KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2013
Use these links to rapidly review the document
KAR Auction services, Inc. Table of Contents

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013
OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-34568

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	20-8744739
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

13085 Hamilton Crossing Boulevard
Carmel, Indiana 46032
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 923-3725

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer ý	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No ý
As of October 31, 2013, 138,830,992 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value $0.01 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Table of Contents

		Page
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Statements of Income	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	40
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	41
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	42
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	53
Item 6.	Exhibits	53
Signatures		55
Exhibit Index		56

Table of Contents

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Financial Statements
KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(In millions, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Operating revenues				
ADESA Auction Services	$275.4	$257.0	$844.3	$791.4
IAA Salvage Services	198.8	167.4	623.2	534.1
AFC	59.5	50.5	165.2	144.2
Total operating revenues	533.7	474.9	1,632.7	1,469.7
Operating expenses				
Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	296.2	264.1	926.5	796.7
Selling, general and administrative	120.3	102.3	333.3	319.9
Depreciation and amortization	49.6	46.8	145.9	143.4
Total operating expenses	466.1	413.2	1,405.7	1,260.0
Operating profit	67.6	61.7	227.0	209.7
Interest expense	26.0	29.9	79.3	89.8
Other income, net	(0.8)	(1.2)	(2.5)	(1.6)
Loss on modification/extinguishment of debt	—	—	5.4	—
Income before income taxes	42.4	33.0	144.8	121.5
Income taxes	19.6	13.8	59.5	52.4
Net income	$22.8	$19.2	$85.3	$69.1
Net income per share				
Basic	$0.16	$0.14	$0.62	$0.51
Diluted	$0.16	$0.14	$0.61	$0.50
Dividends declared per common share	$0.19	$—	$0.57	$—

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	$22.8	$19.2	$85.3	$69.1
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax				
Foreign currency translation gain (loss)	4.6	8.7	(9.7)	9.8
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate derivatives, net of tax of $0 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and $(0.1) and $0.2 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	0.1	—	0.2	(0.4)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	4.7	8.7	(9.5)	9.4
Comprehensive income	$27.5	$27.9	$75.8	$78.5

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	$196.0	$108.7
Restricted cash	9.1	11.9
Trade receivables, net of allowances of $4.3 and $5.3	385.4	342.4
Finance receivables, net of allowances $8.0 and $8.0	1,066.0	996.2
Deferred income tax assets	35.2	35.4
Other current assets	91.0	86.8
Total current assets	1,782.7	1,581.4
Other assets		
Goodwill	1,702.2	1,679.6
Customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of $461.3 and $405.3	582.5	618.9
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of $207.5 and $168.9	304.4	305.2
Unamortized debt issuance costs	41.1	24.9
Other assets	9.9	11.6
Total other assets	2,640.1	2,640.2
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of $459.3 and $415.5	699.2	700.7
Total assets	$5,122.0	$4,922.3

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In millions, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	$490.3	$388.4
Accrued employee benefits and compensation expenses	61.4	63.5
Accrued interest	0.3	1.6
Other accrued expenses	98.1	75.8
Income taxes payable	0.8	0.6
Dividends payable	26.3	—
Obligations collateralized by finance receivables	742.4	713.3
Current maturities of long-term debt	—	43.7
Total current liabilities	1,419.6	1,286.9
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term debt	1,770.3	1,774.6
Deferred income tax liabilities	343.0	318.6
Other liabilities	105.2	98.5
Total non-current liabilities	2,218.5	2,191.7
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, $0.01 par value:		
Authorized shares: 100,000,000		
Issued shares: none	—	—
Common stock, $0.01 par value:		
Authorized shares: 400,000,000		
Issued and outstanding shares:		
September 30, 2013: 138,625,706		
December 31, 2012: 136,657,645	1.4	1.4
Additional paid-in capital	1,476.8	1,433.9
Accumulated deficit	(19.9)	(26.7)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	25.6	35.1
Total stockholders' equity	1,483.9	1,443.7
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	$5,122.0	$4,922.3

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	136.7	$1.4	$1,433.9	$(26.7)	$ 35.1	$1,443.7
Net income				85.3		85.3
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax					(9.5)	(9.5)
Issuance of common stock under stock plans	1.9		20.8			20.8
Stock-based compensation expense			16.8			16.8
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation			5.3			5.3
Cash dividends declared to stockholders ($0.57 per share)				(78.5)		(78.5)
Balance at September 30, 2013	138.6	$1.4	$1,476.8	$(19.9)	$ 25.6	$1,483.9

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities		
Net income	$85.3	$69.1
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	145.9	143.4
Provision for credit losses	7.3	6.8
Deferred income taxes	11.3	(18.6)
Amortization of debt issuance costs	8.0	5.2
Stock-based compensation	16.8	20.9
Contingent consideration adjustment	—	1.0
(Gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets	0.2	(1.7)
Loss on modification/extinguishment of debt	5.4	—
Other non-cash, net	11.1	7.6
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Trade receivables and other assets	(21.2)	(95.3)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	48.3	99.6
Net cash provided by operating activities	318.4	238.0
Investing activities		
Net increase in finance receivables held for investment	(79.6)	(57.4)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(28.8)	(0.5)
Purchases of property, equipment and computer software	(75.3)	(60.8)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	0.2	5.7
Decrease in restricted cash	2.8	0.5
Net cash used by investing activities	(180.7)	(112.5)
Financing activities		
Net increase in book overdrafts	38.6	10.8
Net decrease in borrowings from lines of credit	—	(68.9)
Net increase in obligations collateralized by finance receivables	31.8	20.6
Proceeds from long-term debt	188.0	—
Payments for debt issuance costs/amendments	(26.0)	—
Payments on long-term debt	(49.2)	(12.8)
Payment for early extinguishment of debt	(188.4)	—
Payments on capital leases	(11.4)	(10.6)
Payments of contingent consideration and deferred acquisition costs	(1.6)	(4.2)
Initial net investment for interest rate caps	(2.2)	—
Issuance of common stock under stock plans	20.8	2.8
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	5.3	—
Dividends paid to stockholders	(52.2)	—
Net cash used by financing activities	(46.5)	(62.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(3.9)	3.1
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	87.3	66.3
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	108.7	97.4
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$196.0	$163.7

Cash paid for interest	$68.7	$80.9
Cash paid for taxes, net of refunds	$36.6	$44.5

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 1—Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

Defined Terms

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, the following terms used herein shall have the following meanings:

- "we," "us," "our" and "the Company" refer, collectively, to KAR Auction Services, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries;
- "ADESA" refers, collectively, to ADESA, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of KAR Auction Services, and ADESA, Inc.'s subsidiaries, including OPENLANE, Inc. (together with OPENLANE, Inc.'s subsidiaries, "OPENLANE");
- "AFC" refers, collectively, to Automotive Finance Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of ADESA, and Automotive Finance Corporation's subsidiaries and other related entities, including PWI Holdings, Inc.;
- "Axle LLC" refers to Axle Holdings II, LLC, which is owned by affiliates of certain of the Equity Sponsors (Kelso & Company and Parthenon), certain members or former members of IAA management and certain co-investors in connection with the acquisition of IAA in 2005. Axle LLC is the former ultimate parent company of IAA and is a holder of common equity interests in KAR LLC;
- "Credit Agreement" refers to the Credit Agreement, dated May 19, 2011, among KAR Auction Services, as the borrower, the several banks and other financial institutions or entities from time to time parties thereto and the administrative agent, as amended on November 29, 2012 and March 12, 2013;
- "Credit Facility" refers to the six year senior secured term loan facility ("Term Loan B") and the $250 million, five year senior secured revolving credit facility, the terms of which are set forth in the Credit Agreement;
- "Equity Sponsors" refers, collectively, to Kelso Investment Associates VII, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI, L.P., ValueAct Capital Master Fund, L.P. and Parthenon Investors II, L.P.;
- "IAA" refers, collectively, to Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of KAR Auction Services, and Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc.'s subsidiaries;
- "KAR Auction Services" refers to KAR Auction Services, Inc. and not to its subsidiaries; and
- "KAR LLC" refers to KAR Holdings II, LLC, which is owned by affiliates of the Equity Sponsors, other equity co-investors and management of the Company.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or U.S. GAAP, for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year as a whole. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, generally consisting of normal recurring accruals necessary, for a fair statement of our results of operations, cash flows and financial position for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements and during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

These consolidated financial statements and condensed notes to consolidated financial statements are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The 2012 year-end consolidated balance sheet data included in this Form 10-Q was derived from the audited financial statements referenced above, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP for annual financial statements.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Business and Nature of Operations

As of September 30, 2013, we have a network of 66 ADESA whole car auction sites and 163 IAA salvage vehicle auction sites; in addition, we offer online auctions for both whole car and salvage vehicles. Our auctions facilitate the sale of used and salvage vehicles through physical, online or hybrid auctions, which permit internet buyers to participate in physical auctions. ADESA Auctions and IAA are leading, national providers of wholesale and salvage vehicle auctions and related vehicle remarketing services for the automotive industry in North America. ADESA's online service offerings include customized private label solutions powered with software developed by our wholly owned subsidiary, OPENLANE, that allow our institutional consignors (automobile manufacturers, captive finance companies and other institutions) to offer vehicles via the internet prior to arrival at the physical auction. Remarketing services include a variety of activities designed to transfer used and salvage vehicles between sellers and buyers throughout the vehicle life cycle. ADESA Auctions and IAA facilitate the exchange of these vehicles through an auction marketplace, which aligns sellers and buyers. As an agent for customers, the Company generally does not take title to or ownership to vehicles sold at the auctions. Generally fees are earned from the seller and buyer on each successful auction transaction in addition to fees earned for ancillary services.

ADESA has the second largest used vehicle auction network in North America, based upon the number of used vehicles sold through auctions annually, and also provides services such as inbound and outbound transportation logistics, reconditioning, vehicle inspection and certification, titling, administrative and recovery services. ADESA is able to serve the diverse and multi-faceted needs of its customers through the wide range of services offered.

IAA is one of the leading providers of salvage vehicle auctions and related services in North America. The salvage auctions facilitate the remarketing of damaged vehicles that are designated as total losses by insurance companies, recovered stolen vehicles for which an insurance settlement with the vehicle owner has already been made, purchased vehicles and older model vehicles donated to charity or sold by dealers in salvage auctions. The salvage auction business specializes in providing services such as inbound transportation logistics, inspections, evaluations, salvage recovery services, titling and settlement administrative services.

AFC is a leading provider of floorplan financing to independent used vehicle dealers and this financing is provided through 105 locations throughout the United States and Canada as of September 30, 2013. Floorplan financing supports independent used vehicle dealers in North America who purchase vehicles at ADESA, IAA, other used vehicle and salvage auctions and non-auction purchases.

Note 2—Acquisitions

In June 2013, the Company purchased the stock of PWI Holdings, Inc., whose subsidiary, Preferred Warranties, Inc., markets vehicle service contracts through a network of independent used vehicle dealers. The acquisition is expected to strengthen KAR's product offerings to independent used vehicle dealers. The assets of PWI Holdings, Inc. included accounts receivable, software and customer relationships related to the business. Financial results for this acquisition have been included in our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The purchase price of PWI Holdings, Inc., net of cash, was approximately $27.3 million. The acquired assets and liabilities were recorded based upon fair values, including $26.9 million assigned to intangible assets, representing the fair value of acquired customer relationships, tradenames and software, which are being amortized over their respective useful lives. The acquisition resulted in goodwill of $22.7 million which is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The goodwill is recorded in the AFC reportable segment. The financial impact of this acquisition was immaterial to the Company's statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 3—Stock-Based Compensation Plans

We adopted the KAR Auction Services, Inc. 2009 Omnibus and Stock Incentive Plan ("Omnibus Plan") in December 2009. The Omnibus Plan is intended to provide equity or cash based awards to our employees. Through the first nine months of 2013, we granted approximately 0.5 million service options with a weighted average exercise price of $23.24 per share under the Omnibus Plan. The service options have a 10 year life and generally vest in four equal annual installments, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date. The weighted average fair value of the service options granted through the first nine months of 2013 was $4.86 per share. The fair value of service options granted during 2013 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with an expected life of 4 years, an expected volatility of 35%, a weighted average annual rate of quarterly dividends of 3.3% and a weighted average risk free interest rate of 0.73%.

Our stock-based compensation expense includes expense associated with KAR Auction Services, Inc. service and exit option awards, KAR LLC profit interests and Axle LLC profit interests. We have classified the KAR Auction Services, Inc. service and exit options as equity awards. We have classified the KAR LLC and Axle LLC profit interests as liability awards. The main difference between a liability-classified award and an equity-classified award is that liability-classified awards are remeasured each reporting period at fair value. The following table summarizes our stock-based compensation expense by type of award (in millions):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service options	$0.8	$0.7	$2.2	$1.9
Exit options	0.3	1.9	2.3	7.0
KAR LLC profit interests	3.3	2.7	3.8	6.4
Axle LLC profit interests	8.0	2.3	8.5	5.6
Total	$12.4	$7.6	$16.8	$20.9

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, approximately $0.7 million of the KAR LLC profit interest expense and approximately $6.1 million of the Axle LLC profit interest expense related to value units. There is no income tax benefit recognized by us with respect to the KAR LLC and Axle LLC profit interests. The total income tax benefit recognized in the consolidated statement of income for options was approximately $0.4 million and $0.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and $1.6 million and $3.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On March 1, 2013, the board of directors approved amendments to the outstanding exit options that previously vested based on a 90-day average closing price of the Company's common stock being above a stated dollar amount. Generally, such vesting terms were amended to require that the average closing price over a period of 90 trading days be greater than a specified dollar amount to instead requiring that the closing price be greater than the specified dollar amount over a period of 20 consecutive trading days. As a result of this change, effective on March 1, 2013, approximately 1.4 million of such exit options became vested. The incremental expense related to the modification was immaterial.

In May 2013, the vesting criteria for the third 25% of the exit options originally granted under the Axle Holdings, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan was met and as such, an additional 25% of the outstanding exit options became exercisable. In August 2013, the vesting criteria for the final 25% of the exit options originally granted under the Axle Holdings, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan was met and as such, the final 25% of the outstanding exit options became exercisable.

In August 2013, the vesting criteria for the second 25% of the exit options granted under the KAR Auction Services, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan and the Omnibus Plan was met and as such, an additional 25% of the outstanding exit options became exercisable.

10

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 4—Net Income Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of net income per share (in millions except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	$22.8	$19.2	$85.3	$69.1
Weighted average common shares outstanding	138.3	136.5	137.5	136.4
Effect of dilutive stock options	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.4
Weighted average common shares outstanding and potential common shares	141.3	139.2	140.4	138.8
Net income per share				
Basic	$0.16	$0.14	$0.62	$0.51
Diluted	$0.16	$0.14	$0.61	$0.50

Basic net income per share was calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of outstanding common shares for the period. Diluted net income per share was calculated consistent with basic net income per share including the effect of dilutive unissued common shares related to our stock-based employee compensation program. The effect of stock options on net income per share—diluted is determined through the application of the treasury stock method, whereby proceeds received by the Company based on assumed exercises are hypothetically used to repurchase our common stock at the average market price during the period. Stock options that would have an anti-dilutive effect on net income per diluted share are excluded from the calculations. Approximately 0.4 million options were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and approximately 0.2 million and 0.8 million options were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total options outstanding at September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 7.8 million and 9.7 million, respectively.

Note 5—Finance Receivables and Obligations Collateralized by Finance Receivables

AFC sells the majority of its U.S. dollar denominated finance receivables on a revolving basis and without recourse to a wholly owned, bankruptcy remote, consolidated, special purpose subsidiary ("AFC Funding Corporation"), established for the purpose of purchasing AFC's finance receivables. A securitization agreement allows for the revolving sale by AFC Funding Corporation to a group of bank purchasers of undivided interests in certain eligible finance receivables subject to committed liquidity. AFC Funding Corporation had committed liquidity of $800 million for U.S. finance receivables at September 30, 2013.

In June 2013, AFC and AFC Funding Corporation entered into the Fifth Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement (the “Receivables Purchase Agreement”). The Receivables Purchase Agreement increased AFC Funding's U.S. committed liquidity from $650 million to $800 million and extended the facility's maturity date from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2016. In addition, certain of the covenants and termination events in the Receivables Purchase Agreement that are tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio were modified. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.7 million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized securitization issuance costs associated with the amendment.

We also have an agreement for the securitization of Automotive Finance Canada, Inc.'s ("AFCI") receivables. In June 2013, AFCI entered into the Second Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement (the “Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement”). The Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement extended the facility's maturity date from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2016. In addition, certain of the covenants and termination events in the Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement that are tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio were modified. AFCI's committed liquidity is provided through a third party conduit (separate from the U.S. facility) and was C$100 million at September 30, 2013. The receivables sold pursuant to both the U.S. and Canadian securitization agreements

are accounted for as secured borrowings.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

The following table presents quantitative information about delinquencies, credit losses less recoveries ("net credit losses") and components of securitized financial assets and other related assets managed. For purposes of this illustration, delinquent receivables are defined as receivables 31 days or more past due.

	September 30, 2013			
	Principal Amount of:			
(in millions)	Receivables	Receivables Delinquent	Net Credit Losses Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Net Credit Losses Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
Floorplan receivables	$1,065.6	$4.5	$1.7	$6.3
Other loans	8.4	—	—	—
Total receivables managed	$1,074.0	$4.5	$1.7	$6.3

	December 31, 2012			
	Principal Amount of:			
(in millions)	Receivables	Receivables Delinquent	Net Credit Losses Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Net Credit Losses Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
Floorplan receivables	$996.2	$3.8	$0.9	$5.4
Other loans	8.0	—	0.2	0.2
Total receivables managed	$1,004.2	$3.8	$1.1	$5.6

AFC's allowance for losses was $8.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, $1,065.1 million and $996.0 million, respectively, of finance receivables and a cash reserve of 1 percent of the obligations collateralized by finance receivables served as security for the $742.4 million and $713.3 million of obligations collateralized by finance receivables at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Proceeds from the revolving sale of receivables to the bank facilities are used to fund new loans to customers. AFC, AFC Funding Corporation and AFCI must maintain certain financial covenants including, among others, limits on the amount of debt AFC and AFCI can incur, minimum levels of tangible net worth, and other covenants tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio. The securitization agreements also incorporate the financial covenants of our Credit Facility. At September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants in the securitization agreements.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 6—Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	Interest Rate		Maturity	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Term Loan B	Adjusted LIBOR	+ 2.75 %	May 18, 2017	$1,775.3	$1,674.5
$250 million revolving credit facility	Adjusted LIBOR	+ 3.50 %	May 18, 2016	—	—
Floating rate senior notes	LIBOR	+ 4.00 %		—	150.0
Canadian line of credit	CAD Prime	+ 1.50 %	Repayable upon demand	—	—
Total debt				1,775.3	1,824.5
Unamortized debt discount				(5.0)	(6.2)
Current portion of long-term debt				—	(43.7)
Long-term debt				$1,770.3	$1,774.6

Credit Facilities

In March 2013, we entered into the Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement. The amendment increased Term Loan B $150.0 million to $1.8 billion and decreased the interest rate on Term Loan B to Adjusted LIBOR plus 2.75% from Adjusted LIBOR plus 3.75%. In addition, the adjusted LIBOR rate floor decreased to 1.0% from 1.25%. The interest rate on Term Loan B was 3.75% at September 30, 2013. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a $3.9 million pretax charge resulting from certain expenses related to the Credit Agreement amendment, as well as the write-off of certain unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the term loan. The additional $150.0 million in proceeds received from Term Loan B were used to redeem the floating rate senior notes due 2014 on April 3, 2013. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.8 million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the floating rate senior notes.

Term Loan B is payable in quarterly installments equal to 0.25% of the aggregate principal amount as of the Second Amendment effective date, and commenced on March 31, 2013. The Credit Facility is subject to mandatory prepayments and reduction in an amount equal to (i) the net proceeds of certain debt offerings, asset sales and certain insurance recovery events; and (ii) for any fiscal year ending on or after December 31, 2011, any Excess Cash Flow, as defined in the Credit Agreement, on or before the 105th day following the end of the fiscal year. In April 2013, the Company made an excess cash flow payment of $39.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement, 50% of the net cash proceeds from the sale-leaseback of certain technology and capital equipment were used to prepay $5.2 million of Term Loan B in the first nine months of 2013. The prepayments were credited to prepay in order of maturity the unpaid amounts due on the next eight scheduled quarterly installments of Term Loan B, and thereafter to the remaining scheduled quarterly installments of Term Loan B on a pro rata basis. As such, after the debt prepayments, there are no further quarterly installments due until June 30, 2015.

There were no borrowings on the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012. However, we had related outstanding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of $23.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which reduce the amount available for borrowings under the credit facility. As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants in the Credit Agreement.

Fair Value of Debt

As of September 30, 2013, the estimated fair value of our long-term debt amounted to $1,777.5 million. The estimates of fair value are based on broker-dealer quotes for our debt as of September 30, 2013. The estimates presented on long-term financial instruments are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that would be realized in a current

market exchange.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 7—Derivatives

We are exposed to interest rate risk on our variable rate borrowings. Accordingly, interest rate fluctuations affect the amount of interest expense we are obligated to pay. We use interest rate derivatives with the objective of managing exposure to interest rate movements, thereby reducing the effect of interest rate changes and the effect they could have on future cash flows. Currently, interest rate cap agreements are used to accomplish this objective. In August 2013, we purchased four interest rate caps for an aggregate amount of approximately $2.2 million with an aggregate notional amount of $1.2 billion to manage our exposure to interest rate movements on our variable rate Term Loan B credit facility when three-month LIBOR exceeds 1.0%. The interest rate cap agreements each had an effective date of August 16, 2013 and each mature on August 16, 2015. The unamortized portion of the $2.2 million investment is recorded in “Other assets” on the consolidated balance sheet and is being amortized over the remaining life of the interest rate caps to interest expense. We are exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparties; however, non-performance is not anticipated.

Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the balance sheet. The fair values of the interest rate derivatives are based on quoted market prices for similar instruments from commercial banks. The following table presents the fair value of our interest rate derivatives included in the consolidated balance sheets for the periods presented (in millions):

	Asset Derivatives			
	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Interest rate caps	Other assets	$1.4	Other assets	N/A

We have not designated any of the interest rate caps as hedges for accounting purposes. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the interest rate caps are recognized as "Interest expense" in the consolidated statement of income. The following table presents the effect of the interest rate derivatives on our consolidated statements of income for the periods presented (in millions):

		Amount of Gain / (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative			
	Location of Gain / (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest rate caps	Interest expense	$(0.8)	N/A	$(0.8)	N/A

Note 8—Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in litigation and disputes arising in the ordinary course of business, such as actions related to injuries; property damage; handling, storage or disposal of vehicles; environmental laws and regulations; and other litigation incidental to the business such as employment matters and dealer disputes. Management considers the likelihood of loss or the incurrence of a liability, as well as the ability to reasonably estimate the amount of loss, in determining loss contingencies. We accrue an estimated loss contingency when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss (or range of possible losses) can be reasonably estimated. Management regularly evaluates current information available to determine whether accrual amounts should be adjusted. Accruals for contingencies including litigation and environmental matters are included in "Other accrued expenses" at undiscounted amounts and exclude claims for recoveries from insurance or other third parties. These accruals are adjusted periodically as assessment and remediation efforts progress, or as additional technical or legal information becomes available. If the amount of an actual loss is greater than the amount accrued, this could have an adverse impact on our operating results in that

period. Legal fees are expensed as incurred. There has been no significant change in the legal and regulatory proceedings which were disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 9—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of the following (in millions):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Foreign currency translation gain	$25.4	$35.1
Unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives, net of tax	—	(0.2)
Unrealized gain on postretirement benefit obligation, net of tax	0.2	0.2
Accumulated other comprehensive income	$25.6	$35.1

Note 10—Fair Value Measurements

We apply ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, to our financial assets and liabilities. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The standard establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value into three broad levels:

- Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

- Level 2 - Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities, such as models or other valuation methodologies.

- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are based on our assumptions, are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability.

The following tables summarize our financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with ASC 820 (in millions):

Description	September 30, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Interest rate caps	$1.4	$—	$1.4	$—

Interest Rate Caps - Under the interest rate cap agreements purchased in August 2013, we receive interest on a notional amount when three-month LIBOR exceeds 1.0%. The fair value of the interest rate caps is based on quoted market prices for similar instruments from commercial banks.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Note 11—Related Party Transactions

At September 30, 2013, affiliates of the Equity Sponsors, other equity co-investors and members of our management held approximately 20.3% of our outstanding common stock directly or indirectly through their investment in KAR LLC. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement entered into with the Equity Sponsors, KAR LLC caused us to file a registration statement (Registration No. 333-174038) under the Securities Act. In March 2013, June 2013, August 2013 and September 2013, pursuant to the registration statement, KAR LLC sold 14,950,000; 17,250,000; 17,250,000; and 13,800,000, respectively, of its shares in KAR Auction Services. We incurred expenses of approximately $1.2 million related to such sales and we received no proceeds from the sales. The expenses related to the sales were recorded to "Selling, general and administrative" in the consolidated statement of income.

Note 12—Segment Information

ASC 280, Segment Reporting, requires reporting of segment information that is consistent with the manner in which the chief operating decision maker operates and views the Company. Our operations are grouped into three operating segments: ADESA Auctions, IAA and AFC, which also serve as our reportable business segments. None of our operating segments have been aggregated in our segment reporting. These reportable business segments offer different services and have fundamental differences in their operations.

The holding company is maintained separately from the three reportable segments and includes expenses associated with the corporate office, such as salaries, benefits, and travel costs for the corporate management team, certain human resources, information technology and accounting costs, and certain insurance, treasury, legal and risk management costs. Holding company interest expense includes the interest expense incurred on the corporate debt structure. Intercompany charges relate primarily to interest on intercompany debt or receivables and certain administrative costs allocated by the holding company.

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Financial information regarding our reportable segments is set forth below for the three months ended September 30, 2013 (in millions):

	ADESA Auctions	IAA	AFC	Holding Company	Consolidated
Operating revenues	$275.4	$198.8	$59.5	$—	$533.7
Operating expenses					
Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	152.0	128.1	16.1	—	296.2
Selling, general and administrative	62.8	20.4	6.4	30.7	120.3
Depreciation and amortization	22.6	18.5	7.2	1.3	49.6
Total operating expenses	237.4	167.0	29.7	32.0	466.1
Operating profit (loss)	38.0	31.8	29.8	(32.0)	67.6
Interest expense	0.2	0.2	4.6	21.0	26.0
Other (income) expense, net	(0.6)	(0.2)	—	—	(0.8)
Intercompany expense (income)	14.8	9.6	(5.6)	(18.8)	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	23.6	22.2	30.8	(34.2)	42.4
Income taxes	9.1	8.4	12.0	(9.9)	19.6
Net income (loss)	$14.5	$13.8	$18.8	$(24.3)	$22.8
Assets	$2,328.7	$1,180.0	$1,531.4	$81.9	$5,122.0

Financial information regarding our reportable segments is set forth below for the three months ended September 30, 2012 (in millions):

	ADESA Auctions	IAA	AFC	Holding Company	Consolidated
Operating revenues	$257.0	$167.4	$50.5	$—	$474.9
Operating expenses					
Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	147.2	106.0	10.9	—	264.1
Selling, general and administrative	61.6	14.8	5.0	20.9	102.3
Depreciation and amortization	23.5	17.1	5.7	0.5	46.8
Total operating expenses	232.3	137.9	21.6	21.4	413.2
Operating profit (loss)	24.7	29.5	28.9	(21.4)	61.7
Interest expense	0.2	0.3	3.8	25.6	29.9
Other (income) expense, net	(0.7)	(0.2)	—	(0.3)	(1.2)
Intercompany expense (income)	15.0	9.5	(4.6)	(19.9)	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	10.2	19.9	29.7	(26.8)	33.0
Income taxes	4.6	7.7	10.9	(9.4)	13.8
Net income (loss)	$5.6	$12.2	$18.8	$(17.4)	$19.2
Assets	$2,402.1	$1,164.5	$1,323.8	$35.6	$4,926.0

Table of Contents

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Financial information regarding our reportable segments is set forth below for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in millions):

	ADESA Auctions	IAA	AFC	Holding Company	Consolidated
Operating revenues	$844.3	$623.2	$165.2	$—	$1,632.7
Operating expenses					
Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	469.8	416.4	40.3	—	926.5
Selling, general and administrative	187.7	59.5	18.2	67.9	333.3
Depreciation and amortization	66.8	55.4	20.3	3.4	145.9
Total operating expenses	724.3	531.3	78.8	71.3	1,405.7
Operating profit (loss)	120.0	91.9	86.4	(71.3)	227.0
Interest expense	0.7	0.7	12.3	65.6	79.3
Other (income) expense, net	(2.0)	(0.5)	—	—	(2.5)
Loss on modification/extinguishment of debt	—	—	0.7	4.7	5.4
Intercompany expense (income)	45.6	28.8	(15.2)	(59.2)	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	75.7	62.9	88.6	(82.4)	144.8
Income taxes	28.2	23.6	34.2	(26.5)	59.5
Net income (loss)	$47.5	$39.3	$54.4	$(55.9)	$85.3

Financial information regarding our reportable segments is set forth below for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (in millions):

	ADESA Auctions	IAA	AFC	Holding Company	Consolidated
Operating revenues	$791.4	$534.1	$144.2	$—	$1,469.7
Operating expenses					
Cost of services (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	442.6	322.8	31.3	—	796.7
Selling, general and administrative	189.6	52.7	16.1	61.5	319.9
Depreciation and amortization	73.6	50.8	17.6	1.4	143.4
Total operating expenses	705.8	426.3	65.0	62.9	1,260.0
Operating profit (loss)	85.6	107.8	79.2	(62.9)	209.7
Interest expense	0.8	1.1	11.2	76.7	89.8
Other (income) expense, net	(1.8)	0.5	—	(0.3)	(1.6)
Intercompany expense (income)	45.2	28.7	(13.1)	(60.8)	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	41.4	77.5	81.1	(78.5)	121.5
Income taxes	19.5	30.8	29.8	(27.7)	52.4
Net income (loss)	$21.9	$46.7	$51.3	$(50.8)	$69.1

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and which are subject to certain risks, trends and uncertainties. In particular, statements made in this report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts (including, but not limited to, expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections regarding the industry, business, future operating results, potential acquisitions and anticipated cash requirements) may be forward-looking statements. Words such as "should," "may," "will," "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Such statements, including statements regarding our future growth; anticipated cost savings, revenue increases and capital expenditures; dividend declarations and payments; strategic initiatives, greenfields and acquisitions; our competitive position and retention of customers; and our continued investment in information technology, are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected, expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on February 22, 2013. Some of these factors include:

- fluctuations in consumer demand for and in the supply of used, leased and salvage vehicles and the resulting impact on auction sales volumes, conversion rates and loan transaction volumes;
- trends in new and used vehicle sales and incentives, including wholesale used vehicle pricing;
- the ability of consumers to lease or finance the purchase of new and/or used vehicles;
- the ability to recover or collect from delinquent or bankrupt customers;
- economic conditions including fuel prices, foreign exchange rates and interest rate fluctuations;
- trends in the vehicle remarketing industry;
- trends in the number of commercial vehicles being brought to auction, in-particular off-lease volumes;
- changes in the volume of vehicle production, including capacity reductions at the major original equipment manufacturers;
- increases in the number of used vehicles purchased on virtual auction platforms;
- the introduction of new competitors;
- laws, regulations and industry standards, including changes in regulations governing the sale of used vehicles, the processing of salvage vehicles and commercial lending activities;
- changes in the market value of vehicles auctioned, including changes in the actual cash value of salvage vehicles;
- competitive pricing pressures;
- costs associated with the acquisition of businesses or technologies;
- litigation developments;
- our ability to successfully implement our business strategies or realize expected cost savings and revenue enhancements;
- our ability to maintain our brand and protect our intellectual property;
- our ability to develop and implement information systems responsive to customer needs;
- business development activities, including acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses;
- the costs of environmental compliance and/or the imposition of liabilities under environmental laws and regulations;
- weather, including increased expenses as a result of catastrophic events;

- general business conditions;
- our substantial amount of debt;
- restrictive covenants in our debt agreements;
- our assumption of the settlement risk for vehicles sold;
- any impairment to our goodwill or other intangible assets;
- our self-insurance for certain risks;
- any losses of key personnel;
- interruptions to service from our workforce;
- changes in effective tax rates;
- changes to accounting standards; and
- other risks described from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

Many of these risk factors are outside of our control, and as such, they involve risks which are not currently known that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed or implied herein. The forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date on which they are made and we do not undertake to update our forward-looking statements.

Our future growth depends on a variety of factors, including our ability to increase vehicle sold volumes and loan transaction volumes, expand our product and service offerings, including information systems development, acquire and integrate additional business entities, manage expansion, control costs in our operations, introduce fee increases, and retain our executive officers and key employees. We cannot predict whether our growth strategy will be successful. In addition, we cannot predict what portion of overall sales will be conducted through online auctions or other remarketing methods in the future and what impact this may have on our auction business.

Overview

We provide whole car and salvage auction services in North America. Our business is divided into three reportable business segments, each of which is an integral part of the vehicle remarketing industry: ADESA Auctions, IAA and AFC.

The ADESA Auctions segment serves a domestic and international customer base through live and online auctions and through 66 whole car auction facilities in North America, that are developed and strategically located to draw professional sellers and buyers together and allow the buyers to inspect and compare vehicles remotely or in person. Through its OPENLANE technology, ADESA offers comprehensive private label remarketing solutions to automobile manufacturers, captive finance companies and other institutions to offer vehicles via the internet prior to arrival at the physical auction. Vehicles at ADESA's auctions are typically sold by commercial fleet operators, financial institutions, rental car companies, new and used vehicle dealers and vehicle manufacturers and their captive finance companies to franchise and independent used vehicle dealers. ADESA also provides value-added ancillary services including inspections, storage, transportation, reconditioning, titling and other administrative services.

The IAA segment serves a domestic and international customer base through live and online auctions and through 163 salvage vehicle auction sites in the United States and Canada at September 30, 2013. The salvage auctions facilitate the remarketing of damaged vehicles designated as total losses by insurance companies, charity donation vehicles, recovered stolen (or theft) vehicles and low value used vehicles. The salvage auction business specializes in providing services such as inbound transportation, titling, salvage recovery and claims settlement administrative services.

The AFC segment provides short-term, inventory-secured financing, known as floorplan financing, primarily to independent used vehicle dealers. At September 30, 2013, AFC conducted business at 105 locations in the United States and Canada.

The holding company is maintained separately from the three reportable segments and includes expenses associated with the corporate office, such as salaries, benefits, and travel costs for our management team, certain human resources, information technology and accounting costs, and certain insurance, treasury, legal and risk management costs. Holding company interest

expense includes the interest expense incurred on the corporate debt structure. Intercompany charges relate primarily to interest on intercompany debt or receivables and certain administrative costs allocated by the holding company.

Industry Trends

Whole Car

Used vehicles sold in North America through whole car auctions were 8.4 million, 7.7 million and 7.9 million in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively (excluding approximately 0.3 million used vehicles sold by OPENLANE in 2011 and 2012). The reduction in auction volumes since 2009, when industry volumes exceeded 9 million used vehicles sold, is attributable to supply shortages in the North American whole car auction industry caused principally by declines in new vehicle sales and lease originations from 2007 to 2009 and declines in repossessions from 2009 to 2012. The supply shortages and resulting decline in industry auction volumes reflected a reduction in units sold by institutional consignors. This reduction was partially offset by an increase in dealer consignment units sold in 2010 and 2011. In 2012, overall auction volumes increased over 2011, as an increase in dealer consignment units more than offset the decline in institutional volume.

Other reasons for the fluctuations in industry volumes, which may also impact future volumes include:

- New car sales in the U.S. declined from 17.0 million in 2005 to 10.4 million in 2009. Although new vehicle sales have climbed over the last three years to approximately 14.5 million units in 2012, the recovery in new vehicles sales has been gradual and may continue to be so for the foreseeable future.
- The previous decline in total new vehicle sales, coupled with a tightening of consumer credit and changing policies regarding delinquent loans by the major lenders, resulted in a decline in repossessed vehicles coming to auction.
- When lease residuals are below wholesale vehicle values, more vehicles are purchased by either the consumer or the grounding dealer or by dealers in online auctions, prior to the vehicles being transported to a physical auction.
- As used vehicle prices have increased over the last few years, new vehicle dealers have shifted to selling more used vehicles, which can offset lower new vehicle sales. Dealers can also offer financing, warranties and insurance services on their used vehicle sales. Despite changes in the number of trade-ins dealers keep for retail sale as compared to periods prior to 2010, the number of dealer consignment vehicles remarketed through whole car auctions has increased since 2010.
- There was a reduction in the number of lease originations in 2008 and 2009, as new vehicle sales fell and lease financing was scaled back. This decline negatively impacted the supply of off-lease vehicles available at auction through 2012.

We estimate that used vehicle auction volumes in North America, including units sold by OPENLANE, will exceed 8.5 million units in 2013 and are expected to be over 9 million units by 2015.

Salvage

Vehicles deemed a total loss by automobile insurance companies represent the largest category of vehicles sold in the salvage vehicle auction industry. The percentage of claims resulting in total losses was approximately 14% for 2012, up from approximately 13% in 2011. There is no central reporting system that tracks the number of total loss vehicles in any given year which makes estimating industry volumes very difficult.

Fluctuations in used vehicle and commodity pricing have an impact on proceeds received in the salvage vehicle auction industry. In times of rising prices, as the industry has experienced over the last few years, revenue and gross profit are positively impacted. If used vehicle and commodity prices continue to moderate in 2013, proceeds, revenue and gross profit at salvage auctions may be negatively impacted, which could adversely affect the level of profitability.

Automotive Finance

AFC works with independent used vehicle dealers to improve their results by providing a comprehensive set of business and financial solutions that leverages its local branches, industry experience and scale, as well as KAR affiliations. Over the last few years, the U.S. independent used vehicle dealer base has rebounded from approximately 36,000 dealers in 2009 to about 38,000 dealers in 2012. During this time, AFC's dealer base has grown from 9,745 dealers in 2009 to approximately 11,000 dealers in 2012 and loan transactions, which includes both loans paid off and loans curtailed, have grown from 799,421 in 2009 to 1,239,755 in 2012.

Key challenges for the independent used vehicle dealer include used vehicle sales volume demand, disruptions in pricing of used vehicle inventory and lack of access to consumer financing. These same challenges, to the extent they occur, could result in a material negative impact on AFC's results of operations. A significant decline in used vehicle sales would result in a decrease in consumer auto loan originations and an increased number of dealers defaulting on their loans. In addition, volatility in wholesale vehicle pricing impacts the value of recovered collateral on defaulted loans and the resulting severity of credit losses at AFC.

AFC implemented a number of strategic initiatives in recent years to enhance credit standards, improve portfolio risk management and enhance the customer experience. In addition, in June 2013, AFC acquired a service contract business as part of its strategy to provide new services to independent used vehicle dealers. These initiatives, along with the current industry environment, have enabled AFC to increase its penetration of the independent dealer base while maintaining a high level of portfolio quality evidenced by low levels of net credit losses and a managed portfolio which was over 99 percent current at September 30, 2013.

Seasonality

The volume of vehicles sold at our auctions generally fluctuates from quarter to quarter. This seasonality is caused by several factors including weather, the timing of used vehicles available for sale from selling customers, the availability and quality of salvage vehicles, holidays, and the seasonality of the retail market for used vehicles, which affects the demand side of the auction industry. Used vehicle auction volumes tend to decline during prolonged periods of winter weather conditions. In addition, mild weather conditions and decreases in traffic volume can each lead to a decline in the available supply of salvage vehicles because fewer traffic accidents occur, resulting in fewer damaged vehicles overall. As a result, revenues and operating expenses related to volume will fluctuate accordingly on a quarterly basis. The fourth calendar quarter typically experiences lower used vehicle auction volume as well as additional costs associated with the holidays and winter weather.

Sources of Revenues and Expenses

Our revenue is derived from auction fees and related services at our whole car and salvage auctions, and from dealer financing fees, interest income and other service revenue at AFC. Although auction revenues primarily include the auction services and related fees, our related receivables and payables include the gross value of the vehicles sold. Our operating expenses consist of cost of services, selling, general and administrative and depreciation and amortization. Cost of services is composed of payroll and related costs, subcontract services, supplies, insurance, property taxes, utilities, service contract claims, maintenance and lease expense related to the auction sites and loan offices. Cost of services excludes depreciation and amortization. Selling, general and administrative expenses are composed of payroll and related costs, sales and marketing, information technology services and professional fees.

Results of Operations

Overview of Results of KAR Auction Services, Inc. for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	2013	2012
Revenues		
ADESA	$275.4	$257.0
IAA	198.8	167.4
AFC	59.5	50.5
Total revenues	533.7	474.9
Cost of services*	296.2	264.1
Gross profit*	237.5	210.8
Selling, general and administrative	120.3	102.3
Depreciation and amortization	49.6	46.8
Operating profit	67.6	61.7
Interest expense	26.0	29.9
Other income, net	(0.8)	(1.2)
Income before income taxes	42.4	33.0
Income taxes	19.6	13.8
Net income	$22.8	$19.2
Net income per share		
Basic	$0.16	$0.14
Diluted	$0.16	$0.14

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had revenue of $533.7 million compared with revenue of $474.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of 12%. For a further discussion of revenues, gross profit and selling, general and administrative expenses, see the segment results discussions below.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased $2.8 million, or 6%, to $49.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $46.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in depreciation and amortization resulted from assets placed in service during 2013.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased $3.9 million, or 13%, to $26.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $29.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to the decrease in the interest rate on Term Loan B debt which was refinanced on March 12, 2013. The interest rate on Term Loan B debt was 3.75% at September 30, 2013 compared with an interest rate of 5% at September 30, 2012.

Other Income, Net

We had other income of $0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The change in other income was primarily representative of a decrease in foreign currency transaction gains.

Income Taxes

We had an effective tax rate of 46.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with an effective tax rate of 41.8% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Excluding the effect of the discrete items, our effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 would have been 41.6%. Income before income taxes includes the impact, if any, of profit interest expense which is not deductible by us for income tax purposes. For the three months ended

September 30, 2013 and 2012 there was profit interest expense of $11.3 million and $5.0 million, respectively. Excluding the effect of the nondeductible profit interest expense on income before income taxes, the Company's income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 would have been 36.5% and 36.3% of income before income taxes, respectively.

ADESA Results

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
ADESA revenue	$275.4	$257.0
Cost of services*	152.0	147.2
Gross profit*	123.4	109.8
Selling, general and administrative	62.8	61.6
Depreciation and amortization	22.6	23.5
Operating profit	$38.0	$24.7

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

Revenue from ADESA increased $18.4 million, or 7%, to $275.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $257.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was primarily a result of a 13% increase in the number of vehicles sold, partially offset by a 5% decrease in revenue per vehicle sold to approximately $535 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with approximately $565 for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The total number of used vehicles sold at ADESA increased 13% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in volume sold was attributable to a 16% increase in institutional volume, including vehicles sold on our online only platform, as well as a 10% increase in dealer consignment units sold in the third quarter of 2013 compared with the third quarter of 2012. Online sales volumes for ADESA represented approximately 35% of the total vehicles sold in the third quarter of 2013, compared with approximately 28% in the third quarter of 2012. "Online sales" includes the following: (i) selling vehicles directly from a dealership or other interim storage location (upstream selling); (ii) online solutions that offer vehicles for sale while in transit to auction locations (midstream selling); (iii) simultaneously broadcasting video and audio of the physical auctions to online bidders (LiveBlock®); and (iv) bulletin-board or real-time online auctions (DealerBlock®). Both the upstream and midstream selling represent "online only" sales, which represent approximately half of ADESA's online sales volume.

Revenue per vehicle sold decreased 5% as a result of a decrease in the utilization of ancillary services as a result of more vehicles being sold online as well as the change in mix of vehicles towards more dealer consignment cars sold at physical auction locations. Vehicles sold online and dealer consignment cars utilize fewer ancillary services than institutional vehicles sold at physical auction locations. The used vehicle conversion percentage at physical auction locations, calculated as the number of vehicles sold as a percentage of the number of vehicles entered for sale at our ADESA auctions, increased to 56.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 55.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, dealer consignment vehicles represented approximately 52% of used vehicles sold at ADESA physical auction locations, an increase from approximately 51% for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Gross Profit

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit for ADESA increased $13.6 million, or 12%, to $123.4 million, compared with $109.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Gross profit for ADESA was 44.8% of revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 42.7% of revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the three months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily the result of the 7% increase in revenue. In addition, cost of services also benefited from the lower utilization of ancillary services as a result of increases in both vehicles sold online and dealer consignment cars.

24

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the ADESA segment increased $1.2 million, or 2%, to $62.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $61.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to increases in incentive-based compensation and compensation expense, partially offset by a reduction in loss on the sale of idle property.

IAA Results

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
IAA revenue	$198.8	$167.4
Cost of services*	128.1	106.0
Gross profit*	70.7	61.4
Selling, general and administrative	20.4	14.8
Depreciation and amortization	18.5	17.1
Operating profit	$31.8	$29.5

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

Revenue from IAA increased $31.4 million, or 19%, to $198.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $167.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was a result of an increase in vehicles sold of approximately 16% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as well as increases in revenue per vehicle sold. IAA's total loss vehicle inventory has increased over 15% at September 30, 2013, as compared to September 30, 2012. Vehicles sold under purchase agreements were approximately 8% of total salvage vehicles sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Online sales volumes for IAA for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 represented approximately half of the total vehicles sold by IAA.

Gross Profit

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit at IAA increased to $70.7 million, or 35.6% of revenue, compared with $61.4 million, or 36.7% of revenue, for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The gross profit increase was primarily the result of the increase in volume. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was mainly attributable to an increase in expenses associated with volume increases, including transportation and labor related costs. An increase in the purchase price of vehicles sold under purchase agreements also contributed to the decrease in gross profit percentage, as the entire selling price of the vehicle is recorded as revenue and cost of services.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses at IAA increased $5.6 million, or 38%, to $20.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $14.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was attributable to increases in incentive-based compensation expense and information technology costs.

AFC Results

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions except volumes and per loan amounts)	2013	2012
AFC revenue		
Interest and fee income	$53.4	$47.9
Other revenue	2.7	2.7
Provision for credit losses	(1.7)	(0.1)
Other service revenue	5.1	—
Total AFC revenue	59.5	50.5
Cost of services*	16.1	10.9
Gross profit*	43.4	39.6
Selling, general and administrative	6.4	5.0
Depreciation and amortization	7.2	5.7
Operating profit	$29.8	$28.9
Loan transactions	342,281	313,079
Revenue per loan transaction, excluding "Other service revenue"	$159	$161

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, AFC revenue increased $9.0 million, or 18%, to $59.5 million, compared with $50.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was the result of a 9% increase in loan transactions and $5.1 million of "Other service revenue" generated by Preferred Warranties, Inc. (“PWI”), for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the same period in 2012, partially offset by a 1% decrease in revenue per loan transaction for the three months ended September 30, 2013. PWI, a service contract business, was acquired in June 2013. In addition, managed receivables increased to $1,074.0 million at September 30, 2013 from $938.5 million at September 30, 2012.

Revenue per loan transaction, which includes both loans paid off and loans curtailed, decreased $2, or 1%, primarily as a result of an increase in the provision for credit losses, partially offset by increases in floorplan fee income, average loan values and average loan duration. Revenue per loan transaction excludes "Other service revenue."

Gross Profit

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit for the AFC segment increased $3.8 million, or 10%, to $43.4 million, compared with $39.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily as a result of an 18% increase in revenue, partially offset by a 48% increase in cost of services. The increase in cost of services was primarily the result of the inclusion of expenses associated with PWI, as well as increases in compensation expense.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses at AFC increased $1.4 million, or 28%, to $6.4 million, for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $5.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily the result of expenses associated with PWI, as well as increases in compensation expense.

Holding Company Results

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
Selling, general and administrative	$30.7	$20.9
Depreciation and amortization	1.3	0.5
Operating loss	$(32.0)	$(21.4)

Selling, General and Administrative

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, selling, general and administrative expenses at the holding company increased $9.8 million, or 47%, to $30.7 million, compared with $20.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily as a result of an increase in stock-based compensation expense related to the KAR LLC and Axle LLC profit interests, as well as an increase in incentive-based compensation, compensation expense and other employee related expenses. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, stock-based compensation expense related to the KAR LLC and Axle LLC operating and value units was $11.3 million, compared with $5.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, approximately $8.2 million was paid to the KAR LLC profit interest holders and approximately $14.2 million was paid to the Axle LLC profit interest holders. The cash received by the profit interest holders was paid by KAR LLC and Axle LLC. None of the Company's cash was used to pay the profit interest holders.

Overview of Results of KAR Auction Services, Inc. for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	2013	2012
Revenues		
ADESA	$844.3	$791.4
IAA	623.2	534.1
AFC	165.2	144.2
Total revenues	1,632.7	1,469.7
Cost of services*	926.5	796.7
Gross profit*	706.2	673.0
Selling, general and administrative	333.3	319.9
Depreciation and amortization	145.9	143.4
Operating profit	227.0	209.7
Interest expense	79.3	89.8
Other income, net	(2.5)	(1.6)
Loss on modification/extinguishment of debt	5.4	—
Income before income taxes	144.8	121.5
Income taxes	59.5	52.4
Net income	$85.3	$69.1
Net income per share		
Basic	$0.62	$0.51
Diluted	$0.61	$0.50

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had revenue of $1,632.7 million compared with revenue of $1,469.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of 11%. For a further discussion of revenues, gross profit and selling, general and administrative expenses, see the segment results discussions below.

Superstorm Sandy

In October 2012, Superstorm Sandy damaged property throughout the Eastern United States with the most significant damage concentrated in New Jersey and New York. As a direct result of Superstorm Sandy's effect on New York City and neighboring communities, damage from the storm is estimated at over $70 billion. Although the damage from Superstorm Sandy was widespread, the most significant damage was concentrated on the eastern seaboard of the United States. KAR and its subsidiaries did not experience significant damage to its properties or vehicles stored on our properties for our customers. Certain auction activities were delayed due to power outages, temporary loss of internet access and the inability of customers to attend the auctions immediately following the damage created by Superstorm Sandy.

IAA provides salvage auction services to substantially all of the major automobile insurance companies in the United States. Contracts with IAA's insurance customers require IAA to provide services in the event of catastrophic events like Superstorm Sandy. Typically these catastrophic events create a temporary increase in the number of cars processed and sold. The nature of the damage, the need to service our customers in a short period of time and the geographic concentration in a heavily populated, high cost area, led to substantially increased costs incurred to handle the significantly greater volumes of vehicles.

Superstorm Sandy was unique in that its impact was greatest in the densely populated New York City area. This resulted in a high concentration of total-loss vehicles in a relatively small geographic area. It is estimated that Superstorm Sandy damaged over 200,000 vehicles. IAA's customers assigned over 50,000 total-loss vehicles to IAA for processing. In order to store and process these vehicles, IAA secured over 400 acres of temporary space in New York and New Jersey. In addition, the difficult infrastructure of the New York City and Long Island areas and the shortage of towing capacity required IAA to incur significantly greater towing costs to move damaged vehicles to its sites for processing. In order to serve our customers in this region, IAA had to bring hundreds of employees to the affected areas to assist in the timely processing of these vehicles. All of these activities resulted in a temporary increase in costs related to Superstorm Sandy vehicles.

In the first nine months of 2013, IAA sold over 45,000 Superstorm Sandy vehicles resulting in revenue of approximately $29.7 million and cost of services of approximately $43.2 million. Overall, IAA incurred a pre-tax net loss of $13.5 million related to the processing of Superstorm Sandy vehicles in the first nine months of 2013. This net loss has been excluded from Adjusted EBITDA in accordance with the definitions in our Credit Agreement. These losses are net of auction services revenue realized upon the sale of the vehicles. The significantly higher tow costs incurred in order to respond to the requirements of our customers, increased occupancy costs due to the leasing of temporary locations to process Superstorm Sandy vehicles and increased labor costs for the temporary work force brought into the New York and New Jersey area has resulted in a net loss on the sale of the Superstorm Sandy vehicles.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased $2.5 million, or 2%, to $145.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $143.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in depreciation and amortization was due to an increase in depreciation resulting from certain assets placed in service over the last twelve months.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased $10.5 million, or 12%, to $79.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $89.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to the decrease in the interest rate on Term Loan B debt which was refinanced on March 12, 2013. The interest rate on Term Loan B debt was 3.75% at September 30, 2013 compared with an interest rate of 5% at September 30, 2012.

Other Income, Net

We had other income of $2.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The change in other income was primarily due to $1.0 million of contingent consideration that was recorded in the first nine months of 2012.

Loss on Modification/Extinguishment of Debt

In March 2013, we amended our Credit Agreement and have recorded a $3.9 million pretax charge resulting from certain expenses related to the Credit Agreement amendment, as well as the write-off of certain unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the term loan. Additionally, in April 2013, we prepaid the $150.0 million principal amount of the floating rate senior notes with proceeds received from refinancing Term Loan B as part of the Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.8 million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the floating rate senior notes. Finally, in the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.7

million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized securitization issuance costs associated with AFC's Receivables Purchase Agreement.

Income Taxes

We had an effective tax rate of 41.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with an effective tax rate of 43.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Excluding the effect of the discrete items, our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 would have been 41.6%. Income before income taxes includes the impact, if any, of profit interest expense which is not deductible by us for income tax purposes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 there was profit interest expense of $12.3 million and $12.0 million, respectively. Excluding the effect of the nondeductible profit interest expense on income before income taxes, the Company's income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 would have been 37.9% and 39.3% of income before income taxes, respectively.

ADESA Results

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
ADESA revenue	$844.3	$791.4
Cost of services*	469.8	442.6
Gross profit*	374.5	348.8
Selling, general and administrative	187.7	189.6
Depreciation and amortization	66.8	73.6
Operating profit	$120.0	$85.6

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

Revenue from ADESA increased $52.9 million, or 7%, to $844.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $791.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was primarily a result of a 9% increase in the number of vehicles sold, partially offset by a 2% decrease in revenue per vehicle sold to over $540 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with over $550 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The total number of used vehicles sold at ADESA increased 9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in volume sold was attributable to an increase in institutional volume, including vehicles sold on our online only platform, as well as a 7% increase in dealer consignment units sold in the first nine months of 2013 compared with the first nine months of 2012. Online sales volumes for ADESA represented approximately 35% of the total vehicles sold in the first nine months of 2013, compared with approximately 30% in the first nine months of 2012. "Online sales" includes the following: (i) selling vehicles directly from a dealership or other interim storage location (upstream selling); (ii) online solutions that offer vehicles for sale while in transit to auction locations (midstream selling); (iii) simultaneously broadcasting video and audio of the physical auctions to online bidders (LiveBlock®); and (iv) bulletin-board or real-time online auctions (DealerBlock®). Both the upstream and midstream selling represent "online only" sales, which represent approximately half of ADESA's online sales volume.

Revenue per vehicle sold decreased 2% as a result of a decrease in the utilization of ancillary services as a result of more vehicles being sold online as well as the change in mix of vehicles towards more dealer consignment cars sold at physical auction locations. Vehicles sold online and dealer consignment cars utilize fewer ancillary services than institutional vehicles sold at physical auction locations. The used vehicle conversion percentage at physical auction locations, calculated as the number of vehicles sold as a percentage of the number of vehicles entered for sale at our ADESA auctions, increased to 58.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 57.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, dealer consignment vehicles represented approximately 50% of used vehicles sold at ADESA physical auction locations, an increase from approximately 49% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

29

Gross Profit

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit for ADESA increased $25.7 million, or 7%, to $374.5 million, compared with $348.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Gross profit for ADESA was 44.4% of revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 44.1% of revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in gross profit percentage for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily the result of the 7% increase in revenue. In addition, cost of services also benefited from the lower utilization of ancillary services as a result of increases in both vehicles sold online and dealer consignment cars.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the ADESA segment decreased $1.9 million, or 1%, to $187.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $189.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to decreases in non-cash stock-based compensation expense, bad debt expense and travel expenses, partially offset by increases in incentive-based compensation and compensation expense.

IAA Results

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
IAA revenue	$623.2	$534.1
Cost of services*	416.4	322.8
Gross profit*	206.8	211.3
Selling, general and administrative	59.5	52.7
Depreciation and amortization	55.4	50.8
Operating profit	$91.9	$107.8

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

Revenue from IAA increased $89.1 million, or 17%, to $623.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $534.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was a result of an increase in vehicles sold of approximately 14% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as well as increases in revenue per vehicle sold. IAA's total loss vehicle inventory has increased over 15% at September 30, 2013, as compared to September 30, 2012. Vehicles sold under purchase agreements were approximately 7% of total salvage vehicles sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Online sales volumes for IAA for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 represented approximately half of the total vehicles sold by IAA.

Gross Profit

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit at IAA decreased to $206.8 million, or 33.2% of revenue, compared with $211.3 million, or 39.6% of revenue, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The gross profit decrease was primarily the result of the 29% increase in cost of services. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was mainly attributable to an increase in expenses associated with processing total loss vehicles related to Superstorm Sandy, which included increases in towing costs, compensation expense, temporary rental property expense, travel expenses and miscellaneous yard and auction expenses, as well as increased costs associated with volume increases. An increase in the purchase price of vehicles sold under purchase agreements also contributed to the decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue, as the entire selling price of the vehicle is recorded as revenue and cost of services.

In the first nine months of 2013, IAA sold over 45,000 Superstorm Sandy vehicles resulting in revenue of approximately $29.7 million and cost of services of approximately $43.2 million. Overall, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, IAA incurred a pre-tax net loss of $13.5 million related to the processing of Superstorm Sandy vehicles. Excluding the impact of revenues and expenses associated with Superstorm Sandy, the gross margin percentage for the first nine months of 2013 would have been 37.1% of revenue.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses at IAA increased $6.8 million, or 13%, to $59.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $52.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was attributable to increases in incentive-based compensation expense and information technology costs.

AFC Results

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions except volumes and per loan amounts)	2013	2012
AFC revenue		
Interest and fee income	$156.5	$140.8
Other revenue	7.9	8.0
Provision for credit losses	(6.3)	(4.6)
Other service revenue	7.1	—
Total AFC revenue	165.2	144.2
Cost of services*	40.3	31.3
Gross profit*	124.9	112.9
Selling, general and administrative	18.2	16.1
Depreciation and amortization	20.3	17.6
Operating profit	$86.4	$79.2
Loan transactions	1,014,037	924,892
Revenue per loan transaction, excluding "Other service revenue"	$156	$156

* Exclusive of depreciation and amortization

Revenue

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, AFC revenue increased $21.0 million, or 15%, to $165.2 million, compared with $144.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in revenue was the result of a 10% increase in loan transactions and $7.1 million of "Other service revenue" generated by Preferred Warranties, Inc. ("PWI"), for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the same period in 2012. PWI, a service contract business, was acquired in June 2013. In addition, managed receivables increased to $1,074.0 million at September 30, 2013 from $938.5 million at September 30, 2012.

Revenue per loan transaction, which includes both loans paid off and loans curtailed, was consistent with the prior year. An increase in the provision for credit losses was offset by increases in average loan values and average loan duration. Revenue per loan transaction excludes "Other service revenue."

Gross Profit

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, gross profit for the AFC segment increased $12.0 million, or 11%, to $124.9 million, compared with $112.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily as a result of a 15% increase in revenue, partially offset by a 29% increase in cost of services. The increase in cost of services was primarily the result of the inclusion of expenses associated with PWI, as well as increases in compensation expense.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses at AFC increased $2.1 million, or 13%, to $18.2 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $16.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily the result of expenses associated with PWI, as well as increases in professional fees and compensation expense, partially offset by decreases in stock-based compensation expense.

Holding Company Results

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
Selling, general and administrative	$67.9	$61.5
Depreciation and amortization	3.4	1.4
Operating loss	$(71.3)	$(62.9)

Selling, General and Administrative

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, selling, general and administrative expenses at the holding company increased $6.4 million, or 10%, to $67.9 million, compared with $61.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily as a result of an increase in incentive-based compensation and other employee related expenses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, stock-based compensation expense related to the KAR LLC and Axle LLC operating and value units was $12.3 million, compared with $12.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, approximately $8.2 million was paid to the KAR LLC profit interest holders and approximately $17.0 million was paid to the Axle LLC profit interest holders. The cash received by the profit interest holders was paid by KAR LLC and Axle LLC. None of the Company's cash was used to pay the profit interest holders.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We believe that the significant indicators of liquidity for our business are cash on hand, cash flow from operations, working capital and amounts available under our credit facility. Our principal sources of liquidity consist of cash generated by operations and borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Cash and cash equivalents	$196.0	$108.7	$163.7
Restricted cash	9.1	11.9	7.7
Working capital	363.1	294.5	319.4
Amounts available under credit facility*	250.0	250.0	250.0
Cash flow from operations	318.4		238.0

* There were related outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately $23.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and $22.8 million at September 30, 2012, which reduced the amount available for borrowings under the credit facility.

Working Capital

A substantial amount of our working capital is generated from the payments received for services provided. The majority of our working capital needs are short-term in nature, usually less than a week in duration. Due to the decentralized nature of the business, payments for most vehicles purchased are received at each auction and branch. Most of the financial institutions place a temporary hold on the availability of the funds deposited that generally can range up to two business days, resulting in cash in our accounts and on our balance sheet that is unavailable for use until it is made available by the various financial institutions. There are outstanding checks (book overdrafts) to sellers and vendors included in current liabilities. Because a portion of these outstanding checks for operations in the U.S. are drawn upon bank accounts at financial institutions other than the financial institutions that hold the cash, we cannot offset all the cash and the outstanding checks on our balance sheet.

Our available cash, which excludes cash in transit, was $124.8 million at September 30, 2013. Of this amount, approximately $29.6 million was held by foreign subsidiaries. If the portion of funds held by our foreign subsidiaries that are considered to be permanently reinvested were to be repatriated, tax expense would need to be accrued at the U.S. statutory rate, net of any applicable foreign tax credits. Such foreign tax credits would substantially offset any U.S. taxes that would be due in the event cash held by our foreign subsidiaries was repatriated.

AFC offers short-term inventory-secured financing, also known as floorplan financing, to independent used vehicle dealers. Financing is primarily provided for terms of 30 to 60 days. AFC principally generates its funding through the sale of its

32

receivables. The receivables sold pursuant to the securitization agreements are accounted for as secured borrowings. For further discussion of AFC's securitization arrangements, see "Securitization Facilities."

Credit Facilities

On May 19, 2011, we established a $1.7 billion, six-year senior secured term loan facility (“Term Loan B”) and a $250 million, five-year senior secured revolving credit facility, the terms of which are set forth in the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2011 (the “Credit Agreement”). The Credit Facility is available for letters of credit, working capital and general corporate purposes (including refinancing certain Existing Indebtedness (as defined in the Credit Agreement)). The Company pays a commitment fee of 50 basis points, payable quarterly, on the average daily unused amount of the Credit Facility.

In March 2013, we entered into the Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement. The amendment increased Term Loan B $150.0 million to $1.8 billion and decreased the interest rate on Term Loan B to adjusted LIBOR plus 2.75% from adjusted LIBOR plus 3.75%. In addition, the adjusted LIBOR rate floor decreased to 1.0% from 1.25%. The interest rate on Term Loan B was 3.75% at September 30, 2013. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a $3.9 million pretax charge resulting from certain expenses related to the Credit Agreement amendment, as well as the write-off of certain unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the term loan. The additional $150.0 million in proceeds received from Term Loan B were used to redeem the floating rate senior notes due in 2014 on April 3, 2013. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.8 million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the redemption of the floating rate senior notes.

Term Loan B is payable in quarterly installments equal to 0.25% of the aggregate principal amount as of the Second Amendment effective date, and commenced on March 31, 2013. The Credit Facility is subject to mandatory prepayments and reduction in an amount equal to (i) the net proceeds of certain debt offerings, asset sales and certain insurance recovery events; and (ii) for any fiscal year ending on or after December 31, 2011, any Excess Cash Flow, as defined in the Credit Agreement, on or before the 105th day following the end of the fiscal year. In April 2013, the Company made an excess cash flow payment of $39.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement, 50% of the net cash proceeds from the sale-leaseback of certain technology and capital equipment were used to prepay $5.2 million of Term Loan B in the first nine months of 2013. The prepayments were credited to prepay in order of maturity the unpaid amounts due on the next eight scheduled quarterly installments of Term Loan B, and thereafter to the remaining scheduled quarterly installments of Term Loan B on a pro rata basis. As such, after the debt prepayments, there are no further quarterly installments due until June 30, 2015.

On September 30, 2013, $1,775.3 million was outstanding on Term Loan B and there were no borrowings on the revolving credit facility. In addition, there were related outstanding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of $23.6 million at September 30, 2013, which reduce the amount available for borrowings under the credit facility. Our Canadian operations also have a C$8 million line of credit which was undrawn as of September 30, 2013. However, there were related letters of credit outstanding totaling approximately C$1.2 million at September 30, 2013, which reduce credit available under the Canadian line of credit.

The Credit Agreement contains certain restrictive loan covenants, including, among others, a financial covenant requiring that a maximum consolidated senior secured leverage ratio be satisfied as of the last day of each fiscal quarter if revolving loans are outstanding, and covenants limiting our ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make acquisitions, consummate change of control transactions, dispose of assets, pay dividends, make capital expenditures, make investments and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. The senior secured leverage ratio is calculated as total senior secured debt divided by the last four quarters consolidated Adjusted EBITDA. Senior secured debt includes term loan borrowings, revolving loans and capital lease liabilities less available cash as defined in the Credit Agreement. Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA (earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) adjusted to exclude among other things (a) gains and losses from asset sales; (b) unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses in respect of indebtedness; (c) certain non-recurring gains and losses; (d) stock option expense; (e) certain other noncash amounts included in the determination of net income; (f) charges and revenue reductions resulting from purchase accounting; (g) minority interest; (h) expenses associated with the consolidation of salvage operations; (i) consulting expenses incurred for cost reduction, operating restructuring and business improvement efforts; (j) expenses realized upon the termination of employees and the termination or

cancellation of leases, software licenses or other contracts in connection with the operational restructuring and business improvement efforts; (k) expenses incurred in connection with permitted acquisitions; (l) any impairment charges or write-offs of intangibles; and (m) any extraordinary, unusual or nonrecurring charges, expenses or losses. Certain covenants contained within the Credit Agreement are critical to an investor's understanding of our financial liquidity, as the failure to maintain compliance with these covenants could result in a default and allow our lenders to declare all amounts borrowed immediately due and payable. The maximum consolidated senior secured leverage ratio is required to be met when there are revolving loans outstanding under our Credit Agreement. Beginning with the quarter ended December 31,

2012 the ratio could not exceed 4.0 to 1.0 and continues to decline throughout the remaining life of the Credit Facility until it reaches 2.5 to 1.0 at March 31, 2016. Our actual consolidated senior secured leverage ratio was 3.2 to 1.0 at September 30, 2013.

In addition, the Credit Agreement contains certain financial and operational restrictions that limit our ability to pay dividends and other distributions, make certain acquisitions or investments, incur indebtedness, grant liens and sell assets. The covenants in the Credit Agreement affect our operating flexibility by, among other things, restricting our ability to incur expenses and indebtedness that could be used to grow the business, as well as to fund general corporate purposes. We were in compliance with the covenants in the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2013.

We believe our sources of liquidity from our cash and cash equivalents on hand, working capital, cash provided by operating activities, and availability under our credit facility are sufficient to meet our short and long-term operating needs for the foreseeable future. In addition, we believe the previously mentioned sources of liquidity will be sufficient to fund our capital requirements and debt service payments for the next twelve months.

Securitization Facilities

AFC sells the majority of its U.S. dollar denominated finance receivables on a revolving basis and without recourse to a wholly owned, bankruptcy remote, consolidated, special purpose subsidiary (“AFC Funding Corporation”), established for the purpose of purchasing AFC's finance receivables. A securitization agreement allows for the revolving sale by AFC Funding Corporation to a group of bank purchasers of undivided interests in certain eligible finance receivables subject to committed liquidity. AFC Funding Corporation had committed liquidity of $800 million for U.S. finance receivables at September 30, 2013.

In June 2013, AFC and AFC Funding Corporation entered into the Fifth Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement (the “Receivables Purchase Agreement”). The Receivables Purchase Agreement increased AFC Funding's U.S. committed liquidity from $650 million to $800 million and extended the facility's maturity date from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2016. In addition, certain of the covenants and termination events in the Receivables Purchase Agreement that are tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio were modified. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a $0.7 million pretax charge primarily resulting from the write-off of unamortized securitization issuance costs associated with the amendment.

We also have an agreement for the securitization of Automotive Finance Canada Inc.'s (“AFCI”) receivables. In June 2013, AFCI entered into the Second Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement (the “Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement”). The Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement extended the facility's maturity date from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2016. In addition, certain of the covenants and termination events in the Canadian Receivables Purchase Agreement that are tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio were modified. AFCI's committed liquidity is provided through a third party conduit (separate from the U.S. facility) and was C$100 million at September 30, 2013. The receivables sold pursuant to both the U.S. and Canadian securitization agreements are accounted for as secured borrowings.

AFC managed total finance receivables of $1,074.0 million and $1,004.2 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. AFC's allowance for losses was $8.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, $1,065.1 million and $996.0 million, respectively, of finance receivables and a cash reserve of 1 percent of the obligations collateralized by finance receivables served as security for the $742.4 million and $713.3 million of obligations collateralized by finance receivables at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. After the occurrence of a termination event, as defined in the U.S. securitization agreement, the banks may, and could, cause the stock of AFC Funding Corporation to be transferred to the bank facility, though as a practical matter the bank facility would look to the liquidation of the receivables under the transaction documents as their primary remedy.

Proceeds from the revolving sale of receivables to the bank facilities are used to fund new loans to customers. AFC, AFC Funding Corporation and AFCI must maintain certain financial covenants including, among others, limits on the amount of debt AFC and AFCI can incur, minimum levels of tangible net worth, and other covenants tied to the performance of the finance receivables portfolio. The securitization agreements also incorporate the financial covenants of our Credit Facility. At September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants in the securitization agreements.

34

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, as presented herein, are supplemental measures of our performance that are not required by, or presented in accordance with, generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP. They are not measurements of our financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered substitutes for net income (loss) or any other performance measures derived in accordance with GAAP.

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss), plus interest expense net of interest income, income tax provision (benefit), depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA adjusted for the items of income and expense and expected incremental revenue and cost savings, as described above in the discussion of certain restrictive loan covenants under "Credit Facilities."

Management believes that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about one of the principal measures of performance used by our creditors. In addition, management uses Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate our performance and to evaluate results relative to incentive compensation targets. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the results as reported under GAAP. These measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

The following tables reconcile EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss) for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
(Dollars in millions)	ADESA	IAA	AFC	Corporate	Consolidated
Net income (loss)	$14.5	$13.8	$18.8	$(24.3)	$22.8
Add back:					
Income taxes	9.1	8.4	12.0	(9.9)	19.6
Interest expense, net of interest income	—	0.2	4.6	21.0	25.8
Depreciation and amortization	22.6	18.5	7.2	1.3	49.6
Intercompany interest	13.1	9.4	(5.6)	(16.9)	—
EBITDA	59.3	50.3	37.0	(28.8)	117.8
Adjustments per the Credit Agreement	4.6	—	(2.6)	10.8	12.8
Adjusted EBITDA	$63.9	$50.3	$34.4	$(18.0)	$130.6

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012				
(Dollars in millions)	ADESA	IAA	AFC	Corporate	Consolidated
Net income (loss)	$5.6	$12.2	$18.8	$(17.4)	$19.2
Add back:					
Income taxes	4.6	7.7	10.9	(9.4)	13.8
Interest expense, net of interest income	0.1	0.3	3.8	25.6	29.8
Depreciation and amortization	23.5	17.1	5.7	0.5	46.8
Intercompany interest	13.6	9.4	(4.6)	(18.4)	—
EBITDA	47.4	46.7	34.6	(19.1)	109.6
Adjustments per the Credit Agreement	7.0	(2.1)	(2.6)	5.4	7.7
Adjusted EBITDA	$54.4	$44.6	$32.0	$(13.7)	$117.3

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
(Dollars in millions)	ADESA	IAA	AFC	Corporate	Consolidated
Net income (loss)	$47.5	$39.3	$54.4	$(55.9)	$85.3
Add back:					
Income taxes	28.2	23.6	34.2	(26.5)	59.5
Interest expense, net of interest income	0.4	0.7	12.3	65.6	79.0
Depreciation and amortization	66.8	55.4	20.3	3.4	145.9
Intercompany interest	39.8	28.4	(15.2)	(53.0)	—
EBITDA	182.7	147.4	106.0	(66.4)	369.7
Adjustments per the Credit Agreement	15.2	0.7	(7.1)	15.0	23.8
Superstorm Sandy	—	13.5	—	—	13.5
Adjusted EBITDA	$197.9	$161.6	$98.9	$(51.4)	$407.0

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
(Dollars in millions)	ADESA	IAA	AFC	Corporate	Consolidated
Net income (loss)	$21.9	$46.7	$51.3	$(50.8)	$69.1
Add back:					
Income taxes	19.5	30.8	29.8	(27.7)	52.4
Interest expense, net of interest income	0.7	1.1	11.2	76.7	89.7
Depreciation and amortization	73.6	50.8	17.6	1.4	143.4
Intercompany interest	40.7	28.3	(13.1)	(55.9)	—
EBITDA	156.4	157.7	96.8	(56.3)	354.6
Adjustments per the Credit Agreement	21.0	0.7	(7.4)	11.4	25.7
Adjusted EBITDA	$177.4	$158.4	$89.4	$(44.9)	$380.3

Certain of our loan covenant calculations utilize financial results for the most recent four consecutive fiscal quarters. The following table reconciles EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss) for the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended				Twelve Months
(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	Ended September 30, 2013
Net income (loss)	$22.9	$29.1	$33.4	$22.8	$108.2
Add back:					
Income taxes	7.2	17.0	22.9	19.6	66.7
Interest expense, net of interest income	29.4	28.7	24.5	25.8	108.4
Depreciation and amortization	46.8	47.3	49.0	49.6	192.7
EBITDA	106.3	122.1	129.8	117.8	476.0
Other adjustments per the Credit Agreement	4.7	6.1	3.1	2.9	16.8
Noncash charges	3.1	0.4	7.9	13.2	24.6
AFC interest expense	(3.3)	(3.2)	(3.3)	(3.3)	(13.1)
Superstorm Sandy	9.1	10.8	2.7	—	22.6
Adjusted EBITDA	$119.9	$136.2	$140.2	$130.6	$526.9

Summary of Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2013	2012
Net cash provided by (used by):		
Operating activities	$318.4	$238.0
Investing activities	(180.7)	(112.5)
Financing activities	(46.5)	(62.3)
Effect of exchange rate on cash	(3.9)	3.1
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	$87.3	$66.3

Cash flow from operating activities was $318.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $238.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in operating cash flow was primarily attributable to:

- increased profitability; and
- the timing of collections and the disbursement of funds to consignors related to auctions held near period-ends.

Net cash used by investing activities was $180.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $112.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net cash used by investing activities was primarily attributable to:

- cash paid of $28.8 million for acquisitions, including PWI Holdings, Inc., in the first nine months of 2013, compared with $0.5 million for an acquisition in the first nine months of 2012 (see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 2, Acquisitions);
- an increase in finance receivables held for investment; and
- an increase in capital expenditures of approximately $14.5 million. For a discussion of the Company's capital expenditures, see “Capital Expenditures” below.

Net cash used by financing activities was $46.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with $62.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in net cash used by financing activities was primarily attributable to:

- the repayment of the Company's revolving credit facility totaling $68.9 million in the first nine months of 2012;
- the timing of book overdrafts, as there was an increase of $38.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with an increase of $10.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Book overdrafts relate to the timing of payments to consignors of vehicles;
- an increase in the issuance of common stock under stock plans; and
- an increase in obligations collateralized by finance receivables;

partially offset by:

- $52.2 million in dividend payments in 2013, compared with none in 2012;
- a net increase in debt activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due to payments on long-term debt of $49.2 million, compared with payments on long-term debt of $12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012; and
- payments of $26.0 million for debt issuance costs in 2013.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 approximated $75.3 million and $60.8 million, respectively. Capital expenditures were funded primarily from internally generated funds. We continue to invest in our core information technology capabilities and capacity expansion. Capital expenditures are expected to be approximately $95 million for fiscal year 2013, compared with $102.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Anticipated capital expenditures are primarily attributable to ongoing information system projects, integration of OPENLANE and ADESA information systems, upkeep and improvements at existing vehicle auction facilities, improvements in information technology systems and infrastructure and expansion and relocation of existing auction sites that are at capacity. Future capital expenditures could vary substantially based on capital project timing and the initiation of new information systems projects to support our business strategies.

Dividends

Subject to board of director approval, we expect to pay a quarterly dividend of $0.25 per share in 2014 using cash flow from operations, representing an annualized dividend of $1.00 per share. The following dividend information has been released in 2013:

- On February 20, 2013, the board of directors announced a cash dividend of $0.19 per share that was paid on April 4, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 25, 2013.
- On May 1, 2013, the board of directors announced a cash dividend of $0.19 per share that was paid on July 3, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on June 24, 2013.
- On August 6, 2013, the board of directors announced a cash dividend of $0.19 per share that was paid on October 3, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on September 24, 2013.
- On November 5, 2013, the board of directors announced a cash dividend of $0.25 per share payable on January 3, 2014, to stockholders of record at the close of business on December 20, 2013.

Future dividend decisions will be based on and affected by a variety of factors, including our financial condition and results of operations, contractual restrictions, including restrictive covenants contained in our Credit Agreement and AFC's securitization facilities, capital requirements and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. We have limited prior history of declaring or paying any cash or other dividends, and no assurance can be given as to whether any future dividends may be declared by our board of directors or the amount thereof.

Acquisitions

In June 2013, the Company purchased the stock of PWI Holdings, Inc., whose subsidiary, Preferred Warranties, Inc., markets vehicle service contracts through a network of independent used vehicle dealers. The acquisition is expected to strengthen KAR's product offerings to independent used vehicle dealers. The assets of PWI Holdings, Inc. included accounts receivable, software and customer relationships related to the business. Financial results for this acquisition have been included in our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The purchase price of PWI Holdings, Inc., net of cash, was approximately $27.3 million. The acquired assets and liabilities were recorded based upon fair values, including $26.9 million assigned to intangible assets, representing the fair value of acquired customer relationships, tradenames and software, which are being amortized over their respective useful lives. The acquisition resulted in goodwill of $22.7 million which is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The goodwill is recorded in the AFC reportable segment. The financial impact of this acquisition was immaterial to the Company's statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Contractual Obligations

The Company's contractual cash obligations for long-term debt, interest payments related to long-term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases and postretirement benefit payments were summarized in the table of contractual obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Since December 31, 2012, there have been no material changes to the contractual obligations of the Company, with the exception of the following:

- In March 2013, we amended our Credit Agreement which resulted in a lower interest rate on Term Loan B; as such, our future interest payments related to long-term debt should be lower than projected at December 31, 2012, as the interest rate decreased from adjusted LIBOR plus 3.75% to adjusted LIBOR plus 2.75%;
- In April 2013 we prepaid the $150.0 million principal amount of the floating rate senior notes with the additional proceeds of $150.0 million received from incremental borrowings under Term Loan B in March 2013;
- In April 2013, we made an excess cash flow payment of $39.4 million on Term Loan B; and
- In April, May and July 2013, 50% of the net cash proceeds from the sale-leaseback of certain technology and capital equipment were used to prepay $5.2 million of Tern Loan B.

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for additional information about the items described above. Our contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2012, are discussed in the "Contractual Obligations" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our critical accounting estimates are discussed in the "Critical Accounting Estimates" section of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A summary of significant accounting policies is discussed in Note 2 and elsewhere in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which includes audited financial statements.

New Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740)- Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. The new guidance provides clarification on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits and better reflects the manner in which an entity would settle at the reporting date any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position when net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards exist. The new guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2013-11 will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)—Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The new guidance requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The new guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company's adoption of ASU 2013-02 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Currency

Our foreign currency exposure is limited and arises from transactions denominated in foreign currencies, particularly intercompany loans, as well as from translation of the results of operations from our Canadian and, to a much lesser extent, Mexican subsidiaries. However, fluctuations between U.S. and non-U.S. currency values may adversely affect our results of operations and financial position. We have not entered into any foreign exchange contracts to hedge changes in the Canadian or Mexican exchange rates. Canadian currency translation negatively affected net income by approximately $0.6 million and $0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Currency exposure of our Mexican operations is not material to the results of operations.

Interest Rates

We are exposed to interest rate risk on our variable rate borrowings. Accordingly, interest rate fluctuations affect the amount of interest expense we are obligated to pay. We currently use interest rate cap agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes. We have not designated any of the interest rate caps as hedges for accounting purposes. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the interest rate caps are recognized as "Interest expense" in the consolidated statement of income.

In August 2013, we purchased four interest rate caps for approximately $2.2 million with an aggregate notional amount of $1.2 billion to manage our exposure to interest rate movements on our variable rate Term Loan B credit facility when three-month LIBOR exceeds 1.0%. The interest rate cap agreements cap three-month LIBOR at 1.0%, have an effective date of August 16, 2013 and mature on August 16, 2015.

A sensitivity analysis of the impact on our variable rate corporate debt instruments to a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in short-term rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 would have resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately $4.4 million and $10.8 million, respectively.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II
OTHER INFORMATION
Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in litigation and disputes arising in the ordinary course of business, such as actions related to injuries; property damage; handling, storage or disposal of vehicles; environmental laws and regulations; and other litigation incidental to the business such as employment matters and dealer disputes. Such litigation is generally not, in the opinion of management, likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Legal and regulatory proceedings which could be material are discussed below.

Certain legal proceedings in which the Company is involved are discussed in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Part I, Item 3 of the same Annual Report. The following discussion is limited to certain recent developments concerning our legal and regulatory proceedings and should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report. Unless otherwise indicated, all proceedings discussed in the Annual Report remain outstanding.

IAA—Lower Duwamish Waterway

On March 25, 2008, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or the "EPA," issued a General Notice of Potential Liability, or "General Notice," pursuant to Section 107(a), and a Request for Information pursuant to Section 104(e) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or "CERCLA" to IAA for a Superfund site known as the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site ("LDW Site") in Seattle, Washington. On November 7, 2012, the EPA issued a Second General Notice of Potential Liability, or "Second General Notice," to IAA for the LDW Site. Since 2004, IAA has operated a branch on property it leases in Tukwila, Washington, which is located adjacent to the LDW Site. The LDW Site was identified as a Superfund site in 2001, three years before IAA began leasing the branch in Tukwila. At this time, the EPA has not demanded that IAA pay any funds or take any action apart from responding to the Section 104(e) Information Request. The EPA's website indicates that the EPA has issued notice letters to approximately 111 entities, and has issued Section 104(e) Requests to more than 300 entities. Four Potentially Responsible Parties, or "PRPs," The Boeing Company, the City of Seattle, the Port of Seattle and King County, have funded a remedial investigation and feasibility study of the LDW Site, but the EPA has not yet issued a final plan for remediating the site. IAA is aware that certain authorities may bring natural resource damage claims against PRPs. In the General Notice and Second General Notice, the EPA informed IAA that the EPA believes IAA may be a PRP, but the EPA has not specified the factual basis for this assertion. At this time, the Company does not have adequate information to determine IAA's responsibility for contamination at this site, if any, or to estimate IAA's loss as a result of this potential liability.

In addition, the Washington State Department of Ecology is working with the EPA in relation to the LDW Site, primarily to investigate and address sources of potential contamination contributing to the LDW Site. The current Tukwila property owner, the former Tukwila property owner and IAA have had discussions with the Washington State Department of Ecology concerning possible source control obligations, including an investigation of the water and soils entering the stormwater system, an analysis of the source of any contamination identified within the system and possible repairs and upgrades to the stormwater capture and filtration system. In 2011, IAA submitted results of its stormwater system investigation to comply with the Washington State Department of Ecology source control requirements. Additional source control obligations, if any, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on future recurring operating costs.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investing in our Company involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as all of the other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, before deciding to invest in our Company. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, prospects, results of operations and cash flows. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. These risks are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Our Business

Decreases in the supply of used vehicles coming to auction have impacted and may continue to impact auction sales volumes, which may adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

The number of new and used vehicles that are leased by consumers affects the supply of vehicles coming to auction in future periods as the leases mature. If manufacturers and other lenders decrease the number of new vehicle lease originations and extend the terms of some of the existing leases, the number of off-lease vehicles available at auction for the industry would decline. Based on our estimates, off-lease vehicles available at auction for the industry declined slightly in 2010 and declined by over 40% in 2011, reflecting declines in lease origination volume and new vehicle sales approximately three years earlier during the global economic downturn and credit crisis. If the supply of off-lease vehicles coming to auction declines, our revenues and profitability may be adversely affected. Our expectation is that the decline in off-lease vehicles will continue to impact Canada, where we have a significant auction market presence.

Volumes of off-lease vehicles in subsequent periods will be affected by total new vehicle sales and the future leasing behavior of manufacturers and lenders; therefore, we may not be able to accurately predict the volume of vehicles coming to auction. The supply of off-lease vehicles coming to auction is also affected by the market value of used vehicles compared to the residual value of those vehicles per the lease terms. In most cases, the lessee and the dealer have the ability to purchase the vehicle at the residual price at the end of the lease term. Generally, as market values of used vehicles rise, the number of vehicles purchased at residual value by the lessees and dealers increases, thus decreasing the number of off-lease vehicles available at auction.

In 2009, the auction industry sales volume was over 9 million units. However, auction sales volumes declined to approximately 7.7 million units in 2011 and 7.9 million units in 2012 (excluding approximately 0.3 million units sold by OPENLANE in 2011 and 2012). We believe that auction sales volumes will recover over the next several years, and we estimate volumes to reach over 9 million units by 2015, including OPENLANE volumes. We believe that an increase in the volume of off-lease and repossessed vehicles, among others, that are remarketed through whole car auctions are a significant contributor to this growth, and our performance could be adversely impacted if volumes do not increase and we are not able to reduce our costs permanently to compensate for the lower industry auction volumes.

Adverse economic conditions may negatively affect our business and results of operations.

Future adverse economic conditions could increase our exposure to several risks, including:

- Fluctuations in the supply of used vehicles. We are dependent on the supply of used vehicles coming to auction, and our financial performance depends, in part, on conditions in the automotive industry. During the past global economic downturn and credit crisis, there was an erosion of retail demand for new and used vehicles that led many lenders to cut back on originations of new loans and leases and led to significant manufacturing capacity reductions by

automakers selling vehicles in the United States and Canada. Capacity reductions could depress the number of vehicles received at auction in the future and could lead to reduced program vehicles and rental fleet sales, negatively impacting auction volumes. In addition, weak growth in or declining new vehicle sales negatively impacts used vehicle trade-ins to dealers and auction volumes. These factors could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

- Decline in the demand for used vehicles. We may experience a decrease in demand for used vehicles from buyers due to factors including the lack of availability of consumer credit and declines in consumer spending and consumer confidence. Adverse credit conditions also affect the ability of dealers to secure financing to purchase used vehicles at auction, which further negatively affects buyer demand. In addition, a reduction in the number of franchised and

independent used car dealers negatively affects our ability to collect receivables and may reduce dealer demand for used vehicles.

- Decrease in the supply and demand of salvage vehicles. If the number of miles driven decreases, the number of salvage vehicles received at auction may also decrease. In addition, decreases in commodity prices, such as steel and platinum, may negatively affect vehicle values and demand at salvage auctions. In addition, if consumers eliminate their automotive collision coverage, this could result in fewer vehicles being declared a total loss.

- Decrease in consumer spending. Consumer purchases of new and used vehicles may be adversely affected by economic conditions such as employment levels, wage and salary levels, trends in consumer confidence and spending, reductions in consumer net worth, interest rates, inflation, the availability of consumer credit and taxation policies. Consumer purchases in general may decline during recessions, periods of prolonged declines in the equity markets or housing markets and periods when disposable income and perceptions of consumer wealth are lower. Changes to U.S. federal tax policy may negatively affect consumer spending. To the extent retail demand for new and used vehicles decreases, negatively impacting our auction volumes, our results of operations and financial position could be materially and adversely affected.

- Volatility in the asset-backed securities market. Volatility and disruption in the asset-backed commercial paper market could lead to a narrowing of interest rate spreads at AFC in certain periods. In addition, any volatility and disruption has affected, and could affect, AFC's cost of financing related to its securitization facility.

- Increased counterparty credit risk. Any market deterioration could increase the risk of the failure of financial institutions party to our Credit Agreement and other counterparties with which we do business to honor their obligations to us. Our ability to replace any such obligations on the same or similar terms may be limited if challenging credit and general economic conditions exist.

- Ability to service and refinance indebtedness. Uncertainty in the financial markets may negatively affect our ability to service our existing debt, access additional financing or to refinance our existing indebtedness on favorable terms or at all. If economic weakness exists, it may affect our cash flow from operations and results of operations, which may affect our ability to service payment obligations on our debt or to comply with our debt covenants.

Our business is dependent on information and technology systems. Failure to effectively maintain or update these systems could result in us losing customers and materially adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Robust information systems are critical to our operating environment and competitive position, including with respect to our online auctions. We may not be successful in structuring our information system infrastructure or developing, acquiring or implementing information systems which are competitive and responsive to the needs of our customers and we might lack sufficient resources to continue to make the significant necessary investments in information systems to compete with our competitors. Certain information systems initiatives that management considers important to our long-term success will require capital investment, have significant risks associated with their execution, and could take several years to implement. We may not be able to develop/implement these initiatives in a cost-effective, timely manner or at all.

Our information and technology systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from computer viruses, network failures, computer and telecommunications failures, infiltration by unauthorized persons and security breaches, usage errors by our employees, power outages and catastrophic events such as fires, tornadoes, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes. If these systems were compromised, not operable for extended periods of time or ceased to function properly, we may have to make a significant investment to fix or replace them and our ability to provide many of our electronic and online solutions to our customers may be impaired. If that were to occur, it could have a material

adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Aspects of our operations and business are subject to privacy regulation in the United States and elsewhere. Many U.S. states have enacted data breach regulations and laws requiring varying levels of consumer notification in the event of a security breach. Increased regulation and enforcement activity throughout the world in the areas of data privacy and data security/breach may materially increase our costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Our failure to comply with the privacy and data security/breach laws to which we are subject could also result in fines, sanctions and damage to our reputation and trade names.

Used vehicle prices have a significant effect on fee revenue per unit at IAA and loan losses at AFC and may impact the supply of used vehicles at ADESA.

The volume of new vehicle production, accuracy of lease residual estimates, interest rates, customer demand and changes in regulations, among other things, all potentially affect the pricing of used vehicles. Used vehicle prices may affect the volume of vehicles entered for sale at our used vehicle auctions and the demand for those used vehicles, the fee revenue per unit at our salvage auctions, loan losses for our dealer financing business and our ability to retain customers. Throughout 2011 and 2012, used vehicle prices remained high, which led many used vehicle dealers to retail more of their trade-in vehicles on their own rather than selling them at auction. The high used vehicle prices in 2011 and 2012 also contributed to strong proceeds in the salvage auction industry. In contrast, a sustained reduction in used vehicle pricing could result in lower proceeds from the sale of salvage vehicles and a related reduction in revenue per vehicle, a potential loss of consignors, an increase in loan losses at AFC and decreased profitability.

We face significant competition and may not successfully adapt to industry changes, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We face significant competition for the supply of used and salvage vehicles, the buyers of those vehicles and the floorplan financing of these vehicles. Our principal sources of competition historically have come from: (1) direct competitors (e.g., Manheim, Copart and NextGear Capital), (2) new entrants, including new vehicle remarketing venues and dealer financing services, and (3) existing alternative vehicle remarketing venues. Due to the increasing use of the Internet and other technology as marketing and distribution channels, we also face increasing competition from online wholesale and retail vehicle selling platforms (generally without any meaningful physical presence) and from our own customers when they sell directly to end users through such platforms rather than remarket vehicles through our auctions and other channels. Increased competition could result in price reductions, reduced margins or loss of market share.

Our future success also depends on our ability to respond to evolving industry trends, changes in customer requirements and new technologies. One potentially adverse trend would be a market shift towards the simultaneous listing of vehicles on multiple online sales platforms. Were such trend to take hold, the vehicle remarketing industry's economics could change. For example, we might need to incur additional costs or otherwise alter our business model to adapt to these changes. In such case, the volume of vehicles supplied to us and our overall revenues and fees per vehicle sold could decrease. We are discussing with one or more other auction houses and industry participants the development of a multiple platform listing system. Any such collaboration may be unsuccessful.

Some of our competitors may have greater financial and marketing resources than we do, may be able to respond more quickly to evolving industry dynamics and changes in customer requirements, or may be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of new or emerging services and technologies. If we are unable to compete successfully or to successfully adapt to industry changes, our business, revenues and profitability could be materially adversely affected.

ADESA currently competes with online wholesale and retail vehicle selling platforms, including OVE.com (affiliated with Manheim), SmartAuction, eBay Motors and others. With the exception of OVE.com, these online selling platforms generally do not have any meaningful physical presence and may cause the volume of vehicles sold through our online and physical auctions to decrease. If the number of vehicles sold at our auctions decreases due to these competitors or other industry changes such as the use of a multiple platform listing system, our revenue and profitability may be negatively impacted.

In our salvage auction business, potential competitors include used vehicle auctions, providers of claims software to insurance companies and certain salvage buyer groups and automobile insurance companies, some of which currently supply salvage vehicles to us. Insurance companies may in the future decide to dispose of their salvage vehicles

directly to end users, which would negatively affect our volumes, revenue and profitability.

ADESA and IAA's agreements with its largest institutional suppliers of used and salvage vehicles are generally subject to cancellation by either party upon 30 to 90 days' notice. In addition, it is common that institutional suppliers regularly review their relationships with whole car and salvage auctions through written requests for proposals. Such suppliers may from time to time require us to make changes to the way we do business as part of the request for proposal process. There can be no assurance that our existing agreements will not be canceled or that we will be able to enter into future agreements with these or other suppliers on similar terms, or at all, and our ability to grow and sustain profitability could be impaired.

Fluctuations in the supply of and demand for salvage vehicles impact auction sales volumes, which may adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

We are dependent upon receiving a sufficient number of total loss vehicles as well as recovered theft vehicles to sustain profit margins in our salvage auction business. Factors that can adversely affect the number of vehicles received include, but are not limited to, a decrease in the number of vehicles in operation or miles driven, mild weather conditions that cause fewer traffic accidents, reduction of policy writing by insurance providers that would affect the number of claims over a period of time, a decrease in the percentage of claims resulting in a total loss, delays or changes in state title processing, and changes in direct repair procedures that would reduce the number of newer, less damaged total loss vehicles, which tend to have higher salvage values. In addition, our salvage auction business depends on a limited number of key insurance companies to supply the salvage vehicles we sell at auction. Our agreements with these insurance company suppliers are generally subject to cancellation by either party upon 30 to 90 days' notice. There can be no assurance that our existing agreements will not be canceled or that we will be able to enter into future agreements with these suppliers. Future decreases in the quality and quantity of vehicle inventory, and in particular the availability of newer and less damaged vehicles, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. If the supply of salvage vehicles coming to auction declines significantly, our revenues and profitability may be adversely affected.

An increase in the number of used and salvage vehicles purchased on virtual auction platforms could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

We acquired OPENLANE in recognition of the increasing use of the internet as a means to purchase wholesale vehicles. In connection with online auctions, ADESA and IAA offer physical auctions, which allow buyers to physically inspect and compare vehicles. If sellers and buyers increase the number of vehicles transacted on virtual auction platforms, our revenue per vehicle will likely decline. In addition, our cost structure includes a significant fixed cost component, including occupancy costs, that cannot be readily reduced if revenue per vehicle declines. If a shift in the percentage of used and salvage vehicles sold online as compared with used and salvage vehicles sold at physical auctions occurs, and we are unable to generate new sources of revenue, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

If we are unable to successfully acquire and integrate other businesses, our growth prospects could be adversely affected.

Acquisitions have been a part of our historical growth and have enabled us to further broaden and diversify our service offerings. Our strategy generally involves the acquisition and integration of additional physical auction sites, technologies and personnel. Acquisition of businesses requires substantial time and attention of management personnel and may also require additional equity or debt financings. Further, integration of newly established or acquired businesses is often disruptive. Since we have acquired or in the future may acquire one or more businesses, there can be no assurance that we will identify appropriate targets, will acquire such businesses on favorable terms, or will be able to successfully integrate such organizations into our business. Failure to do so could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, we expect to compete against other auction groups or new industry consolidators for suitable acquisitions. If we are able to consummate acquisitions, such acquisitions could be dilutive to earnings, and we could overpay for such acquisitions.

In pursuing a strategy of acquiring other businesses, we face other risks including, but not limited to:

- incurring significantly higher capital expenditures and operating expenses;

- entering new markets with which we are unfamiliar;

- incurring potential undiscovered liabilities at acquired businesses;
- failing to maintain uniform standards, controls and policies;
- impairing relationships with employees and customers as a result of management changes; and
- increasing expenses for accounting and computer systems, as well as integration difficulties.

We may not successfully implement our business strategies or maintain gross profit margins.

We are pursuing strategic initiatives that management considers critical to our long-term success, including but not limited to growing market share and volume, increasing revenue per vehicle and improving customer experiences through internet initiatives, using excess cash flow to reduce debt, leveraging AFC's products and services at ADESA and IAA and

continuing to improve operating efficiency. There are significant risks involved with the execution of these initiatives, including significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control. Accordingly, we cannot predict whether we will succeed in implementing these strategic initiatives. For example, if we are unsuccessful in continuing to generate significant cash flows from operations (we generated $290.2 million and $305.8 million of cash flow from operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively), we may be unable to reduce our outstanding indebtedness, which could negatively affect our financial position and results of operations and our ability to execute our other strategies. It could take several years to realize any direct financial benefits from these initiatives if any direct financial benefits from these initiatives are achieved at all. Additionally, our business strategy may change from time to time, which could delay our ability to implement initiatives that we believe are important to our business.

Changes in interest rates or market conditions could adversely impact the profitability and business of AFC.

Rising interest rates may have the effect of depressing the sales of used vehicles because many consumers finance their vehicle purchases. In addition, AFC securitizes a majority of its finance receivables on a revolving basis. Volatility and/or market disruption in the asset-backed securities market in the United States or Canada can impact AFC's cost of financing related to, or its ability to arrange financing on acceptable terms through, its securitization facility, which could negatively affect AFC's business and our financial condition and operations.

In addition, AFC typically assesses its U.S. dealer customers with an interest rate comprised of a minimum prime rate of 5% plus an interest spread. The U.S. prime rate was 3.25% at September 30, 2013. Any increase above 3.25% up to 5% will likely compress AFC's margins as the variable cost of securitizing receivables will rise without a corresponding increase in the financing rate AFC charges to its U.S. dealer customers.

Increases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to certain foreign currencies may negatively impact foreign buyer participation at our auctions.

We have a significant number of non-U.S. based buyers who participate in our auctions. Increases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to these buyers' local currencies may reduce the prices they are willing to pay at auction, which may negatively affect our revenues.

Weather-related and other events beyond our control may adversely impact operations.

Extreme weather or other events, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, forest fires, floods, terrorist attacks or war, may adversely affect the overall economic environment, the markets in which we compete, our operations and profitability. These events may impact our physical auction facilities, causing a material increase in costs, or delays or cancellation of auction sales, which could have a material adverse impact on our revenues and profitability. In some instances, for example with the severe storm in October 2012, known as "Superstorm Sandy," these events may result in a sharp influx in the available supply of salvage vehicles and there can be no assurance that our salvage auction business will have sufficient resources to handle such extreme increases in supply. Our failure to meet our customers' demands in such situations could negatively affect our relationships with such customers and result in a loss of future business, which would adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. In addition, salvage revenues generated as a result of the total loss of vehicles associated with such a catastrophe are typically recognized subsequent to the incurrence of incremental costs and such revenues may not be sufficient to offset the costs incurred.

Mild weather conditions tend to result in a decrease in the available supply of salvage vehicles because traffic accidents decrease and fewer vehicles are damaged. Accordingly, mild weather can have an adverse effect on our salvage vehicle inventories, which would be expected to have an adverse effect on our revenue and operating results and related growth rates.

High fuel prices could lead to a reduction in miles driven and may have an adverse effect on our revenues and operating results, as well as our earnings growth rates.

High fuel prices could lead to a reduction in the miles driven per vehicle, which may reduce accident rates. High fuel prices may also disproportionately affect the demand for sports cars, luxury vehicles, sport utility and full-sized vehicles which are generally not as fuel-efficient as smaller vehicles. Retail sales and accident rates are factors that affect the number of used and salvage vehicles sold at auction, wholesale prices of those vehicles and the conversion rates at used vehicle auctions. Additionally, high fuel costs increase the cost of transportation and towing of vehicles and we may not be able to pass on such higher costs to our customers.

A portion of our net income is derived from our international operations, primarily Canada, which exposes us to foreign exchange risks that may impact our financial statements.

Fluctuations between U.S. and foreign currency values may adversely affect our results of operations and financial position, particularly fluctuations with Canadian currency values. In addition, there may be tax inefficiencies in repatriating cash from Canada. Approximately 15% and 16% of our revenues were attributable to our Canadian operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively. A decrease in the value of the Canadian currency relative to the U.S. dollar would reduce our profits from Canadian operations and the value of the net assets of our Canadian operations when reported in U.S. dollars in our financial statements. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations as reported in U.S. dollars.

In addition, fluctuations in exchange rates may make it more difficult to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations. For purposes of accounting, the assets and liabilities of our Canadian operations are translated using period-end exchange rates; such translation gains and losses are reported in “Accumulated other comprehensive income/loss” as a component of stockholders’ equity. The revenues and expenses of our Canadian operations are translated using average exchange rates during each period.

Environmental, health and safety risks could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to various foreign, federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing the emission or discharge of pollutants into the air or water, the generation, treatment, storage and release of hazardous materials and wastes and the investigation and remediation of contamination. Our failure to comply with current or future environmental, health or safety laws or to obtain and comply with permits required under such laws, could subject us to significant liability or require costly investigative, remedial or corrective actions.

In the used vehicle remarketing industry, large numbers of vehicles, including wrecked vehicles at salvage auctions, are stored and/or refurbished at auction facilities and during that time minor releases of fuel, motor oil and other materials may occur. We have investigated or remediated, or are currently investigating or remediating, contamination resulting from various sources, including gasoline, fuel additives (such as methyl tertiary butyl ether, or MTBE), motor oil, petroleum products and other hazardous materials released from aboveground or underground storage tanks or in connection with current or former operations conducted at our facilities. We have incurred and may in the future incur expenditures relating to releases of hazardous materials, investigative, remedial or corrective actions, claims by third parties and other environmental issues, and such expenditures, individually or in the aggregate, could be significant.

Federal and state environmental authorities are currently investigating IAA’s role in contributing to contamination at the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site in Seattle, Washington. IAA’s potential liability at this site cannot be estimated at this time. See Item 1, “Legal Proceedings” for a further discussion of this matter.

We have a substantial amount of debt, which could impair our financial condition and adversely affect our ability to react to changes in our business.

As of September 30, 2013, our total debt was approximately $1.8 billion, exclusive of liabilities related to our securitization facilities, and we had $250.0 million of borrowing capacity under our senior secured credit facilities. In addition, we had related outstanding letters of credit in the aggregate amount of $23.6 million at September 30, 2013, which reduce the amount available for borrowings under the credit facilities.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences including:

- limiting our ability to borrow additional amounts to fund working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of our business strategy, acquisitions and other purposes;

- requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay principal and interest on debt, which would reduce the funds available for other purposes, including funding future expansion;

- making us more vulnerable to adverse changes in general economic, industry and competitive conditions, in government regulation and in our business by limiting our flexibility in planning for, and making it more difficult to react quickly to, changing conditions; and

- exposing us to risks inherent in interest rate fluctuations because the majority of our indebtedness is at variable rates of interest, which could result in higher interest expenses in the event of increases in interest rates.

In addition, if we are unable to generate sufficient cash from operations to service our debt and meet other cash needs, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, suspend or eliminate dividends, sell assets or operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We may not be able to refinance our debt or sell additional debt or equity securities or our assets on favorable terms, if at all, particularly because of our high levels of debt and the restrictions imposed by the agreement governing our Credit Facility on our ability to incur additional debt and use the proceeds from asset sales. If we must sell certain of our assets, it may negatively affect our ability to generate revenue. The inability to obtain additional financing could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we would be in default and, as a result:

- our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable;
- the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities could terminate their commitments to lend us money and foreclose against the assets securing their borrowings; and
- we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Restrictive covenants in agreements governing our debt may adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

The agreement governing our Credit Facility contains, and future debt instruments may contain, various provisions that limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries, including ADESA and IAA, to, among other things:

- incur additional debt;
- provide guarantees in respect of obligations of other persons;
- issue redeemable stock and preferred stock;
- pay dividends or distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock;
- prepay, redeem or repurchase certain debt;
- make loans, investments and capital expenditures;
- incur liens;
- pay dividends or make other payments by our restricted subsidiaries;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates;
- sell assets and capital stock of our subsidiaries; and
- consolidate or merge with or into, or sell substantially all of our assets to, another person.

We assume the settlement risk for all vehicles sold through our auctions.

We do not have recourse against sellers for any buyer's failure to satisfy its payment obligations. Since revenue for most vehicles does not include the gross sales proceeds, failure to collect the receivables in full may result in a net loss up to the gross sales proceeds on a per vehicle basis in addition to any expenses incurred to collect the receivables and to provide the services associated with the vehicle. If we are unable to collect payments on a large number of vehicles, the resulting payment obligations to the seller and decreased fee revenues may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in laws affecting the importation of salvage vehicles may have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Our internet-based auction services have allowed us to offer our products and services to international markets and has increased our international buyer base. As a result, foreign importers of salvage vehicles now represent a significant part of our total buyer base. Changes in laws and regulations that restrict the importation of salvage vehicles into foreign countries may reduce the demand for salvage vehicles and impact our ability to maintain or increase our international buyer base. For example, in March 2008, a decree issued by the president of Mexico became effective that placed restrictions on the types of vehicles that can be imported into Mexico from the United States. The adoption of similar laws or regulations in other jurisdictions that have the effect of reducing or curtailing our activities abroad could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition by reducing the demand for our products and services.

We are partially self-insured for certain losses.

We self-insure a portion of employee medical benefits under the terms of our employee health insurance program, as well as a portion of our automobile, general liability and workers' compensation claims. We record an accrual for the claims expense related to our employee medical benefits, automobile, general liability and workers' compensation claims based upon the expected amount of all such claims. If actual trends, including the severity of claims and medical cost inflation above expectations were to occur, our self-insured costs would increase, which could have an adverse impact on the operating results in that period.

If we fail to attract and retain key personnel, we may not be able to execute our business strategy and our financial results could be negatively affected.

Our success depends in large part on the performance of our executive management team and other key employees, including key field personnel. If we lose the services of one or more of our executive officers or key employees, or if one or more of them decides to join a competitor or otherwise compete with us, we may not be able to effectively implement our business strategies, our business could suffer and the value of our common stock could be materially adversely affected. Our auction business is directly impacted by the business relationships our employees have established with customers and suppliers and, as a result, if we lose key personnel, we may have difficulty in retaining and attracting customers, developing new services, negotiating favorable agreements with customers and providing acceptable levels of customer service. Leadership changes will occur from time to time and we cannot predict whether significant resignations will occur or whether we will be able to recruit additional qualified personnel. We do not have nor do we currently expect to obtain key person insurance on any of our executive officers.

We are subject to extensive governmental regulations, including vehicle brokerage and auction laws and currency reporting obligations. Our business is subject to risks related to litigation and regulatory actions.

Our operations are subject to regulation, supervision and licensing under various U.S., Canadian and Mexican federal, state, provincial and local authorities, agencies, statutes and ordinances, which, among other things, require us to obtain and maintain certain licenses, permits and qualifications, provide certain disclosures and notices and limit interest rates, fees and other charges. The regulations and laws that impact our company include, without limitation, the following:

- The acquisition and sale of used, leased, totaled and recovered theft vehicles are regulated by state or other local motor vehicle departments in each of the locations in which we operate.

- Some of the transport vehicles used at our auctions are regulated by the U.S. Department of Transportation or similar regulatory agencies in Canada and Mexico.

- In many states and provinces, regulations require that a salvage vehicle be forever “branded” with a salvage notice in order to notify prospective purchasers of the vehicle’s previous salvage status.

- Some state, provincial and local regulations limit who can purchase salvage vehicles, as well as determine whether a salvage vehicle can be sold as rebuildable or must be sold for parts or scrap only.

- AFC is subject to laws in certain states and in Canada which regulate commercial lending activities and interest rates and, in certain jurisdictions, require AFC or one of its subsidiaries to be licensed.

- We are subject to various local zoning requirements with regard to the location of our auction and storage facilities, which requirements vary from location to location.

Changes in law or governmental regulations or interpretations of existing law or regulations could result in increased costs, reduced vehicle prices and decreased profitability for us. In addition, failure to comply with present or future laws and regulations or changes in existing laws or regulations or in their interpretation could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We are also subject from time to time to a variety of legal actions relating to our current and past business operations, including litigation relating to employment-related issues, the environment and insurance claims. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in defending ourselves in legal and administrative actions or in asserting our rights under various laws. In addition, we could incur substantial costs in defending ourselves or in asserting our rights in such actions. The costs and other effects of pending litigation and administrative actions against us cannot be determined with certainty. Although we currently believe that no such proceedings will have a material adverse effect, there can be no assurance that the outcome of such proceedings will be as expected.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, the value of our brand and other intangible assets may be diminished, and our business may be adversely affected.

We rely and expect to continue to rely on a combination of confidentiality and license agreements with our employees, consultants and third parties with whom we have relationships, as well as trademark, copyright, patent, trade secret, and domain name protection laws, to protect our proprietary rights. In the United States and internationally, we have filed various applications for protection of certain aspects of our intellectual property, and we currently hold issued patents in the United States. However, third parties may knowingly or unknowingly infringe our proprietary rights, third parties may challenge proprietary rights held by us, and pending and future trademark and patent applications may not be approved. In addition, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which we operate or intend to operate our business. In any or all of these cases, we may be required to expend significant time and expense in order to prevent infringement or to enforce our rights. Although we have taken measures to protect our proprietary rights, there can be no assurance that others will not offer products or concepts that are substantially similar to ours and compete with our business. If the protection of our proprietary rights is inadequate to prevent unauthorized use or appropriation by third parties, the value of our brand and other intangible assets may be diminished and competitors may be able to more effectively mimic our service and methods of operations. Any of these events could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

We may be subject to patent or other intellectual property infringement claims, which could have an impact on our business or operating results due to a disruption in our business operations, the incurrence of significant costs and other factors.

From time to time, we may receive notices from others claiming that we infringed or otherwise violated their patent or intellectual property rights, and the number of these claims could increase in the future. Claims of intellectual property infringement or other intellectual property violations could require us to enter into licensing agreements on unfavorable terms, incur substantial monetary liability or be enjoined preliminarily or permanently from further use of the intellectual property in question, which could require us to change business practices and limit our ability to compete effectively. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, the claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and may divert management’s attention and resources away from our businesses. If we are required to take any of these actions, it could have an adverse impact on our business and operating results.

We are dependent on the continued and uninterrupted service from our workforce.

Currently, none of our employees participate in collective bargaining agreements. If we negotiate a first-time collective bargaining agreement, we could be subject to a substantial increase in labor and benefits expenses that we may be unable to pass through to customers for some period of time, if at all. The U.S. Congress could pass labor legislation, such as the proposed Employee Free Choice Act, or the "EFCA," (also called "card-check legislation") that could adversely affect our operations. The EFCA would make it significantly easier for union organizing drives to be successful-for example, by eliminating employees' absolute right to a secret ballot vote in union elections-and could give third-party arbitrators the ability to impose terms of collective bargaining agreements upon us and a labor union if we and such union are unable to agree to the terms of a collective bargaining agreement. Such an arbitrated initial contract could include pay, benefit and work rules that could adversely affect our profitability and operational flexibility.

We have a material amount of goodwill which, if it becomes impaired, would result in a reduction in our net income.

Goodwill represents the amount by which the cost of an acquisition accounted for using the purchase method exceeds the fair value of the net assets acquired. Current accounting standards require that goodwill no longer be amortized but instead be periodically evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the reporting unit. A significant percentage of our total assets represents goodwill primarily associated with the 2007 Transactions. Declines in our profitability or the value of comparable companies may impact the fair value of our reporting units, which could result in a write-down of goodwill and a reduction in net income.

New accounting pronouncements or new interpretations of existing standards could require us to make adjustments to accounting policies that could adversely affect the financial statements.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the SEC, and other accounting organizations or governmental entities from time to time issue new pronouncements or new interpretations of existing accounting and auditing standards that require changes to our accounting policies and procedures and could cause us to incur additional costs. To date, we do not believe any new pronouncements or interpretations have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, but future pronouncements or interpretations could require the change of policies or procedures.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile, which could result in rapid and substantial losses for our stockholders.

You should consider an investment in our common stock to be risky, and you should invest in our common stock only if you can withstand a significant loss and wide fluctuations in the market value of your investment. Many factors could cause the market price of our common stock to rise and fall, including the following:

- our announcements or our competitors' announcements regarding new products or services, enhancements, significant contracts, acquisitions or strategic investments;
- changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts, if any, who cover our common stock;
- results of operations that are below our announced guidance or below securities analysts' or consensus estimates or expectations;
- fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;
- changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of securities, sales of large blocks of common stock by our stockholders or our incurrence of additional debt;
- investors' general perception of us and our industry;
- changes in general economic and market conditions in North America;
- changes in industry conditions; and
- changes in regulatory and other dynamics.

In addition, if the market for stocks in our industry, or the stock market in general, experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations. If any of the foregoing occurs, it could cause our stock price to fall and may expose us to lawsuits that, even if successfully defended, could be costly to defend and a distraction to management.

Future offerings of debt or equity securities, which would rank senior to our common stock, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

If, in the future, we decide to issue debt or equity securities that rank senior to our common stock, it is likely that such securities will be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants restricting our operating flexibility. Additionally, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue in the future may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common stock and may result in dilution to owners of our common stock. We and, indirectly, our stockholders, will bear the cost of issuing and servicing such securities. Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, holders of our common stock will bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting the value of their stock holdings in us.

The market price of our common stock could be negatively affected by sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public markets.

At October 31, 2013, there were 138,830,992 shares of our common stock outstanding. Of our issued and outstanding shares, all of the common stock is freely transferable, except for any shares held by our “affiliates,” as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the “Securities Act.” Pursuant to a registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the 2007 Transactions, KAR LLC caused us to file a registration statement under the Securities Act, covering resales of all shares of our common stock held by KAR LLC. Approximately 20% of our outstanding common stock is held indirectly by affiliates of the Equity Sponsors, other equity co-investors and members of our management. These shares also may be sold pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act, depending on the holding period and subject to restrictions in the case of shares held by persons deemed to be our affiliates. As restrictions on resale end or if KAR LLC exercises its registration rights, the market price of our stock could decline if KAR LLC sells the restricted shares or is perceived by the market as intending to sell them. See the section “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence” in Part III, Item 13 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Pursuant to a registration statement under the Securities Act, we have registered shares of common stock reserved for issuance in respect of stock options and other incentive awards granted to our officers and certain of our employees. If any of these holders cause a large number of securities to be sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock. These sales also could impede our ability to raise future capital.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws, and of Delaware law, may prevent or delay an acquisition of us, which could decrease the trading price of our common stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws contain provisions that may be considered to have an anti-takeover effect and may delay or prevent a tender offer or other corporate transaction that a stockholder might consider to be in its best interest, including those transactions that might result in a premium over the market price for our shares.

These provisions include:

- limiting the right of stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders to holders of at least 35% of our outstanding common stock;

- rules regarding how our stockholders may present proposals or nominate directors for election at stockholder meetings;

- permitting our board of directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval;
- granting to the board of directors, and not the stockholders, the sole power to set the number of directors;
- authorizing vacancies on our board of directors to be filled only by a vote of the majority of the directors then in office and specifically denying our stockholders the right to fill vacancies in the board;
- authorizing the removal of directors only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote for the election of directors; and
- prohibiting stockholder action by written consent.

These provisions apply even if an offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders.

The Equity Sponsors have significant influence over us, including significant influence over decisions that require the approval of shareholders, which could limit your ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including a change of control.

Affiliates of the Equity Sponsors, other equity co-investors and management indirectly own approximately 20% of our common stock. As a result, affiliates of the Equity Sponsors have significant influence over our decisions to enter into any corporate transaction and the ability to prevent any transaction that requires shareholder approval regardless of whether others believe that the transaction is in our best interests.

We have entered into a director designation agreement that provides for the rights of KAR LLC directly, and the Equity Sponsors indirectly, to nominate designees to our board of directors. See the section “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence” in Part III, Item 13 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Equity Sponsors are also in the business of making investments in companies and may from time to time acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. The Equity Sponsors may also pursue acquisition opportunities that are complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. So long as the Equity Sponsors, or other funds controlled by or associated with the Equity Sponsors, continue to indirectly own a significant amount of our outstanding common stock, the Equity Sponsors will continue to be able to strongly influence or effectively control our decisions. The concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change of control of our company, could deprive shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of our company and might ultimately affect the market price of our common stock.

Under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the Equity Sponsors and, in some circumstances, any of our directors and officers who is also a director, officer, manager, member or employee of any of our Equity Sponsors, have no obligation to offer us corporate opportunities.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that the Equity Sponsors and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates have the right to engage or invest in, and do not have a duty to abstain from engaging or investing in, the same or similar businesses as us, do business with any of our clients, customers or vendors or employ or otherwise engage any of our officers, directors or employees. If any Equity Sponsor or any of its officers, directors, managers, members, partners or employees acquires knowledge of a potential transaction that could be a corporate opportunity for us, such person has no duty to offer that opportunity to us, our stockholders or our affiliates, even if it is one that we might reasonably have pursued. Neither the Equity Sponsors nor their officers, directors, managers, members, partners or employees will generally be liable to us or our stockholders for breach of any duty by reason of engaging in such activities. In addition, any of our directors and officers who is also a director, officer, manager, member, partner or employee of any of our Equity Sponsors and is offered or acquires knowledge of a corporate opportunity, other than solely in such person’s capacity as our director or officer, will not have any liability to us if any of the Equity Sponsors pursues or acquires such corporate opportunity.

You may not receive any future dividends on our common stock.

On November 30, 2012, we announced that our board of directors approved the initiation of a quarterly cash dividend on our common stock. Holders of our common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. We are not required to declare cash dividends on our common stock. Future dividend decisions will be based on and affected by a variety of factors, including our

financial condition and results of operations, contractual restrictions, including restrictive covenants contained in our Credit Agreement and AFC's securitization facilities, capital requirements and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. Therefore, no assurance can be given as to whether any future dividends may be declared by our board of directors or the amount thereof.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

a) Exhibits—the exhibit list in the Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference as the list of exhibits required as part of this report.

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this Form 10-Q, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about KAR Auction Services, ADESA, IAA or other parties to the agreements.

The agreements included or incorporated by reference as exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties were made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and (i) were not intended to be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate; (ii) may have been qualified in such agreement by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement; (iii) may apply contract standards of "materiality" that are different from "materiality" under the applicable securities laws; and (iv) were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement.

The Company acknowledges that, notwithstanding the inclusion of the foregoing cautionary statements, it is responsible for considering whether additional specific disclosures of material information regarding material contractual provisions are required to make the statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q not misleading. Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and KAR Auction Services, Inc.'s other public filings, which are available without charge through the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KAR Auction Services, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: November 5, 2013

/s/ ERIC M. LOUGHMILLER
Eric M. Loughmiller
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	Filed Herewith
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of KAR Auction Services, Inc.	S-1/A	333-161907	3.1	12/10/2009	
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of KAR Auction Services, Inc.	S-1/A	333-161907	3.2	12/10/2009	
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, among KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.), KAR Holdings II, LLC, certain employees of KAR Auction Services, Inc. or its subsidiaries and each of their respective Permitted Transferees	S-4	333-148847	4.8	1/25/2008	
4.2	Form of common stock certificate	S-1/A	333-161907	4.15	12/10/2009	
10.1a	Credit Agreement, dated May 19, 2011, among KAR Auction Services, Inc., as borrower, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as sole lead arranger, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, Barclays Capital and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as joint bookrunners, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, as syndication agent, and Barclays Bank PLC and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as co-documentation agents	10-Q	001-34568	10.1	8/9/2011	
10.1b	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2012, among KAR Auction Services, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several lenders party thereto	8-K	001-34568	10.1	11/30/2012	
10.1c	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 12, 2013, among KAR Auction Services, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several lenders party thereto	8-K	001-34568	10.1	3/13/2013	
10.1d	Incremental Term Loan Agreement No. 1, dated as of March 12, 2013, among the Incremental Term Loan Lenders, KAR Auction Services, Inc., the other Loan Parties hereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent	8-K	001-34568	10.2	3/13/2013	
10.2	Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated May 19, 2011, made by KAR Auction Services, Inc. and certain of its	10-Q	001-34568	10.2	8/9/2011	

		Subsidiaries in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent under the Credit Agreement				
10.3		Intellectual Property Security Agreement, dated May 19, 2011, made by KAR Auction Services, Inc., ADESA, Inc., Automotive Finance Corporation, Automotive Finance Consumer Division, LLC and Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc., in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the secured parties (as defined in the Credit Agreement)	10-Q	001-34568	10.3	8/9/2011
10.4	*	Letter Agreement, dated February 24, 2010, between KAR LLC and Thomas C. O'Brien, David R. Montgomery, Donald J. Hermanek, Scott P. Pettit, John Kett, John Nordin and Sidney Kerley	10-K	001-34568	10.5	2/25/2010

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.		Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	Filed Herewith
10.5	*	Conversion Option Plan of KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.)	S-1/A	333-158666	10.9	6/17/2009	
10.6a	*	Form of Conversion Stock Option Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and each of Thomas C. O'Brien, David R. Montgomery, Donald J. Hermanek, Scott P. Pettit, John Kett, John Nordin and Sidney Kerley	S-4	333-148847	10.10	1/25/2008	
10.6b	*	Form of Amendment to Conversion Stock Option Agreement, dated October 30, 2007, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and each of Thomas C. O'Brien, David R. Montgomery, Donald J. Hermanek and Scott P. Pettit	S-4	333-148847	10.11	1/25/2008	
10.6c	*	Form of Amendment to Conversion Stock Option Agreements, dated February 19, 2009, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and each of Thomas C. O'Brien, David R. Montgomery, Donald J. Hermanek and Scott P. Pettit	10-K	333-148847	10.10	3/11/2009	
10.7	*	Form of Rollover Stock Option Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and certain executive officers and employees of IAA	S-4	333-148847	10.12	1/25/2008	
10.8	*	Form of Conversion Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and certain executive officers and employees of IAA	S-1/A	333-158666	10.13	6/17/2009	
10.9	*	KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) Stock Incentive Plan	S-8	333-164032	10.1	12/24/2009	
10.10	*	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement of KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan	S-4	333-148847	10.15	1/25/2008	
10.11a	*	Letter Agreement dated December 3, 2008, between Automotive Finance Corporation and Donald S. Gottwald	10-K	001-34568	10.15	2/25/2010	
10.11b	*	Amendment to Offer Letter dated December 20, 2012, between Automotive Finance Corporation and Donald S. Gottwald	10-K	001-34568	10.11b	2/22/2013	
10.12a	*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated April 2, 2001, between	S-4	333-148847	10.22	1/25/2008	

		Thomas C. O'Brien and Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc.				
10.12b	*	Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated December 1, 2008, between Thomas C. O'Brien and Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc.	10-K	333-148847	10.31	3/11/2009
10.13	*	Employment Agreement, dated February 27, 2012, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. and James P. Hallett	10-K	001-34568	10.15	2/28/2012
10.14a	^	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of KAR Holdings II, LLC, dated April 20, 2007	S-1/A	333-158666	10.23	7/2/2009

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.		Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	Filed Herewith
10.14b		First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of KAR Holdings II, LLC, dated December 10, 2009	10-K	001-34568	10.16b	2/28/2012	
10.14c		Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of KAR Holdings II, LLC, dated December 15, 2009	10-K	001-34568	10.16c	2/28/2012	
10.14d		Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of KAR Holdings II, LLC, dated February 27, 2012	10-K	001-34568	10.16d	2/28/2012	
10.15a		Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Axle Holdings II, LLC, dated May 25, 2005	S-1/A	333-158666	10.24	6/17/2009	
10.15b		Amendment to the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Axle Holdings II, LLC, dated November 2, 2006	S-4	333-148847	10.25	1/25/2008	
10.15c		First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Axle Holdings II, LLC, dated April 20, 2007	S-4	333-148847	10.26	1/25/2008	
10.16	*	KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) Annual Incentive Program	10-K	333-148847	10.29	3/11/2009	
10.17a	^	Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated May 31, 2002, between AFC Funding Corporation and Automotive Finance Corporation	S-4	333-148847	10.32	1/25/2008	
10.17b		Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated June 15, 2004	S-4	333-148847	10.33	1/25/2008	
10.17c		Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated January 18, 2007	S-4	333-148847	10.34	1/25/2008	
10.17d	^	Amendment No. 3 to Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated April 20, 2007	S-4	333-148847	10.35	1/25/2008	
10.17e		Amendment No. 4 to Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated January 30, 2009	10-K	001-34568	10.19e	2/28/2012	
10.17f		Amendment No. 5 to Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated April 25, 2011	10-K	001-34568	10.19f	2/28/2012	
10.18	^	Fifth Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated June 21, 2013,	10-Q	001-34568	10.18	8/6/2013	

		among Automotive Finance Corporation, AFC Funding Corporation, Fairway Finance Company, LLC, Saratoga Funding Corp., LLC, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, BMO Harris Bank N.A., Fifth Third Bank and BMO Capital Markets Corp.				
10.19	^	Second Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated June 28, 2013, among KAR Auction Services, Inc., Automotive Finance Canada Inc. and BNY Trust Company of Canada	10-Q	001-34568	10.19	8/6/2013

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				Filed Herewith
		Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	
10.20a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA San Diego, LLC and First Industrial L.P. (East 39 Acres at Otay Mesa, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.3	9/9/2008	
10.20b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial L.P. (East 39 Acres at Otay Mesa, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.11	9/9/2008	
10.21a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA San Diego, LLC and First Industrial L.P. (West 39 Acres at Otay Mesa, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.4	9/9/2008	
10.21b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial L.P. (West 39 Acres at Otay Mesa, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.12	9/9/2008	
10.22a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA California, LLC and ADESA San Diego, LLC and First Industrial Pennsylvania, L.P. (Sacramento, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.5	9/9/2008	
10.22b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial Pennsylvania, L.P. (Sacramento, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.13	9/9/2008	
10.23a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA California, LLC and First Industrial Pennsylvania, L.P. (Tracy, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.6	9/9/2008	
10.23b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial Pennsylvania, L.P. (Tracy, California)	8-K	333-148847	10.14	9/9/2008	
10.24a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA Washington, LLC and First Industrial, L.P. (Auburn, Washington)	8-K	333-148847	10.7	9/9/2008	
10.24b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial, L.P. (Auburn, Washington)	8-K	333-148847	10.15	9/9/2008	

10.25a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA Texas, Inc. and First Industrial, L.P. (Houston, Texas)	8-K	333-148847	10.8	9/9/2008
10.25b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial, L.P. (Houston, Texas)	8-K	333-148847	10.16	9/9/2008
10.26a	Ground Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between ADESA Florida, LLC and First Industrial Financing Partnership, L.P. (Bradenton, Florida)	8-K	333-148847	10.10	9/9/2008
10.26b	Guaranty of Lease, dated September 4, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial Financing Partnership, L.P. (Bradenton, Florida)	8-K	333-148847	10.18	9/9/2008
10.27a	Ground Sublease, dated October 3, 2008, between ADESA Atlanta, LLC and First Industrial, L.P. (Fairburn, Georgia)	10-Q	333-148847	10.21	11/13/2008

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.		Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference Form	File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	Filed Herewith
10.27b		Guaranty of Lease, dated October 3, 2008, between KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly KAR Holdings, Inc.) and First Industrial, L.P. (Fairburn, Georgia)	10-Q	333-148847	10.22	11/13/2008	
10.28		Director Designation Agreement, dated December 10, 2009, among KAR Auction Services, Inc. (formerly known as KAR Holdings, Inc.) and KAR Holdings II, LLC	10-K	001-34568	10.34	2/24/2011	
10.29	*	KAR Auction Services, Inc. 2009 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan, as Amended April 19, 2013	10-Q	001-34568	10.29	5/2/2013	
10.30a	*	Form of KAR Auction Services, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan	S-8	333-164032	10.3	12/24/2009	
10.30b	*	Amendment No. 1 to KAR Auction Services, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan dated March 31, 2010	10-Q	001-34568	10.60	8/4/2010	
10.30c	*	Amendment No. 2 to KAR Auction Services, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan dated April 1, 2010	10-Q	001-34568	10.61	8/4/2010	
10.31	*	KAR Auction Services, Inc. Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, effective December 10, 2009	10-Q	001-34568	10.62	8/4/2010	
10.32	*	Form of Director Restricted Share Agreement	10-Q	001-34568	10.63	8/4/2010	
10.33	*	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement	S-1/A	333-161907	10.65	12/4/2009	
10.34	*	Form of Restricted Share Agreement	S-1/A	333-161907	10.66	12/4/2009	
10.35		Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of August 15, 2011 by and among ADESA, Inc., Riley Acquisition, Inc., KAR Auction Services, Inc., OPENLANE, Inc. and Shareholder Representative Services LLC, as the securityholders representative	8-K	001-34568	2.1	8/15/2011	
31.1		Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
31.2		Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
32.1		Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
32.2		Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X

101.INS	**	XBRL Instance Document	X
101.SCH	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema	X
101.CAL	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase	X
101.DEF	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase	X
101.LAB	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase	X
101.PRE	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase	X

Table of Contents

---

^ Portions of this exhibit have been redacted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment filed separately with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 406 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

* Denotes management contract or compensation plan, contract or arrangement.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed furnished and not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed furnished and not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.