ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES INC Form 10-Q July 25, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

F	JB	M	10)-Q
T. /	\mathcal{I}	TAT	TU	'-V

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 1-13144

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 36-2061311

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

13000 North Meridian Street

Carmel, Indiana 46032-1404

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (317) 706-9200

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "... Non-accelerated filer "... (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes " No x

27,022,956

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding at June 30, 2011

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

Carmel, Indiana

Quarterly Report to Securities and Exchange Commission

June 30, 2011

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Index

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) and the year ended December 31, 2010

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

- 1 -

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

		As of	
	June 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)
Assets	Ì		, , ,
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$158,235	\$163,779	\$140,52
Short-term investments	147,136	149,160	139,48
Restricted cash	387	255	7
Accounts receivable, net	47,041	68,937	98,39
Deferred income taxes	4,804	9,079	17,14
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	24,567	22,887	16,67
Fotal current assets	382,170	414,097	412,28
Property and equipment, net	198,207	198,213	193,71
Deferred income taxes	33,165	21,814	12,23
Other assets	45,610	40,656	28,00
Γotal assets	\$659,152	\$674,780	\$646,24
Current liabilities: Accounts payable	\$66,008	\$67,920	
Accounts payable	\$66,008	\$67,920	\$76,11
Accrued compensation and benefits	23,610	28,428	26,04
Other current liabilities	8,421	15,441	14,49
Deferred revenue	266,847	244,362	197,10
Total current liabilities	364,886	356,151	313,76
Long-term debt	150,000	150,000	150,00
Other liabilities	57,791	40,559	29,04
Total liabilities	572,677	546,710	492,81
Shareholders equity:			
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none ssued	0	0	
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized,			
37,068,904, 37,068,904 and 54,068,904 issued	371	371	54
Capital surplus	181,954	173,935	165,67
			100,07
•	684,905	224.078	1.182.20
Retained earnings	684,905 (4.438)	524,678 (4.509)	
Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	684,905 (4,438)	(4,509)	1,182,20 (9,555
Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) Freasury stock, 10,045,948, 7,075,563 and 20,478,818 shares, at cost			

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$659,152

\$674,780

\$646,244

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 2 -

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Mo	onths E	nded				
					Six Mont	ths End	ed
	Jun	e 30,			June 30,		
	2011		2010		2011		2010
Revenue	\$ 387,877	\$4	101,849	\$7	71,048	\$7	785,806
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of educational services	142,272	1	33,763	2	280,198	2	268,145
Student services and administrative expenses	115,626	1	10,954	2	220,209	2	217,914
Total costs and expenses	257,898	2	244,717	5	600,407	2	186,059
Operating income	129,979	1	57,132	2	270,641	2	299,747
Interest income	790		533		1,625		1,242
Interest (expense)	(507)		(514)		(1,064)		(934)
Income before provision for income taxes	130,262	1	57,151	2	271,202	3	300,055
Provision for income taxes	51,262		61,111	1	06,816	1	116,564
Net income	\$79,000	9	596,040	\$1	64,386	\$1	183,491
Earnings per share:							
Basic	\$ 2.88	\$	2.82	\$	5.81	\$	5.31
Diluted	\$ 2.85	\$	2.78	\$	5.77	\$	5.24
Weighted average shares outstanding:							
Basic	27,474		34,081		28,275		34,552
Diluted	27,719		34,525		28,511		35,010

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended		
			June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income	\$79,000	\$96,040	\$164,386	\$183,491	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	7,040	6,724	13,882	13,482	
Provision for doubtful accounts	17,487	23,034	30,154	45,799	
Deferred income taxes	(4,492)	(6,485)	(7,177)	(9,463)	
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercises	(627)	(1,019)	(978)	(1,940)	
Stock-based compensation expense	4,758	4,186	8,672	8,999	
Other	(987)	334	(2,417)	490	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Restricted cash	1,433	5,228	(132)	1,821	
Accounts receivable	(4,730)	(33,134)	(8,258)	(58,763)	
Accounts payable	(6,681)	7,642	(1,912)	14,841	
Other operating assets and liabilities	(32,886)	(20,711)	24,036	22,340	
Deferred revenue	1,307	5,312	22,485	25,174	
Net cash flows from operating activities	60,622	87,151	242,741	246,271	
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Facility expenditures and land purchases	(1,173)	(1,754)	(1,675)	(2,593)	
Capital expenditures, net	(7,487)	(7,241)	(12,186)	(12,539)	
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments and repayment of notes	118,307	115,128	260,392	199,826	
Purchase of investments and note advances	(123,104)	(115,602)	(281,693)	(222,774)	
Net cash flows from investing activities	(13,457)	(9,469)	(35,162)	(38,080)	
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercises	627	1,019	978	1,940	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,955	1,594	4,983	2,620	
Repurchase of common stock and shares tendered for taxes	(79,434)	(105,315)	(219,084)	(201,015)	
Net cash flows from financing activities	(76,852)	(102,702)	(213,123)	(196,455)	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(29,687)	(25,020)	(5,544)	11,736	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	187,922	165,544	163,779	128,788	
	10.,522	200,011	200,117	120,700	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$158,235	\$140,524	\$158,235	\$140,524	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 4 -

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Dollars and shares in thousands)

					Accumulated Other			
		on Stock	Capital	Retained	Comprehensive		ock in Treasury	Total
Balance as of December 31,	Shares	Amount	Surplus	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Shares	Amount	Total
2009	54,069	\$541	\$154,495	\$1,006,903	(\$10,093)	(18,623)	(\$995,261)	\$156,585
For the six months ended								
June 30, 2010 (unaudited):								
Net income				183,491				183,491
Other comprehensive income: Prior service costs, net of \$6 of								
income tax					8			8
Net actuarial pension loss, net								
of \$294 of income tax					460			460
Unrealized gain					70			70
Comprehensive income								184,029
Exercise of stock options and								
equity awards				(8,191)		106	10,811	2,620
Tax benefit from exercise of				(0,2,2)			20,022	_,=_=
stock options and equity award								
vesting			2,184					2,184
Stock-based compensation			8,999					8,999
Common shares repurchased Issuance of shares for Directors						(1,953)	(200,059)	(200,059)
compensation				1		1	29	30
Shares tendered for taxes				1		(9)	(956)	(956)
Shares tendered for takes						(>)	(200)	(>20)
Balance as of June 30, 2010	54,069	541	165,678	1,182,204	(9,555)	(20,478)	(1,185,436)	153,432
For the six months ended								
December 31, 2010								
(unaudited):				100 (75				100 (75
Net income Other comprehensive income:				190,675				190,675
Prior service costs, net of								
\$4,052 of income tax					6,332			6,332
Net actuarial pension loss, net					0,002			0,002
of \$257 of income tax					(1,320)			(1,320)
Unrealized gain					34			34
Comprehensive income								195,721
Comprehensive income								173,721
Exercise of stock options and								
equity awards				(5,440)		108	10,713	5,273
Tax benefit from exercise of								
stock options and equity award								
vesting			1,443					1,443
Stock-based compensation			6,814			(3,705)	(234,597)	6,814 (234,597)
Common shares repurchased Shares tendered for taxes						(3,703)	(234,397)	(234,397)
Shares tendered for taxes						(1)	(10)	(10)

Common shares retired	(17,000)	(170)		(842,761)		17,000	842,931	0
Balance as of December 31, 2010	37,069	371	173,935	524,678	(4,509)	(7,076)	(566,405)	128,070
For the six months ended June 30, 2011 (unaudited):								
Net income				164,386				164,386
Other comprehensive income:								
Prior service costs, net of \$270								
of income tax					(422)			(422)
Net actuarial pension loss, net								
of \$372 of income tax					580			580
Unrealized (loss)					(87)			(87)
Comprehensive income								164,457
Exercise of stock options and				(4.4.60)		120	0.1.12	4.000
equity awards Tax benefit from exercise of				(4,160)		138	9,143	4,983
stock options and equity award								
vesting			989					989
Stock-based compensation			7,030					7,030
Common shares repurchased			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			(3,100)	(218,520)	(218,520)
Issuance of shares for Directors								
compensation				1		1	29	30
Shares tendered for taxes						(9)	(564)	(564)
Balance as of June 30, 2011	37,069	\$371	\$181,954	\$684,905	(\$4,438)	(10,046)	(\$776,317)	\$86,475

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

ITT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2011

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data and unless otherwise stated)

1. The Company and Basis of Presentation

We are a leading provider of technology-oriented postsecondary education in the United States based on revenue and student enrollment. As of June 30, 2011, we were offering master, bachelor and associate degree programs to more than 78,000 students at ITT Technical Institute and Daniel Webster College locations. As of June 30, 2011, we had 135 locations (including 131 campuses and four learning sites) in 38 states. All of our locations are authorized by the applicable education authorities of the states in which they operate and are accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the U.S. Department of Education (ED). We have provided career-oriented education programs since 1969 under the ITT Technical Institute name and since June 2009 under the Daniel Webster College name. Our corporate headquarters are located in Carmel, Indiana.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include our wholly-owned subsidiaries accounts and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for interim periods and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures, including significant accounting policies, normally included in a complete presentation of financial statements prepared in accordance with those principles, rules and regulations have been omitted. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 was derived from audited financial statements but, as presented in this report, may not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Arrangements where we may have a variable interest in another party are evaluated in accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC or Codification) 810, Consolidation (ASC 810), to determine whether we would be required to include the financial results of the other party in our consolidated financial statements. Based on our most recent evaluation, we were not required to include the financial results of any variable interest entity in our condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 8 Variable Interests, for additional discussion of our variable interests.

In the opinion of our management, the financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to fairly state our financial condition and results of operations. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2010.

2. New Accounting Guidance

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, which is included in the Codification under ASC 220, Comprehensive Income. This update requires total comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income to be presented either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance will be effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2012. Currently, we present total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income in the statement of shareholders equity. The adoption of this guidance will require us to present comprehensive income on a different statement.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, which is included in the Codification under ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement. This update provides guidance and clarification about the application of existing fair value measurements and disclosure requirements. This guidance will be effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2012. We have not yet determined the effect that the adoption of this guidance will have on our financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, which is included in the Codification under ASC 805, Business Combinations. This update provides guidance on the disclosure of supplemental proforma information for business combinations. This guidance became effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Also in December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, which is included in the Codification under ASC 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other. This update provides guidance on applying the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. This guidance became effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. Fair Value

Fair value for financial reporting is defined as the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid upon the transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value measurement of our financial assets utilized assumptions categorized as observable inputs under the accounting guidance. Observable inputs are assumptions based on independent market data sources.

- 6 -

The following table sets forth information regarding the fair value measurement of our financial assets as of June 30, 2011:

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
		(Level 1) Quoted Prices in	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
Description	As of June 30, 2011	Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
Cash equivalents:					
Money market funds	\$157,681	\$157,681	\$0	\$0	
Short-term investments:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	100,679	100,679	0	0	
Government agency obligations	26,235	0	26,235	0	
Corporate obligations	20,222	0	20,222	0	
Other assets:					
Money market fund	7,848	7,848	0	0	
	\$312,665	\$266,208	\$46,457	\$0	

We used quoted prices in active markets for identical assets as of the measurement date to value our financial assets that were categorized as Level 1. For assets that were categorized as Level 2, we used:

quoted prices for similar assets in active markets;

quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that were not active or in which little public information had been released; inputs other than quoted prices that were observable for the assets; or

inputs that were principally derived from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, other current liabilities and deferred revenue approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. Investments classified as available-for-sale are recorded at their market value.

The fair value of the notes receivable included in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011 is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current rates for similar arrangements. As of June 30, 2011, each of the carrying value and the estimated fair value of these financial instruments was approximately \$18,000.

The fair value of our long-term debt is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current rates for similar loans with similar characteristics and remaining maturities. As of June 30, 2011, each of the carrying value and the estimated fair value of our long-term debt was approximately \$150,000.

4. Equity Compensation

The stock-based compensation expense and related income tax benefit recognized in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income in the periods indicated were as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Stock-based compensation expense	\$4.758	\$4.186	\$8.672	\$8,999

Income tax (benefit) (\$1,832) (\$1,612) (\$3,339) (\$3,465)

We did not capitalize any stock-based compensation cost in the three or six months ended June 30, 2011 or 2010.

As of June 30, 2011, we estimated that pre-tax compensation expense for unvested stock-based compensation grants in the amount of approximately \$28,018 net of estimated forfeitures, will be recognized in future periods. This expense will be recognized over the remaining service period applicable to the grantees which, on a weighted-average basis, is approximately 2.1 years.

- 7 -

The stock options granted, forfeited, exercised and expired in the period indicated were as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011				
		Weighted			
	# of Shares	Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value ⁽¹⁾
Outstanding at beginning of period	1,724,791	\$77.95	\$134,447		
Granted	159,500	\$69.43	11,074		
Forfeited	0	\$0	0		
Exercised	(103,310)	\$48.23	(4,983)		
Expired	0	\$0	0		
Outstanding at end of period	1,780,981	\$78.91	\$140,538	4.0	\$0
Exercisable at end of period	1,334,800	\$72.13	\$96,273	3.3	\$8,162

The following table sets forth information regarding the stock options granted and exercised in the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Shares subject to stock options granted	0	0	159,500	305,000
Weighted average grant date fair value per share	\$0	\$0	\$28.90	\$43.59
Shares subject to stock options exercised	42,780	39,200	103,310	71,329
Intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$1,659	\$2,742	\$2,570	\$5,172
Proceeds received from stock options exercised	\$1,955	\$1,594	\$4,983	\$2,620
Tax benefits realized from stock options exercised	\$638	\$1,019	\$989	\$1,942

The intrinsic value of a stock option is the difference between the fair market value of the stock and the option exercise price.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the following assumptions:

	Three M	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	Ended J			
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rates	Not applicable	Not applicable	1.8%	2.2%
Expected lives (in years)	Not applicable	Not applicable	4.7	4.6
Volatility	Not applicable	Not applicable	48%	43%
Dividend yield	Not applicable	Not applicable	None	None

The following table sets forth the number of restricted stock units (RSUs) that were granted, forfeited and vested in the period indicated:

⁽¹⁾ The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options was calculated by multiplying the number of shares subject to the options outstanding or exercisable, as applicable, by the closing market price of our common stock on June 30, 2011, and subtracting the applicable aggregate exercise price.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Weighted

		Average Grant Date
	# of RSUs	Fair Value
Unvested at beginning of period	128,803	\$99.22
Granted	247,783	\$69.97
Forfeited	(9,717)	\$77.94
Vested	(34,418)	\$81.96
Unvested at end of period	332,451	\$79.83

In the six months ended June 30, 2011, we awarded 50,363 RSUs that have a time-based restriction period that ends on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Each of these RSUs had a grant date fair value of \$69.43 and will be settled in cash. All other RSUs awarded in the six months ended June 30, 2011 have a time-based restriction period that ends on the third anniversary of the date of grant and will be settled in shares of our common stock. The total fair market value of the RSUs vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2,289.

5. Stock Repurchases

As of June 30, 2011, 1,736,725 shares remained available for repurchase under the share repurchase program (the Repurchase Program) authorized by our Board of Directors. The terms of the Repurchase Program provide that we may repurchase shares of our common stock, from time to time depending on market conditions and other considerations, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in accordance with Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Unless earlier terminated by our Board of Directors, the Repurchase Program will expire when we repurchase all shares authorized for repurchase thereunder.

The following table sets forth information regarding the shares of our common stock that we repurchased in the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Number of shares	1,100,000	1,000,000	3,100,000	1,952,500
Total cost	\$79,423	\$105,034	\$218,520	\$200,059
Average cost per share	\$72.20	\$105.03	\$70.49	\$102.46

6. Debt

We are a party to a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of January 11, 2010, as amended (the Credit Agreement), to borrow up to \$150,000 under two revolving credit facilities: one in the maximum principal amount of \$50,000; and the other in the maximum principal amount of \$100,000. The Credit Agreement was amended as of June 27, 2011 to:

extend the maturity date;

decrease the margin applicable to the interest rate that is based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and adjusted for any reserve percentage obligations under the Federal Reserve System regulations; and decrease the facility fee.

We can borrow under each credit facility on either a secured or unsecured basis at our election, except if an event that would be a default under the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing, we may not elect to borrow on an unsecured basis. Both revolving credit facilities under the Credit Agreement mature on July 1, 2014.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest, at our option, at the LIBOR plus an applicable margin or at an alternative base rate, as defined under the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we pay a facility fee equal to 0.25% per annum on the daily amount of the commitment (whether used or unused) under the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2011, the borrowings under the Credit Agreement were \$150,000, all of which were secured and bore interest at a rate of 0.61% per annum. Approximately \$157,950 of our investments and cash equivalents served as collateral for the secured borrowings as of June 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth the interest expense (including the facility fee) that we recognized on our borrowings under the Credit Agreement and under the prior credit agreement that was replaced by the Credit Agreement in the periods indicated:

Three Mor	nths Ended	Six Months Ended		
Jun	e 30,	June 30,		
2011	2010	2011	2010	
\$507	\$514	\$1,064	\$934	

7. Investments

Our available-for-sale investments were classified as short-term investments on our June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table sets forth the aggregate fair value, amortized cost basis and the net unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) of our available-for-sale investments as of the dates indicated:

					As of:				
	J	une 30, 2011		Dec	ember 31, 2010)	J	June 30, 2010	
			Net			Net			
			Unrealized			Unrealized			Net
	Aggregate	Amortized	Gains	Aggregate	Amortized	Gains	Aggregate	Amortized	Unrealized
	Fair Value	Cost	(Losses)	Fair Value	Cost	(Losses)	Fair Value	Cost	Gains
Available-for-Sale									
Investments:									
Government obligations	\$100,679	\$100,664	\$15	\$110,560	\$110,550	\$10	\$90,440	\$90,416	\$24
Government agency									
obligations	26,235	26,237	(2)	24,394	24,399	(5)	31,644	31,628	16
Corporate obligations	20,222	20,241	(19)	8,903	8,908	(5)	12,182	12,175	7
- •									
	\$147,136	\$147,142	(\$6)	\$143,857	\$143,857	\$0	\$134,266	\$134,219	\$47

We also held a certificate of deposit with a total principal value of \$5,303 as of December 31, 2010 and \$5,220 as of June 30, 2010. We did not hold a certificate of deposit as of June 30, 2011. This investment was included in Short-term investments on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the applicable dates. We had \$147,136 of debt securities classified as available-for-sale as of June 30, 2011, and all of those debt securities had contractual maturities within one year.

The following table sets forth the unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments that were included in other comprehensive income (loss) in the periods indicated:

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	Jur	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Unrealized gains	\$46	\$62	\$0	\$70	
Unrealized losses	\$0	\$0	(\$87)	\$0	

The following table sets forth the components of investment income included in Interest income in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income in the periods indicated:

	Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income on investments	\$116	\$213	\$271	\$366
Realized net gains on the sale of investments	51	6	196	99
	\$167	\$219	\$467	\$465

8. Variable Interests

On January 20, 2010, we entered into agreements with unrelated third parties to establish the PEAKS Private Student Loan Program (PEAKS Program), which is a private education loan program for our students. Under the PEAKS Program, an unaffiliated lender makes private education loans to our eligible students and, subsequently, sells those loans to an unaffiliated trust that purchases, owns and collects private education loans (PEAKS Trust). The PEAKS Trust issued senior debt in the aggregate principal amount of \$300,000 (PEAKS Senior Debt) to investors. The lender disburses the proceeds of the private education loans to us for application to the students—account balances with us that represent their unpaid education costs. We transfer a portion of the amount of each private education loan disbursed to us under the PEAKS Program to the PEAKS Trust in exchange for a subordinated note issued by the PEAKS Trust (Subordinated Note).

The Subordinated Note is non-interest bearing and has been recorded net of an unamortized discount based on an imputed interest rate of 9.0% in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The discount will be amortized over the term of the Subordinated Note, which is expected to be approximately 15 years. The face value of the Subordinated Note as of June 30, 2011 was approximately \$85,000.

The PEAKS Trust utilizes the proceeds from the issuance of the PEAKS Senior Debt and the Subordinated Note to purchase the private education loans made by the lender to our students. The assets of the PEAKS Trust (which include, among other assets, the private education loans owned by the PEAKS Trust) serve as collateral for, and are intended to be the principal source of, the repayment of the PEAKS Senior Debt and the Subordinated Note. We guarantee payment of the principal, interest and certain call premiums owed on the PEAKS Senior Debt, and the administrative fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust (PEAKS Guarantee). See Note 11 Contingencies, for further discussion of the PEAKS Guarantee.

We did not explicitly or implicitly provide any financial or other support to the PEAKS Trust during the three or six months ended June 30, 2011 or 2010 that we were not contractually required to provide, and we do not intend to provide any such support to the PEAKS Trust in the foreseeable future, other than what we are contractually required to provide.

- 10 -

Table of Contents

The PEAKS Trust is a variable interest entity as defined under ASC 810. We held variable interests in the PEAKS Trust as of June 30, 2011 as a result of the Subordinated Note and PEAKS Guarantee. To determine whether we were the primary beneficiary of the PEAKS Trust, we:

assessed the risks that the PEAKS Trust was designed to create and pass through to its variable interest holders;

identified the variable interests in the PEAKS Trust;

identified the other variable interest holders and their involvement in the activities of the PEAKS Trust;

identified the activities that most significantly impact the PEAKS Trust s economic performance;

determined whether we have the power to direct those activities; and

determined whether we have the right to receive the benefits from, or the obligation to absorb the losses of, the PEAKS Trust that could potentially be significant to the PEAKS Trust.

We determined that the activities of the PEAKS Trust that most significantly impact the economic performance of the PEAKS Trust involve:

establishing the underwriting criteria of, and the interest rates and fees charged on, the private education loans acquired by the PEAKS Trust: and

the servicing (which includes the collection) of the private education loans owned by the PEAKS Trust.

To make that determination, we analyzed various possible scenarios of student loan portfolio performance to evaluate the potential economic impact on the PEAKS Trust. In our analysis, we made what we believe are conservative assumptions based on historical data for the following key variables:

the composition of the credit profiles of the borrowers;

the interest rates and fees charged on the loans;

the default rates and the timing of defaults associated with similar types of loans; and

the prepayment and the speed of repayment associated with similar types of loans.

Based on our analysis, we concluded that we are not the primary beneficiary of the PEAKS Trust, because we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the PEAKS Trust. As a result, we are not required under ASC 810 to include the financial results of the PEAKS Trust in our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three or six months ended June 30, 2011. Our conclusion that we are not the primary beneficiary of the PEAKS Trust did not change from the prior reporting period. Therefore, there was no effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

On February 20, 2009, we entered into agreements with an unaffiliated entity (the 2009 Entity) to create a program that makes private education loans available to our students to help pay the students cost of education that student financial aid from federal, state and other sources do not cover (the 2009 Loan Program). Under the 2009 Loan Program, an unaffiliated lender makes private education loans to our eligible students and, subsequently, sells those loans to the 2009 Entity. The 2009 Entity purchases the private education loans from the lender utilizing funds received from its owners in exchange for participation interests in the private education loans acquired by the 2009 Entity. The lender disburses the proceeds of the private education loans to us for application to the students account balances with us that represent their unpaid education costs.

In connection with the 2009 Loan Program, we entered into a risk sharing agreement (the 2009 RSA) with the 2009 Entity under which we have guaranteed the repayment of any private education loans that are charged off above a certain percentage of the private education loans made under the 2009 Loan Program, based on the annual dollar volume. See Note 11 Contingencies, for further discussion of the 2009 RSA.

In addition, we have made advances to the 2009 Entity under a revolving promissory note (the Revolving Note). We provided the following advances to the 2009 Entity under the Revolving Note that we were not contractually required to provide:

\$200 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011; \$150 in the three months ended June 30, 2010; and \$2,719 in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Substantially all of the assets of the 2009 Entity serve as collateral for the Revolving Note. The Revolving Note bears interest, is subject to customary terms and conditions and may be repaid at any time without penalty prior to its 2026 maturity date.

The advances under the Revolving Note were used by the 2009 Entity primarily to purchase additional private education loans under the 2009 Loan Program that otherwise may not have been originated. We have no immediate plans to significantly increase the amount of advances that we make to the 2009 Entity under the Revolving Note, but we may decide to do so in the foreseeable future.

The 2009 Entity is a variable interest entity as defined under ASC 810. We held variable interests in the 2009 Entity as of June 30, 2011 as a result of the Revolving Note and 2009 RSA. To determine whether we were the primary beneficiary of the 2009 Entity, we:

assessed the risks that the 2009 Entity was designed to create and pass through to its variable interest holders;

- 11 -

identified the variable interests in the 2009 Entity;

identified the other variable interest holders and their involvement in the activities of the 2009 Entity;

identified the activities that most significantly impact the 2009 Entity s economic performance;

determined whether we have the power to direct those activities; and

determined whether we have the right to receive the benefits from, or the obligation to absorb the losses of, the 2009

Entity that could potentially be significant to the 2009 Entity.

To identify the activities of the 2009 Entity that most significantly impact the economic performance of the 2009 Entity, we analyzed various possible scenarios of private education loan portfolio performance. In our analysis, we made what we believe are conservative assumptions based on historical data for the following key variables:

the composition of the credit profiles of the borrowers;

the interest rates and fees charged on the loans;

the default rates and the timing of defaults associated with similar types of loans; and

the prepayment and the speed of repayment associated with similar types of loans.

We determined that the activities of the 2009 Entity that most significantly impact its economic performance involve:

establishing the underwriting criteria of, and the interest rates and fees charged on, the private education loans acquired by the 2009 Entity; and

the servicing (which includes the collection) of the private education loans owned by the 2009 Entity.

Based on our analysis, we concluded that we are not the primary beneficiary of the 2009 Entity, because we do not direct those activities. As a result, we are not required under ASC 810 to include the financial results of the 2009 Entity in our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three or six months ended June 30, 2011. Our conclusion that we are not the primary beneficiary of the 2009 Entity did not change from the prior reporting period. Therefore, there was no effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

The carrying value of the Subordinated Note and the Revolving Note as of June 30, 2011 was \$17,896 and is included in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

9. Earnings Per Common Share

Earnings per common share for all periods have been calculated in conformity with ASC 260, Earnings Per Share . This data is based on historical net income and the weighted average number of shares of our common stock outstanding during each period as set forth in the following table:

	Three Mor June	nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010 (In thou	2011	2010
Shares:		(III tilot	isarras)	
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding	27,474	34,081	28,275	34,552
Shares assumed issued (less shares assumed purchased for treasury) for stock-based				
compensation	245	444	236	458
Outstanding shares for diluted earnings per share calculation	27,719	34,525	28,511	35,010

A total of 1,107,236 shares at June 30, 2011 and 577,174 shares at June 30, 2010 were excluded from the calculation of our diluted earnings per common share because the effect was anti-dilutive.

10. Employee Pension Benefits

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension benefit of the ESI Pension Plan and ESI Excess Pension Plan for the periods indicated:

	Three I Ended J	Months June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest cost	\$598	\$ 720	\$1,196	\$1,440
Expected return on assets	(1,147)	(1,110)	(2,294)	(2,220)
Recognized net actuarial loss	476	377	952	754
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost	(346)	7	(692)	14
Net periodic pension (benefit)	(\$419)	(\$6)	(\$838)	(\$12)

The benefit accruals under the ESI Pension Plan and ESI Excess Pension Plan were frozen effective March 31, 2006. As a result, no service cost has been included in the net periodic pension benefit.

The rates at which interest is credited under the ESI Pension Plan and ESI Excess Pension Plan were changed effective January 1, 2011. This was the primary cause of the lower interest cost in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. This change also resulted in the recognition of a prior service credit, which is being amortized and is included in the net periodic pension benefit in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

We made no contributions to the ESI Pension Plan or the ESI Excess Pension Plan in the three or six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. We do not expect to make any contributions to the ESI Pension Plan or the ESI Excess Pension Plan in 2011.

11. Contingencies

As part of our normal operations, one of our insurers issues surety bonds for us that are required by various education authorities that regulate us. We are obligated to reimburse our insurer for any of those surety bonds that are paid by the insurer. As of June 30, 2011, the total face amount of those surety bonds was approximately \$29,000.

We are also subject to various claims and contingencies in the ordinary course of our business, including those related to litigation, business transactions, employee-related matters and taxes, among others. We cannot assure you of the ultimate outcome of any litigation involving us. Although we believe that our estimates related to any litigation are reasonable, deviations from our estimates could produce a materially different result. Any litigation alleging violations of education or consumer protection laws and/or regulations, misrepresentation, fraud or deceptive practices may also subject our affected campuses to additional regulatory scrutiny. The following is a description of pending litigation that falls outside the scope of litigation incidental to the ordinary course of our business.

On November 3, 2010, a complaint in a securities class action lawsuit was filed against us and two of our current executive officers in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *Operating Engineers Construction Industry and Miscellaneous Pension Fund, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. ITT Educational Services, Inc., et al.* (the Securities Litigation). On January 21, 2011, the court named the Wyoming Retirement System as the lead plaintiff in the Securities Litigation. On April 1, 2011, an amended complaint was filed in the Securities Litigation under the following caption: *In re ITT Educational Services, Inc. Securities and Shareholder Derivative Litigation.* The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that:

the defendants violated Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by creating and implementing a systemically predatory business model that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of our common stock during the class period by misrepresenting our financials and future business prospects;

the defendants misrepresentations and material omissions caused our common stock to trade at artificially inflated prices throughout the class period; and

the market s expectations were ultimately corrected on August 13, 2010 when the ED published the loan repayment rate of our students under a formula contained in proposed regulations published by the ED on July 26, 2010.

The putative class period in this action is from October 23, 2008 through August 13, 2010. The plaintiff seeks, among other things, the designation of this action as a class action, and an award of unspecified compensatory damages, interest, costs, expenses, attorneys fees and expert fees. All of the defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations made in the complaint. There can be no assurance, however, that the ultimate outcome of this or other actions (including other actions under federal or state securities laws) will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On November 12, 2010, a complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against three of our current executive officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *Antonio Cosing, Derivatively and On Behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* (the Cosing Lawsuit). The complaint alleges, among other things, that from October 23, 2008 through August 13, 2010, the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us, abused their ability to control and influence us, grossly mismanaged us, caused us to waste corporate assets and were unjustly enriched, by making false and misleading statements and engaging in fraudulent business practices. The complaint seeks, among other things, unspecified damages, equitable and/or injunctive relief, restitution, disgorgement of profits, benefits and other compensation, an order directing us to reform our corporate governance and internal procedures, costs, disbursements and attorneys fees. All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint. On December 14, 2010, the Cosing Lawsuit was consolidated into the Securities Litigation.

On November 22, 2010, another complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against seven of our current officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana under the following caption: *Roger B. Orensteen*, *derivatively on behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* (the Orensteen Lawsuit). The complaint alleges, among other things, that, from January 2008 through August 2010, the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, breached their fiduciary duties to us, abused their ability to control and influence us, grossly mismanaged us, caused us to waste corporate assets and were unjustly enriched, by making false and misleading statements and engaging in fraudulent business practices. The complaint seeks, among other things, unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits, benefits and other compensation, an order directing us to reform our corporate governance and internal procedures, costs, disbursements and attorneys fees. All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint.

- 13 -

On December 3, 2010, another complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against two of our current executive officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *J. Kent Gregory*, *derivatively on behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* (the Gregory Lawsuit). The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us, were unjustly enriched by us and misappropriated information about us, by making false and misleading statements and engaging in fraudulent business practices. The complaint seeks, among other things, unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits, benefits and other compensation, an order directing us to reform our corporate governance and internal procedures, costs, disbursements and attorneys fees. All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint. The Gregory Lawsuit was consolidated into the Cosing Lawsuit on December 13, 2010 and further consolidated into the Securities Litigation on December 14, 2010.

We considered the guidance from ASC 450, Contingencies, regarding providing an estimate of losses in connection with the Securities Litigation and Orensteen Lawsuit. We have not accrued any amounts with respect to the Securities Litigation or Orensteen Lawsuit, because we have determined that either a loss is remote or we cannot reasonably estimate the likely possible loss or range of losses.

Guarantees. We entered into the PEAKS Guarantee in connection with the PEAKS Program. Under the PEAKS Guarantee, we guarantee payment of the principal, interest and certain call premiums owed on the PEAKS Senior Debt, and the administrative fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust. The PEAKS Senior Debt bears interest at a variable rate based on the LIBOR plus an applicable margin and matures in January 2020. The PEAKS Guarantee agreement contains, among other things, representations and warranties and events of default customary for guarantees. In addition, under the PEAKS Program, some or all of the holders of the PEAKS Senior Debt could require us to purchase their PEAKS Senior Debt in certain limited circumstances that pertain to our continued eligibility to participate in the federal student financial aid programs under Title IV (the Title IV Programs) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (the HEA). We believe that the likelihood of those limited circumstances occurring is remote. Our guarantee and purchase obligations under the PEAKS Program remain in effect until the PEAKS Senior Debt and the PEAKS Trust s fees and expenses are paid in full. At such time, we will be entitled to repayment of the amount of any payments made under the PEAKS Guarantee to the extent that funds are remaining in the PEAKS Trust.

The maximum future payments that we could be required to make under the PEAKS Guarantee include:

up to \$300,000 in principal of PEAKS Senior Debt; accrued interest on the PEAKS Senior Debt; certain call premiums associated with the PEAKS Senior Debt; and the fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust.

We are not able to estimate the undiscounted maximum potential amount of future payments that we could be required to make under the PEAKS Guarantee, because those payments will be affected by:

the amount of the private education loans made under the PEAKS Program;

the fact that those loans will consist of a large number of loans of individually immaterial amounts;

the repayment performance of those loans, the proceeds from which will be used to repay the PEAKS Senior Debt and to pay the fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust;

the fact that the interest rate on the PEAKS Senior Debt is a variable rate based on the LIBOR plus a margin;

whether certain call premiums will be payable in connection with the PEAKS Senior Debt; and

the amount of fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust.

We entered into the 2009 RSA in connection with the 2009 Loan Program. Under the 2009 RSA, we have guaranteed the repayment of the principal amount (including capitalized origination fees) and accrued interest payable on any private education loans that are charged off above a certain percentage of the private education loans made under the 2009 Loan Program, based on the annual dollar volume. The total initial principal amount of private education loans that the 2009 Entity is expected to purchase under the 2009 Loan Program is approximately \$141,000. Our obligations under the 2009 RSA will remain in effect until all private education loans made under the 2009 Loan Program are paid in full or charged off. The standard repayment term for a private education loan made under the 2009 Loan Program is ten years, with repayment generally beginning six months after a student graduates or three months after a student withdraws or is terminated from his or her program of study.

Pursuant to the 2009 RSA, we are required to maintain collateral to secure our guarantee obligation in an amount equal to a percentage of the outstanding balance of the private education loans disbursed to our students under the 2009 Loan Program. As of June 30, 2011, the total

collateral maintained in a restricted bank account was not material. This amount is included in Other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011. The 2009 RSA also requires that we comply with certain covenants, including that we maintain certain financial ratios which are measured on a quarterly basis. We were in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2011.

- 14 -

We also are a party to a separate risk sharing agreement (the 2007 RSA) with a different lender for certain private education loans that were made to our students in 2007 and early 2008. We guaranteed the repayment of any private education loans that the lender charges off above a certain percentage of the total dollar volume of private education loans made under this agreement. We will have the right to pursue repayment from the borrowers for those charged off private education loans under the 2007 RSA that we pay to the lender pursuant to our guarantee obligation. The 2007 RSA was terminated effective February 22, 2008, such that no private education loans have been or will be made under the 2007 RSA after that date. Based on information that we have received to date from the lender, we believe that the total original principal amount of private education loans made under the 2007 RSA, net of amounts refunded under those loans, was approximately \$180,000. Our obligations under the 2007 RSA will remain in effect until all private education loans under the agreement are paid in full or charged off by the lender. The standard repayment term for a private education loan made under the 2007 RSA is ten years, with repayment generally beginning six months after a student graduates, withdraws or is terminated from his or her program of study.

As of June 30, 2011, we had not made any guarantee payments under the PEAKS Guarantee, the 2009 RSA or the 2007 RSA. At the end of each reporting period, we assess whether we should recognize a contingent liability related to our guarantees under the PEAKS Guarantee, the 2009 RSA and the 2007 RSA and, if so, in what amount. As of June 30, 2011, our recorded liability for the guarantee obligations related to these arrangements was not material and is included in Other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Forward-Looking Statements

All statements, trend analyses and other information contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements are made based on our management s current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. You can identify those statements by the use of words such as could, should, would, may, will, project, believe, anticipate, expect, plan, estimate, forecast, potential, continue and contemplate, as well as similar words and expressions. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and do not guarantee future performance. We cannot assure you that future developments affecting us will be those anticipated by our management. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements are the following:

changes in federal and state governmental laws and regulations with respect to education and accreditation standards, or the interpretation or enforcement of those laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the level of government funding for, and our eligibility to participate in, student financial aid programs utilized by our students;

business conditions and growth in the postsecondary education industry and in the general economy;

our failure to comply with the extensive education laws and regulations and accreditation standards that we are subject to; effects of any change in our ownership resulting in a change in control, including, but not limited to, the consequences of such changes on the accreditation and federal and state regulation of our campuses;

 $our\ ability\ to\ implement\ our\ growth\ strategies;$

our failure to maintain or renew required federal or state authorizations or accreditations of our campuses or programs of study; receptivity of students and employers to our existing program offerings and new curricula;

loss of access by our students to lenders for education loans;

our ability to collect internally funded financing from our students;

our exposure under our guarantees related to private student loan programs; and

our ability to successfully defend litigation and other claims brought against us.

Readers are also directed to other risks and uncertainties discussed in other documents we file with the SEC, including, without limitation, those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors. of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC, in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011 and in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Overview

You should keep in mind the following points as you read this report:

References in this document to we, us, our and ITT/ESI refer to ITT Educational Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

- 15 -

The terms ITT Technical Institute or Daniel Webster College (in singular or plural form) refer to an individual campus owned and operated by ITT/ESI, including its learning sites, if any. The terms institution or campus group (in singular or plural form) mean a main campus and its additional locations, branch campuses and/or learning sites, if any.

This management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the same titled section contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC for discussion of, among other matters, the following items:

cash receipts from financial aid programs;
nature of capital additions;
seasonality of revenue;
components of income statement captions;
federal regulations regarding:
 timing of receipt of funds from the Title IV Programs;
 percentage of applicable revenue that may be derived from the Title IV Programs;
 return of Title IV Program funds for withdrawn students; and
 default rates;
private loan programs;
investments; and
repurchase of shares of our common stock.

This management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from those estimates and judgments under different assumptions or conditions.

In this management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, when we discuss factors that contributed to a change in our financial condition or results of operations, we disclose the primary factors that materially contributed to that change.

Background

We are a leading provider of technology-oriented postsecondary education programs in the United States based on revenue and student enrollment. As of June 30, 2011, we were offering master, bachelor and associate degree programs to more than 78,000 students. As of June 30, 2011, we had 135 locations (including 131 campuses and four learning sites) in 38 states. All of our locations are authorized by the applicable education authorities of the states in which they operate, and are accredited by an accrediting commission recognized by the ED. We design our education programs, after consultation with employers and other constituents, to help graduates prepare for careers in various fields involving their areas of study. We have provided career-oriented education programs since 1969 under the ITT Technical Institute name and since June 2009 under the Daniel Webster College name.

In the second quarter of 2011, we began operations at one new ITT Technical Institute campus. We plan to begin operations at seven to nine new locations during the remainder of 2011. Our overall expansion plans include:

increasing student enrollment in existing programs at existing campuses; increasing the number and types of program offerings that are delivered in residence and/or online; operating new campuses across the United States; and adding learning sites to existing campuses.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from those estimates and judgments under different assumptions or conditions. We have discussed the critical accounting policies that we believe affect our more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of the Operations

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC. There have been no material changes to those critical accounting policies or the underlying accounting

estimates or judgments.

New Accounting Guidance

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, which is included in the Codification under ASC 220. This update requires total comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income to be presented either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance will be effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2012. Currently, we present total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income in the statement of shareholders equity. The adoption of this guidance will require us to present comprehensive income on a different statement.

- 16 -

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, which is included in the Codification under ASC 820. This update provides guidance and clarification about the application of existing fair value measurements and disclosure requirements. This guidance will be effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2012. We have not yet determined the effect that the adoption of this guidance will have on our financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, which is included in the Codification under ASC 805. This update provides guidance on the disclosure of supplemental pro forma information for business combinations. This guidance became effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Also in December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, which is included in the Codification under ASC 350. This update provides guidance on applying the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. This guidance became effective for our interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the percentage relationship of certain statement of income data to revenue for the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of educational services	36.7%	33.3%	36.3%	34.1%
Student services and administrative expenses	29.8%	27.6%	28.6%	27.7%
•				
Operating income	33.5%	39.1%	35.1%	38.1%
Interest income, net	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Income before provision for income taxes	33.6%	39.1%	35.2%	38.1%

The following table sets forth our total student enrollment as of the dates indicated:

	2011		2010	
	Total Student	Increase /(Decrease) To	Total Student	Increase To
Total Student Enrollment as of:	Enrollment	Prior Year	Enrollment	Prior Year
March 31	84,030	(0.6%)	84,555	28.9%
June 30	78,743	(7.0%)	84,695	21.2%
September 30	Not applicable	Not applicable	88,004	11.1%
December 31	Not applicable	Not applicable	84,686	4.9%

Total student enrollment includes all new and continuing students. A continuing student is any student who, in the academic term being measured, is enrolled in a program of study at one of our campuses and was enrolled in the same program at any of our campuses at the end of the immediately preceding academic term. A new student is any student who, in the academic term being measured, enrolls in and begins attending any program of study at one of our campuses:

for the first time at that campus;

after graduating in a prior academic term from a different program of study at that campus; or after having withdrawn or been terminated from a program of study at that campus.

- 17 -

The following table sets forth our new student enrollment in the periods indicated:

	20	11	20	10
New Student Enrollment				
in the Three	New Student	Increase / (Decrease) To	New Student	Increase / (Decrease) To
Months Ended:	Enrollment	Prior Year	Enrollment	Prior Year
March 31	21,761	(5.6%)	23,064	21.8%
June 30	17,351	(19.9%)	21,673	10.1%
September 30	Not applicable	Not applicable	26,664	(3.9%)
December 31	Not applicable	Not applicable	17,722	(9.4%)
Total for the year	Not applicable	Not applicable	89,123	3.7%

We believe that the 19.9% decrease in new student enrollment in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 resulted primarily from:

a decrease in the rate at which prospective students who applied for enrollment actually began attending classes in their programs of study; and

reductions in the levels of advertising in the traditional media sources that we utilize due to increased costs of those sources, which resulted in a reduction in the number of prospective students who inquired about our programs of study.

We also believe that new student enrollment will continue to decrease at least through the third and fourth quarters of 2011 compared to the same prior year periods.

At the vast majority of our campuses, we generally organize the academic schedule for programs of study offered on the basis of four 12-week academic quarters in a calendar year. The academic quarters typically begin in early March, mid-June, early September and late November or early December. To measure the persistence of our students, the number of continuing students in any academic term is divided by the total student enrollment in the immediately preceding academic term.

The following table sets forth the rates of our students persistence as of the dates indicated:

	Student Persistence as of (1):			
Year	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
2009	75.3%	75.3%	73.6%	77.3%
2010	76.1%	74.5%	72.4%	76.1%
2011	73.5%	73.1%	Not applicable	Not applicable

⁽¹⁾ Students enrolled at Daniel Webster College have been included beginning with the rate as of September 30, 2009. The inclusion of Daniel Webster College students in our students persistence did not have a material impact.

The decrease in student persistence as of June 30 and March 31, 2011 and December 31, September 30 and June 30, 2010 compared to the corresponding prior year dates was primarily due to, in order of significance:

a higher number of students who graduated at the end of the academic periods that began in March 2011 and December, September, June and March 2010 compared to the end of the same academic periods in the prior year; and

a slight decrease in student retention in the academic periods that began in December, September, June and March 2010 compared to the same academic periods in the prior year.

We believe that the slight decrease in student retention in the academic period that began in December 2010 was due primarily to weather-related disruptions that affected the academic calendar. In the absence of those disruptions, we believe that student retention in the academic period that began in December 2010 would have been substantially similar to student retention in the same academic period that began in 2009.

We believe that student persistence may decline as of September 30 and December 31, 2011 compared to the same dates in 2010, primarily due to a significant increase in the number of students who are scheduled to graduate in the remaining fiscal quarters of 2011 compared to the same fiscal quarters in 2010. A decline in student persistence, along with anticipated decreases in new student enrollment, would negatively impact our total student enrollment in 2011.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2010. Revenue decreased \$14.0 million, or 3.5%, to \$387.9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$401.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that contributed to this decrease included, in order of significance:

a 7.0% decrease in total student enrollment as of June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010; an increase in the amount of institutional scholarships and other awards that we granted to our students in the three months ended June 30, 2011; and

the impact of the private education loan programs utilized by our students on the accounting for revenue earned.

- 18 -

Table of Contents

The primary factors that contributed to the decrease in total student enrollment as of June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010 included, in order of significance:

the 19.9% decrease in new student enrollment in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same prior year period; and an increase in the number of students who graduated in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same prior year period.

While we have typically increased the tuition rates for our programs of study annually, we have not increased, and do not intend to increase, the tuition rates in 2011. In addition, we believe that the amount of scholarships and other awards available to our students will continue to increase in 2011. We believe that the combination of these two factors, as well as the continued impact of private education loan programs on the accounting for revenue earned, will result in a decrease in the average revenue per student in 2011 compared to 2010.

Cost of educational services increased \$8.5 million, or 6.4%, to \$142.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$133.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that contributed to this increase included, in order of significance:

an increase in compensation and benefit costs associated with a greater number of employees; the increased costs associated with operating new campuses; and an increase in legal expenses.

Cost of educational services as a percentage of revenue increased 340 basis points to 36.7% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 33.3% in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that contributed to this increase included, in order of significance:

an increase in compensation and benefit costs compared to a decline in revenue; and costs associated with operating new campuses.

Student services and administrative expenses increased \$4.7 million, or 4.2%, to \$115.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$111.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The principal cause of this increase was an increase in media advertising expenditures, which was partially offset by a reduction in the amount of bad debt expense.

Student services and administrative expenses increased to 29.8% of revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 27.6% of revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The principal cause of this increase was an increase in media expenses as a percentage of revenue, which was partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue. Bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue decreased to 4.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 5.7% in the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily as a result of a decrease in the amount of internal student financing that we provided to our students in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, due to the amount of institutional scholarships and other awards and the private education loan programs available to our students in the three months ended June 30, 2011. We believe that our bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue will be in the range of 4.0% to 6.0% in 2011.

Operating income decreased \$27.2 million, or 17.3%, to \$130.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$157.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the impact of the factors discussed above in connection with revenue, cost of educational services, and student services and administrative expenses. Our operating margin decreased to 33.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 39.1% in the three months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the impact of the factors discussed above.

Interest income increased \$0.3 million, or 48.2%, to \$0.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$0.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to amortization of the discount on the Subordinated Note. Interest expense was \$0.5 million in both the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Our combined federal and state effective income tax rate was 39.4% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 38.9% in the three months ended June 30, 2010. Our combined effective income tax rate increased primarily due to changes in state income tax laws.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2010. Revenue decreased \$14.8 million, or 1.9%, to \$771.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$785.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that

contributed to this decrease included, in order of significance:

the impact of the private education loan programs utilized by our students on the accounting for revenue earned; a 7.0% decrease in total student enrollment as of June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010; and an increase in the amount of institutional scholarships and other awards that we granted to our students in the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The decrease in revenue was partially offset by:

a 4.9% increase in total student enrollment at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009; and

- 19 -

Table of Contents

a 5.0% increase in tuition rates in March 2010.

Cost of educational services increased \$12.1 million, or 4.5%, to \$280.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$268.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that contributed to this increase included, in order of significance:

an increase in compensation and benefit costs associated with a greater number of employees; the increased costs associated with operating new campuses; and an increase in legal expenses.

Cost of educational services as a percentage of revenue increased 220 basis points to 36.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 34.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factors that contributed to this increase included, in order of significance:

an increase in compensation and benefit costs compared to a decline in revenue; costs associated with operating new campuses; and an increase in legal expenses compared to a decline in revenue.

Student services and administrative expenses increased \$2.3 million, or 1.1%, to \$220.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$217.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The principal causes of this increase included, in order of significance:

an increase in media advertising expenditures; and an increase in compensation and benefit costs. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense.

Student services and administrative expenses increased to 28.6% of revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 27.7% of revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The principal cause of this increase was an increase in media expenses as a percentage of revenue, which was partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue to 3.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 5.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The primary factor that contributed to the decrease in bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue was a decrease in the amount of internal student financing that we provided to our students in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, due to the amount of institutional scholarships and other awards and the private education loan programs available to our students in the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Operating income decreased \$29.1 million, or 9.7%, to \$270.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$299.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the impact of the factors discussed above in connection with revenue, cost of educational services, and student services and administrative expenses. Our operating margin decreased to 35.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 38.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2010, as a result of the impact of the factors discussed above.

Interest income increased \$0.4 million, or 30.8%, to \$1.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$1.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to amortization of the discount on the Subordinated Note. Interest expense increased \$0.1 million, or 13.9%, to \$1.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$0.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, due to an increase in the effective interest rate on our revolving credit facilities.

Our combined federal and state effective income tax rate was 39.4% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 38.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Our combined effective income tax rate increased primarily due to changes in state income tax laws and the conclusion of certain state income tax audits.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents were \$158.2 million as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$163.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$140.5 million as of June 30, 2010. We also had short-term investments of \$147.1 million as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$149.2 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$139.5 million as of June 30, 2010. In total, our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$305.4 million as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$312.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$280.0 million as of June 30, 2010. Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments as of June 30, 2011:

decreased \$7.6 million compared to December 31, 2010, primarily due to repurchases of our common stock, which was partially offset by cash generated from operations; and

increased \$25.4 million compared to June 30, 2010, primarily due to cash generated from operations, which was partially offset by repurchases of our common stock.

We are required to recognize the funded status of our defined benefit postretirement plans on our balance sheet. We recorded an asset of \$12.9 million for the ESI Pension Plan, a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan commonly referred to as a cash balance plan, and a liability of \$0.3 million for the ESI Excess Pension Plan, a nonqualified, unfunded retirement plan, on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011.

- 20 -

Table of Contents

We do not expect to make any contributions to the ESI Pension Plan or the ESI Excess Pension Plan in 2011. In 2010, we made no contributions to either the ESI Pension Plan or ESI Excess Pension Plan.

Operations. Cash flows from operating activities decreased \$26.5 million to \$60.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$87.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to lower student enrollments.

Cash flows from operating activities decreased \$3.5 million to \$242.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$246.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to lower student enrollments which were partially offset by an increase in funds received from private education loans made to our students by third party lenders.

Accounts receivable less allowance for doubtful accounts was \$47.0 million as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$98.4 million as of June 30, 2010. Days sales outstanding decreased 11.3 days to 11.0 days at June 30, 2011 compared to 22.3 days at June 30, 2010. Our accounts receivable balance and days sales outstanding at June 30, 2011 decreased primarily due to, in order of significance:

an increase in the amount of scholarships and other awards provided to our students; and an increase in the amount of funds received from private education loan programs available to our students.

The amount of scholarships and other awards provided to our students increased 27.4% to \$41.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$32.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. We believe that our days sales outstanding at the end of 2011 will be in the range of 10 to 15 days.

Investing. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, we spent \$1.2 million to renovate, expand and construct buildings at nine of our locations, compared to \$1.8 million for similar expenditures at 13 of our locations in the three months ended June 30, 2010. In the six months ended June 30, 2011, we spent \$1.7 million to renovate, expand or construct buildings at 11 of our locations compared to \$2.6 million for similar expenditures at 17 of our locations in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Capital expenditures, excluding facility and land purchases and facility construction, totaled:

\$7.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$7.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010; and \$12.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$12.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

These expenditures consisted primarily of classroom and laboratory equipment (such as computers and electronic equipment), classroom and office furniture, software and leasehold improvements.

We plan to continue to upgrade and expand our current facilities and equipment in 2011. Cash generated from operations is expected to be sufficient to fund our capital expenditure requirements.

Financing. We are a party to the Credit Agreement which provides that we may borrow up to \$150.0 million under two revolving credit facilities: one in the maximum principal amount of \$50.0 million; and the other in the maximum principal amount of \$100.0 million. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are used to allow us to continue repurchasing shares of our common stock while maintaining compliance with certain financial ratios required by the ED, the state education authorities that regulate our locations and the accrediting agencies that accredit our locations.

We can borrow under each credit facility on either a secured or unsecured basis at our election, except if an event that would be a default under the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing, we may not elect to borrow on an unsecured basis. The availability of borrowings under the Credit Agreement is subject to our ability at the time of borrowing to satisfy certain specified conditions. These conditions include the absence of default by us, as defined in the Credit Agreement, and that the representations and warranties contained in the Credit Agreement and related loan documents continue to be true and correct. Under the Credit Agreement, we are also required to maintain:

a certain maximum leverage ratio at the end of each of our fiscal quarters;

a quarterly minimum ratio of cash and investments to indebtedness; and a minimum ED financial responsibility composite ratio as of the end of each fiscal year.

We were in compliance with the applicable ratio requirements as of June $30,\,2011.$

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest, at our option, at the LIBOR plus an applicable margin or at an alternative base rate as defined under the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we pay a facility fee equal to 0.25% per annum on the daily amount of the commitment (whether used or unused) under the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2011, the borrowings under the Credit Agreement were \$150.0 million, all of which were secured, and bore interest at a rate of 0.61% per annum. Approximately \$158.0 million of our investments and cash equivalents served as collateral for the secured borrowings as of June 30, 2011.

The Credit Agreement was amended as of June 27, 2011 to:

extend the maturity date of the revolving credit facilities from May 1, 2012 to July 1, 2014;

- 21 -

Table of Contents

decrease the margin applicable to the interest rate that is based on the LIBOR and adjusted for any reserve percentage obligations under the Federal Reserve System regulations from 0.475% to 0.425% for secured borrowings and from 2.00% to 1.75% for unsecured borrowings; and

decrease the facility fee from 0.30% to 0.25% per annum on the daily amount of the commitment (whether used or unused) under the Credit Agreement.

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to repurchase shares of our common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions in accordance with Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act under the Repurchase Program. The following table sets forth information regarding our share repurchase activity in the periods indicated:

	Three Months	Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Number of shares repurchased	1,100,000	1,000,000	3,100,000	1,952,500	
Total cost of shares repurchased (in millions)	\$79.4	\$105.0	\$218.5	\$200.1	
Average cost per share	\$72.20	\$105.03	\$70.49	\$102.46	

Approximately 1.7 million shares remained available for repurchase under the Repurchase Program as of June 30, 2011. In July 2011, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase an additional 5.0 million shares of our common stock pursuant to our existing repurchase authorization. Pursuant to the Board's stock repurchase authorization, we plan to repurchase additional shares of our common stock from time to time in the future depending on market conditions and other considerations.

We believe that cash generated from operations and our investments will be adequate to satisfy our working capital, loan repayment and capital expenditure requirements for the foreseeable future. We also believe that any reduction in cash and cash equivalents or investments that may result from their use to provide student financing, purchase facilities, construct facilities, repay loans or repurchase shares of our common stock will not have a material adverse effect on our expansion plans, planned capital expenditures, ability to meet any applicable regulatory financial responsibility standards or ability to conduct normal operations.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our specified contractual obligations as of June 30, 2011:

	Payments Due by Period					
	Less than				More than	
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	5 Years	
	(In thousands)					
Operating lease obligations	\$185,178	\$48,524	\$83,918	\$42,676	\$10,060	
Long-term debt, including scheduled interest payments	\$153,940	\$1,316	\$2,624	\$150,000	\$0	
Total	\$339,118	\$49,840	\$86,542	\$192,676	\$10,060	

The long-term debt represents our revolving credit facilities under the Credit Agreement and assumes that the \$150.0 million outstanding balance under the facilities as of June 30, 2011 will be outstanding at all times through the date of maturity. The amounts shown include the principal payments that will be due upon maturity as well as interest payments and facility fees. Interest payments have been calculated based on their scheduled payment dates using the interest rate charged on our borrowings as of June 30, 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2011, we leased our non-owned facilities under operating lease agreements. A majority of the operating leases contain renewal options that can be exercised after the initial lease term. Renewal options are generally for periods of one to five years. All operating leases will expire over the next 13 years and management believes that:

those leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases in the normal course of business; we may purchase the facilities represented by those leases; or we may purchase or build other replacement facilities.

There are no material restrictions imposed by the lease agreements, and we have not entered into any significant guarantees related to the leases. We are required to make additional payments under the terms of certain operating leases for taxes, insurance and other operating expenses incurred during the operating lease period.

As part of our normal course of operations, one of our insurers issues surety bonds for us that are required by various education authorities that regulate us. We are obligated to reimburse our insurer for any of those surety bonds that are paid by the insurer. As of June 30, 2011, the total face amount of those surety bonds was approximately \$29 million.

On January 20, 2010, we entered into agreements with unrelated parties to establish the PEAKS Program. Under the PEAKS Program, an unaffiliated lender makes private education loans to our eligible students and, subsequently, sells those loans to the PEAKS Trust. The PEAKS Trust has issued PEAKS Senior Debt in the aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million to investors. The assets of the PEAKS Trust (which include, among other assets, the student loans held by the PEAKS Trust) serve as collateral for, and are intended to be the principal source of, the repayment of the PEAKS Senior Debt. The PEAKS Senior Debt bears interest at a variable rate based on the LIBOR plus a margin and matures in January 2020.

- 22 -

Table of Contents

In connection with the PEAKS Program, we transfer to the PEAKS Trust a portion of the amount of each private student loan disbursed to us, in exchange for a Subordinated Note. The Subordinated Note does not bear interest, and principal is due on the Subordinated Note following the repayment of the PEAKS Senior Debt, the payment of fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust and the reimbursement of the amount of any payments made by us under the PEAKS Guarantee. The PEAKS Trust utilizes the proceeds from the issuance of the PEAKS Senior Debt and the Subordinated Note to purchase the student loans from the lender.

Under the PEAKS Guarantee, we guarantee payment of the principal, interest and certain call premiums owed on the PEAKS Senior Debt, and the administrative fees and expenses of the PEAKS Trust. The PEAKS Guarantee contains, among other things, representations and warranties and events of default customary for guarantees. In addition, under the PEAKS Program, some or all of the holders of the PEAKS Senior Debt could require us to purchase their PEAKS Senior Debt in certain limited circumstances that pertain to our continued eligibility to participate in the Title IV Programs. We believe that the likelihood of those limited circumstances occurring is remote. Our guarantee and purchase obligations under the PEAKS Program remain in effect until the PEAKS Senior Debt and the PEAKS Trust s fees and expenses are paid in full. At such time, we will be entitled to repayment of the amount of any payments made under our guarantee and payment of the Subordinated Note, in each case only to the extent of available funds remaining in the PEAKS Trust.

We entered into the PEAKS Program to offer our students another source of private education loans that they could use to help pay their education costs owed to us and to supplement the limited amount of private education loans available to our students under other private education loans programs, including the 2009 Loan Program. Under the PEAKS Program, our students have access to a greater amount of private education loans, which has resulted in a reduction in the amount of internal financing that we provide to our students.

In February 2009, we entered into the 2009 Loan Program. In connection with the 2009 Loan Program, we entered into the 2009 RSA under which we have guaranteed the repayment of the principal amount (including capitalized origination fees) and accrued interest payable on any private education loans that are charged off above a certain percentage of the private education loans made under the 2009 Loan Program, based on the annual dollar volume. The total initial principal amount of private education loans that the 2009 Entity is expected to purchase under the 2009 Loan Program is approximately \$141.0 million. No private education loans will be made under the 2009 Loan Program after December 31, 2011. Our obligations under the 2009 RSA will remain in effect until all private education loans made under the 2009 Loan Program are paid in full or charged off. The standard repayment term for a private education loan made under the 2009 Loan Program is ten years, with repayment generally beginning six months after a student graduates or three months after a student withdraws or is terminated from his or her program of study.

Pursuant to the 2009 RSA, we are required to maintain collateral to secure our guarantee obligation in an amount equal to a percentage of the outstanding balance of the private education loans disbursed to our students under the 2009 Loan Program. As of June 30, 2011, the total collateral maintained in a restricted bank account was not material. The 2009 RSA also requires that we comply with certain covenants, including that we maintain certain financial ratios which are measured on a quarterly basis. We were in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2011.

In addition, beginning in the second quarter of 2009, we have made advances to the unaffiliated third party that is holding the private education loans made to our students under the 2009 Loan Program. We made the advances, which bear interest, so that the third party could use those funds to provide additional funding for the private education loans, instead of retaining the funds ourselves and providing internal student financing, which is non-interest bearing. The Revolving Note bears interest at a rate based on the prime rate plus an applicable margin. Substantially all of the assets of the third party serve as collateral for the Revolving Note. The Revolving Note is subject to customary terms and conditions and may be repaid at any time without penalty prior to its 2026 maturity date.

We also are a party to the 2007 RSA with a different lender for certain private education loans that were made to our students in 2007 and early 2008. We guaranteed the repayment of any private education loans that the lender charges off above a certain percentage of the total dollar volume of private education loans made under this agreement. We will have the right to pursue repayment from the borrowers for those charged off private education loans under the 2007 RSA that we pay to the lender pursuant to our guarantee obligation. The 2007 RSA was terminated effective February 22, 2008, such that no private education loans have been or will be made under the 2007 RSA after that date. Based on information that we have received to date from the lender, we believe that the total original principal amount of private education loans made under the 2007 RSA, net of amounts refunded under those loans, was approximately \$180.0 million. Our obligations under the 2007 RSA will remain in effect until all private education loans under the agreement are paid in full or charged off by the lender. The standard repayment term for a private education loan made under the 2007 RSA is ten years, with repayment generally beginning six months after a student graduates, withdraws or is terminated from his or her program of study.

As of June 30, 2011, we had not made any guarantee payments under the PEAKS Guarantee, the 2009 RSA or the 2007 RSA. At the end of each reporting period, we assess whether we should recognize a contingent liability related to our guarantees under the PEAKS Guarantee, the 2009 RSA and the 2007 RSA and, if so, in what amount. As of June 30, 2011, our recorded liability for the guarantee obligations related to these

arrangements was not material and is included in Other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

- 23 -

Table of Contents

Based on the prior repayment history of our students with respect to private education loans and current economic conditions, we do not believe that our guarantee obligations under either RSA or the PEAKS Program will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Notes 8 and 11 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the PEAKS Program, the 2009 RSA and the 2007 RSA.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

In the normal course of our business, we are subject to fluctuations in interest rates that could impact the return on our investments and the cost of our financing activities. Our primary interest rate risk exposure results from changes in short-term interest rates and the LIBOR.

Our investments consist primarily of government and government agency obligations and marketable debt securities. We estimate that the market risk associated with these investments can best be measured by a potential decrease in the fair value of these investments from a hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates. If such a hypothetical increase in rates were to occur, the reduction in the market value of our portfolio of marketable securities would not be material.

Changes in the LIBOR would affect the borrowing costs associated with our revolving credit facilities. We estimate that the market risk can best be measured by a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in the LIBOR. If such a hypothetical increase in the LIBOR were to occur, the effect on our results from operations and cash flow would not have been material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (DCP) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed by us under the Exchange Act is: (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms; and (b) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating our DCP, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and implemented, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and that our management s duties require it to make its best judgment regarding the design of our DCP. As of the end of our second fiscal quarter of 2011, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision (and with the participation) of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our DCP pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our DCP were effective.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are subject to various claims and contingencies in the ordinary course of our business, including those related to litigation, business transactions, employee-related matters and taxes, among others. We cannot assure you of the ultimate outcome of any litigation involving us. Any litigation alleging violations of education or consumer protection laws and/or regulations, misrepresentation, fraud or deceptive practices may also subject our affected campuses to additional regulatory scrutiny.

On November 3, 2010, a complaint in a securities class action lawsuit was filed against us and two of our current executive officers in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *Operating Engineers Construction Industry and Miscellaneous Pension Fund, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. ITT Educational Services, Inc., et al.* (the Securities Litigation). On January 21, 2011, the court named the Wyoming Retirement System as the lead plaintiff in the Securities Litigation. On April 1, 2011, an amended complaint was filed in the Securities Litigation under the following caption: *In re ITT Educational Services, Inc. Securities and Shareholder Derivative Litigation.* The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that:

the defendants violated Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by creating and implementing a systemically predatory business model that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of our common stock during the class period by misrepresenting our financials and future business prospects; the defendants misrepresentations and material omissions caused our common stock to trade at artificially inflated prices throughout the class period; and

- 24 -

Table of Contents

the market s expectations were ultimately corrected on August 13, 2010 when the ED published the loan repayment rate of our students under a formula contained in proposed regulations published by the ED on July 26, 2010.

The putative class period in this action is from October 23, 2008 through August 13, 2010. The plaintiff seeks, among other things, the designation of this action as a class action, and an award of unspecified compensatory damages, interest, costs, expenses, attorneys fees and expert fees. All of the defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations made in the complaint. There can be no assurance, however, that the ultimate outcome of this or other actions (including other actions under federal or state securities laws) will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On November 12, 2010, a complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against three of our current executive officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *Antonio Cosing*, *Derivatively and On Behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* (the Cosing Lawsuit). The complaint alleges, among other things, that from October 23, 2008 through August 13, 2010, the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us, abused their ability to control and influence us, grossly mismanaged us, caused us to waste corporate assets and were unjustly enriched, by:

causing us to encourage our students to lie on their financial aid applications; causing us to lie to our students concerning the costs, quality, value and duration of their programs of study, their job prospects and income expectations upon graduation, and the availability of student financial aid; causing us to issue a series of materially false and misleading statements regarding our financial results; and causing or allowing us to lack the requisite internal controls.

The complaint seeks:

unspecified damages;

extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief, including attaching, impounding, imposing a constructive trust on or otherwise restricting the proceeds of, the defendants assets; restitution;

disgorgement of profits, benefits and other compensation received by the individual defendants;

an order directing us to take all necessary actions to reform and improve our corporate governance and internal procedures; and

costs and disbursements, including attorneys, accountants and experts fees, costs and expenses.

All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint. On December 14, 2010, the Cosing Lawsuit was consolidated into the Securities Litigation.

On November 22, 2010, another complaint in a shareholder derivate lawsuit was filed against seven of our current officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana under the following caption: *Roger B. Orensteen, derivatively on behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* The complaint alleges, among other things, that, from January 2008 through August 2010, the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, breached their fiduciary duties to us, abused their ability to control and influence us, grossly mismanaged us, caused us to waste corporate assets and were unjustly enriched, by:

employing devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;

making untrue statements of material facts, or omitting material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;

engaging in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon the plaintiff or others similarly situated in connection with their purchase of our common stock;

selling shares of our stock while in possession of material adverse, non-public information;

causing us to repurchase shares of our stock at artificially inflated prices;

reviewing and approving false financial statements with respect to us and ineffective internal control over our financial reporting;

receiving compensation based on artificially inflated financial results and other performance metrics; and subjecting us to hundreds of millions of dollars of liability.

The complaint seeks:

unspecified damages;

an order directing us to take all necessary actions to reform and improve our corporate governance and internal procedures; restitution;

disgorgements of profits, benefits and other compensation received by the individual defendants; and costs and disbursements, including attorneys , accountants and experts fees, costs and expenses.

All of the individual defendants intend to defend themselves vigorously against the allegations in the complaint.

- 25 -

Table of Contents

On December 3, 2010, another complaint in a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed against two of our current executive officers and all of our current Directors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York under the following caption: *J. Kent Gregory, derivatively on behalf of ITT Educational Services, Inc. v. Kevin M. Modany, et al.* (the Gregory Lawsuit). The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us, were unjustly enriched by us and misappropriated information about us, by:

knowingly, recklessly or negligently signing or approving the issuance of false annual and quarterly financial statements about us that misrepresented and failed to disclose material information about our growth prospects, tuition costs and student loan repayment rates;