UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. Form 10QSB August 13, 2007

> UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

> > FORM 10-QSB

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number 000-20848

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. (Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 65-0231984 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1110 W. Commercial Blvd. Suite 100 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309 (Address of principal executive offices)

> (954) 958-1200 (Issuer's telephone number)

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the last practicable date: 39,057,103 shares of common stock as of August [13], 2007.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format Yes No X

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES as of June 30, 2007 and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Blackman Kallick Bartelstein, LLP

Chicago, Illinois

August 13, 2007

2

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 256,049,954
Real estate, net	3,211,986
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	180,970,635
Reinsurance recoverables and other reinsurance receivable	86,036,298
Premiums and other receivables, net	19,223,252
Property and equipment, net	607 , 620
Deferred income taxes	9,874,117
Other assets	16,222

Total assets

\$ 555,990,084

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

LIABILITIES: Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 52,130,976
Unearned premiums	271,885,614
Deferred ceding commission, net	1,558,077
Accounts payable	1,673,508
Reinsurance payable	101,898,123
Federal and state income taxes payable	19,401,565
Dividends payable	2,446,392
Other accrued expenses	13,279,300
Other liabilities	11,987,103
Loans payable	3,025,940
Long-term debt	25,040,870
Total liabilities	504,327,468
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:	

Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, 138,640 shares issued and outstanding, minimum liquidation preference of \$1,419,700 1,387 Common stock, \$.01 par value, 55,000,000 shares authorized, 39,057,103 shares issued and 35,762,729 shares outstanding 390,572 Common stock in treasury, at cost - 394,374 shares (974,746) Common stock held in trust, at cost - 2,900,000 shares (2, 349, 000)Additional paid-in capital 21,759,615 32,834,788 Retained earnings _____ Total stockholders' equity 51,662,616 _____ \$ 555,990,084 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

3

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 June 30, 2006	
PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES:		
Direct premiums written	\$ 262,563,270	\$ 114,003,676
Ceded premiums written	(185,148,008)	(77,945,774)
Net premiums written	77,415,262	36,057,902
Decrease (increase) in net unearned premiums	5,228,113	(25,079,437)

Premiums earned, net Net investment income Commission revenue Other revenue	82,643,375 5,475,079 9,773,589 110,233	10,978,465 969,183 2,715,592 16,202
Total premiums earned and other revenues	98,002,276	14,679,442
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES Losses and loss adjustment expenses General and administrative expenses	21,304,473	5,974,787 2,041,208
Total operating costs and expenses	46,170,750	8,015,995
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	51,831,526	6,663,447
Income taxes, current Income taxes, deferred	23,105,624 (1,202,361)	
Income taxes, net	21,903,263	1,377,263
NET INCOME		\$ 5,286,184
INCOME PER COMMON SHARE: Basic		\$ 0.15
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC	35,528,000	34,373,000
INCOME PER COMMON SHARE: Diluted	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.14
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING - DILUTED	41,217,000	36,863,000
CASH DIVIDEND DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral pa

4

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

> Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

Net income	\$ 29,928,263
	₹ Z9,9Z0,Z03
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operations: Amortization and depreciation	166,318
Loss on disposal of assets	25,627
Issuance of common stock as compensation	793,508
Deferred taxes	(1,202,361)
Tax benefit on exercise of stock options	(1,143,325)
Net change in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:	
Reinsurance recoverables and other reinsurance receivable	(10,481,569)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	(46,767,461)
Premiums and other receivables	3,687,011
Allowance for doubful accounts	1,384,390
Deferred acquisition costs, net	2,106,116
Other assets	1,502
Reinsurance payable	(958,956)
Deferred ceding commission, net	1,558,077
Other liabilities	7,458,003
Accounts payable	(1,052,541)
Taxes payable	5,529,959
Other accrued expenses	(1,689,970)
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	2,566,462
Unearned premiums	41,539,348
Net cash provided by operating activities	33,448,401
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Capital expenditures	(123,287)
Building improvements	(16,290)
	(100 577)
Net cash used in investing activities	(139,577)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Preferred stock dividend	(24,975)
Issuance of common stock	835,500
Acquisition of treasury stock	(872,926)
Tax benefit on exercise of stock options	1,143,325
Common stock dividend paid	(1,915,354)
Repayments of loans payable	(9,314,737)
Net cash used in financing activities	(10,149,167)
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	23,159,657
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning of period	232,890,297
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, End of period	\$256,049,954
Non-cash items	
Declared dividends payable	\$ 2,446,392
	==========

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of

5

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 -- BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. ("Company"), its wholly owned property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company, and other wholly owned subsidiaries including Atlas Florida Financial Corporation (parent of Atlas Premium Finance Company), Atlas Premium Finance Company, and the Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. Stock Grantor Trust. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") that differ from statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted for insurance companies by regulatory authorities. In addition, the accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Regulation S-B. Accordingly, they omit or condense certain footnotes and other information normally included in financial statements prepared in conformity with GAAP and thus should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of June 30, 2007, the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are unaudited. There were no items comprising comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Accordingly, consolidated statements of comprehensive income are not presented. The significant accounting policies followed for quarterly financial reporting are the same as those disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006, except for the adoption of a new accounting pronouncement as noted below.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The accompanying financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting primarily of normal and recurring accruals and adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

Results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2007.

6

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes -- an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the

accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 prescribes that a company should use a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold based on the technical merits of the tax position taken. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not threshold should be measured in order to determine the tax benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company analyzed its tax positions in accordance with this interpretation and determined that it did not result in a reserve for uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2007. Therefore, no cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle or adjustment to a liability for unrecognized tax benefits was recognized as a result of adoption of FIN 48. As of January 1, 2007, the Company had zero unrecognized tax benefits. Accordingly, the adoption of FIN 48 did not have an effect on the results of operations or financial position of the Company.

In September 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 which redefines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies where other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The effects of adoption will be determined by the types of instruments carried at fair value in the Company's financial statements at the time of adoption. Based on the Company's current use of fair value measurements, SFAS No. 157 is not expected to have a material effect on the results of operations or financial position of the Company.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS 159") which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159 on its financial position, cash flows, and results of operations.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES. Management has reassessed the critical accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's 2006 Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-KSB and determined that no changes, additions or deletions are needed to the policies as disclosed. Also there were no significant changes in the Company's estimates associated with those policies.

7

NOTE 2 -- RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INSURANCE OPERATIONS

UPCIC commenced its insurance activity in February 1998 by assuming policies from the Florida Residential Property and Casualty Joint Underwriting Association ("JUA"). UPCIC received the unearned premiums of the assumed policies and began servicing such policies. Since then, UPCIC has developed its business by actively soliciting business in the open market through independent agents.

Unearned premiums represent amounts that UPCIC would be required to refund policyholders if their policies were canceled. UPCIC determines unearned premiums by calculating the pro-rata amount that would be due to the policyholders at a given point in time based upon the premiums due for the full

policy term. At June 30, 2007, UPCIC had direct unearned premiums totaling \$271,885,614 and in-force premiums of approximately \$510,000,000.

Premiums earned are included in earnings on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies. UPCIC does not have policies that provide for retroactive premium adjustments.

Policy acquisition costs, consisting of commissions and other costs that vary with and are directly related to the production of business, net of ceding commissions, are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies, but only to the extent that unearned premiums are sufficient to cover all related costs and expenses. Deferred policy acquisition costs are reviewed to determine if they are recoverable from unearned premiums, and if not, are charged to expense. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, no amounts of deferred policy acquisition costs were charged to expense as a result of the recoverability testing. As of June 30, 2007, deferred ceding commissions exceeded deferred policy acquisition costs and were recorded as a net liability in the amount of \$1,558,077.

An allowance for doubtful accounts is established when it becomes evident that collection is doubtful, typically after 90 days past due. As of June 30, 2007, UPCIC had recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts in the amount of \$1,993,369.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE"), less related reinsurance, are recorded as claims are incurred. The provision for unpaid loss and LAE includes: (1) the accumulation of individual case estimates for loss and LAE reported, but not paid prior to the close of the accounting period; (2) estimates for incurred but unreported claims based on past experience modified for current trends; and (3) estimates of expenses for investigating and adjusting claims based on past experience.

Management continues to take action to strengthen UPCIC's financial condition. On the Company's Homeowner's program ("HO"), premium rate increases averaging 10.1% and 13.2% statewide were approved by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation ("OIR") and implemented with effective dates in May 2006 and October 2006, respectively. On the Company's Dwelling Fire program ("DP"), premium rate increases averaging 11.2% and 30.6% statewide were also approved by the OIR and implemented with effective dates in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively. Further, a rate decrease averaging 11.1% statewide (HO) and 3.2% statewide (DP) reflecting anticipated reinsurance savings was filed March 15, 2007 and

8

implemented in UPCIC's rates on June 1, 2007 and is subject to approval by the OIR. UPCIC will make a subsequent rate filing by September 30, 2007, reflecting any changes that took place from the June 1, 2007 filing.

In light of the four windstorm catastrophes Florida experienced in 2004, and three windstorm catastrophes Florida experienced in 2005, there was a significant increase in the cost of catastrophe reinsurance coverage for the June 1, 2006 renewal which the Company had anticipated and factored into its policy pricing. Effective May 1, 2004 the Company brought in house the system it utilizes for policy issuance and administration. This has enhanced UPCIC's operating results through its ability to improve and better control underwriting and loss adjusting activities. In addition, UPCIC changed the geographic and coverage mix of the property insurance it writes, which is a key determinant in the amount and pricing of reinsurance procured by UPCIC. Management believes the implementation of, and results attributable to, the actions described above will continue to enhance UPCIC's surplus. However, there can be no assurance of the ultimate success of these plans, or that the Company will be able to maintain profitability.

NOTE 3 -- REINSURANCE

In the normal course of business, UPCIC seeks to reduce the loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses are accounted for on bases consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance ceding commissions received are deferred and netted against policy acquisition costs and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.

UPCIC limits the maximum net loss that can arise from large risks or risks in concentrated areas of exposure by reinsuring (ceding) certain levels of risks with other insurers or reinsurers, either on an automatic basis under general reinsurance contracts known as "treaties" or by negotiation on substantial individual risks. The reinsurance arrangements are intended to provide UPCIC with the ability to maintain its exposure to loss within its capital resources. Such reinsurance includes quota share, excess of loss and catastrophe forms of reinsurance. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces UPCIC's risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces UPCIC's potential for greater profits should such catastrophic events fail to occur. The Company submits the UPCIC reinsurance program for regulatory review to the OIR.

In light of the four windstorm catastrophes Florida experienced in 2004, and three windstorm catastrophes Florida experienced in 2005, there was a significant increase in catastrophe reinsurance cost for the June 1, 2006 renewal which the Company had planned and factored into its policy pricing. Effective June 1, 2006, UPCIC reduced the rate of cession on its quota share reinsurance. Quota share reinsurance is used primarily to increase UPCIC's underwriting capacity and to reduce exposure to losses. Quota share reinsurance refers to a form of reinsurance under which the reinsurer participates in a specified percentage of the premiums and losses on all reinsured policies in a given class of business. As a result of this reduction of UPCIC's quota share reinsurance from 80% to 50%, UPCIC has retained and earned more premiums UPCIC

9

writes, but has also retained more related losses. UPCIC's increased exposure to potential losses could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operations of UPCIC and the Company. During 2006 and the six months ended June 30, 2007, UPCIC did not experience any catastrophic events.

Effective June 1, 2007, UPCIC entered into a quota share reinsurance treaty and excess per risk agreements with various reinsurers. Under the quota share treaty, through May 31, 2008, UPCIC cedes 50% of its gross written premiums, losses and LAE for policies with coverage for wind risk with a ceding commission payable to UPCIC equal to 31% of ceded gross written premiums. In addition, the quota share treaty has a limitation for any one occurrence of 40% of gross premiums earned, not to exceed \$100,000,000 (of which UPCIC's net liability in a first event scenario is \$45,000,000, in a second event scenario is \$9,300,000 and in a third event scenario is \$9,300,000) and a limitation from losses arising out of events that are assigned a catastrophe serial number by the Property Claims Services ("PCS") office of 112% of Gross Premiums Earned, not to exceed \$280,000,000. Effective June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008, UPCIC entered into a multiple line excess per risk agreement with various reinsurers. Under the multiple line excess per risk agreement, UPCIC obtained coverage of

\$1,300,000 in excess of \$500,000 ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1,000,000 in excess of \$300,000 for each casualty loss. A \$5,200,000 aggregate limit applies to the term of the contract. Effective June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008, UPCIC entered into a property per risk excess agreement covering ex-wind only policies. Under the property per risk excess agreement, UPCIC obtained coverage of \$300,000 in excess of \$200,000 for each property loss. A \$2,100,000 aggregate limit applies to the term of the contract.

Effective June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008, under an excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of \$576,000,000 in excess of \$100,000,000 covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The contract contains a provision for one reinstatement in the event coverage is exhausted; additional premium is calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time. Effective June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008, UPCIC purchased a reinstatement premium protection contract which reimburses UPCIC for its cost to reinstate the catastrophe coverage of the first \$426,000,000 in excess of \$100,000,000. Effective June 1, 2007, UPCIC also obtained subsequent catastrophe event excess of loss reinsurance to cover certain levels of UPCIC's net retention through three catastrophe events including hurricanes. UPCIC also obtained coverage from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF"). The approximate coverage is estimated to be for 90% of \$1,071,600,000 in excess of \$209,900,000. Also at June 1, 2007, the FHCF made available, and UPCIC obtained, 10,000,000 of additional catastrophe excess of loss coverage with one free reinstatement of coverage to carriers qualified as Limited Apportionment Companies or companies that participated in the Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program, such as UPCIC. This particular layer of coverage is \$10,000,000 in excess of \$18,600,000. UPCIC also purchased Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund Recovery Shortfall Reinsurance in the event FHCF cannot fulfill its payment obligations for the 2007-2008 Hurricane Season.

The total cost of UPCIC's underlying catastrophe private reinsurance program effective June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008 is \$113,178,000 of which UPCIC's cost is 50%, or \$56,589,000, and the quota share reinsurers' cost is the remaining 50%. In addition, UPCIC purchases reinsurance premium protection as described above which amounts to \$14,213,402 of cost. The cost of subsequent

10

event catastrophe reinsurance is \$11,184,125. The estimated premium UPCIC plans to cede to the FHCF for the 2007 hurricane season is \$43,100,000 of which UPCIC's cost is 50%, or \$21,550,000, and the quota share reinsurers' cost is the remaining 50%. UPCIC is also participating in the additional coverage option for Limited Apportionment Companies or companies that participated in the Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program offered by the FHCF, the premium for which is \$5,000,000 of which UPCIC's cost is 50%, or \$2,500,000, and the quota share reinsurers' cost is the remaining 50%. The total cost of UPCIC's Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund Recovery Shortfall Reinsurance is \$7,715,520, of which UPCIC's cost is 50%, or \$3,857,760.

Effective June 1, 2007, the Company obtained \$30,000,000 of coverage via a catastrophe risk-linked transaction product in the event UPCIC's catastrophe coverage and FHCF coverage are exhausted. The total cost of the Company's risk-linked transaction product is \$3,525,000.

UPCIC is responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by UPCIC's reinsurance program which could have a material adverse effect on the UPCIC's and the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The reinsurance arrangements had the following effect on certain items in the accompanying consolidated financial statements:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007			Six Ju	
	Premiums Written 	Premiums Earned 	Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses	Premiums Written 	
Direct Ceded	262,563,270 (185,148,008)	221,023,922 (138,380,547)	48,700,037 (23,833,760)	\$114,003,676 (77,945,774)	\$ (
Net	77,415,262	82,643,375	24,866,277	\$ 36,057,902	 \$ ===
		e Months Ended ne 30, 2007			Three Jun
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned 	Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses	Premiums Written	
Direct Ceded	131,573,917 (91,071,965)	120,510,374 (77,305,262)	25,333,276 (12,835,733)	\$ 77,159,159 (45,963,214)	\$ (
Net	40,501,952	43,205,112	12,497,543	\$31,195,945	

OTHER AMOUNTS:

June 30,
40,869 45,167
\$86,036 ======

11

===

UPCIC's reinsurance contracts do not relieve UPCIC from its obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to UPCIC; consequently, allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible. No allowance is deemed necessary at June 30, 2007. UPCIC evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities, or economic characteristics of the reinsurers to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. UPCIC currently has reinsurance contracts with various reinsurers located throughout the United States and internationally. UPCIC believes that ceding risks to reinsurers whom it considers to be financially sound combined with the distribution of reinsurance contracts to an array of reinsurers adequately minimizes UPCIC's risk from any potential operating difficulties of its reinsurers.

UPCIC may also be subject to assessments by Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("Citizens"), the state-run insurer of last resort and the FHCF as a

result of operating deficiencies related to windstorm catastrophes. In addition, the Company is subject to assessments by the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association ("FIGA"). FIGA services pending claims by or against Florida policyholders of member insurance companies which become insolvent and are ordered liquidated. FIGA's membership is composed of all Florida licensed direct writers of property or casualty insurance. Under current regulations, insurers may recoup the amount of their assessments from policyholders, or in some cases collect the amount of the assessments from policyholders as surcharges for the benefit of the assessing entity.

On June 12, 2006, the OIR ordered an emergency FHCF assessment of 1% of direct premiums written for policies with effective dates beginning January 1, 2007, which UPCIC is currently collecting from policyholders, as the assessment is to policyholders, not UPCIC. This assessment was a result of catastrophe losses Florida experienced in 2004 and 2005. The assessments collected from policyholders are remitted to FHCF quarterly.

On September 14, 2006, the Board of Governors of Citizens authorized the levying of a regular assessment on assessable insurers to recoup the 2005 Plan Year Deficit incurred in the High Risk Account. The assessment is based upon UPCIC's share of direct written premium for the subject lines of business in the State of Florida for the calendar year preceding the plan year in which the deficit occurred. UPCIC's participation in this assessment totaled \$263,650. Pursuant to Florida statutes, insurers are permitted to recoup the assessment by adding a surcharge to policies in an amount not to exceed the amount paid by the insurer to Citizens. As a result, UPCIC recorded this assessment as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2006 and is implementing the recoupment in connection with this assessment in 2007.

During its meeting on December 14, 2006, the Board of Directors of FIGA determined the need for an emergency assessment upon its member companies. The Board decided on an emergency assessment on member companies of 2% of the Florida net direct premiums for the calendar year 2005. Based on the 2005 net direct premiums of \$11.2 billion, this would generate approximately \$225 million. UPCIC's participation in this assessment totaled \$1,772,861. Pursuant to Florida statutes, insurers are permitted to recoup the assessment by adding a surcharge to policies in an amount not to exceed the amount paid by the insurer to FIGA. As a result, UPCIC recorded this assessment as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2006 and is implementing the recoupment in connection with this assessment in 2007.

12

NOTE 4 -- EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share ("EPS") amounts are calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, EARNINGS PER SHARE. Basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period, excluding any dilutive common share equivalents. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities to issue common stock were exercised.

A reconciliation of shares used in calculating basic and diluted EPS for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, follows:

Six Months Ended

June 30, 2007

Number of shares used in calculating basic EPS	35,528,000
Effect of assumed conversion of common stock equivalents	5,689,000
1	
Number of shares used in calculating diluted EPS	41,217,000

Three Months Ended

	June 30, 2007
Number of shares used in calculating basic EPS	35,577,000
Effect of assumed conversion of common stock	5,274,000
equivalents	
Number of shares used in calculating diluted EPS	40,851,000

NOTE 5 -- STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS AND WARRANTS

Effective January, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (R), "Share-Based Payments," and began recognizing compensation expense in its Consolidated Statements of Operations for its stock option grants based on the fair value of the awards. Prior to January 1, 2006 the Company elected to apply Accounting Principles Board ("APB") No. 25, ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK ISSUED TO EMPLOYEES, and related interpretations in accounting for its stock options granted to employees and directors, and SFAS No. 123 ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION, for its stock options granted to non-employees. Under APB No. 25, because the exercise price of the Company's employee and director stock options equal the market price of underlying stock on the date of the grant, no compensation expense was recognized. The Company expensed the fair value (determined as of the grant date) of options and warrants granted to non-employees in accordance with SFAS No. 123. SFAS 123 (R) was adopted using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized in the periods after adoption includes (i) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provision of SFAS 123, and (ii) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123 (R). Results from prior periods have not been restated. As a result of adopting SFAS 123 (R), the Company's income before income taxes and net income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 are \$679,911 and \$407,945

13

lower, respectively, and for the three months ended June 30, 2007 are \$22,089 and \$13,253 lower, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB 25. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued common stock valued at \$925,000 as compensation. This expense is being recognized over a three-year vesting period which commenced March 14, 2007.

At June 30, 2007, there were options outstanding to purchase 5,530,000 shares of common stock with an intrinsic value of \$28,020,000, a weighted average remaining contract term of 3.34 years and a weighted exercise price of \$1.13. Of the total options outstanding, options to purchase 3,780,000 shares of common stock are currently exerciseable with an intrinsic value of \$19,025,000, a weighted average remaining contract term of 3.71 years, and a weighted exercise price of \$1.16. Options to purchase 435,000 shares of common stock were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2007 at a weighted average exercise price of \$3.70. There were 750,000 options exercised during the six months ended June

30, 2007. On July 12, 2007, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,575,000 shares of common stock to the Company's directors and executive officers. All of the options granted on July 12, 2007 vest on July 12, 2008, have an exercise price of \$6.50 per share, and expire on July 12, 2012. The options granted to Bradley I. Meier, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, and to Sean P. Downes, the Company's Chief Operating Officer and Senior Vice President, shall only be exercisable on such date or dates as the fair market value (as defined in their respective option agreements) of the Company's common stock is and has been at least one hundred fifty percent (150%) of the exercise price for the previous twenty (20) consecutive trading days.

At June 30, 2007, there were warrants outstanding and currently exercisable to purchase 850,000 shares of common stock with an intrinsic value of \$4,659,500, a weighted average remaining contract term of 2.99 years and a weighted average exercise price of \$0.72. There were no warrants exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

The Company estimated the fair value of all stock options awards as of the grant date by applying the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The use of this valuation model involves assumptions that are judgmental and highly sensitive in the determination of compensation expense and include the expected life of the option, stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, exercise price, and forfeiture rate. Under SFAS 123(R), forfeitures are estimated at the time of valuation and reduce expense ratably over the vesting period. The forfeiture rate is adjusted periodically based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from the previous estimate. Under SFAS 123 and APB 25, the Company elected to account for forfeitures when awards were actually forfeited and reflect the forfeitures as a cumulative adjustment to the pro forma expense.

The fair value of options granted in 2007 was estimated assuming the following: weighted average expected life of 2.5 years, dividend yield of 4.0 percent, risk-free interest rate of 4.76 percent, and expected volatility of 65.4 percent. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), fair values of options granted prior to adoption and determined for purposes of disclosure under SFAS 123 have not been changed. The fair values of options granted prior to adoption of SFAS 123(R) was estimated assuming the following: weighted average expected life of five years, dividend yield of 0.0 percent, risk-free interest rate of 6.5 percent, and expected volatility of 154.5 percent and 126.3 percent for grants issued in 2004 and 2002, respectively.

14

NOTE 6 -- RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

All underwriting, rating, policy issuance, reinsurance negotiations and administration functions for UPCIC are performed by UPCIC, Universal Risk Advisors, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and unaffiliated third parties. Claims adjusting functions are performed by Universal Adjusting Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and affiliated and unaffiliated third parties.

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performs certain claims adjusting work for UPCIC. Downes and Associates is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, Chief Operating Officer and Senior Vice President of UPCIC. During the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company expensed claims adjusting fees of \$540,000 and \$496,000, respectively, to Downes and Associates.

In September 2006, the Company initiated the process of acquiring all of the outstanding common stock of Atlas Florida Financial Corporation, which owned all

of the outstanding common stock of Sterling Premium Finance Company, Inc. ("Sterling"), from the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer for \$50,000, which approximated Sterling's book value. The Company intends to seek approval of the acquisition from the OIR during the third quarter of 2007. Sterling has been renamed Atlas Premium Finance Company.

NOTE 7 -- STOCK ISSUANCES

On March 14, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$3.70 per share to an employee in consideration for services rendered pursuant to the terms of an employee agreement. The shares will vest over a three year period. On May 1, 2007, the Company issued 22,143 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.87 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to James M. Lynch, CFO of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Lynch's exercise of stock options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 387,234 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Norman M. Meier, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Meier's exercise of stock options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 77,447 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Joel Wilentz, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Wilentz's exercise of stock options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 77,447 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Reed Slogoff, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Slogoff's exercise of stock options. Unless otherwise specified, such as in the case of the exercise of stock options or warrants, the per share prices were determined using the closing price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board or the AMEX and the shares were issued in private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

NOTE 8 -- PROVISION FOR INCOME TAX EXPENSE

A provision for income tax expense of \$21,903,263 was recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as a result of current profitable operations. A provision for income tax expense of \$1,377,263 was recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2006 due to operating loss carry-forwards that existed at that time.

15

NOTE 9 -- SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 12, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$.08 per share on its Common Stock. The dividend is payable on October 22, 2007 to stockholders of record as of September 27, 2007.

On July 12, 2007, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,575,000 shares of common stock to the Company's directors and executive officers. All of the options granted on July 12, 2007 vest on July 12, 2008, have an exercise price of \$6.50 per share, and expire on July 12, 2012. The options granted to Bradley I. Meier, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, and to Sean P. Downes, the Company's Chief Operating Officer and Senior Vice President, shall only be exercisable on such date or dates as the fair market value (as defined in their respective option agreements) of the Company's common stock is and has been at least one hundred fifty percent (150%) of the exercise price for the previous twenty (20) consecutive trading days.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis by management of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in

conjunction with the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements made by the Company's management may be considered to be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Reform Litigation Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based on various factors and assumptions that include known and unknown risks and uncertainties. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," and "project," and similar expressions, identify forward-looking statements may include, but not be limited to, projections of revenues, income or loss, expenses, plans, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. Future results could differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements as a result of the risks set forth in the following discussion and in the section below entitled "Factors Affecting Operation Results and Market Price of Stock," among others.

OVERVIEW

The Company is a vertically integrated insurance holding company. The Company, through its subsidiaries, is currently engaged in insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. UPCIC generates revenue from the collection and investment of premiums. The Company's agency operations, which include Universal Florida Insurance Agency and Coastal Homeowners Insurance Specialists, Inc., generate income from commissions. Universal Risk Advisors, Inc., the Company's managing general agent, generates revenue through policy fee income and other administrative fees from the marketing of UPCIC's and third-party insurance products through the Company's distribution network and UPCIC. Universal Risk

16

Life Advisors, Inc. was formed to be the Company's managing general agent for life insurance products. In addition, the Company has formed an independent claims adjusting company, Universal Adjusting Corporation, which adjusts UPCIC claims, and an inspection company, Universal Inspection Corporation, which performs property inspections for homeowners' policies underwritten by UPCIC.

UPCIC is in the process of preparing Certificate of Authority (COA) applications to write homeowners insurance policies in five additional states. Those states are Texas, Hawaii, Georgia, South Carolina and North Carolina. UPCIC has notified the Florida OIR that it intends to file COA applications in the additional states.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2007 aggregated \$256,049,954. The source of liquidity for possible claims payments consists of the collection of net premiums after deductions for expenses, reinsurance recoverables and short-term loans.

The Company believes that premiums will be sufficient to meet the Company's working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months. The Company's policy is to invest amounts considered to be in excess of current working capital requirements. At June 30, 2007, the Company's investments were comprised of \$218,485,216 in cash and overnight repurchase agreements, \$37,564,738 in a money market account, and \$3,211,986 in real estate consisting of a building

purchased by UPCIC that the Company is currently using as its home office.

Policies originally assumed from the Florida Residential Property and Casualty Joint Underwriting Association ("JUA") provided the opportunity for UPCIC to solicit future renewal premiums. UPCIC does not expect to assume additional policies from the JUA. As of June 30, 2007, UPCIC was servicing approximately 341,000 homeowners' and dwelling fire insurance policies and in-force premiums of approximately \$510,000,000.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Gross premiums written increased 130.3% to \$262,563,270 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$114,003,676 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase in gross premiums written is primarily attributable to an increase in new business as well as premium rate increases. The increase in new business is attributable to improving relationships with existing agents, an increase in new agents due to increased marketing efforts to agents, a new web-based policy administration platform and the disruption in the marketplace as a result of the windstorm catastrophes in 2004 and 2005.

Net premiums earned increased 652.8% to \$82,643,375 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$10,978,465 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase is due to an increase in new business, premium rate increases and changes in the reinsurance program as described in Note 3 - Reinsurance.

Investment income increased 464.9% to \$5,475,079 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$969,183 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase is primarily due to higher investment balances and a higher interest rate environment during the six-month period ended June 30, 2007.

17

Commission revenue increased 259.9% to \$9,773,589 for six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$2,715,592 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Commission revenue is comprised principally of the managing general agent's policy fee income and service fee income on all new and renewal insurance policies, reinsurance commission sharing agreements, and commissions generated from agency operations. The increase is primarily attributable to an increase in managing general agent's policy fee income and a greater amount of reinsurance commission sharing.

Other revenue increased to \$110,233 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$16,202 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006.

Net losses and LAE incurred increased 316.2% to \$24,866,277 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$5,974,787 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Losses and LAE incurred increased as a result of increased premium volume and changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. The Company's net loss ratio for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 was 30.1% compared to 54.4% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. Losses and LAE are influenced by loss severity and frequency. Losses and LAE, the Company's most significant expenses, represent actual payments made net of reinsurance and changes in estimated future net payments to be made to or on behalf of its policyholders, including expenses required to settle claims and losses.

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business which may contribute to material year-to-year fluctuations in UPCIC's and the Company's results of operations and financial position. During 2006 and the six months ended June 30, 2007, UPCIC did not experience any catastrophic events.

The level of catastrophe loss experienced in any year cannot be predicted and could be material to the results of operations and financial position. While management believes UPCIC's and the Company's catastrophe management strategies will reduce the severity of future losses, UPCIC and the Company continue to be exposed to catastrophic losses.

General and administrative expenses increased 943.7% to \$21,304,473 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$2,041,208 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase in general and administrative expenses was due to several factors. Commission expense increased by approximately \$19,400,000 due to the increase in direct written premium. The increase in commission expense was offset by an increase in ceding commissions of approximately \$22,000,000 due to an increase in ceded written premium and a higher commission rate. Ceded written premium increased due to the increase in direct written premium as well as changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. Compensation expense increased nearly \$6,400,000 as the Company hired additional staff and increased incentive compensation in order to retain existing staff to support the substantial growth of the Company. Inspection expense increased approximately \$500,000 due to the increase in the number of policies underwritten. General and administrative expenses increased approximately \$3,400,000 due to the purchase of a catastrophe risk-linked transaction product that protects the Company from catastrophic losses. There was an increase in expenses in the approximate amount of \$5,600,000 for the change in deferred policy acquisition costs, net of deferred ceding commissions, which relates to an increase in direct and ceded unearned premiums as well as changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. Premium taxes increased nearly \$2,200,000 as a result of the increase in direct written

18

premium. Expenses related to assessments decreased approximately \$1,800,000 as there were no assessments incurred by UPCIC during the first six months of 2007. As UPCIC's balance of premiums receivable increased as a result of the increase in production, the Company recorded an additional allowance for doubtful accounts in the approximate amount of \$1,400,000. Interest expense increased approximately \$1,100,000 due to outstanding debt balances on the Florida State Board of Administration surplus note and Benfield Greig (Holdings), Inc. secured promissory note described in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section below. Other general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$3,100,000 primarily due to increases in premium volume.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Gross premiums written increased 70.5% to \$131,573,917 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$77,159,159 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase in gross premiums written is primarily attributable to an increase in new business as well as premium rate increases. The increase in new business is attributable to improving relationships with existing agents, an increase in new agents due to increased marketing efforts to agents, a new web-based policy administration platform and the disruption in the marketplace as a result of the windstorm catastrophes in 2004 and 2005.

Net premiums earned increased 545.5% to \$43,205,112 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$6,692,879 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase is due to an increase in new business, premium rate increases and changes in the reinsurance program as described in Note 3 - Reinsurance.

Investment income increased 369.7% to \$2,748,858 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$585,216 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase is primarily due to higher investment balances and a higher

interest rate environment during the three-month period ended June 30, 2007.

Commission revenue increased 321.1% to \$7,420,733 for three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$1,762,219 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. Commission revenue is comprised principally of the managing general agent's policy fee income and service fee income on all new and renewal insurance policies, reinsurance commission sharing agreements, and commissions generated from agency operations. The increase is primarily attributable to greater reinsurance commission sharing and to an increase in managing general agent's policy fee income.

Other revenue increased to \$58,531 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$9,853 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006.

Net losses and LAE incurred increased 171.9% to \$12,497,543 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$4,597,154 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. Losses and LAE incurred increased as a result of increased premium volume and changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. The Company's net loss ratio for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 was 28.9% compared to 68.7% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. Losses and LAE are influenced by

19

loss severity and frequency. Losses and LAE, the Company's most significant expenses, represent actual payments made net of reinsurance and changes in estimated future net payments to be made to or on behalf of its policyholders, including expenses required to settle claims and losses.

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business which may contribute to material year-to-year fluctuations in UPCIC's and the Company's results of operations and financial position. During the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, UPCIC did not experience any catastrophic events. The level of catastrophe loss experienced in any year cannot be predicted and could be material to the results of operations and financial position. While management believes UPCIC's and the Company's catastrophe management strategies will reduce the severity of future losses, UPCIC and the Company continue to be exposed to catastrophic losses.

General and administrative expenses increased 337.7% to \$11,292,398 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 from \$2,579,902 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006. The increase in general and administrative expenses was due to several factors. Commission expense increased by approximately \$7,800,000 due to the increase in direct written premium. The increase in commission expense was offset by an increase in ceding commissions of approximately \$13,200,000 due to an increase in ceded written premium and a higher commission rate. Ceded written premium increased due to the increase in direct written premium as well as changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. Compensation expense increased nearly \$2,700,000 as the Company hired additional staff and increased incentive compensation in order to retain existing staff to support the substantial growth of the Company. General and administrative expenses also increased approximately \$3,600,000 due to the purchase of a catastrophe risk-linked transaction product that protects the Company from catastrophic losses. There was an increase in expenses in the approximate amount of \$5,900,000 for the change in deferred policy acquisition costs, net of deferred ceding commissions, which relates to an increase in direct and ceded unearned premiums as well as changes in UPCIC's reinsurance program. Premium taxes increased nearly \$1,100,000 as a result of the increase in direct written premium. Expenses related to assessments decreased approximately \$1,800,000 as there were no assessments incurred by UPCIC during the second quarter of 2007. As the UPCIC's balance of premiums receivable increased as a result of the increase in production, the Company recorded an additional allowance for

doubtful accounts in the approximate amount of \$400,000. Interest expense increased approximately \$500,000 due to outstanding debt balances on the Florida State Board of Administration surplus note and Benfield Greig (Holdings), Inc. secured promissory note described in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section below. Other general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$1,700,000 primarily due to increases in premium volume

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

OVERVIEW

The Company's primary sources of cash flow are the receipt of premiums, commissions, policy fees, investment income, reinsurance recoverables and short-term loans.

20

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, cash flows provided by operating activities were \$33,448,401. Cash flows from operating activities are expected to be positive in both the short-term and reasonably foreseeable future. In addition, the Company's investment portfolio is highly liquid as it consists entirely of cash, overnight repurchase agreements and a money market account.

The Company believes that its current capital resources are sufficient to support current operations and expected growth for at least twelve months.

COMPANY BORROWINGS

In June 2005, the Company borrowed monies from two private investors and issued two promissory notes for the aggregate principal sum of \$1,000,000 payable in 10 monthly installments of \$100,000. Payment on one note commenced in July 31, 2006 and commenced on the other note on November 30, 2006. As of March 28, 2007, these loans were fully paid. The loan proceeds were subsequently contributed to UPCIC as additional paid-in-capital. In conjunction with the notes, the Company granted a warrant to one of the investors to purchase 200,000 shares of restricted common stock at an exercised price of \$.05 per share, expiring in June 2010. These transactions were approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

In order to improve the Company's financial position and achieve profitable operations, management has implemented rate increases for new and renewal business, has restructured the homeowners' coverage offered, has restructured its catastrophic reinsurance coverage to reduce cost, and has worked to control general and administrative expenses. However, there can be no assurance of the ultimate success of these plans, or that the Company will be able to maintain profitability.

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the Florida State Board of Administration under Florida's Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program. Under the program, which was implemented by the Florida legislature to encourage insurance companies to write additional residential insurance coverage in Florida, the State Board of Administration matched UPCIC's funds of \$25.0 million that were earmarked for participation in the program. The surplus note brings the current capital and surplus of UPCIC to approximately \$82.5 million. The \$25.0 million is invested in a treasury money market account.

The surplus note has a twenty-year term and accrues interest at a rate equivalent to the 10-year U.S. Treasury Bond Rate, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC is required to pay interest only, although principal payments can be made during this period. Any payment of principal or

interest by UPCIC on the surplus note must be approved by the Commissioner of Florida's OIR.

An event of default will occur under the surplus note if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) fails to meet at least a 2:1 ratio of net premium to surplus ("Minimum Writing Ratio") requirement by June 1, 2007; (iii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iv) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (v) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (vi) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; or (vii) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus

21

note. As of June 30, 2007, the Company is in compliance with each of the aforementioned loan covenants except for the Minimum Writing Ratio.

If UPCIC fails to increase its writing ratio for two consecutive quarters prior to June 1, 2007, fails to obtain the 2:1 Minimum Writing Ratio by June 1, 2007, or drops below the 2:1 Minimum Writing Ratio once it is obtained for two consecutive quarters, the interest rate on the surplus note will increase during such deficiency by 25 basis points if the resulting writing ratio is between 1.5:1 and 2:1 and the interest rate will increase by 450 basis points if the writing ratio is below 1.5:1. If the writing ratio remains below 1.5:1 for three consecutive quarters after June 1, 2007, UPCIC must repay a portion of the surplus note so that the Minimum Writing Ratio will be obtained for the following quarter.

To meet its matching obligation under the Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program, on November 3, 2006, the Company entered into a Secured Promissory Note with Benfield Greig (Holdings), Inc. in the aggregate principal amount of \$12 million. Interest on the note accrues at the rate of 12.75% per annum. The outstanding principal is due in six monthly installments of \$1.5 million and a final seventh monthly installment of the remaining balance plus all accrued interest under the terms of the note starting on January 31, 2007 and ending on July 31, 2007. In connection with the loan, the Company and its subsidiaries appointed Benfield Inc. as their reinsurance intermediary for all of their reinsurance placements for the contract year beginning on June 1, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, the Company had made the first six payments in accordance with the Note. Final payment was made on July 18, 2007.

There can be no assurance that the above described transactions will be sufficient to ensure UPCIC's future compliance with Florida insurance regulations, or that the Company will be able to maintain profitability. Failure by UPCIC to maintain the required level of statutory capital and surplus could result in the suspension of UPCIC's authority to write new or renewal business, other regulatory actions or ultimately, in the revocation of UPCIC's certificate of authority by the OIR.

AVAILABLE CASH

The balance of cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2007 is \$256,049,954, most of which is available to pay claims. A portion of those paid losses would be recoverable through the Company's catastrophic reinsurance upon presentation to the reinsurer of evidence of claim payment.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America differ in some respects from reporting practices prescribed or permitted by the OIR. To retain its certificate of authority, the Florida insurance laws and regulations require that UPCIC maintain capital and surplus equal to the statutory minimum capital and surplus requirement defined in the Florida Insurance Code as the

greater of 10% of the insurer's total liabilities or \$4,000,000. UPCIC's statutory capital and surplus was \$82,678,719 at June 30, 2007 and exceeded the minimum capital and surplus requirements. UPCIC is also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios.

The maximum amount of dividends which can be paid by Florida insurance companies without prior approval of the OIR Commissioner is subject to restrictions relating to statutory surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by UPCIC

22

without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or 10.0% of statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. Statutory unassigned surplus (deficit) at December 31, 2006 was \$(1,088,159).

The Company is required to comply with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' ("NAIC") Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2006, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, the Company's reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements.

CASH DIVIDENDS

On March 15, 2007, the Company declared a dividend of \$.07 per share on its outstanding common stock to be paid on August 10, 2007 to the shareholders of record of the Company at the close of business on July 20, 2007. The dividend payable as of June 30, 2007 in the amount of \$2,446,392 was subsequently paid on August 10, 2007. During the second quarter, the Company paid a dividend of \$.05 per share of outstanding Common Stock that was accrued at December 31, 2006. The aggregate amount of the dividend was \$1,747,423. On July 12, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$.08 per share on its Common Stock. The dividend is payable on October 22, 2007 to stockholders of record as of September 27, 2007.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

There were no off-balance sheet arrangements during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

FACTORS AFFECTING OPERATION RESULTS AND MARKET PRICE OF STOCK

The Company and its subsidiaries operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of uncertainties, some of which are beyond the Company's control. This report contains in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The words "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "plan" and similar expressions and variations thereof are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those set forth in or implied by any forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those uncertainties discussed below as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report.

NATURE OF THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS

Factors affecting the sectors of the insurance industry in which the Company

operates may subject the Company to significant fluctuations in operating results. These factors include competition, catastrophe losses and general economic conditions including interest rate changes, as well as legislative initiatives, the regulatory environment, the frequency of litigation, the size of judgments, severe weather conditions, the role of federal or state government in the insurance market, judicial or other authoritative interpretations of laws

23

and policies, and the availability and cost of reinsurance. Specifically the homeowners' insurance market, which comprises the bulk of the Company's current operations, is influenced by many factors, including state and federal laws, market conditions for homeowners' insurance and residential plans. Additionally, an economic downturn could result in fewer home sales and less demand for new homeowners seeking insurance.

Historically, the financial performance of the property and casualty insurance industry has tended to fluctuate in cyclical patterns of soft markets followed by hard markets. Although an individual insurance company's financial performance is dependent on its own specific business characteristics, the profitability of most property and casualty insurance companies tends to follow this cyclical market pattern.

The Company believes that a substantial portion of its future growth will depend on its ability, among other things, to successfully implement its business strategy, including expanding the Company's product offering by underwriting and marketing additional insurance products and programs through its distribution network and further penetrating the Florida market by establishing relationships with additional independent agents in order to expand its distribution network and to further disperse its geographic risk. Any future growth is contingent on various factors, including the availability of adequate capital, the Company's ability to hire and train additional personnel, regulatory requirements, the competitive environment, and rating agency considerations. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in expanding its business, that the existing infrastructure will be able to support additional expansion or that any new business will be profitable. Moreover, as the Company expands its insurance products and programs and the Company's mix of business changes, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to maintain or improve its profit margins or other operating results. There can also be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain the required regulatory approvals to offer additional insurance products. UPCIC also is required to maintain minimum surplus to support its underwriting program. The surplus requirement affects UPCIC's potential growth.

MANAGEMENT OF EXPOSURE TO CATASTROPHIC LOSSES

UPCIC is exposed to potentially numerous insured losses arising out of single or multiple occurrences, such as natural catastrophes. As with all property and casualty insurers, UPCIC expects to and will incur some losses related to catastrophes and will price its policies accordingly. UPCIC's exposure to catastrophic losses arises principally out of hurricanes and windstorms. Through the use of standard industry modeling techniques that are susceptible to change, UPCIC manages its exposure to such losses on an ongoing basis from an underwriting perspective. In addition, UPCIC protects itself against the risk of catastrophic loss by obtaining reinsurance coverage up to approximately the "150 year Probable Maximum Loss" ("PML") as of the beginning of hurricane season on June 1 of each year. UPCIC's reinsurance for multiple hurricanes. UPCIC's catastrophe reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance for multiple hurricanes. UPCIC's catastrophe reinsurance to cover multiple storms going forward or be able to timely obtain reinsurance. In addition, UPCIC is responsible for losses

related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by the UPCIC's reinsurance program such as losses beyond approximately

24

the 150 year PML which could have a material adverse effect on UPCIC's and the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

RELIANCE ON THIRD PARTIES AND REINSURERS

UPCIC is dependent upon third parties to perform certain functions including, but not limited to the purchase of reinsurance and risk management analysis. UPCIC also relies on reinsurers to limit the amount of risk retained under its policies and to increase its ability to write additional risks. UPCIC's intention is to limit its exposure and therefore protect its capital, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements that currently transfer the risk of loss in excess of \$45,000,000 for the first event and \$9,300,000 for the second and third events up to approximately the 150 year PML as of the beginning of hurricane season on June 1 of each year. This amount may change in the future.

REINSURANCE

The property and casualty reinsurance industry is subject to the same market conditions as the direct property and casualty insurance market, and there can be no assurance that reinsurance will be available to UPCIC to the same extent and at the same cost as currently in place for UPCIC. Future increases in catastrophe reinsurance costs are possible and could adversely affect UPCIC's results. Reinsurance does not legally discharge an insurer from its primary liability for the full amount of the risks it insures, although it does make the reinsurer liable to the primary insurer. Therefore, UPCIC is subject to credit risk with respect to its reinsurers. Management evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities, or economic characteristics of the reinsurers to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. A reinsurer's insolvency or inability to make payments under a reinsurance treaty could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition and profitability of UPCIC and the Company. In addition, while ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces UPCIC's risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces the UPCIC's potential for greater profits should such catastrophic events fail to occur. The Company believes that the extent of UPCIC's reinsurance is typical of a company of its size in the homeowners insurance industry.

ADEQUACY OF LIABILITIES FOR LOSSES

The liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses periodically established by UPCIC are estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported claims and related loss adjustment expenses. The estimates necessarily will be based on certain assumptions related to the ultimate cost to settle such claims. There is an inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and there may be substantial differences between actual losses and UPCIC's liabilities estimates. UPCIC relies on industry data, as well as the expertise and experience of independent actuaries in an effort to establish accurate estimates and adequate liabilities. Furthermore, factors such as storms and weather conditions, inflation, claim settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends may have an impact on UPCIC's liabilities will be adequate to cover ultimate loss developments. 25

The profitability and financial condition of UPCIC and the Company could be adversely affected to the extent that its liabilities are inadequate.

UPCIC is directly liable for loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") payments under the terms of the insurance policies that it writes. In many cases, several years may elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss and UPCIC's payment of that loss. As required by insurance regulations and accounting rules, UPCIC reflects its liability for the ultimate payment of all incurred losses and LAE by establishing a liability for those unpaid losses and LAE for both reported and unreported claims, which represent estimates of future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses.

When a claim involving a probable loss is reported, UPCIC establishes a liability for the estimated amount of UPCIC's ultimate loss and LAE payments. The estimate of the amount of the ultimate loss is based upon such factors as the type of loss, jurisdiction of the occurrence, knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim, severity of injury or damage, potential for ultimate exposure, estimate of liability on the part of the insured, past experience with similar claims and the applicable policy provisions.

All newly reported claims received are set up with an initial average liability. That claim is then evaluated and the liability is adjusted upward or downward according to the facts and damages of that particular claim.

In addition, management provides for a liability on an aggregate basis to provide for losses incurred but not reported ("IBNR"). UPCIC utilizes independent actuaries to help establish its liability for unpaid losses and LAE. UPCIC does not discount the liability for unpaid losses and LAE for financial statement purposes.

The estimates of the liability for unpaid losses and LAE are subject to the effect of trends in claims severity and frequency and are continually reviewed. As part of this process, UPCIC reviews historical data and considers various factors, including known and anticipated legal developments, changes in social attitudes, inflation and economic conditions. As experience develops and other data become available, these estimates are revised, as required, resulting in increases or decreases to the existing liability for unpaid losses and LAE. Adjustments are reflected in results of operations in the period in which they are made and the liabilities may deviate substantially from prior estimates.

Among the classes of insurance underwritten by UPCIC, the homeowners' liability claims historically tend to have longer time lapses between the occurrence of the event, the reporting of the claim to UPCIC and the final settlement than do homeowners' property claims. Liability claims often involve third parties filing suit and the ensuing litigation. By comparison, property damage claims tend to be reported in a relatively shorter period of time with the vast majority of these claims resulting in an adjustment without litigation.

There can be no assurance that UPCIC's liability for unpaid losses and LAE will be adequate to cover actual losses. If UPCIC's liability for unpaid losses and LAE proves to be inadequate, UPCIC will be required to increase the liability with a corresponding reduction in UPCIC's net income in the period in which the

26

deficiency is identified. Future loss experience substantially in excess of established liability for unpaid losses and LAE could have a material adverse effect on UPCIC's and the Company's business, results of operations and

financial condition.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Florida insurance companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation ("OIR"). The OIR has broad regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers. Such powers relate, among other things, to the granting and revocation of licenses to transact business; the licensing of agents (through the Department of Financial Services); the standards of solvency to be met and maintained; the nature of, and limitations on, investments; approval of policy forms and rates; review of reinsurance contracts; periodic examination of the affairs of insurance companies; and the form and content of required financial statements. Such regulation and supervision are primarily for the benefit and protection of policyholders and not for the benefit of investors and actions by the OIR could adversely affect the Company.

In addition, the Florida legislature and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners from time to time consider proposals that may affect, among other things, regulatory assessments and reserve requirements. UPCIC cannot predict the effect that any proposed or future legislation or regulatory or administrative initiatives may have on the financial condition or operations of UPCIC.

LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES

The State of Florida operates Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("Citizens") to provide insurance to Florida homeowners in high-risk areas and others without private insurance options. As of June 30, 2007, there were 1,308,431 Citizens policies in force. In May 2007, the State of Florida passed legislation that freezes property insurance rates for Citizens customers at December 2006 levels through December 31, 2008 and permits private insurance customers to opt into Citizens when the price of a private policy is 15% more than the Citizens rate, compared to the previous opt-in threshold of 25%. These initiatives, together with any future initiatives that seek to further relax eligibility requirements or reduce premium rates for Citizens customers, could adversely affect the ability of UPCIC and the Company to do business profitably.

DEPENDENCE ON KEY INDIVIDUALS

UPCIC's operations depend in large part on the efforts of Bradley I. Meier, who serves as President of UPCIC. Mr. Meier has also served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Company since its inception in November 1990. In addition, UPCIC's operations have become materially dependent on the efforts of Sean P. Downes, who serves as Chief Operating Officer of UPCIC. Mr. Downes has also served as Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President and Director of the Company since January 2005 and as a Director of UPCIC since May 2003. The loss of the services provided by Mr. Meier or Mr. Downes could have a material adverse effect on UPCIC's and the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

27

COMPETITION

The insurance industry is highly competitive and many companies currently write homeowners' property and casualty insurance. Additionally, the Company and its subsidiaries must compete with companies that have greater capital resources and longer operating histories. Increased competition from other private insurance companies as well as Citizens could adversely affect the Company's ability to do business profitably. Although the Company's pricing is inevitably influenced to

some degree by that of its competitors, management of the Company believes that it is generally not in the Company's best interest to compete solely on price, choosing instead to compete on the basis of underwriting criteria, its distribution network and high quality service to its agents and insureds.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in its reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commissions rules and forms. There was no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company did not have any reportable legal proceedings during the six months ending June 30, 2007. Certain claims and complaints have been filed or are pending against the Company with respect to various matters. In the opinion of management, none of these lawsuits is material, and they are adequately provided for or covered by insurance or, if not so covered, are without any or have little merit or involve such amounts that if disposed of unfavorably would not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On March 14, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$3.70 per share to an employee in consideration for services rendered pursuant to the terms of an employee agreement. The shares will vest over a three year period. On May 1, 2007, the Company issued 22,143 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.87 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to James M. Lynch, CFO of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Lynch's exercise of stock options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 387,234 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Norman M. Meier, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Meier's exercise of stock

28

options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 77,447 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Joel Wilentz, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Wilentz's exercise of stock options. Also on May 1, 2007, the Company issued 77,447 shares of restricted common stock at a price of \$1.06 per share, on a "cashless" basis, to Reed Slogoff, a director of the Company, pursuant to Mr. Slogoff's exercise of stock options. Unless otherwise specified, such as in the case of the exercise of stock options or warrants, the per share prices were determined using the closing price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board

or the AMEX and the shares were issued in private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 18, 2007 in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. The following proposals were adopted at the meeting.

Proposal Election of two directors by holders of Series M Preferred Stock 1A:

Name	For	Withheld	Abstain
Bradley I. Meier	88,690	0	0
Norman M. Meier	88,690	0	0

ProposalElection of four directors by holders of Common Stock, Series A Preferred Stock an1B:voting together as a class

Name	For	Withheld	Abstain
Sean P. Downes	28,846,123	132,600	0
Reed J. Slogoff	28,953,153	25,600	0
Joel M. Wilentz	28,953,153	25,600	0
Ozzie A. Schindler	28,953,153	25,600	0

Proposal 2: Amendment of the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of Common Stock from 50,000,000 shares to 55,000,000 shares

29

For 	Against	Abstain	Broker N
28,789,894	187,453	1,406	

Proposal 3: Approval of the formulas used to calculate performance bonuses in each of the amen agreements of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer of the C

For	Against	Abstain	Broker N	
23,008,722	1,632,640	14,306		

Proposal 4: Ratification of appointment of Blackman Kallick Bartelstein LLP as the Company's i public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2007.

r 0.	5	ADSC	ain biokei k
FO		inst Abst	ain Broker N

954,803	23,850	1

00

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

28,

NONE.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Exhibit

- 3.1 Registrant's Restated Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.2 Certificate of Designation for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 11, 1994 (2)
- 3.3 Certificate of Designations, Preferences, and Rights of Series M Convertible Preferred Stock dated August 13, 1997 (3)
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated October 19, 1998 (2)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 18, 2000 (2)
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Designations of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 29, 2001 (2)

30

- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 7, 2005 filed herewith
- 3.8 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 18, 2007 filed herewith
- 11.1 Statement Regarding Computation of Per Share Income
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-51546) declared effective on December 14, 1992
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed on April 9, 2003
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form

31

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

		UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.
Date:	August 13, 2007	/s/ Bradley I. Meier
		Bradley I. Meier, President and Chief Executive Officer
		/s/ James M. Lynch
		James M. Lynch, Chief Financial Officer
		32

t;">

\$

Current renewable subordinated notes

8,709,800

4,313,200

8,052,400

Accounts payable

1,125,800

1,108,200

Accrued liabilities

	2,331,600
	2,905,400
Current discounted lease rentals	
	1,222,000
	1,012,900
Current rents received in advance	
	203,300
	141,600
Current deferred revenue	
	1,088,200
	993,600
Deferred income taxes	

368,300

Total current liabilities

18,904,700

18,527,300

Long-term line of credit

8,296,200

9,276,300

Long-term renewable subordinated notes

14,457,300

12,788,700

Long-term discounted lease rentals

	1,103,500
	1,298,500
Long-term rents received in advance	
	1,629,400
	1,696,400
Long-term deferred revenue	
	646,500
	631,400
Other long-term liabilities	
	1,032,100
Deferred income taxes	

490,700

Shareholders Equity:

Common stock, no par, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 5,345,669 and 5,433,610 shares issued and outstanding

Accumulated other comprehensive loss

(43,500) Retained earnings 14,607,700 13,502,200

Total shareholders equity

14,564,200

427,500

\$

\$

13,891,200

61,124,600

58,109,800

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

3

WINMARK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended		
REVENUE:	Ma	arch 28, 2009		March 29, 2008
Royalties	\$	5,633,500	\$	5,331,600
Leasing income	φ	2,701,700	φ	1,952,600
Merchandise sales		625,400		932,800
Franchise fees		150,000		527,500
Other		139,400		132,900
Total revenue		9,250,000		8,877,400
COST OF MERCHANDISE SOLD		595,900		893,900
LEASING EXPENSE		682,500		485,900
PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES		419,700		385,100
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES		4,882,500		5,185,800
Income from operations		2,669,400		1,926,700
LOSS FROM EQUITY INVESTMENTS		(3,500)		(75,800)
INTEREST EXPENSE		(351,100)		(348,400)
INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME		61,100		72,800
Income before income taxes		2,375,900		1,575,300
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		(962,200)		(638,000)
NET INCOME	\$	1,413,700	\$	937,300
EARNINGS PER SHARE BASIC	\$.26	\$.17
EARNINGS PER SHARE DILUTED	\$.26	\$.17
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC		5,396,156		5,500,833
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED		5,398,276		5,534,645

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

WINMARK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	М	Three Months Ended March 28, 2009 March 29, 2008				
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income	\$	1,413,700	\$	937,300		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation		131,200		85,000		
Provision for credit losses		419,700		385,100		
Compensation expense related to stock options		170,300		207,600		
Gain from disposal of property and equipment		(1,200)				
Loss from equity investments		3,500		75,800		
Deferred initial direct costs, net of amortization		89,800		(236,400)		
Change in operating assets and liabilities:						
Receivables		6,600		217,400		
Income tax receivable		(537,300)		(809,700)		
Inventories		(4,000)		14,800		
Prepaid expenses		180,900		118,300		
Deferred income taxes		1,396,700		- ,		
Accounts payable		17,600		(39,000)		
Accrued and other liabilities		(614,100)		234,300		
Additions to advance and security deposits		30,500		319,700		
Deferred revenue		109,700		70,700		
		10,,,00		, 0,, 00		
Net cash provided by operating activities		2,813,600		1,580,900		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Purchase of marketable securities		(259,400)				
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		1,800				
Purchases of property and equipment		(693,400)		(100,700)		
Purchase of equipment for lease contracts		(4,909,100)		(7,770,700)		
Principal collections on lease receivables		5,501,500		4,089,300		
Net cash used for investing activities		(358,600)		(3,782,100)		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from borrowings on line of credit				2,700,000		
Payments on line of credit		(1,437,600)		(3,316,900)		
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated notes		2,898,500		313,400		
Payments on subordinated notes		(572,500)		(412,100)		
Repurchases of common stock		(905,900)		(28,100)		
Proceeds from discounted lease rentals		428,100		2,207,500		
Tax benefits on exercised options and warrants				1,025,500		
Net cash provided by financing activities		410,600		2,489,300		
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		2,865,600		288,100		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		2,140,000		1,253,000		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	5,005,600	\$	1,541,100		

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 325,300	\$ 570,800
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 53,400	\$ 427,000
Non-cash landlord leasehold improvements	\$ 1,072,400	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

WINMARK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Management s Interim Financial Statement Representation:

The accompanying condensed financial statements have been prepared by Winmark Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company), without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company has a 52/53 week year which ends on the last Saturday in December. The information in the condensed financial statements includes normal recurring adjustments and reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements. The consolidated condensed financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q, and therefore do not contain certain information included in the Company s annual consolidated financial statements and notes. This report should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s latest Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenues and operating results for the three months ended March 28, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. Such reclassifications did not impact net income or shareholders equity as previously reported.

2. Organization and Business:

The Company offers licenses to operate franchises using the service marks Play It Again Sports®, Once Upon A Child®, Music Go Round®, Plato s Closet® and Wirth Business Credit®. In addition, the Company sells inventory to its Play It Again Sports® franchisees through its buying group. The Company also operates both small-ticket and middle market equipment leasing businesses under the Wirth Business Credit® and Winmark Capital® marks.

3. Investments:

The Company has an investment in Tomsten, Inc. (Tomsten), the parent company of Archiver's retail chain. Archiver's is a retail concept created to help people preserve and enjoy their photographs. The Company has invested a total of \$7.5 million in the purchase of common stock of

Tomsten. The Company s investment currently represents 18.3% of the outstanding common stock of Tomsten. As of March 28, 2009, \$0.2 million of the Company s investment, with a current carrying cost of \$2.3 million, is attributable to goodwill. The amount of goodwill was determined by calculating the difference between the Company s net investment in Tomsten less its pro rata share of Tomsten s net worth.

Summarized financial information for Tomsten, Inc. is as follows:

		Fiscal Period Ended				
	First	t Quarter 2009	Fir	First Quarter 2008		
Net sales	\$	15,417,300	\$	16,717,600		
Gross profit		7,557,800		8,286,800		
Net loss from continuing operations		(19,400)		(34,900)		
Net loss		(19,400)		(34,900)		

6

Table of Contents

On October 13, 2004, the Company made a commitment to lend \$2.0 million to BridgeFunds Limited at an annual rate of 12% pursuant to several senior subordinated promissory notes. BridgeFunds Limited advances funds to claimants involved in civil litigation to cover litigation expenses. At December 27, 2008 and March 28, 2009, the Company had funded the \$2.0 million commitment. In addition, the Company has received a warrant to purchase approximately 257,000 shares of BridgeFunds which currently represents 6.5% of the equity of BridgeFunds on a fully diluted basis. As of March 28, 2009, \$1.0 million of the \$2.0 million investment balance is current.

4. Investment in Leasing Operations:

Investment in leasing operations consists of the following:

	March 28, 2009	December 27, 2008
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 46,868,300	\$ 51,110,200
Estimated residual value of equipment	2,307,200	2,406,500
Unearned lease income net of initial direct costs deferred	(8,026,400)	(8,675,300)
Security deposits	(1,743,500)	(1,707,700)
Allowance for credit losses	(1,433,600)	(1,538,900)
Equipment installed on leases not yet commenced	5,891,300	3,820,200
Total net investment in leases	43,863,300	45,415,000
Less: net investment in leases current	(15,791,200)	(17,379,700)
Net investment in leases long-term	\$ 28,072,100	\$ 28,035,300

The Company had \$525,000 and \$148,100 of write-offs, net of recoveries, related to the lease portfolio during the first three months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of March 28, 2009, no customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company s total assets.

Minimum lease payments receivable under lease contracts and the amortization of unearned lease income, net of initial direct costs deferred, is as follows for the remainder of fiscal 2009 and the full fiscal years thereafter as of March 28, 2009:

Fiscal Year	 nimum Lease 1ents Receivable	Income Amortization
2009	\$ 16,881,800	\$ 3,976,000
2010	17,573,700	2,857,400
2011	8,540,200	937,900
2012	3,274,000	233,500
2013	589,700	21,500
Thereafter	8,900	100
	\$ 46,868,300	\$ 8,026,400

During the first three months of 2009, the Company entered into lease transactions that were classified as sales-type leases in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases.

5. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation:

FASB Statement No. 123, *Share-Based Payment* (revised 2004) requires the cost of all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the consolidated financial statements based on the grant date fair value of those awards. In accordance with Statement No. 123R, this cost is recognized over the period for which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Statement No. 123R requires that the benefits associated with tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense be reported as a financing cash flow rather than as an operating cash flow. Compensation expense of \$170,300 and \$207,600 relating to the vested portion of the fair value of stock options granted was expensed to Selling, General and Administrative Expenses in the first three months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company estimates the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Company estimates the volatility of its common stock at the date of grant based on its historical volatility rate, consistent with Statement No. 123R and Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107). The Company s decision to historical volatility was based upon the lack of actively traded options on its common stock. The Company estimates the expected term based upon historical option exercises. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates for the volatility period. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. For options granted, the Company amortizes the fair value on a straight-line basis. All options are amortized over the vesting periods.

In accordance with Statement No. 123R, the fair value of each option granted in 2009 and 2008 was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

Year Granted	Option Fair Value	Risk Free Interest Rate	Expected Life (Years)	Expected Volatility	Dividend Yield
2009					
2008	\$5.20 / \$5.69 / \$3.71	3.36% / 3.04% / 1.77%	6/6/6	24.4% / 24.7% / 26.0%	none

6. New Accounting Pronouncements:

Effective December 30, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. Statement No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of Statement No. 157 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Statement No. 157 also describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2 observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

• Level 3 unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data available, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Company s cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities are valued using quoted prices. The fair values of the Company s investments (described in Note 3) were determined based on Level 3 inputs using a discounted cash flow model.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2). FSP FAS 157-2 delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The Company adopted FAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities on December 28, 2008, and such adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2007, FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115.* Statement No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Company adopted Statement No. 159 on December 30, 2007. The Company did not elect the fair value of accounting option for any of its eligible assets; therefore, the adoption of Statement No. 159 had no impact on the financial statements.

7. Earnings Per Share:

The Company calculates earnings per share in accordance with FASB Statement No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to arrive at the Earnings Per Share - Basic. The Company calculates Earnings Per Share - Diluted by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and dilutive stock equivalents from the exercise of stock options using the treasury stock method. The weighted average diluted outstanding shares is computed by adding the weighted average basic shares outstanding with the dilutive effect of stock options equivalent to 2,120 shares and 33,812 shares for the three months ended March 28, 2009 and March 29, 2008, respectively.

Options totaling 557,329 and 122,387 shares for the three months ended March 28, 2009 and March 29, 2008, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the calculation of Earnings Per Share Diluted because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, including the options in the denominator would be anti-dilutive.

8. Shareholders Equity:

Repurchase of Common Stock

Under the board of directors authorization, the Company has the ability to repurchase up to 4,500,000 shares of its common stock, of which all but 486,600 shares have been repurchased. Repurchases may be made from time to time at prevailing prices, subject to certain restrictions on volume, pricing and timing. Since inception of stock repurchase activities in November 1995 through March 28, 2009, the Company has repurchased 4,013,400 shares of its stock at an average price of \$14.03 per share. In the first three months of 2009, the Company repurchased 87,941 shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$905,900 or \$10.30 per share. These repurchase transactions reduced the dollar amount of common stock on the balance sheet to zero, with the remainder recorded to retained earnings.

Stock Option Plans

The Company has authorized up to 750,000 shares of common stock be reserved for granting either nonqualified or incentive stock options to officers and key employees under the Company s 2001 Stock Option Plan (the 2001 Plan).

The Company also sponsors a Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors (the Nonemployee Directors Plan) and has reserved a total of 300,000 shares for issuance to directors of the Company who are not employees.

Stock option activity under the 2001 Plan and Nonemployee Directors Plan as of March 28, 2009 was as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average xercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 27, 2008	548,800	\$ 18.22	7.36	\$
Exercised				
Forfeited	(12,450)	18.24		
Outstanding at March 28, 2009	536,350	\$ 18.22	7.08	\$
Exercisable at March 28, 2009	267,825	\$ 18.59	5.66	\$

All unexercised options at March 28, 2009 have an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the date of the grant.

As of March 28, 2009, the Company had \$1,490,200 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options that is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of approximately 2.8 years.

9. Long-term Debt:

As of March 28, 2009, the Company s borrowing availability under its Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement (the Credit Facility), which provides for an aggregate commitment of \$55.0 million subject to certain borrowing base limitations, was \$55.0 million (the lesser of the borrowing base or the aggregate line of credit). There were \$12.2 million in borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility bearing interest ranging from 4.58% to 5.76% and having initial terms ranging from three years to five years, leaving \$42.8 million available for additional borrowings.

1	n
T	U

Table of Contents

The Credit Facility has been and will continue to be used for growing the Company s leasing business, stock repurchases and general corporate purposes. The Credit Facility is secured by a lien against substantially all of the Company s assets, contains customary financial conditions and covenants, and requires maintenance of minimum levels of debt service coverage and tangible net worth and maximum levels of leverage (all as defined within the Credit Facility). As of March 28, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all of its financial covenants.

Renewable Unsecured Subordinated Notes

In 2006, the Company filed a public offering of up to \$50 million of Renewable Unsecured Subordinated Notes that was declared effective in June of that year. In March 2007, the Company filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the public offering that was declared effective March 30, 2007. In November 2007, the Company filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the public offering that was declared effective November 29, 2007. In March 2008, the Company filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 4 to the public offering that was declared effective March 27, 2008. In March 2009, the Company filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the public offering that was declared effective March 27, 2009. As of March 28, 2009, the Company has \$23,167,100 outstanding in renewable unsecured subordinated notes. The table below presents the Company s outstanding notes payable as of March 28, 2009:

		Principal	Weighted Average
	Original Term	Amount	Interest Rate
Renewable unsecured subordinated notes	3 months	\$ 1,289,500	6.56%
	6 months	408,600	7.21%
	1 year	995,200	8.17%
	2 years	3,371,300	9.21%
	3 years	8,170,000	9.68%
	4 years	1,973,500	9.86%
	5 years	5,998,500	10.11%
	10 years	960,500	10.51%
Total		\$ 23,167,100	9.49%

The Company made interest payments of \$520,100 and \$499,700 on the renewable unsecured subordinated notes during the first three months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The weighted average initial and remaining terms of the outstanding renewable unsecured subordinated notes are 42 months and 20 months, respectively.

10. Discounted Lease Rentals

The Company utilized certain lease receivables and underlying equipment as collateral to borrow from financial institutions at a weighted average rate of 5.68% at March 28, 2009 on a non-recourse basis. In the event of a default by a customer in non-recourse financing, the financial institution has a first lien on the underlying leased equipment, with no further recourse against the Company. As of March 28, 2009, \$1.2 million of the \$2.3 million liability balance is current.

11. Segment Reporting:

The Company currently has two reportable business segments, franchising and leasing. The franchising segment franchises value-oriented retail store concepts that buy, sell, trade and consign merchandise and Wirth Business Credit, Inc., a small ticket leasing franchise. The leasing segment includes (i) Winmark Capital Corporation, a middle-market equipment leasing business and (ii) Wirth Business Credit, Inc., a small ticket financing business. Segment reporting is intended to give financial statement users a better view of how the Company manages and evaluates its businesses. The Company s internal management reporting is the basis for the information disclosed for its business segments and includes allocation of shared-service costs. Segment assets are those that are directly used in or identified with segment operations, including cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, inventory, property and equipment and investment in leasing operations. Unallocated assets include corporate cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, current and long-term investments, deferred tax amounts and other corporate assets. Inter-segment balances and transactions have been eliminated. The following tables summarize financial information by segment and provide a reconciliation of segment contribution to operating income:

		Three Months Ended			
	Ma	rch 28, 2009	March 29, 2008		
Revenue:					
Franchising	\$	6,548,300	\$	6,924,800	
Leasing		2,701,700		1,952,600	
Total revenue	\$	9,250,000	\$	8,877,400	
Reconciliation to operating income:					
Franchising segment contribution	\$	2,483,200	\$	2,198,900	
Leasing segment contribution		186,200		(272,200)	
Total operating income	\$	2,669,400	\$	1,926,700	
Depreciation and amortization:					
Leasing	\$	15,100	\$	18,600	
Allocated		116,100		66,400	
Total depreciation and amortization	\$	131,200	\$	85,000	

	As of			
	March 28, 2009 December			
Identifiable assets:				
Franchising	\$ 3,675,800	\$	3,835,100	
Leasing	48,849,700		47,500,800	
Unallocated	8,599,100		6,773,900	
Total	\$ 61,124,600	\$	58,109,800	

12. Related Party Transactions:

On February 27, 2009, Sheila Morgan, spouse of John L. Morgan, chief executive officer and chairman of Winmark, subscribed for and purchased \$300,000 of three month maturity unsecured subordinated notes on a monthly interest payment schedule at the rates described on the Interest Rate Supplement filed on Form 424(b)(2) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2008 (March 2008 Interest Rate Supplement) offered by Winmark pursuant to a prospectus and related documents declared effective on March 27, 2008 (March 2008 Prospectus). In connection with her investment, Mrs. Morgan agreed that her notes would be voted consistent with the majority of the remaining note holders in an event of default.

On March 2, 2009, John L. Morgan subscribed for and purchased \$1.6 million of unsecured subordinated notes of various maturities (\$200,000 of six month maturity, \$200,000 of one year maturity, \$200,000 of two year maturity, \$130,000 of three year maturity, \$180,000 of four year maturity, \$190,000 of five year maturity and \$500,000 of ten year maturity) all on a monthly interest payment schedule at the rates described in the March 2008 Interest Rate Supplement offered by Winmark pursuant to the March 2008 Prospectus. In connection with his investment, Mr. Morgan agreed that his notes would be voted consistent with the majority of the remaining note holders in an event of default.

ITEM 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

As of March 28, 2009, we had 909 franchises operating under the Play it Again Sports®, Once Upon a Child®, Plato s Closet®, Music Go Round® and Wirth Business Credit® brands and had a leasing portfolio of \$43.9 million. Management closely tracks the following criteria to evaluate current business operations and future prospects: franchising revenue, leasing activity, and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Our most profitable sources of franchising revenue are royalties earned from our franchise partners and franchise fees for new store openings and transfers. During the first three months of 2009, our royalties increased \$301,900 or 5.7% compared to the first three months of 2008. Franchise fees decreased \$377,500 or 71.6% compared to the same period last year.

During the first three months of 2009, we purchased \$4.9 million in equipment for lease contracts compared to \$7.8 million in the first three months of 2008. The level of equipment purchases for lease contracts continues to be impacted by the unfavorable general economic environment as well as our decision during 2008 to tighten credit standards in our small-ticket financing business in response to these conditions. Overall, our leasing portfolio (net investment in leases current and long-term) decreased to \$43.9 million at March 28, 2009 from \$45.4 million at December 27, 2008. Revenue generated from our leasing activities was \$2,701,700 compared to \$1,952,600 in the same period last year, an increase of 38.4%. (See Note 11 Segment Reporting). Our earnings are also impacted by credit losses. During the first three months of 2009, our provision for credit losses increased to \$419,700 from \$385,100 in the first three months of 2008, as we continued to experience a higher level of net charge-offs and delinquencies in the small-ticket financing business portion of our leasing segment.

Table of Contents

Management continually monitors the level and timing of selling, general and administrative expenses. The major components of selling, general and administrative expenses include salaries, wages and benefits, advertising, travel, occupancy, legal and professional fees. During the first three months of 2009, selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$303,300, or 5.8%, compared to the first three months of 2008.

Management also monitors several nonfinancial factors in evaluating the current business operations and future prospects including franchise openings and closings and franchise renewals. The following is a summary of our franchising activity for the first three months ended March 28, 2009:

	TOTAL			TOTAL	THREE MONT AVAILABLE FOR	THS ENDING 3/28/09 COMPLETED
	12/27/08	OPENED	CLOSED	3/28/09	RENEWAL	RENEWALS
<u>Play It Again Sports</u> ®						
Franchises - US and Canada	364	1	(9)	356	5	4
Once Upon A Child®						
Franchises - US and Canada	229	2	(1)	230	6	5
<u>Plato s Clos</u> et®						
Franchises - US and Canada	241	4	(2)	243	4	4
Music Go Round®						
Franchises - US	36	0	(1)	35	0	0
Total Franchised Stores	870	7	(13)	864	15	13
Wirth Business Credit®						
Territories - US	54	0	(9)	45	0	0
Total Franchises/Territories	924	7	(22)	909	15	13

Renewal activity is a key focus area for management. Our franchisees sign 10-year agreements with us. The renewal of existing franchise agreements as they approach their expiration is an indicator that management monitors to determine the health of our business and the preservation of future royalties. During the first three months of 2009, we renewed 13 franchise agreements of the 15 franchise agreements up for renewal.

Our ability to grow our profits is dependent on our ability to: (i) effectively support our franchise partners so that they produce higher revenues, (ii) open new franchises, (iii) increase lease originations and minimize write-offs in our leasing portfolios, and (iv) control our selling, general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected information from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations expressed as a percentage of total revenue:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2009	March 29, 2008
Revenue:		
Royalties	60.9%	60.1%
Leasing income	29.2	22.0
Merchandise sales	6.8	10.5
Franchise fees	1.6	5.9
Other	1.5	1.5
Total revenue	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of merchandise sold	(6.4)	(10.1)
Leasing expense	(7.4)	(5.5)
Provision for credit losses	(4.5)	(4.3)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(52.8)	(58.4)
Income from operations	28.9	21.7
Loss from equity investments	(0.0)	(0.9)
Interest expense	(3.8)	(3.9)
Interest and other income	0.6	0.8
Income before income taxes	25.7	17.7
Provision for income taxes	(10.4)	(7.2)
Net income	15.3%	10.5%

Comparison of Three Months Ended March 28, 2009 to Three Months Ended March 29, 2008

Revenue

Revenues for the first three months of 2009 totaled \$9.3 million compared to \$8.9 million for the comparable period in 2008.

Royalties and Franchise Fees

Royalties increased to \$5.6 million for the first three months of 2009 from \$5.3 million for the first three months of 2008, a 5.7% increase. The increase was due to higher Plato s Closet® and Once Upon A Child® royalties of \$375,300 and \$176,600, respectively, partially offset by lower Play It Again Sports® royalties of \$250,600. The increase in Plato s Closet® and Once Upon A Child® royalties is primarily due to having 23 additional Plato s Closet® franchise stores in the first three months of 2009 compared to the same period last year and higher franchisee retail sales in both brands.

Franchise fees decreased to \$150,000 for the first three months of 2009 compared to \$527,500 for the first three months of 2008, primarily as a result of opening 21 fewer franchise territories in the 2009 period compared to the same period in 2008.

Table of Contents

Leasing Income

Leasing income increased to \$2,701,700 for the first three months of 2009 compared to \$1,952,600 for the same period in 2008, a 38.4% increase. The increase is due to a larger lease portfolio in 2009 compared to 2008 as well as the classification of certain leases as sales-type leases in accordance with FASB Statement No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*. Our trailing-twelve-month average lease portfolio as of March 28, 2009 was \$45.4 million compared to \$34.8 million as of March 29, 2008.

Merchandise Sales

Merchandise sales include the sale of product to franchisees either through the Play It Again Sports® buying group, or through our Computer Support Center (together, Direct Franchisee Sales). Direct Franchisee Sales decreased 33.0% to \$625,400 for the first three months of 2009 from \$932,800 for the same period last year. This is a result of management s strategic decision to have more franchisees purchase merchandise directly from vendors and having 15 fewer Play It Again Sports® stores open than one year ago.

Cost of Merchandise Sold

Cost of merchandise sold includes in-bound freight and the cost of merchandise associated with Direct Franchisee Sales. Cost of merchandise sold decreased 33.3% to \$595,900 for the first three months of 2009 from \$893,900 for the same period last year. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in Direct Franchisee Sales discussed above. Cost of merchandise sold as a percentage of Direct Franchisee Sales for the first three months of 2009 and 2008 was 95.3% and 95.8%, respectively.

Leasing Expense

Leasing expense increased to \$682,500 for the first three months of 2009 compared to \$485,900 for the first three months of 2008. The increase is due to the classification of certain leases as sales-type leases in accordance with FASB Statement No. 13.

Provision for Credit Losses

Provision for credit losses increased to \$419,700 for the first three months of 2009 compared to \$385,100 for the first three months of 2008. The increase is primarily due to a higher level of net charge-offs and delinquencies in the small-ticket financing business portion of our leasing segment.

Selling, General and Administrative

The \$303,300, or 5.8%, decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses in the first three months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 is primarily due to a decrease in advertising expenses.

Loss from Equity Investments

During the first three months of 2009 and 2008, we recorded losses of \$3,500 and \$75,800, respectively, from our investment in Tomsten (representing our pro-rata share of losses for the periods).

- 1	1
	n
- 1	v

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was calculated at an effective rate of 40.5% for the first three months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Segment Comparison of Three Months Ended March 28, 2009 to Three Months Ended March 29, 2008

Franchising segment operating income

The franchising segment s operating income for the first three months of 2009 increased by \$284,300, or 12.9%, to \$2.5 million from \$2.2 million for the first three months of 2008. The increase in segment contribution was primarily due to lower selling, general and administrative expenses, mainly advertising expenses.

Leasing segment operating income (loss)

The leasing segment generated operating income of \$186,200 for the first three months of 2009 compared to a loss of (\$272,200) during the first three months of 2008. This improvement was primarily due to a \$749,100 increase in leasing income, partially offset by a \$196,600 increase in leasing expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity have historically been cash flow from operations and borrowings. The components of the income statement that affect our liquidity include non-cash items for depreciation, compensation expense related to stock options and loss from and impairment of equity investments. The most significant component of the balance sheet that affects liquidity is investments. Investments include \$4.3 million of investments in two private companies: Tomsten, Inc. and BridgeFunds, LLC.

We ended the first quarter of 2009 with \$5.0 million in cash and cash equivalents and a current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.4 to 1.0 compared to \$1.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and a current ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 at the end of the first quarter of 2008.

Operating activities provided \$2.8 million of cash during the first three months of 2009 compared to \$1.6 million during the same period last year. Cash provided by operating assets and liabilities include an increase in deferred and current income taxes of \$859,400, primarily due to tax depreciation on lease equipment purchases. Cash utilized by operating assets and liabilities include a \$614,100 decrease in accrued and other liabilities due to a decrease in accrued compensation.

Investing activities used \$0.4 million of cash during the first three months of 2009 compared to \$3.8 million during the same period of 2008. The 2009 activities consisted primarily of the purchase of equipment for lease contracts of \$4.9 million and collections on lease receivables of \$5.5 million.

Financing activities provided \$0.4 million of cash during the first three months of 2009 compared to \$2.5 million during the same period of 2008. The 2009 activities were net proceeds from subordinated notes and discounted lease rentals of \$2.8 million, net payments of \$1.4 million on the line of credit and \$0.9 million used to purchase 87,941 shares of our common stock.

17

As of March 28, 2009, we had no off balance sheet arrangements.

As of March 28, 2009, our borrowing availability under our Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement (the Credit Facility), which provides for an aggregate commitment of \$55.0 million subject to certain borrowing base limitations, was \$55.0 million (the lesser of the borrowing base or the aggregate line of credit). There were \$12.2 million in borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility bearing interest ranging from 4.58% to 5.76% and having initial terms ranging from three years to five years, leaving \$42.8 million available for additional borrowings.

The Credit Facility has been and will continue to be used for growing our leasing business, stock repurchases and general corporate purposes. The Credit Facility is secured by a lien against substantially all of our assets, contains customary financial conditions and covenants, and requires maintenance of minimum levels of debt service coverage and tangible net worth and maximum levels of leverage (all as defined within the Credit Facility). As of March 28, 2009, we were in compliance with all of our financial covenants.

On April 19, 2006, we announced the filing of a shelf registration on Form S-1 registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the sale of up to \$50 million of renewable subordinated unsecured notes with maturities from three months to ten years. In June 2006, the Form S-1 registration became effective. In March 2007, we filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the public offering that was declared effective March 30, 2007. In November 2007, we filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the public offering that was declared effective November 29, 2007. In March 2008, we filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 4 to the public offering that was declared effective March 27, 2008. In March 2009, we filed Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the public offering that was declared effective March 27, 2009. We have in the past and continue to intend to use the net proceeds from the offering to pay down our credit facility, expand our leasing portfolio, to make acquisitions, to repurchase common stock and for other general corporate purposes. As of March 28, 2009, \$29.5 million of the renewable subordinated notes have been sold.

We utilize discounted lease financing to provide funds for a portion of our leasing activities. Rates for discounted lease financing reflect prevailing market interest rates and the credit standing of the lessees for which the payment stream of the leases are discounted. We believe that discounted lease financing will continue to be available to us at competitive rates of interest through the relationships we have established with financial institutions.

We believe that the combination of our cash on hand, the cash generated from our franchising business, cash generated from discounting sources, our bank line of credit as well as our renewable subordinated unsecured notes, will be adequate to fund our planned operations, including leasing activity, for 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company prepares the consolidated financial statements of Winmark Corporation and Subsidiaries in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As such, the Company is required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that it believes are reasonable based on information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and

liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods presented. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from these estimates. The critical accounting policies that the Company believes are most important to aid in fully understanding and evaluating the reported financial results include the following:

Revenue Recognition Royalty Revenue and Franchise Fees

The Company collects royalties from each retail franchise based on a percentage of retail store gross sales. The Company recognizes royalties as revenue when earned. At the end of each accounting period, estimates of royalty amounts due are made based on applying historical weekly sales information to the number of weeks of unreported franchisee sales. If there are significant changes in the actual performances of franchisees versus the Company s estimates, its royalty revenue would be impacted. During the first three months of 2009, the Company collected \$33,500 more than it estimated at December 27, 2008. As of March 28, 2009, the Company s royalty receivable was \$1,149,400.

The Company collects initial franchise fees when franchise agreements are signed and recognizes the initial franchise fees as revenue when the franchise is opened, which is when the Company has performed substantially all initial services required by the franchise agreement. Franchise fees collected from franchisees but not yet recognized as income are recorded as deferred revenue in the liability section of the consolidated balance sheet. As of March 28, 2009, deferred franchise fees were \$935,800.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company currently uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options. The determination of the fair value of the awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables. These variables include implied volatility over the term of the awards, actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors, risk-free interest rate and expected dividends.

The Company evaluates the assumptions used to value awards on an annual basis. If factors change and the Company employs different assumptions for estimating stock-based compensation expense in future periods or if the Company decides to use a different valuation model, the future periods may differ significantly from what it has recorded in the current period and could materially affect operating income, net income and earnings per share.

Impairment of Long-term Investments

The Company evaluates its long-term investments for impairment on an annual basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The impairment, if any, is measured by the difference between the assets carrying amount and their fair value, based on the best information available, including market prices, discounted cash flow analysis or other financial metrics that management utilizes to help determine fair value. Judgments made by management related to the fair value of its long-term investments are affected by factors such as the ongoing financial performance of the investees, additional capital raised by the investees as well as general changes in the economy.

Leasing Income Recognition

Leasing income is recognized under the effective interest method. The effective interest method of income recognition applies a constant rate of interest equal to the internal rate of return on the lease. Generally, when a lease is 90 days or more delinquent, the lease is classified as being on non-accrual and the Company stops recognizing leasing income on that date.

Allowances for Credit Losses

The Company maintains an allowance for credit losses at an amount that it believes to be sufficient to absorb losses inherent in its existing lease portfolio as of the reporting dates. A provision is charged against earnings to maintain the allowance for credit losses at the appropriate level. If the actual results are different from the Company s estimates, results could be different. The Company s policy is to charge-off against the allowance the estimated unrecoverable portion of accounts once they reach 121 days delinquent.

Forward Looking Statements

The statements contained in this Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not strictly historical fact, including without limitation, the Company s belief that it will have adequate capital and reserves to meet its current and contingent obligations and operating needs, as well as its disclosures regarding market rate risk are forward looking statements made under the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Such statements are based on management s current expectations as of the date of this Report, but involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward looking statements. Investors are cautioned to consider these forward looking statements in light of important factors which may result in material variations between results contemplated by such forward looking statements and conditions. See the section appearing in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2008 entitled Risk Factors and Part II, Item 1A in this Report for a more complete discussion of certain factors that may cause the Company s actual results to differ from those in its forward looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they were made. The Company undertakes no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

ITEM 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company incurs financial markets risk in the form of interest rate risk. Risk can be quantified by measuring the financial impact of a near-term adverse increase in short-term interest rates. The Company currently has available a \$55.0 million line of credit with Bank of America, N.A. and The PrivateBank and Trust Company. The interest rates applicable to this agreement are based on either the bank s base rate or LIBOR for short-term borrowings (less than six months) or the bank s index rate for borrowings one year or greater. The Company had \$12.2 million of debt outstanding at March 28, 2009 under this line of credit, all of which was in the form of fixed rate borrowings in excess of one year and therefore were not subject to daily changes in the bank s base rate or LIBOR. The Company s earnings would be affected by changes in these short-term interest rates only in the event that it were to borrow additional amounts under this facility with interest rates based on the bank s base rate or LIBOR. With the Company s current borrowings, a one percent increase in short-term rates would have no impact on annual pretax earnings. The Company had no interest rate derivatives in place at March 28, 2009.

Approximately \$2,000 of the Company s cash and cash equivalents at March 28, 2009 was invested in money market mutual funds, which are subject to the effects of market fluctuations in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Although the Company conducts business in foreign countries, international operations are not material to its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Additionally, foreign currency transaction gains and losses were not material to the Company s results of operations for the three months ended March 28, 2009. Accordingly, the Company is not currently subject to material foreign currency exchange rate risks from the effects that exchange rate movements of foreign currencies would have on its future costs or on future cash flows it would receive from its foreign activity. To date, the Company has not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative financial instruments to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

ITEM 4T: Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of its disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during its most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: Legal Proceedings

We are not a party to any material litigation and are not aware of any threatened litigation that would have a material adverse effect on our business.

ITEM 1A: Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, including the important information in Forward-Looking Statements, you should carefully consider the Risk Factors discussed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2008. If any of those factors were to occur, they could materially adversely affect the Company s financial condition or future results, and could cause its actual results to differ materially from those expressed in its forward-looking statements in this report. The Company is aware of no material changes to the Risk Factors discussed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2008.

ITEM 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan(1)	Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plan(2)
December 28, 2008 to January 31, 2009	33,795	\$ 11.94	33,795	40,746
February 1, 2009 to February 28, 2009	7,424	10.27	7,424	533,322
March 1, 2009 to March 28, 2009	46,722	9.12	46,722	486,600
Total	87,941	\$ 10.30	87,941	486,600

(1) The Board of Directors authorization for the repurchase of shares of the Company s common stock was originally approved in 1995 with no expiration date. The total shares approved for repurchase has been increased by additional Board of Directors approvals and is currently limited to 4,500,000 shares, of which 486,600 may still be repurchased.

On February 26, 2009, the Board of Directors authorized a 500,000 share repurchase.

ITEM 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

(2)

ITEM 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the first quarter of fiscal year 2009.

ITEM 5: Other Information

All information required to be reported in a report on Form 8-K during the first quarter covered by this Form 10-Q has been reported.

ITEM 6: Exhibits

3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended (Exhibit 3.1)(1)
3.2	By-laws, as amended and restated to date (Exhibit 3.2)(2)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to the specified exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, effective August 24, 1993 (Reg. No. 333-65108).

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to the specified exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006.

²²

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: April 22, 2009	By:	/s/ John L. Morgan John L. Morgan Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)
Date: April 22, 2009	By:	/s/ Anthony D. Ishaug Anthony D. Ishaug Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)
	23	

23

EXHIBIT INDEX

WINMARK CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q FOR QUARTER ENDED MARCH 28, 2009

Exhibit No.

Description

3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended	(Exhibit 3.1)(1)
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended	(Exhibit 3.1)(1)

3.2 By-laws, as amended and restated to date (Exhibit 3.2)(2)

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(2) Incorporated by reference to the specified exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006.

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to the specified exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, effective August 24, 1993 (Reg. No. 333-65108).

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, John L. Morgan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Winmark Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 22, 2009

/s/ John L. Morgan John L. Morgan Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Anthony D. Ishaug, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Winmark Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 22, 2009

Signature: /s/ Anthony D. Ishaug Anthony D. Ishaug Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Winmark Corporation (the Company) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 28, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Report), I, John L. Morgan, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Winmark Corporation and will be retained by Winmark Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Date: April 22, 2009

/s/ John L. Morgan John L. Morgan Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Winmark Corporation (the Company) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 28, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Report), I, Anthony D. Ishaug, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Winmark Corporation and will be retained by Winmark Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Date: April 22, 2009

/s/ Anthony D. Ishaug Anthony D. Ishaug Chief Financial Officer