

STRATASYS INC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-13400

STRATASYS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

36-3658792
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

14950 Martin Drive, Eden Prairie, Minnesota
(Address of principal executive offices)

55344
(Zip Code)

(952) 937-3000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YesNo

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YesNo

As of July 27, 2006, the Registrant had 10,173,242 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value issued and outstanding.

Stratasys, Inc.

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Part I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements</u> | 5 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 7 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 17 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 17 |
| Part II. <u>Other Information</u> | |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 18 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 18 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 19 |

Item 1. Financial Statements

STRATASYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,797,272 | \$ 10,105,199 |
| Short-term investments | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for returns and doubtful accounts of \$1,100,506 in 2006 and \$1,584,149 in 2005 | 25,557,581 | 20,019,177 |
| Inventories | 13,415,579 | 10,887,198 |
| Net investment in sales-type leases | 2,494,885 | 2,036,386 |
| Prepaid expenses | 1,252,456 | 2,289,173 |
| Deferred income taxes | 597,000 | 597,000 |
| | <u>74,114,773</u> | <u>65,934,133</u> |
| Property and equipment, net | <u>18,759,156</u> | <u>17,294,575</u> |
| Other assets | | |
| Intangible assets, net | 4,654,912 | 4,380,193 |
| Net investment in sales-type leases | 3,272,006 | 3,143,157 |
| Deferred income taxes | 392,000 | 392,000 |
| Long-term investments | 8,994,070 | 11,297,550 |
| Other | 2,361,400 | 2,237,985 |
| | <u>19,674,388</u> | <u>21,450,885</u> |
| | <u>\$ 112,548,317</u> | <u>\$ 104,679,593</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | \$ 9,782,655 | \$ 9,545,265 |
| Unearned maintenance revenue | 9,755,232 | 8,865,253 |
| | <u>19,537,887</u> | <u>18,410,518</u> |
| Commitments | | |
| Stockholders equity | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 15,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 12,344,771 shares in 2006 and 12,287,205 shares in 2005 | 123,447 | 122,872 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 74,118,546 | 72,465,952 |
| Retained earnings | 35,747,126 | 30,795,945 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (187,594) | (324,599) |
| Less cost of treasury stock, 2,171,529 shares in 2006 and 2005, respectively | (16,791,095) | (16,791,095) |
| | <u>93,010,430</u> | <u>86,269,075</u> |
| | <u>\$ 112,548,317</u> | <u>\$ 104,679,593</u> |

See notes to consolidated interim financial statements.

STRATASYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (unaudited) | (unaudited) | (unaudited) | (unaudited) |
| Net Sales | | | | |
| Product | \$ 21,677,819 | \$ 16,904,541 | \$ 39,221,145 | \$ 31,727,712 |
| Services | 5,021,466 | 3,880,404 | 9,701,235 | 7,920,052 |
| | <u>26,699,285</u> | <u>20,784,945</u> | <u>48,922,380</u> | <u>39,647,764</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | | | | |
| Product | 10,555,355 | 7,466,598 | 19,588,928 | 13,764,804 |
| Sales | 1,546,319 | 1,129,328 | 2,937,067 | 2,319,505 |
| | <u>12,101,674</u> | <u>8,595,926</u> | <u>22,525,995</u> | <u>16,084,309</u> |
| Gross profit | <u>14,597,611</u> | <u>12,189,019</u> | <u>26,396,385</u> | <u>23,563,455</u> |
| Costs and expenses | | | | |
| Research and development | 1,857,945 | 1,687,140 | 3,291,521 | 3,073,728 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 8,605,360 | 6,853,228 | 16,147,863 | 13,446,914 |
| | <u>10,463,305</u> | <u>8,540,368</u> | <u>19,439,384</u> | <u>16,520,642</u> |
| Operating income | <u>4,134,306</u> | <u>3,648,651</u> | <u>6,957,001</u> | <u>7,042,813</u> |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 389,683 | 438,104 | 749,183 | 815,582 |
| Other | (62,027) | (342,912) | (181,590) | (311,453) |
| | <u>327,656</u> | <u>95,192</u> | <u>567,593</u> | <u>504,129</u> |
| Income before income taxes | <u>4,461,962</u> | <u>3,743,843</u> | <u>7,524,594</u> | <u>7,546,942</u> |
| Income taxes | <u>1,525,991</u> | <u>852,681</u> | <u>2,573,413</u> | <u>2,259,828</u> |
| Net income | <u>\$ 2,935,971</u> | <u>\$ 2,891,162</u> | <u>\$ 4,951,181</u> | <u>\$ 5,287,114</u> |
| Earnings per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.51 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.49 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 10,161,391 | 10,451,351 | 10,142,479 | 10,451,374 |
| Diluted | 10,463,490 | 10,779,798 | 10,390,091 | 10,812,319 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | | | |
| Net income | <u>\$ 2,935,971</u> | <u>\$ 2,891,162</u> | <u>\$ 4,951,181</u> | <u>\$ 5,287,114</u> |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 82,676 | (202,038) | 137,005 | (264,510) |
| Comprehensive income | <u>\$ 3,018,647</u> | <u>\$ 2,689,124</u> | <u>\$ 5,088,186</u> | <u>\$ 5,022,604</u> |

See notes to consolidated interim financial statements.

STRATASYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (unaudited) | (unaudited) |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,951,181 | \$ 5,287,114 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 342,637 | 105,195 |
| Depreciation | 1,302,284 | 1,077,428 |
| Amortization | 479,553 | 381,525 |
| Stock--based compensation | 696,992 | |
| Loss on disposal of assets | | 43,081 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash attributable to changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | (5,538,404) | (4,223,185) |
| Inventories | (2,503,785) | (2,525,546) |
| Net investments in sales-type leases | (587,348) | (94,088) |
| Prepaid expenses | 1,036,717 | (780,347) |
| Other assets | (123,415) | 109,015 |
| Accounts payable and other current liabilities | 237,390 | 3,120,001 |
| Unearned maintenance revenue | 889,979 | 300,279 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,183,781 | 2,800,472 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | 4,688,140 | 15,525,660 |
| Purchase of investments | (2,384,660) | (2,895,000) |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (2,791,461) | (2,130,730) |
| Payments for intangible assets | (754,272) | (716,905) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (1,242,253) | 9,783,025 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net proceeds from exercise of stock options | 613,540 | 183,099 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (690,362) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 613,540 | (507,263) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 137,005 | (264,510) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 692,073 | 11,811,724 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 10,105,199 | 17,729,845 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 10,797,272 | \$ 29,541,569 |

See notes to consolidated interim financial statements.

STRATASYS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (unaudited) | (unaudited) |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information, cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Income taxes | \$ 3,529,186 | \$ 1,517,617 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Inventory transferred from machinery and equipment | \$ 24,596 | \$ 121,050 |

See notes to consolidated interim financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

Note 1-- Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements of Strataysys, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) as of June 30, 2006 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with the instructions of the Form 10-Q and Article 10 Regulation S-X. The financial information herein is unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting of normal, recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim period. The results of operations for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Certain financial information and footnote disclosure normally included in the consolidated interim financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. The reader is referred to the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for such year.

Note 2-- Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following at June 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005 respectively:

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2005</u> |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 7,661,216 | \$ 4,268,544 |
| Raw materials | 5,754,363 | 6,618,654 |
| | <u>\$ 13,415,579</u> | <u>\$ 10,887,198</u> |

Note 3-- Commitments

The Company has signed commitments with several vendors for fixed delivery of selected inventory expected to be supplied in the ensuing twelve-month period. These commitments amount to approximately \$9,000,000, some of which contain non-cancellation clauses.

Note 4--Earnings Per Share

The Company complies with the accounting and reporting requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings Per Share. The difference between the number of shares used to compute basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share relates to additional shares to be issued upon the assumed exercise of stock options and warrants, net of shares hypothetically repurchased at the average market price with the proceeds of exercise. For the three-months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the additional shares amounted to 302,099 and 360,945, respectively. For the six-months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the additional shares amounted to 231,809 and 328,447, respectively.

Note --5 Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has various stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders. Effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123R) to expense stock-based compensation. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company accounted for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), and related interpretations. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective method and, accordingly, financial statement amounts for prior periods presented in this Form 10-Q have not been restated to reflect the fair value method of recognizing compensation cost relating to stock options. There was approximately \$284,000 and \$697,000 of compensation cost related to stock options recognized in operating results (included in selling, general and administrative expenses) in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. The associated future income tax benefit recognized was approximately \$57,000 and \$169,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior. The expected term represents an

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

estimate of the time options are expected to remain outstanding. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company recorded forfeitures as they occurred for purposes of estimating pro forma compensation expense under APB 25. The impact of forfeitures is not material. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. treasury yield in effect at the time of grant. No options were granted in the six months ended June 30, 2006.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per common share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005:

| | Period ended June 30, 2005, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Six Months | Three Months |
| Net income as reported | \$ 5,287,114 | \$ 2,891,162 |
| Effect of stock-based compensation accounted for under the fair value recognition provisions, net of tax | (4,320,000) | (288,000) |
| Pro forma net income | 967,114 | 2,603,162 |
| Earnings per common share: | | |
| Basic, as reported | 0.51 | 0.28 |
| Basic, pro forma | 0.09 | 0.25 |
| Diluted, as reported | 0.49 | 0.27 |
| Diluted, pro forma | 0.09 | 0.24 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

We are a leader in the rapid prototyping (RP) and the three-dimensional (3D) printing market. We develop, manufacture, market, and service a family of 3D printers and high-performance RP systems that enable engineers and designers to create physical models, tooling and prototypes out of plastic and other materials directly from a computer-aided design (CAD) workstation. Our 3D printers and high performance RP systems can be used in office environments without expensive facility modification.

In many industries, the models and prototypes required in product development are produced laboriously by hand-sculpting or machining, a traditional process that can take days or weeks. Our computerized modeling systems use our proprietary technology to make models and prototypes directly from a designer's three-dimensional CAD in a matter of hours. In addition to selling RP systems and 3D printers, we make and sell parts for RP and rapid manufacturing (RM) applications through our Paid Parts service based on our customers' CAD files.

In the quarter ended June 30, 2006, our revenues increased to approximately \$26.7 million, a 28% increase over the approximately \$20.8 million that we reported in the second quarter of 2005. The number of units that we shipped in the quarter increased by approximately 50% to 527 units as compared with 351 units shipped in the second quarter of 2005. The higher shipment level was due to a continuing strong interest in our Dimension systems. Based on industry reports, we believe that since 2002 we have shipped more total RP systems than any other company in the world. Revenues derived from our consumable products increased significantly in the quarter ended June 30, 2006, as compared with the quarter ended June 30, 2005. We believe that our consumable sales should increase in future quarters due to the significant expansion of our active installed base over the past several years.

We believe that we are successfully implementing our marketing strategy by addressing the needs of both the high-performance and 3D printing ends of the market. Our sales growth in the first six months of 2006 was derived from a number of industries, including consumer products, government agencies, educational institutions, electronics, general manufacturing, medical, automotive, and aerospace. Our strategy for the remainder of 2006 will be to continue to expand our position in the 3D printing market through increased sales of our Dimension product line, our low-cost 3D printers. We strategically reduced the list price of the Dimension SST in January 2006 from \$29,900 to \$24,900 and we reduced the price of the Dimension BST from \$24,900 to \$18,900. In April 2006, we introduced the new Dimension 768 SST and BST at list prices of \$24,900 and \$18,900, respectively. The Dimension 768 models are replacements for the previous Dimension SST and BST and offer increased software design and ease-of-use features. In April 2006, we also launched the Dimension 1200 SST and BST at list prices of \$29,900 and \$21,900, respectively. The Dimension 1200 has a 56% larger building capacity than the Dimension 768 and is 20% faster. We believe that Dimension 768 BST at \$18,900 is among the lowest priced systems in the RP market. We believe that the 3D printing market continues to represent a significant growth area and that Dimension products will continue to have a significant positive impact on our results in 2006 and beyond.

With the introduction of the Dimension SST in February 2004, we initiated a highly successful distributor program involving the reseller's purchasing demonstration systems with extended payment terms on both the Dimension SST and Dimension BST. While the program impacted our accounts receivable days sales outstanding (DSO) during a portion of 2004 and 2005, it proved an effective tool in promoting and selling our systems. Given the success of the program in the past, we offered a similar program in 2006. Again, this program adversely impacted our DSO in the first half of 2006 as we expected. However, we believe our distributor program is an integral part of our strategy to expand the 3D printing market.

Our strategy also includes the expansion of our position in the RP market through the growth of our high performance systems, represented principally by our Titan, Vantage, and Maxum FDM® (fused deposition modeling) based systems, as well as the Eden PolyJet and Arcam EBM systems that we distribute for other companies. In January 2006, we announced a distribution agreement with Arcam AB to exclusively distribute their metal-based rapid manufacturing and prototyping systems in North America. In February 2006, we added a Vantage X to our FDM Vantage RP system product line previously known as the triplets, which were introduced in 2004. Prices now range from \$85,000 for the base model Vantage to \$195,000 for the fully equipped Vantage SE. As we looked at the high-end productivity system mix in the quarter ended June 30, 2006 compared with the same 2005 period, we saw a shift in our product mix. period, we saw a shift in our product mix. Eden PolyJet sales increased while our internally manufactured high end products revenue declined. We believe this shift is driven by two main factors:

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

New Eden PolyJet product introductions late in 2005 that represent a strong alternative to stereo lithography (SLA) systems.

The lack of substantial new high performance FDM product introductions over the past two years.

In August 2006, we announced that we will discontinue the distribution of the lower-margin Eden PolyJet products effective December 31, 2006. For all of 2006, we expect the sales of Eden Polyjet products and consumables will generate \$9 million to \$13 million in lower-margin revenue. Taking into consideration our plans for future new product introductions and the impact of potential new rapid manufacturing applications, we expect the impact from discontinuing Eden PolyJet products on our high-productivity system business in 2007 to be slightly negative to neutral.

As our installed base has increased, we have derived an increasing amount of revenue from the sales of consumables, maintenance contracts and other services. These represent recurring revenue for us. We expect that this trend will continue.

Gross profits, as a percentage of sales, declined in the second quarter of 2006 to 55% compared with 59% in the second quarter of 2005. The decline was primarily due to a shift in our product mix weighted toward our Dimension systems and the Eden PolyJet systems, which have a lower gross profit than our high-end productivity systems and consumables. We believe the introduction of the Dimension 1200s in April 2006 will have a favorable impact on gross margins relative to those reported in the first half of 2006.

Our 2006 strategy is based on the expectation that we will expand revenues faster than our operating expenses, with the intent to improve our operating income as compared with that recorded in 2005. Our operating expenses grew slower than revenue in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005, however, due to the shift in our product mix during the quarter, operating income declined as a percentage of sales. We will continue to focus on our operating expenses for the remainder of 2006, with the intent to improve our future operating profits. We cannot, however, ensure that we will be successful.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we announced that we received a \$3.6 million order from a Fortune 100 global manufacturing company to advance our proprietary FDM technology for rapid manufacturing applications. This effort is based around our high-performance systems. The agreement includes payments to us over four years as R&D milestones are achieved, as well as payments that are dependent upon future deliverables. R&D payments received offset accelerated R&D efforts aimed at rapid manufacturing advances and are not recognized as revenue. During the three and six-months ended June 30, 2006, we offset approximately \$251,000 and \$582,000, respectively of R&D expenses with monies received from this customer.

Our balance sheet continues to be strong. We intentionally built-up inventory in the quarter of distributed Arcam metal systems in anticipation of orders. As of June 30, 2006, our cash and investment position was approximately \$39.8 million, with no debt. We continue to have a very strong balance sheet and liquidity to fund our 2006 growth strategy.

Our current and future growth is largely dependent upon our ability to penetrate new markets and develop and market new rapid prototyping and manufacturing systems, materials, applications, and services that meet the needs of our current and prospective customers. Our expense levels are based in part on our expectations of future revenues. While we have adjusted, and will continue to adjust, our expense levels based on both actual and anticipated revenues, fluctuations in revenues in a particular period could adversely impact our operating results. Our ability to implement our strategy for 2006 is subject to numerous uncertainties and risks, many of which are described in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in the section below captioned Forward Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations, and in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2005. We cannot ensure that our efforts will be successful.

All dollar amounts set forth in the tables below, except for per share amounts, are stated in thousands of dollars.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated. All items are included in or derived from our consolidated statements of operations.

| | For the three and six-months ended June 30, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Three months | | Six-months | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net sales | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 45.3% | 41.4% | 46.0% | 40.6% |
| Gross profit | 54.7% | 58.6% | 54.0% | 59.4% |
| Selling, general, and administrative | 32.2% | 33.0% | 33.0% | 33.9% |
| Research & development | 7.0% | 8.1% | 6.7% | 7.8% |
| Operating income | 15.5% | 17.6% | 14.3% | 17.8% |
| Other income (expenses) | 1.2% | .4% | 1.1% | 1.2% |
| Income before taxes | 16.7% | 18.0% | 15.4% | 19.0% |
| Income taxes | 5.7% | 4.1% | 5.3% | 5.7% |
| Net income | 11.0% | 13.9% | 10.1% | 13.3% |
| Net Sales | | | | |

Net sales of our products and services and changes in net sales were as follows:

| | Period Ended June 30, | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|
| | Three Months | | | Period-over- Period Change | Six Months | | Period-over- Period Change |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | | 2005 | | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | | | |
| Product | \$ 21,678 | \$ 16,905 | 28% | \$ 39,221 | \$ 31,728 | 24% | |
| Services | 5,021 | 3,880 | 29% | 9,701 | 7,920 | 22% | |
| Net Sales | \$ 26,699 | \$ 20,785 | 29% | \$ 48,922 | \$ 39,648 | 23% | |
| Units sold | 527 | 351 | 50% | 930 | 628 | 48% | |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same year-ago period, the growth in unit volume was greater than the sales increase as the growth in our Dimension systems units was 61% and 59% for the three- and six-month periods, respectively. Dimensions have a lower average selling price than our high-end productivity systems. These increases were somewhat offset by flat revenue from our high-end productivity systems. Revenues from consumables increased 39% and 32% while our revenue from our Paid Parts service increased 75% and 46% in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, as compared with the same 2005 period. Consumable revenue was enhanced by the larger installed base of systems.

North American sales, which include Canada and Mexico, accounted for approximately 60% and 61% of total revenue in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared with approximately 59% and 60% in the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. Total North American sales, which include systems, services, and consumables, grew by approximately 30% and 25% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to the same year-ago periods. International sales grew 30% and 25% for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to the same periods last year.

Whereas we expect to report higher revenues and profits in 2006 over the results achieved in 2005, declining economic conditions could adversely impact our future sales and profitability.

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

Gross Profit

Gross profit and gross profit as a percentage of sales for our products and services, as well as the percentage changes in gross profit were as follows:

| | Period Ended June 30, | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----|---------------------------|------------|------|---------------------------|
| | Three Months | | | Period-over-Period Change | Six Months | | Period-over-Period Change |
| | 2006 | 2005 | | | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Product | \$ 11,123 | \$ 9,438 | 18% | \$ 19,632 | \$ 17,963 | 9% | |
| Services | 3,475 | 2,751 | 26% | 6,764 | 5,600 | 21% | |
| | \$ 14,598 | \$ 12,189 | 20% | \$ 26,396 | \$ 23,563 | 12% | |
| Percent of sales | 54.7% | 58.6% | | 54.0% | 59.4% | | |

The decline in the gross profit percentage for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same 2005 period is a result of a shift in our product mix. As part of our long-term strategy and belief in the price elasticity of demand model, in January 2006 we decreased the selling price of our Dimension SST and BST systems by \$5,000 and \$6,000, respectively. During 2006, a higher portion of our system sales were derived from our Dimension and PolyJet products, which generate a lower gross profit percentage relative to our internally manufactured high-end productivity systems. These negative impacts were somewhat offset by strong growth in our consumables revenues.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses and operating expense as a percentage of sales, as well as the percentage change in operating expenses were as follows:

| | Period Ended June 30, | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|-----|---------------------------|------------|------|---------------------------|
| | Three Months | | | Period-over-Period Change | Six Months | | Period-over-Period Change |
| | 2006 | 2005 | | | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Research & development | \$ 1,858 | \$ 1,687 | 10% | \$ 3,291 | \$ 3,074 | 7% | |
| Selling, general & administrative expenses | 8,605 | 6,853 | 26% | 16,148 | 13,447 | 20% | |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 10,463 | \$ 8,540 | 23% | \$ 19,439 | \$ 16,521 | 18% | |
| Percentage of Sales | 39.2% | 41.1% | | 39.7% | 41.7 % | | |

We adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R to expense stock-based compensation effective January 1, 2006. There was no modification made to outstanding share options or valuation methodology prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for employee stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB No. 25. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, selling, general and administrative expenses include approximately \$284,000 and \$697,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. There was no similar stock-based compensation expense recorded in 2005. Exclusive of the stock-based compensation, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$8,321 and \$15,451, or 31.2% and 31.6% of sales, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. This represents an increase of 21% and 15% over the same year-ago three and six-month periods. Selling, general and administrative expenses declined as a percentage of sales as we successfully obtained some operating leverage on the higher sales volume.

Research and development increased by 10% over the previous year as we remain committed to designing new products and materials, reducing costs on existing products, and improving the quality and reliability of all of our platforms. Increases were primarily the result of increases in engineering headcounts partially offset by an increase in internally capitalized software of \$257,000 and \$652,000 for the three and six months

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

ended June 30, 2006, respectively. This compares to \$313,000 and \$642,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005 we announced that we received a \$3.6 million order from a Fortune 100 global manufacturing company to advance our proprietary FDM technology for rapid manufacturing applications.

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

This effort is based around our high-performance systems. The agreement includes payments to us over four years as R&D milestones are achieved, as well as payments that are dependent upon future deliverables. R&D payments received offset accelerated R&D efforts aimed at rapid manufacturing advances and are not recognized as revenue. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we offset approximately \$251,000 and \$584,000, respectively, of R&D expenses with monies received from this customer. As we continue our commitment to R&D throughout 2006, we expect to report higher R&D expenses than we incurred in 2005. However, the increases should still be lower than our revenue growth, which should have the effect of reducing R&D expenses as a percentage of revenue.

Operating Income

Operating income and operating income as a percentage of sales, as well as the percentage change in operating income, were as follows:

| | Period Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| | Three Months | | | Six Months | | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | Period-over- Period Change | 2006 | 2005 | Period-over- Period Change |
| Operating income | \$ 4,134 | \$ 3,649 | 13% | \$ 6,957 | \$ 7,042 | (1)% |
| Percent of sales | 15.5% | 17.6% | | 14.2% | 17.8% | |

Primarily due to the increase in net sales, and the other reasons cited above, for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared with the same year-ago period, operating income increased.

For the reasons cited above, particularly the change in our product mix and adoption of SFAS No. 123R, our operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 declined relative to the same year-ago period.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expenses) and changes in other income (expenses) were as follows:

| | Period Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| | Three Months | | | Six Months | | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | Period-over- Period Change | 2006 | 2005 | Period-over- Period Change |
| Interest income | \$ 387 | \$ 436 | (11)% | \$ 747 | \$ 809 | (8)% |
| Foreign currency translation | (62) | (246) | 75% | (182) | (331) | (45)% |
| Other | 2 | (95) | | 2 | 26 | (92)% |
| Total | \$ 328 | \$ 95 | 244% | \$ 568 | \$ 504 | 13% |
| Percent of sales | 1.3% | .4% | | 1.1% | 1.3% | |

Interest income decreased in both the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same year-ago periods due to a shift in investment strategy to more tax exempt instruments that yield lower pre-tax interest income and also due to slightly lower cash and investment balances. Foreign currency translation gains and losses are principally due to currency fluctuations between the US dollar and Euro. We enter into 30-day forward contracts to hedge its foreign currency exposure; however, in the quarter ended June 30, 2006 Euro collections were stronger than anticipated leaving us with forward contracts above our net exposure resulting in a net loss position in the quarter. In 2005, we hedged only a portion of our foreign currency exposure and the resulting loss was due to the weakening of the Euro relative to the US dollar. Our strategy is to continue to hedge our estimated Euro denominated accounts receivable position throughout the remainder of 2006. At June 30, 2006, we had approximately 3.4 million in euro-denominated receivables and a 2.7 million 30-day forward contract.

Income Taxes

Income taxes and income taxes as a percent of net income before income taxes for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 as well as the percentage change, were as follows:

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

Period Ended June 30,

| | Three Months | | Period-over- Period Change | Six Months | | Period-over- Period Change |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | | 2006 | 2005 | |
| | Income taxes | \$ 1,526 | | \$ 853 | 79% | |
| Percent of income before taxes | 34.2% | 22.8% | | 34.2% | 29.9% | |

The effective tax rate for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 is higher than the same year-ago period primarily because a \$325,000 benefit was recognized in the second quarter of 2005 resulting from discrete adjustments to prior years' tax benefits from research activities and foreign sales income. The tax rate for 2006 is impacted by the adoption of SFAS No. 123R as stock-based compensation expense associated with incentive stock options are considered permanent differences for tax purposes and, therefore, increase the effective tax rate. The June 30, 2006 effective tax rate assumes no research and development credit as this portion of the tax law expired in 2005.

Net Income

Net income and net income as a percent of sales, as well as the percentage change in net income, were as follows:

| | Three Months | | Period-over- Period Change | Six Months | | Period-over- Period Change |
|------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | | 2006 | 2005 | |
| | Net income | \$ 2,936 | | \$ 2,891 | 1% | |
| Percent of sales | 11.0% | 13.9% | | 10.1% | 13.3% | |

Net income increased for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same year-ago periods primarily due to the increase in sales. For the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same year-ago period, net income declined primarily due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. As a percentage of sales, net income declined for both periods primarily because of the change in product mix, the effect of SFAS No. 123R, and the higher effective tax rate. The adoption of SFAS No. 123R had the effect of reducing the three and six-month ended June 30, 2006 net income by approximately \$227,000 and \$528,000, or \$.02 and \$.05 per diluted common share outstanding, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

A summary of our statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Net income | \$ 4,951 | \$ 5,287 |
| Deferred income taxes | 343 | 105 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,782 | 1,458 |
| Stock-based compensation | 697 | |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities | (6,589) | (4,050) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,184 | 2,800 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (1,242) | 9,783 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) in financing activities | 613 | (507) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 137 | (264) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 692 | 11,812 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 10,105 | 17,730 |

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | 10,797 | \$ | 29,542 |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|

Edgar Filing: STRATASYS INC - Form 10-Q

We increased our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investment balances to approximately \$30.8 million at June 30, 2006, from approximately \$30.1 million at December 31, 2005. We decreased our long-term investments, consisting principally of municipal bonds, to \$9.0 million compared to \$11.3 million at December 31, 2005. At June 30, 2006 total cash, cash equivalents and investments were approximately \$39.8 million compared with approximately \$41.4 million at December 31, 2005.

In the six months ended June 30, 2006, net cash provided by our operating activities amounted to approximately \$1.2 million compared with approximately \$2.8 million in the comparable 2005 period. Cash provided from our net income, as adjusted to exclude the effects of non-cash charges, principally provided cash. This was offset by cash used for new product inventory for our Arcam systems, as well as Dimension 1200s announced in April 2006 and increases in accounts receivable. Our net accounts receivable balance increased to approximately \$25.6 million at June 30, 2006 from approximately \$20.0 million as of December 31, 2005, which was principally due to the timing of sales within the quarter and some impact from our extended terms on our sales demo program. Some of our international distributors have continued to carry high balances, some of which have exceeded our normal terms. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006 we wrote-off an approximately \$434,000 receivable from a French distributor. This receivable had been fully reserved in prior quarters. We believe we have made adequate allowances against our accounts receivable balances.

At June 30, 2006, our inventory balances have increased to approximately \$13.4 million from approximately \$10.9 million at December 31, 2005. The increase is largely due to the acquisition of Arcam distributed systems as well as an intentional build up of Dimension 1200 units. The remainder is primarily due to an approximate \$564,000 increase in consumable materials inventory built to support our increasing installed base. A significant portion of our inventory is dedicated to fulfill our service contract and warranty obligations. As we have introduced several new products over the last several quarters, there are many more platforms and models to service than in the past, which increases the requirements to maintain spare parts inventory. With the introduction of these new products, older products have been discontinued. However, inventory for these discontinued products is still required to fulfill our service contracts. Our procedures for dealing with this inventory are more fully explained in the section below captioned Critical Accounting Policies.

Our investing activities, which consist primarily of buying and selling auction-rate securities as well as tax-free bonds, provided cash of approximately \$2.3 million. This was partially offset by fixed asset additions of approximately \$2.8 million and payments for intangibles and other investments of approximately \$754,000. Much of the capital expenditure in 2006 was for equipment required by the growing components of our business, including manufacturing fixtures for the Dimension 1200, consumable manufacturing and Paid Parts. Net cash used for payments for intangible assets and other investments included patents and capitalized software.

Our financing activities provided cash of \$614,000 from the exercise of stock options.

For the remainder of 2006, we expect to use our cash as follows:

- for improvements to our facilities;
- for the continuation of our leasing program;
- for working capital purposes;
- for information systems (I/S) and infrastructure enhancements;
- for new product and materials development;
- for sustaining engineering;
- for the acquisition of equipment, including production equipment, tooling, and computers;
- for the purchase of intangible assets, including patents;
- for increased selling and marketing activities, especially as they relate to the continued market and channel development as well as Eden and Arcam market development;
- for acquisitions and/or strategic alliances; and
- for our common stock buyback program

While we believe that the primary source of liquidity during the remainder of 2006 will be derived from current cash balances and cash flows from operations, we have maintained a line of credit for the lesser of \$4.0 million or a defined borrowing base. To date, we have not borrowed against this credit facility.

As of June 30, 2006, we had gross accounts receivable of approximately \$26.7 million less an allowance of approximately \$1.1 million for returns and doubtful accounts. Over our history, bad debt expense has generally been small as a percentage of sales. However, at June 30, 2006, large balances were concentrated with certain international distributors and some of these balances exceed our payment terms. Default by one or more of these distributors could result in a significant charge against our current reported earnings. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006 we wrote-off an approximately \$434,000 receivable from a French distributor. This receivable had been fully reserved in prior quarters. We have reviewed our policies that govern credit and collections, and will continue to monitor them in light of current payment status and economic conditions. While we can give no assurances, we believe that most, if not all, of the accounts receivable balances will ultimately be collected. For further information, see the section below captioned Critical Accounting Policies.

Our total current assets amounted to approximately \$74.1 million at June 30, 2006, most of which consisted of cash and cash equivalents, investments, inventories and accounts receivable. Total current liabilities amounted to approximately \$19.5 million. We have no debt. We believe we have adequate resources to fund our foreseeable future growth.

Inflation

We believe that inflation has not had a material effect on our operations or on our financial condition during the three most recent fiscal years.

Foreign Currency Transactions

We invoice sales to certain European distributors in Euros. Our reported results are therefore subject to fluctuations based upon changes in the exchange rates of that currency in relation to the United States dollar. In the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the net loss on foreign currency translations amounted to approximately \$182,000 and \$331,000, respectively. In the quarter ended June 30, 2006, we hedged between 2.7 million and 3.0 million of our accounts receivable that were denominated in Euros. The hedge resulted in a currency translation loss of approximately \$371,000 for that period. Our strategy is to fully hedge our Euro-denominated accounts receivable position throughout the remainder of 2006. We will continue to monitor our exposure to currency fluctuations. Instruments to hedge our risks may include foreign currency forward, swap, and option contracts. These instruments will be used to selectively manage risks, but there can be no assurances that we will be fully protected against material foreign currency fluctuations. We expect to continue to derive most of our revenue from regions where the transactions are negotiated, invoiced, and paid in US dollars. Fluctuations in the currency exchange rates in these other countries may therefore reduce the demand for our products by increasing the price of our products in the currency of countries in which the local currency has declined in value.

Critical Accounting Policies

We have prepared our consolidated interim financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This has required us to make estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affected the amounts we reported.

We have identified several critical accounting policies that required us to make assumptions about matters that were uncertain at the time of our estimates. Had we used different estimates and assumptions, the amounts we recorded could have been significantly different. Additionally, if we had used different assumptions or different conditions existed, our financial condition or results of operations could have been materially different. The critical accounting policies that were affected by the estimates, assumptions, and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated interim financial statements are listed below.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue, consistent with the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 101, Revenue Recognition, amended by SAB 104 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables when 1) persuasive evidence of a final agreement exists, 2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, 3) the selling price is fixed or determinable, and 4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Our standard terms are FOB shipping point, and as such most of our revenue from system sales is primarily recognized at time of shipment if the shipment conforms to the terms and conditions of the purchase agreement. Exceptions to this policy

occur only if a customer's purchase order indicates an alternative term or provides that the equipment sold would be subject to certain contingencies, such as formal acceptance. In these instances, revenues would be recognized only upon satisfying the conditions established by the customer in its purchase order to us. Revenue from sales-type leases of our FDM systems is recognized at the time of lessee acceptance, which follows installation. Revenue from sales-type leases of our Dimension systems is recognized at time of shipment, since either the customer or the reseller performs the installation. We recognize revenue from sales-type leases at the net present value of future lease payments. Revenue from operating leases is recognized ratably over the lease period. Revenue from maintenance contracts is recognized ratably over the term of the contract, usually one year. On certain sales that require a one-year warranty rather than our standard 90-day warranty, a percentage of the selling price that represents the fair value of the extended warranty is deferred and recognized ratably over the period of the extended warranty as an implied maintenance contract.

We assess collectibility as part of the revenue recognition process. We evaluate a number of factors to assess collectibility, including an evaluation of the credit worthiness of the customer, past payment history, and current economic conditions. If it is determined that collectibility cannot be reasonably assured, we would decline shipment, request a down payment, or defer recognition of revenue until ultimate collectibility is more determinable. We also record a provision for estimated product returns and allowances in the period in which the related revenue is recorded. This provision against current gross revenue is based principally on historical rates of sales returns, but also factors in changes in the customer base, geographic economic conditions, and changes in the financial conditions of our customers. If past trends were to change, we would potentially have to increase or decrease the amount of the provision for these returns. We have little history as to potential returns under our lease programs. We will monitor our lease sales in the future, and if necessary will record a provision for returns on leased systems.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

While we evaluate the collectibility of a sale as part of our revenue recognition process, we must also make judgments regarding the ultimate realization of our accounts receivable. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the realization of these receivables, including the aging of the receivables and the creditworthiness of each customer. We may not be able to accurately and timely predict changes to a customer's financial condition. If a customer's financial condition should suddenly deteriorate, calling into question our ability to collect the receivable, our estimates of the realization of our receivables could be adversely affected. We might then have to record additional allowances for doubtful accounts, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations in the period affected.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts is adjusted quarterly using two methods. First, our overall reserves are based on a percentage applied to certain aged receivable categories that are predominately based on historical bad debt write-off experience. Then, we make an additional evaluation of overdue customer accounts, for which we specifically reserve. In our evaluation we use a variety of factors, such as past payment history, the current financial condition of the customer, and current economic conditions. We also evaluate our overall concentration risk, which assesses the total amount owed by each customer, regardless of its current status.

Certain of our international distributors have carried large balances that have become overdue. Most of these distributors have continued to pay down their balances and are still considered performing. A default by one or more of these distributors could have a material effect, ranging from \$.2 million to \$1.0 million, on our reported operating results in the period affected.

Inventories

Our inventories are recorded at the lower of cost or market, with cost based on a first-in, first-out basis. We periodically assess this inventory for obsolescence and potential excess by reducing the difference between our cost and the estimated market value of the inventory based on assumptions about future demand and historical sales patterns. Our inventories consist of materials and products that are subject to technological obsolescence and competitive market conditions. If market conditions or future demand are less favorable than our current expectations, additional inventory write downs or reserves may be required, which could have an adverse effect on our reported results in the period the adjustments are made. Additionally, engineering or field change orders (ECO and FCO , respectively) introduced by our engineering group could suddenly create extensive obsolete and/or excess inventory. Although our engineering group considers the estimated effect that an ECO or FCO would have on our inventories, a mandated ECO or FCO could have an immediate adverse affect on our reported financial condition if they required the use of different materials in either new production or our service inventory.

Some of our inventory is returned to us by our customers and refurbished. This refurbished inventory, once fully repaired and tested, is functionally equivalent to new production and is utilized to satisfy many of our requirements under our warranty and service contracts. Upon receipt of the returned material, this inventory is recorded at a discount from original cost, and further reduced by estimated future refurbishment expense. While we evaluate this service material in the same way as our stock inventory (i.e., we periodically test for obsolescence and excess), this inventory is subject to changing demand that may not be immediately apparent. Adjustments to this service inventory, following an obsolescence or excess review, could have an adverse effect on our reported financial condition in the period when the adjustments are made. We review the requirements for service inventory for discontinued products using the number of active maintenance contracts per product line as the key determinant for inventory levels and composition. A sudden decline in the number of customers renewing service agreements in a particular period could lead to an unanticipated write down of this service inventory for a particular product line.

Income Taxes

We comply with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. SFAS 109 also requires a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We have determined that it is more likely than not that our future taxable income will be sufficient to realize our deferred tax assets.

Our provision for income taxes is based on our effective income tax rate. The effective rate is highly dependent upon a number of factors, including our total earnings, the geographic location of sales, the availability of tax credits, and the effectiveness of our tax planning strategies. We monitor the effects of these variables throughout the year and adjust our income tax rate accordingly. However, if our actual results differ from our estimates, we could be required to adjust our effective tax rate or record a valuation adjustment on our deferred tax assets. This could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Forward-looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations

All statements herein that are not historical facts or that include such words as expect, anticipate, project, estimate or believe or other similar words are forward-looking statements that we deem to be covered by and to qualify for the safe harbor protection covered by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the 1995 Act). Investors and prospective investors in our Company should understand that several factors govern whether any forward-looking statement herein will be or can be achieved. Any one of these factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected herein.

These forward-looking statements include the expected increases in net sales of RP and 3D printing systems, services and consumables, and our ability to maintain our gross margins on these sales. The forward-looking statements include our assumptions about the size of the RP and 3D printing market, and our ability to penetrate, compete, and successfully sell our products in these markets. They include our plans and objectives to introduce new products, to control expenses, to improve the quality and reliability of our systems, to respond to new or existing competitive products, and to improve profitability. The forward-looking statements included herein are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, some of which are described in Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2005. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions, among others, that we will be able to:

continue to introduce new RP and 3D printing systems and materials acceptable to the market, and to continue to improve our existing technology and software in our current product offerings;

successfully develop the 3D printing market with our Dimension BST and Dimension SST systems, and that the market will accept these systems;

maintain our revenues and gross margins on our present products;

control our operating expenses;

expand our manufacturing capabilities to meet the expected demand generated by Dimension BST, Dimension SST, Paid Parts, and our consumable products;

successfully and profitably distribute and service the Eden and Arcam systems;

successfully commercialize new materials, and that the market will accept these new materials; and

recruit, retain, and develop employees with the necessary skills to produce, create, commercialize, market, and sell our products. Assumptions relating to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, geopolitical, competitive, market and technological conditions, and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond our control. Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of those assumptions could prove inaccurate, and therefore there is and can be no assurance that the results contemplated in any such forward-looking statement will be realized. The impact of actual experience and business developments may cause us to alter our marketing plans, our capital expenditure budgets, or our engineering, selling, manufacturing or other budgets, which may in turn affect our results of operations or the success of our new product development and introduction. We may not be able to alter our plans or budgets in a timely manner, resulting in reduced profitability or losses.

Due to the factors noted above and elsewhere in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our future earnings and stock price may be subject to significant volatility, particularly on a quarterly basis. Additionally, we may not learn of revenue or earnings shortfalls until late in a fiscal quarter, since we frequently receive a significant number of orders very late in a quarter. This could result in an immediate and adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock. Past financial performance should not be considered a reliable indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Our cash and cash equivalent investments are exclusively in short-term money market, Auction Rate Certificates (ARCs), and sweep instruments with maturities of less than 90 days. These are subject to limited interest rate risk. A 10% change in interest rates would not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Our short- and long-term investments are invested in ARCs, municipal government bonds, and certificates of deposit that bear interest at rates of 3.1% to 5.5%. An immediate 10% change in interest rates would have no material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We have not historically hedged sales from or expenses incurred by our European operations that are conducted in Euros. Therefore, a hypothetical 10% change in the exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Euro could increase or decrease our earnings before taxes by less than \$0.3 million for the continued maintenance of our European facility. In the quarter ended June 30, 2006 we hedged between 2.7 million and 3.0 million of our accounts receivable balances that were denominated in Euros. A hypothetical 10% change in the exchange rates between the US dollar and the Euro could increase or decrease earnings before taxes by between \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded as of the

Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to us required to be disclosed in our Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we are responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Our management has conducted an assessment of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established by the committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control Integrated Framework. There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the assessment that occurred during the first quarter of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

We held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 17, 2006. The following directors, constituting all of our directors, were elected at the meeting to serve until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. The directors elected at the Annual Meeting received the number of votes set forth opposite their respective names:

| | For Election | Against/ Withheld Authority |
|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Scott Crump | 9,508,376 | 216,296 |
| Ralph E. Crump | 9,494,226 | 230,446 |
| Edward J. Fierko | 9,508,086 | 216,586 |
| Clifford Schweiter | 9,508,086 | 216,586 |
| Arnold Wasserman | 9,506,472 | 218,200 |
| Gregory Wilson | 9,468,685 | 255,987 |

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits.

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. 1350.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 9, 2006

Stratasys, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert F. Gallagher

Robert F. Gallagher
Chief Financial Officer