POTOMAC BANCSHARES INC Form 10-Q August 15, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20549

WASHINGTON, DC 20549 FORM 10-O (Mark one) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 XXX For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to Commission File Number 0-24958 POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) West Virginia 55-0732247 (State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.) 111 East Washington Street PO Box 906, Charles Town WV 25414-0906 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code 304-725-8431 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. XXYes No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this Chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company XX

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No XX

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 3,390,178 as of August 12, 2011

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY FORM 10-Q June 30, 2011

INDEX

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010 (Audited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and for the Six Months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7 - 24
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	24 - 29
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	30
Part II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	30
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	30
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved).	30
Item 5.	Other Information.	30
Item 6.	Exhibits.	31
Signatures		32

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 evidences Congress' determination that the disclosure of forward-looking information is desirable for investors and encourages such disclosure by providing a safe harbor for forward-looking statements by corporate management. This Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainty. "Forward-looking statements" are easily identified by the use of words such as "could," "anticipate," "estimate," "believe," "confident," and similar words that refer to a future outlook. To comply with the terms of the safe harbor, the company notes that a variety of factors could cause the company's actual results and experiences to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the company's forward-looking statements.

The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance, development and results of the company's business include, but are not limited to, the growth of the economy, unemployment, pricing in the real estate market, interest rate movements, the impact of competitive products, services and pricing, customer business requirements, the current economic environment posing significant challenges and affecting our financial condition and results of operations, the possibility of future FDIC assessments, Congressional legislation and similar matters (including changes as a result of rules and regulations adopted under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act). The recent downgrade of U.S. government securities by one of the credit rating agencies could have a material adverse effect on the company's operations, earnings and financial condition. We caution readers of this report not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements which are subject to influence by unanticipated future events. Actual results, accordingly, may differ materially from management expectations.

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(\$ in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	June 30 2011		ecember 31,
ssets:	2011	20)10
Cash and due from banks	\$	2 205 \$	2 185
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	Ψ	7 847	7 995
Federal funds sold		725	2 725
Securities available for sale, at fair value	5	723 75 738	42 690
Loans held for sale			76
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$4,113 and			,,
\$5,012, respectively	20	06 028	214 238
Premises and equipment, net	20	8 101	8 270
Other real estate owned, net of valuation allowance of		0 101	0 270
\$379 and \$95, respectively		7 501	6 563
Accrued interest receivable		902	960
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh stock		690	765
Other assets	1	1 030	11 142
Outer assets		1 030	11 172
Total Assets	\$ 30	00 767 \$	297 609
Deposits Noninterest hearing	¢ 7	6 700	26 605
Noninterest-bearing	·	26 700 \$	26 695
Interest-bearing	-	2 005	230 727
Total Deposits		8 705	257 422
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		9 125	7 382
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		2 122	2 717
Accrued interest payable		273	361
Other liabilities		3 011	2 951
Total Liabilities	\$ 27	3 236 \$	270 833
ockholders' Equity:			
Common stock, \$1 per share par value; 5,000,000 shares			
authorized; 3,671,691 shares issued and outstanding	\$	3 672 \$	3 672
Surplus		3 940	3 932
Undivided profits	2	4 089	23 725
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), net		(1 304)	(1 687
		\$0 397 \$	29 642
Less cost of shares acquired for the treasury, 281,513 shares		2 866	2 866

Total Stockholders' Equity	\$ 27 531	\$ 26 776
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 300 767	\$ 297 609

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(\$ in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30				For the Six Mont Ended June 30			ths	
	2011	1	20	10	2011		20	10	
Interest and Dividend Income:									
Interest and fees on loans	\$	2 880	\$	3 269	\$	5 873	\$	6 546	
Interest on securities available for sale - taxable		192		216		360		412	
Interest on securities available for sale – nontaxable		55		49		109		98	
Interest on federal funds sold				1		1		2	
Other interest and dividends		11		4		19		7	
Total Interest and Dividend Income		3 138		3 539		6 362		7 065	
Interest Expense:									
Interest on deposits		757		1 023		1 652		2 101	
Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase		16		20		35		40	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		11		15		22		61	
Total Interest Expense		784		1 058		1 709		2 202	
Net Interest Income		2 354		2 481		4 653		4 863	
Provision for Loan Losses		175		461		599		771	
Net Interest Income after									
Provision for Loan Losses		2 179		2 020		4 054		4 092	
Noninterest Income:									
Trust and financial services		230		227		451		437	
Service charges on deposit accounts		480		478		909		914	
(Loss) gain on sale of other real estate		(43)		30		(70)		142	
Visa/MC Fees		195		178		369		329	
Cash surrender value of life insurance		59		59		116		118	
Other operating income		107		121		201		205	
Total Noninterest Income		1 028		1 093		1 976		2 145	
Noninterest Expenses:									
Salaries and employee benefits		1 247		1 142		2 483		2 402	
Net occupancy expense of premises		151		144		322		334	
Furniture and equipment expenses		210		227		410		437	
FDIC assessment		106		154		259		274	
Director and committee fees		47		44		81		75	
Other professional fees		64		46		94		68	
Printing, stationery and supplies		58		67		99		102	
Communications		46		47		93		92	
ATM and check card expenses		82		71		153		135	
Miscellaneous real estate expense		45		9		48		28	
Foreclosed property expense		186		110		299		291	

Edgar Filing: POTOMAC BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Other real estate write downs	284		284	17
Other operating expenses	502	400	927	715
Total Noninterest Expenses	3 028	2 461	5 552	4 970
Income before Income Tax Expense	179	652	478	1 267
Income Tax Expense	6	214	48	407
Net Income	\$ 173	\$ 438	\$ 430	\$ 860
Earnings Per Share, basic and diluted	\$.05	\$.13	\$.13	\$.25

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

(\$ in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

										cumulated				
	Cor	nmon			I I.	ndivided	Tan	0.011487	Oth		o Con			
	Sto		Cum	alue		ofits	Sto	asury	(Lo	mprehensiv	Inco	-	Tot	
Balances, December 31, 2009	\$	3 672	Sur	3 898		21 931	\$	(2 866)	(LC	(1 063)	IIICC	ome	\$	25 572
Balances, December 31, 2009	φ	3012	φ	3 070	Ψ	21 931	φ	(2 800)	φ	(1 003)			φ	23 312
Comprehensive income														
Net income						860					\$	860		860
Other comprehensive income: unrealized holding gains arising during the period														
(net of tax, \$40)										78		78		78
Total comprehensive income											\$	938		
Stock-based compensation expense				17										17
Balances, June 30, 2010	\$	3 672	\$	3 915	\$	22 791	\$	(2 866)	\$	(985)			\$	26 527
Balances, December 31, 2010	\$	3 672	\$	3 932	\$	23 725	\$	(2 866)	\$	(1 687)			\$	26 776
Comprehensive income														
Net income						430					\$	430		430
Other comprehensive income: unrealized holding gains arising during the period (net of tax, \$197)										383		383		383
, , , , ,										383	¢.			383
Total comprehensive income											\$	813		
Stock-based compensation expense				8										8
Cash dividends (\$.01 per share)						(66)								(66)
Balances, June 30, 2011	\$	3 672	\$	3 940	\$	24 089	\$	(2 866)	\$	(1 304)			\$	27 531

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(\$ in thousands) (Unaudited)

	For	For the Six Months Ended					
	June	30	June	: 30			
	2011	[2010)			
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Net income	\$	430	\$	860			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by							
operating activities:							
Provision for loan losses		599		771			
Depreciation		245		281			
Additional write down of other real estate		284		17			
Discount accretion and premium amortization on securities, net		150		82			
Loss (Gain) on sale of other real estate		70		(142)			
Loss on disposal of fixed assets				2			
Stock compensation expense		8		17			
Proceeds from sale of loans		686		1 091			
Origination of loans for sale		(610)		(994)			
Changes in assets and liabilities:							
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable		58		(39)			
(Increase) decrease in other assets		(10)		303			
Decrease in accrued interest payable		(88)		(12)			
Increase in other liabilities		27		1 462			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	1 849	\$	3 699			
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES							
Proceeds from maturity of securities available for sale	\$	2 915	\$				
Proceeds from call of securities available for sale		9 000		19 545			
Purchase of securities available for sale		(24 533)		(24 875)			
Net decrease in loans		4 929		4 520			
Purchases of premises and equipment		(77)		(42)			
Proceeds from sale of other real estate		1 391		1 353			
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$	(6 375)	\$	501			
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES							
Net increase (decrease) in noninterest-bearing deposits	\$	5	\$	(303)			
Net increase (decrease) in interest-bearing deposits		1 278		(1 087)			
Net purchase of securities sold under agreements to repurchase		1 743		269			
Net repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances		(595)		(549)			
Cash dividends		(33)					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$	2 398	\$	(1 670)			
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(2 128)	\$	2 530			
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS							
Beginning		12 905		12 623			

Ending	\$ 10 777	\$ 15 153
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash payments for:		
Interest	\$ 1 797	\$ 2 214
Income taxes	\$ 	\$
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING		
AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	\$ 580	\$ 118
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	\$ 2 683	\$ 2 226
Loans made on sale of other real estate	\$ 68	\$ 298
Dividends declared	\$ 33	\$

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the financial position as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and cash flows and statements of changes in stockholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The statements should be read in conjunction with Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Potomac Bancshares, Inc. annual report for the year ended December 31, 2010. The results of operations for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The consolidated financial statements of Potomac Bancshares, Inc. (the "company") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Bank of Charles Town (the "bank"), include the accounts of both companies. All material inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

In preparing these financial statements, the company has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued.

2. Stock-Based Compensation

The 2003 Stock Incentive Plan was approved by stockholders on May 13, 2003, which authorized up to 183,600 shares of common stock to be used in the granting of incentive options to employees and directors. On April 24, 2007, the stockholders approved an additional 250,000 shares of common stock to be used in the granting of incentive options to employees and directors. This is the first and only stock incentive plan adopted by the company. Under the plan, the option price cannot be less than the fair market value of the stock on the date granted. An option's maximum term is ten years from the date of grant. Employee options granted under the plan are subject to a five year vesting schedule. Director options immediately vest.

Incremental stock-based compensation expense recognized for the six month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$8 thousand and \$17 thousand, respectively.

Stock option compensation expense is the estimated fair value of options granted amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award. Fair value is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. There were no options granted during the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

Stock option plan activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is summarized below:

			Weighted Average	
		Weighted	Remaining	
		Average	Contractual	Aggregate
		Exercise	Life	Intrinsic
	Shares	Price	(in years)	Value
Options outstanding, January 1, 2011	120 974	\$ 14.76		
Granted				
Exercised				
Canceled or expired				
Options outstanding, June 30, 2011	120 974	14.76	4	\$
Options exercisable, June 30, 2011	116 896	14.73	4	\$

The aggregate intrinsic value of a stock option in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on June 30, 2011. The aggregate intrinsic values change based on changes in the market

value of the company's stock.

As of June 30, 2011 there was \$8 thousand of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested stock options, which will be recognized over the remaining requisite service period.

7

Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands) are as follows:

	Jui	ne 30, 201	1						
			Gro	oss	Gro	oss			
	Ar	nortized	Uni	realized	Un	realized	Fa	ir	
	Co	st	Gai	ns	(Lo	sses)	Va	Value	
Obligations of U. S. Government									
sponsored agencies	\$	47 560	\$	420	\$	(4)	\$	47 976	
State and municipal obligations		6 653		142		(7)		6 788	
Equity securities		1 098				(124)		974	
	\$	55 311	\$	562	\$	(135)	\$	55 738	
	De	ecember 31	, 201	0					
			Gro	oss	Gross				
	Ar	nortized	Uni	realized	Un	realized	Fair		
	Co	st	Gai	ns	(Lo	osses)	Va	lue	
Obligations of U. S. Government									
sponsored agencies	\$	36 207	\$	241	\$	(160)	\$	36 288	
State and municipal obligations		5 537		71		(84)		5 524	
Equity securities		1 100				(222)		878	
	\$	42 844	\$	312	\$	(466)	\$	42 690	

The primary purpose of the investment portfolio is to generate income and meet liquidity needs of the company through readily saleable financial instruments. The portfolio is made up of fixed rate bonds, whose prices move inversely with rates. At the end of any accounting period, the investment portfolio has unrealized gains and losses. The company monitors the portfolio, which is subject to liquidity needs, market rate changes and credit risk changes, to see if adjustments are needed. The primary concern in a loss situation is the credit quality of the business behind the instrument. There are six accounts in the consolidated portfolio that have losses at June 30, 2011. The primary cause of the temporary impairments in the company's investments in debt securities was fluctuations in interest rates. Because the company intends to hold these investments in debt securities to maturity and it is more likely than not that the company will not be required to sell these investments before a recovery of unrealized losses, the company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011 and no impairment has been recognized.

There are two equity security investments in the company's portfolio with losses at June 30, 2011. The company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011 and is recognizing no impairment. These are community bank stock related holdings.

U.S. Government sponsored agencies include the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation debt securities with a fair value of \$20.4 million as of June 30,2011 and \$11.7 million as of December 31, 2010

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses for securities aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous gross unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

June 30, 2011						
Less than 12 m	onths	More than 12	months	Total		
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized	
		Fair				
Fair Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	

sponsored agencies	\$ 2 996	\$ (4)	\$		\$ 	\$ 2 996	\$ (4)
State and municipal obligations	1 402	(7)				1 402	(7)
Equity securities	141	(124)				141	(124)
Total	\$ 4 539	\$ (135)	\$ -	-	\$ 	\$ 4 539	\$ (135)

3. Securities (Continued)

	December	31, 20	010						
	Less than 1	2 moi	nths	More than	n 12 montl	ns	Total		
		Gro	SS		Gross			Gro	SS
		Unr	ealized		Unrealia	zed		Unr	ealized
	Fair			Fair			Fair		
	Value	Los	ses	Value	Losses		Value	Loss	ses
Obligations of U.S. Government									
sponsored agencies	\$ 9899	\$	(147)	\$ 1 108	\$	(13)	\$ 11 007	\$	(160)
State and municipal obligations	1 912		(84)				1 912		(84)
Equity securities	878		(222)				878		(222)
Total	\$ 12 689	\$	(453)	\$ 1 108	\$	(13)	\$ 13 797	\$	(466)

The company's investment in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock totaled \$690 thousand at June 30, 2011. FHLB stock is generally viewed as a long-term investment and as a restricted investment security, which is carried at cost, because there is no market for the stock, other than the FHLBs or member institutions. Therefore, when evaluating FHLB stock for impairment, its value is based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. Despite the FHLB's temporary suspension of repurchases of excess capital stock on a regular basis, the company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011 and no impairment has been recognized. FHLB stock is shown as a separate line item on the balance sheet and is not a part of the available for sale securities portfolio.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities with carrying values of approximately \$17.6 million and \$24 million, respectively, were pledged to secure municipal deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, other borrowings, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

4. Loans

The loan portfolio, stated at face amount, is composed of the following:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in thousands)	
Commercial – non real estate		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 836	52 \$ 7 920
Commercial real estate		
Owner occupied	63 28	67 517
Non-owner occupied	12 97	78 12 098
Construction		
Residential	4 59	98 5 922
Commercial	17 68	33 18 252
Real Estate		
Farmland	69	792
Residential		
Revolving open end	5 10	5 975
1 to 4 family – first liens	79 17	82 691
1 to 4 family – junior liens	8 15	8 871
5 or more family	3 13	1 976
Consumer loans		
Titled vehicles	3 10	08 3 713
Deposit accounts	81	737

All other consumer loans	2 617	2 350
All other loans	434	436
Total loans	210 141	219 250
Less: allowance for loan losses	4 113	5 012
	\$ 206 028	\$ 214 238

4. Loans (Continued)

The FHLB of Pittsburgh has a blanket lien on all the company's loans except those loans specifically pledged to the Federal Reserve and removed from the FHLB lien. Currently, the FHLB lien is securing an advance to the company in the amount of \$2.1 million and letters of credit issued on behalf of a customer of the company in the amount of \$11 million.

5. Allowance for Loan Losses

The following is a summary of transactions (in thousands) in the allowance for loan losses:

	June	e 30,	Decen	nber 31,	June	e 30,
	201	1	2010		201	0
Balance at beginning of period	\$	5 012	\$	5 718	\$	5 718
Provision charged to operating expense		599		1 599		771
Recoveries added to the allowance		93		321		122
Loan losses charged to the allowance		(1 591)		(2 626)		(1 034)
Balance at end of period	\$	4 113	\$	5 012	\$	5 577

Allowance for Loan Losses – By Segment June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

					Co	mmercial							All	l				
	Far	rmland	Co	mmercial	Rea	al Estate	Co	nstruction	Co	nsumer	Res	sidential	Otl	her	Uı	nalloc	ateTlo	tal
Beginning balance	\$	166	\$	239	\$	859	\$	2 022	\$	20	\$	1 691	\$	1	\$	14	\$	5 012
Charge-offs				(12)		(59)		(581)		(76)		(863)						(1 591)
Recoveries				11		6		5		65		6						93
Provision		(155)		(42)		64		(395)		11		1 129				(13)		599
Ending balance	\$	11	\$	196	\$	870	\$	1 051	\$	20	\$	1 963	\$	1	\$	1	\$	4 113
Individually evaluated																		
for impairment	\$		\$	172	\$	547	\$	804	\$		\$	633	\$		\$		\$	2 156
Collectively evaluated																		
for impairment		11		24		323		247		20		1 330		1		1		1 957
	\$	11	\$	196	\$	870	\$	1 051	\$	20	\$	1 963	\$	1	\$	1	\$	4 113
Financing receivables:																		
Ending balance	\$	692	\$	8 362	\$	76 264	\$	22 281	\$	6 540	\$	95 568	\$ 4	134	\$		\$	210 141
Ending balance:																		
Individually evaluated																		
for impairment	\$		\$	247	\$	9 107	\$	7 181	\$	21	\$	6 210	\$		\$		\$	22 766
Collectively evaluated																		
for impairment		692		8 115		67 157		15 100		6 519		89 358	2	134				187 375
Total	\$	692	\$	8 362	\$	76 264	\$	22 281	\$	6 540	\$	95 568	\$ 4	134	\$		\$	210 141

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Allowance for Loan Losses – By Segment December 31, 2010 (in thousands)

						ommercial eal							All					
	Fa	rmland	Co	mmerci	al Es	state	Co	onstruction	Co	nsumer	Re	sidential	Oth	ner	Un	allocat	edTota	al
Ending balance	\$	166	\$	239	\$	859	\$	2 022	\$	20	\$	1 691	\$	1	\$	14	\$	5 012
Individually evaluated																		
for impairment	\$	161	\$	217	\$	528	\$	1 812	\$		\$	429	\$		\$		\$	3 147
Collectively evaluated																		
for impairment		5		22		331		210		20		1 262		1		14		1 865
	\$	166	\$	239	\$	859	\$	2 022	\$	20	\$	1 691	\$	1	\$	14	\$	5 012
Financing receivables:																		
Ending balance	\$	792	\$	7 920	\$	79 615	\$	24 174	\$	6 800	\$	99 513	\$	436	\$		\$	219 250
Ending balance:																		
Individually evaluated																		
for impairment	\$	539	\$	367	\$	9 398	\$	11 484	\$		\$	2 874	\$		\$		\$	24 662
Collectively evaluated										6								
for impairment		253		7 553		70 217		12 690		800		96 639		436				194 588
Total	\$	792	\$	7 920	\$	79 615	\$	24 174	\$	6 800	\$	99 513	\$	436	\$		\$	219 250
Total	Ф	192	Ф	1 920	Ф	19 013	Ф	241/4	Ф	000	Φ	99 JIJ	Φ	430	Φ		Ф	219 230

Credit Quality Information – By Class June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

			Spec	ial	Sub	-				
Internal Risk Rating Grades	Pass		Men	tion	Star	ndard	Dou	btful	Loss	
Commercial – non real estate										
Commercial and industrial	\$	7 966	\$	149	\$		\$	241	\$	6
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		50 429		3 880		3 159		5 818		
Non-owner occupied		7 058		5 862				58		
Construction										
Residential		2 052		1 380		508				658
Commercial		11 633		333		4 274		457		986
Real estate										
Farmland		692								
Consumer										
Titled vehicles		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
Deposit accounts		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
All other		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
Residential										

Edgar Filing: POTOMAC BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Totals	\$ 79 830	\$ 15 188	\$ 9 171	\$ 7 822	\$ 2 797
5 or more family	N/A	302			
1-4 family – junior liens	N/A	105		173	
1-4 family – first liens	N/A	3 177	895	1 052	1 147
Revolving open end	N/A		335	23	N/A

As a matter of practice, we do not risk rate consumer or residential mortgage loans. Any of these loans listed in the risk rating table above are associated with commercial loans that have been risk rated as per our policy. Once a loan is designated as a loss, it will usually be cleared from the loan portfolio within 90 days. The majority of the loss loans above are in process of foreclosure and will be transferred to other real estate owned (including possible charge-offs) when foreclosures are completed.

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Credit Quality Information – By Class (Continued) June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

Non Risk Rated Loans	Pe	rforming	Nor	performing
Consumer – non real estate				
Titled vehicles	\$	3 101	\$	7
Deposit accounts		815		
All other		2 614		3
Residential				
Revolving open end		4 731		12
1-4 family – first liens		71 861		1 045
1-4 Family – junior liens		7 881		
5 or more family		2 829		
All other		434		
Totals	\$	94 266	\$	1 067

Credit Quality Information – By Class December 31, 2010 (in thousands)

			Sp	ecial	Su	b-				
Internal Risk Rating Grades	Pas	ss	Ме	ention	Sta	ındard	Do	ubtful	Los	s
Commercial – non real estate										
Commercial and industrial	\$	7 371	\$	180	\$	95	\$	106	\$	166
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		53 078		5 041		3 829		5 375		194
Non-owner occupied		11 470		628						
Construction										
Residential		282		489		4 005		1 095		51
Commercial		10 348		2 789		3 575		1 363		
Real estate										
Farmland		253						539		
Consumer										
Titled vehicles		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
Deposit accounts		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
All other		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
Residential										
Revolving open end		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
1-4 family – first liens		N/A		3 234		956		1 434		242
1-4 family – junior liens		N/A		187		42		175		25
5 or more family		N/A		308						
Totals	\$	82 802	\$	12 856	\$	12 502	\$	10 087	\$	678

As a matter of practice, we do not risk rate consumer or residential mortgage loans. Any of these loans listed in the risk rating table above are associated with commercial loans that have been risk rated as per our policy. Once a loan is designated as a loss, it will usually be cleared from the loan portfolio within 90 days. The majority of the loss loans above are in process of foreclosure and will be transferred to other real estate owned (including possible charge-offs) when foreclosures are completed.

Credit Quality Information – By Class (Continued)
December 31, 2010
(in thousands)

Non Risk Rated Loans	Per	forming	No	nperforming
Consumer – non real estate				
Titled vehicles	\$	3 705	\$	8
Deposit accounts		737		
All other		2 345		7
Residential				
Revolving open end		5 962		13
1-4 family – first liens		75 901		1 101
1-4 Family – junior liens		8 442		
5 or more family		1 668		
All other		436		
Totals	\$	99 196	\$	1 129

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Impaired Loans – By Class June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

With no related allowance:

							Av	erage	Inte	erest
	Un	paid	Rec	orded	Re	ated	Re	corded	Inc	ome
	Pri	ncipal	Inve	estment	All	owance	Inv	estment	Re	cognize
Commercial – non real estate										
Commercial and industrial	\$	75	\$	75	\$	N/A	\$	97	\$	2
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		4 365		4 339		N/A		3 878		233
Non-owner occupied						N/A		15		
Construction										
Residential		667		658		N/A		1 187		21
Commercial		4 796		4 771		N/A		3 252		307
Real estate										
Farmland						N/A				
Residential										
Revolving open end						N/A		60		
1 to 4 family – first liens		3 005		2 984		N/A		1 500		42
1 to 4 family – junior liens		538		536		N/A		247		15
5 or more family						N/A				
Consumer										
Titled vehicles						N/A				
Deposit accounts						N/A				
All other consumer						N/A				
All other		21		21		N/A		4		1
	\$	13 467	\$	13 384	\$	N/A	\$	10 240	\$	621

With an allowance recorded:

							Average		Interest	
	Unpaid		Record	led	Relat	ed	Reco	rded	Inco	me
	Principal	Principal		Investment		vance	Investment		Reco	ognized
Commercial – non real estate										
Commercial and industrial	\$	74	\$	172	\$	172	\$	229	\$	8
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied	4 7	62		4 710		525		4 908		394
Non-owner occupied		58		58		22		34		1
Construction										
Residential	4	519		507		167		2 411		7
Commercial	1.2	284		1 245		637		3 287		27
Real estate										
Farmland								323		
Residential										
Revolving open end	3	363		358		28		76		19
1 to 4 family – first liens	2 3	370		2 332		605		1 891		82

Edgar Filing: POTOMAC BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

1 to 4 family – junior liens				100	
5 or more family					
Consumer					
Titled vehicles					
Deposit accounts					
All other consumer					
All other					
	\$ 9 530	\$ 9 382	\$ 2 156	\$ 13 259	\$ 538
Totals:					
Commercial – non real estate	\$ 249	\$ 247	\$ 172	\$ 326	\$ 10
Commercial real estate	9 185	9 107	547	8 835	628
Construction	7 266	7 181	804	10 137	362
Real estate – farmland				323	
Residential	6 276	6 210	633	3 874	158
Consumer	21	21		4	1
All other					
	\$ 22 997	\$ 22 766	\$ 2 156	\$ 23 499	\$ 1 159

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Impaired Loans – By Class December 31, 2010 (in thousands)

With no related allowance:

				Average	Interest
	Unpaid	Recorded	Related	Recorded	Income
	Principal	Investment	Allowand	e Investmen	t Recognized
Commercial – non real estate					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 117	' \$ 95	\$ N/A	. \$ 5.	3 \$
Commercial real estate					
Owner occupied	4 206	4 256	N/A	3 59	1 158
Non-owner occupied	75	;	N/A	1:	5
Construction					
Residential	1 188	1 183	N/A	1 76	9 52
Commercial	2 641	2 631	N/A	3 65	5 112
Real estate					
Farmland		. <u>-</u> -	N/A	-	<u></u>
Residential					
Revolving open end			N/A	-	<u> </u>
1 to 4 family – first liens	1 259	1 242	N/A	1 35	1 15
1 to 4 family – junior liens	175	175	N/A	. 14:	2 3
5 or more family			· N/A	_	
Consumer					
Titled vehicles			· N/A	_	
Deposit accounts			N/A	_	
All other consumer			· N/A	_	
All other			N/A	_	
	\$ 9 661	\$ 9 582	2 \$ N/A	\$ 10 570	6 \$ 340

With an allowance recorded:

								Average		rest
	Unp	aid	Rec	orded	Related		Reco	orded	Inco	ome
	Princ	Principal		Investment		Allowance		stment	Rec	ognized
Commercial – non real estate										
Commercial and industrial	\$	274	\$	272	\$	217	\$	355	\$	6
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		5 201		5 142		528		4 972		272
Non-owner occupied										
Construction										
Residential		2 786		2 767		600		3 910		175
Commercial		4 924		4 903		1 212		3 242		216
Real estate										
Farmland		549		539		161		547		
Residential										
Revolving open end										
1 to 4 family – first liens		1 412		1 390		362		1 186		56

Edgar Filing: POTOMAC BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

1 to 4 family – junior liens	71	67		67	220	
5 or more family						
Consumer						
Titled vehicles						
Deposit accounts						
All other consumer					4	
All other						
	\$ 15 217	\$ 15 080	\$ 314	17 5	\$ 14 436	\$ 725
Totals:						
Commercial – non real estate	\$ 391	\$ 367	\$ 2	17 :	\$ 408	\$ 6
Commercial real estate	9 482	9 398	52	28	8 578	430
Construction	11 539	11 484	18	12	12 576	555
Real estate – farmland	549	539	10	51	547	
Residential	2 917	2 874	4:	29	2 899	74
Consumer					4	
All other						
	\$ 24 878	\$ 24 662	\$ 314	17 5	\$ 25 012	\$ 1 065

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Modifications As of June 30, 2011 (in thousands except number of contracts)

June 30, 2011

Troubled Debt Restructurings	Num Of Cont		Outs	lification standing orded estment	Out	t- dification estanding corded estment
Commercial – non real estate						
Commercial and industrial	\$		\$		\$	
Commercial real estate						
Owner Occupied		2		72		72
Non owner occupied						
Construction						
Residential						
Commercial		5		301		299
Real Estate						
Farmland						
Residential						
Revolving open end 1 to 4 family						
1 to 4 family – first liens		16		2 455		2 222
1 to 4 family – junior liens		10		367		362
5 or more family						
Consumer						
Titled Vehicles						
Deposit Accounts						
All other consumer		1		22		21
All Other						
Totals	\$	34	\$	3 217	\$	2 976

As of June 30, 2011 there were no troubled debt restructurings during 2011 that subsequently defaulted.

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

Recorded reserves for impaired loans total \$2.2 million compared to total nonaccrual loans of \$4.3 million. These loans are kept under constant scrutiny by the loan officers and credit administration.

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans – By Class June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

	30-	-59	60-	-89	90 I	Days							90 Day Pas Du	st		
	Da	ys	Da	iys	or n	nore	То	tal			То	tal	Stil	11	Noı	1-
		st Due	Pas	st Due	Past	t Due	Pas	st Due	Cu	rrent	Lo	ans	Acc	cruing	Acc	crual
Commercial – non real estate														Ü		
Commercial and industrial	\$	34	\$		\$	8	\$	42	\$	8 320	\$	8 362	\$		\$	8
Commercial real estate																
Owner Occupied				590				590		62 696		63 286				
Non owner occupied		334						334		12 644		12 978				
Construction																
Residential						658		658		3 940		4 598				658
Commercial		521		1 607		1 019		3 147		14 536		17 683				1 231
Real Estate																
Farmland										692		692				
Residential																
Revolving open end										5 101		5 101				35
1 to 4 family – first liens		1 396		526		1 807		3 729		75 448		79 177				2 357
1 to 4 family – junior liens		236						236		7 923		8 159				
5 or more family										3 131		3 131				
Consumer																
Titled Vehicles		26		1				27		3 081		3 108				7
Deposit Accounts										815		815				
All other consumer		14				3		17		2 600		2 617				3
All Other										434		434				
Totals	\$	2 561	\$	2 724	\$	3 495	\$	8 780	\$	201 361	\$	210 141	\$		\$	4 299
Percentage to Total Loans		1.22%		1.30%		1.66%		4.18%		95.82%						2.05%

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans – By Class December 31, 2010 (in thousands)

													90 D	ays		
	30-59		60-89		90 Da	ys							Past	Due		
	Days		Days		or mor	re	Tota	1			Total		Still		Non-	
	Past D	ue	Past D	ue	Past D	ue	Past	Due	Curr	ent	Loan	s	Accı	uing	Accru	al
Commercial – non real estate																
Commercial and industrial	\$	80	\$	92	\$	2	\$	174	\$	7 746	\$	7 920	\$		\$	97
Commercial real estate																

Owner Occupied	612			612	66 905	67 517		
Non owner occupied	194			194	11 904	12 098		
Construction								
Residential	238			238	5 684	5 922		
Commercial	115		285	400	17 852	18 252		405
Real Estate								
Farmland			539	539	253	792		539
Residential								
Revolving open end					5 975	5 975		38
1 to 4 family – first liens	2 269	416	881	3 566	79 125	82 691		1 099
1 to 4 family – junior liens	135	19		154	8 717	8 871		42
5 or more family					1 976	1 976		
Consumer								
Titled Vehicles	37	2		39	4 310	4 349		8
Deposit Accounts	11			11	774	785		
All other consumer	3		5	8	1 658	1 666		5
All Other					436	436		
Totals \$	3 694	\$ 529	\$ 1712	\$ 5 935	\$ 213 315	\$ 219 250	\$	\$ 2 233
Percentage to Total Loans	1.69%	0.24%	0.78%	2.71%	97.29%		%	1.02%

5. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

The past due policy of the bank is to report all classes of loans past due in the following categories:

- 30 to 59 days past due (principal or interest) still accruing interest
- 60 to 89 days past due (principal or interest) still accruing interest
- 90 days or more past due (principal or interest) still accruing interest
- Nonaccrual status.

6. Employee Benefit Plans

Components of net periodic benefit cost for the pension and postretirement benefit plans are shown below:

		on Benefits			Other Postretirement Benefits				
		Months E	nded			e Month	is Ende	d	
	June :	30,	June	,	June			June 30,	
	2011		2010		2011		2010)	
	(in the	ousands)			(in th	ousands)			
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost									
Service cost	\$		\$		\$	3	\$	3	
Interest cost		100		104		9		8	
Expected return on plan assets		(119)		(115)					
Amortization of net obligation at transition						5		5	
Recognized net actuarial loss		38		24					
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	19	\$	13	\$	17	\$	16	
		on Benefits			Bene			ıt	
	Six N	Ionths End	ed	20	Bene Six 1	efits Months l	Ended		
	Six M	Ionths End	ed June	,	Bend Six I	efits Months l	Ended June	30,	
	Six M June 2	Ionths End	ed	,	Bend Six I June 2011	efits Months l	Ended June 2010	30,	
Components of Nat Pariodic Reposit Cost	Six M June 2	Ionths End	ed June	,	Bend Six I June 2011	efits Months l	Ended June 2010	30,	
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	Six M June 2 2011 (in the	Ionths End 30, ousands)	ed June 2010)	Bend Six I June 2011 (in th	Months lands and sousands)	Ended June 2010	30,	
Service cost	Six M June 2	fonths End 30, pusands)	ed June		Bend Six I June 2011	efits Months l 30, l nousands)	Ended June 2010	30,	
Service cost Interest cost	Six M June 2 2011 (in the	fonths End 30, busands) 200	ed June 2010	207	Bend Six I June 2011 (in th	Months I 30, aousands)	Ended June 2010	30,	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets	Six M June 2 2011 (in the	10nths End (30, busands) 200 (238)	ed June 2010	207 (230)	Bend Six I June 2011 (in th	efits Months I 30, loousands) 6 18	Ended June 2010	30,	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets Amortization of net obligation at transition	Six M June 2 2011 (in the	10nths End 30, busands) 200 (238)	ed June 2010	207 (230)	Bend Six I June 2011 (in th	Months I 30, I 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	Ended June 2010	6 17 9	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets	Six M June 2 2011 (in the	10nths End (30, busands) 200 (238)	ed June 2010	207 (230)	Bend Six I June 2011 (in th	efits Months I 30, loousands) 6 18	Ended June 2010	30,	

Employer Contribution

The company anticipates the 2011 contribution for the pension plan will approximate \$10 thousand and has made this payment as of June 30, 2011. The company has made payments of \$10 thousand for the other postretirement benefit plans for the first six months of 2011 and anticipates remaining payments for 2011 to total \$10 thousand.

The company's defined benefit pension plan was frozen as of October 31, 2009. Benefits of all existing participants stopped accruing and no new participants could be admitted to the plan after that date.

7. Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding and Earnings Per Share

The following shows the weighted average number of shares used in computing earnings per share and the effect on weighted average number of shares of diluted potential common stock. Potential diluted common stock had no effect on the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 earnings per share.

	Three Months Ende	d	Three Months Ende	d	
	June 30, 2011	_	June 30, 2010	_	
		Per Share		Per	
	Average Shares	Amount	Average Shares	Share Amount	
Basic earnings per share	3 390 178	\$.05	3 390 178	\$.13
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options					
Diluted earnings per share	3 390 178	\$.05	3 390 178	\$.13
	Six Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2011		June 30, 2010		
		Per		Per	
	Average Shares	Share Amount	Average Shares	Sha Am	re ount
Basic earnings per share	3 390 178	\$.13	3 390 178	\$.25
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options					
Diluted earnings per share	3 390 178	\$.13	3 390 178	\$.25

For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, stock options representing 120,974 average shares, and for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, stock options representing 126,224 average shares were not included in the calculation of earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." ASU 2010-06 amends Subtopic 820-10 to clarify existing disclosures, require new disclosures, and includes conforming amendments to guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, "Receivables (Topic 310) – Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." The new disclosure guidance significantly expands the existing requirements and will lead to greater transparency into a company's exposure to credit losses from lending arrangements. The extensive new disclosures of information as of the end of a reporting period became effective for both interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Specific disclosures regarding activity that occurred before the issuance of the ASU, such as the allowance roll forward and modification disclosures, will be required for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The company has included the required disclosures in its consolidated financial statements.

The Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Final Rule No. 33-9002, "Interactive Data to Improve Financial Reporting." The rule requires companies to submit financial statements in extensible business reporting language (XBRL) format with their SEC filings on a phased-in schedule. Large accelerated filers and foreign large accelerated filers using U.S. GAAP were required to provide interactive data reports starting with their first quarterly report for fiscal periods ending on or after June 15, 2010. All remaining filers are required to provide interactive data reports starting with their first quarterly report for fiscal periods ending on or after June 15, 2011. The required disclosures will be presented as an exhibit in an amended filing.

8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In March 2011, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 114. This SAB revises or rescinds portions of the interpretive guidance included in the codification of the Staff Accounting Bulletin Series. This update is intended to make the relevant interpretive guidance consistent with current authoritative accounting guidance issued as a part of the FASB's Codification. The principal changes involve revision or removal of accounting guidance references and other conforming changes to ensure consistency of referencing through the SAB Series. The effective date for SAB 114 is March 28, 2011. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, "Receivables (Topic 310) – A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." The amendments in this ASU clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession to a debtor. They also clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulty. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Retrospective application to the beginning of the annual period of adoption for modifications occurring on or after the beginning of the annual adoption period is required. As a result of applying these amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered to be impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The company has adopted ASU 2011-02 and included the required disclosures in its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) – Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." The amendments in this ASU remove from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-03 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) – Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." This ASU is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and IASB to develop a single, converged fair value framework on how (not when) to measure fair value and what disclosures to provide about fair value measurements. The ASU is largely consistent with existing fair value measurement principles in U.S. GAAP (Topic 820), with many of the amendments made to eliminate unnecessary wording differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 with prospective application. Early application is not permitted. The company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-04 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) – Presentation of Comprehensive Income." The objective of this ASU is to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The single statement of comprehensive income should include the components of net income, a total for net income, the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present all the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income, the option for an entity to present components of other comprehensive income either net of related tax effects or before related tax effects, or the calculation or reporting of earnings per share. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. The amendments are effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require transition disclosures. Adoption of ASU 2011-05 is not expected to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

9. Fair Value Measurements

Determination of Fair Value

The company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments for certain assets and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of FASB ASC, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The recent fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with this guidance, the company groups its financial assets and financial liabilities generally measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

Level 1—Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities generally include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2—Valuation is based on inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. The valuation may be based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3—Valuation is based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the company in estimating fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and Short-Term Investments

The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values based on the short-term nature of the assets.

Securities

Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using

pricing models that consider observable market data (Level 2).

9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Loans

For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for other loans were estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered.

FHLB Stock

The carrying amounts of FHLB stock approximate fair value based on redemption provisions of the FHLB.

Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI)

The carrying amounts of BOLI approximate fair value.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity fixed rate certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amounts of borrowings under repurchase agreements and federal funds purchased approximate fair value.

FHLB Advances

The fair values of the company's FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the company's incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Accrued Interest

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of loan commitments and standby-letters of credit was immaterial. Therefore, they have not been included in the following table.

9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the company's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 20	11	December 3	1, 2010
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Amount	Value	Amount	Value
	(in thousa	nds)	(in thousar	nds)
Financial assets:				
Cash	\$ 10 052	\$ 10 052	\$ 10 180	\$ 10 180
Federal funds sold	725	725	2 725	2 725
Securities available for sale	55 738	55 738	42 690	42 690
Loans, net	206 028	203 878	214 238	212 200
Loans held for sale			76	76
FHLB stock	690	690	765	765
BOLI	6 813	6 813	6 397	6 397
Accrued interest receivable	902	902	960	960
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 258 705	\$ 259 905	\$ 257 422	\$ 258 240
Securities sold under				
agreements to repurchase	9 125	9 125	7 382	7 382
FHLB advances	2 122	2 142	2 717	2 734
Accrued interest payable	273	273	361	361

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents the balances (in thousands) of financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

				Using ed s		urements at		
	Dale	ance as	for		Otl	ner	Sig	nificant
	of	ance as	Ident	ical	Ob	servable	Uno	observable
	June	e 30	Asset (Leve		Inp	outs	Inp (Le	
Description	201	1	1)		(Le	evel 2)	3)	
Available for sale debt securities								
U.S. Government sponsored								
agency securities	\$	47 976	\$		\$	47 976	\$	
State and municipal securities		6 788				6 788		
Total available for sale debt securities		54 764				54 764		
Available for sale equity securities								
Financial services industry		974				974		

Total available for sale securities \$ 55 738 \$ -- \$ 55 738 \$ --

22

9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

			31,	2010 U		urements a	t Dece	mber
			Act		Sig	gnificant		
			Ma: for	rkets	Ot	her	C:~	nificant
	Ba	lance as	101		Οι	ner	Sig	nificant
	of		Ide	ntical	Ob	servable	Uno	observable
		cember						
	31		Ass		Inp	outs	Inp	
Description	20	10	(Le	vel	(T	1 2)	(Le	vel
Description	20.	10	1)		(L	evel 2)	3)	
Available for sale debt securities								
U.S. Government sponsored								
agency securities	\$	36 288	\$		\$	36 288	\$	
State and municipal securities		5 524				5 524		
Tatal annibile for all dale accomition		41.012				41.010		
Total available for sale debt securities		41 812				41 812		
Available for sale equity securities								
Financial services industry		878				878		
Total available for sale securities	\$	42 690	\$		\$	42 690	\$	

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the company to measure certain assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements:

Loans held for sale: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. These loans currently consist of one-to-four family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale (Level 2). As such, the company records any fair value adjustments on a nonrecurring basis. No nonrecurring fair value adjustments were recorded on loans held for sale during the periods ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Gains and losses on the sale of loans are recorded within other operating income on the consolidated statements of income.

Impaired Loans: Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is likely that some amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement may not be collected. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Fair value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing the loans. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing an income or market valuation approach based on an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser outside of the company using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the real estate property is over two years old, then the fair value is considered Level 3. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business' financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivable are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans allocated to the Allowance for Loan Losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the

consolidated statements of income.

Other Real Estate Owned: Certain assets such as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at fair value less cost to sell. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing an income or market valuation approach based on an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser outside of the company using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the real estate property is over two years old, then the fair value is considered Level 3.

9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following table summarizes the company's financial assets that were measured at fair value (in thousands) on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	Balance as of June 30,	Carrying Val Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Input	Significant Unobservable Input
Description	2011	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets				
Impaired loans with a valuation allowance	\$ 7 226	\$	\$ 7 072	\$ 154
OREO	7 501		7 501	
		Carrying Val Quoted Prices	ue at December 31,	2010
		in Active Markets	Significant	G: :C
		for	Other	Significant
	Balance as	Identical	Observable	Unobservable
	of December	Assets	Input	Input
Description	31, 2010	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets				
Impaired loans with a	ф. 11.022	Ф	ф. 10.503	Ф. 1040
valuation allowance	\$ 11 933	\$	\$ 10 593	\$ 1340
OREO	6 563		6 563	

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

The company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The financial information contained within our statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or relieving a liability. We use historical loss factors as one factor in determining the inherent loss that may be present in our loan portfolio. Actual losses could differ significantly from the historical factors that we use. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method. Although the economics of our transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact our transactions could change.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of the losses that may be sustained in our loan portfolio. The allowance is based on two basic principles of accounting: (1) losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and are capable of estimation and (2) losses be accrued based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market and the loan balance.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as loss, doubtful or substandard. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects that margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

The second quarter of 2011 has been what one might call "more of the same." The local economy has remained relatively unchanged. The housing market and unemployment continue to be a drag on the local economy. Management has counteracted the reductions in loan income by increasing the investment portfolio and reducing expenses wherever possible.

We continue to pursue potential loan candidates and are vigilantly marketing our current inventory of foreclosed properties. It is management's opinion that one of the key elements to improving our situation and that of the local economy is to reduce this housing inventory currently owned by financial institutions. As these inventories are liquidated, the real estate market should begin to rebound to a more "normal" market. We expect this economic growth to be slow and do not expect the real estate market to return to the level experienced during the first seven years of this century.

Total assets have increased \$3.2 million or 1.1% from the December 31, 2010 total of \$297.6 million to \$300.8 million at June, 2011. Though this change is relatively small, the movement within the assets is more significant. Net loans have decreased approximately \$8.2 million from the December 31, 2010 total of \$214.2 million to \$206 million at June 30, 2011 due to minimal loan demand, the pay down of existing loans, loan charge-offs and the transfer of loans to OREO. Other real estate, net of valuation allowance, has increased \$938 thousand. With the decrease in loans, excess funds of \$13 million have been invested in securities in order to get more yield than if invested in Federal funds or leaving funds in the Federal Reserve account.

Total deposits have increased \$1.3 million or .5% at June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. Interest-bearing deposits have increased .6% during the first half of 2011, with non-interest bearing deposits remaining flat.

The June 30, 2011 annualized return on average assets is .28% compared to .59% at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011 the annualized return on average equity is 3.15% compared to 6.70% at December 31, 2010.

The recent debate in Congress regarding the national debt ceiling, federal budget deficit concerns and overall weakness in the economy recently resulted in a downgrade of U.S. government securities by Standard and Poor's, one of the three major credit rating agencies. This downgrade and the possible future downgrade by one or both of the other two major ratings agencies could create uncertainty in the U.S. and global financial markets. These actions could cause other events which, directly or indirectly, may adversely affect the company's operations, earnings and financial condition.

The following table is an analysis of the company's allowance for loan losses with amounts shown in thousands. Management monitors the loan portfolio on a continual basis with procedures that allow for problem loans and potentially problem loans to be highlighted and watched. Written reports are prepared on a monthly basis for all loans including commercial loans graded below a certain level and are reported to the Board of Directors on a monthly basis. Based on experience, these loan policies and the bank's grading and review system, management believes the loan loss allowance is adequate.

	Jun- 201	e 30,	Dec 31, 201		Jun 201	ne 30,
Balance at beginning of period	\$	5 012	\$	5 718	\$	5 718
Charge-offs:						
Commercial, financial and agricultural		12		313		
Real estate – construction		581		775		406
Real estate – mortgage		922		1 245		487
Consumer		76		293		141
Total charge-offs		1 591		2 626		1 034
Recoveries:						
Commercial, financial and agricultural		11		25		
Real estate – construction		5				
Real estate – mortgage		12		95		8
Consumer		65		201		114
Total recoveries		93		321		122
Net charge-offs		1 498		2 305		912
Provision charged to operations		599		1 599		771
Balance at end of period	\$	4 113	\$	5 012	\$	5 577
Ratio of net charge-offs (annualized) during the period						
to average loans outstanding during the period		1.40%		1.01%		.80%

As a result of the regular loan reviews by management, the allowance for loan losses decreased when comparing data as of June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2010. Many of the loans foreclosed upon or currently in nonaccrual status are related to the real estate industry. In comparing data for the loan loss reserve for the June 30, 2011 quarter end to December 31, 2010 information, the reserve has decreased. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses is partially due to loans with specific reserves being charged off. Management continues to review these assets on a regular basis.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest is delinquent for 90 days or more. Interest income generally is not recognized on specific impaired loans unless the likelihood of further loss is remote. Interest income on other nonaccrual loans is recognized only to the extent of interest payments received. The majority of the current nonaccrual loans as shown in the chart below are in the process of collection. Following is a table showing the risk elements in the loan portfolio with amounts in thousands.

Nonperforming Assets	Jui 20	ne 30,	De 31,	,	Jur 201	ne 30,
Nonaccrual loans	\$	4 299	\$	2 233	\$	3 094
Troubled debt restructures (1)						
Foreclosed properties		7 501		6 563		6 647
Total nonperforming assets	\$	11 800	\$	8 796	\$	9 741
Performing troubled debt restructures (2)	\$	2 976	\$		\$	
Loans past due 90 days accruing interest	\$		\$		\$	

Allowance for loan losses to period end loans	1.96%	2.29%	2.46%
Nonperforming assets to period end loans and			
foreclosed properties, net	5.42%	3.90%	4.17%
Performing troubled debt restructures to period end loans	1.42%	%	%

- (1) Currently there are no TDR's in non-performing assets.
- (2) Performing troubled debt restructures are restructured loans that are performing in accordance with the modified terms of the loan agreement.

26

At June 30, 2011, other potential problem loans total \$2.1 million. Loans are viewed as potential problem loans according to the ability of such borrowers to comply with current repayment terms. These loans include loans to customers currently in bankruptcy proceedings but continuing to make payments as agreed and customer loans that show potential weakness if corrective action is not taken. These loans are subject to constant management attention, and their status is reviewed on a regular basis.

The details of the income statements for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are highlighted below.

- Ø Year to date net income in 2011 is 50% less than the 2010 net income. Quarterly income is 60.5% below the June quarter 2010 and 32.7% below the March 2011 quarter. The loan portfolio has decreased as the result of foreclosures and normal run off. Decline in property values have further affected the net income as other real estate write downs have been charged against income. In addition there were net gains on sale of other real estate in 2010 compared to net losses in 2011.
- Ø At June 30, 2011, year to date total interest and dividend income is down 10% compared to June 30, 2010. A comparison of quarterly interest and dividend income provides a reduction of 11.3%. In each scenario the reasons for the decrease in income is the same. Loan yields are down slightly over the past year and the loan portfolio has decreased. As of June 30 there are also \$4.3 million loans on nonaccrual status. The reduction in income is created by the decrease in loans and some decrease in rates. As of June 30, 2011 loans are down 7.4% compared to June 30, 2010.
- Ø At June 30, 2011, year to date interest expense was 22.4% below 2010 expense for the same time period. The quarterly results were similar. Interest expense decreases 25.9% and 15.2% when compared to the June 2010 and March 2011 quarters, respectively. The decrease in expense is primarily due to a reduction in interest rates.
- Ø Net interest margin at June 30, 2011 is 3.41%, down from the December 31, 2010 figure of 3.56% and June 30, 2010 figure of 3.53%. During the first six months of 2011, the overall average rate on loans dropped from 5.70% at December 31, 2010 to 5.55% at June 30, 2011. The overall rate on loans during the first six months of 2010 was 5.73%. During this same period the overall average rate being paid on deposits decreased to 1.40% from 1.68% at December 31, 2010 and 1.77% at June 30, 2010.

Noninterest income decreased 7.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010. Noninterest income decreased 6.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010 and increased 8.4% when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Some significant income items are listed here.

- Ø At June 30, 2011 there is an overall loss on sale of OREO compared to an overall gain at June 30, 2010. This results in a decrease of 149.3% in the gain or loss on sale of OREO account.
- Ø Visa and Mastercard fees have increased 12.2%. The increase is due to an overall increase in consumer spending and the likelihood that consumers continue to get more comfortable with using credit/debit cards versus cash.

Year to date total noninterest expense increased about 11.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The quarterly results were increases of 23% and 19.9% over the June 2010 and March 2011 quarters, respectively. Some details are listed below.

- Ø Other professional fees have increased 38.2 % on a year to date comparison. The increase over the three months ended June 30, 2010 is 39.1%. The increase over the three months ended March 31, 2011 is 113.3%. The primary reasons include a payment to our vendor for SEC filings and design fees related to materials produced for the subsidiary bank's 140th anniversary celebration. Both of these expense items were incurred during the June 30, 2011 quarter.
- Ø ATM and check card expenses have increased 13.3% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same time period in 2010. The increase jumps to 15.5% when compared to each of the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2011. The increase is due to the purchase of card stock (which generally occurs on a bi-annual basis) as well as an increase in the number of cards being reissued because of fraud, damage or loss by the customer.
- Ø The additional write down of other real estate has increased by \$267 thousand over the same period in 2010. This is the result of falling property values.

Ø Other operating expenses have increased 17.5% at June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010. The significant contributing factors are outlined below.

- Trust investing outsourcing has increased 27.4%. The increase is attributed to an increase in the value of assets and some increase in numbers of accounts being managed by our vendor.
- Fees related to the maintenance of the Smart Checking product have increased 69.3%. The difference is partially due to some timing issues related to payments in early 2010 as well as to increases in the customer base for the Smart Checking product which increased overall costs.
- Postage has increased 17%. The primary reason for the increase is an increase in the postage costs charged by the outsourcing vendor over that which we were paying for processing the mailings internally.
- Miscellaneous real estate expense has increased 74%. The increase is due to several reasons. The bank has had a large volume of appraisals prepared to assess the adequacy of collateral values as compared to loan amounts. The bank has also had expenses for properties in process of foreclosure. When these properties are foreclosed, these costs are reclassified to foreclosed property expense.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity is a measure of the Bank's ability to respond to sudden changes in funding needs or funding sources. Examples of sudden changes could involve a sudden increase in loan demand, a funding need, or it might involve a large decrease in deposited funds, a funding source. The role of cash management is to manage assets and liabilities so that the Bank can respond to such fluctuations in sources and uses of cash. Management spends much of its time assessing our liquidity position.

Management is informed of the liquidity information via reports and committee discussion. The president is provided a weekly "dashboard" report of our liquidity information. The Asset/Liability Committee reviews and discusses our liquidity position on a quarterly basis. The committee has set a benchmark minimum liquidity ratio of 15%. Management has recently worked on a strategy to free up some pledged assets for liquidity purposes.

Public funds are required to have collateral pledged against their balances above the FDIC insurance limits. Generally the bank has pledged securities or obtained letters of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh to cover public funds. Two additional strategies to cover these funds are now being used. In the case of public funds in the form of CDs, the bank is utilizing the CDARS network to insure their funds. We are also using the Insured Cash Sweep (ICS) product to secure public funds. Both of the programs provide complete coverage through FDIC insurance. Most importantly, the securities that had been pledged against the public funds can be used as a source of cash if the need would arise. The securities are effectively converted from a non liquid asset to a liquid asset.

Liquid assets of the company include cash and due from banks, securities purchased under agreements to resell, federal funds sold, securities available for sale, and loans and investments maturing within one year. The company's statement of cash flows details this liquidity since January 1, 2011.

Operating Activities. The company's net income usually provides cash from the bank's operating activities. The net income figure is adjusted for certain noncash transactions such as depreciation expense that reduces net income but does not require a cash outlay. During 2011, the net income as adjusted has provided cash of \$1.8 million. Interest income earned on loans and investment securities is the company's major income source.

Investing Activities. Customer core deposits and company noncore funding provide the funds used to invest in loans and investment securities. In addition, the principal portion of loan payments, loan payoffs and maturity of investment securities provide cash flow. Purchases of bank premises and equipment are an investing activity. We have taken advantage of our noncore funding capabilities since deposit growth is not always sufficient. The net amount of cash used in investing activities in 2011 is \$6.4 million.

Financing Activities. Customer core deposits and company noncore funding provide the financing for the investing activities as stated above. If the company has an excess of funds on any given day, the bank will sell these funds to make additional interest income to fund activities. Likewise, if the company has a shortage of funds on any given day it will purchase funds and pay interest for the use of these funds. Financing activities also include payment of dividends to shareholders, purchase of shares of the company's common stock for the treasury and repayment of any noncore funding. The net amount of cash provided by financing activities in 2011 is \$2.4 million.

At June 30, 2011, cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions, securities purchased under agreements to resell, federal funds sold and loans and securities maturing within one year were \$38.1 million.

Noncore funding capabilities, including borrowing, provide additional liquidity. The subsidiary bank maintains a federal funds line with one financial institution and is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh. In March 2010, the subsidiary bank modified a \$3 million borrowing amortizing over three years from the Federal Home Loan Bank. In July 2009 the subsidiary bank secured a credit line with the Federal Reserve discount window. At June 30, 2011, the subsidiary bank has total credit available through these institutions of approximately \$28.4 million.

ANALYSIS OF CAPITAL

The adequacy of the company's capital is reviewed by management on an ongoing basis in terms of the size, composition, and quality of the company's asset and liability levels, and consistency with regulatory requirements and industry standards. Management seeks to maintain a capital structure that will assure an adequate level of capital to support anticipated asset growth and absorb potential losses.

The Federal Reserve, the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have adopted capital guidelines to supplement the existing definitions of capital for regulatory purposes and to establish minimum capital standards. Specifically, the guidelines categorize assets and off-balance sheet items into four risk-weighted categories. The minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8.0%, of which at least 4.0% must be tier 1 capital, composed of common equity, retained earnings and a limited amount of perpetual preferred stock, less certain goodwill items.

The Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio (leverage capital ratio) is 9.02% at June 30, 2011 compared to 9.36% at December 31, 2010. The Tier 1 capital to total risk weighted assets ratio is 12.59% at June 30, 2011 compared to 12.97% at December 31, 2010. The total capital to risk weighted assets ratio is 13.85% at June 30, 2011 compared to 14.24% at December 31, 2010. All capital ratios are within the regulatory guidelines.

	June 30, 201	1		
			Minimum Capital	
	Actual		Requiremen	t
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 30 268	13.85%	\$ 17 483	8.00%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 27 520	12.59%	\$ 8741	4.00%
Tier 1 capital to average assets	\$ 27 520	9.02%	\$ 12 202	4.00%
	December 31	1. 2010		
	Actual	., _0.10	Minimum Capital Requirement	t
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 30 798	14.24%	\$ 17 306	8.00%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 28 065	12.97%	\$ 8 653	4.00%
Tier 1 capital to average assets	\$ 28 065	9.36%	\$ 11 992	4.00%

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the date of this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective. There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

Disclosure controls and procedures are our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

There are no material legal proceedings to which the Registrant or its subsidiary, directors or officers is a party or by which they, or any of them, are threatened. All legal proceedings presently pending or threatened against Potomac Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiary involve routine litigation incidental to the business of the company or the subsidiary and are either not material in respect to the amount in controversy or fully covered by insurance.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

			(c) Total Number of Shares	
	(a) Total		Purchased as	(d) Maximum Number
	Number of	(b) Average	Part of Publicly	of Shares that May
	Shares	Price Paid	Announced	Yet be Purchased
Period	Purchased	Per Share	Programs	Under the Program
April 1 through				
April 30	NONE		283 553	62 515
May 1 through				
May 31	NONE		283 553	62 515
June 1 through				
June 30	NONE		283 553	62 515

On February 12, 2002, the company's Board of Directors originally authorized the repurchase program. The program authorized the repurchase of up to 10% of the company's stock over the next twelve months. The stock may be purchased in the open market and/or in privately negotiated transactions as management and the board of directors determine prudent. The program has been extended on annual basis at Potomac's reorganization meeting.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

(b) There have been no changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors since the registrant last provided disclosure in response to Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A.

Item 6. Exhibits	
31.1	Certification Under Exchange Act Rule 13a-14, Chief Executive Officer (and Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
31.2	Certification Under Exchange Act Rule 13a-14, Chief Financial Officer (and Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)
	31

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

POTOMAC BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: August 15, 2011 /s/ Robert F. Baronner, Jr.

Robert F. Baronner, Jr. President & CEO

Date: August 15, 2011 /s/ Gayle Marshall Johnson

Gayle Marshall Johnson

Sr. Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

32