

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2005
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-9360

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

84-1038736
(IRS Employer

Incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

29399 U.S. Hwy 19 North, Suite 320

Clearwater, Florida
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

33761
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (727) 726-8868

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (As defined in Rule 126-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

As of July 18, 2005, approximately 7,607,000 shares of common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 (unaudited) and December 31, 2004</u>	1
<u>Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2005 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Notes to Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	22
<u>Portfolio Summary</u>	25
<u>Occupancy Roll Forward</u>	25
<u>Operating Strategy</u>	25
<u>Results of Operations</u>	27
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	39
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	43
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION:</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	43
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	44

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	(Unaudited)	
ASSETS		
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$24,358 and \$22,803, respectively, including real estate under development of \$70,841 and \$49,360, respectively	\$ 278,518	\$ 248,868
Cash and cash equivalents	1,313	820
Inventory	19,478	16,788
Other assets, net	9,494	9,480
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Assets	\$ 308,803	\$ 275,956
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
LIABILITIES		
Secured long-term notes payable	\$ 125,712	\$ 127,338
Secured short-term financing	30,123	24,644
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	10,237	9,795
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	166,072	161,777
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP	15,312	14,746
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 3,000 shares authorized; 1,000 and 0 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	25,000	
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 12,000 shares authorized; 9,333 and 9,082 shares issued, respectively; 7,607 and 7,356 shares outstanding (excluding treasury stock), respectively	93	91
Additional paid-in capital	288,064	286,649
Notes receivable from officers re common stock purchases		(748)
Deferred compensation re restricted stock	(2,258)	(2,250)
Dividends in excess of accumulated earnings	(156,868)	(157,697)
Treasury stock, 1,726 and 1,726 shares at cost, respectively	(26,612)	(26,612)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	127,419	99,433
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 308,803	\$ 275,956
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
RENTAL PROPERTY OPERATIONS				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 7,626	\$ 7,001	\$ 15,263	\$ 13,969
Golf course operating revenues	194	176	593	579
Total property operating revenues	7,820	7,177	15,856	14,548
Property operating expenses	(2,651)	(2,422)	(5,243)	(4,932)
Recoveries of casualty expenses related to hurricanes	36		176	
Golf course operating expenses	(339)	(305)	(666)	(613)
Total property operating expenses	(2,954)	(2,727)	(5,733)	(5,545)
Depreciation	(858)	(737)	(1,699)	(1,442)
Income from rental property operations	4,008	3,713	8,424	7,561
SALES OPERATIONS				
Home sales revenue	12,171	9,714	20,992	18,994
Cost of home sales	(8,487)	(6,474)	(14,501)	(12,678)
Gross profit on home sales	3,684	3,240	6,491	6,316
Commissions earned on brokered sales	240	227	403	412
Commissions paid on brokered sales	(138)	(122)	(225)	(222)
Gross profit on brokered sales	102	105	178	190
Selling and marketing expenses	(2,596)	(2,455)	(4,881)	(4,798)
Income from sales operations	1,190	890	1,788	1,708
General and administrative expenses	(864)	(904)	(1,293)	(1,815)
Gain on involuntary conversion			237	
Interest and other income	8	27	20	298
Interest expense	(1,436)	(1,374)	(2,969)	(2,717)
Income before minority interest in Operating Partnership	2,906	2,352	6,207	5,035
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(340)	(283)	(738)	(606)
Income from continuing operations	2,566	2,069	5,469	4,429

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest in Operating Partnership		18		32
Net Income	2,566	2,087	5,469	4,461
Cumulative preferred stock dividends	(484)		(678)	
Net Income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2,082	\$ 2,087	\$ 4,791	\$ 4,461
Earnings per common share-basic:				
Income from continuing operations (net of cumulative unpaid preferred dividends)	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.64
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.64
Earnings per common share-diluted:				
Diluted earnings from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.61
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.61
Weighted average common shares outstanding	7,256	6,971	7,259	6,967
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding	7,598	7,236	7,640	7,264
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Notes Receivable Purchases	Deferred Compensation Restricted Stock	Dividends In Excess of Accumulated Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount						
BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2004		\$	9,082	\$ 91	\$ 286,649	\$ (748)	\$ (2,250)	\$ (157,697)	\$ (26,612)	\$ 99,433
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	1,000	25,000			(1,093)					23,907
Restricted stock issued			95	1	343		(344)			
Exercise of options			151	1	2,037					2,038
Equity compensation granted to the Board of Directors			5		120					120
Equity in stock options					32					32
Repayment of notes receivable from officers						748				748
Stock issuance costs					(24)					(24)
Amortization of deferred compensation							336			336
Net income								5,469		5,469
Dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense								(370)		(370)
Dividends paid Preferred Stock								(517)		(517)
Dividends paid Common Stock								(3,753)		(3,753)
BALANCES JUNE 30, 2005	1,000	\$ 25,000	9,333	\$ 93	\$ 288,064	\$	\$ (2,258)	\$ (156,868)	\$ (26,612)	\$ 127,419

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands) (unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2005	2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 5,469	\$ 4,461
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,261	1,871
Amortization of deferred compensation and expense of stock options	312	605
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	738	606
Minority interest attributable to discontinued operations		4
Revenue recognized related to acquired lease obligations	(20)	(40)
Casualty gain	(237)	
Increase in inventory	(2,690)	(2,670)
Change in operating assets and liabilities	1,299	1,133
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,132	5,970
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from sale of real estate		72
Proceeds from hurricane insurance claims	237	
Purchase of real estate	(15,804)	(564)
Purchases of and additions to real estate, including development	(11,711)	(10,085)
Capitalized interest	(2,586)	(1,801)
Hurricane capital replacements	(631)	
Capital replacements and enhancements	(506)	(563)
Additions to fixed assets for taxable subsidiaries classified as other assets	(582)	(306)
Notes receivable advances		(132)
Proceeds from notes receivable	5	11
Net cash used in investing activities	(31,578)	(13,368)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from secured short-term financing	5,479	9,483
Proceeds from secured long-term notes payable		2,132
Principal payments on secured long-term notes payable	(1,626)	(1,450)
Payment of loan costs	(182)	(43)
Payments to escrow funds for capital improvement	(425)	(430)
Collections of escrowed funds	150	108
Collections of notes receivable on common stock purchases	748	24
Proceeds from stock options exercised	2,038	164
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	23,907	
Proceeds from dividends reinvestment program		469
Payment of costs associated with equity issuance	(24)	(22)
Proceeds from OP unit distribution reinvestment program		69

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense	(370)	
Payments of common stock dividends	(3,753)	(3,486)
Payments of preferred stock dividends	(517)	
Payments of distributions to minority interest in Operating Partnership	(486)	(477)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	24,939	6,541
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	493	(857)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	820	2,064
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 1,313	\$ 1,207
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2005

(Unaudited)

A. The Company

American Land Lease, Inc. (ANL and, together with its subsidiaries, the Company) is a Delaware corporation that owns home sites leased to owners of homes situated on the leased land and operates the communities composed of these homes. ANL has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT). ANL s preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Preferred Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANLPRA. ANL s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANL. In May 1997, ANL contributed its net assets to Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) in exchange for the sole general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and substantially all of the Operating Partnership s initial capital.

Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners other than ANL are referred to as OP Units. The Operating Partnership s income is allocated to holders of OP Units based on the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding during the period. The holders of the OP Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Common Stock. After holding the OP Units for one year, the limited partners generally have the right to redeem their OP Units for cash. Notwithstanding that right, the Operating Partnership may elect to acquire some or all of the OP Units tendered for redemption in exchange for shares of Common Stock in lieu of cash. At June 30, 2005, the Operating Partnership had approximately 981,000 OP units outstanding, excluding those owned by ANL, and ANL owned 88% of the Operating Partnership. As of June 30, 2005, based on total home sites, 77% of the Company s portfolio of manufactured home communities is located in Florida, 22% in Arizona and 1% in New Jersey.

B. Presentation of Financial Statements

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2004 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP.

For further information, refer to the statements and notes thereto included in ANL s 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Certain 2004 financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2005 presentation, including the treatment of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

C. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and all majority owned subsidiaries. The minority interest in the Operating Partnership represents the OP Units that are redeemable at the option of the holder. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Real Estate and Depreciation

The Company capitalizes direct costs associated with the acquisition of consolidated properties as a cost of the assets acquired, and such direct costs are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*, or SFAS 141, the Company allocates the purchase price of real estate to land, land improvements, buildings, furniture, fixtures and equipment and intangibles, such as the value of above and below market leases and origination costs associated with the in-place leases. In order to allocate purchase price on these various components, the Company performs the following procedures for properties acquired:

1. Determine the as-if vacant fair value of the physical property acquired;
2. Allocate the as-if vacant fair value among land, land improvements, buildings (based on real estate valuation techniques), and furniture, fixtures and equipment; and
3. Compute the difference between the purchase price of the property and the as-if vacant fair value and allocate such difference to leases in-place (based on the nature of our business, customer relationship value is assumed to be zero), which will represent the total intangible assets or liabilities. The fair value of the leases in-place are comprised of:
 - a. The value of the above and/or below market leases in-place. Above-market and below-market in-place lease values are computed based on the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates and effective lease terms for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the estimated remaining effective terms of the leases.
 - b. Avoided leasing commissions and other costs that were incurred to execute leases.
 - c. The value associated with lost rents during the absorption period (estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand).

The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the estimated remaining expected terms of the associated leases. Amortization expense is recorded over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases for the values associated with avoided leasing commissions, other costs that were incurred to execute leases and the value associated with lost rents during the absorption period. If a resident

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

vacates its home site prior to the effective term of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off.

Table of Contents

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 30 to 45 years for buildings and 5 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Development and Other Capital Expenditure Activities

Significant renovations and improvements that improve or extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life. In addition, the Company capitalizes direct and indirect costs (including interest, taxes and other costs) in connection with the development of additional home sites within its residential land lease communities. Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are expensed as incurred. Interest incurred relating to the development of communities is capitalized during the active development period. The Company's strategy is to master plan, develop, and build substantially all of the home sites in its communities. Accordingly, substantially all projects, excluding finished lots where the home is available for occupancy, are undergoing active development. The Company capitalized interest of approximately \$1,369,000 and \$916,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$2,586,000 and \$1,801,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Rental properties are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation, unless considered impaired. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, the Company will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, the Company would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the property.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Inventory

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary corporation, maintains an inventory of manufactured homes situated within its residential land lease communities. Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, inventory write-downs may be required that could have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. As of June 30, 2005, \$865,000 of total inventory investment of \$19,478,000 was older than one year. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$150,000 and \$93,000, and \$223,000 and \$130,000, respectively, to write carrying amounts down to market value.

Table of Contents

Non-agency MBS and CMBS Bonds

The Company is the beneficiary of certain grantor trusts formed coincident with the securitization and sale of mortgage assets owned by the Company until sold in 1997. The operation of these grantor trusts is vested with the indentured trustee and under the terms of the trust indenture, the Company does not control the management of the trust and the indentured trustee is an unrelated third party. As a result, the operation of the trust is not consolidated in the financial statements of the Company. The Company does not provide any credit enhancements to the trust and does not have contingent liability for the results of operation of the trust.

The Company's non-agency mortgage backed securities bonds (MBS) and commercial mortgage backed securities bonds (CMBS) were acquired at a significant discount to par value. The amortized cost of the non-agency MBS and CMBS bonds was equal to the outstanding principal amount net of unamortized discount and allowances for credit losses. Earnings from non-agency MBS and CMBS bonds were recognized based upon the relationship of cash flows received during the period and estimates of future cash flows to be received over the life of the bonds. During the six month period ended June 30, 2004, the Company received cash distributions from the CMBS bonds equal to \$245,000. These cash flows were in excess of the Company's estimate of future cash flows at December 31, 2003. The effect of this change increased net income (after minority interest in the Operating Partnership) for the six months ended June 30, 2004, by \$183,000, or \$0.03 per basic and diluted share. The Company did not receive any cash distributions for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

The Company previously classified its non-agency MBS and CMBS bonds as available-for-sale, carried at fair value in the financial statements. The Company estimated fair value of the non-agency MBS and CMBS bonds based on the present value of future expected cash flows of the bonds. The fair values of the non-agency MBS and CMBS bonds, based on the underlying assets that secure the bonds, were estimated using the Company's best estimate of the future cash flows, capitalization rates and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. The carrying amount of the MBS and CMBS assets was \$0 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates income from the rental of home sites. The leases entered into by residents for the rental of home sites are generally for terms of one year, and the rental revenues associated with the leases are recognized when earned and due from residents.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from memberships, daily green fees, cart rentals and merchandise sales at golf courses located within its communities. Revenues associated with the activities of the golf courses are recognized when earned and received by the Company.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from the sale of homes situated on home sites owned by the Company. Sales of homes by the Company are recorded upon the closing of the home sale transaction and title passing to the purchaser.

Deferred Financing Costs

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Fees and costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized. Such costs are amortized over the terms of the related loan agreements using the effective interest method and are charged to interest expense.

Table of Contents

Advertising Costs

Costs of advertising are expensed the first time the advertising takes place. Direct response advertising conducted by the Company during the periods was expensed as incurred, as the Company could not define the expected period of future benefits. Advertising expenses were \$616,000 and \$665,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$1,247,000 and \$1,170,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included within property operating expenses and selling and marketing expenses in the statements of income.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including income, asset, and shareholder requirements, and a requirement that it distribute currently at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its shareholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income that it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes and penalties, including taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities conducted through taxable subsidiaries is subject to federal, state, and local income taxes.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to stockholders, differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to differences for U.S. federal tax purposes in the estimated useful lives and methods used to compute depreciation and the carrying value (basis) of the investments in properties, among other things.

At June 30, 2005, the Company's net operating loss (NOL) carryover was approximately \$64,564,000 for the parent REIT entity and \$1,750,000 for the Company's taxable subsidiaries that are consolidated for financial reporting, but not for federal income tax purposes. Subject to certain limitations, the REIT's NOL carryover may be used to offset all or a portion of the Company's REIT taxable income, and as a result, to reduce the amount that the Company is required to distribute to stockholders to maintain its status as a REIT. It does not, however, affect the tax treatment to shareholders of any distributions that the Company does make. The REIT's and the taxable subsidiaries' NOL carryovers are scheduled to expire between 2007 and 2009, and 2020 and 2022, respectively.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 reflect the effect of dilutive, unexercised stock options, both vested and unvested, and unvested restricted stock of 342,000 and 265,000 shares, and 381,000 and 297,000, respectively, without regard to vesting restrictions on options issued. Vested and unvested stock options together with shares issued for non-recourse notes receivable and unvested restricted stock totaling 3,000 and 31,000 and 1,000 and 2,000 shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, have been excluded from diluted earnings per share as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents*Stock-Based Compensation*

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the accounting provisions of SFAS, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or (SFAS 123), as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*, (SFAS 148), and applied the prospective method set forth in SFAS 148 with respect to the transition. Under this method, the Company now applies the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled on or after January 1, 2003, which has resulted in compensation expense being recorded based on the fair value of the stock options. Prior to January 1, 2003, the Company followed Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, (APB 25), and related interpretations in accounting. Under APB 25, because the exercise price of the employee stock options and warrants equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense was recognized. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period (in thousands except for per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income, as reported	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,087	5,469	\$ 4,461
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income				
Restricted stock awards	125	291	213	566
High Performance Shares	166		69	
Stock options	15	11	27	12
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards				
Restricted stock awards	(125)	(291)	(213)	(566)
High Performance Shares	(166)		(69)	
Stock options	(15)	(15)	(27)	(21)
Add: Minority interest in Operating Partnership		2		3
Pro-forma net income	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,085	\$ 5,469	\$ 4,455
Earnings per common share-basic:				
Reported Income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.64
Pro forma Income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.64
Earnings per common share diluted:				
Reported Income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.61
Pro Forma Income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.61

Table of Contents

In addition to stock options, the Company values restricted stock awards that contain a market condition (defined as a vesting condition based in whole or in part upon the Company's stock price) at their fair value at the date of issuance. The fair value of the market condition awards is determined through the use of a financial model that considers the applicable risk free interest rate, expected dividend yield, the volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock and the term over which the performance conditions must be met to result in vesting of the awards. The resulting value is amortized to compensation expense over the service term of the award.

Depreciation

Depreciation of personal property is reported in property operating expenses, golf operating expenses, selling and marketing expenses, or general and administrative expenses, based upon the use of the associated asset. Depreciation expense relating to personal property totaled approximately \$109,000 and \$89,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$215,000 and \$175,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Statement of Cash Flows

The Company considers cash maintained in bank accounts, money market funds and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Non-cash investing and financing activities for the period ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Issuance of Common Stock for:		
Services by employees and directors	\$ 464,000	\$ 2,079,000
Real estate acquired:		
By issuance of OP Units	\$ 314,000	\$ 281,000

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. Management does not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies, related to these proceedings.

Use of Estimates

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents**D. Real Estate**

Real estate at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Land	\$ 64,582	\$ 48,778
Land improvements and buildings	238,294	222,893
	302,876	271,671
Less accumulated depreciation	(24,358)	(22,803)
Real estate, net	\$ 278,518	\$ 248,868

The Company's real estate investment consists of buildings, land improvements, and land. Buildings consist primarily of the clubhouses at its residential land lease communities maintained as amenities for resident use. A majority of the Company's investment in land improvements consists of long-lived assets such as lateral infrastructure at its residential land lease communities including sanitary sewer and storm water collection systems, potable water supply systems, roads and walkways. The balance of land improvements consists of assets with shorter lives such as marinas, fencing, swimming pools, spas, shuffleboard courts, tennis courts and other resident amenities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company acquired a 260-acre tract of land in Micco, Florida, south of Melbourne, for an aggregate price of approximately \$15,700,000. The land will be used to develop a new senior community for the Company.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004, the Company sold three home sites for total consideration of approximately \$72,000 to third parties.

E. Casualty Events

In August and September 2004, several of the Company's properties were impacted by the four hurricanes that traversed central Florida. Hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne damaged community amenities and resident homes. At December 31, 2004, the Company had additional claims with its insurer related to recoveries of damages caused during the hurricanes in 2004 that were not included in the accounts of the Company. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company was successful in obtaining additional proceeds from its insurer. The Company received an additional \$682,000 in proceeds related to damages that occurred in 2004. During the period ended June 30, 2005, the Company recognized a gain of \$209,000, net of minority interest in the Operating Partnership, as a result of the receipt of insurance proceeds of approximately \$237,000. In addition, the Company recognized total recoveries of \$176,000, or \$155,000 net of minority interest in the Operating Partnership, which had previously been expensed at December 31, 2004. *Accounting for Contingencies*, (SFAS No. 5) requires that no gain contingency be recorded until realization of proceeds from insurance claims. The Company has recorded its casualty gain in accordance with SFAS No. 5 and has additional claims with its insurer related to recoveries of damages caused during the hurricanes in 2004. If the Company is successful in obtaining additional insurance proceeds from its insurer, the Company will record additional casualty gain in the period that the insurance proceeds are realized. In addition, the Company also has additional claims related to expense reimbursements from its insurer. If the Company is successful in obtaining additional expense reimbursements from its insurer, the Company will record recoveries of

casualty expenses in the period that the recoveries are realized.

Table of Contents**F. Home Sales Business**

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary corporation, owns an inventory of homes situated on developed vacant sites within its portfolio of residential land lease communities. In addition, the Company owns undeveloped land that is contiguous to existing occupied communities. The Company's home sales business seeks to facilitate the conversion of this inventory of unleased land into leased sites with long-term cash flows. The Company's home sales business closed sales of 110 and 103 new homes for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, an increase of 6.8% over the prior year period, and 187 and 194 new homes for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, a decrease of 3.6% over the prior year period.

G. Discontinued Operations

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of* (SFAS 144), to determine when a long-lived asset is classified as held for sale, and it provides a single accounting model for the disposal of long-lived assets. The Company reports as discontinued operations real estate assets held for sale (as defined by SFAS 144) and real estate assets sold. All results of these discontinued operations, less applicable income taxes, are included in a separate component of income on the consolidated statements of income under the heading discontinued operations. This change has resulted in certain reclassifications of 2004 financial statement amounts.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Discontinued Operations:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$	\$ 84	\$	\$ 163
Property operating expenses		(59)		(119)
Depreciation		(4)		(8)
Income from discontinued operations before loss on disposition of discontinued operations		21		36
Loss on disposition of discontinued operations				
Income from discontinued operations before minority interest		21		
Minority interest attributed to discontinued operations		(3)		(4)
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$	\$ 18	\$	\$ 32

As of December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2005, the Company did not have any assets classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents**H. Secured Long-Term Notes Payable**

The following table summarizes the Company's secured long-term notes payable (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Fixed rate, ranging from 6.5% to 8.2%, fully amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2018 through 2020	\$ 65,292	\$ 66,662
Fixed rate, ranging from 5.7% to 7.8%, partially amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2007 through 2014	34,792	35,048
Variable rate, at LIBOR plus 300 basis points with a 5.5% floor, non-recourse notes maturing in 2005 and 2007	10,613	10,613
Variable rate, at LIBOR plus 250 basis points, non-amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing in 2013	15,015	15,015
	\$ 125,712	\$ 127,338

I. Secured Short-Term Financing

The Company has a revolving line of credit with a bank with a total commitment of \$16,000,000 that bears interest at thirty-day LIBOR plus 200 basis points (5.22% at June 30, 2005). The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie, Lake, and Pasco Counties, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona with a net book value of approximately \$35,323,000. The revolving line of credit matures in December 2006. At June 30, 2005, \$10,194,000 was outstanding and \$5,806,000 was not drawn under the revolving line of credit. The availability of funds to the Company under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. The financial covenants of the line of credit require the Company to maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) on a trailing twelve-month basis to pro forma annual debt service obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than 1.0 to 1.0 on properties securing the line of credit, to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of 2.0 to 1.0, among others. Based upon the application of these covenants as of June 30, 2005, \$16,000,000 was available to the Company. The Company was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements at June 30, 2005.

On February 4, 2005, the Company obtained a term loan with a bank with a total commitment of \$11,000,000 that bears interest at thirty-day LIBOR plus 200 basis points (5.22% at June 30, 2005). The term loan matures on August 4, 2005 and is secured by real property and improvements located in Brevard County, Florida with a net book value of approximately \$16,424,000. At June 30, 2005, \$1,000,000 was outstanding under the term loan.

The Company has a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a credit facility of \$25,000,000 with a variable interest rate linked to the prime rate and spreads varying from 1.5% to 4.5%, depending on the manufacturer and age of the inventory. Individual advances mature as early as 360 days or have no stated maturity, based upon the manufacturer. Amounts outstanding are nonrecourse to the Company for the period of time the financed home is subject to a repurchase agreement with the manufacturer of the home. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in the Company's residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$17,406,000. At June 30, 2005, \$18,929,000 was outstanding, of which all was non-recourse to the Company. Approximately \$6,071,000 was available under the floor plan credit facility.

Table of Contents

J. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is party to various legal actions resulting from its operating activities. These actions are routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by liability insurance, and none of which are expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

The Company enters into various construction contracts with third parties to develop subdivisions within the Company's existing portfolio of residential land lease communities. The unpaid balance of these contracts remaining at June 30, 2005 is approximately \$16,658,000.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company's outstanding purchase obligations with manufacturers of homes to be constructed in the Company's communities totaled \$5,559,000.

In connection with the acquisition of a residential land lease community, the Company entered into an earn-out agreement with respect to 142 unoccupied home sites. The Company advances an additional \$16,500 pursuant to the earn-out agreement for each newly occupied home site either in the form of cash or 860 OP Units, as determined by the seller. The Company paid \$66,000 and \$166,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$314,000 and \$199,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, in cash and OP Units for newly occupied home sites that was recorded as real estate. At June 30, 2005, there were 10 unoccupied home sites subject to the earn-out agreement.

K. Segment Reporting

The Company has two reportable segments: rental property (ownership of land leases, land development, investment acquisition and disposition) and home sales (sale of homes, both new and used, to be sited on land owned by the Company). The rental property segment consists of residential land lease communities that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of land to residents that are unrelated to the Company. The home sales segment sells manufactured homes to customers that are unrelated to the Company. The homes sold by the home sales segment are situated on land within the Company's portfolio of rental property. The customers of the home sales business become residents of the Company's rental property segment coincident with the sale of a home, at which time the customer enters into a lease with the rental property segment. No revenues are generated from transactions with other segments and no single resident or customer contributed 10% or more of total revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.

Non-segment revenue used to reconcile total revenue consists of interest income and other income. Non-segment assets used to reconcile to total assets include cash and cash equivalents, cash in escrows, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, investments, deferred charges and other assets. Overhead expenses, such as administrative expenses, are allocated to each segment based upon management's best estimate of the resources utilized in the management and operations of each segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note C.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (SFAS 131) requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is comprised of its executive senior management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business. Specifically, the Company's chief operating decision makers assess and measure segment operating activities based on contribution margins from each segment.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Capital additions to:				
Real estate		\$ 7,591	\$	\$ 7,591
Capital replacements	real estate	127		127
Capital replacements	other assets	75		75
Other assets		18	96	7
		<u>18</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>7</u>
Total		\$ 7,811	\$ 96	\$ 7
		<u>\$ 7,811</u>	<u>\$ 96</u>	<u>\$ 7</u>

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Other assets	38	260	8	306
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ 13,756	\$ 260	\$ 8	\$ 14,024
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

L. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The aggregate fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt as of June 30, 2005 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of our variable rate secured long-term debt approximates its carrying value.

Table of Contents

For the Company's fixed rate secured long-term debt, fair values have been based on estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the calculated estimates of fair value cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the Company's secured long-term notes payable was \$132,253,000 and \$133,144,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$125,712,000 and \$127,338,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

M. Stock and Dividends

Officer Stock Loans

In previous years, the Company had provided loans to some of its executive officers in an amount equal to the total cash required to purchase Common Stock in the Company at the then prevailing market prices. These loans had a 10-year maturity, were 25% recourse to the executive officers, bore interest at 7.5% and were secured by the stock acquired with the proceeds from the loan. As of June 30, 2005, the two loans made to officers secured by Common Stock were repaid in full and principal payments made on these obligations were \$437,000 and \$9,000 and \$748,000 and \$24,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In compliance with current regulations, the Company has not made loans to executive officers since January 1, 2001.

Stock-based Compensation Correction

During the course of the Company's review of its application of SFAS 123, management determined that the accounting for certain aspects of its accounting for stock-based compensation was in error. The Company had previously valued certain awards of performance-based restricted stock at the market value of the Company's common stock at the date of issuance. These awards have been determined to be target stock price awards that should have been recorded at fair value on the date of issuance. In accordance with SFAS 123, the Company has estimated the value of the High Performance Stock Shares (the "HPS Shares") awards using a valuation model which considers the applicable risk-free interest rate, expected dividend yield, the volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock and the term over which the performance conditions must be met to result in vesting of the awards. Since the estimate of fair market value is less than the market price at issuance, the amount of expense will be reduced thereby increasing net income. In addition, the Company had previously treated dividends paid on non-vested restricted stock as additional compensation expense until the vesting condition was satisfied. Under SFAS 123, only the dividends paid on non-vested awards that are not expected to vest should be accounted for as additional compensation expense.

Following this review and in consultation with its external auditors, the Company corrected these errors to conform with the provisions of SFAS 123 for valuing target stock price awards and to reverse previously recorded compensation expense related to the target stock price awards and dividends paid on unvested awards that are expected to vest. The correction relates solely to accounting treatment. It does not affect the Company's historical or future cash flows and the impact on the Company's current or prior years' earnings per share, cash from operations and stockholders' equity is immaterial.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock

The Company issued approximately 15,000 shares of restricted stock to members of management during the six months ended June 30, 2005. The restricted stock was issued at the fair value of the Common Stock on the date of issuance. The restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to a risk of forfeiture within the vesting period. Vesting period of the restricted stock issued is four years. The fair value of the restricted stock is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period. In addition to the ratable amortization of fair value over the vesting period, dividends paid on unvested shares of restricted stock which are not expected to vest are charged to compensation expense in the period paid.

The Company has made grants of restricted stock awards whereby the Company issued HPS Shares under the terms of the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan. Dividends are paid on the HPS Shares in the same amounts and at the same time as dividends are paid on outstanding Common Stock. In furtherance of the Company's goal of making share ownership the primary motivation of its senior management team, the Company has made grants of restricted stock, each of which vest over a three- year period, as described below. Future grants of performance based restricted stock and the terms thereof will be subject to the approval of the Board of Directors.

As described below, the HPS Shares vest based upon the extent, if any, that the total return realized by shareholders exceeds the ten-year average total return of the Equity REIT Index, as reported by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). Total return is defined as the total of the closing price at year-end plus any dividends paid less the closing price for the prior year-end. The total return for the Company is measured over a three-year period that ends on the final valuation date. To the extent that shares are not vested as of the final valuation date, such shares are forfeited and are returned to the Company. Vesting is achieved ratably on the final valuation date to the extent that excess value has been realized. In order for management to earn vesting in all of the HPS Shares for a given final valuation date, the actual total return to shareholders for the three-year period is required to exceed the Equity REIT Index total return by 5 percentage points.

The 2005 HPS Share grant was 80,000 shares with a final measurement date of December 31, 2007. The Equity REIT Index average total return over the trailing ten years as of December 31, 2004 was 14.81%. For the 2005 HPS Share grant to fully vest, the actual total return over the three-year period is required to be 19.81%. If the actual total return is between 14.81% and 19.81% then a ratable portion of the HPS Shares would vest (for example, one half of the HPS Shares would vest if the actual total return is 17.31%). If the actual total return does not exceed 14.81%, all HPS Shares would be forfeited, but none of the dividends paid during the three-year period would be forfeited.

Preferred Stock

On February 23, 2005 and March 2, 2005, the Company sold 900,000 and 100,000 shares, respectively, of newly created 7.75% Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Class A Preferred Stock) in a registered public offering generating net proceeds of approximately \$23,907,000, net of offering costs of \$1,093,000. The net proceeds from these issuances were used to repay indebtedness including amounts outstanding under a promissory note incurred on February 4, 2005 in connection with the acquisition of property in Micco, Florida and the Company's revolving line of credit. Holders of the Class A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive quarterly dividend payments of \$0.48 per share, equivalent to \$1.94 per share on an annual basis, or 7.75% of the \$25 per share liquidation preference. Class A Preferred Stock is senior to Common Stock as to dividends and

Table of Contents

liquidation. Upon the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up, before payments of distributions are made to any holders of the Company's Common Stock, the holders of the Class A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive a liquidation preference of \$25 per share, plus accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends. Each share of Class A Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company's option beginning February 23, 2010 for cash in the amount of \$25 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

The Company deducts cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends from net income to arrive at income available to common stockholders. The Company deducted \$484,000 and \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$678,000 and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, related to cumulative unpaid preferred stock dividends.

Dividends

The Company's dividend is set quarterly by the Company's Board of Directors and is subject to change or elimination at any time. The Company paid quarterly dividends on Common Stock of \$0.25 per share, totaling \$1,901,000 and \$1,747,000 and \$3,753,000 and \$3,486,000 respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company paid preferred stock dividends of \$517,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. There was no preferred stock issued in 2004.

N. Recent Accounting Developments

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, (APB 20) and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. The statement requires a voluntary change in accounting principle to be applied retrospectively to all prior period financial statements so that those financial statements are presented as if the current accounting principle had always been applied. APB 20 previously required most voluntary changes in accounting principle to be recognized by including in net income of the period of change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. In addition, SFAS 154 carries forward without change the guidance contained in APB 20 for reporting a correction of an error in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made after January 1, 2006, with early adoption permitted. Based upon preliminary review, the Company does not anticipate that the adoption of FAS 154 will have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123 (R)) as a replacement to FASB Statement No. 123 *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* (Statement 123), which the Company adopted in 2003 using the prospective method of transition as described therein. FAS 123 (R) requires all share-based payment to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on the fair-value method as defined in Statement 123. In addition to its prospective application, compensation expense is required to be recognized over the remaining vesting period for the unvested portion of outstanding awards granted prior to the effective date. The effective date is at the beginning of the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2005. The measurement and recognition provisions for FAS 123 (R) that apply to our stock option plans are similar to those currently being

Table of Contents

followed by us for awards granted after January 1, 2003. The primary change in expense recognition, which also applies to unvested restricted stock awards, relates to the treatment of forfeitures. Under FAS 123 (R), expected forfeitures are required to be estimated in determining periodic compensation expense, whereas we currently recognize forfeitures as they occur. Upon adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company will estimate forfeitures of unvested awards of stock options and restricted stock and record a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle to reflect the compensation expense that would not have been recognized in prior periods had forfeitures been estimated prior to the date of adoption. The Company is required to adopt FAS 123 (R) as of January 1, 2006, although early adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, our periodic compensation expense will decrease due to our estimate of expected forfeitures, primarily on unvested restricted stock. Based on preliminary estimates, the Company does not anticipate that the adoption of FAS 123 (R) will have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FAS 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*. This Statement amends the guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. That statement is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. The guidance in that Opinion, however, included certain exceptions to that principle. This Statement amends Opinion 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. The Company has not historically entered into a significant level of nonmonetary transactions and therefore does not expect that adoption of this standard will impact its financial position or results unless nonmonetary transactions are utilized in the future.

O. Subsequent Events

The Company's dividend is set quarterly and is subject to change or elimination at any time. On July 27, 2005, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per share of Common Stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, payable on August 26, 2005 to shareholders of record on August 12, 2005.

On July 27, 2005, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.48 per share of Class A Preferred Stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, payable on August 31, 2005 to shareholders of record on August 12, 2005.

On July 1, 2005, the Company modified its floor plan facility with its current lender used to finance the Company's inventory of homes. The credit facility was modified to decrease the current variable interest rate to an indexed prime rate with spreads varying from 0.5% to 3.5%, a reduction of 1% from the previous terms. The facility was also modified to include amounts outstanding as 10% recourse to the Company for the period of time the financed home is subject to a repurchase agreement with the manufacturer of the home.

On July 27, 2005, the Company extended the maturity of the term loan with a total commitment of \$11,000,000 to November 4, 2005. No other terms or conditions of the loan were modified.

On July 29, 2005, the Company issued a \$2.2 million fourteen-year term, non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.78%. The proceeds will be used to repay existing debt and to continue development of our residential land lease communities.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Exchange Act, as well as information communicated orally or in writing between the dates of these SEC filings, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements may include projections relating to our cash flow, dividends, anticipated returns on real estate investments and opportunities to acquire additional communities. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include: general economic and business conditions; interest rate changes; financing and refinancing risks; risks inherent in owning real estate or debt secured by real estate; future development rate of home sites; competition; the availability of real estate assets at prices which meet our investment criteria; our ability to reduce expense levels, implement rent increases, use leverage and other risks set forth in our SEC filings. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the risk factors described in the documents we file from time to time with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that of our significant accounting policies (see Note C to the condensed consolidated financial statements), the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation, unless considered impaired. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, we will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. In the event the property is under development, the estimate of future cash flows includes all future expenditures necessary to develop the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, we would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the property.

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include changes in the national, regional and local economic climates; local conditions, such as an oversupply of residential land

Table of Contents

lease properties or a reduction in the demand for our residential land lease properties; competition from other housing sources including single and multifamily properties; plus changes in market rental rates. Additional factors that may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our development properties include regulatory changes that impact the number of home sites that can be built on our undeveloped land, changes in projected costs to construct new subdivisions in our communities and regulatory changes made by local, regional, state or national authorities. Any adverse changes in these factors could cause impairment in our real estate.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize direct and indirect costs (including interest, real estate taxes, and other costs) in connection with initial capital expenditures, capital enhancements, and capital replacements, as well as similar spending for development and redevelopment of our properties. Indirect costs that are not capitalized, including general and administrative expenses, are charged to expense as incurred. The amounts capitalized vary with the volume, cost and timing of these activities and, especially, with the pace of development and redevelopment activities. As a result, changes in the volume, cost and timing of these activities may have a significant impact on our financial results.

The most significant capitalized cost is interest. We capitalize interest when the following three conditions are present: (i) expenditures for the asset have been made, (ii) activities necessary to get the asset ready for its intended use are in progress and (iii) interest cost is being incurred. Our determination of the activities in progress for a development property is subject to professional judgment. The most significant judgment is the determination to capitalize interest relating to the ownership of land being developed as new home sites. In many cases, the development activity is expected to take place over several years and in multiple phases. It is our conclusion that the entirety of each parcel is under development and is a qualifying asset. Accordingly, interest is capitalized with respect to the entire parcel until such time as development activities cease or the individual home site is ready for its intended use. We regularly review the amount of capitalized costs in conjunction with our review of impairment of long-lived assets. Based on the level of development activity for the period ended June 30, 2005, if our development activities decrease such that 10% of our assets qualifying for capitalization of interest are no longer qualified, the amount of capitalized interest would have been reduced by \$141,000. Reducing capitalized interest would increase interest expense, resulting in lower net income, which would be offset in future periods by lower depreciation expense.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt as of June 30, 2005 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of our variable rate secured long-term debt approximates carrying value. For the fixed rate secured long-term debt, fair values have been based upon estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the Company's secured long-term notes payable was \$132,253,000 and \$133,144,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$125,712,000 and \$127,338,000 at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents

Rental Property Depreciation

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 30 to 45 years for buildings and 5 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Inventory

Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, if customer preferences change, or if material improvements are made by suppliers that are preferred by our customers compared to inventory we own, inventory write-downs may be required. Any such write-downs may have a significant impact on our financial results. On a quarterly basis, we review each home in inventory that is older than one year and evaluate our carrying amount versus recent offers, comparable sales, and our asking price in order to derive an estimate of its market value. In the event that the carrying amount exceeds our estimate of market value, less a normalized margin, we record a write-down of the carrying amount as a charge to the cost of home sales in the current period. As of June 30, 2005, \$865,000 of our total inventory of \$19,478,000 was older than one year. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 we recorded charges of \$150,000 and \$93,000, and \$223,000 and \$130,000, respectively to write down carrying amounts to market value. If the Company's estimate of fair market value was overstated by 10%, the Company would record an additional write down to fair market value, less a normalized margin, of \$31,000 based upon the carrying value of inventory as of June 30, 2005.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is recorded in certain instances at the fair value of awards at the date of issuance. The determination of fair value requires the application of complex financial models and assumptions. The fair value assigned to awards at the date of issuance determines the amount of compensation expense that we will recognize over the vesting period for the award. There are alternative valuation models that may result in a valuation for awards that differs for our assessment of fair value. The application of alternative models or different valuation assumptions within the models we use will result in a fair value that is greater than or less than the fair value we assign to awards. To the extent that the alternative fair value is greater than the fair value we assign to awards, compensation expense over the vesting term of the award would increase, resulting in lower net income.

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. We do not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies related to these proceedings. The amount of loss contingencies involving litigation, for which a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated, is determined through consultation with legal counsel representing the Company. Our evaluation of loss contingencies arising from litigation, claims and assessments, considers unasserted claims and associated estimates of loss, if any, are provided to the extent probable and reasonably estimable.

Table of Contents**Portfolio Summary**

	Operational	Developed	Undeveloped	RV	Total
	Home sites	Home sites	Home sites	Sites	
As of December 31, 2004	6,931	1,101	960	129	9,121
Properties developed		192	(192)		
New lots purchased		2	533		535
Lots sold					
New leases originated	156	(156)			
Adjust for site plan changes		(14)	14		
As of June 30, 2005	7,087⁽¹⁾	1,125	1,315	129	9,656

(1) As of June 30, 2005, 6,743 of these operational home sites were occupied.

Occupancy Roll Forward

	Occupied	Operational	Occupancy
	Home sites	Home sites	
As of December 31, 2004	6,617	6,931	95.5%
New home sales	184	156	
Used home sales	7		
Used homes acquired	(26)		
Lots sold			
Homes constructed by others	6		
Site plan changes	2		
Homes removed from previously leased sites ⁽¹⁾	(47)		
As of June 30, 2005	6,743	7,087	95.1%

(1) Of this total, approximately 43% are due to resident relocation and 57% are due to Company initiated vacation of the leased site in anticipation of future redevelopment.

Operating Strategy

In addition to reviewing financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP, we assess the performance of the business by using several generally accepted industry financial measures, including funds from operations (FFO) which is defined below. We believe this measure provides useful information regarding our performance, but this measure should not be considered as an alternative to net income or net cash flow from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

The Board of Governors of NAREIT defines FFO as net income or loss, computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains and losses from debt restructuring and sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We calculate FFO beginning with the NAREIT definition and include adjustments for the minority interest in the Operating Partnership owned by persons other than us. The NAREIT indicated, as of October 1, 2003, that impairment losses should be subtracted in the calculation of FFO. In the table presented below, we have complied with the October 1, 2003 guidance and have included impairment charges, if any, as a deduction in calculating FFO.

FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as calculated in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of real estate investment trusts, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other real estate investment trusts.

We use FFO in measuring our operating performance and believe that it is helpful to investors because we believe that (i) the items that result in a difference between FFO and net income do not impact the ongoing operating performance of a real estate company, (ii) FFO captures real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciating assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property, and (iii) other real estate companies, analysts and investors utilize FFO in analyzing the results of real estate companies.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, our FFO was (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income attributable to common stockholders ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,082	\$ 2,087	\$ 4,791	\$ 4,461
Adjustments:				
Cumulative unpaid preferred stock dividends	484		678	
Minority interest in operating partnership	340	283	738	606
Real estate depreciation	858	737	1,699	1,442
Discontinued operations:				
Real estate depreciation		4		8
Minority interest in operating partnership attributed to discontinued operations		3		4
Casualty gain			(237)	
Funds From Operations	\$ 3,764	\$ 3,114	\$ 7,669	\$ 6,521
Preferred stock dividends	(484)		(678)	
Funds From Operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,114	\$ 6,991	\$ 6,521
Weighted average common shares, common shares equivalents and OP Units outstanding	8,578	8,190	8,619	8,218

(1) Represents the numerator for earnings per common share calculated in accordance with GAAP

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, net cash flows were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2005	2004
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 7,132	\$ 5,970
Cash used in investing activities	\$ (31,578)	\$ (13,368)
Cash provided by financing activities	\$ 24,939	\$ 6,541

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE
THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005**

Executive Overview

American Land Lease is in the business of owning and generating residential land leases. Our current business focuses on the ownership and generation of these leases within adult or retirement (55+) communities (95% of our total home sites at June 30, 2005); we focus on these communities for the following reasons:

Current demographic projections predict that the customer base for this asset class will grow for the next 20+ years.

The residents have established credit histories and therefore are able to obtain favorable financing or pay cash for their home making significant equity investments to improve the leasehold estate that secures our lease.

The residents, as a result of their retired or semi-retired status, are less affected by current economic changes thereby making their continued rental payments more stable and the continued sales of homes in our communities more consistent year to year.

We are able to leverage our current marketing, brand, and management expertise.

We seek growth through home sales to fill unoccupied home sites in current subdivisions, development of our land portfolio to increase the inventory of available home sites, and the selective acquisition of communities and development opportunities.

This business model presents a number of challenges and risks for our management. Several of these risks are:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

The continued development of additional home sites is a capital-intensive activity that requires substantial investments to be made in advance of returns.

Older homes may depreciate or become obsolete.

Changes in the interest rate environment may have an adverse impact on our new home sales customers' ability to realize sufficient proceeds from the sale of their present homes, therefore limiting their financial ability to acquire new homes in our communities.

The cost of developing additional home sites and communities may increase at a rate or to a level that may exceed the costs projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company.

Based upon the above and other factors, the rate of sale of new homes may be substantially slower than projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company, resulting in returns on investment materially different from original projections.

The Company contracts with third parties for key elements of its home construction process. The supply of labor for the Company's building trade subcontractors has been adversely impacted by the demand for residential housing, thereby extending cycle times for home completion. The extension of cycle time may negatively impact the Company's business through higher carrying costs for its inventory (principally interest and insurance expense), lower rental revenues as a result of delayed home closings and missed sales opportunities as a result of uncompleted unsold homes.

There are additional challenges that might occur as a result of the 2004 hurricane season:

The costs of hurricane clean up and repair may not be fully covered by insurance policies, resulting in higher than projected capital spending.

Our residents' homes may have damage that exceeds the insurance proceeds available under homeowner's policies, thereby limiting residents' ability to restore their homes to their pre-hurricane condition. In some instances, this may result in a temporary loss of occupancy. This occupancy loss may be largely, but not entirely, insured under the Company's business interruption policies.

The extent of claims made against properties in our asset class may have a material impact on the cost of insurance for both the Company and our residents, thereby increasing the Company's operating costs at a rate in excess of rental rate increases and limiting our residents' ability to reinvest in their homes at the same rate enjoyed before the hurricanes. The cost and availability of homeowners' insurance purchased by our home sales customers may impact the timing of home closings.

The severity and number of hurricanes that impacted Florida may result in a slowing rate of new customers to buy homes on our expansion sites, thereby reducing rate of absorption and lowering the Company's return on investment.

We seek increased returns through the use of financial leverage. The use of financial leverage poses some additional challenges and risks for the company:

As the value of the Company assets increases and absent additional borrowing, the level of leverage decreases, thereby diluting the accretive affect of financial leverage.

Changes in interest rates may have an adverse impact on interest expense as the Company uses a combination of floating rate and fixed rate debt. The Company seeks to minimize that impact by using floating rate debt as a source for shorter

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

duration projects. The Company may, from time to time, seek to use fixed rate debt with a higher rate to mitigate the risks associated with lower current cost, but more volatile, floating rate debt.

Table of Contents

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2004

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$7,626,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$7,001,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$625,000 or 8.9%. The increase of 8.9% is attributed to a 4.4% increase associated with sites leased during both periods, and a 4.5% increase associated to newly leased sites. The increase in property operating revenue was a result of:

\$562,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of new home sites at our development properties,

\$44,000 increase in the pass through of property tax allocations to tenants correlated with the increase in certain property tax expenses,

\$18,000 increase in other property income, and a

\$1,000 increase in rents for recreational vehicle sites.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$194,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$176,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$18,000 or 10.2%. Golf revenues increased at two communities and decreased at one other community that have adjacent golf courses.

Property operating expenses from our owned properties totaled \$2,651,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$2,422,000 for the same period in 2004, an increase of \$229,000 or 9.5%. The increase in property operating expenses was a result of:

\$151,000 increase in repairs and maintenance,

Table of Contents

\$60,000 increase in salaries, wages and benefits,

\$24,000 increase in property taxes,

\$11,000 increase in utilities, all offset by a

\$17,000 decrease in property operating overhead.

During the three months ended June 30, 2005, we collected proceeds under insurance policies totaling \$36,000 that related to expenses incurred in earlier periods. These proceeds related to the hurricanes that traversed Florida in August and September of 2004. As a result there is no comparable amount for the three months ended June 30, 2004.

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$339,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$305,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$34,000 or 11.1%. The increase is driven by increased maintenance activities at one community in support of home sales activities.

Depreciation expense was \$858,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$737,000 during the same period in 2004. The increase was as a result of an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites.

Same store property revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2005 increased by 9.8% from the three months ended June 30, 2004, consisting of a 4.2% increase from same site rental revenues, a 5.3% increase from absorption rental site revenues and a 0.3% increase from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 10.6% over that same period consisting of a 4.5% increase in same site rental expenses, a 4.5% increase from absorption rental site expenses and a 1.6% increase in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 9.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Our same store base included 97% of our property operating revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

The Company believes that same store information provides the user of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statement. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, determined in accordance with GAAP, is reflected in the table on the following page (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Three Months Ended June 30, 2004	Change	% Change	Contribution to Same Store % Change ⁽¹⁾
Same site rental revenues		\$ 6,903	\$ 6,614	\$ 289	4.4%	4.2%
Absorption rental revenues		465	98	367	374.5%	5.3%
Same site golf revenues		194	176	18	10.2%	0.3%
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Same store revenues	A	7,562	6,888	674	9.8%	9.8%
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Re-development property revenues		257	282	(25)	-8.9%	
Other income		1	7	(6)	-85.7%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Total property revenues	C	\$ 7,820	\$ 7,177	\$ 643	9.0%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Same site rental expenses		\$ 2,050	\$ 1,948	\$ 102	5.2%	4.5%
Absorption rental expenses		102		102	100.0%	4.5%
Same site golf expenses		339	305	34	11.1%	1.6%
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Same store expenses	B	2,491	2,253	238	10.6%	10.6%
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Re-development property expenses		85	84	1	1.2%	
Recoveries of casualty expenses related to hurricanes		(36)		(36)	-100.0%	
Expenses related to offsite management ⁽²⁾		414	390	24	6.2%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Total property operating expenses	D	\$ 2,954	\$ 2,727	\$ 227	8.3%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Same Store net operating income	A-B	\$ 5,071	\$ 4,635	\$ 436	9.4%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Total net operating income	C-D	\$ 4,866	\$ 4,450	\$ 416	9.3%	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

⁽¹⁾ Contribution to Same Store % change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2004 period. For example, same site rental revenue increase of \$289 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2004 of \$6,888 is a 4.2% increase ($\$289 / \$6,888 = 4.2\%$).

⁽²⁾ Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.

Home Sales Operations

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$12,171,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to \$9,714,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2004, with the increase driven by increased average selling prices and higher unit sales volumes. Units sold totaled 110

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

for the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to 103 units for the three months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of 6.8%. The average selling price of new homes closed was \$109,000 and \$92,000, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, an increase of 18.5%. Total cost of sales for the three months ended June 30, 2005 was \$8,487,000 compared to \$6,474,000 for the three months ended June 31, 2004. Resulting margin decreases are attributable to product mix and increased cost of our product as the supply of materials and labor have been restricted due to the 2004 hurricanes. Selling and marketing expenses

Table of Contents

increased \$141,000 from the 2004 period primarily as a result of increased marketing costs for newly constructed subdivisions within existing communities, increased commissions associated with increased volume of home sales, and increased staff levels.

We reported income from the home sales business of \$1,190,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to income of \$890,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2004.

General and Administrative Expenses

During the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, general and administrative expenses were \$864,000 and \$904,000, respectively. The decrease of \$40,000 is a result of:

\$81,000 decrease in compensation expense for dividends on non-vested restricted stock largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation including amounts related to expenses from prior periods, and a

\$1,000 decrease in office expenses, offset by a

\$30,000 increase in compensation costs, and a

\$12,000 increase in meeting and seminars.

Interest and Other Income

During the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, interest and other income was \$8,000 and \$27,000, respectively. The decrease of \$19,000 is a result of a decrease in interest income related to repayment in full of interest bearing notes.

Interest Expense

During the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, interest expense was \$1,436,000 and \$1,374,000, respectively. The increase is primarily a result of new debt secured on existing owned properties, development expenditures made in advance of home sales, an increase in the amount outstanding on the floor plan facility on home sales inventory, an increase in rates associated with variable debt, and an increase in the amounts outstanding on the Company's line of credit, all offset by scheduled amortization of existing long-term debt and increased capitalized interest as a result of purchasing a new development community.

Preferred Dividends

During the three months ended June 30, 2005, we deducted cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends of \$484,000 for the 1,000,000 outstanding shares of our Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. The Company had no outstanding preferred shares in 2004.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2004

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$15,263,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$13,969,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$1,294,000 or 9.3%. The increase of 9.3% is attributed to a 4.2% increase associated with sites leased during both periods, and a 5.1% increase associated with newly leased sites. The increase in property operating revenue was a result of:

\$1,195,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of new home sites at our development properties,

Table of Contents

\$83,000 increase in the pass through of property tax allocations to tenants correlated with the increase in certain property tax expenses,

\$20,000 increase in rents for recreational vehicle sites, and a

\$5,000 increase in late fees, net of amounts written off as uncollectible, all offset by a

\$9,000 decrease in other property income.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$593,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$579,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$14,000 or 2.4%. Golf revenues increased at two communities and decreased at one other community that have adjacent golf courses.

Property operating expenses from our owned properties totaled \$5,243,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$4,932,000 for the same period in 2004, an increase of \$311,000 or 6.3%. The increase in property operating expenses is a result of:

\$201,000 increase in repairs and maintenance,

\$77,000 increase in salaries, wages and benefits,

\$53,000 increase in property taxes, and a

\$9,000 increase in utility operations, all offset by a

\$29,000 decrease in property operating overhead.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we collected proceeds under insurance policies totaling \$176,000 that related to expenses incurred in earlier periods. These proceeds related to the hurricanes that traversed Florida in August and September of 2004. As a result there is no comparable amount for the six months ended June 30, 2004.

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$666,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$613,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004, an increase of \$53,000 or 8.6% primarily due to herbicide treatment at one golf community.

Depreciation expense was \$1,699,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$1,442,000 during the same period in 2004. The increase was as a result of an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

Same store property revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2005 increased by 9.6% from the six months ended June 30, 2004, consisting of an 4.0% increase from same site rental revenues, a 5.5% increase from absorption rental site revenues and by a 0.1% increase from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 7.6% over that same period consisting of a 3.2% increase in same site rental expenses, a 3.2% increase from absorption rental site expenses and a 1.2% increase in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 10.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2005. Our same store base included 96% of our property operating revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

The Company believes that same store information provides the user of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statement. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the

Table of Contents

six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, determined in accordance with GAAP, is reflected in the table on the following page (in thousands):

		Six Months Ended June 30, 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2004	Change	% Change	Contribution to Same Store % Change ⁽¹⁾
Same site rental revenues		\$ 13,816	\$ 13,257	\$ 559	4.2%	4.0%
Absorption rental revenues		899	131	768	586.3%	5.5%
Same site golf revenues		593	579	14	2.4%	0.1%
Same store revenues	A	15,308	13,967	1,341	9.6%	9.6%
Re-development property revenues		532	568	(36)	-6.3%	
Other income		16	13	3	23.1%	
Total property revenues	C	\$ 15,856	\$ 14,548	\$ 1,308	9.0%	
Same site rental expenses		\$ 4,120	\$ 3,972	\$ 148	3.7%	3.2%
Absorption rental expenses		148		148	100.0%	3.2%
Same site golf expenses		666	613	53	8.6%	1.2%
Same store expenses	B	4,934	4,585	349	7.6%	7.6%
Re-development property expenses		173	175	(2)	-1.1%	
Recoveries of casualty expenses related to hurricanes		(176)		(176)	-100.0%	
Expenses related to offsite management ⁽²⁾		802	785	17	2.2%	
Total property operating expenses	D	\$ 5,733	\$ 5,545	\$ 188	3.4%	
Same Store net operating income	A-B	\$ 10,374	\$ 9,382	\$ 992	10.6%	
Total net operating income	C-D	\$ 10,123	\$ 9,003	\$ 1,120	12.4%	

⁽¹⁾ Contribution to Same Store % change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2004 period. For example, same site rental revenue increase of \$559 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2004 of \$13,967 is a 4.0% increase ($\$559 / \$13,967 = 4.0\%$).

⁽²⁾ Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.

Home Sales Operations

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$20,992,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to \$18,994,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004, with the increase driven by increased average selling prices offset partially by a decrease in volume. Units sold totaled 187 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to 194 units for the six month period ended June 30, 2004, a decrease of 3.6%. The average selling price of new homes closed was \$110,000 and \$96,000, respectively, for the six

Table of Contents

months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, an increase of 14.6%. Total cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$14,501,000 compared to \$12,678,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Resulting margin decreases are attributable to product mix and increased cost of our product as the supply of materials and labor have been restricted due to the 2004 hurricanes. Selling and marketing expenses increased \$83,000 from the 2004 period primarily as a result of increased marketing costs for newly constructed subdivisions within existing communities and increased staff levels offset by decreased commissions associated with decreased unit volume of home sales.

We reported income from the home sales business of \$1,788,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 as compared to income of \$1,708,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2004.

General and Administrative Expenses

During the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, general and administrative expenses were \$1,293,000 and \$1,815,000, respectively. The decrease of \$522,000 is a result of:

\$506,000 decrease in compensation expense for dividends on non-vested restricted stock largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation including amounts related to expenses from prior periods, and a

\$296,000 decrease in amortization of deferred compensation largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation including amounts related to expenses from prior periods, all offset by a

\$232,000 increase in compensation costs,

\$20,000 increase in professional fees, and a

\$28,000 increase in failed acquisition pursuit costs.

Interest and Other Income

During the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, interest and other income was \$20,000 and \$298,000, respectively. The decrease of \$278,000 is a result of:

\$245,000 decrease in interest income from our CMBS bonds,

\$16,000 decrease in interest income related to repayment in full of interest bearing notes, and a

\$17,000 decrease in other income.

Interest Expense

During the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, interest expense was \$2,969,000 and \$2,717,000, respectively. The increase is primarily a result of new debt secured on existing owned properties, development expenditures made in advance of home sales, an increase in the amount outstanding on the floor plan facility on home sales inventory, an increase in rates associated with variable debt, and an increase in the amounts outstanding on the Company's line of credit, all offset by scheduled amortization of existing long-term debt and increased capitalized interest as a result of purchasing a new development community.

Preferred Dividends

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we deducted cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends of \$678,000 for the 1,000,000 outstanding shares of our Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. The Company had no outstanding preferred shares in 2004.

Table of Contents

Returns from Home Sales Business

We engage in the home sales business for four reasons:

- 1) to lease expansion home sites within our portfolio, thereby increasing the profitability and value of our communities;
- 2) to upgrade existing leased home sites with new and more valuable homes, thereby increasing the long term value of the lease income stream;
- 3) to broker the resale of homes in order to support investment values in the homes and to attract good neighbors all so as to promote the long term values of the communities, both for the residents who are our customers and for the long term growth and security of our own investment; and
- 4) to resell any homes we acquire as a result of defaults in lease obligations owed to us.

We seek to measure the profitability of developing and leasing expansion home sites within our portfolio through identifying the following:

- 1) an estimate of the first year annualized profit on the leases originated on expansion home sites,
- 2) an estimate of the total development costs of the expansion sites leased, including all current and projected development costs, and
- 3) an estimate of the home sales profit or loss attributable to new homes sold on expansion sites, without consideration for the other aspects of the home sales business.

We believe that our projection of the first year returns from the leases originated on expansion home sites provides the user of our financial statements with a comparison of the profitability of the newly leased sites to our current portfolio and to alternative investments in stabilized communities. Our calculation of estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated on expansion home sites is based upon a non-GAAP financial measure. We project the amount of variable property operating expenses we will incur as a result of the newly leased home sites. In order to project our variable operating expenses, we begin with operating expenses determined under GAAP and deduct those expenses we believe will not increase with the addition of newly leased sites.

The most directly comparable financial measure that can be reconciled to GAAP is our historical return on investment in operational home sites, which is reconciled on page 39 in footnote 1. Our presentation of the estimated first year return on the expansion home sites cannot be directly reconciled to a comparable GAAP measure principally because there will be leases that begin in the middle of the period and we estimate the incremental operating expenses associated with these leases. The estimated first year annualized return on investment in expansion home sites should not be considered in isolation from nor is it intended to represent an alternative measure of operating income or cash flow or any other measure of performance as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

By comparing the estimated first year annualized profit on the expansion home site leases originated to the sum of total development costs, as increased (in the event of a home sales loss) or decreased (in the event of a home sales profit) by the estimated home sales profit or loss, we are able to measure the estimated first year annualized return on our investment in expansion home sites. We believe that this measure provides a useful comparison to the returns available from investing in stabilized communities.

Table of Contents

Our calculation of an estimated first year annualized return on investment of new home sales includes the following components:

- (a) We derive our estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated on expansion home sites by deducting estimated operating expenses from the contractual annual revenues from leases originated during the period. We estimate operating expenses using one half of the actual ratio of property operating expenses incurred to property revenue generated in the prior year. For example, if we originate a lease at a property where the ratio of operating expense to property revenues was 40% for the prior year, we apply a 20% expense ratio to project the additional expense associated with the newly leased home site for the first year. We believe that one half of the actual expenses is an appropriate estimate of the relationship between fixed and variable expenses of operating our communities.
- (b) The total development costs of the expansion sites leased are based upon the sum of land, construction costs, and other capitalized costs, including interest expense, as allocated to the individual home sites based upon the leased value of each home site.
- (c) We determine the home sales profit or loss that is attributable to sale of homes situated on expansion home sites by deducting from the reported home sales operating income the gross margin and commissions attributable to the (i) sale of new homes on existing leased sites, (ii) the sale of used homes, and (iii) brokerage of home sale transactions between third parties. We make no allocation of sales overhead to the transactions identified above.

We believe that our home sales operations drive our estimated first year annualized return on investment in expansion home sites because most of our expansion home site leases originate with our sale of a home.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

The leases facilitated by the home sales business during the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 are estimated to provide a first year return on investment of 10.7% and 10.0%, respectively. These returns are shown on the following page and are based upon unaudited pro forma information. This compares to our realized returns from earning sites of 8.1% for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in return on expansion home sites is driven primarily by the increased profitability of our home sales business offset by (i) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of larger lots to accommodate larger homes, (ii) increased lease incentives given in 2005 over 2004, and (iii) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of additional amenities. Our future returns are dependent upon a number of factors including changes in the per site cost of development, changes in lease incentives utilized in support of the rate of new home sales, changes in the profitability of our home sales business, changes in the quantity of new homes sold and other factors.

Table of Contents

The calculation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is shown on the following page (in thousands, except expansion sites leased):

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Three Months Ended June 30, 2004
Expansion sites leased during the year		94	94
Estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated during the year	A	\$ 340	\$ 337
Costs, including development costs of sites leased		\$ 4,240	\$ 4,153
Home sales income attributable to sites leased		1,054	785
Total costs incurred to originate ground leases	B	\$ 3,186	\$ 3,368
Estimated first year annualized return on investment for leases originated during the year	A/B	10.7%	10.0%

For the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, we estimate our profit or loss attributable to the sale of homes situated on expansion home sites as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Three Months Ended June 30, 2004
Reported income from sales operations	\$ 1,190	\$ 890
Used home sales and brokerage business income	(136)	(105)
Adjusted income for pro forma analysis	\$ 1,054	\$ 785

We exclude the profits from our used home sales and brokerage business from our pro forma calculation of return on investment in expansion home sites. The profits from these activities represent profits that are not directly related to our expansion activities.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004

The leases facilitated by the home sales business during the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 are estimated to provide a first year return on investment of 10.1% and 9.7%, respectively. These returns are shown on the following page and are based upon unaudited pro forma information. This compares to our realized returns from earning sites of 8.1% for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in return on expansion home sites is driven primarily by the increased profitability of our home sales business offset by (i) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of larger lots to accommodate larger homes, (ii) increased lease incentives given in 2005 over 2004, and (iii) increases in the per site cost of development as a result of additional amenities. Our future returns are dependent upon a number of factors including changes

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

in the per site cost of development, changes in lease incentives utilized in support of the rate of new home sales, changes in the profitability of our home sales business, changes in the quantity of new homes sold and other factors.

Table of Contents

The calculation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 is shown below (in thousands, except expansion sites leased):

		Six Months Ended	Six Months Ended
		June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
Expansion sites leased during the year		171	184
Estimated first year annualized profit on leases originated during the year	A	\$ 623	\$ 675
Costs, including development costs of sites leased		\$ 7,769	\$ 8,501
Home sales income attributable to sites leased		1,576	1,518
Total costs incurred to originate ground leases	B	\$ 6,193	\$ 6,983
Estimated first year annualized return on investment for leases originated during the year	A/B	10.1%	9.7%

For the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, we estimate our profit or loss attributable to the sale of homes situated on expansion home sites as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004
Reported income from sales operations	\$ 1,788	\$ 1,708
Used home sales and brokerage business income	(212)	(190)
Adjusted income for pro forma analysis	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,518

We exclude the profits from our used home sales and brokerage business from our pro forma calculation of return on investment in expansion home sites. The profits from these activities represent profits that are not directly related to our expansion activities.

Table of Contents

The reconciliation of our estimated first year return on investment in expansion home sites, a non-GAAP financial measure, to our return on investment in operational home sites in accordance with GAAP is shown on the following page (in thousands):

		Total Portfolio for Year Ended December 31, 2004
Property income before depreciation ¹	A	\$ 17,811
Total investment in operating home sites ¹	B	\$ 220,918
Return on investment from earning home sites ¹	A/B	8.1%

¹ A reconciliation of our return on investment for earning sites for the year ended December 31, 2004 to property income before depreciation and investment in operational sites is shown below (in thousands)

	December 31, 2004
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 29,221
Property operating expenses	(11,410)
Property income before depreciation (A)	\$ 17,811
Real estate assets, net	\$ 248,868
Add: Accumulated depreciation	22,803
Less: Real estate under development	(49,360)
Less: Cost of home sites ready for intended use	(1,393)
Investment in operational sites (B)	\$ 220,918
Return on investment in operational sites (A/B) ¹	8.1%

¹ Our return on investment in operational sites reflects our income from and investment in sites that were leased for the first time during the year ended December 31, 2004. For these leases, the income reported above includes less than a full twelve months of operating results. Consequently, when compared to the investment we have made in these home sites, the return on investment during the year ended December 31, 2004 is less than the return when measured using a full twelve months of operating results.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of June 30, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,313,000. Our principal activities that demand liquidity include our normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, acquisitions of and additional investments in properties, and payments of distributions to stockholders and OP Unit holders. We expect to utilize cash provided by operating activities and short-term borrowings to meet short-term liquidity demands. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units), the sales of properties and cash generated from operations. On February 23, 2005 and March 2, 2005, we issued 900,000 shares and 100,000 shares, respectively, of newly created 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for a purchase price of \$25.00 per share. The net

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

proceeds from these issuances were used to repay indebtedness including amounts outstanding under a promissory note incurred on February 4, 2005 in connection with the acquisition of property in Micco, Florida and the Company's revolving line of credit.

In the event that there is an economic downturn and the cash provided by operating activities is reduced or if access to short term borrowing sources becomes restricted, we may be required to reduce or eliminate expenditures for the continued development of our communities and/or reduce or eliminate the common stock dividends on common or preferred stock, or both.

Table of Contents

On December 13, 2004, we renewed and extended the revolving line of credit with a lender for a total commitment of \$16,000,000. The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie County, Lake County, and Pasco County, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to thirty-day LIBOR plus 200 basis points. This interest-only note matures in December 2006. The availability of funds under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. The terms of our line of credit require that we maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) on a trailing twelve-month basis to proforma annual debt service obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than 1.0 to 1.0 for properties that secure the line of credit. Based upon the application of these covenants as of June 30, 2005, \$16,000,000 was available to the Company.

We have a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a credit facility of \$25,000,000 with a variable interest rate indexed to the prime rate and spreads varying from 1.5% to 4.5%, depending on the manufacturer and age of the inventory. The facility has a minimum interest rate of 5.5% based upon a minimum prime rate of 4.0%. Individual advances mature as early as 360 days or have no stated maturity, based upon the manufacturer. Amounts outstanding are non-recourse to the Company for the period of time the financed home is subject to a repurchase agreement with the manufacturer of the home. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in our residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$17,406,000. At June 30, 2005, \$18,929,000 was outstanding, of which all was non-recourse to the Company. Approximately \$6,071,000 was available under the floor plan credit facility.

Our ability to access secured and unsecured borrowings as a source of liquidity is dependent upon factors outside of our control including economic trends that impact the availability of credit from lending sources we currently utilize. Our ability to issue additional equity in the form of equity securities (including the issuance by the Operating Partnership of OP Units) is dependent upon certain factors outside of our control including returns available on alternative investments and other economic factors. The amount of cash generated by our operations is dependent upon our ability to operate the existing portfolio of revenue earning sites and to originate new earning sites through new lease originations generated by our home sales business. Our ability to generate cash through the operation of the current portfolio is dependent upon the costs we pay to acquire the goods and services required to operate the portfolio and the absence of natural disasters, such as hurricanes, that would disrupt the flow of rental income for an undeterminable time period and other factors. Our ability to generate cash through the origination of new earning sites is dependent upon our ability to market effectively to our target market customers, to originate contracts for sale of homes at our properties, thereby generating income producing leases and to develop the undeveloped land within our portfolio in a timely fashion, and on a cost effective basis.

Operating Activities

Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$7.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$6.0 million during the same period in 2004. The \$1.1 million increase was primarily the result of:

\$1.0 million increase in earnings before depreciation, amortization, minority interest, and casualty gain and a

\$0.1 million increase in cash provided by operating assets and liabilities as a result of increased business volumes and increases in accounts payable balances from the 2004 period.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the net cash used in investing activities was \$31.6 million, compared with \$13.4 million net cash used during the same period in 2004. The \$18.2 million increase in net cash used for investing activities is primarily the result of:

Increases

\$15.2 million increase in expenditures related to the purchase of a new development community

\$2.4 million increase in expenditures for capital replacements, development and improvements in the 2005 period as compared to the 2004 period, primarily related to the continued and accelerated development of unleased sites,

\$0.6 million increase in capital replacement and enhancement as a result of multiple hurricanes in Florida during 2004, and a

\$0.3 million increase in purchase of furniture, fixtures & equipment classified as other assets, all offset by

Decreases

\$0.2 million increase in proceeds from hurricane insurance claims, and a

\$0.1 million decrease in advances for notes receivable.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$24.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared with net cash provided during the same period in 2004 of \$6.5 million.

The \$18.4 million increase in cash provided by financing activities is primarily the result of:

Increases

\$23.9 million increase in net proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock,

\$1.9 million increase in proceeds from stock options exercised,

\$0.7 million increase in proceeds from officer stock loans, and a

\$0.1 million increase in proceeds from the collection of escrow funds, all offset by

Decreases

\$4.0 million decrease in proceeds from secured short-term financing,

\$2.1 million decrease in proceeds from secured long-term financing,

\$0.5 million increase in payment of preferred stock dividends,

\$0.5 million decrease in proceeds from dividend reinvestment program,

\$0.4 million increase in dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense,

\$0.3 million increase in payment of common stock dividends,

\$0.2 million increase in principal payments made on secured long-term notes payable,

\$0.1 million decrease in proceeds from OP Unit distribution reinvestment program, and a

\$0.1 million increase in payment of loan costs.

Table of Contents

Dividends and Distributions

Our dividends on common and preferred stock are set quarterly by the Board of Directors and are subject to change or elimination at any time. Our primary financial objective is to maximize long term, risk adjusted returns on investment for common shareholders. While dividend policy is considered within the context of this objective, maintenance of past dividend levels is not a primary investment objective of the Company and is subject to numerous factors including the Company's profitability, capital expenditure plans, obligations related to principal payments and capitalized interest, and the availability of debt and equity capital at terms deemed attractive by the Company to finance these expenditures. Our NOL may be used to offset all or a portion of our REIT taxable income, which may allow us to reduce or eliminate our dividends paid and still maintain our REIT status.

Historically, the combination of dividend payments, capital expenditures, capitalized interest and debt repayment has exceeded funds provided from operating activities, and we have funded a portion of these expenditures from debt financings. However, there is no assurance that we will be able to continue to do so on terms deemed acceptable in the future. In the event that we are unable to do so or decide not to pursue such financing sources, we will be required to reduce or eliminate dividends, reduce or eliminate capital expenditures, or both.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal exposure to market risk is changes in interest rates relating to our various debt instruments and borrowings. The following is a discussion of the potential impact of changes in interest rates on our debt instruments.

We have \$65.3 million of fixed rate, fully amortizing, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable. We do not have exposure to changing interest rates on these notes as the rates are fixed and the notes are fully amortizing.

We have \$34.8 million of fixed rate, partially amortizing, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable. We do not have significant exposure to changes in interest rates since the interest rates are fixed. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance on these notes of \$30.4 million due at maturity between 2007 and 2014.

We have \$10.6 million of interest only, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable. These are variable rate loans at 30 day LIBOR plus 3%, with a floor of 5.5% and a ceiling of 10%. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$106,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the outstanding balance at June 30, 2005. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at maturity of these notes.

Table of Contents

We have an additional \$15.0 million of interest only, non-recourse, secured long-term notes payable on terms different from those set forth in the preceding paragraph. These are variable rate loans at 90 day LIBOR plus 2.5%. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$150,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the outstanding balance at June 30, 2005. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at maturity of these notes.

We have a recourse, secured floor plan facility that bears interest at the lender's prime rate plus amounts ranging from 1.5% to 4.5% based upon the manufacturer and age of the inventory. If the lender's prime rate increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$189,000 due to an increase in interest expense on this line of credit, based on the \$18.9 million outstanding balance at June 30, 2005. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at the maturity of this note.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer, chief operating officer, and chief financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to American Land Lease, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC filings (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to American Land Lease's management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter ended June 30, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-Q

The information disclosed under the heading "Legal Contingencies" in Note C of the condensed consolidated financial statements of this Form 10-Q and in Part I, Item 2. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Item 6. EXHIBITS**

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.1	Underwriting Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2005, by and among the Registrant, Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., and Raymond James & Associates, Inc. as representatives for the Underwriters named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2005 and filed on February 22, 2005).
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 4, 2005 and filed on May 4, 2005).
3.2	Fourth Amended and Restated By-laws of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 4, 2005 and filed on April 5, 2005).
4.1	Waiver regarding stock ownership restrictions between the Registrant and Terry Considine, dated August 11, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated April 2, 2001, and filed on April 2, 2001).
4.2	Waiver regarding stock ownership restrictions between the Registrant and Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated August 11, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated April 2, 2001 and filed on April 2, 2001).
4.3	Certificate of Designations for 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2005 and filed on February 22, 2005).
4.4	Form of Stock Certificate for 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 17, 2005 and filed on February 22, 2005).
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each Director of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Proxy Statement of the Registrant, and dated May 18, 1987).
10.2	1998 Stock Incentive Plan of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant for the quarter ended June 30, 1998, and filed on August 14, 1998).

Table of Contents

- 10.3 Form of Assignment and Assumption of Membership Interest (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11(c) to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 13, 1999, and filed on August 30, 1999).
- 10.4 Loan and Security Agreement, dated July 31, 2003, by and between Wachovia Bank, N.A., and Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., Community Savanna Club Joint Venture, AIOP Lost Dutchman Notes, L.L.C., and Community Brentwood Joint Venture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated September 30, 2003 and filed on November 13, 2003).
- 10.5 Loan and Security Agreement, dated December 13, 2004, by and among Wachovia Bank, N.A., and Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., Community Savanna Club Joint Venture, AIOP Lost Dutchman Notes, L.L.C., Woodlands Church Lake, L.L.C. and Community Brentwood Joint Venture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated December 31, 2004 and filed on March 15, 2005).
- 10.6 Promissory Note, dated February 4, 2005, between Wachovia Bank, N. A., and Crystal Bay (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 4, 2005 and filed on February 9, 2005).
- 31.1 Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of COO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.3 Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.
- 32.2 Certification of COO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.
- 32.3 Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 8, 2005

By /s/ Shannon E. Smith

Shannon E. Smith
Chief Financial Officer

46