NMI Holdings, Inc. Form 10-O August 08, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

to

OF 1934 o

For the transition period from

Commission file number 001-36174

NMI Holdings, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in

its charter)

DELAWARE 45-4914248

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or

organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2100 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(855) 530-6642

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

The number of shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant outstanding on August 4, 2014 was 58,363,334 shares.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cautionar	y Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements	<u>3</u>
PART I		<u>5</u>
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>5</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>23</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>47</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>48</u>
PART II		<u>49</u>
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>49</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>50</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>52</u>
Signatures	Σ	<u>54</u>
Exhibit In	<u>dex</u>	<u>i</u>

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, predictions, forecasts, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward looking. These statements are often, but not always, made through the use of words or phrases such as "anticipate," "believes," "can," "could," "may," "predicts," "potential," "should," "will," "estimate," "plans," "projects," "cont "expects," "intends" and similar words or phrases. Accordingly, these statements are only predictions and involve estimates, known and unknown risks, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in them. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in such forward looking statements as a result of many factors. For more information regarding these risks and uncertainties as well as certain additional risks that we face, you should refer to the Risk Factors detailed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as supplemented by the risks discussed below in this report in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," as well as factors more fully described in Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report, including the exhibits hereto, and subsequent reports and registration statements filed from time to time with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

Any or all of our forward looking statements in this report may turn out to be inaccurate. The inclusion of this forward looking information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will be achieved. We have based these forward looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, operating results, business strategy and financial needs. There are important factors that could cause our actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results, level of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward looking statements including, but not limited to:

our limited operating history;

retention of our existing certificates of authority in each state and Washington D.C. and our ability to remain a mortgage insurer in good standing in each state and Washington D.C.;

changes in the business practices of the GSEs, including adoption and implementation of their proposed new mortgage insurer eligibility requirements or decisions to decrease or discontinue the use of mortgage insurance; our ability to remain a qualified mortgage insurer under the requirements imposed by the GSEs;

actions of existing competitors and potential market entry by new competitors;

changes to laws and regulations, including changes to the GSEs' role in the secondary mortgage market or other changes that could affect the residential mortgage industry generally or mortgage insurance in particular; changes in general economic, market and political conditions and policies, interest rates, inflation and investment results or other conditions that affect the housing market or the markets for home mortgages or mortgage insurance; changes in the regulatory environment;

our ability to implement our business strategy, including our ability to attract customers, write mortgage insurance on high quality low down payment residential mortgage loans, implement successfully and on a timely basis, complex infrastructure, systems, procedures, and internal controls to support our business and regulatory and reporting requirements of the insurance industry;

failure of risk management or investment strategy;

elaims exceeding our reserves or amounts we had expected to experience;

failure to develop, maintain and improve necessary information technology systems or the failure of technology providers to perform;

ability to recruit, train and retain key personnel; and

emergence of claim and coverage issues.

All forward looking statements are necessarily only estimates of future results, and actual results may differ materially from expectations. You are, therefore, cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements which should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included elsewhere in this report. Further, any forward looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. You should, however, review the risk factors we describe in the reports we will file from time to time with the SEC after the date of this report.

Unless expressly indicated or the context requires otherwise, the terms "we", "our", "us" and "Company" in this document refer to NMI Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

PART I

Item 1. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	<u>6</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014	7
and 2013	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30,	Q
2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013	<u>o</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	9
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>10</u>

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets	(In Thousands, ex	ccept for share data)
Investments, available-for-sale, at fair value:		
Fixed maturities (amortized cost of \$413,816 and \$416,135 as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	\$413,307	\$409,088
Total investments	413,307	409,088
Cash and cash equivalents	34,671	55,929
Accrued investment income	1,989	2,001
Premiums receivable	143	19
Prepaid expenses	1,139	1,519
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	1,051	90
Goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets	3,634	3,634
Software and equipment, net	10,172	8,876
Other assets	57	63
Total Assets	\$466,163	\$481,219
Liabilities	·	•
Unearned premiums	\$7,679	\$1,446
Reserve for insurance claims and claims expenses	28	_
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	8,494	10,052
Warrant liability, at fair value	4,552	6,371
Current tax payable	1,367	-
Deferred tax liability	133	133
Total Liabilities	22,253	18,002
Commitments and contingencies	,	,
Shareholders' Equity		
Common stock - Class A shares, \$0.01 par value,		
58,363,334 and 58,052,480 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2014	584	581
and December 31, 2013, respectively (250,000,000 shares authorized)		
Additional paid-in capital	558,432	553,707
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(3,173)	(7,047)
Accumulated deficit	(111,933)	(84,024)
Total Shareholders' Equity	443,910	463,217
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$466,163	\$481,219
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		
6		

NMI HOLDINGS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (UNAUDITED)

30,				30,			
						2013	
(In Thousands,	, ez	xcept for share d	lat	(a)			
\$5,051		\$1		\$10,229		\$1	
5,051		1		10,229		1	
(2,958)			(6,232)	_	
2,093		1		3,997		1	
1,468		1,407		2,957		1,817	
		452		_		481	
t _{0.5.2}		(1 114	`	1.760		(1.000	`
952		(1,114)	1,769		(1,080)
				37		_	
4,513		746		8,760		1,219	
,				- ,		, -	
28		_		28		_	
42		_		61		_	
18,595		17.020		37.877		29,445	
		•				•	
)	•))	•)
•)		,	•)		,
)	(16.274))	(28 226)
(12,033	,	(10,271	,	(27,50)	,	(20,220	,
X							
		(10,210)	3,874		(9,323)
x 840		(10.210)	3 874		(9 323)
	`	•)	=	`	* *)
Ψ(12,013	,	Ψ(20,704	J	ψ(27,033	,	Ψ(37,343	,
)	\$(0.29 55,629,932)	\$(0.48 58,176,181)	\$(0.51 55,565,374)
	30, 2014 (In Thousands, \$5,051 5,051 (2,958 2,093 1,468 — 4,513 28 42 18,595 18,665 (14,152 (1,297 (12,855) x	30, 2014 (In Thousands, examples of the state of the st	30, 2014 2013 (In Thousands, except for share of \$5,051 \$1 5,051 1 (2,958)— 2,093 1 1,468 1,407 — 452 11 952 (1,114 — — 4,513 746 28 — 42 — 18,595 17,020 18,665 17,020 (14,152) (16,274 (1,297)— (12,855) (16,274 x 840 (10,210 x 840 (10,210 x 840 (10,210 x 840 (10,210 x 840 (10,210	30, 2014 2013 (In Thousands, except for share date) \$5,051 \$1 5,051 1 (2,958)— 2,093 1 1,468 1,407 — 452 11 952 (1,114)— 4,513 746 28 — 42 — 18,595 17,020 18,665 17,020 (14,152) (16,274) (1,297)— (12,855) (16,274) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210) x 840 (10,210)	30, 30, 2014 (In Thousands, except for share data) \$5,051 \$1 \$1,0,229 5,051 \$1 10,229 (2,958) — (6,232 2,093	30, 30, 2014 2013 2014 (In Thousands, except for share data) \$5,051 \$1 \$1,0229 5,051 \$1 10,229 (2,958)— (6,232) 2,093 \$1 3,997 1,468 1,407 2,957 — 452 — It 952 (1,114) 1,769 — 37 4,513 746 8,760 28 — 28 42 — 61 18,595 17,020 37,877 18,665 17,020 37,966 (14,152) (16,274) (29,206) (1,297)— (1,297) (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) x 840 (10,210) 3,874 \$(12,015) \$(26,484) \$(24,035) \$(0.22) \$(0.29) \$(0.48) 58,289,801 55,629,932 58,176,181	2014 2013 2014 2013 (In Thousands, except for share data) \$5,051 \$1 \$1 \$10,229 \$1 2,958) — (6,232) — 2,093 \$1 \$3,997 \$1 1,468 \$1,407 \$2,957 \$1,817 — 452 — 481 \$1952 \$(1,114) 1,769 \$(1,080) — - 37 — 4,513 \$746 \$8,760 \$1,219 28 — 28 — 42 — 61 — 18,595 \$17,020 \$37,877 \$29,445 18,665 \$17,020 \$37,966 \$29,445 (14,152) (16,274) (29,206) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (27,909) (28,226 (1,297) — (12,855) (16,274) (29,206) (29,206) (29,206) (29,206

NMI HOLDINGS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Common stock		Additional	Accumulated Other	.d		
	Class A	Class B	Paid-in Capital	Comprehensiv Loss	Accumulate ve Deficit	Total Total	
Balance, January 1, 2013	(In Thousand \$553	ds) \$2	\$517,032	\$ 1	\$(28,840)\$488,748	
Common stock Class A shares issued under stock plans, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	f ₁	_	(1,579)—	_	(1,578)
Common stock Class A shares issued related to initial public offering (net of expenses of \$3,483)	25	_	27,887	_	_	27,912	
Conversion of Class B shares of common stock into Class A shares of common stock	2	(2)—	_	_	_	
Share-based compensation expense	_	_	10,367	_	_	10,367	
Change in unrealized investment gains/losses, net of tax of \$0	_	_	_	(7,048) —	(7,048)
Net loss Balance, December 31, 2013			 \$553,707		(55,184) \$(84,024) (55,184) \$463,217)
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$581	\$ —	\$553,707	\$ (7,047) \$(84,024)\$463,217	
Common stock Class A shares issued related to warrants	*	_	13	_	_	13	
Common stock Class A shares issued under stock plans, net of shares withheld from employee taxes	7	_	11	_	_	14	
Share-based compensation expense	_	_	4,701	_	_	4,701	
Change in unrealized investment gains/losses, net of tax of \$2,664	_	_	_	3,874		3,874	
Net loss Balance, June 30, 2014			— \$558,432	- \$ (3,173	(27,909) \$(111,933)(27,909)\$443,910)

^{*}During the first half of 2014, we issued 1,115 common shares with a par value of \$0.01 related to the exercise of warrants, which is not visible in this schedule due to rounding.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NMI HOLDINGS, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	For the Six Mor 2014	nth	as Ended June 3 2013	30,
Cash Flows From Operating Activities	(In Thousands)			
Net loss	\$(27,909)	\$(28,226)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Net realized investment gains			(481)
(Gain) loss from change in fair value of warrant liability	(1,769)	1,080	
Gain from settlement of warrants	(37)		
Depreciation and other amortization	4,270		2,713	
Share-based compensation expense	4,701		6,859	
Benefit for taxes on current year unrealized gains	(1,297)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accrued investment income	12		(2,105)
Premiums receivable	(124)		
Prepaid expenses	380		(540)
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	(961)		
Other assets	7		53	
Unearned premiums	6,232			
Reserve for insurance claims and claims expenses	28			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,558)	(2,292)
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(18,025)	(22,939)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities				
Purchase of short-term investments			(510)
Purchase of fixed-maturity investments, available-for-sale	(110)	(552,174)
Proceeds from maturity of short-term investments			5,375	
Proceeds from redemptions, maturities and sale of fixed-maturity investments,	1 122		114.005	
available-for-sale	1,133		114,995	
Purchase of software and equipment	(4,270)	(3,084)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(3,247)	(435,398)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities				
Issuance of common stock	1,086			
Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards	(1,072)	(1,578)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	14		(1,578)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(21,258)	(459,915)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period	55,929		485,855	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$34,671		\$25,940	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				
Q				

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

NMI Holdings, Inc. ("NMIH"), a Delaware corporation, was formed in May 2011 with the intention of providing private mortgage guaranty insurance through a wholly owned insurance subsidiary. From May 2011 through March 2013, our activities were limited to raising capital, seeking to acquire the assets and approvals necessary to become a private mortgage guaranty insurance provider and hiring personnel. In April 2013, we, through our primary insurance subsidiary, National Mortgage Insurance Corporation ("NMIC"), wrote our first mortgage guaranty insurance policy. As of June 30, 2014, we had \$939.8 million primary insurance in force ("IIF") and \$4.9 billion pool IIF, with \$220.9 million of primary risk-in-force ("RIF") and \$93.1 million of pool RIF.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of NMIH and its wholly owned subsidiaries, NMIC, National Mortgage Reinsurance Inc One ("Re One"), and National Mortgage Reinsurance Inc Two ("Re Two"). On September 30, 2013, we merged Re Two into NMIC with NMIC surviving the merger. On November 30, 2011, we entered into an agreement with MAC Financial Ltd. to acquire MAC Financial Holding Corporation and its subsidiaries, which were renamed NMIC, Re One and Re Two, for \$8.5 million in cash, common stock and warrants plus the assumption of \$1.3 million in liabilities ("MAC Acquisition"). In addition, we incurred \$0.1 million in deferred tax liabilities as a result of the acquisition of certain indefinite-lived intangibles. The MAC Acquisition was completed in April 2012. On September 30, 2013, we merged MAC Financial Holding Corporation into NMIH, with NMIH surviving the merger.

In April 2012, we offered and sold 55.0 million shares of common stock at an issue price of \$10.00 per share in a private placement ("Private Placement"). Gross proceeds from the Private Placement were \$550.0 million. Net proceeds from the Private Placement, after an approximate 7% underwriting fee and other offering expenses, were approximately \$510 million. The fee was escrowed for the benefit of FBR Capital Markets and Co. ("FBR") and was released to FBR upon NMIC's receipt of approval from Federal National Home Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") as a qualified mortgage guarantee insurer ("GSE Approval").

Under the terms of certain Registration Rights Agreements to which we are a party (collectively the "Registration Right Agreement"), we were required to obtain GSE Approval on or before January 17, 2013. NMIC was approved as an eligible mortgage guaranty insurer by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae on January 15, 2013 and January 16, 2013, respectively, which approvals require NMIC to continue meeting certain conditions, which include an agreement to maintain minimum capital of \$150 million at NMIC and that NMIC not exceed a risk-to-capital ratio of 15:1 for its first three years. Although NMIC's capital and risk-to-capital ratio are well within these constraints, at June 30, 2014, NMIH had sufficient resources to downstream cash to either insurance subsidiary, as necessary, to comply with all commitments.

In November 2013, we completed an initial public offering of 2.4 million shares of our common stock (the "IPO") and our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ on November 8, 2013, under the symbol "NMIH." For a further discussion see "Note 2, Common Stock Offerings."

On April 7, 2014, we received our final certificate of authority (our insurance license permitting us to write mortgage guaranty insurance in that state) from the state of Wyoming. With Wyoming, we are now licensed in all 50 states and Washington D.C.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which include the results of NMIH and its wholly owned subsidiaries, have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q as prescribed by the United States ("U.S.") Securities and Exchange Commission for interim reporting and include all of the other information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("GAAP"). Our accounts are maintained in U.S. dollars. These statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well

as disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date. Estimates also affect the reported amounts of income and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for the interim period may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2014.

Basic net loss per share is based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, while diluted net loss per share is based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and common stock equivalents that would be issuable upon the exercise of stock options, other stock-based compensation arrangements, and the dilutive effect of outstanding warrants. As a result of our net losses for the quarters ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, 5.9 million and 5.3 million shares of our common

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

stock equivalents that we issued as of each respective period under stock-based compensation arrangements and warrants were not included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share as of such dates because they were anti-dilutive.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Costs directly associated with the successful acquisition of mortgage guaranty insurance policies, consisting of certain selling expenses and other policy issuance and underwriting expenses, are initially deferred and reported as deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"). For each book year of business, these costs are amortized to income in proportion to estimated gross profits over the estimated life of the policies. We recorded net DAC of \$1.1 million at June 30, 2014 and \$90.2 thousand at December 31, 2013.

Premium Deficiency Reserves

We consider whether a premium deficiency exists at each fiscal quarter using best estimate assumptions as of the testing date. Per ASC 944, a premium deficiency reserve shall be recognized if the sum of expected claim costs and claim adjustment expenses, expected dividends to policyholders, unamortized acquisition costs, and maintenance costs exceeds related unearned premiums and anticipated investment income. We have determined that no premium deficiency reserves were necessary for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 or for the year ended December 31, 2013. Reclassifications

Certain items in the financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. There was no effect on net income or shareholders' equity previously reported.

Subsequent Events

Effective July 1, 2014, we entered into a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with Arch U.S. MI Services, Inc. ("Arch"), Germaine J. Marks and Truitte D. Todd, in their capacities as, respectively, Receiver and Special Deputy Receiver of PMI Mortgage Insurance Co., in Rehabilitation (collectively, the "Receiver") and PMI Mortgage Insurance Co., in Rehabilitation ("PMI"), to settle the complaint filed on August 8, 2012 by the Receiver against NMIH, NMIC and certain employees of the Company (collectively the "Defendants"), in California Superior Court, Alameda County (the "PMI Complaint"). Pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated February 7, 2013, between Arch and PMI, PMI transferred and assigned to Arch all causes of action pursued in the PMI Complaint. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company and its insurance carriers made a settlement payment in favor of Arch, and Arch released the Defendants from all claims alleged in the PMI Complaint. Per the settlement agreement, Arch moved to dismiss the PMI Complaint with prejudice, which the Court granted on July 28, 2014. The Company's portion of the settlement payment has been recorded in the Company's financial statements as of the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

On July 10, 2014, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") released for public input the proposed Private Mortgage Insurer Eligibility Requirements ("PMIERs"). The PMIERs, when finalized and effective, establish operational, business, remedial and financial requirements applicable to private mortgage insurers that insure residential mortgages on loans owned or guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We discuss these proposed PMIERs in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Proposed PMIERs," below.

We have considered subsequent events through the date of this filing.

2. Common Stock Offerings

We entered into a purchase/placement agreement that closed in April 2012, pursuant to which we offered and sold an aggregate of 55,000,000 of our Class A common shares, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$510 million after an approximate 7% underwriting fee and other offering expenses. On November 8, 2013, we completed an initial public offering of 2.4 million shares of common stock, and our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ under the symbol "NMIH". Net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$28 million, after an approximate 6% underwriting fee and other offering expenses and reimbursements pursuant to the underwriting agreement.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

3. Investments

12

We have designated our investment portfolio as available-for-sale and report it at fair value. The related unrealized gains and losses are, after considering the related tax expense or benefit, recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in shareholders' equity. Net realized investment gains and losses are reported in income based upon specific identification of securities sold.

Fair Values and Gross Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments

	Amortized	Gross Unrealized		Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
As of June 30, 2014	(In Thousands)			
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	f \$107,929	\$29	\$(650	\$107,308
Municipal bonds	12,013	54	(18	12,049
Corporate debt securities	221,111	1,072	(1,113	221,070
Asset-backed securities	72,763	396	(279	72,880
Total Investments	\$413,816	\$1,551	\$(2,060	\$413,307
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized		Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
As of December 31, 2013	(In Thousands)			
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$108,067	\$ —	\$(1,461	\$106,606
Municipal bonds	12,017	1	(85	11,933
Corporate debt securities	221,899	157	(4,799	217,257
Asset-backed securities	74,152	114	(974	73,292
Total Investments	\$416,135	\$272	\$(7,319	\$409,088

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Scheduled Maturities

The amortized cost and fair values of available for sale securities at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Because most asset-backed securities provide for periodic payments throughout their lives, they are listed below in separate categories.

categories.					
As of June 30, 2014			Amortized	Fair	
713 01 Julie 30, 2014			Cost	Value	
			(In Thousands)		
Due in one year or less			\$2,674	\$2,675	
Due after one through five years			265,261	264,556	
Due after five through ten years			57,718	57,843	
Due after ten years			15,400	15,353	
Asset-backed securities			72,763	72,880	
Total Investments			\$413,816	\$413,307	
As of December 21, 2012			Amortized	Fair	
As of December 31, 2013			Cost	Value	
			(In Thousands)		
Due in one year or less			\$ —	\$ —	
Due after one through five years			260,855	257,501	
Due after five through ten years			65,687	63,440	
Due after ten years			15,441	14,855	
Asset-backed securities			74,152	73,292	
Total Investments			\$416,135	\$409,088	
Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses) o	n Investments				
	For the Three Mo	nths Ended June	E 4 C M 4	1	
	30,		For the Six Mont	ns Ended June 30	Ι,
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(In Thousands)				
Corporate debt securities	\$	\$488	\$ —	\$517	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of	f	(16	1	(16	`
U.S. government agencies		(10	, —	(10	,
Asset-backed securities	_	(20) —	(20)
Total Net Realized Investment Gains	\$ —	\$452	\$ —	\$481	

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Aging of Unrealized Losses

At June 30, 2014, the investment portfolio had gross unrealized losses of \$2.1 million, \$2.0 million of which has been in an unrealized loss position for a period of 12 months or greater. We did not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2014. We based our conclusion that these investments were not other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2014 on the following facts: (i) the unrealized losses were primarily caused by interest rate movements since the purchase date; (ii) we do not intend to sell these investments and; (iii) we do not believe that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell these investments before recovery of our amortized cost basis, which may not occur until maturity. For those securities in an unrealized loss position, the length of time the securities were in such a position is as follows:

of time the securities v		an 12 Mont		110		ths or Great	ter		Total			
	# of Securiti	Fair Value	Unrealize Losses	ed	# of Securiti	Fair Value	Unrealiz Losses	ed	# of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealiz Losses	ed
As of June 30, 2014		(Dollars in		ds								
U.S. Treasury												
securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	1	\$124	\$—		15	\$74,465	\$(650)	16	\$74,589	\$(650)
Municipal bonds	_	_			1	1,732	(18)	1	1,732	(18)
Corporate debt securities	5	2,216	(6)	27	96,788	(1,107)	32	99,004	(1,113)
Assets-backed securities	2	10,757	(101)	6	27,130	(178)	8	37,887	(279)
Total Investments	8 Less Th	\$13,097 an 12 Mon	\$(107)	49 12 Mor	\$200,115 on the or Grea)	57 Total	\$213,212	\$(2,060)
	# of		Unrealiza	ed			Unrealiz	ed			Unrealiz	ed
	Securiti	Fair Value	Losses	cu	Securit	Fair Valu	e Losses	cu	Securitie	Fair Value	Losses	Cu
As of December 31, 2013			n Thousan	ds)							
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	19	\$106,606	\$(1,461)	_	\$—	\$—		19	\$106,606	\$(1,461)
Municipal bonds	2	4,915	(85)	_	_	_		2	4,915	(85)
Corporate debt securities	47	187,714	(4,799)		_	_		47	187,714	(4,799)
Assets-backed securities	11	58,225	(974)	_	_	_		11	58,225	(974)
Total Investments	79	\$357,460	\$(7,319)	_	\$ —	\$		79	\$357,460	\$(7,319)
Net investment incom	e is comp	orised of the		_								
				hr	ee Mont	ths Ended Ju	ine Fo	r t	he Six M	onths Ende	d June 30	,
			30, 2014		,	2013	20	11		2013		
			(In Thous	sai		2013	20	17		2013		
Fixed maturities			\$1,605			\$1,447	\$3	,23	31	\$2,01	2	
Cash equivalents			_		-		_			2		
Investment income			1,605			1,447	3,2		l	2,014		,
Investment expenses			(137			(40 \$1.407) (27		57) (197	7)
Net Investment Incom	ie		\$1,468			\$1,407	\$2	,9.) [\$1,81	1	

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were approximately \$7.1 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, of cash and investments in the form of U.S. Treasury securities on deposit with various state insurance departments to satisfy regulatory requirements.

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following describes the valuation techniques used by us to determine the fair value of financial instruments held at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

We established a fair value hierarchy by prioritizing the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under this standard are described below:

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 - Prices or valuations based on observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The level of market activity used to determine the fair value hierarchy is based on the availability of observable inputs market participants would use to price an asset or a liability, including market value price observations.

Assets classified as Level 1 and Level 2

To determine the fair value of securities available-for-sale in Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, independent pricing sources have been utilized. One price is provided per security based on observable market data. To ensure securities are appropriately classified in the fair value hierarchy, we review the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing sources and believe that their policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the issue valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded. A variety of inputs are utilized by the independent pricing sources including benchmark yields, reported trades, non-binding broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including data published in market research publications. Inputs may be weighted differently for any security, and not all inputs are used for each security evaluation. Market indicators, industry and economic events are also considered. This information is evaluated using a multidimensional pricing model. Quality controls are performed by the independent pricing sources throughout this process, which include reviewing tolerance reports, trading information and data changes, and directional moves compared to market moves. This model combines all inputs to arrive at a value assigned to each security. We have not made any adjustments to the prices obtained from the independent pricing sources.

Liabilities classified as Level 3

The warrants outstanding are valued using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model in combination with a binomial model and Monte Carlo simulation used to value the pricing protection features within the warrants. Variables in the model include the risk-free rate of return, dividend yield, expected life and expected volatility of our stock price. ASC 825, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, requires all entities to disclose the fair value of their financial instruments, both assets and liabilities recognized and not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair value.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following is a list of those assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value by hierarchy level as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

,	Fair Value Measu	rements Using				
Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value		
As of June 30, 2014	(In Thousands)					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$49,911	\$57,397	\$ —	\$107,308		
Municipal bonds		12,049	_	12,049		
Corporate debt securities		221,070	_	221,070		
Asset-backed securities		72,880	_	72,880		
Cash and cash equivalents	34,671	_	_	34,671		
Total Assets	\$84,582	\$363,396	\$ —	\$447,978		
Warrant liability	\$ —	\$	\$4,552	\$4,552		
Total Liabilities	\$ —	\$	\$4,552	\$4,552		
	Fair Value Measurements Using					
Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value		
As of December 31, 2013	(In Thousands)					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$49,484	\$57,122	\$—	\$106,606		
Municipal bonds	_	11,933	_	11,933		
Corporate debt securities	_	217,257	_	217,257		
Asset-backed securities		73,292		73,292		
Cash and cash equivalents	55,929	_	_	55,929		
Total Assets	\$105,413	\$359,604	\$ —	\$465,017		
Warrant liability	\$ —	\$	\$6,371	\$6,371		
Total Liabilities	\$ —	\$ —	\$6,371	\$6,371		
The following is a roll-forward of Level 3	liabilities measured	d at fair value for th	e six months ended	June 30, 2014		
and the year ended December 31, 2013:						

4 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

Level 3 Instruments Only	Warrant Liability			
Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	(In Thousands)			
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$6,371			
Change in fair value of warrant liability included in earnings	(1,769)		
Gain on settlement of warrants	(37)		
Issuance of common stock on warrant exercise	(13)		
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$4,552			
Level 3 Instruments Only	Warrant Liability			
Year Ended December 31, 2013	(In Thousands)			
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$4,842			
Change in fair value of warrant liability included in earnings	1,529			
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$6,371			

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We revalue the warrant liability quarterly using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model in combination with a binomial model and a Monte-Carlo simulation model used to value the pricing protection features within the warrant. As of June 30, 2014 the assumptions used in the option pricing model were as follows: a common stock price as of June 30, 2014 of \$10.50, risk free interest rate of 2.02%, expected life of 6.58 years, expected volatility of 39.0%, and a dividend yield of 0%. The change in fair value is primarily attributable to a decline in the price of our common stock from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

The carrying value of other selected assets on our consolidated balance sheet approximates fair value.

5. Reserves for Insurance Claims and Claims Expenses

We establish claim reserves to recognize the estimated liability for insurance claims and claim expenses related to defaults on insured mortgage loans. Our method, consistent with industry practice, is to establish claim reserves only for loans in default. We have received our first notice of default ("NOD") within our primary insurance book in the second quarter of 2014 and have established a reserve for that NOD and for claims that we believe have been incurred but not reported ("IBNR") for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2013 we established no claim or IBNR reserves. Additionally, we entered into a pool insurance transaction with Fannie Mae, effective September 1, 2013. For this pool transaction, any claim reserves potentially established would be in excess of the transaction's deductible, which represents the amount of claims absorbed by Fannie Mae before we are obligated to pay any claims under the policy. Due to the size of the deductible (\$10.3 million), the low level of NODs reported through June 30, 2014 and the high quality of the loans, we have not established any pool reserves for claims or IBNR for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 or for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserve balances for insurance claims and claims expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

claims expenses for the six months ended rune 30, 2014 and 2013.	Six Months Ende	d
	2014	2013
	(In Thousands)	
Reserve at beginning of period	\$ —	\$ —
Claims incurred:		
Claims and Claims expenses incurred in respect of default notices related to:		
Current year	28	_
Prior years	_	
Total claims incurred	28	_
Claims paid:		
Claims and Claims Expenses paid in respect of default notices related to:		
Current year	_	
Prior years	_	_
Total claims paid	_	_
	4.0 0	
Reserve at end of period	\$28	\$—
17		
1/		

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

6. Software and Equipment

Software and equipment includes capitalized software purchased in connection with the MAC Acquisition which had a fair value of \$5.0 million at the date of acquisition, as well as software we have developed. Software and equipment, net of accumulated amortization and depreciation, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consist of the following:

	As of June 30,	As of December
	2014	31,
	2014	2013
	(In Thousands)	
Software	\$17,617	\$14,140
Equipment	561	542
Leasehold improvements	904	141
Subtotal	19,082	14,823
Accumulated amortization and depreciation	(8,910) (5,947
Software and equipment, net	\$10,172	\$8,876

Amortization and depreciation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.7 million, \$3.0 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

7. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets and goodwill consist of identifiable intangible assets and goodwill purchased in connection with the MAC Acquisition. Intangible assets and goodwill, net, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, consist of the following:

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	(In Thousands)		
Goodwill	\$3,244	Indefinite	
State licenses	260	Indefinite	
GSE applications	130	Indefinite	
Total Intangible Assets and Goodwill	\$3,634		

We test goodwill and intangibles for impairment in the third and fourth quarter, respectively, of every year, or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist. We have not identified any impairments of goodwill or impairments of indefinite-lived intangibles as of June 30, 2014.

8. Income Taxes

We are a U.S. taxpayer and are subject to a statutory U.S. federal corporate income tax rate of 35%. Our holding company files a consolidated U.S. federal and various state income tax returns on behalf of itself and its subsidiaries. Our effective income tax rate on our pre-tax loss was 9.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 0.0% for the comparable 2013 period. Our effective income tax rate on our pre-tax loss was 4.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 0.0% for the comparable 2013 period.

The income tax benefit of \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is related to the tax effects of unrealized gains credited to other comprehensive income ("OCI"). Generally, the amount of tax expense or benefit allocated to continuing operations is determined without regard to the tax effects of other categories of income or loss, such as OCI. However, an exception to the general rule is provided in ASC 740-20-45-7 when there is a pre-tax loss from continuing operations and there are items charged or credited to other categories, including OCI, in the current year. The intra-period tax allocation rules related to items charged or credited directly to OCI can result in disproportionate tax effects that remain in OCI until certain events occur. As a result of a reduction in unrealized losses credited directly to OCI during the six months ended June 30, 2014, approximately \$2.7 million of tax provision expense has been netted with current year unrealized gains in OCI, and \$1.3 million of tax provision benefit was allocated to the income tax provision for continuing operations. Other benefits from income taxes were eliminated or reduced by the recognition of a full valuation allowance which was recorded to reflect the amount of the deferred taxes that may not be realized.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we have a net deferred tax liability of \$0.1 million as a result of the acquisition of indefinite-lived intangibles in the MAC Acquisition for which no benefit has been reflected in the acquired net operating loss carry forwards. The tax liability incurred at the acquisition is recorded as an increase in goodwill.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

9. Share Based Compensation

A summary of option activity under our 2012 Stock Incentive Plan during the quarters ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share
	(Shares in Thous	ands)	
Options outstanding at December 31, 2013	3,063	\$10.31	\$3.98
Options granted	710	12.28	4.95
Options exercised	(109	10.00	3.85
Options forfeited	(64	11.16	4.37
Options outstanding at June 30, 2014	3,600	\$10.69	\$4.17
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share
	(Shares in Thous	ands)	
Options outstanding at December 31, 2012	2,547	\$10.00	\$3.86
Options granted	532	11.78	4.56
Options forfeited	(10	10.00	3.84
Options outstanding at June 30, 2013	3,069	\$10.31	\$3.98

As of June 30, 2014, there were 109 thousand options exercised and 1.6 million options were fully vested and exercisable. The weighted average exercise price for the fully vested and exercisable options was \$10.21. The remaining weighted average contractual life of options fully vested and exercisable as of June 30, 2014 was 7.8 years. The aggregate intrinsic value for fully vested and exercisable options was \$0.7 million as of June 30, 2014. The fair value of option grants to employees is determined based on a Black-Scholes simulation model at the date of grant. A summary of RSU activity in the plan during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant
	Shares	Date Fair Value
		per Share
	(Shares in Tho	ousands)
Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2013	1,242	\$7.75
Restricted stock units granted	359	11.60
Restricted stock units vested	(295) 8.23
Restricted stock units forfeited	(36) 9.29
Non-vested restricted stock units at June 30, 2014	1,270	\$8.68
		Weighted
	Shares	Average Grant
	Silares	Date Fair Value
		per Share
	(Shares in Tho	ousands)
Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2012	1,429	\$7.35
Restricted stock units granted	82	11.75
Restricted stock units vested	(262) 6.79
Restricted stock units forfeited	<u></u>	_
Non-vested restricted stock units at June 30, 2013	1,249	\$7.76

At June 30, 2014, the 1.3 million shares of non-vested RSUs consisted of 0.5 million shares that are subject to both a market and service condition and 0.8 million shares that are subject only to service conditions. The non-vested RSUs subject to both a market

NMI HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

and service condition vest in one-half increments upon the achievement of certain market price goals and continued service. Non-vested RSUs subject only to a service condition vest over a service period ranging from 1 to 3 years. The fair value of RSUs subject to market and service conditions is determined based on a Monte Carlo simulation model at the date of grant. The fair value of RSUs subject only to service conditions are valued at our stock price on the date of grant less the present value of anticipated dividends, which is \$0.

On May 8, 2014 we held our annual shareholder meeting. Our shareholders voted to approve our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, which authorizes us to make 4 million shares of our class A common stock available for grant. These shares may be either authorized but unissued shares or treasury shares.

10. Warrants

We issued 992.0 thousand warrants, to FBR and the former stockholders of MAC Financial Ltd., upon the completion of our Private Placement and in conjunction with the MAC Acquisition, respectively. Each warrant gave the holder thereof the right to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$10.00. The warrants were issued with an aggregate fair value of \$5.1 million.

Upon exercise of these warrants, the amounts will be reclassified from warrant liability to additional paid-in capital. During the first quarter of 2014, 7.8 thousand warrants were exercised and we issued 1.1 thousand Class A common shares via a cashless exercise. Upon exercise we reclassified the fair value of the warrants from warrant liability to additional paid in capital and recognized a gain of approximately \$37 thousand.

We account for these warrants to purchase our common shares in accordance with ASC 470-20, Debt with Conversion and Other Options and ASC 815-40, Derivatives and Hedging - Contracts in Entity's Own Equity.

11. Litigation

On August 8, 2012, the Receiver of PMI filed the PMI Complaint against NMIH, NMIC and certain employees of the Company in California Superior Court, Alameda County. Effective July 1, 2014, we entered into a settlement agreement to settle the PMI Complaint. See Note 1, Organization and Basis of Presentation, Subsequent Events. 12. Statutory Information

Our insurance subsidiaries, NMIC and Re One, file financial statements in conformity with statutory basis accounting principles ("SAP") prescribed or permitted by the Wisconsin Office of the Commissioner of Insurance ("Wisconsin OCI"). NMIC's principal regulator is the Wisconsin OCI. Prescribed SAP includes state laws, regulations and general administrative rules, as well as a variety of publications of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). The Wisconsin OCI recognizes only statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the state of Wisconsin for determining and reporting the financial condition and results of operations of an insurance company and for determining its solvency under Wisconsin insurance laws.

NMIC and Re One's combined statutory net loss, statutory surplus and contingency reserve as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and for the year ended December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	June 30, 2014	2013	Ι,
	(In Thousands)		
Statutory net loss	\$(24,637) \$(33,307)
Statutory surplus	185,061	189,698	
Contingency reserve	4,312	2,314	

Under applicable Wisconsin law, as well as that of 15 other states, a mortgage guaranty insurer must maintain a minimum amount of statutory capital relative to the risk-in-force (Risk to Capital ratio or "RTC ratio") in order for the mortgage guaranty insurer to continue to write new business. We refer to these requirements as the "RTC requirement." While formulations of minimum capital may vary in each jurisdiction that has such a requirement, the most common measure applied allows for a maximum permitted RTC ratio of 25 to 1. Wisconsin and certain other states, including California and Illinois, apply a substantially similar requirement referred to as minimum policyholders position. Our operation plan filed with the Wisconsin OCI and other state insurance departments in connection with NMIC's applications for licensure includes the expectation that NMIH will downstream additional capital if needed so that NMIC does not exceed risk-to-capital ratios agreed to with those states. NMIC may in the future seek state insurance

department approvals, as needed, of an amendment to our business plan to increase this ratio to the Wisconsin regulatory minimum of 25 to 1.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Certain states limit the amount of risk a mortgage guaranty insurer may retain on a single loan to 25% of the indebtedness to the insured and as a result the portion of such insurance in excess of 25% must be reinsured. NMIC and Re One have entered into a primary excess share reinsurance agreement effective August 1, 2012 and a facultative pool reinsurance agreement effective September 1, 2013, under which NMIC cedes premiums, loss reserves and claims to Re One on an excess share basis for any primary or pool policy which offers coverage greater than 25% on any loan insured thereunder. NMIC will use reinsurance provided by Re One solely for purposes of compliance with statutory coverage limits. Currently, NMIC has no other reinsurance agreements. During April 2013, NMIC wrote its first mortgage insurance policies and ceded premium and risk to Re One the following month.

As of June 30, 2013, NMIC had six policies in force totaling approximately \$257 thousand of RIF, resulting in a non-meaningful RTC ratio. As of June 30, 2014, NMIC had \$314 million in total risk-in-force with a RTC ratio that was less than 2:1, significantly below the GSE and state imposed financial requirements. The risk-to-capital calculation for each of our insurance subsidiaries, as well as our combined risk-to-capital calculation, as of June 30, 2014, is presented below.

NMIC	Re One	Combined		
(In Thousands	s)			
\$220,949	\$ —	\$220,949		
_	17,969	17,969		
(17,969) —	(17,969)	
202,980	17,969	220,949		
93,090	_	93,090		
_	25,163	25,163		
(25,163) —	(25,163)	
67,927	25,163	93,090		
270,907	43,132	314,039		
175.784	9.277	185.061		
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
\$179,388	\$9,985	\$189,373		
1.5:1	4.3:1	1.7:1		
	(In Thousands \$220,949 — (17,969 202,980 93,090 — (25,163 67,927 270,907 175,784 3,604 \$179,388	(In Thousands) \$220,949	(In Thousands) \$220,949	

- (1) Pool risk-in-force as shown in the table above is equal to the aggregate stop loss less a deductible. Represents total risk-in-force divided by statutory policyholders' position which is the metric by which the majority of state insurance regulators will assess our capital adequacy. Additionally, pursuant to the 2013 Fannie
- (2) Mae pool agreement, we are required to maintain the greater of (a) the risk-to-capital requirements outlined in Fannie Mae's January 2013 approval letter or (b) a risk-to-capital ratio of 18:1 on primary business plus statutory capital equal to the amount of net risk-in-force of the pool.

NMIH is not subject to any limitations on its ability to pay dividends except those generally applicable to corporations that are incorporated in Delaware, such as NMIH. Delaware corporation law provides that dividends are only payable out of a corporation's capital surplus or (subject to certain limitations) recent net profits. As of December 31, 2013, NMIH's capital surplus was approximately \$463 million. NMIH assets, excluding investment in NMIC and Re One, were approximately \$276 million at December 31, 2013 and were unencumbered by any debt or other subsidiary commitments or obligations. The insurance subsidiaries are both mono-line mortgage guaranty insurance companies, and the assets of each are dedicated only to the support of direct risk and obligations of each mortgage insurance entity. NMIC only writes direct mortgage guaranty insurance business and assumes no business from any other entity. Re One only assumes business from NMIC to allow NMIC to comply with statutory risk requirements. Neither NMIC nor Re One have subsidiaries, and therefore do not have risks and obligations that compete for its resources, and

neither entity counts a subsidiary's asset in their admitted statutory assets.

The GSEs and state insurance regulators may restrict our insurance subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends to NMIH. In addition to the restrictions imposed during the GSE Approval and state licensing processes, the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends to NMIH is limited by insurance laws of the State of Wisconsin and certain other states. Wisconsin law provides

NMI HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

that an insurance company may pay out dividends without the prior approval of the Wisconsin OCI ("ordinary dividends") in an amount, when added to other shareholder distributions made in the prior 12 months, not to exceed the lesser of (a) 10% of the insurer's surplus as regards to policyholders as of the prior December 31, or (b) its net income (excluding realized capital gains) for the twelve month period ending December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year. In determining net income, an insurer may carry forward net income from the previous calendar years that has not already been paid out as a dividend. Dividends that exceed this amount are "extraordinary dividends," which require prior approval of the Wisconsin OCI. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of restricted net assets held by our consolidated insurance subsidiaries totaled approximately \$193 million of NMIH's consolidated net assets of \$463 million. The amount of restricted assets used to determine any dividend to NMIH, once all restrictions expire, would be computed under SAP which may differ from the amount of restricted assets computed under GAAP.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following analysis should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and the
notes thereto included in this report and our audited financial statements, notes thereto and "Management's Discussion
and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
year ended December 31, 2013, for a more complete understanding of our financial position and results of operations.
In addition, investors should review the "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" above and the "Risk
Factors" detailed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and
in Item 1A of Part II of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q filed in 2014, including this Quarterly Report on Form
10-Q, for a discussion of those risks and uncertainties that have the potential to affect our business, financial
condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects in a material and adverse manner. Our results of operations
for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year or for any other period.

Overview

NMI Holdings, Inc. ("NMIH" or the "Company") was formed in May 2011 and, through its subsidiaries, provides private mortgage guaranty insurance (which we refer to as "mortgage insurance" or "MI"). As used in this report, "we" and "our" refer to NMIH's consolidated operations. Our primary insurance subsidiary, National Mortgage Insurance Corporation ("NMIC"), is a qualified MI provider on loans purchased by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (collectively the "GSEs") and is currently licensed in all 50 states and D.C. to issue mortgage guaranty insurance. Our reinsurance subsidiary, National Mortgage Reinsurance Inc One ("Re One"), solely provides reinsurance to NMIC on certain loans insured by NMIC, as described in Note 12, Statutory Information, above. On November 8, 2013, we filed a final prospectus announcing the sale of approximately 2.1 million shares of common stock through our IPO. Following our IPO, and to meet our obligations under the Registration Rights Agreement, we filed a final prospectus on December 9, 2013 registering 51,101,434 Class A common shares that had previously been issued during our Private Placement. MI protects mortgage lenders from all or a portion of default-related losses on residential mortgage loans made to home buyers who generally make down payments of less than 20% of the home's purchase price. By protecting lenders and investors from credit losses, we help facilitate the availability of mortgages to prospective, primarily first-time, U.S. home buyers, thus promoting homeownership and helping to revitalize our residential communities. MI also facilitates the sale of these mortgage loans in the secondary mortgage market, most of which are sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We are one of seven companies in the U.S. who offer MI. Our business strategy is to become a leading national MI company with our principal focus on writing insurance on high quality, low down payment residential mortgages in the United States.

We believe the MI industry has significant barriers to entry due to the substantial capital necessary to fund operations and satisfy GSE requirements, the need for a customer-integrated operating platform capable of issuing and servicing mortgage insurance policies, the competitive positions and established customer relationships of existing mortgage insurance providers, and in order to conduct MI business nationwide, the need to obtain and maintain insurance licenses in all 50 states and D.C. Additionally, the resource commitment required by mortgage originators, and larger lenders in particular, to connect to a new mortgage insurance platform, such as ours, is significant, and absent a critical need, such as the capital constraints in the MI industry during the financial crisis, they have historically, in our view, been reluctant to make such an investment. We were formed at a time when the severe dislocation in the MI industry caused by the financial crisis created a need for newly capitalized mortgage insurers and this has facilitated our efforts to establish relationships with lenders. To date, we believe we have successfully navigated the Company through many of these barriers in order to start our insurance business.

Following our formation, we focused our efforts on organizational development, capital raising and other start-up related activities. Our efforts to build our MI business have included, among other things, securing GSE approval, obtaining insurance licenses in all 50 states and D.C., building an executive management team and hiring other key officers and directors and staff, building our operating processes, and designing and developing our business and technology applications and environment and infrastructure. In 2014, we continue to make progress achieving our goals, through the addition of new customers and the attainment of our goal of becoming licensed nationwide by obtaining a certificate of authority in Wyoming in April 2014. Since we began writing MI in April 2013, we have become a fully operational MI company, with \$939.8 million of primary IIF and \$4.9 billion of pool IIF as of June 30,

2014 compared to \$161.7 million of primary IIF and \$5.1 billion of pool IIF as of December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had primary RIF of \$220.9 million compared to primary RIF of \$36.5 million as of December 31, 2013. Pool RIF as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$93.1 million.

NMIC primarily differentiates itself from its competitors by underwriting all loans it insures either prior to or post close, which permits us to provide loan originators and aggregators with 12-month rescission relief protection, thereby giving our customers dependable service and consistent confidence of coverage. We have established risk management controls throughout our organization that we believe will support our continued financial strength. As a newly capitalized mortgage insurer, we have the ability to write new business without the burden of risky legacy exposures and believe our current capital supports our current business writing strategy, while staying within the regulatory guidelines imposed by state insurance departments and the GSEs.

On July 10, 2014, the FHFA released for public input the proposed PMIERs. The draft PMIERs represent the standards by which private mortgage insurers are eligible to provide mortgage insurance on loans owned or guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We believe that the proposed eligibility requirements for private mortgage insurers will help restore confidence in an industry affected by the recent housing crisis. We also believe a strong and financially sustainable private mortgage insurance industry is a key component of a healthy residential mortgage market and that NMIC is well positioned under the PMIERs to continue to serve the growing demand for private MI and to fully comply with the new financial requirements within the transition time period, which is described below.

Our headquarters are located in Emeryville, California and our website is www.nationalmi.com. Our website and the information contained on or accessible through our website are not incorporated by reference into this report. Conditions and Trends Impacting Our Business

We discuss below the following conditions and trends that have impacted or are expected to impact our business.

- •Customer Development
- •New Insurance Written, Insurance in Force and Risk in Force
- •Consolidated Results of Operations
- •Holding Company Liquidity and Capital Resources
- •Capital Position of Our Insurance Subsidiaries
- •Consolidated Investment Portfolio and Other Factors that Impact Our Consolidated Results
- Proposed PMIERs
- •GSE Approval Conditions and GSE Reform
- Competition
- •Other Items

Customer Development

We organize our sales and marketing efforts based on our national and regional customer segmentation. Our sales strategy is focused on attracting as customers mortgage originators in the United States that fall into two distinct categories, which we refer to as "National Accounts" and "Regional Accounts," discussed below. Since April 2013, we have increased our customer base to include some of the largest loan originators in the U.S. We expect to continue to add new lenders to our customer base throughout the remainder of 2014. In addition to adding new customers, we believe our existing customers will allocate more of their business to us for placement of our MI.

We define National Accounts as the most significant residential mortgage originators as determined by volume of their own originations as well as volume of insured business they may acquire from other originators. These National Accounts generally originate loans through their retail channels as well as purchase loans originated by other entities, primarily mortgage originators who we would classify as Regional Accounts, as described below. National Accounts may sell their loans to the GSEs or private label secondary markets or securitize the loans themselves. We currently classify approximately 40 mortgage originators and/or aggregators as National Accounts. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, six of these National Accounts generated NIW, and as of June 30, 2014, we had approved master policies with 22 National Accounts. We continue to make progress with the remaining National Accounts. The Regional Accounts originate mortgage loans on a local or regional level throughout the country. Some of these

Regional Accounts have origination platforms across multiple regions; however, their primary lending focus is local. They sell the majority of their originations to National Accounts, but Regional Accounts may also retain loans in their portfolios or sell portions of their production directly to the GSEs. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, 96 of these Regional Accounts generated NIW, and

as of June 30, 2014, we had approved master policies with 543 of these Regional Accounts. We believe we continue to make progress with the remaining Regional Accounts.

The tables below show the number of customers with approved master policies and the number of those customers generating NIW, by National and Regional Accounts, for the last five completed fiscal quarters.

Additionally, we have made significant progress in our efforts to increase our correspondent approvals and our access to regional accounts.

*Top Residential Correspondent Lenders in Q1 2014 as defined by National Mortgage News. As of March 31, 2014 there were 39 lenders on the list.

New Insurance Written, Insurance in Force and Risk in Force

NMIC began writing MI in April 2013. Primary insurance may be written on a flow basis, in which loans are insured in individual, loan-by-loan transactions, or may be written on a bulk basis, in which each loan in a portfolio of loans is individually insured in a single, bulk transaction. MI may also be written in a pool policy, where a group of loans (or pool) are insured under a single contract. Pool insurance may have a stated aggregate loss limit for a pool of loans and may also have a deductible under which no losses are paid by the insurer until losses on the pool of loans exceed the deductible.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2014, we had primary NIW of \$429.9 million, compared to primary NIW of \$354.3 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2014. We have not written any new pool insurance in 2014. Our total NIW of \$5.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013 consisted almost entirely of pool insurance written under our Fannie Mae pool agreement, which comprised \$5.2 billion of the total NIW.

As of June 30, 2014, NMIC had primary IIF of \$939.8 million and pool IIF of \$4.9 billion and total RIF of \$314.0 million, consisting of \$220.9 million of primary RIF, representing insurance on 3,865 loans, and pool RIF of \$93.1 million, representing insurance on approximately 21,000 loans. As of December 31, 2013, NMIC had primary IIF of \$161.7 million and pool IIF of \$5.1 billion and total RIF of \$129.6 million, consisting of primary RIF of \$36.5 million and pool RIF of \$93.1 million. We expect NMIC's primary IIF and RIF to significantly increase over the coming months as our operations continue to mature.

Fannie Mae Pool Transaction

Effective September 1, 2013, NMIC entered into an agreement with Fannie Mae, pursuant to which NMIC initially insured approximately 22,000 loans with IIF of \$5.2 billion (as of September 1, 2013). We receive monthly premiums from Fannie Mae for this transaction, which are recorded as written and earned in the month received. The agreement has a term of 10 years from September 1, 2013, the coverage effective date.

The RIF to NMIC is \$93.1 million, which represents the difference between a deductible payable by Fannie Mae on initial losses and a stop loss, above which, losses are borne by Fannie Mae. NMIC provides this same level of risk coverage over the term of the agreement. We are bound to counter-party requirements contained in the agreement that specify the amount of capital NMIC will need to maintain to support the agreement until the new PMIERs are effective, which we discuss below in "Proposed PMIERs." The capital we are required to maintain under the pool agreement is specified as the greater of the following:

a. the amount of required capital specified in our January 2013 approval letter from Fannie Mae (\$150 million); or b. the sum of:

- i.5.6% of net primary RIF, plus;
- ii. for pool insurance, the lesser of
- 1.5.6% of the RIF under the pool transaction, based upon loan level coverage, before application of the aggregate stop loss and deductible, or;
- 2. the aggregate stop loss amount, net of any deductible, for the pool transaction.

Although the agreement currently requires that NMIC hold at least \$150 million of capital in total to support both pool and primary risk, the capital we are required to maintain under this agreement just to support the pool risk (under b.ii.) will decline over the 10-year term of the agreement as the loans in the pool amortize or as loans pay off. The amount calculated under ii.2. is equivalent to \$93.1 million and remains the same over the term of the transaction. The current loan level RIF of the pool, as of June 30, 2014, is \$1.69 billion, which, when multiplied by 5.6% per the calculation under b.ii.1, produces a capital requirement of \$94.7 million. We expect that as the loans in the pool amortize or as loans payoff, the capital required in b.ii.1 will decline below the \$93.1 million, which is constant and set at the effective date of the transaction, and as a result we will be required to hold a declining amount of capital against this transaction. If the draft PMIERs (discussed below) were put into place today, we expect that the amount of capital we would have to hold to support this particular pool transaction would be \$44.1 million, a significant reduction from the current capital requirement under b.ii above.

Insurance Portfolio

We utilize certain risk principles that form the basis of how we originate primary NIW. First, we manage our portfolio credit risk by using several loan eligibility matrices which prescribe the maximum loan-to-value ("LTV") ratio, minimum borrower credit score, maximum loan size, property type and occupancy status of loans that we will insure. Our loan eligibility matrices, as well as all of our detailed underwriting guidelines, are contained in our Underwriting Guideline Manual that is publicly available on our website. Our eligibility criteria and underwriting guidelines are designed to mitigate the layered risk inherent in a single insurance policy. "Layered risk" refers to the accumulation of borrower, loan risk and property risk. For example, we have higher credit score and lower maximum allowed LTV requirements for riskier property types, such as investor properties, compared to owner-occupied properties. Another tool we use to manage our credit risk is to underwrite every loan we insure, including loans submitted through our delegated channel. We believe the prevailing standard of other companies in the MI industry has been to conduct partial quality assurance testing of delegated underwritten loans. We believe the industry's practice has exacerbated the negative impact of the recent mortgage crisis on legacy mortgage insurers because their partial quality control reviews did not adequately prevent the issuance of mortgage insurance through their delegated channels on ineligible, poor quality loans. Our pricing policies also help mitigate credit risk in the form of higher premium rates for loan features or borrower characteristics associated with historically higher default rates.

We monitor the concentrations of the various risk attributes in our insurance portfolio. Our NIW and risk written for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 was made up of approximately 67% and 66%, respectively, of credit scores at or above 740. Generally, insuring loans made to borrowers with higher credit scores tends to result in a lower frequency of claims. Additionally, as of June 30, 2014, we believe our insurance portfolio is comprised of loans that are full documentation loans, and less than 1% of our RIF is above 95% LTV. As we continue to increase our insurance writings, we expect to continue to seek out and insure high credit quality mortgages. Since we recently began writing MI in April 2013, our portfolio does not yet reflect our expected distribution of LTVs, borrower credit scores, loan sizes, property types and occupancy statuses of loans that we expect to insure, as well as the concentrations within

states and metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs"). We believe we will move toward our expected distribution of these risk attributes in our insurance portfolio as we continue to write more business.

Overview of NIW, IIF and RIF

A significant portion of our NIW in the first six months of 2014 was comprised of single premium policies. Our single premium policies are currently written in two ways: single premium policies written on a loan by loan basis ("Single") and single premium policies written on loans aggregated and delivered by the lender in a single transaction ("Aggregated Single"). Prior to writing Aggregated Single policies, the lender solicits single premium bids from us and other private MI companies. Because of the lower acquisition cost, the competitive bidding process and traditionally higher FICO scores associated with these policies, Aggregated Single policies have a lower premium than our Single premium policies.

While our single premium policies (including Single and Aggregated Single) currently represent the majority of our NIW and IIF, we expect the mix of our policy type to change meaningfully in future quarters with an increasing percentage of monthly premium policies. Our current long term expectation is for our total single premium polices (including Single and Aggregated Single) to collectively represent ten to twenty percent of our NIW and IIF as we expand our customer base and our business develops.

The tables on the following pages provide information on our current IIF by different metrics for the periods presented, including weighted average premiums (in basis points), FICO distributions, LTVs, premiums written and earned, average loan sizes and geographic distribution.

The table below shows NIW, IIF, RIF, policies in force, the weighted-average coverage, loans in default and the risk in force on that defaulted loan, by quarter, for the last four quarters, for our primary book.

Primary	Quarter Ended	Quarter Ended						
	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013				
	(Dollars in Thou	ısands)						
New insurance written	\$429,944	\$354,313	\$157,568	\$3,560				
Insurance in force (end of period)	\$939,753	\$514,796	\$161,731	\$4,604				
Risk in force (end of period)	\$220,949	\$115,467	\$36,516	\$1,196				
Policies in force (end of period)	3,865	2,072	653	22				
Weighted-average coverage (1)	23.5	% 22.4	6 22.6	% 26.0 %				
Loans in default (count)	1	_		_				
Risk in force on defaulted loans	\$100	\$ —	\$ —	\$				

⁽¹⁾ End of period RIF divided by IIF.

The table below shows primary and pool IIF, NIW and premiums written and earned by policy type. Primary and Pool

•	As of and for the quarter ended June 30, 2014			As of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2014				
	IIF	NIW	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	IIF	NIW	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned
	(In Thousan	ds)						
Monthly	\$277,490	\$206,767	\$301	\$301	\$73,734	\$50,136	\$99	\$99
Single	125,056	97,037	2,086	224	28,020	26,518	535	56
Aggregated Single	537,207	126,140	1,292	196	413,042	277,659	3,150	355
Total Primary	939,753	429,944	3,679	721	514,796	354,313	3,784	510
Pool	4,936,751	_	1,372	1,372	5,028,677	_	1,394	1,394
Total	\$5,876,504	\$429,944	\$5,051	\$2,093	\$5,543,473	\$354,313	\$5,178	\$1,904
	As of and fo	r the quarter	ended Decen	nber 31, 2013	As of and fo	or the quarter	ended Septer	mber 30, 2013
	IIF	NIW	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	IIF	NIW	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned
	(In Thousan	ds)						
Monthly	\$24,558	\$20,395	\$25	\$25	\$4,604	\$3,560	\$6	\$6
Single	1,790	1,790	47	7				_
Aggregated Single	135,383	135,383	1,572	166	_	_	_	_
Total Primary	161,731	157,568	1,644	198	4,604	3,560	6	6
Pool	5,089,517		1,414	1,414	5,171,664	5,171,664	476	476
Total	\$5,251,248	\$157,568	\$3,058	\$1,612		\$5,175,224	\$482	\$482

The tables below show the initial weighted average premium, in basis points, the weighted average FICO and the weighted average LTV, by policy type, for the quarter in which the policy was originated. Weighted Average Premium

e e	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
	(Shown in Basis	Points)			
Monthly	58	56	64	66	
Single	215	205	251	_	
Aggregated Single	102	113	116		
Weighted Average FICO					
	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30,	
Monthly	7.47	740		2013	
Monthly	747	749	747	762	
Single	746	752	735		
Aggregated Single	758	759	759		
Weighted Average LTV					
	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
Monthly	93 %	92 %	93 %	92 %	
Single	93	92	92	_	
Aggregated Single	90	90	90		

The table below reflects our total N	NIW, IIF and I	RIF by FICC) a	s of June 30,	2014.				
Total Portfolio	NIW			IIF			RIF		
	(Dollars in T	Thousands)							
	As of June 3	0, 2014							
>= 740	\$4,828,040	78.9	%	\$4,637,903	78.9	%	\$221,984	70.7	%
680 - 739	1,118,164	18.3		1,076,146	18.3		84,266	26.8	
620 - 679	171,889	2.8		162,455	2.8		7,789	2.5	
<= 619								_	
Total	\$6,118,093	100.0	%	\$5,876,504	100.0	%	\$314,039	100.0	%
The table below reflects our primar	ry NIW, IIF a	nd RIF by F	IC	O for the 201	4 and 2013	boo	oks as of June	2014.	
Primary - 2014 Book	NIW			IIF			RIF		
	(Dollars in 7	(Dollars in Thousands)							
	As of June 3	0, 2014							
>= 740	\$527,289	67.2	%	\$523,941	67.2	%	\$121,540	65.7	%
680 - 739	238,307	30.4		237,685	30.5		58,656	31.7	
620 - 679	18,661	2.4		18,492	2.3		4,796	2.6	
<= 619									