

RADIAN GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 09, 2007  
Table of Contents

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-11356

---

**Radian Group Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**1601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**(215) 231-1000**

**23-2691170**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

**19103**  
(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:**

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 80,408,329 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding on July 31, 2007.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**INDEX**

|  | <b>Page</b>   |
|--|---------------|
| <b><u>Forward Looking Statements Safe Harbor Provisions</u></b>                                      | <b>Number</b> |
|  | <b>i</b>      |
| <b><u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>   |               |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>  |               |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>   | 1             |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>   | 2             |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stockholders' Equity</u>         | 3             |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>                                     | 4             |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                                | 5             |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 31            |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                            | 78            |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | 79            |
| <b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>  |               |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>   | 80            |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>   | 80            |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>                           | 80            |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>                                   | 81            |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>  | 81            |
| <b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>   | <b>82</b>     |
| <b><u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u></b>  | <b>83</b>     |

**Table of Contents**

**Forward Looking Statements Safe Harbor Provisions**

All statements in this report that address events, developments or results that we expect or anticipate may occur in the future are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In most cases, forward-looking statements may be identified by words such as may, will, should, expect, intend, plan, goal, contemplate, believe, estimate, predict, project, potential, continue or the negative or words and other similar expressions. These statements are made on the basis of management's current views and assumptions with respect to future events. Any forward-looking statement is not a guarantee of future performance and actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward looking information. The forward-looking statements, as well as our prospects as a whole, are subject to risks and uncertainties, including the following:

actual or perceived changes in general financial and political conditions, such as extended national or regional economic recessions, changes in housing demand or mortgage originations, changes in housing values, population trends and changes in household formation patterns, changes in unemployment rates, changes or volatility in interest rates or consumer confidence, changes in credit spreads, changes in the way investors perceive the strength of private mortgage insurers or financial guaranty providers, investor concern over the credit quality and specific risks faced by the particular businesses, municipalities or pools of assets covered by our insurance;

actual or perceived economic changes or catastrophic events in geographic regions (both domestic and international) where our mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance in force is more concentrated;

the loss of a customer for whom we write a significant amount of mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance or the influence of large customers;

the aging of our mortgage insurance portfolio, which could cause losses to increase, and changes in severity or frequency of losses associated with certain of our products that are riskier than traditional mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance policies;

changes in persistency rates of our mortgage insurance policies caused by changes in refinancing activity, appreciating or depreciating home values and changes in the mortgage insurance cancellation requirements of mortgage lenders and investors;

downgrades or threatened downgrades of, or other ratings actions with respect to, our credit ratings or the insurance financial strength ratings assigned by the major rating agencies to any of our rated insurance subsidiaries at any time, which actions have occurred in the past;

heightened competition for our mortgage insurance business from others such as the Federal Housing Administration and the Veterans Administration or other private mortgage insurers, from alternative products such as 80-10-10 loans or other forms of simultaneous second loan structures used by mortgage lenders, from investors using forms of credit enhancement other than mortgage insurance as a partial or complete substitution for private mortgage insurance and from mortgage lenders that demand increased participation in revenue sharing arrangements such as captive reinsurance arrangements;

changes in the charters or business practices of Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., the largest purchasers of mortgage loans that we insure;

## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

heightened competition for financial guaranty business from other financial guaranty insurers, from other forms of credit enhancement such as letters of credit, guaranties and credit default swaps provided by foreign and domestic banks and other financial institutions and from alternative structures that may permit insurers to securitize assets more cost-effectively without the need for the types of credit enhancement we offer, or result in our having to reduce the premium we charge for our products;

the application of existing federal or state consumer, lending, insurance and other applicable laws and regulations, or changes in these laws and regulations or the way they are interpreted; including, without

---

**Table of Contents**

limitation: (i) the possibility of private lawsuits or investigations by state insurance departments and state attorneys general alleging that services offered by the mortgage insurance industry, such as captive reinsurance, pool insurance and contract underwriting, are violative of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and/or similar state regulations (particularly in light of public reports that some state insurance departments are investigating captive reinsurance arrangements used in the mortgage insurance industry), or (ii) legislative and regulatory changes affecting demand for private mortgage insurance or financial guaranty insurance;

the possibility that we may fail to estimate accurately the likelihood, magnitude and timing of losses in connection with establishing loss reserves for our mortgage insurance or financial guaranty businesses or to estimate accurately the fair value amounts of derivative financial guaranty contracts in determining gains and losses on these contracts;

changes in accounting guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) or the Financial Accounting Standards Board (in particular changes regarding income recognition and the treatment of loss reserves in both the mortgage insurance and financial guaranty industries);

our ability to profitably grow our insurance businesses in international markets, which depends on a number of factors such as foreign governments' monetary policies and regulatory requirements, foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, and our ability to develop and market products appropriate to foreign markets;

legal and other limitations on the amount of dividends we may receive from our subsidiaries;

the amount of the impairment charge related to our interest in Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC ( C-BASS ), which has not yet been determined and may be influenced by: changes in the market for subprime mortgages and the amount, timing and severity of market dislocations occurring in the subprime market; the amount, timing and severity of any future margin calls that C-BASS may receive; C-BASS's ability to obtain sufficient and timely financing to support its liquidity position; and the ability of Radian and MGIC Investment Corporation ( MGIC ) to sell part or all of their interests in C-BASS and the amount that may be received in connection with any such sale;

changes in the availability of affordable or adequate reinsurance for our other than prime risk; and

risks and uncertainties associated with our proposed merger with MGIC, including, without limitation: the ability to complete the transaction on the proposed terms and schedule; the risk that the two companies and their businesses will not be integrated successfully or such integration may be more difficult, time-consuming or costly than expected; litigation relating to the merger; customer attrition and disruption from the transaction making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or other business relationships; the possibility that revenues following the merger may be lower than expected; the risk that the cost savings and any other synergies from the transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; the risk that potential sales of assets in connection with the merger may negatively impact the financial performance of the combined company; any ratings actions with respect to our credit ratings or the insurance financial strength ratings assigned by the major ratings agencies to any of our rated insurance subsidiaries in the event the merger is not completed; and the possibility that the merger may not be completed, whether due to the failure to receive the requisite regulatory approvals or otherwise, which may have an adverse effect on our customers, employees and other business relationships, and may have a materially adverse impact on our financial results and prospects.

For more information regarding these risks and uncertainties as well as certain additional risks that we face, you should refer to the risks discussed in other documents that we file with the SEC, including the risk factors detailed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and in our joint proxy statement/prospectus for our 2007 annual meeting. We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which are current only as of the date on which we filed this report. We do not intend to, and we disclaim any duty or obligation to, update or revise any forward-looking statements made in this report to reflect new information or future events or for any other reason.



**Table of Contents****PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.****Radian Group Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)**

|  | <b>June 30</b>      | <b>December 31</b>  |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| (\$ in thousands)  | <b>2007</b>         | <b>2006</b>         |
| <b>Assets</b>  |                     |                     |
| <b>Investments</b>   |                     |                     |
| Fixed maturities held to maturity at amortized cost (fair value \$76,399 and \$86,817)   | \$ 74,599           | \$ 84,314           |
| Fixed maturities available for sale at fair value (amortized cost \$4,546,973 and \$4,818,050)   | 4,587,667           | 4,975,773           |
| Trading securities at fair value (cost \$36,335 and \$87,009)  | 39,344              | 128,202             |
| Equity securities at fair value (cost \$215,548 and \$222,444)   | 306,413             | 298,235             |
| Hybrid securities at fair value (amortized cost \$538,553)   | 595,424             |                     |
| Short-term investments   | 289,752             | 238,677             |
| Other invested assets (cost \$23,597 and \$15,727)   | 26,778              | 20,126              |
| <b>Total investments</b>   | <b>5,919,977</b>    | <b>5,745,327</b>    |
| <b>Cash</b>  | <b>108,838</b>      | <b>57,901</b>       |
| Investment in affiliates   | 639,571             | 618,841             |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs  | 232,548             | 221,769             |
| Prepaid federal income taxes   | 860,135             | 808,740             |
| Provisional losses recoverable   |                     | 12,479              |
| Accrued investment income  | 63,020              | 62,823              |
| Accounts and notes receivable (less allowance of \$580 and \$1,179)  | 64,027              | 55,672              |
| Property and equipment, at cost (less accumulated depreciation of \$76,157 and \$69,314)   | 29,846              | 33,937              |
| Other assets   | 200,123             | 311,182             |
| <b>Total assets</b>  | <b>\$ 8,118,085</b> | <b>\$ 7,928,671</b> |
| <b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>  |                     |                     |
| Unearned premiums  | \$ 987,788          | \$ 943,687          |
| Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses  | 909,371             | 842,283             |
| Long-term debt   | 747,929             | 747,770             |
| Current income taxes payable, net  | 220,951             |                     |
| Deferred income taxes payable, net   | 888,667             | 1,129,740           |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | 220,661             | 197,634             |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>   | <b>3,975,367</b>    | <b>3,861,114</b>    |
| <b>Commitments and Contingencies (Note 15)</b>   |                     |                     |
| <b>Stockholders' equity</b>  |                     |                     |
| Common stock: par value \$.001 per share; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 97,631,763 and 97,625,407 shares issued at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively; 80,393,796 and 79,401,691 shares outstanding at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively | 97                  | 97                  |
| Treasury stock: 17,237,967 and 18,223,716 shares at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively  | (890,521)           | (931,012)           |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 1,326,538           | 1,347,205           |
| Retained earnings  | 3,609,259           | 3,489,290           |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income   | 97,345              | 161,977             |



Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|  |              |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total stockholders' equity                 | 4,142,718    | 4,067,557    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 8,118,085 | \$ 7,928,671 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)**

| (In thousands, except per-share amounts)                                  | Three Months Ended |                   | Six Months Ended  |                   |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | June 30<br>2007    | June 30<br>2006   | June 30<br>2007   | June 30<br>2006   |
| <b>Revenues:</b>  |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Premiums written:   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Direct  | \$ 277,683         | \$ 299,462        | \$ 566,826        | \$ 582,241        |
| Assumed   | 27,241             | 35,830            | 51,975            | 60,105            |
| Ceded   | (37,368)           | (36,417)          | (73,611)          | (63,866)          |
| Net premiums written  | 267,556            | 298,875           | 545,190           | 578,480           |
| Increase in unearned premiums   | (12,672)           | (39,789)          | (41,171)          | (65,697)          |
| Net premiums earned   | 254,884            | 259,086           | 504,019           | 512,783           |
| Net investment income   | 62,650             | 59,678            | 123,646           | 113,938           |
| Net gains on securities   | 25,694             | 5,324             | 39,439            | 28,178            |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments                            | (103,120)          | (25,287)          | (89,331)          | (7,657)           |
| Other income  | 3,102              | 5,778             | 6,920             | 10,989            |
| <b>Total revenues</b>   | <b>243,210</b>     | <b>304,579</b>    | <b>584,693</b>    | <b>658,231</b>    |
| <b>Expenses:</b>  |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Provision for losses  | 173,962            | 84,860            | 281,004           | 163,494           |
| Policy acquisition costs  | 24,198             | 26,820            | 52,452            | 54,184            |
| Other operating expenses  | 57,608             | 58,099            | 115,303           | 118,376           |
| Interest expense  | 12,360             | 12,538            | 25,416            | 24,378            |
| <b>Total expenses</b>   | <b>268,128</b>     | <b>182,317</b>    | <b>474,175</b>    | <b>360,432</b>    |
| Equity in net income of affiliates  | 49,507             | 72,038            | 72,279            | 130,378           |
| Pretax income   | 24,589             | 194,300           | 182,797           | 428,177           |
| Income tax provision  | 3,506              | 46,155            | 48,247            | 116,334           |
| <b>Net income</b>   | <b>\$ 21,083</b>   | <b>\$ 148,145</b> | <b>\$ 134,550</b> | <b>\$ 311,843</b> |
| Basic net income per share  | \$ 0.26            | \$ 1.81           | \$ 1.70           | \$ 3.79           |
| Diluted net income per share  | \$ 0.26            | \$ 1.79           | \$ 1.68           | \$ 3.75           |
| Average number of common shares outstanding basic                         | 79,627             | 81,921            | 79,295            | 82,355            |
| Average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding diluted | 80,545             | 82,756            | 80,279            | 83,100            |
| Dividends declared per share  | \$ 0.02            | \$ 0.02           | \$ 0.04           | \$ 0.04           |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****(UNAUDITED)**

| (In thousands)   | Additional   |                | Additional      |                       | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |                                   | Other  | Total        |
|--|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|
|  | Common Stock | Treasury Stock | Paid-in Capital | Deferred Compensation |                   | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment       | Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses) |        |              |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2006   | \$ 97        | \$(688,048)    | \$ 1,318,910    | \$ (1,843)            | \$ 2,913,649      | \$ 2,135                                      | \$ 117,980                        | \$     | \$ 3,662,880 |
| Comprehensive income:  |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   |                                   |        |              |
| Net income   |              |                |                 |                       | 311,843           |   |                                   |        | 311,843      |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$2,701                      |              |                |                 |                       |                   | 5,016   |                                   |        | 5,016        |
| Unrealized holding losses arising during period, net of tax benefit of \$19,847                |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   | (36,859)                          |        |              |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income, net of tax of \$10,278 |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   | (19,088)                          |        |              |
| Net unrealized loss on investments, net of tax benefit of \$30,125                             |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   | (55,947)                          |        | (55,947)     |
| Comprehensive income   |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | 260,912      |
| Issuance of common stock under incentive plans   |              | 14,853         | 11,891          |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | 26,744       |
| Issuance of restricted stock   |              |                | (1,583)         |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | (1,583)      |
| Amortization of restricted stock   |              |                | 732             |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | 732          |
| Reclassification of deferred compensation  |              |                | (1,843)         | 1,843                 |                   |   |                                   |        |              |
| Stock-based compensation expense-options   |              |                | 5,708           |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | 5,708        |
| Treasury stock purchased   |              | (121,922)      |                 |                       |                   |   |                                   |        | (121,922)    |
| Dividends paid   |              |                |                 |                       | (3,303)           |   |                                   |        | (3,303)      |
| BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2006   | \$ 97        | \$(795,117)    | \$ 1,333,815    | \$                    | \$ 3,222,189      | \$ 7,151                                      | \$ 62,033                         | \$     | \$ 3,830,168 |
| BALANCE prior to implementation effects, JANUARY 1, 2007                                       |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   |                                   |        |              |
| FIN 48 cumulative effect of change in accounting (See Note 8)                                  | \$ 97        | \$(931,012)    | \$ 1,347,205    | \$                    | \$ 3,489,290      | \$ 9,796                                      | \$ 151,934                        | \$ 247 | \$ 4,067,557 |
| FAS 155 cumulative effect of change in accounting (See Note 2)                                 |              |                |                 |                       | (21,214)          |   |                                   |        | (21,214)     |
|  |              |                |                 |                       | 9,844             |   | (9,844)                           |        |              |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2007, as adjusted  | 97           | (931,012)      | 1,347,205       |                       | 3,477,920         | 9,796   | 142,090                           | 247    | 4,046,343    |
| Comprehensive income:  |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   |                                   |        |              |
| Net income   |              |                |                 |                       | 134,550           |   |                                   |        | 134,550      |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of \$1,342                      |              |                |                 |                       |                   | 2,491   |                                   |        | 2,491        |
| Unrealized holding losses arising during the period, net of tax benefit of \$28,743            |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   | (53,378)                          |        |              |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income, net of tax of          |              |                |                 |                       |                   |   | (3,901)                           |        |              |

## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

\$2,100

|  |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|
| Net unrealized loss on investments, net of tax benefit of \$30,843 | (57,279) | (57,279) |
|--|----------|----------|

|                             |  |               |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|
| <b>Comprehensive income</b> |  | <b>79,762</b> |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|

|  |          |          |         |          |
|--|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Issuance of common stock under incentive plans | 63,314   | 6,197    |         | 69,511   |
| Issuance of restricted stock                   |          | (35,493) |         | (35,493) |
| Amortization of restricted stock               |          | 3,534    |         | 3,534    |
| Stock-based compensation expense-options       |          | 5,095    |         | 5,095    |
| Treasury stock purchased                       | (22,823) |          |         | (22,823) |
| Dividends paid                                 |          |          | (3,211) | (3,211)  |

|                        |    |    |              |              |    |              |           |           |        |              |
|------------------------|----|----|--------------|--------------|----|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|
| BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2007 | \$ | 97 | \$ (890,521) | \$ 1,326,538 | \$ | \$ 3,609,259 | \$ 12,287 | \$ 84,811 | \$ 247 | \$ 4,142,718 |
|------------------------|----|----|--------------|--------------|----|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

| (In thousands)   | Six Months Ended |            |
|--|------------------|------------|
|  | 2007             | 2006       |
| Cash flows from operating activities                                       | \$ 229,765       | \$ 243,134 |
| Cash flows from investing activities:                                      |                  |            |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed-maturity investments available for sale       | 162,393          | 450,098    |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities available for sale                | 16,253           | 70,935     |
| Proceeds from sales of hybrid securities                                   | 200,311          |            |
| Proceeds from redemptions of fixed-maturity investments available for sale | 98,714           | 79,995     |
| Proceeds from redemptions of fixed-maturity investments held to maturity   | 10,818           | 19,359     |
| Proceeds from redemptions of hybrid securities                             | 42,946           |            |
| Purchases of fixed-maturity investments available for sale                 | (418,303)        | (777,800)  |
| Purchases of equity securities available for sale                          | (5,809)          | (12,522)   |
| Purchases of hybrid securities   | (234,032)        |            |
| (Purchases) sales of short-term investments, net                           | (46,159)         | 96,813     |
| Sales (purchases) of other invested assets, net                            | (6,024)          | 600        |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net                                   | (2,996)          | (9,151)    |
| Net cash used in investing activities                                      | (181,888)        | (81,673)   |
| Cash flows from financing activities:                                      |                  |            |
| Dividends paid   | (3,211)          | (3,303)    |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under incentive plans               | 25,042           | 17,665     |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based awards                                | 5,078            | 1,374      |
| Purchase of treasury stock   | (22,823)         | (121,922)  |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities                        | 4,086            | (106,186)  |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash                                    | (936)            | (1,397)    |
| Increase in cash   | 50,937           | 53,878     |
| Cash, beginning of period  | 57,901           | 7,847      |
| Cash, end of period  | \$ 108,838       | \$ 61,725  |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:                         |                  |            |
| Income taxes paid  | \$ 96,823        | \$ 100,537 |
| Interest paid  | \$ 24,503        | \$ 23,983  |
| Supplemental disclosures of non-cash items:                                |                  |            |
| Stock-based compensation, net of tax                                       | \$ 4,194         | \$ 5,708   |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Basis of Presentation**

Our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Radian Group Inc. and its subsidiaries, including its principal mortgage insurance operating subsidiaries, Radian Guaranty Inc. ( Radian Guaranty ), Amerin Guaranty Corporation ( Amerin Guaranty ), Radian Insurance Inc. ( Radian Insurance ), Radian Europe Limited ( Radian Europe ) and Radian Australia Limited ( Radian Australia ), and its principal financial guaranty operating subsidiaries, Radian Asset Assurance Inc. ( Radian Asset Assurance ) and Radian Asset Assurance Limited ( RAAL ). We refer to Radian Group Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries as Radian, we, us or our, unless the context requires otherwise. We generally refer to Radian Group Inc. alone, without its consolidated subsidiaries, as the parent company. We also own interests in two credit-based consumer asset businesses: a 46% interest in Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC ( C-BASS ) and an interest in Sherman Financial Group LLC ( Sherman ), which consists of 40.96% of the Class A Common Units of Sherman (Class A Common Units represent 94% of the total equity in Sherman) and 50% of the Preferred Units of Sherman.

We have presented our condensed consolidated financial statements on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ). We have condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP pursuant to the SEC's rules and regulations.

The financial information presented for interim periods is unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim periods. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year or for any other period.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions.

Basic net income per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, while diluted net income per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and common share equivalents that would be issuable upon the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted stock and phantom stock.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments , an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 ( SFAS No. 155 ). SFAS No. 155, (i) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, (ii) clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, (iii) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (iv) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and (v) amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the exemption from applying the requirements of SFAS No. 133 on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. Accordingly, the changes in fair value of some of our financial instruments previously recorded through other comprehensive income were reclassified to beginning retained

---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

earnings, and effective January 1, 2007, changes in fair value are now included in current earnings in our condensed consolidated statements of income. See Note 2.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes ( FIN 48 ). As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we recognized an adjustment to our income tax liabilities and beginning retained earnings. See Note 8.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment ( SFAS No. 123R ) using a modified prospective application as permitted by SFAS No. 123R.

Certain other prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

**2 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

We account for derivatives under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended and interpreted ( SFAS No. 133 ). In general, SFAS No. 133 requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. All derivative instruments are recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as either assets or liabilities depending on the rights or obligations under the contracts. Transactions that we have entered into that are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 include investments in convertible debt securities, interest rate swaps, selling credit protection in the form of credit default swaps, certain financial guaranty contracts, certain mortgage insurance contracts and net interest margin securities ( NIMs ) that are considered derivatives.

Credit default swaps, certain financial guaranty contracts, certain mortgage insurance contracts and NIMs that are accounted for as derivative contracts under SFAS No. 133 are part of our overall business strategy of offering mortgage credit enhancement and financial guaranty protection to our customers. The premiums for these contracts are included in net premiums written and earned. The interest rate swaps that we have entered into qualify as hedges and are accounted for as fair value hedges. The embedded equity derivatives contained within our investments in fixed-maturity securities, as well as our forward foreign currency contracts, credit protection in the form of credit default swaps and NIMs do not qualify as hedges under SFAS No. 133, so changes in their fair value are included in current earnings in our condensed consolidated statements of income as a change in fair value of derivative instruments. Net unrealized gains and losses on credit default swaps and certain other derivative contracts are included in other assets or accounts payable and accrued expenses on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2007 we had a net liability related to our derivative instruments of \$28.9 million as compared to net assets of \$87.6 million and \$75.2 million at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. During the second quarter of 2007, we recognized a decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments of approximately \$103 million, including a \$61.0 million credit reserve related to NIMs, a \$10 million decrease in the fair value of certain mortgage derivatives and a \$32 million decrease in fair value on our financial guaranty collateralized debt obligations ( CDOs ) due to spread widening, which shifted our mark-to-market on derivatives from a net asset to a net liability at June 30, 2007.

We do not record a provision for losses on derivative contracts. Any equivalent reserve would be embedded in the change in fair value of derivative instruments. Settlements under derivative contracts are charged to assets or liabilities, as appropriate, on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we received \$0.1 million of recoveries of previous default payments, paid \$0.1 million for default payments and received \$27.4 million as early termination settlement payments on certain derivative financial guaranty contracts, which we and certain of our counterparties agreed to voluntarily terminate. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we received \$2.7 million as recoveries of previous default payments, paid \$0.1 million for default payments and paid \$68.0 million in connection with the termination of a derivative financial guaranty contract.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Before we adopted SFAS No. 155, SFAS No. 133 required that we split the convertible fixed-maturity securities in our investment portfolio into their derivative and fixed-maturity security components. Over the term of the securities, changes in the fair value of fixed-maturity securities available for sale were recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of changes in common stockholders' equity through accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. Concurrently, a deferred tax liability or benefit was recognized as the recorded value of the fixed-maturity security increased or decreased. Changes in the fair value of the derivative component were recorded as a gain or loss in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and 140. We adopted SFAS No. 155 on January 1, 2007. Accordingly, certain securities that were previously classified as trading securities or fixed maturities available for sale on our condensed consolidated balance sheets were reclassified to hybrid securities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets on the date of adoption. In addition, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 155, we elected to record convertible securities at fair value with changes in the fair value recorded as net gains or losses on securities. At adoption, we recorded an after-tax reclassification to retained earnings from other comprehensive income of approximately \$9.8 million, which represented the cumulative adjustment to fair value.

Our NIMs have features that require that we report them as derivatives. We have a model that uses observed market data to set a spread or price related mark on the portfolio. We observe the credit performance of each NIM bond. If the performance is within our expected range, no loss reserve is established. If the performance is worse than expected, we re-evaluate the expected cash flows available for paying interest and principal on the NIM bond using actual performance, and estimate the claim amount that we believe we may be required to ultimately pay. We continually update our analysis for actual performance. Changes in our estimated fair value marks are recorded as gain/loss on derivatives. Because NIM guarantees are not market traded instruments, considerable judgment is required in estimating fair value. The use of different assumptions and/or estimation techniques may have a significant effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

With respect to our direct derivative financial guaranty contracts, we determine estimated fair value amounts using market information to the extent available, and appropriate valuation methodologies. For CDOs, credit spreads on individual names in our collateral pool are used to determine an equivalent risk tranche on an industry standard credit default swap index. We then estimate the price of our equivalent risk tranche based on observable market prices of standard risk tranches on the industry standard credit default swap index. When credit spreads on individual names are not available, the average credit spread of companies with similar credit ratings is used. For certain structured transactions, dealer quotes on similar structured transactions are used. Significant differences may exist with respect to the available market information and assumptions used to determine gains and losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts. Considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates are not necessarily indicative of amounts we could realize in a current market exchange due to the lack of a liquid market.

The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a significant effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

A summary of our derivative information, as of and for the periods indicated, is as follows:

|  | June 30     | December 31 | June 30     |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Balance Sheets (In millions)                   | 2007        | 2006        | 2006        |
| <b>Trading Securities</b>                      |             |             |             |
| Cost   | \$          | \$ 66.9     | \$ 64.1     |
| Fair value                                     | 0.2         | 106.3       | 93.7        |
| <b>Derivative financial guaranty contracts</b> |             |             |             |
| Notional value                                 | \$ 56,045.0 | \$ 52,563.0 | \$ 42,526.0 |
| Gross unrealized gains                         | \$ 81.9     | \$ 119.3    | \$ 109.9    |
| Gross unrealized losses                        | 110.8       | 31.7        | 34.7        |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains                  | \$ (28.9)   | \$ 87.6     | \$ 75.2     |

The components of the change in fair value of derivative instruments are as follows:

|   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |           | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |          |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Statements of Income (In millions)      | 2007                          | 2006      | 2007                        | 2006     |
| Trading Securities                      | \$ 0.3                        | \$ (6.1)  | \$                          | \$ 8.7   |
| Derivative financial guaranty contracts | (103.4)                       | (19.2)    | (89.3)                      | (16.4)   |
| Net losses                              | \$ (103.1)                    | \$ (25.3) | \$ (89.3)                   | \$ (7.7) |

The following table presents information at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 related to net unrealized gains or losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts (included in other assets or accounts payable and accrued expenses on our condensed consolidated balance sheets).

|                                       | June 30       | December 31 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                                       | 2007          | 2006        |
|                                       | (In millions) |             |
| Balance at January 1                  | \$ 87.6       | \$ 26.2     |
| Net losses recorded                   | (89.1)        | (2.2)       |
| Defaults                              |               |             |
| Recoveries                            | (0.1)         | (4.6)       |
| Payments                              | 0.1           | 0.2         |
| Early termination (receipts)/payments | (27.4)        | 68.0        |
| Balance at end of period              | \$ (28.9)     | \$ 87.6     |

## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The application of SFAS No. 133, as amended, could result in volatility from period to period in gains and losses as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of income. These gains and losses often result from changes in corporate credit or asset-backed spreads and changes in the creditworthiness of underlying corporate entities or the credit performance of the assets underlying an asset-backed security. Any incurred gains or losses on such contracts would be recognized as a change in the fair value of derivative instruments. We are unable to predict the effect this volatility may have on our financial position or results of operations.

In accordance with our risk management policies, we may enter into derivatives to hedge the interest rate risk related to our long-term debt. As of June 30, 2007, we were a party to two interest rate swap contracts

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

relating to our 5.625% unsecured senior notes. These interest rate swaps are designed as fair value hedges that hedge the change in fair value of our long-term debt arising from interest rate movements. During 2007 and 2006, the fair value hedges were 100% effective. Therefore, the changes in fair value of derivative instruments in our condensed consolidated statements of income were offset by the change in the fair value of the hedged debt. These interest rate swap contracts mature in February 2013.

Terms of the interest rate swap contracts at June 30, 2007 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

|                        |                   |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Notional amount        | \$ 250,000        |
| Rate received Fixed    | 5.625%            |
| Rate paid Floating (a) | 6.251%            |
| Maturity date          | February 15, 2013 |
| Unrealized loss        | \$ 9,807          |

(a) The June 30, 2007 six-month London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) forward rate at the next swap payment date plus 87.4 basis points.

**3 Comprehensive income**

Our total comprehensive income, as calculated per SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, was as follows (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |            | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |            |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|  | 2007                          | 2006       | 2007                        | 2006       |
| Net income, as reported                            | \$ 21,083                     | \$ 148,145 | \$ 134,550                  | \$ 311,843 |
| Other comprehensive income (net of tax)            |                               |            |                             |            |
| Net unrealized losses on investments               | (46,823)                      | (39,665)   | (57,279)                    | (55,947)   |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustment | 1,640                         | 4,476      | 2,491                       | 5,016      |
| Comprehensive income (loss)                        | \$ (24,100)                   | \$ 112,952 | \$ 79,762                   | \$ 260,912 |

**4 Investments**

We are required to group assets in our investment portfolio into one of three main categories: held to maturity, available for sale or trading securities. Fixed-maturity securities for which we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and reported at amortized cost. Investments classified as available for sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses (net of tax) reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income. Investments classified as trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses (net of tax) reported as a separate component of income. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we began classifying certain new security purchases as trading securities. Similar securities were classified as available for sale for periods prior to 2006.

Effective January 1, 2007, we began classifying convertible securities (including the fixed-maturity component previously classified as available for sale and the derivative component previously classified as trading securities) as hybrid securities in accordance with SFAS No. 155. Hybrid securities generally combine both debt and equity characteristics. Prior to 2007, the changes in fair value of the fixed-maturity component of these securities were recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of changes in common stockholders' equity through accumulated other comprehensive income or loss and the changes in fair value of the derivative



---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

component of these securities were recorded as a gain or loss in our condensed consolidated statements of income. In accordance with SFAS No. 155, effective January 1, 2007, all changes in the fair value of the entire convertible security are now recorded as net gains on securities in our condensed consolidated statements of income. Our transition adjustment related to the adoption of SFAS No. 155 increased retained earnings at January 1, 2007 by \$9.8 million, and reduced accumulated other comprehensive income by the same amount. The transition amount includes unrealized gains of \$14.1 million (net of tax) and unrealized losses of \$4.3 million (net of tax) related to convertible securities at December 31, 2006.

For securities classified as either available for sale or held to maturity, we conduct a quarterly evaluation of declines in market value of the securities to determine whether the decline is other-than-temporary. If a security's fair value is below the cost basis, and it is judged to be other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value through earnings as a realized loss and the fair value becomes the new basis. During the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of charges related to declines in the fair value of securities (primarily small cap value stocks) considered to be other-than-temporary. During the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded \$1.9 million of charges related to declines in the fair value of securities considered to be other-than-temporary. At June 30, 2007 and 2006, there were no other investments held in the portfolio that were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Realized gains and losses are determined on a specific identification method and are included in income. Other invested assets consist of residential mortgage-backed securities and alternative investments that are primarily private equity investments, including an investment in a fund sponsored and managed by C-BASS that invests in real estate related securities. These investments are carried at cost or under the equity method.

Our evaluation of market declines for other-than-temporary impairment is based on management's case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. We consider a wide range of factors about the security and use our best judgment in evaluating the cause of the decline in the estimated fair value of the security and in assessing the prospects for near-term recovery. Inherent in management's evaluation of the security are assumptions and estimates about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential. Considerations used by us in the impairment evaluation process include, but are not limited to: (i) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been below cost or amortized cost; (ii) the potential for impairments of securities when the issuer is experiencing significant financial difficulties; (iii) the potential for impairments in an entire industry sector or sub-sector; (iv) the potential for impairments in certain economically depressed geographic locations; (v) the potential for impairments of securities where the issuer, series of issuers or industry has suffered a catastrophic type of loss or has exhausted natural resources; (vi) our ability and intent to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for the full recovery of its value to an amount equal to or greater than cost or amortized cost; (vii) unfavorable changes in forecasted cash flows on asset-backed securities; and (viii) other subjective factors, including concentrations and information obtained from regulators and rating agencies.

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired (in thousands), aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2007.

| Description of Securities             | Less Than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or Greater |                   | Total        |                   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|                                       | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value           | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value   | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. government securities            | \$ 29,439           | \$ 555            | \$ 31,561            | \$ 1,064          | \$ 61,000    | \$ 1,619          |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | 4,772               | 58                | 14,794               | 483               | 19,566       | 541               |
| State and municipal obligations       | 1,142,459           | 23,200            | 79,171               | 952               | 1,221,630    | 24,152            |
| Corporate bonds and notes             | 38,972              | 1,133             | 32,754               | 1,084             | 71,726       | 2,217             |
| Asset-backed securities               | 189,699             | 4,769             | 95,228               | 3,222             | 284,927      | 7,991             |
| Private placements                    | 5,884               | 132               | 3,588                | 91                | 9,472        | 223               |
| Foreign governments                   | 47,857              | 1,481             | 61,249               | 2,824             | 109,106      | 4,305             |
| Total                                 | \$ 1,459,082        | \$ 31,328         | \$ 318,345           | \$ 9,720          | \$ 1,777,427 | \$ 41,048         |

*U.S. government securities*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investments in U.S. Treasury obligations were caused by interest rate movement. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the par value of the investment. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*U.S. government-sponsored enterprises*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investment in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities were also caused by interest rate movement. The contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of our investment. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*State and municipal obligations*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investments in tax-exempt state and municipal securities were caused by interest rate movement. We believe that credit quality did not impact security pricing due to the relative high quality of the holdings (*i.e.*, the majority of the securities were either AAA/Aaa rated bonds, insured, partially pre-refunded or partially escrowed to maturity). Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Corporate bonds and notes*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an





**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

unrealized loss position. Unrealized losses for the remaining securities in this category are attributable to changes in business operations, resulting in widened credit spreads from June 30, 2006 to June 30, 2007. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Asset-backed securities*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an unrealized loss position. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Private placements*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an unrealized loss position. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Foreign governments*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. We believe that credit quality did not impact security pricing due to the relative high quality of the holdings (*i.e.*, the majority of the securities were highly-rated governments and government agencies or corporate issues with minimum ratings of single-A). Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

For all investment categories, unrealized losses of less than 12 months in duration were generally attributable to interest rate movement. All securities were evaluated in accordance with our impairment recognition policy covering various time and price decline scenarios. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

The contractual maturity of securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2007 was as follows:

|   | Fair Value | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Loss |
|---|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>(In millions)</b>                              |            |                   |                    |
| 2007  | \$ 9.7     | \$ 9.7            | \$                 |
| 2008 2011   | 225.7      | 228.9             | 3.2                |
| 2012 2016   | 181.4      | 185.5             | 4.1                |
| 2017 and later                                    | 1,075.7    | 1,101.4           | 25.7               |
| Mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities | 284.9      | 292.9             | 8.0                |
| Total   | \$ 1,777.4 | \$ 1,818.4        | \$ 41.0            |

---

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****5 Segment Reporting**

We have three reportable segments: mortgage insurance, financial guaranty and financial services. Our reportable segments are strategic business units that are managed separately because each business segment requires different marketing, sales and risk management expertise. We allocate corporate income and expenses to each of the segments. The mortgage insurance segment provides mortgage credit protection, principally through private mortgage insurance, and risk management services to mortgage lending institutions located throughout the United States and globally. Private mortgage insurance protects lenders from all or part of default-related losses on residential mortgage loans made mostly to homebuyers who make down payments of less than 20% of the home's purchase price. Private mortgage insurance also facilitates the sale of these mortgages in the secondary market. Our financial guaranty segment provides credit-related insurance coverage through credit default swaps and certain other financial guaranty contracts to meet the needs of customers and counterparties in a wide variety of domestic and international markets. Our insurance businesses within the financial guaranty segment include the assumption of reinsurance from monoline financial guaranty insurers for both public finance bonds and structured finance obligations. The financial services segment includes the credit-based businesses conducted through our affiliates, C-BASS and Sherman. See Note 6 for additional information.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, our domestic net premiums earned from all of our segments were \$245.9 million and \$246.7 million, respectively, and our net premiums earned attributable to foreign countries were approximately \$9.0 million and \$12.4 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, our domestic net premiums earned from all of our segments were \$484.8 million and \$485.9 million, respectively, and our net premiums earned attributable to foreign countries were approximately \$19.2 million and \$26.9 million, respectively. The decrease in net premiums earned from all of our segments and those attributable to foreign countries is mainly due to a decline in trade credit reinsurance business, which was placed in run-off in 2005. Long-lived assets located in foreign countries were immaterial for the periods presented.

In the mortgage insurance segment, the highest state concentration of primary risk in force at June 30, 2007, was Florida at 9.0%, compared to 9.3% at June 30, 2006. At June 30, 2007, California accounted for 11.9% of the mortgage insurance segment's total direct primary insurance in force, compared to 10.3% at June 30, 2006, and 11.6% of the mortgage insurance segment's total pool risk in force at both June 30, 2007 and 11.3% at June 30, 2006. California also accounted for 21.0% of the mortgage insurance segment's direct primary new insurance written for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 13.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The large percentage of direct primary new insurance written in California in the six months ended June 30, 2007, resulted primarily from a large structured transaction written in the first quarter of 2007 as modified pool, where we are in a second-loss position.

The largest single customer of our mortgage insurance segment (including branches and affiliates of such customer), measured by primary new insurance written, accounted for 26.3% of primary new insurance written during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 8.5% from the largest single customer of our mortgage insurance segment during the six months ended June 30, 2006. The large percentage in 2007 was primarily due to the large structured transaction mentioned above that was written in the first quarter of 2007 as modified pool in a second-loss position.

The financial guaranty segment derives a substantial portion of its premiums written from a small number of direct primary insurers. Two primary insurers accounted for approximately \$36.7 million or 34.5% of the financial guaranty segment's gross written premiums for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to approximately \$39.0 million or 28.3% of the financial guaranty segment's gross written premiums from those same two primary insurers for the comparable period of 2006. No other primary insurer accounted for more than

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

10% of the financial guaranty segment's gross written premiums during the six months ended June 30, 2007 or 2006. Gross written premiums and net written premiums for the financial guaranty business are not materially different because we have not ceded a material amount of business to reinsurers.

Summarized financial information concerning our operating segments, as of and for the periods indicated, is as follows:

|   | Three Months Ended  |                     | Six Months Ended |                   |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|   | June 30             |                     | June 30          |                   |
|   | 2007                | 2006                | 2007             | 2006              |
| <b>Mortgage Insurance (In thousands)</b>        |                     |                     |                  |                   |
| Net premiums written                            | \$ 217,040          | \$ 217,050          | \$ 439,329       | \$ 440,816        |
| Net premiums earned insurance                   | 185,588             | 200,641             | 365,831          | 393,612           |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives          | 21,090              | 8,029               | 36,779           | 17,764            |
| Net premiums earned total                       | \$ 206,678          | \$ 208,670          | \$ 402,610       | \$ 411,376        |
| Net investment income                           | 36,287              | 36,150              | 71,846           | 67,815            |
| Net gains on securities                         | 19,356              | 3,684               | 30,479           | 17,261            |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments  | (70,500)            | (3,998)             | (81,851)         | 5,123             |
| Other income                                    | 2,726               | 3,475               | 5,575            | 7,109             |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                           | <b>194,547</b>      | <b>247,981</b>      | <b>428,659</b>   | <b>508,684</b>    |
| Provision for losses                            | 180,152             | 77,577              | 293,006          | 148,674           |
| Policy acquisition costs                        | 12,556              | 15,720              | 29,079           | 29,065            |
| Other operating expenses                        | 43,026              | 40,542              | 82,626           | 84,809            |
| Interest expense                                | 6,341               | 6,984               | 13,195           | 13,685            |
| <b>Total expenses</b>                           | <b>242,075</b>      | <b>140,823</b>      | <b>417,906</b>   | <b>276,233</b>    |
| <b>Equity in net income of affiliates</b>       |                     |                     |                  |                   |
| Pretax income (loss)                            | (47,528)            | 107,158             | 10,753           | 232,451           |
| Income tax provision (benefit)                  | (19,326)            | 23,986              | (5,747)          | 61,135            |
| <b>Net income (loss)</b>                        | <b>\$ (28,202)</b>  | <b>\$ 83,172</b>    | <b>\$ 16,500</b> | <b>\$ 171,316</b> |
| <b>Total assets</b>                             | <b>\$ 4,762,306</b> | <b>\$ 4,505,679</b> |                  |                   |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs               | 70,525              | 68,843              |                  |                   |
| Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses | 746,095             | 592,526             |                  |                   |
| Unearned premiums                               | 287,824             | 241,012             |                  |                   |
| Stockholders' equity                            | 2,270,272           | 2,225,680           |                  |                   |

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

|   | Three Months Ended  |                     | Six Months Ended |                  |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
|   | June 30             |                     | June 30          |                  |
|   | 2007                | 2006                | 2007             | 2006             |
| <b>Financial Guaranty (In thousands)</b>        |                     |                     |                  |                  |
| Net premiums written (1)                        | \$ 50,516           | \$ 81,825           | \$ 105,861       | \$ 137,664       |
| Net premiums earned insurance                   | 32,422              | 32,886              | 66,686           | 67,149           |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives          | 15,784              | 17,530              | 34,723           | 34,258           |
| Net premiums earned total                       | \$ 48,206           | \$ 50,416           | \$ 101,409       | \$ 101,407       |
| Net investment income                           | 26,320              | 23,520              | 51,757           | 46,038           |
| Net gains on securities                         | 5,609               | 1,709               | 8,433            | 8,887            |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments  | (32,620)            | (21,289)            | (7,480)          | (12,780)         |
| Other income                                    | 126                 | 120                 | 266              | 334              |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                           | <b>47,641</b>       | <b>54,476</b>       | <b>154,385</b>   | <b>143,886</b>   |
| Provision for losses                            | (6,190)             | 7,283               | (12,002)         | 14,820           |
| Policy acquisition costs                        | 11,642              | 11,100              | 23,373           | 25,119           |
| Other operating expenses                        | 12,938              | 15,297              | 27,173           | 30,053           |
| Interest expense                                | 4,462               | 4,325               | 9,058            | 8,351            |
| <b>Total expenses</b>                           | <b>22,852</b>       | <b>38,005</b>       | <b>47,602</b>    | <b>78,343</b>    |
| <b>Equity in net income of affiliates</b>       |                     |                     |                  |                  |
| Pretax income                                   | 24,789              | 16,471              | 106,783          | 65,543           |
| Income tax provision (benefit)                  | 2,768               | (2,565)             | 26,846           | 9,635            |
| <b>Net income</b>                               | <b>\$ 22,021</b>    | <b>\$ 19,036</b>    | <b>\$ 79,937</b> | <b>\$ 55,908</b> |
| <b>Total assets</b>                             | <b>\$ 2,717,023</b> | <b>\$ 2,500,483</b> |                  |                  |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs               | 162,023             | 146,960             |                  |                  |
| Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses | 163,276             | 197,175             |                  |                  |
| Unearned premiums                               | 699,964             | 672,867             |                  |                  |
| Stockholders equity                             | 1,456,497           | 1,266,979           |                  |                  |

- (1) With the exception of trade credit reinsurance products, net premiums written in our financial guaranty reinsurance business are recorded using actual information received from cedants on a one month lag basis. Accordingly, the net premiums written for any given period exclude those from the last month of that period and include those from the last month of the immediately preceding period. The use of information from cedants does not require us to make significant judgments or assumptions because historic collection rates and counterparty strength make collection of all assumed premiums highly likely. There were no material trade credit reinsurance premiums written for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007. Net premiums written for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 included \$1.5 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, of assumed premiums related to trade credit reinsurance products. Included in these amounts are estimates based on projections provided by ceding companies. Over the life of the reinsured business, these projections are replaced with actual results and, historically, the difference between the projections and actual results has not been material. Accordingly, we do not record any related provision for doubtful accounts with respect to our trade credit reinsurance products.

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

| <b>Financial Services (In thousands)</b>        | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>June 30</b> |                   | <b>Six Months Ended<br/>June 30</b> |                  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
|   | <b>2007</b>                           | <b>2006</b>       | <b>2007</b>                         | <b>2006</b>      |
| Net premiums written                            | \$                                    | \$                | \$                                  | \$               |
| Net premiums earned insurance                   | \$                                    | \$                | \$                                  | \$               |
| Net premiums earned credit derivative           |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Net premiums earned total                       |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Net investment income                           | 43                                    | 8                 | 43                                  | 85               |
| Net gains (losses) on securities                | 729                                   | (69)              | 527                                 | 2,030            |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments  |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Other income                                    | 250                                   | 2,183             | 1,079                               | 3,546            |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                           | <b>1,022</b>                          | <b>2,122</b>      | <b>1,649</b>                        | <b>5,661</b>     |
| Provision for losses                            |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Policy acquisition costs                        |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Other operating expenses                        | 1,644                                 | 2,260             | 5,504                               | 3,514            |
| Interest expense                                | 1,557                                 | 1,229             | 3,163                               | 2,342            |
| <b>Total expenses</b>                           | <b>3,201</b>                          | <b>3,489</b>      | <b>8,667</b>                        | <b>5,856</b>     |
| Equity in net income of affiliates              | 49,507                                | 72,038            | 72,279                              | 130,378          |
| Pretax income                                   | 47,328                                | 70,671            | 65,261                              | 130,183          |
| Income tax provision                            | 20,064                                | 24,734            | 27,148                              | 45,564           |
| <b>Net income</b>                               | <b>\$ 27,264</b>                      | <b>\$ 45,937</b>  | <b>\$ 38,113</b>                    | <b>\$ 84,619</b> |
| <b>Total assets</b>                             | <b>\$ 638,756</b>                     | <b>\$ 501,185</b> |                                     |                  |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs               |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Unearned premiums                               |                                       |                   |                                     |                  |
| Stockholders equity                             | 415,949                               | 337,509           |                                     |                  |

A reconciliation of segment net income to consolidated net income is as follows:

| <b>Consolidated (In thousands)</b> | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>June 30</b> |                   | <b>Six Months Ended<br/>June 30</b> |                   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                    | <b>2007</b>                           | <b>2006</b>       | <b>2007</b>                         | <b>2006</b>       |
| Net income (loss):                 |                                       |                   |                                     |                   |
| Mortgage Insurance                 | \$ (28,202)                           | \$ 83,172         | \$ 16,500                           | \$ 171,316        |
| Financial Guaranty                 | 22,021                                | 19,036            | 79,937                              | 55,908            |
| Financial Services                 | 27,264                                | 45,937            | 38,113                              | 84,619            |
| <b>Total</b>                       | <b>\$ 21,083</b>                      | <b>\$ 148,145</b> | <b>\$ 134,550</b>                   | <b>\$ 311,843</b> |

**6 Investment in Affiliates**

## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

We have a 46.0% equity interest in C-BASS and an interest in Sherman, which consists of 40.96% of the Class A Common Units (Class A Common Units represent 94% of the total equity in Sherman) and 50% of the Preferred Units.

On July 29, 2007, we concluded that a material charge for impairment of our investment in C-BASS was required under GAAP.

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Since February 2007, the market for subprime mortgages has experienced significant turmoil, with market dislocations accelerating to unprecedented levels beginning in mid-July 2007. C-BASS reported a loss of \$14.7 million in the first quarter of 2007 before returning to profitability in the second quarter as credit spreads briefly stabilized before widening dramatically again in July.

Prior to July 29, 2007, a number of qualified buyers showed a strong interest in C-BASS, and both we and MGIC Investment Corporation (MGIC) received multiple preliminary indications of interest above C-BASS's book value. Due diligence was ongoing until July 29th when the increase in margin calls over the prior few days significantly jeopardized C-BASS's liquidity position, resulting in a withdrawal of all interested buyers at that time. Giving consideration to C-BASS's inability to meet the accelerating amount of margin calls they were receiving, as well as the withdrawal of any potential buyers at the time, we concluded on July 29, 2007 that a material charge for impairment of our interest in C-BASS was required.

Our total investment in C-BASS consists of approximately \$468 million of equity as of June 30, 2007 and \$50 million under an unsecured credit facility provided to C-BASS on July 19, 2007, as discussed in Note 12 below. MGIC also provided C-BASS with an unsecured credit facility in the same amount, which has been fully drawn. At June 30, 2007, on a pro forma basis reflecting the amounts drawn under our facility with C-BASS, Radian's investment in C-BASS was approximately \$518 million.

We have not yet determined an estimate of the amount or range of amounts of the potential impairment, although the impairment charge could be our entire investment in C-BASS. Following our impairment determination, C-BASS faced significant additional margin calls and its liquidity position continued to deteriorate. With the cooperation of its lenders, including Radian and MGIC, C-BASS is actively working with The Blackstone Group L.P., its financial advisor, and potential investors to explore opportunities to secure additional liquidity. We do not currently anticipate any future cash expenditures associated with the impairment charge. The net impact to Radian of the impairment charge will include any associated tax benefit.

On June 24, 2005, we entered into agreements to restructure our ownership interest in Sherman. As part of the restructuring, we and MGIC each agreed to sell a 6.92% interest in Sherman to a new entity controlled by Sherman's management team, thereby reducing our ownership interest and MGIC's ownership interest to 34.58% for each of us. In connection with the restructuring, we and MGIC each paid \$1 million for each of us to have the right, in the future, to purchase an additional 6.92% interest in Sherman from that new entity controlled by Sherman's management team for a price intended to approximate current fair market value. Before the restructuring, Sherman was owned 41.5% by us, 41.5% by MGIC and 17% by an entity controlled by Sherman's management team.

On September 14, 2006, we and MGIC each agreed to restructure our respective options. In order to accommodate this restructuring, the equity interests of Sherman were reclassified, effective July 1, 2006, from a single class of equity interests into Class A Common Units and a combination of Preferred Units and Class B Common Units. As part of the reclassification, all of the authorized Class B Common Units were granted to an entity controlled by Sherman's management. The Class B Common Units entitle Sherman's management to 3% of Sherman's pre-tax earnings above \$200 million (on an annual basis) and a right in liquidation of up to 6% of any amounts after satisfaction of a liquidation preference on the Preferred Units. The actual percentage received by the holders of Class B Common Units upon a liquidation or sale of Sherman will depend on when the sale or liquidation occurs and the value of Sherman at that time.

As restructured, we and MGIC each were granted an identical option to purchase, effective July 1, 2006:

4.17% (8.34% for both of us) of the Class A Common Units outstanding after the reclassification, which represents 3.92% (7.84% for both of us) of the common equity interests in Sherman prior to the reclassification; and

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

A preferred equity interest that entitles both us and MGIC to:

- Three percent (6% in total for both of us) of the first \$200 million of Sherman's annual pre-tax earnings, which amount shall be allocated to the Preferred Units on a cumulative basis before any amounts are allocated to the Class B Common Units, and 1.5% (3% in total for both of us) of Sherman's annual pre-tax earnings above \$200 million; and
- A preference in the proceeds received upon a liquidation or sale of Sherman equal to the tax basis in the preferred equity.

On September 22, 2006, we and MGIC each paid \$65.3 million to an entity controlled by Sherman's management team in connection with the exercise of our restructured options. The purchase price consisted of approximately \$44.8 million for the Class A Common Units and approximately \$20.5 million for the Preferred Units. The acquisition of additional interest in common equity in Sherman resulted in \$37.9 million of purchase accounting premium on receivables and approximately \$4.0 million in goodwill and other intangibles. The amortization period of the premium on receivables is approximately three years with a higher amount of amortization recognized in the first year and declining over the life of the receivables. Included in the equity in net income of affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2007 is \$6.8 million of premium amortization. The goodwill is evaluated annually for impairment.

The following table shows the components that make up the investment in affiliates balance:

| (In thousands) | June 30    |                     |
|----------------|------------|---------------------|
|                | 2007       | December 31<br>2006 |
| C-BASS         | \$ 467,800 | \$ 451,395          |
| Sherman        | 171,737    | 167,412             |
| Other          | 34         | 34                  |
| Total          | \$ 639,571 | \$ 618,841          |



**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

| (In thousands)  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |               | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |            |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|   | 2007                          | 2006          | 2007                        | 2006       |
| <b>Investment in Affiliates-Selected Information:</b> |                               |               |                             |            |
| <b>C-BASS</b>   |                               |               |                             |            |
| Balance, beginning of period                          | \$ 444,591                    | \$ 387,043    | \$ 451,395                  | \$ 364,364 |
| Share of net income for period                        | 23,209                        | 44,855        | 16,405                      | 74,881     |
| Dividends received                                    |                               | 16,547        |                             | 23,894     |
| Balance, end of period                                | \$ 467,800                    | \$ 415,351    | \$ 467,800                  | \$ 415,351 |
| <b>Sherman</b>  |                               |               |                             |            |
| Balance, beginning of period                          | \$ 143,698                    | \$ 49,608     | \$ 167,412                  | \$ 81,753  |
| Share of net income for period                        | 26,298                        | 27,182        | 55,874                      | 55,497     |
| Dividends received                                    |                               |               | 51,512                      | 60,515     |
| Other comprehensive income                            | 1,741                         |               | (37)                        | 55         |
| Balance, end of period                                | \$ 171,737                    | \$ 76,790     | \$ 171,737                  | \$ 76,790  |
| <b>Portfolio Information:</b>                         |                               |               |                             |            |
| <b>C-BASS</b>   |                               |               |                             |            |
| <b>(In thousands)</b>                                 |                               |               |                             |            |
| Servicing portfolio                                   | \$ 58,100,000                 | \$ 56,460,000 |                             |            |
| Total assets  | 6,619,605                     | 6,289,258     |                             |            |
| Total liabilities                                     | 5,647,553                     | 5,417,481     |                             |            |
| <b>Sherman</b>  |                               |               |                             |            |
| Total assets  | \$ 1,778,299                  | \$ 1,058,989  |                             |            |
| Total liabilities                                     | 1,469,321                     | 836,924       |                             |            |
| <b>Summary Income Statement:</b>                      |                               |               |                             |            |
| <b>C-BASS</b>   |                               |               |                             |            |
| <i>Income</i>   |                               |               |                             |            |
| (Loss) gain on securitization                         | \$ (5,092)                    | \$ 9,445      | \$ (10,586)                 | \$ 7,601   |
| Portfolio sales and changes in fair value             | (15,942)                      | 39,761        | (101,613)                   | 63,683     |
| Servicing and subservicing fees, net                  | 48,621                        | 40,198        | 91,747                      | 71,456     |
| Net interest income                                   | 66,572                        | 69,872        | 145,424                     | 134,912    |
| Other income  | 6,940                         | 12,615        | 15,108                      | 22,552     |
| Total revenues  | 101,099                       | 171,891       | 140,080                     | 300,204    |
| <i>Expenses</i>                                       |                               |               |                             |            |
| Compensation and benefits                             | 27,750                        | 60,223        | 59,893                      | 107,287    |
| Total other expenses                                  | 23,247                        | 14,294        | 44,776                      | 30,080     |
| Total expenses  | 50,997                        | 74,517        | 104,669                     | 137,367    |
| Net income  | \$ 50,102                     | \$ 97,374     | \$ 35,411                   | \$ 162,837 |
| <b>Sherman</b>  |                               |               |                             |            |
| <i>Income</i>   |                               |               |                             |            |

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|   |                  |                  |                   |                   |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Revenues from receivable portfolios net of amortization | \$ 252,990       | \$ 236,113       | \$ 504,945        | \$ 473,785        |
| Other revenues  | 19,459           | 17,055           | 24,975            | 19,785            |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                                   | <b>272,449</b>   | <b>253,168</b>   | <b>529,920</b>    | <b>493,570</b>    |
| <i>Expenses</i>   |                  |                  |                   |                   |
| Operating and servicing expenses                        | 147,516          | 133,766          | 287,677           | 261,134           |
| Interest  | 18,750           | 11,502           | 32,333            | 21,538            |
| Other   | 34,434           | 29,293           | 57,058            | 50,410            |
| <b>Total expenses</b>                                   | <b>200,700</b>   | <b>174,561</b>   | <b>377,068</b>    | <b>333,082</b>    |
| <b>Net income</b>                                       | <b>\$ 71,749</b> | <b>\$ 78,607</b> | <b>\$ 152,852</b> | <b>\$ 160,488</b> |

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****7 Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses ( LAE ) Mortgage Insurance**

We establish reserves to provide for the estimated losses from claims and the estimated costs of settling claims on defaults (or delinquencies) reported and defaults that have occurred but have not been reported.

Included in the reserve for losses at June 30, 2007, is \$59.0 million related to a second-lien structured mortgage transaction. Of this amount, \$29.5 million is included as a reinsurance recoverable in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table reconciles our mortgage insurance segment's beginning and ending reserves for losses and LAE for the six months ended June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Mortgage Insurance</b>  |            |
| Balance at January 1, 2007   | \$ 653,236 |
| Less Reinsurance recoverables  | 21,763     |
| Balance at January 1, 2007, net  | 631,473    |
| Add total losses and LAE incurred in respect of default notices received | 293,006    |
| Deduct total losses and LAE paid in respect of default notices received  | 207,903    |
| Balance at June 30, 2007, net  | 716,576    |
| Add Reinsurance recoverables   | 29,519     |
| Balance at June 30, 2007   | \$ 746,095 |

Claims paid during 2007 included claims from a structured transaction covering the first 10% of aggregate losses on a pool of subprime second-lien mortgages. As structured, we split losses with our counterparty under this policy on a 50-50 basis. We began experiencing a significant increase in filed claims on this policy during the third quarter of 2006 and have paid approximately \$36.0 million in net claims on this policy as of June 30, 2007. At June 30, 2007, our net exposure remaining under this policy was approximately \$42.0 million or half of the approximately \$84.0 million in gross remaining exposure. Approximately \$29.5 million of this \$42.0 million was contained within our net loss reserve at June 30, 2007. In addition to this policy, we also have a supplemental policy on the same pool of mortgages that covers certain losses in excess of the 10% aggregate stop-loss.

Although delinquencies from this pool of mortgages have begun to stabilize, the pool has not shown the improvement that we had anticipated. Based on currently available information, we now believe that losses will likely exceed the 10% aggregate threshold of the initial policy, and when combined with the supplemental policy, total losses will likely exceed premiums over the life of the two policies. It is still too early to determine with any degree of certainty the total amount of losses that may result from the supplemental policy. Our current estimate of losses for both policies is reflected in our expectations for total mortgage insurance paid claims for 2007 and 2008. We are working constructively with our counterparty to attempt to satisfy all claims issues in a fair way that mitigates overall losses as best as possible.

**8 Income Taxes**

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. It prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.



**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

We adopted FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 was an increase of approximately \$218 million in the current income taxes payable, a decrease of approximately \$197 million in deferred income taxes payable and a \$21 million decrease in retained earnings. Prior to the implementation of FIN 48, we maintained reserves for contingent tax liabilities, which totaled approximately \$20 million as of December 31, 2006. After the adoption of FIN 48 and as of June 30, 2007, we have approximately \$47.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. Also prior to FIN 48, we maintained a net current tax recoverable based on payments made to the taxing authorities, and such recoverable was classified in other assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. As a result of the changes necessary in applying the guidelines of FIN 48, we expect our income tax provision to generally exceed actual payments made to the taxing authorities in any given year, which results in a current tax liability position reflected on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Our policy for the recognition of interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions is to record such items as a component of our income tax provision. The table below details the cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 as of June 30, 2007.

The effect of unrecognized tax benefits on our condensed consolidated balance sheets and results of operations is as follows:

| (In thousands)   | January 1  |           | June 30    |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|
|  | 2007       | Increase  | 2007       |
| Unrecognized tax benefits as a component of current income taxes payable             | \$ 218,400 | \$ 11,843 | \$ 230,243 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate   | \$ 41,118  | \$ 6,368  | \$ 47,486  |
| Interest and penalties recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets       | \$ 28,085  | \$ 5,371  | \$ 33,456  |
| Interest and penalties recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of income | \$         | \$ 5,371  | \$ 5,371   |

Uncertain tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits included above will significantly increase (decrease) over the 12-month period following adoption are various state and local income taxes and penalties and interest on taxable income from our investment in certain lower tier partnership interests.

We have taken a position in various jurisdictions that we are not required to remit taxes with regard to the income earned on our investment in certain partnership interests. Although we believe that these tax positions are likely to succeed if adjudicated in a court of last resort, measurement under FIN 48 of the potential amount of liability for state and local taxes and the potential for penalty and interest thereon is performed on a quarterly basis. Over the next twelve months, additional taxable income is expected from these investments, which would require additional measurements of potential state and local taxes, penalty and interest thereon. The estimated increase to the current income taxes payable for these positions over the 12-month period following adoption is approximately \$9.8 million.

The following calendar tax years, listed by major jurisdiction, remain subject to examination:

|   |      |          |
|---|------|----------|
| U.S. Federal Corporation Income Tax           | 2000 | 2006 (1) |
| Significant State and Local Jurisdictions (2) | 1999 | 2006     |

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

(1) Our U. S. federal corporation income tax returns filed for calendar years 1999 through 2005 are currently being examined by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ). The IRS is asserting that the 1999 tax year remains open for examination under IRC Section 6501(e). With regard to the 1999 tax year, we have agreed to extend the statute of limitations for the assessment of tax to June 30, 2008. The extension of the statute of limitations is contingent upon the IRS 's successful application of the provisions of IRC Section 6501(e). With regard to tax years 2000 through 2003, we have also agreed to extend the statute of limitations for the assessment of tax to June 30, 2008. All such statute of limitation extensions, including the 1999 calendar year extension, have limited the scope of the examinations to the recognition of certain tax benefits that were generated through our investment in a portfolio of residual interests in Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits ( REMICs ).

(2) Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas and New York City

The IRS examination remains in the discovery phase and no formal notice of proposed adjustment has been received. The IRS has indicated that it opposes the recognition of certain tax losses that were generated through our investment in a portfolio of residual interests in REMICs. A formal notice of proposed adjustment is expected upon the completion of discovery, which is anticipated to conclude during the third or fourth quarter of 2007. We will contest any proposed adjustment relating to the IRS 's opposition of the tax losses in question. Upon receipt of the IRS 's proposed adjustment, we may make a payment with the United States Department of the Treasury to avoid the accrual of the above-market-rate interest associated with our estimate of the potentially unsettled adjustment. We anticipate making this payment within 30 days of receiving a formal notice of proposed adjustment, and the cash requirement for such payment is expected to be approximately \$84.0 million. Any ultimate overpayment associated with the payment on account would be recovered through a formal claim for refund process.

**9 Long-Term Debt**

In February 2003, we issued \$250 million of unsecured senior notes. These notes bear interest at the rate of 5.625% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15. These notes mature in February 2013. We have the option to redeem some or all of the notes at any time with not less than 30 days' notice at a redemption price equal to the greater of the principal amount of the notes or the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the notes to be redeemed. In April 2004, we entered into interest-rate swap contracts that effectively convert the interest rate on this fixed-rate debt to a variable rate based on a spread over the six-month LIBOR for the remaining term of the debt.

The composition of our long-term debt at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was as follows:

|                              | June 30    | December 31 |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| (In thousands)               | 2007       | 2006        |
| 5.625% Senior Notes due 2013 | \$ 248,768 | \$ 248,677  |
| 7.75% Debentures due 2011    | 249,533    | 249,483     |
| 5.375% Senior Notes due 2015 | 249,628    | 249,610     |
|                              | \$ 747,929 | \$ 747,770  |

**10 Stock-Based Compensation**

We have an equity compensation plan, the Radian Group Inc. Equity Compensation Plan (the Plan ), under which we may provide grants of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights (referred to as SARs ), performance shares and phantom stock. To date, all awards granted



---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

under the Plan have been in the form of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and phantom stock. Officers and other employees (of Radian or its affiliates) are eligible to participate in the Plan. Non-employee directors are also eligible to participate in the Plan, but are not permitted to receive grants of incentive stock options. The plan will expire on December 31, 2008.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R using a modified prospective application as permitted by SFAS No. 123R. Under this application, we are required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. Compensation cost is recognized over the periods that an employee provides service in exchange for the award.

*Stock Options*

Unless otherwise specified, each option vests ratably over four years, beginning one year after the date of grant. We generally issue shares from unissued reserved shares for exercises with an exercise price less than the treasury stock repurchase price and from treasury stock when the exercise price is greater than the treasury stock repurchase price. During the first six months of 2007, there were no stock options granted compared to 1,030,650 shares granted during the first six months of 2006. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007, we recorded \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of net expense related to stock options compared to \$1.7 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, of net expense in the comparable 2006 periods.

*Restricted Stock*

The Compensation and Human Resources Committee of our board of directors may issue shares of our common stock under a grant of restricted stock under the Plan. The shares underlying a grant are issued in consideration for services rendered having a value, as determined by our board of directors, at least equal to the par value of the common stock. While shares are subject to restrictions, a grantee may not sell, assign, transfer, pledge or otherwise dispose of the shares of our common stock, except to a successor grantee in the event of the grantee's death. All restrictions imposed under a restricted stock grant lapse after the applicable restriction period or as the Compensation Committee may determine. Restricted shares granted on or after February 5, 2007 generally vest three years from the date of grant, but may vest earlier, if, during the three year period following completion of the merger with MGIC, the employee's employment is terminated by us without cause or by the employee for good reason (as those terms are defined in the applicable award agreements).

We granted, under the Plan, 587,530 shares of restricted stock during the first six months of 2007 compared to 41,300 shares of restricted stock during all of 2006, in the case of each grant, vesting over three to four years.

The amount recorded as net stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$2.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

*Phantom Stock*

The Compensation Committee may grant phantom stock awards under the Plan, which entitle grantees to receive shares of our common stock on a vesting date (referred to in the Plan as the conversion date) established by the Compensation Committee. All phantom stock will be paid in whole shares of our common stock, with fractional shares paid in cash. The amount recorded as stock-based compensation expense related to phantom stock awards granted for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$(0.1) million and \$0.9 million, respectively, compared to \$0.2 and \$1.6 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

*Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

We have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP). A total of 400,000 shares of our authorized unissued common stock have been made available under the ESPP. The ESPP allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our stock at a discount of 15% of the beginning-of-period or end-of-period (each period being the first and second six calendar months) fair market value of the stock, whichever is lower. Eligibility under the ESPP is determined based on standard weekly work hours and tenure with us and eligible employees are limited to a maximum contribution of \$400 per payroll period toward the purchase of our stock. The effect of the issuance of shares under the ESPP on our net income and earnings per share was immaterial for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

On May 8, 2007, our board of directors amended the ESPP: (1) to extend the expiration date of the plan from July 15, 2007 to July 15, 2009; and (2) notwithstanding the extension, (a) to suspend the ESPP effective upon the consummation of the purchase of shares of common stock in connection with the expiration of the current offering and purchase periods under the plan on June 30, 2007; and (b) to terminate the plan immediately prior to the effective time of our merger with MGIC. Both the suspension and termination of the plan are required pursuant to our merger agreement with MGIC.

*Performance Shares*

We have a Performance Share Plan (the Program). The Program is intended to motivate our executive officers by focusing their attention on critical financial indicators that measure our success. We are currently using phantom stock grants to fund awards under the Program. The Compensation Committee grants performance share awards to eligible participants with respect to performance periods of overlapping durations. Both the first and second performance periods under the Program are three-year periods that began on January 1, 2005 and January 1, 2006, respectively. At the establishment of each performance period, a target number of performance shares are established for each participant in the Program. The performance shares are denominated in shares of common stock and are settled in common shares. The amount of stock-based compensation expense related to performance shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$(1.3) million and \$(0.1) million, respectively, and \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

**11 Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FIN 46(R)-6 Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( FSP FIN 46(R)-6 ), which addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( FIN 46R ). The variability that is considered in applying FIN 46R affects the determination of (i) whether the entity is a variable interest entity, (ii) which interests are variable interests in the entity and (iii) which party, if any, is the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity. That variability will affect any calculation of expected losses and expected residual returns, if such a calculation is necessary. FSP No. FIN 46(R)-6 became effective July 1, 2006. The adoption of this FSP did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS No. 157 ). SFAS No. 157 (i) defines fair value, (ii) establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and (iii) expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for all financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management currently is considering the impact that may result from the adoption of SFAS No. 157.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employer's Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans ( SFAS No. 158 ). SFAS No. 158 requires us to recognize the funded status of a

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

benefit plan measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. For a pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement benefit plan, such as a retiree health care plan, the benefit obligation is the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. SFAS No. 158 also requires us to recognize as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and the transition asset or obligation remaining, are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to the recognition and amortization provisions of those statements. In addition, SFAS No. 158 requires us to measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of our fiscal year-end statement of financial position (with limited exceptions), and to disclose in the notes to financial statements additional information about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation. Employers with publicly traded equity securities were required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We adopted this statement effective December 31, 2006. The implementation of SFAS No. 158 had an immaterial affect on our condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 13.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Items eligible for fair value measurement option established by this statement are (i) recognized financial assets and financial liabilities (with some exceptions), (ii) firm commitments that would otherwise not be recognized at inception and that involve only financial instruments, (iii) nonfinancial insurance contracts and warranties that the insurer can settle by paying a third party to provide those goods or services and (iv) host financial instruments resulting from separation of an embedded nonfinancial derivative instrument from a nonfinancial hybrid instrument. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Management currently is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

In April 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 39-1, an amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts*. FSP FIN 39-1 replaces the terms conditional contracts and exchange contracts with the term derivative instruments and permits a reporting entity to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement that have been offset in the statement of financial position. FSP FIN 39-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. Management currently is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of FSP FIN 39-1.

In September 2005, Statement of Position (SOP) 05-1, *Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection With Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts* (SOP 05-1), was issued. This SOP provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in SFAS No. 97, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments*. SOP 05-1 is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of SOP 05-1 on January 1, 2007, did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-4, The Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements ( EITF 06-4 ). EITF 06-4 addresses whether the postretirement benefit associated with an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement is effectively settled in accordance with SFAS No. 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions and Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 12 Omnibus Opinion 1967 upon entering into such an arrangement. EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Management is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of EITF 06-4.

The EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance Determining the Amount that Could be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4 ( EITF 06-5 ). EITF 06-5 addresses (i) whether a policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the insurance policy other than the cash surrender value in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4, (ii) whether a policyholder should consider the contractual ability to surrender all of the individual-life policies (or certificates in a group policy) at the same time in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4 Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance and (iii) whether the cash surrender value component of the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4 should be discounted in accordance with APB Opinion No. 21 Interest on Receivables and Payables , when contractual limitations on the ability to surrender a policy exist. EITF 06-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The impact of adopting EITF 06-5 effective January 1, 2007, was not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP No. FIN 48-1, Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FSP FIN 48-1 ), which clarifies when a tax position is considered settled under FIN 48. FSP FIN 48-1 is applicable at the adoption of FIN 48, which was January 1, 2007 for us, and did not have an impact on our tax position at June 30, 2007.

**12 Other Information**

Since September 2002, our board of directors has authorized five separate repurchase programs, including the current 6.0 million share program, for the repurchase, in the aggregate, of up to 21.5 million shares of our common stock on the open market. At June 30, 2007, we had repurchased approximately 20.4 million shares under these programs for a total cost of approximately \$1.0 billion, including 0.4 million shares during the first six months of 2007 at a cost of approximately \$22.8 million. The board did not set an expiration date for the current program. All share repurchases made to date were funded from available working capital, and were made from time to time depending on market conditions, share price and other factors.

We also may purchase shares on the open market to meet option exercise obligations and to fund 401(k) matches and purchases under our ESPP and may consider additional stock repurchase programs in the future.

Until September 30, 2004, our financial guaranty segment also included our ownership interest in Primus Guaranty, Ltd. ( Primus ), a Bermuda holding company and parent to Primus Financial Products, LLC, a provider of credit risk protection to derivatives dealers and credit portfolio managers on individual investment-grade entities. In September 2004, Primus issued shares of its common stock in an initial public offering. We sold a portion of our shares in Primus as part of this offering. As a result of our reduced ownership and influence over Primus after the initial public offering, we reclassified our investment in Primus to our equity securities portfolio. Accordingly, beginning with the fourth quarter of 2004, we began recording changes in the fair value of the Primus securities as other comprehensive income rather than recording income or loss as equity in net

**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

income of affiliates. In 2005 and during the first quarter of 2006, we sold all of our remaining interest in Primus, recording a total pre-tax gain of \$2.8 million in the last half of 2005 and a pre-tax gain of \$21.4 million in the first quarter of 2006.

In January 2007, one of our insurance subsidiaries received a \$51.5 million dividend from Sherman. Our insurance subsidiaries may be limited in the amount that they may pay in dividends to us during the next 12 months without first obtaining insurance department approval.

On February 6, 2007, we and MGIC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger pursuant to which we agreed, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, to merge with and into MGIC, with the combined company to be re-named MGIC Radian Financial Group Inc.

On August 7, 2007, MGIC publicly announced that it had advised the New York Insurance Department that based on MGIC management's preliminary assessment, MGIC is not obligated to complete the merger in light of the C-BASS impairment. MGIC also announced that it was reviewing other developments that, in its opinion, may affect its obligation to close.

Upon completion of the merger, certain of our financial guaranty reinsurance customers will have the right to recapture financial guaranty reinsurance business previously assumed by us. Based on the balances at June 30, 2007, these customers will be able to recapture an aggregate of up to \$10.7 billion par in force and up to approximately \$91.0 million of unearned premium reserves (on a statutory accounting basis). If all this reinsurance business were recaptured, we estimate that we would have to disburse \$65.5 million in cash to settle the recaptures.

On July 17, 2007, C-BASS acquired Fieldstone Investment Corporation ( Fieldstone ), a mortgage banking company that originates, sells, and invests primarily in non-conforming single-family residential mortgage loans, for approximately \$187 million including closing costs.

On July 19, 2007, we and MGIC, each entered into a \$50 million unsecured revolving credit facility agreement with C-BASS that is payable on demand and is scheduled to expire December 31, 2007. Amounts drawn on this facility bear interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR at the date the amount is drawn plus 2.875%. In addition, a 0.375% facility fee is payable to us. C-BASS has drawn down the entire \$50 million on this facility. In accordance with the terms of the loan, on July 30, 2007, we demanded full and immediate payment of all amounts owed to us under the loan. Those amounts currently remain outstanding as we continue to cooperate with C-BASS while they search for additional liquidity as discussed above.

**13 Benefit Plans**

We maintain a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the Pension Plan ) covering substantially all of our full-time employees. Effective December 31, 2006, we (1) froze all benefits accruing under the Pension

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Plan and (2) suspended all forms of participation under the Pension Plan. Prior to the suspension, all salaried and hourly employees of Radian and its participating subsidiaries were eligible to participate in the Pension Plan upon attaining 20<sup>1/2</sup> years of age and one year of eligible service. We recorded a curtailment loss of approximately \$370,000 in the fourth quarter of 2006 as a result of the freezing of the Pension Plan.

The Pension Plan suspension was aimed at preparing for the future termination of the Pension Plan, and reflects a broader reliance on the Radian Group Inc. Savings Incentive Plan (the Savings Plan) as the primary retirement vehicle for our employees. On February 5, 2007, our board of directors approved the termination of the Pension Plan, effective June 1, 2007. We expect to record an immaterial settlement loss upon termination of the Pension Plan, which remains subject to regulatory approval.

In the first quarter of 2007, we amended the Pension Plan to (1) accelerate the vesting in accrued benefits under the Pension Plan for all participants in the plan who are employees of Radian or its affiliates at any time between December 31, 2006 and the termination date of June 1, 2007; and (2) enhance the distribution options under the Pension Plan to offer an immediate annuity option and an immediate lump sum option in connection with the June 1, 2007 termination date.

We terminated the Radian Group Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP) effective December 31, 2006, and adopted a new nonqualified restoration plan (the Benefit Restoration Plan or BRP), effective January 1, 2007. The BRP is intended to provide additional retirement benefits to Radian employees that are eligible to participate in the Savings Plan and whose benefits under the Savings Plan are limited by applicable IRS limits on eligible compensation.

In addition, we discontinued the split-dollar life insurance policies used to finance the SERP. Each participant in the SERP received an initial balance in the BRP equal to the present value of the participant's SERP benefit as of January 1, 2007.

The assumed discount rate is based on assumptions intended to estimate the actual termination liability of the plan. The discount rate is a composite rate used to approximate the actual termination liability comprised of lump sum payments and an annuity purchase.

The components of the Pension Plan/SERP benefit and net periodic postretirement benefit costs are as follows (in thousands):

|                                      | Three Months Ended |          |                      |       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-------|
|                                      | Pension            |          | Postretirement       |       |
|                                      | June 30            |          |                      |       |
|                                      | Plan/ SERP<br>2007 | 2006     | Welfare Plan<br>2007 | 2006  |
| Service cost                         | \$                 | \$ 1,321 | \$ 3                 | \$ 2  |
| Interest cost                        |                    | 390      | 542                  | 15    |
| Expected return on plan assets       |                    | (349)    | (377)                |       |
| Amortization of prior service cost   |                    |          | 63                   | (2)   |
| Recognized net actuarial loss (gain) |                    |          | 94                   | (2)   |
| Net periodic benefit cost            | \$                 | 41       | \$ 1,643             | \$ 14 |
|                                      |                    |          |                      | \$ 15 |

**Table of Contents****Radian Group Inc.****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

|                                      | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |          |                                |       |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------|
|                                      | Pension<br>Plan/SERP        |          | Postretirement<br>Welfare Plan |       |
|                                      | 2007                        | 2006     | 2007                           | 2006  |
| Service cost                         | \$                          | \$ 2,642 | \$ 6                           | \$ 5  |
| Interest cost                        |                             | 780      | 1,084                          | 30    |
| Expected return on plan assets       |                             | (698)    | (754)                          |       |
| Amortization of prior service cost   |                             |          | 126                            | (4)   |
| Recognized net actuarial loss (gain) |                             |          | 188                            | (4)   |
| Net periodic benefit cost            | \$                          | 82       | \$ 3,286                       | \$ 28 |
|                                      |                             |          | \$ 28                          | \$ 30 |

**14 Selected Financial Information of Registrant Radian Group Inc.**

The following is selected financial information for the parent company:

|   | June 30      | December 31  |
|---|--------------|--------------|
|   | 2007         | 2006         |
| <b>(In thousands)</b>                               |              |              |
| Investment in subsidiaries, at equity in net assets | \$ 4,776,972 | \$ 4,774,918 |
| Total assets  | 4,937,542    | 4,865,381    |
| Long-term debt                                      | 747,929      | 747,770      |
| Total liabilities                                   | 794,824      | 797,824      |
| Total stockholders' equity                          | 4,142,718    | 4,067,557    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity          | 4,937,542    | 4,865,381    |

**15 Commitments, Contingencies and Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements**

As previously disclosed in the joint proxy statement/prospectus for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders, on February 8, 2007, a purported stockholder class action lawsuit related to our pending merger with MGIC (the "Action") was filed in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, Civil Trial Division in the State of Pennsylvania (the "Court of Common Pleas") by Catherine Rubery against Radian and its directors. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the merger consideration to be received by Radian stockholders was inadequate and that the individual defendants, among other things, breached their duties of care, loyalty, good faith and independence to the stockholders in connection with the merger. The complaint seeks class action status as well as injunctive, declaratory and other equitable relief.

On March 19, 2007, defendants removed the Action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (the "Eastern District"), and on March 26, 2007, defendants moved to dismiss the Action, or, in the alternative, for a briefing schedule in connection with any potential motion by the plaintiff to remand the Action to the Court of Common Pleas. On April 18, 2007, plaintiff moved to remand the Action to the Court of Common Pleas, which motion defendants opposed. On May 31, 2007, the motion to remand was granted, and the case is now back in the Court of Common Pleas, where the parties are preparing initial pleadings. The motion is presently pending in the Eastern District, and a briefing schedule for the motion has been established. We believe this lawsuit is without merit and intend to continue to vigorously defend the Action.

In addition to the above litigation, we are involved in litigation that has arisen in the normal course of our business. We are contesting the allegations in each such pending action and believe, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.



**Table of Contents**

**Radian Group Inc.**

**Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

We guarantee the payment of up to \$25.0 million of a revolving credit facility issued to Sherman, which expires in December 2007. Our guaranty facilitates the issuance and renewal of the facility, which Sherman may use for general corporate purposes. There were no amounts outstanding under this facility at June 30, 2007.

As part of the non-investment-grade allocation component of our investment program, we have committed to invest \$55 million in alternative investments that are primarily private equity structures. At June 30, 2007, we had unfunded commitments of \$27.7 million. These commitments have capital calls over a period of at least six years, and certain fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses.

Our mortgage insurance business utilizes its underwriting skills to provide an outsourced underwriting service to its customers. We give recourse to our customers on loans we underwrite for compliance. Typically, we agree that if we make a material error in underwriting a loan, we will remedy, indemnify, make whole, repurchase, or place additional mortgage insurance coverage on the loan. Providing these remedies means we assume some credit risk and interest-rate risk if an error is found during the limited remedy period in the agreements governing these services. We paid losses for sales and remedies from reserves in the first six months of 2007 of approximately \$2.4 million and our reserve for such expenses at June 30, 2007 was \$3.5 million. We closely monitor this risk and negotiate our underwriting fee structure and recourse agreements on a client-by-client basis.



**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following analysis should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in this report and our audited financial statements, notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for a more complete understanding of our financial position and results of operations.

**Business Summary**

Our principal business segments are mortgage insurance, financial guaranty and financial services. The following table shows the percentage contributions to net income and equity allocated to each business segment as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2007:

|                    | Net Income | Equity |
|--------------------|------------|--------|
| Mortgage Insurance | 12%        | 55%    |
| Financial Guaranty | 60%        | 35%    |
| Financial Services | 28%        | 10%    |

*Mortgage Insurance*

Our mortgage insurance segment provides credit-related insurance coverage, principally through private mortgage insurance, and risk management services to mortgage lending institutions located throughout the United States and select countries outside the United States. We provide these products and services primarily through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Radian Guaranty Inc., Amerin Guaranty Corporation, Radian Insurance Inc. and our mortgage insurance subsidiaries located outside the United States, Radian Europe Limited and Radian Australia Limited (which we refer to as Radian Guaranty, Amerin Guaranty, Radian Insurance, Radian Europe and Radian Australia, respectively). Private mortgage insurance protects mortgage lenders from all or a portion of default-related losses on residential mortgage loans made mostly to home buyers who make down payments of less than 20% of the home's purchase price. Private mortgage insurance also facilitates the sale of these mortgage loans in the secondary mortgage market, most of which are sold to Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. (Freddie Mac) and Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae). We sometimes refer to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae collectively as Government Sponsored Enterprises or GSEs.

Our mortgage insurance segment, through Radian Guaranty, offers primary and pool mortgage insurance coverage on residential first-lien mortgages. At June 30, 2007, primary insurance on domestic first-lien mortgages made up approximately 90% of our total domestic first-lien mortgage insurance risk in force, and pool insurance on domestic first-lien mortgages made up approximately 10% of our total domestic first-lien mortgage insurance risk in force. We use Radian Insurance to provide credit enhancement for mortgage-related capital market transactions and to write credit insurance on mortgage-related assets that monoline mortgage guaranty insurers are not permitted to insure, including net interest margin securities (NIMs), international insurance and reinsurance transactions, second-lien mortgages, home equity loans and credit default swaps (collectively, we refer to the risk associated with these transactions as other risk in force). We also insure second-lien mortgages through Amerin Guaranty. We expect to use Radian Europe to offer a variety of mortgage credit risk solutions, including traditional mortgage insurance, financial guaranty and other structured transactions involving residential mortgage assets, to clients outside the United States.

*Financial Guaranty*

Our financial guaranty segment mainly insures and reinsures credit-based risks. Financial guaranty insurance provides an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty to the holder of a financial obligation of full and timely payment of principal and interest when due.

---

**Table of Contents**

Our financial guaranty segment offers the following products:

insurance of public finance obligations, including tax-exempt and taxable indebtedness of states, counties, cities, special service districts, other political subdivisions and tribal finance and for enterprises such as airports, public and private higher education and health care facilities and private finance initiative assets in sectors such as schools, healthcare and infrastructure projects. The issuers of public finance obligations we insure are typically rated investment-grade (BBB-/Baa3 or higher) at the time we issue our insurance policy, without the benefit of our insurance;

insurance of structured finance obligations, including collateralized debt obligations ( CDOs ) and asset-backed securities, consisting of funded and non-funded ( synthetic ) executions that are payable from or tied to the performance of a specific pool of assets. Examples of the pools of assets that underlie structured finance obligations include residential and commercial mortgages, a variety of consumer loans, corporate loans and bonds, equipment receivables and real and personal property leases. The structured finance obligations we insure are generally rated investment-grade at the time we issue our insurance policy, without the benefit of our insurance;

financial solutions products (which we group as part of our structured finance business), including guaranties of securities exchange clearing houses, excess-Securities Investor Protection Corporation insurance for brokerage firms and excess-Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance for banks; and

reinsurance of domestic and international public finance obligations, including those issued by sovereign and sub-sovereign entities, as well as reinsurance of structured finance, financial solutions and trade credit reinsurance obligations (currently in run-off).

We provide these products and services mainly through Radian Asset Assurance Inc., our principal financial guaranty subsidiary ( Radian Asset Assurance ) and through Radian Asset Assurance Limited ( RAAL ), an insurance subsidiary of Radian Asset Assurance authorized to conduct financial guaranty business in the United Kingdom. Through RAAL, we have additional opportunities to write financial guaranty insurance in the United Kingdom and, subject to compliance with the European passporting rules, other European Union jurisdictions. In particular, we expect that RAAL will continue to build its structured products business in the United Kingdom and throughout the European Union. RAAL accounted for \$3.2 million and \$6.8 million of direct premiums written in the second quarter and first six months of 2007 (or 11.9% and 11.7% of financial guaranty s direct premiums written in the second quarter and first six months of 2007), which is a \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million increase, respectively, from the \$2.1 million and \$4.1 million of direct premiums written in the corresponding periods of 2006 (or 4.5% and 5.3% of financial guaranty s direct premiums written in the second quarter and first six months of 2006).

In October 2005, we announced that we would be exiting the trade credit reinsurance line of business. Accordingly, this line of business has been placed into run-off and we have ceased initiating new trade credit reinsurance contracts. For the first six months of 2007, there were no material trade credit reinsurance premiums written, compared to \$4.5 million or 3.2% of financial guaranty s net premiums written in the first six months of 2006.

On May 3, 2007, Fitch reported the results of its Matrix capital model for the financial guaranty industry, as of September 30, 2006. Fitch launched the model in January 2007 and applied it retroactively to our existing financial guaranty business. Prior to the release of the Matrix capital model, we wrote business in accordance with the relevant Fitch capital adequacy measures in effect at the time such business was written. Along with a general decline in the excess capital position for a majority of financial guarantors from March 31, 2006 to September 30, 2006, Fitch noted that Radian Asset Assurance maintained capital well below Fitch s threshold level for an AA-rated financial guarantor. Fitch attributed this shortfall mainly to the growth in Radian Asset Assurance s portfolio of mezzanine-layered, pooled CDOs and collateralized debt securities written at very high

**Table of Contents**

attachment points, well above the minimum AAA levels. We have provided Fitch with a capital enhancement plan to address this shortfall; however, we cannot be certain that we will be able to implement the capital enhancement plan fully or achieve the minimum thresholds for a AA-rated financial guarantor under the new capital model. See also [Recent Ratings Actions](#) below.

Upon completion of our merger with MGIC Investment Corporation ( [MGIC](#) ) as discussed below, certain of our financial guaranty reinsurance customers will have the right to recapture financial guaranty reinsurance business previously assumed by us. Based on the balances at June 30, 2007, these customers will be able to recapture an aggregate of up to \$10.7 billion par in force and up to approximately \$91.0 million of unearned premium reserves (on a statutory accounting basis). If all this reinsurance business were recaptured, we estimate that we would have to disburse \$65.5 million in cash to settle the recaptures. We cannot be certain whether any of these customers will recapture all or any portion of this business or the exact impact of the actual recapture, if any, if the merger is not completed.

*Financial Services*

The financial services segment includes the credit-based businesses conducted through our affiliates, C-BASS and Sherman Financial Services Group LLC ( [Sherman](#) ). We own a 46% interest in C-BASS and an interest in Sherman, which consists of 40.96% of the Class A Common Units of Sherman (Class A Common Units represent 94% of the total equity in Sherman) and 50% of the Preferred Units of Sherman. Our preferred equity interest in Sherman entitles us to (1) three percent of the first \$200 million of Sherman's annual pre-tax earnings, which amount is allocated to the Preferred Units on a cumulative basis before any amounts are allocated to the Class B Common Units (owned entirely by entities controlled by Sherman's management), and 1.5% of Sherman's annual pre-tax earnings above \$200 million; and (2) a preference in the proceeds received upon a liquidation or sale of Sherman equal to the tax basis in the preferred equity.

Sherman is a consumer asset and servicing firm specializing in charged-off and bankruptcy plan consumer assets that it generally purchases at deep discounts from national financial institutions and major retail corporations and subsequently collects upon these receivables. In addition, Sherman originates credit card receivables through its subsidiary CreditOne and has a variety of other similar ventures related to consumer assets.

C-BASS is a mortgage investment and servicing company specializing in the credit risk of subprime single-family residential mortgages. On July 29, 2007, we concluded that a material charge for impairment of our investment in C-BASS was required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( [GAAP](#) ).

Since February 2007, the market for subprime mortgages has experienced significant turmoil, with market dislocations accelerating to unprecedented levels beginning in mid-July 2007. C-BASS reported a loss of \$14.7 million in the first quarter of 2007 before returning to profitability in the second quarter as credit spreads briefly stabilized before widening dramatically again in July. During the five month period from February 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007, C-BASS paid approximately \$290.3 million to satisfy lenders' margin calls on loans to C-BASS. From July 1st through July 29th, C-BASS received an additional \$362.7 million in margin calls, most of which (approximately \$200 million) was called on July 26th and July 27th. As of the close of business on July 27th, we understand that C-BASS had paid only \$263.5 million of the \$362.7 million in outstanding margin calls.

Prior to July 29, 2007, a number of qualified buyers showed a strong interest in C-BASS, and both we and MGIC received multiple preliminary indications of interest above C-BASS's book value. Due diligence was ongoing until July 29th when the increase in margin calls over the prior few days significantly jeopardized C-BASS's liquidity position, resulting in a withdrawal of all interested buyers at that time. Giving consideration to C-BASS's inability to meet the accelerating amount of margin calls they were receiving, as well as the withdrawal of any potential buyers at the time, we concluded on July 29, 2007 that a material charge for impairment of our interest in C-BASS was required.

---

**Table of Contents**

Our total investment in C-BASS consists of approximately \$468 million of equity as of June 30, 2007 and \$50 million under an unsecured credit facility provided to C-BASS on July 19th as further discussed below. At June 30, 2007, on a pro forma basis reflecting the amounts drawn under our facility with C-BASS, Radian's investment in C-BASS was approximately \$518 million.

We have not yet determined an estimate of the amount or range of amounts of the potential impairment, although the impairment charge could be our entire investment in C-BASS. Following our impairment determination, C-BASS faced significant additional margin calls and its liquidity position continued to deteriorate. With the cooperation of its lenders, including Radian and MGIC, C-BASS is actively working with The Blackstone Group L.P., its financial advisor, and potential investors to explore opportunities to secure additional liquidity. It remains uncertain to us at this point whether C-BASS will be able to secure the continued cooperation of its lenders or to secure additional liquidity or on what terms such liquidity will be obtained. The net impact to us of the impairment charge will include any associated tax benefit.

On July 17, 2007, C-BASS completed its acquisition of Fieldstone Investment Corporation ( Fieldstone ), a mortgage banking company that originates, sells, and invests primarily in non-conforming single family residential mortgage loans, for approximately \$187 million including closing costs. The transaction supports C-BASS's strategy of aligning with companies that have significant investments in mortgage securities, where C-BASS's wholly-owned subsidiary, Litton Loan Servicing, as servicer, can enhance the underlying value of these securities. In addition, the transaction provides C-BASS with a platform for loan originations.

As discussed above, on July 19, 2007, we and MGIC, each entered into a \$50 million unsecured revolving credit facility agreement with C-BASS that is payable on demand and is scheduled to expire December 31, 2007. Amounts drawn on these facilities bear interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR at the date the amount is drawn plus 2.875%. In addition, a 0.375% facility fee is payable to us and MGIC. C-BASS drew down the entire \$50 million on each facility (\$100 million in total) on July 20th and 23rd. In accordance with the terms of the loan, on July 30, 2007, we demanded full and immediate payment of all amounts owed to us under the loan. These amounts currently remain outstanding as we continue to cooperate with C-BASS while they search for additional liquidity as discussed above.

*Pending Merger with MGIC*

On February 6, 2007, we and MGIC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger pursuant to which we agreed, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, to merge with and into MGIC, with the combined company to be re-named MGIC Radian Financial Group Inc.

Upon the completion of the merger, the merger agreement provides that each share of Radian common stock will be converted into 0.9658 shares of MGIC common stock, with cash to be paid in lieu of fractional shares of MGIC common stock. Radian stock options and other equity awards will automatically convert upon completion of the merger into stock options and equity awards with respect to MGIC common stock, subject to adjustment to reflect the exchange ratio.

To date, the following conditions to closing the merger have been satisfied:

The merger agreement between Radian and MGIC was approved by the requisite affirmative votes of Radian's stockholders on May 9, 2007 and MGIC's stockholders on May 10, 2007.

Radian and MGIC each filed a notice on March 6, 2007 with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission and the U.S. Department of Justice in satisfaction of the filing requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act. The 30-day waiting period for the proposed merger was not extended and expired on April 5, 2007.

Receipt of insurance regulatory approval from Pennsylvania, where both Radian Guaranty and Radian Insurance are domiciled, and Wisconsin, where MGIC's principal mortgage insurance subsidiary is domiciled. We are still waiting to receive approvals from the New York and Texas insurance departments.

## **Table of Contents**

On August 7, 2007, MGIC publicly announced that it had advised the New York Insurance Department that it was MGIC management's preliminary assessment that MGIC is not obligated to complete the merger in light of the C-BASS impairment. MGIC also announced that it was reviewing other developments that, in its opinion, may affect its obligation to close.

We do not believe the impairments related to C-BASS affect MGIC's obligation to go forward with the merger agreement, and we are not aware of any developments that would impact MGIC's obligation to close the merger. We believe we have fully complied with all of our obligations under the merger agreement.

### *Recent Ratings Actions*

On July 31, 2007, Fitch placed the long term debt rating of Radian Group and the insurer financial strength ratings of all of our insurance subsidiaries on Ratings Watch Negative. Additionally, all obligations insured by Radian Asset Assurance and RAAL have been placed on Ratings Watch Negative. Fitch attributed this rating action to the significant impairment of our investment in C-BASS and Fitch's assessment of our financial position as a result of the situation with C-BASS. Fitch has indicated that it expects to affirm the ratings of Radian Group and its mortgage insurance subsidiaries at their present level following completion of the merger with MGIC. However, Fitch stated that the resolution of the Ratings Watch status for our financial guaranty insurance subsidiaries, Radian Asset Assurance and RAAL, will depend in large part on the financial condition and capital strength of such entities combined with Fitch's view of the long-term commitment to the financial guaranty business by the management group of the combined company upon completion of our merger with MGIC.

On July 31, 2007, Standard & Poor's Ratings Service (S&P) affirmed the ratings of Radian Group and its insurance subsidiaries. On August 1, 2007, Moody's also affirmed the insurance financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries and the senior debt rating of Radian Group, but changed the outlook for Radian Group to stable from under review for possible upgrade based on its anticipation of the completion of the merger with MGIC.

On August 7, 2007, in response to MGIC's public comments on the status of the merger as discussed above, S&P placed the insurance financial strength ratings of our mortgage insurance subsidiaries on CreditWatch with negative implications and placed the credit rating of Radian Group on CreditWatch Negative. S&P commented that its ratings for Radian Group and its mortgage insurance subsidiaries would likely be removed from CreditWatch and affirmed if the merger is completed, and that it would evaluate Radian's competitive position, management and strategy, operating performance, and capitalization if the merger is not completed, which could result in a downgrade of up to two notches. S&P maintained its financial strength ratings and stable outlooks for Radian Asset Assurance and RAAL. On August 8, 2007, Fitch announced that it would not be taking any ratings action with respect to Radian Group or its insured subsidiaries as a result of MGIC's comments regarding the merger.

**Table of Contents**

The following table illustrates the current financial strength ratings assigned to our principal insurance subsidiaries in light of recent ratings actions discussed above:

|                                | MOODY S |         | S&P |         | FITCH |         |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-----|---------|-------|---------|
|                                | MOODY S | OUTLOOK | S&P | OUTLOOK | FITCH | OUTLOOK |
| Radian Guaranty                | Aa3     | Stable  | AA  | (1)     | AA    | (2)     |
| Radian Insurance               | Aa3     | Stable  | AA  | (1)     | AA    | (2)     |
| Amerin Guaranty                | Aa3     | Stable  | AA  | (1)     | AA    | (2)     |
| Radian Europe Limited          |         |         | AA  | (1)     | AA    | (2)     |
| Radian Australia Limited       |         |         |     |         |       |         |
| Radian Asset Assurance         | Aa3     | Stable  | AA  | Stable  | AA    | (2)     |
| Radian Asset Assurance Limited | Aa3     | Stable  | AA  | Stable  | AA    | (2)     |

(1) Each S&P rating for our mortgage insurance subsidiaries is currently on CreditWatch with negative implications.

(2) Each Fitch rating is currently on Ratings Watch Negative.

In addition, Radian Group currently has been assigned a senior debt rating of A+ (Ratings Watch Negative) by Fitch, A (CreditWatch Negative) by S&P and A2 by Moody's.

**Overview of Business Results**

As a holder of credit risk, our results are subject to macroeconomic conditions and specific events that impact the production environment and credit performance of our underlying insured assets. Our mortgage insurance business experienced poor financial results during the second quarter of 2007 as declining home prices, the poor performance of the late 2005 and 2006 subprime books of business and Alternative A (Alt-A) mortgage books of business, and significant resets in adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) due to rising interest rates led to an increase in delinquencies and higher projected future claims. In addition, we experienced a large credit reserve on our NIMs portfolio that was mainly a result of the poor performance of second-lien loans contained within select deals. Our financial guaranty business performed well during the quarter. In financial services, Sherman had a strong quarter, while C-BASS returned to profitability, before significant disruptions in the subprime mortgage market seriously jeopardized their liquidity position in late July.

Our primary mortgage insurance portfolio was impacted by the conditions in the mortgage and housing markets, particularly in California, Florida and the Midwest. An increase in delinquencies, higher loan balances on delinquent loans, and higher roll rates of delinquencies into claim status also contributed to the increases in loss reserves. In addition, a single second-lien structured transaction continued to produce high losses. See Results of Operations Mortgage Insurance Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 Provision for Losses. Given the current trends, we believe that paid claims in each of the third and fourth quarters of 2007 will be between \$130 million and \$135 million and claims paid for 2008 will be in the range of \$550 million to \$650 million.

Positively, the market disruptions have led to a tightening of mortgage underwriting standards and a continued increased demand for the traditional mortgage insurance product. We expect this trend to continue for the balance of 2007 and into 2008. Alternative products, such as 80-10-10 mortgages, which were a common alternative to mortgage insurance in 2005 and 2006, have declined significantly, due to higher interest rates and the lack of either a secondary market for such loans or the desire for originators to hold such loans in portfolio.

The persistency rate, which is defined as the percentage of insurance in force that remains on our books after any 12-month period was 71.1% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 62.8% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2006. This increase was due to a decline in refinancing activity from the high levels in 2005 and 2006, mainly due to rising mortgage interest rates. The persistency rate for structured products during the twelve months ended June 30, 2007 was 65.8% compared to 73.3% for our flow business. We anticipate a continued gradual improvement in persistency rates during the remainder of 2007.

**Table of Contents**

There is a strong demand from lenders for structured transactions and non-traditional products, although the volume we write typically fluctuates between periods and is driven by market factors such as credit spreads and competition from capital market transactions. The amount of structured business written in the first six months of 2007 includes one large transaction written as modified pool insurance, in which we are in a second-loss position. Revenues were down from the prior year due mainly to lower premium rates on much of the recently written structured business and by the run-off of the higher premium, first-loss mortgage insurance on loans written primarily between 2003 and 2005.

During the first six months of 2007, we continued to write the majority of our structured primary mortgage insurance business in a second-loss risk position. There is a lower average premium rate associated with this business, reflecting the more remote risk resulting from the existence of deductibles in front of our risk position. As a result, premium growth will not equal the growth in new insurance written from these lower premium products. Positively, the capital allocation requirements resulting from these transactions are lower, commensurate with the more remote risk, and we believe these products are less directly vulnerable to the housing decline than higher premium, first-loss products.

We remain cautious about the outlook for the overall housing market, especially in certain parts of the country including the Midwest and the East and West Coasts. In addition, as has been the case for the last several years, much of our business has not yet reached its peak claim period. The mortgage insurance mix of business has continued to include a higher percentage of Alt-A and A minus mortgages and unproven products such as interest-only loans. Premiums received for these products are generally higher than more traditional products to compensate for the additional risk and often have structuring features such as deductibles that benefit our risk position.

We protect against losses in excess of our expectations on some of the risk associated with more risk sensitive and unproven products by reinsuring it through Smart Home transactions. In 2004, we developed Smart Home as a way to effectively transfer risk from our portfolio to investors in the capital markets. Ceded premiums written for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 include \$3.2 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, and \$2.9 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, related to the Smart Home transactions. As of June 30, 2007, there have been no ceded losses as a result of the Smart Home transactions.

Since August 2004, we have completed four Smart Home arrangements. Details of these transactions (aggregated) as of the initial closing of each transaction and as of June 30, 2007 are as follows:

|   | Initial          | As of June 30, 2007 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Pool of mortgages (par value)                         | \$ 14.72 billion | \$ 7.97 billion     |
| Risk in force (par value)                             | \$ 3.90 billion  | \$ 2.02 billion     |
| Notes sold to investors/risk ceded (principal amount) | \$ 718.6 million | \$ 621.9 million    |

Smart Home protects us against catastrophic loss as we continue to take on higher risk, concentrated positions and unproven products. As a result, we consider Smart Home arrangements to be important to our ability to effectively manage our risk profile. At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, approximately 7% and 10%, respectively, of our primary risk in force was included in Smart Home arrangements. In these transactions, we reinsure the middle layer risk positions, while retaining a significant portion of the total risk comprising the first-loss and most remote risk positions.

In the financial guaranty segment, business production was strong during the first six months led by our ability to write credit protection on super-senior tranches of synthetic corporate CDOs. Credit performance also was very good during the first six months and we were able to reverse some non-specific loss reserves due to favorable loss development and trade credit loss reserves for which we no longer have an exposure. We wrote less structured finance business in the second quarter of 2007, as premium rates continued to fall. During the second half of 2007, we expect to continue to write structured super-senior business backed by corporate bonds,

**Table of Contents**

and we expect premiums rates to increase and the profitability of this business to improve. We expect that the loss and expense activity in our financial guaranty business for the balance of the year will be in the range that we experienced in the second half of 2006.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the financial services segment had mixed results. Sherman continued its consistently strong earnings. C-BASS incurred a loss of \$15 million in the first quarter and pretax income of approximately \$50 million in the second quarter as spreads briefly stabilized in the second quarter. Sherman's results for the balance of 2007 are expected to remain fairly consistent with the first half of the year. C-BASS's liquidity position became materially stressed in late July due to excess margin calls from their lenders, resulting in our concluding that our investment has been materially impaired in the third quarter of 2007.



**Table of Contents****Results of Operations Consolidated****Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006**

The following table summarizes our consolidated results of operations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended |          |               | Six Months Ended |          |               |
|--|--------------------|----------|---------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
|  | June 30            |          | % Change      | June 30          |          | % Change      |
|  | 2007               | 2006     | 2007 vs. 2006 | 2007             | 2006     | 2007 vs. 2006 |
| Net income                                     | \$ 21.1            | \$ 148.1 | (85.8)%       | \$ 134.6         | \$ 311.8 | (56.8)%       |
| Net premiums written                           | 267.6              | 298.9    | (10.5)        | 545.2            | 578.5    | (5.8)         |
| Net premiums earned insurance                  | 218.0              | 233.5    | (6.6)         | 432.5            | 460.8    | (6.1)         |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives         | 36.9               | 25.6     | 44.1          | 71.5             | 52.0     | 37.5          |
| Net premiums earned total                      | 254.9              | 259.1    | (1.6)         | 504.0            | 512.8    | (1.7)         |
| Net investment income                          | 62.7               | 59.7     | 5.0           | 123.7            | 113.9    | 8.6           |
| Net gains on securities                        | 25.7               | 5.3      | n/m           | 39.4             | 28.2     | 39.7          |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments | (103.1)            | (25.3)   | n/m           | (89.3)           | (7.7)    | n/m           |
| Other income                                   | 3.1                | 5.8      | (46.6)        | 6.9              | 11.0     | (37.3)        |
| Provision for losses                           | 174.0              | 84.9     | n/m           | 281.0            | 163.5    | 71.9          |
| Policy acquisition costs                       | 24.2               | 26.8     | (9.7)         | 52.5             | 54.2     | (3.1)         |
| Other operating expenses                       | 57.6               | 58.1     | n/m           | 115.3            | 118.4    | (2.6)         |
| Interest expense                               | 12.4               | 12.5     | n/m           | 25.4             | 24.3     | 4.5           |
| Equity in net income of affiliates             | 49.5               | 72.0     | (31.3)        | 72.3             | 130.4    | (44.6)        |
| Income tax provision                           | 3.5                | 46.2     | (92.4)        | 48.2             | 116.3    | (58.6)        |

n/m = not meaningful

**Net Income.** Our net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$21.1 million and \$134.6 million, respectively, or \$0.26 and \$1.68 per share (diluted), compared to \$148.1 million and \$311.8 million or \$1.79 and \$3.75 per share (diluted) for the corresponding periods of 2006. The decrease in net income for 2007 was mainly due to a significant decrease in the change in fair value of derivative instruments, a significant increase in the provision for losses and a decrease in equity in net income of affiliates, partially offset by a decrease in other operating expenses, an increase in net investment income and a lower income tax provision coinciding with the decrease in pre-tax income.

**Net Premiums Written and Earned.** Consolidated net premiums written for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$267.6 million and \$545.2 million, respectively, compared to \$298.9 million and \$578.5 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. Consolidated net premiums earned were \$254.9 million and \$504.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$259.1 million and \$512.8 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The year over year decline in premiums earned was mainly due to the run-off of higher premium structured mortgage insurance business. Our mortgage insurance business experienced an increase in flow business written during the first six months of 2007, reflecting an increase in demand for our traditional mortgage insurance product in the current uncertain housing market. Our financial guaranty business experienced a \$13.0 million increase in premiums earned from public finance transactions, during the first six months of 2007, which includes refundings, partially offset by a \$14.0 million decrease in premiums earned from trade credit reinsurance, which is in run-off.

**Net Investment Income.** Net investment income was \$62.7 million and \$123.7 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$59.7 million and \$113.9 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. This increase was mainly due to an increase in investable assets resulting from a higher yield on bonds in our investment portfolio.

**Table of Contents**

*Net Gains on Securities.* Net gains on securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$25.7 million and \$39.4 million, respectively, compared to \$5.3 million and \$28.2 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in the six months ended 2006 was a \$21.4 million pre-tax gain as a result of the sale of our remaining interest in Primus Guaranty, Ltd. ( Primus ). Included in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$7.2 million and \$3.5 million of gains related to changes in the fair value of convertible securities and equity securities and a \$23.2 million net gain related to the sale of hybrid securities.

*Change in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments.* For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, the change in fair value of derivative instruments was a net loss of \$103.1 million and \$89.3 million, respectively, compared to net losses of \$25.3 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. The amount for the six months ended June 30, 2006 includes a \$17.2 million charge related to a payment made in March 2006 in connection with the termination of a financial guaranty contract. The decrease in the change in fair value of derivative instruments in 2007 was mainly a result of a \$61.0 million credit reserve related to our NIMs portfolio, significant widening of corporate credit spreads and the implementation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments , an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and 140 ( SFAS No. 155 ). SFAS No 155 allows us to discontinue the bifurcation of the equity derivative component of our convertible securities and recognize the total change in fair value of our convertible securities as net gains on securities. See Results of Operations Mortgage Insurance Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Change in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments for more information regarding the loss on our NIMs book of business.

*Other Income.* Other income decreased to \$3.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 from \$5.8 million and \$11.0 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006, mainly due to a decrease in mortgage insurance contract underwriting income as a result of lower demand for this business and lower fee income from equity affiliates.

*Provision for Losses.* The provision for losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$174.0 million and \$281.0 million, respectively, compared to \$84.9 million and \$163.5 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Our mortgage insurance segment experienced an increase in delinquencies compared to the same period a year ago, as well as an increase in delinquent loan sizes, a continuation of the aging on existing delinquencies and an increase in the projected rates at which delinquencies move to claim, which require a higher reserve. See Results of Operations Mortgage Insurance Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 Provision for Losses below. The provision for losses in our financial guaranty segment decreased in 2007 compared to the same period a year ago, mainly attributable to favorable loss development and a reversal of reserves on the trade credit reinsurance business.

*Policy Acquisition Costs.* Policy acquisition costs were \$24.2 million and \$52.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$26.8 million and \$54.2 million reported for the corresponding periods of 2006. In the second quarter of 2007, we updated our persistency assumptions, which resulted in a reduction of previously recorded amortization expense of \$3.7 million and will also result in a slow down of future amortization due to the higher persistency. In our mortgage insurance segment, estimates of expected gross profit, which are driven in part by persistency and loss development for each underwriting year and product type, are used as a basis for amortization and are evaluated regularly. The total amortization recorded to date is adjusted by a charge or credit to our consolidated statements of income, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier estimates should be revised.

*Other Operating Expenses.* Other operating expenses were \$57.6 million and \$115.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$58.1 million and \$118.4 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in the three and six month periods of 2007 are \$9.4 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, of merger related expenses. The year over year decrease in other operating expenses was mainly due to a decrease in depreciation expense on office equipment and software expense and a shift toward deferred acquisition costs as a higher percentage of total expenses.

**Table of Contents**

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$12.4 million and \$25.4 million, respectively, compared to \$12.5 million and \$24.3 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. These amounts included the impact from interest rate swaps that we entered into in 2004. The interest rate swaps effectively convert the interest rate on our 5.625% Senior Notes due 2013 to a variable rate based on a spread over the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ).

**Equity in Net Income of Affiliates.** Equity in net income of affiliates was \$49.5 million and \$72.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, down from \$72.0 million and \$130.4 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. The decrease was driven by lower earnings at C-BASS as a result of the significant disruption in the subprime market occurring during the first half of 2007, while Sherman's earnings remained stable year over year. Included in equity in net income of affiliates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 is \$23.2 million and \$16.4 million, respectively, in earnings related to C-BASS, compared to \$44.9 million and \$74.9 million in earnings in the corresponding periods of 2006. Sherman contributed \$26.3 million and \$55.9 million, respectively, of equity in net income of affiliates in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$27.2 million and \$55.5 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. For more information, see Results of Operations Financial Services below.

**Income Tax Provision.** The consolidated effective tax rate was 14.3% and 26.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 23.8% and 27.2% for the corresponding periods of 2006. The lower tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 reflects a lower level of pre-tax income and an increase in the ratio of income generated from tax-advantaged investment securities compared to income generated from operations. Partially offsetting this decrease is a \$6.4 million increase in the provision for income taxes related to the implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes during the first six months of 2007. See Critical Accounting Policies Recent Accounting Pronouncements below. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, we determined that certain liabilities to taxing authorities were no longer probable due to the expiration of the statute of limitations on the related tax positions. As a result, approximately \$10 million in tax liabilities were reversed through the income tax provision in the second quarter of 2006.

**Results of Operations Mortgage Insurance****Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006**

The following table summarizes our mortgage insurance segment's results of operations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended |         |                           | Six Months Ended |          |                           |
|--|--------------------|---------|---------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------|
|  | June 30            |         | % Change<br>2007 vs. 2006 | June 30          |          | % Change<br>2007 vs. 2006 |
|  | 2007               | 2006    |                           | 2007             | 2006     |                           |
| Net income (loss)                              | \$ (28.2)          | \$ 83.2 | n/m                       | \$ 16.5          | \$ 171.3 | n/m                       |
| Net premiums written                           | 217.0              | 217.1   | n/m                       | 439.3            | 440.8    | n/m                       |
| Net premiums earned insurance                  | 185.6              | 200.6   | (7.5)%                    | 365.8            | 393.6    | (7.1)%                    |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives         | 21.1               | 8.0     | n/m                       | 36.8             | 17.8     | n/m                       |
| Net premiums earned total                      | 206.7              | 208.7   | (1.0)                     | 402.6            | 411.4    | (2.1)                     |
| Net investment income                          | 36.3               | 36.2    | n/m                       | 71.8             | 67.8     | 5.9                       |
| Net gains on securities                        | 19.4               | 3.7     | n/m                       | 30.5             | 17.3     | 76.3                      |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments | (70.5)             | (4.0)   | n/m                       | (81.8)           | 5.1      | n/m                       |
| Other income                                   | 2.7                | 3.5     | (22.9)                    | 5.6              | 7.1      | (21.1)                    |
| Provision for losses                           | 180.2              | 77.6    | n/m                       | 293.0            | 148.7    | 97.0                      |
| Policy acquisition costs                       | 12.6               | 15.7    | (19.7)                    | 29.1             | 29.1     | n/m                       |
| Other operating expenses                       | 43.0               | 40.5    | 6.2                       | 82.6             | 84.8     | (2.6)                     |
| Interest expense                               | 6.3                | 7.0     | (10.0)                    | 13.2             | 13.7     | (3.6)                     |
| Income tax provision (benefit)                 | (19.3)             | 24.0    | n/m                       | (5.7)            | 61.1     | n/m                       |

n/m = not meaningful



**Table of Contents**

**Net Income.** Our mortgage insurance segment had a net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2007 of \$28.2 million, compared to \$83.2 million of net income for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Our mortgage insurance segment's net income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$16.5 million and \$171.3 million, respectively. The 2007 decreases were mainly due to a significant decrease in change in fair value of derivative instruments and a significant increase in the provision for losses, partially offset by higher net gains on securities and a decrease in the income tax provision coinciding with the decrease in pre-tax income.

**Net Premiums Written and Earned.** Net premiums written were \$217.0 million and \$439.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$217.1 million and \$440.8 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Net premiums earned for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$206.7 million and \$402.6 million, respectively, compared to \$208.7 million and \$411.4 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. Net premiums earned in 2007 reflect the continued run-off of higher premium structured business and a significant decrease in premiums from second-lien business due to a lower amount of business originated in 2006. This has been partially offset by an increase of \$19.0 million in premiums earned from credit derivatives, primarily NIMs, during the first six months of 2007 compared to 2006. Premiums earned from all non-traditional products (including derivatives) were \$32.5 million and \$61.3 million, respectively, in the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$23.7 million and \$50.5 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. We anticipate modest growth in mortgage insurance earned premiums toward the end of 2007 and into 2008 as insurance in force continues to grow.

The following table provides additional information related to premiums written and earned for the three and six month periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |            | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |                 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
|  | June 30<br>2007               | 2006       | June 30<br>2007             | June 30<br>2006 |
| <b>Net premiums written (in thousands)</b> |                               |            |                             |                 |
| Primary and Pool Insurance                 | \$ 184,492                    | \$ 187,686 | \$ 376,600                  | \$ 381,132      |
| Seconds                                    | 6,450                         | 15,245     | 17,629                      | 25,784          |
| International                              | 6,565                         | 2,276      | 9,689                       | 4,196           |
| Net premiums written insurance             | 197,507                       | 205,207    | 403,918                     | 411,112         |
| Net premiums written credit derivatives    | 19,533                        | 11,843     | 35,411                      | 29,704          |
| Net premiums written                       | \$ 217,040                    | \$ 217,050 | \$ 439,329                  | \$ 440,816      |
| <b>Net premiums earned (in thousands)</b>  |                               |            |                             |                 |
| Primary and Pool Insurance                 | \$ 174,174                    | \$ 185,020 | \$ 341,329                  | \$ 360,828      |
| Seconds                                    | 8,723                         | 13,848     | 17,895                      | 28,758          |
| International                              | 2,691                         | 1,773      | 6,607                       | 4,026           |
| Net premiums earned insurance              | 185,588                       | 200,641    | 365,831                     | 393,612         |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives     | 21,090                        | 8,029      | 36,779                      | 17,764          |
| Net premiums earned                        | \$ 206,678                    | \$ 208,670 | \$ 402,610                  | \$ 411,376      |
| <b>Smart Home (in thousands)</b>           |                               |            |                             |                 |
| Ceded premiums written                     | \$ 3,217                      | \$ 2,890   | \$ 6,412                    | \$ 5,085        |
| Ceded premiums earned                      | \$ 3,157                      | \$ 2,838   | \$ 6,015                    | \$ 5,247        |

**Net Investment Income.** Net investment income attributable to our mortgage insurance segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$36.3 million and \$71.8 million, respectively, compared to \$36.2 million and \$67.8 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Investment income during the first six months of 2007 reflects an increase in investable assets and higher interest rates.

**Net Gains on Securities.** Net gains on securities in our mortgage insurance business were \$19.4 million and \$30.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$3.7 million and



**Table of Contents**

\$17.3 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 are gains related to changes in the fair value of convertible securities and equity securities of \$5.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. Also included in the year to date period of 2007 is a net gain of approximately \$15.3 million related to the sale of hybrid securities. Included in the six month period ended June 30, 2006 is an allocation of the gain from the sale of our remaining interest in Primus.

*Change in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments.* The change in the fair value of derivative instruments was a loss of \$70.5 million and \$81.8 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to a loss of \$4.0 million and a gain of \$5.1 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The decrease in 2007 compared to the corresponding periods of 2006 is mainly due to a \$61.0 million net loss on our NIMs book, which represents a credit reserve on this book. This loss is derived primarily from a few transactions, which contain a higher concentration of second-lien mortgage collateral that have been more susceptible to the disruption in the subprime mortgage market occurring during the first half of 2007. We expect to pay losses during the next several years approximately equal to the \$61.0 million negative mark resulting from these transactions, although actual losses could differ materially from estimated losses, depending on market conditions and the performance of the insured bonds. In accordance with GAAP, we do not establish loss reserves on our derivative financial guaranty contracts. Instead, gains and losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts are derived from internally generated models that take into account both credit and market spreads and are recorded through our condensed consolidated statements of income. See *Critical Accounting Policies Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity* for a discussion of how we account for derivatives under FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS No. 133 ).

*Other Income.* Other income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$2.7 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, compared to \$3.5 million and \$7.1 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. Other income mostly includes income related to contract underwriting services, which was lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2007 as a result of lower contract underwriting volume.

*Provision for Losses.* The provision for losses for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 was \$180.2 million and \$293.0 million, respectively, compared to \$77.6 million and \$148.7 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. The increase in 2007 was mainly attributable to increases in claims paid and mortgage insurance reserves. Following a seasonal decrease in delinquencies during the first quarter of 2007, rising interest rates and home price declines resulted in a significant increase in delinquencies in the second quarter of 2007, particularly among Alt-A and 2005 and 2006 subprime loans, with primary areas of deteriorating performance in California, Florida and several Midwestern states. In addition, the ARM portion of our portfolio also contributed to the rise in delinquencies in the second quarter of 2007 as resets forced many homeowners into default without the ability to refinance. In addition to new delinquencies, mortgage insurance reserves also were impacted in the second quarter of 2007 by a continuing aging of existing delinquencies, an increase in claim rates and an increase in the average size of delinquent loans.

Claims paid during 2007 included claims from a structured transaction covering the first 10% of aggregate losses on a pool of subprime second-lien mortgages. As structured, we split losses with our counterparty under this policy on a 50-50 basis. We began experiencing a significant increase in filed claims on this policy during the third quarter of 2006 and have paid approximately \$36.0 million in net claims on this policy as of June 30, 2007. At June 30, 2007, our net exposure remaining under this policy was approximately \$42.0 million or half of the \$84.0 million in gross remaining exposure. Approximately \$29.5 million of this \$42.0 million was contained within our net loss reserve at June 30, 2007. In addition to this policy, we also have a supplemental policy on the same pool of mortgages that covers certain losses in excess of the 10% aggregate stop-loss.

Based on currently available information, we continue to expect that losses will likely exceed the 10% aggregate threshold of the initial policy, and when combined with the supplemental policy, total losses will likely exceed premiums over the life of the two policies. It is still too early to determine with any degree of certainty the total amount of losses that may result from the supplemental policy. Our current estimate of losses for both

---

**Table of Contents**

policies is reflected in our expectations for total mortgage insurance paid claims for 2007 and 2008, as discussed in the Overview of Business Results. We are working constructively with our counterparty to attempt to satisfy all claims issues in a fair way that mitigates overall losses as much as possible.

*Policy Acquisition Costs.* Policy acquisition costs represent the amortization of expenses that relate directly to the acquisition of new business. The amortization of these expenses is related to the recognition of gross profits over the life of the policies and is influenced by such factors as persistency and estimated loss rates. Policy acquisition costs were \$12.6 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$15.7 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. The 2007 amounts include a reduction of previously recorded amortization expense of \$3.7 million as a result of increased persistency, which will also result in lower amortization expense in the future.

*Other Operating Expenses.* Other operating expenses were \$43.0 million and \$82.6 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$40.5 million and \$84.8 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in operating expenses for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were \$9.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, of merger related expenses. The decrease in other operating expenses during 2007 reflects certain expense reductions made in our mortgage insurance business in 2006, such as employee costs, lower software expense due the write-down of capitalized software that was deemed impaired in 2006 and lower office equipment expense and outside services. Contract underwriting expenses for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, including the impact of reserves for remedies in other operating expenses, were \$6.0 million and \$11.1 million, respectively, compared to \$7.4 million and \$14.4 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. During the first six months of 2007, loans underwritten via contract underwriting for flow business accounted for 12.5% of applications, 11.8% of commitments for insurance and 10.2% of insurance certificates issued, compared to 12.3%, 12.1% and 11.6%, respectively, for the first six months of 2006.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense attributable to our mortgage insurance segment for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 was \$6.3 million and \$13.2 million, respectively, compared to \$7.0 million and \$13.7 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Both periods include interest on our long-term debt that was allocated to the mortgage insurance segment as well as the impact of interest-rate swaps.

*Income Tax Provision (Benefit).* The effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 was (40.7)% and (53.4)%, respectively, compared to of 22.4% and 26.3% in the corresponding periods of 2006. The lower tax rate reflects a lower level of pre-tax income and an overall increase in the ratio of income generated from tax-advantaged investment securities compared to income generated from operations. The tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 reflects an allocation of the reversal of prior years tax exposures that expired June 30, 2006, as discussed above.



**Table of Contents**

The following tables provide selected information as of and for the periods indicated for our mortgage insurance segment. Certain statistical information included in the following tables is recorded based on information received from lenders and other third parties.

|   | June 30   |        | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |        | June 30   |        |
|---|-----------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
|   | 2007      |        | 2007                           |        | 2006      |        |
|   |           |        |                                |        |           |        |
| <b>Primary new insurance written ( NIW ) (\$ in millions)</b> |           |        |                                |        |           |        |
| Flow  | \$ 10,639 | 63.1%  | \$ 7,049                       | 53.3%  | \$ 6,662  | 57.7%  |
| Structured  | 6,211     | 36.9   | 6,178                          | 46.7   | 4,886     | 42.3   |
| Total Primary   | \$ 16,850 | 100.0% | \$ 13,227                      | 100.0% | \$ 11,548 | 100.0% |
| Flow  |           |        |                                |        |           |        |
| Prime   | \$ 7,673  | 72.1%  | \$ 5,050                       | 71.6%  | \$ 4,879  | 73.2%  |
| Alt-A   | 2,026     | 19.1   | 1,401                          | 19.9   | 1,266     | 19.0   |
| A minus and below   | 940       | 8.8    | 598                            | 8.5    | 517       | 7.8    |
| Total Flow  | \$ 10,639 | 100.0% | \$ 7,049                       | 100.0% | \$ 6,662  | 100.0% |
| Structured  |           |        |                                |        |           |        |
| Prime   | \$ 581    | 9.4%   | \$ 93                          | 1.5%   | \$ 1,287  | 26.3%  |
| Alt-A   | 5,200     | 83.7   | 5,905                          | 95.6   | 3,555     | 72.8   |
| A minus and below   | 430       | 6.9    | 180                            | 2.9    | 44        | 0.9    |
| Total Structured  | \$ 6,211  | 100.0% | \$ 6,178                       | 100.0% | \$ 4,886  | 100.0% |
| Total   |           |        |                                |        |           |        |
| Prime   | \$ 8,254  | 49.0%  | \$ 5,143                       | 38.9%  | \$ 6,166  | 53.4%  |
| Alt-A   | 7,226     | 42.9   | 7,306                          | 55.2   | 4,821     | 41.7   |
| A minus and below   | 1,370     | 8.1    | 778                            | 5.9    | 561       | 4.9    |
| Total Primary   | \$ 16,850 | 100.0% | \$ 13,227                      | 100.0% | \$ 11,548 | 100.0% |

|  | June 30 |  | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |        | June 30   |        |
|--|---------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
|  | 2007    |  | 2007                        |        | 2006      |        |
|  |         |  |                             |        |           |        |
| <b>Primary new insurance written ( NIW )</b> |         |  |                             |        |           |        |
| (\$ in millions)                             |         |  |                             |        |           |        |
| Flow   |         |  | \$ 17,688                   | 58.8%  | \$ 11,896 | 48.9%  |
| Structured                                   |         |  | 12,389                      | 41.2   | 12,455    | 51.1   |
| Total Primary                                |         |  | \$ 30,077                   | 100.0% | \$ 24,351 | 100.0% |
| Flow   |         |  |                             |        |           |        |
| Prime  |         |  | \$ 12,723                   | 71.9%  | \$ 8,650  | 72.7%  |
| Alt-A  |         |  | 3,427                       | 19.4   | 2,371     | 19.9   |
| A minus and below                            |         |  | 1,538                       | 8.7    | 875       | 7.4    |

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|                   |           |        |           |        |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Total Flow        | \$ 17,688 | 100.0% | \$ 11,896 | 100.0% |
| Structured        |           |        |           |        |
| Prime             | \$ 674    | 5.5%   | \$ 3,551  | 28.5%  |
| Alt-A             | 11,105    | 89.6   | 7,472     | 60.0   |
| A minus and below | 610       | 4.9    | 1,432     | 11.5   |
| Total Structured  | \$ 12,389 | 100.0% | \$ 12,455 | 100.0% |
| Total             |           |        |           |        |
| Prime             | \$ 13,397 | 44.6%  | \$ 12,201 | 50.1%  |
| Alt-A             | 14,532    | 48.3   | 9,843     | 40.4   |
| A minus and below | 2,148     | 7.1    | 2,307     | 9.5    |
| Total Primary     | \$ 30,077 | 100.0% | \$ 24,351 | 100.0% |

**Table of Contents**

We continue to write a significant amount of our primary structured business in a second-loss position. At June 30, 2007, approximately half of the \$37.2 billion of structured primary insurance in force had a deductible in front of our loss position.

|  | June 30          |               | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |               | June 30          |               |
|--|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
|  | 2007             |               | 2007                           |               | 2006             |               |
| <b>Total Primary New Insurance Written by FICO</b> |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <b>(a) Score (\$ in millions)</b>                  |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| Flow   |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 641           | 6.0%          | \$ 486                         | 6.9%          | \$ 387           | 5.8%          |
| 620-679  | 3,397            | 32.0          | 2,255                          | 32.0          | 2,010            | 30.2          |
| 680-739  | 3,854            | 36.2          | 2,479                          | 35.2          | 2,448            | 36.7          |
| >=740  | 2,747            | 25.8          | 1,829                          | 25.9          | 1,817            | 27.3          |
| <b>Total Flow</b>                                  | <b>\$ 10,639</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 7,049</b>                | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 6,662</b>  | <b>100.0%</b> |
| Structured   |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 283           | 4.6%          | \$ 126                         | 2.0%          | \$ 57            | 1.2%          |
| 620-679  | 2,090            | 33.6          | 1,376                          | 22.3          | 1,604            | 32.8          |
| 680-739  | 2,761            | 44.5          | 3,068                          | 49.7          | 2,214            | 45.3          |
| >=740  | 1,077            | 17.3          | 1,608                          | 26.0          | 1,011            | 20.7          |
| <b>Total Structured</b>                            | <b>\$ 6,211</b>  | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 6,178</b>                | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 4,886</b>  | <b>100.0%</b> |
| Total  |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 924           | 5.5%          | \$ 612                         | 4.6%          | \$ 444           | 3.8%          |
| 620-679  | 5,487            | 32.6          | 3,631                          | 27.5          | 3,614            | 31.3          |
| 680-739  | 6,615            | 39.2          | 5,547                          | 41.9          | 4,662            | 40.4          |
| >=740  | 3,824            | 22.7          | 3,437                          | 26.0          | 2,828            | 24.5          |
| <b>Total Primary</b>                               | <b>\$ 16,850</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 13,227</b>               | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 11,548</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |

(a) FICO credit scoring model.

|  | June 30         |               | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |               | June 30         |               |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
|  | 2007            |               | 2007                           |               | 2006            |               |
| <b>Percentage of primary new insurance written</b> |                 |               |                                |               |                 |               |
| Refinances   | 41%             |               | 37%                            |               | 35%             |               |
| 95.01% LTV (b) and above                           | 21%             |               | 16%                            |               | 13%             |               |
| ARMS   |                 |               |                                |               |                 |               |
| Less than 5 years                                  | 7%              |               | 42%                            |               | 12%             |               |
| 5 years and longer                                 | 10%             |               | 5%                             |               | 17%             |               |
| <b>Primary risk written (\$ in millions)</b>       |                 |               |                                |               |                 |               |
| Flow   | \$ 2,699        | 83.4%         | \$ 1,746                       | 90.0%         | \$ 1,695        | 94.1%         |
| Structured   | 537             | 16.6          | 194                            | 10.0          | 107             | 5.9           |
| <b>Total</b>                                       | <b>\$ 3,236</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 1,940</b>                | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 1,802</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |

---

(b) Loan-to-value ratios. The ratio of the original loan amount to the original value of the property.

**Table of Contents**

|   | Three Months Ended |            |            |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------|
|   | June 30            | March 31   | June 30    |
|   | 2007               | 2007       | 2006       |
| <b>Pool risk written</b> (in millions)                    | \$ 96              | \$ 89      | \$ 208     |
| <b>Other risk written</b> (in millions)                   |                    |            |            |
| Seconds   |                    |            |            |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> loss                                      | \$ 3               | \$ 3       | \$ 18      |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> loss                                      |                    | 21         | 45         |
| NIMs  | 109                | 268        | 17         |
| International   |                    |            |            |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> loss-Hong Kong primary mortgage insurance | 31                 | 19         | 5          |
| Reinsurance   | 17                 | 17         | 3          |
| Other   |                    |            |            |
| Domestic credit default swaps                             |                    |            | 12         |
| <br>Total other risk written                              | <br>\$ 160         | <br>\$ 328 | <br>\$ 100 |

|  | Six Months Ended |            |               |            |
|--|------------------|------------|---------------|------------|
|  | June 30          |            | June 30       |            |
|  | 2007             |            | 2006          |            |
| <b>Total Primary New Insurance Written by FICO (a) Score</b> |                  |            |               |            |
| (\$ in millions)   |                  |            |               |            |
| Flow   |                  |            |               |            |
| <=619  | \$ 1,127         | 6.4%       | \$ 665        | 5.6%       |
| 620-679  | 5,652            | 31.9       | 3,557         | 29.9       |
| 680-739  | 6,333            | 35.8       | 4,439         | 37.3       |
| >=740  | 4,576            | 25.9       | 3,235         | 27.2       |
| <br>Total Flow   | <br>\$ 17,688    | <br>100.0% | <br>\$ 11,896 | <br>100.0% |
| Structured   |                  |            |               |            |
| <=619  | \$ 409           | 3.3%       | \$ 1,445      | 11.6%      |
| 620-679  | 3,466            | 28.0       | 3,785         | 30.4       |
| 680-739  | 5,829            | 47.0       | 4,719         | 37.9       |
| >=740  | 2,685            | 21.7       | 2,506         | 20.1       |
| <br>Total Structured   | <br>\$ 12,389    | <br>100.0% | <br>\$ 12,455 | <br>100.0% |
| Total  |                  |            |               |            |
| <=619  | \$ 1,536         | 5.1%       | \$ 2,110      | 8.7%       |
| 620-679  | 9,118            | 30.3       | 7,342         | 30.1       |
| 680-739  | 12,162           | 40.4       | 9,158         | 37.6       |
| >=740  | 7,261            | 24.2       | 5,741         | 23.6       |
| <br>Total Primary  | <br>\$ 30,777    | <br>100.0% | <br>\$ 24,351 | <br>100.0% |

**Percentage of primary new insurance written**

|                          |     |     |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| Refinances               | 46% | 37% |
| 95.01% LTV (b) and above | 19% | 10% |
| ARMS                     |     |     |
| Less than 5 years        | 23% | 27% |

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|  |                 |               |                 |               |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 5 years and longer                           |                 | 8%            |                 | 18%           |
| <b>Primary risk written</b> (\$ in millions) |                 |               |                 |               |
| Flow   | \$ 4,445        | 85.9%         | \$ 3,024        | 72.3%         |
| Structured                                   | 731             | 14.1          | 1,157           | 27.7          |
| <b>Total</b>                                 | <b>\$ 5,176</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 4,181</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |

Of the pool risk written in 2007, a significant portion was written in a second-loss position. In these transactions, we will only pay claims if pool losses are greater than any applicable deductible.

**Table of Contents**

|   | Six Months<br>Ended |         |
|---|---------------------|---------|
|   | June 30             | June 30 |
|   | 2007                | 2006    |
| <b>Pool risk written</b> (in millions)        | \$ 185              | \$ 269  |
| <b>Other risk written</b> (in millions)       |                     |         |
| Seconds                                       |                     |         |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> loss                          | \$ 6                | \$ 42   |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> loss                          | 21                  | 177     |
| NIMs  | 377                 | 106     |
| International                                 |                     |         |
| 1st loss-Hong Kong primary mortgage insurance | 50                  | 22      |
| Reinsurance                                   | 34                  | 5       |
| Other   |                     |         |
| Domestic credit default swaps                 |                     | 32      |
| Total other risk written                      | \$ 488              | \$ 384  |

|   | June 30  |        | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |        | June 30    |        |
|---|--|--------|--------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|
|   | 2007   |        | 2007                           |        | 2006       |        |
|   | <b>Primary insurance in force</b> (\$ in millions) |        |                                |        |            |        |
| Flow  | \$ 91,098  | 71.0%  | \$ 85,649                      | 71.5%  | \$ 81,828  | 70.5%  |
| Structured                                    | 37,172   | 29.0   | 34,063                         | 28.5   | 34,168     | 29.5   |
| Total Primary                                 | \$ 128,270   | 100.0% | \$ 119,712                     | 100.0% | \$ 115,996 | 100.0% |
| Prime   | \$ 80,984  | 63.1%  | \$ 77,414                      | 64.7%  | \$ 76,868  | 66.3%  |
| Alt-A   | 35,671   | 27.8   | 31,023                         | 25.9   | 25,998     | 22.4   |
| A minus and below                             | 11,615   | 9.1    | 11,275                         | 9.4    | 13,130     | 11.3   |
| Total Primary                                 | \$ 128,270   | 100.0% | \$ 119,712                     | 100.0% | \$ 115,996 | 100.0% |
| <b>Primary risk in force</b> (\$ in millions) |  |        |                                |        |            |        |
| Flow  | \$ 22,702  | 83.2%  | \$ 21,267                      | 82.7%  | \$ 20,191  | 78.5%  |
| Structured                                    | 4,580  | 16.8   | 4,446                          | 17.3   | 5,528      | 21.5   |
| Total Primary                                 | \$ 27,282  | 100.0% | \$ 25,713                      | 100.0% | \$ 25,719  | 100.0% |
| Flow  |  |        |                                |        |            |        |
| Prime   | \$ 17,677  | 77.9%  | \$ 16,653                      | 78.3%  | \$ 15,756  | 78.0%  |
| Alt-A   | 3,305  | 14.5   | 3,015                          | 14.2   | 2,902      | 14.4   |
| A minus and below                             | 1,720  | 7.6    | 1,599                          | 7.5    | 1,533      | 7.6    |
| Total Flow                                    | \$ 22,702  | 100.0% | \$ 21,267                      | 100.0% | \$ 20,191  | 100.0% |
| Structured                                    |  |        |                                |        |            |        |
| Prime   | \$ 1,653   | 36.1%  | \$ 1,797                       | 40.4%  | \$ 2,207   | 39.9%  |
| Alt-A   | 1,756  | 38.3   | 1,442                          | 32.4   | 1,540      | 27.9   |
| A minus and below                             | 1,171  | 25.6   | 1,207                          | 27.2   | 1,781      | 32.2   |
| Total Structured                              | \$ 4,580   | 100.0% | \$ 4,446                       | 100.0% | \$ 5,528   | 100.0% |

## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|                      |                  |               |                  |               |                  |               |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| <b>Total</b>         |                  |               |                  |               |                  |               |
| Prime                | \$ 19,330        | 70.9%         | \$ 18,450        | 71.8%         | \$ 17,963        | 69.8%         |
| Alt-A                | 5,061            | 18.5          | 4,457            | 17.3          | 4,442            | 17.3          |
| A minus and below    | 2,891            | 10.6          | 2,806            | 10.9          | 3,314            | 12.9          |
| <b>Total Primary</b> | <b>\$ 27,282</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,713</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,719</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |

Direct primary insurance in force was \$128.3 billion at June 30, 2007, compared to \$113.9 billion at December 31, 2006 and \$116.0 billion at June 30, 2006. The increase in primary insurance in force was primarily



**Table of Contents**

attributable to a single structured transaction written as modified pool insurance in the first quarter of 2007 and a significant increase in flow business generated during the second quarter of 2007. We anticipate that the mix of mortgage business and non-traditional insurance products will continue to fluctuate as a result of structural changes, competitive pricing differentials and competitive products in the mortgage lending and mortgage insurance business.

|  | June 30          |               | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |               | June 30          |               |
|--|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
|  | 2007             |               | 2007                           |               | 2006             |               |
| <b>Total Primary Risk in Force by FICO Score (\$ in millions)</b>  |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| Flow   |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 1,458         | 6.4%          | \$ 1,381                       | 6.5%          | \$ 1,285         | 6.4%          |
| 620-679  | 7,037            | 31.0          | 6,574                          | 30.9          | 6,245            | 30.9          |
| 680-739  | 8,264            | 36.4          | 7,733                          | 36.4          | 7,410            | 36.7          |
| >=740  | 5,943            | 26.2          | 5,579                          | 26.2          | 5,251            | 26.0          |
| <b>Total Flow</b>  | <b>\$ 22,702</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 21,267</b>               | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 20,191</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |
| Structured   |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 1,121         | 24.5%         | \$ 1,205                       | 27.1%         | \$ 1,782         | 32.2%         |
| 620-679  | 1,571            | 34.3          | 1,539                          | 34.6          | 1,993            | 36.1          |
| 680-739  | 1,262            | 27.5          | 1,130                          | 25.4          | 1,209            | 21.9          |
| >=740  | 626              | 13.7          | 572                            | 12.9          | 544              | 9.8           |
| <b>Total Structured</b>  | <b>\$ 4,580</b>  | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 4,446</b>                | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 5,528</b>  | <b>100.0%</b> |
| Total  |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| <=619  | \$ 2,579         | 9.4%          | \$ 2,586                       | 10.0%         | \$ 3,067         | 11.9%         |
| 620-679  | 8,608            | 31.6          | 8,113                          | 31.6          | 8,238            | 32.1          |
| 680-739  | 9,526            | 34.9          | 8,863                          | 34.5          | 8,619            | 33.5          |
| >=740  | 6,569            | 24.1          | 6,151                          | 23.9          | 5,795            | 22.5          |
| <b>Total Primary</b>   | <b>\$ 27,282</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,713</b>               | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,719</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |
| <b>Percentage of primary risk in force</b>                         |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| Refinances   | 33%              |               | 33%                            |               | 35%              |               |
| 95.01% LTV and above   | 20%              |               | 19%                            |               | 15%              |               |
| <b>ARMs</b>  |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| Less than 5 years  | 16%              |               | 18%                            |               | 23%              |               |
| 5 years and longer   | 9%               |               | 9%                             |               | 9%               |               |
| <b>Total primary risk in force by LTV</b>                          |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| (\$ in millions)   |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| 95.01% and above   | \$ 5,549         | 20.3%         | \$ 4,795                       | 18.6%         | \$ 3,901         | 15.2%         |
| 90.01% to 95.00%   | 8,227            | 30.2          | 7,965                          | 31.0          | 8,293            | 32.2          |
| 85.01% to 90.00%   | 9,497            | 34.8          | 9,157                          | 35.6          | 9,291            | 36.1          |
| 85.00% and below   | 4,009            | 14.7          | 3,796                          | 14.8          | 4,234            | 16.5          |
| <b>Total Primary</b>   | <b>\$ 27,282</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,713</b>               | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 25,719</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |
| <b>Total primary risk in force by policy year (\$ in millions)</b> |                  |               |                                |               |                  |               |
| 2003 and prior   | \$ 6,196         | 22.7%         | \$ 6,653                       | 25.9%         | \$ 8,484         | 33.0%         |
| 2004   | 3,833            | 14.0          | 4,198                          | 16.3          | 5,761            | 22.4          |
| 2005   | 5,704            | 20.9          | 6,137                          | 23.9          | 7,496            | 29.1          |
| 2006   | 6,482            | 23.8          | 6,815                          | 26.5          | 3,978            | 15.5          |

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|               |           |        |           |        |           |        |
|---------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| 2007          | 5,067     | 18.6   | 1,910     | 7.4    |           |        |
| Total Primary | \$ 27,282 | 100.0% | \$ 25,713 | 100.0% | \$ 25,719 | 100.0% |

**Table of Contents**

|                                 | June 30                                    |               | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |               | June 30         |               |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                                 | 2007                                       |               | 2007                           |               | 2006            |               |
|                                 | <b>Pool risk in force</b> (\$ in millions) |               |                                |               |                 |               |
| Prime                           | \$ 2,206                                   | 70.2%         | \$ 2,207                       | 72.0%         | \$ 2,210        | 75.0%         |
| Alt-A                           | 297  | 9.5           | 301                            | 9.8           | 266             | 9.0           |
| A minus and below               | 638  | 20.3          | 558                            | 18.2          | 470             | 16.0          |
| <b>Total pool risk in force</b> | <b>\$ 3,141</b>                            | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 3,066</b>                | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>\$ 2,946</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |

|   | June 30                                  |  | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 |                  | June 30          |  |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
|   | 2007                                     |  | 2007                           |                  | 2006             |  |
|   | <b>Other risk in force</b> (in millions) |  |                                |                  |                  |  |
| Seconds   |  |  |                                |                  |                  |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> loss                                      |  |  | \$ 495                         | \$ 555           | \$ 653           |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> loss                                      |  |  | 590                            | 605              | 776              |  |
| NIMs  |  |  | 796                            | 783              | 289              |  |
| International   |  |  |                                |                  |                  |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> loss-Hong Kong primary mortgage insurance |  |  | 384                            | 353              | 293              |  |
| Reinsurance   |  |  | 79                             | 61               | 31               |  |
| Credit default swaps                                      |  |  | 7,872                          | 7,875            | 7,889            |  |
| Other   |  |  |                                |                  |                  |  |
| Domestic credit default swaps                             |  |  | 212                            | 212              | 224              |  |
| Financial guaranty wrap                                   |  |  |                                |                  | 159              |  |
| <b>Total other risk in force</b>                          |  |  | <b>\$ 10,428</b>               | <b>\$ 10,444</b> | <b>\$ 10,314</b> |  |

A NIM represents the securitization of a portion of the excess cash flow and prepayment penalties from a mortgage backed security. The majority of this excess cash flow consists of the spread between the interest rate on the mortgage-backed security and the interest generated from the underlying mortgage collateral. Historically, issuers of mortgage backed securities would have earned this excess interest over time as the collateral ages, but market efficiencies have enabled these issuers to sell a portion of their residual interests to investors in the form of NIM bonds. Typically, the issuer will retain a significant portion of the residual interests, which is subordinated to the NIM bond in a first loss position so that the issuer will suffer losses associated with any shortfalls in residual cash flows before the NIM experiences any losses.

When we provide credit enhancement on a NIM bond, our policy covers any principal and interest shortfalls on the insured bonds. For certain deals, we only insure a portion of the NIM that was issued. These transactions are typically rated BBB or BB based on the amount of subordination and other factors. The \$796 million of risk in force associated with NIMs at June 30, 2007 comprises 42 deals with an average notional balance of \$23 million (\$66 million at origination) and a total notional balance of \$975 million. At July 31, 2007, our risk in force related to NIMs had decreased by \$24 million from June 30, 2007, to \$772 million, reflecting the normal, rapid paydown of the insured securities. The average expiration of our existing NIMs transactions is approximately 2 years.

\$377 million or approximately half of the total NIMs risk in force as of June 30, 2007 was written in the first half of 2007. Almost all of our 2007 NIMs business was with large national lenders as we continue to diversify away from doing NIMs with monoline subprime lenders. In addition, the 2007 NIM bonds and the underlying mortgage securities upon which they are based, have been structured more conservatively with more over-collateralization to meet adjustments to the ratings methodologies employed by the major ratings agencies. Despite these positive characteristics performance, NIMs are a relatively unproven product with little performance history, in particular in a down housing market, and we cannot be certain that the 2007 vintage NIM bonds will perform any better or worse than earlier vintages.

**Table of Contents**

|                                      | June 30 | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 | June 30 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|
|                                      | 2007    | 2007                           | 2006    |
| <b>Default Statistics</b>            |         |                                |         |
| <b>Primary Insurance:</b>            |         |                                |         |
| Flow                                 |         |                                |         |
| Prime                                |         |                                |         |
| Number of insured loans              | 520,488 | 504,941                        | 499,435 |
| Number of loans in default           | 14,795  | 14,013                         | 14,283  |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 2.84%   | 2.78%                          | 2.86%   |
| Alt-A                                |         |                                |         |
| Number of insured loans              | 68,454  | 65,075                         | 63,985  |
| Number of loans in default           | 5,034   | 4,513                          | 4,167   |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 7.35%   | 6.94%                          | 6.51%   |
| A minus and below                    |         |                                |         |
| Number of insured loans              | 56,073  | 53,379                         | 52,348  |
| Number of loans in default           | 7,456   | 6,704                          | 6,765   |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 13.30%  | 12.56%                         | 12.92%  |
| Total Flow                           |         |                                |         |
| Number of insured loans              | 645,015 | 623,395                        | 615,768 |
| Number of loans in default           | 27,285  | 25,230                         | 25,215  |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 4.23%   | 4.05%                          | 4.09%   |

**Table of Contents**

|                                      | June 30   | Three Months Ended<br>March 31 | June 30   |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
|                                      | 2007      | 2007                           | 2006      |
| <b>Structured</b>                    |           |                                |           |
| <b>Prime</b>                         |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 57,500    | 59,194                         | 70,416    |
| Number of loans in default           | 3,612     | 3,231                          | 2,540     |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 6.28%     | 5.46%                          | 3.61%     |
| <b>Alt-A</b>                         |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 98,242    | 84,050                         | 76,131    |
| Number of loans in default           | 4,992     | 3,922                          | 2,589     |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 5.08%     | 4.67%                          | 3.40%     |
| <b>A minus and below</b>             |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 32,612    | 34,429                         | 46,500    |
| Number of loans in default           | 8,278     | 7,971                          | 8,193     |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 25.38%    | 23.15%                         | 17.62%    |
| <b>Total Structured</b>              |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 188,354   | 177,673                        | 193,047   |
| Number of loans in default           | 16,882    | 15,124                         | 13,322    |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 8.96%     | 8.51%                          | 6.90%     |
| <b>Total Primary Insurance</b>       |           |                                |           |
| <b>Prime</b>                         |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 577,988   | 564,135                        | 569,851   |
| Number of loans in default           | 18,407    | 17,244                         | 16,823    |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 3.18%     | 3.06%                          | 2.95%     |
| <b>Alt-A</b>                         |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 166,696   | 149,125                        | 140,116   |
| Number of loans in default           | 10,026    | 8,435                          | 6,756     |
| Percentage of total loans in default | 6.01%     | 5.66%                          | 4.82%     |
| <b>A minus and below</b>             |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 88,685    | 87,808                         | 98,848    |
| Number of loans in default           | 15,734    | 14,675                         | 14,958    |
| Percentage of loans in default       | 17.74%    | 16.71%                         | 15.13%    |
| <b>Total Primary</b>                 |           |                                |           |
| Number of insured loans              | 833,369   | 801,068                        | 808,815   |
| Number of loans in default           | 44,167(1) | 40,354(1)                      | 38,537(1) |
| Percentage of loans in default       | 5.30%     | 5.04%                          | 4.76%     |
| <b>Pool insurance</b>                |           |                                |           |
| Number of loans in default           | 21,409(2) | 17,989(2)                      | 15,338(2) |

(1) Includes approximately 2,318, 1,541 and 551 defaults at June 30, 2007, March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, where reserves had not been established because no claim payment was anticipated.

(2) Includes approximately 16,101, 13,036 and 9,867 defaults at June 30, 2007, March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, where reserves had not been established because no claim payment was anticipated.

The default and claim cycle in the mortgage insurance business begins with our receipt of a default notice from the insured. A default is defined under our master policy as a borrower's failure to make a payment equal to or greater than one monthly regular payment under a loan. Generally, our master policy of insurance requires the insured to notify us of a default within 15 days of (1) the loan's having been in default for three months or

**Table of Contents**

(2) the occurrence of an early default in which the borrower fails to make any one of the initial twelve monthly payments under a loan so that an amount equal to two monthly payments has not been paid. For reporting and internal tracking purposes, we consider a loan to be in default when the loan has been in default for 60 days.

The total number of loans in default increased from 67,173 at December 31, 2006 to 71,541 at June 30, 2007. The average loss reserve per default increased from \$9,725 at December 31, 2006 to \$10,429 at June 30, 2007. Primary and pool defaults at June 30, 2007 included approximately 2,318 and 16,101 defaults, respectively, on loans where reserves had not been established because no claim payment was anticipated. At December 31, 2006, primary and pool defaults included approximately 1,161 and 13,309 defaults, respectively, on loans where no reserve has been established. Excluding those defaults without a related reserve, the average loss reserve per default was \$14,045 and \$12,395 at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The loss reserve as a percentage of risk in force was 1.8% at June 30, 2007 compared to 1.5% at December 31, 2006.

|                            | Three Months Ended |                  |                  | Six Months Ended  |                   |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                            | June 30            | March 31         | June 30          | June 30           | June 30           |
| (In thousands)             | 2007               | 2007             | 2006             | 2007              | 2006              |
| <b>Direct claims paid:</b> |                    |                  |                  |                   |                   |
| Prime                      | \$ 34,226          | \$ 33,125        | \$ 29,722        | \$ 67,351         | \$ 59,831         |
| Alt-A                      | 21,755             | 19,998           | 15,231           | 41,753            | 34,021            |
| A minus and below          | 35,027             | 29,080           | 22,390           | 64,107            | 45,781            |
| Seconds                    | 21,071             | 13,621           | 10,264           | 34,692            | 18,167            |
| <b>Total</b>               | <b>\$ 112,079</b>  | <b>\$ 95,824</b> | <b>\$ 77,607</b> | <b>\$ 207,903</b> | <b>\$ 157,800</b> |
| <b>Average claim paid:</b> |                    |                  |                  |                   |                   |
| Prime                      | \$ 28.4            | \$ 28.1          | \$ 25.3          | \$ 28.2           | \$ 26.1           |
| Alt-A                      | 40.9               | 39.7             | 33.7             | 40.3              | 37.1              |
| A minus and below          | 31.1               | 29.6             | 26.7             | 30.4              | 27.9              |
| Seconds                    | 27.8               | 28.8             | 28.4             | 28.2              | 25.7              |
| <b>Total</b>               | <b>\$ 30.9</b>     | <b>\$ 30.6</b>   | <b>\$ 27.4</b>   | <b>\$ 30.8</b>    | <b>\$ 28.4</b>    |

Claim activity is not spread evenly throughout the coverage period of a book of business. Historically, relatively few claims on prime business are received during the first two years following issuance of a policy and on other than prime business, relatively few claims are received during the first year. Claim activity on prime loans typically reaches its highest level in the third through fifth years after the year of policy origination, and on loans other than prime this level occurs in the second through fourth years. Approximately 65.3% of the primary risk in force and approximately 35.7% of the pool risk in force at June 30, 2007 had not yet reached its highest claim frequency years. Because it is difficult to predict both the timing of originating new business and the cancellation rate of existing business, it is also difficult to predict, at any given time, the percentage of risk in force that will reach its highest claim frequency years on any future date. Direct claims paid for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$112.1 million and \$207.9 million, respectively, up from \$77.6 million and \$157.8 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The average claim paid has fluctuated over the past few years mostly due to differing coverage amounts and loan balances. In addition, changes in real estate values may also affect the amount of the average claim paid.

**Table of Contents**

| (\$ in thousands)                       | Three Months Ended |           |          | Six Months Ended |           |
|---|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|
|   | June 30            | March 31  | June 30  | June 30          | June 30   |
|   | 2007               | 2007      | 2006     | 2007             | 2006      |
| <b>States with highest claims paid:</b> |                    |           |          |                  |           |
| Michigan                                | \$ 11,623          | \$ 10,262 | \$ 7,837 | \$ 21,885        | \$ 14,870 |
| Ohio                                    | 11,142             | 8,645     | 7,145    | 19,787           | 14,928    |
| Texas                                   | 8,386              | 8,789     | 7,648    | 17,175           | 15,772    |
| Georgia                                 | 6,136              | 7,755     | 7,626    | 13,891           | 14,759    |
| Colorado                                | 4,587              | 4,977     | 4,375    | 9,564            | 9,822     |
| <b>Percentage of total claims paid:</b> |                    |           |          |                  |           |
| Michigan                                | 10.4%              | 10.7%     | 10.1%    | 10.5%            | 9.4%      |
| Ohio                                    | 9.9                | 9.2       | 9.2      | 9.5              | 9.5       |
| Texas                                   | 7.5                | 9.0       | 9.9      | 8.3              | 10.0      |
| Georgia                                 | 5.5                | 8.1       | 9.8      | 6.7              | 9.4       |
| Colorado                                | 4.1                | 5.2       | 5.6      | 4.6              | 6.2       |

A higher level of claims exist in the auto states of Ohio, Michigan and Indiana, as problems with the domestic auto industry and related industries have depressed economic growth, employment and house prices in these states.

A higher level of claims in Texas resulted, in part, from unemployment levels that were higher than the national average and lower home price appreciation. We believe that claims in the Midwest and Southeast have been rising (and will continue to rise) due to the weak industrial sector of the economy. We also believe that increased claims in Michigan and North Carolina are a result of declining economic conditions in those areas and that in Colorado, increased claims are a result of a significant decline in property values in that area.

A higher incidence of claims in Georgia is directly related to what our risk management department believes to be questionable property values. Our risk management department implemented several property valuation checks and balances to mitigate the risk of this issue recurring, and now applies these same techniques to all mortgage insurance transactions. We expect this higher incidence of claims in Georgia to continue until loans originated in Georgia before the implementation of these preventive measures become sufficiently seasoned.

Since August 29, 2005, the date that Hurricane Katrina first struck and caused extensive property damage to the U.S. Gulf Coast, we have paid approximately \$25.0 million in claims on mortgage insurance written in areas damaged by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita (as designated by Freddie Mac, the designated areas ) as of June 30, 2007, including approximately \$21.3 million for claims received after August 29, 2005.

Defaults in mortgage insurance in designated areas in the months following the hurricanes have been stable or decreasing since then approximately 3,382 defaults as of June 30, 2007, which is up slightly from 3,240 defaults as of March 31, 2007 and down from 3,613 defaults as of December 31, 2006. We remain uncertain as to how many claims we ultimately may have to pay on these defaults. Limitations exist in our master policy of insurance that could prevent us from paying all or part of a claim. For example, we are permitted to adjust a claim where the property underlying a mortgage in default is subject to unrestored physical damage. We have taken a view that these loans will not perform better or worse than any other delinquencies. As of June 30, 2007 we had established a related mortgage insurance loss reserve of \$30.4 million related to the 3,382 Hurricane Katrina- and Rita-related defaults, including a reserve of \$7.2 million for 736 defaults associated with heavily-damaged areas.

A higher proportion of new delinquencies in 2007 are from loans in California and Florida, which would indicate that claims paid in those states, should increase, perhaps significantly, over the balance of 2007 and into 2008.

**Table of Contents**

|  | Three Months Ended |           |           |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | June 30            | March 31  | June 30   |
|  | 2007               | 2007      | 2006      |
| Primary risk in force: (in millions)       |                    |           |           |
| Florida                                    | \$ 2,462           | \$ 2,320  | \$ 2,402  |
| California                                 | 2,284              | 2,044     | 2,242     |
| Texas                                      | 1,737              | 1,659     | 1,604     |
| Ohio                                       | 1,346              | 1,268     | 1,144     |
| Georgia                                    | 1,299              | 1,241     | 1,224     |
| New York                                   | 1,287              | 1,260     | 1,382     |
| Illinois                                   | 1,215              | 1,134     | 1,143     |
| Michigan                                   | 1,035              | 990       | 941       |
| Pennsylvania                               | 935                | 904       | 882       |
| New Jersey                                 | 930                | 869       | 873       |
| Total primary risk in force:               | \$ 27,282          | \$ 25,713 | \$ 25,719 |
| Percentage of total primary risk in force: |                    |           |           |
| Florida                                    | 9.0%               | 9.0%      | 9.3%      |
| California                                 | 8.4                | 7.9       | 8.7       |
| Texas                                      | 6.4                | 6.5       | 6.2       |
| Ohio                                       | 4.9                | 4.9       | 4.4       |
| Georgia                                    | 4.8                | 4.8       | 4.8       |
| New York                                   | 4.7                | 4.9       | 5.4       |
| Illinois                                   | 4.4                | 4.4       | 4.4       |
| Michigan                                   | 3.8                | 3.9       | 3.7       |
| Pennsylvania                               | 3.4                | 3.5       | 3.4       |
| New Jersey                                 | 3.4                | 3.4       | 3.4       |

In the mortgage insurance segment, the highest state concentration of primary risk in force at June 30, 2007 was Florida at 9.0% compared to 9.3% at June 30, 2006. The percentage of direct primary new insurance written in California for the six months ended 2007 was 21.0%, which increased from 13.7% for the six months ended 2006 primarily due to a large structured transaction originated in the first quarter of 2007 as modified pool where we are in a second-loss position.

The largest single customer of our mortgage insurance segment (including branches and affiliates of such customer), measured by primary new insurance written, accounted for 26.3% of primary new insurance written for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 8.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2006, due to the large structured transaction written as modified pool in a second-loss position as mentioned above.

|  | Three Months Ended |            |            | Six Months Ended |            |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------|
|  | June 30            |            |            | June 30          | June 30    |
|  | 2007               | March 31   | 2006       |                  |            |
| (\$ thousands, unless specified otherwise) |                    |            |            |                  |            |
| Provision for losses                       | \$ 180,152         | \$ 112,854 | \$ 77,577  | \$ 293,006       | \$ 148,674 |
| Reserve for losses                         | \$ 746,095         | \$ 676,691 | \$ 592,526 |                  |            |
| Reserves for losses by category:           |                    |            |            |                  |            |
| Primary Insurance                          |                    |            |            |                  |            |
| Prime                                      | \$ 212,191         | \$ 200,262 | \$ 177,692 |                  |            |
| Alt-A                                      | 182,537            | 146,329    | 134,940    |                  |            |
| A minus and below                          | 246,062            | 228,066    | 207,077    |                  |            |
| Pool insurance                             | 37,531             | 34,599     | 33,149     |                  |            |
| Seconds                                    | 37,251             | 38,347     | 30,862     |                  |            |
| Other                                      | 1,004              | 900        | 8,806      |                  |            |
| Reserve for losses, net                    | 716,576            | 648,503    | 592,526    |                  |            |
| Reinsurance recoverable (1)                | 29,519             | 28,188     |            |                  |            |



Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|       |            |            |            |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total | \$ 746,095 | \$ 676,691 | \$ 592,526 |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|

---

(1) Primarily related to a first-loss, second-lien captive originated as a quota-share transaction.

**Table of Contents**

The following table reconciles our mortgage insurance segment's beginning and ending reserves for losses and LAE for the six months ended June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

| <b>Mortgage Insurance</b>  |            |
|--|------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2007   | \$ 653,236 |
| Less Reinsurance recoverables  | 21,763     |
| Balance at January 1, 2007, net  | 631,473    |
| Add total losses and LAE incurred in respect of default notices received | 293,006    |
| Deduct total losses and LAE paid in respect of default notices received  | 207,903    |
| Balance at June 30, 2007, net  | 716,576    |
| Add Reinsurance recoverables   | 29,519     |
| Balance at June 30, 2007   | \$ 746,095 |

|  | <b>June 30</b> | <b>March 31</b> | <b>June 30</b> | <b>June 30</b> | <b>June 30</b> |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|  | <b>2007</b>    | <b>2007</b>     | <b>2006</b>    | <b>2007</b>    | <b>2006</b>    |
| <b>Captives</b>                          |                |                 |                |                |                |
| Premiums ceded to captives (in millions) | \$ 30.0        | \$ 28.1         | \$ 24.3        | \$ 58.1        | \$ 47.2        |
| % of total premiums                      | 14.5%          | 14.2%           | 11.4%          | 14.3%          | 11.4%          |
| NIW subject to captives (in millions)    | \$ 6,146       | \$ 4,994        | \$ 3,764       | \$ 11,140      | \$ 6,540       |
| % of primary NIW                         | 36.5%          | 37.8%           | 32.6%          | 37.0%          | 26.9%          |
| IIF (c) subject to captives              | 34.6%          | 34.3%           | 32.1%          |                |                |
| RIF (d) subject to captives              | 40.5%          | 39.7%           | 36.5%          |                |                |
| <b>Persistency</b> (twelve months ended) | 71.1%          | 69.5%           | 62.8%          |                |                |

(c) Insurance in force.

(d) Risk in force.

**Table of Contents**

|   | Three Months Ended |        |                 |        | Six Months Ended |        |                 |        |
|---|--------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
|   | June 30<br>2007    |        | June 30<br>2006 |        | June 30<br>2007  |        | June 30<br>2006 |        |
| <b>Alt-A Information</b>  |                    |        |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| <b>Primary new insurance written by FICO score (\$ in millions)</b> |                    |        |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| <=619   | \$ 84              | 1.2%   | \$ 17           | 0.4%   | \$ 92            | 0.6%   | \$ 23           | 0.2%   |
| 620-659   | 1,090              | 15.1   | 550             | 11.4   | 1,679            | 11.6   | 1,259           | 12.8   |
| 660-679   | 1,221              | 16.9   | 754             | 15.6   | 2,386            | 16.4   | 1,388           | 14.1   |
| 680-739   | 3,383              | 46.8   | 2,369           | 49.1   | 7,023            | 48.3   | 4,693           | 47.7   |
| >=740   | 1,448              | 20.0   | 1,131           | 23.5   | 3,352            | 23.1   | 2,480           | 25.2   |
| Total   | \$ 7,226           | 100.0% | \$ 4,821        | 100.0% | \$ 14,532        | 100.0% | \$ 9,843        | 100.0% |
| <b>Primary risk in force by FICO score (\$ in millions)</b>         |                    |        |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| <=619   | \$ 38              | 0.7%   | \$ 32           | 0.7%   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 620-659   | 767                | 15.2   | 865             | 19.5   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 660-679   | 811                | 16.0   | 730             | 16.4   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 680-739   | 2,313              | 45.7   | 1,933           | 43.5   |                  |        |                 |        |
| >=740   | 1,132              | 22.4   | 882             | 19.9   |                  |        |                 |        |
| Total   | \$ 5,061           | 100.0% | \$ 4,442        | 100.0% |                  |        |                 |        |
| <b>Primary risk in force by LTV (\$ in millions)</b>                |                    |        |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| 95.01% and above  | \$ 239             | 4.7%   | \$ 142          | 3.2%   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 90.01% to 95.00%  | 1,299              | 25.7   | 1,309           | 29.5   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 85.01% to 90.00%  | 2,044              | 40.4   | 1,882           | 42.3   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 85.00% and below  | 1,479              | 29.2   | 1,109           | 25.0   |                  |        |                 |        |
| Total   | \$ 5,061           | 100.0% | \$ 4,442        | 100.0% |                  |        |                 |        |
| <b>Primary risk in force by policy year (\$ in millions)</b>        |                    |        |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| 2003 and prior  | \$ 721             | 14.2%  | \$ 1,064        | 24.0%  |                  |        |                 |        |
| 2004  | 551                | 10.9   | 1,008           | 22.7   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 2005  | 966                | 19.1   | 1,391           | 31.3   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 2006  | 1,389              | 27.5   | 979             | 22.0   |                  |        |                 |        |
| 2007  | 1,434              | 28.3   |                 |        |                  |        |                 |        |
| Total   | \$ 5,061           | 100.0% | \$ 4,442        | 100.0% |                  |        |                 |        |

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations Financial Guaranty****Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006**

The following table summarizes the results of operations for our financial guaranty segment for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended |         |                           | Six Months Ended |         |                           |
|--|--------------------|---------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|---------------------------|
|  | June 30            |         | % Change<br>2007 vs. 2006 | June 30          |         | % Change<br>2007 vs. 2006 |
|  | 2007               | 2006    |                           | 2007             | 2006    |                           |
| Net income                                     | \$ 22.0            | \$ 19.0 | 15.8%                     | \$ 79.9          | \$ 55.9 | 42.9%                     |
| Net premiums written                           | 50.5               | 81.8    | (38.3)                    | 105.9            | 137.7   | (23.1)                    |
| Net premiums earned insurance                  | 32.4               | 32.9    | (1.5)                     | 66.7             | 67.1    | n/m                       |
| Net premiums earned credit derivatives         | 15.8               | 17.5    | (9.7)                     | 34.7             | 34.3    | 1.2                       |
| Net premiums earned total                      | 48.2               | 50.4    | (4.4)                     | 101.4            | 101.4   |                           |
| Net investment income                          | 26.3               | 23.5    | 11.9                      | 51.8             | 46.0    | 12.6                      |
| Net gains on securities                        | 5.6                | 1.7     | n/m                       | 8.4              | 8.9     | (5.6)                     |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments | (32.6)             | (21.3)  | 53.1                      | (7.5)            | (12.8)  | 41.4                      |
| Other income                                   | 0.1                | 0.1     |                           | 0.3              | 0.3     |                           |
| Provision for losses                           | (6.2)              | 7.3     | n/m                       | (12.0)           | 14.8    | n/m                       |
| Policy acquisition costs                       | 11.6               | 11.1    | 4.5                       | 23.4             | 25.1    | (6.8)                     |
| Other operating expenses                       | 12.9               | 15.3    | (15.7)                    | 27.1             | 30.1    | (10.0)                    |
| Interest expense                               | 4.5                | 4.3     | 4.7                       | 9.1              | 8.4     | 8.3                       |
| Income tax provision (benefit)                 | 2.8                | (2.6)   | n/m                       | 26.8             | 9.6     | n/m                       |

n/m not meaningful

**Net Income.** Our financial guaranty segment's net income for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 was \$22.0 million and \$79.9 million, respectively, compared to \$19.0 million and \$55.9 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. The increase for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was mainly due to higher net investment income, an increase in the change in fair value of derivative instruments and a decrease in the provision for losses, partially offset by an increase in the income tax provision due to a higher level of pre-tax income.

**Net Premiums Written and Earned.** Our financial guaranty segment's net premiums written for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were \$50.5 million and \$105.9 million, respectively, compared to \$81.8 million and \$137.7 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Net premiums earned for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were \$48.2 million and \$101.4 million, respectively, compared to \$50.4 million and \$101.4 million, respectively, for the corresponding periods of 2006. Our financial guaranty segment experienced a year over year decrease in premiums written on all lines of business, except for structured reinsurance. This decrease is primarily due to lower production in a tight spread environment in public finance and the selective termination of deals in our structured direct business. Our financial guaranty business experienced an increase in premiums earned in the second quarter and first six months of 2007 related to public finance products, which was offset entirely by the decrease in premiums earned from trade credit reinsurance. Premiums earned in 2007 were impacted by an additional \$8.5 million in refundings from our public finance products in the first six months of 2007 compared to 2006. Included in net premiums earned for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were refundings of \$5.2 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, compared to \$0.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, for the same periods of 2006. Included in net premiums written and earned for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were \$5.6 million and \$15.8 million, and \$18.9 million and \$34.7 million, respectively of credit enhancement fees on derivative financial guaranty contracts, compared to \$15.0 million and \$17.5 million, and \$29.4 million and \$34.3 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the breakdown of premiums written and earned by our financial guaranty segment's various products for each period:

|   | Quarter Ended<br>June 30 |                  | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |                   |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|   | 2007                     | 2006             | 2007                        | 2006              |
| (In thousands)                            |                          |                  |                             |                   |
| Net premiums written:                     |                          |                  |                             |                   |
| Public finance direct                     | \$ 18,130                | \$ 24,808        | \$ 30,910                   | \$ 38,246         |
| Public finance reinsurance                | 17,495                   | 28,712           | 35,649                      | 46,695            |
| Structured direct                         | 2,789                    | 6,441            | 8,036                       | 10,432            |
| Structured reinsurance                    | 5,693                    | 5,404            | 11,605                      | 8,409             |
| Trade credit reinsurance                  | 805                      | 1,473            | 731                         | 4,451             |
| Net premiums written - insurance          | 44,912                   | 66,838           | 86,931                      | 108,233           |
| Net premiums written - credit derivatives | 5,604                    | 14,987           | 18,930                      | 29,431            |
| <b>Total net premiums written</b>         | <b>\$ 50,516</b>         | <b>\$ 81,825</b> | <b>\$ 105,861</b>           | <b>\$ 137,664</b> |
| Net premiums earned:                      |                          |                  |                             |                   |
| Public finance direct                     | \$ 9,961                 | \$ 7,401         | \$ 21,546                   | \$ 15,154         |
| Public finance reinsurance                | 11,692                   | 7,865            | 22,792                      | 16,158            |
| Structured direct                         | 4,389                    | 5,374            | 9,080                       | 10,286            |
| Structured reinsurance                    | 5,742                    | 5,594            | 11,936                      | 10,188            |
| Trade credit reinsurance                  | 638                      | 6,652            | 1,332                       | 15,363            |
| Net premiums earned - insurance           | 32,422                   | 32,886           | 66,686                      | 67,149            |
| Net premiums earned - credit derivatives  | 15,784                   | 17,530           | 34,723                      | 34,258            |
| <b>Total net premiums earned</b>          | <b>\$ 48,206</b>         | <b>\$ 50,416</b> | <b>\$ 101,409</b>           | <b>\$ 101,407</b> |

*Net Investment Income.* Net investment income attributable to our financial guaranty segment was \$26.3 million and \$51.8 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 compared to \$23.5 million and \$46.0 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The amount reported in the second quarter and first six months of 2007 reflects an increase in investment balances and slightly higher interest rates.

*Net Gains on Securities.* Net gains on securities were \$5.6 million and \$8.4 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 compared to \$1.7 million and \$8.9 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The amounts reported for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 include a \$1.8 million gain and \$0.2 million loss, respectively, related to changes in the fair value of convertible securities and equity securities. The amount reported for the first six months of 2006 includes an allocation of the gain from the sale of our remaining interest in Primus.

*Change in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments.* Change in the fair value of derivative instruments was a loss of \$32.6 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 compared to losses of \$21.3 million and \$12.8 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in the change in fair value of derivative instruments for the first six months of 2006 is a \$17.2 million charge related to the termination of a derivative contract. The decrease in fair value of derivative instruments for the first six months of 2007 was mainly a result of spread widening related to corporate collateralized debt obligations, partially offset by the termination of one derivative contract. During the first six months of 2007, we received \$27.4 million to terminate selected deals. During the first six months of 2006, we paid \$68.0 million to terminate one derivative contract and received \$2.6 million of recoveries of previous default payments.

*Other Income.* Other income was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 and 2006.

**Table of Contents**

*Provision for Losses.* The provision for losses was \$(6.2) million and \$(12.0) million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 compared to \$7.3 million and \$14.8 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The provision for losses reported for 2007 reflects favorable loss development on trade credit reinsurance and an adjustment to our unallocated non-specific reserves. Our financial guaranty segment paid \$3.0 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, in claims during the second quarter and first six months of 2007 and \$4.4 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, in claims during the corresponding periods of 2006 related to a single manufactured housing transaction with Conseco Finance Corp. that was fully reserved for in 2003. We expect that losses related to this transaction will be paid out over the next few years.

We closely monitor our financial guaranty obligations and we use an internal classification process to identify and track troubled credits. We classify credits as intensified surveillance credits when we determine that continued performance is questionable and, in the absence of a positive change, may result in a claim. At June 30, 2007 and 2006, the financial guaranty segment had the following exposure on credits classified as intensified surveillance credits:

|                  | June 30      |               | June 30      |               |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
|                  | 2007         | Par           | 2006         | Par           |
| (\$ in millions) | # of credits | Outstanding   | # of credits | Outstanding   |
| Less than \$25   | 21           | \$ 169        | 17           | \$ 96         |
| \$25-\$100       | 3            | 130           | 5            | 286           |
| <b>Total</b>     | <b>24</b>    | <b>\$ 299</b> | <b>22</b>    | <b>\$ 382</b> |

We establish loss reserves on our non-derivative financial guaranty contracts as discussed in Critical Accounting Policies Reserve for Losses. We have allocated non-specific reserves of \$27.7 million on seven intensified surveillance credits (representing an aggregate par amount of \$106.2 million) at June 30, 2007. We expect that we will suffer losses with respect to these insured obligations approximately equal to the total amount reserved. In comparison, we had allocated non-specific reserves of \$20.8 million on two credits at June 30, 2006.

There is one credit at June 30, 2007 for which we have not allocated a specific reserve that, without a positive change, is likely to default in the near-term and could potentially result in a claim. Based on currently available information, we expect that any claim from this credit, to the extent one arises, would range from a minimal amount up to slightly more than 50% of the \$52 million in total par outstanding. The potential amount of any claim is not estimable at this point, in part, because of the availability of a number of strategic alternatives currently under consideration and other mitigating factors that could reduce or delay any payment from us.

There were no direct derivative financial guaranty contracts with \$25 million or greater in exposure identified at June 30, 2007. One of the five intensified surveillance credits with \$25 million or greater in exposure identified at June 30, 2006 was a derivative financial guaranty contract. This credit expired in November 2006, without claim. In accordance with GAAP, we do not establish loss reserves on our derivative financial guaranty contracts. Instead, gains and losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts are derived from internally generated models that take into account both credit and market spreads and are recorded through our condensed consolidated statements of income.

*Policy Acquisition Costs.* Policy acquisition costs were \$11.6 million and \$23.4 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$11.1 million and \$25.1 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. Included in policy acquisition costs for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 were \$4.0 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, of origination costs related to derivative financial guaranty contracts, compared to \$2.8 million and \$6.1 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The costs to originate derivative financial guaranty contracts, unlike traditional financial guaranty insurance, are expensed immediately rather than deferred. The amortization of policy acquisition costs in 2006 includes \$2.4 million related to the termination of one derivative financial guaranty contract.

**Table of Contents**

*Other Operating Expenses.* Other operating expenses were \$12.9 million and \$27.1 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$15.3 million and \$30.1 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. The expense ratio, which includes policy acquisition costs and other operating expenses, was 50.2% and 49.5% for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 compared to 52.4% and 54.4% for the corresponding periods of 2006. Operating expenses for the second quarter of 2007 decreased from the comparable period of 2006 as a result of lower employee costs. Other operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased from the comparable period of 2006 due to lower office equipment and software expense.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense was \$4.5 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 compared to \$4.3 million and \$8.4 million for the corresponding periods of 2006. Both periods include interest on our long-term debt, including the impact of interest-rate swaps, which was allocated to the financial guaranty segment.

*Income Tax Provision (Benefit).* The effective tax rate was 11.2% and 25.1% for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to (15.6)% and 14.7% for the corresponding periods of 2006. The higher tax rates for 2007 reflect higher pre-tax income and a decrease in the ratio of income generated from tax-advantaged investment securities compared to income generated from operations. The tax rate for the second quarter of 2006 reflects an allocation of the reversal of prior years' tax exposures that expired June 30, 2006. This resulted in a tax benefit in the second quarter of 2006.

The gross par originated by our financial guaranty segment for the periods indicated was as follows (in millions):

| Type  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |                 | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |                  |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
|   | 2007                          | 2006            | 2007                        | 2006             |
| <b>Public finance:</b>                                |                               |                 |                             |                  |
| General obligation and other tax supported            | \$ 1,143                      | \$ 611          | \$ 2,818                    | \$ 1,747         |
| Water/sewer/electric gas and investor-owned utilities | 316                           | 207             | 807                         | 838              |
| Healthcare and long-term care                         | 319                           | 444             | 689                         | 970              |
| Airports/transportation                               | 442                           | 203             | 872                         | 268              |
| Education   | 204                           | 188             | 313                         | 338              |
| Other municipal                                       | 86                            | 5               | 157                         | 6                |
| Housing   | 12                            | 45              | 13                          | 50               |
| <b>Total public finance</b>                           | <b>2,522</b>                  | <b>1,703</b>    | <b>5,669</b>                | <b>4,217</b>     |
| <b>Structured finance:</b>                            |                               |                 |                             |                  |
| Collateralized debt obligations                       | 569                           | 5,617           | 11,190                      | 10,908           |
| Asset-backed obligations                              | 176                           | 1,065           | 687                         | 1,485            |
| Other structured                                      | 39                            | 330             | 148                         | 330              |
| <b>Total structured finance</b>                       | <b>784</b>                    | <b>7,012</b>    | <b>12,025</b>               | <b>12,723</b>    |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$ 3,306</b>               | <b>\$ 8,715</b> | <b>\$ 17,694</b>            | <b>\$ 16,940</b> |

The net par originated and outstanding does not differ materially from the gross par originated and outstanding at June 30, 2007 and 2006 because we have not ceded a material amount of our financial guaranty business to reinsurers. The gross par originated includes both direct and assumed reinsurance business. Information regarding gross par originated for our assumed reinsurance business generally lags by one quarter. The reinsurance gross premiums written for any given period are on a one month lag, and therefore, exclude those gross premiums written from the last month of that period and include those gross premiums written from the last month of the immediately preceding period. Therefore, the gross par originated for this business does not precisely correspond to the premiums written in the periods presented.

**Table of Contents**

The following schedule depicts the expected amortization of unearned premiums for our financial guaranty portfolio, assuming no advance refundings, as of June 30, 2007. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay financial guaranty obligations. Unearned premium amounts are net of prepaid reinsurance.

|                  | Ending Net | Unearned        |                 | Total             |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                  | Unearned   | Premium         | Future          | Premium           |
| (\$ in millions) | Premiums   | Amortization    | Installments    | Earnings          |
| 2007             | \$ 646.9   | \$ 51.9         | \$ 23.8         | \$ 75.7           |
| 2008             | 584.7      | 62.2            | 70.8            | 133.0             |
| 2009             | 530.9      | 53.8            | 63.7            | 117.5             |
| 2010             | 482.9      | 48.0            | 49.5            | 97.5              |
| 2011             | 438.3      | 44.6            | 46.2            | 90.8              |
| 2007 2011        | 438.3      | 260.5           | 254.0           | 514.5             |
| 2012 2016        | 254.7      | 183.6           | 121.6           | 305.2             |
| 2017 2021        | 128.0      | 126.7           | 38.0            | 164.7             |
| 2022 2026        | 50.2       | 77.8            | 26.5            | 104.3             |
| After 2027       |            | 50.2            | 40.7            | 90.9              |
| <b>Total</b>     | <b>\$</b>  | <b>\$ 698.8</b> | <b>\$ 480.8</b> | <b>\$ 1,179.6</b> |

The following table shows the breakdown of claims paid and incurred losses for our financial guaranty segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in thousands)        | Three Months Ended |                 | Six Months Ended   |                  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                          | June 30            | June 30         | June 30            | June 30          |
|                          | 2007               | 2006            | 2007               | 2006             |
| <b>Claims Paid:</b>      |                    |                 |                    |                  |
| Trade credit reinsurance | \$ 2,625           | \$ 4,354        | \$ 5,271           | \$ 8,354         |
| Other financial guaranty | 803                | 6,919           | 734                | 6,809            |
| Conseco Finance Corp.    | 3,011              | 4,428           | 6,119              | 8,745            |
|                          | \$ 6,439           | \$ 15,701       | \$ 12,124          | \$ 23,908        |
| <b>Incurred Losses:</b>  |                    |                 |                    |                  |
| Trade credit reinsurance | \$ (8,480)         | \$ 3,244        | \$ (11,616)        | \$ 7,194         |
| Other financial guaranty | 2,290              | 4,039           | (386)              | 8,658            |
| Conseco Finance Corp     |                    |                 |                    | (1,032)(1)       |
| <b>Total</b>             | <b>\$ (6,190)</b>  | <b>\$ 7,283</b> | <b>\$ (12,002)</b> | <b>\$ 14,820</b> |

(1) Resulted from favorable loss development.

Our financial guaranty portfolio includes \$960.4 million of net par outstanding to U.S. residential mortgage-backed securities ( RMBS ) as of June 30, 2007. This exposure is spread among 291 transactions and represents 0.9% of financial guaranty s total net par outstanding of \$110.5 billion as of June 30, 2007. Of this exposure, \$560.7 million, which represents 0.5% of financial guaranty s total net par outstanding as of June 30, 2007, was subprime RMBS exposure.

Our financial guaranty subprime RMBS exposure is spread among 186 transactions with the largest individual exposure being \$48.9 million. 69% of our financial guaranty subprime RMBS exposure has been assumed as reinsurance, while 31% has been insured directly, with no direct subprime RMBS having been directly insured since 2004. As of June 30, 2007, 20% of our financial guaranty subprime RMBS



## Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

exposure is from the 2006 vintage and 13% is from the 2007 vintage, all of which has been assumed as reinsurance from AAA-rated financial guarantors. All of the exposures from these vintages maintain investment grade ratings (63% of which are rated either between A and AAA from one or more of S&P and Moody's). We have no financial guaranty exposure to RMBS tranches that were downgraded or placed on negative watch by Moody's or S&P during their rating actions on RMBS transactions in July 2007.

---

## **Table of Contents**

\$2.5 million of our financial guaranty subprime RMBS exposure (spread over 14 different transactions) is to NIMs, all of which has been assumed as reinsurance from one AAA-rated financial guarantor.

We have limited subprime RMBS exposure through three directly-insured CDOs of asset-backed securities ( ABS ) with an aggregate net par outstanding of \$764.8 million (or 0.7% of financial guaranty's total net par outstanding as of June 30, 2007), all of which were underwritten prior to July 2006. 87% of this net par outstanding is currently rated AAA by S&P and the remaining 13% is rated BBB by S&P. Two RMBS credits in one CDO of ABS transaction, representing \$10.5 million of exposure (or 1.6%) of the \$640.3 million collateral pool for such transaction, were recently placed on Negative Watch for downgrade by S&P. The rating on this transaction remains AAA. We have no reinsurance exposure to CDOs of ABS.

At June 30, 2007, we had minimal financial guaranty exposure to C-BASS and Litton Loan Servicing, a wholly-owned subsidiary of C-BASS, in our insured financial guaranty portfolio. We expect that the levels of subordination in these transactions will be sufficient to avoid losses on our insured exposure under all reasonably likely scenarios.

### **Results of Operations Financial Services**

Net income attributable to the financial services segment for the second quarter and first six months of 2007 was \$27.3 million and \$38.1 million, respectively, compared to \$45.9 million and \$84.6 million for the comparable periods of 2006. Equity in net income of affiliates was \$49.5 million and \$72.3 million, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$72.0 million and \$130.4 million, respectively, for the comparable periods of 2006. Sherman accounted for \$26.3 million and \$55.9 million, respectively, of the total equity in net income of affiliates for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$27.2 million and \$55.5 million in the comparable periods of 2006. The amounts for 2007 reflect strong collections on Sherman's portfolio as well as strong performance by its origination business.

C-BASS accounted for \$23.2 million and \$16.4 million, respectively, of the total equity in net income of affiliates for the second quarter and first six months of 2007, compared to \$44.9 million and \$74.9 million for the comparable periods of 2006. The significant disruption of the subprime mortgage market in the first half of 2007 negatively impacted C-BASS's 2007 results. Throughout 2007, C-BASS experienced significant mark-to-market adjustments on its loan portfolio and a significant write-down of its existing securities portfolio due to wider credit spreads and increased loss assumptions. In addition, C-BASS experienced credit losses due to the financial instability of many subprime mortgage originators. See Business Summary Financial Services .

### **Other**

Singer Asset Finance Company L.L.C. ( Singer ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enhance Financial Services Group Inc. ( EFSG ), the parent company of Radian Asset Assurance, is currently operating on a run-off basis. Singer had been engaged in the purchase, servicing, and securitization of assets, including state lottery awards and structured settlement payments. Singer's run-off operations consist of servicing and/or disposing of Singer's previously originated assets and servicing its non-consolidated special purpose vehicles. The results of this subsidiary are not material to our financial results. At June 30, 2007, we had approximately \$262 million and \$241 million of non-consolidated assets and liabilities, respectively, associated with Singer special-purpose vehicles. Our net investment in these special-purpose vehicles was \$20.6 million at June 30, 2007.

### **Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements**

We guarantee the payment of up to \$25.0 million of a revolving credit facility issued to Sherman, which expires in December 2007. Our guaranty facilitated the issuance and renewal of the facility, which Sherman may use for general corporate purposes. There were no amounts outstanding under this facility at June 30, 2007.

## **Table of Contents**

As part of the non-investment-grade allocation component of our investment program, we have committed to invest \$55 million in alternative investments that are primarily private equity structures, including \$10 million in an investment co-managed by C-BASS. At June 30, 2007, we had unfunded commitments of \$27.7 million. These commitments have capital calls over a period of at least six years, and certain fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses.

### **Investments**

We are required to group assets in our investment portfolio into one of three main categories: held to maturity, available for sale or trading securities. Fixed-maturity securities for which we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and reported at amortized cost. Investments classified as available for sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses (net of tax) reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income. Investments classified as trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses (net of tax) reported as a separate component of income. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we began classifying certain new security purchases as trading securities. Similar securities were classified as available for sale for periods prior to 2006. Effective January 1, 2007, we began classifying convertible securities as hybrid securities. Hybrid securities generally combine both debt and equity characteristics. Prior to 2007, these securities were classified as convertible securities and redeemable preferred stock and unrealized gains and losses were recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. Our transition adjustment related to the adoption of SFAS No. 155 increased retained earnings at January 1, 2007 by \$9.8 million, and reduced accumulated other comprehensive income by the same amount.

For securities classified as either available for sale or held to maturity, we conduct a quarterly evaluation of declines in market value of the securities to determine whether the decline is other-than-temporary.

If the fair value of a security is below the cost basis, and the decline is judged to be other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value through earnings as a realized loss and the fair value becomes the new basis. During the second quarter and first six months of 2007, we recorded approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of charges, related to declines in fair value of securities (mainly small cap value stocks) considered to be other-than-temporary compared to \$1.9 million in both the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2006. At June 30, 2007 and 2006, there were no other investments held in the portfolio that were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Realized gains and losses are determined on a specific identification method and are included in income.

Our evaluation of market declines for other-than-temporary impairment is based on management's case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. We consider a wide range of factors about the security and use our best judgment in evaluating the cause of the decline in the estimated fair value of the security and in assessing the prospects for near-term recovery. Inherent in management's evaluation of the security are assumptions and estimates about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential. Considerations used by us in the impairment evaluation process include, but are not limited to: (i) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been below cost or amortized cost; (ii) the potential for impairments of securities when the issuer is experiencing significant financial difficulties; (iii) the potential for impairments in an entire industry sector or sub-sector; (iv) the potential for impairments in certain economically depressed geographic locations; (v) the potential for impairments of securities where the issuer, series of issuers or industry has suffered a catastrophic type of loss or has exhausted natural resources; (vi) our ability and intent to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for the full recovery of its value to an amount equal to or greater than cost or amortized cost; (vii) unfavorable changes in forecasted cash flows on asset-backed securities; and (viii) other subjective factors, including concentrations and information obtained from regulators and rating agencies.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired (in thousands), aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2007.

| Description of Securities             | Less Than 12 Months |            | 12 Months or Greater |            | Total        |            |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
|                                       | Fair                | Unrealized | Fair                 | Unrealized | Fair         | Unrealized |
|                                       | Value               | Losses     | Value                | Losses     | Value        | Losses     |
| U.S. government securities            | \$ 29,439           | \$ 555     | \$ 31,561            | \$ 1,064   | \$ 61,000    | \$ 1,619   |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | 4,772               | 58         | 14,794               | 483        | 19,566       | 541        |
| State and municipal obligations       | 1,142,459           | 23,200     | 79,171               | 952        | 1,221,630    | 24,152     |
| Corporate bonds and notes             | 38,972              | 1,133      | 32,754               | 1,084      | 71,726       | 2,217      |
| Asset-backed securities               | 189,699             | 4,769      | 95,228               | 3,222      | 284,927      | 7,991      |
| Private placements                    | 5,884               | 132        | 3,588                | 91         | 9,472        | 223        |
| Foreign governments                   | 47,857              | 1,481      | 61,249               | 2,824      | 109,106      | 4,305      |
| Total                                 | \$ 1,459,082        | \$ 31,328  | \$ 318,345           | \$ 9,720   | \$ 1,777,427 | \$ 41,048  |

*U.S. government securities*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investments in U.S. Treasury obligations were caused by interest rate movement. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the par value of the investment. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*U.S. government-sponsored enterprises*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investments in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities were also caused by interest rate movement. The contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of our investment. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*State and municipal obligations*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on our investments in tax-exempt state and municipal securities were caused by interest rate movement. We believe that credit quality did not impact security pricing due to the relative high quality of the holdings (*i.e.*, the majority of the securities were either AAA/Aaa rated bonds, insured, partially pre-refunded or partially escrowed to maturity). Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Corporate bonds and notes*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an unrealized loss position. Unrealized losses for the remaining securities in this category are attributable to changes in business operations, resulting in widened credit spreads. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

**Table of Contents***Asset-backed securities*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an unrealized loss position. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Private placements*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. A majority of the securities remained at an unrealized loss position. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

*Foreign governments*

The unrealized losses of 12 months or greater duration as of June 30, 2007 on the majority of the securities in this category were caused by market interest rate movement. We believe that credit quality did not impact security pricing due to the relative high quality of the holdings (*i.e.*, the majority of the securities were highly-rated governments and government agencies or corporate issues with minimum ratings of single-A). Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a full recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

For all investment categories, unrealized losses of less than 12 months in duration are generally attributable to interest rate increases. All securities were evaluated in accordance with our impairment recognition policy covering various time and price decline scenarios. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

The contractual maturity of securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2007 was as follows:

| (In millions)                                     | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Loss |
|---|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2007  | \$ 9.7     | \$ 9.7         | \$              |
| 2008 - 2011                                       | 225.7      | 228.9          | 3.2             |
| 2012 - 2016                                       | 181.4      | 185.5          | 4.1             |
| 2017 and later                                    | 1,075.7    | 1,101.4        | 25.7            |
| Mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities | 284.9      | 292.9          | 8.0             |
| Total   | \$ 1,777.4 | \$ 1,818.4     | \$ 41.0         |

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We act mainly as a holding company for our insurance subsidiaries and do not have any significant operations of our own. Dividends from our subsidiaries, which have included dividends they have received from our affiliates (C-BASS and Sherman), and permitted payments to us under our tax- and expense-sharing arrangements with our subsidiaries, along with income from our investment portfolio are our principal sources of cash to pay stockholder dividends and to meet our obligations. These obligations include our operating expenses, including merger related expenses, taxes and interest and principal payments on our long-term debt. The payment of dividends and other distributions to us by our insurance subsidiaries are regulated by insurance laws and regulations. In general, dividends in excess of prescribed limits are deemed extraordinary and require insurance

---

**Table of Contents**

regulatory approval. In addition, although we have expense-sharing arrangements in place with our principal operating subsidiaries that require those subsidiaries to pay their share of holding company-level expenses, including interest expense on long-term debt, these expense-sharing arrangements may be changed at any time by the applicable state insurance departments. In addition, our insurance subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends to us, and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders, is subject to various conditions imposed by the rating agencies for us to maintain our ratings. If the cash we receive from our subsidiaries pursuant to expense- and tax-sharing arrangements is insufficient for us to fund our obligations, we may be required to seek additional capital by incurring additional debt, by issuing additional equity or by selling assets, which we may be unable to do on favorable terms, if at all. The need to raise additional capital or the failure to make timely payments on our obligations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, we did not receive any dividends from our operating subsidiaries compared to \$10.0 million received for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Our insurance subsidiaries may be limited in the amount that they may pay in dividends to us during the next 12 months without first obtaining insurance department approval.

C-BASS did not pay us any dividends during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to dividends of \$24.0 million paid to us during the six months ended June 30, 2006. We do not expect to receive any dividends from C-BASS during the remainder of 2007. Sherman paid \$51.5 million and \$60.5 million of dividends to us during the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. All dividends from C-BASS and Sherman are initially distributed to our insurance subsidiaries, and therefore are subject to regulatory limitations, as discussed above. We do not believe that the material impairment to our investment in C-BASS will have a negative effect on the Company's future liquidity position.

We are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for the 1999 through 2005 tax years. To date, the IRS examination remains in the discovery phase and no formal notice of proposed adjustment has been received. However, the IRS has indicated that it opposes the recognition of certain tax losses that were generated through our investment in a portfolio of residual interests in Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits ( REMICs ). The IRS has indicated that it may issue a formal notice of proposed adjustment upon its completion of discovery, which is anticipated to conclude during the third or fourth quarter of 2007. We will contest any proposed adjustment relating to the IRS's opposition of the tax losses in question. Upon receipt of the IRS's proposed adjustment we may make a payment on account with the United States Department of the Treasury in order to avoid the accrual of the above-market-rate interest associated with management's estimate of the potentially unsettled adjustment. We currently anticipate making this payment on account within 30 days of receiving a formal notice of proposed adjustment, and we estimate the cash requirement for such payment to be approximately \$84.0 million. Any ultimate overpayment associated with the payment on account would be recovered through a formal claim for refund process.

Our insurance subsidiaries are permitted to allocate capital resources within certain insurance department and rating agency guidelines by making direct investments.

*Short-Term Liquidity Needs*

Our liquidity needs over the next 12 months include funds for the payment of dividends on our common stock, debt service payments on our outstanding long-term debt, claim payments on our insured obligations, operating expenses, including merger related expenses and potentially, to fund a payment on account related to the IRS investigation described above. We expect to fund these requirements with amounts received under our expense-sharing arrangements or as dividends from our insurance operating subsidiaries, subject to regulatory requirements, which may include dividends to these subsidiaries from our affiliates (C-BASS and Sherman) from working capital, and from borrowings under our credit facility, all of which we expect to be sufficient to make such payments for at least the next 12 months.

**Table of Contents**

Based on our current intention to pay quarterly common stock dividends of approximately \$0.02 per share and assuming that our common stock outstanding remains constant at 80,393,796 shares at June 30, 2007, we would require approximately \$3.2 million to pay our quarterly dividends for the remainder of 2007. We will also require approximately \$46.9 million annually to pay the debt service on our outstanding long-term debt.

Our sources of working capital consist mostly of premiums written by our insurance operating subsidiaries and investment income at both the parent company and operating subsidiary levels. Working capital is applied mainly to the payment of our insurance operating subsidiaries claims, operating expenses and to fund our stock repurchase programs. Cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$229.7 million, compared to \$243.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Positive cash flows are invested pending future payments of claims and other expenses.

We believe that the operating cash flows generated by each of our insurance subsidiaries will provide those subsidiaries with sufficient funds to satisfy their claim payments and operating expenses for at least the next 12 months. In the event that claim payment obligations and operating expenses exceed the operating cash flows generated by our insurance operating subsidiaries, we believe that we have the ability to fund any excess from sales of short-term investments maintained at the parent company. At June 30, 2007, the parent company had cash and liquid investment securities of \$78.6 million, and \$50.0 million of this was used to support C-BASS in the form of a demand note as discussed above. In the event that we are unable to fund excess claim payments and operating expenses through the sale of short-term investments, we may be required to incur unanticipated capital losses or delays in connection with the sale of less liquid securities held by us.

*Long-Term Liquidity Needs*

Our most significant need for liquidity beyond the next twelve months is the repayment of the principal amount of our outstanding long-term debt, a portion of which is due in 2011. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity needs using excess working capital, sales of investments, borrowings under our credit facility or through the private or public issuance of debt or equity securities.

*Reconciliation of Net Income to Cash Flows from Operations*

The following table reconciles net income to cash flows from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

|   | June 30    | June 30    |
|---|------------|------------|
|   | 2007       | 2006       |
| Net income  | \$ 134,550 | \$ 311,843 |
| Increase (decrease) in reserves                                 | 66,563     | (12,772)   |
| Deferred tax provision  | (15,538)   | 85,859     |
| Increase in unearned premiums                                   | 44,101     | 64,404     |
| Increase in deferred policy acquisition costs                   | (10,773)   | (7,298)    |
| Early termination receipts (payments) (1)                       | 27,400     | (68,000)   |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates                                | (72,279)   | (130,378)  |
| Distributions from affiliates (1)                               | 51,512     | 84,409     |
| Gains (losses) on sales and change in fair value of derivatives | 49,892     | (37,721)   |
| Increase in prepaid federal income taxes (1)                    | (51,395)   | (123,790)  |
| Other   | 5,642      | 76,578     |
| Cash flows from operations                                      | \$ 229,675 | \$ 243,134 |

(1) Cash item.

Cash flows from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased from the comparable period of 2006. This decrease was mainly due to an increase in claims paid and lower distributions from affiliates. Cash

## **Table of Contents**

flows from operations for 2007 include \$27.4 million in receipts from the termination of certain financial guaranty derivative contracts, while 2006 includes a \$68.0 million payment in the first six months of 2006 to terminate a derivative financial guaranty contract. We do not expect that net income will greatly exceed cash flows from operations in future periods.

### *Stock Repurchase Programs*

Since September 2002, our board of directors has authorized five separate repurchase programs, including the current 6.0 million share program, for the repurchase, in the aggregate, of up to 21.5 million shares of our common stock on the open market. At June 30, 2007, we had repurchased approximately 20.4 million shares under these programs for a total cost of approximately \$1.0 billion, including 0.4 million shares during the first six months of 2007 at a cost of approximately \$22.8 million. The board did not set an expiration date for this program. All share purchases made to date were funded from available working capital and were made from time to time, depending on market conditions, stock price and other factors. We do not expect to repurchase any additional shares prior to the completion of our merger with MGIC.

We also may purchase shares on the open market to meet option exercise obligations and to fund 401(k) matches and purchases under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan and may consider additional stock repurchase programs in the future.

### *Stockholders' Equity*

Stockholders' equity was \$4.1 billion at June 30, 2007 and at December 31, 2006. Stockholders' equity remained flat as a result of (1) our repurchase of 0.4 million shares of our common stock for approximately \$22.8 million, (2) a decrease in the market value of securities available for sale of \$57.3 million, (3) dividends paid of \$3.2 million and (4) a \$21.2 million reduction as a result of implementing FIN 48, which were partially offset by net income of \$134.6 million and proceeds from issuance of common stock under incentive plans of \$69.5 million.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

SEC guidance defines Critical Accounting Policies as those that require the application of management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments, often because of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and that may change in subsequent periods. In preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. In preparing these financial statements, management has utilized available information including our past history, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments, giving due consideration to materiality. Actual results may differ from those estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may impact comparability of our results of operations to those of companies in similar businesses. A summary of the accounting policies that management believes are critical to the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements is set forth below.

### *Reserve for Losses*

We establish reserves to provide for losses and the estimated costs of settling claims in both the mortgage insurance and financial guaranty segments. Setting loss reserves in both businesses involves significant use of estimates with regard to the likelihood, magnitude and timing of a loss.



**Table of Contents**

In the mortgage insurance segment, reserves for losses generally are not established until we are notified that a borrower has missed two payments. We also establish reserves for associated loss adjustment expenses ( LAE ), consisting of the estimated cost of the claims administration process, including legal and other fees and expenses associated with administering the claims process. SFAS No. 60 Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises, ( SFAS No. 60 ) specifically excludes mortgage guaranty insurance from its guidance relating to the reserve for losses. We maintain an extensive database of claim payment history and use models, based on a variety of loan characteristics, including the status of the loan as reported by its servicer, and macroeconomic factors such as regional economic conditions that involve significant variability over time, as well as more static factors such as the estimated foreclosure period in the area where the default exists, to help determine the likelihood that a default will result in a claim (referred to as the claim rate ), the amount that we will pay if a default becomes a claim (referred to as claim severity ) and based on these estimates, the appropriate loss reserve at any point in time.

With respect to delinquent loans that are in an early stage of delinquency, considerable judgment is exercised as to the adequacy of reserve levels. Adjustments in estimates for delinquent loans in the early stage of delinquency are more volatile in nature than for loans that are in the later stage of delinquency, which generally require a larger reserve. As the delinquency proceeds toward foreclosure, there is more certainty around these estimates as a result of the aged status of the delinquent loan, and adjustments are made to loss reserves to reflect this updated information. If a default cures (historically, a large percentage of defaulted loans have cured before going to claim), the reserve for that loan is removed from the reserve for losses and LAE. This curing process causes an appearance of a reduction in reserves from prior years if the reduction in reserves from cures is greater than the additional reserves for those loans that are nearing foreclosure or have become claims. We also reserve for defaults that we believe to have occurred but that have not been reported to us on a timely basis by lending institutions. All estimates are continually reviewed and adjustments are made as they become necessary. We generally do not establish reserves for loans that are in default if we believe that we will not be liable for the payment of a claim with respect to that default. For example, for those defaults in which we are in a second-loss position, we calculate what the reserve would have been if there had been no deductible. If the existing deductible is greater than the reserve amount for any given default, we do not establish a reserve for the default. Consistent with GAAP and industry accounting practices, we do not establish loss reserves for expected future claims on insured mortgages that are not in default or believed to be in default.

Our model differentiates between prime and other products and takes into account the different loss development patterns and borrower behavior that is inherent in these products, whether we are in a first- or second-loss position and whether there are deductibles on the loan. We use actuarial projection methodologies to produce a range of reserves by product and a midpoint for each product based on historical factors such as ultimate claim rates and claim severity. In determining the amount of reserve to be recorded, we begin with the calculated midpoint and then we evaluate other conditions, such as current economic conditions, regional housing conditions and the reliability of historical data for new products, to determine if an adjustment to the midpoint calculated by the model is necessary.

The following table shows the mortgage insurance range of loss and LAE reserves, as determined by our actuaries, and recorded reserves for losses and LAE, as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

| Loss and LAE Reserves (in millions) | As of June 30, 2007 |          |          | As of December 31, 2006 |          |          |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                     | Low                 | High     | Recorded | Low                     | High     | Recorded |
| Mortgage Insurance Operations       | \$ 676.8            | \$ 793.1 | \$ 746.1 | \$ 592.0                | \$ 693.7 | \$ 653.2 |

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we made a judgment to reserve at a level above the midpoint, given the uncertainty around the ultimate performance of our defaulted products and the potential overpricing in certain housing markets.

**Table of Contents**

We considered the sensitivity on loss reserve estimates at June 30, 2007, by assessing the potential changes resulting from a hypothetical parallel shift in severity and claim rate. For example, assuming all other factors remain constant, for every 1% change in claim severity or claim rate, we estimated a \$6.7 million change in our loss reserves at June 30, 2007.

In the financial guaranty segment, we establish loss reserves on our non-derivative financial guaranty contracts. We establish case reserves for specifically identified impaired credits that have defaulted and allocated non-specific reserves for specific credits that we expect to default. In addition, we establish unallocated non-specific reserves for our entire portfolio based on estimated statistical loss probabilities. As discussed below, the reserving policies used by the financial guaranty industry are continuing to evolve and are subject to change.

The following table shows the breakdown of the reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses for our financial guaranty segment at the end of each period indicated:

|                                | June 30           | March 31          | June 30           |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands)                 | 2007              | 2007              | 2006              |
| <b>Financial Guaranty:</b>     |                   |                   |                   |
| Case reserves                  | \$ 38,742         | \$ 42,018         | \$ 50,820         |
| Allocated non-specific         | 27,706            | 23,500            | 20,750            |
| Unallocated non-specific       | 59,708            | 60,655            | 60,934            |
| <b>Trade Credit and Other:</b> |                   |                   |                   |
| Case reserves                  | 18,387            | 21,859            | 26,742            |
| IBNR (1)                       | 18,733            | 27,739            | 37,929            |
| <b>Total</b>                   | <b>\$ 163,276</b> | <b>\$ 175,771</b> | <b>\$ 197,175</b> |

(1) Incurred but not reported.

Our financial guaranty loss reserve policy requires management to make the following key estimates and judgments:

Setting both case reserves and allocated non-specific reserves requires us to exercise judgment in estimating the severity of the claim that is likely to result from an identified reserving event, which may be any amount up to the full amount of the insured obligation. The reliability of this estimate depends on the reliability of the information regarding the likely severity of the claim and the judgments made by management with respect to that information. Even when we are aware of the occurrence of an event that requires the establishment of a reserve, our estimate of the severity of the claim that is likely to result from that event may not ultimately be correct.

- At June 30, 2007, we had case reserves and LAE reserves on financial guaranty policies of \$38.7 million. Of this amount, \$27.9 million was attributable to a single manufactured housing transaction originated and serviced by Conseco Finance Corp. We have a high degree of certainty that we will suffer losses with respect to this insured obligation equal to the amount reserved, which equals the total amount of the remaining insured obligation. The case and LAE reserves also include \$9.2 million attributable to 23 reinsured obligations on which our total par outstanding is \$25.8 million. These reserves are established based on amounts conveyed to us by the ceding companies and confirmed by us. We do not have any reasonable expectation that the ultimate losses will deviate materially from the amount reserved. The remaining \$1.6 million represents LAE reserves attributable to five insured obligations, partially offset by salvage recoveries on two other insured obligations.
- At June 30, 2007, seven credits were included in our allocated non-specific reserves of \$27.7 million. We expect that we will suffer losses with respect to these insured obligations approximately equal to the amount reserved of \$27.7 million. These credits have a par amount of \$106.2 million.



**Table of Contents**

Our unallocated non-specific reserves are established over time by applying expected default factors to the premiums earned during each reporting period. The expected lifetime losses for each credit are determined by multiplying the expected frequency of losses on that credit by the expected severity of losses on that credit and multiplying this number, the loss factor, by that credit's outstanding par amount. The expected frequency and severity of losses for each credit is generated from three sources—two that are published by major rating agencies and one that is generated by a proprietary internal model—based on the product class, published rating and term to maturity for each credit. We set the expected lifetime losses for each credit at a point in the range between the highest and lowest expected lifetime loss factors generated by the rating agency and internally generated models. The default rates published by rating agencies tend to be very low because we mostly insure investment-grade obligations that, historically, have a very low probability of default. Although the default rate is low, the amount of losses upon default can be very high because we tend to insure large financial obligations. Because of the low incidence of losses on financial guaranty obligations, it is also very difficult to estimate the timing of losses on our insured obligations for which we have not yet established a case reserve or allocated non-specific reserve. The default factors for the six months ended June 30, 2007 approximated 10% of earned premiums on public finance credits and 15% of earned premiums on structured finance credits. As a result of favorable loss development during the past year, during the first six months of 2007, we reversed some unallocated non-specific reserves and lowered the default factor for structured finance credits from 20% to 15%.

Our unallocated non-specific loss reserve at June 30, 2007, was \$59.7 million. The range between the unallocated non-specific reserves that would have resulted from applying the highest and lowest default factors generated by any of the three models was approximately \$25 million to \$63 million, which we believe provides a reasonably likely range of expected losses. None of the product types that we insure accounted for a materially disproportionate share of the variability within that range.

- At each balance sheet date, we also evaluate both the model-generated default factors and our unallocated non-specific reserves against management's subjective view of qualitative factors to ensure that the default factors and the unallocated non-specific reserves represent management's best estimate of the expected losses on our portfolio of credits for which we have not established a case reserve or an allocated non-specific reserve. These qualitative factors include existing economic and business conditions, overall credit quality trends resulting from industry, geographic, economic and political conditions, recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, changes in underwriting policies and procedures and seasoning of the book of business. The macroeconomic factors that we evaluate are outside of our control and are subject to considerable variability. The company-specific factors that we evaluate also require us to make subjective judgments. In addition, a significant change in the size of our portfolio underlying the unallocated non-specific reserves, such as through the expiration of policies or the refunding or recapture of insured exposures, could require an adjustment to the default factors or our level of unallocated non-specific reserves. Our estimates of our reserves for losses and LAE for our financial guaranty segment's other lines of business, mainly trade credit reinsurance, depend upon the receipt of accurate information on claims and loss estimates from ceding companies. In addition, a reserve is included for losses and LAE incurred but not reported (IBNR), on trade credit reinsurance. As the business is now in run-off, the receipt of information from ceding companies is likely to be more sporadic and the setting of reserves will be more reliant on management estimates. We use historical loss information and make inquiries to the cedants of known events as a means of validating our loss assumptions.

Setting the loss reserves in both business segments involves significant reliance upon estimates with regard to the likelihood, magnitude and timing of a loss. The models and estimates we use to establish loss reserves may not prove to be accurate, especially during an extended economic downturn. We cannot be certain that we have correctly estimated the necessary amount of reserves or that the reserves established will be adequate to cover ultimate losses on incurred defaults.

---

**Table of Contents**

On April 18, 2007, the FASB issued an exposure draft Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 60. The proposed statement provides guidance with respect to the timing of claim liability recognition, premium recognition and the related amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, specifically for financial guaranty contracts issued by insurance companies that are not accounted for as derivative contracts under SFAS No. 133. The goal of the proposed statement is to reduce diversity in accounting by financial guaranty insurers, thereby enabling users to better understand and more readily compare insurers' financial statements. The FASB is also expected to examine the appropriate accounting model for other insurance products with similar characteristics, such as mortgage guaranty contracts and trade credit insurance. Final guidance from the FASB regarding accounting for financial guaranty insurance is expected to be issued sometime in late 2007. When the FASB or the SEC reaches a conclusion on these issues, we and the rest of the financial guaranty industry may be required to change some aspects of our accounting policies. Management is unable to estimate at this time what impact, if any, the ultimate resolution of this issue may have on our financial condition or operating results.

*Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity*

We account for derivatives under SFAS No. 133, as amended and interpreted. In general, SFAS No. 133 requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. All derivative instruments are recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as either assets or liabilities, depending on the rights or obligations under the contracts. The interest rate swaps that we have entered into qualify as hedges and are accounted for as fair value hedges. Our forward foreign currency contracts, credit protection in the form of credit default swaps and NIMs do not qualify as hedges under SFAS No. 133, so changes in their fair value are included in current earnings in our condensed consolidated statements of income. Net unrealized gains and losses on credit default swaps and certain other derivative contracts are included in either other assets or accounts payable and accrued expenses on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, an amendment of SFAS Nos. 133 and 140. SFAS No. 155, (i) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, (ii) clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, (iii) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (iv) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and (v) amends FASB Statement No. 140 to eliminate the exemption from applying the requirements of FASB Statement No. 133 on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

We adopted SFAS No. 155 on January 1, 2007. Accordingly, securities that were previously classified as trading securities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets were reclassified to hybrid securities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets on the date of adoption. In addition, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 155, we elected to record convertible securities at fair value and changes in the fair value are recorded as net gains or losses on securities. At adoption, we recorded an after-tax reclassification to retained earnings from other comprehensive income of approximately \$9.8 million, which represented the cumulative adjustment to fair value that had previously been reported as a component of other comprehensive income.

Our NIMs have features that require that we report them as derivatives. We have a model that uses observed market data to set a spread or price related mark on the portfolio. We observe the credit performance of each NIM bond. If the performance is within our expected range, no loss reserve is established. If the performance is worse than expected, we re-evaluate the expected cash flows available for paying interest and principal on the NIM bond using actual performance, and estimate the claim amount that we believe we ultimately may be required to pay. We continually update our analysis for actual performance. Changes in our estimated fair value marks are recorded as gain/loss on derivatives. Because NIM guarantees are not market traded instruments, considerable judgment is required in estimating fair value. The use of different assumptions and/or estimation techniques may have a significant effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

**Table of Contents**

The gains and losses on direct derivative financial guaranty contracts are derived from internally generated models. The gains and losses on assumed derivative financial guaranty contracts are provided by the primary insurance companies. With respect to our direct derivative financial guaranty contracts, estimated fair value amounts are determined by us using market information to the extent available, and appropriate valuation methodologies. For CDOs, credit spreads on individual names in our collateral pool are used to determine an equivalent risk tranche on an industry standard credit default swap index. We then estimate the price of our equivalent risk tranche based on observable market prices of standard risk tranches on the industry standard credit default swap index. When credit spreads on individual names are not available, the average credit spread of companies with similar credit ratings is used. For certain structured transactions, dealer quotes on similar structured transactions are used. Significant differences may exist with respect to the available market information and assumptions used to determine gains and losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts. Considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates are not necessarily indicative of amounts we could realize in a current market exchange due to the lack of a liquid market. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a significant effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

A summary of our derivative information, as of and for the periods indicated, is as follows:

|  | June 30     | December 31 | June 30     |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | 2007        | 2006        | 2006        |
| <b>Balance Sheets (In millions)</b>            |             |             |             |
| <b>Trading Securities</b>                      |             |             |             |
| Cost   | \$          | \$ 66.9     | \$ 64.1     |
| Fair value                                     | 0.2         | 106.3       | 93.7        |
| <b>Derivative financial guaranty contracts</b> |             |             |             |
| Notional value                                 | \$ 56,045.0 | \$ 52,563.0 | \$ 42,526.0 |
| Gross unrealized gains                         | \$ 81.9     | \$ 119.3    | \$ 109.9    |
| Gross unrealized losses                        | 110.8       | 31.7        | 34.7        |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains                  | \$ (28.9)   | \$ 87.6     | \$ 75.2     |

The components of the change in fair value of derivative instruments are as follows:

|   | Three Months Ended |           | Six Months Ended |          |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
|   | June 30            |           | June 30          |          |
|   | 2007               | 2006      | 2007             | 2006     |
| <b>Statements of Income (In millions)</b> |                    |           |                  |          |
| Trading Securities                        | \$ 0.3             | \$ (6.1)  | \$               | \$ 8.7   |
| Derivative financial guaranty contracts   | (103.4)            | (19.2)    | (89.3)           | (16.4)   |
| Net losses                                | \$ (103.1)         | \$ (25.3) | \$ (89.3)        | \$ (7.7) |

The following table presents information at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 related to net unrealized gains or losses on derivative financial guaranty contracts (included in other assets and accounts payable and accrued expenses on our condensed consolidated balance sheets).

|                      | June 30       | December 31 |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                      | 2007          | 2006        |
|                      | (In millions) |             |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 87.6       | \$ 26.2     |
| Net losses recorded  | (89.1)        | (2.2)       |
| Defaults             |               |             |

Edgar Filing: RADIAN GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

|                                       |           |         |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Recoveries                            | (0.1)     | (4.6)   |
| Payments                              | 0.1       | 0.2     |
| Early termination (receipts)/payments | (27.4)    | 68.0    |
| Balance at end of period              | \$ (28.9) | \$ 87.6 |

**Table of Contents**

The application of SFAS No. 133, as amended, could result in volatility from period to period in gains and losses as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of income. These gains and losses result mostly from changes in corporate credit or asset-backed spreads and changes in the creditworthiness of underlying corporate entities or the credit performance of the assets underlying an asset-backed security. Any incurred gains or losses on such contracts would be recognized as a change in the fair value of derivative instruments. We are unable to predict the effect this volatility may have on our financial position or results of operations.

We record premiums and origination costs related to credit default swaps and certain other derivative contracts in premiums written and policy acquisition costs, respectively, on our condensed consolidated statements of income. Our classification of these contracts is the same whether we are a direct insurer or we assume these contracts.

In accordance with our risk management policies, we may enter into derivatives to hedge the interest rate risk related to our long-term debt. As of June 30, 2007, we were a party to two interest rate swap contracts relating to our 5.625% unsecured senior notes due 2013. These interest rate swaps are designed as fair value hedges that hedge the change in fair value of our long-term debt arising from interest rate increases. During 2007 and 2006, the fair value hedges were 100% effective. Therefore, the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments in our condensed consolidated statements of income was offset by the change in the fair value of the hedged debt. These interest-rate swap contracts mature in February 2013.

Terms of the interest rate swap contracts at June 30, 2007 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

|                        |    |                   |
|------------------------|----|-------------------|
| Notional amount        | \$ | 250,000           |
| Rate received Fixed    |    | 5.625%            |
| Rate paid Floating (a) |    | 6.251%            |
| Maturity date          |    | February 15, 2013 |
| Unrealized loss        | \$ | 9,807             |

(a) The June 30, 2007 six-month LIBOR forward rate at the next swap payment date plus 87.4 basis points.

*Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs*

Costs associated with the acquisition of mortgage insurance business, consisting of compensation and other policy issuance and underwriting expenses, are initially deferred and reported as deferred policy acquisition costs. Because SFAS No. 60 specifically excludes mortgage guaranty insurance from its guidance relating to the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, amortization of these costs for each underwriting year book of business is charged against revenue in proportion to estimated gross profits over the estimated life of the policies using the guidance provided by SFAS No. 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments. This includes accruing interest on the unamortized balance of deferred policy acquisition costs. Estimates of expected gross profit, which are driven in part by persistency and loss development for each underwriting year and product type, are used as a basis for amortization are evaluated regularly, and the total amortization recorded to date is adjusted by a charge or credit to our condensed consolidated statements of income if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier estimates should be revised. Considerable judgment is used in evaluating these factors when updating the assumptions. The use of different assumptions would have a significant effect on the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs.

Deferred policy acquisition costs in the financial guaranty business are comprised of those expenses that vary with, and are principally related to, the production of insurance premiums, including: commissions paid on reinsurance assumed, salaries and related costs of underwriting and marketing personnel, rating agency fees, premium taxes and certain other underwriting expenses, offset by commission income on premiums ceded to reinsurers. Acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned



**Table of Contents**

for each underwriting year. The amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs is adjusted regularly based on the expected timing of both upfront and installment-based premiums. The estimation of installment-based premiums requires considerable judgment, and different assumptions could produce different results.

Origination costs for derivative mortgage and financial guaranty contracts are expensed as incurred.

As noted under Reserve for Losses above, the FASB is considering the accounting model used by the financial guaranty industry for deferred policy acquisition costs.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In April 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) FIN 46(R)-6 Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( FSP FIN 46(R)-6 ), which addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( FIN 46R ). The variability that is considered in applying FIN 46R affects the determination of (i) whether the entity is a variable interest entity, (ii) which interests are variable interests in the entity and (iii) which party, if any, is the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity. That variability will affect any calculation of expected losses and expected residual returns, if such a calculation is necessary. FSP No. FIN 46(R)-6 became effective July 1, 2006. The adoption of this FSP did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. It prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We adopted FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 was an increase of approximately \$218 million in current income taxes payable, a decrease of approximately \$197 million in the deferred federal income taxes payable and a corresponding decrease of approximately \$21 million in beginning retained earnings. Our policy for the recognition of interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions is to record such items as a component of our income tax provision.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS No. 157 ). SFAS No. 157 (i) defines fair value, (ii) establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and (iii) expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for all financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management currently is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of SFAS No. 157.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employer's Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans ( SFAS No. 158 ). SFAS No. 158 requires us to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation in our consolidated balance sheets. For a pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement benefit plan, such as a retiree health care plan, the benefit obligation is the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. SFAS No. 158 also requires us to recognize as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and the transition asset or obligation remaining, are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to the recognition and amortization provisions of those statements. In addition, SFAS No. 158 requires us to measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the

**Table of Contents**

date of our fiscal year-end statement of financial position (with limited exceptions), and to disclose in the notes to financial statements additional information about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation. Employers with publicly traded equity securities are required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We adopted this statement effective December 31, 2006. The implementation of SFAS No. 158 had an immaterial affect on our condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 13 of notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Items eligible for fair value measurement option established by this statement are (i) recognized financial assets and financial liabilities (with some exceptions), (ii) firm commitments that would otherwise not be recognized at inception and that involve only financial instruments, (iii) nonfinancial insurance contracts and warranties that the insurer can settle by paying a third party to provide those goods or services, and (iv) host financial instruments resulting from separation of an embedded nonfinancial derivative instrument from a nonfinancial hybrid instrument. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Management currently is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

In April 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 39-1, an amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts*. FSP FIN 39-1 replaces the terms *conditional contracts* and *exchange contracts* with the term *derivative instruments* and permits a reporting entity to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement that have been offset in the statement of financial position. FSP FIN 39-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted. Management currently is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of FSP FIN 39-1.

In September 2005, *Statement of Position (SOP) 05-1, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection With Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts* (SOP 05-1), was issued. This SOP provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in SFAS No. 97, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments*. SOP 05-1 is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of SOP 05-1 on January 1, 2007, did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

The Emerging Issues Tax Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-4, *The Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements* (EITF 06-4). EITF 06-4 addresses whether the postretirement benefit associated with an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement is effectively settled in accordance with SFAS No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions* and Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 12 *Omnibus Opinion 1967* upon entering into such an arrangement. EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Management is considering the impact, if any, that may result from the adoption of EITF 06-4.

The EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-5, *Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance - Determining the Amount that Could be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4* (EITF 06-5). EITF 06-5 addresses (i) whether a policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the

**Table of Contents**

contractual terms of the insurance policy other than the cash surrender value in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4, (ii) whether a policyholder should consider the contractual ability to surrender all of the individual-life policies (or certificates in a group policy) at the same time in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance and (iii) whether the cash surrender value component of the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract in accordance with Technical Bulletin 85-4 should be discounted in accordance with APB Opinion No. 21, Interest on Receivables and Payables, when contractual limitations on the ability to surrender a policy exist. EITF 06-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The impact as a result of our adopting EITF 06-5 effective January 1, 2007, was not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP No. FIN 48-1, Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FSP FIN 48-1 ) which clarifies when a tax position is considered settled under FIN 48. FSP FIN 48-1 is applicable at the adoption of FIN 48, which was January 1, 2007 for us and did not have an impact on our tax position at June 30, 2007.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in the value of financial instruments as a result of changes in market conditions. Examples of market risk include changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, credit spreads and equity prices. We perform, on an annual basis, a sensitivity analysis to determine the effects of market risk exposures on our financial instruments, including in particular, investment securities and certain financial guaranty insurance contracts. This analysis is performed by expressing the potential loss in future earnings, fair values or cash flows of market risk sensitive instruments resulting from one or more selected hypothetical changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, credit spreads and equity prices. Our sensitivity analysis is sometimes referred to as a parallel shift in yield curve with all other factors remaining constant. In addition, on a quarterly basis, we review changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, credit spreads and equity prices to determine whether there has been a material change in our market risk since that presented in connection with our annual sensitivity analysis. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, there were no material changes in our market risk.

As discussed above, since February 2007, the market for subprime mortgages has experienced significant turmoil, with market disruptions accelerating to unprecedented levels beginning in mid-July 2007. Credit spreads were highly volatile during this period briefly stabilizing during the second quarter before widening dramatically again in July 2007. On July 29, 2007, we concluded that a material charge for impairment of our investment in C-BASS was required under GAAP as discussed above under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Business Summary Financial Services.

*Interest Rate Risk*

The primary market risk in our investment portfolio is interest rate risk, namely the fair value sensitivity of a fixed-income security to changes in interest rates. We manage our investment portfolio to minimize exposure to interest rates by monitoring our investments to ensure a proper mix of the types of securities held and to stagger the maturities of fixed-income securities. We estimate the changes in fair value of our fixed-income securities by projecting an instantaneous increase and decrease in interest rates. The carrying value of our total investment portfolio at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, was \$5.9 billion and \$5.7 billion, respectively, of which 78.8% and 88.1%, respectively, was invested in fixed maturities. Our analysts estimate the payout pattern of the mortgage insurance loss reserves to determine their duration, which is measured by the weighted average payments expressed in years. At June 30, 2007, the average duration of the fixed-income portfolio was 5.97 years.

In April 2004, we entered into interest-rate swaps that, in effect, converted a portion of our fixed-rate long-term debt to a variable rate based on a spread over the six-month LIBOR for the remaining term of the debt.

## **Table of Contents**

The market value and cost of our long-term debt at June 30, 2007 was \$747.3 million and \$747.9 million, respectively.

### *Foreign Exchange Rate Risk*

One means of assessing exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on market sensitive instruments is to model effects on reported earnings using a sensitivity analysis. We analyze our currency exposure annually by identifying our investment portfolio denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. There have been no material changes in our foreign exchange rate risk during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

### *Equity Market Price*

Exposure to changes in equity market prices can be estimated by assessing the potential changes in market values on our equity investments resulting from a hypothetical broad-based decline in equity market prices of 10%. At June 30, 2007, the market value and cost of our equity securities were \$306.4 million and \$215.5 million, respectively. There have been no material changes in our equity market price risk during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

### *Credit Derivative Risk*

We enter into credit default swaps, which include certain derivative financial guaranty contracts written through our mortgage insurance and financial guaranty segments. Gains and losses on our credit default swaps are derived from market pricing when available; otherwise, we use internally generated pricing models. Both methods take into account credit and market spreads and are recorded on our condensed consolidated financial statements. See *Critical Accounting Policies Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity* for a discussion of how we account for derivatives under SFAS No. 133.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures. Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis, and that this information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

As previously disclosed in the joint proxy statement/prospectus for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders, on February 8, 2007, a purported stockholder class action lawsuit related to our pending merger with MGIC (the Action) was filed in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, Civil Trial Division in the State of Pennsylvania (the Court of Common Pleas) by Catherine Rubery against Radian and its directors. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the merger consideration to be received by Radian stockholders was inadequate and that the individual defendants, among other things, breached their duties of care, loyalty, good faith and independence to the stockholders in connection with the merger. The complaint seeks class action status as well as injunctive, declaratory and other equitable relief.

On March 19, 2007, defendants removed the Action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (the Eastern District), and on March 26, 2007, defendants moved to dismiss the Action, or, in the alternative, for a briefing schedule in connection with any potential motion by the plaintiff to remand the Action to the Court of Common Pleas. On April 18, 2007, plaintiff moved to remand the Action to the Court of Common Pleas, which motion defendants opposed. On May 31, 2007, the motion to remand was granted, and the case is now back in the Court of Common Pleas, where the parties are preparing initial pleadings. We believe this lawsuit is without merit and intend to continue to vigorously defend the Action.

In addition to the above litigation, we are involved in litigation that has arisen in the normal course of our business. We are contesting the allegations in each such pending action and believe, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

There have been no material changes in the risks affecting us or our subsidiaries as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

(c) The following table provides information about repurchases by us during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 of equity securities that are registered by us pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

| Period                 | Total Number of<br>Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid<br>per Share | Total Number of     | Maximum Number of   |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                        |                                     |                                 | Shares Purchased    | Shares that May Yet |
|                        |                                     |                                 | as Part of Publicly | Be Purchased Under  |
|                        |                                     |                                 | Announced Plans     | the Plans or        |
|                        | Shares Purchased                    | per Share                       | or Programs (1)     | Programs (2)        |
| 4/01/2007 to 4/30/2007 | 234,724                             | \$ 56.72                        | 234,724             | 1,194,308           |
| 5/01/2007 to 5/31/2007 | 92,953                              | 59.47                           | 92,953              | 1,101,355           |
| 6/01/2007 to 6/30/2007 |                                     |                                 |                     | 1,101,355           |
| Total                  | 327,677                             | \$ 57.50                        | 327,677             |                     |

- 
- (1) On February 8, 2006, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to 4.0 million shares of our common stock on the open market under a new repurchase plan. On November 9, 2006, our board of directors authorized the purchase of an additional 2.0 million shares as part of an expansion of the existing stock repurchase program. Stock purchases under this program are funded from available working capital and are made from time to time, depending on market conditions, stock price and other factors. The board did not set an expiration date for this program.
  - (2) Amounts shown in this column reflect the number of shares remaining under the additional 2.0 million share authorization referenced in Note 1 above.

**Table of Contents****Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.**

On May 9, 2007, we held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. At the meeting, the following proposals were submitted to a vote of our stockholders, with the voting results indicated below:

- 1) Adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger between us and MGIC as discussed in Item 2 of Part I above:

| <b>For</b> | <b>Against</b> | <b>Abstain</b> | <b>Broker Non-Vote</b> |
|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 67,923,102 | 275,005        | 93,727         | 4,106,487              |

- 2) Election of ten directors for a term of one year each, to serve until their successors have been duly elected and have qualified or until their earlier removal and resignation:

|                      | <b>For</b> | <b>Withheld</b> |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Herbert Wender       | 71,020,550 | 1,377,771       |
| David C. Carney      | 70,784,730 | 1,613,591       |
| Howard B. Culang     | 72,089,566 | 308,755         |
| Stephen T. Hopkins   | 72,078,996 | 319,325         |
| Sanford A. Ibrahim   | 71,024,933 | 1,373,388       |
| James W. Jennings    | 70,784,708 | 1,613,613       |
| Ronald W. Moore      | 70,786,060 | 1,612,261       |
| Jan Nicholson        | 72,090,183 | 308,138         |
| Robert W. Richards   | 70,778,978 | 1,619,343       |
| Anthony W. Schweiger | 70,787,447 | 1,610,874       |

- 3) Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2007.

| <b>For</b> | <b>Against</b> | <b>Abstain</b> |
|------------|----------------|----------------|
| 72,338,944 | 50,985         | 8,392          |

- 4) Approval of the adjournment of our annual meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies:

| <b>For</b> | <b>Against</b> | <b>Abstain</b> | <b>Broker Non-Vote</b> |
|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 65,425,142 | 6,915,426      | 57,753         | 0                      |

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Exhibit Name</b>                             |
|--------------------|---|
| *11                | Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings |
| *31                | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications                   |
| *32                | Section 1350 Certifications                     |

\* Filed herewith.



**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**Radian Group Inc.**

Date: August 9, 2007

/s/ C. ROBERT QUINT  
**C. Robert Quint**

**Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer**

**Table of Contents**

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Exhibit Name</b>                             |
|--------------------|---|
| *11                | Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings |
| *31                | Rule 13a 14(a) Certifications                   |
| *32                | Section 1350 Certifications                     |

---

\* Filed herewith.