LA-Z-BOY INC Form DEF 14A July 13, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

CHECK	THE AF	PROPF	RIATE BOX:

, (i i i i (O) i i (i) (i =	5071.
	Preliminary Proxy Statement
	Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
	Definitive Proxy Statement
	Definitive Additional Materials
	Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

La-Z-Boy Incorporated

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

PAYMENT OF FILING FEE (CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX):

 and the fortest the full that body.
No fee required.
Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: 5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials: Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
1) Amount previously paid: 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: 3) Filing Party: 4) Date Filed:

Back to Contents			
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF	SHAREHOLDERS		
Day:	Wednesday, August 24, 2016		
Time:	9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport		
Place:	Wright Room 2501 Worldgateway Place		
Monroe, Michigan July 13, 2016	Romulus, Michigan		
To our shareholders:			
We invite you to attend our 2016 annual meeting of shareholders to be held Wednesday, August 24, 2016, in the Wright Room of The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport, located at 2501 Worldgateway Place, Romulus, Michigan. Only shareholders of record at the close of business on June 30, 2016, will be entitled to vote at the meeting. At the meeting, we intend to:			
Elect nine directors for one-year terms e	expiring in 2017;		
Hold a non-binding advisory vote on a p	proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;		
Ratify the selection of Pricewaterhouse 2017; and	Coopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal		
Transact any other business that properly	y comes before the meeting.		
	ote "FOR" each director and each proposal. The proxy holders will use their hat come before the shareholders at the annual meeting.		

We encourage you to exercise your right as a shareholder and cast your vote promptly. If you received a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may vote by mail by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying envelope. You may also vote by telephone or on the Internet (see the instructions attached to the proxy card or on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials). Even if you vote by one of these methods prior to the meeting, you may still vote your shares in person at the meeting, and doing so will revoke your previous vote.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

James P. Klarr, Secretary

Back to Contents

Proxy Summary

When: Where:

The Westin Detroit Metropolitan Airport

August 24, 2016 Wright Room

at 9:30 A.M. (Eastern) 2501 Worldgateway Place

Romulus, Michigan

Proposals

1. Elect nine directors

2. Advisory approval of our executive compensation

3. Ratify the selection of an independent registered public accounting firm

How:

Online By Mail In Person

With proof of ownership and a valid

www.proxyvote.com 1.800.690.6903 Completing, signing and returning your proxy card photo ID

We are furnishing this proxy statement, form of proxy and accompanying materials to our shareholders on or about July 13, 2016.

Our Board Nominees

Nominee	Director since	Primary (or Former) Occupation	Committees
Kurt Darrow	2003	Our Chairman, President and CEO	
Sarah Gallagher*	Nominee	Former President of Ralph Lauren North America e-commerce	+Comp; +Nom & Govern
Edwin Holman*	2010	Former CEO of Macy's Central, a division of Macy's Inc.	Audit; Comp (C)
Janet Kerr*	2009	Vice Chancellor, Pepperdine University	Comp; Nom & Govern
Michael Lawton*	2013	Former Executive Vice President and CFO, Domino's Pizz Inc.	^a Audit (C); Comp
Dr. George Levy*	1997	Otorhinolaryngologist	Comp; Nom & Govern
Alan McCollough*	2007	Former Chairman and CEO of Circuit City Stores, Inc.	Audit
Lauren Peters*	Nominee	Executive Vice President and CFO of Foot Locker, Inc.	+Audit; + Nom & Govern
Dr. Nido Qubein*	2006	President of High Point University	Comp; Nom & Govern (C)

^{*} Independent directors (C)- Committee chair

+ Planned, if elected
Governance Highlights
Annually elected directors, no classified board
89% independent board
100% independent committees
Canada dinastan
Strong lead director
Regular executive sessions of independent directors
Annual board and committee evaluations
La 7 Pay Incorporated Linyastors la 7 hay com 2
La-Z-Boy Incorporated investors.la-z-boy.com 2

	Back	to	Contents
--	------	----	----------

PROXY STATEMENT

Operations Highlights

Executive Compensation Highlights

Compensation Program

Key Elements	<u>Key Terms</u>
Base Salary	Annual merit increases based on individual performance, market comparison and
Base Salary	total salary budget
Management Incentive Plan	Annual incentive focused on sales (40%) and operating margin (60%). Maximum
(MIP)	award 200% target
Stock Options	Price at share value on grant date. Four year vesting; ten year term.
Performance-based stock	3-year award cycle with annual goals, payouts made after the full 3 year period is
awards	complete
Performance-based retirement	Annual contribution (35% CEO; 25% NEOs) based on operating income
plan	performance

What we do

Pay for performance – emphasizing variable pay linked to our financial XProvide employment agreements or market results

Executive stock ownership guidelines – to align executives' interests with our shareholders

Use relative total shareholder return (TSR) in long-term performance award

Base company contributions to executive compensation retirement plans on performance

Mitigate undue risk with caps on potential incentive payments and a clawback policy

Utilize double-trigger change in control agreements

Include only independent directors on the compensation committee Engage an independent compensation consulting firm to assist the compensation committee

What we don't do

XGross up excise taxes upon change in control

X Reprice options without shareholder

Pay dividends on unearned performance shares or units

Align severance and change in control arrangements with market practices

Prohibit executives from hedging and short selling of our shares

Back to Contents				
Proxy Summary				
Ways you can vote				
Online www.proxyvote.com	By Phone 1.800.690.690	By Mail Completing, signing and returning your proxy card	In Person With proof of ownership and a valid photo ID	
The Board of Directors recommends you vote				
FOR each director nominee				
FOR approval of the compensation of our executive officers				
FOR ratifying the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm				
PROXY STATEMENT 2016 5				

2016 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance	<u>7</u>
Board and Committee Operations	<u>12</u>
Share Ownership	<u>15</u>
Director Compensation	<u>18</u>
Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors	<u>20</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>27</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>39</u>
Executive Compensation	<u>40</u>
Proposal No. 2: Advisory Vote on the Compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers	<u>51</u>
Audit Committee Report	<u>52</u>
Proposal No. 3: To Ratify the Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>53</u>
Other Matters	53

Back to Contents

Corporate Governance

Board of Directors

Our board of directors is committed to good governance practices that further the company's strategic growth plans and enhance shareholder value over the long term, while also considering the interests of other stakeholders, including our employees, customers, vendors, and the communities we impact. The board oversees the company's performance, including its strategic direction and critical corporate policies that have the largest impact on our operations. In exercising its oversight responsibility, the board evaluates the performance of our president and chief executive officer and directs succession planning for the chief executive officer, directors, and, to the degree appropriate, other leadership positions. The board monitors our strategic plan, our performance against the plan, and management's assessment and remediation of the company's risks. The board regularly reviews our governance practices and processes to ensure they remain effective, making changes when appropriate. It also monitors the company's culture to ensure we maintain the highest levels of ethics and integrity, especially with respect to our financial statements and disclosures.

In the following section, we describe our governance policies and practices. Our governance guidelines can be found on our website at http://investors.la-z-boy.com, under "Corporate Governance," and they address our policies related to director selection, membership criteria, independence, orientation, and assessment of board performance. At the same site, we post our other key governance documents, such as the lead director charter, the charters for each of the board's key committees, and our Code of Business Conduct.

Leadership Structure

Our current leadership structure incorporates a combined position of chairman and chief executive officer reporting to a board of otherwise independent directors, and working with a strong independent lead director. At the time we combined the roles of chairman and CEO, we felt it was imperative that the leadership of the company be focused in one position to ensure effective leadership to implement our strategic plan and initiatives. The interaction between management and board roles related to strategic and long-term planning calls for a more streamlined and accountable leadership structure. At the same time, our strong, effective independent lead director and independent committees ensure significant oversight over company management. We believe this structure has worked well for us and remains the appropriate structure for our company.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that when the roles of our chairman and CEO are combined, we elect an independent lead director. Our lead director serves as the principal liaison between our independent directors and our chairman, facilitating a steady stream of communications between management and our independent directors. Among other duties, the lead director:

collaborates on the board and committee meeting agendas;

solicits and recommends matters for the board and committees to consider;

advises the chairman as to the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the information submitted to the directors;
calls meetings of the independent directors or calls for executive sessions during board meetings;
serves as chairman of the meetings of the independent directors or executive sessions of the board;
collaborates with committee chairs to ensure board work is conducted at the appropriate level, coordinating on issues involving multiple committees;
meets with our CEO to discuss his performance;
communicates directly with shareholders when appropriate; and
presides at board meetings when the chairman is absent.
In light of our strong independent lead director structure, annual evaluations of the lead director's performance, a board composed almost solely of independent directors, ready access to management by the directors, and regular executive session meetings without management, our board exercises effective oversight of our executive chairman, and the current leadership structure operates efficiently to protect and advance the interests of our shareholders.
PROXY STATEMENT 2016 7

Back to Contents

Corporate Governance

Board Risk Oversight

While management is responsible for the day-to-day assessment, monitoring and remediation of the company's risks, our board of directors is responsible for oversight of these risk activities. To ensure vigilant monitoring of the risks, the board has delegated risk oversight to various committees while maintaining certain key risks, such as cybersecurity, at the full board level. The board has directed the nominating and governance committee to assign oversight of the various risk categories, including strategic, operational, IT, and financial risk, to the various committees or the full board, while ensuring that all risks, including any emerging risks, are monitored. The nominating and governance committee regularly reviews management's enterprise risk management process, which is incorporated in the company's strategic planning process, to identify new and emerging risks.

Each committee regularly reviews and reports to the board on its respective risk categories. Throughout the year, our board and board committees review and discuss the various risks confronting the company, paying special attention to new operating and strategic initiatives. Our nominating and governance committee and our board as a whole encourage open communication and appropriate escalation of reporting of risk throughout the enterprise, striving to ensure that enterprise risk management is part of our corporate culture.

Corporate Responsibility

We work diligently to reduce and manage our environmental footprint and learn and build on our experiences as we continue our environmentally sustainable journey forward. Our strong commitment to responsible stewardship of the environment includes integrating environmentally sound and sustainable business practices into our daily business decisions. We are focused on reducing waste, increasing recycling and energy efficiency, and consuming fewer resources.

We currently have ten locations registered under the American Home Furnishings Alliance (AHFA) Enhancing Furniture's Environmental Culture (EFEC) program, including the La-Z-Boy upholstery plants, La-Z-Boy casegoods facilities, and our cut and sew center in Saltillo, Mexico (the first international facility to be EFEC registered). The EFEC program is an environmental management system designed by AHFA that requires strong environmental programs and analysis of environmental impacts. We are working to obtain EFEC registration for our new world headquarters in 2016, which would complement the LEED Silver certification we received for our new world headquarters from the U.S. Green Building Council. Our 120-acre headquarters' site includes a geothermal heating system and an endangered oak savanna ecosystem, which we have committed to preserve. Three of our business units, including the La-Z-Boy upholstery operations, are registered by AHFA as Sustainable By Design. The Sustainable By Design program provides a roadmap for home furnishings companies to create a corporate culture of conservation and environmental stewardship by integrating socioeconomic policies and sustainable business practices into their manufacturing operations and sourcing strategies.

Over a recent six-year period (2008–2014), our La-Z-Boy upholstery plants reduced their energy consumption by 22 percent and eliminated more than 7.7 million pounds of waste going to the landfill per year. We now reuse, recycle or reutilize 91 percent of the waste generated from these manufacturing operations. Four of our plants (in Arkansas, California, Missouri, and Tennessee) now send nothing to the landfill and have been recognized with sustainability awards. We continue to utilize renewable wood fuels generated on site for the production of steam used in some of our processes, and we sell excess wood residuals for further reuse by other industries. Wood fuel from sustainably managed forests is a renewable energy source that helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions and eliminate solid waste

disposal.

We have worked to reduce our retail stores' environmental footprint by using greener construction materials, lowering our energy use, and reducing waste. We transitioned to eco-friendly paint, rubber wall base, and vinyl and carpet flooring, and we are adding recycling bins at our stores and reducing the amount of packaging we use. We are currently converting our retail store lighting systems to LED light-bulbs, and we are piloting a new energy-management system to further reduce energy waste.

We obtain many of our wood materials and components from suppliers that have implemented sustainable forest management practices or from sustainable plantation-grown renewable rubber wood. We use other wood- and fiber-based materials made with recycled or recovered paper. Our furniture designs have won the "Innovative Green Design Award (IGDA)" from New York House magazine by demonstrating outstanding effort to provide environmentally-friendly furniture to consumers. We have also won the American Society for Furniture Designers Pinnacle Green Leaf Award given for distinguished designs that are also eco-friendly.

We have not reached our ultimate destination, but we strive every day to be greener than we were the day before.

Back to Contents

Corporate Governance

Succession Planning

Our board of directors engages in an effective planning process to identify, evaluate and select potential successors to the CEO and other members of executive management. The CEO provides quarterly updates to the directors of the significant changes in key personnel and annually reviews with the board executive management succession planning. Each board member has complete and open access to any member of management. The senior members of management are invited regularly to make presentations at board and committee meetings and meet with directors in informal settings to allow the directors to form a more complete understanding of the executive's skill and character. The board periodically reviews and revises as necessary the company's emergency management succession plan, which details the actions to be taken by specific individuals in the event the CEO suddenly dies or becomes incapacitated.

Director Selection

Our nominating and governance committee is responsible for recommending director candidates to fill current and anticipated board vacancies. The committee identifies and evaluates potential candidates from recommendations from the committee's own members, referrals from other board members, management, shareholders, or other outside sources, including professional recruiting firms. (For information on how to propose a candidate to the committee or nominate a director, see "Next Annual Meeting—Shareholder Proposals for the 2017 Annual Meeting," page 55.) In evaluating proposed candidates, the committee may review their résumés, obtain references, and conduct personal interviews. The committee considers, among other factors, the board's current and future needs for specific skills and the candidate's experience, leadership qualities, integrity, diversity, ability to exercise mature judgment, independence, and ability to make the appropriate time commitment to the board. The committee strives to ensure the board has a rich mix of relevant skills and experiences to address the company's needs as dictated by our strategic plan.

In anticipation of future retirements of current directors, the committee reviewed the skills desired to complement those of the remaining directors and engaged SpencerStuart to identify and recruit qualified candidates. After reviewing the qualifications of the potential pool of candidates proposed by SpencerStuart, and narrowing the field to a handful of candidates, each of our chairman, lead director and chair of the nominating and governance committee interviewed the candidates. Based on the committee's review, the candidates' résumés, and director interviews with the potential candidates, the committee recommended and the board approved the nomination of Sarah M. Gallagher and Lauren B. Peters.

Director Independence

Our board of directors strongly supports the concept of director independence, and only our chairman is an insider. Consistent with the NYSE rules, our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a majority of our directors be independent, and we limit membership on each of our committees to independent directors.

Our board annually reviews and determines if any director has any material relationship with our company, our management, or our other directors that would impede the director's autonomy. As reflected in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, a director cannot be deemed independent if, in the previous three years, either the director or an immediate family member:

was employed by our company or our independent registered public accounting firm;

was employed by a company with a compensation committee that included one of our executive officers;

received more than \$120,000 during any 12-month period in direct compensation from La-Z-Boy, other than director compensation or pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation was not contingent in any way on continued service); and

was an executive officer or employee of an entity that made payments to or received payments (other than contributions to a tax-exempt organization or charity) from us for property or services that, in any single fiscal year, exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other entity's consolidated gross revenues.

In addition, our board has adopted the following categorical standards to clarify if a relationship is material. We will not treat a relationship as material if:

A director is an executive officer, director, or shareholder of a company that does business with us and the annual revenues derived from that business are less than 1% of each company's total revenues;

A director is an executive officer, director, or shareholder of a company that is indebted to us, or to which we are indebted, and the total amount of each

Back to Contents

Corporate Governance

company's indebtedness to the other is less than 1% of the total consolidated assets of each company; or a director is an executive officer, director, or shareholder of a bank or other financial institution (or its holding company) that extends credit to us on normal commercial terms and the total amount of our indebtedness to the bank or other financial institution is less than 3% of our total consolidated assets;

A director is an executive officer or director of a company in which we own common stock but our interest is less than 5% of that company's total shareholders' equity;

A director's family member is or was employed by us in a non-executive capacity with compensation that has not exceeded \$120,000 in any fiscal year;

A director is a director, officer, or trustee of a charitable organization to which we contribute, but our annual contributions (exclusive of gift-match payments) are less than 1% of the organization's total annual charitable receipts, all of our contributions were approved through our normal approval process, and no contribution was made on behalf of any of our officers or directors; or a director is a director of the La-Z-Boy Foundation; or

A director is a member of, employed by, or of counsel to a law firm or investment banking firm that performs services for us, our payments to the firm during a fiscal year do not exceed 1% of the firm's gross revenues, and the director's relationship with the firm is such that the director's compensation is not linked directly or indirectly to our payments to the firm.

Following the NYSE listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines described above, our board of directors has determined that each of our directors other than our chairman/CEO is – and each of our new director nominees upon election will be – an "independent" director.

Related Party Transactions

Our Code of Business Conduct, which applies to all of our employees and directors, requires that any potential conflict of interest be either avoided or fully disclosed to our president, secretary, or audit committee chairman. Each year, we require our directors and executive officers to disclose any transactions between them or their immediate family members and the company. The audit committee reviews any transactions related to directors or executive officers reported and takes appropriate action. We will disclose on our website any waivers of the Code of Business Conduct granted to our directors or executive officers. We granted no waivers in fiscal 2016.

Stock Ownership Requirements

We encourage significant stock ownership by our directors and executive management to align the interests of our leadership with those of our shareholders. We have established stock ownership guidelines that require each non-employee director to own La-Z-Boy equity (including deferred or restricted stock units) at least equal in value to

a multiple of the director's annual cash retainer or salary:

Non-employee directors	5x
CEO	5x
Other named executive officers	3x
Other executives	1x

As of April 30, 2016, each director other than Mr. Lawton, who is in his third year as a director, had met the ownership requirements. Under our guidelines, Mr. Lawton has until May 1, 2019, to meet the requirement. We prohibit directors, officers, or employees from engaging in short-term speculative trading in our shares, including short sales, trading in puts and calls, or buying on margin.

Majority Vote Standard for Director Elections

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that any director who fails to receive a majority of votes cast in an uncontested election must submit his or her resignation at the board meeting that immediately follows the annual shareholders' meeting. The other directors must then act on the resignation at or before the next regularly scheduled meeting and publicly report the board's decision. An election is treated as contested for purposes of this rule if there are more nominees for board seats than there are positions to be filled by election at the meeting.

Back to Contents

Corporate Governance

Communication with the Company or the Directors

Interested parties may communicate their comments, concerns or questions about La-Z-Boy to the company, or specifically to our chairman, lead director, or any or all of our other directors, by letter addressed to them and sent by U.S. mail to the attention of the Corporate Secretary at:

La-Z-Boy Incorporated One La-Z-Boy Drive Monroe, Michigan 48162

The corporate secretary reviews and compiles any communications received, provides a summary of any lengthy or repetitive communications, and forwards them to the appropriate director or directors. The complete communication is provided when requested by the appropriate director or directors.

Back to Contents

Board and Committee Operations

Our board of directors met five times during fiscal 2016. At most meetings, the non-employee directors met in executive session, chaired by our lead director, without management present. During fiscal 2016, each of our current directors attended at least 75 percent of the meetings of the board and committees on which the director served. All of the current directors attended the 2015 shareholders' meeting, and we expect all the nominated directors to attend the 2016 shareholders' meeting.

We currently have three standing committees of the board: the audit, compensation, and nominating and governance committees. Each committee is composed of only independent directors. Each committee operates under its own charter (which can be found at http://investors.la-z-boy.com, under "Corporate Governance") and has the ability to engage independent consultants and advisors at the company's expense to assist the committee in fulfilling its duties. During fiscal 2016, we reduced the size of our board from 11 to 9 members and as a result reorganized our committee memberships. In addition, anticipating that our former lead director would retire at this year's shareholders' meeting, we elected a new lead director and new chairs of the compensation and nominating and governance committees. While our board chairman is not a member of any of the board committees, he coordinates the agendas and activities of the committees with the lead director and each committee chair. Our current lead director serves on our audit committee and generally attends the meetings of the compensation and nominating and governance committees. The current membership and chair of each of the committees are shown in the following table:

Current Committee Membership

Name Audit Compensation and

Governance

Kurt L. Darrow (Chairman and CEO)

Richard M. Gabrys

David K. Hehl

Edwin J. Holman Chair

Janet E. Kerr

Michael T. Lawton Chair

H. George Levy, MD

W. Alan McCollough (Lead Director)

Dr. Nido R. Qubein Chair

We expect Sarah M. Gallagher, if elected, to join the compensation and nominating and governance committees and Lauren B. Peters, if elected, to join the audit and nominating and governance committees.

Back to Contents		
Board and Committee Operations		
Audit Committee		
Key oversight duties:	Members:	Michael T. Lawton (Chair) Richard M. Gabrys David K. Hehl Edwin J. Holman
Financial reporting process		W. Alan McCollough
Compliance with legal and regulatory requirements		
	Fiscal 2016 me	eetings: 9
Effectiveness of our internal and external audit functions		
Selection of the independent registered public accounting firm		
The committee monitors our auditor's independence, annually requerelationships with the company, and reviews and limits our use of our committee reviews the staff assigned to our audit and ensures the lear The committee discusses with management and our outside auditor to for financial reporting. Each member meets the enhanced independence the SEC and NYSE listing standards, is financially literate, and is an meaning of the SEC rules. The committee does not provide any proform or any expert or special assurance about our financial statements.	or outside auditored partner is rotand partner is rotand and a nce standards for audit committe	ors for non-audit work. The sted at least once every five years. Indequacy of our internal controls or audit committees established in the efinancial expert within the
For further discussion of the audit committee's activities, see "Audit Compensation Committee	Committee Rep	port" below at page 52.
Key Oversight Duties:	Members:	Edwin J. Holman, Chairman Janet E. Kerr Michael T. Lawton H. George Levy, MD Dr. Nido R. Qubein
Executive and director cash and equity compensation programs		
	Fiscal 2016 m	eetings: 4
Evaluating the CEO's and executive officers' performance		

Only directors who meet standards of independence promulgated by the SEC (*i.e.*, "non-employee director" as defined in the rules under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), the Internal Revenue Service (*i.e.*, "outside director" as defined in the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code), and the NYSE listing standards may serve on the committee. The committee obtains advice on executive compensation matters from an independent outside compensation consultant (Korn Ferry Hay Group since fiscal 2010). Each year, the committee reviews and discusses the independence of its advisers pursuant to NYSE rules, and it has determined that Korn Ferry Hay Group is independent and its work for the committee does not raise any conflicts of interest. The committee annually produces a report on executive compensation for inclusion in the proxy statement (see "Compensation Committee Report" below).

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. The committee currently consists of the directors named as members in the box above. Mr. McCollough served as chair of the committee until August 2015, when he left the committee to undertake his duties as lead director. Mr. Gabrys also left the committee in August to become a member of the nominating and governance committee. At the same time, Mr. Holman and Ms. Kerr joined the committee, and Mr. Holman was named committee chair. Mr. Lawton and Drs. Levy and Qubein served on the committee throughout fiscal 2016. None of our named executive officers serves on the board of directors of any company that employs a member of our compensation committee.

Back to Contents

Board and Committee Operations

Nominating and Governance Committee

Key Oversight Duties: Dr. Nido R. Qubein, Chairman

Richard M. Gabrys

Members: David K. Hehl

Janet E. Kerr

H. George Levy, MD

Board governance practices

Director candidates Fiscal 2016 meetings: 5

Enterprise risk management process

Our nominating and governance committee is composed entirely of independent directors. The committee makes recommendations on general corporate governance issues including the size, structure, and composition of the board and its committees. The committee also assists our board in overseeing our risks, including risk assessment, mitigation, and the determination of risk tolerance levels. See "Risk Oversight" above for more discussion of our risk oversight process. The committee's charter can be found on our website at http://investors.la-z-boy.com, under "Corporate Governance."

Share Ownership

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each director, director nominee, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and all directors and current executive officers as a group as of the record date for the annual meeting. The table and footnotes also contain information about restricted stock units credited to the non-employee directors that derive their value based on the market value of our shares. None of the shares shown in the table are pledged as security.

	Number of Shares or Units		
Beneficial Owner	Common Stock(1)(2)	Percent of Class	Units Settleable in Cash(3)
Mark S. Bacon, Sr.	116,339	*	
J. Douglas Collier	118,689	*	_
Kurt L. Darrow	891,271	1.80	_
Darrell D. Edwards	48,692	*	_
Richard M. Gabrys	40,021	*	16,514
Sarah M. Gallagher			
David K. Hehl(5)	56,477	*	16,514
Edwin J. Holman	34,060	*	5,000
Janet E. Kerr	33,721	*	12,927
Michael T. Lawton	9,449	*	
H. George Levy, MD	49,121	*	16,514
W. Alan McCollough	38,021	*	16,514
Lauren B. Peters			
Dr. Nido R. Qubein	54,766	*	16,514
Louis M. Riccio Jr.	167,769	*	
All current directors and executive officers as a group (14 persons)(4)	1,787,235	3.57	100,497

^{*} Less than 1%

This column lists beneficial ownership as calculated under the SEC rules, including stock options and restricted

- (1) stock units that may be exercised or converted without the company's consent within 60 days of our record date of June 30, 2016.
 - These amounts include 9,449 restricted stock units for Mr. Lawton and 31,021 restricted stock units for each other non-employee director which vest and settle in shares when the director leaves the board. See the Fiscal 2016
- (2) Non-employee Director Compensation
 - table and related footnotes beginning on page 18 for additional detail.
- (3) These restricted stock units vest and settle in cash, at the fair market value determined at the settlement date, when the director leaves the board.
- (4) For purposes of calculating the percentage ownership of the group, all shares representing the restricted stock units (footnote 2) and shares subject to options held by any group member that currently are exercisable or that will become exercisable within 60 days of our record date of June 30, 2016, are treated as outstanding. For purposes of

calculating the percentage of ownership of any individual, however, only the shares representing the restricted stock units and the shares subject to options exercisable or that become exercisable as described above that are held by that individual are treated as outstanding. For the computation of each individual's ownership percentage, shares representing restricted stock units and shares subject to options held by other directors or executives are not counted.

Share Ownership

In addition to the restricted stock units described in footnote 2, the table includes the following numbers of shares subject to options:

Mark S. Bacon, Sr.	31,708
J. Douglas Collier	32,240
Kurt L. Darrow	319,408
Darrell D. Edwards	32,804
Louis M. Riccio Jr.	59,909
All current directors and executive officers as a group	769,447

(5) The table also includes 1,956 shares owned by Mr. Hehl's wife, of which shares Mr. Hehl disclaims beneficial ownership.

Significant Shareholders

The tables below provide information about beneficial owners of our common shares. Under applicable SEC rules, anyone who has or shares the right to vote any of our common shares, or has or shares dispositive power over any of them, is a "beneficial owner" of those shares. The settlor of a trust with a right to revoke the trust and regain the shares, or a person who can acquire shares by exercising an option or a conversion right, may also be considered a beneficial owner under these rules. Consequently, more than one person can be considered the beneficial owner of the same common shares. Unless otherwise indicated below, each owner named in a table has sole voting and sole dispositive power over the shares reported for that person.

Security Ownership of Known Over 5% Beneficial Owners

(as of December 31, 2015, except as otherwise indicated)

Name and Address	Number of Shares	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. and subsidiaries		
55 East 52 nd Street	5,166,492	10.47
New York, NY 10055		
The Vanguard Group		
100 Vanguard Blvd.	3,737,716	7.58
Malvern, PA 19355		
Franklin Resources, Inc. and related parties		
One Franklin Parkway	2,603,000	5.28
San Mateo, CA 94403		
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP	2,596,864	5.26

Building One

6300 Bee Cave Road

Austin, TX 78746

Information about BlackRock, Inc. and its subsidiaries is based on an amended Schedule 13G BlackRock, Inc. filed after December 31, 2015, in which it reported that as of that date, it and its subsidiaries had sole voting power over 5,023,140 common shares and sole dispositive power over 5,166,492 common shares. The other companies reported as beneficial owners of our common shares were BlackRock Advisors, LLC, BlackRock Asset Management Canada Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Ireland Limited, BlackRock Asset Management Schweiz AG, BlackRock Fund Advisors, BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., BlackRock International Limited, BlackRock Investment Management (Australia) Limited, BlackRock Investment Management, LLC, and BlackRock Japan Co. Ltd.

Information about The Vanguard Group is based on an amended Schedule 13G it filed after December 31, 2015, in which it reported that as of that date, it had sole voting power over 82,438 common shares, shared voting power over 4,300 common shares, sole dispositive power over 3,654,178 common shares and shared dispositive power over 83,538 common shares.

Information about Franklin Resources, Inc., Charles B. Johnson and Rupert H. Johnson, Jr., principal shareholders of Franklin Resources, Inc., and Franklin Advisory Services, LLC is based on an amended Schedule 13G they filed jointly after December 31, 2015, in which they reported that as of that date, they had sole voting power over 2,308,900 common shares and sole dispositive power over 2,603,000 common shares through their control of Franklin Advisory Services, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Franklin Resources, Inc., that acts as investment manager to various investment companies that hold our shares.

Back to Contents

Share Ownership

Information about Dimensional Fund Advisors LP is based on a Schedule 13G it filed after December 31, 2015, in which it reported that as of that date it had sole voting power over 2,459,240 common shares and sole dispositive power over 2,596,864 common shares. It also reported that it serves as an investment manager and an investment advisor to various investment companies, trusts, and accounts and that the shares are owned by its clients, no one of which, to the knowledge of Dimension Fund Advisors LP, owns more than 5% of the class. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP disclaims beneficial ownership of all the shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors, some over 10% owners of our common shares, and some persons who formerly were directors, executive officers, or over 10% owners to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the NYSE and to furnish us with a copy of each report filed. Based solely on our review of copies of the reports filed by some of those persons and written representations from others that no reports were required, we believe that during fiscal 2016, all Section 16(a) filing requirements were complied with in a timely fashion.

Director Compensation

Working with an independent compensation consultant, we designed our annual pay package for directors to attract and retain highly qualified professionals to represent our shareholders. Directors who are also our employees receive no additional compensation for serving on the board. Non-employee directors receive a combination of cash and restricted stock units as compensation for their service. We reimburse our directors for their costs of travel, lodging, and related expenses while on company-related business. We provide membership in the National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) for each director and reimburse directors for fees and expenses for participation in NACD and other programs on corporate governance and other issues related to their duties as directors. We encourage our directors to enhance their understanding of our operations and business by visiting our facilities, retail outlets, and competing retailers.

Effective May 1, 2016, we increased our directors' annual retainers by \$10,000 (approximately 6.7%), split evenly between cash and equity compensation. For fiscal 2016, we paid each director cash and equity compensation in the following amounts:

Cash Compensation

We paid each non-employee director an annual cash retainer of \$75,000. We paid our lead director an additional cash retainer of \$30,000 for serving in that capacity, and we paid the chairs of our audit, compensation, and nominating and governance committees additional cash retainers of \$20,000, \$15,000, and \$10,000, respectively. The board held fewer than 10 board meetings in fiscal 2016, so we did not pay additional meeting fees.

Equity Compensation

On August 21, 2015, we issued each non-employee director a grant of 2,704 restricted stock units with a grant date value of \$75,009. Each restricted stock unit is equivalent in value to a share of La-Z-Boy common stock. Dividend equivalents are awarded on restricted stock units at the same time and in the same amount as dividends declared on our common shares. The restricted stock units do not include voting rights. The units vest and are settled, in shares only, when the director leaves the board.

The following table provides details of each non-employee director's compensation for fiscal 2016. Compensation varied between directors based on lead director status, committee membership, and committee chairs held. Stock awards reflect the grant date fair value.

Fiscal 2016 Non-employee Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	All Other Compensation \$(3)	Total (\$)
Richard M. Gabrys (4)	84,083	75,009	16,897	175,989
David K. Hehl	75,000	75,009	16,896	166,905
Edwin J. Holman (4)	85,542	75,009	12,751	173,302
Janet E. Kerr(4)	78,028	75,009	15,605	168,642
Michael T. Lawton	95,000	75,009	3,185	173,194

H. George Levy, MD	75,000	75,009	16,896	166,905
W. Alan McCollough (4)	100,625	75,009	16,896	192,530
Dr. Nido R. Oubein (4)	82,028	75,009	16,896	173,933

(1) Includes actual annual board retainer fees, lead director retainer fees, and committee chairman fees.

Director Compensation

Reflects the grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Each director then in office received 2,704 restricted stock units on August 21, 2015. Restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors in 2008 and 2009 under our former plan were settleable in cash; units granted since September 1, 2010, and to be granted in future years under our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan, will be settleable in shares. As of April 30, 2016, the number of restricted stock units of each type held by each non-employee director (which vest and settle when the director leaves the board) were:

	Units	Units	
	Settleable	Settleable in	
	in Cash	Shares	
Richard M. Gabrys	16,514	31,021	
David K. Hehl	16,514	31,021	
Edwin J. Holman	5,000	31,021	
Janet E. Kerr	12,927	31,021	
Michael T. Lawton		9,449	
H. George Levy, MD	16,514	31,021	
W. Alan McCollough	16,514	31,021	
Dr. Nido R. Qubein	16,514	31,021	

⁽³⁾ Reflects payments of dividend equivalents on restricted stock units at the time and in the amount that dividends were declared for common shares.

In August of 2015, Mr. Holman succeeded Mr. McCollough as chair of the compensation committee, Dr. Qubein (4) succeeded Ms. Kerr as chair of the nominating and governance committee, and Mr. McCollough succeeded Mr. Gabrys as lead director. Each received a prorated committee chair or lead director retainer.

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Shareholders will elect nine directors to serve a one-year term until our 2017 annual meeting of shareholders when their successors are elected and qualified. Our board currently has nine directors. Richard Gabrys, having served, at our board's request, beyond our normal retirement age for directors, will retire from the board at the 2016 shareholders' meeting. In addition, Mr. Hehl, who has served on our board for nearly 40 years, has requested that he not be nominated for re-election for the 2017 term. Upon the recommendation of the board's nominating and governance committee, the board has nominated for re-election the remaining current directors and two new director candidates:

Kurt L. Darrow
Sarah M. Gallagher
Edwin J. Holman
Janet E. Kerr
Michael T. Lawton
H. George Levy, M.D.
W. Alan McCollough
Lauren B. Peters
Dr. Nido R. Oubein

Each nominee has consented to being named in this proxy statement and has agreed to serve if elected. If a nominee is unable to stand for election, the board may either reduce the number of directors to be elected or select a substitute nominee. If a substitute nominee is selected, the proxy holders may vote your shares for the substitute nominee.

In accordance with Michigan law, directors will be elected at the meeting by a plurality of votes cast from among those persons duly nominated, with separate balloting for each of the nine positions. The nominees who receive the highest through the ninth highest number of votes will be elected, regardless of any votes that are not cast for the election of those nominees, including abstentions, broker non-votes, and withholding of authority. Under our corporate governance guidelines, however, any director who does not receive a majority of the votes cast must tender his or her resignation at the board meeting that immediately follows the shareholders' meeting. The board must act on the offer of resignation at or before its next meeting, which is currently planned for mid-November, and publicly disclose its decision. Any vacancy created by such a resignation could then be filled by the board of directors pursuant to our bylaws. For more information, please see "Corporate Governance Matters — Majority-Voting Standard for Director Elections."

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NINE NOMINEES.

Director Qualifications

The board, acting through its nominating and governance committee, seeks directors who collectively possess the experience, skills, backgrounds, and qualifications necessary to effectively oversee our company in our current and evolving business circumstances. The committee seeks directors with established records of significant accomplishments in business and areas relevant to our strategies. In determining the slate of nominees and whether to seek one or more new candidates, the committee reviews the board's size and our current directors' qualifications. All of our current directors and nominees bring to our board a wealth of executive leadership experience derived from their service as executives and entrepreneurs, as well as valuable board experience. The following chart summarizes the director nominees' key qualifications, experience, and skills.

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

La-Z-Boy Board of Directors Nominees:

Kurt Sarah Edwin Janet Michael George Alan Lauren Nido Darrow Gallagher Holman Kerr Lawton Levy McCollough Peters Qubein

Leadership experience

Public Company Board

Experience

Finance

Technology

Global Perspective

Sourcing/Manufacturing

Consumer Marketing

Retail

We provide information below about each person nominated for election at the meeting. Unless otherwise indicated, the principal occupation of each nominee has been the same for at least five years.

Back to Contents Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors **Kurt L. Darrow** Age 61 Director since 2003 **Executive Roles:** Our president and chief executive officer since 2003 Our chairman since 2011 Formerly president of La-Z-Boy, our largest division **Public Boards:** CMS Energy Corp., an integrated energy company **Other Leadership Roles:** Member of the board and the executive committee of Business Leaders for Michigan, a non-profit executive leadership organization Member of the ProMedica Board of Trustees and Chairman of the ProMedica Monroe Regional Hospital Board of Trustees

Former chairman of the American Home Furnishings Alliance (an industry association) and

continues to serve as director emeritus on its board

Former Trustee of Adrian College (Adrian, Michigan)

Mr. Darrow's proven leadership skills and extensive knowledge of the company and the furniture industry, developed over his many years at La-Z-Boy, qualify him to serve on our board.

Leadership Public Company Experience Board Experience Finance Technology Global Sourcing/Perspective Manufacturing Retail Marketing

Sarah M. Gallagher Age 64 New Nominee Expected to join Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee

Executive Roles:

Former executive chairperson of Rebecca Taylor (women's apparel), a division of Kellwood Company, August 2014 – August 2015

Former president of Ralph Lauren North America e-Commerce (2007 – 2013)

Former president Ralph Lauren Media LLC (2001 – 2006)

Senior vice president roles at Banana Republic Direct and Gap Direct (divisions of Gap, Inc., an international retailer of clothing, accessories and personal care products) (1997 – 2001)

Senior executive positions at various retailers including Avon Products, Inc. (beauty products), Victoria's Secret Catalogue, Lord & Taylor (retail department store chain)

Public Boards:

Abercrombie & Fitch Co. (specialty retailer of casual apparel) since 2014

Other Leadership Roles:

Executive Advisor of FitforCommerce (retail consultants) since April 2016

Ms. Gallagher's extensive retail experience with consumer-focused and fashion-orientated brands and over 15 years' involvement in e-commerce retailing to consumers qualify her to serve on our board.

Leadership Public Company
Experience Board Experience Technology Global Consumer
Perspective Marketing Retail

Back to Contents

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Edwin J. Holman Age 69 Director since 2010 Chair of Compensation Committee / Audit Committee member / Financial expert **Executive Roles:** Interim chief executive officer of The Pantry, Inc. (October 2011 until March 2012) Formerly chairman of RGIS International (2010–2013), a portfolio company of the Blackstone Group Formerly chairman and chief executive officer of Macy's Central, a division of Macy's Inc. (an operator of department stores) Formerly president and CEO Galyans Trading Company, a publicly traded sporting goods chain Formerly senior executive positions at a variety of retailers, including Bloomingdale's, the Rich's/Lazarus/Goldsmiths divisions of Federated Department Stores, Inc., Petrie Retail, Inc., Woodward & Lothrop, The Carter Hawley Hale Stores, and The Neiman Marcus Group **Public Boards:** Formerly Christopher and Banks Corporation (women's apparel) 2015 to 2016 Formerly The Pantry, Inc. (convenience chain store), and its chairman from 2009 to 2014

Formerly Office Max, an office supply retailer

Formerly Circle International, a provider of international transportation and logistics

Other leadership roles:

National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) Governance Fellow (2011) and named a "Top 100 Director" by NACD in 2011

Mr. Holman's 40 years of executive and operational experience in department stores and specialty retailing, combined with his experience on public company boards, qualify him to serve on our board.

Leadership Public Company
Experience Board Experience Finance Technology Global Sourcing/
Perspective Manufacturing Retail

Back to Contents

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Janet E. Kerr	Age 61	Director since 2009
Compensation Committee member / No	ominating and Governance Com	
Executive Roles:		
Vice Chancellor, Pepperdine University	v since 2016	
Of counsel to Navé & Cortel (law firm)	since 2015	
or counser to reave & corter (law firm)	Since 2013	
Strategic adviser to Bloomberg BNA (2)	.014 – 2015)	
Professor (1983 – 2013) and Professor I	Emeritus (since 2013) of the Pep	perdine University School
of Law		
Former chief strategy officer of Exempl		ge management
company, until its acquisition by Bloom	iderg bina iii 2014	
Founder and former Executive Director at Pepperdine Law School	of the Palmer Center for Entrep	reneurship and the Law
Professor Kerr was awarded the Laure S School of Law in 2011	Sudreau-Rippe Endowed Chair a	t Pepperdine University
A mationally managed and and a set	and consultant in the case. C	annielas lauras as a 15 annie
A nationally recognized author, lecturer		curries law compilance,

banking law, corporate governance, and general corporate law

Co-Founder	(with HRL.)	Laboratories,	LLC	of X-I	aborat	tories

Public Boards:

Fidelity National Financial, Inc., service provider to real estate and mortgage industries (listed on NYSE)

AppFolio, Inc., provider of cloud-based business management software (listed on NASDAQ)

Tilly's, Inc., a NYSE listed retailer of apparel and accessories

Formerly TCW Strategic Income Fund, Inc., a NYSE-listed closed-end registered investment company

Formerly CKE (Carl Karcher Enterprises), previously a publicly traded NYSE- listed company

Other leadership roles:

Advisor on corporate issues and entrepreneurial strategies to the People's Republic of China, France, and Thailand

Representative of the U.S. Department of Commerce as a speaker at international events

Ms. Kerr's service on public and private company boards and her skills and experience in the practice of law and corporate governance qualify her for service on our board.

Leadership Public Company
Experience Board Experience Technology Global Consumer
Perspective Marketing Retail

Michael T. Lawton Director since 2013 Age 57 Chair of Audit Committee / Financial expert / Compensation Committee **Executive Roles** Retired chief financial officer of Domino's Pizza, Inc. (2010 – 2015) Former roles at Domino's Pizza, Inc.: Executive vice president, supply chain services from October 2014 until June 2015 Interim chief information officer from October 2011 until March 2012 Executive vice president of international from October 2004 until March 2011 Senior vice president finance and administration of international Various financial and general management positions with Gerber Products Company Mr. Lawton's experience as a senior executive of a public company and well-known consumer brand qualifies him to serve on our board. Sourcing/ Leadership Global Consumer Finance Technology Retail Experience Perspective Manufacturing Marketing

Back to C	ontents
-----------	---------

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Dr. H. George Levy Age 66 Director since 1997 Compensation Committee member / Nominating and Governance Committee member

Executive Roles:

Maintains a practice specializing in otorhinolaryngology

Formerly chairman and chief executive officer of USI, Inc., a private firm engaged in consulting on e-commerce, Web design, and systems integration

Formerly Chief Executive Officer and founder of Enduenet, Inc., a firm providing electronic medical records for physicians and hospitals

Dr. Levy's entrepreneurial experience, coupled with his board experience, qualifies him for service on our board.

Leadership Experience Technology

W. Alan McCollough Age 66 Director since 2007 Our Lead Director / Audit Committee member / Financial expert

Executive Roles:

Former chairman and chief executive officer of Circuit City Stores, Inc., (retailer of consumer electronics, home office products, entertainment software, and related services) from 2000 to 2006

Public Boards:

VF Corporation (branded apparel)

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

Formerly Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Mr. McCollough's experience leading a large publicly traded consumer products company, as well as his service on multiple public company boards, qualifies him to serve on our board.

Leadership Public Company Experience Board Experience Finance Technology Global Sourcing/ Consumer Perspective Manufacturing Marketing Retail

Back to Contents

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Lauren B. Peters Age 54 New Nominee Expected to join Audit Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee/ Financial expert

Executive Roles:

Executive vice president and chief financial officer of Foot Locker, Inc. since 2011

Senior vice president of strategic planning of Foot Locker, Inc. (2002 – 2011)

Various senior financial management positions at Foot Locker, Inc. and Robinsons-May, a division of May Department Stores

Formerly audit manager with Arthur Andersen & Company

Ms. Peters's extensive financial and strategic planning experience with consumer focused and global retailers qualifies her to serve on our board.

Leadership Experience Finance Technology Global Consumer Perspective Marketing Retail

Dr. Nido R. Qubein Age 67 Director since 2006 Chair of Nominating and Governance Committee / Compensation Committee member

Executive Roles:

President of High Point University

Executive Chairman	(beginning 2016) of the board	of bakery fr	anchisor Gre	at Harvest	Bread
Company (previously	(Chairman)					

Public Boards:

BB&T Corporation (banking and financial services)

Other leadership roles:

Author of a dozen books on leadership, sales, communication, and marketing

Serves as advisor to businesses and organizations throughout the world on how to brand and position their enterprises successfully

Dr. Qubein's experience as a business advisor, entrepreneur, director of public companies and leader at multiple companies qualifies him to serve on our board.

Leadership Public Company
Experience Board Experience Finance Technology Global Consumer
Perspective Marketing Retail

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE ABOVE NOMINEES.

Back to Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

In this section we summarize the compensation programs for our named executive officers (the individuals named in the "Summary Compensation Table" that follows this Compensation Discussion and Analysis). We discuss our compensation objectives and describe each pay element, the role it plays in the overall compensation program, and whether it pertains only to the named executive officers or to a broader group of employees. You should review this section with the pay disclosure tables that begin with the Summary Compensation Table on page 40.

Executive Summary

2016 Operating Performance

Sales increased 7% to \$1.5 billion, operating income increased by 19% to \$122 million, diluted earnings per share increased 21% to \$1.55, \$112 million in cash was generated from operating activities, our dividend was increased and \$44 million in stock was repurchased, returning a combined total of \$62 million to shareholders. In addition to cash flow generation exceeding \$100 million this year, our balance sheet remains very strong with \$112 million of cash on hand, access to additional lines of credit and virtually no debt, giving us the financial flexibility to execute our growth initiatives, reinvest in the business and move into the future with a solid foundation.

Fiscal 2016 Compensation Actions

We continue to monitor all of our compensation program elements and practices to determine how they compare with current market practices and align with our overall compensation philosophy. Our compensation committee worked with Korn Ferry Hay Group, the committee's independent executive compensation consultant, to evaluate our programs during fiscal 2016, and we made no significant changes to existing programs during the year.

At our annual meeting of shareholders in August 2011, we began providing our shareholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on our executive compensation (a "say-on-pay proposal"). Approximately 99% of the votes cast by our shareholders in 2015 were to approve the compensation we paid to our named executive officers. In determining executive compensation for fiscal 2016, the Committee took into account the results of our history of the advisory votes, which reflected shareholder approval of our compensation philosophy, and considered many other factors. We believe our executive compensation programs continue to provide a competitive pay-for-performance package that helps us attract, retain, and motivate our executives.

The Compensation Committee took the following actions during the year:

Reviewed and approved increases to base salaries for the named executive officers (see pg. 32)

Reviewed and approved fiscal 2016 Management Incentive Program (MIP) performance levels (sales growth and margin improvement) and payouts based on fiscal 2015 performance, which qualified executives for payment but below target levels (see pg. 33)

Approved fiscal 2016 long-term incentive awards composed of stock options (50%) and performance-based shares (50%), and payouts and contingently earned awards for prior performance-based equity grants (see pg. 34)

Approved the fiscal 2016 Performance Compensation Retirement Plan performance goals and contribution percentages and fiscal 2015 company contribution (see pg. 37)

Back to Contents Compensation Discussion and Analysis Summary of Executive Compensation Practices What We Do What We Don't Do X Pay for performance – Our compensation program for named executive officers Provide employment emphasizes variable pay over fixed pay, with a majority of their target compensation agreements linked to our financial or market results X Gross up excise taxes upon Executive stock ownership guidelines – Our expectations for ownership align change in control executives' interests with those of our shareholders X Reprice options without shareholder approval Use relative total shareholder return (TSR) in long-term performance awards X Require company contributions to the executive compensation retirement plan to be Pay dividends on unearned determined by company performance performance shares or units Mitigate undue risk – we have caps on potential incentive payments and a clawback policy on performance-based compensation Use double-trigger change-in-control agreements Include only independent directors on the compensation committee Engage an independent compensation consulting firm to assist the compensation committee and board with executive program design and compensation reviews

Provide severance and change-in-control arrangements that are aligned with market practices

Prohibit hedging and short sales by executive officers and directors

Back to Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Compensation Approach

Our executive pay programs are designed to reflect the following objectives:

Reward for total shareholder return. We align our executives' interests with our shareholders' by basing a portion of our executives' long-term incentive opportunity on the total return our stock produces for our shareholders compared with returns of other companies.

Require significant stock ownership. We require our named executive officers to own our stock over a sustained period to ensure they have the perspective of long-term shareholders.

Pay for performance. We provide the majority of our named executive officers' potential compensation in annual and long-term incentive awards that are earned, or increase in value, based on company and stock performance.

Design for program effectiveness. We have clearly defined programs that provide meaningful award opportunities aligned to the achievement of our business strategy.

Provide market competitive opportunities. We design our compensation packages, including base salaries and incentive opportunities, to be market competitive based on data from U.S. manufacturers, retailers, and manufacturers with a retail focus.

Manage costs. In designing our executive pay programs, we take into account the cost of various possible elements (share usage, cash flow, and accounting and tax impacts).

Our compensation philosophy is to provide a base salary targeted to the median of the competitive market, with the opportunity to earn a significantly higher level of compensation under incentive programs that link executive pay to company performance factors. All named executive officers participate in the same compensation programs and are subject to the same pay policies. The majority of each executive's target compensation is at risk with the amount realized, if any, based on company performance. The pay level and at-risk portion increases as an executive assumes greater levels of responsibility with greater impact on the company. Accordingly, our chief executive officer's pay level and at-risk pay portion are higher than those of other officers due to his greater level of responsibility.

Compensation Committee's Role

Each year, the compensation committee reviews and approves the overall design of our executive pay program and all pay elements for the named executive officers. Three senior executives (chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and chief human resources officer) provide input on program design (including goals and weighting) and information on the company's and the furniture industry's performance. Management is responsible for implementing the executive pay program that the committee approves.

The compensation committee has sole authority to retain and terminate consultants used to assist in the evaluation of executive compensation. The committee has retained Korn Ferry Hay Group as its independent executive compensation consultant to advise the committee on matters related to executive compensation. Under the committee's direction, Korn Ferry Hay Group interacts with members of the senior executive team to provide insight into company and industry practices and ensure that executives are informed with regard to emerging best practices and market trends. With the committee's approval, management engaged Korn Ferry Hay Group in fiscal 2016 to develop a compensation structure for our broad base of employees.

The committee reviews Korn Ferry Hay Group's report on the consulting firm's independence on an annual basis. The report includes the following factors: (1) other services the consultant provided to us; (2) the fees we paid as a percentage of the consultant's total revenue; (3) the consultant's policies and procedures designed to prevent a conflict of interest; (4) any business or personal relationship of the consultant with a member of the committee; (5) any company stock owned by the consultant; and (6) any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and the consultant. The committee discussed the report and concluded that the consultant's work did not present any conflict of interest. In reaching that conclusion, the committee considered all factors specified in the NYSE's rules related to compensation advisor independence.

Pay-Setting Process Methodology

We assign executives to pay grades based on their duties and responsibilities. For each position, we establish a salary range and the target annual and long-term incentive award opportunities based on market median pay levels. In setting individual pay levels, we consider market pay data, company performance, and the executive's competencies, skills, experience, and performance, as well as our business needs, cost, and internal pay equity.

In setting the named executive officers' pay levels (salary, annual and long-term incentive awards), the committee annually reviews pay data for the chief executive officer and other named executive officers of peer group companies. The peer group is composed of publicly-traded U.S. companies that generate annual revenues between 50% and 200% of our annual revenue and are either our direct competitors or manufacturing companies with a retail focus. In setting fiscal 2016 pay levels, the committee considered the following 16 peer companies:

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Aaron's, Inc.
Acuity Brands, Inc.
Callaway Golf Company
Ethan Allen Interiors, Inc.
Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc.
Herman Miller, Inc.
Interface, Inc.
Knoll, Inc.
Libbey Inc.
Pier 1 Imports, Inc.
Restoration Hardware Holdings, Inc.
Select Comfort Corporation
Tempur Sealy International
The Ryland Group
The Toro Company

Wolverine Worldwide

The committee evaluates each peer company annually to ensure that its inclusion remains appropriate. To maximize year-over-year comparability, the committee prefers that the peer group remain consistent from year to year. The committee worked with Korn Ferry Hay Group to review and revise the peer group of companies for fiscal 2017 and formally removed The Ryland Group, which ceased to be a public company in October 2015 when it merged with Standard Pacific Corp. The committee added MDC Holdings, Inc. based on its size and a desire to maintain homebuilder representation in the peer group.

In addition, we review target total direct compensation for comparable jobs generally in retail and general industry companies based on compensation surveys conducted annually by Korn Ferry Hay Group. We use both peer group comparator data and market survey data to benchmark pay. We believe this dual benchmarking provides a more rigorous process to validate pay decisions. Based on our fiscal 2016 compensation, the target total direct compensation of our named executive officers as a group was 110% of the median total direct compensation for comparable general industry companies and 92% of the median total direct compensation for retail companies.

Periodically, we also review market practices for executive retirement benefits, deferred compensation plans, and severance and change-in-control agreements.

To aid in its oversight of our executive compensation program, the committee requested that Korn Ferry Hay Group conduct a total compensation review for each of the named executive officer positions. The analysis included base salary, short-term incentives, and long-term incentives and compared the compensation of the named executive officers with median levels for general industry, retail industry, and the company's peer group. The committee believes its use of data supplied by the independent consultant along with a review of current and historical compensation for the named executive officers provides the committee with a more complete picture of the named executive officers' compensation. In addition, the committee annually reviews estimated amounts to be paid to the named executive officers under various employment termination situations, including severance and a change in control of the company.

Our process for setting compensation for our named executive officers includes a formal, individual performance evaluation each year for each executive. The independent members of our board of directors assess our chief executive officer's performance each year. This assessment includes an evaluation of critical areas, including customer relations, human capital, shareholder value, operating results, and strategic goals. Every third year, the committee's independent compensation consultant coordinates the committee's evaluation of the CEO's performance focusing on the same criteria. The consultant compiles the evaluations provided by each board member and prepares a detailed report for the board. The chief executive officer assesses the individual performance of the other named executive officers each year based on their overall performance throughout the year, accomplishment of specific goals, and their future potential within the organization. This assessment is used in determining base salary as noted below.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Compensation Program Elements

To best achieve our objectives for the executive pay program, we provide a compensation package composed of the following primary elements:

Compensation E	lements	
Component	Description	Performance-Based?
Base salary		
	Fixed compensation for services rendered	No ¹
(pg. 32)		
Management		
Incentive	Short-term incentive plan that pays cash bonuses to participants based on	***
Program (MIP)	performance against pre-established goals for net sales and operating margin	Yes
(ng. 22)		
(pg. 33)	Annual awards of stock options/stock appreciation rights and performance	
Long-Term	shares/units. Stock options and stock appreciation rights attain value only if our	
Incentives	stock price increases following the date of grant. Performance shares and units	
	are earned based on performance against pre-established goals for net sales and	Yes
(pg. 34)	operating margin, and total shareholder return relative to the S&P Small-Cap	
	600 Index	
Retirement	A qualified 401(k) plan and non-qualified executive deferred compensation	
Benefits	plan. Amounts contributed to 401(k) and deferred compensation plans are	
Delicitis	determined by an executive's election. Matching contributions to 401(k) plans i	nNo^2
(pg. 37)	excess of IRC limitations may be credited to the executive deferred compensation plan	
Performance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Compensation	A non-qualified retirement account to which contributions (percentage of the	
Retirement Plan	sum of base salary plus bonus earned) are made by the company depending on	Yes
	performance relative to pre-established operating income goals	
(pg. 37)		

- (1) We consider performance in making any adjustments to base salaries
- (2) Executives may only contribute or elect to defer amounts earned and paid during the year (*i.e.* actual base salaries and bonuses paid)

The mechanics of these pay elements and our pay decisions are detailed below. In addition, we have change-in-control agreements with our named executive officers, and they participate in a severance plan. Additional information regarding the change-in-control agreements and executive severance plan can be found on page 38. We believe these elements assist us in attracting and retaining quality executive talent and ensure continuity of our leadership.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Total Direct Compensation Mix - Pay-for-Performance

In line with our pay-for-performance philosophy, the majority of each executive's total target direct compensation is performance-based and therefore "at risk." Target total direct compensation is composed of base salary, target bonus, and target value of long-term equity incentives. The chart below shows the percentage of each element in the target direct compensation for our CEO and the average for our other Named Executive Officers.

Base Salary

We set base salaries for our named executive officers based on their scope of responsibility, competencies, experience, leadership, and performance. We consider market competitiveness, specific job responsibilities, internal pay relationships, and total cost. Consistent with our practices for all management employees, named executive officers are eligible for annual merit salary increases based on individual performance, comparison with market levels, and the total salary budget.

Salary Changes in Fiscal 2016

In May 2015, the committee reviewed the salary levels for each of the then named executive officers. As part of the salary review process, the committee reviewed and considered the performance of each named executive officer, relevant market data provided by Korn Ferry Hay Group, the comparison of compensation among various levels of management, and the company's overall performance. The committee approved salary increases for the named executive officers, rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

Salary Changes for Fiscal 2017

In May 2016, the committee reviewed salary levels for each of our named executive officers. In determining the appropriate salary changes, the committee considered a combination of performance, current level of responsibility, and current salary relative to market data provided by Korn Ferry Hay Group. Salaries were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

The named executive officers' fiscal 2016 and 2017 salaries are presented in the table below.

Executive	Fiscal 2016 Salary \$(1)	Fiscal 2017 Salary \$(1)	% Change
Kurt L. Darrow	937,000	965,000	2.99
Louis M. Riccio Jr.	449,000	462,000	2.90
Mark S. Bacon, Sr.	515,000	535,000	3.88
J. Douglas Collier	416,000	450,000	8.17
Darrell D. Edwards	397,000	425,000	7.05

⁽¹⁾ Salary increases become effective on July 1, two months after the start of the fiscal year. As a result, the amounts shown here are higher than those shown in the 2016 Summary Compensation Table on page 40 below.

Incentive Compensation

We designed the La-Z-Boy Incorporated 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan to reward executives for achievement of both short-term and long-term corporate performance goals and enhance our ability to retain employees. The committee believes that designing the incentive compensation program with multiple objectives and performance periods

Back to Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

promotes behavior consistent with creating shareholder value and reduces any incentive to pursue risky or unsustainable results.

Short Term Incentive Awards (Management Incentive Program)

Our annual cash bonus program, which we refer to as the Management Incentive Program, or MIP, is a short-term incentive award plan that we designed to motivate and reward executives for achieving annual goals. Target awards, specified as a percentage of base salary, vary by pay grade. The named executive officers have the opportunity to earn awards between 50% of their target awards if we meet minimum performance requirements to a maximum of 200% of their target incentive opportunity, based on performance. For the named executive officers, we base the financial goals on the company's overall consolidated financial performance.

Fiscal 2016 financial measures were:

The committee approved these financial measures because they drive shareholder value and reflect our emphasis on profitability (operating margin) and sales growth. For these purposes, we calculated operating margin without taking into account the impact of restructuring, goodwill and other intangible impairments. After defining required performance, the committee has discretion, in extraordinary circumstances, to modify incentive awards for the named executive officers, either up or down, to ensure a linkage between incentive plan payouts and the quality of performance. The committee did not exercise such discretion in awarding MIP payments for fiscal 2016.

The committee set the target financial performance goals to be challenging but achievable. For fiscal years 2012 through 2016 our payouts under the MIP for overall company financial performance averaged approximately 100% of target.

Analysis — 2016 MIP Awards Were Above Target, Reflecting Our Financial Performance

Our 2016 company financial performance results, on a combined basis, exceeded the established target levels, reflecting the strong operating results during the year. In line with our compensation philosophy and in accordance with standards we set at the outset of the year, MIP payments to our named executive officers for 2016 were above target levels.

Fiscal 2016 MIP Goals and Results

Edgar Filing: LA-Z-BOY INC - Form DEF 14A

Performance Level	Net Sales	Operating			
renormance Level	(in Billions) Margin				
Maximum	\$ 1.634	8.53%			
Target	\$ 1.525	7.74%			
Threshold	\$ 1.453	7.21%			
Actual	\$ 1.525	8.06%			
Individual Metric Payout	100.2%	130.0%			
Individual Metric Weight	40%	60%			
Overall Payout (% of Target)		118%			

Our named executive officers' fiscal 2016 target, achieved performance level, and actual MIP awards, expressed as a percentage of base salary, were as follows:

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

	Fiscal 2016 Target Incentive (% of base salary)	Achieved Performance Level (% of target performance)	Actual Fiscal 2016 Incentive Payout (% of base salary)	
Kurt L. Darrow	100%	118%	118.0%	
Louis M. Riccio Jr.	75%	118%	88.5%	
Mark S. Bacon, Sr.	75%	118%	88.5%	
J. Douglas Collier	60%	118%	70.8%	
Darrell D. Edwards	60%	118%	70.8%	
E' 1 404# MID A	1			

Fiscal 2017 MIP Awards

The committee approved the following target incentives percentage of base salary for fiscal 2017: Mr. Darrow, 110%; Messrs. Riccio and Bacon, 75%; and Messrs. Collier and Edwards, 60%. Mr. Darrow's target incentive was increased from 100% to 110% for fiscal 2017 to better align with industry and peer group benchmark data. The committee established operating margin (60% weight) and net sales (40% weight) as the financial measures for fiscal 2017.

Long-term Equity Incentive Awards

The long-term incentive award provisions of our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan provide for equity-based compensation (restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, or other forms of equity-based compensation) that we designed to align executive pay with long-term shareholder returns, motivate our executive officers to focus on long-term business objectives, and encourage long-term strategic thinking. The value our executives receive from these awards varies based on the company's performance and the future price appreciation of our common stock.

We establish award levels for each eligible pay grade after considering market median practices and total cost (share usage, accounting, and tax impacts). The committee approves annual equity-based awards that we grant in the first quarter of the new fiscal year. Our chief executive officer has discretion during the year to approve additional limited grants of restricted stock or units to employees other than the named executive officers.

Each year the committee determines the appropriate long-term incentive award types and mix based on our objectives for the grants, as well as market practices, share usage, accounting and tax impacts, and past practices. We review the accounting treatment of the relevant incentive award types, including stock options and performance-based stock awards. We generally design our stock options and performance-based stock awards to enable the company to deduct their cost for tax purposes, while executives who exercise options or receive performance-based shares are taxed at ordinary income rates. However, we may not be able to deduct the cost of restricted stock awards to certain named executive officers for federal income tax purposes in a given year. For more discussion of the tax treatment, see Deductibility of Executive Compensation on page 38.

Fiscal 2016 Grants

Early in fiscal 2016, pursuant to the La-Z-Boy Incorporated 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan, we granted to our named executive officers two types of stock-based awards: stock options and performance-based shares, which we summarize below.

Stock Options (50% of total fiscal 2016 long-term incentive opportunity)

Stock options entitle executives to purchase stock at the exercise price (closing price on date of grant) for up to ten years. Options expire at the end of ten years if they have not been exercised by that time. Stock options deliver value to executives if the company's stock price rises, directly aligning executive compensation with the value created for shareholders as reflected in stock price appreciation from the date of grant. The stock options we granted in fiscal 2016 vest in equal installments over four years (25% per year) and have a ten-year term.

Once a stock option vests, an executive may purchase stock at the exercise price. The executive realizes value equal to the difference between the exercise price and the price at which our stock is trading on the New York Stock Exchange at the time of exercise.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Performance-Based Stock Awards (50% of total fiscal 2016 long-term incentive opportunity)

Performance-based stock gives our executives the opportunity to earn a defined number of shares of our common stock if we achieve pre-set performance goals. Through performance-based stock awards granted in fiscal 2016, executives will earn stock if the company achieves specified financial goals. The value of any earned shares depends on La-Z-Boy's future stock price. An executive's award opportunity ranges from 50% of the executive's target award if we meet minimum performance requirements to a maximum of 200% of the target award. Following the conclusion of the three-year performance period, we will pay out the shares that our executives have earned.

The number of shares our executives receive, if any, will depend on how the company performs against sales growth and operating margin targets in fiscal years 2016, 2017, and 2018, and against the total shareholder return goal over the three-year performance period. Targets for the awards are based 40% on the growth in sales, 40% on operating margin, and 20% on total shareholder return. Payouts for sales growth and operating margin results are weighted 50% on fiscal 2016 results, 30% on fiscal 2017 results, and 20% on fiscal 2018 results. Total shareholder return is measured over the entire three-year performance period relative to the performance of the S&P Small-Cap 600 Index.

	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal
Matria (Tatal Waight)	2016	2017	2018
Metric (Total Weight)	Weight	t Weigh	t Weight
	(50%)	(30%)	(20%)
Sales Growth (40%)	20%	12%	8%
Operating Margin (40%)	20%	12%	8%
Total Shareholder Return (20%)			20%

Executives may earn shares based on each factor independent of our performance on the other factors. Each factor includes a minimum performance level that must be achieved before any shares are earned based on that factor. No shares are earned if the company performs below the threshold performance level of all three factors. Executives earn the target number of shares if the company performs at the designated target level of all three factors. The actual number of shares executives earn can be more or less than target level depending on the company's performance.

Earnings and Payouts for Prior Equity Grants

All of our named executive officers earned payouts on the performance-based equity grants we made in fiscal 2014 for the three-year performance period that ended with our fiscal 2016 year end. The following table shows the three fiscal years and how the company performed against the sales growth, operating margin, and TSR goals. For these purposes, we calculated operating margins without the impact of restructuring, goodwill, and other intangible impairments. Following the end of the three-year performance period, we paid out earned shares, the number and value of which are shown in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table on page 45.

Performance Period Fiscal 2014-2016

Goals			Results			Percent of Goal Earned		
Sales	Operating	TSR Over	Sales	Operating	TSR Over	Sales	Operating	TSR Over
(in	Margin	3 Years	(in	Margin	3 Years		Margin	3 Years

Billions)			Billions)					
FY14 \$ 1.430 FY15 \$ 1.530	6.3% 7.4%	50th	\$ 1.408 \$ 1.425	6.5% 7.2%	69.48 th	59% 0%	120% 80%	177.93%
FY16 \$ 1.622	8.3%	Percentile	\$ 1.525	8.1%	Percentile	0%	80%	

The performance-based equity grants we made in fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2016 (which are more fully described above) provide executives with the opportunity to earn a portion of the awards based on sales and operating margin targets established for each of the three years covered by the grant and against the total shareholder return goal over the three-year performance period. Goals and results are shown in the following tables.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Performance Period Fiscal 2015-2017

	Go	als		Results			Percent of Goal Earned		
	Sa	les (in	Operating	Sal	les (in	Operating	Colos	Operating	
	Bil	llions)	Margin	Bil	llions)	Margin	Sales	Margin	
FY15	\$	1.452	8.01%	\$	1.425	7.21%	80.15%	58%	
FY16	\$	1.549	9.18%	\$	1.525	8.06%	83.61%	0%	
Perfori	nanc	e Period F	iscal 2016-2018						

	Goals		Results		Percent of Goal Earned			
	Sales (in	Operating	Operating Sales (in		Sales	Operating		
	Billions)	Margin	Billions)	Margin	Sales	Margin		
FY16	\$ 1.525	7.74%	\$ 1.525	8.06%	100.18%	130%		

These awards for the grants made in fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2016 have been earned contingent on the executive's remaining with the company through the end of the three-year performance period, when they will be paid. For information on the treatment of these awards at retirement, see Payments Made Upon Disability or Retirement on page 47.

Messrs. Riccio and Collier exercised stock options during fiscal 2016. The pre-tax amount realized from these exercises is shown in the fiscal 2016 Option Exercises and Stock Vested table (on page 45).

Fiscal 2017 Grants

The awards we made to our executive officers in the past five fiscal years have included a mix of stock options or stock appreciation rights and performance-based shares or units. The committee determined that equity grants we made on June 20, 2016 (for fiscal 2017) would be composed of stock options and performance-based shares of equal value. We intend this mix to provide a balanced focus on stock-price appreciation and multi-year goal achievement.

The financial measures and related weightings for the performance-based shares will be sales growth (40%), operating margin (40%), and total shareholder return (20%) relative to the performance of the S&P Small-Cap 600 Index. The size of each equity award will be based on a percentage of the recipient's base salary, and the percentage will vary by grade. In fiscal 2017, the committee granted our named executive officers stock options totaling 283,714 shares and performance-based equity awards covering 87,221 shares (at target).

Other Executive Compensation Program Elements

Executive Management Stock Ownership Guidelines

The committee annually monitors compliance by our executive management with stock ownership guidelines. We establish a minimum fixed number of shares of company stock that we expect each executive to own based on a multiple of the executive's annual base salary at the time we set the guideline. Executives are expected to achieve compliance with the initial guideline within five years. We reset the stock ownership requirement every three years and did so in June 2016 based on each executive's salary and a representative share price at the end of fiscal year 2016.

The committee will reassess the share requirement again in 2019, and, subject to variation in our stock price, executives can expect their requirements to increase as their compensation increases. Current stock ownership guideline values and approximate share requirements for the named executive officers are as follows:

	Guideline Value (Multiple of Salary)	Share Requirement
CEO	5x	179,000
Other named executive	2	45,000 –
officers	3x	59,000

In determining compliance with the guidelines, we include shares owned directly, shares held in a family trust or qualified retirement program, and performance-based shares contingently earned in completed performance periods but not yet paid out. As of April 30, 2016, Messrs. Darrow, Riccio, Bacon and Collier were in compliance with their guidelines. Mr. Edwards became an executive officer during fiscal 2015 and has until May 1, 2020, to meet his required ownership level.

Retirement Benefits

We provide retirement benefit plans as an incentive for employees to remain with the company long-term and to assist with retirement planning. Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in the same retirement benefit programs that we offer to salaried employees at the corporate level, but employees who are eligible for the MIP, including our named executive officers, are not eligible to participate in the supplemental match that, beginning with fiscal 2013, we have contributed to 401(k) accounts based on our operating income.

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in our 401(k) and profit-sharing plans, to which the company may contribute. Company matching contributions to our 401(k) plan vary by operating unit and range from 0% to a maximum of 50% of the first 4% contributed by the employee. We suspended making contributions to our profit-sharing plan for fiscal 2009 and effective May 1, 2016, we merged the profit-sharing plan into our 401(k) plan.

Performance Compensation Retirement Plan

All of our executive officers and executive management employees participate in the La-Z-Boy Incorporated Performance Compensation Retirement Plan, as do certain other key management employees designated from time to time by our compensation committee. Pursuant to the plan, the compensation committee establishes company performance criteria and minimum threshold performance levels shortly after a fiscal year begins. If the company performs at or above the threshold level for the year, we issue credits to each plan participant's account, and those credits later convert to cash when a vested participant receives a distribution following separation from service. The credits represent a percentage of the base salary and bonus a participant earned during the fiscal year, and the percentages come from a sliding scale that produces a larger contribution for better performance. Contribution credits created in prior years increase each year based on an interest rate that corresponds to yields on 20-year AA corporate bonds. We will delete any contribution credits that we later determine resulted from financial errors or omissions.

At its June 2015 meeting, the compensation committee set total operating income as the sole performance criterion for fiscal 2016. Named executive officers received contribution credits based on operating income performance relative to threshold and target performance levels and individual percentage factors as follows:

Performance
Level Contribution Percentage Factor*

CEO: 35%

Target and Above Other named executive officers: 25%

CEO: 17.5%

Threshold Other named executive officers:

12.5%

Below Threshold All named executive officers: 0%

Actual operating income performance (without taking into account the impact of restructuring, goodwill and other intangible impairments) exceeded target performance. As a result, Mr. Darrow and the other named executive officers received the target contribution percentage amount of the sum of their base salary and bonus earned for fiscal 2016.

^{*} The Contribution percentage increases proportionately for performance between threshold and target levels.

Fiscal 2016 Performance Compensation Retirement Plan

Goals, Results, and Contribution Percentage

Performance Level Operating Income

Target \$118,021,973 Threshold \$104,747,271 Actual \$122,968,000

CEO Contribution 35%
Other Named Executive Officers
Contribution 25%

At its June 2016 meeting, the compensation committee again set total operating income as the sole performance criterion for fiscal 2017, and the above table showing the relationship between the performance level and contribution percentage will again apply.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

Our 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan allows executives to defer pay they have earned. Participants may elect to defer up to 100% of their salaries and annual cash incentive awards made under the MIP. In addition, the company may contribute to this plan any company 401(k) match that cannot be credited to executives' accounts due to the Internal Revenue Code compensation limitations that apply to the tax-qualified retirement plans. Such limits may apply because the executive's contributions and the company's matching contributions were limited by either the annual contribution limit — \$18,000 for 2016 — or the annual compensation limit — \$265,000 for 2016. Named executive officers' salary and bonus deferrals are detailed in the Fiscal 2016 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

table on page 45. Executives may not defer into this plan any 401(k) plan contributions they made that were returned to them following discrimination testing for highly compensated personnel.

Named Executive Officer Change-in-Control Agreements

We have change-in-control agreements with our named executive officers to ensure continuity of our leadership in the event the company's ownership changes. The agreements define a change in control as any event that must be reported in Item 6(e) of Schedule 14A of Regulation 14A issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that qualifies as a change of control event pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. This generally occurs when a person, entity or group acquires ownership of 30% of a company's stock, increases its holding to more than 50% of the value or voting power of a company's stock, or acquires 40% or more of a company's assets, or if a majority of a company's board of directors is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the directors who were serving before the date of the appointment or election.

Our agreements provide that a named executive officer will receive severance in cash if we have a change in control and in the succeeding two years (or three years for our CEO), the executive's employment terminates under certain conditions. In that event, we would pay an executive other than our CEO two times the executive's base salary at the time of termination plus two times the average of the annual bonuses the executive received over the previous three years. We would pay our CEO three times his base salary and three times his average bonus. The executive is responsible for any excise tax, and the company does not pay any gross-up. We utilize a "best-net" approach where we reduce payments to the safe harbor limit to avoid excise tax only if doing so results in a greater after-tax benefit to the executive. During the period that we pay severance, we also continue to provide medical and life insurance benefits. Similar to this severance arrangement, our executives receive accelerated vesting in outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights issued under the La-Z-Boy Incorporated 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan following a change in control only if their employment is terminated. Additional information regarding the change-in-control agreements and estimated termination payments to executives is presented on page 46.

Named Executive Officer Severance Plan

The severance plan for the named executive officers is designed to assist the company in attracting and retaining quality executive talent while providing the company some protection against competition and solicitation by former executives.

The severance plan requires the company to pay a named executive officer severance if the company discharges the executive other than "for cause" or if the executive leaves the company with "good reason." Following a qualifying termination of employment, the company would pay the CEO severance for 24 months and pay the other named executive officers severance for 12 months at the level of their base salary when their employment ended. Discharge "for cause" includes employee acts of fraud, reckless misconduct, substandard performance that is not corrected, and similar acts or failures to act. Resignation for "good reason" includes a resignation triggered by a reduction in the executive's pay unless similarly situated employees are similarly affected or a requirement that the executive relocate. Executives will receive medical and dental benefits during the time they receive severance. If a named executive officer's employment terminates following a change of control of the company, the executive receives benefits under the severance plan only to the extent they exceed benefits the executive receives pursuant to the executive's change-in-control agreement with the company. Information regarding the change-in-control agreements and estimated termination payments to executives is presented on page 46.

We established the severance periods of 24 and 12 months based on the market and peer company analysis. To receive severance, executives must comply with non-competition and non-solicitation covenants for the duration of the severance term. Executives are entitled to receive and retain only that portion of the severance pay that is in excess of compensation they receive from other employment during the severance period.

Other Considerations

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

We monitor our executive pay programs with respect to current federal tax law to maximize the deductibility of the compensation we pay to named executive officers. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally precludes public companies from taking a tax deduction for compensation over \$1 million paid to a named executive officer unless the compensation is performance-based. We intend that the performance-based stock awards, stock option/stock appreciation rights grants, and the short-term cash incentives we make under the La-Z-Boy Incorporated 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan qualify as performance-based compensation exempt from the tax deduction limit. Restricted stock awards generally do not qualify as performance-based compensation.

Back to Contents

Compensation Committee Report

Recoupment of Incentive Payments

In accordance with our policy, we will require a management employee to reimburse us for annual or long-term incentive payments we made to the employee, and we will eliminate any contribution credits we made for the employee under the Performance Compensation Retirement Plan, to the extent our board of directors determines that the employee engaged in misconduct that resulted in a material inaccuracy in our financial statements or the performance metrics we used to make incentive payments or awards, and the employee received a higher payment as a result of the inaccuracies. If we determine that any contribution credits we made to the La-Z-Boy Incorporated Performance Compensation Retirement Plan were based on erroneous financial statements or other financial errors or misstatements, we will adjust all participant accounts to reflect contribution credits calculated based on complete and accurate financial information.

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and this proxy statement.

Edwin J. Holman, Chairman Janet E. Kerr Michael T. Lawton H. George Levy, MD Dr. Nido R. Qubein

Back to Contents

Executive Compensation

The Summary Compensation Table and other tables present pay for our named executive officers received for fiscal 2016.

Named Executive Officers as of April 30, 2016

Kurt L. Darrow (age 61), Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer

Louis M. Riccio Jr. (age 53), Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Mark S. Bacon, Sr. (age 53), Senior Vice President and President, La-Z-Boy Branded Business

J. Douglas Collier (age 49), Senior Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer, and President, International

Darrell D. Edwards (age 52), Senior Vice President and Chief Supply Chain Officer

Summary Compensation Table

The Summary Compensation Table presents fiscal year 2014, 2015, and 2016 "total compensation" (see footnotes for the included pay elements) for the named executive officers, except fiscal 2014 information for Messrs. Collier and Edwards is not provided because they were not executive officers in that year.

Actual value realized in fiscal 2016 for previously granted long-term incentives is presented in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table on page 45.

Target annual and long-term incentive opportunities for fiscal 2016 are presented in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 42.

2016 Summary Compensation Table

Edgar Filing: LA-Z-BOY INC - Form DEF 14A

				Non-Equity		
	Salary	Stock	Option	Incentive	All Other	Total
Year	•	Awards	Awards	Plan	Compensation	on _(\$)
	(Ψ)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	Compensation	on(\$)(4)	(Ψ)
				(\$)(3)		
2016	932,482	1,171,237	1,171,250	1,100,329	806,284	5,181,582
2015	904,983	1,137,501	1,137,503	606,338	422,301	4,208,626
2014	874,317	1,099,991	1,099,965	900,546	707,041	4,681,860
2016	446,825	280,619	280,622	395,440	227,279	1,630,785
2015	434,158	272,501	272,505	218,165	119,844	1,317,173
2014	422,492	265,620	265,618	326,375	204,159	1,484,264
2016	512,490	321,881	321,873	453,554	261,278	1,871,076
2015	496,657	312,507	312,497	249,570	136,530	1,507,761
2014	<i>1</i> 76 001	300.004	200 084	368 475	220 862	1,675,316
2014	470,991	300,004	299,904	300,473	229,002	1,075,510
2016	409,992	207,995	207,996	290,274	188,142	1,304,399
2015	379,993	190,009	190,002	152,757	90,545	1,003,306
2016	393,326	198,494	198,500	278,475	212,318	1,281,113
2015	374,993	187,504	187,504	150,747	138,747	1,039,495
	2016 2015 2014 2016 2015 2014 2016 2015 2014 2016 2015	(\$) 2016 932,482 2015 904,983 2014 874,317 2016 446,825 2015 434,158 2014 422,492 2016 512,490 2015 496,657 2014 476,991 2016 409,992 2015 379,993 2016 393,326	Year (\$) Awards (\$)(1) 2016 932,482 1,171,237 2015 904,983 1,137,501 2014 874,317 1,099,991 2016 446,825 280,619 2015 434,158 272,501 2014 422,492 265,620 2016 512,490 321,881 2015 496,657 312,507 2014 476,991 300,004 2016 409,992 207,995 2015 379,993 190,009	Year Salary (\$) Awards (\$)(1) Awards (\$)(2) 2016 932,482 1,171,237 1,171,250 2015 904,983 1,137,501 1,137,503 2014 874,317 1,099,991 1,099,965 2016 446,825 280,619 280,622 2015 434,158 272,501 272,505 2014 422,492 265,620 265,618 2016 512,490 321,881 321,873 2015 496,657 312,507 312,497 2014 476,991 300,004 299,984 2016 409,992 207,995 207,996 2015 379,993 190,009 190,002	Year (\$) Awards Awards Plan (\$)(1) (\$)(2) Compensation (\$)(3) 2016 932,482 1,171,237 1,171,250 1,100,329 2015 904,983 1,137,501 1,137,503 606,338 2014 874,317 1,099,991 1,099,965 900,546 2016 446,825 280,619 280,622 395,440 2015 434,158 272,501 272,505 218,165 2014 422,492 265,620 265,618 326,375 2016 512,490 321,881 321,873 453,554 2015 496,657 312,507 312,497 249,570 2014 476,991 300,004 299,984 368,475 2016 409,992 207,995 207,996 290,274 2015 379,993 190,009 190,002 152,757	Year Salary (\$) Stock Awards (\$)(1) Option Awards (\$)(2) Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4) All Other Compensation (\$)(4) 2016 932,482 1,171,237 1,171,250 1,100,329 806,284 2015 904,983 1,137,501 1,137,503 606,338 422,301 2014 874,317 1,099,991 1,099,965 900,546 707,041 2016 446,825 280,619 280,622 395,440 227,279 2015 434,158 272,501 272,505 218,165 119,844 2014 422,492 265,620 265,618 326,375 204,159 2016 512,490 321,881 321,873 453,554 261,278 2015 496,657 312,507 312,497 249,570 136,530 2014 476,991 300,004 299,984 368,475 229,862 2016 409,992 207,995 207,996 290,274 188,142 2015 379,993 190,009 190,002 152,757 </td

Reflects the value at target of the performance-based awards granted during fiscal 2014, 2015 and 2016. We valued the performance-based share awards using the closing price of La-Z-Boy common stock on the date of grant. The committee determined that the grants to NEOs each year would be composed of stock options (50%) and performance-based shares (50%) to align with best practices of U.S. companies utilizing both of these long-term incentive vehicles as part of their executive compensation strategy. Maximum value of performance-based shares is shown below:

Executive Compensation

Name	201	6	2015	5	2014	4
Kurt L. Darrow	\$	2,342,475	\$	2,275,002	\$	2,199,981
Louis M. Riccio Jr.	\$	561,237	\$	545,002	\$	531,240
Mark S. Bacon, Sr.	\$	643,763	\$	625,014	\$	600,009
J. Douglas Collier	\$	415,990	\$	380,018		
Darrell D. Edwards	\$	396,987	\$	375,008		

- Reflects the total grant date fair value of the stock option awards granted during the fiscal year. For additional information regarding the assumptions we used in valuing the awards, refer to the Stock-Based Compensation notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements found in Item 8 of Part II of our Forms 10-K (Note 16 for fiscal 2014, Note 14 for fiscal 2015 and Note 15 for fiscal 2016).
- Consists of cash awards for the achievement of performance results for the respective year made under our management incentive program. Payments are made in the first quarter following completion of the fiscal year. (4) All Other Compensation for fiscal 2016 consists of the following:

Company contributions to the 401(k) Plan and contributions or credits to the Executive Deferred Compensation and Performance Compensation Retirement Plans of the following amounts: Mr. Darrow \$745,628, Mr. Riccio \$225,096, Mr. Bacon \$259,252, Mr. Collier \$186,583, and Mr. Edwards \$178,773.

Reimbursement for education costs, including tuition and travel expense, of \$31,693 for Mr. Edwards.

Our incremental cost of \$51,747 for Mr. Darrow's personal use of company aircraft, which is calculated by multiplying the aircraft's hourly variable operating cost by a trip's flight time. Variable operating costs consist of fuel, landing and parking fees, variable maintenance, variable pilot expenses for travel, and any special catering costs and other miscellaneous variable costs. On certain occasions, his spouse and other family members accompanied Mr. Darrow on a flight. No additional incremental operating cost is incurred in such situations under the foregoing methodology. We did not pay Mr. Darrow any amounts in connection with taxes on income imputed to him for personal use of our aircraft.

Company paid life insurance premiums, physicals and tax reimbursements related to company contributions to the deferred compensation plans (made in the prior year).

Back to Contents

Executive Compensation

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table provides details of all incentive plan-based awards granted to the named executive officers during fiscal 2016. Specifically, the table presents the following fiscal 2016 incentive awards:

Annual management incentive award (MIP) potential award range (see "Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards" columns). The actual awards are shown in the Summary Compensation Table (see page 40).

Performance-based shares

Stock options

Fiscal 2016 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

		Plan Awa	Incentive	Plan Awards(2)			All Other Other Stock Awards: Awards: Number of Securities Shares Underlyir or Options Units (#)	or Base Price of	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock & Option Awards s(3)(\$)	
Name	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	dTarget (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Thresh (#)	o ld rget (#)	Maximum (#)			
Kurt L. Darrow 2016 Annual Incentive (MIP) Performance-Based Shares Non-Qualified Stock Options				1,864,964			87,766	120,872	26.69	1,171,237 1,171,250
Louis M. Riccio Jr. 2016 Annual Incentive (MIP)	6/15/2015	67,024	335,119	670,238	421	10,514	21,028			280,619

Performance-Based Shares Non-Qualified Stock Options	6/15/2015							28,960	26.69	280,622
Mark S. Bacon, Sr. 2016 Annual Incentive (MIP) Performance-Based Shares Non-Qualified	6/15/2015	76,874	384,368	768,736	482	12,060	24,120	33,217	26.69	321,881 321,873
J. Douglas Collier 2016 Annual Incentive (MIP) Performance-Based Shares Non-Qualified Stock Options	6/15/2015 6/15/2015	49,199	245,995	491,990	312	7,793	15,586	21,465		207,995 207,996
Darrell D. Edwards 2016 Annual Incentive (MIP) Performance-Based Shares Non-Qualified Stock Options	6/15/2015	47,199	235,995	471,990	297	7,437	14,874	20,485	26.69	198,494 198,500

⁽¹⁾ Actual awards could have been up to 200% of target for the MIP based on performance results.

⁽²⁾ The "Threshold" estimated future payout shown reflects meeting the threshold for just the sales growth or operating margin goal in the third year of the performance cycle.

The value of performance-based shares equals the target number of shares at the closing price of La-Z-Boy stock

⁽³⁾ on the grant date (\$26.69). The value of non-qualified stock options is the fair value (\$9.69 per share) and will be expensed over the vesting period.

Back to Contents

Executive Compensation

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2016 Fiscal Year-End

The following table presents all outstanding stock options/stock appreciation rights and unvested stock awards (performance-based stock) held by the named executive officers at the end of the fiscal year. Market values for the unvested stock awards are presented based on the closing price of the company's stock on April 29, 2016, of \$25.87.

Name Grant Underlying SAR SAR Year Unexercised Exercise Expiration Options/SARS Exercisable (#) (#) Options/SARS Date Have Have Not Vested (#) Vested (#) (#) Vested (#) Vested (#) Vested (#) Not Vested (#) Vested (#) Not Vest	Unearned	of
Kurt L. Darrow Performance-Based Shares 38,333 991,675 6 Stock Options 2016 120,872 26.69 6/15/2025 2015 27,213 81,639 23.63 6/16/2024 2014 47,290 47,290 19.06 6/17/2023	69,990	1,810,641