

MIDSOUTH BANCORP INC
Form 10-K
March 16, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008
Commission File number 1-11826

MIDSOUTH BANCORP, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Louisiana 72-1020809
(State of Incorporation) (I.R.S. EIN Number)

102 Versailles Boulevard, Lafayette, LA 70501
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (337) 237-8343

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$.10 par value	New York Stock Exchange Alternext

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none

Indicate by check mark if this registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in

Edgar Filing: MIDSOUTH BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. A large accelerated filer An accelerated filer A non-accelerated filer
 A smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.)
Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2008 was approximately \$68,042,660 based upon the closing market price on NYSE Alternext as of such date. As of February 27, 2009, there were 6,618,220 outstanding shares of MidSouth Bancorp, Inc. common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10-14 of this Form 10-K.

MIDSOUTH BANCORP, INC.
2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

Item 1 - Business

Item 1A – Risk Factors

Item 1B – Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2 - Properties

Item 3 - Legal Proceedings

Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 4A - Executive Officers of the Registrant

Item 5 - Market for Registrant's Common Stock, Related Shareholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6 – Five Year Summary of Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operations

Item 7A – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 9 – Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A – Controls and Procedures

Item 9B – Other Information

Item 10 - Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Item 11 - Executive Compensation

Item 12 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

Item 13 - Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14 – Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Item 15 - Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Part I

Item 1 - Business

The Company

MidSouth Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) is a Louisiana corporation registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. Its operations are conducted primarily through a wholly-owned bank subsidiary, MidSouth Bank, N.A. (the “Bank”), chartered in February 1985. The Company merged its two wholly-owned banking subsidiaries, MidSouth Bank, N.A. (Louisiana) and MidSouth Bank Texas, N.A. into MidSouth Bank, N.A., at the end of the first quarter of 2008.

The Bank

MidSouth Bank, N.A. is a national banking association domiciled in Lafayette, Louisiana. The Bank provides a broad range of commercial and retail community banking services primarily to professional, commercial, and industrial customers in their market areas. These services include, but are not limited to, interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing checking accounts, investment accounts, cash management services, electronic banking services, credit cards, and secured and unsecured loan products. The Bank is a U.S. government depository and a member of the Pulse network, which provides its customers with automatic teller machine services through the Pulse and Cirrus networks. Membership in the Community Cash Network provides the customers of the Bank with access to all ATMs operated by the Bank with no surcharge. The MidSouth franchise operates locations throughout south Louisiana and southeast Texas described below under Item 2 - Properties.

Employees

As of December 31, 2008, the Bank employed approximately 419 full-time equivalent employees. The Company has no employees who are not also employees of the Bank. Through the Bank, employees receive employee benefits, which include an employee stock ownership plan; a 401(K) plan; and life, health and disability insurance plans. The Company’s directors, officers, and employees are important to the success of the Company and play a key role in business development by actively participating in the communities served by the Company. The Company considers the relationship of the Bank with its employees to be excellent.

Competition

The Bank faces strong competition in its market areas from both traditional and nontraditional financial services providers, such as commercial banks; savings banks; credit unions; finance companies; mortgage, leasing, and insurance companies; money market mutual funds; brokerage houses; and branches that provide credit facilities. Several of the financial services competitors in the Company’s market areas are substantially larger and have far greater resources, but the Company has effectively competed by building long-term customer relationships. Customer loyalty has been built through a continued focus on quality customer service enhanced by current technology and effective delivery systems.

Other factors, including economic, legislative, and technological changes, also impact the Company’s competitive environment. The Company’s management continually evaluates competitive challenges in the financial services industry and develops appropriate responses consistent with its overall market strategy.

The Company opened a third branch in the Baton Rouge market in 2008, following the addition of four new branches and three replacement branches throughout the existing corporate footprint in 2007. In 2009, the Company plans to continue its focus in existing markets, solidifying and expanding its banking presence and commercial lending base

throughout Houston and southeast Texas. The Company is continually receptive to new growth opportunities in both our existing markets and locations that are in accordance with our long-term strategic goal of building shareholder wealth.

Supervision and Regulation

Participants in the financial services industry are subject to varying degrees of regulation and government supervision. The following contains important aspects of the supervision and regulation of bank and bank holding companies. The current system of laws and regulations can change over time and it cannot be predicted whether these changes will be favorable or unfavorable to the Company or the Bank.

Current unfavorable economic conditions prompted government to pass the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the "EESA"). Under the EESA, the Company issued \$20.0 million in preferred stock to the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") under the Capital Purchase Plan ("CPP") in an effort to help stimulate the economy through increased lending efforts. Under the CPP, the Company is required to pay cumulative dividends on the senior preferred shares at an annual rate of 5% for the first five years and 9% thereafter, unless the Company redeems the shares earlier. Redemptions will be at 100% of issue price plus accrued dividends and are subject to prior regulatory approval. The Company may not declare or pay dividends on its common stock or repurchase common stock without first having paid all accrued cumulative preferred dividends that are due. For three years after Treasury's investment in the senior preferred shares, the Company also may not increase its per share common stock dividend rate or repurchase its common shares without the Treasury's consent, unless the Treasury has transferred all the senior preferred shares to third parties.

Bank Holding Companies

General

As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the “Act”) and to supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”). The Act requires the Company to file periodic reports with the Federal Reserve Board and subjects the Company to regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve Board. The Act also requires the Company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board for acquisitions of substantially all of the assets of any bank or bank holding company or more than 5% of the voting shares of any bank or bank holding company. The Act prohibits the Company from engaging in any business other than banking or bank-related activities specifically allowed by the Federal Reserve Board, including modifications to the Act brought about by the enactment of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLB”) of 1999.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act

This financial services reform legislation (1) permits commercial banks to affiliate with investment banks, (2) permits companies that own commercial banks to engage in any type of financial activity, and (3) allows subsidiaries of banks to engage in a broad range of financial activities beyond those permitted for banks themselves. As a result, banks, securities firms, and insurance companies are able to combine much more readily.

Under provisions of GLB, two types of regulated entities are authorized to engage in a broad range of financial activities much more extensive than those of standard holding companies. A “financial holding company” can engage in all authorized activities and is simply a bank holding company whose depository institutions are well-capitalized, well-managed, and has a Community Reinvestment Act (“CRA”) rating of “satisfactory” or better. The Company is not registered as a financial holding company. A “financial subsidiary” is a direct subsidiary of a bank that satisfies the same conditions as a “financial holding company,” plus several more. The “financial subsidiary” can engage in most of the authorized activities, which are defined as securities, insurance, merchant banking/equity investment, “financial in nature,” and “complementary” activities that do not pose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of an institution or to the financial system generally.

GLB also defines the concept of “functional supervision” meaning similar activities should be regulated by the same regulator, with the Federal Reserve Board serving as an “umbrella” supervisory authority over bank and financial holding companies.

Support of Subsidiary Banks by Holding Companies

Under current Federal Reserve Board policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength for the Bank and to commit resources to support the Bank in circumstances where it might not do so absent such policy. In addition, any loans by a bank holding company to a subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and certain other indebtedness of the subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank at a certain level would be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to priority of payment.

Limitations on Acquisitions of Bank Holding Companies

As a general proposition, other companies seeking to acquire control of a bank holding company, such as the Company, would require the approval of the Federal Reserve Board under the Act. In addition, individuals or groups of individuals seeking to acquire control of a bank holding company would need to file a prior notice with the Federal Reserve Board (which the Federal Reserve Board may disapprove under certain circumstances) under the Change in Bank Control Act. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank holding company. Control may exist under the Act or the Change in Bank Control Act if the individual or company acquires 10% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank holding company.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Signed into law on July 30, 2002, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) addresses many aspects of corporate governance and financial accounting and disclosure. Primarily, it provides a framework for the oversight of public company auditing and for insuring the independence of auditors and audit committees. Under SOX, audit committees are responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of external and internal auditors. SOX also provides for enhanced and accelerated financial disclosures, establishes certification requirements for a company’s chief executive and chief financial officers, and imposes new restrictions on and accelerated reporting of certain insider trading activities. Significant penalties for fraud and other violations are included in SOX.

Under Section 404 of SOX, the Company is required to include in its annual report a statement of management’s responsibility to establish and maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting and management’s conclusion on the effectiveness of internal controls at year-end.

Anti-Money Laundering

Financial institutions must maintain anti-money laundering programs that include established internal policies, procedures, and controls; a designated compliance officer; an ongoing employee training program; and testing of the program by an independent audit function. The Company and the Bank are also prohibited from entering into specified financial transactions and account relationships and must meet enhanced standards for due diligence and “knowing your customer” in their dealings with foreign financial institutions and foreign customers. Financial institutions must take reasonable steps to conduct enhanced scrutiny of account relationships to guard against money laundering and to report any suspicious transactions, and recent laws provide the law enforcement authorities with increased access to financial information maintained by banks. Anti-money laundering regulations have been substantially strengthened as a result of the USA PATRIOT Act, enacted in 2001. Bank regulators routinely examine institutions for compliance with these obligations and are required to consider compliance in connection with the regulatory review of applications. The regulatory authorities have been active in imposing “cease and desist” orders and money penalty sanctions against institutions found in violation of these obligations.

Capital Adequacy Requirements

The Federal Reserve Board and the Office of the Comptroller of Currency (the “OCC”) require that the Company and the Bank meet certain minimum ratios of capital to assets in order to conduct its activities. Two measures of regulatory capital are used in calculating these ratios: Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital. Tier 1 Capital generally includes common equity, retained earnings and a limited amount of qualifying preferred stock, reduced by goodwill and specific intangible assets, such as core deposit intangibles, and certain other assets. Total Capital generally consists of Tier 1 Capital plus the allowance for loan losses, preferred stock that did not qualify as Tier 1 Capital, particular types of subordinated debt, and a limited amount of other items.

The Tier 1 Capital ratio and the Total Capital ratio are calculated against an asset total weighted for risk. Certain assets, such as cash and U.S. Treasury securities, have a zero risk weighting. Others, such as commercial and consumer loans, often have a 100% risk weighting. Assets also include amounts that represent the potential funding of off-balance sheet obligations such as loan commitments and letters of credit. These potential assets are assigned to risk categories in the same manner as funded assets. The total assets in each category are multiplied by the appropriate risk weighting to determine risk-adjusted assets for the capital calculations.

The leverage ratio also provides a measure of the adequacy of Tier 1 Capital, but assets are not risk-weighted for this calculation. Assets deducted from regulatory capital, such as goodwill and other intangible assets, are excluded from the asset base used to calculate capital ratios. The minimum capital ratios for both the Company and the Bank are generally 8% for Total Capital, 4% for Tier 1 Capital and 4% for leverage.

At December 31, 2008, the Company's ratios of Tier 1 and total capital to risk-weighted assets were 11.04% and 12.16%, respectively. The Company's leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to total average adjusted assets) was 8.38% at December 31, 2008. All three regulatory capital ratios for the Company exceeded regulatory minimums at December 31, 2008.

To be eligible to be classified as “well-capitalized,” the Bank must generally maintain a Total Capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 Capital ratio of 6% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 6% or greater. If an institution fails to remain well-capitalized, it will be subject to a series of restrictions that increase as the capital condition worsens. For instance, federal law generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distributions, including the payment of a dividend, or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would be undercapitalized as a result. Undercapitalized depository institutions may not accept brokered deposits absent a waiver from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”), are subject to growth limitations, and must submit a capital restoration plan that is guaranteed by the institution's parent holding company. Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets, and cessation of receipt of deposits

from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

As of December 31, 2008, the most recent notification from the FDIC placed the Bank in the “well capitalized” category under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. All three regulatory capital ratios for the Bank exceeded these minimums at December 31, 2008.

National Banks

General

As a national banking association, the Bank is supervised and regulated by the OCC (its primary regulatory authority), the Federal Reserve Board, and the FDIC. Under Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, the Bank is restricted in its ability to extend credit to or make investments in the Company and other affiliates as that term is defined in that act. National banks are required by the National Bank Act to adhere to branch banking laws applicable to state banks in the states in which they are located and are limited as to powers, locations and other matters of applicable federal law.

Restrictions on loans to directors, executive officers, principal shareholders, and their related interests (collectively referred to herein as “insiders”) are contained in the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O and apply to all insured institutions and its subsidiaries and holding companies. These restrictions include limits on loans to one borrower and conditions that must be met before such a loan can be made. There is also an aggregate limitation on all loans to insiders and their related interests. These loans cannot exceed the institution’s unimpaired capital and surplus, and the OCC may determine that a lesser amount is appropriate. Insiders are subject to enforcement actions for knowingly accepting loans in violation of applicable restrictions.

Deposit Insurance

The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the amount permitted by law. The Bank is thus subject to FDIC deposit insurance premium assessments. The FDIC uses a risk-based assessment system that assigns insured depository institutions to different premium categories based primarily on each institution's capital position and its overall risk rating as determined by its primary regulator. For several years, as a well-capitalized financial institution, the Company had not been required to pay FDIC insurance premiums, but had been required to pay the Financing Corporation ("FICO") assessments that currently total approximately \$21,000 a quarter, or \$84,000 annually. FICO has assessment authority to collect funds from FDIC-insured institutions sufficient to pay interest on noncallable thrift bonds issued between 1987 and 1989, which expire with the bonds in 2019. In 2007, the FDIC resumed deposit insurance assessments and issued one-time credits against the assessments to qualifying institutions. The Company qualified for a one-time credit totaling approximately \$240,000, which partially offset the new FDIC assessment and resulted in \$157,000 in total assessments in 2007. FDIC assessments totaled \$506,000 in 2008 and are expected to increase significantly in 2009 due to provisions of the EESA.

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008

On October 3, 2008, the EESA was signed into law by the President of the United States in response to a national economic and financial crisis. The EESA included a provision for an increase in the amount of deposits insured by the FDIC from \$100,000 to \$250,000 until December 2009. In addition, the FDIC announced a new program called the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP") on October 14, 2008. The TLGP provided for a debt guarantee and increased deposit insurance coverage for certain noninterest-bearing accounts. The Bank opted into both programs. Neither the Bank nor the Company has issued debt under the TLGP. Under the new program, unlimited deposit insurance was provided on funds in noninterest-bearing transaction deposit accounts. Coverage under the program is available for a limited period of time without charge and, thereafter, at a cost of 10 basis points per annum for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts with balances above \$250,000. Annual premium rates on deposit insurance ranges from 8 to 21 basis points per \$100 of assessable deposits for institutions that are judged to pose the least risk to the insurance fund and up to 78 basis points per \$100 of assessable deposits for the most risky institutions. The Company's FDIC assessments for 2009, based on premium increases and current deposit growth projections, are expected to total approximately \$306,000 per quarter, or \$1,224,000 for the year.

On February 27, 2009, the FDIC approved an interim rule that would raise second quarter 2009 deposit insurance premiums for Risk Category I banks from 10 to 14 basis points to 12 to 16 basis points. Under the interim rule, the FDIC would also impose a 10 to 20 basis point special assessment as of June 30, 2009, payable on September 30, 2009 and provide the authorization to implement an additional 10 basis point premium increase in any quarter.

Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act

The Bank is held liable by the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 ("FIRREA") for any losses incurred by, or reasonably expected to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with (1) the default of a commonly controlled FDIC-insured financial institution or (2) any assistance provided by the FDIC to a commonly controlled financial institution in danger of default.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Bank is subject to the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), as amended, and the related regulations issued by federal banking agencies. The CRA states that all banks have a continuing and affirmative obligation, consistent with safe and sound operation, to help meet the credit needs for its entire communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The CRA also charges a bank's primary federal regulator, in connection with the examination of the institution or the evaluation of certain regulatory applications filed by the institution, with the responsibility to assess the institution's record in fulfilling its obligations under the CRA. The regulatory agency's assessment of the institution's record is made available to the public. The Bank received a satisfactory rating following its most recent CRA examination.

Consumer Regulation

Activities of the Bank are subject to a variety of statutes and regulations designed to protect consumers. These laws and regulations include provisions that:

- govern the Bank's disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- limit the interest and other charges collected or contracted for by the Bank;
- require the Bank to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether it is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- prohibit the Bank from discriminating on the basis of race, creed, or other prohibited factors when it makes decisions to extend credit;
- require that the Bank safeguard the personal nonpublic information of its customers, provide annual notices to consumers regarding the usage and sharing of such information, and limit disclosure of such information to third parties except under specific circumstances; and
 - govern the manner in which the Bank may collect consumer debts.

The deposit operations of the Bank are also subject to laws and regulations that:

- require the Bank to adequately disclose the interest rates and other terms of consumer deposit accounts;
- impose a duty on the Bank to maintain the confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribe procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and
- govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts with the Bank and the rights and liabilities of customers who use automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

Governmental Policies

The operations of financial institutions may be affected by the policies of various regulatory authorities. In particular, bank holding companies and its subsidiaries are affected by the credit policies of the Federal Reserve Board. An important function of the Federal Reserve Board is to regulate the national supply of bank credit. Among the instruments of monetary policy used by the Federal Reserve Board to implement its objectives are open market operations in United States Government securities, changes in the discount rate on bank borrowings, and changes in reserve requirements on bank deposits. These policies have significant effects on the overall growth and profitability of the loan, investment, and deposit portfolios. The general effects of such policies upon future operations cannot be accurately predicted.

Available Information

The Company files annual, quarterly, and current reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The public may read and copy any materials the Company files with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC’s website is www.sec.gov. The Company maintains a corporate website at www.midsouthbank.com. It provides public access free of charge to its annual reports on Form 10-K for the last two years, and its most recent quarterly report on Form 10-Q under the Corporate Relations section of the corporate website.

Item 1A – Risk Factors

An investment in the Company’s stock involves a number of risks. Investors should carefully consider the following risks as well as the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference before making an investment decision. The realization of any of the risks described below could have a material adverse effect on the Company and the price of its common stock.

Risks Relating to the Company’s Business

The current economic environment poses significant challenges and could adversely affect the Company’s financial condition and results of operations.

Although the Company remains well capitalized and liquid, the current economic environment is challenging and uncertain. Recessionary conditions in the broader economy could adversely affect the financial capacity of businesses and individuals in the Company’s market area. This could increase the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, reduce loan demand from creditworthy borrowers, and prompt tightened underwriting criteria. The impact on the Company’s financial results could include continued high levels of nonperforming loans, provisions for loan losses, and expenses associated with loan collection efforts. Additionally, decreased demand for deposit products and services combined with a highly competitive rate environment could adversely affect the Company’s liquidity position. Decisions regarding credit risk involve a high degree of judgment. If the allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual losses, then earnings would decrease.

The loan and investment portfolio subjects the Company to credit risk. In-depth analysis is performed to maintain an appropriate allowance for probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. During 2008, recorded provisions for loan losses totaled \$4.6 million based on an overall evaluation of this risk. As of December 31, 2008, the allowance was \$7.6 million, which is approximately 1.25% of total loans.

There is no precise method of predicting loan losses; therefore, the Company faces the risk that additional increases in the allowance for loan losses will be required. Additions to the allowance will result in a decrease in net earnings and capital and could hinder the Company’s ability to grow.

The Company has a concentration of exposure to a number of individual borrowers. Given the size of these loan relationships relative to capital levels and earnings, a significant loss on any one of these loans could materially and adversely affect the Company.

The Company has a concentration of exposure to a number of individual borrowers. The largest exposure to one borrowing relationship as of December 31, 2008, was approximately \$10.6 million, which is 20.0% of combined capital and surplus. In addition, as of December 31, 2008, the aggregate exposure to the 10 largest borrowing relationships was approximately \$82.4 million, which was 156.2% of capital and surplus.

The Company has a high concentration of loans secured by real estate, and the current downturn in the real estate market could materially and adversely affect earnings.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is dependent on real estate. At December 31, 2008, approximately 50% of the Company's loans had real estate as a primary or secondary component of collateral. The collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment if the borrower defaults and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. An adverse change in the economy affecting values of real estate in the Company's primary markets could significantly impair the value of collateral and the ability to sell the collateral upon foreclosure. Furthermore, it is likely that the Company would be required to increase the provision for loan losses. If the Company were required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan to satisfy the debt during a period of reduced real estate values or to increase the allowance for loan losses, the Company's profitability and financial condition could be adversely impacted.

The Company may face risks with respect to future expansion and acquisition.

The Company has expanded its business in part through acquisitions and cannot assure the continuance of this trend or the profitability of future acquisitions. The Company's ability to implement its strategy for continued growth depends on the ability to continue to identify and integrate profitable acquisition targets, to attract and retain customers in a highly competitive market, to increase the deposit base, and the growth of its customers' businesses. Many of these growth prerequisites may be affected by circumstances that are beyond the control of the Company's management and could have a material adverse effect on the size and quality of the Company's assets. Current levels of market disruption and volatility have pressured stock prices and limited credit availability for issuers, seemingly without regard to the issuers' financial stability, limiting issuers' access to the capital markets.

The Company cannot predict the effect of recent legislative and regulatory initiatives.

Congress recently enacted the EESA in an effort to stabilize the financial markets. The initiative provided funding of up to \$700 billion to purchase troubled assets and loans from financial institutions pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") and created the CPP directed by the Treasury. On January 9, 2009, the Company completed a CPP transaction and received \$20 million in capital from the Treasury. Pursuant to terms and conditions of the CPP transaction, which is described in the Company's 8-K filing dated January 14, 2009, the Company faces certain restrictions and limitations that could adversely affect its ability to support the value of the common stock.

A natural disaster, especially one affecting the Company's market areas, could adversely affect the Company.

Since most of the Company's business is conducted in Louisiana and Texas, most of its credit exposure is in those states; thus, the Company is at risk from natural hazards such as hurricanes, floods, and tornadoes that affect Louisiana and Texas. If the economies of Louisiana or Texas experience an overall decline as a result of these natural hazards, the rates of delinquencies, foreclosures, bankruptcies, and losses on loan portfolios would probably increase substantially and the value of real estate or other collateral could be adversely affected.

Competition from other financial intermediaries may adversely affect the Company's profitability.

The Company faces substantial competition in originating loans and in attracting deposits. The competition in originating loans comes principally from other U.S. banks, mortgage banking companies, consumer finance companies, credit unions, insurance companies, and other institutional lenders and purchasers of loans. Many of the Company's competitors are institutions that have significantly greater assets, capital, and other resources. Increased competition could require the Company to increase the rates paid on deposits or lower the rates offered on loans, which could adversely affect and also limit future growth and earnings prospects.

The Company's profitability is vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations.

The Company's profitability is dependent to a large extent on net interest income, which is the difference between its interest income on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period, a significant increase in market rates of interest could adversely affect net interest income. Conversely, when interest-earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could result in a decrease in net interest income.

In periods of increasing interest rates, loan originations may decline, depending on the performance of the overall economy, which may adversely affect income from these lending activities. Also, increases in interest rates could adversely affect the market value of fixed income assets. In addition, an increase in the general level of interest rates may affect the ability of certain borrowers to pay the interest and principal on their obligations.

The Company relies heavily on its management team and the unexpected loss of key officers may adversely affect operations.

The Company's success has been and will continue to be greatly influenced by the ability to retain existing senior management and, with expansion, to attract and retain qualified additional senior and middle management. C.R. Cloutier, President and Chief Executive Officer, and other executive officers have been instrumental in developing and managing the business. The loss of services of Mr. Cloutier or any other executive could have an adverse effect on the Company. While the Company has employment agreements with some of its executive officers, a formal management succession plan has been established. Accordingly, should the Company lose any member of senior management, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to locate and hire a qualified replacement on a timely basis.

A favorable assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and the independent auditors' unqualified attestation report on that assessment are critical to the value of the Company's common stock.

The Company's management is required to report on, and the independent auditors to attest to, the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. The rules governing the standards that must be met for management to assess internal controls are complex, and require significant documentation, testing, and possible remediation. In connection with this effort, the Company has incurred increased expenses and diversion of management's time and other internal resources. In connection with the attestation process by the Company's independent auditors, management may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any requested improvements and receiving a favorable attestation. If the Company cannot make the required report, or if the Company's external auditors are unable to provide an unqualified attestation, investor confidence and the Company's common stock price could be adversely affected.

Monetary policy and other economic factors could affect profitability adversely.

Many factors affect the demand for loans and the ability to attract deposits, including:

- changes in governmental economic and monetary policies;
- modifications to tax, banking, and credit laws and regulations;
 - national, state, and local economic growth rates;
 - employment rates; and
 - population trends.

The Company's success will depend in significant part upon the ability to maintain a sufficient interest margin between the rates of interest received on loans and other investments and the rates paid out on deposits and other liabilities. The monetary and economic factors listed above and the need to pay rates sufficient to attract deposits may adversely affect the Company's ability to maintain a sufficient interest margin that results in operating profits.

The Company operates within a highly regulated industry and its business and results are significantly affected by the regulations to which it is subject.

The Company operates within a highly regulated environment. The regulations to which the Company is subject will continue to have a significant impact on its operations and the degree to which it can grow and be profitable. Certain regulators, to which the Company is subject, have significant power in reviewing the Company's operations and approving its business practices. In recent years the Company's bank, as well as other financial institutions, has experienced increased regulation and regulatory scrutiny, often requiring additional resources. In addition, investigations or proceedings brought by regulatory agencies may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, or other results adverse to the Company. There is no assurance that any change to the regulatory requirements to which the Company is subject, or the way in which such regulatory requirements are interpreted or enforced, will not have a negative effect on the Company's ability to conduct its business and its results of operations.

The Company relies heavily on technology and computer systems. The negative effects of computer system failures and unethical individuals with the technological ability to cause disruption of service could significantly affect the Company's operations.

The Company's ability to compete depends on the ability to continue to adapt and deliver technology on a timely and cost-effective basis to meet customers' demands for financial services.

Risks Relating to an Investment in the Company's Common Stock

Share ownership may be diluted by the issuance of additional shares of common stock in the future.

The Company's stock incentive plan provides for the granting of stock incentives to directors, officers, and employees. As of December 31, 2008, there were 83,996 shares issued under options granted under that plan. Likewise, a number of shares equal to 8% of outstanding shares, including existing shares issuable under current options, are reserved for future issuance to directors, officers, and employees.

It is probable that options will be exercised during their respective terms if the stock price exceeds the exercise price of the particular option. The incentive plan also provides that all issued options automatically and fully vest upon a change in control. If the options are exercised, share ownership will be diluted.

In addition, the Company's articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of common stock and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, but do not provide for preemptive rights to the shareholders. Authorized but unissued shares are available for issuance by the Company's Board. Shareholders will not automatically have the right to subscribe for additional shares. As a result, if the Company issues additional shares to raise capital or for other corporate purposes, shareholders may be unable to maintain a pro rata ownership in

the Company.

On January 9, 2009, the Company issued \$20.0 million in preferred stock to the Treasury under the CPP. As part of the CPP transaction, the Company also issued the Treasury a 10-year warrant for the purchase of 208,768 shares of its common stock. The warrant has an aggregate market price equal to 15% of the amount of Treasury's investment in the senior preferred stock and an initial exercise price of \$14.37 per share.

The holders of the Company's preferred stock and trust preferred securities have rights that are senior to those of shareholders.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding \$15.5 million of trust preferred securities. Payment of these securities is senior to shares of common stock. As a result, the Company must make payments on the trust preferred before any dividends can be paid on common stock; moreover, in the event of bankruptcy, dissolution, or liquidation, the holders of the trust preferred securities must be satisfied before any distributions can be made to shareholders. The Company has the right to defer distributions on the trust preferred for up to five years, and if such an election is made, no dividends may be paid to shareholders during that time.

On January 9, 2009, the Company issued \$20.0 million in preferred stock to the Treasury under the CPP. Under the CPP, the Company is required to pay cumulative dividends on the senior preferred shares at an annual rate of 5% for the first five years and 9% thereafter, unless the Company redeems the shares earlier. Redemptions will be at 100% of issue price plus accrued dividends and are subject to prior regulatory approval. The Company may not declare or pay dividends on its common stock or repurchase common stock without first having paid all accrued cumulative preferred dividends that are due. For three years after the Treasury's investment in the senior preferred shares, the Company also may not increase its per share common stock dividend rate or repurchase its common shares without the Treasury's consent, unless the Treasury has transferred all the senior preferred shares to third parties.

-9-

The directors of the Company and executive management own a significant number of shares of stock, allowing further control over business and corporate affairs.

The Company's directors and executive officers beneficially own approximately 2,519,403 shares, or 38.1%, of outstanding common stock. As a result, in addition to their day-to-day management roles, they will be able to exercise significant influence on the Company's business as shareholders, including influence over election of the Board and the authorization of other corporate actions requiring shareholder approval.

Provisions of the Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws, Louisiana law, and state and federal banking regulations, could delay or prevent a takeover by a third party.

The Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws could delay, defer, or prevent a third party takeover, despite possible benefit to the shareholders, or otherwise adversely affect the price of the common stock. The Company's governing documents:

- require Board action to be taken by a majority of the entire Board rather than a majority of a quorum;
- permit shareholders to fill vacant Board seats only if the Board has not filled the vacancy within 90 days;
 - permit directors to be removed by shareholders only for cause and only upon an 80% vote;
- require an 80% shareholder vote to amend the Bylaws (85% in the case of certain provisions), a 75% vote to approve amendments to the Articles (85% in the case of certain provisions) and a 66-2/3% vote for any other proposal, in each case if the proposed action was not approved by two-thirds of the entire Board;
 - require 80% of the voting power for shareholders to call a special meeting;
- authorize a class of preferred stock that may be issued in series with terms, including voting rights, established by the Board without shareholder approval;
- authorize approximately 10 million shares of common stock that may be issued by the Board without shareholder approval;
- classify its Board with staggered three year terms, preventing a change in a majority of the Board at any annual meeting;
- require advance notice of proposed nominations for election to the Board and business to be conducted at a shareholder meeting; and
 - require supermajority shareholder voting to approve business combinations not approved by the Board.

These provisions would preclude a third party from removing incumbent directors and simultaneously gaining control of the board by filling the vacancies thus created with its own nominees. Under the classified Board provisions, it would take at least two elections of directors for any individual or group to gain control of the board. Accordingly, these provisions could discourage a third party from initiating a proxy contest, making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to gain control. These provisions may have the effect of delaying consideration of a shareholder proposal until the next annual meeting unless a special meeting is called by the Board or the chairman of the Board. Moreover, even in the absence of an attempted takeover, the provisions make it difficult for shareholders dissatisfied with the Board to effect a change in the Board's composition, even at annual meetings.

Also, the Company is subject to the provisions of the Louisiana Business Corporation Law ("LBCL"), which provides that the Company may not engage in certain business combinations with an "interested shareholder" (generally defined as the holder of 10.0% or more of the voting shares) unless (1) the transaction was approved by the Board before the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder or (2) the transaction was approved by at least two-thirds of the outstanding voting shares not beneficially owned by the interested shareholder and 80% of the total voting power or (3) certain conditions relating to the price to be paid to the shareholders are met.

The LBCL also addresses certain transactions involving "control shares," which are shares that would have voting power with respect to the Company within certain ranges of voting power. Control shares acquired in a control share acquisition have voting rights only to the extent granted by a resolution approved by the Company's shareholders. If control shares are accorded full voting rights and the acquiring person has acquired control shares with a majority or

more of all voting power, shareholders of the issuing public corporation have dissenters' rights as provided by the LBCL.

The Company's future ability to pay dividends and repurchase stock is subject to restrictions.

Since the Company is a holding company with no significant assets other than the Bank, the Company has no material source of income other than dividends received from the Bank. Therefore, the ability to pay dividends to the shareholders will depend on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company. Moreover, banks and bank holding companies are both subject to certain federal and state regulatory restrictions on cash dividends. The Company is also restricted from paying dividends under the terms of its Series A Preferred Stock and if it has deferred payments of the interest on, or an event of default has occurred with respect to, its trust preferred securities. Additionally, terms and conditions of the CPP transaction place certain restrictions and limitations on common stock dividends and common stock repurchases.

A shareholder's investment is not an insured deposit.

An investment in the Company's common stock is not a bank deposit and is not insured or guaranteed by the FDIC or any other government agency. A shareholder's investment will be subject to investment risk and the shareholder must be capable of affording the loss of the entire investment.

-10-

Item 1B – Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2 - Properties

The Company leases its principal executive and administrative offices and principal facility in Lafayette, Louisiana under a lease expiring March 31, 2017. The Company is granted two 5-year renewal options thereafter. Eight other branches are located in Lafayette, Louisiana, three in New Iberia, Louisiana, three in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, two in Lake Charles, Louisiana, and one banking office in each of the following Louisiana cities: Breaux Bridge, Cecilia, Larose, Jeanerette, Opelousas, Morgan City, Jennings, Sulphur, Thibodaux, and Houma. Seventeen of these offices are owned and nine are leased.

In the Company's Texas region, three full service branches are located in Beaumont, Texas, two of which are owned and one leased. Additional full service branches are located in Vidor, College Station, Houston, and Conroe. The Company also operates a loan production office located in Conroe. Of these offices, three are owned and two are leased.

Item 3 - Legal Proceedings

The Bank has been named as a defendant in various legal actions arising from normal business activities in which damages of various amounts are claimed. While the amount, if any, of ultimate liability with respect to such matters cannot be currently determined, management believes, after consulting with legal counsel, that any such liability will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Item 4A - Executive Officers of the Registrant

C. R. Cloutier, 61 – President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Company and the Bank since 1984.

J. Eustis Corrigan, Jr., 44 –Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for the Company and the Bank since 2006. Mr. Corrigan announced his resignation effective January 15, 2009 in an 8-K filed by the Company on December 4, 2008.

Karen L. Hail, 55 – Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer of the Bank since 2002; Secretary and Treasurer of the Company since 1984; and Director of the Bank since 1988.

Donald R. Landry, 52 – Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank since 1995 and Executive Vice President since 2002.

Dwight Utz, 55 – Executive Vice President and Chief Retail Officer since 2001.

Teri S. Stelly, 49 – Senior Vice President and Controller of the Company since 1998; Interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer since January 15, 2009.

All executive officers of the Company are appointed for one year terms expiring at the first meeting of the Board of Directors after the annual shareholders meeting next succeeding his or her election and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

PART II

Item 5 - Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

As of February 27, 2009, there were 864 common shareholders of record. The Company's common stock trades on the NYSE Alternext under the symbol "MSL." The high and low sales price for the past eight quarters has been provided in the Selected Quarterly Financial Data tables that are included with this filing under Item 8 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Cash dividends totaling \$2.1 million were declared to common shareholders during 2008. The regular quarterly dividend of \$0.07 per share was paid for each quarter of 2008. A special dividend of \$0.04 per share was declared in addition to the \$0.07 per share for the fourth quarter of 2008. Cash dividends paid in 2008 totaled \$0.32 per share. It is the intention of the Board of Directors of the Company to continue paying quarterly dividends on the common stock at a rate of \$0.07 per share. Cash dividends totaling \$1.9 million were declared to common shareholders during 2007. A quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per share was paid for the first two quarters of 2007 and \$0.07 per share was paid for the last two quarters of 2007. A special dividend of \$0.04 per share was declared in addition to the \$0.07 per share for the fourth quarter of 2007. As adjusted for a 5% stock dividend in 2007, cash dividends paid in 2007 totaled \$0.29 per share. Restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends are described in Item 7 below under the heading "Liquidity - Dividends" and in Note 15 to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table provides information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company or any "affiliated purchaser," as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 10b-8(a)(3), of equity securities during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2008. The CPP contains limitations on the payment of dividends on the common stock, including cash dividends in excess of \$0.32 per share and on the Company's ability to repurchase its common stock.

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan ¹	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan ¹
October 2008	197	\$ 16.02	197	168,941
November 2008	142	\$ 16.30	142	168,799
December 2008	-	-	-	168,799

¹ Under a share repurchase program approved by the Company's Board of Directors on November 13, 2002, the Company can repurchase up to 5% of its common stock outstanding through open market or privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding stock options granted under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, which was approved by the Company's shareholders. Provided below is information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans under which the Company's equity securities are authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2008.

Plan Category

Edgar Filing: MIDSOUTH BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	83,996	\$ 14.93	445,462
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	83,996	\$ 14.93	445,462

-12-

The following graph, which was prepared by SNL Securities, LC (“SNL”), compares the cumulative total return on the Company’s common stock over a period beginning December 31, 2003 with (1) the cumulative total return on the stocks included in the Russell 3000 and (2) the cumulative total return on the stocks included in the SNL \$250M - \$500M and the SNL \$500M - \$1B Bank Index. All of these cumulative returns are computed assuming the quarterly reinvestment of dividends paid during the applicable period.

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08
MidSouth Bancorp, Inc.	100.00	108.16	120.26	175.17	139.20	77.68
Russell 3000	100.00	111.95	118.80	137.47	144.54	90.61
SNL Bank \$250M-\$500M	100.00	113.50	120.50	125.91	102.33	58.44
SNL Bank \$500M-\$1B	100.00	113.32	118.18	134.41	107.71	69.02

The stock price information shown above is not necessarily indicative of future price performance. Information used was obtained from SNL from sources believed to be reliable. The Company assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in such information.

Item 6 – Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data

	At and For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Interest income	\$ 55,472	\$ 57,139	\$ 50,235	\$ 38,556	\$ 27,745
Interest expense	(16,085)	(20,534)	(17,692)	(10,824)	(5,718)
Net interest income	39,387	36,605	32,543	27,732	22,027
Provision for loan losses	(4,555)	(1,175)	(850)	(980)	(991)
Noninterest income	15,128	14,259	12,379	12,286	9,246
Noninterest expenses	(43,974)	(38,634)	(33,124)	(29,326)	(20,861)
Earnings before income taxes	5,986	11,055	10,948	9,712	9,421
Income tax expense	(449)	(2,279)	(2,728)	(2,438)	(2,442)
Net income	\$ 5,537	\$ 8,776	\$ 8,220	\$ 7,274	\$ 6,979
Basic earnings per share ¹	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.18
Diluted earnings per share ¹	\$ 0.83	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.12
Dividends per share ¹	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.18
Total loans	\$ 608,955	\$ 569,505	\$ 499,046	\$ 442,794	\$ 386,471
Total assets	936,815	854,056	805,022	698,814	610,088
Total deposits	766,704	733,517	716,180	624,938	530,383
Cash dividends on common stock	2,120	1,920	1,463	1,425	1,112
Long-term obligations ²	15,465	15,465	15,465	15,465	15,465
Selected ratios:					
Loans to assets	65.00%	66.68%	61.99%	63.36%	63.35%
Loans to deposits	79.43%	77.64%	69.68%	70.85%	72.87%
Deposits to assets	81.84%	85.89%	88.96%	89.43%	86.94%
Return on average assets	0.60%	1.06%	1.08%	1.13%	1.39%
Return on average common equity ³	7.79%	13.83%	14.68%	14.24%	18.73%

¹ On October 23, 2007, the Company paid a 5% stock dividend to shareholders of record on September 21, 2007. On October 23, 2006, the Company paid a 25% stock dividend on its common stock to holders of record on September 29, 2006. On August 19, 2005, a 10% stock dividend was paid to holders of record on July 29, 2005. On November 30, 2004, a 25% stock dividend was paid to holders of record on October 29, 2004. Per common share data has been adjusted accordingly.

² On September 20, 2004, the Company issued \$8,248,000 of junior subordinated debentures to partially fund the acquisition of Lamar Bancshares, Inc. (MidSouth TX) on October 1, 2004. On February 21, 2001, the Company completed the issuance of \$7,217,000 of junior subordinated debentures. For regulatory purposes, these funds qualify as Tier 1 Capital. For financial reporting purposes, these funds are included as a liability under generally accepted accounting principles.

³ In 2004, the return on average common equity ratio reflected the impact of approximately \$9 million in goodwill added as a result of the Lamar Bancshares, Inc. acquisition.

Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MidSouth Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) is a single-bank holding company that conducts substantially all of its business through its wholly-owned subsidiary bank, MidSouth Bank, N. A. (the “Bank”), headquartered in Lafayette, Louisiana. The Company merged its two wholly-owned banking subsidiaries, MidSouth Bank, N.A. (Louisiana) and MidSouth Bank Texas, N.A. into MidSouth Bank, N.A., at the end of the first quarter of 2008. The purpose of this discussion and analysis is to focus on significant changes in the financial condition of the Company and on its results of operations during 2008, 2007, and 2006. This discussion and analysis is intended to highlight and supplement information presented elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K, particularly the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing in Item 8.

Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for disclosure of information about a company’s anticipated future financial performance. This act protects a company from unwarranted litigation if actual results differ from management expectations. This management’s discussion and analysis reflects management’s current views and estimates of future economic circumstances, industry conditions, and the Company’s performance and financial results based on reasonable assumptions. A number of factors and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results and expectations expressed in the discussion. These factors and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- changes in interest rates and market prices that could affect the net interest margin, asset valuation, and expense levels;
- changes in local economic and business conditions that could adversely affect customers and their ability to repay borrowings under agreed upon terms and/or adversely affect the value of the underlying collateral related to the borrowings;
 - increased competition for deposits and loans which could affect rates and terms;
- changes in the levels of prepayments received on loans and investment securities that adversely affect the yield and value of the earning assets;
- a deviation in actual experience from the underlying assumptions used to determine and establish the Allowance for Loan Losses (“ALL”);
 - changes in the availability of funds resulting from reduced liquidity or increased costs;
- the timing and impact of future acquisitions, the success or failure of integrating operations, and the ability to capitalize on growth opportunities upon entering new markets;
 - the ability to acquire, operate, and maintain effective and efficient operating systems;
- increased asset levels and changes in the composition of assets which would impact capital levels and regulatory capital ratios;
 - loss of critical personnel and the challenge of hiring qualified personnel at reasonable compensation levels;
 - changes in government regulations applicable to financial holding companies and banking; and
 - acts of terrorism, weather, or other events beyond the Company’s control.

Critical Accounting Policies

Certain critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. The Company’s significant accounting policies are described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this report. The accounting principles followed by the Company and the methods of applying these principles conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and general banking practices. The Company’s most critical accounting policy relates to its allowance for loan losses, which reflects the estimated losses resulting from the inability of its borrowers to make loan payments. If the financial condition of its borrowers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, the Company’s estimates would be updated and additional provisions for loan losses may be required. See Asset Quality – Allowance for Loan Losses.

Another of the Company's critical accounting policies relates to its goodwill and intangible assets. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for impairment annually. If the fair value of an asset exceeds the carrying amount of the asset, no charge to goodwill is made. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the asset, goodwill will be adjusted through a charge to earnings.

Compliance with accounting for stock-based compensation requires that management make assumptions including stock price volatility and employee turnover that are utilized to measure compensation expense. The fair value of stock options granted is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions.

Given the current instability of the economic environment, it is reasonably possible that the methodology of the assessment of potential loan losses, goodwill impairment, and other fair value measurements could change in the near-term or could result in impairment going forward.

Overview

The Company's growth strategy is focused on three principal components: internal growth through strategic de novo branching, technological upgrades, and continual staff development. The Company focuses on internal growth and identification of de novo branch opportunities that enhance franchise value. Each retail region operates with a regional president accountable for the Company's performance in their market. The Company will also continue its focus on attracting key new hires and on ongoing development of existing staff.

Recent Transactions

On January 2, 2009, the Company paid its regular quarterly dividend of \$0.07 per share and an additional \$0.04 special dividend to its common shareholders of record as of December 14, 2008.

On January 9, 2009, the Company's participation in the CPP of the Treasury offered under the EESA added \$20.0 million in liquidity and capital for the purpose of funding loans.

Results of Operations

The Company's net income for the year ended December 31, 2008 totaled \$5.5 million compared to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 36.9%. Basic earnings per share were \$0.84 and \$1.34 for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.83 for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$1.32 per share earned for the year ended December 31, 2007. Total provision for loan loss increased \$3.4 million in year-to-date comparison. The increase in provision expense was primarily driven by an increase of \$1.9 million in net charge-offs, credit downgrades identified in the loan portfolio during the year, and an increase in average loan volume of \$39.6 million (see Asset Quality). Net interest income increased \$2.8 million, or 7.6%, in 2008, primarily attributable to the lower cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Interest expense decreased \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the same period ended December 31, 2007, as the Company adjusted deposit rates in response to the 400 basis point drop in interest rates by the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") during 2008. Total interest income decreased \$1.7 million, despite an \$83.8 million increase in average earning assets, primarily due to a 104 basis points decrease in the average loan yield.

Other noninterest income increased \$869,000, or 6.1%, primarily due to increases of \$384,000 in service charges on deposit accounts, \$635,000 in debit card and ATM transaction fee income, and a \$131,000 one-time payment recorded in other noninterest income in the first quarter of 2008 related to VISA's mandatory redemption of a portion of its Class B shares outstanding in connection with an initial public offering. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$205,000 in mortgage processing fee income. Noninterest expense increased \$5,340,000 due to increases in salaries and benefits costs (\$1,005,000), occupancy expenses (\$1,810,000), professional fees (\$410,000), marketing expenses (\$414,000), FDIC insurance premiums (\$349,000), data processing expenses (\$287,000), ATM and debit card processing fees (\$268,000), corporate development expenses (\$283,000), and losses on other assets repossessed (\$299,000).

The results for the year ended December 31, 2008 were positively impacted by a lower effective tax rate of 7.50% for 2008 as compared to 20.62% in 2007 that reduced income tax expense by \$1.8 million. The lower effective tax rate resulted from decreased pretax earnings due to the \$3.4 million increase in the provision for loan losses combined with sustained interest income from tax exempt municipal securities within the investment portfolio. Additionally, the Work Opportunity Tax Credit was applied to the tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, which reduced the expense by \$149,000 and \$99,000, respectively.

The Company's total consolidated assets increased \$82.8 million, or 9.7%, from \$854.0 million at December 31, 2007, to \$936.8 million at December 31, 2008. Total loans grew \$39.5 million, or 6.9%, from \$569.5 million at December 31, 2007 to \$609.0 million at December 31, 2008, primarily in commercial credits and real estate mortgage loans. Total deposits grew \$33.2 million, or 4.5%, from \$733.5 million at December 31, 2007, to \$766.7 million at December 31, 2008. The Company maintained a strong noninterest-bearing deposit portfolio of \$199.9 million, or 26.1% of total deposits, and grew interest-bearing deposits primarily in consumer Platinum checking and business checking accounts.

Nonperforming assets, including loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing ("loans past due"), totaled \$11.0 million at December 31, 2008 compared to \$3.0 million at December 31, 2007. Nonaccrual loans increased \$7.8 million from 2007 to 2008, primarily attributable to one loan relationship totaling \$7.4 million in the Baton Rouge market secured by commercial real estate. Loans past due increased \$25,000 in annual comparison, from \$980,000 at December 31, 2007 to \$1,005,000 at December 31, 2008. As a percentage of total assets, nonperforming assets increased from 0.35% at December of 2007 to 1.17% at December of 2008.

Net loan charge-offs for 2008 were \$2.4 million, or 0.40% of average loans, compared to \$540,000, or 0.10% of average loans, recorded a year earlier. The Company provided \$4.6 million for loan losses in 2008 compared to \$1.2 million in 2007 to bring the ALL as a percentage of total loans to 1.25% at year-end 2008 compared to 0.99% at year-end 2007. The increase in provision expense was primarily driven by an increase of \$1.9 million in net

charge-offs, credit downgrades identified in the loan portfolio, and an increase in average loan volume of \$39.6 million during the year ending December 31, 2008.

The Company's leverage ratio was 8.38% at December 31, 2008, compared to 8.67% at December 31, 2007. Return on average common equity was 7.79% for 2008 compared to 13.83% for 2007. Return on average assets was 0.60% compared to 1.06% for the same periods, respectively.

Table 1
Summary of Return on Equity and Assets

	2008	2007	2006
Return on average assets	0.60%	1.06%	1.08%
Return on average common equity	7.79%	13.83%	14.68%
Dividend payout ratio on common stock	38.14%	19.97%	18.14%
Average equity to average assets	7.75%	7.69%	7.35%

Earnings Analysis

Net Interest Income

The primary source of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities combined with changes in market rates of interest greatly affect net interest income. The Company's net interest margin on a taxable equivalent basis, which is net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets, was 4.93%, 5.10%, and 4.90% for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. Tables 2 and 3 analyze the changes in net interest income for each of the three year periods ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006.

-16-

Table 2
Consolidated Average Balances, Interest, and Rates
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2008			2007			2006		
	Average Volume	Interest	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Volume	Interest	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Volume	Interest	Average Yield/ Rate
Assets									
Investment securities¹									
Taxable	\$ 97,363	\$ 4,381	4.50%	\$ 85,999	\$ 4,089	4.75%	\$ 98,173	\$ 4,459	4.54%
Tax-exempt ²	112,801	6,100	5.41%	110,256	5,846	5.30%	93,918	4,803	5.11%
Other investments	4,172	136	3.26%	3,533	156	4.42%	2,377	80	3.37%
Total investments	214,336	10,617	4.95%	199,788	10,091	5.05%	194,468	9,342	4.80%
Federal funds sold	29,406	669	2.24%	15,554	788	5.00%	23,528	1,134	4.75%
Loans									
Commercial and real estate									
Installment	461,382	35,404	7.67%	426,038	38,314	8.99%	376,827	32,894	8.73%
Total loans ³	113,973	10,128	8.89%	109,688	9,651	8.80%	97,693	8,251	8.45%
Other earning assets	575,355	45,532	7.91%	535,726	47,965	8.95%	474,520	41,145	8.67%
Total earning assets	15,892	447	2.81%	118	7	5.93%	205	12	5.85%
Allowance for loan losses	834,989	57,265	6.86%	751,186	58,851	7.83%	692,721	51,633	7.45%
Nonearning assets	(5,910)			(5,079)			(4,686)		
Total assets	\$ 917,887			\$ 825,434			\$ 761,603		
Liabilities and shareholders' equity									
NOW, money market, and savings									
Time deposits	\$ 453,531	\$ 7,958	1.75%	\$ 419,983	\$ 13,017	3.10%	\$ 388,880	\$ 12,084	3.11%
Total interest-bearing deposits	146,272	5,952	4.07%	121,238	5,089	4.20%	117,149	4,053	3.46%
Borrowings:	599,803	13,910	2.32%	541,221	18,106	3.35%	506,029	16,137	3.19%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds	35,999	875	2.39%	13,880	531	3.77%	4,014	184	4.52%

Edgar Filing: MIDSOUTH BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

purchased									
FHLB									
advances	452	16	3.48%	8,309	500	5.94%	-	-	-
FRB Discount									
Window	4,491	65	1.45%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total									
borrowings	40,942	956	2.30%	22,189	1,031	4.58%	4,014	184	4.52%
Junior									
subordinated									
debentures	15,465	1,219	7.75%	15,465	1,397	8.91%	15,465	1,371	8.74%
Total									
interest-bearing									
liabilities	656,210	16,085	2.45%	578,875	20,534	3.55%	525,508	17,692	3.37%
Demand deposits	185,113			178,933			176,353		
Other liabilities	5,466			4,158			3,733		
Shareholders'									
equity	71,098			63,468			56,009		
Total liabilities									
and shareholders'									
equity	\$ 917,887			\$ 825,434			\$ 761,603		
Net interest									
income and net									
interest spread		\$ 41,180	4.41%		\$ 38,317	4.28%		\$ 33,941	4.08%
Net yield on									
interest earning									
assets			4.93%			5.10%			4.90%

1 Securities classified as available-for-sale are included in average balances and interest income figures and reflect interest earned on such securities.

2 Interest income of \$1,792,000 for 2008, \$1,712,000 for 2007, and \$1,398,000 for 2006 is added to interest earned on tax-exempt obligations to reflect tax equivalent yields using a 34% tax rate.

3 Interest income includes loan fees of \$3,801,000 for 2008, \$3,352,000 for 2007, and \$3,400,000 for 2006. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balances and income on such loans is recognized on a cash basis.

Table 3
Changes in Taxable-Equivalent Net Interest Income
(in thousands)

	2008 Compared to 2007			2007 Compared to 2006		
	Total Increase (Decrease)	Change Attributable To Volume Rates		Total Increase (Decrease)	Change Attributable To Volume Rates	
Taxable-equivalent earned on:						
Investment securities and interest-bearing deposits						
Taxable	\$ 292	\$ 519	\$ (227)	\$ (370)	\$ (571)	\$ 201
Tax-exempt	254	137	117	1,043	861	182
Other investments	(20)	25	(45)	76	46	30
Federal funds sold	(119)	468	(587)	(346)	(372)	26
Loans, including fees	(2,433)	3,387	(5,820)	6,820	5,445	1,375
Other earning assets	440	444	(4)	(5)	(5)	-
Total	(1,586)	4,980	(6,566)	7,218	5,404	1,814
Interest paid on:						
Interest-bearing deposits						
	(4,196)	1,804	(6,000)	1,969	1,155	814
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased						
	344	657	(313)	347	355	(8)
FHLB Advances	(484)	(366)	(118)	500	500	-
FRB Discount Window	65	33	32	-	-	-
Junior subordinated debentures	(178)	-	(178)	26	-	26
Total	(4,449)	2,128	(6,577)	2,842	2,010	832
Taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$ 2,863	\$ 2,852	\$ 11	\$ 4,376	\$ 3,394	\$ 982

NOTE: Changes due to both volume and rate have generally been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts to the changes in each.

Net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis increased \$2.9 million for 2008 over 2007 and \$4.4 million for 2007 over 2006. Average earning assets increased \$83.8 million, or 11.2%, from \$751.2 million at December 31, 2007, to \$835.0 million at December 31, 2008. The yield on average earning assets decreased 97 basis points, from 7.83% to 6.86% in annual comparison. Average loan yields decreased 104 basis points, from 8.95% at December 31, 2007, to 7.91% at December 31, 2008, primarily due to the Company's variable rate loans that adjusted with Prime. The Prime rate decreased 400 basis points during the course of the year, from 7.25% to 3.25% at year end. An increase in loan volume of \$39.6 million, or 7.4%, partially offset the decrease in rates and resulted in a \$2.4 million decrease in interest earned on loans for 2008. Rate decreases on earning assets offset volume increases and resulted in a decrease of \$1.6 million in taxable-equivalent interest income. The \$1.6 million decrease was offset by a \$4.4 million decrease in interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Interest paid on average interest-bearing deposits decreased \$4.2 million due to a 103 basis point decrease in the average rate from 3.35% at December 31, 2007 to 2.32% at December 31, 2008. The decrease in average cost of deposits was partially offset by a \$58.6 million increase in average interest-bearing deposit volume. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities decreased 110 basis points from 3.55% at December 31, 2007 to 2.45% at December 31, 2008.

In 2007, a 12.9% increase in the average volume of loans combined with 28 basis points of improvement in average loan yields contributed greatly to the \$4.4 million increase in taxable-equivalent net interest income. The average yield on the loan portfolio increased from 8.67% in 2006 to 8.95% in 2007. Loan yields improved as the Company's variable-rate loans remained stable with a flat Prime rate for most of the year. Prime increased 100 basis points to 8.25% by mid-year 2006 and held steady until mid-September 2007, when it began its descent to 7.25% at year-end. A \$7.2 million improvement in taxable-equivalent interest income was partially offset by a \$2.8 million increase in interest expense resulting primarily from a 7.0% increase in the average volume of interest-bearing deposits and an increase of 16 basis points in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits in 2007.

In the investment portfolio, the Company reinvested cash flows from the portfolio into quality tax-exempt municipal bonds and agency-backed Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMOs") in 2008. The average volume of investment securities increased \$14.5 million in 2008, from \$199.8 million in 2007 to \$214.3 million. Average taxable-equivalent yields on investment securities decreased 10 basis points, from 5.05% in 2007 to 4.95% in 2008. Accordingly, the taxable-equivalent interest income on investment securities increased \$526,000 in 2008 as compared to 2007. In 2007, the average volume of investment securities increased \$5.3 million, from \$194.5 million in 2006 to \$199.8 million in 2007, while federal funds sold volume decreased \$8.0 million. Average taxable-equivalent yields on investment securities increased to 5.05% in 2007, up 25 basis points from 4.80% in 2006. Improvement in investment volume and yields increased taxable-equivalent interest income on investment securities \$749,000 for 2007.

From 2007 to 2008, the average volume of federal funds sold and other earning assets increased \$13.9 million and \$15.8 million, respectively. In comparing average volume of assets and liabilities, the \$64.8 million increase in deposits exceeded the \$39.6 million increase in loans resulting in \$25.2 million of excess funds. These funds were invested in federal funds overnight and other interest-earning assets, the majority of which were time deposits held with other banks. The increase in average volume of federal funds sold was offset by a 276 basis points decrease in the average yield from 5.00% to 2.24%, which reduced interest earned by \$119,000 in yearly comparison. Interest earned on other interest-earning assets increased \$440,000 as the volume increase was partially offset by a 312 basis points reduction in average yield.

The Company maintained its strong core noninterest-bearing deposit base with 23.6% of average total deposits in 2008 compared to 24.8% in 2007 and 25.8% in 2006. The interest-bearing deposit mix consisted of 57.8% in NOW, money market, and savings deposits, and 18.6% in time deposits, primarily due to growth in the Company's Platinum checking accounts. The Platinum accounts offer competitive market rates to the Company's depositors. The average rate paid on NOW, money market, and savings dollars decreased 135 basis points to 1.75% in 2008, down from 3.10% in 2007. Of total average deposits in 2007, the mix of average total interest-bearing deposits was 58.4% NOW, money market and savings deposits, and 16.8% certificates of deposit. These two categories of interest-bearing deposits were 57.0% and 17.2% of average total deposits, respectively, in 2006. The Company typically offers certificates of deposit at mid-to-low market rates, but a special promotional rate of 5.13% on a 13 month certificate of deposit was offered in the fourth quarter of 2006 in all markets. The promotional rate was continued in selected Louisiana markets and the Texas market for part of 2007 and contributed to a 74 basis points increase in the average yield on certificates of deposit in 2007, from 3.46% in 2006 to 4.20%.

Interest expense on the Company's junior subordinated debentures decreased 116 basis points, from 8.91% in 2007 to 7.75% in 2008 due to decreases in the variable rate paid on the \$8.2 million in debentures. The \$8.2 million in debentures, issued on September 20, 2004, carry a floating rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR plus 2.50%, adjustable and payable quarterly. The rate on these debentures at December 31, 2008 was 4.03%. In 2007, the yield on the junior subordinated debentures increased 17 basis points, from 8.74% at December 31, 2006 to 8.91% at December 31, 2007. The \$8.2 million in debentures mature on September 20, 2034 and, under certain circumstances, are subject to repayment on September 20, 2009 or thereafter. On February 22, 2001, the Company issued the \$7.2 million of junior subordinated debentures. The \$7.2 million in debentures carry a fixed interest rate of 10.20% and mature on February 22, 2031 and, under certain circumstances, are subject to repayment on February 22, 2011, or thereafter.

NonInterest Income

Service charges and fees on deposit accounts represent the primary source of noninterest income for the Company. Income from service charges and fees on deposit accounts, including insufficient funds fees ("NSF" fees), increased \$384,000 in 2008 compared to a \$1.1 million increase in 2007. Income on ATM and debit card transactions increased \$635,000 in 2008 and \$414,000 in 2007 as the result of an increase in transactions processed. Other noninterest income decreased \$150,000 in 2008 and increased \$335,000 in 2007. The decrease in 2008 was the result of a reduction in mortgage processing fee income of \$205,000, which was partially offset by a \$131,000 one-time payment recorded in other noninterest income in the first quarter of 2008 related to VISA's mandatory redemption of a portion of its Class B shares outstanding in connection with an initial public offering.

Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense increased 13.8%, or \$5.3 million, from 2007 to 2008, and 16.6%, or \$5.5 million, from 2006 to 2007. The Company's growth and expansion over the past three years resulted in increased salaries and employee benefits costs, occupancy expenses, marketing expenses, and education and travel expenses. These increases reflect the Company's long-term investment in staff development, system upgrades, and market development.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$1.0 million, or 5.0% in 2008 and the Company ended the year with 419 full-time equivalent ("FTE") employees, an increase of 9 employees over 2007. Salary and benefit costs increased in

2008 primarily due to the addition of staff for new branches, annual salary adjustments and higher group health insurance costs. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$3.6 million, or 22.2%, in 2007 and the Company ended the year with 410 full-time equivalent (“FTE”) employees, an increase of 39 employees over 2006. Recruitment of talented leaders to support growth initiatives contributed to the increased salary and benefits costs in 2007.

Occupancy expenses increased \$1,810,000 in 2008 and \$889,000 in 2007 and included the cost of a new facility and renovations of the Company’s headquarters in 2008. Four new facilities and three replacement facilities were completed during the year in 2007, which resulted in an increase over 2006. Occupancy expense in 2008 captured a full year of depreciation on those seven facilities. Premises and equipment additions and leasehold improvements totaled approximately \$4.8 million, \$11.3 million, and \$9.7 million for the years 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

Total other noninterest expense increased \$2.5 million in 2008 and \$1.0 million in 2007. Data processing expenses increased \$287,000, primarily due to the merger of the two banks in the first quarter of 2008. ATM and debit card processing fees experienced a higher volume of electronic transactions processed, which increased fees by \$268,000. Professional fees increased \$410,000 and resulted primarily from consulting fees related to external assistance with the formulation and execution of corporate strategic initiatives and certain finance and operations related projects. Additionally, marketing and corporate development expenses increased \$697,000 in 2008 to promote franchise growth.

FDIC insurance premiums increased \$349,000 in 2008 and \$77,000 in 2007. In 2007, the Company qualified for a one-time credit totaling approximately \$240,000, which offset the new FDIC assessment through the third quarter of 2007. On October 3, 2008, the President of the United States signed the EESA into law. The EESA included a provision for an increase in the amount of deposits insured by the FDIC from \$100,000 to \$250,000 until December 2009. In addition, the FDIC announced the TLGP on October 14, 2008. Unlimited deposit insurance was provided on funds in noninterest-bearing transaction deposit accounts. Coverage under the program is available for a limited period of time without charge and, thereafter, at a cost of 10 basis points per annum for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts with balances above \$250,000. FDIC assessments for 2009, based on increased premiums and current deposit growth projections, will total approximately \$306,000 per quarter, or \$1,224,000 for the year. On February 27, 2009, the FDIC approved an interim rule that would raise second quarter 2009 deposit insurance premiums for Risk Category I and impose a 10 to 20 basis points special assessment as of June 30, 2009, payable on September 30, 2009. If the interim rule is adopted as final, the Company's FDIC premiums would increase significantly in 2009.

The increase in noninterest expenses for 2007 resulted primarily from increases in professional fees of \$339,000, education and training expenses of \$235,000, ATM and debit card processing fees of \$186,000, and data processing expenses of \$160,000, primarily related to data communication lines. The increase in education and training expenses in 2007 reflected the Company's commitment to employee development.

Income Taxes

The Company's tax expense decreased by \$1,830,000 in 2008 and \$449,000 in 2007 and approximated 8% and 21% of income before taxes in 2008 and 2007, respectively. For 2008, the lower effective tax rate was due to the lower pretax income which resulted in a larger impact by the nontaxable municipal interest on the statutory tax rate than in 2007. Additionally, the lower tax rates for 2008 and 2007 resulted from the Company's recognition of the Work Opportunity Tax Credit under the Katrina Emergency Tax Relief Act of 2005, which reduced income tax expense by \$149,000 in 2008 and \$99,000 in 2007. Interest income on nontaxable municipal securities also lowered the effective tax rate for 2006 to approximately 25%. The notes to the consolidated financial statements provide additional information regarding the Company's income tax considerations.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Investment Securities

Total investment securities increased \$40.2 million in 2008, from \$192.2 million in 2007 to \$232.4 million at December 31, 2008. The increase resulted primarily from deposit growth outpacing loan growth during 2008. Average duration of the portfolio was 3.92 years as of December 31, 2008 and the average taxable-equivalent yield was 4.95%. For the year ended December 31, 2007, average duration of the portfolio was 3.57 years and the average taxable-equivalent yield was 5.05%. Unrealized net gains before tax effect in the securities available-for-sale portfolio were \$2.6 million at December 31, 2008, compared to unrealized net gain before tax effect of \$1.2 million at December 31, 2007. These amounts result from interest rate fluctuations.

At December 31, 2008, approximately \$67.5 million, or 29.9%, of the Company's securities available-for-sale portfolio represented mortgage-backed securities and CMOs. All of the mortgage-backed securities and CMOs are government agency sponsored with the exception of two privately issued CMOs with a current market value of \$262,000. The Company monitors the risks due to changes in interest rates on mortgage-backed pools by monthly reviews of prepayment speeds, duration, and purchase yields as compared to current market yields on each security. CMOs totaled \$47.8 million and represented pools that each had a book value of less than 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2008. All CMOs held in the portfolio are bank-qualified and not considered "high-risk" securities under the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council ("FFIEC") tests. The Company does not own any "high-risk" securities as defined by the FFIEC. An additional 17.6% of the available-for-sale portfolio consisted of U. S. Agency securities, while municipal and other securities represented 52.4% and 0.1% of the portfolio, respectively. A detailed credit analysis on each municipal offering is reviewed prior to purchase by an

investment advisory firm. In addition, the Company limits the amount of securities of any one municipality purchased and the amount purchased within specific geographic regions to reduce the risk of loss within the nontaxable municipal securities portfolio. The held-to-maturity portfolio consisted of \$6.0 million in nontaxable and \$0.5 million in taxable municipal securities. The Company utilizes a qualified investment advisory firm that manages the securities portfolio and monitors the assigned ratings and credit quality of bonds.

-20-

Table 4
Composition of Investment Securities
December 31
(in thousands)

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Available-for-sale securities:					
U. S. Treasuries	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,986	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,000
U. S. Agencies	39,747	45,229	51,280	38,499	35,804
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	118,613	100,966	95,676	61,534	56,468
Mortgage-backed securities	19,661	24,250	29,888	33,715	30,962
Collateralized mortgage obligations	47,829	10,797	854	1,086	1,861
Corporate securities	-	-	990	2,629	7,089
Equity securities with readily determinable fair values	94	210	-	-	-
Mutual funds	-	-	-	-	9,077
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 225,944	\$ 181,452	\$ 180,674	\$ 139,429	\$ 143,261
Held-to-maturity securities:					
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 6,490	\$ 10,746	\$ 15,901	\$ 19,611	\$ 22,852
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 6,490	\$ 10,746	\$ 15,901	\$ 19,611	\$ 22,852
Total investment securities	\$ 232,434	\$ 192,198	\$ 196,575	\$ 159,040	\$ 166,113

Table 5
Investment Securities Portfolio
Maturities and Average Taxable-Equivalent Yields
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008
(dollars in thousands)

Securities	Within 1 Year		After 1 but Within 5 Years		After 5 but Within 10 Year		After 10 Years		Total
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	
available-for-sale:									
U.S. Treasury and U.S. Agency securities	\$ 18,145	2.72%	\$ 21,602	4.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ 39,747
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	11,541	4.95%	32,278	5.57%	53,330	5.53%	21,464	5.69%	118,613
Mortgage-backs and CMOs	19,433	5.27%	34,818	4.67%	12,670	5.72%	569	4.70%	67,490
Equity securities with readily determinable fair values	-	-	-	-	-	-	94	-	94
Total fair value	\$ 49,119		\$ 88,698		\$ 66,000		\$ 22,127		\$ 225,944
	Within 1 Year		After 1 but		After 5 but		After 10 Years		

Edgar Filing: MIDSOUTH BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Held-to-Maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions	Within 5 Years		Within 10 Year		Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Total
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield					
	\$ 2,204	7.37%	\$ 3,938	7.07%	\$ 348	7.64%	\$ -	-	\$ 6,490

-21-

Loan Portfolio

The Company's loan portfolio totaled \$609.0 million at December 31, 2008, up 6.9%, or \$39.5 million, from \$569.5 million at December 31, 2007. In 2007, loans grew 14.1%, or \$70.5 million. Of the \$39.5 million growth in 2008, \$18.3 million was in real estate loans secured by mortgages. The real estate loan growth consisted primarily of commercial credits that have ten to fifteen year amortization terms with rates fixed primarily for three and up to five years. The short-term structure of the real estate mortgage credits allows management greater flexibility in controlling interest rate risk. The commercial portfolio, including agricultural, financial, and lease loans, increased \$19.1 million. The Company's installment loan portfolio increased \$2.1 million, or 8.6%, in 2008, primarily in the indirect auto financing and credit card portfolios.

The Company's combined loan portfolio at December 31, 2008 consisted of approximately 52% in fixed rate loans, with the majority maturing within five years. Approximately 48% of the portfolio earns a variable rate of interest, the greater majority of which adjusts to changes in the Prime rate and a smaller portion that adjusts on a scheduled repricing date. The mix of variable and fixed rate loans provides some protection from changes in market rates of interest.

Table 6
Composition of Loans
December 31
(in thousands)

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$ 210,058	\$ 190,946	\$ 155,098	\$ 153,737	\$ 123,835
Lease financing receivable	8,058	8,089	7,902	6,108	4,048
Real estate - mortgage	234,588	216,305	192,583	170,895	150,898
Real estate - construction	65,327	65,448	64,126	39,202	41,464
Installment loans to individuals	89,901	87,775	78,613	72,230	65,493
Other	1,023	942	724	622	733
Total loans	\$ 608,955	\$ 569,505	\$ 499,046	\$ 442,794	\$ 386,471

NOTE: The December 31, 2007 loan composition reflects a reclassification in real estate – construction, real estate – mortgage, and commercial, financial, and agricultural loans.

Table 7
Loan Maturities and Sensitivity to Interest Rates
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008
(in thousands)

	Fixed and Variable Rate Loans at Stated Maturities				Amounts Over One Year With		
	1 Year or Less	1 Year – 5 Years	Over 5 years	Total	Predetermined Rates	Floating Rates	Total
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	\$ 96,789	\$ 92,012	\$ 21,257	\$ 210,058	\$ 74,234	\$ 39,035	\$ 113,269
Lease financing receivables	242	7,493	323	8,058	7,816	-	7,816
Real estate – mortgage	27,741	68,265	138,582	234,588	87,448	119,399	206,847
Real estate – construction	47,166	11,336	6,825	65,327	6,074	12,087	18,161

Installment loans to individuals	17,869	70,239	1,793	89,901	68,755	3,277	72,032
Other	1,023	-	-	1,023	-	-	-
Total	\$ 190,830	\$ 249,345	\$ 168,780	\$ 608,955	\$ 244,327	\$ 173,798	\$ 418,125

The Bank has maintained its credit policy and underwriting procedures and has not relaxed these procedures to stimulate loan growth. Completed loan applications, credit bureau reports, financial statements, and a committee approval process remain a part of credit decisions. Documentation of the loan decision process is required on each credit application, whether approved or denied, to insure thorough and consistent procedures.

Asset Quality

Credit Risk Management

The Company manages its credit risk by observing written, board approved policies that govern all underwriting activities. The risk management program requires that each individual loan officer review his or her portfolio on a quarterly basis and assign recommended credit ratings on each loan. These efforts are supplemented by independent reviews performed by the loan review officer and other validations performed by the internal audit department. The results of the reviews are reported directly to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Additionally, bank concentrations are monitored and reported quarterly whereby individual customer and aggregate industry leverage, profitability, risk rating distributions, and liquidity are evaluated for each major standard industry classification segment. At December 31, 2008, the Company identified one industry segment concentration that aggregates more than 10% of its consolidated loan portfolio. The commercial real estate segment of the loan portfolio, the majority of which is owner-occupied real estate, represented approximately \$85.4 million, or 14.0%, of the total loan portfolio.

-22-

Nonperforming Assets

Table 8 contains information about the Company's nonperforming assets, including loans past due.

Table 8

Asset Quality Information

December 31

(in thousands)

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Loans on nonaccrual	\$ 9,355	\$ 1,602	\$ 1,793	\$ 660	\$ 472
Loans past due	1,005	980	98	2,510	488
Total nonperforming loans	10,360	2,582	1,891	3,170	960
Other real estate owned, net	329	143	368	98	445
Other assets repossessed	306	280	55	176	283
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 10,995	\$ 3,005	\$ 2,314	\$ 3,444	\$ 1,688
Nonperforming loans to total loans	1.70%	0.45%	0.38%	0.72%	0.25%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.17%	0.35%	0.29%	0.49%	0.28%
Allowance as a percentage of nonperforming loans	73%	217%	263%	137%	401%

Nonperforming assets, including loans past due, totaled \$11.0 million at December 31, 2008, \$3.0 million at December 31, 2007, \$2.3 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in nonperforming assets in 2008 compared to 2007 resulted primarily from an increase of \$7.8 million in nonaccrual loans. The majority of the increase in nonaccrual loans represents one large credit in the Baton Rouge market secured by real estate. Net charge-offs were 0.40% of total loans at December 31, 2008, compared to 0.10 % at December 31, 2007. The increase resulted from charge-offs totaling approximately \$550,000 in indirect auto loans due to fraudulent activity; \$707,000 in consumer loans; \$775,000 in commercial, industrial and agricultural loans; and \$592,000 in real estate and construction loans. The increased charge-off activity in the loan portfolio is reflective of the current economic environment.

Consumer and commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual when principal or interest is 90 days past due, sooner if the full collectibility of principal or interest is doubtful, except if the underlying collateral fully supports both the principal and accrued interest and the loan is in the process of collection. Policies provide that retail (consumer) loans that become 120 days delinquent be routinely charged off. Loans classified for regulatory purposes but not included in Table 8 do not represent material amounts that management has serious doubts as to the ability of the borrower to comply with loan repayment terms.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Provisions totaling \$4,555,000, \$1,175,000, and \$850,000, for the years 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively, were considered necessary by management to bring the allowance to a level sufficient to cover probable losses in the loan portfolio. Table 9 analyzes activity in the allowance for 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Table 9
Summary of Loan Loss Experience
(in thousands)

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,612	\$ 4,977	\$ 4,355	\$ 3,851	\$ 2,790
Charge-offs:					
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	775	150	148	108	508
Real estate – construction	428	-	-	-	-
Real estate – mortgage					