PHH CORP Form PREC14A April 20, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION

Filed by the Registrant b

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- **b** Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the SEC Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- o Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

PHH CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

N/A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

	(5)	Total fee paid:						
o	Fee pa	paid previously with preliminary materials.						
0	which	the box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the nor Schedule and the date of its filing.						
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:						
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:						
	(3)	Filing Party:						
	(4)	Date Filed:						

April , 2009

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of PHH Corporation (the Company), which will be held at the Company s offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time. Directions to the Annual Meeting location are provided on the last page of the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 2, 2009 (the 2008 Annual Report).

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to elect three Class I Directors to hold office until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, to approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to approve an amendment to the Company's Charter to increase the Company's number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares, and to consider and vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting. The accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report describes in more detail the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting and provides other information concerning the Company of which you should be aware when you vote your shares.

YOUR VOTE IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU OWN. YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE USING THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD <u>FO</u>R EACH OF THE CLASS I DIRECTOR NOMINEES THAT ARE NAMED ON THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD AND THAT HAVE BEEN NOMINATED BY YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS. YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS ALSO STRONGLY URGES YOU TO DISCARD ANY GOLD PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY PENNANT CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC AND ITS AFFILIATES (PENNANT).

On March 11, 2009, Pennant Spinnaker Fund LP (together with Pennant Capital Management, LLC, Pennant Offshore Partners, Ltd., Pennant Onshore Partners, LP, Pennant Onshore Qualified, LP, Pennant Windward Fund, LP, Pennant Windward Fund, Ltd., Alan Fournier, Allan Z. Loren, and Gregory J. Parseghian, collectively, Pennant) provided notice to the Company that it intended to nominate two individuals for election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting. On April 1, 2009, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement with the SEC nominating Messrs. Loren and Parseghian as directors. If you wish to vote your shares in support of the Board of Directors nominees, please vote using the enclosed WHITE proxy card, or vote by telephone or electronically via the Internet as instructed in these materials. The Board of Directors urges you not to sign, return or vote any gold proxy cards sent to you by Pennant. A submission of a Pennant gold proxy card will revoke your previously voted WHITE proxy card in support of the Board of Directors nominees. You can revoke any Pennant gold proxy card previously signed by you by completing, dating, signing and returning the WHITE proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

In order to ensure that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, whether you plan to attend or not, please vote in accordance with the enclosed instructions. You can vote your shares by telephone, electronically via the Internet or by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form. If you vote using the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, you must sign, date and mail the proxy card or vote instruction form in the enclosed envelope. If you decide to attend the Annual Meeting and wish to modify your vote, you may revoke your proxy and vote in person at the meeting.

Table of Contents

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR REQUIRE ANY ASSISTANCE WITH VOTING YOUR SHARES, PLEASE CALL OUR PROXY SOLICITOR AS FOLLOWS:

199 Water Street, 26th Floor New York, NY 10038 (877) 278-9668 (Toll Free) Banks and Brokerage Firms please call: (212) 440-9800

Admission to the Annual Meeting will be by admission ticket only. If you are a stockholder of record and plan to attend the Annual Meeting, retain the top portion of your proxy card as your admission ticket and bring it and a photo ID with you so that you may gain admission to the meeting. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your nominee and request that the nominee obtain an admission ticket for you or provide you with evidence of your share ownership, which will gain you admission to the Annual Meeting.

This year, pursuant to rules recently adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we are furnishing our Proxy Statement and other proxy materials to stockholders holding less than 100 shares of our Common stock as of the record date for the Annual Meeting via the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process will reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting, reduce our printing and postage costs, and will expedite the receipt of proxy materials by our stockholders.

The Board of Directors appreciates your time and attention in reviewing the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report. Thank you for your continued interest in PHH Corporation. We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

A.B. Krongard
Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

Terence W. Edwards

President and Chief Executive Officer

PHH CORPORATION 3000 Leadenhall Road Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054

NOTICE OF 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

To Our Stockholders:

The 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of PHH Corporation (the Company) will be held at the Company s offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time (the Annual Meeting), for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier retirement or resignation;
- 2. To consider and vote upon a proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009;
- 3. To consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended;
- 4. To consider and vote upon a proposal to amend the Company s Articles of Amendment and Restatement (as amended) (the Charter) to increase the Company s number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares; and
- 5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 22, 2009 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on the record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William F. Brown Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

April , 2009

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 10, 2009.

THIS NOTICE OF 2009 ANNUAL MEETING, PROXY STATEMENT AND ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, ARE AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNET AT: http://ir.phh.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=187859&p=proxy

PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT. IF VOTING USING THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD IN THE ENCLOSED REPLY ENVELOPE THAT IS FURNISHED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. THE ENVELOPE NEEDS NO POSTAGE IF MAILED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.

i

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION

PHH CORPORATION 3000 Leadenhall Road Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to the holders of Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation (the Company), in connection with the solicitation by the Company s Board of Directors of proxies to be voted at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the Annual Meeting) to be held at the Company s offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time, or at any postponement or adjournment of the Annual Meeting, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting.

This Proxy Statement and the other proxy materials are being mailed to stockholders and are first being made available via the Internet on or about April , 2009. If a stockholder executes and returns the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form or submits vote instructions to us by telephone or via the Internet, the stockholder may nevertheless revoke their proxy at any time prior to its use by filing with the Secretary of the Company a written revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date or by submitting revised vote instructions to us by telephone or via the Internet, in accordance with the instructions on the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, as to how you would like your shares voted. A stockholder who attends the Annual Meeting in person may revoke his or her proxy at that time and vote in person if so desired.

Admission to the Annual Meeting will be by admission ticket only. If you are a stockholder of record and plan to attend the Annual Meeting, retain the top portion of your proxy card as your admission ticket and bring it and a photo ID with you so that you may gain admission to the meeting. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your nominee and request that the nominee obtain an admission ticket for you or provide you with evidence of your share ownership, which will gain you admission to the Annual Meeting.

Unless revoked or unless contrary instructions are given, each proxy that is properly signed, dated and returned or authorized by telephone or via the Internet in accordance with the instructions on the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form prior to the start of the Annual Meeting will be voted as indicated on the proxy card or via telephone or the Internet and if no indication is made, each such proxy will be deemed to grant authority to vote, as applicable:

- (1) Proposal 1: **FOR** the election of each of Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A.B. Krongard, a current director and the Company s non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, as Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation (the Director Election Proposal);
- (2) Proposal 2: **FOR** the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 (the Ratification of Auditors Proposal);

(3) Proposal 3: **FOR** the approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Incentive Plan Proposal);

ii

Table of Contents

- (4) Proposal 4: **FOR** the approval of the amendment of the Company's Articles of Amendment and Restatement (as amended) (the Charter) to increase the Company's number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares (the Charter Amendment Proposal); and
- (5) At the discretion of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, on any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

THE COMPANY S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE_FOR_THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE COMPANY S NOMINEES LISTED UNDER THE DIRECTOR ELECTION PROPOSAL, FOR_THE RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS PROPOSAL, FOR_THE INCENTIVE PLAN PROPOSAL AND FOR_THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL USING THE ENCLOSED_WHITE PROXY CARD.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
General Information About the 2009 Annual Meeting	1
Proposal 1 To elect three Class I Directors	7
Board of Directors	8
Committees of the Board	11
Director Compensation	13
Corporate Governance	15
Proposal 2 To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Registered Public Accounting	
<u>Firm</u>	19
Audit Committee Report	20
Principal Accountant Fees and Services	21
Proposal 3 To approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan	22
Equity Compensation Plan Information	30
Compensation Committee Report	30
Executive Compensation	30
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	30
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	43
Summary Compensation Table	44
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	47
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	48
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	49
Pension Benefits	50
Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation	51
Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control	52
Proposal 4 To approve the amendment of the Company s Charter	55
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	56
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	58
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	61
Stockholder Proposals for 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	61
Householding Information	62
Other Business	62
Appendix A PHH Corporation Independence Standards for Directors	A-1
Appendix B PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan	B-1
Appendix C Articles of Amendment	C-1
Appendix D Information Concerning Participants in the Company s Solicitation of Proxies	D-1
iv	

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

You are receiving these proxy materials because our Board of Directors (the Board) is soliciting your proxy to cast your vote at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation (we, our, us, PHH or the Company), and any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. Proxy Statement, the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 2, 2009 (the 2008 Annual Report), and the enclosed WHITE proxy card or vote instruction form for those stockholders that have been sent printed copies of our proxy materials are being mailed to stockholders or are first being made available to stockholders via the Internet on or about April, 2009.

When and where is the Annual Meeting going to be held?

The Annual Meeting will be held at the Company s offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time. Registration and seating will begin at 9:00 a.m., local time.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will vote on the matters described in the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting and this Proxy Statement. The only matters expected to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting are (1) the Director Election Proposal, (2) the Ratification of Auditors Proposal, (3) the Incentive Plan Proposal and (4) the Charter Amendment Proposal.

On March 11, 2009, Pennant gave notice to the Company of its intention to nominate two individuals for election as Class I Directors of the Company in opposition to two of the individuals nominated by your Board of Directors. On April 1, 2009, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement nominating Mr. Allan Z. Loren and Mr. Gregory J. Parseghian for election as Directors in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard. The Pennant nominees have **NOT** been endorsed by your Board of Directors. The Company is not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or relating to Pennant contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, Pennant or any other statements that Pennant may otherwise make. We urge stockholders to discard any gold proxy card that is sent by Pennant.

What are the Board s recommendations for how I should vote my shares?

The Board recommends that you vote your shares using the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card as follows:

Proposal 1: **FOR** the election of each of Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A.B. Krongard, a current director and the Company s non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, as Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation;

Proposal 2: **<u>FOR</u>** the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009;

Proposal 3: **FOR** the approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan; and

Proposal 4: **FOR** the approval of the amendment of the Company s Charter.

Who can attend the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 22, 2009, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the Annual Meeting. Stockholders will be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver s license or passport. Please note that, if you hold your shares in street name (that is, through a bank, broker or other

1

Table of Contents

nominee), you must bring either a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your bank, broker or other nominee or a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date.

Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available for examination by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting beginning ten days prior to the Annual Meeting during ordinary business hours at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, the Company s principal place of business, and ending on the date of the Annual Meeting.

Do I need an admission ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be limited to stockholders of record as of the record date, their authorized representatives and our guests. Admission will be by admission ticket only. For registered stockholders, the top portion of the proxy card enclosed with the Proxy Statement will serve as an admission ticket. If you are a beneficial owner and hold your shares in street name, or through an intermediary, such as a bank, broker or other nominee, you should request an admission ticket from your bank, broker or other nominee or send a request in writing to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, and include proof of ownership of PHH Corporation common stock, such as a bank or brokerage firm account statement or letter from the bank, broker or other nominee holding your stock, confirming your beneficial ownership. Stockholders who do not obtain admission tickets in advance of the Annual Meeting may obtain them on the date of the Annual Meeting at the registration desk upon verifying their stock ownership as of the record date. In accordance with our security procedures, all persons attending the Annual Meeting must present picture identification along with their admission ticket or proof of beneficial ownership in order to gain admission to the meeting. Admission to the Annual Meeting will be expedited if admission tickets are obtained in advance. Admission tickets may be issued to others at our discretion.

How many votes must be present at the Annual Meeting to constitute a quorum?

Stockholders holding a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock as of the record date, April 22, 2009, must be present, in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date, there were 54,386,504 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. Shares represented by abstentions and broker non-votes on any proposal to be acted upon by stockholders at the Annual Meeting will be treated as present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

How many votes can be cast by all stockholders?

54,386,504 votes may be cast at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder is entitled to cast one vote for each share of common stock held by such stockholder as of the record date. There is no cumulative voting and the holders of our common stock vote together as a single class.

What vote is needed for each of the proposals to be adopted?

Proposal 1 Director Election Proposal: Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted in determining whether director nominees have received a plurality of votes cast and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote. Because of Pennant s nomination of alternative director candidates in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard, there will be more nominees for election to Class I of the Board

of Directors than available positions. The three candidates with the highest number of **FOR** votes will be elected.

Proposal 2 Ratification of Auditors Proposal: Approval of the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual

2

Table of Contents

Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Proposal 3 Incentive Plan Proposal: Approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal, unless holders of a majority in interest of all securities entitled to vote on the proposal cast votes, in which event broker non-votes will not have any effect on the outcome of the vote.

Proposal 4 Charter Amendment Proposal: Approval of the amendment of the Company s Charter requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all votes entitled to be cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

Other business: All other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

What is a broker non-vote?

Generally, a broker non-vote occurs when shares held by a bank, broker or other nominee for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal because (i) the nominee has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and (ii) the nominee lacks discretionary voting power to vote such shares. Under the rules of The New York Stock Exchange, LLC (the NYSE), a nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to non-routine matters.

In light of Pennant s nomination of competing candidates for the Board of Directors, this year s election of directors is expected to be considered a proposal on which brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote. Thus, if your shares are held in street name and you do not provide instructions as to how your shares are to be voted in the election of directors, your bank, broker or other nominee may not be able to vote your shares in the election of directors, and your shares may not be voted for any of the nominees. We urge you to provide instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee so that your votes may be counted on this important matter. You should vote your shares by following the instructions provided on the voting instruction card that you receive from your bank, broker or other nominee.

How do I vote?

You can vote in person or by valid proxy received by telephone, via the Internet or by mail. We urge you to vote by doing one of the following:

<u>Vote by Telephone</u>: You can vote your shares by calling the toll-free number indicated on your <u>WHITE</u> proxy using a touch-tone telephone card 24 hours a day. Easy-to-follow voice prompts enable you to vote your shares and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. If you are a beneficial owner, or you hold your shares in street name, please check your voting instruction card or contact your bank, broker or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

3

Table of Contents

<u>Vote by Internet</u>: You can also vote via the Internet by following the instructions on your <u>WHITE</u> proxy card. The website address for Internet voting is indicated on your <u>WHITE</u> proxy card. Internet voting is also available 24 hours per day. If you are a beneficial owner, or you hold your shares in street name, please check your voting instruction card or contact your bank, broker or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote via the Internet.

<u>Vote by Mail</u>: If you choose to vote by mail, complete, sign, date and return your <u>WHITE</u> proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Please promptly mail your <u>WHITE</u> proxy card to ensure that it is received on or before June 9, 2009. Please also discard any gold proxy card that you may receive from Pennant.

The deadline for voting by telephone or electronically through the Internet is 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009. If you have any questions or require any assistance with voting your shares, please call our proxy solicitor, Georgeson Inc., at (877) 278-9668 or (212) 440-9800.

The Board strongly urges you to **NOT** sign or return any gold proxy card that you may receive from Pennant, even as a protest. Withholding authority to vote for Pennant s nominees on a gold proxy card that Pennant may send you is not the same as voting for the persons nominated by your Board of Directors and will result in the revocation of any previous vote that you may have cast on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card.

Can I change my vote?

Yes. A proxy may be revoked at any time prior to the voting at the Annual Meeting by submitting a later dated proxy (including a proxy authorization submitted by telephone or electronically through the Internet), by giving timely written notice of such revocation to our Corporate Secretary in advance of the Annual Meeting or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, if you hold shares in street name, you may not vote shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you bring with you a legal proxy from the stockholder of record. If you have previously voted using a Pennant gold proxy card, you have every right to change your vote by executing the enclosed WHITE proxy card, by voting by telephone or through the Internet as described above, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Only the latest dated and properly executed or authorized proxy that you timely submit will be counted.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any matter before the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy, or their duly appointed substitutes acting at the Annual Meeting, will be authorized to vote or otherwise act in respect of any such matters in their discretion.

How do participants in our employee savings plans vote?

For participants in the PHH Corporation Employee Savings Plan and the PHH Home Loans, LLC Employee Savings Plan (the Savings Plans) with shares of our common stock credited to their accounts, voting instructions for the trustees of the Savings Plans are also being solicited through this Proxy Statement. In accordance with the provisions of the Savings Plans, the respective trustees will vote shares of our common stock in accordance with instructions received from the plan participants to whose accounts such shares are credited. To the extent such instructions are not received prior to 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009, the trustees of the Savings Plans will vote the shares with respect to which it has not received instructions proportionately in accordance with the votes received for shares which it has received instructions given with respect to shares in accounts of the Savings Plans may be

changed or revoked only in writing, and no such instructions may be revoked after 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009. Participants in the Savings Plans are not entitled to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If a participant in the Savings Plans has shares of our common stock credited to his or her account and also owns other shares of our common stock in registered form or through a bank, broker or other nominee, he or she should receive a separate proxy card or vote instruction form for shares credited to his or her account in the Savings Plans and any other shares that he or she owns. All such proxy cards and vote instruction forms should be completed, signed and returned to ensure that votes are cast for all shares owned either directly or beneficially.

4

Table of Contents

What if I vote for some but not all of the proposals?

Shares of our common stock represented by proxies received by us (whether received through the return of the enclosed proxy card or received via telephone or the Internet) where the stockholder has provided voting instructions with respect to the proposals described in this Proxy Statement, including the Director Election Proposal, the Ratification of Auditors Proposal, the Incentive Plan Proposal, and the Charter Amendment Proposal, will be voted in accordance with the voting instructions so made. If your WHITE proxy card is properly executed and returned but does not contain voting instructions as to one or more of the proposals to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, or if you give your proxy by telephone or via the Internet without indicating how you want to vote on each of the proposals to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, your shares will be voted:

<u>FOR</u> the Director Election Proposal;

FOR the Ratification of Auditors Proposal;

FOR the Incentive Plan Proposal;

FOR the Charter Amendment Proposal; and

at the discretion of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, on any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name and you do not properly instruct your bank, broker or other nominee how to vote your shares, your bank, broker or other nominee may either use its discretion to vote your shares on matters deemed routine by the NYSE or may not vote your shares. For any matters deemed non-routine by the NYSE, your bank, broker or other nominee would not be able to vote your shares on such matters. We encourage you to provide instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee by carefully following the instructions provided to ensure that your shares are voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your desires.

Who will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies on behalf of our Board of Directors. Our Directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies on behalf of the Company in person or by telephone, facsimile or other electronic means. We have engaged Georgeson Inc. to assist us in the distribution and solicitation of proxies for a fee of up to \$225,000 plus expenses. We will also reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their expenses incurred in sending our proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock as of the record date.

Our total expenses, including those of Georgeson, related to the solicitation as a result of the potential proxy contest in excess of those expenses that we would normally spend for an annual meeting and excluding salaries and wages of our regular employees and officers, are expected to be approximately \$\\$\$, of which approximately \$\\$\$ has been spent to date.

Appendix D to this Proxy Statement sets forth information relating to our Director nominees as well as certain of our Directors, officers and employees who are considered participants in our solicitation under the rules of the SEC by reason of their position as directors or director nominees of the Company or because they may be soliciting proxies on our behalf. These persons will not receive any additional compensation for assisting in the solicitation, but may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the solicitation.

Who will count and certify the vote?

Representatives of Corporate Election Services, an independent inspector of election and proxy tabulation firm, will count the votes and certify the voting results. The voting results will be published in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2009.

5

Table of Contents

How can I access the Company s proxy materials and 2008 Annual Report electronically?

Copies of the Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report, as well as other materials filed by the Company with the SEC, are available without charge to stockholders on our corporate website at www.phh.com or upon written request to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054. You can elect to receive future annual reports, proxy statements and other proxy materials electronically by marking the appropriate box on your proxy card or vote instruction form or by following the instructions provided if you vote by telephone or via the Internet.

Copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Independence Standards for Directors, Code of Business Conduct for Directors, Code of Business Conduct for Employees, and the charters of each standing committee of our Board of Directors, including our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee, and Finance and Risk Management Committee, are also available without charge to stockholders on our corporate website at www.phh.com or upon written request to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054.

6

PROPOSAL 1 TO ELECT THREE CLASS I DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has nominated Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A. B. Krongard, a current director and the Company s non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, for election at the 2009 Annual Meeting each to serve as Class I Directors until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation. Mr. Egan was appointed to the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009, to fill the vacancy on the Board resulting from Mr. Francis J. Van Kirk s resignation as previously disclosed. We believe that the Board of Directors slate of directors is very well qualified to perform the essential role of providing stewardship and guidance as the Company continues to execute its strategies for building long-term stockholder value

Each nominee has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. Shares of our common stock represented by duly authorized proxies will be voted **FOR** Messrs. Edwards, Egan and Krongard, or any substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Board of Directors if, prior to the Annual Meeting, any nominee should become unable to serve, unless the Board of Directors determines to reduce the total number of Directors in accordance with our Charter and amended and restated by-laws.

On April 1, 2009, as discussed above, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement nominating Mr. Allan Z. Loren and Mr. Gregory J. Parseghian for election as Directors in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard. The Board of Directors urges you not to sign or return any gold proxy card sent to you by Pennant.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FO</u>R THE ELECTION OF EACH OF MESSRS. EDWARDS, EGAN AND KRONGARD USING THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARDS RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED <u>FO</u>R THE ELECTION OF MESSRS. EDWARDS, EGAN AND KRONGARD.

7

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors currently consists of seven members. The principal occupations of, and certain other information regarding, each of the Class I Director nominees and our other incumbent Directors, as of April 22, 2009, are set forth below. During 2008, our Board of Directors held eight meetings and each Director attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Board of Directors during the period in which each such Director served as a member of the Board of Directors. All Directors are expected to attend each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors, as well as each annual meeting of our stockholders (subject to certain limited exceptions). All of our Directors that were serving as Directors on June 11, 2008, attended the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on June 11, 2008.

Nominees to Serve as Class I Directors Term Expires in 2012

Terence W. Edwards, 53, serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer, a position he has held since February 2005. Prior to our spin-off from Cendant Corporation (our former parent company, now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc., referred to herein as Cendant) on January 31, 2005 (the Spin-Off), Mr. Edwards served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cendant Mortgage Corporation (Cendant Mortgage, now known as PHH Mortgage Corporation (PHH Mortgage)) since February 1996, and as such, was responsible for overseeing its entire mortgage banking operations. From 1995 to 1996, Mr. Edwards served as Vice President of Investor Relations and Treasurer and was responsible for investor, banking and rating agency relations, financing resources, cash management, pension investment management and internal financial structure. Mr. Edwards joined us in 1980 as a treasury operations analyst and has held positions of increasing responsibility, including Director, Mortgage Finance and Senior Vice President, Secondary Marketing.

A.B. Krongard, 72, currently serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and has served in such capacity since the Spin-Off. Since December 2004, Mr. Krongard has been pursuing personal interests. From March 2001 until December 2004, Mr. Krongard served as Executive Director of the Central Intelligence Agency. From February 1998 until March 2001, Mr. Krongard served as Counselor to the Director of Central Intelligence. Mr. Krongard previously worked in various capacities at Alex. Brown, Incorporated (Alex. Brown). In 1991, Mr. Krongard was elected as Chief Executive Officer of Alex. Brown and assumed the additional duties of Chairman of the Board of Alex. Brown in 1994. Upon the merger of Alex. Brown with Bankers Trust Corporation (Bankers Trust) in September 1997, Mr. Krongard became Vice Chairman of the Board of Bankers Trust and served in such capacity until joining the Central Intelligence Agency. Since July 2005, Mr. Krongard has served as a member of the Board of Directors of Under Armour, Inc. and currently serves as Lead Director and Chairman of its Audit Committee. Under Armour, Inc. files reports pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Mr. Krongard also serves as a director of Iridium Holdings, LLC, a global satellite communications company, and the law firm DLA Piper.

James O. Egan, 60, has served as a Director since March 2009. Mr. Egan served as a Managing Director of Investcorp International, Inc., an alternative asset management firm specializing in private equity, hedge fund offerings and real estate and technology investments, from 1998 through 2008. Mr. Egan was the partner-in-charge, M&A Practice, U.S. Northeast Region for KPMG LLP from 1997 to 1998 and served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Riverwood International, Inc. from 1996 to 1997. Mr. Egan began his career with

PricewaterhouseCoopers (formerly Coopers & Lybrand) in 1971 and served as partner from 1982 to 1996 and a member of the Board of Partners from 1995 to 1996.

8

Continuing Class II Directors Term Expires in 2010

George J. Kilroy, 61, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of PHH Vehicle Management Services Group LLC (PHH Arval), a position he has held since March 2001. Mr. Kilroy is responsible for the management of PHH Arval. From May 1997 to March 2001, Mr. Kilroy served as Senior Vice President, Business Development and was responsible for new client sales, client relations and marketing for PHH Arval s United States operations. Mr. Kilroy joined PHH Arval in 1976 as an Account Executive in the Truck and Equipment Division and has held positions of increasing responsibility, including head of Diversified Services and Financial Services.

Ann D. Logan, 54, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. Since July 2000, Ms. Logan has worked with various non-profit organizations. Ms. Logan was an Executive Vice President at the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) from January 1993 to July 2000. Ms. Logan ran the single-family mortgage business at Fannie Mae from 1998 to 2000 and was the Chief Credit Officer from 1993 to 1998. From 1989 to 1993, Ms. Logan was a Senior Vice President in charge of Fannie Mae s Northeast Regional Office in Philadelphia. Prior to joining Fannie Mae, Ms. Logan was Assistant Vice President at Standard & Poor s Corporation in New York. From 1976 to 1980, Ms. Logan worked for the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee and served as the Committee Staff Director in 1980.

Continuing Class III Directors Term Expires in 2011

James W. Brinkley, 72, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. In December 2005, Mr. Brinkley became Vice Chairman of Smith Barney s Global Private Client Group following Citigroup Inc. s acquisition of Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated (LMWW). Mr. Brinkley served as a Director of Legg Mason, Inc., a holding company that, through its subsidiaries, provides financial services to individuals, institutions, corporations, governments and government agencies since its formation in 1981. Mr. Brinkley has served as a Senior Executive Vice President of Legg Mason, Inc. since December 1983. Mr. Brinkley became Chairman of LMWW, Legg Mason Inc. s principal brokerage subsidiary, in February 2004. Mr. Brinkley previously served as LMWW s Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from July 2003 through February 2004, as its President from 1985 until July 2003 and as its Chief Operating Officer from February 1998 until July 2003.

Jonathan D. Mariner, 54, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. Mr. Mariner has been the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Major League Baseball since January 2004. From March 2002 to January 2004, Mr. Mariner served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Major League Baseball. From December 2000 to March 2002, Mr. Mariner served as the Chief Operating Officer of Charter Schools U.S.A., a charter school development and management company. Mr. Mariner was the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Florida Marlins Baseball Club from February 1992 to December 2000.

Former Class I Director

Francis J. Van Kirk, 60, served as a Director from July 1, 2005 through March 30, 2009. Since November 2005, Mr. Van Kirk has been a partner with Heidrick & Struggles, an international executive search and leadership consulting services company. Prior to joining Heidrick & Struggles, Mr. Van Kirk served as the Managing Partner of the Philadelphia office of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 1996 through June 2005. In this role, Mr. Van Kirk oversaw the integration and coordination of PricewaterhouseCoopers lines of service and industry groups to ensure seamless service to its clients. Mr. Van Kirk began his career with PricewaterhouseCoopers in 1971 as a Staff Auditor and was employed in positions of increasing responsibility during his 35-year career with that firm.

Ç

Table of Contents

Independence of the Board of Directors

Under the rules of the NYSE, our Board of Directors is required to affirmatively determine which Directors are independent and to disclose such determination in our annual report to stockholders and in our proxy statement for each annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors has reviewed each Director s relationships with us in conjunction with our previously adopted categorical Independence Standards for Directors (the Independence Standards) and Section 303A of the NYSE s Listed Company Manual (the NYSE Listing Standards). A copy of our categorical Independence Standards is attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix A and is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of our Independence Standards is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH). Our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that each of our current non-employee Directors and Director nominees Messrs. Brinkley, Egan, Krongard and Mariner and Ms. Logan is independent within the meaning of our categorical Independence Standards and the NYSE Listing Standards and has no material relationship with us or any of our subsidiaries, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us. Our Board has also determined that Messrs. Edwards and Kilroy, who serve as executive officers, are not independent Directors. Accordingly, more than two-thirds of the members of our Board of Directors are independent as required by our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

Mr. Krongard serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors. In such capacity, Mr. Krongard leads all meetings of our Board of Directors at which he is present, but does not serve as an employee or corporate officer. The non-executive Chairman of the Board serves on appropriate committees as requested by the Board of Directors, sets meeting schedules and agendas and manages information flow to the Board of Directors to assure appropriate understanding of, and discussion regarding matters of interest or concern to the Board of Directors. The non-executive Chairman of the Board also has such additional powers and performs such additional duties consistent with organizing and leading the actions of the Board of Directors as the Board of Directors may from time-to-time prescribe.

10

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee, Finance and Risk Management Committee and Executive Committee. Each such committee consists solely of Directors who have been affirmatively determined to be independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards and the Company s Independence Standards. Each of these Committees operates pursuant to a written charter approved by the Board of Directors and each such charter is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of each committee charter is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH). In addition, the Board of Directors has a standing Executive Committee which may take certain actions on behalf of the Board of Directors when the Board is not in session.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists our Board of Directors in the oversight of the integrity of our financial statements, our independent registered public accounting firm squalifications and independence, the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and our internal audit function, and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee also oversees our corporate accounting and reporting practices by:

meeting with our financial management and independent registered public accounting firm to review our financial statements, quarterly earnings releases and financial data;

appointing and pre-approving all services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm that will audit our financial statements;

reviewing the selection of the internal auditors that provide internal audit services;

reviewing the scope, procedures and results of our audits; and

evaluating our key financial and accounting personnel.

The Audit Committee is a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter that is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance.

The Audit Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Egan (Chair) and Mariner and Ms. Logan. Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Egan and Mariner qualify as audit committee financial experts within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and are independent Directors under the Independence Standards and the NYSE Listing Standards. During 2008, the Audit Committee met thirteen times and each member of the Audit Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Audit Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee determines and approves all elements of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and senior management; reviews and approves our compensation strategy, including the elements of total

compensation for senior management; reviews and approves the annual bonus and long-term bonus incentive plans, and reviews and grants equity awards for our employees. The Compensation Committee also assists us in developing compensation and benefit strategies to attract, develop and retain qualified employees. See Executive Compensation for additional information regarding the process for the determination and consideration of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Brinkley (Chair) and Krongard and Ms. Logan. During 2008, the Compensation Committee met eleven times and each member of the Compensation Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Compensation Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Compensation Committee.

11

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Committee

The Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities with respect to its governance function include considering matters of corporate governance and reviewing and revising our Board of Directors. Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors and our Code of Conduct for employees and officers. The Corporate Governance Committee identifies, evaluates and recommends nominees for our Board of Directors for each annual meeting (see Corporate Governance Nomination Process and Qualifications for Director Nominees below); evaluates the composition, organization and governance of our Board of Directors and its committees, and develops and recommends corporate governance principles and policies applicable to us. The Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Krongard (Chair), Brinkley and Mariner. During 2008, the Corporate Governance Committee met three times and each member of the Corporate Governance Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Corporate Governance Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee.

Finance and Risk Management Committee

The Finance and Risk Management Committee was formed on February 27, 2008 to assist our Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the assessment of our overall capital structure and its impact on the generation of appropriate risk adjusted returns, as well as the existence, operation and effectiveness of our risk management programs, policies and practices. The Finance and Risk Management Committee is currently comprised of Ms. Logan (Chair) and Messrs. Egan and Krongard. During 2008, the Finance and Risk Management Committee met seven times and each member of the Finance and Risk Management Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Finance and Risk Management Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Finance and Risk Management Committee.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee may generally exercise all of the powers of our Board of Directors when the Board is not in session, including, subject to certain limitations, the power to authorize the issuance of stock, except that the Executive Committee has no power to alter, amend or repeal our by-laws or any resolution or resolutions of the Board of Directors, declare any dividend or make any other distribution to our stockholders, appoint any member of the Executive Committee or take any other action which legally may be taken only by the full Board of Directors. The Executive Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Krongard (Chair), Edwards and Kilroy. During 2008, the Executive Committee did not meet.

12

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board of Directors the compensation of our non-employee Directors. Members of our Board of Directors who are also our officers or employees do not receive any additional compensation for serving as a Director. The following table sets forth our non-employee Director compensation schedule for 2008:

	Compensation	
Annual Non-Executive Chairman of the Board Retainer	\$	170,000
Annual Non-Executive Board Member Retainer		120,000
New Director Equity Grant (1)		60,000
Audit Committee Chair Stipend		20,000
Audit Committee Member Stipend		12,000
Compensation Committee Chair Stipend		15,000
Compensation Committee Member Stipend		10,000
Corporate Governance Committee Chair Stipend		9,000
Corporate Governance Committee Member Stipend		7,000
Finance and Risk Management Committee Chair Stipend (2)		17,500
Finance and Risk Management Committee Member Stipend (2)		11,000

- (1) At the end of the first calendar quarter following the date that a non-employee Director first commences service as a member of the Board of Directors, such non-employee Director is granted restricted stock units under the PHH Corporation 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan with an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$60,000 with cash being paid in lieu of any fractional restricted stock units. Each such restricted stock unit is immediately vested and non-forfeitable and represents the right to receive one share of the Company s common stock on the one year anniversary date following the date such Director s service as a member of the Board of Directors terminates for any reason. During 2008, no non-employee Directors first commenced service on the Board of Directors.
- (2) The Finance and Risk Management Committee was formed on February 27, 2008, at which time the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, established the annual stipends for participation on such committee.

Non-employee Director compensation is paid in arrears in four equal quarterly installments at the end of each calendar quarter (each payment date, a Fee Payment Date) and are paid half in restricted stock units that are issued under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Director RSUs) and, unless deferred as described below, half in cash. Each Director RSU represents the right to receive one share of our common stock upon settlement of such Director RSU. Director RSUs are immediately vested and are settled in shares of our common stock one year after the Director is no longer a member of the Board. Director RSUs may not be sold or otherwise transferred for value prior to the Director s termination of service on the Board.

The number of Director RSUs granted to each non-employee Director on each Fee Payment Date that is attributable to the portion of the compensation that is payable in the form of Director RSUs is determined by dividing one-half of the total dollar amount of compensation that is payable to each such non-employee Director on such Fee Payment Date by

the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on such Fee Payment Date (or, if there was no trading of our common stock on the NYSE on such Fee Payment Date, the closing price of our common stock on the date last preceding such Fee Payment Date upon which our common stock was traded on the NYSE). Fractional Director RSUs are not granted and any fractional portion resulting from the foregoing calculation is paid in cash or deferred as described below.

A non-employee Director may elect to defer under the PHH Corporation Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan all or any portion of any compensation that would otherwise be paid to such non-employee Director in cash. If deferred, a Director is credited with additional Director RSUs with a fair market value on each applicable Fee Payment Date equal to the cash that such non-employee Director has elected to defer in lieu of such cash. Director RSUs that are received pursuant to elective deferrals of fees that are otherwise payable in cash are

13

Table of Contents

immediately vested and are settled in shares of our common stock 200 days after the Director is no longer a member of the Board.

We do not maintain a pension plan for non-employee Directors. Non-employee Directors did not receive any other form of compensation for 2008.

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned by each of our non-employee Directors during 2008:

Change

	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
			in Pension					
Value								
	and							
		Nonqualified						
	Fees		Non-Equity Deferred					
		Stock Awards	Incentive		=	All		
	Earned or		Option	Plan Compen		on Other		
	Paid in Cash		Awar Compensatio Earning Compensation				Total	
Name	(\$)	(\$) ⁽⁴⁾	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
James W. Brinkley	71,055	70,945					142,000	
James O. Egan (1)								
A.B. Krongard	99,132 (2)	99,035 (2)					198,167	
Ann D. Logan	78,357	78,226					156,583	
Jonathan D. Mariner	69,558	69,442					139,000	
Francis J. Van Kirk (3)	74,642	74,525					149,167	

- (1) Mr. Egan first commenced service on the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009.
- (2) During 2008, Mr. Krongard elected to defer \$65,415 of the cash portion of his retainer and stipends pursuant to the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan and received 4,519 Director RSUs in lieu of such cash. The \$65,415 that Mr. Krongard elected to defer in the form of Director RSUs is included in the Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column rather than the Stock Awards column under applicable SEC rules because such amount was earned in cash and then deferred into Director RSUs under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (3) Mr. Van Kirk resigned from the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009.
- (4) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes in respect of awards to our non-employee Directors of restricted stock units during 2008. The aggregate grant date fair value of such restricted stock units is equal to the amounts reflected in this column. See Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

Executive sessions of non-management Directors without management present are held regularly by the Board of Directors and its Committees to discuss the criteria upon which the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives is based, the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives against such criteria, the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives and any other relevant matters. Our Board of Directors has designated Mr. Krongard, our non-executive Chairman of the Board and Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, as the presiding Director of executive sessions of the non-management Directors of the Board of Directors.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist the Board of Directors in monitoring the effectiveness of decision-making, both at the Board of Directors and management levels and to enhance long-term stockholder value. The Corporate Governance Guidelines outline the following:

the responsibilities of the Board of Directors;

the composition of the Board of Directors, including the requirement that two-thirds of the Directors be independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards;

Director duties, tenure, retirement and succession:

conduct of Board of Directors and Committee meetings; and

the selection and evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors

We are committed to conducting business in accordance with the highest standards of business ethics and complying with applicable laws, rules and regulations. In furtherance of this commitment, our Board of Directors promotes ethical behavior and has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors (the Directors Code) that is applicable to all of our Directors. The Directors Code provides, among other things:

guidelines for Directors with respect to what constitutes a conflict of interest between a Director s private interests and interests of PHH;

a set of standards that must be followed whenever we contemplate a business relationship between us and a Director:

restrictions on competition between our Directors and PHH and the use of our confidential information by Directors for their personal benefit; and

disciplinary measures for violations of the Directors Code and any other applicable rules and regulations.

The Directors Code is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. We will post any amendments to the Directors Code, or waivers of the provisions thereof, to our corporate website under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of the Directors Code is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

15

Table of Contents

Code of Conduct for Employees and Officers

Our Board of Directors has also adopted a Code of Conduct for Employees and Officers (the Employees and Officers Code) that is applicable to all of our officers and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Employees and Officers Code provides, among other things:

guidelines for our officers and employees with respect to ethical handling of conflicts of interest, including examples of the most common types of conflicts of interest that should be avoided (e.g., receipt of improper personal benefits, having an ownership interest in other businesses that may compromise an officer s loyalty to us, obtaining outside employment with a competitor of ours, etc.);

a set of standards to promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports required to be filed by us, including, for example, a specific requirement that all accounting records must be duly preserved and must accurately reflect our assets and liabilities;

a requirement to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations;

guidance promoting prompt internal communication of any suspected violations of the Employees and Officers Code to the appropriate person or persons identified in the Employees and Officers Code; and

disciplinary measures for violations of the Employees and Officers Code and any other applicable rules and regulations.

The Employees and Officers Code is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. We will post any amendments to the Employees and Officers Code, or waivers of the provisions thereof for any of our executive officers, to our corporate website under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of the Employees and Officers Code is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Nomination Process and Qualifications for Director Nominees

The Board of Directors has established certain procedures and criteria for the selection of nominees for election to our Board of Directors. Pursuant to its charter, the Corporate Governance Committee is required to identify individuals qualified to become members of the Board, which shall be consistent with the Board's criteria for selecting new Directors. The committee considers criteria such as diversity, age, skills and experience so as to enhance the Board of Directors ability to manage and direct our affairs and business, including, when applicable, to enhance the ability of Corporate Governance Committees of the Board to fulfill their duties and/or to satisfy any independence requirements imposed by law, regulation or NYSE requirement. The Corporate Governance Committee is also responsible for conducting a review of the credentials of individuals it wishes to recommend to the Board of Directors as a Director nominee, recommending Director nominees to the Board of Directors for submission for a stockholder vote at either an annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, reviewing the suitability for continued service as a Director of each Board member when his or her term expires and when he or she has a significant change in status, including but not limited to an employment change, and recommending whether such a Director should be re-nominated to the Board or continue as a Director.

Our amended and restated by-laws provide the procedure for stockholders to make Director nominations either at any annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors. A stockholder who is both a stockholder of record on the date of notice as provided for in our amended and restated

by-laws and on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at such meeting and gives timely notice can nominate persons for election to our Board of Directors either for an annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors. The notice must be delivered to or mailed and received by the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH):

in the case of an annual meeting, not later than the close of business on the 90th day nor earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year s annual meeting; provided,

16

Table of Contents

however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed by more than 60 days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to the date of such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to the date of such annual meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made, and

in the case of a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which notice of the date of the special meeting was sent or public announcement of the date of the special meeting was made, whichever first occurs.

The stockholder s notice to our Corporate Secretary must be in writing and include the following information, as more fully described in Section 1.10 of our amended and restated by-laws:

(i) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as a Director (each, a Proposed Nominee):

all information relating to the Proposed Nominee that would be required to be disclosed in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of the Proposed Nominee pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act; and

a statement of the background and qualifications of each such Proposed Nominee;

(ii) as to the stockholder giving the notice of any Proposed Nominee and any Stockholder Associated Person (as defined in the amended and restated by-laws):

the class, series and number of all shares of stock or other securities of the Company or any affiliate of the Company (collectively, the Company Securities), if any, which are owned (beneficially or of record) by such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, the date on which each such Company Security was acquired and the investment intent of such acquisition, and any short interest (including any opportunity to profit or share in any benefit from any decrease in the price of such stock or other security) in any Company Securities of any such person;

the nominee holder for, and number of, any Company Securities owned beneficially but not of record by such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person;

whether and the extent to which such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, directly or indirectly, is subject to or during the last six months has engaged in any hedging, derivative or similar transactions with respect to any Company Securities; and

any interest, direct or indirect, of such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, in the Company or any affiliate of the Company, other than an interest arising from the ownership of Company Securities;

(iii) as to the stockholder giving the notice, any Stockholder Associated Person with an interest or ownership referred to in (ii) above, and any Proposed Nominee, the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the Company s stock ledger, and the current name and business address, if different, of each such Stockholder Associated Person and any Proposed Nominee;

- (iv) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the Proposed Nominee(s) in its notice, and
- (v) any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of the Proposed Nominee pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act.

Such notice must be accompanied by a written consent of each Proposed Nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. No person shall be eligible for election as a director of the Company unless nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in our amended and restated by-laws. If the chairman of the meeting determines that a nomination was not made in accordance with the above-described procedures, the chairman of the meeting shall declare to the meeting that the nomination was defective and such defective

17

Table of Contents

nomination shall be disregarded. No adjournment or postponement of a meeting of stockholders shall commence a new period for the giving of notice of a stockholder proposal under our amended and restated by-laws.

Communication with Non-Management Directors

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, all stockholder and interested party communications to any Director, the non-management Directors as a group or the Board of Directors shall be forwarded to the attention of the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, c/o the Corporate Secretary, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054. The Corporate Secretary shall review all such stockholder and interested party communications and discard those which (i) are not related to our business or governance of our company, (ii) are commercial solicitations which are not relevant to the Board s responsibilities and duties, (iii) pose a threat to health or safety or (iv) the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee has otherwise instructed the Corporate Secretary not to forward. The Corporate Secretary will then forward all relevant stockholder and interested party communications to the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee for review and dissemination.

18

PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2009

The Audit Committee has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The submission of this matter for approval by stockholders is not legally required; however, the Board of Directors believes that such submission provides stockholders an opportunity to provide feedback to the Board of Directors on an important issue of corporate governance. If stockholders do not approve the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the selection of such firm as our independent registered public accounting firm will be reconsidered. In the event that Deloitte & Touche LLP is unable to serve as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 for any reason, the Audit Committee will appoint another independent registered public accounting firm. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate stockholder questions regarding the Company.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FO</u>R THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009 USING THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED <u>FO</u>R THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009.

19

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

In connection with the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2008, the Audit Committee:

Reviewed and discussed the Company s audited consolidated financial statements with management;

Discussed with the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and

Received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Deloitte & Touche LLP s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP their independence.

Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company s audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Date: February 25, 2009 Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

Francis J. Van Kirk (Chair) Ann D. Logan Jonathan D. Mariner

20

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Our Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all audit services and permitted non-audit services, including the fees and terms thereof, to be performed for us and our subsidiaries by our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP (the Independent Auditor). The Audit Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy and implemented procedures that provide that all engagements of our Independent Auditor are reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee, except for such services that fall within the *de minimis* exception for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act that our Audit Committee approves prior to the completion of the audit. The pre-approval policy also permits the delegation of pre-approval authority to a member of the Audit Committee between meetings of the Audit Committee, and any such approvals are reviewed and ratified by the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, professional services were performed for us by Deloitte & Touche LLP, our Independent Auditor, pursuant to the oversight of our Audit Committee. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate stockholder questions regarding the Company.

Set forth below are the fees billed to us by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and their respective affiliates. All fees and services were approved in accordance with the Audit Committee s pre-approval policy.

Fees by Type	Year Ended December 31,
	2008 2007 (In millions)
Audit fees Audit-related fees Tax fees All other fees	\$ 7.8
Total	\$ 8.9 \$ 9.6

Audit Fees. Audit fees primarily related to the annual audits of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K and our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the reviews of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and services provided in connection with regulatory and statutory filings.

Audit-Related Fees. Audit-related fees primarily related to audit fees for our employee benefit plans, comfort letters for registration statements and securitization transactions and agreed upon procedures.

Tax Fees. Tax fees related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

All Other Fees. All other fees primarily related to a terminated merger agreement with General Electric Capital Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Jade Merger Sub, Inc.

21

PROPOSAL 3 APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN

Introduction

On April 17, 2009, the Board adopted the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Amended Plan), subject to the approval of the Amended Plan by the Company s stockholders at the Annual Meeting. The PHH Corporation 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Prior Plan) was originally adopted by the Board and approved by our sole stockholder on January 14, 2005, in connection with the Spin-Off of the Company from Cendant Corporation. The provisions of the Prior Plan will continue to control with respect to any awards outstanding prior to stockholder approval of the Amended Plan, and the Amended Plan will apply with respect to awards issued after the date of stockholder approval. If we do not obtain stockholder approval of the Amended Plan, the Prior Plan will remain in effect; however, the Company will have limited ability to grant new awards under the Prior Plan. Accordingly, the Board recommends a vote **FOR** the approval of the Amended Plan using the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Unless marked to the contrary, proxies received by the Company will be voted **FOR** the approval of the Amended Plan.

The Amended Plan (1) increases by 4,550,000 shares the maximum number of shares that we may issue as awards; (2) clarifies the definition of pre-tax income of the Company or any subsidiary, division or business unit as a performance goal under the Amended Plan; (3) increases the maximum aggregate value of any payment subject to awards under the annual incentive program or stock-or cash-based awards other than stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or restricted stock units from \$1 million to \$5 million; and (4) incorporates the other modifications and changes described below.

In order to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), we are also required to disclose and obtain the approval of a majority of the stockholders of the material terms of the performance goals established under the Amended Plan in order for performance awards issued to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and our four most highly compensated officers other than our principal executive officer and principal financial officer (collectively, the covered employees) to qualify as performance-based compensation exempt from the \$1 million limit on tax deductibility under Code Section 162(m).

As of April 22, 2009 (the record date for the 2009 Annual Meeting), 400,534 shares remain available for grants of stock-based compensation awards. Stockholder approval of the Amended Plan and the proposed share increase will allow us to continue to provide equity incentives to executive officers, employees, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries, including performance awards that meet Code Section 162(m) requirements, thereby preserving our ability to receive tax deductions for those awards. We believe that these equity incentives are crucial to attracting and retaining highly qualified employees whose expertise is essential to our continued growth and success.

Description of the Amended Plan

General Summary

The following is a general summary of the Amended Plan, and is qualified in its entirety by the complete text of the Amended Plan, which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix B. A copy of the Amended Plan is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Purposes of the Amended Plan

The Amended Plan is intended to afford incentives to non-employee directors, officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of the Company or any parent or subsidiary corporation to continue in their service to the Company. The Amended Plan provides for grants of stock options (including incentive stock options (ISOs) and non-qualified stock options (NQSOs), stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs) and other stock- or cash-based awards. The Company also intends that the Amended Plan comply with

22

Table of Contents

the requirements for performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m) and the requirements of Code Section 409A with respect to awards treated as deferred compensation (see Restricted Stock Units below).

Administration

The Board has delegated authority to administer the Amended Plan to the Compensation Committee of the Board (the Committee). Subject to certain limitations (see Limitations on Individual Awards below), the Amended Plan gives the Committee the authority to grant awards, determine the recipients and timing of awards, the type and number of awards to be granted, the number of shares underlying awards and the terms, conditions, restrictions and performance criteria relating to awards. The Committee also has the authority to determine whether and to what extent an award may be settled, cancelled, forfeited, exchanged or surrendered, adjust terms and conditions of performance goals, construe and interpret the plan and any award, prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Amended Plan, determine the terms and provisions of individual award agreements, and make all other decisions it determines to be advisable for the administration of the Amended Plan. The Committee may delegate its administrative duties to its members or to certain agents of the Company.

Eligibility

The Amended Plan permits grants of awards to non-employee directors, officers and other employees, advisors or consultants of the Company or any parent or subsidiary of the Company, as determined by the Committee. As of April 22, 2009, five non-employee directors and approximately 210 employees are eligible to receive awards under the Amended Plan.

Stock Available for Awards

The Prior Plan reserves a total of 7,500,000 shares for issuance pursuant to awards. As of April 22, 2009, 5,139,807 shares are subject to outstanding awards under the Prior Plan and 400,534 shares remain available for future grants under the Prior Plan. If the stockholders approve the Amended Plan and the proposed increase of 4,550,000 shares, a total of 4,950,534 shares will be available for future awards and no more than 2,250,000 shares may be issued pursuant to awards that are not stock options or SARs.

The Amended Plan provides that any shares subject to awards that are forfeited or cancelled, or that terminate or expire without a distribution of shares will, to the extent forfeited, cancelled, terminated or expired, again become available for awards under the Amended Plan other than ISOs (with each such share added back to the share reserve as one share). The Amended Plan also clarifies that shares subject to awards that are ultimately paid or settled in cash again become available for awards under the Amended Plan. However, shares of stock surrendered or withheld as payment of either the exercise price of an award or for withholding taxes will not be made available for future awards. All shares covered by an SAR, to the extent that it is ultimately exercised and settled in shares of stock, shall be considered issued or transferred pursuant to the Amended Plan. Upon the exercise of any award granted in tandem with any other award, the related award will be cancelled to the extent of the number of shares as to which the award is exercised, and such shares will no longer be available for awards under the Plan. Under the Prior Plan, shares surrendered or withheld as payment of the exercise price of an award or for withholding taxes are again made available for issuance as awards, and this provision will continue to apply with respect to awards granted under the Prior Plan.

Repricing Prohibited

Neither the Board, the Committee nor any other person to whom the Committee delegates its authority may reprice or cancel and regrant any stock option or other type of award at a lower exercise, base or purchase price without

obtaining stockholder approval.

Options Granted at Fair Market Value

Stock options may not be granted with an exercise price that is less than the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the grant date. Under the Prior Plan, unless the Committee determines otherwise, fair market value is defined based on the average of the high and low sales prices for the Company s stock on the New York

23

Table of Contents

Stock Exchange. As the Committee previously determined to define fair market value under the Prior Plan by reference to the closing sales price of the Company s stock on the New York Stock Exchange (or the immediately preceding date on which the Stock is traded, if the stock is not traded on the applicable date), the Amended Plan updates the definition of fair market value accordingly. The closing sales price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on April 22, 2009 (the record date for the 2009 Annual Meeting) was \$ per share.

Limitations on Individual Awards

In order to permit awards to qualify as performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m), no employee may be granted awards in excess of the following limits:

No more than 1,000,000 shares of stock may be made subject to options or SARs to a single individual in a single calendar year;

No more than 1,000,000 shares of stock may be made subject to stock-based awards other than options and SARs (including restricted stock and RSUs or other stock-based awards) to a single individual in a single calendar year;

The maximum aggregate value of any payment made to a grantee under the Company s annual incentive program (see Annual Incentive Program below) or an award other than a stock option, SAR, restricted stock award or RSU (see Other Cash or Stock-Based Awards below) in any calendar year is \$5 million. The Board has determined that the increase in this amount from the \$1 million maximum under the Prior Plan is appropriate in order to ensure that the performance award provisions of the Amended Plan take into account future salary increases or changes in performance targets established by the Committee.

Section 422 of the Code requires that ISOs be granted only to employees. Any person who, at the time of grant, owns (or is deemed to own) stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of the Company, or any of its parent or subsidiary corporations, must be granted ISOs at an exercise price that is at least 110% of the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant, and the term of the option must not exceed five years. The aggregate fair market value, determined at the time of grant, of the shares of common stock with respect to which ISOs granted under the Amended Plan are exercisable for the first time by a grantee during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000.

Terms of Awards

The following is a general description of the types and terms of awards that may be granted under the Amended Plan. Individual grants may have different terms and conditions, as determined by the Committee consistent with the provisions of the Amended Plan.

Stock Options

A stock option represents the right to purchase a share of common stock at a predetermined exercise price. The Committee, in its discretion, may grant ISOs or NQSOs to qualified participants. The Committee determines the terms of each option, provided that the exercise price may not be less than the fair market value per share on the grant date and the option term may not exceed ten years from the grant date. The exercise price may be paid in cash, by exchange of stock previously owned by the grantee for at least six months, by means of a broker cashless exercise procedure to the extent approved by the Committee and permitted by law, or by a combination of the above. An award agreement may also provide for payment of the exercise price by having the Company withhold shares with a fair market value equal to the exercise price. Generally, options may only be exercised while the grantee remains in

service, although the Committee may accelerate vesting and extend the exercisability of options in the event of specified terminations of employment or service. In no event, however, may the exercise period extend beyond the ten-year option term. Options and shares acquired upon exercise may also be subject to other conditions, including restrictions on transfer, as the Committee may determine or as may be required by applicable law.

24

Table of Contents

Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock appreciation right entitles the recipient to receive a payment in cash or stock, as determined by the Committee at the date of grant, equal to the excess of (1) the fair market value per share of the Company s stock on the date of exercise over (2) the grant price per share of the SAR. In the case of an SAR granted in tandem with an option, the grant price will equal the exercise price of the underlying option. In the case of an SAR that is not granted in tandem with an option, the Committee determines the grant price. SARs are exercisable over the exercise period and subject to such terms and conditions as the Committee may determine; however, no SAR may be exercised more than ten years from the grate date or, for an SAR granted in tandem with an option, the expiration of the underlying option. The Committee may accelerate the exercisability of an SAR as it deems appropriate.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock consists of shares of common stock that are awarded subject to restrictions on transferability and such other restrictions as the Committee may impose. These restrictions may lapse separately or in combination, at such times and under such circumstances as the Committee may determine. The Committee may also provide for restrictions to lapse based upon the attainment of performance goals (see Performance Awards and Performance Goals below). Except as otherwise determined in an individual award agreement, recipients of restricted stock have the same rights as stockholders, including the right to vote the shares and receive dividends in the form of cash or stock. Dividends on restricted stock are either paid at the dividend payment date or deferred for payment at a later date, as specified in the award agreement. However, dividends that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of the attainment of the underlying performance goals, as determined by the Committee. Stock distributed in connection with a stock split or stock dividend, and any other property distributed as a dividend, is generally subject to the same restrictions and risk of forfeiture as the original grant of restricted stock. Unless the Committee provides otherwise, restricted stock and any accrued but unpaid dividends are forfeited upon termination of service.

Restricted Stock Units

RSUs represent a right to receive shares of the Company s common stock or cash, as determined by the Committee at the date of grant, upon the expiration of a time period specified by the Committee. The Amended Plan amends the RSU definition to clarify that RSUs may be provided with dividend equivalent rights, as determined by the Committee and as provided in an individual Award Agreement or pursuant to the Amended Plan. The Committee may also place restrictions on RSUs that lapse based upon the attainment of performance goals (see Performance Awards and Performance Goals below). Upon termination of employment or service, all RSUs and any accrued but unpaid dividend equivalent rights are forfeited; however, the Committee may determine to waive restrictions or forfeiture conditions relating to RSUs upon termination under specified circumstances or otherwise. The Amended Plan specifies, however, that dividend equivalent rights paid on RSUs that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of the attainment of the underlying performance goals.

Non-Employee Director Compensatory Awards. The Company intends to compensate its non-employee directors, in part, by means of RSUs issued under the Amended Plan and payable in the form of Company common stock, unless the Committee or the Board determines otherwise on a prospective basis. The Amended Plan also clarifies, consistent with the Board's determination, that compensatory RSUs are awarded on the same quarterly dates that the Company pays non-employee directors their annual retainer and committee stipends and need not be evidenced by award agreements. The Company maintains separate book accounts in the name of each director for this purpose, and the director's account is credited with RSUs as of each applicable payment date. The number of RSUs is rounded down to the nearest whole number, and any fractional amounts are paid in cash. RSUs may have dividend equivalent rights credited and payable in the form of additional RSUs or cash, as determined prospectively by the Committee or the

Board. RSUs credited to non-employee directors as compensatory awards are immediately vested and paid on the first anniversary following the date of termination of Board service. No acceleration of payment is permitted except to the extent allowed under Code Section 409A.

25

Table of Contents

Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Awards. The Amended Plan is also the source for RSUs issued pursuant to the PHH Corporation Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan), which permits non-employee directors to elect to receive a deferred payment of RSUs payable in stock (unless the Committee determines otherwise on a prospective basis) in lieu of a portion of their compensation that would otherwise be paid in cash. Each participating director must execute a deferred compensation agreement in accordance with the procedures established by the Company under the Deferred Compensation Plan and consistent with the requirements of Code Section 409A, setting forth the percentage of eligible fees to be deferred; once made, the deferral election remains in effect until changed by the participant, subject to the requirements of Code Section 409A. The RSUs are credited to separate book accounts established on behalf of participating directors on the dates the Company would otherwise pay their cash compensation, and fractional amounts are paid in cash. The RSUs have dividend equivalent rights that are credited and payable in the form of additional RSUs, as provided for under the Deferred Compensation Plan. The RSUs are immediately vested and become payable 200 days following the date of termination of Board service. Because the terms and conditions of the Deferred Compensation Plan control with respect to these RSUs, the Amended Plan does not require an award agreement to be issued with respect to such awards.

Other Stock or Cash-Based Awards

The Committee may grant other stock- or cash-based awards consistent with the purposes of the Amended Plan. Such awards may be granted contingent upon performance goals, provided the goals relate to periods of performance in excess of one calendar year, and any dividends or dividend equivalents payable with respect to such awards that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of attainment of the underlying performance goals. Payments may be decreased or, with respect only to grantees that are not covered employees for purposes of Code Section 162(m), increased, in the sole discretion of the Committee, based on factors it deems appropriate. No payment will be made to a covered employee prior to the certification by the Committee of the attainment of performance goals. The Committee may also establish additional rules applicable to other stock or cash based awards consistent with the requirements of Code Section 162(m).

Annual Incentive Program

The Amended Plan authorizes the Committee to grant awards to grantees under an annual incentive program, under such terms and conditions as the Committee determines to be consistent with the purposes of the Amended Plan, subject to the award limits set forth in the Amended Plan (see Limitations on Individual Awards above). Payments earned under the annual incentive program may be decreased or, with respect to any grantee who is not a covered employee, increased, in the sole discretion of the Committee, and based on factors it deems appropriate. No payment will be made to a covered employee prior to the certification by the Committee of the attainment of the performance goals relating to such awards, however. The Committee may establish additional rules applicable to an annual incentive program, to the extent consistent with Code Section 162(m).

Performance Awards and Performance Goals

Subject to the parameters described above (see Limitations on Individual Awards above), the Committee may grant performance awards, which are subject to the attainment of performance goals as determined by the Committee. Subject to stockholder approval, the Amended Plan provides that performance goals must be based on one or more of the following criteria: (i) pre-tax income or after-tax income; (ii) income or earnings including operating income, earnings before or after taxes, earnings before or after interest, depreciation, amortization, or extraordinary or special items; (iii) pre-tax income of the Company or any Subsidiary, or any division or business unit thereof, before or after non-controlling interest; (iv) net income excluding amortization of intangible assets, depreciation and impairment of goodwill and intangible assets and/or excluding charges attributable to the adoption of new accounting

pronouncements; (v) earnings or book value per share (basic or diluted); (vi) return on assets (gross or net), return on investment, return on capital, or return on equity; (vii) return on revenues; (viii) cash flow, free cash flow, cash flow return on investment (discounted or otherwise), net cash provided by operations, or cash flow in excess of cost of capital; (ix) economic value created; (x) operating margin or profit margin; (xi) stock price or total stockholder return; (xii) income or earnings from continuing operations; (xiii) cost targets, reductions and

26

Table of Contents

savings, expense management, productivity and efficiencies; and (xiv) strategic business criteria, consisting of one or more objectives based on meeting specified market penetration or market share, geographic business expansion, customer satisfaction, employee satisfaction, human resources management, supervision of litigation, information technology, and goals relating to divestitures, joint ventures and similar transactions.

Performance goals may be expressed in terms of a specified level of performance, a particular criterion or in terms of a percentage increase or decrease in a particular criterion, and may be applied to one or more of the Company, a parent or subsidiary, a division or strategic business unit. Performance goals may include a threshold level of performance below which no payment will be made or no vesting will occur, levels of performance at which specified payments will be paid or specified vesting will occur, and a maximum level of performance above which no additional payment will be made or which will result in full vesting. Each performance goal is evaluated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, where applicable, and the Committee must certify attainment of the goal. The Committee may make equitable adjustments to performance goals in recognition of unusual or non-recurring events affecting the company or any parent or subsidiary or their financial statements, in response to changes in applicable laws or regulations, or to account for items of gain, loss or expense determined by the Committee to be extraordinary or unusual in nature, infrequent in occurrence or related to the disposal of a business segment or a change in accounting principles.

Effect of Certain Corporate Events

Equitable Adjustments. If the Committee determines that any dividend or other distribution (whether in the form of cash, stock or other property), recapitalization, stock split, reverse split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination, repurchase, or share exchange, or similar corporate event, affects the stock in such a manner that adjustment is appropriate in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of grantees, the Committee will make equitable changes or adjustments as necessary or appropriate to the number, price and kind of shares of stock or other property that may be issued in connection with outstanding and subsequent awards (provided that adjustments to ISOs will be made in accordance with Code requirements) and the performance goals applicable to outstanding awards.

Change in Control. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee and provided for in an award agreement, in the event of a change in control, unvested awards become fully vested and exercisable, and restrictions applicable to awards granted under the Amended Plan lapse. Any performance conditions imposed with respect to awards are deemed to be fully achieved upon a change in control.

A change in control of the Company will occur if: (1) any person, as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than (a)the Company, (b) any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company, and (c) any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of Stock), is or becomes the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Company s then outstanding voting securities (excluding any person who becomes such a beneficial owner in connection with a transaction immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such transaction or, if the Company or the entity surviving the transaction is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof); (2) the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors then serving: individuals who, on the Amended Plan s effective date, constitute the Board and any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of directors of the Company) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company s stockholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors on the effective date or whose

appointment, election or nomination for election was previously so approved or recommended; (3) there is consummated a merger or consolidation of the Company or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such merger or consolidation or, if the Company or the entity surviving such merger is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof; or (4) the stockholders of the

27

Table of Contents

Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or there is consummated an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company s assets (or any transaction having a similar effect), other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company s assets to an entity, immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the board of directors of the entity to which such assets are sold or disposed of or, if such entity is a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof.

A change in control will not occur, however, by virtue of (1) a public offering of the Company s equity securities or (2) the consummation of any transaction or series of integrated transactions immediately following which the stockholders immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions continue to have substantially the same proportionate ownership in an entity which owns all or substantially all of the assets of the Company. The Amended Plan also updates the change in control definition to provide that, solely to the extent necessary to comply with the requirements of Code Section 409A, a change in control as defined above may occur only upon or as a result of a change in control that also qualifies as such for purposes of Code Section 409A.

Duration, Amendment and Termination

The Prior Plan was originally effective as of January 14, 2005 and the Amended Plan, if approved by the stockholders, will remain in effect until January 14, 2015, the tenth anniversary of the original effective date. No awards may be granted under the Amended Plan after the expiration date, but the expiration of the Amended Plan will not affect outstanding awards issued prior to the expiration date. The Board may amend or terminate the Amended Plan in whole or in part at any time and from time to time, subject to the requirement that any amendment that requires stockholder approval in order for the Amended Plan to continue to comply with Section 162(m) or any other law, regulation or stock exchange requirement must be approved by the requisite vote of the stockholders. No amendment or termination may adversely affect the rights of grantees without their consent.

Federal Income Tax Information

The following discussion is only a general summary of the federal income tax aspects of stock options granted under the Amended Plan, and does not discuss state, local or foreign taxes that may apply to such awards. Tax consequences may vary depending on particular circumstances, and administrative and judicial interpretations of the application of the federal income tax laws are subject to change.

Incentive Stock Options. A grantee recognizes no taxable income for regular income tax purposes as the result of the grant or exercise of an ISO. Grantees who do not dispose of their shares for at least two years following the date the ISO was granted or within one year following exercise of the ISO normally recognize a long-term capital gain or loss equal to the difference, if any, between the sale price and the purchase price of the shares. If a grantee satisfies both holding periods upon a sale of the shares, the Company will not be entitled to any federal income tax deduction. If a grantee disposes of the shares either within two years after the date of grant or within one year from the date of exercise (referred to as a disqualifying disposition), the difference between the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date and the option exercise price (not to exceed the gain realized on the sale if the disposition is a transaction with respect to which a loss, if sustained, would be recognized) is taxed as ordinary income at the time of disposition. Any gain in excess of that amount is treated as a capital gain. If a loss is recognized, it is a capital loss. A capital gain or loss is long-term if the grantee s holding period is more than 12 months. Any ordinary income recognized by the grantee upon the disqualifying disposition generally should be deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes, except to the extent limited by applicable Code provisions.

Non-qualified Stock Options. NQSOs have no special tax status. A holder of these awards generally does not recognize taxable income as the result of the grant of such award. Upon exercise of a NQSO, the holder normally

recognizes ordinary income in an amount equal to the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date. If the holder is an employee, such ordinary income generally is subject to withholding of income and employment taxes. Upon the sale of stock acquired by the exercise of a NQSO, any gain or loss, based on the difference between the sale price and the fair market value on the exercise date, is taxed as capital gain or loss. A capital gain or loss is long-term if the holding period of the shares is more than 12 months. The

28

Table of Contents

Company generally should be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the grantee as a result of the exercise of a NQSO, except to the extent limited by applicable Code provisions. No tax deduction is available to the Company with respect to the grant of a NQSO or the sale of the stock acquired pursuant to a NQSO.

Potential Limitation on Company Deductions. In accordance with applicable regulations issued under Code Section 162(m), compensation attributable to stock options qualifies as performance-based compensation, provided that: (1) the Amended Plan contains a per-employee limitation on the number of shares for which options may be granted during a specified period, (2) the per-employee limitation is approved by the stockholders, (3) the option is granted by a compensation committee comprised solely of outside directors (as defined in Code Section 162(m)) and (4) the exercise price of the option or right is not less than the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. For these reasons, the Company s Plan provides for an annual per employee limitation as required under Code Section 162(m) (see Limitations on Individual Awards above) and the Committee is comprised solely of outside directors. Accordingly, options granted by the Committee should qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Code Section 162(m).

Awards Granted to Certain Persons

The Committee cannot currently determine with certainty the awards, if any, which would be granted out of the additional authorized shares under the Amended Plan as submitted for approval by the stockholders. The Committee has not granted any awards contingent upon stockholder approval of the Amended Plan. The Prior Plan authorizes sufficient shares to fund the equity awards granted in 2009 prior to the approval of the Amended Plan by the stockholders, and if stockholders do not approve the Amended Plan, the Prior Plan will continue in effect. The awards under the Amended Plan that would have been received by or allocated to each of our Named Executive Officers (as defined below under Summary Compensation Table), all executive officers as a group, all non-employee directors as a group, and our non-executive officer employees as a group for the year ended December 31, 2008, had the Amended Plan been in effect during such period would have been the same as the awards made to such persons under the Prior Plan as disclosed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FO</u>R THE APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN USING THE ENCLOSED <u>WHITE</u> PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED <u>FO</u>R THE APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN.

29

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The table below presents information as of December 31, 2008:

	(a)		(b)	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and	Exe Ou	nted-Average orcise Price of atstanding Options, Varrants	Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected
Plan Category	Rights	ar	nd Rights	in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	4,332,684 (2)	\$	18.91 (3)	1,339,222
Total	4,332,684 (2)	\$	18.91 (3)	1,339,222

- (1) Equity compensation plans approved by security holders include the Prior Plan that was approved by our sole stockholder on January 14, 2005. See also Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information.
- (2) Includes 1,568,934 restricted stock units and 2,763,750 stock options.
- (3) Because there is no exercise price associated with restricted stock units, restricted stock units described in Note 2 above are not included in the weighted-average exercise price calculation.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below with management and, based on such review, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below be included in the Company s Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

James W. Brinkley (Chair) A.B. Krongard Ann D. Logan

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

During 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement (the Merger Agreement) with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE) and GE s wholly-owned subsidiary, Jade Merger Sub, Inc., to be acquired (the Merger). The Merger Agreement was ultimately terminated on January 1, 2008, in accordance with its terms as previously disclosed. However, because of provisions contained in the Merger Agreement that restricted changes to the compensation of the Named Executive Officers in advance of the closing of the Merger, and in anticipation of the Merger occurring on or before December 31, 2007, compensation decisions during 2007 did not include a detailed evaluation of our compensation structure. Rather, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors instead focused on severance and retention matters in an effort to ensure the retention of key personnel through the anticipated closing date of December 31, 2007.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee s independent compensation consultants, began evaluating potential equity awards to executive officers

30

Table of Contents

and other employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. The Compensation Committee reviewed the Company s equity awards since the Spin-Off, noting that no equity awards had been granted to employees since 2005 and that certain employees had been precluded from realizing the value of previously issued equity awards due to the imposition of a blackout period under Regulation BTR following the announcement of a delay in filing our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the Blackout Period).

In light of these considerations, the Compensation Committee discussed increasing the size of equity-based awards during 2008 in order to address the lack of equity-based awards in 2006 and 2007 and to motivate and retain employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. During 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, in consultation with management and its compensation consultants, took actions designed to address the lack of equity awards in 2006 and 2007, to motivate and retain employees, and to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with those of our stockholders.

Compensation Committee Oversight of Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of three independent, non-executive Directors Messrs. Brinkley (Chair) and Krongard and Ms. Logan and is responsible for overseeing our executive compensation policies, including evaluating and approving the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as listed in the

Summary Compensation Table below. The Board of Directors has adopted a Compensation Committee Charter which sets forth the purpose, composition, authority and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and determines the base salary, annual and long-term incentive awards, equity awards and other compensation for each Named Executive Officer, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, and evaluates our compensation policies. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to engage and retain executive compensation consultants to assist with such evaluations.

Executive Compensation Objectives

The primary objective of our executive compensation policies is to attract, retain and motivate qualified executive officers to manage our business in order to maximize stockholder value. Our executive compensation policies are intended to facilitate the achievement of our short-term and long-term business strategies through aligning compensation with performance by:

providing base salaries and other compensation that are competitive and designed to attract and retain executive talent;

rewarding executive performance through variable, at-risk compensation that is dependent upon meeting specified performance targets; and

aligning the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders by providing equity-based compensation as a component of total compensation.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation for our Named Executive Officers and stock equity awards for all employees. The Compensation Committee does not rely upon a fixed formula or specific numerical criteria in determining each Named Executive Officer's total compensation or the allocation of compensation among the various components of compensation described below. Moreover, we do not have a specific policy for the allocation of compensation between short-term and long-term compensation or cash and equity compensation. Rather, the Compensation Committee exercises its business judgment in determining total compensation based upon the following criteria:

our long-term strategic objectives, financial and other performance criteria and individual performance goals;

the competitive compensation levels for executive officers at companies in similar businesses and/or of similar size;

the overall economic environment and industry conditions;

31

Table of Contents

unique circumstances impacting us and our executive officers, such as the termination of the Merger Agreement; and

the recommendations of executive compensation consultants.

Based upon its analysis of these criteria, the Compensation Committee determines each component of executive compensation (as discussed below) for the Named Executive Officers, taking into consideration the total compensation relative to the median for the Peer Group (as defined in Benchmarking below).

Role of Management in Executive Compensation Decisions

Generally, our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee as it relates to the compensation of our other executive officers. In addition, our executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice Presidents of Human Resources, provide input and make proposals regarding the design, operation, objectives and values of the various components of compensation in order to provide appropriate performance and retention incentives for key employees. These proposals may be made on the initiative of the Chief Executive Officer, the executive officers or upon the request of the Compensation Committee.

Executive Compensation Consultants

During 2007, the Compensation Committee retained Mercer Human Resource Consulting, Inc. (Mercer) to assist it with the evaluation of the Company s executive compensation. Mercer analyzed and provided comparative executive compensation data and compensation program proposals for the Compensation Committee s consideration in evaluating and setting the compensation of the Named Executive Officers and the overall structure of our compensation policies. Compensation decisions in 2007 did not, however, include a detailed evaluation of the compensation structure during 2007 due to the fact that the Merger was pending and the Merger Agreement contained customary restrictions on changing the compensation of the Named Executive Officers.

During 2008, the Compensation Committee engaged a new compensation consultant, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC). During 2008, upon prior approval, PwC also provided certain other consulting services to management. The Compensation Committee does not believe that these other services compromised PwC s ability to provide the Compensation Committee with an independent perspective on executive compensation.

Based, in part, on recommendations from the Compensation Committee s prior consultant, Mercer, and, in part, on recommendations from PwC following PwC s analysis of the Company s compensation objectives and compensation structure, the Compensation Committee took various actions in 2008 designed to address the lack of equity awards in 2006 and 2007, to motivate and retain employees, and to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with those of our stockholders. See Benchmarking and Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information regarding the various actions taken by the Compensation Committee.

Benchmarking

During 2006, to ensure that we were competitive in attracting and retaining executive talent, we benchmarked our executive compensation against a peer group consisting of 14 companies in similar businesses, including mortgage, leasing and financial services companies, and/or of similar size based on total sales and total assets (the Old Peer Group). The Old Peer Group consisted of the following companies:

AMERCO Fisery, Inc. Radian Group, Inc.

American Home Mortgage GATX Corp. Rent-A-Center, Inc.

Investment Corp. Golden West Financial Corp. Ryder System, Inc.

Astoria Financial Corporation IndyMac Bancorp, Inc. Sovereign Bancorp, Inc.

CIT Group Inc. MGIC Investment Corp. Westcorp, Inc.

During 2006, Mercer provided the Compensation Committee with executive compensation information for the Peer Group as well as survey data from multiple national compensation surveys (the Survey Data) in order to

32

Table of Contents

assist in the compensation evaluation due to the unique nature of our business segments and the lack of peer companies with a similar business segment mix for comparison. The Compensation Committee evaluated the base salary, short-term and long-term incentives and actual and target total compensation levels for the Peer Group and Survey Data, including the median and percentile ranges for each compensation component, for comparison with that of our Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee determined that total executive compensation for the Named Executive Officers should be targeted at or slightly above the median of the compensation of the Peer Group in order to be competitive with the compensation structure of the Peer Group and to attract and retain executive talent. These targets may be adjusted based upon the specific responsibilities, experience and performance of each Named Executive Officer as well as other factors in the Compensation Committee s discretion.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee did not undertake any additional benchmarking given the announcement of the Merger. However, during 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee determined that use of the Old Peer Group for benchmarking purposes was no longer appropriate due to certain changes at some of the companies included in the Old Peer Group. Based, in part, upon the recommendations of PwC, the Compensation Committee approved a new peer group during 2008 for purposes of benchmarking our executive compensation (the New Peer Group). The New Peer Group consists of the following 9 companies that, at the time of the establishment of the New Peer Group, were direct competitors of the Company or that the Company competed with for executive talent or investor capital:

AMERCO GATX Corp. Radian Group, Inc.
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.
Flagstar Bancorp Inc.
IndyMac Bancorp, Inc.
MGIC Investment Corp.
Wright Express Corp.

Due to events occurring after the establishment of the New Peer Group, including the bankruptcy filing by IndyMac Bancorp, Inc. following the well-publicized seizure of IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Compensation Committee may elect to revise the New Peer Group in the future to ensure the relevance of the entities included in the New Peer Group.

Components of Executive Compensation

The primary components of the executive compensation arrangements for our Named Executive Officers are base salaries, variable compensation programs and long-term incentive awards.

Base Salaries. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the base salary of our Chief Executive Officer and other Named Executive Officers, which includes the review and approval of annual adjustments to their base salaries. Base salaries are intended to provide a level of cash compensation that is externally competitive in relation to the responsibilities of the executive s position in order to attract and retain executive talent.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee did not undertake a detailed evaluation of the compensation structure of our Named Executive Officers due to the announcement of the Merger and certain restrictions upon compensation matters for the Named Executive Officers pursuant to the Merger Agreement. During 2008, the Compensation Committee evaluated salary levels based upon competitive compensation levels for companies in the New Peer Group, as well as consideration of the nature of each executive officer s position and the contribution, achievement, experience and tenure of each executive officer. No adjustments to the salary levels of the Named Executive Officers were made during 2007 or 2008. The Compensation Committee did, however, take various actions in respect of equity-based awards and severance agreements for certain of the Named Executive Officers. See Long-Term Incentive Awards and Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information regarding the various actions taken by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the annualized base salaries for the Named Executive Officers for 2008.

Name	Title	Annualized Base Salary for 2008
Terence W. Edwards	President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 564,635
Sandra E. Bell	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	400,000(1)
Clair M. Raubenstine	Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1,000,000(2)
George J. Kilroy	Executive Vice President;	
	President and Chief Executive Officer PHH Arval	450,000
Mark R. Danahy	Senior Vice President;	
	President and Chief Executive Officer PHH Mortgage	325,000(3)
William F. Brown	William F. Brown Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; Senior	
	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary PHH Mortgage	300,000

- (1) Ms. Bell joined the Company October 13, 2008, and her annual base salary is \$400,000 per year.
- (2) Mr. Raubenstine resigned from the Company effective October 31, 2008. Prior to his resignation, Mr. Raubenstine s annual base salary was \$1,000,000 per year.
- (3) Effective January 1, 2009, Mr. Danahy s annual base salary was increased by \$50,000 to \$375,000.

Variable Compensation Programs. Our Named Executive Officers may receive additional cash compensation through participation in our annual management incentive plans for PHH, PHH Mortgage and PHH Arval (collectively, the MIPs) that are designed to motivate eligible recipients to achieve our short-term objectives. Generally, each executive officer, except for Mr. Raubenstine during the term of his employment, is eligible to receive an annual cash incentive payout calculated as a percentage of the executive officer s base salary and based upon the achievement of performance targets for consolidated results, operating segment results, individual executive officer performance and/or other performance targets established by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. In order to tie a greater percentage of our executive officer s compensation to the achievement of our annual performance objectives, the target payout percentage of base salary increases as an executive officer s duties and responsibilities within the Company increase.

The Compensation Committee generally sets the performance targets under the MIPs at levels that are considered to be challenging based on historical performance, industry and market conditions, and adjusts such targets each year to coincide with our overall strategy, performance targets and other factors. Since the Spin-Off, the Compensation Committee has established the performance targets for the Named Executive Officers and all MIP eligible employees based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH, PHH Mortgage and/or PHH Arval. Our performance targets are intended to be attainable if our management team provides a strong performance as determined by the Compensation Committee. For the five years prior to 2008, the performance targets established for the MIPs for PHH Mortgage were exceeded in 2003 and 2005 and not achieved in 2004, 2006 and 2007, and the performance targets established for the MIPs for PHH Arval were met in 2003 and exceeded in 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The performance targets for the PHH Corporate MIPs were exceeded in 2005 and not achieved in 2006. Due to the announcement of the Merger that was expected to close on or before December 31, 2007, the Compensation Committee did not approve a PHH Corporate MIP for 2007. See Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information.

During 2008, in consultation with management and PwC, the Compensation Committee approved the 2008 PHH Corporation Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Corporate MIP), the 2008 PHH Arval Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Fleet MIP) and the 2008 PHH Mortgage Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Mortgage MIP) (together, the 2008 MIPs) and established performance targets under each of the 2008 MIPs. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for the year ended December 31, 2008, for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP.

Pursuant to the terms of the 2008 MIPs, in the event that the performance targets were achieved or exceeded, the participating Named Executive Officer would receive a cash payment in an amount equal to the Named

34

Table of Contents

Executive Officer s base salary multiplied by the target payout percentage for such Named Executive Officer multiplied by the percentage by which the performance target for such plan was met or exceeded. Messrs. Edwards and Brown were participants in the 2008 Corporate MIP, but were not participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP or 2008 Mortgage MIP. Mr. Kilroy was a participant in the 2008 Fleet MIP, but was not a participant in the 2008 Corporate MIP or 2008 Mortgage MIP. Mr. Danahy was a participant in the 2008 Mortgage MIP, but was not a participant in the 2008 Corporate MIP or 2008 Fleet MIP. Mr. Raubenstine was not a participant in any of the 2008 MIPs. For 2008, Ms. Bell was not a participant in any of the 2008 MIPs, but instead received a prorated bonus of \$87,671 in accordance with the previously disclosed terms of her employment upon hire.

The table below sets forth the threshold, target and maximum payout percentage of base salary for each of the Named Executive Officers that were participants in one of the 2008 MIPs. During 2007 and 2008, the Compensation Committee did not make any adjustments to the target payout percentages for the Named Executive Officers that were established in 2006.

		Minimum Payout	Threshold Payout	Target Payout	Maximum	
Name	Applicable 2008 MIP Plan	as Percentage of Base Salary	as Percentage of Base Salary	as Percentage of Base Salary	Payout as Percentage of Base Salary	
Terence W. Edwards	2008 Corporate MIP	0%	100%	100%	162.50%	
George J. Kilroy	2008 Fleet MIP	0%	100%	100%	125.00%	
Mark R. Danahy	2008 Mortgage MIP	0%	75%	75%	150.00%	
William F. Brown	2008 Corporate MIP	0%	50%	50%	81.25%	

In 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the 2008 pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage and determined that the performance target under the 2008 Fleet MIP was exceeded and that the performance target under the 2008 Mortgage MIP had not been achieved. As a result, Mr. Kilroy received a payout of \$513,000 under the 2008 Fleet MIP, representing a payout of 114% of Mr. Kilroy s base salary, and Mr. Danahy did not receive any payout under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Based solely on the performance achieved by PHH Arval under the 2008 Fleet MIP, Messrs. Edwards and Brown received payouts under the 2008 Corporate MIP of \$321,842 and \$85,500, respectively, representing payouts as a percentage of base salary of 57% and 28.5%, respectively. Participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP, 2008 Mortgage MIP and 2008 Corporate MIP other than Named Executive Officers received payouts equal to 117%, 50% and 58.5%, respectively, of their respective target payout percentages of base salary. In addition, in recognition of their individual efforts in 2008 and other relevant factors, the Compensation Committee approved discretionary cash bonuses to Messrs. Danahy and Brown in the amount of \$122,132 and \$37,500, respectively. See Summary Compensation Table below and Footnote 5 under Summary Compensation Table below for more information regarding the payouts under the 2008 Corporate MIP, 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP.

Long-Term Incentive Awards. The Compensation Committee administers our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, which provides for equity-based awards, including restricted stock units (RSUs) and options to purchase our common stock (Stock Options). The Compensation Committee considers equity-based awards to our Named Executive Officers an appropriate and effective method of retaining key management employees and aligning their interests with the interests of our stockholders. Eligibility for equity-based awards, the number of shares underlying each award and the terms and conditions of each award are determined by the Compensation Committee upon consultation with management and the Committee s compensation consultant.

On January 10, 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee s compensation consultant, approved the award of RSUs to employees eligible to participate in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including the Named Executive Officers employed by the Company on such date (the 2008 RSU Awards).

35

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the 2008 RSU Awards granted to the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company on January 10, 2008:

2008 RSU Awards

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying RSU Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of RSU Awards	
Terence W. Edwards	1/10/2008	46,458	\$	800,007
Clair M. Raubenstine	1/10/2008	14,518		250,000
George J. Kilroy	1/10/2008	37,021		637,502
Mark R. Danahy	1/10/2008	26,132		449,993
William F. Brown	1/10/2008	26,132		449,993

The 2008 RSU Awards vest ratably in two equal annual installments beginning January 10, 2012, with the potential acceleration of vesting of up to one-third of the total award on each of February 28, 2009, February 28, 2010, and February 28, 2011, provided that the Compensation Committee has approved the achievement of performance targets for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. For Messrs. Edwards and Brown, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Mortgage. Accordingly, if both PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage meet their respective performance targets in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. If only PHH Arval or PHH Mortgage, but not both, meet their respective performance target in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of only 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. For Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 100% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. During the first quarter of 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance target for 2008 was achieved for PHH Arval, but was not achieved for PHH Mortgage. As a result, vesting of 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Messrs. Edwards and Brown and 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Mr. Kilroy was accelerated on March 11, 2009, upon the approval of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has not approved accelerated vesting of any portion of the 2008 RSU Awards held by Mr. Danahy. The 2008 RSU Awards granted to Mr. Raubenstine were forfeited on October 31, 2008, in connection with his resignation of employment.

During 2006 and 2007, the Compensation Committee did not make any grants of equity-based awards under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan due to (i) the announcement of the Merger which was expected to close on or before December 31, 2007 and (ii) the delay in the filing of our financial statements with the SEC, which resulted in our Registration Statement on Form S-8 for our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Form S-8) not being effective until we became a current filer with the SEC on June 28, 2007. See Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information.

In June 2005, the Compensation Committee granted an award of RSUs (the 2005 RSU Awards) and Stock Options (the 2005 Stock Option Awards) to certain of the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company at that time. The Compensation Committee granted awards to Messrs. Danahy and Brown in the form of 2005 RSU Awards. For Messrs. Edwards and Kilroy, the Compensation Committee granted awards in the form of both 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards in order to further tie their compensation to the creation of stockholder value. At the date of grant, the 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards were scheduled to vest ratably, subject to continued employment, in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009, with the potential

acceleration of vesting of 25% of the total 2005 RSU Awards and 25% of the total 2005 Stock Option Awards on each of June 28, 2006, June 28, 2007, June 28, 2008, and June 28, 2009, upon the achievement of certain performance targets established by the Compensation Committee for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. The Compensation Committee establishes these performance targets annually for these awards and certain other equity-based awards with performance-based vesting that were converted from Cendant awards at the time of the Spin-Off. Performance targets for equity-based awards are generally determined in the same manner as performance targets for the various MIPs and, for 2007 and 2008, were based on pre-tax income after minority interest. See

Variable Compensation Programs above for additional information regarding establishing the performance targets and the difficulty in attaining such targets. The performance target for the 2005

36

Table of Contents

RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards was achieved for 2005, but was not achieved for 2006, 2007 or 2008. As a result, 25% of the total 2005 RSU Awards and 25% of the total 2005 Stock Option Awards vested on June 28, 2006, however, settlement of the portion of the 2005 RSU Awards that vested was deferred until January 8, 2008, due to the Blackout Period. Subject to continued employment, the unvested portion of the 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards will vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009.

Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009

2007 Executive Compensation Decisions. During 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee s compensation consultant, Mercer, considered the impact of the Merger on certain executive officers and the need to retain those executive officers through the effective time of the Merger. In June 2007, based on these considerations, in lieu of the adoption of a PHH Corporate MIP for 2007, the Compensation Committee approved a form of retention agreement (the Retention Agreement) in order to create an incentive for certain of our executive officers to remain employed with us through the earlier of the effective time of the Merger or December 31, 2007. The amount of the retention bonus equaled the executive officer s target payout that would have otherwise been established under a MIP expressed as a percentage of base salary, but would be prorated if the effective time of the Merger was prior to December 31, 2007. If a Termination Event (as defined below) occurred prior to the effective time of the Merger, the executive officer covered by a Retention Agreement would also receive the retention bonus. Mr. Brown was the only Named Executive Officer with whom we entered into a Retention Agreement and, pursuant to Mr. Brown s Retention Agreement, he was entitled to a retention bonus of \$150,000, equal to 50% of his base salary and subject to pro-ration as described above, provided that he continued his employment through the earlier of the effective time of the Merger or December 31, 2007. The full retention bonus for Mr. Brown was earned on December 31, 2007, and was paid in the first quarter of 2008.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee also approved severance arrangements for certain executive officers as permitted under the Merger Agreement (the 2007 Severance Agreement) that provided post-termination payments of severance to the executive officer in the event that one of the following termination events occurred on or prior to the first anniversary of the effective time of the Merger: (i) the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in the 2007 Severance Agreement) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment as a result of (a) a change in the required location of the executive officer s employment in excess of 20 miles, (b) the material diminution of the executive officer s duties and responsibilities as of the date of the applicable 2007 Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in the executive officer s base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity as of the date of the applicable 2007 Severance Agreement. The amount of the post-termination payment for each Named Executive Officer that entered into a 2007 Severance Agreement was equal to two times the sum of the Named Executive Officer s base salary and target MIP payout amount. On June 13, 2007, we entered into 2007 Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy and Brown to provide such severance benefits. In the event one of the foregoing termination events had occurred on or prior to the first anniversary of the effective time of the Merger, Messrs. Kilroy and Brown would have been entitled to receive \$1,800,000 and \$900,000, respectively, in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

In August 2007, the Compensation Committee also reviewed and approved the deferral of shares to be issued to the Named Executive Officers to satisfy the settlement of RSUs that vested during 2006 and 2007, which shares could not be issued during the Blackout Period until the earlier of the closing of the Merger or the expiration of the Blackout Period. These RSUs were settled on January 8, 2008. In addition, upon consideration of the impact of the ineffectiveness of the Form S-8 from March 2006 through June 2007 and the additional restrictions on executive officers to exercise Stock Options during the pendency of the Merger, the Compensation Committee, in August 2007, modified the terms of certain Stock Options that were converted from Cendant awards at the time of the Spin-Off and that were held by certain employees, including Mr. Brown, to extend the expiration date for such Stock Options until

the earlier of the closing of the Merger or 30 days after the date that the exercise of such Stock Options would not violate any applicable federal, state or local law. Following the termination of the Merger, the modified Stock Options held by Mr. Brown expired unexercised in 2008.

37

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee s compensation consultant, Mercer, began evaluating potential equity awards to executive officers and other employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. The Compensation Committee reviewed the Company s equity-based awards since the Spin-Off, noting that no equity-based awards had been granted to employees since 2005 and that certain employees had been precluded from realizing the value of previously issued equity-based awards due to the Blackout Period and Merger. In light of these considerations, the Compensation Committee discussed awarding a larger number of equity-based awards during 2008 in order to address the lack of equity-based awards in 2006 and 2007 and to motivate and retain employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated.

2008 Executive Compensation Decisions. In January 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that the Merger had a significant impact on our ability to achieve the 2007 performance targets for certain outstanding RSUs and Stock Option awards. The Compensation Committee noted that, of the equity-based awards subject to annual performance targets, certain awards made in connection with the Spin-Off on February 1, 2005, in order to convert existing Cendant awards of stock options and restricted stock units originally granted in 2004 into awards under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2004 Conversion RSUs and together with the stock options, the 2004 Conversion Awards) were the only outstanding equity awards that would be forfeited in the event the annual performance targets for 2007 were not achieved. Certain other equity-based awards were subject to accelerated vesting in the event that we achieved performance targets for each applicable fiscal year. See Footnote 5 of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for more information regarding the 2004 Conversion RSUs. As a result of its consideration of the impact of the Merger and the recommendation of management and discussions with its compensation consultant, Mercer, the Compensation Committee modified the 2004 Conversion Awards during January 2008 for all recipients, including 2004 Conversion RSUs for Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown, resulting in the vesting of 12.5% of the 2004 Conversion Awards as if the Company had achieved 100% of the performance targets applicable to such awards for fiscal year 2007, provided that they remained employed with us through the vesting date of April 27, 2008. As a result of this amendment, 5,908, 5,908, 3,250 and 2,068 shares vested on April 27, 2008 for Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown, respectively. The remaining 2004 Conversion RSUs held by these Named Executive Officers were forfeited on April 27, 2008. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below and, in particular, Footnote 5 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for more information concerning the partial vesting of the 2004 Conversion RSUs.

In January 2008, the Compensation Committee also approved (i) the amendment and restatement of the 2007 Severance Agreement (the Restated Severance Agreement) for certain executive officers and (ii) the execution of new severance agreements (the New Severance Agreement, and together with the Restated Severance Agreement, the 2008 Severance Agreements) for certain other executive officers. On January 14, 2008, we entered into 2008 Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown. The 2008 Severance Agreements provide post-termination payments of severance in the event that one of the following termination events occurs on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control (as such term is defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009: (i) the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment as a result of (a) a change in the required location of the executive officer s employment in excess of 50 miles, (b) the material diminution of the executive officer s duties and responsibilities as of the date of the applicable 2008 Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in the executive officer s base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity as of the date of the applicable 2008 Severance Agreement. The amount of the post-termination payment for each Named Executive Officer that entered into a 2008 Severance Agreement was intended to represent two times the sum of such Named Executive Officer s base salary and target MIP payout amount in effect as of the date of such 2008 Severance Agreement. In the event of a termination event (as such term is defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) occurring on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009, Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown would be entitled to receive \$1,800,000, \$1,137,500 and \$900,000, respectively,

in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

38

Table of Contents

In February 2008, following a change in personnel at Mercer, the Compensation Committee undertook an evaluation of several executive compensation consultants. Following this evaluation, in February 2008, the Compensation Committee retained PwC to assist it with the evaluation of executive compensation and serve as the Committee s executive compensation consultant in 2008.

In March 2008, as discussed above under Variable Compensation Programs, the Compensation Committee established the 2008 MIPs and approved the performance targets for each of the 2008 MIPs, including the 2008 Corporate MIP, the 2008 Fleet MIP and the 2008 Mortgage MIP. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the attainment of certain pre-tax income after minority interest targets for the year ending December 31, 2008, for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Consistent with past practice, the performance targets for 2008 were intended to be attainable if our management team provided a strong performance as determined by the Compensation Committee. See Variable Compensation Programs above for more information regarding the 2008 MIPs.

In June 2008, following a review by PwC of the composition of peer companies utilized by the Committee in evaluating and benchmarking executive compensation, the Committee determined that the composition of peer companies previously utilized by the Committee in evaluating and benchmarking executive compensation was no longer appropriate due to certain changes at certain of those entities. Based in part on PwC s recommendations, the Committee approved a new peer group of companies for purposes of benchmarking our executive compensation. See Benchmarking above for further information concerning the changes to the peer group utilized by the Committee for executive compensation benchmarking purposes.

In June 2008, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and representatives of PwC, considered the financial impact upon certain employees of the ineffectiveness of the Form S-8 from March 2006 through June 2007 and the additional restrictions imposed on executive officers during the remainder of 2007 pertaining to the exercise of Stock Options that, taken together, effectively precluded such employees from exercising certain previously granted Stock Options prior to their stated expiration, notwithstanding that such Stock Options had previously been modified to extend the original expiration date. In recognition of the value that would have been received by such employees had they been permitted to exercise of such Stock Options during these periods, the Compensation Committee granted to these employees, including Mr. Brown, shares of our common stock under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan with an aggregate grant date fair value that was intended to represent a portion of the value that would have otherwise been realized by such employees upon exercise of such expired Stock Options had such employees not been precluded from exercising such Stock Options during 2006 and 2007. In connection with these actions by the Committee, Mr. Brown was granted 9,447 shares of common stock on June 11, 2008, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$169,290.24.

In October 2008, the Compensation Committee approved the terms and conditions of employment of Ms. Bell, including a Change in Control Severance Agreement with Ms. Bell. Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement provides post-termination payments of severance in the event that one of the following termination events occurs within twelve months following the date of a change in control (as such term is defined in Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009: (i) the involuntary termination of employment of Ms. Bell other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment by Ms. Bell as a result of (a) a change in the required location of Ms. Bell s employment in excess of 50 miles, (b) the material diminution of Ms. Bell s duties and responsibilities as of the date of Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in Ms. Bell s base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity for Ms. Bell as of the date of Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement. In the event of a termination event (as such term is defined in Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement) occurring within

twelve months following the date of a change in control of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009, Ms. Bell would be entitled to receive \$1,600,000, in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

39

Table of Contents

During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, the Committee, with the assistance of PwC, undertook an evaluation of our equity-based award structure in an effort to design a 2009 equity-based award program that would take into consideration the substantial decline in the equity capital markets and the growing perception that recipients of equity-based compensation may ascribe less value to equity-based compensation as a result. The Committee also acknowledged that other companies had recently engaged in the practice of re-pricing of underwater stock options due to the substantial decline in the equity capital markets and the corresponding decline in previously granted stock options, however, the Committee ultimately declined to re-price any of the Company s previously granted stock option awards. The Committee discussed with PwC the desire to design a 2009 equity-based award program that would focus on managing shareholder dilution at an appropriate level, would deliver a higher level of perceived value to award recipients and would align the equity-based award structure with meaningful performance metrics.

2009 Executive Compensation Decisions. In the first quarter of 2009, the Committee continued its work with PwC, with input from management where the Committee deemed it appropriate, on the design of a 2009 equity-based award program that would be consistent with market-competitive practices and that would incentivize management to enhance stockholder value through the achievement of certain business objectives. Based, in part, on PwC s recommendations, the Committee awarded performance unit awards under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan on March 25, 2009 (the 2009 Performance Units), to Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown and Ms. Bell.

The following table sets forth the threshold, target and maximum number of shares issuable upon settlement of the 2009 Performance Units that were awarded to the Named Executive Officers employed by the Company on March 25, 2009:

2009 Performance Unit Awards

		Threshold Number of	Target Number of	Maximum Number of	
Name	Grant Date	Shares Issuable	Shares Issuable	Shares Issuable	
Terence W. Edwards	3/25/2009	22,500	45,000	54,000	
Sandra E. Bell	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000	
George J. Kilroy	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000	
Mark R. Danahy	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000	
William F. Brown	3/25/2009	8,361	16,722	20,066	

Recipients of the 2009 Performance Units will earn shares of the Company s common stock pursuant to the awards in accordance with the percentage by which the Company attains or exceeds the minimum threshold amount of cumulative PHH Corporation pre-tax net income after non-controlling interest (PTIANI) during the target measurement period of January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011. The minimum threshold performance level required for a recipient of a 2009 Performance Unit to earn shares pursuant to such award is 50% of the target amount of cumulative PTIANI during the target measurement period (in which case, such recipient will earn 50% of the target level of shares awarded). Recipients may not earn more than 120% of the target level of shares subject to the award. The Committee has the authority and discretion to exclude the impact of certain extraordinary or unusual accounting adjustments or income/expense items from the calculation of PTIANI during the target measurement period that, in the discretion of the Committee, are reasonably considered to be outside of the control of management. Provided the requisite minimum threshold of PTIANI is satisfied, the 2009 Performance Units will be settled, and shares earned pursuant thereto will be issued, on or after January 1, 2012, and on or before April 30, 2012. No shares will be issued to any recipient of a 2009 Performance Unit whose employment terminates for any reason (other than for death or disability) before January 1, 2012. If a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2005 Equity and Incentive

Plan) occurs during the target measurement period, the performance conditions contained in the 2009 Performance Unit awards will be deemed to be fully achieved and the target number of shares will then be issued to recipients of the 2009 Performance Unit awards that are employed on the date of the change in control.

On March 25, 2009, the Compensation Committee, based in part on PwC s recommendations, also granted non-qualified stock options under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2009 Stock Options) to each of the Company s Named Executive Officers at an exercise price of \$16.548 per share, representing a 20% premium to the closing price of the Company s common stock on the NYSE on March 25, 2009. Subject to continued employment,

40

Table of Contents

the 2009 Stock Options vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning March 25, 2010. If a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan) occurs during the vesting period, the vesting conditions contained in the 2009 Stock Option awards will be deemed to be fully satisfied as of the date of such change in control and the 2009 Stock Options held by persons that are employed by us on the date of such change in control will become immediately exercisable.

The following table sets forth the 2009 Stock Options awarded to the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company on March 25, 2009:

2009 Stock Option Awards

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying 2009 Stock Options	Grant Date Fair Value of 2009 Stock Options	
Terence W. Edwards	3/25/2009	51,993	\$	339,514
Sandra E. Bell	3/25/2009	34,662		226,343
George J. Kilroy	3/25/2009	34,662		226,343
Mark R. Danahy	3/25/2009	34,662		226,343
William F. Brown	3/25/2009	19,320		126,160

In making the awards of 2009 Performance Units, 2009 Stock Options, the Compensation Committee took into consideration, among other things, the need to develop appropriate incentives for the Company's executive officers in light of recent developments in the industries in which the Company operates and in the global equity and credit markets, the lack of equity-based awards during 2006 and 2007 due to the Merger Agreement described above, the lack of base salary increases in 2007 and 2008, and changing compensation practices in the financial services and other industries against which the Company competes for executive talent, customers and capital. The Compensation Committee s objective in making these 2009 awards was to ensure that the compensation of our executive officers is consistent with market-competitive practices and creates appropriate incentives for management to enhance stockholder value through the achievement of certain business objectives without encouraging excessive risk taking that could place the Company s continued existence in jeopardy.

During 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that a mix of RSUs and stock options for the Named Executive Officers was appropriate to focus these individuals on a balance of both underlying financial success as well as share price appreciation. Granting performance-based RSUs is intended to focus these executives on creating financial success at the Company, with payment in equity continuing to focus those efforts on sustainable long-term success. Since these executives have the greatest impact on the Company's strategic direction, and share price appreciation, the Compensation Committee determined that granting a portion of the 2009 long-term incentive award in stock options, which only deliver value to the executives if the share price increases, was appropriate. The Compensation Committee was cognizant of the changes in the capital markets over the past year, and set the exercise price of the stock options at a 20% premium to the closing price on the NYSE of the Company's common stock on the date of grant in order to reflect the Committee's desire to provide value to the Named Executive Officers where they had an impact on increasing the Company's stock price based on successful results, and not merely due to a recovery of the equity capital markets.

During 2009, the Compensation Committee also discussed the value of Mr. Edwards long-term incentive grant opportunity. The Committee believes it is important to provide market-competitive grant opportunities and that awards should ultimately be commensurate with performance. However, Mr. Edwards specifically requested that the Committee provide him with a below-market long-term incentive grant, based upon his desire to drive long-term incentive opportunity to the management team. The Committee agreed to Mr. Edwards request and provided him with a below-market long-term incentive opportunity for 2009.

Retirement Benefits

Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy and Brown are participants in defined benefit plans that were available to all of our employees prior to the Spin-Off, including the PHH Corporation Pension Plan (the PHH Pension Plan) and PHH

41

Table of Contents

Corporation Retiree Medical Plan (the PHH Retiree Medical Plan) (collectively, the Retirement Plans). The benefits payable under these plans have been frozen for the Named Executive Officers and the other plan participants. See Pension Benefits for more information regarding benefits available to the Named Executive Officers under these plans. In addition, all of our Named Executive Officers participate in the PHH Corporation Employee Savings Plan (the PHH Savings Plan) on the same basis as other employees. The PHH Savings Plan is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan that provides for employee contributions made on a pre-tax basis and matching contributions by us based on a portion of the employee s compensation contributed to the PHH Savings Plan up to the statutory limit. During

2008, the matching contribution percentage under the PHH Savings Plan was reduced effective January 1, 2009, from 6% to 4% of the employee s compensation contributed to the PHH Savings Plan up to the statutory limit. See All Other Compensation Table in Footnote 7 under Summary Compensation Table for more information regarding matching contributions to the PHH Savings Plan made on behalf of each Named Executive Officer.

Perquisites

We provide a limited number of perquisites to our Named Executive Officers, which the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program for executive officers and necessary to attract and retain executive talent. Our Named Executive Officers generally are provided with or have use of company vehicles, financial planning services and tax reimbursements on the foregoing perquisites. In addition, Messrs. Raubenstine and Kilroy received fuel costs and tax reimbursements thereon for their company vehicles.

During 2008, in connection with Ms. Bell s relocation from Ohio, we reimbursed or paid on behalf of Ms. Bell airfare, lodging, meals and car service costs to transport Ms. Bell to and from our New Jersey offices and Ms. Bell s residence in Ohio. We also provided Ms. Bell a tax gross-up on certain of these costs so that she incurred no additional taxes as a result of these payments. In addition, prior to his resignation during 2008, Mr. Raubenstine was required to split his time between our New Jersey and Maryland offices due to the nature of his position. While Mr. Raubenstine lived in the greater Philadelphia area, he spent more than 50% of his time in our Maryland offices and, therefore, was treated as being domiciled in Maryland for tax purposes. As a result, certain of his travel, meals and lodging expenses for performing services for us were not deductible business expenses and were recognized as compensation. Due to the frequent travel to both offices, we also provided Mr. Raubenstine with a car service. We reimbursed Mr. Raubenstine for these expenses and provided a tax gross-up so that he incurred no additional taxes as a result of these payments.

See All Other Compensation Table in Footnote 7 under Summary Compensation Table below for more information regarding perquisites for each of the Named Executive Officers.

Change in Control and Other Severance Arrangements

We maintain severance policies that provide post-termination severance benefits in the event of a termination without cause for our executive officers, except to the extent such executive officers have waived their respective rights to severance benefits under the policy pursuant to separate individual severance agreements with such executive officers. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved 2008 Severance Agreements for certain executive officers and employees, including Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown. Pursuant to such 2008 Severance Agreements and subject to certain conditions set forth therein, Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown would be entitled to receive \$1,800,000, \$1,137,500, and \$900,000, respectively, in a lump-sum payment, in the event of their involuntary termination other than for cause or disability or their voluntary termination of employment as a result of relocation, elimination of duties or reduction in compensation, in each case, on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control (as defined in the respective severance agreements) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. In addition, the Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved a Change in Control Severance Agreement for Ms. Bell. Pursuant to Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement and subject to certain conditions set forth therein, Ms. Bell would be entitled to receive \$1,600,000 in a lump-sum payment in the event of her involuntary termination other than for cause or disability or her voluntary termination of employment as a

result of relocation, elimination of duties or reduction in compensation, in each case, within twelve months following a change in control (as defined in Ms. Bell s severance agreement) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. We have not entered into any individual severance agreement with Mr. Edwards. However,

42

Table of Contents

Mr. Edwards and, under certain circumstances, Ms. Bell are entitled to certain post-termination severance benefits under our policy generally applicable to our executive officers, except to the extent such executive officers have waived their respective rights to severance benefits under the policy pursuant to separate individual severance agreements with such executive officers. In accordance with the Company s severance policy for executive officers, Mr. Edwards would be entitled to a lump-sum severance payment equal to 52 weeks of his base salary and \$7,500 in outplacement services in the event of a reduction in our workforce or the elimination or discontinuation of his position and, as of December 31, 2008, Ms. Bell would be entitled to a lump-sum severance payment equal to 26 weeks of her base salary and \$7,500 in outplacement services in the event of a reduction in our workforce or the elimination or discontinuation of her position prior to or in the absence of a change in control (as defined in Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement) of the Company. In addition, all unvested equity-based awards granted to each of the Named Executive Officers under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan generally will become fully and immediately vested and, in the case of stock options, exercisable, upon the occurrence of a change in control transaction (as defined Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment or Change in in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan). See Control below for additional information regarding payments in the event of a change in control or other termination of employment for each Named Executive Officer.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

In accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the deductibility for federal corporate income tax purposes of compensation paid to certain of our individual executive officers in excess of \$1 million in any year may be restricted. The Compensation Committee believes that it is in the best interests of our stockholders to comply with such tax law, while still maintaining the goals of our compensation programs. Accordingly, where it is deemed necessary and in our best interests to attract and retain the best possible executive talent and to motivate such executives to achieve the goals inherent in our business strategy, the Compensation Committee may approve compensation to executive officers that may exceed the limits of deductibility imposed by Section 162(m). In this regard, certain portions of compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers may not be deductible for federal income tax purposes under Section 162(m). Assuming approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan by the Company s stockholders at the 2009 Annual Meeting, it is intended that such plan will be administered in compliance with Section 162(m).

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of outside directors within the meaning of the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, non-employee directors under SEC Rule 16b-3, and independent directors as affirmatively determined by the Board of Directors pursuant to the NYSE Listing Standards. The members of the Compensation Committee are the individuals named as signatories to the Compensation Committee Report set forth above under Compensation Committee Report. None of the members of the Compensation Committee are our former officers or employees.

43

Table of Contents

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The information below sets forth the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our current and former Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2008 (collectively referred to as our Named Executive Officers). The form and amount of the compensation paid or to be paid to our Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2008 was determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

ne and ncipal Position(s)	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) (3)	Option Awards (\$) (4)	Non- Equity Incentive Plan Compen- sation (\$) (5)	Change in Pension Value and Non- qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (6)	All Other Compensation (\$) (7)	Total (\$)
ence W. Edwards	2008	564,635		407,332	135,515	321,842	30,494	67,045	1,526,8
sident and Chief	2007	564,635		79,880	148,091		2,544	48,940	844,0
cutive Officer	2006	564,635		234,757	210,487		13,771	62,485	1,086,1
dra E. Bell cutive Vice	2008	87,671	87,671		8,873			32,288	216,5
sident and ef Financial	2007								
icer	2006								!
r M. Raubenstine mer Executive	2008	753,846		81,325				708,396	1,543,5
e President and ef Financial	2007	1,000,000	36,809					91,990	1,128,7
icer	2006	853,846	213,191					54,302	1,121,3
rge J. Kilroy cutive Vice	2008	450,000	·	402,089	67,441	513,000	45,397	36,130	1,514,0
sident; sident and Chief	2007	450,000		55,833	67,441	521,550		27,568	1,122,3
cutive cer PHH Arval	2006	438,461		185,793	83,316	267,461	10,236	17,285	1,002,5
k R. Danahy	2008	325,000	122,132	192,288	33,258			48,723	721,4
ior Vice President; sident and Chief	2007	325,000		55,121	33,258			40,026	453,4
cutive .cer PHH rtgage	2006	319,943		146,788	33,258			41,203	541,1
liam F. Brown	2008	300,000	37,500	386,771	31,179	85,500	12,650	61,706	915,3

ior Vice President,								
eral	2007	300,000	150,000	49,305	149,743		42,396	691,4
insel and								
porate Secretary	2006	293,846		123,188	31,179	1,403	42,003	491,6

- (1) There were no increases in annual salary for the Named Executive Officers in 2007 or 2008. For Ms. Bell and Mr. Raubenstine, amounts in this column for 2008 represent the salary paid to such persons during the period of their respective employment with the company during 2008.
- (2) For Ms. Bell, amounts in this column reflect a bonus for 2008 service equal to one times her base salary, prorated based on the start date of her employment. Ms. Bell s prorated bonus for 2008 was paid in the first quarter of 2009. For Messrs. Danahy and Brown, amounts in this column for 2008 reflect discretionary bonuses that were paid in the first quarter of 2009 in respect of 2008 service. During 2007, we entered into retention agreements with certain executive officers, including Mr. Brown that provided for retention payments equal to each person s respective MIP target payout level for 2007 payable on the earlier of the closing of the Merger or December 31, 2007. Because the retention payment was earned as of December 31, 2007, Mr. Brown s \$150,000 retention payment has been reflected as a 2007 bonus notwithstanding that Mr. Brown actually received such retention payment during the first quarter of 2008. During 2006, as an inducement to his employment, we agreed to award Mr. Raubenstine shares of our common stock with a value equivalent to \$250,000. During 2006, our intention was to make this grant in two equal installments: the first when we became current in our filing obligations with the SEC and were permitted to issue shares of our common stock from our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan and the second on the later of February 23, 2007 or the date on which we became a current filer with the SEC. Due to the delay in the filing of our financial statements with the SEC and the announcement of the Merger, this stock award was never granted. In 2007, we and Mr. Raubenstine agreed to satisfy this arrangement through a cash payment of \$250,000 which was paid during 2007 upon the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. The amount in this column

44

Table of Contents

for Mr. Raubenstine for 2006 and 2007 reflects the proportion of the total amount of the bonus earned during 2006 and 2007, respectively, on a straight-line basis.

- (3) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount (exclusive of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions) recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to awards to our Named Executive Officers of equity-based compensation in the form of restricted stock units or shares of common stock. There were no awards of equity-based compensation made to our Named Executive Officers during 2006 or 2007. See Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End—for more information regarding outstanding awards of equity-based compensation as of December 31, 2008. See also Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation—in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information, including the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense. During 2008, Messrs. Edwards, Raubenstine, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown forfeited restricted stock units representing 29,540, 14,518, 29,540, 16,247 and 10,339 shares, respectively. Notwithstanding the forfeiture by Mr. Raubenstine during 2008 of the restricted stock units awarded to him on January 10, 2008, the full compensation expense associated with this award is included in this column.
- (4) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount (exclusive of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions) recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to awards to our Named Executive Officers, or modifications of outstanding awards previously made to our Named Executive Officers, of equity-based compensation in the form of stock options. There were no awards of equity-based compensation made to our Named Executive Officers in 2006 or 2007. See Grants of Plan-Based Awards and Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for more information regarding existing awards of equity-based compensation. See also Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information, including the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense.
- (5) For 2008, Messrs. Edwards and Brown were participants in the 2008 Corporate MIP, and Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy were participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP, respectively. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Based on the results of PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage for 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance target for the 2008 Mortgage MIP was not achieved and the performance target for the 2008 Fleet MIP was exceeded. As a result, Mr. Danahy did not receive any payment under the 2008 Mortgage MIP, and Mr. Kilroy received payment under the 2008 Fleet MIP in the amount of \$513,000. Messrs. Edwards and Brown received a partial payment under the 2008 Corporate MIP based upon the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP. During 2007, as a result of the announcement of the Merger with GE, the Compensation Committee did not approve a MIP for PHH Corporation and Messrs. Edwards, Raubenstine and Brown did not receive any MIP awards for 2007. See Components of Executive Compensation Variable Compensation Programs above for more information.
- (6) The amounts in this column reflect the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan and PHH Retiree Medical Plan for each participating Named Executive Officer. Mr. Edwards is a participant in both the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan. Messrs. Kilroy and Brown are participants in the PHH Pension Plan. Ms. Bell and Messrs. Raubenstine and Danahy are not participants in either the PHH Pension Plan or the PHH Retiree Medical Plan. Each of the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan has been frozen and the final average compensation and years of service for each Named Executive Officer participating in the PHH Pension Plan is based on the years of service and compensation earned prior to October 31, 1999 (October 31, 2004 for Mr. Kilroy). During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the

accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan for Mr. Edwards was a decline of \$3,577. During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Retiree Medical Plan for Mr. Edwards was an increase of \$6,121. The aggregate net change for Mr. Edwards during 2007 in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan was \$2,544 and this amount is included in this column for 2007. During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan for Messrs. Kilroy and Brown was a decline of \$1,547 and \$3,424, respectively. Since the aggregate change for

45

Table of Contents

Messrs. Kilroy and Brown were negative, no amounts have been included in this column for 2007 for Messrs. Kilroy and Brown in accordance with applicable SEC rules under the Exchange Act. See Pension Benefits for additional information regarding the benefits accrued for each of these Named Executive Officers and Note 13, Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information regarding the calculation of our pension costs.

(7) Amounts included in this column for 2008 are set forth in the following All Other Compensation table:

All Other Compensation

Name	Insurance Premiums (a)	401(k) Matching Contrib- ution (b)	Financial Planning Services (c)	Company Car and Fuel (d)	Travel, Meals and Lodging (e)	Tax Gross-Up (f)	Other (g)	Total
Terence W.								
Edwards	\$ 17,871	\$ 13,800	\$ 10,840	\$ 12,436	\$	\$ 12,098	\$	\$ 67,045
Sandra E.								
Bell	2,675			1,708	15,217	12,688		32,288
Clair M.								
Raubenstine	2,540	13,800		12,006	22,196	19,561	638,293	708,396
George J.								
Kilroy	9,988	5,192		14,193		6,757		36,130
Mark R.								
Danahy	11,983	13,750	7,671					