PharMerica CORP Form 10-K February 19, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-33380

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

87-0792558 (I.R.S. Employer

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-K

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

1901 Campus Place

Louisville, KY (Address of principal executive offices)

40299 (Zip Code)

(502) 627-7000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common stock \$0.01 par value

ass Name of exchange on which registered par value New York Stock Exchange Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

N/A

(Title of each class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "
Non-accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer "
Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No b

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity of the registrant held by non-affiliates cannot be calculated because the registrant s common equity was not publicly traded as of June 30, 2007, the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

Class of Common Stock Common stock, \$0.01 par value Outstanding at February 15, 2008 30,357,209 Shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates certain information by reference from registrant s definitive proxy statement for the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders, which proxy will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant s fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-K

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

INDEX

		Page
Part I		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	16
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	31
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	32
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	32
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	32
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	33
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	36
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	73
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	F-1
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	74
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	75
Item 9B.	Other Information	76
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	77
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	78
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	78
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	78
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	78
Part IV		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits</u>	79

PART I

Item 1. Business

PharMerica Corporation (the Corporation), formerly known as Safari Holding Corporation, was formed on October 23, 2006 by Kindred Healthcare, Inc. (Kindred or Former Parent) and AmerisourceBergen Corporation (AmerisourceBergen) for the purpose of consummating the transactions contemplated by the Master Transaction Agreement dated October 25, 2006, as amended (the Master Agreement). Pursuant to the Master Agreement, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen, through a series of transactions (collectively, the Pharmacy Transaction), combined their respective institutional pharmacy businesses, Kindred Pharmacy Services (KPS) and PharMerica Long-Term Care (PharMerica LTC), into a new, stand-alone, publicly traded company. The Pharmacy Transaction was consummated on July 31, 2007 (the Closing Date).

The shares of common stock of the Corporation were registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) on Form S-4/S-1, which was declared effective by the Commission on July 17, 2007 (the Form S-4/S-1).

On August 1, 2007, the common stock of the Corporation began trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol PMC. Under the terms of the Pharmacy Transaction, on the Closing Date, each of KPS and PharMerica LTC borrowed \$125.0 million as mutually agreed upon by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen and used such proceeds to fund a one-time, tax-free cash distribution in that amount to their respective parent companies. Following the cash distributions, Kindred spun off to its stockholders all of the outstanding stock of KPS and AmerisourceBergen spun off to its stockholders all of the outstanding stock of PharMerica LTC. Immediately thereafter, separate wholly owned subsidiaries of the Corporation were merged with and into KPS and PharMerica LTC with KPS and PharMerica LTC as the surviving entities of the mergers, and, as a result, KPS and PharMerica LTC became wholly owned subsidiaries of the Corporation. In the mergers, each Kindred stockholder received approximately 0.366 shares of the Corporation s common stock in respect of each share of Kindred common stock held on the record date and each AmerisourceBergen stockholder received approximately 0.083 shares of the Corporation s common stock in respect of each share of AmerisourceBergen common stock held on the record date. Immediately following such spin-offs and mergers, the stockholders of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen each owned 50% of the outstanding common stock of the Corporation. The shares of the Corporation s common stock held by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen prior to the Pharmacy Transaction were cancelled, and neither retained any ownership of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation.

For accounting purposes, the Pharmacy Transaction was treated as an acquisition by KPS of PharMerica LTC with KPS being considered the accounting acquirer based on the application of criteria specified in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards SFAS No. 141 (SFAS 141), Business Combinations. As a result, the accompanying financial statements include certain accounts and results of operations representing the institutional pharmacy business of Kindred on a carve-out basis. Because KPS was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes, the historical financial statements of KPS became the historical financial statements of the Corporation. Accordingly, the financial statements of the Corporation prior to the Pharmacy Transaction reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of KPS, which during the historical periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, was a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred. Following the Pharmacy Transaction, the financial statements of the current period reflect the financial position, results of operation and cash flows of the Corporation. The results of operations of PharMerica LTC are included in the results of operations of the Corporation beginning August 1, 2007.

Prior to the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation had no assets or liabilities and conducted no business activity. Prior to the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction, the business was operated as separate businesses within two different public companies, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen.

1

Reporting Entity

The financial statements included in this report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 reflect the financial position, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation, which during the 2005 and 2006 periods covered by this report and the first seven months of 2007, KPS was a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred. As noted above, the Pharmacy Transaction was accounted for as an acquisition by KPS of PharMerica LTC based upon the application of criteria specified in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. As a result, the historical financial statements of KPS have become the historical financial statements of the Corporation. The results of the Pharmacy Transaction are included in the results of operations of the Corporation beginning August 1, 2007. Accordingly, except as otherwise discussed below, this report reflects the financial condition, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation at December 31, 2007 and historically of KPS on a stand-alone basis for all periods prior to August 1, 2007. The financial condition, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation s future performance or reflect what the Corporation s financial conditions, results of operations and liquidity would have been had the Pharmacy Transaction been consummated as of January 1 of each respective year or had the Corporation operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented.

Institutional Pharmacy Business

The Corporation is the second largest institutional pharmacy services company in the United States based on revenues. We service healthcare facilities and provide management pharmacy services to hospitals. The Corporation operates 115 institutional pharmacies in 40 states. The Corporation s customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, hospitals and other long-term alternative care settings. The Corporation is generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals to its customers. The Corporation also provides pharmacy management services to 86 hospitals in the United States.

Our core business provides pharmacy products and services to residents and patients in skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, hospitals, and other long-term alternative care settings. We purchase, repackage and dispense prescription and non-prescription pharmaceuticals in accordance with physician orders and deliver such medication to healthcare facilities for administration to individual patients and residents. Depending on the specific location, we service healthcare facilities typically within a radius of 120 miles or less of our pharmacy locations at least once each day. Each pharmacy provides 24-hour, seven-day per week on-call pharmacist services for emergency dispensing, delivery and/or consultation with the facility staff or the resident statending physician. We also provide various supplemental healthcare services that complement our institutional pharmacy services.

We offer prescription and non-prescription pharmaceuticals to our customers through unit dose or modified unit dose packaging, dispensing and delivery systems, typically in 30-day supplies. Unit dosed medications are packaged for dispensing in individual doses as compared to bulk packaging used by most retail pharmacies. The customers we serve prefer the unit dose delivery system over the bulk delivery system employed by retail pharmacies because it improves control over the storage and ordering of drugs and reduces errors in drug administration in healthcare facilities. Nursing staff in our customers facilities administer the pharmaceuticals to individual patients and residents.

Our computerized dispensing and delivery systems are designed to improve efficiency and control over distribution of medications to patients and residents. We provide computerized physician orders and medication administration records for each patient or resident on a monthly basis as requested. Data from these records are formulated into monthly management reports on patient or resident care and quality assurance. This system improves efficiencies and nursing time, reduces drug waste and lowers adverse drug reactions.

Consultant Pharmacist Services

Federal and state regulations mandate that long-term care facilities, in addition to providing a source of pharmaceuticals, retain consultant pharmacist services to monitor and report on prescription drug therapy in

2

order to maintain and improve the quality of resident care. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 (OBRA of 1987) implemented in 1990 sought to further upgrade and standardize care by setting forth more stringent standards relating to planning, monitoring and reporting on the progress of prescription drug therapy, as well as overall drug usage. In addition, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) issued revised guidelines to surveyors of long-term care facilities which, effective December 18, 2006, expanded the scope and detail in which surveyors are assessing pharmacy services at facilities, including consultant pharmacy services. We provide consultant pharmacist services, which help our customers comply with the federal and state regulations applicable to nursing homes. The services offered by our consultant pharmacists include:

Monthly reviews of each resident s drug regimen to assess the appropriateness and efficacy of drug therapies, including the review of medical records, monitoring drug interactions with other drugs or food, monitoring laboratory test results and recommending alternative therapies;

Participation on quality assurance and other committees of our customers, as required or requested by such customers;

Monitoring and reporting on facility-wide drug utilization;

Development and maintenance of pharmaceutical policy and procedure manuals; and

Assistance with federal and state regulatory compliance pertaining to resident care.

These services, while costly, may be replicated by local providers. The cost to replicate these services on a scale performed by the Corporation would be material to any potential market entrant.

Ancillary Services

The Corporation provides the following ancillary products and services to its customers:

Infusion Therapy Products and Services. With cost containment pressures in healthcare, skilled nursing facilities are increasingly called upon to treat patients requiring a high degree of medical care and who would otherwise be treated in the more costly hospital environment. We provide intravenous (IV) (or infusion therapy) products and services for these client facilities as well as hospice and home care patients. Infusion therapy consists of the product (a nutrient, antibiotic, chemotherapy or other drugs in solution) and the intravenous administration of the product.

We prepare the product to be administered using proper equipment in an aseptic environment and then deliver the product to the nursing home for administration by the nursing staff. Proper administration of IV drug therapy requires a highly trained nursing staff. Upon request, our nurse consultants provide an education and certification program on IV therapy to assure proper staff training and compliance with regulatory requirements in client facilities offering an IV therapy program.

Pharmacy Management Services

We also provide pharmacy management services to hospitals. Our predominant customer is Kindred. These services generally entail the overall management of the hospital pharmacy operations, including the ordering, receipt, storage and dispensing of pharmaceuticals to the hospital s patients pursuant to the clinical guidelines established by the hospital. We offer the hospitals a wide range of regulatory and financial management services, including inventory control, budgetary analysis, staffing optimization, and assistance with obtaining and maintaining applicable regulatory licenses, certifications and accreditations. We work with the hospitals to develop and implement pharmacy policies and procedures, including drug formulary development and utilization management. We also offer clinical pharmacy programs that encompass a wide range of drug therapy/disease management protocols, including protocols for anemia treatment, infectious diseases, wound care, nutritional support, renal dosing, and therapeutic substitution.

3

Additional business segment information is set forth in Part II, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and Note 12 Business Segment Data to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Our Strategy. Our goal is to become the premier provider of institutional pharmacy services in the United States. Our strategies for achieving this goal include:

Maintaining Focus on Customer Satisfaction. We will focus on consistently providing quality pharmaceutical services to our customers at competitive prices and delivery of prescriptions in a timely and effective manner. Our business will seek to implement innovative and cost-effective solutions to improve the provision of medication to our customers and the residents and patients that they serve.

Improving Operating Efficiency. We will continually seek to improve operating efficiencies and control costs. We maintain management information systems that are expected to allow us to improve service standards, achieve or exceed regulatory compliance and navigate the rapidly changing billing complexities of federal, state and private payor programs. We will strive to lower pharmaceutical costs by negotiating favorable purchasing arrangements through group purchasing organizations or directly with certain pharmaceutical manufacturers. We will continue to focus on the opportunities presented by the appropriate use of generic pharmaceuticals. Our combined businesses should enable us to pursue synergy opportunities by consolidating pharmacy locations and reducing costs related to processing pharmaceuticals for distribution. We will also seek to improve operating efficiency by enhancing the features of our computerized dispensing and billing systems to meet our customers needs.

Growing the Business. We will continue to grow through expansion in our existing markets and by servicing new customers. We intend to grow organically by leveraging the competitive advantages we expect to realize as a result of the Pharmacy Transaction. We believe our industry has underlying market growth potential attributable to both an increase in drug utilization as well as the general aging population of the United States. We believe the Pharmacy Transaction improves our market competitiveness by giving us more operating scale and increased organizational breadth and depth. We will seek to increase our market share, in part, by capturing business currently conducted by our competitors and capitalizing on our improved market position.

We also intend to expand our market share through selected geographic expansion in markets not currently served by us and through strategic acquisitions in existing and underserved markets. The Corporation currently operates in 40 states. We believe there are significant growth opportunities in several other markets. There are numerous businesses in our market, mostly small or regional companies that lack the scale that we believe will be necessary to compete in a market that is national in scope. We intend to actively seek opportunities to acquire these companies.

Expand Our Hospital Pharmacy Management Services. We provide pharmacy management services to substantially all of Kindred s hospitals. We intend to use our pharmacy expertise to seek opportunities to expand our hospital pharmacy management services with additional customers.

Promoting Our Shared Values. We will continue to benefit from the shared values of PharMerica LTC and KPS of focusing on the needs of our customers, patients and employees. We will seek to lead, shape and define the business of providing pharmacy services and products to the institutional marketplace.

Sales and Marketing

We sell our products and services through a national sales force composed of approximately 35 full time employees as of December 31, 2007. Our sales force is organized along geographic lines divided into 4 regions to maximize coverage, manage costs, and to assure that the needs of our customers are effectively and efficiently met. Our sales representatives specialize in the products and services we offer and the markets in which we operate. Their knowledge permits us to meet the unique needs of our customers while maintaining profitable relationships.

4

Customers

Institutional Care Settings. Our customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as, skilled nursing facilities, nursing centers, assisted living facilities, hospitals and other long-term alternative care settings. We are generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals for our customers.

Our customers depend on institutional pharmacies like us to provide the necessary pharmacy products and services and to play an integral role in monitoring patient medication regimens and safety. We dispense pharmaceuticals in patient specific packaging in accordance with physician instructions.

At December 31, 2007, we had contracts to provide pharmacy services to 337,043 licensed beds for patients in healthcare facilities in 40 states. We also have significant customer concentrations with facilities operated by Ceres Strategies, Inc. or Ceres and Kindred. If the Pharmacy Transaction had occurred at January 1, 2007, Ceres would have represented approximately 9.3% and Kindred would have represented approximately 12.9% of the Corporation s revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Hospital Pharmacy Services. At December 31, 2007, the Corporation had provided hospital management services to Kindred and other customers for Hospital Pharmacy Services at 86 locations. For the year ended December 31, 2007, revenues under these contracts constituted approximately 4.5% of our total revenues.

Suppliers/Inventory

At the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation entered into a Prime Vendor Agreement (the Prime Vendor Agreement), with AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (ABDC), a wholly owned subsidiary of AmerisourceBergen, the Corporation s former 50% stockholder. Pursuant to this agreement, the Corporation has agreed to purchase at least 95% of the Corporation s prescription pharmaceutical drugs from ABDC and to participate in ABDC s generic formulary purchase program for a period of five years. The Corporation also agreed to a minimum purchase volume equal to \$1 billion in the first year of the Prime Vendor Agreement. If the Corporation fails to reach this minimum purchase volume, ABDC may adjust the price of goods the Corporation purchases from it to reflect the lower than expected purchase volume. In addition, ABDC will support the distribution of pharmaceuticals that the Corporation purchases directly from manufacturers and provide inventory management support and packaging services. Unless either party provides certain notice of termination, the agreement will continue on a month-to-month basis upon expiration of the initial five year term. The agreement may be terminated by either party for cause during the initial five year term, and by either party with or without cause thereafter upon 90 days notice.

We also obtain pharmaceutical and other products from contracts negotiated directly with pharmaceutical manufacturers. We are a member of industry buying groups, which contract with pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounted prices. While the loss of a supplier could adversely affect our business if alternate sources of supply are generally unavailable, numerous sources of supply are available to us and we have not experienced any difficulty in obtaining pharmaceuticals or other products and supplies to conduct our business.

We seek to maintain on-site inventories of pharmaceuticals and supplies to ensure prompt delivery to our customers. ABDC, our primary wholesale distributor, maintains local warehousing facilities in most major geographic markets in which we operate.

Brand versus Generic

In 2007, generic drugs increased approximately 30% to a new industry high of 682 drugs. With today s generic drugs accounting for nearly 63% of the volume of all U.S. prescriptions dispensed, an anticipated expansion of new generics in 2008 will play an increased role in the way the Corporation positions itself in 2008. As we move into 2008 and beyond, we expect an increase in the demand for generic drugs as the result of a large number of patent expirations. It s also estimated that drugs worth approximately \$60.0 \$70.0 billion will lose

5

their patent protection in the next few years. From 2007 to 2010, roughly 110 drugs have lost or will lose their patent protection, including some well-known products such as Fosamax[©] (Alendronate Sodium) and Risperdal[©] (Risperidone). Those two drugs represent approximately 5% of the Corporation s average monthly drug acquisition costs for the fourth quarter of 2007. Fosamax became available as a generic in February 2008, while Risperdal[©] is expected to become available as a generic in June 2008. With the current generic release schedule of branded drugs losing their patent protection, there will be an increase in the demand from branded drugs to generic drugs in 2008.

Historically, when a branded drug shifts to a generic, initial pricing of the generic drug in the market will vary depending on the number of manufacturers launching their generic version of the drug. It is believed that a shift from brand to generic would decrease our revenue but at the same time improve our gross margin from sales of these classes of drugs. In addition, if we can successfully negotiate to lower our acquisition cost on a broad range of generics, management believes it can improve the Corporation s overall gross margin during fiscal 2008.

Supplier and Manufacturer Rebates

We currently receive rebates from certain manufacturers of pharmaceutical products for achieving targets of market share and/or purchase volumes. Rebates are designed to prefer, protect, or maintain a manufacturer s products that are dispensed by the pharmacy under its formulary. Rebates for brand name products are generally based upon achieving a defined market share tier within a therapeutic class. Rebates for generic products are more likely to be based on achieving volume requirements. Rebates recorded by the Corporation were \$31.7 million, \$13.9 million and \$9.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

For more information regarding rebates, see Overview of Reimbursement.

Information Technology

Computerized medical records and documentation are an integral part of our distribution system. We utilize a proprietary information technology infrastructure that automates order entry of medications, dispensing of medications, invoicing and payment processing. These systems provide medical records, consulting drug review, electronic medication management and regulatory compliance information to help ensure patient safety. These systems also support eligibility verification and electronic billing capabilities for the Corporation s pharmacies. They also provide order taking, shipment and collection of service fees for medications and specialty services as well as billing and reimbursement for other services rendered.

Based upon our electronic records, we are able to provide reports to our customers and management on patient care and quality assurance. These reports help to improve efficiency in patient care, reduce drug waste and lower adverse drug reactions. We expect to continue to invest in technologies that help improve data integrity, critical information access and system availability.

At the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation entered into an Information Technology Services Agreement with Kindred Healthcare Operating, Inc. (KHOI), a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred, the Corporation s former 50% stockholder (the IT Services Agreement). Pursuant to the IT Services Agreement, KHOI is the Corporation s exclusive provider of certain information services and support related to information technology infrastructure and financial systems for a period of five years following the Closing Date. The services provided by KHOI include business services necessary to operate, manage and support certain financial applications the Corporation uses, including enabling and/or supporting technology infrastructure and technology procurement services to support certain business functions. Such services include, among other matters, functions for financial management and systems and payroll. The Corporation supports internally all other operating systems, including functions for order entry, pharmacy dispensing, clinical consulting, billing and collections, electronic medication management, sales and marketing, medical records management, human resources, internal and external customer call center support and general business systems.

6

Except for certain services that are provided at cost, KHOI provides such services to the Corporation at its cost plus 10%, which are the actual costs and expenses incurred in providing these services, including certain overhead costs and per hour costs of the KHOI employees providing the services. The agreement shall automatically renew for successive one-year periods after the expiration of the initial five year term, absent 120 days prior notice of termination as provided for in the agreement. The IT Services Agreement may be terminated by either party for cause and, in certain circumstances, by the Corporation in the event that KHOI undergoes a change of control to one of the Corporation s competitors. Following termination of the agreement, KHOI must provide termination and expiration assistance for up to 180 days.

Transition Services Agreements

At the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation entered into a Transition Services Agreement with Kindred (the Kindred TSA). Pursuant to this agreement, Kindred will provide the Corporation with certain corporate administrative services, such as payroll and employee benefit administration, human resources, risk management, treasury, tax, accounting and financial reporting services, for a period of up to twelve months following the Closing Date. Kindred will provide such services at its cost, which will be the actual costs and expenses incurred by Kindred in providing these services, including overhead costs and per hour costs of the Kindred employees providing the services. The Kindred TSA may be terminated by either party for cause, by the Corporation upon 60 days written notice and by Kindred upon a payment default.

At the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation entered into a Transition Services Agreement with AmerisourceBergen (the AmerisourceBergen TSA). Pursuant to this agreement, AmerisourceBergen will provide the Corporation with certain transition services, such as payroll and employee benefit administration services for a period of up to twelve months following the Closing Date. AmerisourceBergen will provide such services at its cost, which will be the actual costs and expenses incurred by AmerisourceBergen in providing these services, including overhead costs and per hour costs of the AmerisourceBergen employees providing the services. The AmerisourceBergen TSA may be terminated by either party for cause, by the Corporation upon 60 days written notice and by AmerisourceBergen upon a payment default.

Sources of Pharmacy Revenues

We receive payment for our services from third party payors, including Medicare Part D Plans, government reimbursement programs under Medicare and Medicaid, and non-government sources such as institutional healthcare provider customers, commercial insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations and contracted providers. The sources and amounts of our revenues will be determined by a number of factors, including the mix of our customers patients and the rates of reimbursement among payors. Changes in our customers census and the case mix of the patients as well as the payor mix among private pay, Medicare Part D and Medicaid, will affect our profitability.

In December 2003, Congress enacted Medicare Part D which includes a major expansion of the Medicare program through the introduction of a prescription drug benefit which is administered by commercial market insurers contracted with CMS. Under Medicare Part D, dual eligibles now have their outpatient prescription drug costs covered by Medicare Part D, subject to certain limitations. Since January 1, 2006, most of the nursing center residents we serve whose drug costs were previously covered by state Medicaid programs are dual eligibles who qualify for Medicare Part D. Accordingly; Medicaid is no longer a primary payor for the pharmacy services provided to these residents. See Overview of Reimbursement.

7

A summary of our revenues by payor type follows (dollars in millions):

	2007		2006		2005	
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
Medicare Part D	\$ 550.2	45.2%	\$ 252.0	38.6%	\$	%
Institutional healthcare providers	369.3	30.3	241.6	37.1	209.3	40.1
Medicaid	108.8	8.9	56.4	8.6	237.1	45.4
Private and other	77.7	6.4	23.3	3.6	22.8	4.4
Hospital management fees	54.8	4.5	50.4	7.7	46.4	8.9
Insured	46.8	3.8	20.8	3.2		
Medicare	10.2	0.9	8.1	1.2	6.6	1.2
	\$ 1,217.8	100%	\$ 652.6	100%	\$ 522.2	100%

Competition

We face a highly competitive environment in the institutional pharmacy market. In each geographic market, there are national, regional and local institutional pharmacies that provide services comparable to those offered by our pharmacies which may have greater financial and other resources than we do and may be more established in the markets they serve than we are. In addition, owners of skilled nursing facilities also are entering the institutional pharmacy market, particularly in areas of their geographic concentration. On a nationwide basis, there is one large competitor.

We believe that the competitive factors most important to our business are pricing, quality and the range of services offered, clinical expertise, ease of doing business with the provider and the ability to develop and maintain relationships with customers. Because relatively few barriers to entry exist in the local markets we serve, we may encounter substantial competition from local market entrants.

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We use a number of trademarks and service marks. All of the principal trademarks and service marks used in the course of our business have been registered in the United States or are the subject of pending applications for registration.

We acquired from our former parent companies various proprietary products, processes, software and other intellectual property that are used either to facilitate the conduct of our business or that are made available as products or services to customers. We generally seek to protect such intellectual property through a combination of trade secret, patent and copyright laws and through confidentiality and other contractually imposed protections.

We have patent applications pending that relate to certain of our products, particularly our automated pharmacy dispensing equipment and our medication and supply dispensing equipment. We will seek patent protection for our proprietary intellectual property from time to time as appropriate.

Although we believe that our patents or other proprietary products and processes do not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of any third parties, third parties may assert infringement claims against us from time to time.

Seasonality

Our largest customers in our institutional pharmacy segment are skilled nursing facilities. Both prescription and non-prescription drug sales at skilled nursing facilities are affected by the timing and severity of the cold/flu season.

Working Capital

For information about the Corporation s practices relating to working capital items, see Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources .

Corporate Integrity Agreement

On March 29, 2005, PharMerica LTC and the Office of Inspector General within the Department of Health and Human Services (OIG) entered into the Corporate Integrity Agreement (CIA) to promote compliance with the requirements of the federal healthcare programs. Under the CIA, PharMerica LTC agreed to continue its comprehensive compliance program, which includes a corporate compliance officer, a corporate compliance committee, a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, written policies and procedures, educational and training initiatives, review and disciplinary procedures, a confidential disclosure program, an ineligible persons screening program and internal audit and review procedures, all designed to promote compliance with applicable laws, including federal healthcare program requirements, and the promotion of ethical business practices. PharMerica LTC is also subject to extensive reporting requirements under the CIA, including annual reports describing PharMerica LTC s compliance activities, notices of any government investigations or legal proceedings, overpayments received from federal healthcare programs and changes in pharmacy locations and new business units. The term of the CIA is five years and it ends on March 29, 2010. PharMerica LTC is required to comply fully and timely with all of the CIA requirements. Failure to do so may lead to the imposition of stipulated penalties, including substantial monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. Any such penalties could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The CIA continues to apply to PharMerica LTC through its original term. Pursuant to an agreement reached with the OIG regarding the Pharmacy Transaction s impact on the CIA, the CIA s requirements will not apply to KPS or any of the KPS employees or contractors. However, among other obligations, the Corporation s employees and contractors that are involved with PharMerica LTC s operations will be subject to training requirements in accordance with the CIA s existing terms. In addition, pursuant to the agreement reached with the OIG, oversight of, and day-to-day responsibility for, the CIA after closing will be undertaken by the Corporation s compliance officer and the Corporation s compliance committee (an ad hoc committee comprised of members of the Corporation s senior management).

Employees

We have 6,701 employees which includes 1,790 part-time employees. None of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. We have approximately 1,800 licensed pharmacists. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

Government Regulation

General

Extensive federal, state and local regulations govern institutional pharmacies and the healthcare facilities that they serve. These regulations cover licenses, staffing qualifications, conduct of operations, reimbursement, recordkeeping and documentation requirements and the confidentiality and security of health-related information. Our institutional pharmacies are also subject to federal and state laws that regulate financial arrangements between healthcare providers, including the federal anti-kickback statutes and the federal physician self-referral statutes.

Licensure, Certification and Regulation

States generally require that the state board of pharmacy license a pharmacy operating within the state. Many states also regulate out-of-state pharmacies that deliver prescription products to patients or residents in

9

their states. We have the necessary pharmacy state licenses, or pending applications, for each pharmacy we operate. Our pharmacies are also registered with the appropriate federal and state authorities pursuant to statutes governing the regulation of controlled substances. In addition, pharmacists, nurses and other healthcare professionals who provide services on our behalf are in most cases required to obtain and maintain professional licenses and are subject to state regulation regarding professional standards of conduct.

The Drug Enforcement Agency, (the DEA), the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, (the FDA), and various state regulatory authorities regulate the distribution of pharmaceutical products and controlled substances. These laws impose a host of requirements on the pharmaceutical supply channel, including providers of institutional pharmacy services. Under the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, as a dispenser of controlled substances, we must register with the DEA, file reports of inventories and transactions and provide adequate security measures. In addition, we are required to comply with all the relevant requirements of the Prescription Drug Marketing Act for the transfer and shipment of pharmaceuticals. The FDA, DEA and state regulatory authorities have broad enforcement powers, including the ability to seize or recall products and impose significant criminal, civil and administrative sanctions for violations of these laws and regulations. We have received all necessary regulatory approvals and believe that our repackaging operations are in substantial compliance with applicable federal and state good manufacturing practice requirements.

Client long-term care facilities are separately required to be licensed in the states in which they operate and, if serving Medicaid or Medicare patients, must be certified to be in compliance with applicable program participation requirements. Client facilities are also subject to the nursing home reforms of the OBRA of 1987, as amended, which imposed strict compliance standards relating to quality of care for nursing home operations, including vastly increased documentation and reporting requirements.

On September 20, 2006, CMS issued revised guidance to surveyors of long term care regarding the survey protocol for review of pharmacy services provided in long-term care facilities participating in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The new guidelines, which became effective December 18, 2006, expand the areas and detail in which surveyors are to assess pharmacy services at the facility, including ordering, acquiring, receiving, storing, labeling, dispensing and disposing of all medications at the facility; the provision of medication-related information to health care professionals and residents; the process of identifying and addressing medication-related issues through medication regimen reviews and collaboration between the licensed consultant pharmacist, the facility and other healthcare professionals; and at the provision, monitoring and use of medication-related devices. The new guidelines also emphasize the important role of consultative services of pharmacists in promoting safe and effective medication use through the coordination of all aspects of pharmacy services provided to all residents within a facility.

Laws Affecting Referrals and Business Practices

We are subject to federal and state laws that govern financial and other arrangements between healthcare providers. These laws prohibit certain direct and indirect payments or fee-splitting arrangements between healthcare providers that are designed to induce or encourage the referral of patients to, or the recommendation of, a particular provider for medical products and services. These laws include:

the federal anti-kickback statute, which prohibits, among other things, knowingly or willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration including any kickback, bribe or rebate directly or indirectly in return for or to induce the referral of an individual to a person for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs; and

the federal Stark laws which prohibit, with limited exceptions, the referral of patients by physicians for certain designated health services, to an entity with which the physician has a financial relationship.

These laws impact the relationships that we may have with potential referral sources. We have a variety of relationships with potential referral sources, including hospitals and skilled nursing facilities with which we have

10

contracted to provide pharmacy services. Those referral sources include hospitals and other facilities operated by Kindred. With respect to the anti-kickback statute, the OIG has enacted safe harbor regulations that outline practices that are deemed protected from prosecution. While we endeavor to comply with the applicable safe harbors, certain of our current arrangements, none of which is material to us, may not qualify for safe harbor protection. Failure to meet a safe harbor does not mean that the arrangement necessarily violates the anti-kickback statute, but may subject the arrangement to greater scrutiny. We cannot assure you that our practices that are outside of a safe harbor will not be found to violate the anti-kickback statute.

As one means of providing guidance to healthcare providers, the OIG issues Special Fraud Alerts. These alerts do not have the force of law, but identify features of arrangements or transactions that may indicate that the arrangements or transactions violate the anti-kickback statute or other federal health care laws. The OIG has identified several arrangements, which, if accompanied by inappropriate intent, constitute suspect practices, including: (a) the use of free or significantly discounted office space or equipment in facilities, (b) provision of free or significantly discounted billing, nursing or other staff services, (c) free training in areas such as management techniques and laboratory techniques, (d) purchasing goods or services from potential referral sources at prices in excess of their fair market value and (e) rental of space from potential referral sources at other than fair market value terms. The OIG has encouraged persons having information about entities that offer the above types of incentives to report such information to the OIG.

The OIG also issues Special Advisory Bulletins as a means of providing guidance to healthcare providers. These bulletins, along with the Special Fraud Alerts, have focused on certain arrangements that could be subject to heightened scrutiny by government enforcement authorities, including contractual joint venture arrangements and other joint venture arrangements between those in a position to refer business and those providing items or services for which Medicare or Medicaid pays.

In addition to issuing Special Fraud Alerts and Special Advisory Bulletins, the OIG from time to time issues compliance program guidance for certain types of healthcare providers. These guidance documents contain voluntary actions for providers to consider to promote compliance with Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. Although the OIG has not issued compliance guidance for long-term care pharmacies, the OIG has issued compliance guidance for hospitals, nursing facilities and suppliers of durable medical equipment, which may be instructive. These guidance documents advise entities to adopt policies and procedures to address the risks arising from, among other things:

(a) arrangements with vendors that result in the facility receiving non-covered items at below market prices or at no charge, provided the facility orders Medicare-reimbursed products, (b) soliciting or receiving items of value in exchange for providing the supplier access to patients medical records and other information needed to bill Medicare, (c) joint ventures with entities supplying goods or services and (d) discounts and other financial incentives given to potential referral sources.

Many states have enacted similar statutes which are not necessarily limited to items or services for which payment is made by federal healthcare programs. Violations of these laws may result in fines, imprisonment, denial of payment for services and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs and other state-funded programs.

Other provisions in the Social Security Act and in other federal and state laws authorize the imposition of penalties, including criminal and civil fines and exclusions from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs for false claims, improper billing and other offenses. These laws include the federal False Claims Act, under which private parties have the right to bring qui tam whistleblower lawsuits against companies that submit false claims for payments to the government. Some states have adopted similar state whistleblower and false claims laws.

In addition, a number of states have undertaken enforcement actions against pharmaceutical manufacturers involving pharmaceutical marketing programs, including programs containing incentives to pharmacists to dispense one particular product rather than another. These enforcement actions arose under state consumer protection laws which generally prohibit false advertising, deceptive trade practices and the like.

11

In the ordinary course of business, we are subject regularly to inquiries, investigations and audits by federal and state agencies that oversee applicable healthcare program participation and payment regulations. We believe that the regulatory environment surrounding most segments of the healthcare industry remains intense. Federal and state governments continue to impose intensive enforcement policies resulting in a significant number of inspections, citations for regulatory deficiencies and other regulatory sanctions including demands for refund of overpayments, terminations from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, bars on Medicare and Medicaid payments and fines. To date, we have not experienced any demands for refund of overpayments, terminations from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, bars on Medicare and Medicaid payments or fines that are material to us. However, such sanctions could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operation and liquidity.

We believe our contract arrangements with other healthcare providers, our pharmaceutical suppliers and our pharmacy practices are in substantial compliance with applicable federal and state laws. These laws may, however, be interpreted in the future in a manner inconsistent with our interpretation and application.

State Laws Affecting Access to Services

Some states have enacted freedom of choice or any willing provider requirements as part of their state Medicaid programs or in separate legislation. These laws may preclude a nursing center from requiring their patients and residents to purchase pharmacy or other ancillary medical services or supplies from particular providers that deal with the nursing center. Limitations such as these may increase the competition which we face in providing services to nursing center residents.

HIPAA

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, commonly known as HIPAA, mandates the adoption of regulations aimed at standardizing transaction formats and billing codes for documenting medical services, dealing with claims submissions and protecting the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information. HIPAA regulations that standardize transactions and code sets require standard formatting for healthcare providers, like us, that submit claims electronically.

The HIPAA privacy regulations apply to protected health information, which is defined generally as individually identifiable health information transmitted or maintained in any form or medium, excluding certain education records and student medical records. The privacy regulations seek to limit the use and disclosure of most paper and oral communications, as well as those in electronic form, regarding an individual s past, present or future physical or mental health or condition, or relating to the provision of healthcare to the individual or payment for that healthcare, if the individual can or may be identified by such information. HIPAA provides for the imposition of civil or criminal penalties if protected health information is improperly disclosed.

HIPAA s security regulations require us to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of all electronic protected health information that we create, receive, maintain or transmit. We must protect against reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security of such information and the unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

The HIPAA unique health identifier standards, which became effective May 23, 2007, requires us to obtain a national provider identifier (NPI), the standard unique health identifier for the healthcare providers to use in filing and processing healthcare claims and other transactions. We have established processes to address issues associated with the NPI.

In addition to HIPAA, we are subject to state privacy laws and other state privacy or health information requirements not preempted by HIPAA, including those which may furnish greater privacy protection for individuals than HIPAA.

12

The scope of our operations involving health information is broad and the nature of those operations is complex. Although we believe that our contract arrangements with healthcare payors and providers and our business practices are in material compliance with applicable federal and state electronic transmissions, privacy and security of health information laws, the requirements of these laws, including HIPAA, are complicated and are subject to interpretation. In addition, state regulation of matters also covered by HIPAA, especially the privacy standards, is increasing, and determining which state laws are preempted by HIPAA is a matter of interpretation. Failure to comply with HIPAA or similar state laws could subject us to loss of customers, denial of the right to conduct business, civil damages, fines, criminal penalties and other enforcement actions.

Overview of Reimbursement

Medicare is a federal program that provides certain hospital and medical insurance benefits to persons age 65 and over and to certain disabled persons. Medicaid is a medical assistance program administered by each state that provides healthcare benefits to certain indigent patients. Within the Medicare and Medicaid statutory framework, there are substantial areas subject to administrative rulings, interpretations and discretion that may affect payments made under Medicare and Medicaid.

We receive payment for our services from institutional healthcare providers, commercial Medicare Part D Plans, third party payors, government reimbursement programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, and other non-government sources such as commercial insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations and contracted providers. Prior to 2006, the Corporation had derived a substantial portion of their annual revenues from state Medicaid programs. With respect to our skilled nursing facilities customers, their residents are covered by Medicare Part A and Part D Plans, Medicaid, insurance and other private payors (including managed care).

Medicare

The Medicare program historically consisted of three parts: (1) Medicare Part A, which covers, among other things, in-patient hospital, skilled nursing facilities, home healthcare and certain other types of healthcare services; (2) Medicare Part B, which covers physicians—services, outpatient services and certain items and services provided by medical suppliers such as I.V.—s; and (3) a managed care option for beneficiaries who are entitled to Medicare Part A and enrolled in Medicare Part B, known as Medicare Part C. Medicare Part A provides reimbursement for extended care services to patients in skilled nursing facilities. Under Medicare Part A skilled nursing facilities are reimbursed using a prospective payment system, or—PPS—Under PPS, Medicare pays a federal daily rate for virtually all covered skilled nursing facility services and certain covered pharmaceuticals. Medicare provides such customers a federal daily rate to cover the costs of all covered goods and services provided to Medicare patients, which may include certain pharmaceutical and other goods and services provided by us. We bill skilled nursing facilities based upon a negotiated fee schedule and are paid based on those contractual relationships. We do not receive direct payment from Medicare for patients covered under the Medicare Part A benefit. Under Medicare Part B, we are entitled to payment directly from Medicare for products that replace a bodily function, home medical equipment and supplies and a limited number of specifically designated prescription drugs.

Medicare Part D provides coverage for prescription drugs that are not otherwise covered under Medicare Part A or Part B for those beneficiaries that enroll. Under Medicare Part D, Medicare beneficiaries may enroll in prescription drug plans offered by private commercial insurers who contract with CMS (or in a fallback plan offered on behalf of the government through a contractor, to the extent private entities fail to offer a plan in a given area), which provide coverage of outpatient prescription drugs (collectively, Part D Plans). Part D Plans include both plans providing the drug benefit on a stand alone basis and Medicare Advantage plans providing drug coverage as a supplement to an existing medical benefit under that Medicare Advantage plan. Medicare beneficiaries generally have to pay a premium to enroll in a Part D Plan, with the premium amount varying from one Part D Plan to another, although CMS provides various federal subsidies to Part D Plans to reduce the cost to beneficiaries. Since January 1, 2006 when the Medicare Part D program went into effect, Medicare beneficiaries

13

who are also entitled to benefits under a state Medicaid program (so-called dual eligibles) have their prescription drug costs covered by the Part D Plan, including applicable nursing home residents we serve, whose drug costs were previously covered by state Medicaid programs.

Under Medicare Part D, Medicare covers most outpatient drug expenses for dual eligibles. Accordingly, since January 1, 2006, Medicaid is no longer a primary payor for the pharmacy services provided to these residents. Medicare beneficiaries who choose to participate in Medicare Part D select from a range of Part D Plans. Medicare beneficiaries generally have to pay a premium to enroll in a Part D Plan, with the premium amount varying from plan to plan. CMS provides various federal subsidies to Part D Plans to reduce the cost to qualifying beneficiaries.

Part D Plans are required to make available certain drugs on their formularies. Dually-eligible residents in nursing centers generally are entitled to have their prescription drug costs covered by a Part D Plan, provided that the prescription drugs which they are taking are either on the Part D Plan s formulary or an exception to the Plan s formulary is granted. CMS reviews the formularies of Part D Plans and requires these formularies to include the types of drugs most commonly used by Medicare beneficiaries. CMS also reviews the formulary exceptions criteria of the Part D Plans that provide for coverage of drugs determined by the Part D Plan to be medically appropriate for the enrollee; however there currently is not a separate formulary for long term care residents.

We obtain reimbursement for drugs we provide to enrollees of the given Part D Plan in accordance with the terms of agreements negotiated between us and the Part D Plan. The Medicare Part D final rule prohibits Part D plans from paying for drugs and services not specifically called for by the Act. Accordingly, Medicare Part D could negatively impact the pricing of our services.

Medicare Part D does not alter federal reimbursement for residents of nursing centers whose stay at the nursing center is covered under Medicare Part A. Accordingly; Medicare s fixed per diem payments to nursing centers under PPS will continue to include a portion attributable to the expected cost of drugs provided to such residents. We will therefore continue to receive reimbursement for drugs provided to such residents from the nursing center in accordance with the terms of our agreements with each nursing center.

We receive rebates from pharmaceutical manufacturers for undertaking certain activities that the manufacturers believe may increase the likelihood that we will dispense their products. CMS continues to question whether institutional pharmacies should be permitted to receive these access/performance rebates from manufacturers with respect to prescriptions covered under Medicare Part D, but has not prohibited the receipt of such rebates. CMS defines these as rebates a manufacturer provides to long-term care pharmacies that are designed to prefer, protect or maintain that manufacturer s product selection by the long-term care pharmacy or to increase the volume of that manufacturer s products that are dispensed by the pharmacy under its formulary. CMS, in 2007, required Plan Sponsors to have policies and systems in place as part of their drug utilization management programs to protect beneficiaries and reduce costs when long-term care pharmacies receive incentives to move market share through access/performance rebates. In guidance issued to Plan Sponsors, effective for 2007, CMS instructs Plan Sponsors to obtain full disclosure from long-term care pharmacies of all discounts, rebates or other remuneration that such pharmacies receive from manufacturers and has issued guidelines on the information required. CMS has also issued draft reporting requirements for 2008 which would, among other things, require disclosure of non-rebate discounts and price concessions provided to long-term care pharmacies. The impact of these reporting requirements, and/or the elimination or reduction of manufacturer rebates, if not offset by other reimbursement, could have an adverse effect on our business.

Federal legislation continues to focus on reducing Medicare and Medicaid program expenditures. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, or DRA, is intended to reduce net Medicare and Medicaid spending by approximately \$11.0 billion over the next four to five years. Among other things, the DRA will reduce certain bad debt payments to Medicare skilled nursing facilities and strengthen asset transfer restrictions for people

14

seeking to qualify for Medicaid long-term care coverage. Further, the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 modified several Medicaid policies, including, among other things, reducing the limit on Medicaid provider taxes from the current six percent to five-and-a-half percent from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010. In addition, on February 4, 2008, President Bush issued the federal fiscal year 2009 proposed budget which would, if enacted, slow spending growth to 5% and would trim the cost of the overall Medicare program by \$178 billion over the next five years. Also, the budget proposal includes a series of proposals impacting Medicaid that would reduce the cost of the Medicaid program by \$17 billion over the next five years. While many of the proposed policy changes require congressional approval to implement, many of these changes could have an adverse effect on the financial condition of our skilled nursing facility customers, which could, in turn, adversely affect the timing or level of their payments to us.

Medicaid

The reimbursement rate for pharmacy services under Medicaid is determined on a state-by-state basis subject to review by CMS and applicable federal law. Although Medicaid programs vary from state to state, they generally provide for the payment of certain pharmacy services, up to established limits, at rates determined in accordance with each state s regulations. The federal Medicaid statute specifies a variety of requirements that a state plan must meet, including the requirements related to eligibility, coverage for services, payment and admissions. For residents that are eligible for Medicaid only, and are not dual eligibles covered under Medicare Part D, we bill the individual state Medicaid program or in certain circumstances the state s designated managed care or other similar organization. Federal regulations and the regulations of certain states establish upper limits for reimbursement of certain prescription drugs under Medicaid. In most states, pharmacy services are priced at the lower of usual and customary charges or cost, which generally is defined as a function of average wholesale price and may include a profit percentage plus a dispensing fee. Most states establish a fixed dispensing fee per prescription that is adjusted to reflect associated cost. Over the last several years, state Medicaid programs have lowered reimbursement through a variety of mechanisms, principally reductions in the discount to average wholesale price levels, expansion of the number of medications subject to federal upper limit pricing and general reductions in contract payment methodology to pharmacies.

In addition, effective October 1, 2007, CMS promulgated new rules under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 or DRA changing the federal upper payment limit for Medicaid reimbursement from 150% of the lowest published price for a drug (which is usually the average wholesale price) to 250% of the lowest average manufacturer price or AMP. Although the use of an AMP benchmark would have reduced Medicaid reimbursement rates for certain generic pharmaceuticals, it did not take effect due to a December 19, 2007, federal district court injunction against CMS prohibiting the agency from implementing the rule. The outcome of the AMP litigation is uncertain, and there can be no assurance that changes in reimbursement formula under the DRA or future legislation or regulation will not have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

Average wholesale price or AWP, is a pricing benchmark published by First DataBank, Inc., which provides drug databases, content integration software and drug reference products. AWP is widely used to calculate a portion of the Medicaid and Medicare Part D drug reimbursements payable to pharmacy providers. In 2005, several pension funds brought an action against First DataBank and another healthcare provider alleging collusion to set AWPs for branded drugs. In October 2006, First DataBank agreed to a proposed settlement that would require it to stop publishing AWP two years after the settlement becomes effective unless a competitor is publishing AWP at that time. First DataBank would also be required to change the way it calculates AWP during the two-year interim period. On January 22, 2008, the court refused to approve the proposed settlement. We are unable to fully evaluate the potential impact until a final action is ultimately determined. There can be no assurance that changes in the calculation of AWP will not have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

Further, in order to rein in healthcare costs, the Corporation anticipates that federal and state governments will continue to review and assess alternative healthcare delivery systems, payment methodologies and

15

operational requirements for healthcare providers, including long-term care facilities and pharmacies. Given the continuous debate regarding the cost of healthcare, managed care, universal healthcare coverage, and other healthcare issues, the Corporation cannot predict with any degree of certainty what additional healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented or the effect any future legislation will have on its business. Longer term, funding for federal and state healthcare programs must consider the aging of the population and the growth in enrollees as eligibility is expanded; the escalation in drug costs owing to higher drug utilization among seniors and the introduction of new, more efficacious but also more expensive medications; the impact of the Medicare Part D benefit for seniors; and the long-term financing of the entire Medicare program. Given competing national priorities, it remains difficult to predict the outcome and impact on us of any changes in healthcare policy relating to the future funding of the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Further, Medicare, Medicaid and/or private payor rates for pharmaceutical supplies and services may not continue to be based on current methodologies or remain comparable to present levels. Any future healthcare legislation or regulation may adversely affect the Corporations business.

As a result of political, economic and regulatory influences, the healthcare delivery industry in the United States is under intense scrutiny and subject to fundamental changes. We cannot predict which reform proposals will be adopted, when they may be adopted, or what impact they may have on us.

The costs associated with complying with federal and state regulations could be significant and the failure to comply with any such legal requirements could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Environmental Matters

In operating our facilities, historically we have not encountered any major difficulties effecting compliance with applicable pollution control laws. No material capital expenditures for environmental control facilities are expected. While we cannot predict the effect which any future legislation, regulations or interpretations may have upon our operations, we do not anticipate any changes regarding pollution control laws that would have a material adverse impact to the Corporation.

Available Information

We make available free of charge on or through our web site, at www.pharmerica.com, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the SEC. Additionally, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C., 20549. Information regarding operation of the Public Reference Room is available by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Information that we file with the SEC is also available at the SEC s Web site at www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should consider carefully the risks described below, together with all of the other information, in evaluating our company and our common stock. If any of the risks described below actually occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial results, financial condition and stock price.

Risk Factors Relating to the Pharmacy Transaction

We have a limited history operating as a stand-alone, publicly traded company on which you can evaluate our performance.

Before the Pharmacy Transaction, we operated as separate businesses of two different companies. We have a limited operating history as a combined business or as a stand-alone, publicly traded company. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our business strategy and operations will be successful on a combined stand-alone basis. We may not be able to grow or integrate our business as planned and may not be profitable.

16

Limited historical financial statements showing our operation of the businesses of PharMerica LTC and KPS as a combined, stand-alone company exist upon which you can evaluate our prospects.

We have operated the businesses of PharMerica LTC and KPS on a combined, stand-alone basis only since July 31, 2007. Therefore, we have limited historical financial statements as an independent, stand-alone company upon which you can evaluate us. The historical results reflected in this Form 10-K are those of KPS only prior to July 31, 2007.

The historical financial information may not be indicative of our future results as a stand-alone, publicly traded company.

The historical financial statements of KPS do not reflect what our financial position, results of operations and cash flows would have been had we been operated as a combined business and a stand-alone, publicly traded company during the periods prior to the Pharmacy Transaction or be indicative of what our results of operations, financial position and cash flows may be in the future. This is primarily a result of the following factors:

the historical financial statements do not reflect certain changes that occurred in our funding and operations as a result of the Pharmacy Transaction;

our historical financial information reflects estimated allocations for services historically provided by Kindred, and we expect these allocations to be different from the costs we will incur for these services in the future:

our historical financial information does not reflect the debt or debt servicing cost we incurred in connection with the Pharmacy Transaction and our obligations to obtain certain goods and services after the transaction; and

the historical financial information of KPS does not reflect any increased costs associated with the Pharmacy Transition to, and status as, a stand-alone, publicly traded company, including changes that will occur in our cost structure, personnel needs, financing and operations of the combined business as a result of the Pharmacy Transaction.

For these and other reasons, our future financial performance may not be reflective of the performance implied by the historical information we have presented for periods prior to July 31, 2007.

The integration of our pharmacy businesses will be time consuming, may distract our management from our operations, and will be expensive, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

If we are unsuccessful in integrating the institutional pharmacy operations of KPS and PharMerica LTC, or if the integration is more difficult than anticipated, we may experience disruptions to our operations. A difficult or unsuccessful integration of these businesses would likely have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Some of the risks that may affect our ability to integrate or realize any anticipated benefits include those associated with:

conforming standards, processes, procedures and controls of the businesses;

difficulties in transferring processes and know-how, including integrating to one information technology platform;

difficulties in the assimilation of acquired operations, technologies or products;

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-K

diversion of management s attention from business concerns; and

adverse effects on employees and business relationships with customers and suppliers.

17

We will need to either contract for or internally develop a number of key services and functions that our parent companies have historically provided to us.

We have not previously performed the various corporate functions required of a publicly traded company. Our former parent companies performed many important corporate functions for each of the businesses before the Pharmacy Transaction, including finance, treasury, tax administration, risk management, accounting, internal audit, financial reporting, legal, regulatory, human resources, employee benefit administration, communications, information technology and business development services. After the Pharmacy Transaction, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen are providing a number of services to us under various agreements, such as accounting, treasury, human resources, risk management, tax, employee benefit administration and financial reporting services. In addition, Kindred will provide us with information services and support for approximately five years following the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction, and AmerisourceBergen will sell to us our pharmaceutical requirements and support the distribution of our direct purchases from manufacturers as well as provide other inventory and packaging services. When the agreements covering these services terminate, we will need to replace these services internally or through third parties. We believe the supplies and services obtained from Kindred and AmerisourceBergen are being provided at fair market value, which nonetheless may be higher than the costs borne by PharMerica LTC and KPS in the past and may not be the lowest price the Corporation could otherwise obtain for comparable supplies and services. Also, replacement services may be available only on terms that are less favorable to us or may not be available to us at all.

We will also need to replicate certain facilities, systems and infrastructure to which we will no longer have access as well as hire new employees to provide these services. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain these services or hire the necessary employees at similar cost-levels or at all. In addition, our management will have to spend considerable time in building an independent infrastructure for corporate, administrative and information technology functions. These initiatives will be costly to implement and the scope and complexity of these projects may be materially higher than we expect.

If we do not have adequate systems and business functions of our own or cannot obtain them from third party providers at an acceptable cost, we may not be able to operate our business effectively, or our internal controls could be impaired, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

We may not realize the benefits we expect by combining the institutional pharmacy businesses of PharMerica LTC and Kindred Pharmacy Services and may experience increased costs after the Pharmacy Transaction which could decrease our overall profitability.

Before the Pharmacy Transaction, our business was part of two separate public companies. We may experience difficulties in integrating the two businesses into one company, and the Pharmacy Transaction may result in increased costs and inefficiencies in our business operations and management. Integration of our businesses may cost significantly more or take longer than we anticipate, which could decrease our profitability or otherwise impact our expected cost-savings. In addition, prior to the Pharmacy Transaction our businesses took advantage of the economies of scale of our former parent companies. As a separate, stand-alone, publicly traded company, we may be unable to obtain goods, services and technology at prices or on terms as favorable as those obtained prior to the Pharmacy Transaction, which could decrease our overall profitability. Furthermore, we may not be successful in transitioning from the services and systems provided by our former parent companies and we may incur substantially higher costs for implementation than currently anticipated. At such point in time as we begin to operate these functions independently, if we do not have in place our own adequate systems and business functions, or outsource them to other providers, we may not be able to operate our business effectively or at comparable costs and it may have a material adverse effect on our profitability. If we fail to realize the anticipated benefits of the Pharmacy Transaction, including, without limitation, the anticipated cost-savings resulting from operating synergies and growth opportunities from combining the businesses, it could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

18

Restrictions on our operations and our obligations to indemnify in connection with the tax-free treatment of the Pharmacy Transaction could materially and adversely affect us.

Certain tax-related restrictions and indemnities set forth in the Tax Matters Agreement agreed to by AmerisourceBergen, Kindred and us in order to maintain the tax-free treatment of the Pharmacy Transaction limit our discretion in the operation of our business and could adversely affect us. Under these provisions, we:

have generally undertaken to maintain our current business as an active business for a period of two years following the completion of the mergers;

are generally restricted, for a period of two years following the mergers, from (i) reacquiring our stock, (ii) issuing stock to any person other than as compensation for services, (iii) making changes in our equity structure, (iv) liquidating, merging or consolidating certain of our subsidiaries, (v) transferring certain material assets except in the ordinary course of business, and (vi) entering into negotiations with respect to, or consenting to, certain acquisitions of our stock;

are generally restricted from taking any other action (including an action that would be inconsistent with the representations relied upon by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen described above) that could jeopardize the tax-free status of the spin-offs; and

have generally agreed to indemnify Kindred and AmerisourceBergen for taxes and related losses incurred as a result of the spin-offs failing to qualify as tax-free transactions provided such taxes and related losses are attributable to any act, failure to act or omission by us or our subsidiaries, including our failure to comply with applicable representations, undertakings and restrictions placed on our actions under the tax matters agreement.

These prohibitions could discourage, delay or prevent equity financings, acquisitions, investments, strategic alliances, mergers and other transactions possibly resulting in a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, any indemnity obligations to Kindred and AmerisourceBergen could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and liquidity.

We have indebtedness, which restricts our ability to pay dividends and has a negative impact on our financing options and liquidity.

We have \$250 million in indebtednesses outstanding under our senior secured credit facility.

We entered into a new senior secured credit facility with certain financial institutions and borrowed \$295 million under that facility to, among other things, refinance the outstanding indebtedness that PharMerica LTC and KPS had previously incurred. The credit agreement governing our senior secured credit facility will permit us, subject to specified conditions, to incur a significant amount of additional indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase. Our credit agreement contains customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including a debt to EBITDA ratio. The amount of this outstanding indebtedness could limit our ability to pay dividends and to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditure and acquisition purposes. In addition, our financing costs will be higher than they were as part of our former parent companies. A significant portion of our cash flows will be dedicated to debt service and will be unavailable for investment, capital expenditures or other operating expenses.

Our ability to make payments on our existing and future debt and to fund working capital needs and planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future, which is largely subject to general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory, legislative and other factors that are beyond our control. Cost containment and lower reimbursement levels relative to increases in cost by third party payors, including federal and state governments, could have a significant negative impact on our business and on our cash flows. Our operating margins continue to be under pressure because of continuing regulatory scrutiny and growth in our operating expenses, such as product and labor costs.

25

As a result of these and other factors, we cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. If we do not generate or are unable to borrow sufficient amounts of cash on satisfactory terms to meet these needs, we may need to seek to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity, sell assets, curtail discretionary capital expenditures or file for bankruptcy protection.

See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources.

We will no longer be able to rely on our former parent companies for diversification of business risk or to provide capital resources.

Before the Pharmacy Transaction, we were operated as separate businesses of two different companies. Following the Pharmacy Transaction, we have less financial and other resources than our former parent companies. Our ability to satisfy our obligations and maintain profitability will be solely dependent upon our performance and we will not be able to rely upon the financial and other resources of our former parent companies.

We may be required to satisfy certain indemnification obligations to our former parent companies or may not be able to collect on indemnification rights from our former parent companies.

Under the terms of the Master Agreement, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen will severally and not jointly indemnify us, and we will indemnify each of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen, for all damages, liabilities and expenses resulting from a breach by the applicable party of the covenants contained in the Master Agreement. Kindred and AmerisourceBergen will severally and not jointly indemnify us for all damages, liabilities and expenses incurred by us relating to the entities, assets and liabilities retained by the applicable parent company, and we will indemnify Kindred and AmerisourceBergen for all damages, liabilities and expenses incurred by each of them relating to our entities, assets and liabilities. Kindred and AmerisourceBergen will severally and not jointly indemnify us against all damages, liabilities and expenses resulting from a breach of their respective representations and warranties in the Master Agreement, provided that each parent company will only be liable to us for breaches of its representations and warranties to the extent our damages from such breaches exceed \$30.0 million in the aggregate (and only to the extent that our damages exceed \$30.0 million) and each parent company will generally not be liable for damages in excess of \$155.0 million. The representations and warranties in the Master Agreement will survive the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction for a period of 15 months.

In addition, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen will severally and not jointly indemnify us, and we will indemnify each of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen, for all damages, liabilities and expenses resulting from a breach by the applicable party of any of the representations, warranties or covenants contained in the tax matters agreement. We will also indemnify each of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen for all damages, liabilities and expenses arising out of any tax imposed with respect to the applicable spin-off if such tax is attributable to any act, any failure to act or any omission by us or any of our subsidiaries. Kindred and AmerisourceBergen will severally and not jointly indemnify us for all damages, liabilities and expenses relating to pre-closing taxes or taxes imposed on us or our subsidiaries because PharMerica LTC or KPS was part of the consolidated return of the applicable parent company, and we will indemnify each of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen for all damages, liabilities and expenses relating to post-closing taxes of us or our subsidiaries.

The indemnification obligations described above could be significant and we cannot presently determine the amount, if any, of indemnification obligations for which we will be liable or for which we will seek payment from our former parent companies. Our ability to satisfy these indemnities will depend upon our future financial performance. Similarly, the ability of our former parent companies to satisfy any such obligations to us will

20

depend on their respective future financial performance. We cannot assure you that we will have the ability to satisfy any substantial obligations to our former parent companies or that our former parent companies will have the ability to satisfy any substantial indemnity obligations to us.

Certain members of our board of directors are officers and/or directors of our former parent companies.

Three of our directors continue to serve in their capacities as officers and directors of our former parent companies. Paul J. Diaz continues to serve as President, Chief Executive Officer and a member of the board of directors of Kindred. Edward L. Kuntz continues to serve as Executive Chairman of the board of directors of Kindred. David Yost continues to serve as Chief Executive Officer and a member of the board of directors of AmerisourceBergen. The service of Mr. Diaz, Mr. Kuntz and Mr. Yost on our board of directors and their continued service as officers and directors of our respective former parent companies, could create, or appear to create, potential conflicts of interest for these officers and directors when faced with decisions that could have implications for either of our former parent companies and us. On the Closing Date, Paul Diaz, David Yost and Edward Kuntz delivered to the Corporation letters announcing their resignation from the Corporation s Board of Directors effective as of the date of the Corporation s 2008 annual meeting of stockholders. Messrs. Diaz, Yost and Kuntz submitted their resignations in accordance with the Master Agreement and not because of any disagreement with the Corporation.

Risk Factors Relating to Our Business

Intense competition may erode our profit margins.

The distribution of pharmaceuticals to healthcare facilities is highly competitive. In each geographic market, there are national, regional and local institutional pharmacies and numerous local retail pharmacies, which provide services comparable to those offered by our pharmacies and which may have greater financial and other resources than we do and may be more established in the markets they serve than we are. We also compete against regional and local pharmacies that specialize in long-term care. Many of our competitors have equal or greater resources and access to capital than the Corporation. Because relatively few barriers to entry exist in the local markets we serve, we may encounter substantial competition from local market entrants. Consolidation within the institutional pharmacy industry may also lead to increased competition. Competitive pricing pressures may adversely affect our future operating revenue and profitability.

We compete based on innovation and service as well as price. To attract new clients and retain existing clients, we must continually meet service expectations of our clients and customers. We cannot be sure that we will continue to remain competitive with the service to our clients at our current levels of profitability.

Our operating revenue and profitability may suffer upon the loss of a significant customer.

If we were to lose all or a substantial portion of our customer relationship with either Ceres or Kindred or if we were only able to continue these relationships on less favorable terms this would have a material adverse affect on our operating revenue and results of operations. There can be no assurance that either Ceres or Kindred will not terminate all or a portion of their relationship with the Corporation.

If we fail to comply with complex and rapidly evolving laws and regulations, we could suffer penalties, or be required to pay substantial damages or make significant changes to our operations.

We are subject to numerous federal and state regulations. If we fail to comply with existing or future applicable laws and regulations, we could suffer civil or criminal penalties, including the loss of our licenses to operate our institutional pharmacies and our ability to participate in federal and state healthcare programs. As a consequence of the severe penalties we could face, we must devote significant operational and managerial resources to complying with these laws and regulations. Although we believe that we are substantially compliant

with all existing statutes and regulations applicable to our business, different interpretations and enforcement policies of these laws and regulations could subject our current practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality, or could require us to make significant changes to our operations. In addition, we cannot predict the impact of future legislation and regulatory changes on our business or assure that we will be able to obtain or maintain the regulatory approvals required to operate our business.

Legal and regulatory changes reducing reimbursement rates for pharmaceuticals and/or medical treatments or services may reduce our profitability.

Both our own profit margins and the profit margins of our customers may be adversely affected by laws and regulations reducing reimbursement rates. The sources and amounts of our revenues are determined by a number of factors, including licensed bed capacity and occupancy rates of our customers, the number of drugs administered to patients and the rates of reimbursement among payors. Changes in the number of drugs administered to patients, as well as payor mix among private pay, Medicare and Medicaid, in our customers facilities will significantly affect our profitability.

Medicare Part D

The Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 or MMA included a major expansion of the Medicare program with the addition of a prescription drug benefit under the new Medicare Part D program. Effective January 1, 2006, Medicare beneficiaries became eligible to enroll in prescription drug plans, or PDPs, offered and administered by private entities and became eligible for coverage of outpatient prescription drugs. The continued impact of these regulations depends upon a variety of factors, including our ongoing relationships with the Part D Plans and the patient mix of our customers. Future modifications to the Medicare Part D program may reduce revenue and impose additional costs to the industry. In addition, we cannot assure you that Medicare Part D and the regulations promulgated under Medicare Part D will not have a material adverse effect on our institutional pharmacy business.

Risks related to manufacturer rebates

Our pharmacies receive rebates from pharmaceutical manufacturers for undertaking certain activities that the manufacturers believe may increase the likelihood that their respective products will be dispensed. The CMS, of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, has questioned whether long-term care pharmacies should be permitted to receive discounts, rebates and other price concessions from pharmaceutical manufacturers with respect to prescriptions covered under the Medicare Part D benefit. In guidance issued to Plan Sponsors, CMS instructed Plan Sponsors to obtain full disclosure from long-term care pharmacies of all discounts, rebates or other remuneration that such pharmacies receive from drug manufacturers and has issued guidelines regarding the information required. CMS has also issued draft reporting requirements for 2008 which would, among other things, require disclosure of non-rebate discounts and price concessions provided to long-term care pharmacies. It is possible that these disclosure requirements and others imposed by CMS could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Our business could be adversely affected if CMS should take any action that has the effect of eliminating or significantly reducing the rebates that we receive from pharmaceutical manufacturers.

Changes in Medicaid Reimbursement

In addition, effective October 1, 2007, CMS promulgated new rules under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 or DRA changing the federal upper payment limit for Medicaid reimbursement from 150% of the lowest published price for a drug (which is usually the average wholesale price) to 250% of the lowest average manufacturer price AMP. Although the use of an AMP benchmark would have reduced Medicaid reimbursement rates for certain generic pharmaceuticals, it did not take effect due to a December 19, 2007, federal district court injunction against CMS prohibiting the agency from implementing the rule. The outcome of the AMP litigation

22

is uncertain. We are unable to fully evaluate the potential impact until a final action is ultimately determined. There can be no assurance that changes in reimbursement formula under the DRA or future legislation or regulation will not have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

The settlement by First DataBank, Inc. on pricing benchmark may reduce reimbursement to us.

AWP, is a pricing benchmark published by First DataBank, Inc., which provides drug databases, content integration software and drug reference products. AWP is widely used to calculate a portion of the Medicaid and Medicare Part D drug reimbursements payable to pharmacy providers. In 2005, several pension funds brought an action against First DataBank and another healthcare provider alleging collusion to set AWPs for branded drugs. In October 2006, First DataBank agreed to a proposed settlement that would require it to stop publishing AWP two years after the settlement becomes effective unless a competitor is publishing AWP at that time. First DataBank would also be required to change the way it calculates AWP during the two-year interim period. On January 22, 2008, the court refused to approve the proposed settlement. We are unable to fully evaluate the potential impact until a final action is ultimately determined. There can be no assurance that changes in the calculation of AWP will not have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

If we or our customers fail to comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations, we may be subjected to penalties or loss of eligibility to participate in these programs.

The Medicare and Medicaid programs are highly regulated. These programs are also subject to frequent and substantial changes. If we or our customers facilities fail to comply with applicable reimbursement laws and regulations, whether purposely or inadvertently, our reimbursement under these programs could be curtailed or reduced and our eligibility to continue to participate in these programs could be adversely affected. Federal or state governments may also impose other penalties on us for failure to comply with the applicable reimbursement regulations. Failure by our customers to comply with these or future laws and regulations could result in our inability to provide pharmacy services to these customers and their residents. We do not believe that we have taken any actions that could subject us to material penalties under these rules and regulations.

Among these laws is the federal anti-kickback statute. This statute prohibits anyone from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying any remuneration with the intent to refer, or to arrange for the referral or order of, services or items payable under a federal healthcare program. Courts have interpreted this statute broadly. Violations of the anti-kickback statute may be punished by a criminal fine of up to \$25,000 for each violation or imprisonment, civil money penalties of up to \$50,000 per violation and damages of up to three times the total amount of the remuneration and/or exclusion from participation in federal health care programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. This law impacts the relationships that we may have with potential referral sources. We have a variety of relationships with potential referral sources, including hospitals and skilled nursing facilities with which we have contracted to provide pharmacy services. Those referral sources would include hospitals and other facilities owned by Kindred. The Office of Inspector General at HHS, or OIG, among other regulatory agencies, is responsible for identifying and eliminating fraud, abuse or waste. The OIG carries out this responsibility through a nationwide program of audits, investigations and inspections. The OIG has promulgated safe harbor regulations that outline practices that are deemed protected from prosecution under the anti-kickback statute. While we endeavor to comply with the applicable safe harbors, certain of our current arrangements may not qualify for safe harbor protection. Failure to meet a safe harbor does not mean that the arrangement necessarily violates the anti-kickback statute, but may subject the arrangement to greater scrutiny. We cannot assure you that practices that are outside of a safe harbor will not be found to violate the anti-kickback statute.

The anti-kickback statute and similar state laws and regulations are expansive. We do not always have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. In the future, different interpretations or enforcement of these laws and regulations could subject our current or past practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality, or could require us to make changes in our facilities, equipment, personnel, services, capital expenditure programs and operating expenses. A determination that we have violated these laws, or the public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations of these laws, could

23

have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects and our business reputation could suffer significantly. If we fail to comply with the anti-kickback statute or other applicable laws and regulations, we could be subjected to liabilities, including criminal penalties, civil penalties (including the loss of our licenses to operate one or more facilities), and exclusion of one or more facilities from participation in the Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state health care programs. In addition, we are unable to predict whether other legislation or regulations at the federal or state level will be adopted, what form such legislation or regulations may take or their impact.

Continuing government and private efforts to contain healthcare costs may reduce our future revenue.

We could be adversely affected by the continuing efforts of government and private payors to contain healthcare costs. To reduce healthcare costs, payors seek to lower reimbursement rates, limit the scope of covered services and negotiate reduced or capped pricing arrangements. For example, President Bush s proposed federal fiscal year 2009 budget includes legislative and administrative proposals that proposes savings of approximately \$178 billion over five years. In addition, the budget proposal includes a series of proposals impacting Medicaid that would introduce savings for the Medicaid program of approximately \$17 billion over five years. While many of the proposed policy changes would require congressional approval to implement, we cannot assure you that reimbursement payments under governmental and private third party payor programs will remain at levels comparable to present levels or will be sufficient to cover the costs allocable to patients eligible for reimbursement under these programs. Any changes that lower reimbursement rates under Medicare, Medicaid or private pay programs could result in a substantial reduction in our net operating revenues. Our operating margins may continue to be under pressure because of deterioration in reimbursement, changes in payor mix and growth in operating expenses in excess of increases, if any, in payments by third party payors.

Healthcare reform could adversely affect the liquidity of our customers which would have an adverse effect on their ability to make timely payments to us for our products and services.

Healthcare reform and legislation may have an adverse effect on our business through decreasing funds available to our customers. Limitations or restrictions on Medicare and Medicaid payments to our customers could adversely impact the liquidity of our customers, resulting in their inability to pay us, or to timely pay us, for our products and services. This inability could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The changing U.S. healthcare industry and increasing enforcement environment may negatively impact our business.

Our products and services are part of the structure of the healthcare financing and reimbursement system currently existing in the United States. In recent years, the healthcare industry has undergone significant changes in an effort to reduce costs and government spending. These changes include an increased reliance on managed care, cuts in Medicare funding affecting our healthcare provider customer base and consolidation of competitors, suppliers and customers.

In January 2005, CMS issued final regulations on Medicare Part D which became effective on January 1, 2006. Most of the nursing center residents that we serve whose drug costs were previously covered by state Medicaid programs are dual eligible who qualify for the new Medicare drug benefit. Accordingly, since January 1, 2006, Medicaid is no longer a primary payor for the pharmacy services provided to these residents.

We expect the healthcare industry to continue to change significantly in the future. Some of these potential changes, such as a reduction in governmental support of healthcare services or adverse changes in legislation or regulations governing prescription drug pricing, healthcare services or mandated benefits, may cause healthcare providers to reduce the amount of our products and services they purchase or the price they are willing to pay for our products and services. If we are unable to adjust to changes in the healthcare environment, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

Further, both federal and state government agencies have increased their focus on and coordination of civil and criminal enforcement efforts in the healthcare area. The OIG and the U.S. Department of Justice have, from time to time, established national enforcement initiatives, targeting all providers of a particular type, that focus on specific billing practices or other suspected areas of abuse. In addition, under the federal False Claims Act, private parties have the right to bring qui tam whistleblower lawsuits against companies that submit false claims for payments to the government. A number of states have adopted similar state whistleblower and false claims provisions. We do not believe that we have taken any actions that could subject us to material penalties under these provisions.

Further consolidation of managed care organizations and other third-party payors may adversely affect our profits.

Managed care organizations and other third-party payors have continued to consolidate in order to enhance their ability to influence the delivery of healthcare services. Consequently, the healthcare needs of a large percentage of the U.S. population are increasingly served by a small number of managed care organizations. These organizations generally enter into service agreements with a limited number of providers for needed services. In addition, private payors, including managed care payors, increasingly are demanding discounted fee structures. To the extent that these organizations terminate us as a preferred provider, engage our competitors as a preferred or exclusive provider or demand discounted fee structures, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

Possible changes in or our failure to satisfy our manufacturers rebate programs could adversely affect our results of operations.

We currently earn rebates from certain manufacturers of pharmaceutical products for meeting tiered market share and purchase volumes. There can be no assurance that pharmaceutical manufacturers will continue to offer these rebates or that we will continue to satisfy the tiered market share and purchase volumes. The termination of such programs or our failure to satisfy the tiered market share and volumes may have an adverse affect on our cost of goods sold and our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

If we or our customers fail to comply with licensure requirements, laws and regulations in respect of healthcare fraud or other applicable laws and regulations, we could suffer penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.

Our pharmacies must be licensed by the state board of pharmacy in the state in which they operate. Many states also regulate out-of-state pharmacies that are delivering prescription products to patients or residents in their states. The failure to obtain or renew any required regulatory approvals or licenses could adversely impact the operation of our business. In addition, the healthcare facilities we service are also subject to extensive federal, state and local regulations and are required to be licensed in the states in which they are located. The failure by these healthcare facilities to comply with these or future regulations or to obtain or renew any required licenses could result in our inability to provide pharmacy services to these facilities and their residents and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

While we believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws, many of the regulations applicable to us, including those relating to marketing incentives offered by pharmaceutical suppliers, and rebates paid by pharmaceutical manufacturers are vague or indefinite and have not been interpreted by the courts. They may be interpreted or applied by a prosecutorial, regulatory or judicial authority in a manner that could require us to make changes in our operations. These changes may be material and may require the expenditure of material funds to implement. We believe that the regulatory environment surrounding most segments of the healthcare industry remains intense. Federal and state governments continue to impose intensive enforcement policies resulting in a significant number of inspections, citations of regulatory deficiencies and other regulatory sanctions including demands for refund of overpayments, terminations from the Medicare and Medicaid

25

programs, bans on Medicare and Medicaid payments and fines. If we or our customers fail to comply with the extensive applicable laws and regulations, we could become ineligible to receive government program reimbursement, suffer civil or criminal penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations. In addition, we could be forced to expend considerable resources responding to an investigation or other enforcement action under these laws or regulations regardless of whether we have actually been involved in any violations or wrong-doing.

Federal and state medical privacy regulations may increase the costs of operations and expose us to civil and criminal sanctions.

We must comply with extensive federal and state requirements regarding the transmission and retention of health information. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, referred to as HIPAA, was enacted to ensure that employees can retain and at times transfer their health insurance when they change jobs, to enhance the privacy and security of personal health information and to simplify healthcare administrative processes. The law requires the adoption of standards for the exchange of electronic health information. Based upon current information, we believe we will be able to fully comply with HIPAA requirements, but at this time, we cannot estimate the cost of compliance or if implementation of the HIPAA standards will result in an adverse effect on our operations or profitability or that of our customers. Failure to comply with HIPAA could result in fines and penalties that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Acquisitions, investments and strategic alliances that we have made or may make in the future may use significant resources, may be unsuccessful and could expose us to unforeseen liabilities.

We have made, as part of our parent companies, and anticipate that we may continue to make acquisitions of, investments in and strategic alliances with complementary businesses to enable us to capitalize on our position in the geographic markets in which we operate and to expand our businesses in new geographic markets. At any particular time, we may be in various stages of assessment, discussion and negotiation with regard to one or more potential acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances, not all of which, if any, will be consummated. Our growth plans rely, in part, on the successful completion of future acquisitions. If we are unsuccessful, our business would suffer.

We intend to make public disclosure of pending and completed acquisitions when appropriate or required by applicable securities laws and regulations. Acquisitions may involve significant cash expenditures, debt incurrence, additional operating losses, amortization of certain intangible assets of acquired companies, and expenses that could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity. Acquisitions involve numerous risks and uncertainties, including, without limitation:

difficulties integrating acquired operations, personnel and information systems, or in realizing projected efficiencies and cost savings;

diversion of management s time from existing operations;

potential loss of key employees or customers of acquired companies;

inaccurate assessment of assets and liabilities and exposure to undisclosed or unforeseen liabilities of acquired companies, including liabilities for failure to comply with healthcare laws;

increases in our indebtedness and a limitation on our ability to access additional capital when needed; and

failure to operate acquired facilities profitably or to achieve improvements in their financial performance.

If we fail to comply with our Corporate Integrity Agreement, we could be subject to severe sanctions, including stipulated monetary penalties and exclusion from federal healthcare programs.

We are subject to the terms of a CIA, entered into between the OIG and PharMerica LTC on March 29, 2005. In June 2004, the OIG commenced an administrative action against PharMerica LTC, including its

subsidiary PharMerica Drug Systems, Inc., or PDSI. The OIG alleged that PDSI s December 1997 acquisition of Hollins Manor I, LLC, or Hollins, from HCMF Corporation, or HCMF, violated the anti-kickback provisions of the Social Security Act. The Hollins acquisition predated the acquisition of PharMerica LTC in 1999 by AmerisourceBergen s predecessor. Hollins was an institutional pharmacy that had been established to serve the nursing homes then operated by HCMF. As part of the settlement, in which PharMerica LTC and PDSI expressly denied wrongdoing, PharMerica LTC paid \$5.8 million to the HHS and entered into a five-year CIA. In turn, the OIG provided PharMerica LTC and its subsidiaries with a full release for the conduct covered by the administrative action, including an agreement not to pursue their exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. Under the CIA, PharMerica LTC agreed to continue its, and the Corporation as of the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction has agreed to maintain a comprehensive compliance program, which includes a corporate compliance officer, a corporate compliance committee, a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, written policies and procedures, educational and training initiatives, review and disciplinary procedures, a confidential disclosure program, an ineligible persons screening program and internal audit and review procedures, all designed to promote compliance with applicable laws, including federal healthcare program requirements, and the promotion of ethical business practices. PharMerica LTC is also subject to extensive reporting requirements under the CIA, including annual reports describing PharMerica LTC s compliance activities, notices of any government investigations or legal proceedings, overpayments received from federal healthcare programs and changes in pharmacy locations and new business units. The term of the CIA is five years and it ends on March 29, 2010. PharMerica LTC is required to comply fully and timely with all of the CIA requirements. Failure to do so may lead to the imposition of stipulated penalties, including substantial monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. Any such penalties could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

If we fail to establish and maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our management will be required to deliver a report in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008 that assesses the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We also will be required to obtain an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm on the operating effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. Significant use of resources, both internal and external, will be required to make the requisite evaluation of the annual effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. While we believe we will have adequate internal controls over financial reporting and will meet our obligations, there can be no assurance that we will be able to complete the work necessary for our management to issue our report in a timely manner or that management or our independent registered public accounting firm will conclude that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective. If we fail to have, or management or our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to conclude that we maintain, effective internal controls and procedures for financial reporting, we could be unable to provide timely and reliable financial information which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity. In addition, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected if we or our independent registered public accounting firm were not able to conclude that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective.

Risks generally associated with our sophisticated information systems may adversely affect our operating results.

We rely on sophisticated information systems in our business to obtain, rapidly process, analyze, and manage data to facilitate the dispensing of prescription and non-prescription pharmaceuticals in accordance with physician orders and deliver those medications to patients and long-term care residents on a timely basis; to manage the accurate billing and collections for thousands of customers; and to process payments to suppliers. Our business and results of operations may be materially adversely affected if these systems are interrupted or damaged or if they fail for an extended period of time.

27

We purchase a significant portion of our pharmaceutical products from one supplier.

We are required to purchase 95% of our pharmaceutical products from AmerisourceBergen, one of our former parent companies, pursuant to the Prime Vendor Agreement. If the Prime Vendor Agreement is terminated or AmerisourceBergen fails to deliver products in accordance with the Prime Vendor Agreement, there can be no assurance that our operations would not be disrupted or that we could obtain the products at similar cost or at all. In this event, failure to satisfy our customers—requirements would result in defaults under these customer contracts subjecting us to damages and the potential termination of those contracts. Such events could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

We primarily obtain our information services from one provider.

We obtain substantially all of our information services from Kindred, one of our former parent companies, pursuant to the Information Services Agreement. If the Information Services Agreement is terminated or Kindred fails to deliver services in accordance with the Information Services Agreement, there can be no assurance that our operations would not be disrupted or that we could obtain the necessary information services and support at similar cost or at all. This could result in our failure to satisfy our customers—requirements or comply with certain of our financial or regulatory reporting requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

Prescription volumes may decline, and our net revenues and profitability may be negatively impacted, if products are withdrawn from the market or if increased safety risk profiles of specific drugs result in utilization decreases.

We dispense significant volumes of brand-name and generic drugs from our institutional pharmacies. These volumes are the basis for our net revenues and profitability. When increased safety risk profiles of specific drugs or classes of drugs result in utilization decreases, physicians may cease writing or reduce the numbers of prescriptions written for these drugs. Additionally, negative press regarding drugs with higher safety risk profiles may result in reduced consumer demand for such drugs. On occasion, products are withdrawn by their manufacturers. In cases where there are no acceptable prescription drug equivalents or alternatives for these prescription drugs, our volumes, net revenues, profitability and cash flows may decline.

We could be required to record a material non-cash charge to income if our recorded intangible assets are impaired, or if we shorten intangible asset useful lives.

We have \$77.5 million of recorded intangible assets, net, on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007. Our intangible assets primarily represent the value of client relationships that was recorded upon our acquisition of PharMerica LTC. Under current accounting rules, intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives. These assets may become impaired with the loss of significant clients or biopharmaceutical manufacturer contracts. If the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the undiscounted pre-tax expected future cash flows from the lowest appropriate asset grouping, we would be required to record a non-cash impairment charge to our statement of income in the amount the carrying value of these assets exceeds the undiscounted expected future cash flows. In addition, while the intangible assets may not be impaired, the useful lives are subject to continual assessment, taking into account historical and expected losses of relationships that were in the base at time of acquisition. This assessment may result in a reduction of the remaining weighted average useful life of these assets, resulting in potentially significant increases to non-cash amortization expense that is charged to our consolidated statement of income. An intangible asset impairment charge, or a reduction of amortization lives, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Failure of key third parties to provide reliable products or services, such as our information services, in a timely manner could cause delays in the delivery of our services, which could damage our reputation, cause us to lose customers and negatively impact our growth.

We are dependent on third parties, such as Kindred, which will provide substantially all of our information system services. Kindred is not in the business of providing comprehensive information technology outsourcing

services to third parties and does not have any significant prior experience providing comprehensive outsourcing information technology services for any third party. If Kindred or other third parties upon whom we are dependent fail to devote sufficient time and resources to us or if their performance is substandard, our business may be harmed. Any delays, malfunctions, inefficiencies or interruptions in these products or services could adversely affect the reliability or operation of our business, which could cause us to experience difficulty retaining current customers and attracting new customers. In addition, our brand, reputation and growth could be negatively impacted.

We are highly dependent on our senior management team and our pharmacy professionals.

We are highly dependent upon the members of our senior management and our pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals. Our business is managed by a small number of senior management personnel. If we were unable to retain these persons, we might be materially adversely affected due to the limited pool of senior management personnel with significant experience in our industry. Accordingly, we believe we could experience significant difficulty in replacing key management personnel. We expect that any employment contracts we enter into with our key management personnel will be subject to termination without cause by either party. Moreover, although the majority of the members of our senior management team have significant experience in the pharmaceutical industry, they are all new employees and will need time to fully assess and understand our business and operations. We can offer no assurance how long these members of senior management will choose to remain with us.

In addition, our continued success depends on our ability to attract and retain pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals. Competition for qualified pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals is intense. The loss of pharmacy personnel or the inability to attract or retain sufficient numbers of qualified pharmacy professionals could adversely affect our business. Although we generally have been able to meet our staffing requirements for pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals, our inability to do so in the future could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

We are exposed to interest rate changes.

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. As of December 31, 2007, we had outstanding debt of \$250 million, all of which was subject to variable rates of interest. We entered into an interest rate swap agreement effective July 31, 2007 with a maturity date of July 31, 2009, to manage our exposure to these fluctuations. Our interest rate swap decreases our variable rate debt as a percentage of our outstanding debt from 100% to 20% as of December 31, 2007. The interest rate swap converts a portion of our indebtedness to a fixed rate with a decreasing notional amount starting at \$200.0 million at an annual fixed rate of 5.123%, plus current applicable margin of 1.25%. The notional amount of the swap agreement represents a balance used to calculate the exchange of cash flows and is not an asset or liability. Our credit risk related to this agreement is considered low because the swap agreement is managed by a creditworthy financial institution. See Item 7,

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Market Risk.

Different interpretations of accounting principles could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Generally accepted accounting principles and the requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) are complex, continually evolving and may be subject to varied interpretation by us, our independent registered public accounting firm and the regulators. Such varied interpretations could result from differing views related to specific facts and circumstances. Differences in interpretation of generally accepted accounting principles and rules of the PCAOB could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

29

Risk Factors Relating to Ownership of Our Common Stock

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile

The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly for many reasons, including, without limitation the following:

as a result of the risk factors listed in this document:

if our business does not fit the investment objectives of the stockholders of our former parent companies, causing them to sell our shares;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

for reasons unrelated to our specific performance, such as reports by industry analysts, investor perceptions, or negative announcements by our customers or competitors regarding their own performance;

regulatory changes that could impact our business; and

general economic and industry conditions.

In addition, when the market price of a company s common stock drops significantly, stockholders often institute securities class action lawsuits against the company. A lawsuit against us could cause us to incur substantial costs and could divert the time and attention of our management and other resources.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and provisions of Delaware law as well as certain provisions of agreements entered into in connection with the Pharmacy Transaction could delay or prevent a change of control that you may favor.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and provisions of Delaware law

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or other change of control that stockholders may consider favorable or may impede the ability of the holders of our common stock to change our management. The provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, among other things:

prohibit stockholder action except at an annual or special meeting. Specifically, this means our stockholders will be unable to act by written consent:

regulate how stockholders may present proposals or nominate directors for election at annual meetings of stockholders. Advance notice of such proposals or nominations will be required;

regulate how special meetings of stockholders may be called. Our stockholders will not have the right to call special meetings;

authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock in one or more series, without stockholder approval. Under this authority, our board of directors could adopt a rights plan which could ensure continuity of management by rendering it more difficult for a potential acquiror or to obtain control of us; and

require an affirmative vote of the holders of three-quarters or more of the combined voting power of our common stock entitled to vote in the election of our directors in order for the stockholders to amend our bylaws.

In addition, because we have not chosen to be exempt from Section 203 of the DGCL, this provision could also delay or prevent a change of control that may be favorable. Section 203 provides that, subject to limited exceptions, persons that acquire, or are affiliated with a person that acquires, more than 15% of the outstanding voting stock of a Delaware corporation shall not engage in any business combination with that corporation, including by merger, consolidation or acquisitions of additional shares, for a three-year period following the date on which that person or its affiliate becomes the holder of more than 15% of the corporation s outstanding voting stock.

30

Agreements entered into in connection with the Pharmacy Transaction

An acquisition of our stock or further issuance of our stock could cause Kindred and AmerisourceBergen to recognize a taxable gain on the spin-off of PharMerica LTC or KPS, respectively. Under the Tax Matters Agreement we would be required to indemnify our former parent companies for the resulting tax, and this indemnity obligation might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control that you may consider favorable.

Several of the agreements that we will enter into with our former parent companies at closing will require us to obtain the consent of one or both of our former parent companies prior to assigning our rights and obligations under such agreements. In addition some of the agreements that we will enter at closing, including certain transition services agreements, may be modified upon a change of control of our company. The consent and termination rights set forth in these agreements might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control that you may consider favorable.

Our ability to pay dividends is limited by our financial results and our debt instruments and we do not anticipate paying any distributions in the foreseeable future.

We anticipate that future earnings will be used principally to support operations and finance the growth of our business. Thus, we do not intend to pay dividends or other cash distributions on our common stock in the foreseeable future. See Dividend Policy . We entered into a senior secured credit facility providing for both term and revolving credit borrowings. The new senior secured credit facility contains financial covenants that require us to satisfy certain financial tests and maintain certain financial ratios. The new senior secured credit facility limits our ability to declare and pay dividends or other distributions on our shares of common stock. If our lenders permit us to declare dividends, the dividend amounts, if any, will be determined by our board of directors, which will consider a number of factors, including our financial condition, capital requirements, funds generated from operations, future business prospects, applicable contractual restrictions and any other factors our board of directors may deem relevant.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

31

Item 2. Properties

We have facilities including offices and key operating facilities (e.g. institutional pharmacies) in various locations throughout the U.S. As of December 31, 2007 all facilities were leased. We consider all of these facilities to be generally adequate for present and currently anticipated needs.

The following table presents certain information with respect to operating leases identified by the Corporation as properties as of December 31, 2007:

	# of	Square		# of	Square
Property	Facilities	Footage	Property	Facilities	Footage
Alabama	3	25,330	Minnesota	1	15,264
Arizona	3	29,586	Mississippi	2	25,239
Arkansas	2	9,350	Missouri	1	4,090
California	13	126,359	Montana	1	2,440
Colorado	4	48,030	Nebraska	1	5,120
Connecticut	2	23,482	Nevada	2	10,860
Delaware	2	26,274	New Hampshire	1	7,500
Florida	10	172,843	New Mexico	1	4,798
Georgia	3	39,150	North Carolina	6	43,360
Hawaii	6	15,693	Ohio	2	17,682
Idaho	1	5,750	Oregon	1	5,820
Illinois	2	30,733	Pennsylvania	9	59,668
Indiana	3	44,289	Rhode Island	1	7,800
Iowa	2	10,342	South Dakota	2	12,050
Kansas	1	9,977	Tennessee	4	36,062
Kentucky	3	105,445	Texas	11	85,622
Louisiana	1	4,914	Utah	2	11,243
Maine	1	10,200	Virginia	4	35,147
Massachusetts	3	64,376	Washington	2	13,580
Maryland	1	10,744	Wisconsin	2	19,860
Michigan	2	13,185			

I tem 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in legal and regulatory proceedings. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of the various ongoing proceedings and whether they will be resolved in our favor, we do not believe that the outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. None.

PART II

It em 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our only class of common equity is our \$0.01 par value common stock, which trades on the NYSE under the symbol PMC. Trading in our common stock commenced on the NYSE on August 1, 2007. Prior to that time, there was no public trading market for our common stock.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share, at closing, of our common stock as reported by the NYSE for the fiscal periods indicated

	High	Low
Fiscal 2006		
First Quarter	N/A	N/A
Second Quarter	N/A	N/A
Third Quarter	N/A	N/A
Fourth Quarter	N/A	N/A
Fiscal 2007		
First Quarter	N/A	N/A
Second Quarter	N/A	N/A
Third Quarter	\$ 17.73	\$ 14.92
Fourth Quarter	\$ 16.62	\$ 13.84

As of February 15, 2008, we had approximately 3,193 stockholders of record of the Corporation s common stock.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total return on a \$100 investment in each of the Common Stock of the Corporation, the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index and the PMC Peer Group Index for the period from August 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007. This graph assumes an investment in the Corporation s common stock and the indices of \$100 on August 1, 2007 and that all dividends were reinvested:

	August 1, 2007	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2007
PharMerica Corporation	\$ 100	\$ 86	\$ 80
S&P 500	100	104	100
PMC Peer Group	100	105	97

The PMC Peer Group Index includes the following companies: Omnicare, Inc., Owens & Minor, Inc., Henry Schein, Inc., St. Jude Medical, Inc., Invacare Corporation, Lincare Holdings, Inc., Rotech Healthcare, Inc., BioScrip, Inc., and PSS World Medical Inc. The total return calculations reflected in the foregoing graph were performed by management of the Corporation.

The Corporation has never paid a cash dividend on its common stock and does not expect to pay cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. Management believes the stockholders are better served if all of the Corporation s earnings are retained for expansion of the business. The Corporation did not repurchase any of the shares of its common stock during the year ended December 31, 2007.

2007 Omnibus Plan

None

On July 12, 2007, the Corporation adopted the PharMerica Corporation 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Omnibus Plan) under which the Corporation is authorized to grant equity-based and other awards to its employees, officers, directors and consultants. The Corporation has reserved 3,800,000 shares of its common stock for awards to be granted under the Omnibus Plan plus 534,642 shares reserved for substitute equity awards for employees of KPS and PharMerica LTC whose awards were cancelled or forfeited upon the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction. On August 7, 2007 the Compensation Committee granted stock based compensation awards with respect to 1,438,583 shares of common stock under the Omnibus Plan with a grant price of \$16.31 per share. The Corporation s Compensation Committee administers the Omnibus Plan and has the authority to determine the recipient of the awards, the types of awards, the number of shares covered and the terms and conditions of the awards. The Omnibus Plan allows for grants of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units, deferred shares, performance awards, including cash bonus awards, and other stock-based awards. On August 7, 2007, the Compensation Committee established long-term and short-term incentive programs under the Omnibus Plan.

Corporation stock options granted under the Omnibus Plan to replace options granted by Kindred or AmerisourceBergen that were cancelled or forfeited upon the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction have the same basic terms and conditions as apply to the cancelled Kindred or AmerisourceBergen options. In addition, unvested restricted shares of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen common stock held by our named executive officers who were formerly KPS or PharMerica LTC employees were replaced with restricted shares of the Corporation s common stock, which have the same basic terms and conditions as apply to the forfeited Kindred or AmerisourceBergen restricted shares.

With regards to the stock options granted under the Omnibus Plan in 2007 (other than the substitute options granted to replace cancelled Kindred and AmerisourceBergen options), each option vests in four equal annual installments and has a term of seven years. The restricted stock/restricted stock units granted under the Omnibus Plan in 2007 (other than the substitute restricted stock granted to replace forfeited Kindred and AmerisourceBergen restricted stock) generally vests in full, upon the three-year anniversary of the date of grant, thus stressing the retentive aspect of these awards. In addition, with respect to the performance share units granted under the Omnibus Plan in 2007, vesting is based upon the Corporation s earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, or Adjusted EBITDA performance, which reinforces the importance of achieving the Corporation s profitability objectives. The performance period is measured in three-year periods with overlapping cycles.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities
None.
Recent Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchases

34

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth equity compensation plan information:

			Number of securities
			remaining available
	Number of securities to		for future issuance
	be issued upon		under equity
	exercise of	Weighted-average exercise	compensation plans
	outstanding options and	price of	(excluding securities
Plan Category	rights	outstanding options and rights	reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans			
approved by stockholders	1,279,333(1)	\$15.26(2)	2,572,585

See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the material features of the Omnibus Plan.

(1) Includes the following:

1,270,383 shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding stock options granted under the Omnibus Plan; and

8,950 shares of common stock to be issued upon vesting of performance share units under the Omnibus Plan.

(2) The weighted average exercise price in column (b) does not take the 8,950 shares of common stock to be issued under performance share units into account.

35

Item 6. Selected Financial Data Selected Financial Data

On July 31, 2007, the Corporation completed the Pharmacy Transaction as described in Item 1. For accounting purposes, the Pharmacy Transaction was treated as an acquisition by KPS of PharMerica LTC with KPS being considered the accounting acquirer based on the application of criteria specified in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards SFAS No. 141 (SFAS 141), *Business Combinations*. As a result, the accompanying financial statements, including the selected historical consolidated financial and operating data set forth below, include certain accounts and results of operations representing the institutional pharmacy business of Kindred. Because KPS was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes, the historical financial statements of KPS became the historical financial statements of the Corporation. Accordingly, the financial statements of the Corporation prior to the Pharmacy Transaction reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of KPS, which during the historical periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, was a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred. Following the Pharmacy Transaction, the financial statements of the current period reflect the financial position, results of operation and cash flow of the Corporation. The results of operations of PharMerica LTC are included in the results of operations of the Corporation beginning August 1, 2007. The following table presents our selected historical consolidated financial and operating data. The selected historical financial and operating data should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (in millions, except for per share data and statistical information):

		Years	Years Ended December 31,				
	200	7 2006	2005	2004	2003		
Statement of operations data:							
Revenues	\$ 1,21	7.8 \$ 652.6	\$ 522.2	\$ 360.0	\$ 272.4		
Cost of goods sold	1,04	4.0 557.9	439.1	302.3	229.7		
Gross profit	17	3.8 94.7	83.1	57.7	42.7		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14	1.4 67.3	46.6	38.2	30.8		
Amortization expense		5.0 3.4	2.2				
Integration, merger related costs, and other charges	5	7.7 2.9)				
Operating income (a)	\$ (3	0.3) \$ 21.1	\$ 34.3	\$ 19.5	\$ 11.9		
of company (n)	+ (=	, , , ==	, , , , ,	+	+		
Net income (loss)	\$ (2	4.1) \$ 12.8	\$ 21.0	\$ 12.1	\$ 7.1		
	+ (=	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ ====	+	+ 112		
Earnings (loss) per common share:							
Basic	\$ (1	.13) NM	I NM	NM	NM		
Diluted	\$ (1	.13) NM	I NM	NM	NM		
Shares used in computing earnings (loss) per common share:							
Basic	2	1.3 NM	I NM	NM	NM		
Diluted	2	1.3 NM	I NM	NM	NM		
Balance sheet data:							
Working capital	\$ 26	8.6 \$ 79.2	\$ 72.3	\$ 28.9	\$ 20.6		
Goodwill	\$ 11	1.3 \$ 45.2	\$ 40.0	\$ 0.7	\$ 2.0		
Intangible assets, net	\$ 7	7.5 \$ 38.0	\$ 34.3	\$ 0.7	\$		
Total assets	\$ 68	0.1 \$ 236.8	\$ 194.6	\$ 63.7	\$ 45.7		
Long-term debt	\$ 25	0.0 \$	\$	\$	\$		
Total stockholder s equity	\$ 30	9.2 \$ 198.3	\$ 170.4	\$ 44.5	\$ 31.7		

36

	Years Ended December 31,							
	2007			2006		2005	2004	2003
Supplemental information:								
Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$	44.5	\$	32.8	\$	40.1	\$ 22.1	\$ 14.0
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	36.3	\$	10.0	\$	5.3	\$ 8.4	\$ 6.4
Net cash used by investing activities	\$	(22.0)	\$	(25.0)	\$	(109.5)	\$ (7.4)	\$ (4.2)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$	14.0	\$	17.3	\$	103.6	\$ 0.1	\$ 3.1
Statistical Information (in whole numbers except where indicated)								
Institutional Pharmacy								
Volume Information:								
Prescriptions dispensed (millions)		24.8		12.6		10.3	7.6	6.5
Revenue per prescription dispensed	\$	46.90	\$	47.79	\$	46.19	\$ 44.84	\$ 41.91
Gross Profit per prescription dispensed	\$	6.56	\$	6.67	\$	7.02	\$ 6.93	\$ 6.57
Customer licensed beds under contract:								
Beginning of period	1	02,571		93,282		66,195	61,407	58,839
Additions Organic		22,838		14,709		10,634	8,905	8,961
Additions Acquisitions	2	37,538		4,858		23,540	1,383	
Losses	((25,983)		(10,056)		(6,648)	(5,291)	(6,470)
Other		79		(222)		(439)	(209)	77
End of period	3	37,043]	102,571		93,282	66,195	61,407
1		,		,		,	ĺ	,
Number of customer licensed beds at end of period:								
Affiliated		28,228		30,232		28,657	28,634	28,280
Non-affiliated	3	08,815		72,339		64,625	37,561	33,127
	3	37,043	1	102,571		93,282	66,195	61,407
		,				,	,->0	,.07
Hospital management contracts serviced		86		81		73	69	
1								

⁽a) Includes depreciation expense of \$15.6 million, \$5.4 million, \$3.6 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

⁽¹⁾ See Use of Non GAAP Measures for a definition and reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which reflect the Corporation's current estimates, expectations and projections about the Corporation's future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, the information concerning the Corporation's possible future results of operations including revenue, costs of goods sold, and gross margin, business and growth strategies, financing plans, the Corporation's competitive position and the effects of competition, the projected growth of the industries in which we operate, and the benefits and synergies to be obtained from the Pharmacy Transaction. Forward-looking statements include statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by forward-looking words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, plan, may, should, will, would, project and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are beinformation currently available to us and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the Corporation's actual results, performance, prospects or opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause the Corporation's actual results to differ materially from the results referred to in the forward-looking statements we make in this report include:

changes in or the failure to achieve the underlying assumptions and expectations related to the Pharmacy Transaction;

availability of financial and other resources to us after the Pharmacy Transaction, including our expectations regarding liquidity and capital resources;

the Corporation s different capital structure as a stand-alone, publicly traded company, including the Corporation s access to capital, credit ratings, indebtedness and ability to raise additional financings and operate under the terms of the Corporation s debt obligations;

a determination by the IRS that the Pharmacy Transaction should be treated as a taxable transaction, in whole or in part, and any tax liabilities and indemnification obligations related thereto;

the Corporation s ability to operate under the terms of the Tax Matters Agreement, including the covenants and restrictions which limit the Corporation s discretion in the operation of the Corporation s business;

certain conflicts of interest, including, without limitation, conflicts resulting from continuing relationships with the Corporation s former parent companies and overlapping directorships between us and the Corporation s former parent companies;

the effects of intense competition in the markets in which we operate;

the effects of retaining existing customers and service contracts and ability to attract new customers for growth of the Corporation s business;

the effects of the loss or bankruptcy of or default by a significant customer, supplier or other entity relevant to the Corporation s operations;

the Corporation s ability to implement its business strategy, including, without limitation, the Corporation s ability to integrate and consolidate the formerly separate institutional pharmacy businesses of the Corporation s former parent companies, including costs associated with such integration, and resolve any dislocations or inefficiencies in connection with the Pharmacy Transaction;

the Corporation s ability to successfully pursue the Corporation s development activities and successfully integrate new operations and systems, including the realization of anticipated revenues, economies of scale, cost savings and productivity gains associated with such operations;

38

the Corporation s ability to control costs, particularly labor and employee benefit costs, rising pharmaceutical costs and regulatory compliance costs;

the effects of healthcare reform and government regulations, interpretation of regulations and changes in the nature and enforcement of regulations governing the healthcare and institutional pharmacy services industries;

changes in the reimbursement rates or methods of payment from Medicare and Medicaid and other third party payors, or the implementation of other measures to reduce the reimbursement for the Corporation s services or the services of the Corporation s customers and the impact of Medicare Part D;

the Corporation s ability, and the ability of the Corporation s customers, to comply with Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement regulations or other applicable laws;

further consolidation of managed care organizations and other third party payors;

political and economic conditions nationally, regionally and in the markets in which we operate;

natural disasters, war, civil unrest, terrorism, fire, floods, earthquakes, hurricanes or other matters beyond the Corporation s control;

elimination of, changes in or the Corporation s failure to satisfy pharmaceutical manufacturers rebate programs;

the Corporation s ability to obtain goods and services provided by the Corporation s former parent companies under the Transition Services Agreements, IT Services Agreement and Prime Vendor Agreement at comparable prices and on terms as favorable as those obtained under such agreements;

the Corporation s ability to attract and retain key executives, pharmacists and other healthcare personnel;

the Corporation s ability to comply with the terms of its Corporate Integrity Agreement entered into between the Office of Inspection General of the Department of Health and Human Services and PharMerica LTC on March 29, 2005;

the Corporation s ability to ensure and maintain an effective system of internal controls over financial reporting;

the Corporation s risk of loss not covered by insurance;

the outcome of litigation to which the Corporation is a party from time to time;

changes in accounting rules and standards, audits, compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and regulatory investigations;

changes in market conditions that would result in the impairment of goodwill or other assets of the Corporation;

changes in market conditions in which we operate that would influence the value of the Corporation s stock;

changes in volatility of the Corporation s stock price and the risk of litigation following a decline in the price of the Corporation s stock price;

the adequacy of our facilities to accommodate our anticipated needs;

the effects of changes to critical accounting estimates; and

other factors, risks and uncertainties referenced in the Corporation s filings with the Commission, including the Risk Factors set forth in this Report on Form 10-K.

39

YOU ARE CAUTIONED NOT TO PLACE UNDUE RELIANCE ON ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, ALL OF WHICH SPEAK ONLY AS OF THE DATE OF THIS ANNUAL REPORT. EXCEPT AS REQUIRED BY LAW, WE UNDERTAKE NO OBLIGATION TO PUBLICLY UPDATE OR RELEASE ANY REVISIONS TO THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS TO REFLECT ANY EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES AFTER THE DATE OF THIS ANNUAL REPORT OR TO REFLECT THE OCCURRENCE OF UNANTICIPATED EVENTS. ALL SUBSEQUENT WRITTEN AND ORAL FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO US OR ANY PERSON ACTING ON THE CORPORATION S BEHALF ARE EXPRESSLY QUALIFIED IN THEIR ENTIRETY BY THE CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS CONTAINED OR REFERRED TO IN THIS SECTION AND IN OUR RISK FACTORS SET FORTH IN PART I, ITEM 1A OF THIS REPORT ON FORM 10-K AND IN THE SECTION CAPTIONED RISK FACTORS IN THE FORM S-4/S-1, AND IN OTHER REPORTS FILED WITH THE SEC BY THE CORPORATION.

General

Pharmacy Transaction

The Corporation, formerly known as Safari Holding Corporation, was formed on October 23, 2006 by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen for the purpose of consummating the transactions contemplated by the Master Agreement dated October 25, 2006, as amended. Pursuant to the Master Agreement, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen, through the Pharmacy Transaction, combined their respective institutional pharmacy businesses, KPS and PharMerica LTC, into a new, stand-alone, publicly traded company. The Pharmacy Transaction was consummated on July 31, 2007.

The shares of common stock of the Corporation were registered with the Commission on Form S-4/S-1, which was declared effective by the Commission on July 17, 2007. See Notes 1 and 2 to the Corporation s consolidated financial statements for additional information related to the Pharmacy Transaction and the formation of the Corporation.

On August 1, 2007, the Corporation began trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol PMC. Under the terms of the Pharmacy Transaction, on the Closing Date, each of KPS and PharMerica LTC borrowed \$125.0 million as mutually agreed upon by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen and used such proceeds to fund a one-time, tax-free cash distribution in that amount to their respective parent companies. Following the cash distributions, Kindred spun off to its stockholders all of the outstanding stock of KPS and AmerisourceBergen spun off to its stockholders all of the outstanding stock of PharMerica LTC. Immediately thereafter, separate wholly owned subsidiaries of the Corporation were merged with and into KPS and PharMerica LTC with KPS and PharMerica LTC as the surviving entities of the mergers, and, as a result, KPS and PharMerica LTC became wholly owned subsidiaries of the Corporation. The Corporation issued 30 million shares of its common stock in the mergers (see Note 2 to the Corporation s consolidated financial statements). In the mergers, each Kindred stockholder received approximately 0.366 shares of the Corporation s common stock in respect of each share of Kindred common stock held on the record date and each AmerisourceBergen stockholder received approximately 0.083 shares of the Corporation s common stock in respect of each share of AmerisourceBergen common stock held on the record date. Immediately following such spin-offs and mergers, the stockholders of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen each owned 50% of the outstanding common stock of the Corporation. The shares of the Corporation s common stock held by Kindred and AmerisourceBergen prior to the Pharmacy Transaction were cancelled, and neither retained any ownership of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation.

The Pharmacy Transaction was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, with KPS treated as the accounting acquirer. Under the purchase method of accounting, the deemed purchase price was allocated to the underlying tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired based upon their respective fair values with any excess deemed purchase price allocated to goodwill. See Note 2 to the Corporation s consolidated financial statements for additional information.

40

Prior to the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation had no assets or liabilities and conducted no business activity. Prior to the closing of the Pharmacy Transaction, the Corporation s business was operated as separate businesses of two different public companies, Kindred and AmerisourceBergen.

Reporting Entity

The financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 reflect the financial position, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation, which during the 2005 and 2006 periods covered by this Annual Report and the first seven months of 2007, KPS was a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred. As noted above, the Pharmacy Transaction was accounted for as an acquisition by KPS of PharMerica LTC based upon the application of criteria specified in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. As a result, the historical financial statements of KPS have become the historical financial statements of the Corporation. The results of PharMerica LTC are included in the results of operations of the Corporation beginning August 1, 2007. Accordingly, except as otherwise discussed below, this Management s Discussion and Analysis reflects the financial condition, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation at December 31, 2007 and historically of KPS on a stand-alone basis for all periods prior to August 1, 2007. The financial condition, results of operations and liquidity of the Corporation as of December 31, 2007 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 may not be indicative of the Corporation s future performance or reflect what the Corporation s financial conditions, results of operations and liquidity would have been had the Pharmacy Transaction been consummated as of January 1 of each respective year had the Corporation operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented.

The Corporation s Business and Industry Trends

The Corporation is an institutional pharmacy services company, which services healthcare facilities and provides management pharmacy services to hospitals. The Corporation is the second largest institutional pharmacy services company in the United States. The Corporation operates 115 institutional pharmacies in 40 states. The Corporation s customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as nursing centers, assisted living facilities, hospitals and other long-term alternative care settings. The Corporation is generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals to its customers. The Corporation also provides pharmacy management services to 86 hospitals in the United States.

The institutional pharmacy services business is highly competitive. Competition is a significant factor that can impact the Corporation s financial results. In each geographic market, there are national, regional and local institutional pharmacies that provide services comparable to those offered by the Corporation s pharmacies. These pharmacies may have greater financial and other resources than we do and may be more established in the markets they serve than we are. The Corporation also competes against regional and local pharmacies that specialize in the highly-fragmented long-term care markets. In the future some of the Corporation s customers may seek to in-source the provision of pharmaceuticals to patients in their facilities by establishing an internal pharmacy.

A variety of factors are affecting the institutional pharmacy industry. With an aging population and the extension of drug coverage to a greater number of individuals through Medicare Part D, the consumption of pharmaceuticals by residents of long-term care facilities is likely to increase in the future. In addition, individuals are expected to enter assisted living facilities, independent living facilities and continuing care retirement communities at increasing rates. The implementation of Medicare Part D on January 1, 2006, significantly affected the delivery of pharmaceutical care to the elderly. Under Medicare Part D, eligible individuals may choose to enroll in various Medicare Part D Plans to receive prescription drug coverage. Each Medicare Part D Plan determines the formulary for the long-term care residents enrolled in its plan. Accordingly, institutional pharmacies must follow each Part D Plan s formulary, reimbursement and administrative processes for the long-term care residents they serve. Institutional pharmacies have expanded their formularies to accommodate various formularies of key Part D

41

Plans. Institutional pharmacies may experience increased administrative burdens and costs owing to the greater complexity of the requirements for drug reimbursement. Medicare Part D also requires increased choices for patients with respect to complex drug categories and therapeutic interchange opportunities. Institutional pharmacies may realize increased revenue by providing long-term care residents with specialized services in these areas. Continued industry consolidation may also impact the dynamics of the institutional pharmacy market.

In addition, our continued success depends on our ability to attract and retain pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals. Competition for qualified pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals is strong. The loss of pharmacy personnel or the inability to attract, retain or motivate sufficient numbers of qualified pharmacy professionals could adversely affect our business. Although we generally have been able to meet our staffing requirements for pharmacists and other pharmacy professionals in the past, our inability to do so in the future could have a material adverse impact on us.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if:

It requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made; and

Change in the estimate or different estimates that could have been made could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The Corporation s management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting estimates with the audit committee of the Board of Directors and with the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm, and they both have reviewed the disclosure presented below relating to critical accounting estimates.

The table of critical accounting estimates is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of the Corporation s accounting policies that require estimates. Management believes that of the significant accounting policies, as discussed in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report, the estimates discussed below involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity. Management believes the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in the consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in the consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the Corporation.

The table that follows presents information about our critical accounting estimates, as well as the effects of hypothetical changes in the material assumptions used to develop each estimate:

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Allowance for doubtful accounts and provision for doubtful accounts

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from Prescription Drug Plans (PDP s) under Medicaid Part D, the respective state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies and private payors. Our ability to collect outstanding receivables is critical to our results of operations and cash flow. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, we established an allowance for doubtful accounts to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. The primary uncertainties lie with the private payors, which include co-payments and deductibles from individual patients, dual eligible co-payments that are due from PDP s, and payments due from some long-term care institutions. In addition, certain drugs dispensed are subject to being returned and the responsible paying party is due back a credit for such returns.

Our allowances for doubtful accounts, included in our balance sheet at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, were \$43.4 million and \$16.6 million, respectively. The large increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts occurred as a result of the Pharmacy Transaction in the third quarter of 2007.

In addition, the allowance for contractual returns and revenue allowances was \$10.6 million at December 31, 2007.

Our provision for doubtful accounts included in our statements of operations excluding the impact of the third quarter 2007 change in estimate was as follow (in millions):

	2007	2006	2005
March 31	\$ 1.1	\$ 2.0	\$ 0.8
June 30	3.3	2.8	0.5
September 30	6.3	1.9	0.2
December 31	5.5	0.6	(2.6)
Total	\$ 16.2	\$ 7.3	\$ (1.1)

Under Medicare Part D, co-payments related to institutional residents who are both Medicare and Medicaid eligible (dual eligible) are due from the responsible party for up to the first thirty days of a beneficiary s stay in a skilled nursing facility subsequent to which the PDPs are responsible for reimbursement. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Corporation had dual eligible co-pay receivables from the PDPs of \$6.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, of which approximately 86% and 30% was reserved at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Please refer to Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed rollforward of our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Assumptions/Approach Used

The largest components of bad debts in our accounts receivable relate to the accounts for which private payors are responsible, (which we refer to as private and other), dual eligible co-payments from PDP s which are included in Medicare Part D receivables, and accounts for which our customers from long-term care institutions are responsible for under Medicare Part A and owe us for the drug component of their patients stay at their respective institution.

We attempt to collect the private and other accounts through various efforts for which the patient is the responsible party. We attempt to collect the dual eligible co-payments from PDP s by obtaining the appropriate documentation from the responsible party of the patient or from the documentation located at the long-term care institution. This is known as Best Available Evidence or BAE. We attempt to collect payments due from long-term care institutions through billing and

collecting in accordance with the terms of the contracts. In all cases, the drugs have been dispensed.

In general, we perform the following steps in collecting accounts receivable:

if possible, perform up front adjudication prior to dispensing the product;

billing and follow-up with third party payors;

billing and follow-up with long-term care institutions;

utilization of collection agencies;

other legal processes; and

if all collection efforts are unsuccessful, write off of the accounts.

We determine the allowance for doubtful accounts utilizing a number of analytical tools and benchmarks. No single statistic or measurement alone determines the allowance for doubtful accounts.

We monitor and review trends by payor classification along with the composition of our aging accounts receivable. This review is focused primarily on trends in private and other payor, dual eligible co-payments, historic payment patterns of long-term care institutions, and monitoring respective credit risks.

In addition, we analyze other factors such as revenue days in accounts receivables, denial trends by payor types, subsequent cash collections, and current events that may impact payment patterns of our long-term care institution customers.

The following table shows our consolidated revenue days outstanding reflected in our consolidated net accounts receivable as of the dates indicated:

	4	Revenue Days Outstanding in Accounts Receivable		
	2007	2006	2005	
March 31	41.5	40.7	23.1	
June 30	44.4	42.2	22.9	
September 30	45.4	39.3	31.0	
December 31	40.1	42.6	37.5	

During the third quarter 2007, the Corporation performed a comprehensive assessment of its allowance for doubtful accounts estimation methodologies and reserve levels in light of its expectations around the ultimate collection of its accounts receivable balances. The Corporation considered recent industry trends, changes in reimbursement sources and procedures, age of receivables and recent collection history. In connection with that comprehensive assessment of allowance for doubtful accounts, included in amounts charged to integration, merger related costs and other charges is a change in accounting estimate to increase the allowance for doubtful accounts by \$27.9 million, resulting in loss per share impact of \$0.84.

Sensitivity Analysis

If our provision as a percent of institutional revenue increases 0.25%, our after tax income would change by approximately \$1.9 million or \$0.09 per diluted share.

This is only one example of reasonably possible sensitivity scenarios. The process of determining the allowance requires us to estimate uncollectible accounts that are highly uncertain and requires a high degree of judgment. Our estimates may be impacted by economic conditions, success in collections at the regional business offices, payor mix and trends in federal and state regulations.

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Revenue recognition/Allowance for contractual discounts

We recognize revenues at the time services are provided or products are delivered.

Our sources of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 are as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Medicare Part D	45.2%	38.6%	%
LT Care Institution	30.3	37.1	40.1
Medicaid	8.9	8.6	45.4
Private and other	6.4	3.6	4.4
Hospital Management	4.5	7.7	8.9
Insured	3.8	3.2	
Medicare	0.9	1.2	1.2
	100%	100%	100%

Please refer to Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed discussion of our revenue recognition policies.

Assumptions/Approach Used

A significant portion of our revenues are billed to PDPs under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies, and private payors. Some claims are electronically adjudicated through online processing at the point the prescription is dispensed such that our operating system is automatically updated with the amount actually reimbursed. As a result, our revenues and the associated receivables are based upon the actual reimbursement received. For claims that are adjudicated on-line and are rejected or otherwise denied upon submission, the Corporation provides contractual allowances based upon historical trends, contractual reimbursement terms and other factors which may impact ultimate reimbursement. Amounts are adjusted to actual reimbursed amounts based upon cash receipts.

Co-payments for our services can be applicable under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, and certain third party payors and are typically not collected at the time products are delivered or services are provided. Co-payments under the Medicaid programs and third party plans are generally billed to the responsible party as part of our normal billing procedures which are subject to normal collection procedures.

Under Medicare Part D, co-payments related to institutional residents who are both Medicare and Medicaid eligible (dual eligible) are due from the responsible party for up to the first thirty days of a beneficiary s stay in a skilled nursing facility subsequent to which the PDP s are responsible for reimbursement. Total co-pay revenue, net of contractuals, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$1.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. There were no co-pay revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Under certain circumstances, including state-mandated return policies under various Medicaid programs, we accept returns of medications and issue credit memorandums to the applicable payor. Product returns are processed in the period returned. We estimate an amount for expected returns based on historical trends.

Our hospital pharmacy management revenues represent contractually defined management fees and the reimbursement of costs associated with the direct operations of hospital pharmacies, and are primarily comprised of personnel costs.

Sensitivity Analysis

Due to the large number of contractual customers, if our reimbursement declined or was negatively impacted 0.25%, the negative impact on net income would be \$1.9 million or \$0.09 per diluted share.

44

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Inventories and cost of drugs dispensed

Our inventories are located at each one of our institutional pharmacy locations. These inventories consist of prescription drugs, over the counter products and intravenous solutions. Our inventories relating to controlled substances are maintained on a manually prepared perpetual system to the extent required by the Drug Enforcement Agency. All the other inventories are maintained on a periodic system, through the performance of monthly physical inventories.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, our inventories on our consolidated balance sheets were as follows (in millions):

2007	\$ 77.9
2006	\$ 28.0

Our Inventory turns were as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
March 31	15.8	15.2	14.5
June 30	16.1	15.9	14.7
September 30	15.9	14.0	14.7
December 31	16.7	15.7	14.7

We receive rebates on purchases from various vendors and suppliers.

Rebates included in our statements of operations were as follows (in millions):

	2007	2006	2005
March 31	\$ 4.0	\$ 3.1	\$ 2.0
June 30	3.8	3.3	2.0
September 30	11.5	3.9	2.5
December 31	12.4	3.6	2.5
Total	\$ 31.7	\$ 13.9	\$ 9.0

Please refer to Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed discussion of our inventories.

Assumptions/Approach Used

Our inventories are maintained on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) lower cost or market basis. Our controlled prescription drugs are maintained on a perpetual inventory basis to the extent required by the Drug Enforcement Agency. All other inventories are maintained on a periodic basis. We perform monthly inventory counts at all locations with the use of our personnel and the use of third party inventory count teams under our supervision.

All inventory counts are reconciled to the balance sheet account and differences are adjusted through cost of goods sold. In addition, we record an amount of potential returns of prescription drugs based on historical rates of returns.

The large increase in our inventories was the result of the acquisition of PharMerica LTC. The increase in inventories at the date of acquisition was \$51.3 million.

We account for rebates and other incentives received from vendors and suppliers, relating to the purchase or distribution of inventory, as a reduction to cost of goods sold and inventory, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 02-16, Accounting by a Customer for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor. We consider these rebates to represent product discounts, and as a result, the rebates are capitalized as a reduction of product cost and relieved through cost of goods sold upon the sale of the related inventory.

Upon completion of the Pharmacy Transaction, we changed the method of estimating rebates received from our vendors and suppliers. The change in accounting estimate was driven primarily by our experience with the industry and known facts and assumptions from the Pharmacy Transaction. The result of this was a reduction in the net loss of approximately \$2.0 million or \$0.09 per share.

Sensitivity Analysis

Actual inventory counts may include estimates based on amounts that may be dispensed from an open container. In addition, items are reviewed for potential obsolescence.

A 1% error rate in the count of prescription drugs in inventory would negatively impact net income \$0.5 million, or \$0.02 per diluted share.

If our rebates received were to be reduced by 1.0%, the effect on net income for the year ended December 31, 2007 would have been a decrease of \$0.2 million, or \$0.01 per diluted share.

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Goodwill, other intangible assets and accounting for business combinations

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of acquired companies. Our intangible assets are comprised primarily of tradenames, customer relationship assets, and non-compete agreements.

Our goodwill included in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was as follows (in millions):

2007	\$ 1	111.3
2006	\$	45.2

The increase in our goodwill during 2007 was primarily the result of the acquisition of PharMerica LTC. This acquisition resulted in \$64.9 million of goodwill.

Our net intangible assets, included in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were as follows (in millions):

2007	\$	77.5
2006	\$	38.0

The amount of accumulated amortization of intangible assets as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was as follows (in millions):

2007	\$	10.2
2006	\$	5.2
2005	\$	1.8

Please refer to Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed rollforward of our goodwill and intangible assets.

Assumptions/Approach Used

We follow the guidance in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, and test goodwill for impairment using a fair value approach. We are required to test for impairment annually, absent some triggering event that would accelerate an impairment test. We determine fair value using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow and market multiple analyses. These types of analyses require us to make assumptions and estimates regarding future cash flows, industry economic factors and the profitability of future business strategies.

The purchase price of acquisitions are allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values and are subject to change during the twelve month period subsequent to the acquisition date. We engage independent third-party valuation firms to assist us in determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such valuations require us to make significant estimates and assumptions, including projections of future events and operating performance.

Fair value estimates are derived from independent appraisals, established market values of comparable assets, or internal calculations of estimated future net cash flows. Our estimate of future cash flows is based on assumptions and projections we believe to be currently reasonable and supportable. The ultimate decision of allocations are that of management.

We follow the guidance in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, for assessing the potential impairment of tangible assets and long-lived assets recorded on the Corporation s balance sheet. We review our assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Sensitivity Analysis

We performed our annual testing for goodwill impairment as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 using the methodology described here, and determined that no goodwill impairment existed. If actual future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges in the future. Our estimate of fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable based upon current facts and circumstances. If 10% of the non-depreciable assets acquired during 2007 were allocated to amortizable assets with an average life of 20 years, amortization expense would have increased by approximately \$0.1 million in 2007 or less than \$0.01 per diluted share.

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Accounting for income taxes

The provision for income taxes is based upon the Corporation s annual taxable income or loss for each respective accounting period. The Corporation recognizes an asset or liability for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets generally represent items that will result in a tax deduction in future years for which we have already recorded the tax benefit in our income statement. The Corporation also recognizes as deferred tax assets the future tax benefits from net operating and capital loss carryforwards.

We assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our net deferred tax asset balances in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions), including the impact of valuation allowances:

2007	9	85.9
2006	9	\$ 6.1

Our valuation allowances for deferred tax assets in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

2007		\$ 6.0
2006		\$

Significant judgment is required in determining and assessing the impact of uncertain tax positions. For an identified uncertain tax position to qualify for benefit recognition, the position must have at least a more-likely-than-not chance of being sustained on its technical merits if challenged by relevant taxing authorities and taken by management to the court of last resort. If an uncertain position does not meet this recognition threshold based on our analysis of applicable tax law, we establish a FIN 48 liability for the realized, but unrecognized tax benefit. As of December 31, 2007, the Corporation s unrecognized tax benefits were \$3.8 million. The Corporation records accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as income tax expense in the consolidated statement of operations. We recognize the benefit for an uncertain tax position we have taken upon any one of the following conditions: 1) the recognition threshold is met due to changes in facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date; 2) the tax position is effectively settled through examination, negotiation or litigation; or 3) the statute of limitations for the relevant taxing authority to examine and challenge the tax position has expired.

Please refer to Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed discussion of our accounting for income taxes.

Assumptions/Approach Used

The first step in determining the deferred tax asset valuation allowance is identifying reporting jurisdictions where we have a history of tax and operating losses or are projected to have losses in future periods as a result of changes in operational performance. We then determine if a valuation allowance should be established against the deferred tax assets for that reporting jurisdiction. The second step is to determine the amount of valuation allowance. We will generally establish a valuation allowance equal to the net deferred tax asset (deferred tax assets less deferred tax liabilities) related to the jurisdiction identified in step one of the analysis. In certain cases, we may not reduce the valuation allowance by the amount of the deferred tax liabilities depending on the nature and timing of future taxable income attributable to deferred tax liabilities.

Tax benefits from uncertain tax positions are recognized in the Corporation s financial statements if it is more-likely-than-not that the position is sustainable based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether the position has met this recognition threshold, the Corporation assumes that the appropriate taxing authority has full knowledge of all relevant information. The amount of benefit recognized in the Corporation s financial statement for a tax position meeting the recognition threshold is determined by a measurement of the largest amount of benefit that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

Subsequent recognition, derecognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions is based on management s best judgment given the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date.

Sensitivity Analysis

Our deferred tax assets exceeded our deferred tax liabilities by \$85.9 million as of December 31, 2007, including the impact of valuation allowances. Historically, we have produced federal taxable income and we expect to generate taxable income in future years. Therefore, we believe that the likelihood of our not realizing the federal tax benefit of our deferred tax assets is remote.

However, we do have subsidiaries with a history of tax losses in certain state jurisdictions and, based upon those historical tax losses and current expected results, we assumed that the subsidiaries would not be profitable in the future for those states—tax purposes unless a strong earnings history existed apart from an identifiable operational condition no longer present. If our assertion regarding the future profitability of those subsidiaries was incorrect, then our deferred tax assets would be understated by the amount of the valuation allowance of \$6.0 million at December 31, 2007.

The IRS may propose adjustments for items we have failed to identify as tax contingencies. If the IRS were to propose and sustain assessments we would incur additional tax payments for 2007 plus the applicable penalties and interest.

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Accounting for stock-based compensation

On July 12, 2007, the Corporation adopted the PharMerica Corporation Omnibus Plan under which the Corporation is able to grant equity-based and other awards to its employees, directors and consultants. The Corporation has initially reserved up to 3,800,000 shares of its common stock for awards to be granted under the plan plus 534,642 shares issued for converted equity awards held by employees of KPS and PharMerica LTC upon the consummation of the Pharmacy Transaction. On August 7, 2007 the Compensation Committee granted stock based compensation awards with respect to 1,438,583 common shares under the Omnibus Plan. The Corporation s Compensation Committee administers the Omnibus Plan and has the authority to determine the recipient of the awards, the types of awards, the number of shares covered and the terms and conditions of the awards. The Omnibus Plan allows for grants of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, deferred shares, performance awards, including cash bonus awards, and other stock-based awards. On August 7, 2007, the Compensation Committee established long-term and short-term incentive programs under the Omnibus Plan.

Stock options converted from Kindred or AmerisourceBergen to PharMerica options subsequent to the Pharmacy Transaction have the same terms and conditions as the cancelled Kindred or AmerisourceBergen options. In addition, unvested restricted shares of Kindred and AmerisourceBergen common stock held by our named executive officers who were formerly PharMerica LTC or KPS employees were replaced with restricted shares of the Corporation s common stock, which will have the same terms and conditions as apply to the forfeited Kindred or AmerisourceBergen restricted shares.

Our stock-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 included in our results of operations was as follows (in millions):

2007	\$ 1.5
2006	\$ 0.9
2005	\$ 0.8

Please refer to Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed discussion of our accounting for stock-based compensation.

Assumptions/Approach Used

In connection with the 2007 granting of shares under the Omnibus plan, each option is to vest in four equal annual installments and to have a term of seven years. The restricted shares/restricted share units will generally vest, in full, upon the three year anniversary of the date of grant, thus stressing the retentive aspect of these awards. The full vesting of performance share units is based upon the Corporation s earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, or Adjusted EBITDA performance, which will reinforce the importance of achieving the Corporation s profitability objectives. The performance period will be measured in three-year periods with overlapping cycles.

We estimated the fair value of stock options granted during 2007 using the Black Scholes Merton option valuation model (BSM). We are amortizing the fair value on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are the vesting periods of three to four years. The stock options that were granted during 2007 vest 25% on each grant anniversary date over four years of continued employment. Restricted stock awards vest 100% at the third anniversary.

The weighted average fair value per share of stock options granted by us during 2007 was \$16.31. The following table shows the weighted average assumptions we used to develop the fair value estimates under our stock options valuation model for 2007 and the paragraphs below this table summarizes each assumption:

Expected volatility 33.3% - 45%

Risk free Interest rate (range) 4.55% - 4.98%

Expected dividends None

Expected term (years) 0.3 5

Population stratification under SFAS No. 123(R), provides that a company should aggregate individual awards into relatively homogeneous groups with respect to exercise and post-vesting employment behaviors for the purpose of refining the expected term assumption, regardless of the valuation technique used to estimate the fair value. In addition, SAB 107 clarifies that a company may generally make a reasonable fair value estimate with as few as one or two groupings. We have stratified our employee population into two groups: (i) insiders, who are the Section 16 filers under SEC rules; and (ii) non-insiders, who are the rest of the employee population.

Volatility is a measure of the tendency of investment returns to vary around a long-term average rate. As the Corporation has no history prior to July 31, 2007, we have used historical peer-group volatility. Historical volatility is an appropriate starting point for setting this assumption under SFAS No. 123(R). According to SFAS No. 123(R), companies should also consider how future experience may differ from the past. This may require using other factors to adjust historical volatility, such as implied volatility, peer-group volatility and the range and mean-reversion of volatility estimates over various historical periods. The peer-group utilized consisted of ten companies in the same or similar industries as the Corporation. SFAS No. 123(R) and SAB 107 acknowledge that there is likely to be a range of reasonable estimates for volatility.

Sensitivity Analysis

The fair value calculations of our stock option grants are affected by assumptions that are believed to be reasonable based upon the facts and circumstances at the time of grant. Changes in our volatility estimates can materially affect the fair values of our stock option grants. If our estimated compensation expense during 2007 were 10% higher, our 2007 after-tax loss from continuing operations would increase by approximately \$ 0.1 million, or less than \$0.01 per diluted share.

48

Balance Sheet or

Income Statement Caption/

Nature of Critical Estimate Item

Assumptions/Approach Used

In addition, SFAS No. 123(R) requires that if a best estimate cannot be made, management should use the mid-point in the range of reasonable estimates for volatility. Effective January 1, 2006, the Corporation estimates the volatility of its common stock at the date of grant based on historical volatility of its peer-group, consistent with SFAS No. 123(R) and SAB 107.

The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the estimated life of the option.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Consequently, we use an expected dividend yield of zero.

Pre-vesting forfeitures do not affect the fair value calculation, but they affect the expense calculation. SFAS No. 123(R) requires us to estimate pre-vesting forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. We have estimated pre-vesting option forfeitures and recorded share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123(R), we also used an estimated forfeiture rate.

Post-vesting cancellations include vested options that are cancelled, exercised or expire unexercised.

The Corporation calculated an expected term using management s estimate of option exercises. The majority of the Corporation s stock options are on a graded-vesting schedule. Statement 123(R) permits companies to estimate the value of awards with graded vesting by treating each vesting tranche as a separate award. Alternatively, the award may be valued as a single award. Management has determined to value each tranche of the awards separately utilizing a multiple fair value method.

Sensitivity Analysis

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On September 15, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which addresses how companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition or disclosure purposes under U.S. GAAP. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On February 1, 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value for recognition or disclosure purposes under U.S.

GAAP. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 159 is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statement, an Amendment to ARB No. 51*, which applies to all entities that prepare consolidated financial statements, except not-for-profit organizations, but will affect only those entities that have an outstanding noncontrolling interest in one or more subsidiaries or that deconsolidate a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (that is, January 1, 2009, for entities with calendar year-ends). Earlier adoption is prohibited. The effective date of this statement is the same as that of the related SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R) *Business Combinations*, which applies to all transactions or other events in which an entity (the acquirer) obtains control of one or more businesses (the acquiree), including those sometimes referred to as true mergers or mergers of equals and combinations achieved without the transfer of consideration, for example, by contract alone or through the lapse of minority veto rights. SFAS No. 141(R) applies to all business entities, including mutual entities that previously used the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for some business combinations. It does not apply to; 1) the formation of a joint venture; 2) the acquisition of an asset or a group of assets that does not constitute a business; 3) a combination between entities or businesses under common control; and 4) a combination between not-for-profit organizations or the acquisition of a for-profit business by a not-for-profit organization. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The effective date of this statement is the same as that of the related SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Key Financial Statement Components

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Our revenues are comprised primarily of product revenues and are derived from the sale of prescription drugs through our institutional pharmacies. The majority of our product revenues are derived on a fee-for-service basis. Hospital pharmacy revenues represent management fees and pass through costs associated with managing the clients hospital pharmacy.

Cost of goods sold is comprised primarily of the cost of product and is principally attributable to the dispensing of prescription drugs. Our cost of product relating to drugs dispensed by our institutional pharmacies consists primarily of the cost of inventory dispensed and our costs incurred to process and dispense the prescriptions, including the associated fixed asset depreciation. In addition, cost of product includes a credit for rebates earned from brand-name pharmaceutical manufacturers whose drugs are included in our formularies. These rebates generally take the form of formulary rebates, which are earned based on the volume of a specific drug dispensed, or market share rebates, which are earned based on the achievement of contractually specified market share levels. Cost of goods also includes labor, delivery costs and other costs attributable to the dispensing of medications.

Selling, general and administrative expenses reflect the costs of operations dedicated to executive management, the generation of new sales, maintenance of existing client relationships, management of clinical programs, enhancement of technology capabilities, direction of pharmacy operations, human resources and performance of reimbursement activities, in addition to finance, legal and other staff activities.

Interest expense (income), net, primarily includes interest expense relating to our senior secured credit facility and our swap agreement, partially offset by interest income generated by cash and cash equivalents.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Our assets include cash and cash equivalent investments, accounts receivable, inventories, fixed assets, deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangibles. Cash reflects the accumulation of positive cash flows from our operations and financing activities, and primarily includes deposits with banks or other financial institutions. Our cash equivalent investments include money market funds that have average maturities of less than three months.

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from Prescription Drug Plans under Medicare Part D, the respective state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies, and private payors, net of allowances for doubtful accounts, as well as contractual allowances.

50

Inventories reflect the cost of prescription products held for dispensing by our institutional pharmacies and are recorded on a first-in, first-out basis, net of allowances for losses. We perform monthly inventory counts and record our inventories and cost of goods sold based on such monthly inventories. We also include an estimate for returns on inventories.

Deferred tax assets primarily represent temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of certain accrued expenses and stock-based compensation. Fixed assets include investments in our institutional pharmacies and information technology, including capitalized software development. Goodwill and intangible assets are comprised primarily of goodwill and intangibles related to our previous acquisitions.

Our primary liabilities include accounts payable, accrued salaries and wages and other current liabilities, debt and deferred tax liabilities. Accounts payable primarily consist of amounts payable for prescription inventory purchases and other purchases made in the normal course of business. Accrued expenses and other current liabilities primarily consist of employee- and facility-related cost accruals incurred in the normal course of business, as well as income taxes payable. Our debt is primarily comprised of term loans under our senior secured credit facility. We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, other than purchase commitments and lease obligations.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

An important element of our operating cash flows is the timing of billing cycles and subsequent cash collections. We pay for our prescription drug inventory in accordance with payment terms offered under our Prime Vendor Agreement. The Corporation receives rebates from its prime vendor and suppliers each period; rebates earned are recorded as a reduction to inventory and cost of goods sold in the period earned. Outgoing cashflows include inventory purchases, employee payroll and benefits, facility operating expenses, capital expenditures including technology investments, interest and principal payments on our outstanding debt, and income taxes. Acquisitions will also generally result in cash outflows.

Definitions

Listed below are definitions of terms used by the Corporation in managing the business. The definitions are necessary to the understanding of the Management s Discussion and Analysis section of this document.

Assisted Living Facilities (ALF): Represents assisted living facility. Its units or beds will represent the number of apartment type units within the facility.

Bps: Represents basis points. Basis points are based on percentages. For example, 100 bps represents a change of 1%.

Cost of Goods Sold: Represents the actual cost of drugs on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis, direct costs associated with filling the prescriptions such as salaries of pharmacists and pharmacy technicians, delivery costs and an allocation of the other direct costs of the pharmacy. Included in the cost of goods sold is also the direct costs associated with pharmacy consulting and the direct costs of hospital management contracts.

DNA: Data not available.

Integration, merger related costs and other charges: Represents the costs associated with the spin-offs of Kindred Pharmacy Services and PharMerica LTC from Kindred Healthcare and AmerisourceBergen and their respective mergers. The definition also represents costs of integrating information systems, duplicative costs associated with merging overall corporate functions and the consolidation of pharmacies within a similar location.

51

NA: Represents not applicable.

NM: Represents not meaningful.

Prescriptions Dispensed: Represents a prescription filled for an individual patient. A prescription will usually be for a 15 or 30 day period and will include only one drug type.

Revenues per prescription dispensed: Represents the revenues from the institutional pharmacy segment divided by the total prescriptions dispensed.

Selling, General, Administrative Costs: Represents other costs and allocation of costs that are not directly attributable to the costs of revenues. Such costs are part of the functional areas of account management, sales and marketing, accounting and finance, operations oversight, human resources, acquisition, information technology, billing and collections, tax services, internal audit, office of the chief executive and board of directors.

Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF): Represents skilled nursing facilities. Its licensed beds will represent the customer licensed beds and this may not be indicative of its census.

Results of Operations

The following table presents selected consolidated comparative results of operations and statistical information (dollars in millions, except per prescription and per patient amounts):

	Years Ended December 31,															
	Increase						Increase				se					
		20	007		(Decrease)			2006			(Decrease)			2005		
			% of						% of						% of	
	Aı	mount	Revenues				A	mount	Revenues				A	mount	Revenues	
Revenues																
Institutional pharmacy	\$	1,163.0	95.5	\$	560.8	93.1%	\$	602.2	92.3	\$		26.6%	\$	475.8	91.1	
Hospital management		54.8	4.5		4.4	8.7%		50.4	7.7		4.0	8.6%		46.4	8.9	
Total revenues		1,217.8	100.0%		565.2	86.6%		652.6	100.0%		130.4	25.0%		522.2	100.0%	
		,														
Cost of goods sold																
Institutional pharmacy		1,000.3	82.1		482.2	93.1%		518.1	79.4		114.6	28.4%		403.5	77.3	
Hospital management		43.7	3.6		3.9	9.8%		39.8	6.1		4.2	11.8%		35.6	6.8	
r																
Total cost of goods sold		1,044.0	85.7%		486.1	87.1%		557.9	85.5%		118.8	27.1%		439.1	84.1%	
Total cost of goods sold		1,044.0	05.770		1 00.1	07.170		331.7	65.5 %		110.0	27.170		737.1	04.170	
Gross profit																
Institutional pharmacy		162.7	13.4		78.6	93.5%		84.1	12.9		11.8	16.3%		72.3	13.8	
Hospital management		11.1	0.9		0.5	4.7%		10.6	1.6		(0.2)	(1.9)%		10.8	2.1	
Hospital management		11.1	0.5		0.5	1.770		10.0	1.0		(0.2)	(1.5)//		10.0	2.1	
T-4-1 6:4	Ф	172.0	14.20/	ф	70.1	92 50	d.	04.7	1450	ď	11.6	14.00/	đ	02.1	15.00/	
Total gross profit	\$	173.8	14.3%	3	79.1	83.5%	\$	94.7	14.5%	\$	11.6	14.0%	\$	83.1	15.9%	
Institutional Pharmacy																
Volume Information		240			10.0	06.00		10.6			2.2	22.2%		10.0		
Prescriptions dispensed (millions)	ф	24.8		Φ.	12.2	96.8%	Ф	12.6		Ф	2.3	22.3%	ф	10.3		
Revenue per prescription dispensed	\$	46.90		\$	(.89)	(1.9)%	\$	47.79		\$	1.60	3.5%	\$	46.19		
Gross profit per prescription	ф	6.56		ф	(11)	(1.6)6	ф	6.67		ф	(25)	5 OC	ф	7.00		
dispensed	\$	6.56		\$	(.11)	(1.6)%	\$	6.67		\$	(.35)	5.0%	\$	7.02		
Customer licensed beds		00.554			0.000	10.00		00.000			25.005	10.00		<< 40.5		
Beginning of period		02,571			9,289	10.0%		93,282			27,087	40.9%		66,195		
Additions Organic		22,838			8,129	55.3		14,709			4,075	38.3		10,634		

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-K

237,538	232,680	NM	4,858	(18,682)	(79.4)	23,540	
(25,983)	(15,927)	158.4	(10,056)	(3,408)	51.3	(6,648)	
79	301	135.6	(222)	217	(49.4)	(439)	
337,043	234,472	228.6%	102,571	9,289	10.0%	93,282	
86	5	6.2%	81	8	11.0%	73	
	(25,983) 79 337,043	(25,983) (15,927) 79 301 337,043 234,472	(25,983) (15,927) 158.4 79 301 135.6 337,043 234,472 228.6%	(25,983) (15,927) 158.4 (10,056) 79 301 135.6 (222) 337,043 234,472 228.6% 102,571	(25,983) (15,927) 158.4 (10,056) (3,408) 79 301 135.6 (222) 217 337,043 234,472 228.6% 102,571 9,289	(25,983) (15,927) 158.4 (10,056) (3,408) 51.3 79 301 135.6 (222) 217 (49.4) 337,043 234,472 228.6% 102,571 9,289 10.0%	(25,983) (15,927) 158.4 (10,056) (3,408) 51.3 (6,648) 79 301 135.6 (222) 217 (49.4) (439) 337,043 234,472 228.6% 102,571 9,289 10.0% 93,282

Revenues

The increase in institutional pharmacy revenues of \$560.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 was primarily the result of the acquisition of PharMerica LTC which accounted for \$528.8 million or 94% of the total increase. Revenues from three pharmacies acquired in 2006 accounted for \$14.2 million or 3% while the opening of five new locations contributed \$16.5 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$1.3 million was a result of same-store volume and rate changes. The decrease in revenue per prescription dispensed was primarily the result of declines in customer pricing mix. Revenues were also impacted by net customer losses during the year.

The \$4.4 million increase in hospital management revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 resulted from an increase in the number hospitals serviced as well as certain contractually provided management fee increases.

The increase of \$126.4 million in institutional pharmacy revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 over the prior year amount of \$475.8 million was primarily related to the recognition of a full year of operating results from 2005 acquisitions, three new acquisitions in 2006 and the opening of five new locations in 2006. Revenues from entities acquired in 2005 and 2006 resulted in increased revenues of approximately \$67.4 million or 53% for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in the prior year. The Corporation derived approximately \$3.2 million or 3% of revenues from its start up locations in 2006. The remaining increase in revenues of \$55.8 million or 44% was the result of a 0.9 million increase in the number of same-store prescriptions dispensed combined with a 4% increase in same-store revenues per prescription.

The \$4.0 million increase in hospital management revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 was attributable to increases in the number of contracts serviced in the period and certain contractually provided management fee increases based on the consumer price index.

Cost of Goods Sold

Institutional pharmacy cost of goods sold increased \$482.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. The acquisition of PharMerica LTC accounted for \$450.5 million or 93% of the increase and a change in estimate associated with the accounting for rebates reduced cost of goods sold by \$3.1 million for the period ended December 31, 2007. The 2006 acquisitions contributed an estimated \$12.7 million to the increase and the 2006 new store openings contributed an estimated \$14.3 million, both representing approximately 3% of the increase. The remaining increase of \$7.8 million was due to the same store increase in non-drug costs.

The increase of \$114.6 million in institutional pharmacy costs of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 2006 over the prior year amount of \$403.5 million was primarily related to the recognition of a full year operating results from 2005 acquisitions, three new acquisitions in 2006 and the 2006 new store openings. Cost of goods sold from entities acquired in 2005 and 2006 resulted in increased cost of goods sold of approximately \$61.2 million or 53% of the total increase for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period in the prior year. The Corporation recognized approximately \$3.0 million or 3.0% in cost of goods sold from its start up locations in 2006. Other factors contributing to the increased cost of goods sold between 2006 and 2005 of \$50.4 million was primarily the result of costs associated with the year over year increase in prescriptions dispensed, including labor costs, as well as a 4.6% increase in cost of goods sold per prescription. The increase in cost of goods sold per prescription was primarily the result of product mix changes associated with the implementation of Medicare Part D

The Hospital Management cost of goods sold increased \$3.9 million and \$4.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, over the comparable prior year periods. Cost of goods sold for the Hospital Management segment is primarily related to labor costs, and increased as a result of the provision of management services to an additional six hospitals in 2007 and eight hospitals in 2006, combined with the impact of wage inflation.

53

Gross Profit and Operating Expenses

Gross profit and other operating expenses for the periods presented were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,									
	20 Amount	007 % of Revenues	Increase (Decrease)		2006 % of Amount Revenues		Increase (Decrease)		2005 % of Amount Revenues	
Gross Profit and Operating Expenses										
Institutional pharmacy	\$ 162.7	13.4%	\$ 78.6	93.5%	\$ 84.1	12.9%	\$ 11.8	16.3%	\$ 72.3	13.8%
Hospital management	11.1	0.9	0.5	4.7	10.6	1.6	(0.2)	(1.9)	10.8	2.1
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses Amortization expense Integration, merger related costs and other charges Interest expense (income), net	173.8 141.4 5.0 57.7 7.2	14.3 11.6 0.4 4.8 0.6	79.1 74.1 1.6 54.8 7.3	83.5 110.1 47.1 NM NM	94.7 67.3 3.4 2.9 (0.1)	14.5 10.3 0.5 0.4	20.6 1.3 2.9 (0.1)	14.0 44.1 61.9 NM NM	83.1 46.6 2.2	15.9 8.9 0.4
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(37.5)	(3.1)	(58.7)	(276.9)	21.2	3.3	(13.1)	(38.2)	34.3	6.6
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(13.4)	(1.1)	(21.8)	(259.5)	8.4	1.3	(4.9)	(36.8)	13.3	2.6
Net income (loss)	\$ (24.1)	(2.0)%	\$ (36.9)	(288.3)%	\$ 12.8	2.0%	\$ (8.2)	(39.0)%	\$ 21.0	4.0%

Institutional gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$162.7 million or \$6.56 per prescription dispensed. Institutional gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 14.0% of institutional revenue. This compares to institutional gross profit of \$84.1 million or \$6.67 per prescription dispensed for the year ended December 31, 2006. As a percent of institutional revenue gross profit margin was 14.0% for the year ended December 31, 2006. Institutional gross profit per prescription decreased \$0.11 or 1.6% primarily as a result of competitive pricing issues, which resulted in a decline in revenue per prescription dispensed by \$.89 per prescription.

Institutional gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$72.3 million or \$7.02 per prescription dispensed. Institutional gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 15.2% of revenue. The decline in gross profit margin from 15.2% in 2005 to 14.0% in 2006 was primarily the result of weak results from pharmacies acquired in 2005 and transition issues and product mix changes associated with the conversion to the Medicare Part D program.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (excluding items shown separately below)

Selling, general and administrative expenses represent the following costs for the periods, excluding integration, merger related costs and other charges (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,														
	Increase				Increase										
		20			(Decr	ease)		2006			(Decr	ease)	2005		
			% of						% of						% of
	A	mount	Revenu	ies			1	Amount	Revenues				A	mount	Revenues
Selling, general and															
administrative expenses															
Total wages, benefits and															
contract labor	\$	68.9	5	5.7%	\$ 35.6	106.9%	\$	33.3	5.19	% 5	\$ 7.0	26.6%	\$	26.3	5.0%
Provision for doubtful accounts		16.2	1	.3	8.9	121.9		7.3	1.1		8.4	NM		(1.1)	(0.2)
Supplies		3.7	().3	1.1	42.3		2.6	0.4		0.7	36.8		1.9	0.3
Travel expenses		4.7	().4	1.7	56.7		3.0	0.5		0.8	36.4		2.2	0.4
Professional fees		5.6	().5	3.8	211.1		1.8	0.3		0.5	38.5		1.3	0.2
Stock-based compensation		1.5	().1	0.6	66.7		0.9	0.1		0.1	12.5		0.8	0.2
Management fee		8.4	().7	(3.1)	(27.0)		11.5	1.8		0.6	5.5		10.9	2.1
Depreciation		6.4	().5	5.5	611.1		0.9	0.1		0.2	28.6		0.7	0.1
Rent		5.3	().4	4.5	562.5		0.8	0.1					0.8	0.2
Other Costs		20.7	1	.7	15.5	298.1		5.2	0.8		2.3	79.3		2.9	0.6
Total Selling, general and															
administrative expenses	\$	141.4	11	.6%	\$ 74.1	110.1%	\$	67.3	10.39	% 5	\$ 20.6	44.1%	\$	46.7	8.9%

The increase of \$74.1 million in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006, was primarily attributable to the acquisition of PharMerica LTC which accounted for \$64.3 million or 87% of the increase. The remaining increase of \$9.8 million, of which \$7.2 million was labor related costs, was a result of increased overhead in anticipation of the Pharmacy Transaction as well as increases in personnel to service additional pharmacies from acquisitions and new pharmacy openings.

The increase of \$20.6 million in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 over the prior year amount of \$46.7 million is primarily attributable to the increased overhead of approximately \$16.0 million necessary to support the 2005 and 2006 acquisitions and the 2006 new pharmacy openings. The increase in provision for doubtful accounts was driven primarily by \$5.2 million in bad debts recognized by pharmacies acquired in 2005 and 2006 as well as a favorable bad debt adjustment of \$2.8 million in 2005. Selling, general and administrative expenses in 2005 also included a \$1.0 million charge related to a special recognition payment to non-executive caregivers and employees. The remaining changes in selling, general and administrative expenses relate to normal operating expenses incurred in the period compared to the prior year.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation expense for the periods presented was as follows (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,								
		% of % of							
	2007	Revenues	2006	Revenues	2005	Revenues			
Leasehold improvements	\$ 2.7	0.2%	\$ 0.6	0.1%	\$ 0.4	0.1%			
Equipment and software	12.7	1.1	4.8	0.7	3.2	0.6			
Leased equipment	0.2								
Total depreciation expense	\$ 15.6	1.3%	\$ 5.4	0.8%	\$ 3.6	0.7%			
Depreciation expense recorded in cost of goods sold	\$ 8.8	0.7%	\$ 4.5	0.7%	\$ 2.9	0.6%			
Depreciation expense recorded in selling, general & administrative expenses	6.4	0.6	0.9	0.1	0.7	0.1			
Depreciation expense recorded in integration, merger related costs and other charges	0.4								
Total depreciation expense	\$ 15.6	1.3%	\$ 5.4	0.8%	\$ 3.6	0.7%			
Total capital expenditures	\$ 16.7	1.4%	\$ 9.9	1.5%	\$ 7.0	1.3%			

The increase of \$10.2 million in depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 over the prior year amount of \$5.4 million is primarily related to the PharMerica LTC acquisition as well as assets acquired for the Corporation to establish its own systems infrastructure. The Corporation recognized approximately \$6.3 million in depreciation expense from assets acquired in the Pharmacy Transaction for 2007.

The increase of \$1.8 million in depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 over the prior year amount of \$3.6 million is primarily related to depreciation associated with assets acquired in 2006 and 2005 as well as the opening of new pharmacy locations and acquisitions in 2006.

Amortization expense related to certain identifiable intangibles for the periods presented were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,					
		% of		% of		% of
	2007	Revenues	2006	Revenues	2005	Revenues
Trade names	\$ 0.6	%	\$	%	\$ 0.4	0.1%
Non-compete agreements	0.4		0.4		0.2	
Customer relationships	4.0	0.4	3.0	0.5	1.6	0.3
Total amortization expense	\$ 5.0	0.4%	\$ 3.4	0.5%	\$ 2.2	0.4%

As a result of the PharMerica LTC acquisition, amortization expense increased by \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in amortization expense in 2006 compared to 2005 of \$1.2 million is a result of intangibles acquired from 2006 pharmacy acquisitions as well as a full year of amortization expense related to the 2005 acquisitions.

75

Integration, merger related costs and other charges

Integration, merger related costs and other charges incurred by the Corporation for the periods presented were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Yea 2007	rs Ended Dece 2006	ember 31, 2005
Integration costs and other charges	200.	2000	2000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 27.9	\$	\$
Professional and advisory fees	1.1		
General and administrative	0.6		
Employee costs	0.6		
Severance costs	1.1		
Facility costs	2.6		
	33.9		
Merger related costs			
Professional and advisory fees	8.0	2.9	
General and administrative	5.4		
Employee costs	7.6		
Severance costs	2.0		
Facility costs	0.7		
Other costs	0.1		
	23.8	2.9	
Total integration, merger related costs and other charges	\$ 57.7	\$ 2.9	\$

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Corporation expensed approximately \$57.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively of integration, merger related costs and other charges. The negative impact on dilutive earnings per share was \$1.74 for the year ended December 31, 2007. No similar costs were incurred by the Corporation in 2005 related to the Pharmacy Transaction.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Corporation performed a comprehensive assessment of allowance for doubtful accounts estimation methodologies and reserve levels in light of its expectations around the ultimate collection of its accounts receivable balances. The Corporation considered recent industry trends, changes in reimbursement sources and procedures, age of receivables and recent collection history. In connection with that comprehensive assessment of allowance for doubtful accounts, included in amounts charged to integration, merger related costs and other charges is a change in accounting estimate to increase the allowance for doubtful accounts by \$27.9 million, resulting in loss per share impact of \$0.84.

The Corporation also incurred integration, merger related costs and other charges through December 31, 2007 related to the consolidation of pharmacies within a similar location, costs associated with the spin-off of KPS and PharMerica LTC and costs to integrate information systems and duplicate costs associated with merging the overall corporate function of KPS and PharMerica LTC.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, there were four pharmacy locations impacted by consolidation which we completed during the fourth quarter of 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the periods presented was as follows (dollars in millions):

		Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	
Interest expense:				
Term A \$250 million	\$ 7.4	\$	\$	
Revolver (including commitment fees and letters of credit fees)	0.2			
Subtotal	7.6			
Other:				
Interest income	(0.6)	(0.1)		
Amortization of deferred financing fees	0.2			
Total interest expense	\$ 7.2	\$ (0.1)	\$	
Weighted average interest rate:				
Term A \$250 million revolver	6.32%			
LIBOR 1 month, at beginning of period	5.32%	4.39%	2.40%	
LIBOR 3 month, at beginning of period	5.36%	4.54%	2.57%	
LIBOR 1 month, at end of period	4.60%	5.32%	4.39%	
LIBOR 3 month, at end of period	4.70%	5.36%	4.54%	

Interest expense increased during 2007 as a result of the Corporation closing on, and borrowing under, the Credit Agreement in 2007 versus historically utilizing cash flows from operations and from Kindred to finance the operations of the business. During 2007 the Corporation paid down \$25.0 million in borrowings under the Credit Agreement. The current applicable margin spread over the LIBOR is 1.25% at December 31, 2007.

Tax Provision (Benefit)

The tax provision (benefit) for the periods presented was as follows (dollars in millions):

	For the	For the years ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005		
Tax provision (benefit)	\$ (13.4)	\$ 8.4	\$ 13.3		
Tax provision (benefit) as a percentage of income (loss)	(35.7)%	39.6%	38.8%		

Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 35% for the federal rate, 3.6% for the effective state rate and (2.9)% for the permanent differences. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 35% for the federal rate, 3.5% for the state rate and 1.1% for permanent differences. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 35% for the federal rate, 3.5% for the state rate and 0.3% for permanent differences.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary source of liquidity for the Corporation is cash flows from operations and borrowings under the Credit Agreement. Based upon our existing cash levels, expected operating cash flows, capital spending, potential future acquisitions and the availability of borrowings under our revolving credit facility, we believe that we have the necessary financial resources to satisfy our expected short-term and long-term liquidity needs.

The Corporation expects to achieve certain cost savings resulting from operating efficiencies, synergies or other restructuring activities that might result from the Pharmacy Transaction. From July 31, 2007 through the

completion of our consolidation process, the Corporation expects to achieve \$30.0 million of annual savings as a result of the Pharmacy Transaction, but actual results may be materially different than our expected savings. Notwithstanding these anticipated savings, we will experience some increased costs associated with the transition to, and status as, a stand-alone, publicly traded company.

Cash Flows. The following table presents selected data from our consolidated statements of cash flows (dollars in millions):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 36.3	\$ 10.0	\$ 5.3
Net cash used by investing activities	(22.0)	(25.0)	(109.5)
Net cash provided by financing activities	14.0	17.3	103.6
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	28.3	2.3	(0.6)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3.7	1.4	2.0
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 32.0	\$ 3.7	\$ 1.4

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$36.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflects a net loss of \$24.1 million, with non-cash adjustments for integration, merger related costs and other charges of \$35.1 million, provision for bad debt of \$16.2 million and depreciation and amortization expense of \$20.2 million. Also contributing to cash provided by operating activities was an increase in cash flows from accounts payable, salaries, benefits and other liabilities of \$33.7 million. These increases were offset by a \$30.1 million change in accounts receivable resulting primarily from increases in receivables from Kindred, and inventories, prepaid and other asset amounts of \$2.2 million recorded to establish the infrastructure of the Corporation. Deferred income taxes had the effect of reducing operating cash by \$13.4 million.

The \$26.3 million increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2007 compared to 2006 is primarily the result of non-cash adjustments related to integration, merger related costs and other charges of \$35.1 million. Integration, merger related costs and other charges is comprised of \$27.9 million related to a change in accounting estimate to increase the allowance for doubtful accounts with respect to the Corporation s accounts receivable, as well as expenses associated with unpaid severance and other facility costs. Depreciation and amortization expense also increased \$11.4 million resulting from the assets acquired in or otherwise necessary as part of the Pharmacy Transaction and the amortization associated with the identifiable intangibles of \$44.5 million also related to the Pharmacy Transaction. Inventories provided cash from operating activities of \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily as a result of the 2006 growth in inventories for the new store openings. Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities as of December 31, 2007 increased approximately \$24.7 million from prior year, driven primarily by increased liabilities associated with corporate overhead costs and inventory levels historically not a component of the historical business of the Corporation. As of December 31, 2007, the Corporation had an amount payable to AmerisourceBergen under the terms of the Prime Vendor Agreement of \$25.3 million, which is included in accounts payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. These amounts were offset by changes in our deferred taxes of \$11.8 million and accounts receivables of \$10.2 million in the year, primarily, resulting from the Pharmacy Transaction.

Investing Activities. The net cash used in investing activities of \$22.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflects the purchase of equipment and leasehold improvements of \$16.7 million and acquisitions, net of cash acquired of \$5.6 million partially offset by other items of \$0.3 million. Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007 are recurring purchases associated with the system development and integration of the Corporation s business operations.

Financing Activities. The net cash provided by financing activities was \$14.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 representing net contributions from the former parent of KPS.

Total cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2007 were \$32.0 million. Total cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2006 were \$3.7 million. The increase of \$28.3 million in cash and cash equivalents for 2007 primarily reflects cash flows from operations.

Credit Agreement

On the Closing Date, the Corporation entered into a Credit Agreement among the Corporation, the Lenders named therein, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMorgan), as Administrative Agent. The Credit Agreement consists of a \$275.0 million term loan facility and a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility. The Corporation borrowed \$275.0 million under the term loan portion of the Credit Agreement and an additional \$20.0 million under the revolving credit portion of the Credit Agreement on the Closing Date to refinance the loans made to KPS and PharMerica LTC to finance their respective cash distributions, to pay fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Pharmacy Transaction and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement matures on July 31, 2012. There is no scheduled amo