

TERADATA CORP /DE/
Form 10-K
March 01, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33458

TERADATA CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-3236470
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

10000 Innovation Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45342

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (866) 548-8348

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on which Registered |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010, was approximately \$5.1 billion.

At January 31, 2011, there were approximately 168.2 million shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Part III: Portions of the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after registrant's fiscal year end of December 31, 2010 are incorporated herein by reference.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item | Description | Page |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| | <u>PART I</u> | |
| 1. | <u>Business</u> | 4 |
| 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 11 |
| 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 21 |
| 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 21 |
| 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 22 |
| | <u>PART II</u> | |
| 5. | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 22 |
| 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 24 |
| 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 25 |
| 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 35 |
| 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 36 |
| 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 63 |
| 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 64 |
| 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 64 |
| | <u>PART III</u> | |
| 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 65 |
| 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 66 |
| 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 66 |
| 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 66 |
| 14. | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 66 |
| | <u>PART IV</u> | |
| 15. | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 67 |

This report contains trademarks, service marks, and registered marks of Teradata Corporation and its subsidiaries, and other companies, as indicated.

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in our public filings or other public statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or other public statements. These forward-looking statements were based on various facts and were derived utilizing numerous important assumptions and other important factors, and changes in such facts, assumptions or factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our future financial performance, business strategy, projected plans and objectives. Statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, projects, estimates, plans, may increase, may decrease, and similar expression or future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would, may and could are generally forward-looking in nature and are based on historical facts. You should understand that the factors described under "Risk Factors" and the following important factors could affect our future results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements:

the rapidly changing and intensely competitive nature of the information technology industry and the enterprise data warehousing business, including the increasing consolidation activity and pressure on achieving continued price/performance gains for data warehousing solutions;

fluctuations in our operating results, timing of transactions, unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles and the difficulty of accurately estimating revenues;

our ability to successfully integrate our recent acquisitions;

the impact of global economic fluctuations on the markets in general or on the ability of our suppliers and customers to meet their commitments to us, or the timing of purchases by our current and potential customers; and

risks inherent in operating in foreign countries, including the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, economic, political, legal, regulatory, compliance, cultural and other conditions abroad.

Other factors not identified above, including the risk factors described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Annual Report"), may also cause actual results to differ materially from those projected by our forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are difficult to anticipate and are generally beyond our reasonable control.

You should consider the areas of risk described above, as well as those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this Annual Report, in connection with considering any forward-looking statements that may be made by us and our businesses generally. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report events or to report the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

Item 1. BUSINESS

Overview. Teradata Corporation (we, us, Teradata, or the Company) is a global leader in enterprise data warehousing (EDW), including enterprise analytic technologies and services, and with the acquisition of Aprimo, Inc. (Aprimo) in early 2011, a global provider of integrated marketing software. Our data warehousing solutions are comprised of software, hardware, and related business consulting and support services. Recognized as market leading by both industry analysts and customers, our solutions integrate an organization s departmental and enterprise-wide data about customers, financials, operations, and more into a single enterprise-wide data warehouse. Our enterprise analytical technologies then transform that data into actionable enterprise intelligence. This intelligence offers our customers a single view of their business, and the ability to leverage their organization s data as a strategic corporate asset to gain competitive and operational advantage. Companies today realize that they require better information derived from their data to run their business, and are seeing data volumes and data sources continue to multiply. Using Teradata solutions, they can access more timely and accurate information, obtain better insight about all aspects of their business, and make decisions with greater speed and precision to drive profitable growth. Teradata is designed to enable our customers to maximize business value while minimizing their total costs.

We serve customers across a broad set of industries from around the world ranging from small departmental and corporate implementations to many of the world s largest data warehouses. Teradata operates from three main locations in the United States: Johns Creek (Atlanta), Georgia; Dayton, Ohio; and Rancho Bernardo (San Diego), California. In addition, we have sales and services offices located in approximately 40 countries. For the full year ended December 31, 2010, we had net income of \$301 million and total revenues of \$1.936 billion, of which approximately 60% was derived in the North America and Latin America region (the Americas), 23% in the Europe, Middle East and Africa region (EMEA), and 17% in the Asia Pacific and Japan region (APJ), demonstrating our diversified business model. For financial information about these geographic areas that are also our reportable segments, see Note 10 Segment, Other Supplemental Information and Concentrations in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

History and Development. Teradata was formed in 1979 as a Delaware corporation. Teradata established a relational database management system on a proprietary platform in 1984. In 1990, Teradata partnered with NCR Corporation (NCR) to jointly develop next-generation database systems. In 1991, AT&T Corp. (AT&T) acquired NCR and, later that year, NCR purchased Teradata.

In 1996, AT&T spun off NCR (including Teradata) to form an independent, publicly-traded company, NCR Corporation. In 1999, NCR consolidated its Teradata data warehousing operations and product offerings into a separate operating division. Since 1999, we have increased our investments and focus to extend the scope of our enterprise data warehousing solutions, including improvements to our leading database software, increasing our enterprise analytic software applications, and providing sophisticated support and consulting services.

On August 27, 2007, NCR s Board of Directors approved the separation of NCR into two independent, publicly-traded companies through the distribution of 100% of its Teradata data warehousing business to shareholders of NCR (the Separation).

To effect the Separation, Teradata was formed as a separate Delaware corporation on March 27, 2007, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of NCR. Immediately prior to the Separation, the assets and liabilities of the Teradata data warehousing business of NCR were transferred to Teradata in return for 180.7 million shares of the Company s common shares. NCR accomplished the Separation through a distribution of one share of Teradata common stock for each share of NCR common stock on September 30, 2007. 100% of the Teradata shares were distributed to NCR shareholders of record as of September 14, 2007.

Industry Overview

Our revenues are primarily generated in the multi-billion dollar data warehousing market. This market includes data warehouse database software; applications software; supporting hardware (servers, storage and

Table of Contents

interconnects); as well as consulting and installation-related (consulting) services and maintenance services. We expect that the need for data warehousing will continue to grow as organizations increasingly rely on enterprise analytics to compete on a global basis. This need is further driven by the convergence of the following key market dynamics we have observed:

high levels of data growth are being driven by new data types, in particular unstructured data, often referred to as big data, examples of which include web logs, radio-frequency identification (RFID), sensor and social network data, Internet text and search indexing, call detail records, genomics, astronomy, biological research and military surveillance information, medical records, photography archives, video archives, and large scale eCommerce data;

economic and business uncertainty combined with intense competition is driving companies to increasingly adopt data and analytic technologies to address the vast complexity inherent in their markets and businesses;

globalization, mobility, security concerns and increased government regulation are creating the need for enhanced visibility across the entire enterprise at all times;

improved data warehousing affordability due to price/performance gains on server and disk hardware as well as software is enabling new types of usage, and the maintenance and analysis of more historical and near real-time data; and

the adoption by customers of more real time, or active, environments for enterprise intelligence is driving more applications, usage and capacity.

Our Data Warehousing Solutions

Data Warehousing. Data warehousing is the process of capturing, integrating, storing, managing, and analyzing data to answer business questions and make more informed, faster decisions. Customers use our data warehousing software and hardware technologies and related services to:

acquire, aggregate, store and integrate data from multiple sources, including transaction and enterprise resource planning systems;

manage and analyze this data through the Teradata database software and tools, data mining, master data management and other enterprise analytical applications, such as customer management, demand and supply chain management, enterprise risk management, and financial management; and

integrate analytics-based decisions into operational processes.

Our solutions allow customers to (1) obtain an integrated view of their business (including customers, products, channels, financials, suppliers, partners, services, etc.), and (2) transform business data into useful, insightful and actionable business intelligence.

In 2008, Teradata launched a new purpose-built Teradata Platform Family adding the Data Mart Appliance, the Data Warehouse Appliance, and the Extreme Data Appliance to the existing Active Enterprise Data Warehouse platform. The Teradata Extreme Performance Appliance was added in 2009. The Teradata Platform Family was released to extend market reach and opportunity to new customers and to grow Teradata's share of information technology (IT) spending within our existing customer base, by providing solutions from data marts, to entry-level data warehouses, to specialized analytical solutions and our active data warehouses. Teradata's purpose-built Platform Family allows customers to standardize on the Teradata database system, leveraging their existing training knowledge and experience, to meet all their analytical architecture needs at various price points.

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Active Enterprise Intelligence. Teradata extends the use of traditional data warehousing by integrating advanced analytics into enterprise business processes through a solution known as Active Enterprise Intelligence, which reduces the time between obtaining information and acting on it. Specifically, this advanced solution integrates detailed historical information with near real-time data, and then deploys timely, accurate strategic intelligence to knowledge workers in the corporate office as well as operational intelligence to front line users, customers and partners.

Table of Contents

Our Products. We are a single-source provider of enterprise data warehousing solutions with a fully integrated business that includes dedicated professionals and technologies. Our products are optimized and integrated specifically for data warehousing, including our database and application software, hardware platform, and related consulting and support services. Our key software and hardware products include:

Teradata Database Software Our Teradata database software combined with our massively parallel processing (MPP) hardware architecture provides the foundation for our unique ability to support and manage a wide range of mixed workloads and data warehousing functions. Our Teradata database software delivers near real-time intelligence for our customers with capabilities and features such as support of short-term operational and long-term strategic workloads (mixed workloads), the ability to handle thousands of concurrent queries from thousands of concurrent users, robust and simplified system management, high system availability, event monitoring, and easy integration into the enterprise. We also offer subscriptions that provide our customers with when-and-if-available upgrades and enhancements to our database software.

Teradata Platform For the hardware component of our solutions, Teradata integrates and optimizes open systems industry standard hardware components with our value-added, fault-tolerant BYNET MPP interconnect. We utilize industry standard Intel® XEON 64-bit servers, along with industry-standard storage offerings, to provide seamless, transparent scalability. Our research and development efforts have sought to optimize the Teradata Platform Family as high performance, scalable, and easily supportable MPP systems, purpose-built to meet the analytical architecture needs of our customers, and to optimize the performance of the Teradata database software.

Teradata Logical Data Models Our enterprise industry logical data models (LDMs) are designed to be easy-to-follow blueprints for designing an enterprise data warehouse that reflects business priorities tailored to the specific needs of a particular industry. Additionally, in 2010 we released our Unified LDM, providing a unified view across multiple common subject areas. Our LDMs are licensed to our customers as a key component of our data warehousing solutions. We also offer subscriptions that provide our customers with when-and-if-available upgrades and enhancements to our LDMs.

Teradata Analytic Applications and Tools We offer a full suite of data access and management tools and applications that leverage enterprise intelligence to solve business problems. These tools and applications include: data mining, master data management, integrated marketing management, enterprise risk management, finance and performance management, demand and supply chain management, and profitability analytics. In early 2011, Teradata acquired Aprimo, a global provider of integrated marketing software. With Aprimo, Teradata will expand its offering of business analytics with integrated marketing solutions that enable customers to improve marketing performance with data-driven insights.

Teradata Integrated Analytics This portfolio enables businesses to convert their traditional data warehouse into an analytic services environment to meet the challenges of unstructured data (or big data), complex analytics, mobile business intelligence, social analytics, pervasive business intelligence, agile analytics, and the many demands driven by new and diverse data and analytics. Teradata Integrated Analytics is a comprehensive portfolio of high-performance, in-database analytics technology and services that enable businesses to deliver consistent, high-value analytics across their analytics user community.

Our service offerings include:

Teradata Consulting Services Teradata consultants combine a patented methodology with extensive industry expertise and hands-on experience to help our customers quickly recognize business value and minimize risk by using data warehousing. We employ skilled consultants who provide data warehousing business impact modeling, design, architecture, installation, implementation, and optimization consulting services, as well as enterprise analytics consulting, data management services, and managed services. Our Global Consulting Centers around the world are staffed with professionals trained in our patented solutions methodology, and supplement local area consulting teams by accessing and utilizing the accumulated wealth of our global knowledge base and providing offshore consulting resources as needed.

Teradata Customer Support Services Our customer services organization provides an experienced, single point of contact and delivery for the deployment, support and ongoing management of Teradata data warehouses around the world. Our customer support service offers both proactive and reactive services,

Table of Contents

including maintenance, monitoring, back up, and recovery services to allow customers to maximize availability and better leverage the value of their investments in data warehousing.

Training Services To enhance the value of their investment, we also provide our customers with training for their employees and contractors who are responsible for the operation and/or use of their Teradata data warehouses and analytical applications.

Our Strategy. Teradata is a leader in helping companies manage and analyze growing data volumes and complexity to gain business insight and competitive advantage. Teradata's efforts are primarily focused on data warehousing, data analytics, and marketing and business applications. We have four key initiatives underway to broaden our position in the market and take advantage of this opportunity. These initiatives are to:

Invest to extend Teradata's core technology and software application offerings, and expand our family of compatible data warehouse platforms to address multiple market segments and solution offerings through internal development and targeted strategic acquisitions,

Differentiate Teradata technology and drive platform demand by delivering services that enable customers to achieve best-in-class analytics,

Invest in partnerships to increase the number of solutions available on Teradata platforms, maximize customer value and increase our market coverage, and

Continue to seek opportunities to increase our market coverage through additional sales territories (hiring incremental sales account executives as well as technology and industry consultants).

Customers. We focus the majority of our sales efforts on the global 3,000 leading companies across a broad set of industries, including banking/financial services, entertainment (including gaming and media), government, insurance and healthcare, manufacturing, retail, telecommunications, transportation, and travel. The extent to which any given customer contributes to our revenues generally varies significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter. These industries provide a good fit for our data warehouse analytic solutions, as they tend to have large and increasing sources of data, complex data management requirements or large and varied groups of users.

With more than 30 years of experience, Teradata is a leader in implementing data warehouse solutions. Our customers represent some of the best-known Global 3000 companies. Teradata solutions support: the top ten global telecommunication firms, eight of the top ten travel and transportation companies, seven of the top ten global retailers, and seven of the top ten global financial companies. (Rankings are based on the 2010 Fortune Global Rankings and Teradata customers as of 2010.) Although Teradata is a well-established vendor with strong penetration in each of these industries, our market and growth potential remains strong. In addition to adding new customers, Teradata generates revenue as existing customers increase the size and scope of their data warehouse due to growth in the number of users, amounts and types of data, and types and complexity of analytics being directed to the customers' data warehouse.

Data warehouses are typically built one project at a time. For example, an initial data warehouse may start with a single subject area, which forms the foundation of data that is available to be leveraged for the next project, and so on. Therefore, a customer with a large order in one quarter is likely to generate additional revenue for Teradata in subsequent periods. However, due to the breadth of our customer base, no single customer of Teradata (overall or within any of our reportable segments) accounted for 10% or more of our total revenue in any of the last three fiscal years. For the year ended December 31, 2010, our top ten customers on a revenue basis collectively accounted for approximately 20% of our total revenues. Moreover, Teradata's total revenue and revenue for each reportable segment can vary considerably from period to period given the different growth patterns of our existing customers' data warehouse systems and the variable timing of new customer orders. Due to the size and complexity of these transactions (purchases), the sales cycle for an enterprise data warehouse is often fairly long (typically more than a year). Each of our large sales orders typically requires substantial lead time, and our results

Table of Contents

in any particular quarter have generally been dependent on our ability to generate a relatively small number of large orders for that quarter.

Partners, Marketing and Distribution Channels

Strategic Partnerships. We seek to leverage our sales and marketing reach through our strategy of partnering with leading global and regional systems integrators, independent software vendors, and consultants which we believe complement our enterprise data warehousing solutions.

Alliance Partners Strategic partnerships are a key factor in our ability to leverage the value of our data warehousing solutions and expand the scope of our offerings to the marketplace. Our partner program is focused on working collaboratively with independent software vendors in several areas critical to data warehousing, including tools, data and application integration solutions, data mining and business intelligence, and specific horizontal and industry solutions. Our goal is to provide customer choices with partner offerings that are optimized with our solutions, and fit within the customer's enterprise IT environment. Our strategic alliance partners include many leaders in the business intelligence and analytics market.

Systems Integrators Teradata works with a range of consultants and systems integrators that engage in the design, implementation and integration of data warehousing solutions for our joint clients. Our strategic partnerships with select global consulting and systems integration firms provide broad industry and technology expertise in the design of business solutions that leverage Teradata technology to enable enterprise analytics and operational intelligence. In general, these partners are trusted advisors who assist in vision and strategy development with our customers while objectively assessing and meeting their needs. By working with premier system integrators and consulting firms, we combine our expertise in data warehousing with top-notch consultants to provide true end-to-end solutions. Our strategic global consulting and systems integration partners include Accenture, Capgemini, Deloitte, Cognizant and IBM Global Business Services.

Sales and Marketing. We sell and market our data warehousing solutions in our reportable segments, the Americas region; the EMEA region; and the APJ region, primarily through a direct sales force. We believe our quota-carrying sales force increases our visibility and penetration in the marketplace and fosters long-term customer relationships and additional product sales. We have approximately 80% of our employees in customer-facing and/or revenue driving roles (including sales, consulting and customer service, and product engineering).

We support our sales force with marketing and training programs which are designed to grow awareness and highlight our differentiation, as well as provide a robust set of tools for use by our direct sales force. In support of growing awareness of the need for enterprise data warehousing and Teradata solutions specifically, we employ a broad range of marketing tactics including programs to inform and educate the media, industry analysts, academics and other third-party influencers, targeted direct marketing, a website, webinars, and trade shows and conferences. We annually host or participate in worldwide and regional user conferences that take place in approximately 30 locations around the globe.

We also believe that promoting customer success and return on investment is an important element for our success. As a result, and because we have an enthusiastic customer base, we have developed an active program to support and leverage customer references.

Resellers and Distributors. Although the majority of our sales are direct, to extend our sales coverage, we market and sell our products through third-party channels, including resellers and distributors. We have a number of licensed resellers, and have license and distribution agreements with independent distributors in many countries worldwide. The distribution agreements generally provide for the right to offer our products within a territory. Our distributors generally maintain sales and services personnel dedicated to our products. Accordingly, we have dedicated sales, marketing, and technical alliance resources designed to optimize our reseller and distributor relationships.

Table of Contents

Sources of Materials. Our hardware components are assembled exclusively by Flextronics Corporation. Our platform line is designed to leverage the best-in-class components from industry leaders such as Intel Corporation for microprocessors. In addition, our computer data storage devices (such as disk arrays) are industry-standard technologies provided by LSI Corporation and EMC Corporation, but are selected and configured by us to work optimally with our software and hardware platform. Flextronics also procures a wide variety of components used in the assembly process on our behalf. Although many of these components are available from multiple sources, Teradata utilizes preferred supplier relationships to better ensure more consistent quality, cost and delivery. Typically, these preferred suppliers maintain alternative processes and/or facilities to ensure continuity of supply. Given our strategy to outsource manufacturing activities to Flextronics and to source certain components from single suppliers, a disruption in production at Flextronics or at a supplier could impact the timing of customer shipments.

Competition. The overall data warehousing market is very competitive, and we face competition for nearly every sales opportunity we pursue. Our primary competitors include IBM and Oracle. Since the overall market is large and growing, we expect to see new and emerging competitors with other alternative approaches, especially in the low-end of the data warehousing market. We compete successfully in the marketplace and intend to continue to do so based on our expanded Teradata platform family, proven architecture, integrated solutions with high-performing and scalable technology, deep and broad services capabilities, strong customer relationships, and our successful track record. For more information, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Competitors take different technical and integration approaches to addressing enterprise analytics needs, and therefore they often recommend a different architecture than our solutions. We believe that our customers recognize the advantages of our technology, our integrated enterprise data warehousing approach and the new purpose-built platform family to meet their architecture needs, which enables us to successfully compete in the marketplace.

Key factors used to evaluate competitors in these markets include: data warehousing experience and customer references; technology leadership; product quality; performance, scalability, availability and manageability; support and consulting services capabilities; industry knowledge; and total cost of ownership. We believe we have a competitive advantage in providing complete, integrated, and optimized data warehousing solutions based on our platform family that address these customer business, technical and architecture requirements. Our high performance Active Enterprise Data Warehouse platform technology is designed to not only seamlessly and linearly scale with customer growth needs but to do so in a manner that allows us to add current generation nodes with several generations of our technology, thereby protecting our customers prior investments. Because our Teradata Platform Family also includes appliances, we believe we have the right platform for any analytical architecture need which makes our data warehousing solutions particularly attractive to customers.

Many companies participate in specific areas of our business, such as enterprise analytic and business intelligence application software. The status of our business relationships with these companies can influence our ability to compete for data warehousing opportunities that include such areas. Our products also complement offerings of some of our competitors, with whom we have formed partnerships to work with their business intelligence and application software businesses. Examples of these companies include both IBM and Oracle, due to acquisitions of other business intelligence, consulting and application software companies they have made in recent years.

Seasonality. Historically our sales are seasonal, in line with capital spending patterns of our customers, with lower revenue typically in the first quarter and higher revenue generally in the fourth quarter of each year. Such seasonality causes our working capital cash flow requirements to vary from quarter to quarter depending on the variability in the volume, timing and mix of product sales. In addition, revenue in the third month of each quarter has historically been significantly higher than in the first and second months. These factors, among others as more fully described in Item 1A, Risk Factors, elsewhere in this Annual Report, make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to accurately predict financial results.

Research and Development. We remain focused on designing and developing data warehousing products, services and solutions that anticipate our customers' changing technological needs. As we seek improvements in

Table of Contents

our products and services, we also consider our customer's current needs as we design our new technology so that new generations of the Teradata database software and operating platforms are compatible with prior generations of our technology. We believe our extensive research and development (R&D) workforce is one of our core strengths. This global R&D team is headquartered at our facility in Rancho Bernardo (San Diego), California. We anticipate that we will continue to have significant R&D expenditures, which may include strategic acquisitions, in the future in order to continue a flow of innovative, high-quality products and services, which is vital to our leading competitive position. For information regarding the accounting and costs included in R&D activities see Note 1 Description of Business, Separation, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Intellectual Property and Technology. The Company owns approximately 510 patents in the United States and about 60 patents in foreign countries. The foreign patents are generally counterparts of the Company's U.S. patents. Many of the patents that we own are licensed to others, and we are licensed to use certain patents owned by others. While our portfolio of patents and patent applications in aggregate is of significant value to our Company, we do not believe that any particular individual patent is by itself of material importance to our business as a whole.

In addition, the Company owns copyrights and trade secrets in its vast code base which makes up the core Teradata software product offerings, including the Teradata database and application software products. The Teradata database software, which was initially based upon computer science research at the California Institute of Technology, works on multiple tasks at once, an approach known as parallel processing. The Teradata database software architecture is known in the industry as a massively parallel processing system. Parallel processing vastly increases the speed with which results are delivered and correspondingly increases the amount of data that can be queried and the number and complexity of queries that can be run at the same time. The name Teradata evokes the ability to manage terabytes (*i.e.*, trillions of bytes) of data. One of our key technological advances has been making the Teradata database software and hardware compatible with several operating systems, such as UNIX, LINUX and Windows. The Teradata database software is a relational database management system (RDBMS), reflecting the investment of hundreds of person-years of development work.

The source code versions of our products are protected as trade secrets and, in all major markets, as unpublished copyright works. We also vigorously protect our rights in the Teradata database software and related intellectual property; however, there can be no assurance that these measures will be successful. The Company owns the Teradata® and Aprimo® trademarks, which are registered in the United States and in many foreign countries, as well as other tradenames, service marks, and trademarks such as BYNET.

Employees. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 7,400 employees globally. We believe that our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to continue to attract, hire and retain skilled and experienced personnel.

Properties and Facilities. Our corporate headquarters is located in Dayton, Ohio, and we manage our business through three main locations in the United States: Dayton, Ohio; Johns Creek (Atlanta), Georgia; and Rancho Bernardo (San Diego), California. As of December 31, 2010, we operated 92 facilities throughout the world. We own our Rancho Bernardo complex, which is the headquarters of our research and development operations. All of our other research and development facilities are leased, as well as our offices in Dayton and Johns Creek, technical support centers, training, and other miscellaneous sites. We maintain facilities in approximately 40 countries.

Information. Teradata makes available through its website, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to such reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These reports and other information are also available, free of charge, at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling

Table of Contents

the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Teradata will furnish, without charge to a security holder upon written request, the Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Teradata will furnish the Code of Conduct and any other exhibit at cost (the Code of Conduct is also available through Teradata's website). Document requests are available by calling or writing to:

Teradata Shareholder Relations

10000 Innovation Drive

Dayton, OH 45342

Phone: 937-242-4878

Website: <http://www.teradata.com>

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider each of the following risk factors and all of the other information set forth in this Annual Report. Based on the information currently known to us, we believe that the following information identifies the most significant risk factors affecting our company in each of these categories of risks. However, the risks and uncertainties our company faces are not limited to those set forth in the risk factors described below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business.

In addition, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

If any of the following risks and uncertainties develops into actual events, these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Economic Pressures and Uncertainty *Our business is affected by the global economies in which we operate and the economic climate of the industries we serve.*

Our business and results of operations are affected by international, national and regional economic conditions. In particular, the IT industry in which we operate is susceptible to significant changes in the strength of the economy and the financial health of companies who make capital commitments for new technologies. Accordingly, downturns in the global or regional economies in which we operate or certain economic sectors (such as the retail, manufacturing or financial services industries) may adversely impact our business. For example, adverse changes to the economy could impact the timing of purchases by our current and potential customers or the ability of our customers to fulfill their obligations to us. In addition, decreased or more closely scrutinized capital spending in our customers' businesses and in the industries we also serve may adversely impact our business. Uncertainty about future economic conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. Accordingly, global economic and market conditions may cause material impacts on our results of operations, prospects and financial condition. The Company's success in periods of economic uncertainty is also dependent, in part, on our ability to reduce costs in response to changes in demand and other activity.

Competition *The information technology industry is intensely competitive and evolving, and competitive pressures could adversely affect our pricing practices or demand for our products and services.*

We operate in the intensely competitive IT industry, which is characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions, and price and cost reductions. In general, as a participant in the data warehousing market, we face:

Changes in customer IT spending habits and other shifts in market demands, which drive competition;

Table of Contents

A trend toward consolidation of companies which could adversely affect our ability to compete, including if our key partners merge or partner with our competitors;

Continued pressure on price/performance for data warehousing solutions due to constant technology improvements in processor capacity and speed;

Changes in pricing, marketing and product strategies, such as potential aggressive price discounting and the use of different pricing models by our competitors or other factors;

Rapid changes in computing technology and capabilities that challenge our ability to maintain differentiation at the lower range of data warehousing analytic functions;

New and emerging data analytic technologies; and

Changing competitive requirements and deliverables in developing and emerging markets.

To compete successfully in this environment, we must rapidly and continually design, develop and market solutions and related products and services that are valued in the marketplace. To do this, we must react on a timely basis to shifts in market demands. In addition, our market position depends on our ability to continually improve the price/performance of our solutions without creating operating inefficiencies and to sustain competitive operating margins, while also maintaining the quality of our products and services. Reacting quickly to improve the value of our product offerings while maintaining margins can be particularly important.

Our primary competitors are IBM and Oracle, who are well-capitalized companies with widespread distribution, brand recognition and penetration of platforms and service offerings. The significant purchasing and market power of these larger competitors, which have greater financial resources than we do, could allow them to surpass our market penetration and marketing efforts to promote and sell their products and services. In addition, many other companies participate in specific areas of our business, such as enterprise application analytic and business intelligence software. In some cases we may partner with a company in one area of our business and compete with them in another. The status of our business relationships with these companies can influence our ability to compete in data warehousing opportunities in such areas. We also expect additional competition from both established and emerging companies. Failure to compete successfully with new or existing competitors in these and other areas could have a material adverse impact on our ability to generate additional revenues or sustain existing revenue levels.

Data Warehousing Market *If the overall data warehousing market declines or does not grow, we may sell fewer products and services, and our business may not be able to sustain its current level of operations.*

If the market trends toward more limited IT spending, or limited liquidity, this could result in fewer customers making, or customers delaying investments in our products and services. In the past, we have seen periodic breaks in the buying patterns from some of our larger customers, which indicate a level of maturation of their current data warehouse implementation or a shifting of IT priorities when these customers are still incorporating the investments they have made in their core data warehousing infrastructures during past years. In addition, reduced prices and improvements in data warehousing solutions increase pressure on our product revenues and margins, as well as on the annuity streams we receive from our maintenance business. If the growth rates for the data warehousing market decline for any reason, there could be a decrease in demand for our products and services, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Renewal Rates and Support Services Pricing Pressures *If our existing customers fail to renew their support agreements, or if customers do not license updated products on terms favorable to us, our revenues could be adversely affected.*

We currently derive a significant portion of our overall revenues from maintenance services and software subscriptions, and we depend on our installed customer base for future revenue from maintenance services and software subscriptions and licenses of updated products. The terms of our standard maintenance services and software subscription arrangements generally provide for the payment of license fees and prepayment of first-year support fees and are generally renewable on an annual basis. The IT industry generally has been experiencing increasing pricing pressure from customers when purchasing or renewing support agreements. Moreover, the

Table of Contents

trend towards consolidation in certain industries that we serve, such as financial services and telecommunications, could result in a reduction of the software and hardware being serviced and put pressure on our maintenance terms with customers who have merged. Given this environment, there can be no assurance that our current customers will renew their maintenance agreements or agree to the same terms when they renew, which could result in our reducing or losing maintenance fees.

If our existing customers fail to renew their maintenance agreements, or if we are unable to generate additional maintenance fees through the license of updated products to existing or new customers, our business and future operating results could be adversely affected.

Operating Result Fluctuations *Our financial results are subject to fluctuations caused by many factors that could result in our failing to achieve anticipated financial results.*

Our quarterly and annual financial results have varied in the past and are likely to continue to vary in the future due to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. In particular, if transactions that we expect to close by the end of a quarter are not closed until a later date, our revenue and/or net income for that quarter could be substantially below expectations, especially given the large size of our transactions. These and any one or more of the factors listed below or other factors could cause us not to achieve our revenue or profitability expectations. The resulting failure to meet market expectations could cause a decrease in our stock price. These factors include the risks discussed elsewhere in this section and the following:

Downturns in our customers' businesses, in the domestic economy or in international economies where our customers do substantial business;

Changes in demand for our products and services, including changes in growth rates in the data warehousing market;

The size, timing and contractual terms of large orders for our products and services, which may impact in particular our quarterly operating results (either positively or negatively);

Possible delays in our ability to recognize revenue as the result of revenue recognition rules;

The budgeting cycles of our customers and potential customers;

Changes in pricing policies resulting from competitive pressures, such as aggressive price discounting by our competitors, or other factors;

Our ability to develop and introduce on a timely basis new or enhanced versions of our products and services;

Changes in the mix of pre-tax earnings attributable to domestic versus international sales;

Seasonal fluctuations in buying patterns;

Future acquisitions and divestitures of technologies, products and businesses, and;

Unexpected needs for capital expenditures or other unanticipated expenses.

A change in our effective tax rate can have a significant adverse impact on our business.

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

A number of factors may adversely impact our future effective tax rates, such as the jurisdictions in which our profits are determined to be earned and taxed; the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities; changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; adjustments to estimated taxes upon finalization of various tax returns; changes in available tax credits, especially surrounding tax credits in the United States for our research and development activities; and the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes. Tax authorities may disagree with certain positions we have taken and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision, however, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. Changes in tax laws or tax rulings could materially impact our effective tax rate. For example, proposals for fundamental U.S. international tax reform, if enacted, could have a significant adverse impact on our future results of operations.

Table of Contents

Unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles make accurate estimation of our revenues difficult and could result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

The size and timing of large orders for our products and services varies considerably, which can impact results from quarter to quarter. The process we use to forecast sales and trends in our business relies heavily on estimates of closure on a transaction-specific basis. It is very difficult to predict sales in a particular quarter or over a longer period of time. Unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles make accurate estimation of our revenues difficult and could result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

The length of our sales cycle varies depending on a number of factors over which we may have little or no control, including the size and complexity of a potential transaction, the level of competition that we encounter in our selling activities and our current and potential customers internal budgeting and approval process. As a result of a generally long sales cycle, we may expend significant effort over a long period of time in an attempt to obtain an order, but ultimately not complete the sale, or the order ultimately received may be smaller than anticipated. Our revenue from different customers varies from quarter to quarter, and a customer with a large order in one quarter may generate significantly lower revenue in subsequent quarters. Our results in any particular quarter have generally been dependent on the timing of a relatively small number of large transactions.

Due to resulting fluctuations, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our revenue and operating results may not be meaningful, and that these comparisons may not be an accurate indicator of our future performance.

In addition, the budgeting and IT capital spending cycles of our customers and potential customers make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to accurately predict financial results. Spending may be particularly heavy in our fourth quarter because of large enterprise customers placing orders before the expiration of IT budgets tied to that calendar year.

Our operating expenses are based on projected annual and quarterly revenue levels and are generally incurred ratably throughout each quarter. Since our operating expenses are relatively fixed in the short term, failure to generate projected revenues for a specified period could adversely impact our operating results, reducing net income or causing an operating loss for that period. The deferral or non-occurrence of such sales revenues could materially adversely affect our operating results for that quarter and could impair our business in future periods.

Seasonal trends in sales of our products and services could adversely affect our quarterly operating results.

In general, we see fluctuations in buying patterns with lower revenue in the first quarter and higher revenue in the fourth quarter of each year. Such seasonality also causes our working capital cash flow requirements to vary from quarter to quarter depending on the variability in the volume, timing and mix of product sales. In addition, revenue in the third month of each quarter has historically been significantly higher than in the first and second months, which further impacts our ability to predict financial results accurately and enhances the enterprise risks inherent in our business. These and other factors make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to predict financial results accurately.

Revenue Mix Variability Our revenue is variable depending on the mix of products and services in any given period, and changes in the mix of products and services that we sell could materially adversely affect our operating results.

Our business model is based on our anticipated mix of products and services and the corresponding profit margins for such products and services. Unanticipated shifts in such mix could adversely impact our results of operations and require changes to our business model. Consulting services margins are generally lower than the other elements of our data warehousing solutions. In addition, when we use third parties to supplement the services we provide to customers, this generally results in lower margin rates. As a result, increases in consulting services revenues as a percentage of our total revenues may decrease overall margins.

Table of Contents

We also realize different margins on certain components we re-sell as part of our solutions, and the mix of such third-party hardware varies from quarter to quarter depending on customer requirements. In addition, changes in the price and performance of our data warehousing hardware could negatively impact maintenance and support services, and software subscription revenues.

Advancement of Our Solutions *The solutions we sell are advanced, and we need to rapidly and successfully develop and introduce new solutions in a competitive, demanding and rapidly changing environment.*

To succeed in the intensely competitive IT industry, we must continually improve and refresh our product and service offerings to include newer features, functionality or solutions, and keep pace with price-to-performance gains in the IT industry. Shortened product life cycles due to customer demands and competitive pressures impact the pace at which we must introduce and implement new technology. This requires a high level of innovation by both our software developers and the suppliers of the third-party software components included in our systems. In addition, bringing new solutions to the market entails a costly and lengthy process, and requires us to accurately anticipate customer needs and technology trends. We must continue to respond to market demands, develop leading technologies and maintain leadership in data warehouse performance and scalability, or our business operations may be adversely affected.

We must also anticipate and respond to customer demands regarding the compatibility of our current and prior offerings. These demands could hinder the pace of introducing and implementing new technology. Our future results may be affected if our products cannot effectively interface and perform well with software products of other companies and with our customers' existing IT infrastructures, or if we are unsuccessful in our efforts to enter into agreements allowing integration of third-party technology with the Teradata database platform. Our efforts to develop the interoperability of our products may require significant investments of capital and employee resources. In addition, many of our principal products are used with products offered by third parties and, in the future, some vendors of non-Teradata products may become less willing to provide us with access to their products, technical information and marketing and sales support.

As a result of these and other factors, our ability to introduce new or improved solutions could be adversely impacted. There can be no assurance that our innovations will be profitable, and if we cannot successfully market and sell both existing and newly developed solutions, our business and operating results could be impacted. If we were to lose our significant technology advantage, our market share and growth could be adversely affected. In addition, if we are unable to deliver products, features, and functionality as projected, we may be unable to meet our commitments to customers, which could have an adverse affect on our reputation and business.

Highly Advanced Products *Our products include highly advanced technology, and as we develop new products with greater capacity and performance capabilities, the increased difficulty and complexity associated with producing these products increases the likelihood of reliability, quality or operability problems.*

Despite rigorous testing prior to their release and superior quality processes, our software and hardware products may contain undetected errors or security flaws, which may be found after the products are introduced and shipped. This risk is enhanced when products are first introduced or when new versions are released, as well as when we develop products with more advanced technology, since the increased difficulty and complexity associated with producing these products increases the likelihood of reliability, quality or operability problems. The correction and detection of errors may cause delays, lost revenues and incremental costs. Errors in our software products could also affect the ability of our products to work with other hardware or software products, could delay the development or release of new products or new versions of products, and could adversely affect market acceptance of our products. While we attempt to remedy errors that we believe would be considered critical by our customers prior to shipment, we may not be able to detect or remedy all such errors.

Our customers who rely on our solutions for business-critical applications are more sensitive to product errors, which could expose us to product liability, performance and warranty claims, as well as harm our reputation. These and other risks associated with new product and service offerings may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and future performance.

Table of Contents

Product introductions and certain enhancements of existing products by us in the future periods may also reduce demand for our existing products or could delay purchases by customers awaiting arrival of our new products. As new or enhanced products are introduced, we must successfully manage the transition from older products.

In the ordinary course of business, we continually evaluate opportunities for new product and service offerings, new markets and new geographic sectors, and development of such opportunities could entail certain business risks which could affect our financial condition.

Security Lapses *The confidentiality of information we hold or the security of our computer systems could be jeopardized.*

We operate pursuant to a business-to-business model, and therefore we normally do not handle large volumes of personally identifiable information for our customers such as employee data, customer data, data that our customers collect from their customers, and information regulated by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. However, some of our services require us to have access to such highly confidential information. If unauthorized access to or use of such information occurs, despite our data security measures to protect it, our results of operation and relationship with our customers could be adversely impacted.

Reliance on Third Parties *Our future results depend in part on our relationships with key suppliers, strategic partners and other third parties.*

Our development, marketing and distribution strategies depend in part on our ability to form strategic alliances with third parties that have complementary products, software, services and skills. Our strategic partners include consultants and system integrators, software and technology providers, and indirect channel distributors in certain countries. These relationships create risks beyond our control of our partners changing their business focus, entering into strategic alliance with other companies, being acquired by our competitors, failing to meet performance criteria or improperly using our confidential information. If we fail to maintain or expand our relationships with strategic partners, our business may be adversely affected.

Third-party vendors provide important elements to our solutions; if we do not maintain our relationships with these vendors or if these vendors cease to be going concerns, interruptions in the supply of our products may result. There are some components of our solutions that we purchase from single sources due to price, quality, technology or other reasons. For example, we have relied on Flextronics as a key single source contract manufacturer for our hardware systems for the last several years. In addition, we depend on Accenture for certain transaction processing services, and buy silicon computer chips and microprocessors from Intel Corporation, and storage disk systems from LSI Corporation and EMC Corporation. Some components supplied by third parties may be critical to our solutions, and several of our suppliers may terminate their agreements with us without cause with 180 days notice. If we were unable to purchase necessary services, parts, components or products from a particular vendor and had to find an alternative supplier, our shipments and deliveries could be delayed, and our operations could be adversely impacted.

In addition, smaller suppliers have operating risks that could impact our business. These risks could create product time delays, inventory and invoicing problems, staging delays, and other operational difficulties. We could also be impacted by their inability to provide high-quality products or services that conform to required specifications or contractual arrangements, which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Reliance on the Intellectual Property of Third Parties *The loss of our rights to use software licensed to us by third parties could harm our business.*

We have an active partner program that offers rights to sublicense third party software as part of a complete suite of solutions for our customers. This offering, as well as our reliance on third party software and licenses in our operating system software and business, creates risks that are not present when developing software in-house. For example, the viability, reliability and quality of such partners' businesses, as well as their ability to fulfill their obligations to us, are factors that come into play and could adversely affect our financial condition. Our

Table of Contents

operations could also be impacted if we are forced to seek alternative technology, or technology for new solutions, that may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. Also, many of our offerings are complemented by technologies developed by others, and if we are unable to continue to obtain licenses for such technologies at competitive prices, our business could be impacted.

Intellectual Property *If we are unable to develop, preserve and protect our intellectual property assets, our operating results may be adversely affected.*

As a technology company, our intellectual property portfolio is crucial to our continuing ability to be a leading data warehouse solutions provider. We strive to protect and enhance our proprietary intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as through technological safeguards. These efforts include protection of the products and application, diagnostic and other software we develop.

To the extent we are not successful, our business could be materially adversely impacted. We may be unable to prevent third parties from using our technology without our authorization or independently developing technology that is similar to ours, particularly in those countries where the laws do not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States. With respect to our pending patent applications, we may not be successful in securing patents for these claims, and our competitors may already have applied for patents that, once issued, will prevail over our patent rights or otherwise limit our ability to sell our products.

While we take steps to provide for confidentiality obligations of employees and third parties with whom we do business (including customers, suppliers and strategic partners), there is a risk that such parties will breach such obligations and jeopardize our intellectual property rights. Many customers have outsourced the administration and management of their data warehouses to third parties, including some of our competitors, who then have access to our confidential information. Although we have agreements in place to mitigate this risk, there can be no assurance that such protections will be sufficient. In addition, our ability to capture and re-use field-based developed intellectual property is important to future business opportunities and margins.

We are actively engaged in efforts to protect the value of our intellectual property and to prevent others from infringing our intellectual property rights. However, due to the complex and technical nature of such efforts and the potentially high stakes involved, such enforcement activity can be expensive and time consuming, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts.

Research and Development *We make significant investments in research and development and cannot assure that these investments will be profitable.*

As part of our business strategy, we must continue to dedicate a significant amount of resources to our research and development efforts in order to maintain our competitive position. However, we may not expect to receive significant revenues from these investments for several years, if at all. Research and development expenses represent a significant portion of our discretionary fixed costs. We believe these new technologies could significantly improve our products and services over the long-term. However, if we have invested too much in these or other technologies, our results of operations could be adversely affected. In addition, as we replace our existing assets with new, higher cost assets, we expect that our depreciation expense will increase, which will contribute to our high level of fixed costs and reduce our earnings.

Intellectual Property Infringement Claims by Third Parties *Claims by others that we infringe their intellectual property rights could harm our business and financial condition.*

We have seen a trend towards aggressive enforcement of intellectual property rights as the functionality of products in our industry increasingly overlaps and the volume of issued software patents continues to grow. As a result, there is a risk that we could be subject to infringement claims which, regardless of their validity, could:

Be expensive, time consuming and divert management attention away from normal business operations;

Table of Contents

Require us to pay monetary damages or enter into non-standard royalty and licensing agreements;

Require us to modify our product sales and development plans; or

Require us to satisfy indemnification obligations to our customers.

Regardless of whether these claims have any merit, they can be burdensome to defend or settle and can harm our business and reputation.

Open Source Software The growing market acceptance of open source software presents benefits and challenges for our industry.

We have developed a version of the Teradata database software to operate on the LINUX open source platform and have incorporated other types of open source software into our products, allowing us to enhance certain solutions without incurring substantial additional research and development costs and expand our solution offerings. Open source software is made widely available by its authors and is licensed for a nominal fee or, in some cases, at no charge. For example, LINUX is a free UNIX-type operating system, and the source code for LINUX is freely available. While we believe our contractual obligations are limited with respect to such software, no assurances can be given that unanticipated problems arising from our use of open source software will not arise in the future.

Open source licenses typically mandate that proprietary software, when combined in specific ways with open source software, become subject to the open source license. We take steps to ensure that our proprietary software is not combined with, or does not incorporate, open source software in ways that would require our proprietary software to be subject to an open source license. However, few courts have interpreted the open source licenses, and the manner in which these licenses may be interpreted and enforced is therefore subject to uncertainty.

International Operations Generating substantial revenues from our multinational operations helps us to meet our strategic goals, but poses a number of risks.

In 2010, the percentage of our total revenues from outside of the United States was 45%. We believe that our geographic diversity may help to mitigate some risks associated with geographic concentrations of operations (*e.g.*, adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates and deteriorating economic environments or business disruptions due to economic or political uncertainties). However, our ability to sell our solutions internationally is subject to the following risks, among others:

General economic and political conditions in each country that could adversely affect demand for our solutions in these markets;

Currency exchange rate fluctuations that could result in lower demand for our products as well as generate currency translation losses;

The impact of civil and political unrest (relating to war, terrorist activity or other turmoil) on the economy or markets in general, or on our ability, or that of our suppliers, to meet commitments, which may occur in other countries such as Pakistan, where we have significant operations;

Changes to and compliance with a variety of local laws and regulations that may increase our cost of doing business in these markets or otherwise prevent us from effectively competing in these markets;

Cultural and management challenges with managing new and growing consulting services and engineering functions overseas in such countries as India, China and Pakistan;

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Difficulties in staffing and managing our foreign offices and the increased travel, infrastructure and legal and compliance costs associated with multiple international locations;

Longer payment cycles for sales in foreign countries and difficulties in enforcing contracts and collecting accounts receivable;

Tariffs or other restrictions on foreign trade or investment;

Costs and delays associated with developing products in multiple languages; and

Changing competitive requirements and deliverables in developing and emerging markets.

Table of Contents

Our products are subject to U.S. export controls and, when exported from the United States, or re-exported to another country, must be authorized under applicable U.S. export regulations. Changes in our products or changes in export regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in international markets, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products throughout their global systems or, in some cases, prevent the export of our products to certain countries or customers altogether. Any change in export regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations, or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by these regulations could result in decreased use of our products by, or in our decreased ability to export or sell our products to, existing or potential customers with international operations.

Foreign Currency Fluctuations

Our revenue and operating income are subject to variability due to the effects of foreign currency fluctuations against the U.S. dollar. We have exposure to approximately 30 functional currencies. The primary currencies to which we are exposed include the euro, British pound, Japanese yen, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, and other Asian and South American currencies. A significant portion of our revenue and operating income is generated outside the United States, and therefore our financial results may fluctuate due to the effects of such foreign currency fluctuations, which are difficult to predict.

Dependence on Key Employees We depend on key employees and face competition in hiring and retaining qualified employees.

Our employees are critical to our success. Our future success depends on our ability to attract and retain the services of senior management and key personnel in all functional areas of our company, including engineering and development, marketing and sales professionals, and consultants. Competition for highly skilled personnel in the IT industry is intense. No assurance can be made that key personnel will remain with us, and it may be difficult and costly to replace such employees. Our failure to hire, retain and replace our key personnel could have a material adverse impact on our business operations.

Internal Controls Inadequate internal control over financial reporting and accounting practices could lead to errors, which could adversely impact our ability to assure timely and accurate financial reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control objectives will be met. These inherent limitations include system errors, the potential for human error and unauthorized actions of employees or contractors, inadequacy of controls, temporary lapses in controls due to shortfalls in transition planning and oversight or resources, and other factors. Consequently, such controls may not prevent or detect misstatements in our reported financial results as required under SEC and New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules, which could increase our operating costs or impair our ability to operate our business. Controls may also become inadequate due to changes in circumstances, and it is necessary to replace, upgrade or modify our internal information systems from time to time. In addition, unforeseen risks may arise in connection with financial reporting systems due to inefficient business processes or business process reengineering projects.

If management is not successful in maintaining a strong internal control environment, material weaknesses could occur, causing investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. This could lead to a decline in our stock price, limit our ability to access the capital markets in the future, and require us to incur additional costs to improve our internal control systems and procedures.

Damage to Our Information Systems Our information systems, computer equipment and information databases are critical to our business operations, and any damage or disruptions could adversely affect our operations.

Our operations are dependent on our ability to protect our computer equipment and the information stored in our databases from damage by, among other things, earthquake, fire, natural disaster, power loss, telecommunications

Table of Contents

failures, unauthorized intrusions and other events. A significant part of our operations is based in an area of California that has experienced power outages and earthquakes and is considered seismically active. Despite our contingency planning, events of this nature may still result in system failures and other interruptions in our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

In addition, it is periodically necessary to replace, upgrade or modify our internal information systems. If we are unable to do this in a timely and cost-effective manner, especially in light of demands on our IT resources, our ability to capture and process financial transactions and therefore our financial condition or results of operations may be adversely impacted.

Acquisitions and Alliances *Our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions and effectively manage acquisition and alliance activities may be an important element of future growth.*

We are continually evaluating the most effective ways to extend Teradata's core technology and expand our family of compatible data warehouse platforms and software applications to address multiple market segments and solution offerings. From time to time, this includes using acquisitions, equity investments, joint ventures or strategic alliances. For example, Teradata recently acquired Aprimo, an integrated marketing software company on January 21, 2011. Such transactions entail various risks, including risks associated with:

Assimilating and integrating different business operations, corporate cultures, personnel, infrastructure and technologies or products acquired or licensed;

Retaining key employees and maintaining relationships with employees, customers, clients or suppliers of the acquired companies, and recurring revenue of the acquired company may decline or fail to be renewed;

The potential for unknown liabilities and quality issues within the acquired or combined business or additional costs not anticipated at the time of acquisition;

Disruptions of our ongoing business or inability to successfully incorporate acquired products, services or technologies into our solutions and maintain quality;

Failure to achieve the projected synergies after integration of acquired companies; and

Funding acquisition activities, whether through the use of existing cash reserves, or through the use of debt instruments, and the related impact on our liquidity and financial condition.

Our operating results may fluctuate as a result of acquisitions and related integration activities, as well as other strategic growth transactions, and there is a risk that our financial results may be adversely affected.

Legal Contingencies and Regulatory Matters *Like other technology companies, we face uncertainties with regard to lawsuits, regulations and other related matters.*

In the normal course of business, we are subject to proceedings, lawsuits, claims and other matters, including those that relate to the environment, health and safety, employee benefits, export compliance, intellectual property, and other regulatory compliance and general matters. See Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report. Because such matters are subject to many uncertainties, their outcomes are not predictable. While we believe that amounts provided in our consolidated financial statements are currently adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities, there can be no assurances that the amounts required to satisfy alleged liabilities from such matters will not impact future operating results.

In addition, we are subject to diverse and complex laws and regulations, including those relating to corporate governance, public disclosure and reporting which are rapidly changing and subject to many possible changes in the future. From time to time, we may conduct internal

investigations in connection with our efforts to ensure compliance with such laws and regulations, the costs or results of which could impact our results. Laws and regulations impacting our customers, such as those relating to privacy and data protection, could also impact our future business.

Table of Contents

In addition, our facilities and operations, including former facilities and former operations for which we may have liabilities, are subject to a wide range of environmental protection laws. There have not been any known actual material effects that compliance with environmental provisions has had upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of the Company or its subsidiaries, and there are no material estimated capital expenditures for environmental remediation or liabilities planned. However, we do expect to incur some costs in connection with compliance with these matters and given the uncertainties inherent in such activities, there can be no assurances that the costs required to comply with applicable environmental laws will not adversely impact future operating results.

There is an increased focus by the SEC on Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) enforcement activities. Given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect improper or unlawful conduct by our international partners and employees, despite our high ethics, governance and compliance standards, which could put the Company at risk regarding possible violations of laws, including the FCPA.

Management time and resources are spent to understand and comply with changing laws, regulations and standards relating to such matters as corporate governance, accounting principles, public disclosure (including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), SEC regulations, Basel II and the rules of the NYSE where our shares are listed. Although we do not believe that recent regulatory and legal initiatives will result in significant changes to our internal practices or our operations, rapid changes in accounting standards, and federal securities laws and regulations, among others, may substantially increase costs to our organization and could have an impact on our future operating results.

Other Factors *There are a number of other factors that could cause our operating results to fluctuate and could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.*

Our revenue and operating results could fluctuate for a number of reasons, including, among other things:

Contractual Obligations of Consulting Services: We maintain a consulting services workforce to fulfill contracts that we enter into with our customers that may extend to multiple periods. Our profitability is largely a function of performing to customer contractual arrangements within the estimated costs to perform these obligations. If we exceed these estimated costs, our profitability related to these contracts may be negatively impacted. In addition, if we are unable to maintain appropriate utilization rates for our consultants, we may not be able to sustain profitability on these contracts.

Management Assumptions, Estimates and Judgments: In connection with the preparation of the Company's financial statements, we are required to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities. For additional information regarding the Company's use of assumptions, estimates and judgments, see "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in Item 7 of Part II of this Annual Report.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2010, Teradata operated 92 facilities consisting of just over 1.0 million square feet throughout the world. On a square footage basis, approximately 26% of these facilities are owned and 74% are leased. Within the total facility portfolio, Teradata operates 7 research and development facilities totaling approximately 390 thousand square feet, approximately 70% of which is owned. The remaining approximately 650 thousand square feet of space includes office, repair, warehouse and other miscellaneous sites, and is 100% leased. Teradata maintains facilities in approximately 40 countries. Teradata believes its facilities are suitable and adequate to meet its current needs. Teradata's corporate headquarters is located in Dayton, Ohio.

Table of Contents**Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Information regarding legal proceedings is included in Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report as part of Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART II**Item 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Teradata common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol TDC. There were approximately 95,000 registered holders of Teradata common stock as of February 18, 2011. The following table presents the high and low closing per share prices of Teradata common stock traded on the New York Stock Exchange during the calendar quarter indicated.

| | Common Stock Closing Market Price | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | High | Low |
| 2010 | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 43.50 | \$ 37.31 |
| Third quarter | \$ 38.96 | \$ 29.62 |
| Second quarter | \$ 33.98 | \$ 28.25 |
| First quarter | \$ 31.04 | \$ 27.66 |
| 2009 | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 32.08 | \$ 26.35 |
| Third quarter | \$ 27.90 | \$ 21.82 |
| Second quarter | \$ 24.10 | \$ 15.28 |
| First quarter | \$ 17.12 | \$ 13.02 |

Teradata has not paid cash dividends and does not anticipate the payment of cash dividends to shareholders of Teradata common stock in the immediate future. The declaration of dividends in the future would be subject to the discretion of Teradata's Board of Directors.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010, regarding compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|---|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ | 8,656,655 | \$ 21.31 | 9,328,661 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|----|-------|-----------|
| Total | 8,656,655 | \$ | 21.31 | 9,328,661 |
|-------|-----------|----|-------|-----------|

- (1) Includes awards granted under the NCR Stock Incentive Plan, which were converted to awards of Teradata common stock prior to the Separation of Teradata from NCR Corporation.

Table of Contents

The following graph compares the relative performance of Teradata stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the Standard & Poor's Information Technology Index. This graph covers the thirty-nine-month period from October 1, 2007 (immediately following the Separation of Teradata from NCR Corporation), through December 31, 2010.

| Company/Index | 1 Oct 2007 | 31 Dec 2007 | 31 Dec 2008 | 31 Dec 2009 | 31 Dec 2010 |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Teradata Corporation | \$ 100 | \$ 104 | \$ 56 | \$ 119 | \$ 156 |
| S&P 500 Index | \$ 100 | \$ 95 | \$ 60 | \$ 76 | \$ 87 |
| S&P Information Technology Index | \$ 100 | \$ 99 | \$ 56 | \$ 91 | \$ 100 |

⁽¹⁾ In each case, assumes a \$100 investment immediately following the Separation of Teradata from NCR Corporation on October 1, 2007, and reinvestment of all dividends, if any.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchases

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company executed purchases for approximately 2.9 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$29.57 under the two share repurchase programs authorized by our Board of Directors in 2008. The first program (the dilution offset program) authorizes the Company to repurchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) to offset the dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. The second program (the general share repurchase program) authorized the Company to repurchase \$250 million of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The Company has completely utilized this \$250 million authorization to repurchase Teradata common stock. On May 4, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$300 million increase to the Company's existing general share repurchase program. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had \$161 million of authorization remaining on the \$300 million general share repurchase program to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis.

Table of Contents

In addition to those share repurchase programs, Section 16 officers occasionally sell vested shares of restricted stock to the Company at the current market price to cover their withholding taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the total of these purchases was 25,598 shares at an average price of \$30.43 per share.

The following table provides information relating to the Company's repurchase of common stock for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Dilution Offset Program | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced General Share Repurchase Program | Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Dilution Offset Program | Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the General Share Repurchase Program |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| First quarter total | 2,369,181 | \$ 29.51 | 41,700 | 2,327,481 | \$ 4,562,666 | \$ 165,028,607 |
| Second quarter total | 193 | \$ 28.02 | 193 | | \$ 11,324,324 | \$ 165,028,607 |
| Third quarter total | 558,667 | \$ 29.82 | 408,667 | 150,000 | \$ 7,856,851 | \$ 160,604,657 |
| October 2010 | | N/A | | | \$ 8,772,975 | \$ 160,604,657 |
| November 2010 | | N/A | | | \$ 15,121,494 | \$ 160,604,657 |
| December 2010 | | N/A | | | \$ 18,707,915 | \$ 160,604,657 |
| Fourth quarter total | | N/A | | | \$ 18,707,915 | \$ 160,604,657 |
| 2010 Full year total | 2,928,041 | \$ 29.57 | 450,560 | 2,477,481 | \$ 18,707,915 | \$ 160,604,657 |

Item 6. TERADATA CORPORATION SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| In millions, except per share and employee amounts | For the Year Ended December 31 | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 ⁽¹⁾ | 2006 |
| Revenue | \$ 1,936 | \$ 1,709 | \$ 1,762 | \$ 1,702 | \$ 1,547 |
| Income from operations | \$ 415 | \$ 338 | \$ 333 | \$ 320 | \$ 302 |
| Other (expense) income | \$ (1) | \$ (4) | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | \$ |
| Income tax expense | \$ 113 | \$ 80 | \$ 88 | \$ 122 | \$ 110 |
| Net income | \$ 301 | \$ 254 | \$ 250 | \$ 200 | \$ 192 |
| Net income per common share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.40 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.06 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.39 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.06 |
| | At December 31 | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,883 | \$ 1,569 | \$ 1,430 | \$ 1,294 | \$ 1,003 |
| Debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total stockholders' equity/parent company equity | \$ 1,189 | \$ 910 | \$ 777 | \$ 631 | \$ 591 |
| Cash dividends | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Number of employees | 7,400 | 6,600 | 6,400 | 5,900 | 5,100 |

- ⁽¹⁾ Includes \$17 million (\$15 million after-tax) for expenses related to the Separation from NCR; a \$10 million charge related to a tax rate change in Germany; an out-of-period income tax expense adjustment of \$7 million relating to prior years; and \$6 million for a tax benefit related to the Separation from NCR.

Table of Contents**Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (MD&A)**

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Annual Report). This Annual Report contains certain statements that are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain statements contained in the MD&A are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but rather are based on current expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections about our industry, business and future financial results. Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those discussed in other sections of this Annual Report. See Risk Factors and Forward-looking Statements.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Teradata provides data warehousing solutions for customers worldwide that combine software (including the Teradata database software and tools, data mining and analytical applications), hardware and related consulting and support services. These solutions can also include third-party products and services from other leading technology and service partners.

Our solutions enable customers to integrate detailed enterprise-wide data such as customer, financial and operational data into a single data warehouse and provide the analytical capabilities to transform that data into useful information. These solutions allow customers to have a consistent, accurate view of their data and businesses, with more accurate, insightful and timely information when and where they need it to make better and faster decisions. This approach provides customers with better insight, faster access to new analytics and less redundancy within their information technology (IT) infrastructure so they can maximize business value while minimizing their total cost of ownership.

Our data warehousing technologies provide a high level of performance, scalability, availability and manageability for strategic and operational analytic requirements. Our IT consultants combine a proven methodology, deep industry expertise and years of hands-on experience to help clients quickly capture business value while minimizing risk. Our customer services professionals provide a single source of support services to allow customers to maximize use and fully leverage the value of their investments in data warehousing.

Through active enterprise intelligence, Teradata is extending the use of traditional enterprise data warehousing (EDW) by integrating advanced analytics into enterprise business processes, allowing companies to combine the analysis of current and historical data so operations personnel can make decisions at the point of contact or service and take action as events occur.

Additionally, Teradata now offers a family of data warehouse offerings, providing customers with the ability to use Teradata for point solutions or data marts, in addition to our core EDW technology. Teradata offers data warehousing solutions to many major industries, including banking/financial services, entertainment (including gaming and media), government, insurance and healthcare, manufacturing, retail, telecommunications, transportation and travel. Teradata delivers its solutions primarily through direct sales channels, as well as through alliances with system integrators, other independent software vendors, value-added resellers and distributors. We deliver our solutions to customers on a global basis, and organize our operations in the following three regions which are also our reportable segments: North America and Latin America (Americas), Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA), and Asia Pacific and Japan (APJ).

In early 2011, Teradata completed its acquisition of Aprimo, Inc. (Aprimo), a global provider of integrated marketing software solutions. With Aprimo, Teradata will expand its offering of business analytics with integrated marketing solutions that enable customers to improve marketing performance with data-driven insights. Aprimo is being integrated into Teradata's operations, and the Aprimo organization will support Teradata's applications strategy, including development, marketing, sales, and services.

Table of Contents

2010 FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As more fully discussed in later sections of this MD&A, the following are the financial highlights for 2010:

Revenue increased 13% in 2010 from 2009, largely driven by higher product revenue, particularly in the Americas region.

Gross margin was 56.2% in 2010, up from 54.9% in 2009, driven by higher product margins and a greater proportion of product revenue (as compared to services revenue).

Operating income was \$415 million in 2010, up from \$338 million in 2009. Operating income in 2010 benefited from increased product revenue and margins, offset somewhat by higher selling and Research and Development (R&D) expenses.

Net income of \$301 million in 2010 increased from \$254 million in 2009. Net income per common share (diluted) was \$1.77 in 2010 compared to \$1.46 in 2009. In addition to the items discussed above, net income for 2010 was impacted by a higher effective tax rate of 27% in 2010, compared to 24% in 2009.

STRATEGY OVERVIEW

Teradata is a leader in helping companies manage and analyze growing data volumes and complexity to gain business insight and competitive advantage. Teradata's efforts are primarily focused on data warehousing, data analytics, and marketing and business applications. We have four key initiatives underway to broaden our position in the market and take advantage of this opportunity. These initiatives are to:

Invest to extend Teradata's core technology and software application offerings, and expand our family of compatible data warehouse platforms to address multiple market segments and solution offerings through internal development and targeted strategic acquisitions,

Differentiate Teradata technology and drive platform demand by delivering services that enable customers to achieve best-in-class analytics,

Invest in partnerships to increase the number of solutions available on Teradata platforms, maximize customer value and increase our market coverage, and

Continue to seek opportunities to increase our market coverage through additional sales territories (hiring incremental sales account executives as well as technology and industry consultants).

Further discussion of our business strategy is included in the section entitled "Business" included in Item 1 of this Annual Report and incorporated herein by reference.

FUTURE TRENDS

We believe that demand for our solutions will continue to increase due to the continued increase in data volumes and types of data, the scale and complexity of business requirements, and the growing use of new data elements and more near real-time analytics over time. The adoption by customers of more near real-time analysis for enterprise intelligence is driving more applications, usage and capacity.

As a portion of the Company's operations and revenue occur outside the United States, and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the Company is exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In 2011, Teradata expects approximately two percentage points of benefit from currency translation on its reported revenue and a corresponding currency impact on operating income, based on currency rates as of January 31,

2011.

Table of Contents

The United States and other international economies, significant to Teradata's sales efforts, experienced severe economic recessions in 2009, which had an adverse impact on IT budgets and capital spending trends, and contributed to lengthened sales cycles for acquiring Teradata products and services. While there were positive signs of economic recovery in 2010, particularly with respect to information technology spending, the scope and stability of such recovery, is not assured. Even in a strong economic environment, the size, timing and contracted terms of large customer orders for our products and services can impact, both positively and negatively, our operating results.

While macroeconomic challenges and fluctuations in the IT environment do occur, our long-term outlook remains positive. We did not experience significant changes in 2010 due to competitive and/or pricing trends for our EDW or appliance solutions, although there is always a risk that pricing pressure for our solutions could occur in the future. Additionally, as companies look to reduce ongoing operating expenses, customers may choose to go to lower maintenance service level agreements which could lead to revenue and margin pressure on our maintenance services business. We continue to be committed to new product development and achieving a responsive yield from our research and development spending and resources, which are intended to drive future demand. We also continue to evaluate opportunities to increase our market coverage and are committed to continuing to increase our number of sales territories, among other things, to drive future revenue growth. Given the length of sales cycles in the data warehouse market, new sales account territories typically take more than two years, on average, to become fully productive.

RESULTS FROM OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

| In millions | 2010 | % of Revenue | 2009 | % of Revenue | 2008 | % of Revenue |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Product revenue | \$ 933 | 48.2% | \$ 772 | 45.2% | \$ 849 | 48.2% |
| Service revenue | 1,003 | 51.8% | 937 | 54.8% | 913 | 51.8% |
| Total revenue | 1,936 | 100% | 1,709 | 100% | 1,762 | 100% |
| Gross margin | | | | | | |
| Product gross margin | 627 | 67.2% | 503 | 65.2% | 547 | 64.4% |
| Service gross margin | 461 | 46.0% | 435 | 46.4% | 402 | 44.0% |
| Total gross margin | 1,088 | 56.2% | 938 | 54.9% | 949 | 53.9% |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 526 | 27.2% | 483 | 28.3% | 508 | 28.8% |
| Research and development expenses | 147 | 7.6% | 117 | 6.8% | 108 | 6.1% |
| Total operating expenses | 673 | 34.8% | 600 | 35.1% | 616 | 35.0% |
| Operating income | \$ 415 | 21.4% | \$ 338 | 19.8% | \$ 333 | 18.9% |

Revenue

Teradata revenue increased 13% in 2010 from 2009. The revenue increase included a positive effect of 1% from foreign currency fluctuations. Product revenue increased 21% in 2010 from 2009, driven by increases in the Americas region, and to a lesser extent the APJ region. Service revenue increased 7% in 2010 from 2009, driven by increases in both consulting and installation-related (consulting) services revenue in the Americas and EMEA regions, and increases in maintenance services revenue in the Americas and APJ regions.

Teradata revenue declined 3% in 2009 from 2008. The revenue decline included a negative effect of 2% from foreign currency fluctuations. Product revenue decreased 9% in 2009 from 2008, due to the difficult global economic environment in 2009, which resulted in reduced capital spending by companies. Service revenue increased 3% in 2009 from 2008, driven by increases in both consulting and maintenance services.

Table of Contents

Gross Margin

Gross margin was 56.2% in 2010, up from 54.9% in 2009. Product gross margin increased to 67.2% from 65.2% in 2009 with improvements in all three regions. Product gross margins benefitted from a favorable deal mix and lower corporate and overhead costs, including amortization of capitalized software development expenses, as compared to 2009. The term "deal mix" refers to the revenue mix of our product sales consummated in a particular period, including both software versus hardware content and mix, and amount and mix of third-party products re-sold. Services gross margin decreased somewhat to 46.0% in 2010 from 46.4% in 2009, due to incremental compensation expenses from hiring additional consultants, as well as higher variable compensation expenses resulting from the Company's improved achievement against performance targets as compared to 2009. The improvement in total gross margins also benefitted from a higher proportion of product revenue, in relation to services revenue, as compared to the prior year.

Gross margin was 54.9% in 2009, up from 53.9% in 2008. Product gross margin increased to 65.2% from 64.4% in 2008 with a positive deal mix offset in part by the impact of increased capitalized software amortization against lower product revenue, as well as the adverse impact of currency translation on international product revenue. Services gross margin increased to 46.4% in 2009 from 44.0% in 2008, due to improvements in our consulting services business which benefitted from improved utilization of internal resources, lower outside contractor costs, lower overhead costs and lower travel expenses. The improvement in product and services margins more than offset a lower proportion (mix) of product revenue, in relation to services revenue, as compared to the prior year.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses, including Selling, General and Administrative (SG&A) and R&D expenses, were \$673 million in 2010 compared to \$600 million in 2009. The \$43 million increase in SG&A expenses was driven primarily by greater selling expense, with higher sales headcount due to sales territory expansions, and increased sales commissions due to higher revenues. The \$30 million increase in R&D expenses was driven by increased headcount and salaries, as well as \$10 million less in capitalization of software development costs as compared to 2009. Variable incentive compensation expense was also higher, in both SG&A and R&D expenses, due to the Company's improved performance against annual operating targets, as compared to 2009.

Total operating expenses were \$600 million in 2009 compared to \$616 million in 2008. A \$25 million decrease in SG&A expenses was driven by the positive impact from foreign currency fluctuations along with lower expenses for travel and other discretionary costs, sales commissions and certain outside services, which more than offset the expense impact of increased expense from the increased number of sales territories. The \$9 million increase in R&D expenses was driven by higher salary, benefits and variable incentive compensation expenses given achievement of performance targets, hiring and turnover activity, as well as increased materials spending for product development, which more than offset a \$6 million increase in capitalization of software development cost.

Other (Expense) Income

Other expense and income was \$1 million of net expense in 2010, compared to \$4 million of net expense in 2009. The net expense in 2010 resulted primarily from charges for equity-method investment losses and bank fees, which were not fully offset by interest income in the period, due to the lower interest rate environment. The somewhat greater net expense in 2009 resulted from a \$5 million charge to write-down the value of an equity investment.

Other income was \$5 million in 2008. Other income was driven by higher interest income due to the higher interest rate environment, which more than offset a \$3 million charge to write-down the value of an equity investment.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 27%, 24% and 26% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate in 2010 was due to a greater proportion of the Company's pre-tax earnings being generated in the United States, which has a much higher corporate tax rate. The effective tax rate for

Table of Contents

the year ended December 31, 2010 included a \$5 million tax benefit associated with the recognition of certain foreign net operating loss carryforwards resulting from an audit settlement in the first quarter of 2010. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 included a net tax benefit for a recurring state and local income tax credit that was not recognized in the 2008 income tax rate. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 included a \$3 million charge to reflect a change in estimate identified in conjunction with filing the Company's 2007 U.S. federal tax return. We currently estimate our full-year effective tax rate for 2011 to be approximately 27% to 28%. This estimate takes into consideration, among other things, the forecasted earnings mix by jurisdiction for 2010. The provision for income taxes is based on the pre-tax earnings mix by jurisdiction of Teradata and its subsidiaries under the Company's current structure. For additional information, see Note 4 Income Taxes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Revenue and Gross Margin by Operating Segment

As described in Note 10 Segment, Other Supplemental Information and Concentrations in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Teradata manages its business in three geographic regions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) the Americas region; (2) the EMEA region; and (3) the APJ region. Teradata believes this format is useful to investors because it allows analysis and comparability of operating trends by operating segment. It also includes the same information that is used by Teradata management to make decisions regarding the segments and to assess our financial performance. The discussion of our segment results describes the changes in results as compared to the prior-year period.

The following table presents revenue and operating performance by segment for the years ended December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | % of Revenue | 2009 | % of Revenue | 2008 | % of Revenue |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 1,166 | 60% | \$ 981 | 57% | \$ 984 | 56% |
| EMEA | 442 | 23% | 430 | 25% | 451 | 26% |
| APJ | 328 | 17% | 298 | 18% | 327 | 18% |
| Total revenue | 1,936 | 100% | 1,709 | 100% | 1,762 | 100% |
| Segment gross margin | | | | | | |
| Americas | 702 | 60.2% | 570 | 58.1% | 557 | 56.6% |
| EMEA | 232 | 52.5% | 230 | 53.5% | 234 | 51.9% |
| APJ | 154 | 47.0% | 138 | 46.3% | 158 | 48.3% |
| Total segment gross margin | \$ 1,088 | 56.2% | \$ 938 | 54.9% | \$ 949 | 53.9% |

Americas Revenue increased 19% in 2010 from 2009, led by a 30% increase in product revenue. The revenue increase included 1% of benefit from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margin increased to 60.2% in 2010, from 58.1% in 2009, driven primarily by improved product margins, as compared to the prior year.

Revenue was roughly unchanged in 2009 from 2008, with a 7% decrease in product revenue offset by a 7% increase in services revenue. Gross margin increased to 58.1% in 2009, from 56.6% in 2008, driven by improvements in the consulting services business and a smaller increase in product gross margin rate due to a positive deal mix as compared to the prior year. These improvements were offset in part by the impact of a lower proportion of product revenue, in relation to services revenue, as compared to the prior year.

EMEA Revenue increased 3% in 2010 from 2009, with a 7% increase in consulting revenue overcoming relatively flat product and maintenance revenues. The revenue increase included 3% of adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margin decreased to 52.5% in 2010, from 53.5% in 2009, driven by the impact of a lower proportion of product revenues, as compared to services revenue, as well as somewhat lower maintenance margins, as compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

Revenue decreased 5% in 2009 from 2008, driven by a 6% decrease in product revenue and a 3% decrease in service revenue. The revenue decline included 7% of adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margin increased to 53.5% in 2009, from 51.9% in 2008, driven by improvements in consulting services.

APJ Revenue increased 10% in 2010 from 2009, led by a 17% increase in product revenue. The revenue increase included 8% of benefit from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margin improved to 47.0% in 2010, from 46.3% in 2009, driven by the impact of the higher proportion of product revenue, as well as higher product margins, offset in part by lower consulting services margins, as compared to 2009.

Revenue decreased 9% in 2009 from 2008, driven by a 22% decrease in product revenue. The revenue decline included 1% of benefit from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margin declined to 46.3% in 2009, from 48.3% in 2008, driven by the impact of the lower product revenue as well as lower maintenance margins, offset in part by an improvement in consulting services margins.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Teradata ended 2010 with \$883 million in cash and cash equivalents, a \$222 million increase from the December 31, 2009 balance of cash and cash equivalents, after using approximately \$88 million for repurchases of Company common stock during the year. Cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$42 million to \$413 million in 2010. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to an increase in receivables during 2010, as compared to a reduction in receivables during 2009. Additionally, there was a greater increase in inventories in 2010, as compared to 2009. This revenue-driven increase in working capital was offset in part by the increase in net income from 2009 to 2010.

Teradata's management uses a non-GAAP measure called free cash flow, which we define as net cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures for property and equipment, and additions to capitalized software, as one measure of assessing the financial performance of the Company. Free cash flow does not have a uniform definition under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP); and therefore, Teradata's definition of this measure may differ from the definition used by other companies. The components that are used to calculate free cash flow are GAAP measures taken directly from the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. We believe that free cash flow information is useful for investors because it relates the operating cash flow of the Company to the capital that is spent to continue and improve business operations. In particular, free cash flow indicates the amount of cash available after capital expenditures for, among other things, investments in the Company's existing businesses, strategic acquisitions and repurchase of Teradata common stock. Free cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures since there may be other non-discretionary expenditures that are not deducted from the measure. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, cash flows from operating activities under GAAP.

The table below shows net cash provided by operating activities and capital expenditures for the following periods:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 301 | \$ 254 | \$ 250 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 413 | \$ 455 | \$ 440 |
| Less: | | | |
| Expenditures for property and equipment | (34) | (29) | (19) |
| Additions to capitalized software | (49) | (59) | (52) |
| Free cash flow | \$ 330 | \$ 367 | \$ 369 |

Financing activities and certain other investing activities are not included in our calculation of free cash flow. In 2010, these other investing activities primarily consisted of two immaterial business acquisitions and an immaterial cost-method equity investment. In 2009, other investing activities primarily consisted of purchases and maturities of short-term investments. Teradata's short-term investments consisted of bank time deposits with original maturities between three months and one year.

Table of Contents

Teradata's financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 consisted primarily of cash outflows from our share repurchase activities. The Company purchased 2.9 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$29.57 in 2010, and 7.0 million shares at an average price per share of \$25.11 in 2009. Share repurchases were made under the two share repurchase programs authorized by our Board of Directors in 2008. The first program (the dilution offset program) authorizes the Company to purchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) to offset dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. The second program (the general share repurchase program) authorized the Company to repurchase \$250 million of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The Company has completely utilized this \$250 million authorization to repurchase Teradata common stock. On May 4, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$300 million increase to the Company's existing general share repurchase program. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had \$161 million of authorization remaining on the \$300 million general share repurchase program to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis. Our share repurchase activity depends on factors such as our working capital needs, our cash requirements for capital investments, our stock price, and economic and market conditions. Proceeds from the ESPP and the exercise of stock options were \$31 million in 2010 and \$25 million in 2009. These proceeds are included in Other Financing Activities, Net in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Our total in cash and cash equivalents held outside the United States in various foreign subsidiaries was \$506 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$455 million as of December 31, 2009. The remaining balance held in the United States was \$377 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$206 million as of December 31, 2009. Under current tax laws and regulations, if cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments held outside the United States are distributed to the United States in the form of dividends or otherwise, we may be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and foreign withholding taxes. As of December 31, 2010, we have not provided for the U.S. federal tax liability on approximately \$591 million of foreign earnings that are considered permanently reinvested outside of the United States.

On October 1, 2007, the Company entered into a five-year, \$300 million unsecured revolving credit facility. This credit facility contains certain representations and warranties; conditions; affirmative, negative and financial covenants; and events of default customary for such facilities. For most borrowings, Teradata would anticipate choosing a floating rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). If the facility had been fully drawn at December 31, 2010, the spread over the LIBOR would have been 32 basis points (for an interest rate of 0.78%, assuming a 6 month borrowing term) given Teradata's leverage ratio at that date. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under this revolving credit facility and was in compliance with all covenants.

On January 21, 2011, Teradata completed the acquisition of Aprimo. The \$525 million purchase price of this all-cash acquisition was funded in part by using \$225 million of existing U.S. cash, and in part by drawing-down the full \$300 million credit facility. As of January 31, 2011, following the completion of the Aprimo acquisition, the Company had approximately \$700 million of cash available, including approximately \$200 million in the U.S.

Management believes that current cash and short-term investment resources and cash flows from operations will be sufficient to satisfy future working capital, research and development activities, capital expenditures, pension contributions, and other operating financing requirements for the foreseeable future. As the Company continues to pursue its strategic growth plans it will consider alternative forms of long-term financing. The Company primarily holds its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposits and highly-rated money market funds.

The Company's ability to generate positive cash flows from operations is dependent on general economic conditions, competitive pressures, and other business and risk factors described elsewhere in this Annual Report. If the Company is unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or otherwise to comply with the terms of its credit facility, the Company believes it has the ability to obtain additional financing alternatives.

Table of Contents

Contractual and Other Commercial Commitments. In the normal course of business, we enter into various contractual obligations that impact, or could impact, our liquidity. The following table and discussion outlines our material obligations at December 31, 2010, with projected cash payments in the periods shown:

| In millions | Total Amounts | 2011 | 2012- 2013 | 2014- 2015 | 2016 and Thereafter |
|---|------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Lease obligations | \$ 63 | \$ 18 | \$ 24 | \$ 12 | \$ 9 |
| Purchase obligations | 10 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Total lease and purchase obligations | \$ 73 | \$ 23 | \$ 29 | \$ 12 | \$ 9 |

Our lease obligations in the above table include Company-only facilities in various domestic and international locations. Purchase obligations are committed purchase orders and other contractual commitments for goods and services, and include contractual payments in relation to service agreements with various vendors for ongoing service parts logistics, payroll and other services.

We also have product warranties and guarantees to third parties, as well as postemployment and international pension obligations that may affect future cash flow. These items are not included in the table of obligations shown above. Product warranties and third-party guarantees are described in detail in Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Postemployment and pension obligations are described in detail in Note 6 Employee Benefit Plans in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities (SPE), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or for other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. In connection with the preparation of these financial statements, we are required to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities. These assumptions, estimates and judgments are based on historical experience and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable at the time. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Our critical accounting policies are those that require assumptions to be made about matters that are highly uncertain. Different estimates could have a material impact on our financial results. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and estimates may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or circumstances. Our management periodically reviews these estimates and assumptions to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and are materially correct.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require significant management judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result. The significant accounting policies and estimates that we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results are discussed in the paragraphs below. Teradata's senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of Teradata's Board of Directors. For additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures required by GAAP, see Note 1 Description of Business, Separation, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Revenue Recognition**

Teradata's solution offerings typically include hardware, software, software subscriptions, maintenance support services and other consulting, implementation and installation services. Teradata records revenue when it is realized, or realizable, and earned. Teradata considers these requirements met when: (a) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (b) the products or services have been delivered to the customer; (c) the sales price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (d) collectibility is reasonably assured. Our judgment is required in assessing the probability of collection and that fees are fixed or determinable, which is generally based on evaluation of customer-specific information, historical collection experience and economic market conditions. If Teradata cannot conclude that a fee is fixed or determinable at the outset of an arrangement, revenue is deferred until the determination is made that the arrangement fee is fixed or determinable. If market conditions decline, or if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates, we may be unable to determine that collectibility is probable, and we could be required to defer the recognition of revenue until we receive customer payments. Teradata reports revenue net of any taxes assessed by governmental authorities that are imposed on and concurrent with specific revenue-producing transactions. Teradata delivers its solutions primarily through direct sales channels, as well as through alliances with system integrators, other independent software vendors and distributors, and value-added resellers (collectively referred to as "resellers"). In assessing whether the sales price to a reseller is fixed or determinable, the Company considers, among other things, past business practices with the reseller, the reseller's operating history, payment terms, return rights and the financial wherewithal of the reseller. When we determine that the contract fee to a reseller is not fixed or determinable, we account for that transaction upon sell-through to the end customer.

Substantially all of Teradata's solutions contain software that is more than incidental to the hardware and services. The typical solution requires no significant production, modification or customization of the software or hardware, and the software is not essential to the functionality of the hardware. For software and software-related elements, Teradata allocates revenue to each software element based upon its fair value as determined by vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) using the residual method as discussed below. VSOE of fair value is based upon the normal pricing and discounting practices for those products and services when sold separately. For non-software related elements, fair value is based upon Verifiable Objective Evidence (VOE). VOE is based on the price when similar products or services are sold separately by Teradata or other companies. These elements often involve delivery or performance at different periods of time. Revenue for software is generally recognized upon delivery with the hardware using the residual method described below. Revenue for software subscriptions, which provide for unspecified upgrades or enhancements on a when-and-if-available basis, is recognized straight-line over the term of the subscription arrangement. Revenue for maintenance support services is also recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract. Revenue for other consulting, implementation and installation services is recognized as services are provided. In certain instances, customer acceptance is required prior to the passage of title and risk of loss of the delivered products. In such cases, no revenue is recognized until the customer acceptance is obtained. Delivery and acceptance generally occur in the same reporting period.

For arrangements involving multiple deliverables, where the deliverables include software and non-software products and services, Teradata evaluates each deliverable to determine whether it represents a separate unit of accounting based on the following criteria: (a) whether the delivered item has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis; (b) whether there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items; and (c) if the contract includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of Teradata. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for all units of accounting in the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each unit of accounting based on relative fair values. Each unit of accounting is then accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance. In situations where there is objective and reliable evidence of fair value for all undelivered elements, but not for delivered elements, the residual method is used to allocate the arrangement's consideration. Teradata does not typically have VSOE of fair value for its software products. Therefore, in a substantial majority of Teradata arrangements, the residual method is used to allocate arrangement consideration. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance, and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Table of Contents

If we cannot determine or maintain VSOE for an undelivered element, it could impact the timing of revenues as all or a portion of the revenue from the multiple-element arrangement may need to be deferred.

Revenue recognition for complex contractual arrangements requires a greater degree of judgment, including a review of specific contracts, past experience, creditworthiness of customers, international laws and other factors. We must also apply judgment in determining all elements of the arrangement, and in determining the fair value of each element, considering the price charged for each product, and applicable renewal rates for services. Changes in judgments about these factors could impact the timing and amount of revenue recognized between periods.

Capitalized Software

Under GAAP, costs incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product should be charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established. Technological feasibility is established when planning, designing and initial coding activities that are necessary to establish the product can be produced to meet its design specifications are complete. In the absence of a detailed program design, a working model is used to establish technological feasibility. Once technological feasibility is established, all development costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. The timing of when various research and development projects become technologically feasible or ready for release can cause fluctuation in the amount of research and development costs that are expensed or capitalized in any given period, thus impacting our reported profitability for that period.

Income Taxes

In accounting for income taxes, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the enacted tax rates expected to apply in the periods in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized.

The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the United States. As a result, the effective tax rates are largely based upon the forecasted pre-tax earnings mix and allocation of certain expenses in various taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business; these jurisdictions apply a broad range of statutory income tax rates. As of December 31, 2010, the Company has not provided for federal income taxes on earnings of approximately \$591 million from its foreign subsidiaries.

We account for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing thresholds and attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Under GAAP, we may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. We record any interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the income tax expense line on our Consolidated Statements of Income. As of December 31, 2010, the Company has recorded \$8 million of unrecognized tax benefits, which is included in the "Other liabilities" section of the Company's balance sheet.

We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability and establish a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. We had \$89 million and \$114 million of net deferred tax assets, and no material valuation allowances as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Share-based Compensation

We measure compensation cost for stock awards at fair value and recognize compensation expense over the service period for which awards are expected to vest. We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock-based compensation at the date of grant, which requires the input of subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected term. Further, we estimate forfeitures for options granted which are not expected to vest. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent that actual results

Table of Contents

or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period in which estimates are revised. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures including types of awards and historical experience. Actual results and future changes in estimates may differ substantially from our current estimates.

In addition, we have performance-based awards that vest only if specific performance conditions are satisfied. The number of shares that will be earned can vary based on actual performance. No shares will vest if the threshold objectives are not met. In the event the objectives are exceeded additional shares will vest up to a maximum payout. The cost of these awards is expensed over the performance period based upon management's estimate and analysis of the probability of meeting the performance criteria. Because the actual number of shares to be awarded is not known until the end of the performance period, the actual compensation expense related to these awards could differ from our current expectations.

Pension and Postemployment Benefits

We have pension and postemployment benefit costs and credits, which are developed from actuarial valuations. Actuarial assumptions attempt to anticipate future events and are used in calculating the expense and liability relating to these plans. These factors include assumptions we make about interest rates, expected investment return on plan assets, total and involuntary turnover rates, and rates of future compensation increases. In addition, our actuarial consultants also use subjective factors such as withdrawal rates and mortality rates to develop our valuations. We review and update these assumptions on an annual basis at the beginning of each fiscal year. We are required to consider current market conditions, including changes in interest rates, in making these assumptions. The actuarial assumptions that we use may differ materially from actual results due to changing market and economic conditions, higher or lower withdrawal rates, or longer or shorter life spans of participants. These differences may result in a significant impact to the measurement of our pension and postemployment benefit obligations, and to the amount of pension and postemployment benefits expense we have recorded or may record. For example, as of December 31, 2010, a one-half percent increase/decrease in the discount rate would change the projected benefit obligation of our pension plans by approximately \$3 million, and a one-half percent increase/decrease in our involuntary turnover assumption would change our postemployment benefit obligation by approximately \$10 million.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements is described in Note 1 Description of Business, Separation, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report, and we incorporate such discussion by reference.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company employs a foreign currency hedging strategy to limit potential losses in earnings or cash flows from adverse foreign currency exchange rate movements. Foreign currency exposures arise from transactions denominated in a currency other than the Company's functional currency and from foreign denominated revenue and profit translated into U.S. dollars. The primary currencies to which the Company is exposed include the euro, the British pound, the Japanese yen, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, and other Asian and South American currencies. Exposures are hedged with foreign currency forward contracts with maturity dates of twelve months or less. The potential loss in fair value at December 31, 2010, for such contracts resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in all foreign currency exchange rates is approximately \$5 million. This loss would be mitigated by corresponding gains on the underlying exposures. For additional information regarding the Company's foreign currency hedging strategy, see Note 7 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

We are responsible for the preparation, integrity and objectivity of our consolidated financial statements and other financial information presented in this Annual Report. The accompanying consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and include certain amounts based on currently available information and our judgment of current conditions and circumstances.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, is engaged to perform audits of our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. These audits are performed in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our independent registered public accounting firm was given unrestricted access to all financial records and related data, including minutes of all meetings of stockholders, the Board of Directors, and committees of the board.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, consisting entirely of independent directors who are not employees of Teradata, monitors our accounting, reporting, and internal control structure. Our independent registered public accounting firm, internal auditors, and management have complete and free access to the Audit Committee, which periodically meets directly with each group to ensure that their respective duties are being properly discharged.

/s/ Michael F. Koehler
Michael F. Koehler
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Stephen M. Scheppmann
Stephen M. Scheppmann
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Teradata Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Teradata Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing in Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

March 1, 2011

Atlanta, Georgia

Table of Contents**TERADATA CORPORATION****Consolidated Statements of Income**

In millions, except per share amounts

| | For the Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Product revenue | \$ 933 | \$ 772 | \$ 849 |
| Service revenue | 1,003 | 937 | 913 |
| Total revenue | 1,936 | 1,709 | 1,762 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | |
| Cost of products | 306 | 269 | 302 |
| Cost of services | 542 | 502 | 511 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 526 | 483 | 508 |
| Research and development expenses | 147 | 117 | 108 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 1,521 | 1,371 | 1,429 |
| Income from operations | 415 | 338 | 333 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (1) | (4) | 5 |
| Income before income taxes | 414 | 334 | 338 |
| Income tax expense | 113 | 80 | 88 |
| Net income | \$ 301 | \$ 254 | \$ 250 |
| Net income per common share | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.40 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.39 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 167.4 | 171.9 | 178.1 |
| Diluted | 170.4 | 173.9 | 179.8 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TERADATA CORPORATION****Consolidated Balance Sheets****In millions, except per share amounts**

| | At December 31 | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 883 | \$ 661 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 402 | 387 |
| Inventories | 65 | 47 |
| Other current assets | 56 | 57 |
| Total current assets | 1,406 | 1,152 |
| Property and equipment, net | 105 | 95 |
| Capitalized software, net | 116 | 102 |
| Goodwill | 136 | 109 |
| Deferred income taxes | 59 | 84 |
| Other assets | 61 | 27 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,883 | \$ 1,569 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 102 | \$ 102 |
| Payroll and benefits liabilities | 134 | 109 |
| Deferred revenue | 263 | 256 |
| Other current liabilities | 70 | 76 |
| Total current liabilities | 569 | 543 |
| Pension and other postemployment plan liabilities | 85 | 83 |
| Other liabilities | 40 | 33 |
| Total liabilities | 694 | 659 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 100.0 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 0 | 0 |
| Common stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 500.0 shares authorized, 184.9 and 182.6 shares issued at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 2 | 2 |
| Paid-in capital | 690 | 622 |
| Treasury stock: 16.8 and 13.9 shares at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | (399) | (311) |
| Retained earnings | 884 | 583 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 12 | 14 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,189 | 910 |

| | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,883 | \$ 1,569 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**TERADATA CORPORATION****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

In millions

| | For the Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 301 | \$ 254 | \$ 250 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 60 | 63 | 60 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 26 | 23 | 21 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (10) | (5) | (1) |
| Deferred income taxes | 41 | 41 | 38 |
| Impairment of equity investment | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (15) | 60 | 73 |
| Inventories | (18) | (2) | 7 |
| Current payables and accrued expenses | 9 | 15 | (7) |
| Deferred revenue | 10 | (4) | 13 |
| Other assets and liabilities | 9 | 5 | (17) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 413 | 455 | 440 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | 0 | (25) | (90) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments | 0 | 65 | 50 |
| Expenditures for property and equipment | (34) | (29) | (19) |
| Additions to capitalized software | (49) | (59) | (52) |
| Other investing activities and business acquisitions, net | (62) | (9) | (25) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (145) | (57) | (136) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Repurchases of Company common stock | (88) | (174) | (176) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| Other financing activities, net | 31 | 25 | 8 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (47) | (144) | (167) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 1 | 5 | (5) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 222 | 259 | 132 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 661 | 402 | 270 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 883 | \$ 661 | \$ 402 |
| Supplemental data | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Income taxes | \$ 89 | \$ 44 | \$ 33 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|----|---|----|---|----|---|
| Interest | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1 |
|----------|----|---|----|---|----|---|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**TERADATA CORPORATION****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity**

In millions

| | Common Stock | | Treasury Stock | | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | Total | Comprehensive Income for the Year Ended |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 | 181 | \$ 2 | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 555 | \$ 79 | (\$ 5) | \$ 631 | |
| Net income | | | | | | 250 | | 250 | \$ 250 |
| Adjustments to net assets contributed from NCR (Note 1) | | | | | 25 | | 2 | 27 | |
| Employee stock compensation, employee stock purchase programs and option exercises | 2 | | | | 29 | | | 29 | |
| Repurchase of Company common stock, retired | (2) | | | | (38) | | | (38) | |
| Income tax benefit from stock compensation plans | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Purchases of treasury stock, not retired | | | (7) | (137) | | | | (137) | |
| Pension and post-employment benefit plans, net of tax | | | | | | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| December 31, 2008 | 181 | \$ 2 | (7) | (\$ 137) | \$ 572 | \$ 329 | \$ 11 | \$ 777 | \$ 264 |
| Net income | | | | | | 254 | | 254 | \$ 254 |
| Employee stock compensation, employee stock purchase programs and option exercises | 2 | | | | 45 | | | 45 | |
| Income tax benefit from stock compensation plans | | | | | 5 | | | 5 | |
| Purchases of treasury stock, not retired | | | (7) | (174) | | | | (174) | |
| Pension and post-employment benefit plans, net of tax | | | | | | | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| December 31, 2009 | 183 | \$ 2 | (14) | (\$ 311) | \$ 622 | \$ 583 | \$ 14 | \$ 910 | \$ 257 |
| Net income | | | | | | 301 | | 301 | \$ 301 |
| Employee stock compensation, employee stock purchase programs and option exercises | 2 | | | | 58 | | | 58 | |
| Income tax benefit from stock compensation plans | | | | | 10 | | | 10 | |
| Purchases of treasury stock, not retired | | | (3) | (88) | | | | (88) | |
| Pension and post-employment benefit plans, net of tax | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | | | | | (3) | (3) | (3) |

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

December 31, 2010

185 \$ 2 (17) (\$ 399) \$ 690 \$ 884 \$ 12 \$ 1,189 \$ 299

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 1 Description of Business, Separation, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies**

Description of the Business. Teradata Corporation (Teradata or the Company) provides data warehousing solutions for customers worldwide that combine software (including the Teradata database and tools, data mining and analytical applications), hardware and related consulting and support services.

The Separation. On August 27, 2007, the Board of Directors of NCR Corporation (NCR), the Company's former parent, approved the separation of NCR into two independent, publicly traded companies through the distribution of 100% of its Teradata data warehousing business to shareholders of NCR (the Separation).

To effect the Separation, Teradata Corporation, a Delaware corporation, was formed on March 27, 2007, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of NCR. Immediately prior to the Separation, the assets and liabilities of the Teradata data warehousing business of NCR were transferred to Teradata Corporation in return for 180.7 million shares of Teradata Corporation common shares. NCR accomplished the Separation through a distribution of one share of Teradata Corporation common stock for each share of NCR common stock on September 30, 2007, to NCR shareholders of record as of September 14, 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded Separation-related adjustments of \$25 million and \$2 million to additional paid-in capital and other comprehensive income, respectively. These adjustments were primarily made to reflect certain deferred tax assets that were not initially recorded at the Separation. These adjustments had no impact on net income or cash flows for any periods presented.

Basis of Presentation. The financial statements are presented on a consolidated basis and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates these estimates and judgments, including those related to allowances for doubtful accounts, the valuation of inventory to net realizable value, share-based compensation and income taxes and any changes will be accounted for on a prospective basis. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Teradata's solution offerings typically include software, software subscriptions, hardware, maintenance support services, and other consulting, implementation and installation-related (consulting) services. Teradata records revenue when it is realized, or realizable, and earned. Teradata considers these requirements met when: (a) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (b) the products or services have been delivered to the customer; (c) the sales price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (d) collectibility is reasonably assured. Teradata reports revenue net of any taxes assessed by governmental authorities that are imposed on and concurrent with specific revenue-producing transactions. Teradata delivers its solutions primarily through direct sales channels, as well as through alliances with system integrators, other independent software vendors and distributors, and value-added resellers (collectively referred to as resellers). In assessing whether the sales price to a reseller is fixed or determinable, the Company considers, among other things, past business practices with the reseller, the reseller's operating history, payment terms, return rights and the financial wherewithal of the reseller. When Teradata determines that the contract fee to a reseller is not fixed or determinable, that transaction is accounted for upon sell-through to the end customer.

Substantially all of Teradata's solutions contain software that is more than incidental to the hardware and services. The typical solution requires no significant production, modification or customization of the software or hardware, and the software is not essential to the functionality of the hardware. Therefore, hardware and related services are considered non-software deliverables. For software and software-related deliverables, Teradata allocates revenue to each software deliverable based upon its fair value as determined by vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE)

Table of Contents

using the residual method as discussed below. VSOE of fair value is based upon the normal pricing and discounting practices for those products and services when sold separately. For non-software related deliverables, fair value is based upon Verifiable Objective Evidence (VOE). VOE is based on the price when similar products or services are sold separately by Teradata or other companies. These elements often involve delivery or performance at different periods of time. Revenue for software is generally recognized upon delivery with the hardware using the residual method described below. Revenue for software subscriptions, which provide for unspecified upgrades or enhancements on a when-and-if-available basis, is recognized straight-line over the term of the subscription arrangement. Revenue for maintenance support services is also recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract. Revenue for other consulting, implementation and installation services is recognized as services are provided. In certain instances, customer acceptance is required prior to the passage of title and risk of loss of the delivered products. In such cases, no revenue is recognized until the customer acceptance is obtained. Delivery and acceptance generally occur in the same reporting period.

For arrangements involving multiple deliverables, where the deliverables include software and non-software products and services, Teradata evaluates each deliverable to determine whether it represents a separate unit of accounting based on the following criteria: (a) whether the delivered item has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis; (b) whether there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items; and (c) if the contract includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of Teradata. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for all units of accounting in the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each unit of accounting based on relative fair values. Each unit of accounting is then accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance. In situations where there is objective and reliable evidence of fair value for all undelivered elements, but not for delivered elements, the residual method is used to allocate the arrangement's consideration. Teradata does not typically have VSOE of fair value for software products. Therefore, in a substantial majority of Teradata arrangements, the residual method is used to allocate arrangement consideration. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance, and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Teradata uses the stated renewal rate approach in establishing VSOE of fair value for maintenance and subscriptions. Under this approach, the Company assesses whether the contractually stated renewal rates are substantive and in line with the Company's normal pricing practices. Renewal rates greater than the lower level of our targeted pricing ranges are considered to be substantive and, therefore, meet the requirements to support VSOE. In instances where there is not a substantive renewal rate in the arrangement, the Company reallocates revenue from the delivered elements to increase the allocation of revenue for undelivered elements to the minimum established pricing targets as supported by the renewal rates for similar customers.

Teradata also offers consulting and installation-related services to its customers, which are considered software-related. These services are rarely considered essential to the functionality of the enterprise data warehouse (EDW) solution deliverable and there is never any software customization of the proprietary database software. VSOE of fair value for consulting services is based on the hourly rates for standalone consulting services projects by geographic region and are indicative of our customary pricing practices. Pricing in each market is structured to obtain a reasonable margin based on input costs.

Shipping and Handling. Product shipping and handling costs are included in cost of products in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. All short-term, highly-liquid investments having original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Teradata establishes provisions for doubtful accounts using both percentages of accounts receivable balances to reflect historical average credit losses and specific provisions for known issues.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the average cost method.

Table of Contents**Long-Lived Assets**

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment, leasehold improvements and rental equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the related assets primarily on a straight-line basis. Equipment is depreciated over 3 to 20 years and buildings over 25 to 45 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the life of the lease or the asset, whichever is shorter. Total depreciation expense on the Company's property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$25 million, \$22 million and \$24 million, respectively.

Capitalized Software. Direct development costs associated with internal-use software are capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful lives of the resulting software. The costs are capitalized when both the preliminary project stage is completed and it is probable that computer software being developed will be completed and placed in service. Teradata typically amortizes capitalized internal-use software on a straight-line basis over three years beginning when the asset is substantially ready for use.

Costs incurred for the development of software that will be sold, leased or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established. Technological feasibility is established when planning, designing and initial coding activities that are necessary to establish the product can be produced to meet its design specifications. In the absence of a program design, a working model is used to establish technological feasibility. These costs are included within capitalized software and are amortized over the estimated useful lives of the resulting software. The Company amortizes capitalized software over periods up to four years using the greater of the ratio that current gross revenues for a product bear to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues for that product or the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product beginning when the product is available for general release. Costs capitalized include direct labor and related overhead costs. Costs incurred prior to technological feasibility and after general release are expensed as incurred. The following table identifies the activity relating to capitalized software:

| In millions | Internal-use Software | | | External-use Software | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Beginning balance at January 1 | \$ 12 | \$ 11 | \$ 12 | \$ 90 | \$ 69 | \$ 49 |
| Capitalized | 5 | 5 | 4 | 44 | 54 | 48 |
| Amortization | (6) | (4) | (5) | (29) | (33) | (28) |
| Ending balance at December 31 | \$ 11 | \$ 12 | \$ 11 | \$ 105 | \$ 90 | \$ 69 |

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets such as property and equipment and capitalized software are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than the carrying amount.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill amounts are not amortized, but rather are tested for impairment annually or if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The Company did not recognize any goodwill impairment charges in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Warranty. Provisions for product warranties are recorded in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. The Company accrues warranty reserves using percentages of revenue to reflect the Company's historical average warranty claims.

Research and Development Costs. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred (with the exception of the capitalized software development costs discussed above). Research and development costs primarily include payroll and headcount-related costs, contractor fees, facilities costs, infrastructure costs, and administrative expenses directly related to research and development support.

Table of Contents

Pension and Postemployment Benefits. The Company accounts for its pension and postemployment benefit obligations using actuarial models. The measurement of plan obligations was made as of December 31, 2010. Liabilities are computed using the projected unit credit method. The objective under this method is to expense each participant's benefits under the plan as they accrue, taking into consideration salary increases and the plan's benefit allocation formula. Thus, the total pension or postemployment benefit to which each participant is expected to become entitled is broken down into units, each associated with a year of past or future credited service.

The Company recognizes the funded status of its pension and postemployment plan obligations in its consolidated balance sheet and records in other comprehensive income certain gains and losses that arise during the period, but are deferred under pension accounting rules.

Foreign Currency. Assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries that operate in a local currency environment are translated into U.S. dollars at period-end exchange rates. Income and expense accounts are translated at average exchange rates prevailing during the period. Adjustments arising from the translation are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of stockholders' equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in determining net income.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense is provided based on income before income taxes in the various jurisdictions in which the Company conducts its business. Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes. These deferred taxes are determined based on the enacted tax rates expected to apply in the periods in which the deferred assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized. Teradata recognizes tax benefits from uncertain tax positions only if it is more likely than not the tax position will be sustained on examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company records valuation allowances related to its deferred income tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized.

Share-based Compensation. Share-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. The fair value of each stock option award on the grant date is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, weighted-average risk-free interest rate and weighted average expected term of the options. The Company's expected volatility assumption used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model is based on peer group volatility. The expected term assumption is based on the simplified method under GAAP, which is based on the vesting period and contractual term for each vesting tranche of awards. The mid-point between the vesting date and the expiration date is used as the expected term under this method. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes model is based on the implied yield curve available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues at the date of grant with a remaining term equal to the Company's expected term assumption. The Company has never declared or paid a cash dividend.

Treasury Stock. Prior to the second quarter of 2008, stock repurchased through the share repurchase programs was retired. Beginning in the second quarter of 2008, stock repurchased through the share repurchase programs was held as treasury stock. Treasury stock is accounted for using the cost method.

Earnings Per Share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reported period. The calculation of diluted earnings per share is similar to basic earnings per share, except that the weighted-average number of shares outstanding includes the dilution from potential shares added from stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock awards. Refer to Note 5 for share information on the Company's stock compensation plans.

Table of Contents

The components of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

| In millions, except earnings per share | For the year ended December 31 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 301 | \$ 254 | \$ 250 |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock | 167.4 | 171.9 | 178.1 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock options and restricted stock | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Common stock and common stock equivalents | 170.4 | 173.9 | 179.8 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.40 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.77 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.39 |

Options to purchase 0.6 million shares of common stock for 2010, 1.8 million shares of common stock for 2009 and 1.7 million shares of common stock for 2008 were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance regarding the accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new guidance provides principles for allocation of consideration among its multiple-elements, allowing more alternatives in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. The guidance will eliminate the residual method of allocation and require use of the relative selling price method. The guidance also introduces the best estimate selling price (BESP) for valuing the deliverables of a bundled arrangement if vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. The Company will adopt this guidance, on a prospective basis, for applicable transactions originating or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard, but does not expect it to have a material impact on reported revenues.

Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements. In October 2009, the FASB issued new guidance for revenue arrangements that include software elements. This new guidance changes the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include both tangible products and software elements. Tangible products containing software and nonsoftware elements that function together to deliver the tangible product s essential functionality will no longer be within the scope of software revenue guidance. The new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and early application is permitted. The Company will adopt this guidance, on a prospective basis, for applicable transactions originating or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard, but does not expect it to have a material impact on reported revenues.

Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued an update to provide new disclosures, and clarifications of existing disclosures related to fair value measurements. The new disclosures will require reporting entities to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. Additionally, in the Level 3 reconciliations, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. The update also clarifies that a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities, and should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements in Level 2 and Level 3. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009,

Table of Contents

except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years.

Note 2 Supplemental Financial Information

| In millions | At December 31 | |
|--|----------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Accounts receivable | | |
| Trade | \$ 408 | \$ 392 |
| Other | 3 | 4 |
| Accounts receivable, gross | 411 | 396 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (9) | (9) |
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$ 402 | \$ 387 |
| Inventories | | |
| Finished goods | \$ 39 | \$ 27 |
| Service parts | 26 | 20 |
| Total inventories | \$ 65 | \$ 47 |
| Other current assets | | |
| Current deferred tax assets | \$ 31 | \$ 30 |
| Other | 25 | 27 |
| Total other current assets | \$ 56 | \$ 57 |
| Property and equipment | | |
| Land | \$ 8 | \$ 8 |
| Buildings and improvements | 63 | 64 |
| Machinery and other equipment | 213 | 192 |
| Property and equipment, gross | 284 | 264 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (179) | (169) |
| Total property and equipment, net | \$ 105 | \$ 95 |
| Other current liabilities | | |
| Sales and value-added taxes | \$ 19 | \$ 17 |
| Other | 51 | 59 |
| Total other current liabilities | \$ 70 | \$ 76 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax | | |
| Currency translation adjustments | \$ 31 | \$ 34 |
| Actuarial losses and prior service costs on employee benefit plans | (19) | (20) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 12 | \$ 14 |

Table of Contents**Note 3 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

The following table identifies the activity relating to goodwill by operating segment:

| In millions | Balance December 31, 2009 | Additions | Currency Translation Adjustments | Balance December 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Goodwill | | | | |
| Americas | \$ 71 | \$ 14 | \$ 0 | \$ 85 |
| EMEA | 10 | 7 | 0 | 17 |
| APJ | 28 | 3 | 3 | 34 |
| Total goodwill | \$ 109 | \$ 24 | \$ 3 | \$ 136 |

The change in goodwill for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to an immaterial acquisition consummated during 2010, as well as changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The only change in goodwill for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 was due to immaterial fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company performed its annual test of goodwill impairment and determined that no impairment to the carrying value of goodwill was necessary, as the fair value of each reporting segment was significantly in excess of their respective carrying amounts, including goodwill.

The Company's identifiable intangible assets, reported under Other Assets in the balance sheets, were specifically identified when acquired, and are deemed to have finite lives. The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for Teradata's identifiable intangible assets were as follows:

| In millions | Original Amortization Life (in Years) | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Identifiable intangible assets | | | | | |
| Intellectual property | 5 | 18 | (6) | 6 | (3) |

The increase in intellectual property since December 31, 2009 was due to software and technology assets acquired through immaterial business acquisitions.

The aggregate amortization expense (actual and estimated) for identifiable intangible assets for the following periods is:

| In millions | Actual 2010 | For the year ended (estimated) | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Amortization expense | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 |

Note 4 Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31, income before income taxes consisted of the following:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Income before income taxes | | | |
| United States | \$ 272 | \$ 179 | \$ 190 |
| Foreign | 142 | 155 | 148 |
| Total income before income taxes | \$ 414 | \$ 334 | \$ 338 |

Table of Contents

For the years ended December 31, income tax expense consisted of the following:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Income tax expense | | | |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 53 | \$ 24 | \$ 8 |
| State and local | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Foreign | 14 | 11 | 37 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | 35 | 30 | 60 |
| State and local | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Foreign | 2 | 7 | (27) |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 113 | \$ 80 | \$ 88 |

The following table presents the principal components of the difference between the effective tax rate and the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate for the years ended December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------|---------|---------|
| Income tax expense at the U.S. federal tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Foreign income tax differential | (8.1%) | (11.0%) | (12.5%) |
| State and local income taxes | 1.5% | 1.0% | 2.0% |
| U.S. permanent book/tax differences | (0.9%) | (0.5%) | 0.5% |
| Other, net | (0.2%) | (0.5%) | 1.0% |
| Total income tax expense | 27.3% | 24.0% | 26.0% |

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 included a \$5 million tax benefit associated with the recognition of certain foreign net operating loss carryforwards resulting from an audit settlement in the first quarter of 2010. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 included a net tax benefit of a recurring state and local income tax credit that was not recognized in the 2008 income tax rate. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 included a \$3 million charge to reflect a change in estimate identified in conjunction with filing the Company's 2007 U.S. federal tax return. The provision for income taxes is based on the pre-tax earnings mix by jurisdiction of Teradata and its subsidiaries under the Company's current structure.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities included in the balance sheets at December 31 were as follows:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------|-------|
| Deferred income tax assets | | |
| Employee pensions and other liabilities | \$ 47 | \$ 40 |
| Other balance sheet reserves and allowances | 24 | 22 |
| Deferred revenue | 0 | 5 |
| Tax loss and credit carryforwards | 29 | 19 |
| Capitalized research and development | 45 | 66 |
| Other | 0 | 3 |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 145 | 155 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | | |
| Capitalized software | 42 | 35 |
| Property and equipment | 10 | 0 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Other | 4 | 6 |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | 56 | 41 |
| Total net deferred income tax assets | \$ 89 | \$ 114 |

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, Teradata had net operating loss carryforwards in the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions of approximately \$17 million (tax effected), which begin to expire in 2012. In addition, Teradata has U.S. foreign tax credit carryforwards of \$7 million, which will begin expiring in 2017, and Research and Development Tax Credit carryforwards of \$6 million, which begin to expire in 2027.

The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the United States. As a result, the effective tax rates in the periods presented are largely based upon the pre-tax earnings mix and allocation of certain expenses in various taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business; these jurisdictions apply a broad range of statutory income tax rates. At December 31, 2010 the Company had not provided for federal income taxes on earnings of approximately \$591 million from its foreign subsidiaries. Should these earnings be distributed in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject to both U.S. income taxes and potential withholding taxes in various international jurisdictions. The U.S. taxes would potentially be partially offset by U.S. foreign tax credits. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. tax liability is not practical because of the complexities associated with this hypothetical calculation.

The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company reflects any interest and penalties recorded in connection with its uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense.

At the end of 2010, the Company's tax liability related to uncertain tax positions totaled approximately \$8 million and is reflected in the Other liabilities section of the Company's balance sheet as a non-current liability. The entire balance would cause a decrease in the effective income tax rate upon recognition. Teradata has recorded less than \$1 million of interest accruals related to its uncertain tax liabilities as of December 31, 2010.

Below is a rollforward of the Company's liability related to uncertain tax positions at December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------|------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 6 | \$ 1 |
| Gross increases for prior period tax positions | 1 | 2 |
| Gross decreases for prior period tax positions | (1) | 0 |
| Gross increases for current period tax positions | 2 | 3 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 8 | \$ 6 |

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions, as well as numerous foreign jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2010, the Company is in the process of undergoing a U.S. federal tax examination for the 2007 and 2008 tax years, as well as tax examinations in a limited number of foreign jurisdictions; however, no material adjustments have been made nor are currently anticipated in any of these examinations.

Note 5 Employee Share-based Compensation Plans

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31 as follows:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Stock options | \$ 12 | \$ 11 | \$ 8 |
| Restricted stock | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| Total stock-based compensation (pre-tax) | 26 | 23 | 21 |
| Tax benefit | (10) | (9) | (7) |
| Total stock-based compensation, net of tax | \$ 16 | \$ 14 | \$ 14 |

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's primary types of share-based compensation were stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

Table of Contents**Stock Options**

The Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Teradata SIP"), as amended, was adopted by stockholders at the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Teradata SIP provides for the grant of several different forms of stock-based compensation, including stock options to purchase shares of Teradata common stock. The Compensation and Human Resource Committee of Teradata's Board of Directors had discretion to determine the material terms and conditions of option awards under the Teradata SIP, provided that (i) the exercise price must be no less than the fair market value of Teradata common stock (as defined in the Teradata SIP or otherwise determined by the Teradata Compensation and Human Resource Committee) on the date of grant, (ii) the term must be no longer than ten years, and (iii) in no event shall the normal vesting schedule provide for vesting in less than one year. Grants generally have a four-year vesting period. A total of 20 million shares were authorized to be issued under the Teradata SIP. New shares of the Company's common stock are issued as a result of the vesting of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock option exercises.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the weighted-average fair value of options granted for Teradata equity awards was \$13.97, \$10.22 and \$5.08, respectively. The fair value of each option award on the grant date was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Dividend yield | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.88% | 2.36% | 1.90% |
| Expected volatility | 31.4% | 31.2% | 33.3% |
| Expected term (years) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |

The expected volatility assumption was based on peer group volatility, and the expected term assumption is determined using the simplified method under GAAP, which is based on the vesting period and contractual term for each vesting tranche of awards. The mid-point between the vesting date and the expiration date is used as the expected term under this method. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on an average of the five-year and seven-year U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| Shares in thousands | Shares Under Option | Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|--|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 9,339 | \$ 17.98 | 7.3 | \$ 126 |
| Granted | 1,079 | \$ 40.20 | | |
| Exercised | (1,634) | \$ 15.12 | | |
| Canceled | (35) | \$ 10.72 | | |
| Forfeited | (92) | \$ 19.02 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 8,657 | \$ 21.31 | 7.2 | \$ 172 |
| Fully vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2010 | 8,589 | \$ 21.30 | 7.2 | \$ 171 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 4,462 | \$ 17.71 | 6.0 | \$ 105 |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$34 million in 2010, \$22 million in 2009 and \$4 million in 2008. Cash received by the Company from option exercises under all share-based payment arrangements was \$25 million in 2010, \$19 million in 2009 and \$4 million in 2008. The tax benefit realized from these exercises was \$9 million in 2010, \$6 million in 2009 and \$1 million in 2008. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$34 million of total

Table of Contents

unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

The Teradata SIP provides for the issuance of restricted stock, as well as restricted stock units. Grants under the Teradata SIP consist of both service-based and performance-based awards. Service-based awards typically vest over a three- to four-year period beginning on the effective date of grant. These grants are not subject to future performance measures. The cost of these awards, determined to be the fair market value at the date of grant, is expensed ratably over the vesting period. For substantially all restricted stock grants, at the date of grant, the recipient has all rights of a stockholder, subject to certain restrictions on transferability and a risk of forfeiture. A recipient of restricted stock units does not have the rights of a stockholder and is subject to restrictions on transferability and risk of forfeiture. For both restricted stock grants and restricted stock units, any potential dividend rights would be subject to the same vesting requirements as the underlying equity award. As a result, such rights are considered a contingent transfer of value and consequently these equity awards are not considered participating securities. Performance-based grants are subject to future performance measurements over a one- to three-year period. All performance-based shares will become vested at the end of the performance and/or service period provided the employee is continuously employed by the Company and applicable performance measures are met. The fair value of each performance-based award is determined on the grant date, based on the Company's stock price, and assumes that performance targets will be achieved. Over the performance period, the number of shares of stock that will be issued is adjusted upward or downward based upon management's assessment of the probability of achievement of performance targets. The ultimate number of shares issued and the related compensation cost recognized as expense will be based on a comparison of the final achievement of performance metrics to the specified targets.

The following table reports restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity during the year ended December 31, 2010:

| Shares in thousands | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Unvested shares at January 1, 2010 | 1,282 | \$ 25.24 |
| Granted | 655 | \$ 34.32 |
| Vested | (510) | \$ 27.50 |
| Forfeited/canceled | (53) | \$ 22.39 |
| Unvested shares at December 31, 2010 | 1,374 | \$ 28.86 |

The total fair value of shares vested was \$14 million in 2010, \$3 million in 2009 and \$12 million in 2008. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$24 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock grants. The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

The following table represents the composition of Teradata restricted stock grants in 2010:

| Shares in thousands | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Service-based shares | 372 | \$ 38.21 |
| Performance-based shares | 283 | \$ 29.21 |
| Total stock grants | 655 | \$ 34.32 |

Table of Contents**Other Share-based Plans**

The Company's employee stock purchase program (ESPP), effective on October 1, 2007, provides eligible employees of Teradata and its designated subsidiaries an opportunity to purchase the Company's common stock at a discount to the average of the highest and lowest sale prices on the last trading day of each month. The ESPP discount is 5% of the average market price. As a result, this plan is considered non-compensatory under GAAP. Employees may authorize payroll deductions of up to 10% of eligible compensation for common stock purchases. A total of 4 million shares were authorized to be issued under the ESPP, with approximately 3.2 million shares remaining under that authorization at December 31, 2010. The shares of Teradata Common Stock purchased by a participant on an exercise date (the last day of each month) shall, for all purposes, be deemed to have been issued and sold at the close of business on such exercise date. Prior to that time, none of the rights or privileges of a stockholder shall exist with respect to such shares. Employees purchased approximately 0.2 million shares in 2010, 0.3 million shares in 2009 and 0.3 million shares in 2008, for approximately \$7 million, \$6 million and \$5 million, respectively.

Note 6 Employee Benefit Plans

Pension and Postemployment Plans. Teradata currently sponsors defined benefit pension plans for certain of its international employees. For those international pension plans for which the Company holds asset balances, those assets are primarily invested in common/collective trust funds (which include publicly traded common stocks, corporate and government debt securities, real estate indirect investments, cash or cash equivalents) and insurance contracts.

Postemployment obligations relate to benefits provided to involuntarily terminated employees and certain inactive employees after employment but before retirement. These benefits are paid in accordance with various foreign statutory laws and regulations, and Teradata's established postemployment benefit practices and policies. Postemployment benefits may include disability benefits, supplemental unemployment benefits, severance, workers' compensation benefits, continuation of health care benefits and life insurance coverage, and are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Pension and postemployment benefit costs for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| In millions | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Pension | Postemployment | Pension | Postemployment | Pension | Postemployment |
| Service cost | \$ 8 | \$ 4 | \$ 7 | \$ 4 | \$ 7 | \$ 5 |
| Interest cost | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3) | 0 | (2) | 0 | (3) | 0 |
| Settlement charge | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Employee contributions | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total costs | \$ 9 | \$ 6 | \$ 9 | \$ 6 | \$ 8 | \$ 11 |

Table of Contents

The underfunded amount of pension and postemployment obligations is recorded as a liability in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The following tables present the changes in benefit obligations, plan assets, funded status and the reconciliation of the funded status to amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31:

| In millions | Pension | | Postemployment | |
|---|---------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in benefit obligation | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 96 | \$ 89 | \$ 38 | \$ 36 |
| Service cost | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Interest cost | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Plan participant contributions | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Amendments | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 4 | (2) | (1) | 2 |
| Benefits paid | (7) | (6) | (2) | (6) |
| Currency translation adjustments | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Benefit obligation at December 31 | 111 | 96 | 40 | 38 |
| Change in plan assets | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | 45 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 2 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Company contributions | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Benefits paid | (7) | (6) | 0 | 0 |
| Currency translation adjustments | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Plan participant contribution | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | 59 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Funded status (underfunded) | (\$ 52) | (\$ 51) | (\$ 40) | (\$ 38) |
| Amounts Recognized in the Balance Sheet | | | | |
| Current liabilities | (\$ 1) | \$ 0 | (\$ 6) | (\$ 6) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (51) | (51) | (34) | (32) |
| Net amounts recognized | (\$ 52) | (\$ 51) | (\$ 40) | (\$ 38) |
| Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 33 | \$ 27 | \$ 3 | \$ 5 |
| Prior service credit | (3) | (3) | 0 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 30 | \$ 24 | \$ 3 | \$ 5 |

The accumulated pension benefit obligation was \$103 million at December 31, 2010 and \$90 million at December 31, 2009. For pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of assets were \$110 million, \$102 million and \$58 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010, and \$67 million, \$61 million and \$17 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the pre-tax net changes in projected benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income during 2010:

| In millions | Pension | | Postemployment | |
|--|---------|------|----------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Actuarial loss/(gain) arising during the year | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | (\$ 1) | \$ 2 |
| Amortization of loss included in net periodic benefit cost | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Prior service credit arising during the year | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 |
| Recognition of loss due to settlement | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Foreign currency exchange | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive expense (income) | \$ 6 | \$ 2 | (\$ 2) | \$ 2 |

The following table presents the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during 2011:

| In millions | Pension | Postemployment |
|---|---------|----------------|
| Net loss | \$ 4 | \$ 0 |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive loss/(income) | \$ 4 | \$ 0 |

The weighted-average rates and assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and net periodic benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

| | Pension Benefit Obligations | | Pension Benefit Cost | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 3.9% | 4.2% | 4.2% | 4.2% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.3% | 3.3% | 3.3% | 3.2% |
| Expected return on plan assets | N/A | N/A | 4.7% | 5.2% |

| | Postemployment Benefit Obligations | | Postemployment Benefit Cost | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 4.4% | 4.8% | 4.8% | 4.8% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.7% | 3.7% | 3.7% | 3.7% |
| Involuntary turnover rate | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |

The Company determines the expected return on assets based on individual plan asset allocations, historical capital market returns, and long-run interest rate assumptions, with input from its actuaries, investment managers, and independent investment advisors. The company emphasizes long-term expectations in its evaluation of return factors, discounting or ignoring short-term market fluctuations. Expected asset returns are reviewed annually, but generally modified only when asset allocation strategies change or long-term economic trends are identified.

The discount rate used to determine year-end 2010 U.S. benefit obligations was derived by matching the plans' expected future cash flows to the corresponding yields from the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. This yield curve has been constructed to represent the available yields on high-quality fixed-income investments across a broad range of future maturities. International discount rates were determined by examining interest rate levels and trends within each country, particularly yields on high-quality long-term corporate bonds, relative to our future expected cash flows.

Gains and losses have resulted from changes in actuarial assumptions and from differences between assumed and actual experience, including, among other items, changes in discount rates and differences between actual and assumed asset returns. These gains and losses (except those differences being amortized to the market-related value) are only amortized to the extent that they exceed 10% of the higher of the market-related value or the projected benefit obligation of each respective plan.

Table of Contents

Plan Assets. The weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, by asset category are as follows:

| | Actual Asset Allocation | | Target Asset Allocation |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| | As of December 31 2010 | 2009 | |
| Equity securities | 41% | 42% | 40% |
| Debt securities | 38% | 34% | 41% |
| Insurance (annuity) contracts | 10% | 13% | 10% |
| Real estate | 5% | 4% | 4% |
| Other | 6% | 7% | 5% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Fair Value. GAAP has established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2, defined as significant other observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or quoted prices in less-active markets for identical assets; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for pension assets as of December 31, 2010.

Common/collective trust funds (which include money market funds, equity funds, bond funds, real-estate indirect investment, etc): Valued at the net asset value (NAV) of shares held by the Plan at year end, as reported to the Plan by the trustee, which represents the fair value of shares held by the Plan. Because the NAV of the shares held in the common/collective trust funds are derived by the value of the underlying investments, which are detailed in the table below, the Company has classified these underlying investments as Level 2 fair value measurements.

Insurance contracts: Valued by discounting the related future benefit payments using a current year-end market discount rate, which represents the fair value of the insurance contract. The Company has classified these contracts as Level 3 assets for fair value measurement purposes.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2010:

| In Millions | December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in | | |
|--|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash/cash equivalents/money market funds | \$ 2 | \$ 0 | \$ 2 | \$ 0 |
| Equity funds | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| Bond/fixed-income funds | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Real-estate indirect investment | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Commodities/Other | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Insurance contracts | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Assets at fair value | \$ 59 | \$ 0 | \$ 53 | \$ 6 |

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the pension plan level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| In Millions | Insurance Contracts |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2010 | \$ 6 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 0 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | 0 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 6 |

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2009:

| In Millions | December 31, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------|------|--|--|
| | | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | \$ | |
| Cash/cash equivalents/money market funds | 2 | \$ 0 | \$ 2 | 0 | | |
| Equity funds | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | | |
| Bond/fixed-income funds | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | | |
| Real-estate indirect investment | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | |
| Commodities/Other | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |
| Insurance contracts | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | | |
| Total Assets at fair value | \$ 45 | \$ 0 | \$ 39 | \$ 6 | | |

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the pension plan level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2009:

| In Millions | Insurance Contracts |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2009 | \$ 6 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 0 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | 0 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009 | \$ 6 |

Investment Strategy. Teradata employs a number of investment strategies across its various international pension plans. In some countries, particularly where Teradata does not have a large employee base, the Company may use insurance (annuity) contracts to satisfy its future pension payment obligations, whereby the Company makes pension plan contributions to an insurance company in exchange for which the pension plan benefits will be paid when the members reach a specified retirement age or on earlier exit of members from the plan. In other countries, the Company may employ local asset managers to manage investment portfolios according to the investment policies and guidelines established by the Company, and with consideration to individual plan liability structure and local market environment and risk tolerances. The Company's investment policies and guidelines primarily emphasize diversification across and within asset classes to maximize long-term returns subject to prudent levels of risk, with the overall objective of enabling the plans to meet their future obligations. The investment portfolios contain a diversified blend of equity and fixed-income investments. Furthermore, equity investments are diversified across domestic and international stocks, small and large capitalization stocks, and growth and value stocks. Fixed-income assets are diversified across government

and corporate bonds. Where applicable, real estate investments are made through real estate securities, partnership interests or direct investment, and are diversified by property type and location.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows Related to Employee Benefit Plans**

Cash Contributions. The Company plans to contribute approximately \$11 million to the international pension plans and \$6 million to postemployment benefit obligations in 2011.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments. The Company expects to make the following benefit payments reflecting past and future service from its pension and postemployment plans:

| In millions | Pension Benefits | Postemployment Benefits |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Year | | |
| 2011 | \$ 9 | \$ 6 |
| 2012 | \$ 9 | \$ 6 |
| 2013 | \$ 8 | \$ 6 |
| 2014 | \$ 8 | \$ 6 |
| 2015 | \$ 9 | \$ 5 |
| 2016-2020 | \$ 43 | \$ 21 |

Savings Plans. U.S. employees and many international employees participate in defined contribution savings plans. These plans generally provide either a specified percent of pay or a matching contribution on participating employees' voluntary elections. The Company's matching contributions typically are subject to a maximum percentage or level of compensation. Employee contributions can be made pre-tax, after-tax or a combination thereof. The expense for the U.S. savings plan was \$15 million in 2010, \$15 million in 2009 and \$15 million in 2008. The expense for international subsidiary savings plans was \$11 million in 2010, \$10 million in 2009 and \$10 million in 2008.

Note 7 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As a portion of the Company's operations and revenue occur outside the United States, and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the Company may be exposed to potential losses from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. In an attempt to mitigate the impact of currency fluctuations, the Company uses foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge transactional exposures resulting predominantly from foreign currency denominated inter-company inventory purchases. The forward contracts are designated as fair value hedges of specified foreign currency denominated inter-company payables and generally mature in three months or less. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes nor does it hold or issue leveraged derivative instruments. By using derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to changes in exchange rates, the Company exposes itself to credit risk. The company attempts to manage exposure to counterparty credit risk by entering into derivative financial instruments with highly rated institutions that can be expected to fully perform under the terms of the agreement.

All derivatives are recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at their fair value. The fair values of foreign exchange contracts are based on market spot and forward exchange rates and represent estimates of possible value that may not be realized in the future. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments, along with the loss or gain on the hedged asset or liability, are recorded in current period earnings. The notional amounts represent agreed-upon amounts on which calculations of dollars to be exchanged are based, and are an indication of the extent of Teradata's involvement in such instruments. These notional amounts do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a measure of the instruments. Across its portfolio of contracts, Teradata has both long and short positions relative to the U.S. dollar. As a result, Teradata's net involvement is less than the total contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts.

The contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts was \$91 million (\$51 million on a net basis) at December 31, 2010, and \$67 million (\$45 million on a net basis) at December 31, 2009. The fair value

Table of Contents

derivative assets and liabilities recorded in other current assets and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, were not material.

The aggregate net foreign currency transaction gains and losses in 2010, 2009 and 2008 were not material to the results of operations. The aggregate foreign currency transaction amounts include the gains/losses on the Company's foreign currency fair value hedges for all periods presented.

Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, claims and other matters, including those that relate to the environment, health and safety, employee benefits, export compliance, intellectual property, tax matters, and other regulatory compliance and general matters, including those described below.

The Company is subject to governmental investigations and requests for information from time to time. As previously reported prior to Teradata's Separation from NCR, the United States Department of Justice is conducting an investigation regarding the propriety of the Company's arrangements or understandings with others in connection with certain federal contracts and the adequacy of certain disclosures related to such contracts. The investigation arises in connection with civil litigation in federal district court filed under the qui tam provisions of the civil False Claims Act against a number of information technology companies, including the Company. The complaints against the Company remain under seal. The Company continues to conduct its analysis of such claims focusing on the propriety of certain transactions under federal programs under which Teradata was a contractor. During 2008 the Company shared evidence with the Justice Department of questionable conduct that the Company uncovered and is continuing to cooperate with the Justice Department in its investigation, and has initiated discussions to resolve this matter.

A separate portion of the government's investigation relates to the adequacy of pricing disclosures made to the government in connection with negotiation of NCR's General Services Administration Federal Supply Schedule as it relates to Teradata, prior to the Company's Separation from NCR, and to whether certain subsequent price reductions were properly passed on to the government. Both NCR and the Company are participating in this aspect of the investigation, with respect to certain products and services of each, and each will assume financial responsibility for its own exposures, if any, without indemnification from the other. At this time, the Company is unable to determine the extent of its liability with respect to this aspect of the investigation.

The Company has an accrual of approximately \$3 million related to the current best estimate of probable liability relating to these matters. The Company believes the amounts provided in its financial statements are adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities. However, because such matters are subject to many uncertainties, the outcomes are not predictable and there can be no assurances that the actual amounts required to satisfy alleged liabilities from the matters described above and other matters, and to comply with applicable laws and regulations, will not exceed the amounts reflected in the Company's financial statements or will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Guarantees and Product Warranties.

Guarantees associated with the Company's business activities are reviewed for appropriateness and impact to the Company's financial statements. Periodically, the Company's customers enter into various leasing arrangements coordinated with a leasing company. In some instances, the Company guarantees the leasing company a minimum value at the end of the lease term on the leased equipment. As of December 31, 2010, the maximum future payment obligation of this guaranteed value and the associated liability balance was \$3 million.

The Company provides its customers a standard manufacturer's warranty and records, at the time of the sale, a corresponding estimated liability for potential warranty costs. Estimated future obligations due to warranty claims are based upon historical factors such as labor rates, average repair time, travel time, number of service calls and cost of replacement parts. For each consummated sale, the Company recognizes the total customer revenue and records the associated warranty liability using pre-established warranty percentages for that product class.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the activity relating to the warranty reserve for the years ended December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Warranty reserve liability | | | |
| Beginning balance at January 1 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Accruals for warranties issued | 14 | 11 | 13 |
| Settlements (in cash or kind) | (13) | (12) | (13) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 6 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 |

The Company also offers extended and/or enhanced coverage to its customers in the form of maintenance contracts. The Company accounts for these contracts by deferring the related maintenance revenue over the extended and/or enhanced coverage period. Costs associated with maintenance support are expensed as incurred. Amounts associated with these maintenance contracts are not included in the table above.

In addition, the Company provides its customers with certain indemnification rights. In general, the Company agrees to indemnify the customer if a third party asserts patent or other infringement on the part of the customer for its use of the Company's products. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with the officers and directors of its subsidiaries. From time to time, the Company also enters into agreements in connection with its acquisition and divestiture activities that include indemnification obligations by the Company. The fair value of these indemnification obligations is not readily determinable due to the conditional nature of the Company's potential obligations and the specific facts and circumstances involved with each particular agreement, and as such the Company has not recorded a liability in connection with these indemnification arrangements. Historically, payments made by the Company under these types of agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Leases. Teradata conducts certain of its sales and administrative operations using leased facilities, the initial lease terms of which vary in length. Many of the leases contain renewal options and escalation clauses that are not material to the overall lease portfolio. Future minimum operating lease payments and committed subleases under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2010, for the following fiscal years were:

| In millions | Total Amounts | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Operating lease obligations | \$ 54 | \$ 18 | \$ 15 | \$ 9 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Sublease rentals | (15) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Total committed operating Leases less sublease rentals | \$ 39 | \$ 15 | \$ 12 | \$ 6 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 |

The Company's actual rental expense was \$17 million, \$17 million and \$18 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company had no contingent rentals for these periods, but received sublease rental income of \$4 million, \$5 million and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Concentrations of Risk. The Company is potentially subject to concentrations of credit risk on accounts receivable and financial instruments such as hedging instruments, and cash and cash equivalents. Credit risk includes the risk of nonperformance by counterparties. The maximum potential loss may exceed the amount recognized on the balance sheet. Exposure to credit risk is managed through credit approvals, credit limits, selecting major international financial institutions (as counterparties to hedging transactions) and monitoring procedures. Teradata's business often involves large transactions with customers, and if one or more of those customers were to default in its obligations under applicable contractual arrangements, the Company could be exposed to potentially significant losses. However, management believes that the reserves for potential losses were adequate at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

The Company is also potentially subject to concentrations of supplier risk. Our hardware components are assembled exclusively by Flextronics Corporation. Flextronics procures a wide variety of components used in the manufacturing process on our behalf. Although many of these components are available from multiple sources, Teradata utilizes preferred supplier relationships to better ensure more consistent quality, cost and delivery. Typically, these preferred suppliers maintain alternative processes and/or facilities to ensure continuity of supply. Given the Company's strategy to outsource its manufacturing activities to Flextronics and to source certain components from single suppliers, a disruption in production at Flextronics or at a supplier could impact the timing of customer shipments.

Note 9 Fair Value Measurements

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include money market funds and foreign currency exchange contracts. A portion of the Company's excess cash reserves are held in money market funds which generate interest income based on the prevailing market rates. Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents in the Company's balance sheet. Money market fund holdings are measured at fair value using quoted market prices and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. When deemed appropriate, the Company minimizes its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates through the use of derivative financial instruments, specifically, forward foreign exchange contracts. The fair value of these contracts are measured at the end of each interim reporting period using observable inputs other than quoted prices. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Fair value gains for open contracts are recognized as assets and fair value losses are recognized as liabilities. The foreign exchange currency contracts in effect at December 31, 2010 and 2009 had no material fair value gains and losses. Any gains and losses would be mitigated by corresponding gains on the underlying exposures.

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and subject to fair value disclosure requirements at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| In millions | December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|------|
| | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 534 | \$ 534 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and subject to fair value disclosure requirements at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

| In millions | December 31, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|------|
| | | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 403 | \$ 403 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

Note 10 Segment, Other Supplemental Information and Concentrations

Teradata manages its business in three geographic regions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) the North America and Latin America (Americas) region; (2) the Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) region; and (3) the Asia Pacific and Japan (APJ) region. Management evaluates the performance of its segments based on revenue and segment margin, and does not include segment assets for

management reporting purposes. Corporate-related costs are fully-allocated to the segments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents regional segment revenue and segment gross margin for the Company for the years ended December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | % of Revenue | 2009 | % of Revenue | 2008 | % of Revenue |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Americas ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,166 | 60% | \$ 981 | 57% | \$ 984 | 56% |
| EMEA | 442 | 23% | 430 | 25% | 451 | 26% |
| APJ | 328 | 17% | 298 | 18% | 327 | 18% |
| Total revenue | 1,936 | 100% | 1,709 | 100% | 1,762 | 100% |
| Segment gross margin | | | | | | |
| Americas | 702 | 60% | 570 | 58% | 557 | 57% |
| EMEA | 232 | 52% | 230 | 53% | 234 | 52% |
| APJ | 154 | 47% | 138 | 46% | 158 | 48% |
| Total gross margin | 1,088 | 56% | 938 | 55% | 949 | 54% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 526 | 27% | 483 | 28% | 508 | 29% |
| Research and development expenses | 147 | 8% | 117 | 7% | 108 | 6% |
| Total income from operations | \$ 415 | 21% | \$ 338 | 20% | \$ 333 | 19% |

⁽¹⁾ The Americas region includes revenue from the United States of \$1,059 million in 2010, \$871 million in 2009 and \$894 million in 2008. The following table presents revenue by product and services revenue for the Company for the years ended December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Products (software and hardware) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 933 | \$ 772 | \$ 849 |
| Consulting services | 536 | 497 | 485 |
| Maintenance services | 467 | 440 | 428 |
| Total services | 1,003 | 937 | 913 |
| Total revenue | \$ 1,936 | \$ 1,709 | \$ 1,762 |

⁽¹⁾ Our data warehousing software and hardware products are often sold and delivered together in the form of a node of capacity as an integrated technology solution. Accordingly, it is impracticable to provide the breakdown of revenue from various types of software and hardware products.

The following table presents property and equipment by geographic area at December 31:

| In millions | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| United States | \$ 87 | \$ 82 |
| Americas (excluding United States) | 2 | 2 |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| EMEA | 4 | 3 |
| APJ | 12 | 8 |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 105 | \$ 95 |

Concentrations. No single customer accounts for more than 10% of the Company’s revenue. As of December 31, 2010, the Company is not aware of any significant concentration of business transacted with a particular customer that could, if suddenly eliminated, have a material adverse effect on the Company’s operations. The Company also has no concentration of available sources of labor, services, licenses or other rights that could, if suddenly eliminated, have a material adverse effect on its operations.

Table of Contents**Note 11 Subsequent Events**

On January 21, 2011, Teradata completed its acquisition of 100 percent of the stock of Aprimo, Inc. (Aprimo), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) dated December 21, 2010. Aprimo is a global provider of integrated marketing software solutions. Aprimo is being integrated into Teradata s operations, and the Aprimo organization will support Teradata s applications strategy, including development, marketing, sales, and services. The purpose of this acquisition is to advance Teradata s position in Integrated Marketing Management, building on Aprimo s established position.

The aggregate consideration payable with respect to all of the outstanding stock and equity interests (including all outstanding warrants, stock options and restricted stock units) of Aprimo in the acquisition was \$525 million in cash, subject to potential adjustments for closing working capital and certain of Aprimo s indemnification obligations under the Merger Agreement. The purchase price was funded in part by using \$225 million of existing U.S. cash, and in part by drawing-down in full the Company s \$300 million credit facility. Additionally, Teradata is expected to incur acquisition-related transaction and integration costs of approximately \$13 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. These costs include investment banking, legal and accounting fees, severance and retention payments, and other costs directly related to the acquisition.

As Teradata s acquisition of Aprimo was closed on January 21, 2011, management is still determining the purchase price allocation. However, the substantial majority of the purchase price is expected to be allocated to goodwill and intangible assets. Additionally, the pro forma impact of the Aprimo acquisition on 2011 results is not expected to be material.

Note 12 Quarterly Information (unaudited)

| In millions, except per share amounts | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2010 | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 429 | \$ 470 | \$ 489 | \$ 548 |
| Gross margin | \$ 236 | \$ 268 | \$ 279 | \$ 305 |
| Operating income | \$ 86 | \$ 106 | \$ 106 | \$ 117 |
| Net income | \$ 67 | \$ 74 | \$ 75 | \$ 85 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.51 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.50 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 367 | \$ 421 | \$ 425 | \$ 496 |
| Gross margin | \$ 200 | \$ 233 | \$ 227 | \$ 278 |
| Operating income | \$ 60 | \$ 84 | \$ 88 | \$ 106 |
| Net income | \$ 45 | \$ 62 | \$ 63 | \$ 84 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.49 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.48 |

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
None.

Table of Contents

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Teradata maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including, as appropriate, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance as of December 31, 2010.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Teradata's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Teradata's internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this report. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment and those criteria, management concluded that Teradata's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

Teradata's independent registered public accounting firm has issued their report on the effectiveness of Teradata's internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Annual Report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Information required to be included in Part III Item 10 is set forth under the captions "Election of Directors" and "Additional Information Concerning the Board of Directors" in Teradata's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal 2010 year (the "2011 Proxy Statement") and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table sets forth the information as of January 31, 2011 regarding the individuals who are serving as our executive officers.

| Name | Age | Position(s) |
|--------------------|-----|--|
| Michael Koehler | 58 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Stephen Scheppmann | 55 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Saundra Davis | 47 | Vice President, Human Resources |
| Robert Fair | 48 | Executive Vice President, Global Field Operations |
| Daniel Harrington | 47 | Executive Vice President, Technology and Support Services |
| Bruce Langos | 57 | Chief Operations Officer |
| Darryl McDonald | 52 | Executive Vice President, Applications, Business Development & Chief Marketing Officer |
| Laura Nyquist | 57 | General Counsel and Secretary |

Michael Koehler. Mr. Koehler is President and Chief Executive Officer of Teradata. Previously, Mr. Koehler served as Senior Vice President, Teradata Division of NCR from 2003 to 2007. From September 2002 until March 2003, he was the Interim Teradata Division Leader, Teradata Division. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Koehler was Vice President, Global Field Operations, Teradata Division, and held management positions of increasingly greater responsibility at NCR prior to that time. He joined our board in August 2007.

Stephen Scheppmann. Stephen Scheppmann has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Teradata since September 4, 2007. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Per-Se Technologies, Inc., a leading provider of administrative healthcare industry services, from May 2006 until May 2007, following the completion of that company's acquisition. From 2000 to May 2006, Mr. Scheppmann served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for NOVA Information Systems, Inc., and, from 1988 to 2000, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Larson-Juhl, Inc. Since January 2006, Mr. Scheppmann has served as a member of the Board of Directors of eResearch Technology, Inc., a publicly-traded biotechnical services and technology company, and has been chairman of its Audit Committee since April 2006.

Saundra Davis. Saundra Davis is Vice President, Human Resources of Teradata. Ms. Davis served as Vice President, Human Resources, Teradata Division of NCR from January 2004 to September 2007. Prior to this position, Ms. Davis served as Vice President, Human Resources, Corporate Infrastructure, at NCR from January 2003 to December 2003, and as Vice President, Human Resources, Systemedia Division of NCR from June 2000 to December 2002. Ms. Davis joined NCR in 1985 and has since held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in human resources.

Robert Fair. Robert Fair is Executive Vice President, Global Field Operations of Teradata. Mr. Fair served as Vice President, Global Marketing, Teradata Division of NCR from April 2003 to September 2007. From March 2000 to April 2003, he was Vice President, Americas Communications Industry, Teradata Division. Mr. Fair began his career at NCR in 1984 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of sales, consulting services and marketing.

Table of Contents

Daniel Harrington. Daniel Harrington has been Executive Vice President, Technology and Support Services of Teradata since October 2007. Mr. Harrington served as Vice President, Customer Services, Teradata Division of NCR, from January 2005 until that time. Prior to this position, from April 1999 to December 2004, he was Vice President, Northern Europe, Teradata Division with responsibility for Europe sales in 2004. Mr. Harrington joined NCR in 1985 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of sales, marketing and product management.

Bruce Langos. Bruce Langos is Chief Operations Officer of Teradata. Mr. Langos was Senior Vice President, Global Operations of NCR, from May 2006 to September 2007. From 1996 until that time, Mr. Langos was Vice President, Business Operations, Teradata Division. Mr. Langos joined NCR in 1976 and held positions of increasing responsibility in sales, marketing, product management and strategic planning.

Darryl McDonald. Darryl McDonald serves as Executive Vice President, Applications, Business Development & Chief Marketing Officer of Teradata. Mr. McDonald was Vice President, Global Consulting Services, Teradata Division of NCR from April 2003 to September 2007. From 1997 until April 2003, Mr. McDonald was Vice President, Americas Retail Industry, Teradata Division. Mr. McDonald joined NCR in 1982 and has since held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of sales and consulting.

Laura Nyquist. Laura Nyquist is the General Counsel and Secretary of Teradata. Ms. Nyquist served as Deputy General Counsel and Chief Counsel, Business Counsel Group, NCR, from October 2006 to September 2007. Prior to this position, Ms. Nyquist was Chief Counsel, Financial Solutions Division from 2004 to September 2006, and was Vice President, Corporate Affairs, and Secretary to the Board of Directors of NCR from 1999 to 2004. Ms. Nyquist joined NCR in 1986 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility at NCR until she joined Teradata.

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers or directors of Teradata.

There are no contractual obligations regarding the election of our executive officers or directors.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required to be included in Part III Item 11 is set forth under the captions Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation and Human Resource Committee and Board Compensation and Human Resource Committee Report on Executive Compensation in Teradata's 2011 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required to be included in Part III Item 12 is set forth under the captions Stock Ownership in Teradata's 2011 Proxy Statement and Equity Compensation Plan Information in Item 5 of Part II of this Annual Report and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required to be included in Part III Item 13 is set forth under the captions Related Person Transactions and Corporate Governance in Teradata's 2011 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required to be included in Part III Item 14 is set forth under the caption Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in Teradata's 2011 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) Index

1. Financial Statements: The consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report:

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 37 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | 41 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 42 |

2. Financial Statement Schedule: Financial Statement Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts is included in this Annual Report on page 72. All other schedules are not required under the related instructions or are not applicable.

3. Exhibits: See Index of Exhibits below for a listing of all exhibits to this Annual Report.

(b) Exhibits identified in parentheses below, on file with the SEC, are incorporated herein by reference as exhibits hereto.

Reference Number**per Item 601 of**

| Regulation S-K | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2.1 | Form of Separation and Distribution Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 11, 2007). |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger among Teradata Corporation, Aprimo, Inc. and TDC Merger Sub, Inc. dated as of December 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 24, 2011). |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Teradata Corporation as amended and restated on September 24, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 25, 2007). |
| 3.2 | Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Teradata Corporation, as amended and restated on December 2, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 8, 2008). |
| 4.1 | Common Stock Certificate of Teradata Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 13, 2007). |
| 10.1 | Form of Tax Sharing Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by NCR Corporation on September 25, 2007). |
| 10.2 | Form of Interim Services and Systems Replication Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 dated August 21, 2007 (the Registration Statement on Form 10)). |
| 10.3 | Form of Employee Benefits Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by NCR Corporation on September 25, 2007). |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------|---|
| 10.4 | Form of Exclusive Patent Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.5 | Form of Patent License Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.6 | Form of Technology Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.7* | Teradata Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated September 28, 2007). |
| 10.7.1* | First Amendment to Teradata Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated August 14, 2008). |
| 10.8* | Teradata Corporation Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.9* | Amended and Restated Teradata Change in Control Severance Plan, dated October 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 13, 2008). |
| 10.10* | Amended and Restated Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, dated February 3, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.11* | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan for awards granted in 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.11.1* | Form of 2008 Stock Option Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2007). |
| 10.11.2* | Form of 2007 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 3, 2008). |
| 10.11.3* | Form of 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2007). |
| 10.11.4* | Form of 2007 Performance Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.4 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 3, 2008). |
| 10.11.5* | Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement under the Teradata 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Teradata Corporation Registration Statement filed on Amendment No. 2 to Form 10 dated August 21, 2007). |
| 10.11.6* | Form of 2008 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2007). |
| 10.11.7* | Amendment to form of Restricted Stock Unit and Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreements under the Amended and Restated Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 13, 2008). |
| 10.11.8* | Form of 2011 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on November 29, 2010. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------|---|
| 10.11.9* | Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (Non-Statutory Stock Option), approved on December 2, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 2, 2009). |
| 10.11.10* | Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (Non-Statutory Stock Option), approved on November 29, 2010. |
| 10.11.11* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 2, 2009). |
| 10.11.12* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on November 29, 2010. |
| 10.11.13* | Form of 2007 Director Option Grant Statement (Non-Statutory Stock Option) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.6 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 3, 2008). |
| 10.11.14* | Form of 2007 Director Restricted Stock Unit Grant Statement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.7 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 3, 2008). |
| 10.11.15* | Teradata Corporation Director Compensation Program, effective as of October 1, 2007, as amended and restated as of April 28, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2008). |
| 10.11.16* | Form of 2008 Director Option Grant Statement (Non-Statutory Stock Option) under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2008). |
| 10.11.17* | Form of 2008 Director Restricted Stock Unit Grant Statement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2008). |
| 10.12 | Form of Master Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation for enterprise data warehousing sales and support (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registration Statement on Form 10, as amended, dated August 21, 2008, (the Registration Statement on Form 10)). |
| 10.13 | Form of Network Support Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.14 | Form of Service Provider Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.15 | Form of Master Reseller Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation for Middle East and Africa (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.16* | Offer Letter to Michael Koehler (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.16.1* | Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Michael Koehler, dated October 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 13, 2008). |
| 10.17 | Purchase and Manufacturing Services Agreement, effective April 27, 1998, by and between NCR Corporation and Solectron Corporation, now known as Flextronics International Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to NCR Corporation's Form 10-Q (SEC File No. 001-00395) for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference). |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------|--|
| 10.17.1 | Amendment No. 1 to Purchase and Manufacturing Services Agreement, dated January 29, 2000, between NCR Corporation and Solectron Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.18* | Offer Letter to Stephen Scheppmann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registration Statement on Form 10). |
| 10.18.1* | Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Stephen Scheppmann, dated October 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 13, 2008). |
| 10.19 | Credit Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2007 between Teradata Corporation, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lenders party thereto from time to time (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 3, 2007). |
| 10.20* | Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Robert Fair dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.20.1* | Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Robert Fair effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.21* | Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Daniel Harrington dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.21.1* | Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Daniel Harrington effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.22* | Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Bruce Langos dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 10.22.1* | Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Bruce Langos effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009). |
| 14 | Teradata Corporation's Values and Code of Conduct. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Teradata Corporation. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) dated March 1, 2011. |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) dated March 1, 2011. |
| 32 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 dated March 1, 2011. |

Table of Contents

101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Statement of Income for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, (v) Financial Statement Schedule II, and (vi) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Management contracts or compensatory plans, contracts or arrangements.

Table of Contents**TERADATA CORPORATION****SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****(In millions)**

| Column A Description | Column B Balance at Beginning of Period | Column C Additions Charged to Costs & Expenses | Column C Charged to Other Accounts | Column D Deductions | Column E Balance at End of Period |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|---|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | \$ 9 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 9 |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 | \$ 11 | \$ 1 | \$ 0 | \$ 3 | \$ 9 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | \$ 5 | \$ 6 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 11 |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TERADATA CORPORATION

Date: March 1, 2011

By: /s/ Stephen M. Scheppmann
 Stephen M. Scheppmann
 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

| Signature | Title |
|---|--|
| /s/ Michael F. Koehler Michael F. Koehler | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer |
| /s/ Stephen M. Scheppmann Stephen M. Scheppmann | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ James M. Ringler James M. Ringler | Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| /s/ Edward P. Boykin Edward P. Boykin | Director |
| /s/ Nancy E. Cooper Nancy E. Cooper | Director |
| /s/ Cary T. Fu Cary T. Fu | Director |
| /s/ David E. Kepler David E. Kepler | Director |
| /s/ Victor L. Lund Victor L. Lund | Director |
| /s/ John G. Schwarz John G. Schwarz | Director |
| /s/ William S. Stavropoulos William S. Stavropoulos Date: March 1, 2011 | Director |