

KEYCORP /NEW/
Form 10-Q
August 04, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended
June 30, 2014
Commission File Number 1-11302

Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter:

Ohio	34-6542451
State or other jurisdiction of	I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization	Identification Number:
127 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio	44114-1306
Address of principal executive offices:	Zip Code:
(216) 689-3000	

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Shares with a par value of \$1 each	874,478,950 Shares
Title of class	Outstanding at July 31, 2014

Table of Contents

KEYCORP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Page Number
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2014 (Unaudited), December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) Three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013</u>	8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013</u>	9
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013</u>	10
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	11
<u>Note 1. Basis of Presentation</u>	11
<u>Note 2. Earnings Per Common Share</u>	14
<u>Note 3. Loans and Loans Held for Sale</u>	15
<u>Note 4. Asset Quality</u>	17
<u>Note 5. Fair Value Measurements</u>	32
<u>Note 6. Securities</u>	49
<u>Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities</u>	53
<u>Note 8. Mortgage Servicing Assets</u>	61
<u>Note 9. Variable Interest Entities</u>	62
<u>Note 10. Income Taxes</u>	64
<u>Note 11. Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations</u>	65
<u>Note 12. Securities Financing Activities</u>	73

Table of Contents

<u>Note 13. Employee Benefits</u>	75
<u>Note 14. Trust Preferred Securities Issued by Unconsolidated Subsidiaries</u>	76
<u>Note 15. Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees</u>	77
<u>Note 16 . Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u>	80
<u>Note 17. Shareholders Equity</u>	83
<u>Note 18. Line of Business Results</u>	84
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	88
Item 2. <u>Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations</u>	89
<u>Introduction</u>	89
<u>Terminology</u>	89
<u>Selected financial data</u>	90
<u>Forward-looking statements</u>	91
<u>Economic overview</u>	92
<u>Long-term financial goals</u>	93
<u>Strategic developments</u>	93
<u>Demographics</u>	94
<u>Supervision and regulation</u>	96
<u>Regulatory reform developments</u>	96
<u>Debit card and interchange fees and routing</u>	96
<u>Regulatory capital rules</u>	96
<u>Highlights of Our Performance</u>	98
<u>Financial performance</u>	98
<u>Results of Operations</u>	104
<u>Net interest income</u>	104
<u>Noninterest income</u>	107
<u>Trust and investment services income</u>	109
<u>Investment banking and debt placement fees</u>	109
<u>Service charges on deposit accounts</u>	109
<u>Operating lease income and other leasing gains</u>	109
<u>Cards and payments income</u>	109
<u>Consumer mortgage income</u>	109
<u>Mortgage servicing fees</u>	110
<u>Other income</u>	110
<u>Noninterest expense</u>	110
<u>Personnel</u>	111
<u>Operating lease expense</u>	111
<u>Other expense</u>	111
<u>Income taxes</u>	111

Table of Contents

<u>Line of Business Results</u>	112
<u>Key Community Bank summary of operations</u>	112
<u>Key Corporate Bank summary of operations</u>	113
<u>Other Segments</u>	114
<u>Financial Condition</u>	115
<u>Loans and loans held for sale</u>	115
<u>Commercial loan portfolio</u>	115
<u>Commercial, financial and agricultural</u>	115
<u>Commercial real estate loans</u>	116
<u>Commercial lease financing</u>	117
<u>Commercial loan modification and restructuring</u>	117
<u>Extensions</u>	118
<u>Guarantors</u>	119
<u>Consumer loan portfolio</u>	119
<u>Loans held for sale</u>	120
<u>Loan sales</u>	120
<u>Securities</u>	121
<u>Securities available-for-sale</u>	122
<u>Held-to-maturity securities</u>	123
<u>Other investments</u>	124
<u>Deposits and other sources of funds</u>	124
<u>Capital</u>	125
<u>CCAR and capital actions</u>	125
<u>Dividends</u>	125
<u>Common shares outstanding</u>	125
<u>Capital adequacy</u>	126
<u>Risk Management</u>	129
<u>Overview</u>	129
<u>Market risk management</u>	130
<u>Trading market risk</u>	130
<u>Management of trading risks</u>	130
<u>Covered positions</u>	130
<u>VaR and stressed VaR</u>	131
<u>Internal capital adequacy assessment</u>	132
<u>Nontrading market risk</u>	132
<u>Net interest income simulation analysis</u>	133
<u>Economic value of equity modeling</u>	134
<u>Management of interest rate exposure</u>	134
<u>Liquidity risk management</u>	135
<u>Governance structure</u>	135
<u>Factors affecting liquidity</u>	135
<u>Managing liquidity risk</u>	136
<u>Long-term liquidity strategy</u>	136
<u>Sources of liquidity</u>	137
<u>Liquidity programs</u>	137
<u>Liquidity for KeyCorp</u>	137
<u>Our liquidity position and recent activity</u>	137

Table of Contents

	<u>Credit risk management</u>	138
	<u>Credit policy, approval, and evaluation</u>	138
	<u>Allowance for loan and lease losses</u>	139
	<u>Net loan charge-offs</u>	140
	<u>Nonperforming assets</u>	143
	<u>Operational risk management</u>	145
	<u>Cybersecurity</u>	146
	<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	146
	<u>European Sovereign and Non-Sovereign Debt Exposures</u>	147
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>	148
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	148

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	148
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	148
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	149
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	149
	<u>Signature</u>	150
	<u>Exhibits</u>	151

Throughout the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations, we use certain acronyms and abbreviations as defined in Note 1 (Basis of Presentation) that begins on page 11.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

<i>in millions, except per share data</i>	June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
ASSETS			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 604	\$ 617	\$ 696
Short-term investments	3,176	5,590	3,582
Trading account assets	890	738	592
Securities available for sale	12,224	12,346	13,253
Held-to-maturity securities (fair value: \$5,154, \$4,617, and \$4,716)	5,233	4,756	4,750
Other investments	899	969	1,037
Loans, net of unearned income of \$709, \$805, and \$901	55,600	54,457	53,101
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	814	848	876
Net loans	54,786	53,609	52,225
Loans held for sale	435	611	402
Premises and equipment	844	885	900
Operating lease assets	306	305	303
Goodwill	979	979	979
Other intangible assets	108	127	149
Corporate-owned life insurance	3,438	3,408	3,362
Derivative assets	549	407	461
Accrued income and other assets (including \$1 of consolidated LIHTC guaranteed funds VIEs, see Note 9) ^(a)	3,090	3,015	2,864
Discontinued assets (including \$1,730 of consolidated education loan securitization trust VIEs (see Note 9) and \$209 of loans in portfolio at fair value) ^(a)	4,237	4,572	5,084
Total assets	\$ 91,798	\$ 92,934	\$ 90,639
LIABILITIES			
Deposits in domestic offices:			
NOW and money market deposit accounts	\$ 33,637	\$ 33,952	\$ 32,689
Savings deposits	2,450	2,472	2,542
Certificates of deposit (\$100,000 or more)	2,743	2,631	2,918
Other time deposits	3,505	3,648	4,089
Total interest-bearing deposits	42,335	42,703	42,238
Noninterest-bearing deposits	24,781	26,001	24,939

Deposits in foreign office interest-bearing	683	558	544
Total deposits	67,799	69,262	67,721
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	1,213	1,534	1,647
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	521	343	298
Derivative liabilities	451	414	456
Accrued expense and other liabilities	1,400	1,557	1,421
Long-term debt	8,213	7,650	6,666
Discontinued liabilities (including \$1,677 of consolidated education loan securitization trust VIEs at fair value, see Note 9) ^(a)	1,680	1,854	2,169
Total liabilities	81,277	82,614	80,378
EQUITY			
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, authorized 25,000,000 shares:			
7.75% Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A, \$100 liquidation preference; authorized 7,475,000 shares; issued 2,904,839, 2,904,839, and 2,904,839 shares			
	291	291	291
Common shares, \$1 par value; authorized 1,400,000,000 shares; issued 1,016,969,905, 1,016,969,905, and 1,016,969,905 shares			
	1,017	1,017	1,017
Capital surplus	3,987	4,022	4,045
Retained earnings	7,950	7,606	7,214
Treasury stock, at cost (140,147,398, 126,245,538, and 104,086,859 shares)	(2,452)	(2,281)	(2,020)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(289)	(352)	(318)
Key shareholders equity	10,504	10,303	10,229
Noncontrolling interests	17	17	32
Total equity	10,521	10,320	10,261
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 91,798	\$ 92,934	\$ 90,639

(a) The assets of the VIEs can only be used by the particular VIE, and there is no recourse to Key with respect to the liabilities of the consolidated LIHTC or education loan securitization trust VIEs. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)**

<i>dollars in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans	\$ 526	\$ 539	\$ 1,045	\$ 1,087
Loans held for sale	5	5	9	9
Securities available for sale	71	80	143	160
Held-to-maturity securities	23	20	45	38
Trading account assets	7	4	13	10
Short-term investments	1	1	2	3
Other investments	6	8	12	17
Total interest income	639	657	1,269	1,324
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	31	42	63	87
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements			1	1
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	2	2	4	3
Long-term debt	33	32	65	69
Total interest expense	66	76	133	160
NET INTEREST INCOME	573	581	1,136	1,164
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	10	28	16	83
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	563	553	1,120	1,081
NONINTEREST INCOME				
Trust and investment services income	94	100	192	195
Investment banking and debt placement fees	99	84	183	163
Service charges on deposit accounts	66	71	129	140
Operating lease income and other leasing gains	35	22	64	47
Corporate services income	41	43	83	88
Cards and payments income	43	42	81	79
Corporate-owned life insurance income	28	31	54	61
Consumer mortgage income	2	6	4	13
Mortgage servicing fees	11	13	26	21
Net gains (losses) from principal investing	27	7	51	15
Other income ^(a)	9	10	23	32
Total noninterest income	455	429	890	854
NONINTEREST EXPENSE				
Personnel	389	406	777	797

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Net occupancy	68	72	132	136
Computer processing	41	39	79	78
Business services and professional fees	41	37	82	72
Equipment	24	27	48	53
Operating lease expense	10	11	20	23
Marketing	13	11	18	17
FDIC assessment	6	8	12	16
Intangible asset amortization	9	10	19	22
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	2	5		8
OREO expense, net	1	1	2	4
Other expense	85	84	162	166
Total noninterest expense	689	711	1,351	1,392
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	329	271	659	543
Income taxes	76	72	168	142
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	253	199	491	401
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes of (\$16), \$4, (\$14), and \$8 (see Note 11)	(28)	5	(24)	8
NET INCOME (LOSS)	225	204	467	409
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	6		6	1
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO KEY	\$ 219	\$ 204	\$ 461	\$ 408
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$ 242	\$ 193	\$ 474	\$ 389
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	214	198	450	397
Per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$.28	\$.21	\$.54	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(.03)	.01	(.03)	.01
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders ^(b)	.24	.22	.51	.43
Per common share assuming dilution:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$.27	\$.21	\$.53	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(.03)	.01	(.03)	.01
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders ^(b)	.24	.22	.51	.43
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$.065	\$.055	\$.12	\$.105
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000)	875,298	913,736	879,986	917,008
Effect of convertible preferred stock	20,602			

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Effect of common share options and other stock awards	6,237	4,892	6,698	5,311
Weighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000) ^(c)	902,137	918,628	886,684	922,319

(a) For each of the three months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, net securities gains (losses) totaled less than \$1 million. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, we did not have any impairment losses related to securities.

(b) EPS may not foot due to rounding.

(c) Assumes conversion of common share options and other stock awards and/or convertible preferred stock, as applicable.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)**

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income (loss)	\$ 225	\$ 204	\$ 467	\$ 409
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes of \$17, (\$74), \$34, and (\$87)	28	(125)	57	(147)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of income taxes of \$4, (\$18), \$3, and (\$23)	5	(31)	4	(39)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of \$4, (\$2), \$0, and (\$4)	(1)	(3)	(3)	(14)
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of income taxes of \$1, \$2, \$3, and \$4	3	3	5	6
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	35	(156)	63	(194)
Comprehensive income (loss)	260	48	530	215
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	6		6	1
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 254	\$ 48	\$ 524	\$ 214

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited)

<i>dollars in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Key Shareholders Equity						Accumulated Other		
	Preferred Shares Outstanding (000)	Common Shares Outstanding (000)	Preferred Stock	Common Shares	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock, at Cost	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012	2,905	925,769	\$ 291	\$ 1,017	\$ 4,126	\$ 6,913	\$ (1,952)	\$ (124)	\$ 38
Net income (loss)						408			1
Other comprehensive income (loss):									
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes of (\$87)								(147)	
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of income taxes of (\$23)								(39)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of (\$4)								(14)	
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of income taxes of \$4									6
Deferred compensation					8				
Cash dividends declared on common shares (\$.105 per share)							(96)		
Cash dividends declared on Noncumulative Series A Preferred Stock (\$3.875 per share)							(11)		
Common shares repurchased		(17,576)					(177)		
Common shares reissued (returned) for stock options and other employee benefit plans		4,690				(89)	109		
Net contribution from (distribution to) noncontrolling interests									(7)
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2013	2,905	912,883	\$ 291	\$ 1,017	\$ 4,045	\$ 7,214	\$ (2,020)	\$ (318)	\$ 32

BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2013	2,905	890,724	\$ 291	\$ 1,017	\$ 4,022	\$ 7,606	\$ (2,281)	\$ (352)	\$ 17
Net income (loss)						461			6
Other comprehensive income (loss):									
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes of \$34								57	
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of income taxes of \$3									4
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of \$0									(3)
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of income taxes of \$3									5
Deferred compensation					2				
Cash dividends declared on common shares (\$.12 per share)							(106)		
Cash dividends declared on Noncumulative Series A Preferred Stock (\$3.875 per share)							(11)		
Common shares repurchased		(17,669)						(236)	
Common shares reissued (returned) for stock options and other employee benefit plans		3,768			(37)			65	
Net contribution from (distribution to) noncontrolling interests									(6)
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2014	2,905	876,823	\$ 291	\$ 1,017	\$ 3,987	\$ 7,950	\$ (2,452)	\$ (289)	\$ 17

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**

<i>in millions</i>	Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income (loss)	\$ 467	\$ 409
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	16	83
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments		8
Provision (credit) for losses on LIHTC guaranteed funds	(6)	3
Depreciation, amortization and accretion expense, net	103	93
Increase in cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance	(48)	(50)
Stock-based compensation expense	21	19
FDIC reimbursement (payments), net of FDIC expense		297
Deferred income taxes (benefit)	9	36
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	1,570	2,609
Originations of loans held for sale, net of repayments	(1,359)	(2,316)
Net losses (gains) on sales of loans held for sale	(40)	(60)
Net losses (gains) from principal investing	(51)	(15)
Net losses (gains) and writedown on OREO	2	4
Net losses (gains) on leased equipment	(34)	(8)
Net losses (gains) on sales of fixed assets	1	8
Net decrease (increase) in trading account assets	(152)	13
Other operating activities, net	(236)	(208)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	263	925
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Cash received (used) in acquisitions, net of cash acquired		573
Net decrease (increase) in short-term investments	2,414	357
Purchases of securities available for sale	(1,175)	(4,030)
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale		27
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of securities available for sale	1,382	2,612
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of held-to-maturity securities	391	434
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	(869)	(1,253)
Purchases of other investments	(26)	(20)
Proceeds from sales of other investments	167	11
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of other investments	1	49
Net decrease (increase) in loans, excluding acquisitions, sales and transfers	(1,269)	(451)
Proceeds from sales of portfolio loans	67	77
Proceeds from corporate-owned life insurance	18	21
Purchases of premises, equipment, and software	(30)	(34)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	1	8
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	10	14
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	1,082	(1,605)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net increase (decrease) in deposits, excluding acquisitions	(1,463)	1,038
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	(143)	49
Net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	608	1,008
Payments on long-term debt	(26)	(1,033)
Repurchase of common shares	(236)	(177)
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares	19	14
Cash dividends paid	(117)	(107)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(1,358)	792
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS	(13)	112
CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	617	584
CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 604	\$ 696

Additional disclosures relative to cash flows:

Interest paid	\$ 133	\$ 159
Income taxes paid (refunded)	82	62
Noncash items:		
Reduction of secured borrowing and related collateral	\$ 32	
Loans transferred to portfolio from held for sale	10	\$ 2
Loans transferred to held for sale from portfolio	5	38
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	9	14

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

As used in these Notes, references to Key, we, our, us, and similar terms refer to the consolidated entity consisting of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. KeyCorp refers solely to the parent holding company, and KeyBank refers to KeyCorp's subsidiary, KeyBank National Association.

The acronyms and abbreviations identified below are used in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) as well as in the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations. You may find it helpful to refer back to this page as you read this report.

References to our 2013 Form 10-K refer to our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, that has been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and is available on its website (www.sec.gov) or on our website (www.key.com/ir).

AICPA: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.	LIHTC: Low-income housing tax credit.
ALCO: Asset/Liability Management Committee.	Moody's: Moody's Investor Services, Inc.
ALLL: Allowance for loan and lease losses.	MSRs: Mortgage servicing rights.
A/LM: Asset/liability management.	N/A: Not applicable.
AOCI: Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).	NASDAQ: The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC.
APBO: Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.	N/M: Not meaningful.
Austin: Austin Capital Management, Ltd.	NOW: Negotiable Order of Withdrawal.
BHCs: Bank holding companies.	NPR: Notice of proposed rulemaking.
CCAR: Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review.	NYSE: New York Stock Exchange.
CMBS: Commercial mortgage-backed securities.	OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.
CMO: Collateralized mortgage obligation.	OCI: Other comprehensive income (loss).
Common shares: KeyCorp common shares, \$1 par value.	OREO: Other real estate owned.
Dodd-Frank Act: Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.	OTTI: Other-than-temporary impairment.
EPS: Earnings per share.	QSPE: Qualifying special purpose entity.
ERISA: Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.	PBO: Projected benefit obligation.
ERM: Enterprise risk management.	PCI: Purchased credit impaired.
EVE: Economic value of equity.	S&P: Standard and Poor's Ratings Services, a Division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board.	SEC: U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission.
FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.	Series A Preferred Stock: KeyCorp's 7.750% Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A.
Federal Reserve: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.	SIFIs: Systemically important financial institutions, including BHCs with total consolidated assets of at least \$50 billion
FHLMC: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.	
FNMA: Federal National Mortgage Association.	

FOMC: Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve Board.

FSOC: Financial Stability Oversight Council.

GAAP: U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

GNMA: Government National Mortgage Association.

ISDA: International Swaps and Derivatives Association.

KAHC: Key Affordable Housing Corporation.

KEF: Key Equipment Finance.

KREEC: Key Real Estate Equity Capital, Inc.

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Some previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to current reporting practices.

and nonbank financial companies designated by FSOC for supervision by the Federal Reserve.

TDR: Troubled debt restructuring.

TE: Taxable-equivalent.

U.S. Treasury: United States Department of the Treasury.

VaR: Value at risk.

VEBA: Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Association.

Victory: Victory Capital Management and/or Victory Capital Advisors.

VIE: Variable interest entity.

Table of Contents

The consolidated financial statements include any voting rights entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for consolidations, we consolidate a VIE if we have: (i) a variable interest in the entity; (ii) the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE (i.e., we are considered to be the primary beneficiary). Variable interests can include equity interests, subordinated debt, derivative contracts, leases, service agreements, guarantees, standby letters of credit, loan commitments, and other contracts, agreements, and financial instruments. See Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities) for information on our involvement with VIEs.

We use the equity method to account for unconsolidated investments in voting rights entities or VIEs if we have significant influence over the entity's operating and financing decisions (usually defined as a voting or economic interest of 20% to 50%, but not controlling). Unconsolidated investments in voting rights entities or VIEs in which we have a voting or economic interest of less than 20% generally are carried at cost. Investments held by our registered broker-dealer and investment company subsidiaries (principal investing entities and Real Estate Capital line of business) are carried at fair value.

We believe that the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature and disclosures that are necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2013 Form 10-K.

In preparing these financial statements, subsequent events were evaluated through the time the financial statements were issued. Financial statements are considered issued when they are widely distributed to all shareholders and other financial statement users, or filed with the SEC.

Offsetting Derivative Positions

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, we take into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral when recognizing derivative assets and liabilities. Additional information regarding derivative offsetting is provided in Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities).

Accounting Guidance Adopted in 2014

Presentation of unrecognized tax benefits. In July 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that requires unrecognized tax benefits to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward if certain criteria are met. This accounting guidance was applied prospectively to unrecognized tax benefits that existed at the effective date. It was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013 (effective January 1, 2014, for us). The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We provide additional information regarding the presentation of our unrecognized tax benefits in Note 10 (Income Taxes).

Investment companies. In June 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that modifies the criteria used in defining an investment company. It also sets forth certain measurement and disclosure requirements for an investment company. This accounting guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin

after December 15, 2013 (effective January 1, 2014, for us). The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We provide the disclosures required by this new accounting guidance in Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements).

Liquidation basis of accounting. In April 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that specifies when and how an entity should prepare its financial statements using the liquidation basis of accounting when liquidation is imminent as defined in the guidance and describes the related disclosures that should be made. This new accounting guidance was effective for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein (effective January 1, 2014, for us). Entities should apply the requirements prospectively from the day that liquidation becomes imminent.

Reporting of cumulative translation adjustments upon the derecognition of certain investments. In March 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that addresses the accounting for the cumulative translation adjustment when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary

Table of Contents

or group of assets that is a nonprofit activity or a business within a foreign entity. This accounting guidance was effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013 (effective January 1, 2014, for us). The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Accounting Guidance Pending Adoption at June 30, 2014

Stock-based compensation. In June 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that clarifies how to account for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. This accounting guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 (effective January 1, 2016, for us) and can be implemented using either a retrospective method or a prospective method. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Transfers and servicing of financial assets. In June 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that applies secured borrowing accounting to repurchase-to-maturity transactions and linked repurchase financings and expands disclosure requirements. This accounting guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (effective January 1, 2015, for us) and needs to be implemented using a cumulative-effect approach to transactions outstanding as of the effective date with no adjustment to prior periods. The disclosure related to certain sales transactions will be presented for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (March 31, 2015, for us). The disclosure for secured borrowings will be presented for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and for interim periods beginning after March 15, 2015 (June 30, 2015, for us). Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue recognition. In May 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that revises the criteria for determining when to recognize revenue from contracts with customers and expands disclosure requirements. This accounting guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 (effective January 1, 2017, for us) and can be implemented using either a retrospective method or a cumulative-effect approach. Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that this accounting guidance may have on our financial condition or results of operations.

Discontinued operations. In April 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that revises the criteria for determining when disposals should be reported as discontinued operations and modifies the disclosure requirements. This accounting guidance will be effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (effective January 1, 2015, for us). Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Investments in qualified affordable housing projects. In January 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that modifies the conditions that must be met to make an election to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method. This accounting guidance will be effective retrospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (effective January 1, 2015, for us). Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Troubled debt restructurings. In January 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that clarifies the definition of when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs for purposes of creditor reclassification of residential real estate collateralized consumer mortgage loans by derecognizing the loan and recognizing the collateral asset. This

accounting guidance will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (effective January 1, 2015, for us) and can be implemented using either a modified retrospective method or prospective method. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents**2. Earnings Per Common Share**

Basic earnings per share is the amount of earnings (adjusted for dividends declared on our preferred stock) available to each common share outstanding during the reporting periods. Diluted earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each common share outstanding during the reporting periods adjusted to include the effects of potentially dilutive common shares. Potentially dilutive common shares include incremental shares issued for the conversion of our convertible Series A Preferred Stock, stock options, and other stock-based awards. Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in the periods where the effect would be antidilutive. For diluted earnings per share, net income available to common shareholders can be affected by the conversion of our convertible Series A Preferred Stock. Where the effect of this conversion would be dilutive, net income available to common shareholders is adjusted by the amount of preferred dividends associated with our Series A Preferred Stock.

Our basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated as follows:

<i>dollars in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
EARNINGS				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 253	\$ 199	\$ 491	\$ 401
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	6		6	1
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	247	199	485	400
Less: Dividends on Series A Preferred Stock	5	6	11	11
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	242	193	474	389
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(28)	5	(24)	8
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	\$ 214	\$ 198	\$ 450	\$ 397
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000)	875,298	913,736	879,986	917,008
Effect of convertible preferred stock	20,602			
Effect of common share options and other stock awards	6,237	4,892	6,698	5,311
Weighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000) ^(b)	902,137	918,628	886,684	922,319

EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$.28	\$.21	\$.54	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)		(.03)		.01		(.03)		.01
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders ^(c)		.24		.22		.51		.43
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution	\$.27	\$.21	\$.53	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)		(.03)		.01		(.03)		.01
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution ^(f)		.24		.22		.51		.43

- (a) In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In February 2013, we decided to sell Victory to a private equity fund. As a result of these decisions, we have accounted for these businesses as discontinued operations. For further discussion regarding the income (loss) from discontinued operations, see Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations).
- (b) Assumes conversion of common share options and other stock awards and/or convertible preferred stock, as applicable.
- (c) EPS may not foot due to rounding.

Table of Contents**3. Loans and Loans Held for Sale**

Our loans by category are summarized as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial, financial and agricultural ^(a)	\$ 26,327	\$ 24,963	\$ 23,715
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial mortgage	7,946	7,720	7,474
Construction	1,047	1,093	1,060
Total commercial real estate loans	8,993	8,813	8,534
Commercial lease financing ^(b)	4,241	4,551	4,774
Total commercial loans	39,561	38,327	37,023
Residential prime loans:			
Real estate residential mortgage	2,189	2,187	2,176
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	10,379	10,340	10,173
Other	300	334	375
Total home equity loans	10,679	10,674	10,548
Total residential prime loans	12,868	12,861	12,724
Consumer other Key Community Bank	1,514	1,449	1,424
Credit cards	718	722	701
Consumer other:			
Marine	888	1,028	1,160
Other	51	70	69
Total consumer other	939	1,098	1,229
Total consumer loans	16,039	16,130	16,078
Total loans ^{(c) (d)}	\$ 55,600	\$ 54,457	\$ 53,101

(a) Loan balances include \$94 million, \$94 million, and \$96 million of commercial credit card balances at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

(b) Commercial lease financing includes receivables of \$375 million and \$58 million held as collateral for a secured borrowing at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively. Principal reductions are based on the cash payments received from these related receivables. We expect to record additional commercial lease financing receivables held as collateral for a secured borrowing through the second half of 2014. Additional information pertaining to this secured borrowing is included in Note 18 (Long-Term Debt) beginning on page 200 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

- (c) At June 30, 2014, total loans include purchased loans of \$151 million, of which \$15 million were PCI loans. At December 31, 2013, total loans include purchased loans of \$166 million, of which \$16 million were PCI loans. At June 30, 2013, total loans include purchased loans of \$187 million, of which \$19 million were PCI loans.
- (d) Total loans exclude loans of \$4.2 billion at June 30, 2014, \$4.5 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$5.0 billion at June 30, 2013, related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business.

Our loans held for sale are summarized as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 181	\$ 278	\$ 22
Real estate commercial mortgage	221	307	318
Commercial lease financing	10	9	14
Real estate residential mortgage	23	17	48
Total loans held for sale	\$ 435	\$ 611	\$ 402

Table of Contents

Our quarterly summary of changes in loans held for sale follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Balance at beginning of the period	\$ 401	\$ 699	\$ 434
New originations	978	1,669	1,241
Transfers from held to maturity, net	(8)	1	17
Loan sales	(934)	(1,750)	(1,292)
Loan draws (payments), net	(2)	(8)	
Transfers to OREO / valuation adjustments			2
Balance at end of period	\$ 435	\$ 611	\$ 402

Table of Contents**4. Asset Quality**

We assess the credit quality of the loan portfolio by monitoring net credit losses, levels of nonperforming assets and delinquencies, and credit quality ratings as defined by management.

Our nonperforming assets and past due loans were as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Total nonperforming loans ^(a)	\$ 396	\$ 508	\$ 652
Nonperforming loans held for sale	1	1	14
OREO	12	15	18
Other nonperforming assets	1	7	9
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 410	\$ 531	\$ 693
Nonperforming assets from discontinued operations education lending ^(b)	\$ 19	\$ 25	\$ 19
Restructured loans included in nonperforming loans	\$ 142	\$ 214	\$ 195
Restructured loans with an allocated specific allowance ^(c)	59	71	65
Specifically allocated allowance for restructured loans ^(d)	30	35	30
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 83	\$ 71	\$ 80
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days	274	318	251

(a) Loan balances exclude \$15 million, \$16 million, and \$19 million of PCI loans at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

(b) Includes restructured loans of approximately \$18 million, \$13 million, and \$8 million at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively. See Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations) for further discussion.

(c) Included in individually impaired loans allocated a specific allowance.

(d) Included in allowance for individually evaluated impaired loans.

We evaluate purchased loans for impairment in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance. Purchased loans that have evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments will not be collected are deemed PCI and initially recorded at fair value without recording an allowance for loan losses. At the date of acquisition, the estimated gross contractual amount receivable of all PCI loans totaled \$41 million. The estimated cash flows not expected to be collected (the nonaccretable amount) were \$11 million, and the accretable amount was approximately \$5 million. The difference between the fair value and the cash flows expected to be collected from the purchased loans is accreted to interest income over the remaining term of the loans.

At June 30, 2014, the outstanding unpaid principal balance and carrying value of all PCI loans was \$22 million and \$15 million, respectively. Changes in the accretable yield during 2014 included accretion and net reclassifications of

less than \$1 million, resulting in an ending balance of \$5 million at June 30, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, the approximate carrying amount of our commercial nonperforming loans outstanding represented 58% of their original contractual amount, total nonperforming loans outstanding represented 73% of their original contractual amount owed, and nonperforming assets in total were carried at 73% of their original contractual amount.

At June 30, 2014, our twenty largest nonperforming loans totaled \$55 million, representing 14% of total loans on nonperforming status. At June 30, 2013, the twenty largest nonperforming loans totaled \$191 million, representing 29% of total loans on nonperforming status.

Nonperforming loans and loans held for sale reduced expected interest income by \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, and \$23 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth a further breakdown of individually impaired loans as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013:

June 30, 2014 <i>in millions</i>	Recorded Investment ^(a)	Unpaid Principal Balance ^(b)	Specific Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 12	\$ 18		\$ 23
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	23	28		23
Construction	6	17		6
Total commercial real estate loans	29	45		29
Total commercial loans	41	63		52
Real estate residential mortgage	25	25		26
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	66	66		68
Other	2	2		2
Total home equity loans	68	68		70
Consumer other:				
Marine	2	2		2
Total consumer other	2	2		2
Total consumer loans	95	95		98
Total loans with no related allowance recorded	136	158		150
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	5	7	\$ 3	6
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	2	3	1	2
Construction				
Total commercial real estate loans	2	3	1	2
Total commercial loans	7	10	4	8
Real estate residential mortgage	29	29	5	28
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	37	37	15	36

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Other	11	11	3	11
Total home equity loans	48	48	18	47
Consumer other Key Community Bank	3	3		3
Credit cards	4	4		4
Consumer other:				
Marine	48	48	5	49
Other	1	1		1
Total consumer other	49	49	5	50
Total consumer loans	133	133	28	132
Total loans with an allowance recorded	140	143	32	140
Total	\$ 276	\$ 301	\$ 32	\$ 290

- (a) The Recorded Investment represents the face amount of the loan increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest, net deferred loan fees and costs, and unamortized premium or discount, and reflects direct charge-offs. This amount is a component of total loans on our consolidated balance sheet.
- (b) The Unpaid Principal Balance represents the customer's legal obligation to us.

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013 <i>in millions</i>	Recorded Investment ^(a)	Unpaid Principal Balance ^(b)	Specific Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 33	\$ 69		\$ 33
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	21	25		55
Construction	48	131		48
Total commercial real estate loans	69	156		103
Total commercial loans	102	225		136
Real estate residential mortgage	27	27		24
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	67	67		66
Other	2	2		2
Total home equity loans	69	69		68
Consumer other:				
Marine	3	3		2
Total consumer other	3	3		2
Total consumer loans	99	99		94
Total loans with no related allowance recorded	201	324		230
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	17	20	\$ 8	25
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	6	6	2	7
Construction	2	12		1
Total commercial real estate loans	8	18	2	8
Total commercial loans	25	38	10	33
Real estate residential mortgage	29	29	9	23
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	35	35	10	29
Other	10	11	1	9
Total home equity loans	45	46	11	38
Consumer other Key Community Bank	3	3	1	2

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Credit cards	5	5	1	3
Consumer other:				
Marine	49	49	10	55
Other	1	1		1
Total consumer other	50	50	10	56
Total consumer loans	132	133	32	122
Total loans with an allowance recorded	157	171	42	155
Total	\$ 358	\$ 495	\$ 42	\$ 385

- (a) The Recorded Investment represents the face amount of the loan increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest, net deferred loan fees and costs, and unamortized premium or discount, and reflects direct charge-offs. This amount is a component of total loans on our consolidated balance sheet.
- (b) The Unpaid Principal Balance represents the customer's legal obligation to us.

Table of Contents

June 30, 2013 <i>in millions</i>	Recorded Investment ^(a)	Unpaid Principal Balance ^(b)	Specific Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 89	\$ 140		\$ 91
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	88	138		88
Construction	50	157		49
Total commercial real estate loans	138	295		137
Total commercial loans	227	435		228
Real estate residential mortgage	16	16		16
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	69	69		66
Other	2	2		2
Total home equity loans	71	71		68
Consumer other:				
Marine	3	3		3
Total consumer other	3	3		3
Total consumer loans	90	90		87
Total loans with no related allowance recorded	317	525		315
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	22	31	\$ 6	18
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	5	6	2	7
Construction	2	12		1
Total commercial real estate loans	7	18	2	8
Total commercial loans	29	49	8	26
Real estate residential mortgage	20	20	5	19
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	30	30	9	28
Other	10	10	1	10
Total home equity loans	40	40	10	38
Consumer other Key Community Bank	3	3	1	3

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Credit cards	4	4	4	4
Consumer other:				
Marine	50	50	10	49
Other	1	1		1
Total consumer other	51	51	10	50
Total consumer loans	118	118	26	114
Total loans with an allowance recorded	147	167	34	140
Total	\$ 464	\$ 692	\$ 34	\$ 455

- (a) The Recorded Investment represents the face amount of the loan increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest, net deferred loan fees and costs, and unamortized premium or discount, and reflects direct charge-offs. This amount is a component of total loans on our consolidated balance sheet.
- (b) The Unpaid Principal Balance represents the customer's legal obligation to us.
- For the six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, interest income recognized on the outstanding balances of accruing impaired loans totaled \$4 million and \$3 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2014, aggregate restructured loans (accrual and nonaccrual loans) totaled \$266 million, compared to \$338 million at December 31, 2013, and \$311 million at June 30, 2013. We added \$43 million in restructured loans during the first six months of 2014, which were offset by \$115 million in payments and charge-offs.

Table of Contents

A further breakdown of TDRs included in nonperforming loans by loan category as of June 30, 2014, follows:

June 30, 2014	Number of loans	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
<i>dollars in millions</i>			
LOAN TYPE			
Nonperforming:			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	24	\$ 20	\$ 10
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	11	40	14
Real estate construction	3	15	2
Total commercial real estate loans	14	55	16
Total commercial loans	38	75	26
Real estate residential mortgage	521	34	34
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	1,086	68	64
Other	126	4	3
Total home equity loans	1,212	72	67
Consumer other Key Community Bank	33	1	1
Credit cards	60		
Consumer other:			
Marine	207	15	13
Other	36	1	1
Total consumer other	243	16	14
Total consumer loans	2,069	123	116
Total nonperforming TDRs	2,107	198	142
Prior-year accruing ^(a)			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	32	7	3
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	4	17	9
Total commercial real estate loans	4	17	9
Total commercial loans	36	24	12
Real estate residential mortgage	287	21	21
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	759	43	39

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Other	322	10	8
Total home equity loans	1,081	53	47
Consumer other Key Community Bank	54	2	2
Credit cards	653	5	3
Consumer other:			
Marine	428	60	37
Other	73	2	2
Total consumer other	501	62	39
Total consumer loans	2,576	143	112
Total prior-year accruing TDRs	2,612	167	124
Total TDRs	4,719	\$ 365	\$ 266

(a) All TDRs that were restructured prior to January 1, 2014, and are fully accruing.

Table of Contents

A further breakdown of TDRs included in nonperforming loans by loan category as of December 31, 2013, follows:

December 31, 2013	Number of loans	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
<i>dollars in millions</i>			
LOAN TYPE			
Nonperforming:			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	33	\$ 72	\$ 34
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	11	41	14
Real estate construction	6	19	4
Total commercial real estate loans	17	60	18
Total commercial loans	50	132	52
Real estate residential mortgage	676	43	43
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	1,708	91	86
Other	227	6	6
Total home equity loans	1,935	97	92
Consumer other Key Community Bank	49	2	1
Credit cards	629	5	4
Consumer other:			
Marine	360	24	21
Other	50	1	1
Total consumer other	410	25	22
Total consumer loans	3,699	172	162
Total nonperforming TDRs	3,749	304	214
Prior-year accruing ^(a)			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	50	7	3
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	4	18	10
Real estate construction	1	23	42
Total commercial real estate loans	5	41	52
Total commercial loans	55	48	55
Real estate residential mortgage	119	12	12
Home equity:			

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Key Community Bank	161	17	17
Other	212	7	6
Total home equity loans	373	24	23
Consumer other Key Community Bank	31	1	1
Credit cards	240	2	1
Consumer other:			
Marine	272	51	31
Other	54	1	1
Total consumer other	326	52	32
Total consumer loans	1,089	91	69
Total prior-year accruing TDRs	1,144	139	124
Total TDRs	4,893	\$ 443	\$ 338

(a) All TDRs that were restructured prior to January 1, 2013, and are fully accruing.

Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	134		14	14
Other	178		5	5
Total home equity loans	312		19	19
Consumer other Key Community Bank	26		1	1
Credit cards	309		2	2
Consumer other:				
Marine	243		29	28
Other	49		2	2
Total consumer other	292		31	30
Total consumer loans	1,057		65	64
Total prior-year accruing TDRs	1,149		120	116
Total TDRs	4,507	\$	418	\$ 311

(a) All TDRs that were restructured prior to January 1, 2013, and are fully accruing.

We classify loan modifications as TDRs when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and we have granted a concession without commensurate financial, structural, or legal consideration. All commercial and consumer loan TDRs, regardless of size, are individually evaluated for impairment to determine the probable loss content and are assigned a specific loan allowance if deemed appropriate. This designation has the effect of moving the loan from the general reserve methodology (i.e., collectively evaluated) to the specific reserve methodology (i.e., individually evaluated) and may impact the ALLL through a charge-off or increased loan loss provision. These components affect the ultimate allowance level. Additional information regarding TDRs for discontinued operations is provided in Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations).

Commercial loan TDRs are considered defaulted when principal and interest payments are 90 days past due. Consumer loan TDRs are considered defaulted when principal and interest payments are more than 60 days past due. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, there were no significant commercial loan TDRs, and 107 consumer loan TDRs with a combined recorded investment of \$4 million that experienced payment defaults from modifications resulting in TDR status during 2013. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, there were no significant commercial loan TDRs, and 127

Table of Contents

consumer loan TDRs with a combined recorded investment of \$5 million that experienced payment defaults from modifications resulting in TDR status during 2012. As TDRs are individually evaluated for impairment under the specific reserve methodology, subsequent defaults do not generally have a significant additional impact on the ALLL.

Our loan modifications are handled on a case-by-case basis and are negotiated to achieve mutually agreeable terms that maximize loan collectability and meet the borrower's financial needs. Our concession types are primarily interest rate reductions, forgiveness of principal, and other modifications. The commercial TDR other concession category includes modification of loan terms, covenants, or conditions. The consumer TDR other concession category primarily includes those borrowers that are discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy and have not been formally re-affirmed.

The following table shows the concession types for our commercial and consumer accruing and nonaccruing TDRs and other selected financial data.

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial loans:			
Interest rate reduction	\$ 27	\$ 95	\$ 88
Forgiveness of principal	5	5	6
Other	6	7	8
Total	\$ 38	\$ 107	\$ 102
 Consumer loans:			
Interest rate reduction	\$ 139	\$ 130	\$ 104
Forgiveness of principal	4	5	5
Other	85	96	100
Total	\$ 228	\$ 231	\$ 209
 Total commercial and consumer TDRs^(a)	\$ 266	\$ 338	\$ 311
Total loans	55,600	54,457	53,101

(a) Commitments outstanding to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs are \$1 million, \$15 million, and \$25 million at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Our policies for determining past due loans, placing loans on nonaccrual, applying payments on nonaccrual loans, and resuming accrual of interest for our commercial and consumer loan portfolios are disclosed in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Nonperforming Loans beginning on page 117 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

At June 30, 2014, approximately \$54.8 billion, or 98.6%, of our total loans were current. At June 30, 2014, total past due loans and nonperforming loans of \$753 million represented approximately 1.4% of total loans.

December 31, 2013 <i>in millions</i>	Days Past Due	Days Past Due	Days Past Due	Nonperforming	Nonperforming	Credit	Total
	Current	Due	Due	Loans	Loans	Impaired	Loans
LOAN TYPE							
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 24,823	\$ 39	\$ 8	\$ 16	\$ 77	\$ 140	\$ 24,963
Commercial real estate:							
Commercial mortgage	7,638	20	7	17	37	81	7,720
Construction	1,068	10		1	14	25	1,093
Total commercial real estate loans	8,706	30	7	18	51	106	8,813
Commercial lease financing	4,463	32	33	4	19	88	4,551
Total commercial loans	\$ 37,992	\$ 101	\$ 48	\$ 38	\$ 147	\$ 334	\$ 38,327
Real estate residential mortgage	\$ 2,038	\$ 19	\$ 5	\$ 4	\$ 107	\$ 135	\$ 2,187
Home equity:							
Key Community Bank	10,038	51	31	14	205	301	10,340
Other	308	6	4	1	15	26	334
Total home equity loans	10,346	57	35	15	220	327	10,674
Consumer other Key Community							
Bank	1,426	8	5	7	3	23	1,449
Credit cards	698	11	5	4	4	24	722
Consumer other:							
Marine	979	15	6	2	26	49	1,028
Other	65	2	1	1	1	5	70
Total consumer other	1,044	17	7	3	27	54	1,098
Total consumer loans	\$ 15,552	\$ 112	\$ 57	\$ 33	\$ 361	\$ 563	\$ 16,130
Total loans	\$ 53,544	\$ 213	\$ 105	\$ 71	\$ 508	\$ 897	\$ 54,457

strength of the borrower, an assessment of the borrower's management, the borrower's competitive position within its industry sector, and our view of industry risk in the context of the general economic outlook. Types of exposure, transaction structure, and collateral, including credit risk mitigants, affect the expected recovery assessment.

Credit quality indicators for loans are updated on an ongoing basis. Bond rating classifications are indicative of the credit quality of our commercial loan portfolios and are determined by converting our internally assigned risk rating grades to bond rating categories. Payment activity and the regulatory classifications of pass and substandard are indicators of the credit quality of our consumer loan portfolios.

Table of Contents

Credit quality indicators for our commercial and consumer loan portfolios, excluding \$15 million and \$19 million of PCI loans at June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively, based on bond rating, regulatory classification, and payment activity as of June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, are as follows:

Commercial Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile by Creditworthiness Category**^(a)

June 30,
in millions

RATING ^{(b), (c)}		Commercial, financial and agricultural RE Commercial RE Construction Commercial Lease Total									
		2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
AAA	AA	\$ 364	\$ 275	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 712	\$ 485	\$ 1,080	\$ 762
A		1,091	618	1	74	1	1	382	1,011	1,475	1,704
BBB	BB	23,534	21,355	7,412	6,600	907	871	2,968	3,046	34,821	31,872
B		545	560	287	364	99	23	99	145	1,030	1,092
CCC	C	793	907	242	433	39	164	80	87	1,154	1,591
Total		\$ 26,327	\$ 23,715	\$ 7,945	\$ 7,472	\$ 1,047	\$ 1,060	\$ 4,241	\$ 4,774	\$ 39,560	\$ 37,021

(a) Credit quality indicators are updated on an ongoing basis and reflect credit quality information as of the dates indicated.

(b) Our bond rating to internal loan grade conversion system is as follows: AAA - AA = 1, A = 2, BBB - BB = 3 - 13, B = 14 - 16, and CCC - C = 17 - 20.

(c) Our internal loan grade to regulatory-defined classification is as follows: Pass = 1-16, Special Mention = 17, Substandard = 18, Doubtful = 19, and Loss = 20.

Consumer Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile by Regulatory Classifications**^{(a), (b)}

June 30,
in millions

GRADE	Residential	Prime
	2014	2013
Pass	\$ 12,554	\$ 12,374
Substandard	300	333
Total	\$ 12,854	\$ 12,707

Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity ^(a)

June 30, <i>in millions</i>	Consumer		Key Community		Consumer		Margin		Other		Total	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Performing	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,421	\$ 717	\$ 690	\$ 873	\$ 1,130	\$ 50	\$ 68	\$ 3,152	\$ 3,309		
Nonperforming	2	3	1	11	15	30	1	1	19	45		
Total	\$ 1,514	\$ 1,424	\$ 718	\$ 701	\$ 888	\$ 1,160	\$ 51	\$ 69	\$ 3,171	\$ 3,354		

(a) Credit quality indicators are updated on an ongoing basis and reflect credit quality information as of the dates indicated.

(b) Our past due payment activity to regulatory classification conversion is as follows: pass = less than 90 days; and substandard = 90 days and greater plus nonperforming loans.

We determine the appropriate level of the ALLL on at least a quarterly basis. The methodology is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 118 of our 2013 Form 10-K. We apply expected loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics as noted in the credit quality indicator table above and exercise judgment to assess the impact of factors such as changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets.

For all commercial and consumer loan TDRs, regardless of size, as well as impaired commercial loans with an outstanding balance of \$2.5 million and greater, we conduct further analysis to determine the probable loss content and assign a specific allowance to the loan if deemed appropriate. We estimate the extent of the individual impairment for commercial loans and TDRs by comparing the recorded investment of the loan with the estimated present value of its future cash flows, the fair value of its underlying collateral, or the loan's observable market price. Secured consumer loan TDRs that are discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not formally re-affirmed are adjusted to reflect the fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell. Non-Chapter 7 consumer loan TDRs are combined in homogenous pools and assigned a specific allocation based on the estimated present value of future cash flows using the loan's effective interest rate. A specific allowance also may be assigned even when sources of repayment appear sufficient if we remain uncertain about whether the loan will be repaid in full. On at least a quarterly basis, we evaluate the appropriateness of our loss estimation methods to reduce differences between estimated incurred losses and actual losses. The ALLL at June 30, 2014, represents our best estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date.

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Total home equity loans	95	10	(26)	7	86
Consumer other Key Community Bank	29	8	(16)	3	24
Credit cards	34	13	(18)	1	30
Consumer other:					
Marine	29	1	(14)	5	21
Other	3	(1)	(1)	1	2
Total consumer other:	32		(15)	6	23
Total consumer loans	227	22	(80)	19	188
Total ALLL continuing operations	848	16	(113)	63	814
Discontinued operations	39	9	(24)	8	32
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	\$ 887	\$ 25	\$ (137)	\$ 71	\$ 846

Table of Contents

<i>in millions</i>	December 31,			June 30,		
	2012	Provision	Charge-offs	Recoveries	2013	
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 327	\$ 35	\$ (29)	\$ 19	\$ 352	
Real estate commercial mortgage	198	(10)	(16)	10	182	
Real estate construction	41	(13)	(2)	8	34	
Commercial lease financing	55	6	(8)	8	61	
Total commercial loans	621	18	(55)	45	629	
Real estate residential mortgage	30	13	(10)		33	
Home equity:						
Key Community Bank	105	19	(36)	6	94	
Other	25		(12)	3	16	
Total home equity loans	130	19	(48)	9	110	
Consumer other Key Community Bank	38	7	(16)	4	33	
Credit cards	26	21	(16)	2	33	
Consumer other:						
Marine	39	4	(17)	9	35	
Other	4		(2)	1	3	
Total consumer other:	43	4	(19)	10	38	
Total consumer loans	267	64	(109)	25	247	
Total ALLL continuing operations	888	82 ^(a)	(164)	70	876	
Discontinued operations	55	5	(28)	9	41	
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	\$ 943	\$ 87	\$ (192)	\$ 79	\$ 917	

(a) Includes \$1 million of foreign currency translation adjustment.

Our ALLL from continuing operations decreased by \$62 million, or 7.1%, from the second quarter of 2013 primarily because of the improvement in the credit quality of our loan portfolios. The quality of new loan originations as well as decreasing levels of criticized, classified, and nonperforming loans and net loan charge-offs has also resulted in a reduction in our general allowance. Our general allowance applies expected loss rates to our existing loans with similar risk characteristics as well as any adjustments to reflect our current assessment of qualitative factors such as changes in economic conditions, underwriting standards, and concentrations of credit. Our delinquency trends declined during 2013 and into 2014 due to a modest level of loan growth, relatively stable economic conditions, and continued run-off in our exit loan portfolio, reflecting our effort to maintain a moderate enterprise risk tolerance.

For continuing operations, the loans outstanding individually evaluated for impairment totaled \$276 million, with a corresponding allowance of \$32 million at June 30, 2014. Loans outstanding collectively evaluated for impairment totaled \$55.3 billion, with a corresponding allowance of \$781 million at June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, PCI loans evaluated for impairment totaled \$15 million, with a corresponding allowance of \$1 million. There was no provision for loan and lease losses on these PCI loans during the six months ended June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2013, the loans outstanding individually evaluated for impairment totaled \$464 million, with a corresponding allowance of \$34 million. Loans outstanding collectively evaluated for impairment totaled \$52.6 billion, with a corresponding

allowance of \$842 million at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, PCI loans evaluated for impairment totaled \$19 million, with a corresponding allowance of less than \$1 million. There was a credit for loan and lease losses on these PCI loans of \$1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

A breakdown of the individual and collective ALLL and the corresponding loan balances as of June 30, 2014, follows:

June 30, 2014 <i>in millions</i>	Allowance			Loans	Outstanding		
	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Purchased Credit Impaired		Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Purchased Credit Impaired
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 3	\$ 370		\$ 26,327	\$ 17	\$ 26,310	
Commercial real estate:							
Commercial mortgage	1	158		7,946	25	7,920	\$ 1
Construction		34		1,047	6	1,041	
Total commercial real estate loans	1	192		8,993	31	8,961	1
Commercial lease financing		60		4,241		4,241	
Total commercial loans	4	622		39,561	48	39,512	1
Real estate residential mortgage	5	19	\$ 1	2,189	55	2,121	13
Home equity:							
Key Community Bank	15	62		10,379	103	10,275	1
Other	3	6		300	12	288	
Total home equity loans	18	68		10,679	115	10,563	1
Consumer other Key Community Bank		24		1,514	3	1,511	
Credit cards		30		718	4	714	
Consumer other:							
Marine	5	16		888	50	838	
Other		2		51	1	50	
Total consumer other	5	18		939	51	888	
Total consumer loans	28	159	1	16,039	228	15,797	14
Total ALLL continuing operations	32	781	1	55,600	276	55,309	15
Discontinued operations	2	30		4,162 ^(a)	17	4,145 ^(a)	
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	\$ 34	\$ 811	\$ 1	\$ 59,762	\$ 293	\$ 59,454	\$ 15

(a) Amount includes \$1.9 billion of loans carried at fair value that are excluded from ALLL consideration.

A breakdown of the individual and collective ALLL and the corresponding loan balances as of December 31, 2013, follows:

Allowance
Outstanding

December 31, 2013 <i>in millions</i>	Individually Evaluated Impaired	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Purchased for Credit Loans Impaired	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Purchased Credit Impaired
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 8	\$ 354	\$ 24,963	\$ 50	\$ 24,913	
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial mortgage	2	163	7,720	27	7,692	\$ 1
Construction		32	1,093	50	1,043	
Total commercial real estate loans	2	195	8,813	77	8,735	1
Commercial lease financing		62	4,551		4,551	
Total commercial loans	10	611	38,327	127	38,199	1
Real estate residential mortgage	9	27	\$ 1 2,187	56	2,117	14
Home equity:						
Key Community Bank	10	74	10,340	102	10,237	1
Other	1	10	334	12	322	
Total home equity loans	11	84	10,674	114	10,559	1
Consumer other Key Community Bank	1	28	1,449	3	1,446	
Credit cards	1	33	722	5	717	
Consumer other:						
Marine	10	19	1,028	52	976	
Other		3	70	1	69	
Total consumer other	10	22	1,098	53	1,045	
Total consumer loans	32	194	1 16,130	231	15,884	15
Total ALLL continuing operations	42	805	1 54,457	358	54,083	16
Discontinued operations	1	38	4,497 ^(a)	13	4,484 ^(a)	
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	\$ 43	\$ 843	\$ 1 \$ 58,954	\$ 371	\$ 58,567	\$ 16

(a) Amount includes \$2.1 billion of loans carried at fair value that are excluded from ALLL consideration.

Table of Contents

A breakdown of the individual and collective ALLL and the corresponding loan balances as of June 30, 2013, follows:

June 30, 2013 <i>in millions</i>	Allowance			Outstanding		
	Individual	Collective	Purchased	Individually	Collectively	Purchased
	Evaluated for Impairment	Evaluated for Impairment	Credit Impaired Loans	Evaluated for Impairment	Evaluated for Impairment	Credit Impaired
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 6	\$ 346	\$ 23,715	\$ 111	\$ 23,604	
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial mortgage	2	180	7,474	93	7,379	\$ 2
Construction		34	1,060	52	1,008	
Total commercial real estate loans	2	214	8,534	145	8,387	2
Commercial lease financing		61	4,774		4,774	
Total commercial loans	8	621	37,023	256	36,765	2
Real estate residential mortgage	5	28	2,176	35	2,126	15
Home equity:						
Key Community Bank	9	85	10,173	99	10,072	2
Other	1	15	375	13	362	
Total home equity loans	10	100	10,548	112	10,434	2
Consumer other Key Community Bank		33	1,424	3	1,421	
Credit cards		33	701	4	697	
Consumer other:						
Marine	10	25	1,160	53	1,107	
Other	1	2	69	1	68	
Total consumer other	11	27	1,229	54	1,175	
Total consumer loans	26	221	16,078	208	15,853	17
Total ALLL continuing operations	34	842	53,101	464	52,618	19
Discontinued operations	2	39	4,992 ^(a)	8	4,984	
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	\$ 36	\$ 881	\$ 58,093	\$ 472	\$ 57,602	\$ 19

(a) Amount includes \$2.5 billion of loans carried at fair value that are excluded from ALLL consideration.

The liability for credit losses inherent in lending-related unfunded commitments, such as letters of credit and unfunded loan commitments, is included in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet. We establish the amount of this reserve by considering both historical trends and current market conditions quarterly, or more often if deemed necessary. Our liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments is \$37 million at June 30, 2014. When combined with our ALLL, our total allowance for credit losses represented 1.53% of loans at June 30, 2014, compared to 1.72% at June 30, 2013.

Changes in the liability for credit losses on unfunded lending-related commitments are summarized as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 35	\$ 32	\$ 37	\$ 29
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	2	5		8
Balance at end of period	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 37

Table of Contents

5. Fair Value Measurements

Fair Value Determination

As defined in the applicable accounting guidance, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in our principal market. We have established and documented our process for determining the fair values of our assets and liabilities, where applicable. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, when available, for identical or similar assets or liabilities. In the absence of quoted market prices, we determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities using valuation models or third-party pricing services. Both of these approaches rely on market-based parameters, when available, such as interest rate yield curves, option volatilities, and credit spreads, or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on our judgment, assumptions, and estimates related to credit quality, liquidity, interest rates, and other relevant inputs.

Valuation adjustments, such as those pertaining to counterparty and our own credit quality and liquidity, may be necessary to ensure that assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value. Credit valuation adjustments are made when market pricing does not accurately reflect the counterparty's or our own credit quality. We make liquidity valuation adjustments to the fair value of certain assets to reflect the uncertainty in the pricing and trading of the instruments when we are unable to observe recent market transactions for identical or similar instruments. Liquidity valuation adjustments are based on the following factors:

the amount of time since the last relevant valuation;

whether there is an actual trade or relevant external quote available at the measurement date; and

volatility associated with the primary pricing components.

We ensure that our fair value measurements are accurate and appropriate by relying upon various controls, including:

an independent review and approval of valuation models and assumptions;

recurring detailed reviews of profit and loss; and

a validation of valuation model components against benchmark data and similar products, where possible. We recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy at the end of the reporting period. Quarterly, we review any changes to our valuation methodologies to ensure they are appropriate and justified, and refine our valuation methodologies if more market-based data becomes available. The Fair Value Committee, which is governed by ALCO, oversees the valuation process for all lines of business and support areas, as applicable. Various Working Groups that report to the Fair Value Committee analyze and approve the valuation methodologies used to fair value assets and liabilities managed within specific areas. The Working Groups are discussed in more detail in the qualitative disclosures within this footnote and in Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations). Formal documentation of the fair valuation methodologies is prepared by the lines of business and support areas as

appropriate. The documentation details the asset or liability class and related general ledger accounts, valuation techniques, fair value hierarchy level, market participants, accounting methods, valuation methodology, group responsible for valuations, and valuation inputs.

Additional information regarding our accounting policies for determining fair value is provided in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Fair Value Measurements beginning on page 119 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques

Loans. Most loans recorded as trading account assets are valued based on market spreads for similar assets since they are actively traded. Therefore, these loans are classified as Level 2 because the fair value recorded is based on observable market data for similar assets.

Table of Contents

Securities (trading and available for sale). We own several types of securities, requiring a range of valuation methods:

Securities are classified as Level 1 when quoted market prices are available in an active market for the identical securities. Level 1 instruments include exchange-traded equity securities.

Securities are classified as Level 2 if quoted prices for identical securities are not available, and fair value is determined using pricing models (either by a third-party pricing service or internally) or quoted prices of similar securities. These instruments include municipal bonds; bonds backed by the U.S. government; corporate bonds; certain mortgage-backed securities; securities issued by the U.S. Treasury; money markets; and certain agency and corporate CMOs. Inputs to the pricing models include: standard inputs, such as yields, benchmark securities, bids, and offers; actual trade data (i.e., spreads, credit ratings, and interest rates) for comparable assets; spread tables; matrices; high-grade scales; and option-adjusted spreads.

Securities are classified as Level 3 when there is limited activity in the market for a particular instrument. In such cases, we use internal models based on certain assumptions to determine fair value. Our Level 3 instruments consist of certain CMBS. Our Real Estate Capital line of business is responsible for the quarterly valuation process for these securities. The methodology incorporates a loan-by-loan credit review in combination with discounting the risk-adjusted bond cash flows. A detailed credit review of the underlying loans involves a screening process using a multitude of filters to identify the highest risk loans associated with these CMBS. Each of the highest risk loans identified is re-underwritten, and loan-specific defaults and recoveries are assigned. A matrix approach is used to assign an expected default and recovery percentage for the remaining loans. Bond classes are then run through a discounted cash flow analysis, taking into account the expected default and recovery percentages as well as discount rates developed by our Finance area. Inputs for the Level 3 internal models include expected cash flows from the underlying loans, which take into account expected default and recovery percentages, market research, and discount rates commensurate with current market conditions. Changes in the credit quality of the underlying loans or market discount rate would impact the value of the bonds. An increase in the underlying loan credit quality or decrease in the market discount rate would positively impact the bond value. A decrease in the underlying loan credit quality or increase in the market discount rate would negatively impact the bond value.

The fair values of our Level 2 securities available for sale are determined by a third-party pricing service. The valuations provided by the third-party pricing service are based on observable market inputs, which include benchmark yields, reported trades, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data obtained from market research publications. Inputs used by the third-party pricing service in valuing CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities also include new issue data, monthly payment information, whole loan collateral performance, and To Be Announced prices. In valuations of securities issued by state and political subdivisions, inputs used by the third-party pricing service also include material event notices.

On a monthly basis, we validate the pricing methodologies utilized by our third-party pricing service to ensure the fair value determination is consistent with the applicable accounting guidance and that our assets are properly classified in the fair value hierarchy. To perform this validation, we:

review documentation received from our third-party pricing service regarding the inputs used in their valuations and determine a level assessment for each category of securities;

substantiate actual inputs used for a sample of securities by comparing the actual inputs used by our third-party pricing service to comparable inputs for similar securities; and

substantiate the fair values determined for a sample of securities by comparing the fair values provided by our third-party pricing service to prices from other independent sources for the same and similar securities. We analyze variances and conduct additional research with our third-party pricing service and take appropriate steps based on our findings.

Private equity and mezzanine investments. Private equity and mezzanine investments consist of investments in debt and equity securities through our Real Estate Capital line of business. They include direct investments made in specific properties, as well as indirect investments made in funds that pool assets of many investors to invest in properties. There is no active market for these investments, so we employ other valuation methods. Our Real Estate Capital line of business is accounted for as an investment company in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, whereby all investments are recorded at fair value.

Table of Contents

Private equity and mezzanine investments are classified as Level 3 assets since our judgment significantly influences the determination of fair value. Our Fund Management, Asset Management, and Accounting groups are responsible for reviewing the valuation models and determining the fair value of these investments on a quarterly basis. Direct investments in properties are initially valued based upon the transaction price. This amount is then adjusted to fair value based on current market conditions using the discounted cash flow method based on the expected investment exit date. The fair values of the assets are reviewed and adjusted quarterly. Periodically, we obtain a third-party appraisal for the investments to validate the specific inputs for determining fair value.

Inputs used in calculating future cash flows include the cost of build-out, future selling prices, current market outlook, and operating performance of the investment. Investment income and expense assumptions are based on market inputs, such as rental/leasing rates and vacancy rates for the geographic- and property type-specific markets. For investments under construction, investment income and expense assumptions are determined using expected future build-out costs and anticipated future rental prices based on current market conditions, discount rates, holding period, the terminal cap rate, and sales commissions paid in the terminal cap year. For investments that are in lease-up or are fully leased, income and expense assumptions are based on the geographic market's current lease rates, underwritten expenses, market lease terms, and historical vacancy rates. Asset Management validates these inputs on a quarterly basis through the use of industry publications, third-party broker opinions, and comparable property sales, where applicable. Changes in the significant inputs (rental/leasing rates, vacancy rates, valuation capitalization rate, discount rate, and terminal cap rate) would significantly affect the fair value measurement. Increases in rental/leasing rates would increase fair value while increases in the vacancy rates, the valuation capitalization rate, the discount rate, and the terminal cap rate would decrease fair value.

Consistent with accounting guidance, indirect investments are valued using a methodology that allows the use of statements from the investment manager to calculate net asset value per share. A primary input used in estimating fair value is the most recent value of the capital accounts as reported by the general partners of the funds in which we invest. The calculation to determine the investment's fair value is based on our percentage ownership in the fund multiplied by the net asset value of the fund, as provided by the fund manager. Under the requirements of the Volcker Rule, we will be required to dispose of some or all of our indirect investments. As of June 30, 2014, management has not committed to a plan to sell these investments. Therefore, these investments continue to be valued using the net asset value per share methodology. For more information about the Volcker Rule, see the discussion under the heading "Other regulatory developments under the Dodd-Frank Act - Volcker Rule" in the section entitled "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Investments in real estate private equity funds are included within private equity and mezzanine investments. The main purpose of these funds is to acquire a portfolio of real estate investments that provides attractive risk-adjusted returns and current income for investors. Certain of these investments do not have readily determinable fair values and represent our ownership interest in an entity that follows measurement principles under investment company accounting.

The following table presents the fair value of our indirect investments and related unfunded commitments at June 30, 2014. We did not provide any financial support to investees related to our direct and indirect investments for the six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

	June 30, 2014	
	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments
<i>in millions</i>		

INVESTMENT TYPE		
Indirect investments		
Passive funds ^(a)	\$ 9	\$ 1
Co-managed funds ^(b)	7	
Total	\$ 16	\$ 1

- (a) We invest in passive funds, which are multi-investor private equity funds. These investments can never be redeemed. Instead, distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying investments in the funds. Some funds have no restrictions on sale, while others require investors to remain in the fund until maturity. The funds will be liquidated over a period of one to four years. The purpose of KREEC's funding is to allow funds to make additional investments and keep a certain market value threshold in the funds. KREEC is obligated to provide financial support, as all investors are required, to fund based on their ownership percentage, as noted in the Limited Partnership Agreements.
- (b) We are a manager or co-manager of these funds. These investments can never be redeemed. Instead, distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying investments in the funds. In addition, we receive management fees. We can sell or transfer our interest in any of these funds with the written consent of a majority of the fund's investors. In one instance, the other co-manager of the fund must consent to the sale or transfer of our interest in the fund. The funds will mature over a period of one to three years. The purpose of KREEC's funding is to allow funds to make additional investments and keep a certain market value threshold in the funds. KREEC is obligated to provide financial support, as all investors are required, to fund based on their ownership percentage, as noted in the Limited Partnership Agreements.

Table of Contents

Principal investments. Principal investments consist of investments in equity and debt instruments made by our principal investing entities. They include direct investments (investments made in a particular company) and indirect investments (investments made through funds that include other investors). Our principal investing entities are accounted for as investment companies in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, whereby each investment is adjusted to fair value with any net realized or unrealized gain/loss recorded in the current period's earnings. This process is a coordinated and documented effort by the Principal Investing Entities Deal Team (individuals from one of the independent investment managers who oversee these instruments), finance and accounting staff, and the Investment Committee (individual employees and a former employee of Key and one of the independent investment managers). This process involves an in-depth review of the condition of each investment depending on the type of investment.

Our direct investments include investments in debt and equity instruments of both private and public companies. When quoted prices are available in an active market for the identical direct investment, we use the quoted prices in the valuation process, and the related investments are classified as Level 1 assets. However, in most cases, quoted market prices are not available for our direct investments, and we must perform valuations using other methods. These direct investment valuations are an in-depth analysis of the condition of each investment and are based on the unique facts and circumstances related to each individual investment. There is a certain amount of subjectivity surrounding the valuation of these investments due to the combination of quantitative and qualitative factors that are used in the valuation models. Therefore, these direct investments are classified as Level 3 assets. The specific inputs used in the valuations of each type of direct investment are described below.

Interest-bearing securities (i.e., loans) are valued on a quarterly basis. Valuation adjustments are determined by the Principal Investing Entities Deal Team and are subject to approval by the Investment Committee. Valuations of debt instruments are based on the Principal Investing Entities Deal Team's knowledge of the current financial status of the subject company, which is regularly monitored throughout the term of the investment. Significant unobservable inputs used in the valuations of these investments include the company's payment history, adequacy of cash flows from operations, and current operating results, including market multiples and historical and forecast earnings before interest, taxation, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA). Inputs can also include the seniority of the debt, the nature of any pledged collateral, the extent to which the security interest is perfected, and the net liquidation value of collateral.

Valuations of equity instruments of private companies, which are prepared on a quarterly basis, are based on current market conditions and the current financial status of each company. A valuation analysis is performed to value each investment. The valuation analysis is reviewed by the Principal Investing Entities Deal Team Member, and reviewed and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer of one of the independent investment managers. Significant unobservable inputs used in these valuations include adequacy of the company's cash flows from operations, any significant change in the company's performance since the prior valuation, and any significant equity issuances by the company. Equity instruments of public companies are valued using quoted prices in an active market for the identical security. If the instrument is restricted, the fair value is determined considering the number of shares traded daily, the number of the company's total restricted shares, and price volatility.

Our indirect investments are classified as Level 3 assets since our significant inputs are not observable in the marketplace. Indirect investments include primary and secondary investments in private equity funds engaged mainly in venture- and growth-oriented investing. These investments do not have readily determinable fair values. Indirect investments are valued using a methodology that is consistent with accounting guidance that allows us to estimate fair value based upon net asset value per share (or its equivalent, such as member units or an ownership interest in partners capital to which a proportionate share of net assets is attributed). The significant unobservable input used in estimating fair value is primarily the most recent value of the capital accounts as reported by the general partners of the funds in

which we invest. Under the requirements of the Volcker Rule, we will be required to dispose of some or all of our indirect investments. As of June 30, 2014, management has not committed to a plan to sell these investments. Therefore, these investments continue to be valued using the net asset value per share methodology.

For indirect investments, management may make adjustments it deems appropriate to the net asset value if it is determined that the net asset value does not properly reflect fair value. In determining the need for an adjustment to net asset value, management performs an analysis of the private equity funds based on the independent fund manager's valuations as well as management's own judgment. Significant unobservable inputs used in these analyses include current fund financial information provided by the fund manager, an estimate of future proceeds expected to be received on the investment, and market multiples. Management also considers whether the independent fund manager adequately marks down an impaired investment, maintains financial statements in accordance with GAAP, or follows a practice of holding all investments at cost.

system of record. Applicable customer rating information is obtained from the particular loan system and represents an unobservable input to this valuation process. Using these various inputs, a valuation of these Level 3 derivatives is performed using a model that was acquired from a third party. In summary, the fair value represents an estimate of the amount that the risk participation counterparty would need to pay/receive as of the measurement date based on the probability of customer default on the swap transaction and the fair value of the underlying customer swap. Therefore, a higher loss probability and a lower credit rating would negatively affect the fair value of the risk participations and a lower loss probability and higher credit rating would positively affect the fair value of the risk participations.

Market convention implies a credit rating of AA equivalent in the pricing of derivative contracts, which assumes all counterparties have the same creditworthiness. To reflect the actual exposure on our derivative contracts related to both counterparty and our own creditworthiness, we record a fair value adjustment in the form of a default reserve. The credit component is determined by individual counterparty based on the probability of default and considers master netting and collateral agreements. The default reserve is classified as Level 3. Our Market Risk Management group is responsible for the valuation policies and procedure related to this default reserve. A weekly reconciliation process is performed to ensure that all applicable derivative positions are covered in the calculation, which includes transmitting customer exposures and reserve reports to trading management, derivative traders and marketers, derivatives middle office, and corporate accounting personnel. On a quarterly basis, Market Risk Management prepares the reserve calculation, which includes a detailed reserve comparison with the previous quarter, an analysis for change in reserve, and a reserve forecast to ensure that the default reserve recorded at period end is sufficient.

Table of Contents

Other assets and liabilities. The value of our short positions is driven by the valuation of the underlying securities. If quoted prices for identical securities are not available, fair value is determined by using pricing models or quoted prices of similar securities, resulting in a Level 2 classification. For the interest rate-driven products, such as government bonds, U.S. Treasury bonds and other products backed by the U.S. government, inputs include spreads, credit ratings, and interest rates. For the credit-driven products, such as corporate bonds and mortgage-backed securities, inputs include actual trade data for comparable assets and bids and offers.

Netting adjustments ^(a)				(677)
Total derivative assets	51	1,150	25	549
Accrued income and other assets		4		4
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value	\$ 78	\$ 14,241	\$ 586	\$ 14,228
LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings:				
Short positions	\$ 1	\$ 520		\$ 521
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate		653		653
Foreign exchange	52	4		56
Commodity		177		177
Credit		7	\$ 1	8
Derivative liabilities	52	841	1	894
Netting adjustments ^(a)				(443)
Total derivative liabilities	52	841	1	451
Accrued expense and other liabilities		4		4
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	\$ 53	\$ 1,365	\$ 1	\$ 976

- (a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS

Bank notes and other short-term borrowings:

Short positions	\$ 2	\$ 341		\$ 343
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate		739		739
Foreign exchange	49	8		57
Commodity		106		106
Credit		11	\$ 1	12
Derivative liabilities	49	864	1	914
Netting adjustments ^(a)				(500)
Total derivative liabilities	49	864	1	414
Accrued expense and other liabilities		1		1
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	\$ 51	\$ 1,206	\$ 1	\$ 758

- (a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS

Bank notes and other short-term borrowings:

Short positions	\$	4	\$	294		\$	298
-----------------	----	---	----	-----	--	----	-----

Derivative liabilities:

Interest rate				871			871
---------------	--	--	--	-----	--	--	-----

Foreign exchange		78		15			93
------------------	--	----	--	----	--	--	----

Commodity				113	\$	1	114
-----------	--	--	--	-----	----	---	-----

Credit				11			11
--------	--	--	--	----	--	--	----

Derivative liabilities		78		1,010		1	1,089
------------------------	--	----	--	-------	--	---	-------

Netting adjustments ^(a)							(633)
------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------

Total derivative liabilities		78		1,010		1	456
------------------------------	--	----	--	-------	--	---	-----

Accrued expense and other liabilities				2			2
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	---

Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	\$	82	\$	1,306	\$	1	\$	756
--	----	----	----	-------	----	---	----	-----

- (a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Direct	\$ 141	\$ 7 ^(c)	\$ 1	\$ (3)		\$ 146	\$ 14 ^(c)
Indirect	403	20 ^(c)	4	(28)		399	3 ^(c)
Equity and mezzanine investments							
Direct							
Indirect	20			\$ (4)			16
Derivative instruments ^(a)							
Interest rate	22	1 ^(d)	1	(1)	\$ 2 ^(f)	\$ (5) ^(f)	20
Commodity					1 ^(f)		1
Credit	3	(3) ^(d)			3		3

Credit	4	(2) ^(d)	2	4
--------	---	--------------------	---	---

- (a) Amounts represent Level 3 derivative assets less Level 3 derivative liabilities.
- (b) Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading account assets are reported in other income on the income statement.
- (c) Realized and unrealized gains and losses on principal investments are reported in net gains (losses) from principal investing on the income statement. Realized and unrealized losses on private equity and mezzanine investments are reported in other income on the income statement.
- (d) Realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments are reported in corporate services income and other income on the income statement.
- (e) Our policy is to recognize transfers into and transfers out of Level 3 as of the end of the reporting period.
- (f) Certain derivatives previously classified as Level 2 were transferred to Level 3 because Level 3 unobservable inputs became significant. Certain derivatives previously classified as Level 3 were transferred to Level 2 because Level 3 unobservable inputs became less significant.
- (g) There were no issuances for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

the value of the underlying collateral are classified as Level 3 assets. Impaired loans with a specifically allocated allowance based on an observable market price that reflects recent sale transactions for similar loans and collateral are classified as Level 2 assets.

The evaluations for impairment are prepared by the responsible relationship managers in our Asset Recovery Group and are reviewed and approved by the Asset Recovery Group Executive. The Asset Recovery Group is part of the Risk Management Group and reports to our Chief Credit Officer. These evaluations are performed in conjunction with the quarterly ALLL process.

Loans are evaluated for impairment on a quarterly basis. Loans included in the previous quarter's review are re-evaluated and if their values have changed materially, the underlying information (loan balance and in most cases, collateral value) is compared. Material differences are evaluated for reasonableness, and the relationship managers and their senior managers consider these differences and determine if any adjustment is necessary. The inputs are developed and substantiated on a quarterly basis based on current borrower developments, market conditions, and collateral values.

Table of Contents

The following two internal methods are used to value impaired loans:

Cash flow analysis considers internally developed inputs, such as discount rates, default rates, costs of foreclosure, and changes in collateral values.

The fair value of the collateral, which may take the form of real estate or personal property, is based on internal estimates, field observations, and assessments provided by third-party appraisers. We perform or reaffirm appraisals of collateral-dependent impaired loans at least annually. Appraisals may occur more frequently if the most recent appraisal does not accurately reflect the current market, the debtor is seriously delinquent or chronically past due, or there has been a material deterioration in the performance of the project or condition of the property. Adjustments to outdated appraisals that result in an appraisal value less than the carrying amount of a collateral-dependent impaired loan are reflected in the ALLL.

Impairment valuations are back-tested each quarter, based on a look-back of actual incurred losses on closed deals previously evaluated for impairment. The overall percent variance of actual net loan charge-offs on closed deals compared to the specific allocations on such deals is considered in determining each quarter's specific allocations.

Loans held for sale. Through a quarterly analysis of our loan portfolios held for sale, which include both performing and nonperforming loans, we determine any adjustments necessary to record the portfolios at the lower of cost or fair value in accordance with GAAP. Our analysis concluded that there were no loans held for sale adjusted to fair value at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, or June 30, 2013.

Market inputs, including updated collateral values, and reviews of each borrower's financial condition influenced the inputs used in our internal models and other valuation methodologies. The valuations are prepared by the responsible relationship managers or analysts in our Asset Recovery Group and are reviewed and approved by the Asset Recovery Group Executive. Actual gains or losses realized on the sale of various loans held for sale provide a back-testing mechanism for determining whether our valuations of these loans held for sale that are adjusted to fair value are appropriate.

Valuations of performing commercial mortgage and construction loans held for sale are conducted using internal models that rely on market data from sales or nonbinding bids on similar assets, including credit spreads, treasury rates, interest rate curves, and risk profiles. These internal models also rely on our own assumptions about the exit market for the loans and details about individual loans within the respective portfolios. Therefore, we have classified these loans as Level 3 assets. The inputs related to our assumptions and other internal loan data include changes in real estate values, costs of foreclosure, prepayment rates, default rates, and discount rates.

Valuations of nonperforming commercial mortgage and construction loans held for sale are based on current agreements to sell the loans or approved discounted payoffs. If a negotiated value is not available, we use third-party appraisals, adjusted for current market conditions. Since valuations are based on unobservable data, these loans have been classified as Level 3 assets.

Direct financing leases and operating lease assets held for sale. Our KEF Accounting and Capital Markets groups are responsible for the valuation policies and procedures related to these assets. The Managing Director of the KEF Capital Markets group reports to the President of the KEF line of business. A weekly report is distributed to both groups that lists all equipment finance deals booked in the warehouse portfolio. On a quarterly basis, the KEF Accounting group prepares a detailed held-for-sale roll-forward schedule that is reconciled to the general ledger and

the above mentioned weekly report. KEF management uses the held-for-sale roll-forward schedule to determine if an impairment adjustment is necessary in accordance with lower of cost or fair value guidelines.

Valuations of direct financing leases and operating lease assets held for sale are performed using an internal model that relies on market data, such as swap rates and bond ratings, as well as our own assumptions about the exit market for the leases and details about the individual leases in the portfolio. The inputs based on our assumptions include changes in the value of leased items and internal credit ratings. These leases have been classified as Level 3 assets. KEF has master sale and assignment agreements with numerous institutional investors. Historically, multiple quotes are obtained, with the most reasonable formal quotes retained. These nonbinding quotes generally lead to a sale to one of the parties who provided the quote. Leases for which we receive a current nonbinding bid, and the sale is considered probable, may be classified as Level 2. The validity of these quotes is supported by historical and continued dealings with these institutions that have fulfilled the nonbinding quote in the past. In a distressed market where market data is not available, an estimate of the fair value of the leased asset may be used to value the lease, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In an inactive market, the market value of the assets held for sale is determined as the present value of the future cash flows discounted at the current buy rate. KEF Accounting calculates an estimated fair value buy rate based on the credit premium inherent in the relevant bond index and the appropriate swap rate on the measurement date. The amount of the adjustment is calculated as book value minus the present value of future cash flows discounted at the calculated buy rate.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and other intangible assets. On a quarterly basis, we review impairment indicators to determine whether we need to evaluate the carrying amount of goodwill and other intangible assets assigned to Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. We also perform an annual impairment test for goodwill. Accounting guidance permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether additional goodwill impairment testing is required. However, we did not choose to utilize a qualitative assessment in our annual goodwill impairment testing performed during the fourth quarter of 2013. Fair value of our reporting units is determined using both an income approach (discounted cash flow method) and a market approach (using publicly traded company and recent transactions data), which are weighted equally.

Inputs used include market-available data, such as industry, historical, and expected growth rates, and peer valuations, as well as internally driven inputs, such as forecasted earnings and market participant insights. Since this valuation relies on a significant number of unobservable inputs, we have classified goodwill as Level 3. We use a third-party valuation services provider to perform the annual, and if necessary, any interim, Step 1 valuation process, and to perform a Step 2 analysis, if needed, on our reporting units. Annual and any interim valuations prepared by the third-party valuation services provider are reviewed by the appropriate individuals within Key to ensure that the assumptions used in preparing the analysis are appropriate and properly supported. For additional information on the results of recent goodwill impairment testing, see Note 10 (*Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*) beginning on page 171 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

The fair value of other intangible assets is calculated using a cash flow approach. While the calculation to test for recoverability uses a number of assumptions that are based on current market conditions, the calculation is based primarily on unobservable assumptions. Accordingly, these assets are classified as Level 3. Our lines of business, with oversight from our Accounting group, are responsible for routinely, at least quarterly, assessing whether impairment indicators are present. All indicators that signal impairment may exist are appropriately considered in this analysis. An impairment loss is only recognized for a held-and-used long-lived asset if the sum of its estimated future undiscounted cash flows used to test for recoverability is less than its carrying value.

Our primary assumptions include attrition rates, alternative costs of funds, and rates paid on deposits. For additional information on the results of other intangible assets impairment testing, see Note 10 (*Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*) beginning on page 171 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Other assets. OREO and other repossessed properties are valued based on inputs such as appraisals and third-party price opinions, less estimated selling costs. Generally, we classify these assets as Level 3, but OREO and other repossessed properties for which we receive binding purchase agreements are classified as Level 2. Returned lease inventory is valued based on market data for similar assets and is classified as Level 2. Assets that are acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosures are recorded initially as held for sale at fair value less estimated selling costs at the date of foreclosure. After foreclosure, valuations are updated periodically, and current market conditions may require the assets to be marked down further to a new cost basis.

Commercial Real Estate Valuation Process: When a loan is reclassified from loan status to OREO because we took possession of the collateral, the Asset Recovery Group Loan Officer, in consultation with our OREO group, obtains a broker price opinion or a third-party appraisal, which is used to establish the fair value of the underlying collateral. The determined fair value of the underlying collateral less estimated selling costs becomes the carrying value of the OREO asset. In addition to valuations from independent third-party sources, our OREO group also writes down the carrying balance of OREO assets once a bona fide offer is contractually accepted, where the accepted price is lower than the current balance of the

particular OREO asset. The fair value of OREO property is re-evaluated every 90 days and the OREO asset is adjusted as necessary.

Consumer Real Estate Valuation Process: The Asset Management team within our Risk Operations group is responsible for valuation policies and procedures in this area. The current vendor partner provides monthly reporting of all broker price opinion evaluations, appraisals, and the monthly market plans. Market plans are reviewed monthly, and valuations are reviewed and tested monthly to ensure proper pricing has been established and guidelines are being met. Risk Operations Compliance validates and provides periodic testing of the valuation process. The Asset Management team reviews changes in fair value measurements. Third-party broker price opinions are reviewed every 180 days, and the fair value is written down based on changes to the valuation. External factors are documented and monitored as appropriate.

Mortgage servicing assets are valued based on inputs such as prepayment speeds, earn rates, credit default rates, discount rates, and servicing advances. We classify these assets as Level 3. Additional information regarding the valuation of mortgage servicing assets is provided in Note 8 (Mortgage Servicing Assets).

June 30, 2013	Fair Value of Level 3 Assets	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
<u>Recurring</u>				
Impaired loans	16	Fair value of underlying collateral	Discount	10.00 - 100.00% (36.00%)
Goodwill	979	Discounted cash flow and market data	Earnings multiple of peers	10.10 - 14.40 (11.59)
			Equity multiple of peers	1.17 - 1.29 (1.24)
			Control premium	N/A (35.00%)
			Weighted-average cost of capital	N/A (13.00%)
Other investments principal investments direct: \$ 186		Individual analysis of the condition of each investment		
Debt instruments			EBITDA multiple	5.80 - 6.00 (6.00)
Equity instruments of private companies			EBITDA multiple (where applicable)	4.50 - 6.00 (5.80)
			Revenue multiple (where applicable)	1.00 - 4.80 (4.30)
<u>Nonrecurring</u>				
Impaired loans	22	Fair value of underlying collateral	Discount	0.00 - 100.00% (34.00%)
Goodwill	979	Discounted cash flow and market data	Earnings multiple of peers	9.70 - 14.20 (11.25)
			Equity multiple of peers	.95 - 1.17 (1.09)
			Control premium	N/A (30.00%)
			Weighted-average cost of capital	N/A (13.00%)

Table of Contents**Fair Value Disclosures of Financial Instruments**

The levels in the fair value hierarchy ascribed to our financial instruments and the related carrying amounts at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, are shown in the following table.

<i>in millions</i>	Carrying Amount	June 30, 2014 Fair Value				Netting Adjustment	Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3			
ASSETS							
Cash and short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 3,780	\$ 3,780					\$ 3,780
Trading account assets ^(b)	890	5	\$ 885				890
Securities available for sale ^(b)	12,224	22	12,202				12,224
Held-to-maturity securities ^(c)	5,233		5,154				5,154
Other investments ^(b)	899		338	\$ 561			899
Loans, net of allowance ^(d)	54,786			53,702			53,702
Loans held for sale ^(b)	435			435			435
Mortgage servicing assets ^(e)	323			402			402
Derivative assets ^(b)	549	51	1,150	25	\$ (677) ^(f)		549
LIABILITIES							
Deposits with no stated maturity ^(a)	\$ 60,868		\$ 60,868				\$ 60,868
Time deposits ^(e)	6,931	\$ 682	6,322				7,004
Short-term borrowings ^(a)	1,734	1	1,733				1,734
Long-term debt ^(e)	8,213	7,687	962				8,649
Derivative liabilities ^(b)	451	52	841	\$ 1	\$ (443) ^(f)		451

<i>in millions</i>	Carrying Amount	December 31, 2013 Fair Value				Netting Adjustment	Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3			
ASSETS							
Cash and short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 6,207	\$ 6,207					\$ 6,207
Trading account assets ^(b)	738	4	\$ 734				738
Securities available for sale ^(b)	12,346	20	12,326				12,346
Held-to-maturity securities ^(c)	4,756		4,617				4,617
Other investments ^(b)	969		392	\$ 577			969
Loans, net of allowance ^(d)	53,609			52,102			52,102
Loans held for sale ^(b)	611			611			611
Mortgage servicing assets ^(e)	332			386			386
Derivative assets ^(b)	407	56	1,134	29	\$ (812) ^(f)		407
LIABILITIES							
Deposits with no stated maturity ^(a)	\$ 62,425		\$ 62,425				\$ 62,425
Time deposits ^(e)	6,837	\$ 558	6,368				6,926
Short-term borrowings ^(a)	1,877	2	1,875				1,877
Long-term debt ^(e)	7,650	7,611	397				8,008

Derivative liabilities ^(b)	414	49	864	\$	1	\$	(500) ^(f)	414
---------------------------------------	-----	----	-----	----	---	----	----------------------	-----

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2013						
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value				Netting Adjustment	Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3			
ASSETS							
Cash and short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 4,278	\$ 3,944	\$ 334			\$ 4,278	
Trading account assets ^(b)	592	3	589			592	
Securities available for sale ^(b)	13,253	22	13,231			13,253	
Held-to-maturity securities ^(c)	4,750		4,716			4,716	
Other investments ^(b)	1,037		393	\$ 644		1,037	
Loans, net of allowance ^(d)	52,405			51,019		51,019	
Loans held for sale ^(b)	402			402		402	
Mortgage servicing assets ^(e)	330			387		387	
Derivative assets ^(b)	461	85	1,337	31	\$ (992) ^(f)	461	
LIABILITIES							
Deposits with no stated maturity ^(a)	\$ 60,170		\$ 60,170			\$ 60,170	
Time deposits ^(e)	7,551	\$ 545	7,127			7,672	
Short-term borrowings ^(a)	1,945	4	1,941			1,945	
Long-term debt ^(e)	6,666	6,247	784			7,031	
Derivative liabilities ^(b)	456	78	1,010	\$ 1	\$ (633) ^(f)	456	

Table of Contents
Valuation Methods and Assumptions

- (a) Fair value equals or approximates carrying amount. The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity does not take into consideration the value ascribed to core deposit intangibles.
- (b) Information pertaining to our methodology for measuring the fair values of these assets and liabilities is included in the sections entitled *Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques* and *Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis* in this note.
- (c) Fair values of held-to-maturity securities are determined by using models that are based on security-specific details, as well as relevant industry and economic factors. The most significant of these inputs are quoted market prices, interest rate spreads on relevant benchmark securities, and certain prepayment assumptions. We review the valuations derived from the models to ensure they are reasonable and consistent with the values placed on similar securities traded in the secondary markets.
- (d) The fair value of loans is based on the present value of the expected cash flows. The projected cash flows are based on the contractual terms of the loans, adjusted for prepayments and use of a discount rate based on the relative risk of the cash flows, taking into account the loan type, maturity of the loan, liquidity risk, servicing costs, and a required return on debt and capital. In addition, an incremental liquidity discount is applied to certain loans, using historical sales of loans during periods of similar economic conditions as a benchmark. The fair value of loans includes lease financing receivables at their aggregate carrying amount, which is equivalent to their fair value.
- (e) Fair values of mortgage servicing assets, time deposits, and long-term debt are based on discounted cash flows utilizing relevant market inputs.
- (f) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

We use valuation methods based on exit market prices in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. We determine fair value based on assumptions pertaining to the factors that a market participant would consider in valuing the asset. A substantial portion of our fair value adjustments are related to liquidity. During 2013 and the first half of 2014, the fair values of our loan portfolios have generally remained stable, primarily due to increasing liquidity in the loan markets. If we were to use different assumptions, the fair values shown in the preceding table could change. Also, because the applicable accounting guidance for financial instruments excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements, the fair value amounts shown in the table above do not, by themselves, represent the underlying value of our company as a whole.

Education lending business. The discontinued education lending business consists of assets and liabilities (recorded at fair value) in the securitization trusts, as well as loans in portfolio (recorded at fair value), and loans in portfolio (recorded at carrying value with appropriate valuation reserves) that are outside the trusts. All of these loans were excluded from the table above as follows:

Loans at carrying value, net of allowance, of \$2.2 billion (\$1.9 billion at fair value) at June 30, 2014, \$2.4 billion (\$2.0 billion at fair value) at December 31, 2013, and \$2.5 billion (\$2.1 billion at fair value) at June 30, 2013;

Portfolio loans at fair value of \$209 million at June 30, 2014, \$147 million at December 31, 2013, and \$151 million at June 30, 2013; and

Loans in the trusts at fair value of \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2014, \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$2.3 billion at June 30, 2013.

Securities issued by the education lending securitization trusts, which are the primary liabilities of the trusts, totaling \$1.7 billion in fair value at June 30, 2014, \$1.8 billion in fair value at December 31, 2013, and \$2.1 billion in fair value at June 30, 2013, are also excluded from the above table.

These loans and securities are classified as Level 3 because we rely on unobservable inputs when determining fair value since observable market data is not available.

Residential real estate mortgage loans. Residential real estate mortgage loans with carrying amounts of \$2.2 billion at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, are included in Loans, net of allowance in the previous table.

Short-term financial instruments. For financial instruments with a remaining average life to maturity of less than six months, carrying amounts were used as an approximation of fair values.

Table of Contents**6. Securities**

Securities available for sale. These are securities that we intend to hold for an indefinite period of time but that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment risk, liquidity needs or other factors. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses (net of income taxes) deemed temporary are recorded in equity as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet. Unrealized losses on equity securities deemed to be other-than-temporary, and realized gains and losses resulting from sales of securities using the specific identification method, are included in other income on the income statement. Unrealized losses on debt securities deemed to be other-than-temporary are included in other income on the income statement or in AOCI in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the recognition of OTTI of debt securities.

Other securities held in the available-for-sale portfolio are primarily marketable equity securities that are traded on a public exchange such as the NYSE or NASDAQ.

Held-to-maturity securities. These are debt securities that we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity. Debt securities are carried at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method. This method produces a constant rate of return on the adjusted carrying amount.

Other securities held in the held-to-maturity portfolio consist of foreign bonds and capital securities.

Unrealized losses on equity securities deemed to be other-than-temporary, and realized gains and losses resulting from sales of securities using the specific identification method, are included in other income on the income statement. Unrealized losses on debt securities deemed to be other-than-temporary are included in other income on the income statement or in AOCI in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the recognition of OTTI of debt securities.

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and approximate fair value of our securities available for sale and held-to-maturity securities are presented in the following tables. Gross unrealized gains and losses represent the difference between the amortized cost and the fair value of securities on the balance sheet as of the dates indicated. Accordingly, the amount of these gains and losses may change in the future as market conditions change.

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Total securities available for sale	\$ 13,120	\$ 249	\$ 116	\$ 13,253
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 4,732	\$ 16	\$ 50	\$ 4,698
Other securities	18			18
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 4,750	\$ 16	\$ 50	\$ 4,716

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.

	Duration of Unrealized Loss Position				Total	
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer			
<i>in millions</i>	Gross Unrealized Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses (a)	Gross Unrealized Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2014						
Securities available for sale:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 268	\$ 1	\$ 4,653	\$ 180	\$ 4,921	\$ 181
Other mortgage-backed securities			85	1	85	1
Other securities	1		2		3	
Held-to-maturity:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	811	9	2351	82	3,162	91
Other securities						
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 1,080	\$ 10	\$ 7,091	\$ 263	\$ 8,171	\$ 273
December 31, 2013						
Securities available for sale:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 5,122	\$ 261	\$ 157	\$ 11	\$ 5,279	\$ 272
Other mortgage-backed securities	856	11			856	11
Other securities	2				2	
Held-to-maturity:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	3,969	145			3,969	145
Other securities	2				2	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 9,951	\$ 417	\$ 157	\$ 11	\$ 10,108	\$ 428
June 30, 2013						
Securities available for sale:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 5,412	\$ 113			\$ 5,412	\$ 113
Other mortgage-backed securities	152	3			152	3
Other securities	3				3	
Held-to-maturity:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	2,998	50			2,998	50
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 8,565	\$ 166			\$ 8,565	\$ 166

(a) There were less than \$1 million of gross unrealized losses for the period ended June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2014, we had \$181 million of gross unrealized losses related to 56 fixed-rate CMOs that we invested in as part of our overall A/LM strategy. These securities had a weighted-average maturity of 5 years at June 30, 2014. Since these securities have a fixed interest rate, their fair value is sensitive to movements in market interest rates. We also had \$1 million of gross unrealized losses related to 20 other mortgage-backed securities positions, which had a weighted-average maturity of 5.1 years at June 30, 2014. These unrealized losses are considered temporary since we expect to collect all contractually due amounts from these securities. Accordingly, these investments were reduced to their fair value through OCI, not earnings.

We regularly assess our securities portfolio for OTTI. The assessments are based on the nature of the securities, the underlying collateral, the financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the loss, our intent related to the individual securities, and the likelihood that we will have to sell securities prior to expected recovery.

The debt securities identified to have OTTI are written down to their current fair value. For those debt securities that we intend to sell, or more-likely-than-not will be required to sell, prior to the expected recovery of the amortized cost, the entire impairment (i.e., the difference between amortized cost and the fair value) is recognized in earnings. For those debt securities that we do not intend to sell, or more-likely-than-not will not be required to sell, prior to expected recovery, the credit portion of OTTI is recognized in earnings, while the remaining OTTI is recognized in equity as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet. As shown in the following table, we did not have any impairment losses recognized in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents**Three months ended June 30, 2014***in millions***Balance at March 31, 2014** \$ 4

Impairment recognized in earnings

Balance at June 30, 2014 \$ 4

Realized gains and losses related to securities available for sale were as follows:

Six months ended June 30, 2014*in millions*

Realized gains

Realized losses

Net securities gains (losses)

At June 30, 2014, securities available for sale and held-to-maturity securities totaling \$9.8 billion were pledged to secure securities sold under repurchase agreements, to secure public and trust deposits, to facilitate access to secured funding, and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

The following table shows securities by remaining maturity. CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities (both of which are included in the securities available-for-sale portfolio) as well as the CMOs in the held-to-maturity portfolio are presented based on their expected average lives. The remaining securities, in both the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity portfolios, are presented based on their remaining contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from expected or contractual maturities since borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

June 30, 2014	Securities Available for Sale		Held-to-Maturity Securities	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<i>in millions</i>				
Due in one year or less	\$ 327	\$ 333	\$ 9	\$ 9
Due after one through five years	11,471	11,454	5,137	5,058
Due after five through ten years	431	432	87	87
Due after ten years	4	5		
Total	\$ 12,233	\$ 12,224	\$ 5,233	\$ 5,154

Table of Contents

7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

We are a party to various derivative instruments, mainly through our subsidiary, KeyBank. Derivative instruments are contracts between two or more parties that have a notional amount and an underlying variable, require a small or no net investment, and allow for the net settlement of positions. A derivative's notional amount serves as the basis for the payment provision of the contract, and takes the form of units, such as shares or dollars. A derivative's underlying variable is a specified interest rate, security price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index, or other variable. The interaction between the notional amount and the underlying variable determines the number of units to be exchanged between the parties and influences the fair value of the derivative contract.

The primary derivatives that we use are interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures; foreign exchange contracts; commodity derivatives; and credit derivatives. Generally, these instruments help us manage exposure to interest rate risk, mitigate the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, hedge against changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and meet client financing and hedging needs. As further discussed in this note:

interest rate risk represents the possibility that the EVE or net interest income will be adversely affected by fluctuations in interest rates;

credit risk is the risk of loss arising from an obligor's inability or failure to meet contractual payment or performance terms; and

foreign exchange risk is the risk that an exchange rate will adversely affect the fair value of a financial instrument.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. These agreements allow us to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset net derivative positions with related cash collateral, where applicable. As a result, we could have derivative contracts with negative fair values included in derivative assets on the balance sheet and contracts with positive fair values included in derivative liabilities.

At June 30, 2014, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements, we had \$91 million of derivative assets and a positive \$12 million of derivative liabilities that relate to contracts entered into for hedging purposes. Our hedging derivative liabilities are in an asset position largely because we have contracts with positive fair values as a result of master netting agreements. As of the same date, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements and a reserve for potential future losses, we had derivative assets of \$458 million and derivative liabilities of \$463 million that were not designated as hedging instruments.

The Dodd-Frank Act, which is currently being implemented, may limit the types of derivative activities that KeyBank and other insured depository institutions may conduct. As a result, we may not continue the use of some of the types of derivatives noted above in the future. For further information, please see the section entitled "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1. Business of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Additional information regarding our accounting policies for derivatives is provided in Note 1 ("Summary of Significant Accounting Policies") under the heading "Derivatives" beginning on page 122 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Derivatives Designated in Hedge Relationships

Net interest income and the EVE change in response to changes in the mix of assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet instruments; associated interest rates tied to each instrument; differences in the repricing and maturity characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; and changes in interest rates. We utilize derivatives that have been designated as part of a hedge relationship in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance to minimize the exposure and volatility of net interest income and EVE to interest rate fluctuations. The primary derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk are interest rate swaps, which convert the contractual interest rate index of agreed-upon amounts of assets and liabilities (i.e., notional amounts) to another interest rate index.

We designate certain receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swaps as fair value hedges. These contracts convert certain fixed-rate long-term debt into variable-rate obligations, thereby modifying our exposure to changes in interest rates. As a result, we receive fixed-rate interest payments in exchange for making variable-rate payments over the lives of the contracts without exchanging the notional amounts.

Similarly, we designate certain receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. These contracts effectively convert certain floating-rate loans into fixed-rate loans to reduce the potential adverse effect of interest rate decreases on future interest income. Again, we receive fixed-rate interest payments in exchange for making variable-rate payments over the lives of the contracts without exchanging the notional amounts.

Table of Contents

We also designate certain pay fixed/receive variable interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. These swaps convert certain floating-rate debt into fixed-rate debt. We also use these swaps to manage the interest rate risk associated with anticipated sales of certain commercial real estate loans. The swaps protect against the possible short-term decline in the value of the loans that could result from changes in interest rates between the time they are originated and the time they are sold.

Interest rate swaps are also used to hedge the floating-rate debt that funds fixed-rate leases entered into by our equipment finance line of business. These swaps are designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate the interest rate mismatch between the fixed-rate lease cash flows and the floating-rate payments on the debt. These hedge relationships were terminated during the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

We use foreign currency forward transactions to hedge the foreign currency exposure of our net investment in various foreign equipment finance entities. These entities are denominated in a non-U.S. currency. These swaps are designated as net investment hedges to mitigate the exposure of measuring the net investment at the spot foreign exchange rate.

Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

On occasion, we enter into interest rate swap contracts to manage economic risks but do not designate the instruments in hedge relationships. Excluding contracts addressing customer exposures, the amount of derivatives hedging risks on an economic basis at June 30, 2014, was not significant.

Like other financial services institutions, we originate loans and extend credit, both of which expose us to credit risk. We actively manage our overall loan portfolio and the associated credit risk in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives and concentration risk tolerances to mitigate portfolio credit risk. Purchasing credit default swaps enables us to transfer to a third party a portion of the credit risk associated with a particular extension of credit, including situations where there is a forecasted sale of loans. Beginning in the first quarter of 2014, we began purchasing credit default swaps to reduce the credit risk associated with the debt securities held in our trading portfolio. We may also sell credit derivatives to offset our purchased credit default swap position prior to maturity. Although we use credit default swaps for risk management purposes, they are not treated as hedging instruments.

We also enter into derivative contracts for other purposes, including:

interest rate swap, cap, and floor contracts entered into generally to accommodate the needs of commercial loan clients;

energy and base metal swap and options contracts entered into to accommodate the needs of clients;

futures contracts and positions with third parties that are intended to offset or mitigate the interest rate or market risk related to client positions discussed above; and

foreign exchange forward contracts and options entered into primarily to accommodate the needs of clients. These contracts are not designated as part of hedge relationships.

Fair Values, Volume of Activity, and Gain/Loss Information Related to Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes the fair values of our derivative instruments on a gross and net basis as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013. The change in the notional amounts of these derivatives by type from December 31, 2013, to June 30, 2014, indicates the volume of our derivative transaction activity during the first half of 2014. The notional amounts are not affected by bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. The derivative asset and liability balances are presented on a gross basis, prior to the application of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. Total derivative assets and liabilities are adjusted to take into account the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related cash collateral. Where master netting agreements are not in effect or are not enforceable under bankruptcy laws, we do not adjust those derivative assets and liabilities with counterparties. Securities collateral related to legally enforceable master netting agreements is not offset on the balance sheet. Our derivative instruments are included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet, as indicated in the following table:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014			December 31, 2013			June 30, 2013		
	Fair Value			Fair Value			Fair Value		
	Notional	Derivative	Derivative	Notional	Derivative	Derivative	Notional	Derivative	Derivative
	Amount	Assets	Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:									
Interest rate	\$ 14,459	\$ 307	\$ 17	\$ 14,487	\$ 306	\$ 37	\$ 15,670	\$ 377	\$ 59
Foreign exchange	425	4	8	190	4	1	189	3	
Total	14,884	311	25	14,677	310	38	15,859	380	59
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:									
Interest rate	44,041	677	636	46,173	733	702	45,104	851	812
Foreign exchange	6,338	52	48	4,701	59	56	4,934	97	93
Commodity	1,854	182	177	1,616	112	106	1,896	119	114
Credit	653	4	8	910	5	12	1,118	6	11
Total	52,886	915	869	53,400	909	876	53,052	1,073	1,030
Netting adjustments ^(a)		(677)	(443)		(812)	(500)		(992)	(633)
Net derivatives in the balance sheet	67,770	549	451	68,077	407	414	68,911	461	456
Other collateral ^(b)		(65)	(380)		(72)	(287)		(87)	(316)
Net derivative amounts	\$ 67,770	\$ 484	\$ 71	\$ 68,077	\$ 335	\$ 127	\$ 68,911	\$ 374	\$ 140

Table of Contents

- (a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance.
- (b) Other collateral represents the amount that cannot be used to offset our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance. The other collateral consists of securities and is exchanged under bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral. The application of the other collateral cannot reduce the net derivative position below zero. Therefore, excess other collateral, if any, is not reflected above.

Fair value hedges. Instruments designated as fair value hedges are recorded at fair value and included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. The effective portion of a change in the fair value of an instrument designated as a fair value hedge is recorded in earnings at the same time as a change in fair value of the hedged item, resulting in no effect on net income. The ineffective portion of a change in the fair value of such a hedging instrument is recorded in other income on the income statement with no corresponding offset. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, we did not exclude any portion of these hedging instruments from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. While there is some immaterial ineffectiveness in our hedging relationships, all of our fair value hedges remained highly effective as of June 30, 2014.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our fair value hedges for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, and where they are recorded on the income statement.

		Six months ended June 30, 2014			
		Income Statement Location of Net Gains		Income Statement Location of Net Gains	
<i>in millions</i>	Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative	(Losses) on Derivative	Hedged Item	Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item	(Losses) on Hedged Item
Interest rate	Other income	\$ 13	Long-term debt	Other income	\$ (13) ^(a)
Interest rate	Interest expense	Long-term debt	66		
Total		\$ 79			\$ (13)
		Six months ended June 30, 2013			
		Income Statement Location of Net Gains		Income Statement Location of Net Gains	
<i>in millions</i>	Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative	(Losses) on Derivative	Hedged Item	Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item	(Losses) on Hedged Item
Interest rate	Other income	\$ (156)	Long-term debt	Other income	\$ 155 ^(a)
Interest rate	Interest expense	Long-term debt	66		
Total		\$ (90)			\$ 155

(a) Net gains (losses) on hedged items represent the change in fair value caused by fluctuations in interest rates.

Cash flow hedges. Instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value and included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. Initially, the effective portion of a gain or loss on a cash flow hedge is recorded as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet. This amount is subsequently reclassified into income when the hedged transaction affects earnings (e.g., when we pay variable-rate interest on debt, receive variable-rate interest on commercial loans, or sell commercial real estate loans). The ineffective portion of cash flow hedging transactions is included in other income on the income statement. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, we did not exclude any portion of these hedging instruments from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. While there is some immaterial ineffectiveness in our hedging relationships, all of our cash flow hedges remained highly effective as of June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Considering the interest rates, yield curves, and notional amounts as of June 30, 2014, we would expect to reclassify an estimated \$31 million of net losses on derivative instruments from AOCI to income during the next twelve months for our cash flow hedges. In addition, we expect to reclassify approximately \$6 million of net gains related to terminated cash flow hedges from AOCI to income during the next twelve months. As of June 30, 2014, the maximum length of time over which we hedge forecasted transactions is 14 years.

Net investment hedges. We enter into foreign currency forward contracts to hedge our exposure to changes in the carrying value of our investments as a result of changes in the related foreign exchange rates. Instruments designated as net investment hedges are recorded at fair value and included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. Initially, the effective portion of a gain or loss on a net investment hedge is recorded as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet when the terms of the derivative match the notional and currency risk being hedged. The effective portion is subsequently reclassified into income when the hedged transaction affects earnings (e.g., when we dispose of or liquidate a foreign subsidiary). At June 30, 2014, AOCI reflected unrecognized after-tax gains totaling less than \$1 million related to cumulative changes in the fair value of our net investment hedges, which offset the unrecognized after-tax foreign currency losses on net investment balances. The ineffective portion of net investment hedging transactions is included in other income on the income statement, but there was no net investment hedge ineffectiveness as of June 30, 2014. We did not exclude any portion of our hedging instruments from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the three-month period ended June 30, 2014.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our cash flow and net investment hedges for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, and where they are recorded on the income statement. The table includes the effective portion of net gains (losses) recognized in OCI during the period, the effective portion of net gains (losses) reclassified from OCI into income during the current period, and the portion of net gains (losses) recognized directly in income, representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness.

	Six months ended June 30, 2014				
	Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion)	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)	Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)	Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)
<i>in millions</i>					
Cash Flow Hedges					
Interest rate	\$ 40	Interest income	Loans	\$ 31	Other income
Interest rate		Interest expense			
	(4)	Long-term debt		(2)	Other income
Interest rate		Investment banking and debt placement fees			Other income
Net Investment Hedges					
Foreign exchange contracts	(1)	Other Income		(1)	Other income

Total	\$ 35		\$	28
-------	-------	--	----	----

Six months ended June 30, 2013

<i>in millions</i>	Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion)	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)	Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Effective Portion)	Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)
Cash Flow Hedges					
Interest rate	\$ (62)	Interest income	Loans	\$ 35	Other income
Interest rate	15	Interest expense	Long-term debt	(4)	Other income
Interest rate	3	Investment banking and debt placement fees			Other income
Net Investment Hedges					
Foreign exchange contracts	10	Other Income		(3)	Other income
Total	\$ (34)			\$	28

The after-tax change in AOCI resulting from cash flow and net investment hedges is as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	December 31, 2013	2014 Hedging Activity	Reclassification of Gains to Net Income	June 30, 2014
AOCI resulting from cash flow and net investment hedges	\$ (11)	\$ 22	\$ (18)	\$ (7)

Table of Contents

Nonhedging instruments. Our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments are recorded at fair value in derivative assets and derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. Adjustments to the fair values of these instruments, as well as any premium paid or received, are included in corporate services income and other income on the income statement.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, and where they are recorded on the income statement.

<i>in millions</i>	Six months ended June 30, 2014			Six months ended June 30, 2013		
	Corporate Services Income	Other Income	Total	Corporate Services Income	Other Income	Total
NET GAINS (LOSSES)						
Interest rate	\$ 9		\$ 9	\$ 8		\$ 8
Foreign exchange	17		17	21		21
Commodity	2		2	3		3
Credit		\$ (8)	(8)	1	\$ (7)	(6)
Total net gains (losses)	\$ 28	\$ (8)	\$ 20	\$ 33	\$ (7)	\$ 26

Counterparty Credit Risk

Like other financial instruments, derivatives contain an element of credit risk. This risk is measured as the expected positive replacement value of the contracts. We use several means to mitigate and manage exposure to credit risk on derivative contracts. We generally enter into bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that provide for the net settlement of all contracts with a single counterparty in the event of default. Additionally, we monitor counterparty credit risk exposure on each contract to determine appropriate limits on our total credit exposure across all product types. We review our collateral positions on a daily basis and exchange collateral with our counterparties in accordance with standard ISDA documentation, central clearing rules, and other related agreements. We generally hold collateral in the form of cash and highly rated securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, government-sponsored enterprises, or GNMA. The cash collateral netted against derivative assets on the balance sheet totaled \$289 million at June 30, 2014, \$308 million at December 31, 2013, and \$368 million at June 30, 2013. The cash collateral netted against derivative liabilities totaled \$55 million at June 30, 2014, \$4 million at December 31, 2013, and \$9 million at June 30, 2013. The relevant agreements that allow us to access the central clearing organizations to clear derivative transactions are not considered to be qualified master netting agreements. Therefore, we cannot net derivative contracts or offset those contracts with related cash collateral with these counterparties. At June 30, 2014, we posted \$35 million of cash collateral with clearing organizations. This additional cash collateral is included in accrued income and other assets and accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet.

The following table summarizes our largest exposure to an individual counterparty at the dates indicated.

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
--------------------	------------------	----------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Largest gross exposure (derivative asset) to an individual counterparty	\$	117	\$	121	\$	136
Collateral posted by this counterparty		47		42		48
Derivative liability with this counterparty		108		106		132
Collateral pledged to this counterparty		44		33		52
Net exposure after netting adjustments and collateral		6		6		8

57

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value of our derivative assets by type at the dates indicated. These assets represent our gross exposure to potential loss after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements and other means used to mitigate risk.

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Interest rate	\$ 657	\$ 633	\$ 775
Foreign exchange	29	23	24
Commodity	152	58	28
Credit		1	2
Derivative assets before collateral	838	715	829
Less: Related collateral	289	308	368
Total derivative assets	\$ 549	\$ 407	\$ 461

We enter into derivative transactions with two primary groups: broker-dealers and banks, and clients. Since these groups have different economic characteristics, we have different methods for managing counterparty credit exposure and credit risk.

We enter into transactions with broker-dealers and banks for various risk management purposes. These types of transactions generally are high dollar volume. We generally enter into bilateral collateral and master netting agreements with these counterparties. We began clearing certain types of derivative transactions with these counterparties in June 2013, whereby the central clearing organizations become our counterparties subsequent to novation of the original derivative contracts. In addition, we began entering into derivative contracts through swap execution facilities during the quarter ended March 31, 2014. The swap clearing and swap trade execution requirements were mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act for the purpose of reducing counterparty credit risk and increasing transparency in the derivative market. At June 30, 2014, we had gross exposure of \$483 million to broker-dealers and banks. We had net exposure of \$102 million after the application of master netting agreements and cash collateral, where such qualifying agreements exist. We had net exposure of \$10 million after considering \$92 million of additional collateral held in the form of securities.

We enter into transactions with clients to accommodate their business needs. These types of transactions generally are low dollar volume. We generally enter into master netting agreements with these counterparties. In addition, we mitigate our overall portfolio exposure and market risk by buying and selling U.S. Treasuries and Eurodollar futures, and entering into offsetting positions and other derivative contracts. Due to the smaller size and magnitude of the individual contracts with clients, we generally do not exchange collateral in connection with these derivative transactions. To address the risk of default associated with the uncollateralized contracts, we have established a default reserve (included in derivative assets) in the amount of \$13 million at June 30, 2014, which we estimate to be the potential future losses on amounts due from client counterparties in the event of default. At December 31, 2013, the default reserve was \$14 million. At June 30, 2014, we had gross exposure of \$486 million to client counterparties for derivatives that have associated master netting agreements. We had net exposure of \$447 million on our derivatives with clients after the application of master netting agreements, collateral, and the related reserve.

Credit Derivatives

We are both a buyer and seller of credit protection through the credit derivative market. We purchase credit derivatives to manage the credit risk associated with specific commercial lending and swap obligations as well as exposures to debt securities. We may also sell credit derivatives, mainly single-name credit default swaps, to offset our purchased credit default swap position prior to maturity.

The following table summarizes the fair value of our credit derivatives purchased and sold by type as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013. The fair value of credit derivatives presented below does not take into account the effects of bilateral collateral or master netting agreements.

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		June 30, 2013		Net	
	Purchased	Sold	NetPurchased	Sold	NetPurchased	Sold		
Single-name credit default swaps	\$ (3)	\$ (3)	\$ (7)	\$ 1	\$ (6)	\$ (4)	\$ 1	\$ (3)
Traded credit default swap indices	(1)	(1)				(1)		(1)
Other				(1)	(1)		(1)	(1)
Total credit derivatives	\$ (4)	\$ (4)	\$ (7)		\$ (7)	\$ (5)		\$ (5)

Single-name credit default swaps are bilateral contracts whereby the seller agrees, for a premium, to provide protection against the credit risk of a specific entity (the reference entity) in connection with a specific debt obligation. The protected credit risk is related to adverse credit events, such as bankruptcy, failure to make payments, and acceleration or restructuring

Table of Contents

of obligations, identified in the credit derivative contract. As the seller of a single-name credit derivative, we may settle in one of two ways if the underlying reference entity experiences a predefined credit event. We may be required to pay the purchaser the difference between the par value and the market price of the debt obligation (cash settlement) or receive the specified referenced asset in exchange for payment of the par value (physical settlement). If we effect a physical settlement and receive our portion of the related debt obligation, we will join other creditors in the liquidation process, which may enable us to recover a portion of the amount paid under the credit default swap contract. We also may purchase offsetting credit derivatives for the same reference entity from third parties that will permit us to recover the amount we pay should a credit event occur.

A traded credit default swap index represents a position on a basket or portfolio of reference entities. As a seller of protection on a credit default swap index, we would be required to pay the purchaser if one or more of the entities in the index had a credit event. Upon a credit event, the amount payable is based on the percentage of the notional amount allocated to the specific defaulting entity.

The majority of transactions represented by the other category shown in the above table are risk participation agreements. In these transactions, the lead participant has a swap agreement with a customer. The lead participant (purchaser of protection) then enters into a risk participation agreement with a counterparty (seller of protection), under which the counterparty receives a fee to accept a portion of the lead participant's credit risk. If the customer defaults on the swap contract, the counterparty to the risk participation agreement must reimburse the lead participant for the counterparty's percentage of the positive fair value of the customer swap as of the default date. If the customer swap has a negative fair value, the counterparty has no reimbursement requirements. If the customer defaults on the swap contract and the seller fulfills its payment obligations under the risk participation agreement, the seller is entitled to a *pro rata* share of the lead participant's claims against the customer under the terms of the swap agreement.

The following table provides information on the types of credit derivatives sold by us and held on the balance sheet at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013. The notional amount represents the maximum amount that the seller could be required to pay. The payment/performance risk assessment is based on the default probabilities for the underlying reference entities' debt obligations using a Moody's credit ratings matrix known as Moody's Idealized Cumulative Default Rates. The payment/performance risk shown in the table represents a weighted-average of the default probabilities for all reference entities in the respective portfolios. These default probabilities are directly correlated to the probability that we will have to make a payment under the credit derivative contracts.

	June 30, 2014			December 31, 2013			June 30, 2013		
	Notional Amount	Term (Years)	Performance Risk	Notional Amount	Term (Years)	Performance Risk	Notional Amount	Term (Years)	Performance Risk
<i>dollars in millions</i>									
Single-name credit default swaps	\$ 45	.34	4.76%	\$ 55	.77	22.28%	\$ 77	1.05	8.84%
Other	9	3.06	5.82	13	5.03	8.82	14	5.52	10.31
Total credit derivatives sold	\$ 54			\$ 68			\$ 91		

Credit Risk Contingent Features

We have entered into certain derivative contracts that require us to post collateral to the counterparties when these contracts are in a net liability position. The amount of collateral to be posted is based on the amount of the net liability and thresholds generally related to our long-term senior unsecured credit ratings with Moody's and S&P. Collateral requirements also are based on minimum transfer amounts, which are specific to each Credit Support Annex (a component of the ISDA Master Agreement) that we have signed with the counterparties. In a limited number of instances, counterparties have the right to terminate their ISDA Master Agreements with us if our ratings fall below a certain level, usually investment-grade level (i.e., Baa3 for Moody's and BBB- for S&P). At June 30, 2014, KeyBank's ratings were A3 with Moody's and A- with S&P, and KeyCorp's ratings were Baa1 with Moody's and BBB+ with S&P. As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate fair value of all derivative contracts with credit risk contingent features (i.e., those containing collateral posting or termination provisions based on our ratings) held by KeyBank that were in a net liability position totaled \$418 million, which includes \$253 million in derivative assets and \$671 million in derivative liabilities. We had \$426 million in cash and securities collateral posted to cover those positions as of June 30, 2014. The aggregate fair value of all derivative contracts with credit risk contingent features held by KeyCorp as of June 30, 2014, that were in a net liability position totaled \$4 million, which consists solely of derivative liabilities. We had \$1 million in collateral posted to cover those positions as of June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the additional cash and securities collateral that KeyBank would have been required to deliver under the ISDA Master Agreements had the credit risk contingent features been triggered for the derivative contracts in a net liability position as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013. The additional collateral amounts were calculated based on scenarios under which KeyBank's ratings are downgraded one, two, or three ratings as of June 30, 2014, and take into account all collateral already posted. A similar calculation was performed for KeyCorp, and additional collateral of \$3 million would have been required as of June 30, 2014, less than \$1 million as of December 31, 2013, and \$3 million as of June 30, 2013.

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		June 30, 2013	
	Moody's	S&P	Moody's	S&P	Moody's	S&P
KeyBank's long-term senior unsecured credit ratings	A3	A-	A3	A-	A3	A-
One rating downgrade	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 6
Two rating downgrades	1	1	11	11	11	11
Three rating downgrades	1	1	11	11	12	12

KeyBank's long-term senior unsecured credit rating is currently four ratings above noninvestment grade at Moody's and S&P. If KeyBank's ratings had been downgraded below investment grade as of June 30, 2014, payments of up to \$4 million would have been required to either terminate the contracts or post additional collateral for those contracts in a net liability position, taking into account all collateral already posted. If KeyCorp's ratings had been downgraded below investment grade as of June 30, 2014, payments of \$3 million would have been required to either terminate the contracts or post additional collateral for those contracts in a net liability position, taking into account all collateral already posted.

Mortgage servicing assets		
Expected defaults	1.10 - 3.00%	(2.30%)
Residual cash flows discount rate	7.00 - 15.00%	(8.90%)
Escrow earn rate	0.25 - 2.75%	(1.50%)
Servicing cost	\$150 - \$23,018	(\$5,425)
Loan assumption rate	0.00 - 3.00%	(2.38%)
Percentage late	0.00 - 2.00%	(0.21%)

If these economic assumptions change or prove incorrect, the fair value of mortgage servicing assets may also change. The volume of loans serviced, expected credit losses, and the value assigned to escrow deposits are critical to the valuation of servicing assets. At June 30, 2014, a 1.00% decrease in the value assigned to the escrow deposits would cause a \$49 million decrease in the fair value of our mortgage servicing assets. An increase in the assumed default rate of commercial mortgage loans of 1.00% would cause a \$6 million decrease in the fair value of our mortgage servicing assets.

Contractual fee income from servicing commercial mortgage loans totaled \$26 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$21 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. We have elected to account for servicing assets using the amortization method. The amortization of servicing assets is determined in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated net servicing income. The amortization of servicing assets for each period, as shown in the table at the beginning of this note, is recorded as a reduction to fee income. Both the contractual fee income and the amortization are recorded in mortgage servicing fees on the income statement.

Additional information pertaining to the accounting for mortgage and other servicing assets is included in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Servicing Assets on page 123 of our 2013 Form 10-K and Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations) under the heading Mortgage Servicing Rights in this report.

Table of Contents**9. Variable Interest Entities**

A VIE is a partnership, limited liability company, trust, or other legal entity that meets any one of the following criteria:

The entity does not have sufficient equity to conduct its activities without additional subordinated financial support from another party.

The entity's investors lack the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

The entity's equity at risk holders do not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive residual returns.

The voting rights of some investors are not proportional to their economic interests in the entity, and substantially all of the entity's activities involve, or are conducted on behalf of, investors with disproportionately few voting rights.

Our VIEs are summarized below. We define a significant interest in a VIE as a subordinated interest that exposes us to a significant portion, but not the majority, of the VIE's expected losses or residual returns, even though we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

<i>in millions</i>	Consolidated VIEs		Unconsolidated VIEs		
	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Maximum Exposure to Loss
June 30, 2014					
LIHTC funds	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 97		
Education loan securitization trusts	1,730	1,677	N/A	N/A	N/A
LIHTC investments	N/A	N/A	780		\$ 503

Our involvement with VIEs is described below.

Consolidated VIEs

LIHTC guaranteed funds. KAHC formed limited partnership funds that invested in LIHTC operating partnerships. Interests in these funds were offered in syndication to qualified investors who paid a fee to KAHC for a guaranteed return. We also earned syndication fees from the guaranteed funds and continue to earn asset management fees. The guaranteed funds' assets, primarily investments in LIHTC operating partnerships, totaled \$10 million at June 30, 2014. These investments are recorded in accrued income and other assets on the balance sheet and serve as collateral for the guaranteed funds' limited obligations.

We have not formed new guaranteed funds or added LIHTC partnerships since October 2003. However, we continue to act as asset manager and to provide occasional funding for existing funds under a guarantee obligation. As a result

of this guarantee obligation, we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of these guaranteed funds. Additional information on return guarantee agreements with LIHTC investors is presented in Note 15 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Guarantees.

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for distinguishing liabilities from equity, third-party interests associated with our LIHTC guaranteed funds are considered mandatorily redeemable instruments and are recorded in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet. However, the FASB has indefinitely deferred the measurement and recognition provisions of this accounting guidance for mandatorily redeemable third-party interests associated with finite-lived subsidiaries, such as our LIHTC guaranteed funds. We adjust our financial statements each period for the third-party investors share of the guaranteed funds profits and losses. At June 30, 2014, we estimated the settlement value of these third-party interests to be between zero and \$5 million, while the recorded value, including reserves, totaled \$6 million. The partnership agreement for each of our guaranteed funds requires the fund to be dissolved by a certain date.

Education loan securitization trusts. In September 2009, we decided to exit the government-guaranteed education lending business. Therefore, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation. In the past, as part of our education lending business model, we originated and securitized education loans. As the transferor, we retained a portion of the risk in the form of a residual interest and also retained the right to service the securitized loans and receive servicing fees. We have not securitized any education loans since 2006.

Table of Contents

We consolidated our ten outstanding education loan securitization trusts as of January 1, 2010. We were required to consolidate these trusts because we hold the residual interests and, as the master servicer, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly influence the trusts' economic performance. We elected to consolidate these trusts at fair value. The trust assets can be used only to settle the obligations or securities that the trusts issue; we cannot sell the assets or transfer the liabilities. The security holders or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to us, and we do not have any liability recorded related to their securities. Further information regarding these education loan securitization trusts is provided in Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations) under the heading Education lending.

Unconsolidated VIEs

LIHTC nonguaranteed funds. Although we hold interests in certain nonguaranteed funds that we formed and funded, we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary because we do not absorb the majority of the funds' expected losses and do not have the power to direct activities that most significantly influence the economic performance of these entities. At June 30, 2014, assets of these unconsolidated nonguaranteed funds totaled \$97 million. Our maximum exposure to loss in connection with these funds is minimal, and we do not have any liability recorded related to the funds. We have not formed nonguaranteed funds since October 2003.

LIHTC investments. Through Key Community Bank, we have made investments directly in LIHTC operating partnerships formed by third parties. As a limited partner in these operating partnerships, we are allocated tax credits and deductions associated with the underlying properties. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of these investments because the general partners have the power to direct the activities that most significantly influence the economic performance of their respective partnerships and have the obligation to absorb expected losses and the right to receive benefits. At June 30, 2014, assets of these unconsolidated LIHTC operating partnerships totaled approximately \$780 million. At June 30, 2014, our maximum exposure to loss in connection with these partnerships is the unamortized investment balance of \$400 million plus \$103 million of tax credits claimed but subject to recapture. We do not have any liability recorded related to these investments because we believe the likelihood of any loss is remote. We have not obtained any significant direct investments (either individually or in the aggregate) in LIHTC operating partnerships since September 2003.

We have additional investments in unconsolidated LIHTC operating partnerships that are held by the consolidated LIHTC guaranteed funds. Total assets of these operating partnerships were approximately \$769 million at June 30, 2014. The tax credits and deductions associated with these properties are allocated to the funds' investors based on their ownership percentages. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of these partnerships because the general partners have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact their economic performance, and the obligation to absorb expected losses and right to receive residual returns. Information regarding our exposure to loss in connection with these guaranteed funds is included in Note 15 under the heading Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors.

Commercial and residential real estate investments and principal investments. Our Principal Investing unit and the Real Estate Capital line of business make equity and mezzanine investments, some of which are in VIEs. These investments are held by nonregistered investment companies subject to the provisions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, Audits of Investment Companies. We currently are not applying the accounting or disclosure provisions in the applicable accounting guidance for consolidations to these investments, which remain unconsolidated. The FASB has indefinitely deferred the effective date of this guidance for such nonregistered investment companies.

Table of Contents**10. Income Taxes****Income Tax Provision**

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, the principal method established for computing the provision for income taxes in interim periods requires us to make our best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full year. This estimated effective tax rate is then applied to interim consolidated pre-tax operating income to determine the interim provision for income taxes.

The effective tax rate, which is the provision for income taxes as a percentage of income from continuing operations before income taxes, was 23.1% for the second quarter of 2014 and 26.7% for the second quarter of 2013. The effective tax rates are below our combined federal and state statutory tax rate of 37.2% primarily due to income from investments in tax-advantaged assets such as corporate-owned life insurance and credits associated with investments in low-income housing projects. In addition, during the second quarter and the first six months of 2014, our effective tax rate was lower due to the early termination of certain leveraged leases that resulted in nontaxable gains pursuant to a prior settlement with the IRS.

Deferred Tax Asset

At June 30, 2014, from continuing operations, we had a federal deferred tax asset of \$135 million and a state deferred tax asset of \$6 million compared to a federal deferred tax asset of \$201 million and a state deferred tax asset of \$9 million at December 31, 2013, and a federal deferred tax asset of \$197 million and a state deferred tax asset of less than \$1 million at June 30, 2013, included in accrued income and other assets on the balance sheet. To determine the amount of deferred tax assets that are more-likely-than-not to be realized, and therefore recorded, we conduct a quarterly assessment of all available evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, taxable income in prior periods, projected future taxable income, and projected future reversals of deferred tax items. These assessments involve a degree of subjectivity and may undergo change. Based on these criteria, we have a valuation allowance of \$1 million at June 30, 2014, \$1 million at December 31, 2013, and \$2 million at June 30, 2013, associated with certain state net operating loss carryforwards and state credit carryforwards.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

As permitted under the applicable accounting guidance for income taxes, it is our policy to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The FASB issued new accounting guidance, effective January 1, 2014, for us, that requires unrecognized tax benefits to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward if certain criteria are met. As a result, at June 30, 2014, our federal tax credit carryforward included in our federal deferred tax asset was reduced by \$1 million.

Table of Contents**11. Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations****Acquisitions**

Technology-focused Investment Bank and Capital Markets Firm. On July 17, 2014, we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Pacific Crest Securities, a leading technology-focused investment bank and capital markets firm. This acquisition underscores our commitment to creating the leading corporate and investment bank serving middle market companies. The combined platforms bring together two firms with a shared vision of enhancing their differentiation in the market by capitalizing on the convergence of technology across traditional industry verticals. We expect this transaction to close during the third quarter of 2014, subject to regulatory approval, at which time Pacific Crest Securities will join KeyBanc Capital Markets, the corporate and investment banking business unit of Key.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. On June 24, 2013, in the first of multiple closings, we acquired substantially all third-party commercial loan servicing rights consisting of CMBS Master, Primary, and Special Servicing as well as other servicing from Bank of America's Global Mortgages & Securitized Products business. Simultaneously, we entered into a subservicing agreement with Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC related to all CMBS primary servicing. This acquisition was accounted for as a business combination and aligned with our strategy to drive growth. At the time, the acquisition resulted in KeyBank becoming the third largest servicer of commercial/multifamily loans in the U.S. and the fifth largest special servicer of CMBS. The acquisition date fair value of the MSR's acquired on June 24, 2013, which were included on our balance sheet at June 30, 2013, was approximately \$117 million. Three additional and related closings occurred on July 22, 2013, August 26, 2013, and October 7, 2013. The acquisition date fair value of the MSR's acquired in these transactions was \$3 million. As a result of this acquisition, the total fair value of the MSR's acquired during 2013 and included in our December 31, 2013, financial results was \$120 million. In addition to the MSR's acquired, Key, as a master servicer, acquired \$216 million of principal and interest advances. These principal and interest advances recorded at fair value were primarily associated with the June 24, 2013, acquisition of MSR's. No goodwill was recognized as a result of this acquisition. Additional information regarding our mortgage servicing assets is provided in Note 8 (Mortgage Servicing Assets).

Discontinued operations

Education lending. In September 2009, we decided to exit the government-guaranteed education lending business. As a result, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes on the income statement includes (i) the changes in fair value of the assets and liabilities of the education loan securitization trusts and the loans at fair value in portfolio (discussed later in this note), and (ii) the interest income and expense from the loans and the securities of the trusts and the loans in portfolio at both amortized cost and fair value. These amounts are shown separately in the following table. Gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value are recorded as a component of noninterest income or noninterest expense. Interest income and expense related to the loans and securities are shown as a component of net interest income.

The components of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes for the education lending business are as follows:

Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30,

<i>in millions</i>	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net interest income	\$ 23	\$ 26	\$ 46	\$ 54
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	6	(2)	10	4
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	17	28	36	50
Noninterest income	(56)	(18)	(70)	(34)
Noninterest expense	6	7	12	14
Income (loss) before income taxes	(45)	3	(46)	2
Income taxes	(17)	2	(17)	1
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	\$ (28)	\$ 1	\$ (29)	\$ 1

- (a) Includes after-tax charges of \$8 million and \$11 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$17 million and \$21 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively, determined by applying a matched funds transfer pricing methodology to the liabilities assumed necessary to support the discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

The discontinued assets and liabilities of our education lending business included on the balance sheet are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Trust loans at fair value	\$ 1,711	\$ 1,960	\$ 2,317
Portfolio loans at fair value	209	147	151
Loans, net of unearned income of (\$2), (\$6), and (\$5)	2,242	2,390	2,524
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	32	39	41
Net loans	4,130	4,458	4,951
Trust accrued income and other assets at fair value	19	20	24
Accrued income and other assets	41	45	52
Total assets	\$ 4,190	\$ 4,523	\$ 5,027
Trust accrued expense and other liabilities at fair value	\$ 17	\$ 20	\$ 21
Trust securities at fair value	1,660	1,834	2,118
Total liabilities	\$ 1,677	\$ 1,854	\$ 2,139

The discontinued education lending business consists of assets and liabilities in the securitization trusts (recorded at fair value), as well as loans in portfolio (recorded at fair value) and loans in portfolio (recorded at carrying value with appropriate valuation reserves) that are held outside the trusts.

At June 30, 2014, education loans include 1,603 TDRs with a recorded investment of approximately \$18 million (pre-modification and post-modification). A specifically allocated allowance of \$2 million was assigned to these loans as of June 30, 2014. There have been no significant payment defaults. There are no significant commitments outstanding to lend additional funds to these borrowers. Additional information regarding TDR classification and ALLL methodology is provided in Note 4 (Asset Quality).

In the past, as part of our education lending business model, we originated and securitized education loans. The process of securitization involved taking a pool of loans from our balance sheet and selling them to a bankruptcy-remote QSPE, or trust. This trust then issued securities to investors in the capital markets to raise funds to pay for the loans. The cash flows generated from the loans pays holders of the securities issued. As the transferor, we retain a portion of the risk in the form of a residual interest and also retain the right to service the securitized loans and receive servicing fees.

As of January 1, 2010, we consolidated our ten outstanding securitization trusts since we hold the residual interests and are the master servicer with the power to direct the activities that most significantly influence the economic performance of the trusts.

The trust assets can be used only to settle the obligations or securities the trusts issue; we cannot sell the assets or transfer the liabilities. The loans in the consolidated trusts consist of both private and government-guaranteed loans.

The security holders or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to Key. Our economic interest or risk of loss associated with these education loan securitization trusts was approximately \$53 million as of June 30, 2014. During the second quarter of 2014 and the third quarter of 2013, additional market information became available. Based on this information and our related internal analysis, we adjusted certain assumptions related to valuing the loans in the securitization trusts. As a result, we recognized a net after-tax loss of \$22 million during the second quarter of 2014 and a net after-tax loss of \$48 million during the third quarter of 2013 related to the fair value of the loans and securities in the securitization trusts. These losses resulted in a reduction in the value of our economic interest in these trusts. We record all income and expense (including fair value adjustments) through the income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line item in our income statement.

On October 27, 2013, we purchased the government-guaranteed education loans from one of the education loan securitization trusts pursuant to the legal terms of the particular trust. The trust used the cash proceeds from the sale of these loans to retire the outstanding securities related to the government-guaranteed education loans. This particular trust remains in existence and continues to maintain the private education loan portfolio and has securities related to these loans outstanding. On December 20, 2013, we sold substantially all of the loans we purchased for \$147 million and recognized a gain on the sale of \$3 million.

On June 27, 2014, we purchased the private loans from one of the education loan securitization trusts through the execution of a clean-up call option. The trust used the cash proceeds from the sale of these loans to retire the outstanding securities

Table of Contents

related to these private loans, and there are no future commitments or obligations to the holders of the securities. The trust no longer has any loans or securities and will remain in existence for one more year. The portfolio loans were valued using internal discounted cash flow method, which was affected by assumptions for defaults, expected credit losses, discount rates, and prepayments. The portfolio loans are considered to be Level 3 assets since we rely on unobservable inputs when determining fair value.

At June 30, 2014, there were \$207 million of loans that were previously purchased from three of the outstanding securitizations trusts pursuant to the legal terms of these particular trusts. These loans are held as portfolio loans and continue to be accounted for at fair value. These portfolio loans were valued using an internal discounted cash flow model, which was affected by assumptions for defaults, loss severity, discount rates, and prepayments. These portfolio loans are considered to be Level 3 assets since we rely on unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Our valuation process for these loans as well as the trust loans and securities is discussed in more detail below. Portfolio loans accounted for at fair value had a value of \$209 million at June 30, 2014, \$147 million at December 31, 2013, and \$151 million at June 30, 2013.

We elected to consolidate the education loan securitization trusts at fair value. Carrying the assets and liabilities of the trusts at fair value better depicts our economic interest. The fair value of the assets and liabilities of the trusts is determined by calculating the present value of the future expected cash flows. We rely on unobservable inputs (Level 3) when determining the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the trusts because observable market data is not available. Our valuation process is described in more detail below.

Corporate Treasury, within our Finance area, is responsible for the quarterly valuation process that determines the fair value of the loans and securities in our education loan securitization trusts as well as our student loans held in portfolio that are accounted for at fair value. Corporate Treasury provides these fair values to a Working Group Committee (the Working Group) comprising representatives from the line of business, Credit and Market Risk Management, Accounting, Business Finance (part of our Finance area), and Corporate Treasury. The Working Group is a subcommittee of the Fair Value Committee that is discussed in more detail in Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements). The Working Group reviews all significant inputs and assumptions and approves the resulting fair values.

The Working Group reviews actual performance trends of the loans and securities on a quarterly basis and uses statistical analysis and qualitative measures to determine assumptions for future performance. Predictive models that incorporate delinquency and charge-off trends along with economic outlooks assist the Working Group to forecast future defaults. The Working Group uses this information to formulate the credit outlook for each of the securitization trusts. Higher projected defaults, fewer expected recoveries, elevated prepayment speeds, and higher discount rates would be expected to result in a lower fair value of the loans and securities in these securitization trusts as well as the portfolio loans at fair value. Default expectations and discount rate changes have the most significant impact on the fair values of the loans and securities. Increased cash flow uncertainty, whether through higher defaults and prepayments or fewer recoveries, can result in higher discount rates for use in the fair value process for these loans and securities.

The valuation process for the education loan securitization trust and portfolio loans that are accounted for at fair value is based on a discounted cash flow analysis using a model purchased from a third party that is maintained by Corporate Treasury. The valuation process begins with loan-by-loan level data that is aggregated into pools based on underlying loan structural characteristics (i.e., current unpaid principal balance, contractual term, interest rate). Cash flows for these loan pools are developed using a financial model that reflects certain assumptions for defaults, recoveries, status changes, and prepayments. A net earnings stream, taking into account cost of funding, is calculated and discounted back to the measurement date using an appropriate discount rate. This resulting amount is used to determine the present value of the loans, which represents their fair value to a market participant.

The unobservable inputs set forth in the following table are reviewed and approved by the Working Group on a quarterly basis. The Working Group determines these assumptions based on available data, discussions with appropriate individuals within and outside of Key, and the knowledge and experience of the Working Group members.

A similar discounted cash flow approach to that described above is used on a quarterly basis by Corporate Treasury to determine the fair value of the trust securities. In valuing these securities, the discount rates used are provided by a third-party valuation consultant. These discount rates are based primarily on secondary market spread indices for similar student loans and asset-backed securities and are developed by the consultant using market-based data. On a quarterly basis, the Working Group reviews the discount rate inputs used in the valuation process for reasonableness.

Table of Contents

A quarterly variance analysis reconciles valuation changes in the model used to calculate the fair value of the trust loans and securities and the portfolio loans at fair value. This quarterly analysis considers loan and securities run-off, yields, future default and recovery changes, and the timing of cash releases to us from the trusts. We also perform back-testing to compare expected defaults to actual experience; the impact of future defaults can significantly affect the fair value of these loans and securities over time. In addition, our internal model validation group periodically performs a review to ensure the accuracy and validity of the model for determining the fair value of these loans and securities.

The following table shows the significant unobservable inputs used to measure the fair value of the education loan securitization trust loans and securities and the portfolio loans accounted for at fair value as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013:

June 30, 2014 <i>dollars in millions</i>	Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Trust loans and portfolio loans accounted for at fair value	\$ 1,920	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speed	4.00 - 13.50% (6.97%)
			Loss severity	2.00 - 82.00% (52.01%)
			Discount rate	2.10 - 10.50% (3.52%)
			Default rate	8.50 - 26.00% (20.59%)
Trust securities	1,660	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	1.20 - 3.00% (2.16%)
December 31, 2013 <i>dollars in millions</i>	Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Trust loans and portfolio loans accounted for at fair value	\$ 2,107	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speed	4.00 - 13.50% (6.47%)
			Loss severity	2.00 - 79.50% (54.21%)
			Discount rate	2.40 - 10.50% (3.50%)
			Default rate	8.01 - 23.71% (18.43%)
Trust securities	1,834	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	1.60 - 3.50% (2.55%)
June 30, 2013 <i>dollars in millions</i>	Fair Value of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Trust loans and portfolio loans accounted for at fair value	\$ 2,468	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speed	4.00 - 13.50% (6.16%)
			Loss severity	2.00 - 80.00% (52.29%)
			Discount rate	1.90 - 4.20% (3.12%)
			Default rate	8.13 - 22.00% (13.84%)
Trust securities	2,118	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	1.10 - 3.70% (2.43%)

The following table shows the principal and fair value amounts for our trust loans at fair value, portfolio loans at fair value, and portfolio loans at carrying value at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013. Our policies for determining past due loans, placing loans on nonaccrual, applying payments on nonaccrual loans, and resuming

accrual of interest are disclosed in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Nonperforming Loans beginning on page 117 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		June 30, 2013	
	Principal	Fair Value	Principal	Fair Value	Principal	Fair Value
Trust loans at fair value						
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 23	\$ 23	\$ 25	\$ 25	\$ 29	\$ 30
Loans placed on nonaccrual status	7	7	12	12	13	13
Portfolio loans at fair value						
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 4	\$ 4
Loans placed on nonaccrual status	1	1				
Portfolio loans at carrying value						
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 29	N/A	\$ 35	N/A	\$ 35	N/A
Loans placed on nonaccrual status	9	N/A	10	N/A	6	N/A

Table of Contents

The following table shows the consolidated trusts' assets and liabilities at fair value and the portfolio loans at fair value and their related contractual values as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		June 30, 2013	
	Contractual Amount	Fair Value	Contractual Amount	Fair Value	Contractual Amount	Fair Value
ASSETS						
Portfolio loans	\$ 207	\$ 209	\$ 140	\$ 147	\$ 145	\$ 151
Trust loans	1,740	1,711	1,964	1,960	2,269	2,317
Trust other assets	19	19	20	20	24	24
LIABILITIES						
Trust securities	\$ 1,752	\$ 1,660	\$ 1,958	\$ 1,834	\$ 2,288	\$ 2,118
Trust other liabilities	17	17	20	20	21	21

The following tables present the assets and liabilities of the consolidated education loan securitization trusts measured at fair value as well as the portfolio loans that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.

June 30, 2014*in millions*

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Portfolio loans			\$ 209	\$ 209
Trust loans			1,711	1,711
Trust other assets			19	19
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value			\$ 1,939	\$ 1,939
LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Trust securities			\$ 1,660	\$ 1,660
Trust other liabilities			17	17
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value			\$ 1,677	\$ 1,677

December 31, 2013*in millions*

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Portfolio loans			\$ 147	\$ 147
Trust loans			1,960	1,960
Trust other assets			20	20
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value			\$ 2,127	\$ 2,127

LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS

Trust securities	\$ 1,834	\$ 1,834
Trust other liabilities	20	20
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	\$ 1,854	\$ 1,854

June 30, 2013*in millions*

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Portfolio loans			\$ 151	\$ 151
Trust loans			2,317	2,317
Trust other assets			24	24
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value			\$ 2,492	\$ 2,492

LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS

Trust securities	\$ 2,118	\$ 2,118
Trust other liabilities	21	21
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	\$ 2,139	\$ 2,139

Table of Contents

The following table shows the change in the fair values of the Level 3 consolidated education loan securitization trusts and portfolio loans for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

<i>in millions</i>	Portfolio Student Loans	Trust Student Loans	Trust Other Assets	Trust Securities	Trust Other Liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 147	\$ 1,960	\$ 20	\$ 1,834	\$ 20
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings ^(a)	(4)	(34)		33	
Purchases	74				
Sales		(74)			
Settlements	(8)	(141)	(1)	(207)	(3)
Balance at June 30, 2014 ^(b)	\$ 209	\$ 1,711	\$ 19	\$ 1,660	\$ 17
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 143	\$ 1,893	\$ 19	\$ 1,796	\$ 20
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings ^(a)	(4)	(36)		17	
Purchases	74				
Sales		(74)			
Settlements	(4)	(72)		(153)	(3)
Balance at June 30, 2014 ^(b)	\$ 209	\$ 1,711	\$ 19	\$ 1,660	\$ 17
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 157	\$ 2,369	\$ 26	\$ 2,159	\$ 22
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings ^(a)		111		144	
Purchases					
Sales					
Settlements	(6)	(163)	(2)	(185)	(1)
Balance at June 30, 2013 ^(b)	\$ 151	\$ 2,317	\$ 24	\$ 2,118	\$ 21
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 154	\$ 2,333	\$ 25	\$ 2,126	\$ 25
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings ^(a)		68		85	
Purchases					
Sales					
Settlements	(3)	(84)	(1)	(93)	(4)
Balance at June 30, 2013 ^(b)	\$ 151	\$ 2,317	\$ 24	\$ 2,118	\$ 21

(a) Gains (losses) were driven primarily by fair value adjustments.

(b) There were no issuances, transfers into Level 3, or transfers out of Level 3 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

Victory Capital Management and Victory Capital Advisors. On July 31, 2013, we completed the sale of Victory to a private equity fund. As a result of this sale, we recorded an after-tax gain of \$92 million as of September 30, 2013. The cash portion of the gain was \$72 million as of September 30, 2013. During March 2014, client consents were secured and assets under management were finalized and, as a result, we recorded an additional after-tax cash gain of \$6 million as of March 31, 2014. Since February 21, 2013, when we agreed to sell Victory, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation.

The results of this discontinued business are included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes on the income statement. The components of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes for Victory, which includes the additional gain recorded as of March 31, 2014, on the sale of this business, are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net interest income	\$ 1		\$ 2	
Noninterest income		\$ 28	10	\$ 57
Noninterest expense		22		43
Income (loss) before income taxes	1	6	12	14
Income taxes	1	2	5	5
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes		\$ 4	\$ 7	\$ 9

Table of Contents

The discontinued assets and liabilities of Victory included on the balance sheet are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Cash and due from banks			\$ 1
Seller note	\$ 28	\$ 29	
Accrued income and other assets			35
Total assets	\$ 28	\$ 29	\$ 36
Accrued expense and other liabilities			\$ 30
Total liabilities			\$ 30

The only remaining asset of Victory is a \$28 million Seller note. The Seller note was accounted for at fair value and classified as a Level 3 asset through December 31, 2013. Since the contingency involving certain fund outflows was resolved, the Seller note was no longer accounted for at fair value subsequent to December 31, 2013.

Austin Capital Management, Ltd. In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. As a result, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation.

The results of this discontinued business are included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes on the income statement. The components of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes for Austin are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Noninterest expense			\$ 4	
Income (loss) before income taxes			(4)	
Income taxes			(2)	\$ 2
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes			\$ (2)	\$ (2)

The discontinued assets and liabilities of Austin included on the balance sheet are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Cash and due from banks	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 21

Total assets	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 21
Accrued expense and other liabilities	\$ 3		
Total liabilities	\$ 3		

Combined discontinued operations. The combined results of the discontinued operations are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net interest income	\$ 24	\$ 26	\$ 48	\$ 54
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	6	(2)	10	4
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	18	28	38	50
Noninterest income	(56)	10	(60)	23
Noninterest expense	6	29	16	57
Income (loss) before income taxes	(44)	9	(38)	16
Income taxes	(16)	4	(14)	8
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	\$ (28)	\$ 5	\$ (24)	\$ 8

- (a) Includes after-tax charges of \$8 million and \$11 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$17 million and \$21 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively, determined by applying a matched funds transfer pricing methodology to the liabilities assumed necessary to support the discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

The combined assets and liabilities of the discontinued operations are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Cash and due from banks	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ 22
Seller note	28	29	
Trust loans at fair value	1,711	1,960	2,317
Portfolio loans at fair value	209	147	151
Loans, net of unearned income of (\$2), (\$6), and (\$5)	2,242	2,390	2,524
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	32	39	41
Net loans	4,130	4,458	4,951
Trust accrued income and other assets at fair value	19	20	24
Accrued income and other assets	41	45	87
Total assets	\$ 4,237	\$ 4,572	\$ 5,084
Trust accrued expense and other liabilities at fair value	\$ 17	\$ 20	\$ 21
Accrued expense and other liabilities	3		30
Trust securities at fair value	1,660	1,834	2,118
Total liabilities	\$ 1,680	\$ 1,854	\$ 2,169

Table of Contents**12. Securities Financing Activities**

We enter into repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowed transactions (securities financing agreements) primarily to finance our inventory positions, acquire securities to cover short positions, and to settle other securities obligations. We account for these securities financing agreements as collateralized financing transactions. Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are recorded on the balance sheet at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently sold or repurchased. Securities borrowed transactions are recorded on the balance sheet at the amounts of cash collateral advanced. While our securities financing agreements incorporate a right of set off, the assets and liabilities are reported on a gross basis. Repurchase agreements and securities borrowed transactions are included in Accrued income and other assets on the balance sheet; reverse repurchase agreements are included in Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements.

The following table summarizes our securities financing agreements as of June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013:

	June 30, 2014			
<i>in millions</i>	Gross Amount Presented in Balance Sheet	Netting Adjustments ^(a)	Collateral ^(b)	Net Amounts
Offsetting of financial assets:				
Reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 540	\$ (216)	\$ (315)	\$ 9
Securities borrowed	24		(23)	1
Total	\$ 564	\$ (216)	\$ (338)	\$ 10
Offsetting of financial liabilities:				
Repurchase agreements	\$ 495	\$ (216)	\$ (279)	
Total	\$ 495	\$ (216)	\$ (279)	

	December 31, 2013			
<i>in millions</i>	Gross Amount Presented in Balance Sheet	Netting Adjustments ^(a)	Collateral ^(b)	Net Amounts
Offsetting of financial assets:				
Reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 347	\$ (278)	\$ (66)	\$ 3
Securities borrowed	12		(12)	
Total	\$ 359	\$ (278)	\$ (78)	\$ 3

Offsetting of financial liabilities:				
Repurchase agreements	\$ 517	\$	(278)	\$ (239)
Total	\$ 517	\$	(278)	\$ (239)

June 30, 2013

<i>in millions</i>	Gross Amount Presented in Balance Sheet	Netting Adjustments ^(a)	Collateral ^(b)	Net Amounts
Offsetting of financial assets:				
Reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 334	\$ (93)	\$ (235)	\$ 6
Securities borrowed	1		(1)	
Total	\$ 335	\$ (93)	\$ (236)	\$ 6
Offsetting of financial liabilities:				
Repurchase agreements	\$ 350	\$ (93)	\$ (257)	
Total	\$ 350	\$ (93)	\$ (257)	

- (a) Netting adjustments take into account the impact of master netting agreements that allow us to settle with a single counterparty on a net basis.
- (b) These adjustments take into account the impact of bilateral collateral agreements that allow us to offset the net positions with the related collateral. The application of collateral cannot reduce the net position below zero. Therefore, excess collateral, if any, is not reflected above.

Table of Contents

Like other financing transactions, securities financing agreements contain an element of credit risk. To mitigate and manage credit risk exposure, we generally enter into master netting agreements and other collateral arrangements that give us the right, in the event of default, to liquidate collateral held and to offset receivables and payables with the same counterparty. Additionally, we establish and monitor limits on our counterparty credit risk exposure by product type. For the reverse repurchase agreements, we monitor the value of the underlying securities we have received from counterparties and either request additional collateral or return a portion of the collateral based on the value of those securities. We generally hold collateral in the form of highly rated securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and fixed income securities. In addition, we may need to provide collateral to counterparties under our repurchase agreements and securities borrowed transactions. In general, the collateral we pledge and receive can be sold or repledged by the secured parties.

Table of Contents**13. Employee Benefits****Pension Plans**

Effective December 31, 2009, we amended our cash balance pension plan and other defined benefit plans to freeze all benefit accruals and close the plans to new employees. We will continue to credit participants' existing account balances for interest until they receive their plan benefits. We changed certain pension plan assumptions after freezing the plans.

The components of net pension cost (benefit) for all funded and unfunded plans are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest cost on PBO	\$ 12	\$ 10	\$ 24	\$ 20
Expected return on plan assets	(17)	(17)	(34)	(34)
Amortization of losses	4	5	8	10
Net pension cost (benefit)	\$ (1)	\$ (2)	\$ (2)	\$ (4)

Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

We sponsor a retiree healthcare plan in which all employees age 55 with five years of service (or employees age 50 with 15 years of service who are terminated under conditions that entitle them to a severance benefit) are eligible to participate. Participant contributions are adjusted annually. We may provide a subsidy toward the cost of coverage for certain employees hired before 2001 with a minimum of 15 years of service at the time of termination. We use a separate VEBA trust to fund the retiree healthcare plan.

The components of net postretirement benefit cost for all funded and unfunded plans are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest cost on APBO	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 2
Expected return on plan assets	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)
Net postretirement benefit cost				

Table of Contents**14. Trust Preferred Securities Issued by Unconsolidated Subsidiaries**

We own the outstanding common stock of business trusts formed by us that issued corporation-obligated mandatorily redeemable trust preferred securities. The trusts used the proceeds from the issuance of their trust preferred securities and common stock to buy debentures issued by KeyCorp. These debentures are the trusts' only assets; the interest payments from the debentures finance the distributions paid on the mandatorily redeemable trust preferred securities.

We unconditionally guarantee the following payments or distributions on behalf of the trusts:

required distributions on the trust preferred securities;

the redemption price when a capital security is redeemed; and

the amounts due if a trust is liquidated or terminated.

The Regulatory Capital Rules, discussed in "Supervision and regulation" in Item 2 of this report, implement a phase-out of trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital, consistent with the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. For "standardized approach" banking organizations such as Key, the phase-out period begins on January 1, 2015, and by 2016 will require us to treat our mandatorily redeemable trust preferred securities as Tier 2 capital.

As of June 30, 2014, the trust preferred securities issued by the KeyCorp capital trusts represent \$339 million, or 3.4%, of our total qualifying Tier 1 capital, net of goodwill.

The trust preferred securities, common stock, and related debentures are summarized as follows:

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Trust Preferred Securities, Net of Discount ^(a)	Common Stock	Principal Amount of Debentures, Net of Discount ^(b)	Interest Rate of Trust Preferred Securities and Debentures ^(c)	Maturity of Trust Preferred Securities and Debentures
June 30, 2014					
KeyCorp Capital I	\$ 156	\$ 6	\$ 162	.973%	2028
KeyCorp Capital II	105	4	109	6.875	2029
KeyCorp Capital III	136	4	140	7.750	2029
Total	\$ 397	\$ 14	\$ 411	4.856%	
December 31, 2013	\$ 384	\$ 14	\$ 398	4.777%	
June 30, 2013	\$ 394	\$ 14	\$ 408	4.858%	

- (a) The trust preferred securities must be redeemed when the related debentures mature, or earlier if provided in the governing indenture. Each issue of trust preferred securities carries an interest rate identical to that of the related debenture. Certain trust preferred securities include basis adjustments related to fair value hedges totaling \$57 million at June 30, 2014, \$44 million at December 31, 2013, and \$54 million at June 30, 2013. See Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities) for an explanation of fair value hedges.
- (b) We have the right to redeem these debentures. If the debentures purchased by KeyCorp Capital I are redeemed before they mature, the redemption price will be the principal amount, plus any accrued but unpaid interest. If the debentures purchased by KeyCorp Capital II or KeyCorp Capital III are redeemed before they mature, the redemption price will be the greater of: (a) the principal amount, plus any accrued but unpaid interest, or (b) the sum of the present values of principal and interest payments discounted at the Treasury Rate (as defined in the applicable indenture), plus 20 basis points for KeyCorp Capital II or 25 basis points for KeyCorp Capital III or 50 basis points in the case of redemption upon either a tax or a capital treatment event for either KeyCorp Capital II or KeyCorp Capital III, plus any accrued but unpaid interest. The principal amount of certain debentures includes basis adjustments related to fair value hedges totaling \$57 million at June 30, 2014, \$44 million at December 31, 2013, and \$54 million at June 30, 2013. See Note 7 for an explanation of fair value hedges. The principal amount of debentures, net of discounts, is included in long-term debt on the balance sheet.
- (c) The interest rates for the trust preferred securities issued by KeyCorp Capital II and KeyCorp Capital III are fixed. KeyCorp Capital I has a floating interest rate, equal to three-month LIBOR plus 74 basis points, that reprices quarterly. The total interest rates are weighted-average rates.

Table of Contents**15. Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees****Legal Proceedings**

The following discussion provides information on material developments in our legal proceedings during the second quarter of 2014. Additional information on our legal proceedings is available on pages 203-204 of our 2013 Form 10-K, Note 20 (Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Legal Proceedings, and in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, Note 15 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Legal Proceedings on page 76.

Austin Madoff-Related Claims. As previously reported, Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers, determined that its funds had suffered investment losses of up to approximately \$186 million resulting from the crimes perpetrated by Bernard L. Madoff and entities that he controlled. The investment losses borne by Austin's funds stemmed from investments in a certain Madoff-advised hedge fund. Several lawsuits pending against Austin, KeyCorp, Victory, and certain employees and former employees were consolidated into one action styled *In re Austin Capital Management, Ltd., Securities & Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation*, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In April 2014, the parties reached an agreement to settle the outstanding claims remaining under the litigation, subject to approval by the court following notice to the class members. The court has scheduled a hearing to approve the settlement agreement on September 12, 2014. We believe that the settlement, when approved, will be covered under our insurance policies and will not have a material impact on our financial condition.

Checking Account Overdraft Litigation. As previously reported, KeyBank was named a defendant in a putative class action seeking to represent a national class of KeyBank customers allegedly harmed by KeyBank's overdraft practices. The case was transferred and consolidated for purposes of pretrial discovery and motion proceedings to a multidistrict proceeding styled *In Re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation* pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida (the District Court). KeyBank filed a notice of appeal in regard to the denial by the District Court of a motion to compel arbitration. On August 21, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (the Eleventh Circuit) vacated the District Court's order denying KeyBank's motion to compel arbitration and remanded the case for further consideration. On June 21, 2013, KeyBank filed with the District Court its renewed motion to compel arbitration and stay or dismiss litigation. On August 27, 2013, the District Court granted KeyBank's renewed motion to compel arbitration and dismissed the case. The plaintiff appealed. On June 18, 2014, the Eleventh Circuit vacated the District Court's order granting KeyBank's renewed motion to compel arbitration and remanded the case to the District Court to address the issue of the enforceability of KeyBank's arbitration provision.

Other litigation. From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are subject to various other litigation, investigations, and administrative proceedings. Private, civil litigations may range from individual actions involving a single plaintiff to putative class action lawsuits with potentially thousands of class members. Investigations may involve both formal and informal proceedings, by both government agencies and self-regulatory bodies. These other matters may involve claims for substantial monetary relief. At times, these matters may present novel claims or legal theories. Due to the complex nature of these various other matters, it may be years before some matters are resolved. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability, based on information presently known to us, we do not believe there is any other matter to which we are a party, or involving any of our properties that, individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. We note, however, that in light of the inherent uncertainty in legal proceedings there can be no assurance that the ultimate resolution will not exceed established reserves. As a result, the outcome of a particular matter, or a combination of matters, may be material to our results of operations for a particular period, depending upon the size of the loss or our income for that particular period.

Table of Contents**Guarantees**

We are a guarantor in various agreements with third parties. The following table shows the types of guarantees that we had outstanding at June 30, 2014. Information pertaining to the basis for determining the liabilities recorded in connection with these guarantees is included in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Guarantees beginning on page 125 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

June 30, 2014	Maximum Potential	
<i>in millions</i>	Undiscounted	Liability
	Future	Recorded
	Payments	
Financial guarantees:		
Standby letters of credit	\$ 10,969	\$ 72
Recourse agreement with FNMA	1,365	4
Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors	5	5
Written put options ^(a)	2,198	16
Total	\$ 14,537	\$ 97

(a) The maximum potential undiscounted future payments represent notional amounts of derivatives qualifying as guarantees.

We determine the payment/performance risk associated with each type of guarantee described below based on the probability that we could be required to make the maximum potential undiscounted future payments shown in the preceding table. We use a scale of low (0-30% probability of payment), moderate (31-70% probability of payment), or high (71-100% probability of payment) to assess the payment/performance risk, and have determined that the payment/performance risk associated with each type of guarantee outstanding at June 30, 2014, is low.

Standby letters of credit. KeyBank issues standby letters of credit to address clients' financing needs. These instruments obligate us to pay a specified third party when a client fails to repay an outstanding loan or debt instrument or fails to perform some contractual nonfinancial obligation. Any amounts drawn under standby letters of credit are treated as loans to the client; they bear interest (generally at variable rates) and pose the same credit risk to us as a loan. At June 30, 2014, our standby letters of credit had a remaining weighted-average life of 3.1 years, with remaining actual lives ranging from less than one year to as many as 30 years.

Recourse agreement with FNMA. We participate as a lender in the FNMA Delegated Underwriting and Servicing program. FNMA delegates responsibility for originating, underwriting, and servicing mortgages, and we assume a limited portion of the risk of loss during the remaining term on each commercial mortgage loan that we sell to FNMA. We maintain a reserve for such potential losses in an amount that we believe approximates the fair value of our liability. At June 30, 2014, the outstanding commercial mortgage loans in this program had a weighted-average remaining term of 7.2 years, and the unpaid principal balance outstanding of loans sold by us as a participant was \$4.2 billion. As shown in the preceding table, the maximum potential amount of undiscounted future payments that we could be required to make under this program is equal to approximately one-third of the principal balance of loans outstanding at June 30, 2014. If we are required to make a payment, we would have an interest in the collateral

underlying the related commercial mortgage loan; any loss we incur could be offset by the amount of any recovery from the collateral.

Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors. KAHC, a subsidiary of KeyBank, offered limited partnership interests to qualified investors. Partnerships formed by KAHC invested in low-income residential rental properties that qualify for federal low-income housing tax credits under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. In certain partnerships, investors paid a fee to KAHC for a guaranteed return that is based on the financial performance of the property and the property's confirmed LIHTC status throughout a 15-year compliance period. Typically, KAHC fulfills these guaranteed returns by distributing tax credits and deductions associated with the specific properties. If KAHC defaults on its obligation to provide the guaranteed return, KeyBank is obligated to make any necessary payments to investors. No recourse or collateral is available to offset our guarantee obligation other than the underlying income stream from the properties and the residual value of the operating partnership interests.

As shown in the previous table, KAHC maintained a reserve in the amount of \$5 million at June 30, 2014, which is sufficient to cover estimated future obligations under the guarantees. The maximum exposure to loss reflected in the table represents undiscounted future payments due to investors for the return on and of their investments.

These guarantees have expiration dates that extend through 2018, but KAHC has not formed any new partnerships under this program since October 2003. Additional information regarding these partnerships is included in Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities).

Written put options. In the ordinary course of business, we write put options for clients that wish to mitigate their exposure to changes in interest rates and commodity prices. At June 30, 2014, our written put options had an average life of 2 years. These instruments are considered to be guarantees, as we are required to make payments to the counterparty (the client) based on changes in an underlying variable that is related to an asset, a liability, or an equity security that the client

Table of Contents

holds. We are obligated to pay the client if the applicable benchmark interest rate or commodity price is above or below a specified level (known as the strike rate). These written put options are accounted for as derivatives at fair value, as further discussed in Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities). We mitigate our potential future payment obligations by entering into offsetting positions with third parties.

Written put options where the counterparty is a broker-dealer or bank are accounted for as derivatives at fair value but are not considered guarantees since these counterparties typically do not hold the underlying instruments. In addition, we are a purchaser and seller of credit derivatives, which are further discussed in Note 7.

Default guarantees. Some lines of business participate in guarantees that obligate us to perform if the debtor (typically a client) fails to satisfy all of its payment obligations to third parties. We generally undertake these guarantees for one of two possible reasons: (i) either the risk profile of the debtor should provide an investment return, or (ii) we are supporting our underlying investment in the debtor. We do not hold collateral for the default guarantees. If we were required to make a payment under a guarantee, we would receive a pro rata share should the third party collect some or all of the amounts due from the debtor. At June 30, 2014, we did not have any default guarantees.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Other off-balance sheet risk stems from financial instruments that do not meet the definition of a guarantee as specified in the applicable accounting guidance, and from other relationships.

Liquidity facilities that support asset-backed commercial paper conduits. Since June 30, 2012, we have not had any liquidity facilities remaining outstanding with any unconsolidated third-party commercial paper conduits.

Indemnifications provided in the ordinary course of business. We provide certain indemnifications, primarily through representations and warranties in contracts that we execute in the ordinary course of business in connection with loan and lease sales and other ongoing activities, as well as in connection with purchases and sales of businesses. We maintain reserves, when appropriate, with respect to liability that reasonably could arise as a result of these indemnities.

Intercompany guarantees. KeyCorp, KeyBank, and certain of our affiliates are parties to various guarantees that facilitate the ongoing business activities of other affiliates. These business activities encompass issuing debt, assuming certain lease and insurance obligations, purchasing or issuing investments and securities, and engaging in certain leasing transactions involving clients.

Table of Contents**16. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income**

Our changes in AOCI for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, are as follows:

<i>in millions</i>	Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities		Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments		Foreign currency translation adjustment		Net pension and retirement benefit costs		Total
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	(63)	\$	(11)	\$	42	\$	(320)	\$(352)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification, net of income taxes		57		22					79
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes ^(a)				(18)		(3)		5	(16)
Net current-period other comprehensive income, net of income taxes		57		4		(3)		5	63
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$	(6)	\$	(7)	\$	39	\$	(315)	\$(289)
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$	(34)	\$	(12)	\$	40	\$	(318)	\$(324)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification, net of income taxes		28		15					43
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes ^(a)				(10)		(1)		3	(8)
Net current-period other comprehensive income, net of income taxes		28		5		(1)		3	35
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$	(6)	\$	(7)	\$	39	\$	(315)	\$(289)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	229	\$	18	\$	55	\$	(426)	\$(124)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification, net of income taxes		(147)		(22)		(10)			(179)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes ^(a)				(17)		(4)		6	(15)
Net current-period other comprehensive income, net of income taxes		(147)		(39)		(14)		6	(194)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$	82	\$	(21)	\$	41	\$	(420)	\$(318)
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$	207	\$	10	\$	44	\$	(423)	\$(162)

Other comprehensive income before reclassification, net of income taxes	(125)	(24)	(3)	(152)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes ^(a)		(7)	3	(4)
Net current-period other comprehensive income, net of income taxes	(125)	(31)	(3)	3 (156)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 82	\$ (21)	\$ 41	\$ (420) \$ (318)

(a) See table below for details about these reclassifications.

Table of Contents

Our reclassifications out of AOCI for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, are as follows:

Six months ended June 30, 2014	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
<i>in millions</i>		
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments		
Interest rate	\$ 31	Interest income Loans
Interest rate	(2)	Interest expense Long term debt
Foreign exchange contracts	(1)	Other income
	28	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	10	Income taxes
	\$ 18	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 3	Corporate services income
	3	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
		Income taxes
	\$ 3	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs		
Amortization of losses	\$ (8)	Personnel expense
	(8)	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	(3)	Income taxes
	\$ (5)	Income (loss) from continuing operations

Three months ended June 30, 2014	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
<i>in millions</i>		

Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments			
Interest rate	\$	16	Interest income Loans
Interest rate		(1)	Interest expense Long term debt
Foreign exchange contracts		(1)	Other income
		14	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
		4	Income taxes
	\$	10	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Foreign currency translation adjustment			
			Corporate services income
			Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	\$	(1)	Income taxes
	\$	1	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs			
Amortization of losses	\$	(4)	Personnel expense
		(4)	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
		(1)	Income taxes
	\$	(3)	Income (loss) from continuing operations

Table of Contents

Six months ended June 30, 2013	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
<i>in millions</i>		
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments		
Interest rate	\$ 35	Interest income Loans
Interest rate	(4)	Interest expense Long term debt
Foreign exchange contracts	(3)	Other income
	28	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	11	Income taxes
	\$ 17	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Foreign currency translation adjustment		
	\$ 7	Corporate services income
	7	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	3	Income taxes
	\$ 4	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs		
Amortization of losses	\$ (10)	Personnel expense
	(10)	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes
	(4)	Income taxes
	\$ (6)	Income (loss) from continuing operations
Three months ended June 30, 2013		
	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented
<i>in millions</i>		
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments		
Interest rate	\$ 13	Interest income Loans
Interest rate	(1)	Interest expense Long term debt
Foreign exchange contracts		Other income
	12	Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes

5

Income taxes

	\$	7	Income (loss) from continuing operations
--	----	---	--

Net pension and postretirement benefit costs

Amortization of losses	\$	(5)	Personnel expense
------------------------	----	-----	-------------------

Income (loss) from continuing operations

(5) before income taxes

		(2)	Income taxes
--	--	-----	--------------

	\$	(3)	Income (loss) from continuing operations
--	----	-----	--

Table of Contents

17. Shareholders Equity

Comprehensive Capital Plan

As previously reported and as authorized by our Board of Directors and pursuant to our 2014 capital plan submitted to and not objected to by the Federal Reserve, we have authority to repurchase up to \$542 million of our common shares, which include repurchases to offset issuances of common shares under our employee compensation plans. Common share repurchases under our 2014 capital plan are expected to be executed through the first quarter of 2015. During the second quarter of 2014, we completed \$108 million of common share repurchases under our 2014 capital plan authorization.

Our 2014 capital plan also proposed an increase in our quarterly common share dividend from \$.055 to \$.065 per share. This dividend increase was approved in May 2014 by our Board, who subsequently declared a quarterly dividend of \$.065 per common share for the second quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents

18. Line of Business Results

The specific lines of business that constitute each of the major business segments (operating segments) are described below.

Key Community Bank

Key Community Bank serves individuals and small to mid-sized businesses through its 12-state branch network.

Individuals are provided branch-based deposit and investment products, personal finance services, and loans, including residential mortgages, home equity, credit card, and various types of installment loans. In addition, financial, estate and retirement planning, asset management services, and Delaware Trust capabilities are offered to assist high-net-worth clients with their banking, trust, portfolio management, insurance, charitable giving, and related needs.

Small businesses are provided deposit, investment and credit products, and business advisory services. Mid-sized businesses are provided products and services that include commercial lending, cash management, equipment leasing, investment and employee benefit programs, succession planning, access to capital markets, derivatives, and foreign exchange.

On April 8, 2014, we announced a new leadership structure for Key Community Bank: Community Bank Co-President, Commercial & Private Banking and Community Bank Co-President, Consumer & Small Business. In this structure, the Community Bank Co-Presidents work as a team to lead the Community Bank, which continues to operate as one business segment.

Key Corporate Bank

Key Corporate Bank is a full-service corporate and investment bank focused principally on serving the needs of middle market clients in six industry sectors: consumer, energy, healthcare, industrial, public sector, and real estate. Key Corporate Bank delivers a broad product suite of banking and capital markets products to its clients, including syndicated finance, debt and equity capital markets, commercial payments, equipment finance, commercial mortgage banking, derivatives, foreign exchange, financial advisory, and public finance. Key Corporate Bank is also a significant servicer of commercial mortgage loans and a significant special servicer of CMBS. Key Corporate Bank also delivers many of its product capabilities to clients of Key Community Bank.

Other Segments

Other Segments consist of Corporate Treasury, Community Development, Principal Investing and various exit portfolios.

Reconciling Items

Total assets included under Reconciling Items primarily represent the unallocated portion of nonearning assets of corporate support functions. Charges related to the funding of these assets are part of net interest income and are allocated to the business segments through noninterest expense. Reconciling Items also includes intercompany eliminations and certain items that are not allocated to the business segments because they do not reflect their normal operations.

The table on the following pages shows selected financial data for our two major business segments for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

The information was derived from the internal financial reporting system that we use to monitor and manage our financial performance. GAAP guides financial accounting, but there is no authoritative guidance for management accounting the way we use our judgment and experience to make reporting decisions. Consequently, the line of business results we report may not be comparable to line of business results presented by other companies.

The selected financial data are based on internal accounting policies designed to compile results on a consistent basis and in a manner that reflects the underlying economics of the businesses. In accordance with our policies:

Net interest income is determined by assigning a standard cost for funds used or a standard credit for funds provided based on their assumed maturity, prepayment, and/or repricing characteristics.

Indirect expenses, such as computer servicing costs and corporate overhead, are allocated based on assumptions regarding the extent to which each line of business actually uses the services.

Table of Contents

The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses is allocated among the lines of business primarily based on their actual net loan charge-offs, adjusted periodically for loan growth and changes in risk profile. The amount of the consolidated provision is based on the methodology that we use to estimate our consolidated ALLL. This methodology is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 118 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Income taxes are allocated based on the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% and a blended state income tax rate (net of the federal income tax benefit) of 2.2%.

Capital is assigned to each line of business based on regulatory requirements. Developing and applying the methodologies that we use to allocate items among our lines of business is a dynamic process. Accordingly, financial results may be revised periodically to reflect enhanced alignment of expense base allocation drivers, changes in the risk profile of a particular business, or changes in our organizational structure.

Table of Contents

Three months ended June 30, <i>dollars in millions</i>	Key Community Bank		Key Corporate Bank	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS				
Net interest income (TE)	\$ 362	\$ 383	\$ 207	\$ 196
Noninterest income	188	200	187	182
Total revenue (TE) ^(a)	550	583	394	378
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	23	41		(7)
Depreciation and amortization expense	16	19	7	7
Other noninterest expense	424	440	201	187
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (TE)	87	83	186	191
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	32	31	66	70
Income (loss) from continuing operations	55	52	120	121
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes				
Net income (loss)	55	52	120	121
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests			2	
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 55	\$ 52	\$ 118	\$ 121
AVERAGE BALANCES ^(b)				
Loans and leases	\$ 30,025	\$ 29,161	\$ 22,361	\$ 19,536
Total assets ^(a)	32,145	31,571	26,194	23,251
Deposits	50,146	49,473	16,127	15,606
OTHER FINANCIAL DATA				
Net loan charge-offs ^(b)	\$ 33	\$ 42	\$ (2)	\$ (4)
Return on average allocated equity ^(b)	8.19%	7.10%	32.62%	30.58%
Return on average allocated equity	8.19	7.10	32.62	30.58
Average full-time equivalent employees ^(c)	7,529	8,316	1,943	1,886

Six months ended June 30, <i>dollars in millions</i>	Key Community Bank		Key Corporate Bank	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS				
Net interest income (TE)	\$ 725	\$ 770	\$ 401	\$ 391
Noninterest income	366	387	384	370
Total revenue (TE) ^(a)	1,091	1,157	785	761
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	32	99	(1)	(4)
Depreciation and amortization expense	34	39	14	14
Other noninterest expense	839	863	395	383

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (TE)	186	156	377	368
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	69	58	136	134
Income (loss) from continuing operations	117	98	241	234
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes				
Net income (loss)	117	98	241	234
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests			2	
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 117	\$ 98	\$ 239	\$ 234
AVERAGE BALANCES ^(b)				
Loans and leases	\$ 29,909	\$ 29,069	\$ 21,906	\$ 19,501
Total assets ^(a)	32,045	31,523	25,781	23,216
Deposits	49,986	49,411	15,964	14,790
OTHER FINANCIAL DATA				
Net loan charge-offs ^(b)	\$ 61	\$ 89	\$ (16)	\$ (5)
Return on average allocated equity ^(b)	8.62%	6.74%	32.94%	29.83%
Return on average allocated equity	8.62	6.74	32.94	29.83
Average full-time equivalent employees ^(c)	7,592	8,511	1,935	1,874

- (a) Substantially all revenue generated by our major business segments is derived from clients that reside in the United States. Substantially all long-lived assets, including premises and equipment, capitalized software, and goodwill held by our major business segments, are located in the United States.
- (b) From continuing operations.
- (c) The number of average full-time equivalent employees was not adjusted for discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Other Segments		Total Segments		Reconciling Items		Key	
2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
\$ 9	\$ 7	\$ 578	\$ 586	\$ 1		\$ 579	\$ 586
82	49	457	431	(2)	\$ (2)	455	429
91	56	1,035	1,017	(1)	(2)	1,034	1,015
(13)	(7)	10	27		1	10	28
3	4	26	30	38	35	64	65
18	22	643	649	(18)	(3)	625	646
83	37	356	311	(21)	(35)	335	276
7	(12)	105	89	(23)	(12)	82	77
76	49	251	222	2	(23)	253	199
				(28)	5	(28)	5
76	49	251	222	(26)	(18)	225	204
4		6				6	
\$ 72	\$ 49	\$ 245	\$ 222	\$ (26)	\$ (18)	\$ 219	\$ 204
\$ 3,148	\$ 3,946	\$ 55,534	\$ 52,643	\$ 77	\$ 53	\$ 55,611	\$ 52,696
27,696	28,650	86,035	83,472	706	576	86,741	84,048
952	759	67,225	65,838	(102)	(397)	67,123	65,441
	\$ 7	\$ 31	\$ 45	\$ (1)		\$ 30	\$ 45
49.28%	27.88%	20.77%	17.02%	.14%	(1.81)%	9.47%	7.74%
49.28	27.88	20.77	17.02	(1.82)	(1.42)	8.40	7.93
99	123	9,571	10,325	4,296	4,674	13,867	14,999

Other Segments		Total Segments		Reconciling Items		Key	
2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
\$ 20	\$ 14	\$ 1,146	\$ 1,175	\$ 2		\$ 1,148	\$ 1,175
141	96	891	853	(1)	\$ 1	890	854
161	110	2,037	2,028	1	1	2,038	2,029
(15)	(13)	16	82		1	16	83
6	8	54	61	76	71	130	132
38	44	1,272	1,290	(51)	(30)	1,221	1,260
132	71	695	595	(24)	(41)	671	554
1	(23)	206	169	(26)	(16)	180	153
131	94	489	426	2	(25)	491	401

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

				(24)	8	(24)	8
131	94	489	426	(22)	(17)	467	409
4	1	6	1			6	1
\$ 127	\$ 93	\$ 483	\$ 425	\$ (22)	\$ (17)	\$ 461	\$ 408
\$ 3,305	\$ 4,042	\$ 55,120	\$ 52,612	\$ 61	\$ 49	\$ 55,181	\$ 52,661
27,693	28,067	85,519	82,806	683	579	86,202	83,385
903	693	66,853	64,894	(142)	(351)	66,711	64,543
\$ 6	\$ 10	\$ 51	\$ 94	\$ (1)		\$ 50	\$ 94
42.76%	26.08%	20.30%	16.37%	.07%	(1.00)%	4.66%	3.88%
42.76	26.08	20.30	16.37	(.79)	(.68)	4.43	3.96
110	121	9,637	10,506	4,324	4,691	13,961	15,197

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of KeyCorp

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheets of KeyCorp (Key) as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated statements of changes in equity and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of Key's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Key as of December 31, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein) and we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements in our report dated February 26, 2014. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Key as of December 31, 2013, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

Cleveland, Ohio
August 4, 2014

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations

Introduction

This section reviews the financial condition and results of operations of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries for the quarterly and year-to-date periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. Some tables may include additional periods to comply with disclosure requirements or to illustrate trends in greater depth. When you read this discussion, you should also refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes in this report. The page locations of specific sections and notes that we refer to are presented in the table of contents.

References to our 2013 Form 10-K refer to our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which has been filed with the SEC and is available on its website (www.sec.gov) or on our website (www.key.com/ir).

Terminology

Throughout this discussion, references to Key, we, our, us, and similar terms refer to the consolidated entity consisting of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. KeyCorp refers solely to the parent holding company, and KeyBank refers to KeyCorp's subsidiary bank, KeyBank National Association.

We want to explain some industry-specific terms at the outset so you can better understand the discussion that follows.

We use the phrase *continuing operations* in this document to mean all of our businesses other than the education lending business, Victory, and Austin. The education lending business and Austin have been accounted for as *discontinued operations* since 2009. Victory was classified as a *discontinued operation* in our first quarter 2013 financial reporting as a result of the sale of this business as announced on February 21, 2013, and closed on July 31, 2013.

Our *exit loan portfolios* are separate from our *discontinued operations*. These portfolios, which are in a run-off mode, stem from product lines we decided to cease because they no longer fit with our corporate strategy. These exit loan portfolios are included in *Other Segments*.

We engage in *capital markets activities* primarily through business conducted by our Key Corporate Bank segment. These activities encompass a variety of products and services. Among other things, we trade securities as a dealer, enter into derivative contracts (both to accommodate clients' financing needs and to mitigate certain risks), and conduct transactions in foreign currencies (both to accommodate clients' needs and to benefit from fluctuations in exchange rates).

For regulatory purposes, capital is divided into two classes. Federal regulations currently prescribe that at least one-half of a bank or BHC's *total risk-based capital* must qualify as *Tier 1 capital*. Both total and Tier 1 capital serve as bases for several measures of capital adequacy, which is an important indicator of financial stability and condition. As described under the heading "Regulatory capital and liquidity" Capital planning and stress testing in the section entitled "Supervision and Regulation" that begins on page 7 of our 2013 Form 10-K, the regulators are required to conduct a supervisory capital assessment of all BHCs with assets of at least \$50 billion, including

KeyCorp. As part of this capital adequacy review, banking regulators evaluate a component of Tier 1 capital, known as ***Tier 1 common equity***. The section entitled Capital adequacy provides more information on total capital, Tier 1 capital, and Tier 1 common equity and describes how the three measures are calculated. Additionally, a comprehensive list of the acronyms and abbreviations used throughout this discussion is included in Note 1 (Basis of Presentation).

Table of Contents

Selected financial data

Our financial performance for each of the last five quarters is summarized in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Selected Financial Data

Amounts in millions, except per share amounts	2014		Fourth	2013		Six months ended June	
	Second	First		Third	Second	2014	2013
PER THE PERIOD							
Interest income	\$ 639	\$ 630	\$ 649	\$ 647	\$ 657	\$ 1,269	\$ 1,324
Interest expense	66	67	66	69	76	133	160
Net interest income	573	563	583	578	581	1,136	1,164
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	10	6	19	28	28	16	85
Net interest income	455	435	453	459	429	890	854
Net interest expense	689	662	712	716	711	1,351	1,392
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	329	330	305	293	271	659	541
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	247	238	235	235	199	485	400
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(28)	4	(5)	37	5	(24)	8
Income (loss) attributable to Key	219	242	230	272	204	461	408
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	242	232	229	229	193	474	389
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(28)	4	(5)	37	5	(24)	8
Income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	214	236	224	266	198	450	397
PER COMMON SHARE							
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$.28	\$.26	\$.26	\$.25	\$.21	\$.54	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(.03)		(.01)	.04	.01	(.03)	.01
Income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders ^(b)	.24	.27	.25	.29	.22	.51	.43
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution	\$.27	\$.26	\$.26	\$.25	\$.21	\$.53	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes assuming dilution ^(a)	(.03)		(.01)	.04	.01	(.03)	.01
Income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution ^(b)	.24	.26	.25	.29	.22	.51	.44
Per share dividends paid	.065	.055	.055	.055	.055	.12	.105
Book value at period end	11.65	11.43	11.25	11.05	10.89	11.65	10.89
Adjustable book value at period end	10.50	10.28	10.11	9.92	9.77	10.50	9.77
Market price:							

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

h	14.59	14.70	13.55	12.63	11.09	14.70	11.09
v	12.90	12.25	11.24	11.05	9.29	12.25	8.29
se	14.33	14.24	13.42	11.40	11.04	14.33	11.04
ighted-average common shares outstanding (000)	875,298	884,727	890,516	901,904	913,736	879,986	917,008
ighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000) (c)	902,137	891,890	897,712	908,253	918,628	886,684	922,319
PERIOD END							
ns	\$ 55,600	\$ 55,445	\$ 54,457	\$ 53,597	\$ 53,101	\$ 55,600	\$ 53,101
ning assets	78,457	77,692	79,467	77,085	76,717	78,457	76,717
al assets	91,798	90,802	92,934	90,708	90,639	91,798	90,639
osits	67,799	67,266	69,262	68,535	67,721	67,799	67,721
g-term debt	8,213	7,712	7,650	6,154	6,666	8,213	6,666
y common shareholders equity	10,213	10,112	10,012	9,915	9,938	10,213	9,938
y shareholders equity	10,504	10,403	10,303	10,206	10,229	10,504	10,229
PERFORMANCE RATIOS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS							
urn on average total assets	1.14%	1.13%	1.08%	1.12%	.95%	1.13%	.95%
urn on average common equity	9.55	9.33	9.10	9.13	7.72	9.44	7.84
urn on average tangible common equity (d)	10.60	10.38	10.13	10.18	8.60	10.49	8.73
interest margin (TE)	2.98	3.00	3.01	3.11	3.13	2.99	3.13
h efficiency ratio (d)	65.8	64.9	67.4	67.5	69.1	65.4	67.5
PERFORMANCE RATIOS FROM CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS							
urn on average total assets	.96%	1.09%	1.00%	1.22%	.92%	1.03%	.92%
urn on average common equity	8.44	9.50	8.90	10.61	7.92	8.96	8.00
urn on average tangible common equity (d)	9.37	10.56	9.91	11.82	8.82	9.96	8.90
interest margin (TE)	2.94	2.95	2.91	3.06	3.07	2.95	3.12
n to deposit (e)	87.1	87.5	83.8	83.8	83.6	87.1	83.6
PITAL RATIOS AT PERIOD END							
y shareholders equity to assets	11.44%	11.46%	11.09%	11.25%	11.29%	11.44%	11.29%
y common shareholders equity to assets	11.13	11.14	10.78	10.94	10.96	11.13	10.96
gible common equity to tangible assets (d)	10.15	10.14	9.80	9.93	9.96	10.15	9.96
r 1 common equity (d)	11.25	11.27	11.22	11.17	11.18	11.25	11.18
r 1 risk-based capital	11.99	12.01	11.96	11.92	11.93	11.99	11.93
al risk-based capital	14.14	14.23	14.33	14.37	14.65	14.14	14.65
verage	11.24	11.30	11.11	11.33	11.25	11.24	11.25
UST AND BROKERAGE ASSETS							
ets under management	\$ 39,669	\$ 38,893	\$ 36,905	\$ 36,110	\$ 35,544	\$ 39,669	\$ 35,544
managed and brokerage assets	48,728	47,396	47,418	38,525	37,759	48,728	37,759
HER DATA							
verage full-time-equivalent employees	13,867	14,055	14,197	14,555	14,999	13,961	15,197
anches	1,009	1,027	1,028	1,044	1,052	1,009	1,052

- (a) In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In February 2013, we decided to sell Victory to a private equity fund. As a result of these decisions, we have accounted for these businesses as discontinued operations. For further discussion regarding the income (loss) from discontinued operations, see Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations).

- (b) EPS may not foot due to rounding.
- (c) Assumes conversion of common share options and other stock awards and/or convertible preferred stock, as applicable
- (d) See Figure 7 entitled GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations, which presents the computations of certain financial measures related to tangible common equity, Tier 1 common equity, and cash efficiency. The table reconciles the GAAP performance measures to the corresponding non-GAAP measures, which provides a basis for period-to-period comparisons.
- (e) Represents period-end consolidated total loans and loans held for sale (excluding education loans in the securitizations trusts) divided by period-end consolidated total deposits (excluding deposits in foreign office).

Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements

From time to time, we have made or will make forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements usually can be identified by the use of words such as goal, objective, plan, expect, anticipate, intend, project, believe, estimate, or other words of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations or forecasts of future events, circumstances, results or aspirations. Our disclosures in this report contain forward-looking statements. We may also make forward-looking statements in our other documents filed with or furnished to the SEC. In addition, we may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, representatives of the media, and others.

Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control. Our actual results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. There is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those described in forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

deterioration of commercial real estate market fundamentals;

defaults by our loan counterparties or clients;

adverse changes in credit quality trends;

declining asset prices;

changes in local, regional and international business, economic or political conditions;

the extensive and increasing regulation of the U.S. financial services industry;

changes in accounting policies, rules and interpretations;

increasing capital and liquidity standards under applicable regulatory rules;

unanticipated changes in our liquidity position, including but not limited to, changes in the cost of liquidity, our ability to enter the financial markets and to secure alternative funding sources;

our ability to receive dividends from our subsidiary, KeyBank;

downgrades in our credit ratings or those of KeyBank;

breaches of security or failures of our technology systems due to technological or other factors and cybersecurity threats;

operational or risk management failures by us or critical third-parties;

adverse judicial proceedings;

the occurrence of natural or man-made disasters or conflicts or terrorist attacks;

a reversal of the U.S. economic recovery due to financial, political or other shocks;

our ability to anticipate interest rate changes and manage interest rate risk;

deterioration of economic conditions in the geographic regions where we operate;

the soundness of other financial institutions;

Table of Contents

our ability to attract and retain talented executives and employees and to manage our reputational risks;

our ability to timely and effectively implement our strategic initiatives;

increased competitive pressure due to industry consolidation;

unanticipated adverse effects of acquisitions and dispositions of assets or businesses;

our ability to develop and effectively use the quantitative models we rely upon in our business planning; and

other risks and uncertainties discussed in the section "Supervision and regulation" in Item 2 of this report, and Part I, Item 1. Business under the heading "Supervision and Regulation" and Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2013 Form 10-K.

Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of subsequent events or circumstances. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider all risks and uncertainties disclosed in our SEC filings, including our reports on Forms 8-K, 10-Q, and 10-K, and our registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, all of which are or will upon filing be accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and on our website at www.key.com/ir.

Economic overview

The economy began to recover in the second quarter of 2014, as real gross domestic product (GDP) grew by an annualized 4% after a very disappointing first quarter. The improvement, however, has been modest, with data clearly more positive but often lagging expectations. Manufacturing data is showing the fundamentals necessary for a strong recovery, but the housing market remains mixed, with slow growth in residential construction and sales of both new and existing homes falling below year-ago levels. Consumer spending growth has remained modest, as very strong job growth has yet to translate into substantial wage growth, although consumers are now more confident than they have been since the Great Recession. Geopolitical tensions, prospective Federal Reserve actions, and mixed economic data for the most part kept markets in check throughout the second quarter.

In the second quarter, weak income growth remained an important constraint on consumption, although fundamentals appear to be strengthening. Real spending dropped for the second consecutive month in May 2014, led by declines in nondurable goods and services spending. Vehicle sales surged to an average of 16.5 million units in the second quarter of 2014, a jump from the 15.6 million units recorded in the first quarter of 2014. Retail sales were modest for the quarter, although showed a marked improvement from first quarter weakness. Consumer confidence increased, with the Conference Board measure ending the second quarter at 85.2%, up 3.0 points month-over-month to the highest level in over six years. Price gains accelerated, with the consumer price index finally eclipsing the 2% mark in April, and stayed there for consecutive months, driven by increases in energy and food prices. The core personal consumption expenditure index was up 1.5% year-over-year as of May 2014.

In the labor market, average monthly job gains rose to 272,000 during the second quarter of 2014, compared to average gains of 190,000 in the first quarter of 2014. Gains were across all job sectors and reflect a strong recovery in

the labor market after a rough first quarter. The unemployment rate decreased sharply, finishing the quarter at 6.1%, driven by a 479,000 gain in household employment coupled with a 533,000 decrease in the labor force. Despite the improved health of the labor market, participation remains historically low at 62.8% as of June 30, 2014.

The housing market continues to be mixed, with different results between indicators and month-to-month. In June 2014, existing home sales eclipsed 5 million units for the first time since October 2013 but remained below year-ago levels. New home sales were disappointing, with an 11.5% year-over-year decrease in June 2014. Housing starts have yet to pick up, totaling a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 893,000 in June 2014, up 7.5% year-over-year but missing estimates significantly. Permits decreased, driven by multi-family data. Home price appreciation is slowing, with the CoreLogic Home Price Index up only 8.8% year-over-year in May 2014.

The Federal Reserve continued tapering of asset purchases in the second quarter, reducing purchases by \$10 billion for the fifth consecutive meeting to a current pace of \$35 billion per month. However, mixed economic data, geopolitical tensions, and cautious forward guidance by the Federal Reserve have kept rates in check. The yield on the 10-year U.S. Treasury started the quarter at 2.77%, and slowly declined throughout the quarter, ending at 2.53%. At the current pace, the latest quantitative easing program (QE3) will end in the fourth quarter of 2014, with the federal funds rate not expected to increase until mid-to-late 2015.

Table of Contents**Long-term financial goals**

Our long-term financial goals are as follows:

Target a loan-to-core deposit ratio range of 90% to 100%;

Maintain a moderate risk profile by targeting a net loan charge-off ratio range of .40% to .60%;

Grow high quality and diverse revenue streams by targeting a net interest margin in excess of 3.50%, and a ratio of noninterest income to total revenue of greater than 40%;

Create positive operating leverage and target a cash efficiency ratio in the range of 60% to 65%; and

Achieve a return on average assets in the range of 1.00% to 1.25%.

Figure 2 shows the evaluation of our long-term financial goals for the second quarter and first six months of 2014.

Figure 2. Evaluation of Our Long-Term Financial Goals

KEY Business Model	Key Metrics ^(a)	2Q14	YTD 2014	Targets	Action Plans
Core funded	Loan to deposit ratio ^(b)	87%	87%	90-100%	Use integrated model to grow relationships and loans Improve deposit mix
Maintain a moderate risk profile	NCOs to average loans	.22%	.18%	.40-.60%	Focus on relationship clients Exit noncore portfolios
	Provision to average loans	.07%	.06%		Limit concentrations Focus on risk-adjusted returns
Growing high quality, diverse revenue streams	Net interest margin	2.98%	2.99%	> 3.50%	Improve funding mix Focus on risk-adjusted returns
	Noninterest income to total revenue	44%	44%	> 40%	Grow client relationships Capitalize on Key's total client solutions and cross-selling capabilities
	Cash efficiency ratio ^(c)	66%	65%	60-65%	

Creating positive operating leverage		Improve efficiency and effectiveness Better utilize technology Change cost base to more variable from fixed
Executing our strategies	Return on average assets 1.14% 1.13% 1.00-1.25%	Execute our client insight-driven relationship model Focus on operating leverage Improved funding mix with lower cost core deposits

- (a) Calculated from continuing operations, unless otherwise noted.
- (b) Represents period-end consolidated total loans and loans held for sale (excluding education loans in the securitization trusts) divided by period-end consolidated total deposits (excluding deposits in foreign office).
- (c) Excludes intangible asset amortization; Non-GAAP measures: see Figure 7 for reconciliation.

Strategic developments

We initiated the following actions during the first six months of 2014 to support our corporate strategy described in the Introduction section under the Corporate Strategy heading on page 38 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Our positive operating leverage as compared to the prior year reflected the work we have done to improve efficiency, with initiatives targeted for both revenue and expense. Revenue benefited from solid loan growth, which continued to outpace the industry, and was driven by a 10.8% increase in average commercial, financial and agricultural loans. We continued to benefit from our business model focusing on targeted industries and areas where we have been investing, with investment banking and debt placement fees increasing 12.3% and mortgage servicing fees 23.8% higher. In addition, noninterest expense was down 2.9% from one year ago.

Our strong risk management practices and a more favorable credit environment resulted in another quarter of positive credit quality trends. Net loan charge-offs were .22% of average loans, well below our targeted range, and nonperforming assets decreased 40.8% from the year-ago quarter.

Table of Contents

Capital management remains a priority for the remainder of 2014. On March 26, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced that it did not object to our 2014 capital plan submitted as part of the annual CCAR process. The 2014 capital plan includes a common share repurchase program of up to \$542 million. Share repurchases under the capital plan have been authorized by our Board and include repurchases to offset issuances of common shares under our employee compensation plans. Common share repurchases under the 2014 capital plan are expected to be executed through the first quarter of 2015. During the second quarter of 2014, we completed \$108 million of common share repurchases under our 2014 capital plan authorization.

Our 2014 capital plan also proposed an 18% increase in our quarterly common share dividend to \$.065 per share, which was approved by our Board in May 2014. Consistent with the 2014 capital plan, we made a dividend payment of \$.065 per share on our common shares during the second quarter of 2014.

We continue to take actions to drive growth and efficiency. These actions include leadership changes to leverage our alignment, accelerate momentum, and drive growth. We are also focused on growing our commercial payments business and maximizing the return from our recent investments, which included the launch of purchase and prepaid cards in the first quarter of 2014. In addition to these new payment products, we continue to invest in, and build out, our online and mobile capabilities. During the first quarter of 2014, we expanded our online account-opening tools to include more products and services. During the second quarter of 2014, we introduced the new KeyBank Hassle-Free Account for banking customers who want straightforward ways to make deposits, track money, obtain cash, and make payments without worrying about potential overdraft fees or other unexpected fees. In addition, as part of our actions to drive efficiency, we closed 18 branches and reduced headcount in our fixed income trading business by 20% during the second quarter of 2014.

We also made progress on other strategic initiatives, including improving sales productivity and strengthening our business mix through targeted investments and exiting businesses that are not a strategic fit. In the Community Bank, we are strengthening our sales management process and have seen a lift in sales productivity. In the Corporate Bank, we continue to see growth in new and expanded client relationships. In the first quarter of 2014, we announced that we would be exiting our international leasing operation, which had limited scale and connectivity to our other businesses. This decision is consistent with our commitment to allocate our capital to businesses that fit our strategy and generate appropriate risk-adjusted returns. On July 17, 2014, we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Pacific Crest Securities, a leading technology-focused investment bank and capital markets firm. This acquisition underscores our commitment to creating the leading corporate and investment bank serving middle market companies. The combined platforms bring together two firms with a shared vision of enhancing their differentiation in the market by capitalizing on the convergence of technology across traditional industry verticals. We expect this transaction to close during the third quarter of 2014, subject to regulatory approval, at which time Pacific Crest Securities will join KeyBanc Capital Markets, the corporate and investment banking business unit of Key.

Demographics

We have two major business segments: Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank.

Key Community Bank serves individuals and small to mid-sized businesses by offering a variety of deposit, investment, lending, credit card, and personalized wealth management products and business advisory services. These products and services are provided through our relationship managers and specialists working in our 12-state branch network, which is organized into eight internally defined geographic regions: Pacific, Rocky Mountains, Indiana,

Western Ohio and Michigan, Eastern Ohio, Western New York, Eastern New York, and New England.

Table of Contents

Figure 3 shows the geographic diversity of Key Community Bank's average deposits, commercial loans, and home equity loans.

Figure 3. Key Community Bank Geographic Diversity

Three months ended	Geographic Region									Total
	Pacific	Rocky Mountains	Indiana	West Ohio/ Michigan	East Ohio	Western New York	Eastern New York	New England	Non Region (a)	
June 30, 2014										
<i>dollars in millions</i>										
Average deposits	\$ 11,204	\$ 4,954	\$ 2,314	\$ 4,344	\$ 8,923	\$ 4,930	\$ 7,939	\$ 2,894	\$ 2,644	\$ 50,146
Percent of total	22.3%	9.9%	4.6%	8.7%	17.8%	9.8%	15.8%	5.8%	5.3%	100.0%
Average commercial loans	\$ 3,524	\$ 1,684	\$ 733	\$ 1,135	\$ 2,190	\$ 566	\$ 1,859	\$ 722	\$ 3,006	\$ 15,419
Percent of total	22.8%	10.9%	4.7%	7.4%	14.2%	3.7%	12.1%	4.7%	19.5%	100.0%
Average home equity loans	\$ 3,278	\$ 1,579	\$ 488	\$ 850	\$ 1,273	\$ 808	\$ 1,295	\$ 648	\$ 102	\$ 10,321
Percent of total	31.8%	15.3%	4.7%	8.2%	12.3%	7.8%	12.6%	6.3%	1.0%	100.0%

(a) Represents average deposits, commercial loan products, and home equity loan products centrally managed outside of our eight Key Community Bank regions.

Key Corporate Bank is a full-service corporate and investment bank focused principally on serving the needs of middle market clients in six industry sectors: consumer, energy, healthcare, industrial, public sector, and real estate. Key Corporate Bank delivers a broad product suite of banking and capital markets products to its clients, including syndicated finance, debt and equity capital markets, commercial payments, equipment finance, commercial mortgage banking, derivatives, foreign exchange, financial advisory, and public finance. Key Corporate Bank is also a significant servicer of commercial mortgage loans and a significant special servicer of CMBS. Key Corporate Bank delivers many of its product capabilities to clients of Key Community Bank.

Further information regarding the products and services offered by our Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank segments is included in this report in Note 18 (Line of Business Results).

Table of Contents**Supervision and regulation****Regulatory reform developments**

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act became law. It was intended to address perceived deficiencies and gaps in the regulatory framework for financial services in the U.S., reduce the risks of bank failures, better equip the nation's regulators to guard against or mitigate any future financial crises, and manage systemic risk through increased supervision of bank and nonbank SIFIs, such as KeyCorp and KeyBank. Further discussion concerning the Dodd-Frank Act, related regulatory developments, and the risks that they present to Key is available under the heading "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1. Business and under the heading "II. Compliance Risks" in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our 2013 Form 10-K. Many proposed rules referenced in our prior reports remain pending. The following discussion provides a summary of relevant regulatory developments relating to the Dodd-Frank Act or that relate to our results this quarter.

Debit card and interchange fees and routing

On July 31, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued a ruling in *NACS v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, vacating the Federal Reserve's Final Rule on Debit Card and Interchange Fees and Routing (the "Interchange Fee Rule"). Retail merchants and merchant groups challenged the Interchange Fee Rule, which had allowed debit card issuers to recover from merchants an interchange fee of \$.21 per transaction, a fee of five basis points of the value of the transaction and an additional \$.01 fraud prevention adjustment. The district court held that this fee structure, and the Interchange Fee Rule's requirements regarding the number of networks over which each debit card transaction can be processed, did not comply with the Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act. The Federal Reserve appealed. On March 21, 2014, the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued its opinion overturning the district court's decision and largely reinstating the Interchange Fee Rule. On July 7, 2014, the merchants and merchant groups filed with the U.S. Supreme Court an application to extend the time to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari until August 18, 2014. The application was granted on July 9, 2014. We continue to monitor this litigation.

Regulatory capital rules

In October 2013, federal banking regulators published the final Basel III capital framework for U.S. banking organizations (the "Regulatory Capital Rules"). The Regulatory Capital Rules generally implement in the U.S. the Basel III capital framework published by the Basel Committee in December 2010 and revised in June 2011 (the "Basel III capital framework"). The Basel III capital framework and the U.S. implementation of the Basel III capital framework are discussed in more detail in Item 1. Business of our 2013 Form 10-K under the heading "Supervision and regulation" Basel III capital and liquidity frameworks.

While the Regulatory Capital Rules became effective on January 1, 2014, the mandatory compliance date for Key as a standardized approach banking organization begins on January 1, 2015, subject to transitional provisions extending to January 1, 2019.

Table of Contents*New minimum capital requirements*

Under the Regulatory Capital Rules, standardized approach banking organizations, like Key, will be required to meet the minimum capital and leverage ratios set forth in Figure 4 below. At June 30, 2014, Key had an estimated Common Equity Tier 1 Capital Ratio of 10.70% under the Regulatory Capital Rules. Also at June 30, 2014, based on the fully phased-in Regulatory Capital Rules, Key estimates that its capital and leverage ratios, after adjustment for market risk, would be as set forth in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Estimated Ratios vs. Minimum Capital Ratios Calculated Under the Fully Phased-In Regulatory Capital Rules

Ratios (including Capital conservation buffer)	Key			
	June 30, 2014 Estimated	Minimum January 1, 2015	Phase-in Period	Minimum January 1, 2019
Common Equity Tier 1 ^(a)	10.7%	4.5%	None	4.5%
Capital conservation buffer ^(b)			1/1/16 - 1/1/19	2.5
Common Equity Tier 1 + Capital conservation buffer		4.5	1/1/16 - 1/1/19	7.0
Tier 1 Capital	11.0	6.0	None	6.0
Tier 1 Capital + Capital conservation buffer		6.0	1/1/16 - 1/1/19	8.5
Total Capital	13.2	8.0	None	8.0
Total Capital + Capital conservation buffer		8.0	1/1/16 - 1/1/19	10.5
Leverage ^(c)	10.4	4.0	None	4.0

- (a) See Figure 7 entitled GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations, which presents the computation for estimated Common Equity Tier 1. The table reconciles the GAAP performance measure to the corresponding non-GAAP measure, which provides a basis for period-to-period comparisons.
- (b) Capital conservation buffer must consist of Common Equity Tier 1 capital. Key is not subject to the countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% imposed under the advanced approaches portion of the Regulatory Capital Rules.
- (c) Because Key is not an advanced approaches banking organization under the Regulatory Capital Rules, it is not subject to the 3% supplemental leverage ratio or to the supplemental leverage buffer of more than 2% under a final rule adopted by the federal banking agencies in April 2014 or to the revision to the denominator of the supplementary leverage ratio proposed by these agencies under an NPR issued in April 2014 (the Supplemental Leverage Requirements).

Revised prompt corrective action standards

Under the Regulatory Capital Rules, the prompt corrective action capital category threshold ratios applicable to FDIC-insured depository institutions such as KeyBank will be revised effective January 1, 2015. Figure 5 identifies the capital category threshold ratios for a well capitalized and an adequately capitalized institution under the current rule and the Regulatory Capital Rules.

Figure 5. Well Capitalized and Adequately Capitalized Capital Category Ratios under Current and Revised Prompt Corrective Action Rules

Prompt Corrective Action

Ratio	Capital Category			
	Well Capitalized		Adequately Capitalized	
	Revised	Current	Revised	Current
Common Equity Tier 1 Risk-Based	6.5%	N/A	4.5%	N/A
Tier 1 Risk-Based	8.0	6.0%	6.0	4.0%
Total Risk-Based	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.0
Tier 1 Leverage ^(a)	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0 or 4.0

(a) As a standardized approach banking organization, KeyBank is not subject to the Supplemental Leverage Requirements.

We believe that, as of June 30, 2014, KeyBank would meet all well capitalized capital adequacy requirements under the Regulatory Capital Rules if such requirements were currently effective.

Table of Contents**Highlights of Our Performance****Financial performance**

For the second quarter of 2014, we announced net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders of \$242 million, or \$.27 per common share. Our second quarter of 2014 results compare to net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders of \$193 million, or \$.21 per common share, for the second quarter of 2013.

Our taxable-equivalent net interest income was \$579 million for the second quarter of 2014, and the net interest margin was 2.98%. These results compare to taxable-equivalent net interest income of \$586 million and a net interest margin of 3.13% for the second quarter of 2013. These decreases in net interest income and net interest margin were attributable to lower asset yields and a decrease in loan fees mostly due to the early termination of a leveraged lease. These decreases were partially offset by loan growth, the maturity of higher-rate certificates of deposit, and a more favorable mix of lower-cost deposits. For the full year of 2014, we expect net interest income to be relatively stable compared to 2013, with slight downward pressure due to the competitive environment.

Our noninterest income was \$455 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$429 million for the year-ago quarter. We continued to see the benefits of our business model focusing on targeted industries with investment banking and debt placement fees increasing \$15 million from the prior year. In addition, net gains from principal investing increased \$20 million. Operating lease income and other leasing gains increased \$13 million, due to a \$17 million gain from the early termination of a leveraged lease. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$6 million in trust and investment services income, a decline in service charges on deposit accounts of \$5 million due to lower non-sufficient funds and overdraft charges, and decreases in various other items. For the full year of 2014, we expect low single-digit growth in noninterest income compared to the prior year.

Our noninterest expense was \$689 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$711 million for the same period last year. This decline reflects lower efficiency charges of \$13 million. Excluding the impact of efficiency charges, the \$9 million decrease in expenses was mostly due to a decline in salaries and employee benefits. For the full year of 2014, we expect a low to mid-single digit percentage decline in noninterest expense from 2013.

Average loans were \$55.6 billion for the second quarter of 2014, an increase of \$2.9 billion compared to the second quarter of 2013. The loan growth occurred primarily in the commercial, financial and agricultural portfolio, which increased \$3 billion and was broad-based across our commercial lines of business. Consumer loans remained stable, as increases in home equity loans and direct term loans were mostly offset by run-off in our designated consumer exit portfolio. The growth in home equity and direct term loans was balanced across our geographic footprint. Our outlook for loan growth in 2014 remains consistent with our prior guidance of mid-single digit, full-year growth, driven by commercial, financial and agricultural loans.

Average deposits, excluding deposits in foreign office, totaled \$66.5 billion for the second quarter of 2014, an increase of \$1.6 billion compared to the year-ago quarter. Demand deposits increased by \$993 million, and NOW and money market deposit accounts increased \$1.4 billion, mostly due to growth related to commercial client inflows as well as increases related to the commercial mortgage servicing business. These increases were partially offset by run-off in certificates of deposit.

Our provision for loan and lease losses was \$10 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$28 million for the year-ago quarter. Our ALLL was \$814 million, or 1.46%, of total period-end loans at June 30, 2014, compared to 1.65% at June 30, 2013. We expect our provision for loan and lease losses to approximate the level of net loan

charge-offs for the remainder of the year.

Net loan charge-offs for the second quarter of 2014 totaled \$30 million, or .22% of average total loans, compared to .34% for the same period last year. We expect net loan charge-offs to average total loans to continue to be below our targeted range of .40% to .60% for the remainder of the year.

At June 30, 2014, our nonperforming loans totaled \$396 million and represented .71% of period-end portfolio loans, compared to 1.23% at June 30, 2013. Nonperforming assets at June 30, 2014, totaled \$410 million and represented .74% of period-end portfolio loans and OREO and other nonperforming assets, compared to 1.30% at June 30, 2013.

Our capital ratios remain strong. Our tangible common equity, Tier 1 common equity and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios at June 30, 2014, are 10.15%, 11.25%, and 11.99%, respectively, compared to 9.96%, 11.18%, and 11.93%, respectively, at

Table of Contents

June 30, 2013. We continue to return capital to our shareholders by repurchasing common shares and through our quarterly common share dividend. In the second quarter of 2014, we repurchased \$108 million of common shares and paid a cash dividend of \$.065 per common share under our 2014 capital plan authorization.

Figure 6 shows our continuing and discontinued operating results for the current, past, and year-ago quarters and the current and year-ago periods-to-date. Our financial performance for each of the past five quarters is summarized in Figure 1.

Figure 6. Results of Operations

<i>in millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three months ended			Six months ended	
	6-30-14	3-31-14	6-30-13	6-30-14	6-30-13
Summary of operations					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	\$ 247	\$ 238	\$ 199	\$ 485	\$ 400
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(28)	4	5	(24)	8
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 219	\$ 242	\$ 204	\$ 461	\$ 408
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	\$ 247	\$ 238	\$ 199	\$ 485	\$ 400
Less: Dividends on Series A Preferred Stock	5	6	6	11	11
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	242	232	193	474	389
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(28)	4	5	(24)	8
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	\$ 214	\$ 236	\$ 198	\$ 450	\$ 397
Per common share assuming dilution					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	\$.27	\$.26	\$.21	\$.53	\$.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes ^(a)	(.03)		.01	(.03)	.01
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders ^(b)	\$.24	\$.26	\$.22	\$.51	\$.43

(a) In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In February 2013, we decided to sell Victory to a private equity fund. As a result of these decisions, we have accounted for these businesses as discontinued operations. For further discussion regarding the income (loss) from discontinued operations, see Note 11 (Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations).

(b) EPS may not foot due to rounding.

Figure 7 presents certain non-GAAP financial measures related to tangible common equity, return on tangible common equity, Tier 1 common equity, pre-provision net revenue, cash efficiency ratio, and Common Equity Tier

under the Regulatory Capital Rules (estimates).

The tangible common equity ratio and the return on tangible common equity ratio have been a focus for some investors, and management believes these ratios may assist investors in analyzing Key's capital position without regard to the effects of intangible assets and preferred stock. Tier 1 common equity, a non-GAAP financial measure, is a component of Tier 1 risk-based capital. Tier 1 common equity is neither formally defined by GAAP nor prescribed in amount by federal banking regulations applicable to us before January 1, 2015. However, since analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity, we believe it is useful to enable investors to assess our capital adequacy on these same bases. Figure 7 also reconciles the GAAP performance measures to the corresponding non-GAAP measures.

Traditionally, the banking regulators have assessed bank and BHC capital adequacy based on both the amount and the composition of capital, the calculation of which is prescribed in federal banking regulations. Since early 2009, the Federal Reserve has focused its assessment of capital adequacy on a component of Tier 1 capital known as Tier 1 common equity. Because the Federal Reserve has long indicated that voting common shareholders' equity (essentially Tier 1 risk-based capital less preferred stock, qualifying capital securities and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries) generally should be the dominant element in Tier 1 risk-based capital, this focus on Tier 1 common equity is consistent with existing capital adequacy categories. The Regulatory Capital Rules, described in more detail under the section "Supervision and regulation" of this report, also make Tier 1 common equity a priority. The Regulatory Capital Rules change the regulatory capital standards that apply to BHCs by, among other changes, phasing out the treatment of trust preferred securities and cumulative preferred securities as Tier 1 eligible capital. By 2016, our trust preferred securities will only be included in Tier 2 capital.

Figure 7 also shows the computation for pre-provision net revenue, which is not formally defined by GAAP. We believe that eliminating the effects of the provision for loan and lease losses makes it easier to analyze our results by presenting them on a more comparable basis.

The cash efficiency ratio is a ratio of two non-GAAP performance measures. Accordingly, there is no directly comparable GAAP performance measure. The cash efficiency ratio excludes the impact of our intangible asset amortization from the calculation. We believe this ratio provides greater consistency and comparability between our results and those of our peer banks. Additionally, this ratio is used by analysts and investors as they develop earnings forecasts and peer bank analysis.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied, and are not audited. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by investors to evaluate a company, they have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP.

Table of Contents**Figure 7. GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations**

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				
	6-30-14	3-31-14	12-31-13	9-30-13	6-30-13
Tangible common equity to tangible assets at period end					
Key shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 10,504	\$ 10,403	\$ 10,303	\$ 10,206	\$ 10,229
Less: Intangible assets ^(a)	1,008	1,012	1,014	1,017	1,021
Series A Preferred Stock ^(b)	282	282	282	282	282
Tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 9,214	\$ 9,109	\$ 9,007	\$ 8,907	\$ 8,926
Total assets (GAAP)	\$ 91,798	\$ 90,802	\$ 92,934	\$ 90,708	\$ 90,639
Less: Intangible assets ^(a)	1,008	1,012	1,014	1,017	1,021
Tangible assets (non-GAAP)	\$ 90,790	\$ 89,790	\$ 91,920	\$ 89,691	\$ 89,618
Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio (non-GAAP)	10.15%	10.14%	9.80%	9.93%	9.96%
Tier 1 common equity at period end					
Key shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 10,504	\$ 10,403	\$ 10,303	\$ 10,206	\$ 10,229
Qualifying capital securities	339	339	339	340	339
Less: Goodwill	979	979	979	979	979
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ^(c)	(328)	(367)	(394)	(409)	(359)
Other assets ^(d)	86	84	89	96	101
Total Tier 1 capital (regulatory)	10,106	10,046	9,968	9,880	9,847
Less: Qualifying capital securities	339	339	339	340	339
Series A Preferred Stock ^(b)	282	282	282	282	282
Total Tier 1 common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 9,485	\$ 9,425	\$ 9,347	\$ 9,258	\$ 9,226
Net risk-weighted assets (regulatory)	\$ 84,287	\$ 83,637	\$ 83,328	\$ 82,913	\$ 82,528
Tier 1 common equity ratio (non-GAAP)	11.25%	11.27%	11.22%	11.17%	11.18%
Pre-provision net revenue					
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 573	\$ 563	\$ 583	\$ 578	\$ 581
Plus: Taxable-equivalent adjustment	6	6	6	6	5
Noninterest income (GAAP)	455	435	453	459	429
Less: Noninterest expense (GAAP)	689	662	712	716	711
Pre-provision net revenue from continuing operations (non-GAAP)	\$ 345	\$ 342	\$ 330	\$ 327	\$ 304
Average tangible common equity					
Average Key shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 10,459	\$ 10,371	\$ 10,272	\$ 10,237	\$ 10,314

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Less: Intangible assets (average) ^(e)	1,010	1,013	1,016	1,019	1,023
Series A Preferred Stock (average)	291	291	291	291	291
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 9,158	\$ 9,067	\$ 8,965	\$ 8,927	\$ 9,000
Return on average tangible common equity from continuing operations					
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders (GAAP)	\$ 242	\$ 232	\$ 229	\$ 229	\$ 193
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	9,158	9,067	8,965	8,927	9,000
Return on average tangible common equity from continuing operations (non-GAAP)	10.60%	10.38%	10.13%	10.18%	8.60%
Return on average tangible common equity consolidated					
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders (GAAP)	\$ 214	\$ 236	\$ 224	\$ 266	\$ 198
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	9,158	9,067	8,965	8,927	9,000
Return on average tangible common equity consolidated (non-GAAP)	9.37%	10.56%	9.91%	11.82%	8.82%
Cash efficiency ratio					
Noninterest expense (GAAP)	\$ 689	\$ 662	\$ 712	\$ 716	\$ 711
Less: Intangible asset amortization (GAAP)	9	10	10	12	10
Adjusted noninterest expense (non-GAAP)	\$ 680	\$ 652	\$ 702	\$ 704	\$ 701
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 573	\$ 563	\$ 583	\$ 578	\$ 581
Plus: Taxable-equivalent adjustment	6	6	6	6	5
Noninterest income (GAAP)	455	435	453	459	429
Total taxable-equivalent revenue (non-GAAP)	\$ 1,034	\$ 1,004	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,043	\$ 1,015
Cash efficiency ratio (non-GAAP)	65.8%	64.9%	67.4%	67.5%	69.1%

Table of Contents**Figure 7. GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations, continued**

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended	
	6-30-14	3-31-14
Common Equity Tier 1 under the Regulatory Capital Rules (estimates)		
Tier 1 common equity under current regulatory rules	\$ 9,485	\$ 9,425
Adjustments from current regulatory rules to the Regulatory Capital Rules:		
Deferred tax assets and other ^(f)	(108)	(114)
Common Equity Tier 1 anticipated under the Regulatory Capital Rules ^(g)	\$ 9,377	\$ 9,311
Net risk-weighted assets under current regulatory rules	\$ 84,287	\$ 83,637
Adjustments from current regulatory rules to the Regulatory Capital Rules:		
Loan commitments less than one year	1,004	1,023
Past due loans	128	154
Mortgage servicing assets ^(h)	484	480
Deferred tax assets ^(h)	177	139
Other	1,519	1,466
Total risk-weighted assets anticipated under the Regulatory Capital Rules ^(g)	\$ 87,599	\$ 86,899
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio under the Regulatory Capital Rules	10.70%	10.71%
	Six months ended	
<i>dollars in millions</i>	6-30-14	6-30-13
Pre-provision net revenue		
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 1,136	\$ 1,164
Plus: Taxable-equivalent adjustment	12	11
Noninterest income (GAAP)	890	854
Less: Noninterest expense (GAAP)	1,351	1,392
Pre-provision net revenue from continuing operations (non-GAAP)	\$ 687	\$ 637
Average tangible common equity		
Average Key shareholders equity (GAAP)	\$ 10,415	\$ 10,297

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Less: Intangible assets (average) ⁽ⁱ⁾	1,011	1,025
Preferred Stock, Series A (average)	291	291
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	\$ 9,113	\$ 8,981
Return on average tangible common equity from continuing operations		
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders (GAAP)	\$ 474	\$ 389
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	9,113	8,981
Return on average tangible common equity from continuing operations (non-GAAP)	10.49%	8.73%
Return on average tangible common equity consolidated		
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders (GAAP)	\$ 450	\$ 397
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	9,113	8,981
Return on average tangible common equity consolidated (non-GAAP)	9.96%	8.91%
Cash efficiency ratio		
Noninterest expense (GAAP)	\$ 1,351	\$ 1,392
Less: Intangible asset amortization (GAAP)	19	22
Adjusted noninterest expense (non-GAAP)	\$ 1,332	\$ 1,370
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 1,136	\$ 1,164
Plus: Taxable-equivalent adjustment	12	11
Noninterest income (GAAP)	890	854
Total taxable-equivalent revenue (non-GAAP)	\$ 2,038	\$ 2,029
Cash efficiency ratio (non-GAAP)	65.4%	67.5%

- (a) For the three months ended June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, intangible assets exclude \$79 million, \$84 million, \$92 million, \$99 million, and \$107 million, respectively, of period-end purchased credit card receivables.
- (b) Net of capital surplus for the three months ended June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013.
- (c) Includes net unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale (except for net unrealized losses on marketable equity securities), net gains or losses on cash flow hedges, and amounts resulting from the application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.
- (d) Other assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and net risk-weighted assets consist of disallowed intangible assets (excluding goodwill) and deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments. There were no disallowed deferred tax assets at June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, and at any quarter-end during 2013.
- (e) For the three months ended June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, average intangible assets exclude \$82 million, \$89 million, \$96 million, \$103 million, and \$110 million, respectively, of average purchased credit card receivables.
- (f) Includes the deferred tax asset subject to future taxable income for realization, primarily tax credit carryforwards, as well as the deductible portion of purchased credit card receivables.

Table of Contents

- (g) The anticipated amount of regulatory capital and risk-weighted assets is based upon the federal banking agencies Regulatory Capital Rules (as fully phased-in on January 1, 2019); Key is subject to the Regulatory Capital Rules under the standardized approach.
- (h) Item is included in the 10%/15% exceptions bucket calculation and is risk-weighted at 250%.
- (i) For the six months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, average intangible assets exclude \$85 million and \$114 million, respectively, of average ending purchased credit card receivables.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Net interest income

One of our principal sources of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income received on earning assets (such as loans and securities) and loan-related fee income, and interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings. There are several factors that affect net interest income, including:

the volume, pricing, mix, and maturity of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities;

the volume and value of net free funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and equity capital;

the use of derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk;

interest rate fluctuations and competitive conditions within the marketplace; and

asset quality.

To make it easier to compare results among several periods and the yields on various types of earning assets (some taxable, some not), we present net interest income in this discussion on a taxable-equivalent basis (i.e., as if it were all taxable and at the same rate). For example, \$100 of tax-exempt income would be presented as \$154, an amount that if taxed at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% would yield \$100.

Figure 8 shows the various components of our balance sheet that affect interest income and expense, and their respective yields or rates over the past five quarters. This figure also presents a reconciliation of taxable-equivalent net interest income to net interest income reported in accordance with GAAP for each of those quarters. The net interest margin, which is an indicator of the profitability of the earning assets portfolio less cost of funding, is calculated by dividing annualized taxable-equivalent net interest income by average earning assets.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income was \$579 million for the second quarter of 2014, and the net interest margin was 2.98%. These results compare to taxable-equivalent net interest income of \$586 million and a net interest margin of 3.13% for the second quarter of 2013. These decreases in net interest income and net interest margin were attributable to lower asset yields and a decrease in loan fees mostly due to the early termination of a leveraged lease. These decreases were partially offset by loan growth, the maturity of higher-rate certificates of deposit, and a more favorable mix of lower-cost deposits.

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, taxable-equivalent net interest income decreased \$27 million and net interest margin declined by 19 basis points compared to the same period one year ago. The decreases in net interest income and net interest margin were attributable to lower asset yields and loan fees, offsetting the impact of loan growth. These decreases were also partially offset by the maturity of higher-rate certificates of deposit and a more favorable mix of lower-cost deposits.

Average earning assets totaled \$77.8 billion for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$75.2 billion for the second quarter of 2013. Commercial, financial and agricultural loans grew by \$3 billion over the year-ago quarter; this growth was broad-based across our commercial lines of business. Consumer loans remained stable, as increases in home equity loans and direct term loans were mostly offset by run-off in our designated consumer exit portfolio. The growth in home equity and direct term loans was balanced across our geographic footprint.

Average deposits, excluding deposits in foreign office, totaled \$66.5 billion for the second quarter of 2014, an increase of \$1.6 billion compared to the year-ago quarter. Demand deposits increased by \$993 million, and NOW and money market deposit accounts increased \$1.4 billion, mostly due to growth related to commercial client inflows as well as increases related to the commercial mortgage servicing business. These increases were partially offset by run-off in certificates of deposit.

Table of Contents

As shown in Figure 8, the yield was impacted by lower spreads on commercial and consumer loans.

Figure 8. Consolidated Average Balance Sheets, Net Interest Income and Yields/Rates From Continuing Operations

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Second Quarter 2014			First Quarter 2014		
	Average Balance	Interest ^(a)	Yield/ Rate ^(a)	Average Balance	Interest ^(a)	Yield/ Rate ^(a)
ASSETS						
Loans ^{(b), (c)}						
Commercial, financial and agricultural ^(d)	\$ 26,444	\$ 219	3.31%	\$ 25,390	\$ 206	3.29%
Real estate commercial mortgage	7,880	74	3.79	7,807	74	3.84
Real estate construction	1,049	11	4.03	1,091	12	4.55
Commercial lease financing	4,257	38	3.54	4,439	42	3.78
Total commercial loans	39,630	342	3.45	38,727	334	3.49
Real estate residential mortgage	2,189	24	4.41	2,187	24	4.44
Home equity:						
Key Community Bank	10,321	100	3.92	10,305	100	3.92
Other	306	6	7.80	325	6	7.77
Total home equity loans	10,627	106	4.03	10,630	106	4.04
Consumer other Key Community Bank	1,479	26	6.97	1,438	25	7.06
Credit cards	702	18	10.39	701	20	11.28
Consumer other:						
Marine	926	15	6.18	996	15	6.18
Other	58	1	8.09	67	1	7.55
Total consumer other	984	16	6.29	1,063	16	6.26
Total consumer loans	15,981	190	4.77	16,019	191	4.83
Total loans	55,611	532	3.83	54,746	525	3.88
Loans held for sale	458	5	4.14	446	4	3.34
Securities available for sale ^{(b), (e)}	12,408	71	2.30	12,346	72	2.33
Held-to-maturity securities ^(b)	4,973	23	1.87	4,767	22	1.84
Trading account assets	985	7	2.80	981	6	2.51
Short-term investments	2,475	1	.17	2,486	1	.17
Other investments ^(e)	888	6	2.64	936	6	2.57
Total earning assets	77,798	645	3.31	76,708	636	3.32
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(824)			(842)		
Accrued income and other assets	9,767			9,791		
Discontinued assets	4,341			4,493		
Total assets	\$ 91,082			\$ 90,150		

LIABILITIES

NOW and money market deposit accounts	\$ 34,283	11	.14	\$ 34,064	12	.14
Savings deposits	2,493		.03	2,475		.03
Certificates of deposit (\$100,000 or more) ^(f)	2,808	10	1.39	2,758	10	1.50
Other time deposits	3,587	9	.98	3,679	10	1.07
Deposits in foreign office	662	1	.23	660		.22
Total interest-bearing deposits	43,833	31	.28	43,636	32	.30
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	1,470		.19	1,469	1	.17
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	545	2	1.54	587	2	1.63
Long-term debt ^{(f), (g)}	5,476	33	2.51	5,169	32	2.57
Total interest-bearing liabilities	51,324	66	.52	50,861	67	.54
Noninterest-bearing deposits	23,290			22,658		
Accrued expense and other liabilities	1,654			1,750		
Discontinued liabilities ^(g)	4,341			4,493		
Total liabilities	80,609			79,762		
EQUITY						
Key shareholders equity	10,459			10,371		
Noncontrolling interests	14			17		
Total equity	10,473			10,388		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 91,082			\$ 90,150		
Interest rate spread (TE)			2.79%			2.78%
Net interest income (TE) and net interest margin (TE)		579	2.98%		569	3.00%
TE adjustment ^(b)		6			6	
Net interest income, GAAP basis	\$	573		\$	563	

- (a) Results are from continuing operations. Interest excludes the interest associated with the liabilities referred to in (g) below, calculated using a matched funds transfer pricing methodology.
- (b) Interest income on tax-exempt securities and loans has been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.
- (c) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.
- (d) Commercial, financial and agricultural average balances for the three months ended June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, include \$95 million, \$94 million, \$97 million, \$96 million, and \$96 million of assets from commercial credit cards, respectively.

Table of Contents**Figure 8. Consolidated Average Balance Sheets, Net Interest Income and Yields/Rates From Continuing Operations**

Fourth Quarter 2013			Third Quarter 2013			Second Quarter 2013		
Average Balance	Interest (a)	Yield/Rate (a)	Average Balance	Interest (a)	Yield/Rate (a)	Average Balance	Interest (a)	Yield/Rate (a)
\$ 24,218	\$ 212	3.47%	\$ 23,864	\$ 213	3.54%	\$ 23,480	\$ 212	3.63%
7,678	78	4.01	7,575	77	4.06	7,494	78	4.14
1,075	11	4.21	1,073	12	4.24	1,049	11	4.30
4,513	41	3.62	4,633	36	3.14	4,747	48	3.96
37,484	342	3.62	37,145	338	3.61	36,770	349	3.80
2,199	24	4.43	2,193	25	4.43	2,176	24	4.53
10,310	102	3.92	10,247	101	3.92	9,992	98	3.93
343	7	7.72	364	7	7.72	389	7	7.66
10,653	109	4.04	10,611	108	4.05	10,381	105	4.07
1,446	26	7.18	1,435	26	7.24	1,392	26	7.35
701	20	11.17	700	21	11.77	697	20	11.91
1,056	17	6.24	1,120	17	6.26	1,206	20	6.24
69	1	8.03	67	2	8.72	74	1	8.58
1,125	18	6.35	1,187	19	6.40	1,280	21	6.37
16,124	197	4.88	16,126	199	4.93	15,926	196	4.94
53,608	539	3.98	53,271	537	4.00	52,696	545	4.15
688	6	3.65	456	5	4.06	513	5	3.93
12,464	74	2.40	12,926	77	2.37	13,296	79	2.47
4,775	22	1.85	4,796	22	1.84	4,144	20	1.87
819	6	2.90	747	5	2.52	749	4	2.31
4,455	2	.18	1,615	1	.20	2,722	1	.23
983	6	2.47	1,022	6	2.67	1,048	8	2.61
77,792	655	3.37	74,833	653	3.49	75,168	662	3.54
(859)			(873)			(890)		
9,467			9,549			9,770		
4,777			5,061			5,096		
\$ 91,177			\$ 88,570			\$ 89,144		

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

\$ 33,834	12	.15	\$ 32,736	13	.15	\$ 32,849	14	.17
2,483		.03	2,520		.04	2,545		.04
2,649	11	1.57	2,785	12	1.67	2,975	13	1.79
3,736	11	1.16	3,957	12	1.24	4,202	14	1.35
615		.21	621		.20	573	1	.24
43,317	34	.32	42,619	37	.35	43,144	42	.39
1,618		.15	1,837	1	.08	1,845		.14
438	3	1.96	383	2	1.98	367	2	1.84
4,174	29	2.94	3,504	29	3.41	4,401	32	3.25
49,547	66	.53	48,343	69	.56	49,757	76	.62
25,077			23,364			22,297		
1,548			1,626			1,653		
4,717			4,968			5,089		
80,889			78,301			78,796		
10,272			10,237			10,314		
16			32			34		
10,288			10,269			10,348		
\$ 91,177			\$ 88,570			\$ 89,144		
		2.84%			2.93%			2.92%
	589	3.01%		584	3.11%		586	3.13%
	6			6			5	
	\$ 583			\$ 578			\$ 581	

(e) Yield is calculated on the basis of amortized cost.

(f) Rate calculation excludes basis adjustments related to fair value hedges.

(g) A portion of long-term debt and the related interest expense is allocated to discontinued liabilities as a result of applying our matched funds transfer pricing methodology to discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Figure 9 shows how the changes in yields or rates and average balances from the prior year period affected net interest income. The section entitled "Financial Condition" contains additional discussion about changes in earning assets and funding sources.

Figure 9. Components of Net Interest Income Changes from Continuing Operations

<i>in millions</i>	From six months ended June 30, 2013					
	From three months ended June 30, 2013 to six months ended June 30, 2014			2014		
	Average Volume	Yield/Rate	Net Change ^(a)	Average Volume	Yield/Rate	Net Change ^(a)
INTEREST INCOME						
Loans	\$ 29	\$ (42)	\$ (13)	\$ 51	\$ (92)	\$ (41)
Loans held for sale	(1)	1		(1)	1	
Securities available for sale	(5)	(3)	(8)	(4)	(13)	(17)
Held-to-maturity securities	4	(1)	3	8	(1)	7
Trading account assets	1	2	3	3		3
Short-term investments					(1)	(1)
Other investments	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(3)	(5)
Total interest income (TE)	27	(44)	(17)	55	(109)	(54)
INTEREST EXPENSE						
NOW and money market deposit accounts	1	(4)	(3)	1	(6)	(5)
Savings deposits					(1)	(1)
Certificates of deposit (\$100,000 or more)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(6)	(7)
Other time deposits	(2)	(3)	(5)	(4)	(7)	(11)
Total interest-bearing deposits	(2)	(9)	(11)	(4)	(20)	(24)
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	1	(1)		1		1
Long-term debt	7	(6)	1	11	(15)	(4)
Total interest expense	6	(16)	(10)	8	(35)	(27)
Net interest income (TE)	\$ 21	\$ (28)	\$ (7)	\$ 47	\$ (74)	\$ (27)

(a) The change in interest not due solely to volume or rate has been allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Noninterest income

As shown in Figure 10, noninterest income was \$455 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$429 million for the year-ago quarter, an increase of \$26 million, or 6.1%. We continued to see the benefits of our business model focusing on targeted industries with investment banking and debt placement fees increasing \$15 million from the prior year. In addition, net gains from principal investing increased \$20 million. Operating lease income and other

leasing gains increased \$13 million, due to a \$17 million gain from the early termination of a leveraged lease. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$6 million in trust and investment services income, a decline in service charges on deposit accounts of \$5 million due to lower non-sufficient funds and overdraft charges, and decreases in various other items.

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, noninterest income increased \$36 million, or 4.2%, from the same period one year ago. Investment banking and debt placement fees increased \$20 million. Operating lease income and other leasing gains increased \$17 million, due to a gain from the early termination of a leveraged lease. Net gains from principal investing increased \$36 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in service charges on deposit accounts of \$11 million due to lower non-sufficient funds and overdraft charges. In addition, consumer mortgage income and other income each declined \$9 million.

Table of Contents**Figure 10. Noninterest Income**

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30, 2014	2013	Change Amount	Change Percent	June 30, 2014	2013	Change Amount	Change Percent
Trust and investment services income	\$ 94	\$ 100	\$ (6)	(6.0)%	\$ 192	\$ 195	\$ (3)	(1.5)%
Investment banking and debt placement fees	99	84	15	17.9	183	163	20	12.3
Service charges on deposit accounts	66	71	(5)	(7.0)	129	140	(11)	(7.9)
Operating lease income and other leasing gains	35	22	13	59.1	64	47	17	36.2
Corporate services income	41	43	(2)	(4.7)	83	88	(5)	(5.7)
Cards and payments income	43	42	1	2.4	81	79	2	2.5
Corporate-owned life insurance income	28	31	(3)	(9.7)	54	61	(7)	(11.5)
Consumer mortgage income	2	6	(4)	(66.7)	4	13	(9)	(69.2)
Mortgage servicing fees	11	13	(2)	(15.4)	26	21	5	23.8
Net gains (losses) from principal investing	27	7	20	285.7	51	15	36	240.0
Other income ^(a)	9	10	(1)	(10.0)	23	32	(9)	(28.1)
Total noninterest income	\$ 455	\$ 429	\$ 26	6.1%	\$ 890	\$ 854	\$ 36	4.2%

(a) Included in this line item is our Dealer trading and derivatives income (loss). Additional detail is provided in Figure 11.

Figure 11. Dealer Trading and Derivatives Income (Loss)

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30, 2014	2013	Change Amount	Change Percent	June 30, 2014	2013	Change Amount	Change Percent
Dealer trading and derivatives income (loss), proprietary ^{(a), (b)}	\$ (6)	\$ (5)	\$ (1)	N/M	\$ (8)	\$ (5)	\$ (3)	N/M
Dealer trading and derivatives income (loss), nonproprietary ^(b)	3	7	(4)	(57.1)%	6	17	(11)	(64.7)%
Total dealer trading and derivatives income (loss)	\$ (3)	\$ 2	\$ (5)	N/M	\$ (2)	\$ 12	\$ (14)	N/M

(a) For the quarter ended June 30, 2014, income of \$1 million related to foreign exchange, interest rate and commodity derivative trading was offset by losses related to equity securities trading, fixed income, and credit portfolio management activities. For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, income of \$2 million related to foreign

exchange, interest rate, and energy derivative trading was offset by losses related to fixed income, equity securities trading, and credit portfolio management activities.

- (b) The allocation between proprietary and nonproprietary is made based upon whether the trade is conducted for the benefit of Key or Key's clients rather than based upon rulemaking under the Volcker Rule. The prohibitions and restrictions on proprietary trading activities contemplated by the Volcker Rule were detailed in a final rule approved by federal banking regulators in December 2013, which became effective April 1, 2014. For more information, see the discussion under the heading "Other regulatory developments under the Dodd-Frank Act - Volcker Rule" in the section entitled "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

The following discussion explains the composition of certain elements of our noninterest income and the factors that caused those elements to change.

Trust and investment services income

Trust and investment services income is our largest source of noninterest income and consists of brokerage commissions, trust and asset management commissions, and insurance income. The assets under management that primarily generate these revenues are shown in Figure 12. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, trust and investment services income decreased \$6 million, or 6%, and \$3 million, or 1.5%, respectively, as compared to the same periods one year ago.

A significant portion of our trust and investment services income depends on the value and mix of assets under management. At June 30, 2014, our bank, trust, and registered investment advisory subsidiaries had assets under management of \$39.7 billion, compared to \$35.5 billion at June 30, 2013. As shown in Figure 12, increases in the equity and securities lending portfolios were primarily attributable to market appreciation. Although total assets under management increased from one year ago, trust and investment services income declined due to a change in the mix of investment type, with the lower-fee portfolios increasing faster than the higher-fee portfolios.

Figure 12. Assets Under Management

<i>in millions</i>	2014		2013		
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Assets under management by investment type:					
Equity	\$ 21,576	\$ 20,788	\$ 20,971	\$ 19,761	\$ 19,658
Securities lending	5,397	5,333	3,422	3,740	3,202
Fixed income	9,961	10,011	9,767	9,997	10,066
Money market	2,735	2,761	2,745	2,612	2,618
Total	\$ 39,669	\$ 38,893	\$ 36,905	\$ 36,110	\$ 35,544

Investment banking and debt placement fees

Investment banking and debt placement fees consist of syndication fees, debt and equity financing fees, financial advisor fees, gains on sales of commercial mortgages, and agency origination fees. Investment banking and debt placement fees increased \$15 million, or 17.9%, for the second quarter of 2014 and \$20 million, or 12.3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods one year ago. These increases reflect the benefits of our business model focusing on targeted industries.

Service charges on deposit accounts

Service charges on deposit accounts declined \$5 million, or 7%, and \$11 million, or 7.9%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods one year ago due to lower non-sufficient funds and overdraft charges.

Operating lease income and other leasing gains

Operating lease income and other leasing gains increased \$13 million, or 59.1%, for the second quarter of 2014 and \$17 million, or 36.2%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to the same periods one year ago. The increase was due to a \$17 million gain on the early termination of a leveraged lease in the second quarter of 2014, partially offset by product run-off. Expense related to the rental of leased equipment is presented in Figure 13 as operating lease expense.

Cards and payments income

Cards and payments income, which consists of debit card, consumer and commercial credit card, and merchant services income, increased \$1 million, or 2.4%, from the year-ago quarter, and \$2 million, or 2.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period one year ago. These increases are due to higher credit card and merchant fees, partially offset by lower ATM debit card and surcharge income.

Consumer mortgage income

Consumer mortgage income decreased \$4 million, or 66.7%, from the year-ago quarter, and \$9 million, or 69.2%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period one year ago, primarily due to lower mortgage originations.

Table of Contents**Mortgage servicing fees**

Mortgage servicing fees decreased \$2 million, or 15.4%, from the year-ago quarter and increased \$5 million, or 23.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period one year ago due to higher levels of servicing fees as a result of the 2013 acquisition of a commercial mortgage servicing portfolio.

Other income

Other income, which consists primarily of gain on sale of certain loans, other service charges, and certain dealer trading income, decreased \$1 million, or 10%, from the year-ago quarter and \$9 million, or 28.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2014, due to lower trading income, as shown in Figure 11.

Noninterest expense

As shown in Figure 13, noninterest expense was \$689 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$711 million for the year-ago quarter, representing a decrease of \$22 million, or 3.1%. This decline reflects lower efficiency charges of \$13 million. Excluding the impact of efficiency charges, the \$9 million decrease in expenses was mostly due to a decline in salaries and employee benefits.

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, noninterest expense decreased \$41 million, or 2.9%, compared to the same period one year ago. Personnel expense declined \$20 million, and nonpersonnel expense decreased \$21 million from one year ago. This decline in noninterest expense reflects lower efficiency charges of \$18 million. Excluding the impact of efficiency charges, the \$23 million decrease in expenses was mostly due to declines in salaries, technology contract labor, net, and the provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments.

Figure 13. Noninterest Expense

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30,		Change		June 30,		Change	
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
Personnel	\$ 389	\$ 406	\$ (17)	(4.2)%	\$ 777	\$ 797	\$ (20)	(2.5)%
Net occupancy	68	72	(4)	(5.6)	132	136	(4)	(2.9)
Computer processing	41	39	2	5.1	79	78	1	1.3
Business services and professional fees	41	37	4	10.8	82	72	10	13.9
Equipment	24	27	(3)	(11.1)	48	53	(5)	(9.4)
Operating lease expense	10	11	(1)	(9.1)	20	23	(3)	(13.0)
Marketing	13	11	2	18.2	18	17	1	5.9
FDIC assessment	6	8	(2)	(25.0)	12	16	(4)	(25.0)
Intangible asset amortization	9	10	(1)	(10.0)	19	22	(3)	(13.6)
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	2	5	(3)	(60.0)		8	(8)	N/M
OREO expense, net	1	1			2	4	(2)	(50.0)

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Other expense	85	84	1	1.2	162	166	(4)	(2.4)
Total noninterest expense	\$ 689	\$ 711	\$ (22)	(3.1)%	\$ 1,351	\$ 1,392	\$ (41)	(2.9)%
Average full-time equivalent employees ^(a)	13,867	14,999	(1,132)	(7.5)%	13,961	15,197	(1,236)	(8.1)%

(a) The number of average full-time-equivalent employees was not adjusted for discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

The following discussion explains the composition of certain elements of our noninterest expense and the factors that caused those elements to change.

Personnel

As shown in Figure 14, personnel expense, the largest category of our noninterest expense, decreased by \$17 million, or 4.2%, in the second quarter of 2014 when compared to the year-ago quarter. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, personnel expense declined \$20 million, or 2.5%, from the same period one year ago. Salaries, technology contract labor, net, employee benefits, and severance all declined from one year ago. These decreases were partially offset by increases in incentive compensation and stock-based compensation.

Figure 14. Personnel Expense

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30,		Change		June 30,		Change	
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
Salaries	\$ 224	\$ 227	\$ (3)	(1.3)%	\$ 444	\$ 449	\$ (5)	(1.1)%
Technology contract labor, net	14	19	(5)	(26.3)	31	37	(6)	(16.2)
Incentive compensation	81	77	4	5.2	153	150	3	2.0
Employee benefits	50	56	(6)	(10.7)	113	115	(2)	(1.7)
Stock-based compensation	10	9	1	11.1	21	19	2	10.5
Severance	10	18	(8)	(44.4)	15	27	(12)	(44.4)
Total personnel expense	\$ 389	\$ 406	\$ (17)	(4.2)%	\$ 777	\$ 797	\$ (20)	(2.5)%

Operating lease expense

Operating lease expense decreased \$1 million, or 9.1%, from the year-ago quarter and \$3 million, or 13%, from the six-month period ended one year ago due to product run-off. Income related to the rental of leased equipment is presented in Figure 10 as operating lease income and other leasing gains.

Other expense

Other expense is comprised of various miscellaneous expense items. The \$1 million, or 1.2% increase in the current quarter compared to the year-ago quarter and the \$4 million, or 2.4%, decrease in the first six months of 2014 as compared to the same period one year ago reflects fluctuations in several of those line items.

Income taxes

We recorded tax expense from continuing operations of \$76 million for the second quarter of 2014 and \$72 million for the second quarter of 2013. For the first six months of 2014, we recorded tax expense from continuing operations of \$168 million, compared to \$142 million for the same period last year.

Our federal tax expense (benefit) differs from the amount that would be calculated using the federal statutory tax rate, primarily because we generate income from investments in tax-advantaged assets, such as corporate-owned life insurance, earn credits associated with investments in low-income housing projects, and make periodic adjustments to

our tax reserves. In addition, during the second quarter of 2014, our effective tax rate was lower due to the early termination of certain leveraged leases that resulted in nontaxable gains pursuant to a prior settlement with the IRS.

Additional information pertaining to how our tax expense (benefit) and the resulting effective tax rates were derived are included in Note 12 (Income Taxes) beginning on page 175 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Line of Business Results**

This section summarizes the financial performance and related strategic developments of our two major business segments (operating segments): Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. Note 18 (Line of Business Results) describes the products and services offered by each of these business segments, provides more detailed financial information pertaining to the segments, and explains Other Segments and Reconciling Items.

Figure 15 summarizes the contribution made by each major business segment to our taxable-equivalent revenue from continuing operations and income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

Figure 15. Major Business Segments Taxable-Equivalent (TE) Revenue from Continuing Operations and Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Attributable to Key

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30,		Change		June 30,		Change	
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
REVENUE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS (TE)								
Key Community Bank	\$ 550	\$ 583	\$ (33)	(5.7)%	\$ 1,091	\$ 1,157	\$ (66)	(5.7)%
Key Corporate Bank	394	378	16	4.2	785	761	24	3.2
Other Segments	91	56	35	62.5	161	110	51	46.4
Total Segments	1,035	1,017	18	1.8	2,037	2,028	9	0.4
Reconciling Items	(1)	(2)	1	N/M	1	1		
Total	\$ 1,034	\$ 1,015	\$ 19	1.9%	\$ 2,038	\$ 2,029	\$ 9	0.4%
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS ATTRIBUTABLE TO KEY								
Key Community Bank	\$ 55	\$ 52	\$ 3	5.8%	\$ 117	\$ 98	\$ 19	19.4%
Key Corporate Bank	118	121	(3)	(2.5)	239	234	5	2.1
Other Segments	72	49	23	46.9	127	93	34	36.6
Total Segments	245	222	23	10.4	483	425	58	13.6
Reconciling Items	2	(23)	25	N/M	2	(25)	27	N/M
Total	\$ 247	\$ 199	\$ 48	24.1%	\$ 485	\$ 400	\$ 85	21.3%

Key Community Bank summary of operations

Average loan balances up 3.0% from prior year

Average core deposits up 3.6% from prior year

Net income attributable to Key Community Bank up 5.8% from the prior year

As shown in Figure 16, Key Community Bank recorded net income attributable to Key of \$55 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to net income attributable to Key of \$52 million for the year-ago quarter.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income decreased by \$21 million, or 5.5%, from the second quarter of 2013. Average loans and leases grew 3.0% driven by increases in commercial, financial and agricultural loans, while average deposits increased 1.4% from one year ago. However, these volume-related increases were offset by declines in the deposit spread as a result of the continued low-rate environment.

Noninterest income declined by \$12 million, or 6%, from the year-ago quarter. Other noninterest income decreased \$6 million from prior year primarily due to declines in consumer mortgage income, corporate services income, and trading income. Service charges on deposit accounts declined \$5 million due to lower non-sufficient funds and overdraft charges.

The provision for loan and lease losses decreased by \$18 million, or 43.9%, from the second quarter of 2013. Net loan charge-offs decreased \$9 million from the same period one year ago.

Noninterest expense declined by \$19 million, or 4.1%, from the year-ago quarter as a result of Key's continued focus on expense management. Personnel expense decreased \$6 million primarily due to declines in salaries and employee benefits. Nonpersonnel expense decreased \$13 million primarily due to decreases in outside loan servicing, and computer processing, equipment, and other miscellaneous costs related to branch closures.

Table of Contents

Figure 16. Key Community Bank

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended		Change		Six months ended		Change	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	Amount	Percent	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	Amount	Percent
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS								
Net interest income (TE)	\$ 362	\$ 383	\$ (21)	(5.5)%	\$ 725	\$ 770	\$ (45)	(5.8)%
Noninterest income	188	200	(12)	(6.0)	366	387	(21)	(5.4)
Total revenue (TE)	550	583	(33)	(5.7)	1,091	1,157	(66)	(5.7)
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	23	41	(18)	(43.9)	32	99	(67)	(67.7)
Noninterest expense	440	459	(19)	(4.1)	873	902	(29)	(3.2)
Income (loss) before income taxes (TE)	87	83	4	4.8	186	156	30	19.2
Allocated income taxes (benefit) and TE adjustments	32	31	1	3.2	69	58	11	19.0
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 55	\$ 52	\$ 3	5.8%	\$ 117	\$ 98	\$ 19	19.4%
AVERAGE BALANCES								
Loans and leases	\$ 30,025	\$ 29,161	\$ 864	3.0%	\$ 29,909	\$ 29,069	\$ 840	2.9%
Total assets	32,145	31,571	574	1.8	32,045	31,523	522	1.7
Deposits	50,146	49,473	673	1.4	49,986	49,411	575	1.2
Assets under management at period end	\$ 27,319	\$ 23,213	\$ 4,106	17.7%	\$ 27,319	\$ 23,213	\$ 4,106	17.7%
ADDITIONAL KEY COMMUNITY BANK DATA								

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended		Change		Six months ended		Change	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	Amount	Percent	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	Amount	Percent
NONINTEREST INCOME								
Trust and investment services income	\$ 67	\$ 68	\$ (1)	(1.5)%	\$ 134	\$ 133	\$ 1	.8%
Services charges on deposit accounts	55	60	(5)	(8.3)	107	117	(10)	(8.5)
Cards and payments income	38	38			73	71	2	2.8

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Other noninterest income	28	34	(6)	(17.6)	52	66	(14)	(21.2)
Total noninterest income	\$ 188	\$ 200	\$ (12)	(6.0)%	\$ 366	\$ 387	\$ (21)	(5.4)%

**AVERAGE DEPOSITS
OUTSTANDING**

NOW and money market deposit accounts	\$ 27,574	\$ 26,341	\$ 1,233	4.7%	\$ 27,501	\$ 26,226	\$ 1,275	4.9%
Savings deposits	2,483	2,536	(53)	(2.1)	2,474	2,499	(25)	(1.0)
Certificates of deposits (\$100,000 or more)	2,169	2,443	(274)	(11.2)	2,166	2,471	(305)	(12.3)
Other time deposits	3,580	4,195	(615)	(14.7)	3,626	4,319	(693)	(16.0)
Deposits in foreign office	294	284	10	3.5	302	277	25	9.0
Noninterest-bearing deposits	14,046	13,674	372	2.7	13,917	13,619	298	2.2
Total deposits	\$ 50,146	\$ 49,473	\$ 673	1.4%	\$ 49,986	\$ 49,411	\$ 575	1.2%

**HOME EQUITY
LOANS**

Average balance	\$ 10,321	\$ 9,992
Weighted-average loan-to-value ratio (at date of origination)	71%	71%
Percent first lien positions	59	57

OTHER DATA

Branches	1,009	1,052
Automated teller machines	1,311	1,359

Key Corporate Bank summary of operations

Average loan balances up 14.5% from the prior year

Average deposits up 3.3% from the prior year

Investment banking and debt placement fees increased 18.3% from the prior year

As shown in Figure 17, Key Corporate Bank recorded net income attributable to Key of \$118 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$121 million for the same period one year ago.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income increased by \$11 million, or 5.6%, compared to the second quarter of 2013. Average earning assets increased \$3.1 billion, or 14.6%, from the year-ago quarter, primarily driven by loan growth in commercial, financial and agricultural and real estate commercial mortgage. This growth in earning assets drove an increase of \$7 million in earning asset spread and a \$2 million increase in loan fees. Average deposit balances increased \$521 million, or 3.3%, from the year-ago quarter, driven by increases in Public Sector as well as increases related to the commercial mortgage servicing acquisition.

Table of Contents

Noninterest income increased by \$5 million, or 2.7%, from the second quarter of 2013. The increase in investment banking and debt placement fees of \$15 million was partially offset by declines in trust and investment services income, mortgage servicing fees, and other miscellaneous fees from the year-ago quarter.

The provision for loan and lease losses increased \$7 million compared to the second quarter of 2013. There were net recoveries of \$2 million for the second quarter of 2014 compared to net recoveries of \$4 million for the same period one year ago.

Noninterest expense increased by \$14 million, or 7.2%, from the second quarter of 2013. Increased personnel costs and higher expenses related to low-income housing tax credit investments were the primary drivers.

Figure 17. Key Corporate Bank

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended				
	June 30,		Change		June 30,		Change		
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS									
Net interest income (TE)	\$ 207	\$ 196	\$ 11	5.6%	\$ 401	\$ 391	\$ 10	2.6%	
Noninterest income	187	182	5	2.7	384	370	14	3.8	
Total revenue (TE)	394	378	16	4.2	785	761	24	3.2	
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses		(7)	7	N/M	(1)	(4)	3	N/M	
Noninterest expense	208	194	14	7.2	409	397	12	3.0	
Income (loss) before income taxes (TE)	186	191	(5)	(2.6)	377	368	9	2.4	
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	66	70	(4)	(5.7)	136	134	2	1.5	
Net income (loss)	120	121	(1)	(.8)	241	234	7	3.0	
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	2		2	N/M	2		2	N/M	
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	\$ 118	\$ 121	\$ (3)	(2.5)%	\$ 239	\$ 234	\$ 5	2.1%	
AVERAGE BALANCES									
Loans and leases	\$ 22,361	\$ 19,536	\$ 2,825	14.5%	\$ 21,906	\$ 19,501	\$ 2,405	12.3%	
Loans held for sale	429	466	(37)	(7.9)	429	438	(9)	(2.1)	
Total assets	26,194	23,251	2,943	12.7	25,781	23,216	2,565	11.0	
Deposits	16,127	15,606	521	3.3	15,964	14,790	1,174	7.9	
Assets under management at period end	\$ 12,350	\$ 12,331	\$ 19	.2%	\$ 12,350	\$ 12,331	\$ 19	.2%	

ADDITIONAL KEY CORPORATE BANK DATA

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	June 30,		Change		June 30,		Change	
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
NONINTEREST INCOME								
Trust and investment services income	\$ 28	\$ 33	\$ (5)	(15.2)%	\$ 59	\$ 64	\$ (5)	(7.8)%
Investment banking and debt placement fees	97	82	15	18.3	181	161	20	12.4
Operating lease income and other leasing gains	11	13	(2)	(15.4)	33	28	5	17.9
Corporate services income	30	30			58	58		
Service charges on deposit accounts	11	11			21	22	(1)	(4.5)
Cards and payments income	4	4			7	8	(1)	(12.5)
Payments and services income	45	45			86	88	(2)	(2.3)
Mortgage servicing fees	11	13	(2)	(15.4)	26	21	5	23.8
Other noninterest income	(5)	(4)	(1)	N/M	(1)	8	(9)	N/M
Total noninterest income	\$ 187	\$ 182	\$ 5	2.7%	\$ 384	\$ 370	\$ 14	3.8%

Other Segments

Other Segments consist of Corporate Treasury, Community Development, Key's Principal Investing unit, and various exit portfolios. Other Segments generated net income attributable to Key of \$72 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to net income attributable to Key of \$49 million for the same period last year. These results were primarily attributable to an increase in net gains (losses) from principal investing of \$20 million and higher operating lease income and other leasing gains of \$17 million due to the early termination of a leveraged lease.

Table of Contents**Financial Condition****Loans and loans held for sale**

At June 30, 2014, total loans outstanding from continuing operations were \$55.6 billion, compared to \$54.5 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$53.1 billion at June 30, 2013. Loans related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business, which are excluded from total loans at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, totaled \$4.2 billion, \$4.5 billion, and \$5.0 billion, respectively. The increase in our outstanding loans from continuing operations over the past twelve months results primarily from increased lending activity in our commercial, financial and agricultural portfolio. For more information on balance sheet carrying value, see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the headings Loans and Loans Held for Sale on pages 117-118 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Commercial loan portfolio

Commercial loans outstanding were \$39.6 billion at June 30, 2014, an increase of \$2.5 billion, or 6.9%, compared to June 30, 2013.

Commercial, financial and agricultural. Our commercial, financial and agricultural loans, also referred to as commercial and industrial, represented 47% of our total loan portfolio at June 30, 2014, 46% at December 31, 2013, and 45% at June 30, 2013, and are the largest component of our total loans. These loans are originated by both Key Corporate Bank and Key Community Bank and consist of fixed and variable rate loans to our large, middle market, and small business clients.

Figure 18 provides our commercial, financial and agricultural loans by industry classification at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.

Figure 18. Commercial, Financial and Agricultural Loans

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		June 30, 2013	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
Industry classification:						
Services	\$ 5,994	22.8%	\$ 6,036	24.2%	\$ 5,502	23.2%
Manufacturing	4,568	17.3	4,238	17.0	4,099	17.3
Public utilities	1,869	7.1	1,838	7.4	1,735	7.3
Financial services	2,424	9.2	2,155	8.6	2,063	8.7
Wholesale trade	2,071	7.9	1,838	7.4	1,929	8.1
Retail trade	989	3.8	993	4.0	993	4.2
Mining	786	3.0	634	2.5	671	2.8
Dealer floor plan	1,245	4.7	1,345	5.4	1,126	4.8
Property management	909	3.5	877	3.5	810	3.4
Transportation	1,196	4.5	953	3.8	853	3.6
Building contractors	687	2.6	526	2.1	489	2.1
Agriculture/forestry/fishing	518	2.0	542	2.2	525	2.2
Insurance	195	.7	169	.7	170	.7

Public administration	560	2.1	432	1.7	354	1.5
Communications	174	.7	204	.8	204	.9
Other	2,142	8.1	2,183	8.7	2,192	9.2
Total	\$ 26,327	100.0%	\$ 24,963	100.0%	\$ 23,715	100.0%

Commercial, financial and agricultural loans increased \$2.6 billion, or 11.0%, from the same period last year, with Key Corporate Bank increasing \$2.4 billion and Key Community Bank up \$215 million. We have experienced growth in new high credit quality loan commitments, and utilization with clients in our middle market segment and Institutional and Capital Markets business. Our two largest industry classifications services and manufacturing increased by 8.9% and 11.4%, respectively, when compared to one year ago. The services and manufacturing industries represented 23% and 17%, respectively, of the total commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio at June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. At the end of each period provided in Figure 18 above, loans in the services and manufacturing industry classifications accounted for over 40% of our total commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio.

Services, manufacturing, and public utilities are focus areas where we maintain dedicated industry verticals that are staffed by relationship managers who possess deep industry experience and knowledge. Our loans in the services classification grew by \$492 million, or 8.9%, compared to last year. The growth in the services loan portfolio was in large part due to increases in lending to large corporate, middle market, and business banking clients, and was partially offset by decreases in loans to

Table of Contents

clients in private bank and real estate. Loans in the manufacturing classification grew by \$469 million, or 11.4%, compared to the same period one year ago. Increases in lending to large corporate, middle market, and business banking clients accounted for the majority of the growth in this classification. Loans in the public utilities classification grew by \$134 million, or 7.7%, compared to last year.

Commercial real estate loans. Our commercial real estate (CRE) lending business is conducted through two primary sources: our 12-state banking franchise, and KeyBank Real Estate Capital, a national line of business that cultivates relationships with owners of CRE located both within and beyond the branch system. This line of business deals primarily with nonowner-occupied properties (generally properties for which at least 50% of the debt service is provided by rental income from nonaffiliated third parties) and accounted for approximately 59% of our average year-to-date CRE loans, compared to 55% one year ago. KeyBank Real Estate Capital generally focuses on larger owners and operators of CRE.

CRE loans represented 16% of our total loan portfolio at June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. These loans, which include both owner- and nonowner-occupied properties, represented 23% of our commercial loan portfolio at June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. These loans were \$9.0 billion at June 30, 2014, compared to \$8.5 billion at June 30, 2013. We have been de-risking the portfolio by changing our focus from developers to owners of completed and stabilized CRE.

Figure 19 includes commercial mortgage and construction loans in both Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. As shown in Figure 19, this loan portfolio is diversified by both property type and geographic location of the underlying collateral.

As presented in Figure 19, at June 30, 2014, our CRE portfolio included mortgage loans of \$7.9 billion and construction loans of \$1.1 billion, representing 14% and 2%, respectively, of our total loans. At June 30, 2014, nonowner-occupied loans represented 11% of our total loans and owner-occupied loans represented 5% of our total loans. The average size of mortgage loans originated during the second quarter of 2014 was \$4.1 million, and our largest mortgage loan at June 30, 2014, had a balance of \$105 million. At June 30, 2014, our average construction loan commitment was \$6 million. Our largest construction loan commitment was \$59.8 million, and our largest construction loan amount outstanding was \$52.7 million.

Table of Contents

Also shown in Figure 19, 69% of our CRE loans at June 30, 2014, were for nonowner-occupied properties compared to 65% at June 30, 2013. Approximately 14% of these loans were construction loans at June 30, 2014, compared to 16% at June 30, 2013. Typically, these properties are not fully leased at the origination of the loan. The borrower relies upon additional leasing through the life of the loan to provide the cash flow necessary to support debt service payments. A significant decline in economic growth, and in turn, rental rates and occupancy would adversely affect our portfolio of construction loans.

Figure 19. Commercial Real Estate Loans

June 30, 2014 dollars in millions	Geographic Region						National Total	Percent of Total Construction Mortgage	Commercial Mortgage		
	West	Southwest	Central	Midwest	Southeast	Northeast					
Nonowner-occupied:											
Retail properties	\$ 152	\$ 141	\$ 92	\$ 87	\$ 204	\$ 53	\$ 128	\$ 857	9.5%	\$ 82	\$ 775
Multifamily properties	433	149	373	483	766	150	140	2,494	27.7	606	1,888
Health facilities	190		243	147	84	225	257	1,146	12.7	43	1,103
Office buildings	230	12	88	103	33	84		550	6.2	76	474
Warehouses	162		28	107	81	72	59	509	5.7	9	500
Manufacturing facilities	4		13	7	60	1	36	121	1.3	2	119
Hotels/Motels	15	5	3	37	19	6		85	.9		85
Residential properties	1		23	5	8	18	8	63	.7	26	37
Land and development	7		9	8	15	14		53	.6	42	11
Other	107		8	10	48	82	86	341	3.8	14	327
Total nonowner-occupied	1,301	307	880	994	1,318	705	714	6,219	69.1	900	5,319
Owner-occupied	1,178	9	300	629	38	620		2,774	30.9	147	2,627
Total	\$ 2,479	\$ 316	\$ 1,180	\$ 1,623	\$ 1,356	\$ 1,325	\$ 714	\$ 8,993	100.0%	\$ 1,047	\$ 7,946
Nonowner-occupied:											
Nonperforming loans											
	\$ 2			\$ 5		\$ 8		\$ 15	N/M	\$ 9	\$ 6
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	7			4		5		16	N/M	5	11
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days				2	\$ 26			28	N/M	2	26

West Alaska, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming

Southwest Arizona, Nevada, and New Mexico

Central	Arkansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah
Midwest	Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin
Southeast	Alabama, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington D.C., and West Virginia
Northeast	Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont
National	Accounts in three or more regions

During the first six months of 2014, nonperforming loans related to nonowner-occupied properties decreased by \$8 million from December 31, 2013, to \$15 million at June 30, 2014, and also decreased by \$73 million when compared to June 30, 2013. Our nonowner-occupied CRE portfolio has increased by 13.1%, or approximately \$718 million, since June 30, 2013.

If the economic recovery stalls, it may weaken the CRE market fundamentals (i.e., vacancy rates, the stability of rental income, and asset values), leading to reduced cash flow to support debt service payments. Reduced client cash flow would adversely affect our ability to collect such payments. Accordingly, the value of our CRE loan portfolio could be adversely affected.

Commercial lease financing. We conduct commercial lease financing arrangements through our KEF line of business and have both the scale and array of products to compete in the equipment lease financing business. Commercial lease financing receivables represented 11% of commercial loans at June 30, 2014, and 13% at June 30, 2013.

Commercial loan modification and restructuring

We modify and extend certain commercial loans in the normal course of business for our clients. Loan modifications vary and are handled on a case by case basis with strategies responsive to the specific circumstances of each loan and borrower. In many cases, borrowers have other resources and can reinforce the credit with additional capital, collateral, guarantees, or income sources.

Modifications are negotiated to achieve mutually agreeable terms that maximize loan credit quality while at the same time meeting our clients' financing needs. Modifications made to loans of creditworthy borrowers not experiencing financial difficulties and under circumstances where ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt are not classified as TDRs. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, a loan is classified as a TDR only when the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a creditor concession has been granted.

Our concession types are primarily interest rate reductions, forgiveness of principal, and other modifications. Loan extensions are sometimes coupled with these primary concession types. Because economic conditions have improved modestly and we have restructured loans to provide the optimal opportunity for successful repayment by the borrower, certain of our restructured loans have returned to accrual status and consistently performed under the restructured loan terms over the past year.

Table of Contents

If loan terms are extended at less than normal market rates for similar lending arrangements, our Asset Recovery Group is consulted to help determine if any concession granted would result in designation as a TDR. Transfer to our Asset Recovery Group is considered for any commercial loan determined to be a TDR. During the first six months of 2014, there were \$3 million of new restructured commercial loans.

For more information on concession types for our commercial accruing and nonaccruing TDRs, see Note 4 (Asset Quality).

Figure 20. Commercial TDRs by Note Type and Accrual Status

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial TDRs by Note Type					
Tranche A	\$ 38	\$ 61	\$ 107	\$ 135	\$ 102
Total Commercial TDRs	\$ 38	\$ 61	\$ 107	\$ 135	\$ 102
Commercial TDRs by Accrual Status					
Nonaccruing	\$ 26	\$ 49	\$ 52	\$ 84	\$ 50
Accruing	12	12	55	51	52
Total Commercial TDRs	\$ 38	\$ 61	\$ 107	\$ 135	\$ 102

We often use an A-B note structure for our TDRs, breaking the existing loan into two tranches. First, we create an A note. Since the objective of this TDR note structure is to achieve a fully performing and well-rated A note, we focus on sizing that note to a level that is supported by cash flow available to service debt at current market terms and consistent with our customary underwriting standards. This note structure typically will include a debt coverage ratio of 1.2 or better of cash flow to monthly payments of market interest, and principal amortization of generally not more than 25 years. (These metrics are adjusted from time to time based upon changes in long-term markets and take-out underwriting standards of our various lines of business.) Appropriately sized A notes are more likely to return to accrual status, allowing us to resume recognizing interest income. As the borrower's payment performance improves, these restructured notes typically also allow for an upgraded internal quality risk rating classification. Moreover, the borrower retains ownership and control of the underlying collateral (typically, CRE), the borrower's capital structure is strengthened (often to the point that fresh capital is attracted to the transaction), and local markets are spared distressed/fire sales.

The B note typically is an interest-only note with no required amortization until the property stabilizes and generates excess cash flow. This excess cash flow customarily is applied directly to the principal of the A note. We evaluate the B note when we consider returning the A note to accrual status. In many cases, the B note is charged off at the same time the A note is returned to accrual status. Alternatively, both A and B notes may be simultaneously returned to accrual if credit metrics are supportive.

Restructured nonaccrual loans may be returned to accrual status based on a current, well-documented evaluation of the credit, which would include analysis of the borrower's financial condition, prospects for repayment under the modified terms, and alternate sources of repayment such as the value of loan collateral. We wait a reasonable period (generally a minimum of six months) to establish the borrower's ability to sustain historical repayment performance before

returning the loan to accrual status. Sustained historical repayment performance prior to the restructuring also may be taken into account. The primary consideration for returning a restructured loan to accrual status is the reasonable assurance that the full contractual principal balance of the loan and the ongoing contractually required interest payments will be fully repaid. Although our policy is a guideline, considerable judgment is required to review each borrower's circumstances.

All loans processed as TDRs, including A notes and any non-charged-off B notes, are reported as TDRs during the calendar year in which the restructure took place.

Additional information regarding TDRs is provided in Note 4 (Asset Quality).

Extensions. Project loans typically are refinanced into the permanent commercial loan market at maturity, but sometimes they are modified and extended. Extension terms take into account the specific circumstances of the client relationship, the status of the project, and near-term prospects for both the client and the collateral. In all cases, pricing and loan structure are reviewed and, where necessary, modified to ensure the loan has been priced to achieve a market rate of return and loan terms that are appropriate for the risk. Typical enhancements include one or more of the following: principal pay down, increased amortization, additional collateral, increased guarantees, and a cash flow sweep. Some maturing construction loans have automatic extension options built in; in those cases, pricing and loan terms cannot be altered.

Table of Contents

Loan pricing is determined based on the strength of the borrowing entity and the strength of the guarantor, if any. Therefore, pricing for an extended loan may remain the same because the loan is already priced at or above current market.

We do not consider loan extensions in the normal course of business (under existing loan terms or at market rates) as TDRs, particularly when ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt and no concession has been made. In the case of loan extensions where either collection of all principal and interest is uncertain or a concession has been made, we would analyze such credit under the applicable accounting guidance to determine whether it qualifies as a TDR. Extensions that qualify as TDRs are measured for impairment under the applicable accounting guidance.

Guarantors. We conduct a detailed guarantor analysis (1) for all new extensions of credit, (2) at the time of any material modification/extension, and (3) typically annually, as part of our on-going portfolio and loan monitoring procedures. This analysis requires the guarantor entity to submit all appropriate financial statements, including balance sheets, income statements, tax returns, and real estate schedules.

While the specific steps of each guarantor analysis may vary, the high-level objectives include determining the overall financial conditions of the guarantor entities, including size, quality, and nature of asset base; net worth (adjusted to reflect our opinion of market value); leverage; standing liquidity; recurring cash flow; contingent and direct debt obligations; and near-term debt maturities.

Borrower and guarantor financial statements are required at least annually within 90-120 days of the calendar/fiscal year end. Income statements and rent rolls for project collateral are required quarterly. We may require certain information, such as liquidity, certifications, status of asset sales or debt resolutions, and real estate schedules, to be provided more frequently.

We routinely seek performance from guarantors of impaired debt if the guarantor is solvent. We may not seek to enforce the guaranty if we are precluded by bankruptcy or we determine the cost to pursue a guarantor exceeds the value to be returned given the guarantor's verified financial condition. We often are successful in obtaining either monetary payment or the cooperation of our solvent guarantors to help mitigate loss, cost, and the expense of collections.

As of June 30, 2014, we had \$3.4 million of mortgage and construction loans that had a loan-to-value ratio greater than 1.0, and were accounted for as performing loans. These loans were not considered impaired due to one or more of the following factors: (i) underlying cash flow adequate to service the debt at a market rate of return with adequate amortization; (ii) a satisfactory borrower payment history; and (iii) acceptable guarantor support.

Consumer loan portfolio

Consumer loans outstanding decreased by \$39 million, or .2%, from one year ago. The home equity portfolio is the largest segment of our consumer loan portfolio. Approximately 97% of this portfolio at June 30, 2014, was originated from our Key Community Bank within our 12-state footprint. The remainder of the portfolio, which has been in an exit mode since the fourth quarter of 2007, was originated from the Consumer Finance line of business and is now included in Other Segments. Home equity loans in Key Community Bank increased by \$206 million, or 2%, over the past twelve months as a result of stabilized home values, improved employment, and favorable borrowing conditions.

As shown in Figure 16, we held the first lien position for approximately 59% of the Key Community Bank home equity portfolio at June 30, 2014, and 57% at June 30, 2013. For consumer loans with real estate collateral, we track

borrower performance monthly. Regardless of the lien position, credit metrics are refreshed quarterly, including recent Fair Isaac Corporation scores as well as original and updated loan-to-value ratios. This information is used in establishing the ALLL. Our methodology is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 118 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

Regulatory guidance issued in January 2012 addressed specific risks and required actions within home equity portfolios associated with second lien loans. At June 30, 2014, 41% of our home equity portfolio was secured by second lien mortgages. On at least a quarterly basis, we continue to monitor the risk characteristics of these loans when determining whether our loss estimation methods are appropriate.

Table of Contents

Figure 21 summarizes our home equity loan portfolio by source at the end of each of the last five quarters, as well as certain asset quality statistics and yields on the portfolio as a whole.

Figure 21. Home Equity Loans

<i>dollars in millions</i>	2014		2013		
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
SOURCES OF PERIOD-END LOANS					
Key Community Bank	\$ 10,379	\$ 10,281	\$ 10,340	\$ 10,285	\$ 10,173
Other	300	315	334	353	375
Total	\$ 10,679	\$ 10,596	\$ 10,674	\$ 10,638	\$ 10,548
Nonperforming loans at period end	\$ 189	\$ 199	\$ 220	\$ 211	\$ 221
Net loan charge-offs for the period	10	9	13	14	19
Yield for the period	4.03%	4.04%	4.04%	4.05%	4.07%
<u>Loans held for sale</u>					

As shown in Note 3 (Loans and Loans Held for Sale), our loans held for sale decreased to \$435 million at June 30, 2014, from \$611 million at December 31, 2013, and totaled \$402 million at June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2014, loans held for sale included \$181 million of commercial, financial and agricultural loans, which increased by \$159 million from June 30, 2013, \$221 million of commercial mortgage loans, which decreased \$97 million from June 30, 2013, and \$23 million of residential mortgage loans, which decreased \$25 million from June 30, 2013.

Loan sales

As shown in Figure 22, during the first six months of 2014, we sold \$1.2 billion of CRE loans, \$177 million of residential real estate loans, \$168 million of commercial loans, and \$84 million of commercial lease financing loans. Most of these sales came from the held-for-sale portfolio; however, \$67 million of these loan sales related to the held-to-maturity portfolio.

Loan sales classified as held for sale generated net gains of \$40 million in the first six months of 2014 and are included in investment banking and debt placement fees and other income on the income statement.

Among the factors that we consider in determining which loans to sell are:

our business strategy for particular lending areas;

whether particular lending businesses meet established performance standards or fit with our relationship banking strategy;

our A/LM needs;

the cost of alternative funding sources;

the level of credit risk;

capital requirements; and

market conditions and pricing.

Table of Contents

Figure 22 summarizes our loan sales for the first six months of 2014 and all of 2013.

Figure 22. Loans Sold (Including Loans Held for Sale)

<i>in millions</i>	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Lease Financing	Residential Real Estate	Total
2014					
Second quarter	\$ 152	\$ 679	\$ 45	\$ 104	\$ 980
First quarter	16	489	39	73	617
Total	\$ 168	\$ 1,168	\$ 84	\$ 177	\$ 1,597
2013					
Fourth quarter	\$ 39	\$ 1,504	\$ 141	\$ 102	\$ 1,786
Third quarter	17	923	129	184	1,253
Second quarter	181	815	90	226	1,312
First quarter	38	880	69	328	1,315
Total	\$ 275	\$ 4,122	\$ 429	\$ 840	\$ 5,666 ^(a)

(a) Excludes education loans of \$147 million sold during 2013 that relate to the discontinued operations of the education lending business.

Figure 23 shows loans that are either administered or serviced by us, but not recorded on the balance sheet, and includes loans that were sold.

Figure 23. Loans Administered or Serviced

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial real estate loans ^(a)	\$ 179,194	\$ 174,601	\$ 177,731	\$ 199,464	\$ 172,398
Commercial lease financing	708	717	717	623	548
Commercial loans	327	325	327	345	352
Total	\$ 180,229	\$ 175,643	\$ 178,775	\$ 200,432	\$ 173,298

In the event of default by a borrower, we are subject to recourse with respect to approximately \$1.4 billion of the \$180 billion of loans administered or serviced at June 30, 2014. Additional information about this recourse arrangement is included in Note 15 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Recourse agreement with FNMA.

We derive income from several sources when retaining the right to administer or service loans that are sold. We earn noninterest income (recorded as mortgage servicing fees) from fees for servicing or administering loans. This fee income is reduced by the amortization of related servicing assets. In addition, we earn interest income from investing funds generated by escrow deposits collected in connection with the servicing of commercial real estate loans.

Securities

Our securities portfolio totaled \$17.4 billion at June 30, 2014, compared to \$17.1 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$18 billion at June 30, 2013. Available-for-sale securities were \$12.2 billion at June 30, 2014, compared to \$12.3 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$13.3 billion at June 30, 2013. Held-to-maturity securities were \$5.2 billion at June 30, 2014, compared to \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$4.7 billion at June 30, 2013. Essentially all of our held-to-maturity securities portfolio was invested in CMOs at June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

As shown in Figure 24, all of our mortgage-backed securities, which include both securities available for sale and held-to-maturity securities, are issued by government-sponsored enterprises or GNMA, and are traded in liquid secondary markets. These securities are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value for the available-for-sale portfolio and at cost for the held-to-maturity portfolio. For more information about these securities, see Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements) under the heading Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques, and Note 6 (Securities).

Figure 24. Mortgage-Backed Securities by Issuer

<i>in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
FHLMC	\$ 6,375	\$ 7,047	\$ 7,864
FNMA	5,564	5,978	6,127
GNMA	5,444	3,997	3,928
Total ^(a)	\$ 17,383	\$ 17,022	\$ 17,919

(a) Includes securities held in the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity portfolios.

Securities available for sale

The majority of our securities available-for-sale portfolio consists of CMOs, which are debt securities secured by a pool of mortgages or mortgage-backed securities. CMOs generate interest income and serve as collateral to support certain pledging agreements. At June 30, 2014, we had \$12.2 billion invested in CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities in the available-for-sale portfolio, compared to \$12.3 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$13.2 billion at June 30, 2013.

We periodically evaluate our securities available-for-sale portfolio in light of established A/LM objectives, changing market conditions that could affect the profitability of the portfolio, the regulatory environment, and the level of interest rate risk to which we are exposed. These evaluations may cause us to take steps to adjust our overall balance sheet positioning.

In addition, the size and composition of our securities available-for-sale portfolio could vary with our needs for liquidity and the extent to which we are required (or elect) to hold these assets as collateral to secure public funds and trust deposits. Although we generally use debt securities for this purpose, other assets, such as securities purchased under resale agreements or letters of credit, are used occasionally when they provide a lower cost of collateral or more favorable risk profiles.

Throughout 2013 and the first half of 2014, our investing activities continued to complement other balance sheet developments and provide for our ongoing liquidity management needs. Our actions to not reinvest the monthly security cash flows at various times during this time period served to provide the liquidity necessary to address our funding requirements. These funding requirements included ongoing loan growth and occasional debt maturities. At other times, we may make additional investments that go beyond the replacement of maturities or mortgage security cash flows as our liquidity position and/or interest rate risk management strategies may require. Lastly, our focus on investing in GNMA-related securities is also related to liquidity management strategies as we continue to make progress in preparing for expected future regulatory requirements.

Table of Contents

Figure 25 shows the composition, yields, and remaining maturities of our securities available for sale. For more information about these securities, including gross unrealized gains and losses by type of security and securities pledged, see Note 6 (Securities).

Figure 25. Securities Available for Sale

<i>dollars in millions</i>	States and Political Subdivisions	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (a)	Other Mortgage- Backed Securities (a)	Other Securities (b)	Total	Weighted- Average Yield (c)
June 30, 2014						
Remaining maturity:						
One year or less	\$ 2	\$ 331			\$ 333	3.24%
After one through five years	16	9,498	\$ 1,918	\$ 22	11,454	2.26
After five through ten years	13	37	382		432	2.33
After ten years			5		5	5.49
Fair value	\$ 31	\$ 9,866	\$ 2,305	\$ 22	\$ 12,224	
Amortized cost	30	9,912	2,273	18	12,233	2.29%
Weighted-average yield (c)	6.18%	2.23%	2.50%		2.29% (d)	
Weighted-average maturity	4.4 years	3.4 years	4.4 years	3.5 years	3.5 years	
December 31, 2013						
Fair value	\$ 40	\$ 11,000	\$ 1,286	\$ 20	\$ 12,346	
Amortized cost	39	11,120	1,270	17	12,446	2.33%
June 30, 2013						
Fair value	\$ 44	\$ 12,603	\$ 584	\$ 22	\$ 13,253	
Amortized cost	43	12,503	555	19	13,120	2.45%

(a) Maturity is based upon expected average lives rather than contractual terms.

(b) Includes primarily marketable equity securities.

(c) Weighted-average yields are calculated based on amortized cost. Such yields have been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

(d) Excludes \$22 million of securities at June 30, 2014, that have no stated yield.

Held-to-maturity securities

Federal Agency CMOs constitute essentially all of our held-to-maturity securities. The remaining balance comprises foreign bonds and capital securities. Figure 26 shows the composition, yields and remaining maturities of these securities.

Figure 26. Held-to-Maturity Securities

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	Other Securities	Total	Weighted- Average Yield (a)
June 30, 2014				
Remaining maturity:				
One year or less		\$ 9	\$ 9	2.42%
After one through five years	\$ 5,126	11	5,137	1.91
After five through ten years	87		87	2.15
Amortized cost	\$ 5,213	\$ 20	\$ 5,233	1.92%
Fair value	5,134	20	5,154	
Weighted-average yield	1.92%	2.47% ^(b)	1.92% ^(b)	
Weighted-average maturity	3.5 years	1.8 years	3.5 years	
December 31, 2013				
Amortized cost	\$ 4,736	\$ 20	\$ 4,756	1.83%
Fair value	4,597	20	4,617	
June 30, 2013				
Amortized cost	\$ 4,732	\$ 18	\$ 4,750	1.82%
Fair value	4,698	18	4,716	

- (a) Weighted-average yields are calculated based on amortized cost. Such yields have been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.
- (b) Excludes \$5 million of securities at June 30, 2014, that have no stated yield.

Table of Contents**Other investments**

Principal investments—investments in equity and mezzanine instruments made by our Principal Investing unit represented 61% of other investments at June 30, 2014. They include direct investments (investments made in a particular company) as well as indirect investments (investments made through funds that include other investors). Principal investments are predominantly made in privately-held companies and are carried at fair value. The fair value of the direct investments was \$146 million at June 30, 2014, \$141 million at December 31, 2013, and \$186 million at June 30, 2013, while the fair value of the indirect investments was \$399 million at June 30, 2014, \$413 million at December 31, 2013, and \$426 million at June 30, 2013. Under the requirements of the Volcker Rule, we will be required to dispose of some or all of our indirect principal investments. The implementation date of the Volcker Rule is July 21, 2015. Key is permitted to file for two one-year extensions, and an additional extension of up to five years for illiquid funds, to retain the indirect investments for a longer period of time. We plan to apply for the extensions and hold the investments. As of June 30, 2014, we have not committed to a plan to sell these investments. For more information about the Volcker Rule, see the discussion under the heading **Other regulatory developments under the Dodd-Frank Act—Volcker Rule** in the section entitled **Supervision and Regulation** in Item 1 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

In addition to principal investments, other investments include other equity and mezzanine instruments, such as certain real estate-related investments that are carried at fair value, as well as other types of investments that generally are carried at cost. There are indirect real-estate-related investments valued at \$16 million at June 30, 2014, \$23 million at December 31, 2013, and \$32 million at June 30, 2013, that may be subject to the disposal requirements under the Volcker Rule, as described in the previous paragraph.

Most of our other investments are not traded on an active market. We determine the fair value at which these investments should be recorded based on the nature of the specific investment and all available relevant information. This review may encompass such factors as the issuer's past financial performance and future potential, the values of public companies in comparable businesses, the risks associated with the particular business or investment type, current market conditions, the nature and duration of resale restrictions, the issuer's payment history, our knowledge of the industry, third-party data, and other relevant factors. During the first six months of 2014, net gains from our principal investing activities (including results attributable to noncontrolling interests) totaled \$51 million, which includes \$32 million of net unrealized gains. These net gains are recorded as net gains (losses) from principal investing on the income statement. Additional information regarding these investments is provided in Note 5 (**Fair Value Measurements**).

Deposits and other sources of funds

Domestic deposits are our primary source of funding. During the second quarter of 2014, average domestic deposits were \$66.5 billion and represented 85% of the funds we used to support loans and other earning assets, compared to \$64.9 billion and 86% during the second quarter of 2013. The composition of our average deposits is shown in Figure 8 in the section entitled **Net interest income**.

The increase in average domestic deposits from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014 was due to increases in demand deposits of \$993 million and NOW and money market deposit accounts of \$1.4 billion. These increases were mostly due to growth related to commercial client inflows as well as increases related to the commercial mortgage servicing business. This growth was partially offset by run-off in certificates of deposit.

Wholesale funds, consisting of deposits in our foreign office and short-term borrowings, averaged \$2.7 billion during the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$2.8 billion during the second quarter of 2013. The change from the second quarter of 2013 was caused by a \$375 million decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under

agreements to repurchase, partially offset by a \$178 million increase in bank notes and other short-term borrowings and an \$89 million increase in foreign office deposits.

Table of Contents

Capital

At June 30, 2014, our shareholders' equity was \$10.5 billion, up \$201 million from December 31, 2013. The following sections discuss certain factors that contributed to this change. For other factors that contributed to the change, see the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited).

CCAR and capital actions

As part of its ongoing supervisory process, the Federal Reserve requires BHCs like KeyCorp to submit an annual comprehensive capital plan and to update that plan to reflect material changes in the BHC's risk profile, business strategies, or corporate structure, including but not limited to changes in planned capital actions. In January 2014, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC our 2014 capital plan under the annual CCAR process. On March 26, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced that it did not object to our 2014 capital plan submitted as part of the annual CCAR process. The 2014 capital plan includes a common share repurchase program of up to \$542 million. Share repurchases under the capital plan have been authorized by our Board and include repurchases to offset issuances of common shares under our employee compensation plans. Common share repurchases under the 2014 capital plan are expected to be executed through the first quarter of 2015.

During the second quarter of 2014, we repurchased \$108 million of common shares under our 2014 capital plan authorization.

Dividends

As previously reported, our 2014 capital plan also proposed an increase in our quarterly common share dividend from \$.055 to \$.065 per share, which was approved by our Board of Directors in May 2014. Other changes to future dividends may be evaluated by the Board based upon our earnings, financial condition, and other factors, including regulatory review. Further information regarding the capital planning process and CCAR is included in the

Supervision and Regulation section of our 2013 Form 10-K in Item 1. Business under the heading Regulatory capital and liquidity.

Consistent with the 2014 capital plan, we made a dividend payment of \$.065 per share, or \$57 million, on our common shares during the second quarter of 2014.

We also made a quarterly dividend payment of \$1.9375 per share, or \$5 million, on our Series A Preferred Stock during the second quarter of 2014.

Common shares outstanding

Our common shares are traded on the NYSE under the symbol KEY with 29,635 holders of record at June 30, 2014. Our book value per common share was \$11.65 based on 876.8 million shares outstanding at June 30, 2014, compared to \$11.25 per common share based on 890.7 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2013, and \$10.89 per common share based on 912.9 million shares outstanding at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2014, our tangible book value per common share was \$10.50, compared to \$10.11 per common share at December 31, 2013, and \$9.77 per common share at June 30, 2013.

Figure 27 shows activities that caused the change in outstanding common shares over the past five quarters.

Figure 27. Changes in Common Shares Outstanding

<i>in thousands</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Shares outstanding at beginning of period	884,869	890,724	897,821	912,883	922,581
Common shares repurchased	(7,824)	(9,845)	(7,659)	(16,364)	(10,786)
Shares reissued (returned) under employee benefit plans	(222)	3,990	562	1,302	1,088
Shares outstanding at end of period	876,823	884,869	890,724	897,821	912,883

As shown above, common shares outstanding decreased by 8.0 million shares during the second quarter of 2014 from share repurchases under our 2014 capital plan and the net activity in our employee benefit plans.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2014, we had 140.1 million treasury shares, compared to 126.2 million treasury shares at December 31, 2013, and 104.1 million treasury shares at June 30, 2013. Going forward we expect to reissue treasury shares as needed in connection with stock-based compensation awards and for other corporate purposes.

Information on repurchases of common shares by KeyCorp is included in Part II, Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds of this report.

Capital adequacy

Capital adequacy is an important indicator of financial stability and performance. All of our capital ratios remain in excess of regulatory requirements at June 30, 2014. Our capital and liquidity levels are intended to position us to weather an adverse credit cycle while continuing to serve our clients' needs, as well as to meet the Regulatory Capital Rules described in the Supervision and regulation section of Item 2 of this report. Our shareholders' equity to assets ratio was 11.44% at June 30, 2014, compared to 11.09% at December 31, 2013, and 11.29% at June 30, 2013. Our tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio was 10.15% at June 30, 2014, compared to 9.80% at December 31, 2013, and 9.96% at June 30, 2013.

Banking industry regulators prescribe minimum capital ratios for BHCs like KeyCorp and their banking subsidiaries. Risk-based capital guidelines require a minimum level of capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets. Risk-weighted assets consist of total assets plus certain off-balance sheet and market risk items, subject to adjustment for predefined credit risk factors. Currently, banks and BHCs must maintain, at a minimum, Tier 1 capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets of 4.00% and total capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets of 8.00%. As of June 30, 2014, our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and our total risk-based capital ratio were 11.99% and 14.14%, respectively, compared to 11.96% and 14.33%, respectively, at December 31, 2013, and 11.93% and 14.65%, respectively, at June 30, 2013.

Another indicator of capital adequacy, the leverage ratio, is defined as Tier 1 capital as a percentage of average quarterly tangible assets. BHCs that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the Federal Reserve's risk-adjusted measure for market risk as we have must maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 3.00%. All other BHCs must maintain a minimum ratio of 4.00%. As of June 30, 2014, our leverage ratio was 11.24%, compared to 11.11% at December 31, 2013, and 11.25% at June 30, 2013.

The adoption of the Regulatory Capital Rules changes the regulatory capital standards that apply to BHCs by phasing out the treatment of capital securities and cumulative preferred securities as eligible Tier 1 capital. The phase-out period, beginning January 1, 2015, for standardized approach banking organizations such as Key, will result in our trust preferred securities issued by the KeyCorp capital trusts being treated only as Tier 2 capital by 2016. These changes apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to depository institutions to BHCs, savings and loan holding companies, and nonbank financial companies identified as systemically important. Given our strong capital position, we expect to be able to satisfy the capital framework established under the Regulatory Capital Rules by our compliance date of January 1, 2015. The section titled Supervision and Regulation under Item 1. Business of our 2013 Form 10-K contains more detailed information regarding the Regulatory Capital Rules.

As of June 30, 2014, our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, leverage ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio were 11.99%, 11.24%, and 14.14%, respectively. The trust preferred securities issued by the KeyCorp capital trusts contribute \$339 million, or 40, 38, and 40 basis points, to our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, Tier 1 leverage ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio, respectively, as of June 30, 2014. The new minimum capital ratios under the Regulatory Capital Rules together with the estimated capital ratios of Key at June 30, 2014, calculated on a fully phased-in basis are set forth in Figure 4 of this report.

Federal banking regulations group FDIC-insured depository institutions into one of five prompt corrective action capital categories, ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. A well capitalized institution must meet or exceed the prescribed threshold ratios of 6.00% for Tier 1 risk-based capital, 5.00% for Tier 1 leverage capital, and 10.00% for total risk-based capital and must not be subject to any written agreement, order or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. If these provisions applied to BHCs, we believe we would qualify as well capitalized at June 30, 2014, and we believe there has not been any change in condition or event since that date that would cause a change in capital category. Analysis on an estimated basis, accounting for the phase-out of our trust preferred securities as Tier 1 eligible (and therefore as Tier 2 instead) as of June 30, 2014, also determines that we would qualify as well capitalized under current regulatory guidelines (Basel I), with the estimated Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, estimated leverage ratio, and estimated total risk-based capital ratio being 11.59%, 10.87%, and 14.14%, respectively. Figure 5 in the Supervision and Regulation section above, describes the new threshold capital ratios for a well capitalized and an adequately capitalized institution under the Regulatory Capital Rules. The regulatory defined capital categories serve a

Table of Contents

limited supervisory function. Investors should not use our estimated ratios as a representation of our overall financial condition or prospects of KeyCorp. A discussion of the regulatory capital standards and other related capital adequacy regulatory standards is included in the section **Supervision and Regulation** under Item 1. Business of our 2013 Form 10-K under the heading **Regulatory capital and liquidity**.

Traditionally, the banking regulators have assessed bank and BHC capital adequacy based on both the amount and composition of capital, the calculation of which is prescribed in federal banking regulations. As a result of the financial crisis, the Federal Reserve has intensified its assessment of capital adequacy on a component of Tier 1 risk-based capital, known as Tier 1 common equity, and its review of the consolidated capitalization of systemically important financial companies, including KeyCorp. The capital modifications mandated by the Regulatory Capital Rules are consistent with the renewed focus on Tier 1 common equity and the consolidated capitalization of banks, BHCs, and covered nonbank financial companies, which resulted from the financial crisis. Tier 1 common equity is neither formally defined by GAAP nor prescribed in amount by federal banking regulations; this measure is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Figure 7 in the **Highlights of Our Performance** section reconciles Key shareholders' equity, the GAAP performance measure, to Tier 1 common equity, the corresponding non-GAAP measure. Our Tier 1 common equity ratio was 11.25% at June 30, 2014, compared to 11.22% at December 31, 2013, and 11.18% at June 30, 2013.

Generally, for risk-based capital purposes, deferred tax assets that are dependent upon future taxable income are limited to the lesser of: (i) the amount of deferred tax assets that a financial institution expects to realize within one year of the calendar quarter-end date, based on its projected future taxable income for the year, or (ii) 10% of the amount of an institution's Tier 1 capital. At June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, we had no net deferred tax assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets. At June 30, 2014, for Key's consolidated operations, we had a federal net deferred tax asset of \$137 million and a state deferred tax asset of \$6 million, compared to a federal deferred tax asset of \$184 million and a state deferred tax asset of \$7 million at December 31, 2013, and a federal net deferred tax asset of \$151 million and a state deferred tax liability of \$4 million at June 30, 2013. We have recorded a valuation allowance of \$1 million against the gross deferred tax assets associated with certain state net operating loss carryforwards and state credit carryforwards.

Table of Contents

Figure 28 represents the details of our regulatory capital position at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, under the existing regulatory capital standards.

Figure 28. Capital Components and Risk-Weighted Assets

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
TIER 1 CAPITAL			
Key shareholders equity	\$ 10,504	\$ 10,303	\$ 10,229
Qualifying capital securities	339	339	339
Less: Goodwill	979	979	979
Accumulated other comprehensive income ^(a)	(328)	(394)	(359)
Other assets ^(b)	86	89	101
Total Tier 1 capital	10,106	9,968	9,847
TIER 2 CAPITAL			
Allowance for losses on loans and liability for losses on lending-related commitments ^(c)	883	924	953
Net unrealized gains on equity securities available for sale	2	1	2
Qualifying long-term debt	930	1,048	1,287
Total Tier 2 capital	1,815	1,973	2,242
Total risk-based capital	\$ 11,921	\$ 11,941	\$ 12,089
TIER 1 COMMON EQUITY			
Tier 1 capital	\$ 10,106	\$ 9,968	\$ 9,847
Less: Qualifying capital securities	339	339	339
Series A Preferred Stock ^(d)	282	282	282
Total Tier 1 common equity	\$ 9,485	\$ 9,347	\$ 9,226
RISK-WEIGHTED ASSETS			
Risk-weighted assets on balance sheet	\$ 66,154	\$ 65,505	\$ 64,637
Risk-weighted off-balance sheet exposure	18,435	17,778	17,526
Less: Goodwill	979	979	979
Other assets ^(b)	251	458	348
Plus: Market risk-equivalent assets	928	1,482	1,692
Gross risk-weighted assets	84,287	83,328	82,528
Less: Excess allowance for loan and lease losses			
Net risk-weighted assets	\$ 84,287	\$ 83,328	\$ 82,528

AVERAGE QUARTERLY TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 91,110	\$ 91,141	\$ 88,853
---------------------------------------	------------------	-----------	-----------

CAPITAL RATIOS

Tier 1 risk-based capital	11.99%	11.96%	11.93%
Total risk-based capital	14.14	14.33	14.65
Leverage ^(e)	11.24	11.11	11.25
Tier 1 common equity	11.25	11.22	11.18

- (a) Includes net unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale (except for net unrealized losses on marketable equity securities), net gains or losses on cash flow hedges, and amounts resulting from the application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.
- (b) Other assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets consist of disallowed intangible assets (excluding goodwill) and deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments. There were no disallowed deferred tax assets at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.
- (c) The ALLL included in Tier 2 capital is limited by regulation to 1.25% of the sum of gross risk-weighted assets plus low level exposures and residual interests calculated under the direct reduction method, as defined by the Federal Reserve. The ALLL includes \$32 million, \$39 million, and \$41 million of allowance classified as discontinued assets on the balance sheet, at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.
- (d) Net of capital surplus for the quarters ended June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013.
- (e) This ratio is Tier 1 capital divided by average quarterly total assets as defined by the Federal Reserve less:
 (i) goodwill, (ii) the disallowed intangible assets described in footnote (b), and (iii) deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments; plus assets derecognized as an offset to AOCI resulting from the adoption and subsequent application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.

Table of Contents

Risk Management

Overview

Like all financial services companies, we engage in business activities and assume the related risks. The most significant risks we face are credit, compliance, liquidity, operational, market, reputation, strategic, and model risks. Our risk management activities are focused on ensuring we properly identify, measure and manage such risks across the entire enterprise to maintain safety and soundness and maximize profitability. Certain of these risks are defined and discussed in greater detail in the remainder of this section.

The KeyCorp Board of Directors (the Board) serves in an oversight capacity ensuring that Key's risks are managed in a manner that is effective and balanced and adds value for the shareholders. The Board understands Key's risk philosophy, approves the risk appetite, inquires about risk practices, reviews the portfolio of risks, compares the actual risks to the risk appetite and is apprised of significant risks, both actual and emerging, and determines whether management is responding appropriately. The Board challenges management and ensures accountability.

The Board's Audit Committee assists the Board in oversight of financial statement integrity, regulatory and legal compliance, independent auditors' qualifications and independence, and all risk review functions, including internal audit. The Audit Committee meets with management and approves significant policies related to risk assessment and risk management and the processes related to risk review, operational risk, and compliance. The Audit Committee has responsibility over internal audit, financial reporting, compliance risk and legal matters, the implementation, management and evaluation of operational risk controls and information, security and fraud risk, and associated reputation and strategic risks.

The Board's Risk Committee assists the Board in oversight of strategies, policies, procedures and practices relating to the assessment and management of enterprise-wide risk, including credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, and model risk, as well as reputational and strategic risks. The Risk Committee also assists the Board in overseeing capital adequacy, capital planning, capital actions and other material financial matters. The Risk Committee reviews and provides oversight of management's activities and approves significant policies related to enterprise-wide risks, which includes reviewing management and Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) reports, the ERM Policy, including the Risk Appetite Statement, as well as approving any material changes to the charter of the ERM Committee.

The Audit and Risk Committees meet jointly, as appropriate, to discuss matters that relate to each committee's responsibilities. In addition to regularly scheduled bi-monthly meetings, the Audit Committee convenes to discuss the content of our financial disclosures and quarterly earnings releases. Committee chairpersons routinely meet with management during interim months to plan agendas for upcoming meetings and to discuss emerging trends and events that have transpired since the preceding meeting. All members of the Board receive formal reports designed to keep them abreast of significant developments during the interim months.

Our ERM Committee, chaired by the Chief Executive Officer and comprised of other senior level executives, is responsible for managing risk and ensuring that the corporate risk profile is managed in a manner consistent with our risk appetite. The ERM Program encompasses our risk philosophy, policy, framework and governance structure for the management of risks across the entire company. The ERM Committee reports to the Board's Risk Committee. Annually, the Board reviews and approves the ERM Policy, as well as the risk appetite, including corporate risk tolerances for major risk categories. We use a risk-adjusted capital framework to manage risks. This framework is approved and managed by the ERM Committee.

Tier 2 Risk Governance Committees support the ERM Committee by identifying early warning events and trends, escalating emerging risks and discussing forward-looking assessments. Membership of the Risk Governance Committees includes representatives from each of the Three Lines of Defense. The First Line of Defense is the Line of Business primarily responsible to accept, own, proactively identify, monitor and manage risk. The Second Line of Defense comprises Risk Management representatives who provide independent, centralized oversight over all risk categories by aggregating, analyzing and reporting risk information. Risk Review provides the Third Line of Defense in their role to provide independent assessment and testing of the effectiveness, appropriateness and adherence to KeyCorp's risk management policies, practices and controls.

The Chief Risk Officer ensures that relevant risk information is properly integrated into strategic and business decisions, ensures appropriate ownership of risks, provides input into performance and compensation decisions, assesses aggregate enterprise risk, monitors capabilities to manage critical risks, and executes appropriate Board and stakeholder reporting.

Table of Contents

Federal banking regulators continue to emphasize with financial institutions the importance of relating capital management strategy to the level of risk at each institution. We believe our internal risk management processes help us achieve and maintain capital levels that are commensurate with our business activities and risks, and conform to regulatory expectations.

Market risk management

Market risk is the risk that movements in market risk factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodity prices, credit spreads, and volatilities will reduce Key's income and the value of its portfolios. These factors influence prospective yields, values, or prices associated with the instrument. For example, the value of a fixed-rate bond will decline when market interest rates increase, while the cash flows associated with a variable rate loan will increase when interest rates increase. The holder of a financial instrument is exposed to market risk when either the cash flows or the value of the instrument is tied to such external factors.

We are exposed to market risk both in our trading and nontrading activities, which include asset and liability management activities. Our trading positions are carried at fair value with changes recorded in the income statement. These positions are subject to various market-based risk factors that impact the fair value of the financial instruments in the trading category. Our traditional banking loan and deposit products as well as long-term debt and certain short-term borrowings are nontrading positions. These positions are generally carried at the principal amount outstanding for assets and the amount owed for liabilities. The nontrading positions are subject to changes in economic value due to varying market conditions, primarily changes in interest rates.

Trading market risk

Key incurs market risk as a result of trading, investing, and client facilitation activities, principally within our investment banking and capital markets business. Key has exposures to a wide range of interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, and commodity prices, as well as the associated implied volatilities and spreads. Our primary market risk exposures are a result of trading activities in the derivative and fixed income markets and maintaining positions in these instruments. We maintain modest trading inventories to facilitate customer flow, make markets in securities, and hedge certain risks. The majority of our positions are traded in active markets.

Management of trading market risks. Market risk management is an integral part of Key's risk culture. Oversight of trading market risks is governed by the Risk Committee of our Board, the ERM Committee, and the Market Risk Committee. These committees regularly review and discuss market risk reports prepared by our Market Risk Management group (MRM) that contain our market risk exposures and results of monitoring activities. Market risk policies and procedures have been defined and approved by the Market Risk Committee, a Tier 2 Risk Governance Committee, and take into account our tolerance for risk and consideration for the business environment.

MRM is an independent risk management function that partners with the lines of business to identify, measure, and monitor market risks throughout our company. MRM is responsible for ensuring transparency of significant market risks, monitoring compliance with established limits, and escalating limit exceptions to appropriate senior management. The various business units and trading desks are responsible for ensuring that market risk exposures are well-managed and prudent. Market risk is monitored through various measures, such as VaR, and through routine stress testing, sensitivity, and scenario analyses. MRM conducts stress tests for each covered position using historical worst case and standard shock scenarios. VaR, stressed VaR, and other analyses are prepared daily and distributed to appropriate management.

Covered positions. We monitor the market risk of our covered positions, which includes all of our trading positions as well as all foreign exchange and commodity positions, regardless of whether the position is in a trading account. The trading account includes on- and off-balance sheet positions in financial instruments acquired with the intent to profit from price variations. All positions in the trading account are recorded at fair value, and changes in fair value are reflected in our consolidated statements of income. Information regarding our fair value policies, procedures and methodologies is provided in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Fair Value Measurements beginning on page 119 of our 2013 Form 10-K and Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements) in this report. Instruments that are used to hedge nontrading activities, such as bank-issued debt and loan portfolios, equity positions that are not actively traded, and securities financing activities, do not meet the definition of a covered position. MRM is responsible for identifying our portfolios as either covered or non-covered. The Covered Position Working Group develops the final list of covered positions, and a summary is provided to the Market Risk Committee.

Table of Contents

Our significant portfolios of covered positions are detailed below. We analyze market risk by portfolios of covered positions, and do not separately measure and monitor our portfolios by risk type. The descriptions below incorporate the respective risk types associated with each of these portfolios.

Fixed income includes those instruments associated with our capital markets business and the trading of securities as a dealer. These instruments include positions in municipal bonds, bonds backed by the U.S. government, agency and corporate bonds, certain mortgage-backed securities, securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, money markets, and certain CMOs. The activities and instruments within the fixed income portfolio create exposures to interest rate and credit spread risks.

Interest rate derivatives include interest rate swaps, caps and floors, which are transacted primarily to accommodate the needs of commercial loan clients. In addition, we enter into interest rate derivatives to offset or mitigate the interest rate risk related to the client positions. The activities within this portfolio create exposures to interest rate risk.

Credit derivatives include credit default swaps, which are used to mitigate loan portfolio credit risk, and credit default swap indexes, which are used to manage the credit risk exposure associated with anticipated sales of certain commercial real estate loans. The transactions within the credit derivatives portfolio result in exposure to credit risk.

VaR and stressed VaR. VaR is the estimate of the maximum amount of loss on an instrument or portfolio due to adverse market conditions during a given time interval within a stated confidence level. Stressed VaR is used to assess the extreme conditions on market risk within our trading portfolios. MRM calculates VaR and stressed VaR on a daily basis, and the results are distributed to appropriate management. VaR and stressed VaR results are also provided to our regulators and utilized in regulatory capital calculations.

We use a historical VaR model to measure the potential adverse effect of changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, and credit spreads on the fair value of our covered positions. Historical scenarios are customized for specific covered positions, and numerous risk factors are incorporated in the calculation. VaR is calculated using daily observations over a one-year time horizon, and approximates a 95% confidence level. Statistically, this means that we would expect to incur losses greater than VaR, on average, five out of 100 trading days, or three to four times each quarter. We also calculate VaR and stressed VaR at a 99% confidence level. We also utilize factors to estimate the exposures that contain optionality features, such as options and cancellable provisions.

The VaR model is an effective tool in estimating ranges of possible gains and losses on our covered positions. However, there are limitations inherent in the VaR model since it uses historical results over a given time interval to estimate future performance. Historical results may not be indicative of future results, and changes in the market or composition of our portfolios could have a significant impact on the accuracy of the VaR model. We regularly review and enhance the modeling techniques, inputs and assumptions used. Our market risk policy includes the independent validation of our VaR model by Key's Risk Management Group on an annual basis. The Model Risk Management Committee oversees the Model Validation Program, and results of validations are discussed with the ERM Committee.

MRM backtests our VaR model on a daily basis to evaluate its predictive power. The test compares VaR model results at the 99% confidence level to observed daily profit and loss. Results of backtesting are provided to the Market Risk

Committee. Backtesting exceptions occur when trading losses exceed VaR. Actual losses did not exceed daily trading VaR on any day during the quarters ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

We do not engage in correlation trading, or utilize the internal model approach for measuring default and credit migration risk. Our net VaR approach incorporates diversification, but our VaR calculation does not include the impact of counterparty risk and our own credit spreads on derivatives.

The aggregate VaR at the 99% confidence level for all covered positions was \$1.1 million at June 30, 2014, and \$1.7 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease in aggregate VaR was primarily due to reduced exposures in credit and interest rate derivatives. Figure 29 summarizes our VaR at the 99% confidence level for significant portfolios of covered positions for the three months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents**Figure 29. VaR for Significant Portfolios of Covered Positions**

<i>in millions</i>	2014			2013		
	High	Low	Mean	High	Low	Mean
Trading account assets:						
Fixed income	\$.7	\$.3	\$.5	\$.6	\$ 1.2	\$.3
Derivatives:						
Interest rate	\$.6	\$.1	\$.4	\$.1	\$.3	\$.2
Credit	.5	.1	.2	.2	1.1	.5

Stressed VaR is calculated using our general VaR results at the 99% confidence level and applying certain assumptions. The aggregate stressed VaR for all covered positions was \$3.4 million at June 30, 2014, and \$5.2 million at June 30, 2013. Figure 30 summarizes our stressed VaR for significant portfolios of covered positions for the three months ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, as used for market risk capital charge calculation purposes.

Figure 30. Stressed VaR for Significant Portfolios of Covered Positions

<i>in millions</i>	2014			2013		
	High	Low	Mean	High	Low	Mean
Trading account assets:						
Fixed income	\$ 2.1	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.6	\$ 1.7	\$ 3.7	\$ 1.9
Derivatives:						
Interest rate	\$ 1.8	\$.3	\$ 1.1	\$.3	\$.9	\$.7
Credit	1.4	.3	.7	.5	3.2	.7

Internal capital adequacy assessment. Market risk is a component of our internal capital adequacy assessment. Our risk-weighted assets include a market risk-equivalent asset position, which consists of a VaR component, stressed VaR component, a de minimis exposure amount, and a specific risk add-on, which are added together to arrive at total market risk equivalent assets. Specific risk is the price risk of individual financial instruments, which is not accounted for by changes in broad market risk factors and is measured through a standardized approach. Specific risk calculations are run quarterly by MRM, and approved by the Chief Market Risk Officer.

Nontrading market risk

Most of our nontrading market risk is derived from interest rate fluctuations and its impacts on our traditional loan and deposit products, as well as investments, hedging relationships, long-term debt, and certain short-term borrowings. Interest rate risk, which is inherent in the banking industry, is measured by the potential for fluctuations in net interest income and the EVE. Such fluctuations may result from changes in interest rates and differences in the repricing and maturity characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. We manage the exposure to changes in net interest income and the EVE in accordance with our risk appetite, and within Board approved policy limits.

Interest rate risk positions are influenced by a number of factors including the balance sheet positioning that arises out of consumer preferences for loan and deposit products, economic conditions, the competitive environment within our markets, and changes in market interest rates that affect client activity and our hedging, investing, funding and capital

positions. The primary components of interest rate risk exposure consist of gap risk, basis risk, yield curve risk and option risk.

The management of nontrading market risk is centralized within Corporate Treasury. Oversight and governance is provided by the Risk Committee of our Board, the ERM Committee and the ALCO. These committees review reports on the components of interest rate risk described above as well as sensitivity analyses of these exposures. These committees have various responsibilities related to managing nontrading market risk, including recommending, approving, and monitoring strategies that maintain risk positions within approved tolerance ranges. The Asset Liability Management policy provides the framework for the oversight and management of interest rate risk and is administered by the ALCO. Internal and external emerging issues are monitored on a daily basis. The Market Risk Management Group, as the second line of defense, provides additional oversight.

Gap risk is the exposure to changes in interest rates and occurs when the volume of interest-bearing liabilities and the volume of interest-earning assets they fund (for example, deposits used to fund loans) do not mature or reprice at the same time.

Table of Contents

Basis risk is the exposure to asymmetrical changes in interest rate indexes and occurs when floating-rate assets and floating-rate liabilities reprice at the same time, but in response to different market factors or indexes.

Yield curve risk is the exposure to non-parallel changes in the slope of the yield curve (where the yield curve depicts the relationship between the yield on a particular type of security and its term to maturity) and occurs when interest-bearing liabilities and the interest-earning assets that they fund do not price or reprice to the same term point on the yield curve.

Option risk is the exposure to a customer or counterparty's ability to take advantage of the interest rate environment and terminate or reprice one of our assets, liabilities or off-balance sheet instruments prior to contractual maturity without a penalty. Option risk occurs when exposures to customer and counterparty early withdrawals or early prepayments are not mitigated with an offsetting position or appropriate compensation.

Net interest income simulation analysis. The primary tool we use to measure our interest rate risk is simulation analysis. For purposes of this analysis, we estimate our net interest income based on the current and projected composition of our on- and off-balance sheet positions, accounting for recent and anticipated trends in customer activity. The analysis also incorporates assumptions for the current and projected interest rate environments, including a most likely macro-economic scenario. Simulation modeling assumes that residual risk exposures will be managed to within the risk appetite.

We measure the amount of net interest income at risk by simulating the change in net interest income that would occur if the federal funds target rate were to gradually increase or decrease over the next twelve months, and term rates were to move in a similar fashion. Our standard rate scenarios encompass a gradual increase or decrease of 200 basis points, but due to the low interest rate environment, we have modified the standard to a gradual decrease of 25 basis points over two months with no change over the following ten months. After calculating the amount of net interest income at risk to interest rate changes, we compare that amount with the base case of an unchanged interest rate environment. We also perform regular stress tests and sensitivities on the model inputs that could materially change the resulting risk assessments. One set of stress tests and sensitivities assesses the effect of interest rate inputs on simulated exposures. Assessments are performed using different shapes of the yield curve, including a sustained flat yield curve, an inverted slope yield curve, changes in credit spreads, an immediate parallel change in market interest rates, and changes in the relationship of money market interest rates. Another set of stress tests and sensitivities assesses the effect of loan and deposit assumptions and assumed discretionary strategies on simulated exposures. Assessments are performed on changes to the following assumptions: the pricing of deposits without contractual maturities; changes in lending spreads; prepayments on loans and securities; other loan and deposit balance shifts; investment, funding and hedging activities; and liquidity and capital management strategies.

Simulation analysis produces only a sophisticated estimate of interest rate exposure based on judgments related to assumption inputs into the simulation model. We tailor assumptions to the specific interest rate environment and yield curve shape being modeled, and validate those assumptions on a regular basis. Our simulations are performed with the assumption that interest rate risk positions will be actively managed through the use of on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments to achieve the desired residual risk profile. However, actual results may differ from those derived in simulation analysis due to unanticipated changes to the balance sheet composition, customer behavior, product pricing, market interest rates, investment, funding and hedging activities, and repercussions from unanticipated or unknown events.

Table of Contents

Figure 31 presents the results of the simulation analysis at June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2014, our simulated exposure to changes in interest rates was moderately asset sensitive, and net interest income would benefit over time from either an increase in short-term or intermediate-term interest rates. Tolerance levels for risk management require the development of remediation plans to maintain residual risk within tolerance if simulation modeling demonstrates that a gradual increase or decrease in short-term interest rates over the next twelve months would adversely affect net interest income over the same period by more than 4%. As shown in Figure 31, we are operating within these levels as of June 30, 2014.

Figure 31. Simulated Change in Net Interest Income

June 30, 2014		
Basis point change assumption (short-term rates)	-25	+200
Tolerance level	-4.00%	-4.00%
Interest rate risk assessment	-1.52%	3.00%
June 30, 2013		
Basis point change assumption (short-term rates)	-25	+200
Tolerance level	-4.00%	-4.00%
Interest rate risk assessment	-1.12%	2.30%

The results of additional sensitivity analysis of alternate interest rate paths and loan and deposit behavior assumptions indicates that net interest income could increase or decrease from the base simulation results presented in Figure 31. Net interest income is highly dependent on the timing, magnitude, frequency, and path of interest rate increases and the associated assumptions for deposit repricing relationships, lending spreads, and the balance behavior of transaction accounts. The unprecedented low level of interest rates increases the uncertainty of assumptions for deposit balance behavior and deposit repricing relationships to market interest rates. Our historical deposit repricing betas in the last rising rate cycle ranged between 50% and 60% for interest-bearing deposits, and we continue to make similar assumptions in our modeling. The sensitivity testing of these assumptions supports our confidence that actual results are likely to be within a 75 basis point range of modeled results.

To support continued progress toward maximum employment and price stability, the FOMC expects that a highly accommodative stance of monetary policy will remain appropriate for a considerable time after the asset purchase program ends and the economic recovery strengthens, and in particular expects to keep the federal funds rate at exceptionally low levels. Key will continue to monitor balance sheet flows and expects the benefit from rising rates to increase prior to any increase in the federal funds rate. Our current interest rate risk position could fluctuate to higher or lower levels of risk depending on the competitive environment and client behavior that may affect the actual volume, mix, maturity, and repricing characteristics of loan and deposit flows. As changes occur to both the configuration of the balance sheet and the outlook for the economy, management proactively evaluates hedging opportunities that may change our interest rate risk profile.

We also conduct simulations that measure the effect of changes in market interest rates in the second and third years of a three-year horizon. These simulations are conducted in a manner similar to those based on a twelve-month horizon. To capture longer-term exposures, we calculate exposures to changes to the EVE as discussed in the following section.

Economic value of equity modeling. EVE complements net interest income simulation analysis as it estimates risk exposure beyond twelve-, twenty-four and thirty-six month horizons. EVE modeling measures the extent to which the economic values of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments may change in response to fluctuations in interest rates. EVE is calculated by subjecting the balance sheet to an immediate 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates, measuring the resulting change in the values of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and comparing those amounts with the base case of an unchanged interest rate environment. Because the calculation of EVE under an immediate 200 basis point decrease in interest rates in the current low rate environment results in certain interest rates declining to zero and a less than 200 basis point decrease in certain yield curve term points, we have modified the standard declining rate scenario to an immediate 100 basis point decrease. This analysis is highly dependent upon assumptions applied to assets and liabilities with non-contractual maturities. Those assumptions are based on historical behaviors, as well as our expectations. We develop remediation plans that would maintain residual risk within tolerance if this analysis indicates that our EVE will decrease by more than 15% in response to an immediate increase or decrease in interest rates. We are operating within these guidelines as of June 30, 2014.

Management of interest rate exposure. We use the results of our various interest rate risk analyses to formulate A/LM strategies to achieve the desired risk profile while managing to our objectives for capital adequacy and liquidity risk exposures. Specifically, we manage interest rate risk positions by purchasing securities, issuing term debt with floating or fixed interest rates, and using derivatives – predominantly in the form of interest rate swaps, which modify the interest rate characteristics of certain assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

Figure 32 shows all swap positions that we hold for A/LM purposes. These positions are used to convert the contractual interest rate index of agreed-upon amounts of assets and liabilities (i.e., notional amounts) to another interest rate index. For example, fixed-rate debt is converted to a floating rate through a receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swap. The volume, maturity and mix of portfolio swaps change frequently as we adjust our broader A/LM objectives and the balance sheet positions to be hedged. For more information about how we use interest rate swaps to manage our risk profile, see Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities).

Figure 32. Portfolio Swaps by Interest Rate Risk Management Strategy

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014					June 30, 2013	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Maturity (Years)	Weighted-Average Receive Rate	Weighted-Average Pay Rate	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Receive fixed/pay variable A/LM ^(a)	\$ 9,300	\$ 18	2.0	.8%	.2%	\$ 11,175	\$ (11)
Receive fixed/pay variable debt	5,074	215	3.6	2.8	.2	4,160	269
Pay fixed/receive variable	50	(4)	14.0	.2	3.6	224	(8)
Total portfolio swaps	\$ 14,424	\$ 229 ^(b)	2.6	1.5%	.2%	\$ 15,559	\$ 250 ^(b)

(a) Portfolio swaps designated as A/LM are used to manage interest rate risk tied to both assets and liabilities.

(b) Excludes accrued interest of \$61 million and \$64 million for June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Liquidity risk management

We define liquidity as the ongoing ability to accommodate liability maturities and deposit withdrawals, meet contractual obligations, and fund asset growth and new business transactions at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner and without adverse consequences. Liquidity management involves maintaining sufficient and diverse sources of funding to accommodate planned, as well as unanticipated, changes in assets and liabilities under both normal and adverse conditions.

Governance structure

We manage liquidity for all of our affiliates on an integrated basis. This approach considers the unique funding sources available to each entity, as well as each entity's capacity to manage through adverse conditions. The approach also recognizes that adverse market conditions or other events that could negatively affect the availability or cost of liquidity will affect the access of all affiliates to sufficient wholesale funding.

The management of consolidated liquidity risk is centralized within Corporate Treasury. Oversight and governance is provided by the Risk Committee of our Board, the ERM Committee, and the ALCO. The Asset Liability Management Policy provides the framework for the oversight and management of liquidity risk and is administered by the ALCO. The Market Risk Management group, as the second line of defense, provides additional oversight. Our current liquidity risk management practices are largely consistent with the Federal Reserve Board's Enhanced Prudential Standards, and further enhancements are underway that will put us in compliance with these standards.

These committees regularly review liquidity and funding summaries, liquidity trends, peer comparisons, variance analyses, liquidity projections, hypothetical funding erosion stress tests and goal tracking reports. The reviews generate a discussion of positions, trends and directives on liquidity risk and shape a number of our decisions. When liquidity pressure is elevated, positions are monitored more closely and reporting is more intensive. To ensure that emerging issues are identified, we also communicate with individuals inside and outside of the company on a daily basis.

Factors affecting liquidity

Our liquidity could be adversely affected by both direct and indirect events. An example of a direct event would be a downgrade in our public credit ratings by a rating agency. Examples of indirect events (events unrelated to us) that could impair our access to liquidity would be an act of terrorism or war, natural disasters, political events, or the default or bankruptcy of a major corporation, mutual fund or hedge fund. Similarly, market speculation, or rumors about us or the banking industry in general may adversely affect the cost and availability of normal funding sources.

Table of Contents

Our credit ratings at June 30, 2014, are shown in Figure 33. We believe these credit ratings, under normal conditions in the capital markets, will enable the parent company or KeyBank to issue fixed income securities to investors.

Figure 33. Credit Ratings

June 30, 2014	Short-Term Borrowings	Senior Long-Term Debt	Subordinated Long-Term Debt	Capital Securities	Series A Preferred Stock
<u>KEYCORP (THE PARENT COMPANY)</u>					
Standard & Poor's	A-2	BBB+	BBB	BBB-	BBB-
Moody's	P-2	Baa1	Baa2	Baa3	Ba1
Fitch	F1	A-	BBB+	BB+	BB
DBRS	R-2(high)	BBB(high)	BBB	BBB	N/A
<u>KEYBANK</u>					
Standard & Poor's	A-2	A-	BBB+	N/A	N/A
Moody's	P-2	A3	Baa1	N/A	N/A
Fitch	F1	A-	BBB+	N/A	N/A
DBRS	R-1(low)	A(low)	BBB(high)	N/A	N/A

Managing liquidity risk

Most of our liquidity risk is derived from our lending activities, which inherently places funds into illiquid assets. Liquidity risk is also derived from our deposit gathering activities and the ability of our customers to withdraw funds that do not have a stated maturity or to withdraw funds before their contractual maturity. Liquidity risk, which is inherent in the banking industry, is measured by our ability to accommodate liability maturities, deposit withdrawals, meet contractual obligations, and fund new business opportunities at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner, and without adverse consequences. These assessments are measured under the assumption of normal operating conditions as well as under a stressed environment. We manage these exposures in accordance with our risk appetite, and within Board approved policy limits.

We regularly monitor our liquidity position and funding sources and measure our capacity to obtain funds in a variety of hypothetical scenarios in an effort to maintain an appropriate mix of available and affordable funding. In the normal course of business, we perform a monthly hypothetical funding erosion stress test for both KeyCorp and KeyBank. In a heightened monitoring mode, we may conduct the hypothetical funding erosion stress tests more frequently, and use assumptions to reflect the changed market environment. Our testing incorporates estimates for loan and deposit lives based on our historical studies. Erosion stress tests analyze potential liquidity scenarios under various funding constraints and time periods. Ultimately, they determine the periodic effects that major direct and indirect events would have on our access to funding markets and our ability to fund our normal operations. To compensate for the effect of these assumed liquidity pressures, we consider alternative sources of liquidity and maturities over different time periods to project how funding needs would be managed.

We maintain a Contingency Funding Plan that outlines the process for addressing a liquidity crisis. The plan provides for an evaluation of funding sources under various market conditions. It also assigns specific roles and responsibilities for managing liquidity through a problem period. As part of the plan, we maintain a liquidity reserve through balances in our liquid asset portfolio. During a problem period, that reserve could be used as a source of funding to provide time to develop and execute a longer-term strategy. The liquid asset portfolio at June 30, 2014, totaled \$10.4 billion, consisting of \$7.5 billion of unpledged securities, \$1.0 billion of securities available for secured funding at the Federal

Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati, and \$1.9 billion of net balances of federal funds sold and balances in our Federal Reserve account. The liquid asset portfolio can fluctuate due to excess liquidity, heightened risk, or prefunding of expected outflows, such as debt maturities. Additionally, as of June 30, 2014, our unused borrowing capacity secured by loan collateral was \$19.5 billion at the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland and \$2.8 billion at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB). During the second quarter of 2014, Key's outstanding FHLB advances increased by \$241 million due to additional advances of \$252 million and repayments of \$11 million.

Long-term liquidity strategy

Our long-term liquidity strategy is to be predominantly funded by core deposits. However, we may use wholesale funds to sustain an adequate liquid asset portfolio, meet daily cash demands, and allow management flexibility to execute business initiatives. Key's client-based relationship strategy provides for a strong core deposit base which, in conjunction with intermediate and long-term wholesale funds managed to a diversified maturity structure and investor base, supports our liquidity risk management strategy. We use the loan to deposit ratio as a metric to monitor these strategies. Our target loan to deposit ratio is 90-100% (at June 30, 2014, our loan to deposit ratio was 87%), which we calculate as total loans, loans held for sale, and nonsecuritized discontinued loans divided by domestic deposits.

Table of Contents

Sources of liquidity

Our primary sources of liquidity include customer deposits, wholesale funding and liquid assets. If the cash flows needed to support operating and investing activities are not satisfied by deposit balances, we rely on wholesale funding or liquid assets. Conversely, excess cash generated by operating, investing and deposit-gathering activities may be used to repay outstanding debt or invest in liquid assets.

Liquidity programs

We have several liquidity programs, which are described in Note 18 (Long-Term Debt) beginning on page 200 of our 2013 Form 10-K, that are designed to enable the parent company and KeyBank to raise funds in the public and private markets. The proceeds from most of these programs can be used for general corporate purposes, including acquisitions. These liquidity programs are reviewed from time to time by the Board of Directors and are renewed and replaced as necessary. There are no restrictive financial covenants in any of these programs. During the second quarter of 2014, the KeyCorp shelf registration, including the Medium-Term Note Program, was updated. In connection with the updated Medium-Term Note Program, the Board of Directors authorized KeyCorp to issue up to \$4 billion of debt, and revoked all prior issuance authority under previous KeyCorp shelf registration statements including through previous medium-term note programs. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, KeyCorp and KeyBank did not issue any debt, and no debt matured.

Liquidity for KeyCorp

The primary source of liquidity for KeyCorp is from subsidiary dividends, primarily from KeyBank. KeyCorp has sufficient liquidity when it can service its debt; support customary corporate operations and activities (including acquisitions); support occasional guarantees of subsidiaries' obligations in transactions with third parties at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner, and without adverse consequences; and pay dividends to shareholders.

We use a cash coverage metric as the primary measure to assess parent company liquidity. The cash coverage metric measures the months into the future where projected obligations can be met with the current amount of liquidity to meet all projected obligations. We generally issue term debt to supplement dividends from KeyBank to manage our liquidity position at or above our targeted levels. The parent company generally maintains cash and short-term investments in an amount sufficient to meet projected debt maturities over at least the next 24 months. At June 30, 2014, KeyCorp held \$2.0 billion in short-term investments, which we projected to be sufficient to meet our projected obligations, including the repayment of our maturing debt obligations for the periods prescribed by our risk tolerance.

Typically, KeyCorp meets its liquidity requirements through regular dividends from KeyBank, supplemented with term debt. Federal banking law limits the amount of capital distributions that a bank can make to its holding company without prior regulatory approval. A national bank's dividend-paying capacity is affected by several factors, including net profits (as defined by statute) for the two previous calendar years and for the current year, up to the date of dividend declaration. During the second quarter of 2014, KeyCorp subsidiaries did not make any dividend payments to the parent, and KeyCorp did not make any capital infusions to KeyBank. As of June 30, 2014, KeyBank had fully utilized its regulatory capacity to pay dividends to KeyCorp.

Our liquidity position and recent activity

Over the past three months our liquid asset portfolio, which includes overnight and short-term investments, as well as unencumbered, high quality liquid securities held as protection against a range of potential liquidity stress scenarios, has increased as a result of net customer loan and deposit flows and an increase in unpledged securities. The liquid

asset portfolio continues to exceed the amount that we estimate would be necessary to manage through an adverse liquidity event by providing sufficient time to develop and execute a longer-term solution.

From time to time, KeyCorp or KeyBank may seek to retire, repurchase or exchange outstanding debt, capital securities, preferred shares or common shares through cash purchase, privately negotiated transactions or other means. Additional information on repurchases of common shares by KeyCorp is included in Part II, Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds of this report and in Part II, Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities of our 2013 Form 10-K. Such transactions depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity and capital requirements, contractual restrictions, regulatory requirements and other factors. The amounts involved may be material, individually or collectively.

We generate cash flows from operations and from investing and financing activities. We have approximately \$279 million of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments in international tax jurisdictions as of June 30, 2014. As we consider

Table of Contents

alternative long-term strategic and liquidity plans, opportunities to repatriate these amounts would result in approximately \$15 million in taxes to be paid. If we were to cease operations in all international tax jurisdictions, the total amount of taxes to be paid would increase to approximately \$30 million. Accordingly, we have included the total amount as a deferred tax liability at June 30, 2014.

The Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) summarize our sources and uses of cash by type of activity for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013.

Credit risk management

Credit risk is the risk of loss to us arising from an obligor's inability or failure to meet contractual payment or performance terms. Like other financial services institutions, we make loans, extend credit, purchase securities, and enter into financial derivative contracts, all of which have related credit risk.

Credit policy, approval, and evaluation

We manage credit risk exposure through a multifaceted program. The Credit Risk Committee approves both retail and commercial credit policies. These policies are communicated throughout the organization to foster a consistent approach to granting credit.

Our credit risk management team is responsible for credit approval, is independent of our lines of business, and consists of senior officers who have extensive experience in structuring and approving loans. Only credit risk management members are authorized to grant significant exceptions to credit policies. It is not unusual to make exceptions to established policies when mitigating circumstances dictate, but most major lending units have been assigned specific thresholds to keep exceptions at an acceptable level based upon portfolio and economic considerations.

Loan grades are assigned at the time of origination, verified by the credit risk management team and periodically reevaluated thereafter. Most extensions of credit are subject to loan grading or scoring. This risk rating methodology blends our judgment with quantitative modeling. Commercial loans generally are assigned two internal risk ratings. The first rating reflects the probability that the borrower will default on an obligation; the second rating reflects expected recovery rates on the credit facility. Default probability is determined based on, among other factors, the financial strength of the borrower, an assessment of the borrower's management, the borrower's competitive position within its industry sector, and our view of industry risk within the context of the general economic outlook. Types of exposure, transaction structure and collateral, including credit risk mitigants, affect the expected recovery assessment.

Our credit risk management team uses risk models to evaluate consumer loans. These models, known as scorecards, forecast the probability of serious delinquency and default for an applicant. The scorecards are embedded in the application processing system, which allows for real-time scoring and automated decisions for many of our products. We periodically validate the loan grading and scoring processes.

We maintain an active concentration management program to encourage diversification in our credit portfolios. For individual obligors, we employ a sliding scale of exposure, known as hold limits, which is dictated by the strength of the borrower. Our legal lending limit is approximately \$1.6 billion for any individual borrower. However, internal hold limits generally restrict the largest exposures to less than 20% of that amount. As of June 30, 2014, we had five client relationships with loan commitments net of credit default swaps of more than \$200 million. The average amount outstanding on these five individual net obligor commitments was \$47 million at June 30, 2014. In general, our philosophy is to maintain a diverse portfolio with regard to credit exposures.

We actively manage the overall loan portfolio in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives and concentration risk tolerances to mitigate credit risk. We utilize credit default swaps on a limited basis to transfer a portion of the credit risk associated with a particular extension of credit to a third party. At June 30, 2014, we used credit default swaps with a notional amount of \$425 million to manage the credit risk associated with specific commercial lending obligations. We may also sell credit derivatives primarily single name credit default swaps to offset our purchased credit default swap position prior to maturity. At June 30, 2014, we had sold credit default swaps outstanding with a total notional amount of \$45 million.

Credit default swaps are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Related gains or losses, as well as the premium paid or received for credit protection, are included in the corporate services income and other income components of noninterest income.

Table of Contents

We may also manage the loan portfolio using portfolio swaps and bulk purchases and sales. Our overarching goal is to manage the loan portfolio within a specified range of asset quality.

Allowance for loan and lease losses

At June 30, 2014, the ALLL was \$814 million, or 1.46% of period-end loans, compared to \$848 million, or 1.56%, at December 31, 2013, and \$876 million, or 1.65%, at June 30, 2013. The allowance includes \$32 million that was specifically allocated for impaired loans of \$276 million at June 30, 2014, compared to \$42 million that was allocated for impaired loans of \$358 million at December 31, 2013, and \$34 million that was allocated for impaired loans of \$464 million at June 30, 2013. For more information about impaired loans, see Note 4 (Asset Quality). At June 30, 2014, the ALLL was 205.6% of nonperforming loans, compared to 166.9% at December 31, 2013, and 134.4% at June 30, 2013.

Selected asset quality statistics for each of the past five quarters are presented in Figure 34. The factors that drive these statistics are discussed in the remainder of this section.

Figure 34. Selected Asset Quality Statistics from Continuing Operations

<i>dollars in millions</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Net loan charge-offs	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 45
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	.22%	.15%	.27%	.28%	.34%
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 814	\$ 834	\$ 848	\$ 868	\$ 876
Allowance for credit losses ^(a)	851	869	885	908	913
Allowance for loan and lease losses to period-end loans	1.46%	1.50%	1.56%	1.62%	1.65%
Allowance for credit losses to period-end loans	1.53	1.57	1.63	1.69	1.72
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans	205.6	185.7	166.9	160.4	134.4
Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans	214.9	193.5	174.2	167.8	140.0
Nonperforming loans at period end ^(b)	\$ 396	\$ 449	\$ 508	\$ 541	\$ 652
Nonperforming assets at period end	410	469	531	579	693
Nonperforming loans to period-end portfolio loans	.71%	.81%	.93%	1.01%	1.23%
Nonperforming assets to period-end portfolio loans plus OREO and other nonperforming assets	.74	.85	.97	1.08	1.30

(a) Includes the ALLL plus the liability for credit losses on lending-related unfunded commitments.

(b) Loan balances exclude \$15 million, \$16 million, \$16 million, \$18 million, and \$19 million of PCI loans at June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

We estimate the appropriate level of the ALLL on at least a quarterly basis. The methodology used is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 118 of our 2013 Form 10-K. Briefly, our general allowance applies expected loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics. We exercise judgment to assess any adjustment to the expected loss rates for the impact of factors such as changes in economic conditions, lending policies including underwriting standards, and the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets.

For all commercial and consumer loan TDRs, regardless of size, as well as impaired commercial loans with an outstanding balance of \$2.5 million and greater, we conduct further analysis to determine the probable loss content and assign a specific allowance to the loan if deemed appropriate. We estimate the extent of the individual impairment for commercial loans and TDRs by comparing the recorded investment of the loan with the estimated present value of its future cash flows, the fair value of its underlying collateral, or the loan's observable market price. Secured consumer loan TDRs that are discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not formally re-affirmed are adjusted to reflect the fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell. Other consumer loan TDRs are combined in homogenous pools and assigned a specific allocation based on the estimated present value of future cash flows using the effective interest rate. A specific allowance also may be assigned even when sources of repayment appear sufficient if we remain uncertain about whether the loan will be repaid in full. On at least a quarterly basis, we evaluate the appropriateness of our loss estimation methods to reduce differences between estimated incurred losses and actual losses. The ALLL at June 30, 2014, represents our best estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date.

As shown in Figure 35, our ALLL decreased by \$62 million, or 7.1%, during the past twelve months. This contraction is directly associated with the improvement in credit quality of the loan portfolio. The quality of new loan originations and decreasing levels of criticized, classified, and nonperforming loans and net loan charge-offs has resulted in a reduction in our general allowance. Our delinquency trends have declined during the past twelve months due to a modest level of loan growth,

Table of Contents

relatively stable economic conditions, and continued run-off in our exit loan portfolio reflecting our effort to maintain a moderate enterprise risk tolerance. Our liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments was \$37 million at June 30, 2014. When combined with our ALLL, our total allowance for credit losses represented 1.53% of period-end loans at June 30, 2014, compared to 1.63% at December 31, 2013, and 1.72% at June 30, 2013.

Figure 35. Allocation of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

	June 30, 2014			December 31, 2013			June 30, 2013		
	Percent of Allowance to Total Amount	Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans	Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans	Percent of Allowance to Total Amount	Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans	Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans	Percent of Allowance to Total Amount	Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans	
<i>dollars in millions</i>									
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 373	45.8%	47.4%	\$ 362	42.7%	45.8%	\$ 352	40.2%	44.6%
Commercial real estate:									
Commercial mortgage	159	19.5	14.3	165	19.4	14.2	182	20.8	14.1
Construction	34	4.2	1.9	32	3.8	2.0	34	3.9	2.0
Total commercial real estate loans	193	23.7	16.2	197	23.2	16.2	216	24.7	16.1
Commercial lease financing	60	7.4	7.6	62	7.3	8.4	61	6.9	9.0
Total commercial loans	626	76.9	71.2	621	73.2	70.4	629	71.8	69.7
Real estate residential mortgage	25	3.1	3.9	37	4.4	4.0	33	3.8	4.1
Home equity:									
Community Banking	77	9.5	18.7	84	9.9	19.0	94	10.7	19.2
Other	9	1.1	.5	11	1.3	.6	16	1.8	.7
Total home equity loans	86	10.6	19.2	95	11.2	19.6	110	12.5	19.9
Consumer other									
Community Banking	24	2.9	2.7	29	3.4	2.7	33	3.8	2.7
Credit cards	30	3.7	1.3	34	4.0	1.3	33	3.8	1.3
Consumer other:									
Marine	21	2.6	1.6	29	3.4	1.9	35	4.0	2.2
Other	2	.2	.1	3	.4	.1	3	.3	.1
Total consumer other	23	2.8	1.7	32	3.8	2.0	38	4.3	2.3
Total consumer loans	188	23.1	28.8	227	26.8	29.6	247	28.2	30.3
Total loans ^(a)	\$ 814	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 848	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 876	100.0%	100.0%

- (a) Excludes allocations of the ALLL related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business in the amounts of \$32 million, \$39 million, and \$41 million at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Our provision (credit) for loan and lease losses was \$10 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$28 million for the second quarter of 2013. Our net loan charge-offs were \$30 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$45 million for the second quarter of 2013. The decrease in our provision is due to continued improvement in credit quality experienced in most of our loan portfolios. Additionally, we continue to reduce our exposure in our higher-risk businesses, including the residential properties portion of our construction loan portfolio, Marine/RV financing, and other selected leasing portfolios through the sale of certain loans, payments from borrowers, or net loan charge-offs.

Net loan charge-offs

Net loan charge-offs for the second quarter of 2014 totaled \$30 million, or .22% of average loans, compared to net loan charge-offs of \$45 million, or .34%, for the same period last year. Figure 36 shows the trend in our net loan charge-offs by loan type, while the composition of loan charge-offs and recoveries by type of loan is presented in Figure 37.

Over the past twelve months, net loan charge-offs decreased \$15 million. This decrease is attributable to continued improvement in asset quality as reflected in the asset quality statistics shown in Figure 38. As shown in Figure 39, our exit loan portfolio contributed a total of less than \$1 million in net loan charge-offs for the second quarter of 2014. Net loan charge-offs for the first quarter of 2014 in our exit loan portfolio were \$4 million. The decrease in net loan charge-offs in our exit loan portfolio was primarily driven by net loan recoveries during the second quarter of 2014 and continued run-off in the consumer exit loan portfolios.

Table of Contents**Figure 36. Net Loan Charge-offs from Continuing Operations ^(a)**

<i>dollars in millions</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Commercial, financial and agricultural		\$ 2	\$ 9	\$ 4	\$ 8
Real estate Commercial mortgage		1	(5)	(8)	(2)
Real estate Construction	\$ (1)	(12)	1	(6)	1
Commercial lease financing	(2)	1	(3)	15	(2)
Total commercial loans	(3)	(8)	2	5	5
Home equity Key Community Bank	9	7	10	12	14
Home equity Other	1	2	3	2	5
Credit cards	11	6	5	8	6
Marine	5	4	5	1	5
Other	7	9	12	9	10
Total consumer loans	33	28	35	32	40
Total net loan charge-offs	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 45
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	.22%	.15%	.27%	.28%	.34%
Net loan charge-offs from discontinued operations education lending business	\$ 7	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 7

(a) Credit amounts indicate that recoveries exceeded charge-offs.

Table of Contents**Figure 37. Summary of Loan and Lease Loss Experience from Continuing Operations**

<i>dollars in millions</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Average loans outstanding	\$ 55,611	\$ 52,696	\$ 55,181	\$ 52,661
Allowance for loan and lease losses at beginning of period	\$ 834	\$ 893	\$ 848	\$ 888
Charge-offs:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural ^(a)	11	15	23	29
Real estate commercial mortgage	1	3	3	16
Real estate construction		1	2	2
Total commercial real estate loans ^(b)	1	4	5	18
Commercial lease financing	2	2	5	8
Total commercial loans	14	21	33	55
Real estate residential mortgage	2	4	5	10
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	10	18	20	36
Other	3	6	6	12
Total home equity loans	13	24	26	48
Consumer other Key Community Bank	8	7	16	16
Credit cards	12	8	18	16
Consumer other:				
Marine	7	9	14	17
Other		1	1	2
Total consumer other	7	10	15	19
Total consumer loans	42	53	80	109
Total loans charged off	56	74	113	164
Recoveries:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural ^(a)	11	7	21	19
Real estate commercial mortgage	1	5	2	10
Real estate construction	1		15	8
Total commercial real estate loans ^(b)	2	5	17	18
Commercial lease financing	4	4	6	8
Total commercial loans	17	16	44	45
Real estate residential mortgage	1		2	
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	1	4	4	6

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Other	2	1	3	3
Total home equity loans	3	5	7	9
Consumer other - Key Community Bank	1	2	3	4
Credit cards	1	2	1	2
Consumer other:				
Marine	2	4	5	9
Other	1		1	1
Total consumer other	3	4	6	10
Total consumer loans	9	13	19	25
Total recoveries	26	29	63	70
Net loans and leases charged off	(30)	(45)	(50)	(94)
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	10	28	16	83
Foreign currency translation adjustment				(1)
Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period	\$ 814	\$ 876	\$ 814	\$ 876
Liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments at beginning of period	\$ 35	\$ 32	\$ 37	\$ 29
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	2	5		8
Liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments at end of period ^(c)	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 37	\$ 37
Total allowance for credit losses at end of period	\$ 851	\$ 913	\$ 851	\$ 913
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	.22%	.34%	.18%	.36%
Allowance for loan and lease losses to period-end loans	1.46	1.65	1.46	1.65
Allowance for credit losses to period-end loans	1.53	1.72	1.53	1.72
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans	205.6	134.4	205.6	134.4
Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans	214.9	140.0	214.9	140.0
Discontinued operations - education lending business:				
Charge-offs	\$ 11	\$ 12	\$ 24	\$ 28
Recoveries	4	5	8	9
Net loan and lease charge-offs	\$ (7)	\$ (7)	\$ (16)	\$ (19)

- (a) See Figure 18 and the accompanying discussion in the Loans and loans held for sale section for more information related to our commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio.
- (b) See Figure 19 and the accompanying discussion in the Loans and loans held for sale section for more information related to our commercial real estate loan portfolio.
- (c) Included in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet.

Table of ContentsNonperforming assets

Figure 38 shows the composition of our nonperforming assets. These assets totaled \$410 million at June 30, 2014, and represented .74% of period-end portfolio loans, OREO and other nonperforming assets, compared to \$531 million, or .97%, at December 31, 2013, and \$693 million, or 1.30%, at June 30, 2013. See Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the headings Nonperforming Loans, Impaired Loans, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 117 of our 2013 Form 10-K for a summary of our nonaccrual and charge-off policies.

Figure 38. Summary of Nonperforming Assets and Past Due Loans from Continuing Operations

<i>dollars in millions</i>	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Commercial, financial and agricultural ^(a)	\$ 37	\$ 60	\$ 77	\$ 102	\$ 146
Real estate commercial mortgage	38	37	37	58	106
Real estate construction	9	11	14	17	26
Total commercial real estate loans ^(b)	47	48	51	75	132
Commercial lease financing	15	18	19	22	14
Total commercial loans	99	126	147	199	292
Real estate residential mortgage	89	105	107	98	94
Home equity:					
Key Community Bank	178	188	205	198	205
Other	11	11	15	13	16
Total home equity loans	189	199	220	211	221
Consumer other Key Community Bank	2	2	3	2	3
Credit cards	1	1	4	4	11
Consumer other:					
Marine	15	15	26	25	30
Other	1	1	1	2	1
Total consumer other	16	16	27	27	31
Total consumer loans	297	323	361	342	360
Total nonperforming loans ^(c)	396	449	508	541	652
Nonperforming loans held for sale	1	1	1	13	14
OREO	12	12	15	15	18
Other nonperforming assets	1	7	7	10	9
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 410	\$ 469	\$ 531	\$ 579	\$ 693
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 83	\$ 89	\$ 71	\$ 90	\$ 80
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days	274	267	318	288	251
	266	294	338	349	311

Restructured loans accruing and nonaccruing ^(d)					
Restructured loans included in nonperforming loans ^(d)	142	178	214	228	195
Nonperforming assets from discontinued operations education lending business	19	20	25	23	19
Nonperforming loans to period-end portfolio loans	.71%	.81%	.93%	1.01%	1.23%
Nonperforming assets to period-end portfolio loans plus OREO and other nonperforming assets	.74	.85	.97	1.08	1.30

- (a) See Figure 18 and the accompanying discussion in the Loans and loans held for sale section for more information related to our commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio.
- (b) See Figure 19 and the accompanying discussion in the Loans and loans held for sale section for more information related to our commercial real estate loan portfolio.
- (c) Loan balances exclude \$15 million, \$16 million, \$16 million, \$18 million, and \$19 million of PCI loans at June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.
- (d) Restructured loans (i.e., TDRs) are those for which Key, for reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. These concessions are made to improve the collectability of the loan and generally take the form of a reduction of the interest rate, extension of the maturity date or reduction in the principal balance.

As shown in Figure 38, nonperforming assets decreased during the second quarter of 2014. Most of the reduction came from nonperforming loans in our commercial and consumer loan portfolios. As shown in Figure 39, our exit loan portfolio accounted for \$43 million, or 10%, of our total nonperforming assets at June 30, 2014, compared to \$43 million, or 9%, at March 31, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, the carrying amount of our commercial nonperforming loans outstanding represented 58% of their contractual amount owed, total nonperforming loans outstanding represented 73% of their contractual amount owed, and nonperforming assets in total were carried at 73% of their original contractual amount. At the same date, OREO represented 78% of its original contractual amount owed, while loans held for sale and other nonperforming assets in the aggregate represented 48% of the contractual amount owed.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2014, our twenty largest nonperforming loans totaled \$55 million, representing 14% of total nonperforming loans. At June 30, 2013, our twenty largest nonperforming loans totaled \$191 million, representing 29% of total nonperforming loans.

Figure 39 shows the composition of our exit loan portfolio at June 30, 2014, and March 31, 2014, the net loan charge-offs recorded on this portfolio for the second quarter of 2014 and the first quarter of 2014, and the nonperforming status of these loans at June 30, 2014, and March 31, 2014. The exit loan portfolio represented 4% of total loans and loans held for sale at June 30, 2014, compared to 5% of total loans and loans held for sale at March 31, 2014. In the first quarter of 2014, our European lease financing portfolios were moved to our exit portfolio. Additional information about loan sales is included in this report under the headings Loans held for sale and Loan sales.

Figure 39. Exit Loan Portfolio from Continuing Operations

<i>in millions</i>	Balance		Change 6-30-14 vs. 3-31-14	Net Loan		Balance on	
	Outstanding			Charge-offs		Nonperforming	
	6-30-14	3-31-14	6-30-14 vs. 3-31-14	6-30-14 ^(c)	3-31-14 ^(c)	6-30-14	3-31-14
Residential properties homebuilder	\$ 19	\$ 20	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	(1)	\$ 7	\$ 7
Marine and RV floor plan	23	23				6	6
Commercial lease financing ^(a)	1,154	1,381	(227)	\$ (5)	(2)	3	3
Total commercial loans	1,196	1,424	(228)	(5)	(3)	16	16
Home equity Other	300	315	(15)	1	2	11	11
Marine	888	965	(77)	5	4	15	15
RV and other consumer	61	66	(5)	(1)	1	1	1
Total consumer loans	1,249	1,346	(97)	\$ 5	7	27	27
Total exit loans in loan portfolio	\$ 2,445	\$ 2,770	\$ (325)	\$ 4	4	\$ 43	\$ 43
Discontinued operations education lending business (not included in exit loans above) (b)	\$ 4,162	\$ 4,354	\$ (192)	\$ 7	\$ 9	\$ 19	\$ 20

(a) Includes (1) the business aviation, commercial vehicle, office products, construction and industrial leases; (2) Canadian lease financing portfolios; (3) European lease financing portfolios; and (4) all remaining balances related to lease in, lease out; sale in, lease out; service contract leases; and qualified technological equipment leases.

(b) Includes loans in Key's consolidated education loan securitization trusts.

(c) Credit amounts indicate recoveries exceeded charge-offs.

Figure 40 shows the types of activity that caused the change in our nonperforming loans during each of the last five quarters. Loans placed on nonaccrual status decreased \$81 million during the second quarter of 2014 compared to second quarter of 2013 due to continued improvement in market liquidity.

Figure 40. Summary of Changes in Nonperforming Loans from Continuing Operations

<i>in millions</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 449	\$ 508	\$ 541	\$ 652	\$ 650
Loans placed on nonaccrual status	79	98	129	161	160
Charge-offs	(56)	(57)	(66)	(78)	(74)
Loans sold	(21)	(3)	(19)	(61)	(5)
Payments	(17)	(21)	(46)	(43)	(36)
Transfers to OREO	(4)	(3)	(5)	(2)	(7)
Loans returned to accrual status	(34)	(73)	(26)	(88)	(36)
Balance at end of period ^(a)	\$ 396	\$ 449	\$ 508	\$ 541	\$ 652

(a) Loan balances exclude \$15 million, \$16 million, \$16 million, \$18 million, and \$19 million of PCI loans at June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Figure 41 shows the types of activity that caused the change in our nonperforming loans held for sale during each of the last five quarters.

Figure 41. Summary of Changes in Nonperforming Loans Held for Sale from Continuing Operations

<i>in millions</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 14	\$ 23
Net advances / (payments)			(1)	(1)	(1)
Loans sold			(11)		(8)
Balance at end of period	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 14

Figure 42 shows the factors that contributed to the change in our OREO during each of the last five quarters.

Figure 42. Summary of Changes in Other Real Estate Owned, Net of Allowance, from Continuing Operations

<i>in millions</i>	2014			2013	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 12	\$ 15	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$ 21
Properties acquired nonperforming loans	4	3	5	2	7
Valuation adjustments	(1)	(1)		(1)	(2)
Properties sold	(3)	(5)	(5)	(4)	(8)
Balance at end of period	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 15	\$ 15	\$ 18

Operational risk management

Like all businesses, we are subject to operational risk, which is the risk of loss resulting from human error or malfeasance, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, and external events. These events include, among other things, threats to our cybersecurity, as we are reliant upon information systems and the Internet to conduct our business activities.

Operational risk also encompasses compliance risk, which is the risk of loss from violations of, or noncompliance with, laws, rules and regulations, prescribed practices, and ethical standards. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, large financial companies like Key will be subject to heightened prudential standards and regulation due to their systemic importance. This heightened level of regulation will increase our operational risk. We have created work teams to respond to and analyze the regulatory requirements that have been or will be promulgated as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act. Resulting operational risk losses and/or additional regulatory compliance costs could take the form of explicit charges, increased operational costs, harm to our reputation or foregone opportunities.

We seek to mitigate operational risk through identification and measurement of risk, alignment of business strategies with risk appetite and tolerance, and a system of internal controls and reporting. We continuously strive to strengthen our system of internal controls to improve the oversight of our operational risk and to ensure compliance with laws,

rules, and regulations. For example, an operational event database tracks the amounts and sources of operational risk and losses. This tracking mechanism helps to identify weaknesses and to highlight the need to take corrective action. We also rely upon software programs designed to assist in assessing operational risk and monitoring our control processes. This technology has enhanced the reporting of the effectiveness of our controls to senior management and the Board.

The Operational Risk Management Program provides the framework for the structure, governance, roles, and responsibilities, as well as the content, to manage operational risk for Key. Primary responsibility for managing and monitoring internal control mechanisms lies with the managers of our various lines of business. The Operational Risk Committee, a senior management committee, oversees our level of operational risk and directs and supports our operational infrastructure and related activities. This committee and the Operational Risk Management function are an integral part of our ERM Program. Our Risk Review function periodically assesses the overall effectiveness of our Operational Risk Management Program and our system of internal controls. Risk Review reports the results of reviews on internal controls and systems to senior management and the Audit Committee and independently supports the Audit Committee's oversight of these controls.

Table of Contents**Cybersecurity**

We devote significant time and resources to maintaining and regularly updating our technology systems and processes to protect the security of our computer systems, software, networks, and other technology assets against attempts by third parties to obtain unauthorized access to confidential information, destroy data, disrupt or degrade service, sabotage systems, or cause other damage. We and many other U.S. financial institutions have experienced distributed denial-of-service attacks from technologically sophisticated third parties. These attacks are intended to disrupt or disable consumer online banking services and prevent banking transactions. We also periodically experience other attempts to breach the security of our systems and data. These cyberattacks have not, to date, resulted in any material disruption of our operations, material harm to our customers, and have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Cyberattack risks may also occur with our third-party technology service providers, and may interfere with their ability to fulfill their contractual obligations to us, with attendant potential for financial loss or liability that could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations. Recent high-profile cyberattacks have targeted retailers and other businesses for the purpose of acquiring the confidential information (including personal, financial, and credit card information) of customers, some of whom are customers of ours. We may incur expenses related to the investigation of such attacks or related to the protection of our customers from identity theft as a result of such attacks. Risks and exposures related to cyberattacks are expected to remain high for the foreseeable future due to the rapidly evolving nature and sophistication of these threats, as well as due to the expanding use of Internet banking, mobile banking, and other technology-based products and services by us and our clients.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our business is dynamic and complex. Consequently, we must exercise judgment in choosing and applying accounting policies and methodologies. These choices are critical not only are they necessary to comply with GAAP, they also reflect our view of the appropriate way to record and report our overall financial performance. All accounting policies are important, and all policies described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) beginning on page 115 of our 2013 Form 10-K should be reviewed for a greater understanding of how we record and report our financial performance.

In our opinion, some accounting policies are more likely than others to have a critical effect on our financial results and to expose those results to potentially greater volatility. These policies apply to areas of relatively greater business importance, or require us to exercise judgment and to make assumptions and estimates that affect amounts reported in the financial statements. Because these assumptions and estimates are based on current circumstances, they may prove to be inaccurate, or we may find it necessary to change them.

We rely heavily on the use of judgment, assumptions, and estimates to make a number of core decisions, including accounting for the ALLL; contingent liabilities, guarantees and income taxes; derivatives and related hedging activities; and assets and liabilities that involve valuation methodologies. In addition, we may employ outside valuation experts to assist us in determining fair values of certain assets and liabilities. A brief discussion of each of these areas appears on pages 100 through 103 of our 2013 Form 10-K.

At June 30, 2014, \$14 billion, or 15%, of our total assets were measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Approximately 96% of these assets, before netting adjustments, were classified as Level 1 or Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. At June 30, 2014, \$1 billion, or 1%, of our total liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis. All of these liabilities were classified as Level 1 or Level 2.

During the second quarter of 2014, \$17 million of our total assets were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. All of these assets were classified as Level 3. At June 30, 2014, there were no liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

In addition, the education lending securitization trusts' assets and liabilities in the amounts of \$1.7 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, were included on the balance sheet at fair value at June 30, 2014.

During the first six months of 2014, we did not significantly alter the manner in which we applied our critical accounting policies or developed related assumptions and estimates.

Table of Contents**European Sovereign and Non-Sovereign Debt Exposures**

Our total European sovereign and non-sovereign debt exposure is presented in Figure 43.

Figure 43. European Sovereign and Non-Sovereign Debt Exposures

June 30, 2014 <i>in millions</i>	Short- and Long- Term Commercial Total ^(a)	Foreign Exchange and Derivatives with Collateral ^(b)	Net Exposure
France:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions		\$ 1	\$ 1
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	\$ 52		52
Total	52	1	53
Germany:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions		(3)	(3)
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	246		246
Total	246	(3)	243
Greece:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions			
Total			
Iceland:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions			
Total			
Ireland:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	5		5
Total	5		5
Italy:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	72		72
Total	72		72
Netherlands:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	32		32
Total	32		32
Portugal:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions			
Total			
Spain:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	77		77
Total	77		77
Switzerland:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	87		87
Total	87		87
United Kingdom:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions		5	5
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	174		174
Total	174	5	179
Other Europe: ^(c)			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions			
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	118		118
Total	118		118
Total Europe:			
Sovereigns			
Non-sovereign financial institutions		3	3
Non-sovereign non-financial institutions	863		863
Total	\$ 863	\$ 3	\$ 866

(a) This column represents our outstanding leases.

(b) This column represents contracts to hedge our balance sheet asset and liability needs, and to accommodate our clients' trading and/or hedging needs. Our derivative mark-to-market exposures are calculated and reported on a

daily basis. These exposures are largely covered by cash or highly marketable securities collateral with daily collateral calls.

- (c) Other Europe consists of the following countries: Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Hungary, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden, and Ukraine. Approximately 98% of our exposure in Other Europe is in Belgium, Finland, and Sweden.

Our credit risk exposure is largely concentrated in developed countries with emerging market exposure essentially limited to commercial facilities; these exposures are actively monitored by management. We do not have at-risk exposures in the rest of the world.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk**

The information presented in the Market risk management section of the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, KeyCorp carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of KeyCorp's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of KeyCorp's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)), to ensure that information required to be disclosed by KeyCorp in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to KeyCorp's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon that evaluation, KeyCorp's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, as of the end of the period covered by this report. No changes were made to KeyCorp's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, KeyCorp's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The information presented in the Legal Proceedings section of Note 15 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) is incorporated herein by reference.

On at least a quarterly basis, we assess our liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. Where it is probable that we will incur a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, we record a liability in our consolidated financial statements. These legal reserves may be increased or decreased to reflect any relevant developments on a quarterly basis. Where a loss is not probable or the amount of the loss is not estimable, we have not accrued legal reserves, consistent with applicable accounting guidance. Based on information currently available to us, advice of counsel, and available insurance coverage, we believe that our established reserves are adequate and the liabilities arising from the legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition. We note, however, that in light of the inherent uncertainty in legal proceedings there can be no assurance that the ultimate resolution will not exceed established reserves. As a result, the outcome of a particular matter or a combination of matters may be material to our results of operation for a particular period, depending upon the size of the loss or our income for that particular period.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

For a discussion of certain risk factors affecting us, see the section titled Supervision and Regulation in Part I, Item 1. Business, on pages 7-18 of our 2013 Form 10-K; Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, on pages 18-28 of our 2013 Form 10-K; the section titled Supervision and regulation in this Form 10-Q; and our disclosure regarding forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

From time to time, KeyCorp or its principal subsidiary, KeyBank, may seek to retire, repurchase, or exchange outstanding debt of KeyCorp or KeyBank, and capital securities or preferred stock of KeyCorp, through cash purchase, privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise. Such transactions, if any, depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity and capital requirements, contractual restrictions, and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

In January 2014, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC our 2014 capital plan under the annual CCAR process. On March 26, 2014, the Federal Reserve announced that it did not object to our 2014 capital plan. The 2014 capital plan includes a common share repurchase program of up to \$542 million. Share repurchases under the capital plan have been authorized by our Board and include repurchases to offset issuances of common shares under our employee compensation plans. Common share repurchases under the 2014 capital plan are expected to be executed through the first quarter of 2015.

We completed \$108 million of common share repurchases during the second quarter of 2014 under our 2014 capital plan authorization.

The following table summarizes our repurchases of our common shares for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

Calendar month	Total number of shares repurchased (a)	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (b)
April 1 - 30	2,415,307	\$ 13.64	2,405,440	37,329,958
May 1 - 31	5,278,171	13.46	5,176,812	32,003,689
June 1 - 30	246,136	14.43	242,270	30,326,483
Total	7,939,614	\$ 13.54	7,824,522	

(a) Includes common shares repurchased in the open market and common shares deemed surrendered by employees in connection with our stock compensation and benefit plans to satisfy tax obligations.

(b) Calculated using the remaining general repurchase amount divided by the closing price of KeyCorp common shares as follows: on April 30, 2014, at \$13.64; on May 31, 2014, at \$13.69; and on June 30, 2014, at \$14.33.

Item 6. Exhibits

Edgar Filing: KEYCORP /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

- 10.1 Letter Agreement between KeyBank National Association and William R. Koehler, dated as of April 7, 2014.
- 10.2 Amendment to April 7, 2014 Letter Agreement between KeyBank National Association and William R. Koehler, dated as of May 6, 2014.
- 15 Acknowledgment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following materials from KeyCorp's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Information Available on Website

KeyCorp makes available free of charge on its website, www.key.com, its 2013 Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after KeyCorp electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. We also make available a summary of filings made with the SEC of statements of beneficial ownership of our equity securities filed by our directors and officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. The Regulatory Disclosure tab of the investor relations section of our website includes public disclosures concerning our annual and mid-year stress-testing activities under the Dodd-Frank Act. Information contained on or accessible through our website or any other website referenced in this report is not part of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the date indicated.

KEYCORP
(Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2014

By: Robert L. Morris
Chief Accounting Officer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

150