EATON VANCE MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 24, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09141

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

November 30, 2012

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Income Trust (EVN)

Annual Report

November 30, 2012

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report November 30, 2012

Eaton Vance

Municipal Income Trust

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance	3
Fund Profile	3
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	4
Financial Statements	5
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	23
Federal Tax Information	24
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	25
Management and Organization	27
Important Notices	29

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

In December 2011, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election.

Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June 2012 through early fall in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy, which it did in September 2012. U.S. Treasury yields, meanwhile, fell to all-time lows in July 2012. In the final weeks of the period, however, during the run-up to the election and after President Obama s victory, the equity market retreated as investors turned their attention to the so-called fiscal cliff, the automatic triggering of spending cuts and expiration of tax cuts set to occur on New Year s Day 2013.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ended November 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index2 an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States returned 10.17% for the period, while the Fund s benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 16.10%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer-maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower-quality, higher-yielding issues over higher-quality bonds. As a result, longer-duration, lower credit-quality bonds were the best performers in the municipal space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries for much of the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA6 municipal yields to 30-year Treasury yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt began the period at 125.9%, making municipal bonds attractive relative to Treasuries. After the election, however, investors seemed to view Obama s win as an indication that marginal tax rates were

likely to go up. That sentiment led to higher demand for tax-exempt municipals, driving the municipal-to-Treasury yield ratio down to 87.9% at period-end on November 30, 2012. For the one-year period, municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust (the Fund) shares at net asset value (NAV) had a total return of 34.28%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index.

The Fund s overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds with maturities of 10 years or more in order to capture their generally higher yields and potentially greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures in seeking to provide downside protection.

The Fund s overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were the strongest performing coupon structure in the Index during the period, was a primary contributor to the Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index. An overweighting in bonds rated BBB and BB also aided relative

performance versus the Index during a period when lower-quality issues especially those rated A and BBB outperformed higher-rated bonds.

In managing the Fund, management employs leverage5 to seek to enhance the Fund s tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies the Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to the Fund s relative outperformance versus its unleveraged benchmark.

As a risk-management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest-rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. In a period when municipal bonds and Treasuries performed strongly, the Fund s Treasury hedge moderated a portion of the Fund s positive performance versus the Index.

An underweighting in A-rated issues, the strongest-performing rating category in the Index, detracted from the Fund s relative performance versus the Index. Two bonds that developed credit concerns also dragged on results versus the Index during the one-year period.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

2

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	34.28%	6.75%	8.00%
Fund at Market Price		25.54	9.91	7.92
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				7.11%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.957
Distribution Rate at NAV				7.04%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.83%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.57%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				10.11%
% Total Leverage ⁵				

10 Iotal Develage	
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	21.83%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	22.80
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)6

* Amount is less than 0.05%.

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:⁶

AAA	11.0%	В	6.3%
AA	29.2	CCC	1.0
А	29.7	CC	0.1

BBB	11.0	D	0.1
BB	5.7	Not Rated	5.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

3

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- ¹ The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.

- ⁴ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁵ Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline.
- ⁶ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 174.4%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Cogeneration 1.0% Maryland Energy Financing Administration, (AES Warrior Run), (AMT), 7.40%, 9/1/19 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Northampton Generating), (AMT), 6.50%, 1/1/13 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,950 400	\$ 2	2,966,520 253,908
			\$ 3	3,220,428
Education 9.1% Harris County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Baylor College of Medicine), 5.00%, 11/15/37 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽²⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽²⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.75%, 7/1/50 Oregon Facilities Authority, (Lewis & Clark College), 5.625%, 10/1/36 Rhode Island Health and Educational Building Corp., (University of Rhode Island), 6.25%, 9/15/34	\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,790\\ 1,000\\ 2,490\\ 10,500\\ 5,000\\ 1,475\\ 1,000\\ \end{array}$	12	2,081,967 1,319,520 2,926,447 2,457,410 5,898,700 1,765,280 1,198,300 7,647,624
Electric Utilities 2.5% Apache County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 4.50%, 3/1/30 Brazos River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Revenue, (Texas Energy Co.), (AMT), 8.25%, 5/1/33 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaiian Electric Co.), 6.50%, 7/1/39 Indiana Financing Authority, (Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.), 6.00%, 8/1/39 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/42	\$	1,715 3,715 2,540 1,000 1,000		1,821,587 518,911 3,009,265 1,187,720 1,023,290 7,560,773
General Obligations8.2%California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 8/15/37 ⁽²⁾ Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽²⁾ New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽²⁾ Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, (AMT), 5.625%, 10/1/38 ⁽²⁾ Security	\$ (000	990 9,000 3,250 2,500 2,340 Principal Amount s omitted)	10	1,022,026),777,860 3,872,050 2,964,175 2,703,776 Value

General Obligations (continued)

Will County, IL, Community Unit School District No. 365-U, (Valley View), 5.75%, 11/1/32	\$ 2,980	\$ 3,655,030
		\$ 24,994,917
Health Care Miscellaneous 0.2% Tax Revenue Exempt Securities Trust Community Health Provider (Pooled Loan Program Various States Trust Certificates)		

Tax Revenue Exempt Securities Trust, Community Health Provider, (Pooled Loan Program Various States Trust Certificates), 6.00%, 12/1/36 ⁽³⁾	\$	126	\$	126,656
Tax Revenue Exempt Securities Trust, Community Health Provider, (Pooled Loan Program Various States Trust Certificates),	Ψ		Ψ	,
6.25%, 12/1/36 ⁽³⁾		331		335,373
Tax Revenue Exempt Securities Trust, Community Health Provider, (Pooled Loan Program Various States Trust Certificates), 7.75%, 12/1/36 ⁽³⁾		126		127,600
11570, 12, 1150° ·		120		127,000
			\$	589,629
			Ψ	00,025
Hospital 19.7%				
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	\$	2,295	\$ 2	2,556,446
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), 5.50%, 10/1/39 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾		10,000	11	1,645,200
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34		3,255	3	3,619,007
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36		2,510	2	2,790,693
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35		1,555	1	1,630,262
Hawaii Pacific Health Special Purpose Revenue, 5.50%, 7/1/40		1,870	2	2,095,410
Illinois Finance Authority, (Provena Healthcare), 7.75%, 8/15/34		3,280	4	4,450,960
Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, (Touro Infirmary), 5.625%, 8/15/29		2,520	2	2,523,427
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical Center), 6.75%, 1/1/36		1,535	1	1,912,426
Mecosta County, MI, (Michigan General Hospital), 6.00%, 5/15/18		1,800	1	1,804,086
Monroe County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.25%, 1/1/43		3,000	3	3,161,370
New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.125%, 12/1/29		1,465	1	1,658,043
New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.25%, 12/1/37		2,930	3	3,287,020

See Notes to Financial Statements.

11,400

1,570

13,425,894

1,840,119

5

North Carolina Medical Care Commission, (North Carolina Baptist Hospital), 5.25%, 6/1/29⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾

South Lake County, FL, Hospital District, (South Lake Hospital), 6.25%, 4/1/39

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) St. Paul, MN, Housing and Redevelopment Authority, (HealthPartners Obligated Group), 5.25%, 5/15/36	\$	1,500	\$ 1,590,810
			\$ 59,991,173
Housing 4.9% Charter Mac Equity Trust, TN, 6.00%, 10/31/52 ⁽³⁾ Fairfax County, VA, Redevelopment and Housing Authority, (Cedar Ridge), (AMT), 4.85%, 10/1/48 Lake Creek, CO, Affordable Housing Corp., MFMR, 7.00%, 12/1/23 Oregon Health Authority, (Trillium Affordable Housing), (AMT), 6.75%, 2/15/29 Texas Student Housing Corp., (University of Northern Texas), 6.75%, 7/1/16 Texas Student Housing Corp., (University of Northern Texas), 6.85%, 7/1/31 Virginia Housing Development Authority, (AMT), 5.30%, 7/1/35	\$	4,000 1,420 1,320 2,975 2,745 125 1,500	\$ 4,787,240 1,479,484 1,321,637 2,977,023 2,621,722 98,456 1,601,970 \$ 14,887,532
 Industrial Development Revenue 7.8% ABIA Development Corp., TX, (Austin CargoPort Development), (AMT), 6.50%, 10/1/24 Broward County, FL, (Lynxs CargoPort), (AMT), 6.75%, 6/1/19⁽¹⁾ Butler County, AL, Industrial Development Authority, (International Paper Co.), (AMT), 7.00%, 9/1/32 Clayton County, GA, Development Authority, (Delta Airlines, Inc.), 8.75%, 6/1/29 Clayton County, GA, Development Authority, (Delta Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), 9.00%, 6/1/35 Denver City and County, CO, (United Airlines), (AMT), 5.75%, 10/1/32 Luzerne County, PA, Industrial Development Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 5.50%, 12/1/39 Maine Finance Authority, Solid Waste Disposal, (Casella Waste Systems, Inc.), (AMT), 6.25% to 2/1/17 (Put Date), 1/1/25 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.25%, 9/15/23 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.25%, 11/1/42 Security 	\$ (000	1,440 1,770 1,000 400 2,240 2,305 1,600 1,660 1,560 4,680 950 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 1,281,744 704,053 1,144,440 506,184 2,519,014 2,403,377 1,842,768 1,712,207 1,601,543 4,832,428 970,292 Value
Industrial Development Revenue (continued) Phoenix, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (America West Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), 6.25%, 6/1/19	\$	4,630	\$ 4,274,879
			\$ 23,792,929

Arcadia, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,844,200
		\$ 2,844,200
Insured Hospital 14.3% Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 ⁽²⁾ Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37 Kentucky Economic Development Authority, (Norton Healthcare, Inc.), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/25 Kentucky Economic Development Authority, (Norton Healthcare, Inc.), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/26 Kentucky Economic Development Authority, (Norton Healthcare, Inc.), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/27 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 ⁽²⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series I, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽²⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽²⁾	\$ 3,250 2,625 15,000 17,080 8,575 2,500 9,835 750	\$ 3,573,050 3,050,276 8,762,550 9,410,397 4,447,081 2,636,475 10,752,111 819,937
		\$ 43,451,877
Insured Housing 0.4% Broward County, FL, Housing Finance Authority, MFMR, (Venice Homes Apartments), (AGM), (AMT), 5.70%, 1/1/32	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,101,551 \$ 1,101,551
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1% San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽²⁾	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,354,480 \$ 3,354,480
Insured Other Revenue 6.9% Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 4,210	\$ 1,340,843

See Notes to Financial Statements.

6

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Other Revenue (continued) New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Queens Baseball Stadium), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/36 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Queens Baseball Stadium), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/36 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/37 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$	5,000 3,600 9,390 8,600 3,100		5,177,050 3,722,004 3,646,043 3,145,794 3,867,498 20,899,232
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.7% Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, IL, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/15/38 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 0.00%, 10/1/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/43 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	50,000 34,950 6,605 22,500 10,510	1	15,200,000 10,731,747 593,459 4,347,000 1,797,631 32,669,837
Insured Student Loan 4.3% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27 Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30 Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33 New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30	\$ \$	2,620 610 6,785 2,040	\$	3,036,135 692,313 6,942,684 2,313,013 12,984,145
Insured Transportation 15.9% Alameda, CA, Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/33 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.625%, 1/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Security	\$ (000	12,425 3,850 5,500 1,000 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	4,462,936 4,435,007 1,344,695 244,490 Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), (AGM), (AMT), 5.25%, 10/1/41 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (AGC), 0.00%, 1/1/34	\$	2,140 15,000	\$	2,349,656 6,302,250

Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32 San Jose, CA, Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose, CA, Airport, (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.50%, 3/1/32 Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/34 Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/35	9,820 10,555 2,500 8,805 5,175 2,015	11,266,388 3,954,325 2,669,775 9,587,324 1,439,789 526,862 \$48,583,497
Insured Water and Sewer 8.8% Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽²⁾ DeKalb, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/35 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 3,750 17,985	\$ 4,274,100 22,438,626 \$ 26,712,726
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.3% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 Mohave County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Mohave Prison LLC), 8.00%, 5/1/25 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31	\$ 2,135 4,400 1,735	<pre>\$ 2,597,761 5,482,092 2,074,609 \$ 10,154,462</pre>
Other Revenue 14.8% Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 6.00%, 7/15/30 Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40 Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 6.375%, 7/15/43 Central Falls, RI, Detention Facility Corp., 7.25%, 7/15/35 Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, 6.00%, 6/1/48 Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, 6.875%, 6/1/42 Mohegan Tribe of Indians Gaming Authority, CT, (Public Improvements), 6.25%, 1/1/21 ⁽³⁾ New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Duke Farms Foundation), 5.00%, 7/1/48 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 785 880 480 1,955 7,600 2,350 1,500 2,370	\$ 927,855 1,034,431 567,331 1,720,654 6,929,908 2,432,955 1,502,130 2,692,699

7

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Other Revenue (continued)			
New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32	\$ 5,000	\$	5,973,300
Otero County, NM, Jail Project Revenue, 5.50%, 4/1/13	45		44,982
Otero County, NM, Jail Project Revenue, 5.75%, 4/1/18	265		258,746
Salt Verde Financial Corp., AZ, Senior Gas Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/37	8,000		9,654,720
Seminole Tribe, FL, 5.25%, 10/1/27 ⁽³⁾	4,000		4,321,680
Seminole Tribe, FL, 5.50%, 10/1/24 ⁽³⁾	2,365		2,597,929
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., 5.00%, 6/1/47	4,180		3,340,614
White Earth Band of Chippewa Indians, MN, 6.375%, 12/1/26 ⁽³⁾	1,355		1,172,549
		\$4	5,172,483
Senior Living / Life Care 3.2%			
Cliff House Trust, PA, (AMT), 6.625%, 6/1/27 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,210	\$	1,693,371

Douglas County, NE, Hospital Authority No. 2, (Immanuel Obligated Group), 5.50%, 1/1/30	535	621,092
Douglas County, NE, Hospital Authority No. 2, (Immanuel Obligated Group), 5.625%, 1/1/40	1,075	1,233,573
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Kahala Senior Living Community, Inc.), 5.125%, 11/15/32	375	408,240
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Kahala Senior Living Community, Inc.), 5.25%, 11/15/37	345	376,133
Lee County, FL, Industrial Development Authority, (Shell Point Village/Alliance Community), 5.00%, 11/15/29	2,295	2,342,690
Logan County, CO, Industrial Development, (TLC Care Choices, Inc.), 2.75%, 12/1/23 ⁽⁵⁾	3,115	1,606,686
Tempe, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Friendship Village of Tempe), 6.00%, 12/1/32	335	367,961
Tempe, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Friendship Village of Tempe), 6.25%, 12/1/42	985	1,087,283

\$ 9,737,029

Special Tax Revenue 9.3%