Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 425

ALLERGAN INC Form 425 June 18, 2014

Filed by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.

(Commission File No. 001-14956) pursuant to Rule 425 under

the Securities Act of 1933 and deemed filed pursuant to

Rules 14a-12 and 14d-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Subject Company: Allergan, Inc.

Commission File No.: 001-10269

The following is the transcript of Valeant s investor presentation which occured on June 17, 2014

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 1

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

June 17, 2014

8:00 am. ET

Operator: Good morning, my name is Janet and I ll be your conference operator today. At this time, I

would like to welcome everyone to the Valeant Investor Conference Call. All lines have

been placed on mute to prevent any background noise.

After the speakers remarks, there will be a question and answer session. If you would like

to ask a question during that time, simply press start then the number one on your

telephone keypad.

If you would like to withdraw your question, press the pound key. Thank you. I will now

turn the call over to Ms. Laurie Little. Ma am, you may begin the conference.

Laurie Little: Thank you Janet. Good morning everyone and welcome to our conference call. Presenting

on the call today are J. Michael Pearson, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and

Howard Schiller, Chief Financial Officer.

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 425

During the Q&A session, Tanya Carro, Valeant s Corporate Controller, and Ari Kellen, Valeant s Company Group Chairman will also be available for questions.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 2

In addition to a live webcast, a copy of today s slide presentation can be found on our website under the Investor Relations section. Before we begin, our presentation today contains forward-looking information.

We would ask that you take a moment to read the forward-looking statement legend at the beginning of the presentation as it contains important information.

This communication does not constitute an offer to buy or solicitation of an offer to sell any securities and no tender exchange offers for the share of Allergan has commenced at this time.

This communication relates to the proposal which Valeant has made for business combination transaction with Allergan. In furtherance of this proposal and subject to future developments, Valeant and Pershing Square Capital Management, LP may file one or more registration statements, proxy statements, tender or exchange offer documents or other documents for the SEC.

This communication is not a substitute for any proxy statement, registration statements, prospectus, tender or exchange offer document or any other document, Valeant, Pershing Square and/or Allergan may file with the SEC in connection with the proposed transaction.

Investors of security holders of Valeant and Allergan are urged to read the proxy statements, registration statements, prospectus, tender or exchange offer documents and other documents filed with the SEC carefully in their entirety if and when they become available as they contain important information about the proposed transaction.

Any definitive proxy statement nor definitive tender or exchange offer documents if and when available will be mailed to stockholders of Allergan and/or Valeant as applicable.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 3

Investors and security holders will be able to obtain free copies of these documents and other documents filed with the SEC by Valeant and/or Pershing Square through the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

Information regarding the names and interests in Allergan and Valeant of Valeant and persons related to Valeant may be deemed participants in any solicitation of Allergan or Valeant shareholders and respective Valeant proposal for a business combination of Allergan is available in the additional definitive proxy solicitation materials in respect to Allergan filed with the SEC by Valeant on the April 21st and May 28th, 2014.

Information regarding the names and interests of Allergan and Valeant, Pershing Square and persons related to Pershing Square who may be deemed participants in any solicitation of Allergan or Valeant shareholders in respect to the Valeant proposal for a business combination with Allergan is available in additional definitive proxy solicitation materials in respect to Allergan filed with the SEC by Pershing Square.

The additional definitive proxy soliciting material referred to in this paragraph can be obtained free of charge from the sources indicated above. In addition, this presentation contains non-GAAP financial measures.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations can be found in our quarterly press releases that are posted on our website. Now, I ll turn the call over to Mike Pearson.

Michael Pearson:

Thank you, Laurie and good morning. On April 22nd, we announced our offer for Allergan. We suspected at the time it would ultimately have to go directly to Allergan shareholders. We were correct. It is clear Allergan s management and their board will never sit down and act in the interests of their shareholders.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 4

This transaction is strategically and financial compelling. After extensive discussions with both Allergan and Valeant shareholders, we firmly believe that a shareholder vote will be overwhelmingly in support of the deal.

We have a clear path to get this vote and we are both determined and patient. Time is on our side. We have confidence that our company will continue to demonstrate strong results throughout 2014 and beyond.

On today s call, we will also address the erroneous statements and misrepresentations that have been made not only by Allergan but also by others.

While we will not have time to address every misstatement on today s call, we plan to issue corrections on these misrepresentations later this week and provide updates on our websites as warranted.

Finally, we are determined to take this the full distance and are confident in our ultimate success. We believe that all shareholders should have the opportunity to express their views and we are confident that both Allergan and Valeant shareholders will support this combination.

The combination of Valeant and Allergan will create significant earnings accretion for both sets of shareholders. Allergan shareholders will enjoy a 58 percent earnings accretion from the midpoint of their current 2014 revised guidance plus \$72 dollars per share in cash.

The earnings accretion would rise to 176 percent if the cash was reinvested in Valeant shares as of yesterday s close. Valeant shareholders will get approximately 25 percent earnings accretion.

Allergan shareholders stand to realize over 90 percent accretion in stock price from the unaffected stock price prior to announcement and Valeant shareholders would realize a 45 percent accretion based on the unaffected Valeant stock price prior to announcement.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 5

While I won t go into details for the next few slides, we have pulled a number of quotes from the analyst community that demonstrates the support this transaction has from the investment community.

What is interesting to note is the valuation and rating that Allergan had prior to the announcement of our offer. Goldman Sachs rated Allergan a neutral with \$125 dollar stock target as the analysts at Goldman saw limited near term pipeline catalysts for the company.

As noted, this transaction creates enormous value for shareholders and we believe there is a clear path to allow shareholders to express their views and to complete a transaction.

We have broken the process down in to four steps. Step one, we told you two weeks ago that we would take this directly to shareholders and as promised, we expect to launch our exchange offer this week.

Pershing Square is concurrently pursuing a process to call a Special Meeting to remove six of Allergan s directors. They filed their solicitation materials with the SEC two weeks ago and should be in a position to mail out the proxies in the near term.

Pershing has also commenced litigation in order to clarify that actions in connection with the request of that meeting will not trigger the poison pill. Step two, once Pershing reaches a 25 percent threshold to call for a meeting, they will move forward to the solicit support for the removal of directors at the Special Meeting as soon as possible.

We could be in a position to hold a Special Meeting as early as August if Allergan would accept the date we suggest but in any event, it should be by the end of the year.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 6

At that Special Meeting, we believe shareholders will support Pershing s resolution to remove directors and Pershing will propose independent replacement directors who will act in the interest of Allergan s shareholders.

Step three, after the Special Meeting, assuming we have successfully removed their directors, an application will be made to the Delaware Court to order a shareholder meeting to elect replacement directors.

Shareholders holding 10 percent of Allergan shares can make that application so given Pershing Square s ownership this would only require shareholders holding an additional .3 percent to make that application.

We think that meeting should be able to be held within approximately two months of the court application. Step four, as the final step we would expect the new board of directors to engage with Valeant so that the terms of the transaction can be negotiated and obstacles to closing it removed.

At that time, the exchange offer can be completed and the transaction closed. I am pleased to update all of you that our business is continuing to perform well. I find it very odd that Allergan continues to suggest that our Q2 and in particular, our Q3 results will demonstrate weakness.

I am very confident that we will meet expectations in Q2 and the rest of the year. Organic growth and cash flow will continue to improve over the course of the year. B&L continues to deliver organic growth of greater than 10 percent and I am confident it will continue to do so for the rest of the year.

In short, our business is strong and I can assure you our operating model is both durable and sustainable. Once again, we have compiled several interesting comments from research reports that I will let you review on your own.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 7

Finally, we plan to address recent misrepresentations by posting the facts on our website later this week and continue this practice as necessary. In Allergan s investor presentation dated June 10, 2014, they asserted that Valeant has experienced volume decreases in 11 of its top 15 worldwide pharmaceutical products.

First, the products listed in the presentation are not Valeant s top 15 products by revenue. Only six of the products listed are in Valeant s top 15 products. The presentation also claims that most of our products are not growing when in fact 13 of our top 15 products are growing and 9 of the top 15 are growing by volume, not just price.

Next, the Allergan slide implied that all of the products were worldwide when in fact we [do not] have worldwide rights on 11 of the 15 products listed. In other parts of the world, these products are sold by other companies so it is unclear as to what data Allergan was using.

It is also a fact that we also do not have rights to Lidemol or Clindabenz so the data is actually wrong. Finally, Allergan continued to represent that IMS Health is an accurate proxy for both volume and price increases or decreases realized by our products.

IMS Health does capture retail and pharmacy sales product information however, it does not capture revenue that flows through specialty pharmacies, ultimate fulfillment channels and physician dispense areas, thus significantly underreporting the true revenue figures for many of our dermatology RX products.

We expect to go through each and every one of the other mischaracterizations later this week and will post the facts to our website. Now, I will turn over the call to Howard to address some of the account misrepresentations.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 8

Howard Schiller:

Thank you, Mike. As Mike mentioned a moment ago, we re going to address the misleading incorrect statements made about organic growth, operating model, and our people in a separate written response posted on our website later this week.

However, we felt it was very important to immediately address some of the recent questions raised by Allergan and a number of short sellers regarding our accounting and financial statements.

I will specifically address the following assertions that have been made; number one that the assets of acquired companies are written down at the date of acquisition in order to benefit earnings in future periods.

Number two, that normal operating expenses are classified as one-time acquisition, related restructuring, or integration expenses. Number three, that Valeant s disclosure regarding these expenses are inadequate and number four, that existing royalties paid to Galderma on sales of Sculptra were classified as one-time acquisition related costs at the time of the Medicis acquisition.

Due to the large number of acquisitions that we ve completed, we understand that it takes time to review our financial disclosures. As always, we re open to suggestions from our shareholders on how we can simplify or better present our disclosures.

The majority of our acquisitions are classified as business combinations. This standard requires that assets and liabilities of an acquired company are recorded as fair market value on the date of acquisition.

Valeant utilizes external experts, usually Deloitte, to assist with this valuation work. Examples of fair value adjustments include inventory as written up to fair value which is estimated at the in-market selling price less the cost to sell at a reasonable profit allowance.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 9

The step-up is amortized over the turns of inventory and is excluded for non-GAAP EPS reporting. Accounts receivable is recorded at book value at the acquisition date; no fair value adjustment is made.

Property plant and equipment is written up or down to fair value based on external appraisals and any depreciation associated with the step-up or step-down is excluded from non-GAAP earnings and intangible assets are valued using an excess earnings or relief from royalty methodology.

We think it s also important to point out that our auditors PwC perform audit procedures on both the opening balance sheets and purchase price allocation as part of their annual audit.

These procedures include physical inventory counts, cash and account receivable confirmations, and vouching as subsequent receipts and payments. The accounting standards also allow for a measurement period for up to one-year post acquisition to allow for adjustments to the opening balance sheet.

Any adjustments that are made to reserves such as inventory and accounts receivable during this measurement period would not be included in either our GAAP or non-GAAP earnings per share.

One recent assertion is that Medicis accounts receivable balance was written down by \$60 million at the time of the acquisition and Valeant then recorded income on the subsequent collection of these receivables.

The Medicis accounts receivable balance was \$145 million on September 30, 2012 10-Q and was \$81 million on the date of acquisition, December 11. The \$65 million difference reflects the net payments that were received by Medicis between September 30 and December 11, the closing date. There were no write-downs of accounts receivable.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 10

During the measurement period, we received \$9.1 million in contingent payments that had not been recorded in the opening balance sheet. These payments were not recorded as income but were reflected as adjustments to the opening balance sheet and all of this information can be found in our 2013 10-K.

Although we have presented this slide previously, we thought it was important to reinforce that the items we adjust for in our non-GAAP disclosures are almost identical to Allergan s. We regularly review the non-GAAP disclosures of our peer group to ensure that we are consistent.

Most of these adjustments are non-cash and are acquisition related. Amortization of intangibles is by far our largest adjustments. Another assertion is that our restructuring cost estimates are inflated with the benefit of lower actual costs creating a benefit to EPS in future periods.

We are required by accounting standards to include estimates of restructuring charges in the notes to our quarterly and annual financial statements but we do not record these estimates to our income statement. Only costs that are actually incurred flow through the income statement.

One relevant example is Medicis. In our 2012 10-K, we estimated total restructuring integration and acquisition related expenses of less than \$275 million. We subsequently reduced this estimate to less than \$250 million in our 2013 10-K and further reduced this estimate to approximately \$200 million in our most recently filed 10-Q.

We also disclosed in this filing that we have incurred total costs of \$120 to \$182.7 million to date. Our quarterly press tables also provide details and cash that has been paid which now totals \$188.7. The original \$275 million estimated restructuring expenses never hit our income statement; only the \$182.7 million was recorded.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 11

Because we did not record the estimated amounts in our P&L, there is never an opportunity to reverse charges to benefit future periods. A related assertion is that amounts recorded as restructuring and integration expense are unreasonable and must therefore include operating expense.

The fact is that the largest component of our restructuring charge is the severance payments as the Medicis example clearly illustrates. Of the total cash costs of \$188.7, severance was \$109.4 million or approximately 60 percent.

The remaining costs were primarily legal and advisory fees, costs associated with the termination of R&D programs, professional services, IT costs, duplicative labor and others. Since there has also been some questions regarding the reasonableness of severance payments related to the Medicis acquisition, we provided a detailed breakdown which provides the number of physicians eliminated and total severance cost by employee tier.

As you can see, greater than 75 percent of the severance was paid to executives at Medicis based on employment agreements or retention programs that were implemented and put in place by the Medicis board prior to the acquisition.

The top 38 employees received \$84.4 million in severance payments which includes \$23 million paid to the CEO. Severance payments related to the remaining 726 positions eliminated were \$25 million with the average payment of \$30,000 which included both severance and a 60-day payment in lieu of the WARN act notice.

One last assertion that I would like to address relates to royalties on Sculptra. There have been statements that this royalty to Galderma was an

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 12

obligation that existed when we acquired the product from Sanofi in December 2011 and that we subsequently prepaid this royalty and charged it off as an acquisition related cost at the time of the Medicis acquisition.

The fact is that when we acquired Sculptra from Sanofi, there was no royalty obligation to any third party. In the fall of 2012, Galderma sued to enjoin Valeant s acquisition of Medicis and Valeant later entered into a settlement with Galderma to allow the acquisition to be completed.

The settlement included \$15 million in upfront payments and a 5 percent annual payment of worldwide sales to Sculptra. Because Valeant did not receive any additional rights associated with Restylane, Perlane or Sculptra, both the \$15 million upfront payment and the fair value of the future payments of \$24.2 million were recorded as acquisition related costs during the fourth quarter 2012.

Of the \$24.2 million of those reported, \$2.6 million has been paid to date. The royalty obligation will be eliminated upon the sale of Valeant s intractable aesthetics business to Galderma and the remaining balance will be reversed as a credit to acquisition related cost at close and will not be included in cash EPS.

Looking forward to Q2 and beyond, we expect that restructuring, integration and acquisition related expenses will decline in Q2 and beyond absent the completion of any large transaction.

However, there may be timing differences between P&L charges and cash payments due mainly to the timing of severance payments. As restructuring, integration, and acquisition related costs decline, there will be greater convergence between GAAP and non-GAAP.

Amortization of intangible assets which I mentioned before as our largest differences between the two will continue to be the largest adjustment that we made in our non-GAAP measures.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 13

And finally, just to repeat with what we started, we are determined to take this the distance and are confident in our ultimate success because of the tremendous value that this transaction will create for Allergan shareholders.

We believe that shareholders should have the opportunity to express their views and we re confident that both the Allergan and Valeant shareholders are supportive of this combination.

As Mike mentioned earlier, we ll be posting a written response later this week regarding the other misleading and erroneous statements that have been made regarding organic growth, the operating model, people and other topics later this week.

With that, we ll now open it up for questions.

Operator: If you d like to ask a question, please press star and the number one. Again, to ask a

question, please press star and the number one and we 11 pause for just a moment to

compile the Q&A roster.

And your first question comes from the line of Tim Chiang of CRT Capital.

Tim Chiang: Hi, thanks. Mike, could you talk a little bit about this recent Jublia approval and what do

you what are your expectations for the launch. You talk about, you know, how many

salespeople you plan on putting to the launch of Jublia later this year?

Michael Pearson: Sure, Tim. We re very excited about the approval of Jublia and we are working hard in

terms of putting together the resources to launch this product.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 14

We re going to actually expand our salesforce both internally and in all likelihood, probably going to have a CSO work with us; so, the total number of reps—yes, so the total number of reps that—ll be promoting this product will be somewhere between 150 and 200 reps.

We also plan for the first time in the pharmaceutical space launch a DPC program because many of the writers of Onychomycosis scripts are not dermatologists or podiatrists but are actually primary care doctors.

So, in order to rather and we ll also have a primary care salesforce through the CSO to support this launch and we have high expectation for the product given it s superior to the current offerings and the launch efforts will start at the beginning of the third quarter.

Mike, just as a follow-up, you know, I think Allergan had put up a slide basically sort of putting a pretty conservative estimate on sales for Jublia and I know you guys have talked about it being a \$3 to \$800 million dollar product.

It s a pretty big range. You know what sort of factors do you think will drive your ability to get Jublia to the higher end of your \$3 to \$800 million dollar targeted range?

Yes, so Allergan s source was Valeant Pharma, I think was the source and what they do is just collect analyst reports and sort of take the average of what analyst reports are in terms of what the Jublia sales would be. The analyst reports that were cited in the Allergan report were a number, you know, quite long ago.

And if you look at the analyst reports now, most analysts think this product will be somewhere around \$500 million dollars in the U.S. In terms of our assumptions is you know we would certainly hope to hit at least the \$500 million dollar sales level in the U.S. but we will also be launching this product throughout the world.

Tim Chiang:

Michael Pearson:

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 15

Our partner has rights to Japan and a couple of other Asian countries but we have rights to most of the rest of the world so we do believe this will turn out to be our largest product and certainly we would expect it to be between \$500 and a billion dollars in terms of global sales.

Tim Chiang: OK, great, thanks.

Operator: Your next question comes from the Louise Chen of Guggenheim.

Louise Chen: Hi, thanks for taking my questions; I have a few. So first question I had you know since

we re already in June now, how we should think about Allergan and Valeant pro forma

EPS for 2015 excluding the assets sold to Galderma.

Second question I m not sure if you ll answer but I ll ask it anyhow. We ve been asked repeatedly about other activist shareholders getting involved in Allergan and how you should or how we should think about the possibility of this and then lastly, any updated

thoughts on using a collar for the deal, thanks.

Howard Schiller: Well, in terms of let s start at the bottom. In terms of the collar it is something that we

talked about internally and with advisors at this time. I think we put an offer that allows the shareholders of Allergan to benefit completely with the improved, the rerating of our

stock that we would anticipate once certainty with the deal comes about.

So, because it s nothing obviously our offer at this point is our offer. As it relates to activists, no, I don't think we re going to comment on other people that may be looking to

buy shares in Valeant or Allergan.

They re going to do what they think is in their best economic interest.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 16

Howard Schiller:

Yes, I mean the pro forma we ve been using 2014 pro forma because it all depends on the closing of the transaction and you know at this point, neither company is they ve come up with general guidance for 2015.

We ve not come out with guidance for 2015 yet. I think it s a bit premature to talk about that but I think we ve given you a pretty good idea what we would think about the going forward growth rate.

We ve said that we ve given you 2014 pro forma assuming at a close January 1 and we ve said that the EPS is going to grow 20 percent or so depending on how we redeploy capital.

Laurie Little:

Next question, please.

Operator:

Your next question comes from the line of Chris Schott with JPMorgan.

Chris Schott:

Thanks very much for the questions; just a couple here. First, can you maybe first talk about Ryan Weldon s departure? Trying to get a filing on Friday; just a little bit more color on what s behind that change.

Second, on your start price. So there s obviously been some pressure. At \$117 dollars and putting Allergan aside for a minute. I mean, how do you think about the opportunity to either look at something like share repo versus further acquisition down here.

What specific capacity do you have, I mean, how focused are you on just addressing your stock price given some of this weakness beyond trying to address some of the commentaries in terms of the press.

And then finally just on a higher level question, I mean, you talked a lot about the ability to extract cost being potentially being greater when you look at large companies versus smaller ones and how do you think about as you scale your business the degree of difficulty of closing these larger deals.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 17

We think about organizations I mean do you have more entrenched management teams have more resources available to fight back on hostile deals in a situation I mean how do you kind of think about that?

How long that we think even beyond Allergan at looking at larger companies and applying the Valeant model to those, thanks very much.

Michael Pearson:

All right, Chris, thank you. Let me take the first question. I ll let Howard talk about the stock price and then we can probably both give perspectives on the third question; sort of the bigger picture question.

Ryan, who s been with us for about $5\frac{1}{2}$ years has done an excellent job sort of leading the aesthetics business but we re selling that business; the injectable piece of it which is by far the largest piece of it to Galderma and so, all of our employees in that space are you know or at least most of them are going to go to Galderma with the sale.

And so, it sort of makes sense that since Ryan s been leading it and we ll no longer even have the business that it would be an appropriate time for him to leave the company and I think he s going to take some time off and I m sure he ll you know find a great job, you know, sometime in the next few months.

So, it was sort of a natural it was sort of a natural thing for him to leave since, you know, the business is also gone.

Howard Schiller:

You know, in terms of stock pricing and capital allocation, Chris, absent this deal I think we would be having a ton of debate internally about how whether or not to repurchase shares versus acquisitions given where our stock price is.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 18

I think given the fact we have this offer outstanding, we don thave that ability to repurchase shares and so that s off the table plus I mean, I think we recognized our technical reasons as to why the stock has been under pressure and in these situations, somewhat normal and again once there s clarity on the path to completing this deal, the probability of success will go way up and our stock price ought to go way up as well.

So, you know, at this point I think it s where it s not unexpected and we re going to work through it and work as Mike said, this clear path to success which we re laser focused on.

Michael Pearson:

In terms of the larger question, it is very clear and we we been through a couple of hostile situations before, that hostiles are a lot more difficult. There is no question and I think Chris here, your observation that larger companies certainly have much you know, greater resources in terms of PR and other things in terms of an ability to you know fight through the process, that type of thing.

But at the end of the day this you know, all that is sort of a lot of noise and the end the day, as long as we have a path, you know, to get shareholders to vote, we re very confident they re going to vote the right way.

And so, we ll just keep focused on that. As we look forward in terms of other potential acquisitions after we get the Allergan one done, again, hostile is not our preferred approach but again, this deal was so strategic and financially compelling that it really makes sense.

Once we get Allergan and combine it with our company, I think we have a very, very powerful company going forward and we would hope in the future we wouldn t have to go hostile on people and we would be able to sit down and talk and hopefully people will do what s in the best interest of shareholders.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 19

And, I think we ll enter, you know, any future hostile with our eyes wide open but as long as we have a path to a shareholder vote and that if an acquisition is compelling for both sets of shareholders, you know, it may take some time.

It may take, I mean we may get some blows from the media side but in the end, we will create shareholder value both for Allergan shareholders in this case and our shareholders and that sour mission to create shareholder value for our shareholders.

And that s what we get paid and that s what we 1l continue to do. Howard? So, next question please.

Operator:

Sachin Shah:

And your next question comes from the line of Sachin Shah with Albert Fried.

Hi, good morning, thanks for hosting a call. So, the first question is Mr. Ackman, Pershing Square has you know sent the letter, sued in Delaware courts on the shareholder rights issue to make sure that it s not triggered.

How confident are you that that is going to be successful? That s the first question. Number two is I know you re hosting this call trying to win the narrative of what Allergan has been saying.

You know, there s been recent press that Morgan Stanley and some of the comments and through these emails in relation to that, so you know this is coming out really on a daily basis and you know, there s been speculation that you ve actually hired Morgan Stanley so can you maybe just talk about that aspect of what all this means and how do you win this narrative.

And that leads me to my third question which is you know, Mr. Ackman and you guys have stated that you ve talked to many shareholders larger shareholders as well as yours obviously. They believe that this transaction makes sense.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 20

You have their support and obviously 25 percent is required on their side to call the Special Meeting. Can you maybe disclose quantitatively how much or what percentage range that you have that support right now just to get other shareholders that may be on the fence or not knowing that aspect; you know, putting some of this noise behind us and focusing on the Special Meeting and get this deal closer to the finish line?

Howard Schiller:

Firstly, regarding the lawsuit that Pershing brought. I m sure you ll appreciate the fact that given that there s a lawsuit there s only so much that we can say but I think that you know we re confident that Delaware law would not allow employees to instill and frustrate their right of shareholders to call a Special Meeting and hence exercise their right to vote.

I think it s given the stakes that are involved that Pershing was looking for this confirmation. And maybe I ll take the third and Mike you can talk about the second question. In terms of disclosing what percent, I don t think we re in a position to disclose the percent.

We tell you clearly a very, very large percentage of their shares have traded since April 22nd and even the night before aftermarket when the leak occurred, well north of 50 percent; and so, a very significant percent of these shares are in the hands of hedge funds and arbitrageurs, all whom are bench driven; all of whom have bought it at a very high price and well above where they believe the standalone value is.

And hence, are interested in a transaction occurring plus based on our discussions with a number of their traditional institutional shareholders, I believe we believe strongly that the support is there and you know, hence are confident in the process.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 21

Michael Pearson:

Yes, in terms of Morgan Stanley, look whenever a deal like this is announced, every investment bank in the world wants to be part of it. They want the lead table credit. They want you know this is a big deal. They want to be involved.

And they make pitches. They make pitches to both sides to try to get involved and investment banks are motivated primarily by one thing and that s making money. And I think most people understand that.

And you know, it s an interesting, Goldman, whom we ve worked with for years ended up in the Allergan side and that was fine. You know, a year ago they were they led the equity offering.

They provided us bridge loans. They we been involved in much of our financing and interestingly, they spent lots and lots of time sort of ratifying our business model. They we stood behind it and obviously said our currency was quite strong in terms of our stock price; yet, they are serving Allergan.

So, these things happen. In terms of Rob Kindler, Rob s a very savvy guy and been involved in many, many successful hostile takeovers and he s part of our team. He s given us excellent advice and he will be an important part of winning this deal from our standpoint.

So, I don't think it s that unusual that you see investment banks sort of trying to get hired by both sides of a transaction and once they get hired, they work the hardest they can to get this deal done; so, in my mind, that was just sort of a little bit of noise and we re happy to have Rob as part of our team.

Sachin Shah:

OK, and just as far as winning the narrative, the spread is at 1036 and it closed at that level, it s obviously volatile; there s a lot of you know noise as everybody knows.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 22

There s a lot of participants. There s been a lot of liquidity as you guys pointed out and it s obviously it s obvious but what, you know, how are you going to continue to win this narrative that Allergan s advisors are continuously you know, pushing a different narrative?

Michael Pearson:

Yes, I think the narrative is you know, a lot of noise out there as you pointed out and they have a great set of advisors. We have a great set of advisors but at the end of the day, this is going to a shareholder vote and that s what matters.

And there s a path to the shareholder vote and the shareholders will decide whether this deal should happen or not and we will respect that decision. And quite frankly, if Allergan was as confident as they claim to be about their operating model and their plans going forward, et cetera, et cetera, they should be happy to have a shareholder vote right away, because if they think they re going to win the shareholder vote, then let s just have it.

But I think the fact that they re doing everything possible to delay this shows that they are not optimistic in terms of getting to the actual shareholder vote so I m sure there Il be lots of noise but at the end of the day we are very, very confident that the shareholders will vote in favor of this deal and we Il be very, very patient because our business is performing extremely well.

Our operating model which in strategy is working very well for the last six years and it ll continue to work because, I know it s a different operating model than Allergan has but we believe it s a superior one.

Sachin Shah:

And just one last point, the difference between August and later on in the year, it s up to Allergan s board to determine that and obviously you re going to try to press them to make it sooner, quicker to get through a shareholder vote but it s in their board s hands to make that determination, is that correct?

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 23

Howard Schiller: Yes, I believe once they ve certified that we ve given them at least 25 percent of the

proxies, their shares representing 25 percent of the outstanding, they can call the meeting

no earlier than ten days but no later than 120 days.

So, why they would delay it as Mike said, I can t come up with a good reason but they

have that ability to delay it.

Sachin Shah: And you re going to assume that right away, the 25 percent or what s the timing for that,

that clock to start?

Howard Schiller: Pershing will be mailing, hopefully by the end of this month, they ll be mailing and the

process will go from there.

Michael Pearson: Let s move on to the next question please? Operator?

Laurie Little: Operator, next question? Operator?

Operator: And your next question comes from the line of Marc Goodman of UBS.

Marc Goodman: Morning, a couple things. First, there s a slide that says the actual top 50 in products. I was

curious, is that based on 2013 sales, is the first quarter your 2014 forecast of sales and is that the order of sales from top to bottom as far as the way that you order; that s the first

question.

Second question is Allegan spends a billion on R&D, you re going to cut 900; that leaves 200 and we ve heard them say that it costs a lot more to do certain programs and you believe that you can do them much cheaper, I was just curious if you could just maybe remind us, what are the key programs that they re working on that you like that you will

continue to work on with that 200 million?

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 24

And then, third question is Allergan has implied that they re going to come back with their own cost-cutting plan and I was just curious how we should think about it if Allergan came back and say, cut \$300 million dollars out of their business should we assume that the \$2.7 billion dollars of cost cutting that you re planning becomes 2.4 and I m just making those numbers up; if they were to come up at 300 or should we assume whatever they do, you still believe there s 2.7 to cut? Thanks.

Michael Pearson:

OK, thanks Mark, in terms of the top 10 products, those were based on Q1 results and I think they are in order, right? Yes, so they are in order of top to bottom and also our budget for this year but certainly Q1 actuals.

In terms of the \$200 million dollars in R&D, that s actually across both companies. You know, the way we do this in all our acquisitions if \$200 million dollar remains it s not all necessarily out of Allergan s budget.

What we do is we get we do a portfolio review across both companies every time we do an acquisition and come up with what we believe are the programs that we want to partner or not go forward with.

We also do this with it s interesting, we ve got the scientists in the room and we ll have Allergan scientists and we ll have Valeant scientists and also independent, third-party scientists and we ll go in with them. We ll review the programs and we ll have a vote which we do by consensus and the cut is our estimate of what will come out of that process.

Whether it s exactly that number or you know, slightly maybe slightly more or slightly less but we feel quite comfortable having done many, many acquisitions so that s roughly what will come out.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 25

Marc Goodman Just understand, Mike, to just understand, you said there ll be 200 for the combined so are

you saying you spend 200 and then there s a residual 200 of theirs and that becomes only

200 or should we assume that it s 400 then going forward; becomes based on

Michael Pearson: No, no, it s our portfolio so it s going to be more sort of \$300 to \$400 million dollars; it s

going to be what the total spend rate will be for the new combined company.

Marc Goodman Right, so theoretically you did buy another company for the next two years and our

model, the combined merger model, two to three years from now we should only be

thinking that s the amount of R&D spend?

Michael Pearson: Yes, that sour estimate of what the R&D spend will be and obviously you know, there s inflation, things like that but \$300 to \$400 million dollars is what we think is the right

figure for the combined company.

The programs we will do for sure are the Botox line extensions. Those make a lot of sense to us. We ll come up with a franchise, you know, there ll be line extensions. We like

programs like Latisse for hair so I think that one s likely to survive.

But we really have to have that review to go through it to be more specific than that. In terms of if they come back with a plan to reduce costs, obviously the amount of synergies we will get out would be less but I don t think it s even going to get to that because we ll

have a shareholder vote and this deal will be done.

So they may put forward a number and they can cut 100, 200, 300, but again, I think it s the operating model that allows us to make these cuts, and we have very different operating models and investors will have to make their determination in terms of, whether they prefer to have Allergan standalone with a reduction of 200 million or have this transaction and they ll have to make that they ll have to make a vote on which in their

minds creates more shareholder value.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 26

And, our belief is and many shareholders have told us if they just plan to cut a couple hundred million dollars, that s not going to do it for them. One is they ve made commitments to shareholders in the past that they have not followed through on, number one.

And number two, you know, 200 million versus 2.7 billion, that s a pretty easy choice.

Laurie Little: Next question, please?

Operator: Your next question comes from Annabel Samimy with Stifel.

Annabel Samimy: Hi, thanks for taking my question. I just want to go back to the issue of time and your stock price. You know, we ve all seen this turning into a very public battle and it is taking

a toll on the confidence in your stock.

And, now it begs the question, is there any point where the costs on your stock is too large? Is there I mean, you talked about a plan B before but is there a real plan B.

We get a lot of questions about that plan B and if this doesn t go through, is there an ability to execute on a plan B with the currency having taken a toll in this way? Thanks.

Michael Pearson: Again, I think we see a clear path to getting a vote and then we ll leave it up to

shareholders. Those investors that have been with us for a while you know have had periods where a stock has gone down but our overall track record in terms of value

creation is quite good.

And, when we were talking to our core investors, they re quite comfortable. They see the value creation potential of this and we ll get there. We ll get a shareholder vote. We re

confident it ll go through.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 27

And if shareholders were not to vote for this transaction, then there s obviously many, many other things we can do and quite frankly, will do after we get this one done.

I think the stock if not, creating based on sort of long-term investors. It s there s a lot of you know technical issues going on; a lot of arbs in there and, I think what s driving the value is path path to certainty in terms again, it s done.

And I think we have it and I think what we ll see as time marches on and we get the Special Meeting called and we get a date scheduled I think at least we believe that as time marches on and we continue to post strong results, and we get closer to the Special Meeting that our stock price will probably begin moving up quite dramatically.

Annabel Samimy: OK, thanks.

Laurie Little: Next question, please?

Operator: And your next question comes from the call of Alex Arfaei with BMO.

Alex Arfaei: Good morning and thank you for taking the questions; I have two. Mike, could you comment on the deals you are not doing or putting on ice because it is an ongoing process

with Allergan.

In other words, are you missing significant opportunities? And the question for both of you, the key reason for a lot of these misunderstandings is that you are frankly a difficult company to understand, and an easy target for these allegations as we ve seen throughout the years, is there anything you would do differently going forward in terms of

disclosures as a result of these allegations? Thank you.

Moderator: Christine Scannapieco

06-17-14/8:00 am. ET

Confirmation # 61308765

Page 28

Michael Pearson:

Sure, well I think you re right. There s certainly some opportunity costs in terms of pursuing this deal in terms of other things we may be doing. You know if we weren t if we weren t pursuing Allergan. But our business development pipeline is very, very full and we continue to have discussions and so it s not like we have stopped sort of that part of our business.

But there s actually I think that bit of a silver lining in this in that the fact that we re not doing any other significant acquisitions at this time, will mean that our financial statements will actually begin to really demonstrate that our one-time costs are really one-time costs.

And that you ll begin to see that GAAP and non-GAAP you know EPS and organic growth. Everyone will see that the business model is working. And it s kind of you know a neat opportunity that again we ve received these attacks in the past and, all the shorts that are saying that there is no organic growth; that we re pushing all sorts of things into one-time costs, which we re not.

The shorts are going to be exposed because, we re very confident in our business model. We have been and we ve been doing things the right way and that s going to come to life. So, I think that s so while there s some opportunity cost on the flip side, people are really going to see how well our business our underlying business is doing and I think that s a really, really good thing.

In terms of we were talking about that earlier this morning. Howard and I were talking about sort of future disclosures and I think you know the one thing we ll probably start doing is disclosing our top 10 products and our top 15 products and how we re doing.