

VERINT SYSTEMS INC  
Form 10-Q  
September 04, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q  
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2013

OR  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ .

Commission File No. 001-34807

Verint Systems Inc.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)  
Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or  
Organization)

11-3200514  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

330 South Service Road, Melville, New York  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)  
(631) 962-9600  
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

11747  
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

There were 53,320,462 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding on August 15, 2013.



Table of Contents

Verint Systems Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Index to Form 10-Q  
 July 31, 2013

	Page
<u>Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements</u>	ii
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1. Financial Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 5. Other Information</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>ITEM 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>53</u>

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements discussed in this report constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Forward-looking statements include financial projections, statements of plans and objectives for future operations, statements of future economic performance, and statements of assumptions relating thereto. Forward-looking statements are often identified by future or conditional words such as "will", "plans", "expects", "intends", "believes", "seeks", "estimates", or "anticipates", or by variations of such words or by similar expressions. There can be no assurances that forward-looking statements will be achieved. By their very nature, forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other important factors that could cause our actual results or conditions to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could cause our actual results or conditions to differ materially from our forward-looking statements include, among others:

- uncertainties regarding the impact of general economic conditions in the United States and abroad, particularly in information technology spending and government budgets, on our business;
- risks associated with our ability to keep pace with technological changes and evolving industry standards in our product offerings and to successfully develop, launch, and drive demand for new and enhanced, innovative, high-quality products that meet or exceed customer needs;
- risks due to aggressive competition in all of our markets, including with respect to maintaining margins and sufficient levels of investment in our business;
- risks created by the continued consolidation of our competitors or the introduction of large competitors in our markets with greater resources than we have;
- risks associated with our ability to successfully compete for, consummate, and implement mergers and acquisitions, including risks associated with capital constraints, costs and expenses, maintaining profitability levels, management distraction, post-acquisition integration activities, and potential asset impairments;
- risks that we may be unable to maintain and enhance relationships with key resellers, partners, and systems integrators;
- risks relating to our ability to effectively and efficiently execute on our growth strategy, including managing investments in our business and operations and enhancing and securing our internal and external operations;
- risks associated with our ability to effectively and efficiently allocate limited financial and human resources to business, development, strategic, or other opportunities that may not come to fruition or produce satisfactory returns;
- risks associated with the mishandling or perceived mishandling of sensitive or confidential information, security lapses, or with information technology system failures or disruptions;
- risks associated with our significant international operations, including, among others, in Israel, Europe, and Asia, exposure to regions subject to political or economic instability, and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates;
  - risks associated with a significant amount of our business coming from domestic and foreign government customers, including the ability to maintain security clearances for certain projects;
- risks associated with complex and changing local and foreign regulatory environments in the jurisdictions in which we operate;
- risks associated with our ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in regions in which we operate;
- challenges associated with selling sophisticated solutions, long sales cycles, and emphasis on larger transactions, including in assisting customers in realizing the value they expect and in accurately forecasting revenue and expenses and in maintaining profitability;
- risks that our intellectual property rights may not be adequate to protect our business or assets or that others may make claims on our intellectual property or claim infringement on their intellectual property rights;
- risks that our products may contain undetected defects, which could expose us to substantial liability;



Table of Contents

risks associated with our dependence on a limited number of suppliers or original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") for certain components of our products, including companies that may compete with us or work with our competitors;

risks that our customers or partners delay or cancel orders or are unable to honor contractual commitments due to liquidity issues, challenges in their business, or otherwise;

risks that we may experience liquidity or working capital issues and related risks that financing sources may be unavailable to us on reasonable terms or at all;

risks associated with significant leverage resulting from our current debt position, including with respect to covenant limitations and compliance, fluctuations in interest rates, and our ability to maintain our credit ratings;

risks arising as a result of contingent, unknown or unexpected obligations or liabilities of our former parent company, Comverse Technology, Inc. ("CTI"), assumed upon completion of our merger with CTI, pursuant to which CTI merged with and into our new, wholly owned subsidiary (the "CTI Merger"), including regulatory or compliance liabilities, or as a result of parties obligated to provide us with indemnification being unwilling or unable to perform such obligations;

risks associated with being a former consolidated subsidiary of CTI and formerly part of CTI's consolidated tax group;

risks relating to our reliance on CTI's former subsidiary, Comverse, Inc. ("Comverse"), to perform certain transition services following the CTI Merger on a timely basis or at all in order for us to comply with certain regulatory requirements;

risks relating to our ability to successfully implement and maintain adequate systems and internal controls for our current and future operations and reporting needs and related risks of financial statement omissions, misstatements, restatements, or filing delays; and

risks associated with changing tax rates, tax laws and regulations, and the continuing availability of expected tax benefits, including those expected as a result of the CTI Merger.

These risks, uncertainties and challenges, as well as other factors, are discussed in greater detail in "Risk Factors" under Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect our management's view only as of the date of this report. We make no commitment to revise or update any forward-looking statements in order to reflect events or circumstances after the date any such statement is made, except as otherwise required under the federal securities laws. If we were in any particular instance to update or correct a forward-looking statement, investors and others should not conclude that we would make additional updates or corrections thereafter except as otherwise required under the federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

## PART I

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)	July 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$229,184	\$209,973
Restricted cash and bank time deposits	8,225	11,128
Short-term investments	118,370	13,593
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively	164,937	168,415
Inventories	14,173	15,014
Deferred cost of revenue	4,428	6,253
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	65,108	77,277
Total current assets	604,425	501,653
Property and equipment, net	37,432	38,161
Goodwill	821,040	829,909
Intangible assets, net	124,203	144,261
Capitalized software development costs, net	6,724	6,343
Long-term deferred cost of revenue	10,358	7,742
Other assets	62,145	36,200
Total assets	\$1,666,327	\$1,564,269
Liabilities, Preferred Stock, and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$48,183	\$47,355
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	159,591	177,736
Current maturities of long-term debt	6,615	5,867
Deferred revenue	159,121	163,252
Total current liabilities	373,510	394,210
Long-term debt	638,877	570,822
Long-term deferred revenue	13,261	13,562
Other liabilities	90,948	70,457
Total liabilities	1,116,596	1,049,051
Preferred Stock - \$0.001 par value; authorized 2,500,000 shares. Series A convertible preferred stock; Issued and outstanding 0 and 293,000 shares as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively; aggregate liquidation preference and redemption value of \$365,914 at January 31, 2013.	—	285,542
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock - \$0.001 par value; authorized 120,000,000 shares. Issued 53,620,000 and 40,460,000 shares; outstanding 53,318,000 and 40,158,000 shares as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.	53	40
Additional paid-in capital	899,965	580,762
Treasury stock, at cost - 302,000 shares as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013.	(8,013	) (8,013

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(295,379	) (303,762	)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(53,783	) (44,225	)
Total Verint Systems Inc. stockholders' equity	542,843	224,802	
Noncontrolling interest	6,888	4,874	
Total stockholders' equity	549,731	229,676	
Total liabilities, preferred stock, and stockholders' equity	\$1,666,327	\$1,564,269	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

---



Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31,		July 31,	
(in thousands, except per share data)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenue:				
Product	\$97,865	\$101,990	\$185,215	\$193,989
Service and support	124,582	110,436	242,018	215,072
Total revenue	222,447	212,426	427,233	409,061
Cost of revenue:				
Product	30,090	36,382	61,262	67,274
Service and support	40,170	35,954	78,668	69,606
Amortization of acquired technology and backlog	2,347	3,644	5,985	7,428
Total cost of revenue	72,607	75,980	145,915	144,308
Gross profit	149,840	136,446	281,318	264,753
Operating expenses:				
Research and development, net	31,203	30,195	61,231	58,598
Selling, general and administrative	81,364	73,953	163,068	146,676
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets	6,010	6,035	12,043	12,233
Total operating expenses	118,577	110,183	236,342	217,507
Operating income	31,263	26,263	44,976	47,246
Other income (expense), net:				
Interest income	166	124	321	254
Interest expense	(7,383)	) (7,867	) (14,571	) (15,585
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(173)	) —	(9,879	) —
Other income (expense), net	(2,559)	) (483	) (4,367	) 151
Total other expense, net	(9,949)	) (8,226	) (28,496	) (15,180
Income before provision for income taxes	21,314	18,037	16,480	32,066
Provision for income taxes	2,809	4,772	5,912	7,171
Net income	18,505	13,265	10,568	24,895
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	969	658	2,185	2,253
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	17,536	12,607	8,383	22,642
Dividends on preferred stock	—	(3,868	) (174	) (7,612
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$17,536	\$8,739	\$8,209	\$15,030
Net income per common share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.:				
Basic	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.16	\$0.38
Diluted	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.15	\$0.38
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	52,977	39,712	52,484	39,392
Diluted	53,637	40,072	53,176	39,938

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31,		July 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 18,505	\$ 13,265	\$ 10,568	\$ 24,895
Other comprehensive loss, net of reclassification adjustments:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(6,031	) (9,396	) (10,695	) (4,381
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments	(122	) —	(122	) —
Net unrealized (losses) gains on derivative financial instruments designated as hedges	(919	) (3,486	) 1,153	(3,340
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes on net unrealized (losses) gains on derivative financial instruments designated as hedges	121	362	(65	) 328
Other comprehensive loss	(6,951	) (12,520	) (9,729	) (7,393
Comprehensive income	11,554	745	839	17,502
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	865	603	2,014	2,302
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	\$ 10,689	\$ 142	\$ (1,175	) \$ 15,200

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands)	Verint Systems Inc. Stockholders' Equity						Total Verint Systems Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Non-control Interest	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Common Stock Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss			
Balances as of January 31, 2012	38,982	\$40	\$554,351	\$(7,466)	\$(357,764)	\$(47,736)	\$141,425	\$2,870	\$144,295
Net income	—	—	—	—	22,642	—	22,642	2,253	24,895
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	(7,442)	(7,442)	49	(7,393)
Stock-based compensation - equity portion	—	—	10,472	—	—	—	10,472	—	10,472
Exercises of stock options	59	—	1,013	—	—	—	1,013	—	1,013
Common stock issued for stock awards and stock bonuses	752	—	3,764	—	—	—	3,764	—	3,764
Purchases of treasury stock	(21)	—	—	(615)	—	—	(615)	—	(615)
Treasury stock retired	—	—	(68)	68	—	—	—	—	—
Tax effects from stock award plans	—	—	23	—	—	—	23	—	23
Balances as of July 31, 2012	39,772	\$40	\$569,555	\$(8,013)	\$(335,122)	\$(55,178)	\$171,282	\$5,172	\$176,454
Balances as of January 31, 2013	40,158	\$40	\$580,762	\$(8,013)	\$(303,762)	\$(44,225)	\$224,802	\$4,874	\$229,676
Net income	—	—	—	—	8,383	—	8,383	2,185	10,568
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	(9,558)	(9,558)	(171)	(9,729)
Stock-based compensation - equity portion	—	—	13,986	—	—	—	13,986	—	13,986
Exercises of stock options	115	—	2,735	—	—	—	2,735	—	2,735
Common stock issued for stock awards and stock bonuses	771	—	2,850	—	—	—	2,850	—	2,850

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Stock issued for CTI Merger	12,274	13	299,626	—	—	—	299,639	—	299,639
Tax effects from stock award plans	—	—	6	—	—	—	6	—	6
Balances as of July 31, 2013	53,318	\$53	\$899,965	\$(8,013)	\$(295,379)	\$(53,783 )	\$542,843	\$ 6,888	\$ 549,731

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	July 31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$10,568	\$24,895
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	27,284	28,265
Stock-based compensation - equity portion	13,688	10,994
Non-cash gains on derivative financial instruments, net	(676)	(131)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	9,879	—
Other non-cash items, net	795	(6,123)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of CTI Merger:		
Accounts receivable	2,517	(13,295)
Inventories	332	3,599
Deferred cost of revenue	(662)	12,292
Prepaid expenses and other assets	19,941	5,022
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(8,446)	(7,528)
Deferred revenue	(3,143)	(18,315)
Other, net	581	(424)
Net cash provided by operating activities	72,658	39,251
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of short-term investments	(124,990)	—
Maturities of short-term investments	20,000	—
Cash paid for business combinations, including adjustments	—	(660)
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,624)	(6,180)
Settlements of derivative financial instruments not designated as hedges	340	(266)
Cash paid for capitalized software development costs	(1,604)	(2,298)
Change in restricted cash and bank time deposits, including long-term portion	5,707	1,811
Other investing activities	(182)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(106,353)	(7,593)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from borrowings, net of original issuance discount	646,750	—
Repayments of borrowings and other financing obligations	(582,263)	(3,486)
Payments of debt issuance and other debt-related costs	(7,754)	(159)
Cash received in CTI Merger	10,370	—
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	2,649	1,395
Purchases of treasury stock	—	(615)
Payments of contingent consideration for business combinations (financing portion)	(15,373)	(5,140)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	54,379	(8,005)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,473)	(1,065)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	19,211	22,588
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	209,973	150,662
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$229,184	\$173,250

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

---

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "Verint", "we", "us", and "our" in these notes to condensed consolidated financial statements refer to Verint Systems Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Verint is a global leader in Actionable Intelligence solutions and value-added services. Our solutions enable organizations of all sizes to make more timely and effective decisions to improve enterprise performance and make the world a safer place. Our solutions are used to capture, distill, and analyze complex and underused information sources, such as voice, video, and unstructured text. In the enterprise intelligence market, our workforce optimization and voice of the customer solutions help organizations enhance the customer service experience, increase customer loyalty, enhance products and services, reduce operating costs, and drive revenue. In the security intelligence market, our communications and cyber intelligence, video and situation intelligence, and public safety solutions help government and commercial organizations in their efforts to protect people and property and neutralize terrorism and crime.

Preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the year ended January 31, 2013. The condensed consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the periods ended July 31, 2013 and 2012, and the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of July 31, 2013, are not audited but reflect all adjustments that are of a normal recurring nature and that are considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods shown. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2013 is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013. Certain information and disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Because the condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for a complete set of financial statements, they should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended January 31, 2013. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of a full year's results.

Significant Change in Ownership

On February 4, 2013, we successfully completed the acquisition of our former parent company, Converse Technology, Inc. ("CTI"), eliminating CTI's majority ownership and control of us and establishing us as a fully independent public company. Prior to February 4, 2013, CTI beneficially owned a majority of our common stock (assuming the conversion of CTI's holdings of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Preferred Stock") into common stock) and held a majority of the voting power of our common stock. Our acquisition of CTI is described in greater detail in Note 4, "Merger with CTI".



### Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Verint Systems Inc., our wholly owned subsidiaries, and a joint venture in which we hold a 50% equity interest. This joint venture functions as a systems integrator for Asian markets and is a variable interest entity in which we are the primary beneficiary. Investments in companies in which we have less than a 20% ownership interest and do not exercise significant influence are accounted for at cost. We include the results of operations of acquired companies from the date of acquisition. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires our management to make estimates and assumptions, which may affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and

## Table of Contents

liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013. There were no significant changes to our significant accounting policies during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

#### New Accounting Pronouncements Implemented

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2012-02, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, which simplifies how entities test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment and improves consistency in impairment testing requirements among long-lived asset categories. These amended standards permit an assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. For assets in which this assessment concludes that it is more likely than not that the fair value is more than its carrying value, these amended standards eliminate the requirement to perform quantitative impairment testing. The amended guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. Our adoption of this guidance effective February 1, 2013 did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which contained amended standards regarding disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). These amended standards require the disclosure of information about the amounts reclassified out of AOCI by component and, in addition, require disclosure, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, of significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. These amended standards do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated financial statements. These amended standards were effective for us on February 1, 2013, and adoption of this guidance did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required by the amended standards appear in Note 10, "Stockholders' Equity".

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes, permitting entities to use the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to the U.S. Treasury rate and the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The amendments in this ASU were effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. We adopted the amendments in this ASU effective July 17, 2013, and the initial adoption of the amendments in this ASU did not impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements To Be Implemented

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity. This new standard is intended to resolve diversity in practice regarding the release into net income of a cumulative translation adjustment upon derecognition of a subsidiary or group of assets within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We are currently reviewing this standard, but we do not anticipate that its adoption will have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements, absent any material transactions involving the derecognition of subsidiaries or groups of assets within a foreign entity.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. ASU No. 2013-11 requires that entities with an unrecognized tax benefit and a net operating loss carryforward or similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward

Table of Contents

in the same jurisdiction as the uncertain tax position present the unrecognized tax benefit as a reduction of the deferred tax asset for the loss or tax credit carryforward rather than as a liability, when the uncertain tax position would reduce the loss or tax credit carryforward under the tax law, thereby eliminating diversity in practice regarding this presentation issue. This new guidance is effective prospectively for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013, although retrospective application is permitted. We are currently assessing the impact of this guidance, if any, on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

## 2. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO VERINT SYSTEMS INC.

The following table summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted net income per common share attributable to Verint Systems Inc. for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31, 2013	2012	July 31, 2013	2012
Net income	\$18,505	\$13,265	\$10,568	\$24,895
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	969	658	2,185	2,253
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	17,536	12,607	8,383	22,642
Dividends on Preferred Stock	—	(3,868)	(174)	(7,612)
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. for basic net income per common share	17,536	8,739	8,209	15,030
Dilutive effect of dividends on Preferred Stock	—	—	—	—
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. for diluted net income per common share	\$17,536	\$8,739	\$8,209	\$15,030
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic	52,977	39,712	52,484	39,392
Dilutive effect of employee equity award plans	660	360	692	546
Dilutive effect of assumed conversion of Preferred Stock	—	—	—	—
Diluted	53,637	40,072	53,176	39,938
Net income per common share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.:				
Basic	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.16	\$0.38
Diluted	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.15	\$0.38

We excluded the following weighted-average common shares underlying stock-based awards and the assumed conversion of our Preferred Stock from the calculations of diluted net income per common share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Common shares excluded from calculation:				
Stock options and restricted stock-based awards	875	1,224	882	1,068
Convertible Preferred Stock	—	10,988	248	10,935

Our Preferred Stock was canceled in conjunction with the CTI Merger on February 4, 2013. The weighted-average common shares underlying the assumed conversion of the Preferred Stock for the six months ended July 31, 2013 in the table above reflect the Preferred Stock as outstanding for only four days during the six months ended July 31, 2013. Further details regarding the CTI Merger appear in Note 4, "Merger with CTI".

### 3. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The following tables summarize our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

8

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013			
	Cost Basis	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash and bank time deposits	\$211,367	\$—	\$—	\$211,367
Money market funds	13,518	—	—	13,518
Commercial paper	4,299	—	—	4,299
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$229,184	\$—	\$—	\$229,184
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper and corporate debt securities (available-for-sale)	\$98,565	\$29	\$(151)	\$98,443
Bank time deposits	19,927	—	—	19,927
Total short-term investments	\$118,492	\$29	\$(151)	\$118,370
January 31, 2013				
(in thousands)	Cost Basis	Gross	Gross	Estimated Fair Value
		Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash and bank time deposits	\$143,888	\$—	\$—	\$143,888
Money market funds	62,085	—	—	62,085
Commercial paper	4,000	—	—	4,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$209,973	\$—	\$—	\$209,973
Short-term investments:				
Bank time deposits	\$13,593	\$—	\$—	\$13,593
Total short-term investments	\$13,593	\$—	\$—	\$13,593

Bank time deposits which are reported within short-term investments consist of deposits held outside of the U.S. without specified maturity dates, which we intend to hold for periods in excess of three months.

As of July 31, 2013, all of our available-for-sale investments had contractual maturities of less than one year.

We report our available-for-sale securities at fair value, based on quoted market prices or other readily available market information. Unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes, are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Realized gains or losses, if applicable, are recorded in other income (expense), net in our condensed consolidated statement of operations, using the specific identification method. We did not realize any gains or losses on sales of available-for-sale securities during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012.

We periodically review our investment portfolios to determine if any investment is other-than-temporarily impaired due to changes in credit risk or other potential valuation concerns. We believe that the investments we held at July 31, 2013 were not other-than-temporarily impaired. Unrealized losses at July 31, 2013 were due to changes in interest rates, including market credit spreads, and not due to increased credit risks associated with specific securities. We do not intend to sell these investments and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell them before recovery at par, which may be at maturity. As of July 31, 2013, all securities with gross unrealized loss positions, with aggregate fair values of \$36.4 million, have had such positions for periods of less than twelve months.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities were \$20.0 million. We received no proceeds from sales or maturities of available-for-sale securities during the six months ended July 31, 2012.

4. MERGER WITH CTI

9

---

Table of Contents

Overview

On August 12, 2012, we entered into an agreement and plan of merger with CTI (the "CTI Merger Agreement"), providing for the merger of CTI with and into our new, wholly owned subsidiary (the "CTI Merger") upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the CTI Merger Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the CTI Merger Agreement, the completion of the CTI Merger was contingent upon, among other things, CTI's completion of a distribution to its shareholders of substantially all of its assets other than its interests in us (the "Comverse share distribution") or other sale or disposition by CTI of these assets. On October 31, 2012, CTI completed the Comverse share distribution in which it distributed all of the outstanding shares of common stock of its subsidiary, Comverse, Inc. ("Comverse"), to its shareholders. As a result of the Comverse share distribution, Comverse became an independent public company and ceased to be a wholly owned subsidiary of CTI.

Following the satisfaction of the various conditions precedent to closing the CTI Merger, including the requisite approval of the CTI Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated by that agreement by our stockholders and the shareholders of CTI, the CTI Merger was completed on February 4, 2013. As of January 31, 2013, prior to the effective time of the CTI Merger, CTI held approximately a 53.5% beneficial ownership position in us, assuming conversion of all of our Preferred Stock then held by CTI into shares of our common stock. The CTI Merger eliminated CTI's majority ownership and control of us.

At the closing of the CTI Merger, each issued and outstanding share of CTI common stock was converted into the right to receive new shares of our common stock at an exchange ratio of 0.1298 shares of our common stock for each share of CTI common stock, pursuant to which approximately 28.6 million of newly issued shares of our common stock were exchanged for approximately 220.0 million issued and outstanding shares of CTI common stock. In addition, the 16.3 million shares of our common stock and all shares of our Preferred Stock held by CTI at the time of the CTI Merger were canceled, resulting in approximately 12.3 million incremental shares of our common stock outstanding upon completion of the CTI Merger.

The 28.6 million shares of our common stock issued to CTI shareholders in the CTI Merger were comprised of the following:

• 16.3 million shares in exchange for the same number of shares held by CTI at the time of the CTI Merger.

• 11.2 million shares in exchange for all shares of our Preferred Stock held by CTI at the time of the CTI Merger, calculated using the \$366.1 million liquidation preference of the Preferred Stock at the CTI Merger date and a conversion price of \$32.66 per share.

• 0.8 million shares determined by dividing a \$25.0 million "Target Amount" by \$32.78, which was the average of the daily volume weighted averages of the trading prices of our common stock during the 20 consecutive trading days ending on January 31, 2013. The \$25.0 million "Target Amount" was determined in accordance with the CTI Merger Agreement based on CTI's successful completion of the Comverse share distribution on October 31, 2012.

• 0.3 million shares determined by dividing CTI's \$9.9 million positive net worth (as defined in the CTI Merger Agreement) at the effective date of the CTI Merger, by \$32.78, which was the average of the daily volume weighted averages of the trading prices of our common stock during the 20 consecutive trading days ending on January 31, 2013. The maximum allowable CTI positive net worth for which consideration was to be paid in the CTI Merger was \$10.0 million.

• Holders of shares of our common stock immediately prior to the completion of the CTI Merger, other than CTI, continued to own their existing shares, which were not affected by the CTI Merger.



The CTI Merger qualified as a tax-free reorganization for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Several agreements between Verint and CTI were executed concurrently with the CTI Merger Agreement, including a Voting Agreement and a Governance and Repurchase Rights Agreement, which terminated upon completion of the CTI Merger on February 4, 2013. These agreements governed certain activities of the parties prior to the CTI Merger, and also provided for certain rights and obligations in the event that the CTI Merger Agreement was terminated.

During the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, we incurred expenses associated with this matter of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, consisting primarily of legal and other professional fees, which have been expensed as incurred and are reflected within selling, general and administrative expenses. During the year ended January 31, 2013, we incurred \$16.1 million of expenses associated with this matter, \$2.4 million and \$3.3 million of which were incurred during the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

As noted previously, on October 31, 2012, CTI completed the spin-off of Comverse as an independent, publicly traded company, accomplished by means of a pro rata distribution of 100% of Comverse's outstanding common shares to CTI's shareholders. Following the Comverse share distribution, Comverse and CTI operated independently, and neither had any ownership interest in the other. In order to govern certain ongoing relationships between CTI and Comverse after the Comverse share distribution and to provide mechanisms for an orderly transition, CTI and Comverse entered into a Distribution Agreement, Transition Services Agreement, Tax Disaffiliation Agreement and Employee Matters Agreement in connection with the Comverse share distribution.

The Distribution Agreement, among other things, provides for the allocation between CTI and Comverse of various assets, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to the Comverse share distribution. Under the Distribution Agreement, Comverse agreed to indemnify CTI and its affiliates (including Verint following the CTI Merger) against certain losses, including losses arising as a result of the CTI Merger and the Comverse share distribution. Certain of Comverse's indemnification obligations are capped at \$25.0 million and certain obligations are uncapped. Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement, at the closing of the CTI Merger, CTI placed \$25.0 million of cash into an escrow account to support indemnification claims to the extent made against Comverse by CTI and its affiliates (including Verint after the CTI Merger). The balance remaining in such escrow account on August 4, 2014 (18 months after the closing of the CTI Merger), if any, will be released to Comverse. Claims related to an Israeli option holder lawsuit, details of which appear in Note 16, "Commitments and Contingencies", are excluded from the escrow account and would be indemnifiable directly by Comverse without cap.

Under the Transition Services Agreement, each of Comverse and CTI (including Verint after the CTI Merger) provide the other with certain administrative services on an interim basis for agreed upon fees. The Tax Disaffiliation Agreement governs rights, responsibilities and obligations of CTI and Comverse after the Comverse share distribution with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, tax contests and other tax matters. The Employee Matters Agreement allocates liabilities and responsibilities relating to CTI and Comverse employee compensation and benefit plans.

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement Impact

For financial reporting purposes, the CTI Merger was accounted for as our acquisition of CTI in a combination of entities under common control. We are the continuing financial reporting entity. Common control transactions are transfers and exchanges between entities that are under the control of the same parent, or are transactions in which all of the combining entities are controlled by the same party or parties before and after the transaction and that control is not transitory. When accounting for a transfer of assets or exchange of shares between entities under common control, the entity receiving the net assets or the equity interests recognizes the assets and liabilities transferred at their carrying amounts in the accounts of the transferring entity at the date of the transfer.

Following the October 31, 2012 Comverse share distribution, the net assets of CTI consisted primarily of its controlling equity interests in Verint, as well as certain residual cash and cash equivalents and other sundry net assets. In addition, CTI had net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards for income tax reporting purposes and other tax attributes. No CTI employees, operations or business processes moved to the combined company in the CTI Merger. As a result, our net assets and operations prior to the CTI Merger represent the vast majority of the net assets and all of the operations of the combined company.

As a result of the CTI Merger, our consolidated stockholders' equity was adjusted to reflect the \$285.5 million carrying value of our Preferred Stock, all of which was held by CTI, and the \$14.1 million carrying value of CTI's net assets (other than its equity interests in us) at February 4, 2013, as increases to our additional paid-in capital. Prior to the CTI Merger, our Preferred Stock had been classified as mezzanine equity on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The majority of CTI's net assets (other than its equity interests in us) at February 4, 2013 consisted of cash and

cash equivalents.

As noted above, CTI's net assets also included net deferred tax assets primarily relating to CTI's NOL carryforwards for income tax purposes. The net deferred tax assets were fully offset by unrecognized tax benefits and valuation allowances. Also included in CTI's net assets were \$15.8 million of liabilities primarily related to certain unrecognized tax benefits (not offsetting NOL carryforwards) and accrued penalties and interest and corresponding indemnification assets totaling the same amount, recognizing Comverse's contractual obligation under the Tax Disaffiliation Agreement to indemnify us for these liabilities. All of these amounts are preliminary and are based on the information that was available to us through the date of this report. We are continuing to gather and assess information in this regard, and changes to the amounts previously recorded resulting from facts and circumstances that existed as of the merger date regarding these matters, if any, will be recorded as an adjustment to CTI's net assets.

## 5. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

11

---

Table of Contents

We did not complete any business combinations during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012, other than the CTI Merger, which was completed on February 4, 2013 and is discussed in Note 4, "Merger with CTI".

Transactions associated with business combinations completed in prior periods that impacted our condensed consolidated financial statements as of July 31, 2013, and for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012, are described below.

Vovici Corporation

On August 4, 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Vovici Corporation ("Vovici"), a U.S.-based provider of online survey management and enterprise feedback solutions, for total consideration of \$66.1 million. Included in this consideration was \$9.9 million for the fair value of potential additional cash payments to the former Vovici shareholders of up to approximately \$19.1 million, payment of which was contingent upon the achievement of certain performance targets over the period from the acquisition date through January 31, 2013.

At each reporting date, we revalue all contingent consideration obligations associated with business combinations to their estimated fair values, and any increases or decreases in fair values are reflected within selling, general and administrative expenses in our condensed consolidated statement of operations. Changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligation may result from changes in discount periods and rates, and changes in probability assumptions with respect to the likelihood of achieving the performance targets.

As of January 31, 2013, \$6.4 million had been accrued for the actual contingent consideration earned and expected to be paid to the former Vovici shareholders under this arrangement. This liability changed by a negligible amount during the three months ended April 30, 2013, and payment of this amount was made during the three months ending July 31, 2013. No contingent consideration had been paid to the former Vovici shareholders prior to this payment. We have no further contingent consideration obligations for this acquisition.

For the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, we recorded benefits of \$4.0 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, within selling, general and administrative expenses for changes in the fair value of the Vovici contingent consideration obligation, which primarily reflected the impacts of revised expectations of achieving the performance targets.

Transaction and related costs, consisting primarily of professional fees and integration expenses, directly related to the acquisition of Vovici totaled \$0.2 million for the six months ended July 31, 2012, the majority of which were incurred during the three months ended April 30, 2012, and were expensed as incurred. Such costs for the six months ended July 31, 2013 were not significant.

Global Management Technologies

On October 7, 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Global Management Technologies ("GMT"), a U.S.-based provider of workforce management solutions whose software and services are widely used by organizations, particularly in retail branch banking environments, for total consideration of \$36.6 million. Included in this consideration was \$12.0 million for the fair value of potential additional cash payments to the former GMT shareholders of up to approximately \$17.4 million, payment of which is contingent upon the achievement of certain performance targets over the period from the acquisition date through January 31, 2014.

As of January 31, 2013, the fair value of this contingent consideration was \$2.8 million. During the three months ended July 31, 2013, we reached an agreement to settle our potential obligations under the GMT contingent consideration arrangement with respect to the former GMT securityholders in exchange for a payment of \$2.7 million.

This payment, which was made during the three months ending July 31, 2013, eliminated any remaining contingent consideration obligations to these former securityholders. No contingent consideration had been paid under the GMT contingent consideration arrangement prior to this payment. Certain other participants under the GMT contingent consideration arrangement, who were not GMT securityholders, remain eligible to earn contingent consideration for the period ended January 31, 2014, the fair value of which was \$0.8 million at July 31, 2013. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, we recorded a benefit of \$0.5 million and an expense of \$0.7 million, respectively, within selling, general and administrative expenses for the change in the fair value of the GMT contingent consideration obligation.

For the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, we recorded benefits of \$0.9 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, within selling, general and administrative expenses for changes in the fair value of the GMT contingent consideration obligation, which primarily reflected the impacts of revised expectations of achieving the performance targets.

Table of Contents

Transaction and related costs, consisting primarily of professional fees and integration expenses, directly related to the acquisition of GMT, totaled \$0.3 million for the six months ended July 31, 2012, the majority of which were incurred during the three months ended April 30, 2012, and were expensed as incurred. Such costs for the six months ended July 31, 2013 were not significant.

Other Business Combinations

During the year ended January 31, 2012, in addition to the acquisitions of Vovici and GMT, we executed five additional business combinations for total combined consideration of \$55.2 million, including \$20.5 million for the fair value of potential additional cash payments to the respective former shareholders or asset owners aggregating up to approximately \$41.0 million, payment of which is contingent upon the achievement of certain performance targets over periods extending through January 31, 2015.

For the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, we recorded net benefits of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, within selling, general and administrative expenses for changes in the aggregate fair values of the contingent consideration obligations associated with these acquisitions, reflecting the impacts of revised expectations of achieving the performance targets, as well as decreases in the discount periods since the acquisition dates. As of July 31, 2013, the aggregate fair value of the contingent consideration obligations associated with these acquisitions was \$8.2 million. During the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012, we made payments of \$7.0 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, to the respective former shareholders or asset owners under these arrangements.

Transaction and related costs, consisting primarily of professional fees and integration expenses, directly related to these acquisitions, totaled \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for the three and six months ended July 31, 2012 and were expensed as incurred. Such costs for the six months ended July 31, 2013 were not significant.

In connection with one of the foregoing business combinations, the purchase price allocation included liabilities for uncertain tax positions and certain other liabilities associated with pre-acquisition business activities of the acquired company. Based upon our evaluation of these matters, including assessments of additional information obtained subsequent to the acquisition date regarding facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date, the purchase price allocation for this acquisition included current liabilities of approximately \$4.7 million associated with certain other pre-acquisition business activities of the acquired company and long-term liabilities of approximately \$5.2 million associated with uncertain tax positions of the acquired company. Corresponding indemnification assets of \$4.7 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, classified in the same manner, were also recorded as components of the purchase price allocation for this acquisition, in recognition of the selling shareholders' contractual obligation to indemnify us for these pre-acquisition liabilities and were measured on the same basis as the corresponding liabilities.

As of January 31, 2013, the liability associated with pre-acquisition uncertain tax positions of the acquired company was \$3.0 million and was included within other liabilities, and the corresponding indemnification asset of \$2.6 million was included within other assets. Also as of January 31, 2013, the liability associated with certain other pre-acquisition business activities of the acquired company was \$3.0 million, and was included within accrued expenses and other current liabilities, and the corresponding indemnification asset, reflected within prepaid expenses and other current assets, was \$3.0 million.

As of July 31, 2013, the liability associated with certain other pre-acquisition business activities of the acquired company, included within accrued expenses and other current liabilities, and the corresponding indemnification asset, reflected within prepaid expenses and other current assets, were \$2.3 million. The changes in these carrying values during the six months ended July 31, 2013 reflected derecognition of certain liabilities and corresponding indemnification assets and the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. These changes were offsetting

and therefore did not impact our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013.

As of July 31, 2013, the liability associated with pre-acquisition uncertain tax positions of the acquired company was \$2.6 million and was included within other liabilities. During the three months ended April 30, 2013, based upon our assessment of the collectibility of the indemnification from the former shareholders of the acquired company, we recognized an impairment of \$0.3 million of the indemnification asset associated with these liabilities, which is included in other income (expense), net. No impairment was recognized for the three months ended July 31, 2013. The indemnification asset associated with these liabilities was \$2.0 million as of July 31, 2013 and is included within other assets.

## 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

13

---

Table of Contents

Acquisition-related intangible assets consisted of the following as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Intangible assets with finite lives:			
Customer relationships	\$223,120	\$(128,030 )	\$95,090
Acquired technology	93,197	(69,902 )	23,295
Trade names	12,480	(10,738 )	1,742
Non-competition agreements	5,512	(4,596 )	916
Distribution network	2,440	(1,718 )	722
Backlog	843	(105 )	738
Total intangible assets with finite lives	337,592	(215,089 )	122,503
In-process research and development, with indefinite lives	1,700	—	1,700
Total	\$339,292	\$(215,089 )	\$124,203

(in thousands)	January 31, 2013		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Intangible assets with finite lives:			
Customer relationships	\$225,321	\$(117,903 )	\$107,418
Acquired technology	93,860	(64,617 )	29,243
Trade names	12,737	(10,537 )	2,200
Non-competition agreements	5,516	(4,227 )	1,289
Distribution network	2,440	(1,596 )	844
Backlog	843	(76 )	767
Total intangible assets with finite lives	340,717	(198,956 )	141,761
In-process research and development, with indefinite lives	2,500	—	2,500
Total	\$343,217	\$(198,956 )	\$144,261

The following table presents net acquisition-related intangible assets by reportable segment as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
Enterprise Intelligence	\$109,450	\$126,341
Video Intelligence	3,290	3,880
Communications Intelligence	11,463	14,040
Total	\$124,203	\$144,261

Total amortization expense recorded for acquisition-related intangible assets was \$8.4 million and \$18.0 million for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, respectively, and \$9.7 million and \$19.7 million for the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, respectively.

The reported amount of net acquisition-related intangible assets can fluctuate from the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on intangible assets not denominated in U.S. dollars.

Estimated future amortization expense on finite-lived acquisition-related intangible assets is as follows:





Table of Contents

(in thousands)

Years Ending January 31,	Amount
2014 (remainder of year)	\$16,102
2015	30,766
2016	29,256
2017	26,515
2018	10,462
2019 and thereafter	9,402
Total	\$122,503

No impairment indicators were identified for finite-lived intangible assets during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. Our in-process research and development assets were acquired during the year ended January 31, 2012, and no impairment indicators were identified for these assets during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. At the acquisition date, goodwill resulting from a business combination is assigned to those reporting units expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination. Reporting units may either be at, or one level below, our operating segment level.

Goodwill activity and related information for the six months ended July 31, 2013, in total and by reportable segment, was as follows:

(in thousands)	Total	Reportable Segment		
		Enterprise Intelligence	Video Intelligence	Communications Intelligence
Goodwill, gross, at January 31, 2013	\$896,774	\$771,738	\$76,803	\$48,233
Accumulated impairment losses through January 31, 2013	(66,865)	) (30,791	) (36,074	) —
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2013	829,909	740,947	40,729	48,233
Foreign currency translation and other	(8,869)	) (7,735	) (325	) (809
Goodwill, net, at July 31, 2013	\$821,040	\$733,212	\$40,404	\$47,424
Goodwill, net, at July 31, 2013:				
Goodwill, gross, at July 31, 2013	\$887,905	\$764,003	\$76,478	\$47,424
Accumulated impairment losses through July 31, 2013	(66,865)	) (30,791	) (36,074	) —
Goodwill, net, at July 31, 2013	\$821,040	\$733,212	\$40,404	\$47,424

No events or circumstances indicating the potential for goodwill impairment were identified during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012.

## 7. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table summarizes our long-term debt at July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
Term loan facility - 2013 Amended Credit Agreement:		
Outstanding borrowings	\$648,375	\$—
Unamortized debt discount	(3,059	) —
Term loan facility - 2011 Credit Agreement:		
Outstanding borrowings	—	576,000
Unamortized debt discount	—	(2,199
Other debt	176	2,888
Total debt	645,492	576,689
Less: current maturities	6,615	5,867
Long-term debt	\$638,877	\$570,822

In May 2007, we entered into a \$675.0 million secured credit agreement (the "2007 Credit Agreement") comprised of a \$650.0 million seven-year term loan facility and a \$25.0 million six-year revolving line of credit. The borrowing capacity under the revolving line of credit was increased to \$75.0 million in July 2010.

In April 2011, we entered into a new credit agreement (the "2011 Credit Agreement") and concurrently terminated the 2007 Credit Agreement. The 2011 Credit Agreement provided for \$770.0 million of secured credit facilities, comprised of a \$600.0 million term loan maturing in October 2017 and a \$170.0 million revolving credit facility maturing in April 2016, subject to increase (up to a maximum increase of \$300.0 million) and reduction from time to time according to the terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

The 2011 Credit Agreement included an original issuance term loan discount of 0.50%, or \$3.0 million, resulting in net term loan proceeds of \$597.0 million. This discount was being amortized as interest expense over the term of the term loan using the effective interest method.

The majority of the term loan proceeds under the 2011 Credit Agreement were used to repay all \$583.2 million of outstanding term loan borrowings under the 2007 Credit Agreement at the closing date of the 2011 Credit Agreement. There were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility under the 2007 Credit Agreement at the closing date of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

On March 6, 2013, we entered into an amendment and restatement agreement with the lenders under the 2011 Credit Agreement providing for the amendment and restatement of the 2011 Credit Agreement (as amended and restated, the "2013 Amended Credit Agreement"). The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement provides for \$850.0 million of senior secured credit facilities, comprised of (i) a \$650.0 million term loan maturing in September 2019 and (ii) a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility maturing in March 2018, subject to increase (up to a maximum increase of \$300.0 million) and reduction from time to time according to the terms of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement included an original issuance term loan discount of 0.50%, or \$3.3 million, resulting in net term loan proceeds of \$646.7 million. This discount is being amortized as interest expense over the term of the 2013 term loan using the effective interest method.

The majority of the proceeds of the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement were used to repay all \$576.0 million of outstanding term loan borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement at the March 6, 2013 closing date of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. There were no outstanding borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement's revolving credit facility at the closing date of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

The terms and conditions of the 2011 Credit Agreement have been superseded by the terms and conditions of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, although some terms and conditions have remained consistent.

Loans under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement bear interest, payable quarterly or, in the case of Eurodollar loans with an interest period of three months or shorter, at the end of any interest period, at a per annum rate of, at our election:

(a) in the case of Eurodollar loans, the Adjusted LIBO Rate plus 3.00% (or, if our corporate credit ratings are BB- and Ba3 or better, 2.75%). The Adjusted LIBO Rate is the greater of (i) 1.00% per annum and (ii) the product of the LIBO Rate and Statutory Reserves (both as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement), and

Table of Contents

(b) in the case of Base Rate loans, the Base Rate plus 2.00% (or, if our corporate credit ratings are BB- and Ba3 or better, 1.75%). The Base Rate is the greatest of (i) the administrative agent's prime rate, (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) plus 0.50% and (iii) the Adjusted LIBO Rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%.

As of July 31, 2013, the interest rate on the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement was 4.00%. Including the impact of the 0.50% original issuance term loan discount and the deferred debt issuance costs, the effective interest rate on the term loan was approximately 4.23% as of July 31, 2013. As of January 31, 2013, the interest rate on the term loan under the 2011 Credit Agreement was 4.50%.

Loans under the 2011 Credit Agreement incurred interest in a similar manner as loans under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, as follows:

(a) in the case of Eurodollar loans, the Adjusted LIBO Rate plus 3.25% (or, if our corporate credit ratings were at least BB- and Ba3 or better, 3.00%). The Adjusted LIBO Rate was the greater of (i) 1.25% per annum and (ii) the product of the LIBO Rate and Statutory Reserves (both as defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement), and

(b) in the case of Base Rate loans, the Base Rate plus 2.25% (or, if our corporate credit ratings were at least BB- and Ba3 or better, 2.00%). The Base Rate was the greatest of (i) the administrative agent's prime rate, (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement) plus 0.50% and (iii) the Adjusted LIBO Rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%.

We incurred debt issuance costs of approximately \$7.5 million, associated with the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, which have been deferred and are classified within Other assets and are being amortized as interest expense over the term of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. Of these deferred costs, \$5.0 million were associated with the term loan and are being amortized using the effective interest rate method. Deferred costs associated with the revolving credit facility were \$2.5 million and are being amortized on a straight-line basis.

At the March 6, 2013 closing date of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, there were \$11.0 million of unamortized deferred fees and \$2.2 million of unamortized original issuance term loan discount associated with the 2011 Credit Agreement. Of the \$11.0 million of unamortized deferred fees, \$3.5 million were associated with the revolving credit commitments under the 2011 Credit Agreement provided by lenders that are continuing to provide revolving credit commitments under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement and therefore continue to be deferred, and are being amortized over the term of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. The remaining \$7.5 million of unamortized deferred fees and the \$2.2 million unamortized original issuance discount, all of which related to the 2011 term loan, were expensed as a \$9.7 million loss on extinguishment of debt in the three months ended April 30, 2013.

Under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, we are required to pay a commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum of the undrawn portion on the revolving credit facility, payable quarterly, and customary administrative agent and letter of credit fees. These fees are unchanged from the 2011 Credit Agreement.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement requires us to make term loan principal payments of \$1.6 million per quarter commencing on May 1, 2013 and continuing through August 1, 2019, with the remaining balance due in September 2019. Optional prepayments of the loans are permitted without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs associated with the prepayment of loans bearing interest based on LIBO Rates and a 1.0% premium applicable in the event of a Repricing Transaction (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) prior to March 5, 2014. The loans are also subject to mandatory prepayment requirements with respect to certain asset sales, excess cash flows (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement), and certain other events. Prepayments are applied first to the

eight immediately following scheduled term loan principal payments, then pro rata to other remaining scheduled term loan principal payments, if any, and thereafter as otherwise provided in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

As of July 31, 2013, future scheduled principal payments on the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement are presented in the following table:

17

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands)

Years Ending January 31,	Amount
2014 (remainder of year)	\$3,250
2015	6,500
2016	6,500
2017	6,500
2018	6,500
2019 and thereafter	619,125
Total	\$648,375

We incurred interest expense on borrowings under our credit facilities of \$6.6 million and \$13.0 million during the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, respectively, and \$6.9 million and \$13.5 million during the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, respectively. In addition, we recorded \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, during the three and six months ended July 31, 2013, respectively, for amortization of our deferred debt issuance costs, which is also reported within interest expense on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. We recorded \$0.7 million and \$1.4 million of amortization of our deferred debt issuance costs during the three and six months ended July 31, 2012, respectively.

We recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million during each of the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012, respectively, for amortization of the original issuance term loan discounts, which is reported within interest expense.

Our obligations under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement are guaranteed, in the same manner as under the 2011 Credit Agreement, by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries that have elected to be disregarded for U.S. tax purposes, and are secured, in the same manner as under the 2011 Credit Agreement, by security interests in substantially all of our and their assets, subject to certain exceptions detailed in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement and related ancillary documents.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, which covenants are substantially similar to those in the 2011 Credit Agreement. These covenants include limitations on us and our subsidiaries with respect to indebtedness, liens, nature of business, investments and loans, distributions, acquisitions, dispositions of assets, sale-leaseback transactions and transactions with affiliates. The revolving credit facility also contains a financial covenant that requires us to maintain a ratio of Consolidated Total Debt to Consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) of no greater than 5.00 to 1 until January 31, 2015 and no greater than 4.50 to 1 thereafter (the "Leverage Ratio Covenant"). The limitations imposed by the covenants are subject to certain exceptions as detailed in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement provides for certain customary events of default with corresponding grace periods. These events of default include failure to pay principal or interest when due under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, failure to comply with covenants, any representation or warranty made by us proving to be inaccurate in any material respect, defaults under certain other indebtedness of us or our subsidiaries, the occurrence of a Change of Control (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) with respect to us and certain insolvency or receivership events affecting us or our significant subsidiaries. Upon the occurrence of an event of default resulting from a violation of the Leverage Ratio Covenant, the lenders under our revolving credit facility may require us to immediately repay outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and may terminate their commitments to provide loans under that facility. A violation of the Leverage Ratio Covenant would not, by itself, result in an event of default under the term loan but may trigger a cross-default under the term loan in the event we are required to repay outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. Upon the occurrence of other events of default, the lenders

may require us to immediately repay all outstanding borrowings under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement and the lenders under our revolving credit facility may terminate their commitments to provide loans under the facility.

In connection with a business combination completed during the year ended January 31, 2012, we assumed approximately \$3.3 million of development bank and government debt in the Americas region. The carrying value of this debt was \$2.5 million at January 31, 2013. During the three months ended July 31, 2013, we repaid \$2.0 million of this debt, resulting in a \$0.2 million loss on extinguishment of debt, which is reported in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for that period. The remaining portion of this debt has a carrying value of \$0.1 million at July 31, 2013.

#### 8.SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION



Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

Inventories consisted of the following as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
Raw materials	\$4,167	\$4,263
Work-in-process	7,140	5,633
Finished goods	2,866	5,118
Total inventories	\$14,173	\$15,014

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Other income (expense), net consisted of the following for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Foreign currency (losses) gains, net	\$(1,487	) \$(711	) \$(3,206	) \$123
Gains on derivative financial instruments, net	247	271	676	131
Other, net	(1,319	) (43	) (1,837	) (103
Total other income (expense), net	\$ (2,559	) \$(483	) \$(4,367	) \$151

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

The following table provides supplemental information regarding our condensed consolidated cash flows for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash paid for interest	\$11,086	\$13,659
Cash (refunds) payments of income taxes, net	\$(3,905	) \$11,360
Non-cash investing and financing transactions:		
Net non-cash assets acquired in CTI Merger	\$3,727	\$—
Accrued but unpaid purchases of property and equipment	\$1,217	\$1,858
Inventory transfers to property and equipment	\$360	\$326
Stock options exercised, proceeds received subsequent to period end	\$86	\$1
Accrued but unpaid debt issuance and other debt related costs	\$—	\$58
Leasehold improvements funded by lease incentive	\$—	\$2,406

## 9. CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

On May 25, 2007, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with CTI whereby CTI purchased, for cash, an aggregate of 293,000 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, for an aggregate purchase price of \$293.0 million. Proceeds from the issuance of the Preferred Stock were used to partially finance our May 2007 acquisition of Witness Systems Inc. ("Witness").

On August 12, 2012, we entered into the CTI Merger Agreement providing for the CTI Merger. The CTI Merger was completed on February 4, 2013 and eliminated CTI's majority ownership and control of us. Each outstanding share of Preferred Stock, all of which was held by CTI, was canceled upon completion of the CTI Merger. As a result of the CTI Merger, our consolidated stockholders' equity was adjusted to reflect the \$285.5 million carrying value of our

Preferred Stock at February 4, 2013, as an increase to our additional paid-in capital. Further details regarding the CTI Merger appear in Note 4, "Merger with CTI".

The terms of the Preferred Stock provided that upon a fundamental change, as defined in the certificate of designation governing the Preferred Stock, the holders of the Preferred Stock would have had the right to require us to repurchase the

19

---

## Table of Contents

Preferred Stock for 100% of the liquidation preference then in effect. Therefore, the Preferred Stock was classified as mezzanine equity on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2013, separate from permanent equity, because the occurrence of such a fundamental change, and thus a potential required repurchase of the Preferred Stock, however remote in likelihood, was not solely under our control.

Under the CTI Merger Agreement, CTI had agreed that the CTI Merger and other transactions contemplated by the CTI Merger Agreement did not constitute fundamental change events under the terms of the Preferred Stock.

We concluded that, as of January 31, 2013, the occurrence of a fundamental change and the associated potential required repurchase of the Preferred Stock were not probable under the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement. We therefore did not adjust the carrying amount of the Preferred Stock to its redemption amount, which was its liquidation preference, at January 31, 2013. Through January 31, 2013, cumulative, undeclared dividends on the Preferred Stock were \$72.9 million and, as a result, the liquidation preference of the Preferred Stock was \$365.9 million at that date.

## 10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

### Dividends on Common Stock

We did not declare or pay any dividends on our common stock during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. We have been and continue to be subject to certain restrictions on declaring and paying dividends on our common stock under the terms of our credit facilities. We were also subject to certain restrictions on declaring and paying dividends on our common stock under the terms of our Preferred Stock, prior to its cancellation on February 4, 2013 in connection with the CTI Merger, further details of which appear in Note 4, "Merger with CTI".

### Treasury Stock

Repurchased shares of common stock are recorded as treasury stock, at cost. At July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, we held 302,000 shares of treasury stock with a cost of \$8.0 million.

Shares of restricted stock awards that are forfeited when recipients separate from their employment prior to the lapsing of the award's restrictions are recorded as treasury stock.

From time to time, our board of directors has approved limited programs to repurchase shares of our common stock from directors or officers in connection with the vesting of restricted stock or restricted stock units to facilitate required income tax withholding by us or the payment of required income taxes by such holders. In addition, the terms of some of our equity award agreements with all grantees provide for automatic repurchases by us for the same purpose if a vesting-related or delivery-related tax event occurs at a time when the holder is not permitted to sell shares in the market. Our stock bonus program contains similar terms. Any such repurchases of common stock occur at prevailing market prices and are recorded as treasury stock.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, we did not acquire any shares of treasury stock. During the six months ended July 31, 2012, we acquired approximately 18,000 shares of treasury stock from directors, executive officers, and other employees at a cost of \$0.5 million.

As previously disclosed, in connection with the resumption of option exercises following the conclusion of our previous extended filing delay period and the vesting of restricted stock units after the relisting of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market, during the summer of 2010, we issued up to an aggregate of approximately 135,000

shares of common stock to certain current and former employees and a former director in transactions that did not involve public offerings and that were made in reliance on available exemptions from registration under the Securities Act. In April 2012, we repurchased 2,250 of these securities at a cost of less than \$0.1 million, all of which were retired. The cost of the retired shares was deducted from common stock at par value, which was negligible, and from additional paid-in capital for the excess over par value.

#### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

In addition to net income, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) includes items such as foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on certain marketable securities and derivative financial instruments designated as hedges. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is presented as a separate line item in the stockholders' equity section

Table of Contents

of our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) items have no impact on our net income as presented in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes changes in our accumulated other comprehensive loss by component for the six months ended July 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	Unrealized Gains on Derivative Instruments Designated as Hedges	Unrealized Losses on Available-for-Sale Investments	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Total
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at January 31, 2013	\$2,447	\$ —	\$(46,672 )	\$(44,225 )
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	3,319	(122 )	(10,524 )	(7,327 )
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,231 )	—	—	(2,231 )
Net other comprehensive income (loss), current period	1,088	(122 )	(10,524 )	(9,558 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at July 31, 2013	\$3,535	\$ (122 )	\$(57,196 )	\$(53,783 )

All amounts presented in the table above are net of income taxes, if applicable. The accumulated net losses in foreign currency translation adjustments primarily reflect the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the British pound sterling since our acquisition of Witness in May 2007, which has resulted in lower U.S. dollar-translated balances of British pound sterling-denominated goodwill and intangible assets associated with that acquisition.

The amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive loss into the condensed consolidated statements of operations, with presentation location, for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,		Affected Line Items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Unrealized (gains) losses on derivative financial instruments:					
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$(137 )	\$58	\$(203 )	\$77	Cost of product revenue
	(139 )	46	(205 )	66	Cost of service revenue
	(894 )	301	(1,332 )	408	Research and development
	(414 )	154	(624 )	214	Selling, general and administrative
	(1,584 )	559	(2,364 )	765	Total, before provision for income taxes
	(63 )	27	(133 )	75	(Provision for) benefit from income taxes
	\$(1,521 )	\$532	\$(2,231 )	\$690	Total, net of income taxes

Noncontrolling Interest

The noncontrolling interest presented in our condensed consolidated financial statements reflects a 50% noncontrolling equity interest in a joint venture which functions as a systems integrator for Asian markets. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations, represents the net income of this joint venture attributable to the noncontrolling equity interest. The noncontrolling interest is reflected within stockholders' equity on the condensed consolidated balance sheet but is presented separately from our equity.

## 11. INCOME TAXES

Our interim provision for income taxes is measured using an estimated annual effective tax rate, adjusted for discrete items that occur within the periods presented. The comparison of our effective tax rate between periods is significantly impacted by the level and mix of earnings and losses by tax jurisdiction, foreign income tax rate differentials, amount of permanent book to tax differences, the impact of unrecognized tax benefits, and the effects of valuation allowances on certain loss jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended July 31, 2013, we recorded a \$2.8 million provision for income taxes on pre-tax income of \$21.3 million, which represented an effective income tax rate of 13.2%. The income tax provision does not include income tax benefits on losses incurred by certain domestic operations where we maintain valuation allowances and is mainly the result of the activities of profitable jurisdictions. Our pre-tax income in foreign jurisdictions, where we record tax provisions at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was higher than domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and do not record tax benefits.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012, we recorded a \$4.8 million provision for income taxes on pre-tax income of \$18.0 million, which represented an effective income tax rate of 26.5%. This effective income tax rate was lower than the 35% U.S. federal statutory rate primarily due to the mix and levels of income and losses among taxing jurisdictions. Although we did not recognize U.S. federal income tax benefits on losses incurred by certain domestic operations where we maintain valuation allowances, income from certain foreign subsidiaries was taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate.

For the six months ended July 31, 2013, we recorded a \$5.9 million provision for income taxes on pre-tax income of \$16.5 million, which represented an effective tax rate of 35.9%. The income tax provision does not include income tax benefit on losses incurred by certain domestic operations where we maintain valuation allowances and is mainly the result of the activities of profitable jurisdictions. Our pre-tax income in foreign jurisdictions, where we recorded tax provisions at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was slightly higher than domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and do not record tax benefits.

For the six months ended July 31, 2012, we recorded a \$7.2 million provision for income taxes on pre-tax income of \$32.1 million, which represented an effective tax rate of 22.4%. The effective tax rate was lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the mix and levels of income and losses by jurisdiction. We recorded an income tax provision on income from certain foreign subsidiaries taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, but we did not recognize a U.S. federal income tax benefit on losses incurred by certain domestic operations because we maintain valuation allowances against the deferred tax assets.

As required by the authoritative guidance on accounting for income taxes, we evaluate the realizability of deferred tax assets on a jurisdictional basis at each reporting date. Accounting for income taxes guidance requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more-likely-than-not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In circumstances where there is sufficient negative evidence indicating that the deferred tax assets are not more-likely-than-not realizable, we establish a valuation allowance. We determined that there is sufficient negative evidence to maintain the valuation allowances against our federal and certain state and foreign deferred tax assets as a result of historical losses in the most recent three-year period in the U.S. and in certain foreign jurisdictions. We intend to maintain valuation allowances until sufficient positive evidence exists to support a reversal.

We had unrecognized tax benefits of \$141.4 million and \$55.4 million (excluding interest and penalties) as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively. The accrued liability for interest and penalties was \$20.0 million and \$8.3 million at July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively. Interest and penalties are recorded as a component of the provision for income taxes in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate were approximately \$136.7 million and \$50.8 million, respectively. We regularly assess the adequacy of our provisions for income tax contingencies in accordance with the applicable authoritative guidance on accounting for income taxes. As a result, we may adjust the reserves for unrecognized tax benefits for the impact of new facts and developments, such as changes to interpretations of relevant tax law, assessments from taxing authorities, settlements with taxing authorities, and lapses of statutes of limitation. Further, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at July 31, 2013 could decrease by approximately \$2.7 million in the next

twelve months as a result of settlement of certain tax audits or lapses of statutes of limitation. Such decreases may involve the payment of additional taxes, the adjustment of deferred taxes including the need for additional valuation allowances, and the recognition of tax benefits. Our income tax returns are subject to ongoing tax examinations in several jurisdictions in which we operate. We also believe that it is reasonably possible that new issues may be raised by tax authorities or developments in tax audits may occur which would require increases or decreases to the balance of reserves for unrecognized tax benefits; however, an estimate of such changes cannot reasonably be made.

## 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets



Table of Contents

and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance.

Accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. An instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. This fair value hierarchy consists of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

•Level 1: quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

•Level 2: inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; or

•Level 3: unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity.

Assets and liabilities are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. We review the fair value hierarchy classification of our applicable assets and liabilities on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in transfers within the fair value measurement hierarchy. There were no transfers between levels of the fair value measurement hierarchy during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012.

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013		
	Fair Value Hierarchy Category		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Money market funds	\$13,518	\$—	\$—
Commercial paper (1)	—	4,299	—
Short-term investments classified as available-for-sale	—	98,443	—
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	4,231	—
Total assets	\$13,518	\$106,973	\$—
Liabilities:			
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$425	\$—
Contingent consideration - business combinations	—	—	9,043
Total liabilities	\$—	\$425	\$9,043
(in thousands)	January 31, 2013		
	Fair Value Hierarchy Category		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Money market funds	\$62,085	\$—	\$—
Commercial paper (1)	—	4,000	—
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	2,854	—

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Total assets	\$62,085	\$6,854	\$—
Liabilities:			
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$542	\$—
Contingent consideration - business combinations	—	—	25,041
Total liabilities	\$—	\$542	\$25,041

23

---

Table of Contents

(1) Commercial paper investments with remaining maturities of three months or less at time of purchase, classified within cash and cash equivalents.

The following table presents the change in the estimated fair value of our liability for contingent consideration measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended	
	July 31,	
	2013	2012
Fair value measurement at beginning of period	\$25,041	\$38,646
Changes in fair values, recorded in operating expenses	217	(7,540 )
Payments of contingent consideration	(16,215 )	(5,902 )
Fair value measurement at end of period	\$9,043	\$25,204

Our estimated liability for contingent consideration represents potential payments of additional consideration for business combinations, payable if certain defined performance goals are achieved. Changes in fair value of contingent consideration are recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations within selling, general and administrative expenses.

## Fair Value Measurements

**Money Market Funds** - We value our money market funds using quoted active market prices for such funds.

**Short-term Investments** - Short-term investments represent investments in commercial paper and corporate bonds classified as available-for-sale. Investments in commercial paper with remaining maturities of three months or less at time of purchase are classified within cash and cash equivalents. The fair values of these investments are estimated using observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in inactive markets, if available. When observable market prices for identical securities are not available, we value these short-term investments using non-binding market price quotes from brokers which we review for reasonableness using observable market data; quoted market prices for similar instruments; or pricing models, such as a discounted cash flow model.

**Foreign Currency Forward Contracts** - The estimated fair value of foreign currency forward contracts is based on quotes received from the counterparties thereto. These quotes are reviewed for reasonableness by discounting the future estimated cash flows under the contracts, considering the terms and maturities of the contracts and market exchange rates using readily observable market prices for similar contracts.

**Contingent Consideration - Business Combinations** - The fair value of the contingent consideration related to business combinations is estimated using a probability-adjusted discounted cash flow model. These fair value measurements are based on significant inputs not observable in the market. The key internally developed assumptions used in these models are discount rates and the probabilities assigned to the milestones to be achieved. We remeasure the fair value of the contingent consideration at each reporting period, and any changes in fair value resulting from either the passage of time or events occurring after the acquisition date, such as changes in discount rates, or in the expectations of achieving the performance targets, are recorded within selling, general, and administrative expenses. Increases or decreases in discount rates would have inverse impacts on the related fair value measurements, while favorable or unfavorable changes in expectations of achieving performance targets would result in corresponding increases or decreases in the related fair value measurements. We utilized discount rates ranging from 2.0% to 16.0% in our calculations of the estimated fair values of our contingent consideration liabilities as of July 31, 2013. We utilized discount rates ranging from 3.7% to 17.5% in our calculations of the estimated fair values of our contingent consideration liabilities as of July 31, 2012.

Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of other short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities and other current liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities.

The estimated fair values of our term loan borrowings were \$652.0 million and \$583.0 million at July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively. The estimated fair values of the term loan are based upon indicative bid and ask prices as determined by the agent responsible for the syndication of our term loan. We consider these inputs to be within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because we cannot reasonably observe activity in the limited market in which participations in our term loan are traded. The indicative prices provided to us as at each of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 did not significantly differ from par value.

Table of Contents

Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In addition to assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we also measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Our non-financial assets, including goodwill, intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, are measured at fair value when there is an indication of impairment and the carrying amount exceeds the asset's projected undiscounted cash flows. These assets are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is recognized. No such impairment charges were recorded during the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012.

13. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Our primary objective for holding derivative financial instruments is to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk, when deemed appropriate. We enter into these contracts in the normal course of business to mitigate risks and not for speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

Under our risk management strategy, we periodically use derivative financial instruments to manage our short-term exposures to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We utilize foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge certain operational cash flow exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These cash flow exposures result from portions of our forecasted operating expenses, primarily compensation and related expenses, which are transacted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the Israeli shekel and the Canadian dollar. We also periodically utilize foreign currency forward contracts to manage exposures resulting from forecasted customer collections to be remitted in currencies other than the applicable functional currency, and exposures from cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments denominated in currencies other than the applicable functional currency. Our joint venture, which has a Singapore dollar functional currency, also utilizes foreign exchange forward contracts to manage its exposure to exchange rate fluctuations related to settlements of liabilities denominated in U.S. dollars. These foreign currency forward contracts are reported at fair value on our condensed consolidated balance sheets and generally have maturities of no longer than twelve months, although occasionally we will execute a contract that extends beyond twelve months, depending upon the nature of the underlying risk.

The counterparties to our derivative financial instruments consist of several major international financial institutions. We regularly monitor the financial strength of these institutions. While the counterparties to these contracts expose us to credit-related losses in the event of a counterparty's non-performance, the risk would be limited to the unrealized gains on such affected contracts. We do not anticipate any such losses.

Certain of these foreign currency forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under accounting guidance for derivatives, and gains and losses from changes in their fair values are therefore reported in other income (expense), net. Changes in the fair values of foreign currency forward contracts that are designated and effective as cash flow hedges are recorded net of related tax effects in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and are reclassified to the condensed consolidated statements of operations when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Notional Amounts of Derivative Financial Instruments

Our outstanding derivative financial instruments consisted only of foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts of \$114.0 million and \$108.1 million as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

Fair Values of Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair values of our derivative financial instruments as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 were as follows:

25

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013		Liabilities	
	Assets Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value
Derivative financial instruments designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$4,108	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$213
Total derivative financial instruments designated as hedging instruments		\$4,108		\$213
Derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$123	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$212
Total derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments		\$123		\$212

(in thousands)	January 31, 2013		Liabilities	
	Assets Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value
Derivative financial instruments designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$2,808	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$64
Total derivative financial instruments designated as hedging instruments		\$2,808		\$64
Derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$46	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$478
Total derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments		\$46		\$478

## Derivative Financial Instruments in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

The effects of derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, and for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Net Unrealized Gains Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Classification of Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Loss into the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Loss into the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	
		Three Months Ended July 31,	Six Months Ended July 31,
July 31,	January 31,		

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	2013	2013		2013	2012	2013	2012
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$3,535	\$2,447	Operating Expenses	\$1,584	\$(559)	) \$2,364	\$(765)

There were no gains or losses from ineffectiveness of these hedges recorded for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. All of the foreign currency forward contracts underlying the \$3.5 million of net unrealized gains recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss at July 31, 2013 mature within twelve months, and therefore we expect all such gains to be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months.



Table of Contents

## Derivative Financial Instruments Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

Gains recognized on derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Classification in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
		July 31, 2013	2012	July 31, 2013	2012
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income (expense), net	\$247	\$271	\$677	\$131

## 14. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We recognized stock-based compensation expense in the following line items on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31, 2013	2012	July 31, 2013	2012
Cost of revenue - product	\$199	\$192	\$329	\$326
Cost of revenue - service and support	483	377	750	967
Research and development, net	929	642	1,542	1,137
Selling, general and administrative	7,581	4,711	12,804	9,203
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$9,192	\$5,922	\$15,425	\$11,633

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense by type of award for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31, 2013	2012	July 31, 2013	2012
Stock options	\$54	\$61	\$107	\$177
Restricted stock awards and restricted stock units	8,030	5,522	13,391	10,445
Phantom stock units	33	93	64	489
Stock bonus program	1,075	246	1,863	522
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$9,192	\$5,922	\$15,425	\$11,633

Total stock-based compensation expense by classification was as follows for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31, 2013	2012	July 31, 2013	2012
Equity-classified awards	\$8,267	\$5,486	\$13,986	\$10,472
Stock bonus program	—	246	(298)	522
Total equity-settled awards	8,267	5,732	13,688	10,994
Other liability-classified awards	925	190	1,737	639
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$9,192	\$5,922	\$15,425	\$11,633

Awards under our stock bonus program are accounted for as liability-classified awards because the obligations are based predominantly on fixed monetary amounts that are generally known at inception of the obligation, to be settled

with a variable number of shares of our common stock. Amounts reported in the stock bonus program caption in the preceding table represent stock bonus expenses recognized in those periods for awards that were subsequently settled with equity during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. Expenses associated with stock bonus program awards that remain outstanding as of July 31, 2013 and 2012 are reflected within other liability-classified awards in the preceding table. Our other liability-classified awards also include our phantom stock awards, the values of which track the market price of our common stock and are therefore subject to volatility, and which are settled with cash payments equivalent to the market value of our common stock upon

27

---

Table of Contents

vesting. Upon settlement of other liability-classified awards with equity, the current period's compensation expense associated with those awards is reported within equity-classified awards in the preceding table.

## Stock Options

We have generally not granted stock options subsequent to January 31, 2006, other than in connection with several business combinations whereby stock options to purchase shares of the acquired companies were converted into stock options to purchase shares of our common stock.

The following table summarizes stock option activity and related information for the six months ended July 31, 2013:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Number of Stock Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 31, 2013	924	\$ 31.88
Options exercised	(115	) \$ 23.77
Options forfeited or expired	(10	) \$ 33.60
Outstanding at July 31, 2013	799	\$ 33.03
Options exercisable at July 31, 2013	787	\$ 33.42

Cash proceeds received from the exercise of stock options were \$2.6 million for the six months ended July 31, 2013.

At July 31, 2013, there was approximately \$0.3 million of unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested stock options that we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

## Restricted Stock Units and Restricted Stock Awards

We periodically award restricted stock units, as well as shares of restricted stock, to our directors, officers, and other employees. These awards contain various vesting conditions and are subject to certain restrictions and forfeiture provisions prior to vesting.

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity and related information for the six months ended July 31, 2013:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Number of RSUs	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 31, 2013	1,536	\$ 31.42
RSUs granted	1,532	\$ 33.16
RSUs released	(770	) \$ 31.69
RSUs forfeited	(57	) \$ 31.67
Outstanding at July 31, 2013	2,241	\$ 32.50

Activity presented in the table above includes shares earned and released under our stock bonus program, further details regarding which appear below under "Stock Bonus Program".

The table above includes RSUs granted to executive officers and certain other employees that vest upon the achievement of specified performance goals. At each reporting date, we update our assessment of the probability that the specified performance criteria will be achieved and adjust the related stock-based compensation expenses of the performance-based RSUs, if necessary. We amortize the fair values of performance-based RSUs over the requisite service period for each separately vesting tranche of the award.

Substantially all of the restricted stock units granted during the year ended January 31, 2013 include a provision which allows these awards to be settled with cash payments upon vesting, rather than with delivery of common stock, at the discretion of our board of directors. As of July 31, 2013, settlement of these awards with cash payments was not considered probable, and therefore these awards have been accounted for as equity-classified awards.

## Table of Contents

As of July 31, 2013, there was approximately \$60.5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested restricted stock units, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

### Phantom Stock Units

We have periodically issued phantom stock units to certain non-officer employees that settle, or are expected to settle, with cash payments upon vesting. Like equity-settled awards, phantom stock units are awarded with vesting conditions and are subject to certain forfeiture provisions prior to vesting.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, grants and forfeitures of phantom stock units were not significant. Total cash payments made upon vesting of phantom stock units for the six months ended July 31, 2013 were \$0.2 million. Total accrued liabilities for phantom stock units were \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million at July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

### Stock Bonus Program

We have a stock bonus program under which eligible employees may receive a portion of their annual or quarterly bonuses (depending on the employee's bonus plan) in the form of fully vested shares of our common stock. Through January 31, 2013, executive officers were not eligible to participate in this program. This program is subject to annual funding approval by our board of directors and an annual cap on the number of shares that can be issued. Subject to these limitations, the number of shares to be issued under the program for a given year is determined using a five-day trailing average price of our common stock when the awards are calculated, reduced by a discount to be determined by the board of directors each year (the "discount"). To the extent that this program is not funded in a given year or the number of shares of common stock needed to fully satisfy employee enrollment exceeds the annual cap, the applicable portion of the employee bonuses will generally revert to being paid in cash. Obligations under this program are accounted for as liabilities, because the obligations are based predominantly on fixed monetary amounts that are generally known at inception of the obligation, to be settled with a variable number of shares of common stock determined using a discounted average price of our common stock, as described above.

For the year ended January 31, 2013, our board of directors approved up to 150,000 shares of common stock for awards under this program and a discount of 15%, (the "2013 stock bonus program"). Approximately 93,000 shares of our common stock were earned and issued to participants under the 2013 stock bonus program, including 80,000 shares issued during the six months ended July 31, 2013, which completed our obligations under this program.

On March 15, 2013, our board of directors approved up to 150,000 shares of common stock, and a discount of 15%, for awards under our stock bonus program for the year ending January 31, 2014 (the "2014 stock bonus program"). Executive officers are permitted to participate in the 2014 stock bonus program, but only to the extent that shares remain available for awards following the enrollment of all other participants. Shares awarded to executive officers with respect to the 15% discount will be subject to a one year vesting period.

Total accrued liabilities for stock bonus programs were \$2.2 million and \$3.1 million as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

## 15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

### Transactions with CTI

As discussed in Note 4, "Merger with CTI", on February 4, 2013 we completed the CTI Merger, which eliminated CTI's majority ownership and control of us. As of January 31, 2013, prior to the CTI Merger, CTI beneficially owned approximately 53.5%, and also held a majority of the voting power, of our common stock on an as-converted basis.

During the three months ended April 30, 2012, we paid \$0.3 million to a subsidiary of CTI for its assignment to us of user licenses for certain third-party internal-use software.

#### Other Related Party Transactions

Our joint venture incurs certain operating expenses, including office rent and other administrative costs, and realizes revenue, under arrangements with one of its noncontrolling shareholders. Transactions with this shareholder during the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 were not significant.

Table of Contents

## 16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Warranty Liability

The following table summarizes the activity in our warranty liability, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended	
	July 31,	
	2013	2012
Warranty liability, beginning of period	\$1,045	\$2,015
Provisions credited to expenses	(32	) (573
Warranty charges	—	(26
Foreign currency translation and other	(3	) (14
Warranty liability, end of period	\$1,010	\$1,402

## Legal Proceedings

On March 26, 2009, legal actions were commenced by Ms. Orit Deutsch, a former employee of our subsidiary, Verint Systems Limited ("VSL"), against VSL in the Tel Aviv Regional Labor Court (Case Number 4186/09) (the "Deutsch Labor Action") and against CTI in the Tel Aviv Regional District Court (Case Number 1335/09) (the "Deutsch District Action"). In the Deutsch Labor Action, Ms. Deutsch filed a motion to approve a class action lawsuit on the grounds that she purports to represent a class of our employees and former employees who were granted Verint and CTI stock options and were allegedly damaged as a result of the suspension on option exercises during our previous extended filing delay period. In the Deutsch District Action, in addition to a small amount of individual damages, Ms. Deutsch is seeking to certify a class of plaintiffs who were allegedly damaged due to their inability to exercise Verint and CTI stock options as a result of alleged negligence by CTI in its financial reporting. The class certification motions do not specify an amount of damages. On February 8, 2010, the Deutsch Labor Action was dismissed for lack of material jurisdiction and was transferred to the Tel Aviv Regional District Court and consolidated with the Deutsch District Action. On March 16, 2009 and March 26, 2009, respectively, legal actions were commenced by Ms. Roni Katriel, a former employee of CTI's former subsidiary, Comverse Limited, against Comverse Limited in the Tel Aviv Regional Labor Court (Case Number 3444/09) (the "Katriel Labor Action") and against CTI in the Tel Aviv Regional District Court (Case Number 1334/09) (the "Katriel District Action"). In the Katriel Labor Action, Ms. Katriel is seeking to certify a class of plaintiffs who were granted CTI stock options and were allegedly damaged as a result of the suspension on option exercises during CTI's previous extended filing delay period. In the Katriel District Action, in addition to a small amount of individual damages, Ms. Katriel is seeking to certify a class of plaintiffs who were allegedly damaged due to their inability to exercise CTI stock options as a result of alleged negligence by CTI in its financial reporting. The class certification motions do not specify an amount of damages. On March 2, 2010, the Labor Court ordered the transfer of the case to the District Court in Tel Aviv - Jaffa, based on an agreed motion filed by the parties requesting such transfer.

On April 4, 2012, Ms. Deutsch and Ms. Katriel filed an uncontested motion to consolidate and amend their claims and on June 7, 2012, the court allowed Ms. Deutsch and Ms. Katriel to file the consolidated class certification motion and an amended consolidated complaint against VSL, CTI, and Comverse Limited. Following CTI's announcement of its intention to effect the Comverse share distribution, on July 12, 2012, the plaintiffs filed a motion requesting that the District Court order CTI to set aside up to \$150.0 million in assets to secure any future judgment. The District Court ruled that it would not decide this motion until the Deutsch and Katriel class certification motion was heard. On August 16, 2012, in light of the announcement of the signing of the CTI Merger Agreement, the plaintiffs filed a

motion for leave to appeal this District Court ruling to the Israeli Supreme Court. We filed our response to this motion on September 6, 2012.

Prior to the consummation of the Comverse share distribution, CTI either sold or transferred substantially all of its business operations and assets (other than its equity ownership interests in us and Comverse) to Comverse or unaffiliated third parties. On October 31, 2012, CTI completed the Comverse share distribution, in which it distributed all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Comverse to CTI's shareholders. As a result of the Comverse share distribution, Comverse became an independent public company and ceased to be a wholly owned subsidiary of CTI, and CTI ceased to have any material assets other than its equity interest in us.



Table of Contents

We and the other defendants filed our responses to the complaint on November 11, 2012 and plaintiffs filed their replies on December 20, 2012. A pre-trial hearing for the case was held on December 25, 2012, during which all parties agreed to attempt to settle the dispute through mediation.

On February 4, 2013, we completed the CTI Merger. As a result of the CTI Merger, we have assumed certain rights and liabilities of CTI, including any liability of CTI arising out of the Deutsch District Action and the Katriel District Action. However, under the terms of the Distribution Agreement between CTI and Comverse relating to the Comverse share distribution, we, as successor to CTI, are entitled to indemnification from Comverse for any losses we suffer in our capacity as successor-in-interest to CTI in connection with the Deutsch District Action and the Katriel District Action.

On February 28, 2013, the mediation process began and, as of the date of this report, remains ongoing.

From time to time we or our subsidiaries may be involved in legal proceedings and/or litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business. While the outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the outcome of any current claims will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## 17. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the enterprise's chief operating decision maker ("CODM"), or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our Chief Executive Officer is our CODM.

We conduct our business in three operating segments — Enterprise Intelligence, Video Intelligence and Communications Intelligence.

Our Enterprise Intelligence solutions help large organizations and small-to-medium sized business organizations to extract and analyze valuable information from customer interactions and related operational and transactional data for the purpose of optimizing the performance of their customer service operations, including contact centers, back offices, branches, and remote locations.

Our Video Intelligence solutions help organizations enhance safety and security by enabling them to deploy an end-to-end IP video solution with integrated analytics or evolve to IP video operations without discarding their investments in analog Closed Circuit Television technology.

Our Communications Intelligence solutions are designed to generate evidence and intelligence and are used to detect and neutralize criminal and terrorist threats.

We measure the performance of our operating segments based upon operating segment revenue and operating segment contribution. Operating segment contribution includes segment revenue and expenses incurred directly by the segment, including material costs, service costs, research and development and selling, marketing, and administrative expenses. We do not allocate certain expenses, which include the majority of general and administrative expenses, facilities and communication expenses, purchasing expenses, manufacturing support and logistic expenses, depreciation and amortization, amortization of capitalized software development costs, stock-based compensation, and special charges such as restructuring costs when calculating operating segment contribution. These expenses are included in the unallocated expenses section of the table presented below. Revenue from transactions between our operating segments is not material.

Revenue adjustments for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 represent revenue of acquired companies which is included within segment revenue reviewed by the CODM, but not recognizable within GAAP revenue. These adjustments primarily relate to the acquisition-date excess of the historical carrying value over the fair value of acquired companies' future maintenance and service performance obligations. As the obligations are satisfied, we report our segment revenue using the historical carrying values of these obligations, which we believe better reflects our ongoing maintenance and service revenue streams, whereas GAAP revenue is reported using the obligations' acquisition-date fair values.

With the exception of goodwill and acquired intangible assets, we do not identify or allocate our assets by operating segment. Consequently, it is not practical to present assets by operating segment. There were no material changes in the allocation of goodwill and acquired intangible assets by operating segment during the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. The allocations of goodwill and acquired intangible assets by operating segment appear in Note 6, "Intangible Assets and Goodwill".

Table of Contents

Operating results by segment for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenue:				
Enterprise Intelligence				
Segment revenue	\$125,989	\$117,634	\$239,165	\$229,414
Revenue adjustments	(116	) (1,259	) (369	) (3,212
	125,873	116,375	238,796	226,202
Video Intelligence				
Segment revenue	32,136	38,871	61,101	68,329
Revenue adjustments	—	(712	) (167	) (1,492
	32,136	38,159	60,934	66,837
Communications Intelligence				
Segment revenue	64,651	58,563	127,914	117,564
Revenue adjustments	(213	) (671	) (411	) (1,542
	64,438	57,892	127,503	116,022
Total revenue	\$222,447	\$212,426	\$427,233	\$409,061
Segment contribution:				
Enterprise Intelligence	\$54,594	\$47,860	\$98,397	\$94,963
Video Intelligence	8,724	12,230	14,936	19,035
Communications Intelligence	18,077	14,318	37,766	31,133
Total segment contribution	81,395	74,408	151,099	145,131
Unallocated expenses, net:				
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	8,357	9,679	18,028	19,661
Stock-based compensation	9,192	5,922	15,425	11,633
Other unallocated expenses	32,583	32,544	72,670	66,591
Total unallocated expenses, net	50,132	48,145	106,123	97,885
Operating income	31,263	26,263	44,976	47,246
Other expense, net	(9,949	) (8,226	) (28,496	) (15,180
Income before provision for income taxes	\$21,314	\$18,037	\$16,480	\$32,066

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management's discussion and analysis is provided to assist readers in understanding our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013 and our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this report. This discussion contains a number of forward-looking statements, all of which are based on our current expectations and all of which could be affected by uncertainties and risks. Our actual results may differ materially from the results contemplated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors including, but not limited to, those described under "Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements".

Business Overview

Verint is a global leader in Actionable Intelligence solutions and value-added services. Our solutions enable organizations of all sizes to make more timely and effective decisions to improve enterprise performance and make the world a safer place.

32

---

## Table of Contents

More than 10,000 organizations in over 150 countries—including over 80 percent of the Fortune 100—use Verint solutions. Our portfolio of Enterprise Intelligence Solutions and Security Intelligence Solutions helps organizations Make Big Data Actionable™ through the ability to capture, analyze, and act on large volumes of rich, complex, and often underused information sources—such as voice, video, and unstructured text. In the enterprise intelligence market, our customer-centric workforce optimization and voice of the customer solutions help organizations improve the customer service experience, increase customer loyalty, enhance products and services, reduce operating costs, and drive revenue. In the security intelligence market, our communications and cyber intelligence, video and situation intelligence, and public safety solutions help government and commercial organizations in their efforts to protect people and property, and neutralize terrorism and crime.

Verint was founded in 1994 and is headquartered in Melville, New York.

## Recent Developments

On August 12, 2012, we entered into an agreement and plan of merger with CTI (the "CTI Merger Agreement") providing for the CTI Merger, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the CTI Merger Agreement. Following the satisfaction of the various conditions precedent to closing the CTI Merger, including the requisite approval of the CTI Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated by that agreement by our stockholders and the shareholders of CTI, the CTI Merger was completed on February 4, 2013. The CTI Merger eliminated CTI's majority ownership and control of us. Further details regarding the CTI Merger appear in Note 4, "Merger with CTI" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

On March 6, 2013, we entered into an amendment and restatement agreement with the lenders under our then existing credit agreement (the "2011 Credit Agreement") providing for the amendment and restatement of the 2011 Credit Agreement (as amended and restated, the "2013 Amended Credit Agreement"). The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement provides for \$850.0 million of senior secured credit facilities, comprised of a \$650.0 million term loan maturing in September 2019 and a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility maturing in March 2018, subject to increase (up to a maximum increase of \$300.0 million) and reduction from time to time according to the terms of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

The majority of the proceeds of the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement were used to repay all \$576.0 million of outstanding term loan borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement at the closing date of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. There were no outstanding borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement's revolving credit facility at the closing date. Further details regarding the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement appear in Note 7, "Long-term Debt" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" to the audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013 describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements appearing in this report. The accounting policies that reflect our more significant estimates, judgments and assumptions in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013, and include the following:

- Revenue recognition;
- Accounting for business combinations;
- Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets;

- Accounting for income taxes;
- Contingencies;
- Accounting for stock-based compensation;
- Accounting for cost of revenue; and
- Allowance for doubtful accounts.

We did not identify any significant changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

Results of Operations

Seasonality and Cyclicity

33

---

Table of Contents

As is typical for many software and technology companies, our business is subject to seasonal and cyclical factors. Our revenue and operating income are typically highest in the fourth quarter and lowest in the first quarter. Moreover, revenue and operating income in the first quarter of a new year may be lower than in the fourth quarter of the preceding year, potentially by a significant margin. In addition, we generally receive a higher volume of orders in the last month of a quarter, with orders concentrated in the later part of that month. We believe that these seasonal and cyclical factors primarily reflect customer spending patterns and budget cycles, as well as the impact of incentive compensation plans for our sales personnel. While seasonal and cyclical factors such as these are common in the software and technology industry, this pattern should not be considered a reliable indicator of our future revenue or financial performance. Many other factors, including general economic conditions, may also have an impact on our business and financial results.

## Overview of Operating Results

The following table sets forth a summary of certain key financial information for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31,		July 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenue	\$222,447	\$212,426	\$427,233	\$409,061
Operating income	\$31,263	\$26,263	\$44,976	\$47,246
Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$17,536	\$8,739	\$8,209	\$15,030
Net income per common share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.:				
Basic	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.16	\$0.38
Diluted	\$0.33	\$0.22	\$0.15	\$0.38

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Our revenue increased approximately \$10.0 million, or 5%, to \$222.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 from \$212.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012. In our Enterprise Intelligence segment, revenue increased approximately \$9.5 million, or 8%, to \$125.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 from \$116.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012. The increase consisted of a \$6.9 million increase in service and support revenue and a \$2.6 million increase in product revenue. In our Communications Intelligence segment, revenue increased approximately \$6.6 million, or 11%, from \$57.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$64.5 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$7.3 million increase in service and support revenue partially offset by a \$0.7 million decrease in product revenue. In our Video Intelligence segment, revenue decreased approximately \$6.0 million, from \$38.1 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$32.1 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. For additional details on our revenue by segment, see "—Revenue by Operating Segment". Revenue in the Americas, in Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA"), and in the Asia-Pacific region ("APAC") represented approximately 53%, 21%, and 26% of our total revenue, respectively, in the three months ended July 31, 2013, compared to approximately 57%, 24%, and 19%, respectively, in the three months ended July 31, 2012. Further details of changes in revenue are provided below.

Operating income was \$31.3 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to \$26.3 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012. This increase in operating income was primarily due to a \$13.4 million increase in gross profit from \$136.4 million to \$149.8 million, partially offset by a \$8.4 million increase in operating expenses, from \$110.2 million to \$118.6 million. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to increased gross profit in our Enterprise Intelligence segment. The increase in operating expenses consisted of a \$7.4 million increase in selling, general and administrative expense and a \$1.0 million increase in net research and development expenses. Further

details of changes in operating income are provided below.

Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares was \$17.5 million, and diluted net income per common share was \$0.33, in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$8.7 million, and diluted net income per common share of \$0.22, in the three months ended July 31, 2012. The increase in net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares and diluted net income per common share in the three months ended July 31, 2013 was primarily due to our increased operating income, as described above, a \$2.0 million decrease in our provision for income taxes, and a \$3.9 million decrease in dividends on preferred stock resulting from the cancellation of our Preferred Stock, partially offset by a \$1.7 million increase in total other expense, net, primarily due to a \$0.8 million increase in foreign currency exchange losses, and a \$1.1 million write-off of an indemnification asset associated with tax liabilities recorded in connection with the CTI Merger in the three months ended July 31, 2013.



Table of Contents

A portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, and therefore our revenue and operating expenses are affected by fluctuations in applicable foreign currency exchange rates as noted above. When comparing average exchange rates for the three months ended July 31, 2013 to average exchange rates for the three months ended July 31, 2012, the U.S. dollar weakened relative to the euro, Israeli shekel, and Singapore dollar, resulting in increases in our revenue, cost of revenue and operating expenses on a U.S. dollar-denominated basis. For the three months ended July 31, 2013, had foreign exchange rates remained unchanged from rates in effect for the three months ended July 31, 2012, our revenue would have been approximately \$0.2 million lower and our cost of revenue and operating expenses would have been approximately \$0.2 million lower, which would have resulted in an insignificant impact to operating income.

We employed approximately 3,300 people, including part-time employees and certain contractors, as of July 31, 2013, as compared to approximately 3,200 as of July 31, 2012.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Our revenue increased approximately \$18.1 million, or 4%, to \$427.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 from \$409.1 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012. In our Enterprise Intelligence segment, revenue increased approximately \$12.6 million, or 6%, to \$238.8 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 from \$226.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012. The increase consisted of a \$12.3 million increase in service and support revenue and a \$0.3 million increase in product revenue. In our Communications Intelligence segment, revenue increased approximately \$11.5 million, or 10%, from \$116.0 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$127.5 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$14.8 million increase in service and support revenue partially offset by a \$3.3 million decrease in product revenue. In our Video Intelligence segment, revenue decreased approximately \$5.9 million, from \$66.8 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$60.9 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. For additional details on our revenue by segment, see "—Revenue by Operating Segment". Revenue in the Americas, EMEA, and in the APAC region represented approximately 54%, 21%, and 25% of our total revenue, respectively, in the six months ended July 31, 2013, compared to approximately 54%, 25%, and 21%, respectively, in the six months ended July 31, 2012. Further details of changes in revenue are provided below.

Operating income was \$45.0 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to \$47.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012. This decrease in operating income was primarily due to a \$18.8 million increase in operating expenses, from \$217.5 million to \$236.3 million, partially offset by a \$16.5 million increase in gross profit from \$264.8 million to \$281.3 million. The increase in operating expenses consisted of a \$16.4 million increase in selling, general and administrative expense, a \$2.6 million increase in net research and development expenses, partially offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in amortization of other acquired intangible assets. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to increased gross profit in our Enterprise Intelligence segment. Further details of changes in operating income are provided below.

Net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares was \$8.2 million, and diluted net income per common share was \$0.15, in the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$15.0 million, and diluted net income per common share of \$0.38, in the six months ended July 31, 2012. The decrease in net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares and diluted net income per common share in the six months ended July 31, 2013 was primarily due to our decreased operating income, as described above, and a \$13.3 million increase in total other expense, net, primarily due to extinguishment of the term loan under the 2011 Credit Agreement during the six months ended July 31, 2013, which resulted in a \$9.7 million loss during the six months ended July 31, 2013, a \$3.3 million increase in foreign currency exchange losses, and a \$1.1 million write-off of an indemnification asset associated with tax liabilities recorded in connection with the CTI Merger in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The decrease in diluted net income per common share was also impacted by the cancellation of our Preferred Stock, and the corresponding elimination of the associated dividends, as

well as approximately 12.3 million of incremental shares of our common stock outstanding, all in connection with the CTI Merger. Further discussion regarding the CTI Merger appears in Note 4, "Merger with CTI" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Part I, Item 1.

When comparing average exchange rates for the six months ended July 31, 2013 to average exchange rates for the six months ended July 31, 2012, the U.S. dollar strengthened relative to the British pound sterling, Australian dollar, and Brazilian real, resulting in decreases in our revenue, cost of revenue and operating expenses on a U.S. dollar-denominated basis. For the six months ended July 31, 2013, had foreign exchange rates remained unchanged from rates in effect for the six months ended July 31, 2012, our revenue would have been approximately \$0.7 million higher and our cost of revenue and operating expenses would have been approximately \$1.5 million higher, which would have resulted in a \$0.8 million decrease to operating income.

#### Revenue by Operating Segment

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth revenue for each of our three operating segments for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	July 31, 2013	2012	% Change 2013 - 2012	July 31, 2013	2012	% Change 2013 - 2012
Enterprise Intelligence	\$125,873	\$116,375	8%	\$238,796	\$226,202	6%
Communications Intelligence	64,438	57,892	11%	127,503	116,022	10%
Video Intelligence	32,136	38,159	(16)%	60,934	66,837	(9)%
Total revenue	\$222,447	\$212,426	5%	\$427,233	\$409,061	4%

## Enterprise Intelligence Segment

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Enterprise Intelligence revenue increased approximately \$9.5 million, or 8%, from \$116.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$125.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$6.9 million increase in service and support revenue and a \$2.6 million increase in product revenue. The increase in service and support revenue was primarily due to an increase in our customer install base and the related support revenue generated from this customer base during the three months ended July 31, 2013. The increase in product revenue was primarily due to an increase in product sales to new and existing customers during the three months ended July 31, 2013. The continued growth of service revenue is attributable to various factors, including an increase in services associated with customer product upgrades, a higher component of service offerings in our standard arrangements, and our growing install base. The aggregate value of executed license arrangements, which comprises the majority of our product revenue, can fluctuate from quarter to quarter.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Enterprise Intelligence revenue increased approximately \$12.6 million, or 6%, from \$226.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$238.8 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$12.3 million increase in service and support revenue and a \$0.3 million increase in product revenue. The increase in service and support revenue was primarily due to an increase in our customer install base and the related support revenue generated from this customer base during the six months ended July 31, 2013. The increase in product revenue was primarily due to an increase in product sales to new and existing customers during the six months ended July 31, 2013. The continued growth of service revenue is attributable to various factors, including an increase in services associated with customer product upgrades, a higher component of service offerings in our standard arrangements, and our growing install base. The aggregate value of executed license arrangements, which comprises the majority of our product revenue, can fluctuate from quarter to quarter.

## Communications Intelligence Segment

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Communications Intelligence revenue increased approximately \$6.6 million, or 11%, from \$57.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$64.5 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$7.3 million increase in service and support revenue, partially offset by a \$0.7 million decrease in product revenue. The increase in service and support revenue was primarily attributable to an increase in the customer install base, the progress realized during the current year on projects recognized using the percentage of completion ("POC") method, some of which commenced in the previous fiscal year, and new communications intelligence "software as a service" ("SaaS") offerings. The decrease in product revenue was mainly due to a decrease in product deliveries to customers, partially offset by an increase in product revenue attributable to progress on projects being accounted for under the POC method.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Communications Intelligence revenue increased approximately \$11.5 million, or 10%, from \$116.0 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$127.5 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The increase consisted of a \$14.8 million increase in service and support revenue, partially offset by a \$3.3 million decrease in product revenue. The increase in service and support revenue was primarily attributable to an increase in the customer install base, the progress realized during the current year on projects recognized using the POC method, some of which commenced in the previous fiscal year, and new communications intelligence SaaS offerings. The decrease in product revenue was mainly due to a decrease in product deliveries to customers, partially offset by an increase in product revenue attributable to progress on projects being accounted for under the POC method.

#### Video Intelligence Segment

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Video Intelligence revenue decreased approximately \$6.0 million, or 16%, from \$38.1 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$32.1 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in product revenue, primarily due to the substantial recognition of a multi-year project completed during the three months ended July 31, 2012, with no comparable transaction in the three months ended July 31, 2013.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Video Intelligence revenue decreased approximately \$5.9 million, or 9%, from \$66.8 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$60.9 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in product revenue, primarily due to the substantial recognition of a multi-year project completed during the six months ended July 31, 2012, with no comparable transaction in the six months ended July 31, 2013.

## Volume and Price

We sell products in multiple configurations, and the price of any particular product varies depending on the configuration of the product sold. Due to the variety of customized configurations for each product we sell, we are unable to quantify the amount of any revenue increases attributable to a change in the price of any particular product and/or a change in the number of products sold.

## Revenue by Product Revenue and Service and Support Revenue

We derive and report our revenue in two categories: (a) product revenue, including licensing of software products and sale of hardware products (which include software that works together with the hardware to deliver the product's essential functionality), and (b) service and support revenue, including revenue from installation services, post-contract customer support, project management, hosting services, SaaS, product warranties, and training services. For multiple-element arrangements for which we are unable to establish vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of one or more elements, we use various available indicators of fair value and apply our best judgment to reasonably classify the arrangement's revenue into product revenue and service and support revenue.

The following table sets forth product revenue and service and support revenue for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	July 31, 2013	2012	% Change 2013 - 2012	July 31, 2013	2012	% Change 2013 - 2012
Product revenue	\$97,865	\$101,990	(4)%	\$185,215	\$193,989	(5)%
Service and support revenue	124,582	110,436	13%	242,018	215,072	13%
Total revenue	\$222,447	\$212,426	5%	\$427,233	\$409,061	4%

## Product Revenue

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Product revenue decreased approximately \$4.1 million from \$102.0 million for the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$97.9 million for the three months ended July 31, 2013, resulting from a \$5.9 million decrease in our Video Intelligence segment and a \$0.7 million decrease in our Communications Intelligence segment, partially offset by a \$2.6 million increase in our Enterprise Intelligence segment. For additional information see "— Revenue by Operating Segment".

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Product revenue decreased approximately \$8.8 million from \$194.0 million for the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$185.2 million for the six months ended July 31, 2013, resulting from a \$3.3 million decrease in our Communications Intelligence segment and

a \$5.7 million decrease in our Video Intelligence segment, partially offset by a \$0.3 million increase in our Enterprise Intelligence segment. For additional information see "— Revenue by Operating Segment".

#### Service and Support Revenue

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Service and support revenue increased approximately \$14.2 million, or 13%, from \$110.4 million for the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$124.6 million for the three months ended July 31, 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to increases of \$6.9 million and \$7.3 million in our

Table of Contents

Enterprise Intelligence and Communications Intelligence segments, respectively. For additional information see "— Revenue by Operating Segment".

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Service and support revenue increased approximately \$26.9 million, or 13%, from \$215.1 million for the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$242.0 million for the six months ended July 31, 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to increases of \$12.3 million and \$14.8 million in our Enterprise Intelligence and Communications Intelligence segments, respectively. For additional information see "— Revenue by Operating Segment".

## Cost of Revenue

The following table sets forth cost of revenue by product and service and support, as well as amortization of acquired technology and backlog for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012
	2013	2012		2013	2012	
Cost of product revenue	\$30,090	\$36,382	(17)%	\$61,262	\$67,274	(9)%
Cost of service and support revenue	40,170	35,954	12%	78,668	69,606	13%
Amortization of acquired technology and backlog	2,347	3,644	(36)%	5,985	7,428	(19)%
Total cost of revenue	\$72,607	\$75,980	(4)%	\$145,915	\$144,308	1%

## Cost of Product Revenue

Cost of product revenue primarily consists of hardware material costs and royalties due to third parties for software components that are embedded in our software solutions. When revenue is deferred, we also defer hardware material costs and third-party software royalties and recognize those costs over the same period that the product revenue is recognized. Cost of product revenue also includes amortization of capitalized software development costs, employee compensation and related expenses associated with our global operations, facility costs, and other allocated overhead expenses. In our Communications Intelligence segment, cost of product revenue also includes employee compensation and related expenses, contractor and consulting expenses, and travel expenses, in each case for resources dedicated to project management and associated product delivery.

Our product gross margins are impacted by the mix of products that we sell from period to period. As with many other technology companies, our software products tend to have higher gross margins than our hardware products.

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Cost of product revenue decreased approximately 17% from \$36.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$30.1 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. Our overall product gross margins increased to 69% in the three months ended July 31, 2013 from 64% in the three months ended July 31, 2012. Product gross margins in our Enterprise Intelligence segment increased from 88% in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to 94% in the three months ended July 31, 2013 primarily as a result of higher royalty expense in connection with a large transaction during the three months ended July 31, 2012, which adversely impacted product margins during that period, as well as a continued decrease in hardware sales as part of our product offering. Product gross margins in our Communications Intelligence segment increased from 52% in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to 54% for the three months ended July 31, 2013 as a result of a change in product mix. Product gross margins in our Video Intelligence segment were 60% in each of the three months ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Cost of product revenue decreased approximately 9% from \$67.3 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$61.3 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. Our overall product gross margins increased to 67% in the six months ended July 31, 2013 from 65% in the six months ended July 31, 2012. Product gross margins in our Enterprise Intelligence segment increased from 88% in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to 93% in the six months ended July 31, 2013 primarily as a result of higher royalty expense in connection with a large transaction during the six months ended July 31, 2012, which adversely impacted product margins during that period, as well as a continued decrease in hardware sales as part of our product offering. Product gross margins in our Communications Intelligence segment decreased to 53% for the six months ended July 31, 2013 from 55% in the six months ended July 31, 2012 as a result of a change in product mix. Product gross margins in our Video Intelligence segment decreased to 59% in the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to 60% in the six months ended July 31, 2012 due to changes in product mix.



Table of Contents

Cost of Service and Support Revenue

Cost of service and support revenue primarily consists of employee compensation and related expenses, contractor costs, and travel expenses relating to installation, training, consulting, and maintenance services. Cost of service and support revenue also includes stock-based compensation expenses, facility costs, and other overhead expenses. In accordance with GAAP

and our accounting policy, the cost of revenue associated with the services is generally expensed as incurred in the period in which the services are performed, with the exception of certain transactions accounted for under the POC method.

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Cost of service and support revenue increased approximately 12% from \$36.0 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$40.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. Employee compensation and related expenses increased \$3.1 million, primarily driven by a \$1.9 million and \$0.8 million increase in our Communication Intelligence and Enterprise Intelligence segments, respectively, reflecting an increase in services and support employee headcount required to deliver the increased implementation services. Contractor costs increased \$0.6 million primarily due to increased use of contractors in our Enterprise Intelligence segment to deliver services during the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended July 31, 2012. Our overall service and support gross margins increased to 68% in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to 67% in the three months ended July 31, 2012.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Cost of service and support revenue increased approximately 13% from \$69.6 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$78.7 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. Employee compensation and related expenses increased \$5.5 million, primarily driven by a \$3.0 million and \$1.9 million increase in our Communication Intelligence and Enterprise Intelligence segments, respectively, reflecting an increase in services and support employee headcount required to deliver the increased implementation services. Contractor costs increased \$2.7 million primarily due to increased use of contractors in our Enterprise Intelligence segment to deliver services during the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to the six months ended July 31, 2012. Our overall service and support gross margins were 67% in each of the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012.

Amortization of Acquired Technology and Backlog

Amortization of acquired technology and backlog consists of amortization of technology assets and customer backlog acquired in connection with business combinations.

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Amortization of acquired technology and backlog decreased approximately 36% from \$3.6 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$2.3 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 primarily due to a reduction in amortization of acquired technology from a historical business combination, which became fully amortized during the three months ended July 31, 2012, as well as the impact of the weakening of the foreign currencies in which some of our intangible assets are denominated.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Amortization of acquired technology and backlog decreased approximately 19% from \$7.4 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$6.0 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 primarily due to a reduction in amortization of acquired technology from a historical business combination, which became fully amortized during the six months ended July 31, 2012, as well as the impact of the weakening of the foreign currencies in which some of our intangible assets are denominated.

## Research and Development, Net

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel and subcontracting expenses, facility costs, and other allocated overhead, net of certain software development costs that are capitalized as well as reimbursements under government programs. Software development costs are capitalized upon the establishment of technological feasibility and continue to be capitalized through the general release of the related software product.

The following table sets forth research and development, net for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012
	2013	2012		2013	2012	
Research and development, net	\$31,203	\$30,195	3%	\$61,231	\$58,598	4%

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Research and development, net increased approximately \$1.0 million, or 3%, from \$30.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$31.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$0.4 million increase in contractor expenses due to increased use of contractors in our Communications Intelligence segment, a \$0.2 million decrease in research and development reimbursements from government programs that were received during the three months ended July 31, 2013 primarily in our Video Intelligence segment, and a \$0.3 million increase in stock-based compensation resulting from an increase in average amounts of outstanding restricted stock units, and continued increases in our stock price, which impacts the total stock-based compensation to be recognized over the vesting periods, in each case associated with our research and development employees.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Research and development, net increased approximately \$2.6 million, or 4%, from \$58.6 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$61.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$0.9 million decrease in research and development reimbursements from government programs that were received during the six months ended July 31, 2013, a \$0.4 million increase in contractor expenses due to increased use of contractors in our Communications Intelligence segment, a \$0.3 million increase in depreciation expense associated with fixed assets utilized in research and development activities, and a \$0.4 million increase in stock-based compensation resulting from an increase in average amounts of outstanding restricted stock units, and continued increases in our stock price, which impacts the total stock-based compensation to be recognized over the vesting periods, in each case associated with our research and development employees.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs and related expenses, professional fees, sales and marketing expenses, including travel, sales commissions and sales referral fees, facility costs, communication expenses, and other administrative expenses.

The following table sets forth selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended		% Change 2013 - 2012
	July 31, 2013	2012		July 31, 2013	2012	
Selling, general and administrative	\$81,364	\$73,953	10%	\$163,068	\$146,676	11%

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$7.4 million, or 10%, from \$74.0 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$81.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 primarily due to a \$4.0 million increase in the change in fair value of our obligations under contingent consideration arrangements, a \$2.9 million increase in stock-based compensation resulting from an increase in average amounts of outstanding restricted stock units, and continued increases in our stock price, which impacts the total stock-based compensation to be recognized over the vesting periods, and a \$2.4 million increase in employee compensation and related expenses, \$1.4 million of which was attributable to our Enterprise Intelligence segment due to an increase in employee headcount in this segment. The remaining increase in employee compensation expense was primarily due to an increase in headcount in corporate support employees. These increases were partially offset by a \$2.4 million decrease in professional fees incurred in connection with the CTI Merger.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$16.4 million, or 11%, from \$146.7 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$163.1 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 primarily due to a \$7.8 million increase in the change in fair value of our obligations under contingent consideration arrangements, a \$3.6 million increase in stock-based compensation resulting from an increase in average amounts of outstanding restricted stock units, and continued increases in our stock price, which impacts the total stock-based compensation to be recognized over the vesting periods, a \$3.9 million increase in employee compensation and related expenses, \$2.0 million of which was attributable to our Enterprise Intelligence segment due to an increase in employee headcount in this segment. The remaining increase in employee compensation expense was primarily due to an increase in headcount in corporate support employees. Also included in selling, general, and administrative expenses for the six months ended July 31, 2013 were \$3.5 million of special performance incentives associated with a prior period business combination. These increases were partially offset by a \$2.9 million decrease in professional fees incurred in connection with the CTI Merger.

Amortization of Other Acquired Intangible Assets

40

---

Table of Contents

Amortization of other acquired intangible assets consists of amortization of certain intangible assets acquired in connection with business combinations, including customer relationships, distribution networks, trade names and non-compete agreements.

The following table sets forth amortization of other acquired intangible assets for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012
	2013	2012		2013	2012	
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets	\$6,010	\$6,035	—%	\$12,043	\$12,233	(2)%

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Amortization of other acquired intangible assets did not materially change in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to the three months ended July 31, 2012.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Amortization of other acquired intangible assets decreased by \$0.2 million from \$12.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$12.0 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 due to the impact of the weakening of the foreign currencies in which some of our intangible assets are denominated.

## Other Income (Expense), Net

The following table sets forth total other expense, net for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended July 31,		% Change 2013 - 2012
	2013	2012		2013	2012	
Interest income	\$166	\$124	34%	\$321	\$254	26%
Interest expense	(7,383)	(7,867)	(6)%	(14,571)	(15,585)	(7)%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(173)	—	*	(9,879)	—	*
Other income (expense):						
Foreign currency gains (losses)	(1,487)	(711)	109%	(3,206)	123	*
Gains on derivatives	247	271	(9)%	676	131	*
Other, net	(1,319)	(43)	*	(1,837)	(103)	*
Total other income (expense), net	(2,559)	(483)	*	(4,367)	151	*
Total other expense, net	\$(9,949)	\$(8,226)	21%	\$(28,496)	\$(15,180)	88%

\* Percentage is not meaningful.

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Total other expense, net, increased by \$1.7 million from \$8.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 to \$9.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013.

Interest expense decreased to \$7.4 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 from \$7.9 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012 primarily due to lower interest rates on borrowings associated with the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, which was effective in March 2013, compared to interest incurred under the 2011 Credit

Agreement. Further discussion regarding our credit agreements appears in Note 7, "Long-term Debt" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Part I, Item 1.

We recorded \$1.5 million of net foreign currency losses in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to losses of \$0.7 million in the three months ended July 31, 2012. Foreign currency losses in the three months ended July 31, 2013 resulted primarily from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Singapore dollar, which resulted in foreign currency losses on our U.S. dollar-denominated net assets in certain entities which use that functional currency.

In the three months ended July 31, 2013, there were net gains on derivative financial instruments (not designated as hedging instruments) of \$0.2 million, compared to gains of \$0.3 million on such instruments for the three months ended July 31, 2012. The net gain in the current year resulted from weakening of the hedged currencies against the functional currencies, primarily

Table of Contents

the U.S. dollar against the euro, during that period. Movements of hedged currencies against functional currencies were generally not significant during the three months ended July 31, 2013.

Other, net expense was \$1.3 million in the three months ended July 31, 2013 compared to \$43.0 thousand in the three months ended July 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.1 million write-off of an indemnification asset associated with tax liabilities recorded in connection with the CTI Merger in the three months ended July 31, 2013. Further discussion regarding the CTI Merger appears in Note 4, "Merger with CTI" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Part I, Item 1.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Total other expense, net, increased by \$13.3 million from \$15.2 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 to \$28.5 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013. During the six months ended July 31, 2013, we recorded a \$9.9 million loss upon extinguishment of debt, which primarily relates to the extinguishment of the term loan under the 2011 Credit Agreement. There were no such losses recognized during the six months ended July 31, 2012. Further discussion regarding our credit agreements appears in Note 7, "Long-term Debt" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Part I, Item 1.

Interest expense decreased to \$14.6 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 from \$15.6 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012 primarily due to lower interest rates on borrowings associated with the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, which was effective in March 2013, compared to interest incurred under the 2011 Credit Agreement.

We recorded \$3.2 million of net foreign currency losses in the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to a \$0.1 million of net gains in the six months ended July 31, 2012. Foreign currency losses in the six months ended July 31, 2013 resulted primarily from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Singapore dollar, which resulted in foreign currency losses on our U.S. dollar-denominated net assets in certain entities which use that functional currency, and the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Israeli shekel, euro, and Japanese yen, which resulted in foreign currency losses on our U.S. dollar-denominated liabilities in certain entities which use those functional currencies.

In the six months ended July 31, 2013, there were net gains on derivative financial instruments (not designated as hedging instruments) of \$0.7 million, compared to net gains of \$0.1 million on such instruments for the six months ended July 31, 2012. The net gain in the current year resulted from strengthening of the hedged currencies against the functional currencies, primarily the U.S. dollar against the euro, during that period. Movements of hedged currencies against functional currencies were generally not significant during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

Other, net expense was \$1.8 million in the six months ended July 31, 2013 compared to \$0.1 million in the six months ended July 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.1 million write-off of an indemnification asset associated with tax liabilities recorded in connection with the CTI Merger in the three months ended July 31, 2013. Further discussion regarding the CTI Merger appears in Note 4, "Merger with CTI" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included under Part I, Item 1.

## Provision for Income Taxes

The following table sets forth our provision for income taxes for the three and six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		% Change 2013 - 2012	Six Months Ended		% Change 2013 - 2012
	July 31, 2013	2012		July 31, 2013	2012	

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Provision for income taxes	\$2,809	\$4,772	(41)%	\$5,912	\$7,171	(18)%
----------------------------	---------	---------	-------	---------	---------	-------

Three Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended July 31, 2012. Our effective tax rate was 13.2% for the three months ended July 31, 2013, compared to 26.5% for the three months ended July 31, 2012. For the three months ended July 31, 2013, the effective tax rate was significantly impacted by the level and mix of earnings and losses by jurisdiction. The effective tax rate was also reduced because CTI received a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service which resulted in adjustments to deferred taxes and an indemnified tax liability. Pre-tax income in our foreign jurisdictions, where we record tax provision at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was higher than our domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and did not record the related tax benefits. The result was an income tax provision of \$2.8 million on pre-tax income of \$21.3 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of 13.2%.



## Table of Contents

For the three months ended July 31, 2012, our effective income tax rate was lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the level and mix of income and losses by taxing jurisdiction. The income generated in foreign jurisdictions, taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was higher than our domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and did not record tax benefits. The result was an income tax provision of \$4.8 million on \$18.0 million of pre-tax income, which represented an effective tax rate of 26.5%.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2013 compared to Six Months Ended July 31, 2012. Our effective tax rate was 35.9% for the six months ended July 31, 2013, compared to 22.4% for the six months ended July 31, 2012. For the six months ended July 31, 2013, the effective tax rate was significantly impacted by the level and mix of earnings and losses by jurisdiction. The effective tax rate was also reduced because CTI received a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service which resulted in adjustments to deferred taxes and an indemnified tax liability. Pre-tax income in our foreign jurisdictions, where we record tax provisions at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was slightly higher than our domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and did not record the related tax benefits. The result was an income tax provision of \$5.9 million on pre-tax income of \$16.5 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of 35.9%.

For the six months ended July 31, 2012, our effective income tax rate was lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the level and mix of income and losses by taxing jurisdiction. Pre-tax income in our foreign jurisdictions, taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate, was higher than domestic losses where we maintain valuation allowances and did not record tax benefits. The result was an income tax provision of \$7.2 million on \$32.1 million of pre-tax income, which represented an effective tax rate of 22.4%.

The comparison of our effective tax rates between periods is impacted by the level and mix of earnings and losses by tax jurisdiction, foreign income tax rate differentials, amount of permanent book to tax differences, the impact of unrecognized tax benefits, and the effects of valuation allowances on certain loss jurisdictions.

## Backlog

The delivery cycles of most of our products are generally very short, ranging from days to several months, with the exception of certain projects with multiple deliverables over longer periods of time. Therefore, we do not view backlog as a meaningful indicator of future business activity and do not consider it a meaningful financial metric for evaluating our business.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Overview

Our primary source of cash is the collection of proceeds from the sale of products and services to our customers, including cash periodically collected in advance of delivery or performance.

In April 2011, we entered into the 2011 Credit Agreement and terminated the 2007 Credit Agreement. The 2011 Credit Agreement included a term loan facility, with an outstanding balance of \$576.0 million at January 31, 2013, and a \$170.0 million revolving line of credit, which was unused at January 31, 2013. On March 6, 2013, the 2011 Credit Agreement was replaced by the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement includes a \$650.0 million term loan facility, all of which is outstanding at July 31, 2013, and a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility, which was unused at July 31, 2013. Further discussion of our credit agreements appears below, under "Credit Agreements".

Our primary recurring use of cash is payment of our operating costs, which consist primarily of employee-related expenses, such as compensation and benefits, as well as general operating expenses for marketing, facilities and overhead costs, and capital expenditures. We also utilize cash for debt service under our credit agreements and periodically for business acquisitions. Cash generated from operations is our primary source of operating liquidity, and we believe that internally generated cash flows are sufficient to support our current business operations, including debt service and capital expenditure requirements.

As discussed earlier under "— Recent Developments", on February 4, 2013, we completed the CTI Merger, which eliminated CTI's majority ownership in and control of us. The CTI Merger was accomplished through an exchange of new shares of our common stock for all of the issued and outstanding shares of CTI common stock. Other than the payment of professional fees and other transaction expenses, no cash was used in the CTI Merger. CTI's net assets acquired by us at the date of the merger included \$10.4 million of cash.

Table of Contents

Although we did not complete any business acquisitions during the six months ended July 31, 2013, other than the CTI Merger (which was accomplished through an exchange of common shares), we have historically expanded our business in part by investing in strategic growth initiatives, including acquisitions of products, technologies, and businesses. We have used cash as consideration for substantially all of our historical business acquisitions, other than the CTI Merger. To the extent that we continue this strategy, our future cash requirements and liquidity may be impacted. We may utilize external capital sources, including debt and equity, to supplement our internally generated sources of liquidity as necessary and if available. We also may consider initiatives to modify the debt and equity components of our current capitalization, as we did in March 2013 by entering into the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, which amended and restated the 2011 Credit Agreement, or as we did in February 2013 by completing the CTI Merger.

A considerable portion of our operating income is earned outside the United States. Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and restricted cash and bank time deposits (including any long-term portions) held by our subsidiaries outside the United States were \$225.7 million and \$192.9 million as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively, and are generally used to fund the subsidiaries' operating requirements and to invest in company growth initiatives, including business acquisitions. We currently do not anticipate that we will need funds generated from foreign operations to fund our domestic operations for the next 12 months and for the foreseeable future.

Should other circumstances arise whereby we require more capital in the United States than is generated by our domestic operations, or should we otherwise consider it in our best interests, we could repatriate future earnings from foreign jurisdictions, which could result in higher effective tax rates. We have not provided for deferred taxes on the excess of the amount for financial reporting over the tax basis of investments in our foreign subsidiaries because we currently plan to indefinitely reinvest such earnings outside the United States.

In the past, we have periodically reported a working capital deficit (current liabilities in excess of current assets), due largely to the impact of changes in our deferred revenue balances. Because deferred revenue is not a cash-settled liability, working capital in this case may not be a meaningful indicator of our liquidity. We believe our liquidity is better measured and assessed by our operating cash flow.

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and bank time deposits, short-term investments and long-term debt as of July 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents	\$229,184	\$209,973
Restricted cash and bank time deposits	\$8,225	\$11,128
Short-term investments	\$118,370	\$13,593
Long-term debt	\$638,877	\$570,822

At July 31, 2013, our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$229.2 million, an increase of \$19.2 million from \$210.0 million at January 31, 2013. Our operating activities and financing activities generated \$72.7 million and \$54.4 million, respectively, of cash during the six months ended July 31, 2013, which was partially offset by \$106.4 million of cash used in investing activities during this period. Further discussion of these items appears below.

## Consolidated Cash Flow Activity

The following table summarizes selected items from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012:

Six Months Ended  
July 31,

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$72,658	\$39,251
Net cash used in investing activities	(106,353)	(7,593)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	54,379	(8,005)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,473)	(1,065)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$19,211	\$22,588

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

44

---

## Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities is driven primarily by our net income or loss, adjusted for non-cash items, and working capital changes. Operating activities generated \$72.7 million of net cash during the six months ended July 31, 2013, compared to \$39.3 million generated during the six months ended July 31, 2012. The increased operating activity cash flow in the current year's period resulted primarily from higher accounts receivable collections and the impact of net income tax refunds of \$3.9 million, compared to net income tax payments of \$11.4 million in the prior year's period. The net income tax refunds in the current year's period resulted principally from the impact of income tax refunds in Israel associated with prior periods' income tax returns. Operating cash flow activity for the six months ended July 31, 2013 also included the payment of a \$7.2 million investment banking fee associated with the CTI Merger.

### Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, our investing activities used \$106.4 million of net cash, primarily reflecting \$105.0 million of net purchases of short-term investments. We expanded our short-term investing activity during the six months ended July 31, 2013 to increase returns on funds provided by the period's operating and financing activities. We also made \$7.2 million of payments for property, equipment, and capitalized software development costs during this period. During the six months ended July 31, 2013, our funds were held entirely in cash and cash equivalents and we therefore did not make any purchases of short-term investments during that period.

During the six months ended July 31, 2012, our investing activities used \$7.6 million of net cash, including \$8.5 million of payments for property, equipment, and capitalized software development costs. We also made a \$0.7 million payment during this period representing a post-closing adjustment to the purchase price of a January 2012 business acquisition.

As of the date of this report, we have no significant commitments for capital expenditures.

### Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities

For the six months ended July 31, 2013, our financing activities provided \$54.4 million of net cash. During this period, we borrowed \$646.7 million under our 2013 Amended Credit Agreement (gross borrowings of \$650.0 million, reduced by a \$3.3 million original issuance discount), repaid \$576.0 million of outstanding borrowings under our 2011 Credit Agreement, and paid \$7.8 million of related debt issuance costs. We also received \$10.4 million of cash in connection with the CTI Merger during this period. Other financing activities during the six months ended July 31, 2013 included payments of \$15.4 million for the financing portion of payments under contingent consideration arrangements related to prior business combinations, and the receipt of \$2.6 million of proceeds from exercises of stock options.

During the six months ended July 31, 2012, our financing activities used \$8.0 million of net cash, including \$5.1 million for the financing portion of payments under contingent consideration arrangements related to prior business combinations, and \$3.5 million of repayments of borrowings and other financing obligations.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources Requirements

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe that our cash, cash equivalents, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet anticipated operating costs, required payments of principal and interest, working capital needs, ordinary course capital expenditures, research and development spending, and other commitments for at least the next 12 months. Currently, we have no plans to pay any cash dividends on our common stock, which are not permitted under our 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

Our liquidity could be negatively impacted by a decrease in demand for our products and service and support, including the impact of changes in customer buying behavior due to the economic environment. If we determine to make acquisitions or otherwise require additional funds, we may need to raise additional capital, which could involve the issuance of equity or debt securities.

#### Credit Agreements

In May 2007, we entered into the 2007 Credit Agreement, comprised of a \$650.0 million seven-year term loan facility and a \$25.0 million six-year revolving line of credit. The borrowing capacity under the revolving line of credit was increased to \$75.0 million in July 2010.

Table of Contents

In April 2011, we entered into the 2011 Credit Agreement and concurrently terminated the 2007 Credit Agreement. The 2011 Credit Agreement provided for \$770.0 million of secured credit facilities, comprised of a \$600.0 million term loan maturing in October 2017 and a \$170.0 million revolving credit facility maturing in April 2016, subject to increase (up to a maximum increase of \$300.0 million) and reduction from time to time according to the terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

The 2011 Credit Agreement included an original issuance term loan discount of 0.50%, or \$3.0 million, resulting in net term loan proceeds of \$597.0 million.

The majority of the proceeds of the term loan under the 2011 Credit Agreement were used to repay all \$583.2 million of outstanding term loan borrowings under the 2007 Credit Agreement at the closing date of the 2011 Credit Agreement. There were no outstanding borrowings under the 2007 Credit Agreement's revolving credit facility at the closing date.

On March 6, 2013, we entered into an amendment and restatement agreement with the lenders under the 2011 Credit Agreement providing for the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement provides for \$850.0 million of senior secured credit facilities, comprised of a \$650.0 million term loan maturing in September 2019 and a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility maturing in March 2018, subject to increase (up to a maximum increase of \$300.0 million) and reduction from time to time according to the terms of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement included an original issuance term loan discount of 0.50%, or \$3.3 million, resulting in net term loan proceeds of \$646.7 million.

The majority of the proceeds of the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement were used to repay all \$576.0 million of outstanding term loan borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement at the closing date of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. There were no outstanding borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement's revolving credit facility at the closing date.

The terms and conditions of the 2011 Credit Agreement have been superseded by the terms and conditions of the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, although some terms and conditions have remained consistent. Further details regarding the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement are described below.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement

Loans under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement bear interest, payable quarterly or, in the case of Eurodollar loans with an interest period of three months or shorter, at the end of any interest period, at a per annum rate of, at our election:

(a) in the case of Eurodollar loans, the Adjusted LIBO Rate plus 3.00% (or, if our corporate credit ratings are BB- and Ba3 or better, 2.75%). The Adjusted LIBO Rate is the greater of (i) 1.00% per annum and (ii) the product of the LIBO Rate and Statutory Reserves (both as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement), and

(b) in the case of Base Rate loans, the Base Rate plus 2.00% (or, if our corporate credit ratings are BB- and Ba3 or better, 1.75%). The Base Rate is the greatest of (i) the administrative agent's prime rate, (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) plus 0.50% and (iii) the Adjusted LIBO Rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%.

The interest rate on our term loan at July 31, 2013 was 4.00%.

Under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, we are required to pay a commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum of the undrawn portion on the revolving credit facility, payable quarterly, and customary administrative agent and letter of credit fees. These fees are unchanged from the 2011 Credit Agreement.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement requires us to make term loan principal payments of \$1.6 million per quarter commencing on May 1, 2013 and continuing through August 1, 2019, with the remaining balance due in September 2019. Optional prepayments of the loans are permitted without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs associated with the prepayment of loans bearing interest based on LIBO Rates and a 1.0% premium applicable in the event of a Repricing Transaction (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) prior to March 5, 2014. The loans are also subject to mandatory prepayment requirements with respect to certain asset sales, excess cash flows (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement), and certain other events. Prepayments are applied first to the eight immediately following scheduled term loan principal payments, then pro rata to other remaining scheduled term loan principal payments, if any, and thereafter as otherwise provided in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement.



## Table of Contents

Our obligations under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement are guaranteed, in the same manner as under the 2011 Credit Agreement, by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries that have elected to be disregarded for U.S. tax purposes, and are secured, in the same manner as under the 2011 Credit Agreement, by security interests in substantially all of our and their assets, subject to certain exceptions detailed in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement and related ancillary documents.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of this type, which covenants are substantially similar to those in the 2011 Credit Agreement. These covenants include limitations on us and our subsidiaries with respect to indebtedness, liens, nature of business, investments and loans, distributions, acquisitions, dispositions of assets, sale-leaseback transactions and transactions with affiliates. The revolving credit facility also contains a financial covenant that requires us to maintain a ratio of Consolidated Total Debt to Consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) of no greater than 5.00 to 1 until January 31, 2015 and no greater than 4.50 to 1 thereafter (the "Leverage Ratio Covenant"). The limitations imposed by the covenants are subject to certain exceptions as detailed in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. At July 31, 2013, our consolidated leverage ratio was approximately 2.40 to 1 compared to a permitted consolidated leverage ratio of 5.00 to 1, and our EBITDA for the twelve-month period then ended exceeded by at least \$100.0 million the minimum EBITDA required to satisfy the leverage ratio covenant given our outstanding debt as of such date.

The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement provides for certain customary events of default with corresponding grace periods. These events of default include failure to pay principal or interest when due under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, failure to comply with covenants, any representation or warranty made by us proving to be inaccurate in any material respect, defaults under certain other indebtedness of us or our subsidiaries, the occurrence of a Change of Control (as defined in the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement) with respect to us and certain insolvency or receivership events affecting us or our significant subsidiaries. Upon the occurrence of an event of default resulting from a violation of the Leverage Ratio Covenant, the lenders under our revolving credit facility may require us to immediately repay outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and may terminate their commitments to provide loans under that facility. A violation of the Leverage Ratio Covenant would not, by itself, result in an event of default under the term loan but may trigger a cross-default under the term loan in the event we are required to repay outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. Upon the occurrence of other events of default, the lenders may require us to immediately repay all outstanding borrowings under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement and the lenders under our revolving credit facility may terminate their commitments to provide loans under the facility.

## Convertible Preferred Stock

Our capitalization included Series A Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock originally issued in May 2007 which, as of January 31, 2013, had a carrying value of \$285.5 million and a liquidation preference and redemption value of \$ 365.9 million. All of the Preferred Stock was originally issued to, and as February 4, 2013 continued to be held by, CTI. Each outstanding share of our Preferred Stock was canceled upon completion of the CTI Merger on February 4, 2013.

## Contractual Obligations

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013 includes a table summarizing our contractual obligations of approximately \$835 million as of January 31, 2013, including approximately \$702 million for long-term debt obligations, including projected future interest. This table appears under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in that report. As described above under "Credit Agreements", in March 2013, we entered into the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, which, among other things, modified our future debt principal and interest obligations. The 2013 Amended Credit Agreement has increased our

long-term debt obligations, including projected future interest, from approximately \$702 million at January 31, 2013 to approximately \$810 million at July 31, 2013. This increase results primarily from the impact of the larger term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement compared to the term loan under the 2011 Credit Agreement, partially offset by the impact of a lower projected interest rate under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement. Details regarding scheduled principal payments for the term loan under the 2013 Amended Credit Agreement, along with further information regarding our long-term debt obligations, are provided in Note 7, "Long-Term Debt" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report. Other than the impact of this transaction, we believe that our contractual obligations and commercial commitments did not materially change during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

#### Contingent Payments Associated with Business Combinations

## Table of Contents

In connection with certain of our business combinations, we have agreed to make contingent cash payments to the former shareholders or asset owners of the acquired businesses based upon achievement of performance targets following the acquisition dates. Although we did not complete any business combinations during the six months ended July 31, 2013 other than the CTI Merger, we completed several business combinations in recent periods which included contingent cash consideration arrangements. Please refer to Note 5, "Business Combinations" to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report for information regarding our business combinations.

For the six months ended July 31, 2013, we made \$16.2 million of payments under contingent consideration arrangements. As of July 31, 2013, potential future cash payments under contingent consideration arrangements total \$32.6 million, the estimated fair value of which was \$9.0 million, of which \$7.6 million is included within accrued expenses and other current liabilities, and \$1.4 million is included within other liabilities. The performance periods associated with these potential payments extend through January 2015.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of July 31, 2013, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that we believe have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

#### New Accounting Pronouncements Implemented

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2012-02, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, which simplifies how entities test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment and improves consistency in impairment testing requirements among long-lived asset categories. These amended standards permit an assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. For assets in which this assessment concludes that it is more likely than not that the fair value is more than its carrying value, these amended standards eliminate the requirement to perform quantitative impairment testing. The amended guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. Our adoption of this guidance effective February 1, 2013 did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which contained amended standards regarding disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). These amended standards require the disclosure of information about the amounts reclassified out of AOCI by component and, in addition, require disclosure, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, of significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. These amended standards do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated financial statements. These amended standards were effective for us on February 1, 2013, and adoption of this guidance did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required by the amended standards appear in Note 10, "Stockholders' Equity".

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes, permitting entities to use the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to the U.S. Treasury rate and the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The amendments in this ASU were effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. We adopted the amendments in this ASU effective July 17, 2013, and the initial adoption of the amendments in this ASU did not impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements To Be Implemented

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or

Table of Contents

of an Investment in a Foreign Entity. This new standard is intended to resolve diversity in practice regarding the release into net income of a cumulative translation adjustment upon derecognition of a subsidiary or group of assets within a foreign entity. ASU No. 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. We are currently reviewing this standard, but we do not anticipate that its adoption will have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements, absent any material transactions involving the derecognition of subsidiaries or groups of assets within a foreign entity.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. ASU No. 2013-11 requires that entities with an unrecognized tax benefit and a net operating loss carryforward or similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward in the same jurisdiction as the uncertain tax position present the unrecognized tax benefit as a reduction of the deferred tax asset for the loss or tax credit carryforward rather than as a liability, when the uncertain tax position would reduce the loss or tax credit carryforward under the tax law, thereby eliminating diversity in practice regarding this presentation issue. This new guidance is effective prospectively for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013, although retrospective application is permitted. We are currently assessing the impact of this guidance, if any, on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial condition due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. To manage the volatility relating to interest rate and foreign currency risks, we periodically enter into derivative instruments including foreign currency forward exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements. It is our policy to enter into derivative transactions only to the extent considered necessary to meet our risk management objectives. We use derivative instruments solely to reduce the financial impact of these risks and do not use derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

The section entitled “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” under Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013 provides detailed quantitative and qualitative discussions of the market risks affecting our operations. We believe that our market risk profile did not materially change during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, are controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified by the rules and forms promulgated by the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of July 31, 2013, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect every misstatement. An evaluation of effectiveness is subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may decrease over time.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Under applicable SEC rules (Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(c) and 15d-15(c)) management is required to evaluate any change in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during each fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. In evaluating whether there were any reportable changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended July 31, 2013, we determined, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, that there were no changes in our internal control over

Table of Contents

financial reporting, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

50

---

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Note 16, “Commitments and Contingencies” of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements under Part I, Item 1 for information regarding our legal proceedings.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the Risk Factors described in Part I “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2013. In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the risks discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, or operating results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us, however. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be insignificant also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, or operating results in the future.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

## Item 5. Other Information

None.

## Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibit list includes agreements that we entered into or that became effective during the three months ended July 31, 2013:

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
10.1*	Amended and Restated Comverse Technology, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan	Form S-8 (Commission File No. 333-189062) effective on June 4, 2013
31.1	Certification of Dan Bodner, Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Douglas E. Robinson, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section	Filed herewith



Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

	1350 (1)	
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (1)	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Filed herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Filed herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	Filed herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	Filed herewith

Table of Contents

(1)These exhibits are being "furnished" with this periodic report and are not deemed "filed" with the SEC and are not incorporated by reference in any filing of the company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

\* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this form pursuant to Item 6 of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VERINT SYSTEMS INC.

September 4, 2013

/s/ Dan Bodner  
Dan Bodner  
President and Chief Executive Officer

September 4, 2013

/s/ Douglas E. Robinson  
Douglas E. Robinson  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and  
Principal Accounting Officer)