AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES INC Form 10-Q May 09, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-O

x Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 o	or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 20	011.
o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13	or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Transition Period From	to

Commission file number 001-32265

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)
12700 Hill Country Blvd., Suite T-200
Austin, TX
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

76-0753089 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

> 78738 (Zip Code)

(512) 732-1000 Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated Filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting

Smaller reporting company

company) o

Yes o No x
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.
There were 68,149,146 shares of American Campus Communities, Inc.'s common stock with a par value of \$0.01 pe share outstanding as of the close of business on April 29, 2011.

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE NO.
PART I.		
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (all unaudited)	2
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (all unaudited)	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	41
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	41
PART II.		
Item 6.	Exhibits	42
SIGNATURES		43

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Assets	March 31, 2011 (unaudited)			December 31, 2010	
Investments in real estate: Wholly-owned properties, net Wholly-owned properties held for sale On-campus participating properties, net Investments in real estate, net	\$	2,417,104 33,190 61,666 2,511,960	\$	2,433,844 - 62,486 2,496,330	
Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Student contracts receivable, net Other assets		31,922 27,546 4,139 53,785		113,507 26,764 5,736 51,147	
Total assets	\$	2,629,352	\$	2,693,484	
Liabilities and equity					
Liabilities: Secured mortgage, construction and bond debt Senior secured term loan Secured agency facility Accounts payable and accrued expenses Other liabilities Total liabilities	\$	1,085,925 100,000 101,000 25,786 61,229 1,373,940	\$	1,144,103 100,000 101,000 34,771 61,011 1,440,885	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)					
Redeemable noncontrolling interests		33,712		34,704	
Equity: American Campus Communities, Inc. stockholders' equity: Common stock, \$.01 par value, 800,000,000 shares authorized, 67,398,007 and 66,875,663 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively Additional paid in capital Accumulated earnings and dividends Accumulated other comprehensive loss Total American Campus Communities, Inc. stockholders' equity Noncontrolling interests		672 1,476,907 (254,788 (4,758 1,218,033 3,667)	667 1,468,179 (249,381 (5,503 1,213,962 3,933)
Total equity		1,221,700		1,217,895	

Total liabilities and equity

1

\$ 2,629,352

2,693,484

\$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited, in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three Months 2011	Ended March 31, 2010
Revenues:		
Wholly-owned properties	\$ 87,999	\$ 69,619
On-campus participating properties	7,647	7,311
Third party development services	3,824	574
Third party management services	1,830	2,214
Resident services	341	252
Total revenues	101,641	79,970
Operating expenses:		
Wholly-owned properties	38,721	30,703
On-campus participating properties	1,744	2,399
Third party development and management services	2,682	3,099
General and administrative	2,773	2,753
Depreciation and amortization	21,900	17,121
Ground/facility leases	1,156	571
Total operating expenses	68,976	56,646
Operating income	32,665	23,324
Nonoperating income and (expenses):		
Interest income	50	17
Interest expense	(14,013) (14,945)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(1,230) (1,028)
Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures	(12) (1,414)
Total nonoperating expenses	(15,205) (17,370)
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	17,460	5,954
Income tax provision	(143) (143)
Income from continuing operations	17,317	5,811
Discontinued operations:		
Income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations	563	(4,220)
Loss from disposition of real estate	-	(3,646)
Total discontinued operations	563	(7,866)
Net income (loss)	17,880	(2,055)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(467) (134)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 17,413	\$ (2,189)
Income (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders – basic:		
Income from continuing operations per share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.10

Net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.26	\$ (0.05)
Income (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders – diluted:			
Income from continuing operations per share	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.10	
Net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.25	\$ (0.05)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:			
Basic	66,956,764	52,235,644	
Diluted	67,554,918	52,805,966	
Distributions declared per common share	\$ 0.3375	\$ 0.3375	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

		Par							
		Value		Accumulated	Accumulat	ted			
		of	Additional	Earnings	Other				
		Common	Paid	and C	Comprehens	Noncontroll	ling	<u>, </u>	
	Common Share	es Shares	in Capital	Distributions	s Loss	Interests	i	Total	
Equity, December 31, 2010	66,875,663	\$ 667	\$ 1,468,179	\$ (249,381)	\$ (5,503) \$ 3,933	\$	\$1,217,895	5
Net proceeds from sale of									
common stock	358,500	4	11,181	-	-	-		11,185	
Adjustments to reflect									
redeemable noncontrolling									
interests at fair value	-	-	(343) -	-	-		(343)
Amortization of restricted									
stock awards	-	-	987	-	-	-		987	
Vesting of restricted stock									
awards	99,243	-	(1,384) -	-	-		(1,384)
Distributions to common									
and restricted stockholders	-	-	-	(22,820)	-	-		(22,820)
Distributions to joint									
venture partners	-	-	-	-	-	(110)	(110)
Conversion of common									
units to common stock	64,601	1	1,101	-	-	-		1,102	
Increase in ownership of									
consolidated subsidiary	-	-	(2,814) -	-	(336)	(3,150)
Comprehensive income:									
Change in fair value of									
interest rate swaps	-	-	-	-	745	-		745	
Net income	-	-	-	17,413	-	180		17,593	
Total comprehensive									
income	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7	A (= 0	* 4 47 6 0 0 0 0		A (1 = = = =	\		18,338	_
Equity, March 31, 2011	67,398,007	\$ 672	\$ 1,476,907	\$ (254,788)	\$ (4,758) \$ 3,667	9	\$1,221,700	U

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited, in thousands)

	Three Mont 2011	hs Eı	nded March 3 2010	31,
Operating activities				
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,880		\$ (2,055))
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Loss from disposition of real estate	-		3,646	
Provision for asset impairment	-		4,036	
Depreciation and amortization	22,272		17,822	
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt premiums/discounts	(307)	1,109	
Share-based compensation	987		929	
Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures	12		1,414	
Distributions received from unconsolidated joint ventures	-		180	
Income tax provision	143		143	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Restricted cash	(2,124)	2,405	
Student contracts receivable, net	1,597		991	
Other assets	(6,000)	(95)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(10,419)	(5,410)
Other liabilities	453		137	
Net cash provided by operating activities	24,494		25,252	
Investing activities				
Cash paid for increased ownership in consolidated subsidiary	(3,150)	-	
Net proceeds from disposition of real estate	-		1,098	
Cash paid for land and property acquisitions	(458)	(9,618)
Investments in wholly-owned properties	(34,694)	(4,701)
Investments in on-campus participating properties	(278)	(43)
Change in restricted cash related to capital reserves	613		92	
Proceeds from insurance settlement	526		-	
Purchase of corporate furniture, fixtures and equipment	(119)	(183)
Net cash used in investing activities	(37,560)	(13,355)
Financing activities				
Proceeds from sale of common stock	11,391		-	
Offering costs	(179)	-	
Pay-off of mortgage loans	(54,527)	(34,536)
Principal payments on debt	(1,911)	(3,555)
Distributions to common and restricted stockholders	(22,820)	(17,854)
Distributions to noncontrolling partners	(473)	(495)
Net cash used in financing activities	(68,519)	(56,440)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(81,585)	(44,543)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	113,507		66,093	

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN CAMP	JS COMMUNITIES INC - Form 10-0
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 31,922	\$ 21,550
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net	\$ 745	\$ (832)
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information Interest paid	\$ 16,496	\$ 15,512

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

1. Organization and Description of Business

American Campus Communities, Inc. (the "Company") is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") that was incorporated on March 9, 2004 and commenced operations effective with the completion of an initial public offering ("IPO") on August 17, 2004. Through the Company's controlling interest in American Campus Communities Operating Partnership LP (the "Operating Partnership"), the Company is one of the largest owners, managers and developers of high quality student housing properties in the United States in terms of beds owned and under management. The Company is a fully integrated, self-managed and self-administered equity REIT with expertise in the acquisition, design, financing, development, construction management, leasing and management of student housing properties.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company's property portfolio contained 104 student housing properties with approximately 64,900 beds in approximately 20,800 apartment units. The Company's property portfolio consisted of 96 owned off-campus properties that are in close proximity to colleges and universities, four American Campus Equity ("ACE®") properties operated under ground/facility leases with three university systems and four on-campus participating properties operated under ground/facility leases with the related university systems. The Company's communities contain modern housing units and are supported by a resident assistant system and other student-oriented programming, with many offering resort-style amenities.

Through the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS"), it also provides construction management and development services, primarily for student housing properties owned by colleges and universities, charitable foundations, and others. As of March 31, 2011, the Company provided third-party management and leasing services for 34 properties (nine of which the Company served as the third-party developer and construction manager) that represented approximately 24,100 beds in approximately 9,500 units, and one joint venture property in which we own a noncontrolling interest with approximately 600 beds in approximately 200 units. Third-party management and leasing services are typically provided pursuant to management contracts that have initial terms that range from one to five years. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's total owned, joint venture and third-party managed portfolio included 139 properties with approximately 89,600 beds in approximately 30,500 units.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and include the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, the Operating Partnership and subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership, including joint ventures in which the Company has a controlling interest. Third-party equity interests in the Operating Partnership and consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements. The Company also has a noncontrolling interest in two unconsolidated joint ventures, which are accounted for under the equity method. All intercompany amounts have been eliminated. All dollar amounts in the tables herein, except share and per share amounts, are stated in thousands unless otherwise indicated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange

Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring matters) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been included. Because of the seasonal nature of the Company's operations, the results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for other interim periods or for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are recorded at historical cost. Major improvements that extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. The cost of ordinary repairs and maintenance are charged to expense when incurred. Depreciation and amortization are recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Buildings and improvements 7-40 years

Leasehold interest - on-campus 25-34 years (shorter of useful life or respective

participating properties lease term)
Furniture, fixtures and 3-7 years

equipment

Project costs directly associated with the development and construction of an owned real estate project, which include interest, property taxes, and amortization of deferred finance costs, are capitalized as construction in progress. Upon completion of the project, costs are transferred into the applicable asset category and depreciation commences. Interest totaling approximately \$1.0 million and \$-0- was capitalized during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs totaling approximately \$42,000 and \$-0- was capitalized as construction in progress during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Management assesses whether there has been an impairment in the value of the Company's investments in real estate whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment is recognized when estimated expected future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the property. The estimation of expected future net cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on assumptions regarding current and future economics and market conditions. If such conditions change, then an adjustment to the carrying value of the Company's long-lived assets could occur in the future period in which the conditions change. To the extent that a property is impaired, the excess of the carrying amount of the property over its estimated fair value is charged to earnings. The Company believes that there were no impairments of the carrying values of its investments in real estate as of March 31, 2011.

The Company allocates the purchase price of acquired properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets based on relative fair values. Fair value estimates are based on information obtained from a number of sources, including independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the respective property and other market data. Information obtained about each property as a result of due diligence, marketing and leasing activities is also considered. The value of in-place leases is based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing in-place leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued "as-if" vacant. As lease terms are typically one year or less, rates on in-place leases generally approximate market rental rates. Factors considered in the valuation of in-place leases include an estimate of the carrying costs during the expected lease-up period considering current market conditions, nature of the tenancy, and costs to execute similar leases. Carrying costs include estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up period, as well as marketing and other operating expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized over the remaining initial term of the respective leases, generally less than one year. The purchase price of property acquisitions is not expected to be allocated to tenant relationships, considering the terms of the leases and the expected levels of renewals.

Long-Lived Assets-Held for Sale

Long-lived assets to be disposed of are classified as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

- a. Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset.
- b. The asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets.
- c. An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated.
- d. The sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year.

- e. The asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value.
- f. Actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Concurrent with this classification, the asset is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation ceases.

Owned On-Campus Properties

Under its ACE program, the Company as lessee has entered into four ground/facility lease agreements with three university systems to finance, construct, and manage four student housing properties. Two properties were under construction as of March 31, 2011 with one scheduled to open for occupancy in August 2011 and the other in August 2012. The terms of the leases, including extension options, range from 65 to 85 years, and the lessor retains title to the land. The Company's involvement in construction requires the lessor's post construction ownership of the improvements to be treated as a sale with a subsequent leaseback by the Company. However, these sale-leaseback transactions do not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting because of the Company's continuing involvement in the constructed assets. As a result of the Company's continuing involvement, these leases are accounted for by the deposit method, in which the assets subject to the ground/facility leases are reflected at historical cost, less amortization, and the financing obligations are reflected at the terms of the underlying financing.

On-Campus Participating Properties

The Company has entered into ground and facility leases with two university systems and colleges to finance, construct, and manage four on-campus student housing facilities. Under the terms of the leases, the lessor has title to the land and any improvements placed thereon. Each lease terminates upon final repayment of the construction related financing, the amortization period of which is contractually stipulated. The Company's involvement in construction requires the lessor's post construction ownership of the improvements to be treated as a sale with a subsequent leaseback by the Company. The sale-leaseback transaction has been accounted for as a financing, and as a result, any fee earned during construction is deferred and recognized over the term of the lease. The resulting financing obligation is reflected at the terms of the underlying financing, i.e., interest is accrued at the contractual rates and principal reduces in accordance with the contractual principal repayment schedules.

The Company reflects these assets subject to ground/facility leases at historical cost, less amortization. Costs are amortized, and deferred fee revenue in excess of the cost of providing the service are recognized, over the lease term.

Intangible Assets

In connection with property acquisitions completed in 2010, the Company capitalized approximately \$5.5 million related to management's estimate of the fair value of the in-place leases assumed. These intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining term of the underlying leases. Amortization expense was approximately \$1.5 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In 2008, the Company also capitalized \$1.5 million related to management's estimate of the fair value of third-party management contracts acquired from GMH. These intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three years. Amortization expense related to these acquired management contracts was approximately \$0.1 million for both three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Accumulated amortization

at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was approximately \$6.3 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. Intangible assets, net of amortization, are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and amortization of intangible assets is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. See Note 3 herein for a detailed discussion of the property acquisitions completed during 2010.

Deferred Financing Costs

The Company defers financing costs and amortizes the costs over the terms of the related debt using the effective interest method. Upon repayment of or in conjunction with a material change in the terms of the underlying debt agreement, any unamortized costs are charged to earnings. Accumulated amortization at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was approximately \$12.9 million and \$11.8 million, respectively. Deferred financing costs, net of amortization, are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Joint Ventures

The Company holds interests in both consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures. The Company consolidates joint ventures when it exhibits financial or operational control, which is determined using accounting standards related to the consolidation of joint ventures and VIEs. For joint ventures that are defined as VIEs, the primary beneficiary consolidates the entity. The Company considers itself to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE when it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the performance of the VIE, such as management of day-to-day operations, preparing and approving operating and capital budgets, and encumbering or selling the related properties. In instances where the Company is not the primary beneficiary, it does not consolidate the joint venture for financial reporting purposes.

For joint ventures that are not defined as VIEs, management first considers whether the Company is the general partner or a limited partner (or the equivalent in such investments which are not structured as partnerships). The Company consolidates joint ventures where it is the general partner and the limited partners in such investments do not have rights which would preclude control and, therefore, consolidation for financial reporting purposes. For joint ventures where the Company is the general partner, but does not control the joint venture as the other partners hold substantive participating rights, the Company uses the equity method of accounting. For joint ventures where the Company is a limited partner, management considers factors such as ownership interest, voting control, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners to determine if the presumption that the general partner controls the entity is overcome. In instances where these factors indicate the Company controls the joint venture, the Company consolidates the joint venture; otherwise it uses the equity method of accounting.

Debt Premiums and Discounts

Debt premiums and discounts represent fair value adjustments to account for the difference between the stated rates and market rates of debt assumed in connection with the Company's property acquisitions. The debt premiums and discounts are amortized to interest expense over the term of the related loans using the effective-interest method. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, net unamortized debt premiums were approximately \$14.7 million and \$16.6 million, respectively, and net unamortized debt discounts were approximately \$6.3 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. Debt premiums and discounts are included in secured mortgage, construction and bond debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Third-Party Development Services Revenue and Costs

Development revenues are generally recognized based on a proportionate performance method based on contract deliverables, while construction revenues are recognized using the percentage of completion method, as determined by construction costs incurred relative to total estimated construction costs. Costs associated with such projects are deferred and recognized in relation to the revenues earned on executed contracts. For projects where the Company's fee is based on a fixed price, any cost overruns incurred during construction, as compared to the original budget, will reduce the net fee generated on those projects. Incentive fees are generally recognized when the project is complete and performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third-party. The Company also evaluates the collectability of fee income and expense reimbursements generated through the provision of development and construction management services based upon the individual facts and circumstances, including the contractual right to receive such amounts in accordance with the terms of the various projects, and reserves any amounts that are deemed to be uncollectible.

Pre-development expenditures such as architectural fees, permits and deposits associated with the pursuit of third-party and owned development projects are expensed as incurred, until such time that management believes it is probable that the contract will be executed and/or construction will commence. Because the Company frequently incurs these pre-development expenditures before a financing commitment and/or required permits and authorizations have been obtained, the Company bears the risk of loss of these pre-development expenditures if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms or the Company is unable to successfully obtain the required permits and authorizations. As such, management evaluates the status of third-party and owned projects that have not yet commenced construction on a periodic basis and expenses any deferred costs related to projects whose current status indicates the commencement of construction is unlikely and/or the costs may not provide future value to the Company in the form of revenues. Such write-offs are included in third-party development and management services expenses (in the case of third-party development projects) or general and administrative expenses (in the case of owned development projects) on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has deferred approximately \$9.7 million in pre-development costs related to third-party and owned development projects that have not yet commenced construction. Such costs are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company records all derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognized either in earnings or as other comprehensive income, depending on whether the derivative has been designated as a fair value or cash flow hedge and whether it qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, the nature of the exposure being hedged, and how effective the derivative is at offsetting movements in underlying exposure. The Company discontinues hedge accounting when: (i) it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; (iii) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or (iv) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, the Company will carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing changes in the fair value in current-period earnings. The Company uses interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of its floating rate debt to fixed rate, thus reducing the impact of rising interest rates on interest payments. These instruments are designated as cash flow hedges and the interest differential to be paid or received is accrued as interest expense. The Company's counter-parties are major financial institutions. See Note 12 herein for an expanded discussion on derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. As a REIT, the Company will generally not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income it currently distributes to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local income and excise taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income.

The Company owns two TRS entities that manage the Company's non-REIT activities and each is subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed using net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of common and preferred Operating Partnership units and common share awards granted. Only those items having a dilutive impact on basic earnings per share are included in diluted earnings per share.

The following potentially dilutive securities were outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effects of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 2010

Common Operating Partnership units (Note 7)	941,388	1,188,064
Preferred Operating Partnership units (Note 7)	114,676	114,963
Total potentially dilutive securities	1,056,064	1,303,027

The following is a summary of the elements used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Thi	ree Months 2011	Ended	Marc	ch 31, 2010	
Basic earnings per share calculation: Income from continuing operations	\$	17,317		\$	5,811	
Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests Income from continuing operations attributable to common		(458)		(325)
shareholders Amount allocated to participating securities Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities		16,859 (236)		5,486 (225)
		16,623			5,261	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to		563			(7,866)
noncontrolling interests Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to		(9)		191	
common shareholders Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as		554			(7,675)
adjusted – basic	\$	17,177		\$	(2,414)
Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted – per share Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders – per share Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as	\$	0.25		\$	0.10	
	\$	0.01		\$	(0.15)
adjusted – per share	\$	0.26		\$	(0.05)
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding		66,956,76	54		52,235,6	44
Diluted earnings per share calculation: Income from continuing operations attributable to common						
shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to	\$	16,623		\$	5,261	
common shareholders Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as		554			(7,675)
adjusted – diluted	\$	17,177		\$	(2,414)
Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities -	_					
per share Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to	\$	0.24		\$	0.10	
common shareholders – per share Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders - per	\$	0.01		\$	(0.15)
share	\$	0.25		\$	(0.05)

Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	66,956,764	52,235,644
Restricted Stock Awards (Note 11)	598,154	570,322
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	67,554,918	52,805,966

3. Property Acquisitions

In September and November 2010, the Company acquired the remaining 90% interest in 14 student housing properties previously owned in two joint ventures with Fidelity (hereinafter referred to as the "Fidelity Joint Ventures") in which the Company previously held a 10% interest. The purchase price for the 90% interest acquired was approximately \$340.4 million. Subsequent to the acquisition, the Company now consolidates the 14 properties acquired.

Also during 2010, the Company acquired three additional properties containing 1,883 beds in three separate transactions for a combined purchase price of approximately \$65.2 million.

The acquired property's results of operations have been included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations since the respective acquisition closing dates. The following pro forma information for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 presents consolidated financial information for the Company as if the property acquisitions discussed above had occurred on January 1, 2010. The unaudited pro forma information is provided for informational purposes only and is not indicative of results that would have occurred or which may occur in the future:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
		2011		2010	
Total revenues	\$	101,641	\$	94,097	
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$	18,864	\$	(912)
Net income (loss) per share – basic	\$	0.28	\$	(0.02))
Net income (loss) per share – diluted	\$	0.28	\$	(0.02))

4. Property Dispositions and Discontinued Operations

As of March 31, 2011, three owned off-campus properties were classified as Held for Sale on the company's consolidated balance sheet. These three properties - Villas on Apache, River Club Apartments and River Walk Townhomes - were sold in April 2011 (see Note 16). The net income attributable to these three properties is included in discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. Discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 also includes the Cambridge at Southern and Campus Walk – Oxford owned off campus properties, which were sold in March and April 2010, respectively. Discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010, also includes an impairment charge of approximately \$4.0 million recorded to reflect Campus Walk - Oxford at the lower of its historical cost or fair value.

Below is a summary of the results of operations for Cambridge at Southern through its disposition date, Campus Walk – Oxford for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and Villas on Apache, River Club Apartments and River Walk Townhomes for all periods presented:

	Three Months Ended					
	March 31,					
		2011	2010			
Total revenues	\$	1,674	\$	2,736		
Total operating expenses		(739)		(1,475)		
		(372)		(701)		

Depreciation and amortization
Provision for asset impairment - (4,036)
Operating income (loss) 563 (3,476)
Total nonoperating expenses - (744)
Net income (loss) \$ 563 \$ (4,220)

5. Investments in Wholly-Owned Properties

Wholly-owned properties consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2011		Dece 2010	ember 31,
Land (1)	\$	307,028	\$	313,005
Buildings and improvements		2,141,432		2,177,780
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		126,345		129,175
Construction in progress		86,493		54,244
		2,661,298		2,674,204
Less accumulated depreciation		(244,194)		(240,360)
Wholly-owned properties, net (2)	\$	2,417,104	\$	2,433,844

- (1) The land balance above includes undeveloped land parcels with book values of \$36.5 million and \$36.0 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (2) The balance above excludes Villas on Apache, River Club Apartments and River Walk Townhomes which are classified as wholly-owned properties held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2011.

6. On-Campus Participating Properties

The Company is a party to ground/facility lease agreements ("Leases") with certain state university systems and colleges (each, a "Lessor") for the purpose of developing, constructing, and operating student housing facilities on university campuses. Under the terms of the Leases, title to the constructed facilities is held by the applicable Lessor and such Lessor receives a de minimus base rent paid at inception and 50% of defined net cash flows on an annual basis through the term of the lease. The Leases terminate upon the earlier to occur of the final repayment of the related debt, the amortization period of which is contractually stipulated, or the end of the lease term.

Pursuant to the Leases, in the event the leasehold estates do not achieve Financial Break Even (defined as revenues less operating expenses, excluding management fees, less debt service), the applicable Lessor would be required to make a rental payment, also known as the Contingent Payment, sufficient to achieve Financial Break Even. The Contingent Payment provision remains in effect until such time as any financing placed on the facilities would receive an investment grade rating without the Contingent Payment provision. In the event that the Lessor is required to make a Contingent Payment, future net cash flow distributions would be first applied to repay such Contingent Payments and then to unpaid management fees prior to normal distributions. Beginning in November 1999 and December 2002, as a result of the debt financing on the facilities achieving investment grade ratings without the Contingent Payment provision, the Texas A&M University System is no longer required to make Contingent Payments under either the Prairie View A&M University Village or University College Leases. The Contingent Payment obligation continues to be in effect for the Texas A&M International University and University of Houston leases.

In the event the Company seeks to sell its leasehold interest, the Leases provide the applicable Lessor the right of first refusal of a bona fide purchase offer and an option to purchase the lessee's rights under the applicable Lease.

In conjunction with the execution of each Lease, the Company has entered into separate five-year agreements to manage the related facilities for 5% of defined gross receipts. The five-year terms of the management agreements are not contingent upon the continuation of the Leases. Upon expiration of the initial five year terms, the agreements continue on a month-to-month basis.

On-campus participating properties are as follows:

		Historical Cost					t
	Required						
		Debt					
	Lease	Repayment		March 31,		De	ecember 31,
Lessor/University	Commencement	(1)		2011			2010
Texas A&M University System /							
Prairie View A&M University (2)	2/1/96	9/1/23	\$	39,541		\$	39,393
Texas A&M University System /							
Texas A&M International	2/1/96	9/1/23		6,378			6,317
Texas A&M University System /		8/31/25/					
Prairie View A&M University (3)	10/1/99	8/31/28		24,801			24,762
University of Houston System /							
University of Houston (4)	9/27/00	8/31/35		35,423			35,393
, ,				106,143			105,865
Less accumulated amortization				(44,477)		(43,379)
On-campus participating properties, net			\$	61,666	•	\$	62,486

- (1) Represents the effective lease termination date. The Leases terminate upon the earlier to occur of the final repayment of the related debt or the end of the contractual lease term.
 - (2) Consists of three phases placed in service between 1996 and 1998.
 - (3) Consists of two phases placed in service in 2000 and 2003.
 - (4) Consists of two phases placed in service in 2001 and 2005.

7. Noncontrolling Interests

Third-party joint venture partners: As of March 31, 2011, the Company consolidates three joint ventures that own and operate University Village at Sweet Home, University Centre and Villas at Chestnut Ridge owned-off campus properties. The portion of net assets attributable to the third-party partners in these joint ventures is classified as "noncontrolling interests" within equity on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Accordingly, the third-party partners' share of the income or loss of the joint ventures is reported on the consolidated statements of operations as "noncontrolling interests share of net income / loss."

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company acquired the remaining noncontrolling interest from the third-party partner in the joint venture that owns and operates the Callaway House owned off-campus property. The Company paid approximately \$3.2 million in cash consideration for the remaining noncontrolling interest and recognized the \$2.8 million excess of consideration paid over the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest acquired as an adjustment to additional paid in capital in the accompanying consolidated statement of changes in

equity.

Operating Partnership units: Certain partners in the Operating Partnership hold their ownership through common and preferred units of limited partnership interest, hereinafter referred to as "Common Units" or "Series A Preferred Units." Common Units and Series A Preferred Units are exchangeable into an equal number of shares of the Company's common stock, or, at the Company's election, cash. A Common Unit and a share of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics, as they effectively participate equally in the net income and distributions of the Operating Partnership. Series A Preferred Units have a cumulative preferential per annum cash distribution rate of 5.99%, payable quarterly concurrently with the payment of dividends on the Company's common stock.

The Company follows accounting guidance stipulating that securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at a fixed or determinable price on a fixed or determinable date, at the option of the holder, or upon the occurrence of an event that is not solely within the control of the issuer, must be classified outside of permanent equity in the mezzanine section of the consolidated balance sheets. In accordance with such guidance, management evaluates whether the Company controls the actions or events necessary to issue the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered under share settlement of the contract. Based on this assessment, which includes evaluating terms in the applicable agreements related to redemption provisions, the Company has determined that Common Units and Series A Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership should be classified as "redeemable noncontrolling interests" in the mezzanine section of the consolidated balance sheets. The value of redeemable noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets is reported at the greater of fair value or historical cost at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the value from period to period are charged to additional paid in capital on the accompanying consolidated statement of changes in equity. Accordingly, income or loss allocated to these redeemable noncontrolling interests on the Company's consolidated statements of operations includes the Series A Preferred Unit distributions as well as the pro rata share of the Operating Partnership's net income or loss allocated to Common Units. Below is a table summarizing the activity of redeemable noncontrolling interests for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

Balance, December 31, 2010	\$34,704
Net income	287
Distributions	(363)
Conversions of Common Units into common	
shares	(1,103)
Partnership units retained in connection with	
property acquisition	(156)
Adjustments to reflect Common Units at fair	
value	343
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$33,712

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, 64,601 and 4,776 Common Units, respectively, were converted into shares of the Company's common stock. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately 1% and 2%, respectively, of the equity interests of the Operating Partnership was held by owners of Common Units and Series A Preferred Units.

8. Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures are accounted for utilizing the equity method. As discussed in Note 2, the equity method is used when the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the joint venture but does not have control of the joint venture. Under the equity method, these investments are initially recognized on the balance sheet at cost and are subsequently adjusted to reflect the Company's proportionate share of net earnings or losses of the joint venture, distributions received, contributions, and certain other adjustments, as appropriate. When circumstances indicate there may have been a loss in value of an equity method investment, the Company evaluates the investment for impairment by estimating the Company's ability to recover its investment from future expected discounted cash flows. If the Company determines the loss in value is other than temporary, the Company recognizes an impairment charge to reflect the investment at fair value. The Company believes that there were no impairments of the carrying values of its equity method investments as of March

31, 2011. The company's investments in its unconsolidated joint ventures are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and its share of the income or loss from such joint ventures is included in loss from unconsolidated joint ventures on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Fidelity Joint Ventures: As of March 31, 2011, the Company owns a 10% noncontrolling interest in a joint venture with Fidelity ("Fund II"), which owns one property containing 636 beds. The Company's equity method investment in Fund II totaled approximately \$0.3 million as of both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's share of loss from Fund II was \$12,000 and \$69,000, respectively. Fund II is funded in part with secured third party debt in the amount of approximately \$18.2 million. As more fully discussed in Note 14, the Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt. Additionally, due to Fund II's governing documents not providing for maximum capital commitments from the members, the Company's maximum exposure to loss stemming from its investment in Fund II could be unlimited.

In September 2010, the Company purchased all of the 11 properties owned by another joint venture with Fidelity ("Fund III"). For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company's share of loss from Fund III was approximately \$0.9 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company earned approximately \$31,000 and \$0.5 million, respectively, in property management fees from Fund II and Fund III.

Hampton Roads Joint Venture: The Company also holds a noncontrolling equity interest in a joint venture that owns a military housing privatization project with the United States Navy to design, develop, construct, renovate, and manage unaccompanied soldier housing located on naval bases in Norfolk and Newport News, Virginia. The project is financed through taxable revenue bonds, and the construction of the final phase of the project was completed in July 2010. During 2010, the Company discontinued applying the equity method in regards to its investment in this joint venture as a result of the Company's share of losses exceeding its investment in the joint venture. Because the company has not guaranteed any obligations of the investee and is not otherwise committed to provide further financial support to the investee, it therefore suspended recording its share of losses once the investment was reduced to zero. The Company's share in the loss from this joint venture was approximately \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The Company earned combined development and management fees from this joint venture of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

9. Debt

A summary of the Company's outstanding consolidated indebtedness, including unamortized debt premiums and discounts, is as follows:

	N	March 31,			
		2011	Decen	nber 31, 2010	,
Debt secured by wholly-owned properties:					
Mortgage loans payable	\$	895,821	\$	952,374	
Construction loan payable		100,000		100,000	
		995,821		1,052,374	
Debt secured by on-campus participating properties:					
Mortgage loans payable		32,333		32,421	
Bonds payable		49,375		49,375	
		81,708		81,796	
Senior secured term loan		100,000		100,000	
Senior Security term return		,		*	
Secured agency facility		101,000		101,000	
Unamortized debt premiums		14,661		16,567	
Unamortized debt discounts		(6,265)		(6,634)
Total debt	\$	1,286,925	\$	1,345,103	

Pay-off of Mortgage Debt

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company paid off approximately \$54.5 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt secured by three of its wholly-owned properties (The Edge-Orlando, The Callaway House and University Greens), which were scheduled to mature on January 1, 2011, April 1, 2011 and May 1, 2011, respectively. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had an additional \$198.7 million of outstanding fixed-rate mortgage and construction debt scheduled to mature throughout the remainder of 2011, all of which we expect to pay-off on or before their respective maturity dates. Refer to Note 16 herein for disclosure of mortgage loans paid off by the Company subsequent to March 31, 2011.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The Operating Partnership has a \$225 million revolving credit facility that may be expanded by up to an additional \$75 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The maturity date of the facility is August 14, 2012 and can be extended 12 months through August 2013. As of March 31, 2011, the facility was secured by 10 of the Company's wholly-owned properties.

Availability under the revolving credit facility is limited to an "aggregate borrowing base amount" equal to the lesser of (i) 50% to 65% of the value of certain properties, calculated as set forth in the credit facility, and (ii) the adjusted net operating income from these properties divided by a formula amount. The facility bears interest at a variable rate, at the Company's option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 2.0%, plus, in each case, a spread based upon the Company's total leverage. Additionally, the Company is required to pay an unused commitment fee of 0.35% per annum. As of March 31, 2011, there was no balance on the facility and availability under the facility totaled approximately \$214.5 million.

The terms of the facility include certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other items, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, liens, and the disposition of assets. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and also contains financial covenants that, among other things, require the Company to maintain certain minimum ratios of "EBITDA" (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges and total indebtedness. The Company may not pay distributions that exceed a specified percentage of funds from operations, as adjusted, for any four consecutive quarters. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests. As of March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

Secured Agency Facility

The Company has a \$125 million secured revolving credit facility with a Freddie Mac lender. The facility has a five-year term and is currently secured by 11 properties referred to as the "Collateral Pool." The facility bears interest at one- or three-month LIBOR plus a spread that varies based on the debt service ratio of the Collateral Pool. Additionally, the Company is required to pay an unused commitment fee of 1.0% per annum. As of March 31, 2011, the balance outstanding on the secured agency facility totaled \$101.0 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 2.29%. The secured agency facility includes some, but not all, of the same financial covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

Senior Secured Term Loan

The Operating Partnership has a \$100 million senior secured term loan that matures on May 23, 2011 and can be extended through May 2012 through the exercise of a 12-month extension option. The secured term loan bears interest at a variable rate, at the Company's option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR plus, in each case, a spread based upon the Company's total leverage. As of March 31, 2011, the balance outstanding on the secured term loan was \$100.0 million. The Company guarantees the Operating Partnership's obligations under the secured term loan. The secured term loan is secured by 19 of the Company's wholly-owned properties and includes the same restrictions and covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

On February 23, 2009, the Company entered into two \$50.0 million interest rate swap agreements effective March 20, 2009 through February 20, 2012, which are both used to hedge the Company's exposure to fluctuations in interest payments on its LIBOR-based senior secured term loan. Under the terms of the two interest rate swap agreements, the Company pays an average fixed rate of 1.7925% and receives a one-month LIBOR floating rate. As a result of these two interest rate swaps, the Company effectively fixed the interest rate on its senior secured term loan to 3.3% as of March 31, 2011 (1.8% + 1.5% spread). In the event that the swaps at any time have a negative fair value below a certain threshold level, the Company is required to post cash into a collateral account pledged to the interest rate swap providers. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had deposited approximately \$0.9 million into a collateral account related to one of the interest rate swaps. See Note 12 herein for a more detailed discussion of the Company's derivative instruments and hedging activities.

10. Stockholders' Equity

In May 2010, the Company announced the establishment of an at-the-market share offering program (the "ATM Equity Program") through which the Company may issue and sell, from time to time, shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million. Actual sales under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, market conditions, the trading price of the Company's common stock and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for the Company. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold approximately 0.4 million shares at weighted average price of \$31.77 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$11.2 million after payment of approximately \$0.2 million of commissions to the sales agents. The Company may continue to sell shares of common stock under this program from time to time based on market conditions, although the Company is not under an obligation to sell any shares. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$122.3 million available for issuance under this program.

11. Incentive Award Plan

In May 2010, the Company's stockholders approved the American Campus Communities, Inc. 2010 Incentive Award Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of various stock-based incentive awards to selected employees and directors of the Company and the Company's affiliates. The types of awards that may be granted under the 2010 Plan include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock awards ("RSAs"), restricted stock units ("RSUs"), profits interest units ("PIUs") and other stock-based awards. The Company has reserved a total of 1.7 million shares of the Company's common stock for issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan, subject to certain adjustments for changes in the Company's capital structure, as defined in the 2010 Plan. As of March 31, 2011, 1,574,200 shares were available for issuance under the 2010 Plan.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company awards restricted stock awards ("RSAs") to its executive officers and certain employees that vest in equal annual installments over a five year period. Unvested awards are forfeited upon the termination of an individual's employment with the Company under specified circumstances. Recipients of RSAs receive dividends, as declared by the Company's Board of Directors, on unvested shares, provided that the recipient continues to be employed by the Company. A summary of the Company's RSAs under the Plan as of March 31, 2011 and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2011, is presented below:

	Number of RSAs
Nonvested balance at	10713
December 31, 2010	508,381
Granted	193,978
Vested	(99,243)
Forfeited	(45,213)
Nonvested balance at	
March 31, 2011	557,903

The fair value of RSA's is calculated based on the closing market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant.

The fair value of these awards is amortized to expense over the vesting periods, which amounted to approximately \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

12. Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the

period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with the Company's \$100 million senior secured term loan and the Cullen Oaks Phase I and Phase II loans.

The following table summarizes the Company's outstanding interest rate swap contracts as of March 31, 2011:

Date	Effective	Maturity	Pay Fixed	Receive Floating	Notional	
Entered	Date	Date	Rate	Rate Index	Amount	Fair Value
Feb. 12,		Feb. 15,		LIBOR – 1 mo. plus		
2007	Feb. 15, 2007	2014	6.689%	1.35% \$	33,156	\$ (3,481)
Feb. 23,	March 20,	Feb. 20,				
2009	2009	2012	1.785%	LIBOR – 1 month	50,000	(635)
Feb. 23,	March 20,	Feb. 20,				
2009	2009	2012	1.800%	LIBOR – 1 month	50,000	(642)

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Derivative Liabilities				
	As of March 31, 2011		As of December 3	1, 2010	
	Balance Sheet		Balance Sheet		
	Location	Fair Value	Location	Fair Value	
Interest rate swap contracts	Other liabilities	\$4,758	Other Liabilities	\$5,503	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$4,758		\$5,503	

The table below presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on other comprehensive income ("OCI") for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	An	nount of	In	come
		(Los	s)	
		Recogn	iize	ed
		in OC	I or	1
		Deriva	tiv	e
	(Ef	ffective	Por	tion)
	Three Months			
		Ende	ed	
Cash Flow Hedging		March	31	,
Relationships	2011 20		2010	
Interest rate swap				
contracts	\$ 7	45	\$	(832)
Total	\$ 7	45	\$	(832)

The Company reported a comprehensive loss of approximately \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which includes a net loss of approximately \$2.1 million and an unrealized loss of approximately \$0.8 million (reflected in the table above).

13. Fair Value Disclosures

The following table presents information about the Company's liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations

where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Disclosures concerning assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring or Nonrecurring Basis as of March 31, 2011

	Quoted Prices in			
	Active Markets			
	for		Significant	
	Identical Assets	Significant Other	Unobservable	
	and Liabilities	Observable Inputs	Inputs (Level	Balance at
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	3)	March 31, 2011
Liabilities:				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ -	\$ 4,758	\$ -	\$ 4,758

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company uses derivative financial instruments, specifically interest rate swaps, for nontrading purposes. The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk arising from previously unhedged interest payments associated with variable rate debt. Through March 31, 2011, derivative financial instruments were designated and qualified as cash flow hedges. Derivative contracts with positive net fair values inclusive of net accrued interest receipts or payments, are recorded in other assets. Derivative contracts with negative net fair values, inclusive of net accrued interest payments or receipts, are recorded in other liabilities. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds and guarantees.

Although the Company has determined the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparty. However, as of March 31, 2011, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of the Company's derivative financial instruments. As a result, the Company has determined each of its derivative valuations in its entirety is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash, Student Contracts Receivable, Other Assets, Account Payable and Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities: The Company estimates that the carrying amount approximates fair value, due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Derivative Instruments: These instruments are reported on the balance sheet at fair value, which is based on calculations provided by independent, third-party financial institutions and represent the discounted future cash flows expected, based on the projected future interest rate curves over the life of the instrument.

Senior Secured Term Loan, Secured Credit Facilities and Construction Loans: the fair value of the Company's secured term loan, secured credit facilities and construction loans approximate carrying values due to the variable interest rate feature of these instruments.

Mortgage Loans: the fair value of mortgage loans is based on the present value of the cash flows at current rates through maturity.

Bonds Payable: the fair value of bonds payable is based on market quotes for bonds outstanding.

The table below contains the estimated fair value and related carrying amounts for the Company's mortgage loans and bonds payable as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	March	December 31, 2010		
		Carrying		Carrying
	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value	Amount
Mortgage loans	\$ 967,056	\$ 936,550	\$ 1,032,742	\$ 994,728
Bonds payable	49,756	49,375	49,489	49,375
19				

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

Development-related guarantees: For its third-party development projects, the Company commonly provides alternate housing and project cost guarantees, subject to force majeure. These guarantees are typically limited, on an aggregate basis, to the amount of the projects' related development fees or a contractually agreed-upon maximum exposure amount. Alternate housing guarantees typically expire five days after construction is complete and generally require the Company to provide substitute living quarters and transportation for students to and from the university if the project is not complete by an agreed-upon completion date. Under project cost guarantees, the Company is responsible for the construction cost of a project in excess of an approved budget. The budget consists primarily of costs included in the general contractors' guaranteed maximum price contract ("GMP"). In most cases, the GMP obligates the general contractor, subject to force majeure and approved change orders, to provide completion date guarantees and to cover cost overruns and liquidated damages. In addition, the GMP is typically secured with payment and performance bonds. Project cost guarantees expire upon completion of certain developer obligations, which are normally satisfied within one year after completion of the project.

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into various development-related purchase commitments with parties that provide development-related goods and services. In the event that the Company was to terminate development services prior to the completion of projects under construction, the Company could potentially be committed to satisfy outstanding purchase orders with such parties. At March 31, 2011, management did not anticipate any material deviations from schedule or budget related to third-party development projects currently in progress.

Guaranty of Joint Venture Mortgage Debt: As mentioned in Note 8, Fund II is funded in part with secured third party debt in the amount of \$18.2 million. The Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt, which means the Operating Partnership is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the limited liability company agreement, Fund II agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Operating Partnership with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's exposure under the guarantee for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates is not expected to exceed the Company's 10% proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt.

The Company has estimated the fair value of guarantees entered into to be immaterial. The Company's estimated maximum exposure amount under the above guarantees is approximately \$30.0 million.

Contingencies

Litigation: In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to claims, lawsuits, and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain the ultimate outcome of such matters, management believes that the aggregate amount of such liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts provided or covered by insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

Letters of Intent: In the ordinary course of the Company's business, the Company enters into letters of intent indicating a willingness to negotiate for acquisitions, dispositions or joint ventures. Such letters of intent are non-binding, and neither party to the letter of intent is obligated to pursue negotiations unless and until a definitive contract is entered into by the parties. Even if definitive contracts are entered into, the letters of intent relating to the acquisition and disposition of real property and resulting contracts generally contemplate that such contracts will provide the acquirer with time to evaluate the property and conduct due diligence, during which periods the acquiror will have the ability to terminate the contracts without penalty or forfeiture of any deposit or earnest money. There can be no assurance that definitive contracts will be entered into with respect to any matter covered by letters of intent or that the Company will consummate any transaction contemplated by any definitive contract. Furthermore, due diligence periods for real property are frequently extended as needed. Once the due diligence period expires, the Company is then at risk under a real property acquisition contract, but only to the extent of any earnest money deposits associated with the contract.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Environmental Matters: The Company is not aware of any environmental liability with respect to the properties that would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that such a material environmental liability does not exist. The existence of any such material environmental liability could have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and cash flows.

15. Segments

The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. The Company has identified four reportable segments: Wholly-Owned Properties, On-Campus Participating Properties, Development Services, and Property Management Services. Management evaluates each segment's performance based on operating income before depreciation, amortization, noncontrolling interests and allocation of corporate overhead. Intercompany fees are reflected at the contractually stipulated amounts.

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2011		2010	
Wholly-Owned Properties				
Rental revenues	\$88,340		\$69,871	
Interest and other income	10		11	
Total revenues from external customers	88,350		69,882	
Operating expenses before depreciation, amortization, ground/facility lease, and				
allocation of corporate overhead	(39,005))
Ground/facility lease	(315))
Interest expense	(10,897)	,)
Operating income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead			\$26,874	
Depreciation and amortization	\$20,477		\$15,699	
Capital expenditures	\$34,694		\$4,701	
Total segment assets at March 31,	\$2,502,172	2	\$2,032,79	8
On-Campus Participating Properties				
Rental revenues	\$7,647		\$7,311	
Interest and other income	7		3	
Total revenues from external customers	7,654		7,314	
Operating expenses before depreciation, amortization, ground/facility lease and				
allocation of corporate overhead	(1,562)	(2,232)
Ground/facility lease	(841)	(306)
Interest expense	(1,469)	(1,503)
Operating income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead	\$3,782		\$3,273	
Depreciation and amortization	\$1,098		\$1,079	
Capital expenditures	\$278		\$43	
Total segment assets at March 31,	\$77,388		\$79,883	
Development Services				
Development and construction management fees from external customers	\$3,824		\$574	
Operating expenses	(2,341)	(2,327)
Operating income (loss) before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate				•
overhead	\$1,483		\$(1,753)
Total segment assets at March 31,	\$8,455		\$5,217	
Property Management Services				
Property management fees from external customers	\$1,830		\$2,214	
Intersegment revenues	3,548		2,875	
Total revenues	5,378		5,089	
Operating expenses	(2,253)	(2,027)
Operating income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate overhead	\$3,125		\$3,062	
Total segment assets at March 31,	\$3,681		\$4,412	
Reconciliations				
Total segment revenues	\$105,206		\$82,859	
-	•		,	

Unallocated interest income earned on corporate cash Elimination of intersegment revenues Total consolidated revenues, including interest income	33 (3,548 \$101,691	3) (2,875) \$79,987
Segment operating income before depreciation, amortization and allocation of corporate	, , , , , ,	, ,
overhead	\$46,523	\$31,456
Depreciation and amortization	(23,130) (18,149)
Net unallocated expenses relating to corporate overhead	(5,921) (5,939)
Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures	(12) (1,414)
Income tax provision	(143) (143)
Income from continuing operations	\$17,317	\$5,811
Total segment assets	\$2,591,696	\$2,122,310
Unallocated corporate assets	37,656	33,245
Total assets at March 31,	\$2,629,352	\$2,155,555

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

16. Subsequent Events

Pay-off of Mortgage Debt: On April 1, 2011, the Company paid off \$71.0 million in fixed-rate mortgage debt secured by three of our wholly-owned properties located in State College, Pennsylvania (Lions Crossing, Nittany Crossing and State College Park). This mortgage debt was paid off prior to the scheduled maturity date of June 30, 2011 and had an interest rate per annum of 6.46%.

Property Dispositions: In April 2011, the Company sold three unencumbered wholly-owned properties (Villas on Apache, River Club Apartments and River Walk Townhomes) containing 1,416 beds for a combined sales price of approximately \$47.5 million.

Distributions: On May 4, 2011, the Company declared a first quarter 2011 distribution per share of \$0.3375 which will be paid on May 27, 2011 to all common stockholders of record as of May 17, 2011. At the same time, the Operating Partnership will pay an equivalent amount per unit to holders of Common Units, as well as the quarterly cumulative preferential distribution to holders of Series A Preferred Units (see Note 7).

ATM Equity Program: Subsequent to March 31, 2011, the Company sold approximately 0.8 million shares under the ATM Equity Program for net proceeds of approximately \$24.2 million after payment of approximately \$0.4 million of commissions to the sales agents.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on management's beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend," "may," "might," "plan," "e "project," "should," "will," "result" and similar expressions, which do not relate solely to historical matters, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the following: general risks affecting the real estate industry; risks associated with changes in university admission or housing policies; risks associated with the availability and terms of financing and the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments; failure to manage effectively our growth and expansion into new markets or to integrate acquisitions successfully; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction; risks associated with downturns in the national and local economies, volatility in capital and credit markets, increases in interest rates, and volatility in the securities markets; costs of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other similar laws; potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination; and risks associated with our Company's potential failure to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as amended, and possible adverse changes in tax and environmental laws.

The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this report. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Our Company and Our Business

American Campus Communities, Inc. (referred to herein as the "Company," "us," "we," and "our") is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") that was incorporated on March 9, 2004 and commenced operations effective with the completion of our initial public offering ("IPO") on August 17, 2004. Through our controlling interest in American Campus Communities Operating Partnership LP (the "Operating Partnership"), we are one of the largest owners, managers and developers of high quality student housing properties in the United States in terms of beds owned, developed, and under management. We are a fully integrated, self-managed and self-administered equity REIT with expertise in the acquisition, design, financing, development, construction management, leasing and management of student housing properties.

As of March 31, 2011, our property portfolio contained 104 student housing properties with approximately 64,900 beds in approximately 20,800 apartment units. Our property portfolio consisted of 96 owned off-campus properties that are in close proximity to colleges and universities, four American Campus Equity ("ACE®") properties operated under ground/facility leases with three university systems and four on-campus participating properties operated under ground/facility leases with the related university systems. Our communities contain modern housing units and are supported by a resident assistant system and other student-oriented programming, with many offering resort-style amenities.

Through our taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS"), we provide construction management and development services, primarily for student housing properties owned by colleges and universities, charitable foundations, and others. As of March 31, 2011, we provided third-party management and leasing services for 34 properties (nine of which we served as the third-party developer and construction manager) that represented approximately 24,100 beds in approximately 9,500 units, and one joint venture property in which we own a noncontrolling interest with approximately 600 beds in approximately 200 units. Third-party management and leasing services are typically provided pursuant to multi-year management contracts that have initial terms that range from one to five years. As of March 31, 2011, our total owned, joint venture and third-party managed portfolio was comprised of 139 properties with approximately 89,600 beds in approximately 30,500 units.

Third-Party Development Services

Our third-party development and construction management services as of March 31, 2011 consisted of four projects under contract and currently in progress with fees ranging from \$2.2 million to \$4.6 million. As of March 31, 2011, fees of approximately \$4.6 million remained to be earned by us with respect to these projects, which have scheduled completion dates of August 2011 through August 2012.

While we believe that our third party development/construction management and property management services allow us to develop strong and key relationships with colleges and universities, revenue from this area has over time become a smaller portion of our operations due to the continued focus on and growth of our wholly-owned property portfolio. Nevertheless, we believe these services continue to provide synergies with respect to our ability to identify, acquire or develop, and successfully operate, student housing properties.

American Campus Equity ("ACE®") Development Activities

Branded and marketed to colleges and universities as the ACE program, our equity investment and ownership of on-campus housing via traditional long-term ground leases provides us with what we believe is a lower-risk opportunity compared to other off-campus projects, as our ACE projects have premier on-campus locations with marketing and operational assistance from the universities. The subject university substantially benefits by increasing its housing capacity with modern, well-amenitized student housing with no or minimal impacts to its own credit ratios, preserving the university's credit capacity to fund academic and research facilities.

Owned Development Activities

Overview: As of March 31, 2011, we were in the process of constructing two owned off-campus properties and two ACE properties that will be operated under ground/facility leases with related university systems. We estimate the total development costs relating to these activities will be approximately \$190.4 million. As of March 31, 2011, we have incurred development costs of approximately \$95.6 million in connection with these properties, including land costs of approximately \$7.6 million. Remaining development costs are estimated to be approximately \$94.8 million. The activities are described below:

Villas at Babcock: As of March 31, 2011, our Villas at Babcock owned off-campus property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$35.4 million. The project is scheduled to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2011 and will serve students attending the University of Texas – San Antonio. As of March 31, 2011, the project was approximately 89% complete, and we estimate that remaining development costs will be approximately \$6.4 million. As of March 31, 2011, we have funded 100% of the project's development costs and plan to fund the remaining development costs internally.

Lobo Village: As of March 31, 2011, our Lobo Village ACE property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$39.2 million. The project is scheduled to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2011 and will serve students attending the University of New Mexico. As of March 31, 2011, the project was approximately 70% complete, and we estimate that remaining development costs will be approximately \$9.7 million. As of March 31, 2011, we have funded 100% of the project's development costs and plan to fund the remaining development costs internally.

Villas on Sycamore: As of March 31, 2011, our Villas on Sycamore owned off-campus property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$28.0 million. The project is scheduled to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2011 and will serve students attending Sam Houston State University. As of March 31, 2011, the project was approximately 85% complete, and we estimate that remaining

development costs will be approximately \$6.4 million. As of March 31, 2011, we have funded 100% of the project's development costs and plan to fund the remaining development costs internally.

College Station: As of March 31, 2011, our College Station ACE property was under construction with total development costs estimated to be approximately \$87.8 million. The project is scheduled to complete construction and open for occupancy in August 2012 and will serve students attending Portland State University. As of March 31, 2011, the project was approximately 13% complete, and we estimate that remaining development costs will be approximately \$72.3 million. We expect to fund approximately \$30.3 million of total development costs internally with the remaining \$57.5 to be funded with a third-party construction loan.

ATM Equity Program

In May 2010, we announced the establishment of an at-the-market share offering program (the "ATM Equity Program") through which we may issue and sell, from time to time, shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$150 million. Actual sales under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, market conditions, the trading price of our common stock and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for the Company. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we sold approximately 0.4 million shares at weighted average price of \$31.77 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$11.2 million after payment of approximately \$0.2 million of commissions to the sales agents. We may continue to sell shares of common stock under this program from time to time based on market conditions, although we are not under an obligation to sell any shares. As of March 31, 2011, we had approximately \$122.3 million available for issuance under this program.

Property Operations

As of March 31, 2011 our property portfolio consisted of the following:

PROPERTY	YR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1)	LOCATION	PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED	UNITS	BEDS
Wholly-Owned properties:					
1. Villas on Apache (2)	1999	Tempe, AZ	Arizona State University Main Campus	111	288
2. River Club Apartments (2)	1999	Athens, GA	The University of Georgia – Athens	266	792
3. River Walk Townhomes (2)	1999	Athens, GA	The University of Georgia – Athens	100	336
4. The Village at Blacksburg	2000	Blacksburg, VA	Virginia Polytechnic Inst. & State University	288	1,056
5. The Callaway House	2001	College Station, TX	Texas A&M University	173	538
6. The Village at Alafaya Club	2000	Orlando, FL	The University of Central Florida	228	839
7. The Village at Science Drive	2001	Orlando, FL	The University of Central Florida	192	732
8. The Village at Boulder Creek	2002	Boulder, CO	The University of Colorado at Boulder	82	309
9. University Village - Fresno	2004	Fresno, CA	California State University – Fresno	105	406
10. University Village - Temple (3)	2004	Philadelphia, PA	Temple University	220	749
11. University Club Tallahassee	2005	Tallahassee, FL	Florida State University	152	608
12. The Grove at University Club	2005	Tallahassee, FL	Florida State University	64	128
	2005			96	384

	5 5				
13. College Club Tallahassee		Tallahassee, FL	Florida A&M University		
14. The Greens at College Club	2005	Tallahassee, FL	Florida A&M University	40	160
15. University Club Gainesville	2005	Gainesville, FL	University of Florida	94	376
16. CityParc at Fry Street	2005	Denton, TX	University of North Texas	136	418
17. The Estates	2005	Gainesville, FL	University of Florida	396	1,044
18. University Village - Sweet Home	2005	Amherst, NY	State University of New York – Buffalo	269	828
19. Entrada Real	2006	Tucson, AZ	University of Arizona	98	363
20. Royal Oaks	2006	Tallahassee, FL	Florida State University	82	224
21. Royal Pavilion	2006	Tallahassee, FL	Florida State University	60	204
22. Royal Village Tallahassee	2006	Tallahassee, FL	Florida State University	75	288
23. Royal Village Gainesville	2006	Gainesville, FL	Florida State University	118	448
24. Northgate Lakes	2006	Orlando, FL	The University of Central Florida	194	710
25. Royal Lexington	2006	Lexington, KY	University of Kentucky	94	364
26. The Woods at Greenland	2006	Murfreesboro, TN	Middle Tennessee State University	78	276
27. Raiders Crossing	2006	Murfreesboro, TN	Middle Tennessee State University	96	276
28. Raiders Pass	2006	Lubbock, TX	Texas Tech University	264	828
29. Aggie Station	2006	College Station, TX	Texas A&M University	156	450
30. The Outpost- San Marcos	2006	San Marcos, TX	Texas State University – San Marcos	162	486

31. The Outpost- San Antonio	2006	San Antonio, TX	University of Texas – San Antonio	276	828
32. Callaway Villas	2006	College Station, TX	Texas A&M University	236	704
33. The Village on Sixth	2007	Huntington, WV	Marshall University	248	752
34. Newtown Crossing	2007	Lexington, KY	University of Kentucky	356	942
35. Olde Towne University Square	2007	Toledo, OH	University of Toledo	224	550
36. Peninsular Place	2007	Ypsilanti, MI	Eastern Michigan University	183	478
37. University Centre	2007	Newark, NJ	Rutgers University, NJIT, Essex CCC	234	838
38. Sunnyside Commons	2008	Morgantown, WV	West Virginia University	68	161
39. Pirates Place Townhomes	2008	Greenville, NC	East Carolina University	144	528
40. The Highlands	2008	Reno, NV	University of Nevada at Reno	216	732
41. Jacob Heights I	2008	Mankato, MN	Minnesota State University	42	162
27					

PROPERTY	YR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1)	LOCATION	PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED	UNITS	BEDS
42. Jacob Heights III	2008	Mankato, MN	Minnesota State University	24	96
43. The Summit	2008	Mankato, MN	Minnesota State University	192	672
44. GrandMarc – Seven Corners	2008	Minneapolis, MN	University of Minnesota	186	440
45. University Village – Sacramento	2008	Sacramento, CA	California State University – Sacramento	250	394
46. Aztec Corner	2008	San Diego, CA	San Diego State University	180	606
47. University Crossings	2008	Philadelphia, PA	University of Pennsylvania / Drexel	260	1,016
48. Campus Corner	2008	Bloomington, IN	Indiana University	254	796
49. The Tower at 3rd	2008	Champaign, IL	University of Illinois	187	375
50. University Mills	2008	Cedar Falls, IA	University of Northern Iowa	121	481
51. Pirates Cove	2008	Greenville, NC	East Carolina University	264	1,056
52. University Manor	2008	Greenville, NC	East Carolina University	168	600
53. Brookstone Village	2008	Wilmington, NC	UNC – Wilmington	124	238
54. Campus Walk – Wilmington	2008	Wilmington, NC	UNC – Wilmington	289	290
55. Campus Club – Statesboro	2008	Statesboro, GA	Georgia Southern University	276	984
56. University Pines	2008	Statesboro, GA	Georgia Southern University	144	552
57. Lakeside Apartments	2008	Athens, GA	University of Georgia	244	776

58. The Club	20	008	Athens, GA	University of Georgia	120	480
59. The Edge - Orlando	2008		Orlando, FL	The University of Central Florida	306	930
60. University Place	2008		Charlottesville, VA	University of Virginia	144	528
61. Southview Apartments	2008		Harrisonburg, VA	James Madison University	240	960
62. Stone Gate Apartments	2008		Harrisonburg, VA	James Madison University	168	672
63. The Commons	2008		Harrisonburg, VA	James Madison University	132	528
64. University Gables	2008		Murfreesboro, TN	Middle Tennessee State University	168	648
65. Campus Ridge Apartments	2008		Johnson City, TN	East Tennessee State University	132	528
66. The Enclave	2008		Bowling Green, OH	Bowling Green State University	120	480
67. Hawks Landing	2008		Oxford, OH	Miami University of Ohio	122	484
68. Willow Tree Apartments	2008		Ann Arbor, MI	University of Michigan	310	568
69. Willow Tree Towers	2008		Ann Arbor, MI	University of Michigan	163	283
70. Abbott Place	2008		East Lansing, MI	Michigan State University	222	654
71. The Centre	2008		Kalamazoo, MI	Western Michigan University	232	700
72. University Meadows	2008		Mt. Pleasant, MI	Central Michigan University	184	616
73. Campus Way	2008		Tuscaloosa, AL	University of Alabama	196	684
74.Campus Trails	2008		Starkville, MS	Mississippi State University	156	480

75. University Pointe	2008	Lubbock, TX	Texas Tech University	204	682
76. University Trails	2008	Lubbock, TX	Texas Tech University	240	684
77. Vista del Sol - ACE (4)	2008	Tempe, AZ	Arizona State University	613	1,866
78. Villas at Chestnut Ridge	2008	Amherst, NY	State University of New York – Buffalo	196	552
79. Barrett Honors College - ACE (4)	2009	Tempe, AZ	Arizona State University	604	1,721
80. University Heights	2010	Birmingham, AL	University of Alabama at Birmingham	176	528
81. Sanctuary Lofts	2010	San Marcos, TX	Texas State University – San Marcos	201	487
82. Lions Crossing	2010	State College, PA	Penn State University	204	696
83. Nittany Crossing	2010	State College, PA	Penn State University	204	684
84. State College Park	2010	State College, PA	Penn State University	196	752
85. The View	2003	Lincoln, NE	University of Nebraska	157	590
86. Chapel Ridge	2010	Chapel Hill, NC	UNC – Chapel Hill	180	544
28					

PROPERTY	YR ACQUIRED / DEVELOPED (1)	LOCATION	PRIMARY UNIVERSITY SERVED	UNITS	BEDS
87. Chapel View	2010	Chapel Hill, NC	UNC – Chapel Hill	224	358
88. University Oaks	2010	Columbia, SC	University of South Carolina	181	662
89. Blanton Common	2010	Valdosta, GA	Valdosta State University	276	860
90. Burbank Commons	2010	Baton Rouge, LA	Louisiana State University	134	532
91. University Crescent	2010	Baton Rouge, LA	Louisiana State University	192	612
92. University Greens	2010	Norman, OK	University of Oklahoma	156	516
93. The Edge – Charlotte	2010	Charlotte, NC	University of North Carolina at Charlotte	180	720
94. University Walk	2010	Charlotte, NC	University of North Carolina at Charlotte	120	480
95. Uptown Apartments	2010	Denton, TX	University of North Texas	180	528
96. 2nd Avenue Centre	2010	Gainesville, FL	University of Florida	274	868
97. Villas at Babcock (5)	2011	San Antonio, TX	University of Texas – San Antonio	204	792
98. Lobo Village – ACE (5) (6)	2011	Albuquerque, NM	University of New Mexico	216	864
99. Villas on Sycamore (5)	2011	Huntsville, TX	Sam Houston State University	170	680
100. College Station – ACE (7) (8)	2012	Portland, OR	Portland State University	282	978
Total wholly-owned properties				18,958	60,414

On-campus participating properties:

101. University Village – PVAMU	1996 / 97 / 98	Prairie View, TX	Prairie View A&M University	612	1,920
102. University College – PVAMU	2000 / 2003	Prairie View, TX	Prairie View A&M University	756	1,470
103. University Village – TAMIU	1997	Laredo, TX	Texas A&M International University	84	250
104. Cullen Oaks – Phase I and II	2001 / 2005	Houston, TX	The University of Houston	411	879
Total on-campus participating properties				1,863	4,519
Grand Total – All Properties				20,821	64,933

- (1) As of March 31, 2011, the average age of our wholly-owned properties was approximately 11.0 years.
 - (2) These properties are classified as held for sale as of March 31, 2011 and were sold in April 2011.
 - (3) Subject to a 75-year ground lease with Temple University.
 - (4) Subject to a 65-year ground/facility lease with Arizona State University.
 - (5) Currently under development with a scheduled completion date of August 2011.
 - (6) Subject to a 40-year ground/facility lease with the University of New Mexico.
 - (7) Currently under development with a scheduled completion date of August 2012.
 - (8) Subject to a 65-year ground/facility lease with Portland State University.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010

The following table presents our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, including the amount and percentage change in these results between the two periods:

	Tł	ree Months En	ded l	March 31,	,				
		2011		2010	C	hange (\$)	(Change (%))
Revenues:									
Wholly-owned properties	\$	87,999	\$	69,619	\$	18,380		26.4	%
On-campus participating properties		7,647		7,311		336		4.6	%
Third party development services		3,824		574		3,250		566.2	%
Third party management services		1,830		2,214		(384)	(17.3)%
Resident services		341		252		89		35.3	%
Total revenues		101,641		79,970		21,671		27.1	%
Operating expenses:									
Wholly-owned properties		38,721		30,703		8,018		26.1	%
On-campus participating properties		1,744		2,399		(655)	(27.3)%
Third party development and management									
services		2,682		3,099		(417)	(13.5)%
General and administrative		2,773		2,753		20		0.7	%
Depreciation and amortization		21,900		17,121		4,779		27.9	%
Ground/facility leases		1,156		571		585		102.5	%
Total operating expenses		68,976		56,646		12,330		21.8	%
Operating income		32,665		23,324		9,341		40.0	%
Nonoperating income and (expenses):									
Interest income		50		17		33		194.1	%
Interest expense		(14,013)		(14,945)	932		(6.2)%
Amortization of deferred financing costs		(1,230)		(1,028)	(202)	19.6	%
Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures		(12)		(1,414)	1,402		(99.2)%
Total nonoperating expenses		(15,205)		(17,370)	2,165		(12.5)%
Income before income taxes and									
discontinued operations		17,460		5,954		11,506		193.2	%
Income tax provision		(143)		(143)	-		0.0	%
Income from continuing operations		17,317		5,811		11,506		198.0	%
Discontinued operations:									
Income (loss) attributable to discontinued									
operations		563		(4,220)	4,783		(113.3)%
Loss from disposition of real estate		-		(3,646)	3,646		(100.0)%
Total discontinued operations		563		(7,866)	8,429		(107.2)%
Net income (loss)		17,880		(2,055)	19,935		(970.1)%
		(467)		(134)	(333)	248.5	%

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders

17,413 \$ (2,189) \$ 19,602 (89

(895.5)%

Wholly-Owned Properties Operations

Revenues from our wholly-owned properties for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased by approximately \$18.4 million primarily due to the acquisition of 14 properties from two of our unconsolidated joint ventures in September and November 2010, improved occupancy and rental rates for the 2010/2011 academic year and the acquisition of three properties in March, July and December 2010. Operating expenses increased approximately \$8.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the same period prior year, primarily due to the same factors which affected the increase in revenues.

New Property Operations. Our new properties consist of the following: (i) 14 properties acquired in September and November 2010 from two joint ventures in which we previously held a 10% interest; (ii) 2nd Avenue Centre (formerly Jefferson 2nd Avenue), acquired in December 2010; (iii) Sanctuary Lofts, acquired in July 2010; (iv) Campus Trails, a property that experienced significant property damage in April 2010 as a result of a fire in which 72 beds were destroyed and are currently in the process of being rebuilt; and (v) University Heights, acquired in March 2010. These new properties contributed an additional \$15.1 million of revenues and an additional \$7.3 million of operating expenses during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Same Store Property Operations (Excluding New Property Activity). Excluding three properties that were sold in April 2011 and are included in discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, we had 75 properties containing 44,787 beds which were operating during both of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. These properties produced revenues of approximately \$72.5 million and \$69.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of approximately \$3.3 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in average occupancy from 96.3% during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 98.6% during the three months ended March 31, 2011. Future revenues will be dependent on our ability to maintain our current leases in effect for the 2010/2011 academic year and our ability to obtain appropriate rental rates and desired occupancy for the 2011/2012 academic year at our various properties during our leasing period, which typically begins in January and ends in August.

At these existing same store properties, operating expenses increased approximately \$0.7 million from \$30.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$31.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to increased utility, maintenance and payroll costs incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared with the same period prior year as a result of higher occupancy at the properties. We anticipate that operating expenses for our same store property portfolio in 2011 will increase slightly as compared with 2010 as a result of general inflation.

On-Campus Participating Properties ("OCPP") Operations

We had four participating properties containing 4,519 beds which were operating during both three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Revenues from our participating properties increased to \$7.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 from \$7.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of approximately \$0.3 million. This increase was primarily a result of an increase in average rental rates during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the same period prior year, offset by a slight decrease in average occupancy from 96.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 96.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

At these properties, operating expenses decreased from \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a decrease of approximately \$0.7 million. This decrease was primarily due to a utility refund of approximately \$0.7 million received at one of the properties during the three months ended March 31, 2011. We anticipate that operating expenses in 2011 will decrease as a result of the nonrecurring event discussed above offset by an increase in general inflation.

Third Party Development Services Revenue

Third party development services revenue increased by approximately \$3.2 million, from \$0.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$3.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily related to the closing of bond financing and commencement of construction of the Illinois State University and Northern Illinois University projects during the three months ended March 31, 2011, which in total contributed an additional \$3.4 million to third party development services revenue during the period. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we had four projects in progress with an average contractual fee of approximately \$3.0 million, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 in which we had three projects in progress with an average contractual fee of approximately \$4.5 million. Closing of additional third-party development projects during 2011 will be dependent upon the Company's university clients obtaining project financing, which has been adversely affected by current capital market conditions.

Development services revenues are dependent on our ability to successfully be awarded such projects, the amount of the contractual fee related to the project and the timing and completion of the development and construction of the

project. In addition, to the extent projects are completed under budget, we may be entitled to a portion of such savings, which are recognized as revenue when performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third-party. It is possible that projects for which we have deferred pre-development costs will not close and that we will not be reimbursed for such costs. The pre-development costs associated therewith will ordinarily be charged against income for the then-current period.

Third Party Management Services Revenue

Third party management services revenue decreased by approximately \$0.4 million from \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. We experienced a decrease in management services revenue of approximately \$0.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily as a result of our September and November 2010 purchases of a 14-property portfolio from joint ventures in which we previously held a 10% interest. We anticipate third party management services revenue to decrease in 2011 primarily as a result of the transaction discussed above.

Third Party Development and Management Services Expenses

Third party development and management services expenses decreased by approximately \$0.4 million, from \$3.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily a result of less activity in our management services segment due to the 14 properties acquired from our joint ventures with Fidelity in September and November 2010. We anticipate third-party development and management services expenses to decrease in 2011 as a result of the factor discussed above as well as an anticipated decrease in reserves recorded on predevelopment costs for third-party development projects and accounts receivable from third-party management contracts.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased by approximately \$4.8 million, from \$17.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$21.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to the following items: (i) additional depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$3.5 million recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2011 related to the acquisition of a 14-property portfolio from two joint ventures in which we previously held a 10% interest in September and November 2010, and (ii) additional depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$0.7 million recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2011 related to the acquisition of three other properties in March, July and December 2010. We expect depreciation and amortization expense to increase in 2011 as a result of properties acquired during 2010 and the anticipated completion of three owned development projects that are currently under construction and scheduled to open for occupancy in August 2011.

Ground/Facility Leases

Ground/facility leases expense increased by approximately \$0.6 million, from \$0.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to overall improvements in operations experienced at our on-campus participating properties, as well as a utility refund of approximately \$0.7 million received at one of the properties during the three months ended March 31, 2011, which increased the University's share of the cash flow available for distribution. We anticipate ground/facility leases expense to increase in 2011 as a result of the nonrecurring event discussed above as well as improved operations at our on-campus participating properties.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by approximately \$0.9 million, from \$14.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$14.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. We experienced a decrease in interest expense of approximately \$1.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 as a result of mortgage loans paid off during 2010 and 2011. In addition, interest expense decreased as a result of an increase in capitalized interest of approximately \$1.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as

compared to the same period in 2010 due to the timing and volume of construction activities on our owned development projects during the respective periods. These decreases were offset by additional interest of approximately \$1.9 million incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2011 related to loans assumed on the 14 properties we purchased from two joint ventures in September and November 2010. We expect interest expense to decrease in 2011 due to the payoff of mortgage debt in 2010 and mortgage debt scheduled to mature in 2011, and an increase in capitalized interest associated with our increased owned development activity, offset by additional interest expense incurred in connection with loans assumed on the 14 properties acquired from two joint ventures in 2010 and additional interest expense incurred from anticipated borrowings on our corporate-level debt.

Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased approximately \$0.2 million from \$1.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to an additional \$0.2 million of finance cost amortization incurred to assume debt on the 14 properties acquired from two joint ventures in 2010. We expect amortization of deferred financing costs in 2011 to increase slightly as a result of debt assumed in connection with our property acquisitions discussed above offset by mortgage loans paid off in 2010 and 2011.

Loss from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures decreased approximately \$1.4 million from \$1.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 to \$12,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decrease was due to the following items: (i) a \$0.9 million decrease in our share of the loss from the joint ventures with Fidelity as a result of our purchase of the full ownership interests in 14 joint venture properties in September and November 2010, and (ii) a \$0.5 million decrease in our share of the loss from the Hampton Roads military housing joint venture as a result of us discontinuing the application of the equity method for our investment in this joint venture, as more fully discussed in Note 8 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations includes the following properties: (i) Cambridge at Southern, a wholly-owned property sold in March 2010 for a sale price of \$19.5 million, (ii) Campus Walk – Oxford, a wholly-owned property sold in April 2010 for a sale price of \$9.2 million, and (iii) Villas on Apache, River Club Apartments and River Walk Townhomes, wholly-owned properties that were classified as Held for Sale as of March 31, 2011 and were sold in April 2011. Refer to Note 4 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein for a table summarizing the results of operations of the properties classified within discontinued operations.

Cash Flows

Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Operating Activities

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$24.5 million, as compared to approximately \$25.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of approximately \$0.8 million. This decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to an increase in pre-development costs related to our owned development projects that have not yet commenced construction, offset by an increase in operating cash flows provided from the timing of the acquisition of 17 properties in 2010 and improved operations at our same store wholly-owned properties.

Investing Activities

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, our cash utilized in investing activities was comprised of the following:

Three Months Ended March 31,							
201	1		201)10			
\$	-		\$	1,098			
	(458)		(9,618)		
	(278)		(43)		
	(3,001)		(4,701)		
	(31,693)		-			
	(3,150))		-			
	(119)		(183)		
	613			92			
	526			-			
	201	2011 \$ - (458 (278 (3,001 (31,693 (3,150 (119 613	2011 \$ - (458) (278) (3,001) (31,693) (3,150) (119) 613	2011 201 \$ - \$ (458) (278) (3,001) (31,693) (3,150) (119) 613	2011 2010 \$ - \$ 1,098 (458) (9,618 (278) (43 (3,001) (4,701 (31,693) - (3,150) - (119) (183 613 92		

Total \$ (37,560) \$ (13,355)

Investing activities utilized approximately \$37.6 million and \$13.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The \$24.2 million increase in cash utilized in investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 related primarily to a \$31.4 million increase in cash used to fund the construction of our wholly-owned development properties. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, four wholly-owned properties were under development, of which three are scheduled for completion in August 2011, while there were no properties under development during the three months ended March 31, 2010. In addition, we used approximately \$3.2 million in cash during the three months ended March 31, 2011 to acquire the remaining noncontrolling interest from a third party partner in a joint venture that owns and operates a wholly-owned property. These increases in cash utilized in investing activities were offset by a \$9.2 million decrease in cash paid for property and land acquisitions, as we used approximately \$9.6 million in cash to acquire a property from one of the joint ventures with Fidelity in March 2010. In addition, we received approximately \$1.1 million of net proceeds from the disposition of a property in March 2010.

Financing Activities

Cash used for financing activities totaled approximately \$68.5 million and \$56.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The \$12.1 million increase in cash used for financing activities was primarily a result of a \$20.0 million increase in cash used to pay-off mortgage debt, as we paid off approximately \$54.5 million in mortgage loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to approximately \$34.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010. In addition, we experienced a \$5.0 million increase in distributions to stockholders as a result of the issuance of common stock in connection with our August 2010 equity offering and our ATM Equity Program. These increases were offset by proceeds of approximately \$11.2 million, net of offering costs, raised under our ATM Equity Program during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Structure of Owned On-campus Properties

Under our ACE program, we have entered into four ground/facility leases with three university systems to finance, construct, and manage four student housing properties. Under the terms of these ground/facility leases, the university systems own the land and we make rent payments to the university systems that consist of fixed and variable rent payments that are dependent upon the operating performance of the properties.

Structure of On-campus Participating Properties

At our on-campus participating properties, the subject universities own both the land and improvements. We then have a leasehold interest under a ground/facility lease. Under the lease, we receive an annual distribution representing 50% of these properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses (which includes our management fees), debt service (which includes repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. We also manage these properties under multi-year management agreements and are paid a management fee representing 5% of receipts.

We do not have access to the cash flows and working capital of these participating properties except for the annual net cash distribution as described above. Additionally, a substantial portion of these properties' cash flow is dedicated to capital reserves required under the applicable property indebtedness and to the amortization of such indebtedness. These amounts do not increase our economic interest in these properties since our interest, including our right to share in the net cash available for distribution from the properties, terminates upon the amortization of their indebtedness. Our economic interest in these properties is therefore limited to our interest in the net cash flow and management and development fees from these properties, as reflected in our calculation of Funds from Operations Modified ("FFOM") contained herein. Accordingly, when considering these properties' contribution to our operations, we focus upon our share of these properties' net cash available for distribution and the management fees that we receive from these properties, rather than upon their contribution to our gross revenues and expenses for financial reporting purposes.

The following table reflects the amounts included in our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2011			2010	
Revenues	\$	7,647		\$	7,311	
Direct operating expenses (1)		(1,562)		(2,232)
Amortization		(1,098)		(1,079)
Amortization of deferred financing costs		(42)		(49)
Ground/facility leases (2)		(841)		(306)
Net operating income		4,104			3,645	

Interest income	7	3
Interest expense	(1,469)	(1,503)
Net income	\$ 2,642	\$ 2,145

- (1) Excludes property management fees of approximately \$0.3 million for both three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. This expense and the corresponding fee revenue have been eliminated in consolidation. Also excludes allocation of expenses related to corporate management and oversight.
- (2) Represents the universities' 50% share of the properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (including payment of principal) and capital expenditures.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Balances and Liquidity

As of March 31, 2011, excluding our on-campus participating properties, we had \$46.7 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash as compared to \$131.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash as of December 31, 2010. Restricted cash primarily consists of escrow accounts held by lenders and resident security deposits, as required by law in certain states. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to the use of cash to pay-off \$54.5 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt and \$34.7 million used to fund development costs on our four wholly-owned development projects, offset by \$11.2 million of net proceeds raised under our ATM Equity Program.

As of March 31, 2011, our short-term liquidity needs included, but were not limited to, the following: (i) anticipated distribution payments to our common and restricted stockholders totaling approximately \$91.7 million based on an assumed annual cash distribution of \$1.35 per share based on the number of our shares outstanding as of March 31, 2011, (ii) anticipated distribution payments to our Operating Partnership unitholders totaling approximately \$1.4 million based on an assumed annual distribution of \$1.35 per common unit of limited partnership interest ("Common Unit") and a cumulative preferential per annum cash distribution rate of 5.99% on our preferred units of limited partnership interest ("Series A Preferred Units") based on the number of units outstanding as of March 31, 2011, (iii) the pay-off of approximately \$114.6 million of fixed-rate mortgage debt scheduled to mature during the next 12 months, (iv) the pay-off of a \$100.0 million variable-rate construction loan scheduled to mature in December 2011, (v) development costs over the next 12 months totaling approximately \$47.6 million for four wholly-owned properties currently under construction, (vi) funds for other potential development projects scheduled to commence construction during the next 12 months, and (vii) potential future property acquisitions.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements by (i) borrowing under our existing secured revolving credit facilities discussed below, (ii) potentially disposing of properties depending on market conditions, (iii) issuing securities, including common stock, under our \$150 million ATM Equity Program, and (iv) utilizing net cash provided by operations.

We may seek additional funds to undertake initiatives not contemplated by our business plan or obtain additional cushion against possible shortfalls. We also may pursue additional financing as opportunities arise. Future financings may include a range of different sizes or types of financing, including the incurrence of additional secured debt and the sale of additional debt or equity securities. These funds may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our ability to obtain additional financing depends on several factors, including future market conditions, our success or lack of success in penetrating our markets, our future creditworthiness, and restrictions contained in agreements with our investors or lenders, including the restrictions contained in the agreements governing our revolving credit facilities and term loan. These financings could increase our level of indebtedness or result in dilution to our equity holders.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The Operating Partnership has a \$225 million revolving credit facility that may be expanded by up to an additional \$75 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The maturity date of the facility is August 14, 2012 and can be extended 12 months through August 2013. As of March 31, 2011, the facility was secured by 10 of our wholly-owned properties.

Availability under the revolving credit facility is limited to an "aggregate borrowing base amount" equal to the lesser of (i) 50% to 65% of the value of certain properties, calculated as set forth in the credit facility, and (ii) the adjusted net operating income from these properties divided by a formula amount. The facility bears interest at a variable rate, at

our option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 2.0%, plus, in each case, a spread based upon our total leverage. Additionally, we are required to pay an unused commitment fee of 0.35% per annum. As of March 31, 2011, there was no balance on the facility and availability under the facility totaled approximately \$214.5 million.

The terms of the facility include certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other items, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, liens, and the disposition of assets. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and also contains financial covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain certain minimum ratios of "EBITDA" (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges and total indebtedness. We may not pay distributions that exceed a specified percentage of funds from operations, as adjusted, for any four consecutive quarters. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests. As of March 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Secured Agency Facility

We have a \$125 million secured revolving credit facility with a Freddie Mac lender. The facility has a five-year term and is currently secured by 11 properties referred to as the "Collateral Pool." The facility bears interest at one- or three-month LIBOR plus a spread that varies based on the debt service ratio of the Collateral Pool. Additionally, we are required to pay an unused commitment fee of 1.0% per annum. As of March 31, 2011, the balance outstanding on the facility totaled \$101.0 million, bearing interest at a weighted average annual rate of 2.29%. The secured agency facility includes some, but not all, of the same financial covenants as the secured revolving credit facility, described above.

Senior Secured Term Loan

The Operating Partnership has a \$100 million senior secured term loan that matures on May 23, 2011 and can be extended through May 2012 through the exercise of a 12-month extension option. We currently expect to qualify for this extension option should we choose to exercise it. The secured term loan bears interest at a variable rate, at our option, based upon a base rate or one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR plus, in each case, a spread based upon our total leverage. As of March 31, 2011, the balance outstanding on the secured term loan was \$100.0 million.

On February 23, 2009, we entered into two \$50.0 million interest rate swap agreements effective March 20, 2009 through February 20, 2012, which are both used to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest payments on its LIBOR-based senior secured term loan. Under the terms of the two interest rate swap agreements, we pay an average fixed rate of 1.7925% and receive one-month LIBOR floating rate. As a result of these two interest rate swaps, we have effectively fixed the interest rate on our senior secured term loan to 3.3% as of March 31, 2011 (1.8% + 1.5% spread). In the event that the swaps at any time have a negative fair value below a certain threshold level, we could be required to post cash into a collateral account pledged to the interest rate swap providers. As of March 31, 2011, we had deposited approximately \$0.9 million into a collateral account related to one of the interest rate swaps. Refer to Note 12 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein for a more detailed discussion of our derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Distributions

We are required to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) on an annual basis in order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Distributions to common stockholders are at the discretion of the Board of Directors. We may use borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility to fund distributions. The Board of Directors considers a number of factors when determining distribution levels, including market factors and our Company's performance in addition to REIT requirements.

On May 4, 2011, we declared a first quarter 2011 distribution per share of \$0.3375, which will be paid on May 27, 2011 to all common stockholders of record as of May 17, 2011. At the same time, the Operating Partnership will pay an equivalent amount per unit to holders of Common Units, as well as the quarterly cumulative preferential distribution to holders of Series A Preferred Units.

Pre-Development Expenditures

Our third-party and owned development activities have historically required us to fund pre-development expenditures such as architectural fees, permits and deposits. The closing and/or commencement of construction of these development projects is subject to a number of risks such as our inability to obtain financing on favorable terms and delays or refusals in obtaining necessary zoning, land use, building, and other required governmental permits and authorizations. As such, we cannot always predict accurately the liquidity needs of these activities. We frequently

incur these pre-development expenditures before a financing commitment and/or required permits and authorizations have been obtained. Accordingly, we bear the risk of the loss of these pre-development expenditures if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms or we are unable to successfully obtain the required permits and authorizations. Historically, our third-party and owned development projects have been successfully structured and financed; however, these developments have at times been delayed beyond the period initially scheduled, causing revenue to be recognized in later periods. As of March 31, 2011, we have deferred approximately \$9.7 million in pre-development costs related to third-party and owned development projects that have not yet commenced construction.

Indebtedness

As of March 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1,278.5 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness (excluding net unamortized debt discounts and debt premiums of approximately \$6.3 million and \$14.7 million, respectively), comprised of a \$100.0 million balance on our senior secured term loan, \$101.0 million balance on our secured agency facility, \$995.8 million in mortgage and construction loans secured by our wholly-owned properties, \$32.3 million in mortgage loans secured by two phases of an on-campus participating property, and \$49.4 million in bond issuances secured by three of our on-campus participating properties. The weighted average interest rate on our consolidated indebtedness as of March 31, 2011 was 4.99% per annum. As of March 31, 2011, approximately 15.7% of our total consolidated indebtedness was variable rate debt, comprised of our secured agency facility and Vista del Sol construction loan discussed below.

Wholly-Owned Properties

The weighted average interest rate of the \$995.8 million of wholly-owned mortgage and construction debt was 5.26% per annum as of March 31, 2011. Each of the mortgage loans is a non-recourse obligation subject to customary exceptions. Each of these mortgages has a 30-year amortization, and none are cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized to any other indebtedness. The loans generally may not be prepaid prior to maturity; in certain cases prepayment is allowed, subject to prepayment penalties.

The development and construction for Vista de Sol, an owned on-campus property, was partially financed with a \$100.0 million construction loan. We have the option of choosing the Prime rate or one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 1.20%. The loan requires payments of interest only during the term of the loan and any accrued interest and outstanding borrowings become due on the maturity date. In October 2010, we elected to exercise the remaining 12-month extension option available to us, which extended the maturity date to December 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the balance outstanding on the construction loan totaled \$100.0 million, bearing interest at a rate of 1.51% per annum.

On-Campus Participating Properties

Three of our on-campus participating properties are 100% financed with \$49.4 million of outstanding project-based taxable bonds. Under the terms of these financings, one of our special purpose subsidiaries publicly issued three series of taxable bonds and loaned the proceeds to three special purpose subsidiaries that each hold a separate leasehold interest. Although a default in payment by these special purpose subsidiaries could result in a default under one or more series of bonds, the indebtedness of any of these special purpose subsidiaries is not cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized with indebtedness of the Company, the Operating Partnership or other special purpose subsidiaries. Repayment of principal and interest on these bonds is insured by MBIA, Inc. The loans encumbering the leasehold interests are non-recourse, subject to customary exceptions.

The Cullen Oaks Phase I and Phase II on-campus participating properties are currently encumbered by mortgage loans with balances as of March 31, 2011 of approximately \$16.1 million and \$16.2 million, respectively. The loans mature in February 2014 and bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.35%. In connection with these loans, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement effective February 15, 2007 through February 15, 2014, that is designated to hedge our exposure to fluctuations on interest payments attributed to changes in interest rates associated with payments on the loans. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed rate of 6.69% per annum and receive a floating rate of LIBOR plus 1.35%. Pursuant to the Leases, in the event the leasehold estate does not achieve Financial Break Even (defined as revenues less operating expenses, excluding management fees, less debt service), the applicable Lessor would be required to make a rental payment, also known as the Contingent Payment, sufficient to achieve Financial Break Even. The Contingent Payment provision remains in effect until such time as any financing

placed on the facilities would receive an investment grade rating without the Contingent Payment provision. In the event that the Lessor is required to make a Contingent Payment, future net cash flow distributions would be first applied to repay such Contingent Payments and then to unpaid management fees prior to normal distributions. We have guaranteed payment of this property's indebtedness.

The weighted average interest rate of the indebtedness encumbering our on-campus participating properties was 7.18% at March 31, 2011.

Off Balance Sheet Items

As discussed in Note 14 in the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 herein, we continue to hold a 10% equity interest in an unconsolidated joint venture with mortgage debt outstanding of approximately \$18.2 million as of March 31, 2011. Our Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor of this debt, which means we are liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the limited liability company agreement, the joint venture agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Operating Partnership with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates.

Funds From Operations

As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents income (loss) before allocation to noncontrolling interests (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of loan origination costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002), which may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

The following table presents a reconciliation of our FFO to our net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders:

		Three Months Ended March 31,				
	201	.1	2010			
Net income (loss) attributable to common						
shareholders	\$	17,413	\$	(2,189)	
Noncontrolling interests		467		134		
Loss from disposition of real estate		-		3,646		
Loss from unconsolidated joint ventures		12		1,414		
FFO from unconsolidated joint ventures (1)		4		(807))	
Real estate related depreciation and amortization		21,907		17,438		
Funds from operations ("FFO")	\$	39,803	\$	19,636		
FFO per share – diluted	\$	0.58	\$	0.36		
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted		68,610,982		54,108,99	3	

(1) Represents our share of the FFO from three joint ventures in which we are or were a noncontrolling partner. Includes the Hampton Roads Military Housing joint venture in which we have a minimal economic interest as well as our 10% noncontrolling interest in two joint ventures (Fund II and Fund III) formed or assumed as part of our acquisition of GMH. In September and November 2010, we acquired Fidelity's 90% interest in 14 joint venture properties. Subsequent to the acquisition, the 14 properties are now wholly-owned and are consolidated by us. One property was not acquired and will continue to be owned by Fund II.

While our on-campus participating properties contributed \$7.6 million and \$7.3 million to our revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, under our participating ground leases, we and the participating university systems each receive 50% of the properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (which includes significant amounts towards repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. A substantial portion of our revenues attributable to these properties is reflective of cash that is required to be used for capital expenditures and for the amortization of applicable property indebtedness. These amounts do not increase our economic interest in these properties or otherwise benefit us since our interest in the properties terminates upon the repayment of the applicable property indebtedness.

As noted above, FFO excludes GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets because these GAAP items assume that the value of real estate diminishes over time. However, unlike the ownership of our wholly-owned properties, the unique features of our ownership interest in our on-campus participating properties cause the value of these properties to diminish over time. For example, since the ground/facility leases under which we operate the participating properties require the reinvestment from operations of specified amounts for capital expenditures and for the repayment of debt while our interest in these properties terminates upon the repayment of the debt, such capital expenditures do not increase the value of the property to us and mortgage debt amortization only increases the equity of the ground lessor. Accordingly, when considering our FFO, we believe it is also a meaningful measure of our performance to modify FFO to exclude the operations of our on-campus participating properties and to consider their impact on performance by including only that portion of our revenues from those properties that are reflective of our share of net cash flow and the management fees that we receive, both of which increase and decrease with the operating measure of the properties, a measure referred to herein as FFOM. This narrower measure of performance measures our profitability for these properties in a manner that is similar to the measure of our profitability from our services business where we similarly incur no initial or ongoing capital investment in a property and derive only consequential benefits from capital expenditures and debt amortization. We believe, however, that this narrower measure of performance is inappropriate in traditional real estate ownership structures where debt amortization and capital expenditures enhance the property owner's long-term profitability from its investment.

We also exclude impairment charges from FFOM, as we believe the inclusion of such charges is inconsistent with the treatment of gains and losses on the disposition of real estate, which are not included in FFO. Additionally, we believe that excluding impairment charges from FFOM more appropriately presents the operating performance of the Company's real estate investments on a comparative basis.

Funds From Operations—Modified ("FFOM"):

	Three Months Ended March 3				rch 31,	
	20	11			2010	
Funds from operations	\$	39,803		\$	19,636	
Elimination of operations of on-campus participating properties						
and unconsolidated joint venture:						
Net income from on-campus participating properties		(2,642)		(2,145)
Amortization of investment in on-campus participating						
properties		(1,098)		(1,079)
FFO from Hampton Roads unconsolidated joint venture (1)		-			160	
		36,063			16,572	
Modifications to reflect operational performance of						
on-campus participating properties:						
Our share of net cash flow (2)		841			306	
Management fees		350			333	
Impact of on-campus participating properties		1,191			639	
Elimination of provision for asset impairment –						
wholly-owned property (3)		-			4,036	
Elimination of provision for asset impairment – unconsolidated						
joint venture (4)		-			782	
Funds from operations – modified ("FFOM")	\$	37,254		\$	22,029	
FFOM per share – diluted	\$	0.54		\$	0.41	

Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted

68,610,982

54,108,993

- (1)Our share of the FFO from the Hampton Roads Military Housing unconsolidated joint venture is excluded from the calculation of FFOM, as management believes this amount does not accurately reflect the company's participation in the economics of the transaction.
- (2)50% of the properties' net cash available for distribution after payment of operating expenses, debt service (including repayment of principal) and capital expenditures. Represents amounts accrued for the interim periods.

- (3) Represents an impairment charge recorded for Campus Walk Oxford, a property that was sold on April 30, 2010. The impairment charge is included in loss attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- (4) Represents our share of an impairment charge recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2010 for a property owned through one of our unconsolidated Fidelity Joint Ventures.

Our FFOM may have limitations as an analytical tool because it reflects the unique contractual calculation of net cash flow from our on-campus participating properties, which is different from that of our off campus owned properties. Additionally, FFOM reflects features of our ownership interests in our on-campus participating properties that are unique to us. Companies that are considered to be in our industry may not have similar ownership structures; and therefore those companies may not calculate a FFOM in the same manner that we do, or at all, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP and FFO results and using our modified FFO only supplementally.

Inflation

Our leases do not typically provide for rent escalations. However, they typically do not have terms that extend beyond 12 months. Accordingly, although on a short term basis we would be required to bear the impact of rising costs resulting from inflation, we have the opportunity to raise rental rates at least annually to offset such rising costs. However, a weak economic environment or declining student enrollment at our principal universities may limit our ability to raise rental rates.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our future earnings and cash flows are dependent upon prevailing market rates. Accordingly, we manage our market risk by matching projected cash inflows from operating, investing and financing activities with projected cash outflows for debt service, acquisitions, capital expenditures, distributions to stockholders and unitholders, and other cash requirements. The majority of our outstanding debt has fixed interest rates, which minimizes the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our revolving credit facility and variable rate construction loans and our ability to incur more debt without stockholder approval, thereby increasing our debt service obligations, which could adversely affect our cash flows. No material changes have occurred in relation to market risk since our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures for the quarter covered by this report were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number 31.1	Description of Document Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
42	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 9, 2011

AMERICAN CAMPUS COMMUNITIES, INC.

By:/s/ William C. Bayless, Jr.

William C. Bayless, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer

By:/s/ Jonathan A. Graf

Jonathan A. Graf Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary