

Polymer Holdings LLC
Form 424B4
December 17, 2009
Table of Contents

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4)
Registration No. 333-162248

Prospectus

10,294,118 Shares

Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.
Common Stock

This is our initial public offering, and no public market currently exists for our common stock. Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. is offering 10,294,118 shares of common stock.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price of the common stock is \$13.50 per share. Our common stock has been approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol KRA .

We have granted the underwriters the right to purchase up to 1,544,117 shares of common stock at the offering price less the underwriting discount if the underwriters sell more than 10,294,118 shares of common stock in this offering. The underwriters can exercise this right at any time and from time to time, in whole or in part, within 30 days after the offering.

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. See Risk Factors beginning on page 15.

	Price to Public	Underwriting Discounts and Commissions	Proceeds, Before Expenses, to Issuer
Per Share	\$ 13.50	\$ 0.8775	\$ 12.6225
Total	\$ 138,970,593	\$ 9,033,088.545	\$ 129,937,504.455

Delivery of the shares of common stock will be made on or about December 22, 2009.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Credit Suisse

BofA Merrill Lynch

Morgan Stanley

Oppenheimer & Co.

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

KeyBanc Capital Markets

The date of this prospectus is December 16, 2009.

Table of Contents

You should rely only on the information contained in this document or to which we have referred you. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different. This document may only be used where it is legal to sell these securities. The information in this document may only be accurate on the date of this document.

Information contained in our web site does not constitute part of this prospectus.

The KRATON name, our logo and other trademarks mentioned in this prospectus are the property of their respective owners.

We obtained the industry and market data used throughout this prospectus from our own internal estimates and research as well as from industry and general publications and research, surveys and studies conducted by third parties.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Summary</u>	Page 1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	15
<u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	31
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	32
<u>Dividend Policy</u>	33
<u>Capitalization</u>	34
<u>Dilution</u>	35
<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Information</u>	36
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	38
<u>Industry</u>	59
<u>Business</u>	64
	Page
<u>Management and Board of Directors</u>	85
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	92
<u>Principal Stockholders</u>	124
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	127
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	130
<u>Description of Certain Indebtedness</u>	133
<u>Shares Eligible for Future Sale</u>	137
<u>Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders</u>	139
<u>Underwriting</u>	142
<u>Legal Matters</u>	148
<u>Experts</u>	148
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	148
<u>Index to Financial Statements</u>	F-1

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

The following summary includes basic information about our company and this offering. It may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more comprehensive understanding of our company and this offering, you should read this entire prospectus.

On or prior to the completion of the offering, we will convert our company from a Delaware limited liability company (Polymer Holdings LLC) into a Delaware corporation (Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.).

In this prospectus, unless we indicate otherwise or the context requires:

Polymer Holdings, our company, we, our, ours and us refer to Polymer Holdings LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries prior to the conversion, and to Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries after the conversion;

Kraton refers to Kraton Polymers LLC; and

the SBC industry refers to the elastomeric styrenic block copolymers industry and does not include the high styrene or rigid SBC business.

Our Company

General

We believe we are the world's leading producer of styrenic block copolymers (SBCs) as measured by 2008 sales and volumes. We market our products under the widely recognized KRATON® brand. Our estimates indicate that sales of our products, in the end-use markets we target, constituted 34% of global SBC revenue in 2008, approximately 3.1 times larger than our nearest competitor. SBCs are highly-engineered synthetic elastomers that we invented and commercialized over 40 years ago, which enhance the performance of numerous end-use products, imparting greater flexibility, resilience, strength, durability and processability. We focus on the end-use markets we believe offer the highest growth potential and greatest opportunity to differentiate our products from competing products. Within these end-use markets, we believe that we provide our customers with a broad portfolio of highly-engineered and value-enhancing polymers that are critical to the performance of our customers' products. We seek to maximize the value of our product portfolio by introducing innovations that command premium pricing and by consistently upgrading from lower margin products. As the industry leader, we maintain significant competitive advantages, including a 40-year proven track record of innovation; world-class technical expertise; customer, geographical and end-use market diversity; and industry-leading customer service capabilities. These advantages are supported by a global infrastructure and a long history of successful capital investments and operational excellence.

Our SBC products are found in many everyday applications, including disposable baby diapers, the rubberized grips of toothbrushes, razor blades, power tools and in asphalt formulations used to pave roads. We believe that there are many untapped uses for our products, and we will continue to develop new applications for SBCs. Since January 1, 2008, we have been awarded 161 patents for new products or applications, which we believe will allow us to drive volume and revenue growth and expand our margins. We also develop, manufacture and market niche, non-SBC products that we believe have high growth potential, such as isoprene rubber latex, or IRL. IRL is a highly-engineered, reliable synthetic substitute for natural rubber latex. We believe the versatility of IRL offers significant opportunities for new, high-margin applications. Our IRL products, which are used in applications such as surgical gloves, have not been found to contain the proteins present in natural latex and are, therefore, not known to cause allergies. We believe we produce the highest purity IRL globally and that we are the only significant third-party supplier of the product. Our IRL business has grown at a compound annual growth rate of approximately 23% by volume from 2005 to 2008.

Table of Contents

We currently offer approximately 800 products to more than 700 customers in over 60 countries worldwide, and we manufacture our polymers on four continents (North America, Europe, South America and Asia). Our products are typically developed using our proprietary, and in many cases patent-protected, technology and require significant engineering, testing and certification. We are widely regarded as the industry's leading innovator and cost-efficient manufacturer in our end-use markets. We work closely with our customers to design products that meet application-specific performance and quality requirements. We expect these innovations to drive our organic growth, sustain our leadership position, expand our market share, improve our margins and produce a high return on invested capital. For example, in 2008, we developed a family of environmentally-friendly products to replace materials like polyvinyl chloride, or PVC, for medical packaging applications and wire and cable applications in electronics and automobiles.

Over the past several years, we have implemented a range of strategic initiatives designed to enhance our profitability and end-use market position. These include fixed asset investments to expand our capacity in high value products, enhance productivity at our existing facilities and significantly reduce our fixed cost structure through head count reductions, production line closures at our Pernis, the Netherlands, facility and system upgrades. During this period, we have shifted our portfolio to higher-margin products, substantially exited low-margin businesses such as footwear and implemented smart pricing strategies that have improved our overall margins and return on invested capital. We believe these initiatives provide us with a strong platform to drive growth, create significant operating leverage and position us to benefit from volume recovery in our end-use markets.

We believe that starting in late 2008 the global economic downturn, and associated reduction in customer and end-user inventory levels, caused an unprecedented slowdown across the industry. We experienced sales volume decline across all of our end-use markets, including the traditionally more stable consumer and medical applications. We believe that a significant factor in this decline was inventory de-stocking. The trend began to reverse itself in June 2009, as demand patterns began to shift towards recovery.

We generated total operating revenue of \$1.2 billion and \$717.3 million for the twelve and nine months ended December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, respectively, on volume of 313 kilotons and 199 kilotons, respectively. For the same periods, we generated net income of \$28.4 million and \$1.2 million and Adjusted EBITDA of \$152.0 million and \$56.3 million, respectively. We define Adjusted EBITDA and reconcile it to net income in footnote 3 under Summary Summary of Consolidated Financial Information and Other Data. We report under one operating segment.

Table of Contents

Our Industry Focus

The global demand for SBCs in 2008 exceeded 1,400 kilotons, resulting in sales of approximately \$4.0 billion. According to management estimates, between 2001 and 2007, SBC demand for non-footwear applications grew at a compound annual growth rate of approximately 9.0%, or approximately 2.7 times global real GDP. In 2008, the SBC market contracted along with the global economy, reducing the 2001 to 2008 growth rate to 6.8%.

2008 Global SBC Consumption by Volume

SBCs are primarily sold into four end-uses: (1) Advanced Materials (compounding, personal care and polymer systems); (2) Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings; (3) Paving and Roofing; and (4) Footwear. Due to the higher selling prices in the Advanced Materials, Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings and Paving and Roofing end-uses relative to the Footwear end-use, the market share by end-use on a revenue basis is meaningfully different than on a volume basis.

2008 Global SBC Consumption by End-Use Market⁽¹⁾

Table of Contents

Kraton focuses on the high-value end-use markets.

2008 Global SBC Consumption by End-Use Market - Kraton vs. Industry⁽⁴⁾

There are two major types of SBCs: hydrogenated styrenic block copolymers, or HSBCs, and unhydrogenated styrenic block copolymers, or USBCs.

HSBCs. HSBC products are significantly more complex to produce than USBC products and, consequently, generate higher margins and generally command selling prices between two and three times those for USBCs. We believe our 47% end-use market share of 2008 HSBC sales leads the industry and is more than twice the size of our closest competitor. The HSBC class of products, which is typically more durable than USBC products, is primarily used in higher value-added Advanced Materials and Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings applications. We estimate that HSBCs accounted for approximately 12% of worldwide SBC industry sales in 2008.

HSBCs are primarily used in our Advanced Materials and Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings end-use markets, to impart improved performance characteristics such as:

stretch properties in disposable diapers and adult incontinence products;

soft feel in numerous consumer products such as the handles for razor blades, power tools and automobile interiors;

impact resistance for demanding engineering plastic applications;

flexibility for wire and cable plastic outer layers; and

improved flow characteristics for many industrial and consumer sealants and lubricating fluids.

USBCs. We believe that our 30% market share of 2008 USBC sales, excluding Footwear, leads the industry, and is approximately 2.2 times that of our closest competitor in terms of 2008 sales. In 2008, we estimate that USBCs represented approximately 88% of worldwide SBC industry sales volumes and were used primarily in Footwear, Paving and Roofing and Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings end-use.

USBCs are used in all our end-use markets in a range of products to impart desirable characteristics, such as:

resistance to temperature and weather extremes in roads and roofing;

resistance to cracking, reduced sound transmission and better drainage in porous road surfaces;

Table of Contents

impact resistance for consumer plastics; and

increased processing flexibility in materials used in disposable diapers and adhesive applications, such as packaging tapes and labels.

Our End-Use Markets

We believe we hold the number one market position, based on 2008 sales and volume, in each of our targeted end-use markets. We have aligned our commercial activities to serve three core end-use markets that we believe have the highest growth and profitability potential: (1) Advanced Materials; (2) Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings; and (3) Paving and Roofing. We also serve a fourth end-use market, an Emerging Businesses category, which primarily includes our high-growth IRL business.

The following table describes our three core end-use markets together with our Emerging Businesses and other end-use markets and their approximate relative sizes:

End-Use Markets	Revenue Mix ⁽¹⁾	Our End-Use Market Position ⁽²⁾	Our End-Use Market Share ⁽²⁾	Our Relative End-Use Market Share ⁽³⁾	Industry Compound Annual Growth Rate ⁽⁴⁾	Selected Applications/Products
Advanced Materials	30%	#1	37%	2.2X	8.0%	Soft touch for consumer products (tooth brushes and razor blades) and power tools Impact resistant engineering plastics Automotive components Elastic films for disposable diapers and adult incontinence branded products Skin care products and lotions Disposable food packaging Medical packaging films and tubing, often to replace PVC
Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings	32%	#1	41%	2.6X	5.2%	Tapes and labels Non-woven and industrial adhesives Industrial and consumer weather sealants
Paving and Roofing	31%	#1	28%	1.9X	7.1%	Asphalt modification for performance roadways Asphalt modification for roofing felts and shingles
Emerging Businesses ⁽⁵⁾	3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.7%	Surgical gloves Condoms
Other Markets ⁽⁶⁾	4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Lubricants and fuel additives High styrenics packaging

- (1) Based on 2008 sales of \$1,171.3 million (excludes by-product sales which are reported as other revenues).
- (2) Management estimates, based on 2008 sales.
- (3) Management estimates, versus next largest competitor based on 2008 sales.
- (4) Management estimates of volume growth, 2001 to 2008, except for Emerging Businesses, which is 2005 to 2008.

Table of Contents

- (5) The Emerging Businesses end-use market primarily includes our IRL business as well as other businesses and products that are under development. We believe that we are the only major third-party supplier of IRL, and therefore end-use market position, end-use market share and relative end-use market share metrics are not meaningful.
- (6) Our Other Markets end-use market is not directly comparable to our three core and Emerging Businesses end-use markets because it includes a mix of products ranging from lubricants and fuel additives to high styrenics packaging to footwear products. Therefore, we cannot estimate end-use market position, end-use market share, relative end-use market share or industry compound annual growth rate.

Our Competitive Strengths

The following competitive strengths help us to sustain our market leadership position and contribute to our ability to generate superior margins and strong cash flow. We expect these strengths to support our growth in the future:

The Market Leader in SBCs

We believe we hold the number one global market position based on 2008 sales and volumes, including a 34% market share of revenues in the end-use markets we target. Our Belpre, Ohio facility is the largest in terms of production capacity and the most product-diversified SBC plant in the world, and we believe it is the largest HSBC plant as well in terms of production capacity. We believe our Wesseling, Germany plant is a world scale and cost efficient facility. As the pioneer of SBCs over 40 years ago, we believe our KRATON® brand is widely recognized for our industry leadership, and we are particularly well-regarded for our process technology expertise and long track record of market-driven innovation.

Growth Through Innovation and Technological Know-how

SBC production and product development requires complex and specific expertise, which we believe many of our competitors are unable to replicate. As the industry pioneer, Kraton maintains a constant focus on enhancing the value-added attributes of our products and on developing new applications for SBCs. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately 1,176 granted patents and approximately 447 pending patent applications. Our Vision 20/20 program, introduced earlier this year, targets generating 20% of revenues by 2011 from new products or applications introduced in the prior five years. In 2008, we generated 13.5% of our sales from innovation driven revenue. We believe that our new product innovation will allow us to drive increases in our volume, expand product contribution margins and increase our customers' reliance on Kraton's products and technical expertise. For example, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, IRL represented 3.5% of revenues. Our sales of IRL from 2005 to 2008 grew at 23% by volume and are earning a unit contribution margin in excess of the company as a whole.

Diverse Global Manufacturing Capabilities and End-Use Market Exposures

We operate manufacturing facilities on four continents (North America, Europe, South America and Asia) producing what we believe to be the highest quality grades available of USBC and HSBC and high purity IRL. We believe we are the only SBC producer with this breadth of technical capabilities and global footprint, selling approximately 800 products in over 60 countries. Since 2003, we have successfully completed plant expansions totaling 60 kilotons of capacity, giving us a total capacity of 436 kilotons, at a total cost of less than \$50 million. Our manufacturing and product footprint allow revenue diversity, both geographically and by end-use market. We believe our scale and footprint make us an attractive customer for our monomer suppliers which, in turn, allows us to offer a high degree of supply security to customers.

Table of Contents

Long-Standing, Strong Customer Relationships Supported by Leading Service Offering

We sell our products to over 700 customers, many of which we have had relationships with for 15 years or more. Our customers are broad-based, with no single customer accounting for more than 5% of our sales in 2008 (our top 10 customers represented 26% of sales in 2008). Our customers' manufacturing processes are typically calibrated to the performance specifications of our products. Given the technical expertise and investment required to develop these formulations and the lead times required to replace them, we believe our customers face high switching costs. We believe our customers view our products as being high value-added, even though our products generally represent a small proportion of the overall cost of the finished product. Leveraging our global infrastructure, we believe we offer our customers a best-in-class service level that aligns us to their respective business models, through on demand order delivery and product development specifically designed for each customer's needs.

Experienced Management Team with a Track Record of Growth and Productivity Improvements

Our senior management team has an average industry experience of over 25 years, most of which is with some of the world's leading companies, including General Electric, Koch Industries and Chevron Phillips Chemical. Since early 2008, when the current executive team was put in place, we have instituted a number of strategic initiatives designed to enhance productivity, reduce costs and capital intensity, expand margins and drive innovation-led growth.

Our Business Strategy

Building on these competitive strengths, we are focused on achieving profitable top-line growth and improving margins through the introduction of highly-engineered, high value-added products to drive strong and sustainable cash flow.

Driving Growth and Margin Expansion Through Innovation

We have a 40-year track record of innovation dating back to our development of the first SBCs. Our research and development effort is focused on end-use markets and new product developments that we believe offer higher growth as well as opportunities to develop highly-differentiated products for our customers, thus yielding higher margin potential. We work very closely with our longstanding customer base to produce products that solve their specific technical requirements. For example, to address an industry trend to eliminate PVC from applications such as medical packaging and wire and cable, we have developed and commercialized a series of

Table of Contents

custom-designed polymers and compounds. In addition to this innovation-led growth, we believe that there are a number of end-use market dynamics that drive growth in our business such as: (1) the effect of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 on our paving business; (2) the general demand by customers for higher value-added products with better performance characteristics; and (3) the effect of an economic recovery on roofing applications.

Pursue Smart Pricing

In late 2007, we undertook a comprehensive review of our entire product portfolio, including both product-specific and customer-specific profitability analysis. As a result, we took a variety of actions including reducing or eliminating our exposure to lower margin business and increasing our prices to reflect the significant value-added benefits of our products to our customers' products. For example, since the end of 2007, we have increased our unit contribution margins (the excess of the sale price of a unit of product over the variable cost to produce that unit) by more than 50%. We will continue to pursue pricing strategies that reflect the contribution to the end product of our high value and complex product offerings for which limited substitutes exist.

Invest in Key Growth Initiatives

As part of this offering, we plan to use some proceeds to fund high priority, high return strategic projects that will continue to allow us to more effectively and more efficiently serve our customers' needs. One such project is the development of additional capacity in our IRL business to serve the rapid growth and to better capture the high margins that exist in this product line.

Continue to Pursue Operational Efficiencies

We have a history of implementing continuous process and cost improvement plans that have resulted in a significant reduction in our cost position and an improvement in the way we run our business. Since the beginning of 2007, we have implemented cost saving initiatives that have reduced costs by over \$55 million, on an annual basis. In addition, on December 31, 2009, we will be exiting our Pernis facility in its entirety, which we expect will result in an additional \$12 million in annual cost savings. Through these actions, we have created substantial operating leverage in our business model. We believe this demonstrates our management team's ability to successfully manage the business in a downturn and position us for significant growth and margin expansion in a global economic recovery. For a discussion of the costs associated with the Pernis exit, see Management's Discussion and Analysis Recent Developments.

Corporate and Other Information

We currently conduct our business through a Delaware limited liability company, Polymer Holdings LLC, and its consolidated subsidiaries. Prior to the closing of this offering, we will convert into a Delaware corporation to be named Kraton Performance Polymers, Inc.

Our principal executive offices are located at 15710 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77032, and our telephone number is (281) 504-4700. Our corporate website address is www.kraton.com. We do not incorporate the information contained on, or accessible through, our corporate website into this prospectus, and you should not consider it part of this prospectus.

On or prior to the closing of this offering, TJ Chemical Holdings LLC, or TJ Chemical, will be merged into (and not survive the merger with) Kraton (for further details on this transaction, see Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Reorganization Transactions), at which time we will be owned directly by affiliates of TPG Capital, L.P. and J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, a private equity division of JPMorgan Chase & Co. On December 23, 2003, Polymer Holdings acquired all the outstanding equity interests of Kraton from Ripplewood Chemical Holding LLC. The acquisition was funded, including transaction fees and expenses, in part through proceeds from the issuance of senior subordinated notes and borrowings under the term loan portion of the senior secured credit facility.

Table of Contents

Principal Stockholders

Following this offering and after giving effect to the merger of TJ Chemical into Kraton (see Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Reorganization Transactions), certain affiliates of TPG Capital, L.P., which we refer to collectively as TPG, will own approximately 38.8% of our common stock (approximately 36.8% pursuant to a full exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option) and certain affiliates of J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC, which we refer to collectively as JPMP, will own approximately 25.8% of our common stock (approximately 24.6% pursuant to a full exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option). Together TPG and JPMP will own, in the aggregate, approximately 64.6% of our common stock (approximately 61.4% if the underwriters over-allotment option is exercised in full).

TPG Capital, L.P.

TPG is a leading private investment firm with approximately \$45 billion of assets under management as of September 30, 2009. The firm was founded in 1992 and is led by David Bonderman and James G. Coulter. Through its global buyout platform, TPG Capital, the firm generally makes significant investments in companies through acquisitions and restructurings across a broad range of industries throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Australia. Notable investments include Alltel Corp., Avaya, Inc., Burger King Holdings, Inc., Continental Airlines, Inc., Energy Future Holdings Corp. (formerly, TXU Corp.), Graphic Packaging International Corp., Grohe AG, Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., J Crew Group, Inc., Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., ON Semiconductor Corp., Seagate Technology, Shenzhen Development Bank Co., Ltd. and Texas Genco, LLC.

JPMorgan Partners

J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC is a private equity division of JPMorgan Chase & Co. (NYSE: JPM), one of the largest financial institutions in the United States. JPMP has invested over \$15 billion worldwide in industrial, consumer, media, energy, financial services, healthcare and technology companies since its inception in 1984. In August 2006, the buyout and growth equity investment professionals of JPMP separated from JPMorgan Chase & Co. and formed CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, or CCMP Capital, a global private equity firm specializing in buyout and growth equity investments. CCMP Capital has offices in New York, Texas and London. CCMP Capital advises JPMP on its portfolio of private equity investments, including the investment in our company; other notable investments include AMC Entertainment, Inc., Aramark Holdings Corporation, Grupo Corporative Ono, S.A., Jetro JMDH Holdings, Inc., Noble Environmental Power, LLC, QCE Holdings, LLC (Quiznos Sub), Warner Chilcott Holdings Co. and PQ Corporation.

Table of Contents

The Offering

Common stock we are offering 10,294,118 shares.

Common stock to be outstanding after this offering 29,709,114 shares.

Use of proceeds We estimate that our net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$126.1 million, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

We expect to use the net proceeds of this offering to repay a portion of the senior secured credit facility. We expect to use the remaining net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including to fund strategic capital projects such as alternative production capabilities for Isoprene Rubber, the development of additional capacity in our Isoprene Rubber Latex business, and/or the continuation of our upgrade of certain systems and operating controls at our Belpre, Ohio facility. See Use of Proceeds.

Underwriters' option to purchase additional shares We may sell up to 1,544,117 additional shares if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option.

Dividend policy We have not previously declared or paid any dividends or distributions on our common stock. We currently expect to retain future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We are currently prohibited from paying cash dividends on our common stock by the covenants in the senior secured credit facility and may be further restricted by the terms of future debt or preferred securities. See Dividend Policy.

Risk factors Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. See Risk Factors for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our common stock.

New York Stock Exchange symbol KRA

The number of shares of common stock to be outstanding after the offering is based on 19,414,996 shares of common stock outstanding after our conversion to a corporation and 10,294,118 shares to be sold in the offering.

The number of shares of common stock to be outstanding after this offering does not take into account an aggregate of 4,350,000 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the Polymer Holdings LLC Equity Incentive Plan and 1,594,962 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the TJ Chemical Option Plan in connection with the settlement of options outstanding as of the closing of this offering, based on the Conversion Ratio (as defined in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis) of 1:13.5120.

In addition, except as otherwise noted, all information in this prospectus:

assumes the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option; and

Edgar Filing: Polymer Holdings LLC - Form 424B4

gives effect to our conversion from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation prior to the closing of this offering.

Table of Contents**Summary of Consolidated Financial Information and Other Data**

The table below sets forth our selected consolidated historical financial data for the periods indicated. The summary consolidated historical financial data presented below for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 and as of September 30, 2009, have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that management considers necessary for the fair presentation of the consolidated financial information set forth in those statements. Results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for any other interim period or for an entire year.

The selected financial information and other data presented below should be read in conjunction with the information contained in Use of Proceeds, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Year ended December 31,			Nine months ended	
	2008	2007	2006	2009 (unaudited)	September 30, 2008 (unaudited)
	(in thousands)				
Consolidated Revenues					
Operating Revenues	\$ 1,171,253	\$ 1,066,044	\$ 1,015,766	\$ 682,061	\$ 9
Other Revenues	54,780	23,543	32,355	35,235	
Operating Expenses					
Cost of Goods Sold	1,226,033	1,089,587	1,048,121	717,296	9
Other Expenses	971,283	938,556	843,726	602,633	7
Operating Profit	254,750	151,031	204,395	114,663	2
Other Expenses					
Research and Development Expenses	27,049	24,865	24,598	15,115	
Administrative Expenses	101,431	69,020	73,776	56,585	
Depreciation and Amortization of Intangible Assets	53,162	51,917	43,574	41,582	
Operating Expenses	181,642	145,802	141,948	113,282	1
Other Expenses					
Provision for Bad Debt				23,831	

in
ings in
olidated

es(2) 437 626 168 305

se, Net 36,695 43,484 66,637 24,783

e (Loss)

Income 36,850 (37,629) (4,022) 734

e Tax

se

(it) 8,431 6,120 29,814 (485)

ome \$ 28,419 \$ (43,749) \$ (33,836) \$ 1,219

Stephen Lubischer has served as the Vice President, Sales of us and Alphatec Spine since December 2006. From May 2005 to December 2006, Mr. Lubischer, was one of our and Alphatec Regional Vice Presidents, Sales. From 1995 to May 2005, Mr. Lubischer held senior level positions at Interpore Cross International, a company that designs, manufactures and markets sy bone and tissue products and spinal implant devices. In his most recent position at Interpore International, Mr. Lubischer served as the Eastern Vice President of Sales. Prior to joining Interpore Cross International, Mr. Lubischer also served as the Vice President, Sales for each of Interpore Implants and Immedica, Inc., both medical device companies.

Jens Peter Timm has served as the Vice President, Research and Development of us and Alphatec Spine since February 2008. From 2004 until he joined us, Mr. Timm served as Vice President, Research and Development at Applied Spine Technologies Inc. From 1999 to 2004, Mr. Timm served in various engineering and research and development capacities at Interpore Cross International, most recently as Director of Development, Anterior Fusion and Disc Systems. From 1997 to 2004, Mr. Timm served as a Development Engineer with Biomet, Inc. Mr. Timm is a charter member of the Spine Arthroplasty Society.

Mitsuo Asai has served as President of Alphatec Pacific, Inc., or Alphatec Pacific, a wholly owned subsidiary of Alphatec Spine, since April 2008. From 2006 until he joined Alphatec Pacific Inc. Mr. Asai was the President of Tokai Co., Ltd., a manufacturer of consumer goods. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Asai served as General Manager and President of Virbac Japan Co., Ltd., a company that focuses on veterinary pharmaceuticals and healthcare products. From 1998 to 2002, Mr. Asai served as President and CEO of Vital Link Corporation, a distributor of cardiovascular medical devices. From 1985 to 1996, Mr. Asai held various positions of increasing responsibility with Beckman Coulter, Inc., a manufacturer of biomedical testing instrument systems.

Code of Conduct

We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our employees, including our President and CEO, who is our principal executive officer, and Chief Financial Officer, who is our principal financial and accounting officer. The text of the code of conduct is posted on our website at www.alphatecspine.com under Investor Relations Corporate Governance, is filed as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is available to stockholders without charge, upon request by writing to the Secretary, Alphatec Holdings, Inc., at 5818 El Camino Real, Carlsbad, CA 92008. Disclosure regarding any amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of the code of conduct that apply to our directors, principal executive and financial officers will be included in a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days following the date of the amendment or waiver, unless the posting of such amendments or waivers is then permitted by the rules of The NASDAQ Stock Exchange and the SEC, in which case we intend to post such amendments and waivers on our website at www.alphatecspine.com.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

We have prepared this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, to provide you with information that we believe is necessary to understand our executive compensation policies and decisions as they relate to the compensation of the individuals identified below, who are our Executive Officers, as such term is defined in Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

Dirk Kuyper, President and Chief Executive Officer

Peter C. Wulff, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer

Stephen Lubischer, Vice President, Sales

Jens Peter Timm, Vice President, Research and Development

Mitsuo Asai, President, Alphatec Pacific

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We are engaged in a very competitive industry, and our success depends upon our ability to attract and retain qualified executives. Accordingly, our compensation arrangements must be competitive with those of other companies in our industry. The Compensation Committee's intent is generally to target salaries, annual incentives and long-term incentive grant values at a range that is competitive with programs offered by other companies with whom we compete for personnel. The Compensation Committee's decisions are based on a philosophy of pay for performance, with an individual's experience, potential and contribution to the business determining his or her actual compensation. The Compensation Committee administers our compensation programs for our executive officers, considering this competitive environment. The Compensation Committee believes that the compensation paid to our executive officers should be dependent upon our financial performance and the value that we create for our stockholders. For this reason, the Compensation Committee's philosophy is to structure our compensation programs to provide meaningful incentives for the attainment of specific financial objectives and to reward those executive officers who make substantial contributions to the attainment of those objectives, and to link executive compensation with performance.

The Compensation Committee's objectives are to:

attract, retain, and motivate talented executives responsible for the success of the organization;

provide compensation to executives that is externally competitive, internally equitable and performance-based; and

ensure that total compensation levels are reflective of company and individual performance and provide executives with the opportunity to receive above market total compensation for exceptional business performance.

We do not utilize compensation policies or practices that create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis section describes generally our compensation policies and practices that are applicable for executive and managerial employees.

employees. We use common variable compensation designs across all of our business units and divisions, with a significant focus on corporate and business financial performance as generally described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on April 2, 2014.

Compensation Process and Benchmarking

Compensation Process

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee has responsibility for, among other things, discharging the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation and benefits of our executive officers, including responsibility for evaluating management performance, officer compensation and benefits plans and programs.

In carrying out these responsibilities, the Compensation Committee is required to review the components of executive officer compensation for consistency with our compensation philosophy.

In 2008, we hired several new executive officers, namely Mr. Asai, the President of our subsidiary Alphatec Pacific, and Mr. Wulff, our Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer. With respect to each of such individuals, their compensation for 2008 was determined during arm's length negotiations with our President and CEO. With respect to all of such hires, the Compensation Committee approved the compensation terms set forth in such individuals' employment agreements prior to their hire. Beginning in 2008, the processes followed by the Compensation Committee in setting executive compensation became more formalized. As requested by the Compensation Committee, our President and CEO presents individual performance review summaries, proposed annual salary increases and long-term incentive grant recommendations for the other executive officers to the members of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews this information and either approves the recommendation or makes changes at its discretion. The Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Chairman of the Board of Directors, makes an annual assessment of our President and CEO based on our financial performance, his compensation compared to CEOs in our peer group, the components of his compensation and his total compensation level. The Compensation Committee then approves the compensation of our President and CEO.

In 2008, the President and CEO retained a compensation consultant, Remedy, LLC, to review the compensation data of companies in our industry or similar industries that had annual revenues similar to ours. In 2009, in lieu of using a compensation consultant, our President and CEO, working with our Vice President, Human Resources, reviewed published compensation survey data from companies in our industry or similar industries with annual revenues similar to ours. Except to the extent described under Benchmarking, the Compensation Committee has not relied on formulas or specific criteria in determining levels and mixes of compensation but rather has relied on its members' subjective, reasonable, good faith judgment based on their years of experience both with us and with other companies they have been involved with in their professional careers.

In recognition of the challenging economic conditions that existed throughout 2009, none of our executive officers received an increase in base salary during 2009. In 2009, our President and CEO provided recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding financial goals and criteria for the establishment of an annual bonus plan for our executive officers. These criteria and targets were based upon our operating plan for the 2009 fiscal year, as approved by the Board. The compensation performance metrics under the bonus plan as approved by the Compensation Committee in 2009 are the attainment of certain financial targets. The bonus plan for fiscal year 2009 is further described under the heading "2009 Bonus Plan" in our 2009 Annual Report.

Benchmarking

In benchmarking compensation for the executive officers (other than the President and CEO), the President and CEO makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee based on total target compensation (base salary plus annual cash incentives) and long-term equity incentives. Under our benchmarking system, the President and CEO assigns a total target compensation range to a particular executive officer after considering various factors under the major categories of job demands, knowledge, experience, level of responsibility and the total target compensation paid by our peer group. We anticipate that a particular executive officer will be employed in the future. With respect to our executive officers, all of Mr. Kuypers' target compensation recommendations are reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee.

Starting in 2009, the President and CEO evaluated the total targeted compensation of our executive officers by comparing our information to published compensation survey data from companies in our industry or similar industries with annual revenues similar to those of ours. We believe that our current peer group consists of the following companies: RTI Biologics, Accuray, Cardiac Science Corp., NuVasive, and Exactech. This group was different than our prior peer group because we believe that the prior peer group included companies that either were

an industry that was similar enough to ours, or had revenues that were either significantly higher or lower than ours. We periodically evaluate this list to ensure that it is an accurate representation of our peers. The survey data included information on job duties, and target and actual total compensation for the median and 75th percentiles. The President and CEO and the Compensation Committee generally consider total targeted compensation for key employees to be within the market competitive range. For 2009, total targeted compensation was between the median and 75th percentile.

Elements of Compensation and How Each Element is Calculated

As indicated above, compensation elements for our executive officers are designed to attract and retain individuals with exceptional ability for these key roles in a very competitive market for such individuals. Certain elements of compensation serve other important interests. For example, annual incentive compensation is designed to motivate the executive officers to attain our vital short-term goals. Long-term incentive pay in the form of equity awards vesting over a number of years aligns the executive officer's interests with that of our shareholders in seeing long-term increases in the value of our shares. The other compensation elements for our executive officers (salary, annual incentive, long-term incentive awards, other benefits and perquisites) are described in more detail below.

For fiscal year 2009, each executive officer's compensation generally consisted of three elements: (i) base salary, (ii) cash bonus based upon our attainment of pre-established objectives, and (iii) long-term stock-based incentive awards designed to align the interests between our executive officers and our shareholders.

Base Salaries and Target Bonus Percentages

The President and CEO reviews the base salaries of the executive officers in the first quarter of each calendar year and determines whether any changes are appropriate for the then-current fiscal year. During such review, the President and CEO takes multiple factors into consideration. Base salaries for the executive officers are targeted at a competitive market median based on each respective position, with individual variations explained by differences in experience, skills and sustained performance. In recognition of the challenging economic conditions that existed throughout 2009, none of our executive officers received an increase in base salary during 2009. In February 2010, however, the Compensation Committee approved the following increases to the 2010 salaries of our Executive Officers in consideration of the fact that salary increases were not given in 2009. In comparison to the relevant salaries of comparable positions of members of our peer group. In addition, with respect to Mr. Kuyper, his 2010 target bonus percentage was reduced from 100% of his base salary to 88.2% of his base salary due, in part, to the increase in his base salary.

Name	2009 Base Salary	2010 Base Salary	Percentage Increase	2009 Target Bonus Percentage	2010 Target Bonus Percentage
Dirk Kuyper	\$ 375,000	\$ 425,000	13.3%	100%	88.2%
Peter C. Wulff	\$ 260,000	\$ 273,000	5%	50%	50%
Stephen Lubischer	\$ 245,000	\$ 249,900	2%	85%	85%
Jens Peter Timm	\$ 225,000	\$ 236,250	5%	50%	50%
Mitsuo Asai	\$ 284,775(1)	\$ 293,318(1)	3%	35%	35%

(1) For the purposes of this table, Mr. Asai's 2009 base salary was converted from Japanese Yen to U.S. Dollars using the exchange rate as of December 31, 2009.

Annual Incentive Compensation

Executive officers are eligible for incentive compensation annually under our non-shareholder-approved bonus plan. Within this plan, the Compensation Committee establishes an annual incentive compensation that is based upon target awards expressed as a percentage of each executive's base salary. Payments under the bonus plan are determined based upon our performance relative to our peer group.

2009 Bonus

In February 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the bonus plans for each of our executive officers, which we refer to collectively herein as the 2009 Bonus Plan. Under the 2009 Bonus Plan, our Named Executive Officers were eligible for cash bonuses for the 2009 fiscal year as described below.

2009 Bonus Plan for Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff and Timm

With respect to each of Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff and Timm, the target cash bonuses for fiscal year 2009 were determined according to a formula expressed as percentages of the respective executive officer's base salary, and was subject to adjustments based on the percentage to which the targeted performance criteria was achieved, which in 2009 was predicated 100% on the achievement of EBITDA targets generated in accordance with our 2009 operating plan that was approved by the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee approved all financial criteria for the awarding of such cash bonuses and the President and CEO presented the financial criteria to Messrs. Wulff and Timm for their confirmation of the achievability of such criteria. In the event the executives exceeded such target levels, they were entitled to receive cash bonuses based on higher percentages of their respective base salaries. The table below sets forth for each of these executive officers the percentage of the base salary that such executive officer was eligible to receive as a cash bonus under the 2009 Bonus Plan upon the achievement of the target levels EBITDA.

Name	2009 Base Salary	Bonus Percentage Under 100% Achievement of EBITDA Performance Criteria
Dirk Kuyper	\$ 375,000	
Peter C. Wulff	\$ 260,000	
Jens Peter Timm	\$ 225,000	

In 2009, we achieved a percentage of the EBITDA target that entitled each of Messrs. Kuyper and Timm to 80% of his respective target bonus. 33% of the bonuses were paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010, provided the executive officer is employed by us on the day that the bonus is paid.

2009 Bonus Plan with Respect to Mr. Asai

With respect to Mr. Asai, the target cash bonus for fiscal year 2009 was determined according to a formula expressed as up to 35% of his base salary of 26 million Japanese yen, and was subject to adjustments based on the percentage to which the targeted applicable performance criteria was achieved. Mr. Asai was eligible to receive a cash bonus for 2009 based on the achievement of the following goals (i) a goal based upon total consolidated sales by Alphatec Pacific (which represented 50% of such bonus amount), (ii) a goal based upon total spine sales by Alphatec Pacific (which represented 25% of such bonus amount), and (iii) a goal based upon profits before taxes of Alphatec Pacific (which represented 25% of such bonus amount). The Compensation Committee approved all financial criteria for the awarding of this cash bonus and the President and CEO presented the financial criteria to Mr. Asai for his confirmation of the achievability of such criteria. In the event the profits before taxes exceeded certain target levels, Mr. Asai was entitled to receive a cash bonus based on higher percentages of his base salary.

In 2009, Mr. Asai achieved a percentage of the spine revenue and profitability targets that entitled him to 65% of his target bonus. 33% of his bonus was paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010, provided Mr. Asai is employed by us on the day that the bonus is paid.

2009 Plan with Respect to Mr. Lubischer

With respect to Mr. Lubischer, the target cash bonus for fiscal year 2009 was determined based on our achievement of certain sales goals in the U.S. Upon 100% achievement of all of such sales

Mr. Lubischer's bonus would have equaled 85% of his base salary of \$245,000. The Compensation Committee approved all financial criteria for the awarding of this cash bonus and the President and CEO presented the financial criteria to Mr. Lubischer for his confirmation of the achievability of such criteria. In the event that U.S. sales exceeded certain target levels, Mr. Lubischer would have been entitled to receive a cash bonus that was higher than the percentage of his base salary set forth in the plan.

Two-thirds of Mr. Lubischer's bonus was payable quarterly, based on the achievement of our quarterly sales targets and one-third of Mr. Lubischer's bonus was payable following the end of the 2009 fiscal year, based on the achievement of an annual sales target.

In 2009, Mr. Lubischer achieved a percentage of the revenue target that entitled him to 67% of his target bonus. 50% of his year-end bonus (which is equal to one-third of the total bonus amount) was paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010, provided Mr. Lubischer is employed by us on the day that the bonus is paid.

With respect to all of the bonuses described above, we (or Alphatec Pacific with respect to Mr. Lubischer) had to have achieved a threshold of financial performance that was established by the Compensation Committee before any of these bonuses would become payable.

Equity Compensation

Equity compensation has traditionally been an important element of our executive compensation program in order to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. Because the value of the equity awards will increase only when we perform and increase stockholder value, the grant of such equity awards provides long-term incentives to the recipients thereof, including our executive officers. These awards not only serve to align the executives' interests with those of our stockholders over an extended period of time, but because they also generally are subject to vesting requirements in connection with continued service to us over a specified period of time, these awards serve as an additional retention mechanism. The Compensation Committee believes that both of these elements are important factors in executive compensation.

New Hire

Generally, we grant equity awards to our new employees, including our executive officers, in connection with the start of their employment. At the time of the hiring of any executive officer, equity compensation generally is negotiated between such officer and us. Generally, such negotiations are conducted by our President and CEO on our behalf. The Compensation Committee approves the negotiated equity compensation for newly hired executive officers. The size of such awards is determined based upon available information concerning the competitive packages offered to executive officers in similar jobs at companies with which we are competitive for personnel, but is not established based upon any formal survey or other comparative data. In addition, the President and CEO often adjusts such initial equity compensation grants as deemed appropriate to attract or retain specific candidates based on their experience, knowledge, skills and education and our needs. In connection with respect to the restricted stock granted to Mr. Kuyper, which vests quarterly over the course of four years, prior to November 2009, equity grants made to newly hired executive officers vest annually over either four or five years following the date of grant in equal installments on the anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the officer's continued employment with us. In November 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that all options issued after such date will vest over four years, with 25% of such option vesting on the anniversary of the grant date, and the remainder vesting in 75% vesting in 12 tranches each three months thereafter.

Annual Equity

Beginning in 2007, we have awarded our key employees, including our executive officers and other employees, stock option grants with the goal of providing continued incentives to retain strong executive officers and improve our performance.

performance. Each year, including fiscal year 2009, the President and CEO makes a recommendation to our Compensation Committee regarding whether any equity incentive grant is appropriate for any executive officer and the amount of such grant. The Compensation Committee approves such equity grant for executive officers. In reaching their decision, the President and CEO and the Compensation Committee considers each individual's experience, the scope of such individual's responsibilities, his or her performance in the applicable role, and his or her expected contribution to our goals and stockholder value. On August 4, 2009, following Compensation Committee approval, each of Messrs. Asai, Lubischer, Wulff, Timm and Kuyper received options to purchase 20,000; 20,000; 25,000; 20,000 and 50,000 shares, respectively, of our common stock as part of our annual option grant program. On November 5, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved a grant of options to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock to Mr. Lubischer as an additional bonus for Mr. Lubischer. This issuance to Mr. Lubischer was not made pursuant to our 2009 Bonus Plan, and was granted to Mr. Lubischer because he did not receive an equity grant in March 2009 (see Equity Grants Made in March 2009). The amount of the annual equity grants is evaluated so as to ensure that the grants are comparable to similarly-situated executives in comparable companies in our industry with whom we directly compete in our hiring and retention of executive officers. Prior to November 2009, merit based annual equity grants made to executive officers generally vested annually over the four years following the date of grant in equal installments on the anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the officer's continued employment with us. In November 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that all options issued after such date will vest over four years with 25% of such option vesting on the anniversary of the grant date, and the remaining 75% vesting in 12 tranches each three months thereafter.

Equity Grants Made in March 2009

On March 6, 2009, following Compensation Committee approval, Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff and Timm were granted options to purchase 50,000, 25,000 and 20,000 shares, respectively, of our common stock. These options were granted to the executives as an additional bonus amount for fiscal year 2009 based on the Compensation Committee's belief that despite the fact that we did not reach our 2009 EBITDA and revenue targets, we achieved certain other important milestones in 2008, including meeting revenue goals and product development and launch milestones. These issuances were not made pursuant to the bonus plan that was approved by the Compensation Committee for 2008. These options will vest annually over the four years following the date of grant in equal installments on the anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the officer's continued employment with us.

Termination and Change in Control Based Compensation

Our Compensation Committee agreed to severance packages for our Named Executive Officers as a result of the negotiations with each of these executive officers to secure his services. Our Compensation Committee approved the severance packages based on their experience serving on boards of directors and compensation committees of companies of a similar size and stage of development to us and our familiarity with severance packages offered to executive officers of such companies. Based on our knowledge, experience and information, we believe that the respective severance periods and the provision of medical and similar benefit programs during such severance periods are both reasonable and generally in line with severance packages negotiated with executive officers of similarly sized companies.

In addition, pursuant to our restricted stock agreements and stock option agreements with our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, in the event of a change in control as defined in our Amended and Restated 2005 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Incentive Plan, amended, the vesting of outstanding restricted stock grants and stock option awards held by our executive officers will accelerate in connection with a change in control, without regard to whether the executive officer terminates employment in connection with or following the change in control.

We maintain broad-based benefits and perquisites that are provided to all employees, including health insurance, life and disability insurance, dental insurance, an employee stock purchase plan and a 401(k) plan. We match all employee contributions to our 401(k) plan, including those of our executive officers. We also provide our President and CEO and all sales employees, including Mr. Lubinski, with a monthly automobile allowance. In particular circumstances, we also utilize cash signing bonuses when certain executives and senior level non-executives join us. Such cash signing bonuses are typically repayable in full to us if the recipient voluntarily terminates employment with us within the first anniversary of the date of hire. Whether a signing bonus is paid and the amount thereof is determined on a case-by-case basis under the specific hiring circumstances. For example, we have paid and will consider paying cash bonuses to compensate for amounts forfeited by an executive terminating prior employment. In addition, we may assist with certain expenses associated with executive joining and maintaining their employment with us. For example, in 2009, we reimbursed our Vice President, Research and Development, for certain housing costs and/or expenses related to relocation and we purchased his Connecticut residence for an appraised price provided by a third party. In addition, in 2009 we reimbursed our President of Alphatec Pacific for rental expenses related to an apartment close in proximity to our corporate office in Japan and expenses related to the purchase of workman's accident compensation insurance. We believe these forms of compensation create additional incentives for an executive to join us in a position where there is high demand. These forms of compensation are typically structured to not exceed certain maximum amounts and/or time periods.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth information concerning compensation paid or accrued during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, for services rendered to us by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers in 2009, each of whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000. We refer to these executive officers as our Named Executive Officers elsewhere in this report.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus \$(5)	Stock Awards \$(6)	Option Awards \$(6)	Non-Equity	All Other
						Incentive Plan Compensation \$(7)	Compensation (\$)
Dirk Kuyper(1) President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	389,423(8)			161,060	300,000	20,960
	2008	362,212	116,157		324,330		32,500
Peter C. Wulff(2) Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer	2009	270,000(8)		2,566,731	80,530	104,000	283,850
	2008	130,000	16,384		406,568		
Stephen Lubischer Vice President, Sales	2009	254,423(8)			95,496	139,707	15,300
	2008	258,847	156,211	49,790	50,358		20,320
Jens Peter Timm(3) Vice President, Research and Development	2007	264,424			59,471	123,750	41,660
Mitsuo Asai(4) President, Alphatec Pacific, Inc.	2009	233,654(8)			64,424	90,000	155,540
	2009	264,181			50,220	65,000	33,420
	2008	190,068	61,038		205,275		24,080

(1) Mr. Kuyper joined us as our President and CEO in June 2007.

(2) Mr. Wulff joined us as our Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer in June 2007.

(3) Mr. Timm joined us as our Vice President, Research and Development, in February 2008.

(4) Mr. Asai joined us as our President, Alphatec Pacific, Inc., in April 2008.

(5) The amounts shown represent bonus amounts outside of a plan that were paid in cash.

- (6) The amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair values of these awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Stock Compensation. The assumptions and methodologies used to calculate these amounts are discussed in Notes 2 and 9 in the Notes to Financial Statements contained in the original filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K with the SEC on March 2, 2010 (the "Form 10-K"). See also our discussion under Item 7 "Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates - Stock-Based Compensation" in the Form 10-K. The SEC's disclosure rules previously required that we present stock award and option award information for 2008 and 2007 based on the amount recognized during the corresponding year for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to these awards (which meant, in effect, that in any given year we could recognize for financial statement reporting purposes amounts with respect to grants made in that year as well as with respect to grants from past years that vested in or were still vesting in that year). However, recent changes in the SEC's disclosure rules require that we now present stock award and option award amounts in the applicable columns in the table above with respect to 2008 and 2007 on a similar basis as the 2009 presentation using the grant date fair value of awards granted during the corresponding year, regardless of the period over which the awards are scheduled to vest. Since this requirement differs from the SEC's past disclosure rules, the amounts reported in the table above for stock awards and option awards for 2008 and 2007 differ from the amounts previously reported in our Summary Compensation Table for these years. As a result, to the extent applicable, each named executive officer's total compensation amounts for 2008 and 2007 also differ from the amounts previously reported in our Summary Compensation Table for these years.
- (7) The amounts shown represent the aggregate dollar amounts earned under the Company's annual bonus plan. The amounts shown do not include any portion of the awards paid in grants of restricted stock to purchase shares of our common stock.

- (8) While base salaries were generally unchanged from 2008 to 2009, there were minor changes in actual salaries due to pay cycle difference between years. Specifically, there was one additional bi-weekly pay cycle in 2009 than in 2008 and 2007.
- (9) All other 2009 compensation for Mr. Kuyper consists of an automobile allowance of \$12,000 and matching contributions under our 401(K) plan of \$8,961.
- (10) All other 2009 compensation for Mr. Lubischer consists of an automobile allowance of \$9,000 and matching contributions under our 401(K) plan of \$6,301.
- (11) All other 2009 compensation for Mr. Timm consists of costs related to the sale of Mr. Timm's home of \$123,723, taxable relocation reimbursements of \$22,550, other relocation related costs of \$3,776 and matching contributions under our 401(K) plan of \$5,493.
- (12) All other 2009 compensation for Mr. Asai consists of rental expenses for an apartment in close proximity to our corporate office in Japan of \$32,574 and reimbursement of the premium on Mr. Asai's workman's accident compensation insurance policy of \$855.

2009 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of stock and option awards made to Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date	Threshold (\$)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		All Other Option Awards: Number of Underlying Securities	Exercise Or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) (2)	Grant of Awards of Fair Value
				Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)			
Dirk Kuyper		2/26/09	0	375,000	468,750			
	3/06/09	2/26/09				50,000	1.28	
	8/04/09	7/28/09				50,000	4.45	1
Peter C. Wulff		2/26/09	0	130,000	162,500			
	3/06/09	2/26/09				25,000	1.28	
	8/04/09	7/28/09				25,000	4.45	
Stephen Lubischer		2/26/09	0	208,250	260,313			
	8/04/09	7/28/09				20,000	4.45	
	11/05/09	11/05/09				20,000	4.93	
Jens Peter Timm		2/26/09	0	112,500	140,625			
	3/06/09	2/26/09				20,000	1.28	
	8/04/09	7/28/09				20,000	4.45	
Mitsuo Asai		2/26/09	0	99,671	124,589			
	8/04/09	7/28/09				20,000	4.45	

- (1) The amounts shown reflect the potential payouts under the 2009 Bonus Plan. Except with respect to Mr. Lubischer, the amount shown in the Threshold column reflects the minimum payout under the 2009 Bonus Plan, which could have been zero if the targets set forth in the 2009 Bonus Plan were not met. The amount shown in the Maximum column is 125% of the target bonus amount. Mr. Lubischer was entitled to receive quarterly and annual cash bonuses based on the achievement of quarterly and annual revenue goals, respectively, under his 2009 bonus plan. The amount shown in the Maximum column is 125% of Mr. Lubischer's target bonus amount. The actual amounts earned under the 2009 Bonus Plan are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.

- (2) All stock options were granted under our Amended and Restated 2005 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan, as amended (the 2005 Stock Plan) with an exercise price equal to the market value of our common stock on the date of the grant, which, in accordance with the 2005 Stock Plan is the closing price of our common stock on the date of the grant as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market.

- (3) The grant date fair value of the option awards has been computed in accordance with FASB Topic 718. For more information about the assumptions used to determine the fair value of option awards during the year, see Notes 2 and 9 in the Notes to Financial Statements contained in the Form 10-K. See also our discussion under Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis, Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates Stock-Based Compensation in the Form 10-K.

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Employment Agreements

In June 2007, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an employment agreement with Dirk Kuyper pursuant to which Mr. Kuyper agreed to serve as our President and CEO. Pursuant to the agreement, the term of Mr. Kuyper's employment expires on July 1, 2011. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Kuyper received an initial annual base salary of \$350,000, which amount has since been increased to currently \$425,000, and he is eligible to receive an incentive bonus each fiscal year in an amount equal to a percentage of his annual base salary for such year established by the Compensation Committee with the payment of such bonus based on Mr. Kuyper's achievement of performance objectives established by our Compensation Committee each fiscal year. For fiscal year 2009, Mr. Kuyper's target bonus percentage was 100% of his base salary. In connection with the commencement of Mr. Kuyper's employment, we granted Mr. Kuyper 690,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest and become non-forfeitable in 16 equal tranches. The agreement also provides for the reimbursement of up to \$1,000 per month for automobile-related expenses. Pursuant to the agreement, we agreed to reimburse Mr. Kuyper for up to \$270,000 of costs related to his relocation to Carlsbad, California.

In November 2006, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an employment agreement with Stephen Lubischer, pursuant to which Mr. Lubischer agreed to serve as our Vice President, Sales. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Lubischer received an initial annual base salary of \$275,000, which amount has since been modified and is currently \$249,900, and he is eligible to receive incentive bonuses based on his achievement of quarterly and annual performance objectives established by our Compensation Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year. For fiscal year 2009, Mr. Lubischer's target bonus percentage was 85% of his base salary. In connection with the commencement of his employment, we granted Mr. Lubischer options to purchase up to 7,160 shares of our common stock. The options vest annually in five equal tranches beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.

In January 2008, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an employment agreement with Mitsuru Asai pursuant to which Mr. Asai agreed to serve as the President of Alphatec Spine's subsidiary, Alphatec Spine Pacific. The agreement has a term of three years. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Asai received an initial annual base salary of 26,000,000 Japanese yen, which amount has since been increased to currently 26,780,000 Japanese yen, and he is eligible to receive an incentive bonus each fiscal year in an amount equal to a percentage of his annual base salary for such year established by the Compensation Committee, with the payment of such bonus based on Mr. Asai's achievement of performance objectives established by our Compensation Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year. For fiscal year 2009, Mr. Asai's target bonus percentage was 35% of his base salary. In connection with the commencement of his employment, we granted Mr. Asai options to purchase up to 75,000 shares of our common stock. The options vest annually in four equal tranches beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Pursuant to the agreement we pay up to 4,000,000 yen per month to provide Mr. Asai with a furnished corporate apartment in Tokyo, Japan. Mr. Asai also receives a monthly travel allowance of 70,000 yen, provided that such amounts are used for travel between his home in Tokyo, Japan and Mr. Asai's home in Osaka, Japan. We also reimburse Mr. Asai for a portion of the premium associated with Mr. Asai's purchase of a workmen's accident compensation insurance policy. For purposes of the Summary Compensation Table, the average monthly exchange rate for conversion from Japanese Yen to U.S. Dollars was used to calculate the salary and all other compensation amounts. Mr. Asai's bonus amount was calculated in U.S. Dollars.

In June 2008, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an employment agreement with Peter C. [redacted] pursuant to which Mr. Wulff agreed to serve as our Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Wulff received an initial annual base salary of \$273,000, which amount has since been increased and is currently \$273,000, and he is eligible to receive an incentive bonus each fiscal year in an amount equal to a percentage of his annual base salary for such year, with the payment of such bonus based on Mr. Wulff's achievement of annual performance objectives established by our Compensation Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year. For fiscal year 2009, Mr. Wulff's target bonus percentage was 50% of his base salary. In connection with the commencement of his employment, we granted Mr. Wulff options to purchase up to 150,000 shares of our common stock. The options vest in 16 equal tranches over a period of 16 months.

In February 2008, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an employment agreement with Jerome Timm, pursuant to which Mr. Timm agreed to serve as our Vice President, Research and Development. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Timm received an initial annual base salary of \$225,000, which amount has since been increased and is currently \$236,250, and he is eligible to receive an incentive bonus each fiscal year in an amount equal to a percentage of his annual base salary for such year, with the payment of such bonus based on Mr. Timm's achievement of performance objectives established by our Compensation Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year. For fiscal year 2009, Mr. Timm's target bonus percentage was 50% of his base salary. In connection with the commencement of his employment, we granted Mr. Timm options to purchase up to 75,000 shares of our common stock. The options vest annually in four equal tranches beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Pursuant to the agreement, we agreed to reimburse Mr. Timm for certain costs related to his relocation to Carlsbad, CA. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement, in 2009 we purchased the Connecticut residence of Mr. Timm for an amount equal to the appraised price provided by a third party.

Equity

All option awards granted to our Named Executive Officers in 2009 were granted pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant and vest annually from the grant date in either four or five equal installments of 25% of the total award, respectively. In November 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that all options issued in 2009 on or after such date will vest over four years, with 25% of such option vesting on the anniversary of the grant date, and the remaining 75% vesting in 12 tranches every three months thereafter.

Pursuant to our restricted stock agreements and stock option agreements with our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, in the event of a change in control, as defined in the 2005 Stock Plan and described below, the vesting of outstanding restricted stock grants and stock option awards held by our executive officers will accelerate in connection with a change in control, regardless of whether the executive officer terminates employment in connection with or following a change in control.

Generally, a change in control shall occur on the date that: (i) any one person, entity or group acquires ownership of capital stock of us, together with our capital stock already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of our capital stock; provided, however, if any one person, entity or group is considered to own more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of our capital stock, the acquisition of additional capital stock by the same person, entity or group shall not be deemed to be a change of control; (ii) a majority of members of the Board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board prior to the date of the appointment or election; or (iii) any one person, entity or group acquires (or has acquired) assets from us that have a total gross fair market value at least equal to 80% of the total gross fair market value of all of the assets of us immediately prior to such acquisition or acquisition.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, except with respect to Messrs. Lubischer and Asai, under the 2009 Bonus Plan, cash bonuses were to be paid to our executive officers, including Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff, and Timm, based upon our achievement of certain EBITDA targets in fiscal year 2009. 100% of each executive officer's target bonus amount was predicated upon our EBITDA goals. The EBITDA goals were generated in accordance with the 2009 operating plan that was approved by the Board of Directors. Mr. Lubischer's bonus targets were based upon the achievement of certain revenue targets. Mr. Asai's bonus targets were based upon the achievement of certain spine and profitability goals for our subsidiary, Alphatec Pacific. The Compensation Committee applied all financial criteria for the awarding of such cash bonuses and the President and CEO presented financial criteria to the executive officers for their confirmation of the achievability of such objectives.

In 2009, we achieved a percentage of the EBITDA targets that entitled each of Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff, and Timm, to 80% of their respective target bonuses, 33% of which was paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder of which is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010. In 2009, Mr. Lubischer achieved a percentage of the revenue target that entitled him to 67% of his target bonus. Two-thirds of Mr. Lubischer's bonus was payable quarterly in 2009 and one-third was payable in 2010. Of the amount payable in 2010, 50% was paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010. In 2009, Mr. Asai achieved a percentage of the revenue and profitability targets that entitled him to 65% of his target bonus, 33% of which was paid in the first quarter of 2010, and the remainder of which is expected to be paid prior to the end of July 2010. In all instances, the executive officer must be employed by us on the day that the remainder portion of the bonus is paid to be entitled to receive such payment.

In 2009, base salary and bonus payments for each of Messrs. Kuyper, Wulff, Lubischer, Asai, and Timm represented 79.1%, 82.3%, 78.1%, 79.7% and 59.5% of their total compensation, respectively.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2009 Fiscal Year

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of stock options and unvested stock awards that were outstanding and held by our Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2009.

Name	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Option Awards(1) Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards(2)	
						Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Vested
Dirk							
Kuyper	07/02/07					301,875	1,000,000
	06/19/08	56,250	93,750	4.37	06/19/18		
	03/06/09		50,000	1.28	03/06/19		
	08/04/09		50,000	4.45	08/04/19		
Peter C.							
Wulff	06/16/08	56,250	93,750	4.63	06/16/18		
	07/30/08	6,250	18,750	4.79	07/30/18		
	03/06/09		25,000	1.28	03/06/19		
	08/04/09		25,000	4.45	08/04/19		
Stephen							
Lubischer	08/12/05				08/12/15	7,256	
	09/26/05				09/26/15	1,448	
	05/22/08				05/22/18	7,500	
	01/10/07	2,864	4,296	3.53	01/10/17		
	08/22/07	10,000	10,000	3.93	08/22/17		
	07/30/08	5,000	15,000	4.79	07/30/18		
	08/04/09		20,000	4.45	08/04/19		
	11/05/09		20,000	4.93	11/05/19		
Jens Peter							
Timm	03/12/08	18,750	56,250	5.05	03/12/18		
	07/30/08	2,500	7,500	4.79	07/30/18		
	03/06/09		20,000	1.28	03/06/19		
	08/04/09		20,000	4.45	08/04/19		
Mitsuo							
Asai	04/01/08	17,500	52,500	5.20	04/01/18		
	07/30/08	2,500	7,500	4.79	07/30/18		
	08/04/09		20,000	4.45	08/04/19		

(1) All option awards granted prior to July 2007 vest annually from the grant date in five equal installments of 20%. All option awards granted from July 2007 forward, vest annually from the grant date in four equal installments of 25%. All option grants have a term of ten years.

(2) All restricted share awards granted prior to July 2007 vest annually from the grant date in five equal installments of 20%. All restricted share awards granted from July 2007 forward vest annually from the grant date in four equal installments of 25% with the exception of Mr. Kuyper's restricted share award, which vests quarterly from the grant date over four years. All unvested restricted share awards are subject to repurchase rights within 12 months of termination, and certain instances vested restricted share awards are subject to repurchase within 12 months of termination.

(3) Amount based on December 31, 2009 closing price of \$5.34 per share of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market.

2009 Option Exercises and Stock

The following table sets forth information regarding shares of common stock acquired upon vesting by our Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. There were no exercises of options to purchase our common stock by our Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Dirk Kuyper	172,500	5,175,000
Peter C. Wulff		
Stephen Lubischer	11,068	332,040
Jens Peter Timm		
Mitsuo Asai		

- (1) The value realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares that vested on the applicable vesting date by the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market on the applicable vesting date.

Pension Benefits

We do not have any qualified or non-qualified defined benefit pension plans.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

We do not have any non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control

Termination of Employment and Change in Control Arrangements

The employment agreements with our Named Executive Officers provide certain benefits upon termination of employment without cause. Such benefits are described in detail below.

In the event that Mr. Kuyper is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive as severance compensation his base salary for a period of 12 months, a payment for any accrued but unused vacation days, and payment of, or reimbursement for, the continuation of his health and dental insurance coverage pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act, or COBRA, for a 12-month period following such termination date, and a "gross up" related to any taxes incurred in connection with such COBRA payments. In the event that Mr. Kuyper's employment is terminated due to either his death or disability, we are required to pay Mr. Kuyper (or his estate, as the case may be) an amount equal to Mr. Kuyper's target bonus for the fiscal year in which such termination occurs (with such amount pro-rated based on the date of termination). In addition, in the event of termination due to death or disability, any unvested stock options and restricted stock awards held by Mr. Kuyper shall become fully vested and not subject to forfeiture or repurchase.

In the event that Mr. Lubischer is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive as severance compensation his base salary for a period of at least three, but not more than 12 months, with the period being determined by us based on our desired length of the period of noncompetition, a payment for any accrued but unused vacation days, and payment of, or reimbursement for, the continuation of his health and dental insurance coverage pursuant to COBRA for the period in which he is receiving severance, and a "gross up" related to any taxes incurred in connection with such COBRA payments. During this time period, Mr. Lubischer shall be bound by certain obligations to not compete with us.

In the event that Mr. Asai is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive as severance compensation his base salary for a period of 12 months and a payment for any accrued but unused vacation days. In the event of Mr. Asai's death, 50% of his then current base salary would be payable to his estate.

In the event that Mr. Wulff is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive as severance compensation his base salary for a period of nine months and a payment for any accrued but unused vacation days, and payment of, or reimbursement for, the continuation of his health and dental insurance coverage pursuant to COBRA for the period in which he is receiving severance, and reimbursement up to the maximum amount related to any taxes incurred in connection with such COBRA payments.

In the event that Mr. Timm is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive as severance compensation his base salary for a period of six months and a payment for any accrued but unused vacation days, and payment of, or reimbursement for, the continuation of his health and dental insurance coverage pursuant to COBRA for the period in which he is receiving severance, and reimbursement up to the maximum amount related to any taxes incurred in connection with such COBRA payments.

Pursuant to our restricted stock agreements and stock option agreements with our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, in the event of a change in control, as defined in the Restricted Stock Plan and described below, the vesting of outstanding restricted stock grants and stock options and awards held by our executive officers will accelerate in connection with a change in control, regardless of whether the executive officer terminates employment in connection with or following a change in control.

Generally, a change in control shall occur on the date that: (i) any one person, entity or group acquires ownership of capital stock of us, together with our capital stock already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of our capital stock; provided, however, if any one person, entity or group is considered to own more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of our capital stock, the acquisition of additional capital stock by the same person, entity or group shall not be deemed to be a change of control; (ii) a majority of members of the Board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board prior to the date of the appointment or election; or (iii) any one person, entity or group acquires (or has acquired) assets from us that have a total gross fair market value at least equal to 80% of the total gross fair market value of all of the assets of us immediately prior to such acquisition or acquisition.

Potential Post-Employment Payments

The table below reflects amounts payable by us to the Named Executive Officers (i) assuming employment was terminated on December 31, 2009 and (ii) assuming a change in control occurred on December 31, 2009.

Name	Voluntary Termination by Executive(1) (\$)	For Cause Termination(1) (\$)	Involuntary Disability or Death(2) (\$)	Termination by the Company Without Cause Prior to a Change in Control (\$)	Change in Control(3)
Dirk Kuyper	45,155	45,155	2,325,420	408,682(4)	1,900,000
Peter C. Wulff	17,318	17,318		220,262(5)	2,000,000
Stephen Lubischer	33,271	33,271		278,682(6)	1,000,000
Jens Peter Timm	18,849	18,849		128,052(7)	1,000,000
Mitsuo Asai	16,992	16,992	142,388	284,775(8)	1,000,000

- (1) The only post-employment payments due to Named Executive Officers who voluntarily terminate their employment or are terminated for cause would be accrued earnings and accrued but unused vacation through the termination date. Accrued vacation through termination, whether in connection with a voluntary termination or termination for cause, must be paid in accordance with California law.

- (2) If Mr. Kuyper had been terminated due to death or disability, his annual pro-rated target bonus of \$375,000 would have been payable to his estate, all unvested stock awards would have immediately fully vested and we would have waived our rights to repurchase any unvested stock awards, which totaled \$1,950,420 based on the closing stock price of \$5.34 as of December 31, 2009. If Mr. Asai had been terminated due to death, 50% of his then current salary would be payable to his estate.
- (3) Represents the intrinsic value of the unvested stock option and restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2009 that would have been accelerated had a change in control occurred on that date, calculated by multiplying the number of underlying unvested shares by the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2009 (\$5.34 per share) and, in the case of stock options, then subtracting the applicable option exercise price. As of December 31, 2009, Mr. Kuyper had 301,875 unvested restricted stock awards and Mr. Lubischer had 16,204 unvested restricted stock awards. As of December 31, 2009, Mr. Kuyper had 193,750 unvested stock options, Mr. Wulff had 162,500 unvested stock options, Mr. Lubischer had 69,296 unvested stock options, Mr. Timm had 103,750 unvested stock options and Mr. Asai had 80,000 unvested stock options.
- (4) Mr. Kuyper's post-employment compensation would consist of (a) a maximum of 12 months salary totaling \$375,000 payable monthly, (b) healthcare related benefits of \$16,039 and (c) tax gross ups on healthcare related benefits of \$17,643.
- (5) Mr. Wulff's post-employment compensation would consist of (a) nine months salary totaling \$195,000, (b) healthcare related benefits of \$12,029 and (c) tax gross ups on healthcare related benefits of \$13,233.
- (6) Mr. Lubischer's post-employment compensation would consist of (a) 12 months salary totaling \$245,000, (b) healthcare related benefits of \$16,039 and (c) tax gross ups on healthcare related benefits of \$17,643.
- (7) Mr. Timm's post-employment compensation would consist of (a) six months salary totaling \$112,500, (b) healthcare related benefits of \$7,406 and (c) tax gross ups on healthcare related benefits of \$8,146.
- (8) Mr. Asai's post-employment compensation would consist of 12 months salary totaling 26,000,000 Japanese Yen, or \$284,775. For the purposes of this table, the exchange rate from Japanese Yen to U.S. Dollars as of December 31, 2009 was used for the conversion.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal year 2009 the members of the Compensation Committee have been, and currently are, Mr. O'Neil and Mr. Molson. No member of the Compensation Committee was at anytime during fiscal year 2009 an officer or employee of Alphatec (or any of its subsidiaries), or was formerly an officer or employee of Alphatec (or any of its subsidiaries). During fiscal year 2009, no executive officer of Alphatec (or any of its subsidiaries) was, or was formerly, acting in any of the following capacities: (i) a member of the compensation committee (or other committee of the board of directors) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Compensation Committee of Alphatec; (ii) a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Compensation Committee of Alphatec; or (iii) a member of the compensation committee (or other committee of the board of directors performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of any such committee, the entire board of directors) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Compensation Committee of Alphatec.

Director Compensation

The following table shows the total compensation paid or accrued during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 to each of our directors other than Mr. Kuyper, whose compensation is included in the Summary Compensation Table and discussed above. There were no stock awards granted to our directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Mortimer Berkowitz III(1)				
John H. Foster(1)				
Rohit M. Desai(3)	16,000	18,833		34,833
James R. Glynn(3)	69,000(4)	18,833		87,833
Stephen H. Hochschuler, M.D.(3)			240,000(5)	240,000
Siri S. Marshall(3)	60,000(4)	18,833		78,833
R. Ian Molson(3)	46,000	18,833		64,833
Stephen E. O Neil(3)	56,000	18,833		74,833
Richard Ravitch(3)(6)	5,000	18,833		23,833

- (1) Mr. Foster and Mr. Berkowitz were not paid any compensation for their service as a director during 2009 nor did they have any stock awards or options outstanding as of December 31, 2009.
- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of the stock options to purchase 7,500 shares of common stock awarded on August 4, 2009 to certain of our directors, which were the only stock options granted to our directors in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. The assumptions and methodology used to calculate these amounts are discussed in Notes 2 and 9 in the Notes to Financial Statements contained in the Form 10-K. See also our discussion under Item 7 Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates Stock-Based Compensation in the Form 10-K.
- (3) As of December 31, 2009, the following directors named in the table above had outstanding stock awards for the following number of shares: Dr. Hochschuler, 14,437 shares; Mr. Molson, 10,827 shares; and Mr. O Neil, 10,827 shares. As of December 31, 2009, the following directors named in the table above had outstanding stock options to purchase the following number of shares: Mr. Desai, 30,000 shares; Mr. Glynn, 30,000 shares; Dr. Hochschuler, 200,000 shares; Ms. Marshall, 22,500 shares; Mr. Molson, 22,500 shares; Mr. O Neil, 22,500 shares; and Mr. Ravitch, 30,000 shares.
- (4) Includes \$30,000 for Mr. Glynn and \$40,000 for Ms. Marshall for service on the Special Committee for the Scientific acquisition.
- (5) All other compensation consists of consulting fees paid to Dr. Hochschuler (See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence).
- (6) Mr. Ravitch resigned from our Board of Directors effective September 24, 2009. As of April 25, 2007, the Board approved the following compensation program for our independent directors in which (i) upon election to the Board, each independent director (excluding Mr.

Edgar Filing: Polymer Holdings LLC - Form 424B4

Molson and O Neil) shall be granted nonqualified options to purchase 15,000 shares of our stock; (ii) on the first business day following the annual meeting each year, each independent director that has served on the Board for at least six months prior to such date shall be granted nonqualified options to purchase 7,500 shares of our common stock; (iii) upon election to the Board, each independent director (excluding Messrs. Molson and O Neil) shall receive a cash payment of \$2,000 per meeting for attendance in person at Board meetings (and committee meetings); (iv) each independent director (including Messrs. Molson and O Neil) shall receive a cash payment of \$2,000 per meeting for attendance in person at Board meetings (and committee meetings); (v) each independent director (including Messrs. Molson and O Neil) shall receive a cash payment of \$2,000 per meeting for attendance at telephonic Board meetings (and committee meetings); and (vi) each independent director (including Messrs. Molson and O Neil) shall receive an annual \$10,000 retainer fee.

payment for serving as Chairman of a Board committee (provided that such person was in attendance as Chairman for at least two-thirds of the meetings of such committee). The nonqualified option vests over a three-year period, and become vested immediately upon a change in control or a sale of substantially all of our assets. Each of our directors is reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with attendance at the meetings of our Board and committees of the Board.

On August 4, 2009, Messrs. Glynn, Desai, Molson, O'Neil and Ms. Marshall each received a nonqualified stock option grant of 7,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.45 per share as consideration for their service on our Board pursuant to the above compensation program. The options vest over a three-year period, and become vested immediately upon a change in control or a sale of substantially all of our assets and expire ten years from the grant date.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides certain aggregate information with respect to all of our equity compensation plans in effect as of December 31, 2009.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	3,242,908(2)	\$ 3.76	1,486,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by Security holders			
Total	3,242,908(2)	\$ 3.76	1,486,000

(1) This plan consists of our Amended and Restated 2005 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Option Plan.

(2) Excludes 520,254 shares of restricted stock awards issued and unvested as of December 31, 2009.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Statement on Schedule 14C.

MEMBERS OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Stephen E. O'Neil (Chairman)

R. Ian L...

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

The Audit Committee of the Board, which consists entirely of directors who meet the independence and experience requirements of the rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and The NASDAQ Stock Market has furnished the following report:

The Audit Committee assists the Board in overseeing and monitoring the integrity of our financial reporting process, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the quality of internal and external audit processes. This committee's role and responsibilities are set forth in its charter approved by the Board, which is available on our website at www.alphatecspine.com. This committee meets quarterly and reassesses its charter annually and recommends any changes to the Board for approval. The

Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing our overall financial reporting process, and the appointment, compensation, retention, and oversight of the work of Ernst & Young LLP. In fulfilling its responsibilities for the financial statements for fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, the Audit Committee took the following actions:

Reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 with management and Ernst & Young LLP, our independent auditor.

Discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, relating to the conduct of the audit; and

Received written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP regarding its independence as required by PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3256, "Communications with Audit Committee Concerning Independence." The Audit Committee further discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence. The Audit Committee also considered the impact of pending litigation, taxation matters and other areas of oversight relating to the financial reporting and audit process that the committee determined appropriate.

Based on the Audit Committee's review of the audited financial statements and discussions with management and Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

James R. Glynn (Chairman)

R. Ian L...

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Our records reflect that all reports which were required to be filed pursuant to Section 16(a) Exchange Act were filed on a timely basis, except that one initial report of ownership was filed HGP II, LLC and 15 reports, in the aggregate, of a change in beneficial ownership were filed each of the following: Messrs. Kuyper (four reports regarding four transactions), Lubisch reports regarding two transactions), Stott (two reports regarding two transactions), Garner (two reports regarding two transactions), Timm (two reports regarding two transactions), Wulff (one report regarding one transaction), Molson (one report regarding one transaction) and Hochschuler (one report regarding one transaction).

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Agreements with our Officers, Directors and Principal Shareholders

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we incurred costs of \$0.2 million related to reimbursement of travel expenses to Foster Management Company and HealthpointCapital, LLC., including reimbursement of Foster Management Company's airplane. Foster Management Company is owned by John H. Foster, a member of our Board. Based upon a competitive analysis of comparable leased aircraft, our Board determined that the hourly reimbursement rate is at or below market for the charter of similar aircraft.

In 2005, we and Alphatec Spine entered into an agreement with Dr. Stephen H. Hochschuler. Dr. Hochschuler became one of our directors in October 2006, pursuant to which Dr. Hochschuler agreed to serve as the Chairman of our Scientific Advisory Board. Pursuant to the agreement we pay Dr. Hochschuler for attending Scientific Advisory Board meetings and he received equity compensation in connection with the agreement. In October 2006, we and Alphatec Spine entered into a Consulting Agreement with Dr. Hochschuler. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we agreed to appoint Dr. Hochschuler to our Board and Alphatec Spine's Board of Directors until the next annual meeting of each of its stockholders until his successor is duly appointed and qualified. Pursuant to the agreement, Dr. Hochschuler is required to provide advisory services to us related to the spinal implant industry and our research and development strategies. The agreement had an initial term of three years, and in October 2009, the agreement automatically renewed for an additional year. The agreement will continue to automatically renew each year unless it is terminated prior to its automatic renewal date in October. In return for the advisory services, we paid Dr. Hochschuler cash and equity compensation. In 2009, we paid Dr. Hochschuler an aggregate of \$0.2 million to Dr. Hochschuler pursuant to these agreements.

In 2009, we incurred costs of \$0.2 million for legal services paid on behalf of HealthpointCapital, LLC. in connection with a litigation matter in which both we and HealthpointCapital, LLC. were named as defendants.

In June 2009, we entered into a subscription agreement with HealthpointCapital Partners I, L.P. pursuant to which we sold 3,937,007 shares of our common stock at a price of \$2.54 per share in a private placement to HealthpointCapital Partners II, L.P. for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$10.0 million.

In November 2009, the Company purchased the Connecticut residence owned by Jens Peter Petersen, our Vice President, R&D. The purchase was transacted at a third-party appraised price of \$0.4 million. The Company subsequently engaged a real-estate firm to manage and sell the property. The Company incurred expenses related to the sale of the property of \$0.1 million.

Acquisition of Scientia and Related Agreements

On March 26, 2009, we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Scientia S.A., or Scientia, a medical device company based in France that designs, develops and manufactures surgical instruments to treat disorders of the spine. The transaction, which we refer to as the Share Purchase, was structured as an all stock transaction such that 100% of outstanding Scientia stock was exchanged pursuant to a fixed ratio for 24,000,000 shares of our common stock. The consideration paid for the 100% acquisition of Scientia was \$100 million.

outstanding Scient x stock was 23,730,644, which reflected a reduction in the 24,000,000 shares of common stock calculated at the closing in exchange for our pay

certain fees and expenses incurred by HealthpointCapital Partners, L.P. and Healthpoint Partners II, L.P., which we otherwise refer to collectively as HealthpointCapital, in connection with the Share Purchase. Alphatec shareholders who owned shares of our capital stock prior to the Share Purchase own approximately 69% of the combined company and approximately 31% is owned by former Scient x shareholders.

Prior to the Share Purchase, HealthpointCapital and their affiliates in the aggregate held approximately 39.5% of the shares of our common stock and approximately 94.8% of the shares of Scient x as of February 1, 2010. Accordingly, they received shares of our common stock in connection with the Share Purchase proportional to their ownership interests in Scient x. Five of our directors, Messrs. Berkowitz III, John H. Foster, R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O'Neil and Stephen H. Hochschule, are beneficial owners of or affiliated with HealthpointCapital, LLC, which is the ultimate parent of HealthpointCapital, and Messrs. Berkowitz, Foster and Molson are also directors of either Scient x or any affiliate of Scient x. Following the Share Purchase, HealthpointCapital, collectively with its affiliates, own approximately 38.2% of our common stock based on shares outstanding as of June 15, 2010.

In connection with the Share Purchase, we entered into a corporate governance agreement with HealthpointCapital, pursuant to which HealthpointCapital has agreed generally not to, directly or indirectly, and subject to certain exceptions, effect, seek, offer or propose to effect or participate in any arrangement or scheme to acquire any of our securities, to join any group regarding any transaction to acquire our securities, or to make any public announcement with respect to, or submit any unsolicited proposal for or offer of (with or without condition), any extraordinary transaction involving us or our securities or assets. However, HealthpointCapital may make a proposal to an independent committee of our board of directors with respect to certain of these transactions, provided that as any such proposal is not publicly disclosed. HealthpointCapital has further agreed that if it becomes aware that it beneficially owns more than a permitted number of our shares set forth in the agreement, then it shall promptly take all action necessary to reduce the number of beneficially owned shares in the aggregate to a permitted number of shares.

HealthpointCapital has also agreed that it will not transfer or permit any of its affiliates or assignees to transfer any of its shares, except for transfers where no transferee would beneficially own more than the number of shares beneficially owned by HealthpointCapital as of the date of the agreement. Transfers to its controlled affiliates, provided that such affiliate becomes a signatory to the agreement, transfers pursuant to a tender or exchange offer, merger or other business combination approved by the board of directors, transfers approved by an independent committee of the board of directors, transfers to its limited or general partners, if, as a result, no transferee would beneficially own more than the number of shares held by HealthpointCapital as of the date of the corporate governance agreement.

In connection with the closing of the Share Purchase, we entered into a registration rights agreement with HealthpointCapital and the other Scient x shareholders, which we refer to collectively as the Registration Rights Holders, pursuant to which the Registration Rights Holders have registered their rights with respect to the shares issued in the Share Purchase and any other of our shares held by the Registration Rights Holders that constitute restricted securities under Rule 144 of the Securities Act, which we refer to as the Registrable Securities.

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, the Registration Rights Holders have demanded piggy-back registration rights with respect to the Registrable Shares. At any time after June 24, 2010, HealthpointCapital may demand that we register all or a portion of the Registrable Shares held by the Registration Rights Holders under the Securities Act, so long as the market value of such securities on the date of such request is at least \$10 million or represent 3% of the total outstanding shares of our common stock. We will register the Registrable Shares as requested, unless disinterested members of our board of directors determine that such registration would materially interfere with any pending or contemplated acquisition, dividend payment, financing, registered primary offering or other transaction, or would be materially detrimental to our business and our stockholders, in which case we will have the right to defer such registration for a period of up to 60 days.

In addition, if at any time we register any shares of our capital stock, other than in connection with (i) a registration pursuant to an exercise of demand rights described above, (ii) a registration relating solely to a business combination or merger involving us, (iii) a registration relating solely to employee benefit plans, (iv) a registration relating to our reorganization or other transaction exempt from Rule 145 of the Securities Act, or (v) any registration on any form that does not include substantially the same information as would be required to be included in a registration covering Registrable Securities, the Registration Rights Holders are entitled to notice of the registration and to include all or a portion of their Registrable Shares in the registration.

A holder's right to demand or include Registrable Shares in a registration is subject to the right of the underwriters to limit the number of shares included in the offering.

Subject to certain exceptions and provided our officers and directors enter into similar agreements in connection with a piggy-back registration, the Registration Rights Holders have agreed that they will not effect any public sale or distribution of our common stock, enter into a transaction which has the same effect, or enter into any swap, hedge, or other arrangement that transfers, in whole or in part, any economic consequences of ownership of such securities, or publicly disclose the intention to make any such offer, sale, pledge or disposition, or to enter into any such transaction, swap, hedge, or other arrangement, during the 10 days prior to and the 90 days after the effective time of any underwritten piggy-back registration in which any of such Registration Rights Holders' Registrable Shares are included.

The registration rights agreement contains customary provisions allocating rights and responsibilities and obligating us and the Registration Rights Holders to indemnify each other against liabilities arising from any registration of securities.

Related Party Transaction Policy

Our officers, directors and affiliates are required to obtain Audit Committee approval for any proposed related party transactions. In addition, our code of conduct requires that each director, officer and employee must do everything he or she reasonably can to avoid conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest. The code of conduct states that a conflict of interest exists when an individual's private interest interferes in any way with our interests and sets forth a list of broad categories of types of transactions that must be reported to our compliance officer. Under the code of conduct, we reserve the right to determine when an actual or potential conflict of interest exists and then to take any action we deem appropriate to prevent the conflict of interest from occurring.

Director Independence

Our Board has determined that the following members of the Board qualify as independent directors under the current independence standards promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and The NASDAQ Stock Market: R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O'Neil, James R. Glynn, Rohit Mittal and Siri S. M

PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED UPON BY STOCKHOLDERS

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(Notice Item 1)

On April 22, 2010, the Board of Directors nominated Mortimer Berkowitz III, John H. Foster, R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O Neil, Stephen H. Hochschuler, M.D., James R. Glynn, Rohit M. Desai, Dirk Kuyper and Siri S. Marshall for election at the Annual Meeting. If they are elected, they will serve on our Board of Directors for a term of one year until the next annual meeting of stockholders and their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death or resignation.

Unless authority to vote for any of these nominees is withheld, the shares represented by the enclosed proxy will be voted **FOR** the election as directors of Mortimer Berkowitz III, John H. Foster, R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O Neil, Stephen H. Hochschuler, M.D., James R. Glynn, Rohit M. Desai, Dirk Kuyper and Siri S. Marshall. In the event that either nominee becomes unable or unwilling to serve, the shares represented by the enclosed proxy will be voted for the election of such other person as the Board of Directors may recommend in his/her place. We have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to serve as a director.

A plurality of the shares voted affirmatively or negatively at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of each nominee as a director.

The board of directors recommends the election of Mortimer Berkowitz III, John H. Foster, R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O Neil, Stephen H. Hochschuler, M.D., James R. Glynn, Rohit M. Desai, Dirk Kuyper and Siri S. Marshall as directors, and proxies solicited by the board of directors will be voted in favor thereof unless a stockholder has indicated otherwise.

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

(Notice Item 2)

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP, independent public accountants, to audit our financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. The Board of Directors proposes that the stockholders ratify this appointment. Ernst & Young LLP audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. We expect that representatives of Ernst & Young LLP, who will be present at the Annual Meeting, will be able to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young, LLP during the audit of our annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and fees billed for other services rendered by Ernst & Young, LLP during those periods.

	Fiscal Year 2009	Fiscal Year 2008
Audit fees(1)	\$ 894,532	\$ 917,000
Audit-related fees(2)	109,000	109,000
Tax fees(3)	38,117	38,117
Total	\$ 1,041,649	\$ 1,064,117

(1) Audit Fees represent professional services provided in connection with the audit of our financial statements, review of our quarterly financial statements, and audit services in connection with other regulatory filings. The 2009 fees include \$17,600 in accounting consultations billed as non-audit services.

(2) Audit-related Fees consist of fees for services provided in the indicated year for assurance and non-audit related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements, but not listed as Audit Fees. The total 2009 audit related fees were for due diligence, accounting consultations and other services provided in connection with our acquisition of Scient x.

(3) Tax Fees represent professional services provided in connection with Section 382 tax compliance and \$13,917 of pre-acquisition tax structuring activities in connection with our acquisition of Scient x.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Accountants

Consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission's policies regarding auditor independence, the Audit Committee has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation and overseeing the work of our independent public accountant. In recognition of this responsibility, the Audit Committee has established a policy to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent public accountant.

Prior to engagement of our independent public accountant for the next year's audit, management will submit an aggregate of services expected to be rendered during that year for each of four categories of services to the Audit Committee for approval.

Edgar Filing: Polymer Holdings LLC - Form 424B4

1. **Audit** services include audit work performed in the review of financial statements, as well as other services that generally only an independent public accountant can reasonably be expected to provide, including, but not limited to, comfort letters, statutory audits, and attest services and consultation regarding financial accounting and/or reporting standards.

2. **Audit-Related** services are for assurance and related services that are traditionally performed by an independent public accountant, including due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, employee benefit plan audits, and special procedures required to meet certain regulatory requirements.

3. **Tax** services include all services performed by an independent public accountant's tax practice, except those services specifically related to the audit of the financial statements, and includes the areas of tax compliance, tax planning, and tax

4. **Other Fees** are those associated with services not captured in the other categories. We generally do not request such services from our independent public accountant.

Prior to engagement, the Audit Committee pre-approves these services by category of service and the fees are budgeted and the Audit Committee requires our independent public accountant's management to report actual fees versus the budget periodically throughout the year by category of service. During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage an independent public accountant for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval. In those instances, the Audit Committee requires specific pre-approval before engaging our independent public accountant. The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

In the event the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent public accountants, the Audit Committee will reconsider its appointment.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast affirmatively or negatively for this proposal at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the appointment of the independent public accountant.

The board of directors recommends a vote to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent public accountants, and proxies solicited by the board of directors will be voted in favor of such ratification unless a stockholder indicates otherwise on the proxy card.

CODE OF CONDUCT AND ETHICS

We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our employees, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The text of the code of conduct is posted on our website at www.alphatecspine.com and is available to stockholders without charge, upon request, in writing to our General Counsel and Secretary, Eburn S. Garner, Esq., c/o Alphatec Holdings, Inc., at 5818 El Camino Real, Carlsbad, CA 92008. Disclosure regarding any amendments to, or waiver of, any provisions of the code of conduct that apply to our directors, principal executive and financial officers will be included in a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver, unless website posting of such amendments or waivers is then permitted under the rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors knows of no other business that will be presented to the Annual Meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, proxies in the enclosed proxy statement may be voted in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting the proxy.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS FOR DIRECTORS

To be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting, stockholders, stockholder proposals must be received no later than February 28, 2011, which is 60 days prior to the date that is one year from this year's mailing date of June 28, 2010. To be considered for presentation at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2011, stockholder proposals must be received no later than May 14, 2011 or earlier than April 14, 2011. Proposals received after that date will not be voted on at the annual meeting. If a proposal is timely received, the proxy solicitor management solicits for the meeting may still exercise discretionary voting authority on the proposal under circumstances consistent with the proxy rules of the SEC. All stockholder proposals should be clearly marked for the attention of Secretary, Alphatec Holdings, Inc., 5818 El Camino Real, Carlsbad, CA 92008.

Carlsbad, CA

June 28, 2010

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, as amended (other than exhibits thereto) filed with the SEC, which provides additional information about our business, is available on the Internet at www.alphatecspine.com and is available in paper form to beneficial owners of our common stock without charge upon written request to Peter C. Wulff, General Counsel and Secretary, Financial Officer, Vice President and Treasurer, Alphatec Holdings, Inc., 5818 El Camino Real, Carlsbad, CA 92008.

APPENDIX A

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC.

5818 EL CAMINO REAL

CARLSBAD, CA 92008

PROXY FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON JULY 28, 2010

The undersigned, revoking any previous proxies relating to these shares, hereby acknowledges of the Notice and Proxy Statement, dated June 21, 2010, in connection with the annual meeting held at the offices of HealthpointCapital Partners, LP, which are located at 505 Park Avenue Floor, New York, NY 10022, at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on Wednesday, July 28, 2010, hereby appoints Eburn S. Garner, Esq. (with full power to act alone), as the attorney and proxy for the undersigned, with power of substitution, to vote all shares of the Common Stock of Alphatec Holdings, Inc. registered in the name provided herein, which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and at any adjournments thereof, with all the power the undersigned would have if personally present. Without limiting the general authorization herein given, said proxy is instructed to vote or act as follows on the proposals set forth in this

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED HEREIN BY THE UNDERSIGNED STOCKHOLDER. IF THIS PROXY IS EXECUTED AND RETURNED WITHOUT SPECIFIC DIRECTION, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN FAVOR OF PROPOSALS 1 AND 2.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSALS 1 AND 2.

PROPOSAL 1 Election of the following nominees as directors of the Company to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, resignation or removal.

Nominees: John H. Foster, Mortimer Berkowitz III, R. Ian Molson, Stephen E. O'Neil, Stephen H. Hochschuler, M.D., James R. Glynn, Rohit M. Desai, Dirk A. Kuyper and Siri S. Marshall.

.. **FOR ALL NOMINEES**

.. **WITHHELD FOR ALL NOMINEES**

.. **FOR ALL NOMINEES, except vote withheld from the following nominee(s) (please list below):**

Edgar Filing: Polymer Holdings LLC - Form 424B4

PROPOSAL 2 Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young, LLP, to serve as the Company independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010.

FOR []

AGAINST []

ABSTAIN []

A-1

In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments thereof. If you wish to vote in accordance with the recommendations of the board of directors, just sign below. You need not mark any other boxes.

Please sign below. When signing as attorney or as an executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by an authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Date:

Signature

KINDLY SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE IF YOU ARE NOT PLANNING TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. IF YOU DO ATTEND AND WISH TO VOTE PERSONALLY, YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS EXERCISED.

A-2