

LyondellBasell Industries N.V.
Form SC 13D/A
February 15, 2017

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13D/A
Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. 9)*

LyondellBasell Industries N.V.

(Name of Issuer)

Ordinary shares, par value 0.04 per share

(Title of Class of Securities)

N53745100

(CUSIP Number)

Alejandro Moreno

c/o Access Industries, Inc.

730 Fifth Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10019

(212) 247-6400

with copies to:

Matthew E. Kaplan

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 909-6000

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

February 13, 2017

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of Rules 13d-1(e), 13d-1(f) or 13d-1(g), check the following box.

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI International Chemicals S.à r.l.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Luxembourg

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

41,144,973 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

32,298,394 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

41,144,973 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

32,298,394 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Len Blavatnik

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

United States of America

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

IN

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI Investments Holdings LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI SMS L.P.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

British Virgin Islands

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

PN

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI International GP Limited

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

British Virgin Islands

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Access Industries, LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Access Industries Holdings LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY 8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Access Industries Management, LLC
2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF
5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Altep 2010 L.P.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

245,309 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,198,058 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

245,309 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,198,058 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

PN

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Altep 2011 L.P.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

152,954 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,290,413 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

152,954 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,290,413 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

PN

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI Altep Holdings, Inc.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

New York

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

471,434 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

72,971,933 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

471,434 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

72,971,933 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

CO

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC
2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO
5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI European Holdings L.P.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

British Virgin Islands

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

PN

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI European Holdings GP Limited

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

British Virgin Islands

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI European Holdings S.à r.l.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Luxembourg

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

16,000,000 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

57,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

16,000,000 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

57,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI Petroleum Holdings LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AIPH Holdings LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

4,972,699 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

68,470,668 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

4,972,699 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

68,470,668 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

AI New Holdings 2 LLC

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

10,854,261 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

62,589,106 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

10,854,261 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

62,589,106 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

RSB 2 Limited

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

British Virgin Islands

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

0 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,443,367 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

0 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,443,367 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OO (Limited Liability Company)

CUSIP No. N53745100

1 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.

Altep 2014 L.P.

2 CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

(a) (b)

3 SEC USE ONLY

4 SOURCE OF FUNDS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

AF

5 CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e)

6 CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Delaware

7 SOLE VOTING POWER

NUMBER OF

SHARES

73,171 shares

BENEFICIALLY

8 SHARED VOTING POWER

OWNED BY

EACH

73,370,196 shares

9 SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER

REPORTING

PERSON

73,171 shares

WITH

10 SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER

73,370,196 shares

11 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

73,443,367 shares

12 CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11);

18.25%

14 TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

PN

Amendment No. 9 to Schedule 13D

This amendment to Schedule 13D is being filed by AI International Chemicals S.à r.l. (AIIC), Len Blavatnik, AI Investments Holdings LLC (AIIH), AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010 L.P. (Altep 2010), Altep 2011 L.P. (Altep 2011), AI Altep Holdings, Inc. (formerly known as Access Industries, Inc.), Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings S.à r.l. (AIEH), AI Petroleum Holdings LLC (AIPH), AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New Holdings 2 LLC (AI New), RSB 2 Limited (RSB 2) and Altep 2014 L.P. (Altep 2014) (collectively, the Reporting Persons , and each, a Reporting Person) to report the disposition of ordinary shares of the issuer.

The Schedule 13D (the Schedule) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 10, 2011, as amended and supplemented by Amendment No. 1, filed February 23, 2011, Amendment No. 2, filed on February 16, 2012, Amendment No. 3, filed on December 17, 2012, Amendment No. 4, filed on August 5, 2013 Amendment No. 5, filed on December 31, 2014, Amendment No. 6, filed on June 10, 2015, Amendment No. 7, filed on March 17, 2016 and Amendment No. 8, filed on February 6, 2017, is hereby amended and supplemented by the Reporting Persons as set forth below in this Amendment No. 9. This amendment is filed by the Reporting Persons in accordance with Rule 13d-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and refers only to information that has materially changed since the filing of the Schedule 13D. The items identified below, or the particular paragraphs of such items which are identified below, are amended as set forth below. Unless otherwise indicated, all capitalized terms used and not defined herein have the respective meanings assigned to them in the Schedule.

Item 4 Purpose of Transaction

The disclosure in Item 4 is hereby amended to add the following to the end thereof:

The transactions described in Item 5(c) below were effectuated for investment purposes in the regular course of such entity s business.

Item 5 Interest in Securities of the Issuer

The disclosure in Items 5(a) and 5(b) is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:

(a) and (b) The responses of each of the Reporting Persons with respect to Rows 11, 12, and 13 of the cover pages of this Schedule 13D that relate to the aggregate number and percentage of ordinary shares (including but not limited to footnotes to such information) are incorporated herein by reference.

The responses of each of the Reporting Persons with respect to Rows 7, 8, 9, and 10 of the cover pages of this Schedule 13D that relate to the number of ordinary shares as to which each of the persons or entities referenced in Item 2 above has sole or shared power to vote or to direct the vote of and sole or shared power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of (including but not limited to footnotes to such information) are incorporated herein by reference.

AIIC holds 41,144,973 ordinary shares directly. Each of AIIH, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 41,144,973 ordinary shares to be held directly by AIIC. AIIH holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in AIIC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIIC. Access Industries Holdings LLC holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in AIIH and, as a result, may be

deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIIH and AIIIH. Access Industries, LLC holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in Access Industries Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIIH, AIIIH and Access Industries Holdings LLC. Access Industries Management, LLC controls Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC and AIIIH and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned by AIIH, AIIIH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, and Access Industries, LLC. Mr. Blavatnik controls Access Industries Management, LLC and a majority of the outstanding voting

interests in Access Industries, LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIIC, AIIH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, and Access Industries Management, LLC. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIPH, AI SMS L.P. and AI International GP Limited may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIIC, AIIH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIH, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, and Len Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than AIIC, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by AIIC.

AIPH Holdings LLC holds 4,972,699 ordinary shares directly. Each of Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 4,972,699 ordinary shares held directly by AIPH Holdings LLC. Access Industries Holdings LLC holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in AIPH Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIPH Holdings LLC. Access Industries, LLC holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in Access Industries Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by Access Industries Holdings LLC and AIPH Holdings LLC. Access Industries Management, LLC controls Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC and AIPH Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned by AIPH Holdings LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, and Access Industries, LLC. Mr. Blavatnik controls Access Industries Management, LLC and a majority of the outstanding voting interests in Access Industries, LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIPH Holdings LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, and Access Industries Management, LLC. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., AIIC, AIIH, AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIPH, AI SMS L.P., AI New, RSB 2 and AI International GP Limited may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIPH Holdings LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, AI New, RSB 2 and Len Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than AIPH Holdings LLC, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held directly by AIPH Holdings LLC.

AIEH holds 16,000,000 ordinary shares directly. Each of Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, AIPH, Access Industries Management, LLC. and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 16,000,000 ordinary shares held directly by AIEH. AI European Holdings L.P. controls AIEH and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH. AI European Holdings GP Limited is the general partner of AI European Holdings L.P. and, as such, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares deemed beneficially owned by AIEH and AI European Holdings L.P. Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC controls AI European Holdings GP Limited and, as such, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares deemed beneficially owned by

AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P. and AI European Holdings GP Limited. AI SMS L.P. is the sole member of Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited and Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC. AI International GP Limited is the general partner of AI SMS L.P. and, as such, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares deemed beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC and AI SMS L.P. AIPH owns a majority of the equity of AI SMS L.P. and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC and AI SMS L.P. Access Industries Holdings LLC holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in AIPH and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings L.P., AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P. and AIPH. Access Industries, LLC controls AI International GP Limited and holds a majority of the outstanding voting interests in Access Industries Holdings LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings LP, AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, and AIPH and Access Industries Holdings LLC. Access Industries Management, LLC controls Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Holdings LLC and AIIH and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings LP, AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, Access Industries Holdings LLC and Access Industries, LLC. Mr. Blavatnik controls Access Industries Management, LLC and a majority of the voting interests in Access Industries, LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings LP, AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC and Access Industries Management, LLC. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2 and AI Altep Holdings, Inc. may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AIEH, AI European Holdings LP, AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, AI European Holdings LP, AI European Holdings GP Limited, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, AIPH, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and Len Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than AIEH, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by AIEH.

Altep 2010 holds 245,309 ordinary shares directly. Each of AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 245,309 ordinary shares held directly by Altep 2010. AI Altep Holdings, Inc. is the general partner of Altep 2010 and, as a result, may be deemed to have voting and investment control over the shares owned directly by Altep 2010. Mr. Blavatnik controls AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares held by Altep 2010. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH and AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2 may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by Altep 2010, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries

Management, LLC, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, Altep 2011, Altep 2014 and Mr. Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than Altep 2010, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by Altep 2010.

Altep 2011 holds 152,954 ordinary shares directly. Each of AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 152,954 ordinary shares held directly by Altep 2011. AI Altep Holdings, Inc. is the general partner of Altep 2011 and, as a result, may be deemed to have voting and investment control over the shares owned directly by Altep 2011. Mr. Blavatnik controls AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares held by Altep 2011. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2014, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH and AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2 may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by Altep 2011, AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, Altep 2010, Altep 2014 and Mr. Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than Altep 2011, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by Altep 2011.

Altep 2014 holds 73,171 ordinary shares directly. Each of AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 73,171 ordinary shares held directly by Altep 2014. AI Altep Holdings, Inc. is the general partner of Altep 2014 and, as a result, may be deemed to have voting and investment control over the shares owned directly by Altep 2014. Mr. Blavatnik controls AI Altep Holdings, Inc. and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares held by Altep 2014. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH and AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2 may be deemed to share investment and voting power over the ordinary shares beneficially owned by Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH, AIPH Holdings LLC, AI New, RSB 2, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Mr. Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than Altep 2014, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by Altep 2014.

AI New holds 10,854,261 ordinary shares directly. Each of RSB 2, Access Industries Management, LLC and Len Blavatnik may be deemed to beneficially own the 10,854,261 ordinary shares held directly by AI New. RSB 2 is the sole member of AI New and as a result may be deemed to share voting and investment control over the shares held directly by AI New. Access Industries Management, LLC is the manager of AI New and, as a result, may be deemed to have voting and investment control over the shares owned directly by AI New. Mr. Blavatnik controls Access Industries Management, LLC and, as a result, may be deemed to share voting and investment power over the shares held by AI New. Because of their relationships with the other Reporting Persons, each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH and AIPH Holdings LLC may be deemed to share investment and voting power over

the ordinary shares beneficially owned by AI New, RSB 2, Access Industries Management, LLC, and Mr. Blavatnik. Each of AIIC, AIIH, AI SMS L.P., AI International GP Limited, Access Industries Holdings LLC, Access Industries, LLC, Access Industries Management, LLC, AI Altep Holdings, Inc., Access Industries Investment Holdings LLC, AIEH, AI European Holdings GP Limited, AI European Holdings L.P., AIPH, AIPH Holdings LLC, Altep 2010, Altep 2011, Altep 2014, RSB 2, and Mr. Blavatnik, and each of their affiliated entities and the officers, partners, members, and managers thereof, other than AI New, disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by AI New.

The disclosure in Item 5(c) is hereby supplemented by adding the following at the end thereof:

(c) The following transactions in the Issuer's securities have been effected by Reporting Persons within the 60 days prior to this filing:

On February 7, 2017, AI New, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Altep 2014 sold 345,895, 1,163, 725 and 347 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$92.4167 per share¹, 336,364, 1,131, 705 and 337 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$93.0024 per share² and 311,322, 1,046, 653 and 312 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$93.7034 per share³ in open market transactions.

On February 8, 2017, AI New, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Altep 2014 sold 1,124,828, 3,781, 2,358 and 1,128 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$91.5908 per share⁴ in open market transactions.

On February 9, 2017, AI New, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Altep 2014 sold 894,223, 3,006, 1,874 and 897 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$92.2855 per share⁵ in open market transactions.

On February 10, 2017, AI New, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Altep 2014 sold 303,738, 1,021, 637 and 304 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$92.4496 per share⁶ in open market transactions.

¹ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$92.1900 to \$92.7450, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

² These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$92.7500 to \$93.3400, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

³ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$93.3450 to \$94.2000, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

⁴ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$91.5000 to \$92.5000, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

⁵ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$92.0000 to \$92.7600, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

⁶ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$92.2500 to \$92.7500, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

On February 13, 2017, AI New, Altep 2010, Altep 2011 and Altep 2014 sold 856,670, 2,881, 1,795 and 859 ordinary shares, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$92.2435 per share⁷ in open market transactions.

Item 7 Materials to Be Filed as Exhibits

The disclosure in Item 7 is hereby supplemented by adding the following in appropriate numerical order:

Exhibit 99.1.10 Joint Filing Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2017.

⁷ These shares were sold in multiple transactions at prices ranging from \$92.0000 to \$92.4800, inclusive. The reporting persons undertake to provide to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, full information regarding the number of shares sold at each separate price within such range.

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Date: February 14, 2017

AI INTERNATIONAL CHEMICALS S.À
R.L.

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Class A Manager

LEN BLAVATNIK

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Attorney-in-Fact

AI INVESTMENTS HOLDINGS LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its
manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

AI SMS L.P.

By: AI International GP Limited, its general
partner

By: Momats Limited, its director

By: /s/ LYNELLE GUMBS
Name: Lynelle Gumbs
Title: Class A Representative

By: /s/ SALLYON WILLIAMS
Name: Sallyon Williams
Title: Class B Representative

AI INTERNATIONAL GP LIMITED

By: Momats Limited, its director

By: /s/ LYNELLE GUMBS
Name: Lynelle Gumbs
Title: Class A Representative

By: /s/ SALLYON WILLIAMS
Name: Sallyon Williams
Title: Class B Representative

ACCESS INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its
manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ACCESS INDUSTRIES, LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its
manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ACCESS INDUSTRIES MANAGEMENT,
LLC

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ALTEP 2010 L.P.

By: AI Altep Holdings, Inc., its general
partner

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ALTEP 2011 L.P.

By: AI Altep Holdings, Inc., its general
partner

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO

Name: Alejandro Moreno

Title: Executive Vice President

AI ALTEP HOLDINGS, INC. (formerly known as ACCESS INDUSTRIES, INC.)

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ACCESS INDUSTRIES INVESTMENT HOLDINGS LLC

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Manager

AI EUROPEAN HOLDINGS L.P.

By: AI European Holdings GP Limited, its general partner
By: Hudson Administration S.A., its director

By: /s/ DAWN E. SHAND
Name: Dawn E. Shand
Title: Director

AI EUROPEAN HOLDINGS GP LIMITED

By: Hudson Administration S.A., its director

By: /s/ DAWN E. SHAND
Name: Dawn E. Shand
Title: Director

AI EUROPEAN HOLDINGS S.À R.L.

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its Class A Manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

By: /s/ DAWN E. SHAND
Name: Dawn E. Shand
Title: Class B Manager

AI PETROLEUM HOLDINGS LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO

Name: Alejandro Moreno

Title: Executive Vice President

AIPH HOLDINGS LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

ALTEP 2014 L.P.

By: AI Altep Holdings, Inc., its general partner

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

AI NEW HOLDINGS 2 LLC

By: Access Industries Management, LLC, its manager

By: /s/ ALEJANDRO MORENO
Name: Alejandro Moreno
Title: Executive Vice President

RSB 2 LIMITED

By: Hudson Administration S.A., its director

By: /s/ DAWN E. SHAND
Name: Dawn E. Shand
Title: Director

in the number of borrowers who become delinquent, file for protection under bankruptcy laws or default on their loans or other obligations to us. An increase in the number of delinquencies, bankruptcies or defaults could result in a higher level of nonperforming assets, net charge-offs and provision for credit losses, which would reduce our earnings

Until conditions improve, we expect our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by these factors.

Recent and future legislation and regulatory initiatives to address current market and economic conditions may not achieve their intended objectives, including stabilizing the U.S. banking system or reviving the overall economy.

Recent and future legislative and regulatory initiatives to address current market and economic conditions, such as Dodd-Frank, EESA or the ARRA, may not achieve their intended objectives, including stabilizing the U.S. banking system or reviving the overall economy. Treasury and banking regulators have implemented, and likely will continue to implement, various other programs to address capital and liquidity issues in the banking system. There can be no assurance as to the actual impact that any of the recent, or future, legislative and regulatory initiatives will have on the financial markets and the overall economy. Any failure of these initiatives to help stabilize or improve the financial

markets and the economy, and a continuation or worsening of current financial market and economic conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock. In addition, many aspects of Dodd-Frank are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us and the financial services industry more generally.

Current levels of market volatility are unprecedented.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for several years. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on our ability to access capital and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additional requirements under our regulatory framework, especially those imposed under Dodd-Frank, ARRA, EESA or other legislation intended to strengthen the U.S. financial system, could adversely affect us.

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of Dodd-Frank, ARRA, EESA, the TLGP and special assessments imposed by the FDIC, subject participants to additional regulatory fees and requirements, including heightened compliance requirements, corporate governance requirements, executive compensation restrictions, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on share repurchases, limits on executive compensation tax deductions and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These requirements, and any other requirements that may be subsequently imposed, may have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our growth presents certain risks, including a possible decline in credit quality or capital adequacy.

While we believe we have maintained good credit quality notwithstanding our growth, rapid growth is frequently associated with a decline in credit quality. Accordingly, continued asset growth could lead to a decline in credit quality in the future. In addition, continued asset growth could cause a decline in capital adequacy for regulatory purposes, which could in turn cause us to have to raise additional capital in the future to maintain or regain "well-capitalized" status as defined under applicable banking regulations.

We may be subject to more stringent capital requirements.

Dodd-Frank requires federal banking agencies to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements that will apply to both insured banks and their holding companies. The federal banking agencies are in the process of developing regulations to implement these requirements, as well as the Basel III requirements. Implementation of these standards, or any other new regulations, including Basel III, may adversely affect our ability to pay dividends, or require us to reduce business levels or raise capital, including in ways that may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Our performance and growth are dependent on maintaining a high quality of service for our customers, and will be impaired by a decline in our quality of service.

Our growth will be dependent on maintaining a high quality of service for our customers which may become increasingly difficult to maintain if we were to grow rapidly. This could cause a decline in our performance and growth with respect to net income, deposits, assets and other benchmarks.

The fair value of our investment securities can fluctuate due to market conditions out of our control.

Our investment securities portfolio is comprised mainly of U.S. treasury notes/bills, U.S. government agency notes, U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations. At December 31, 2012, gross unrealized losses on our investment portfolio were \$0.6 million. We own one pooled trust preferred security, rated triple-A at purchase, with an amortized cost basis of \$4.4 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2012. This unrealized loss is primarily caused by a severe disruption in the market for these securities.

Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. These factors include but are not limited to rating agency downgrades of the securities, defaults by the issuer or with respect to the underlying securities, changes in market interest rates and continued instability in the credit markets. Any of these mentioned factors could cause an other-than-temporary impairment in future periods and result in a realized loss.

If borrowers and guarantors fail to perform as required by the terms of their loans, we will sustain losses.

A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that losses will be sustained if our borrowers and guarantors fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans and guaranties. This risk increases when the economy is weak. We have adopted underwriting and credit monitoring procedures and credit policies, including the establishment and review of the allowance for loan losses, which we believe are appropriate to minimize this risk by assessing the likelihood of nonperformance, tracking loan performance and diversifying our credit portfolio. These policies and procedures, however, may not prevent unexpected losses that could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we maintain an allowance for loan losses to provide for probable loan and lease losses. Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan and lease losses, and future provisions for credit losses could materially and adversely affect our operating results. Our allowance for loan losses is based on prior experience, as well as an evaluation of the risks in the current portfolio. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates that may be beyond our control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Federal and state regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, review our loans and allowance for loan

losses. While we believe that our allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover probable losses, it is possible that we will further increase the allowance for loan losses or that regulators will require increases. Either of these occurrences could materially and negatively affect our earnings.

The banking business is subject to interest rate risk and variations in interest rates may negatively affect our financial performance.

Changes in the interest rate environment may reduce our profits. It is expected that we will continue to realize income from the differential between the interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets, and interest paid on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is affected by the difference between the maturities and repricing characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. In addition, loan volume and yields are affected by market interest rates on loans, and rising interest rates generally are associated with a lower volume of loan originations. We may not be able to minimize our interest rate risk. In addition, while an increase in the general level of interest rates may increase our net interest margin and loan yield, it may adversely affect the ability of certain borrowers with variable rate loans to pay the interest on and principal of their obligations. Accordingly, changes in levels of market interest rates could materially and adversely affect our net interest margin, asset quality, loan origination volume and overall profitability.

We face strong competition from financial services companies and other companies that offer banking services which could negatively affect our business.

We conduct our banking operations in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo and Ventura counties, California. Increased competition in these markets may result in reduced loans and deposits. Ultimately, we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors. Many competitors offer the same banking services that we offer in our service areas. These competitors include national banks, regional banks and other community banks. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including without limitation, savings and loan institutions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, credit unions, mortgage banks and other financial intermediaries. In particular, competitors include several major financial companies whose greater resources may afford them a marketplace advantage by enabling them to maintain numerous banking locations and ATMs and conduct extensive promotional and advertising campaigns.

Additionally, banks and other financial institutions with larger capitalizations and financial intermediaries not subject to bank regulatory restrictions have larger lending limits than we have and are thereby able to serve the credit needs of larger customers. Areas of competition include interest rates for loans and deposits, efforts to obtain deposits, and range and quality of products and services provided, including new technology-driven products and services. Technological innovation continues to contribute to greater competition in domestic and international financial services markets as technological advances enable more companies to provide financial services. We also face competition from out-of-state financial intermediaries that have opened low-end production offices or that solicit deposits in our market areas. If we are unable to attract and retain banking customers, we may be unable to continue to grow our loan and deposit portfolios and our results of operations and financial condition may otherwise be adversely affected.

Changes in economic conditions, in particular an economic slowdown in Southern California, could materially and negatively affect our business.

Our business is directly impacted by factors such as economic, political and market conditions, broad trends in industry and finance, legislative and regulatory changes, changes in government monetary and fiscal policies and inflation, all of which are beyond our control. Any further deterioration in economic conditions, whether caused by national or local concerns, in particular any further economic slowdown in Southern California, could result in the following consequences, any of which could hurt our business materially: loan delinquencies may increase; problem assets and foreclosures may increase; demand for our products and services may decrease; low cost or noninterest bearing deposits may decrease; and collateral for loans made by us, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans. The State of California and certain local governments in our market area continue to face fiscal challenges upon which the long-term impact on the State's or the local economy cannot be predicted.

A portion of our loan portfolio consists of construction and land development loans in Southern California, which have greater risks than loans secured by completed real properties.

At December 31, 2012, we had outstanding construction and land development loans in Southern California in the amount of \$56.5 million, representing 5% of our loan portfolio. These types of loans generally have greater risks than loans on completed homes, multifamily properties and commercial properties. A construction loan generally does not cover the full amount of the construction costs, so the borrower must have adequate funds to pay for the balance of the project. Price increases, delays and unanticipated difficulties can materially increase these costs. Further, even if completed, there is no assurance that the borrower will be able to sell the project on a timely or profitable basis, as these are closely related to real estate market conditions, which can fluctuate substantially between the start and completion of the project. If the borrower defaults prior to completion of the project, the value of the project will likely be less than the outstanding loan, and we could be required to complete construction with our own funds to

minimize losses on the project.

Further disruptions in the real estate market could materially and negatively affect our business.

There has been a slow-down in the real estate market due to negative economic trends and credit market disruption, the impacts of which are not yet completely known or quantified. At December 31, 2012, approximately 85% of our loans are secured by real estate. Any further downturn in the real estate market could materially and adversely affect our business because a significant portion of our loans is secured by real estate. Our ability to recover on defaulted loans by selling the real estate collateral would then be diminished and we would be more likely to suffer losses on defaulted loans. An increase in losses on defaulted loans may have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations, by reducing income, increasing expenses, and leaving less cash available for lending and other activities.

Substantially all of our real property loan collateral is located in Southern California. Real estate values have declined recently, particularly in California. If real estate sales and appreciation continue to weaken, especially in Southern California, the collateral for our loans would provide less security. Real estate values have been and could be affected by, among other things, an economic recession or slowdown, an increase in interest rates, earthquakes, brush fires, flooding and other natural disasters particular to California.

We are subject to extensive regulation which could adversely affect our business.

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of our operations. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws, rules and regulations applicable to us are subject to regular modification and change. There are currently proposed laws, rules and regulations that, if adopted, would impact our operations. For example, Dodd-Frank will have a broad impact on the financial services industry, including imposing significant regulatory and compliance changes, such as: (1) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies; (2) enhanced lending limits strengthening the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower; (3) increased capital and liquidity requirements; (4) increased regulatory examination fees; (5) changes to assessments to be paid to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; (6) prohibiting bank holding companies, such as us, from including in regulatory Tier 1 capital future issuances of trust preferred securities or other hybrid debt and equity securities; and (7) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthen safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Council, the FRB, the OCC and the FDIC. Further, Dodd-Frank addresses many corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies, including us. Many of the requirements called for in Dodd-Frank will be implemented over time and most will be subject to implementing regulations over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of Dodd-Frank will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on our operations is unclear. The changes resulting from Dodd-Frank may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. In particular, the potential impact of Dodd-Frank on our operations and activities, both currently and prospectively, include, among others:

- a reduction in our ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets as a result of compliance with heightened capital standards;
- increased cost of operations due to greater regulatory oversight, supervision and examination of banks and bank holding companies, and higher deposit insurance premiums;
- the limitation on our ability to raise capital through the use of trust preferred securities as these securities will no longer be included in Tier 1 capital going forward; and
- the limitation on our ability to expand consumer product and service offerings due to anticipated stricter consumer protection laws and regulations

Further, we may be required to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with the new requirements may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. While we cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on us, these changes could be materially adverse to our investors.

In addition, there are other currently proposed laws, rules and regulations that, if adopted, would impact our operations. For example, federal bank regulators have enacted regulations that would (1) require banking organizations to report the structures of all incentive-based compensation arrangements and (2) prohibit incentive-based payment arrangements that encourage inappropriate risks by providing employees, directors, or principal shareholders with excessive compensation or that could lead to material financial loss to the organization.

Additionally, in order to conduct certain activities and transactions, including acquisitions, we are required to obtain regulatory approval. There can be no assurance that any required approvals can be obtained, or obtained without conditions or on a timeframe acceptable to us. For more information, please see the section entitled “Item 1. Business-Supervision and Regulation” above.

We are exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title.

In the course of our business, we may own or foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our internal operations are subject to a number of risks.

We are subject to certain operational risks, including, but not limited to, data processing system failures and errors, customer or employee fraud, security breaches of our computer systems and catastrophic failures resulting from terrorist acts or natural disasters. We maintain a system of internal controls to mitigate against such occurrences and maintain insurance coverage for such risks that are insurable, but should such an event occur that is not prevented or detected by our internal controls and uninsured or in excess of applicable insurance limits, it could have a significant adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We face reputation and business risks due to our interactions with business partners, service providers and other third parties.

We rely on third parties in a variety of ways, including to provide key components of our business infrastructure or to further our business objectives. These third parties may provide services to us and our clients or serve as partners in business activities. We rely on these third parties to fulfill their obligations to us, to accurately inform us of relevant information and to conduct their activities professionally and in a manner that reflects positively on us. Any failure of our business partners, service providers or other third parties to meet their commitments to us or to perform in accordance with our expectations could harm our business and operations, financial performance, strategic growth or reputation.

We face risks in connection with our strategic undertakings.

If appropriate opportunities present themselves, we may engage in strategic activities, which may include acquisitions, investments, asset purchases or other business growth initiatives or undertakings. There can be no assurance that we will successfully identify appropriate opportunities, that we will be able to negotiate or finance such activities or that such activities, if undertaken, will be successful.

In order to finance future strategic undertakings, we might obtain additional equity or debt financing. Such financing might not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If obtained, equity financing could be dilutive and the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our ability to execute strategic activities successfully will depend on a variety of factors. These factors likely will vary based on the nature of the activity but may include our success in integrating the operations, services, products, personnel and systems of an acquired company into our business, operating effectively with any partner with whom we elect to do business, retaining key employees, achieving anticipated synergies, meeting management's expectations and otherwise realizing the undertaking's anticipated benefits. Our ability to address these matters successfully cannot be assured. In addition, our strategic efforts may divert resources or management's attention from ongoing business

operations and may subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny. If we do not successfully execute a strategic undertaking, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, reputation and growth prospects. In addition, if we were to conclude that the value of an acquired business had decreased and that the related goodwill had been impaired, that conclusion would result in an impairment of goodwill charge to us, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

We are dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key personnel may materially and adversely affect our prospects.

We depend heavily on the services of our President and Chief Executive Officer, C. G. Kum, our Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer, Romolo C. Santarosa and a number of other key management personnel. The loss of any of their services or that of other key personnel could materially and adversely affect our future results of operations and financial condition. Our success also depends in part on our ability to attract and retain additional qualified management personnel. Competition for such personnel is strong in the banking industry and we may not be successful in attracting or retaining the personnel we require.

We may incur impairments to goodwill.

We assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change which may indicate a change in the implied fair value of the goodwill. Impairment exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. It is our practice to perform the annual impairment assessment at the end of our fiscal year and to use independent data to assist us in determining the fair value of the Company and in determining appropriate market factors to be used in the fair value calculations. At December 31, 2012 the annual assessment resulted in the conclusion that goodwill was not impaired. A significant decline in our stock price, a significant decline in our expected future cash flows, a significant change in the fair values of our assets and liabilities, a significant adverse change in the business climate or slower growth rates could result in impairment of our goodwill. If we were to conclude that a future write-down of our goodwill is necessary, then we would record the appropriate non-cash charge, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial position.

We are a holding company and depend on our banking subsidiary for dividends, distributions and other payments.

We are a holding company that conducts substantially all our operations through our banking subsidiary, First California Bank. As a result, our ability to make dividend payments on our common and preferred stock and debt service payments depends upon the ability of the Bank to make payments, distributions and loans to us. The ability of the Bank to make payments, distributions and loans to us is limited by, among other things, its earnings, its obligation to maintain sufficient capital, and by applicable regulatory restrictions. For example, if, in the opinion of an applicable regulatory authority, the Bank is engaged in or is about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice, which could include the payment of dividends under certain circumstances, such authority may take actions requiring that the Bank refrain from the practice. Additionally, under applicable California law, the Bank generally cannot make any distribution (including a cash dividend) to its stockholder, us, in an amount which exceeds the lesser of: (1) the retained earnings of the Bank and (2) the net income of the Bank for its last three fiscal years, less the amount of any distributions made by the Bank to its stockholder during such period. If the Bank is not able to make payments, distributions and loans to us, we may not be able to pay dividends on our common and preferred stock or make debt service payments.

If we are unable to increase our small business loans within 2 years, the cost of the Series C Preferred Stock will increase to us.

We have notified the Treasury of our intent to redeem the series C preferred stock in connection with the PacWest Merger. However, if we are unable to redeem the series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock prior to December 31, 2013, and we have not increased the amount of qualified small business loans above our baseline amount, the cost of this capital to us will increase substantially on that date, from a maximum of 5.0% per annum (approximately \$1.25 million annually) to 7.0% per annum (approximately \$1.75 million annually). Depending on our financial condition at the time, this increase in the annual dividend rate on the series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock could have a material negative effect on our liquidity and our earnings available to common stockholders.

We may experience difficulty in managing the loan portfolios acquired through FDIC-assisted acquisitions, which are within the limits of the shared-loss protection provided by the FDIC.

The Bank entered into shared-loss agreements with the FDIC that covered most of SLTB's and all of WCB's loans and foreclosed property. The Bank shares in the losses, beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred, on the loans and foreclosed property covered under the shared-loss agreements, or covered assets. Pursuant to the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Bank 80 percent of eligible losses with respect to the covered assets. The Bank has a corresponding obligation to reimburse the FDIC for 80 percent of eligible recoveries with respect to covered assets.

The shared-loss agreements for commercial and single-family residential loans are in effect for 5 years and 10 years, respectively, from the acquisition date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years and 10 years, respectively, from the acquisition date. Ten years after the acquisition date, the Bank is required to pay the FDIC 50 percent of the excess, if any, of specific amounts stated in the original agreements for each respective acquisition. Although we have expertise in asset resolution, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to adequately manage the loan portfolio within the limits of the shared-loss protection provided by the FDIC. Failure to comply with the requirements of the shared-loss agreements could result in loss of indemnification by the FDIC. Additionally, the Bank is subject to audits by the FDIC, through its designated agent, under the terms of the shared-loss agreements. The requirements of the shared-loss agreements are extensive and failure to comply with any of the guidelines could potentially result in a specific asset or group of assets losing shared-loss coverage.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Certain preferences and rights of preferred stockholders of First California may negatively affect the rights of holders of First California common stock.

First California's certificate of incorporation authorizes its Board of Directors to issue up to 2,500,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the rights, preferences, powers and restrictions granted or imposed upon any series of preferred stock without prior stockholder approval. The preferred stock that may be authorized could have preference over holders of First California common stock with respect to dividends and other distributions upon the liquidation or dissolution of First California. If First California's Board of Directors authorizes the issuance of additional series of preferred shares having a voting preference over common stock, such issuances may inhibit or delay the approval of measures supported by holders of common stock that require stockholder approval and consequently may make it difficult and expensive for a third party to pursue a tender offer, change in control or takeover attempt that is opposed by our management and Board of Directors. Accordingly, such issuance could substantially impede the ability of public stockholders to benefit from a change in control or change of our management and Board of Directors and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and the stockholders' ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Currently, in the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation or dissolution, holders of series A convertible perpetual preferred stock of First California are entitled to receive a liquidation preference of \$1,000 plus an amount equal to 8.5% per annum of the \$1,000, which is deemed to have commenced accrual on December 10, 2001. Also, holders of series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock of First California are entitled to receive a liquidation preference of \$1,000 plus an accrued amount equal to the per-share amount of any unpaid dividends for the then-current period, if any. These amounts are payable out of the assets of First California before any distribution to holders of common stock. If the number of preferred shares having a similar liquidation preference increases, the chance that holders of common stock may receive a smaller distribution upon liquidation or dissolution may be higher.

Certain regulations and restrictions will affect our ability to declare or pay dividends and repurchase our shares.

As a result of our participation in the SBLF, our ability to declare or pay dividends on any of our common stock has been limited. Specifically, we are not able to repurchase shares or declare dividend payments on our common, junior preferred or pari passu preferred stock if we are in arrears on the dividends on our series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock. Furthermore, First California is permitted to make dividend payments to, or effectuate share repurchases from, other shareholders, provided that after the payment or repurchase, the institution's Tier 1 capital would be at least 90 percent of the amount existing at the time immediately after the SBLF closing date, excluding any subsequent net charge-offs and partial repayments of the SBLF funding.

Our ability to pay dividends to holders of our common stock may be restricted by Delaware law and under the terms of indentures governing the trust preferred securities we have issued.

Our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders is restricted in specified circumstances under indentures governing the trust preferred securities we have issued, and we may issue additional securities with similar restrictions in the future. In addition, our ability to pay any dividends to our stockholders is subject to the restrictions set forth under Delaware law. We cannot assure you that we will meet the criteria specified under these agreements or under Delaware law in the future, in which case we may not be able to pay dividends on our common stock even if we were to choose to do so.

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for you to resell our common stock when you want to or at prices you find attractive.

We cannot predict how our common stock will trade in the future. The market value of our common stock will likely continue to fluctuate in response to a number of factors including the following, most of which are beyond our control, as well as the other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section:

- the trading price of PacWest common stock and factors affecting such trading price;
- actual or anticipated quarterly fluctuations in our operating and financial results;
- developments related to investigations, proceedings or litigation that involve us;
- changes in financial estimates and recommendations by financial analysts;
- dispositions, acquisitions and financings;

actions of our current stockholders, including sales of our common stock by existing stockholders and our directors and executive officers;

- fluctuations in the stock price and operating results of our competitors;
- regulatory developments; and
- developments related to the financial services industry.

Only a limited trading market exists for our common stock, which could lead to significant price volatility.

Our common stock was designated for listing on the NASDAQ Global Market in March 2007 under the trading symbol “FCAL” and trading volumes since that time have been modest. The limited trading market for our common stock may cause fluctuations in the market value of our common stock to be exaggerated, leading to price volatility in excess of that which would occur in a more active trading market of our common stock. In addition, even if a more active market in our common stock develops, we cannot assure you that such a market will continue or that stockholders will be able to sell their shares.

A holder with as little as a 5% interest in First California could, under certain circumstances, be subject to regulation as a “Bank Holding Company.”

Any entity (including a “group” composed of natural persons) owning 25% or more of the outstanding First California common stock, or 5% or more if such holder otherwise exercises a “controlling influence” over First California, may be subject to regulation as a “bank holding company” in accordance with the BHCA. In addition, (i) any bank holding company or foreign bank with a U.S. presence may be required to obtain the approval of the FRB under the BHCA to acquire or retain 5% or more of the outstanding First California common stock and (ii) any person other than a bank holding company may be required to obtain the approval of the FRB under the Change in Bank Control Act to acquire or retain 10% or more of the outstanding First California common stock. Becoming a bank holding company imposes certain statutory and regulatory restrictions and burdens, and might require the holder to divest all or a portion of the holder’s investment in First California. In addition, because a bank holding company is required to provide managerial and financial strength for its bank subsidiary, such a holder may be required to divest investments that may be deemed incompatible with bank holding company status, such as a material investment in a company unrelated to banking.

Concentrated ownership of our common stock creates risks for our stockholders, including a risk of sudden changes in our share price.

As of February 11, 2013, directors, executive officers and other affiliates of First California owned approximately 22% of First California’s outstanding common stock (not including vested option shares). As a result, if all of these stockholders were to take a common position, they would be able to significantly affect the election of directors, with respect to which stockholders are authorized to use cumulative voting, as well as the outcome of most corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, such as the approval of the PacWest Merger or other mergers or other business combinations. Such concentration may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of First California. In some situations, the interests of First California’s directors and executive officers may be different from other stockholders.

Investors who purchase our common stock may be subject to certain risks due to the concentrated ownership of our common stock. The sale by any of our large stockholders of a significant portion of that stockholder’s holdings could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. Furthermore, a group of our large stockholders can also demand that we register their shares under certain circumstances. Any such increase in the number of our publicly registered shares may cause the market price of our common stock to decline or fluctuate significantly.

We do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock and we do not expect to pay a cash dividend in the foreseeable future. We will periodically review our dividend policy in view of the operating performance of the company, and may declare dividends in the future if such payments are deemed appropriate.

There may be future sales of additional common stock or preferred stock or other dilution of our equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We are not restricted from issuing additional common stock or preferred stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock or preferred stock or any substantially similar securities. On March 3, 2010, a proposal was approved by our stockholders to amend our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 25,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 shares. This increase in the number of our authorized shares of common stock provides us with the flexibility to consider and respond to future business opportunities and needs as they arise, including equity offerings, acquisitions, stock dividends, issuances under stock incentive plans and other corporate

purposes. The market value of our common stock could decline as a result of sales by us of a large number of shares of common stock or preferred stock or similar securities in the market or the perception that such sales could occur.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The Bank leases approximately 21,900 square feet of space at Westlake Park Place, 3027 Townsgate Road, Westlake Village, California for its administrative headquarters. The lease term will expire in February 2019.

The Bank also leases approximately 13,900 square feet of space for administrative functions located at 1880 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California. The lease term will expire in May 2014. The Bank has sublet approximately half of this space through March 2014.

The Bank owns its Camarillo Branch Office located at 1150 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California. The building has approximately 9,000 square feet of space.

The Bank leases approximately 4,000 square feet of space for its Westlake Village Branch Office located at 32111 Agoura Road, Westlake Village, California. The lease term will expire in December 2014.

The Bank leases approximately 2,200 square feet of space for its Ventura Branch Office located at 1794 S. Victoria Avenue, Suite B, Ventura, California. The lease term will expire in June 2013.

The Bank leases approximately 1,700 square feet of space for its Oxnard Branch Office located at 300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 102, Oxnard, California. The lease term will expire in January 2016.

The Bank leases approximately 27,000 square feet of land for its Simi Valley Branch Office located at Simi Valley Towne Center, Simi Valley, California. The Bank owns the building which has approximately 5,000 square feet of space. The land lease term commenced in January 2006 and expires after 20 years.

The Bank leases approximately 1,900 square feet of space for its Century City Branch Office located at 1880 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California. The lease term will expire in June 2014.

The Bank leases approximately 1,650 square feet of space for its Encino Branch Office located at 16661 Ventura Boulevard, Encino, California. The lease term will expire in May 2013.

The Bank owns its Torrance Branch Office located at 2200 Sepulveda Blvd., Torrance, California. The building has approximately 15,966 square feet of space.

The Bank owns its Irvine Branch Office located at 19752 MacArthur Blvd., Irvine, California 92612. The building has approximately 21,000 square feet of space. The Bank has leased a portion of this space to a third party through July 2013.

The Bank leases approximately 3,500 square feet of space for its Glendale Branch Office located at 505 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, California. The lease has an initial term of five years and will expire in November 2013.

The Bank leases approximately 8,500 square feet of space for its Redlands Branch Office located at 218 East State Street, Redlands, California. The lease term will expire in July 2014.

The Bank leases approximately 3,700 square feet of space for its Escondido Office located at 320 West Mission Avenue, Escondido, California. The lease term will expire in February 2021.

The Bank leases approximately 4,500 square feet of space for its Palm Desert Branch Office located at 78-000 Fred Waring Drive, suite 100, Palm Desert, California. The lease term will expire in May 2014.

The Bank leases approximately 4,600 square feet of space for its Irwindale Branch Office located at 15622 Arrow Highway, Irwindale, California. The lease term will expire in May 2014.

The Bank lease approximately 5,120 square feet of space for its San Luis Obispo Office located at 1001 Marsh Street, San Luis Obispo, California. The lease term will expire in August 2016.

The Bank leases approximately 8,800 square feet of space for its Electronic Payment Services Division located at 70115 Highway 111, Rancho Mirage, California. The lease term will expire in December 2018.

The Bank leases approximately 3,850 square feet of space for its former Thousand Oaks Branch Office located at 11 E. Hillcrest Drive, Suite A, Thousand Oaks, California. The lease term will expire in October 2013.

The Bank leases approximately 5,100 square feet of space for its former Brea Branch Office located at 10 Pointe Drive, Suite 130, Brea, California. The lease term will expire in May 2014.

The Bank leases approximately 6,000 square feet of space for its former Woodland Hills Branch Office located at 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 100, Woodland Hills, California. The lease term will expire in March 2016.

The Bank believes that its premises will be adequate for present and anticipated needs. The Bank also believes that it has adequate insurance to cover its owned and leased premises.

Item 3.

Legal Proceedings

The information set forth in “Note 22-Commitments and Contingencies” of the Company’s Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4.

Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

29

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The common stock of First California began trading on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "FCAL" on March 13, 2007. Prior to that time, the common stock of National Mercantile, First California's predecessor, traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "MBLA". The information in the following table indicates the high and low sales prices for First California's common stock from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012, as reported by NASDAQ. Because of the limited market for First California's common stock, these prices may not be indicative of the fair market value of the common stock. The information does not include transactions for which no public records are available. The trading prices in such transactions may be higher or lower than the prices reported below.

	Common Stock	
	High	Low
2011		
First Quarter	\$ 4.09	\$ 2.80
Second Quarter	4.00	3.40
Third Quarter	3.95	2.77
Fourth Quarter	3.72	2.79
2012		
First Quarter	\$ 5.92	\$ 3.29
Second Quarter	7.12	5.27
Third Quarter	7.42	6.52
Fourth Quarter	7.96	6.34

At March 8, 2013, First California had 359 stockholders of record for its common stock. The number of beneficial owners for the common stock is higher, as many people hold their shares in "street" name.

Dividends

From its inception and until the completion of the mergers in March 2007, First California was a "business combination shell company," conducting no operations or owning or leasing any real estate or other property. Accordingly, First California did not pay any dividends to its sole stockholder, National Mercantile, prior to such mergers, nor has First California paid any dividends to its common stockholders since the completion of such mergers. Our common stockholders are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by our Board of Directors, out of funds legally available therefor, subject to the restrictions set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, or the DGCL. The DGCL provides that a corporation may declare and pay dividends out of any surplus, and, if it has no surplus, out of any net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend was declared or for the preceding fiscal year (provided that the payment will not reduce capital to an amount less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets). In addition, First California may not pay dividends on its capital stock if it is in default or has elected to defer payments of interest under its junior subordinated debentures. The Company cannot declare or pay a dividend on its common stock if we are in arrears on the dividends on our series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock.

We do not currently expect to pay a cash dividend to our common stockholders in the foreseeable future. We presently intend to retain earnings and increase capital in furtherance of our overall business objectives. We will periodically

review our dividend policy in view of the operating performance of the company, and may declare dividends in the future if such payments are deemed appropriate.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2012 information regarding outstanding options and the number of shares available for future option grants under all of our equity compensation plans. All equity plans of FCB Bancorp, in addition to those of National Mercantile Bancorp and all outstanding option awards were assumed by First California in connection with the mergers in 2007.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))	
			(a)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved				
by shareholders (1)	523,876	\$ 7.64		1,468,453
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	N/A	N/A		N/A
Total	523,876	\$ 7.64		1,468,453

(1) Includes the First California 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (as amended May 26, 2011), FCFG FCB 2005 Stock Option Plan, FCFG 2005 NMB Stock Incentive Plan, FCFG Amended 1996 NMB Stock Incentive Plan, FCFG 1994 NMB Stock Option Plan.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

There were no shares repurchased by the Company during the fourth quarter of 2012.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain of our financial and statistical information for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2012. This data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 and related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

	At or For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except per share amounts and percentages)				
Results of Operations (1):					
Interest income	\$76,818	\$72,598	\$59,350	\$64,941	\$63,235

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Interest expense	(9,493)	(13,104)	(14,654)	(19,887)	(22,453)
Net interest income	67,325	59,494	44,696	45,054	40,782
Provision for loan losses	(1,500)	(5,346)	(8,337)	(16,646)	(1,150)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	65,825	54,148	36,359	28,408	39,632
Other noninterest income	11,336	7,687	6,484	10,034	5,381
Gain from acquisitions	-	36,922	2,312	-	-
Other noninterest expense	(61,726)	(58,464)	(42,805)	(46,856)	(35,105)
Earnings (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit	15,435	40,293	2,350	(8,414)	9,908
Income tax (expense) benefit	(6,161)	(16,910)	(940)	3,753	(3,542)
Net earnings (loss)	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$1,410	\$(4,661)	\$6,366

Per Common Share Data:

Earnings (loss) per share (EPS):

Basic	\$0.27	\$0.73	\$0.01	\$(0.50)	\$0.56
Diluted	\$0.27	\$0.71	\$0.01	\$(0.50)	\$0.54
Common dividends declared	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Book value per common share	\$7.12	\$6.75	\$6.16	\$11.45	\$11.80
Tangible book value per common share	\$4.81	\$4.19	\$3.65	\$5.23	\$6.69
Shares outstanding	29,226	29,220	28,171	11,623	11,463
Average shares outstanding:					
Basic EPS	29,229	28,716	24,411	11,605	11,457
Diluted EPS	29,589	29,451	24,735	11,605	11,844

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

At or For the Year Ended December 31,
2012 2011 2010 2009 2008
(In thousands, except per share amounts and percentages)

Balance Sheet Data:

Total assets	\$1,887,843	\$1,812,664	\$1,521,334	\$1,459,821	\$1,178,045
Cash and cash equivalents	166,874	61,432	88,003	46,494	49,127
Investment securities	381,041	453,735	272,439	349,645	202,462
Loans held for sale	—	—	—	—	31,401
Non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income	1,043,021	936,103	947,737	939,246	788,421
Allowance for loan losses, non-covered loans	18,172	17,747	17,033	16,505	8,048
Covered loans, net	102,431	135,412	53,878	—	—
FDIC shared-loss receivable	45,345	68,083	16,725	—	—
Goodwill	60,720	60,720	60,720	60,720	50,098
Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles	6,892	13,887	9,915	11,581	8,452
Deposits	1,507,832	1,425,269	1,156,288	1,124,715	817,595
Borrowings	107,054	117,719	131,500	143,500	167,000
Subordinated debentures	26,805	26,805	26,805	26,753	26,701
Stockholders' equity	234,118	223,107	198,041	157,226	158,923

Performance Ratios:

Stockholders' equity to total assets ratio	12.40	%	12.31	%	13.02	%	10.77	%	13.49	%
Tangible common equity ratio	7.72	%	7.05	%	7.08	%	4.38	%	6.85	%
Total capital ratio (to risk weighted assets)	18.19	%	17.32	%	16.79	%	12.69	%	16.62	%
Tier 1 capital ratio (to risk weighted assets)	16.94	%	16.07	%	15.53	%	11.43	%	15.70	%
Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)	10.20	%	10.33	%	11.00	%	8.52	%	12.77	%
Loans to deposits ratio	75.97	%	75.18	%	86.62	%	83.51	%	100.27	%
Net interest margin	4.01	%	3.92	%	3.46	%	3.53	%	4.08	%
Efficiency ratio (2)	74.74	%	74.69	%	74.75	%	84.22	%	73.43	%
Return on average assets	0.48	%	1.31	%	0.10	%	(0.32))%	0.56	%
Return on average equity	4.02	%	10.94	%	0.75	%	(2.91))%	4.59	%
Average equity to average assets	11.93	%	11.97	%	12.94	%	11.11	%	12.22	%
Common dividend payout ratio	—		—		—		—		—	

Asset Quality:

Non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases	\$14,610	\$13,860	\$18,241	\$39,958	\$8,475
Non-covered foreclosed property	14,895	20,349	26,011	4,893	327
Non-covered nonperforming assets	\$29,505	\$34,209	\$44,252	\$44,851	\$8,802

Asset Quality Ratios:

Non-covered nonaccrual loans to non-covered loans, net of unearned income	1.40	%	1.48	%	1.92	%	4.25	%	1.07	%
Non-covered nonperforming assets										

to non-covered loans, net of unearned income, and OREO	2.79	%	3.58	%	4.54	%	4.75	%	1.12	%
Allowance for credit losses to non-covered nonaccrual loans	124.4	%	128.0	%	93.4	%	41.3	%	95.0	%
Allowance for credit losses to non-covered loans, net of unearned income	1.71	%	1.90	%	1.80	%	1.76	%	1.02	%
Net charge-offs to average non-covered loans	0.11	%	0.51	%	0.85	%	0.89	%	0.12	%

(1) Operating results of acquired companies are included from the respective acquisition dates.

(2) Computed by dividing noninterest expense, excluding amortization and impairment of intangible assets, integration/conversion expense and loss on and expense of foreclosed properties by net interest income and noninterest income, excluding gain on sale of securities, gain on acquisitions and market gain on foreclosed properties.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This discussion contains certain forward-looking information about us; we intend such statements to fall under the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond our control. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- risks related to our proposed merger with PacWest;
- revenues are lower than expected;
- credit quality deterioration, which could cause an increase in the provision for loan losses;
- competitive pressure among depository institutions increases significantly;
- changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities or to achieve expected synergies and operating efficiencies within expected time-frames or at all;

- a slowdown in construction activity;
- technological changes;
- the cost of additional capital is more than expected;
- the resolution of pending legal matters;
- a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;
- asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;

general economic conditions, particularly those affecting real estate values, either nationally or in the market areas in which we do or anticipate doing business, are less favorable than expected;

- legislative, accounting or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting our business;

the effects of and changes in monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, or the Federal Reserve Board;

- the costs and effects of legal, accounting and regulatory developments;
- recent volatility in the credit or equity markets and its effect on the general economy;

regulatory approvals for acquisitions cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule; and demand for the products or services of First California and the Bank, as well as their ability to attract and retain qualified people.

If any of these risks or uncertainties materializes, or if any of the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements proves to be incorrect, our results could differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. For information with respect to factors that could cause actual results to differ from the expectations stated in the forward-looking statements, see “Risk Factors” under Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We urge investors to consider all of these factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We make these forward-looking statements as of the date of this document and we do not intend, and assume no obligation, to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements contained in this document and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any other person acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Overview

First California Financial Group, Inc., or First California, or the Company, is a bank holding company which serves the comprehensive banking needs of businesses and individuals in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo and Ventura counties through our wholly-owned subsidiary, First California Bank, or the Bank. The Bank is a state chartered commercial bank that provides traditional business and consumer banking products and services through 15 full-service branch locations. The Company also has two unconsolidated statutory business trust subsidiaries, First California Capital Trust I and FCB Statutory Trust I, which raised capital through the issuance of trust preferred securities.

At December 31, 2012, we had consolidated total assets of \$1.9 billion, total loans of \$1.1 billion, total deposits of \$1.5 billion and shareholders' equity of \$234.2 million. A year ago, at December 31, 2011, we had consolidated total assets of \$1.8 billion, total loans of \$1.1 billion, total deposits of \$1.4 billion and shareholders' equity of \$223.1 million.

On November 5, 2010, the Bank assumed all of the deposits and substantially all of the assets of Western Commercial Bank, located in Woodland Hills, California, from the FDIC. The Bank acquired approximately \$109 million of total assets, including \$55 million in loans related to the transaction. The Bank assumed approximately \$105 million of deposits related to the transaction. We accounted for the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank transaction using the acquisition method of accounting; accordingly, our balance sheet includes the estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Our results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 include the effects of the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank transaction from the date of the transaction. As part of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed property and accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80 percent of losses and share in 80 percent of loss recoveries. The shared-loss agreements for commercial mortgage loans are in effect for 5 years from the November 5, 2010 acquisition date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years from the acquisition date.

On February 18, 2011, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of San Luis Trust Bank, or SLTB, located in San Luis Obispo, California, from the FDIC. The Bank acquired, received and recognized certain assets with an estimated fair value of approximately \$367 million, including \$139 million of loans, \$99 million of cash and federal funds sold, \$70 million of a FDIC shared-loss asset, \$41 million of securities, \$13 million of foreclosed property and \$5 million of other assets. The Bank also assumed and recognized liabilities with an estimated fair value of approximately \$346 million, including \$266 million of deposits, \$62 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, \$15 million in a deferred tax liability, \$2.6 million of a FDIC shared-loss liability and \$0.4 million of other liabilities. The Bank recorded a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$36.5 million in connection with this transaction. As part of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed property and accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80 percent of losses and share in 80 percent of loss recoveries. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and residential mortgage loans are in effect for 5 years and 10 years, respectively, from the February 18, 2011 acquisition date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years and 10 years, respectively, from the acquisition date. Our results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 include the effects of the FDIC-assisted San Luis Trust bank transaction from the date of the transaction.

On April 8, 2011, the Bank completed the acquisition of the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. The transaction included the division's customer base, core deposits, and employees. The Electronic

Payment Services Division, or the EPS division, its new name under the Bank, has issued prepaid cards and sponsored merchant acquiring services for all national and regional networks, including Visa, MasterCard, and Discover throughout all 50 states and U.S. territories. The Bank acquired cash of \$85.4 million, recognized intangible assets of \$6.0 million, assumed \$91 million of deposits and recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction. Our results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 include the effects of the EPS acquisition from the date of the transaction.

On February 13, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company and the Board of Directors of the Bank committed to a plan to wind down the EPS division. The Company previously announced on November 6, 2012, that the Company and PacWest entered into a Merger Agreement pursuant to which the Company would merge with and into PacWest, with PacWest as the surviving corporation. As previously disclosed in the amended Registration Statement on Form S-4 of PacWest, PacWest concluded that the EPS division was not suited to PacWest's commercial banking business model and PacWest would proceed to exit the EPS division upon completion of the PacWest Merger. In connection with the plan to discontinue the EPS division, we evaluated the core deposit intangible and customer relationship intangible assets related to the EPS division and determined that the full amount of both intangible assets was not recoverable and we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$4.8 million in December 2012. We have targeted December 31, 2013 for substantial completion of the wind down of the EPS division. Therefore, the results of operations of the EPS division will be presented as "discontinued operations" in future filings.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net income of \$9.3 million compared to net income of \$23.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. After dividend payments of \$1.3 million on our Series C preferred shares, we had net income per diluted common share of \$0.27 for the year ended December 31, 2012. After dividend payments of \$2.6 million on our Series B and Series C preferred shares, including a \$1.1 million deemed dividend related to the full redemption of our Series B preferred shares in the third quarter of 2011, we had net income per diluted common share of \$0.71 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The significant decrease in net income in 2012 was due largely to the bargain purchase gains on the SLTB and EPS acquisitions recorded in 2011 and the impairment charge taken in 2012 in connection with the wind down of the EPS division.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we had net income of \$23.4 million compared to net income of \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. After dividend payments of \$2.6 million on our Series B and Series C preferred shares, including a \$1.1 million deemed dividend related to the full redemption of our Series B preferred shares in the third quarter of 2011, we had net income per diluted common share of \$0.71 for the year ended December 31, 2011. After dividend payments of \$1.3 million on our Series B preferred shares, we had net income per diluted common share of \$0.01 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The significant increase in net income in 2011 was due largely to the bargain purchase gains on the SLTB and EPS acquisitions.

Proposed Merger with PacWest

On November 6, 2012, First California entered into the Merger Agreement with PacWest. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the Company will be merged with and into PacWest, with PacWest as the surviving corporation, which we refer to as the PacWest Merger. The Merger Agreement also provides that, simultaneously with the PacWest Merger, the Bank will merge with and into Pacific Western Bank, a wholly owned subsidiary of PacWest, with Pacific Western Bank continuing as the surviving bank.

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, in the PacWest Merger, each outstanding share of common stock of the Company, other than shares held by the Company as treasury stock or by PacWest, will be cancelled and converted into the right to receive a fractional share of PacWest common stock equal to the quotient (which we refer to as the Exchange Ratio) obtained by dividing \$8.00 by the volume weighted average closing price of PacWest common stock for a specified period, or the Average PacWest Common Stock Price. However, if the Average PacWest Common Stock Price is greater than or equal to \$27.00, then the Exchange Ratio will be 0.2963, and if the Average PacWest Common Stock Price is less than or equal to \$20.00, then the Exchange Ratio will be 0.4000.

Immediately prior to the effective time of the PacWest Merger, each option to purchase First California common stock will become fully vested and be cancelled in exchange for the right to receive a cash payment calculated based on the Exchange Ratio, and each share of First California restricted stock will vest and will be converted into the right to receive a number of shares of PacWest common stock equal to the Exchange Ratio.

First California and PacWest have each made customary representations and warranties in the Merger Agreement and agreed to customary covenants, including covenants regarding the operation of the business of First California and its subsidiaries prior to the closing and covenants prohibiting First California from soliciting, providing information or entering into discussions concerning proposals relating to alternative business combination transactions, except in limited circumstances relating to unsolicited proposals that constitute, or are reasonably capable of becoming, a superior proposal.

Consummation of the PacWest Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including approval of First California's stockholders and PacWest's stockholders. The Merger Agreement may be terminated under certain circumstances, including by either party if the PacWest Merger has not occurred by August 6, 2013, if an order is entered prohibiting or making illegal the transaction and the order has become final and non-appealable, if the stockholders of First California or PacWest fail to approve the transaction, or upon a material uncured breach by the other party that would cause the closing conditions not to be satisfied.

The Merger Agreement provides certain termination rights for both First California and PacWest and further provides that upon termination of the Merger Agreement under certain circumstances, PacWest will be obligated to pay First California a termination fee of \$5,000,000 and under certain circumstances, First California will be obligated to pay PacWest a termination fee of \$10,000,000.

Upon consummation of the PacWest Merger, the Board of Directors of PacWest will consist of the directors serving on the Board of Directors of PacWest prior to the effective time of the PacWest Merger plus two independent

directors designated by the Board of Directors of First California and approved by the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee of PacWest.

Critical Accounting Policies

We base our discussion and analysis of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition on our audited consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, income and expense, and the related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of these consolidated financial statements. We believe these estimates and assumptions to be reasonably accurate; however, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or circumstances. The following are our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Allowance for loan losses

We establish the allowance for loan losses through a provision charged to expense. We charge-off loans against the allowance when we believe that the collectability of the loan is unlikely. We perform periodic and systematic detailed reviews of the loan portfolio to identify trends and to assess the overall collectability of the loan portfolio. The allowance is an amount that we believe will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible based on evaluations of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. We believe the accounting estimate related to the allowance for loan losses is a “critical accounting estimate” because: changes in it can materially affect the provision for loan losses and net income, it requires management to predict borrowers’ likelihood or capacity to repay, and it requires management to distinguish between losses incurred as of a balance sheet date and losses expected to be incurred in the future. Accordingly, this is a highly subjective process and requires significant judgment since it is often difficult to determine when specific loss events may actually occur. Our evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquent and nonaccrual loans, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national levels, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior twenty quarters. We also evaluate individual loans for impairment and if a portion of a loan is impaired, we charge-off the impaired amount or allocate a specific reserve for that loan. Various regulatory agencies, as a regular part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations. The allowance for loan losses was \$18.2 million at December 31, 2012 and \$17.7 million at December 31, 2011.

Non-covered foreclosed property

We acquire, through foreclosure or through full or partial satisfaction of a non-covered loan, real or personal property. At the time of foreclosure, we obtain an appraisal of the property and record the property at its estimated fair value less costs to sell. We charge the allowance for loan losses for the loan amount in excess of the fair value of the non-covered foreclosed property received; we credit earnings for the fair value amount of the non-covered foreclosed property in excess of the non-covered loan due. Subsequent to foreclosure, we periodically assess our disposition efforts and the estimated fair value less costs to sell of the non-covered foreclosed property. We establish a valuation allowance through a charge to earnings for estimated declines in fair value subsequent to foreclosure. Operating income and operating expense related to non-covered foreclosed property is included in earnings as are any ultimate gains or losses on the sale of the non-covered foreclosed property. Our recognition of gain is, however, dependent on the buyer’s initial investment in the purchase of the non-covered foreclosed property meeting certain criteria. The estimated fair value of non-covered foreclosed property was \$14.9 million at December 31, 2012 and \$20.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Covered foreclosed property

We refer to all foreclosed property acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions that are subject to a FDIC shared-loss agreement as “covered foreclosed property” and report such foreclosed property separately in our consolidated balance sheets. We report covered foreclosed property exclusive of expected reimbursement cash flows from the FDIC. We transfer foreclosed covered loan collateral into covered foreclosed property at the collateral’s net realizable value, less estimated selling costs.

We initially recorded covered foreclosed property at its estimated fair value on the acquisition date based on similar market comparable valuations less estimated selling costs. We charge any subsequent valuation adjustments due to declines in fair value to non-interest expense, with an offset to non-interest income representing the corresponding increase in the FDIC shared-loss asset for the reimbursement of the loss amount. We credit any recoveries of previous valuation adjustments to non-interest expense with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC. The estimated fair value of covered foreclosed property was \$3.9 million at December 31, 2012 and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011.

Deferred income taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets subject to our judgment that realization of the assets are more-likely-than-not. We establish a valuation allowance when we determine that realization of income tax benefits may not occur in future years. There were net deferred tax assets of \$1.4 million at December 31, 2012 and net deferred tax liabilities of \$7.4 million at December 31, 2011. There were no valuation allowances at either year-end.

FDIC shared-loss asset

We initially recorded the FDIC shared-loss asset at fair value, based on the discounted value of expected future cash flows under the shared-loss agreements. We accrete into non-interest income over the life of the FDIC shared-loss asset the difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows we expect to collect from the FDIC. Subsequent to initial recognition, we review quarterly the FDIC shared-loss asset and adjust for any changes in expected cash flows based on recent performance and expectations for future performance of the covered portfolio. We measure these adjustments on the same basis as the related covered loans, at a pool level, and covered foreclosed property. Generally, any increases in cash flow of the covered assets over those previously expected will result in prospective increases in the loan pool yield and amortization of the FDIC shared-loss asset. Any decreases in cash flow of the covered assets under those previously expected will trigger impairments on the underlying loan pools and will result in a corresponding gain on the FDIC shared-loss asset. We record increases and decreases to the FDIC shared-loss asset as adjustments to non-interest income. The FDIC shared-loss asset was \$45.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$68.1 million at December 31, 2011.

FDIC shared-loss liability

Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the WCB and SLTB acquisition dates, we will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. Our estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Derivative instruments and hedging

For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. The Company recognizes the unrealized gains or losses of derivative instruments directly in current period earnings to the extent these instruments are not effective. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$37.1 million notional interest rate caps to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$26.8 million junior subordinated debentures. Our quarterly effectiveness assessments throughout 2012 indicated that these instruments were effective.

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had \$240 million notional interest rate caps to manage the interest rate risk associated with its fixed rate securities and loans; however, these instruments do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. We mark-to-market derivatives not designated as hedges each period through earnings. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the estimated fair value of these interest rate caps was \$76,000 and \$291,000 and we recorded these amounts within "accrued interest receivable and other assets" on the consolidated balance sheets.

Assessments of impairment

We assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis as of December 31, or at interim periods if an event occurs or circumstances change that may indicate impairment may potentially exist. We estimate the implied fair value of goodwill by comparing the estimated fair value of the Company to the estimated fair value of the Company's individual assets, liabilities, and identifiable intangible assets. Impairment exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds this implied fair value.

At December 31, 2012, we had goodwill of \$60.7 million. We recognized goodwill of \$50.1 million in connection with the Merger in 2007 and \$10.6 million in connection with the FDIC-assisted 1st Centennial Bank transaction in 2009. We consolidated all operations under First California Bank at the time of each transaction; accordingly, we performed our goodwill impairment analysis on a consolidated or single unit basis.

The first step of our analysis compared the fair value of the Company to the carrying amount of the Company, including goodwill. At December 31, 2012, the measurement date, the carrying amount of the Company was \$234.0 million. First California entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with PacWest in November 2012. Management considered the consideration being paid for the Company in this arms-length transaction to be the best indicator of the Company's fair value.

Based on this first step of the impairment analysis, we determined that the fair value of the Company exceeded the carrying amount of the Company, including goodwill, and therefore indicated no potential impairment. If this first step indicates no potential impairment, performing step 2 of the impairment analysis is not considered necessary.

We assess other intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. We evaluated the core deposit intangible and customer relationship intangible assets related to the EPS division and determined that the full amount of both intangible assets was not recoverable and we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$4.8 million in December 2012.

We also undertake an impairment analysis on our debt and equity securities each quarter. When we do not intend to sell, and it is more-likely-than-not that we are not required to sell, a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, we separate other-than-temporary impairment into (a) the amount representing credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors. We recognize in earnings the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss. We recognize in other comprehensive income the amount of other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors. Our assessment of other-than-temporary declines in fair value considers the duration the debt security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position, the severity of the decline in value, the rating of the debt security, and the long-term financial outlook of the issuer. In addition, we consider the expected future cash flows of the debt security and our ability and intent on holding the debt security until the fair values recover.

For 2012, other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss on debt securities and recognized in earnings was \$0.7 million. In addition, we recognized impairment of \$39,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment.

For 2011, other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss on debt securities and recognized in earnings was \$1.4 million.

For 2010, other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss on debt securities and recognized in earnings was \$0.7 million. In addition, we recognized impairment of \$41,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment.

Results of Operations—for the two years ended December 31, 2012

Net interest income

Our earnings are derived predominantly from net interest income, which is the difference between interest and fees earned on loans, securities and federal funds sold (these asset classes are commonly referred to as interest-earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits, borrowings and debentures (these liability classes are commonly referred to as interest-bearing funds). The net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Our net interest income for 2012 was \$67.3 million, up 13 percent from \$59.5 million last year. The increase in our net interest income principally reflects an increase in interest-earning assets combined with a lower cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

Our net interest margin (on a taxable equivalent basis) was 4.01 percent for 2012 compared with 3.92 percent for 2011. The increase in our net interest margin was primarily due to a 29 basis point reduction in the cost on our interest-earning liabilities partially offset by a 22 basis point reduction in the yield of our interest earning assets.

The following table presents the average balances, the amount of interest earned or incurred and the applicable taxable equivalent yields for interest-earning assets and the costs of interest-bearing liabilities that generate net interest income:

Average Balance Sheet and Analysis of Net Interest Income

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Average Amount	Interest Income/ Expense	Weighted Average Yield/ Rate	Average Amount	Interest Income/ Expense	Weighted Average Yield/ Rate
Loans (1)	\$ 1,131,442	\$ 70,190	6.20%	\$ 1,065,350	\$ 65,945	6.19%
Securities	486,133	6,397	1.39%	325,850	6,303	1.97%
Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	70,979	231	0.32%	127,470	350	0.27%
Total earning assets	1,688,554	76,818	4.57%	1,518,670	72,598	4.79%
Non-earning assets	252,046			267,839		
Total average assets	\$ 1,940,600			\$ 1,786,509		
Interest-bearing checking	\$ 113,627	214	0.19%	\$ 99,900	340	0.34%
Savings and money market	493,155	2,247	0.46%	469,468	3,922	0.84%
Certificates of deposit	335,782	2,713	0.81%	401,859	3,750	0.93%
Total interest-bearing deposits	942,564	5,174	0.55%	971,227	8,012	0.82%
Borrowings	123,082	3,533	2.87%	128,947	3,750	2.91%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	786	2.93%	26,805	1,342	5.01%
Total borrowed funds	149,887	4,319	2.87%	155,752	5,092	3.26%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,092,451	9,493	0.87%	1,126,979	13,104	1.16%
Noninterest checking	599,152			422,933		
Other liabilities	17,487			22,807		
Shareholders' equity	231,510			213,790		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,940,600			\$ 1,786,509		
Net interest income		\$ 67,325			\$ 59,494	
Net interest margin (tax equivalent) (2)			4.01%			3.92%

(1)

Yields and amounts earned on loans include loan fees and discount/premium accretion of \$4.3 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Yields and amounts earned on loans include interest income (discount accretion) on covered loans of \$18.9 million and \$14.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The average loan balance includes nonaccrual loans; however, there is no interest income related to nonaccrual loans in the amount earned on loans.

(2) Includes tax equivalent adjustments related to tax-exempt income on securities.

Our net interest income changes with the level and mix of average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds balance changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from balance changes by multiplying the change in the average balance between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average rate.

Our net interest income also changes with the average rate earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in average rates earned and paid rate changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from rate changes by multiplying the change in average rates earned or paid between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average balance.

We allocate the change in our net interest income attributable to both balance and rate on a pro rata basis to the change in average balance and the change in average rate. The following table presents the changes in our interest income and interest expense:

Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income/Expense Due to Change in Average Volume and Average Rate (1)

	2012 vs. 2011		Net Increase (Decrease)
	Increase (Decrease) due to:		
	Volume	Rate	
Interest Income:			
Interest on loans (2)	\$4,091	\$154	\$4,245
Interest on securities	3,160	(3,066)	94
Interest on Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	(155)	36	(119)
Total interest income	7,096	(2,876)	4,220
Interest Expense:			
Interest on deposits	(236)	(2,602)	(2,838)
Interest on borrowings	(171)	(46)	(217)
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	-	(556)	(556)
Total interest expense	(407)	(3,204)	(3,611)
Net interest income	\$7,503	\$328	\$7,831

(1) The change in interest income or interest expense that is attributable to both changes in average balance and average rate has been allocated to the changes due to (i) average balance and (ii) average rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute amounts of changes in each.

(2) Table does not include interest income that would have been earned on nonaccrual loans.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses was \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in the provision for loan losses in 2012 compared to 2011 reflects a decline in the quantitative and qualitative loss factors used in estimating the allowance for loan losses. At December 31, 2012, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-covered loans was 1.71 percent compared with 1.90 percent at December 31, 2011.

Noninterest income

Noninterest income was \$11.3 million for 2012 compared with \$44.6 million for 2011. The decrease reflects primarily the \$36.9 million gain on acquisitions recorded in 2011.

Service charges on deposit accounts were \$3.1 million for 2012, down 10 percent from \$3.4 million for 2011. The decrease reflects a lower incidence of customers drawing checks against their deposit account when insufficient funds are on deposit.

In 2012, we sold \$10.9 million of U.S. Small Business Administration, or SBA, loans and realized gains of \$534,000. We did not have an SBA department in 2011.

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had a \$240 million notional amount portfolio of one-year interest rate caps, up from \$120 million at the end of 2011. At December 31, 2012, \$220 million of these interest rate caps were forward-starting and not yet effective. The estimated fair value of the portfolio of interest rate caps were \$76,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$291,000 at December 31, 2011. We recognized a loss on non-hedged derivatives of \$536,000 for 2012 and a loss of \$84,000 for 2011.

Amortization of the FDIC shared-loss asset was \$3.3 million for 2012 compared with accretion of \$0.2 million for 2011. The increased amortization in 2012 was the result of lower estimated claims to be paid by the FDIC related to our shared-loss agreements.

For all of 2012 we sold \$286.0 million of securities and net gains from the sales of these securities were \$5.7 million. For all of 2011 we sold \$39.0 million of securities and net gains from the sales of these securities were \$1.0 million.

In 2012, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses on private-label collateralized mortgage obligations of \$0.7 million. In addition, we recognized an impairment loss of \$39,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment. In 2011, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses on private-label collateralized mortgage obligations of \$1.4 million. We will continue to evaluate our securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and we can provide no assurance there will not be impairment losses in future periods.

In the 2011 fourth quarter, we completed the foreclosures on a \$1.4 million office building and a \$0.9 million multifamily property. We obtained current appraisals and determined the fair values of these properties were \$0.4 million higher than the loan balances. Accordingly, we recognized a \$0.4 million market gain on foreclosed assets in the 2011 fourth quarter. There was no such gain recognized in 2012.

On February 18, 2011, the Bank assumed all of the deposits and substantially all of the assets of San Luis Trust Bank, located in San Luis Obispo, California, from the FDIC. We recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$36.5 million in connection with this transaction. On April 8, 2011, the Bank acquired the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. We recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction.

Other income was \$6.1 million for 2012, up from \$3.6 million in 2011. The significant increase was due to twelve months of operations from the EPS division for 2012 compared with nine months for 2011.

The following table presents a summary of noninterest income:

	For the years ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 3,108	\$ 3,447
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	427	438
Gain on loan sales and commissions	534	—
Loss on non-hedged derivatives	(536)	(84)
(Amortization)accretion of FDIC shared-loss asset	(3,269)	187
Net gain on sale of securities	5,672	1,022
Impairment loss on securities	(728)	(1,387)
Market gain on foreclosed assets	—	429
Gain on acquisitions	—	36,922
Other income	6,128	3,635
Total noninterest income	\$ 11,336	\$ 44,609

Noninterest expense

Our noninterest expense for 2012 was \$61.7 million, up 6 percent from \$58.5 million for 2011. The increase in noninterest expense reflects the \$4.8 million pre-tax impairment charge related to the intangible assets of the EPS division, higher compensation and professional fee expenses partially offset by a net gain on and expense of foreclosed property for 2012 compared with a net loss and expense of foreclosed property for 2011. Salaries and benefits expense increased to \$28.3 million in 2012 from \$26.5 million in 2011 due to a full year of EPS division operations in 2012 compared with nine months for 2011. Professional expense increased to \$7.8 million in 2012 from \$5.6 million in 2011. The increase was due primarily to expense related to shareholder matters of \$3.2 million in 2012. We recognized income of \$0.4 million in 2012 on foreclosed property compared to a loss of \$5.2 million in

2011. The loss in 2011 was mainly due to valuation allowances recorded on our two largest foreclosed properties.

41

The following table presents a summary of noninterest expense:

	For the years ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 28,325	\$ 26,510
Premises and equipment	6,393	6,298
Data processing	3,449	3,500
Legal, audit, and other professional services	7,812	5,570
Printing, stationary, and supplies	305	366
Telephone	856	800
Directors' fees	619	460
Advertising and marketing	1,545	1,637
Postage	217	222
Insurance and regulatory assessments	2,213	2,199
(Gain)/loss on and expense of foreclosed property, net	(362)	5,178
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets	6,995	2,289
Other expenses	3,359	3,435
Total noninterest expense	\$ 61,726	\$ 58,464

Our efficiency ratio was 75 percent for both 2012 and 2011. The efficiency ratio is the percentage relationship of noninterest expense, excluding amortization and impairment of intangibles, loss on and expense of foreclosed property and integration/conversion expenses related to acquisitions, to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income, excluding gains or losses on security sales, other-than-temporary impairment losses and gain on acquisitions.

Income taxes

The income tax provision was \$6.2 million for 2012 compared with \$16.9 million for 2011. The effective tax rate was 39.9 percent for 2012 compared with 42.0 percent for 2011.

The combined federal and state statutory rate for 2012 and 2011 was 42.05 percent. The effective tax rates for 2012 and 2011 approximated the combined federal and state statutory tax rate because the additions to and exclusions from taxable income were not material.

Results of Operations—for the two years ended December 31, 2011

Net interest income

Our earnings are derived predominantly from net interest income, which is the difference between interest and fees earned on loans, securities and federal funds sold (these asset classes are commonly referred to as interest-earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits, borrowings and debentures (these liability classes are commonly referred to as interest-bearing funds). The net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Our net interest income for 2011 was \$59.5 million, up 33 percent from \$44.7 million last year. The increase in our net interest income principally reflects the increase in interest-earning assets from the SLTB acquisition combined with a lower cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

Our net interest margin (on a taxable equivalent basis) was 3.92 percent for 2011 compared with 3.46 percent for 2010. The increase in our net interest margin was primarily due to a 39 basis point reduction in the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities for 2011 was 1.16 percent compared with 1.55 percent for 2010. The improvement in the yield on interest-earning assets also contributed to the increase in the net interest margin. The yield on interest-earning assets for 2011 increased 20 basis points to 4.79 percent from 4.59 percent for 2010.

The following table presents the average balances, the amount of interest earned or incurred and the applicable taxable equivalent yields for interest-earning assets and the costs of interest-bearing liabilities that generate net interest income:

Average Balance Sheet and Analysis of Net Interest Income

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Average Amount	Interest Income/ Expense	Weighted Average Yield/ Rate	Average Amount	Interest Income/ Expense	Weighted Average Yield/ Rate
Loans (1)	\$ 1,065,350	\$ 65,945	6.19%	\$ 931,271	\$ 53,240	5.72%
Securities	325,850	6,303	1.97%	300,162	5,914	2.00%
Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	127,470	350	0.27%	63,042	196	0.31%
Total earning assets	1,518,670	72,598	4.79%	1,294,475	59,350	4.59%
Non-earning assets	267,839			165,642		
Total average assets	\$ 1,786,509			\$ 1,460,117		
Interest-bearing checking	\$ 99,900	340	0.34%	\$ 81,016	277	0.34%
Savings and money market	469,468	3,922	0.84%	367,371	3,611	0.98%
Certificates of deposit	401,859	3,750	0.93%	334,914	4,085	1.22%
Total interest-bearing deposits	971,227	8,012	0.82%	783,301	7,973	1.02%
Borrowings	128,947	3,750	2.91%	133,995	4,945	3.69%
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	1,342	5.01%	26,779	1,736	6.48%
Total borrowed funds	155,752	5,092	3.26%	160,774	6,681	4.14%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,126,979	13,104	1.16%	944,075	14,654	1.55%
Noninterest checking	422,933			317,533		
Other liabilities	22,807			9,543		
Shareholders' equity	213,790			188,966		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,786,509			\$ 1,460,117		
Net interest income		\$ 59,494			\$ 44,696	

Net interest margin (tax equivalent) (2)	3.92%	3.46%
--	-------	-------

(1) Yields and amounts earned on loans include loan fees and discount/premium accretion of \$2.9 million and (\$0.5) million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Yields and amounts earned on loans include interest income (discount accretion) on covered loans of \$14.3 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The average loan balance includes nonaccrual loans; however, there is no interest income related to nonaccrual loans in the amount earned on loans.

(2) Includes tax equivalent adjustments related to tax-exempt income on securities.

Our net interest income changes with the level and mix of average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds balance changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from balance changes by multiplying the change in the average balance between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average rate.

Our net interest income also changes with the average rate earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds. We call the changes between periods in average rates earned and paid rate changes. We measure the effect on our net interest income from rate changes by multiplying the change in average rates earned or paid between the current period and the prior period by the prior period average balance.

We allocate the change in our net interest income attributable to both balance and rate on a pro rata basis to the change in average balance and the change in average rate. The following table presents the changes in our interest income and interest expense:

Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income/Expense Due to Change in Average Volume and Average Rate (1)

	2011 vs. 2010		Net Increase (Decrease)
	Increase (Decrease) due to: Volume	Rate	
Interest Income:			
Interest on loans (2)	\$7,665	\$5,040	\$12,705
Interest on securities	513	(124)	389
Interest on Federal funds sold and deposits with banks	200	(46)	154
Total interest income	8,378	4,870	13,248
Interest Expense:			
Interest on deposits	1,913	(1,874)	39
Interest on borrowings	(186)	(1,009)	(1,195)
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	2	(396)	(394)
Total interest expense	1,729	(3,279)	(1,550)
Net interest income	\$6,649	\$8,149	\$14,798

(1) The change in interest income or interest expense that is attributable to both changes in average balance and average rate has been allocated to the changes due to (i) average balance and (ii) average rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute amounts of changes in each.

(2) Table does not include interest income that would have been earned on nonaccrual loans.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses was \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared with \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in the provision for loan losses in 2011 compared to 2010 reflects a decline in non-covered loan balances. We revised downward in the fourth quarter of 2011 our estimated loss factors for the qualitative considerations used in the determination of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. We revised upward in the first three quarters of 2011 and revised downward in the final quarter of 2011, our estimated loss factors for the quantitative considerations used in the determination of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. The change in our estimated loss factors for the 2011 period was less than the change for the 2010 period; however, the changes resulted in a higher ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-covered loans. At December 31, 2011, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-covered loans was 1.90 percent compared with 1.80 percent at December 31, 2010.

Noninterest income

Noninterest income was \$44.6 million for 2011 compared with \$8.8 million for 2010. The increase is primarily due to gain on acquisitions.

Service charges on deposit accounts were \$3.4 million for 2011, up 7 percent from \$3.2 million for 2010. The increase reflects the related growth in our deposit balances from \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2011.

In 2011, we did not sell any loans. During 2010, we sold one loan for a gain of \$8,000. We did not receive commissions on brokered commercial and multifamily mortgages in 2011 compared with \$47,000 in 2010.

For all of 2011 we sold \$39.0 million of securities and net gains from the sales of these securities were \$1.0 million. For all of 2010 we sold \$236.0 million of securities and net gains from the sales of these securities were \$2.0 million.

In 2011, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses on private-label collateralized mortgage obligations of \$1.4 million. In 2010, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses on private-label collateralized mortgage obligations of \$0.7 million. In addition, we recognized an impairment loss of \$41,000 on a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment. We will continue to evaluate our securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment at each reporting date and we can provide no assurance there will not be impairment losses in future periods.

In the 2011 fourth quarter, we completed the foreclosures on a \$1.4 million office building and a \$0.9 million multifamily property. We obtained current appraisals and determined the fair values of these properties were \$0.4 million higher than the loan balances. Accordingly, we recognized a \$0.4 million market gain on foreclosed assets in the 2011 fourth quarter. In the 2010 second quarter we completed the foreclosure on a \$21.0 million completed office construction project. This project consisted of 20 completed units ranging from approximately 1,650 square feet to 14,600 square feet in size. We obtained a current appraisal, evaluated the estimated retail sales prices as well as the estimated costs to sell and determined the fair value of this project to be \$21.7 million. Accordingly, in the 2010 second quarter, we recognized a market value gain on foreclosed assets of \$0.7 million.

On February 18, 2011, the Bank assumed all of the deposits and substantially all of the assets of San Luis Trust Bank, located in San Luis Obispo, California, from the FDIC. We recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$36.5 million in connection with this transaction. On April 8, 2011, the Bank acquired the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. We recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction. On November 5, 2010, the Bank assumed all of the deposits and substantially all of the assets of Western Commercial Bank, located in Woodland Hills, California, from the FDIC. We recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$2.3 million in connection with this transaction.

Other income was \$3.7 million for 2011, up 363 percent from \$0.8 million in 2010. The significant increase was due to the acquisition of the EPS division in April 2011 that generates fee income from the issuance of prepaid cards and sponsoring merchant acquiring services for all national and regional credit card networks.

The following table presents a summary of noninterest income:

	For the years ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$3,447	\$3,225
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	438	441
Gain on loan sales and commissions	—	55
Loss on non-hedged derivatives	(84)	—
Accretion of FDIC shared-loss asset	187	—
Net gain on sale of securities	1,022	2,014
Impairment losses on securities	(1,387)	(749)
Market gain on foreclosed assets	429	691
Gain on acquisition	36,922	2,312
Other income	3,635	807
Total noninterest income	\$44,609	\$8,796

Noninterest expense

Our noninterest expense for 2011 was \$58.5 million, up 37 percent from \$42.8 million for 2010. The increase in noninterest expense was largely in salaries and benefits expense, professional expense and loss on and expense of foreclosed properties. Salaries and benefits expense reflects the workforce increases from the acquisitions of Western Commercial Bank in November 2010, San Luis Trust Bank in February 2011 and the EPS division in April 2011. Full-time equivalent employees were 304 at December 31, 2011 as compared with 248 at December 31, 2010. Professional expense increased to \$5.6 million in 2011 from \$2.0 million in 2010. The increase was due to litigation expense related to ongoing legal cases and a high level of legal loan collection expense. Loss on and expense of foreclosed properties increased to \$5.2 million in 2011 from \$3.0 million in 2010. The increase was mainly due to valuation allowances recorded on our two largest foreclosed properties.

The following table presents a summary of noninterest expense:

	For the years ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$26,510	\$19,014
Premises and equipment	6,298	6,268
Data processing	3,500	2,564
Legal, audit, and other professional services	5,570	2,033
Printing, stationary, and supplies	366	258
Telephone	800	841
Directors' fees	460	428
Advertising and marketing	1,637	918
Postage	222	212
Insurance and regulatory assessments	2,199	2,944
Loss on and expense of foreclosed property, net	5,178	2,954
Amortization of intangible assets	2,289	1,666
Other expenses	3,435	2,705
Total noninterest expense	\$58,464	\$42,805

Our efficiency ratio was 75 percent for both 2011 and 2010. The efficiency ratio is the percentage relationship of noninterest expense, excluding amortization of intangibles, loss on and expense of foreclosed property and integration/conversion expenses related to acquisitions, to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income, excluding gains or losses on security sales, other-than-temporary impairment losses and gain on acquisitions.

Income taxes

The income tax provision was \$16.9 million for 2011 compared with \$0.9 million for 2010. The effective tax rate was 42.0 percent for 2011 compared with 40.0 percent for 2010.

The combined federal and state statutory rate for 2011 and 2010 was 42.05 percent. The effective tax rates for 2011 and 2010 approximated the combined federal and state statutory tax rate because the additions to and exclusions from taxable income were not material.

Financial Position

Lending and credit risk

We provide a variety of loan and credit-related products and services to meet the needs of borrowers primarily located in the seven Southern California counties where our branches are located. Business loans, represented by commercial real estate loans, commercial loans and construction loans comprise the largest portion of the loan portfolio. Consumer or personal loans, represented by home mortgage, home equity and installment loans, comprise a smaller portion of the loan portfolio.

Credit risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from an obligor's failure to meet the terms of any contract with us or otherwise to perform as agreed. All activities in which success depends on counterparty, issuer, or borrower

performance have credit risk. Credit risk is present any time we extend, commit or invest funds; whenever we enter into actual or implied contractual agreements for funds, whether on or off the balance sheet, credit risk is present.

All categories of loans present credit risk. Major risk factors applicable to all loan categories include changes in international, national and local economic conditions such as interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, consumer and business confidence and the supply and demand for goods and services, among other factors.

Commercial real estate loans rely upon the cash flow originating from the underlying real property. Commercial real estate is a cyclical industry; general economic conditions and local supply and demand affect the commercial real estate industry. In the office sector, the demand for office space is highly dependent on employment levels. Consumer spending and confidence affect the demand for retail space and the levels of retail rents in the retail sector. The industrial sector has exposure to the level of exports, defense spending and inventory levels. Vacancy rates, location and other factors affect the amount of rental income for commercial property. Tenants may relocate, fail to honor their lease or go out of business. In the multifamily residential sector, the affordability of ownership housing, employment conditions and the vacancy of existing inventory heavily influences the demand for apartments. Population growth or decline and changing demographics, such as increases in the level of immigrants or retirees, are also factors influencing the multifamily residential sector.

Construction loans provide developers or owners with funds to build or improve properties; developers ultimately sell or lease these properties. Generally, construction loans involve a higher degree of risk than other loan categories because they rely upon the developer's or owner's ability to complete the project within specified cost and time limits. Cost overruns can cause the project cost to exceed the project sales price or exceed the amount of the committed permanent funding. Any number of reasons, such as poor weather, material or labor shortages, labor difficulties, or redoing substandard work to pass inspection, can delay construction projects. Furthermore, changes in market conditions or credit markets may affect a project's viability once completed.

Commercial loans rely upon the cash flow originating from the underlying business activity of the enterprise. The manufacture, distribution or sale of goods or sale of services are not only affected by general economic conditions but also by the ability of the enterprise's management to adjust to local supply and demand conditions, maintain good labor, vendor and customer relationships, as well as market, price and sell their goods or services for a profit. Customer demand for goods and services of the enterprise may change because of competition or obsolescence.

Home mortgages and home equity loans and lines of credit use first or second trust deeds on a borrower's real estate property, typically their principal residence, as collateral. These loans depend on a person's ability to regularly pay the principal and interest due on the loan and, secondarily, on the value of real estate property that serves as collateral for the loan. Generally, home mortgages involve a lower degree of risk than other loan categories because of the relationship of the loan amount to the value of the residential real estate and a person's reluctance to forego their principal place of residence. General economic conditions and local supply and demand, however, affect home real estate values. Installment loans and credit card lines also depend on a person's ability to pay principal and interest on a loan in a regular manner; however, generally these are unsecured loans or, if secured, the collateral value can rapidly decline, as is the case for automobiles. A person's ability to service debt is highly dependent upon their continued employment or financial stability. Job loss, divorce, illness and bankruptcy are just a few of the risks that may affect a person's ability to service their debt.

We obtain appraisals when extending credit for real estate secured loans as follows:

1. All business loans in excess of \$1,000,000 where real estate will be taken as collateral but where the sale or rental of the real estate is not the primary source of repayment;
2. All business loans in excess of \$250,000 where real estate will be taken as collateral and where the sale or rental of the real estate is the primary source of repayment; and
3. All other real estate secured loans in excess of \$250,000.

All real estate secured loans, at the time of origination, renewal or extension, require a current appraisal. A current appraisal is an appraisal with an "as of" date not more than six months before the date of funding or renewal or extension. We also obtain updated appraisals when the useful life of the appraisal ceases. Under the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice guidelines, the useful life of an appraisal, regardless of the dollar amount, is the life of the loan. However, useful life ends when (a) there has been a deterioration in the borrower's performance and there is an increasing likelihood of a forced liquidation of the property and the existing appraisal is older than two years old, or (b) there has been deterioration in the property's value due to a significant depreciation in local real estate values, lack of maintenance, changes in zoning, environmental contamination or other circumstances.

Since the risks in each category of loan changes based on a number of factors, it is not possible to state whether a particular type of lending carries with it a greater or lesser degree of risk at any specific time in the economic cycle. Generally, in a stabilized economic environment, home mortgage loans have the least risk, followed by home equity loans, multifamily property loans, commercial property loans, commercial loans and lines and finally construction loans. However, this ordering may vary from time to time and the degree of risk from the credits with the least risk to

those with the highest risk profile may expand or contract with the general economy.

We manage credit risk through Board approved policies and procedures. At least annually, the Board reviews and approves these policies. Lending policies provide us with a framework for consistent loan underwriting and a basis for sound credit decisions. Lending policies specify, among other things, the parameters for the type or purpose of the loan, the required debt service coverage and the required collateral requirements. Credit limits are also established. Management's Loan Committee meets regularly to approve certain loans, monitor delinquencies and reports quarterly to the Directors' Credit Review Committee on compliance with policies. The Directors' Audit Committee also engages a third party to perform a credit review of the loan portfolio to ensure compliance with policies and assist in the evaluation of the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio.

Non-covered Loans

Non-covered loans increased 13 percent to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2012 from \$936.1 million at December 31, 2011. Commercial mortgages increased 14 percent or \$54.3 million in 2012 while commercial loans and lines declined 7 percent or \$12.1 million for the same period. Multifamily mortgages increased 16 percent, with \$18.5 million of the increase from originations and \$44.8 million from purchases, partially offset by \$33.4 million in reductions from pay-downs and pay-offs. In addition, we purchased \$81.3 million of conforming and non-conforming residential mortgages secured by properties generally within our seven-county market area.

The following table presents our portfolio of non-covered loans:

	2012	For the years ended December 31,			
		2011	2010	2009	2008
(in thousands)					
Commercial mortgage	\$447,689	\$393,376	\$399,634	\$381,334	\$302,016
Commercial loans and lines of credit	168,325	180,421	213,576	235,849	228,958
Multifamily mortgage	217,158	187,333	135,639	138,548	51,607
Home mortgage	149,954	106,350	108,076	51,036	45,202
Construction and land development	36,772	35,082	55,260	86,609	133,054
Home equity loans and lines of credit	36,709	28,645	29,828	40,122	22,568
Installment & credit card	4,586	4,896	5,724	5,748	5,016
Total non-covered loans	1,061,193	936,103	947,737	939,246	788,421
Allowance for loan losses	(18,172)	(17,747)	(17,033)	(16,505)	(8,048)
Non-covered loans, net	\$1,043,021	\$918,356	\$930,704	\$922,741	\$780,373
Loans held-for-sale	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$31,401

The loan categories above are derived from bank regulatory reporting standards for loans secured by real estate; however, a portion of the commercial and home mortgage loans above are loans that we consider to be commercial loans for which we have taken real estate collateral as additional support or from an abundance of caution. In these instances, we are not looking to the real property as its primary source of repayment, but rather as a secondary or tertiary source of repayment.

Commercial mortgage loans, the largest segment of our portfolio, were 42 percent of non-covered loans at December 31, 2012, and 2011. We had approximately 435 commercial mortgage loans with an average balance of \$1,028,000. Many different commercial property types collateralize our commercial mortgage loans. Our top three categories have been industrial, office, and retail. In addition, most of our commercial property lending is in the seven Southern California counties where our branches are located. The following is a table of our non-covered commercial mortgage lending by county.

Non-covered commercial mortgage loans by county	At	At
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
(in thousands)		
Southern California		
Los Angeles	\$204,256	\$182,282

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Orange	32,291	27,151
Ventura	117,785	122,921
Riverside	35,398	22,041
San Bernardino	13,977	15,538
San Diego	15,696	14,170
Santa Barbara	7,613	225
Total Southern California	427,016	384,328
Northern California		
Alameda	339	343
Alpine	—	569
Contra Costa	329	354
Fresno	2,364	2,404
Imperial	317	335
Kern	133	672
Madera	505	521
Placer	591	603
Sacramento	314	333
San Luis Obispo	15,244	—
San Mateo	—	2,363
Solano	257	265
Tulare	280	286
Total Northern California	20,673	9,048
Total non-covered commercial mortgage loans	\$447,689	\$393,376

The following table shows the distribution of our non-covered commercial mortgage loans by property type at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Non-covered commercial mortgage loans by property type	At	At
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Industrial/warehouse	\$ 106,288	\$ 113,480
Office	97,316	87,136
Retail	85,862	64,646
Mixed use	29,468	12,343
Medical	23,543	14,043
Hotel	18,167	23,746
Self-storage	14,814	19,752
Restaurant	11,448	7,771
Assisted living	6,967	5,649
All other	53,816	44,810
Total non-covered commercial mortgage loans	\$447,689	\$393,376

The following table shows the maturity of our non-covered commercial mortgage loans by origination year.

Non-covered commercial mortgage loans by origination year/maturity year

(in thousands)

Origination Year	Year of maturity					2017 and Thereafter	Total
	2013	2014	2015	2016			
2008 and earlier	\$8,564	\$28,589	\$3,997	\$6,171	\$165,054	\$212,375	
2009	961	1,637	42	—	26,245	28,885	
2010	32	—	2,785	—	24,696	27,513	
2011	52	—	—	—	48,197	48,249	
2012	4,914	515	—	—	125,238	130,667	
Total	\$14,523	\$30,741	\$6,824	\$6,171	\$389,430	\$447,689	

We generally underwrite commercial mortgage loans with a maximum loan-to-value of 60 percent and a minimum debt-service-coverage ratio of 1.25. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio of our non-covered commercial mortgage portfolio was 59.1 percent and the weighted average debt-service-coverage ratio was 1.85 at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2011, the weighted-average loan-to-value ratio was 58.6 percent and debt-service-coverage ratio was 1.70 for our non-covered commercial mortgage loan portfolio. We focus on cash flow; consequently, regardless of the collateral value, the commercial real estate project must provide sufficient cash flow, or alternatively the principals must supplement the project with other cash flow, to service the debt. We generally require the principals to guarantee the loan. We also “stress-test” commercial mortgage loans to determine the potential affect

changes in interest rates, vacancy rates, and lease or rent rates would have on the cash flow of the project. Additionally, at least on an annual basis, we require updates on the cash flow of the project and, where practicable, we visit the properties.

At December 31, 2012, commercial loans were 16 percent of non-covered loans, down from 19 percent at December 31, 2011. We had approximately 833 commercial loans with an average balance of \$201,000. Unused commitments on commercial loans were \$127.6 million at December 31, 2012 compared with \$110.9 million at December 31, 2011. Working capital, equipment purchases or business expansion are the typical purposes for commercial loans. Commercial loans may be unsecured or secured by assets such as equipment, inventory, accounts receivables, and real property. Personal guarantees of the business owner may also be present. These loans may also have partial guarantees from the U.S. Small Business Administration, or SBA, or other federal or state agencies. Broadly diversified business sectors with the largest sectors in real estate/construction, finance and insurance, information, manufacturing and trade comprise the commercial loan portfolio. We also participate in larger credit facilities known as shared national credits. At December 31, 2012, five loans under these facilities had outstanding balances of \$14.6 million. These loans consist of motion picture and video production loan participations. Below is a table of our non-covered loans by business sector.

Non-covered commercial loans by industry/sector	At	At
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Real estate	\$54,164	\$47,439
Services	40,428	47,219
Information	27,509	30,040
Trade	17,641	22,988
Manufacturing	15,365	16,196
Healthcare	11,066	14,712
Transportation and warehouse	2,152	1,827
Total non-covered commercial loans	\$168,325	\$180,421

We generally underwrite commercial loans with maturities not to exceed seven years and we generally require full amortization of the loan within the term of the loan. We generally underwrite working capital lines for a 12 month period and have a 30-day out-of-debt requirement. Accounts receivable and inventory financing revolving lines of credit have an annual maturity date, a maximum advance rate, and an annual field audit for lines of \$200,000 or more. Third-party vendors perform field audits for our accounts receivable and inventory financing revolving lines of credit. The maximum advance rate for accounts receivable is 80 percent and the maximum advance rate for eligible inventory is 25 percent.

Non-covered construction and land development loans were 3 percent of non-covered loans at December 31, 2012 down from 4 percent at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, we had 93 projects with an average commitment of \$1,052,000. Construction loans represent single-family, multi-family and commercial building projects. At December 31, 2012, 26 percent of these loans or \$9.5 million represent single-family residential construction projects and 47 percent, or \$17.3 million were commercial projects. The remaining 27 percent or \$10.0 million were land development projects. Construction loans are typically short term, with maturities ranging from 12 to 18 months. The maximum loan-to-value is 70 percent for both commercial and residential projects. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio for our non-covered construction and land portfolio was 68.8 percent at December 31, 2012 and 69.9 percent at December 31, 2011. At the borrower's expense, we use a third party vendor for funds control, lien releases and inspections. In addition, we regularly monitor the marketplace and the economic trends for evidence of deterioration in real estate values. Below is a table of our non-covered construction and land loans by county.

Non-covered construction/land loans by county	At December 31, 2012		At December 31, 2011	
	Commitment	Outstanding	Commitment	Outstanding
	(in thousands)			
Los Angeles	\$25,363	\$14,692	\$29,369	\$11,603
Orange	1,215	1,068	5,857	2,909
Ventura	22,407	17,888	20,473	15,608
Riverside	2,545	1,732	3,772	3,726
San Luis Obispo	22,184	149	—	—
Santa Barbara	1,872	1,243	1,239	1,236
Total non-covered construction	\$75,586	\$36,772	\$60,710	\$35,082

We are mindful of the economic disruption in our marketplace and supplemented our regular monitoring practices with updated project appraisals, re-evaluation of estimated project marketing time and re-evaluation of the sufficiency

of the original loan commitment to absorb interest charges (i.e., interest reserves) when necessary. We also re-evaluate the project sponsor's ability, where applicable, to successfully complete other projects funded by other institutions. In circumstances where the interest reserve was not sufficient, we request the project sponsor to make payments to us from their general resources or request the project sponsor to place with us the proceeds from a portion of the project sales. While we believe that our monitoring practices are adequate, we cannot assure you that there will not be further delinquencies, lengthened project marketing time or declines in real estate values.

Multifamily residential mortgage loans were 20 percent of non-covered loans at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. We had approximately 198 multifamily loans with an average balance of \$1,086,000. Apartments mostly located in our seven-county market area serve as collateral for our multifamily loans. We underwrite multifamily mortgage loans in a fashion similar to commercial mortgage loans previously described. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio was 61.7 percent and the weighted average debt-service-coverage ratio was 1.48 for our non-covered multifamily portfolio at December 31, 2012. A year ago, the weighted average loan-to-value ratio was 60.3 percent and the weighted average debt-service-coverage ratio was 1.44 percent. Below is a table of our non-covered multifamily mortgage loans by county.

Non-covered multi-family mortgage loans by county	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Southern California		
Los Angeles	\$122,292	\$107,580
Orange	5,472	15,638
Ventura	11,757	6,942
Riverside	1,368	496
San Bernardino	6,242	3,989
San Diego	18,976	20,965
Santa Barbara	4,095	1,853
Total	170,202	157,463
Northern California		
Alameda	2,837	7,247
Calaveras	1,330	1,337
Contra Costa	602	613
Fresno	233	239
Kern	2,444	2,538
Merced	638	650
Monterey	368	373
Mono	219	224
Napa	14,925	—
San Francisco	5,664	4,228
San Mateo	1,369	—
Santa Clara	15,996	12,085
Santa Cruz	331	336
Total	46,956	29,870
Total non-covered multifamily mortgage loans	\$217,158	\$187,333

The following table shows the maturity of our non-covered multifamily mortgage loans by origination year.

Non-covered multifamily mortgage loans by origination year/maturity year

(in thousands)

Origination Year	Year of maturity					2017 and Thereafter	Total
	2013	2014	2015	2016			
2008 and earlier	\$ 1,080	\$ 948	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 46,228	\$ 48,256	
2009	—	203	—	—	32,220	32,423	
2010	—	—	—	—	8,086	8,086	
2011	—	—	—	—	63,742	63,742	
2012	964	—	—	—	63,687	64,651	
Total	\$ 2,044	\$ 1,151	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 213,963	\$ 217,158	

The table below illustrates the distribution of our non-covered loan portfolio by loan size at December 31, 2012. We distribute all non-covered loans by loan balance outstanding except construction and land loans, which we distribute by loan commitment. At year-end 2012, 37 percent of our loans were less than \$1 million; 82 percent of our loans were less than \$5 million. We believe the high number of smaller-balance loans aids in the mitigation of credit risk; however, a prolonged and deep recession can affect a greater number of borrowers.

	December 31, 2012					
	Less than \$500,000	\$500,000 to \$999,999	\$1,000,000 to \$2,999,999	\$3,000,000 to \$4,999,999	\$5,000,000 to \$9,999,999	\$10,000,000 to \$12,600,000
Commercial mortgage	10%	15%	37%	17%	16%	5%
Commercial loans and lines of credit	29%	15%	34%	9%	13%	0%
Construction and land development	3%	10%	24%	13%	50%	0%
Multifamily mortgage	9%	23%	44%	5%	14%	5%
Home mortgage	40%	31%	18%	2%	9%	0%
Home equity loans and lines of credit	34%	12%	18%	9%	27%	0%
Installment & credit card	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Weighted average totals	18%	19%	34%	11%	15%	3%
Number	2,334	294	231	33	24	3

The following table presents the scheduled maturities of fixed and variable rate loans for our non-covered and covered loan portfolios.

	December 31, 2012			
	One year or less	After one year to five years	After five years	Total
(in thousands)				
Fixed rate loans				
Commercial mortgage	\$7,166	\$39,188	\$137,545	\$183,899
Commercial loans and lines	12,881	30,002	17,837	60,720
Multifamily mortgage	1,793	3,800	44,303	49,896
Home mortgage	3,374	13,412	134,461	151,247
Construction and land	5,208	4,496	12,850	22,554
Home equity loans	1,115	4,865	1,478	7,458
Installment & credit card	333	1,072	709	2,114
Total fixed rate loan maturities	31,870	96,835	349,183	477,888
Variable rate loans				
Commercial mortgage	9,367	11,460	271,414	292,241
Commercial loans and lines	58,303	37,775	20,302	116,380
Multifamily mortgage	964	274	175,722	176,960
Home mortgage	11	1,139	27,453	28,603
Construction and land	27,249	1,383	2,488	31,120
Home equity loans	4,074	21,879	9,978	35,931
Installment & credit card	407	770	527	1,704
Total adjustable rate loan maturities	100,375	74,680	507,884	682,939
Total maturities	\$132,245	\$171,515	\$857,067	\$1,160,827

Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses to provide for inherent losses in the non-covered loan portfolio. We establish the allowance through a provision charged to expense. We charge-off all loans judged uncollectible against the allowance while we credit any recoveries on loans to the allowance. We charge-off commercial and real estate loans — construction, commercial mortgage, multifamily mortgage and home mortgage — by the time their principal or interest becomes 120 days delinquent unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. We also charge-off consumer loans by the time they become 90 days delinquent unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. We also charge-off deposit overdrafts when they become more than 60 days old. We evaluate impaired loans on a case-by-case basis to determine the ultimate loss potential to us after considering the proceeds realizable from a sale of collateral. In those cases where the collateral value is less than the loan, we charge-off the loan to reduce the balance to a level equal to the net realizable value of the collateral. We consider a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, we do not expect to be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments.

Our loan policy provides procedures designed to evaluate and assess the risk factors associated with our loan portfolio, to enable us to assess such risk factors prior to granting new loans and to evaluate the sufficiency of the

allowance for loan losses. We assess the allowance on a monthly basis and undertake a more critical evaluation quarterly. At the time of the quarterly review, the Board of Directors will examine and formally approve the adequacy of the allowance. The quarterly evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquency and non-accruals, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national level, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior twenty quarters.

Our evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses includes a review of individual loans to identify specific probable losses and assigns estimated loss factors to specific groups or types of loans to calculate possible losses. In addition, we estimate the probable loss on previously accrued but unpaid interest. We refer to these as quantitative considerations. Our evaluation also considers subjective factors such as changes in local and regional economic and business conditions, financial improvement or deterioration in business sectors and industries, changes in lending practices, changes in personnel, changes in the volume and level of past due and nonaccrual loans and concentrations of credit. We refer to these as qualitative considerations.

Our year-end 2012 evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses considered, among other things, estimated loss factors assigned to specific types of loans, changes and trends in the level of delinquencies, nonaccrual loans and loan charge-offs, changes in the value of collateral, changes in the local and regional economic and business conditions, the judgment of the bank regulatory agencies at the conclusion of their examination process with respect to information available to them during such examination process. Finally, we considered the weakness of the economic recovery and the impact it might have on our borrowers, especially our small business borrowers. More specifically, we revised downward in the first two quarters of 2012 and then upwards in the final quarter of 2012 our estimated loss factors for our qualitative considerations. We revised upward in the first quarter of 2012 and then downward in the final three quarters of 2012 our estimated loss factors for our quantitative considerations. Our reasons are as follows.

We considered the trend in the level of our loan credit quality indicators, delinquencies, nonaccrual loans and loan charge-offs. Non-covered loans rated Special mention, Substandard, Doubtful or Loss increased to \$99.7 million at December 31, 2012 from \$68.6 million at December 31, 2011. Total non-covered past due loans and nonaccrual loans increased to \$25.7 million at December 31, 2012 from \$17.3 million at December 31, 2011. Non-covered foreclosed property decreased to \$14.9 million at December 31, 2012 from \$20.3 million at December 31, 2011. Net non-covered loan charge-offs decreased to \$1.1 million, or 0.11% of average non-covered loans for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$4.6 million, or 0.51% of average non-covered loans for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We considered the marketing time and sales prices for our completed construction loan portfolio. Our non-covered construction and land loan portfolio was 3 percent of non-covered loans at December 31, 2012 compared with 4 percent at December 31, 2011. The continued disruption in the residential and commercial mortgage loan markets and the continued downward pressure on real estate values may adversely affect these loans.

Finally, we considered the weakness of the economic recovery and the impact it might have on our borrowers, especially our small business borrowers, and the judgment of the bank regulatory agencies at the conclusion of their examination process with respect to information available to them during such examination process.

As a result, the allowance for loan losses increased to \$18.2 million at December 31, 2012 from \$17.7 million at December 31, 2011. The provision for loan losses was \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared with \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-covered loans was 1.71 percent at December 31, 2012 compared with 1.90 percent at December 31, 2011.

We believe that our allowance for loan losses was adequate at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The determination of the allowance for loan losses, however, is a highly judgmental process and we cannot assure you that we will not further increase or decrease the allowance or that bank regulators will not require us to increase or decrease the allowance in the future.

The following table presents activity in the allowance for loan losses.

	For the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)				
Beginning balance	\$17,747	\$17,033	\$16,505	\$8,048	\$7,828
Provision for loan losses	1,500	5,346	8,337	16,646	1,150
Loans charged-off	(1,485)	(5,177)	(8,535)	(8,580)	(1,075)
Recoveries on loans charged-off	410	545	726	391	145
Ending balance	\$18,172	\$17,747	\$17,033	\$16,505	\$8,048
Allowance to non-covered loans	1.71	% 1.90	% 1.80	% 1.76	% 1.02
Net loans charged-off to average non-covered loans	0.11	% 0.51	% 0.85	% 0.89	% 0.12

The following table presents the net loan charge-offs by non-covered loan type for the periods indicated.

(in thousands)	Twelve months ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Construction	\$—	\$176	\$382
Home mortgage	330	475	447
Commercial loans & lines	392	3,480	5,256

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Commercial mortgage	184	366	1,680
Home equity	—	39	—
Consumer	169	96	44
Total	\$1,075	\$4,632	\$7,809

54

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

The following table presents the allocation of the allowance for loan losses to each loan category and the percentage relationship of loans in each category to total non-covered loans:

	For the years ended December 31,									
	2012		2011		2010		2009		2008	
	Percent of Loans	Allocation of the allowance to category	Percent of Loans	Allocation of the allowance to category	Percent of Loans	Allocation of the allowance to category	Percent of Loans	Allocation of the allowance to category	Percent of Loans	Allocation of the allowance to category
	in Category	by loanNon-covered	in Category	by loanNon-covered	in Category	by loanNon-covered	in Category	by loanNon-covered	in Category	by loanNon-covered
	Loans	category	Loans	category	Loans	category	Loans	category	Loans	category
	(in thousands)									
Commercial mortgage	\$ 5,749	42%	\$ 6,091	42%	\$ 6,134	42%	\$ 4,850	41%	\$ 2,504	38%
Multifamily mortgage	2,851	21%	2,886	20%	2,273	14%	3,277	15%	421	7%
Commercial loans	6,388	16%	6,221	19%	4,934	23%	4,796	25%	2,463	29%
Construction loans	498	3%	814	4%	1,698	6%	2,460	9%	2,069	17%
Home equity loans	412	3%	390	3%	416	3%	453	4%	186	3%
Home mortgage	2,223	14%	1,274	11%	1,496	11%	605	5%	362	6%
Installment and credit card	51	1%	71	1%	82	1%	64	1%	43	—%
Total	\$ 18,172	100%	\$ 17,747	100%	\$ 17,033	100%	\$ 16,505	100%	\$ 8,048	100%

The amounts or proportions displayed above do not imply that charges to the allowance will occur in those amounts or proportions.

We had forty-one non-covered restructured loans for \$14.0 million at December 31, 2012; of these, twenty-two restructured loans for \$7.8 million were current at December 31, 2012, two restructured loans for \$0.9 million were included in the accruing loans past due 30 to 89 days category shown below and seventeen restructured loans for \$5.3 million were included in the nonaccrual loan category shown below. We had sixteen non-covered restructured loans for \$4.4 million at December 31, 2011; of these, six restructured loans for \$0.9 million were current at December 31, 2011, one restructured loan for \$0.7 million was included in the accruing loans past due 30 to 89 days category shown below and nine restructured loans for \$2.8 million were included in the nonaccrual loan category shown below.

The following table presents non-covered past due and nonaccrual loans.

	For the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)				
Accruing non-covered loans past due 30 to 89 days	\$ 11,062	\$ 3,449	\$ 11,630	\$ 14,592	\$ 2,644

Accruing non-covered loans past due 90 days or more	\$	—	\$	—	\$	—	\$	200	\$	429
Nonaccrual loans	\$	14,610	\$	13,860	\$	18,241	\$	39,958	\$	8,475
Ratios:										
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more to average non-covered loans		—		—		—		0.02%		0.05%
Non-covered nonaccrual loans to non-covered loans		1.38%		1.48%		1.92%		4.25%		1.07%
Interest foregone on non-covered nonaccrual loans	\$	719	\$	841	\$	2,066	\$	2,134	\$	543

Accruing non-covered loans past due 30 to 89 days increased to \$11.1 million at December 31, 2012 from \$3.4 million at December 31, 2011. The increase is primarily from one \$6.3 million home mortgage that became delinquent in December 2012. This category of non-covered loans historically has had the most fluctuation from period to period.

Our largest non-covered nonaccrual loan was a revolving credit facility to purchase and develop a film library with a balance of \$5.8 million at December 31, 2012. This amount is after charge-off of \$3.4 million. The charge-off represented the estimated excess of the loan advances over the value of the film library. This loan is a participation in a credit facility also known as a shared national credit. We estimated at December 31, 2012 a specific loss allowance of \$2.6 million for this loan.

Our next largest non-covered nonaccrual loan was a \$1.1 million commercial business loan to a commercial contractor. The borrower filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy; however, this loan was performing in accordance with modified loan terms at December 31, 2012. We estimated a specific loss allowance of \$539,000 for this loan at December 31, 2012.

All other non-covered nonaccrual loans were individually under \$1 million at December 31, 2012.

The following table presents the activity in our non-covered nonaccrual loan category for the periods indicated.

	Twelve months ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	# of Loans	\$ Amount	# of Loans	\$ Amount
	(dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	29	\$13,860	28	\$18,241
New loans added	19	7,282	37	8,385
Loans transferred to foreclosed property	—	—	(5)	(3,103)
Loans returned to accrual status	(7)	(1,953)	(12)	(2,955)
Payoffs on existing loans	(3)	(372)	(9)	(2,144)
Partial charge-offs on existing loans	—	(51)	—	(221)
Charge-offs on existing loans	(5)	(822)	(10)	(3,234)
Payments on existing loans	—	(3,334)	—	(1,109)
Ending balance	33	\$14,610	29	\$13,860

Non-covered foreclosed property at December 31, 2012 consists of a \$11.1 million completed office complex project consisting of 13 buildings in Ventura County and a \$2.8 million unimproved land property of 161 acres located in an unincorporated section of western Los Angeles County known as Liberty Canyon. The remainder consists of one multifamily property and one single-family residence. At December 31, 2011, the completed office complex project had 16 buildings and a carrying amount of \$14.0 million.

The following table presents the activity of our non-covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

	Twelve months ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
	(dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	7	\$20,349	8	\$26,011
New properties added	—	—	4	3,356
Valuation allowances	—	(1,732)	—	(5,784)
Partial sale proceeds received	—	(1,383)	—	—
Sales of properties	(3)	(2,339)	(5)	(3,234)
Ending balance	4	\$14,895	7	\$20,349

The allowance for losses on undisbursed commitments was \$86,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$101,000 at December 31, 2011. The allowance for losses on undisbursed commitments is included in “accrued interest payable and other liabilities” on the consolidated balance sheets.

We consider a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, we do not expect to be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. We determine loan impairment through periodic evaluation on an individual loan basis. The average investment in impaired loans was \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$17.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Impaired loans were \$23.0 million at December 31, 2012 and \$13.9 million at December 31, 2011. Allowances for losses for individually impaired loans are based on either the estimated collateral value less estimated selling costs (if the loan is a collateral-dependent loan), or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s effective interest

rate. Of the \$23.0 million of impaired loans at December 31, 2012, \$18.5 million had specific allowances of \$5.0 million. Of the \$13.9 million of impaired loans at December 31, 2011, \$11.1 million had specific allowances of \$3.1 million.

Covered loans and FDIC shared-loss asset

We acquired loans in the WCB and SLTB acquisitions for which we entered into shared-loss agreements with the FDIC, or covered loans. We will share in the losses, which begin with the first dollar of loss incurred, on the loan pools covered under the shared-loss agreements. We refer to all other loans in our loan portfolio not acquired from WCB or SLTB and not covered by the shared-loss agreements as non-covered loans.

Pursuant to the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse us for 80 percent of eligible losses with respect to covered assets (loans and foreclosed property). We have a corresponding obligation to reimburse the FDIC for 80 percent of eligible recoveries with respect to covered assets. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and single-family residential mortgage loans are in effect for five years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for eight years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition dates.

The covered loan portfolio decreased to \$102.4 million at December 31, 2012 from \$135.4 million at December 31, 2011 because of pay-downs, pay-offs and transfers to foreclosed property. The following table sets forth the composition of the covered loan portfolio by type.

	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Covered loans by property type (in thousands)		
Home mortgage	\$29,896	\$36,736
Commercial mortgage	28,079	37,804
Construction and land loans	19,699	22,875
Multifamily	9,699	15,944
Commercial loans and lines of credit	8,167	11,206
Home equity loans and lines of credit	6,891	10,841
Installment and credit card	—	6
Total covered loans	\$102,431	\$135,412

The FDIC shared-loss asset was \$45.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$68.1 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease was due primarily to cash reimbursements from the FDIC in conjunction with the WCB and SLTB shared-loss agreements.

The acquired covered loans are and will continue to be subject to the Bank's internal and external credit review and monitoring practices. The covered loans have the same credit quality indicators, such as risk grade and classification, as the non-covered loans, to enable the monitoring of the borrower's credit and the likelihood of repayment. If credit deteriorates beyond the respective acquisition date fair value amount of covered loans, we will establish an allowance for credit losses through a charge to earnings.

The ultimate collectability of the FDIC shared-loss asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC. The following table presents the changes in the FDIC shared-loss asset for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Twelve months ended December 31, 2012		
	WCB	SLTB	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$9,159	\$58,924	\$68,083
FDIC share of additional losses	520	1,299	1,819
Cash payments received from FDIC	(3,926)	(17,362)	(21,288)
Net amortization	(287)	(2,982)	(3,269)
Balance, end of period	\$5,466	\$39,879	\$45,345

Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the WCB and SLTB acquisition dates, we will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. Our estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Covered foreclosed property

Covered foreclosed property at December 31, 2012 was \$3.9 million and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011. We acquired these properties as part of the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions. We recorded these properties at their estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the time of acquisition.

The following table presents the activity of our covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

	Twelve months ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
	(dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	49	\$ 14,616	2	\$ 977
New properties acquired	—	—	22	11,052
New properties added	13	6,721	57	22,587
Valuation allowances	—	—	—	(455)
Partial sales proceeds received	—	(50)	—	—
Sales of properties	(52)	(17,387)	(32)	(19,545)
Ending balance	10	\$ 3,900	49	\$ 14,616

Investing, funding and liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from the inability to meet obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. Liquidity risk includes the inability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources as well as the failure to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect the ability to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value.

We manage bank liquidity risk through Board approved policies and procedures. The Directors review and approve these policies at least annually. Liquidity risk policies provide us with a framework for consistent evaluation of risk and establish risk tolerance parameters. Management's Asset and Liability Committee meets regularly to evaluate liquidity risk, review and establish deposit interest rates, review loan and deposit in-flows and out-flows and reports quarterly to the Directors' Balance Sheet Management Committee on compliance with policies. The Directors' Audit Committee also engages a third party to perform a review of management's asset and liability practices to ensure compliance with policies.

We enjoy a large base of core deposits (representing checking, savings and small balance non-brokered certificates of deposit). At December 31, 2012, core deposits were \$1.2 billion, up from \$1.1 billion at the 2011 year-end. Core deposits represent a significant low-cost source of funds that support our lending activities and represent a key part of our funding strategy. We seek and stress the importance of both loan and deposit relationships with customers in our business plans.

Alternative funding sources include large balance certificates of deposits, brokered deposits, prepaid debit cards, federal funds purchased from other institutions, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and borrowings. Effective December 31, 2012, we reclassified noninterest bearing checking deposits representing our prepaid debit cards as non-core or brokered deposits. Total alternative funds used at December 31, 2012 decreased to \$406.1 million from \$442.0 million at December 31, 2011.

In addition, we have lines of credit with other financial institutions providing for federal funds facilities up to a maximum of \$30.0 million. The lines of credit support short-term liquidity needs and we cannot use them for more than 30 consecutive days. These lines are unsecured, have no formal maturity date and can be revoked at any time by the granting institutions. There were no borrowings under these lines of credit at December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also have a \$15.4 million secured borrowing facility with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, which had no balance outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011. In addition, we had approximately \$409.5 million of available borrowing capacity on the Bank's secured FHLB borrowing facility at December 31, 2012.

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include the dividends from the Bank and, historically, our ability to issue equity and debt instruments. The ability of the Company to obtain funds for its cash requirements, including payments on the junior subordinated debentures underlying our outstanding trust preferred securities and dividends on our series C preferred stock, is largely dependent upon the Bank's earnings. The Bank is subject to restrictions under certain federal and state laws and regulations, which limit its ability to transfer funds to the Company through intercompany loans, advances or cash dividends. The California Department of Financial Institutions, or DFI, under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank's capital requirements regulates dividends paid by California state banks. A California state bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the DFI as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed either the retained earnings or the total of net profits for three previous fiscal years less any dividends paid during such period. At January 1, 2013, there were \$43.9 million of dividends available for payment under the method described. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we received no dividends from the Bank. The Company had \$1.1 million in cash on deposit with the Bank at December 31, 2012.

In order to meet our deposit, borrowings and loan obligations when they come due, we maintain a portion of our funds in liquid assets. Liquid assets include cash balances at the Reserve Bank, interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions, and federal funds sold to other financial institutions. We also manage liquidity risk with readily saleable debt securities and debt securities that serve as collateral for borrowings.

At December 31, 2012, we had non-interest earning cash balances at the Reserve Bank of \$39.3 million compared with \$34.2 million at December 31, 2011. Interest-bearing deposits with the Reserve Bank and other financial institutions increased to \$120.9 million at December 31, 2012 from \$21.2 million at December 31, 2011. The \$99.7 million increase reflects the decrease in securities. We believe that these sources of liquidity will be sufficient for the Company to meet its liquidity needs over the next twelve months. A number of factors may affect funds generated from these liquidity sources. See "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the factors that can negatively affect the amount of funds we could receive.

As disclosed in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, net cash provided by operating activities was \$18.4 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. The difference between cash provided by operating activities and net income largely consisted of non-cash items including \$7.0 million of amortization and impairment of intangible assets.

Net cash of \$83.0 million used in investing activities consisted principally of \$99.8 million of cash used to fund net loan originations, \$415.4 million of purchases of securities available-for-sale and a \$99.6 million increase in interest bearing deposits at other banks, partially offset by \$487.9 million of proceeds from securities available-for-sale and \$23.8 million of proceeds from the sale of foreclosed properties.

Net cash of \$70.4 million provided by financing activities primarily consisted of an \$82.6 million increase in net deposits partially offset by a \$10.7 million decrease in borrowings.

Securities

We classify securities as 'available-for-sale' for accounting purposes and, as such, report them at their fair, or market, values in our balance sheets. We use quoted market prices for fair values. We report as 'other comprehensive income or loss', net of tax, changes in the fair value of our securities (that is, unrealized holding gains or losses) and carry these cumulative changes as accumulated comprehensive income or loss within shareholders' equity until realized.

The following table presents securities, at amortized cost, by expected maturity distribution and weighted average yield (tax equivalent). Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	At December 31, 2012					Total
	One year or less	After one year to five years	After five years to ten years	Over ten years		
	(in thousands)					
Maturity distribution						
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$ 8,009	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,009	
U.S. government agency notes	—	32,570	—	—	32,570	
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	—	125,514	30,263	10,356	166,133	
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	6,281	108,334	48,017	—	162,632	

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Municipal securities	—	—	3,524	4,363	7,887
Other domestic debt securities	—	—	—	4,367	4,367
Total	\$ 14,290	\$ 266,418	\$ 81,804	\$ 19,086	\$ 381,598

59

	At December 31, 2012					Total
	One year or less	After one year to five years	After five years to ten years (in thousands)	Over ten years		
Weighted average yield						
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	0.16%	—	—	—	0.16%	
U.S. government agency notes	—	0.60%	—	—	0.60%	
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	—	1.34%	1.82%	2.12%	1.48%	
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	0.99%	1.65%	1.88%	—	1.69%	
Municipal securities	—	—	1.61%	2.25%	1.97%	
Other domestic debt securities	—	—	—	1.24%	1.24%	
Total	0.52%	1.38%	1.84%	1.95%	1.47%	

Securities, at amortized cost, decreased by \$74.6 million, or 16 percent, from \$456.2 million at December 31, 2011 to \$381.6 million at December 31, 2012 primarily to provide liquidity to fund loan growth.

Net unrealized holding losses were \$0.6 million at December 31, 2012 and \$2.5 million at December 31, 2011. As a percentage of securities, at amortized cost, net unrealized holding losses were 0.15 percent and 0.55 percent at the end of each respective period. Securities are comprised largely of U.S. Treasury bills and notes, and U.S. government agency notes, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our individual available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment. As part of this evaluation, we consider whether we intend to sell each security and whether it is more-likely-than-not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of the security's amortized cost basis. Should a security meet either of these conditions, we recognize an impairment charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For securities in an unrealized loss position that meet neither of these conditions, we consider whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by comparing our best estimate, on a present value basis, of the expected future cash flows from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If our best estimate of expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, we recognize an impairment charge to earnings for this estimated credit loss.

We determined that, as of December 31, 2012, our U.S. Treasury notes and bills, and U.S. government agency notes, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were temporarily impaired because these securities were in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months. We believe the cause of the gross unrealized losses was from movements in interest rates and not by the deterioration of the issuers' creditworthiness.

We own one pooled trust preferred security, rated triple-A at purchase, with an amortized cost basis of \$4.4 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2012. The gross unrealized loss reflects the extraordinarily high investor yield requirements resulting from an illiquid market, causing this security to be valued at a discount to its acquisition cost. Since 2009, this security had credit rating agency ratings of less than investment grade; however, in the 2012 third quarter, the security's rating increased to investment grade. The senior tranche owned by us has a collateral balance well in excess of the amortized cost basis of the tranche at December 31, 2012. Seventeen of the fifty-six issuers in the security have deferred or defaulted on their interest payments as of December 31, 2012. Our analysis determined that approximately half of the issuers would need to default on their interest payments before the

senior tranche owned by us would be at risk of loss. As our estimated present value of expected cash flows to be collected was in excess of our amortized cost basis, we concluded that the gross unrealized loss on this security was temporary.

At December 31, 2012, we no longer owned any private-label CMOs. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$689,000 on these securities. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$1.4 million on our private-label CMOs. We also have a \$1.0 million community development-related equity investment for which we recognized a \$39,000 impairment in 2012 and a \$41,000 impairment in 2010.

Deposits

The following tables present the balance of each deposit category at the periods indicated:

	At December 31, 2012 Balance	At December 31, 2011 Balance	At December 31, 2010 Balance
Core deposits			
Non-interest bearing checking	\$ 546,638	\$ 433,053	\$ 331,648
Interest checking	124,765	107,077	88,638
Savings and money market accounts	478,052	486,000	388,289
Retail time deposits less than \$100,000	59,311	74,861	84,133
Total core deposits	1,208,766	1,100,991	892,708
Noncore deposits			
Prepaid debit cards	89,817	49,103	—
Retail time deposits of \$100,000 or more	157,767	169,523	144,974
Wholesale time deposits	1,482	5,652	18,606
State of California time deposits	50,000	100,000	100,000
Total noncore deposits	299,066	324,278	263,580
Total core and noncore deposits	\$ 1,507,832	\$ 1,425,269	\$ 1,156,288

Large balance time deposits (that is, balances of \$100,000 or more) were \$209.2 million at December 31, 2012. Large balance time deposits were \$275.2 million at December 31, 2011. A portion of these large balance time deposits represent time deposits placed by the State Treasurer of California with the Bank. The time deposit program is one element of a pooled investment account managed by the State Treasurer for the benefit of the State of California and all participating local agencies. The pooled investment account has approximately \$63.2 billion of investments of which approximately \$4.3 billion represented time deposits placed at various financial institutions. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, State of California time deposits placed with us, with original maturities of three to six months, were \$50.0 million and \$100.0 million. We believe that the State Treasurer will continue this program; we also believe that we have the ability to establish large balance certificates of deposit rates that will enable us to attract, replace, or retain those deposits accepted in our local market area if it becomes necessary under a modified funding strategy.

From time to time we use brokered time deposits, categorized as wholesale time deposits in the table above, to supplement our liquidity and achieve other asset-liability management objectives. Brokered time deposits are wholesale certificates of deposit accepted by us from brokers whose customers do not have any other significant relationship with us. As a result, we believe these funds are very sensitive to credit risk and interest rates, and pose greater liquidity risk to us. These customers may refuse to renew the certificates of deposit at maturity if higher rates are available elsewhere or if they perceive that our creditworthiness is deteriorating. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had no brokered time deposits.

We also use the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry System, or CDARS, for our deposit customers who wish to obtain FDIC insurance on their deposits beyond that available from a single institution. We place these deposits into the CDARS network and accept in return other customers' certificates of deposits in the same amount and at the same interest rate. We had \$1.5 million of these reciprocal deposits, categorized as wholesale time deposits in the table above, at December 31, 2012. We had \$5.7 million of these reciprocal deposits at the end of 2011.

Effective December 31, 2012, we reclassified our noninterest bearing checking deposits representing prepaid debit cardholder deposit balances as brokered or noncore deposits. At December 31, 2012, prepaid debit card deposits were \$89.8 million, up from \$49.1 million for the 2011 year-end.

The following table presents the maturity of large balance certificates of deposits for the periods indicated:

	For the Years Ending December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
	(in thousands)					
Three months or less	\$ 80,544	38%	\$ 132,043	48%	\$ 131,710	50%
Over three months through six months	22,358	11%	26,871	10%	23,815	9%
Over six months through one year	24,595	12%	41,644	15%	44,002	17%
Over one year	81,752	39%	74,617	27%	63,890	24%
Total	\$ 209,249	100%	\$ 275,175	100%	\$ 263,417	100%

Borrowings

Borrowings are comprised of federal funds purchased from other financial institutions, FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. At December 31, 2012, we had \$107.1 million of borrowings outstanding, of which \$30.0 million was comprised of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and \$77.1 million of FHLB advances. For our FHLB advances, the following table presents the amounts and weighted average interest rates outstanding.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012		Year Ended December 31, 2011	
	Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	Weighted average interest rate	Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	Weighted average interest rate
	(in thousands)			
Amount outstanding at end of period	\$ 77,054	2.49%	\$ 87,719	3.01%
Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during the period	\$ 102,700	2.76%	\$ 138,750	2.69%
Average amount outstanding during the period	\$ 93,082	2.60%	\$ 98,290	2.66%

The following table presents the maturities of FHLB advances at December 31, 2012.

	Amount	Maturity Year	Weighted Average Interest Rate
	(in thousands)		
Term advances	\$ 7,054	2013	2.93%
Term advances	32,500	2014	2.95%
Term advances	15,000	2015	1.76%

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Term advances	22,500	2017	2.20%
	\$ 77,054		

The following table presents the maturities of securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2012.

Amount	Maturity Year (in thousands)	Weighted Average Interest Rate
\$ 20,000	February 2013	3.60%
10,000	December 2014	3.72%
\$ 30,000		

Junior Subordinated Debentures

At December 31, 2012, we had \$26.8 million of junior subordinated debentures outstanding from two issuances of trust preferred securities. First California Capital Trust I's capital securities have an outstanding balance of \$16.5 million, mature on March 15, 2037, and are redeemable, at par, at the Company's option at any time. The securities had a fixed annual rate of 6.80 percent until March 15, 2012, and a variable annual rate thereafter, which resets quarterly, equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 1.60 percent per annum. At December 31, 2012, the rate was 1.91 percent. FCB Statutory Trust I's capital securities have an outstanding balance of \$10.3 million, mature on December 15, 2035, and are redeemable, at par, at the Company's option at any time. The securities have a variable annual rate, which resets quarterly, equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 1.55 percent per annum. At December 31, 2012, the rate was 1.86 percent. The weighted average interest rate paid on the debentures for 2012 was 2.93 percent and 2011 was 5.00 percent. Our interest payments for 2012 were \$0.7 million and for 2011 were \$1.3 million.

In December 2009, we purchased a \$10.3 million notional forward-starting interest rate cap to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$10.3 million junior subordinated debentures. This interest rate cap became effective on December 15, 2010, has a rate cap of 4.00 percent and will expire on December 15, 2015. In September 2010, we purchased a \$16.5 million notional forward-starting interest rate cap to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$16.5 million junior subordinated debentures. This interest rate cap became effective March 15, 2012, has a rate cap of 4.00 percent and will expire on March 15, 2017.

Capital resources

We have 1,000 issued shares of preferred stock series A, \$0.01 par value, with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. Redemption of the preferred stock series A is at our option. The redemption amount is computed at the per-share liquidation preference plus unpaid dividends at a rate of 8.5%. Each holder of preferred stock series A has the right, exercisable at the option of the holder, to convert all or some of such holder's series A shares into common stock, which such holders have agreed to do prior to the consummation of the PacWest Merger. The sum of each share's liquidation preference plus unpaid dividends divided by the conversion factor of \$5.63 per share represents the number of common shares issuable upon the conversion of each share of preferred stock series A. As of December 31, 2012, we reserved 344,565 of common shares for the conversion of the preferred stock series A.

On December 19, 2008, we participated in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program, under which we received \$25 million in exchange for issuing 25,000 preferred stock series B shares and a warrant to purchase common stock to the Treasury. The common stock warrant entitled the Treasury to purchase 599,042 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.26 for a term of ten years. On July 14, 2011, we redeemed all 25,000 preferred stock series B shares and exited the CPP program. On August 24, 2011, we purchased the 10-year warrant from the Treasury for \$599,042. In connection with the redemption of the preferred stock series B shares, the Company accelerated the amortization of the remaining difference between the par amount and the initially recorded fair value of the preferred stock series B shares. This \$1.1 million deemed dividend reduced the amount of net income available to common shareholders in 2011.

We redeemed the \$25 million of preferred stock series B shares with the \$25 million of proceeds received in exchange for issuing 25,000 preferred stock series C shares to the Treasury as a participant in the Small Business Lending Fund (SBLF) program. The preferred stock series C shares will receive non-cumulative quarterly dividends and the initial dividend rate was 5 percent. The dividend rate can fluctuate between 1 and 5 percent during the next eight quarters and is a function of the growth in qualified small business loans each quarter. The dividend rate for the quarter ended December 31, 2012, was 5 percent. On February 27, 2013, First California notified the Treasury that, subject to receipt of requisite regulatory approvals, First California intends to redeem all of its outstanding shares of Series C preferred stock simultaneously with the consummation of First California's pending merger with PacWest.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company’s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines, bank holding companies must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the company’s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

The following tables present the capital amounts and ratios of the Company with a comparison to the minimum ratios for the periods indicated:

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes	
	Amount	Ratio (in thousands)	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2012				
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 209,164	18.19%	\$ 91,971	≥ 8.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,746	16.94%	\$ 45,986	≥ 4.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 194,746	10.20%	\$ 76,396	≥ 4.00%

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes	
	Amount	Ratio (in thousands)	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2011				
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,694	17.32%	\$ 89,924	≥ 8.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 180,597	16.07%	\$ 44,962	≥ 4.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 180,597	10.33%	\$ 69,906	≥ 4.00%

The Bank is also subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2012, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank exceeded the minimum ratios to be "well-capitalized" under the prompt corrective action provisions. There are no conditions or events since December 31, 2012 that we believe would change the Bank's category.

The following tables present the capital amounts and ratios of the Bank with a comparison to the minimum ratios for the periods indicated:

Actual	For Capital Adequacy Purposes	To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective
--------	-------------------------------	--

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Action Provision	
			(in thousands)		Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2012						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 208,901	18.16%	\$ 92,025	≥ 8.00%	\$ 115,031	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,474	16.91%	\$ 46,013	≥ 4.00%	\$ 68,019	≥ 6.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 194,474	10.18%	\$ 76,409	≥ 4.00%	\$ 95,512	≥ 5.00%

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in thousands)						
December 31, 2011						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 192,227	17.10%	\$ 89,944	≥ 8.00%	\$ 112,430	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 178,126	15.84%	\$ 44,972	≥ 4.00%	\$ 67,458	≥ 6.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 178,126	10.18%	\$ 69,968	≥ 4.00%	\$ 87,460	≥ 5.00%

We recognize that a strong capital position is vital to growth, continued profitability, and depositor and investor confidence. Our policy is to maintain sufficient capital at not less than the well-capitalized thresholds established by banking regulators.

Commitments, contingent liabilities, contractual obligations and off-balance sheet arrangements

In the normal course of business, we make commitments to extend credit or issue letters of credit to customers. We generally do not recognize these commitments in our balance sheet. These commitments do involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk; however, we use the same credit policies and procedures as we do for on-balance sheet credit facilities. Commitments to extend credit were \$161.4 million at December 31, 2012 compared with \$159.5 million at December 31, 2011. Commercial and stand-by letters of credit were \$1.7 million and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The known contractual obligations of the Company at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Twelve months and less	After one year but within three years	Payments Due		Total
			After three years but within five years	After five years	
(in thousands)					
FHLB term advances	\$ 7,054	\$ 47,500	\$ 22,500	\$ —	\$ 77,054
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	20,000	10,000	—	—	30,000
Salary continuation benefits	—	—	—	938	938
Junior subordinated debentures	—	—	—	26,805	26,805
Operating lease obligations	2,763	3,596	2,659	2,843	11,861
Total	\$ 29,817	\$ 61,096	\$ 25,159	\$ 30,586	\$ 146,658

Merchant card processing----Merchant card processing guarantees represent the Bank's indirect obligations in connection with the processing of credit and debit card transactions on behalf of merchants. The EPS division provides transaction processing services to various merchants through an independent third party vendor ("ISO") with respect to credit and debit cards and has potential liability for card transaction processing services. The nature of the liability arises as a result of a billing dispute ("chargeback") between a merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder's favor. The merchant is liable to refund the amount to the cardholder. In general, if the ISO is unable to collect this amount from the merchant, the ISO bears the loss for the amount of the chargeback refund paid to the cardholder. If the ISO and merchant are insolvent or incapable of paying the chargeback, the Bank bears the risk and responsibility to pay the chargeback.

Our risk of loss is mitigated as the cash flows between the Bank and the merchant are settled on a net basis with the networks (Visa, MasterCard and Discover) directly through the Bank, and the Bank has the right to offset any payments with cash flows otherwise due to the merchant. Additionally, the Bank retains cash reserve accounts on balance to offset risk for merchants and the ISO. To further mitigate this risk the Bank may delay settlement. The Bank may require at any time an increase to reserve account balances. The Bank also maintains an insurance policy of \$10.0 million for losses due to fraud.

The Bank's maximum potential contingent liability related to merchant card processing services is estimated to be the total volume of card transactions that meet the requirements to be valid chargeback transactions at any given time. However, the Bank believes that the maximum exposure is not representative of the actual potential loss exposure based on the Bank's historical experience. The Bank assesses the probability and amount of its contingent liability related to merchant card processing based on the financial strength of the ISO, the extent and nature of unresolved charge-backs and its historical loss experience. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank incurred no losses related to merchant card processing activities.

Prepaid card services---Prepaid card services guarantees represent the Bank's indirect obligations in connection with the processing of prepaid card transactions on cards issued by the Bank. The EPS division provides card issuing and sponsorship services through various third party service providers ("Program Managers"), who are considered third-party affiliates of the Bank, with respect to various prepaid card programs and has potential liability for prepaid card processing services. The nature of the liability arises from possible non-compliance with legal and regulatory requirements related to the prepaid card programs. The prepaid card programs are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations including anti-money laundering laws, escheatment laws, privacy and safeguard laws, banking regulations and consumer protection laws. These laws are continuously evolving and sometimes ambiguous or inconsistent, and the extent to which they apply to particular practices of the Bank and its Program Managers is at times unclear. Any failure to comply with applicable law, either by us or our Program Managers could result in restrictions on our ability to provide our products and services, as well as the imposition of civil fines, restitution to customers and other penalties.

If the Bank is subject to monetary losses, such as payment of restitution to prepaid card customers related to prepaid card services, the contracts between the Bank and the Program Managers require the Program Managers to provide indemnification to the Bank. If a Program Manager is required to pay restitution to its customers, based on the financial strength of the Program Manager or other factors, the Bank may potentially be liable for the payment of the restitution because we are the issuing bank of the cards.

The Bank assesses the probability and amount of its contingent liability related to prepaid card services based on the financial strength of the Program Managers, the extent and nature of known violations of laws and regulations and historical trends in loss experience. The Company requires the Program Managers to maintain a specific cash reserve accounts on deposit the Company to mitigate risk. The Bank may require at any time an increase to reserve account balances. The Bank also maintains an insurance policy of \$10.0 million for losses due to fraud. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank incurred no losses related to prepaid card services.

Item 7A.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our investment, lending and financing activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on various controls, including our underwriting standards and loan policies, internal loan monitoring and periodic credit reviews as well as our allowance for loan and lease losses, or ALLL, methodology, all of which are administered by the Bank's Credit Administration division. Additionally, the Director's Credit Review Committee provides board oversight over the Company's ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology. Also, the Director's Audit Committee engages a third party to perform a credit review of the loan portfolio to ensure compliance with policies and assist in the evaluation of the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio.

Interest rate risk is the potential for loss resulting from adverse changes in the level of interest rates on the Company's net interest income. The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on our profitability. The objective of interest rate risk management is to identify and manage the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates to achieve our overall financial objectives. Based on economic conditions, asset quality and various other considerations, management establishes tolerance ranges for interest rate sensitivity and manages within these ranges. Net interest income and the fair value of financial instruments are greatly influenced by changes in the level of interest rates. We manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies that are established by the Asset/Liability Management Committee, or ALCO. The ALCO meets monthly and has responsibility for developing asset/liability management policy, formulating and implementing strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings and reviewing interest rate sensitivity. The Board of Directors' Balance Sheet Management Committee provides oversight of the asset/liability management process, reviews the results of the interest rate risk analyses prepared for the ALCO and approves the asset/liability policies on an annual basis.

We measure our interest rate risk position on at least a quarterly basis using two methods: (i) net interest income simulation and (ii) economic value of equity modeling. The results of these analyses are reviewed by ALCO and the Balance Sheet Management Committee quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net interest income or economic value of equity outside of our pre-established internal limits, we may adjust our asset and liability size or mix in our effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits.

Net interest income simulation

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from differences between the timing of rate changes and the timing of cash flows (re-pricing risk), from changing rate relationships among different yield curves affecting bank activities (basis risk), from changing rate relationships across the spectrum of maturities (yield curve risk), and from interest-related options embedded in loans and products (options risk).

We use simulation-modeling techniques that apply alternative interest rate scenarios to periodic forecasts of future business activity and assess the potential changes to net interest income. Our base scenario examines our balance sheet where we assume rate changes occur ratably over an initial 12-month horizon based upon a parallel shift in the yield curve and then is maintained at that level over the remainder of the simulation horizon. We also create alternative scenarios where we assume different types of yield curve movements. In our most recent base simulation, we estimated that net interest income would decrease approximately 0.92% within a 12-month time horizon for an assumed 100 basis point decrease in prevailing interest rates or increase approximately 1.94% for an assumed 100 basis point increase in prevailing interest rates. In addition, we estimated that net interest income would increase approximately 3.62% within a 12-month time horizon for an assumed 200 basis point increase in prevailing rates. These estimated changes were within the policy limits established by the Board. The table below illustrates the estimated percentage change in our net interest income in our base scenario over hypothetical 1, 3 and 5 year horizons.

Percentage Change	Time Horizon		
	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years
-100 bps	-0.92%	-2.52%	-4.19%
+100 bps	1.94%	2.83%	4.81%
+200 bps	3.62%	5.48%	12.26%
+400 bps	3.77%	14.77%	30.85%

All interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities and related derivative contracts are included in the interest rate sensitivity analysis at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, approximately 42 percent of our loans had a fixed rate of interest and approximately 58 percent had a variable interest rate. Of loans with a variable rate of interest, approximately 26 percent use an interest rate that floats with a specified index rate such as the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate or 3-month LIBOR rate. Approximately 14 percent of our variable interest rate loans use an interest rate that adjusts periodically, such as monthly, quarterly or annually, with a specified interest rate. Finally, approximately 60 percent of our variable interest rate loans have an interest rate that remains fixed for a period of time, such as 1, 3 or 5 years, then adjusts periodically with a specified index rate.

In addition, approximately 86 percent of our variable interest rate loans have a minimum, or floor, rate of interest. Of these, 22 percent were at their minimum, or floor rate of interest. In a declining rate environment, the interest rate floors contribute to the favorable impact on our net interest income. However, in a rising rate environment, these interest rate floors serve to lessen the full benefit of higher interest rates. In our most recent base simulation, an assumed 200 basis point increase in prevailing interest rates would cause 72 percent of loans at their minimum rate of interest not to be at their floor rate of interest.

Our simulation model includes assumptions about anticipated prepayments on mortgage-related instruments, the estimated cash flow on loans and deposits, and our future business activity. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, our modeling techniques cannot precisely estimate the effect of changes in net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes, cash flow and business activity.

Economic value of equity modeling

Another interest rate sensitivity measure we utilize is the quantification of market value changes for all financial assets and liabilities, given an increase or decrease in market interest rates. This approach provides us a longer-term view of interest rate risk, capturing all future expected cash flows. We measure financial assets and liabilities with option characteristics based on different interest rate path valuations using statistical rate simulation techniques. The projections are by their nature forward-looking and therefore inherently uncertain and include various assumptions regarding expected cash flows and discount rates.

The table below illustrates the effects of various instantaneous market interest rate changes on the economic value of financial assets and liabilities as compared to the corresponding carrying values on our balance sheet.

(dollars in thousands)	2012		2011	
	Decrease in Estimated Economic Value of Equity	Percentage Change	Decrease in Estimated Economic Value of Equity	Percentage Change
Up 200 basis points	\$(7,540)	-3.8 %	\$(20,202)	-9.9 %
Up 100 basis points	\$(396)	-0.2 %	\$(5,302)	-2.6 %
Down 100 basis points	\$(23,271)	-11.7 %	\$(13,572)	-6.6 %

The lower percentage change in the economic value of equity from 2011 to 2012 in a hypothetical rising interest rate environment reflects the increase in the Company's non-maturity deposits and the impact of forward-starting interest rate caps.

<u>Report of independent registered public accounting firm</u>	69
<u>Consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	70
<u>Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	71
<u>Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	72
<u>Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	73
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	74
<u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u>	75

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

(c) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm:

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
First California Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First California Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of First California Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and

2011, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, First California Financial Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ MOSS ADAMS LLP

Portland, Oregon

March 18, 2013

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$46,024	\$40,202
Interest bearing deposits with other banks	120,850	21,230
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	381,041	453,735
Non-covered loans, net	1,043,021	918,356
Covered loans	102,431	135,412
Premises and equipment, net	18,087	18,480
Goodwill	60,720	60,720
Other intangibles, net	6,892	13,887
FDIC shared-loss receivable	45,345	68,083
Non-covered foreclosed property	14,895	20,349
Covered foreclosed property	3,900	14,616
Cash surrender value of life insurance	13,097	12,670
Deferred tax assets, net	1,369	—
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	30,171	34,924
Total assets	\$1,887,843	\$1,812,664
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Non-interest checking	\$636,455	\$482,156
Interest checking	124,765	107,077
Savings and money market	478,052	486,000
Certificates of deposit, under \$100,000	59,311	74,861
Certificates of deposit, \$100,000 and over	209,249	275,175
Total deposits	1,507,832	1,425,269
	30,000	30,000

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase

Federal Home Loan Bank advances	77,054	87,719
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	26,805
Deferred tax liabilities, net	—	7,370
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,900	3,757
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	8,134	8,637
Total liabilities	1,653,725	1,589,557
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 22)		
Perpetual preferred stock – authorized 2,500,000 shares		
Series A—\$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	1,000	1,000
Series C—\$0.01 par value, 25,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	25,000	25,000
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 29,271,630 shares issued at December 31, 2012 and 29,220,079 at December 31, 2011; 29,225,851 and 29,220,079 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	292	292
Additional paid-in capital	175,188	173,062
Treasury stock, 45,779 shares at cost at December 31, 2012 and no shares at December 31, 2011	(255)	—
Retained earnings	33,451	25,427
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(558)	(1,674)
Total shareholders' equity	234,118	223,107
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,887,843	\$1,812,664

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Income

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Interest income:			
Interest and fees on loans	\$70,190	\$65,945	\$53,240
Interest on securities	6,397	6,303	5,914
Interest on federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits	231	350	196
Total interest income	76,818	72,598	59,350
Interest expense:			
Interest on deposits	5,174	8,012	7,973
Interest on borrowings	3,533	3,750	4,945
Interest on junior subordinated debt	786	1,342	1,736
Total interest expense	9,493	13,104	14,654
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	67,325	59,494	44,696
Provision for loan losses	1,500	5,346	8,337
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	65,825	54,148	36,359
Noninterest income:			
Service charges on deposit accounts	3,108	3,447	3,225
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	427	438	441
Loss on non-hedged derivatives	(536)	(84)	—
(Amortization) accretion of FDIC shared-loss asset	(3,269)	187	—
Gain on loan sales and commissions	534	—	55
Net gain on sale of securities	5,672	1,022	2,014
Impairment losses on securities	(728)	(1,387)	(749)
Market gain on foreclosed assets	—	429	691
Gain on acquisitions	—	36,922	2,312
Other income	6,128	3,635	807
Total noninterest income	11,336	44,609	8,796
Noninterest expense:			
Salaries and employee benefits	28,325	26,510	19,014
Premises and equipment	6,393	6,298	6,268
Data processing	3,449	3,500	2,564
Legal, audit, and other professional services	7,812	5,570	2,033
Printing, stationary, and supplies	305	366	258
Telephone	856	800	841
Director's fees	619	460	428
Advertising and marketing	1,545	1,637	918
Postage	217	222	212
Insurance and regulatory assessments	2,213	2,199	2,944
(Gain) loss on and expense of foreclosed property, net	(362)	5,178	2,954
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets	6,995	2,289	1,666

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Other expenses	3,359	3,435	2,705
Total noninterest expense	61,726	58,464	42,805
Income before provision for income taxes	15,435	40,293	2,350
Provision for income taxes	6,161	16,910	940
Net income	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$1,410
Net income available to common shareholders	\$8,024	\$20,828	\$160
Earnings per common share:			
Basic	\$0.27	\$0.73	\$0.01
Diluted	\$0.27	\$0.71	\$0.01

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Comprehensive income			
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	\$6,890	\$3,674	\$4,671
Unrealized gain/(loss) on interest rate caps	(145)	(522)	81
Reclassification adjustment for impairments realized in net income	728	1,387	749
Reclassification adjustments for gains included in net income	(5,672)	(1,022)	(2,014)
Other comprehensive income, before taxes	1,801	3,517	3,487
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	(685)	(1,455)	(1,278)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	1,116	2,062	2,209
Net income	9,274	23,383	1,410
Total comprehensive income	\$10,390	\$25,445	\$3,619

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

	Preferred Stock Series A		Preferred Stock Series B		Preferred Stock Series C		Common Stock, \$.01 par value		Additional Paid in Capital	Treasury Stock		Retained Earnings
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount	
(in thousands, except share data)												
Balance at December 31, 2009	1,000	\$ 1,000	25,000	\$ 23,170	—	\$ —	—11,622,893	\$ 118	\$ 136,635	346,401	\$ (3,061)	\$ 5,309
Issuance of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—16,560,000	166	37,925	—	—	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	— (12,133)	(2)	—	—	—	2
Dividends on preferred stock Series B	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,250)
Amortization of preferred stock Series B discount	—	—	—	457	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(457)
Stock-based compensation cost	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	542	—	—	—
Adjustment for derivatives	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(187)
Comprehensive income:												
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,410
Balance at December 31, 2010	1,000	\$ 1,000	25,000	\$ 23,627	—	\$ —	—28,170,760	\$ 282	\$ 175,102	346,401	\$ (3,061)	\$ 4,827
Issuance of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	— 1,100,564	10	(3,071)	(346,401)	3,061	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	— (51,245)	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of preferred stock	—	—	—	—25,000	25,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Redemption of preferred stock	—	—(25,000)	(25,000)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Repurchase of warrant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(599)	—	—	—
Dividends on preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(831)

Series B													
Dividends on preferred stock Series C	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(579)
Amortization of preferred stock Series B discount	—	—	—	1,373	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,373)
Stock-based compensation cost	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,630	—	—	—	—
Comprehensive income:													
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23,383
Balance at December 31, 2011	1,000	\$ 1,000	—	\$ —	25,000	\$ 25,000	29,220,079	\$ 292	\$ 173,062	—	\$ —	—	\$ 25,427
Issuance of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	75,638	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stock options exercised	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,644	—	34	—	—	—	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	(30,731)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Forfeiture of restricted stock in lieu of taxes	—	—	—	—	—	—	(45,779)	—	—	45,779	(255)	—	—
Tax effect of vested restricted stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	204	—	—	—	—
Dividends on preferred stock Series C	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,250)
Stock-based compensation cost	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,888	—	—	—	—
Comprehensive income:													
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9,274
Balance at December 31, 2012	1,000	\$ 1,000	—	\$ —	25,000	\$ 25,000	29,225,851	\$ 292	\$ 175,188	45,779	\$ (255)	\$ 33,451

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Net income	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$1,410
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan losses	1,500	5,346	8,337
Stock-based compensation costs	1,888	1,630	542
Gain on sales of securities	(5,672)	(1,022)	(2,014)
Gain on sale of loans	(534)	—	—
Gain on acquisitions	—	(36,922)	(2,312)
Net loss on sale and valuation adjustments of non-covered foreclosed property	1,626	5,487	1,380
Net gain on sale and valuation adjustments of covered foreclosed property	(2,358)	(1,853)	—
Impairment loss on securities	728	1,387	749
Amortization of net premiums on securities available-for-sale	6,853	3,878	3,711
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	2,162	2,045	1,927
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets	6,995	2,289	1,666
Change in FDIC shared-loss asset	1,450	(3,116)	—
(Gain) loss on disposal of premises and equipment	67	(133)	49
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(427)	(438)	(441)
Change in deferred taxes, net of effects from acquisitions	(6,333)	4,563	975
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets, net of effects from acquisitions	2,602	(2,015)	1,367
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions	(1,397)	(7,449)	59
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	18,424	(2,940)	17,405
Purchases of securities available-for-sale, net of effects from acquisitions	(415,390)	(330,796)	(308,107)
Proceeds from repayments and maturities of securities available-for-sale	201,292	150,661	149,941
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	286,645	38,958	237,965
Proceeds from redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank and other stock	748	1,989	954
Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank and other stock	—	(5)	(49)
Net change in interest bearing deposits with other banks, net of effects from acquisitions	(99,620)	103,376	(19,398)
Loan originations and principal collections, net of effects from acquisitions	(99,800)	50,756	(40,915)
Purchases of premises and equipment, net of effects from acquisitions	(1,965)	(2,145)	(1,424)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	6	1,269	—
Proceeds from FDIC shared-loss asset	21,288	22,051	—
Proceeds from sale of non-covered foreclosed property	3,828	3,019	2,237
Proceeds from sale of covered foreclosed property	19,939	21,607	763
Net cash acquired (paid) in acquisitions	—	122,119	8,194
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(83,029)	182,859	30,161
Net increase (decrease) in noninterest-bearing deposits, net of effects from acquisitions	154,299	49,257	(9,389)

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits, net of effects from acquisitions	(71,736)	(137,443)	(64,340)
Net decrease in FHLB advances and other borrowings, net of effects from acquisitions	(10,665)	(75,322)	(11,948)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(1,250)	(1,097)	(1,250)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	34	—	—
Purchases of treasury stock	(255)	—	—
Redemption of preferred stock series B	—	(25,000)	—
Issuance of preferred stock series C	—	25,000	—
Repurchase of warrant	—	(599)	—
Issuance of common stock	—	—	38,091
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	70,427	(165,204)	(48,836)
Change in cash and due from banks	5,822	14,715	(1,270)
Cash and due from banks, beginning of period	40,202	25,487	26,757
Cash and due from banks, end of period	\$46,024	\$40,202	\$25,487
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$9,723	\$13,236	\$14,434
Cash paid for income taxes	\$15,325	\$8,200	\$1,000
Supplemental disclosure of noncash items:			
Net change in fair value of securities available-for-sale, net of tax	\$1,127	\$2,343	\$2,162
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges, net of tax	\$(11)	\$(278)	\$47
Non-covered loans transferred to foreclosed property	\$—	\$3,356	\$25,660
Covered loans transferred to foreclosed property	\$6,721	\$22,587	\$—
Dividend declared	\$312	\$312	\$—
Acquisitions:			
Assets acquired	\$—	\$458,642	\$108,628
Liabilities acquired	\$—	\$437,220	\$107,241

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1—ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and nature of operations—First California Financial Group, Inc., or First California or the Company, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on June 7, 2006. The Company was formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of National Mercantile Bancorp, a California corporation, or National Mercantile, for the purposes of effecting the merger and capital stock exchange with National Mercantile and acquisition of FCB Bancorp, a California corporation, or FCB, which was completed in March 2007.

On January 23, 2009, the Bank assumed the insured, non-brokered deposits of 1st Centennial Bank, totaling approximately \$270 million, from the FDIC. Under the terms of the purchase and assumption agreement between the Bank and the FDIC, the Bank also purchased certain assets from the FDIC at the close of the transaction. The Bank paid cash consideration of \$48.8 million to the FDIC for the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

On November 5, 2010, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of Western Commercial Bank, or WCB, located in Woodland Hills, California, from the FDIC. The Bank acquired, received and recognized certain assets with an estimated fair value of approximately \$109 million, including \$55 million of loans, \$32 million of cash, \$16.7 million of a FDIC shared-loss asset, \$2 million of securities and \$3 million of other assets. Liabilities with an estimated fair value of approximately \$107 million were also assumed and recognized, including \$105 million of deposits and \$2 million of other liabilities. The Bank recorded a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$2.3 million in connection with this transaction.

On February 18, 2011, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of San Luis Trust Bank, or SLTB, located in San Luis Obispo, California, from the FDIC. The Bank acquired, received and recognized certain assets with an estimated fair value of approximately \$367 million, including \$139 million of loans, \$99 million of cash and federal funds sold, \$70 million of a FDIC shared-loss asset, \$41 million of securities, \$13 million of foreclosed property and \$5 million of other assets. Liabilities with an estimated fair value of approximately \$346 million were also assumed and recognized, including \$266 million of deposits, \$62 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, \$15 million in a deferred tax liability, \$3 million of a FDIC shared-loss liability and \$0.4 million of other liabilities. The Bank recorded a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$36.5 million in connection with this transaction.

On April 8, 2011, the Bank completed the acquisition of the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. The transaction included the division's customer base, core deposits, and employees. The Electronic Payment Services Division, or the EPS division, its new name under the Bank, had issued prepaid cards and sponsored merchant acquiring services for all national and regional networks, including Visa, MasterCard, and Discover throughout all 50 states and U.S. territories. The Bank acquired cash of \$85.4 million, recognized intangible assets of \$6.0 million, assumed \$91 million of deposits and recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction.

On November 6, 2012, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement and plan of merger whereby PacWest Bancorp, or PacWest, will acquire First California for \$8.00 per First California common share, or approximately \$231 million in aggregate consideration, payable in PacWest common stock. In connection with the merger, the Bank will be merged into Pacific Western Bank, the Los Angeles-based wholly-owned subsidiary of PacWest. Two independent directors from the board of directors of First California will join PacWest's board of

directors upon completion of the merger.

Pursuant to the terms of the definitive agreement, First California shareholders will receive PacWest common stock for their shares of First California common stock in a tax-free transaction. First California in-the-money option holders will receive cash, net of applicable taxes withheld, for the value of their unexercised stock options. The holders of 100 percent of the First California Series A preferred stock have agreed to convert their shares into common stock, per the terms of the series of preferred stock, and have the resulting common stock exchanged in the transaction. PacWest and First California expect to redeem First California's outstanding Series C preferred stock for cash in accordance with its terms immediately prior to the closing of the transaction. The transaction, currently expected to close in the second quarter of 2013, is subject to customary conditions, including the approval of bank regulatory authorities and the stockholders of both companies.

On February 13, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company and the Board of Directors of the Bank committed to a plan to wind down the EPS division. The Company previously announced on November 6, 2012, that the Company and PacWest entered into a Merger Agreement pursuant to which the Company would merge with and into PacWest, with PacWest as the surviving corporation. As previously disclosed in the amended Registration Statement on Form S-4 of PacWest, PacWest concluded that the EPS division was not suited to PacWest's commercial banking business model and PacWest would proceed to exit the EPS division upon completion of the PacWest Merger. In connection with the plan to discontinue the EPS division, we evaluated the core deposit intangible and customer relationship intangible assets related to the EPS division and determined that the full amount of both intangible assets was not recoverable and we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$4.8 million in December 2012. We have targeted December 31, 2013 for substantial completion of the wind down of the EPS division. Therefore, the results of operations of the EPS division will be presented as "discontinued operations" in future filings.

The Company serves the comprehensive banking needs of businesses and consumers in Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, San Diego, Riverside, San Luis Obispo and San Bernardino counties through 15 full-service branch locations.

Basis of presentation and consolidation—The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and general practices within the banking industry. The consolidated financial statements include, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the accounts of the Company, the Bank, Wendy Road Office Development, LLC, a subsidiary of the Bank which manages and disposes of real estate, and SC Financial, an inactive subsidiary of First California. The Company has not consolidated the accounts of the First California Capital Trust I and FCB Statutory Trust I in its consolidated financial statements. As a result, the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the Trusts are reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as junior subordinated debentures. Results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 include the effects of the FDIC-assisted San Luis Trust Bank and the Electronic Payment Services division transactions from their date of the acquisition in 2011 and the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank transaction from the date of its acquisition in 2010. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In preparing these financial statements, the Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to December 31, 2012 for potential recognition or disclosure.

Reclassifications—Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2011 and 2010 consolidated financial statements to conform to current year presentation. These reclassifications have no impact on previously reported earnings or retained earnings.

Management's estimates and assumptions—The preparation of the consolidated financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheets, and revenues and expenses for the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimations made by us primarily involve the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, the carrying amount of deferred tax assets, the carrying amount of covered loans, the carrying amount of foreclosed property, the carrying amount of the FDIC shared-loss asset and liability, the assessments for impairment related to goodwill, other intangibles and securities, the estimated fair value of financial instruments and the effectiveness of derivative instruments in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items.

Cash and due from banks—Cash and due from banks include amounts the Company is required to maintain to meet certain average reserve and compensating balance requirements of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had met all reserve requirements. At December 31, 2012, the Company had an insignificant amount of cash deposits at other financial institutions in excess of FDIC insured limits.

Securities—Securities are classified as available-for-sale if the instrument may be sold in response to such factors as (1) changes in market interest rates and related changes in the prepayment risk, (2) need for liquidity, (3) changes in the availability of and the yield on alternative instruments, and (4) changes in funding sources and terms. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of taxes, on securities available-for-sale are reported as other comprehensive income and carried as accumulated comprehensive income or loss within shareholders' equity until realized. Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities available-for-sale are determined using the specific-identification method. Premiums and discounts on available-for-sale securities are recognized in interest income using the effective interest method over the period to maturity.

Declines in the fair value of individual securities available-for-sale below their cost that are other-than-temporary result in write-downs of the individual securities to their fair value. The portion of the write-down related to credit is included in earnings as realized losses. The portion of the write-down related to other factors is included in other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. At each financial statement date, management assesses each investment

to determine if investments are temporarily impaired or if the impairment is other-than- temporary based upon the positive and negative evidence available. Evidence evaluated includes, but is not limited to, industry analyst reports, credit market conditions, and interest rate trends.

Non-covered loans, net of allowance for loan losses and net deferred loan fees/costs—Non-covered loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, reduced by an allowance for loan losses and net deferred loan fees/costs. Interest on non-covered loans is calculated by the simple-interest method on daily balances of the principal amount outstanding. Loan origination fees net of certain direct origination costs are capitalized and recognized as an adjustment of the yield over the life of the related non-covered loan.

The Company does not accrue interest on non-covered loans for which payment in full of principal and interest is doubtful, or which payment of principal or interest has been in default 90 days or more, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. Nonaccrual non-covered loans are considered impaired loans. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's market price, or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. When it is doubtful the full principal and interest due on a non-covered loan will be collected, interest accrual is discontinued. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received or when the non-covered loan is removed from nonaccrual status. Large groups of smaller balance, homogeneous non-covered loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential non-covered loans for evaluation of impairment.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes that the collectability of principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb estimated probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectable, based on evaluations of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. The evaluation includes an assessment of the following factors: any external loan review and any regulatory examination, estimated probable loss exposure on each pool of loans, concentrations of credit, value of collateral, the level of delinquent and nonaccrual loans, trends in the portfolio volume, effects of any changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in lending personnel, present economic conditions at the local, state and national levels, the amount of undisbursed off-balance sheet commitments, and a migration analysis of historical losses and recoveries for the prior twenty quarters. Individual loans are also evaluated for impairment and if a portion of a loan is impaired, the impaired amount is charged-off or a specific reserve is allocated for that loan. Various regulatory agencies, as a regular part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations.

Non-covered loans are reported as restructured when the Bank grants a concession(s) to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider. Examples of such concessions include forgiveness of principal or accrued interest, extending the maturity date or providing a lower interest rate than would be normally available for a transaction of similar risk. As a result of these concessions, restructured non-covered loans are impaired as the Bank will not collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured non-covered loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows on the restructured loans discounted at the interest rate of the original loan agreement to the loan's carrying value. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for non-covered loan and lease losses.

Covered loans—Loans acquired in a FDIC-assisted acquisition that are subject to a shared-loss agreement are referred to as “covered loans” and reported separately in our consolidated balance sheets. Covered loans are reported exclusive of the expected cash flow reimbursements expected from the FDIC. Acquired loans are aggregated into pools based on individually evaluated common risk characteristics and aggregate expected cash flows are estimated for each pool. A pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single interest rate, cumulative loss rate and cash flow expectation. The Bank aggregated all of the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisitions into different pools based on common risk characteristics such as accrual status, underlying collateral, type of interest rate (fixed or variable), types of amortization and other similar factors. A loan will be removed from a pool of loans only if the loan is sold, foreclosed, or assets are received in full satisfaction of the loan, and will be removed from the pool at its carrying value. If an individual loan is removed from a pool of loans, the difference between its relative carrying amount and its cash, fair value of the collateral, or other assets received will be recognized prospectively in the effective yield used to recognize the accretable yield on the remaining pool.

The cash flows expected to be received over the life of the pool were estimated by management with the assistance of a third party valuation specialist. These cash flows were input into a FASB ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, or ASC 310-30, compliant loan accounting system which calculates the carrying value of the pools and underlying loans, book yields, effective interest income and impairment, if any, based on actual and projected events. Default rates, loss severity, and prepayment speeds assumptions are periodically reassessed and updated within the accounting system to update our expectation of future cash flows. The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected over a pool's carrying value is considered to be the accretable yield and is recognized as interest income over the estimated life of the loan or pool using the effective yield method. The accretable yield may change due to changes in the timing and amounts of expected cash flows.

The excess of the undiscounted contractual balances due over the cash flows expected to be collected is considered to be the nonaccretable difference. The nonaccretable difference represents our estimate of the credit losses expected to

occur and was considered in determining the fair value of the loans as of the acquisition date. Subsequent to the acquisition date, any increases in expected cash flows over those expected at acquisition date in excess of fair value are adjusted through an increase to the accretable yield on a prospective basis. Any subsequent decreases in expected cash flows attributable to credit deterioration are recognized by recording a provision for covered loan losses.

Premises and equipment—Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 3 to 7 years for furniture and equipment, and 10 to 39 years for building premises. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the estimated life of the lease or life of the asset, whichever is shorter. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred, while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on dispositions are included in current operations.

Goodwill and other intangible assets—The Company has goodwill, which represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, goodwill is not amortized and is reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of the acquired business below its carrying value. Other intangible assets consist of tradename, core deposit intangibles and contracts and customer relationship intangible. Tradename, which represents the fair value of the First California Bank name, is amortized using the straight-line method over a period of ten years. Core deposit intangibles, which represent the fair value of depositor relationships resulting from deposit liabilities assumed in acquisitions, are amortized using the straight-line method over the projected useful lives of the deposits. The contract and customer relationship intangible, which represents the fair value of the customer relationships and contracts, is amortized using the straight-line method over a period of ten years. Core deposit, contracts and customer relationship and trade name intangibles are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment of goodwill and other intangibles is permanently recognized by writing down the asset to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value. We recognized a \$4.8 million pre-tax impairment charge to write-off other intangible assets related to the EPS division in 2012. We did not have any impairment charges of goodwill or other intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

FDIC shared-loss asset—In conjunction with the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank transactions, the Bank entered into shared-loss agreements with the FDIC related to covered loans and covered foreclosed property. The FDIC shared-loss asset is initially recorded at fair value, based on the discounted value of expected future cash flows under the shared-loss agreements. The difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC is accreted into non-interest income over the life of the FDIC shared-loss asset. The FDIC shared-loss asset is reviewed quarterly and adjusted for any changes in expected cash flows based on recent performance and expectations for future performance (default rates, loss severity and prepayment assumptions) of the covered portfolio. These adjustments are measured on the same basis as the related covered loans, at a pool level, and covered foreclosed property. Generally, any increases in cash flow of the covered assets over those previously expected will result in prospective increases in the loan pool yield and amortization of the FDIC shared-loss asset. Generally, any decreases in cash flow of the covered assets under those previously expected will trigger impairments on the underlying loan pools and will result in a corresponding gain on the FDIC shared-loss asset. Increases and decreases to the FDIC shared-loss asset are recorded as adjustments to other non-interest income.

Non-covered foreclosed property—The Company acquires, through foreclosure or through full or partial satisfaction of a non-covered loan, real or personal property. At the time of foreclosure, the Company obtains an appraisal of the property and records the property at its estimated fair value less costs to sell. We charge the allowance for loan losses for the loan amount in excess of the fair value of the non-covered foreclosed property received; we credit earnings for the fair value amount of the non-covered foreclosed property in excess of the non-covered loan due. Subsequent to foreclosure, the Company periodically assesses our disposition efforts and the estimated fair value less costs to sell of the non-covered foreclosed property. The Company establishes a valuation allowance through a charge to earnings for estimated declines in fair value subsequent to foreclosure. Operating income and operating expense related to non-covered foreclosed property is included in earnings as are any ultimate gains or losses on the sale of the non-covered foreclosed property. Our recognition of gain is, however, dependent on the buyer's initial investment in the purchase of the non-covered foreclosed property meeting certain criteria.

Covered foreclosed property— All foreclosed property acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions that are subject to a FDIC shared-loss agreement are referred to as “covered foreclosed property” and reported separately in our consolidated balance sheets. Covered foreclosed property is reported exclusive of expected reimbursement cash flows from the FDIC. Foreclosed covered loan collateral is transferred into covered foreclosed property at the collateral's net realizable value, less estimated selling costs.

Covered foreclosed property was initially recorded at its estimated fair value on the acquisition date based on similar market comparable valuations less estimated selling costs. Any subsequent valuation adjustments due to declines in fair value will be charged to non-interest expense, and will be mostly offset by non-interest income representing the corresponding increase to the FDIC shared-loss asset for the offsetting loss reimbursement amount. Any recoveries of previous valuation adjustments will be credited to non-interest expense with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC.

Securities sold under repurchase agreements—The Company sells securities under repurchase agreements. These transactions are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold. The Company has provided collateral related to these agreements and may have to provide additional collateral to the counterparty, as necessary, if the fair value of the collateral fluctuates below required levels.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other non-marketable securities—Federal Home Loan Bank stock represents the Company's investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, or the FHLB, stock and is carried at cost because it can only be redeemed at par value. The Company's investment in FHLB stock was \$9.3 million and \$10.0 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. As a member of the FHLB system, the Company is required to maintain a minimum level of investment in FHLB stock based on specific percentages of its outstanding mortgages, total assets or FHLB advances. The Company may request redemption at par value of any stock in excess of the minimum required investment. Stock redemptions are at the discretion of the FHLB. The Company also has stock investments in other companies for CRA and other bank-related purposes. These stock investments were \$1.5 million at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and are carried at cost which reasonably approximates its fair value. The Company reviews its investments accounted for under the cost method at least quarterly for possible impairment. This review typically includes an analysis of facts and circumstances of each investment, the expectations of the investment's future cash flows and capital needs, and trends in the investment's business and cash flows. The Company would reduce the investment value when the declines in value are considered to be permanent. The Company would recognize the estimated loss as an impairment loss on investment securities, a component of non-interest income. The Company recognized an impairment loss of \$39,000 and \$41,000 on one of these CRA-related cost basis investments in 2012 and 2010, respectively.

Junior subordinated debentures—The Company has two statutory business trusts that are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. In private placement transactions, the trusts issued fixed rate capital securities representing undivided preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the trusts. The Company is the owner of all the beneficial interests represented by the common securities of the trusts. The purpose of issuing the capital securities was to provide the Company with a cost-effective means of obtaining Tier I capital under regulatory capital rules.

Income taxes—Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. Deferred tax assets are recognized subject to management's judgment that realization of such assets are more-likely-than-not. A valuation allowance is established when the Company determines that the realization of income tax benefits may not occur in future years. There was no valuation allowance at December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Accounting standards clarify the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold is required for a tax position to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits or uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Company recognizes interest accrued and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized no interest or penalties in income tax expense. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in California and is no longer subject to U.S. federal and California income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Derivative instruments and hedging—For derivative instruments designated in cash flow hedging relationships, we assess the effectiveness of the instruments in offsetting changes in the overall cash flows of designated hedged transactions on a quarterly basis. The Company recognizes the unrealized gains or losses of derivative instruments directly in current period earnings to the extent these instruments are not effective. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$37.1 million notional interest rate caps to limit the variable interest rate payments on our \$26.8 million junior subordinated debentures. Our quarterly effectiveness assessments throughout 2012 indicated that these instruments were effective. At December 31, 2012, the Bank also had \$240 million notional interest rate caps that do

not meet the criteria for hedge accounting to manage the interest rate risk associated with our fixed rate securities and loans. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives not designated as hedges are marked-to-market each period through earnings.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments—In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into off-balance sheet financial instruments consisting of commitments to extend credit, commitments under credit card arrangements, commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit. These financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when they are funded or related fees are incurred or received. The Company maintains a reserve for off-balance sheet items, included as an accrued liability. The reserve is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb possible losses associated with off-balance sheet credit risk. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the commitments to extend credit and undisbursed balances of existing lines of credit and letters of credit.

Stock-based compensation—Stock-based compensation generally includes grants of stock options and restricted stock to employees and nonemployee directors. We account for stock-based payments, including stock options, in accordance with accounting standards guidance related to share-based compensation. We recognize stock-based compensation in the statement of operations based on their grant date fair values and generally over their vesting periods. The fair value of stock options are being measured using a lattice option pricing model while the fair value of restricted stock awards are based on the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

Advertising—Advertising costs are charged to expense during the year in which they are incurred. Advertising expenses were \$199,000, \$273,000 and \$48,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Earnings per share—Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding (excluding unvested restricted stock) during the period, after giving retroactive effect to stock dividends and splits. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated in a manner similar to basic earnings per share, but adjusted by assuming conversion of all potentially dilutive common stock equivalents, which include stock options and restricted shares using the treasury stock method. Diluted earnings per common share exclude common stock equivalents whose effect is antidilutive. Earnings available to common shareholders represent reported earnings less preferred stock dividends, if any.

Business combinations—Business combinations are accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Under the acquisition method the acquiring entity in a business combination recognizes 100 percent of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities, regardless of the percentage owned, at their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition. Any excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets and other identifiable intangible assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. To the extent the fair value of net assets acquired, including other identifiable assets, exceed the purchase price, a bargain purchase gain is recognized. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed from contingencies must also be recognized at fair value, if the fair value can be determined during the measurement period. Results of operations of an acquired business are included in the statement of operations from the date of acquisition. Acquisition-related costs, including conversion and restructuring charges, are expensed as incurred.

Fair value of financial instruments—Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting guidance establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

NOTE 2—RECENTLY ISSUED AND ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU 2011-04 was issued concurrently with IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurements, to provide mainly identical guidance about fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. For U.S. GAAP, most of the changes are clarifications of existing guidance or wording changes to align with IFRS 13. ASU 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. This standard eliminates the option to present components of comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This standard does not change the items which must be reported in other comprehensive income, how such items are measured, or when they must be reclassified to net income. This standard was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption was permitted. A portion of this ASU was deferred with the issuance of ASU 2011-12 discussed below.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other – Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 provides guidance on the application of a qualitative assessment of impairment indicators in the review of goodwill impairment. The ASU provides that in the event that the qualitative review indicates that it is more likely than not that no impairment has occurred; the Company would not be required to perform a quantitative review. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 became effective for years beginning after December 15, 2011 for both public and nonpublic entities, although earlier adoption is allowed. The adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 provides convergence to International Financial Reporting Standards, or IFRS, to provide common disclosure requirements for the offsetting of financial instruments. Existing GAAP guidance allowing balance sheet offsetting, including industry-specific guidance, remains unchanged. The new guidance is effective on a retrospective basis, including all prior periods presented, for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect that adoption of this standard will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 defers the requirements of ASU 2011-05 to display reclassification adjustments for each component of other comprehensive income in both net income and other comprehensive income and to present the components of other comprehensive income in interim financial statements. During 2012, the FASB will reconsider the reclassification requirements and the timing of their implementation. Management is currently evaluating the impact both of these ASU's will have on the disclosures in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other. ASU 2012-02 provides guidance on the application of a qualitative assessment of impairment indicators in the review of impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets. The ASU provides that in the event that the qualitative review indicates that it is more likely than not that no impairment has occurred; the Company would not be required to perform a quantitative review. The provisions of ASU 2012-02 became effective for interim and annual impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 for both public and nonpublic entities, although earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations – Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution. ASU 2012-06 requires that when a reporting entity recognizes an indemnification asset as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution and subsequently a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the indemnification asset occurs, the reporting entity should subsequently account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. This standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied prospectively to any new indemnification assets acquired after the date of adoption and to indemnification assets existing as of the date of adoption arising from a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution. Certain transition disclosures are required. The adoption of ASU 2012-06 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2013-01 clarifies that ASU 2011-11 applies only to derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar

agreement. Entities with other types of financial assets and financial liabilities subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement are no longer subject to the disclosure requirements in ASU 2011-11. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-02 requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component and to present either on the face of the statement where net income is presented, or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the representative line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the ASU but does not expect it will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3—ACQUISITIONS

On April 8, 2011, or the EPS Transaction Date, the Bank completed the acquisition of the Electronic Banking Solutions division of Palm Desert National Bank. The transaction included the division's customer base, core deposits, and employees. The Bank paid cash consideration of \$5.5 million to purchase the EPS division. The Bank acquired cash of \$85.4 million, recognized intangible assets of \$6.0 million, assumed \$91 million of deposits and recognized a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of \$0.5 million in connection with this transaction. The Bank desired this transaction to expand its product and service offerings and diversify its sources of revenue.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Bank recorded the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the EPS Transaction Date. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 include the effects of the EPS acquisition from the EPS Transaction Date.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired, received and recognized and the liabilities assumed and recognized as of the EPS Transaction Date.

	(Dollars in thousands)
Assets Acquired:	
Cash	\$ 85,389
Intangible assets	6,005
Other assets	89
Total assets acquired	\$ 91,483
Liabilities Assumed:	
Deposits	\$ 91,018
Deferred taxes	195
Total liabilities assumed	91,213
Net assets acquired (after-tax bargain purchase gain)	270
Total liabilities and net assets acquired	\$ 91,483

The Bank based the allocation of the purchase price above on the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. The net gain represents the excess of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired over the estimated fair value of the liabilities assumed. The gain was recognized as non-interest income in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 included integration and conversion expenses related to the EPS division acquisition of approximately \$350,000. The "Salaries and employee benefits", "Data processing" and "Legal, audit, and other professional services" categories were affected on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

On February 18, 2011, or the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank assumed certain liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of SLTB from the FDIC, acting in its capacity as receiver of SLTB, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and assumption agreement entered into by the Bank and the FDIC, or the Purchase Agreement. The Bank acquired, received, and recognized certain assets with a fair value of approximately \$367 million, including \$139 million in loans, \$99 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$41 million of securities and \$13 million of foreclosed property related to the transaction. These acquired assets represented approximately 20 percent of consolidated total assets at March 31, 2011. The Bank also assumed approximately \$266 million of deposits and \$62 million of FHLB advances related to the transaction. The Bank also recorded an FDIC shared-loss asset of \$70 million, a core deposit intangible of \$0.3 million, deferred tax liabilities of \$15 million, a FDIC shared-loss liability of \$2.6 million and a premium on time deposits acquired of \$0.8 million related to the transaction. The Bank continues to operate the one former SLTB branch location as part of the Bank's 19 branch locations. The Bank desired this

transaction to expand its footprint into the California central coast region.

As part of the Purchase Agreement, the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed property and accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days. We refer to the acquired assets subject to the shared-loss agreements collectively as covered assets. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80 percent of losses and share in 80 percent of loss recoveries. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and residential mortgage loans are in effect for 5 years and 10 years, respectively, from the SLTB Transaction Date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years and 10 years, respectively, from the SLTB Transaction Date.

In March 2021, approximately ten years following the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank is required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate (\$99.0 million) minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid (\$58.0 million), plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. At the SLTB Transaction Date, the Bank estimated a liability, on a present value basis, of \$2.6 million under this provision.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Bank recorded the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values as of the SLTB Transaction Date. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 include the effects of the SLTB acquisition from the SLTB Transaction Date.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired, received and recognized and the liabilities assumed and recognized as of the SLTB Transaction Date.

	(Dollars in thousands)
Assets Acquired:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 98,820
Securities	40,972
Covered loans	138,792
Covered foreclosed property	12,772
FDIC shared-loss asset	70,293
Other assets	5,510
Total assets acquired	\$ 367,159
Liabilities Assumed:	
Deposits	\$ 266,149
FHLB advances	61,541
FDIC shared-loss liability	2,564
Deferred taxes	15,316
Other liabilities	437
Total liabilities assumed	346,007
Net assets acquired (after-tax bargain purchase gain)	21,152
Total liabilities and net assets acquired	\$ 367,159

The Bank based the allocation of the purchase price above on the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. The net gain represents the excess of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired over the estimated fair value of the liabilities assumed and is influenced significantly by the FDIC-assisted transaction process. Under the FDIC-assisted transaction process, only certain assets and liabilities are transferred to the acquirer and, depending on the nature and amount of the acquirer's bid, the FDIC may be required to make a cash payment to the acquirer. The Bank received a cash payment from the FDIC for \$34.4 million. The book value of net assets transferred to the Bank was \$23.6 million (i.e., the cost basis). The pre-tax gain of \$36.5 million or the after-tax gain of \$21.1 million recognized by the Company is considered a bargain purchase transaction under ASC 805 "Business Combinations" since the total acquisition-date fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired exceeded the fair value of the consideration transferred. The gain was recognized as non-interest income in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Non-interest expense for the first quarter of 2011 included integration and conversion expenses related to the SLTB acquisition of approximately \$515,000. The "Salaries and employee benefits", "Data processing" and "Legal, audit, and other professional services" categories were affected on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

In August 2011, the Bank exercised its option to purchase at fair value approximately \$100,000 of furniture, fixtures and equipment related to the one SLTB branch location from the FDIC. The Bank also negotiated and executed a new five-year lease approximating current market rent for the one branch location.

The acquisition of assets and liabilities of SLTB were significant at a level to require disclosure of one year of historical financial information and related pro forma disclosure. However, given the pervasive nature of the shared-loss agreements entered into with the FDIC, the historical information of SLTB are much less relevant for purposes of assessing the future operations of the combined entity. In addition, prior to closure, SLTB had not

completed an audit of their financial statements, and the Company determined that audited financial statements would not be reasonably available for the year ended December 31, 2010. Given these considerations, the Company requested, and received, relief from the Securities and Exchange Commission from submitting certain historical and pro forma financial information of SLTB.

On November 5, 2010, or the WCB Acquisition Date, the Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities and substantially all of the operations of WCB from the FDIC, acting in its capacity as receiver of WCB, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and assumption agreement entered into by the Bank and the FDIC, or the Purchase Agreement. The Bank acquired, received and recognized certain assets with an estimated fair value of approximately \$109 million, including \$55 million of loans, \$32 million of cash, \$16.7 million of a FDIC shared-loss asset, \$2 million of securities and \$3 million of other assets. Liabilities with an estimated fair value of approximately \$107 million were also assumed and recognized, including \$105 million of deposits and \$2 million of other liabilities. As part of the purchase and assumption agreement, the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed property and accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80 percent of losses and share in 80 percent of loss recoveries. The shared-loss agreement for commercial loans is in effect for 5 years from November 5, 2010 and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for 8 years from November 5, 2010. The Bank desired this transaction to increase its penetration and market share in its existing markets.

The Bank received a cash payment from the FDIC for \$2.4 million. The book value of assets transferred to the Bank was \$111.1 million. The pre-tax gain of \$2.3 million or the after-tax gain of \$1.4 million recognized by the Company is considered a bargain purchase gain and was recognized as non-interest income in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOTE 4 — SECURITIES

Securities have been classified in the consolidated balance sheets according to management's intent and ability as available-for-sale. The amortized cost, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and estimated fair values of securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011, are summarized as follows:

	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2012		Estimated Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
		(in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$8,009	\$2	\$—	\$8,011
U.S. government agency notes	32,570	62	(24)	32,608
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	166,133	740	(124)	166,749
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	162,632	768	(346)	163,054
Municipal securities	7,887	86	(81)	7,892
Other domestic debt securities	4,367	—	(1,640)	2,727
Securities available-for-sale	\$381,598	\$1,658	\$(2,215)	\$381,041

	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2011		Estimated Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
		(in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$45,151	\$14	\$(4)	\$45,161
U.S. government agency notes	59,212	257	(23)	59,446
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	132,141	1,616	(82)	133,675
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	168,158	384	(368)	168,174
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	15,853	—	(2,811)	13,042
Municipal securities	28,572	813	(60)	29,325
Other domestic debt securities	7,151	—	(2,239)	4,912
Securities available-for-sale	\$456,238	\$3,084	\$(5,587)	\$453,735

At December 31, 2012, and 2011, there were no trading securities or securities held-to-maturity.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and amortized cost of the Company's securities with unrealized losses aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 and 2011. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to the fluctuation in market interest rates since purchase and temporary disruption in the credit markets as well as the Company's intent and ability to hold them until fair values recover.

	Less Than 12 Months		At December 31, 2012 Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
U.S. government agency notes	\$7,572	\$(24)	\$—	\$—	\$7,572	\$(24)
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	25,756	(124)	—	—	25,756	(124)
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	67,055	(336)	5,820	(10)	72,875	(346)
Municipal securities	4,953	(81)	—	—	4,953	(81)
Other domestic debt securities	—	—	4,367	(1,640)	4,367	(1,640)
	\$105,336	\$(565)	\$10,187	\$(1,650)	\$115,523	\$(2,215)
	Less Than 12 Months		At December 31, 2011 Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$10,029	\$(4)	\$—	\$—	\$10,029	\$(4)
U.S. government agency notes	10,000	(23)	—	—	10,000	(23)
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	40,889	(82)	—	—	40,889	(82)
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	99,894	(368)	—	—	99,894	(368)
Private-label collateralized mortgage obligations	—	—	15,853	(2,811)	15,853	(2,811)
Municipal securities	4,039	(60)	—	—	4,039	(60)
Other domestic debt securities	—	—	7,151	(2,239)	7,151	(2,239)
	\$164,851	\$(537)	\$23,004	\$(5,050)	\$187,855	\$(5,587)

At December 31, 2012, there were four securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or more and thirty-five securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. At December 31, 2011, there were five securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or more and forty-two securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its individual available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position for OTTI. As part of this evaluation, the Company considers whether it intends to sell each security and whether it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery of the amortized cost basis. If either of these conditions is met, the Company recognizes an OTTI charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For securities in an unrealized loss position that meet neither of these conditions, the Company considers whether it expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by comparing its best estimate of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If the Company's best estimate of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis, the difference is considered the credit loss.

For all the securities in its available-for-sale portfolio, the Company does not intend to sell any security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell any security before its anticipated recovery of the remaining amortized cost basis.

The Company has determined that, as of December 31, 2012, all of the gross unrealized losses on its U.S. Treasury notes/bills, U.S. government agency notes, U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. government collateralized mortgage obligations and municipal securities are temporary because the gross unrealized losses were caused mainly by movements in interest rates and not by the deterioration of the issuers' creditworthiness. These securities were all with credit agency ratings of at least A+ at December 31, 2012. For its U.S. Treasury notes/bills, U.S. government agency notes, U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. government collateralized mortgage obligations the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities because it determined that the strength of the issuers' guarantees through direct obligations or support from the U.S. government is sufficient to protect the Company from losses based upon current expectations. As a result, the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

The Company owns one pooled trust preferred security, rated triple-A at purchase, with an amortized cost basis of \$4.4 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2012. The gross unrealized loss is mainly due to extraordinarily high investor yield requirements resulting from an illiquid market, causing this security to be valued at a discount to its acquisition cost. One credit rating agency has now rated the security Baa3 while another has rated the security B. The senior tranche owned by the Company has a collateral balance well in excess of the amortized cost basis of the tranche at December 31, 2012. Seventeen of the fifty-six issuers in the security have deferred or defaulted on their interest payments as of December 31, 2012. The Company's analysis determined that approximately half of the issuers would need to default on their interest payments before the senior tranche owned by the Company would be at risk of loss. As the Company's estimated present value of expected cash flows to be collected is in excess of the amortized cost basis, the Company considers the gross unrealized loss on this security to be temporary.

At December 31, 2012, we no longer owned any private-label CMOs. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$689,000 on these securities. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$1.4 million on our private-label CMOs.

The Company has committed to contribute capital of \$1.0 million to participate in a community development-related investment fund whose purpose is to develop and revitalize economically depressed areas. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had contributed capital, net of distributions, of \$819,000 and \$816,000, respectively. During 2012, the fund recognized impairment losses on certain investments within the fund and the Company recognized its proportionate share of those impairment losses of \$39,000. The Company also recognized an impairment loss of \$41,000 on this equity investment in 2010. The Company will continue to monitor the investment values within the fund at each reporting date and can provide no assurance there will not be additional impairment in future periods.

The following table presents the other-than-temporary impairment activity related to credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Beginning balance	\$3,643	\$2,256	\$1,507
Addition of other-than-temporary impairment that was not previously recognized	—	—	120
Additional increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized	728	1,387	664
Reduction for securities sold during the period	(2,922)	—	(35)
Ending balance	\$1,449	\$3,643	\$2,256

Proceeds from the sale of securities for the year ended December 31, 2012 amounted to \$286.0 million resulting in gross realized gains of \$6.2 million and gross realized losses of \$0.5 million, respectively. Proceeds from sale of securities for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$39.0 million resulting in gross realized gains of \$1.1 million and gross realized losses of \$0.1 million, respectively. Proceeds from the sale of securities for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$238.0 million and resulted in gross realized gains of \$2.4 million and gross realized losses of \$0.4 million, respectively.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities by contractual maturities are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage-backed securities may mature earlier than their contractual maturities because of principal prepayments.

	At December 31, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$ 8,009	\$ 8,011
Due after one year through five years	32,570	32,608
Due after five years through ten years	98,694	99,089
Due after ten years	242,325	241,333
	\$ 381,598	\$ 381,041

As of December 31, 2012, securities with an estimated fair value of \$48.8 million were pledged to secure public and other deposits, as required by law, repurchase agreements, and the Federal Reserve Bank's discount window.

NOTE 5 – NON-COVERED LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR NON-COVERED LOAN LOSSES

The loans not acquired in the SLTB and WCB acquisitions and which are not covered by the related shared-loss agreements with the FDIC are referred to as non-covered loans. The non-covered loan portfolio by type consists of the following:

	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
(in thousands)		
Commercial mortgage	\$447,689	\$393,376
Multifamily	217,158	187,333
Commercial loans and lines	168,325	180,421
Home mortgages	149,954	106,350
Construction and land loans	36,772	35,082
Home equity loans and lines of credit	36,709	28,645
Installment and credit card	4,586	4,896
Total non-covered loans	1,061,193	936,103
Allowance for loan losses	(18,172)	(17,747)
Non-covered loans, net	\$1,043,021	\$918,356

At December 31, 2012, loans with a balance of \$787.6 million were pledged as security for Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, or FHLB, advances. Non-covered loan balances include net deferred loan costs of \$6.9 million and \$3.4 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Most of the Company's lending activity is with customers located in Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego and San Luis Obispo Counties and most loans are secured by or dependent on real estate. Although the Company has no significant exposure to any individual customer, economic conditions, particularly the recent sustained decline in real estate values in Southern California, could adversely affect customers and their ability to satisfy their obligations under their loan agreements.

Changes in the allowance for non-covered loan losses were as follows:

(in thousands)	Twelve months ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 17,747	\$ 17,033	\$ 16,505
Provision for loan losses	1,500	5,346	8,337
Loans charged-off	(1,485)	(5,177)	(8,535)
Recoveries on loans previously charged-off	410	545	726
Balance, end of period	\$ 18,172	\$ 17,747	\$ 17,033

The following table details activity in the allowance for non-covered loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one segment of the loan portfolio does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other segments.

(in thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Commercial	Multifamily	Construction and Land	Home Mortgage	Home Equity	Installment	Total
Allowance for credit losses:								
Beginning balance	\$ 6,091	\$ 6,221	\$ 2,886	\$ 814	\$ 1,274	\$ 390	\$ 71	\$ 17,747
Charge-offs	(26)	(792)	(161)	—	(330)	—	(176)	(1,485)
Recoveries	3	400	—	—	—	—	7	410
Provision	(319)	559	126	(316)	1,279	22	149	1,500
Ending balance	\$ 5,749	\$ 6,388	\$ 2,851	\$ 498	\$ 2,223	\$ 412	\$ 51	\$ 18,172
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 64	\$ 4,589	\$ 140	\$ 10	\$ 200	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5,003
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	5,685	1,799	2,711	488	2,023	412	51	13,169
Non-covered loan balances:								
Ending balance	\$ 447,689	\$ 168,325	\$ 217,158	\$ 36,772	\$ 149,954	\$ 36,709	\$ 4,586	\$ 1,061,193
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,593	\$ 15,564	\$ 1,988	\$ 1,761	\$ 2,066	\$ —	\$ 25	\$ 22,997
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 446,096	\$ 152,761	\$ 215,170	\$ 35,011	\$ 147,888	\$ 36,709	\$ 4,561	\$ 1,038,196

The following table details activity in the allowance for non-covered loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2011. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one segment of the loan portfolio does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other segments.

(in thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Commercial Multifamily	Construction and Land	Home Mortgage	Home Equity	Installment	Total	
Allowance for credit losses:								
Beginning balance	\$ 6,134	\$ 4,934	\$ 2,273	\$ 1,698	\$ 1,496	\$ 416	\$ 82	\$ 17,033
Charge-offs	(312)	(3,988)	(71)	(191)	(475)	(39)	(101)	(5,177)
Recoveries	—	508	17	15	—	—	5	545
Provision	269	4,767	667	(708)	253	13	85	5,346
Ending balance	\$ 6,091	\$ 6,221	\$ 2,886	\$ 814	\$ 1,274	\$ 390	\$ 71	\$ 17,747
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ —	\$ 3,057	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,057
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	6,091	3,164	2,886	814	1,274	390	71	14,690
Non-covered loan balances:								
Ending balance	\$ 393,376	\$ 180,421	\$ 187,333	\$ 35,082	\$ 106,350	\$ 28,645	\$ 4,896	\$ 936,103
Ending balance; individually evaluated for impairment	\$ —	\$ 11,594	\$ 837	\$ —	\$ 1,387	\$ —	\$ 42	\$ 13,860
Ending balance; collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 393,376	\$ 168,827	\$ 186,496	\$ 35,082	\$ 104,963	\$ 28,645	\$ 4,854	\$ 922,243

Nonaccrual loans are those loans for which management has discontinued accrual of interest because reasonable doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of either principal or interest. Nonaccrual loans are also considered impaired

loans. Total non-covered nonaccrual loans totaled \$14.6 million at December 31, 2012 as compared to \$13.9 million at December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses maintained for non-covered nonaccrual loans was \$4.0 million and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Had these loans performed according to their original terms, additional interest income of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million would have been recognized in the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table sets forth the amounts and categories of our non-covered nonaccrual loans at the dates indicated.

	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Nonaccrual loans		
Aggregate loan amounts		
Construction and land	\$—	\$—
Commercial mortgage	923	—
Multifamily	1,271	837
Commercial loans	10,793	11,594
Home mortgage	1,601	1,387
Installment	22	42
Total non-covered nonaccrual loans	\$14,610	\$13,860

Included in non-covered nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2012 were seventeen restructured loans totaling \$5.3 million. The seventeen loans consist of three home mortgage loans, one multifamily loan and thirteen commercial loans. Interest income recognized on these loans was \$119,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. We have no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

Included in non-covered nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2011 were nine restructured loans totaling \$2.8 million. The nine loans consist of one home mortgage loan and eight commercial loans. Interest income recognized on these loans was \$34,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. We had no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

Credit Quality Indicators

All loans are risk rated based on analysis of the current state of the borrower's credit quality. This analysis of credit quality includes a review of all sources of repayment, the borrower's current financial and liquidity status and all other relevant information. The Company utilizes a ten grade risk rating system, where a higher grade represents a higher level of credit risk. The ten grade risk rating system can be generally classified by the following categories: Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful and Loss. The risk ratings reflect the relative strength of the sources of repayment.

Pass loans are generally considered to have sufficient sources of repayment in order to repay the loan in full in accordance with all terms and conditions. These borrowers may have some credit risk that requires monitoring, but full repayment is expected. Special Mention loans are considered to have potential weaknesses that warrant close attention by management. Special Mention is considered a transitory grade and generally, the Company does not have a loan stay graded Special Mention for longer than six months. If any potential weaknesses are resolved, the loan is upgraded to a Pass grade. If negative trends in the borrower's financial status or other information is presented that indicates the repayment sources may become inadequate, the loan is downgraded to a Substandard grade. Substandard loans are considered to have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the full and timely repayment of the loan. Substandard loans have a distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Additionally, when management has assessed a potential for loss but a distinct possibility of loss is not recognizable, the loan is still classified as Substandard. Doubtful loans have insufficient sources of repayment and a high probability of loss. Loss loans are considered to be uncollectible and of such little value that they are no longer considered bankable assets. These internal risk ratings are reviewed continuously and adjusted due to changes in borrower status and likelihood of loan repayment.

The table below presents the non-covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2012.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 140,778	\$ 961	\$ 8,215	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 149,954
Commercial mortgage	398,915	43,026	5,748	—	—	447,689
Construction and land	35,015	—	1,757	—	—	36,772
Multifamily	204,131	5,135	7,892	—	—	217,158
Commercial loans and lines	142,184	7,908	17,155	1,078	—	168,325
Home equity loans and lines	36,205	—	504	—	—	36,709
Installment	4,253	271	62	—	—	4,586

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Total \$ 961,481 \$ 57,301 \$ 41,333 \$ 1,078 \$ — \$ 1,061,193

The table below presents the non-covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2011.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 103,239	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,785	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 106,350
Commercial mortgage	366,078	17,798	9,500	—	—	393,376
Construction and land	31,623	196	3,263	—	—	35,082
Multifamily	178,064	2,972	6,297	—	—	187,333
Commercial loans and lines	155,850	4,030	11,937	8,604	—	180,421
Home equity loans and lines	28,139	—	506	—	—	28,645
Installment	4,516	268	112	—	—	4,896
Total	\$ 867,509	\$ 26,590	\$ 33,400	\$ 8,604	\$ —	\$ 936,103

Loans are tracked by the number of days borrower payments are past due. The tables below present an age analysis of nonaccrual and past due non-covered loans, segregated by class of loan, as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	At December 31, 2012							Total
	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans		
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 426	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 426	\$ 10,793	\$ 157,106	\$ 168,325	
Commercial mortgage	1,866	—	—	1,866	923	444,900	447,689	
Multifamily	334	1,884	—	2,218	1,271	213,669	217,158	
Construction and land	—	—	—	—	—	36,772	36,772	
Home mortgage	6,551	—	—	6,551	1,601	141,802	149,954	
Home equity loans and lines	—	—	—	—	—	36,709	36,709	
Installment	1	—	—	1	22	4,563	4,586	
Total	\$ 9,178	\$ 1,884	\$ —	\$ 11,062	\$ 14,610	\$ 1,035,521	\$ 1,061,193	

	At December 31, 2011							Total
	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans		
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 41	\$ 1,071	\$ —	\$ 1,112	\$ 11,594	\$ 167,715	\$ 180,421	
Commercial mortgage	648	—	—	648	—	392,728	393,376	
Multifamily	1,010	—	—	1,010	837	185,486	187,333	
Construction and land	—	—	—	—	—	35,082	35,082	
Home mortgage	—	—	—	—	1,387	104,963	106,350	
Home equity loans and lines	406	100	—	506	—	28,139	28,645	
Installment	172	1	—	173	42	4,681	4,896	
Total	\$ 2,277	\$ 1,172	\$ —	\$ 3,449	\$ 13,860	\$ 918,794	\$ 936,103	

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, the Company does not expect to be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Impaired loans are determined by periodic evaluation on an individual loan basis. The average investment in non-covered impaired loans was \$19.5 million and \$17.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There was \$360,000 of interest income recognized on non-covered impaired loans in the twelve months

ended December 31, 2012 and no interest income was recognized in the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. Recorded investments in non-covered impaired loans were \$23.0 million and \$13.9 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Of the \$23.0 million of non-covered impaired loans at December 31, 2012, \$18.5 million had specific reserves totaling \$5.0 million. Of the \$13.9 million of non-covered impaired loans at December 31, 2011, \$11.1 million had specific reserves totaling \$3.1 million.

Impaired non-covered loans as of December 31, 2012 are set forth in the following table.

(in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment with no Allowance	Recorded Investment with Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 19,365	\$ 341	\$ 15,223	\$ 15,564	\$ 4,589	\$ 14,657	\$ 244
Multifamily	2,139	513	1,474	1,988	140	1,739	45
Commercial mortgage	1,593	923	670	1,593	64	751	40
Construction	1,761	1,570	191	1,761	9	555	15
Home mortgage	2,822	1,152	914	2,066	200	1,480	15
Installment	80	23	3	25	1	32	1
Total	\$ 27,760	\$ 4,522	\$ 18,475	\$ 22,997	\$ 5,003	\$ 19,214	\$ 360

Impaired non-covered loans as of December 31, 2011 are set forth in the following table.

(in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment with no Allowance	Recorded Investment with Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 13,776	\$ 471	\$ 11,123	\$ 11,594	\$ 3,057	\$ 9,374	\$ —
Multifamily	837	837	—	837	—	140	—
Home mortgage	1,887	1,387	—	1,387	—	1,035	—
Installment	42	42	—	42	—	4	—
Total	\$ 16,542	\$ 2,737	\$ 11,123	\$ 13,860	\$ 3,057	\$ 10,553	\$ —

The average recorded investment in impaired non-covered loans shown in the above tables represents the average investment for the period in the non-covered loans impaired at each respective period-end.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company offers a variety of modifications to borrowers. The modification categories offered can generally be described in the following categories:

Rate modification – A modification in which the interest rate is changed.

Term modification – A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

Interest only modification – A modification in which the loan is converted to interest only payments for a period of time.

Payment modification – A modification in which the dollar amount of the payment is changed, other than an interest only modification described above.

Combination modification – Any other type of modification, including the use of multiple categories above.

The following tables present non-covered loan troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(in thousands)	Accrual Status		December 31, 2012 Nonaccrual Status		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Commercial mortgage	2	\$ 670	—	—	2	\$ 670
Commercial loans & lines	16	5,051	13	4,784	29	9,835
Multifamily	1	716	1	203	2	919
Construction	2	1,761	—	—	2	1,761
Home mortgage	1	465	3	350	4	815
Installment	2	3	—	—	2	3
Total	24	\$ 8,666	17	\$ 5,337	41	\$ 14,003

December 31, 2011

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

(in thousands)	Accrual Status		Nonaccrual Status		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Commercial mortgage	6	\$ 878	—	\$ —	6	\$ 878
Commercial loans & lines	—	—	8	2,665	8	2,665
Multifamily	1	725	—	—	1	725
Home mortgage	—	—	1	158	1	158
Total	7	\$ 1,603	9	\$ 2,823	16	\$ 4,426

The Bank's policy is that loans placed on nonaccrual status will typically remain on nonaccrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospect for future payment performance in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain. The Bank's policy generally refers to six months of payment performance as sufficient to warrant a return to accrual status.

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

The following tables present newly restructured non-covered loans that occurred during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2012									
	Term Modifications		Interest Only Modifications		Rate Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment:	(in thousands)									
Commercial loans & lines	4	\$2,037	1	\$—	5	\$6,242	7	\$2,462	17	\$10,741
Multifamily	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	237	1	237
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	26	1	26
Construction	2	1,783	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	1,783
Home mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	746	3	746
Installment	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	4	1	4
Total	6	\$3,820	1	\$—	5	\$6,242	13	\$3,475	25	\$13,537

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2012									
	Term Modifications		Interest Only Modifications		Payment Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Post-modification outstanding recorded investment:	(in thousands)									
Commercial loans & lines	4	\$ 2,037	1	\$ 500	5	\$ 6,288	7	\$ 1,926	17	\$ 10,751
Multifamily	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	237	1	237
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	26	1	26
Construction	2	1,783	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	1,783
Home mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	686	3	686
Installment	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	4	1	4
Total	6	\$ 3,820	1	\$ 500	5	\$ 6,288	13	\$ 2,879	25	\$ 13,487

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2011							
	Term Modifications		Payment Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment:	(in thousands)							
Commercial loans & lines	—	\$—	2	\$ 83	3	\$ 1,280	5	\$ 1,363
Multifamily	—	—	—	—	1	626	1	626
Installment	—	—	—	—	1	5	1	5
Total	—	\$—	2	\$ 83	5	\$ 1,911	7	\$ 1,994

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2011							
	Term Modifications		Payment Modifications		Combo Modifications		Total Modifications	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Post-modification outstanding recorded investment:	(in thousands)							
Commercial loans & lines	—	\$—	2	\$ 83	3	\$ 1,280	5	\$ 1,363

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Multifamily	—	—	—	—	1	614	1	614
Installment	—	—	—	—	1	5	1	5
Total	-\$	—	2 \$	83	5 \$	1,899	7 \$	1,982

93

There were no non-covered loans modified as a troubled debt restructuring and had a payment default occurring within 12 months of the restructure date during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, one non-covered loan for \$4,000 modified as a troubled debt restructuring had a payment default occurring within 12 months of the restructure date. There were no non-covered loans modified as a troubled debt restructuring and had a payment default occurring within 12 months of the restructure date during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

NOTE 6 – COVERED LOANS AND FDIC SHARED-LOSS ASSET

Covered assets consist of loans receivable and foreclosed property that we acquired in the FDIC-assisted SLTB and WCB acquisitions for which we entered into shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The Bank will share in the losses with the FDIC, which begin with the first dollar of loss incurred on the loan pools (including single-family residential mortgage loans, commercial loans and foreclosed property) covered under our shared-loss agreements. We refer to all other loans not covered under our shared-loss agreements as non-covered loans.

Pursuant to the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Bank for 80 percent of eligible losses with respect to covered assets. The Bank has a corresponding obligation to reimburse the FDIC for 80 percent of eligible recoveries with respect to covered loans. The shared-loss agreements for commercial and single-family residential mortgage loans are in effect for five years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition date and the loss recovery provisions are in effect for eight years and ten years, respectively, from the acquisition date.

The following table reflects the estimated fair value of the acquired loans at the acquisition dates.

	Western Commercial November 5, 2010	San Luis Trust Bank February 18, 2011	Total
Home mortgage	\$ 2,484	\$ 64,524	\$ 67,008
Commercial mortgage	25,920	15,948	41,868
Construction and land loans	7,599	23,395	30,994
Multifamily	—	18,450	18,450
Commercial loans and lines of credit	19,486	2,353	21,839
Home equity loans and lines of credit	—	13,669	13,669
Installment and credit card	—	453	453
Total	\$ 55,489	\$ 138,792	\$ 194,281

In estimating the fair value of the covered loans at the acquisition date, we (a) calculated the amount and timing of contractual undiscounted principal and interest payments and (b) estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments. The difference between these two amounts represents the nonaccretable difference. On the acquisition date, the amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value of the acquired loans is the “accretable yield.” The accretable yield is then measured at each financial reporting date and represents the difference between the remaining undiscounted expected cash flows and the current

carrying value of the loans.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the undiscounted contractual cash flows, nonaccretable difference, accretable yield, and the fair value of covered loans for each respective acquired loan portfolio at the acquisition dates.

	Western Commercial November 5, 2010	San Luis Trust Bank February 18, 2011	Total
Undiscounted contractual cash flows	\$ 81,431	\$ 252,263	\$ 333,694
Undiscounted cash flows not expected to be collected (nonaccretable difference)	(20,094)	(81,586)	(101,680)
Undiscounted cash flows expected to be collected	61,337	170,677	232,014
Accretable yield at acquisition	(5,848)	(31,885)	(37,733)
Estimated fair value of loans acquired at acquisition	\$ 55,489	\$ 138,792	\$ 194,281

The following tables present the changes in the accretable yield for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for each respective acquired loan portfolio.

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2012		
	Western Commercial	San Luis Trust Bank	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 9,399	\$ 55,318	\$ 64,717
Accretion to interest income	(4,174)	(14,731)	(18,905)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	2,516	(8,009)	(5,493)
Balance, end of period	\$ 7,741	\$ 32,578	\$ 40,319

	Twelve months ended December 31, 2011		
	Western Commercial	San Luis Trust Bank	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 5,457	\$ —	\$ 5,457
Additions resulting from acquisition	—	31,885	31,885
Accretion to interest income	(3,836)	(10,089)	(13,925)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference	7,778	33,522	41,300
Balance, end of period	\$ 9,399	\$ 55,318	\$ 64,717

The following table sets forth the composition of the covered loan portfolio by type.

	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Covered loans by property type (in thousands)		
Home mortgage	\$ 29,896	\$ 36,736
Commercial mortgage	28,079	37,804
Construction and land loans	19,699	22,875
Multifamily	9,699	15,944
Commercial loans and lines of credit	8,167	11,206
Home equity loans and lines of credit	6,891	10,841
Installment and credit card	—	6

Total covered loans \$ 102,431 \$ 135,412

The FDIC shared-loss asset was \$45.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$68.1 million at December 31, 2011. The ultimate collectability of this asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC.

The following table presents the changes in the FDIC shared-loss asset for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Twelve months ended December 31, 2012		
	WCB	SLTB	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 9,159	\$ 58,924	\$ 68,083
FDIC share of additional losses	520	1,299	1,819
Cash payments received from FDIC	(3,926)	(17,362)	(21,288)
Net amortization	(287)	(2,982)	(3,269)
Balance, end of period	\$ 5,466	\$ 39,879	\$ 45,345

The following table presents the changes in the FDIC shared-loss asset for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

(in thousands)	Twelve months ended December 31, 2011		
	WCB	SLTB	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 16,725	\$ —	\$ 16,725
Acquisition	—	70,293	70,293
FDIC share of additional losses	740	2,189	2,929
Cash payments received from FDIC	(8,379)	(13,672)	(22,051)
Net accretion	73	114	187
Balance, end of period	\$ 9,159	\$ 58,924	\$ 68,083

Forty-five days following the tenth anniversary of the WCB and SLTB acquisition dates, the Company will be required to perform a calculation and determine if a payment to the FDIC is necessary. The payment amount will be 50 percent of the excess, if any, of (i) 20 percent of the intrinsic loss estimate minus (ii) the sum of (a) 20 percent of the net loss amount, plus (b) 25 percent of the asset discount bid, plus (c) 3.5 percent of total loss share assets at acquisition. The Company's estimate for the present value of this liability was \$3.9 million and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

We evaluated each of the acquired loans under ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, or ASC 310-30, to determine loans for which 1) there was evidence of credit deterioration since origination and 2) it was probable that we would not collect all contractually required payments receivable. We determined the best indicator of such evidence was an individual loan's accrual status. Therefore, an individual loan on nonaccrual at the acquisition date (generally 90 days or greater contractually past due) was deemed to be non-performing credit impaired and therefore within the scope of ASC 310-30. Acquired loans that were accruing at the acquisition date were separately identified and labeled performing credit impaired loans.

Pursuant to an AICPA letter dated December 18, 2009, the AICPA summarized the SEC Staff's view regarding the accounting in subsequent periods for discount accretion associated with non-credit impaired loans acquired in a business combination or asset purchase. Regarding the accounting for such loans, in the absence of further standard setting, the AICPA understands that the SEC Staff would not object to an accounting policy based on contractual cash flows or an accounting policy based on expected cash flows. We believe analogizing to ASC 310-30 is an appropriate method to follow in accounting for the credit-related portion of the fair value discount on the performing credit impaired loans. By doing so, these loans, which are labeled performing credit impaired, are only being accreted up to the cash flows that we expected to receive at acquisition of the loan. Given the lending practices of the institution from which the loans were acquired, and in estimating the expected cash flows for each designated pool, all loans acquired were recognized to have some degree of credit impairment.

On the acquisition dates, the amounts by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value of the acquired loans is the accretable yield. The accretable yield is taken into interest income over the life of the loans using the effective yield method. The accretable yield changes over time due to both accretion and as actual and expected cash flows vary from the acquisition date estimated cash flows. The accretable yield is then measured at each financial reporting date and represents the difference between the remaining undiscounted expected cash flows and the current carrying value of the loans. The remaining undiscounted expected cash flows are calculated at each financial reporting date based on information then currently available. Increases in expected cash flows over those originally

estimated increase the carrying value of the pool and are recognized as interest income prospectively. Decreases in expected cash flows compared to those originally estimated decrease the carrying value of the pool and are recognized by recording a provision for credit losses and establishing an allowance for credit losses. As the accretable yield increases due to cash flow expectations, the offset is a change to the nonaccretable difference.

The acquired covered loans are and will continue to be subject to the Bank's internal and external credit review and monitoring practices. The covered loans have the same credit quality indicators, such as risk grade and classification, as the non-covered loans, to enable the monitoring of the borrower's credit and the likelihood of repayment. If credit deteriorates beyond the respective acquisition date fair value amount of covered loans under ASC 310-30, such deterioration will be reserved for and a provision for credit losses will be charged to earnings with a partially offsetting noninterest income item reflected in the increase of the FDIC shared-loss asset.

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was no allowance for the covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 related to deterioration, as the credit quality deterioration was not beyond the acquisition date fair value amounts of the covered loans.

Covered loans are tracked by the number of days borrower payments are past due. The tables below present an age analysis of nonaccrual and past due covered loans, segregated by class of loan, as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	At December 31, 2012						
	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 38	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 38	\$ 1,537	\$ 6,592	\$ 8,167
Commercial mortgage	163	1,244	—	1,407	860	25,812	28,079
Multifamily	149	—	—	149	867	8,683	9,699
Construction and land	146	—	—	146	5,642	13,911	19,699
Home mortgage	270	—	—	270	5,187	24,439	29,896
Home equity loans and lines	368	—	—	368	86	6,437	6,891
Total	\$ 1,134	\$ 1,244	\$ —	\$ 2,378	\$ 14,179	\$ 85,874	\$ 102,431

	At December 31, 2011						
	Accruing loans 30-59 days past due	Accruing loans 60-89 days past due	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Total Accruing past due loans (in thousands)	Nonaccrual past due loans	Current loans	Total
Commercial loans and lines	\$ 109	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 109	\$ 1,338	\$ 9,759	\$ 11,206
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	3,043	34,761	37,804
Multifamily	97	—	—	97	3,670	12,177	15,944
Construction and land	755	—	—	755	3,920	18,200	22,875
Home mortgage	793	909	511	2,213	6,389	28,134	36,736
Home equity loans and lines	243	—	—	243	187	10,411	10,841
Installment	—	—	—	—	—	6	6
Total	\$ 1,997	\$ 909	\$ 511	\$ 3,417	\$ 18,547	\$ 113,448	\$ 135,412

At December 31, 2012, included in covered non-accrual loans were restructured loans totaling \$5.6 million. No interest income was recognized on these loans for the year ended December 31, 2012. We had no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

At December 31, 2011, included in covered non-accrual loans were restructured loans totaling \$9.6 million. No interest income was recognized on these loans for the year ended December 31, 2011. We had no commitments to lend additional funds to these borrowers.

The table below presents the covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2012.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 8,675	\$ 3,718	\$ 17,503	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 29,896
Commercial mortgage	17,067	5,022	5,942	48	—	28,079
Construction and land	3,580	7,121	8,998	—	—	19,699
Multifamily	5,109	—	4,590	—	—	9,699
Commercial loans and lines of credit	4,429	1,162	2,576	—	—	8,167
Home equity loans and lines	5,199	647	1,045	—	—	6,891
	\$ 44,059	\$ 17,670	\$ 40,654	\$ 48	\$ —	\$ 102,431

The table below presents the covered loan portfolio by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2011.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
	(in thousands)					
Home mortgage	\$ 11,213	\$ 5,953	\$ 19,570	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 36,736
Commercial mortgage	17,888	10,737	9,179	—	—	37,804
Construction and land	4,447	4,129	14,299	—	—	22,875
Multifamily	9,247	—	6,697	—	—	15,944
Commercial loans and lines of credit	3,076	2,057	5,952	121	—	11,206
Home equity loans and lines	8,513	1,327	1,001	—	—	10,841
Installment	2	—	4	—	—	6
	\$ 54,386	\$ 24,203	\$ 56,702	\$ 121	\$ —	\$ 135,412

NOTE 7—FORECLOSED PROPERTY

Non-covered foreclosed property at December 31, 2012 consists of a \$11.1 million completed office complex project consisting of 13 buildings in Ventura County and a \$2.8 million unimproved land property of 161 acres located in an unincorporated section of western Los Angeles County known as Liberty Canyon. The remainder consists of one multifamily property and one single-family residence.

The following table presents the activity of our non-covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

	As of and for the twelve months ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
	(dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	7	\$ 20,349	8	\$ 26,011
New properties added	—	—	4	3,356
Valuation allowances	—	(1,732)	—	(5,784)
Partial sale proceeds received	—	(1,383)	—	—
Sales of properties	(3)	(2,339)	(5)	(3,234)
Ending balance	4	\$ 14,895	7	\$ 20,349

Covered foreclosed property at December 31, 2012 was \$3.9 million and \$14.6 million at December 31, 2011. We acquired these properties as part of the FDIC-assisted Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions. We recorded these properties at their estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the time of acquisition.

The following table presents the activity of our covered foreclosed property for the periods indicated.

	As of and for the twelve months ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	# of Properties	\$ Amount	# of Properties	\$ Amount
	(dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	49	\$ 14,616	2	\$ 977

New properties acquired	—	—	22	11,052
New properties added	13	6,721	57	22,587
Valuation allowances	—	—	—	(455)
Partial sales proceeds received	—	(50)	—	—
Sales of properties	(52)	(17,387)	(32)	(19,545)
Ending balance	10	\$ 3,900	49	\$ 14,616

NOTE 8—PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

The major classifications of premises and equipment at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Land	\$ 4,153	\$ 4,292
Buildings	11,600	11,594
Leasehold improvements	6,502	6,347
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	13,047	11,734
	35,302	33,967
Less accumulated amortization and depreciation	(17,215)	(15,487)
	\$ 18,087	\$ 18,480

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$2,162,000, \$2,045,000 and \$1,927,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 9—GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill was \$60.7 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011. No impairment loss was recognized for the periods ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Other intangible assets and related accumulated amortization is as follows:

	At December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Core deposit intangibles	\$ 12,798	\$ 15,204
Trade name	4,000	4,000
Contracts and customer relationship intangible	—	3,600
	16,798	22,804
Less accumulated amortization	(9,906)	(8,917)
	\$ 6,892	\$ 13,887

Core deposit intangibles, net of accumulated amortization, were \$5.2 million at December 31, 2012 and \$8.5 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense was \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. A pre-tax impairment charge of \$1.8 million was recorded in 2012 to fully charge-off the EPS division core deposit intangible due to the Company's decision to wind down the operations of this division.

Trade name, net of accumulated amortization, was \$1.7 million at December 31, 2012 and \$2.1 million at December 31, 2011 representing the fair value of the Bank name recorded as part of the acquisition of FCB. Amortization expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.4 million.

A contracts and customer relationship intangible of \$3.6 million was recognized related to the EPS division acquisition. The balance of this intangible was \$3.3 million at December 31, 2011. Amortization expense was \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. A pre-tax impairment charge for the balance of \$3.0 million was recorded in 2012 due to the results of the Company's impairment assessment.

Amortization expense of other intangibles for 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$2.2 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the next 5 years and thereafter is expected to be as follows:

Year	Amount
	(in thousands)
2013	\$ 1,502
2014	1,502
2015	1,474
2016	1,357
2017	542
Thereafter	515
	\$ 6,892

NOTE 10—CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT

At December 31, 2012, the scheduled maturities for all certificates of deposit are as follows:

Year	Under \$100,000	\$100,000 and Over (in thousands)	Total
2013	\$ 43,024	\$ 127,497	\$ 170,521
2014	12,638	57,330	69,968
2015	1,928	14,828	16,756
2016	1,076	6,290	7,366
2017 and later	645	3,304	3,949
	\$ 59,311	\$ 209,249	\$ 268,560

NOTE 11—LINES OF CREDIT AND BORROWED FUNDS

The Bank has lines of credit with two financial institutions providing for federal funds facilities up to a maximum of \$30.0 million. The lines of credit support short-term liquidity and cannot be used for more than 30 consecutive business days, depending on the lending institution. These lines are unsecured, have no formal maturity date, and can be revoked at any time by the granting institution. There were no borrowings outstanding under these agreements at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As a state nonmember bank, the Bank also has a secured borrowing facility of \$15.4 million with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no borrowings outstanding under this agreement.

The Bank, as a member of the FHLB, has entered into credit arrangements with the FHLB, with maximum borrowing capacity of approximately \$607.5 million at December 31, 2012. Borrowings under the credit arrangements are collateralized by FHLB stock as well as loans or other instruments which may be pledged. The Bank's borrowing capacity is determined based on the estimated market value of certain eligible loans and securities pledged as collateral; however, the FHLB has a blanket lien against the Bank's entire loan portfolio as collateral for borrowings. The Company had \$409.5 million of unused borrowing capacity available from the FHLB at December 31, 2012 based upon collateral pledged. As of December 31, 2012, borrowings outstanding with the FHLB were as follows:

	Amount	Maturity Year (in thousands)	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Overnight advances	\$ —	—	—
Term advances	7,054	2013	2.93%
Term advances	32,500	2014	2.95%
Term advances	15,000	2015	1.76%
Term advances	22,500	2017	2.20%
	\$ 77,054		

As of December 31, 2012, \$7.5 million of our FHLB advances are ten-year putable advances with a weighted average rate of 4.07% and \$17.5 million are seven-year putable advances with a weighted average rate of 4.24%.

The following tables show securities sold under agreements to repurchase for the dates and periods shown (in thousands):

	2012			
	Year-end Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 30,000	3.64%	\$ 30,000	3.64%

	2011			
	Year-end Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate

Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 30,000	3.64%	\$ 30,657	3.64%
---	-----------	-------	-----------	-------

NOTE 12—JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

In December 2005 and January 2007 the Company, or an acquired company, issued junior subordinated debentures to the Trusts. These junior subordinated debentures are effectively subordinated to all of our borrowings. The Company also owns the common stock of each of the Trusts. The balance of the equity of the Trusts is comprised of mandatorily redeemable preferred securities and is included in “accrued interest receivable and other assets” on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had \$26.8 million in junior subordinated debentures outstanding from two issuances of trust preferred securities. Junior subordinated debentures as of December 31, 2012 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	As of December 31, 2012	
			Effective Interest Rate	Balance
FCB Statutory Trust I	3-month LIBOR + 1.55%	December 15, 2035	1.86%	\$ 10,310
First California Capital Trust I	3-month LIBOR + 1.60%	March 15, 2037	1.91%	16,495
			1.89%	\$ 26,805

The Company does not consolidate the Trusts into the consolidated financial statements.

As of December 31, 2012, the entire balance of junior subordinated debentures is included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes. However, under the proposed Basel III capital regulations and the proposed rule issued by the banking agencies, trust preferred securities will be phased-out of Tier 1 capital (becomes Tier 2 capital) over a ten-year period.

NOTE 13—DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to certain variable-rate loan assets and borrowings. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments							
	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	As of December 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011		As of December 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
(in thousands)	Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value
	Location		Location		Location		Location	
Derivatives designated as hedging								

instruments									
Interest rate products	Other Assets	\$ 30	Other Assets	\$ 175	Other Liabilities	\$ —	Other Liabilities	\$ —	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 30		\$ 175		\$ —		\$ —	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments									
Interest rate products	Other Assets	\$ 76	Other Assets	\$ 291	Other Liabilities	\$ —	Other Liabilities	\$ —	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 76		\$ 291		\$ —		\$ —	

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest income and expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. For hedges of the Company's variable-rate borrowings, interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had three interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$37.1 million that were designated as cash flow hedges associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings. One of the caps is forward-starting and was not effective during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Other Comprehensive Income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During 2012 and 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the forecasted variable cash outflows associated with subordinated debt related to trust preferred securities. No hedge ineffectiveness was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Amounts reported in Other Comprehensive Income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate liabilities. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$125,634 will be reclassified as an addition to interest expense.

Non-designated Hedges

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are utilized as part of the Company's overall interest rate risk management strategy. During 2011 and 2012, the Bank purchased twelve interest rate caps with an aggregate notional amount of \$240 million and hedge accounting has not been designated; therefore, all changes in the fair value of the caps are recognized in earnings each period.

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)			Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)		
	Year Ended December 31, 2012	2011	2010		2012	2011	2010		2012	2011	2010
Interest Rate Products	\$ (11)	\$ (278)	\$ 47	Interest expense	\$ (73)	\$ (25)	\$ —	Other non-interest income	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total	\$ (11)	\$ (278)	\$ 47		\$ (73)	\$ (25)	\$ —		\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Relationships	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Year Ended December 31,		
		2012	2011	2010
Interest Rate Products	Other non-interest income	\$ (536)	\$ (84)	\$ —

Total	\$	(536)	\$	(84)	\$
-------	----	-------	----	------	----

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. Similarly, the Company could be required to settle its obligations under certain of its agreements if the Company fails to maintain its status as a well or adequately capitalized institution. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not have any derivatives that were in a net liability position related to these agreements.

The Company has no derivatives payable, so consideration of the Company's own credit risk is not applicable.

NOTE 14—INCOME TAXES

The components of the income tax provision consisted of the following for the years shown:

	At December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		
Current taxes:			
Federal	\$12,976	\$5,052	\$644
State	2,683	1,622	245
Total current taxes	15,659	6,674	889
Deferred taxes (benefit):			
Federal	(7,116)	7,556	224
State	(2,382)	2,680	(173)
Total deferred taxes (benefit)	(9,498)	10,236	51
Total income tax provision	\$6,161	\$16,910	\$940

A reconciliation of the amounts computed by applying the federal statutory rate of 35% for 2012 and 34% for 2011 and 2010 to the income before income tax provision and the effective tax rate are as follows:

	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(in thousands)					
Tax provision at statutory rate	\$ 5,402	35.0%	\$ 13,698	34.0%	\$ 799	34.0%
Increase (reduction) in taxes resulting from:						
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit	925	6.0%	2,740	6.8%	35	1.5%
Permanent differences	(414)	-2.7%	(197)	-0.5%	(124)	-5.3%
Other	248	1.6%	669	1.7%	230	9.8%
	\$ 6,161	39.9%	\$ 16,910	42.0%	\$ 940	40.0%

The major components of the net deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale	\$234	\$1,054
Accrued expenses	1,279	1,280
Nonaccrual interest	629	200
Allowance for loan losses	7,677	7,111
Securities other-than-temporary impairment reserve	—	941
State taxes	945	515
Reserve for foreclosed asset losses	4,285	3,556
Other	1,353	1,143
Total deferred tax assets	16,402	15,800

Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	(2,465)	(3,071)
FHLB stock dividend	(531)	(382)
Deferred income	(318)	(471)
Deferred loan costs	(2,234)	(1,641)
Core deposit intangibles	(917)	(1,184)
Trade name	(701)	(869)
Goodwill	(1,166)	(868)
Section 597 gain	(6,224)	(14,061)
Other	(477)	(623)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(15,033)	(23,170)
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$1,369	\$(7,370)

The Company establishes a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company believes that it is more-likely-than-not that future taxable earnings will be sufficient to realize its deferred tax assets and has not provided an allowance.

NOTE 15—SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has 1,000 issued shares of preferred stock series A, \$0.01 par value, with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. Redemption of the preferred stock series A is at the Company's option. The redemption amount is computed at the per-share liquidation preference plus unpaid dividends at a rate of 8.5%. Each holder of preferred stock series A has the right, exercisable at the option of the holder, to convert all or some of such holder's series A shares into common stock, which such holders have agreed to do prior to the consummation of the PacWest Merger. The number of shares of common stock to be issued upon conversion of each share of preferred stock series A shall be determined by dividing the sum of each share's liquidation preference plus unpaid dividend by the conversion factor of \$5.63 per share. As of December 31, 2012, the number of common shares which would be issued upon conversion of the preferred stock series A is 344,565.

On December 19, 2008, we participated in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program, or the CPP, under which we received \$25 million in exchange for issuing 25,000 preferred stock series B shares and a warrant to purchase common stock to the Treasury. The common stock warrant entitled the Treasury to purchase 599,042 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.26 for a term of ten years. On July 14, 2011, we redeemed all 25,000 preferred stock series B shares and exited the CPP program. On August 24, 2011, we purchased the 10-year warrant from the Treasury for \$599,042. In connection with the redemption of the preferred stock series B shares, the Company accelerated the amortization of the remaining difference between the par amount and the initially recorded fair value of the preferred stock series B shares. This \$1.1 million deemed dividend reduced the amount of net income available to common shareholders in 2011.

We redeemed the \$25 million of preferred stock series B shares with the \$25 million of proceeds received in exchange for issuing 25,000 preferred stock series C shares to the Treasury as a participant in the Small Business Lending Fund (SBLF) program. The preferred stock series C shares will receive non-cumulative quarterly dividends and the initial dividend rate was 5 percent. The dividend rate can fluctuate between 1 percent and 5 percent during the next eight quarters and is a function of the growth in qualified small business loans each quarter. The dividend rate for each of the quarters since entering the SBLF program was 5 percent. On February 27, 2013, First California notified the Treasury that, subject to receipt of requisite regulatory approvals, First California intends to redeem all of its outstanding shares of Series C preferred stock simultaneously with the consummation of First California's pending merger with PacWest.

On March 3, 2010, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from 25,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 shares, and to increase the number of authorized shares of all classes of the Company's stock from 27,500,000 shares to 102,500,000 shares.

In March 2010, we consummated an underwritten public offering of common stock at a price of \$2.50 per share. We sold 16,560,000 common shares, which include the exercise by the underwriter of its over-allotment option, for net proceeds of \$38.9 million. We contributed \$36.0 million to our bank subsidiary. We intend to use the net proceeds of this public offering for general corporate purposes, including funding working capital requirements, supporting the growth of our business from internal efforts and from whole bank or failed bank acquisitions, and regulatory capital needs related to any such growth and acquisitions.

NOTE 16—EARNINGS PER SHARE

The weighted average number of shares outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 29,228,601, 28,715,521 and 24,411,496, respectively. Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if common shares were issued pursuant to the exercise of common stock options under the Company's stock option plans and if common shares were issued from the conversion of the convertible preferred stock. The dilutive calculation excludes 389,124 weighted average options outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2012, for which the exercise price exceeded the average market price of the Company's common stock during this period. The dilutive calculation excludes 599,042 warrants and 647,421 and 781,174 weighted average options outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for which the exercise price exceeded the average market price of the Company's common stock during these periods.

The following table illustrates the computations of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated.

	2012		2011		2010	
	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted	Basic
	(in thousands, except per share data)					
Net income as reported	\$9,274	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$23,383	\$1,410	\$1,410
Less preferred stock dividend declared	(1,250)	(1,250)	(2,555)	(2,555)	(1,250)	(1,250)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$8,024	\$8,024	\$20,828	\$20,828	\$160	\$160
Weighted average common shares outstanding	29,229	29,229	28,716	28,716	24,411	24,411
Warrants	—	—	—	—	—	—
Restricted stock	—	—	417	—	21	—
Options	27	—	—	—	—	—
Convertible preferred stock	333	—	318	—	303	—
Weighted average common shares outstanding	29,589	29,229	29,451	28,716	24,735	24,411
Earnings per common share	\$0.27	\$0.27	\$0.71	\$0.73	\$0.01	\$0.01

NOTE 17—EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The Company has adopted a 401(k) savings investment plan which allows employees to defer certain amounts of compensation for income tax purposes under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Essentially all eligible employees may elect to defer and contribute up to statutory limits. The Company may, at its discretion, make matching contributions, the total of which may not exceed 15% of eligible compensation. For the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company made matching contributions of \$394,000, \$350,000 and \$319,000, respectively, to the plan.

As part of the mergers in 2007, the Company acquired life insurance to support life insurance benefits for several key employees and salary continuation benefits for certain executives. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the cash surrender value of the life insurance was \$13.1 million and \$12.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized a liability for salary continuation benefits of \$938,000 and \$752,000, respectively. Payments under the salary continuation plan commence when the respective executive reaches the age of 65 and continue for a period up to 20 years. For the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, salary continuation expense was \$186,000, \$169,000 and \$112,000, respectively.

The Company had entered into deferred compensation agreements with several of its key employees. Under the agreement, benefits were to be paid in a lump sum or equal monthly installments for a period up to five years upon the employee's termination with the Company or within 30 days of the employee's death. The Company also had deferred compensation arising through deferred severance payments to two former executives. As of December 31, 2011, a liability of \$282,000 for deferred compensation, including deferred severance was included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. This liability was eliminated by cash payments to current and former employees in 2012.

The Company also had established and sponsored an irrevocable trust commonly referred to as a “Rabbi Trust” related to severance payments due to a former executive. The trust assets are consolidated in the Company’s balance sheets in other assets and the related liability is included in other liabilities. The asset and liability balances related to this trust as of December 31, 2011 was 177,000. The assets and liabilities of the trust were eliminated by cash payments to a former employee in 2012.

NOTE 18—STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Incentive Plans—In June 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved the First California 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, or the Plan. The Plan was amended in May 2011 by a vote of the Company's stockholders. The Plan authorizes the issuance of awards for up to 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock in the form of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards.

The Company issues restricted stock to employees and directors under share-based compensation plans. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, stock-based compensation expense relating to restricted stock awards was \$1,830,000, \$1,474,000 and \$218,000, respectively. A summary of non-vested restricted stock shares as of December 31, 2011 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2012, is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price
Non-Vested Shares		
Outstanding, December 31, 2011	1,113,686	\$3.85
Granted	75,638	4.85
Vested	(320,832)	3.87
Forfeited	(76,510)	3.91
Outstanding, December 31, 2012	791,982	\$3.93

The weighted average fair values of restricted stock awards granted during the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$4.85. The weighted average fair values of restricted stock awards granted during the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$3.76. As of December 31, 2012, total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards amounted to \$1.0 million. This cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining period of 1.4 years.

The activity of stock options for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as shown:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Dollars in thousands)
Outstanding, December 31, 2011	590,008	\$ 7.86	3.69	\$ 2,117
Granted	—	—		
Exercised	(6,644)	5.21		
Forfeited/Expired	(59,488)	10.03		
Outstanding, December 31, 2012	523,876	7.64	2.94	1,884
Exercisable, December 31, 2012	434,802	\$ 8.11	2.70	\$ 1,696

No stock options were granted in 2012. The estimated per share weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.63. No stock options were granted in 2010. Proceeds from options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$34,000. There were no proceeds from options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The total fair value of the shares granted during the

year ended December 31, 2011 was \$5,000. At December 31, 2012, there was \$104,106 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option awards granted under the share-based compensation plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.2 years.

Under the fair value method, stock option compensation expense is measured on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. In accordance with the FASB codification accounting guidance related to stock-based compensation, the fair values of the stock options were estimated using a lattice option pricing model. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, compensation expense related to stock options was \$58,000, \$156,000 and \$324,000, respectively.

The Company uses the lattice binomial model formula to determine the fair value of stock options using the following estimates and assumptions. The expected volatility assumption used in the lattice option pricing model is based upon the weekly historical volatility of the Company's stock price using a blend of the un-weighted standard deviation of closing price with a weighted mean reversion formula. The risk-free interest rate assumption is for the expected term of the share options and is based upon the U.S. Treasury implied forward yield curve at the time of the grant. The dividend yield assumption is based upon the Company's capital planning model. The fair value of the options at the grant dates also follows. The weighted average values used for the 2011 stock option grants are shown below.

	2011 Grants
Expected option term	8.0 years
Expected volatility	43.7%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%
Risk-free interest rate	2.51%
Stock option fair value	\$ 1.63

NOTE 19—TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Certain directors, executive officers, and principal shareholders are customers of and have had banking transactions with the Company, and the Company expects to have such transactions in the future. All loans and commitments to lend included in such transactions were made in compliance with applicable laws on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present any other unfavorable features. There was no extension of credit to related parties at December 31, 2012 or 2011. Deposits of related parties held by the Company at December 31, 2012 and 2011 amounted to approximately \$692,000 and \$432,000, respectively.

NOTE 20—CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

The Company maintains balances in correspondent bank accounts which may at times exceed federally insured limits. Management believes that its risk of loss associated with such balances is minimal due to financial strength of the correspondent banks. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

Substantially all of the Company's loans, commitments, and commercial and standby letters of credit have been granted to customers in the Company's market areas, primarily Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, San Diego, Riverside, San Luis Obispo and San Bernardino County, California. Many of such customers are also depositors of the Company. The concentrations of credit by type of loan are set forth in Notes 5 and 6. The distribution of commitments to extend credit approximates the distribution of loans outstanding. Commercial and standby letters of credit were granted primarily to commercial borrowers as of December 31, 2012. The Company's loan policies require the extension of a non-real estate secured credit to any single borrower or group of related borrowers in excess of \$2.0 million to be approved by the loan committee. The Company's loan policies require the extension of a real estate secured credit to any single borrower or group of related borrowers in excess of \$3.0 million to be approved by the loan committee.

NOTE 21—FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

In the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and the issuance of letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit written, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may or may not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk, depending on its loan underwriting guidelines.

The following summarizes the Company's outstanding commitments:

	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Financial instruments whose contract amounts contain credit risk:		
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 161,395	\$ 159,530
Commercial and standby letters of credit	1,651	1,475

\$	163,046	\$	161,005
----	---------	----	---------

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon an extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, and income-producing properties.

Letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Company holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company maintained a reserve for unfunded commitments of \$86,000 and \$101,000, respectively. The reserve is included in accrued interest payable and other liabilities on the balance sheets.

Guarantees —As successor to all the rights and obligations of National Mercantile and FCB, the Company has unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, all distributions and payments under the First California Trust’s and FCB Statutory Trust I’s capital securities upon liquidation, redemption, or otherwise, but only to the extent either the First California Trust or the FCB Statutory Trust I, as the case may be, fails to pay such distributions under the fixed/floating rate deferrable interest debentures such trust holds from the Company. See “Junior Subordinated Debentures” under Note 12 above.

NOTE 22—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, we make commitments to extend credit or issue letters of credit to customers. We generally do not recognize these commitments in our balance sheet. These commitments do involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk; however, we use the same credit policies and procedures as we do for on-balance sheet credit facilities. Commitments to extend credit were \$161.4 million at December 31, 2012 compared with \$159.5 million at December 31, 2011. Commercial and stand-by letters of credit were \$1.7 million and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Rental expense on operating leases included in occupancy expense in the consolidated statements of operations was \$2,875,000 in 2012, \$2,900,000 in 2011 and \$2,754,000 in 2010. Estimated operating lease commitments for the next 5 years and thereafter is as follows:

Year	Amount (in thousands)
2013	\$ 2,857
2014	2,040
2015	1,631
2016	1,414
2017	1,284
Thereafter	2,761
	\$ 11,987

The nature of the Company’s business causes it to be involved in ordinary routine legal proceedings from time to time. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these legal proceedings to which we are currently a party cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, except as described below, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company’s consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

In February 2011, First California Bank was named as a defendant in a putative class action alleging that the manner in which the Bank posted charges to its consumer demand deposit accounts breached an implied obligation of good faith and fair dealing and violates the California Unfair Competition Law. The action also alleged that the manner in which the Bank posted charges to its consumer demand deposit accounts is unconscionable, constitutes conversion and unjustly enriches the Bank. The case was settled with the plaintiff in February 2013 for a settlement payment of \$500 plus the plaintiff’s attorney fees.

Nine lawsuits have been filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles by various former clients of political campaign and non-profit organization treasurer Kinde Durkee. The lawsuits are entitled (i) Wardlaw, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. SC114232) (the “Wardlaw Action”), filed September 23, 2011; (ii) Lou Correa for State Senate, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. BC479872) (the “Correa Action”), filed February 29, 2012; (iii) Committee to Re-elect Lorreta Sanchez, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. BC479873) (the “Sanchez Action”), filed February 29, 2012, (iv) Holden for Assembly v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. BC 489604) (“Holden Action”), filed August 3, 2012; (v) Latino Diabetes Ass’n v. First

California Company, et al. (Case No. BC 489605) (“LDA Action”), filed August 3, 2012; (vi) Jose Solorio Assembly Officeholder Committee, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. 492855) (“Solorio Action”), filed September 27, 2012; (vii) Foster for Treasurer 2014, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. BC 492878) (“Foster Action”), filed September 27, 2012; (viii) Los Angeles County Democratic Central Committee, et al. v. First California Company, et al. (Case No. BC 492854) (“LACDCC Action”), filed September 27, 2012; and (ix) National Popular Vote, et al. v. First California Bank, et al. (Case No. BC501213) (“NPV Action”), filed February 19, 2013. Plaintiffs in each of the cases claim, among other things, that the Company aided and abetted a fraud and unlawful conversion by Ms. Durkee and/or her affiliated company of funds held in accounts at the Company. Based largely on the same alleged conduct, plaintiffs also assert claims for an alleged violation of California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 and for declaratory relief. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, as well as various forms of equitable and declaratory relief.

Each of the cases is pending before the same judge, who is coordinating their progress. Except for the NPV Action, the Company has answered each of the complaints in the cases in which the Company has been served, and the parties are engaged in discovery. A trial date has not yet been scheduled in any of the actions.

On September 23, 2011, the Company filed a Complaint-in-Interpleader in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC470182), pursuant to which the Company interplead the sum of \$2,539,049 as the amounts on deposit in accounts at the Company that were controlled by Ms. Durkee on behalf of the several hundred named defendants (the “Interpleader Action”). The Company seeks an order requiring the defendants to interplead and litigate their respective claims, discharging the Company from any and all liability, and restraining proceedings or actions against the Company by the defendants. The Company also seeks its costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees. The Interpleader Action has been related to the other actions, described above, and is pending before the same judge.

On June 18, 2012, the Company moved for summary judgment in the Interpleader Action. At hearings held on October 3, 2012, November 2, 2012, and January 25, 2013, the Court entered summary judgment with respect to a majority of the accounts at issue.

On November 20, 2012, a purported stockholder of the Company filed a lawsuit in connection with the proposed merger between the Company and PacWest Bancorp (“PacWest”) announced on November 6, 2012. Captioned Paul Githens v. C.G. Kum, et al., Case No. BC496018, the suit was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, Los Angeles County, against the Company, its directors, and PacWest. It is brought as a putative class action and alleges that the Company’s directors breached certain alleged fiduciary duties to the Company’s stockholders by approving the merger agreement pursuant to an allegedly unfair process and at an allegedly unfair price. It alleges that PacWest aided and abetted those breaches. The suit seeks, among other things, to enjoin consummation of the merger. On January 24, 2013, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, adding claims that the defendants failed to disclose material information concerning the merger. On March 4, 2013, the court sustained the defendants’ demurrers to the plaintiff’s complaint with leave to amend.

On March 17, 2013, the Company and PacWest entered into a memorandum of understanding with the plaintiff in the suit regarding the settlement of the suit. In connection with the settlement of the suit, we made supplemental disclosures to the Company’s Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2013, by filing a Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013. The memorandum of understanding also contemplates that the parties will enter into a stipulation of settlement. The stipulation of settlement will be subject to customary conditions, including court approval following notice to the Company’s stockholders. In the event that the parties enter into a stipulation of settlement, a hearing will be scheduled at which the Superior Court of the State of California will consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the settlement. If the settlement is finally approved by the court, it will resolve and release all claims in all actions that were or could have been brought challenging any aspect of the proposed merger, the merger agreement and any disclosure made in connection therewith, pursuant to terms that will be disclosed to the Company’s stockholders prior to final approval of the settlement. In addition, in connection with the settlement, the parties contemplate that plaintiff’s counsel will file a petition in the Superior Court of the State of California for an award of attorneys’ fees and expenses to be paid by the Company or its successor. The settlement will not affect the consideration that the Company’s stockholders are entitled to receive in the merger. There can be no assurance that the parties will enter into a stipulation of settlement, or that the court will approve any proposed settlement. In such event, the proposed settlement as contemplated by the memorandum of understanding may be terminated.

Merchant card processing----Merchant card processing guarantees represent the Bank’s indirect obligations in connection with the processing of credit and debit card transactions on behalf of merchants. The EPS division provides transaction processing services to various merchants through an independent third party vendor (“ISO”) with respect to credit and debit cards and has potential liability for card transaction processing services. The nature of the liability arises as a result of a billing dispute (“chargeback”) between a merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder’s favor. The merchant is liable to refund the amount to the cardholder. In general, if the ISO is unable to collect this amount from the merchant, the ISO bears the loss for the amount of the chargeback refund paid to the cardholder. If the ISO and merchant are insolvent or incapable of paying the chargeback, the Bank bears the risk and responsibility to pay the chargeback.

Our risk of loss is mitigated as the cash flows between the Bank and the merchant are settled on a net basis with the networks (Visa, MasterCard and Discover) directly through the Bank, and the Bank has the right to offset any payments with cash flows otherwise due to the merchant. Additionally, the Bank retains cash reserve accounts on balance to offset risk for merchants and the ISO. To further mitigate this risk the Bank may delay settlement. The Bank may require at any time an increase to reserve account balances. The Bank also maintains an insurance policy of \$10.0 million for losses due to fraud.

The Bank’s maximum potential contingent liability related to merchant card processing services is estimated to be the total volume of card transactions that meet the requirements to be valid chargeback transactions at any given time. However, the Bank believes that the maximum exposure is not representative of the actual potential loss exposure

based on the Bank's historical experience. The Bank assesses the probability and amount of its contingent liability related to merchant card processing based on the financial strength of the ISO, the extent and nature of unresolved charge-backs and its historical loss experience. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank incurred no losses related to merchant card processing activities.

Prepaid card services---Prepaid card services guarantees represent the Bank's indirect obligations in connection with the processing of prepaid card transactions on cards issued by the Bank. The EPS division provides card issuing and sponsorship services through various third party service providers ("Program Managers"), who are considered third-party affiliates of the Bank, with respect to various prepaid card programs and has potential liability for prepaid card processing services. The nature of the liability arises from possible non-compliance with legal and regulatory requirements related to the prepaid card programs. The prepaid card programs are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations including anti-money laundering laws, escheatment laws, privacy and safeguard laws, banking regulations and consumer protection laws. These laws are continuously evolving and sometimes ambiguous or inconsistent, and the extent to particular practices of the Bank and its Program Managers is at times unclear. Any failure to comply with applicable law, either by us or our Program Managers could result in restrictions on our ability to provide our products and services, as well as the imposition of civil fines, restitution to customers and other penalties.

If the Bank is subject to monetary losses, such as payment of restitution to prepaid card customers, related to prepaid card services, the contracts between the Bank and the Program Managers require the Program Managers to provide indemnification to the Bank. However, based on the financial strength of the Program Managers or other factors, the Bank may potentially be liable for the payment of the fines or penalties because we are the issuing bank of the cards. If a Program Manager is required to pay restitution to its customers, based on the financial strength of the Program Manager or other factors, the Bank may potentially be liable for the payment of the restitution because we are the issuing bank of the cards.

The Bank assesses the probability and amount of its contingent liability related to prepaid card services based on the financial strength of the Program Managers, the extent and nature of known violations of laws and regulations and historical trends in loss experience. The Company requires the Program Managers to maintain a specific cash reserve accounts on deposit the Company to mitigate risk. The Bank may require at any time an increase to reserve account balances. The Bank also maintains an insurance policy of \$10.0 million for losses due to fraud. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank incurred no losses related to prepaid card services.

NOTE 23—REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines, bank holding companies must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the company's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2012, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

The preferred stock Series C shares issued to the U.S. Treasury under the SBLF program qualify as Tier 1 capital.

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

The following tables present the capital amounts and ratios of the Company with a comparison to the minimum ratios for the periods indicated:

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
	(in thousands)			
December 31, 2012				
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 209,164	18.19%	\$ 91,971	≥ 8.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,746	16.94%	\$ 45,986	≥ 4.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 194,746	10.20%	\$ 76,396	≥ 4.00%

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
	(in thousands)			
December 31, 2011				
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,694	17.32%	\$ 89,924	≥ 8.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 180,597	16.07%	\$ 44,962	≥ 4.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 180,597	10.33%	\$ 69,906	≥ 4.00%

Common stockholders are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds legally available therefor, subject to the restrictions set forth in the Delaware General Corporation Law, or the DGCL. The DGCL provides that a corporation may declare and pay dividends out of any surplus, and, if it has no surplus, out of any net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend was declared or for the preceding fiscal year (provided that the payment will not reduce capital to an amount less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets). In addition, First California may not pay dividends on its capital stock if it is in default or has elected to defer payments of interest under its junior subordinated debentures.

The Bank is also subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2012, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank was categorized as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well-capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum Total risk-based capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital, and Tier 1 leverage capital ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since December 31, 2012 that management believes may have changed the Bank's category.

The following tables present the capital amounts and ratios of the Bank with a comparison to the minimum ratios for the periods indicated:

Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(in thousands)					

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

December 31, 2012

Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 208,901	18.16%	\$ 92,025	≥ 8.00%	\$ 115,031	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 194,474	16.91%	\$ 46,013	≥ 4.00%	\$ 69,019	≥ 6.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 194,474	10.18%	\$ 76,409	≥ 4.00%	\$ 95,512	≥ 5.00%

110

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2011						
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 192,227	17.10%	\$ 89,944	≥ 8.00%	\$ 112,430	≥ 10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 178,126	15.84%	\$ 44,972	≥ 4.00%	\$ 67,458	≥ 6.00%
Tier I capital (to average assets)	\$ 178,126	10.18%	\$ 69,968	≥ 4.00%	\$ 87,460	≥ 5.00%

The Bank is subject to various federal or state statutory and regulatory restrictions on its ability to pay dividends and capital distributions to its shareholder.

Under California law, banks may declare a cash dividend out of their net profits up to the lesser of retained earnings or the net income for the last three fiscal years (less any distributions made to shareholders during such period), or with the prior written approval of the DFI, in an amount not exceeding the greatest of (i) the retained earnings of the Bank, (ii) the net income of the Bank for its last fiscal year or (iii) the net income of the Bank for its current fiscal year. In addition, under federal law, banks are prohibited from paying any dividends if after making such payment they would fail to meet any of the minimum regulatory capital requirements. The federal regulators also have the authority to prohibit state banks from engaging in any business practices which are considered to be unsafe or unsound, and in some circumstances the regulators might prohibit the payment of dividends on that basis even though such payments would otherwise be permissible.

The Bank may from time to time be permitted to make additional capital distributions to its shareholder with the consent of the DFI. It is not anticipated that such consent could be obtained unless the distributing bank were to remain “well capitalized” following such distribution.

NOTE 24—FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The accounting framework for fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. This standard applies to all financial assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following table presents information about the Company’s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical instruments that are highly liquid, observable and actively traded in over-the-counter markets. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable and

can be corroborated by market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The Company uses fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities on a recurring basis when fair value is the primary measure for accounting. This is done primarily for available-for-sale securities and derivatives. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to measure certain assets when applying lower of cost or market accounting or when adjusting carrying values, such as for loans held for sale, impaired loans, and other real estate owned. Fair value is also used when evaluating impairment on certain assets, including securities, goodwill, core deposit and other intangibles, for valuing assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination and for disclosures of financial instruments as required by accounting standards related to fair value disclosure reporting.

The following tables present information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis at and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2012, Using			
	Fair value at December 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	(in thousands)			
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$ 8,011	\$ —	\$ 8,011	\$ —
U.S. government agency notes	32,608	—	32,608	—
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	166,749	—	166,749	—
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	163,054	—	163,054	—
Municipal securities	7,892	—	7,892	—
Other domestic debt securities	2,727	—	—	2,727
Interest rate caps	106	—	106	—
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 381,147	\$ —	\$ 378,420	\$ 2,727

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at December 31, 2012, Using				Total losses
	Fair value at December 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	
	(in thousands)				
Non-covered impaired loans	\$ 13,472	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,472	\$ (524)
Non-covered foreclosed property	14,895	—	—	14,895	(1,732)
Covered foreclosed property	3,900	—	—	3,900	—
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 32,267	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 32,267	\$ (2,256)

The following tables present information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis at and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011, Using			
	Fair value at December 31, 2011	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	(in thousands)			
U.S. Treasury notes/bills	\$ 45,161	\$ —	\$ 45,161	\$ —
U.S. government agency notes	59,446	—	59,446	—
U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities	133,675	—	133,675	—
U.S. government agency collateralized mortgage obligations	168,174	—	168,174	—
Private label collateralized mortgage obligations	13,042	—	13,042	—
Municipal securities	29,325	—	29,325	—
Other domestic debt securities	4,912	—	2,384	2,528
Interest rate caps	466	—	466	—
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 454,201	\$ —	\$ 451,673	\$ 2,528

	Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011, Using				
	Fair value at December 31, 2011	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total losses
	(in thousands)				
Non-covered impaired loans	\$ 8,066	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,066	\$ (622)
Non-covered foreclosed property	20,349	—	—	20,349	(5,784)
Covered foreclosed property	14,616	—	—	14,616	(455)
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 43,031	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 43,031	\$ (6,861)

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Available-for-sale securities—Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices of identical securities, where available (Level 1). When quoted prices of identical securities are not available, the fair value estimate is based on quoted market prices of similar securities, adjusted for differences between the securities (Level 2). Adjustments may include amounts to reflect differences in underlying collateral, interest rates, estimated prepayment speeds, and counterparty credit quality. In determining the fair value the securities categorized as Level 2, the Company obtains a report from a nationally recognized broker-dealer detailing the fair value of each security in our portfolio as of each reporting date. The broker-dealer uses observable market information to value our securities, with the primary source being a nationally recognized pricing service. The Company reviews the market prices provided by the broker-dealer for our securities for reasonableness based upon our understanding of the marketplace and we consider any credit issues relating to the bonds. As the Company has not made any adjustments to the market quotes provided to us and they are based on observable market data, they have been categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

Non-covered and covered impaired loans—Impaired loans are measured and recorded at the fair value of the loan's collateral on a nonrecurring basis as the impaired loans shown are collateral dependent. The fair value of each loan's collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement.

Non-covered and covered foreclosed property—Foreclosed property is initially measured at fair value at acquisition and carried at the lower of this new cost basis or fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The foreclosed property shown is collateral dependent and, accordingly, is measured based on the fair value of such collateral. The fair value of collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the estimated cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement.

The Company is required to disclose estimated fair values for our financial instruments during annual and interim reporting periods. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions, set forth below for our financial instruments, are made solely to comply with the requirements of the disclosures regarding fair value of financial instruments. The following describes the methods and assumptions used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments, excluding financial instruments already recorded at fair value as described above.

Cash and cash equivalents— The carrying amounts of cash and interest bearing deposits at other banks is assumed to be the fair value given the liquidity and short-term nature of these deposits.

Non-covered and covered loans—Loans are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Therefore, the following valuation discussion relates to estimating the fair value to be disclosed under fair value disclosure requirements. Loans were divided into four major groups. The loan groups included (1) loans that mature or re-price in three months or less, (2) loans that amortize or mature in more than three months, (3) impaired loans, and (4) loans acquired in the Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions. We estimated the fair value of the loans that mature or re-price within three months, impaired loans and loans acquired in the Western Commercial Bank and San Luis Trust Bank acquisitions at their carrying value. We used discounted cash flow methodology to estimate the fair value of loans that amortize or mature in more than three months. We developed pools of these loans based on similar characteristics such as underlying type of collateral, fixed or adjustable rate of interest, payment or amortization method, credit risk categories and other factors. We projected monthly principal and interest cash flows based on the contractual terms of the loan, adjusted for assumed prepayments and defaults, and discounted these at a rate that considered funding costs, a market participant's required rate of return and adjusted for servicing costs and a liquidity

discount. Loans are not normally purchased and sold by the Company, and there are no active trading markets for much of this portfolio.

FDIC shared-loss asset—The fair value of the FDIC shared-loss asset represents the present value of the amounts we expect to receive from the FDIC under our shared-loss agreements and is based upon estimated cash flows from our covered assets discounted by a rate reflective of the creditworthiness of the FDIC as would be required by market.

Bank owned life insurance assets—Fair values of insurance policies owned are based on the insurance contract's cash surrender value.

Interest rate caps—The fair values of interest rate caps are estimated using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculations of projected receipts on the caps are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rates curves and volatilities. In addition, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements of its derivatives. The credit valuation adjustments are calculated by determining the total expected exposure of the derivatives (which incorporates both the current and potential future exposure) and then applying the counterparties' credit spreads to the exposure. The total expected exposure of a derivative is derived using market-observable inputs, such as yield curves and volatilities. For the counterparties' credit spreads, the credit spreads over LIBOR used in the calculations represent implied credit default swap spreads obtained from a third party credit data provider. In adjusting the estimated fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements.

Deposits—The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate money market accounts and fixed-term certificates of deposit (CDs) approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings—The fair value of the FHLB advances and other borrowings is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Junior subordinated debentures—The fair value of the debentures is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Off-balance sheet instruments—Off-balance sheet instruments include unfunded commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The fair value of these instruments is not considered practicable to estimate because of the lack of quoted market prices and the inability to estimate fair value without incurring excessive costs.

The following tables estimate fair values and the related carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments:

As of December 31, 2012	Carrying Amount (in thousands)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
Financial assets:					
Cash, due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with other banks	\$ 166,874	\$ 166,874	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 166,874
Securities available-for-sale	381,041	—	378,314	2,727	381,041
FHLB and other stock	10,784	—	—	10,784	10,784
	13,097	13,097	—	—	13,097

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Bank owned life insurance assets						
Non-covered loans, net	1,061,193		–	–	966,505	966,505
Covered loans	102,431		–	–	116,141	116,141
FDIC shared-loss asset	45,345		–	–	24,129	24,129
Interest rate cap	106		–	–	106	106
Financial liabilities:						
Demand deposits, money market and savings	1,239,272	\$ 1,239,272	\$	–	\$ –	1,239,272
Time certificates of deposit	268,560		–	270,778	–	270,778
FHLB advances and other borrowings	107,054		–	110,767	–	110,767
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805		–	–	14,462	14,462
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,900		–	–	3,900	3,900

As of December 31, 2011	Carrying Amount (in thousands)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
Financial assets:					
Cash, due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with other banks	61,432	\$ 61,432	\$	–	\$ 61,432
Securities available-for-sale	453,735	–	451,207	2,528	453,735
FHLB and other stock	11,567	–	–	11,567	11,567
Bank owned life insurance assets	12,670	12,670	–	–	12,670
Non-covered loans, net	918,356	–	–	822,081	822,081
Covered loans	135,412	–	–	137,147	137,147
FDIC shared-loss asset	68,083	–	–	68,083	68,083
Interest rate cap	466	–	–	466	466
Financial liabilities:					
Demand deposits, money market and savings	1,075,233	\$ 1,075,233	\$	–	1,075,233
Time certificates of deposit	350,036	–	351,815	–	351,815
FHLB advances and other borrowings	117,719	–	123,375	–	123,375
Junior subordinated debentures	26,805	–	–	14,173	14,173
FDIC shared-loss liability	3,757	–	–	3,757	3,757

These fair value disclosures represent the Company's best estimates based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of the various instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the above methodologies and assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 25—SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2013, the Company, the Bank and Premier Service Bank announced that they jointly agreed to terminate that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger dated February 27, 2012, as amended, among the parties. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement the transaction was to be accomplished by December 31, 2012. As the parties were unable to accomplish the transaction by that date, the parties mutually determined that it was in the best interest of both companies to terminate the Merger Agreement. The termination agreement entered into between the parties includes mutual general releases of all claims.

On February 13, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company and the Board of Directors of the Bank committed to a plan to wind down the EPS division. The Company previously announced on November 6, 2012, that the Company and PacWest entered into a Merger Agreement pursuant to which the Company would merge with and into PacWest, with PacWest as the surviving corporation. As previously disclosed in the amended Registration Statement on Form S-4 of PacWest, PacWest concluded that the EPS division was not suited to PacWest's commercial banking business model and PacWest would proceed to exit the EPS division upon completion of the PacWest Merger. As part of the wind down of the EPS division, the Bank will terminate its membership in card processing networks and will no longer issue payment cards. The Company has targeted December 31, 2013 for substantial completion of its wind down of the EPS division. Therefore, the results of operations of the EPS division will be presented as "discontinued operations" in future filings.

NOTE 26—PARENT COMPANY ONLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following financial information presents the condensed balance sheet of the Company on a parent-only basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related condensed statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012.

Balance Sheet

	December 31, 2012 2011 (in thousands)	
Cash and due from banks	\$1,134	\$3,218
Investment in subsidiary bank	260,199	246,977
Other assets	1,047	1,991
Total assets	\$262,380	\$252,186
Junior subordinated debentures	\$26,805	\$26,805
Other liabilities	1,457	2,274
Total liabilities	28,262	29,079
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock	26,000	26,000
Common stock	292	292
Additional paid-in-capital	175,188	173,062

Edgar Filing: LyondellBasell Industries N.V. - Form SC 13D/A

Treasury stock	(255)	—
Retained earnings	33,451	25,427
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(558)	(1,674)
Total shareholders' equity	234,118	223,107
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$262,380	\$252,186

Statements of Income

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Interest income	\$36	\$88	\$121
Interest expense	787	1,342	1,736
Net interest expense	(751)	(1,254)	(1,615)
Other operating income	—	—	—
Other operating expense	3,952	2,757	1,278
Loss before equity in net income of the Bank	(4,703)	(4,011)	(2,893)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	12,095	25,712	3,340
Income before income tax benefit	7,392	21,701	447
Income tax benefit	(1,882)	(1,682)	(963)
Net income	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$1,410

Statements of Cash Flow

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$9,274	\$23,383	\$1,410
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries, net	(12,095)	(25,712)	(3,340)
Stock-based compensation costs	1,888	1,630	542
Net increase (decrease) in other assets and other liabilities	320	1,113	(190)
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	(613)	414	(1,578)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investment in subsidiary	—	—	(36,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	—	—	(36,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	34	—	—
Issuance of common stock	—	—	38,091
Repurchase of warrant	—	(599)	—
Purchases of treasury stock	(255)	—	—
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(1,250)	(1,097)	(1,250)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,471)	(1,696)	36,841
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,084)	(1,282)	(737)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	3,218	4,500	5,237
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$1,134	\$3,218	\$4,500
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$714	\$1,317	\$1,755
Cash paid for income taxes, net	\$15,325	\$8,200	\$1,000

NOTE 27 — QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following tables present the unaudited quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	2012 Quarters				2011 Quarters				2010 Quarters		
	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	4th	3rd	2nd
(in thousands, except per share data)											
Net interest											
income	\$16,918	\$17,006	\$17,232	\$16,169	\$15,597	\$15,618	\$15,500	\$12,779	\$12,110	\$11,107	\$10,806
Service charges, fees & other											
income	(905)	2,049	2,775	2,473	2,488	2,091	2,234	1,239	1,199	1,116	1,824
	—	—	—	—	1,720	—	466	34,736	2,312	—	—

Gain on
acquisitionsGain (loss)
on securities

4,317	61	593	(27)	2	209	490	(1,066)	(160)	1,181	130
-------	----	-----	-------	---	-----	-----	----------	--------	-------	-----

Operating
expenses

20,264	12,866	14,793	13,803	14,101	12,033	14,017	18,313	12,453	9,684	10,751
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------

Provision for
loan losses

—	500	500	500	796	1,550	500	2,500	1,199	3,618	1,766
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------

Income
(loss) before
income tax

66	5,750	5,307	4,312	4,910	4,335	4,173	26,875	1,809	102	243
----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-------	-----	-----

Income tax
provision

26	2,286	2,122	1,727	2,048	1,819	1,756	11,287	727	38	96
----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-----	----	----

Net income
(loss)

\$40	\$3,464	\$3,185	\$2,585	\$2,862	\$2,516	\$2,417	\$15,588	\$1,082	\$64	\$147
------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	----------	---------	------	-------

Net income
(loss)
available to
common
shareholders

\$(273)	\$3,151	\$2,872	\$2,272	\$2,549	\$900	\$2,104	\$15,275	\$767	\$(249)	\$(166
----------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------	---------	----------	-------	----------	--------

Earnings
(loss) per
common
share:

Basic	\$(0.01)	\$0.11	\$0.10	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.03	\$0.07	\$0.54	\$0.03	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01
-------	-----------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-----------	---------

Diluted	\$(0.01)	\$0.11	\$0.10	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.03	\$0.07	\$0.54	\$0.03	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01
---------	-----------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-----------	---------

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as currently in effect are effective.

(b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting: The management of First California Financial Group, Inc., or First California or the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management maintains a comprehensive system of controls intended to ensure that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization, assets are safeguarded, and financial records are reliable. Management also takes steps to see that information and communication flows are effective and to monitor performance, including performance of internal control procedures.

As of December 31, 2012, First California management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, is effective.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements should they occur. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the control procedures may deteriorate.

Moss Adams LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued their report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

(c) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm:

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, has been audited by Moss Adams LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(d) Changes in Internal Controls: There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to

materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B.

Other Information

None.

118

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information required by this Item will appear in the proxy statement to be delivered to our stockholders in connection with the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, or the 2013 Proxy Statement, and such information is herein incorporated by reference from the 2013 Proxy Statement.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by this Item will appear in the 2013 Proxy Statement, and such information is herein incorporated by reference from the 2013 Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required by this Item will appear in the 2013 Proxy Statement, and such information is herein incorporated by reference from the 2013 Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required by this Item will appear in the 2013 Proxy Statement, and such information is herein incorporated by reference from the 2013 Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required by this Item will appear in the 2013 Proxy Statement, and such information is herein incorporated by reference from the 2013 Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) The following consolidated financial statements of First California Financial Group, Inc. are filed as part of this Annual Report.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules other than those listed above have been omitted because they are either not applicable or the information is otherwise included.

(a)(3) Exhibits. The following is a list of exhibits filed as a part of this Annual Report.

The agreements included as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

- should not be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;
- may have been qualified in such agreement by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement;
- may apply contract standards of “materiality” that are different from “materiality” under the applicable securities laws; and
- were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement.

First California acknowledges that, notwithstanding the inclusion of the foregoing cautionary statements, it is responsible for considering whether additional specific disclosures of material information regarding material contractual provisions are required to make the statements in this Form 10-K not misleading.

Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in the Company's other public filings, which are available without charge through the SEC's Web site at www.sec.gov.

Exhibit

Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 15, 2006, by and among First California Financial Group, Inc., FCB Bancorp and National Mercantile Bancorp (Appendix A to the Joint Proxy Statement-Prospectus filed on February 21, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
2.2	Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2009, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver of 1st Centennial Bank, First California Bank and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (Exhibit 2.2 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on December 8, 2009 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by reference).
2.3	Purchase and Assumption Agreement-Whole Bank, All Deposits, dated as of November 5, 2010, among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Western Commercial Bank, Woodland Hills, California, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and First California Bank (Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
2.4	Purchase and Assumption Agreement-Whole Bank, All Deposits, among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of San Luis Trust Bank, San Luis Obispo, California, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and First California Bank, dated as of February 18, 2011 (Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 25, 2011 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
2.5	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 6, 2012, by and between PacWest Bancorp and First California Financial Group, Inc. (Appendix A to the Joint Proxy Statement-Prospectus filed on February 13, 2013 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of First California Financial Group, Inc. (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of First California Financial Group, Inc. (Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-Q filed on August 13, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 3.3 Certificate of Designations with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock (included in Exhibit 3.1).
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations with respect to the Series C Preferred Stock (Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on July 15, 2011 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of September 30, 2005, governing Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due 2035, between FCB Bancorp, as Issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2007, by and between First California Financial Group, Inc., as Successor to FCB Bancorp, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-K filed on April 2, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.3 Indenture, dated as of January 25, 2007, governing Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due 2037, between National Mercantile Bancorp, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).

Exhibit Number	Description
4.4	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2007, by and between First California Financial Group, Inc., as Successor to National Mercantile, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (Exhibit 4.5 to Form 10-K filed on April 2, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.5	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate. (Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-8 filed on March 19, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.6	Form of Capital Security Certificate evidencing the capital securities of First California Capital Trust I (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.7	Form of Common Security Certificate evidencing common securities of First California Capital Trust I (Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.8	Form of National Mercantile Bancorp Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debenture due 2037 (Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.9	Form of Certificate for the Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (Exhibit 4.11 of Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2012 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.1*	Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 1999, between National Mercantile Bancorp and Scott A. Montgomery (Exhibit 10.1 to Form S-4/A filed on December 5, 2006 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.2*	Letter dated June 15, 2006 amending Employment Agreement between National Mercantile Bancorp and Scott A. Montgomery (Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on June 21, 2006 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.3*	Employment Agreement, dated June 15, 2006, between First California Financial Group, Inc. and C. G. Kum (Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on June 21, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.4*	First California Financial Group, Inc. 2005 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp 2005 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-8 filed on May 25, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.5*	

Amendment No. 1 to First California Financial Group, Inc. 2005 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp 2005 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.7 to Form S-8 filed on March 19, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).

10.6* Form of Stock Option Agreement under First California Financial Group, Inc. 2005 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile 2005 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.1 to Form S-8 filed on May 25, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).

Exhibit Number	Description
10.7*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the First California Financial Group, Inc. 2005 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile 2005 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.2 to Form S-8 filed on May 25, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.8*	First California Financial Group, Inc. 1994 NMB Stock Option Plan (formerly known as National Mercantile Bancorp 1994 Stock Option Plan) (Exhibit 10.7 to Form S-4 filed on October 23, 2006 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.9*	Amendment No. 1 to First California Financial Group, Inc. 1994 NMB Stock Option Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp 1994 Stock Option Plan) (Exhibit 10.4 to Form S-8 filed on March 19, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.10*	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the First California Financial Group, Inc. 1994 NMB Stock Option Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp 1994 Stock Option Plan) (Exhibit 10.8 to Form S-4 filed on October 23, 2006 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.11*	First California Financial Group, Inc. FCB 2005 Stock Option Plan (formerly known as the FCB Bancorp 2005 Stock Option Plan) (Exhibit 10.1 to Form S-4/A filed on September 1, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.12*	Amendment No. 1 to First California Financial Group, Inc. FCB 2005 Stock Option Plan (formerly known as the FCB Bancorp 2005 Stock Option Plan) (Exhibit 10.2 to Form S-8 filed on March 19, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.13*	First California 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (Appendix B to the Proxy Statement filed on May 30, 2007 in connection with the 2007 annual meeting of stockholders and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.14	Registration Rights Agreement, dated June 15, 2006, by and between First California Financial Group, Inc. and the Stockholders party thereto (Exhibit 10.10 to Form S-4 filed on October 23, 2006 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.15	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of National Mercantile Capital Trust I, dated as of June 27, 2001 (Exhibit 10.11 to Form S-4/A filed on January 11, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.16	Guarantee Agreement of National Mercantile Bancorp for trust preferred securities dated July 16, 2001 (Exhibit 10.12 to Form S-4/A filed on January 11, 2007 by First

California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 10.17 Lease, dated as of November 12, 2003, between Century Park and Mercantile National Bank relating to Suite 800 offices at 1880 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California (Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 30, 2004 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.18 Lease, dated as of September 19, 2003, between Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and Mercantile National Bank relating to offices at 3070 Bristol Street, Costa Mesa, California (Exhibit 10.11 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.19 Lease, dated as of November 12, 2003, between Century Park and Mercantile National Bank relating to ground floor offices at 1880 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California (Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.20 Lease, dated as of March 30, 2005, between Brighton Enterprises, LLC and Mercantile National Bank relating to offices at 9601 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California (Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).

Exhibit Number	Description
10.21	Lease, dated as of September 10, 2004, between Encino Corporate Plaza, LP and Mercantile National Bank relating to offices at 16661 Ventura Boulevard, Encino, California (Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.22*	Mercantile National Bank Deferred Compensation Plan and Form of Agreement (Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.23*	Salary Continuation Agreement, dated March 27, 2003, with Chong Guk Kum (Exhibit 10.4 to Form S-4/A filed on September 1, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.24*	Split Dollar Agreement, dated March 27, 2003, with Chong Guk Kum (Exhibit 10.5 to Form S-4/A filed on September 1, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.25*	Salary Continuation Agreement, dated May 11, 2006, with Romolo Santarosa (Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-Q filed on May 15, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.26*	Split Dollar Agreement, dated May 11, 2006, with Romolo Santarosa (Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-Q filed on May 15, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.27*	Salary Continuation Agreement, dated March 27, 2003, with Thomas E. Anthony (Exhibit 10.6 to Form S-4/A filed on September 1, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.28*	Split Dollar Agreement, dated March 27, 2003, with Thomas E. Anthony (Exhibit 10.7 to Form S-4/A filed on September 1, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.29*	First California Bank Split Dollar Agreement, dated July 31, 2006, with John W. Birchfield (Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.30*	First California Bank Split Dollar Agreement, dated July 31, 2006, with Richard D. Aldridge (Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by reference).
10.31*	409A Amendment to the First California Bank Salary Continuation Agreement for Chong Guk Kum (Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 10.32* 409A Amendment to the First California Bank Salary Continuation Agreement for Thomas E. Anthony (Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2006 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.33 Placement Agreement, dated January 24, 2007, among National Mercantile Bancorp, First California Capital Trust I, FTN Financial Capital Markets and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. (Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.34 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust among National Mercantile Bancorp, as sponsor, the Administrators named therein, and Wilmington Trust Company, as institutional and Delaware trustee (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.35 Guarantee Agreement between National Mercantile Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as guarantee trustee (Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.36 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of September 30, 2005, by and among Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware Trustee and as Institutional Trustee, FCB Bancorp, as Sponsor, and C. G. Kum and Romolo Santarosa, as Administrators (Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2005 by FCB Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).

Exhibit Number	Description
10.37*	First California Financial Group, Inc. Amended 1996 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp Amended 1996 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-KSB filed on April 15, 2003 by National Mercantile Bancorp and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.38*	Amendment No. 1 to First California Financial Group, Inc. Amended 1996 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp Amended 1996 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.6 to Form S-8 filed on March 19, 2007 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.39*	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the First California Financial Group, Inc. Amended 1996 NMB Stock Incentive Plan (formerly known as the National Mercantile Bancorp Amended 1996 Stock Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-KSB filed on March 31, 2005 by National Mercantile and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.40*	409A Amendment to the First California Bank Salary Continuation Agreement and Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and C. G. Kum, dated December 29, 2008 (Exhibit 10.46 to Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2009 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.41*	409A Amendment to the First California Bank Salary Continuation Agreement and Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and Romolo Santarosa, dated December 29, 2008 (Exhibit 10.47 to Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2009 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.42*	409A Amendment to the First California Bank Salary Continuation Agreement and Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and Richard Glass, dated December 29, 2008 (Exhibit 10.48 to Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2009 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.43	Lease, dated as of November 23, 2007, between Westlake Plaza Center East, LLC and First California Bank relating to Suite 300 offices at 3027 Townsgate Road, Westlake Village, California (Exhibit 10.49 to Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2009 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.44*	Amendment to the First California Bank Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and C.G. Kum, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.45 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.45*	Split Dollar Agreement between the Bank and Edmond Sahakian, dated April 24, 2006 (Exhibit 10.46 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 10.46* Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and Romolo Santarosa, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.47 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.47* Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and Edmond Sahakian, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.48 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.48* Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and Cheryl Knight, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.49 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 10.49* Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and Donald Macaulay, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.50 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).

Exhibit Number	Description
10.50*	Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and William Schack, dated December 16, 2009 (Exhibit 10.51 to Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2010 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.51*	Change in Control Agreement between Bradley R. Brown and First California Financial Group, Inc., dated December 31, 2012 (Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2013 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.52*	Change in Control Agreement between Gilbert J. Dalmau and First California Financial Group, Inc., dated December 31, 2012 (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2013 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.53	Voting and Support Agreement, by and among PacWest Bancorp, First California Financial Group, Inc., James O. Pohlad, Robert C. Pohlad and William M. Pohlad, dated as of November 6, 2012 (Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.54	Voting and Support Agreement, by and among PacWest Bancorp, First California Financial Group, Inc. and directors of First California Financial Group, Inc., dated as of November 6, 2012 (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.55	Voting and Support Agreement, by and among PacWest Bancorp, First California Financial Group, Inc. and directors of PacWest Bancorp, dated as of November 6, 2012 (Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 by First California Financial Group, Inc. and incorporated herein by this reference).
21.1	<u>List of Subsidiaries of Registrant.</u>
23.1	<u>Consent of Moss Adams LLP.</u>
24.1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page to this Annual Report on Form 10-K).
31.1	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
31.2	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
32.1	<u>Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>

99.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section III(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

99.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section III(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

Date: March 18, 2013

By: /s/ C. G. KUM
C. G. Kum
Director, President and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints C. G. Kum and Romolo Santarosa, and each of them severally, his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact with power of substitution and resubstitution to sign in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to do any and all things and execute any and all instruments that such attorney may deem necessary or advisable under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and any rules, regulations and requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any and all amendments hereto, as fully for all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, and hereby ratifies and confirms all said attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, and his or her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ C. G. KUM C. G. Kum	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 18, 2013
/S/ ROMOLO SANTAROSA Romolo Santarosa	Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 18, 2013
/S/ RICHARD D. ALDRIDGE Richard D. Aldridge	Director	March 18, 2013
/S/ DONALD E. BENSON Donald E. Benson	Director	March 18, 2013
/S/ JOHN W. BIRCHFIELD	Director	March 18, 2013

John W. Birchfield

/S/ JOSEPH N. COHEN Joseph N. Cohen	Director	March 18, 2013
--	----------	----------------

/S/ ROBERT E. GIPSON Robert E. Gipson	Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 18, 2013
--	---------------------------------------	----------------

/S/ ANTOINETTE HUBENETTE, M.D. Antoinette Hubenette, M.D.	Director	March 18, 2013
---	----------	----------------

/S/ THOMAS TIGNINO Thomas Tignino	Director	March 18, 2013
--------------------------------------	----------	----------------

126
