

CYTOKINETICS INC
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-50633

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	94-3291317 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
280 East Grand Avenue South San Francisco, California (Address of principal executive offices)	94080 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (650) 624-3000	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Number of shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of October 25, 2013: 29,503,123.

Table of Contents

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the period from August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2013</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the period from August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2013</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	26
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	26
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	26
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	43
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	43
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	43
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	43
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	44
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	45
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	46

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED**(A Development Stage Enterprise)****CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,258	\$ 14,907
Short-term investments	62,384	59,093
Related party accounts receivable		4
Prepaid and other current assets	1,679	2,423
Total current assets	83,321	76,427
Property and equipment, net	804	997
Long-term investments	3,754	
Other assets	127	127
Total assets	\$ 88,006	\$ 77,551
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,789	\$ 2,002
Accrued liabilities	9,886	4,877
Deferred revenue, current	33,322	
Related party payables and accrued liabilities		150
Short-term portion of deferred rent	14	76
Total current liabilities	45,011	7,105
Deferred revenue, non-current	2,696	
Long-term portion of deferred rent	548	361
Total liabilities	48,255	7,466
Commitments and contingencies		

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value:

Authorized: 10,000,000 shares;

Issued and outstanding: Series B convertible preferred stock 0 shares at September 30, 2013 and 23,026 shares at December 31, 2012

Common stock, \$0.001 par value:

Authorized: 81,500,000 shares;

Issued and outstanding: 29,503,123 shares at September 30, 2013 and 23,742,911 shares at December 31, 2012

	29	24
Additional paid-in capital	528,831	518,923
Accumulated other comprehensive income	19	18
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(489,128)	(448,880)

Total stockholders' equity	39,751	70,085
----------------------------	--------	--------

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 88,006	\$ 77,551
--	-----------	-----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED****(A Development Stage Enterprise)****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Period from
					August 5, 1997
					(Date of Inception)
					to
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
Revenues:					
Research and development revenues from related parties	\$ 564	\$ 963	\$ 1,455	\$ 3,234	\$ 56,783
Research and development, grant and other revenues	2,495	751	3,434	2,141	12,806
License revenues from related parties					112,935
License revenues	1,410		1,410		1,410
Total revenues	4,469	1,714	6,299	5,375	183,934
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	13,445	8,798	35,626	25,785	523,741
General and administrative	3,635	2,991	10,999	8,614	167,380
Restructuring charges (reversals)		(2)		(56)	3,586
Total operating expenses	17,080	11,787	46,625	34,343	694,707
Operating loss	(12,611)	(10,073)	(40,326)	(28,968)	(510,773)
Interest and other, net	23	29	78	54	21,619
Loss before income taxes	(12,588)	(10,044)	(40,248)	(28,914)	(489,154)
Income tax benefit					(26)
Net loss	(12,588)	(10,044)	(40,248)	(28,914)	(489,128)
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature of convertible preferred stock				(1,307)	(4,164)
	\$ (12,588)	\$ (10,044)	\$ (40,248)	\$ (30,221)	\$ (493,292)

Net loss allocable to common
stockholders

Net loss per share allocable to common stockholders basic and diluted	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (1.52)	\$ (1.86)
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Weighted-average number of shares
used in computing net loss per
share allocable to common
stockholders basic and diluted

29,395 22,360 26,413 16,215

Comprehensive loss	\$ (12,569)	\$ (10,030)	\$ (40,247)	\$ (28,904)	\$ (489,109)
--------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED****(A Development Stage Enterprise)****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended		Period from August 5, 1997 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (40,248)	\$ (28,914)	\$ (489,128)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	345	462	29,599
Loss on disposal of equipment	(2)	(2)	297
Non-cash impairment charges			103
Non-cash restructuring expenses, net of reversals		(56)	636
Non-cash interest expense			504
Non-cash forgiveness of loans to officers			434
Stock-based compensation	2,941	2,876	39,069
Non-cash warrant expense			1,626
Other non-cash expenses			141
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Related party accounts receivable	4	14	(351)
Prepaid and other assets	744	(1,056)	(1,834)
Accounts payable	(103)	334	1,935
Accrued and other liabilities	5,162	(89)	10,166
Related party payables and accrued liabilities	(150)	(12)	
Deferred revenue	36,018	129	36,018
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	4,711	(26,314)	(370,785)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of investments	(68,286)	(81,513)	(1,120,529)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	61,241	45,950	1,034,468
Proceeds from sales of auction rate securities			20,025
Purchases of property and equipment	(290)	(66)	(31,451)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	3	2	146
Decrease in restricted cash		196	

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

Issuance of related party notes receivable			(1,146)
Proceeds from repayments of notes receivable			859
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,332)	(35,431)	(97,628)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from initial public offering, sale of common stock to related party, and public offerings, net of issuance costs	7,450	43,678	257,998
Proceeds from draw down of committed equity financing facilities and at-the-market facility, net of commission and issuance costs		2,819	58,095
Proceeds from other issuances of common stock and warrants, net	(478)	(361)	17,301
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs		12,318	154,819
Repurchase of common stock			(68)
Proceeds from loan with UBS			12,441
Repayment of loan with UBS			(12,441)
Proceeds from equipment financing lines			23,696
Repayment of equipment financing lines		(152)	(24,170)
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,972	58,302	487,671
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,351	(3,443)	19,258
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	14,907	18,833	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 19,258	\$ 15,390	\$ 19,258

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED

(A Development Stage Enterprise)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Overview

Cytokinetics, Incorporated (the Company, we or our) was incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware on August 5, 1997. The Company is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery and development of novel small molecule therapeutics that modulate muscle function for the potential treatment of serious diseases and medical conditions. The Company is a development stage enterprise and has been primarily engaged in conducting research, developing drug candidates and technologies, and raising capital.

The Company's financial statements contemplate the conduct of the Company's operations in the normal course of business. The Company has incurred an accumulated deficit of \$489.1 million since inception and there can be no assurance that the Company will attain profitability. The Company had a net loss of \$40.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Cash, cash equivalents and investments increased to \$85.4 million at September 30, 2013 from \$74.0 million at December 31, 2012 due principally to cash receipts from licensing transactions and sales of common stock. The Company anticipates that it will continue to have operating losses and net cash outflows in future periods.

The Company is subject to risks common to development stage companies including, but not limited to, development of new drug candidates, dependence on key personnel, and the ability to obtain additional capital as needed to fund its future plans. The Company's liquidity will be impaired if sufficient additional capital is not available on terms acceptable to the Company. To date, the Company has funded its operations primarily through sales of its common stock and convertible preferred stock, licensing of its patents and know-how, contract payments under its collaboration agreements, debt financing arrangements, government grants and interest income. Until it achieves profitable operations, the Company intends to continue to fund operations through payments from strategic collaborations, additional sales of equity securities, government grants and debt financings. The Company has never generated revenues from commercial sales of its drugs and may not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever. The Company's success is dependent on its ability to enter into new strategic collaborations and/or raise additional capital and to successfully develop and market one or more of its drug candidates. As a result, the Company may choose to raise additional capital through equity or debt financings to continue to fund its operations in the future. The Company cannot be certain that sufficient funds will be available from such a financing or through a collaborator when required or on satisfactory terms. Additionally, there can be no assurance that the Company's drug candidates will be accepted in the marketplace or that any future products can be developed or manufactured at an acceptable cost. These factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's future financial results, financial position and cash flows.

Based on the current status of its research and development plans, the Company believes that its existing cash, cash equivalents and investments at September 30, 2013 will be sufficient to fund its cash requirements for at least the next 12 months. If, at any time, the Company's prospects for financing its research and development programs decline, the Company may decide to reduce research and development expenses by delaying, discontinuing or reducing its funding of one or more of its research or development programs. Alternatively, the Company might raise funds through strategic collaborations, public or private financings or other arrangements. Such funding, if needed, may not be

available on favorable terms, or at all.

The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The financial statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that management believes are necessary for the fair statement of the balances and results for the periods presented. These interim financial statement results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full fiscal year or any future interim period.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. The financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared with the presumption that users of the interim financial statements have read or have access to the audited financial statements for the preceding fiscal year. Accordingly, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2013.

Significant Accounting Policies

The Company s significant accounting policies are disclosed in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2013, and have not changed as of September 30, 2013, except as noted below.

Table of Contents

Reverse Stock Split

On June 24, 2013, the Company effected a one-for-six reverse stock split of its common stock through an amendment to its amended and restated certificate of incorporation (the "COI Amendment"). As of the effective time of the reverse stock split, every six shares of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock were converted into one issued and outstanding share of common stock, without any change in par value per share. The reverse stock split affected all shares of the Company's common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the reverse stock split, as well as the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the Company's equity incentive plans. In addition, the reverse stock split effected a reduction in the number of shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of shares of preferred stock or upon the exercise of stock options or warrants outstanding immediately prior to the effectiveness of the reverse stock split. No fractional shares were issued as a result of the reverse stock split. Stockholders who would otherwise have been entitled to receive a fractional share received cash payments in lieu thereof. In addition, the COI Amendment reduced the number of authorized shares of common stock to 81.5 million.

As the par value per share of the Company's common stock remained unchanged at \$0.001 per share, a total of \$139,000 was reclassified from common stock to additional paid-in capital. All references to shares of common stock and per share data for all periods presented in the accompanying condensed financial statements and notes thereto have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split on a retroactive basis.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Statement Update ("ASU") 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. This update requires entities to disclose items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and into net income in a single location within the financial statements. On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this new accounting guidance and discloses reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income and into net income in the footnotes to the financial statements.

Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*. ASU 2013-11 amends accounting guidance on the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or tax credit carryforward exists. This new guidance requires entities, if certain criteria are met, to present an unrecognized tax benefit, or portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward when such items exist in the same taxing jurisdiction. The provisions of ASU 2013-11 are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, which corresponds to the Company's first quarter of fiscal year 2014. This update can be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The Company is evaluating when to adopt ASU 2013-11 and the effect the adoption will have on its financial statements.

Note 2. Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share allocable to common stockholders is computed by dividing net loss allocable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of vested common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share allocable to common stockholders is computed by giving effect to all potentially dilutive common

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

shares, including outstanding stock options, unvested restricted stock units, warrants, convertible preferred stock and shares issuable under the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), by applying the treasury stock method. The following is the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share allocable to common stockholders (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net loss	\$ (12,588)	\$ (10,044)	\$ (40,248)	\$ (28,914)
Deemed dividend related to beneficial conversion feature of convertible preferred stock				(1,307)
Net loss allocable to common stockholders	\$ (12,588)	\$ (10,044)	\$ (40,248)	\$ (30,221)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (weighted average number of shares used in computing net loss per share allocable to common stockholders) basic and diluted	29,395	22,360	26,413	16,215
Net loss per share allocable to common stockholders basic and diluted	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (1.52)	\$ (1.86)

Table of Contents

The following instruments were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the periods presented because their effect would have been antidilutive (in thousands):

	Three and Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012
Options to purchase common stock	2,452	1,819
Warrants to purchase common stock	7,692	9,009
Series A convertible preferred stock (as converted to common stock)		
Series B convertible preferred stock (as converted to common stock)		3,838
Restricted stock units	42	226
Shares issuable related to the ESPP	31	20
Total shares	10,217	14,912

Note 3. Supplemental Cash Flow Data

Supplemental cash flow data was as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended		Period from
	September 30,	September 30,	August 5, 1997
	2013	2012	(date of inception)
			to September 30,
			2013
Significant non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Deferred stock-based compensation	\$	\$	\$ 6,940
Purchases of property and equipment through accounts payable	110		110
Purchases of property and equipment through accrued liabilities	29	5	29
Purchases of property and equipment through trade in value of disposed property and equipment			258
Penalty on restructuring of equipment financing lines			475
Conversion of convertible preferred stock to common stock	13,626		146,798
Warrants issued in equity financing			1,585

Note 4. Related Party Research and Development Arrangements

Amgen Inc. (Amgen)

In 2006, the Company entered into a collaboration and option agreement with Amgen to discover, develop and commercialize novel small molecule therapeutics, including omecamtiv mecarbil, that activate cardiac muscle contractility for potential applications in the treatment of heart failure (the Amgen Agreement). The agreement granted Amgen an option to obtain an exclusive license worldwide, except Japan, to develop and commercialize omecamtiv mecarbil and other drug candidates arising from the collaboration. In 2009, Amgen exercised its option.

In June 2013, the Company and Amgen amended the Amgen Agreement to expand Amgen's exclusive license to include Japan, resulting in a worldwide collaboration (the Amgen Agreement Amendment). Under the Amgen Agreement Amendment, the Company received a non-refundable upfront license fee of \$15 million. As of September 30, 2013, the Company determined that all conditions necessary for revenue recognition under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605-10 had not been met and accordingly, deferred the revenue attributable to the Amgen Agreement Amendment until the criteria of ASC 605-10 have been satisfied. In October 2013, the Company determined that all conditions necessary for revenue recognition under ASC 605-10 had been satisfied and accordingly, will begin recognizing revenue attributable to the Amgen Agreement Amendment in the fourth quarter of 2013.

In conjunction with the Amgen Agreement Amendment, the Company also entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Amgen, which provided for the sale of 1,404,100 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of \$7.12 and an aggregate purchase price of \$10.0 million which was received in June 2013. Under the terms of this agreement, Amgen has agreed to certain trading and other restrictions with respect to the Company's common stock. The Company determined the fair value of the stock issued to Amgen to be \$7.5 million. The excess of cash received over fair value of \$2.5 million was deferred and will be allocated between the license and services based on their relative selling prices using best estimate of selling price. Allocated consideration will be recognized as revenue as revenue criteria is satisfied, or as services are performed over approximately 12 months.

At September 30, 2013, the Company had \$17.5 million of deferred revenue under the Amgen Agreement Amendment.

Table of Contents

Under the Amgen Agreement Amendment, the Company plans to conduct a Phase I pharmacokinetic study intended to support inclusion of Japan in a potential Phase III clinical development program and potential global registration dossier for omecamtiv mecarbil. Amgen will reimburse the Company for the costs of this study. In addition, the Company is eligible to receive additional pre-commercialization milestone payments relating to the development of omecamtiv mecarbil in Japan of up to \$50 million, and royalties on net sales of omecamtiv mecarbil in Japan. Such royalty rates will range from the high single digits to the low teens. The Company has determined that the additional milestones are not substantive, as they are primarily the result of Amgen's performance and therefore revenue will be recognized as the Company completes any performance obligations, or if all performance obligations have been delivered at the point the milestone is reached, the revenue from the milestone would be recognized at that time.

Pursuant to the Amgen Agreement, the Company has recognized research and development revenue from Amgen for reimbursements of its costs of certain full-time employee equivalents (FTEs) supporting a collaborative research program directed to the discovery of next-generation cardiac sarcomere activator compounds and of other costs related to that research program. These reimbursements were recorded as research and development revenues from related parties. Revenue from Amgen was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
FTE reimbursements	\$ 564	\$ 963	\$ 1,455	\$ 3,231
Reimbursements of other costs				3
Total revenue from Amgen	\$ 564	\$ 963	\$ 1,455	\$ 3,234

At both December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2013, there were no related party receivables under the Amgen Agreement.

Note 5. Other Research and Development Revenue Arrangements**Grants**

In 2010, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) awarded the Company a \$2.8 million grant to support research and development of tirasemtiv, a fast skeletal troponin activator currently in Phase II clinical trials, directed to the potential treatment of myasthenia gravis for a period of up to three years. In September 2012, the NINDS awarded the Company an additional \$0.5 million for this program under a separate grant. Management determined that the Company was the principal participant in the grant arrangement, and, accordingly, the Company recorded amounts earned under the arrangement as revenue. The project period for both of these grants ended June 30, 2013 and no further funds are available to us under these grants.

The Company recognized grant revenue under this grant arrangement as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012

NINDS myasthenia gravis	\$	\$	264	\$ 69	\$	896
-------------------------	----	----	-----	-------	----	-----

Other Research and Development Arrangements

Astellas Pharma Inc. (Astellas)

In June 2013, the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement (the Astellas Agreement) with Astellas. The primary objective of the collaboration to be conducted under the Astellas Agreement is to advance novel therapies for diseases and medical conditions associated with muscle weakness.

Under the Astellas Agreement, the Company granted Astellas an exclusive license to co-develop and jointly commercialize CK-2127107, a fast skeletal troponin activator, for potential application in non-neuromuscular indications worldwide. CK-2127107, which is currently in Phase I clinical development, will be developed jointly by the Company and Astellas. The Company will be primarily responsible for the conduct of Phase I clinical trials and certain Phase II readiness activities for CK-2127107 and Astellas will be primarily responsible for the conduct of subsequent development and commercialization activities for CK-2127107.

The parties will jointly conduct research to identify next-generation skeletal muscle activators to be nominated as potential drug candidates, at Astellas expense. Astellas has the exclusive rights to develop and commercialize fast skeletal troponin activators from this research program in non-neuromuscular indications and to develop and commercialize other novel mechanism skeletal muscle activators from this research program in all indications, subject to certain co-development and co-promotion rights of the Company under the Astellas Agreement. Astellas will be responsible for the costs associated with the development of all collaboration products, including CK-2127107.

The Company retains an option to conduct early-stage development for certain agreed upon indications at its initial expense, subject to reimbursement if development continues under the collaboration. The Company also retains an option to co-promote collaboration products in the United States and Canada. Astellas will reimburse the Company for certain expenses associated with its co-promotion activities.

Table of Contents

In July 2013, the Company received an upfront payment of \$16 million in connection with the execution of the Astellas Agreement, and is eligible to potentially receive over \$24 million in reimbursement of sponsored research and development activities during the initial two years of the collaboration. Based on the achievement of pre-specified criteria, the Company may receive over \$250 million in milestone payments relating to the development and commercial launch of collaboration products, including up to \$112 million in development and commercial launch milestones for CK-2127107. The Company may also receive up to \$200 million in payments for achievement of pre-specified sales milestones related to net sales of all collaboration products under the Astellas Agreement. In the event Astellas commercializes any collaboration products, the Company will also receive royalties on sales of such collaboration products, including royalties ranging from the high single digits to the high teens on sales of products containing CK-2127107. In addition to the foregoing development, commercial launch and sales milestones, the Company may also receive payments for the achievement of pre-specified milestones relating to the joint research program.

The Company retains the exclusive right to develop and commercialize tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and other neuromuscular disorders independently from the Astellas Agreement.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company deferred revenue related to the Astellas Agreement in accordance with ASC 605-25. The Company evaluated whether the delivered elements under the arrangement have value on a stand-alone basis. Upfront, non-refundable licensing payments are assessed to determine whether or not the licensee is able to obtain stand-alone value from the license. Where this is not the case, the Company does not consider the license deliverable to be a separate unit of accounting, and the revenue is deferred with revenue recognition for the license fee being recognized in conjunction with the other deliverables that constitute the combined unit of accounting.

The Company determined that the license and the research and development services are a single unit of accounting as the license was determined to not have stand-alone value. Accordingly, the Company is recognizing this revenue using the proportional performance model. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has recognized \$1.4 million of the \$16 million upfront license fee as license revenue and deferred the remaining \$14.6 million.

The Company recognizes milestone payments utilizing the milestone method of revenue recognition. The Company believes the milestones related to research and early development are substantive as there is uncertainty that the milestones will be met, the milestone can only be achieved with the Company's past and current performance and the achievement of the milestone will result in additional payment to the Company. The Company believes that the milestones related to later development and commercialization are not substantive as they are primarily the result of the collaborative partner's performance and therefore will be recognized as the Company completes its performance obligations under the agreement, if any. To date, the Company has not recognized any milestone revenue from its collaboration with Astellas.

Research and development revenue from Astellas was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
License revenues	\$ 1,410	\$	\$ 1,410	\$
FTE reimbursements	1,191		1,191	
Reimbursements of other costs	1,118		1,118	

Total revenue from Astellas	\$ 3,719	\$ 3,719
-----------------------------	----------	----------

At September 30, 2013, the Company had \$18.4 million of deferred revenue under the Astellas Agreement as the Company has received prepayment on expenses expected to be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2013.

As part of an initiative to seek certain more focused collaborations intended to offset certain research costs, the Company entered into agreements with two early-stage biopharmaceutical companies during 2011 and 2012.

Global Blood Therapeutics, Inc. (Global Blood)

In October 2011, the Company entered into a collaboration agreement with Global Blood. Under an agreed research plan, scientists from Global Blood and our FTEs conducted research focused on small molecule therapeutics that target the blood. The Company provided Global Blood access to certain research facilities, FTEs and other resources at agreed reimbursement rates that approximated our costs. In April 2012, the Company extended this agreement through December 2012. The Company was the primary obligor in the collaboration arrangement, and accordingly, the Company recorded expense reimbursements from Global Blood as research and development revenue.

Table of Contents

Research and development revenue from Global Blood was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Expense reimbursements from Global Blood Therapeutics	\$ 7	\$ 358	\$ 7	\$ 1,116

MyoKardia, Inc.

In August 2012, the Company entered into a collaboration agreement with MyoKardia, Inc. Under an agreed research plan, scientists from MyoKardia and our FTEs conducted research focused on small molecule therapeutics that inhibit cardiac sarcomere proteins. The Company provided to MyoKardia access to certain research facilities, and continues to provide FTEs and other resources at agreed reimbursement rates that approximate our costs. The Company was the primary obligor in the collaboration arrangement, and accordingly, the Company recorded expense reimbursements from MyoKardia as research and development revenue.

Research and development revenue from MyoKardia was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Expense reimbursements from MyoKardia	\$ 179	\$ 129	\$ 1,024	\$ 129

Note 6. Cash Equivalents and Investments

The amortized cost and fair value of cash equivalents and available for sale investments at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

		September 30, 2013				Maturity Dates
		Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	
Cash equivalents	money market funds	\$ 17,201	\$	\$	\$ 17,201	
Short-term investments	U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 62,367	\$ 17	\$	\$ 62,384	10/2013-9/2014
Long-term investments	U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 3,752	\$ 2	\$	\$ 3,754	11/2014

		December 31, 2012					Maturity Dates
		Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value		
Cash equivalents	money market funds	\$ 10,655	\$	\$	\$ 10,655		
Short-term investments	U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 59,075	\$ 18	\$	\$ 59,093	1/2013-11/2013	

As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's U.S. Treasury securities classified as short-term investments had unrealized losses of zero. The Company collected the contractual cash flows on its U.S. Treasury securities that matured from January 1, 2013 through October 25, 2013, and expects to be able to collect all contractual cash flows on the remaining maturities of its U.S. Treasury securities.

Interest income was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Period from August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2013
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	
Interest income	\$ 23	\$ 30	\$ 74	\$ 52	\$ 28,683

Table of Contents**Note 7. Fair Value Measurements**

The Company follows the fair value accounting guidance to value its financial assets and liabilities. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received for assets when sold or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The Company utilizes market data or assumptions that the Company believes market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable.

The Company primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements and endeavors to utilize the best information reasonably available. Accordingly, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible, and considers the security issuers and the third-party insurers credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

The Company classifies the determined fair value based on the observability of those inputs. Fair value accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The three defined levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or through corroboration with observable market data; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data for the assets or liabilities, such as internally-developed valuation models.

Financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were classified in one of the three categories described above as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2013			Assets At Fair Value
	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Money market funds	\$ 17,201	\$	\$	\$ 17,201
U.S. Treasury securities	66,138			66,138
Total	\$ 83,339	\$	\$	\$ 83,339
Amounts included in:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,201	\$	\$	\$ 17,201
Short-term investments	62,384			62,384
Long-term investments	3,754			3,754
Total	\$ 83,339	\$	\$	\$ 83,339

	December 31, 2012			Assets At Fair Value
	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Money market funds	\$ 10,655	\$	\$	\$ 10,655
U.S. Treasury securities	59,093			59,093
Total	\$ 69,748	\$	\$	\$ 69,748
Amounts included in:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,655	\$	\$	\$ 10,655
Short-term investments	59,093			59,093
Total	\$ 69,748	\$	\$	\$ 69,748

The valuation technique used to measure fair value for the Company's Level 1 assets is a market approach, using prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical assets. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had no financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant Level 2 or Level 3 inputs.

The carrying amount of the Company's accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Table of Contents

Note 8. Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In the first nine months of 2013, the Company reclassified insignificant amounts of unrealized gains (losses) on investments out of accumulated other comprehensive income into net loss.

Common stock

In conjunction with the Amgen Agreement Amendment (see Note 4), in June 2013, Amgen purchased 1,404,100 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of \$7.12. The Company determined the fair value of the stock issued to Amgen to be \$7.5 million. The excess of cash received over fair value of \$2.5 million was deferred and will be recognized as revenue as services are performed over approximately 12 months.

Convertible Preferred Stock

Each share of Series B convertible preferred stock is convertible into common stock at any time at the holder's option. As a result of the one-for-six reverse stock split effected in June 2013, the conversion ratio for Series B convertible preferred stock changed from 1,000 shares of common stock per share of Series B convertible preferred stock to 166.67 shares of common stock per share of Series B convertible preferred stock.

In the first quarter of 2013, 4,000 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock were converted into 666,667 shares of common stock. In the second quarter of 2013, 15,026 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock were converted into 2,504,334 shares of common stock. On July 2, 2013, 4,000 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock, which represented all remaining shares of Series B convertible preferred stock, were converted into 666,667 shares of common stock. The conversions were in accordance with the terms of the original agreement under which the Series B convertible preferred stock was issued in 2012.

Warrants

In February 2013, warrants to purchase 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.28 per share were cash exercised in accordance with the June 20, 2012 underwriting agreements the Company entered into in connection with two separate, concurrent offerings for our securities (the June 2012 Public Offerings).

In the second quarter of 2013, the Company issued 358,460 shares of common stock related to cashless exercise of warrants in accordance with the June 2012 Public Offerings. There were no exercises of warrants in the third quarter of 2013.

MLV

On June 10, 2011, the Company entered into an At-The-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the MLV Agreement) with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlak LLC (MLV), pursuant to which the Company may issue and sell shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$20.0 million or 2,397,279 shares, whichever occurs first, from time to time through MLV as the sales agent. The issuance and sale of shares by the Company under the MLV Agreement, if any, are subject to the continued effectiveness of the Company's registration statement on Form S-3, which was declared effective by the SEC on June 23, 2011 (File No. 333-174869) and the terms and conditions of the MLV Agreement. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had issued a total of 862,592 shares through MLV for total net proceeds of approximately \$5.3 million. As of October 25, 2013, there have been no further issuances of shares

through MLV.

Stock Option Plans

Stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 under the Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, and the Company's 1997 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan was as follows:

	Shares Available for Grant of Options or Awards	Stock Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share of Stock Options
Balance at December 31, 2012	878,711	1,790,527	\$ 18.96
Options granted	(769,979)	769,979	5.93
Options exercised		(21,397)	5.32
Options forfeited	19,382	(19,382)	4.88
Options expired	68,205	(68,205)	16.17
Restricted stock units granted	(41,661)		
Restricted stock units forfeited	5,014		
Balance at September 30, 2013	159,672	2,451,522	\$ 15.18

Table of Contents

Restricted stock unit activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Award Date Fair Value per Share
Restricted stock units outstanding at December 31, 2012	216,913	\$ 6.78
Restricted stock units granted	41,661	6.00
Restricted stock units vested	(211,897)	6.78
Restricted stock units forfeited	(5,014)	6.78
Unvested restricted stock units outstanding at September 30, 2013	41,663	\$ 6.00

Note 9. Interest and Other, Net

Components of Interest and other, net were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Period from
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	August 5, 1997
	2013	2012	2013	2012	(date of inception)
					to September 30, 2013
Interest income and other income	\$ 24	\$ 30	\$ 76	\$ 56	\$ 29,176
Interest expense and other expense	(1)	(1)	2	(2)	(5,972)
Warrant expense					(1,585)
Interest and other, net	\$ 23	\$ 29	\$ 78	\$ 54	\$ 21,619

Interest income and other income primarily consisted of interest income generated from the Company's cash, cash equivalents and investments.

Warrant expense for the period from inception to September 30, 2013 was related to the change in the fair value of the warrant liability that was recorded in connection with the Company's registered direct equity offering in May 2009.

Note 10. Income Taxes

The Company follows the accounting guidance established by the FASB which defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements as more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the taxing authorities based solely on the technical merits of the position. If the recognition threshold is met, the tax benefit is measured and recognized as the largest amount of tax benefit that, in the Company's judgment, is greater than 50% likely to be realized.

The Company files income tax returns with the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the state of California. For jurisdictions in which tax filings are made, the Company is subject to income tax examination for all fiscal years since inception. The Company believes that it maintains adequate reserves for uncertain tax positions.

In general, under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 382), a corporation that undergoes an ownership change is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its pre-change net operating losses (NOLs) and tax credits to offset future taxable income. The Company has performed a Section 382 analysis and does not believe that it has experienced an ownership change since 2006. A portion of the Company's existing NOLs and tax credits are subject to limitations arising from previous ownership changes. Future changes in the Company's stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could result in an ownership change under Section 382 and result in additional limitations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this report. Operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based upon current expectations within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We intend that such statements be protected by the safe harbor created thereby. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and our actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Examples of such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about or relating to:

guidance concerning revenues, research and development expenses and general and administrative expenses for 2013;

the sufficiency of existing resources to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months;

our capital requirements and needs for additional financing;

the anticipated timing of revenue recognition events;

the initiation, design, conduct, enrollment, progress, timing and scope of clinical trials and development activities for our drug candidates conducted by ourselves or our partners, Amgen Inc. and Astellas Pharma Inc. (Astellas), including the anticipated timing for initiation of clinical trials, anticipated rates of enrollment for clinical trials and anticipated dates of results becoming available or being announced from clinical trials;

the results from the clinical trials and non-clinical and preclinical studies of our drug candidates and other compounds, and the significance and utility of such results;

the ability of our amendment to the protocol of our BENEFIT-ALS clinical trial to maintain the originally intended statistical power of the trial;

our and our partners' plans or ability to conduct the continued research and development of our drug candidates and other compounds;

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

our expected roles in research, development or commercialization under our strategic alliances with Amgen and Astellas;

the properties and potential benefits of, and the potential market opportunities for, our drug candidates and other compounds, including the potential indications for which they may be developed;

the sufficiency of the clinical trials conducted with our drug candidates to demonstrate that they are safe and efficacious;

our receipt of milestone payments, royalties, reimbursements and other funds from current or future partners under strategic alliances and sponsored research arrangements, such as with Amgen or Astellas;

our ability to continue to identify additional potential drug candidates that may be suitable for clinical development;

our plans or ability to commercialize drugs with or without a partner, including our intention to develop sales and marketing capabilities;

the focus, scope and size of our research and development activities and programs;

the utility of our focus on the biology of muscle function, and our ability to leverage our experience in muscle contractility to other muscle functions;

our ability to protect our intellectual property and to avoid infringing the intellectual property rights of others;

expected future sources of revenue and capital;

losses, costs, expenses and expenditures;

future payments under loan and lease obligations;

the expected recognition of revenue under our collaboration agreements;

potential competitors and competitive products;

retaining key personnel and recruiting additional key personnel;

expected future amortization of employee stock-based compensation; and

the potential impact of recent accounting pronouncements on our financial position or results of operations.

Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to:

our ability to acquire the funding necessary to conduct the one or more confirmatory Phase III clinical trials for tirasemtiv in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (also known as ALS or Lou Gehrig's disease) that we expect will be required to obtain marketing approval for tirasemtiv for the treatment of ALS;

Amgen's decisions with respect to the timing, design and conduct of research and development activities for omecamtiv mecarbil and other related molecules, including decisions to postpone or discontinue research or development activities relating to omecamtiv mecarbil and other related molecules;

Table of Contents

Astellas' decisions with respect to the timing, design and conduct of research and development activities for CK-2127107 and other skeletal muscle activators, including decisions to postpone or discontinue research or development activities relating to CK-2127107 and other skeletal muscle activators;

our ability to enter into strategic partnership agreements for any of our programs on acceptable terms and conditions or in accordance with our planned timelines;

our ability to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all;

our receipt of funds and access to other resources under our current or future strategic alliances or sponsored research arrangements;

difficulties or delays in the development, testing, production or commercialization of our drug candidates;

difficulties or delays, or slower than anticipated patient enrollment, in our or partners' clinical trials;

difficulties or delays in the manufacture and supply of clinical trial materials;

failure by our contract research organizations, contract manufacturing organizations and other vendors to properly fulfill their obligations or otherwise perform as expected;

results from non-clinical studies that may adversely impact the timing or the further development of our drug candidates and other compounds;

the possibility that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or foreign regulatory agencies may delay or limit our or our partners' ability to conduct clinical trials or may delay or withhold approvals for the manufacture and sale of our products;

changing standards of care and the introduction of products by competitors or alternative therapies for the treatment of indications we target that may make our drug candidates commercially unviable;

difficulties or delays in achieving market access and reimbursement for our drug candidates and the potential impacts of health care reform;

changes in laws and regulations applicable to drug development, commercialization or reimbursement;

the uncertainty of protection for our intellectual property, whether in the form of patents, trade secrets or otherwise;

potential infringement or misuse by us of the intellectual property rights of third parties;

activities and decisions of, and market conditions affecting, current and future strategic partners;

our ability to issue and sell shares of our common stock under our At-The-Market Issuance Sales Agreement with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlcek LLC;

potential ownership changes under Internal Revenue Code Section 382; and

the timeliness and accuracy of information filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) by third parties.

In addition such statements are subject to the risks and uncertainties discussed in the Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this document. Operating results reported are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

When used in this report, unless otherwise indicated, Cytokinetics, the Company, we, our and us refers to Cytokinetics, Incorporated.

CYTOKINETICS, and our logo used alone and with the mark CYTOKINETICS, are registered service marks and trademarks of Cytokinetics. Other service marks, trademarks and trade names referred to in this report are the property of their respective owners.

Overview

We were incorporated in Delaware in August 1997 as Cytokinetics, Incorporated. We are a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery and development of novel small molecule therapeutics that modulate muscle function for the potential treatment of serious diseases and medical conditions. Our research and development activities relating to the biology of muscle function have evolved from our knowledge and expertise regarding the cytoskeleton, a complex biological infrastructure that plays a fundamental role within every human cell. Our most advanced research and development programs relate to the biology of muscle function and are directed to small molecule modulators of the contractility of skeletal or cardiac muscle. We are also conducting or may conduct earlier-stage research directed to other compounds with the potential to modulate muscle contractility and other muscle functions, such as growth, energetics and metabolism.

Our drug candidates currently in clinical development are our skeletal muscle activators tirasemtiv and CK-2127107, and our cardiac muscle activator omecamtiv mecarbil. Tirasemtiv is being evaluated for the potential treatment of ALS and other neuromuscular disorders. CK-2127107 is being evaluated for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with skeletal muscle weakness. Omecamtiv mecarbil is being evaluated for the potential treatment of heart failure.

Table of Contents***Skeletal Muscle Contractility***

Tirasemtiv is the lead drug candidate from this program, and is in Phase II clinical development. Cytokinetics holds the rights to tirasemtiv. We are also developing another drug candidate from this program, CK-2127107, which is being evaluated in Phase I clinical trials in collaboration with Astellas for potential indications associated with muscle weakness. Tirasemtiv and CK-2127107 are structurally distinct and selective small molecules that activate the fast skeletal muscle troponin complex in the sarcomere by increasing its sensitivity to calcium, leading to an increase in skeletal muscle contractility. We are evaluating potential indications for which tirasemtiv and CK-2127107 may be useful.

Each of tirasemtiv and CK-2127107 has demonstrated encouraging pharmacological activity in preclinical models. In our Phase I clinical trials of tirasemtiv in healthy volunteers, tirasemtiv appeared well-tolerated and no serious adverse events were reported. We have conducted three evidence of effect Phase IIa clinical trials of tirasemtiv: one in patients with ALS, one in patients with myasthenia gravis and one in patients with claudication associated with peripheral artery disease. Evidence of potentially clinically relevant pharmacodynamic effects was observed in each of these trials for their respective indications. In two further Phase II clinical trials of tirasemtiv in patients with ALS, encouraging trends toward functional improvements were observed in patients receiving tirasemtiv versus those receiving placebo. We are now conducting BENEFIT-ALS (Blinded Evaluation of Neuromuscular Effects and Functional Improvement with Tirasemtiv in ALS), a Phase IIb clinical trial of tirasemtiv in patients with ALS. We anticipate that we will need to conduct at least one confirmatory Phase III clinical trial of tirasemtiv in patients with ALS to gain marketing approval.

Tirasemtiv**ALS**

In October 2012, we initiated BENEFIT-ALS, a multi-national, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial originally planned to enroll at least 400 patients and subsequently increased to enroll up to 500 patients. All patients begin treatment with open-label tirasemtiv at 125 mg twice daily. Patients who complete a week of open-label tirasemtiv at this starting dose are randomized 1-to-1 to receive 12 weeks of double-blind treatment with tirasemtiv or placebo. Clinical assessments take place monthly during double-blinded treatment. Randomized patients also participate in follow-up evaluations at both 7 and 28 days after their final dose of double-blind study drug. The primary analysis of BENEFIT-ALS will compare the mean change from baseline in the ALS Functional Rating Scale in its revised form, or ALSFRS-R (a clinically validated instrument designed to measure disease progression and changes in functional status), in patients receiving tirasemtiv versus those receiving placebo. We are conducting BENEFIT-ALS at over 70 sites across the United States, Canada and several European countries.

In July 2013, we were informed by our data management vendor that a programming error in the electronic data capture system controlling study drug assignment caused 58 patients initially randomized to and treated with tirasemtiv to receive placebo instead at a certain study visit and for the remainder of the study. No patients randomized to placebo were dispensed incorrect treatment. Cytokinetics and all clinical trial site personnel remain blinded to the specific patients affected by the error. Following detection of the error, we took steps to ensure that no further incorrect study drug assignments occurred and to correct the programming error in the electronic data capture system controlling study drug assignment. In addition, we convened an ad hoc meeting of the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) for BENEFIT-ALS to assess whether the error in dispensing study drug had impacted the safety of the 58 affected patients. After review of the then-available safety data from BENEFIT-ALS, the DSMB reported no concerns regarding patient safety.

Following interactions with regulatory authorities, we amended the protocol for BENEFIT-ALS to enable increased enrollment to approximately 680 patients and to update the statistical methods section, in both cases with the objective to maintain the originally intended statistical power of the trial. These changes to BENEFIT-ALS are expected to increase the direct clinical trial costs by approximately \$6 million in 2013 and 2014.

To date, we have enrolled over 600 patients in BENEFIT-ALS and over 300 patients have completed 12 weeks of treatment. Recently, the DSMB completed a scheduled meeting to review the data and recommended that the trial continue without any changes to the protocol. We expect to complete patient enrollment in BENEFIT-ALS during the fourth quarter of 2013, with results expected to be available in the first half of 2014.

In August 2013, we announced the publication of results from two Phase II trials of tirasemtiv in patients with ALS (CY 4024 and CY 4025) in the online edition of the journal Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Degeneration.

Myasthenia Gravis

In 2010, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) awarded us a grant of \$2.8 million under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, which was intended to support three years of research and development of tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of myasthenia gravis. In September 2012, the NINDS awarded us an additional \$0.5 million for this program under a separate grant. We recognized revenue under this grant in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 of \$0.1 and \$0.9 million, respectively, which we recorded as research and development grant and other revenues. The project period for both of these grants ended June 30, 2013, and no additional funds are available to us under these grants.

Table of Contents

CK-2127107

Phase I Clinical Trials. In April 2013, we announced the initiation of a first-time-in-humans Phase I clinical trial of CK-2127107 in healthy male volunteers, known as CY 5011. CY 5011 is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study designed to assess the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of single ascending oral doses of CK-2127107 administered in a three-period crossover design. During the third quarter of 2013, we completed enrollment in this clinical trial.

We recently initiated dosing in CY 5014, a Phase I clinical trial of CK-2127107 in healthy male volunteers. CY 5014 is a randomized, open-label, two-period crossover study to assess the relative oral bioavailability, pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of two oral formulations of CK-2127107.

Astellas Agreement. In June 2013 we entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Astellas (the "Astellas Agreement"). Under the Astellas Agreement, we granted Astellas an exclusive license to co-develop and jointly commercialize CK-2127107 for potential application in non-neuromuscular indications associated with skeletal muscle weakness worldwide. CK-2127107 will be developed jointly by Cytokinetics and Astellas. Cytokinetics will be primarily responsible for the conduct of Phase I clinical trials and certain Phase II readiness activities for CK-2127107. Astellas will be primarily responsible for the conduct of subsequent development and commercialization activities for CK-2127107.

The parties will jointly conduct research to identify next-generation skeletal muscle activators to be nominated as potential drug candidates, at Astellas' expense. Astellas has the exclusive rights to develop and commercialize fast skeletal troponin activators from this research program in non-neuromuscular indications and to develop and commercialize other novel mechanism skeletal muscle activators from this research program in all indications, subject to certain Cytokinetics' co-development and co-promotion rights. Astellas will be responsible for the costs associated with the development of all collaboration products, including CK-2127107.

Under the Astellas Agreement, we retain an option to conduct early-stage development for certain agreed indications at our initial expense, subject to reimbursement if development continues under the collaboration. We also retain an option to co-promote collaboration products in the United States and Canada. Astellas will reimburse us for certain expenses associated with our co-promotion activities.

In July 2013, we received an upfront payment of \$16 million in connection with the execution of the Agreement, and we are eligible to potentially receive over \$24 million in reimbursement of sponsored research and development activities during the initial two years of the collaboration. Based on the achievement of pre-specified criteria, we may receive over \$250 million in milestone payments relating to the development and commercial launch of collaboration products, including up to \$112 million in development and commercial launch milestones for CK-2127107. We may also receive up to \$200 million in payments for achievement of pre-specified sales milestones related to net sales of all collaboration products under the Agreement. If Astellas commercializes any collaboration products, we will also receive royalties on sales of such collaboration products, including royalties ranging from the high single digits to the high teens on sales of products containing CK-2127107. In addition to these development, commercial launch and sales milestones, we may also receive payments for the achievement of pre-specified milestones relating to the joint research program.

The clinical trials programs for each of tirasemtiv and CK-2127107 may proceed for several years, and we will not be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from sales of this drug candidate until the program is successfully completed, regulatory approval is achieved, and the drug is commercialized. Tirasemtiv and CK-2127107 are each at too early a stage of development for us to predict if or when this may occur. Our expenditures will increase

if and as we move tirasemtiv into later development. Our expenditures will also increase if Astellas terminates development of CK-2127107 or related compounds and we elect to develop them independently, or if we conduct early-stage development for certain agreed indications at our initial expense, subject to reimbursement if development continues under the collaboration.

We recorded research and development expenses for our skeletal muscle contractility program of approximately \$28.6 million and \$17.8 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. We anticipate that our expenditures on research and development in our skeletal muscle contractility program will increase significantly as we may continue the clinical development of tirasemtiv, CK-2127107 or other compounds from the skeletal muscle contractility program.

Cardiac Muscle Contractility

Our lead drug candidate from this program is omecamtiv mecarbil, a novel cardiac muscle myosin activator, which is being developed in collaboration with Amgen.

Amgen Agreement. In December 2006, we entered into a collaboration and option agreement with Amgen to discover, develop and commercialize novel small molecule therapeutics, including omecamtiv mecarbil, that activate cardiac muscle contractility for potential applications in the treatment of heart failure (the **Amgen Agreement**). The agreement granted Amgen an option to obtain an exclusive license worldwide, except Japan, to develop and commercialize omecamtiv mecarbil and other drug candidates arising from the collaboration.

In May 2009, Amgen exercised its option. As a result, Amgen became responsible for the development and commercialization of omecamtiv mecarbil and related compounds at its expense worldwide (excluding Japan), subject to our development and commercialization participation rights. Amgen will reimburse us for agreed research and development activities we perform under the collaboration. We are eligible for potential pre-commercialization and commercialization milestone payments of up to \$600 million in the aggregate on omecamtiv mecarbil and other potential products arising from research under the collaboration, and royalties that

Table of Contents

escalate based on increasing levels of annual net sales of products commercialized under the agreement. The Amgen Agreement also provides for us to receive increased royalties by co-funding Phase III development costs of omecamtiv mecarbil and other drug candidates under the collaboration. If we elect to co-fund such costs, we would be entitled to co-promote the co-funded drug in North America and participate in agreed commercialization activities in institutional care settings, at Amgen's expense. In July 2013, Amgen announced that it had granted an option to commercialize omecamtiv mecarbil in Europe to Servier.

In June 2013, Cytokinetics and Amgen announced an amendment to the Amgen Agreement to include Japan, resulting in a worldwide collaboration (the Amgen Agreement Amendment). (See Note 4 to unaudited condensed financial statements.) Under the terms of the Amgen Agreement Amendment, we received a non-refundable upfront license fee of \$15 million in June 2013. Under the Amgen Agreement Amendment, we plan to conduct a Phase I pharmacokinetic study intended to support inclusion of Japan in a potential Phase III clinical development program and potential global registration dossier for omecamtiv mecarbil. Amgen will reimburse us for the costs of this study. In addition, we are eligible to receive additional pre-commercialization milestone payments relating to the development of omecamtiv mecarbil in Japan of up to \$50 million, and royalties on sales of omecamtiv mecarbil in Japan. As of September 30, 2013, we determined that all conditions necessary for revenue recognition of the upfront license fee under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605-10 had not been met and accordingly, deferred the revenue attributable to the Amgen Agreement Amendment until the criteria of ASC 605-10 have been satisfied, which we anticipate will be in the fourth quarter of 2013.

In conjunction with the Amgen Agreement Amendment, we also entered into a common stock purchase agreement which provided for the sale of 1,404,100 shares of our common stock to Amgen at a price per share of \$7.12 and an aggregate purchase price of \$10.0 million which was received in June 2013. Pursuant to this agreement, Amgen has agreed to certain trading and other restrictions with respect to our common stock. We determined the fair value of the stock issued to Amgen to be \$7.5 million. The excess of cash received over fair value of \$2.5 million was deferred and will be recognized as revenue as services are performed over approximately 12 months.

Omecamtiv Mecarbil. We expect omecamtiv mecarbil to be developed as a potential treatment across the continuum of care in heart failure, both as an intravenous formulation for use in the hospital setting and as an oral formulation for use in the outpatient setting.

ATOMIC-AHF. In September 2013, results from ATOMIC-AHF (Acute Treatment with Omecamtiv Mecarbil to Increase Contractility in Acute Heart Failure) were presented at the European Society of Cardiology Congress and the Heart Failure Society of America Annual Scientific Meeting. ATOMIC-AHF was an international, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase IIb clinical trial of intravenous omecamtiv mecarbil in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction hospitalized with acutely decompensated heart failure. ATOMIC-AHF was conducted by Amgen in collaboration with Cytokinetics. This clinical trial enrolled over 600 patients in three sequential, ascending-dose cohorts. In each cohort, patients were randomized to receive omecamtiv mecarbil or placebo. The primary efficacy objective of this trial was to evaluate the effect of 48 hours of intravenous omecamtiv mecarbil compared to placebo on dyspnea (shortness of breath). The secondary objectives were to assess the safety and tolerability of three dose levels of intravenous omecamtiv mecarbil compared with placebo and to evaluate the effects of 48 hours of treatment with intravenous omecamtiv mecarbil on additional measures of dyspnea, patients' global assessments, change in N-terminal pro brain-type natriuretic peptide (a biomarker associated with the severity of heart failure) and short-term clinical outcomes in these patients. In addition, the trial evaluated the relationship between plasma concentrations of omecamtiv mecarbil and echocardiographic parameters in patients with acute heart failure.

The omecamtiv mecarbil treatment groups were not statistically different in their 7-point Likert scale dyspnea symptom response rates compared to the pooled placebo group ($p=0.33$); therefore, the primary endpoint was not met.

Omecamtiv mecarbil demonstrated favorable dose- and concentration-related trends (nominal $p=0.025$ and nominal $p=0.007$, respectively) on dyspnea response. Improvement in dyspnea was observed in the highest omecamtiv mecarbil dose group when compared against its paired placebo group in the third cohort (dyspnea symptom response in 51 percent of subjects on omecamtiv mecarbil versus 37 percent on placebo, nominal $p=0.03$). The incidence of worsening heart failure within seven days of initiating treatment was 17 percent in the pooled placebo group and was 13 percent, 8 percent and 9 percent on omecamtiv mecarbil in the first, second and third cohorts, respectively. Systolic ejection time, the echocardiographic signature of omecamtiv mecarbil, increased in a concentration-dependent manner similar to that previously reported in healthy volunteers and stable heart failure patients.

Rates of adverse events (AEs), serious AEs, adjudicated deaths and hospitalizations were similar between omecamtiv mecarbil and placebo groups. There were seven post-randomization myocardial infarctions in the treatment groups receiving omecamtiv mecarbil compared with three in the placebo groups (2.3 percent vs. 1.0 percent, respectively). However, there was no relationship between the maximum increase from the baseline troponin (a biomarker specific for cardiac muscle damage) and increasing plasma concentrations of omecamtiv mecarbil. Four of the myocardial infarctions were observed to be temporally remote from study drug administration. The estimated plasma concentrations near the time of these events were zero. Three of these events occurred in patients who received omecamtiv mecarbil and one occurred in a patient who received placebo. One myocardial infarction occurred subsequent to a percutaneous coronary intervention in a patient who received omecamtiv mecarbil. One myocardial infarction occurred in a patient with sepsis who received placebo. Omecamtiv mecarbil was not associated with an increased incidence of tachyarrhythmias nor were heart rate or blood pressure adversely affected.

COSMIC-HF. In March 2013, we announced the initiation of dosing of patients in COSMIC-HF (Chronic Oral Study of Myosin Activation to Increase Contractility in Heart Failure). COSMIC-HF is a Phase II, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled,

Table of Contents

multicenter, dose escalation study designed to evaluate several modified-release oral formulations of omecamtiv mecarbil in patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction. COSMIC-HF is being conducted by Amgen in collaboration with Cytokinetics. The primary objectives of this trial are to select an oral modified release formulation and doses of omecamtiv mecarbil for chronic twice-daily dosing in patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction and to characterize its pharmacokinetics after 12 weeks of treatment. The secondary objective is to evaluate the safety and tolerability of oral omecamtiv mecarbil. In addition, we will have an opportunity to evaluate the potential for sustained pharmacodynamic effects and their relationship to the pharmacokinetics of this drug candidate. During the third quarter of 2013, the second cohort of the dose escalation phase of COSMIC-HF completed enrollment. We and Amgen recently reviewed results from COSMIC-HF and selected an oral formulation of omecamtiv mecarbil for evaluation in the planned expansion phase of the trial. We and Amgen are discussing an amendment to the COSMIC-HF protocol prior to initiating enrollment in the expansion phase.

Additional Phase I Clinical Trials. Recently, Cytokinetics and Amgen agreed on the protocol and budget for the planned Phase I pharmacokinetic study, CY 1211, in healthy volunteers of both Japanese and non-Japanese ethnicity. The trial will be conducted by Cytokinetics in collaboration with Amgen. The costs of the trial will be reimbursed by Amgen.

Ongoing Research in Cardiac Muscle Contractility. In the first quarter of 2013, we and Amgen agreed to additional research activities intended to be conducted through 2014 under a collaborative research program directed to the discovery of next-generation cardiac sarcomere activator compounds. Under the Amgen Agreement, Amgen will reimburse us for certain agreed research activities we perform.

The clinical trials program for omecamtiv mecarbil may proceed for several years, and we will not be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from sales of this drug candidate until the program is successfully completed, regulatory approval is achieved, and the drug is commercialized. Omecamtiv mecarbil is at too early a stage of development for us to predict if or when this may occur. We recorded research and development expenses for our cardiac muscle contractility program of approximately \$2.5 million and \$3.3 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. We recognized research and development revenue from Amgen of \$1.5 million and \$3.2 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, consisting of reimbursements of full-time employee equivalent (FTE) and other expenses.

We anticipate that our expenditures relating to the research and development of compounds in our cardiac muscle contractility program will increase if we participate in the future advancement of omecamtiv mecarbil through clinical development. Our expenditures will also increase if Amgen terminates development of omecamtiv mecarbil or related compounds and we elect to develop them independently, or if we elect to co-fund later-stage development of omecamtiv mecarbil or other compounds in our cardiac muscle contractility program under the Amgen Agreement.

Other Research and Preclinical Programs

We are leveraging our current understandings of muscle biology to investigate new ways to modulate muscle function beyond contractility (such as metabolism, growth and energetics) for other potential therapeutic applications. For example, we are conducting research with compounds that may affect muscle growth and that may have applications for serious diseases and medical conditions such as cachexia. Cachexia is a condition that can be associated with cancer, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or other conditions. This syndrome is characterized by the loss of muscle mass and may lead to weakness and disability. We are performing research on compounds that may increase muscle mass and which may impact patient functionality or potentially alter the course of diseases associated with muscle wasting. Similarly, we may perform research on compounds that may affect muscle metabolism and that

may have application in conditions such as diabetes or obesity as well as other conditions of metabolic dysfunction.

Development Risks

The successful development of any of our drug candidates is highly uncertain. We cannot estimate with certainty or know the exact nature, timing and costs of the activities necessary to complete the development of any of our drug candidates or the date of completion of these development activities due to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to:

decisions made by Amgen with respect to the development of omecamtiv mecarbil and by Astellas with respect to the development of CK-2127107;

our potential inability to obtain the additional funding necessary for us to conduct the one or more confirmatory Phase III clinical trials for tirasemtiv in patients with ALS that we anticipate will be required to obtain marketing approval for this indication;

the uncertainty of the timing of the initiation and completion of patient enrollment and treatment in our clinical trials;

the possibility of delays in the collection of clinical trial data and the uncertainty of the timing of the analyses of our clinical trial data after these trials have been initiated and completed;

our potential inability to obtain additional funding and resources for our development activities on acceptable terms, if at all, including, but not limited to, our potential inability to obtain or retain partners to assist in the design, management, conduct and funding of clinical trials;

Table of Contents

failure by our clinical trial sites, clinical research organizations, clinical manufacturing organizations and other third parties supporting our clinical trials to fulfill their obligations or otherwise perform as expected.

delays or additional costs in manufacturing of our drug candidates for clinical trial use, including developing appropriate formulations of our drug candidates;

the uncertainty of clinical trial results, including variability in patient response;

the uncertainty of obtaining FDA or other foreign regulatory agency approval required for the clinical investigation of our drug candidates;

the uncertainty related to the development of commercial scale manufacturing processes and qualification of a commercial scale manufacturing facility;

the possibility that results from non-clinical studies may adversely impact the timing or further development of our drug candidates; and

possible delays in the characterization, formulation and manufacture of drug candidates and other compounds.

If we fail to complete the development of any of our drug candidates in a timely manner, it could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial position and liquidity. In addition, any failure by us or our partners to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals for our drug candidates could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. A further discussion of the risks and uncertainties associated with completing our programs as planned, or at all, and certain consequences of failing to do so are discussed further in the risk factors entitled "We will need substantial additional capital in the future to sufficiently fund our operations," "We have never generated, and may never generate, revenues from commercial sales of our drugs and we may not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever," "Clinical trials may fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, which could prevent or significantly delay completion of clinical development and regulatory approval" and "Clinical trials are expensive, time-consuming and subject to delay," and other risk factors.

Restructuring

In October 2011, we announced a restructuring plan to realign our workforce and operations in line with our continued commitment to focus primarily on the development of our key later-stage development programs for tirasemtiv and omecamtiv mecarbil and on our follow-on skeletal muscle troponin activator program and joint research with Amgen directed to next-generation cardiac sarcomere activator compounds. As a result, we reduced our workforce by 18 employees, or approximately 18%, to 83 employees. We provided severance, employee benefit continuation and career transition assistance to the employees directly affected by the restructuring. We incurred restructuring charges of \$1.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2011, primarily personnel-related termination costs. We completed all restructuring activities and recognized all anticipated restructuring charges by December 31, 2012. All payments relating to the restructuring were made prior to December 31, 2012; therefore there was no liability for restructuring at

December 31, 2012, or at September 30, 2013.

Results of Operations

Revenues

We recorded total revenues of \$4.5 million and \$1.7 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$6.3 million and \$5.4 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Research and development revenues from related parties for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013 and 2012 consisted of revenues from our strategic alliance with Amgen. Revenues from Amgen were \$0.6 million and \$1.0 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and in both periods consisted of reimbursements of FTE expenses and other research and development expenses. Revenues from Amgen were \$1.5 million and \$3.2 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and in both periods consisted of reimbursements of FTE expenses and other research and development expenses. The research activities under our collaboration with Amgen are anticipated to continue through December 2014.

Research and development, grant and other revenues were \$2.5 million and \$0.7 million for the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Research and development, grant and other revenues in the third quarter of 2013 included research and development revenues from Astellas of \$2.3 million and from MyoKardia, Inc. of \$0.2 million. Research and development, grant and other revenues in the third quarter of 2012 included grant revenue from the NINDS of \$0.3 million, research and development revenue from Global Blood Therapeutics, Inc. (Global Blood) of \$0.3 million and research and development revenue of \$0.1 million from MyoKardia, Inc.

Research and development, grant and other revenues were \$3.4 million and \$2.1 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Research and development, grant and other revenues in the first nine months of 2013 included research and development revenues from Astellas of \$2.3 million, grant revenue of \$0.1 million and research and development revenues from MyoKardia of \$1.0 million. Research and development, grant and other revenues in the first nine months of 2012 included grant revenue of \$0.9 million, research and development revenue from Global Blood of \$1.1 million and research and development revenue from MyoKardia of \$0.1 million.

Table of Contents

License and technology fee revenue was \$1.4 million for the third quarter and the first nine months of 2013 and consisted entirely of revenue relating to our strategic alliance with Astellas. We entered into this agreement in June 2013 and first recognized revenues relating to this agreement in the third quarter of 2013.

We anticipate that revenue for the full year 2013 will be in the range of \$30 million to \$32 million.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$13.4 million and \$8.8 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The \$4.6 million increase in research and development expenses in the third quarter of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was primarily due to increases of \$4.0 million in outsourced clinical costs, \$0.3 million in laboratory costs, \$0.3 million in facilities costs and \$0.2 million in outsourced pre-clinical costs, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in personnel related costs.

Research and development expenses were \$35.6 million and \$25.8 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The \$9.8 million increase in research and development expenses in the first nine months of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was primarily due to increases of \$10.5 million in outsourced clinical costs, \$0.8 million in facilities costs, \$0.3 million in laboratory costs and \$0.1 million in personnel related costs, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.7 million in outsourced pre-clinical costs.

From a program perspective, the \$9.8 million increase in spending in the first nine months of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was due to increased spending of \$10.8 million for our skeletal muscle contractility program and \$1.4 million for our other research and preclinical programs, partially offset by decreases of \$0.7 million for our cardiac muscle contractility program and \$1.7 million for our smooth muscle contractility program.

Research and development expenses incurred were related to the following programs (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Cardiac muscle contractility	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.1	\$ 2.5	\$ 3.3
Skeletal muscle contractility	11.6	6.4	28.6	17.8
Smooth muscle contractility		0.3	0.1	1.7
All other research programs	0.9	1.0	4.4	3.0
Total research and development expenses	\$ 13.4	\$ 8.8	\$ 35.6	\$ 25.8

Clinical development timelines, likelihood of success and total completion costs vary significantly for each drug candidate and are difficult to estimate. We anticipate that we will determine on an ongoing basis which research and development programs to pursue and how much funding to direct to each program, taking into account the scientific and clinical success of each drug candidate. The lengthy process of seeking regulatory approvals and subsequent compliance with applicable regulations requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain and maintain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could cause our research and development expenditures to increase and, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We expect our research and development expenditures to continue to increase in 2013 compared to 2012. We expect to continue development of tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS and other neuromuscular disorders. As part of our strategic alliance with Astellas, we expect to continue the development of CK-2127107 for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with skeletal muscle weakness. As part of our strategic alliance with Amgen, we expect to continue development of omecamtiv mecarbil for the potential treatment of heart failure. We anticipate that research and development expenses in 2013 will increase compared to 2012 and will be in the range of \$56 million to \$59 million. Non-cash expenses such as stock-based compensation and depreciation of approximately \$3.0 million are included in our estimate of 2013 research and development expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses were \$3.6 million and \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in the third quarter of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was primarily due to increases of \$0.7 million in personnel related expenses, and \$0.3 million in outsourced costs, partially offset by a \$0.3 million decrease in facilities costs and a \$0.1 million decrease in legal costs.

General and administrative expenses were \$11.0 million and \$8.6 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in the first nine months of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was primarily due to increases of \$2.0 million in personnel related expenses, \$0.5 million in legal costs and \$0.5 million in outsourced costs, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.8 million in facilities costs.

Table of Contents

We expect that general and administrative expenses in 2013 will increase compared to 2012. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will be in the range of \$17.0 million to \$18.0 million. Non-cash expenses such as stock-based compensation and depreciation of approximately \$2.6 million are included in our estimate of 2013 general and administrative expenses.

Interest and Other, Net

Interest income and other income decreased in the third quarter of 2013 and increased in the first nine months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012. In both periods, the changes in interest income and other income were primarily due to changes in average invested balances.

Interest and other expense was insignificant in the third quarter and first nine months of both 2013 and 2012.

Income Taxes

We follow the accounting guidance which defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements as *more-likely-than-not* to be sustained by the taxing authorities based solely on the technical merits of the position. If the recognition threshold is met, the tax benefit is measured and recognized as the largest amount of tax benefit that, in our judgment, is greater than 50% likely to be realized.

We file income tax returns with the United States Internal Revenue Service (*IRS*) and the state of California. For jurisdictions in which tax filings are made, we are subject to income tax examination for all fiscal years since inception. We believe that we maintain adequate reserves for uncertain tax positions.

In general, under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 (*Section 382*), a corporation that undergoes an *ownership change* is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its pre-change net operating losses (*NOLs*) and tax credits to offset future taxable income. We have performed a Section 382 analysis and do not believe that we have experienced an ownership change since 2006. A portion of our existing NOLs and tax credits are subject to limitations arising from previous ownership changes. Future changes in our stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could result in an ownership change under Section 382 and result in additional limitations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies that we consider to be our most critical (i.e., those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments), the effects of those accounting policies applied and the judgments made in their application are summarized in *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. There has been no material change to our critical accounting policies since then.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1, *Recent Accounting Pronouncements* in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements for a discussion of recently adopted accounting pronouncements and accounting pronouncements not yet adopted, and their expected impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

From August 5, 1997, our date of inception, through September 30, 2013, we funded our operations through the sale of equity securities, equipment financings, non-equity payments from collaborators, government grants and interest income.

In June 2013, we and Amgen announced the Amgen Agreement Amendment, which expanded our collaboration to include Japan (see Note 4 to unaudited condensed financial statements). Under the terms of the Amgen Agreement Amendment, we received a non-refundable upfront license fee of \$15 million in June 2013. In conjunction with the Amgen Agreement Amendment, we also entered into a common stock purchase agreement with Amgen pursuant to which we sold 1,404,100 shares common stock to Amgen at a price per share of \$7.12. The aggregate purchase price of \$10.0 million was received in June 2013. We determined the fair value of the stock issued to Amgen to be \$7.5 million. The excess of cash received over fair value of \$2.5 million was deferred and will be recognized as revenue as services are performed over approximately 12 months.

In June 2013, we entered into the Astellas Agreement. (See Note 5 to unaudited condensed financial statements). In July 2013, we received an upfront license payment of \$16 million in connection with the execution of the Astellas Agreement. We are eligible to potentially receive over \$24 million in reimbursement of sponsored research and development activities during the initial two years of the collaboration. Based on the achievement of pre-specified criteria, we may receive over \$250 million in milestone payments relating to the development and commercial launch of collaboration products, including up to \$112 million in development and commercial launch milestones for CK-2127107. We may also receive up to \$200 million in payments for achievement of pre-specified sales milestones related to net sales of all collaboration products under the Astellas Agreement. If Astellas commercializes any collaboration products, we will also receive royalties on sales of such collaboration products, including royalties ranging from the high single digits to the high teens on sales of products containing CK-2127107. In addition to the foregoing development, commercial launch and sales milestones, we may also receive payments for the achievement of pre-specified milestones relating to the joint research program.

Table of Contents

June 2012 Public Offerings

On June 20, 2012, we entered into underwriting agreements for two separate, concurrent offerings of our securities (the June 2012 Public Offerings). On June 25, 2012, pursuant to the underwriting agreements, in aggregate we issued to various investors (i) 9,320,176 shares of common stock for a purchase price of \$4.56 per share, (ii) 23,026 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock (the Series B Preferred Stock) for a purchase price of \$760.00 per share, and (iii) warrants to purchase 7,894,704 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.28 per share, for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$60.0 million. After issuance costs of approximately \$4.0 million, the net proceeds from the June 2012 Public Offerings were approximately \$56.0 million.

The warrants issued in the June 2012 Public Offerings became exercisable upon issuance and will remain exercisable for five years until June 25, 2017. The warrant holders are prohibited from exercising the warrants and obtaining shares of common stock if, as a result of such exercise, the holder and its affiliates would own more than 9.98% of the total number of shares of our common stock then issued and outstanding. We valued the warrants as of the date of issuance at \$16.2 million using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: a contractual term of five years, a risk-free interest rate of 0.73%, volatility of 76%, and the fair value of our common stock on the issuance date of \$3.78. In February 2013, warrants to purchase 1,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.28 per share were exercised in accordance with the June 2012 Public Offerings underwriting agreements. In April 2013, we issued 358,460 shares of common stock related to cashless exercise of warrants. As of September 30, 2013, warrants to purchase 6,577,928 shares of our common stock were outstanding and exercisable.

In the first quarter of 2013, 4,000 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock were converted into 666,667 shares of our common stock. In the second quarter of 2013, 15,026 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock were converted into 2,504,333 shares of our common stock. In July, 2013, 4,000 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock, which represented all remaining shares of Series B convertible preferred stock, were converted into 666,667 shares of our common stock. The conversions were in accordance with the terms of the original agreement under which the Series B Preferred Stock was issued in 2012.

MLV

On June 10, 2011, we entered into an At-The-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the MLV Agreement) with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlax LLC (MLV), pursuant to which we may issue and sell shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$20.0 million or 2,397,278 shares, whichever occurs first, from time to time through MLV as the sales agent. Our issuance and sale of shares under the MLV Agreement, if any, are subject to the continued effectiveness of our registration statement on Form S-3, which was declared effective by the SEC on June 23, 2011 (File No. 333-174869), and the terms and conditions of the MLV Agreement. As of December 31, 2012, we had issued a total of 862,592 shares through MLV for total net proceeds of approximately \$5.3 million. As of October 25, 2013, there have been no further issuances of shares through MLV.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Our cash and cash equivalents, totaled \$19.3 million at September 30, 2013, up from \$14.9 million at December 31, 2012. The increase of \$4.4 million was primarily due to cash receipts from a licensing transaction partially offset by the use of cash to fund operations.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.7 million in the first nine months of 2013 and primarily resulted from cash received from licensing transactions partially offset by the net loss of \$40.2 million. Net cash used in operating activities was \$26.3 million in the first nine months of 2012 and primarily resulted from the net loss of \$28.9 million.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$7.3 million in the first nine months of 2013 and primarily consisted of cash used to purchase investments, net of proceeds from the maturity of investments, of \$7.0 million. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$35.4 million in the first nine months of 2012 and primarily consisted of proceeds from the maturity of investments, net of cash used to purchase investments, of \$35.6 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$7.0 million in the first nine months of 2013 and primarily consisted of the purchase of stock by Amgen (See Note 4 to unaudited condensed financial statements). Net cash provided by financing activities was \$58.3 million in the first nine months of 2012 and primarily consisted of net proceeds of \$56.0 million from the sale of 9,320,176 shares of common stock and 23,026 shares of Series B Preferred Stock in the June 2012 Public Offerings and the net proceeds of \$2.8 million from our sale of 432,724 shares of common stock through MLV.

Shelf Registration Statement. In November 2011, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC, which was declared effective in December 2011 (the December 2011 Shelf). The December 2011 Shelf allowed us to issue securities from time to time for an aggregate offering price of up to \$100.0 million. In June 2012, we filed a supplemental shelf registration statement with the SEC, which was declared effective in June 2012 (the Supplemental Shelf). The Supplemental Shelf allows us to issue additional securities from time to time for an aggregate offering price of up to \$20.0 million, and for a total aggregate offering price under the December 2011 Shelf and the Supplemental Shelf of up to \$120.0 million. As of October 25, 2013, \$18.3 million remains available to us under these shelf registration statements. The specific terms of offerings, if any, under these shelf registration statements will be established at the time of such offerings.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, future minimum payments under our lease obligations were as follows (in thousands):

	Within One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Operating leases (1)	\$ 3,329	\$ 6,997	\$ 6,531	\$	\$ 16,857

(1) Our long-term commitment under operating lease relates to payments under our facility lease in South San Francisco, California, which expires in 2018.

In future periods, we expect to incur substantial costs as we continue to expand our research programs and related research and development activities. We plan to continue clinical development of our fast skeletal muscle troponin activator tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS and other neuromuscular disorders. As part of our strategic alliance with Astellas, we expect to continue the development of our skeletal muscle troponin activator CK-2127107 for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with skeletal muscle weakness. We plan to continue to support the clinical development of our cardiac muscle myosin activator omecamtiv mecarbil for the potential treatment of heart failure and research of potential next-generation compounds as part of our strategic alliance with Amgen. We expect to incur significant research and development expenses as we advance the research and development of compounds from our other muscle biology programs through research to candidate selection.

Our future capital uses and requirements depend on numerous factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

the initiation, progress, timing, scope and completion of preclinical research, non-clinical development and clinical trials for our drug candidates and other compounds;

the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;

delays that may be caused by requirements of regulatory agencies;

Amgen's decisions with regard to funding of development and commercialization of omecamtiv mecarbil or other compounds for the potential treatment of heart failure under our collaboration;

Astellas' decisions with regard to funding of development and commercialization of CK-2127107 or other compounds for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with skeletal muscle weakness under our collaboration;

our level of funding for the development of current or future drug candidates;

the number of drug candidates we pursue;

the costs involved in filing and prosecuting patent applications and enforcing or defending patent claims;

our ability to establish and maintain selected strategic alliances required for the development of drug candidates and commercialization of our potential drugs;

our plans or ability to expand our drug development capabilities, including our capabilities to conduct clinical trials for our drug candidates;

our plans or ability to engage third party manufacturers for our drug candidates and potential drugs;

our plans or ability to build or access sales and marketing capabilities and to achieve market acceptance for potential drugs;

the expansion and advancement of our research programs;

the hiring of additional employees and consultants;

the expansion of our facilities;

the acquisition of technologies, products and other business opportunities that require financial commitments; and

our revenues, if any, from successful development of our drug candidates and commercialization of potential drugs.

We have incurred an accumulated deficit of \$489.1 million since inception and there can be no assurance that we will attain profitability. We are subject to risks common to development stage companies including, but not limited to, development of new drug candidates, dependence on key personnel, and the ability to obtain additional capital as needed to fund our future plans. Our liquidity will be impaired if sufficient additional capital is not available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. To date, we have funded our operations primarily through sales of our common stock and convertible preferred stock, licensing of our patents and know-how, contract payments under our collaboration agreements, debt financing arrangements, government grants and interest income. Until we achieve profitable operations, we intend to continue to fund operations through payments from strategic collaborations, additional sales of equity securities, government grants and debt financings. We have never generated revenues from commercial sales of our drugs and may not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever. Our success is dependent on our ability to obtain additional capital by entering into new strategic collaborations and/or through equity or debt financings, and ultimately on our and our collaborators' ability to successfully develop and market one or more of our drug candidates. We cannot be certain that sufficient funds will be available from such collaborators or financings when needed or on satisfactory terms. Additionally, there can be no assurance that any of drugs based on our drug

candidates will be accepted in the marketplace or that any future products can be developed or manufactured at an acceptable cost. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our future financial results, financial position and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Based on the current status of our development plans, we believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents, investments and interest earned on investments will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next 12 months. If, at any time, our prospects for internally financing our research and development programs decline, we may decide to reduce research and development expenses by delaying, discontinuing or reducing our funding of development of one or more of our drug candidates or of other research and development programs. Alternatively, we might raise funds through strategic relationships, public or private financings or other arrangements. There can be no assurance that funding, if needed, will be available on attractive terms, or at all, or in accordance with our planned timelines. Furthermore, financing obtained through future strategic relationships may require us to forego certain commercialization and other rights to our drug candidates. Similarly, any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. Our failure to raise capital as and when needed could have a negative impact on our financial condition and our ability to pursue our business strategy.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not party to any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since our disclosures in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation and under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded, subject to the limitations described below, that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Limitations on the effectiveness of controls

A control system, no matter how well-conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the controls are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In evaluating our business, you should carefully consider the following risks in addition to the other information in this report. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition or your investment in our securities, and many are beyond our control. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently see as immaterial, may also adversely affect our business.

Risks Related To Our Business

We have a history of significant losses and may not achieve or sustain profitability and, as a result, you may lose all or part of your investment.

We have generally incurred operating losses in each year since our inception in 1997, due to costs incurred in connection with our research and development activities and general and administrative costs associated with our operations. Our drug candidates are

Table of Contents

all in early and mid-stage clinical testing, and we and our partners must conduct significant additional clinical trials before we and our partners can seek the regulatory approvals necessary to begin commercial sales of our drugs. We expect to incur increasing losses for at least several more years, as we continue our research activities and conduct development of, and seek regulatory approvals for, our drug candidates, and commercialize any approved drugs. If our drug candidates fail or do not gain regulatory approval, or if our drugs do not achieve market acceptance, we will not be profitable. If we fail to become and remain profitable, or if we are unable to fund our continuing losses, you could lose all or part of your investment.

We will need substantial additional capital in the future to sufficiently fund our operations.

We have consumed substantial amounts of capital to date, and our operating expenditures will increase over the next several years if we expand our research and development activities. We have funded all of our operations and capital expenditures with proceeds from private and public sales of our equity securities, strategic alliances with Amgen Inc., Astellas Pharma Inc. (Astellas) and others, equipment financings, interest on investments and government grants. We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and interest earned on investments should be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next 12 months. We have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we could utilize our available capital resources sooner than we currently expect. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development of our drug candidates and other research and development activities, including risks and uncertainties that could impact the rate of progress of our development activities, we are unable to estimate with certainty the amounts of capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with these activities.

For the foreseeable future, our operations will require significant additional funding, in large part due to our research and development expenses and the absence of any revenues from product sales. For example, we anticipate that we will need to conduct at least one Phase III clinical trial for tirasemtiv following the BENEFIT-ALS trial in order to obtain marketing approval for tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS. We will require significant additional funding to enable us to conduct any such Phase III clinical trials. Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue, we expect to raise future capital through strategic alliance and licensing arrangements, public or private equity offerings and debt financings. We do not currently have any commitments for future funding other than reimbursements, milestone and royalty payments that we may receive under our collaboration agreements with Amgen and Astellas. We may not receive any further funds under that agreement. Our ability to raise funds may be adversely impacted by current economic conditions. As a result of these and other factors, we do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed, or that, if available, such financing would be on terms favorable to our stockholders or us.

To the extent that we raise additional funds through strategic alliances or licensing and other arrangements with third parties, we will likely have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, research programs or drug candidates, or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. To the extent that we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders will experience additional dilution. To the extent that we raise additional funds through debt financing, the financing may involve covenants that restrict our business activities. In addition, funding from any of these sources, if needed, may not be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, or in accordance with our planned timelines.

If we cannot raise the funds we need to operate our business, we will need to delay or discontinue certain research and development activities. For example, if we cannot raise the funds necessary to enable the conduct of the one or more Phase III clinical trials for tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS that we anticipate will be required for marketing approval, our ability to complete the development of tirasemtiv will be delayed or suspended. In October 2011, we announced a restructuring plan to focus resources primarily on the later-stage development programs for

tirasemtiv and omecamtiv mecarbil and certain other research and development programs also directed to muscle biology. As a result, we reduced our workforce by approximately eighteen percent, and discontinued our research and development activities outside these areas of focus. If we delay or discontinue research and development activities, our stock price may be negatively affected.

We have never generated, and may never generate, revenues from commercial sales of our drugs and we will not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever.

We currently have no drugs for sale and we cannot guarantee that we will ever develop or obtain approval to market any drugs. To receive marketing approval for any drug candidate, we must demonstrate that the drug candidate satisfies rigorous standards of safety and efficacy to the FDA in the United States and other regulatory authorities abroad. We and our partners will need to conduct significant additional research and preclinical and clinical testing before we or our partners can file applications with the FDA or other regulatory authorities for approval of any of our drug candidates. In addition, to compete effectively, our drugs must be easy to use, cost-effective and economical to manufacture on a commercial scale, compared to other therapies available for the treatment of the same conditions. We may not achieve any of these objectives. Currently, our only drug candidates in clinical development are omecamtiv mecarbil for the potential treatment of heart failure, tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS and other neuromuscular disorders and CK-2127107 for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with muscle weakness. We cannot be certain that the clinical development of these or any future drug candidates will be successful, that they will receive the regulatory approvals required to commercialize them, that they will ultimately be accepted by prescribers or reimbursed by insurers or that any of our other research programs will yield a drug candidate suitable for clinical testing or commercialization. Our commercial revenues, if any, will be derived from sales of drugs that we do not expect to be commercially marketed for at least several years, if at all. The development of any one or all of these drug candidates may be discontinued at any stage of our clinical trials programs and we may not generate revenue from any of these drug candidates.

Table of Contents

Clinical trials may fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, which could prevent or significantly delay completion of clinical development and regulatory approval.

Prior to receiving approval to commercialize any of our drug candidates, we or our partners must adequately demonstrate to the satisfaction of FDA and foreign regulatory authorities that the drug candidate is sufficiently safe and effective with substantial evidence from well-controlled clinical trials. We or our partners will need to demonstrate efficacy in clinical trials for the treatment of specific indications and monitor safety throughout the clinical development process and following approval. None of our drug candidates have yet been demonstrated to be safe and effective in clinical trials and they may never be. In addition, for each of our preclinical compounds, we or our partners must adequately demonstrate satisfactory chemistry, formulation, stability and toxicity in order to submit an investigational new drug application (IND) to the FDA, or an equivalent application in foreign jurisdictions, that would allow us to advance that compound into clinical trials. Furthermore, we or our partners may need to submit separate INDs (or foreign equivalent) to different divisions within the FDA (or foreign regulatory authorities) in order to pursue clinical trials in different therapeutic areas. Each new IND (or foreign equivalent) must be reviewed by the new division before the clinical trial under its jurisdiction can proceed, entailing all the risks of delay inherent to regulatory review. If our or our partners' current or future preclinical studies or clinical trials are unsuccessful, our business will be significantly harmed and our stock price could be negatively affected.

All of our drug candidates are prone to the risks of failure inherent in drug development. Preclinical studies may not yield results that would adequately support the filing of an IND (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to our potential drug candidates. Even if the results of preclinical studies for a drug candidate are sufficient to support such a filing, the results of preclinical studies do not necessarily predict the results of clinical trials. As an example, because the physiology of animal species used in preclinical studies may vary substantially from other animal species and from humans, it may be difficult to assess with certainty whether a finding from a study in a particular animal species will result in similar findings in other animal species or in humans. For any of our drug candidates, the results from Phase I clinical trials in healthy volunteers and clinical results from Phase I and II trials in patients are not necessarily indicative of the results of larger Phase III clinical trials that are necessary to establish whether the drug candidate is safe and effective for the applicable indication. Likewise, interim results from a clinical trial may not be indicative of the final results from that trial.

In addition, while the clinical trials of our drug candidates are designed based on the available relevant information, such information may not accurately predict what actually occurs during the course of the trial itself, which may have consequences for the conduct of an ongoing clinical trial or for the eventual results of that trial. For example, the number of patients planned to be enrolled in a placebo-controlled clinical trial is determined in part by estimates relating to expected treatment effect and variability about the primary endpoint. These estimates are based upon earlier nonclinical and clinical studies of the drug candidate itself and clinical trials of other drugs thought to have similar effects in a similar patient population. If information gained during the conduct of the trial shows these estimates to be inaccurate, we may elect to adjust the enrollment accordingly, which may cause delays in completing the trial, additional expense or a statistical penalty to apply to the evaluation of the trial results.

Furthermore, in view of the uncertainties inherent in drug development, such clinical trials may not be designed with focus on indications, patient populations, dosing regimens, safety, efficacy or pharmacokinetic parameters or other variables that will provide the necessary safety or efficacy data to support regulatory approval to commercialize the resulting drugs. Clinical trials of our drug candidates are designed based on guidance or advice from regulatory agencies, which is subject to change during the development of the drug candidate at any time. Such a change in a regulatory agency's guidance or advice may cause that agency to deem results from trials to be insufficient to support approval of the drug candidate and require further clinical trials of that drug candidate to be conducted. In addition, individual patient responses to the dose administered of a drug may vary in a manner that is difficult to predict. Also,

the methods we select to assess particular safety, efficacy or pharmacokinetic parameters may not yield the same statistical precision in estimating our drug candidates' effects as may other methodologies. Even if we believe the data collected from clinical trials of our drug candidates are promising, these data may not be sufficient to support approval by the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities. Preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways. Accordingly, the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities could interpret these data in different ways from us or our partners, which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval.

Administering any of our drug candidates or potential drug candidates may produce undesirable side effects, also known as adverse effects. Toxicities and adverse effects observed in preclinical studies for some compounds in a particular research and development program may also occur in preclinical studies or clinical trials of other compounds from the same program. Potential toxicity issues may arise from the effects of the active pharmaceutical ingredient itself or from impurities or degradants that are present in the active pharmaceutical ingredient or could form over time in the formulated drug candidate or the active pharmaceutical ingredient. These toxicities or adverse effects could delay or prevent the filing of an IND (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to our drug candidates or potential drug candidates or cause us, our partners or the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities to modify, suspend or terminate clinical trials with respect to any drug candidate at any time during the development program. Further, the administration of two or more drugs contemporaneously can lead to interactions between them, and our drug candidates may interact with other drugs that trial subjects are taking. For example, in a Phase I drug-drug interaction study of tirasemtiv administered orally to healthy volunteers, co-administration of tirasemtiv and riluzole (an approved treatment for ALS) approximately doubled the average maximum riluzole plasma level, although it also appeared to reduce the variability of the riluzole plasma levels of the study subjects. If the adverse effects are severe or frequent enough to outweigh the potential efficacy of a drug candidate, the FDA or other regulatory authorities could deny approval of that drug candidate for any or all targeted indications. Even if one or more of our drug candidates

Table of Contents

were approved for sale as drugs, the occurrence of even a limited number of toxicities or adverse effects when used in large populations may cause the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities to impose restrictions on, or stop, the further marketing of those drugs. Indications of potential adverse effects or toxicities which do not seem significant during the course of clinical trials may later turn out to actually constitute serious adverse effects or toxicities when a drug is used in large populations or for extended periods of time.

We have observed certain adverse effects in the clinical trials conducted with our drug candidates. For example, in Phase II clinical trials of tirasemtiv, adverse events of dizziness, fatigue, headache, somnolence (sleepiness), euphoric mood, muscle spasms, gait disturbance, pain in extremity, feeling drunk, blurred vision, muscular weakness, nausea, balance disorder, asthenia (loss of strength and energy), abnormal coordination and dysarthria (difficulty speaking) occurred more frequently during treatment with tirasemtiv than with placebo, with a possible trend for their frequencies to increase with increasing doses of tirasemtiv. In clinical trials of omecamtiv mecarbil, dose-limiting effects were associated with complaints of chest discomfort, palpitations, dizziness and feeling hot, increases in heart rate, declines in blood pressure, electrocardiographic changes consistent with acute myocardial ischemia and transient rises in the MB fraction of creatine kinase and cardiac troponins I and T, which are indicative of myocardial infarction.

In addition, clinical trials of tirasemtiv and omecamtiv mecarbil enroll patients who typically suffer from serious diseases which put them at increased risk of death. These patients may die while receiving our drug candidates. In such circumstances, it may not be possible to exclude with certainty a causal relationship to our drug candidate, even though the responsible clinical investigator may view such an event as not study drug-related.

Any failure or significant delay in completing preclinical studies or clinical trials for our drug candidates, or in receiving and maintaining regulatory approval for the sale of any resulting drugs, may significantly harm our business and negatively affect our stock price.

Clinical trials are expensive, time-consuming and subject to delay.

Clinical trials are subject to rigorous regulatory requirements and are very expensive, difficult and time-consuming to design and implement. The length of time and number of trial sites and patients required for clinical trials vary substantially based on the type, complexity, novelty, intended use of the drug candidate and safety concerns. We estimate that the clinical trials of our current drug candidates will each continue for several more years. However, the clinical trials for all or any of our drug candidates may take significantly longer to complete. The commencement and completion of our clinical trials could be delayed or prevented by many factors, including, but not limited to:

delays in obtaining, or inability to obtain, regulatory or other approvals to commence and conduct clinical trials in the manner we or our partners deem necessary for the appropriate and timely development of our drug candidates and commercialization of any resulting drugs;

delays in identifying and reaching agreement, or inability to identify and reach agreement, on acceptable terms, with prospective clinical trial sites and other entities involved in the conduct of our clinical trials;

delays or additional costs in developing, or inability to develop, appropriate formulations of our drug candidates for clinical trial use, including an appropriate modified release oral formulation for omecamtiv mecarbil;

slower than expected rates of patient recruitment and enrollment, including as a result of competition for patients with other clinical trials; limited numbers of patients that meet the enrollment criteria; patients , investigators or trial sites reluctance to agree to the requirements of a protocol; or the introduction of alternative therapies or drugs by others;

for those drug candidates that are the subject of a strategic alliance, delays in reaching agreement with our partner as to appropriate development strategies;

a regulatory authority may require changes to a protocol for a clinical trial that then may require approval from regulatory agencies in other jurisdictions where the trial is being conducted;

an institutional review board (IRB) or its foreign equivalent may require changes to a protocol that then require approval from regulatory agencies and other IRBs and their foreign equivalents, or regulatory authorities may require changes to a protocol that then require approval from the IRBs or their foreign equivalents;

for clinical trials conducted in foreign countries, the time and resources required to identify, interpret and comply with foreign regulatory requirements or changes in those requirements, and political instability or natural disasters occurring in those countries;

lack of effectiveness of our drug candidates during clinical trials;

unforeseen safety issues;

inadequate supply, or delays in the manufacture or supply, of clinical trial materials;

uncertain dosing issues;

failure by us, our partners, or clinical research organizations, investigators or site personnel engaged by us or our partners to comply with good clinical practices and other applicable laws and regulations, including those concerning informed consent;

Table of Contents

inability or unwillingness of investigators or their staffs to follow clinical protocols;

failure by our clinical research organizations, clinical manufacturing organizations and other third parties supporting our clinical trials to fulfill their obligations;

inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment;

introduction of new therapies or changes in standards of practice or regulatory guidance that render our drug candidates or their clinical trial endpoints obsolete; and

results from non-clinical studies that may adversely impact the timing or further development of our drug candidates.

We do not know whether planned clinical trials will begin on time, or whether planned or currently ongoing clinical trials will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, if at all. Significant delays in clinical trials will impede our ability to commercialize our drug candidates and generate revenue and could significantly increase our development costs.

We depend on Amgen for the conduct, completion and funding of the clinical development and commercialization of omecamtiv mecarbil.

Under our strategic alliance, Amgen holds an exclusive license to our drug candidate omecamtiv mecarbil worldwide. As a result, Amgen is responsible for the clinical development and obtaining and maintaining regulatory approval of omecamtiv mecarbil for the potential treatment of heart failure worldwide.

We do not control the clinical development activities being conducted or that may be conducted in the future by Amgen, including, but not limited to, the timing of initiation, termination or completion of clinical trials, the analysis of data arising out of those clinical trials or the timing of release of data concerning those clinical trials, which may impact our ability to report on Amgen's results. Amgen may conduct these activities more slowly or in a different manner than we would if we controlled the clinical development of omecamtiv mecarbil. Amgen is responsible for filing future applications with the FDA or other regulatory authorities for approval of omecamtiv mecarbil and will be the owner of any marketing approvals issued by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for omecamtiv mecarbil. If the FDA or other regulatory authorities approve omecamtiv mecarbil, Amgen will also be responsible for the marketing and sale of the resulting drug, subject to our right to co-promote omecamtiv mecarbil in North America if we exercise our option to co-fund Phase III development costs of omecamtiv mecarbil under the collaboration. However, we cannot control whether Amgen will devote sufficient attention and resources to the clinical development of omecamtiv mecarbil or will proceed in an expeditious manner, even if we do exercise our option to co-fund the development of omecamtiv mecarbil. Even if the FDA or other regulatory agencies approve omecamtiv mecarbil, Amgen may elect not to proceed with the commercialization of the resulting drug in one or more countries.

If the results of one or more clinical trials with omecamtiv mecarbil do not meet Amgen's expectations at any time, Amgen may elect to terminate further development of omecamtiv mecarbil or certain of the potential clinical trials for omecamtiv mecarbil, even if the actual number of patients treated at that time is relatively small. In addition, Amgen generally has discretion to elect whether to pursue or abandon the development of omecamtiv mecarbil and may terminate our strategic alliance for any reason upon six months prior notice. If Amgen abandons omecamtiv mecarbil,

it would result in a delay in or could prevent us from commercializing omecamtiv mecarbil, and would delay and could prevent us from obtaining revenues for this drug candidate. Disputes may arise between us and Amgen, which may delay or cause the termination of any omecamtiv mecarbil clinical trials, result in significant litigation or cause Amgen to act in a manner that is not in our best interest. If development of omecamtiv mecarbil does not progress for these or any other reasons, we would not receive further milestone payments or royalties on product sales from Amgen with respect to omecamtiv mecarbil. If Amgen abandons development of omecamtiv mecarbil prior to regulatory approval or if it elects not to proceed with commercialization of the resulting drug following regulatory approval, we would have to seek a new partner for clinical development or commercialization, curtail or abandon that clinical development or commercialization, or undertake and fund the clinical development of omecamtiv mecarbil or commercialization of the resulting drug ourselves. If we seek a new partner but are unable to do so on acceptable terms, or at all, or do not have sufficient funds to conduct the development or commercialization of omecamtiv mecarbil ourselves, we would have to curtail or abandon that development or commercialization, which could harm our business.

We will depend on Astellas for the conduct, completion and funding of the clinical development and commercialization of CK-2127107.

In June 2013, we entered into a strategic alliance with Astellas focused on the research, development and commercialization of skeletal muscle activators, other than tirasemtiv and certain related compounds. The primary objective of the strategic alliance is to advance novel therapies for indications associated with muscle weakness.

As part of the strategic alliance, we have granted Astellas an exclusive license to co-develop and commercialize CK-2127107 for potential application in non-neuromuscular indications worldwide. Following Cytokinetics' conduct of Phase I clinical trials and certain Phase II readiness activities for CK-2127107, Astellas will be primarily responsible for the conduct of subsequent development and commercialization activities for CK-2127107. Astellas may elect not to continue development of CK-2127107 following Cytokinetics' completion of these activities. In such event, we would need significant additional funding to continue the development of CK-2127107 on our own, which may not be available on attractive or acceptable terms, if at all, and we would be limited in the indications that we could pursue with this drug candidate.

Table of Contents

We do not control the clinical development activities that may be conducted by Astellas, including, but not limited to, the timing of initiation, termination or completion of clinical trials, the analysis of data arising out of those clinical trials or the timing of release of data concerning those clinical trials, which may impact our ability to report on Astellas' results. Astellas may conduct these activities more slowly or in a different manner than we would. Astellas is responsible for filing future applications with the FDA or other regulatory authorities for approval of CK-2127107 and will be the owner of any marketing approvals issued by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for CK-2127107. If the FDA or other regulatory authorities approve CK-2127107, Astellas will also be responsible for the marketing and sale of the resulting drug, subject to our right to co-promote the drug in the United States and Canada. However, we cannot control whether Astellas will devote sufficient attention and resources to the clinical development of CK-2127107 or will proceed in an expeditious manner. Even if the FDA or other regulatory agencies approve CK-2127107, Astellas may elect not to proceed with the commercialization of the resulting drug in one or more countries.

If the results of one or more clinical trials with CK-2127107 do not meet Astellas' expectations at any time, Astellas may elect to terminate further development of CK-2127107 or certain of the potential clinical trials for CK-2127107, even if the actual number of patients treated at that time is relatively small. In addition, Astellas generally has discretion to elect whether to pursue or abandon the development of CK-2127107. Astellas may terminate our strategic alliance in whole or in part for any reason upon six months prior notice at any time following expiration of the strategic alliance's two-year research term. If Astellas abandons CK-2127107, it would result in a delay in or could prevent us from further developing or commercializing CK-2127107, and would delay and could prevent us from obtaining revenues for this drug candidate. Disputes may arise between us and Astellas, which may delay or cause the termination of any CK-2127107 clinical trials, result in significant litigation or cause Astellas to act in a manner that is not in our best interest. If development of CK-2127107 does not progress for these or any other reasons, we would not receive further milestone payments or royalties on product sales from Astellas with respect to CK-2127107. If Astellas abandons development of CK-2127107 prior to regulatory approval or if it elects not to proceed with commercialization of the resulting drug following regulatory approval, we would have to seek a new partner for clinical development or commercialization, curtail or abandon that clinical development or commercialization, or undertake and fund the clinical development of CK-2127107 or commercialization of the resulting drug ourselves. If we seek a new partner but are unable to do so on acceptable terms, or at all, or do not have sufficient funds to conduct the development or commercialization of CK-2127107 ourselves, we would have to curtail or abandon that development or commercialization, which could harm our business.

If we fail to enter into successful strategic alliances for our unpartnered drug candidates or research and development programs or maintain our current or future strategic alliances, we may have to reduce, delay or discontinue our advancement of our drug candidates and programs or expand our research and development capabilities and increase our expenditures.

Drug development is complicated and expensive. We currently have limited financial and operational resources to carry out drug development. Our strategy for developing, manufacturing and commercializing our drug candidates currently requires us to enter into and successfully maintain strategic alliances with pharmaceutical companies or other industry participants to advance our programs and reduce our expenditures on each program. Accordingly, the success of our development activities depends in large part on our current and future strategic partners' performance, over which we have little or no control.

We have retained the rights to develop and commercialize tirasemtiv. We currently do not have a strategic partner for this drug candidate. We may seek one or more strategic partners or other arrangements with third parties to support Phase III clinical development and commercialization of tirasemtiv. However, we may not be able to negotiate and enter into such strategic alliances or arrangements on acceptable terms, if at all, or in accordance with our planned

timelines. If we are unable to enter into a strategic alliance for tirasemtiv, we will be unable to conduct the one or more Phase III clinical trials we believe will be necessary to obtain marketing approval for tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS unless we are able to acquire the funding to do so from another source.

Our ability to commercialize drugs that we develop with our partners and that generate royalties from product sales depends on our partners' abilities to assist us in establishing the safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, obtaining and maintaining regulatory approvals and achieving market acceptance of the drugs once commercialized. Our partners may elect to delay or terminate development of one or more drug candidates, independently develop drugs that could compete with ours or fail to commit sufficient resources to the marketing and distribution of drugs developed through their strategic alliances with us. Our partners may not proceed with the development and commercialization of our drug candidates with the same degree of urgency as we would because of other priorities they face. In addition, new business combinations or changes in a partner's business strategy may adversely affect its willingness or ability to carry out its obligations under a strategic alliance.

If we are not able to successfully maintain our existing strategic alliances or establish and successfully maintain additional strategic alliances, we will have to limit the size or scope of, or delay or discontinue, one or more of our drug development programs or research programs, or undertake and fund these programs ourselves. Alternatively, if we elect to continue to conduct any of these drug development programs or research programs on our own, we will need to expand our capability to conduct clinical development by bringing additional skills, technical expertise and resources into our organization. This would require significant additional funding, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Table of Contents

To the extent we elect to fund the development of a drug candidate, such as omecamtiv mecarbil, tirasemtiv or CK-2127107, or the commercialization of a drug at our expense, we will need substantial additional funding.

The discovery, development and commercialization of new drugs is costly. As a result, to the extent we elect to fund the development of a drug candidate, such as omecamtiv mecarbil, tirasemtiv or CK-2127107, or the commercialization of a drug, we will need to raise additional capital to:

fund clinical trials and seek regulatory approvals;

expand our development capabilities;

engage third party manufacturers for such drug candidate or drug;

build or access commercialization capabilities;

implement additional internal systems and infrastructure;

maintain, defend and expand the scope of our intellectual property; and

hire and support additional management and scientific personnel.

Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

the rate of progress and costs of our clinical trials and other research and development activities;

the costs and timing of seeking and obtaining regulatory approvals;

the costs associated with establishing manufacturing and commercialization capabilities;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

the costs of acquiring or investing in businesses, products and technologies;

the effect of competing technological and market developments; and

the status of, payment and other terms, and timing of any strategic alliance, licensing or other arrangements that we have entered into or may establish.

Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue to finance our cash requirements, which we may never do, we expect to continue to finance our future cash needs primarily through strategic alliances, public or private equity offerings and debt financings. We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are not able to secure additional funding when needed, we may have to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our clinical trials or research and development programs or future commercialization initiatives.

We depend on contract research organizations to conduct our clinical trials and have limited control over their performance.

We have used and intend to continue to use contract research organizations (CROs) within and outside of the United States to conduct clinical trials of our drug candidates, such as tirasemtiv and CK-2127107, and related activities. We do not have control over many aspects of our CROs' activities, and cannot fully control the amount, timing or quality of resources that they devote to our programs. CROs may not assign as high a priority to our programs or pursue them as diligently as we would if we were undertaking these programs ourselves. The activities conducted by our CROs therefore may not be completed on schedule or in a satisfactory manner. CROs may also give higher priority to relationships with our competitors and potential competitors than to their relationships with us. Outside of the United States, we are particularly dependent on our CROs' expertise in communicating with clinical trial sites and regulatory authorities and ensuring that our clinical trials and related activities and regulatory filings comply with applicable laws.

Our CROs' failure to carry out development activities on our behalf as agreed and in accordance with our and the FDA's or other regulatory agencies' requirements and applicable U.S. and foreign laws, or our failure to properly coordinate and manage these activities, could increase the cost of our operations and delay or prevent the development, approval and commercialization of our drug candidates. For example, in June 2013 we learned from our data management vendor for our BENEFIT-ALS clinical trial that a programming error in the electronic data capture system controlling study drug assignment caused 58 patients initially randomized to and treated with tirasemtiv to receive placebo instead at a certain trial visit and for the remainder of the trial. In order to maintain the originally intended statistical power of the trial, we amended the protocol to permit enrollment of approximately 680 patients, or 180 patients in addition to the 500 patients allowed under the existing protocol. This protocol amendment will result in additional costs and delays in conducting BENEFIT-ALS. In addition, if a CRO fails to perform as agreed, our ability to collect damages may be contractually limited. If we fail to effectively manage the CROs carrying out the development of our drug candidates or if our CROs fail to perform as agreed, the commercialization of our drug candidates will be delayed or prevented.

We have no manufacturing capacity and depend on our strategic partners and contract manufacturers to produce our clinical trial materials, including our drug candidates, and anticipate continued reliance on contract manufacturers for the development and commercialization of our potential drugs.

We do not currently operate manufacturing facilities for clinical or commercial production of our drug candidates. We have limited experience in drug formulation and manufacturing, and we lack the resources and the capabilities to manufacture any of our drug candidates on a clinical or commercial scale. Amgen has assumed responsibility to conduct these activities for the ongoing

Table of Contents

clinical development of omecamtiv mecarbil worldwide. Following our conduct of the Phase I clinical trials for CK-2127107, Astellas will assume responsibility to conduct these activities for the ongoing clinical development of CK-2127107 worldwide. For tirasemtiv, we rely on a limited number of contract manufacturers. In particular, we rely on single-source contract manufacturers for the active pharmaceutical ingredient and the drug product supply for our clinical trials, as well as other materials required to conduct our clinical trials. We expect to rely on contract manufacturers to supply all future drug candidates for which we conduct clinical development, as well as other materials required to conduct our clinical trials. If any of our existing or future contract manufacturers fail to perform satisfactorily, it could delay clinical development or regulatory approval of our drug candidates or commercialization of our drugs, producing additional losses and depriving us of potential product revenues. In addition, if a contract manufacturer fails to perform as agreed, our ability to collect damages may be contractually limited.

Our drug candidates require precise high-quality manufacturing. The failure to achieve and maintain high manufacturing standards, including failure to detect or control anticipated or unanticipated manufacturing errors or the frequent occurrence of such errors, could result in patient injury or death, discontinuance or delay of ongoing or planned clinical trials, delays or failures in product testing or delivery, cost overruns, product recalls or withdrawals and other problems that could seriously hurt our business. Contract drug manufacturers often encounter difficulties involving production yields, quality control and quality assurance and shortages of qualified personnel. These manufacturers are subject to stringent regulatory requirements, including the FDA's current good manufacturing practices regulations and similar foreign laws and standards. Each contract manufacturer must pass a pre-approval inspection before we can obtain marketing approval for any of our drug candidates and following approval will be subject to ongoing periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA, the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency and other regulatory agencies, to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices and other applicable government regulations and corresponding foreign laws and standards. We seek to ensure that our contract manufacturers comply fully with all applicable regulations, laws and standards. However, we do not have control over our contract manufacturers' compliance with these regulations, laws and standards. If one of our contract manufacturers fails to pass its pre-approval inspection or maintain ongoing compliance at any time, the production of our drug candidates could be interrupted, resulting in delays or discontinuance of our clinical trials, additional costs and potentially lost revenues. In addition, failure of any third party manufacturers or us to comply with applicable regulations, including pre- or post-approval inspections and the current good manufacturing practice requirements of the FDA or other comparable regulatory agencies, could result in sanctions being imposed on us. These sanctions could include fines, injunctions, civil penalties, failure of regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our products, delay, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, product seizures or recalls, operational restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect our business.

In addition, our existing and future contract manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may not remain in the contract manufacturing business for the time required to successfully produce, store and distribute our drug candidates. If a natural disaster, business failure, strike or other difficulty occurs, we may be unable to replace these contract manufacturers in a timely or cost-effective manner and the production of our drug candidates would be interrupted, resulting in delays and additional costs.

Switching manufacturers or manufacturing sites would be difficult and time-consuming because the number of potential manufacturers is limited. In addition, before a drug from any replacement manufacturer or manufacturing site can be commercialized, the FDA and, in some cases, foreign regulatory agencies, must approve that site. These approvals would require regulatory testing and compliance inspections. A new manufacturer or manufacturing site also would have to be educated in, or develop substantially equivalent processes for, production of our drugs and drug candidates. It may be difficult or impossible to transfer certain elements of a manufacturing process to a new manufacturer or for us to find a replacement manufacturer on acceptable terms quickly, or at all, either of which would delay or prevent our ability to develop drug candidates and commercialize any resulting drugs.

We may not be able to successfully scale-up manufacturing of our drug candidates in sufficient quality and quantity, which would delay or prevent us from developing our drug candidates and commercializing resulting approved drugs, if any.

To date, our drug candidates have been manufactured in small quantities for preclinical studies and early and mid-stage clinical trials. In order to conduct larger scale or late-stage clinical trials for a drug candidate and for commercialization of the resulting drug if that drug candidate is approved for sale, we will need to manufacture it in larger quantities. We may not be able to successfully increase the manufacturing capacity for any of our drug candidates, whether in collaboration with third-party manufacturers or on our own, in a timely or cost-effective manner or at all. If a contract manufacturer makes improvements in the manufacturing process for our drug candidates, we may not own, or may have to share, the intellectual property rights to those improvements. Significant scale-up of manufacturing may require additional validation studies, which are costly and which the FDA must review and approve. In addition, quality issues may arise during those scale-up activities because of the inherent properties of a drug candidate itself or of a drug candidate in combination with other components added during the manufacturing and packaging process, or during shipping and storage of the finished product or active pharmaceutical ingredients. If we are unable to successfully scale-up manufacture of any of our drug candidates in sufficient quality and quantity, the development of that drug candidate and regulatory approval or commercial launch for any resulting drugs may be delayed or there may be a shortage in supply, which could significantly harm our business.

The mechanisms of action of our drug candidates are unproven, and we do not know whether we will be able to develop any drug of commercial value.

We have discovered and are currently developing drug candidates that have what we believe are novel mechanisms of action directed against cytoskeletal targets, and intend to continue to do so. Because no currently approved drugs appear to operate via the same biochemical mechanisms as our compounds, we cannot be certain that our drug candidates will result in commercially viable

Table of Contents

drugs that safely and effectively treat the indications for which we intend to develop them. The results we have seen for our compounds in preclinical models may not translate into similar results in humans, and results of early clinical trials in humans may not be predictive of the results of larger clinical trials that may later be conducted with our drug candidates. Even if we are successful in developing and receiving regulatory approval for a drug candidate for the treatment of a particular disease, we cannot be certain that it will be accepted by prescribers or be reimbursed by insurers or that we will also be able to develop and receive regulatory approval for that or other drug candidates for the treatment of other diseases. If we or our partners are unable to successfully develop and commercialize our drug candidates, our business will be materially harmed.

Our success depends substantially upon our ability to obtain and maintain intellectual property protection relating to our drug candidates, compounds and research technologies.

We own, or hold exclusive licenses to, a number of U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications directed to our drug candidates, compounds and research technologies. Our success depends on our ability to obtain patent protection both in the United States and in other countries for our drug candidates, their methods of manufacture and use, and our technologies. Our ability to protect our drug candidates, compounds and technologies from unauthorized or infringing use by third parties depends substantially on our ability to obtain and enforce our patents. If our issued patents and patent applications, if granted, do not adequately describe, enable or otherwise provide coverage of our technologies and drug candidates, including omecamtiv mecarbil, tirasemtiv and CK-2127107, we or our licensees would not be able to exclude others from developing or commercializing these drug candidates. Furthermore, the degree of future protection of our proprietary rights is uncertain because legal means may not adequately protect our rights or permit us to gain or keep our competitive advantage.

Due to evolving legal standards relating to the patentability, validity and enforceability of patents covering pharmaceutical inventions and the claim scope of these patents, our ability to enforce our existing patents and to obtain and enforce patents that may issue from any pending or future patent applications is uncertain and involves complex legal, scientific and factual questions. The standards which the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and its foreign counterparts use to grant patents are not always applied predictably or uniformly and are subject to change. To date, no consistent policy has emerged regarding the breadth of claims allowed in biotechnology and pharmaceutical patents. Thus, we cannot be sure that any patents will issue from any pending or future patent applications owned by or licensed to us. Even if patents do issue, we cannot be sure that the claims of these patents will be held valid or enforceable by a court of law, will provide us with any significant protection against competitive products, or will afford us a commercial advantage over competitive products. In particular:

we or our licensors might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by each of our pending patent applications and issued patents;

we or our licensors might not have been the first to file patent applications for the inventions covered by our pending patent applications and issued patents;

others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our intellectual property rights;

some or all of our or our licensors' pending patent applications may not result in issued patents or the claims that issue may be narrow in scope and not provide us with competitive advantages;

our and our licensors' issued patents may not provide a basis for commercially viable drugs or therapies or may be challenged and invalidated by third parties;

our or our licensors' patent applications or patents may be subject to interference, opposition or similar administrative proceedings that may result in a reduction in their scope or their loss altogether;

we may not develop additional proprietary technologies or drug candidates that are patentable; or

the patents of others may prevent us or our partners from discovering, developing or commercializing our drug candidates.

Patent protection is afforded on a country-by-country basis. Some foreign jurisdictions do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as in the United States. Many companies have encountered significant difficulties in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. Some of our development efforts are performed in countries outside of the United States through third party contractors. We may not be able to effectively monitor and assess intellectual property developed by these contractors. We therefore may not be able to effectively protect this intellectual property and could lose potentially valuable intellectual property rights. In addition, the legal protection afforded to inventors and owners of intellectual property in countries outside of the United States may not be as protective of intellectual property rights as in the United States. Therefore, we may be unable to acquire and protect intellectual property developed by these contractors to the same extent as if these development activities were being conducted in the United States. If we encounter difficulties in protecting our intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions, our business prospects could be substantially harmed.

We rely on intellectual property assignment agreements with our corporate partners, employees, consultants, scientific advisors and other collaborators to grant us ownership of new intellectual property that is developed. These agreements may not result in the effective assignment to us of that intellectual property. As a result, our ownership of key intellectual property could be compromised.

Changes in either the patent laws or their interpretation in the United States or other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or our ability to obtain patents. For example, the America Invents Act of 2011 may affect the scope, strength and enforceability of our patent rights in the United States or the nature of proceedings which may be brought by us related to our patent rights in the United States.

Table of Contents

If one or more products resulting from our drug candidates is approved for sale by the FDA and we do not have adequate intellectual property protection for those products, competitors could duplicate them for approval and sale in the United States without repeating the extensive testing required of us or our partners to obtain FDA approval. Regardless of any patent protection, under current law, an application for a generic version of a new chemical entity cannot be approved until at least five years after the FDA has approved the original product. When that period expires, or if that period is altered, the FDA could approve a generic version of our product regardless of our patent protection. An applicant for a generic version of our product may only be required to conduct a relatively inexpensive study to show that its product is bioequivalent to our product, and may not have to repeat the lengthy and expensive clinical trials that we or our partners conducted to demonstrate that the product is safe and effective. In the absence of adequate patent protection for our products in other countries, competitors may similarly be able to obtain regulatory approval in those countries of generic versions of our products.

We also rely on trade secrets to protect our technology, particularly where we believe patent protection is not appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are often difficult to protect, especially outside of the United States. While we endeavor to use reasonable efforts to protect our trade secrets, our or our partners' employees, consultants, contractors or scientific and other advisors may unintentionally or willfully disclose our information to competitors. In addition, confidentiality agreements, if any, executed by those individuals may not be enforceable or provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure. Pursuing a claim that a third party had illegally obtained and was using our trade secrets would be expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome would be unpredictable. Even if we are able to maintain our trade secrets as confidential, if our competitors independently develop information equivalent or similar to our trade secrets, our business could be harmed.

If we are not able to defend the patent or trade secret protection position of our technologies and drug candidates, then we will not be able to exclude competitors from developing or marketing competing drugs, and we may not generate enough revenue from product sales to justify the cost of development of our drugs or to achieve or maintain profitability.

If we are sued for infringing third party intellectual property rights, it will be costly and time-consuming, and an unfavorable outcome could have a significant adverse effect on our business.

Our ability to commercialize drugs depends on our ability to use, manufacture and sell those drugs without infringing the patents or other proprietary rights of third parties. Numerous U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications owned by third parties exist in the therapeutic areas in which we are developing drug candidates and seeking new potential drug candidates. In addition, because patent applications can take several years to issue, there may be currently pending applications, unknown to us, which could later result in issued patents that our activities with our drug candidates could infringe. There may also be existing patents, unknown to us, that our activities with our drug candidates could infringe.

Other future products of ours may be impacted by patents of companies engaged in competitive programs with significantly greater resources. Further development of these products could be impacted by these patents and result in significant legal fees.

If a third party claims that our actions infringe its patents or other proprietary rights, we could face a number of issues that could seriously harm our competitive position, including, but not limited to:

infringement and other intellectual property claims that, even if meritless, can be costly and time-consuming to litigate, delay the regulatory approval process and divert management's attention from our core business operations;

substantial damages for past infringement which we may have to pay if a court determines that our drugs or technologies infringe a third party's patent or other proprietary rights;

a court prohibiting us from selling or licensing our drugs or technologies unless the holder licenses the patent or other proprietary rights to us, which it is not required to do; and

if a license is available from a holder, we may have to pay substantial royalties or grant cross-licenses to our patents or other proprietary rights.

If any of these events occur, it could significantly harm our business and negatively affect our stock price.

We may undertake infringement or other legal proceedings against third parties, causing us to spend substantial resources on litigation and exposing our own intellectual property portfolio to challenge.

Third parties may infringe our patents. To prevent infringement or unauthorized use, we may need to file infringement suits, which are expensive and time-consuming. In an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that one or more of our patents is invalid, unenforceable, or both. In this case, third parties may be able to use our technology without paying licensing fees or royalties. Even if the validity of our patents is upheld, a court may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the ground that the other party's activities are not covered by our patents. Policing unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult, and we may not be able to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect such rights as fully as in the United States. In addition, third parties may affirmatively challenge our rights to, or the scope or validity of, our patent rights.

Table of Contents

We may become involved in disputes with our strategic partners over intellectual property ownership, and publications by our research collaborators and clinical investigators could impair our ability to obtain patent protection or protect our proprietary information, either of which would have a significant impact on our business.

Inventions discovered under our current or future strategic alliance agreements may become jointly owned by our strategic partners and us in some cases, and the exclusive property of one of us in other cases. Under some circumstances, it may be difficult to determine who owns a particular invention or whether it is jointly owned, and disputes could arise regarding ownership or use of those inventions. These disputes could be costly and time-consuming, and an unfavorable outcome could have a significant adverse effect on our business if we were not able to protect or license rights to these inventions. In addition, our research collaborators and clinical investigators generally have contractual rights to publish data arising from their work. Publications by our research collaborators and clinical investigators relating to our research and development programs, either with or without our consent, could benefit our current or potential competitors and may impair our ability to obtain patent protection or protect our proprietary information, which could significantly harm our business.

We may be subject to claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed trade secrets of their former employers.

Many of our employees were previously employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. If we fail in defending these claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key research personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to develop and commercialize certain potential drugs, which could significantly harm our business. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and distract management.

Our competitors may develop drugs that are less expensive, safer or more effective than ours, which may diminish or eliminate the commercial success of any drugs that we may commercialize.

We compete with companies that have developed drugs or are developing drug candidates for cardiovascular diseases, diseases and conditions associated with muscle weakness or wasting and other diseases for which our drug candidates may be useful treatments. For example, if tirasemtiv is approved by the FDA for the treatment of ALS, it may then compete with other potential new therapies for ALS that are currently being developed by companies such as Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Eisai Inc., Trophos SA, Neuraltus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline plc. In addition, BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics and Neuralstem, Inc. are each conducting clinical development of stem cell therapies for the potential treatment of ALS. If CK-2127107 is approved by the FDA for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with muscle weakness, potential competitors include Ligand Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which is developing LGD-4033, a selective androgen receptor modulator, for muscle wasting; and GTx, Inc., which is developing ostarine, a selective androgen receptor modulator, for cancer cachexia and potentially other indications. Novartis (in collaboration with Morphosys AG), is conducting clinical development with an activin type-IIb receptor antagonist, bimagrumab, to evaluate its ability to treat diseases involving the loss of muscle mass, strength and function. Drugs that could compete with CK-2127107 could also compete against tirasemtiv in ALS or other neuromuscular diseases, should the appropriate clinical trials be conducted.

If omecamtiv mecarbil is approved for marketing by the FDA for heart failure, it would compete against other drugs used for the treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. These include generic drugs, such as milrinone, dobutamine or digoxin and branded drugs such as Natrecor (nesiritide). Omecamtiv mecarbil could also potentially compete against other novel drug candidates and therapies in development, such as bucindolol, which is being developed by ARCA biopharma, Inc.; sererelaxin and LCZ-696, which are being developed by Novartis; cenderitide (CD-NP), which is being developed by Nile Therapeutics, Inc., TRV-027, which is being developed by Trevena; aladorian, which is being developed by Armgo Pharma, Inc; certain cardioprotectants which are being developed by Cardioxyl Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; glial growth factor (GGF-2) which is being developed by Acorda Therapeutics, Inc.; Neurocardin, which is being developed by Zensun Sci & Tech, Ltd; Mydicar, a genetically-targeted enzyme replacement therapy for advanced heart failure which is being developed by Celladon Corporation; and levosimendan, which was recently acquired for development by Oxygen Biotherapeutics, Inc. In addition, there are a number of medical devices being developed for the potential treatment of heart failure.

Our competitors may:

develop drug candidates and market drugs that are less expensive or more effective than our future drugs;

commercialize competing drugs before we or our partners can launch any drugs developed from our drug candidates;

hold or obtain proprietary rights that could prevent us from commercializing our products;

initiate or withstand substantial price competition more successfully than we can;

more successfully recruit skilled scientific workers and management from the limited pool of available talent;

more effectively negotiate third-party licenses and strategic alliances;

take advantage of acquisition or other opportunities more readily than we can;

Table of Contents

develop drug candidates and market drugs that increase the levels of safety or efficacy that our drug candidates will need to show in order to obtain regulatory approval; or

introduce therapies or market drugs that render the market opportunity for our potential drugs obsolete. We will compete for market share against large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and smaller companies that are collaborating with larger pharmaceutical companies, new companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of these competitors, either alone or together with their partners, may develop new drug candidates that will compete with ours. Many of these competitors have larger research and development programs or substantially greater financial resources than we do. Our competitors may also have significantly greater experience in:

developing drug candidates;

undertaking preclinical testing and clinical trials;

building relationships with key customers and opinion-leading physicians;

obtaining and maintaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of drug candidates;

formulating and manufacturing drugs; and

launching, marketing and selling drugs.

If our competitors market drugs that are less expensive, safer or more efficacious than our potential drugs, or that reach the market sooner than our potential drugs, we may not achieve commercial success. In addition, the life sciences industry is characterized by rapid technological change. If we fail to stay at the forefront of technological change, we may be unable to compete effectively. Our competitors may render our technologies obsolete by improving existing technological approaches or developing new or different approaches, potentially eliminating the advantages in our drug discovery process that we believe we derive from our research approach and proprietary technologies.

Our failure to attract and retain skilled personnel could impair our drug development and commercialization activities.

Our business depends on the performance of our senior management and key scientific and technical personnel. The loss of the services of any member of our senior management or key scientific or technical staff may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of drug development and other business objectives by diverting management's attention to transition matters and identifying suitable replacements. We also rely on consultants and advisors to assist us in formulating our research and development strategy. All of our consultants and advisors are either self-employed or employed by other organizations, and they may have conflicts of interest or other commitments, such as consulting or advisory contracts with other organizations, that may affect their ability to contribute to us. In addition, if and as

our business grows, we will need to recruit additional executive management and scientific and technical personnel. There is intense competition for skilled executives and employees with relevant scientific and technical expertise, and this competition is likely to continue. Our inability to attract and retain sufficient scientific, technical and managerial personnel could limit or delay our product development activities, which would adversely affect the development of our drug candidates and commercialization of our potential drugs and growth of our business.

Our workforce reductions in October 2011 and any future workforce and expense reductions may have an adverse impact on our internal programs and our ability to hire and retain skilled personnel.

In October 2011, we reduced our workforce by approximately 18% in order to reduce expenses and to focus resources primarily on our later-stage development programs for tirasemtiv and omecamtiv mecarbil and certain other research and development programs also directed to muscle biology. These headcount reductions and the cost control measures we have implemented may negatively affect our productivity and limit our research and development activities. Our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled personnel. We may have difficulty retaining and attracting such personnel as a result of a perceived risk of future workforce reductions. In light of our continued need for funding and cost control, we may be required to implement future workforce and expense reductions, which could further limit our research and development activities. In addition, the implementation of any additional workforce or expense reduction programs may divert the efforts of our management team and other key employees, which could adversely affect our business.

We may expand our development and clinical research capabilities and, as a result, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, which could disrupt our operations.

We may have growth in our expenditures, the number of our employees and the scope of our operations, in particular with respect to those drug candidates that we elect to develop or commercialize independently or together with a partner. To manage our anticipated future growth, we must continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems, expand our facilities and continue to recruit and train additional qualified personnel. Due to our limited resources, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The physical expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our business plans or disrupt our operations.

Table of Contents

We currently have no sales or marketing capabilities and, if we are unable to enter into or maintain strategic alliances with marketing partners or to develop our own sales and marketing capabilities, we may not be successful in commercializing our potential drugs.

We currently have no sales, marketing or distribution capabilities. We plan to commercialize drugs that can be effectively marketed and sold in concentrated markets that do not require a large sales force to be competitive. To achieve this goal, we will need to establish our own specialized sales force and marketing organization with technical expertise and supporting distribution capabilities. Developing such an organization is expensive and time-consuming and could delay a product launch. In addition, we may not be able to develop this capacity efficiently, cost-effectively or at all, which could make us unable to commercialize our drugs. If we determine not to market our drugs on our own, we will depend on strategic alliances with third parties, such as Amgen and Astellas, which have established distribution systems and direct sales forces to commercialize them. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements on acceptable terms, we may not be able to successfully commercialize these drugs. To the extent that we are not successful in commercializing any drugs ourselves or through a strategic alliance, our product revenues and business will suffer and our stock price would decrease.

Risks Related To Our Industry

The regulatory approval process is expensive, time-consuming and uncertain and may prevent our partners or us from obtaining approvals to commercialize some or all of our drug candidates.

The research, testing, manufacturing, selling and marketing of drugs are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, and regulations differ from country to country. Neither we nor our partners are permitted to market our potential drugs in the United States until we receive approval of a new drug application (NDA) from the FDA. Neither we nor our partners have received NDA or other marketing approval for any of Cytokinetics' drug candidates.

Obtaining NDA approval is a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. In addition, failure to comply with FDA and other applicable foreign and U.S. regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions. These include warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, product seizure or detention, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production, and refusal to approve pending NDAs or supplements to approved NDAs.

Regulatory approval of an NDA or NDA supplement is never guaranteed, and the approval process typically takes several years and is extremely expensive. The FDA and foreign regulatory agencies also have substantial discretion in the drug approval process, and the guidance and advice issued by such agencies is subject to change at any time. Despite the time and efforts exerted, failure can occur at any stage, and we may encounter problems that cause us to abandon clinical trials or to repeat or perform additional preclinical testing and clinical trials. The number and focus of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for approval by the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies varies depending on the drug candidate, the disease or condition that the drug candidate is designed to address, and the regulations applicable to any particular drug candidate. In addition, the FDA may require that a proposed Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, also known as a REMS, be submitted as part of an NDA if the FDA determines that it is necessary to ensure that the benefits of the drug outweigh its risks. The FDA and foreign regulatory agencies can delay, limit or deny approval of a drug candidate for many reasons, including, but not limited to:

they might determine that a drug candidate is not safe or effective;

they might not find the data from preclinical testing and clinical trials sufficient and could request that additional trials be performed;

they might not approve our, our partner's or the contract manufacturer's processes or facilities; or

they might change their approval policies or adopt new regulations.

Even if we receive regulatory approval to manufacture and sell a drug in a particular regulatory jurisdiction, other jurisdictions' regulatory authorities may not approve that drug for manufacture and sale. If we or our partners fail to receive and maintain regulatory approval for the sale of any drugs resulting from our drug candidates, it would significantly harm our business and negatively affect our stock price.

If we or our partners receive regulatory approval for our drug candidates, we or they will be subject to ongoing obligations to and continued regulatory review by the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies, and may be subject to additional post-marketing obligations, all of which may result in significant expense and limit commercialization of our potential drugs.

Any regulatory approvals that we or our partners receive for our drug candidates may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the drug may be marketed or require potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies or compliance with a REMS. In addition, if the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies approves any of our drug candidates, the labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record-keeping for the drug will be subject to extensive regulatory requirements. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the drug, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or the discovery that adverse effects or toxicities observed in preclinical research or clinical trials that were believed to be minor actually constitute much more serious problems, may result in restrictions on the marketing of the drug or withdrawal of the drug from the market.

Table of Contents

The FDA and foreign regulatory agencies may change their policies and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our drug candidates. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we might not be permitted to market our drugs and our business would suffer.

If physicians and patients do not accept our drugs, we may be unable to generate significant revenue, if any.

Even if our drug candidates obtain regulatory approval, the resulting drugs, if any, may not gain market acceptance among physicians, healthcare payors, patients and the medical community. Even if the clinical safety and efficacy of drugs developed from our drug candidates are established for purposes of approval, physicians may elect not to recommend these drugs for a variety of reasons including, but not limited to:

introduction of competitive drugs to the market;

clinical safety and efficacy of alternative drugs or treatments;

cost-effectiveness;

availability of coverage and reimbursement from health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors;

convenience and ease of administration;

prevalence and severity of adverse side effects;

other potential disadvantages relative to alternative treatment methods; or

insufficient marketing and distribution support.

If our drugs fail to achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to generate significant revenue and our business would suffer.

The coverage and reimbursement status of newly approved drugs is uncertain and failure to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement could limit our ability to market any drugs we may develop and decrease our ability to generate revenue.

Even if one or more of our drug candidates is approved for sale, the commercial success of our drugs in both domestic and international markets will be substantially dependent on whether third-party coverage and reimbursement is available for our drugs by the medical profession for use by their patients, which is highly uncertain. Medicare,

Medicaid, health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new drugs. As a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our drugs. They may not view our drugs as cost-effective and reimbursement may not be available to consumers or may be insufficient to allow our drugs to be marketed on a competitive basis. If we are unable to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement for our drugs, our ability to generate revenue will be adversely affected. Likewise, current and future legislative or regulatory efforts to control or reduce healthcare costs or reform government healthcare programs, such as the Patient Protection Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, could result in lower prices or rejection of coverage and reimbursement for our potential drugs. Changes in coverage and reimbursement policies or healthcare cost containment initiatives that limit or restrict reimbursement for any of our drug candidates that are approved could cause our potential future revenues to decline.

We may be subject to costly product liability or other liability claims and may not be able to obtain adequate insurance.

The use of our drug candidates in clinical trials may result in adverse effects. We cannot predict all the possible harms or adverse effects that may result from our clinical trials. We currently maintain limited product liability insurance. We may not have sufficient resources to pay for any liabilities resulting from a personal injury or other claim excluded from, or beyond the limit of, our insurance coverage. Our insurance does not cover third parties' negligence or malpractice, and our clinical investigators and sites may have inadequate insurance or none at all. In addition, in order to conduct clinical trials or otherwise carry out our business, we may have to contractually assume liabilities for which we may not be insured. If we are unable to look to our own or a third party's insurance to pay claims against us, we may have to pay any arising costs and damages ourselves, which may be substantial.

In addition, if we commercially launch drugs based on our drug candidates, we will face even greater exposure to product liability claims. This risk exists even with respect to those drugs that are approved for commercial sale by the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies and manufactured in licensed and regulated facilities. We intend to secure additional limited product liability insurance coverage for drugs that we commercialize, but may not be able to obtain such insurance on acceptable terms with adequate coverage, or at reasonable costs. Even if we are ultimately successful in product liability litigation, the litigation would consume substantial amounts of our financial and managerial resources and may create adverse publicity, all of which would impair our ability to generate sales of the affected product and our other potential drugs. Moreover, product recalls may be issued at our discretion or at the direction of the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies, other governmental agencies or other companies having regulatory control for drug sales. Product recalls are generally expensive and often have an adverse effect on the reputation of the drugs being recalled and of the drug's developer or manufacturer.

Table of Contents

We may be required to indemnify third parties against damages and other liabilities arising out of our development, commercialization and other business activities, which could be costly and time-consuming and distract management. If third parties that have agreed to indemnify us against damages and other liabilities arising from their activities do not fulfill their obligations, then we may be held responsible for those damages and other liabilities.

Our employees or contractors may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements, anti-fraud and abuse laws, anti-bribery laws and insider trading.

We are exposed to the risk of fraud or other misconduct by our employees or contractors. Such misconduct could include failures to comply with the regulations of the FDA and non-U.S. regulators, provide accurate information to the FDA and non-U.S. regulators, comply with anti-bribery laws (such as the Foreign Corrupt Practice Act) or healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations in the United States and abroad, report financial information or data accurately or disclose unauthorized activities to us. In particular, business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, misconduct, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, marketing and promotion, sales commission, incentive programs and other business arrangements and practices. Such misconduct could also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and cause serious harm to our reputation. We have adopted a code of conduct, but it is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent these activities may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to comply with these laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions.

Responding to any claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of the hazardous chemicals and radioactive and biological materials we use in our business could be time-consuming and costly.

Our research and development processes involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, including chemicals and radioactive and biological materials. Our operations produce hazardous waste products. We cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or discharge and any resultant injury from those materials. Federal, state and local laws and regulations govern the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of hazardous materials. We may be sued for any injury or contamination that results from our or third parties' use of these materials. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations is expensive, and current or future environmental regulations may impair our research, development and production activities.

Our facilities in California are located near an earthquake fault, and an earthquake or other types of natural disasters, catastrophic events or resource shortages could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our results.

All of our facilities and our important documents and records, such as hard copies of our laboratory books and records for our drug candidates and compounds and our electronic business records, are located in our corporate headquarters at a single location in South San Francisco, California near active earthquake zones. If a natural disaster, such as an earthquake or flood, a catastrophic event such as a disease pandemic or terrorist attack, or a localized extended outage of critical utilities or transportation systems occurs, we could experience a significant business interruption. Our partners and other third parties on which we rely may also be subject to business interruptions from such events. In addition, California from time to time has experienced shortages of water, electric power and natural gas. Future shortages and conservation measures could disrupt our operations and cause expense, thus adversely affecting our business and financial results.

Risks Related To an Investment in Our Securities

We expect that our stock price will fluctuate significantly, and you may not be able to resell your shares at or at or above your investment price.

The stock market, particularly in recent years, has experienced significant volatility, particularly with respect to pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other life sciences company stocks, which often does not relate to the operating performance of the companies represented by the stock. Factors that could cause volatility in the market price of our common stock include, but are not limited to:

announcements concerning any of the clinical trials for our drug candidates, such as tirasemtiv for the potential treatment of ALS, CK-2127107 for the potential treatment of non-neuromuscular indications associated with muscle weakness and omecamtiv mecarbil for the potential treatment of heart failure (including, but not limited to, the timing of initiation or completion of such trials and the results of such trials, and delays or discontinuations of such trials, including delays resulting from slower than expected or suspended patient enrollment or discontinuations resulting from a failure to meet pre-defined clinical end points);

announcements concerning our strategic alliance with Amgen or Astellas or future strategic alliances;

failure or delays in entering additional drug candidates into clinical trials;

failure or discontinuation of any of our research programs;

issuance of new or changed securities analysts' reports or recommendations;

failure or delay in establishing new strategic alliances, or the terms of those alliances;

Table of Contents

market conditions in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other healthcare-related sectors;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial and operating results;

developments or disputes concerning our intellectual property or other proprietary rights;

introduction of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors;

issues in manufacturing our drug candidates or drugs;

market acceptance of our drugs;

third-party healthcare coverage and reimbursement policies;

FDA or other U.S. or foreign regulatory actions affecting us or our industry;

litigation or public concern about the safety of our drug candidates or drugs;

additions or departures of key personnel;

substantial sales of our common stock by our existing stockholders, whether or not related to our performance;

automated trading activity by algorithmic and high-frequency trading programs; and

volatility in the stock prices of other companies in our industry or in the stock market generally.

These and other external factors may cause the market price and demand for our common stock to fluctuate substantially, which may limit or prevent investors from readily selling their shares of common stock and may otherwise negatively affect the liquidity of our common stock. In addition, when the market price of a stock has been volatile, holders of that stock have instituted securities class action litigation against the company that issued the stock. If any of our stockholders brought a lawsuit against us, we could incur substantial costs defending the lawsuit. Such a lawsuit could also divert our management's time and attention.

If the ownership of our common stock continues to be highly concentrated, it may prevent you and other stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions and may result in conflicts of interest that could cause our stock price to decline.

As of October 25, 2013, our executive officers, directors and their affiliates beneficially owned or controlled approximately 5.2% of the outstanding shares of our common stock (after giving effect to the exercise of all outstanding vested and unvested options, restricted stock units and warrants). Accordingly, these executive officers, directors and their affiliates, acting as a group, will have substantial influence over the outcome of corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any other significant corporate transactions. These stockholders may also delay or prevent a change of control of us, even if such a change of control would benefit our other stockholders. The significant concentration of stock ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock due to investors' perception that conflicts of interest may exist or arise.

Volatility in the stock prices of other companies may contribute to volatility in our stock price.

The stock market in general, and the NASDAQ stock exchanges and the market for technology companies in particular, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Further, there has been particular volatility in the market prices of securities of early stage and development stage life sciences companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted. A securities class action suit against us could result in substantial costs, potential liabilities and the diversion of management's attention and resources, and could harm our reputation and business.

Our common stock is thinly traded and there may not be an active, liquid trading market for our common stock.

There is no guarantee that an active trading market for our common stock will be maintained on NASDAQ, or that the volume of trading will be sufficient to allow for timely trades. Investors may not be able to sell their shares quickly or at the latest market price if trading in our stock is not active or if trading volume is limited. In addition, if trading volume in our common stock is limited, trades of relatively small numbers of shares may have a disproportionate effect on the market price of our common stock.

Our stockholders will experience substantial additional dilution if outstanding options or warrants are exercised for common stock.

As of October 25, 2013, there were 7,692,096 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of warrants, having a weighted average exercise price of \$5.95 per share, and 2,451,522 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding, having a weighted average exercise price of \$15.18 per share. The exercise of outstanding options or warrants for common stock would be substantially dilutive to the outstanding shares of common stock. Any dilution or potential dilution may cause our stockholders to sell their shares, which would contribute to a downward movement in the stock price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

If we raise additional capital by issuing securities in the future, it will cause dilution to existing stockholders and may cause our share price to decline.

We may raise additional funds through the issuance and sale of additional shares of our common stock or other securities convertible into or exchangeable for our common stock. For example, in June 2011, we entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement (the "ATM Agreement") with McNicoll, Lewis & Vlak LLC ("MLV"), pursuant to which we may issue and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price up to \$20.0 million or 2,397,278 shares, whichever occurs first, from time to time, through MLV as our sales agent. It is anticipated that these additional shares may be sold through MLV over a period of up to 36 months from June 2011. The number of shares ultimately offered for sale by MLV is dependent upon the number of shares that we elect to sell through MLV under the ATM Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of the ATM Agreement. Depending upon market liquidity at the time, sales of shares of our common stock through MLV under the ATM Agreement may cause the trading price of our common stock to decline.

To the extent that we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities under the ATM Agreement or otherwise, our stockholders will experience additional dilution, and any such issuances may result in downward pressure on the price of our common stock.

Ownership changes may limit our ability to use our net operating losses and tax credits in the future.

In general, under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code ("Section 382"), a corporation that undergoes an ownership change is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its pre-change net operating losses and tax credits to offset future taxable income. We have performed a Section 382 analysis and do not believe that we have experienced an ownership change since 2006. A portion of our existing net operating losses and tax credits are subject to limitations arising from previous ownership changes. Future changes in our stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could result in an ownership change under Section 382 and result in additional limitations. We intend to continue to monitor public filings made by third parties with the SEC to assess whether an ownership change under Section 382 has occurred. Our ability to accurately assess any such ownership change is limited by the timeliness and accuracy of these public filings.

Evolving regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses, use of resources and continuing uncertainty.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and new SEC regulations and NASDAQ Stock Market LLC rules create uncertainty for public companies. We regularly evaluate and monitor developments with respect to new and proposed laws, regulations and standards. We cannot accurately predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur in connection with complying with such laws, regulations and standards or the timing of these costs. For example, compliance with the internal control requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act has to date required us to commit significant resources to document and test the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting. We can provide no assurance as to conclusions of management or by our independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in the future. In addition, the SEC has adopted regulations that require us to file corporate financial statement information in an interactive data format known as XBRL. We may incur significant costs and need to invest considerable resources to remain in compliance with these regulations.

These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is

provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We intend to maintain high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we intend to invest the resources necessary to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies, due to ambiguities related to practice or otherwise, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us, which could be costly and time-consuming, and our reputation and business may be harmed.

We have never paid dividends on our capital stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have paid no cash dividends on any of our classes of capital stock to date and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our businesses. In addition, the terms of existing or any future debts may preclude us from paying these dividends.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(1)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(2)
3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws.(3)
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.(4)
3.5	Certificate of Designation of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.(5)
3.6	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(9)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate.(6)
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 29, 2006, by and between the Company and Amgen, Inc.(10)
4.3	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Cytokinetics, Inc.(4)
4.4	Form of Common Stock Warrant Agreement.(7)
4.5	Form of Preferred Stock Warrant Agreement.(7)
4.6	Form of Warrant.(8)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

- (1) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-3, registration number 333-174869, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 13, 2011.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2011.
- (3)

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1, registration number 333-112261, declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2004.

- (4) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 18, 2011.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 20, 2012.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Security and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-3, registration number 333-178189, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 25, 2011.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2012 (File No. 000-50633).
- (9) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 25, 2013.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2007.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 6, 2013

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(Registrant)

/s/ Robert I. Blum
Robert I. Blum
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Sharon A. Barbari
Sharon A. Barbari
Executive Vice President, Finance and

Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit	
Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(1)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(2)
3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws.(3)
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.(4)
3.5	Certificate of Designation of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.(5)
3.6	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.(9)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate.(6)
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 29, 2006, by and between the Company and Amgen, Inc.(10)
4.3	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Cytokinetics, Inc.(4)
4.4	Form of Common Stock Warrant Agreement.(7)
4.5	Form of Preferred Stock Warrant Agreement.(7)
4.6	Form of Warrant.(8)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

- (1) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-3, registration number 333-174869, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 13, 2011.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2011.

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

- (3) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1, registration number 333-112261, declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 18, 2011.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 20, 2012.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Security and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-3, registration number 333-178189, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 25, 2011.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2012.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 25, 2013.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50633), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2007.