trust preferred securities, \$25 face value per share, to acquire the 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on January 28, 2032, and are callable at the option of the Company upon obtaining approval of the Federal

Table of Contents

Reserve. The \$125.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by the Trust qualifies as Tier I capital under Federal Reserve guidelines. The Company may prepay the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities, and in turn the trust preferred securities, at a prepayment price of 100% of the principal amount of these securities within 90 days of a determination by the Federal Reserve that trust preferred securities will no longer qualify as Tier I capital.

The Company assumed \$6.2 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$6.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 31, 2004 with Business Holding Corporation and assumed \$3.1 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$3.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 31, 2004 with Premier Bancorp, Inc. The Company also assumed \$6.7 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$6.5 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 1, 2005 with American State Bank Corporation and \$18.5 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$18.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on March 1, 2007 with City Bancorp. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related trust preferred securities assumed from Premier Bancorp, Inc. were redeemed on November 7, 2007 (see Note 12 to the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report). After the redemption, the Company’s remaining aggregate \$30.5 million in assumed trust preferred securities qualifies as Tier I capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines.

Contractual Obligations

The Company has contractual obligations to make future payments on debt and lease agreements. See Notes 10, 11, 12 and 24 to the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for further disclosures regarding contractual obligations. The following table summarizes the Company’s contractual obligations at December 31, 2010:

| | Total | Payment Due by Period | | | More than 5 Years |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Contractual obligations: | | | | | |
| Deposit maturities | \$ 11,490,021 | \$ 10,239,725 | \$ 774,412 | \$ 474,645 | \$ 1,239 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 160,312 | | | | 160,312 |
| Long-term FHLB borrowings | 110,000 | | 51,500 | 3,500 | 55,000 |
| Short-term FHLB and other borrowings | 2,826 | 2,745 | 36 | 36 | 9 |
| Operating lease obligations | 24,944 | 5,718 | 9,461 | 4,054 | 5,711 |
| Purchase obligations | 50,194 | 24,763 | 21,504 | 3,027 | 900 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 11,838,297 | \$ 10,272,951 | \$ 856,913 | \$ 485,262 | \$ 223,171 |

The Company’s operating lease obligations represent short and long-term operating lease and rental payments for facilities, certain software and data processing and other equipment. Purchase obligations represent obligations to purchase goods and services that are legally binding and enforceable on the Company and that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The purchase obligation amounts presented above primarily relate to certain contractual payments for services provided related to information technology.

Certain Litigation Contingencies

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions with numerous customers through offices in nine states. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, litigation presents an ongoing risk.

On May 12, 2010, the Company and its Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer were named in a purported class-action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee on

behalf of certain purchasers of the Company's common stock. On September 17, 2010, an Executive Vice President of the Company was added as a party to the lawsuit. The amended complaint alleges that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business and financial results. The plaintiff seeks class certification, an unspecified amount of damages and awards of costs and attorneys' fees and such other equitable relief as the Court may deem just and proper. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it

Table of Contents

is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In November 2010, the Company was informed that the Atlanta Regional Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission had issued an Order of Investigation related to the Company's delay in filing its Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2009 and related matters. The Company is cooperating fully with the SEC. No claims have been made by the SEC against the Company or against any individuals affiliated with the Company. At this time, it is not possible to predict when or how the investigation will be resolved or the cost or potential liabilities associated with this matter.

On May 18, 2010, the Bank was named as a defendant in a purported class action lawsuit filed by two Arkansas customers of the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. The suit challenges the manner in which overdraft fees were charged and the policies related to posting order of debit card and ATM transactions. The suit also makes a claim under Arkansas' consumer protection statute. The case was transferred to pending multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management of the Company cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Otherwise, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business, including claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. It is possible, however, that future developments could result in an unfavorable ultimate outcome for or resolution of any one or more of the lawsuits in which the Company or its subsidiaries are defendants, which may be material to the Company's results of operations for a particular quarterly reporting period. Litigation is inherently uncertain, and management of the Company cannot make assurances that the Company will prevail in any of these actions, nor can it reasonably estimate the amount of damages that the Company might incur.

The Bank, as a member of Visa Inc., is obligated to share in certain liabilities associated with Visa Inc.'s settled and pending litigation. During the first quarter of 2008, \$1.1 million of the previously established litigation expense reserve was reversed and recorded as a reduction of litigation expense as a result of Visa Inc.'s initial public offering and its deposit of a portion of the net proceeds thereof into an escrow account from which settlement of, or judgments relating to, the covered litigation may be paid. During the second quarter of 2008, an additional \$1.1 million of the reserve was reversed as a result of a favorable court ruling. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company reported \$2.6 million in litigation contingencies primarily related to the adverse resolution of a legal matter.

Recent Pronouncements

Effective September 30, 2009, the Company adopted the new FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification). The Codification became the primary source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification does not change or alter existing U.S. GAAP and the adoption of the Codification has had no impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. The Company plans to leave out specific references to the codification in an effort to simplify the financial statements.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements. This new accounting standard requires employers to recognize a liability for future benefits provided through endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements that extend into postretirement periods in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Entities recognized the effects of applying this new accounting standard through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position as of the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior

periods. The adoption of this new accounting standard resulted in a cumulative-effect adjustment that reduced retained earnings by \$3.6 million at January 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding fair value options for financial assets and financial liabilities. This new accounting standard permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Company did not elect the fair value option in regards to items not previously recorded at fair value. Therefore, the adoption of this new accounting standard has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding written loan commitments recorded at fair value through earnings. This new accounting standard rescinds prior prohibitions on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. The new accounting standard applies to any loan commitment for which fair value accounting is elected. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding written loan commitments recorded at fair value through earnings has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding fair value measurements. This new accounting standard establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding fair value measurements has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding business combinations. This new accounting standard expands the definition of transactions and events that qualify as business combinations; requires that the acquired assets and liabilities, including contingencies and loans, be recorded at fair value determined on the acquisition date; changes the recognition timing for restructuring costs; and requires the expensing of acquisition costs as incurred. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding business combinations has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. This new accounting standard requires that acquired assets and liabilities be measured at full fair value without consideration to ownership percentage. Any non-controlling interests in an acquiree should be presented as a separate component of equity rather than on a mezzanine level. Additionally, this new accounting standard provides that net income or loss should be reported in the consolidated income statement at its consolidated amount, with disclosure on the face of the consolidated income statement of the amount of consolidated net income which is attributable to the parent and non-controlling interest, respectively. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements has had no impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. The Company does not have any non-controlling interests as it wholly owns all of its subsidiaries.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. This new accounting standard changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring entities to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under an existing standard regarding derivative instruments and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This new accounting standard regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities has impacted disclosures only and has not had an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. All required disclosures are contained in the Notes to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.

In April 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding the determination of fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly. This new accounting standard provides guidance on how to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities in an environment where the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and re-emphasizes that the objective of a fair value measurement remains an exit price. The adoption of this new accounting standard did not have an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In April 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment which amends existing guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the

guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairment on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The new accounting standard did not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The new accounting standard specifies that a debt security is considered other-than-temporarily impaired when an entity's management intends to sell the security or that it is more-likely-than not that the entity will be required to sell the

Table of Contents

security prior to recovery of its cost basis. The guidance requires that for impaired held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities that an entity does not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery but for which credit losses exist, the other-than-temporary impairment should be separated between the total impairment related to credit losses, which should be recognized in current earnings, and the amount of impairment related to all other factors, which should be recognized in other comprehensive income. There was no initial effect of adoption of this new accounting standard regarding recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment on the financial position or results of operations of the Company because all previously taken impairment was deemed to be credit related.

Effective June 30, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding subsequent events. This new accounting standard establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The Company has evaluated any subsequent events through the date of this filing. The Company does not believe there are any material subsequent events which would require further disclosure. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding subsequent events has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In December 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard related to the disclosures of plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan which provides guidance on additional disclosures about plan assets. The adoption of this new accounting standard has impacted disclosures only and has not had an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding accounting for transfers of financial assets. This new accounting standard eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity's continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding accounting for transfers of financial assets has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities. This new accounting standard amends existing accounting literature regarding consolidation of variable interest entities to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In July 2010, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. This new accounting standard amends existing accounting literature regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years and interim reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. This new accounting standard regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses impacts disclosures only and will not have an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Market risk reflects the risk of economic loss resulting from changes in interest rates and market prices. This risk of loss can be reflected in either reduced potential net interest revenue in future periods or diminished market values of financial assets.

The Company's market risk arises primarily from interest rate risk that is inherent in its lending, investment and deposit taking activities. Financial institutions derive their income primarily from the excess of interest collected over interest paid. The rates of interest the Company earns on certain of its assets and owes on certain of its liabilities are established contractually for a period of time. Because market interest rates change over time, the Company is exposed to lower profit margins (or losses) if it cannot adapt to interest rate changes. Several techniques might be used

by a financial institution to minimize interest rate risk. One approach used by the Company is to periodically analyze its assets and liabilities and make future financing and investing decisions based

Table of Contents

on payment streams, interest rates, contractual maturities, repricing opportunities and estimated sensitivity to actual or potential changes in market interest rates. Such activities fall under the broad definition of asset/liability management. The Company's primary asset/liability management technique is the measurement of its asset/liability gap, that is, the difference between the amounts of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities that will be refinanced (repriced) during a given period. If the asset amount to be repriced exceeds the corresponding liability amount for a certain day, month, year or longer period, the Company is in an asset-sensitive gap position. In this situation, net interest revenue would increase if market interest rates rose or decrease if market interest rates fell. If, alternatively, more liabilities than assets will reprice, the Company is in a liability-sensitive position. Accordingly, net interest revenue would decline when rates rose and increase when rates fell. These examples assume that interest-rate changes for assets and liabilities are of the same magnitude, whereas actual interest-rate changes generally differ in magnitude for assets and liabilities.

Management seeks to manage interest rate risk through the utilization of various tools that include matching repricing periods for new assets and liabilities and managing the composition and size of the investment portfolio so as to reduce the risk in the deposit and loan portfolios, while at the same time maximizing the yield generated from the portfolio.

MSRs are sensitive to changes in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of the Company's MSR's are generally a result of changes in mortgage interest rates from the previous reporting date. An increase in mortgage interest rates typically results in an increase in the fair value of the MSR's while a decrease in mortgage interest rates typically results in a decrease in the fair value of MSR's. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of its MSR's and is susceptible to significant fluctuations in their value in changing interest rate environments.

The Company enters into interest rate swaps (derivative financial instruments) to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. Upon entering into these instruments to meet customer needs, the Company enters into offsetting positions to minimize interest rate and equity risk to the Company. These instruments are reported at fair value and the value of these positions, which are offsetting, are recorded in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The table below provides information about the Company's financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2010. The expected maturity categories take into account repricing opportunities as well as contractual maturities. For core deposits without contractual maturities (e.g., interest bearing checking, savings and money market accounts), the table presents cash flows based on management's judgment concerning their most likely runoff or repricing behaviors. The fair value of loans, deposits and other borrowings are based on the discounted value of expected cash flows using a discount rate that is commensurate with the maturity. The fair value of securities is based on market prices or dealer quotes.

Table of Contents

| | 2011 | Principal Amount Maturing/Repricing in: | | | | | Thereafter | Total | Fair value December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------|---|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | | | | |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Rate-sensitive assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed interest rate loans and leases | \$ 1,825,191 | \$ 748,686 | \$ 1,071,771 | \$ 748,906 | \$ 586,177 | \$ 165,604 | \$ 5,146,335 | \$ 5,197,291 | |
| Average interest rate | 5.73% | 6.32% | 5.96% | 5.85% | 5.88% | 6.46% | 5.92% | | |
| Variable interest rate loans and leases | \$ 3,858,191 | \$ 67,301 | 97,419 | 49,220 | 35,441 | 172,897 | \$ 4,280,469 | \$ 4,280,798 | |
| Average interest rate | 4.26% | 5.54% | 5.76 | 4.98 | 5.39 | 5.47 | 4.38% | | |
| Fixed interest rate securities | \$ 400,077 | \$ 228,738 | \$ 462,081 | \$ 495,022 | \$ 110,093 | \$ 1,013,070 | \$ 2,709,081 | \$ 2,728,753 | |
| Average interest rate | 4.42% | 3.90% | 2.48% | 1.95% | 3.82% | 4.07% | 4.44% | | |
| Other interest bearing assets | \$ 322,170 | | | | | | \$ 322,170 | \$ 322,170 | |
| Average interest rate | 0.32% | | | | | | 0.32% | | |
| Mortgage servicing rights (1) | | | | | | | \$ 38,642 | \$ 38,642 | |
| Rate-sensitive liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings and interest bearing checking | \$ 5,794,552 | | | | | | \$ 5,794,552 | \$ 5,794,552 | |
| Average interest rate | 0.53% | | | | | | 0.53% | | |
| Fixed interest rate time deposits | \$ 2,385,028 | \$ 604,047 | \$ 170,365 | \$ 261,966 | \$ 212,679 | \$ 1,239 | \$ 3,635,324 | \$ 3,677,796 | |
| Average interest rate | 1.63% | 2.91% | 3.35% | 3.53% | 3.74% | 6.21% | 2.07% | | |
| Fixed interest rate borrowings | \$ 1 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 50,000 | \$ | \$ 3,579 | \$ 215,312 | \$ 270,392 | \$ 286,993 | |
| | 8.00 | 4.71% | 5.95% | 0.00% | 4.92 | 7.24% | 6.96% | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Average interest rate | | | | |
| Variable interest rate borrowings | \$ 389,527 | \$ 53,792 | \$ 443,319 | \$ 443,081 |
| Average interest rate | 0.26% | 0.13% | 0.25% | |

Rate-sensitive off balance sheet items:

| | | | | |
|--|------------|--|------------|-------------|
| Commitments to extend credit for single family mortgage loans | \$ 70,070 | | \$ 70,070 | \$ 70,070 |
| Average interest rate | 4.59% | | 4.59% | |
| Forward contracts to sell individual fixed rate mortgage loans | \$ 151,306 | | \$ 151,306 | \$ 151,306 |
| Average interest rate | 3.88% | | 3.88% | |
| Interest rate swap position to receive | \$ 514,802 | | \$ 514,802 | \$ 38,347 |
| Average interest rate | 2.55% | | 2.55% | |
| Interest rate swap position to pay | \$ 514,802 | | \$ 514,802 | \$ (38,800) |
| Average interest rate | 6.06% | | 6.06% | |

(1) Mortgage servicing rights represent a non-financial asset that is rate-sensitive in that its value is dependent upon the underlying mortgage loans being serviced that are rate-sensitive.

For additional information about the Company's market risk and its strategies for minimizing this risk, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Interest Rate Sensitivity and Interest Rate Risk Management and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Securities.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.****SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA****Summary of Quarterly Results**

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Interest revenue | \$ 148,658 | \$ 146,162 | \$ 144,885 | \$ 143,057 |
| Net interest revenue | 111,882 | 109,329 | 109,678 | 110,253 |
| Provision for credit losses | 43,519 | 62,354 | 54,850 | 43,293 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 11,212 | (15,955) | 1,493 | 17,488 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 2,816 | (3,395) | (9,767) | 1,641 |
| Net income (loss) | 8,396 | (12,560) | 11,260 | 15,847 |
| Earnings (loss) per share: Basic | 0.10 | (0.15) | 0.13 | 0.19 |
| Diluted | 0.10 | (0.15) | 0.13 | 0.19 |
| Dividends per share | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Interest revenue | \$ 155,618 | \$ 154,313 | \$ 153,487 | \$ 151,996 |
| Net interest revenue | 109,876 | 110,940 | 111,736 | 112,347 |
| Provision for credit losses | 14,945 | 17,594 | 22,514 | 62,271 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 42,771 | 49,818 | 29,025 | (8,780) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 13,294 | 15,951 | 7,494 | (6,634) |
| Net income (loss) | 29,477 | 33,867 | 21,531 | (2,146) |
| Earnings (loss) per share: Basic | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.26 | (0.03) |
| Diluted | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.26 | (0.03) |
| Dividends per share | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

(i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

(ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

(iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

As a result of management's evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, management identified a material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting related to the determination of the allowance for credit losses. The material weakness resulted from ineffective controls to timely recognize the impact of changes in credit quality on the grading of loans in the determination of the allowance for credit losses. As a result of the material weakness, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2010.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued a report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. That report appears on pages 64-65 of this Report.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

BancorpSouth, Inc.:

We have audited BancorpSouth, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). BancorpSouth, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness related to the determination of the allowance for credit losses has been identified and included in management's assessment. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of BancorpSouth, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2010. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2010 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated February 28, 2011, which expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In our opinion, because of the effect of the aforementioned material weakness on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, BancorpSouth, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Memphis, Tennessee

February 28, 2011

Table of Contents

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

BancorpSouth, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BancorpSouth, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BancorpSouth, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), BancorpSouth, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 28, 2011 expressed an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Memphis, Tennessee

February 28, 2011

Table of Contents**Consolidated Balance Sheets
BancorpSouth, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

| | December 31, | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 99,916 | \$ 222,741 |
| Interest bearing deposits with other banks | 172,170 | 15,704 |
| Held-to-maturity securities (fair value of \$1,632,691 and \$1,078,075, respectively) | 1,613,019 | 1,032,822 |
| Available-for-sale securities (amortized cost of \$1,074,350 and \$930,676, respectively) | 1,096,062 | 960,772 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell | 150,000 | 75,000 |
| Loans and leases | 9,376,351 | 9,822,986 |
| Less: Unearned income | 43,244 | 47,850 |
| Allowance for credit losses | 196,913 | 176,043 |
| Net loans and leases | 9,136,194 | 9,599,093 |
| Loans held for sale | 93,697 | 80,343 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 332,890 | 343,877 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 61,025 | 68,651 |
| Goodwill | 270,097 | 270,097 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 194,064 | 187,770 |
| Other real estate owned | 133,412 | 59,265 |
| Other assets | 262,464 | 251,732 |
| Total Assets | \$ 13,615,010 | \$ 13,167,867 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Demand: | | |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 2,060,145 | \$ 1,901,663 |
| Interest bearing | 4,931,518 | 4,323,646 |
| Savings | 863,034 | 725,192 |
| Other time | 3,635,324 | 3,727,201 |
| Total deposits | 11,490,021 | 10,677,702 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 440,593 | 539,870 |
| Short-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and other short-term borrowings | 2,727 | 203,500 |
| Accrued interest payable | 14,336 | 19,588 |
| Junior subordinated debt securities | 160,312 | 160,312 |
| Long-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 110,000 | 112,771 |
| Other liabilities | 174,777 | 177,828 |
| Total Liabilities | 12,392,766 | 11,891,571 |

Shareholders Equity

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Common stock, \$2.50 par value | | |
| Authorized - 500,000,000 shares; Issued - 83,481,737 and 83,450,296 shares, respectively | 208,704 | 208,626 |
| Capital surplus | 224,976 | 222,547 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (14,453) | (8,409) |
| Retained earnings | 803,017 | 853,532 |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 1,222,244 | 1,276,296 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | \$ 13,615,010 | \$ 13,167,867 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statements of Income
BancorpSouth, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Interest Revenue | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 496,782 | \$ 517,013 | \$ 589,965 |
| Deposits with other banks | 355 | 131 | 684 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell | 606 | 74 | 288 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 36,278 | 46,957 | 58,679 |
| Tax-exempt | 10,409 | 8,852 | 8,112 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 32,033 | 35,026 | 35,813 |
| Tax-exempt | 3,275 | 3,396 | 4,205 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,024 | 3,965 | 7,667 |
| Total interest revenue | 582,762 | 615,414 | 705,413 |
| Interest Expense | | | |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | 35,187 | 40,047 | 60,333 |
| Savings | 3,576 | 3,700 | 5,280 |
| Other time | 83,999 | 101,308 | 148,591 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 841 | 1,629 | 14,999 |
| FHLB borrowings | 6,545 | 11,597 | 22,458 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 11,461 | 11,630 | 12,469 |
| Other | 11 | 604 | 447 |
| Total interest expense | 141,620 | 170,515 | 264,577 |
| Net interest revenue | 441,142 | 444,899 | 440,836 |
| Provision for credit losses | 204,016 | 117,324 | 56,176 |
| Net interest revenue, after provision for credit losses | 237,126 | 327,575 | 384,660 |
| Noninterest Revenue | | | |
| Mortgage lending | 29,745 | 32,225 | 2,146 |
| Credit card, debit card and merchant fees | 37,663 | 34,244 | 33,743 |
| Service charges | 70,690 | 72,864 | 77,091 |
| Trust income | 11,149 | 9,698 | 9,330 |
| Securities gains (losses), net | 2,569 | (55) | (5,849) |
| Insurance commissions | 82,172 | 80,937 | 86,661 |
| Other | 30,156 | 45,363 | 42,485 |
| Total noninterest revenue | 264,144 | 275,276 | 245,607 |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Noninterest Expense | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 271,688 | 278,734 | 271,556 |
| Occupancy, net of rental income | 43,008 | 42,108 | 39,846 |
| Equipment | 22,598 | 23,508 | 25,211 |
| Deposit insurance assessments | 19,259 | 19,672 | 2,852 |
| Other | 130,480 | 125,995 | 116,448 |
| Total noninterest expense | 487,033 | 490,017 | 455,913 |
| Income before income taxes | 14,237 | 112,834 | 174,354 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (8,705) | 30,105 | 53,943 |
| Net Income | \$ 22,942 | \$ 82,729 | \$ 120,411 |
| Net Income Per Share: Basic | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.99 | \$ 1.46 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.99 | \$ 1.45 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income
BancorpSouth, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

| | Common Stock | | Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Retained Earnings | Total |
|--|--|------------|------------|---|----------------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Surplus | (Loss) | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 | 82,299,297 | \$ 205,748 | \$ 198,620 | \$ (7,214) | \$ 799,472 | \$ 1,196,626 |
| Net income | | | | | 120,411 | 120,411 |
| Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax effect of \$3,928 | | | | 6,361 | | 6,361 |
| Change in pension funding status, net of tax effect of (\$16,132) | | | | (26,043) | | (26,043) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 100,729 |
| Business combinations | 13,717 | 34 | 260 | | | 294 |
| Exercise of stock options | 802,978 | 2,007 | 13,646 | | | 15,653 |
| Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options | | | 2,269 | | | 2,269 |
| Recognition of stock compensation | 4,108 | 11 | 460 | | | 471 |
| Purchase of stock | (15,000) | (37) | | | (289) | (326) |
| Adjustment to reflect the change in accounting for split dollar life insurance (EITF 06-4) | | | | | (3,573) | (3,573) |
| Cash dividends declared, \$0.87 per share | | | | | (71,883) | (71,883) |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 83,105,100 | 207,763 | 215,255 | (26,896) | 844,138 | 1,240,260 |
| Net income | | | | | 82,729 | 82,729 |
| Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax effect of \$4,389 | | | | 7,057 | | 7,057 |
| Change in pension funding status, net of tax effect of \$7,080 | | | | 11,430 | | 11,430 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 101,216 |
| Exercise of stock options | 341,089 | 853 | 5,467 | | | 6,320 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options | | | 500 | | | 500 |
| Recognition of stock compensation | 4,107 | 10 | 1,325 | | | 1,335 |
| Cash dividends declared, \$0.88 per share | | | | | (73,335) | (73,335) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 83,450,296 | 208,626 | 222,547 | (8,409) | 853,532 | 1,276,296 |
| Net income | | | | | 22,942 | 22,942 |
| Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of tax effect of (\$3,219) | | | | (5,165) | | (5,165) |
| Change in pension funding status, net of tax effect of (\$544) | | | | (879) | | (879) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 16,898 |
| Exercise of stock options | 26,441 | 65 | 355 | | | 420 |
| Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options | | | 44 | | | 44 |
| Recognition of stock compensation | 5,000 | 13 | 2,030 | | | 2,043 |
| Cash dividends declared, \$0.88 per share | | | | | (73,457) | (73,457) |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 83,481,737 | \$ 208,704 | \$ 224,976 | \$ (14,453) | \$ 803,017 | \$ 1,222,244 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
BancorpSouth, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 (In thousands) | 2008 |
| Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 22,942 | \$ 82,729 | \$ 120,411 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Provision for credit losses | 204,016 | 117,324 | 56,176 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,763 | 30,797 | 29,752 |
| Deferred taxes | (16,050) | (9,358) | (1,735) |
| Amortization of intangibles | 3,909 | 4,957 | 5,927 |
| Amortization of debt securities premium and discount, net | 5,111 | 5,561 | 1,150 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 2,043 | 1,335 | 471 |
| Security (gains) losses, net | (2,569) | 55 | 5,849 |
| Net deferred loan origination expense | (8,948) | (9,813) | (8,839) |
| Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options | (44) | (500) | (2,269) |
| Decrease in interest receivable | 7,626 | 10,532 | 16,844 |
| Decrease in interest payable | (5,252) | (1,167) | (16,991) |
| Realized gain on student loans sold | | (3,690) | (704) |
| Proceeds from student loans sold | | 159,543 | 33,852 |
| Origination of student loans held for sale | | (33,407) | (90,088) |
| Realized gain on mortgages sold | (35,087) | (25,089) | (11,227) |
| Proceeds from mortgages sold | 1,462,230 | 1,565,435 | 969,245 |
| Origination of mortgages held for sale | (1,440,206) | (1,542,029) | (962,968) |
| Increase in bank-owned life insurance | (6,293) | (5,499) | (7,170) |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid pension asset | 1,596 | (51,322) | 28,981 |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid deposit insurance assessments | 17,299 | (49,625) | 1,444 |
| Other, net | (18,088) | 33,256 | (44,472) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 223,998 | 280,025 | 123,639 |
| Investing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities of held-to-maturity securities | 600,231 | 399,302 | 612,809 |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 161,654 | 133,688 | 274,444 |
| Proceeds from sales of held-to-maturity securities | | | 30,145 |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 136,769 | | 827,310 |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity securities | (1,180,677) | (99,282) | (350,973) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (444,321) | (105,027) | (1,078,531) |
| Net increase in short-term investments | (75,000) | | |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans and leases | 193,684 | (160,968) | (567,296) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (19,609) | (25,296) | (64,881) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | 486 | 3,399 | 2,857 |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired | | (1,130) | (10,607) |
| Other, net | (68) | (65) | (900) |

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (626,851) | 144,621 | (325,623) |
| Financing Activities: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 812,319 | 965,830 | (352,227) |
| Net (decrease) increase in short-term debt and other liabilities | (302,799) | (1,420,072) | 377,614 |
| Advances of long-term debt | | 30,000 | 200,000 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (33) | (41) | (155) |
| Issuance of common stock | 420 | 6,320 | 15,653 |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | (326) |
| Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options | 44 | 500 | 2,269 |
| Payment of cash dividends | (73,457) | (73,335) | (71,883) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 436,494 | (490,798) | 170,945 |
| Increase (decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 33,641 | (66,152) | (31,039) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 238,445 | 304,597 | 335,636 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 272,086 | \$ 238,445 | \$ 304,597 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****BancorpSouth, Inc. and Subsidiaries****December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008****(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The consolidated financial statements of BancorpSouth, Inc. (the Company) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheets and revenues and expenses for the periods reported. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. The Company's subsidiaries are engaged in the business of banking, insurance, brokerage and other activities closely related to banking. The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to the regulations of certain federal and state regulatory agencies and undergo periodic examinations by those regulatory agencies. The following is a summary of the more significant accounting and reporting policies.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, BancorpSouth Bank and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the Bank) and Gumtree Wholesale Insurance Brokers, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash Flow Statements

Cash equivalents include cash and amounts due from banks, including interest bearing deposits with other banks. The Company paid interest of \$146.9 million, \$171.7 million and \$281.6 million and income taxes of \$1.9 million, \$8.7 million and \$44.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Fair value of assets acquired during 2008 as a result of business combinations totaled \$26.2 million, while liabilities assumed totaled \$10.9 million.

Securities

Securities are classified as either held-to-maturity, trading or available-for-sale. Held-to-maturity securities are debt securities for which the Company has the ability and management has the intent to hold to maturity. They are reported at amortized cost. Trading securities are debt and equity securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. They are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Available-for-sale securities are debt and equity securities not classified as either held-to-maturity securities or trading securities. They are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported, net of tax, as a separate component of shareholders' equity until realized. Gains and losses on securities are determined on the identified certificate basis. Amortization of premium and accretion of discount are computed using the interest method.

Securities are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other-than-temporary. The term other-than-temporary is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. Rather, it means that the prospects for near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Management reviews criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, as well as the reasons for the decline, and whether the Company would be required to sell the securities before a full recovery of costs in order to predict whether the loss in value is other-than-temporary. Once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the impairment related to the credit loss and (b) the amount of the impairment related to all other factors. The value of the security is reduced by the other-than-temporary impairment with the amount of the impairment related to credit loss recognized as a charge to earnings and the amount of the impairment related to all other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

Securities Purchased and Sold Under Agreements to Resell or Repurchase

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are accounted for as short-term investments and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were acquired or sold plus accrued interest. The securities pledged as collateral are generally U.S. government and federal agency securities.

Table of Contents**Loans and Leases**

Loans and leases are recorded at the face amount of the notes reduced by collections of principal. Loans and leases include net unamortized deferred origination costs and fees. Net deferred origination costs and fees are recognized as a component of income using the effective interest method. In the event of a loan pay-off, the remaining net deferred origination costs and fees are automatically recognized into income and/or expense. Where doubt exists as to the collectibility of the loans and leases, interest income is recorded as payment is received. Interest is recorded monthly as earned on all other loans.

Loans of \$200,000 or more that become 60 or more days past due are identified for review by the Impairment Committee, which decides whether an impairment exists and to what extent a specific allowance for loss should be made. Loans that do not meet these requirements may also be identified by management for impairment review. Loans subject to such review are evaluated as to collateral dependency, current collateral value, guarantor or other financial support and likely disposition. Each such loan is individually evaluated for impairment. The impairment evaluation of real estate loans generally focuses on the fair value of underlying collateral obtained from appraisals, as the repayment of these loans may be dependent on the liquidation of the collateral. In certain circumstances, other information such as comparable sales data is deemed to be a more reliable indicator of fair value of the underlying collateral than the most recent appraisal. In these instances, such information is used in determining the impairment recorded for the loan. As the repayment of commercial and industrial loans is generally dependent upon the cash flow of the borrower or guarantor support, the impairment evaluation generally focuses on the discounted future cash flows of the borrower or guarantor support, as well as the projected liquidation of any pledged collateral. The Impairment Committee reviews the results of each evaluation and approves the final impairment amounts, which are then included in the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses in accordance with FASB ASC 310. Loans identified for impairment are placed in non-accrual status.

The Company's policy is to obtain an appraisal at the time of loan origination for real estate collateral securing a loan of \$250,000 or more, consistent with regulatory guidelines. The Company's policy is to obtain an updated appraisal when certain events occur, such as the refinancing of the debt, the renewal of the debt or events that indicate potential impairment. A new appraisal is generally ordered for loans greater than \$200,000 that have characteristics of potential impairment, such as delinquency or other loan-specific factors identified by management, when a current appraisal (dated within the prior 12 months) is not available or when a current appraisal uses assumptions that are not consistent with the expected disposition of the loan collateral. In order to measure impairment properly at the time that a loan is deemed to be impaired, a staff appraiser may estimate the collateral fair value based upon earlier appraisals, sales contracts, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, officer estimates or current market conditions until a new appraisal is received. This estimate can be used to determine the extent of the impairment on the loan. After a loan is deemed to be impaired, it is management's policy to obtain an updated appraisal on at least an annual basis. Management performs a review of the pertinent facts and circumstances of each impaired loan on a monthly basis. As of each review date, management considers whether additional impairment should be recorded based on recent activity related to the loan-specific collateral as well as other relevant comparable assets. Any adjustment to reflect further impairments, either as a result of management's periodic review or as a result of an updated appraisal, are made through recording additional loan loss provisions or charge-offs.

At December 31, 2010, impaired loans totaled \$273.4 million, which was net of cumulative charge-offs of \$72.0 million. Additionally, the Company had specific reserves of \$40.7 million included in the allowance for credit losses. Impaired loans at December 31, 2010 were primarily from the Company's consumer real estate or residential construction, acquisition and development real estate portfolios. The loans were evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral securing the loan. As part of the impairment review process, appraisals are used to determine the property values. The appraised values that are used are generally based on the disposition value of the property, which assumes Bank ownership of the property as-is and a 180-day marketing period. If a current appraisal or one with an inspection date within the past 12 months using the necessary assumptions is not available, a new third-party appraisal is ordered. In cases where an impairment exists and a current appraisal is not available at the time of review, a staff appraiser may determine an estimated value based upon earlier appraisals, the sales contract, approved foreclosure bids, comparable sales, comparable appraisals, officer estimates or current market conditions

until a new appraisal is received. After a new appraisal is received, the value used in the review will be updated and any adjustments to reflect further impairments are made. Appraisals are obtained from state-certified appraisers based on certain assumptions which may include foreclosure status, bank ownership, other real estate owned marketing period of 180 days, costs to sell, construction or

Table of Contents

development status and the highest and best use of the property. A staff appraiser may make adjustments to appraisals based on sales contracts, comparable sales and other pertinent information if an appraisal does not incorporate the effect of these assumptions.

When a guarantor is relied upon as a source of repayment, the Company analyzes the strength of the guaranty. This analysis varies based on circumstances, but may include a review of the guarantor's personal and business financial statements and credit history, a review of the guarantor's tax returns and the preparation of a cash flow analysis of the guarantor. Management will continue to update its analysis on individual guarantors as circumstances change. Because of the continued weakness in the economy, subsequent analyses may result in the identification of the inability of some guarantors to perform under the agreed upon terms.

The Bank's policy provides that loans and leases are generally placed in non-accrual status if, in management's opinion, payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan or lease is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Once placed in non-accrual status, all accrued but uncollected interest related to the current fiscal year is reversed against the appropriate interest and fee income on loans and leases account with any accrued but uncollected interest related to prior fiscal years reversed against the allowance for credit losses account.

In the normal course of business, management grants concessions to borrowers, which would not otherwise be considered, where the borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. Loans identified as meeting the criteria set out in FASB ASC 310 are identified as TDRs. The concessions granted most frequently for TDRs involve reductions or delays in required payments of principal and interest for a specified time, the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan or the charge-off of a portion of the loan. In most cases, the conditions of the credit also warrant nonaccrual status, even after the restructure occurs. As part of the credit approval process, the restructured loans are evaluated for adequate collateral protection in determining the appropriate accrual status at the time of restructure. TDR loans may be returned to accrual status if there has been at least a six-month sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower. During 2010, the most common concessions involved rescheduling payments of principal and interest over a longer amortization period, granting a period of reduced principal payment or interest only payment for a limited time period, or the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan.

Provision and Allowance for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses is the periodic cost of providing an allowance or reserve for estimated probable losses on loans and leases. The Bank's Board of Directors has appointed a loan loss reserve valuation committee (the Loan Loss Committee), which bases its estimates of credit losses on three primary components: (1) estimates of inherent losses that may exist in various segments of performing loans and leases; (2) specifically identified losses in individually analyzed credits; and (3) qualitative factors that may impact the performance of the loan and lease portfolio. Factors such as financial condition of the borrower and guarantor, recent credit performance, delinquency, liquidity, cash flows, collateral type and value are used to assess credit risk. Expected loss estimates are influenced by the historical losses experienced by the Bank for loans and leases of comparable creditworthiness and structure. Specific loss assessments are performed for loans and leases of significant size and delinquency based upon the collateral protection and expected future cash flows to determine the amount of impairment under FASB ASC 310. In addition, qualitative factors such as changes in economic and business conditions, concentrations of risk, loan and lease growth, acquisitions and changes in portfolio risk resulting from regulatory changes are considered in determining the adequacy of the level of the allowance for credit losses.

Attention is paid to the quality of the loan and lease portfolio through a formal loan review process. An independent loan review department of the Bank is responsible for reviewing the credit rating and classification of individual credits and assessing trends in the portfolio, adherence to internal credit policies and procedures and other factors that may affect the overall adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee is responsible for ensuring that the allowance for credit losses provides coverage of both known and inherent losses. The Loan Loss Committee meets at least quarterly to determine the amount of adjustments to the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee is composed of senior management from the Bank's loan administration and finance departments. In 2010, the Bank established a real estate risk management group and an Impairment Committee. The

real estate risk management group oversees compliance with regulations and U.S. GAAP related to lending activities where real estate is the primary collateral. The Impairment Committee is responsible for evaluating loans that have been specifically identified through various channels, including examination of the Bank's watch list, past due listings, findings of the internal loan review department, loan officer assessments and loans to borrowers or industries known to be experiencing problems. For all loans identified, the responsible loan

Table of Contents

officer in conjunction with his credit administrator is required to prepare an impairment analysis to be reviewed by the Impairment Committee. The Impairment Committee deems that a loan is impaired if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the contractual principal and interest on the loan. The Impairment Committee also evaluates the circumstances surrounding the loan in order to determine if the loan officer used the most appropriate method for assessing the impairment of the loan (i.e., present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price or fair value of the underlying collateral). The Impairment Committee meets on a monthly basis.

If concessions are granted to a borrower as a result of its financial difficulties, the loan is classified as a TDR and analyzed for possible impairment as part of the credit approval process. TDRs determined to be impaired are reserved in accordance with FASB ASC 130 in the same manner as impaired loans which are not TDRs. TDRs not determined to have an impairment are reserved consistent with loans of similar risk, performance and structure. Should the borrower's financial condition, collateral protection or performance deteriorate, warranting reassessment of the loan rating or impairment, additional reserves may be required.

Any loan or portion thereof which is classified as loss by regulatory examiners or which is determined by management to be uncollectible, because of factors such as the borrower's failure to pay interest or principal, the borrower's financial condition, economic conditions in the borrower's industry or the inadequacy of underlying collateral, is charged off. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Bank's allowance for credit losses and may require an increase in the provision for credit losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management.

Loans Held for Sale

Mortgages originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value in the aggregate. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of existing commitments or the current market value of similar loans. Loan sales are recognized when the transaction closes, the proceeds are collected, ownership is transferred and, through the sales agreement, continuing involvement consists of the right to service the loan for a fee for the life of the loan, if applicable. Gains on the sale of loans held for sale are recorded as part of mortgage lending revenue on the statement of income.

In the course of conducting the Company's mortgage lending activities of originating mortgage loans and selling those loans in the secondary market, various representations and warranties are made to the purchasers of the mortgage loans. Every loan closed by the Bank's mortgage center is run through a government agency automated underwriting system. Any exceptions noted during this process are remedied prior to sale. These representations and warranties also apply to underwriting the real estate appraisal opinion of value for the collateral securing these loans. Under the representations and warranties, failure by the Company to comply with the underwriting and/or appraisal standards could result in the Company being required to repurchase the mortgage loan or to reimburse the investor for losses incurred (make whole requests) if such failure cannot be cured by the Company within the specified period following discovery. During 2010, eleven mortgage loans totaling \$1.6 million were repurchased or otherwise settled as a result of underwriting and appraisal standard exceptions or make whole requests. Losses of approximately \$314,000 were recognized related to these repurchased and make whole loans.

Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) optional repurchase programs allow financial institutions to buy back individual delinquent mortgage loans that meet certain criteria from the securitized loan pool for which the institution provides servicing. At the servicer's option and without GNMA's prior authorization, the servicer may repurchase such a delinquent loan for an amount equal to 100 percent of the remaining principal balance of the loan. Under FASB ASC 860 this buy-back option is considered a conditional option until the delinquency criteria are met, at which time the option becomes unconditional. When the Company is deemed to have regained effective control over these loans under the unconditional buy-back option, the loans can no longer be reported as sold and must be brought back onto the balance sheet as loans held for sale, regardless of whether the Company intends to exercise the buy-back option. These loans are reported as held for sale in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles with the offsetting liability being reported as other liabilities. At December 31, 2010, the amount of loans subject to buy back was \$18.6 million. These loans are excluded from the disclosure of nonperforming loans and leases in Note 5, Loans and Leases.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Provisions for depreciation and amortization, computed using straight-line methods, are charged to expense over the shorter of the

Table of Contents

lease term or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized. Expenditures for routine maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired through foreclosure, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure proceedings or acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure, is reported on an individual asset basis at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated selling costs. Fair value is determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources. Any excess of the loan balance at the time of foreclosure over the fair value of the real estate held as collateral is charged to the allowance for credit losses. Based upon management's evaluation of the real estate acquired through foreclosure, additional expense may be recorded and included in other noninterest expense when necessary in an amount sufficient to reflect any declines in estimated fair value. Gains and losses realized on the disposition of the properties are included in other noninterest expense.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents costs in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired in connection with purchase business combinations. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC 350, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment in accordance with FASB ASC 360, Property, Plant and Equipment. Goodwill and other intangible assets are reviewed annually within the fourth quarter for possible impairment, or sooner if a goodwill impairment indicator is identified. If impaired, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. No impairment charges have been recognized through December 31, 2010.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes as assets the rights to service mortgage loans for others, known as MSR. The Company records MSR at fair value on a recurring basis with subsequent remeasurement of MSR based on change in fair value in accordance with FASB ASC 860. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSR is determined utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. Because the valuation is determined by using discounted cash flow models, the primary risk inherent in valuing the MSR is the impact of fluctuating interest rates on the estimated life of the servicing revenue stream. The use of different estimates or assumptions could also produce different fair values. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of MSR and, therefore, the Company is susceptible to significant fluctuations in the fair value of its MSR in changing interest rate environments. MSR are included in the other assets category of the consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of MSR are recorded as part of mortgage lending noninterest revenue on the consolidated statement of income.

Pension and Postretirement Benefits Accounting

The Company accounts for its defined benefit pension plans using an actuarial model as required by FASB ASC 715. This model uses an approach that allocates pension costs over the service period of employees in the plan. The Company also accounts for its other postretirement benefits using the requirements of FASB ASC 715. FASB ASC 715 requires the Company to recognize net periodic postretirement benefit costs as employees render the services necessary to earn their postretirement benefits. The principle underlying the accounting as required by FASB ASC 715 is that employees render service ratably over the service period and, therefore, the income statement effects of the Company's defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans should follow the same pattern. The Company accounts for the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit and other postretirement plans as an asset or liability in its consolidated balance sheets and recognizes changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income, as required by FASB ASC 715.

The discount rate is the rate used to determine the present value of the Company's future benefit obligations for its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The Company determines the discount rate to be used to discount plan liabilities at the measurement date with the assistance of our actuary using the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Company developed a level equivalent yield using the expected cash flows from the BancorpSouth, Inc. Retirement Plan (the Basic Plan), the BancorpSouth, Inc. Restoration Plan (the Restoration Plan) and the

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Citigroup Pension Discount Curve is published on the Society of Actuaries website along with a background paper on this interest rate curve. Based on this analysis, the Company established its discount rate assumptions for determination of the projected benefit obligation at 5.50% for the Basic Plan, 5.15% for the Restoration Plan, and 4.50% for the Supplemental Plan based on a December 31, 2010 measurement date.

Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2010, the Company had three stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 16, Stock Incentive and Stock Option Plans. The Company adopted FASB ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation (FASB ASC 718), on January 1, 2006. As a result, the Company recognized compensation costs for unvested awards granted before the adoption of FASB ASC 718 of approximately \$2,000 in 2008. The Company recognized compensation costs for unvested awards granted since 2006 of \$2.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.1 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 16, Stock Incentive and Stock Option Plans, for further disclosures regarding stock-based compensation.

Derivative Instruments

The derivative instruments held by the Company include commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual, fixed-rate mortgage loans. The Company's objective in obtaining the forward commitments is to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the commitments to fund the fixed-rate mortgage loans. Both the commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans and the forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans are reported at fair value, with adjustments being recorded in current period earnings, and are not accounted for as hedges.

The Company also enters into derivative financial instruments to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. Upon entering into these instruments to meet customer needs, the Company enters into offsetting positions to minimize interest rate and equity risk to the Company. These derivative financial instruments are reported at fair value with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings. These instruments and their offsetting positions are recorded in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2010, the notional amount of customer related derivative financial instruments was \$514.8 million with an average maturity of 73 months, an average interest receive rate of 2.5% and an average interest pay rate of 6.1%.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in the other assets and other liabilities category of the consolidated balance sheet as applicable.

Insurance Commissions

Commission income is recorded as of the effective date of insurance coverage or the billing date, whichever is later. Contingent commissions and commissions on premiums billed and collected directly by insurance companies are recorded as revenue when received, which is our first notification of amounts earned. The income effects of subsequent premium and fee adjustments are recorded when the adjustments become known.

Recent Pronouncements

Effective September 30, 2009, the Company adopted the new FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification). The Codification became the primary source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification does not change or alter existing U.S. GAAP and the adoption of the Codification has had no impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. The Company plans to leave out specific references to the codification in an effort to simplify the financial statements.

Table of Contents

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements. This new accounting standard requires employers to recognize a liability for future benefits provided through endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements that extend into postretirement periods in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Entities recognized the effects of applying this new accounting standard through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position as of the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. The adoption of this new accounting standard resulted in a cumulative-effect adjustment that reduced retained earnings by \$3.6 million at January 1, 2008.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding fair value options for financial assets and financial liabilities. This new accounting standard permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Company did not elect the fair value option in regards to items not previously recorded at fair value. Therefore, the adoption of this new accounting standard has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding written loan commitments recorded at fair value through earnings. This new accounting standard rescinds prior prohibitions on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. The new accounting standard applies to any loan commitment for which fair value accounting is elected. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding written loan commitments recorded at fair value through earnings has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding fair value measurements. This new accounting standard establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding fair value measurements has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding business combinations. This new accounting standard expands the definition of transactions and events that qualify as business combinations; requires that the acquired assets and liabilities, including contingencies and loans, be recorded at fair value determined on the acquisition date; changes the recognition timing for restructuring costs; and requires the expensing of acquisition costs as incurred. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding business combinations has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. This new accounting standard requires that acquired assets and liabilities be measured at full fair value without consideration to ownership percentage. Any non-controlling interests in an acquiree should be presented as a separate component of equity rather than on a mezzanine level. Additionally, this new accounting standard provides that net income or loss should be reported in the consolidated income statement at its consolidated amount, with disclosure on the face of the consolidated income statement of the amount of consolidated net income which is attributable to the parent and non-controlling interest, respectively. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements has had no impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. The Company does not have any non-controlling interests as it wholly owns all of its subsidiaries.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. This new accounting standard changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring entities to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under an existing standard regarding derivative instruments and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This new accounting standard regarding disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities has impacted disclosures only and has not had an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. All required disclosures are contained herein.

In April 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding the determination of fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and identifying transactions that are not orderly. This new accounting standard provides guidance on how to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities in an environment where the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased and re-emphasizes that the objective of a fair value measurement remains an exit price.

Table of Contents

The adoption of this new accounting standard did not have an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In April 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment which amends existing guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairment on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The new accounting standard did not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The new accounting standard specifies that a debt security is considered other-than-temporarily impaired when an entity's management intends to sell the security or that it is more-likely-than-not that the entity will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its cost basis. The guidance requires that for impaired held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities that an entity does not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery but for which credit losses exist, the other-than-temporary impairment should be separated between the total impairment related to credit losses, which should be recognized in current earnings, and the amount of impairment related to all other factors, which should be recognized in other comprehensive income. There was no initial effect of adoption of this new accounting standard regarding recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment on the financial position or results of operations of the Company because all previously taken impairment was deemed to be credit related.

Effective June 30, 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard regarding subsequent events. This new accounting standard establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The Company has evaluated any subsequent events through the date of this filing. The Company does not believe there are any material subsequent events which would require further disclosure. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding subsequent events has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In December 2009, the Company adopted a new accounting standard related to the disclosures of plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan which provides guidance on additional disclosures about plan assets. The adoption of this new accounting standard has impacted disclosures only and has not had an impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding accounting for transfers of financial assets. This new accounting standard eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity's continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding accounting for transfers of financial assets has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities. This new accounting standard amends existing accounting literature regarding consolidation of variable interest entities to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this new accounting standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In July 2010, the FASB issued a new accounting standard regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. This new accounting standard amends existing accounting literature regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. This new accounting standard is effective for fiscal years and interim reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. This new accounting standard regarding disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses impacts disclosures only and are included in Note 6 which follows. The new accounting standard will not have an impact on the financial

position or results of operations of the Company.

Table of Contents**(2) BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company had two insurance agency acquisitions which were not material to the operations of the Company. An insurance agency, headquartered in Nacogdoches, Texas, and an insurance broker in Springfield, Missouri were acquired on January 1, 2008.

(3) HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES

A comparison of amortized cost and estimated fair values of held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows:

| | Amortized Cost | 2010 | | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 1,246,649 | \$ 27,082 | \$ 4,320 | \$ 1,269,411 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 366,370 | 4,286 | 7,376 | 363,280 |
| Total | \$ 1,613,019 | \$ 31,368 | \$ 11,696 | \$ 1,632,691 |

| | Amortized Cost | 2009 | | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 798,660 | \$ 39,685 | \$ | \$ 838,345 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 234,162 | 6,238 | 670 | 239,730 |
| Total | \$ 1,032,822 | \$ 45,923 | \$ 670 | \$ 1,078,075 |

Gross gains of approximately \$155,000 and no gross losses were recognized in 2010, gross gains of approximately \$113,000 and gross losses of approximately \$2,000 were recognized in 2009 and gross gains of approximately \$284,000 and gross losses of approximately \$5,000 were recognized in 2008 on held-to-maturity securities. These gains and losses were generally the result of held-to-maturity securities being called prior to maturity. Included in the amounts for 2008, however, was a gross gain of approximately \$142,000 related to the sale of held-to-maturity securities with an amortized cost of \$30.0 million. These securities were sold because the maturity date was within 90 days of the sale date. The sale of these securities occurred so near maturity that management believed changes in interest rates would not have a significant impact on fair value, therefore, not altering management's intent regarding the held-to-maturity portfolio.

Held-to-maturity securities with a carrying value of \$731.0 million at December 31, 2010 were pledged to secure public and trust funds on deposit and for other purposes. Included in held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2010 were securities with a carrying value of \$213.0 million issued by a political subdivision within the State of Mississippi and securities with a carrying value of \$81.0 million issued by a political subdivision within the State of Arkansas.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2010 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Maturing in one year or less | \$ 252,829 | \$ 258,000 |
| Maturing after one year through five years | 1,054,634 | 1,069,000 |
| Maturing after five years through ten years | 118,174 | 124,181 |
| Maturing after ten years | 187,382 | 181,510 |
| Total | \$ 1,613,019 | \$ 1,632,691 |

A summary of temporarily impaired held-to-maturity investments with continuous unrealized loss positions at December 31, 2010 follows:

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 401,482 | \$ 4,320 | \$ | \$ | \$ 401,482 | \$ 4,320 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 141,872 | 7,219 | 3,447 | 157 | 145,319 | 7,376 |
| Total | \$ 543,354 | \$ 11,539 | \$ 3,447 | \$ 157 | \$ 546,801 | \$ 11,696 |

Based upon review of the credit quality of these securities, and considering that the issuers were in compliance with the terms of the securities, management had no intent to sell these securities, and it was more likely than not that the Company would not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery of costs. Therefore, the impairments related to these securities were determined to be temporary. No other-than-temporary impairment was recorded during 2010 on held-to-maturity securities.

(4) AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES

A comparison of amortized cost and estimated fair values of available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows:

| | 2010 | | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 416,005 | \$ 17,153 | \$ | \$ 433,158 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities | 498,874 | 5,954 | 1,599 | 503,229 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-backed securities | 29,582 | 676 | 264 | 29,994 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 110,946 | 965 | 1,746 | 110,165 |
| Other | 18,943 | 573 | | 19,516 |
| Total | \$ 1,074,350 | \$ 25,321 | \$ 3,609 | \$ 1,096,062 |

Table of Contents

| | 2009 | | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 493,970 | \$ 18,325 | \$ 207 | \$ 512,088 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities | 282,634 | 9,906 | 122 | 292,418 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-backed securities | 18,229 | 693 | 85 | 18,837 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 109,751 | 1,589 | 502 | 110,838 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 2,125 | | | 2,125 |
| Other | 23,967 | 500 | 1 | 24,466 |
| Total | \$ 930,676 | \$ 31,013 | \$ 917 | \$ 960,772 |

At December 31, 2010, the Company's available-for-sale securities included FHLB stock with a carrying value of \$18.7 million compared to a required investment of \$12.5 million. FHLB stock is carried at amortized cost in the financial statements.

Gross gains of \$4.5 million and gross losses of \$2.1 million were recognized in 2010, gross gains of approximately \$84,000 and gross losses of approximately \$250,000 were recognized in 2009 and gross gains of \$2.5 million and gross losses of \$8.6 million were recognized in 2008 on available-for-sale securities. The gross losses of \$2.1 million in 2010, approximately \$250,000 in 2009 and \$8.6 million in 2008 were the result of the other-than-temporary impairment charge related to credit losses on the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities. The fair value of these securities was negatively impacted by market conditions. Subsequent to the other-than-temporary charges in 2010, the securities had no remaining book value.

Available-for-sale securities with a carrying value of \$744.3 million at December 31, 2010 were pledged to secure public and trust funds on deposit and for other purposes. Included in available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2010, were securities with a carrying value of \$45.9 million issued by a political subdivision within the State of Mississippi and securities with a carrying value of \$55.4 million issued by a political subdivision within the State of Arkansas.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2010 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Equity securities are considered as maturing after ten years.

| | 2010 | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Maturing in one year or less | \$ 142,741 | \$ 146,150 |
| Maturing after one year through five years | 571,870 | 586,204 |
| Maturing after five years through ten years | 152,043 | 154,281 |
| Maturing after ten years | 207,696 | 209,427 |
| Total | \$ 1,074,350 | \$ 1,096,062 |

A summary of temporarily impaired available-for-sale investments with continuous unrealized loss positions at December 31, 2010 follows:

81

Table of Contents

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | | | (In thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-backed securities | 184,820 | 1,599 | | | 184,820 | 1,599 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-backed securities | 7,843 | 177 | 3,996 | 87 | 11,839 | 264 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 36,884 | 1,601 | 841 | 145 | 37,725 | 1,746 |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 229,547 | \$ 3,377 | \$ 4,837 | \$ 232 | \$ 234,384 | \$ 3,609 |

Based upon a review of the credit quality of these securities, and considering that the issuers were in compliance with the terms of the securities, management had no intent to sell these securities, and it was more likely than not that the Company would not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery of costs. Therefore, the impairments related to these securities were determined to be temporary. During 2010, the Company recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$2.1 million related to credit losses on the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities.

(5) LOANS AND LEASES

The Company's loan and lease portfolio is disaggregated into the following segments: commercial and industrial, real estate, credit card and all other loans and leases. The real estate segment is further disaggregated into the following classes: consumer mortgage; home equity; agricultural; commercial and industrial-owner occupied; construction, acquisition and development and commercial. A summary of gross loans and leases by segment and class at December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,505,471 | \$ 1,484,011 |
| Real estate | | |
| Consumer mortgage | 1,978,145 | 2,017,067 |
| Home equity | 543,272 | 550,085 |
| Agricultural | 252,292 | 262,069 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 1,331,473 | 1,449,554 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 1,148,161 | 1,459,503 |
| Commercial | 1,816,951 | 1,806,766 |
| Credit Cards | 106,345 | 108,086 |
| All other | 694,241 | 685,845 |
| Total | \$ 9,376,351 | \$ 9,822,986 |

The Company does not have any loan concentrations, other than those reflected in the preceding table, which exceed 10% of total loans. The following table shows the Company's net loans and leases as of December 31, 2010 by geographical location:

Table of Contents

| | Alabama and Florida Panhandle | Arkansas | Mississippi | Missouri | Tennessee* | Texas and Louisiana | Other | Total |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 78,249 | \$ 194,324 | \$ 310,101 | \$ 88,494 | \$ 120,206 | \$ 273,747 | \$ 426,062 | \$ 1,491,183 |
| Real estate | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 123,313 | 275,873 | 785,321 | 66,829 | 259,717 | 388,085 | 79,007 | 1,978,145 |
| Home equity | 68,188 | 44,804 | 179,074 | 32,818 | 155,975 | 59,962 | 2,451 | 543,272 |
| Agricultural | 6,742 | 79,051 | 75,959 | 4,176 | 30,524 | 50,654 | 5,186 | 252,292 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 121,009 | 176,297 | 465,894 | 69,541 | 212,835 | 225,728 | 60,169 | 1,331,473 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 129,398 | 95,620 | 294,139 | 100,980 | 312,743 | 193,494 | 21,787 | 1,148,161 |
| Commercial | 211,758 | 332,504 | 352,873 | 267,433 | 238,543 | 368,859 | 44,981 | 1,816,951 |
| Credit cards** | | | | | | | 106,345 | 106,345 |
| All other | 16,875 | 37,999 | 76,937 | 1,284 | 64,080 | 27,024 | 441,086 | 665,285 |
| Total | \$ 755,532 | \$ 1,236,472 | \$ 2,540,298 | \$ 631,555 | \$ 1,394,623 | \$ 1,587,553 | \$ 1,187,074 | \$ 9,333,107 |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

** Credit card receivables are spread across all geographic regions but are not viewed by the Company's management as part of the geographic breakdown.

A substantial portion of construction, acquisition and development loans are secured by real estate in markets in which the Company is located. These loans are often structured with interest reserves to fund interest costs during the construction and development period. Additionally, certain of these loans are structured with interest-only terms. A portion of the consumer mortgage and commercial real estate portfolios originated through the permanent financing of construction, acquisition and development loans. The prolonged economic downturn has negatively impacted many borrowers' and guarantors' ability to make payments under the terms of the loans as their liquidity has been depleted. Accordingly, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of these loans and the recovery of a substantial portion of the carrying amount of other real estate owned are susceptible to changes in real estate values in these areas. Continued economic distress could negatively impact additional borrowers' and guarantors' ability to repay their debt which will make more of the Company's loans collateral dependent.

The following table provides details regarding the aging of the Company's loan and lease portfolio, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2010:

| 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90+ Days Past Due | Total Past Due (In thousands) | Current | Total Outstanding | 90+ Days Past Due still Accruing |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---|
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---|

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 13,037 | \$ 848 | \$ 12,000 | \$ 25,885 | \$ 1,465,298 | \$ 1,491,183 | \$ 675 |
| Real estate | | | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 18,010 | 5,168 | 28,205 | 51,383 | 1,926,762 | 1,978,145 | 6,521 |
| Home equity | 1,258 | 800 | 755 | 2,813 | 540,459 | 543,272 | 173 |
| Agricultural | 1,140 | 3,450 | 3,527 | 8,117 | 244,175 | 252,292 | 123 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 9,260 | 1,290 | 7,323 | 17,873 | 1,313,600 | 1,331,473 | 20 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 21,363 | 9,150 | 86,699 | 117,212 | 1,030,949 | 1,148,161 | 197 |
| Commercial | 4,409 | 4,712 | 10,507 | 19,628 | 1,797,323 | 1,816,951 | |
| Credit cards | 793 | 373 | 780 | 1,946 | 104,399 | 106,345 | 330 |
| All other | 2,058 | 1,117 | 847 | 4,022 | 661,263 | 665,285 | 461 |
| Total | \$ 71,328 | \$ 26,908 | \$ 150,643 | \$ 248,879 | \$ 9,084,228 | \$ 9,333,107 | \$ 8,500 |

The following table provides details of the Company's loan and lease portfolio, net of unearned income, by internally assigned grade at December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Impaired | Total |
|---|----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,429,443 | \$ 5,764 | \$ 51,562 | \$ 1,577 | \$ 701 | \$ 2,136 | \$ 1,491,183 |
| Real estate | | | | | | | |
| Consumer mortgage | 1,816,472 | 1,867 | 117,794 | 3,202 | 123 | 38,687 | 1,978,145 |
| Home equity | 527,047 | 1,231 | 13,169 | 613 | 361 | 851 | 543,272 |
| Agricultural | 226,054 | 309 | 21,614 | | 20 | 4,295 | 252,292 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 1,250,265 | 1,422 | 62,783 | 900 | 30 | 16,073 | 1,331,473 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 842,993 | 1,882 | 125,639 | 2,147 | 1,046 | 174,454 | 1,148,161 |
| Commercial | 1,688,228 | 5,565 | 86,358 | 98 | 495 | 36,207 | 1,816,951 |
| Credit Cards | 106,181 | 11 | 146 | 7 | | | 106,345 |
| All other | 641,292 | 35 | 22,735 | 477 | 44 | 702 | 665,285 |
| Total | \$ 8,527,975 | \$ 18,086 | \$ 501,800 | \$ 9,021 | \$ 2,820 | \$ 273,405 | \$ 9,333,107 |

The following table provides details regarding impaired loans and leases, net of unearned income, by segment and class at December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | Recorded Balance in Impaired Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance of Impaired Loans (In thousands) | Related Allowance |
|---|--|--|----------------------|
| With no related allowance: | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 1,457 | \$ 2,600 | \$ |
| Consumer mortgage | 15,299 | 22,288 | |
| Home equity | 290 | 629 | |
| Agricultural | 1,439 | 1,981 | |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 10,920 | 12,371 | |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 76,133 | 112,923 | |
| Commercial | 15,795 | 20,478 | |
| Credit Cards | | | |
| All other | 702 | 931 | |
| Total | \$ 122,035 | \$ 174,201 | \$ |
| With an allowance: | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 679 | \$ 977 | \$ 125 |
| Consumer mortgage | 23,388 | 25,373 | 4,629 |
| Home equity | 561 | 561 | 41 |
| Agricultural | 2,856 | 3,132 | 544 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 5,153 | 5,298 | 1,361 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 98,321 | 114,809 | 28,792 |
| Commercial | 20,412 | 21,026 | 5,227 |
| Credit Cards | | | |
| All other | | | |
| Total | \$ 151,370 | \$ 171,176 | \$ 40,719 |
| Total: | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 2,136 | \$ 3,577 | \$ 125 |
| Consumer mortgage | 38,687 | 47,661 | 4,629 |
| Home equity | 851 | 1,190 | 41 |
| Agricultural | 4,295 | 5,113 | 544 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 16,073 | 17,669 | 1,361 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 174,454 | 227,732 | 28,792 |
| Commercial | 36,207 | 41,504 | 5,227 |
| Credit Cards | | | |
| All other | 702 | 931 | |

| | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Total | \$ 273,405 | \$ 345,377 | \$ 40,719 |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------|

The following table provides details regarding impaired real estate construction, acquisition and development loans and leases, net of unearned income, by collateral type at December 31, 2010:

85

Table of Contents

| | Recorded Balance in Impaired Loans | Unpaid Principal Balance of Impaired Loans (In thousands) | Related Allowance |
|---|--|--|----------------------|
| With no related allowance: | | | |
| Multi-family construction | \$ 8,293 | \$ 9,975 | \$ |
| One-to-four family construction | 2,440 | 3,734 | |
| Recreation and all other loans | 392 | 580 | |
| Commercial construction | 11,171 | 13,062 | |
| Commercial acquisition and development | 7,897 | 12,501 | |
| Residential acquisition and development | 45,940 | 73,071 | |
| Total | \$ 76,133 | \$ 112,923 | \$ |
| With an allowance: | | | |
| Multi-family construction | \$ 1,904 | \$ 6,978 | \$ 4 |
| One-to-four family construction | 5,546 | 6,117 | 529 |
| Recreation and all other loans | 498 | 498 | 148 |
| Commercial construction | 12,459 | 12,612 | 5,246 |
| Commercial acquisition and development | 21,575 | 21,575 | 8,424 |
| Residential acquisition and development | 56,339 | 67,029 | 14,441 |
| Total | \$ 98,321 | \$ 114,809 | \$ 28,792 |
| Total: | | | |
| Multi-family construction | \$ 10,197 | \$ 16,953 | \$ 4 |
| One-to-four family construction | 7,986 | 9,851 | 529 |
| Recreation and all other loans | 890 | 1,078 | 148 |
| Commercial construction | 23,630 | 25,674 | 5,246 |
| Commercial acquisition and development | 29,472 | 34,076 | 8,424 |
| Residential acquisition and development | 102,279 | 140,100 | 14,441 |
| Total | \$ 174,454 | \$ 227,732 | \$ 28,792 |

Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310 are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company's recorded investment in loans considered impaired at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$273.4 million and \$128.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$151.4 million and \$73.2 million, respectively, of those impaired loans had a valuation allowance of \$40.7 million and \$22.7 million, respectively. The remaining balance of impaired loans of \$122.0 million and \$55.3 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were charged down to fair value, less estimated selling costs, which approximated net realizable value. Therefore, such loans did not have an associated valuation allowance. Impaired loans that were characterized as TDRs totaled \$63.7 million and \$72.6 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average recorded

investment in impaired loans during 2010 and 2009 was \$218.8 million and \$64.6 million, respectively.

NPLs consist of non-accrual loans and leases, loans and leases 90 days or more past due and still accruing, and loans and leases that have been restructured because of the borrower's weakened financial condition. The following table presents information concerning NPLs at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Non-accrual loans and leases | \$ 347,499 | \$ 144,013 |
| Loans and leases 90 days or more past due, still accruing | 8,500 | 36,301 |
| Restructured loans and leases still accruing | 38,376 | 6,161 |
| Total | \$ 394,375 | \$ 186,475 |

Table of Contents

The Bank's policy for all loan classifications provides that loans and leases are generally placed in non-accrual status if, in management's opinion, payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan or lease is both well-secured and in the process of collection. At December 31, 2010, the Company's geographic NPL distribution was concentrated primarily in its Alabama, Missouri and Tennessee markets, including the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi. The following table presents the Company's nonaccrual loans and leases by segment and class at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 13,075 | \$ 4,852 |
| Real estate | | |
| Consumer mortgages | 46,496 | 20,731 |
| Home equity | 811 | 1,642 |
| Agricultural | 7,589 | 1,136 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 20,338 | 7,039 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 199,072 | 82,170 |
| Commercial | 57,766 | 23,209 |
| Credit cards | 720 | 1,044 |
| All other | 1,632 | 2,190 |
| Total | \$ 347,499 | \$ 144,013 |

The total amount of interest earned on NPLs was \$11.2 million, \$4.1 million and approximately \$495,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The gross interest income which would have been recorded under the original terms of those loans and leases amounted to \$21.7 million, \$8.4 million and \$1.8 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(6) ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The following summarizes the changes in the allowance for credit losses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 176,043 | \$ 132,793 | \$ 115,197 |
| Provision charged to expense | 204,016 | 117,324 | 56,176 |
| Recoveries | 7,876 | 4,139 | 3,913 |
| Loans and leases charged off | (191,022) | (78,213) | (42,067) |
| Other, net | | | (426) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 196,913 | \$ 176,043 | \$ 132,793 |

The following table summarizes the changes in the allowance for credit losses by segment and class for 2010 and 2009:

Table of Contents

| | Balance, Beginning of Period | 2010 | | | Balance, End of Period |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Charge-offs | Recoveries (In thousands) | Provision | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 21,154 | \$ (11,879) | \$ 1,330 | \$ 11,874 | \$ 22,479 |
| Consumer mortgage | 37,048 | (25,639) | 1,448 | 24,490 | 37,347 |
| Home equity | 7,218 | (5,215) | 179 | 5,123 | 7,305 |
| Agricultural | 4,192 | (1,201) | 12 | 1,994 | 4,997 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 22,989 | (9,200) | 399 | 6,215 | 20,403 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 46,193 | (113,237) | 1,706 | 122,579 | 57,241 |
| Commercial | 26,694 | (14,084) | 845 | 19,984 | 33,439 |
| Credit Cards | 3,481 | (4,559) | 829 | 4,375 | 4,126 |
| All other | 7,074 | (6,008) | 1,128 | 7,382 | 9,576 |
| Total | \$ 176,043 | \$ (191,022) | \$ 7,876 | \$ 204,016 | \$ 196,913 |

| | Balance, Beginning of Period | 2009 | | | Balance, End of Period |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Charge-offs | Recoveries (In thousands) | Provision | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 19,150 | \$ (9,534) | \$ 761 | \$ 10,777 | \$ 21,154 |
| Consumer mortgage | 31,158 | (13,917) | 824 | 18,983 | 37,048 |
| Home equity | 5,689 | (5,372) | 109 | 6,792 | 7,218 |
| Agricultural | 3,167 | (848) | 2 | 1,871 | 4,192 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 17,982 | (4,033) | 297 | 8,743 | 22,989 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 29,771 | (32,638) | 128 | 48,932 | 46,193 |
| Commercial | 17,899 | (3,584) | 189 | 12,190 | 26,694 |
| Credit Cards | 1,572 | (4,770) | 617 | 6,062 | 3,481 |
| All other | 6,405 | (3,517) | 1,212 | 2,974 | 7,074 |
| Total | \$ 132,793 | \$ (78,213) | \$ 4,139 | \$ 117,324 | \$ 176,043 |

The following table provides the allowance for credit losses by segment and class further separated by impairment status at December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| | Recorded Balance of Impaired Loans | Allowance for Impaired Loans and Leases (In thousands) | Allowance for All Other Loans and Leases | Total Allowance |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------|
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 2,136 | \$ 125 | \$ 22,354 | \$ 22,479 |
| Consumer mortgage | 38,687 | 4,629 | 32,718 | 37,347 |
| Home equity | 851 | 41 | 7,264 | 7,305 |
| Agricultural | 4,295 | 544 | 4,453 | 4,997 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 16,073 | 1,361 | 19,042 | 20,403 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 174,454 | 28,792 | 28,449 | 57,241 |
| Commercial | 36,207 | 5,227 | 28,212 | 33,439 |
| Credit Cards | | | 4,126 | 4,126 |
| All other | 702 | | 9,576 | 9,576 |
| Total | \$ 273,405 | \$ 40,719 | \$ 156,194 | \$ 196,913 |

The impaired loans are evaluated individually in determining the adequacy of the allowance for impaired loans.

(7) OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

The following table presents the activity in other real estate owned for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 (In Thousands) | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 59,265 | \$ 46,317 |
| Additions to foreclosed properties | | |
| New foreclosed property | 129,796 | 61,770 |
| Reductions in foreclosed properties | | |
| Sales | (45,217) | (40,859) |
| Writedowns | (10,432) | (7,963) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 133,412 | \$ 59,265 |

Substantially all of these amounts related to one-to-four family residential properties and development projects that were either completed or were in various states of construction. The following table presents the other real estate owned by geographical location and collateral type at December 31, 2010:

| | Alabama and Florida Panhandle | Arkansas | Mississippi | Missouri | Tennessee* | Texas and Louisiana | Other | Total |
|---|--|----------|-------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial Real estate | \$ 358 | \$ 19 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 377 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Consumer mortgages | 7,334 | 1,068 | 4,154 | 1,407 | 9,534 | 831 | 2,892 | 27,220 |
| Home equity | 20 | | 67 | | 575 | | | 662 |
| Agricultural | | 93 | 333 | | 935 | | | 1,361 |
| Commercial and industrial-owner occupied | 294 | 93 | 663 | 78 | 5,376 | | | 6,504 |
| Construction, acquisition and development | 12,241 | 1,322 | 14,570 | 5,387 | 37,132 | 41 | 263 | 70,956 |
| Commercial | 5,511 | 1,913 | 2,308 | | 11,465 | 227 | 903 | 22,327 |
| All other | | 43 | 2,024 | | | | 1,938 | 4,005 |
| Total | \$ 25,758 | \$ 4,551 | \$ 24,119 | \$ 6,872 | \$ 65,017 | \$ 1,099 | \$ 5,996 | \$ 133,412 |

* The totals for Tennessee include the greater Memphis, Tennessee area, a portion of which is in northwest Mississippi.

Table of Contents

Of the \$65.0 million other real estate owned located in the Tennessee market, 89.2% was specifically located in the greater Memphis area.

The Company incurred total foreclosed property expenses of \$18.4 million, \$13.6 million and \$4.9 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Realized net losses on dispositions and holding losses on valuations of these properties, a component of total foreclosed property expenses, were \$14.2 million, \$10.8 million and \$3.2 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(8) PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

A summary by asset classification at December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows:

| | Estimated Useful Life (Years) | 2010 (In thousands) | 2009 |
|---|--|------------------------|------------|
| Land | N/A | \$ 74,270 | \$ 74,593 |
| Buildings and improvements | 10-40 | 305,201 | 300,003 |
| Leasehold improvements | 10-39 | 9,676 | 10,001 |
| Equipment, furniture and fixtures | 3-12 | 269,880 | 259,572 |
| Construction in progress | N/A | 8,209 | 7,617 |
| Subtotal | | 667,236 | 651,786 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | | 334,346 | 307,909 |
| Premises and equipment, net | | \$ 332,890 | \$ 343,877 |

(9) GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Community Banking | 2010 Insurance Agencies (In thousands) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2010 | \$ 217,618 | \$ 52,479 | \$ 270,097 |
| Goodwill recorded during the year | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 217,618 | \$ 52,479 | \$ 270,097 |
| | Community Banking | 2009 Insurance Agencies (In thousands) | Total |
| Balance as of January 1, 2009 | \$ 217,618 | \$ 51,348 | \$ 268,966 |
| Goodwill recorded during the year | | 1,131 | 1,131 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009 | \$ 217,618 | \$ 52,479 | \$ 270,097 |

The goodwill recorded in the insurance agency segment during 2009 was related to an earn-out payment associated with an insignificant insurance agency acquired during the first quarter of 2008.

The Company's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting segment level on an annual basis or sooner if an event occurs or circumstances change which indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit is below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of each reporting segment in assessing impairment at least annually. The Company's annual assessment date is during the Company's fourth quarter. Because of the volatile market conditions during which the Company's market value fell below book value, the Company performed a complete goodwill impairment analysis for all of its reporting segments during the third quarter of 2010. Based on this analysis, the estimated fair value of all of the Company's

Table of Contents

reporting segments exceeded their respective carrying values by more than 10%. The Company's annual goodwill impairment evaluation performed during the fourth quarter also indicated no impairment of goodwill for its reporting units. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was recorded during 2010. The Company's annual goodwill impairment evaluation for 2009 indicated no impairment of goodwill for its reporting units. The Company will continue to test reporting unit goodwill for potential impairment on an annual basis in the Company's fourth quarter, or sooner if a goodwill impairment indicator is identified.

In the current environment, forecasting cash flows, credit losses and growth in addition to valuing the Company's assets with any degree of assurance is very difficult and subject to significant changes over very short periods of time. Management will continue to update its analysis as circumstances change. As market conditions continue to be volatile and unpredictable, impairment of goodwill related to the Company's reporting segments may be necessary in future periods.

The following table presents information regarding the components of the Company's identifiable intangible assets included in the other assets category on the consolidated balance sheet for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Year ended December 31, 2010 | | Year ended December 31, 2009 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Core deposit intangibles | \$ 27,801 | \$ 19,716 | \$ 27,801 | \$ 18,408 |
| Customer relationship intangibles | 32,511 | 21,661 | 32,511 | 19,060 |
| Non-solicitation intangibles | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Total | \$ 60,912 | \$ 41,977 | \$ 60,912 | \$ 38,068 |
| Unamortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Trade names | \$ 688 | \$ | \$ 688 | \$ |

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Aggregate amortization expense for: | | |
| Core deposit intangibles | \$ 1,308 | \$ 1,801 |
| Customer relationship intangibles | 2,601 | 2,996 |
| Non-solicitation intangibles | | 160 |
| Total | \$ 3,909 | \$ 4,957 |

The following table presents information regarding estimated amortization expense of the Company's amortizable identifiable intangible assets for the year ending December 31, 2011, and the succeeding four years:

| | Core Deposit Intangibles | Customer Relationship Intangibles | Total |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-------|
|--|--------------------------------|---|-------|

| | (In thousands) | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Estimated amortization expense: | | | |
| For the year ending December 31, 2011 | \$ 1,016 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 3,239 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2012 | 946 | 1,905 | 2,851 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2013 | 582 | 1,632 | 2,214 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2014 | 526 | 1,398 | 1,924 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2015 | 157 | 1,136 | 1,293 |

Table of Contents**(10) TIME DEPOSITS AND SHORT-TERM DEBT**

Certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more amounting to \$1.8 billion were outstanding at both December 31, 2010 and 2009. Total interest expense relating to certificate and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more totaled \$41.7 million, \$55.5 million and \$66.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

For time deposits with a remaining maturity of more than one year at December 31, 2010, the aggregate amount of maturities for the following five years is presented in the following table:

| Maturing in | Amount (In thousands) |
|--------------|--------------------------|
| 2012 | \$ 604,047 |
| 2013 | 170,365 |
| 2014 | 261,966 |
| 2015 | 212,679 |
| 2016 | 1,019 |
| Thereafter | 219 |
| Total | \$ 1,250,295 |

Presented below is information relating to short-term debt for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | | | | Maximum Outstanding at any Month End |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|
| | End of Period | | Daily Average | | |
| | Balance | Interest Rate | Balance | Interest Rate | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ | % | \$ 877 | 0.11% | \$ |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 440,593 | 0.15 | 486,621 | 0.17 | 514,659 |
| Short-term FHLB advances | 2,727 | 5.72 | 51,638 | 1.03 | 152,738 |
| Total | \$ 443,320 | | \$ 539,136 | | \$ 667,397 |
| | | | | | |
| | 2009 | | | | Maximum Outstanding at any Month End |
| | End of Period | | Daily Average | | |
| | Balance | Interest Rate | Balance | Interest Rate | |

| | | | |
|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total | \$ 743,370 | \$ 1,175,193 | \$ 2,027,874 |
|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|

Table of Contents

| | 2008 | | | | Maximum Outstanding at any Month End |
|---|------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| | End of Period | | Daily Average | | |
| | Balance | Interest Rate | Balance | Interest Rate | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 350,000 | 0.13% | \$ 183,823 | 1.13% | \$ 755,000 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 855,366 | 0.19 | 896,660 | 1.44 | 1,074,963 |
| Federal Reserve discount window borrowings | 250,000 | 0.28 | 19,310 | 1.05 | 250,000 |
| Short-term FHLB advances | 441,510 | 0.07 | 465,027 | 2.45 | 975,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,896,876 | | \$ 1,564,820 | | \$ 3,054,963 |

Federal funds purchased generally mature the day following the date of purchase while securities sold under repurchase agreements generally mature within 30 days from the date of sale. Federal Reserve discount window borrowings generally mature within 90 days following the date of purchase and short-term FHLB borrowings generally mature within 30 days following the date of purchase. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had established non-binding federal funds borrowing lines of credit with other banks aggregating \$1.2 billion.

(11) LONG-TERM FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BORROWINGS

The Bank has entered into a blanket floating lien security agreement with the FHLB of Dallas. Under the terms of this agreement, the Bank is required to maintain sufficient collateral to secure borrowings in an aggregate amount of the lesser of 75% of the book value (i.e., unpaid principal balance) of the Bank's eligible mortgage loans pledged as collateral or 35% of the Bank's assets. At December 31, 2010, there were no call features on long-term FHLB borrowings.

At December 31, 2010, the following FHLB fixed term advances were repayable as follows:

| Final due date | Interest rate | Amount |
|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| 2012 | 4.71% | \$ 1,500 |
| 2013 | 5.95% | 50,000 |
| 2015 | 4.69%-5.06% | 3,500 |
| Thereafter | 4.08%-5.99% | 55,000 |
| Total | | \$ 110,000 |

(12) JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBT SECURITIES

In 2002, the Company issued \$128.9 million in 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities to BancorpSouth Capital Trust I (the "Trust"), a business trust. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of five million shares of 8.15% trust preferred securities, \$25 face value per share, to acquire the 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on January 28, 2032, and are callable at the option of the Company.

Pursuant to the merger with Business Holding Corporation on December 31, 2004, the Company assumed the liability for \$6.2 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities issued to Business Holding Company Trust I, a statutory trust. Business Holding Company Trust I used the proceeds from the issuance of 6,000 shares of trust preferred securities to acquire the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on April 7, 2034, and are callable at the option of the Company, in whole or

in part, on any January 7, April 7, July 7 or October 7 on or after April 7, 2009. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities pay a per annum rate of interest, reset quarterly, equal to the three month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.80% from January 30, 2004 to April 7, 2009 and thereafter at LIBOR plus 2.85%.

Pursuant to the merger with American State Bank Corporation on December 1, 2005, the Company assumed the liability for \$6.7 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities issued to American State Capital Trust I, a statutory trust. American State Capital Trust I used the proceeds from the issuance of 6,500 shares of trust

Table of Contents

preferred securities to acquire the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on April 7, 2034, and are callable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, on July 7, October 7, January 7 or April 7 on or after April 7, 2009. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities pay a per annum rate of interest, reset quarterly, equal to the three month LIBOR plus 2.80%.

Pursuant to the merger with City Bancorp on March 1, 2007, the Company assumed the liability for \$8.2 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities issued to Signature Bancshares Preferred Trust I, a statutory trust. Signature Bancshares Preferred Trust I used the proceeds from the issuance of 8,000 shares of trust preferred securities to acquire the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on October 8, 2033, and are callable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, on any January 8, April 8, July 8 or October 8 on or after October 8, 2008. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities pay a per annum rate of interest, reset quarterly, equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 3.00%.

Pursuant to the merger with City Bancorp on March 1, 2007, the Company also assumed the liability for \$10.3 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities issued to City Bancorp Preferred Trust I, a statutory trust. City Bancorp Preferred Trust I used the proceeds from the issuance of 10,000 shares of trust preferred securities to acquire the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on March 15, 2035, and are callable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, on any March 15, June 15, September 15, or December 15 on or after March 15, 2010. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities pay a per annum rate of interest, reset quarterly, equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.2%.

(13) INCOME TAXES

Total income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were allocated as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | \$ (8,705) | \$ 30,105 | \$ 53,943 |
| Shareholders' equity for other comprehensive income | (3,763) | 11,469 | (12,204) |
| Shareholders' equity for stock option plans | (44) | (500) | (2,269) |
| Total | \$ (12,512) | \$ 41,074 | \$ 39,470 |

The components of income tax (benefit) expense attributable to operations were as follows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 8,865 | \$ 35,936 | \$ 50,320 |
| State | (1,520) | 3,527 | 5,358 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (13,848) | (8,302) | (1,508) |
| State | (2,202) | (1,056) | (227) |
| Total | \$ (8,705) | \$ 30,105 | \$ 53,943 |

Income tax expense differs from the amount computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% to income before income taxes resulting from the following:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Tax expense at statutory rates | \$ 4,983 | \$ 39,492 | \$ 61,024 |
| (Decrease) increase in taxes resulting from: | | | |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | (2,419) | 1,606 | 3,335 |
| Tax-exempt interest revenue | (6,605) | (6,105) | (5,978) |
| Tax-exempt earnings on life insurance | (2,659) | (2,970) | (2,515) |
| Deductible dividends paid on 401(k) plan | (1,972) | (1,875) | (1,911) |
| Other, net | (33) | (43) | (12) |
| Total | \$ (8,705) | \$ 30,105 | \$ 53,943 |

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | (In thousands) | (In thousands) |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Loans, principally due to allowance for credit losses | \$ 96,648 | \$ 83,769 |
| Accrued liabilities, principally due to compensation arrangements and vacation accruals | 18,496 | 17,986 |
| Unrealized pension expense | 17,262 | 16,718 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 132,406 | 118,473 |
| Less: valuation allowance | | |
| Deferred tax assets | \$ 132,406 | \$ 118,473 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Premises and equipment, principally due to differences in depreciation and lease transactions | \$ 56,842 | \$ 57,655 |
| Other assets, principally due to expense recognition | 48,563 | 50,313 |
| Investments, principally due to interest income recognition | 3,161 | 8,298 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 29,398 | 24,359 |
| Unrealized net losses on available-for-sale securities | 8,297 | 11,515 |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | 146,261 | 152,140 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ (13,855) | \$ (33,667) |

Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences existing at December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the activity in unrecognized tax benefits for 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Unrecognized tax benefit, January 1 | \$ 355 | \$ 355 | \$ 355 |

Gross increases tax positions in prior period
 Gross decreases tax positions in prior period
 Gross increases tax positions in current period
 Settlements
 Lapse of statute of limitations

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Unrecognized tax benefit, December 31 | \$ 355 | \$ 355 | \$ 355 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2010, were approximately \$355,000 of tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as a component of other noninterest expense. The Company accrued interest related to the uncertain tax benefits noted

Table of Contents

above of approximately \$28,000 during 2010, 2009 and 2008, and in total, as of December 31, 2010, has recognized a liability for interest of approximately \$191,000 and penalties of approximately \$88,000.

The Company does not expect that unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months.

The Company is subject to taxation in the United States and various states and local jurisdictions. The tax years that remain open for examination for the Company's major jurisdictions of the United States—Mississippi, Arkansas, Tennessee, Alabama, Louisiana and Missouri—are 2007, 2008 and 2009. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to United States federal, state or local examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

(14) PENSION, OTHER POST RETIREMENT BENEFIT AND PROFIT SHARING PLANS

The Basic Plan is a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan managed by a trustee covering substantially all full-time employees who have at least one year of service, have attained the age of 21 and were hired prior to January 1, 2006. Benefits are based on years of service and the employee's compensation. The Company's funding policy is to contribute to the Basic Plan the amount that meets the minimum funding requirements set forth in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, plus such additional amounts as the Company determines to be appropriate. The difference between the plan assets and projected benefit obligation is included in other assets or other liabilities, as appropriate. Actuarial assumptions are evaluated periodically.

The Restoration Plan provides for the payment of retirement benefits to certain participants in the Basic Plan. The Restoration Plan is a non-qualified plan that covers any employee whose benefit under the Basic Plan is limited by the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and any employee who elects to participate in the BancorpSouth, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, which reduces the employee's benefit under the Basic Plan. The Supplemental Plan is a non-qualified defined benefit supplemental retirement plan for certain key employees. Benefits commence when the employee retires and are payable over a period of ten years.

The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its pension and other benefit plans.

A summary of the three defined benefit retirement plans at and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 follows:

| | 2010 | Pension Benefits 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | | |
| Projected benefit obligations at beginning of year | \$ 134,892 | \$ 120,050 | \$ 109,473 |
| Service cost | 7,449 | 7,127 | 7,146 |
| Interest cost | 7,676 | 7,019 | 6,693 |
| Amendments | | 330 | |
| Actuarial loss | 11,457 | 4,882 | 859 |
| Benefits paid | (4,879) | (4,516) | (4,121) |
| Projected benefit obligations at end of year | \$ 156,595 | \$ 134,892 | \$ 120,050 |
| Change in plans assets: | | | |
| Fair value of plans assets at beginning of year | \$ 180,217 | \$ 116,136 | \$ 135,425 |
| Actual return (loss) on assets | 21,488 | 29,740 | (31,386) |
| Employer contributions | 710 | 38,857 | 16,218 |
| Benefits paid | (4,879) | (4,516) | (4,121) |
| Fair value of plans assets at end of year | \$ 197,536 | \$ 180,217 | \$ 116,136 |
| Funded status: | | | |
| Projected benefit obligations | \$ (156,595) | \$ (134,892) | \$ (120,050) |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Fair value of plans assets | 197,536 | 180,217 | 116,136 |
| Unrecognized transition amount | | | |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | | |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | | | |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 40,941 | \$ 45,325 | \$ (3,914) |

Table of Contents

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets consisted of:

| | 2010 | Pension Benefits 2009 (In thousands) | 2008 |
|---|------------|--|------------|
| Prepaid benefit cost | \$ 104,749 | \$ 105,900 | \$ 73,375 |
| Accrued benefit liability | (18,678) | (16,868) | (15,073) |
| Intangible asset | | | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income adjustment | (45,130) | (43,707) | (62,216) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 40,941 | \$ 45,325 | \$ (3,914) |

Pre-tax amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of:

| | Year ended December 31, 2010 2009 (In thousands) | |
|--|---|-----------|
| Net transition obligation | \$ 73 | \$ 92 |
| Net prior service cost | 1,623 | 1,963 |
| Net actuarial loss | 43,434 | 41,652 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 45,130 | \$ 43,707 |

The net transition obligation, net prior service cost and net actuarial loss that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are approximately \$18,000, \$202,000 and \$2,237,000, respectively.

The components of net periodic benefit cost at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2010 | Pension Benefits 2009 (In thousands) | 2008 |
|--|----------|--|----------|
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 7,449 | \$ 7,127 | \$ 7,146 |
| Interest cost | 7,676 | 7,019 | 6,693 |
| Expected return on assets | (14,032) | (10,698) | (10,715) |
| Amortization of unrecognized transition amount | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Recognized prior service cost | 341 | 342 | 267 |
| Recognized net loss | 2,218 | 4,320 | 499 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 3,670 | \$ 8,128 | \$ 3,908 |

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | Basic Plan | | Restoration Plan | | Supplemental Plan | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 5.50% | 6.00% | 5.15% | 5.85% | 4.50% | 5.35% |
| Rate of compensation increase* | 2.50% | 2.00% | 2.50% | 2.00% | 2.50% | 2.00% |

* 2.00% rate of compensation increase used for 2011; 3.00% rate of compensation increase used for 2012 and beyond.

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

97

Table of Contents

| | Basic Plan | | |
|--|------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 6.00% | 6.25% | 6.33% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.00% | 3.60% | 3.60% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% |

| | Restoration Plan | | |
|--|------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 5.85% | 6.50% | 6.33% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.00% | 3.60% | 3.60% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | Supplemental Plan | | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 5.35% | 6.50% | 6.33% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.00% | 3.60% | 3.60% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets | N/A | N/A | N/A |

The following table presents information related to the Restoration Plan and Supplemental Plan that had accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 23,468 | \$ 20,680 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 22,439 | 19,175 |
| Fair value of assets | | |

The following table presents information related to the Company's defined benefit pension plans:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 140,967 | \$ 118,558 |

In selecting the expected long-term rate of return on assets used for the Basic Plan, the Company considered the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits of the plan. This included considering the trust asset allocation and the expected returns likely to be earned over the life of the plan. This basis is consistent with the prior year. The discount rate is the rate used to determine the present value of the Company's future benefit obligations for its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. In selecting the discount rate used to discount plan liabilities, a level equivalent yield was developed using the expected cash flows and the December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Citigroup Pension Discount Curve is published on the Society of Actuaries website along with a background paper on this interest rate curve.

The Company's pension plan weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, by asset category, were as follows:

| | Plan assets at December 31 | | Target for 2011 |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Asset category: | | | |
| Equity securities | 57.26% | 58.00% | 40-60% |
| Debt securities | 37.18% | 39.70% | 40-60% |
| Cash and equivalents | 5.56% | 2.30% | 0% |

| | | |
|-------|---------|---------|
| Total | 100.00% | 100.00% |
|-------|---------|---------|

98

Table of Contents

Equity securities held in the Basic Plan included shares of the Company's common stock with a fair value of \$1.3 million (0.66% of total plan assets) and \$1.9 million (1.08% of total plan assets) at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company does not expect to contribute to the Basic Plan in 2011.

The following table presents information regarding expected future benefit payments, which reflect expected service, as appropriate:

| | Pension Benefits (In thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Expected future benefit payments: | |
| 2011 | \$ 6,725 |
| 2012 | 11,670 |
| 2013 | 8,948 |
| 2014 | 9,766 |
| 2015 | 11,089 |
| 2016-2020 | 55,052 |

The following table presents the fair value of each major category of plan assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Pension Benefits 2010 2009 (In thousands) | |
|------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Investments, at fair value: | | |
| Bank certificates of deposit | \$ 7,385 | \$ |
| Brokered certificates of deposit | 496 | |
| U.S. agency debt obligations | 33,433 | 42,199 |
| Mutual funds | 150,964 | 130,737 |
| Common stock of BancorpSouth, Inc. | 1,312 | 1,930 |
| Money market funds | 3,568 | 3,535 |
| | | |
| Total investments, at fair value | 197,158 | 178,401 |
| Accrued interest and dividends | 378 | 282 |
| Cash | | 439 |
| | | |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 197,536 | \$ 179,122 |

Fair values are determined based on valuation techniques categorized as follows: Level 1 means the use of quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets; Level 2 means the use of quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active or are directly or indirectly observable; Level 3 means the use of unobservable inputs. Quoted market prices, when available, are used to value investments. Pension plan investments include funds which invest in various types of investment securities and in various companies within various markets. Investment securities are exposed to several risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Because of the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect the amounts reported.

The following table sets forth by level, within the FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure (FASB ASC 820), fair value hierarchy, the plan investments at fair value as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2010 | | | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Bank certificates of deposit | \$ | \$ 7,385 | \$ | \$ 7,385 |
| Brokered certificates of deposit | | 496 | | 496 |
| U.S. agency debt obligations | | 33,433 | | 33,433 |
| Mutual funds | 150,964 | | | 150,964 |
| Common stock of BancorpSouth, Inc. | 1,312 | | | 1,312 |
| Money market funds | | 3,568 | | 3,568 |
| Total | \$ 152,276 | \$ 44,882 | \$ | \$ 197,158 |

| | December 31, 2009 | | | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. agency debt obligations | \$ | \$ 42,199 | \$ | \$ 42,199 |
| Mutual funds | 130,737 | | | 130,737 |
| Common stock of BancorpSouth, Inc. | 1,930 | | | 1,930 |
| Money market funds | | 3,535 | | 3,535 |
| Brokered certificates of deposit | | 1,095 | | 1,095 |
| Total | \$ 132,667 | \$ 46,829 | \$ | \$ 179,496 |

The following investments represented 5% or more of the total plan asset value as of December 31, 2010:

| | 2010 (In thousands) |
|---|---------------------------|
| Dodge & Cox Fund | \$ 11,017 |
| Federated Intercontinental | 12,224 |
| Fidelity Advisor New Insight | 11,101 |
| Fidelity Low Price Stock Fund #316 | 11,255 |
| Franklin Mutual Discovery Z Fund | 10,633 |
| T. Rowe Price Growth Stock Fund | 10,990 |
| T. Rowe Price Equity Income Fund | 10,812 |
| T. Rowe Price Mid-Cap Growth Fund | 16,533 |
| Royce Pennsylvania Mutual Fund | 11,447 |
| Vanguard Total Bond Market Index Institutional Fund | 18,792 |

The Company has a defined contribution plan (commonly referred to as a 401(k) Plan). Pursuant to the 401(k) Plan, employees may contribute a portion of their compensation, as set forth in the 401(k) Plan, subject to the limitations as established by the Code. Employee contributions (up to 5% of defined compensation) are matched dollar-for-dollar by the Company. Employer contributions for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$8.6 million, \$8.6 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. Also, the 401(k) Plan provides that the Company shall make a profit sharing contribution on behalf of each eligible employee in an amount equal to two percent of each such employee's eligible compensation. Eligible employees are those hired after December 31, 2005 who work at least

1,000 hours during the plan year and have attained the age of 21. Employer profit sharing contributions for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

(15) FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair value is defined by FASB ASC 820 as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that

Table of Contents

observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity.

Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the reporting entity assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available under the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into the following three levels, based on the reliability of inputs:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that are accessible at the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 820 on January 1, 2008. The adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Determination of Fair Value

The Company uses the valuation methodologies listed below to measure different financial instruments at fair value. An indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified is included. Where appropriate, the description includes details of the valuation models, the key inputs to those models as well as any significant assumptions.

Available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities. The Company's available-for-sale securities that are traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, are classified as Level 1. Available-for-sale securities valued using matrix pricing are classified as Level 2. Available-for-sale securities valued using matrix pricing that has been adjusted to compensate for the present value of expected cash flows, market liquidity, credit quality and volatility are classified as Level 3.

Mortgage servicing rights. The Company records MSR's at fair value on a recurring basis with subsequent remeasurement of MSR's based on change in fair value. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSR's is determined by utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. All of the Company's MSR's are classified as Level 3.

Derivative instruments. The Company's derivative instruments consist of commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans. Fair value of these derivative instruments is measured on a recurring basis using recent observable market prices. The Company also enters into interest rate swaps to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. The fair value of these instruments is either an observable market price or a discounted cash flow valuation using the terms of swap agreements but substituting original interest rates with prevailing interest rates. The Company's interest rate swaps, commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans are classified as Level 3.

Loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of existing commitments or the current market value of similar loans. All of the Company's loans held for sale are classified as Level 2.

Table of Contents

Impaired loans. Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310 are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments to reflect (1) partial write-downs that are based on the observable market price or current appraised value of the collateral, or (2) the full charge-off of the loan carrying value. All of the Company's impaired loans are classified as Level 3.

Other real estate owned. Other real estate owned (OREO) is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs and is subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of independent appraisals and other relevant factors. All of the Company's OREO is classified as Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents the balances of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | December 31, 2010 | | | Total |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | \$ 433,158 | \$ | \$ 433,158 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-back securities | | 503,229 | | 503,229 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-back securities | | 29,994 | | 29,994 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | 110,165 | | 110,165 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | | | | |
| Other | 527 | 18,989 | | 19,516 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 38,642 | 38,642 |
| Derivative instruments | | | 41,882 | 41,882 |
| Total | \$ 527 | \$ 1,095,535 | \$ 80,524 | \$ 1,176,586 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments | \$ | \$ | \$ 39,197 | \$ 39,197 |

| | December 31, 2009 | | | Total |
|---|-------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | \$ 512,088 | \$ | \$ 512,088 |
| Government agency issued residential mortgage-back securities | | 292,418 | | 292,418 |
| Government agency issued commercial mortgage-back securities | | 18,837 | | 18,837 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | 110,838 | | 110,838 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | | | 2,125 | 2,125 |
| Other | 452 | 24,014 | | 24,466 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 35,560 | 35,560 |
| Derivative instruments | | | 25,365 | 25,365 |
| Total | \$ 452 | \$ 958,195 | \$ 63,050 | \$ 1,021,697 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative instruments | \$ | \$ | \$ 24,521 | \$ 24,521 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Mortgage Servicing Rights | Derivative Instruments (In thousands) | Available- for-sale Securities |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 35,560 | \$ 844 | \$ 2,125 |
| Total net gains (losses) for the year included in: | | | |
| Net income | 3,082 | 1,841 | (2,125) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | | | |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 38,642 | \$ 2,685 | \$ |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains included in net income for the year relating to assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2010 | \$ (4,029) | \$ 1,841 | \$ (2,375) |
| | | | |
| | Mortgage Servicing Rights | Derivative Instruments (In thousands) | Available- for-sale Securities |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 24,972 | \$ (1,109) | \$ 2,375 |
| Total net gains (losses) for the year included in: | | | |
| Net income | 10,588 | 1,953 | (250) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | | | |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 35,560 | \$ 844 | \$ 2,125 |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains included in net income for the year relating to assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2009 | \$ 2,402 | \$ 1,953 | \$ (250) |

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | Total Gains (Losses) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 (In thousands) | Total | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ | \$ 93,697 | \$ | \$ 93,697 | \$ |
| Impaired loans | | | 273,405 | 273,405 | (40,719) |
| Other real estate owned | | | 133,412 | 133,412 | (9,791) |

December 31, 2009

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 (In thousands) | Total | Total Gains (Losses) |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ | \$ 80,343 | \$ | \$ 80,343 | \$ |
| Impaired loans | | | 128,537 | 128,537 | (22,747) |
| Other real estate owned | | | 59,265 | 59,265 | (8,131) |
| | | 103 | | | |

Table of Contents**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments (FASB ASC 825), requires that the Company disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions that are used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments and that are not disclosed above in this Note 15 are set forth below.

Held-to-maturity securities. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.

Loans and Leases. Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans and leases with similar financial characteristics. The fair value of loans and leases is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using rates the Company would currently offer customers based on the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan or lease. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market and borrower information. Estimated maturity represents the expected average cash flow period, which in some instances is different than the stated maturity. This entrance price approach results in a calculated fair value that would be different than an exit or estimated actual sales price approach and such differences could be significant.

Deposit Liabilities. Under FASB ASC 825, the fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest bearing demand deposits and savings, is equal to the amount payable on demand as of the reporting date. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the prevailing rates offered for deposits of similar maturities.

Debt. The carrying amounts for federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements approximate fair value because of their short-term maturity. The fair value of the Company's fixed-term FHLB advance securities is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the prevailing rates available for advances of similar maturities. The fair value of the Company's junior subordinated debt is based on market prices or dealer quotes.

Lending Commitments. The Company's lending commitments are negotiated at prevailing market rates and are relatively short-term in nature. As a matter of policy, the Company generally makes commitments for fixed-rate loans for relatively short periods of time. Therefore, the estimated value of the Company's lending commitments approximates the carrying amount and is immaterial to the financial statements. See Note 24, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities, for additional information regarding lending commitments.

The following table presents carrying and fair value information at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 99,916 | \$ 99,916 | \$ 222,741 | \$ 222,741 |
| Interest bearing deposits with other banks | 172,170 | 172,170 | 15,704 | 15,704 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 1,613,019 | 1,632,691 | 1,032,822 | 1,078,075 |
| Available-for-sale and trading securities | 1,096,062 | 1,096,062 | 960,772 | 960,772 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreement to resell | 150,000 | 150,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| Net loans and leases | 9,136,194 | 9,187,064 | 9,599,093 | 9,744,673 |
| Loans held for sale | 93,697 | 94,001 | 80,343 | 80,429 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Noninterest bearing deposits | 2,060,145 | 2,060,145 | 1,901,663 | 1,901,663 |
| Savings and interest bearing deposits | 5,794,552 | 5,794,552 | 5,048,838 | 5,048,838 |
| Other time deposits | 3,635,324 | 3,677,796 | 3,727,201 | 3,757,602 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase and other short-term borrowings | 443,320 | 443,081 | 743,370 | 743,188 |
| Long-term debt and other borrowings | 270,392 | 286,993 | 273,174 | 290,622 |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Forward commitments to sell fixed rate mortgage loans | 2,499 | 2,499 | 806 | 806 |
| Commitments to fund fixed rate mortgage loans | 639 | 639 | 304 | 304 |
| Interest rate swap position to receive | 38,347 | 38,347 | 23,992 | 23,992 |
| Interest rate swap position to pay | (38,800) | (38,800) | (24,258) | (24,258) |

(16) STOCK INCENTIVE AND STOCK OPTION PLANS

Key employees and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries have been granted stock options under the Company's 1994, 1995 and 1998 stock incentive plans (the "Plans"). The 1994 and 1995 stock incentive plans were amended in 1998 to allow a limited number of restricted stock awards. All options granted pursuant to these plans have an exercise price equal to the market value on the date of the grant and are exercisable over periods of one to ten years. Upon the exercise of stock options, new shares are issued by the Company.

In 1998, the Company adopted a stock plan through which a minimum of 50% of the compensation payable to each director is paid in the form of the Company's common stock. This plan is registered under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan and the shares are purchased through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan which purchases shares in the open market.

On December 14, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved accelerating the vesting of out-of-the-money unvested outstanding stock options held by employees. The options were considered out-of-the-money if the exercise price of the option was greater than \$23.02, the closing price of shares of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 14, 2005. The accelerated vesting was effective on December 14, 2005. Vesting of these options was accelerated to eliminate the need to recognize the remaining fair value compensation expense associated with those options upon adoption of Statement 123R. The compensation cost avoided by the accelerated vesting was approximately \$291,000 in 2008.

FASB ASC 718 requires that compensation expense be measured using estimates of fair value of all stock-based awards. Compensation expense arising from stock options that has been charged against income for the

Plans was \$2.1 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.2 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$3.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a three-year period.

Table of Contents

In December 2010, the Company granted stock options to purchase 408,363 shares of the Company's common stock to its employees under the 1994 stock incentive plan, as amended. These stock options have a contractual life of seven years and vest over a one, two or three-year service period. A summary of the stock option activity under the Plans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | 2010 | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
| Options | | | | |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 2,701,693 | \$ 22.33 | | |
| Granted | 408,363 | 13.25 | | |
| Exercised | (22,336) | 15.01 | | |
| Cancelled or forfeited | (46,213) | 23.28 | | |
| Expired | (95,200) | 13.21 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 2,946,307 | \$ 21.41 | 4.4 | \$ 1,219 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 2,151,996 | \$ 22.68 | 3.7 | \$ 116 |
| | | | | |
| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | 2009 | |
| | | | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
| Options | | | | |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2009 | 2,600,483 | \$ 21.87 | | |
| Granted | 409,113 | 22.39 | | |
| Exercised | (276,886) | 18.14 | | |
| Cancelled or forfeited | (19,000) | 23.74 | | |
| Expired | (12,017) | 18.96 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 2,701,693 | \$ 22.33 | 4.8 | \$ 3,896 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2009 | 1,963,119 | \$ 22.06 | 4.3 | \$ 3,410 |

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested options as of December 31, 2010 and changes during the year then ended is presented below:

Table of Contents

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Nonvested Options | | | |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 738,574 | \$ 23.06 | \$ 6.32 |
| Granted | 408,363 | 13.25 | 4.00 |
| Vested | (345,522) | 23.18 | 6.05 |
| Forfeited or cancelled | (7,104) | 23.68 | 6.25 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 794,311 | \$ 17.96 | \$ 5.25 |

The Company uses historical data to estimate stock option exercise and employee departure behavior used in the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model; groups of participants (executive, non-executives and directors) are considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected term of stock options granted is derived from analysis of all historical data on stock option activity and represents the period of time that stock options granted are expected to be outstanding; the range given below results from certain groups of participants exhibiting different post-vesting behaviors. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual term of the stock option is based on the U. S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected volatility is estimated based on the Company's historical experience. The following table provides the range of assumptions used for stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Expected volatility | 45.2% | 43.6% | 33.6% |
| Weighted-average volatility | 45.2% | 43.6% | 33.6% |
| Expected dividends | 3.75% | 3.75% | 3.00% |
| Expected term (in years) | 4.8 - 6.0 | 5.1 - 5.7 | 5.1 - 5.7 |
| Risk-free rate | 1.64% | 2.33% | 2.80% |

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during the years 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$4.00, \$6.70 and \$6.24, respectively. The intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$143,000, \$1.6 million and \$6.1 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2010:

| Range of | Number | Options Outstanding Weighted-Avg Remaining Life (years) | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Options exercisable Number | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price |
|--------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Exercise Prices | Outstanding | | | Exercisable | |
| \$7.35 to \$10.51 | 4,378 | 1.9 | \$ 9.70 | 4,378 | \$ 9.70 |
| \$11.39 to \$14.98 | 441,333 | 6.4 | 13.37 | 32,970 | 14.92 |
| \$15.06 to \$19.94 | 238,584 | 1.4 | 17.39 | 238,584 | 17.39 |
| \$20.23 to \$25.31 | 2,262,012 | 4.3 | 23.42 | 1,876,064 | 23.52 |
| \$7.35 to \$25.31 | 2,946,307 | 4.4 | \$ 21.41 | 2,151,996 | \$ 22.68 |

The 1994 stock incentive plan was amended in 2006 to allow for the issuance of performance shares. Performance shares entitle the recipient to receive shares of the Company's common stock upon the achievement of performance goals that are specified in the award over a specified performance period. The recipient of performance

shares is not treated as a shareholder of the Company and is not entitled to vote or receive dividends until the performance conditions stated in the award are satisfied and the shares of stock are actually issued to the recipient. In January of 2007, the Company granted 78,000 performance shares to employees for the two-year performance period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. In January 2008, the Company granted 85,395 performance shares to employees for the two-year performance period from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009. In January 2009, the Company granted 101,225 performance shares to employees for the two-

Table of Contents

year performance period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010. In January of 2010, the Company granted 125,395 performance shares to employees for the two-year performance period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2011. All of these performance shares vest over a three-year period and are valued at the fair value of the Company's stock at the grant date based upon the estimated number of shares expected to vest. Compensation expense of approximately \$758,000 was recognized in 2007 related to performance shares. This amount was reversed in 2008 and no additional expense was recorded in 2009 as the Company failed to meet the performance threshold for the 2007-2008 performance period. No expense was recorded in 2008 and 2009 for the 2008, grant as the Company failed to meet the performance threshold for the 2008-2009 performance period. Compensation expense of approximately \$461,000 was recognized in 2009 related to the 2009 grant of performance shares. This amount was reversed in 2010 and no additional expense was recorded in 2010 as the Company failed to meet the performance threshold for the 2009-2010 performance period. No expense was recorded in 2010 related to the 2010 grant, as the Company is not expected to meet the performance threshold for the 2010-2011 performance period.

In May of 2008, the Company awarded a total of 5,000 restricted stock units covering 5,000 shares of Company common stock to its directors. The shares of stock covered by this award were issued to the directors in May of 2009. In May of 2009, the Company awarded 5,000 restricted stock units covering 5,000 shares of Company common stock to its directors with the shares of stock covered by this award issued to the directors in May of 2010. In May of 2010, the Company awarded 5,000 restricted stock units covering 5,000 shares of Company common stock to its directors. The shares of stock covered by this award will be issued to the directors upon the date of the 2011 annual shareholders meeting. Compensation expense of approximately \$112,000, \$117,000 and \$84,000 was recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to the restricted stock units issued to the Company's directors.

(17) EARNINGS PER SHARE AND DIVIDEND DATA

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the shares resulting from the assumed exercise of all outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method. Weighted-average antidilutive stock options for 2.2 million, 1.2 million and 1.7 million shares of Company common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$23.46, \$23.98 and \$23.70 per share for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares. Antidilutive other equity awards of approximately 23,000 shares of Company common stock for 2009 were also excluded from diluted shares. There were no antidilutive other equity awards for 2010 and 2008. The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | Income (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Basic EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 22,942 | 83,425 | \$ 0.28 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | | 90 | |
| Diluted EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed exercise | \$ 22,942 | 83,515 | \$ 0.27 |

Table of Contents

| | 2009 | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | Income (Numerator) (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 82,729 | 83,295 | \$ 0.99 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | | 135 | |
| Diluted EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed exercise | \$ 82,729 | 83,430 | \$ 0.99 |
| 2008 | | | |
| | Income (Numerator) (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 120,411 | 82,589 | \$ 1.46 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | | 204 | |
| Diluted EPS: | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed exercise | \$ 120,411 | 82,793 | \$ 1.45 |

Dividends to shareholders are paid from dividends paid to the Company by the Bank which are subject to approval by the applicable state regulatory authority. At December 31, 2010, the Bank could have paid dividends of \$531.5 million to the Company under current regulatory guidelines.

(18) OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following tables present the components of other comprehensive income and the related tax effects allocated to each component for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | Before Tax Amount | 2010 Tax (Expense) Benefit (In thousands) | Net of Tax Amount |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Unrealized (losses) gains arising during holding period | \$ (8,854) | \$ 3,399 | \$ (5,455) |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) realized in net income | 470 | (180) | 290 |
| Change in pension funding status | (1,423) | 544 | (879) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (9,807) | \$ 3,763 | \$ (6,044) |

Table of Contents

| | Before Tax Amount | 2009 Tax (Expense) Benefit (In thousands) | Net of Tax Amount |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during holding period | \$ 11,391 | \$ (4,368) | \$ 7,023 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) realized in net income | 55 | (21) | 34 |
| Change in pension funding status | 18,510 | (7,080) | 11,430 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 29,956 | \$ (11,469) | \$ 18,487 |
| | | | |
| | Before Tax Amount | 2008 Tax (Expense) Benefit (In thousands) | Net of Tax Amount |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during holding period | \$ 4,440 | \$ (1,691) | \$ 2,749 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) realized in net income | 5,849 | (2,237) | 3,612 |
| Minimum pension liability | (42,175) | 16,132 | (26,043) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (31,886) | \$ 12,204 | \$ (19,682) |

(19) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Bank has made, and expects in the future to continue to make in the ordinary course of business, loans to directors and executive officers of the Company and their affiliates. In management's opinion, these transactions with directors and executive officers were made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present any other unfavorable features. An analysis of such outstanding loans is as follows:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|---|--------------------------|
| Loans outstanding at December 31, 2009 | \$ 45,917 |
| New loans | 5,581 |
| Repayments | (19,684) |
| Changes in directors and executive officers | (20) |
| Loans outstanding at December 31, 2010 | \$ 31,794 |

(20) MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

MSRs, which are recognized as a separate asset on the date the corresponding mortgage loan is sold, are recorded at fair value as determined at each accounting period end. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSRs is determined utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. Data and assumptions used in the fair value calculation

related to MSRs for the three months ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

110

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 3,870,872 | \$ 3,413,202 | \$ 3,033,043 |
| Weighted-average prepayment speed (CPR) | 15.6 | 15.9 | 24.1 |
| Discount rate (annual percentage) | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.2 |
| Weighted-average coupon interest rate (percentage) | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Weighted-average remaining maturity (months) | 315.0 | 321.0 | 320.0 |
| Weighted-average servicing fee (basis points) | 28.4 | 28.8 | 28.8 |

Because the valuation is determined by using discounted cash flow models, the primary risk inherent in valuing the MSR's is the impact of fluctuating interest rates on the estimated life of the servicing revenue stream. The use of different estimates or assumptions could also produce different fair values. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of MSR's and, therefore, the Company is susceptible to significant fluctuations in the fair value of its MSR's in changing interest rate environments.

The Company has one class of mortgage servicing asset comprised of closed end loans for one-to-four family residences, secured by first liens. The following table presents the activity in this class for the period indicated:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Fair value at beginning of year | \$ 35,560 | \$ 24,972 |
| Additions: | | |
| Origination of servicing assets | 13,898 | 14,904 |
| Changes in fair value: | | |
| Due to payoffs/paydowns | (6,781) | (6,706) |
| Due to change in valuation inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model | (4,029) | 2,402 |
| Other changes in fair value | (6) | (12) |
| Fair value at end of year | \$ 38,642 | \$ 35,560 |

All of the changes to the fair value of the MSR's are recorded as part of mortgage lending noninterest revenue on the income statement. As part of mortgage lending noninterest revenue, the Company recorded contractual servicing fees of \$10.5 million, \$9.5 million and \$8.5 million and late and other ancillary fees of \$1.4 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.2 million in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

(21) REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Quantitative measures established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (risk-based capital ratios). All banking companies are required to have core capital (Tier I) of at least 4% of risk-weighted assets, total capital of at least 8% of risk-weighted assets and a minimum Tier I leverage ratio of 4% of adjusted average assets. The regulations also define well capitalized levels of Tier I, total capital and Tier I leverage as 6%, 10% and 5%, respectively. The Company and the Bank had Tier I, total capital and Tier I leverage above the well capitalized levels at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, as set forth in the following table:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | |
| BancorpSouth, Inc. | \$ 1,070,744 | 10.61% | \$ 1,143,019 | 11.17% |
| BancorpSouth Bank | 1,040,714 | 10.32 | 1,119,612 | 10.95 |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | |
| BancorpSouth, Inc. | 1,197,626 | 11.87 | 1,271,634 | 12.42 |
| BancorpSouth Bank | 1,167,596 | 11.58 | 1,248,227 | 12.21 |
| Tier I leverage capital (to average assets) | | | | |
| BancorpSouth, Inc. | 1,070,744 | 8.07 | 1,143,019 | 8.95 |
| BancorpSouth Bank | 1,040,714 | 7.87 | 1,119,612 | 8.79 |

(22) SEGMENTS

The Company is a financial holding company with subsidiaries engaged in the business of banking and activities closely related to banking. The Company determines reportable segments based upon the services offered, the significance of those services to the Company's financial condition and operating results and management's regular review of the operating results of those services. The Company's primary segment is Community Banking, which includes providing a full range of deposit products, commercial loans and consumer loans. During 2008, the Company created an additional operating segment, Insurance Agencies, based upon the services offered, the significance of those services to the Company's financial condition and operating results and management's regular review of the operating results of the insurance agencies. The Company's insurance agencies serve as agents in the sale of title insurance, commercial lines of insurance and full lines of property and casualty, life, health and employee benefits products and services. The General Corporate and Other operating segment includes leasing, mortgage lending, trust services, credit card activities, investment services and other activities not allocated to the Community Banking or Insurance Agencies operating segments. The increase in the performance of the General Corporate and Other operating segment in 2009 and 2010 was primarily related to mortgage lending.

Results of operations and selected financial information by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are presented below:

| | Community Banking | Insurance Agencies | General Corporate and Other | Total |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Results of Operations | | | | |
| Net interest revenue | \$ 411,936 | \$ 561 | \$ 28,645 | \$ 441,142 |
| Provision for credit losses | 197,539 | | 6,477 | 204,016 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 214,397 | 561 | 22,168 | 237,126 |
| Noninterest revenue | 114,736 | 82,327 | 67,081 | 264,144 |
| Noninterest expense | 308,299 | 70,830 | 107,904 | 487,033 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 20,834 | 12,058 | (18,655) | 14,237 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 2,914 | 4,786 | (16,405) | (8,705) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 17,920 | \$ 7,272 | \$ (2,250) | \$ 22,942 |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Selected Financial Information

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total assets | \$ 10,854,511 | \$ 158,919 | \$ 2,601,580 | \$ 13,615,010 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,910 | 4,230 | 4,532 | 33,672 |
| | 112 | | | |

Table of Contents

| | Community Banking | Insurance Agencies (In thousands) | General Corporate and Other | Total |
|---|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| 2009 | | | | |
| Results of Operations | | | | |
| Net interest revenue | \$ 413,125 | \$ 625 | \$ 31,149 | \$ 444,899 |
| Provision for credit losses | 111,409 | | 5,915 | 117,324 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 301,716 | 625 | 25,234 | 327,575 |
| Noninterest revenue | 130,544 | 80,714 | 64,018 | 275,276 |
| Noninterest expense | 327,020 | 68,857 | 94,140 | 490,017 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 105,240 | 12,482 | (4,888) | 112,834 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 28,079 | 4,918 | (2,892) | 30,105 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 77,161 | \$ 7,564 | \$ (1,996) | \$ 82,729 |
| Selected Financial Information | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 10,879,768 | \$ 159,585 | \$ 2,128,514 | \$ 13,167,867 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,831 | 4,651 | 2,272 | 35,754 |
| | Community Banking | Insurance Agencies (In thousands) | General Corporate and Other | Total |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Results of Operations | | | | |
| Net interest revenue | \$ 403,316 | \$ 1,259 | \$ 36,261 | \$ 440,836 |
| Provision for credit losses | 52,061 | | 4,115 | 56,176 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 351,255 | 1,259 | 32,146 | 384,660 |
| Noninterest revenue | 128,910 | 86,431 | 30,266 | 245,607 |
| Noninterest expense | 295,466 | 70,684 | 89,763 | 455,913 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 184,699 | 17,006 | (27,351) | 174,354 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 57,144 | 6,729 | (9,930) | 53,943 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 127,555 | \$ 10,277 | \$ (17,421) | \$ 120,411 |
| Selected Financial Information | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 11,139,348 | \$ 153,456 | \$ 2,187,414 | \$ 13,480,218 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,396 | 4,891 | 2,392 | 35,679 |

(23) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The derivative instruments held by the Company include commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans to customers and forward commitments to sell individual, fixed-rate mortgage loans. The Company's objective in

obtaining the forward commitments is to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with the commitments to fund the fixed-rate mortgage loans. Both the commitments to fund fixed-rate mortgage loans and the forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans are reported at fair value, with adjustments being recorded in current period earnings, and are not accounted for as hedges. At December 31, 2010, the notional amount of forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$151.3 million, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of \$2.5 million. At December 31, 2009, the notional amount of forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$135.6 million, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$806,000. At December 31, 2010, the notional amount of commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$70.1 million, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$639,000. At December 31, 2009, the notional amount of commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans was \$58.1 million, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$304,000.

The Company also enters into derivative financial instruments in the form of interest rate swaps to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. Upon entering into these interest rate swaps to meet customer needs, the Company enters into offsetting positions to minimize interest rate and equity risk

Table of Contents

to the Company. These derivative financial instruments are reported at fair value with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings. These instruments and their offsetting positions are recorded in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2010, the notional amount of customer related derivative financial instruments was \$514.8 million, with an average maturity of 73.4 months, an average interest receive rate of 2.5% and an average interest pay rate of 6.1%. As of December 31, 2009, the notional amount of customer related derivative financial instruments was \$483.4 million, with an average maturity of 83 months, an average interest receive rate of 2.6% and an average interest pay rate of 6.1%.

(24) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**Leases**

Rent expense was \$6.9 million for 2010, \$7.1 million for 2009 and \$7.6 million for 2008. Future minimum lease payments for all non-cancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more consisted of the following at December 31, 2010:

| (In thousands) | Amount |
|---|---------------|
| 2011 | \$ 5,718 |
| 2012 | 5,392 |
| 2013 | 4,069 |
| 2014 | 2,471 |
| 2015 | 1,583 |
| Thereafter | 5,711 |
| Total future minimum lease payments | \$ 24,944 |

Mortgage Loans Serviced for Others

The Company services mortgage loans for others that are not included as assets in the Company's accompanying consolidated financial statements. Included in the \$3.9 billion of loans serviced for investors at December 31, 2010 was \$4.9 million of primary recourse servicing pursuant to which the Company is responsible for any losses incurred in the event of nonperformance by the mortgagor. The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of such nonperformance is the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. This exposure is limited by the underlying collateral, which consists of single family residences and either federal or private mortgage insurance.

Lending Commitments

In the normal course of business, there are outstanding various commitments and other arrangements for credit which are not reflected in the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2010, these included \$171.9 million for letters of credit and \$2.0 billion for interim mortgage financing, construction credit, credit card and revolving line of credit arrangements. The Company did not realize significant credit losses from these commitments and arrangements during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Litigation

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions with numerous customers through offices in nine states. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, litigation presents an ongoing risk.

On May 12, 2010, the Company and its Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer were named in a purported class-action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee on behalf of certain purchasers of the Company's common stock. On September 17, 2010, an Executive Vice President of the Company was added as a party to the lawsuit. The amended complaint alleges that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business and financial results. The plaintiff seeks class certification, an unspecified amount of damages and awards of costs and attorneys' fees and such other equitable relief as the Court may deem just and proper. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it is not possible to predict the

ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is

114

Table of Contents

currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In November 2010, the Company was informed that the Atlanta Regional Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission had issued an Order of Investigation related to the Company's delay in filing its Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2009 and related matters. The Company is cooperating fully with the SEC. No claims have been made by the SEC against the Company or against any individuals affiliated with the Company. At this time, it is not possible to predict when or how the investigation will be resolved or the cost or potential liabilities associated with this matter.

On May 18, 2010, the Bank was named as a defendant in a purported class action lawsuit filed by two Arkansas customers of the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. The suit challenges the manner in which overdraft fees were charged and the policies related to posting order of debit card and ATM transactions. The suit also makes a claim under Arkansas' consumer protection statute. The case was transferred to pending multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. No class has been certified and, at this stage of the lawsuit, management of the Company cannot determine the probability of an unfavorable outcome to the Company. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management is currently of the opinion that the outcome of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Otherwise, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business, including claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these lawsuits should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. It is possible, however, that future developments could result in an unfavorable ultimate outcome for or resolution of any one or more of the lawsuits in which the Company or its subsidiaries are defendants, which may be material to the Company's results of operations for a particular quarterly reporting period. Litigation is inherently uncertain, and management of the Company cannot make assurances that the Company will prevail in any of these actions, nor can it reasonably estimate the amount of damages that the Company might incur.

The Bank, as a member of Visa Inc., is obligated to share in certain liabilities associated with Visa Inc.'s settled and pending litigation. During the first quarter of 2008, \$1.1 million of the previously established litigation expense reserve was reversed and recorded as a reduction of litigation expense as a result of Visa Inc.'s initial public offering and its deposit of a portion of the net proceeds thereof into an escrow account from which settlement of, or judgments relating to, the covered litigation may be paid. During the second quarter of 2008, an additional \$1.1 million of the reserve was reversed as a result of a favorable court ruling. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company reported \$2.6 million in litigation contingencies primarily related to the adverse resolution of a legal matter.

Restricted Cash Balance

Aggregate reserves (in the form of deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank) of \$2.0 million were maintained to satisfy federal regulatory requirements at December 31, 2010.

(25) CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY INFORMATION

The following condensed financial information reflects the accounts and transactions of the Company (excluding its subsidiaries) at the dates indicated:

Table of Contents

| Condensed Balance Sheets | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash on deposit with subsidiary bank | \$ 18,972 | \$ 10,538 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,352,569 | 1,413,277 |
| Other assets | 12,900 | 14,474 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,384,441 | \$ 1,438,289 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: | | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 162,197 | \$ 161,993 |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,222,244 | 1,276,296 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,384,441 | \$ 1,438,289 |

| Condensed Statements of Income | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | \$ 89,500 | \$ 54,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| Other operating income | 837 | 1,513 | 215 |
| Total income | 90,337 | 55,513 | 80,215 |
| Operating expenses | 16,807 | 16,303 | 16,821 |
| Income before tax benefit and equity in undistributed earnings | 73,530 | 39,210 | 63,394 |
| Income tax benefit | 6,102 | 5,657 | 6,351 |
| Income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 79,632 | 44,867 | 69,745 |
| Equity in (distributed) undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (56,690) | 37,862 | 50,666 |
| Net income | \$ 22,942 | \$ 82,729 | \$ 120,411 |

| Condensed Statements of Cash Flows | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 22,942 | \$ 82,729 | \$ 120,411 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | 58,486 | (39,131) | (40,389) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 81,428 | 43,598 | 80,022 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Net cash paid for acquisitions | | | (10,607) |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net cash used in investing activities | | | (10,607) |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Cash dividends | (73,458) | (73,335) | (71,883) |
| Common stock transactions, net | 464 | 6,320 | 15,327 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (72,994) | (67,015) | (56,556) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 8,434 | (23,417) | 12,859 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 10,538 | 33,955 | 21,096 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 18,972 | \$ 10,538 | \$ 33,955 |

(26) OTHER NONINTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE

The following table details other noninterest income for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Table of Contents

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Annuity fees | \$ 2,474 | \$ 3,721 | \$ 6,363 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 5,512 | 4,803 | 5,434 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 7,737 | 8,614 | 7,338 |
| Other miscellaneous income | 14,433 | 28,225 | 23,350 |
| Total other noninterest income | \$ 30,156 | \$ 45,363 | \$ 42,485 |

The following table details other noninterest expense for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Advertising | \$ 5,354 | \$ 6,377 | \$ 7,640 |
| Foreclosed property expense | 18,355 | 13,599 | 4,936 |
| Telecommunications | 9,466 | 8,854 | 8,672 |
| Public relations | 6,088 | 5,900 | 6,483 |
| Data processing | 6,068 | 6,175 | 5,467 |
| Computer software | 7,334 | 7,260 | 7,082 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 3,909 | 4,957 | 5,927 |
| Legal fees | 6,240 | 5,932 | 5,147 |
| Postage and shipping | 5,044 | 4,939 | 5,293 |
| Other miscellaneous expense | 62,622 | 62,002 | 59,801 |
| Total other noninterest expense | \$ 130,480 | \$ 125,995 | \$ 116,448 |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

There have been no changes in the Company's independent accountants and auditors for the two most recent fiscal years.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

CONCLUSION REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company, with the participation of its management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

Based upon that evaluation and as of the end of the period covered by this Report, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as a result of the material weakness described above under the caption entitled "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" in Item 8 of this Report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports that the Company files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. In light of this material weakness, in preparing the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report, the Company performed a thorough review of credit quality, focusing especially on the grading of loans used in the determination of the allowance for credit losses to ensure that the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have certified that, based on their knowledge, the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

included in this Report fairly present in all material respects the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in this Report.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company has included a report of management's assessment of the design and operating effectiveness of its internal controls as part of this Report.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified a material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting related to the determination of the allowance for credit losses as of December 31, 2009 and 2010. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company successfully remediated two of the deficiencies that contributed to the material weakness reported as of December 31, 2009. These deficiencies related to (i) identification of loan modifications and communication with accounting personnel for accounting and disclosure consideration and (ii) ineffective controls to ensure that updated appraisals for loans were obtained. Other than the successful remediation of these deficiencies, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

REMEDATION PLAN FOR MATERIAL WEAKNESS IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Subsequent to December 31, 2009, and immediately following management's identification of the above-referenced material weakness, management began taking steps to remediate the material weakness. These ongoing efforts that commenced during 2010 included the following:

The creation of a real estate risk management group which oversees compliance with laws, regulations and U.S. GAAP related to lending activities;

Testing of significant loans, with a focus on higher risk loans, for impairment on a monthly basis;

Reporting by management to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis regarding significant problem loans and potentially problematic portfolios;

Additional resources to the Bank's appraisal group, as necessary, for compliance with appraisal policies and procedures;

Additional personnel to the Company's independent loan review function;

The identification of new leadership for the independent loan review function; and

Migration to a risk-based approach for timing of loan reviews.

Management anticipates that these remedial actions will strengthen the Company's internal control over financial reporting and will, over time, address the material weakness that was identified as of December 31, 2010. Because some of these remedial actions will take place on a quarterly basis, their successful implementation will continue to be evaluated before management is able to conclude that the material weakness has been remediated. The Company cannot provide any assurance that these remediation efforts will be successful or that the Company's internal control over financial reporting will be effective as a result of these efforts.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

Information concerning the directors and nominees of the Company appears under the caption "Proposal 1: Election of Directors" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF REGISTRANT

Certain information regarding executive officers is included under the section captioned "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I, Item 1, elsewhere in the Report. Other information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Information regarding audit committee financial experts serving on the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors appears under the caption "Corporate Governance - Committees of the Board of Directors" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Information regarding the Audit Committee and the identification of its members appears under the caption "Corporate Governance - Committees of the Board of Directors" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference. In establishing the Audit Committee's compliance with Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act, each member of the Company's Audit Committee is relying upon the exemption provided by Rule 10A-3(b)(1)(iv)(B) of the Exchange Act because each member of the Audit Committee is also a member of the Bank's Board of Directors.

MATERIAL CHANGES TO PROCEDURES BY WHICH SECURITY HOLDERS MAY RECOMMEND NOMINEES

The Company has not made any material changes to the procedures by which its shareholders may recommend nominees to the Company's Board of Directors since the date of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2010 annual meeting of shareholders.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Information regarding the Section 16(a) beneficial ownership compliance of each of the Company's directors and executive officers or each person who owns more than 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock appears under the caption "General Information - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

CERTAIN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS

The Company has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to its directors, chief executive officer, chief financial officer, other officers, other financial reporting persons and employees. The Company has also adopted Corporate Governance Principles for its Board of Directors. These documents, as well as the charters of the Audit Committee, Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors, are available on the Company's website at www.bancorpsouth.com on the Investors Relations webpage under the captions "Corporate Information-Governance Documents" and "-Committee Charting", or shareholders may request a free copy of these documents from:

BancorpSouth, Inc.
Corporate Secretary
One Mississippi Plaza
201 South Spring Street
Tupelo, Mississippi 38804
(662) 680-2000

Table of Contents

The Company intends to disclose any amendments to its code of business conduct and ethics and any waiver from a provision of the code, as required by the SEC, on the Company's website within four business days following such amendment or waiver.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

This information appears under the captions Executive Compensation, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Director Compensation and Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee Report in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

This information appears under the captions Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions with management and others appears under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding director independence appears under the caption Corporate Governance Director Independence in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Information regarding accountant fees and services appears under the caption Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements: See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

2. Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules are omitted as the required information is inapplicable or the information is presented in the financial statements or related notes.

3. Exhibits:

(3) (a) Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended. (1)

(b) Bylaws, as amended and restated. (2)

(c) Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Bylaws. (3)

(d) Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Bylaws (4)

(e) Amendment No. 3 to Amended and Restated Bylaws (4)

(4) (a) Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (5)

Table of Contents

- (b) Rights Agreement, dated as of April 24, 1991, including as Exhibit A the forms of Rights Certificate and of Election to Purchase and as Exhibit B the summary of Rights to Purchase Common Shares. (6)
- (c) First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of March 28, 2001. (7)
- (d) Amended and Restated Certificate of Trust of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I. (8)
- (e) Second Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc., The Bank of New York, The Bank of New York (Delaware) and the Administrative Trustees named therein. (9)
- (f) Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc. and The Bank of New York. (9)
- (g) Guarantee Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc. and The Bank of New York. (9)
- (h) Junior Subordinated Debt Security Specimen. (9)
- (i) Trust Preferred Security Certificate for BancorpSouth Capital Trust I. (9)
- (j) Certain instruments defining the rights of certain holders of long-term debt securities of the Registrant are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K. The Registrant hereby agrees to furnish copies of these instruments to the SEC upon request.
- (10)(a) BancorpSouth, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27)
 - (b) 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated. (11)(27)
 - (c) Form of Performance Share Award Agreement. (12)(27)
 - (d) BancorpSouth, Inc. Director Stock Plan, as amended and restated. (13)(27)
 - (e) 1995 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors. (11)(27)
 - (f) BancorpSouth, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan (14)(27)
 - (g) BancorpSouth, Inc. Restoration Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27)
 - (h) BancorpSouth, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27)
 - (i) BancorpSouth, Inc. Home Office Incentive Plan. (15)(27)
 - (j) Description of Dividend Reinvestment Plan. (16)(27)
 - (k) BancorpSouth, Inc., Amended and Restated Salary Deferral-Profit Sharing Employee Stock Ownership Plan. (17)(27)
 - (l) Form of BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement. (18)(27)

- (m) Form of Amendment to BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement. (10)(27)
- (n) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Aubrey B. Patterson. (19)(27)
- (o) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for James V. Kelley. (20)(27)
- (p) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Gregg Cowsert. (19)(27)
- (q) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Michael Sappington. (19)(27)
- (r) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Larry Bateman. (21)(27)
- (s) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for William L. Prater. (22)(27)
- (t) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Gordon Lewis. (23)(27)
- (u) BancorpSouth, Inc. 1994 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Agreement with Aubrey B. Patterson. (24)(27)
- (v) BancorpSouth, Inc. Executive Performance Incentive Plan. (25)(27)
- (w) BancorpSouth, Inc. Deferred Directors Fee Unfunded Plan. (10)(27)
- (x) Premier Bancorp, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan. (26)(27)
- (y) Premier Bancorp, Inc. 1998 Outside Director Stock Option Plan. (26)(27)
- (z) Form of Stock Option Agreement for converted Business Holding Corporation Options (Vesting). (26)
- (aa) Form of Stock Option Agreement for converted Business Holding Corporation Options (Non-Vesting). (26)(27)
- (11) Statement re computation of per share earnings.*
- (21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant.*
- (23) Consent of Independent Accountants.*
- (31.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

Table of Contents

- (31.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
 - (32.1) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
 - (32.2) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
 - (101)** Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, is formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) interactive data files: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.*
- (1) Filed as exhibit 3(a) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2009 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (4) Filed as exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2007 (File number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 (file number 0-10826) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (6) Filed as exhibit 1 to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on April 24, 1991 (file number 0-10826) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (7) Filed as exhibit 2 to the Company's amended registration statement on Form 8-A/A filed on March 28, 2001 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (8) Filed as exhibit 4.12 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 filed on November 2, 2001 (Registration No. 33-72712) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2002 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
 - (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file number 0-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2005 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2007 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (13) Filed as an appendix to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 26, 2004 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (14) Filed as exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 on Form S-3 to Form S-4 filed February 23, 1999 (Registration No. 333-280181) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (15) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (16) Filed in the Company's filing pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2) filed on January 5, 2004 (Registration No. 033-03009) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (17) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed on April 19, 2006 (Registration No. 333-133390) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (18) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (19) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 1999 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.

Table of Contents

- (20) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-4 filed June 14, 2000 (Registration No. 333-39326) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (21) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (22) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 25, 2009 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (23) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (24) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 24, 2009 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (25) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2003 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (26) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed December 30, 2004 (Registration No. 333-121785) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (27) Compensatory plans or arrangements. * Filed herewith.

* Filed herewith.

** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC.

DATE: February 28, 2011

By: /s/ Aubrey B. Patterson
Aubrey B. Patterson
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Aubrey B. Patterson | Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Aubrey B. Patterson | | |
| /s/ William L. Prater | Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 28, 2011 |
| William L. Prater | | |
| /s/ Gary C. Bonds | Senior Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer | February 28, 2011 |
| Gary C. Bonds | | |
| /s/ James E. Campbell III | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| James E. Campbell III | | |
| /s/ Hassell H. Franklin | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Hassell H. Franklin | | |
| /s/ W. G. Holliman, Jr. | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| W. G. Holliman, Jr. | | |
| /s/ James V. Kelley | President, Chief Operating Officer and Director | February 28, 2011 |
| James V. Kelley | | |
| /s/ Larry G. Kirk | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Larry G. Kirk | | |
| /s/ Turner O. Lashlee | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Turner O. Lashlee | | |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| /s/ Guy W. Mitchell | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Guy W. Mitchell, III | | |
| /s/ R. Madison Murphy | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| R. Madison Murphy | | |
| /s/ Robert C. Nolan | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Robert C. Nolan | | |
| /s/ W. Cal Partee, Jr. | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| W. Cal Partee, Jr. | | |
| /s/ Alan W. Perry | Director | February 28, 2011 |
| Alan W. Perry | | |

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| (3) (a) | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended. (1) |
| (b) | Bylaws, as amended and restated. (2) |
| (c) | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Bylaws. (3) |
| (d) | Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Bylaws (4) |
| (e) | Amendment No. 3 to Amended and Restated Bylaws (4) |
| (4) (a) | Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (5) |
| (b) | Rights Agreement, dated as of April 24, 1991, including as Exhibit A the forms of Rights Certificate and of Election to Purchase and as Exhibit B the summary of Rights to Purchase Common Shares. (6) |
| (c) | First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of March 28, 2001. (7) |
| (d) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Trust of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I. (8) |
| (e) | Second Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc., The Bank of New York, The Bank of New York (Delaware) and the Administrative Trustees named therein. (9) |
| (f) | Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc. and The Bank of New York. (9) |
| (g) | Guarantee Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2002, between BancorpSouth, Inc. and The Bank of New York. (9) |
| (h) | Junior Subordinated Debt Security Specimen. (9) |
| (i) | Trust Preferred Security Certificate for BancorpSouth Capital Trust I. (9) |
| (j) | Certain instruments defining the rights of certain holders of long-term debt securities of the Registrant are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K. The Registrant hereby agrees to furnish copies of these instruments to the SEC upon request. |
| (10) (a) | BancorpSouth, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27) |
| (b) | 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated. (11)(27) |
| (c) | Form of Performance Share Award Agreement. (12)(27) |
| (d) | BancorpSouth, Inc. Director Stock Plan, as amended and restated. (13)(27) |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

- (e) 1995 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors. (11)(27)
 - (f) BancorpSouth, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan (14)(27)
 - (g) BancorpSouth, Inc. Restoration Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27)
 - (h) BancorpSouth, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated. (10)(27)
 - (i) BancorpSouth, Inc. Home Office Incentive Plan. (15)(27)
 - (j) Description of Dividend Reinvestment Plan. (16)(27)
 - (k) BancorpSouth, Inc., Amended and Restated Salary Deferral-Profit Sharing Employee Stock Ownership Plan. (17)(27)
 - (l) Form of BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement. (18)(27)
 - (m) Form of Amendment to BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement. (10)(27)
 - (n) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Aubrey B. Patterson. (19)(27)
 - (o) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for James V. Kelley. (20)(27)
 - (p) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Gregg Cowsert. (19)(27)
 - (q) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Michael Sappington. (19)(27)
 - (r) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Larry Bateman. (21)(27)
 - (s) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for William L. Prater. (22)(27)
 - (t) BancorpSouth, Inc. Change in Control Agreement for Gordon Lewis. (23)(27)
 - (u) BancorpSouth, Inc. 1994 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Agreement with Aubrey B. Patterson. (24)(27)
 - (v) BancorpSouth, Inc. Executive Performance Incentive Plan. (25)(27)
 - (w) BancorpSouth, Inc. Deferred Directors Fee Unfunded Plan. (10)(27)
 - (x) Premier Bancorp, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan. (26)(27)
 - (y) Premier Bancorp, Inc. 1998 Outside Director Stock Option Plan. (26)(27)
-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| (z) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for converted Business Holding Corporation Options (Vesting). (26)(27) |
| (aa) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for converted Business Holding Corporation Options (Non-Vesting). (26)(27) |
| (11) | Statement re computation of per share earnings.* |
| (21) | Subsidiaries of the Registrant.* |
| (23) | Consent of Independent Accountants.* |
| (31.1) | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| (31.2) | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| (32.1) | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| (32.2) | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of BancorpSouth, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| (101)** | Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, is formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) interactive data files: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.* |
| (1) | Filed as exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2006 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto. |
| (2) | Filed as exhibit 3(a) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2009 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto. |
| (3) | Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto. |
| (4) | Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto. |

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

- (5) Filed as exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2007 (File number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 (file number 0-10826) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (7) Filed as exhibit 1 to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on April 24, 1991 (file number 0-10826) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (8) Filed as exhibit 2 to the Company's amended registration statement on Form 8-A/A filed on March 28, 2001 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (9) Filed as exhibit 4.12 to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3 filed on November 2, 2001 (Registration No. 33-72712) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2002 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file number 0-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2005 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (13) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 7, 2007 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.

Table of Contents

- (14) Filed as an appendix to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 26, 2004 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (15) Filed as exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 on Form S-3 to Form S-4 filed February 23, 1999 (Registration No. 333-280181) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (16) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (17) Filed in the Company's filing pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2) filed on January 5, 2004 (Registration No. 033-03009) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (18) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed on April 19, 2006 (Registration No. 333-133390) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (19) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (20) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 1999 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (21) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-4 filed June 14, 2000 (Registration No. 333-39326) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (22) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (23) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 25, 2009 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (24) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (25) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 24, 2009 (file number 1-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (26) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2003 (file number 001-12991) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (27) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 filed December 30, 2004 (Registration No. 333-121785) and incorporated by reference thereto.
- (28) Compensatory plans or arrangements.

* Filed herewith.

** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.