

Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc.
Form PRE 14A
July 29, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

KENNEDY-WILSON HOLDINGS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Edgar Filing: Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc. - Form PRE 14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee previously paid with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

KENNEDY-WILSON HOLDINGS, INC.

9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700

Beverly Hills, California 90212

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

to be held on August 26, 2010

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF KENNEDY-WILSON HOLDINGS, INC:

The Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), will be held on August 26, 2010, at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Time (the Annual Meeting), at the Beverly Wilshire Hotel, 9500 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California for the following purposes:

1. To elect two (2) directors to the Board of Directors of the Company to serve for a three year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To amend the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock which the Company is authorized to issue from 80,000,000 shares to 125,000,000 shares;
3. To approve the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock in accordance with applicable New York Stock Exchange Rules;
4. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the 2010 fiscal year; and
5. To transact any other business as may properly be presented at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Stockholders of record of the Company's common stock at the close of business on July 2, 2010 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, please contact Christina Cha at (310) 887-6294.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on August 26, 2010.

Full copies of the proxy statement, the proxy card and the annual report are available on the Internet at www.kennedywilson.com/investor-relations. Stockholders will receive a full set of these materials through the mail from us or from their brokers.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ William McMorro

William McMorro

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: , 2010

KENNEDY WILSON HOLDINGS, INC.

9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700

Beverly Hills, California 90212

PROXY STATEMENT

for

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

to be held on August 26, 2010

INTRODUCTION

Your proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc, a Delaware corporation (the "Company", "we", "us" or "our"), for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on August 26, 2010, at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Time (the "Annual Meeting"), at the Beverly Wilshire Hotel, 9500 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California for the following purposes:

1. To elect two (2) directors to the Board of Directors of the Company to serve for a three year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To amend the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the "Common Stock"), which the Company is authorized to issue from 80,000,000 shares to 125,000,000 shares;
3. To approve the issuance of shares of Common Stock upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock in accordance with applicable New York Stock Exchange Rules;
4. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the 2010 fiscal year; and
5. To transact any other business as may properly be presented at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors set July 2, 2010, as the record date (the "Record Date") to determine those holders of Common Stock who are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. A list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting may be examined at the Company's office at 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700, Beverly Hills, California 90212 during the 10-day period preceding the Annual Meeting.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting. Whether or not you expect to attend, you are respectfully requested by the Board of Directors to sign, date and return the enclosed proxy promptly, or follow the instructions contained in this proxy statement. Stockholders who execute proxies retain the right to revoke them at any time prior to the voting thereof. If you received this proxy statement in the mail, a return envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

First sent to stockholders on or about _____, 2010

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING

Who can vote?

You can vote your shares of Common Stock if our records show that you owned the shares on the Record Date. As of the close of business on the Record Date, a total of 41,295,158 shares of Common Stock are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote on matters presented at the Annual Meeting.

How do I vote by proxy?

You may vote by proxy by simply completing, signing and returning your proxy card. If you hold your shares in street name, you may also vote by proxy over the Internet or by telephone.

If I am a stockholder of record, how do I cast my vote?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. We will give you a ballot when you arrive. Stockholders of record may also vote via the Internet as set forth on the proxy card. Stockholders of record may not vote by telephone.

If you do not wish to vote in person or via the Internet, you may vote by proxy. You may vote by proxy using the enclosed proxy card. Please complete, sign and date your proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided.

If I hold my shares in street name, how do I cast my vote?

Many of our stockholders who hold their shares in street name through a nominee have the option to submit their proxies or voting instructions to their nominee electronically by telephone or the Internet. These stockholders should review and follow the voting instructions forwarded by their nominee.

You also may vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you attend in person. If you hold your shares in street name and wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from your nominee.

What if other matters come up at the Annual Meeting?

The matters described in this proxy statement are the only matters we know of that will be voted on at the Annual Meeting. If other matters are properly presented at the meeting, the proxy holders will vote your shares as they see fit.

Can I change or revoke my vote after I return my proxy card?

Yes. You can revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the Annual Meeting in any of three ways:

by submitting written notice revoking your proxy card to the Secretary of the Company;

by submitting another proxy that is later dated and, if by mail, that is properly signed; or

by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Can I vote in person at the Annual Meeting rather than by completing the proxy card?

Although we encourage you to vote by proxy to ensure that your vote is counted, you can attend the Annual Meeting and vote your shares in person.

How are votes counted?

We will hold the Annual Meeting if holders representing a majority of the shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting either submit a proxy or attend the meeting. If you submit a proxy, your shares will be counted to determine whether we have a quorum even if you abstain or fail to vote on any of the proposals listed on the proxy card.

The election of directors under Proposal 1 will be by the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares of Common Stock represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required to approve Proposal 2 to amend our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our Common Stock from 80,000,000 to 125,000,000. In counting votes on this proposal, abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as votes AGAINST the proposal.

Proposal 3 will be approved upon the affirmative vote of a majority of shares cast at the meeting (provided that the total votes cast on the proposal represent 50% of the outstanding shares of our Common Stock entitled to vote on the proposal). Abstentions and broker non-votes will each be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum but will not have any effect on the outcome of the proposal.

Proposal 4 will be approved upon the vote of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting. An abstention with respect to Proposal 4 will have the effect of a vote AGAINST such proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect. Unless otherwise stated, the enclosed proxy will be voted in accordance with the instructions thereon.

Broker non-votes occur when a beneficial owner of shares held in street name does not give instructions to the broker or nominee holding the shares as to how to vote on matters deemed non-routine. Brokers holding shares of the Common Stock in street name who do not receive instructions from the beneficial owners of those shares are entitled to vote on routine proposals.

Who pays for this proxy solicitation?

We do. In addition to sending you these materials, some of our employees may contact you by telephone, by mail, by fax, by email, or in person. None of these employees will receive any extra compensation for doing this. We may reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians for their reasonable out-of-pocket costs in forwarding these proxy materials to stockholders.

What am I voting on?

Proposal No. 1: To elect two (2) directors to the Board of Directors of the Company to serve for a three year term and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Proposal No. 2: To amend the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of Common Stock which the Company is authorized to issue from 80,000,000 shares to 125,000,000 shares.

Proposal No. 3: To approve the issuance of shares of Common Stock upon conversion of Series A Preferred Stock in accordance with applicable New York Stock Exchange Rules.

Proposal No. 4: To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the 2010 fiscal year.

OUTSTANDING SHARES AND VOTING RIGHTS

Stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof, are stockholders of record at the close of business on the Record Date. Persons who are not stockholders of record on the Record Date will not be allowed to vote at the Annual Meeting. At the close of business on the Record Date there were 41,295,158 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding. We have issued no other voting securities as of the Record Date. Each share of Common Stock entitles the holder thereof to one (1) vote on each matter to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Holders of Common Stock are not entitled to cumulate their votes for the election of directors.

DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS TO STOCKHOLDERS SHARING AN ADDRESS

Only one annual report and this proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address, unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. Upon written or oral request, the Company will promptly deliver a separate copy of the annual report and this proxy statement to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the annual report and proxy statement was delivered. If you wish to receive a separate copy of the annual report or this proxy statement, please notify the Company by calling or sending a letter to the Secretary of the Company, c/o Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc., at 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700, Beverly Hills, California 90212. The Company's telephone number is (310) 887-6400. Also, stockholders who share an address and receive multiple copies of the annual report and this proxy statement can notify the Company in writing or orally at the above provided address or telephone number and request that the Company deliver a single copy of these materials.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of Common Stock as of July 28, 2010 by (i) each person known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of our Common Stock; (ii) each of our directors and director nominees and each of our named executive officers; and (iii) all named executive officers and directors as a group. Unless otherwise indicated: (a) the business address for all of the named executive officers, director nominees and directors named below is c/o Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc., 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700, Beverly Hills, California 90212 and (b) each beneficial owner has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all of the reported shares of common stock beneficially owned by such beneficial owner.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Approximate Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock ⁽¹⁾
<i>5% Stockholders:</i>		
Pine River Capital Management ⁽⁶⁾	6,795,247	9.9%
Morgan Stanley ⁽⁷⁾	2,089,420	5.1%
Royce & Associates, LLC ⁽⁸⁾	5,978,928	14.5%
Flat Ridge Investments LLC ⁽²⁾	3,532,127 ⁽³⁾	8.0%
Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited and affiliates ⁽⁹⁾	8,058,018	16.3%
<i>Named Executive Officers, Directors and Director Nominees:</i>		
David A. Minella ⁽⁴⁾	3,532,127 ⁽³⁾	8.0%
William J. McMorrow	13,802,755 ⁽⁵⁾	33.4%
Mary Ricks	1,012,779	2.5%
Freeman A. Lyle	705,724	1.7%
Robert E. Hart	154,754	*
Donald J. Herrema	656,875	1.6%
Kent Mouton	58,542	*
Jerry R. Solomon	57,837	*

Edgar Filing: Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc. - Form PRE 14A

Norman Creighton	230,574	*
Stanley R. Zax	0	*
Cathy Hendrickson	3,094	*
All named executive officers and directors as a group	20,215,061	48.9%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Amount and applicable percentage of ownership is based on 41,295,158 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding on July 28, 2010.
- (2) David A. Minella is the Managing Member of Flat Ridge Investments LLC, and may be deemed to beneficially own the same number of shares of common stock reported by Flat Ridge Investments LLC. Mr. Minella disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. Mr. Minella and Flat Ridge Investments LLC have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all of the reported shares of common stock. The business address of Mr. Minella and Flat Ridge Investments LLC is 814 Hollow Tree Ridge Road, Darien, Connecticut 06820.
- (3) Includes 2,710,741 sponsors warrants beneficially owned by Flat Ridge Investments LLC.
- (4) David A. Minella is the Managing Member of Flat Ridge Investments LLC, and may be deemed to beneficially own the 3,532,127 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Flat Ridge Investments LLC as of the record date, the 821,386 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Flat Ridge Investments LLC after taking into account the forfeiture and cancellation of the founders shares in connection with our merger with Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. on November 13, 2009, and the 2,710,741 sponsor warrants beneficially owned by Flat Ridge Investments LLC. Mr. Minella disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares in which he does not have a pecuniary interest.
- (5) Includes 90,851 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Leslie McMorrow, Mr. McMorrow's wife, and 52,821 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Tyler McMorrow, Mr. McMorrow's son. Mr. McMorrow disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by his wife and son.
- (6) Brian Taylor and Pine River Capital Management L.P. share voting and dispositive power with respect to 6,795,247 shares. The address for each of them is 601 Carlson Parkway, Suite 330, Minnetonka, MN 55305. Brian Taylor, Pine River Capital Management L.P. and Nisswa Acquisition Master Fund Ltd. share voting and dispositive power with respect to 5,782,812 shares. The exercise of the Company's warrants held by these persons is subject to certain limitations as per an agreement between the Company and such persons. As per the agreement, these persons may not exercise the Company's warrants, if, taking into account any of the Company's common stock held by such person, and after giving effect to the proposed warrant exercise, such person will beneficially own or constructively own shares in excess of 9.9 percent of the outstanding common stock of the Company. The information contained herein is based solely upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on June 21, 2010.
- (7) The address of the holder is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. The securities being reported on by Morgan Stanley as a parent holding company are owned, or may be deemed to be beneficially owned, by FrontPoint Partners LLC, an investment adviser in accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E), as amended. FrontPoint Partners LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. The address of FrontPoint Partners LLC is Two Greenwich Plaza, Greenwich, CT 06830.
- (8) The address of the holder is 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151.
- (9) As of July 28, 2010, the beneficial owners held 0 shares of Common Stock and an aggregate of 100,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock convertible into 8,058,018 shares of Common Stock. The Series A Preferred Stock currently do not provide for any voting or management rights. Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited, V. Prem Watsa, 1109519 Ontario Limited, The Sixty Two Investment Company Limited and 810679 Ontario Limited are deemed to share voting and dispositive power with respect to 8,058,018 shares of Common Stock based upon the conversion of 100,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Odyssey America Reinsurance Corporation is deemed to share voting and dispositive

power with respect to 4,448,027 shares of Common Stock based upon the conversion of 55,200 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. The address of Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited, V. Prem Watsa, 1109519 Ontario Limited and 810679 Ontario Limited is 95 Wellington Street West, Suite 800, Toronto, Ontario M5J 2N7, Canada. The address of Sixty Two Investment Company Limited is 1600 Cathedral Place, 925 West Georgia St, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3L3, Canada. The address of Odyssey America Reinsurance Corporation is 300 First Stamford Place, Stamford, CT 06902.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2009 regarding shares outstanding and available for issuance under our 2009 Equity Participation Plan:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders		\$	117,557
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Totals		\$	117,557

THE MERGER

On November 13, 2009, the Company, formerly known as Prospect Acquisition Corp. (Prospect), consummated the transactions contemplated by that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 8, 2009, as amended (the Merger Agreement), by and among the Company, Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., and KW Merger Sub Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub), whereby Merger Sub merged with and into Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., with Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

In connection with the merger, the holders of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. common stock and preferred stock received an aggregate of 26 million shares of Company Common Stock (minus any dissenting shares) with each share of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. common stock automatically converting into the right to receive 3.8031 shares of Company Common Stock and each share of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. preferred stock automatically converting into the right to receive 105.6412 shares of Company Common Stock. Immediately following the merger, former Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. stockholders held approximately 67% of the Common Stock of the Company.

In connection with the merger, the directors of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., William J. McMorrow, Kent Mouton, Norman Creighton, Jerry Solomon, Thomas Sorell and Cathy Hendrickson, were elected to become the directors of the Company, with one Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. director, Jeff Hudson, being replaced by a designee of Prospect, former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, David A. Minella.

In addition, following closing of the merger, the officers of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. were appointed as officers of the Company as follows: William J. McMorrow as Chief Executive Officer, Freeman A. Lyle as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Barry S. Schlesinger as Co-CEO of KW Commercial Investment Group, Mary Ricks as Co-CEO of KW Commercial Investment Group, James A. Rosten as President of Kennedy-Wilson Properties, Donald J. Herrema as CEO of KW Capital Markets, and Robert E. Hart as President of KW Multi-Family Management Group.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company has a classified Board of Directors which is divided into three classes, with each class elected for a term of three years. Each proposed class of the Board of Directors is set forth below:

Cathy Hendrickson and Stanley R. Zax in the class to stand for election in 2010;

Jerry Solomon and David A. Minella in the class to stand for election in 2011; and

William J. McMorrow, Kent Mouton and Norman Creighton in the class to stand for election in 2012.

At this year's Annual Meeting, two directors are to be elected. The nominees for election at the Annual Meeting are Cathy Hendrickson and Stanley R. Zax. The enclosed proxy will be voted in favor of these individuals unless other instructions are given. If elected, the nominees will serve as directors until the Company's Annual Meeting in the year 2013, and until their successors are elected and qualified. If any nominee declines to serve or becomes unavailable for any reason, or if a vacancy occurs before the election (although management knows of no reason to anticipate that this will occur), the proxies may be voted for such substitute nominees as the Board of Directors may designate.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is the name, age (as of June 1, 2010) and title of each director, director nominee and executive officer of the Company followed by a summary of each director's, director nominee's and executive's background and principal occupations. Unless otherwise indicated, each director's and executive officer's term of office as a director or executive officer of the Company commenced on November 13, 2009.

Name	Age	Position
William J. McMorrow	63	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Mary Ricks	45	Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and CEO, KW Commercial Investment Group
Freeman A. Lyle	56	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Robert E. Hart	52	President, KW Multi-Family Management Group
Donald J. Herrema	57	Executive Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and CEO, KW Capital Markets
Kent Mouton	56	Director
Jerry R. Solomon	59	Director
Norman Creighton ⁽¹⁾	74	Director
Stanley R. Zax	72	Director Nominee
David A. Minella ⁽¹⁾	57	Director
Cathy Hendrickson ⁽¹⁾	63	Director

(1) Serves on the Audit Committee, Nominations Committee and Compensation Committee.

William J. McMorrow *Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.* Mr. McMorrow joined Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. in 1988 and has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 1998. Mr. McMorrow is the architect of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc.'s expansion into real estate brokerage, property management and investment services. In addition to his real estate experience, Mr. McMorrow has more than 17 years of banking experience. Prior to joining Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., he was the Executive Vice President and Chairman of the Credit Policy Committee at Imperial Bancorp and also has held senior

positions with a variety of financial services companies, including eight years as a Senior Vice President of Fidelity Bank. He received a B.S. in Business and an M.B.A. from the University of Southern California. Mr. McMorrow is on the Executive Board of the USC Lusk Center for Real Estate and is involved in numerous charities in Southern California, including Chrysalis, the Rape Treatment Center, the Village School and Loyola High School. Mr. McMorrow was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his significant achievements with, and intimate knowledge of, the Company and his extensive experience in banking and real estate.

Mary Ricks Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and CEO, KW Commercial Investment Group. Ms. Ricks joined Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. in 1990, is Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and has been Chief Executive Officer of KW Commercial Investment Group since 2008. Ms. Ricks is responsible for the Company's acquisitions and dispositions of commercial assets as well as oversight of the Company's activities in Japan. Prior to joining Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., Ms. Ricks was a commercial broker at Hanes Company. She has been named by the L.A. Business Journal as one of the top women in commercial real estate and was featured on the covers of Forum Magazine and Real Estate California recognizing women at the top of the field. She received a B.A. in Sociology from the University of California, Los Angeles, where she was an All-American athlete. Ms. Ricks is a founding board member of the Richard S. Ziman Center for Real Estate at UCLA.

Freeman A. Lyle Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Lyle joined Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. in 1996 and has been Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 1996. Mr. Lyle is responsible for all aspects of finance and administration for the Company, including strategic planning, capital formation, financial reporting, risk management, investor relations and information technology. Prior to joining Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., he was Vice President and Controller for R&B Realty Group. Prior to R&B Realty, Mr. Lyle was with Ernst & Young LLP. He received a B.S. in Business from the California State University at Northridge and an M.B.A. from the University of Southern California. He is a Certified Public Accountant.

Robert E. Hart President, KW Multi-Family Management Group. Mr. Hart has been President of KW Multi-Family Management Group since 2006. He joined Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. in 2000. Mr. Hart is responsible for the oversight of acquisitions, asset management and dispositions of multifamily assets in the U.S. and Japan. Prior to joining Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., Mr. Hart served as a Senior Vice President of Portfolio Management for Heitman Capital Management as well as Director of Real Estate Marketing for Executive Life Insurance Company Enhancement Trusts. He received a B.S. in Civil Engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute and an M.B.A. from the University of California, Los Angeles Anderson School of Management. Mr. Hart is Chair of the board of directors of Chrysalis and an Associate of the Richard S. Ziman Center for Real Estate at UCLA. He is a member of the Real Estate Investment Advisory Council, the Urban Land Institute and the California Lexington Group. He is a former President of the UCLA Anderson School of Management Alumni Association and a former member of both the Board of the UCLA Alumni Association and the Anderson School Board of Visitors.

Donald J. Herrema Executive Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and CEO, KW Capital Markets. Mr. Herrema is Executive Vice Chairman of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. and Chief Executive Officer of KW Capital Markets. He joined Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. in 2009. Mr. Herrema is responsible for Kennedy-Wilson, Inc.'s capital markets and fundraising activities. Prior to joining Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., he founded BlackSterling Partners, LLC, served as CEO of Bessemer Trust, Loring Ward, Atlantic Trust (subsidiary of Invesco), and was Head of Private Wealth Management at Morgan Stanley. He began his career at Wells Fargo Bank, where he ultimately served as both President of Wells Fargo Securities and Head of the Mutual Funds Division. Mr. Herrema received a B.A. from Whittier College and an M.A. in Economics from the University of Southern California. Mr. Herrema is a Director of TD Bank Asset Management USA Funds and Lepercq, de Neuflyze and Co and also serves as a Senior Advisor to Stone Point Capital.

Kent Mouton Director. Mr. Mouton served as a director of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 1995. Mr. Mouton is a partner with the law firm Kulik, Gottesman, Mouton & Siegel LLP, where he specializes in real estate law, primarily in the areas of real estate lending and finance, joint ventures, land use, acquisitions and dispositions, leasing, development and construction, common interest subdivisions

(condominiums and planned unit developments) and real estate brokerage. He has been an Adjunct Professor of real estate law at the UCLA Extension since 1979, and teaches various real estate related UCLA Extension courses. Mr. Mouton has been honored by his peers by being designated a Southern California Real Estate Super Lawyer in 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Mr. Mouton is a former member of the Board of Governors of the Century City Bar Association and formerly was a Co-Chairperson of the Century City Bar Association Real Estate Law Section. He also serves on the Los Angeles County Bar Real Property Section Real Estate Finance, Land Use Planning and Commercial Development Steering Committees. Mr. Mouton graduated from the University of California, Los Angeles in 1975 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics (Dean's List, *Summa Cum Laude*, *Phi Beta Kappa*) and received his law degree in 1978 from the University of California, Los Angeles. Mr. Mouton was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his experience and knowledge relating to the legal and financial aspects of real estate investment and his significant experience in public and private company advisory and governance activities.

Jerry R. Solomon Director. Mr. Solomon served as a director of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 2001. Mr. Solomon received both his B.S. Degree in accounting (1973) and an M.B.A. (1974) from UC Berkeley. Throughout college and following graduation, he worked in the tax department of JK Lasser & Company that later became Touche Ross & Company. After leaving JK Lasser, Mr. Solomon joined a large local CPA firm where he became the partner in charge of the comprehensive business services department as well as the administrative partner in charge of 7 partners and 80 staff. In 1988 he formed Solomon & Company CPAs Inc. that later merged with Harold G. Winnett and the firm was renamed Solomon, Winnett & Rosenfield Certified Public Accountants, Inc. Mr. Solomon's practice areas of expertise include both real estate industry and service industries. He consults frequently with high net worth individuals and families in tax and transactional planning. Mr. Solomon currently sits on several board of directors and on the boards of several philanthropic organizations. Mr. Solomon was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his significant experience in the public accounting profession, particularly in the real estate and services industries, and with public and private company advisory and governance activities.

Norman Creighton Director. Mr. Creighton served as a director of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 2004. From 1975 to 2001, Mr. Creighton was employed with Imperial Bank, serving as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1983 to 2001. During Mr. Creighton's tenure with Imperial Bank, its assets increased from approximately \$200 million in 1975 to approximately \$7 billion in 2001. Prior to Imperial Bank, Mr. Creighton served as Regional Vice President for Southern Arizona of Great Western Bank from 1971 to 1974. From 1958 to 1971, Mr. Creighton was employed with Arizona Bank, including as Manager of the Tuscon Headquarters. Mr. Creighton holds a B.S. in banking and finance from the University of Montana. Mr. Creighton was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his extensive experience and knowledge of business, accounting and the banking industry.

Stanley R. Zax Director Nominee. Mr. Zax has been Chairman of Zenith National Insurance Corporation, a subsidiary of Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited, since 1977 and has been its Chief Executive Officer and President since 1978. Mr. Zax serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Zenith Insurance Company, a subsidiary of Zenith National Insurance Corp. Mr. Zax served as President of Zenith Insurance Company from 1978 to 2005 and also served as President of CalFarm Insurance Company. He started his career in 1961 as an associate and later a partner with the Chicago law firm, Friedman, Mulligan, Dillon & Uris and subsequently joined Hilton Hotels, where he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. His association with the insurance industry started in 1973, when he served as President and Chief Executive of Great American Insurance Company. He served as Chairman of the Board of CalFarm Life Insurance Company for more than five years prior to December 1995 and of CalFarm Insurance Company for more than five years prior to January 1995. He has been a Director of Zenith National Insurance Corp. since July 1977. He serves as a Director of Prostate Cancer Foundation. He served as a Director of Wynn Las Vegas, LLC. He served as a Director of Wynn Resorts Ltd., a holding company of Wynn Las Vegas, LLC from October 2002 to May 8, 2007. He served as a Director of Sequa Corp. from March, 2007 to May, 2007. He served as a Non-Executive Director of Advent Capital (Holdings) Plc from 1999 to November 10, 2005. Mr. Zax earned a JD in 1961 and a BBA in 1958 from the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor. Mr. Zax was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his extensive experience relating to the management and operations of public companies.

David A. Minella Director. Mr. Minella served as the Prospect's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since its inception in July 2007 through November 2009. Mr. Minella has been the managing member of Minella Capital Management LLC, a financial services advisory firm, since December 2006 and the managing member of Flat Ridge Investments LLC, a private investment vehicle, since July 2007. Between 1997 and March 2007, Mr. Minella served as the Chief Executive Officer and a director of Value Asset Management LLC, or VAM, a strategic investment management holding company. At VAM, Mr. Minella was responsible for its overall business strategy, acquisitions and financial results. Under Mr. Minella's leadership, VAM acquired a controlling interest in five separate investment management firms: Dalton Hartman Greiner and Maher, New York, NY; Harris Bretall Sullivan and Smith, San Francisco, CA; Hillview Capital Advisors, LLC, New York, NY; Grosvenor Capital Management LP, Chicago, IL; and MDT Advisers LLC, Cambridge, MA. All of the original acquisitions have been sold. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Minella was the President and Chief Executive Officer of the asset management division of Liechtenstein Global Trust, or LGT, a wealth and asset management firm, where he was responsible for the overall business strategy and financial results. During Mr. Minella's tenure as LGT's Chief Executive Officer, he also led LGT's acquisition of Chancellor Capital Management, a large United States equity investment firm. Mr. Minella originally joined the LGT group in 1987 as the head of its United States subsidiaries, GT Capital Management and GT Global. Mr. Minella established its United States mutual fund business through the broker-dealer community, reestablished LGT's institutional separate account capabilities, and developed the firm's global equity sector expertise. Currently, Mr. Minella serves as a director of Lindsell Train Japan Fund and Lindsell Train Global Media Fund, both offshore hedge funds managed out of London, UK. In addition, Mr. Minella is a member of the Executive Council at Bunker Hill Capital Management, a private equity firm in Boston, Massachusetts, the former Chairman of the board of directors of MDT Advisers LLC and a former board member of the Investment Company Institute. Mr. Minella holds a B.S. in accounting from Bentley College. Mr. Minella was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of his significant financial industry experience, particularly relating to investment strategies and asset management.

Cathy Hendrickson Director. Ms. Hendrickson served as a director of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. since 2004. Ms. Hendrickson has forty one years experience in banking which includes eight years with Union Bank in Los Angeles in Economic Research/Corporate Planning, Leveraged Leasing, Credit, and the National Division, three years at Philadelphia based Fidelity Bank's Los Angeles Loan Production Office, one year in Crocker Bank's Southern California Corporate Banking Division, two years as Manager of Imperial Bank's Headquarters Office located at the Los Angeles International Airport, ten years as Regional Vice President of Metrobank's South Bay Headquarters Office in Torrance, and two years as President of Palos Verdes National Bank. Since May, 1993, Ms. Hendrickson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Bay Cities National Bank (formerly Peninsula National Bank). Ms. Hendrickson also serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Peninsula Banking Group, Inc. and on the boards of Bay Cities National Bank, Peninsula Banking Group, Inc. and Community First Financial Group, Inc. Ms. Hendrickson was selected to serve as a member of our Board of Directors because of her extensive experience as a high level executive in the banking and financial industries.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Directors Prior to the Merger

Prior to our merger with Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., which was consummated on November 13, 2009, we were a blank check company formed to serve as a vehicle to effect a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination with an operating business in the financial services industry. In connection with the merger, our directors and officers were replaced by the officers and directors of Kennedy-Wilson, Inc., except for David Minella, our former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, who continues to serve as one of our directors.

Prior to the merger our Common Stock was listed on AMEX, which requires that a majority of a listed company's board of directors be composed of independent directors, which is defined generally as a person other than an officer or employee of the company or its subsidiaries or any other individual having a relationship, which, in the opinion of the company's board of directors would interfere with the director's exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

Prior to the merger, our former Board of Directors determined that each of Michael P. Castine, William Cvengros, Michael Downey, Daniel Gressel and John Merchant, who served as directors prior to the merger, were independent as such term is defined under the rules of AMEX and Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Current Directors

Effective March 19, 2010, our Common Stock became listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). A majority of the members of our Board of Directors must be independent under Section 303A.01 of the listing standards of the NYSE. Section 303A.02 of the NYSE listing standards provide that no director can qualify as independent unless the Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the listed company. Our Board of Directors has adopted the following standards in determining whether or not a director has a material relationship with the Company and these standards are contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines and can be found on our website at www.kennedywilson.com and can be made available in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests it.

No director who is an employee or a former employee of the Company can be independent until three years after termination of such employment.

No director who is, or in the past three years has been, affiliated with or employed by the Company's present or former independent auditor can be independent until three years after the end of the affiliation, employment or auditing relationship.

No director can be independent if he or she is, or in the past three years has been, part of an interlocking directorship in which an executive officer of the Company serves on the compensation committee of another company that employs the director.

No director can be independent if he or she is receiving, or in the last three years has received, more than \$120,000 during any 12-month period in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service).

Directors with immediate family members in the foregoing categories are subject to the same three-year restriction.

No director can be independent if he or she is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues.

Based on these independence standards and all of the relevant facts and circumstances, our Board of Directors determined that none of the following directors had any material relationship with the Company and, thus, are independent under Section 303A.02 of the listing standards of NYSE: Norman Creighton, Cathy Hendrickson, Thomas Sorell and David Minella. In accordance with NYSE rules a

majority of our Board of Directors is independent. The Company has nominated Stanley R. Zax for election as a director of the Company at the 2010 Annual Meeting. Thomas Sorell will not stand for reelection. Mr. Zax is independent under Section 303A.02 of the listing standards of NYSE.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT

The Board of Directors is responsible for risk oversight of the Company. Risks facing the Company include competitive, economic, operational, financial, accounting, liquidity, tax, regulatory, foreign country, safety, employment, political and other risks. Risks are reported to the Board of Directors through the Company's executive officers, who are responsible for the identification, assessment and management of the Company's risks. The Board of Directors regularly discusses the risks reported by the Company's executive officers and reviews with management strategies and actions to mitigate the risks and the status and effectiveness of such strategies and actions.

The Board of Directors is structured, in part, to optimize its risk oversight capabilities, including by combining the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman and delegating certain risk oversight functions to its committees. Although the Board of Directors does not have a formal policy with respect to its leadership structure, the Company believes that currently combining the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman serves as an effective link between management's role of identifying, assessing and managing risks and the Board of Directors' role of risk oversight. Mr. McMorrow possesses in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities and challenges we face, and is thus best positioned to develop agendas and highlight issues that ensure that the Board of Directors' time and attention are focused on the most critical matters. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that this leadership structure is optimal because it believes that having one leader serving as both the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides decisive, consistent and effective leadership, as well as clear accountability. Having one person serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer also enhances our ability to communicate our message and strategy clearly and consistently to our stockholders, employees, and business partners, particularly during times of turbulent economic and industry conditions. Although we believe that the combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles is appropriate under current circumstances, we will continue to review this issue periodically to determine whether, based on the relevant facts and circumstances, separation of these offices would serve our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

To efficiently oversee the Company's risks, the committees of the Board of Directors are delegated with oversight responsibility for particular areas of risk. For example, the Audit Committee oversees management of risks related to accounting, auditing and financial reporting and maintaining effective internal controls for financial reporting. The Nominating Committee oversees risks related to the effectiveness of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee oversees risks related to the Company's executive compensation policies and practices.

MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Prior to our merger with Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. on November 13, 2009, the Board of Directors held four meetings. Subsequent to the merger, the Board of Directors held six meetings. Since the merger, no director attended less than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors and any committee of which the director was a member. We do not have a policy with regard to directors' attendance at annual meetings of stockholders.

BOARD COMMITTEES

Our Board of Directors has a Compensation Committee, a Nominating Committee and an Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee

The members of the Compensation Committee are Norman Creighton (chairman), Cathy Hendrickson and David Minella. The current Compensation Committee did not meet during 2009. Prior to the merger, Kennedy-Wilson, Inc.'s compensation committee consisted of Kent Mouton (chairman), Norman Creighton and Cathy Hendrickson and met three times in 2009. Each of the current members of the Compensation Committee are considered independent under Section 303A.02 of the listing standards of the NYSE, as determined by our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter. The Compensation Committee charter can be found on our website at www.kennedywilson.com and can be made available in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests it.

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for evaluating and approving the Company's compensation plans, policies and programs. The Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers and, based on such evaluation, reviews and approves the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers. The Compensation Committee may consult with the Chief Executive Officer regarding the amount or form of the compensation for the other executive officers. The Compensation Committee may also form and delegate responsibilities to subcommittees. The Compensation Committee also reviews and recommends to the full Board of Directors compensation of directors. The Compensation Committee administers our 2009 Equity Participation Plan.

Nominating Committee

The purpose of the Nominating Committee is to, among other things, discharge the responsibilities of our Board of Directors relating to the appropriate size, functioning and needs of our Board of Directors, including, but not limited to, recruitment and retention of high quality board members and the composition and structure of committees of the Board of Directors. The Nominating Committee held no meetings during 2009.

The members of the Nominating Committee are Cathy Hendrickson (chairman), Norman Creighton and David Minella. Each of the above-listed Nominating Committee members is considered independent under Section 303A.02 of the listing standards of the NYSE, as determined by our Board of Directors.

The Nominating Committee operates under a written charter. The Nominating Committee charter can be found on our website at www.kennedywilson.com and can be made available in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests it.

The Nominating Committee will consider director candidates recommended by security holders based upon the policies set forth in the Nominating Committee charter. Stockholders who wish to recommend to the Nominating Committee a candidate for election should send a letter to Kennedy-Wilson Holdings, Inc., 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, ATTN: Nominating Committee. The letter must set forth certain biographical information regarding the nominees as specified in the Nominating Committee charter. Recommendations by security holders must be received no later than thirty (30) days after the end of the Company's fiscal year.

Candidates will be reviewed in the context of the current composition of the Board of Directors, the operating requirements of the Company and the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders. Although there are no specific minimum qualifications or any specific qualities or skills that the Nominating Committee believes that the potential nominees must have, the Nominating Committee considers and evaluates each candidate upon an assessment of certain criteria as set forth in the Nominating Committee charter. Although diversity may be a consideration in the nomination process, the Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees.

The Nominating Committee does not have a formal procedure for identifying or evaluating director nominees except as set forth in the Nominating Committee charter. A potential director nominee recommended by a security holder will not be evaluated any differently than any other potential nominee. Although it has not done so in the past, the Nominating Committee may retain search firms to assist in identifying suitable director candidates.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee

2008 (April 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008)

\$181 \$3,989 \$(1,879) \$2,110

2009

92 5,285 (2,498) 2,787

2010

61 5,349 (2,052) 3,297

2011

61 5,423 (1,413) 4,010

2012

60 2,698 (717) 1,981

Thereafter

109

Total minimum lease payments

564 22,744 \$(8,559) \$14,185

Less: amount representing imputed interest

165

Present value of minimum lease payments

399

Less: current portion

179

Capital lease obligation, less current portion

\$220

The sublease income is from sublease agreements related to the former CancerVax headquarters and our Munich facility (see Note 6).

License and Research and Development Agreements

We have entered into various license agreements under which we are granted the right to use licensed technology in our research and development efforts. In consideration of these licenses, we are generally required to pay license fees and royalties on future product sales. In addition, many of the agreements obligate us to make contractually defined payments upon the achievement of certain development and commercial milestones.

Our fixed commitments under license and research and development agreements as of March 31, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

2008 (April 1, 2008- December 31, 2008)	\$	23
2009		57
2010		30
2011		30
2012		30
Thereafter		180
Total minimum payments	\$	350

Note 9. Segment Reporting

We operate in only one segment, which primarily focuses on the discovery and development of antibody-based drug candidates using our proprietary technologies.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements, which involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth in Part II Item 1A below under the caption Risk Factors.

The interim financial statements and this Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2007, and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, both of which are contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2008.

Ongoing Business Activities

We are a biopharmaceutical company developing novel, proprietary antibodies for the treatment of cancer, inflammation and autoimmune diseases. Four of our antibodies are currently in clinical trials, while the remainder of our product pipeline is in preclinical development. MT103, the most advanced antibody in our product pipeline developed using our BiTE antibody technology platform, is being evaluated in a phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with ALL and in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with NHL. BiTE antibodies represent a new class of antibodies that activate a patient's own cytotoxic T cells, considered the most powerful killer cells of the human immune system, to eliminate cancer cells. We are developing MT103 in collaboration with MedImmune. Our second clinical stage antibody is adecatumumab, a human monoclonal antibody which targets EpCAM-expressing solid tumors. We are developing adecatumumab in collaboration with Merck Serono in a phase 1b clinical trial evaluating adecatumumab in combination with docetaxel for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. MT110, a BiTE antibody targeting EpCAM-expressing tumors, began a Phase 1 clinical trial in patients with lung and gastrointestinal cancers in 2008. MT293, our fourth clinical stage antibody, is licensed to TRACON, and is being developed in a phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with cancer. In addition, we have established a collaboration with Nycomed for the development and commercialization of MT203, our human antibody neutralizing the activity of GM-CSF, which has potential applications in the treatment of various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, or multiple sclerosis. Further, we have used and will continue to use our proprietary BiTE antibody technology platform to generate additional antibodies for our product pipeline. To date, we have incurred significant research and development expenses and have not achieved any product revenues from sales of our product candidates.

Each of our programs will require many years and significant costs to advance through development. Typically, it takes many years from the initial identification of a lead compound to the completion of preclinical and clinical trials, before applying for marketing approval from the FDA or EMEA, or equivalent regulatory agencies in other countries and regions. The risk that a program has to be terminated, in part or in full, for safety reasons or lack of adequate efficacy is very high. In particular, we can neither predict which, if any, potential product candidates can be successfully developed and for which marketing approval may be obtained, nor predict the time and cost to complete development.

As we obtain results from preclinical studies or clinical trials, we may elect to discontinue the development for certain product candidates for safety, efficacy or commercial reasons. We may also elect to discontinue development of one or more product candidates in order to focus our resources on more promising product candidates. Our business strategy includes entering into collaborative agreements with third parties for the development and commercialization of our product candidates. Depending on the structure of such collaborative agreements, a third party may be granted control over the clinical trial process for one of our product candidates. In such a situation, the third party, rather than us, may in fact control development and commercialization decisions for the respective product candidate. Consistent with our business model, we may enter into additional collaboration agreements in the future. We cannot predict the terms of such agreements or their potential impact on our capital requirements. Our inability to complete our research and development projects in a timely manner, or our failure to enter into new collaborative agreements, when appropriate, could significantly increase our capital requirements and affect our liquidity.

Since our inception, we have financed our operations through private placements of preferred stock, government grants for research, research-contribution revenues from our collaborations with pharmaceutical companies, debt

financing, licensing revenues and milestone achievements , and more recently, through private placements of common stock and associated warrants. We intend to continue to seek funding through public or private financings in the future. If we are successful in raising additional funds through the issuance of equity securities, stockholders may experience substantial dilution, or the equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to existing stockholders. If we are successful in raising additional funds through debt financings, these financings may involve significant cash payment obligations and covenants that restrict our ability to operate our business. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in raising additional capital on acceptable terms, or at all.

Research and Development and In-Process Research and Development

Through March 31, 2008, our research and development expenses consisted of costs associated with the clinical development of adecatumumab and MT103, as well as development costs incurred for MT110 and MT203, research activities under our collaboration with MedImmune and Nycomed, and research conducted with respect to the BiTE antibody platform. The costs incurred include costs associated with clinical trials and manufacturing processes, quality systems and analytical development, including compensation and other personnel expenses, supplies and materials, costs for consultants and related contract research, facility costs, license fees and depreciation. We charge all research and development expenses to operations as incurred.

We expect to incur substantial additional research and development expenses that may increase from historical levels as we further develop our compounds into more advanced stages of clinical development and increase our preclinical efforts for our human antibodies and BiTE antibodies in cancer, anti-inflammatory and autoimmune diseases.

Our strategic collaborations and license agreements generally provide for our research, development and commercialization programs to be partly or wholly funded by our collaborators and provide us with the opportunity to receive additional payments if specified development or commercialization milestones are achieved, as well as royalty payments upon the successful commercialization of any products based upon our collaborations.

Under our collaboration agreement with Merck Serono, we received \$22.0 million in up-front and milestone payments from Merck Serono to date not including reimbursements for costs and expenses incurred in connection with the development of adecatumumab. The agreement provides for potential future clinical development milestone payments of up to an additional \$126.0 million. In a November 2006 amendment to the original agreement, we and Merck Serono agreed that Micromet would continue to conduct an ongoing phase 1 clinical trial testing the safety of adecatumumab in combination with docetaxel in patients with metastatic breast cancer. In October 2007, we and Merck Serono further amended the agreement and reallocated certain of our respective development responsibilities with respect to adecatumumab. As part of the revised responsibilities, Micromet now has all decision making authority and operational responsibility for the ongoing phase 1 clinical trial, as well as an additional clinical trial to be conducted by us. Merck Serono will continue to bear the development expenses associated with the collaboration in accordance with the agreed-upon budget.

Our collaboration agreement with MedImmune for MT103 provides for potential future milestone payments and royalty payments based on net sales of MT103. A second agreement with MedImmune for the development of new BiTE antibodies provides for potential future milestone payments and royalty payments based on future sales of the BiTE antibodies currently under development pursuant to that agreement. The potential milestone payments are subject to the successful completion of development and obtaining marketing approval for one or more indications in one or more national markets.

We intend to pursue additional collaborations to provide resources for further development of our product candidates and expect to continue to grant technology access licenses. However, we cannot forecast with any degree of certainty whether we will be able to enter into collaborative agreements, and if we do, on what terms we might do so.

We are unable to estimate with any certainty the costs we will incur in the continued development of our product candidates. However, we expect our research and development costs associated with these product candidates to increase as we continue to develop new indications and advance these product candidates through preclinical and clinical trials.

Clinical development timelines, the likelihood of success and total costs vary widely. We anticipate that we will make determinations as to which research and development projects to pursue and how much funding to direct to each project on an ongoing basis in response to the scientific and clinical success of each product candidate as well as relevant commercial factors.

The costs and timing for developing and obtaining regulatory approvals of our product candidates vary significantly for each product candidate and are difficult to estimate. The expenditure of substantial resources will be required for the lengthy process of clinical development and obtaining regulatory approvals as well as to comply with applicable regulations. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could cause our

research and development expenditures to increase and, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Results of Operations*Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007*

Revenues. The following table summarizes our primary sources of revenue for the periods presented (in millions):

	Three Months Ended	
	March	March 31,
	31,	2007
	2008	2007
Collaborative R&D revenue:		
Merck Serono	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.0
MedImmune	1.8	1.5
Nycomed	3.1	
TRACON	0.1	
Total collaborative R&D revenue	5.7	2.5
License and other revenue	0.2	0.3
Total revenues	\$ 5.9	\$ 2.8

Collaborative research and development revenues from Merck Serono reflect their full cost responsibility for the adecatumumab program. Collaborative research and development revenues from MedImmune represent their share of the costs of clinical development of MT103 and their full cost responsibility for the development of MT111, a BiTE antibody binding to CEA with potential applications in the treatment of solid tumors, and another BiTE antibody binding to EphA2. Collaborative research and development revenues from Nycomed reflect their full cost responsibility for the MT203 program. Collaborative research and development revenues from TRACON reflect the amortization of upfront licensing fees and miscellaneous pass-through expenses.

The decrease in Merck Serono collaborative R&D revenue was the result of amendments to our collaboration agreement that had the effect of lengthening the time over which revenue is recognized for the phase 1 study of MT201 in combination with docetaxel for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. The period was extended from June 2007 to June 2011. The increase in MedImmune revenue was due to an increase in revenue of \$0.1 million under the MT103 program and aggregate increases of \$0.2 million in the MedImmune BiTE programs. Both the Nycomed and TRACON collaborations commenced during the second quarter of 2007 and therefore had no revenues recorded in the first quarter of 2007. The Nycomed revenue represents the reimbursement of our clinical development activities including reimbursement for full-time equivalents as well as the portion of the up-front payment from Nycomed that is being recognized over a 20-year period. The TRACON revenue represents the portion of the up-front payment received from TRACON that is being recognized over a 15-year period and miscellaneous pass-through expenses.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expense consists of costs incurred to discover and develop product candidates. These expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for personnel, outside service costs including production of clinical material, fees for services in the context of clinical trials, medicinal chemistry, consulting and sponsored research collaborations, and occupancy and depreciation charges. Process development expenses were mainly incurred for production of good manufacturing practice, or GMP, grade clinical trial material, as well as fermentation, purification and formulation development. Preclinical development expenses cover pharmacological *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments as well as development of analytical testing procedures. We expense research and development costs as incurred.

Research and development expenses were \$9.7 million and \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase results from an increase of \$1.5 million for manufacturing and preclinical services on our MT203 program, increases of \$0.7 million due to higher personnel costs including increases in the number of full-time equivalents, increases of \$0.7 million in preclinical services related to BiTE feasibility studies and

for a mini-pump delivery program, and \$0.5 million for supplies. These increases were slightly offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million in stock compensation expense due to fewer options awarded during 2008.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expense consists primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel in executive, finance, accounting, legal, information technology, corporate communications and human resource functions. Other costs include facility costs not otherwise included in research and development expense, insurance, and professional fees for legal and audit services.

General and administrative expenses were \$3.5 million and \$3.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Spending remained at the same level with a decrease in stock compensation expense of \$0.2 million due to accelerated vesting in 2007, offset by a increase of \$0.2 million due to the reversal of VAT accruals during 2007.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to the repayment of certain silent partnership debt during 2007.

Interest Income. Interest Income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The increase was primarily due to the higher average cash balances in 2008 primarily resulting from the June 2007 PIPE financing.

Change in Fair Value of Common Stock Warrants Liability. The warrants issued in connection with the private placement in June 2007 are classified as a liability. The income of \$1.2 million recorded in the first quarter of 2008 represents the non-cash change in fair value of the warrants as of March 31, 2008 as compared to the value on December 31, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$27.7 million and \$27.1 million as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The increase results from the collection of \$3.0 million of receivables and from the receipt of \$1.3 million of advance payments under our collaboration agreements, offset by our net loss during the period and other changes in working capital.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to \$7.8 million used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The increase results from a lower quarterly net loss after deduction of non-cash items, collections of accounts receivable that were higher than the previous period due to the new collaborations, from the advanced receipt of collaboration fees, and from the payment of accrued expenses in the first quarter of 2007 related to withholding taxes and process development expenses that were unusually high at December 31, 2006.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$145,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to \$2,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The decrease results primarily from increases in expenditures for property and equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$22,000 for the three months March 31, 2008, compared to \$673,000 used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The increase in cash is primarily a result of silent partnership debt repayments during 2007.

To date, we have funded our operations through proceeds from private placements of preferred stock, debt financing, government grants for research, license fees, milestone payments and research-contribution revenues from our collaborations with pharmaceutical companies, and, more recently, through private placements of common stock and associated warrants.

We expect that operating losses and negative cash flows from operations will continue for at least the next several years and we will need to raise additional funds to meet future working capital and capital expenditure needs. We may continue to seek funding through public or private equity or debt financings in the future or to raise additional funds through additional strategic collaboration agreements. We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed, or whether it will be available on favorable terms, or at all. If we were to raise additional funds through the issuance of common stock, substantial dilution to our existing stockholders would likely result. If we were to raise additional funds through additional debt financing, the terms of the debt may involve significant cash payment obligations as well as covenants and specific financial ratios that may restrict our ability to operate our business. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research or development programs or to relinquish greater or all rights to product candidates at an earlier stage of development or on less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern. Based on our capital resources as of the date of this report, we believe that we have adequate resources to fund our operations into the second quarter of 2009 at current spending levels, without considering any potential future milestone payments that we may receive under current or future collaborations, any future capital raising transactions or any drawdowns from our CEFF with Kingsbridge Capital Limited. To date we have not drawn down any funds from the CEFF.

On October 2, 2006, a court-proposed settlement agreement with Curis, Inc. became effective that resolved a lawsuit initiated by Curis against Micromet AG in a German court regarding the repayment of a promissory note. Curis had requested immediate repayment of the remaining balance under the note at the time of the closing of the merger between CancerVax and Micromet AG in May 2006. We had disagreed with Curis' interpretation of the repayment terms of the promissory note. In accordance with the settlement, we paid Curis 1.0 million, or \$1.3 million,

in October 2006, and 0.8 million, or \$1.1 million, in April 2007, in full settlement of our obligations. These payments did not include any interest charges. We recorded a gain on extinguishment of this debt of \$0.3 million in the second quarter of 2007.

Our future capital uses and requirements depend on numerous forward-looking factors and involves risks and uncertainties. Actual results could vary as a result of a number of factors, including the factors discussed in Risk Factors herein. In light of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and commercialization of our product candidates, we are unable to estimate the amounts of increased capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

the number, scope, rate of progress, results and costs of our preclinical studies, clinical trials and other research and development activities;

the terms and timing of any distribution, corporate collaborations that we may establish, and the success of these collaborations;

the cost, timing and outcomes of regulatory approvals;

the number and characteristics of product candidates that we pursue;

the cost and timing of establishing manufacturing, marketing and sales, and distribution capabilities;

the cost of establishing clinical and commercial supplies of our product candidates;

the cost of preparing, filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and

the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products or technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

We are parties to three irrevocable standby letters of credit in connection with building leases entered into by CancerVax and our current building leases in Munich, Germany and Bethesda, Maryland. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$3.2 million of cash and certificates of deposit relating to these letters of credit that are considered restricted cash, all of which is recorded as a non-current asset.

Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations related to our facility leases, research agreements and financing agreements. The following table sets forth our significant contractual obligations as of March 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	Total	Payment Due by Period			More Than 5 Years
		Less Than 1 Year (1)	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
Contractual Obligations					
Operating leases(2)	\$ 22,744	\$ 3,989	\$ 10,634	\$ 8,121	\$
Long-term debt MedImmune	2,417			2,417	
Silent partnership obligations	2,656	2,656			
Contractual payments under licensing and research and development agreements	317	23	114	60	120
Capital leases	562	180	153	120	109
Other					
	\$ 28,696	\$ 6,848	\$ 10,901	\$ 10,718	\$ 229

- (1) Includes amounts payable from April 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.
- (2) The amounts shown in operating leases excludes sub-lease income (see Note 6 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report).

We have licensing and research and development agreements with various universities, research organizations and other third parties under which we have received licenses to certain intellectual property, scientific know-how and technology. In consideration for the licenses received, we are required to pay license and research support fees, milestone payments upon the achievement of certain success-based objectives and/or royalties on future sales of commercialized products, if any. We may also be required to pay minimum annual royalties and the costs associated with the prosecution and maintenance of the patents covering the licensed technology.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Any statements in this report about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding the efficacy, safety and intended utilization of our product candidates, the conduct and results of future clinical trials, plans regarding regulatory filings, future research and clinical trials and plans regarding partnering activities, and our goal of monitoring our internal controls for financial reporting and making modifications as necessary. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words or phrases such as believe, may, could, will, possible, can, estimate, continue, ongoing, consider, anticipate, intend, seek, plan, or would or the negative of these terms, or other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements are risks and uncertainties inherent in our business including, without limitation, statements about the progress, timing or success of our clinical trials; difficulties or delays in development, testing, obtaining regulatory approval for producing and marketing our product candidates; regulatory developments in the United States or in foreign countries; the risks associated with reliance on collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates; unexpected adverse side effects or inadequate therapeutic efficacy of our product candidates that could delay or prevent product development or commercialization, or that could result in recalls or product liability claims; our ability to attract and retain key scientific, management or commercial personnel; the loss of key scientific, management or commercial personnel; the size and growth potential of the potential markets for our product candidates and our ability to serve those markets; the scope and validity of patent protection for our product candidates; competition from other pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies; our ability to obtain additional financing to support our operations; successful administration of our business and financial reporting capabilities, including the successful remediation of material weaknesses in our internal control our financial reporting and other risks detailed in this report, including those below in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance or achievement. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by law.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rates

Our financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. These financial instruments, principally comprised of corporate obligations and U.S. and foreign government obligations, are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase. Because of the relatively short maturities of our investments, we do not expect interest rate fluctuations to materially affect the aggregate value of our financial instruments. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

Exchange Rates

A significant majority of our cash and cash equivalents are currently denominated in U.S. dollars, as are a significant amount of the potential milestone payments and royalty payments under our collaboration agreements. However, a significant portion of our operating expenses, including our research and development expenses, are incurred in Europe pursuant to arrangements that are generally denominated in Euros.

As a result, our financial results and capital resources may be affected by changes in the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate. As of March 31, 2008, we had U.S. dollar-denominated cash and cash equivalents of \$21.7 million and Euro-denominated liabilities of approximately 15.4 million. The Euro amount as of March 31, 2008 is equivalent to approximately \$24.4 million, using the exchange rate as of that date. A decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro would result in an increase in our reported operating expenses due to the translation of the Euro-denominated expenses into U.S. dollars, and such changes would negatively impact the length of time that our existing capital resources would be sufficient to finance our operations. We have not engaged in foreign currency hedging transactions to manage this exchange rate exposure.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, management performed, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on the evaluation and the identification as of March 31, 2008, of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting, as previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

Because of the material weaknesses identified in our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2008, we performed additional substantive procedures, similar to those previously disclosed in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, so that our consolidated condensed financial statements as of and for the three month period ended March 31, 2008, are fairly stated in all material respects in accordance with GAAP.

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following information sets forth factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements we have made in this report and the information incorporated herein by reference and those we may make from time to time. Certain factors individually or in combination with others may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and you should carefully consider them.

Risks Relating to Our Financial Results, Financial Reporting and Need for Financing

We have a history of losses, we expect to incur substantial losses and negative operating cash flows for the foreseeable future and we may never achieve or maintain profitability.

We have incurred losses from the inception of Micromet through March 31, 2008, and we expect to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future. We have no current sources of material ongoing revenue, other than the reimbursement of development expenses and potential future milestone payments from our current collaborators: Merck Serono, MedImmune, Nycomed and TRACON. We have not commercialized any products to date, either alone or with a third party collaborator. If we are not able to commercialize any products, whether alone or with a collaborator, we may not achieve profitability. Even if our collaboration agreements provide funding for a portion of our research and development expenses for some of our programs, we expect to spend significant capital to fund our internal research and development programs for the foreseeable future. As a result, we will need to generate significant revenues in order to achieve profitability. We cannot be certain whether or when this will occur because of the significant uncertainties that affect our business. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable may depress the market value of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations and, as a result, you could lose part or all of your investment.

We will require additional financing, which may be difficult to obtain and may dilute your ownership interest in us. If we fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to develop or commercialize our product candidates and our ability to operate as a going concern may be adversely affected.

We will require substantial funds to continue our research and development programs, and our future capital requirements may vary from what we expect. There are factors, many of which are outside our control, that may affect our future capital requirements and accelerate our need for additional financing. Among the factors that may affect our future capital requirements and accelerate our need for additional financing are:

continued progress in our research and development programs, as well as the scope of these programs;

our ability to establish and maintain collaborative arrangements for the discovery, research or development of our product candidates;

the timing, receipt and amount of research funding and milestone, license, royalty and other payments, if any, from collaborators;

the timing, receipt and amount of sales revenues and associated royalties to us, if any, from our product candidates in the market;

our ability to sell shares of our common stock under our committed equity financing facility, or CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital Limited, or Kingsbridge;

the costs of preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims and other patent-related costs, including litigation costs and technology license fees;

costs associated with litigation; and

competing technological and market developments.

We filed a shelf registration statement, declared effective by the SEC on December 9, 2004, under which we may raise up to \$80 million through the sale of our common stock. This shelf registration statement became inactive in March 2006, and we may decide to activate it by filing a post-effective amendment in the future, although our ability to do so will depend on our eligibility to use a shelf registration statement at such time, under applicable SEC rules. We expect to seek additional funding through public or private financings or from new collaborators with whom we enter into research or development collaborations with respect to programs that are not currently licensed. However, the market for stock of companies in the biotechnology sector in general, and the market for our common stock in particular, is highly volatile. Due to market conditions and the status of our product development pipeline, additional funding may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research or development programs or to relinquish greater or all rights to product candidates at an earlier stage of development or on less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern.

If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution, or the equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing stockholders. If we raise additional funds through debt financings, these financings may involve significant cash payment obligations and covenants that restrict our ability to operate our business and make distributions to our stockholders. We also could elect to seek funds through arrangements with collaborators or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain technologies, product candidates or products.

Our committed equity financing facility with Kingsbridge may not be available to us if we elect to make a draw down, may require us to make additional blackout or other payments to Kingsbridge and may result in dilution to our stockholders.

In August 2006, we entered into a CEFF with Kingsbridge. The CEFF entitles us to sell and obligates Kingsbridge to purchase, from time to time until September 2009, shares of our common stock for cash consideration up to an aggregate of \$25 million, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. Kingsbridge will not be obligated to purchase

shares under the CEFF unless certain conditions are met, which include:

a minimum price for our common stock that is not less than 85% of the closing price of the day immediately preceding the applicable eight-day pricing period, but in no event less than \$2.00 per share;

the accuracy of representations and warranties made to Kingsbridge;

our compliance with all applicable laws which, if we failed to so comply, would have a Material Adverse Effect (as that term is defined in the purchase agreement with Kingsbridge); and

the effectiveness of a registration statement registering for resale the shares of common stock to be issued in connection with the CEFF.

Kingsbridge is permitted to terminate the CEFF by providing written notice to us upon the occurrence of certain events. If we are unable to access funds through the CEFF, or if Kingsbridge terminates the CEFF, we may be unable to access capital from other sources on favorable terms, or at all. To date, we have not drawn down any funds from the CEFF, and we are not eligible to draw down any funds under the CEFF at any time when our stock price is below \$2.00 per share.

We are entitled, in certain circumstances, to deliver a blackout notice to Kingsbridge to suspend the use of the resale registration statement and prohibit Kingsbridge from selling shares under the resale registration statement for a certain period of time. If we deliver a blackout notice during the fifteen trading days following our delivery of shares to Kingsbridge in connection with any draw down, then we may be required to make a payment to Kingsbridge, or issue to Kingsbridge additional shares in lieu of this payment, calculated on the basis of the number of shares purchased by Kingsbridge in the most recent draw down and held by Kingsbridge immediately prior to the blackout period and the decline in the market price, if any, of our common stock during the blackout period. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a blackout period, this blackout payment could be significant.

In addition, if we fail to maintain the effectiveness of the resale registration statement or related prospectus in circumstances not permitted by our agreement with Kingsbridge, we may be required to make a payment to Kingsbridge, calculated on the basis of the number of shares held by Kingsbridge during the period that the registration statement or prospectus is not effective, multiplied by the decline in market price, if any, of our common stock during the ineffective period. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a period in which the resale registration statement or related prospectus is not effective, this payment could be significant.

Should we sell shares to Kingsbridge under the CEFF or issue shares in lieu of a blackout payment, it will have a dilutive effect on the holdings of our current stockholders and may result in downward pressure on the price of our common stock. If we draw down under the CEFF, we will issue shares to Kingsbridge at a discount of 6% to 14% from the volume weighted average price of our common stock. If we draw down amounts under the CEFF when our share price is decreasing, we will need to issue more shares to raise the same amount than if our stock price was higher. Issuances in the face of a declining share price will have an even greater dilutive effect than if our share price were stable or increasing and may further decrease our share price. Moreover, the number of shares that we will be able to issue to Kingsbridge in a particular draw down may be materially reduced if our stock price declines significantly during the applicable eight-day pricing period.

Our quarterly operating results and stock price may fluctuate significantly.

We expect our results of operations to be subject to quarterly fluctuations. The level of our revenues, if any, and results of operations for any given period will be based primarily on the following factors:

the status of development of our product candidates;

the time at which we enter into research and license agreements with strategic collaborators that provide for payments to us, and the timing and accounting treatment of payments to us, if any, under those agreements;

whether or not we achieve specified research, development or commercialization milestones under any agreement that we enter into with strategic collaborators and the timely payment by these collaborators of any amounts payable to us;

the addition or termination of research programs or funding support;

the timing of milestone payments under license agreements, repayments of outstanding amounts under loan agreements, and other payments that we may be required to make to others;

variations in the level of research and development expenses related to our clinical or preclinical product candidates during any given period;

the change in fair value of the common stock warrants issued to investors in connection with our 2007 private placement financing, remeasured at each balance sheet date using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with

the change in value recorded as other income or expense; and

general market conditions affecting companies with our risk profile and market capitalization.

These factors may cause the price of our stock to fluctuate substantially. We believe that quarterly comparisons of our financial results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.

If the estimates we make and the assumptions on which we rely in preparing our financial statements prove inaccurate, our actual results may vary significantly.

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, the amounts of charges taken by us and related disclosure. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We cannot assure you that our estimates, or the assumptions underlying them, will be correct. Accordingly, our actual financial results may vary significantly from the estimates contained in our financial statements.

Changes in, or interpretations of, accounting rules and regulations could result in unfavorable accounting charges or require us to change our compensation policies.

Accounting methods and policies for biopharmaceutical companies, including policies governing revenue recognition, research and development and related expenses, accounting for stock options and in-process research and development costs are subject periodically to further review, interpretation and guidance from relevant accounting authorities, including the SEC. Changes to, or interpretations of, accounting methods or policies in the future may require us to reclassify, restate or otherwise change or revise our financial statements, including those contained in this filing.

Our operating and financial flexibility, including our ability to borrow money, is limited by certain debt arrangements.

Our loan agreements contain certain customary events of default, which generally include, among others, non-payment of principal and interest, violation of covenants, cross defaults, the occurrence of a material adverse change in our ability to satisfy our obligations under our loan agreements or with respect to one of our lenders' security interest in our assets and in the event we are involved in certain insolvency proceedings. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, our lenders may be entitled to, among other things, accelerate all of our obligations and sell our assets to satisfy our obligations under our loan agreements. In addition, in an event of default, our outstanding obligations may be subject to increased rates of interest.

In addition, we may incur additional indebtedness from time to time to finance acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances or capital expenditures or for other purposes. Our level of indebtedness could have negative consequences for us, including the following:

- our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

- payments on our indebtedness will reduce the funds that would otherwise be available for our operations and future business opportunities;

- we may be more highly leveraged than our competitors, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage; and

- our debt level may reduce our flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions.

We have determined and further received an opinion from our independent registered public accounting firm in connection with our year-end audit for 2007 that our system of internal control over financial reporting does not meet the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. As a result, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our internal control over financial reporting, which could have a material adverse effect on our stock price.

As a publicly traded company, we are required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley) and the related rules and regulations of the SEC, including Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley. As a result of the relocation of our corporate headquarters from Carlsbad, California, to Bethesda, Maryland, and the resulting personnel changes in our accounting department as well as the recent departure of our Chief Financial Officer, we are in the process of upgrading the existing, and implementing additional, procedures and controls. The process of updating the procedures and controls is requiring significant time and expense and is more time-consuming and expensive than we

previously anticipated.

Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. In connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, our independent registered public accounting firm provided us with an unqualified opinion on our consolidated financial statements, but it identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. These material weaknesses relate to certain of our accrual processes and an insufficient level of management review in our financial statement close and reporting process. Because of these material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, there is heightened risk that a material misstatement of our annual or quarterly financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

We are in the process of expanding our internal resources and implementing additional procedures in order to remediate these material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting; however, we cannot guarantee that these efforts will be successful. If we do not adequately remedy these material weaknesses, and if we fail to maintain proper and effective internal control over financial reporting in future periods, our ability to provide timely and reliable financial results could suffer, and investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, which may have a material adverse effect on our stock price.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

Substantial sales of shares may adversely impact the market price of our common stock and our ability to issue and sell shares in the future.

Substantially all of the outstanding shares of our common stock are eligible for resale in the public market. A significant portion of these shares is held by a small number of stockholders. We have also registered shares of our common stock that we may issue under our equity incentive compensation plans and our employee stock purchase plan. These shares generally can be freely sold in the public market upon issuance. If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock, the market price of our common stock may decline, which might make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate. We are unable to predict the effect that sales of our common stock may have on the prevailing market price of our common stock.

Our stock price may be volatile, and you may lose all or a substantial part of your investment.

The market price for our common stock is volatile and may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, a number of which we cannot control. Among the factors that could cause material fluctuations in the market price for our common stock are:

our ability to upgrade and implement our disclosure controls and our internal control over financial reporting;

our ability to successfully raise capital to fund our continued operations;

our ability to successfully develop our product candidates within acceptable timeframes;

changes in the regulatory status of our product candidates;

changes in significant contracts, strategic collaborations, new technologies, acquisitions, commercial relationships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

the execution of new collaboration agreements or termination of existing collaborations related to our clinical or preclinical product candidates or our BiTE antibody technology platform;

announcements of the invalidity of, or litigation relating to, our key intellectual property;

announcements of the achievement of milestones in our agreements with collaborators or the receipt of payments under those agreements;

announcements of the results of clinical trials by us or by companies with commercial products or product candidates in the same therapeutic category as our product candidates;

events affecting our collaborators;

fluctuations in stock market prices and trading volumes of similar companies;

announcements of new products or technologies, clinical trial results, commercial relationships or other events by us, our collaborators or our competitors;

our ability to successfully complete strategic collaboration arrangements with respect to our product candidates;

variations in our quarterly operating results;

changes in securities analysts' estimates of our financial performance or product development timelines;

changes in accounting principles;

sales of large blocks of our common stock, including sales by our executive officers, directors and significant stockholders;

additions or departures of key personnel; and

discussions of Micromet or our stock price by the financial and scientific press and online investor communities such as chat rooms.

If our officers and directors choose to act together, they can significantly influence our management and operations in a manner that may be in their best interests and not in the best interests of other stockholders.

Our officers and directors, together with their affiliates, collectively own an aggregate of approximately 32% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, if they act together, they may significantly influence all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions. The interests of this group of stockholders may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of other stockholders, and this group may act in a manner that advances their best interests and not necessarily those of other stockholders.

Our stockholder rights plan, anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents and Delaware law may discourage or prevent a change in control, even if an acquisition would be beneficial to our stockholders, which could affect our stock price adversely and prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Our stockholder rights plan and provisions contained in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may delay or prevent a change in control, discourage bids at a premium over the market price of our common stock and adversely affect the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock. The provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws include:

dividing our board of directors into three classes serving staggered three-year terms;

prohibiting our stockholders from calling a special meeting of stockholders;

permitting the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or preferred stock without stockholder approval;

prohibiting our stockholders from making certain changes to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws except with 66 2/3% stockholder approval; and

requiring advance notice for raising matters of business or making nominations at stockholders' meetings.

We are also subject to provisions of the Delaware corporation law that, in general, prohibit any business combination with a beneficial owner of 15% or more of our common stock for five years unless the holder

acquisition of our stock was approved in advance by our board of directors.

We may become involved in securities class action litigation that could divert management's attention and harm our business and our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover all costs and damages.

The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices for the common stock of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. We may become involved in this type of litigation in the future. Litigation often is expensive and diverts management's attention and resources, which could adversely affect our business.

Risks Relating to Our Collaborations and Clinical Programs

We are dependent on collaborators for the development and commercialization of many of our product candidates. If we lose any of these collaborators, or if they fail or incur delays in the development or commercialization of our current and future product candidates, our operating results would suffer.

The success of our strategy for development and commercialization of our product candidates depends upon our ability to form and maintain productive strategic collaborations and license arrangements. We currently have strategic collaborations or license arrangements with Merck Serono, MedImmune, Nycomed and TRACON. We expect to enter into additional collaborations and license arrangements in the future. Our existing and any future collaborations and licensed programs may not be scientifically or commercially successful. The risks that we face in connection with these collaborations and licensed programs include the following:

Each of our collaborators has significant discretion in determining the efforts and resources that it will apply to the collaboration. The timing and amount of any future royalty and milestone revenue that we may receive under such collaborative and licensing arrangements will depend on, among other things, such collaborator's efforts and allocation of resources.

All of our strategic collaboration and license agreements are for fixed terms and are subject to termination under various circumstances, including, in some cases, on short notice without cause. If any of our collaborative partners were to terminate its agreement with us, we may attempt to identify and enter into an agreement with a new collaborator with respect to the product candidate covered by the terminated agreement. If we are not able to do so, we may not have the funds or capability to undertake the development, manufacturing and commercialization of that product candidate, which could result in a discontinuation or delay of the development of that product candidate.

Our collaborators may develop and commercialize, either alone or with others, products and services that are similar to or competitive with the product candidates and services that are the subject of their collaborations with us or programs licensed from us.

Our collaborators may discontinue the development of our product candidates in specific indications, for example as a result of their assessment of the results obtained in clinical trials, or fail to initiate the development in indications that have a significant commercial potential.

Pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies from time to time re-evaluate their research and development priorities, including in connection with mergers and consolidations, which have been common in recent years in these industries. The ability of our product candidates involved in strategic collaborations to reach their potential could be limited if, as a result of such changes, our collaborators decrease or fail to increase spending related to such product candidates, or decide to discontinue the development of our product candidates and terminate their collaboration or license agreement with us. In the event of such a termination, we may not be able to identify and enter into a collaboration agreement for our product candidates with another pharmaceutical company on terms favorable to us or at all, and we may not have sufficient financial resources to continue the development program for these product candidates on our own. As a result, we may incur delays in the development for these product candidates following any potential termination of the collaboration

agreement, or we may need to reallocate financial resources that may cause delays in other development programs for our other product candidates.

We may not be successful in establishing additional strategic collaborations, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize product candidates.

As an integral part of our ongoing research and development efforts, we periodically review opportunities to establish new collaborations for development and commercialization of new BiTE antibodies or existing product candidates in our development pipeline. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators and the negotiation process is time-consuming and complex. We may not be successful in our efforts to establish additional collaborations or other alternative arrangements. Even if we are successful in our efforts to establish a collaboration, the terms of the agreement may not be favorable to us. Finally, such collaborations or other arrangements may not result in successful products and associated revenue from milestone payments, royalties or profit share payments. ***If the combination of adecatumumab (MT201) with cytotoxics, such as docetaxel, is not tolerable or safe, if higher serum levels of adecatumumab cannot be administered safely, or if sufficient anti-tumor activity cannot be shown, we and our collaborator Merck Serono may decide to abandon all or part of the development program, and we could experience a material adverse impact on our results of operations.***

We previously have reported that the phase 2 clinical trials of adecatumumab did not reach their respective primary endpoint in patients with metastatic breast cancer (clinical benefit rate at week 24) and in patients with prostate cancer (mean change in prostate specific antigen, compared to placebo control). We have also reported that we are continuing the development of adecatumumab in a clinical trial in combination with docetaxel with escalating doses of adecatumumab to investigate the tolerability and the safety of this combination. If the combination of adecatumumab with docetaxel proves not to be tolerable or safe or if no higher serum levels of adecatumumab compared to previous clinical trials can be administered safely, or if sufficient anti-tumor activity cannot be shown in this or future clinical trials, we and our collaborator Merck Serono may decide to abandon all or part of the development program of adecatumumab and as a result we may experience a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We previously terminated three phase 1 trials involving short-term infusion regimens of MT103 due to adverse side effects and a lack of perceived tumor response, and there can be no assurance that our current continuous infusion phase 1 clinical trial of MT103 will produce a different outcome.

In April 2004, we initiated a phase 1 dose finding clinical trial designed to evaluate the safety and tolerability of a continuous intravenous infusion of MT103 over 4-8 weeks at different dose levels in patients with relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. We previously terminated three other phase 1 clinical trials for MT103, which involved a short-term infusion, as opposed to a continuous infusion dosing regimen of MT103, due to adverse side effects and the lack of observed tumor responses. Serious adverse events included infections, dyspnoea, hypersensitivity and various symptoms of the CNS. CNS-related side effects led to termination of the treatment in a total of six patients in these short-term infusion trials. All of these side effects fully resolved within a period of a few hours to a few days, with the exception of one patient, who suffered from seizures and a myocardial ischemia, or loss of blood flow to the heart. This patient ultimately died 49 days after receiving the last dose, and the cause of death was determined to be pneumonia. We have redesigned the dosing regimen for our ongoing phase 1 clinical trial and, based upon the preliminary clinical data, we currently are seeing a considerably more favorable safety profile in response to the new continuous infusion dosing regimen and are continuing the dose escalation in accordance with the clinical trial protocol. We have also seen objective tumor responses at the 15 µg/m² and above per day dose level with the continuous infusion regimens. While this preliminary data suggest that MT103 has anti-tumor activity, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter unacceptable adverse events during the continued dose escalation of our ongoing, continuous-infusion phase 1 clinical trial or that the preliminary suggestion of anti-tumor activity will be confirmed during the ongoing or any future study.

Risks Relating to Our Operations, Business Strategy, and the Life Sciences Industry

We face substantial competition, which may result in our competitors discovering, developing or commercializing products before or more successfully than we do.

Our product candidates face competition with existing and new products being developed by biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, as well as universities and other research institutions. For example, research in the fields of antibody-based therapeutics for the treatment of cancer, and autoimmune and inflammatory diseases, is highly competitive. A number of entities are seeking to identify and patent antibodies, potentially active proteins and other potentially active compounds without specific knowledge of their therapeutic functions. Our competitors may discover, characterize and develop important inducing molecules or genes in advance of us.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater capital resources, research and development staffs and facilities than we have. Efforts by other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies could render our programs or product candidates uneconomical or result in therapies that are superior to those that we are developing alone or with a collaborator. We and our collaborators face competition from companies that may be more experienced in product development and commercialization, obtaining regulatory approvals and product manufacturing. As a result, they may develop competing products more rapidly, that are safer, more effective, or have fewer side effects, or are less expensive, or they may discover, develop and commercialize products, which render our product candidates non-competitive or obsolete. We expect competition to intensify in antibody research as technical advances in the field are made and become more widely known.

We may not be successful in our efforts to expand our portfolio of product candidates.

A key element of our strategy is to discover, develop and commercialize a portfolio of new antibody therapeutics. We are seeking to do so through our internal research programs and in-licensing activities, which could place a strain on our human and capital resources. A significant portion of the research that we are conducting involves new and unproven technologies. Research programs to identify new disease targets and product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources regardless of whether or not any suitable candidates are ultimately identified. Our research programs may initially show promise in identifying potential product candidates, yet fail to yield product candidates suitable for clinical development. If we are unable to discover suitable potential product candidates, develop additional delivery technologies through internal research programs or in-license suitable product candidates or delivery technologies on acceptable business terms, our business prospects will suffer.

The product candidates in our pipeline are in early stages of development and our efforts to develop and commercialize these product candidates are subject to a high risk of delay and failure. If we fail to successfully develop our product candidates, our ability to generate revenues will be substantially impaired.

The process of successfully developing product candidates for the treatment of human diseases is very time-consuming, expensive and unpredictable and there is a high rate of failure for product candidates in preclinical development and in clinical trials. The preclinical studies and clinical trials may produce negative, inconsistent or inconclusive results, and the results from early clinical trials may not be statistically significant or predictive of results that will be obtained from expanded, advanced clinical trials. Further, we or our collaborators may decide, or the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities may require us, to conduct preclinical studies or clinical trials or other development activities in addition to those performed or planned by us or our collaborators, which may be expensive or could delay the time to market for our product candidates. In addition, we do not know whether the clinical trials will result in marketable products.

All of our product candidates are in early stages of clinical and preclinical development, so we will require substantial additional financial resources, as well as research, product development and clinical development capabilities, to pursue the development of these product candidates, and we may never develop an approvable or commercially viable product.

We do not know whether our planned preclinical development or clinical trials for our product candidates will begin on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. The timing and completion of clinical trials of our product candidates depend on, among other factors, the number of patients that will be required to enroll in the clinical trials, the inclusion and exclusion criteria used for selecting patients for a particular clinical trial, and the rate at which those patients are enrolled. Any increase in the required number of patients, tightening of selection criteria, or decrease in recruitment rates or difficulties retaining study participants may result in increased costs, delays in the development of the product candidate, or both.

Since our product candidates may have different efficacy profiles in certain clinical indications, sub-indications or patient profiles, an election by us or our collaborators to focus on a particular indication, sub-indication or patient profile may result in a failure to capitalize on other potentially profitable applications of our product candidates.

Our product candidates may not be effective in treating any of our targeted diseases or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their commercial use. Institutional review boards or regulators, including the FDA and the EMEA, may hold, suspend or terminate our clinical research or the clinical trials of our product candidates for various reasons, including non-compliance with regulatory requirements or if, in their opinion, the participating subjects are being exposed to unacceptable health risks, or if additional information may be required for the regulatory authority to assess the proposed development activities. Further, regulators may not approve study protocols at all or in a timeframe anticipated by us if they believe that the study design or the mechanism of action of our product candidates poses an unacceptable health risk to study participants.

We have limited financial and managerial resources. These limitations require us to focus on a select group of product candidates in specific therapeutic areas and to forego the exploration of other product opportunities. While our technologies may permit us to work in multiple areas, resource commitments may require trade-offs resulting in delays in the development of certain programs or research areas, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage.

Our decisions as to resource allocation may not lead to the development of viable commercial products and may divert resources away from other market opportunities, which would otherwise have ultimately proved to be more profitable.

We rely heavily on third parties for the conduct of preclinical and clinical studies of our product candidates, and we may not be able to control the proper performance of the studies or trials.

In order to obtain regulatory approval for the commercial sale of our product candidates, we and our collaborators are required to complete extensive preclinical studies as well as clinical trials in humans to demonstrate to the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities that our product candidates are safe and effective. We have limited experience and internal resources for conducting certain preclinical studies and clinical trials and rely primarily on collaborators and contract research organizations for the performance and management of certain preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates. We are responsible for confirming that our preclinical studies are conducted in accordance with applicable regulations and that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its general investigational plan and protocol. Our reliance on third parties does not relieve us of responsibility for ensuring compliance with appropriate regulations and standards for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting of preclinical and clinical trials. If our collaborators or contractors fail to properly perform their contractual or regulatory obligations with respect to conducting or overseeing the performance of our preclinical studies or clinical trials, do not meet expected deadlines, fail to comply with the good laboratory practice guidelines or good clinical practice regulations, do not adhere to our preclinical and clinical trial protocols, suffer an unforeseen business interruption unrelated to our agreement with them that delays the clinical trial, or otherwise fail to generate reliable clinical data, then the completion of these studies or trials may be delayed, the results may not be useable and the studies or trials may have to be repeated, and we may need to enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties. Any of these events could cause our clinical trials to be extended, delayed, or terminated or create the need for them to be repeated, or otherwise create additional costs in the development of our product candidates and could adversely affect our and our collaborators' ability to market a product after marketing approvals have been obtained.

Even if we complete the lengthy, complex and expensive development process, there is no assurance that we or our collaborators will obtain the regulatory approvals necessary for the launch and commercialization of our product candidates.

To the extent that we or our collaborators are able to successfully complete the clinical development of a product candidate, we or our collaborators will be required to obtain approval by the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities prior to marketing and selling such product candidate in the United States, the European Union or other countries.

The process of preparing and filing applications for regulatory approvals with the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities, and of obtaining the required regulatory approvals from these regulatory authorities is lengthy and expensive, and may require two years or more. This process is further complicated because some of our product candidates use non-traditional or novel materials in non-traditional or novel ways, and the regulatory officials have little precedent to follow. Moreover, an unrelated biotech company recently observed multiple severe adverse reactions in a phase 1 trial of an antibody that stimulates T cells. This development could cause the FDA and EMEA or other regulatory authorities to require additional preclinical data or certain precautions in the designs of clinical protocols that could cause a delay in the development of our BiTE antibodies or make the development process more expensive.

Any marketing approval by the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which we or our collaborators may market the product candidate. These limitations could restrict the size of the market for the product and affect reimbursement levels by third-party payers.

As a result of these factors, we or our collaborators may not successfully begin or complete clinical trials and launch and commercialize any product candidates in the time periods estimated, if at all. Moreover, if we or our collaborators incur costs and delays in development programs or fail to successfully develop and commercialize products based upon our technologies, we may not become profitable and our stock price could decline.

We and our collaborators are subject to governmental regulations other than those imposed by the FDA and EMEA, and we or our collaborators may not be able to comply with these regulations. Any non-compliance could subject us or our collaborators to penalties and otherwise result in the limitation of our or our collaborators operations.

In addition to regulations imposed by the FDA, EMEA and other health regulatory authorities, we and our collaborators are subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Environmental Protection Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Research Conservation and Recovery Act, as well as regulations administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, national restrictions on technology transfer, import, export and customs regulations and certain other local, state or federal regulations, or their counterparts in Europe and other countries. From time to time, other governmental agencies and legislative or international governmental bodies have indicated an interest in implementing further regulation of biotechnology applications. We are not able to predict whether any such regulations will be adopted or whether, if adopted, such regulations will apply to our or our collaborators' business, or whether we or our collaborators would be able to comply, without incurring unreasonable expense, or at all, with any applicable regulations.

Our growth could be limited if we are unable to attract and retain key personnel and consultants.

We have limited experience in filing and prosecuting regulatory applications to obtain marketing approval from the FDA, EMEA or other regulatory authorities. Our success depends on the ability to attract, train and retain qualified scientific and technical personnel, including consultants, to further our research and development efforts. The loss of services of one or more of our key employees or consultants could have a negative impact on our business and operating results. Competition for skilled personnel is intense and the turnover rate can be high. Competition for experienced management and clinical, scientific and engineering personnel from numerous companies and academic and other research institutions may limit our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms. As a result, locating candidates with the appropriate qualifications can be difficult, and we may not be able to attract and retain sufficient numbers of highly skilled employees.

Any growth and expansion into areas and activities that may require additional personnel or expertise, such as in regulatory affairs, quality assurance, and control and compliance, would require us to either hire new key personnel or obtain such services from a third party. The pool of personnel with the skills that we require is limited, and we may not be able to hire or contract such additional personnel. Failure to attract and retain personnel would prevent us from developing and commercializing our product candidates.

If our third-party manufacturers do not follow current good manufacturing practices or do not maintain their facilities in accordance with these practices, our product development and commercialization efforts may be harmed.

We have no manufacturing experience or manufacturing capabilities for the production of our product candidates for clinical trials or commercial sale. Product candidates used in clinical trials or sold after marketing approval has been obtained must be manufactured in accordance with current good manufacturing practices regulations. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under these regulations, including the FDA's and EMEA's good manufacturing practices regulations, and that are capable of manufacturing our product candidates. Third-party manufacturers may encounter difficulties in achieving quality control and quality assurance and may experience shortages of qualified personnel. Also, manufacturing facilities are subject to ongoing periodic, unannounced inspection by the FDA, the EMEA, and other regulatory agencies or authorities, to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices and other governmental regulations and standards. A failure of third-party manufacturers to follow current good manufacturing practices or other regulatory requirements and to document their adherence to such practices may lead to significant delays in the availability of product candidates for use in a clinical trial or for commercial sale, the termination of, or hold on a clinical trial, or may delay or prevent filing or approval of marketing applications for our product candidates. In addition, as a result of such a failure, we could be subject to sanctions, including fines, injunctions and civil penalties, refusal or delays by regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates, suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals, seizures or recalls of product candidates, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect our business. If we were required to change manufacturers, it may require additional clinical trials and the revalidation of

the manufacturing process and procedures in accordance with applicable current good manufacturing practices and may require FDA or EMEA approval. This revalidation may be costly and time-consuming. If we are unable to arrange for third-party manufacturing of our product candidates, or to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to complete development or marketing of our product candidates.

Even if regulatory authorities approve our product candidates, we may fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our product candidates, and these product candidates could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market following approval.

Any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical trials and promotional activities for such product candidates, will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA, EMEA and other regulatory authorities. Even if regulatory approval of a product candidate is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Post-approval discovery of previously unknown problems with any approved products, including unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, difficulties with a manufacturer or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in restrictions on such approved products or manufacturing processes, limitations in the scope of our approved labeling, withdrawal of the approved products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recall and associated publicity requirements, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

The procedures and requirements for granting marketing approvals vary among countries, which may cause us to incur additional costs or delays or may prevent us from obtaining marketing approvals in different countries and regulatory jurisdictions.

We intend to market our product candidates in many countries and regulatory jurisdictions. In order to market our product candidates in the United States, the European Union and many other jurisdictions, we must obtain separate regulatory approvals in each of these countries and territories. The procedures and requirements for obtaining marketing approval vary among countries and regulatory jurisdictions, and can involve additional clinical trials or other tests. Also, the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA and EMEA approval. The various regulatory approval processes may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA and EMEA approval. We may not obtain all of the desirable or necessary regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by a regulatory authority in a particular country or regulatory jurisdiction, such as the FDA in the United States and the EMEA in the European Union, generally does not ensure approval by a regulatory authority in another country. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our product candidates in any or all of the countries or regulatory jurisdictions in which we desire to market our product candidates.

If we fail to obtain an adequate level of reimbursement for any approved products by third-party payers, there may be no commercially viable markets for these products or the markets may be much smaller than expected. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payers of health care costs to contain or reduce costs of healthcare may adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and achieve profitability, the future revenues and profitability of our potential customers, suppliers and collaborators, and the availability of capital.

Our ability to commercialize our product candidates successfully will depend in part on the extent to which governmental authorities, private health insurers and other organizations establish appropriate reimbursement levels for the price charged for our product candidates and related treatments. The efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness of our product candidates as well as the efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness of any competing products will determine in part the availability and level of reimbursement. These third-party payors continually attempt to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare by challenging the prices charged for healthcare products and services. Given recent federal and state government initiatives directed at lowering the total cost of healthcare in the United States, the U.S. Congress and state legislatures will likely continue to focus on healthcare reform, the cost of prescription pharmaceuticals and on the reform of the Medicare and Medicaid systems. In certain countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take six to twelve months or longer after the receipt of regulatory marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of our product candidates

to other available therapies. If reimbursement for our product candidates were unavailable or limited in scope or amount or if reimbursement levels or prices are set at unsatisfactory levels, our projected and actual revenues and our prospects for profitability would be negatively affected.

Another development that may affect the pricing of drugs in the United States is regulatory action regarding drug reimportation into the United States. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act, requires the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to promulgate regulations allowing drug reimportation from Canada into the United States under certain circumstances. These provisions will become effective only if the Secretary certifies that such imports will pose no additional risk to the public's health and safety and result in significant cost savings to consumers. Proponents of drug reimportation may also attempt to pass legislation that would remove the requirement for the Secretary's certification or allow reimportation under circumstances beyond those anticipated under current law. If legislation is enacted, or regulations issued, allowing the reimportation of drugs, it could decrease the reimbursement we would receive for any product candidates that we may commercialize, or require us to lower the price of our product candidates then on the market that face competition from lower-priced supplies of that product from other countries. These factors would negatively affect our projected and actual revenues and our prospects for profitability.

We are unable to predict what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the healthcare industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted in the future or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business. Any cost containment measures or other healthcare system reforms that are adopted could have a material adverse effect on our ability to commercialize successfully any future products or could limit or eliminate our spending on development projects and affect our ultimate profitability.

If physicians and patients do not accept the product candidates that we may develop, our ability to generate product revenue in the future will be adversely affected.

Our product candidates, if successfully developed and approved by the regulatory authorities, may not gain market acceptance among physicians, healthcare payers, patients and the medical community. Market acceptance of and demand for any product candidate that we may develop will depend on many factors, including:

ability to provide acceptable evidence of safety and efficacy;

convenience and ease of administration;

prevalence and severity of adverse side effects;

the timing of market entry relative to competitive treatments;

cost effectiveness;

effectiveness of our marketing and pricing strategy for any product candidates that we may develop;

publicity concerning our product candidates or competitive products;

the strength of distribution support; and

our ability to obtain third-party coverage or reimbursement.

If any product candidates for which we may receive marketing approval fail to gain market acceptance, our ability to generate product revenue in the future will be adversely affected.

We face the risk of product liability claims and may not be able to obtain insurance.

Our business exposes us to the risk of product liability claims that is inherent in the testing, manufacturing, and marketing of drugs and related devices. Although we have product liability and clinical trial liability insurance that we believe is appropriate, this insurance is subject to deductibles and coverage limitations. We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate protection against potential liabilities. If any of our product candidates are approved for marketing, we may seek additional insurance coverage. If we are unable to obtain insurance at acceptable cost or on acceptable terms with adequate coverage or otherwise protect ourselves against potential product liability claims, we will be exposed to significant liabilities, which may cause a loss of revenue or otherwise harm our business. These liabilities could prevent or interfere with our product commercialization efforts. Defending a suit, regardless of merit, could be costly, could divert management attention and might result in adverse publicity, injury to our reputation, or reduced acceptance of our product candidates in the market. If we are sued for any injury caused by any future products, our liability could exceed our total assets.

Our operations involve hazardous materials and we must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive.

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, including chemicals and radioactive and biological materials. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We are subject in the United States to a variety of federal, state and local regulations, and in Europe to European, national, state and local regulations, relating to the use, handling, storage and disposal of these materials. We generally contract with third parties for the disposal of such substances and store certain low-level radioactive waste at our facility until the materials are no longer considered radioactive. We cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. We may be required to incur substantial costs to comply with current or future environmental and safety regulations which could impose greater compliance costs and increased risks and penalties associated with violations. If an accident or contamination occurred, we would likely incur significant costs associated with civil penalties or criminal fines, substantial investigation and remediation costs, and costs associated with complying with environmental laws and regulations. There can be no assurance that violations of environmental laws or regulations will not occur in the future as a result of the inability to obtain permits, human error, accident, equipment failure or other causes. We do not have any insurance for liabilities arising from hazardous materials. Compliance with environmental and safety laws and regulations is expensive, and current or future environmental regulation may impair our research, development or production efforts.

Risks Relating to Our Intellectual Property and Litigation

We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate patents and other intellectual property rights to protect our business and product candidates against competitors.

Our value will be significantly enhanced if we are able to obtain adequate patents and other intellectual property rights to protect our business and product candidates against competitors. For that reason, we allocate significant financial and personnel resources to the filing, prosecution, maintenance and defense of patent applications, patents and trademarks claiming or covering our product candidates and key technology relating to these product candidates.

To date, we have sought to protect our proprietary positions related to our important proprietary technology, inventions and improvements by filing of patent applications in the U.S., Europe and other jurisdictions. Because the patent position of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies involves complex legal and factual questions, the issuance, scope and enforceability of patents cannot be predicted with certainty, and we cannot be certain that patents will be issued on pending or future patent applications that cover our product candidates and technologies. Claims could be restricted in prosecution that might lead to a scope of protection which is of minor value for a particular product candidate. Patents, if issued, may be challenged and sought to be invalidated by third parties in litigation. In addition, U.S. patents and patent applications may also be subject to interference proceedings, and U.S. patents may be subject to reexamination proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. European patents may be subject to opposition proceedings in the European Patent Office. Patents might be invalidated in national jurisdictions. Similar proceedings may be available in countries outside of Europe or the U.S. These proceedings could result in either a loss of the patent or a denial of the patent application or loss or reduction in the scope of one or more of the claims of the patent or patent application. Thus, any patents that we own or license from others may not provide any protection against competitors. Furthermore, an adverse decision in an interference proceeding could result in a third party receiving the patent rights sought by us, which in turn could affect our ability to market a potential product or product candidate to which that patent filing was directed. Our pending patent applications, those that we may file in the future, or those that we may license from third parties may not result in patents being issued. If issued, they may not provide us with proprietary protection or competitive advantages against competitors with similar technology. Furthermore, others may independently develop similar technologies or duplicate any technology that we have developed, which fall outside the scope of our patents. Products or technology could also be copied by competitors after expiration of the patent life. Furthermore, claims of employees or former employees of Micromet related to their inventorship or compensation pursuant to the German Act on Employees Inventions may lead to legal disputes.

We rely on third-party payment services and external law firms for the payment of foreign patent annuities and other fees. Non-payment or delay in payment of such fees, whether intentional or unintentional, may result in loss of patents or patent rights important to our business.

We may incur substantial costs enforcing our patents against third parties. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, our competitors may develop and market products with similar features that may reduce demand for our potential products.

We own or control a substantial portfolio of issued patents. From time to time, we may become aware of third parties that undertake activities that infringe on our patents. We may decide to grant those third parties a license under our patents, or to enforce the patents against those third parties by pursuing an infringement claim in litigation. If we initiate patent infringement litigation, it could consume significant financial and management resources, regardless of the merit of the claims or the outcome of the litigation. The outcome of patent litigation is subject to uncertainties that cannot be adequately quantified in advance, including the demeanor and credibility of witnesses and the identity of the adverse party, especially in biotechnology-related patent cases that may turn on the testimony of experts as to technical facts upon which experts may reasonably disagree. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could harm our ability to compete in the marketplace.

Our ability to enforce our patents may be restricted under applicable law. Many countries, including certain countries in Europe, have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner may be compelled to grant licenses to third parties. For example, compulsory licenses may be required in cases where the patent owner has failed to work the invention in that country, or the third-party has patented improvements. In addition, many countries limit the enforceability of patents against government agencies or government contractors. In these countries, the patent owner may have limited remedies, which could materially diminish the value of the patent. Moreover, the legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the aggressive enforcement of patent and other intellectual property rights, which makes it difficult to stop infringement. In addition, our ability to enforce our patent rights depends on our ability to detect infringement. It is difficult to detect infringers who do not advertise the compounds that are used in their products or the methods they use in the research and development of their products. If we are unable to enforce our patents against infringers, it could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are not able to protect and control our unpatented trade secrets, know-how and other technological innovation, we may suffer competitive harm.

We rely on proprietary trade secrets and unpatented know-how to protect our research, development and manufacturing activities and maintain our competitive position, particularly when we do not believe that patent protection is appropriate or available. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. We attempt to protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how by requiring our employees, consultants and advisors to execute confidentiality and non-use agreements. We cannot guarantee that these agreements will provide meaningful protection, that these agreements will not be breached, that we will have an adequate remedy for any such breach, or that our trade secrets or proprietary know-how will not otherwise become known or independently developed by a third party. Our trade secrets, and those of our present or future collaborators that we utilize by agreement, may become known or may be independently discovered by others, which could adversely affect the competitive position of our product candidates. If any trade secret, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent or intellectual property right were disclosed to, or independently developed by a competitor, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If third parties claim that our product candidates or technologies infringe their intellectual property rights, we may become involved in expensive patent litigation, which could result in liability for damages or require us to stop our development and commercialization of our product candidates after they have been approved and launched in the market, or we could be forced to obtain a license and pay royalties under unfavorable terms.

Our commercial success will depend in part on not infringing the patents or violating the proprietary rights of third parties. Competitors or third parties may obtain patents that may claim the composition, manufacture or use of our product candidates, or the technology required to perform research and development activities relating to our product candidates.

From time to time we receive correspondence inviting us to license patents from third parties. While we believe that our pre-commercialization activities fall within the scope of an available exemption against patent infringement provided in the United States by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e) and by similar research exemptions in Europe, claims may be brought against us in the future based on patents held by others. Also, we are aware of patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties relating to our areas of practice, and we know that others have filed patent applications in various countries that relate to several areas in which we are developing product candidates. Some of these patent applications have already resulted in patents and some are still pending. The pending patent applications may also result in patents being issued. For example, we are aware that GlaxoSmithKline holds a European patent covering the administration of adecatumumab in combination with taxotere, which is the combination that we are currently testing in a phase 1 study. We have filed an opposition proceeding against this patent with the European Patent Office seeking to have the patent invalidated. We may not be successful in this proceeding, and if it is not resolved in our favor, we could be required to obtain a license under this patent from GlaxoSmithKline, which we may not be able to obtain on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

In addition, the publication of patent applications occurs with a certain delay after the date of filing, so we may not be aware of all relevant patent applications of third parties at a given point in time. Further, publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, so we may not be able to determine whether inventions claimed in patent applications of third parties have been made before or after the date on which inventions claimed in our patent applications and patents have been made. All issued patents are entitled to a presumption of validity in many countries, including the United States and many European countries. Issued patents held by others may therefore limit our freedom to operate unless and until these patents expire or are declared invalid or unenforceable in a court of applicable jurisdiction.

We and our collaborators may not have rights under some patents that may cover the composition of matter, manufacture or use of product candidates that we seek to develop and commercialize, drug targets to which our product candidates bind, or technologies that we use in our research and development activities. As a result, our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates may depend on our ability to obtain licenses or other rights under these patents. The third parties who own or control such patents may be unwilling to grant those licenses or other rights to us or our collaborators under terms that are commercially viable or at all. Third parties who own or control these patents could bring claims based on patent infringement against us or our collaborators and seek monetary damages and to enjoin further clinical testing, manufacturing and marketing of the affected product candidates or products. There has been, and we believe that there will continue to be, significant litigation in the pharmaceutical industry regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. If a third party sues us for patent infringement, it could consume significant financial and management resources, regardless of the merit of the claims or the outcome of the litigation.

If a third party brings a patent infringement suit against us and we do not settle the patent infringement suit and are not successful in defending against the patent infringement claims, we could be required to pay substantial damages or we or our collaborators could be forced to stop or delay research, development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is claimed by the third party's patent. We or our collaborators may choose to seek, or be required to seek, a license from the third party and would most likely be required to pay license fees or royalties or both. However, there can be no assurance that any such license will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Even if we or our collaborators were able to obtain a license, the rights may be nonexclusive, which would give our competitors access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product candidate, or forced to cease some aspect of our business operations as a result of patent infringement claims, which could harm our business.

Our success depends on our ability to maintain and enforce our licensing arrangements with various third party licensors.

We are party to intellectual property licenses and agreements that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into similar licenses and agreements in the future. These licenses and agreements impose various research, development, commercialization, sublicensing, milestone and royalty payment, indemnification, insurance and other obligations on us. If we or our collaborators fail to perform under these agreements or otherwise breach obligations thereunder, our licensors may terminate these agreements and we could lose licenses to intellectual property rights that are important to our business. Any such termination could materially harm our ability to develop and commercialize the product candidate that is the subject of the agreement, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

If licensees or assignees of our intellectual property rights breach any of the agreements under which we have licensed or assigned our intellectual property to them, we could be deprived of important intellectual property rights and future revenue.

We are a party to intellectual property out-licenses, collaborations and agreements that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into similar agreements with third parties in the future. Under these agreements, we license or transfer intellectual property to third parties and impose various research, development, commercialization, sublicensing, royalty, indemnification, insurance, and other obligations on them. If a third party fails to comply with these requirements, we generally retain the right to terminate the agreement and to bring a legal action in court or in arbitration. In the event of breach, we may need to enforce our rights under these agreements by resorting to

arbitration or litigation. During the period of arbitration or litigation, we may be unable to effectively use, assign or license the relevant intellectual property rights and may be deprived of current or future revenues that are associated with such intellectual property, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

Many of our employees were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management. If we fail in defending such claims, in addition to paying money claims, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to commercialize certain product candidates.

Risks Relating to Manufacturing and Sales of Products

We depend on our collaborators and third-party manufacturers to produce most, if not all, of our product candidates and if these third parties do not successfully manufacture these product candidates our business will be harmed.

We have no manufacturing experience or manufacturing capabilities for the production of our product candidates for clinical trials or commercial sale. In order to continue to develop product candidates, apply for regulatory approvals, and commercialize our product candidates following approval, we or our collaborators must be able to manufacture or contract with third parties to manufacture our product candidates in clinical and commercial quantities, in compliance with regulatory requirements, at acceptable costs and in a timely manner. The manufacture of our product candidates may be complex, difficult to accomplish and difficult to scale-up when large-scale production is required. Manufacture may be subject to delays, inefficiencies and poor or low yields of quality products. The cost of manufacturing our product candidates may make them prohibitively expensive. If supplies of any of our product candidates or related materials become unavailable on a timely basis or at all or are contaminated or otherwise lost, clinical trials by us and our collaborators could be seriously delayed. This is due to the fact that such materials are time-consuming to manufacture and cannot be readily obtained from third-party sources.

To the extent that we or our collaborators seek to enter into manufacturing arrangements with third parties, we and such collaborators will depend upon these third parties to perform their obligations in a timely and effective manner and in accordance with government regulations. Contract manufacturers may breach their manufacturing agreements because of factors beyond our control or may terminate or fail to renew a manufacturing agreement based on their own business priorities at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us. If third-party manufacturers fail to perform their obligations, our competitive position and ability to generate revenue may be adversely affected in a number of ways, including:

- we and our collaborators may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials of product candidates that are under development;

- we and our collaborators may be delayed in submitting applications for regulatory approvals for our product candidates; and

- we and our collaborators may not be able to meet commercial demands for any approved products.

We have no sales, marketing or distribution experience and will depend significantly on third parties who may not successfully sell our product candidates following approval.

We have no sales, marketing or product distribution experience. If we receive required regulatory approvals to market any of our product candidates, we plan to rely primarily on sales, marketing and distribution arrangements with third parties, including our collaborators. For example, as part of our agreements with Merck Serono, MedImmune, Nycomed and TRACON, we have granted these companies the right to market and distribute products resulting from such collaborations, if any are ever successfully developed. We may have to enter into additional marketing arrangements in the future and we may not be able to enter into these additional arrangements on terms that are favorable to us, if at all. In addition, we may have limited or no control over the sales, marketing and distribution activities of these third parties, and sales through these third parties could be less profitable to us than direct sales. These third parties could sell competing products and may devote insufficient sales efforts to our product candidates following approval. As a result, our future revenues from sales of our product candidates, if any, will be materially dependent upon the success of the efforts of these third parties.

We may seek to co-promote products with our collaborators, or to independently market products that are not already subject to marketing agreements with other parties. If we determine to perform sales, marketing and distribution functions ourselves, then we could face a number of additional risks, including:

- we may not be able to attract and build an experienced marketing staff or sales force;

- the cost of establishing a marketing staff or sales force may not be justifiable in light of the revenues generated by any particular product; and

our direct sales and marketing efforts may not be successful.

36

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1(1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2(3)	Certificate of Amendment of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.3(2)	Certificate of Designations for Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant
3.4(4)	Amended and Restated Bylaws effective October 3, 2007
4.1(5)	Form of Specimen Common Stock Certificate
10.1(#)	2008 Management Incentive Compensation Plan
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32(*)	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(1)	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2003
(2)	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report

on Form 8-K
filed with the
Securities and
Exchange
Commission on
November 8,
2004

(3) Incorporated by
reference to the
Registrant's
Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q filed with
the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on
May 10, 2006

(4) Incorporated by
reference to the
Registrant's
Current Report
on Form 8-K
filed with the
Securities and
Exchange
Commission on
October 9, 2007

(5) Incorporated by
reference to the
Registrant's
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
filed with the
Securities and
Exchange
Commission on
March 15, 2008

Indicates
management
contract or
compensatory
plan

* These
certifications are
being furnished
solely to

accompany this
annual report
pursuant to 18
U.S.C.
Section 1350,
and are not
being filed for
purposes of
Section 18 of
the Securities
Exchange Act
of 1934 and are
not to be
incorporated by
reference into
any filing of the
Registrant,
whether made
before or after
the date hereof,
regardless of
any general
incorporation
language in
such filing

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 9, 2008

Micromet, Inc.

By: /s/ DONALD A. ZELM

Donald A. Zelm
Executive Director of Finance
Acting Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Exhibit List

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1(1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2(3)	Certificate of Amendment of the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.3(2)	Certificate of Designations for Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant
3.4(4)	Amended and Restated Bylaws effective October 3, 2007
4.1(5)	Form of Specimen Common Stock Certificate
10.1(#)	2008 Management Incentive Compensation Plan
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32(*)	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(1)	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 11, 2003
(2)	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 2004

(3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 10, 2006

(4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 9, 2007

(5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2008

Indicates management contract or compensatory plan

* These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany this annual report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and are not being filed for

purposes of
Section 18 of
the Securities
Exchange Act
of 1934 and are
not to be
incorporated by
reference into
any filing of the
Registrant,
whether made
before or after
the date hereof,
regardless of
any general
incorporation
language in
such filing