

SUNPOWER CORP
Form PRE 14A
April 10, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

SunPower Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

n/a

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment
of
Filing
Fee
(Check
the
appropriate
box):

No fee
required.

Fee
computed on
table below
per
Exchange
Act Rules
14a-6(i)(1)
and 0-11.

(1) Title of
each class of
securities to
which
transaction
applies:

(2)
Aggregate
number of
securities to
which
transaction
applies:

(3) Per
unit price or
other
underlying
value of
transaction
computed
pursuant to
Exchange
Act Rule
0-11 (set
forth the
amount on
which the
filing fee is
calculated

and state
how it was
determined):

(4)
Proposed
maximum
aggregate
value of
transaction:

(5) Total
fee paid:

Fee paid
previously
with
preliminary
materials.

Check box
if any part of
the fee is
offset as
provided by
Exchange
Act Rule
0-11(a)(2)
and identify
the filing for
which the
offsetting fee
was paid
previously.
Identify the
previous
filing by
registration
statement
number, or
the Form or
Schedule and
the date of its
filing.

(1)
Amount
previously
paid with
preliminary
materials:

(2) Form,
Schedule or
Registration
Statement
No.:

(3) Filing
Party:

(4) Date
Filed:

NOTICE OF THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO ALL SUNPOWER STOCKHOLDERS:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of SunPower Corporation, a Delaware corporation (“SunPower”), will be held on:

Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2015

Time: 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time

Place: Online at
www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/SPWR

Virtual Meeting Admission: This year’s Annual Meeting will be a virtual meeting of stockholders, conducted via a live webcast. You will be able to attend the Annual Meeting online, vote your shares electronically and submit questions during the meeting by visiting www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/SPWR. Have your Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy card in hand when you access the website and then follow the instructions. To participate in the meeting, you will need the 16-digit control number included on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy card. Online check-in will begin at 9:30 a.m. Pacific Time, and you should allow ample time for the online check-in procedures.

Pre-Meeting Forum: The new online format for the Annual Meeting also allows you to communicate more effectively with us via a pre-meeting forum that you can enter by visiting www.theinvestornetwork.com/forum/SPWR. On our pre-meeting forum, you can submit

questions before the Annual Meeting and access copies of our proxy statement and annual report.

Items of Business: 1. The re-election of three directors to serve as Class I directors on our board of directors (the "Board");

2. The approval, in an advisory vote, of our named executive officer compensation;

3. The approval of the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan;

4. The approval of an equity award granted to our Chief Executive Officer; and

5. The transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form PRE 14A

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice. On or about April 20, 2015 we began mailing to stockholders either a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or this notice of the Annual Meeting, the proxy statement and the form of proxy.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 13, 2015 (the "Record Date") are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. Any registered stockholder in attendance at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote may do so during the meeting even if such stockholder returned a proxy.

San Jose, California FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
April [•], 2015

Lisa Bodensteiner
Corporate Secretary

IMPORTANT: WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE AND SIGN THE PROXY CARD AND MAIL IT PROMPTLY, OR YOU MAY VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR VIA THE INTERNET BY FOLLOWING THE DIRECTIONS ON THE PROXY CARD. ANY ONE OF THESE METHODS WILL ENSURE REPRESENTATION OF YOUR SHARES AT THE ANNUAL MEETING. NO POSTAGE NEED BE AFFIXED TO THE COMPANY-PROVIDED PROXY CARD ENVELOPE IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.

PROXY STATEMENT FOR

2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING</u>	3
<u>General</u>	3
<u>Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials</u>	3
<u>Delivery of Voting Materials</u>	4
<u>Record Date and Shares Outstanding</u>	4
<u>Board Recommendations</u>	4
<u>Voting</u>	4
<u>How Your Proxy Will Be Voted</u>	5
<u>Revoking Your Proxy</u>	6
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	6
<u>Voting Results</u>	6
<u>Note Concerning Forward-Looking Statements</u>	6
<u>PROPOSAL ONE—RE-ELECTION OF CLASS I DIRECTORS</u>	7
<u>BOARD STRUCTURE</u>	11
<u>Determination of Independence</u>	11
<u>Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight</u>	11
<u>Board Meetings</u>	11
<u>Controlled Company</u>	11
<u>Board Committees</u>	11
<u>Audit Committee</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	13
<u>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee</u>	13
<u>Finance Committee</u>	14
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	15
<u>Stockholder Communications with Board of Directors</u>	15
<u>Directors’ Attendance at Our Annual Meetings</u>	15
<u>Submission of Stockholder Proposal for the 2016 Annual Meeting</u>	15
<u>Corporate Governance Principles</u>	16
<u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics; Related Persons Transactions Policy and Procedures</u>	17
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions</u>	17
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	29
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	31
<u>2014 Director Compensation Table</u>	31
<u>2014 Director Compensation Program</u>	31
<u>PROPOSAL TWO—ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER</u>	33
<u>COMPENSATION</u>	33
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	35

<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	37
<u>Executive Summary</u>	37
<u>General Philosophy and Objectives</u>	38
<u>Compensation Setting Process</u>	39
<u>Compensation Consultant and Peer Group</u>	39
<u>Benchmarking</u>	40
<u>2014 Compensation Components</u>	41
<u>Analysis of Fiscal 2014 Compensation Decisions</u>	42
<u>Employment and Severance Arrangements</u>	45
<u>Section 162(m) Treatment Regarding Performance-Based Equity Awards</u>	46
<u>Other Disclosures</u>	46

<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	47
<u>Compensation of Named Executive Officers</u>	47
<u>2014 Summary Compensation Table</u>	47
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	48
<u>2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	48
<u>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation</u>	49
<u>Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Table</u>	50
<u>Equity Incentive Plan Compensation</u>	51
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	52
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards</u>	54
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards At 2014 Fiscal Year-End Table</u>	55
<u>2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u>	56
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	56
<u>Termination Payments Table</u>	58
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	61
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS</u>	62
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	63
<u>COMPANY STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE</u>	64
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	65
<u>PROPOSAL THREE—APPROVAL OF THE SUNPOWER CORPORATION 2015 OMNIBUS</u>	68
<u>INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	
<u>PROPOSAL FOUR—APPROVAL OF AN EQUITY AWARD GRANTED TO OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE</u>	77
<u>OFFICER</u>	
<u>APPENDIX A—SUNPOWER CORPORATION 2015 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	A-1

SUNPOWER CORPORATION

77 Rio Robles

San Jose, California 95134

PROXY STATEMENT FOR

2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING

General

The Board of Directors (the “Board”) of SunPower Corporation, a Delaware corporation, is furnishing this proxy statement and proxy card to you in connection with its solicitation of proxies to be used at SunPower Corporation’s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 3, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time (the “Meeting Date”), or at any adjournment(s), continuation(s) or postponement(s) of the meeting (the “Annual Meeting”).

This year’s Annual Meeting will be a virtual meeting of stockholders, conducted via a live webcast. You will be able to attend the Annual Meeting online, vote your shares electronically and submit your questions during the meeting by visiting www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/SPWR. Have your Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy card in hand when you access the website and then follow the instructions. To participate in the meeting, you will need the 16-digit control number included on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy card.

Online check-in will begin at 9:30 a.m. Pacific Time on the Meeting Date, and you should allow ample time for the online check-in procedures. We will have technicians ready to assist you should you have any technical difficulties accessing the virtual meeting. If you encounter any difficulties accessing the virtual meeting during the check-in or meeting time, please call 1-855-449-0991.

The new online format for the Annual Meeting also allows you to communicate more effectively with us via a pre-meeting forum that you can enter by visiting www.theinvestornetwork.com/forum/SPWR. In our pre-meeting forum, you can submit questions before the Annual Meeting and access copies of our proxy statement and annual

report.

We use a number of abbreviations in this proxy statement. We refer to SunPower Corporation as “SunPower,” “the Company,” or “we,” “us” or “our.” The term “proxy solicitation materials” includes this proxy statement, the notice of the Annual Meeting, and the proxy card. References to “fiscal 2014” mean our 2014 fiscal year, which began on December 30, 2013 and ended on December 28, 2014, while references to “fiscal 2013” mean our 2013 fiscal year, which began on December 31, 2012 and ended on December 29, 2013.

Our principal executive offices are located at 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134, and our telephone number is (408) 240-5500.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials

We have elected to comply with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) “Notice and Access” rules, which allow us to make our proxy solicitation materials available to our stockholders over the Internet. Under these rules, on or about April 20, 2015, we started mailing to certain of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice of Internet Availability”). The Notice of Internet Availability contains instructions on how our stockholders can both access the proxy solicitation materials and our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2014 (the “2014 Annual Report”) online and vote online. By sending the Notice of Internet Availability instead of paper copies of the proxy materials, we expect to lower the costs and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

Our proxy solicitation materials and our 2014 Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Stockholders receiving the Notice of Internet Availability may request a paper or electronic copy of our proxy solicitation materials by following the instructions set forth on the Notice of Internet Availability. Stockholders who did not receive the Notice of Internet Availability will continue to receive a paper or electronic copy of our proxy solicitation materials, which were first mailed to stockholders and made public on or about April 20, 2015.

Delivery of Voting Materials

If you would like to further reduce our environmental impact and costs in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions provided for voting via www.proxyvote.com and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

To reduce the environmental waste and expense of delivering duplicate materials to our stockholders, we are taking advantage of householding rules that permit us to deliver only one set of proxy solicitation materials, proxy card, and our 2014 Annual Report, or one copy of the Notice of Internet Availability, to stockholders who share the same address, unless otherwise requested. Each stockholder retains a separate right to vote on all matters presented at the Annual Meeting.

If you share an address with another stockholder and have received only one set of materials, you may write or call us to request a separate copy of these materials at no cost to you. For future annual meetings, you may request separate materials or request that we only send one set of materials to you if you are receiving multiple copies by writing to us at SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or calling us at (408) 240-5500.

A copy of our 2014 Annual Report has been furnished with this proxy statement to each stockholder. A stockholder may also request a copy of our 2014 Annual Report by writing to our Corporate Secretary at 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134. Upon receipt of such request, we will provide a copy of our 2014 Annual Report without charge, including the financial statements required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 13a-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) for our fiscal year 2014. Our 2014 Annual Report is also available on our website at <http://investors.sunpower.com/sec.cfm>.

Record Date and Shares Outstanding

Stockholders who owned shares of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, at the close of business on April 13, 2015, which we refer to as the Record Date, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, we had [•] shares of common stock outstanding. For more information about beneficial ownership of our issued and outstanding common stock, please see “*Security Ownership of Management and Certain Beneficial Owners.*”

Board Recommendations

Our Board recommends that you vote:

- “FOR” Proposal One: re-election of each of the nominated Class I directors;
- “FOR” Proposal Two: the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers;
- “FOR” Proposal Three: the approval of the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan; and
- “FOR” Proposal Four: the approval of an equity award granted to our Chief Executive Officer.

Voting

Each holder of shares of common stock is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held as of the Record Date. Cumulating votes is not permitted under our By-laws.

Many of our stockholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee, rather than directly in his or her own name. As summarized below, there are distinctions between shares held of record and those beneficially owned.

Stockholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company N.A., you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record and these proxy solicitation materials are being furnished to you directly by us.

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account, or by a bank or other nominee (also known as shares registered in “street name”), you are considered the beneficial owner of such shares held in street name, and these proxy solicitation materials are being furnished to you by your broker, bank or other nominee, who is considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other nominee how to vote your shares, or to vote your shares during the Annual Meeting.

How to Vote. If you hold shares directly as a stockholder of record, you can vote in one of the following four ways:

(1) Vote via the Internet before the Meeting Date. Go to *www.proxyvote.com* to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 2, 2015. Have your Notice of Internet Availability or proxy card in hand when you access the website and then follow the instructions.

(2) Vote by Telephone at 1-800-690-6903 before the Meeting Date. Use a touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 2, 2015. Have your Notice of Internet Availability or proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions. This number is toll free in the United States and Canada.

(3) Vote by Mail before the Meeting Date. Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided with any paper copy of the proxy statement, or return the proxy card to SunPower Corporation, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

(4) Vote via the Internet during the Annual Meeting. You may attend the Annual Meeting on June 3, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time via the Internet at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/SPWR and vote during the Annual Meeting. Have your Notice of Internet Availability or proxy card in hand when you access the website and then follow the instructions.

If you hold shares beneficially in street name, you may submit your voting instructions in the manner prescribed by your broker, bank or other nominee by following the instructions provided by your broker, bank or other nominee, or you may vote your shares during the Annual Meeting.

Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we recommend that you vote your shares in advance as described in options (1), (2), and (3) above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual Meeting.

Quorum. A quorum, which is the holders of at least a majority of shares of our stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote as of the Record Date, is required to be present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting in order to hold the Annual Meeting and to conduct business. Your shares will be counted as being present at the Annual Meeting if you attend the Annual Meeting (and are the stockholder of record for your shares), if you vote your shares by telephone or over the Internet, or if you submit a properly executed proxy card. Abstentions and “broker non-votes” are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. Votes against a particular proposal will also be counted both to determine the presence or absence of a quorum and to determine whether the requisite number of voting shares has been obtained.

Explanation of Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions. A “broker non-vote” occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. The rules of The New York Stock Exchange (which also apply to companies listed on The NASDAQ Global Select Market) prohibit brokers from voting in their discretion on any of our proposals without instructions from the beneficial owners. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote on the proposals, your broker will not vote for you. Abstentions are deemed to be entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether stockholder approval of that matter has been obtained, and they would be included in the tabulation of voting results as votes against the proposal.

Votes Required/Treatment of Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions.

Proposal One—Re-election of Class I Directors. Election of a director requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of votes represented by the shares in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. The three persons receiving the greatest number of votes at the Annual Meeting shall be elected as Class I directors. Since only affirmative votes will be counted, neither “broker non-votes” nor abstentions will affect the outcome of the voting on Proposal One.

Proposal Two—Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation. The non-binding advisory vote on named executive officer compensation requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our stock having voting power and in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. “Broker non-votes” have no effect and will not be counted towards the vote total for this proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of votes against Proposal Two.

Proposal Three—Approval of the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan. The approval of the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our stock having voting power and in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. “Broker non-votes” have no effect and will not be counted towards the vote total for this proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of votes against Proposal Three.

Proposal Four—Approval of an Equity Award Granted to our Chief Executive Officer. The approval of an equity award granted to our Chief Executive Officer requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our stock having voting power and in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. “Broker non-votes” have no effect and will not be counted towards the vote total for this proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of votes against Proposal Four.

How Your Proxy Will Be Voted

If you complete and submit your proxy card or vote via the Internet or by telephone, the shares represented by your proxy will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your instructions. If you submit your proxy card by mail, but do not fill out the voting instructions on the proxy card, the shares represented by your proxy will be voted in favor of each of the four proposals. In addition, if any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote the shares they represent as directed by the Board. We have not received notice of any other matters that may properly be presented at the Annual Meeting.

Revoking Your Proxy

You may revoke your proxy at any time before the Meeting Date by: (1) submitting a later-dated vote by telephone, by mail, or via the Internet before or at the Annual Meeting; or (2) delivering instructions to us at 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134 to the attention of our Corporate Secretary. Any notice of revocation sent to us must include the stockholder's name and must be actually received by us before the Annual Meeting to be effective. Your attendance at the Annual Meeting after having executed and delivered a valid proxy card or vote via the Internet or by telephone will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of your proxy. If you are the stockholder of record or if your shares are held in "street name," you may revoke your proxy by voting electronically at the Annual Meeting.

Solicitation of Proxies

We will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation. We may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding or furnishing proxy solicitation materials to such beneficial owners. Proxies may also be solicited personally or by telephone, telegram or facsimile by certain of our directors, officers, and regular employees, without additional compensation.

Voting Results

We will announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and publish final results on a Current Report on Form 8-K, which we intend to file with the SEC within four business days after the Meeting Date.

Note Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained in this proxy statement are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are statements that do not represent historical facts and the assumptions underlying such statements. We use words such as "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "potential," "should," "will," "would" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. These statements include, but are not limited to, operating results, business strategies, management's plans and objectives for future operations, expectations and intentions, actions to be taken by us and other statements that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are based on information available to us as of the date of this proxy statement and our current expectations, forecasts and assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include a variety of factors, some

of which are beyond our control. All of the forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in our 2014 Annual Report, which accompanies this proxy statement. There may be other factors of which we are not currently aware that may affect matters discussed in the forward-looking statements and may cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as representing our views as of any subsequent date, and we are under no obligation to, and expressly disclaim any responsibility to, update our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU ARE REQUESTED TO COMPLETE, DATE AND SIGN THE PROXY CARD AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY, OR VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR VIA THE INTERNET BY FOLLOWING THE DIRECTIONS ON THE PROXY CARD. STOCKHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING MAY REVOKE A PRIOR PROXY VOTE AND VOTE THEIR SHARES AS SET FORTH IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

PROPOSAL ONE

RE-ELECTION OF CLASS I DIRECTORS

Our Board is currently composed of nine directors and divided into three classes, in accordance with Article IV, Section B of our Certificate of Incorporation. Only the terms of the three directors serving as Class I directors are scheduled to expire in 2015. The terms of other directors expire in subsequent years.

On April 28, 2011, we and Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS, formerly known as Total Gas & Power USA, SAS (“Total”), a subsidiary of Total S.A. (“Total S.A.”), entered into a Tender Offer Agreement (the “Tender Offer Agreement”). Pursuant to the Tender Offer Agreement, on June 21, 2011, Total purchased in a cash tender offer approximately 60% of the outstanding shares of our former Class A common stock and 60% of the outstanding shares of our former Class B common stock (the “Tender Offer”). In connection with the Tender Offer, we and Total entered into an Affiliation Agreement that governs the relationship between Total and us following the close of the Tender Offer (the “Affiliation Agreement”). In accordance with the terms of the Affiliation Agreement, our Board has nine members, composed of our Chief Executive Officer, three non-Total-designated members of the Board, and five directors designated by Total. If the ownership of our voting power by Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., declines below certain thresholds, the number of members of the Board that Total is entitled to designate will be reduced as set forth in the Affiliation Agreement. See “*Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions—Agreements with Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS and Total S.A.—Affiliation Agreement.*”

The Board has considered and approved the nomination of Arnaud Chaperon, Jean-Marc Otero del Val and Pat Wood III, our current Class I directors, for re-election as directors at the Annual Meeting. Messrs. Chaperon and Otero del Val are Total-designated directors. Mr. Wood is an independent director. Each nominee has consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve if re-elected. Unless otherwise directed, the proxy holders will vote the proxies received by them for the three nominees named below. If any nominee is unable or declines to serve as a director at the time of the Annual Meeting, the proxies will be voted for any nominee who is designated by the present Board to fill the vacancy. We do not expect that any nominee will be unable or will decline to serve as a director. The Class I directors elected will hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 2018 or until their successors are elected.

The Class II group of directors consists of Bernard Clement, Denis Giorno and Catherine Lesjak, who will hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 2016 or until their successors are elected. Messrs. Clement and Giorno are Total-designated directors. Ms. Lesjak is an independent director. The Class III group of directors consists of Thomas McDaniel, Humbert de Wendel and Thomas Werner, who will hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in 2017 or until their successors are elected. Mr. McDaniel is an independent director. Mr. de Wendel is a Total-designated director. Mr. Werner is our President, CEO and Chairman of the Board.

Additional information, as of April 9, 2015, about the Class I director nominees for re-election and the Class II and Class III directors is set forth below.

Class I Directors Nominated for Re-Election at the Annual Meeting

Name	Class	Age	Position(s) with SunPower	Director Since
Arnaud Chaperon	I	59	Director	2011
Jean-Marc Otero del Val	I	48	Director	2013
Pat Wood III	I	52	Director	2005

Mr. Arnaud Chaperon currently serves as the Senior Vice President of Prospective Analysis, Institutional Relations and Communications for the New Energies division of Total S.A. Before taking this position with the New Energies division in 2007, Mr. Chaperon was the Managing Director for five years of Total E&P Qatar and country representative of the Total group, which has oil, gas and petrochemical assets and operations in the State of Qatar. Before that, he held other positions within the Total group, where he has been employed since 1980. Mr. Chaperon holds a master's degree in engineering from École Nationale Supérieure de Techniques Avancées.

Mr. Chaperon brings significant international strategic, operational and development experience to the Board. His experience developing renewable energy projects and investments throughout the value chain for the Total group, as well as managing traditional oil and gas operations, gives him a unique perspective on our strategic outlook and worldwide opportunities. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Chaperon should serve as a director on our Board.

Mr. Jean-Marc Otero del Val has served as Vice President of Strategy & Business Development in the New Energies Division of Total S.A. since July 2012 where he is also the Deputy Senior Vice President of Business Operations. He served as the Vice President, Electricity, for the Gas & Power Division of Total S.A. from September 2011 to June 2012. Mr. Otero del Val previously served as General Manager of the Grandpuits Refinery for Total France S.A. from 2007 to August 2011. From 2003 to 2007, Mr. Otero del Val served as the Managing Director for Total Coal South Africa (Pty) Ltd., a subsidiary of Total S.A. that focuses on the mining of export quality coal in South Africa. Before that, he held other positions within the Total group, where he has been employed since 1998. Mr. Otero del Val received a degree in chemical engineering from École Polytechnique, a bachelor of arts in finance from Strasbourg University, and a master of arts in finance from Paris-Dauphine University.

Mr. Otero del Val brings significant international managerial and operational experience to the Board. His extensive experience managing complex industrial assets gives him a unique perspective on our efforts to manage our manufacturing and project development activities. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Otero del Val should serve as a director on our Board.

Mr. Pat Wood III has served as a Principal of Wood3 Resources, an energy infrastructure developer, since July 2005. He is active in the development of electric power and natural gas infrastructure assets in North America. From 2001 to 2005 Mr. Wood served as the Chairman of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. From 1995 to 2001, he chaired the Public Utility Commission of Texas. Mr. Wood has also been an attorney with Baker & Botts, a global law firm, and an associate project engineer with Arco Indonesia, an oil and gas company, in Jakarta. He currently serves as Chairman of Dynegy, Inc., and is a director of Quanta Services, Inc. He is a strategic advisor to Natural Gas Partners, an energy private equity fund, and to Hunt Transmission Services/InfraREIT Capital Partners. Mr. Wood is a past director of the American Council on Renewable Energy and is a member of the National Petroleum Council.

Mr. Wood brings significant strategic and operational management experience to the Board. Mr. Wood has demonstrated strong leadership skills through a decade of regulatory leadership in the energy sector. Mr. Wood brings a unique perspective and extensive knowledge of energy project development, public policy development, governance and the regulatory process. His legal background also provides the Board with a perspective on the legal implications of matters affecting our business. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Wood should serve as a director on our Board and Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

Class II Directors with Terms Expiring in 2016

Name	Class	Age	Position(s) with SunPower	Director Since
Bernard Clement	II	56	Director	2011
Denis Giorno	II	64	Director	2011
Catherine Lesjak	II	56	Director	2013

Mr. Bernard Clement has served as the Senior Vice President, Business & Operations, of the New Energies division of Total S.A. since July 1, 2012. Before this appointment, he was Senior Vice President of Gas Assets, Technology, and Research & Development for the Gas & Power division of Total S.A. since January 1, 2010. From 2003 through 2009, Mr. Clement served as Vice President of the Exploration & Production division of Total S.A. relative to its interests in the Middle East. Before that, he held other positions within the Total group, where he has been employed since 1983. Mr. Clement has engineering degrees from Ecole Nationale Supérieure du Pétrole et des Moteurs, where he focused on geophysics, and from École Polytechnique.

Mr. Clement brings significant international operational and development experience to the Board. His extensive experience managing international energy projects and assets, as well as managing technology development allows him to provide valuable insight into our strategic development and our ability to meet our manufacturing goals. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Clement should serve as a director on our Board.

Mr. Denis Giorno has served as President and CEO of Total New Energies USA since November 2011. From November 2011 until January 2013, he also served as President and General Manager. From October 2007 until October 2011, he served as the Vice President of New Ventures for the Gas & Power division of Total S.A. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Giorno was Vice President, Business Development, of the Gas & Power division relative to Total's interests in Asia, South America, and Africa. Before that, he held other positions within the Total group, where he has been employed since 1975. Mr. Giorno received a degree in civil engineering from École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, a master of science degree in managerial science and engineering from Stanford University and a degree in petroleum engineering from École Nationale du Pétrole et des Moteurs. Mr. Giorno also completed the Stanford Graduate School of Business' Executive Education program.

Mr. Giorno’s extensive, worldwide business development and international negotiation experience covers a broad spectrum of traditional power projects and renewable energy projects, including experience throughout the value chain in the solar sector. This experience allows him to make significant contributions to our strategic outlook and international development perspectives. It is based on the Board’s identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Giorno should serve as a director on our Board.

Ms. Catherine A. Lesjak has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) since January 1, 2007. Ms. Lesjak served as interim Chief Executive Officer of HP from August 2010 through October 2010. As a 28-year veteran at HP, Ms. Lesjak held a broad range of financial leadership roles across HP. Before being named as CFO, Ms. Lesjak served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer, responsible for managing HP’s worldwide cash, debt, foreign exchange, capital structure, risk management and benefits plan administration. Earlier in her career at HP, she managed financial operations for Enterprise Marketing and Solutions and the Software Global Business Unit. Before that, she was group controller for HP’s Software Solutions Organization and managed HP’s global channel credit risk as controller and credit manager for the Commercial Customer Organization. Ms. Lesjak has a bachelor’s degree in biology from Stanford University and a master of business degree in finance from the University of California, Berkeley.

Ms. Lesjak’s extensive experience as the chief financial officer of a major corporation, with significant presence in both the business-to-consumer and business-to-business markets, allows her to make significant contributions to our strategic business planning and execution. Her background is also valuable in terms of financial oversight and review of our strategic investments. It is based on the Board’s identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Ms. Lesjak should serve as a director on our Board.

Class III Directors with Terms Expiring in 2017

Name	Class	Age	Position(s) with SunPower	Director Since
Thomas R. McDaniel	III	66	Director	2009
Humbert de Wendel	III	58	Director	2011
Thomas H. Werner	III	55	President and CEO, Director and Chairman of the Board	2003

Mr. Thomas R. McDaniel was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Edison International, a generator and distributor of electric power and investor in infrastructure and energy assets, before retiring in July 2008 after 37 years of service. Before January 2005, Mr. McDaniel was Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Edison Mission Energy, a power generation business specializing in the development, acquisition, construction, management and operation of power production facilities. Mr. McDaniel was also Chief Executive Officer and a director of Edison Capital, a provider of capital and financial services supporting the growth of energy and infrastructure projects, products and services, both domestically and internationally. Mr. McDaniel has

served on our Board since February 2009. He is Chairman of the Board of Tendril, a smart-grid, software-as-a-service company. Mr. McDaniel is a director of SemGroup, L.P., a midstream energy services company, and a Director of Aquion Energy, a manufacturer of energy storage systems. He is also on the advisory board of Cypress Envirosystems, which develops and markets energy efficiency products. Mr. McDaniel also serves on the Advisory Board of On Ramp Wireless, a communications company serving electrical, gas and water utilities. Mr. McDaniel formerly served on the board of directors of the Senior Care Action Network (SCAN) from 2000-2013. Through the McDaniel Family Foundation, he is also actively involved in a variety of charitable activities such as the Boys and Girls Club of Huntington Beach, Heifer International and the Free Wheelchair Mission.

Mr. McDaniel brings significant operational and development experience to the Board. Mr. McDaniel's extensive experience growing and operating global electric power businesses is directly aligned with our efforts to further develop the utility and power plant portions of our business. In addition, Mr. McDaniel's prior experience as a Chief Financial Officer qualifies him as a financial expert, which is relevant to his duties as an audit committee member. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. McDaniel should serve as a director on our Board and Chairman of the Audit Committee and Chairman of the Finance Committee.

Mr. Humbert de Wendel has served as the Total group Treasurer since the beginning of 2012. Previously, Mr. de Wendel served as the Senior Vice President of Corporate Business Development for Total from 2006 to 2011. From 2000 to 2006, Mr. de Wendel served as a Vice President for Total, overseeing finance operations of its exploration and production subsidiaries. Before that, he held other positions within the Total group, where he has been employed since 1982. Mr. de Wendel holds a degree in law and economics from the Institut d'études Politiques de Paris, and a degree in business administration from École Supérieure des Sciences Économiques et Commerciales.

Mr. de Wendel brings extensive international experience in finance and business development to the Board. This experience allows him to bring valuable perspective to our relationships with our key financial and industrial partners. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. de Wendel should serve as a on our Board.

Mr. Thomas H. Werner has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since May 2010, as a member of our Board since June 2003, and Chairman of the Board since May 2011. From June 2003 to April 2010, Mr. Werner served as our Chief Executive Officer. Before joining SunPower, from 2001 to 2003, he held the position of Chief Executive Officer of Silicon Light Machines, Inc., an optical solutions subsidiary of Cypress Semiconductor Corporation. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Werner was Vice President and General Manager of the Business Connectivity Group of 3Com Corp., a network solutions company. He has also held a number of executive management positions at Oak Industries, Inc. and General Electric Co. Mr. Werner currently serves as a board member of Cree, Inc., Silver Spring Networks, and the Silicon Valley Leadership Group. Mr. Werner is on the Board of Trustees of Marquette University. Mr. Werner holds a bachelor's degree in industrial engineering from the University of Wisconsin Madison, a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Marquette University and a master's degree in business administration from George Washington University.

Mr. Werner brings significant leadership, technical, operational and financial management experience to the Board. Mr. Werner provides the Board with valuable insight into management's perspective with respect to our operations. Mr. Werner has demonstrated strong executive leadership skills through nearly 20 years of executive officer service with various companies and brings the most comprehensive view of our operational history over the past several years. Mr. Werner also brings to the Board leadership experience through his service on the board of directors for two other organizations, which gives him the ability to compare the way in which management and the boards operate within the companies he serves. It is based on the Board's identification of these qualifications, skills and experience that the Board has concluded that Mr. Werner should serve as a director on our Board.

Vote Required

Election of a director requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of votes represented by the shares in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. The three persons receiving the greatest number of votes at the Annual Meeting shall be elected as Class I directors. Since only affirmative votes will be counted, neither "broker non-votes" nor abstentions will affect the outcome of the voting on this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION TO THE BOARD OF EACH OF THE CLASS I DIRECTOR NOMINEES.

BOARD STRUCTURE

Determination of Independence

Our Board has determined that three of our nine directors, namely Messrs. McDaniel and Wood and Ms. Lesjak, each meet the standards for independence as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market and rules and regulations of the SEC. Our Board has also determined that Mr. Werner, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Messrs. Chaperon, Clement, Giorno, Otero del Val and de Wendel, as directors designated by our controlling stockholder Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS, formerly known as Total Gas & Power USA, SAS, pursuant to our Affiliation Agreement with Total, are not “independent” as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Board has determined that having a lead independent director assist Mr. Werner, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is in the best interest of our stockholders. Mr. Wood has served as the lead independent director of the Board since June 2012. The Board believes this structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of our company and encourages active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for the work of the Board. We believe that this leadership structure also is preferred by a significant number of our stockholders.

The Board is actively involved in oversight of risks that could affect our company. This oversight is conducted primarily through committees of the Board, in particular our Audit Committee, as disclosed in the descriptions of each of the committees below and in the respective charters of each committee. The full Board, however, has retained responsibility for general oversight of risks. The Board satisfies this responsibility through full reports by each committee chair regarding the committee’s considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from our officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within our company. The Board believes its administration of its risk oversight function has not affected the Board’s leadership structure.

Board Meetings

Our Board held four regular, quarterly meetings, one annual meeting and five special meetings during fiscal 2014. During fiscal 2014, each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and its committees on which such director served during his or her term. Our independent directors held four executive

sessions during regular, quarterly meetings without management present during fiscal 2014.

Controlled Company, NASDAQ Listing Standards

Since the Tender Offer in June 2011 (including as of April 10, 2015) Total has owned greater than 50% of our outstanding voting securities and we are therefore considered a “controlled company” within the meaning of The NASDAQ Stock Market rules. As long as we remain a “controlled company,” we are exempt from the rules that would otherwise require that our Board be composed of a majority of independent directors and that our Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee be composed entirely of independent directors. This “controlled company” exception does not modify the independence requirements for the Audit Committee, and we comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and The NASDAQ Stock Market rules that require that our Audit Committee be composed exclusively of independent directors.

Board Committees

We believe that good corporate governance is important to ensure that we are managed for the long-term benefit of our stockholders. Our Board has established committees to ensure that we maintain strong corporate governance standards. Our Board has standing Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Finance Committees. The charters of our Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance, and Finance Committees are available on our website at <http://investors.sunpower.com>. You may also request copies of our committee charters free of charge by writing to SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Below is a summary of our committee structure and membership information.

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	Finance Committee
Arnaud Chaperon				Member
Bernard Clement			Member	
Denis Giorno			Member	
Catherine Lesjak (I)	Member			Member
Thomas R. McDaniel (I)	Chair	Member	Member	Chair
Jean-Marc Otero del Val		Member		
Humbert de Wendel		Member		Member
Pat Wood III (I)(*)	Member	Chair	Chair	

(I) Indicates an independent director.

(*) Indicates the lead independent director.

Audit Committee

Mr. McDaniel is the Chairman of the Audit Committee, appointed in June 2012. Our Audit Committee is a separately-designated standing committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Board has determined that each member of our Audit Committee is “independent” as that term is defined in Section 10A of the Exchange Act and as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and has the financial sophistication required by the applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. The Board has determined that each of Ms. Lesjak and Mr. McDaniel meet the criteria of an “audit committee financial expert” within the meaning of applicable SEC regulations due to their professional experience. Mr. McDaniel’s and Ms. Lesjak’s relevant professional experience is described above under “*Proposal One—Re-election of Class I Directors.*” The Audit Committee held nine meetings during fiscal 2014.

The purpose of the Audit Committee, pursuant to its charter, is, among other things, to:

provide oversight of our accounting and financial reporting processes and the audit of our financial statements and internal controls by our independent registered public accounting firm;

•

assist the Board in the oversight of: (1) the integrity of our financial statements; (2) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (3) the independent registered public accounting firm's performance, qualifications and independence; and (4) the performance of our internal audit function;

- oversee management's identification, evaluation and mitigation of major risks to our company;
- prepare an audit committee report as required by the SEC to be included in our annual proxy statement;

provide to the Board such information and materials as it may deem necessary to make the Board aware of financial matters requiring the attention of the Board;

consider questions of actual and potential conflicts of interest (including corporate opportunities) of Board members and corporate officers and review and approve proposed related party transactions that would be required to be disclosed under Item 404 of Regulation S-K, provided that any approval of related party transactions may be made only by the disinterested members of the Audit Committee; and

- oversee any waiver of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for directors and executive officers;

The Audit Committee also serves as the representative of the Board with respect to its oversight of the matters described below in the "*Audit Committee Report*." The Audit Committee has established procedures for (1) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and (2) the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters. The Audit Committee promptly reviews such complaints and concerns.

Compensation Committee

Mr. Wood is the Chairman of the Compensation Committee, appointed in November 2012. Two of the four members of the Compensation Committee, Messrs. McDaniel and Wood, are "independent" as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. Messrs. Otero del Val and de Wendel were designated by Total to be on the Compensation Committee pursuant to our Affiliation Agreement with Total. The Compensation Committee held five meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Compensation Committee, pursuant to its charter, assists the Board in discharging its duties with respect to:

• the formulation, implementation, review and modification of the compensation of our directors and executive officers;

• the preparation of an annual report of the Compensation Committee for inclusion in our annual proxy statement or Annual Report on Form 10-K, in accordance with applicable rules of the SEC and applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market;

• reviewing and discussing with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of our annual proxy statement or Annual Report on Form 10-K;

• the establishment of a company compensation philosophy, which may be performance-based, to reward and retain employees based on achievement of goals; and

• the administration of our equity incentive plans, including the Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, and, if approved, the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

We also have a Section 16/162(m) Subcommittee of the Compensation Committee consisting solely of independent directors available to approve certain compensation matters in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), as recommended by the Compensation Committee.

In certain instances, the Compensation Committee has delegated limited authority to Mr. Werner, in his capacity as a Board member, with respect to compensation and equity awards for employees other than our executive officers. For more information on our processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation, see “*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*” below.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of our Compensation Committee was at any time during fiscal 2014 one of our officers or employees, or is one of our former officers or employees. No member of our Compensation Committee had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 and Item 407(e)(4) of Regulation S-K. Additionally, during fiscal 2014, none of our executive officers or directors was a member of the board of directors, or any committee of the board of directors, or of any other entity such that the relationship would be construed to constitute a compensation committee interlock within the meaning of the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Mr. Wood is the Chairman of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Two of the four members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Messrs. McDaniel and Wood, are “independent” as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. Messrs. Clement and Giorno were designated by Total to be on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee pursuant to our Affiliation Agreement with Total. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, pursuant to its charter, assists the Board in discharging its responsibilities with respect to:

- the identification of individuals qualified to become directors and the selection or recommendation of candidates for all directorships to be filled by the Board or by the stockholders;

- the evaluation of whether an incumbent director should be nominated for re-election to the Board upon expiration of such director’s term, based upon factors established for new director candidates as well as the incumbent director’s qualifications, performance as a Board member, and such other factors as the Committee deems appropriate; and

- the development, maintenance and recommendation of a set of corporate governance principles applicable to us, and for periodically reviewing such principles.

The Nominating and Governance Committee also considers diversity in identifying nominees for directors. In particular, the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that the members of the Board should reflect a diverse range of talent, skill and expertise sufficient to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to our operations and interests. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee has determined that the Board as a whole must have the right diversity, mix of characteristics and skills for the optimal functioning of the Board in its oversight role.

The Nominating and Governance Committee believes the Board should be composed of persons with skills in areas such as:

- relevant industries, especially solar products and services;

- technology manufacturing;
- sales and marketing;
- leadership of large, complex organizations;
- finance and accounting;
- corporate governance and compliance;
- strategic planning;
- international business activities; and
- human capital and compensation.

Under our Corporate Governance Principles, during the director nominee evaluation process, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board take the following into account:

• A significant number of directors on the Board should be independent directors, unless otherwise required by applicable law or The NASDAQ Stock Market rules;

• Candidates should be capable of working in a collegial manner with persons of different educational, business and cultural backgrounds and should possess skills and expertise that complement the attributes of the existing directors;

- Candidates should represent a diversity of viewpoints, backgrounds, experiences and other demographics;

• Candidates should demonstrate notable or significant achievement and possess senior-level business, management or regulatory experience that would inure to our benefit;

- Candidates shall be individuals of the highest character and integrity;

• Candidates shall be free from any conflict of interest that would interfere with their ability to properly discharge their duties as a director or would violate any applicable law or regulation;

• Candidates for the Audit and Compensation Committees should have the enhanced independence and financial literacy and expertise that may be required under law or The NASDAQ Stock Market rules;

• Candidates shall be capable of devoting the necessary time to discharge their duties, taking into account memberships on other boards and other responsibilities; and

- Candidates shall have the desire to represent the interests of all stockholders.

Finance Committee

Mr. McDaniel is the Chairman of the Finance Committee. Two of the four members of the Finance Committee, Ms. Lesjak and Mr. McDaniel, are “independent” as defined by applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. Messrs. Chaperon and de Wendel were designated by Total to be on the Finance Committee pursuant to our Affiliation Agreement with Total. The Finance Committee held five meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Finance Committee, pursuant to its charter, assists the Board in discharging its duties with respect to:

- The review, evaluation and approval of financing transactions, including credit facilities, structured finance, issuance of debt and equity securities in private and public transactions, and the repurchase of debt and equity securities (other than financing activity exceeding \$50 million which requires the review and approval of the Board);

• The review of our annual operating plan for recommendation to the Board, and the monitoring of capital spend as compared with the annual operating plan;

• The review and recommendation to the Board of investments, acquisitions, divestitures and other corporate transactions; and

• General oversight of our treasury activities, and the review, at least annually, of our counterparty credit risk and insurance programs.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Stockholder Communications with Board of Directors

We provide a process by which stockholders may send communications to our Board, any committee of the Board, our non-management directors or any particular director. Stockholders can contact our non-management directors by sending such communications to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134. Stockholders wishing to communicate with a particular Board member, a particular Board committee or the Board as a whole, may send a written communication to our Corporate Secretary, SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134. The Corporate Secretary will forward such communication to the full Board, to the appropriate committee or to any individual director or directors to whom the communication is addressed, unless the communication is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal, or harassing, in which case the Corporate Secretary has the authority to discard the communication or take appropriate legal action regarding the communication.

Directors' Attendance at Our Annual Meetings

Although we do not have a formal policy that mandates the attendance of our directors at our annual stockholder meetings, our directors are encouraged to attend. All of our nine directors are expected to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting, and all of our nine directors attended our annual meeting of stockholders held on April 23, 2014 (the "2014 Annual Meeting").

Submission of Stockholder Proposal for the 2016 Annual Meeting

As a SunPower stockholder, you may submit a proposal, including director nominations, for consideration at future annual meetings of stockholders.

Stockholder Proposals. Only stockholders meeting certain criteria outlined in our By-laws are eligible to submit nominations for election to the Board or to propose other proper business for consideration by stockholders at an annual meeting. Under the By-laws, stockholders who wish to nominate persons for election to the Board or propose other proper business for consideration by stockholders at an annual meeting must give proper written notice to us not earlier than the 120th day and not later than the 90th day before the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, provided that in the event that our 2016 annual meeting is called for a date that is not within 25 days before or after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder in order to be timely must be received not later than the close

of business on the 10th day following the day on which we mail or publicly announce our notice of the date of the annual meeting, whichever occurs first. Therefore, notices regarding nominations of persons for election to the Board and proposals of other proper business for consideration at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders must be submitted to us no earlier than February 4, 2016 and no later than March 5, 2016. If the date of the 2016 annual meeting is moved more than 25 days before or after the anniversary date of the 2015 annual meeting, the deadline will instead be the close of business on the 10th day following notice of the date of the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders or public disclosure of such date, whichever occurs first. We have discretionary power, but are not obligated, to consider stockholder proposals submitted after March 5, 2016.

Stockholder proposals will also need to comply with SEC regulations, such as Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in any Company-sponsored proxy material. The submission deadline for stockholder proposals to be included in our proxy materials for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act is December [•], 2015. All written proposals must be received by our Corporate Secretary, at our corporate offices at 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134 by the close of business on the required deadline in order to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders.

Nomination of Director Candidates. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by our stockholders. Such nominations should be directed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134. In addition, the stockholder must give notice of a nomination to our Corporate Secretary, and such notice must be received within the time period described above under “*Stockholder Proposals.*” Any such proposal must include the following:

- the name, age, business address, residence address and record address of such nominee;
- the principal occupation or employment of such nominee;
- the class or series and number of shares of our stock owned beneficially or of record by such nominee;
- any information relating to the nominee that would be required to be disclosed in our proxy statement;
- the nominee holder for, and number of, shares owned beneficially but not of record by such person;

whether and the extent to which any hedging or other transaction or series of transactions has been entered into by or on behalf of, or any other agreement, arrangement or understanding (including any derivative or short positions, profit interests, options or borrowed or loaned shares) has been made, the effect or intent of which is to mitigate loss to or manage risk or benefit of share price changes for, or to increase or decrease the voting power of, such person with respect to any share of our stock;

to the extent known by the stockholder giving the notice, the name and address of any other stockholder supporting the nominee for election or reelection as a director on the date of such stockholder's notice;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between or among such persons pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by the stockholder and any relationship between or among the stockholder giving notice and any person acting in concert, directly or indirectly, with such stockholder and any person controlling, controlled by or under common control with such stockholder, on the one hand, and each proposed nominee, on the other hand; and

a representation that the stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice.

If a director nomination is made pursuant to the process set forth above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will apply the same criteria in evaluating the nominee as it would any other board nominee candidate, and will recommend to the Board whether or not the stockholder nominee should be included as a candidate for election in our proxy statement. The nominee and nominating stockholder should be willing to provide any information reasonably requested by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in connection with its evaluation. The Board will make the final determination whether or not a nominee will be included in the proxy statement and on the proxy card for election.

Once either a search firm selected by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or a stockholder has provided our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with the identity of a prospective candidate, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee communicates the identity and known background and experience of the candidate to the Board. If warranted by a polling of the Board, members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and/or other members of our senior management may interview the candidate. If the Nominating and Governance Committee reacts favorably to a candidate, the candidate is next invited to interview with the members of the Board who are not on the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee then makes a final determination whether to recommend the candidate to the Board for directorship. The Nominating and Governance Committee currently has not set specific, minimum qualifications or criteria for nominees that it proposes for Board membership, but evaluates the entirety of each candidate's credentials. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes, however, that we will be best served if our directors bring to the Board a variety of diverse experience and backgrounds and, among other things, demonstrated integrity, executive leadership and financial, marketing or business knowledge and experience. See "*Board Structure—Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee*" for factors considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board in considering director nominees.

Corporate Governance Principles

We believe that strong corporate governance practices are the foundation of a successful, well-run company. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Principles that set forth our core corporate governance principles, including:

- oversight responsibilities of the Board;
- election and responsibilities of the lead independent director;
- role of Board committees and assignment and rotation of members;
- review of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and consideration of related party transactions;
- independent directors meetings without management and with outside auditors;
 - Board's access to employees;
 - annual review of Board member compensation;
 - membership criteria and selection of the Board;
 - annual review of Board performance;
 - director orientation and continuing education;
- annual review of performance and compensation of executive officers; and

- succession planning for key executive officers.

Our Corporate Governance Principles are available on our website at <http://investors.sunpower.com>.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics; Related Persons Transactions Policy and Procedures

It is our general policy to conduct our business activities and transactions with the highest level of integrity and ethical standards and in accordance with all applicable laws. In addition, it is our policy to avoid situations that create an actual or potential conflict between our interests and the personal interests of our officers and directors. Such principles are described in our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is applicable to our directors, officers, and employees (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and is designed to promote compliance with the laws applicable to our business, accounting standards, and proper and ethical business methods and practices. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at <http://investors.sunpower.com/corporate-governance.cfm> under the link for “Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.” You may also request a copy by writing to us at SunPower Corporation, 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134, Attention: Corporate Secretary. If we amend our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or grant a waiver applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer, we will post a copy of such amendment or waiver on our website. Under our Corporate Governance Principles, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending changes to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Principles and our Audit Committee Charter, our Audit Committee will consider questions of actual and potential conflicts of interest (including corporate opportunities) of directors and officers, and approve or prohibit such transactions. The Audit Committee will review and approve in advance all proposed related-party transactions that would be required to be disclosed under Item 404 of Regulation S-K, in compliance with the applicable NASDAQ Stock Market rules. A related-party transaction will only be approved if the Audit Committee determines that it is in our best interests. If a director is involved in the transaction, he or she will be recused from all voting and approval processes in connection with the transaction.

Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions

Other than the compensation agreements and other arrangements described herein, and the transactions described below, since the start of our last fiscal year on December 30, 2013, there has not been, nor is there currently proposed, any transaction or series of similar transactions to which we have been or will be a party:

- in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000; and

in which any director, director nominee, executive officer, beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of our common stock, or any immediate family member of such persons had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Agreements with Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS and Total S.A.

Tender Offer Agreement and Tender Offer Agreement Guaranty

On April 28, 2011, we and Total entered into the Tender Offer Agreement, pursuant to which, on June 21, 2011, Total purchased approximately 60% of our then-outstanding shares of common stock for a total cost of approximately \$1.4 billion.

Tenesol Stock Purchase Agreement, Private Placement Agreement, and Master Agreement

On December 23, 2011, we entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Total, under which we agreed to acquire 100% of the equity interests of Tenesol SA (“Tenesol”) from Total for \$165.4 million in cash. The Tenesol acquisition was consummated on January 31, 2012. Tenesol is a European-based manufacturer and developer of solar projects with module manufacturing operations in France and South Africa.

Contemporaneously with the execution of the Tenesol Stock Purchase Agreement, we entered into a Private Placement Agreement with Total, under which Total agreed to purchase, and we agreed to issue and sell 18.6 million shares of our common stock for a purchase price of \$8.80 per share. The sale was completed contemporaneously with the closing of the Tenesol acquisition on January 31, 2012, thereby increasing Total’s ownership to approximately 66% of our outstanding common stock as of such date.

On December 23, 2011, we also entered into a Master Agreement with Total, under which we and Total agreed to a framework of transactions related to the Tenesol acquisition and Private Placement Agreement. Additionally, Total has agreed to pursue several negotiations on additional agreements related to directly investing in our R&D program over a multi-year period, the purchase of our modules and the development of a multi-megawatt project using our products. We and Total amended the Master Agreement on December 20, 2012 to clarify that the development of the multi-megawatt project using our products shall mean development of up to 10 C-7 Tracker demonstration projects at a total cost to Total of not more than \$2.5 million provided agreements for such projects were entered into before December 31, 2013. On July 22, 2014 we and Total agreed to extend the deadline for development of the C-7 Tracker demonstration projects from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015.

Credit Support Agreement

In connection with the Tender Offer, on April 28, 2011, we entered into a Credit Support Agreement with Total S.A. Pursuant to the Credit Support Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions described below, Total S.A., as “Guarantor” has agreed to enter into one or more guarantee agreements (each a “Guaranty”) with banks providing letter of credit facilities to us or our subsidiaries in support of our utility and power plant (“UPP”) and large commercial portion of the residential and commercial segment (“LComm”) businesses and certain other permitted purposes. Pursuant to such Guarantees, Guarantor would guarantee the payment to the applicable bank of our obligation to reimburse a draw on a letter of credit and pay interest thereon in accordance with the letter of credit facility between such bank and us. The Credit Support Agreement became effective on June 28, 2011 (the “CSA Effective Date”), and was amended on June 7, 2011, December 12, 2011 and December 14, 2012.

Under the Credit Support Agreement, at any time from the CSA Effective Date until the fifth anniversary thereof, we may request that Guarantor provide a Guaranty with respect to a letter of credit facility. Guarantor is required to issue and enter into the Guaranty requested by us subject to certain terms and conditions, any of which may be waived by Total S.A. The aggregate letter of credit amount cannot exceed \$878 million for the period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014, \$936 million for the period from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 and \$1 billion for the period from January 1, 2016 through the termination of the Credit Support Agreement (the “Maximum L/C Amount”), subject to certain adjustments.

Payments to be Paid by us to the Guarantor. In consideration for the commitments of Guarantor, we are required to pay Guarantor a guarantee fee, repay any payments made under any Guaranty plus interest, and pay certain expenses of Guarantor and interest on overdue amounts owed to Guarantor. The guarantee fee for each letter of credit that is the subject of a Guaranty and was outstanding for all or part of the preceding calendar quarter will be equal to: (w) the average daily amount of the undrawn amount of such letter of credit plus the amount drawn on such letter of credit that has not yet been reimbursed by us or Guarantor, (x) 1.40% for letters of credit issued or extended from the second anniversary of the CSA Effective Date until the third anniversary of the CSA Effective Date, 1.85% for letters of credit issued or extended from the third anniversary of the CSA Effective Date until the fourth anniversary of the CSA Effective Date, and 2.35% for letters of credit issued or extended from the fourth anniversary of the CSA Effective Date until the fifth anniversary of the CSA Effective Date, (y) multiplied by the number of days that such letter of credit was outstanding, (z) divided by 365. We are required to reimburse payments made by Guarantor under any Guaranty within 30 days plus interest at a rate equal to LIBOR (as in effect as of the date of Guarantor’s payment) plus 3.00%. The expenses of Guarantor to be reimbursed by us include reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred after the CSA Effective Date in the performance of its services under the Credit Support Agreement and reasonable out-of-pocket attorneys’ fees and expenses incurred in connection with payments to a bank under a Guaranty or enforcement of any of our obligations. Overdue payment obligations accrue interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR as in effect at such time such payment was due plus 5.00%. Finally, we are solely responsible for any bank fees incurred in connection with securing any letter of credit facilities. In fiscal 2014, we incurred guaranty fees of approximately \$12.0 million to Total S.A.

Benchmark Credit Terms. No later than June 30, 2012 and annually every June 30 thereafter throughout the term of the Credit Support Agreement, and also at any time we desire to obtain a letter of credit facility that would be the subject of a Guaranty, we are required to solicit benchmark credit terms for a letter of credit facility without a Guaranty from Guarantor and without collateral and report those benchmark terms to Guarantor. If (a) the annual fees payable by us on the issued amount of a letter of credit under a proposed letter of credit facility that is not guaranteed by Guarantor are equal to or less than 110% of the annual fees plus any applicable guarantee fee payable to Guarantor pursuant to a guaranteed letter of credit facility under the Credit Support Agreement, (b) the other fees payable under such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility are reasonable in light of the fees payable under a guaranteed letter of credit facility and the anticipated uses of such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility and (c) the other terms and conditions of such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility (including restrictive covenants) are reasonable in light of the anticipated use of such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility, then (i) we will be required to enter into such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility as soon as commercially reasonable, (ii) we will be required to reduce the commitments under guaranteed letter of credit facilities in an amount equal to such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility and (iii) so long as such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility remains in effect, the Maximum L/C Amount during such period will be reduced by the maximum aggregate amount of the letters of credit that may be issued pursuant to such non-guaranteed letter of credit facility. We did not conduct any benchmarking under this agreement during fiscal 2014.

Covenants of SunPower. Under the Credit Support Agreement, we have agreed to undertake certain actions, including, but not limited to, ensuring that our payment obligations to Guarantor rank at least equal in right of payment with all of our other present and future indebtedness, other than certain permitted secured indebtedness. We have agreed to refrain from taking certain actions as detailed in the Credit Support Agreement, including (1) amending any agreements related to any guaranteed letter of credit facility, (2) granting any lien to secure indebtedness unless (a) an identical lien is granted to Guarantor and (b) such other lien is at all times equal or subordinate to the priority of the lien granted to Guarantor under (a), and (3) making any equity distributions.

Trigger Events. Under the Credit Support Agreement, following a Trigger Event (as defined in the agreement and described below), and during its continuation, Guarantor may elect not to enter into any additional Guaranties; declare all or any portion of the outstanding amounts owed by us to Guarantor to be due and payable; direct banks that have provided guaranteed letter of credit facilities to stop all issuances of any additional letters of credit under such facilities; access and inspect our relevant financial records and other documents upon reasonable notice to us; and exercise all other rights it may have under applicable law, provided that at its discretion Guarantor may also rescind such actions.

Each of the following events constitutes a “Trigger Event”:

we default with respect to our reimbursement obligations to Guarantor described above or any other payment obligation under the Credit Support Agreement that is 30 days overdue for which Guarantor has demanded payment in writing;

any representation or warranty made by us in the Credit Support Agreement is false, incorrect, incomplete or misleading in any material respect when made and has not been cured within 15 days after notice thereof by Guarantor;

we fail, and continue to fail for 15 days, to observe or perform any material covenant, obligation, condition or agreement in the Credit Support Agreement;

we default in the observance or performance of any agreement, term or condition contained in a guaranteed letter of credit facility that would constitute an event of default or similar event thereunder (other than an obligation to pay any amount, the payment of which is guaranteed by Guarantor), up to or beyond any grace period provided in such facility, unless waived by the applicable bank and Guarantor;

we or any of our subsidiaries defaults in the observance or performance of any agreement, term or condition contained in any bond, debenture, note or other indebtedness such that the holders of such indebtedness may accelerate the payment of \$25 million or more of such indebtedness; and

certain bankruptcy or insolvency events.

Termination. The Credit Support Agreement will terminate following the fifth anniversary of the CSA Effective Date, after the later of the payment in full of all obligations thereunder and the termination or expiration of each Guaranty provided thereunder.

Affiliation Agreement

In connection with the Tender Offer, we and Total entered into an affiliation agreement (the “Affiliation Agreement”). The Affiliation Agreement was amended on June 7, 2011, December 12, 2011, February 28, 2012 and August 10, 2012. The Affiliation Agreement governs the relationship following the closing of the Tender Offer between SunPower, on the one hand, and Total S.A., Total, any other affiliate of Total S.A. and any member of a group of persons formed for the purpose of acquiring, holding, voting, disposing of or beneficially owning our voting stock of which Total S.A. or any of its affiliates is a member (the “Total Group”), on the other hand.

Standstill. Following the closing of the Tender Offer and during the Standstill Period (as defined below), Total, Total S.A., and the Total Group may not:

effect or seek, or announce any intention to effect or seek, any transaction that would result in the Total Group beneficially owning shares in excess of the Applicable Standstill Limit (as defined below), or take any action that would require us to make a public announcement regarding the foregoing;

request that (i) we, (ii) our Board members that are independent directors and not appointed to the Board by Total (the “Disinterested Directors”), or (iii) our officers or employees, amend or waive any of the standstill restrictions applicable to the Total Group described above; or

enter into any discussions with any third party regarding any of the foregoing.

In addition, no member of the Total Group may, among other things, solicit proxies relating to the election of directors to our Board without the prior approval of the Disinterested Directors.

The Total Group is, however, permitted to either (i) make and consummate a Total Tender Offer or (ii) propose and effect a Total Merger so long as, in each case, Total complies with certain advance notice and prior negotiation obligations, including providing written notice to us at least 120 days before commencing or proposing such Total Tender Offer or Total Merger and making its designees reasonably available for the purpose of negotiation with the Disinterested Directors concerning such Total Tender Offer or Total Merger.

The “Standstill Period” is the period beginning on the date of the Affiliation Agreement and ending on the earlier to occur of:

- a change of control of our company;

- the first time that the Total Group beneficially owns less than 15% of outstanding voting power of our company;

we or our Board take or fail to take certain of the actions described below under “—*Events Requiring Stockholder Approval by Total*” or fail to comply with certain of the covenants described below under “—*Covenants of Total and SunPower*” during the time when Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns 50% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company or 40% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company when (a) at least \$100 million in Guaranties are outstanding under the Credit Support Agreement or (b) for so long as the Liquidity Support Agreement remains in effect and any loan or guarantee by the Total Group remains outstanding resulting from a Liquidity Injection (as defined in the Liquidity Support Agreement);

a tender offer for at least 50% of the outstanding voting power of our company is commenced by a third party after the time when Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A. owns 50% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company or 40% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company when (a) at least \$100 million in Guarantees are outstanding under the Credit Support Agreement or (b) for so long as the Liquidity Support Agreement remains in effect and any loan or guarantee by the Total Group remains outstanding resulting from a Liquidity Injection (as defined in the Liquidity Support Agreement); and

- the termination of the Affiliation Agreement.

The “Applicable Standstill Limit” is 70% of the lower of (i) the then outstanding shares of our common stock or (ii) the then outstanding voting power of our company.

During the Standstill Period, the Total Group will not be in breach of its standstill obligations described above if any member of the Total Group holds beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock in excess of the Applicable Standstill Limit solely as a result of:

recapitalizations, repurchases or other actions taken by us or our controlled subsidiaries that have the effect of reducing the number of shares of our common stock then outstanding;

the issuance of shares of our common stock to Total in connection with the acquisition of Tenesol SA;

the rights specified in any “poison pill” share purchase rights plan having separated from the shares of our common stock and a member of the Total Group having exercised such rights; or

the issuance of voting securities to Total, including from the conversion into voting securities of convertible securities, in connection with the Compensation and Funding Agreement or the Liquidity Support Agreement (each as described below).

Transfer of Control. If any member or members of the Total Group seek to transfer, in one or a series of transactions, either (i) 40% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock or (ii) 40% or more of the outstanding voting power of our company to a single person or group, then such transfer must be conditioned on, and may not be effected, unless the transferee either:

makes a tender offer to acquire 100% of the voting power of our company, at the same price per share of voting stock and using the same form of consideration to be paid by the transferee to the Total Group; or

proposes a merger providing for the acquisition of 100% of the voting power of our company, at the same price per share of voting stock and using the same form of consideration to be paid by the transferee to the Total Group.

Total's Rights to Maintain. The Total Group has the following rights to maintain its ownership in us until (i) the first time that the Total Group owns less than 40% of the outstanding voting power of our company, or (ii) until the first time that Total transfers shares of our common stock to a person other than Total S.A. or a controlled subsidiary of Total S.A. and as a result of such transfer Total S.A. and its subsidiaries own less than 50% of the outstanding voting power of our company.

If we propose to issue new securities primarily for cash in a financing transaction, then Total has the right to purchase a portion of such new securities equal to its percentage ownership in us. Total can also elect to purchase our securities in open market transactions or through privately-negotiated transactions in an amount equal to its percentage ownership in connection with such issuance of new securities. If we propose to issue new securities in consideration for our purchase of a business or asset of a business, then Total has the right to purchase additional securities in the open market or through privately-negotiated transactions equal to its percentage ownership in us. Total has similar rights in the event that we issue or propose to issue (including pursuant to our equity plans or as the result of the conversion of our convertible securities) securities that, together with all other issuances of securities by us since the end of the preceding fiscal quarter aggregate to more than 1% of our fully diluted equity. Total has a nine-month grace period, subject to certain extensions to satisfy regulatory conditions, to acquire securities in the open market or through privately-negotiated transactions in connection with any of the securities issuances described above.

SunPower Board. The Affiliation Agreement provides that Total is entitled to designate nominees to our Board, subject to the maintenance of certain ownership thresholds described below. See “*Proposal One*” above for more details on our current Board membership.

So long as Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns at least 10% of the outstanding voting power of our company, then our Board must use its reasonable best efforts to elect the directors designated by Total as follows:

• until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 50% of the voting power of our company, Total will be entitled to designate five nominees to serve on our Board;

• until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 50% but not less than 40% of the voting power of our company, Total will be entitled to designate four nominees to serve on our Board;

• until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 40% but not less than 30% of the voting power of our company, Total will be entitled to designate three nominees to serve on our Board;

until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 30% but not less than 20% of the voting power of our company, Total will be entitled to designate two nominees to serve on our Board; and

until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 20% but not less than 10% of the voting power of our company, Total will be entitled to designate one nominee to serve on our Board.

For as long as they are serving on our Board, the directors designated by Total will be allocated across the three classes that comprise our Board in a manner as equal as practicable.

Subject to the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market, until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns less than 30% of the outstanding voting power of our company:

the Audit Committee will be composed of three Disinterested Directors;

the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee will each be composed of two Disinterested Directors and two directors designated by Total; and

any other standing committee will be composed of two Disinterested Directors and two directors designated by Total.

Until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., own less than 10% of the outstanding voting power of our company, a representative of Total will, subject to certain exceptions, be permitted to attend all meetings of our Board or any committee thereof in a non-voting, observer capacity (other than any committee whose sole purpose is to consider a transaction for which there exists an actual conflict of interest between the Total Group, on the one hand, and us and any of our affiliates, on the other hand).

Events Requiring Specific Board Approval. At any time when Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns at least 30% of the outstanding voting power of our company, neither the Total Group nor we (or any of our affiliates) may effect any of the following without first obtaining the approval of a majority of the Disinterested Directors:

any amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws;

any transaction that, in the reasonable judgment of the Disinterested Directors, involves an actual conflict of interest between the Total Group, on the one hand, and us and any of our affiliates, on the other hand;

the adoption of any shareholder rights plan or the amendment or failure to renew our existing shareholder rights plan;

except as provided above, the commencement of any tender offer or exchange offer by the Total Group for shares of our common stock or securities convertible into shares of our common stock, or the approval of a merger of us or any company that we control with a member of the Total Group;

any voluntary dissolution or liquidation of our company or any company that we control;

any voluntary bankruptcy filing by us or any company that we control or the failure to oppose any other person's bankruptcy filing or action to appoint a receiver of our company or any company that we control;

- any delegation of all or a portion of the authority of our Board to any committee thereof;

any amendment, modification or waiver of any provision of the Affiliation Agreement;

any modification of, or action with respect to, director's and officer's insurance coverage; or

any reduction in the compensation of the Disinterested Directors.

Events Requiring Supermajority Board Approval. At any time when Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns at least 30% of the outstanding voting power of our company, neither Total nor we (nor any of Total's or our affiliates, respectively) may, without first obtaining the approval of two-thirds of our directors (including at least one Disinterested Director), effect any approval or adoption of our annual operating plan or budget that has the effect of reducing the planned letter of credit utilization in any given year by more than 10% below the applicable maximum letter of credit amount in the Credit Support Agreement.

Events Requiring Stockholder Approval by Total. Until the first time that Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns 50% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company or 40% or less of the outstanding voting power of our company (a) when at least \$100 million in Guarantees are outstanding pursuant to the Credit Support Agreement or (b) for so long as the Liquidity Support Agreement (as described below) remains in effect and, thereafter, for so long as (1) any loans by Total S.A. to us remain outstanding, (2) any guarantees by Total S.A. of any of our indebtedness remain outstanding, or (3) any other continuing obligation of Total S.A. to or for the benefit of us remain outstanding (“Total Stockholder Approval Period”), neither we (including any of our controlled subsidiaries) nor our Board may effect any of the following without first obtaining the approval of Total:

any amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws;

any transaction pursuant to which we or any company that we control acquires or otherwise obtains the ownership or exclusive use of any business, property or assets of a third party if as of the date of the consummation of such transaction the aggregate net present value of the consideration paid or to be paid exceeds the lower of (i) 15% of our then-consolidated total assets or (ii) 15% of our market capitalization;

any transaction pursuant to which a third party obtains ownership or exclusive use of any of our business, property or assets or those of any company that we control if as of the date of the consummation of such transaction the aggregate net present value of the consideration received or to be received exceeds the lower of (i) 10% of our then-consolidated total assets or (ii) 10% of our market capitalization;

the adoption of any shareholder rights plan or certain changes to our existing shareholder rights plan;

- except for the incurrence of certain permitted indebtedness, the incurrence of additional indebtedness in excess of the difference, if any, of 3.5 times our LTM EBITDA (as defined in the Affiliation Agreement) less our Outstanding Gross Debt (as defined in the Affiliation Agreement);

subject to certain exceptions, any voluntary dissolution or liquidation of our company or any company that we control;

any voluntary bankruptcy filing by us or any company that we control or the failure to oppose any other person's bankruptcy filing or action to appoint a receiver of our company or any company that we control; or

any repurchase of our common stock.

Certain Matters Related to SunPower's Shareholder Rights Plan. Until the Total Group beneficially owns less than 15% of the outstanding voting power of our company, neither we nor our Board is permitted to adopt any shareholder rights plan or make certain changes to our existing shareholder rights plan without the approval of Total.

Covenants of Total and SunPower. In order to effect the transactions contemplated by the Affiliation Agreement, each of Total and we have committed to taking certain actions. With respect to us, such actions include:

amending our By-laws to provide that the Total Group may call a special meeting of stockholders in certain circumstances;

taking certain actions to exculpate Total S.A., Total, any controlled subsidiary of Total S.A. and those of our directors designated by Total from corporate opportunities, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law;

- taking certain actions to render Delaware's business combination statute inapplicable to the Total Group and certain future transferees of the Total Group;

making certain amendments to our shareholder rights plan, including excluding the Total Group from the definition of "Acquiring Person" under such plan;

renewing our existing shareholder rights plan so long as the Total Group beneficially owns at least 15% of our outstanding voting power; and

providing Total with certain of our financial information from time to time.

Termination. The Affiliation Agreement generally terminates upon the earlier to occur of (i) Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owning less than 10% of the outstanding voting power of our company or (ii) Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owning 100% of the outstanding voting power of our company.

Reimbursement. We have a reimbursement arrangement with Total pursuant to the Affiliation Agreement, under which Total has agreed to reimburse certain costs that we incur for our acceleration of the accounting close process and implementation of International Financial Reporting Standards. This arrangement facilitates our implementation of accounting and reporting systems in order to timely report monthly financial results to Total pursuant to the Affiliation Agreement. In fiscal 2013 and 2014 we received \$120,000 and \$0, respectively, from Total under this arrangement.

Affiliation Agreement Guaranty

Total S.A. entered into a guaranty (the “Affiliation Agreement Guaranty”) in connection with the Tender Offer and entry into the Affiliation Agreement, pursuant to which Total S.A. unconditionally guarantees the full and prompt payment of Total S.A.’s, Total’s and each Total S.A. controlled company’s payment obligations under the Affiliation Agreement and the full and prompt performance of their respective representations, warranties, covenants, duties and agreements contained in the Affiliation Agreement.

Research & Collaboration Agreement

In connection with the Tender Offer, we and Total entered into a Research & Collaboration Agreement (the “R&D Agreement”) that establishes a framework under which the parties may engage in long-term research and development collaboration (the “R&D Collaboration”). The R&D Collaboration is expected to encompass a number of different projects (“R&D Projects”), with a focus on advancing technology in the area of photovoltaics. The primary purpose of the R&D Collaboration is to: (i) maintain and expand our technology position in the crystalline silicon domain; (ii) ensure our industrial competitiveness; and (iii) guarantee a sustainable position for both us and Total to be best-in-class industry players.

The R&D Agreement contemplates a joint committee (the “R&D Strategic Committee”) that identifies, plans and manages the R&D Collaboration. Due to the impracticability of anticipating and establishing all of the legal and business terms that will be applicable to the R&D Collaboration or to each R&D Project, the R&D Agreement sets forth broad principles applicable to the parties’ potential R&D Collaboration, and the R&D Collaboration Committee establishes the particular terms governing each particular R&D Project consistent with the terms set forth in the R&D Agreement. In December 2011, Total committed to contribute at least \$6 million per year for three years for the R&D collaboration activities. The parties also agreed in 2012 to an Annual Collaboration Plan Budget (“ACPB”) of \$30 million of which Total was to contribute \$10.7 million. Total contributed approximately \$3.4 million in 2012. The ACPB for fiscal 2013 was adjusted to \$23 million, of which Total contributed approximately \$11 million during fiscal 2013. This amount carries forward certain 2012 project expenditures that were not completed due primarily to delays in our recruiting efforts and third-party contract negotiations. The ACPB for fiscal 2014 was \$29.8 million, of which Total contributed approximately \$14.0 million during fiscal 2014.

Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the Tender Offer, we and Total entered into a customary registration rights agreement (the “Registration Rights Agreement”) related to Total’s ownership of shares of our common stock. The Registration Rights Agreement provides Total with shelf registration rights, subject to certain customary exceptions, and up to two demand registration rights in any 12-month period, also subject to certain customary exceptions. Total also has certain rights to participate in any registrations of securities that we initiate. We will generally pay all costs and expenses we incur and that Total incurs in connection with any shelf or demand registration (other than selling expenses incurred by Total). We and Total have also agreed to certain indemnification rights under the agreement. The Registration Rights Agreement terminates on the first date on which: (i) the shares held by Total constitute less than 5% of our then-outstanding common stock; (ii) all of our securities held by Total may be immediately resold pursuant to Rule 144 promulgated under the Exchange Act during any 90-day period without any volume limitation or other restriction; or (iii) we cease to be subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act.

The Registration Rights Agreement was amended on May 29, 2013, in connection with the issuance of our 0.75% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2018, to provide that the debentures and our common stock underlying the debentures were “registrable securities” within the meaning of the Registration Rights Agreement.

Stockholder Rights Plan

On April 28, 2011, before the execution of the Tender Offer Agreement, we entered into an amendment (the “Rights Agreement Amendment”) to the Rights Agreement, dated August 12, 2008, by and between us and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent (the “Rights Agreement”), in order to, among other things, render the rights therein inapplicable to each of: (i) the approval, execution or delivery of the Tender Offer Agreement; (ii) the commencement or consummation of the Tender Offer; (iii) the consummation of the other transactions contemplated by the Tender Offer Agreement and the related agreements; and (iv) the public or other announcement of any of the foregoing.

On June 14, 2011, we entered into a second amendment to the Rights Agreement (the “Second Rights Agreement Amendment”), in order to, among other things, exempt Total, Total S.A. and certain of their affiliates and certain members of a group of which they may become members from the definition of “Acquiring Person” thereunder, such that the rights issuable pursuant to the Rights Agreement will not become issuable in connection with the completion of the Tender Offer.

By-laws Amendment

On June 14, 2011, our Board approved amendments of our By-laws as required under the Affiliation Agreement. The amendments: (i) allow any member of the Total Group to call a meeting of stockholders for the sole purpose of considering and voting on a proposal to effect a Total Merger or a Transferee Merger (as defined in the Affiliation Agreement); (ii) provide that the number of directors of our Board shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the affirmative vote of a majority of our entire Board at any regular or special meeting; and (iii) require, before the termination of the Affiliation Agreement, the approval of a majority of our independent directors to amend our By-laws so long as Total, together with the controlled subsidiaries of Total S.A., owns at least 30% of our voting securities as well as require, before the termination of the Affiliation Agreement, Total's written consent during the Total Stockholder Approval Period to amend the By-laws. In addition, in November 2011, our By-laws were amended to remove restrictions prohibiting stockholder consents in writing.

Liquidity Support Agreement

SunPower Corporation, Systems, a Delaware corporation and our indirect, wholly owned subsidiary ("SunPower Systems"), provided engineering, procurement and construction ("EPC") services, as well as solar panels and power plant technology, to the California Valley Solar Ranch ("CVSR"), a 250 MW AC solar power plant that completed construction in March 2014. SunPower Systems performed this work under an Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2011 (the "EPC Contract"), between SunPower Systems, and High Plains Ranch II, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("HPR II"). HPR II is the CVSR project company sold by us to NRG Solar LLC in September 2011. Part of the debt financing necessary for SunPower Systems' customer, NRG Solar LLC, to pay for the construction of the CVSR project was provided by the Federal Financing Bank in reliance on a guarantee of repayment provided by the United States Department of Energy (DOE) under a loan guarantee program. In late 2011, the DOE requested that we, as the EPC contractor for the CVSR project, provide additional financial assurances to support SunPower Systems' obligations under the EPC Contract in connection with the project's loan guarantee. In response, on February 28, 2012, we and Total S.A. entered into a Liquidity Support Agreement with the DOE, under which Total S.A. agreed to provide us, or cause to be provided to us, additional liquidity under certain circumstances up to an aggregate amount of \$600 million. In connection with the Liquidity Support Agreement, we, Total S.A., and Total entered into a series of related agreements (the "Liquidity Support Transaction Agreements") to establish the parameters for the terms of the liquidity support to be provided by Total S.A. and Total, including the parameters for the terms of any liquidity injections that may be required to be provided to us under the Liquidity Support Agreement.

The Liquidity Support Agreement provided that, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, upon a Liquidity Support Event (defined below), Total S.A. would make available, as of the date of the Liquidity Support Agreement, and provide to us from time to time various forms of equity, debt (both convertible and non-convertible), guarantee or other liquidity support (“Liquidity Injections”), as required to increase the amount of our unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and unused borrowing capacity, and to ensure our satisfaction of our financial covenants under certain third party indebtedness, up to the maximum aggregate amount of \$600 million (the “Liquidity Support Facility”). A “Liquidity Support Event” would have occurred when (a) our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents shown on our balance sheet plus any unused availability under any committed credit that is available to us (“Reported Liquidity”) was below \$100 million in a completed fiscal quarter, or our projected liquidity measured in the same manner for the next fiscal quarter (“Projected Liquidity”) was below \$100 million; or (b) at any time during a fiscal quarter, we failed to satisfy any financial covenant under our indebtedness. Upon a Liquidity Support Event, Total S.A. was required to provide to us, and we were required to accept from Total S.A., a Liquidity Injection sufficient to (a) cause our Reported Liquidity and Projected Liquidity to be at least \$100 million, or, as applicable, (b) to cure any breach and satisfy the applicable financial covenant in our indebtedness. The terms and conditions of such Liquidity Support Facility are set forth in the Liquidity Support Transaction Agreements, including a Compensation and Funding Agreement, a Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement, and a Private Placement Agreement, each as described below.

The Liquidity Support Agreement terminated, all outstanding guarantees issued thereunder terminated, and all outstanding loans made thereunder became due (except for Total S.A.’s guarantee of the Credit Agricole facility), upon the final completion date of the CVSR project in March 2014. There were no outstanding guarantees or debt under the facility upon termination.

Compensation and Funding Agreement

In connection with the Liquidity Support Agreement, on February 28, 2012, we entered into a Compensation and Funding Agreement (the “Compensation and Funding Agreement”) with Total S.A., pursuant to which, among other things, we and Total S.A. established the parameters for the terms of the Liquidity Support Facility and any Liquidity Injections that may be required to be provided by Total S.A. to us pursuant to the Liquidity Support Agreement (the “Liquidity Support Arrangements”). We agreed in the Compensation and Funding Agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to assist Total S.A. in the performance of its obligations under the Liquidity Support Agreement and to conduct, and to act in good faith in conducting, our affairs in a manner such that Total S.A.’s obligation under the Liquidity Support Agreement to provide Liquidity Injections will not be triggered or, if triggered, will be minimized. We also agreed to use any cash provided under the facility in such a way as to minimize the need for further liquidity support.

Upfront Payment Obligations. On February 28, 2012, in consideration for Total S.A.’s agreement to enter into the Liquidity Support Agreement and for Total S.A.’s commitments set forth in the Liquidity Support Agreement, we issued to Total a warrant (the “Upfront Warrant”), in the form of Exhibit A to the Compensation and Funding Agreement, that is exercisable to purchase 9,531,677 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.8685 per

share, subject to adjustment for customary anti-dilution and other events. The Upfront Warrant is exercisable at any time for seven years after its issuance, provided that, so long as at least \$25 million of our existing convertible debt remains outstanding, such exercise will not cause “any person,” including Total S.A., to, directly or indirectly, including through one or more wholly-owned subsidiaries, become the “beneficial owner” (as such terms are defined in Rule 13d-3 and Rule 13d-5 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), of more than 74.99% of the voting power of our common stock at such time, because “any person” becoming such “beneficial owner” would trigger the repurchase or conversion of our existing convertible debt.

Ongoing Payment Obligations. The Compensation and Funding Agreement further provides that, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, we will make certain cash payments to Total S.A. within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter during the term of the Compensation and Funding Agreement as follows:

Quarterly payment of a commitment fee in an amount equal to 0.25% of the unused portion of the \$600 million Liquidity Support Facility as of the end of such quarter; and

Quarterly payment of a guarantee fee in an amount equal to 2.75% per annum of the average amount of our indebtedness that is guaranteed by Total S.A. pursuant to any Guaranty (as defined below) issued in accordance with the terms of the Compensation and Funding Agreement during such quarter.

In addition, any of our payment obligations to Total S.A. under the Compensation and Funding Agreement that are not paid when due shall accrue interest until paid in full at a rate equal to 6-months U.S. LIBOR as in effect from time to time plus 5.00% per annum. In fiscal 2014, we incurred commitment fees of approximately \$1.0 million to Total S.A.

Form of Liquidity Support. In the event that Total S.A. becomes obligated to provide a Liquidity Injection to us in a Liquidity Support Event pursuant to the Liquidity Support Agreement, the Compensation and Funding Agreement sets forth the form of such Liquidity Injection based on the greatest “Drawn Support Amount” (defined in the Liquidity Support Agreement) that has been outstanding at any time before the date of determination (the “Maximum Drawn Support Amount”) at such time, as follows:

(i) To the extent that the Maximum Drawn Support Amount at such time is equal to or less than \$60 million, the Liquidity Injection shall be, at Total S.A.’s option, in the form of a revolving, non-convertible debt facility (a “Revolving Loan”) pursuant to the terms of the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement, a Total S.A. Guaranty (defined below), or any other form of Liquidity Injection agreed to by us. In addition, at the time of funding of each such Revolving Loan, we will issue to or as directed by Total S.A. a warrant, in the form of Exhibit A to the Compensation and Funding Agreement, that is exercisable for seven years to purchase an amount of our common stock equal to 20% of the amount of such Revolving Loan divided by the volume-weighted average price for our common stock for the 30 trading-day period ending on the trading day immediately preceding the date of calculation (the “30-Day VWAP”) as of the date of funding of such Revolving Loan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the aggregate exercise price of warrants issued in connection with Revolving Loans may not exceed 20% of the maximum aggregate amount of Revolving Loans that has been outstanding at any time under the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement. Interest payable on the Revolving Loan shall be 6-months U.S. LIBOR plus 5% per annum when the Maximum Drawn Support Amount is equal to or less than \$60 million, 6-months U.S. LIBOR plus 7% per annum when the Maximum Drawn Support Amount is greater than \$60 million but less than or equal to \$200 million, and 6-months U.S. LIBOR plus 8% per annum when the Maximum Drawn Support Amount is greater than \$200 million.

(ii) To the extent that the Maximum Drawn Support Amount at such time is greater than \$60 million but equal to or less than \$200 million, Total may, at its sole discretion, convert any Revolving Loan into a convertible debt facility (a “Convertible Loan”), pursuant to the terms of the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement, and any future Liquidity Injections will be, at Total S.A.’s option, in the form of a Convertible Loan, a Total S.A. Guaranty (defined below), or any other form of Liquidity Injection agreed to by us. Each Convertible Loan will be convertible into our common stock at a conversion price equal to the amount of debt being converted, divided by the closing price of our common stock on the trading day immediately preceding the conversion date at the option of Total S.A. at any time after (A) the Convertible Loan has not been repaid within 6 months; (B) our debt to EBITDA ratio exceeds 3.5 to 1.0; or (C) any time the Maximum Drawn Support Amount exceeds \$200 million. In addition, at the time of funding of each such Convertible Loan, we will issue to or as directed by Total S.A. a warrant, in the form of Exhibit A to the Compensation and Funding Agreement, that is exercisable for seven years to purchase an amount of our common stock equal to 25% of the amount of such Convertible Loan (if the Maximum Drawn Support Amount at such time is not in excess of \$200 million) or 35% of the amount of such Convertible Loan (to the extent that the Maximum Drawn Support Amount at such time is in excess of \$200 million), in each case, divided by the 30-Day VWAP as of the date of funding of such Convertible Loan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the aggregate exercise price of warrants issued in connection with Convertible Loans up to \$140 million may not exceed 25% of the maximum aggregate amount of such Convertible Loans that has been outstanding at any time under the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement, and the aggregate exercise price of warrants issued in connection with Convertible Loans in excess of \$140 million may not exceed 35% of the maximum aggregate amount of such Convertible Loans that has been outstanding at any time under the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement. Interest payable on the Convertible Loan shall be 6-months U.S. LIBOR plus 7% per annum for any Convertible Loan outstanding when the Maximum Drawn Support Amount is less than or equal to \$200 million, and 6-months U.S. LIBOR plus 8% per

annum when the Maximum Drawn Support Amount is greater than \$200 million.

(iii) If the Maximum Drawn Support Amount at such time exceeds \$200 million, or if our debt to EBITDA ratio exceeds 3.5 to 1.0, the Liquidity Injection will be in the form selected by Total S.A., at its complete discretion, as an additional Revolving Loan, an additional Convertible Loan, a purchase of our equity securities, pursuant to the terms of the Private Placement Agreement (as described below), a guarantee by Total S.A. of our indebtedness, pursuant to the form Guaranty set forth as Exhibit B to the Compensation and Funding Agreement (a “Guaranty”), or another form of Liquidity Injection acceptable to the applicable lender. We shall issue to Total S.A. warrants in connection with additional Revolving Loans or Convertible Loans as described above. If such Liquidity Injection is in the form of a purchase of equity securities, then we will issue to or as directed by Total S.A. a warrant, in the form of Exhibit A to the Compensation and Funding Agreement, that is exercisable for seven years to purchase an amount of our common stock equal to 25% of the amount of such Liquidity Injection divided by the 30-Day VWAP as of the date of such Liquidity Injection. Any common stock sold to Total S.A. under the Private Placement Agreement will be priced at a 17% discount from the 30-Day VWAP as of the date such common stock is sold, and may be rounded up, at Total S.A.’s option, from the required amount of such Liquidity Injection to the next integral multiple of \$25 million.

No warrants issued shall be exercisable so long as at least \$25 million of our existing convertible debt remains outstanding and such exercise will cause “any person,” including Total S.A., to, directly or indirectly, including through one or more wholly-owned subsidiaries, become the “beneficial owner” of more than 74.99% of the voting power of our common stock at such time. Loans made by Total S.A. under the Compensation and Funding Agreement shall be prepayable by us in agreed increments, so long as after giving effect to any such prepayment our Reported Liquidity and Project Liquidity would be at least \$125 million.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the incurrence of any such debt or issuance of any such warrants or equity securities would trigger a default under the terms of any of our existing debt agreements in an amount greater than \$10 million, Total S.A. will have the option, in its reasonable discretion, to provide a Liquidity Injection in an alternative form so as not to cause us to be in breach or default of any of our debt agreements. Notwithstanding the limitations on the form of Liquidity Injections described above, in connection with an actual or potential breach by us of our covenants under our Revolving Credit Agreement with Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (the “Credit Agricole Facility Agreement”), Total S.A. may make Liquidity Injections in the form of equity purchases sufficient to provide us with an “equity cure” under the Credit Agricole Facility Agreement, plus up to an additional \$25 million of equity purchases. In addition to the provision of any “equity cure,” Total S.A. may also in such event elect to lend money to us, in the form of Revolving Loans or Convertible Loans as described above, in order to repay amounts owing under the Credit Agricole Facility Agreement.

If Total S.A. is required to make any payment to a lender under a Guaranty, and if we do not repay such payment to Total S.A. within 30 days, the amount of such payment, plus interest, shall be convertible, at Total S.A.’s option, into a Revolving Loan, a Convertible Loan or a purchase of equity pursuant to the Private Placement Agreement, in each case upon notice from Total S.A. to us and in each case with warrant coverage as described above.

Termination. The Compensation and Funding Agreement will remain in effect as long as (i) any obligations of the Company remain outstanding under the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement or the Private Placement Agreement or (ii) any obligations of Total S.A. remain outstanding under any Guaranty.

Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement

In connection with the Liquidity Support Agreement, on February 28, 2012, we and Total entered into a Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement which establishes the terms and conditions for any Revolving Loans or Convertible Loans that may be provided to us pursuant to the Compensation and Funding Agreement described above. All Revolving Loans and Convertible Loans accrue interest as described above. All Revolving Loans are in an initial principal amount that is an integral multiple of \$1 million and not less than \$5 million, or the amount remaining available under the \$600 million Liquidity Support Facility. All Convertible Loans are in an initial principal amount that is an integral multiple of \$1 million and not less than \$10 million, or the amount remaining available under the \$600 million Liquidity Support Facility. All Revolving Loans or Convertible Loans must rank *pari passu* with our

existing senior indebtedness, and must be secured to the fullest extent permitted by our debt agreements. Total may demand prepayment of any Revolving Loans and/or Convertible Loans, provided that after such prepayment, we would maintain our Reported Liquidity of at least \$150 million and would not be in default of any financial covenant under our indebtedness; after the expiration of the Compensation and Funding Agreement, Total may demand prepayment without regard to these conditions.

Private Placement Agreement

In connection with the Liquidity Support Agreement, on February 28, 2012, we and Total entered into a Private Placement Agreement, pursuant to which we agreed to issue and sell to Total, and Total agreed to purchase from us, our common stock or warrants to purchase our common stock with respect to each Liquidity Injection as specified by the terms of the Compensation and Funding Agreement. The number of warrant shares, if any, and exercise price will be calculated in accordance with the Compensation and Funding Agreement, and any common stock sold under the Private Placement Agreement will be priced at a 17% discount from the 30-Day VWAP as of the date such common stock is sold.

The Tender Offer Agreement, Tender Offer Agreement Guaranty, Credit Support Agreement, Affiliation Agreement, Affiliation Agreement Guaranty, Research and Collaboration Agreement, Registration Rights Agreement, Rights Agreement Amendment, Second Rights Agreement Amendment and By-laws, and amendments thereto, are attached to, and more fully described in, our Form 8-Ks as filed with the SEC on May 2, 2011, June 7, 2011, June 15, 2011 and December 23, 2011, our Solicitation/Recommendation Statement on Form 14D-9 filed with the SEC on May 3, 2011, and our Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on November 2, 2012. The Tenesol Stock Purchase Agreement, the Private Placement Agreement and the Master Agreement are attached to, and more fully described in, our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 23, 2011 and Information Statement on Schedule 14C filed with the SEC on January 3, 2012. The Liquidity Support Agreement, the Compensation and Funding Agreement, the Upfront Warrant, the Revolving Credit and Convertible Loan Agreement, the Private Placement Agreement, and amendments thereto are attached to, and more fully described in, our Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012, our Information Statement on Schedule 14C filed with the SEC on March 22, 2012, and our Form 10-Q as filed with the SEC on November 2, 2012.

Sale of 0.75% Debentures Due 2018

On May 29, 2013, we issued \$300 million in aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2018 (the “2018 Debentures”) in a private offering. \$200 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Debentures were sold to Total by the initial purchasers of the 2018 Debentures. The 2018 Debentures are convertible into shares of our common stock at any time based on an initial conversion rate of 40.0871 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 2018 Debentures (which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$24.95 per share of our common stock), subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The holders of the 2018 Debentures may require us to repurchase their 2018 Debentures under certain circumstances. The 2018 Debentures are subject to redemption at our option under certain circumstances.

Sale of 0.875% Debentures Due 2021

On June 11, 2014, we issued \$400 million in aggregate principal amount of our 0.875% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2021 (the “2021 Debentures”) in a private offering. \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2021 Debentures were sold to Total by the initial purchasers of the 2021 Debentures. The 2021 Debentures are convertible into shares of our common stock at any time based on an initial conversion rate of 20.5071 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 2021 Debentures (which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$48.76 per share of our common stock), subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The holders of the 2021 Debentures may require us to repurchase their 2021 Debentures under certain circumstances. The 2021 Debentures are subject to redemption at our option under certain circumstances.

Project Co-Development Master Services Framework Agreements

In fiscal 2014, we entered into two master service agreements with Total that establish a framework under which, on the one hand, Total may request that we provide technical and market studies, product development, and project quotation services and, on the other hand, we may request that Total provide us with project management, technical assessment, financial and legal modeling and structuring, and IT support services, in connection with certain of our international project co-development initiatives. From the beginning of fiscal 2014 through March 2015, Total incurred \$430,000 in fees payable to us and we incurred \$750,000 in fees payable to Total under these service agreements.

EPC and O&M Services Agreements

In the ordinary course of our business, and as more fully described in our 2014 Annual Report, from time to time we enter into various EPC services and operations and maintenance services (“O&M services”) agreements relating to solar projects, including EPC services and O&M services agreements relating to projects owned or partially owned by Total or its affiliates. From the beginning of fiscal 2014 through March 2015, we received an aggregate of approximately \$151.2 million from EPC services and O&M services agreements in respect of projects in which Total has a direct or indirect material interest.

Other Agreements

In March 2014, we entered into an agreement with a Total affiliate under which we sold photovoltaic modules to such affiliate for approximately €2.2 million under the terms of our standard photovoltaic modules purchase agreement.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors serves as the representative of the Board of Directors with respect to its oversight of:

- our accounting and financial reporting processes and the audit of our financial statements;
- the integrity of our financial statements;
- our internal controls;
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and efficacy of and compliance with our corporate policies;
- the independent registered public accounting firm's appointment, qualifications and independence; and
- the performance of our internal audit function.

The Audit Committee also reviews the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, in the annual audit of financial statements and in assignments unrelated to the audit, and reviews the independent registered public accounting firm's fees.

The Audit Committee provides the Board such information and materials as it may deem necessary to make the Board aware of financial matters requiring the attention of the Board. The Audit Committee reviews our financial disclosures, and meets privately, outside the presence of our management, with our independent registered public accounting firm. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended December 28, 2014 with management, including a discussion of the quality and substance of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments made in connection with the audited financial statements, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee reports on these meetings to our Board of Directors.

Our management has primary responsibility for preparing our financial statements and for our financial reporting process. In addition, our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of our financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles, and

on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee reports as follows:

(1) The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2014 with our management.

(2) The Audit Committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, "Communications with Audit Committees" issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

(3) The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee regarding independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP its independence, including whether Ernst & Young LLP's provision of non-audit services to us is compatible with its independence.

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax services, and other services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. The policy provides for pre-approval by the Audit Committee (or its Chair pursuant to delegated authority) of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that fiscal year, the Audit Committee (or its Chair pursuant to delegated authority) must approve the specific service before the independent registered public accounting firm is engaged to perform such services for us.

Based on the review and discussion referred to in items (1) through (3) above, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board of Directors, and the Board approved, the inclusion of our audited financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2014, as filed with the SEC.

The foregoing report was submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board and shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A promulgated by the SEC or Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any prior or subsequent filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Thomas R. McDaniel, Chair
Catherine A. Lesjak
Pat Wood III

February 24, 2015

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our non-employee directors for fiscal 2014. The table does not include Mr. Werner, who did not receive separate compensation for his service on the Board.

2014 Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or		
	Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Total
	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)(3)	(\$)
Arnaud Chaperon	0	0	0
Bernard Clement	0	0	0
Denis Giorno	0	0	0
Catherine Lesjak (4)	106,752	299,925	406,677
Jean-Marc Otero del Val	0	0	0
Thomas R. McDaniel	100,075	299,925	400,000
Humbert de Wendel	0	0	0
Pat Wood III	125,075	299,925	425,000

The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate cash retainers and payments for fractional shares (1) received by the non-employee directors for fiscal 2014, but do not include amounts reimbursed to the non-employee directors for expenses incurred in connection with attending Board and committee meetings.

The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (or FASB) ASC Topic 718 for restricted stock units granted to our (2) non-employee directors in fiscal 2014, as further described below. Each non-employee director received the following grants of restricted stock units on the following dates with the following grant date fair values (please note that some amounts reported may not add up exactly due to rounding on an award-by-award basis):

<u>Non-Employee Director Grant Date Restricted Stock Units (#) Grant Date Fair Value (\$)</u>			
	02/11/2014	2,410	\$74,999
	05/12/2014	2,198	\$74,974
Catherine Lesjak	08/11/2014	2,122	\$74,970
	11/11/2014	2,553	\$74,982
Thomas R. McDaniel	02/11/2014	2,410	\$74,999

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form PRE 14A

	05/12/2014	2,198	\$74,974
	08/11/2014	2,122	\$74,970
	11/11/2014	2,553	\$74,982
	02/11/2014	2,410	\$74,999
Pat Wood III	05/12/2014	2,198	\$74,974
	08/11/2014	2,122	\$74,970
	11/11/2014	2,553	\$74,982

(3) As of December 28, 2014, the following non-employee directors held options for the following number of shares:
Mr. Wood held options for 48,000 shares.

(4) Fees earned or paid in cash include a payment of \$6,667 that was earned in 2013.

2014 Director Compensation Program

Our outside director compensation policy provides for the compensation set forth below for our non-employee directors, other than the Total-nominated directors:

• an annual fee of \$400,000 (\$100,000 quarterly) for our non-employee directors (other than the Chairman of the Board) for service on our Board and on Board committees;

• if our Chairman is an independent director, an annual fee of \$450,000 (\$112,500 quarterly) to our Chairman of the Board for service on our Board and on Board committees; and

- an additional annual fee of \$25,000 (\$6,250 quarterly) to the lead independent director.

Our policy provides that these annual fees are prorated on a quarterly basis for any director that joins the Board during the year. The \$25,000 additional fee payable to the lead independent director is paid in cash. Any fees payable to the Chairman of the Board are paid in the form of restricted stock units. The other fees are paid on a quarterly basis, 25% in cash on or about the date of the quarterly Board meeting and 75% in the form of fully-vested restricted stock units on the 11th day in the second month of each quarter (or on the next trading day if such day is not a trading day). The restricted stock units are settled in shares of our common stock within seven days of the date of grant. Because Mr. Werner is our President and Chief Executive Officer, he is not separately compensated for his service as Chairman of the Board. Similarly, because each of our Total-nominated directors do not qualify as independent directors under our director compensation policy, such individuals receive no director compensation.

PROPOSAL TWO

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

As required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, and Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are asking our stockholders to again vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC's rules.

As described in detail under the headings "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" and "*Executive Compensation*," we have adopted an executive compensation philosophy designed to deliver competitive total compensation to our executive officers upon the achievement of financial and strategic performance objectives. In order to implement that philosophy, the Compensation Committee has established a disciplined process for adopting executive compensation programs and individual executive officer pay actions that includes the analysis of competitive market data, a review of each executive officer's role, performance assessments and consultation with the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant. Please read the "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" beginning on page 37 and "*Executive Compensation*" beginning on page 47 for additional details about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal 2014 compensation of our named executive officers.

2014 Compensation Features. Our compensation programs are intended to align our executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance that meets or exceeds the goals that the Compensation Committee establishes with the objective of increasing stockholder value. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the compensation programs for our named executive officers to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current market practices. Among the program features incorporated by the Compensation Committee in fiscal 2014 to implement the executive compensation philosophy stated above are the following:

- Revenue, profitability and free cash flow metrics and corresponding performance targets along with corporate milestone performance targets determined the actual payouts under our performance-based cash bonus programs (specifically, the 2014 Annual Bonus Program and the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program) for our named executive officers.
- Long-term incentives in the form of time- and performance-based restricted stock units comprised a large portion of each named executive officer's compensation and are linked to the long-term performance of our stock. Restricted stock units generally vest over three years, and performance-based restricted stock units are earned only after the achievement of corporate performance targets and also vest over a three-year period.

- Earning performance-based restricted stock units depends on the achievement of performance targets corresponding to our revenue, profitability and free cash flow metrics.
- Individual performance was also measured each quarter based on each named executive officer's achievement of his or her personal Key Initiatives, which support our corporate, strategic and operational milestones.
- Our change of control severance agreements do not entitle our named executive officers to payment without termination of employment following a change of control (a "double trigger").

Our financial and operational performance was the key factor in the compensation decisions and outcomes for fiscal 2014, as further described in "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" and "*Executive Compensation.*" One of the core tenets of our executive compensation philosophy is our emphasis on performance pay. As highlighted in the Compensation Components chart in "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis,*" in fiscal 2014, a large portion of our named executive officers' target compensation (88% for our Chief Executive Officer and averaging 76% for our other named executive officers) consisted of annual and quarterly bonus programs and long-term equity incentives.

The Compensation Committee believes that our executive compensation programs, executive officer pay levels and individual pay actions approved for our executive officers, including our named executive officers, are directly aligned with our executive compensation philosophy and fully support its goals. Performance with respect to our revenue, profitability and free cash flow metric targets exceeded target performance levels in fiscal 2014, which resulted in performance-based restricted stock awards being earned at 124% of the target level. Our corporate performance in fiscal 2014 also resulted in aggregate cash bonus awards under our performance-based cash bonus programs above the target level. We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a “say-on-pay” proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers’ compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific compensation item, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, the Board recommends that our stockholders vote “FOR” the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that, on an advisory basis, the compensation of SunPower’s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related narratives and descriptions in SunPower’s proxy statement for the Annual Meeting, is hereby APPROVED.”

Vote Required

The non-binding advisory vote on named executive officer compensation requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our stock having voting power and in attendance or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. “Broker non-votes” have no effect and will not be counted towards the vote total for this proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of votes against this proposal.

Although the say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on us, the Compensation Committee or our Board, our Board and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders. To the extent there is any significant vote against our named executive officers’ compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we expect to consider our stockholders’ concerns and the Compensation Committee expects to evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

Next Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officers’ Compensation

In a non-binding advisory vote at our 2011 Annual Meeting, our stockholders recommended that a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of SunPower’s named executive officers be presented to stockholders for their consideration every year. In light of the result of this vote, our Board determined to implement a non-binding advisory stockholder vote on named executive officers’ compensation once every year. Therefore, the next non-binding

advisory stockholder vote on named executive officers' compensation is expected to occur at the 2016 annual stockholders meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES OF THE SEC ON A NON-BINDING, ADVISORY BASIS.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Certain information, as of April 10, 2015, regarding each of our executive officers is set forth below.

Name	Age	Position
Thomas H. Werner	55	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
Charles D. Boynton	47	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Howard J. Wenger	55	President, Business Units
Marty T. Neese	52	Chief Operating Officer
Lisa Bodensteiner	53	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
Douglas J. Richards	56	Executive Vice President, Administration
Eric Branderiz	50	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Mr. Thomas H. Werner has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since May 2010, a member of our Board since June 2003, and Chairman of the Board of Directors since May 2011. From June 2003 to April 2010, Mr. Werner served as our Chief Executive Officer. Before joining SunPower, from 2001 to 2003, he held the position of Chief Executive Officer of Silicon Light Machines, Inc., an optical solutions subsidiary of Cypress Semiconductor Corporation. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Werner was Vice President and General Manager of the Business Connectivity Group of 3Com Corp., a network solutions company. He has also held a number of executive management positions at Oak Industries, Inc. and General Electric Co., and currently serves as a board member of Cree, Inc., Silver Spring Networks, and the Silicon Valley Leadership Group. Mr. Werner is on the Board of Trustees of Marquette University. Mr. Werner holds a bachelor's degree in industrial engineering from the University of Wisconsin Madison, a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Marquette University and a master's degree in business administration from George Washington University.

Mr. Charles D. Boynton has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since March 2012. In March 2012, Mr. Boynton also served as our Acting Financial Officer. From June 2010 to March 2012, he served as our Vice President, Finance and Corporate Development, where he drove strategic investments, joint ventures, mergers and acquisitions, field finance and finance, planning and analysis. Before joining SunPower in June 2010, Mr. Boynton was the Chief Financial Officer for ServiceSource, LLC from April 2008 to June 2010. From March 2004 to April 2008 he served as the Chief Financial Officer at Intelliden. Earlier in his career, Mr. Boynton held key financial positions at Commerce One, Inc., Kraft Foods, Inc. and Grant Thornton, LLP. He is a member of the board of trustees of the San Jose Technology Museum of Innovation. Mr. Boynton was a certified public accountant, State of Illinois, and a Member FEI, Silicon Valley Chapter. Mr. Boynton earned his master's degree in business administration at Northwestern University and his Bachelor of Science degree in business from Indiana University.

Mr. Howard J. Wenger has served as our President, Business Units since October 2014. From November 2011 to October 2014, Mr. Wenger served as President, Regions. From January 2010 to October 2011, Mr. Wenger served as President, Utilities and Power Plants. From August 2008 to January 2010, Mr. Wenger served as President, Global

Business Units, and led all of our business units since January 2007 as an executive officer of the Company. From 2003 to 2007, Mr. Wenger served as Executive Vice President and a member of the board of directors of PowerLight Corporation, a solar system integration company that we acquired in January 2007 and subsequently renamed SunPower Corporation, Systems with Mr. Wenger serving as President. From 2000 to 2003, Mr. Wenger was Vice President, North American Business of AstroPower Inc., a solar power manufacturer and system provider acquired by General Electric, and from 1998 to 2000 he was the Director, Grid-Connected Business. From 1993 to 1998, Mr. Wenger co-founded and managed Pacific Energy Group, a solar power consulting firm and, from 1989 to 1993, Mr. Wenger worked for the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, a utility company in northern California, in both research and strategic planning of solar and distributed generation assets. Mr. Wenger holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in environmental studies from the University of California, Santa Barbara, and a Master of Science degree in engineering from the University of Colorado, Boulder.

Mr. Marty T. Neese has served as our Chief Operating Officer since June 2008. From October 2007 to June 2008, Mr. Neese served as Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations of Flextronics International Ltd., a manufacturing services company. From September 2004 to October 2007, Mr. Neese served in a variety of senior management positions at Solectron Corporation, a manufacturing services company, most recently as its Executive Vice President, Worldwide Operations. Mr. Neese also served in the U.S. Army for five years, reaching the rank of Captain. He is a graduate of the United States Military Academy at West Point. He received his master's degree in business administration from the University of Florida.

Ms. Lisa Bodensteiner has served as our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since June 2012. From October 2009 to June 2012, Ms. Bodensteiner served in roles with increasing responsibility, and most recently as General Counsel, Project Development at First Solar Inc. From October 2007 to April 2009, Ms. Bodensteiner served as Vice President and General Counsel at OptiSolar Inc., a privately held, vertically integrated solar energy producer, manufacturer of proprietary thin-film photovoltaic solar panels and developer of utility-scale solar farms. Before OptiSolar, Ms. Bodensteiner had more than a decade of experience at Calpine Corporation, serving in various legal roles including as Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer. From 1989 to 1996, Ms. Bodensteiner practiced as a transactional attorney at law firms. Ms. Bodensteiner earned a Bachelor of Science degree in business administration from the University of Nevada, Reno, and a J.D. from Santa Clara University.

Mr. Douglas J. Richards has served as our Executive Vice President, Administration since November 2011. From April 2010 to October 2011, Mr. Richards served as our Executive Vice President, Human Resources and Corporate Services. From September 2007 to March 2010, Mr. Richards served as our Vice President, Human Resources and Corporate Services. From 2006 to 2007, Mr. Richards was Vice President of Human Resources and Administration for SelectBuild, a construction services company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of BMHC, and from 2000 to 2006, Mr. Richards was Senior Vice President of Human Resources and Administration for BlueArc, a provider of high performance unified network storage systems to enterprise markets. Before BlueArc, Mr. Richards spent 10 years at Compaq Computer Corporation and five years at Apple Computer, Inc. in various management positions. Mr. Richards graduated from California State University, Chico, with a Bachelor of Arts degree in public administration.

Mr. Eric Branderiz has served as our Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer since August 2012, and was Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer from September 2011 to July 2012. From March 2013 to September 2014, Mr. Branderiz also served as our Senior Vice President, Global RLC Operations and Finance. From June 2010 to August 2011, Mr. Branderiz served as our Vice President and Corporate Controller. Mr. Branderiz was the Vice President, Corporate Controller, Treasurer, and Head of Subsidy Business Operations for the Knowledge Universe (KU) from May 2009 to May 2010. Before KU, he served in various positions at Spansion, Inc. from June 2003 to April 2009, including as the Corporate Vice President, Corporate Finance & Corporate Controller. Before Spansion's initial public offering, Mr. Branderiz served in several concurrent capacities as Corporate Controller, Head of Corporate Financial Planning & Analysis, Head of Regional Sales & Marketing Finance, and Internal Controls. Before Spansion, Mr. Branderiz held various positions at Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., including Americas Regional Controller; he also held positions at Ernst & Young, LLP, and the Provincial Branch of Consumer & Corporate Affairs, Alberta Securities Commission and Treasury Departments in Canada. He is a California licensed Certified Public Accountant and earned a Business Commerce degree from the University of Alberta, Canada.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides a detailed review and analysis of our compensation policies and programs that applied to our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 28, 2014. Our named executive officers, as set forth in the following table, were our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and the next three most highly-compensated executive officers serving as of December 28, 2014.

Name	Title
Thomas H. Werner	President and Chief Executive Officer
Charles D. Boynton	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Howard J. Wenger	President, Business Units
Marty T. Neese	Chief Operating Officer
Lisa Bodensteiner	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Executive Summary

Our compensation programs are intended to align our named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance that meets or exceeds the goals that the Compensation Committee establishes with the ultimate objective of increasing stockholder value. We have adopted an executive compensation philosophy designed to deliver competitive total compensation upon the achievement of financial and strategic performance objectives. The total compensation received by our named executive officers varies based on corporate and individual performance, as measured against performance goals. Therefore, a significant portion of each named executive officer's total pay is tied to Company performance (see the "2014 Compensation Components" chart below).

We delivered strong financial and operational results for fiscal 2014:

- We grew revenues in fiscal 2014 to \$3.0 billion.
- We achieved total installed capacity of more than 4.5 GW.

• Construction of the 579 MW Solar Star Projects for Berkshire Hathaway Energy and Southern California Edison continued on plan with more than 400 MW connected to the grid at the end of fiscal 2014.

- We completed construction of our 70 MW Salvador project in Chile, the world largest merchant power plant.

We expanded our footprint in China as we signed an agreement for a second joint venture to leverage our technology in the world's largest solar market.

- We ended the year with a commercial project pipeline of \$1.4 billion.

We saw continuing growth in our North American residential business as we surpassed 110,000 U.S. residential customers in 2014, of which more than 27,000 are leasing.

We announced several strategic investments that enhanced our capabilities in Smart Energy, including commercial relationships with Sunverge Energy, Inc. in the area of residential storage and Tendril, Inc. in energy information and management software.

We further expanded our technology portfolio in Smart Energy with microinverters through our acquisition of SolarBridge Technologies, Inc., which gives us a leadership position in the emerging alternating current photovoltaics market.

In the third quarter, we achieved a major milestone as we produced our one billionth solar cell, representing a cumulative output of more than three gigawatts.

We achieved record yields for our Maxeon Gen 3 next generation solar cell technology and commenced construction of our fourth cell manufacturing facility with initial production scheduled to start in 2015.

For fiscal 2014, our financial performance was the key factor in the compensation decisions and outcomes for the year. In fiscal 2014, the highlights of our named executive officer compensation program were as follows:

- Our annual bonus program incorporated financial metrics that we believe align our compensation practices with our business goals and, correspondingly, align executives' interests with stockholders' interests. Achievement of performance targets related to our revenue, profitability and free cash flow metrics, along with achievement of our corporate milestone performance targets and individual personal milestones determined the actual payouts under our performance-based cash bonus programs (specifically, the 2014 Annual Bonus Program and the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program) for our named executive officers. Our corporate performance in fiscal 2014 resulted in aggregate cash bonus awards under these programs above the target level. Performance metrics, thresholds and targets are further described below in "*Executive Compensation—Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.*"

- Long-term incentives in the form of time- and performance-based restricted stock units comprised more than 50% of each named executive officer's compensation and were linked to the long-term performance of our stock. Restricted stock units generally vest over three years. Performance-based restricted stock units are earned only after the achievement of corporate performance targets and, to the extent earned, also vest over a three-year period.

- Our performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2014 would only be earned if we achieved performance targets set in respect of our revenue, profitability and free cash flow metrics. Performance with respect to the revenue, profitability and free cash flow metric targets exceeded the target performance levels, which resulted in 124% of these equity awards being earned. Performance metrics, thresholds and targets are further described below in "*Executive Compensation—Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.*"

- Individual performance was also measured quarterly, based on each named executive officer's achievement of his or her personal Key Initiatives, which support our corporate, strategic and operational milestones. An individual's personal Key Initiative score would result in no award being payable under the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program even if we achieved our corporate targets if the Key Initiative score were determined to be zero. We made payments under our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program after we exceeded the target and, in some cases, maximum performance levels.

- In fiscal 2014, we did not raise the salary of any of our other named executive officers, including Mr. Werner, our Chief Executive Officer.

- Our change of control severance agreements do not entitle our named executive officers to payment without termination of employment following a change of control.

In fiscal 2014 a large portion of our named executive officers' target compensation (88% for our Chief Executive Officer and averaging 76% for our other named executive officers) consisted of quarterly and annual bonus programs and long-term equity incentives.

At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders voted to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in the proxy statement for that meeting. We refer to this vote as our Say-on-Pay vote. Our Compensation Committee considered the results of the Say-on-Pay vote (which received 90% approval of the votes cast) at its meetings after the Say-on-Pay vote when it set annual executive compensation. After our Compensation Committee reviewed the stockholders' approval of the Say-on-Pay vote in 2014, our Compensation Committee decided to maintain the general framework of our fiscal 2013 compensation policies and programs for our named executive officers in fiscal 2014 as it believed such programs continued to be in the best interest of our stockholders.

The following discussion should be read together with the information we present in the compensation tables, the footnotes and narratives to those tables and the related disclosure appearing in “*Executive Compensation*” below.

General Philosophy and Objectives

In fiscal 2014, we continued to operate a compensation program designed primarily to reward our named executive officers for outstanding financial performance and achievement of corporate objectives consistent with increasing long-term stockholder value. Our compensation program continued to be based on the following principal goals:

- aligning executive compensation with business objectives and performance;
- enabling us to attract, retain and reward executive officers who contribute to our long-term success;
- attracting and retaining the best people in the industry; and
- providing long-term incentives to executives to work to maximize stockholder value.

In order to implement our philosophy, the Compensation Committee has a disciplined process for adopting executive compensation programs and individual executive officer pay actions that includes the analysis of competitive market data, a review of each executive officer’s role, performance assessments and consultation with the Compensation Committee’s independent compensation consultant, as described below.

The Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that rewards the achievement of specific corporate and financial goals by rewarding our named executive officers when those goals are met or exceeded, with the ultimate objective of increasing stockholder value. In addition, we believe the mix of base salary, performance-based cash awards and equity-based awards provides proper incentives without encouraging excessive risk taking. We believe that the risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.

Compensation Setting Process

The Compensation Committee is responsible for managing the compensation of our executive officers, including our named executive officers, in a manner consistent with our compensation philosophy. In accordance with the “controlled company” exception under the applicable listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market, our Compensation Committee is composed of two independent directors and two directors designated by our controlling stockholder, Total. We also have a Section 16/162(m) Subcommittee of the Compensation Committee consisting solely of independent directors available to approve certain compensation matters in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Code, as recommended by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee establishes our compensation philosophy and objectives and annually reviews and, as necessary and appropriate, adjusts each named executive officer’s compensation. Consistent with its philosophy, the Compensation Committee offered our named executive officers total target compensation opportunities ranging from the 50th percentile to the 75th percentile of our peer group of companies (as further described below) during fiscal 2014. When determining appropriate compensation for the named executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered the advice of an independent compensation consultant, recommendations from management and internal compensation specialists, practices of companies within our peer group, our performance, our business plan and individual performance. As part of this process, the compensation consultant prepared a competitive analysis of our compensation program, and management presented its recommendations regarding base salary, time- and performance-based equity awards and performance targets under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program and 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program to the Compensation Committee for its review and consideration. The Compensation Committee accepts, rejects or accepts as modified, management’s various recommendations regarding compensation for the named executive officers other than our Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee also approves, after modification, management’s recommendations on various performance targets and milestones. The Compensation Committee met without our Chief Executive Officer when reviewing and establishing his compensation.

Compensation Consultant and Peer Group

In fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee again directly engaged and retained Radford, a compensation consulting firm and a business unit of Aon Hewitt, to identify and maintain a list of our peer group of companies. The Compensation Committee selected Radford on the basis of its experience and familiarity with the technology industry. The Compensation Committee established the peer group used in connection with fiscal 2014 compensation decisions consistent with the Compensation Committee’s belief that the peer group should closely match our business, and be based on our historical and anticipated growth. In comparison to our peer group used for purposes of setting fiscal 2013 compensation, our peer group in fiscal 2014 remained unchanged except for the removal of one company, GT Advanced Technologies, Inc., which was removed for multiple reasons, including that it is no longer a publicly traded company. The peer group was selected using a mix of the following factors:

- Publicly-traded North American semiconductor, alternative energy and clean technology companies;

- Companies with between 50% and 250% of our annual revenues; and

Companies that are comparable in other size and performance metrics such as number of employees, revenue per employee, last fiscal year revenue and net income, market capitalization, ratio of market capitalization to revenue, and market capitalization per employee.

The Compensation Committee believes the characteristics of our fiscal 2014 peer group closely match those of our core business. The companies included in our peer group for purposes of establishing fiscal 2014 compensation are listed below:

- Altera Corporation
- Analog Devices, Inc.
- AVX Corporation
- Energizer Holdings, Inc.
- Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc.
- First Solar, Inc.
- FLIR Systems, Inc.
- Juniper Networks, Inc.
- KLA-Tencor Corporation
- Linear Technology Corporation
- ON Semiconductor Corporation
- Quanta Services, Inc.
- Roper Industries, Inc.
- SunEdison, Inc.

- Hexcel Corporation
- International Rectifier
- Itron, Inc.
- JDS Uniphase Corporation
- Trimble Navigation Limited
- Waters Corporation
- Xilinx, Inc.

Radford provided the Committee with competitive market information on the peer companies, as well as aggregated data on the broader technology market with respect to base salaries, cash bonus awards as a percentage of base salaries, total cash compensation, and equity awards. In fiscal 2014, Radford also advised the Compensation Committee in connection with evaluating our compensation practices, developing and implementing our executive compensation program and philosophy, establishing total compensation targets, and setting specific compensation components to reach the determined total compensation targets. We also participated in the Radford Global Technology Survey. Radford did not provide any services to us other than advising the Compensation Committee and us, at the direction of the Compensation Committee, on executive compensation issues. We have considered and assessed all relevant factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in Rule 10C-1(b)(4)(i) through (vi) under the Exchange Act, that could give rise to a potential conflict of interest with respect to the compensation consultant described above. Based on this review, we are not aware of any conflict of interest that has been raised by the work performed by Radford.

Benchmarking

In making its compensation decisions for our named executive officers for fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee benchmarked each named executive officer's total compensation to the compensation of individuals in comparative positions at companies in the peer group based on information that management obtained from public filings supplemented by data Radford provided from surveys. In general, the Compensation Committee initially established base salaries at the 50th percentile of the peer group and both performance-based cash bonus awards and long-term time- and performance-based equity awards generally above the 50th percentile of the peer group. In establishing incentive opportunities, the Compensation Committee focused on corporate performance so that if our corporate performance was achieved at target levels, the Compensation Committee expected that our named executive officers' total pay would be between the 50th percentile of the peer group and the 75th percentile of the peer group. The Compensation Committee viewed benchmarking as just the beginning, and not the end, of its discussion regarding our named executive officers' pay opportunities for fiscal 2014, and looked to individual performance, the named executive officer's experience in the executive role, and the executive's scope of responsibility being narrower or broader than that of comparable positions at our peer group companies to establish final pay opportunities either above or below the initial benchmarks. The Compensation Committee considered the individual performance of the named executive officers in approving their compensation in fiscal 2014, in particular:

- Mr. Werner was recognized for exceeding financial targets and driving SunPower's strategic shift towards becoming an Energy Services Provider;

-

Mr. Boynton was recognized for successfully closing several new financing transactions, including non-recourse project debt, residential tax equity, and back-leverage transactions, as well as SunPower's \$400 million aggregate principal amount convertible debt offering; additionally, Mr. Boynton was noted for his leadership implementing SunPower's holdco and YieldCo strategies;

- Mr. Wenger was recognized for successfully building out the Solar Star projects on plan;

Mr. Neese was recognized for improving customer service through on-time delivery and efficient inventory management; and

Ms. Bodensteiner was recognized for her leadership closing numerous financings and strategically important business transactions.

The Compensation Committee believes that consideration of such factors, among others, strongly links our named executive officers' pay to their and our performance, and best aligns our named executive officers' compensation interests with the interests of our stockholders.

2014 Compensation Components

For fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee allocated total compensation among various pay elements consisting of base salary, performance-based cash bonus awards, time-based equity awards, performance-based equity awards, and perquisites and other compensation. The table below provides an overview of each element of compensation and is followed by a further discussion and analysis of the specific decisions that we made for each element for fiscal 2014:

Compensation Component	Objective and Basis	Form	Practice
Base salary	Fixed compensation that is set at a competitive level for each position to reward demonstrated experience and skills.	Cash	Competitive market ranges are generally established at the 50 th percentile, with consideration for experience and scope of role relative to comparable positions in one peer group.
Performance-based cash bonus awards	Quarterly and annual incentives that drive our performance and align executives' interests with stockholders' interests.	Cash	Target incentives are set as a percentage of base salary and are based on benchmarking from the 50 th to the 75 th percentile. Actual payment is calculated based on achievement of corporate and individual goals.
Time-based equity awards	Long-term incentive that aligns executives' interests with stockholders' interests and helps retain executives through long-term vesting periods.	Restricted stock units	Equity awards (time-based plus performance-based) generally between the 50 th percentile and the 75 th percentile.
Performance-based equity awards	Long-term incentive that drives our performance and aligns executives' interests with stockholders' interests and helps retain executives through long-term vesting periods.	Performance stock units	Equity awards (time-based plus performance-based) generally between the 50 th percentile and the 75 th percentile. Actual payment is calculated based on achievement of corporate goals.
Perquisites and other compensation	Offered to attract and retain talent and to maintain competitive compensation packages.	Various	Named executive officers are eligible to participate in health and welfare benefits and 401(k) matching available to all employees. We do not provide any special perquisites to our named executive officers. Named executive officers are eligible for certain severance benefits.

The relative proportion of each element for fiscal 2014 was based generally on the Compensation Committee's comparison of compensation that we offered our executive officers against compensation offered by peer group companies to their executive officers, the tax and accounting consequences of certain types of equity compensation, and a desire to allocate a higher proportion of total compensation to performance-based and equity incentive awards.

The components of compensation for the named executive officers for fiscal 2014 are set forth below. This composition is consistent with our philosophy of aligning our named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by tying a significant portion of their total compensation to corporate performance goals and providing long-term incentives in the form of equity awards.

2014 Compensation Components

Analysis of Fiscal 2014 Compensation Decisions

Base Salary. For fiscal 2014, none of the named executive officers received an increase in base salary after we evaluated competitive market compensation paid by companies in our competitive peer group for similar positions. We believe that base salaries for executive officers should be initially targeted at the 50th percentile of the range of salaries for executive officers in similar positions and with similar responsibilities at comparable companies. Our Chief Executive Officer's base salary is targeted below the 50th percentile, as we believe that his compensation should be more closely aligned with our overall performance. This initial benchmarking is consistent with our overall compensation philosophy, a significant component of which is to help us best attract, retain and equitably reward our executives.

The table below sets forth the salaries in effect in fiscal 2014 compared with the salaries in effect in fiscal 2013 for each of our named executive officers:

Name	2013 Base Salary (1)	2014 Base Salary (2)	% Increase
Thomas H. Werner	\$600,000	\$600,000	0%
Charles D. Boynton	\$425,000	\$425,000	0%
Howard J. Wenger	\$450,000	\$450,000	0%
Marty T. Neese	\$450,000	\$450,000	0%
Lisa Bodensteiner	\$380,000	\$380,000	0%

(1) These amounts represent 2013 base salaries after April 1, 2013.

(2) These amounts represent 2014 base salaries after April 1, 2014.

Our Compensation Committee approves the salary for each of our named executive officers. For those named executive officers below the Chief Executive Officer level, our Compensation Committee takes into account the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations. Our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations concerning the base salaries of the other named executive officers were approved by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews base salaries annually, and adjusts base salaries from time to time to align salaries with market levels, based on the information provided by Radford and after taking into account an individual's prior performance, experience,

importance of position and expected future performance. Based on information presented to our Compensation Committee by Radford regarding market ranges for salaries at peer group companies, we determined that our named executive officers' 2014 base salaries were generally at approximately the 50th percentile of our peer group of companies. The Compensation Committee also considered the named executive officer's experience in the executive role or the executive's scope of responsibility compared to that of comparable positions at our peer group companies.

Performance-Based Cash Bonus Awards. As in the prior fiscal year, we maintained two performance-based cash bonus programs during fiscal 2014. The first program was our Annual Executive Bonus Plan, under which we adopted the 2014 Annual Bonus Program. The second program was our Executive Quarterly Key Initiative Bonus Plan, which is effective quarterly on an ongoing basis and which, for fiscal 2014, we refer to as our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. We have two cash bonus programs in order to link bonus payments both to corporate financial goals and operational objectives and to individual performance goals.

Because we generally set base salaries for our executive officers at the 50th percentile, we rely on performance-based cash bonus awards to elevate target total cash compensation to between the 50th percentile and the 75th percentile. For each named executive officer, an overall target bonus opportunity was established. The target bonus opportunity was set at the 75th percentile for each named executive officer, except for Mr. Wenger and our Chief Executive Officer, whose target bonus opportunities were set above the 75th percentile through our benchmarking process, and the desired position of total target cash compensation. We believe that performance-based cash bonus awards, and target total cash compensation should be more closely aligned with our overall performance, and hence higher target bonus opportunities in order to promote a variable, performance-oriented total compensation philosophy.

In fiscal 2014, we again allocated three-quarters of each named executive officer's aggregate annual target cash bonus awards under the 2014 Annual Bonus Program and one-quarter under the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. Our Compensation Committee retained this allocation in order to further our goal of tying a significant proportion of our named executive officers' incentive compensation to our full fiscal year operating and financial results. Our Compensation Committee approved the individual bonus program incentive level for our Chief Executive Officer and for each named executive officer below the Chief Executive Officer level. The table below summarizes the total target payout, including awards under the Annual Bonus Programs and the Quarterly Bonus Programs, as a percentage of annual base salary, for each named executive officer during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014. For 2014, the Compensation Committee increased the target payout under these programs as a percentage of annual salary for each of our named executive officers after it evaluated competitive market compensation paid by companies in our peer group for similar positions, individual performance and the scope of the named executive officer roles.

Name	2013 Total	2014 Total	2014 Quarterly Bonus Program	2014 Annual Bonus Program Target
	Target Payout (including Annual and Quarterly Programs) as Percentage of Annual Salary	Target Payout (including Annual and Quarterly Programs) as Percentage of Annual Salary	Target Payout as Percentage of Annual Salary	Payout as Percentage of Annual Salary
Thomas H. Werner	150%	200%	50%	150%
Charles D. Boynton	80%	90%	22.5%	67.5%
Howard J. Wenger	90%	100%	25%	75%
Marty T. Neese	80%	90%	22.5%	67.5%
Lisa Bodensteiner	70%	80%	20%	60%

Both the 2014 Annual Bonus Program and the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program are formula-driven, and the formulas are used to calculate actual bonus payments for each named executive officer. See “*Executive Compensation—Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*” below for more information about these formulas.

Payments to our named executive officers under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program required our achieving targets established in respect of our: annual revenue metric (27% of payment), annual profitability metric (33% of payment), and annual free cash flow metric (40% of payment). The targets were set by the Compensation Committee on the basis of the operating plan approved by our Board at the beginning of 2014. The operating plan was based on our history of growth and expectations regarding our future growth, as well as potential challenges in achieving such growth. The performance targets were established to be challenging for our named executive officers to achieve. In 2014, we achieved 109% of the annual revenue target, 114% of the annual profitability target, and 143% of the annual free cash flow target; therefore, our named executive officers earned bonus amounts for all portions of the 2014 Annual Bonus Program. Such bonus amounts are reflected in the “*2014 Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*” table below.

Payments to our named executive officers under our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program required our achieving targets set in respect of our quarterly profitability metric and quarterly corporate milestones, as well as each individual achieving quarterly personal milestones that we refer to as the personal Key Initiatives. These metrics, thresholds and targets are further described below in “*Executive Compensation—Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.*” The Board approved our targets in respect of our quarterly profitability metric at the beginning of each quarter of 2014. If the threshold targets in respect of our quarterly profitability metric and corporate milestones were achieved, then bonus payouts were determined based on each named executive officer’s achievement of Key Initiatives established for the quarter. Like the 2014 Annual Bonus Program, the targets were set to be challenging goals for our named executive officers to achieve.

We incorporate a “management by objective” system throughout our organization to establish performance goals that supplement our financial goals. Management establishes five-year corporate milestones, and then derives from them annual and quarterly corporate milestones. Each milestone is reviewed, revised and approved, and subsequently the scores reviewed and approved, by our Board. In addition, for fiscal 2014, each named executive officer, other than our Chief Executive Officer, established quarterly personal Key Initiatives which were approved by the Chief Executive Officer and were in line with each quarter’s corporate milestones. Quarterly corporate milestones in fiscal 2014 included sensitive business objectives applicable to our entire company, focusing on confidential cost targets, major customer transactions, new product development, manufacturing plans, process enhancements, and inventory turns. For fiscal 2014, personal Key Initiative objectives included executing on confidential cost and revenue targets, achieving liquidity objectives, product development, market expansion, manufacturing and process efficiencies, among others. The Chief Executive Officer’s Key Initiatives consisted solely of the quarterly corporate milestones that our Board approved after discussion with the Chief Executive Officer. These corporate milestones and personal objectives are typically challenging in nature and designed to encourage the individual to achieve success in his position during the performance period. In fiscal 2012, we achieved an average of 87% of our corporate milestones and an average of 85% of the personal Key Initiatives for our 2012 named executive officers. In fiscal 2013, we achieved an average of 83% of our corporate milestones and an average of 85% of the personal Key Initiatives for our 2013 named executive officers.

In fiscal 2014, the thresholds in respect of our quarterly profitability metric under our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program were exceeded and achieved at the maximum level. The quarterly corporate milestone scores ranged from 76% to 94% and averaged 83% for the four quarters of fiscal 2014. The threshold performance for these quarterly corporate milestone scores was achieved in three of the four quarters of fiscal 2014. The combined personal Key Initiative scores for our named executive officers ranged from 63% to 89%, and averaged 81% for the four quarters of fiscal 2014. Actual payments were determined based on each individual’s attainment of personal Key Initiatives. Bonus amounts are reflected in the following table:

2014 Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

	2014 Quarterly Bonus Program Compensation				2014 Annual	Total
	Q1 Payout	Q2 Payout	Q3 Payout	Q4 Payout	Bonus Program Compensation Payout	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation
	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)
Thomas H. Werner	57,466	35,850	75,094	88,444	1,119,094	1,375,948
Charles D. Boynton	20,002	14,239	27,567	27,432	356,711	445,951
Howard J. Wenger	27,730	15,246	28,659	30,846	419,660	522,141
Marty T. Neese	24,609	11,441	22,449	25,471	377,694	461,665
Lisa Bodensteiner	17,020	10,094	0	20,306	283,504	330,924

(1)

The payments under the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program were made after the end of each fiscal quarter, after the achievement of targets in respect of the quarterly profitability metric were measured, the named executive's officer's Key Initiative scores were measured and the quarterly corporate milestone scores were measured.

Equity Awards. Our Compensation Committee believes that long-term company performance is best achieved by an ownership culture that encourages long-term performance by our executive officers through the use of equity-based awards. Our Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, or 2005 Equity Plan, permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted stock units, performance shares, and other stock-based awards. Consistent with our goal to attract, retain and reward the best available talent, and in light of our setting our total direct compensation above the 50th percentile of our peer group, we targeted long-term equity awards generally approximating the 75th percentile of our peer group. In fiscal 2014, our long-term equity awards ranged from below the 50th percentile to the 75th percentile of our peer group. Our Chief Executive Officer's long-term equity awards were at the 50th percentile in order to align his compensation with stockholder returns. Our other named executive officers' long-term equity awards were above the 50th percentile if the scope of their responsibilities was significantly broader than that of executives in similar positions at peer companies. The Compensation Committee then allocated long-term equity awards between time-based and performance-based restricted stock units. We believe that time-based restricted stock units provide a more effective retention tool while performance-based restricted stock units provide a stronger performance driver. The Compensation Committee decided that annual long-term equity incentive awards granted in fiscal 2014 would be made half in the form of performance-based restricted stock units (which could be earned in amounts between 0% and 150% of the target amount) and half in the form of time-based restricted stock units, all of which would vest over three years.

Awards granted and earned in fiscal 2014 were as follows:

Name	Time-Based Restricted Stock Units	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units (Target)	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Earned
Thomas H. Werner	50,000	50,000	62,170
Charles D. Boynton	17,000	17,000	21,138
Howard J. Wenger	17,000	17,000	21,138
Marty T. Neese	17,000	17,000	21,138
Lisa Bodensteiner	13,400	13,400	16,662

Performance-based restricted stock units were used as incentive compensation during fiscal 2014 to align our named executive officers' compensation with corporate performance. In connection with our annual review of executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee approved performance targets in respect of our: annual revenue metric (27% of the award), annual profitability metric (33% of the award) and annual free cash flow metric (40% of the award), and a formula under which actual awards would be calculated after completion of the 2014 fiscal year. See "*Executive Compensation—Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*" below for more information about the metrics, the targets and the formula.

These performance metrics were selected on the basis of the operating plan approved by our Board after considering our history of growth and expectations regarding our future growth, as well as potential challenges in achieving such growth. The targets were intended to constitute a challenging goal, without certainty of achievement. In fiscal 2014, our named executive officers achieved 109% of the annual revenue metric target, 114% of the annual profitability metric target and 143% of the annual free cash flow metric target. The performance-based restricted stock units earned by our named executive officers as a result of achievement of the corporate performance targets units began vesting in three equal annual installments, subject to continued service, starting March 1, 2015.

Time-based equity awards were used in fiscal 2014 as a retention tool and to align our named executive officers' interests with long-term stockholder value creation. In connection with our annual review of executive officer compensation, we awarded restricted stock units to named executive officers in fiscal 2014 that began vesting in three equal annual installments, subject to continued service, starting March 1, 2015.

Perquisites and Other Compensation. As in prior years, perquisites were not a material portion of our named executive officers' compensation packages for fiscal 2014. We provided certain perquisites and other health and welfare and retirement benefits, such as health, vision, and life insurance coverage and participation in and matching contributions under our 401(k) defined contribution plan, which benefits are generally available to all employees. For more information about these arrangements and benefits, see footnote four to the "*2014 Summary Compensation Table*"

below.

Pension Benefits. None of our named executive officers participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation. None of our named executive officers participate in or have account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Employment and Severance Arrangements

Change in Control Arrangements. During fiscal 2014, we had employment agreements with our named executive officers that provided for change of control severance arrangements. The change of control severance arrangements generally entitle each named executive officer to certain calculated payments tied to base salary and bonus targets and accelerated vesting of his outstanding equity awards, but only upon an actual or constructive termination of employment in connection with a change of control of the company (a “double trigger” arrangement). These arrangements were adopted to reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of members of management to their assigned duties without the distraction arising from the possibility of a change of control, and to enable and encourage management to focus attention on obtaining the best possible outcome for our stockholders without being influenced by personal concerns regarding the possible impact of various transactions on job security and benefits. For more information, see “*Executive Compensation—Employment Agreements*” and “*Executive Compensation—Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control.*”

Severance Arrangements. In April 2013, we adopted a 2014 Management Career Transition Plan to replace a similar plan adopted in 2011, which expired the same year. This severance plan generally entitles each named executive officer to certain calculated payments tied to salary and bonus targets, healthcare benefits, and outplacement assistance if the individual is terminated without cause. Under his employment agreement, our Chief Executive Officer also receives limited accelerated vesting of outstanding equity awards if terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason.

The Compensation Committee believes that the 2014 Management Career Transition Plan provides benefits that are consistent with industry practice. We believe that entering into change of control and severance arrangements with certain of our executives has helped us attract and retain excellent executive talent and that offering standard packages avoids case-by-case negotiations. Without these provisions, these executives may not have chosen to accept employment with us or remain employed by us. The severance arrangements also promote stability and continuity in our senior management team. For more information about the named executive officers' change of control and severance arrangements, please see "*Executive Compensation Employment Agreements*," "*Executive Compensation—2014 Management Career Transition Plan*" and "*Executive Compensation Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control*" below.

Section 162(m) Considerations

Under Section 162(m) of the Code, we are generally denied deductions for compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer and the next three most highly compensated executive officers (other than our Chief Financial Officer) to the extent the compensation for any such individual exceeds one million dollars for the taxable year, unless the compensation qualifies as "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Code. Our Compensation Committee may take action to preserve the deductibility of compensation payable to our executives, although deductibility will be only one among a number of factors considered in determining appropriate levels or methods of compensation. The Compensation Committee believes that the tax deduction limitation should not be permitted to compromise our ability to design and maintain executive compensation arrangements that will attract and retain the executive talent we need to compete successfully. Accordingly, achieving the desired flexibility in the design and delivery of compensation may result in compensation that in certain cases is not deductible for federal income tax purposes.

Other Disclosures

Under our insider trading policy, our executive officers, directors and employees are prohibited from engaging in short sales of our securities, establishing margin accounts or otherwise pledging our securities, hedging our securities or buying or selling options, puts or calls on our securities.

We do not maintain any equity or other security ownership guidelines or requirements for our executives. We do not have a policy regarding adjustment or recovery of awards or payments if the relevant performance goals or measures upon which they are based are restated or otherwise adjusted so that awards or payments are reduced.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**Compensation of Named Executive Officers**

The 2014 Summary Compensation Table below quantifies the compensation for each of the named executive officers for services rendered during fiscal 2014 and, as applicable, fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012. The primary elements of each named executive officer's total compensation during fiscal 2014 are reported in the table below and include, among others, base salary, performance-based cash bonuses under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program and 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program, awards of restricted stock units subject to time-based vesting, and awards of performance-based restricted stock units subject to achievement of financial targets and subsequent time-based vesting.

2014 Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity		Total
					Incentive Plan (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)	
Thomas H. Werner, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2014	600,000	—	2,985,000	1,375,948	25,666	4,986,614
Charles D. Boynton Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	600,000	—	7,056,530	1,161,714	27,302	8,845,546
Howard J. Wenger, President, Business Units	2012	600,000	—	3,228,750	1,051,980	19,482	4,900,212
	2014	425,000	—	1,014,900	445,951	29,139	1,914,991
	2013	419,615	—	1,874,600	436,855	27,244	2,758,314
	2012	336,710	—	1,310,000	334,602	19,281	2,000,593
	2014	450,000	—	1,014,900	522,141	9,348	1,996,389
	2013	450,000	—	2,008,500	529,446	9,097	2,997,043
	2012	436,539	—	1,076,250	457,326	3,618	1,973,733
Marty T. Neese, Chief Operating Officer	2014	450,000	—	1,014,900	461,665	22,929	1,949,464
	2013	450,000	—	1,874,600	468,394	21,736	2,814,730
	2012	440,577	—	1,076,250	415,955	14,893	1,947,675
Lisa Bodensteiner Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2014	380,000	10,000	799,980	330,924	29,035	1,549,938

(1) The amounts reported in this column for 2014 reflect each named executive officer's salary for 2014 plus payments for paid and unpaid time off, and holidays.

(2)

The amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” column for 2014 represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of stock awards granted during the year (time-based and performance-based restricted stock units), excluding the effect of certain forfeiture assumptions. For the performance-based restricted stock units reported in this column for 2014, such amounts are based on the probable outcome of the relevant performance conditions as of the grant date. Assuming that the highest level of performance is achieved for these awards, the grant date fair value of the performance-based restricted stock unit awards would be: Mr. Werner, \$2,238,750; Mr. Boynton, \$761,175; Mr. Wenger, \$761,175; Mr. Neese, \$761,175; and Ms. Bodensteiner, \$599,985. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements in our 2014 Annual Report for details as to the assumptions used to determine the aggregate grant date fair value of these awards. See also our discussion of stock-based compensation under “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Estimates*” in our 2014 Annual Report.

(3) The amounts reported in this column for 2014 reflect the amounts earned under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program and our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. Additional information about non-equity incentive plan compensation earned during fiscal 2014 is set forth above in the supplemental “*2014 Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*” table in our “*Compensation Discussion and Analysis.*”

(4) The amounts reported in this column for fiscal 2014 as “All Other Compensation” consist of the elements summarized in the table below.

Name	Health		401(k)	
	Benefits	Group Life Insurance	Match	Total
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Thomas H. Werner	17,034	832	7,800	25,666
Charles D. Boynton	20,554	785	7,800	29,139
Howard J. Wenger	716	832	7,800	9,348
Marty T. Neese	14,298	832	7,800	22,929
Lisa Bodensteiner	20,532	702	7,800	29,035

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

During fiscal 2014, our named executive officers were granted plan-based restricted stock units and performance stock units under our Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, or our “2005 Equity Plan.” They also were also granted cash bonus awards under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program and our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. The following table sets forth information regarding the stock awards and cash bonus awards granted to each named executive officer during fiscal 2014.

2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under			Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum		
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	Units (#)	
Thomas H. Werner	(3)	720,000	900,000	1,350,000	—	—	—	—	—
	(4)	150,000	300,000	375,000	—	—	—	—	—
	2/5/14	—	—	—	45,000	50,000	75,000	—	1,492,500
	(5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	50,000	1,492,500
	2/5/14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	(6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form PRE 14A

Charles D. Boynton	(3)	229,500	286,875	430,313	—	—	—	—	—
	(4)	47,813	95,625	119,531	—	—	—	—	—
	2/5/14 (5)	—	—	—	15,300	17,000	25,500	—	507,450
	2/5/14 (6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,000	507,450
Howard J. Wenger	(3)	270,000	337,500	506,250	—	—	—	—	—
	(4)	56,250	112,500	140,625	—	—	—	—	—
	2/5/14 (5)	—	—	—	15,300	17,000	25,500	—	507,450
	2/5/14 (6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,000	507,450
Marty T. Neese	(3)	243,000	303,750	455,625	—	—	—	—	—
	(4)	50,625	101,250	126,563	—	—	—	—	—
	2/5/14 (5)	—	—	—	15,300	17,000	25,500	—	507,450
	2/5/14 (6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,000	507,450
Lisa Bodensteiner	(3)	182,400	228,000	342,000	—	—	—	—	—
	(4)	38,000	76,000	95,000	—	—	—	—	—
	2/5/14 (5)	—	—	—	12,060	13,400	20,100	—	399,990
	2/5/14 (6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	13,400	399,990

- (1) Additional information about estimated possible payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards is set forth below in the “*Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Table*.”

The amounts reported in these columns represent performance-based restricted stock unit opportunities. The Compensation Committee approved the awards on February 5, 2014. The grant date fair value of these awards is (2) reported based on the probable outcome of the applicable performance conditions and is consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost, if any, expected to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures.

- (3) Consists of an award under our 2014 Annual Bonus Program. Achievement levels for certain performance targets could reduce payouts to zero when the applicable formula is applied, as further described below.

- (4) Consists of an award under our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. Achievement levels for certain performance targets could reduce payouts to zero when the applicable formula is applied, as further described below.

(5) Consists of an award of restricted stock units, subject to achievement of specific performance metrics in addition to time-based vesting requirements, under the 2005 Equity Plan. Failure to achieve certain performance metrics could result in zero restricted stock units being awarded. The maximum attainable award is 150% of target. The closing price of our common stock was \$29.85 on February 5, 2014. Actual awards were determined in the first quarter of 2015 and are described in “*Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*” below. The earned award vests ratably on March 1, 2015, March 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017.

(6) Consists of an award of restricted stock units, subject to time-based vesting requirements, under the 2005 Equity Plan. The award vests ratably on March 1, 2015, March 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017. The closing price of our common stock was \$29.85 on February 5, 2014.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

During fiscal 2014, our named executive officers were eligible for cash bonus payments under two bonus plans. The first plan was our Annual Executive Bonus Plan, under which we adopted our 2014 Annual Bonus Program. The second plan was our Executive Quarterly Key Initiative Bonus Plan, under which we adopted our 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. The supplemental table below entitled “*Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Table*” provides additional information about each named executive officer’s target and maximum payout opportunities under both the 2014 Annual Bonus Program and the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. Under the terms of both bonus plans, failure to achieve certain corporate or individual metrics could have resulted in zero payouts to an individual for a given period. The table entitled “*2014 Total Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*” above in “*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*” details the actual payouts awarded under the two bonus plans to each named executive officer for fiscal 2014.

Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Table

Name	2014 Quarterly Bonus Program Target Each Quarter (\$)	2014 Quarterly Bonus Program Maximum Each Quarter (\$)	2014 Annual Bonus Program Target (\$)	2014 Annual Bonus Program Maximum (\$)
Thomas H. Werner	75,000	93,750	900,000	1,350,000
Charles D. Boynton	23,906	29,883	286,875	430,313
Howard J. Wenger	28,125	35,156	337,500	506,250
Marty T. Neese	25,313	31,641	303,750	455,625
Lisa Bodensteiner	19,000	23,750	228,000	342,000

2014 Annual Bonus Program. Awards under the 2014 Annual Bonus Program were formula-driven. At the beginning of fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee established and approved target levels in respect of three performance criteria: (1) an annual revenue metric, (2) an annual profitability metric, and (3) an annual free cash flow metric. Our annual revenue metric is based on our annual revenue, with certain adjustments such as amounts related to utility and power plant projects. Our annual profitability metric is based on our annual net income, adjusted for taxes and other items such as amounts related to utility and power plant projects and certain payments made to Total. Our annual free cash flow metric is based on our annual operating cash flow, adjusted for items such as amounts relating to investing activities and certain amounts relating to lease financing. Each named executive officer would earn 27% of his target bonus under the 2014 Annual Bonus Program upon the achievement of the revenue target, 33% upon the achievement of the profitability target, and the remaining 40% of his target bonus upon the achievement of the free cash flow target. Maximum payment under the program was 150% of target because we wanted to encourage our named executive officers to exceed the performance targets. Payment for each target is determined based on the percentage of performance target that was achieved, as follows:

Percentage of Performance Target Achieved	Payment of Bonus as Percentage of Target Bonus
Under 80%	No bonus paid
80%	80% of target bonus (minimum payment for minimum achievement)
Over 80% - 100%	Add 1% to payment for every 1% of performance target achieved, to 100% payment
Over 100% - 150%	Add an additional 1% to payment for every 1% of performance target achieved over 100% payment, to a 150% payment
Over 150%	150% of target bonus (maximum payment)

The annual performance targets, set at the beginning of fiscal 2014, were assessed at the end of the year. Based on our actual results in fiscal 2014, bonuses were earned and paid to our named executive officers for the annual revenue

target, the annual profitability target, and the annual free cash flow target, as presented below in the aggregate.

Performance Criterion	Target	Achievement	Payment as % of Target Payment
Annual revenue metric	\$2,531 million	\$2,624 million	109%
Annual profitability metric	\$58.7 million	\$80.7 million	114%
Annual free cash flow metric	\$(270) million	\$(39.5) million	143%

2014 Quarterly Bonus Program. Awards under the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program were also formula-driven, with targets in respect of a quarterly profitability metric and corporate performance metrics, consisting of a set of corporate milestones representing key initiatives that would support our corporate business plan. The quarterly profitability metric is based on our quarterly net income, adjusted for amounts related to utility and power plant projects, non-cash interest expense, stock-based compensation expense and other items. Also at the beginning of each fiscal quarter, each named executive officer was responsible for establishing personal metrics, subject to approval by the Chief Executive Officer, representing personal Key Initiatives that would support the corporate milestones. These three metrics were then incorporated into the plan's formula. An individual's personal Key Initiative score could result in no award being payable even if we achieved our quarterly profitability metric and corporate milestones targets in the event that the Key Initiative score was determined to be zero. The Chief Executive Officer's Key Initiatives consisted solely of the corporate milestones that our Board established after discussion with the Chief Executive Officer. If threshold corporate milestones were achieved and we exceeded our quarterly profitability metric target, bonus payments could exceed 100% of target, up to a maximum payment of 125% (based on the quarterly profitability metric), depending on achievement of personal Key Initiatives.

Payments under the 2014 Quarterly Bonus Program were made as follows:

Achievement of Quarterly Profitability Metric Target	Achievement of Corporate Milestones	Payment
Under minimum	Under 60%	No payment
Over minimum but under target	Under 60%	No payment 50% payment
Over minimum but under target	Over 60% but equal to or under 80%	Payment = 2014 quarterly salary multiplied by target bonus (%) of annual salary multiplied by personal Key Initiative score multiplied by 50% 100% payment
Over minimum but under target	80% or over	Payment = 2014 quarterly salary multiplied by target bonus (%) of annual salary multiplied by personal Key Initiative score Greater than 100% payment
Between target and maximum	80% or over	Payment = 2014 quarterly salary multiplied by “target bonus (%) of annual salary multiplied by personal Key Initiative score multiplied by quarterly profitability metric target achievement (up to a maximum of 125%)
Under minimum	80% or over	No payment

Our 2014 corporate milestones are confidential because disclosure of these milestones would result in competitive harm, but they generally consisted of milestones relating to cost targets, major customer transactions, new product development, manufacturing plans, process enhancements and inventory turns. The quarterly corporate milestone scores were 82%, 77%, 80% and 94% for each quarter in fiscal 2014, respectively. The combined personal Key

Initiative scores for the named executive officers ranged from 63% to 89%, and averaged 81% for the four quarters of fiscal 2014.

Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

In addition to time-based restricted stock unit awards, to further align executive compensation with maximizing stockholder value, our Compensation Committee granted to our named executive officers certain performance-based equity awards, consisting of restricted stock units, or RSUs, that would be released and begin time-based vesting only upon achievement of certain corporate objectives. Our Compensation Committee met at the beginning of 2014 and established and approved target levels in respect of three performance criteria: (1) an annual revenue metric, (2) an annual profitability metric, and (3) an annual free cash flow metric. Each eligible named executive officer would earn 27% of his target performance-based RSUs upon the achievement of the annual revenue metric target, 33% upon the achievement of the annual profitability metric target, and the remaining 40% of his target performance-based RSUs upon the achievement of the annual free cash flow metric target. The three metrics and their corresponding targets are the same as those for our 2014 Annual Bonus Program, described above in “*Executive Compensation—Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*.” Payment for each target was determined based on the percentage of performance metric target that was achieved, as follows:

Percentage of Performance Target Achieved	Grant of RSUs as Percentage of Target RSUs
Below minimum	No RSUs earned
At minimum	90% of target RSUs (minimum award for minimum achievement)
Between minimum and target	Prorated on a straight-line basis, between 90% and 100%
At target	100% of target
Between target and maximum	Prorated on a straight-line basis, between 100% and 150%
At or above maximum	150% of target

Performance-based restricted stock units vest, if at all, in three equal annual installments, subject to continued service after achievement of the performance measures, starting March 1, 2015. In connection with our 2014 performance-based equity awards, we achieved 109% of our annual revenue metric target, 114% of our annual profitability metric target, and 143% of our annual free cash flow metric target. Based on our actual results in fiscal 2014, performance-based RSUs were earned by our named executive officers for achievement of the annual revenue, annual profitability and annual free cash flow metric targets. See “*Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Equity Awards*,” which details the actual performance-based restricted stock units earned in fiscal 2014.

The named executive officers’ targets and earned performance-based RSUs are described above in “*Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Analysis of Fiscal 2014 Compensation Decisions—Equity Awards*.”

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with certain of our executive officers, including our named executive officers. In April 2013, we adopted a severance policy entitled the 2014 Management Career Transition Plan. Additionally, our named executive officers are entitled to receive certain payments from us or our affiliates in the event of certain termination events in connection with a change of control

Employment Agreements. We are party to employment agreements with several executive officers, including the named executive officers. Each employment agreement provides that the executive’s employment is “at-will” and may be terminated at any time by either party. Each employment agreement generally provides for a three-year term that will automatically renew unless we provide notice of our intent not to renew at least 120 days before the renewal date. The agreements do not specify salary, bonus or other basic compensation terms, but instead provide that each executive’s base salary, annual bonus and equity compensation will be determined in accordance with our normal practices. The primary purpose of the agreements is to provide certain severance benefits for employment terminations in connection with a change of control (as defined in the agreement). In the event an executive’s employment is terminated by us without cause (as defined in the agreement), or if the executive resigns for good reason (as defined in the agreement), and if such termination or resignation occurs during the period three months prior to, and ending 36 months following, a change of control, then the agreements also provide that the executive is entitled to the following benefits:

- a lump-sum payment equivalent to 24 months of such executive’s base salary;
- a lump-sum payment equal to any earned but unpaid annual bonus for a completed fiscal year;
-

a lump-sum payment equal to the product of (a) such executive's target bonus for the then current fiscal year, multiplied by (b) two;

continuation of such executive's and such executive's eligible dependents' coverage under our benefit plans for up to 24 months, at our expense;

- a lump-sum payment equal to such executive's accrued and unpaid base salary and paid time off;

reimbursement of up to \$15,000 for services of an outplacement firm mutually acceptable to us and the executive;

- annual make-up payments for taxes incurred by the executive in connection with benefit plans' coverage; and

all of such executive's unvested options, shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units (including performance-based restricted stock units) will become fully vested and (as applicable) exercisable as of the termination date and remain exercisable for the time period otherwise applicable to such equity awards following such termination date. In addition, Mr. Werner's agreement provides for full accelerated vesting upon termination of employment without cause or resignation for good reason, regardless of whether such termination is in connection with a change of control; provided, however, that absent a change of control, no such accelerated vesting or lapsing shall apply to Mr. Werner's performance-based equity awards.

Under the employment agreements, "cause" means the occurrence of any of the following, as determined by us in good faith:

acts or omissions constituting gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the executive with respect to the executive's obligations or otherwise relating to our business,

the executive's conviction of, or plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, crimes involving fraud, misappropriation or embezzlement, or a felony crime of moral turpitude,

the executive's violation or breach of any fiduciary duty (whether or not involving personal profit) to us, except to the extent that his violation or breach was reasonably based on the advice of our outside counsel, or willful violation of any of our published policies governing the conduct of its executives or other employees, or

the executive's violation or breach of any contractual duty to us which duty is material to the performance of the executive's duties or results in material damage to us or our business;

provided that if any of the foregoing events is capable of being cured, we will provide notice to the executive describing the nature of such event and the executive will thereafter have 30 days to cure such event.

In addition, under the employment agreements, "good reason" means the occurrence of any of the following without the executive's express prior written consent:

- a material reduction in the executive's position or duties,
- a material breach of the employment agreement,

a material reduction in the executive's aggregate target compensation, including the executive's base salary and target bonus on a combined basis, excluding a reduction that is applied to substantially all of our other senior executives; provided, however, that for purposes of this clause, whether a reduction in target bonus has occurred shall be determined without any regard to any actual bonus payments made to the executive, or

a relocation of the executive's primary place of business for the performance of his duties to us to a location that is more than 45 miles from our current business location.

The executive shall be considered to have "good reason" under the employment agreement only if, no later than 90 days following an event otherwise constituting "good reason" under the employment agreement, the executive gives notice to us of the occurrence of such event and we fail to cure the event within 30 days following its receipt of such notice from the executive, and the executive terminates service within 36 months following a change of control.

If any of the severance payments, accelerated vesting and lapsing of restrictions would constitute a "parachute payment" within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code and be subject to excise tax or any interest or penalties payable with respect to such excise tax, then the executive's benefits will be either delivered in full or delivered as to such lesser extent which would result in no portion of such benefits being subject to such taxes, interest or penalties, whichever results in the executive receiving, on an after-tax basis, the greatest amount of benefits.

Before receiving the benefits described in the employment agreements, the executive will be required to sign a separation agreement and release of claims. In addition, the benefits will be conditioned upon the executive not soliciting our or our affiliates' (as defined in the employment agreement) employees, consultants, customers or users for one year following the termination date. Mr. Werner's agreement also provides that, if his termination without cause or resignation for good reason is not in connection with a change of control, his severance benefits will be conditioned upon a non-competition arrangement lasting one year following employment termination.

2014 Management Career Transition Plan. In April 2013, we adopted the 2014 Management Career Transition Plan (the "Severance Plan"), effective April 30, 2013, which replaced a similar plan that expired in 2011. The Severance Plan generally terminates on the third anniversary of the effective date. The Severance Plan addresses severance for certain employment terminations, and payments are only made if the executive or employee is not already entitled to severance benefits under a separate employment agreement. Participants in the Severance Plan include our Chief Executive Officer, Thomas H. Werner, and those employees who have been employed by the Company for at least six months and report directly to him (including our other named executive officers), as well as other key employees of the Company who are provided with written notice from the Chief Executive Officer that they are Severance Plan participants. Under the terms of the Severance Plan, Mr. Werner and the named executive officers will be eligible for benefits following a termination of employment by us without cause (as defined in the Severance Plan). Such benefits include:

• a lump-sum payment equivalent to 12 months (or 24 months in Mr. Werner's case) of such executive's base salary;

- a lump-sum payment equal to any earned but unpaid annual bonus for a completed fiscal year;

• a lump-sum payment equal to the pro rata portion of such executive's actual bonus for the then current fiscal year, based on the number of whole calendar months between the start of the fiscal year and the termination date;

• continuation of such executive's and such executive's eligible dependents' coverage under the Company's health benefit plans for up to 12 months (or 24 months in Mr. Werner's case), at the Company's expense;

- a lump-sum payment equal to such executive's accrued and unpaid base salary and paid time off;

• annual make-up payments for taxes incurred by the executive in connection with such health benefit plans' coverage;
and

• reimbursement of up to \$15,000 for services of an outplacement firm mutually acceptable to the Company and the executive.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding the outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 28, 2014.

Outstanding Equity Awards At 2014 Fiscal Year-End Table

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Marked or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Value of Unearned Shares or Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)		
Thomas H. Werner(10)	03/19/2012(2)	—	—	—	—	75,000	1,974,000	—	—
	03/28/2012(3)	—	—	—	—	92,232	2,427,546	—	—
	02/19/2013(4)	—	—	—	—	175,667	4,623,555	—	—
	02/19/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	241,185	6,347,989	—	—
	02/05/2014(6)	—	—	—	—	50,000	1,316,000	—	—
	02/05/2014(7)	—	—	—	—	62,170	1,636,314	—	—
Charles D. Boynton	03/19/2012(2)	—	—	—	—	41,667	1,096,675	—	—
	03/28/2012(3)	—	—	—	—	20,496	539,455	—	—

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form PRE 14A

	02/19/2013(4)	—	—	—	—	46,667	1,228,275	—	—
	02/19/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	64,072	1,686,375	—	—
	02/05/2014(6)	—	—	—	—	17,000	447,440	—	—
	02/05/2014(7)	—	—	—	—	21,138	556,352	—	—
Howard J.	03/19/2012(2)	—	—	—	—	25,000	658,000	—	—
Wenger	03/28/2012(3)	—	—	—	—	30,744	809,182	—	—
	02/19/2013(4)	—	—	—	—	50,001	1,316,026	—	—
	02/19/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	64,072	1,686,375	—	—
	02/05/2014(6)	—	—	—	—	17,000	447,440	—	—
	02/05/2014(7)	—	—	—	—	21,138	556,352	—	—
Marty T.	07/02/2008(8)	100,000	—	62.82	7/2/2018	—	—	—	—
Neese	03/19/2012(2)	—	—	—	—	25,000	658,000	—	—
	03/28/2012(3)	—	—	—	—	30,744	809,182	—	—
	02/19/2013(4)	—	—	—	—	46,667	1,228,275	—	—
	02/19/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	64,072	1,686,375	—	—
	02/05/2014(6)	—	—	—	—	17,000	447,440	—	—
	02/05/2014(7)	—	—	—	—	21,138	556,352	—	—
Lisa	07/25/2012(9)	—	—	—	—	33,334	877,351	—	—
Bodensteiner	02/19/2013(4)	—	—	—	—	33,334	877,351	—	—
	02/19/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	45,766	1,204,561	—	—
	02/05/2014(6)	—	—	—	—	13,400	352,688	—	—
	02/05/2014(7)	—	—	—	—	16,662	438,544	—	—

- (1) The closing price of our common stock on December 26, 2014 (last trading day of fiscal 2014) was \$26.32.
- (2) Each of these awards of restricted stock units provided for vesting in three equal annual installments on each of March 1, 2013, March 1, 2014 and March 1, 2015, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us. On March 28, 2012, the named executive officer was awarded a number of performance-based restricted stock units within a pre-set range, with the actual number earned contingent on the achievement of certain performance criteria. The actual earned award was determined in the first quarter of 2013. The earned award would vest in three equal annual installments on March 1, 2013, March 1, 2014 and March 1, 2015, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us.
- (3) Each of these awards of restricted stock units provided for vesting in three equal annual installments on each of March 1, 2014, March 1, 2015 and March 1, 2016 subject to the recipient's continued employment with us.

- On February 19, 2013, the named executive officer was awarded a number of performance-based restricted stock units within a pre-set range, with the actual number earned contingent on the achievement of certain performance (5) criteria. The actual earned award was determined in the first quarter of 2014. The earned award vests in three equal annual installments on March 1, 2014, March 1, 2015 and March 1, 2016, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us.
- (6) Each of these awards of restricted stock units provided for vesting in three equal annual installments on each of March 1, 2015, March 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us. On February 5, 2014, the named executive officer was awarded a number of performance-based restricted stock units within a pre-set range, with the actual number contingent on the achievement of certain performance (7) criteria. The actual award was determined in the first quarter of 2015 and is described in "*Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*" above. The earned award would vests in three equal annual installments on March 1, 2015, March 1, 2016 and March 1, 2017, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us.
- (8) This option has a 10-year term and vests in equal annual installments over a four-year period starting on July 2, 2009.
- (9) Each of these awards of restricted stock units provided for vesting in three equal annual installments on each of August 15, 2013, August 15, 2014 and August 15, 2015, subject to the recipient's continued employment with us. Stock awards do not reflect the February 2015 rescission of awards granted in excess of the individual calendar (10) year limit provided for in the Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, as more fully described in "*Proposal Four—Approval of an Equity Award Granted to our Chief Executive Officer*".

The following table sets forth the number of shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of options or the vesting of stock awards by our named executive officers during fiscal 2014 and the aggregate dollar amount realized by our named executive officers upon such events. Because there were no shares acquired by our named executive officers pursuant to the exercise of options during fiscal 2014, we have not included columns pertaining to option awards in the table below.

2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
Thomas H. Werner	524,091	17,384,135
Charles D. Boynton	127,653	4,230,544
Howard J. Wenger	171,398	5,686,816
Marty T. Neese	191,743	6,360,846
Lisa Bodensteiner	72,882	2,503,246

- (1) The aggregate dollar value realized upon the vesting of a stock award represents the fair market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date multiplied by the number of shares vested.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

Termination Payments Made in Fiscal 2014. We made no termination payments to any of our named executive officers during fiscal 2014.

Tabular Disclosure of Termination Payments. Our employment agreements with our named executive officers contain provisions that provide for payments upon certain events of termination and change of control. See “*Employment Agreements*” above for a detailed description of these agreements. The following tables summarize the estimated payments that would have been made on December 26, 2014 to our named executive officers upon certain termination events consisting of:

- termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason;

• involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with a change of control;

• involuntary termination without cause or voluntarily resignation for good reason not in connection with a change of control;

- retirement; or

- discontinued service due to death or disability,

as described in their respective employment agreements, as amended, assuming each such event had occurred on December 26, 2014. The dollar value identified with respect to each type of equity award is based on each officer's holdings as of December 26, 2014 and the \$26.32 per share closing price for our common stock on December 26, 2014, the last trading day of our fiscal year ended December 28, 2014. For more information on each officer's outstanding equity awards as of December 28, 2014, please see the "*Outstanding Equity Awards At 2014 Fiscal-Year End Table*" above. Such figures do not reflect unpaid regular salary, nor the impact of certain provisions of the employment agreements that provide that, in the event any payments under the employment agreements would constitute parachute payments under Section 280G of the Code or be subject to the excise tax of Section 4999 of the Code, then such payments should be either delivered in full or reduced to result in no portion being subject to such tax provisions and still yield the greatest payment to the individual on an after tax basis.

Termination Payments Table

Name	Termination Scenario	Continued Salary (\$)	Bonus and Accelerated Non-Equity Incentive Plan (\$)	Accelerated Restricted Stock Units (\$)(1)	Continued Medical Benefits and Gross Up (\$)	Outplacement Services (\$)	Accrued Paid Time Off and Sabbatical (\$)	Total (\$)
Thomas H. Werner	Termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason	—	—	—	—	—	80,769	80,769
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with change of control	1,200,000	2,400,000	18,663,090	67,438	15,000	80,769	22,426,2
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason not in connection with change of control	1,200,000	1,200,000	16,689,090	67,438	15,000	80,769	19,252,2
Charles D. Boynton	Retirement	—	—	—	—	—	80,769	80,769
	Death or disability	—	—	—	—	—	80,769	80,769
	Termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with change of control	850,000	765,000	5,669,380	99,406	15,000	—	7,398,78
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason not in connection with change of control	425,000	382,500	—	49,703	15,000	—	872,203
	Retirement	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Death or disability	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Name	Termination Scenario	Continued Salary (\$)	Bonus and Accelerated Non-Equity Incentive Plan (\$)	Accelerated Restricted Stock Units \$(1)	Continued Medical Benefits and Gross Up (\$)	Outplacement Services (\$)	Accrued Paid Time Off and Sabbatical (\$)	Total (\$)
Howard J. Wenger	Termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with change of control	900,000	900,000	5,588,183	103	15,000	—	7,403,286
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason not in connection with change of control	450,000	450,000	—	51	15,000	—	915,051
	Retirement	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marty T. Neese	Death or disability	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with change of control	900,000	810,000	5,500,432	68,458	15,000	—	7,293,890
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason not in connection with change of control	450,000	405,000	—	34,229	15,000	—	904,229
	Retirement	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Death or disability	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Name	Termination Scenario	Continued Salary (\$)	Bonus and Accelerated Non-Equity Incentive Plan (\$)	Accelerated Restricted Stock Units (\$)(1)	Continued Medical Benefits and Gross Up (\$)	Outplacement Services (\$)	Accrued Paid Time Off and Sabbatical (\$)
Lisa Bodensteiner	Termination with cause or voluntary resignation without good reason	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason in connection with change of control	760,000	608,000	3,840,983	99,406	15,000	—
	Involuntary termination without cause or voluntary resignation for good reason not in connection with change of control	380,000	304,000	—	49,703	15,000	—
	Retirement	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Death or disability	—	—	—	—	—	—

(1) In connection with a change of control, accelerated restricted stock units' calculation assumes that the change of control does not involve Total or one of its affiliates.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The following report has been submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed our Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2014 and definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A for our 2015 Annual Meeting, each as filed with the SEC. The foregoing report was submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board and shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A promulgated by the SEC or Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any prior or subsequent filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Thomas R. McDaniel

Jean-Marc Otero del Val

Humbert de Wendel

Pat Wood III, *Chair*

April 9, 2015

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 29, 2015 (except as described below) by:

- each of our directors;

our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and each of the three other most highly compensated individuals who served as our executive officers at the end of our fiscal year 2014, whom we collectively refer to as our “named executive officers”;

- our directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group; and

each person (including any “group” as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of any class of our common stock.

Applicable beneficial ownership percentages listed below are based on 133,254,221 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 29, 2015. The business address for each of our directors and executive officers is our corporate headquarters at 77 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134.

Directors and Named Executive Officers	Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1)	
	Number of Shares	%
Lisa Bodensteiner (2)	42,754	*
Charles D. Boynton (3)	68,271	*
Arnaud Chaperon		
Bernard Clement		
Denis Giorno		
Catherine Lesjak	7,410	*
Thomas R. McDaniel (4)	93,536	*
Marty T. Neese (5)	188,362	*
Jean-Marc Otero del Val		
Humbert de Wendel		
Howard J. Wenger (6)	204,243	*
Thomas H. Werner (7)	330,859	*
Pat Wood III (8)	76,654	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (15 persons) (9)	1,087,857	*
Other Persons		

Total S.A.

Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS (10)

2, place Jean Millier

101,252,554 64.93%

La Défense 6

92400 Courbevoie

France

Wellington Management Group LLP

Wellington Group Holdings LLP

Wellington Investment Advisors Holdings LLP

Wellington Management Company LLP (11)

13,495,747 10.13%

c/o Wellington Management Group LLP

280 Congress Street

Boston, MA 02210

62

* Less than 1%.

- Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares underlying restricted stock units and options held by that person that will vest and be exercisable within 60 days of March 29, 2015 are deemed to be outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.
- (1) No shares are vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (2) No shares are vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (3) Includes 93,420 shares of common stock held indirectly in the McDaniel Trust dtd 7/26/2000 of which Mr. McDaniel and his spouse are co-trustees.
 - (4) Includes 100,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of March 29, 2015. No shares are vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (5) Includes 5,072 shares of common stock held indirectly in the The H&L Wenger 2002 Family Trust UAD 06/21/02 Howard Wenger & Lisa Wenger Trustees. No shares are vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (6) Includes 1,208 shares of common stock held by The Werner Family Trust, of which Mr. Werner and his wife are co-trustees. No shares are vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (7) Includes 48,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of March 29, 2015.
 - (8) Includes the shares described in footnotes 2-8 plus 70,768 shares of common stock held by two additional executive officers and 5,000 RSUs vesting within 60 days of March 29, 2015 held by one of those executive officers.
 - (9) The ownership information set forth in the table is based on information contained in a statement on Schedule 13D/A, filed with the SEC on June 18, 2014 by Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS (formerly known as Total Gas & Power USA, SAS) and its parent Total S.A., which indicated that the parties have shared voting and shared dispositive power with respect to said shares. Includes 9,531,677 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to a warrant issued by us to Total Gas & Power USA, SAS on February 28, 2012, 8,017,420 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible debentures issued by us to Total Gas & Power USA, SAS on May 29, 2013 and 5,126,775 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible debentures issued by us to Total Energies Nouvelles Activités USA, SAS on June 11, 2014.
 - (10) The ownership information set forth in the table is based on information contained in a statement on Schedule 13G/A, filed with the SEC on March 10, 2015 by Wellington Management Group LLP, Wellington Group Holdings LLP, Wellington Investment Advisors Holdings LLP and Wellington Management Company LLP. Such statement disclosed that Wellington Management Group LLP, Wellington Group Holdings LLP, Wellington Investment Advisors Holdings LLP have shared dispositive power with respect to 13,495,747 shares (or 10.13% of the shares of common stock outstanding as of March 29, 2015) and shared voting power with respect to 10,940,872 shares (or 8.21% of the shares of common stock outstanding as of March 29, 2015) and that Wellington Management Company LLP has shared dispositive power with respect to 12,977,714 shares and shared voting power with respect to 10,590,073 shares.
 - (11)

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires certain of our executive officers and our directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file an initial report of ownership on Form 3 and reports of changes in ownership on Forms 4 or 5 with the SEC and The NASDAQ Global Select Market. Such executive officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are also required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16 forms that they file. We periodically remind our directors and executive officers of their reporting obligations and assist in making the required disclosures once we have been notified that a reportable event has occurred. We are required to report in this proxy statement any failure by any of the above-mentioned persons to make timely Section 16 reports.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, and written representations from our directors and executive officers, we are unaware of any instances of noncompliance, or late compliance, with Section 16(a) filing requirements by our directors, executive officers or greater than 10% stockholders during fiscal 2014.

Company Stock Price Performance

The following graph compares the performance of an investment in our common stock from January 3, 2010 through December 28, 2014, with The NASDAQ Market Index and with three comparable issuers: First Solar, Inc., SunEdison, Inc. and Trina Solar Ltd. The graph assumes \$100 was invested on January 3, 2010 in our former Class A common stock at the closing price of \$23.68 per share, at the closing prices of the common stock for First Solar, Inc., SunEdison, Inc. and Trina Solar Ltd., and at the closing price for The NASDAQ Market Index. In addition, the graph also assumes that any dividends were reinvested on the date of payment without payment of any commissions. The performance shown in the graph represents past performance and should not be considered an indication of future performance. The following graph is not, and shall not be deemed to be, filed as part of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such graph should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent specifically incorporated by reference therein by us.

ASSUMES \$100 INVESTED ON JANUARY 3, 2010

(ASSUMES DIVIDEND REINVESTED)

UNTIL FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 28, 2014

	January 2, 2011	January 1, 2012	December 30, 2012	December 29, 2013	December 28, 2014
SunPower Corporation	\$54.18	\$26.31	\$23.18	\$122.09	\$111.15
Nasdaq Market Index	\$116.91	\$114.81	\$130.46	\$183.18	\$211.84
First Solar, Inc.	\$96.12	\$24.93	\$22.01	\$40.81	\$32.75
SunEdison, Inc.	\$82.67	\$28.93	\$23.27	\$93.98	\$146.77
Trina Solar Ltd.	\$43.39	\$12.38	\$7.82	\$24.37	\$15.92

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides certain information as of December 28, 2014 with respect to our equity compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance (in thousands, except dollar figures).

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	191	\$42.64	7,953
Total(1)	191	—	7,953

This table excludes options to purchase an aggregate of approximately 18,785 shares of common stock, at a weighted average exercise price of \$29.21 per share, that we assumed in connection with the acquisition of PowerLight Corporation, now known as SunPower Corporation, Systems, in January 2007. Under the terms of our three equity incentive plans, we may issue incentive or non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, or stock purchase rights to directors, employees and consultants to purchase common stock.

- (1) Our Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan includes an automatic share reserve increase feature effective for fiscal 2009 through fiscal 2015. This share reserve increase feature will cause an annual and automatic increase in the number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan in an amount each year equal to the least of: 3% of the outstanding shares of our common stock measured on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year; 6,000,000 shares; and such other number of shares as determined by our Board. On December 8, 2014, the Board approved a zero share increase for fiscal 2015.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Ernst & Young LLP has served as our auditor since May 3, 2012. We recently concluded discussions with Ernst & Young LLP regarding the scope of their fiscal 2015 global audit procedures, including revised fees, and our management team will recommend that our Audit Committee appoint Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015. Pending approval by the Audit Committee, we expect to ask our stockholders to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015. A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so, and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Ernst & Young LLP

Fees billed to us by Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 were as follows:

	2013	2014
Services		
	(\$)	(\$)
Audit Fees	2,123,600	2,479,728
Audit-Related Fees	398,800	403,750
Tax Fees	329,973	320,200
All Other Fees	1,995	8,165
Total	2,854,368	3,213,857

Audit Fees: Audit fees for 2013 and 2014 were for professional services rendered in connection with audits of our consolidated financial statements, statutory audits of our subsidiary companies, quarterly reviews and assistance with documents that we filed with the SEC (including our Forms 10-Q and 8-K) for periods covering fiscal 2012, 2013, and 2014.

Audit-Related Fees: Audit-related fees for 2013 and 2014 were for professional services rendered in connection with debt offerings and consultations with management on various accounting matters.

- **Tax Fees:** Tax fees for 2013 and 2014 were for tax consulting services.
- **All Other Fees:** Other fees in 2013 and 2014 were for access to technical accounting services.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval

As required by Section 10A(i)(1) of the Exchange Act, our Audit Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy requiring that the Audit Committee pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm. Any proposed service that has received pre-approval but which will exceed pre-approved cost limits will require additional pre-approval by the Audit Committee. In addition, pursuant to Section 10A(i)(3) of the Exchange Act, the Audit Committee has established procedures by which the Audit Committee may from time to time delegate pre-approval authority to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. If the Chairman exercises this authority, he must report any pre-approval decisions to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting. The independent registered public accounting firm and our management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with the committee's pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date.

During fiscal years 2013 and 2014 all services provided to us by Ernst & Young LLP were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the pre-approval policy described above. The scope and services was reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee after the services were rendered. Ernst & Young LLP and our Audit Committee have each concluded that Ernst & Young LLP's objectivity and ability to exercise impartial judgment on all issues encompassed with the audit engagement has not been impaired because (i) the services did not include prohibited non-audit related services; (ii) no members of the audit engagement team were aware of or involved with the provision of the services until after such services were provided; and (iii) the fees we paid were insignificant both to Ernst & Young LLP and to SunPower.

PROPOSAL THREE

APPROVAL OF THE SUNPOWER CORPORATION

2015 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN

The Board is submitting for stockholder approval the SunPower Corporation 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the “2015 Plan”). Our Board of Directors approved the 2015 Plan on February 4, 2015. If approved by stockholders, the 2015 Plan would become effective on the date of the Annual Meeting and serve as the successor to the Third Amended and Restated SunPower Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the “Prior Plan”), which will expire on August 12, 2015 in accordance with its terms. No additional equity awards will be granted under the Prior Plan after the date the 2015 Plan becomes effective. The Board believes that the 2015 Plan is in our and our stockholders’ best interest, as it will allow us to continue to attract and retain talented and creative employees, directors and consultants who can assist us in competing in the marketplace, delivering consistent financial performance and growing stockholder value.

If the 2015 Plan is approved, the number of shares of common stock that are available for awards under the Prior Plan as of the date the 2015 Plan is approved would become available for awards pursuant to the 2015 Plan instead of the Prior Plan (which will terminate as of the date the 2015 Plan becomes effective). In addition, any shares that would otherwise revert to the Prior Plan (*i.e.*, as a result of the forfeiture or cancellation of an award) would also become available for future awards under the 2015 Plan. The 2015 Plan does not provide for an increase to the total number of shares authorized for awards under the 2015 Plan other than pursuant to the automatic annual share replenishment provision described below under the heading “2015 Plan Limits on Common Stock Available for Issuance” (a feature that was also contained in the Prior Plan). The automatic increase of the share reserve would next take place effective for 2016. Therefore, the stockholders are not being asked to approve an increase to the number of shares that are currently reserved for issuance pursuant to our equity programs.

Approval of Material Terms of the Performance Goals under Code Section 162(m)

In order to preserve our ability to deduct in full for federal income tax purposes compensation that certain of our officers may recognize in connection with performance-based awards that may be granted in the future under the 2015 Plan, our stockholders are also being asked to approve certain material terms of the performance goals for performance-based awards granted under the 2015 Plan. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), generally denies a corporate tax deduction for annual compensation exceeding \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer or to any of the three other most highly compensated officers of a publicly held company other than the chief financial officer. However, certain types of compensation, including “performance-based” compensation, are generally excluded from this limit. To enable compensation in connection with awards granted under the 2015 Plan that are contingent on the attainment of performance goals to qualify as “performance-based” within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, our stockholders are being asked to approve the material terms of the applicable performance goals. By approving the 2015 Plan, the stockholders will be approving, among other things: (i) the eligibility requirements for participation in the 2015 Plan; (ii) the performance criteria upon which

certain awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance bonus awards may be based; (iii) the maximum numbers of shares subject to options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units intended to as performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m) that may be granted to a participant in any calendar year; and (iv) the maximum dollar amount that a participant may receive upon settlement of a performance bonus award.

Status of the Prior Plan

As of March 29, 2015, 5,311,665 shares were subject to outstanding awards granted under the Prior Plan, and 7,533,795 shares remained available for any new awards to be granted in the future. If the 2015 Plan is approved by the stockholders, the number of shares available under Prior Plan as of the date the 2015 Plan becomes effective will become available for awards under the 2015 Plan. In addition, shares that are not issued under outstanding awards granted under the Prior Plan (*i.e.*, due to forfeiture or cancellation of the outstanding awards) will become available for issuance pursuant to new awards granted in the future under the 2015 Plan. As of March 29, 2015, 209,491 shares were subject to outstanding options granted under the Prior Plan, and 5,102,174 shares were subject to outstanding full value awards. As of March 29, 2015, the weighted average term of outstanding options was 2.27 years, and the weighted average exercise or purchase price of outstanding options was \$41.57 per share.

Material Terms of the 2015 Plan

A summary of the highlights of the 2015 Plan is set forth below and is followed by a summary of the primary provisions of the 2015 Plan. The summaries of both the highlights of the 2015 Plan and the terms of the 2015 Plan are not intended to be exhaustive and are qualified in their entirety by the terms of the 2015 Plan. A complete copy of the 2015 Plan is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A.

Summary Description of the Terms of the 2015 Plan

Purpose. The purpose of the 2015 Plan is to promote our long-term success and the creation of stockholder value by encouraging employees, non-employee directors and consultants to focus on critical long-range objectives, encouraging the attraction and retention of employees, non-employee directors and consultants with exceptional qualifications and linking employees, non-employee directors and consultants directly to stockholder interests through increased stock ownership.

Awards Available Under the 2015 Plan. Under the 2015 Plan, we may award shares of common stock or grant awards exercisable for, that may be settled in or that are based on shares of our common stock. We may also grant performance-based cash awards. The 2015 Plan provides for the discretionary award of: (1) incentive stock options (“ISOs”) that satisfy the requirements of Code Section 422, as well as stock options that are not ISOs (“Nonstatutory Options” and, together with ISOs, “Stock Options”); (2) shares of common stock subject to certain restrictions (“Restricted Shares”); (3) stock appreciation rights (“SARs”); (4) bookkeeping entries equivalent to one or more shares of common stock (“Restricted Stock Units”), (5) awards based on shares of our common stock that are intended be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) (“Performance Stock Awards”), and (6) cash-based awards that are intended to be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) (“Performance Bonus Awards”). Each type of award is evidenced by the execution of an award agreement between us and the recipient that is specific to the type of award. For example, the terms of an award of Stock Options are set out in a “Stock Option Agreement.”

Eligibility. ISOs may be granted only to our employees or employees of our parent or subsidiaries. Nonstatutory Options and other stock-based awards (*e.g.*, Restricted Shares, Restricted Stock Units, or SARs) may be granted to our employees, non-employee directors and consultants, and our parent and subsidiary companies’ employees and consultants, but excludes any individual who is classified by the Company, a parent or subsidiary as leased from or otherwise employed by a third party or as an independent contractor. Performance-based awards, including Performance Bonus Awards, may be granted to employees who are, or may be considered “covered employees” within the meaning of Code Section 162(m). As of March 29, 2015, approximately 7,303 employees of the Company and our subsidiaries and eight non-employee directors were eligible to participate in the 2015 Plan. We have no current plans to issue grants to consultants or any employees of our parent company.

2015 Plan Limits on Common Stock Available for Issuance. The aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance as awards under the 2015 Plan shall not exceed the number of shares that are available for issuance under the Prior Plan as of the date the 2015 Plan becomes effective (*i.e.*, the date the 2015 Plan is approved by stockholders of the Company), including shares that are subject to awards outstanding under the Prior Plan that expire, are cancelled or otherwise terminate unexercised, or Shares that otherwise would have reverted to the share reserve of the Prior Plan following the date the 2015 Plan becomes effective. As of March 29, 2015, 7,533,795 shares of our common stock were available for future awards under the Prior Plan, and 5,311,665 shares were subject to outstanding awards under the Prior Plan.

The 2015 Plan will contain the same automatic share increase feature that was contained in the Prior Plan, which automatic increase would next take place effective for 2016. This share availability increase feature will continue to cause an automatic annual increase in the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the 2015 Plan in an amount each year equal to the least of:

• 3% of the outstanding shares of all classes of our common stock measured on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year;

- 6,000,000 shares; and
- such other number of shares as determined by our Board.

Notwithstanding the aggregate number of the shares that may be issued under the 2015 Plan, the aggregate number of shares that may be issued upon the exercise of ISOs may not exceed 15,000,000 shares.

Shares issued under the 2015 Plan may be authorized but unissued, treasury shares or shares purchased on the open market.

Individual Award Limitations. In addition, no participant may receive options, SARs, Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units that are granted as Performance Stock Awards in any calendar year that relate to more than five million shares in the aggregate under all such awards. For Performance Bonus Awards, the maximum amount that may be paid in cash to any participant in any calendar year is \$15,000,000.

Shares Returned to Share Reserve. If Restricted Shares or shares issued upon the exercise of Stock Options are forfeited, then such shares will again become available for awards under the 2015 Plan. If Restricted Stock Units, Stock Options or SARs are forfeited or terminated for any other reason before being exercised, then the corresponding shares will become available for awards under the 2015 Plan. If Restricted Stock Units are settled, then only the number of shares (if any) actually issued in settlement of such Restricted Stock Units will reduce the number available under the 2015 Plan and the balance will again become available for awards under the 2015 Plan. If SARs are exercised, then only the number of shares (if any) actually issued in settlement of such SARs shall reduce the number available under the 2015 Plan and the balance will again be available for awards under the 2015 Plan.

Assumed and Substituted Awards. To the extent permitted under applicable stock exchange rules, shares issued pursuant to awards assumed or awards granted in substitution of other awards in connection with the acquisition of us or a subsidiary will not reduce the maximum number of shares issuable under the 2015 Plan.

Administration. The 2015 Plan will be administered by a committee that is designated by the Board. The administering committee will consist of two or more members appointed by the Board. In addition, the composition of the administering committee must satisfy (1) such requirements as the SEC may establish for administrators acting under plans intended to qualify for exemption under Rule 16b-3 (or its successor) under the Exchange Act and (2) such requirements as the Internal Revenue Service may establish for outside directors acting under plans intended to qualify for exemption under Section 162(m)(4)(C) of the Code.

The Board may also appoint one or more separate committees of the Board, each composed of one or more of our directors who may administer the 2015 Plan with respect to employees who are not considered officers or directors under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, may grant awards under the 2015 Plan to such employees and may determine all terms of such grants. The Board may also authorize one or more officers to designate employees, other than officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, to receive awards and/or to determine the number of such awards to be received by such persons; provided, however, that the Board must specify the total number of awards that such officers may so award. The administering committee that administers the 2015 Plan with respect to participants who are subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act may designate persons other than members of the committee to carry out its responsibilities, except that it may not delegate its authority with regard to the selection for participation of or the granting of equity awards or other rights under the 2015 Plan to persons subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

Stock Options. Both ISOs and Nonstatutory Options are available for grant under the 2015 Plan. ISOs may be granted only to employees while Nonstatutory Options may be granted to employees, outside directors and consultants. The terms and conditions of an award of Stock Options are determined on a case by case basis and will be evidenced by a Stock Option agreement between the participant and the Company. Each Stock Option agreement will specify the number of shares of common stock that are subject to the Stock Option and will provide for the adjustment of the Stock Option in accordance with the adjustment section in the 2015 Plan.

The exercise price of a Stock Option will be determined by the administering committee in its sole discretion. However, the exercise price of a Stock Option, subject to Internal Revenue Code requirements for 10% stockholders applicable to ISOs, may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. The closing price per share of our common stock on April 9, 2015 was \$32.63.

Each Stock Option agreement will specify a date when all or any installment of the Stock Option is to become exercisable and also specifies the term of the option, except that the term of an option may in no event exceed 10 years from the date of grant. The Stock Option agreement may provide for accelerated exercisability in the event of the participant's death, disability, or retirement or other events and may provide for expiration prior to the end of its term in the event of the termination of the participant's service. The administering committee may determine, at the time of granting a Stock Option or thereafter, that such Stock Option will become exercisable as to all or part of the shares of common stock subject to the Stock Option in the event that a Change in Control (as described below and defined in the 2015 Plan) occurs with respect to the Company.

Stock Options may be awarded in combination with SARs, and such an award may provide that the Stock Options will not be exercisable unless the related SARs are forfeited. A participant generally has none of the rights of a stockholder until shares of common stock are issued. The administering committee may at any time (1) offer to buy out for a payment in cash or cash equivalents a Stock Option previously granted or (2) authorize a participant to elect to cash out a Stock Option previously granted, in either case at such time and based upon such terms and conditions as the administering committee may establish.

The administering committee may modify, extend or renew outstanding options or may accept the cancellation of outstanding options in return for the grant of new options for the same or a different number of shares and at the same or a different exercise price, or in return for the grant of the same or a different number of shares.

Restricted Shares. The administering committee may grant Restricted Shares to employees, outside directors and consultants. The terms of each award are determined on a case by case basis and will be evidenced by a Restricted Share agreement between the recipient and the Company. Restricted Shares may be sold or awarded under the 2015 Plan for such consideration as the administering committee may determine, including (without limitation) cash, cash equivalents, full-recourse promissory notes, past services and future services.

Each award of Restricted Shares may or may not be subject to vesting. Vesting will occur, in full or in installments, upon satisfaction of the conditions specified in the Restricted Share agreement. An award agreement may provide for accelerated vesting in the event of the grantee's death, disability, retirement or other events. The administering committee may determine, at the time of granting Restricted Shares or thereafter, that all or part of the Restricted Shares will become vested in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.

The holders of Restricted Shares awarded under the 2015 Plan have the same voting, dividend and other rights as our other stockholders. A Restricted Share agreement, however, may require that the holders of Restricted Shares invest any cash dividends received in additional Restricted Shares. Such additional Restricted Shares will be subject to the same conditions and restrictions as the award with respect to which the dividends were paid.

Stock Appreciation Rights. The administering committee may award SARs to employees, outside directors and consultants. The number of shares subject to the SAR, the exercise price (which may be no less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant), the terms of exercise, and the term of each SAR is determined on a case by case basis and will be evidenced by a SAR agreement between the recipient and the Company. Each SAR agreement will specify the date when all or any installment of the SAR is to become exercisable. The SAR agreement will also specify the term of the SAR. A SAR agreement may provide for accelerated exercisability in the event of the recipient's death, disability or retirement or other events and may provide for expiration prior to the end of its term in the event of the termination of the recipient's service.

The administering committee may determine, at the time of granting a SAR or thereafter, that such SAR will become fully vested as to all shares of common stock subject to such SAR in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company. SARs may be awarded in combination with Stock Options, and such an award may provide that the SARs will not be exercisable unless the related Stock Options are forfeited. A SAR may be included in an ISO only at the time of grant but may be included in a Nonstatutory Option at the time of grant or thereafter. Upon the exercise of a SAR, the recipient will receive cash, common stock, or a combination of the two. The amount of cash and/or the fair market value of common stock received upon exercise of SARs will, in the aggregate, be equal to the amount by which the fair market value (on the date of surrender) of the shares of common stock subject to the SARs exceeds the exercise price. The administering committee may at any time (1) offer to buy out for a payment in cash or cash equivalents a SAR previously granted or (2) authorize a participant to elect to cash out a SAR previously granted, in either case at such time and based upon such terms and conditions as the administering committee may establish.

The administering committee may modify, extend or assume outstanding SARs or may accept the cancellation of outstanding SARs in return for the grant of new SARs for the same or a different number of shares and at the same or a different exercise price.

Restricted Stock Units. The administering committee may award Restricted Stock Units to employees, outside directors and consultants. The terms of each award are determined on a case by case basis and will be evidenced by a Restricted Stock Unit agreement between the recipient and the Company. No cash consideration is required of the award recipients. The holders of Restricted Stock Units have no voting rights. However, prior to settlement or forfeiture, any Restricted Stock Unit awarded under the 2015 Plan may, at the administering committee's discretion, carry with it a right to dividend equivalents. Settlement of vested Restricted Stock Units may be made in the form of cash, shares of common stock, or any combination of the two. The number of Restricted Stock Units eligible for settlement may be larger or smaller than the number included in the original award, based on predetermined performance factors.

Each award of Restricted Stock Units may or may not be subject to vesting. Vesting will occur, in full or in installments, upon satisfaction of the conditions set out in the Restricted Stock Unit agreement. A Restricted Stock Unit agreement may provide for accelerated vesting in the event of the participant's death, disability or retirement or other events. The administering committee may determine, at the time of granting Restricted Stock Units or thereafter, that all or part of the Restricted Stock Units will become vested in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.

Director Fees. To the extent our Board so approves, a non-employee director may elect to receive his or her annual retention payments and/or meeting fees from the Company in the form of Nonstatutory Options, Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units, or a combination of these awards, as determined by the Board.

Adjustments. In the event of a recapitalization, stock split or similar capital transaction, appropriate adjustments will be made to: (1) the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2015 Plan; (2) the limitations regarding the total number of shares of common stock underlying awards that may be granted to an individual participant in any calendar year; and (3) adjustments to the number of shares of common stock covered by each outstanding Stock Option and SAR and the exercise price thereof and in the number of any Restricted Stock Units that have not yet been settled. Any adjustment affecting an award intended as qualified performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m) must be made in a manner that does not disqualify the award. Any adjustment affecting an award that is subject to Section 409A of the Code must be made in a manner that does not result in adverse tax consequences under Code Section 409A, except as otherwise determined by the administering committee in its sole discretion.

Effect of Certain Transactions. A Change in Control may impact rights to an award made under the 2015 Plan. Specifically, the 2015 Plan provides that the administering committee may decide, either at the time the award is granted or after, that in the event of a Change in Control: (1) Restricted Shares and Restricted Stock Units become vested and (2) Stock Options and SARs become exercisable. However, in the event of a merger or other reorganization, all outstanding awards are subject to the terms of the agreement effecting the particular transaction.

Subject to certain exceptions, a Change in Control generally means the occurrence of one of the following:

the acquisition by any person (other than Total or any member of the Total Group), of our securities representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding securities;

consummation of a merger or consolidation with or into another entity as a result of which persons who were not our stockholders immediately prior to the merger or consolidation own immediately after the merger or consolidation 50% or more of the voting power of the outstanding securities of the continuing or surviving entity and any parent corporation of the continuing or surviving entity; or

- the sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets.

To the extent not previously exercised or settled, all awards will terminate immediately prior to our dissolution or liquidation.

162(m) Performance-Based Awards. The administering committee may grant Performance Stock Awards, which are awards that are intended to constitute “qualified performance-based compensation” under Code Section 162(m). Such awards (other than Stock Options or SARs) must be made subject to the attainment of performance goals during a performance period relating to one or more performance criteria set forth in the 2015 Plan (and below). An award may provide for the adjustment or modification of any evaluation of performance under a performance goal to exclude any objective and measurable events specified in the award in accordance with the terms of the 2015 Plan.

162(m) Performance Bonus Awards. The administering committee may also grant Performance Bonus Awards, which are performance-based awards that are denominated and payable in cash.

162(m) Performance Criteria. The performance criteria on which performance goals applicable to performance-based awards may be based are the following:

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| •cash flow | •customer acquisition costs | •solar projects developed (number or watts) |
| •earnings per share | •customer cost of energy | •solar projects financed (by value or watts) |
| •earnings before interest, taxes and amortization | •cost management or process improvement | •solar projects sold (by value or watts) |
| •return on equity | •net promoter score | •operation or maintenance contracts |
| •total stockholder return | •expense measures (including, but not limited to, overhead | |
| •share price performance | | |
| •return on capital | | |

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form PRE 14A

•return on assets or net assets	cost, research and development expenses	signed or maintained (by value or watts)
•revenue	and general and administrative expense)	•production expansion
•income or net income		build and ramp times
•operating income or net operating income	•economic value added	•module field performance
•operating profit or net operating profit	•watts produced	•average sales price
•operating margin or profit margin	•watts shipped	•budgeted expenses (operating and/or capital)
•return on operating revenue	•watts per module	•inventory turns
•return on invested	•conversion efficiency	•accounts receivable
	•modules produced	
	•modules shipped	
	•production throughput	