HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS LP
Form 10-Q
October 29, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from __________ to __________.

Commission File Number: 1-32225

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	20-0833098
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 Crescent Court, Suite 1600
Dallas, Texas 75201-6915
(Address of principal executive offices)
(214) 871-3555
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)
None
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer þ Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No þ

The number of the registrant s outstanding common units at October 22, 2010 was 22,078,509.

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.
INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	**3**
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited, except December 31, 2009 Balance Sheet)	4
Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
Consolidated Statements of Income	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Consolidated Statement of Partners Equity	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks	44
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	44
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	**45**
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	45
Item 6. Exhibits	45
SIGNATURES	46

Exhibit 12.1
Exhibit 31.1
Exhibit 31.2
Exhibit 32.1
Exhibit 32.2

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements, other than statements of historical fact included in this Form 10-Q, including, but not limited to, those under Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part I are forward-looking statements. Forward looking statements use words such as anticipate, project, expect, plan, goal, forecast, intend, could, b similar expressions and statements regarding our plans and objectives for future operations. These statements are based on our beliefs and assumptions and those of our general partner using currently available information and expectations as of the date hereof, are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks and uncertainties. Although we and our general partner believe that such expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, neither we nor our general partner can give assurance that our expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected. Certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from results anticipated in the forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to:

- risks and uncertainties with respect to the actual quantities of petroleum products and crude oil shipped on our pipelines and/or terminalled in our terminals;
- the economic viability of Holly Corporation, Alon USA, Inc. and our other customers;
- the demand for refined petroleum products in markets we serve;
- our ability to successfully purchase and integrate additional operations in the future;
- our ability to complete previously announced or contemplated acquisitions;
- the availability and cost of additional debt and equity financing;
- the possibility of reductions in production or shutdowns at refineries utilizing our pipeline and terminal facilities;
- the effects of current and future government regulations and policies;
- our operational efficiency in carrying out routine operations and capital construction projects;
- the possibility of terrorist attacks and the consequences of any such attacks;
- general economic conditions; and
- other financial, operations and legal risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are set forth in this Form 10-Q, including without limitation, the forward-looking statements that are referred to above. When considering forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 in Risk Factors and in this Form 10-Q in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Item 1. Financial Statements

Holly Energy Partners, L.P.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)		December 31, 2009	
	(In thousands, except unit data)			
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	$	706	$	2,508
Accounts receivable:				
Trade		3,720		4,693
Affiliates		17,599		14,074
		21,319		18,767
Prepaid and other current assets		1,121		739
Current assets of discontinued operations				2,195
Total current assets		23,146		24,209
Properties and equipment, net		424,806		398,044
Transportation agreements, net		110,226		115,436
Goodwill		49,109		49,109
Investment in SLC Pipeline		25,513		25,919
Other assets		1,784		4,128
Total assets	$	634,584	$	616,845
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable:				
Trade	$	2,978	$	3,860
Affiliates		2,808		2,351
		5,786		6,211
Accrued interest		1,532		2,863
Deferred revenue		11,681		8,402
Accrued property taxes		1,497		1,072
Other current liabilities		1,042		1,257
Credit agreement borrowings		157,000		
Total current liabilities		178,538		19,805

Long-term debt	332,564	390,827
Other long-term liabilities	12,534	12,349
Partners equity:		
Common unitholders (22,078,509 units and 21,141,009 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)	266,957	275,553
Class B subordinated unitholders (937,500 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009)		21,426
General partner interest (2% interest)	(144,184)	(93,974)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(11,825)	(9,141)
Total partners equity	110,948	193,864
Total liabilities and partners equity	$ 634,584	$ 616,845

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except per unit data)			
Revenues:				
Affiliates	$ 37,312	$ 28,359	$ 107,988	$ 71,746
Third parties	9,237	12,446	24,740	36,390
	46,549	40,805	132,728	108,136
Operating costs and expenses:				
Operations	13,632	11,103	40,187	32,076
Depreciation and amortization	7,237	6,580	22,038	19,209
General and administrative	1,508	1,848	5,984	4,979
	22,377	19,531	68,209	56,264
Operating income	24,172	21,274	64,519	51,872
Other income (expense):				
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline	570	711	1,595	1,309
SLC Pipeline acquisition costs				(2,500)
Interest income	1	2	6	10
Interest expense	(8,417)	(6,418)	(25,510)	(16,225)
Other	9		2	65
	(7,837)	(5,705)	(23,907)	(17,341)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	16,335	15,569	40,612	34,531
State income tax	(76)	(100)	(216)	(266)
Income from continuing operations	16,259	15,469	40,396	34,265
Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interest of $269 and $1,191, respectively		1,070		4,105
Net income	16,259	16,539	40,396	38,370
	3,172	2,022	8,727	5,163

Less general partner interest in net income, Including incentive distributions				
Limited partners interest in net income	$ 13,087	$ 14,517	$ 31,669	$ 33,207
Limited partners per unit interest in earnings basic and diluted:				
Income from continuing operations	$ 0.59	$ 0.73	$ 1.43	$ 1.66
Income from discontinued operations		0.05		0.23
Net income	$ 0.59	$ 0.78	$ 1.43	$ 1.89
Weighted average limited partners units outstanding	22,079	18,520	22,079	17,546

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 [1]
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	$ 40,396	$ 38,370
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	22,038	19,929
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline, net of distributions	406	(1,309)
Change in fair value interest rate swaps	1,464	300
Noncontrolling interest in earnings of Rio Grande Pipeline Company		1,191
Amortization of restricted and performance units	1,770	631
(Increase) decrease in current assets:		
Accounts receivable trade	973	117
Accounts receivable affiliates	(3,525)	(1,781)
Prepaid and other current assets	(382)	(477)
Current assets of discontinued operations	2,195	
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:		
Accounts payable trade	(882)	(2,815)
Accounts payable affiliates	457	(237)
Accrued interest	(1,331)	(1,929)
Deferred revenue	3,279	(8,076)
Accrued property taxes	425	341
Other current liabilities	(215)	(137)
Other, net	(939)	670
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,129	44,788
Cash flows from investing activities		
Additions to properties and equipment	(8,054)	(27,478)
Acquisition of assets from Holly Corporation	(35,526)	(46,000)
Investment in SLC Pipeline		(25,500)
Net cash used for investing activities	(43,580)	(98,978)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Borrowings under credit agreement	52,000	197,000
Repayments of credit agreement borrowings	(101,000)	(152,000)
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes	147,540	
Proceeds from issuance of common units		58,355
Contribution from general partner		1,191
Distributions to HEP unitholders	(62,648)	(44,393)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest		(600)
Purchase price in excess of transferred basis in assets acquired from Holly Corporation	(57,474)	(5,700)

Purchase of units for restricted grants		(2,276)		(616)
Deferred financing costs		(493)		
Cost of issuing common units				(266)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities		(24,351)		52,971
Cash and cash equivalents				
Increase (decrease) for the period		(1,802)		(1,219)
Beginning of period		2,508		5,269
End of period	$	706	$	4,050

(1) Includes cash flows attributable to discontinued operations.

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P.
Consolidated Statement of Partners Equity
(Unaudited)

	Common Units	Class B Subordinated Units	General Partner Interest	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
			(In thousands)		
Balance December 31, 2009	$ 275,553	$ 21,426	$ (93,974)	$ (9,141)	$ 193,864
Conversion of Class B subordinated units to common units	20,588	(20,588)			
Distributions to HEP unitholders	(60,302)	(1,519)	(827)		(62,648)
Purchase price in excess of transferred basis in assets acquired from Holly Corporation			(57,474)		(57,474)
Purchase of units for restricted grants	(2,276)				(2,276)
Amortization of restricted and performance units	1,770				1,770
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	31,624	681	8,091		40,396
Other comprehensive loss				(2,684)	(2,684)
Comprehensive income	31,624	681	8,091	(2,684)	37,712
Balance September 30, 2010	$ 266,957	$	$ (144,184)	$ (11,825)	$ 110,948

See accompanying notes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1: Description of Business and Presentation of Financial Statements

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (HEP) together with its consolidated subsidiaries, is a publicly held master limited partnership, currently 34% owned (including the 2% general partner interest) by Holly Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, Holly). We commenced operations on July 13, 2004 upon the completion of our initial public offering. In these consolidated financial statements, the words we, our, ours and us refer to HEP unless context otherwise indicates.

We operate in one business segment the operation of petroleum product and crude oil pipelines and terminals, tankage and loading rack facilities.

We own and operate petroleum product and crude oil pipeline and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that support Holly s refining and marketing operations in west Texas, New Mexico, Utah, Oklahoma, Idaho and Arizona. We also own and operate refined product pipelines and terminals, located primarily in Texas, that service Alon USA, Inc. s (Alon) refinery in Big Spring, Texas. Additionally, we own a 25% joint venture interest in a 95-mile intrastate crude oil pipeline system (the SLC Pipeline) that serves refineries in the Salt Lake City area.

We generate revenues by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through our pipelines, by charging fees for terminalling refined products and other hydrocarbons and storing and providing other services at our storage tanks and terminals. We do not take ownership of products that we transport, terminal or store, and therefore, we are not directly exposed to changes in commodity prices.

The consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of our results for the interim periods. Such adjustments are considered to be of a normal recurring nature. Although certain notes and other information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, we believe that the disclosures in these consolidated financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that will be realized for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Note 2: Discontinued Operations

On December 1, 2009, we sold our 70% interest in Rio Grande Pipeline Company (Rio Grande) to a subsidiary of Enterprise Products Partners LP for $35 million. Accordingly, results of operations of Rio Grande are presented in discontinued operations.

In accounting for the sale, we recorded a gain of $14.5 million and a receivable of $2.2 million, representing our final distribution from Rio Grande. Our recorded net asset balance of Rio Grande at December 1, 2009, was $22.7 million, consisting of cash of $3.1 million, $29.9 million in properties and equipment, net and $10.3 million in equity, representing BP, Plc s 30% noncontrolling interest.

Cash flows from continuing and discontinued operations have been combined for presentation purposes in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, net cash flows from our discontinued Rio Grande operations were $5.7 million.

Table of Contents

Note 3: Acquisitions

2010 Acquisitions

Tulsa East / Lovington Storage Asset Transaction

On March 31, 2010, we acquired from Holly certain storage assets for $88.6 million consisting of hydrocarbon storage tanks having approximately 2 million barrels of storage capacity, a rail loading rack and a truck unloading rack located at Holly s Tulsa refinery east facility.

In connection with this purchase, we amended our 15-year pipeline, tankage and loading rack throughput agreement with Holly (the Holly PTTA) that initially pertained to the logistics and storage assets acquired from an affiliate of Sinclair Oil Company (Sinclair) in December 2009. Under the amended Holly PTTA, Holly has agreed to transport, throughput and load volumes of product through our Tulsa east facility logistics and storage assets that will result in minimum annualized revenues to us of $27.2 million.

Also, as part of this same transaction, we acquired Holly s asphalt loading rack facility located at its Navajo refinery facility in Lovington, New Mexico for $4.4 million and entered into a 15-year asphalt facility throughput agreement (the Holly ATA). Under the Holly ATA, Holly has agreed to throughput a minimum volume of products via our Lovington asphalt loading rack facility that will result in minimum annualized revenues to us of $0.5 million.

We are a controlled subsidiary of Holly. In accounting for these acquisitions from Holly, we recorded total property and equipment at Holly s cost basis of $35.5 million and the purchase price in excess of Holly s basis in the assets of $57.5 million as a decrease to our partners equity.

2009 Acquisitions

Sinclair Logistics and Storage Assets Transaction

On December 1, 2009, we acquired from Sinclair storage tanks having approximately 1.4 million barrels of storage capacity and loading racks at its refinery located in Tulsa, Oklahoma for $79.2 million. The purchase price consisted of $25.7 million in cash, including $4.2 million in taxes and 1,373,609 of our common units having a fair value of $53.5 million. Separately, Holly, also a party to the transaction, acquired Sinclair s Tulsa refinery.

With respect to this purchase, we recorded $30.2 million in properties and equipment, $49.1 million in goodwill and $0.2 million in other long-term liabilities. The value of the acquired assets, which does not include goodwill, is based on management s fair value estimates using a cost approach methodology.

Roadrunner / Beeson Pipelines Transaction

Also on December 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly two newly constructed pipelines for $46.5 million, consisting of a 65-mile, 16-inch crude oil pipeline (the Roadrunner Pipeline) that connects the Navajo refinery Lovington facility to a terminus of Centurion Pipeline L.P. s pipeline extending between west Texas and Cushing, Oklahoma and a 37-mile, 8-inch crude oil pipeline that connects our New Mexico crude oil gathering system to the Navajo refinery Lovington facility (the Beeson Pipeline).

Tulsa West Loading Racks Transaction

On August 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly certain truck and rail loading/unloading facilities located at Holly s Tulsa refinery west facility for $17.5 million. The racks load refined products and lube oils produced at the Tulsa refinery onto rail cars and/or tanker trucks.

Lovington-Artesia Pipeline Transaction

On June 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly a newly constructed 16-inch intermediate pipeline for $34.2 million that runs 65 miles from the Navajo refinery s crude oil distillation and vacuum facilities in Lovington, New Mexico to its petroleum refinery located in Artesia, New Mexico.

The Roadrunner and Beeson Pipelines, loading rack facilities and 16-inch intermediate pipeline discussed above were recorded at $95.1 million, representing Holly s cost basis in the transferred assets. The $3.1 million purchase price in excess of Holly s basis in the assets was recorded as a decrease to our partners equity.

SLC Pipeline Joint Venture Interest

On March 1, 2009, we acquired a 25% joint venture interest in the SLC Pipeline, a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system that we jointly own with Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (Plains). The total cost of our investment in the SLC Pipeline was $28 million, consisting of the capitalized $25.5 million joint venture contribution and the $2.5 million finder s fee paid to Holly that was expensed as acquisition costs.

Note 4: Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, debt and an interest rate swap. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Our debt consists of outstanding principal under our revolving credit agreement (the Credit Agreement), our 6.25% senior notes due 2015 (the 6.25% Senior Notes) and our 8.25% senior notes due 2018 (the 8.25% Senior Notes). The $157 million carrying amount of outstanding debt under our Credit Agreement at September 30, 2010, approximates fair value as interest rates are reset frequently using current rates. The estimated fair values of our 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes were $183.2 million and $156.8 million, respectively, at September 30, 2010. These fair value estimates are based on market quotes provided from a third-party bank. See Note 8 for additional information on these instruments.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurements are derived using inputs (assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability) including assumptions about risk. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) categorizes inputs used in fair value measurements into three broad levels as follows:

(Level 1) Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

(Level 2) Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active or can be corroborated by observable market data.

(Level 3) Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes valuation techniques that involve significant unobservable inputs.

We have an interest rate swap that is measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 2 inputs that as of September 30, 2010 represented a liability having a fair value of $11.8 million. With respect to this instrument, fair value is based on the net present value of expected future cash flows related to both variable and fixed rate legs of our interest rate swap agreement. Our measurement is computed using the forward London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) yield curve, a market-based observable input. See Note 8 for additional information on our interest rate swap.

Note 5: Properties and Equipment

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Pipelines and terminals [1]	$ 493,182	$ 455,075
Land and right of way	25,257	25,230
Other	13,926	12,528
Construction in progress	14,417	10,484
	546,782	503,317
Less accumulated depreciation	121,976	105,273
	$ 424,806	$ 398,044

(1) We periodically evaluate estimated useful lives of our properties and equipment. Effective January 1, 2010, we revised the estimated useful lives of our terminal assets to 16 to 25 years. This change in estimated useful lives resulted in a $2.2 million reduction in depreciation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

We capitalized $0.4 million and $0.9 million in interest related to major construction projects during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note 6: Transportation Agreements

Our transportation agreements consist of the following:

The Alon pipelines and terminals agreement (the Alon PTA) represents a portion of the total purchase price of the Alon assets acquired in 2005 that was allocated based on an estimated fair value derived under an

income approach. This asset is being amortized over 30 years ending 2035, the 15-year initial term of the Alon PTA plus the expected 15-year extension period.

The Holly crude pipelines and tankage agreement (the Holly CPTA) represents a portion of the total purchase price of certain crude pipelines and tankage assets acquired from Holly in 2008 that was allocated using a fair value based on the agreement s expected contribution to our future earnings under an income approach. This asset is being amortized over 15 years ending 2023, the 15-year term of the Holly CPTA.

The carrying amounts of our transportation agreements are as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Alon transportation agreement	$ 59,933	$ 59,933
Holly crude pipelines and tankage agreement	74,231	74,231
	134,164	134,164
Less accumulated amortization	23,938	18,728
	$ 110,226	$ 115,436

We have additional transportation agreements with Holly that relate to pipeline, terminal and tankage assets contributed to us or acquired from Holly. These transfers occurred while under common control of Holly, therefore, our basis in these assets reflect Holly s historical cost and does not reflect a step-up in basis to fair value. These agreements have a recorded value of zero.

In addition, we have an agreement to provide transportation and storage services to Holly via our Tulsa logistics and storage assets acquired from Sinclair. Since this agreement is with Holly and not between Sinclair and us, there is no cost attributable to this agreement.

Table of Contents

Note 7: Employees, Retirement and Incentive Plans

Employees who provide direct services to us are employed by Holly Logistic Services, L.L.C., a Holly subsidiary. Their costs, including salaries, bonuses, payroll taxes, benefits and other direct costs are charged to us monthly in accordance with an omnibus agreement that we have with Holly. These employees participate in the retirement and benefit plans of Holly. Our share of retirement and benefit plan costs was $0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and $2.1 million and $2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We have adopted an incentive plan (Long-Term Incentive Plan) for employees, consultants and non-employee directors who perform services for us. The Long-Term Incentive Plan consists of four components: restricted units, performance units, unit options and unit appreciation rights.

As of September 30, 2010, we have two types of equity-based compensation, which are described below. The compensation cost charged against income for these plans was $0.4 million and $0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $1.8 million and $1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We currently purchase units in the open market instead of issuing new units for settlement of restricted unit grants. At September 30, 2010, 350,000 units were authorized to be granted under the equity-based compensation plans, of which 169,939 had not yet been granted.

Restricted Units

Under our Long-Term Incentive Plan, we grant restricted units to selected employees and directors who perform services for us, with vesting generally over a period of one to five years. Although full ownership of the units does not transfer to the recipients until the units vest, the recipients have distribution and voting rights on these units from the date of grant. The fair value of each restricted unit award is measured at the market price as of the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period.

A summary of restricted unit activity and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is presented below:

Restricted Units	Grants	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value ($000)
Outstanding at January 1, 2010 (nonvested)	53,271	$ 34.31		
Granted	36,755	43.13		
Vesting and transfer of full ownership to recipients	(41,505)	38.53		
Forfeited	(1,226)	34.28		
Outstanding at September 30, 2010 (nonvested)	47,295	$ 37.47	0.9 year	$ 2,424

The fair value of restricted units that were vested and transferred to recipients during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were $1.6 million and $1.2 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, there was $0.7 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested restricted unit grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.9 year.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we paid $2.3 million for the purchase of 53,952 of our common units in the open market for the recipients of our restricted unit grants.

Performance Units

Under our Long-Term Incentive Plan, we grant performance units to selected executives who perform services for us. Performance units granted in 2010 are payable based upon the growth in our distributable cash flow per common unit over the performance period, and vest over a period of three years. Performance units granted in 2009 and 2008 are payable based upon the growth in distributions on our common units during the requisite period, and vest over a period of three years. As of September 30, 2010, estimated share payouts for outstanding nonvested performance unit awards ranged from 110% to 120%.

We granted 16,965 performance units to certain officers in March 2010. These units will vest over a three-year performance period ending December 31, 2012 and are payable in HEP common units. The number of units actually earned will be based on the growth of our distributable cash flow per common unit over the performance period, and can range from 50% to 150% of the number of performance units granted. The fair value of these performance units is based on the grant date closing unit price of $42.59 and will apply to the number of units ultimately awarded.

A summary of performance unit activity and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is presented below:

Performance Units	**Payable In Units**
Outstanding at January 1, 2010 (nonvested)	54,771
Granted	16,965
Vesting and transfer of common units to recipients	(11,785)
Forfeited	(536)
Outstanding at September 30, 2010 (nonvested)	59,415

The fair value of performance units vested and transferred to recipients during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was $0.5 million and $0.4 million, respectively. Based on the weighted average fair value at September 30, 2010 of $32.97, there was $1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years.

Note 8: Debt

Credit Agreement

We have a $300 million senior secured revolving Credit Agreement expiring in August 2011. The Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, and working capital and for general partnership purposes. In addition, the Credit Agreement is available to fund letters of credit up to a $50 million sub-limit and to fund distributions to unitholders up to a $20 million sub-limit. Advances under the Credit Agreement that are designated for working capital are classified as short-term liabilities. Other advances under the Credit Agreement, including advances used for the financing of capital projects, are classified as long-term liabilities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received advances totaling $52 million and repaid $101 million, resulting in the net repayment of $49 million in advances. As of September 30, 2010, we had $157 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement that was used to finance acquisitions and capital projects. The Credit Agreement expires in August 2011; therefore, outstanding borrowings, all of which were previously classified as long-term liabilities, are currently classified as current liabilities. We intend to renew the credit agreement prior to expiration and to continue to finance outstanding credit agreement borrowings. Upon renewal of the Credit Agreement, outstanding borrowings not designated for working capital purposes will be reclassified as long-term debt.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of our assets. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Any recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P. would be limited to the extent of its assets, which other than its investment in us, are not significant.

Table of Contents

We may prepay all loans at any time without penalty, except for payment of certain breakage and related costs. We are required to reduce all working capital borrowings under the Credit Agreement to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days in each twelve-month period prior to the maturity date of the agreement. As of September 30, 2010, we had no working capital borrowings.

Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement bears interest, at our option, at either (a) the reference rate as announced by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.25% to 1.50%) or (b) at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 2.50%). In each case, the applicable margin is based upon the ratio of our funded debt (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the Credit Agreement). At September 30, 2010, we were subject to an applicable margin of 1.75%. We incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Agreement at a rate ranging from 0.20% to 0.50% based upon the ratio of our funded debt to EBITDA for the four most recently completed fiscal quarters. At September 30, 2010, we are subject to a .30% commitment fee on the $143 million unused portion of the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements on us, including: a prohibition against distribution to unitholders if, before or after the distribution, a potential default or an event of default as defined in the agreement would occur; limitations on our ability to incur debt, make loans, acquire other companies, change the nature of our business, enter a merger or consolidation, or sell assets; and covenants that require maintenance of a specified EBITDA to interest expense ratio and debt to EBITDA ratio. If an event of default exists under the Credit Agreement, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity of the debt and exercise other rights and remedies.

Additionally, the Credit Agreement contains certain provisions whereby the lenders may accelerate payment of outstanding debt under certain circumstances.

Senior Notes

In March 2010, we issued $150 million in aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes maturing March 15, 2018. A portion of the $147.5 million in net proceeds received was used to fund our $93 million purchase of the Tulsa and Lovington storage assets from Holly on March 31, 2010. Additionally, we used a portion to repay $42 million in outstanding Credit Agreement borrowings, with the remaining proceeds available for general partnership purposes, including working capital and capital expenditures.

Our 6.25% Senior Notes having an aggregate principal amount of $185 million mature March 1, 2015 and are registered with the SEC. The 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes (collectively, the Senior Notes) are unsecured and have certain restrictive covenants, which we are subject to and currently in compliance with, including limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody s and Standard & Poor s and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Senior Notes.

Indebtedness under the Senior Notes is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries. However, any recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P. would be limited to the extent of its assets, which other than its investment in us, are not significant.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts of our debt are as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Credit Agreement	$ 157,000	$ 206,000
6.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	185,000	185,000
Unamortized discount	(1,679)	(1,964)
Unamortized premium dedesignated fair value hedge	1,531	1,791
	184,852	184,827
8.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	150,000	
Unamortized discount	(2,288)	
	147,712	
Total debt	489,564	390,827
Less credit agreement borrowings classified as current liabilities	157,000	
Total long-term debt	$ 332,564	$ 390,827

Interest Rate Risk Management

We use interest rate swaps (derivative instruments) to manage our exposure to interest rate risk.

As of September 30, 2010, we have an interest rate swap that hedges our exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on a $155 million Credit Agreement advance. This interest rate swap effectively converts $155 million of LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 1.75%, which equals an effective interest rate of 5.49% as of September 30, 2010. The maturity date of this swap contract is February 28, 2013.

We have designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge. Based on our assessment of effectiveness using the change in variable cash flows method, we have determined that this interest rate swap is effective in offsetting the variability in interest payments on our $155 million variable rate debt resulting from changes in LIBOR. Under hedge accounting, we adjust our cash flow hedge on a quarterly basis to its fair value with the offsetting fair value adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss. Also on a quarterly basis, we measure hedge effectiveness by comparing the present value of the cumulative change in the expected future interest to be paid or received on the variable leg of our swap against the expected future interest payments on our $155 million variable rate debt. Any ineffectiveness is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense. To date, we have had no ineffectiveness on our cash flow hedge.

Additional information on our interest rate swap as of September 30, 2010 is as follows:

	Balance Sheet	Location of Offsetting	Offsetting

Interest Rate Swap		**Location**	**Fair Value**	**Balance**	**Amount**
				(In thousands)	
Liability					
Cash flow hedge debt	$155 million LIBOR based	Other long-term liabilities	$ 11,825	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	$ 11,825

In May 2010, we repaid $16 million of our Credit Agreement debt and also settled a corresponding portion of our interest rate swap agreement having a notional amount of $16 million for $1.1 million. Upon payment, we reduced our swap liability and reclassified a $1.1 million charge from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense, representing the application of hedge accounting prior to settlement.

Table of Contents

In the first quarter of 2010, we settled two interest rate swaps. We had an interest rate swap contract that effectively converted interest expense associated with $60 million of our 6.25% Senior Notes from fixed to variable rate debt (Variable Rate Swap). We had an additional interest rate swap contract that effectively unwound the effects of the Variable Rate Swap, converting $60 million of the previously hedged long-term debt back to fixed rate debt (Fixed Rate Swap), effectively fixing interest at a 4.75% rate. Upon settlement of the Variable Rate and Fixed Rate Swaps, we received $1.9 million and paid $3.6 million, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized $1.5 million and $0.3 million in non-cash charges to interest expense as a result of fair value adjustments to our interest rate swaps.

We have a deferred hedge premium that relates to the application of hedge accounting to the Variable Rate Swap prior to its hedge dedesignation in 2008. This deferred hedge premium having a balance of $1.5 million at September 30, 2010, is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the 6.25% Senior Notes.

Interest Expense and Other Debt Information

Interest expense consists of the following components:

	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Interest on outstanding debt:		
Credit Agreement, net of interest on interest rate swap	$ 6,908	$ 7,745
6.25% Senior Notes, net of interest on interest rate swaps	8,514	8,320
8.25% Senior Notes	6,940	
Partial settlement of interest rate swap cash flow hedge	1,076	
Net fair value adjustments to interest rate swaps	1,464	300
Net amortization of discount and deferred debt issuance costs	710	529
Commitment fees	286	202
Total interest incurred	25,898	17,096
Less capitalized interest	388	871
Net interest expense	$ 25,510	$ 16,225
Cash paid for interest [(1)]	$ 29,515	$ 18,307

(1) Net of cash received under our interest rate swap agreements of $1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and $3.8 million for

the nine months
ended
September 30,
2009.

Note 9: Significant Customers

All revenues are domestic revenues, of which 95 percent are currently generated from our two largest customers: Holly and Alon. The major part of our revenues is derived from activities conducted in the southwest United States. The following table presents the percentage of total revenues from continuing operations generated by each of these customers:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		**Nine Months Ended September 30,**	
	2010	**2009**	**2010**	**2009**
Holly	80%	70%	81%	66%
Alon	15%	26%	14%	29%

Table of Contents

Note 10: Related Party Transactions

Holly and Alon Agreements

We serve Holly s refineries in New Mexico, Utah and Oklahoma under the following long-term pipeline and terminal, tankage and throughput agreements:

Holly PTA (pipelines and terminals throughput agreement expiring in 2019 that relates to assets contributed to us by Holly upon our initial public offering in 2004);

Holly IPA (intermediate pipelines throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to assets acquired from Holly in 2005 and 2009);

Holly CPTA (crude pipelines and tankage throughput agreement expiring in 2023 that relates to assets acquired from Holly in 2008);

Holly PTTA (pipeline, tankage and loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa east facilities acquired from Sinclair in 2009 and from Holly in March 2010);

Holly RPA (pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Roadrunner Pipeline acquired from Holly in 2009);

Holly ETA (equipment and throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa west facilities acquired from Holly in 2009);

Holly NPA (natural gas pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024); and

Holly ATA (asphalt loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2025 that relates to the Lovington facility acquired from Holly in March 2010).

Under these agreements, Holly agreed to transport, store and throughput volumes of refined product and crude oil on our pipelines and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that result in minimum annual payments to us. These minimum annual payments or revenues will be adjusted each year at a percentage change based upon the change in the Producer Price Index (PPI) but will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI. Under these agreements, the agreed upon tariff rates are adjusted each year on July 1 at a rate based upon the percentage change in the PPI or the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) index, but with the exception of the Holly IPA, generally will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI or FERC index. The FERC index is the change in the PPI plus a FERC adjustment factor that is reviewed periodically. Following the July 1, 2010 PPI adjustment, which was insignificant, these agreements with Holly will result in minimum annualized payments to us of $133 million.

We also have a pipelines and terminals agreement with Alon expiring in 2020 under which Alon has agreed to transport on our pipelines and throughput through our terminals volumes of refined products that result in a minimum level of annual revenue. The agreed upon tariff rates are increased or decreased annually at a rate equal to the percentage change in PPI, but not below the initial tariff rate. Following the March 1, 2010 PPI adjustment, Alon s minimum annualized commitment to us is $22.7 million.

If Holly or Alon fails to meet their minimum volume commitments under the agreements in any quarter, it will be required to pay us in cash the amount of any shortfall by the last day of the month following the end of the quarter. A shortfall payment under the Holly PTA, Holly IPA and Alon PTA may be applied as a credit in the following four quarters after minimum obligations are met.

We entered into an omnibus agreement with Holly in 2004 that Holly and we have amended and restated several times in connection with our past acquisitions from Holly with the last amendment and restatement occurring on March 31, 2010 (the Omnibus Agreement). Under certain provisions of the Omnibus Agreement, we pay Holly an annual administrative fee, currently $2.3 million, for the provision by Holly or its affiliates of various general and administrative services to us. This fee does not include the salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or the cost of their employee benefits, which are separately charged to us by Holly. Also, we reimburse Holly and its affiliates for direct expenses they incur on our behalf.

Table of Contents

Related party transactions with Holly are as follows:

- Revenues received from Holly were $37.3 million and $28.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $108 million and $71.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- Holly charged general and administrative services under the Omnibus Agreement of $0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and $1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.
- We reimbursed Holly for costs of employees supporting our operations of $4.8 million and $4.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $13.6 million and $12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- We paid Holly a $2.5 million finder s fee in connection the acquisition of our 25% joint venture interest in the SLC Pipeline in the first quarter of 2009.
- We distributed $9.1 million and $7.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, to Holly as regular distributions on its common units, subordinated units and general partner interest, including general partner incentive distributions. We distributed $26.5 million and $21.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- Accounts receivable from Holly were $17.6 million and $14.1 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
- Accounts payable to Holly were $2.8 million and $2.4 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
- Revenues for the three and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 include $0.6 million and $2.9 million of shortfalls billed under the Holly IPA in 2009 as Holly did not exceed its minimum volume commitment in any of the subsequent four quarters. Deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, includes $3.4 million and $3.6 million, respectively, relating to the Holly IPA. It is possible that Holly may not exceed its minimum obligations under the Holly IPA to allow Holly to receive credit for any of the $3.4 million deferred at September 30, 2010.
- We acquired the Tulsa east and Lovington storage assets, Roadrunner and Beeson Pipelines, Tulsa loading racks and a 16-inch intermediate pipeline from Holly in March 2010, December 2009, August 2009 and June 2009, respectively. See Note 3 for a description of these transactions.

Alon became a related party when it acquired all of our Class B subordinated units in connection with our acquisition of assets from them in February 2005. In May 2010, all of the conditions necessary to end the subordination period for the 937,500 Class B subordinated units originally issued to Alon were met and the units were converted into our common units on a one-for-one basis.

Related party transactions with Alon are as follows:

- Revenues received from Alon were $5.4 million and $8.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $13.8 million and $25.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively under the Alon PTA. Additionally, revenues received under a pipeline capacity lease agreement with Alon were $1.7 million and $1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $4.9 million and $5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- Accounts receivable trade include receivable balances from Alon of $3.6 million at September 30, 2010 and $4 million at December 31, 2009.

Revenues for the three and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 include $1.1 million and $2.9 million, respectively, of shortfalls billed under the Alon PTA in 2010, as Alon did not exceed its minimum revenue obligation in any of the subsequent four quarters. Deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 includes $8.3 million and $4.8 million, respectively, relating to the Alon PTA. It is possible that Alon may not exceed its minimum obligations under the Alon PTA to allow Alon to receive credit for any of the $8.3 million deferred at September 30, 2010.

Note 11: Partners Equity

Holly currently holds 7,290,000 of our common units and the 2% general partner interest, which together constitutes a 34% ownership interest in us.

Issuances of units

We issued 1,373,609 of our common units having a value of $53.5 million to Sinclair as partial consideration of our total $79.2 million purchase of Sinclair s Tulsa logistics assets in December 2009.

We issued in a public offering 2,185,000 of our common units priced at $35.78 per unit in November 2009. Aggregate net proceeds of $74.9 million were used to fund the cash portion of our December 2009 asset acquisitions, to repay outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement and for general partnership purposes.

Additionally, we issued in a public offering 2,192,400 of our common units priced at $27.80 per unit in May 2009. Net proceeds of $58.4 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement and for general partnership purposes.

We received aggregate capital contributions of $3.8 million from our general partner to maintain its 2% general partner interest concurrent with the 2009 common unit issuances described above.

Under our registration statement filed with the SEC using a shelf registration process, we currently have the ability to raise $860 million through security offerings, through one or more prospectus supplements that would describe, among other things, the specific amounts, prices and terms of any securities offered and how the proceeds would be used. Any proceeds from the sale of securities would be used for general business purposes, which may include, among other things, funding acquisitions of assets or businesses, working capital, capital expenditures, investments in subsidiaries, the retirement of existing debt and/or the repurchase of common units or other securities.

Allocations of Net Income

Net income attributable to Holly Energy Partners, L.P. is allocated between limited partners and the general partner interest in accordance with the provisions of the partnership agreement. HEP net income allocated to the general partner includes incentive distributions that are declared subsequent to quarter end. After the amount of incentive distributions is allocated to the general partner, the remaining net income attributable to HEP is allocated to the partners based on their weighted-average ownership percentage during the period.

The following table presents the allocation of the general partner interest in net income:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
General partner interest in net income	$ 271	$ 300	$ 659	$ 691
General partner incentive distribution	2,901	1,722	8,068	4,472
Total general partner interest in net income attributable to HEP	$ 3,172	$ 2,022	$ 8,727	$ 5,163

Cash Distributions

Our general partner, HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels.

On October 26, 2010, we announced our cash distribution for the third quarter of 2010 of $0.835 per unit. The distribution is payable on all common, subordinated, and general partner units and will be paid November 12, 2010 to all unitholders of record on November 5, 2010.

The following table presents the allocation of our regular quarterly cash distributions to the general and limited partners for the periods in which they apply. Our distributions are declared subsequent to quarter end; therefore, the amounts presented do not reflect distributions paid during the periods presented below.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except per unit data)			
General partner interest	$ 436	$ 336	$ 1,280	$ 947
General partner incentive distribution	2,901	1,722	8,068	4,472
Total general partner distribution	3,337	2,058	9,348	5,419
Limited partner distribution	18,435	14,723	54,566	41,938
Total regular quarterly cash distribution	$ 21,772	$ 16,781	$ 63,914	$ 47,357
Cash distribution per unit applicable to limited partners	$ 0.835	$ 0.795	$ 2.475	$ 2.355

As a master limited partnership, we distribute our available cash, which has historically exceeded our net income because depreciation and amortization expense represents a non-cash charge against income. The result is a decline in our equity since our regular quarterly distributions have exceeded our quarterly net income. Additionally, if the assets contributed and acquired from Holly while under common control of Holly had been acquired from third parties, our acquisition cost in excess of Holly s basis in the transferred assets of $217.9 million would have been recorded in our financial statements as increases to our properties and equipment and intangible assets instead of decreases to partners equity.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

We have other comprehensive income (loss) resulting from fair value adjustments to our cash flow hedge. Our comprehensive income is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Net income	$ 16,259	$ 16,808	$ 40,396	$ 39,561
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in fair value of cash flow hedge	(703)	(1,482)	(3,760)	2,786
Reclassification adjustment to net income on partial settlement of cash flow hedge			1,076	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(703)	(1,482)	(2,684)	2,786

Comprehensive income	15,556	15,326	37,712	42,347
Less noncontrolling interest in comprehensive income		269		1,191
Comprehensive income attributable to HEP unitholders	$ 15,556	$ 15,057	$ 37,712	$ 41,156

Table of Contents

Note 12: Supplemental Guarantor/Non-Guarantor Financial Information

Obligations of Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (Parent) under the 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes have been jointly and severally guaranteed by each of its direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries (Guarantor Subsidiaries). These guarantees are full and unconditional.

We sold our 70% interest in Rio Grande on December 1, 2009; therefore, Rio Grande is no longer a subsidiary of HEP. Rio Grande (Non-Guarantor) was the only subsidiary that did not guarantee these obligations. Amounts attributable to Rio Grande prior to our sale are presented in discontinued operations.

The following financial information presents condensed consolidating balance sheets, statements of income, and statements of cash flows of the Parent, the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor. The information has been presented as if the Parent accounted for its ownership in the Guarantor Subsidiaries, and the Guarantor Subsidiaries accounted for the ownership of the Non-Guarantor, using the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

September 30, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		(In thousands)		
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 2	$ 704	$	$ 706
Accounts receivable		21,319		21,319
Intercompany accounts receivable (payable)	(73,158)	73,158		
Prepaid and other current assets	368	753		1,121
Total current assets	(72,788)	95,934		23,146
Properties and equipment, net		424,806		424,806
Investment in subsidiaries	517,300		(517,300)	
Transportation agreements, net		110,226		110,226
Goodwill		49,109		49,109
Investment in SLC Pipeline		25,513		25,513
Other assets	1,314	470		1,784
Total assets	$ 445,826	$ 706,058	$ (517,300)	$ 634,584
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	$	$ 5,786	$	$ 5,786
Accrued interest	1,514	18		1,532
Deferred revenue		11,681		11,681
Accrued property taxes		1,497		1,497
Other current liabilities	800	242		1,042
Credit agreement borrowings		157,000		157,000
Total current liabilities	2,314	176,224		178,538
Long-term debt	332,564			332,564
Other long-term liabilities		12,534		12,534
Partners equity	110,948	517,300	(517,300)	110,948
Total liabilities and partners equity	$ 445,826	$ 706,058	$ (517,300)	$ 634,584

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

December 31, 2009	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		(In thousands)		
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 2	$ 2,506	$	$ 2,508

Accounts receivable		18,767		18,767
Intercompany accounts receivable (payable)	(76,855)	76,855		
Prepaid and other current assets	261	478		739
Current assets of discontinued operations		2,195		2,195
Total current assets	(76,592)	100,801		24,209
Properties and equipment, net		398,044		398,044
Investment in subsidiaries	458,381		(458,381)	
Transportation agreements, net		115,436		115,436
Goodwill		49,109		49,109
Investment in SLC Pipeline		25,919		25,919
Other assets	3,267	861		4,128
Total assets	$ 385,056	$ 690,170	$ (458,381)	$ 616,845
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	$	$ 6,211	$	$ 6,211
Accrued interest	2,849	14		2,863
Deferred revenue		8,402		8,402
Accrued property taxes		1,072		1,072
Other current liabilities	961	296		1,257
Total current liabilities	3,810	15,995		19,805
Long-term debt	184,827	206,000		390,827
Other long-term liabilities	2,555	9,794		12,349
Partners equity	193,864	458,381	(458,381)	193,864
Total liabilities and partners equity	$ 385,056	$ 690,170	$ (458,381)	$ 616,845

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

Three months ended September 30, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		(In thousands)		
Revenues:				
Affiliates	$	$ 37,312	$	$ 37,312
Third parties		9,237		9,237
		46,549		46,549
Operating costs and expenses:				
Operations		13,632		13,632
Depreciation and amortization		7,237		7,237
General and administrative	888	620		1,508
	888	21,489		22,377
Operating income (loss)	(888)	25,060		24,172
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	23,285		(23,285)	
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline		570		570
Interest income (expense)	(6,138)	(2,278)		(8,416)
Other		9		9
	17,147	(1,699)	(23,285)	(7,837)
Income (loss) before income taxes	16,259	23,361	(23,285)	16,335
State income tax		(76)		(76)
Net income	$ 16,259	$ 23,285	$ (23,285)	$ 16,259

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

Three months ended September 30, 2009	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)		
Revenues:					
Affiliates	$	$ 28,359	$	$	$ 28,359
Third parties		12,446			12,446
		40,805			40,805
Operating costs and expenses:					
Operations		11,103			11,103
Depreciation and amortization		6,580			6,580
General and administrative	1,210	638			1,848

	1,210	18,321			19,531
Operating income (loss)	(1,210)	22,484			21,274
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	21,408	628		(22,036)	
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline		711			711
Interest income (expense)	(3,659)	(2,757)			(6,416)
Other					
	17,749	(1,418)		(22,036)	(5,705)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	16,539	21,066		(22,036)	15,569
State income tax		(100)			(100)
Income from continuing operations	16,539	20,966		(22,036)	15,469
Income from discontinued operations		442	897	(269)	1,070
Net income	$ 16,539	$ 21,408	$ 897	$ (22,305)	$ 16,539

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

Nine months ended September 30, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		(In thousands)		
Revenues:				
Affiliates	$	$ 107,988	$	$ 107,988
Third parties		24,740		24,740
		132,728		132,728
Operating costs and expenses:				
Operations		40,187		40,187
Depreciation and amortization		22,038		22,038
General and administrative	3,970	2,014		5,984
	3,970	64,239		68,209
Operating income (loss)	(3,970)	68,489		64,519
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	61,603		(61,603)	
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline		1,595		1,595
Interest income (expense)	(17,237)	(8,267)		(25,504)
Other		2		2
	44,366	(6,670)	(61,603)	(23,907)
Income (loss) before income taxes	40,396	61,819	(61,603)	40,612
State income tax		(216)		(216)
Net income	$ 40,396	$ 61,603	$ (61,603)	$ 40,396

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

Nine months ended September 30, 2009	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)		
Revenues:					
Affiliates	$	$ 71,746	$	$	$ 71,746
Third parties		36,390			36,390
		108,136			108,136
Operating costs and expenses:					
Operations		32,076			32,076
Depreciation and amortization		19,209			19,209
General and administrative	3,195	1,784			4,979

	3,195	53,069			56,264
Operating income (loss)	(3,195)	55,067			51,872
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	50,026	2,780		(52,806)	
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline		1,309			1,309
SLC Pipeline acquisition costs		(2,500)			(2,500)
Interest income (expense)	(8,461)	(7,754)			(16,215)
Other		65			65
	41,565	(6,100)		(52,806)	(17,341)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	38,370	48,967		(52,806)	34,531
State income tax		(266)			(266)
Income from continuing operations	38,370	48,701		(52,806)	34,265
Income from discontinued operations		1,325	3,971	(1,191)	4,105
Net income	$ 38,370	$ 50,026	$ 3,971	$ (53,997)	$ 38,370

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Nine months ended September 30, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
		(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities	$ (82,123)	$ 148,252	$	$ 66,129
Cash flows from investing activities				
Additions to properties and equipment		(8,054)		(8,054)
Acquisition of assets from Holly Corporation		(35,526)		(35,526)
		(43,580)		(43,580)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Net repayments under credit agreement		(49,000)		(49,000)
Net proceeds from issuance of senior notes	147,540			147,540
Distributions to HEP unitholders	(62,648)			(62,648)
Purchase price in excess of transferred basis in assets acquired from Holly Corporation		(57,474)		(57,474)
Purchase of units for restricted grants	(2,276)			(2,276)
Deferred financing costs	(493)			(493)
	82,123	(106,474)		(24,351)
Cash and cash equivalents				
Increase (decrease) for the period		(1,802)		(1,802)
Beginning of period	2	2,506		2,508
End of period	$ 2	$ 704	$	$ 706

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Nine months ended September 30, 2009	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities	$ (14,887)	$ 56,819	$ 4,256	$ (1,400)	$ 44,788
Cash flows from investing activities					
Additions to properties and equipment		(27,406)	(72)		(27,478)
Acquisition of assets from Holly Corporation		(46,000)			(46,000)
Investment in SLC Pipeline		(25,500)			(25,500)
		(98,906)	(72)		(98,978)

Cash flows from financing activities					
Net borrowings under credit agreement		45,000			45,000
Proceeds from issuance of common units	58,355				58,355
Contribution from general partner	1,191				1,191
Distributions to HEP unitholders	(44,393)		(2,000)	2,000	(44,393)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest				(600)	(600)
Purchase price in excess of transferred basis in assets acquired from Holly Corporation		(5,700)			(5,700)
Purchase of units for restricted grants		(616)			(616)
Cost of issuing common units	(266)				(266)
	14,887	38,684	(2,000)	1,400	52,971
Cash and cash equivalents					
Increase (decrease) for the period		(3,403)	2,184		(1,219)
Beginning of period	2	3,706	1,561		5,269
End of period	$ 2	$ 303	$ 3,745	$	$ 4,050

Table of Contents

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Item 2, including but not limited to the sections on Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources, contains forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of Part I on this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In this document, the words we, our, ours and us refer to HEP and its consolidated subsidia or to HEP or an individual subsidiary and not to any other person.

OVERVIEW

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership. We own and operate petroleum product and crude oil pipeline and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that support Holly Corporation s (Holly) refining and marketing operations in west Texas, New Mexico, Utah, Oklahoma, Idaho and Arizona. Holly currently owns a 34% interest in us including the 2% general partner interest. We also own and operate refined product pipelines and terminals, located primarily in Texas, that service Alon s (Alon) refinery in Big Spring, Texas. Additionally, we own a 25% joint venture interest in a 95-mile intrastate crude oil pipeline system (the SLC Pipeline) that serves refineries in the Salt Lake City area.

We generate revenues by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through our pipelines, by charging fees for terminalling refined products and other hydrocarbons and storing and providing other services at our storage tanks and terminals. We do not take ownership of products that we transport, terminal or store, and therefore, we are not directly exposed to changes in commodity prices.

2010 Acquisitions

Tulsa East / Lovington Storage Asset Transaction

On March 31, 2010, we acquired from Holly certain storage assets for $93 million, consisting of hydrocarbon storage tanks having approximately 2 million barrels of storage capacity, a rail loading rack and a truck unloading rack located at Holly s Tulsa refinery east facility and an asphalt loading rack facility located at Holly s Navajo refinery facility in Lovington, New Mexico.

2009 Acquisitions

Sinclair Logistics and Storage Assets Transaction

On December 1, 2009, we acquired from an affiliate of Sinclair Oil Company (Sinclair) storage tanks having approximately 1.4 million barrels of storage capacity and loading racks at its refinery located in Tulsa, Oklahoma for $79.2 million.

Roadrunner / Beeson Pipelines Transaction

Also on December 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly two newly constructed pipelines for $46.5 million, consisting of a 65-mile, 16-inch crude oil pipeline (the Roadrunner Pipeline) that connects the Navajo refinery Lovington facility to a terminus of Centurion Pipeline L.P. s pipeline extending between west Texas and Cushing, Oklahoma and a 37-mile, 8-inch crude oil pipeline that connects our New Mexico crude oil gathering system to the Navajo refinery Lovington facility (the Beeson Pipeline).

Tulsa Loading Racks Transaction

On August 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly certain truck and rail loading/unloading facilities located at Holly s Tulsa refinery west facility for $17.5 million. The racks load refined products and lube oils produced at the Tulsa refinery onto rail cars and/or tanker trucks.

Lovington-Artesia Pipeline Transaction

On June 1, 2009, we acquired from Holly a newly constructed 16-inch intermediate pipeline for $34.2 million that runs 65 miles from the Navajo refinery s crude oil distillation and vacuum facilities in Lovington, New Mexico to its petroleum refinery located in Artesia, New Mexico.

SLC Pipeline Joint Venture Interest

On March 1, 2009, we acquired a 25% joint venture interest in the SLC Pipeline, a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system that we jointly own with Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (Plains). The total cost of our investment in the SLC Pipeline was $28 million, consisting of the capitalized $25.5 million joint venture contribution and the $2.5 million finder s fee paid to Holly that was expensed as acquisition costs.

Holly Capacity Expansion

Also in March 2009 Holly, our largest customer, completed a 15,000 barrels per stream day (bpsd) capacity expansion of its Navajo refinery increasing refining capacity to 100,000 bpsd, or by 18%.

Rio Grande Pipeline Sale

On December 1, 2009, we sold our 70% interest in the Rio Grande Pipeline Company (Rio Grande) to a subsidiary of Enterprise Products Partners LP for $35 million. Accordingly, the results of operations of Rio Grande are presented in discontinued operations.

Agreements with Holly Corporation and Alon

We serve Holly s refineries in New Mexico, Utah and Oklahoma under the following long-term pipeline and terminal, tankage and throughput agreements:

- Holly PTA (pipelines and terminals throughput agreement expiring in 2019 that relates to assets contributed to us by Holly upon our initial public offering in 2004);
- Holly IPA (intermediate pipelines throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to assets acquired from Holly in 2005 and 2009);
- Holly CPTA (crude pipelines and tankage throughput agreement expiring in 2023 that relates to assets acquired from Holly in 2008);
- Holly PTTA (pipeline, tankage and loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa east facilities acquired from Sinclair in 2009 and from Holly in March 2010);
- Holly RPA (pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Roadrunner Pipeline acquired from Holly in 2009);
- Holly ETA (equipment and throughput agreement expiring in 2024 that relates to the Tulsa west facilities acquired from Holly in 2009);
- Holly NPA (natural gas pipeline throughput agreement expiring in 2024); and
- Holly ATA (asphalt loading rack throughput agreement expiring in 2025 that relates to the Lovington facility acquired from Holly in March 2010).

Under these agreements, Holly agreed to transport, store and throughput volumes of refined product and crude oil on our pipelines and terminal, tankage and loading rack facilities that result in minimum annual payments to us. These minimum annual payments or revenues will be adjusted each year at a percentage change based upon the change in the Producer Price Index (PPI) but will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI. Under these agreements, the agreed upon tariff rates are adjusted each year on July 1 at a rate based upon the percentage change in the PPI or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) index, but with the exception of the Holly IPA, generally will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI or FERC index. The FERC index is the change in the PPI plus a FERC adjustment factor that is reviewed periodically.

We also have a pipelines and terminals agreement with Alon expiring in 2020 under which Alon has agreed to transport on our pipelines and throughput through our terminals volumes of refined products that result in a minimum level of annual revenue. The agreed upon tariff rates are increased or decreased annually at a rate equal to the percentage change in PPI, but not below the initial tariff rate.

Table of Contents

At October 1, 2010, contractual minimums under our long-term service agreements are as follows:

Agreement		Minimum Annualized Commitment (In millions)	Year of Maturity	Contract Type
Holly PTA	$	43.7	2019	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly IPA		20.7	2024	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly CPTA		28.4	2023	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly PTTA		27.2	2024	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly RPA		9.2	2024	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly ETA		2.7	2024	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly ATA		0.5	2025	Minimum revenue commitment
Holly NPA		0.6	2024	Minimum revenue commitment
Alon PTA		22.7	2020	Minimum volume commitment
Alon capacity lease		6.4	Various	Capacity lease
Total	$	162.1		

A significant reduction in revenues under these agreements would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We entered into an omnibus agreement with Holly in 2004 that Holly and we have amended and restated several times in connection with our past acquisitions from Holly with the last amendment and restatement occurring on March 31, 2010 (the Omnibus Agreement). Under certain provisions of the Omnibus Agreement, we pay Holly an annual administrative fee, currently $2.3 million, for the provision by Holly or its affiliates of various general and administrative services to us. This fee does not include the salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or the cost of their employee benefits, which are separately charged to us by Holly. Also, we reimburse Holly and its affiliates for direct expenses they incur on our behalf.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

Income, Distributable Cash Flow and Volumes

The following tables present income, distributable cash flow and volume information for the three and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Change from 2009
	(In thousands, except per unit data)		
Revenues			
Pipelines:			
Affiliates refined product pipelines	$ 12,340	$ 12,267	$ 73
Affiliates intermediate pipelines	4,917	5,370	(453)
Affiliates crude pipelines	9,775	7,563	2,212
	27,032	25,200	1,832
Third parties refined product pipelines	7,277	10,552	(3,275)
	34,309	35,752	(1,443)
Terminals and loading racks:			
Affiliates	10,281	3,159	7,122
Third parties	1,959	1,894	65
	12,240	5,053	7,187
Total revenues	46,549	40,805	5,744
Operating costs and expenses			
Operations	13,632	11,103	2,529
Depreciation and amortization	7,237	6,580	657
General and administrative	1,508	1,848	(340)
	22,377	19,531	2,846
Operating income	24,172	21,274	2,898
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline	570	711	(141)
Interest income	1	2	(1)
Interest expense, including amortization	(8,417)	(6,418)	(1,999)
Other	9		9
	(7,837)	(5,705)	(2,132)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	16,335	15,569	766
State income tax	(76)	(100)	24

Income from continuing operations		16,259		15,469		790
Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interest of $269 [1]				1,070		(1,070)
Net income		16,259		16,539		(280)
Less general partner interest in net income, including incentive distributions [2]		3,172		2,022		1,150
Limited partners interest in net income	$	13,087	$	14,517	$	(1,430)
Limited partners earnings per unit basic and diluted [2]						
Income from continuing operations	$	0.59	$	0.73	$	(0.14)
Income from discontinued operations				0.05		(0.05)
Net income	$	0.59	$	0.78	$	(0.19)
Weighted average limited partners units outstanding		22,079		18,520		3,559
EBITDA [3]	$	31,988	$	29,888	$	2,100
Distributable cash flow [4]	$	23,969	$	20,678	$	3,291
Volumes from continuing operations (bpd) [1]						
Pipelines:						
Affiliates refined product pipelines		93,194		98,987		(5,793)
Affiliates intermediate pipelines		83,227		88,053		(4,826)
Affiliates crude pipelines		143,617		143,902		(285)
		320,038		330,942		(10,904)
Third parties refined product pipelines		41,967		43,858		(1,891)
		362,005		374,800		(12,795)
Terminals and loading racks:						
Affiliates		183,312		122,413		60,899
Third parties		43,633		44,459		(826)
		226,945		166,872		60,073
Total for pipelines and terminal assets (bpd)		588,950		541,672		47,278

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	Change from 2009
	(In thousands, except per unit data)		
Revenues			
Pipelines:			
Affiliates refined product pipelines	$ 35,887	$ 31,186	$ 4,701
Affiliates intermediate pipelines	15,673	11,438	4,235
Affiliates crude pipelines	28,907	21,215	7,692
	80,467	63,839	16,628
Third parties refined product pipelines	19,136	31,125	(11,989)
	99,603	94,964	4,639
Terminals and loading racks:			
Affiliates	27,522	7,907	19,615
Third parties	5,603	5,265	338
	33,125	13,172	19,953
Total revenues	132,728	108,136	24,592
Operating costs and expenses			
Operations	40,187	32,076	8,111
Depreciation and amortization	22,038	19,209	2,829
General and administrative	5,984	4,979	1,005
	68,209	56,264	11,945
Operating income	64,519	51,872	12,647
Equity in earnings of SLC Pipeline	1,595	1,309	286
SLC Pipeline acquisition costs		(2,500)	2,500
Interest income	6	10	(4)
Interest expense, including amortization	(25,510)	(16,225)	(9,285)
Other	2	65	(63)
	(23,907)	(17,341)	(6,566)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	40,612	34,531	6,081
State income tax	(216)	(266)	50
Income from continuing operations	40,396	34,265	6,131

Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interest of $1,191 [1]		4,105	(4,105)
Net income	40,396	38,370	2,026
Less general partner interest in net income, including incentive distributions [2]	8,727	5,163	3,564
Limited partners interest in net income	$ 31,669	$ 33,207	$ (1,538)
Limited partners earnings per unit basic and diluted [2]			
Income from continuing operations	$ 1.43	$ 1.66	$ (0.23)
Income from discontinued operations		0.23	(0.23)
Net income	$ 1.43	$ 1.89	$ (0.46)
Weighted average limited partners units outstanding	22,079	17,546	4,533
EBITDA [3]	$ 88,154	$ 74,831	$ 13,323
Distributable cash flow [4]	$ 66,800	$ 51,677	$ 15,123
Volumes from continuing operations (bpd) [1]			
Pipelines:			
Affiliates refined product pipelines	95,013	85,489	9,524
Affiliates intermediate pipelines	82,844	64,494	18,350
Affiliates crude pipelines	139,955	136,315	3,640
	317,812	286,298	31,514
Third parties refined product pipelines	35,923	45,647	(9,724)
	353,735	331,945	21,790
Terminals and loading racks:			
Affiliates	177,946	106,969	70,977
Third parties	38,825	42,873	(4,048)
	216,771	149,842	66,929
Total for pipelines and terminal assets (bpd)	570,506	481,787	88,719

Table of Contents

(1) On December 1, 2009, we sold our 70% interest in Rio Grande. Results of operations of Rio Grande are presented in discontinued operations. Pipeline volume information excludes volumes attributable to Rio Grande.

(2) Net income is allocated between limited partners and the general partner interest in accordance with the provisions of the partnership agreement. Net income allocated to the general partner includes incentive distributions declared subsequent to quarter end. Net income attributable to the limited partners is divided by the weighted average limited partner units outstanding in computing the limited partners per unit interest in net income.

(3) EBITDA is calculated as net income plus (i) interest expense, net of interest income, (ii) state income tax and (iii) depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is not a calculation based upon GAAP. However, the amounts included in the EBITDA calculation are derived from amounts included in our consolidated financial statements, with the exception of EBITDA from discontinued operations. EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or operating income, as an indication of our operating performance or as an alternative to operating cash flow as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA is presented here

because it is a widely used financial indicator used by investors and analysts to measure performance. EBITDA also is used by our management for internal analysis and as a basis for compliance with financial covenants.

Set forth below is our calculation of EBITDA.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Income from continuing operations	$ 16,259	$ 15,469	$ 40,396	$ 34,265
Add (subtract):				
Interest expense	8,135	5,314	22,230	15,396
Amortization of discount and deferred debt issuance costs	282	176	740	529
Increase in interest expense change in fair value of interest rate swaps and swap settlement costs		928	2,540	300
Interest income	(1)	(2)	(6)	(10)
State income tax	76	100	216	266
Depreciation and amortization	7,237	6,580	22,038	19,209
EBITDA from discontinued operations		1,323		4,876
EBITDA	$ 31,988	$ 29,888	$ 88,154	$ 74,831

(4) Distributable cash flow is not a calculation based upon GAAP. However, the amounts included in the calculation are derived from amounts

separately presented in our consolidated financial statements, with the exception of equity in excess cash flows over earnings of SLC Pipeline, maintenance capital expenditures and distributable cash flow from discontinued operations. Distributable cash flow should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to net income or operating income as an indication of our operating performance or as an alternative to operating cash flow as a measure of liquidity. Distributable cash flow is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Distributable cash flow is presented here because it is a widely accepted financial indicator used by investors to compare

partnership performance. It also is used by management for internal analysis and for our performance units. We believe that this measure provides investors an enhanced perspective of the operating performance of our assets and the cash our business is generating.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is our calculation of distributable cash flow.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Income from continuing operations	$ 16,259	$ 15,469	$ 40,396	$ 34,265
Add (subtract):				
Depreciation and amortization	7,237	6,580	22,038	19,209
Amortization of discount and deferred debt issuance costs	282	176	740	529
Increase in interest expense change in fair value of interest rate swaps and swap settlement costs		928	2,540	300
Equity in excess cash flows over earnings of SLC Pipeline	173	167	525	387
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	758	(3,407)	3,279	(8,076)
SLC Pipeline acquisition costs*				2,500
Maintenance capital expenditures**	(740)	(545)	(2,718)	(2,262)
Distributable cash flow from discontinued operations		1,310		4,825
Distributable cash flow	$ 23,969	$ 20,678	$ 66,800	$ 51,677

* We expensed the $2.5 million finder s fee associated with our joint venture agreement with Plains that closed in March 2009. These costs directly relate to our interest in the new joint venture pipeline and are similar to expansion capital expenditures; accordingly, we have added back these costs to arrive at

distributable cash flow.

** Maintenance capital expenditures are capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of our assets and to extend their useful lives. Maintenance capital expenditures include expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage and pipeline integrity, safety and to address environmental regulations.

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Balance Sheet Data		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 706	$ 2,508
Working capital [(5)]	$ (155,392)	$ 4,404
Total assets	$ 634,584	$ 616,845
Long-term debt [(6)]	$ 332,564	$ 390,827
Partners equity [(7)]	$ 110,948	$ 193,864

(5) Our credit agreement expires in August 2011;

therefore, working capital at September 30, 2010 reflects $157 million of credit agreement borrowings that are currently classified as current liabilities. We intend to renew the credit agreement prior to expiration and to continue to finance outstanding credit agreement borrowings. Upon renewal, outstanding borrowings not designated for working capital purposes will be reclassified as long-term debt. Excluding the $157 million credit agreement borrowings, working capital was $1.6 million at September 30, 2010.

(6) Includes $206 million of credit agreement advances at December 31, 2009.

(7) As a master limited partnership, we distribute our available cash, which

historically has exceeded our net income because depreciation and amortization expense represents a non-cash charge against income. The result is a decline in partners equity since our regular quarterly distributions have exceeded our quarterly net income. Additionally, if the assets contributed and acquired from Holly while under common control of Holly had been acquired from third parties, our acquisition cost in excess of Holly s basis in the transferred assets of $217.9 million would have been recorded in our financial statements as increases to our properties and equipment and intangible assets instead of decreases to partners equity.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared with Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Summary

Income from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was $16.3 million, a $0.8 million increase compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase in overall earnings is due principally to earnings attributable to our December 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions, partially offset by a decrease in previously deferred revenue realized, decreased shipments and increased interest costs.

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 include the recognition of $1.6 million of prior shortfalls billed to shippers in 2009 as they did not meet their minimum volume commitments in any of the subsequent four quarters. Revenues of $2.4 million relating to deficiency payments associated with certain guaranteed shipping contracts were deferred during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Such deferred revenue will be recognized in earnings either as payment for shipments in excess of guaranteed levels or in 2011 when shipping rights expire unused after a twelve-month period.

Revenues

Total revenues from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 were $46.5 million, a $5.7 million increase compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This is due principally to revenues attributable to our December 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions, partially offset by a $3.4 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized and a decrease in pipeline shipments. The small decrease in affiliate pipeline shipments reflects slightly lower run rates at Holly s Navajo refinery during the third quarter due to the impact of unscheduled downtime of certain operating units.

Revenues from our refined product pipelines were $19.6 million, a decrease of $3.2 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease is due principally to a $3.2 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. Volumes shipped on our refined product pipelines averaged 135.2 thousand barrels per day (mbpd) compared to 142.8 mbpd for the same period last year.

Revenues from our intermediate pipelines were $4.9 million, a decrease of $0.5 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This includes a $0.2 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. Shipments on our intermediate product pipeline system decreased to an average of 83.2 mbpd compared to 88.1 mbpd for the same period last year.

Revenues from our crude pipelines were $9.8 million, an increase of $2.2 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase is due principally to $2.3 million in revenues attributable to our Roadrunner Pipeline agreement entered into in December 2009. Volumes on our crude pipelines averaged 143.6 mbpd compared to 143.9 mbpd for the same period last year.

Revenues from terminal, tankage and loading rack fees were $12.2 million, an increase of $7.2 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase includes an increase of $7.1 million in revenues attributable to volumes transferred and stored at our Tulsa storage and rack facilities. Refined products terminalled in our facilities increased to an average of 226.9 mbpd compared to 166.9 mbpd for the same period last year.

Operations Expense

Operations expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by $2.5 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was due principally to operating costs attributable to our December 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions, and higher maintenance and payroll expense.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by $0.7 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This was due to increased depreciation attributable to our December 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions and capital projects. Additionally, effective January 1, 2010, we revised the estimated useful lives of our terminal assets to 16 to 25 years resulting in a $0.7 million reduction in depreciation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by $0.3 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Equity in Earnings of SLC Pipeline

Our equity in earnings of the SLC Pipeline were $0.6 million and $0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 totaled $8.4 million, an increase of $2 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase reflects interest on our 8.25% senior notes. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, fair value adjustments to our interest rate swaps resulted in a $0.9 million increase in interest expense. Excluding the effects of these fair value adjustments, our aggregate effective interest rate was 6.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 5.2% for 2009, reflecting interest on our 8.25% senior notes issued in March 2010.

State Income Tax

We recorded state income taxes of $0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, which are solely attributable to the Texas margin tax.

Discontinued Operations

We sold our interest in Rio Grande on December 1, 2009. Income from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 consists of earnings generated by Rio Grande of $1.1 million for the third quarter of 2009 and is presented net of earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest holders of $0.3 million.

Results of Operations Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 Compared with Nine Months ended September 30, 2009

Summary

Income from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was $40.4 million, a $6.1 million increase compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase in overall earnings is due principally to overall increased shipments on our pipeline systems and earnings attributable to our 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions. These factors were partially offset by increased operating costs and expenses, and interest expense.

Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 include the recognition of $5.7 million of prior shortfalls billed to shippers in 2009 as they did not meet their minimum volume commitments in any of the subsequent four quarters. Revenues of $9 million relating to deficiency payments associated with certain guaranteed shipping contracts were deferred during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Such deferred revenue will be recognized in earnings either as payment for shipments in excess of guaranteed levels or in 2011 when shipping rights expire unused after a twelve-month period.

Revenues

Total revenues from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were $132.7 million, a $24.6 million increase compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase is due principally to revenues attributable to our recent asset acquisitions and higher tariffs on affiliate shipments, partially offset by an $8.1 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. On a year-to-date basis, overall pipeline shipments were up 7%, reflecting increased affiliate volumes attributable to Holly s first quarter of 2009 Navajo refinery expansion, including volumes shipped on our new 16-inch intermediate and Beeson pipelines, partially offset by a decrease in third-party shipments. Additionally, prior year affiliate shipments reflect lower volumes as a result of production downtime during a major maintenance turnaround of the Navajo refinery during the first quarter of 2009.

Revenues from our refined product pipelines were $55 million, a decrease of $7.3 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease is due principally to a $9.1 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized that was partially offset by higher tariffs on affiliate shipments. Volumes shipped on our refined product pipeline system averaged 130.9 mbpd compared to 131.1 mbpd for the same period last year reflecting a decrease in third-party shipments, offset by an increase in affiliate shipments.

Revenues from our intermediate pipelines were $15.7 million, an increase of $4.2 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase includes a $1 million increase in previously deferred revenue realized. Additionally, shipments on our intermediate product pipeline system increased to an average of 82.8 mbpd compared to 64.5 mbpd for the same period last year reflecting volumes shipped on our 16-inch intermediate pipeline acquired in June 2009.

Revenues from our crude pipelines were $28.9 million, an increase of $7.7 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase is due principally to $6.9 million in revenues attributable to our Roadrunner Pipeline agreement entered into in December 2009. Additionally, shipments on our crude pipeline system increased to an average of 140 mbpd during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 136.3 mbpd for the same period last year reflecting increased affiliate shipments.

Revenues from terminal, tankage and loading rack fees were $33.1 million, an increase of $20 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase includes $19 million in revenues attributable to volumes transferred and stored at our Tulsa storage and rack facilities acquired in 2009 and March 2010. Refined products terminalled in our facilities increased to an average of 216.8 mbpd compared to 149.8 mbpd for the same period last year.

Operations Expense

Operations expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by $8.1 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was due principally to costs attributable to overall higher throughput volumes, including those from our recent asset acquisitions, and higher maintenance and payroll costs.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by $2.8 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This was due to increased depreciation attributable to our 2009 and March 2010 asset acquisitions and capital projects. Additionally, effective January 1, 2010, we revised the estimated useful lives of our terminal assets to 16 to 25 years resulting in a $2.2 million reduction in depreciation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by $1 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009, due principally to increased professional fees, including costs attributable to our March 2010 asset acquisitions.

Equity in Earnings of SLC Pipeline

The SLC Pipeline commenced pipeline operations effective March 2009. Our equity in earnings of the SLC Pipeline was $1.6 million and $1.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

SLC Pipeline Acquisition Costs

We incurred a $2.5 million finder s fee in connection with the acquisition our SLC Pipeline joint venture interest in March 2009. As a result of accounting requirements, we were required to expense rather than capitalize these direct acquisition costs.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 totaled $25.5 million, an increase of $9.3 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase reflects interest on our 8.25% senior notes and costs of $1.1 million from a partial settlement of an interest rate swap. Fair value adjustments to our interest rate swaps resulted in a $1.5 million non-cash charge to interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to $0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Excluding the effects of these fair value adjustments, our aggregate effective interest rate was 6.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to 5.2% for 2009 reflecting interest on our 8.25% senior notes issued in March 2010.

State Income Tax

We recorded state income taxes of $0.2 million and $0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which are solely attributable to the Texas margin tax.

Discontinued Operations

We sold our interest in Rio Grande on December 1, 2009. Income from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 consists of earnings generated by Rio Grande of $4.1 million for the first nine months of 2009 and is presented net of earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest holders of $1.2 million.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

We have a $300 million senior secured revolving credit agreement expiring in August 2011 (the Credit Agreement). The Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, and working capital and for general partnership purposes. In addition, the Credit Agreement is available to fund letters of credit up to a $50 million sub-limit and to fund distributions to unitholders up to a $20 million sub-limit. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received advances totaling $52 million and repaid $101 million, resulting in the net repayment of $49 million in advances. As of September 30, 2010, we had $157 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement that was used to finance acquisitions and capital projects. The Credit Agreement expires in August 2011, therefore, outstanding borrowings all of which were previously classified as long-term liabilities are currently classified as current liabilities. We intend to renew the Credit Agreement prior to expiration and to continue to finance outstanding Credit Agreement borrowings. Upon renewal, outstanding borrowings not designated for working capital purposes will be reclassified as long-term debt.

Table of Contents

In March 2010, we issued $150 million in aggregate principal amount of 8.25% senior notes maturing March 15, 2018 (the 8.25% Senior Notes). A portion of the $147.5 million in net proceeds received was used to fund our $93 million purchase of the Tulsa and Lovington storage assets from Holly on March 31, 2010. Additionally, we used a portion to repay $42 million in outstanding Credit Agreement borrowings, with the remaining proceeds available for general partnership purposes, including working capital and capital expenditures. In addition, we have outstanding $185 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes maturing March 1, 2015 (the 6.25% Senior Notes) that are registered with the SEC.

Under our registration statement filed with the SEC using a shelf registration process, we currently have the ability to raise $860 million through security offerings, through one or more prospectus supplements that would describe, among other things, the specific amounts, prices and terms of any securities offered and how the proceeds would be used. Any proceeds from the sale of securities would be used for general business purposes, which may include, among other things, funding acquisitions of assets or businesses, working capital, capital expenditures, investments in subsidiaries, the retirement of existing debt and/or the repurchase of common units or other securities.

We believe our current cash balances, future internally generated funds and funds available under the Credit Agreement will provide sufficient resources to meet our working capital liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

In February, May and August 2010 we paid regular quarterly cash distributions of $0.805, $0.815 and $0.825, on all units in an aggregate amount of $62.6 million. Included in these distributions were $7.4 million of payments to the general partner as an incentive distribution.

Cash flows from continuing and discontinued operations have been combined for presentation purposes in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, net cash flows from our discontinued Rio Grande operations were $5.7 million.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by $1.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The combined cash flows used for investing and financing activities of $43.6 million and $24.4 million, respectively, exceeded cash flows provided by operating activities of $66.1 million. Working capital for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by $159.8 million primarily due to the reclassification of $157 million in credit agreement borrowings to current liabilities.

Cash Flows Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities increased by $21.3 million from $44.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to $66.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase is due principally to $29 million in additional cash collections from our major customers, resulting principally from increased revenues, partially offset by year-over-year changes in payments attributable to costs of increased operations.

Our major shippers are obligated to make deficiency payments to us if they do not meet their minimum volume shipping obligations. Under certain agreements with these shippers, they have the right to recapture these amounts if future volumes exceed minimum levels. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received cash payments of $9.3 million under these commitments. We billed $5.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 related to shortfalls that subsequently expired without recapture and were recognized as revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Another $2.4 million is included in our accounts receivable at September 30, 2010 related to shortfalls that occurred during the third quarter of 2010.

Cash Flows Investing Activities

Cash flows used for investing activities decreased by $55.4 million from $99 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 to $43.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we acquired storage assets from Holly for $35.5 million and invested $8.1 million in additions to properties and equipment. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we acquired Holly s 16-inch intermediate pipeline and the Tulsa loading racks for $46 million, acquired our SLC Pipeline joint venture interest costing $25.5 million, and invested $27.5 million in additions to properties and equipment.

Cash Flows Financing Activities

Cash flows used for financing activities were $24.4 million compared to cash provided by financing activities of $53 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, a decrease of $77.3 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received $52 million and repaid $101 million in advances under the Credit Agreement. Additionally, we received $147.5 million in net proceeds and incurred $0.5 million in financing costs upon the issuance of the 8.25% Senior Notes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we paid $62.6 million in regular quarterly cash distributions to our general and limited partners, paid $57.5 million in excess of Holly s transferred basis in the storage assets acquired in March 2010 and paid $2.3 million for the purchase of common units for recipients of our restricted unit incentive grants. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we received $197 million and repaid $152 million in advances under the Credit Agreement. Additionally, we received $58.4 million in proceeds and incurred $0.3 million in costs with respect to our May 2009 equity offering. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we paid $44.4 million in regular quarterly cash distributions to our general and limited partners, paid $5.7 million in excess of Holly s transferred basis in the Tulsa loading racks and paid $0.6 million for the purchase of common units for recipients of restricted grants. We also received a $1.2 million capital contribution from our general partner

Capital Requirements

Our pipeline and terminalling operations are capital intensive, requiring investments to maintain, expand, upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. Our capital requirements consist of maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures. Repair and maintenance expenses associated with existing assets that are minor in nature and do not extend the useful life of existing assets are charged to operating expenses as incurred.

Each year the Holly Logistics Services, L.L.C. (HLS) board of directors approves our annual capital budget, which specifies capital projects that our management is authorized to undertake. Additionally, at times when conditions warrant or as new opportunities arise, special projects may be approved. The funds allocated for a particular capital project may be expended over a period in excess of a year, depending on the time required to complete the project. Therefore, our planned capital expenditures for a given year consist of expenditures approved for capital projects included in the current year s capital budget as well as, in certain cases, expenditures approved for capital projects in capital budgets for prior years. The 2010 capital budget is comprised of $5.3 million for maintenance capital expenditures and $6 million for expansion capital expenditures. In March 2010, the HLS board of directors approved our $93 million acquisition of the Tulsa east storage tank and loading rack assets and Lovington asphalt rack loading facility from Holly on March 31, 2010.

Pursuant to a term sheet with Holly, we are currently constructing five interconnecting pipelines between Holly s Tulsa east and west refining facilities. The project is expected to cost approximately $25 million with completion in the first quarter of 2011. We are currently negotiating terms for a long-term agreement with Holly to transfer intermediate products via these pipelines that will commence upon completion of the project. In the event that we are unable to obtain such an agreement, Holly will reimburse us for the cost of the pipelines.

We have an option agreement with Holly, granting us an option to purchase Holly s 75% equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline, a joint venture pipeline currently under construction that will be capable of transporting refined petroleum products from Salt Lake City, Utah to Las Vegas, Nevada. Under this agreement, we have an option to purchase Holly s equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline, effective for a 180-day period commencing when the UNEV Pipeline becomes operational, at a purchase price equal to Holly s investment in the joint venture pipeline, plus interest at 7% per annum. The initial capacity of the pipeline will be 62,000 bpd, with the capacity for further expansion to 120,000 bpd. The current total cost of the pipeline project including terminals is expected to be approximately $300 million. This includes a project scope change that includes the construction of ethanol blending and storage facilities at the Cedar City terminal. The pipeline is in the final construction phase and is expected to be mechanically complete in the second quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

We expect that our currently planned sustaining and maintenance capital expenditures as well as expenditures for acquisitions and capital development projects such as the UNEV Pipeline described above, will be funded with existing cash generated by operations, the sale of additional limited partner common units, the issuance of debt securities and advances under our $300 million Credit Agreement, or a combination thereof. We are not obligated to purchase the UNEV Pipeline nor are we subject to any fees or penalties if HLS board of directors decides not to proceed with this opportunity.

Credit Agreement

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of our assets. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Any recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P. would be limited to the extent of its assets, which other than its investment in us, are not significant.

We may prepay all loans at any time without penalty, except for payment of certain breakage and related costs. We are required to reduce all working capital borrowings under the Credit Agreement to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days in each twelve-month period prior to the maturity date of the agreement. As of September 30, 2010, we had no working capital borrowings.

Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement bears interest, at our option, at either (a) the reference rate as announced by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.25% to 1.50%) or (b) at a rate equal to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 2.50%). In each case, the applicable margin is based upon the ratio of our funded debt (as defined in the agreement) to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the agreement). At September 30, 2010, we were subject to an applicable margin of 1.75%. We incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Agreement at a rate ranging from 0.20% to 0.50% based upon the ratio of our funded debt to EBITDA for the four most recently completed fiscal quarters. At September 30, 2010, we are subject to a .30% commitment fee on the $143 million unused portion of the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements on us, including: a prohibition against distribution to unitholders if, before or after the distribution, a potential default or an event of default as defined in the agreement would occur; limitations on our ability to incur debt, make loans, acquire other companies, change the nature of our business, enter a merger or consolidation, or sell assets; and covenants that require maintenance of a specified EBITDA to interest expense ratio and debt to EBITDA ratio. If an event of default exists under the agreement, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity of the debt and exercise other rights and remedies.

Additionally, the Credit Agreement contains certain provisions whereby the lenders may accelerate payment of outstanding debt under certain circumstances.

Senior Notes

The 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes (collectively, the Senior Notes) are unsecured and have certain restrictive covenants, which we are subject to and currently in compliance with, including limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody s and Standard & Poor s and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Senior Notes.

Indebtedness under the Senior Notes is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries. However, any recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P. would be limited to the extent of its assets, which other than its investment in us, are not significant.

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts of our long-term debt are as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Credit Agreement	$ 157,000	$ 206,000
6.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	185,000	185,000
Unamortized discount	(1,679)	(1,964)
Unamortized premium dedesignated fair value hedge	1,531	1,791
	184,852	184,827
8.25% Senior Notes		
Principal	150,000	
Unamortized discount	(2,288)	
	147,712	
Total debt	489,564	390,827
Less credit agreement borrowings classified as current liabilities	157,000	
Total long-term debt	$ 332,564	$ 390,827

See Risk Management for a discussion of our interest rate swaps.

Contractual Obligations

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repaid net advances of $49 million resulting in $157 million of borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2010.

In March 2010, we issued $150 million aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Notes maturing March 15, 2018. There were no other significant changes to our long-term contractual obligations during this period.

Impact of Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

A substantial majority of our revenues are generated under long-term contracts that provide for increases in our rates and minimum revenue guarantees annually for increases in the PPI. Historically, the PPI has increased an average of 3.1% annually over the past 5 calendar years. This is no indication of PPI increases to be realized in the near future. Furthermore, certain of our long-term contracts have provisions that limit the level of annual PPI percentage rate increases.

Environmental Matters

Our operation of pipelines, terminals, and associated facilities in connection with the storage and transportation of refined products and crude oil is subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. As with the industry generally, compliance with existing and anticipated laws and regulations increases our overall

cost of business, including our capital costs to construct, maintain, and upgrade equipment and facilities. While these laws and regulations affect our maintenance capital expenditures and net income, we believe that they do not affect our competitive position in that the operations of our competitors are similarly affected. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. However, these laws and regulations, and the interpretation or enforcement thereof, are subject to frequent change by regulatory authorities, and we are unable to predict the ongoing cost to us of complying with these laws and regulations or the future impact of these laws and regulations on our operations. Violation of environmental laws, regulations, and permits can result in the imposition of significant administrative, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, and construction bans or delays. A discharge of hydrocarbons or hazardous substances into the environment could, to the extent the event is not insured, subject us to substantial expense, including both the cost to comply with applicable laws and regulations and claims made by employees, neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury and property damage.

Under the Omnibus Agreement, Holly agreed to indemnify us up to certain aggregate amounts for any environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with assets transferred to us and occurring or existing prior to the date of such transfers. The transfers that are covered by the agreement include the refined product pipelines, terminals and tanks transferred by Holly s subsidiaries in connection with our initial public offering in July 2004, the intermediate pipelines acquired in July 2005, the crude pipelines and tankage assets acquired in 2008, and the asphalt loading rack facility acquired in March 2010. The Omnibus Agreement provides environmental indemnification of up to $15 million for the assets transferred to us, other than the crude pipelines and tankage assets, plus an additional $2.5 million for the intermediate pipelines acquired in July 2005. Except as described below, Holly s indemnification obligations described above will remain in effect for an asset for ten years following the date it is transferred to us. The Omnibus Agreement also provides an additional $7.5 million of indemnification through 2023 for environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities specific to the crude pipelines and tankage assets. Holly s indemnification obligations described above do not apply to (i) the Tulsa west loading racks acquired in August 2009, (ii) the 16-inch intermediate pipeline acquired in June 2009, (iii) the Roadrunner Pipeline, (iv) the Beeson Pipeline, (v) the logistics and storage assets acquired from Sinclair in December 2009, or (vi) the Tulsa east storage tanks and loading racks acquired in March 2010.

Under provisions of the Holly ETA and Holly PTTA, Holly will indemnify us for environmental liabilities arising from our pre-ownership operations of the Tulsa west loading rack facilities acquired from Holly in August 2009, the Tulsa logistics and storage assets acquired from Sinclair in December 2009 and the Tulsa east storage tanks and loading racks acquired from Holly in March 2010. Additionally, Holly agreed to indemnify us for any liabilities arising from Holly s operation of the loading racks under the Holly ETA.

We have an environmental agreement with Alon with respect to pre-closing environmental costs and liabilities relating to the pipelines and terminals acquired from Alon in 2005, under which Alon will indemnify us through 2015, subject to a $100,000 deductible and a $20 million maximum liability cap.

There are environmental remediation projects that are currently in progress that relate to certain assets acquired from Holly. Certain of these projects were underway prior to our purchase and represent liabilities of Holly Corporation as the obligation for future remediation activities was retained by Holly. As of September 30, 2010, we have an accrual of $0.3 million that relates to environmental clean-up projects. The remaining projects, including assessment and monitoring activities, are covered under the Holly environmental indemnification discussed above and represent liabilities of Holly Corporation.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We consider the following policies to be the most critical to understanding the judgments that are involved and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows

Our significant accounting policies are described in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Operations Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain critical accounting policies that materially affect the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements include revenue recognition, assessing the possible impairment of certain long-lived assets and assessing contingent liabilities for probable losses. There have been no changes to these policies in 2010. We consider these policies to be the most critical to understanding the judgments that are involved and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

RISK MANAGEMENT

We use interest rate swaps (derivative instruments) to manage our exposure to interest rate risk.

As of September 30, 2010, we have an interest rate swap that hedges our exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on a $155 million Credit Agreement advance. This interest rate swap effectively converts our $155 million LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 1.75%, which equals an effective interest rate of 5.49% as of September 30, 2010. The maturity date of this swap contract is February 28, 2013.

We have designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge. Based on our assessment of effectiveness using the change in variable cash flows method, we have determined that this interest rate swap is effective in offsetting the variability in interest payments on our $155 million variable rate debt resulting from changes in LIBOR. Under hedge accounting, we adjust our cash flow hedge on a quarterly basis to its fair value with the offsetting fair value adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss. Also on a quarterly basis, we measure hedge effectiveness by comparing the present value of the cumulative change in the expected future interest to be paid or received on the variable leg of our swap against the expected future interest payments on our $155 million variable rate debt. Any ineffectiveness is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense. To date, we have had no ineffectiveness on our cash flow hedge.

Additional information on our interest rate swap as of September 30, 2010 is as follows:

Interest Rate Swap	**Balance Sheet Location**	**Fair Value**	**Location of Offsetting Balance**	**Offsetting Amount**
		(In thousands)		
Liability				
Cash flow hedge $155 million LIBOR based debt	Other long-term liabilities	$ 11,825	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	$ 11,825

We review publicly available information on our counterparty in order to review and monitor its financial stability and assess its ongoing ability to honor its commitment under the interest rate swap contract. This counterparty is a large financial institution. Furthermore, we have not experienced, nor do we expect to experience, any difficulty in the counterparty honoring its commitment.

The market risk inherent in our debt positions is the potential change arising from increases or decreases in interest rates as discussed below.

At September 30, 2010, we had an outstanding principal balance on our 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes of $185 million and $150 million, respectively. A change in interest rates would generally affect the fair value of the Senior Notes, but not our earnings or cash flows. At September 30, 2010, the fair value of our 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes were $183.2 million and $156.8 million, respectively. We estimate a hypothetical 10% change in the yield-to-maturity applicable to the 6.25% Senior Notes and 8.25% Senior Notes at September 30, 2010 would result in a change of approximately $4.5 million and $6.4 million, respectively, in the fair value of the underlying notes.

For the variable rate Credit Agreement, changes in interest rates would affect cash flows, but not the fair value. At September 30, 2010, borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement were $157 million. By means of our cash flow hedge, we have effectively converted the variable rate on $155 million of outstanding borrowings to a fixed rate of 5.49%.

At September 30, 2010, our cash and cash equivalents included highly liquid investments with a maturity of six months or less at the time of purchase. Due to the short-term nature of our cash and cash equivalents, a hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio. Since we have the ability to liquidate this portfolio, we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected by the effect of a sudden change in market interest rates on our investment portfolio.

Our operations are subject to normal hazards of operations, including fire, explosion and weather-related perils. We maintain various insurance coverages, including business interruption insurance, subject to certain deductibles. We are not fully insured against certain risks because such risks are not fully insurable, coverage is unavailable, or premium costs, in our judgment, do not justify such expenditures.

We have a risk management oversight committee that is made up of members from our senior management. This committee monitors our risk environment and provides direction for activities to mitigate, to an acceptable level, identified risks that may adversely affect the achievement of our goals.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. See Risk Management under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of market risk exposures that we have with respect to our cash and cash equivalents and long-term debt. We utilize derivative instruments to hedge our interest rate exposure, also discussed under Risk Management.

Since we do not own products shipped on our pipelines or terminalled at our terminal facilities, we do not have market risks associated with commodity prices.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information we are required to disclose in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. Based upon the evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2010.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to various legal and regulatory proceedings, none of which we believe will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1	Tulsa Refinery Interconnects Term Sheet dated August 9, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant s Form 8-K Current Report dated August 11, 2010, File No. 1-32225).
12.1+	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1+	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2+	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1++	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2++	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

+ Filed herewith.

++ Furnished herewith.

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.
(Registrant)

By: HEP LOGISTICS HOLDINGS, L.P.
its General Partner

By: HOLLY LOGISTIC SERVICES, L.L.C.
its General Partner

Date: October 29, 2010

/s/ Bruce R. Shaw

Bruce R. Shaw
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Scott C. Surplus

Scott C. Surplus
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)