

TIERONE CORP
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2009

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM ____ TO ____.

Commission file number: 000-50015

TierOne Corporation

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

Wisconsin

04-3638672

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1235 N Street
Lincoln, Nebraska

68508

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(402) 475-0521

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). (Registrant is not yet required to provide financial disclosure in Interactive Data File format).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 5, 2009 there were 18,034,474 issued and outstanding shares of the Registrant's common stock.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	<u>Page</u>
Item 1 - Financial Statements	4
Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	72
Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	72

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	73
Item 1A - Risk Factors	73
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	73
Item 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities	74
Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	74
Item 5 - Other Information	74
Item 6 - Exhibits	74
Signatures	75
Exhibit Index	76

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q which are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors. In addition to risk factors described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, risks and uncertainties that could result in material variations include, but are not limited to:

- General economic conditions and trends, either nationally or in some or all of the areas in which we and our customers conduct our businesses;
- Changes in interest rates or other competitive factors which could affect net interest margins and result in a change in net interest income and noninterest income;
- Changes in deposit flows, and in the demand for deposits, loans, investment products and other financial services in the markets we serve;
- Changes in the quality or composition of our loan portfolio, or the unanticipated further deterioration of our loan portfolio;
- Changes in our underlying assumptions or any unanticipated issues that could impact management's judgment regarding our allowance and provisions for loan losses, which could cause our existing allowance for loan losses to be inadequate;

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Changes in real estate values, which could impact the quality of the assets securing the loans in our portfolios;
 Changes in the financial or operating performance of our customers' businesses;
 Issues associated with unanticipated increases in the levels of losses, customer bankruptcies, claims and assessments;
 Our timely development of new lines of business and competitive products or services within our existing lines of business in a changing environment, and the acceptance of such products or services by our customers;
 Any interruption or breach of security resulting in failures or disruption in customer account management, general ledger, deposit operations, lending or other systems;
 Changes in fiscal, monetary, regulatory, trade and tax policies and laws;
 Increased competitive challenges and expanding product and pricing pressures among financial institutions;
 Changes in accounting policies or procedures as may be required by various regulatory agencies;
 Changes in consumer spending and savings habits;
 Unanticipated issues related to our ability to achieve expected results pursuant to our plan to address asset quality, restore long-term profitability and increase capital;
 Changes in liquidity levels in capital markets;
 Unanticipated events related to the supervisory agreement or actions by regulators, including any failure to meet enhanced regulatory capital requirements; and
 Other factors discussed in documents we may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time.

These factors should be considered in evaluating the forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation, and disclaim any obligation, to update information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including these forward-looking statements, to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 1 Financial Statements

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition June 30, 2009 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2008

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 51,444	\$ 73,567
Funds held at Federal Reserve Bank	233,962	29,292
Federal funds sold	--	147,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	285,406	249,859
Investment securities:		
Held to maturity, at cost which approximates fair value	36	48
Available for sale, at fair value	102,217	137,664
Mortgage-backed securities, available for sale, at fair value	6,864	3,133
Loans receivable:		
Net loans (includes loans held for sale of \$16,856 and \$13,917 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively)	2,605,988	2,782,220
Allowance for loan losses	(59,417)	(63,220)
Net loans after allowance for loan losses	2,546,571	2,719,000
FHLBank Topeka stock, at cost	39,743	47,011
Premises and equipment, net	33,804	35,316
Accrued interest receivable	13,330	16,886
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets, net	68,265	37,236
Other intangible assets, net	4,080	4,722
Mortgage servicing rights, net	18,532	14,806
Other assets	41,705	52,264

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

June 30, 2009

December 31, 2008

Total assets	\$	3,160,553	\$	3,317,945
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$	2,251,341	\$	2,307,292
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings		580,299		668,849
Advance payments from borrowers for taxes, insurance and other escrow funds		52,665		34,064
Accrued interest payable		4,869		5,158
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		26,949		31,969
Total liabilities		2,916,123		3,047,332
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued		--		--
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. 60,000,000 shares authorized; 22,575,075 issued at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008; 18,034,474 and 18,034,878 shares outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		226		226
Additional paid-in capital		366,208		367,028
Retained earnings (deficit), substantially restricted		(8,528)		17,364
Treasury stock, at cost; 4,540,601 and 4,540,197 shares at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		(105,207)		(105,206)
Unallocated common stock held by Employee Stock Ownership Plan		(7,901)		(8,654)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net		(368)		(145)
Total stockholders equity		244,430		270,613
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	3,160,553	\$	3,317,945

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

**TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)**

For the Three Months Ended June 30, **For the Six Months Ended June 30,**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2009		2008	
Interest income:				
Loans receivable	\$	34,656	\$	43,757
Investment securities		710		1,710
Other interest-earning assets		179		448
Total interest income		35,545		45,915
Interest expense:				
Deposits		11,883		15,696
				25,429
				36,415

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	6,732	7,376	13,791	14,809
Total interest expense	18,615	23,072	39,220	51,224
Net interest income	16,930	22,843	33,468	45,932
Provision for loan losses	21,681	27,694	33,844	67,634
Net interest loss after provision for loan losses	(4,751)	(4,851)	(376)	(21,702)
Noninterest income:				
Fees and service charges	6,645	6,316	9,521	11,846
Debit card fees	1,071	1,044	2,085	1,989
Loss from real estate operations, net	(601)	(125)	(942)	(232)
Loss on impairment of securities	--	(594)	--	(594)
Net gain (loss) on sales of:				
Loans held for sale	4,199	129	8,717	1,383
Other real estate owned	(45)	(4)	(123)	(22)
Other operating income	469	251	669	886
Total noninterest income	11,738	7,017	19,927	15,256
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	10,775	11,434	21,730	24,632
Goodwill impairment	--	--	--	42,101
Occupancy, net	2,389	2,504	4,841	4,880
Data processing	424	610	863	1,267
Advertising	373	925	1,079	2,038
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premium	3,402	659	5,573	802
Legal services	723	780	1,468	1,149
Other operating expense	4,983	5,147	9,874	9,786
Total noninterest expense	23,069	22,059	45,428	86,655
Loss before income taxes	(16,082)	(19,893)	(25,877)	(93,101)
Income tax expense (benefit)	7	(7,194)	15	(19,473)
Net loss	\$ (16,089)	\$ (12,699)	\$ (25,892)	\$ (73,628)
Net loss per common share, basic	\$ (0.95)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (1.53)	\$ (4.36)
Net loss per common share, diluted	\$ (0.95)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (1.53)	\$ (4.36)
Dividends declared per common share	\$ --	\$ 0.04	\$ --	\$ 0.12
Average common shares outstanding, basic (000 s)	16,994	16,864	16,966	16,882
Average common shares outstanding, diluted (000 s)	16,994	16,864	16,966	16,882

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In-Capital	Retained Earnings (Deficit), Substantially Restricted	Treasury Stock	Unallocated Common Stock Held by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net	Total Stockholders' s Equity
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 226	\$ 366,042	\$ 94,630	\$ (105,008)	\$ (10,159)	\$ (141)	\$ 345,590
Common stock earned by employees in Employee Stock Ownership Plan	--	155	--	--	753	--	908
Amortization of awards under the Management Recognition and Retention Plan	--	954	--	--	--	--	954
Amortization of stock options under 2003 Stock Option Plan	--	661	--	--	--	--	661
Repurchase of common stock (23,812 shares)	--	--	--	(216)	--	--	(216)
Treasury stock reissued under 2003 Stock Option Plan	--	(5)	--	23	--	--	18
Excess tax expense realized from stock- based compensation plans	--	(459)	--	--	--	--	(459)
Dividends paid (\$0.12 per common share)	--	--	(2,069)	--	--	--	(2,069)
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	--	--	(73,628)	--	--	--	(73,628)
Change in unrealized loss on available for sale securities, net of tax	--	--	--	--	--	(15)	(15)
Total comprehensive loss	--	--	(73,628)	--	--	(15)	(73,643)
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 226	\$ 367,348	\$ 18,933	\$ (105,201)	\$ (9,406)	\$ (156)	\$ 271,744
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 226	\$ 367,028	\$ 17,364	\$ (105,206)	\$ (8,654)	\$ (145)	\$ 270,613
Common stock earned by employees in Employee Stock Ownership Plan	--	(591)	--	--	753	--	162
Amortization of awards under the Management Recognition and Retention Plan	--	99	--	--	--	--	99
Amortization of stock options under 2003 Stock Option Plan	--	25	--	--	--	--	25
Repurchase of common stock (404 shares)	--	--	--	(1)	--	--	(1)
Excess tax expense realized from stock- based compensation plans	--	(353)	--	--	--	--	(353)
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	--	--	(25,892)	--	--	--	(25,892)
Change in unrealized loss on available for sale securities, net of tax	--	--	--	--	--	(223)	(223)
Total comprehensive loss	--	--	(25,892)	--	--	(223)	(26,115)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 226	\$ 366,208	\$ (8,528)	\$ (105,207)	\$ (7,901)	\$ (368)	\$ 244,430

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

**For the Six Months Ended
June 30,**

(Dollars in thousands)

	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (25,892)	\$ (73,628)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Net premium amortization (discount accretion) of investment and mortgage-backed securities	25	(1,342)
Premises and equipment depreciation and amortization	1,905	2,032
Amortization of other intangible assets	642	780
Accretion of discount on FHLBank Topeka advances	(127)	(128)
Employee Stock Ownership Plan compensation expense	162	908
2003 Management Recognition and Retention Plan compensation expense	99	954
2003 Stock Option Plan compensation expense	25	661
Net amortization of premiums (accretion of discounts) on net loans	743	(391)
FHLBank Topeka stock dividend	(532)	(1,365)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(113)	1,174
Goodwill impairment	--	42,101
Impairment of investment securities	--	594
Provision for loan losses	33,844	67,634
Provision for other real estate owned losses	861	836
Provision for valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets	9,845	--
Mortgage servicing rights originated, net	(4,851)	(1,692)
Mortgage servicing rights valuation allowance	1,125	11
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	570,067	243,665
Originations and purchases of loans held for sale	(564,289)	(247,363)
Excess tax expense from stock-based compensation plans	353	459
Net (gain) loss on sales of:		
Loans held for sale	(8,717)	(1,383)
Other real estate owned	123	22
Premises and equipment	4	4
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	3,556	3,439
Other assets	1,102	(20,671)
Accrued interest payable	(289)	(1,586)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(5,373)	(3,569)
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,298	12,156
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities, available for sale	(94,019)	(260,346)
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities, available for sale	123,260	215,159
Proceeds from principal repayments of investment and mortgage-backed securities, available for sale and held to maturity	2,123	2,493
Decrease in loans receivable	104,437	93,509
Redemption of FHLBank Topeka stock	7,800	--
Additions to premises and equipment	(559)	(763)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	3	--
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned and repossessed assets	4,331	2,278
Net cash provided by investing activities	147,376	52,330

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (continued)
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in deposits	\$ (55,951)	\$ (200,789)
Net advances (repayments) on FHLBank Topeka line of credit, short-term advances and other borrowings	(8,306)	1,749
Repayments of FHLBank Topeka long-term advances and other borrowings	(80,117)	(25,111)
Net increase in advances from borrowers for taxes, insurance and other escrow funds	18,601	2,832
Repurchase of common stock	(1)	(216)
Dividends paid on common stock	--	(2,069)
Excess tax expense from stock-based compensation plans	(353)	(459)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	--	18
Net cash used in financing activities	(126,127)	(224,045)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	35,547	(159,559)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	249,859	241,461
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 285,406	\$ 81,902
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during period for:		
Interest	\$ 39,509	\$ 52,810
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ --	\$ --
Noncash investing activities:		
Transfers from loans to real estate owned and other assets through foreclosure	\$ 36,344	\$ 12,396
Loss on impairment of securities	\$ --	\$ 594

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

TierOne Corporation (Company) is a Wisconsin corporation headquartered in Lincoln, Nebraska. TierOne Corporation is the holding company for TierOne Bank (Bank). The Bank has two wholly owned subsidiaries, TMS Corporation of the Americas (TMS) and United Farm & Ranch Management, Inc. (UFARM). TMS is the holding company of TierOne Investments and Insurance, Inc. (d/b/a TierOne Financial), a

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

wholly owned subsidiary that administers the sale of securities and insurance products, and TierOne Reinsurance Company, a wholly owned subsidiary that reinsures credit life and disability insurance policies. UFARM provides agricultural customers with professional farm and ranch management services. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Bank and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

The assets of the Company, on an unconsolidated basis, primarily consist of 100% of the Bank's common stock. The Company has no significant independent source of income and therefore depends on cash distributions from the Bank to meet its funding requirements.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2009 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are unaudited. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and operating results for interim periods. The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information, in accordance with instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and do not include all of the information and notes required for complete, audited financial statements. The unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire calendar year 2009.

We have reviewed subsequent events occurring through August 10, 2009, which represents the date of the filing of the financial statements with the SEC, and no subsequent events occurred requiring accrual or disclosure.

As used in this report, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms we, us, or our refer to the Company and the Bank.

9

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 2 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for each reporting period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised. Diluted earnings per share is computed after giving consideration to the weighted average dilutive effect of our 2003 Stock Option Plan shares and 2003 Management Recognition and Retention Plan shares. All stock options are assumed to be 100% vested for purposes of EPS computations. Due to our net loss for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, no potentially dilutive shares were included in the loss per share calculations since including such shares would be anti-dilutive. The following table is a reconciliation of basic and diluted EPS:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>	Basic Earnings Per Share	Diluted Earnings Per Share	Basic Earnings Per Share	Diluted Earnings Per Share
Net loss	\$ (16,089)	\$ (16,089)	\$ (12,699)	\$ (12,699)
Total weighted average basic common shares outstanding	16,994	16,994	16,864	16,864
Effect of dilutive securities:				
2003 Stock Option Plan		--		--
2003 Management Recognition and Retention Plan		--		--
Total weighted average basic and diluted common shares outstanding	16,994	16,994	16,864	16,864

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,			
	\$	(0.95)	\$	(0.75)
Net loss per common share	\$	(0.95)	\$	(0.75)
	For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings
	Per Share	Per Share	Per Share	Per Share
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Net loss	\$	(25,892)	\$	(73,628)
Total weighted average basic common shares outstanding		16,966		16,882
Effect of dilutive securities:				
2003 Stock Option Plan		--		--
2003 Management Recognition and Retention Plan		--		--
Total weighted average basic and diluted common shares outstanding		16,966		16,882
Net loss per common share	\$	(1.53)	\$	(4.36)

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 3 Investment and Mortgage-Backed Securities

Investment Security Composition. The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of investment and mortgage-backed securities by major security category at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	June 30, 2009			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
Gains		Losses		
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Held to maturity:				
Municipal obligations	\$	36	\$	--
				\$
				36
Available for sale:				
Mortgage-backed securities	6,809	69	14	6,864
United States Government securities and agency obligations	89,012	117	--	89,129
Corporate securities	3,535	--	105	3,430
Municipal obligations	5,375	24	18	5,381
Agency equity securities	8	8	--	16

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2009

Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund	4,907	--	646	4,261
Total investment and mortgage-backed securities, available for sale	\$ 109,646	\$ 218	\$ 783	\$ 109,081

December 31, 2008

(Dollars in thousands)	Gross Unrealized			
	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Held to maturity:				
Municipal obligations	\$ 48	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 48
Available for sale:				
Mortgage-backed securities	3,114	46	27	3,133
United States Government securities and agency obligations	119,811	264	4	120,071
Corporate securities	3,548	--	21	3,527
Municipal obligations	9,635	32	15	9,652
Agency equity securities	8	--	--	8
Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund	4,907	--	501	4,406
Total investment and mortgage-backed securities, available for sale	\$ 141,023	\$ 342	\$ 568	\$ 140,797

Unrealized Losses. The summary of available-for-sale investment and mortgage-backed securities shows that some of the securities in the available-for-sale portfolio had unrealized losses, or were temporarily impaired, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. This temporary impairment represents the estimated amount of loss that would be realized if the securities were sold on the valuation date. Securities which were temporarily impaired are shown below, along with the length of the impairment period.

(Dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
At June 30, 2009:						
U.S. Government securities and agency obligations	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Corporate securities	--	--	3,430	105	3,430	105
Municipal obligations	486	17	26	1	512	18
Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund	4,261	646	--	--	4,261	646
Mortgage-backed securities	982	14	--	--	982	14
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 5,729	\$ 677	\$ 3,456	\$ 106	\$ 9,185	\$ 783
At December 31, 2008:						

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
agency obligations	\$ 5,004	\$ 4	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 5,004	\$ 4
U.S. Government securities and Corporate securities	3,548	21	--	--	3,548	21
Municipal obligations	1,475	15	--	--	1,475	15
Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund	4,907	501	--	--	4,907	501
Mortgage-backed securities	1,484	12	501	15	1,985	27
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 16,418	\$ 553	\$ 501	\$ 15	\$ 16,919	\$ 568

We do not believe that the investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 represent an other-than-temporary impairment. Total gross unrealized losses were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and levels of market liquidity, relative to when the investment securities were purchased, and not due to the credit quality of the investment securities. We had two securities with unrealized losses totaling \$106,000 for 12 consecutive months or longer as of June 30, 2009. The unrealized losses at June 30, 2009 are believed to be temporarily impaired in value. Impairment is deemed temporary if the positive evidence indicating that an investment's carrying amount is recoverable within a reasonable time period outweighs negative evidence to the contrary. At June 30, 2009, we had the ability and intent to hold these securities until maturity or for the period necessary to recover the unrealized losses. Losses on impairment of securities totaled zero and \$594,000 for both of the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Contractual Maturities. The table below presents an analysis of the contractual maturities of our investment securities at June 30, 2009. Actual maturities may differ from the contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage-backed securities are disclosed separately in the table below as these investments may prepay prior to their scheduled contractual maturity dates.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Held to maturity:				
Due after one year through five years	\$ 36	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 36
Available for sale:				
Due in one year or less	74,601	21	646	73,976
Due after one year through five years	26,003	119	105	26,017
Due after five years through ten years	2,225	1	18	2,208
Due after ten years	8	8	--	16
Total available for sale investment securities	\$ 102,837	\$ 149	\$ 769	\$ 102,217
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 6,809	\$ 69	\$ 14	\$ 6,864

11

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 4 Loans Receivable

Loan Portfolio Composition. Loans receivable at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are summarized in the following table:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Amount	%	Amount	%

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
Real estate loans:				
One-to-four family residential (1)	\$ 352,576	12.95%	\$ 384,614	12.99%
Second mortgage residential	67,680	2.48	76,438	2.58
Multi-family residential	207,638	7.62	199,152	6.73
Commercial real estate	351,846	12.92	356,067	12.03
Land and land development	353,973	13.00	396,477	13.39
Residential construction	162,199	5.96	229,534	7.75
Commercial construction	308,691	11.34	360,163	12.16
Agriculture	86,142	3.16	95,097	3.21
Total real estate loans	1,890,745	69.43	2,097,542	70.84
Business	245,389	9.01	250,619	8.46
Agriculture - operating	80,241	2.95	106,429	3.59
Warehouse mortgage lines of credit	158,813	5.83	133,474	4.51
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	48,984	1.80	55,355	1.87
Home equity lines of credit	121,755	4.47	126,393	4.27
Home improvement	32,221	1.18	36,747	1.24
Automobile	83,260	3.06	89,202	3.01
Other	61,674	2.27	65,390	2.21
Total consumer loans	347,894	12.78	373,087	12.60
Total loans	2,723,082	100.00%	2,961,151	100.00%
Unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees	9,129		9,558	
Loans in process (2)	(126,223)		(188,489)	
Net loans	2,605,988		2,782,220	
Allowance for loan losses	(59,417)		(63,220)	
Net loans after allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,546,571		\$ 2,719,000	
(1) Includes loans held for sale	\$ 16,856		\$ 13,917	

(2) Loans in process represents the undisbursed portion of construction and land development loans.

Note 5 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill. We recorded goodwill as a result of our 2004 acquisition of United Nebraska Financial Co. (UNFC). In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we tested this goodwill for impairment annually during the third quarter of each year, or between annual assessment dates whenever events or significant changes in circumstances indicated that the carrying value may be impaired. We performed a goodwill impairment test as of March 31, 2008 due to adverse changes in the business climate. As a result of a decline in the market value of our common stock to levels below our book value, we determined that the entire amount of our goodwill was impaired, and we recorded a \$42.1 million goodwill impairment charge to write-off our goodwill at March 31, 2008.

Other Intangible Assets. Our only identifiable other intangible asset is the value of core deposits acquired as part of the UNFC and Marine Bank transactions. The core deposit intangible assets have been estimated to have nine- to ten-year lives. Core deposit intangible assets are amortized using an accelerated method of amortization which is recorded in other operating expense.

Other Intangible Asset Activity. The changes in the carrying amount of acquired intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 4,401	\$ 6,353	\$ 4,722	\$ 6,744
Amortization expense	(321)	(389)	(642)	(780)
Balance at end of period	\$ 4,080	\$ 5,964	\$ 4,080	\$ 5,964

Other Intangible Asset Estimated Amortization. Estimated amortization expense related to our core deposit intangible assets for the year ending December 31, 2009 and the five years thereafter are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

Estimated Amortization Expense For the Year Ending:

December 31, 2009	\$ 1,239
December 31, 2010	1,104
December 31, 2011	954
December 31, 2012	764
December 31, 2013	494
December 31, 2014	165

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 6 Mortgage Servicing Rights

In accordance with SFAS No. 156, we utilize the amortization method for all of our mortgage servicing right assets. Therefore, we carry our mortgage servicing rights at the lower of cost or market (fair value). Under the amortization method, we amortize mortgage servicing rights in proportion to and over the period of net servicing income. Income generated as a result of newly acquired servicing assets is reported as net gain on sale of loans held for sale in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Loan servicing fees, net of amortization of mortgage servicing rights, are recorded in fees and service charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We primarily service one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. We obtain mortgage servicing right assets when we deliver loans, both originated and purchased, as an agent into the secondary market on a servicing-retained basis. Initial fair value of the servicing right is calculated by a discounted cash flow model based on market value assumptions at the time of origination.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following are the key assumptions used in measuring the fair values of capitalized mortgage servicing rights and the sensitivity of the fair values to changes in those assumptions:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Serviced loan portfolio balance	\$1,885,792	\$1,600,715
Fair value	\$19,403	\$15,853
Prepayment speed	4.07% - 48.62%	5.34% - 49.44%
Weighted average prepayment speed	13.50%	13.57%
Fair value with 10% adverse change	\$18,810	\$15,302
Fair value with 20% adverse change	\$17,901	\$14,671
Discount rate	10.00% - 13.50%	10.50% - 14.50%
Weighted average discount rate	10.64%	11.76%
Fair value with 10% adverse change	\$19,084	\$15,302
Fair value with 20% adverse change	\$18,431	\$14,870

The sensitivity of the fair values is hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in the table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the mortgage servicing rights is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one assumption may result in changes in another that might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

14

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Mortgage Servicing Rights Activity. The following table summarizes mortgage servicing rights activity including amortization expense:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 15,397	\$ 15,461	\$ 14,806	\$ 14,530
Mortgage servicing rights capitalized	4,318	1,666	9,355	4,014
Amortization expense	(2,288)	(1,111)	(4,504)	(2,322)
Valuation adjustment	1,105	195	(1,125)	(11)
Balance at end of period	\$ 18,532	\$ 16,211	\$ 18,532	\$ 16,211

Mortgage Servicing Rights Valuation Allowance. The valuation allowance on mortgage servicing rights is summarized in the following table for the periods presented:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (3,585)	\$ (206)	\$ (1,355)	\$ --
Changes in mortgage servicing valuation reserve	1,105	195	(1,125)	(11)
Balance at end of period	\$ (2,480)	\$ (11)	\$ (2,480)	\$ (11)

We evaluate the fair value of mortgage servicing rights on a quarterly basis using current prepayment speeds, cash flow and discount rate estimates. Changes in these estimates impact fair value and could require us to record a valuation allowance or recovery. The amortization

expense and valuation adjustment are recorded as a reduction of fees and service charges in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 7 Deposits

Deposit Composition. Deposits at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are summarized in the following table:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Weighted Average Rates	Amount	Weighted Average Rates	Amount
Transaction accounts:				
Noninterest-bearing checking	-- % \$	163,620	-- % \$	149,597
Savings	0.83	223,118	1.60	204,494
Interest-bearing checking	0.35	346,712	0.65	327,361
Money market	0.78	252,726	1.23	249,714
Total transaction accounts	0.51	986,176	0.91	931,166
Total transaction accounts as a percentage of total deposits		43.80 %		40.36 %
Time deposits:				
0.00% to 0.99%		1,766		67
1.00% to 1.99%		201,009		397
2.00% to 2.99%		205,810		338,428
3.00% to 3.99%		794,488		939,321
4.00% to 4.99%		58,545		88,438
5.00% to 5.99%		3,547		9,475
Total time deposits (1)	3.12	1,265,165	3.43	1,376,126
Total time deposits as a percentage of total deposits		56.20 %		59.64 %
Total deposits	1.98 % \$	2,251,341	2.41 % \$	2,307,292

(1) We did not have any brokered time deposits at June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

Time Deposit Maturity. The scheduled maturities of time deposits at June 30, 2009 are presented in the following table:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Percent
Amount Maturing During the 12 Months Ending:		
June 30, 2010	\$ 1,200,371	94.88 %
June 30, 2011	37,766	2.98
June 30, 2012	11,709	0.93
June 30, 2013	9,258	0.73
June 30, 2014	5,981	0.47

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Percent
Thereafter	80	0.01
Total time deposits	\$ 1,265,165	100.00 %

16

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Time Deposits of \$100,000 or More. The following table shows the maturities of our time deposits of \$100,000 or more at June 30, 2009 by the time remaining to maturity.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Amount Maturing During the Quarter Ended:		
September 30, 2009	\$ 95,154	3.26 %
December 31, 2009	112,853	3.38
March 31, 2010	74,216	3.13
June 30, 2010	6,633	2.30
Thereafter	11,774	3.72
Total time deposits of \$100,000 or more	\$ 300,630	3.27 %

Time Deposits of \$250,000 or More. The *Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008* included a provision that temporarily raises the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance coverage limit on non-retirement accounts from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per account holder per institution. This increase in the insurance coverage limit is effective until December 31, 2013. The following table shows the maturities of our time deposits of \$250,000 or more at June 30, 2009 by the time remaining to maturity.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Amount Maturing During the Quarter Ended:		
September 30, 2009	\$ 21,741	2.80 %
December 31, 2009	18,973	3.72
March 31, 2010	10,227	3.24
June 30, 2010	2,028	2.66
Thereafter	3,386	3.33
Total time deposits of \$250,000 or more	\$ 56,355	3.22 %

17

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 8 FHLBank Topeka Advances and Other Borrowings

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we were indebted on notes as shown in the following table:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
Permanent fixed-rate notes payable to the FHLBank Topeka	\$	3,471	\$	8,715
Convertible fixed-rate notes payable to the FHLBank Topeka		525,000		600,000
Retail repurchase agreements		20,900		29,206
Junior subordinated debentures		30,928		30,928
Total FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	\$	580,299	\$	668,849
Weighted average interest rate		4.12 %		4.30 %

The convertible fixed-rate notes are convertible to adjustable-rate notes at the option of the FHLBank Topeka (FHLBank). We did not have an outstanding balance on our FHLBank line of credit at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The line of credit with the FHLBank expires in November 2009. We expect the line of credit agreement to be renewed in the ordinary course of business.

Pursuant to our collateral agreement with the FHLBank, such advances are secured by our qualifying residential, multi-family residential and commercial real estate mortgages, residential construction, commercial construction and agricultural real estate loans. Our borrowing capacity with the FHLBank at June 30, 2009 was \$556.4 million. Other qualifying collateral can be pledged in the event additional borrowing capacity is required.

Our retail repurchase agreements are primarily collateralized by governmental agency and municipal obligations (investment securities).

18

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 9 Stock-Based Benefit Plans

General. We account for our stock-based benefit plans using SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123(R)). SFAS No. 123(R) requires that compensation expense related to stock-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements and that expense be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires that forfeitures be estimated over the vesting period of the instrument.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation Expense. Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to our Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) and stock-based employee compensation plans are presented in the following table:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Stock-based employee compensation expense:				
Employee Stock Ownership Plan	\$ 80	\$ 283	\$ 161	\$ 848
Management Recognition and Retention Plan	50	228	99	954
2003 Stock Option Plan	12	148	25	661
Amount of stock-based compensation expense, before income tax benefit	\$ 142	\$ 659	\$ 285	\$ 2,463

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,					
Amount of related income tax benefit recognized	\$	--	\$	220	\$	--	\$	757

Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Concurrent with the conversion from mutual to stock ownership, we established an ESOP for the benefit of our employees. The ESOP is a qualified pension plan under Internal Revenue Service guidelines that covers all full-time employees who have completed 1,000 hours of service. Upon formation, the ESOP purchased 1,806,006 shares of common stock issued in the initial public offering with the proceeds of an \$18,060,060 loan from the Company.

We account for our ESOP in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Position 93-6, *Employers Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans*. Accordingly, expense is recognized based on the market value (average stock price) of shares scheduled to be released from the ESOP trust. The excess fair value of ESOP shares over cost is recorded as compensation expense but is not deductible for tax purposes. As shares are committed to be released from collateral, we report compensation expense equal to the average market price of the shares and the shares become outstanding for EPS computations. Our contributions and dividends on allocated and unallocated ESOP shares are used to pay down the loan. Accordingly, we have recorded the obligation with an offsetting amount of unearned compensation in stockholders' equity in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

19

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

	At or for the Three Months Ended June 30,		At or for the Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2009	2008	2009	2008				
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except for share data)</i>								
Employee Stock Ownership Plan compensation expense	\$	80	\$	283	\$	161	\$	848
Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares allocated to employees		940,628		790,128		940,628		790,128
Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares unallocated		865,378		1,015,878		865,378		1,015,878
Fair value of Employee Stock Ownership Plan unallocated shares	\$	1,783	\$	4,663	\$	1,783	\$	4,663

Management Recognition and Retention Plan. We established the 2003 Management Recognition and Retention Plan (MRRP) which is a stock-based incentive plan. The shares awarded by the MRRP vest to participants at the rate of 20% per year. Compensation expense for this plan is being recorded over a 60-month period, using the straight-line amortization method adjusted for forfeitures, and is based on the market value of our stock as of the date the awards were made. Stockholders approved 903,003 shares to be granted under the MRRP and 85,283 shares are still available for future grants as of June 30, 2009. The following table summarizes shares of our common stock that were subject to award and have been granted pursuant to the MRRP as of June 30, 2009 and 2008:

	At or for the Three Months Ended June 30,		At or for the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Nonvested shares outstanding at beginning of period	23,870	168,720	23,870	168,720
Shares granted	--	5,370	--	5,370
Shares vested	(1,074)	(152,720)	(1,074)	(152,720)
Shares forfeited	--	--	--	--
Nonvested shares outstanding at end of period	22,796	21,370	22,796	21,370

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Compensation expense related to the MRRP totaled \$50,000 and \$228,000 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Compensation expense related to the MRRP totaled \$99,000 and \$954,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of outstanding shares awarded by the MRRP was \$13.23 and \$20.37 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, we had \$175,000 of total unrecognized employee compensation expense related to unvested MRRP shares which are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 29 months. We realized excess tax benefits related to MRRP shares of zero and \$10,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We realized excess tax benefits related to MRRP shares of zero and \$10,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

20

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Stock Option Plan. We established the 2003 Stock Option Plan (SOP) under which 2,257,508 shares of our common stock were reserved for the grant of stock options to directors, officers and employees. Stock options awarded under the SOP vest to participants at the rate of 20% per year. Compensation expense for this plan is being recorded over a 60-month period, using the straight-line amortization method adjusted for forfeitures, and is based on the fair value of our stock options as of the date the awards were made. The exercise price of the options is equal to the market price of the common stock on the grant date. Stockholders approved 2,257,508 stock options to be granted under the SOP and 349,758 of these stock options remain available for future grants as of June 30, 2009.

The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes model. The dividend yield was calculated based on the annual dividends paid and the 12-month average closing stock price at the time of the grant. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of our stock price at the date of grant. We have utilized historical experience to determine the expected life of the stock options and to estimate future forfeitures. All inputs into the Black-Scholes model are estimates at the time of the grant. Actual results in the future could materially differ from these estimates; however, such results would not impact future reported net income.

Stock Option Activity. The following table details stock options granted, exercised and forfeited during the three months ended June 30, 2009:

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options outstanding at March 31, 2009	1,798,726	\$ 17.85		
Stock options granted	--	--		
Stock options exercised	--	--		
Stock options forfeited	--	--		
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2009	1,798,726	\$ 17.85	3.9	\$ --
Stock options exercisable at June 30, 2009	1,781,726	\$ 17.90	3.8	\$ --

21

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The following table details stock options granted, exercised and forfeited during the three months ended June 30, 2008:

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
--	---------------------	------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options outstanding at March 31, 2008	1,790,726	\$ 17.92		
Stock options granted	--	--		
Stock options exercised	--	--		
Stock options forfeited	--	--		
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2008	1,790,726	\$ 17.92	4.8	\$ --
Stock options exercisable at June 30, 2008	1,776,726	\$ 17.88	4.8	\$ --

The following table details stock options granted, exercised and forfeited during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options outstanding at December 31, 2008	1,799,726	\$ 17.85		
Stock options granted	--	--		
Stock options exercised	--	--		
Stock options forfeited	(1,000)	17.83		
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2009	1,798,726	\$ 17.85	3.9	\$ --
Stock options exercisable at June 30, 2009	1,781,726	\$ 17.90	3.8	\$ --

The following table details stock options granted, exercised and forfeited during the six months ended June 30, 2008:

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options outstanding at December 31, 2007	1,792,226	\$ 17.92		
Stock options granted	--	--		
Stock options exercised	(1,000)	17.83		
Stock options forfeited	(500)	17.83		
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2008	1,790,726	\$ 17.92	4.8	\$ --
Stock options exercisable at June 30, 2008	1,776,726	\$ 17.88	4.8	\$ --

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The following table details the intrinsic value, cash received and tax benefit realized from the exercise of stock options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Intrinsic value (market value on the exercise date less the strike price)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 5
Cash received from the exercise of stock options	--	--	--	18
Tax benefit realized from the exercise of stock options	--	--	--	--

At June 30, 2009, there was \$38,000 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options that will be expensed over a weighted average period of 34 months.

23

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 10 Unrecognized Tax Benefits

Unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, were \$173,000 and \$737,000 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Unrecognized tax benefits of \$170,000 and interest and penalties of \$3,000 would favorably affect our effective tax rate if recognized in future periods. The following table summarizes our unrecognized tax benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of period	\$ 159	\$ 4,336
Change in unrecognized tax benefits	14	(106)
Changes in unrecognized tax benefits related to deferred loan fees due to a change in a tax accounting method approved by the Internal Revenue Service	--	(3,493)
Unrecognized tax benefits at end of period	\$ 173	\$ 737

Any interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. At June 30, 2009 and 2008, we had approximately \$3,000 and \$87,000, respectively, of accrued interest payable related to uncertain tax positions recorded in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

We anticipate that a reduction in unrecognized tax benefits of up to \$32,000 is reasonably possible during the next 12 months. This potential reduction is primarily attributable to the expiration of the statute of limitations related to the 2005 tax period.

The tax years of 2005 through 2008 remain open for examination by federal and state taxing authorities.

Note 11 Deferred Taxes

In assessing the realizability of our deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. We consider the scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities and carryback opportunities in making the assessment of the necessity of a valuation allowance. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company determined a valuation allowance was necessary, largely based on the evidence represented by a cumulative loss in the most recent three-year period. In addition, general uncertainty surrounding future economic and business conditions has increased the potential volatility and uncertainty of projected earnings. Other assets include net deferred tax benefits of \$11.6 million and \$1.8 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. We have established a valuation allowance related to our net deferred tax benefits of \$11.6 million and \$1.8 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31,

2008, respectively. We evaluate our deferred tax assets and the related valuation allowance quarterly.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 12 Fair Value Measurements

On January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS No. 157) and SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosure related to the use of fair value measures in financial statements. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy from observable market data as the highest level to fair value based on the entity's own fair value assumption as the lowest level.

SFAS No. 159 allows us to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value measurement option has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option: (a) may be applied instrument by instrument, with certain exceptions, thus we may record identical financial assets and liabilities at fair value or by another measurement basis permitted under generally accepted accounting principals; (b) is irrevocable (unless a new election date occurs); and (c) is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments.

In accordance with SFAS No. 157, we group financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumption used to determine fair value. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- | | |
|---------|---|
| Level 1 | Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date. |
| Level 2 | Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. |
| Level 3 | Significant unobservable inputs that reflect an entity's own assumption about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. |

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts that reflect counterparty credit quality and creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. Our valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes our valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The following is a description of our valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Investment Securities, Available for Sale. This portfolio comprises the majority of the assets which we record at fair value. Securities classified as available for sale, which include U.S. Government securities and agency obligations and corporate securities are priced utilizing observable data from an active market. These measurements are classified as Level 1. Changes in the fair value of available for sale investment

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

securities are included in other comprehensive income (loss) to the extent the changes are not considered other than temporary impairments. Other than temporary impairment tests are performed on a quarterly basis and any decline in the fair value of an individual security below its cost that is deemed to be other than temporary results in a write-down to estimated fair value. These write-downs are reflected in our Statement of Operations as loss on impairment of securities. Losses on impairment of securities totaled zero and \$594,000 for both of the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Municipal obligations, mortgage-backed securities and agency equity securities are valued using a type of matrix, or grid, pricing in which securities are benchmarked against the treasury rate based on credit rating. These model and matrix measurements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund's Net Asset Value (NAV) or fair value is an accumulation of the estimated fair value of the debt instruments owned by the fund. The debt instruments are valued using market quotations or prices obtained from independent pricing services or, on certain securities, a fixed income fair value pricing methodology. The NAV is classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Assets Held in Employee Deferred Compensation Plans. Assets held in employee deferred compensation plans are recorded at fair value and included in other assets on our Statement of Financial Condition. The assets associated with these plans are invested primarily in mutual funds and classified as Level 1 as the fair value measurement is based upon available quoted prices. We also record a liability in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our Statement of Financial Condition for the amount due to employees related to these plans.

Derivatives. Our derivative instruments are loan commitments and forward loan sales related to personal mortgage loan origination activity. The fair values of mortgage loan commitments and forward sales contracts are based on quoted prices for similar loans in the secondary market. The fair value of derivatives is classified as Level 2. The net fair value of derivatives was \$2.0 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The derivative mark-to-market gains are recorded in other assets while the derivative mark-to-market losses are recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The \$253,000 decline in the fair value for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was included in the gain on the sale of loans in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The decrease in the fair value was primarily related to an \$88.1 million decrease in forward loan commitments at June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 and was due to increased mortgage loan refinancing activity.

26

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Fair Value at June 30, 2009
Securities, available for sale:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ --	\$ 6,864	\$ --	\$ 6,864
U.S. Government securities and agency obligations	89,129	--	--	89,129
Corporate securities	3,430	--	--	3,430
Municipal obligations	--	5,381	--	5,381
Agency equity securities	--	16	--	16
Asset Management Fund - ARM Fund	--	4,261	--	4,261
Total investment securities, available for sale	92,559	16,522	--	109,081
Assets held in employee deferred compensation plans	1,282	--	--	1,282
SFAS No. 133 derivatives:				
Loan commitments	--	1,696	--	1,696
Forward loan sales	--	321	--	321
Total SFAS No. 133 derivatives	--	2,017	--	2,017

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Fair Value at June 30, 2009
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 93,841	\$ 18,539	\$ --	\$ 112,380

The following is a description of our valuation methodologies used for other financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Except as noted below for impaired loans, no fair value adjustments on these instruments were recognized in the current period.

Loans Receivable / Impaired Loans. We do not record loans at their fair value on a recurring basis. However, we evaluate loans for impairment when it is probable the payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan has been determined to be impaired, it is measured to establish the amount of impairment, if any, based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except that collateral-dependent loans may be measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, a valuation allowance or loan charge-off is recognized. In determining the value of real estate collateral, we rely on external appraisals and assessment of property values by our internal staff. In the case of non-real estate collateral, reliance is placed on a variety of sources, including external estimates of value and judgments based on the experience and expertise of internal specialists. Because many of these inputs are not observable, the measurements are classified as Level 3. The carrying value of these impaired loans was \$289.1 million and \$185.9 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Impaired loans at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 have been reduced by charge-offs totaling \$52.3 million and \$40.1 million, respectively. The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans was \$17.9 million and \$16.4 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

27

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The Bank identified \$40.6 million of loans which were less than 90 days past due that have been classified as impaired. We determined that the fair value of the collateral was insufficient to cover the outstanding principal and interest at June 30, 2009. As a result of this determination, \$455,000 of accrued interest receivable was fully reserved at June 30, 2009.

Loans Held for Sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The portfolio consists primarily of fixed rate single-family residential real estate loans.

Single-family residential real estate loan measurements are based on quoted market prices for similar loans in the secondary market. These measurements are classified as Level 2 as they utilize quoted market prices for similar assets in an active market.

FHLBank Topeka Stock. At June 30, 2009, we held \$39.7 million of FHLBank stock which represents our carrying value which is approximately equal to fair value. Fair value measurements for these securities are classified as Level 3 based on their undeliverable nature related to credit risk.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. We initially measure our mortgage servicing rights at fair value, and amortize them over the period of estimated net servicing income. They are periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the fair value is estimated based on a valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates assumptions that market participants use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimates of prepayment speeds, market discount rates, cost to service, float earnings rates and other ancillary income, including late fees. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3. A valuation allowance of \$1.1 million was recorded as a reduction of fees and services charges during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The valuation allowance was \$2.5 million and \$1.4 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

28

TierOne Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Carrying and Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The estimated fair values of our financial instruments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table. Since the fair value of forward loan sales, loan purchase commitments and loan origination commitments are not significant, these disclosures are not included in this table.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	At June 30, 2009		At December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 285,406	\$ 285,406	\$ 249,859	\$ 249,859
Investment securities	102,253	102,253	137,712	137,712
Mortgage-backed securities	6,864	6,864	3,133	3,133
Net loans after allowance for loan losses	2,546,571	2,613,782	2,719,000	2,820,623
Accrued interest receivable	13,330	13,330	16,886	16,886
FHLBank Topeka stock	39,743	39,743	47,011	47,011
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	2,251,341	2,266,538	2,307,292	2,335,826
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	580,299	631,352	668,849	754,011
Advances from borrowers for taxes, insurance and other escrow funds	52,665	52,665	34,064	34,064
Accrued interest payable	4,869	4,869	5,158	5,158

Limitations. The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

29

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following is a discussion and analysis of TierOne Corporation's (Company) financial condition and results of operations, including information on the Company's critical accounting policies, asset/liability management, liquidity and capital resources and contractual obligations. Information contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the disclosure regarding Forward-Looking Statements and the risk factors described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

General

TierOne Bank (Bank), a subsidiary of the Company, is a \$3.2 billion federally chartered stock savings bank headquartered in Lincoln, Nebraska. Established in 1907, the Bank offers customers a wide variety of full-service consumer, commercial and agricultural banking products and services through a network of 69 banking offices located in Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas. Product offerings include residential, commercial and agricultural real estate loans; consumer, construction, business and agricultural operating loans; warehouse mortgage lines of credit; consumer and business checking and savings plans; investment and insurance services; and telephone and internet banking.

Our results of operations are dependent primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on our assets and our cost of funds. Our results of operations are also affected by our provision for loan losses, noninterest income, noninterest expense and income tax expense (benefit). Noninterest income generally includes fees and service charges, debit card fees, net income (loss) from real estate operations, net gain on sales of investment securities, loans held for sale and other real estate owned and other operating income. Noninterest expense consists of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, data processing, advertising, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance premium, legal services and other operating expense. Our results of operations are significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates and U.S. Treasury yield curves, governmental policies and actions of

regulatory authorities.

As used in this report, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms we, us, or our refer to the Company and the Bank.

30

Recent Developments

Regulatory Developments. On January 15, 2009, the Bank entered into a supervisory agreement with the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), the Bank's primary federal regulator, in response to regulatory concerns raised in the Bank's most recent regulatory examination by the OTS and to address the current economic environment facing the banking and financial industry. The agreement requires, among other things:

The review, and where appropriate, revisions to or adoption of: (a) loan policies, procedures and reporting; (b) credit administration and underwriting; (c) asset classification; (d) allowance for loan and lease losses; and (e) internal asset review; Enhanced management oversight including restrictions on changes in compensation arrangements; and Strengthening the Bank's capital position, including a requirement that the Bank maintain a minimum core capital ratio of 8.5% and a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 11.0%.

The supervisory agreement also prohibits capital distributions by the Bank and the acceptance of brokered deposits. The Company agreed to maintain the Bank's regulatory capital (at the levels described above) as well as to not pay dividends on its common stock, make payments on its trust preferred securities or repurchase any shares of its common stock until the OTS issues a written notice of non-objection. The supervisory agreement will remain in effect until modified, suspended or terminated by the OTS. The Bank has fulfilled all of the obligations set forth in the supervisory agreement and continues to comply with the ongoing reporting requirements. The foregoing information does not purport to be a complete summary of the supervisory agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the supervisory agreement filed as Exhibit 10.24 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Board of Director Appointments. On July 22, 2009, we announced that the Company has expanded its Board of Directors and has appointed James E. McClurg, Ph.D and James W. Strand to serve in the two newly created Board positions. Both Dr. McClurg and Mr. Strand were also appointed as directors of the Bank. Dr. McClurg will serve for a term expiring at the Company's 2011 annual meeting and Mr. Strand will serve for a term expiring at the Company's 2012 annual meeting.

31

Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of our accounting policies, by nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Our policies with respect to the methodologies used to recognize income, determine the allowance for loan losses, evaluating investment and mortgage-backed securities for impairment, evaluating goodwill and other intangible assets, valuation of mortgage servicing rights, valuation and measurement of derivatives and commitments, valuation of real estate owned and estimating income taxes are our most critical accounting policies. These policies are important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations, involve a higher degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions and estimates could result in material differences in our reported financial condition and results of operations.

Income Recognition. We recognize interest income by methods that conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). In the event management believes collection of all or a portion of contractual interest on a loan has become doubtful, which generally occurs after a loan is contractually delinquent 90 days or more, we discontinue the accrual of interest and charge-off all previously accrued interest. Interest received on nonperforming loans is included in income only if principal recovery is reasonably assured. A nonperforming loan is restored to accrual status when it is brought current and the collectibility of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt.

Allowance for Loan Losses. We have identified the allowance for loan losses as a critical accounting policy where amounts are subject to material variation. This policy is significantly affected by our judgment and uncertainties and there is a likelihood that materially different amounts could be reported under different, but reasonably plausible, conditions or assumptions. The allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because there is a large degree of judgment in:

Assigning individual loans to specific risk levels (pass, special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss);

32

- Valuing the underlying collateral securing the loans;
- Determining the appropriate reserve factor to be applied to specific risk levels for special mention loans and those adversely classified (substandard, doubtful and loss); and
- Determining reserve factors to be applied to pass loans based upon loan type.

We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charges to our operating results, in order to maintain a level of total allowance for loan losses that, in management's belief, covers all known and inherent losses that are both probable and reasonably estimable at each reporting date. Management reviews the loan portfolio no less frequently than monthly in order to identify those inherent losses and to assess the overall collection probability of the loan portfolio. Management's review includes a quantitative analysis by loan category, using historical loss experience, classifying loans pursuant to a grading system and consideration of a series of qualitative loss factors. The evaluation process includes, among other things:

- Assigning individual loans to specific risk levels (pass, special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss);
- Trends and levels of delinquent, nonperforming or impaired loans;
- Trends and levels of charge-offs and recoveries;
- Underwriting terms or guarantees for loans;
- Impact of changes in underwriting standards, risk tolerances or other changes in lending practices;
- Changes in the value of collateral securing loans;
- Total loans outstanding and the volume of loan originations;
- Type, size, terms and geographic concentration of loans held;
- Changes in qualifications or experience of the lending staff;
- Changes in local or national economic or industry conditions;
- Number of loans requiring heightened management oversight;
- Changes in credit concentration; and
- Changes in regulatory requirements.

In addition, we use information about specific borrower situations, including their financial position, work-out plans and estimated collateral values under various liquidation scenarios to estimate the risk and amount of potential loss.

This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events occur.

33

The allowance for loan losses has two elements. The first element is an allocated allowance established for specific loans identified by the credit review function that are evaluated individually for impairment and are considered to be impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impairment is measured by:

- The fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent;
- The present value of expected future cash flows; or
- The loan's observable market price.

The second element is an estimated allowance established for losses which are probable and reasonable to estimate on each category of outstanding loans. While management uses available information to recognize probable losses on loans inherent in the portfolio, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions and other factors. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Investment and Mortgage-Backed Securities. We evaluate our available for sale and held to maturity investment securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. An impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations is recognized when the decline in the fair value of investment securities below their cost basis is determined to be other-than-temporary. Various factors are utilized in determining whether we should record an impairment charge, including, but not limited to, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than its cost basis and our ability and intent to hold the investment security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. On January 1, 2007 we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (SFAS No. 156). In accordance with SFAS No. 156, we utilize the amortization method for all of our mortgage servicing right assets, thus, carrying our mortgage servicing rights at the lower of cost or market (fair value). Under the amortization method, we amortize mortgage servicing rights in proportion to and over the period of net servicing income. Income generated as a result of new servicing assets is reported as net gain on sale of loans held for sale in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Loan servicing fees, net of amortization of mortgage servicing rights, is recorded in fees and service charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We capitalize the estimated value of mortgage servicing rights upon the sale of loans. The estimated value takes into consideration contractually known amounts, such as loan balance, term and interest rate. These estimates are impacted by loan prepayment speeds, servicing costs and discount rates used to compute a present value of the cash flow stream. We evaluate the fair value of mortgage servicing rights on a quarterly basis using current prepayment speed, cash flow and discount rate estimates. Changes in these estimates impact fair value and could require us to record a valuation allowance or recovery. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is highly sensitive to changes in assumptions. Changes in prepayment speed assumptions have the most significant impact on the fair value of mortgage servicing rights. Generally, as interest rates decline, prepayments accelerate with increased refinance activity, which results in a decrease in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights. As interest rates rise, prepayments generally slow, which results in an increase in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights. All assumptions are reviewed for reasonableness on a quarterly basis and adjusted as necessary to reflect current and anticipated market conditions. Thus, any measurement of fair value is limited by the conditions existing and the assumptions utilized as of a particular point in time, and those assumptions may not be appropriate if applied at a different point in time. We currently do not utilize direct financial hedges to mitigate the effect of changes in the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights.

34

Derivatives and Commitments. We account for our derivatives and hedging activities in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity*, as amended by SFAS No. 138, *Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities* and SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

In the normal course of business, we enter into contractual commitments, including loan commitments and rate lock commitments, to extend credit to finance residential mortgages. These commitments, which contain fixed expiration dates, offer the borrower an interest rate guarantee provided the loan meets underwriting guidelines and closes within the time frame established by us. Interest rate risk arises on these commitments and subsequently closed loans if interest rates increase or decrease between the time of the interest rate lock and the delivery of the loan to the investor. Loan commitments related to mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered derivatives in accordance with the guidance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109, *Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings*. Accordingly, the fair value of these derivatives at the end of the reporting period is based on a quoted market price that closely approximates the amount that would have been recognized if the loan commitment was funded and sold.

To mitigate the effect of interest rate risk inherent in providing loan commitments, we hedge our commitments by entering into mandatory or best efforts delivery forward sale contracts. These forward contracts are marked-to-market through earnings and are not designated as accounting hedges under SFAS No. 133. The change in the fair value of loan commitments and the change in the fair value of forward sales contracts generally move in opposite directions and, accordingly, the impact of changes in these valuations on net income during the loan commitment period is generally inconsequential.

Although the forward loan sale contracts also serve as an economic hedge of loans held for sale, forward contracts have not been designated as accounting hedges under SFAS No. 133 and, accordingly, loans held for sale are accounted for at the lower of cost or market in accordance with SFAS No. 65, *Accounting for Certain Mortgage Banking Activities*.

Other Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets. Property and other assets acquired through foreclosure of defaulted mortgage or other collateralized loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated costs to sell the property and other assets. The fair value of other real estate owned is generally determined from appraisals obtained by independent appraisers. Development and improvement costs relating to such property are capitalized to the extent they are deemed to be recoverable.

35

An allowance for losses on other real estate owned and repossessed assets is intended to include amounts for estimated losses as a result of impairment in value of real property after repossession. We review our other real estate owned for impairment in value whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the property or other assets may not be recoverable.

Income Taxes. We estimate income taxes payable based on the amount we expect to owe various tax authorities. Accrued income taxes represent the net estimated amount due to, or to be received from, taxing authorities. In estimating accrued income taxes, we assess the relative

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

merits and risks of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions, taking into account the applicable statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance in the context of our tax position. Although we utilize current information to record income taxes, underlying assumptions may change over time as a result of unanticipated events or circumstances.

In assessing the realizability of our deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. We consider the scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities and carryback opportunities in making the assessment of the necessity of a valuation allowance.

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48) requires that we determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Once it is determined that a position meets the recognition threshold, the position is measured to determine the amount of benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. Any interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008

Assets

General. Our total assets were \$3.2 billion at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$157.4 million, or 4.7%, compared to \$3.3 billion at December 31, 2008.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$285.4 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$35.5 million, or 14.2%, compared to \$249.9 million at December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to loan repayments which were partially offset by repayment of FHLBank Topeka (FHLBank) advances and deposit outflows.

Investment Securities. Our available for sale investment securities totaled \$102.2 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$35.4 million, or 25.7%, compared to \$137.7 million at December 31, 2008. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, maturities of investment securities totaled \$123.3 million which were partially offset by security purchases of \$88.2 million. The securities purchased during 2009 were primarily agency obligations that were purchased to collateralize deposits.

36

Mortgage-Backed Securities. Our mortgage-backed securities, all of which are recorded as available for sale, totaled \$6.9 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$3.7 million, or 119.1%, compared to \$3.1 million at December 31, 2008. The increase in our mortgage-backed securities was the result of a \$5.9 million security purchase partially offset by \$2.1 million of principal payments received during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Loans Receivable. Net loans totaled \$2.6 billion at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$176.2 million, or 6.3%, compared to \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2008. The decrease in net loans at June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to a decrease in loan originations related to our tightening of credit policies and our reduction of exposure in selected business lines and geographic markets due to the continued deterioration in these real estate markets and the economy in general. The following table details the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
One-to-four family residential (1)	\$ 352,576	\$ 384,614	\$ (32,038)	(8.33)%
Second mortgage residential	67,680	76,438	(8,758)	(11.46)
Multi-family residential	207,638	199,152	8,486	4.26
Commercial real estate	351,846	356,067	(4,221)	(1.19)
Land and land development	353,973	396,477	(42,504)	(10.72)
Residential construction	162,199	229,534	(67,335)	(29.34)
Commercial construction	308,691	360,163	(51,472)	(14.29)
Agriculture - real estate	86,142	95,097	(8,955)	(9.42)
Business	245,389	250,619	(5,230)	(2.09)
Agriculture - operating	80,241	106,429	(26,188)	(24.61)
Warehouse mortgage lines of credit	158,813	133,474	25,339	18.98
Consumer	347,894	373,087	(25,193)	(6.75)

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Total loans	2,723,082	2,961,151	(238,069)	(8.04)
Unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees	9,129	9,558	(429)	(4.49)
Loans in process (2):				
Land and land development	(40,352)	(50,622)	10,270	(20.29)
Residential construction	(18,551)	(32,846)	14,295	43.52
Commercial construction	(67,320)	(105,021)	37,701	(35.90)
Net loans	\$ 2,605,988	\$ 2,782,220	\$ (176,232)	(6.33)%
(1) Includes loans held for sale	\$ 16,856	\$ 13,917	\$ 2,939	21.12%

(2) Loans in process represents the undisbursed portion of construction and land development loans.

At June 30, 2009, the outstanding balance (net of loans in process) of our residential construction loans was \$143.6 million, a decrease of \$53.0 million, or 27.0%, compared to \$196.7 million at December 31, 2008. The outstanding balance (net of loans in process) of our land and land development loans was \$313.6 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$32.2 million, or 9.3%, compared to \$345.9 million at December 31, 2008. The outstanding balance (net of loans in process) of our commercial construction loans was \$241.4 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$13.8 million, or 5.4%, compared to \$255.1 million at December 31, 2008.

37

Loan Portfolio Activity. The following table shows total loans originated, purchased, sold and repaid during the periods indicated:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net loans after allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$ 2,671,191	\$ 2,808,005	\$ 2,719,000	\$ 2,909,589
Loan originations:				
One-to-four family residential	95,052	45,217	169,360	95,640
Second mortgage residential	24	231	468	596
Multi-family residential	1,398	1,622	1,695	11,802
Commercial real estate	9,191	36,331	24,577	43,489
Land and land development	481	912	4,716	5,358
Residential construction	10,663	18,761	16,474	37,241
Commercial construction	2,842	6,508	13,317	35,325
Agriculture - real estate	8,112	14,183	16,275	23,339
Business	59,256	83,503	123,159	173,585
Agriculture - operating	56,797	78,821	130,096	162,276
Warehouse mortgage lines of credit (1)	1,195,720	566,513	2,252,334	1,171,393
Consumer	23,003	32,051	45,721	61,567
Total loan originations	1,462,539	884,653	2,798,192	1,821,611
Loan purchases:				
One-to-four family residential (2)	177,594	97,520	407,758	202,505
Second mortgage residential	--	64	13	64
Residential construction	--	7,621	--	18,003
Commercial construction	--	798	--	798
Agriculture - real estate	233	1,488	5,570	2,975
Business	1,021	2,374	5,962	4,409
Agriculture - operating	51	738	51	1,001
Consumer	8,557	12,807	17,534	20,682

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Total loan purchases	187,456	123,410	436,888	250,437
Total loan originations and purchases	1,649,995	1,008,063	3,235,080	2,072,048
Sales and loan principal repayments:				
Loan sales:				
One-to-four family residential	(272,146)	(108,358)	(561,350)	(243,970)
Land and land development	--	(99)	--	(99)
Residential construction	--	(40,582)	--	(40,582)
Consumer	--	--	--	(210)
Loan principal reductions:				
Real estate, business, agriculture-operating and consumer	(331,424)	(453,422)	(684,803)	(912,368)
Warehouse mortgage lines of credit (1)	(1,196,233)	(574,203)	(2,226,995)	(1,178,760)
Total loan sales and principal repayments	(1,799,803)	(1,176,664)	(3,473,148)	(2,375,989)
Increase due to other items (3)	25,188	102,118	65,639	135,874
Net loans after allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$ 2,546,571	\$ 2,741,522	\$ 2,546,571	\$ 2,741,522

- (1) Reflects amounts advanced and repaid under such lines of credit during the periods presented.
- (2) Substantially all of these fixed-rate loans were acquired from loan correspondents and mortgage brokers and sold to the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation or the FHLBank Topeka with servicing retained.
- (3) Other items consist of loans in process, unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees and changes in the allowance for loan losses.

38

Redefining our Primary Lending Market Area. On June 30, 2008 we announced the closing of all nine of our loan production offices in an effort to focus our lending activity in our primary market area of Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas.

Total Loans by State. The following table details the concentration of our total loan portfolio by state at the dates indicated:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	At June 30, 2009	%	At December 31, 2008	%	At June 30, 2008	%
Within Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas:						
Nebraska	\$ 1,285,035	47.19%	\$ 1,383,732	46.73%	\$ 1,346,426	44.31%
Iowa	120,890	4.44	123,330	4.16	125,021	4.11
Kansas	80,825	2.97	82,834	2.80	67,580	2.22
Total within Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas	1,486,750	54.60	1,589,896	53.69	1,539,027	50.64
Within Former Loan Production Office States:						
Nevada	157,213	5.77	192,624	6.51	209,802	6.90
Colorado	158,591	5.83	157,924	5.33	217,669	7.16
Arizona	132,626	4.87	144,359	4.88	160,564	5.28
Minnesota	110,774	4.07	132,057	4.46	128,375	4.23
North Carolina	51,241	1.88	63,768	2.15	90,186	2.97
Florida	54,566	2.00	72,912	2.46	83,799	2.76
Total within former loan production office states	665,011	24.42	763,644	25.79	890,395	29.30

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	At June 30, 2009	%	At December 31, 2008	%	At June 30, 2008	%
Other States:						
South Carolina	49,093	1.80	66,786	2.26	89,154	2.94
California	73,436	2.70	68,642	2.32	73,045	2.40
Texas	74,884	2.75	76,162	2.57	57,268	1.89
Illinois	50,182	1.84	63,502	2.14	73,481	2.42
Oregon	30,024	1.10	45,078	1.52	33,427	1.10
Washington	37,629	1.38	31,052	1.05	27,164	0.89
Other States	256,073	9.41	256,389	8.66	255,962	8.42
Total other states	571,321	20.98	607,611	20.52	609,501	20.06
Total loans	\$ 2,723,082	100.00%	\$ 2,961,151	100.00%	\$ 3,038,923	100.00%

39

Net Loan Concentration by State and Type. The following table details the concentration of our net loan portfolio by state and loan type at June 30, 2009:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	One-to-Four Family Residential	Second Mortgage Residential	Multi-Family Residential	Land and Land Development	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Construction
Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas	\$ 194,003	\$ 11,736	\$ 96,168	\$ 128,324	\$ 234,621	\$ 28,875
Nevada	1,666	25	713	67,087	3,740	17,967
Colorado	4,244	1,653	45,469	26,973	47,521	--
Arizona	10,415	832	--	41,874	19,224	10,884
Minnesota	9,833	1,099	17,736	26,942	2,468	2,882
California	31,963	4,562	5,234	--	5,349	--
Florida	30,995	2,279	3,049	6,111	--	7,454
Texas	5,792	2,956	2,919	3,286	17,741	--
South Carolina	911	293	--	2,208	--	41,275
Missouri	6,599	741	605	36	4	--
North Carolina	2,775	751	494	5,391	--	33,631
Illinois	9,323	838	1,757	--	3,003	--
Washington	1,440	1,394	6,059	--	9,504	--
Oregon	544	771	12,400	--	4,398	--
Ohio	1,461	15,730	584	--	1,102	--
Arkansas	773	189	5,936	--	--	--
All Other States	42,990	21,901	8,398	5,113	2,845	622
Net loans at June 30, 2009	355,727	67,750	207,521	313,345	351,520	143,590
Net loans at December 31, 2008	388,017	76,520	199,021	345,487	355,703	196,615
Increase (decrease) in net loans	\$ (32,290)	\$ (8,770)	\$ 8,500	\$ (32,142)	\$ (4,183)	\$ (53,025)

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Commercial Construction	Agricultural	Business	Warehouse Mortgage Lines of Credit	Consumer	Net Loan Balance at June 30, 2009	Net Loan Balance at December 31, 2008	Increase (Decrease) in Net Loans
Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas	\$ 68,531	\$ 162,003	\$ 233,872	\$ 2,782	\$ 269,850	\$ 1,430,765	\$ 1,520,901	\$ (90,136)
Nevada	51,570	618	--	--	68	143,454	171,527	(28,073)
Colorado	16,234	149	894	--	490	143,627	139,730	3,897
Arizona	25,504	--	3,726	7,179	606	120,244	126,940	(6,696)
Minnesota	350	29	323	32,276	15,813	109,751	130,076	(20,325)
California	--	--	31	24,061	2,236	73,436	68,642	4,794
Florida	--	178	513	--	3,646	54,225	69,565	(15,340)
Texas	29,094	963	5,692	--	37	68,480	58,122	10,358
South Carolina	--	--	--	--	1,363	46,050	59,597	(13,547)

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Commercial Construction	Agricultural	Business	Warehouse Mortgage Lines of Credit	Consumer	Net Loan Balance at June 30, 2009	Net Loan Balance at December 31, 2008	Increase (Decrease) in Net Loans
Missouri	14,953	200	159	18,896	6,531	48,724	49,737	(1,013)
North Carolina	181	156	112	3,539	1,181	48,211	55,708	(7,497)
Illinois	21,340	--	--	--	1,226	37,487	43,889	(6,402)
Washington	--	481	--	18,663	88	37,629	31,052	6,577
Oregon	--	458	--	11,343	110	30,024	44,133	(14,109)
Ohio	--	--	66	9,292	1,015	29,250	25,112	4,138
Arkansas	13,266	--	--	--	2,553	22,717	24,028	(1,311)
All Other States	--	1,315	196	30,781	47,753	161,914	163,461	(1,547)
Net loans at June 30, 2009	241,023	166,550	245,584	158,812	354,566	2,605,988	2,782,220	(176,232)
Net loans at December 31, 2008	254,643	201,717	250,845	133,474	380,178	2,782,220		
Increase (decrease) in net loans	\$ (13,620)	\$ (35,167)	\$ (5,261)	\$ 25,338	\$ (25,612)	\$ (176,232)		

40

Loan Quality and Nonperforming Assets

Reports listing all delinquent loans (loans 30 or more days delinquent), classified assets and other real estate owned are reviewed monthly by management and by our Board of Directors as part of its regular board meetings. The procedures we take with respect to delinquencies vary depending on the nature of the loan, period and cause of delinquency and whether the borrower is habitually delinquent. When a borrower fails to make a required payment on a loan, we take a number of steps to have the borrower cure the delinquency and restore the loan to current status. In the event payment is not then received or the loan not otherwise satisfied, collection letters are sent and telephone calls are generally made. If the loan is still not brought current or satisfied and it becomes necessary for us to take legal action, which typically occurs after a loan is 90 days or more delinquent, we will commence recovery proceedings against the property securing the loan. If a legal action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or refinanced before the recovery sale, the property securing the loan generally is sold and, if purchased by us, becomes other real estate owned or a repossessed asset.

During 2007 and 2008 and for the first six months of 2009, our levels of delinquent loans, nonperforming loans (loans 90 or more days delinquent), impaired loans and other real estate owned increased reflecting, among other factors, the deterioration in the nation's economic conditions. The real estate market has continued to decline in 2009 placing continued financial stress on customers, particularly those engaged in residential development. The downturn in the residential housing market has greatly reduced demand and market prices for developed residential lots and vacant land as well as completed homes. Where there is reduced demand for new homes, certain residential developers may be required to hold their properties for longer periods of time or be forced to sell their properties at a significantly reduced price which may result in greater holding costs and lower or deferred cash inflows. These factors have resulted in, and may continue to result in, greater credit risks for lenders. Additionally, significantly tightened credit standards have made it more difficult for potential borrowers to obtain financing and for current borrowers to refinance existing loans.

Our current levels of delinquent, nonperforming and impaired loans are primarily attributable to the continued deterioration in the real estate market and the economy in general. We have been further impacted by the erosion of property values and an overall increase in housing inventory (both developed lots and completed houses) in many of the areas of the country in which we do business and where the collateral for our loans resides. In response to these conditions, we have tightened credit policies and reduced our exposure in selected business lines and geographic markets.

41

Delinquent Loans. The following table shows loans delinquent 30 - 89 days in our loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
One-to-four family residential	\$ 5,871	\$ 7,906	\$ 3,764	\$ 2,117
Second mortgage residential	1,512	1,375	1,682	1,361
Multi-family residential	3,929	1,366	7,923	7,955
Commercial real estate	1,005	591	3,222	43

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
Land and land development	18,467	38,341	16,817	15,625
Residential construction	11,192	13,725	24,015	14,299
Commercial construction	340	23,105	1,658	--
Agriculture - real estate	624	581	445	554
Business	2,404	2,574	5,008	2,009
Agriculture - operating	373	3,557	399	225
Consumer	3,914	4,823	5,441	3,908
Total delinquent loans	\$ 49,631	\$ 97,944	\$ 70,374	\$ 48,096
Delinquent loans as a percentage of net loans before allowance for loan losses	1.90%	3.59%	2.53%	1.71%

The decrease in delinquent loans at June 30, 2009 compared to March 31, 2009 primarily resulted from the transfer of two Minnesota land development loans and one Kansas City area condominium project from delinquent to nonperforming status.

42

Nonperforming Loans and Other Real Estate Owned. The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming loans (90 or more days delinquent), other real estate owned and repossessed assets. It is our policy to cease accruing interest on loans contractually delinquent 90 days or more and fully reserve all accrued interest. We did not have any accruing loans 90 days or more past due at the dates shown.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
Nonperforming loans:				
One-to-four family residential	\$ 15,595	\$ 10,333	\$ 6,367	\$ 4,994
Second mortgage residential	803	786	677	486
Multi-family residential	391	403	412	432
Commercial real estate	9,908	6,321	4,285	5,920
Land and land development	80,109	57,915	58,428	63,439
Residential construction	59,540	63,184	51,295	34,059
Commercial construction	37,284	16,626	16,741	20,327
Agriculture - real estate	342	315	159	202
Business	1,335	1,354	1,313	1,287
Agriculture - operating	84	110	110	165
Consumer	2,226	2,535	2,428	1,634
Total nonperforming loans	207,617	159,882	142,215	132,945
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets, net (1)	68,265	50,808	37,236	15,665
Total nonperforming assets	275,882	210,690	179,451	148,610
Troubled debt restructurings	62,077	51,245	35,528	15,350
Total nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings	\$ 337,959	\$ 261,935	\$ 214,979	\$ 163,960
Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of net loans	7.97%	5.86%	5.11%	4.74%
Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	8.73%	6.33%	5.41%	4.59%

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
Total nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings as a percentage of total assets	10.69%	7.87%	6.48%	5.07%

(1) Other real estate owned and repossessed assets balances are shown net of related loss allowances. Includes both real property and other repossessed collateral consisting primarily of automobiles.

43

Delinquent and Nonperforming Loans by State. The following table details our delinquent and nonperforming loans by state, on a net loan basis, as of June 30, 2009:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Net Loan Balance at June 30, 2009	Loans 30 - 89 Days Delinquent	Nonperforming Loans	Total Delinquent and Nonperforming Loans
Within Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas:				
Nebraska	\$ 1,253,485	\$ 8,568	\$ 26,552	\$ 35,120
Iowa	110,601	4,407	1,267	5,674
Kansas	66,679	25	22,316	22,341
Total within Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas	1,430,765	13,000	50,135	63,135
Within Former Loan Production Office States:				
Nevada	143,454	7,382	57,516	64,898
Colorado	143,627	522	4,128	4,650
Arizona	120,244	11,934	10,863	22,797
Minnesota	109,751	1,155	28,547	29,702
Florida	54,225	3,374	12,352	15,726
North Carolina	48,211	5,688	18,108	23,796
Total within former loan production office states	619,512	30,055	131,514	161,569
Other States:				
South Carolina	46,050	2,675	19,745	22,420
California	73,436	627	2,335	2,962
Texas	68,480	42	35	77
Illinois	37,487	63	350	413
Oregon	30,024	--	--	--
Washington	37,629	--	--	--
Other States	262,605	3,169	3,503	6,672
Total other states	555,711	6,576	25,968	32,544
Total net loans	\$ 2,605,988	\$ 49,631	\$ 207,617	\$ 257,248

Nonperforming Loans. At June 30, 2009, our nonperforming loans totaled \$207.6 million of which \$50.1 million, or 24.1%, was secured by property located in our primary market area of Nebraska, Iowa and Kansas. Former loan production office states had \$131.5 million, or 63.3%, of total nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009. Other states comprised the remaining \$26.0 million, or 12.5%, of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2009.

The change in the nonperforming loans was primarily attributable to the following:

Residential Construction Loans. At June 30, 2009, our nonperforming residential construction loans totaled \$59.5 million and consisted of 129 loans for residential properties. At June 30, 2009, nonperforming residential construction loans consisted of 65 loans for properties located in South Carolina totaling \$18.9 million, 24 loans for properties located in North Carolina totaling \$15.9 million, seven loans for properties located in Nevada totaling \$10.5 million, 10 loans for properties in Arizona totaling \$5.7 million, 16 loans for properties in Nebraska totaling \$4.2 million and seven loans for properties in other states totaling \$4.4 million.

44

Land and Land Development. At June 30, 2009, nonperforming land development loans totaled \$80.1 million which consisted of 65 land development properties. At June 30, 2009, nonperforming land and land development loans primarily consisted of 11 residential properties in Nevada totaling \$31.7 million, two residential properties in Minnesota totaling \$26.9 million, eight residential properties in Nebraska totaling \$6.2 million, eight residential properties in Arizona totaling \$5.1 million, three residential properties in Colorado totaling \$3.8 million, 14 residential properties in Florida totaling \$3.6 million and 15 residential properties in North Carolina totaling \$2.2 million.

Commercial Construction. Nonperforming commercial construction loans totaled \$37.3 million at June 30, 2009. These loans are primarily secured by one condominium development located in suburban Las Vegas and one condominium project located in a Kansas City suburb. Construction to complete the first phase of the Las Vegas property remains on schedule for an expected completion by late summer and any remaining unsold units became available for sale in July. The Kansas City area project is a 220-unit, multi-phase condominium development with all infrastructure and the first phase already completed, phase two condo buildings nearing substantial completion and the remaining portions of the project are currently underway. The Bank has entered into an agreement with the borrower to renegotiate the loan terms allowing for continued completion of the project and sale of individual condominium units.

Impaired Loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impairment is measured by: (a) the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent; (b) the present value of expected future cash flows; or (c) the loan's observable market price. Loans classified as impaired totaled \$289.1 million and \$185.9 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Our allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans totaled \$17.9 million and \$16.4 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. At June 30, 2009 we had \$57.2 million of our total \$289.1 million of impaired loans for which no allowance for loan losses was deemed necessary as the fair value of the collateral exceeded the carrying value of the impaired loans. At December 31, 2008, we had \$29.4 million of impaired loans for which no allowance for loan losses was deemed necessary. Impaired loans at June 30, 2009 consisted primarily of \$137.8 million of land and land development loans, \$72.0 million of residential construction loans and \$39.0 million of commercial construction loans.

Potential Problem Loans. As part of our asset classification process, we identify loans that carry relative levels of risk which may be considered higher than normal due to possible deterioration of the borrower's financial condition or the value of the underlying collateral. Since these loans remain performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement, they are not included in impaired, delinquent or nonperforming loans or troubled debt restructurings; however, management is aware of circumstances which may raise concern as to the ability of the borrower to comply with present repayment terms. These potential problem loans totaled \$215.5 million and \$285.6 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, with the June 30, 2009 composition of loans primarily consisting of \$59.0 million of land and land development, \$37.0 million of commercial construction, \$33.0 million of business, \$31.8 million of multi-family loans and \$26.4 million of commercial real estate loans. The decrease in potential problem loans from December 31, 2008 was primarily the result of loans moving to nonperforming and other real estate owned status.

45

Other Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets. When we acquire other real estate owned property or other assets through foreclosure, deed in lieu of foreclosure or repossession, it is initially recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the corresponding loan or the fair value of the related assets at the date of foreclosure, less costs to sell. If there is a further deterioration in value, we record a loss provision for other real estate owned for the decline in value. We generally obtain an appraisal or broker's price opinion on all real estate subject to foreclosure proceedings prior to the time of foreclosure.

Other Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets Activity. The following table sets forth the activity of our other real estate owned and repossessed assets for the periods indicated:

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>
--	------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30,

(Dollars in thousands)

	2009		2008	
Balance at beginning of period	\$	50,808	\$	12,790
Loan foreclosures and other additions		20,473		5,405
Sales		(2,586)		(1,815)
Provisions for losses		(385)		(711)
Net loss on disposal		(45)		(4)
Balance at end of period	\$	68,265	\$	15,665

At June 30, 2009, other real estate owned and repossessed assets consisted primarily of 176 residential properties totaling \$40.3 million and 10 commercial properties totaling \$27.9 million. Our level of other real estate owned and repossessed assets may continue to increase in future periods. Additionally, our holding period and provision for losses on other real estate owned may increase in future periods due to continued deterioration of the real estate market and the economy in general.

46

Allowance for Loan Losses. The activity in the allowance for loan losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is summarized in the following table:

	At or for the Three Months Ended June 30,		At or for the Six Months Ended June 30,	
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2009	2008
Allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$	59,335	\$	78,507
Charge-offs:				
One-to-four family residential		(616)		(2,772)
Second mortgage residential		(123)		(128)
Multi-family residential		--		(118)
Land and land development		(10,540)		(24,378)
Residential construction		(8,751)		(6,126)
Commercial construction		--		(4,717)
Business		(383)		(2,687)
Consumer		(1,298)		(1,216)
Total charge-offs		(21,711)		(42,142)
Recoveries on loans previously charged-off		112		779
Change in reserve for unfunded loan commitments		--		--
Provision for loan losses		21,681		27,694
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$	59,417	\$	64,838
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of net loans		2.28%		2.31%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans		28.62%		48.77%
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period as a percentage of average loans outstanding during the period		3.31%		5.97%

We generally discontinue funding of loans which become nonperforming or are deemed impaired unless additional funding is required to protect the asset. In addition, due to certain laws and regulations in some states, additional funding may be required. Our reserve for unfunded loan commitments at June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$300,000 and \$289,000, respectively, which represents potential future losses associated with these unfunded commitments.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

During 2007 and 2008 and for the first six months of 2009, our levels of delinquent, nonperforming and impaired loans increased primarily due to deterioration in the nation's economic conditions which resulted in continued financial stress on customers. A continued deterioration of the economy and further erosion of real estate values may cause us to experience increased levels of delinquent, nonperforming and impaired loans. The decrease in our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to \$37.6 million of charge-offs of allowances associated with impaired loans. Impaired loans totaled \$289.1 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$103.2 million, or 55.5%, compared to \$185.9 million at December 31, 2008. Our allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans was \$17.9 million and \$16.4 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. When a loan is deemed impaired, we are required to perform an analysis in order to measure the level of impairment. When the impairment measurement indicates that the fair value of the loan is less than its carrying amount, we are required to establish additional provisions for loan losses and/or we are required to record a charge-off for the difference. During 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009, impaired loans increased and as a result we were required to record charge-offs due to the results of our loan impairment measurements.

47

FHLBank Topeka Stock. FHLBank stock totaled \$39.7 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$7.3 million, or 15.5%, compared to \$47.0 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease was attributable to FHLBank stock redemptions totaling \$7.8 million partially offset by FHLBank dividends paid in stock received during the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaling \$532,000.

Premises and Equipment. Premises and equipment decreased \$1.5 million, or 4.3%, to \$33.8 million at June 30, 2009 compared to \$35.3 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to \$1.9 million of depreciation and amortization expense which was partially offset by \$559,000 in asset additions.

Other Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets. Other real estate owned and repossessed assets totaled \$68.3 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$31.0 million, or 83.3%, compared to \$37.2 million at December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to \$36.3 million in additions (primarily loan foreclosures) partially offset by \$4.3 million in proceeds from the sale of foreclosed properties. The increase at June 30, 2009 compared to March 31, 2009 was primarily attributable to the foreclosure of three Las Vegas land development projects and two other land development projects located in South Carolina and Nebraska. At June 30, 2009, other real estate owned and repossessed assets primarily consisted of 176 residential properties totaling \$40.3 million and 10 commercial properties aggregating \$27.9 million. Our provision for other real estate owned losses totaled \$385,000 and \$861,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. We anticipate that our level of other real estate owned and repossessed assets may continue to increase in 2009 due to the current economic environment. The significantly depressed real estate market in many areas of the United States has resulted in increased supplies of properties, depressed market values and longer holding periods. Our provision for other real estate owned losses may continue to increase if our levels of other real estate owned increase and real estate market values continue to face downward pressure.

Other Intangible Assets. Other intangible assets totaled \$4.1 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$642,000, or 13.6%, compared to \$4.7 million at December 31, 2008 and relates to the core deposit intangible assets recorded as a result of the United Nebraska Financial Co. (UNFC) acquisition and the Marine Bank transaction. The decrease was attributable to amortization during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. Mortgage servicing rights totaled \$18.5 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$3.7 million, or 25.2%, compared to \$14.8 million at December 31, 2008. Mortgage servicing rights capitalized during the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$9.4 million and were partially offset by \$4.5 million in amortization expense. In addition, we have established a valuation allowance related to our mortgage servicing rights totaling \$2.5 million at June 30, 2009 due to increased mortgage loan refinancing activity related to the declining mortgage loan interest rate environment.

48

Other Assets. Other assets declined \$10.6 million, or 20.2%, to \$41.7 million at June 30, 2009 compared to \$52.3 million at December 31, 2008. Other assets consist primarily of prepaid expenses, miscellaneous receivables and other assets. At June 30, 2009, other assets included income taxes receivable of \$20.8 million.

Other assets include deferred tax benefits of \$11.6 million and \$1.8 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. We have established a valuation allowance related to our deferred tax benefits of \$11.6 million and \$1.8 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In assessing the realizability of our deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. We consider the scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities and carryback opportunities in making the assessment of the necessity of a valuation allowance. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company determined a valuation allowance was necessary, largely based on the evidence represented by a cumulative loss in the most recent three-year period. In addition, general uncertainty surrounding future economic and business conditions has increased the potential volatility and uncertainty of projected earnings. We evaluate our deferred tax assets and the related valuation allowance quarterly.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

In February 2009, we received proceeds from a lawsuit filed in July 2008 on a bond insuring the Bank for up to \$7.5 million against fraudulent losses related to TransLand Financial Services, a Florida-based mortgage broker. The \$7.5 million insurance claim was recorded as a receivable during the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

General. Our total liabilities were \$2.9 billion at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$131.2 million, or 4.3%, compared to \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2008. The decrease in total liabilities was primarily attributable to decreases in FHLBank advances and deposits.

Deposits. Deposits declined \$56.0 million, or 2.4%, to \$2.3 billion at June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Noninterest-bearing checking	\$ 163,620	\$ 149,597	\$ 14,023	9.37%
Savings	223,118	204,494	18,624	9.11
Interest-bearing checking	346,712	327,361	19,351	5.91
Money market	252,726	249,714	3,012	1.21
Time deposits	1,265,165	1,376,126	(110,961)	(8.06)
Total deposits	\$ 2,251,341	\$ 2,307,292	\$ (55,951)	(2.43)%

Our transaction accounts (checking, savings and money market) totaled \$986.2 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$55.0 million, or 5.9%, compared to \$931.2 million at December 31, 2008. The weighted average interest rate of our transaction accounts was 0.51% at June 30, 2009 compared to 0.91% at December 31, 2008. The decrease in time deposits was the result of a less aggressive pricing strategy intended to manage the Bank's overall cost of funds. The weighted average interest rate of our time deposits was 3.12% at June 30, 2009 compared to 3.43% at December 31, 2008.

49

FHLBank Advances and Other Borrowings. Our FHLBank advances and other borrowings totaled \$580.3 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$88.6 million, or 13.2%, compared to \$668.8 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in FHLBank advances and other borrowings at June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to the repayment of three FHLBank fixed-rate notes payable totaling \$80.0 million as they matured during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We did not have an outstanding balance on our FHLBank line of credit at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The weighted average interest rate on our FHLBank advances and other borrowings was 4.12% at June 30, 2009, a decrease of 18 basis points compared to 4.30% at December 31, 2008.

Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities. Our accrued expenses and other liabilities totaled \$26.9 million at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$5.0 million, or 15.7%, compared to \$32.0 million at December 31, 2008. The primary items comprising accrued expenses and other liabilities are deferred compensation agreements, accrued salaries and vacation, loan servicing payments and other miscellaneous accrued expenses.

Stockholders Equity. At June 30, 2009, stockholders' equity totaled \$244.4 million, a decrease of \$26.2 million, or 9.7%, compared to \$270.6 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in stockholders' equity was primarily the result of a net loss of \$25.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We have suspended the payment of our quarterly cash dividend in order to preserve our capital and to comply with the provisions of our supervisory agreement with the OTS.

On March 20, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 1,797,592 shares of our outstanding common stock. There is no stated expiration date for this authorization. We repurchased 404 shares of our outstanding common stock to support employee benefit programs during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We repurchased 25,068 shares of our outstanding common stock to support employee benefit programs during the year ended December 31, 2008. At June 30, 2009, the total remaining common stock repurchase authority was 1,772,120 shares. In accordance with our supervisory agreement with the OTS, we are prohibited from repurchasing our common stock, excluding repurchases to support existing stock-based employee benefit plans, until we receive a written notice of non-objection from the OTS.

50

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Net Loss. Net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$16.1 million, or \$0.95 per diluted and basic share, compared to a net loss of \$12.7 million, or \$0.75 per diluted and basic share, for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$25.9 million, or \$1.53 per diluted and basic share, compared to a net loss of \$73.6 million, or \$4.36 per diluted and basic share, for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008 included a non-cash, after-tax charge of \$42.1 million for the write-off of goodwill originally recorded in connection with the acquisition of UNFC. Our results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 were negatively impacted by provisions for loan losses totaling \$21.7 million and \$33.8 million, respectively, and our \$1.5 million share of an industry-wide special FDIC assessment. Financial performance for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was also negatively impacted by a decline in net interest income due to the tightening of interest rate margins and the nonaccrual of interest on nonperforming loans.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income is the most significant component of our earnings and consists of interest income on interest-earning assets offset by interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in net interest income result from changes in volume, net interest spread and net interest margin. Volume relates to the level of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest spread refers to the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin refers to net interest income divided by total interest-earning assets and is influenced by the level and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, average interest rate spread and net interest margin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were negatively affected by the decrease in the average yield earned on loans receivable, the decrease in the average balance of loans receivable and the increased balance of our nonperforming loans as we do not recognize interest income on nonperforming loans (loans 90 days or more past due).

Net interest income before provision for loan losses totaled \$16.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$5.9 million, or 25.9%, compared to \$22.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008 was primarily attributable to a 100 basis point decrease in the average yield earned on loans receivable and a \$159.8 million decline in the average balance of loans receivable. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our net interest income totaled \$33.5 million, a decrease of \$12.5 million, or 27.1%, compared to \$45.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 was primarily attributable to a 117 basis point decline in the average yield earned on loans receivable and a \$157.1 million decrease in the average balance of loans receivable. The decrease in the average yield earned on loans receivable for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was negatively affected by foregone interest on nonperforming loans.

51

Our average interest rate spread for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 1.96% and 2.66%, respectively. Our average interest rate spread was 1.90% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.56% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in our average interest rate spread was primarily attributable to the decrease in the average yield earned on loans receivable, the decrease in the average balance of loans receivable and an increased balance of nonperforming loans.

The average yield on interest-earning assets was 4.64% for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a 133 basis point decrease compared to 5.97% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average yield on interest-earning assets was 4.68% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a 147 basis point decrease compared to 6.15% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in the average yield earned on interest-earning assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily related to a decrease in the average yield earned on loans receivable. Our average yield earned on loans receivable for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 5.31% and 6.31%, respectively. Our average yield earned on loans receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 5.32% and 6.49%, respectively.

Our net interest margin (net interest income (annualized) divided by average interest-earning assets) declined to 2.21% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.97% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Our net interest margin was 2.15% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.91% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in our net interest margin was attributable to the factors described above.

52

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Cost of Funds. The following tables show for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, net interest margin and average interest rate spread. All average balances are based on daily

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

balances.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2009			2008		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold	\$ 41,441	\$ 26	0.25%	\$ 84,808	\$ 448	2.11%
Funds held at Federal Reserve Bank	241,675	153	0.25	--	--	--
Investment securities (1)	157,783	659	1.67	213,049	1,658	3.11
Mortgage-backed securities	7,994	51	2.55	4,912	52	4.23
Loans receivable (2)	2,612,923	34,656	5.31	2,772,676	43,757	6.31
Total interest-earning assets	3,061,816	35,545	4.64%	3,075,445	45,915	5.97%
Noninterest-earning assets	218,189			213,938		
Total assets	\$ 3,280,005			\$ 3,289,383		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest-bearing checking accounts	\$ 352,803	\$ 363	0.41%	\$ 337,210	\$ 622	0.74%
Savings accounts	221,568	489	0.88	209,658	1,062	2.03
Money market accounts	254,973	539	0.85	345,659	1,199	1.39
Time deposits	1,315,493	10,492	3.19	1,223,302	12,813	4.19
Total interest-bearing deposits	2,144,837	11,883	2.22	2,115,829	15,696	2.97
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	635,020	6,732	4.24	672,647	7,376	4.39
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,779,857	18,615	2.68%	2,788,476	23,072	3.31%
Noninterest-bearing accounts	157,778			146,278		
Other liabilities	80,162			68,019		
Total liabilities	3,017,797			3,002,773		
Stockholders' equity	262,208			286,610		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,280,005			\$ 3,289,383		
Net interest-earning assets	\$ 281,959			\$ 286,969		
Net interest income; average interest rate spread		\$ 16,930	1.96%		\$ 22,843	2.66%
Net interest margin (3)			2.21%			2.97%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			110.14%			110.29%

(1) Includes securities available for sale and held to maturity. Investment securities also include FHLBank Topeka stock.

(2) Includes nonperforming loans during the respective periods. Calculated net of unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred fees, loans in process and allowance for loan losses.

(3) Equals net interest income (annualized) divided by average interest-earning assets.

53

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2009			2008		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Six Months Ended June 30,

Interest-earning assets:

Federal funds sold	\$ 74,321	\$ 66	0.18%	\$ 136,251	\$ 1,957	2.87%
Funds held at Federal Reserve Bank	205,169	256	0.25	--	--	--
Investment securities (1)	165,289	1,537	1.86	202,995	3,758	3.70
Mortgage-backed securities	5,986	82	2.74	5,598	121	4.32
Loans receivable (2)	2,658,202	70,747	5.32	2,815,296	91,320	6.49
Total interest-earning assets	3,108,967	72,688	4.68%	3,160,140	97,156	6.15%
Noninterest-earning assets	216,491			231,220		

Total assets	\$ 3,325,458			\$ 3,391,360		
--------------	--------------	--	--	--------------	--	--

Interest-bearing liabilities:

Interest-bearing checking accounts	\$ 344,262	\$ 834	0.48%	\$ 332,501	\$ 1,431	0.86%
Savings accounts	217,154	1,186	1.09	207,407	2,388	2.30
Money market accounts	250,855	1,217	0.97	350,538	3,145	1.79
Time deposits	1,363,612	22,192	3.25	1,298,332	29,451	4.54

Total interest-bearing deposits	2,175,883	25,429	2.34	2,188,778	36,415	3.33
---------------------------------	-----------	--------	------	-----------	--------	------

FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	646,466	13,791	4.27	667,441	14,809	4.44
--	---------	--------	------	---------	--------	------

Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,822,349	39,220	2.78%	2,856,219	51,224	3.59%
------------------------------------	-----------	--------	-------	-----------	--------	-------

Noninterest-bearing accounts	155,458			144,637		
------------------------------	---------	--	--	---------	--	--

Other liabilities	81,124			73,997		
-------------------	--------	--	--	--------	--	--

Total liabilities	3,058,931			3,074,853		
-------------------	-----------	--	--	-----------	--	--

Stockholders equity	266,527			316,507		
---------------------	---------	--	--	---------	--	--

Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 3,325,458			\$ 3,391,360		
---	--------------	--	--	--------------	--	--

Net interest-earning assets	\$ 286,618			\$ 303,921		
-----------------------------	------------	--	--	------------	--	--

Net interest income; average interest rate spread		\$ 33,468	1.90%	\$ 45,932		2.56%
---	--	-----------	-------	-----------	--	-------

Net interest margin (3)			2.15%			2.91%
-------------------------	--	--	-------	--	--	-------

Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			110.16%			110.64%
---	--	--	---------	--	--	---------

(1) Includes securities available for sale and held to maturity. Investment securities also include FHLBank Topeka stock.

(2) Includes nonperforming loans during the respective periods. Calculated net of unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred fees, loans in process and allowance for loan losses.

(3) Equals net interest income (annualized) divided by average interest-earning assets.

54

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table shows the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-related assets and liabilities affected our interest income and expense during the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to: (1) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior year volume), and (2) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior year rate). The combined effect of changes in both rate and volume has been allocated proportionately to the change due to rate and the change due to volume.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	
Increase (Decrease) Due to	Total	Increase (Decrease) Due to	Total

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008			Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008		
	Rate	Volume	Increase (Decrease)	Rate	Volume	Increase (Decrease)
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						
Interest income:						
Federal funds sold	\$ (267)	\$ (155)	\$ (422)	\$ (1,273)	\$ (618)	\$ (1,891)
Funds held at Federal Reserve Bank	--	153	153	--	256	256
Investment securities	(640)	(359)	(999)	(1,617)	(604)	(2,221)
Mortgage-backed securities	(26)	25	(1)	(47)	8	(39)
Loans receivable (1)	(6,675)	(2,426)	(9,101)	(15,710)	(4,863)	(20,573)
Total interest income	(7,608)	(2,762)	(10,370)	(18,647)	(5,821)	(24,468)
Interest expense:						
Interest-bearing						
checking accounts	(287)	28	(259)	(647)	50	(597)
Savings accounts	(630)	57	(573)	(1,309)	107	(1,202)
Money market accounts	(394)	(266)	(660)	(1,190)	(738)	(1,928)
Time deposits	(3,232)	911	(2,321)	(8,686)	1,427	(7,259)
Total interest expense	(4,543)	730	(3,813)	(11,832)	846	(10,986)
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	(244)	(400)	(644)	(559)	(459)	(1,018)
Total interest expense	(4,787)	330	(4,457)	(12,391)	387	(12,004)
Net change in net interest income	\$ (2,821)	\$ (3,092)	\$ (5,913)	\$ (6,256)	\$ (6,208)	\$ (12,464)

(1) Calculated net of unamortized premiums, discounts and deferred fees, loans in process and allowance for loan losses.

Interest Income. Our total interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$35.5 million, a decrease of \$10.4 million, or 22.6%, compared to \$45.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income on loans receivable totaled \$34.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$9.1 million, or 20.8%, compared to \$43.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of loans receivable decreased \$159.8 million, or 5.8%, to \$2.6 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2.8 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average yield earned on loans receivable declined to 5.31% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 6.31% for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009 our total interest income was \$72.7 million, a decrease of \$24.5 million, or 25.2%, compared to \$97.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Interest income on loans receivable totaled \$70.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$20.6 million, or 22.5%, compared to \$91.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of loans receivable decreased \$157.1 million, or 5.6%, to \$2.7 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2.8 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average yield earned on loans receivable declined to 5.32% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 6.49% for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The decrease in interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods one year ago was primarily attributable to the decline in the average yield earned on loans receivable. Additionally, interest income on loans receivable was negatively affected by an increased balance of nonperforming loans as we do not recognize interest income on loans 90 days or more past due. At June 30, 2009 our nonperforming loans totaled \$207.6 million, an increase of \$74.7 million, or 56.2%, compared to \$132.9 million at June 30, 2008.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest Expense. Our total interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$18.6 million, a decrease of \$4.5 million, or 19.3%, compared to \$23.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Interest expense on deposits totaled \$11.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$3.8 million, or 24.3%, compared to \$15.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of our interest-bearing deposits increased \$29.0 million, or 1.4%, to \$2.1 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was 2.22% and 2.97% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Interest expense on FHLBank advances and other borrowings declined \$644,000, or 8.7%, to \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$7.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of our FHLBank advances and other borrowings totaled \$635.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$37.6 million, or 5.6%, compared to \$672.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The average rate paid on FHLBank advances and other borrowings was 4.24% and 4.39% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additionally, the average balance of our interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$2.8 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$8.6 million, or 0.3%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008.

The decrease in interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period one year ago was primarily attributable to the decrease in the average rate paid on deposits.

56

Our total interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$39.2 million, a decrease of \$12.0 million, or 23.4%, compared to \$51.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Interest expense on deposits totaled \$25.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$11.0 million, or 30.2%, compared to \$36.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of our interest-bearing deposits declined \$12.9 million, or 0.6%, to \$2.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was 2.34% and 3.33% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Interest expense on FHLBank advances and other borrowings declined \$1.0 million, or 6.9%, to \$13.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$14.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average balance of our FHLBank advances and other borrowings totaled \$646.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$21.0 million, or 3.1%, compared to \$667.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The average rate paid on FHLBank advances and other borrowings was 4.27% and 4.44% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additionally, the average balance of our interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$2.8 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$33.9 million, or 1.2%, compared to \$2.9 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The decrease in interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008 was primarily attributable to the decrease in the average rate paid on deposits.

Provision for Loan Losses. We establish provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level we believe, to the best of our knowledge, covers all known and inherent losses in the portfolio that are both probable and reasonable to estimate at each reporting date. Management performs reviews no less frequently than monthly in order to identify these inherent losses and to assess the overall collection probability for the loan portfolio. Our reviews consist of a quantitative analysis by loan category, using historical loss experience, classifying loans pursuant to a grading system and consideration of a series of qualitative factors. These loss factors are developed using our historical loan loss experience for each group of loans as further adjusted for specific factors, including the following:

- Trends and levels of delinquent, nonperforming or impaired loans;
- Trends and levels of charge-offs and recoveries;
- Underwriting terms or guarantees for loans;
- Impact of changes in underwriting standards, risk tolerances or other changes in lending practices;
- Changes in the value of collateral securing loans;
- Total loans outstanding and the volume of loan originations;
- Type, size, terms and geographic concentration of loans held;
- Changes in qualifications or experience of the lending staff;
- Changes in local or national economic or industry conditions;
- Number of loans requiring heightened management oversight;
- Changes in credit concentration; and
- Changes in regulatory requirements.

57

In addition, we use information about specific borrower situations, including their financial position, work-out plans and estimated collateral values under various liquidation scenarios to estimate the risk and amount of potential loss. This evaluation is inherently subjective as

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events occur.

We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$21.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$27.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of \$6.0 million or 21.7%. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 our provision for loan losses totaled \$33.8 million, a decrease of \$33.8 million, or 50.0%, compared to \$67.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decline in our provision for loan losses for both of the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 was attributable to a decline in charge-offs. We charged off, net of recoveries, \$21.6 million and \$41.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we charged off \$37.6 million and \$69.8 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2008 we charged off \$24.4 million of our allowance for loan losses related to loans which were reclassified to loans held for sale. Our provision for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to the following:

Nonperforming Loans Nonperforming loans (loans 90 or more days delinquent) totaled \$207.6 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$74.7 million, or 56.2%, compared to \$132.9 million at June 30, 2008.

Delinquent Loans Delinquent loans (loans 30-89 days delinquent) totaled \$49.6 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 3.2%, compared to \$48.1 million at June 30, 2008.

Impaired Loans Impaired loans totaled \$289.1 million at June 30, 2009, an increase of \$141.1 million, or 95.3%, compared to \$148.0 million at June 30, 2008. The decrease in our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2009 was primarily attributable to \$37.6 million of charge-offs of allowances associated with impaired loans.

Qualitative Factors As discussed above, our analysis of the allowance for loan losses and the associated provision for loan losses includes several qualitative factors. During 2007 and 2008 and for the first six months of 2009, our provision for loan losses has been negatively affected by the economic conditions prevalent in most areas of the United States which has in many cases negatively affected the value of the collateral securing loans. Our provision for loan losses has also been affected by the upward trending of delinquent, nonperforming and impaired loans as well as our levels of charge-offs and recoveries.

We anticipate that our provision for loan losses may continue to have a negative effect on earnings due to general economic conditions which have had a significant impact on real estate values. With further deterioration of general economic conditions, we would also expect that our current levels of delinquent, nonperforming and impaired loans may increase in 2009 which would result in additional provisions for loan losses.

58

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$11.7 million, an increase of \$4.7 million, or 67.3%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2009	2008		
Deposit account fees and service charges	\$ 4,422	\$ 4,322	\$ 100	2.31%
Debit card fees	1,071	1,044	27	2.59
Lending fees and service charges	2,275	1,700	575	33.82
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights	(2,288)	(1,110)	(1,178)	106.13
Mortgage servicing rights valuation adjustments	1,105	195	910	466.67
Commissions and management fee income	1,131	1,209	(78)	(6.45)
Loss from real estate operations, net	(601)	(125)	(476)	380.80
Loss on impairment of securities	--	(594)	594	(100.00)
Net gain (loss) on sales of:				
Loans held for sale	4,199	129	4,070	3,155.04
Other real estate owned	(45)	(4)	(41)	1,025.00
Other operating income	469	251	218	86.85
Total noninterest income	\$ 11,738	\$ 7,017	\$ 4,721	67.28%

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 includes a \$4.1 million increase in gains on the sale of loans held for sale and a \$910,000 adjustment in our mortgage servicing right valuation allowance. The increase in the amortization of mortgage servicing rights was primarily attributable to increased prepayments on serviced loans due to increased refinancing activity.

59

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$19.9 million, an increase of \$4.7 million, or 30.6%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2009	2008		
Deposit account fees and service charges	\$ 8,419	\$ 8,106	\$ 313	3.86%
Debit card fees	2,085	1,989	96	4.83
Lending fees and service charges	4,261	3,294	967	29.36
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights	(4,504)	(2,322)	(2,182)	93.97
Mortgage servicing rights valuation adjustments	(1,125)	(11)	(1,114)	10,127.27
Commissions and management fee income	2,470	2,779	(309)	(11.12)
Loss from real estate operations, net	(942)	(232)	(710)	306.03
Loss on impairment of securities	--	(594)	594	(100.00)
Net gain (loss) on sales of:				
Loans held for sale	8,717	1,383	7,334	530.30
Other real estate owned	(123)	(22)	(101)	459.09
Other operating income	669	886	(217)	(24.49)
Total noninterest income	\$ 19,927	\$ 15,256	\$ 4,671	30.62%

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 includes a \$7.3 million increase in gains on the sale of loans held for sale which was partially offset by a \$1.1 million increase in our mortgage servicing right valuation allowance. The increase in the amortization of mortgage servicing rights was primarily attributable to increased prepayments on serviced loans due to increased refinancing activity.

60

Noninterest Expense. Our noninterest expense increased by \$1.0 million, or 4.6%, to \$23.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$22.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2009	2008		
Employee compensation	\$ 8,696	\$ 8,740	\$ (44)	(0.50)%
Employee benefits	1,301	1,356	(55)	(4.06)
Payroll taxes	637	679	(42)	(6.19)
Management Recognition and Retention Plan	49	228	(179)	(78.51)
Employee Stock Ownership Plan	80	283	(203)	(71.73)
2003 Stock Option Plan	12	148	(136)	(91.89)
Occupancy, net	2,389	2,504	(115)	(4.59)
Data processing	424	610	(186)	(30.49)
Advertising	373	925	(552)	(59.68)
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premium	3,402	659	2,743	416.24
Legal services	723	780	(57)	(7.31)
Core deposit intangible asset amortization	321	389	(68)	(17.48)
Professional services	312	488	(176)	(36.07)
Other	4,350	4,270	80	1.87

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended
June 30,

			Increase	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 23,069	\$ 22,059	\$ 1,010	4.58%

The increase in our FDIC insurance premium was primarily attributable to increased deposit insurance assessments rates set forth by the FDIC to replenish the Deposit Insurance Fund and increase the deposit insurance reserve ratio. Additionally, our FDIC insurance premium expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 included our \$1.5 million share of an industry-wide special FDIC assessment.

61

Our noninterest expense decreased by \$41.2 million, or 47.6%, to \$45.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$86.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Six Months Ended
June 30,

(Dollars in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2009	2008		
Employee compensation	\$ 17,332	\$ 17,687	\$ (355)	(2.01)%
Employee benefits	2,669	2,869	(200)	(6.97)
Payroll taxes	1,444	1,613	(169)	(10.48)
Management Recognition and Retention Plan	99	954	(855)	(89.62)
Employee Stock Ownership Plan	161	848	(687)	(81.01)
2003 Stock Option Plan	25	661	(636)	(96.22)
Goodwill impairment	--	42,101	(42,101)	(100.00)
Occupancy, net	4,841	4,880	(39)	(0.80)
Data processing	863	1,267	(404)	(31.89)
Advertising	1,079	2,038	(959)	(47.06)
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premium	5,573	802	4,771	594.89
Legal services	1,468	1,149	319	27.76
Core deposit intangible asset amortization	642	780	(138)	(17.69)
Professional services	621	982	(361)	(36.76)
Other	8,611	8,024	587	7.32
Total noninterest expense	\$ 45,428	\$ 86,655	\$ (41,227)	(47.58)%

The decrease in noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period one year ago was primarily attributable to a \$42.1 million goodwill impairment charge recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in our FDIC insurance premium was primarily attributable to increased deposit insurance assessments rates set forth by the FDIC to replenish the Deposit Insurance Fund and increase the deposit insurance reserve ratio. Additionally, our FDIC insurance premium expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included our \$1.5 million share of an industry-wide special FDIC assessment.

Income Tax Expense(Benefit). Our income tax expense was \$7,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to an income tax benefit of \$7.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Our income tax expense was \$15,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to an income tax benefit of \$19.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in our income tax expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was primarily associated to no tax benefit being realized due to the current net operating loss carry-back rules. Tax benefits are not available to the Company as long as we remain in a net loss position.

62

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of funds are deposits; amortization of loans; loan prepayments and maturity of loans; repayment, maturity or sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities; and other funds provided from operations. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities and maturing investment securities are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments can be greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. We utilize FHLBank advances and other borrowings as additional funding sources.

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

We actively manage our liquidity in an effort to maintain an adequate liquidity margin over the level necessary to support expected and potential loan fundings and deposit withdrawals. Our liquidity level may vary throughout the year, depending on economic conditions, deposit fluctuations and loan funding needs. As of June 30, 2009, we believe we have adequate liquidity to fund the daily operations of the Bank for the foreseeable future.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was \$14.3 million. Net cash provided by investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$147.4 million and primarily related to cash inflows from matured investment securities, loan payoffs and periodic payments and the redemption of FHLBank Topeka stock partially offset by the purchase of available for sale investment and mortgage-backed securities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$126.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and consisted primarily of cash outflows from deposits and the repayment FHLBank advances.

Deposits, particularly core deposits, provide a more preferable source of funding than FHLBank advances and other borrowings. However, to the extent that competitive or market factors do not allow us to meet our funding needs with deposits alone, FHLBank advances and other borrowings provide a readily available alternative source of liquidity. The following table details time deposits maturing during the next 12 months:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Amount Maturing During the Quarter Ended:		
September 30, 2009	\$ 378,152	3.20%
December 31, 2009	453,240	3.20
March 31, 2010	322,961	3.02
June 30, 2010	46,018	2.10
Total time deposits maturing during the next 12 months	\$ 1,200,371	3.11%

We anticipate that a large portion of the maturing time deposits will be renewed with us.

The average balance of our FHLBank advances and other borrowings was \$646.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$667.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. To date, substantially all of our borrowings have consisted of FHLBank advances. Pursuant to our collateral agreement with the FHLBank, we have pledged qualifying residential, multi-family residential and commercial real estate mortgages, residential construction, commercial construction and agricultural real estate loans as collateral for our FHLBank advances. Our borrowing capacity with the FHLBank at June 30, 2009 was \$556.4 million. Other collateral can be pledged in the event additional borrowing capacity is required.

63

Aggregate Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We believe we have sufficient liquidity to fund existing and future loan commitments, to fund maturing time deposits and demand deposit withdrawals, to invest in other interest-earning assets and to meet operating expenses. At June 30, 2009, we had the following contractual obligations (excluding bank deposits and interest) and lending commitments:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Total at June 30, 2009	Due In			
		1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
Contractual obligations:					
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	\$ 580,299	\$ 20,900	\$ 40,000	\$ 25,261	\$ 494,138
Recourse obligations on loans sold	21,443	21,443	--	--	--
Annual rental commitments under non-cancellable operating leases	2,986	723	812	445	1,006
Total contractual obligations	604,728	43,066	40,812	25,706	495,144

	Due In				
Lending commitments:					
Commitments to originate loans	88,047	88,047	--	--	--
Commitments to sell loans	(115,086)	(115,086)	--	--	--
Commitments to purchase loans	81,317	81,317	--	--	--
Loans in process (1)	126,223	76,989	49,234	--	--
Standby letters of credit	1,571	1,571	--	--	--
Unused lines of credit:					
Warehouse mortgage lines of credit	103,187	103,187	--	--	--
Business loans	135,308	135,308	--	--	--
Consumer loans	111,549	111,549	--	--	--
<hr/>					
Total lending commitments and unused lines of credit	532,116	482,882	49,234	--	--
<hr/>					
Total contractual obligations, lending commitments and unused lines of credit	\$ 1,136,844	\$ 525,948	\$ 90,046	\$ 25,706	\$ 495,144

(1) Loans in process represents the undisbursed portion of construction and land development loans.

We have not used, and have no intention to use, any significant off-balance sheet financing arrangements for liquidity purposes or otherwise. Our primary financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk are limited to loan servicing for others, our obligations to fund loans to customers pursuant to existing commitments and commitments to purchase and sell mortgage loans. In addition, we have certain risks due to limited recourse arrangements on loans serviced for others and recourse obligations related to loan sales. At June 30, 2009, the maximum total dollar amount of such recourse was approximately \$21.4 million. Based on historical experience, at June 30, 2009, we established a liability of \$625,000 with respect to this recourse obligation. There were no loans repurchased during the six months ended June 30, 2009. In addition, we have not had, and have no intention to have, any significant transactions, arrangements or other relationships with any unconsolidated, special purpose entities.

64

Regulatory Capital

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures that have been established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require that we maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (as set forth in the following table). Our primary regulatory agency, the OTS, requires that we maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital (as defined in the regulations) of 1.5%, core capital (as defined) of 4.0% and total risk-based capital (as defined) of 8.0%.

The Company has agreed with the OTS to contribute additional capital above levels previously required for the Bank to be deemed well-capitalized for regulatory purposes. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company contributed \$29.1 million to the Bank. In addition, the OTS has required that the Bank maintain a ratio of 11.0% with respect to total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets and a ratio of 8.5% with respect to core (Tier 1) capital. As of June 30, 2009, the Bank met or exceeded these elevated ratios mandated by the OTS. The actual capital amounts and ratios at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Required Capital Per Office of Thrift Supervision Directive	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Required Capital Per Office of Thrift Supervision Directive	
At June 30, 2009:						
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 302,052	11.3%	\$ 213,542	8.0%	\$ 293,620	11.0%
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	268,364	8.5	126,144	4.0	268,055	8.5
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	268,364	8.5	47,304	1.5	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	268,364	10.1	106,771	4.0	160,156	6.0
At December 31, 2008:						
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 329,787	11.6%	\$ 228,357	8.0%	\$ 313,991	11.0%
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	293,766	8.9	132,419	4.0	281,390	8.5
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	293,766	8.9	49,657	1.5	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	293,766	10.3	114,179	4.0	171,268	6.0

66

In the event that we continue to incur losses, this will significantly reduce our ability to satisfy the minimum capital requirements applicable to us. At June 30, 2009, we had \$8.4 million and \$309,000 of total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital, respectively, in excess of the minimum amounts required by the OTS under our supervisory agreement. There are several actions we could take to further our ability to maintain minimum levels of regulatory capital in the event of further deterioration in our business. However, there can be no assurance that any or all of these actions would allow us to satisfy our capital requirements. For a discussion on the risks related to our failure to maintain the required ratios, see Item 1A, Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

67

Selected Financial Data

	At June 30, 2009	At March 31, 2009	At December 31, 2008	At June 30, 2008
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i>				

SELECTED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION DATA:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 285,406	\$ 315,452	\$ 249,859	\$ 81,902
Investment securities	102,253	124,442	137,712	176,435
Net loans after allowance for loan losses	2,546,571	2,671,191	2,719,000	2,741,522
Total assets	3,160,553	3,330,237	3,317,945	3,234,284
Deposits	2,251,341	2,343,581	2,307,292	2,229,755
FHLBank Topeka advances and other borrowings	580,299	648,623	668,849	665,798
Stockholders' equity	244,430	260,650	270,613	271,744

For the Three Months Ended

	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2008
--	---------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------

SELECTED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:

Total interest income	\$ 35,545	\$ 37,143	\$ 40,861	\$ 45,915
-----------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended			
Total interest expense	18,615	20,605	21,301	23,072
Net interest income	16,930	16,538	19,560	22,843
Provision for loan losses	21,681	12,163	10,848	27,694
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses	(4,751)	4,375	8,712	(4,851)
Total noninterest income	11,738	8,189	7,279	7,017
Total noninterest expense	23,069	22,359	19,461	22,059
Loss before income taxes	(16,082)	(9,795)	(3,470)	(19,893)
Income tax expense (benefit)	7	8	283	(7,194)
Net loss	\$ (16,089)	\$ (9,803)	\$ (3,753)	\$ (12,699)
Net loss per common share, basic	\$ (0.95)	\$ (0.58)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.75)
Net loss per common share, diluted	\$ (0.95)	\$ (0.58)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.75)
Dividends declared per common share	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 0.04

SELECTED OPERATING RATIOS:

Average yield on interest-earning assets	4.64%	4.71%	5.39%	5.97%
Average rate on interest-bearing liabilities	2.68%	2.88%	3.09%	3.31%
Average interest rate spread	1.96%	1.83%	2.30%	2.66%
Net interest margin	2.21%	2.10%	2.58%	2.97%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	110.14%	110.15%	109.81%	110.29%
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses to noninterest expense	-20.59%	19.57%	44.77%	-21.99%
Total noninterest expense to average assets	2.81%	2.65%	2.39%	2.68%
Efficiency ratio (1)	79.35%	89.13%	71.31%	72.57%
Return on average assets	-1.96%	-1.16%	-0.46%	-1.54%
Return on average equity	-24.54%	-14.47%	-5.49%	-17.72%
Average equity to average assets	7.99%	8.04%	8.40%	8.71%

SELECTED ASSET QUALITY RATIOS:

Nonperforming loans as a percentage of net loans	7.97%	5.86%	5.11%	4.74%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	8.73%	6.33%	5.41%	4.59%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of net loans	2.28%	2.17%	2.27%	2.31%

(1) Efficiency ratio is calculated as total noninterest expense, less amortization expense of intangible assets, as a percentage of the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

Recent Accounting Developments

For a discussion regarding accounting pronouncements, interpretations, exposure drafts and other formal accounting guidance and the impact of these on our financial condition and results of operations, reference is made to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The following discussion identifies certain recently issued accounting guidance.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS No. 160). The objective of this statement is to improve the relevance, comparability and transparency of the financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements by establishing accounting and reporting standards. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 did not have a material impact on our

consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141R). The objective of this statement is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. SFAS No. 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS No. 161). The objective of this statement is to enhance disclosures to provide adequate information about how derivative and hedging activities affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This statement requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. Under SFAS No. 161, entities will be required to provide enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity utilizes derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS No. 162). SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 162 directs the hierarchy to the entity, rather than the independent auditors, as the entity is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following SEC approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to remove the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles from the auditing standards. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 162 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

69

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position 157-4 (FSP 157-4), *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*. The proposed FASB Staff Position (FSP), while emphasizing that the objective of fair value measurement described in SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, remains unchanged, provides additional guidance for determining whether market activity for a financial asset or liability has significantly decreased, as well as for identifying circumstances that indicate that transactions are not orderly. This FSP reiterates that if a market is determined to be inactive and the related market price is deemed to be reflective of a distressed sale price, then management judgment may be required to estimate fair value. This FSP identifies factors to be considered when determining whether or not a market is inactive. This FSP is effective as of June 30, 2009, with early adoption permitted as of March 31, 2009. The adoption of FSP 157-4 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. This FSP changes existing guidance for determining whether impairment of debt securities is other-than-temporary. This FSP requires other-than-temporary impairment to be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from a security (referred to as credit losses), which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The non-credit loss component of the impairment can only be classified in other comprehensive income if the holder of the security concludes (a) that it does not intend to sell the security and (b) that it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security before the security recovers its value. If these two conditions are not met, the non-credit loss component of the impairment must also be recognized in earnings. Upon adoption of this FSP, the entity is required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment, as of the beginning of the period of adoption, to reclassify the non-credit loss component of previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. This FSP is effective as of June 30, 2009, with early adoption permitted as of March 31, 2009. We did not elect to early-adopt this FSP. The adoption of FAS 115-2 and 124-2 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This proposed FSP would amend SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, and Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in all interim financial statements. As FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amended only the disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments in interim periods, the adoption had no impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 12 for the disclosures required under the provisions of

FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS No. 165). SFAS No. 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires entities to disclose the date through which it has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. SFAS No. 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 165 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

70

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (SFAS No. 166). SFAS No. 166 makes several significant amendments to SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, including the removal of the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from SFAS No. 140. SFAS No. 166 also clarifies that a transferor must evaluate whether it has maintained effective control of a financial asset by considering its continuing direct or indirect involvement with the transferred financial asset. The provisions of SFAS No. 166 are effective for financial asset transfers occurring after December 31, 2009. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 166 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS No. 167). SFAS No. 167 requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE) for consolidation purposes. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the enterprise that has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and also has the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The provisions of SFAS No. 167 are effective January 1, 2010. We do not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS No. 167 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (SFAS No. 168). SFAS No. 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) to become the single source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities, with the exception of guidance issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and its staff. All guidance contained in Codification carries an equal level of authority. The provisions of SFAS No. 168 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. As the Codification is not intended to change GAAP, the adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 168 is not expected to have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

71

Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

For a discussion of our asset and liability management policies as well as the methods used to manage our exposure to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Asset and Liability Management and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There has been no material change in our market risk position since our prior disclosures.

Item 4 Controls and Procedures.

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the date of such evaluation to ensure that material information relating to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them in a timely manner by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report was being prepared. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

72

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1 Legal Proceedings.**

Except as described herein, we are not involved in any pending legal proceedings other than routine legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. Such routine legal proceedings, in the aggregate, are believed by management to be immaterial to our consolidated financial statements. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company received a demand for derivative litigation from a purported shareholder. The demand relates to, among other matters, issues raised in the OTS supervisory agreement. As is contemplated by applicable corporate law, the Company's Board appointed a special committee of independent directors to review the allegations contained in the demand letter.

Item 1A Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

We repurchased 404 shares of our outstanding common stock to support employee benefit programs during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The following table details the Company's purchases of common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2009:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs*	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under Plans or Programs
April 2009				
Beginning Date - April 1, 2009				
Ending Date - April 30, 2009	404	\$ 2.49	404	1,772,120
May 2009				
Beginning Date - May 1, 2009				
Ending Date - May 31, 2009	--	--	--	1,772,120
June 2009				
Beginning Date - June 1, 2009				
Ending Date - June 30, 2009	--	--	--	1,772,120
Total shares purchased during the three months ended June 30, 2009	404	\$ 2.49	404	1,772,120

* Information related to our publicly announced plan authorizing purchases of common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2009, is as follows:

Date Purchase Plan Announced	Number of Shares Approved for Purchase	Expiration Date of Purchase Plan
March 20, 2008	1,797,592	No stated expiration date

Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

There are no matters required to be reported under this item.

Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

On May 21, 2009, we held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to obtain approval for two proposals submitted on behalf of our Board of Directors. The following is a brief summary of each proposal and the result of the vote.

Proposal	Term Expiration	For	Withheld / Against	Abstain
1. To elect two directors for a three-year term and until their successors are elected and qualified.				
Gilbert G. Lundstrom	2012	13,465,283	2,214,461	N/A
Joyce Person Pocras	2012	13,455,990	2,223,754	N/A
2. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009.				
	N/A	15,025,292	142,594	511,858

The terms of office for the following directors continued after our Annual Meeting of Stockholders: Charles W. Hoskins and Samuel P. Baird (terms expire in 2010); James A. Laphen, Campbell R. McConnell and James E. McClurg (terms expire in 2011); and James W. Strand (term expires in 2012).

Item 5 Other Information.

There are no matters required to be reported under this item.

Item 6 Exhibits.

The exhibits filed or incorporated as part of this Form 10-Q are specified in the Exhibit Index.

74

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TIERONE CORPORATION

Date: August 5, 2009

By: /s/ Gilbert G. Lundstrom
 Gilbert G. Lundstrom
 Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2009

By: /s/ Eugene B. Witkowicz
 Eugene B. Witkowicz
 Executive Vice President and
 Chief Financial Officer

75

EXHIBIT INDEX

No.	Exhibits
3.1	Restated Bylaws, as amended and restated effective as of July 22, 2009
31.1	Section 302 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Section 302 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: TIERONE CORP - Form 10-Q

No.

Exhibits

32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
------	--

76