

NIC INC
Form DEF 14A
March 16, 2018

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as Permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

NIC INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: NIC INC - Form DEF 14A

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

NIC INC.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time and Date: 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Time, on Tuesday, May 1, 2018

Place: Embassy Suites by Hilton Kansas City/Olathe
10401 S Ridgeview Rd, Olathe, KS 66061

Items of
Business:

To elect the director nominees of NIC Inc. (the “Company”) as directors;

To consider and cast an advisory vote upon the approval of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed in these materials;

To consider and vote upon the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018; and

To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting.

Record Date: You can vote if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 2, 2018.

Materials to Review: We are distributing our proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the internet under the “Notice and Access” rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). This approach saves printing and mailing costs and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting, while providing a convenient way to access the materials and vote. On March 16, 2018, we mailed a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to stockholders of record at the close of business on March 2, 2018, containing instructions about how to access our proxy materials and vote online or vote by telephone.

Proxy Voting: Important. Please review the instructions on each of your voting options described in this Proxy Statement and in the notice you received by mail. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote your shares at your earliest convenience.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William Van Asselt
General Counsel
March 16, 2018

CONTENTS

	Page
NOTICE OF 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	i
GENERAL INFORMATION	1
STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	9
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	16
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	18
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL NO. 1)	20
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	25
REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	25
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	26
COMPENSATION TABLES AND RELATED DISCLOSURE	39
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	39
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN FISCAL 2017	41
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2017 FISCAL YEAR-END	42
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL 2017	44
REQUIRED PAY RATIO DISCLOSURE	44
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND SEVERANCE PAYMENTS	46
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	53
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	55
ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL NO. 2)	58
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL NO. 3)	59
SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	61
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	61
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	61

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	62
OTHER BUSINESS	64

NIC INC.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

PROXY STATEMENT
FOR
2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Why am I receiving these materials?

NIC Inc. (“NIC” or the “Company” or “we”) has made these materials available to you on the internet or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail, in connection with the Company’s solicitation of proxies for use at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) to be held on Tuesday, May 1, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. These materials were first sent or made available to stockholders on March 16, 2018. You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement (the “Proxy Statement”). The Annual Meeting will be held at Embassy Suites by Hilton Kansas City/Olathe, 10401 S Ridgeview Rd, Olathe, KS 66061.

What is included in these materials?

These materials include:

· This Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting; and

· The Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2018 (the “Annual Report”).

If you requested printed versions by mail, these materials also include the proxy card or vote instruction form for the Annual Meeting.

What are the Board’s recommendations?

The Board of Directors (“Board of Directors” or “Board”) recommends that you vote your shares:

1. “FOR” each of the nominees named herein for director (Proposal No. 1);
2. “FOR” the approval on an advisory basis of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed in these proxy materials (Proposal No. 2); and
3. “FOR” the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018 (Proposal No. 3).

Where are the Company's principal executive offices located, and what is the Company's main telephone number?

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061. The Company's main telephone number is (877) 234-3468.

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, the Company uses the internet as the primary means of furnishing proxy materials to stockholders. Accordingly, the Company is sending the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") to the Company's stockholders. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice. In addition, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. The Company encourages stockholders to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the internet to help reduce the environmental impact of its annual meetings.

I share an address with another stockholder, and we received only one paper copy of the proxy materials. How may I obtain an additional copy of the proxy materials?

The Company has adopted a procedure called "householding," which the SEC has approved. Under this procedure, the Company is delivering a single copy of the Notice and, if applicable, this Proxy Statement and the Annual Report, to multiple stockholders who share the same address unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. This procedure reduces the Company's printing and mailing costs, and the environmental impact of the Company's annual meetings. Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to be able to access and receive separate proxy cards. Upon written or oral request, the Company will deliver promptly a separate copy of the Notice and, if applicable, this Proxy Statement and the Annual Report to any stockholder at a shared address to which the Company delivered a single copy of any of these documents.

To receive a separate copy of the Notice and, if applicable, this Proxy Statement and the Annual Report, stockholders may write or call the Company at the following address and telephone number:

NIC Corporate Secretary
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061
(877) 234-3468

Stockholders who hold shares in "street name" (as described below) may contact their brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or other similar organization to request information about householding.

If you and other residents at your address have been receiving multiple copies of the Notice and, if applicable, our Annual Report and Proxy Statement, and desire to receive only a single copy of these materials, you may contact your broker, bank or other nominee or contact us at the above address or telephone number.

How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

The Notice will provide you with instructions regarding how to:

- View on the internet the Company's proxy materials for the Annual Meeting; and
- Instruct the Company to send future proxy materials to you by email.

The Company's proxy materials are also available on the Company's website at www.egov.com/investor-relations.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Who can vote?

Your vote is very important. You can vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you are present in person or represented by proxy. Stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 2, 2018 (also referred to as the Record Date) are entitled to vote. On that date, approximately 67,120,258 shares of Common Stock were outstanding and eligible to vote.

How many votes do I have?

On each matter presented at the Annual Meeting, you are entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock owned by you at the close of business on the Record Date.

What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a beneficial owner?

Most NIC stockholders hold their shares through a broker, bank, or other nominee rather than directly in their own names. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice was sent directly to you by NIC. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive a proxy card.

Beneficial Owner of Shares Held in Street Name. If your shares are held in a brokerage account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or similar organization, then you are the "beneficial owner" of shares held in "street name," and a Notice was forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to instruct that organization on how to vote your shares. Those instructions are contained in a "voting instruction form." If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive a voting instruction form. As a beneficial owner, you are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, because you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, unless you obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank, or other nominee and present it to the inspectors of election at the Annual Meeting with your ballot.

If I am a stockholder of record of the Company's shares, how do I vote?

If you are a stockholder of record, there are four ways to vote:

Internet Voting. You may vote by proxy via the internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice.

Telephone Voting. You may vote by proxy by calling the toll-free number found on the proxy card.

Voting by Mail. If you requested printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by proxy by filling out the proxy card and returning it in the envelope provided.

Voting in Person. You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. The Company will give you a ballot when you arrive.

Proxies submitted over the internet or by telephone must be received by the deadline set forth on the proxy card. In order to ensure they are voted at the Annual Meeting, proxies submitted by mail must be received by the Company prior to May 1, 2018.

If I am a beneficial owner of the Company's shares held in street name, how do I vote?

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, there are four ways to vote:

Internet Voting. You may vote by proxy via the internet by visiting www.proxyvote.com and entering the control number found in the Notice or the voting instruction form.

Telephone Voting. You may vote by proxy by calling the toll-free number found on the voting instruction form.

Voting by Mail. If you requested printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by proxy by filling out the voting instruction form and returning it in the envelope provided.

Voting in Person. If you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank, or other nominee. Please contact your broker, bank, or other nominee for instructions regarding obtaining a legal proxy.

What is the quorum requirement for the Annual Meeting?

A majority of the shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting must be present at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy for the transaction of business. This is called a quorum. Your shares will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum if you:

- Are entitled to vote and you are present in person at the Annual Meeting; or
- Have properly voted on the internet, by telephone or by submitting a proxy card or vote instruction form by mail.

If a quorum is not present, the Annual Meeting will be adjourned until a quorum is obtained.

How are proxies voted?

All shares represented by valid proxies received in time for the Annual Meeting in accordance with applicable deadlines will be voted and, where a stockholder specifies by means of the proxy a choice with respect to any matter to be acted upon, the shares will be voted in accordance with the stockholder's instructions.

What happens if I do not give specific voting instructions?

Stockholders of Record. If you are a stockholder of record and you:

· Indicate when voting on the internet or by telephone that you wish to vote as recommended by the Board; or

Sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions, then the persons named as proxy holders will vote your shares in the manner recommended by the Board on all matters presented in this Proxy Statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

Beneficial Owners of Shares Held in Street Name. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name and do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific voting instructions then, under applicable rules, the organization that holds your shares may generally vote on "routine" matters but cannot vote on "non-routine" matters. If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, that organization will inform the inspectors of election that it does not have the authority to vote on this matter with respect to your shares. This is generally referred to as a "broker non-vote."

What ballot measures are considered "routine" or "non-routine"?

The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2018 (Proposal No. 3) is a matter considered routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee may generally vote on routine matters, and therefore no broker non-votes are expected to exist in connection with Proposal No. 3.

The election of directors (Proposal No. 1) and the non-binding advisory resolution approving the Company's executive compensation (Proposal No. 2) are matters considered non-routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee cannot vote without instructions on non-routine matters, and therefore broker non-votes may exist in connection with Proposals No. 1 and No. 2.

What vote is required to approve each of the proposals?

With respect to the election of directors (Proposal No. 1), directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for the election of directors at the Annual Meeting and the director nominees who receive the most votes will be elected. However, under the Company's majority vote policy for the election of directors, in any uncontested election of directors, any nominee who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election is required to tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is required to promptly consider the resignation and make a recommendation to the Board of Directors with respect to the tendered resignation. The Board of Directors is required to take action with respect to this recommendation. Any director who tenders his or her resignation to the Board of Directors will not participate in the committee's consideration or Board action regarding whether to accept such tendered resignation. The policy is more fully described below under the "Structure and Practices of the Board of Directors—Governance Principles and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics—Majority Vote Policy" section of this Proxy Statement.

Approval of Proposals No. 2 (advisory vote on executive compensation) and No. 3 (ratification of independent registered public accounting firm) require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all of the outstanding shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon.

How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?

Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, with the nominees obtaining the most votes being elected. Because there is no minimum vote required for the election of directors, abstentions or withhold votes and broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on its outcome. However, under the Company's majority vote policy for the election of directors, in any uncontested election of directors, any nominee who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election shall be subject to the procedures described above.

Abstentions are counted in determining the total number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon with respect to a proposal that requires the affirmative vote of a majority of such shares and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against: (i) the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in these materials; and (ii) the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon with respect to a proposal that requires the affirmative vote of a majority of such shares and, therefore, will not affect the outcome of the voting on these proposals.

What are the effects of the advisory votes?

The vote of the stockholders regarding the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in these materials is an advisory vote, and the results will not be binding on the Board of Directors or the Company. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee, which is comprised of independent directors, will consider the outcome of the vote when making future executive compensation decisions.

The vote of the stockholders regarding the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers is also an advisory vote, and the results will not be binding on the Board of Directors or the Company. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when deciding on the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers.

Can I change my vote after I have voted?

Stockholders of Record. Yes, you may revoke your prior proxy and change your vote on a later date via the internet or by telephone (in which case only your latest internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the applicable deadline will be counted), by signing and returning a new proxy card with a later date prior to the applicable deadline, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your prior proxy, unless you properly vote at the Annual Meeting. In addition, you may specifically request that your prior proxy be revoked by delivering to the Company's Corporate Secretary at 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061, a written notice of revocation prior to the date of the Annual Meeting.

Beneficial Owners of Shares Held in Street Name. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by your broker, bank or other nominee and you have instructed such broker, bank or other nominee to vote your shares, you must follow directions received from such broker, bank or other nominee to change those instructions.

Who are the proxies who will vote my shares at the Annual Meeting if I timely return my proxy card?

The Company has designated Harry H. Herington, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Stephen M. Kovzan, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, with full power of substitution, to vote the authorized proxies during the Annual Meeting.

Is my vote confidential?

It is the Company's policy to maintain the confidentiality of proxy cards, ballots, and voting tabulations that identify individual stockholders, except they may be disclosed to officers, directors, authorized employees, and retained advisors to the Company, and where disclosure is mandated by law and in other limited circumstances.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The Company intends to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and disclose final results in a current report on Form 8-K or quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC within four business days after the Annual Meeting. If final results are not yet known within that four business day period, the Company will disclose preliminary voting results on Form 8-K and file an amendment to that Form 8-K to disclose the final results within four business days after such final results are known.

Who is paying for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

The Company is paying the costs of the solicitation of proxies. The Company has retained Broadridge Investor Communication Services to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and tally the vote. NIC may supplement the proxy solicitations by additional communications, which may include communications by mail, fax, telephone or personal delivery or by otherwise furnishing such communications to stockholders on the internet, but no additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers or employees for such solicitation. The Company will request brokers, banks and other nominees who hold shares of NIC common stock in their names to furnish the Notice and, if requested, printed proxy materials, to beneficial owners of the shares and will reimburse such brokers, banks and nominees for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation materials to such beneficial owners.

Who can help answer my questions?

If you have any questions about the matters proposed in this Proxy Statement or the procedures for voting your shares, you should contact:

NIC Inc.
Attention: Corporate Secretary
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061
(877) 234-3468

8

STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NIC's business and affairs are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Currently, there are nine directors: Harry H. Herington; Art N. Burtcher; Venmal (Raji) Arasu; Karen S. Evans; Ross C. Hartley; C. Brad Henry; Alexander C. Kemper; William M. Lyons; and Pete Wilson. All of the directors are standing for election and their biographies appear on pages 21 to 24.

Governance Principles and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted Governance Principles that address the practices of the Board and, together with the Company's Certificate of Incorporation, Bylaws and Board Committee charters, provide the framework for governance of NIC. The Company has also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to all employees, directors and officers, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer.

The Governance Principles are available on the Company's website at <http://www.egov.com/investor-relations/governance-principles> and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Company's website at <http://www.egov.com/investor-relations/code-of-business-conduct-and-ethics>.

If you would like to receive a paper copy of the Governance Principles or the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by mail, send your request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061. The Company intends to disclose any changes in or waivers from its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on its website or by filing a Form 8-K with the SEC, as required.

Majority Vote Policy

The Governance Principles include a majority vote policy for the election of directors. Pursuant to this policy, in any uncontested election of directors, any nominee who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election shall promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors following certification of the stockholder vote. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee shall promptly consider the resignation and make a recommendation to the Board of Directors with respect to the tendered resignation. In considering whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee shall consider all factors it deems relevant and appropriate.

The Board of Directors will act on the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation no later than 90 days after the certification of the stockholder vote. Any director who tenders his or her resignation to the Board of Directors will not participate in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's consideration or Board action regarding whether to accept such tendered resignation.

We will promptly disclose the Board of Directors' decision whether to accept the resignation as tendered (providing a full explanation of the process by which the decision was reached and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the tendered resignation) in a press release, a filing with the SEC, if required, or in another broadly disseminated means of communication.

Meetings of the Board

In 2017, the NIC Board of Directors had five regularly scheduled meetings and two special meetings, for a total of seven meetings. Each of the Company's directors attended 90% or more of the Board and committee meetings to which the director was assigned that were held during the fiscal year (or portion of the fiscal year during which he or she served as a director or committee member), except a director who was unable to attend a special meeting and thus attended approximately 86% of such meetings. In addition, management and the directors communicate informally on a variety of topics, including suggestions for Board or committee agenda items, recent developments, and other matters of interest to the directors. The Board has access to management at all times. Directors standing for election are encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All directors standing for election at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended the meeting in person.

Independence

The Board evaluates the independence of each director in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of The Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC ("Nasdaq") and the criteria set forth in NIC's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Governance Principles. These standards include evaluating material relationships with NIC, if any, to the best of each director's knowledge, including vendor, supplier, consulting, legal, banking, accounting, charitable and family relationships. Based on the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors has determined that all of the directors, except Mr. Herington, are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, by the listing standards of Nasdaq and by NIC's Governance Principles. The Board has also assessed the independence of the members of the Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees based on applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of Nasdaq and NIC's Governance Principles and has found all members of those committees to be independent. The Board's findings are included in the discussion of the committees below.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

A stockholder who would like to communicate directly with the Board, a committee of the Board or with an individual director, should send the communication to:

NIC Inc.

Board of Directors [or committee name or director's name, as appropriate]
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

Also, stockholders can contact the Board of Directors at board@egov.com.

NIC will forward all such stockholder correspondence to the Board, committee or individual director, as appropriate. This process has been approved by the independent directors of NIC.

Board Leadership Structure

Harry H. Herington serves as the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Art N. Burtscher serves as the Lead Independent Director. The Board believes that combining the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and having a Lead Independent Director provide an efficient and effective leadership model for the Company, combining clarity on strategy and decision-making with effective independent oversight. The Board believes that the combined role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer promotes unified leadership and direction for the Company and provides a single leader to guide the Company in executing the Company's business strategy. The Board does not believe that the Board's independence is compromised by having a

single person serve as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The Board believes that having a Lead Independent Director ensures that a strong, independent director leads the Board's independent directors and is a single point of contact for the Chairman on most routine Board items, especially between meetings. The Board believes this structure avoids the management issues that often arise when the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer duties are separated. Further, a Lead Independent Director helps facilitate dialogue between the Board and stockholders by specifically identifying an independent director available for consultation and communication.

Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, the Chairman of the Board is responsible for presiding over all meetings of the Board of Directors and performs such other duties and may exercise such other powers as from time to time may be assigned to him or her by the Bylaws or by the Board or which he believes are appropriate. The Lead Independent Director's powers, duties and responsibilities established by the Board include the following: (a) calling and presiding at executive sessions of the Board at which only independent directors are permitted to be present, along with other persons invited to attend such sessions by the Lead Independent Director or a majority of the independent directors; (b) presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors; (c) having the authority to call meetings of the independent directors; (d) serving as liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent directors; (e) reviewing and/or supplementing materials sent to the Board that are initially prepared by or under the direction of the Chairman of the Board; (f) reviewing and/or supplementing meeting agendas for the Board that are initially prepared by or under the direction of the Chairman of the Board; (g) reviewing meeting schedules that are initially prepared by or under the direction of the Chairman of the Board in order to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (h) making recommendations to the Board regarding the structure of Board meetings; (i) recommending matters for consideration by the Board; (j) if requested by major stockholders, ensuring availability for consultation and direct communication as an independent point of contact; (k) collaborating with the Chairman of the Board on recommending tasks to be assigned to the appropriate committees; (l) with the approval of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, overseeing the annual evaluation of the Board and its committees; (m) having the right to engage legal, financial and other advisers to represent the independent directors; (n) mentoring new independent directors; (o) with the approval of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, making certain the CEO development and succession planning process is robust; (p) evaluating the Board education program; and (q) collaborating with the Chairman in facilitating consensus among Board members on key issues.

Risk Oversight

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, the responsibility to oversee the assessment and management of the Company's risks, including reviewing with management significant risk exposures potentially facing the Company and the policies and steps implemented by management to identify, assess, manage and monitor such exposures. The Company's Compensation Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to the Company's compensation plans and arrangements and reporting to the Audit Committee and the Board. The Board is regularly informed through committee reports regarding the Company's risks, and reviews and discusses such risks in overseeing the Company's business strategy and operations.

Committees of the Board

As described below, there are three standing committees of the Board. Each committee’s responsibilities, including those responsibilities delegated to such committee by the Board, are governed by a charter that is available on the Company’s website at <http://www.egov.com/investor-relations>, or by sending your request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061.

The table below shows the members of each Committee of the Board:

Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee
Art N. Burtscher, Chairman	Alexander C. Kemper, Chairman	William M. Lyons, Chairman
Karen S. Evans	Venmal (Raji) Arasu	Venmal (Raji) Arasu
Alexander C. Kemper	Art N. Burtscher	Karen S. Evans
William M. Lyons	C. Brad Henry	C. Brad Henry
	Pete Wilson	Pete Wilson

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees management’s responsibility for the integrity of the Company’s accounting and financial reporting and systems of internal controls. The Committee also oversees the performance of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm and the Company’s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. In addition, the Committee has the responsibility to oversee the assessment and management of the Company’s risks. The Audit Committee met five times during 2017. The report of the Audit Committee is included in this Proxy Statement starting on page 18.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of Nasdaq and NIC’s Governance Principles. The Board of Directors has determined that at least one member of the Committee, Mr. Burtscher, qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert.”

The Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the establishment and oversight of the Company’s executive compensation program. The Committee also has responsibility for general oversight of the Company’s compensation policies and practices for all employees, particularly with respect to how such policies relate to the achievement of Company business goals and the Company’s management of risk. It is the responsibility of the Committee to review, recommend and approve changes to the Company’s compensation policies and benefits programs and to otherwise ensure that the Company’s compensation philosophy is consistent with the Company’s best interests and is properly implemented. The Committee establishes the compensation levels of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and the Company’s other executive officers, and reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the level and form of the Company’s director compensation. The Committee also administers the Company’s stock plans, including the 2014 Amended and Restated Stock Compensation Plan (the “2014 Stock Compensation Plan”) and the Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “Employee Stock Purchase Plan”). The Committee also administers the NIC Inc. Executive Incentive Plan. Finally, the Committee performs other duties related to compensation that the Board from time to time may assign. The Compensation Committee held seven meetings in 2017. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of Nasdaq and NIC’s Governance Principles.

The Compensation Committee may delegate any of its responsibilities to sub-committees and may delegate day-to-day administration of incentive and employee benefit plans to appropriate Company personnel. In addition, upon occasion, matters have been escalated from the Compensation Committee to the full Board of Directors for action when permitted under applicable SEC and Nasdaq rules and regulations. The executive officers receive assignments from the Compensation Committee, for example, researching compensation levels for employees, executives or directors at companies in comparable industries or of comparable size in terms of number of employees, annual revenues or market capitalization. The Compensation Committee also tasks the executive team with the first, and subsequent, drafts of the executive compensation plan each year and with drafting revisions based upon Committee guidance.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed, with management, the design and operation of the Company's compensation arrangements, including the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with incentive awards and maximum caps on performance-based pay for the Executive Leadership Team (as defined below), and has evaluated the relationship between the Company's risk management and these arrangements. The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk taking and that any risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices for its employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Committee has the authority to retain, approve fees for and terminate advisors, consultants and legal counsel as it deems necessary to assist in the fulfillment of its responsibilities. Prior to engaging any such advisor, consultant or legal counsel, the Compensation Committee conducts an independence assessment of such advisor pursuant to applicable Nasdaq and SEC rules, but the Compensation Committee retains discretion to engage any such advisor, without regard to its independence, after considering the findings in such assessment. The Compensation Committee also reviews and discusses with the appropriate officers of the Company any disclosures required under applicable SEC rules regarding conflicts of interest with respect to such advisors.

In November 2015, as further discussed below, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of the executive team, engaged Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC ("SBCG") to assess the Company's management compensation structure, including executive compensation, for future periods and to perform peer review analysis among other public companies engaged in the information technology services industries with similar annual revenues, number of employees, market capitalization and other financial metrics. SBCG undertook similar analyses in January 2017 with respect to the compensation of the Company's non-employee directors. As required under applicable SEC rules, the Company reviewed the relationships among SBCG and the Company's directors and executive officers in order to assess whether the work performed by SBCG raised any conflicts of interest. The Company did not identify any such conflicts of interest in its inquiry of these parties as a part of this assessment.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met six times in 2017. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Committee are independent as required by applicable laws and regulations, the listing standards of Nasdaq and NIC's Governance Principles. The Committee focuses on two primary areas: corporate governance and nomination of directors.

The Committee provides oversight and guidance to the Board of Directors to ensure that the membership, structure, policies, and practices of the Board and its committees facilitate the effective exercise of the Board's role in the governance of the Company. The Committee reviews and evaluates the policies and practices with respect to the size, composition, independence and functioning of the Board and its committees and reflects those policies and practices in NIC's Governance Principles, which can be found on the Company's website. The Committee also oversees the Company's stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors and certain executive officers.

Nomination of Directors

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates the qualifications of candidates for election as directors. In exploring potential candidates for directors, the Committee considers individuals recommended by members of the Committee, other directors, members of management, stockholders, self-nominated individuals and a third-party search firm, which assists the Committee in identifying and evaluating potential nominees and arranges for Board interviews of several solicited candidates. In nominating candidates, the Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate on a case-by-case basis. A discussion of factors that the Committee may consider is included under "Election of Directors" in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 20.

The Committee will consider Board nominees recommended by stockholders who provide the recommendation in accordance with the procedures in the Bylaws for stockholder nominations of directors. The Committee intends to apply the same standards in considering candidates submitted by stockholders and self-nominated individuals as it does in evaluating candidates submitted by members of the Board of Directors and members of management.

The Bylaws require that a stockholder who wishes to nominate an individual for election as a director at the Company's 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must give the Company advance written notice no earlier than 120 days and no later than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of this year's Annual Meeting. Accordingly, notice of any director nomination that a stockholder intends to present at the Company's 2019 Annual Meeting must be received at the Company's principal executive offices not earlier than January 1, 2019 and not later than January 31, 2019. The Bylaws also require a stockholder who wishes to nominate an individual or himself or herself for election as a director to provide certain specified information. This specified information includes, among other things, certain information about the stockholder and certain information about the nominee, such as the nominee's name, address, principal occupation, relationship with the nominating stockholder, a completed questionnaire and the nominee's written consent to being named a nominee and to serve as a director if elected.

Stockholders may request a copy of the Bylaw requirements from:

Corporate Secretary
NIC Inc.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

Notice of any director nominations for this year's Annual Meeting must have been received no earlier than January 2, 2018 and no later than February 1, 2018. NIC did not receive any stockholder nominations of directors within this timeframe.

The Board has determined that a majority of the Board members are independent directors. Each nominee for director is an existing director standing for re-election.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

In connection with a settlement with the SEC in 2011 resolving its investigation related to the reimbursement and disclosure by the Company of expenses to Jeffery S. Fraser, the Company's former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, the Company and Harry H. Herington, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, consented to a permanent injunction against future violations of certain provisions of the federal securities laws and SEC rules which are set forth in exhibits to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the SEC on January 12, 2011 describing the settlement.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

In January 2017, after three years of the Company operating under its previous director compensation program, the Compensation Committee engaged SBCG to update its assessment of director compensation. For this study, SBCG used the same peer group of companies that it used when the Compensation Committee engaged SBCG for an executive compensation study in November 2015, referred to below in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (the “CD&A”) as the “2016 study.” SBCG determined that director cash and equity compensation levels, and by extension, total compensation levels were low compared to the peer group median. In addition, general committee compensation and committee chair compensation were both low compared to market. Based on the results of the study and on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board approved the Company’s current compensation program for directors for fiscal year 2017. For a list of peer group companies, see the Section titled 2016 Executive Compensation Study of the CD&A of this Proxy Statement, beginning on page 27.

Under the Company’s current director compensation program, directors are entitled to the following cash compensation: (1) an annual cash retainer of \$45,000, (2) an annual cash retainer premium for committee chairs of \$15,000 for the Audit Committee, \$10,000 for the Compensation Committee and \$7,500 for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, (3) an annual cash retainer premium for committee members of \$7,500 for the Audit Committee, \$5,000 for the Compensation Committee and \$3,750 for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and (4) an annual cash retainer premium of \$10,000 for the Lead Independent Director. New directors receive a prorated retainer for the portion of the year served on the Board until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. From an equity compensation standpoint, the Company’s Board compensation program provides for an annual grant of service-based restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$100,000, which vests after one year. The ratio of equity to cash compensation is approximately 70% to 30%, which is in line with SBCG’s recommendation of a preponderance of total value coming from equity compensation.

Upon first joining the Board, any new director will receive an award of restricted stock with an equivalent fair market value of \$25,000 on the date of the award, which vests after one year. Directors are entitled to cash dividends on shares of unvested restricted stock (including initial and annual share grants) in the same amount and at the same time as dividends are paid to other holders of the Company’s common stock.

Directors who are also executive officers of the Company do not receive compensation for service on the Board of Directors. Therefore, Mr. Herington is not listed in the Director Compensation table below.

All directors are eligible to participate in the Company’s 2014 Stock Compensation Plan. Non-employee directors are not eligible to participate in the Company’s Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Directors are reimbursed for travel expenses and other out-of-pocket costs incurred in connection with their attendance at meetings.

In March 2011, the Company adopted a stock ownership policy applicable to non-employee directors and the Company’s Executive Leadership Team, as further discussed below under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 26.

The following table provides information on the compensation of non-employee directors in 2017.

Director Compensation in Fiscal 2017 (1)

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Art N. Burtscher	75,000	99,982	1,569	176,551
Venmal (Raji) Arasu	53,750	99,982	1,498	155,230
Karen S. Evans	56,250	99,982	1,569	157,801
Ross C. Hartley	45,000	99,982	1,569	146,552
C. Brad Henry	53,750	99,982	1,569	155,301
Alexander C. Kemper	62,500	99,982	1,569	164,051
William M. Lyons	60,000	99,982	1,569	161,551
Pete Wilson	53,750	99,982	1,569	155,301

The Option Awards, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred

- (1) Compensation Earnings columns have been omitted from the Director Compensation table because the Company does not provide director compensation in any of these categories.

Amounts reported in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. As of December 31, 2017, each non-employee director held the number of restricted stock shares set forth beside his or her name below.

Mr. Burtscher	4,683	Mr. Henry	4,683
Ms. Arasu	4,683	Mr. Kemper	4,683
Ms. Evans	4,683	Mr. Lyons	4,683
Mr. Hartley	4,683	Governor Wilson	4,683

- (3) All Other Compensation represents cash dividends declared on unvested shares of restricted stock. The aggregate amount of dividends paid was \$0.32 per share, declared by the Company in February, May, July and October 2017.

The Board determines the terms and conditions of any such equity awards, including those that apply upon the termination of a non-employee director's service as a Board member.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017.

In addition, the Audit Committee has received from and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm various communications that the independent registered public accounting firm is required to provide to the Audit Committee, including the matters required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB, Auditing Standard No. 16 (codified as Auditing Standard No. 1301), "Communications with Audit Committees," as modified or supplemented. Finally, the Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

The members of the Audit Committee are not full-time employees of the Company and are not performing the functions of auditors or accountants. As such, it is not the duty or responsibility of the Committee or its members to conduct "field work" or other types of auditing or accounting reviews or procedures or to set auditor independence standards. Members of the Audit Committee necessarily rely on the information provided to them by management, internal audit and the independent registered public accounting firm.

Based upon the reports and discussions described in this report, in reliance on management, internal audit and the independent registered public accounting firm, and subject to the limitations of our role, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements referred to above in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully submitted,

The Audit Committee
Art N. Burtscher (Chairman)
Karen S. Evans
Alexander C. Kemper
William M. Lyons

Employee Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters

The Board has adopted a Reporting Hotline Policy (which includes employee complaint procedures for accounting and auditing matters) for the Company's employees and others, which can be found on the Company's website. This document contains procedures for the Audit Committee to oversee the process for handling Reporting Hotline complaints regarding internal accounting controls or auditing matters, or possible illegal, unethical or improper conduct as part of a Company-wide effort to allow for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees, contractors, suppliers and others of reports regarding such matters. The Company has retained the services of NAVEX Global Inc. (formerly Ethicspoint.com) to provide an anonymous hotline reporting service independent of the Company. This service also allows employees and others to file an anonymous report of misconduct by web form, telephone or mail. The website address and telephone number for submitting concerns or complaints are egov.ethicspoint.com and (855) 290-3374. Concerns or complaints can also be mailed to:

NAVEX Global Inc.
6000 Meadows Road, Suite 200
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL NO. 1)

The Board of Directors currently consists of nine directors. Each of the nominees listed below is an incumbent director. The nomination of all nine nominees to serve for a one-year term was recommended by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. The nine nominees receiving the most votes will be elected. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the election. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named on the enclosed form of proxy, and stockholders may not cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Each nominee has consented to stand for election and the Board does not anticipate that any nominee will be unavailable to serve. However, if any nominee becomes unavailable to serve at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors may provide for a lesser number of directors or designate substitute nominees. If substitute nominees are designated, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote proxies for the remaining nominees and any substitute nominees, unless otherwise instructed by a stockholder.

We have a majority vote policy for the election of directors. In an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes “withheld” from his or her election than votes “for” such election is required to tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors. The policy is more fully described above under the “Structure and Practices of the Board of Directors —Governance Principles and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics—Majority Vote Policy” section of this Proxy Statement.

If you wish to vote for or withhold your vote from all nominees, please mark the corresponding box on your proxy card. If you do not wish your shares to be voted for a particular nominee, you should note that nominee’s name in the exception space provided on the proxy card. The following biographies provide information about each nominee’s principal occupation and business experience, age, and other directorships, as well as current NIC Board committee memberships.

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Harry H. Herington	58	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Art N. Burtscher	67	Lead Independent Director
Venmal (Raji) Arasu	48	Director
Karen S. Evans	58	Director
Ross C. Hartley	70	Director
C. Brad Henry	54	Director
Alexander C. Kemper	52	Director
William M. Lyons	62	Director
Pete Wilson	84	Director

The following is a brief description of the business experience of each nominee for director and a brief discussion of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that the nominee should serve as a director for the Company, in light of the Company's business and structure. In nominating candidates, the Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate on a case-by-case basis, which may include experience, knowledge, skills, expertise, integrity, diversity of background and perspective, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of the Company's business environment, the interplay of the candidate's experience with that of the other Board members and willingness to devote adequate time and effort to Board responsibilities. While the Company does not have a formal diversity policy, the Company believes that the Board's deliberative process benefits from a reasonable diversity of backgrounds and perspectives. In reviewing the re-nomination of incumbent directors, the Committee also considers their participation at meetings, their understanding of NIC's business and the environment within which the Company operates, their attendance, and their independence and relationships, if any, with the Company.

Harry H. Herington became the Company's Chief Executive Officer in February 2008 and became the Chairman of the Board in May 2008. He was elected to the Board of Directors in October 2006. Mr. Herington served as President from May 2006 until February 2008 and as Chief Operating Officer from May 2002 until October 2006. He served as one of the Company's directors from May 1998 to February 1999. He has also served as President of NICUSA, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, since 1998 and served as a manager of various subsidiaries of NICUSA, Inc. until January 2016. In addition, Mr. Herington has held numerous positions of authority and responsibility with the Company since 1995 as well as several positions of authority with other business and government organizations, which enables him to provide valuable leadership and insight into the Company's strategic direction. By reason of his early involvement and efforts, Mr. Herington is considered a founder of NIC as it became a national company. Mr. Herington is also involved in numerous civic and non-profit activities. Mr. Herington holds a B.S. degree from Wichita State University in Kansas and a J.D. degree from the University of Kansas School of Law.

Art N. Burtscher has served as one of the Company's directors since 2004 and was elected Lead Independent Director in February 2008. He chairs the Audit Committee. Mr. Burtscher currently serves as President-Western Region of Westwood Trust, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Westwood Holdings Group, LLC and a provider of trust services and a sponsor of common trust funds. He served as Senior Vice President of Westwood Trust from 2010 through 2012. Mr. Burtscher served as Chairman of McCarthy Group Advisors, L.L.C., an Omaha-based investment advisory firm, from 2004 to 2010. From 2000 to 2004, he was President of McCarthy Group Asset Management. He has more than 30 years of financial services experience, including 13 years as President of Great Western Bank, N.A. Mr. Burtscher currently serves on the boards of directors of American National Bank, Jet Linx, LLC, the Silverstone Group and Westwood Trust. Mr. Burtscher's extensive experience in the financial services industry enables him to provide valuable contributions to the Board regarding financial, business and investment matters and to serve as the audit committee financial expert. He graduated from Fort Hays State University in Kansas with a B.S. in Business Administration and is a graduate of the School of Mortgage Banking.

Venmal (Raji) Arasu has served as one of the Company's directors since May 2015. Ms. Arasu is currently Senior Vice President CTO-Dev of Intuit Inc. (Nasdaq: INTU), which creates business and financial management solutions that help simplify the business of life for small businesses, consumers and accountant professionals. In her role she leads an organization that builds critical platforms and services for the different business units at Intuit. Ms. Arasu previously served as the Chief Technology Officer for StubHub, Inc., the online and mobile ticketing marketplace subsidiary of eBay Inc. (Nasdaq: EBAY), from November 2011 to January 2016. At eBay, she also served as the Vice President of Engineering, Managed Marketplaces from 2010 to 2011, the Vice President of Engineering, Trading from 2008 to 2010, and in other positions of increasing authority from 2001 to 2008. Her responsibilities at eBay grew from her start in 2001 as a senior manager, managing a team of developers, to her position as Chief Technology Officer of StubHub, where she managed the product and engineering assets and was responsible for a global product, engineering, quality, data and technical operations team that supported StubHub's online and mobile ticketing marketplace. Prior to joining eBay, Ms. Arasu served in positions of increasing authority at numerous technology companies. She is also actively involved in civic and non-profit organizations focused on empowering women in technology. The Board relies on Ms. Arasu's extensive experience in technology, including the areas of mobile technologies, payment processing, and the development process, in guiding the Company's business strategy. Ms. Arasu holds a Bachelor's degree in Computer Engineering from Pune University, in Pune, India.

Karen S. Evans has served as one of the Company's directors since October 2011. Ms. Evans is currently the National Director of U.S. Cyber Challenge, a nationwide talent search and skills development program focused on the cyber workforce, as well as an independent consultant, providing guidance in the areas of leadership, management, and the strategic use of information technology. Ms. Evans previously served as the de facto Chief Information Officer for the United States federal government as the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government and Information Technology (IT) at the United States Office of Management and Budget, as well as the Chief Information Officer for the United States Department of Energy and the Director of the Information Resources Management Division, Office of Justice Programs in the United States Department of Justice. Her responsibilities additionally involved information security, privacy and access to, dissemination of and preservation of government information. The Board relies upon Ms. Evans' extensive experience in federal government and information technology in guiding the Company's business strategy. Ms. Evans holds a bachelor's degree in chemistry and a Master's degree in business administration from West Virginia University.

Ross C. Hartley, one of the Company's founders, served as a director when the original companies were formed beginning in 1991 that were later combined to form NIC Inc. He became one of NIC Inc.'s directors upon its formation in 1998. Mr. Hartley also served as President of The Hartley Insurance Group, a group of independent insurance agencies in Kansas, from 1974 to 2000. Mr. Hartley retired from all active work in 2000 and since that time has managed his own investments. He served as a director of Empire District Electric Company (NYSE: EDE), a public utility located in Joplin, Missouri, until January 2017. Mr. Hartley's extensive experience with the Company since its founding and extensive business experience enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board in overseeing the Company's business. Mr. Hartley holds a B.S. in mathematics from Baker University in Baldwin City, Kansas and a J.D. degree from the University of Kansas School of Law.

C. Brad Henry has served as one of the Company's directors since October 2011. Governor Henry is currently of counsel to the national business law firm of Spencer Fane LLP and a founding member of Henry-Adams Companies, LLC, a general and business development consulting firm. In 2010, Governor Henry was appointed by President Barack Obama to the six-member Council of Governors, which works closely with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and other defense and national security advisors on the synchronization and integration of state and federal military services. He served as governor of the State of Oklahoma for two consecutive terms ending in 2011, the maximum allowed under Oklahoma law. Governor Henry previously served as Chairman of the Council of State Governments, the Southern Growth Policies Board, and the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, and he currently serves on the boards of CFSII, Inc., the Center for Consumer Recovery, Inc., the Executive Committee of the Muscular Dystrophy Association, and was a charter member of the Governors' Council of the Bipartisan Policy Center. Prior to his election as governor, he practiced law and served 10 years in the Oklahoma State Senate, chairing the Senate Judiciary Committee and serving as vice-chair of the Senate Economic Development Committee. The Board relies upon Governor Henry's extensive experience in state government and industry in guiding the Company's business strategy. Governor Henry holds a bachelor's degree in economics from the University of Oklahoma and a J.D. degree from the University of Oklahoma School of Law, where he served as managing editor of the Law Review.

Alexander C. Kemper has served as one of the Company's directors since 2007. He chairs the Compensation Committee. Mr. Kemper is the chairman of the board of The Collectors Fund, a private equity fund focused on alternative asset classes, and serves as chairman and chief executive officer of C2FO, a leading provider of payment optimization technology and early cash flow delivery for corporations. He founded Perfect Commerce Inc., an application service provider for internet sourcing and procurement tools and related professional services, and served as chairman and chief executive officer from 2000 to 2006. Under his leadership, Perfect Commerce created the Open Supplier Network™ (OSN™) and became the largest and fastest growing provider of on-demand supplier relationship management (SRM) technology in the United States. Before founding Perfect Commerce, Mr. Kemper was the chairman of the board and CEO of UMB Bank, N.A. and CEO of UMB Financial Corp., a Nasdaq-traded financial services company with assets of more than \$10 billion. He is an active angel and venture investor and currently serves on several corporate boards, including UMB Financial Corp. (Nasdaq: UMBF), UMB Bank, AXA Art, USA (NYSE: AXA), Sipvine and BATS Exchange, one of the largest stock and option exchanges in the world. Mr. Kemper has extensive experience in finance, banking, investment, management and board service, as well as extensive experience with technology companies, which enables him to provide valuable guidance to his fellow directors on such matters. Mr. Kemper holds a B.A. degree from Northwestern University.

William M. Lyons has served as one of the Company's directors since 2009. He chairs the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Lyons was president and chief executive officer of American Century Companies, Inc., a Kansas City-based investment manager, until his retirement in March 2007. Mr. Lyons joined American Century in 1987 as assistant general counsel and during his tenure also served as its general counsel, executive vice president, and chief operating officer. Mr. Lyons was named president in 1997 and chief executive officer in 2000. Mr. Lyons also served as a director of American Century Companies, Inc. and numerous investment companies affiliated with American Century Companies, Inc. While at American Century, Mr. Lyons also was a senior executive of several operating subsidiaries, including American Century Investment Management, Inc., American Century Investment Services, Inc., and American Century Services Corp. He is currently a member of the board of directors of Morningstar, Inc. (Nasdaq: MORN), The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, and other civic and not-for-profit entities. Mr. Lyons' leadership of American Centuries Companies, Inc. through a period of substantial growth enables him to provide valuable guidance to the Board on business strategy and financial matters. Mr. Lyons holds a bachelor's degree in history from Yale University and a J.D. degree from Northwestern University School of Law.

Pete Wilson has served as one of the Company's directors since 1999. Governor Wilson served as Governor of the State of California from 1991 until 1999. Prior to serving as Governor of California, Governor Wilson served in the U.S. Senate for eight years, representing the State of California from 1983 to 1991, and served as the mayor of San Diego, California from 1971 to 1983. Governor Wilson is a principal at Wilson Walsh Consulting Group, a business consulting firm. He is also of counsel to Browne George Ross LLP. Governor Wilson is also a director of The Irvine Company, and U.S. TelePacific Corp, and is a director and founder of the California Mentoring Foundation. He is a former member of the California State Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors, and a member and Founding Chair of the Southern California Leadership Council. Governor Wilson is a Distinguished Visiting Fellow of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, and serves as a Trustee of the Ronald Reagan Presidential Foundation, the Richard Nixon Foundation, and the Criminal Justice Legal Foundation. He is past Chair (current Capital Campaign Chair) of the National World War II Museum. Governor Wilson is also a former member of the Defense Policy Board (advisory to the Secretary of Defense) and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board and formerly served on the Thomas Weisel Partners board of advisors. The Board draws upon Governor Wilson's extensive experience inside and outside government in overseeing the Company's business strategy and developing relationships with government partners. He received his undergraduate degree from Yale University and his law degree from Boalt Hall (University of California at Berkeley). After graduating from Yale, Governor Wilson spent three years in the Marine Corps as an infantry officer.

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, recommends a vote FOR the election of Messrs. Herington, Burtscher, Hartley, Henry, Kemper, Lyons and Wilson and Meses. Arasu and Evans.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) portion of this Proxy Statement with management. Based on the Committee’s review and discussions, the Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully submitted,

The Compensation Committee
Alexander C. Kemper (Chairman)
Venmal (Raji) Arasu
Art N. Burtscher
C. Brad Henry
Pete Wilson

 COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Philosophy and Objectives of the Executive Compensation Program

This CD&A describes our executive compensation programs and compensation decisions in 2017. Our named executive officers (“executive officers” or “NEOs”) included our four Executive Leadership Team members and one member of our Senior Management Steering Group, who for 2017 were:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>
Harry H. Herington	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”)
Stephen M. Kovzan	Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”)
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	Chief Operating Officer (“COO”)
Jayne Friedland Holland	Chief Security Officer (“CSO”)
William A. Van Asselt	General Counsel

Mr. Herington, in his role as CEO, has formally designated an Executive Leadership Team, comprised of the Company’s most experienced senior executives having the most knowledge about the Company and its operations. The Executive Leadership Team provides advice and counsel to Mr. Herington on a regular basis and assists in formulating strategy and tactics for furthering the Company’s business. Executive Leadership Team members for 2017 were Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, and Knapp and Ms. Holland.

Mr. Herington has also formally designated a Senior Management Steering Group to provide a forum for discussing risks and opportunities identified by the various NIC divisions that might affect the growth and stability of the Company. The Senior Management Steering Group is comprised of the Executive Leadership Team, as well as Mr. Van Asselt and Mr. Doug Rogers, our Senior Vice President of Business Development. Mr. Herington regularly consults with this broader group of senior management.

The Company is committed to increasing stockholder value through profitable growth and the execution of specific strategies. Superior performance by our executive team is essential to these goals, so we have structured our executive pay programs to attract and retain talented, highly-qualified executives, to reward performance through incentive compensation and to align the interests of executives and stockholders through longer-term equity-based compensation. The Company’s Compensation Committee (referred to in this CD&A as the “Committee”) has adopted a straightforward approach to executive compensation, whereby material components of pay are tied to elements of the Company’s financial performance. This approach reinforces the Company’s commitment to collaboration for the benefit of the Company, particularly among its Executive Leadership Team. The Committee structures its compensation programs to align executive and stockholder interests, by fostering a team-based environment that recognizes the Company’s entrepreneurial history and strong record of financial performance.

Also, the Committee considers carefully the views and input of stockholders, including previous “say on pay” results, when determining executive pay. At last year’s annual meeting, stockholders voted strongly in favor of the Company’s approach to executive compensation – 98% of the votes cast on the advisory ‘say on pay’ resolution were voted in favor of the resolution. The Committee believes this affirms that our stockholders generally support the Company’s approach to executive compensation. Accordingly, the Committee has taken no specific actions to modify our executive compensation program as a direct result of these non-binding, advisory votes but, rather, has continued to oversee the program in accordance with its best judgment and stated governing principles.

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

Our CEO, CFO and other executive officers attended portions of Committee meetings throughout the year in order to provide information and help explain data relating to matters considered by the Committee. Executive officers, however, were not present during deliberations or determination of their respective compensation or during executive sessions. In addition, our CEO and CFO submitted recommendations to the Committee regarding salary, bonus, equity compensation, performance goals and overall compensation levels for executive officers. All decisions regarding the compensation of executive officers ultimately were made solely by the Committee, which considered these recommendations and exercised its discretion to modify certain recommended adjustments or awards based on several factors considered by the Committee, as described below. The Committee's determinations regarding compensation, including the performance targets for annual cash compensation and performance-based restricted stock, were generally consistent with the recommendations of management.

The Executive Compensation Program for Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland

The Committee has maintained a very consistent approach and structure for compensation of the specified members of the Executive Leadership Team since 2008, with modest adjustments from year to year, determined by the Committee, to maintain strong alignment with our business objectives and organizational context. The core program is comprised of base salary, short-term cash incentive compensation (i.e., annual cash bonus), and a two-pronged, long-term, equity-based incentive that includes annual restricted stock grants with (i) a service-based component and (ii) a performance-based component. The Company believes the mix of short-term incentive and base cash compensation and longer-term service-based and performance-based equity compensation continues to promote the Committee's goals of executive retention, rewarding and providing incentives for short-term and long-term performance and aligning the interests of executives and stockholders.

The Committee has retained a compensation consultant approximately every third year to provide updated information and analysis to the Committee. The Committee did not retain a compensation consultant in connection with its determination of compensation of the members of the Executive Leadership Team for 2017. The Committee considered several factors, including the study prepared by the compensation consultant that informed executive compensation decisions in 2016, as described below, in making decisions on the compensation program for 2017.

2016 Executive Compensation Study. In the fall of 2015, the Committee engaged SBCG (given SBCG's familiarity with the Company's compensation program in addition to the Committee's satisfaction with the compensation consulting services provided by SBCG in the past) to update its assessment of the Company's compensation program (the "2016 study"). The Committee requested the 2016 study in keeping with its past practice to assess the Company's compensation program relative to market approximately once every three years and to incorporate the CSO position into the executive compensation program, given Ms. Holland's promotion to the Executive Leadership Team in 2015. The purpose of the study was to assist the Committee in making compensation determinations for 2016. Specifically, the Committee asked SBCG to:

- Review and update the peer group, if necessary, used to benchmark executive compensation levels; and
- Perform a competitive assessment of target pay opportunities for the Executive Leadership Team against the peer group and broader market survey data.

The peer group developed pursuant to the SBCG study consisted of 18 companies in similar businesses and of comparable size to NIC at the time. The 18 members of the peer group used for the 2016 study were as follows:

ACI Worldwide, Inc. (ACIW)	LogMeIn, Inc. (LOGM)
Blackbaud Inc. (BLKB)	Perficient Inc. (PRFT)
Bottomline Technologies, Inc. (EPAY)	Stamps.com, Inc. (STMP)
CoStar Group Inc. (CSGP)	Tyler Technologies Inc. (TYL)
DealerTrack Holdings Inc. (TRAK)	VASCO Data Security International, Inc. (VDSI)
Ebix, Inc.(EBIX)	XO Group Inc. (XOXO)
j2 Global, Inc. (JCOM)	DHI Group, Inc. (DHX)*
Liquidity Services, Inc. (LQDT)	EPIQ Systems Inc. (EPIQ)*
LivePerson Inc. (LPSN)	Higher One Holdings, Inc. (ONE)*

*Since the 2016 study this Company has been acquired or taken private.

SBCG’s 2016 study indicated that total pay opportunities (cash, annual cash incentive and long-term equity incentives) for the four members of the Executive Leadership Team were generally at the low end of the competitive range compared to the peer group (“market”), with the largest shortcoming attributable to long-term equity-based incentives. At the Committee’s direction, SBCG looked to the 25th and 50th percentiles of market as key competitive pay boundaries for NIC, with the 25th percentile as the low end of the competitive range, and the 50th percentile as the high end, taking into consideration NIC’s overall size compared to many peer group members. To this end, SBCG’s study indicated that NIC’s annual revenue was somewhat below the median of peers, with other measures of company size and scope, such as market value and profitability above peer medians, and assets and employee count below peer medians. Specifically, the study indicated that:

Base salaries were generally at the 25th percentile of market for the CEO, CFO and CSO, and below the 25th percentile for the COO;

Target total annual cash compensation (i.e., base salary and annual cash incentive) was at the 25th percentile of market for the CEO and below the 25th percentile for the CFO, COO and CSO; and

Target total annual compensation, inclusive of long-term, equity-based incentives, was below the 25th percentile for total pay for all four members of the Executive Leadership Team.

In terms of mix of pay between cash and equity, SBCG’s study indicated that long-term equity incentive opportunities were light in the overall mix of pay relative to competitive practice. SBCG recommended long-term equity incentives as the most natural lever to ensure the overall competitiveness of the Company’s executive compensation program going forward, and in doing so, linking incremental pay to longer-term Company performance and shareholder value.

For 2016, the Committee did not make any changes to the basic structure of the Company's executive compensation program, but the Committee did approve certain changes to executive compensation as more fully described in the proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders filed with the SEC on March 17, 2017. The underlying intention of these changes was to increase total pay opportunities for the Executive Leadership team, in the aggregate, to more closely align with the 25th percentile levels of market. Among the changes, the Committee increased base salaries of the Executive Leadership Team, made certain modifications to the annual cash incentive and long-term equity incentives components to increase target incentive percentages. In addition, the Committee adjusted certain performance levels used to evaluate Company performance to reflect past and expected future performance of the Company.

Base salary. The Committee annually reviews Executive Leadership Team base salaries, and annual salary increases are not automatic or guaranteed. When considering any adjustments, the Committee considers the most recent SBCG study, market data, job responsibilities and individual performance. Base salaries paid to the Executive Leadership Team in 2017 are presented in the 2017 Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement. There were no changes to base salaries for the Executive Leadership Team in 2017.

Annual cash incentives. As further discussed below under “The Executive Incentive Plan and Tax Considerations,” the 2017 annual cash incentive was granted under the Management Annual Incentive Plan for Senior Executives, or (“MAIPSE”), which establishes initial performance requirements, or the “outer layer,” pursuant to which an executive may earn the right to receive the maximum award under the NIC Inc. Executive Incentive Plan, which provides an upper limit on cash bonuses to be awarded upon achievement of certain objective performance goals. The outer layer component of the MAIPSE for 2017 was as follows: positive net income, as reported on NIC’s consolidated statement of income for the 2017 fiscal year, or total revenues, as reported on NIC’s consolidated statement of income, that equal or exceed \$317 million for the 2017 fiscal year. NIC achieved both qualifications for the 2017 fiscal year and thus the executives achieved the Section 162(m) maximum incentive award possible, which is the lesser of (a) 200% of the officer’s base salary on the last day of 2017, or (b) \$2.5 million, as step one in the process.

As the next step in the process, the yearly “inner layer” component of the MAIPSE establishes the criteria within which the Committee would exercise its discretion for awards based upon attainment of Company financial goals that will be used to determine actual award amounts that are below the Section 162(m) maximum incentive award. The Committee in effect uses its “negative discretion” to reduce the Section 162(m) maximum awards, as it deems appropriate, based on the Company’s financial performance relative to these pre-determined criteria. Within these boundaries, the Committee has discretion to vary the actual awards to take into consideration the particular events of the year in coming to its final award for each executive officer. The following is a discussion of the inner layer component of the MAIPSE.

The 2017 MAIPSE measures annual Company performance using the following key financial metrics as performance criteria (dollar amounts in millions):

<u>Performance Criteria</u>	<u>Performance Levels</u>			<u>Weighting</u>
	<u>Threshold</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	
Operating income	\$65.0	\$72.2	\$ 79.5	67 %
Total revenues	\$319.6	\$336.5	\$ 353.3	33 %

For 2017, the Committee retained “target” performance levels for the Company for operating income and total revenues based upon the Company’s 2017 annual budget approved by the Board of Directors. Threshold levels were set at 90% of the target level for operating income and 95% of the target level for total revenues. Superior levels were set at 110% of the target level for operating income and 105% of the target level for total revenues. Management and the Committee believe that these metrics drive stockholder value in the near term and comprise a strong pay-for-performance relationship. The definitions of operating income and total revenues are consistent with those terms defined in generally accepted accounting principles and may be derived directly from the face of the consolidated statements of income included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the applicable annual period.

Performance of the Company at the target level is intended to result in an annual cash incentive at a specified percentage of the executive’s base salary. The Committee also determined a range of possible cash incentives above and below target performance for achieving “threshold” and “superior” performance. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation is to be used. No payments are to be awarded under the plan with respect to a performance criterion if threshold performance with respect to that criterion is not achieved, and no additional payments are to be awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. The percentage levels used by the Committee for the Executive Leadership Team members are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Base Salary</u>	Threshold	Target	Superior		
		Cash Incentive as a % of Base Salary	Cash Incentive as a % of Base Salary	Cash Incentive as a % of Base Salary		
Harry H. Herington	\$ 500,000	50 %	100 %	167 %		
Stephen M. Kovzan	\$ 325,000	32.5 %	65 %	108.6 %		
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	\$ 325,000	37.5 %	75 %	125 %		
Jayne Friedland Holland	\$ 315,000	15 %	30 %	50 %		

Threshold performance for incentive awards under each performance criterion was 0.5 times target in 2017 and for superior performance each criterion was 2 times target, the same as in 2016 and 2015. However, the maximum total incentive payout for the two performance criteria when combined was capped at 1.67 times target, also the same as in 2016 and 2015.

For 2017, the Company achieved total operating income of \$78.3 million and total revenues of \$336.5 million, which resulted in annual cash incentive payments above target pay levels. Based on the Company’s 2017 actual financial performance in relation to the performance criteria and performance levels, the following annual cash incentive awards were paid in early 2018:

<u>Name</u>	<u>2017 Annual Cash Incentive Paid</u>	<u>2017 % Annual Cash Incentive As a % of Base Salary</u>	
Harry H. Herington	\$783,781	157 %	
Stephen M. Kovzan	\$331,148	102 %	
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	\$382,093	118 %	
Jayne Friedland Holland	\$148,135	47 %	

Long-term, equity-based incentives. As determined by the Committee, the Company's long-term, equity-based incentive for the specified members of the Executive Leadership Team included in the executive compensation program provides for annual restricted stock grants with a service-based component and a Company performance component to compensate executives with regard to the Company's long-term growth objectives.

Service-Based Component

Service-based restricted stock awards vest ratably over a four-year service period following the date of grant. There is no performance component tied to the service-based award. The members of the Executive Leadership Team are entitled to cash dividends on shares of unvested service-based restricted stock in the same amount and at the same time as dividends are paid to other holders of the Company's common stock. The Company believes that restricted shares further the alignment of executive interests with those of stockholders, foster share ownership and wealth creation and provide significant retention value. Further, restricted shares provide a degree of certainty in an otherwise performance-based equity portfolio.

For 2017, the Committee maintained the 2016 annual amount of service-based restricted stock to be awarded to the members of the Executive Leadership Team. The annual amount, as a percentage of base salary, was as follows: CEO (150%), CFO (75%), COO (95%), and CSO (50%). The amounts of service-based restricted stock awarded to each specified member of the Executive Leadership Team were consistent with the recommendations of management. The differences in percentage of base salary for each specified member of the Executive Leadership Team reflect differences in the scope of duties and responsibilities and, in part, the "gap to market" for total long-term equity incentive compensation determined in the 2016 SBCG study.

On February 22, 2017, the Committee granted the specified members of the Executive Leadership Team the following awards of service-based restricted stock for 2017 based upon the above percentages of base salary (the closing market price of the Company's common stock on February 22, 2017 was \$22.00 per share):

<u>Name</u>	<u>Service-Based Restricted Shares</u>
Harry H. Herington	34,090 shares
Stephen M. Kovzan	11,079 shares
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	14,034 shares
Jayne Friedland Holland	7,159 shares

Performance-Based Component

The performance-based equity incentive provides for annual grants of restricted stock tied to three-year performance periods. A new three-year period is intended to begin each year. At the end of each three-year period, the members of the Executive Leadership Team receive a number of shares per a pre-defined schedule of threshold, target and superior Company performance. Each level of performance is associated with a pre-defined payout, expressed as a percentage of base salary.

Pursuant to the terms of the performance-based equity grant agreements, the members of the Executive Leadership Team have the opportunity to earn dividend equivalent shares for any cash dividends declared by the Company during the performance period and before any shares are paid under the agreement.

The 2017 performance component measures long-term Company performance using the following performance criteria:

<u>Performance Criteria</u>	<u>Performance Levels</u>			<u>Weighting</u>
	<u>Threshold</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	
Operating income growth (three-year compound annual growth rate (“CAGR”))	5%	10%	15%	33.33%
Total revenue growth (three-year CAGR)	5%	10%	15%	33.33%
Return on invested capital (“ROIC”) (three-year average)	30%	35%	40%	33.34%

The definition of ROIC is income before interest and income taxes, less the effect of income taxes, divided by average net assets. Average net assets is defined as average total assets, less average total liabilities. All elements of the performance criteria definitions are derived directly from the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements.

Performance levels in the table above were recommended by management and approved by the Committee based on the Company’s past and expected future performance and were unchanged from the levels used for the 2016 grants. The target performance level for operating income growth (10%) is lower than the Company’s performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2016 (14%), but higher than the Company’s performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2017 (8%) and one-year period ended December 31, 2017 (6%). The target performance level for total revenue growth (10%) is higher than the Company’s performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2016 (8%) and 2017 (7%) and one-year period ended December 31, 2017 (1%). The target performance level for ROIC (35%) is lower than the Company’s performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2016 (41%) and 2017 (39%), but higher than the Company’s performance for the one-year period ended December 31, 2017 (33%).

Performance of the Company at threshold, target or superior levels is intended to result in a share-based incentive at a specified percentage of the executive’s base salary. For each performance measure, no shares are awarded if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional shares are awarded for performance in excess of the superior level. For amounts between the threshold and target levels or between the target and superior levels, straight line interpolation, rounded up to the next whole share, will be used to determine the portion of the award that becomes vested. The percentage levels used by the Committee for Executive Leadership Team members are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Base Salary</u>	Threshold	Target	Superior
		Equity Incentive as a % of Base Salary	Equity Incentive as a % of Base Salary	Equity Incentive as a % of Base Salary
Harry H. Herington	\$500,000	75 %	150 %	250 %
Stephen M. Kovzan	\$325,000	37.5 %	75 %	125 %
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	\$325,000	47.5 %	95 %	158.7 %
Jayne Friedland Holland	\$315,000	25 %	50 %	83.5 %

Threshold performance for incentive awards under each performance criterion remained at 0.5 times target in 2017, and for superior performance each criterion remained at 2 times. However, the maximum total incentive payout for all three performance criteria when combined was capped at 1.67 times target, the same as in 2016 and 2015. These levels were consistent with the levels approved by the Committee for the annual cash incentive, as further discussed above.

2017 Performance-based Grants - On February 22, 2017, the Committee granted the specified members of the Executive Leadership Team the following awards of performance-based restricted stock for 2017 pursuant to the terms of the long-term equity incentive (the closing market price of the Company's common stock on February 22, 2017 was \$22.00 per share):

<u>Name</u>	<u>Performance-Based Restricted Shares Granted (1)</u>
Harry H. Herington	56,819 shares
Stephen M. Kovzan	18,466 shares
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	23,437 shares
Jayne Friedland Holland	11,956 shares

Represents the maximum number of performance-based restricted shares able to be earned by the NEO at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2019 pursuant to the terms of the long-term equity (1)incentive. The actual number of shares earned will be based on the Company's performance as indicated above over the three-year period ending December 31, 2019. No shares will be vested if threshold performance is not achieved, and no additional shares will be vested for performance in excess of the superior level.

Pursuant to the terms of the 2017 performance-based equity grant agreement, at the end of the three-year performance period, each specified member of the Executive Leadership Team may receive an additional number of shares ("Dividend Shares") determined as follows: (1) as of each date (the "Dividend Payment Date") that the Company would otherwise pay a declared cash dividend on the total number of shares set forth in the agreement, the Company credits a number of Dividend Shares to a notional account established for the benefit of each specified member of the Executive Leadership Team, and the number of Dividend Shares so credited is calculated by dividing the amount of such hypothetical cash dividend payment by the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the Dividend Payment Date (rounded down to the nearest whole Dividend Share); and (2) on the date some or all of the shares are paid under the agreement, a pro rata number of notional Dividend Shares will be converted into an equivalent number of Dividend Shares earned and paid to each specified member of the Executive Leadership Team based upon the actual number of underlying shares vested during the performance period.

2017 Performance-based Payouts – All performance-based restricted stock awards granted in 2015 for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2017 were forfeited because the Company did not meet the threshold levels of the performance goals, which is consistent with our pay for performance philosophy.

The following table sets forth performance levels for the performance criteria included in the long-term equity incentive grant made to Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp in 2015 and actual results for the three-year period ended December 31, 2017:

<u>Performance Criteria</u>	<u>Performance Levels</u>			<u>Three-Year Actual Results</u>	
	<u>Threshold</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Superior</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Shares Earned</u>
Operating income (three-year CAGR)	10%	15%	20%	7.5%	- 0 -
Total revenue (three-year CAGR)	10%	15%	20%	7.3%	- 0 -
Cash flow return on invested capital, excluding income taxes paid (three-year average)	60%	65%	70%	57.3%	- 0 -

The Executive Compensation Program for William A. Van Asselt

William A. Van Asselt is General Counsel and a member of the Senior Management Steering Group. Mr. Van Asselt is the primary officer responsible for the Company's compliance with the applicable laws and regulations under which the Company operates. Mr. Van Asselt's 2017 compensation program differed from the Executive Leadership Team primarily because he did not participate in a performance-based equity incentive plan in 2017. The other components of Mr. Van Asselt's compensation program include base salary, a short-term annual incentive (i.e., annual cash bonus), and a long-term, service-based equity incentive, as described below.

Base salary. The Committee increased Mr. Van Asselt's annual base salary by 3% in January 2017 to \$283,250.

Annual cash incentive. Under the terms of Mr. Van Asselt's Profit Sharing and Incentive Program, Mr. Van Asselt's annual cash incentive award is based on a pre-established Company annual operating income goal and the award amount is calculated as a percentage of Mr. Van Asselt's base salary, which was recommended by the CEO to, and approved in its sole discretion by, the Committee. Mr. Van Asselt's annual cash incentive target was 25% of his base salary for fiscal 2017. If the pre-established Company annual operating income goal had not been achieved, no annual cash incentive would have been paid, unless otherwise provided. Based on the achievement of the 2017 Company operating income goal, the Company paid the annual cash incentive payment to Mr. Van Asselt totaling \$70,813 in early 2018.

Long-term, service-based equity incentive. Mr. Van Asselt's long-term, equity-based incentive is comprised of an annual service-based restricted stock grant, in the amount of a percentage of his base salary, designed to strengthen his long-term commitment to the success of the Company, to promote ownership in the Company, and to motivate him to make significant contributions to the Company that increase stockholder value. Under the terms of Mr. Van Asselt's Profit Sharing and Incentive Program, the annual amount of service-based restricted stock to be awarded was a percentage of annual base salary recommended by the CEO to, and approved in its sole discretion by, the Committee. Mr. Van Asselt's 2017 long-term, equity-based incentive target was 35% of his base salary on the grant date of the award. Service-based restricted stock awards vest ratably over a four-year service period following the date of grant. On January 30, 2017, the Committee granted Mr. Van Asselt 3,888 shares of service-based restricted stock for 2017 pursuant to the terms of the long-term incentive plan (the closing market price of the Company's common stock on January 30, 2017 was \$24.75 per share).

Executive Perquisites for 2017

Other components of executive compensation beyond base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity-based incentives include Company-paid executive life and disability insurance premiums for Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland pursuant to the terms of their employment agreements. With respect to these perquisites, the Committee considered the cost of each perquisite and the total amount of compensation otherwise provided to each executive.

Stock Ownership Requirements for Executive Leadership Team Members and Non-Employee Directors

In 2011, the Board of Directors approved a stock ownership policy, which is administered by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Both the Board and management believe such a policy generally represents a progressive governance posture and can help underscore a principal objective of equity-based compensation by fostering alignment of Board and management interests with those of stockholders. The policy is based on a “multiple of” approach to stock ownership whereby ownership guidelines for the members of the Executive Leadership Team are based on a multiple of base salary and for non-employee directors are based on a multiple of annual cash retainer. The policy’s stock ownership requirements for each participant are as follows:

- Non-employee directors: four (4) times annual cash retainer
- CEO: six (6) times annual base salary
- The Company’s CFO, COO and CSO: three (3) times annual base salary

NIC common stock that is vested and owned by the participant will count toward satisfaction of the policy’s requirements. Stock owned by the participant includes shares owned outright (i.e., held individually or as co-owner with a spouse) and shares beneficially owned but held in trust or in another entity for the benefit of the participant and his or her immediate family. Unvested equity awards do not count toward satisfaction of the policy’s requirements. During times that the minimum ownership requirement is not attained, the participant is required to retain at least 50% (or such other percentage as subsequently set by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee) of net shares of common stock delivered through the Company’s equity compensation plans. Net shares of common stock refer to those shares that remain after shares are forfeited, sold or netted to pay any withholding taxes with respect to the vesting of any restricted stock. The policy contains a hardship provision administered by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

All non-employee directors and members of the Executive Leadership Team subject to the stock ownership requirements described herein currently meet such requirements.

Prohibition of Hedging in Company Stock

All employees and non-employee directors of the Company are prohibited from trading in puts, calls or similar hedging options on the Company's stock, or selling the Company's stock "short," as described in the Company's Trading Policies and Disclosure of Non-Public Information.

Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers

On February 5, 2013, the Company entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, and Knapp. The employment agreements each have substantially the same terms, except with respect to job titles and responsibilities and the amount payable to each executive officer. Each of the employment agreements has a three-year term, and unless notice is provided at least six months prior to the end of the respective term, automatically renews for additional three-year terms. On May 5, 2015, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Ms. Holland, which included terms consistent with the employment agreements of the other executive officers, when she was promoted to the Executive Leadership Team.

On July 27, 2015, the Company entered into the first amendment to the employment agreements with each of Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp, and Ms. Holland. Each of the amendments is identical and makes the following changes to the employment agreements: (a) acknowledges the indemnification agreement previously entered into between the Company and the executive, clarifies that the executive shall be an officer covered by the indemnification agreement, and requires that such indemnification agreement be maintained throughout the period of the employment agreement; and (b) clarifies that any notice to the executive from the Company intending to terminate the executive's employment for cause must include the facts and circumstances that are the basis for the termination.

The Committee believes that the employment agreements include certain provisions that reflect strong corporate governance practices, as well as protect stockholders' interests in the event of a change of control of NIC or certain accounting restatements. The employment agreements include a "double trigger" severance right under which an executive would only be entitled to severance payments in connection with a change of control if the executive terminates his employment for "Good Reason" or NIC terminates the executive without "Cause" (as each of those terms are defined in the agreement). The Committee believes this provision protects stockholders' interests in the event of a change of control by, among other things, ensuring continuity in management following the transaction. The employment agreements also contain a clawback provision under which NIC may recoup incentive compensation paid to the executive in the event of an accounting restatement under certain circumstances. The provision is based upon the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and will apply following the SEC's adoption of final rules regarding the same.

The employment agreements also provide additional protections to executives for certain compensation and benefits in the event of termination. The Company has also included termination provisions in the various plans and award agreements relating to incentive compensation in which the named executive officers participate, which provisions will apply to the extent not covered by the employment agreements, such as in the case of death, disability or retirement. These provisions are described below under "Employment Agreements and Severance Payments." The Committee believes these arrangements are reasonable and appropriate to retain and focus executives during periods of potential uncertainty.

The employment agreements also provide the executives with a contractual right to certain compensation and benefits consistent with NIC's historical pay practices, such as rights to paid vacation and minimum target levels for incentive compensation based upon the executives' base salaries. The Committee believes these additional rights are appropriate given NIC's historical and anticipated future pay practices.

In addition, each named executive officer has entered into indemnification agreements with NIC, each in a form approved by the Company's Board and previously disclosed by the Company. The Company has also entered into a form of the indemnification agreement with each of its directors. The Company's Board has further authorized the Company to enter into the form of indemnification agreement with future directors and executive officers of the Company and other persons or categories of persons that may be designated from time to time by the Board. The indemnification agreement supplements and clarifies existing indemnification provisions of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws and, in general, provides for indemnification to the fullest extent permitted by law, subject to the terms and conditions provided in the indemnification agreement. The indemnification agreement also establishes processes and procedures for indemnification claims, advancement of expenses and costs and other determinations with respect to indemnification.

For additional discussion of employment agreements with executive officers, refer to the discussion below set forth under "Employment Agreements and Severance Payments."

Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and the related regulations limit publicly-held companies, such as the Company, to an annual deduction for federal income tax purposes of \$1 million for services performed by "covered employees." Prior to January 1, 2018, any amounts that qualified for the "performance-based compensation" exception under Section 162(m) were excluded from this deduction limit. Pursuant to the tax reform legislation passed in December 2017, commonly referred to as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, this "performance-based compensation" exception was eliminated, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, whereby compensation paid to a public company's "covered employees" in excess of \$1 million will not be deductible unless it qualifies for transition relief applicable to certain arrangements in place as of November 2, 2017. In addition, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act amended the definition of "covered employees" to include a company's chief financial officer. As such, effective January 1, 2018, "covered employees" include a company's chief executive officer, chief financial officer and the next three other most highly compensated officers serving at the end of the taxable year. Further, under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, once an officer is a "covered employee," his or her compensation will remain subject to Section 162(m) indefinitely.

The Board of Directors previously adopted and our stockholders approved the NIC Inc. Executive Incentive Plan, which is intended to operate as an "umbrella plan" for granting cash bonuses and performance-based equity awards that were intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under our compensation programs and that were intended to be deductible for federal income tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code. The Compensation Committee previously adopted the Management Annual Incentive Plan for Senior Executives ("MAIPSE"), which operates as a "plan-within-a-plan" under the Executive Incentive Plan with respect to annual cash incentive bonuses. The design of the MAIPSE gives the Committee discretion to establish bonuses for our executive officers based on an assessment of the individual's achievements and overall contributions to the Company, while intending to preserve the Company's ability to deduct the bonuses to the greatest extent permitted under Section 162(m).

While the Committee has historically considered the deductibility of awards as one factor in determining executive compensation, the Committee has also considered other factors in approving compensation and retained the flexibility to grant awards, such as service-based restricted stock, that it determined to be consistent with the Company's goals for its executive compensation program even if the award was potentially not deductible by the Company for tax purposes. In addition, because of the uncertainties associated with the application and interpretation of Section 162(m) and the regulations issued thereunder, including the uncertain scope of the transition relief under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, there can be no assurance that compensation intended to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m) will in fact be deductible.

Realizable and Realized Equity Compensation

It is important to recognize the difference between the compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table (“SCT”) on page 39 included in the Stock Awards column and compensation actually realized by our NEOs related to performance-based restricted stock and service-based restricted stock awards subject to time-based vesting. This supplemental information is important because a significant portion of equity compensation reported in the SCT is an incentive for future performance, which, with respect to the performance-based restricted stock awards, only provides an economic benefit if the applicable performance goals are achieved.

The Stock Awards column in the SCT includes the grant date fair value of equity awards granted to our NEOs in 2017. The value that may be potentially realized from these equity awards in the future may differ from the grant date fair value required to be reported in the SCT. The greatest driver of differences in realizable and realized value for performance-based and service-based awards include our stock price on the grant date compared to the future vesting date of the awards, and with respect to performance-based restricted stock awards, the drivers also include (1) the performance assumptions used on the grant date to determine the then probable outcome of performance conditions that affect the vesting of the award, (2) the actual performance of the Company over the three-year performance period of the grant and whether the applicable performance conditions are achieved and (3) whether any dividend-equivalent shares were earned during the three-year performance period.

For example, the reported value of equity awards granted in 2017 is higher than the potentially realizable value at December 31, 2017 for such equity awards primarily due to a decrease in our stock price from \$22.00 on February 22, 2017 (the grant date of the equity awards) to \$16.60 on December 31, 2017.

Additionally, the actual value realized from equity awards, vested or earned in 2017 was excluded from the amounts required to be reported in the Stock Awards column of the SCT. The realized value of equity awards in 2017 related to performance-based restricted stock granted in 2014 that were eligible to vest in early 2017 based on performance criteria over the three-year performance period ended on December 31, 2016, and service-based restricted stock granted in prior years that vest ratably over a four-year period (25% annually). The reported value for the performance-based restricted stock granted in 2014 was based on our stock price of \$19.41 on February 24, 2014 (the grant date of the equity awards), and the actual value realized from these equity awards in 2017 was based on our stock price of \$21.90 on February 24, 2017 (the vest date of the equity awards).

As mentioned above, the performance assumptions used on the grant date that affect the vesting of the award may also create a difference in realizable and realized value for performance-based stock awards. For instance, the reported value of performance-based restricted stock awards granted in 2015 was based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions at the time of grant. These awards were eligible to vest in early 2018 based on performance criteria over the three-year performance period ended on December 31, 2017. Based on the Company’s actual performance during this performance period, the value that will be realized from these equity awards will be zero.

 COMPENSATION TABLES AND RELATED DISCLOSURE

The following Summary Compensation Table summarizes the compensation of our NEOs in accordance with SEC rules.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE (1)

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	All Other Compensation (Including Perquisites) (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
Harry H. Herington Chairman, Chief Executive Officer	2017	500,000	-	1,286,099	783,781	117,741	2,687,621
	2016	497,438	-	1,306,849	788,510	204,159	2,796,956
	2015	479,500	-	863,092	535,574	167,210	2,045,376
Stephen M. Kovzan Chief Financial Officer	2017	325,000	-	417,977	331,148	49,150	1,123,275
	2016	322,625	-	424,715	333,145	76,795	1,157,280
	2015	306,000	-	275,391	205,071	64,457	850,919
Robert W. Knapp, Jr. Chief Operating Officer	2017	325,000	-	529,450	382,093	54,434	1,290,977
	2016	322,625	-	537,976	384,399	83,103	1,328,103
	2015	306,000	-	275,391	205,071	64,444	850,906
Jayne Friedland Holland Chief Security Officer	2017	315,000	-	270,083	148,135	39,405	772,623
	2016	313,875	-	371,829	149,028	48,858	883,590
	2015	276,083	-	177,000	69,580	31,659	554,322
William A. Van Asselt General Counsel	2017	283,250	-	96,228	70,813	12,796	463,087
	2016	272,917	-	142,468	68,750	16,470	500,605

(1) The “Option Awards” and “Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings” columns have been omitted from the Summary Compensation Table because the Company did not grant any stock option awards to the named executive officers in the years presented and does not provide a pension program or other non-qualified deferred compensation.

(2) Amounts reported in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions that affect the vesting of awards granted to the named executive officers. For a discussion of valuation assumptions, see Note 10 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. If the performance-based restricted stock awards were valued at the maximum number of shares able to be earned by the NEO at the end of the three-year performance period pursuant to the terms of the long-term equity incentives, the amounts shown in the column would be:

Edgar Filing: NIC INC - Form DEF 14A

<u>Name</u>	<u>2017 (\$)</u>	<u>2016 (\$)</u>	<u>2015 (\$)</u>
Herington	2,000,002	2,000,013	1,534,411
Kovzan	649,988	650,005	489,608
Knapp	824,361	824,351	489,608
Holland	420,523	517,914	-

For 2017, for Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland, amount consists of compensation earned in 2017, based on the Company’s fiscal 2017 financial performance, but paid in 2018 under the Company’s MAIPSE.
 (3) For Mr. Van Asselt, the amount in 2017 consists of compensation earned in 2017, based on the Company’s fiscal 2017 performance, but paid in 2018 under the terms of his Profit Sharing and Incentive Program. For additional information regarding the Company’s annual cash incentive plans, refer to the “Annual Case Incentives” section of the CD&A in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 29.

(4) All other compensation (including perquisites) for 2017 consists of the following:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Executive Life & Disability Insurance (\$)</u>	<u>Cash Dividends Paid: Service-based Equity Awards (\$)(A)</u>	<u>Dividend Equivalents: Performance-based Equity Awards (\$)(B)</u>	<u>Employer 401(k Match (\$)</u>	<u>Total Other Compensation (\$)</u>
Herington	21,044	28,876	58,821	9,000	117,741
Kovzan	11,800	9,339	19,011	9,000	49,150
Knapp	11,677	11,170	22,587	9,000	54,434
Holland	13,658	8,144	8,603	9,000	39,405
Van Asselt -		3,796	-	9,000	12,796

(A) This column reflects cash dividends paid in connection with unvested shares subject to a service-based restricted stock award during the year.

(B) This column reflects dividend and dividend equivalents declared on shares subject to each outstanding performance-based restricted stock award during the year, based upon the maximum number of shares which may become vested under the performance-based restricted stock award; Under each award agreement relating to the performance-based restricted stock awards, the actual dividend is payable to the named executive officer in the form of shares of Company common stock at the end of the three-year performance period for each award, but only to the extent the underlying shares have vested. At the end of the three-year performance period and on the date some or all of the shares are vested under the award, a pro rata number of notional dividend shares will be converted into an equivalent number of dividend shares earned and shall be paid to the named executive officers based upon the actual number of underlying shares vested during the performance period. No dividends or dividend equivalents are paid on any performance-based restricted stock awards during the three-year performance period. The amounts shown do not reflect any forfeitures at the end of the respective performance period of dividends previously declared on shares of performance-based restricted stock

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN FISCAL 2017

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of restricted stock awards and incentive plan awards to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(4)
		Threshold (\$)(1)	Target (\$)(1)	Maximum (\$)(1)	Threshold (#)(2)	Target (#)(2)	Maximum (#)(2)		
Harry H. Herington	2-22-17	250,000	500,000	835,000	-	-	-	-	-
	2-22-17	-	-	-	17,045	34,090	56,819	-	536,119
	2-22-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,090	749,980
Stephen M. Kovzan	2-22-17	105,625	211,250	352,950	-	-	-	-	-
	2-22-17	-	-	-	5,540	11,079	18,466	-	174,239
	2-22-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,079	243,738
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	2-22-17	121,875	243,750	406,250	-	-	-	-	-
	2-22-17	-	-	-	7,017	14,034	23,437	-	220,702
	2-22-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,034	308,748
Jayne Friedland	2-22-17	47,250	94,500	157,500	-	-	-	-	-
Holland	2-22-17	-	-	-	3,580	7,159	11,956	-	112,585
	2-22-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,159	157,498
William A. Van Asselt	2-22-17	-	70,813	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1-30-17	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,888	96,228

(1) For Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland, represents a grant pursuant to the Company's 2017 MAIPSE. For Mr. Van Asselt, represents a grant pursuant to the terms of his Profit Sharing and Incentive Program. For additional information, refer to the "Annual cash incentives" section in the CD&A of this Proxy Statement.

(2) For Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland, represents a grant of performance-based restricted stock on February 22, 2017 that will vest in whole or in part on February 22, 2020 if certain Company financial performance criteria are satisfied. For additional information, refer to the "Long-term, equity-based incentives" section in the CD&A of this Proxy Statement.

(3) For Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp, and Ms. Holland, includes a grant of service-based restricted stock on February 22, 2017. For Mr. Van Asselt, represents grants of service-based restricted stock on January 30, 2017. For additional information, refer to the "Long-term, equity-based incentives" section in the CD&A of this Proxy Statement.

(4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of such awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown reflect the probable outcome of performance conditions that affect the vesting of awards granted to the named executive officers. For assumptions used in determining these values, refer to Note 10 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K

for the year ended December 31, 2017.

41

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2017 FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth information concerning outstanding restricted stock awards for the named executive officers at December 31, 2017.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)
	2/24/14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(2)	7,411	123,023	-
	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(2)	16,815	279,129	-
Harry H. Herington	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(3)	-	56,050	930,430
	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(2)	31,924	529,938	-
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	70,943	1,177,654
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(2)	34,090	565,894	-
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(5)	-	56,819	943,195
	2/24/14	-	-	-	-	(2)	2,364	39,242	-
	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(2)	5,366	89,076	-
Stephen M. Kovzan	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(3)	-	17,885	296,891
	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(2)	10,375	172,225	-
	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	23,057	382,746
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(2)	11,079	183,911	-
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(5)	-	18,466	306,536
	2/24/14	-	-	-	-	(2)	2,364	39,242	-
	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(2)	5,366	89,076	-
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	2/23/15	-	-	-	-	(3)	-	17,855	296,891
	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(2)	13,142	218,157	-
	2/22/16	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	29,263	485,766
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(2)	14,034	232,964	-
	2/22/17	-	-	-	-	(5)	-	23,437	389,054
Jayne Friedland	2/24/14	-	-	-	-	(2)	900	14,940	-
	5/6/14	-	-	-	-	(2)	689	11,437	-
Holland	1/15/15	-	-	-	-	(2)	1,216	20,186	-

Edgar Filing: NIC INC - Form DEF 14A

	7/17/15 -	-	-	-	-	(2)2,801	46,497	-	-
	1/20/16 -	-	-	-	-	(2)2,596	43,094	-	-
	2/22/16 -	-	-	-	-	(2)6,704	111,286-	-	-
	2/22/16 -	-	-	-	-	(4)-	-	14,928	247,805
	2/22/17 -	-	-	-	-	(2)7,159	118,839-	-	-
	2/22/17 -	-	-	-	-	(5)-	-	11,956	198,470
	2/24/14 -	-	-	-	-	(2)348	5,777	-	-
William	2/23/15 -	-	-	-	-	(2)1,754	29,116	-	-
A. Van	1/20/16 -	-	-	-	-	(2)1,999	33,183	-	-
Asselt	2/22/16 -	-	-	-	-	(2)2,873	47,692	-	-
	1/30/17 -	-	-	-	-	(2)2,916	48,406	-	-

(1) The closing sales price per share of the Company's Common Stock on December 29, 2017, was \$16.60.

(2) Service-based restricted stock awards granted in 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. The award vests 25% on the first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, contingent upon the NEO's continued employment on the vesting dates.

(3) Performance-based restricted stock award granted on February 23, 2015. The number represents the maximum number of shares which may vest based on the three-year performance period that began on January 1, 2015 and ended on December 31, 2017. These shares were forfeited in February 2018 because the Company did not meet the threshold performance criteria to vest in such awards.

(4) Performance-based restricted stock award granted on February 22, 2016. The number represents the maximum number of shares which may vest based on the three-year performance period that began on January 1, 2016 and ending on December 31, 2018. If the performance criteria are met, awards are issued in stock in the first quarter following the end of the performance period and are subject to service-based vesting through February 22, 2019.

(5) Performance-based restricted stock award granted on February 22, 2017. The number represents the maximum number of shares which may vest based on the three-year performance period that began on January 1, 2017 and ending on December 31, 2019. If the performance criteria are met, awards are issued in stock in the first quarter following the end of the performance period and are subject to service-based vesting through February 22, 2020. Performance criteria and calculation of performance awards are described in CD&A of this Proxy Statement.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL 2017

The following table sets forth information concerning shares of restricted stock acquired on vesting by the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Name	Option Awards	Value Realized	Stock Awards	Value Realized on
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Vesting (1) (\$)
Harry H. Herington	-	-	39,734	1,637,921
Stephen M. Kovzan	-	-	12,631	523,954
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	-	-	13,125	544,238
Jayne Friedland Holland	-	-	4,500	193,990
William A. Van Asselt	-	-	2,316	80,668

(1) The “value realized” on vesting of a restricted stock award is calculated based on the per share closing market price for our common stock on the vesting date of the award multiplied by the number of shares vested.

The “Pension Benefits” and “Non-qualified Deferred Compensation” tables have been omitted because NIC does not provide such compensation.

REQUIRED PAY RATIO DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to a mandate of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the SEC adopted a rule requiring annual disclosure of the ratio of the total annual compensation of the Company's CEO to the median employee's annual total compensation. Set forth below for 2017 is a comparison of (i) the median of the annual total compensation of all employees of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries (except the CEO of the Company) and (ii) the annual total compensation of the CEO. The median of the annual total compensation and the pay ratio described below are reasonable estimates calculated by the Company in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K.

We estimate that the median of the annual total compensation of all employees of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries (except our CEO) was approximately \$81,390 for 2017. The annual total compensation of Harry H. Herington, our CEO, as reported in the Summary Compensation Table included in this Proxy Statement, was \$2,687,621 for 2017.

Based on this information, we estimate that the ratio of the annual total compensation of our CEO to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees was 33 to 1 for 2017.

To identify the median of the annual total compensation of all our employees, as well as to determine the annual total compensation of our median employee and our CEO, we used the following methodology and made the following material assumptions, adjustments, and estimates:

1. We determined that, as of December 31, 2017, our employee population consisted of approximately 866 individuals, all of whom are located in the United States. This population consisted of our full-time employees who were employed for the entire 2017 calendar year.
2. To identify the "median employee" from our employee population, we compared the amount of salary and wages of our employees that were employed for the full year as of December 31, 2017. For simplicity, we only included employees who were employed for the full 2017 calendar year and utilized payroll records as reported for the Internal Revenue Service safe harbor provision for 401(k) discrimination testing for 2017. Management of the Company concluded that excluding employees who were not employed for the full year did not materially impact the ratio.
3. We did not make any cost-of-living adjustments in identifying the "median employee."
4. Once we identified our median employee, we included the elements of such employee's compensation for 2017 determined in accordance with the requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, resulting in annual total compensation of \$81,390. With respect to the annual total compensation of our CEO, we used the amount reported in the "Total" column of our 2017 Summary Compensation Table included in this Proxy Statement, which was calculated in accordance with the same requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND SEVERANCE PAYMENTS

Employment Agreements

On February 5, 2013, the Company entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp. On July 27, 2015, the Company entered into the first amendment to the employment agreements with each of Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp. Ms. Holland, who was appointed an Executive Officer in May 2015, entered into an employment agreement with the Company on terms consistent with the employment agreements of the other executive officers. Ms. Holland also executed an amendment. The Compensation Committee determined the employment agreements were appropriate and desirable for the reasons set forth under “CD&A – Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers” in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 36.

The employment agreements each have substantially the same terms, except with respect to job titles and responsibilities. Mr. Herington currently serves as the Company’s CEO, and was entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$465,500 under his employment agreement. Mr. Kovzan currently serves as the Company’s CFO, and was entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$297,000 under his employment agreement. Mr. Knapp currently serves as the Company’s COO, and was entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$297,000 under his employment agreement. At its meeting on February 5, 2013, the Board, based upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, increased the salaries reflected above by three percent (3%) for 2013. Ms. Holland serves as the Company’s CSO, and is entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$306,000 under her employment agreement. At its meeting on February 22, 2016 the Board, based on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, increased the 2016 base salaries of the Executive Leadership Team members as follows: CEO, four percent (4%) to \$500,000, CFO and COO by six percent (6%) to \$325,000, and CSO by three percent (3%) to \$315,000. No changes were made to the base salaries of the four specified Executive Leadership Team members by the Compensation Committee for 2017.

In connection with entering into the employment agreements, Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland have each entered into a proprietary information and inventions agreement and a non-competition agreement, each of which are substantially similar to the prior forms of agreements entered into between each executive and the Company. If the executive’s employment with the Company terminates for any reason, the agreements provide collectively that the executive: (a) will not use any of the Company’s proprietary information without the Company’s prior written consent; (b) will not use any confidential information to compete against the Company or any of the Company’s employees; (c) will not, for three years following termination, solicit any of the Company’s employees or customers; and (d) will not, for two years following termination, own (whether in whole or part), aid, or render services to, directly or indirectly, or engage in certain activities with respect to, any competitor of NIC.

Under the employment agreements, Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland are entitled to a minimum annual base salary, which may be increased by the Compensation Committee, as well as other benefits that are generally available to NIC employees. Each executive is also entitled to: (a) paid vacation; (b) reimbursement of reasonable and necessary business expenses incurred by the executive in connection with his duties in accordance with the Company’s policies; and (c) participate in and receive benefits under executive life insurance and disability policies. Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland are also entitled to participate, at a level commensurate with his or her position, in the Company’s annual performance-based cash bonus plan and long-term equity incentive plan. For Mr. Herington, the minimum target amount payable under the annual performance-based cash bonus plan is 100% of the executive’s salary and the minimum target amounts under the service-based and performance-based components of the long-term equity incentive plan are 150% of the executive’s salary. For Mr. Kovzan, the minimum target amount payable under the annual performance-based cash bonus plan is 65% of the

executive's salary and the minimum target amounts under both the service-based and performance-based components of the long-term incentive plan are 75% of the executive's salary. For Mr. Knapp, the minimum target amount payable under the annual performance-based cash bonus plan is 75% of the executive's salary and the minimum target amounts under both the service-based and performance-based components of the long-term incentive plan are 95% of the executive's salary. For Ms. Holland, the minimum target amount payable under the annual performance-based cash incentive plan is 30% of the executive's salary and the minimum target amounts payable under both the service-based and performance-based components of the long-term equity incentive plan are 50% of the executive's salary.

Each of the employment agreements has a three-year term, and unless notice is provided at least six months prior to the end of the respective term, automatically renews for additional three-year terms.

Mr. Van Asselt does not have an employment agreement with the Company.

Payments upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control

The following discussion summarizes each of the employment agreements and describes the payments and benefits that would be provided to each of the named executive officers if their employment was terminated, including termination in connection with a change of control of NIC, in each case, as of December 31, 2017.

Under the employment agreements which became effective February 5, 2013, as amended, the Company may terminate the employment of the executive at any time, with or without "Cause," or the executive may voluntarily terminate his employment for "Good Reason" or at any time and for any reason. "Cause" is defined in the employment agreements as the executive's conviction of any felony or willful and deliberate failure to perform such executive's customary duties in a manner consistent with the manner reasonably prescribed by the Board (other than any failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness, disability or death). "Good Reason" is defined in the employment agreements generally as: (a) any material reduction in the executive's compensation; (b) requiring the executive to relocate more than 60 miles from the Company's current location; or (c) any material breach of the employment agreement by the Company.

Cash Severance Payments – Employment Agreements. Under the employment agreements, upon the executive's termination for any reason, the executive will receive: (a) accrued and unpaid salary through the termination date; (b) any earned but unpaid annual bonus for a previously completed fiscal year (but not for the year in which the termination occurs); (c) reimbursement of reimbursable expenses; (d) COBRA continuation coverage benefits and other employee benefits through the termination date; and (e) such other compensation, if any, which the Company's Board of Directors may elect to pay or grant (collectively, the "Base Termination Benefits"). If the Company terminates the executive for Cause, or the executive voluntarily terminates his employment without Good Reason, the executive would only be entitled to the foregoing benefits.

Under the employment agreements, if the Company terminates the executive without Cause or if the executive resigns for Good Reason, the executive is entitled to receive, in addition to the Base Termination Benefits, the amounts described under "Severance", "Life, Health and Other Benefits" and "Annual Incentive Plan" in Note 1 to the table below.

As described further below, the executive may also be entitled to certain severance pay if a “Change of Control” of the Company occurs, and within either the six-month period ending on the date of the “Change of Control” or the 18-month period beginning on the date of the “Change of Control,” the executive’s employment is terminated without Cause or the executive terminates employment for Good Reason. In such event, the executive is entitled to receive, in addition to the Base Termination Benefits, the amounts described under “Severance”, “Life, Health and Other Benefits” and “Annual Incentive Plan” in Note 6 to the table below. The employment agreements provide for reductions in the amounts payable to the extent the present value of compensation would more likely than not be non-deductible under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

Under the employment agreements, a “Change of Control” will be deemed to have occurred if: (a) any person (other than a trustee or a fiduciary holding securities under the Company’s employee benefit plan) becomes the beneficial owner (as that term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of 30% or more of the Company’s Common Stock; (b) a merger or consolidation of the Company is consummated with another company, other than a merger or consolidation in which the stockholders of the Company own 50% or more of the voting stock of the surviving corporation; (c) “Continuing Directors” (defined to include current Board members and future directors approved by a majority of continuing directors) no longer constitute at least a majority of the Company’s Board; (d) the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company; or (e) the liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Under the employment agreements, in the event of the executive’s death, the executive’s designated beneficiaries will be entitled to receive, in addition to the Base Termination Benefits, the amounts described under “Life, Health and Other Benefits” in Note 4 to the table below.

If the Company terminates the executive’s employment due to disability (as defined in the Company’s disability policies), the executive is entitled to receive under the employment agreement and the Company’s disability policies, in addition to the Base Termination Benefits, the amounts described under “Severance” and “Life, Health and Other Benefits” in Note 5 to the table below.

Cash Severance Payments – Annual Incentive Plan. Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland are each eligible participants under the Company’s annual cash incentive plans, which provide each executive with an annual cash incentive payment generally based on a percentage of his/her base salary if and to the extent pre-established Company performance goals are met for a given one-year performance period. The performance goals and potential payment amounts are established on an annual basis.

Under the plans, if the executive voluntarily terminates his/her employment prior to the end of the applicable performance period (other than for Good Reason, which is governed by his/her employment agreement, or retirement) or if the executive’s employment is terminated for “Cause” prior to the end of the applicable performance period, all amounts payable to the executive under the annual cash incentive plan are forfeited. The plan references the executive’s employment agreement for the definition of “Cause.” If the executive’s employment is terminated prior to the end of the performance period due to retirement, death or disability, the executive will be entitled to the amounts described under “Annual Incentive Plan” in Notes 3, 4 and 5 to the table below, respectively. Under the employment agreements, payments of incentive cash compensation for the applicable year of a termination of employment relating to a “Change of Control” would be governed by the employment agreements rather than the annual cash incentive plan.

Restricted Stock. Messrs. Herington, Kovzan and Knapp and Ms. Holland have two forms of restricted stock agreements for each year awards are granted that govern the terms of each of the executive’s restricted stock awards granted under the Company’s 2014 Stock Compensation Plan. One form of agreement requires no execution by the recipient, applies automatically upon award, and is the same for all Company recipients of service-based restricted stock awards granted under the 2014 Stock Compensation Plan. This agreement governs the terms of the executive’s service-based restricted stock awards (the “Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement”). The service-based restricted stock awards do not contain a performance component and vest ratably over a four-year service period following the

date of grant.

48

The other form of agreement governs the terms of the executive's performance-based restricted stock awards (the "Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement") and a copy of the agreement is executed by the executive in connection with the grant of performance-based stock each year. The performance-based restricted stock awards are tied to a three-year performance period and the actual number of shares (including dividend shares payable for such awards), if any, vested at the end of the period is based on pre-established Company performance goals. The Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreements entered into by Messrs. Herington, Kovzan, and Knapp and Ms. Holland are of substantially the same form, except for provisions regarding the number of shares to be awarded at the end of the performance period.

The treatment of restricted stock upon termination of employment is governed by the employment agreements and the Restricted Stock Agreements described above. Under the employment agreements, if the Company terminates the executive without Cause or if the executive resigns for Good Reason, the executive is entitled to receive, with respect to equity incentives, the amounts described under "Service-Based Restricted Stock" and "Performance-Based Restricted Stock" in Note 1 to the table below.

Under the employment agreements, if a Change of Control of the Company occurs, and within either the six-month period ending on the date of the Change of Control or the 18-month period beginning on the date of the Change of Control, the executive's employment is terminated without Cause or the executive terminates employment for Good Reason, the executive will receive, with respect to equity incentives, the amounts described under "Service-Based Restricted Stock" and "Performance-Based Restricted Stock" in Note 6 to the table below. The employment agreements provide for reductions in the amounts payable to the extent the present value of compensation would more likely than not be non-deductible under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreements and Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreements continue to apply to a Change of Control or termination of employment from and after February 5, 2013 only to the extent that the employment agreements are not applicable. Under the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreements, if the executive's employment is terminated for any reason, including retirement, death or disability, all outstanding unvested shares of restricted stock under the Service-Based Restricted Stock Agreement are forfeited. Under the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreements, if the executive's employment is terminated for any reason, other than in the case of death, disability or a Change of Control (as described above), all undelivered shares of restricted stock under the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Agreement are forfeited (including dividend shares payable for such awards), provided that if the executive's employment is terminated for death or disability, the executive is entitled to a pro rata portion of the undelivered shares (including dividend shares payable for such awards) based upon the number of months the executive was employed during the performance period and the Company's actual performance (only if such performance levels were met).

Edgar Filing: NIC INC - Form DEF 14A

The following table is a summary of the aforementioned payments and benefits that would be provided to each of the named executive officers if (i) their employment was terminated or (ii) their employment was terminated in connection with a change of control of NIC, in each case, as of December 31, 2017.

Name and Form of Payment	Involuntary Termination w/o Cause or Voluntary Termination w/ Good Reason (Note 1)	Involuntary Termination for Cause or Voluntary Termination w/o Good Reason (Note 2)	Retirement (Note 3)	Death (Note 4)	Disability (Note 5)	Involuntary Termination in Connection w/ Change of Control (Note 6)
Harry H. Herington						
Severance	\$ 2,577,020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 2,577,020
Life, Health & Other Benefits	65,592	38,462	38,462	1,038,462	80,766	65,592
Annual Incentive Plan	783,781	783,781	783,781	783,781	783,781	500,000
Service-Based Restricted Stock	1,497,984	-	-	-	-	1,497,984
Performance-Based Restricted Stock	592,014	-	-	592,014	592,014	1,910,690
Total	\$ 5,516,391	\$ 822,243	\$ 822,243	\$ 2,414,257	\$ 2,996,561	\$ 6,551,285
Stephen M. Kovzan						
Severance	\$ 1,316,291	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,501,888	\$ 1,316,291
Life, Health & Other Benefits	45,880	18,750	18,750	668,750	51,307	45,880
Annual Incentive Plan	211,250	331,148	331,148	331,148	331,148	211,250
Service-Based Restricted Stock	484,454	-	-	-	-	484,454
Performance-Based Restricted Stock	192,344	-	-	192,344	192,344	617,363
Total	\$ 2,250,219	\$ 349,898	\$ 349,898	\$ 1,192,242	\$ 2,076,686	\$ 2,675,238
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.						
Severance	\$ 1,418,797	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,501,888	\$ 1,418,797
Life, Health & Other Benefits	46,505	19,375	19,375	669,375	51,809	46,505
Annual Incentive Plan	382,093	382,093	382,093	382,093	382,093	243,750
Service-Based Restricted Stock	579,440	-	-	-	-	579,440
Performance-Based Restricted Stock	243,663	-	-	243,663	243,663	731,144
Total	\$ 2,670,498	\$ 401,468	\$ 401,468	\$ 1,295,131	\$ 2,179,453	\$ 3,019,636
Jayne Friedland Holland						
Severance	\$ 928,057	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,303,996	\$ 928,057
	48,938	21,808	21,808	651,808	56,339	48,938

Life, Health & Other Benefits						
Annual Incentive Plan	148,135	148,135	148,135	148,135	148,135	94,500
Service-Based Restricted Stock	366,279	-	-	-	-	366,279
Performance-Based Restricted Stock	124,257	-	-	124,257	124,257	275,553
Total	\$ 1,615,665	\$ 169,943	\$ 169,943	\$ 924,199	\$ 1,632,726	\$ 1,713,327

“Severance” amount includes a lump sum payment equal to the sum of: (i) two (2) times the executive’s base salary in effect on the date of termination; and (ii) two (2) times the largest cash award received by the executive under the Annual Incentive Plan during the immediately preceding three annual incentive periods. “Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes: (i) payment of accrued paid vacation time; and (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 150% of the Company’s portion of the annual costs (determined as of the date of termination) associated with providing the executive and eligible family members with medical and health benefits coverage under the Company’s group health plans. “Annual Incentive Plan” amount includes the amount of any cash award under the Annual Incentive Plan payable for the year of the executive’s termination, which: (i) for Mr. Kovzan is payable based upon target performance; and (ii) for all other executives, is payable based solely upon actual performance. “Service-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of unvested service-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting. “Performance-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of unvested performance-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting, which vests and is payable based solely upon actual performance (which for current performance periods that have not yet been completed is estimated by extrapolating from the actual performance during the completed portion of such periods).

(2) “Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes payment of accrued paid vacation time.

“Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes payment of accrued paid vacation time. “Annual Incentive Plan” amount includes the pro rata amount of any cash award under the Annual Incentive Plan payable for the year of the executive’s termination (based on the number of days worked) based solely upon actual performance.

(4) “Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes: (i) payment of accrued paid vacation time; and (ii) payment of the proceeds from the executive’s life insurance policy payable by the insurer, the proceeds of which are equal to two (2) times the executive’s base salary. “Annual Incentive Plan” amount includes the pro rata amount of any cash award under the Annual Incentive Plan payable for the year of the executive’s termination (based on the number of days worked) based solely upon actual performance. “Performance-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of a pro rata portion of unvested performance-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting (based on the number of months worked during the performance period), which vests and is payable based solely upon actual performance.

(5) “Severance” amount includes salary continuation benefits payable to the executives under their respective employment agreements and the Company’s disability policies. Under the employment agreements, each executive is entitled to salary continuation benefits for a period of one year following the date of disability consisting of a payment equal to such executive’s base salary then in effect, reduced by payments made to the executive under the Company’s disability policies. Following the one-year period, the executive is then to receive only payments under the Company’s disability policies. Under the Company’s disability policies, each executive is also entitled to a lump sum payment of \$1,000,000 if the executive is disabled for more than 365 days. “Severance” amount reflects the amount which each executive would receive if such executive qualified as disabled for a one-year period and therefore reflects the payment of the salary continuation benefit for one year and the lump sum payment. For each executive, the entire amount of the salary continuation benefit would be paid under the Company’s disability policies because the amount payable under such policies would exceed their base salary, such amounts payable as follows: Mr. Herington (\$540,000); Mr. Kovzan (\$501,888); Mr. Knapp (\$501,888); and Ms. Holland (\$303,996). “Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes: (i) payment of accrued paid vacation time; and (ii) the value of medical, dental, supplemental life, life and disability insurance premiums paid by the Company for each executive for a period of one year following termination due to disability. “Annual Incentive Plan” amount includes the pro rata amount of any cash award under the Annual Incentive Plan payable for the year of the executive’s termination (based on the number of days worked) based solely upon actual performance. “Performance-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of a pro rata portion of unvested performance-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting (based on the number of months worked during the performance period), which vests and is payable based solely upon actual performance.

- “Severance” amount includes a lump sum payment equal to the sum of: (i) two (2) times the executive’s base salary in effect on the date of termination; and (ii) two (2) times the largest cash award received by the executive under the Annual Incentive Plan during the immediately preceding three annual incentive periods. “Life, Health and Other Benefits” amount includes: (i) payment of accrued paid vacation time; and (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 150% of the Company’s portion of the annual costs (determined as of the date of termination) associated with providing the executive and eligible family members with medical and health benefits coverage under the Company’s group health plans. “Annual Incentive Plan” amount includes the amount of any cash award under the Annual Incentive Plan payable for the year of the executive’s termination as if target performance had been achieved. “Service-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of unvested service-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting. “Performance-Based Restricted Stock” amount includes the market value of unvested performance-based restricted stock subject to accelerated vesting, which vests as if target performance for such awards had been achieved.
- (6)
- (7) Market value is based on the closing sales price per share of the Company’s Common Stock on December 29, 2017 of \$16.60 per share.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Below is certain information regarding the executive officers of the Company who are not directors. Mr. Herington, Chief Executive Officer, is profiled under the Directors section which begins on page 20, because he also serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors. Executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Positions with the Company</u>
Harry H. Herington	58	Chief Executive Officer
Stephen M. Kovzan	49	Chief Financial Officer
Robert W. Knapp, Jr.	49	Chief Operating Officer
Jayne Friedland Holland	54	Chief Security Officer
William A. Van Asselt	43	General Counsel and Secretary

Stephen M. Kovzan has served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer since August 2007. Mr. Kovzan joined the Company in October 1999 and served as the Company's Controller until September 2000, at which time he became the Company's Vice President of Financial Operations and Chief Accounting Officer, serving as such until August 2007. Mr. Kovzan currently serves as a manager and officer of various subsidiaries of NICUSA, Inc. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kovzan served as a business assurance manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Mr. Kovzan is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. in business administration from the University of Tulsa and an M.S. in business from the University of Kansas.

Robert W. Knapp, Jr. was appointed to the position of Chief Operating Officer in February 2012. From February 2009 until this appointment, he served as the Company's Executive Vice President. Mr. Knapp joined the Company in November 1999 and has served in various management capacities, including Director of Marketing for the Company's Indiana portal subsidiary, President and General Manager of the Company's Kansas portal subsidiary, Regional Manager, and most recently Vice President of Portal Operations. Mr. Knapp currently serves as a manager of various subsidiaries of NICUSA, Inc. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Knapp was a director of information systems with Alltel. Mr. Knapp holds a B.S. in business administration and an M.B.A from the University of Tulsa.

Jayne Friedland Holland was appointed to the position of Chief Security Officer in May 2015. From December 2006 until this appointment, she served as the Company's Chief Security Officer and Associate General Counsel. Ms. Holland joined the Company in June 2005 as Associate General Counsel of the Company. In her role as Chief Security Officer, she oversees the Company's security management program. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Holland served as Vice President and General Counsel for ESI and began her career as a trial attorney in New Orleans. Ms. Holland holds a B.A. degree in Political Science from Newcomb College of Tulane University and a J.D. degree from Tulane University School of Law.

William A. Van Asselt was appointed to the position of General Counsel in January 2016. From March 2014 until this appointment, he served as the Company's Deputy General Counsel. Mr. Van Asselt joined the Company in June 2010 as Associate General Counsel. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Van Asselt practiced law at Lathrop & Gage LLP, and previously at Hogan Lovells in Washington D.C. where his practice focused on contract negotiations, corporate mergers & acquisitions, telecommunications law and corporate governance. Prior to attending law school, Mr. Van Asselt served as the Executive Director for the Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial Project Foundation in Washington D.C. Mr. Van Asselt holds a B.A. degree in Political Science from the University of Missouri and a J.D. degree from Harvard Law School.

 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of March 2, 2018, certain information about shares of the Company's Common Stock beneficially owned by (i) each director; (ii) each stockholder who the Company knows is a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock (based on SEC filings); (iii) the named executive officers, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise provided in the table below, the mailing address of the 5% beneficial owners is NIC Inc., 25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300, Olathe, Kansas 66061.

	<u>Shares Beneficially</u>		
	<u>Owned (1)</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	
	<u>Number</u>	<u>(2)</u>	
<u>Named Executive Officers and Directors</u>			
Ross C. Hartley (3)	1,026,099	1.5	%
Harry H. Herington (4)	807,174	1.2	%
Stephen M. Kovzan (5)	179,445	*	
Robert W. Knapp, Jr. (6)	143,807	*	
Jayne Friedland Holland (7)	96,205	*	
William A. Van Asselt (8)	21,851	*	
Art N. Burtscher (9)	226,127	*	
Pete Wilson (10)	76,090	*	
William M. Lyons (11)	59,411	*	
Alexander C. Kemper (12)	46,915	*	
Karen S. Evans (13)	30,472	*	
C. Brad Henry (14)	30,472	*	
Venmal (Raji) Arasu (15)	16,400	*	
All executive officers and directors as a group (14 persons) (16)	2,764,592	4.1	%
 <u>5% Stockholders</u>			
BlackRock, Inc. (17) 55 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10055	10,061,040	15.0	%
Brown Capital Management, LLC (18) 1201 N. Calvert Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202	6,143,174	9.2	%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. (19) 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	6,135,987	9.1	%

* Less than 1%

(1) This table is based upon information supplied by officers, directors, principal stockholders and the Company's transfer agent, and information contained in Schedules 13D and 13G filed with the SEC. Unless otherwise noted in the footnotes to this table, the Company believes each of the stockholders named in this table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned. Applicable percentages are based on 67,120,258 shares of the Company's Common Stock outstanding as of March 2, 2018, adjusted as required by the rules promulgated by the SEC.

(2) For purposes of determining percentages of shares beneficially owned, the Company does not include in the number of outstanding shares those shares subject to performance-based restricted awards which are not scheduled to vest within 60 days of March 3, 2017, because the holders of such shares have no voting or disposition rights with respect to the shares. All shares subject to service-based restricted stock awards, which have voting rights, are included in outstanding shares.

(3) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Hartley include 4,683 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock, as well as 1,021,416 shares owned by Ross C. Hartley Family Investments LLC. In his Schedule 13D filings with the SEC, Mr. Hartley reported that he and his spouse have shared voting and dispositive power over the shares held by Ross C. Hartley Family Investments LLC and that his spouse holds a majority of the voting interest in Ross C. Hartley Family Investments LLC.

(4) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Herington include 807,174 shares directly owned, including 110,003 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(5) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Kovzan include 179,445 shares directly owned, including 35,702 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(6) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Knapp include 143,807 shares directly owned, including 44,507 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(7) Shares beneficially owned by Ms. Holland include 96,205 shares directly owned, including 34,385 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(8) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Van Asselt include 21,851 shares directly owned, including 13,626 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(9) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Burtscher include 226,127 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(10) Shares beneficially owned by Governor Wilson include 76,090 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(11) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lyons include 59,411 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

(12) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Kemper include 46,915 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock and 10,000 shares owned by the 2012 Alexander Charles Kemper Family Irrevocable Trust for which Mr. Kemper's spouse is the trustee.

- (13) Shares beneficially owned by Ms. Evans include 30,472 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.
- (14) Shares beneficially owned by Governor Henry include 30,472 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.
- (15) Shares beneficially owned by Ms. Arasu include 16,400 shares directly owned, including 4,683 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.
- (16) Shares held by all executive officers and directors as a group include 279,811 shares of unvested service-based restricted stock.

Based on information set forth in Amendment No. 9 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 19, 2018. According to the Schedule 13G, shares beneficially owned by BlackRock, Inc. include 10,061,040 shares (17) owned by various investment advisory clients of BlackRock, Inc. which is deemed to be a beneficial owner of those shares pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act due to its discretionary power to make investment decisions over such shares for its clients and its ability to vote such shares.

Based on information set forth in Amendment No. 11 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2018. According to the Schedule 13G, shares beneficially owned by Brown Capital Management, LLC include (18) 6,143,174 shares owned by various investment advisory clients of Brown Capital Management, LLC, which is deemed to be a beneficial owner of those shares pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act due to its discretionary power to make investment decisions over such shares for its clients and its ability to vote such shares.

Based on information set forth in Amendment No. 5 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 9, 2018. According to the Schedule 13G, shares beneficially owned by Vanguard Group, Inc. include 6,135,987 (19) shares owned by various investment advisory clients of Vanguard Group, Inc. which is deemed to be a beneficial owner of those shares pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act due to its discretionary power to make investment decisions over such shares for its clients and its ability to vote such shares.

The SEC requires the Company's directors and officers, and stockholders who own more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock, to report their ownership of the Company's Common Stock and any changes in that ownership to the SEC and Nasdaq. Officers and directors, and stockholders owning more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock, must provide the Company with copies of all such forms that they file.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
(PROPOSAL NO. 2)

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, the Company is asking stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation for the named executive officers disclosed in these materials. This proposal, commonly referred to as a “say on pay” proposal, gives stockholders the opportunity to express their views on the compensation of the named executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the named executive officers and the Company’s compensation program.

The Company is asking stockholders to approve the 2017 compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in these materials by adopting the following advisory resolution at the 2018 Annual Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that in the advisory opinion of a majority of the stockholders of the Company voting the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.”

At the Company’s prior Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in May 2017, 98% of the votes cast on the “say on pay” resolution were voted in favor of the resolution.

As described in detail under “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” the Company’s compensation program is designed to motivate its executives to evolve and grow a successful company. The Company believes that its executive compensation program, with its balance of short-term incentives (including performance-based cash bonus awards) and long-term incentives (including service-based and performance-based restricted stock that vests over a period of years), which was influenced by evaluating the executive compensation of peer companies and the broader market, rewards sustained performance that is aligned with long-term stockholder interests. Stockholders are encouraged to read the “Executive Compensation,” “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” and “Employment Agreements and Severance Payments” sections of this Proxy Statement, the accompanying compensation tables and related narrative disclosure included in the “Compensation Tables” section of this Proxy Statement and the other compensation-related disclosure contained elsewhere in this Proxy Statement for more information regarding our executive compensation program.

Although this vote is non-binding, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee, which is comprised of independent directors, will continue to consider the outcome of the vote when making future executive compensation decisions. The Company currently submits the compensation of named executive officers to an advisory vote of stockholders on an annual basis.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that stockholders advise the Company with a vote FOR approval of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion.

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
(PROPOSAL NO. 3)

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (“E&Y”) to serve as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018. Although the ratification of the Audit Committee’s selection of E&Y is not required under our bylaws or other legal requirements, we are submitting the appointment of E&Y to the stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment when determining the independent registered public accounting firm for the subsequent fiscal year. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a new independent accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee feels that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Fees

Fees billed to the Company by E&Y for services incurred related to fiscal year 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

	2017	2016	
Audit fees	\$551,864	\$531,987	(1)
Audit-related fees	244,000	180,000	(1)
Tax fees	198,326	351,000	
All other fees	29,442	-	
Total fees	\$1,023,632	\$1,062,987	

(1) Audit fees include audits of the annual consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K and reviews of quarterly consolidated financial statements on Form 10-Q, as well as the audit of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For fiscal year 2017, audit fees also included approximately \$25,000 in fees for non-recurring accounting consultation matters. Audit-related fees primarily include audits of financial statements for certain subsidiaries of the Company and for fiscal year 2017, also include the Company’s SOC 1, Type II examination, the Company’s SOC 2, Type II examination. Tax fees consist primarily of fees billed for tax compliance and, to a lesser extent, tax advice. All other fees consists of accounting consultation matters.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of audit, audit-related, tax and other permissible non-audit services (specifically described in appendices to the policy) that may be provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm to the Company and its subsidiaries. Such services are pre-approved up to a specified fee limit. Any service not included in the specified list of services must be submitted to the Audit Committee for pre-approval. To ensure prompt handling of unforeseeable or unexpected matters that arise between Audit Committee meetings, the Audit Committee has delegated authority to its Chairman, and/or to such other members of the Audit Committee as the Chairman shall from time to time designate, to review and, if appropriate, approve in advance, any request for the independent registered public accounting firm to provide permissible services. All such pre-approvals must be reported to the Audit Committee at the next Committee meeting.

The Vice President of Internal Audit monitors services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm and overall compliance with the pre-approval policy. The Vice President of Internal Audit reports periodically to the Audit Committee about the status of outstanding engagements, including actual services provided and associated fees, and must promptly report any noncompliance with the pre-approval policy to the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

The Company expects that representatives of E&Y will be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon is required to ratify the appointment of E&Y as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted in favor of ratification unless a stockholder has indicated otherwise on the proxy. If this appointment is not ratified by the stockholders, the Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018.

SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

A stockholder may submit a proposal for inclusion in the Company's 2019 Proxy Statement. For the proposal to be considered, the Company must receive the proposal no later than November 16, 2018. All proposals must comply with the rules of the SEC for eligibility and type of stockholder proposal. Stockholder proposals should be addressed to:

Corporate Secretary
NIC Inc.
25501 West Valley Parkway, Suite 300
Olathe, Kansas 66061

If a stockholder does not wish to submit a proposal for inclusion in next year's proxy statement, but instead wishes to present it directly at the 2019 Annual Meeting, NIC's Bylaws require that the Company receive the proposal no earlier than January 1, 2019 and not later than January 31, 2019, and that the stockholder submitting the proposal and the proposal meet certain requirements specified by the Bylaws. Requests for a copy of the Bylaw requirements should be addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address provided above.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports and written representations provided to the Company, the Company believes that all required filings in 2017 were made in a timely fashion.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

None of the persons who served on the Company's Compensation Committee during the last completed fiscal year (Art. N. Burtscher, Venmal (Raji) Arasu, C. Brad Henry, Alexander C. Kemper, and Pete Wilson) (i) was formerly an officer of the Company; (ii) during the last fiscal year, was an officer or employee of the Company; or (iii) had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

None of the Company's executive officers, during the last completed fiscal year, served as a (i) member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; (ii) director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; or (iii) member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served as the Company's director.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Policy and Procedures with Respect to Related Person Transactions

NIC has adopted a written policy governing the review, approval or ratification of “Related Person Transactions,” as described below (the “Policy”).

Related Person Transactions

For the purposes of the Policy, a “Related Person Transaction” is a transaction, arrangement or relationship (or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships) in which the Company (including any of its subsidiaries) was, is or will be a participant and in which any Related Person had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

For purposes of the Policy, a “Related Person” means: (1) any person who is, or at any time since the beginning of NIC’s last fiscal year was, a director or executive officer of NIC or a nominee to become a director of NIC; (2) any person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of NIC’s voting securities; (3) any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons (as defined in the Policy) and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any of the foregoing persons; and (4) any firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a general partner or principal or in a similar position or in which such person has a 5% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

Approval Procedures

Related Person Transactions that are identified as such prior to their consummation or amendment shall be consummated or amended only if the following steps are taken:

Prior to entering into the Related Person Transaction (a) the Related Person, (b) the director, executive officer, nominee or beneficial owner who is an immediate family member of the Related Person, or (c) the business unit or function/department leader responsible for the potential Related Person Transaction shall provide notice to the (1) Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (the “Committee”) of the facts and circumstances of the proposed Related Person Transaction, including certain information specified in the Policy. The Committee will assess whether the proposed transaction is a Related Person Transaction for purposes of this policy.

If the Committee determines that the proposed transaction is a Related Person Transaction, the proposed Related Person Transaction shall be submitted to the Committee for consideration at the next Committee meeting or, in (2) those instances in which the Committee, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, determines that it is not practicable or desirable for NIC to wait until the next Committee meeting, to the Chair of the Committee (who will possess delegated authority to act between Committee meetings).

The Committee, or where submitted to the Chair, the Chair, shall consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or the Chair. No member of the Committee shall participate in any review, consideration or approval of any Related Person Transaction with respect to which such member or any of (3) his or her immediate family members is the Related Person. The Committee (or the Chair) shall approve only those Related Person Transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of NIC and its stockholders, as the Committee (or the Chair) determines in good faith.

(4) The Chair of the Committee shall report to the Committee at the next Committee meeting any approval under this policy pursuant to delegated authority.

62

Ratification Procedures

Under the Policy, the Company's accounting department, under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer, shall produce periodic reports as the Chair of the Committee shall direct, but no less often than annually, of any amounts paid or payable to, or received or receivable from, any Related Person.

In the event the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer, or any other executive officer becomes aware, as a result of the reports described above or otherwise, of a Related Person Transaction that has not been previously approved or previously ratified under the Policy:

If the transaction is pending or ongoing, it will be submitted to the Committee or Chair of the Committee promptly, and the Committee or Chair shall consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or (1) the Chair. The Committee shall not ratify any Related Person Transaction that is not in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Based on this analysis, the Committee or the Chair shall evaluate all options, including but not limited to ratification, amendment or termination of the Related Person Transaction; and

If the transaction is completed, the Committee or Chair shall evaluate the transaction, taking into account all of the relevant facts and circumstances available to the Committee or Chair, to determine if rescission of the transaction (2) and/or any disciplinary action is appropriate, and shall request an evaluation of NIC's controls and procedures to ascertain the reason the transaction was not submitted to the Committee or Chair for prior approval and whether any changes to these procedures are recommended.

Review of Ongoing Transactions

At the Committee's first meeting of each fiscal year, the Committee shall review any previously approved or ratified Related Person Transactions that remain ongoing and have a remaining term of more than six months or remaining amounts payable to or receivable from NIC. Based on all relevant facts and circumstances, taking into consideration NIC's contractual obligations, the Committee shall determine if it is in the best interests of NIC and its stockholders to continue, modify or terminate the Related Person Transaction.

Charitable Contributions

Proposed charitable contributions, or pledges of charitable contributions, by NIC to a charitable or non-profit organization in which a Related Person has a material interest shall be subject to prior review and approval by the Committee at the next Committee meeting or, in those instances in which the Committee, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, determines that it is not practicable or desirable for the Company to wait until the next Committee meeting, by the Chair. In addition, each named executive officer (as defined above) shall report to the Committee on a quarterly basis, charitable contributions in excess of \$120,000, in the aggregate, by NIC's named executive officers and their spouses to a charitable or non-profit organization identified on the roster of Related Persons.

Disclosure

All Related Person Transactions that are required to be disclosed in NIC's filings with the SEC, as required by the Securities Act of 1933 and the Exchange Act and related rules and regulations, shall be so disclosed in accordance with such laws, rules and regulations.

OTHER BUSINESS

The Board of Directors knows of no other business which will be presented at the meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders will have discretionary authority to vote on any such other business.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU INTEND TO BE PRESENT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO VOTE AT YOUR EARLIEST CONVENIENCE.

By order of the Board of Directors:

William Van Asselt
General Counsel
Olathe, Kansas
March 16, 2018