

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-32594

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
90 Nassau Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08542
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(609) 683-3831
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

22-3755714
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

Securities registered pursuant to 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.001 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to 12(g) of the Act:

(NONE)
(title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. o YES x NO

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filer pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2013 was approximately \$1.3 billion.

As of February 24, 2014, there were 36,701,106 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Specifically identified portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>BUSINESS</u> | <u>1</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | <u>14</u> |
| Item 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | <u>23</u> |
| Item 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u> | <u>23</u> |
| Item 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | <u>24</u> |
| Item 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>SECURITIES</u> | |
| Item 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | <u>28</u> |
| Item 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL</u> | |
| <u>CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | <u>30</u> |
| Item 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET</u> | |
| <u>RISK</u> | <u>54</u> |
| Item 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | <u>54</u> |
| Item 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON</u> | |
| <u>ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | <u>93</u> |
| Item 9A. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | <u>93</u> |
| Item 9B. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | <u>94</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | <u>95</u> |
| Item 11. <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>95</u> |
| Item 12. <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND</u> | |
| <u>MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | <u>95</u> |
| Item 13. <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND</u> | |
| <u>DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | <u>95</u> |
| Item 14. <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>95</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | <u>96</u> |

SIGNATURES

Table of Contents

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to “the Company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and our subsidiaries.

Some of the information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may contain forward looking statements that are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to our management.

Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, financial condition and prospects, business strategies, financing plans, competitive position, industry environment, potential growth opportunities, the effects of future regulation and the effects of competition. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “predict,” “will be,” “will continue” or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. You should understand that many important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this report, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Certain of these factors are described in Item 1A. Risk Factors and include, without limitation, unauthorized disclosure of user data through breaches of our computer systems or otherwise, our competitive environment, the business cycles and credit risks of our merchants, chargeback liability, merchant attrition, problems with our sponsor banks, our relationships with third-party bankcard payment processors, our inability to pass increased interchange fees, assessments, and transaction fees along to our merchants, economic conditions, systems failures and government regulation.



Table of Contents

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview of Our Company

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.
90 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08542
(609) 683-3831

Our primary business is to provide Card Payment Processing services to merchants throughout the United States. This involves providing end-to-end electronic payment processing services to merchants by facilitating the exchange of information and funds between them and cardholders' financial institutions. To accomplish this, we undertake merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant assistance and support, and risk management. We also sell and rent point-of-sale devices. Our card-accepting customers primarily fall into two categories: small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") and Network Services merchants, which are predominately petroleum industry merchants of all sizes (referred to as "Network Services merchants").

We provide additional services in our other business segments such as:

- School nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions, including online prepayment solutions, to K-12 schools through Heartland School Solutions,

- Full-service Payroll Processing and related tax filing services, through Heartland Ovation Payroll,

- Payment processing, higher education loan services and open- and closed-loop payment solutions to colleges and universities through Campus Solutions,

- Prepaid and stored-value card solutions through Micropayments, and marketing solutions including loyalty and gift cards which we provide through Heartland Marketing Solutions.

We were incorporated in June 2000, in the state of Delaware. As of December 31, 2013, we employed 3,184 full- and part-time personnel, including 951 sales professionals, 722 customer service, risk management, financial and operations support and underwriting employees, 458 systems and technology employees, 328 Heartland Ovation Payroll employees, 204 Heartland School Solutions employees, 195 Campus Solutions employees, 250 accounting and administration employees, 31 Micropayments employees and 45 Leaf employees (which were part of our acquisition of Leaf Holdings, Inc, further discussed below). Our sales professionals include 844 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers and 58 Senior Product Advisors, primarily payroll specialists. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we have experienced no work stoppages. We consider our employee relations to be good.

General Business Developments

Acquisitions

On September 11, 2013, we purchased 66.67% of the outstanding capital stock of Leaf Holdings, Inc. ("Leaf") for a \$14.5 million cash payment. Leaf provides us with a cloud-based, software-as-a-service (SaaS), Point-of-Sale (POS) system to deliver an open-architecture platform supporting numerous vertical-specific business applications. Leaf products provide a mobile payment platform built for local commerce helping retail stores, restaurants, and other local merchants improve the speed and ease of checkout and offering easy-to-use business management, analytics, and customer engagement. Leaf is currently included in our core Card Payment Processing business.

On December 31, 2012, we purchased for a \$44.2 million cash payment the stock of Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation"). The acquisition expanded our existing payroll processing business. Ovation provides payroll processing, payroll tax preparation, Internet payroll reporting, and direct deposit to over 10,000 clients in 48 states. With this acquisition the Company added scale to its HOP payroll platform (formerly PlusOne Payroll), leveraging operating costs, and also

added management, a new specialized payroll sales approach, an affinity partner referral network and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

On December 14, 2012, we purchased for a \$37.6 million cash payment the stock of Educational Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") and net assets of related entities. The acquisition expanded our Campus Solutions business. ECSI supports the

1

Table of Contents

entire life cycle of higher education and post-graduation school/student services, including student loan payment processing, electronic billing and payment, default solutions, refund services, tuition payment plans, tax document services, and business outsourcing to more than 1,800 colleges and universities nationwide. With this acquisition, our Campus Solutions business gained ECSI's client portfolio, boosting its number of higher education clients to more than 2,000 colleges and universities throughout North America.

On June 29, 2012, we purchased for a \$26.0 million cash payment the net assets of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a. "Nutrikids"). This acquisition added approximately 10,000 schools to our Heartland School Solutions business providing school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions.

Divestiture

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we, along with the 30% non-controlling shareholders of Collective Point of Sale, Ltd. ("CPOS"), entered into an agreement to sell CPOS to a third party. CPOS, which operates as a provider of payment processing services in Canada, was not a significant subsidiary and we have no continuing involvement in its operations. After receiving regulatory approval, the buyer settled this sale on January 31, 2013. The total sales price was \$30.3 million in cash including net working capital, of which we received \$20.9 million for our 70% ownership position. During the first quarter of 2013, we recorded a gain on sale of \$3.8 million, net of income taxes of \$2.1 million. This gain and prior period earnings are reported as income from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income.

Business Segment Overview

Card Payment Processing

Performance Update

At December 31, 2013, we provided card payment processing services to 166,697 active SME merchants located across the United States. This compares to 169,994 active SME merchants at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013, we provided card payment processing services to 1,024 Network Services merchants with approximately 42,669 locations, compared to 396 Network Services merchants with approximately 47,064 locations at December 31, 2012. Our total card processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$102.3 billion, a 2.0% increase from the \$100.4 billion processed during the year ended December 31, 2012. Our 2013 SME card processing volume was \$74.6 billion, a 4.0% increase over \$71.7 billion in 2012. Total card processing volume for 2013 includes \$27.7 billion of settled volume for Network Services merchants, compared to \$27.9 billion for 2012.

In addition to settling card transactions, Network Services processes a wide range of payment transactions for its predominantly petroleum customer base, including providing 2.3 billion transaction authorizations (primarily for Visa and MasterCard) through its front-end card processing systems in 2013.

According to The Nilson Report, in 2012 we were the 5th largest merchant acquirer in the United States ranked by transaction count and the 9th largest merchant acquirer by processed dollar volume, which consisted of Visa, MasterCard, and Discover signature debit cards, and Plus, Nyce, Pulse, STAR, ACCEL/Exchange and other PIN-based debit cards, in addition to Visa, American Express, MasterCard, Discover, Diners Club, Union Pay, and JCB credit cards. These rankings represented 3.3 billion transactions and 4.5% of the total U.S. bankcard processing market, respectively.

Business Structure

Our Card Payment Processing revenue from SME merchants and Network Services merchants is recurring in nature. We typically enter into three-year service contracts with our SME merchants and three-to-five-year agreements with Network Services merchants.

Most of our SME revenue is from fees for processing transactions, which are primarily a combination of a percentage of the dollar amount of each card transaction we process plus a flat fee per transaction. We make mandatory payments of interchange fees to card issuing banks through card networks and dues, assessments and transaction authorization fees to Visa, MasterCard and Discover, and we retain the remainder as net revenue. For example, in a transaction using a Visa or MasterCard credit card, the allocation of funds resulting from a \$100 transaction follows.

Table of Contents

In contrast, our processing revenues from Network Services merchants generally consist of a flat fee per transaction and thus revenues are driven primarily by the number of transactions we process (whether settled, or only authorized), not card processing volume.

We also provide card processing services for DFS Services, LLC ("Discover") and American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. ("American Express").

Our Merchant Base

We have developed significant expertise in industries that we believe present relatively low risks as the customers are generally present and the products or services are generally delivered at the time the transaction is processed. These include:

- Restaurants
- Brick and mortar retailers
- Hotel and lodging
- Automotive sales and repair shops
- Convenience and liquor stores
- Professional service providers
- Gas stations

Generally, we define SME merchants as generating annual Visa and MasterCard card processing volume between \$50,000 and \$5,000,000. However, with the added functionality and cost benefits that our in-house processing platforms afford us, we also market to merchants with annual processing volume above \$5,000,000.

The following table summarizes our SME processing volume by merchant category for the month of December 2013, compared to the months of December 2012 and December 2011.

| | Month of December | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Restaurants | 34.6% | 36.0% | 34.8% | |
| Retail | 17.5% | 18.0% | 18.2% | |
| Convenience, Fast Food & Liquor | 12.3% | 11.2% | 10.9% | |
| Automotive | 6.4% | 6.5% | 7.5% | |
| Professional Services | 7.1% | 7.3% | 7.1% | |
| Lodging | 4.8% | 4.5% | 4.3% | |
| Petroleum | 1.8% | 1.9% | 1.9% | |
| Other | 15.5% | 14.6% | 15.3% | |
| Total SME processing volume | | \$5.9 billion | \$5.7 billion | \$5.6 billion |

No single SME merchant accounted for more than 0.81% of our total SME Visa and MasterCard card processing volume in 2013, and during 2013, our top 25 SME merchants represented only 3.54% of our SME Visa and MasterCard card processing volume and 2.93% of our SME Visa and MasterCard gross processing revenue.

Table of Contents

In December 2013, SME merchants located in the following states represented the following percentages of our SME card processing volume: California represented 9.6%, Texas represented 6.6%, New York represented 4.8%, Florida represented 4.7%, and Pennsylvania represented 4.2%, respectively. No other state represented more than 4% of our total SME card processing volume. Our geographic concentration tends to reflect the states with the highest economic activity, as well as certain states where we have historically maintained a stronger sales force. This merchant and geographic diversification makes us less sensitive to changing economic conditions in any particular industry or region. We believe that the loss of any single SME merchant would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Payment Processing Platforms

We have developed a number of proprietary payment processing systems to increase our operating efficiencies and customize our product offerings. These include:

HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS, our internal front-end authorization systems, provide authorization and data capture services that distribute processing and merchant data to card networks, merchants, and our sales force and customer service staff. These systems provide us greater control of the electronic transaction process, allow us to offer our merchants a differentiated product offering, and offer economies of scale that we expect will increase our long-term profitability.

HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS enable us to provide more customized solutions to merchants that demand customized front-end solutions and take advantage of new terminal hardware platforms and technology like our End-to-End Encryption solution (known as "E3"), as well as near field communication ("NFC") and Europay, MasterCard and Visa ("EMV") authentication standards. HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS offer our merchants authorization and capture services in multiple industry verticals to a variety of point-of-sale systems including legacy terminals, petroleum pumps, middleware technologies, PC-integrated POS systems and a web-based virtual terminals. In addition, HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS offer third-party point-of-sale developers multiple protocols to meet their needs for integration.

During 2013, approximately 96% of the transactions of our SME merchants were processed on HPS Exchange, and 96% of all merchant accounts established in 2013 were placed on the system. During 2013, 100% of the transactions for our Network Services merchants were processed on VAPS or NWS.

Passport, our internally-developed back-end processing system, provides one settlement platform for SME merchants and Network Services merchants, providing value-added features such as Optimized Funding, multi-bank Next Day Funding, automated dispute resolution, advanced interchange management and single portal integration via InfoCentral. In addition, Passport generates significant cost savings and allows us greater economies of scale, by replacing third party processors' per-transaction charges with more of a fixed-cost structure. This structure allows per-transaction savings as increasing numbers of transactions are processed on Passport. In addition, Passport provides us the opportunity to offer our merchants significantly greater amounts of information regarding their processing characteristics, in more usable formats, and to offer our services to larger merchants. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, substantially all of our SME merchants were processing on Passport, and all of our Network Services settlement merchants were on Passport.

Security, Disaster Recovery and Back-up Systems

In the course of our operations, we compile and maintain a large database of information relating to our merchants and their transactions, and large amounts of card information cross our network as we authorize transactions. We have placed significant emphasis on maintaining a high level of security in order to attempt to protect the information of our merchants and their customers. We maintain current updates of network and operating system security releases and virus definitions, and have engaged a third party to regularly test our systems for vulnerability to unauthorized access. Further, we encrypt the cardholder numbers and merchant data that are stored in our databases using the strongest commercially available encryption methods.

Our internal network configuration provides multiple layers of security to isolate our databases from unauthorized access and implements detailed security rules to limit access to all critical systems.

Visa, STAR, NYCE and other debit card networks have established security guidelines for PIN-based debit transaction processing that is based upon ANSI standards that are published as the “ASC X9 TG-3 PIN Security Compliance Guideline.” We have a regularly scheduled Security Review of our Key Management Procedures against this standard that is performed by an external auditor.

Table of Contents

We also have engaged external auditors to perform an annual Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16 ("SSAE 16") review and publish our "Report on Controls Placed in Operation and Tests of Operating Effectiveness."

We operate our front-end processing, back-end processing, and other product platforms in two geographically dispersed outsourced data centers located in Texas and California. We establish system service level operational thresholds based on our large national merchants' requirements, and regularly exceed those levels, with system availability of greater than 99.9%. To achieve this standard, transactions are mirrored between the two data centers, and each center has the capacity to handle our full merchant transaction load. This duplicate processing capability ensures uninterrupted transaction processing during maintenance windows and other times processing may not be available. We regularly process through both data centers.

Sponsor Banks

Because we are not a "member bank" as defined by Visa and MasterCard, in order to process and settle these bankcard transactions for our merchants, we have entered into sponsorship agreements with member banks. Visa and MasterCard rules restrict us from performing funds settlement or accessing merchant settlement funds and require that these funds be in the possession of the member bank until the merchant is funded.

A sponsorship agreement permits us to route Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions under the member bank's control and identification numbers to clear credit and signature debit bankcard transactions through Visa and MasterCard. A sponsorship agreement also enables us to settle funds between cardholders and merchants by delivering funding files to the member bank, which in turn transfers settlement funds to the merchants' bank accounts. These restrictions place the settlement assets and liabilities under the control of the member bank.

The sponsorship agreements with the member banks require, among other things, that we abide by the bylaws and regulations of the Visa and MasterCard networks. If we were to breach a sponsorship agreement, the sponsor banks can terminate the agreement and, under the terms of the agreement, we would have 180 days to identify an alternative sponsor bank. As of December 31, 2013, we have not been notified of any such issues by our sponsor banks, Visa or MasterCard.

At December 31, 2013, we were party to three bank sponsorship agreements.

Our primary sponsor bank for SME merchant processing is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"). Either Wells Fargo or we can terminate the agreement if the other party materially breaches the agreement, including non-payment of fees due for processing our monthly settlement of transactions. The agreement may also be terminated if the other party files for or enters bankruptcy, if either party is required to discontinue performing its services under the agreement based upon a final order of a state or federal court or regulatory body or if there is a change in the majority ownership of the other party. Wells Fargo may terminate the agreement with us if we breach the by-laws and regulations of Visa or MasterCard, if either our registration or Wells Fargo's membership with Visa or MasterCard terminates, if any federal or state regulatory authority requests that the agreement be terminated or that Wells Fargo terminate its services or if applicable laws or regulations change to prevent Wells Fargo from performing its services under the agreement. Upon termination of the agreement for any reason, we will have 180 days to convert to another sponsor bank. The agreement, which we entered into with Wells Fargo on February 8, 2012, expires on February 8, 2016 and will automatically renew for successive three-year periods unless either party provides six months' prior written notice of non-renewal to the other party. The sponsorship agreements we have with our other two sponsor banks involve substantially the same terms as apply with Wells Fargo.

In November 2009, we entered into a sponsorship agreement with The Bancorp Bank ("TBB") to sponsor processing for our Network Services merchants. The agreement with TBB expires in February 2015 and will automatically renew for successive one-year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other party.

On October 1, 2013, we transferred sponsorship and processing for a portfolio of SME merchants from Heartland Bank to TBB. We were party to a prior sponsorship agreement with Heartland Bank, an unrelated third party, to sponsor SME merchant processing. In March 2013, we notified Heartland Bank of our intention to terminate the sponsorship agreement and made arrangements for continuing sponsorship with TBB under the terms of the November 2009

sponsorship agreement.

On March 24, 2011, we entered into a sponsorship agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware to sponsor processing for certain of our Network Services merchants. The agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware expires in March 2016 and will automatically renew for successive one-year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other.

5

Table of Contents

Following is a breakout of our total Visa and MasterCard settled card processing volume for the month of December 2013 by percentage processed under our individual bank sponsorship agreements:

| Sponsor Bank | % of December 2013 Card Processing Volume |
|------------------------|---|
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 67% |
| The Bancorp Bank | 22% |
| Barclays Bank Delaware | 11% |

Customer Acquisition

Unlike many of our competitors who rely on Independent Sales Organizations or salaried salespeople and telemarketers, we have built a direct, commission-based sales force. Our sales model divides the United States into six primary markets overseen by Executive Directors of Business Development. These Executive Directors are responsible for hiring Relationship Managers and increasing the sales of our products in their markets.

As of December 31, 2013, we employed 844 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers in 50 states plus the District of Columbia. This compares to a Relationship Manager and Territory Manager count of 739 at December 31, 2012. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, we employed an additional 58 Senior Product Advisors ("SPAs"), primarily payroll specialists, who have augmented our generalist sales force.

We designed our sales force compensation structure to motivate our Relationship Managers to establish profitable long-term relationships with low-risk merchants and create a predictable and recurring revenue stream. Compensation for Relationship Managers is entirely commission-based, with commissions paid as a percentage of the financial value of new merchant accounts installed, which is measured in terms of the annual gross margin we estimate we will receive from the merchant accounts installed. This is calculated by deducting the cost of processing (on a per-transaction basis) and servicing (measured in dollars per month) from the expected first-year net revenue the account is expected to generate.

The Relationship Manager will be paid a signing bonus equal to 50% of the first 12 months' estimated gross margin. The Relationship Manager will also receive, beginning the month installed, 15% of the gross margin generated from the merchant each month as residual commissions for as long as the merchant remains our customer.

In addition, the Division Manager will receive an amount equal to 25% of the amount paid to the Relationship Manager (split with a Territory Manager, if one exists for the account). The Executive Director will receive an amount equal to 25% of the amount paid to the Division Manager. For example, if a merchant account has \$1,000 of estimated annual gross margin for the first twelve months and estimated monthly gross margin of \$83.33, our sales force would be compensated as follows:

Signing Bonus:

| | | | |
|--|----------|------|---|
| Estimated gross margin for first 12 months | \$ 1,000 | | |
| Signing bonus paid to: | | | |
| Relationship Manager | \$500 | 50.0 | % |
| Division Manager (plus Territory Manager) | \$125 | 12.5 | % |
| Executive Director | \$31 | 3.13 | % |

Residual Commission:

| | | | |
|---|---------|------|---|
| Estimated monthly gross margin | \$83.33 | | |
| Monthly residual commission paid to: | | | |
| Relationship Manager | \$12.50 | 15.0 | % |
| Division Manager (plus Territory Manager) | \$3.12 | 3.75 | % |
| Executive Director | \$0.78 | 0.94 | % |

Marketing

Our marketing efforts have historically focused on industry verticals and marketing partnerships. We focus our marketing efforts on industries in which we believe our direct sales model is most effective and on merchants with

certain key attributes. These attributes include owners who are typically on location, interact with customers, value a local sales presence, and consult with trade associations and other civic groups to make purchasing decisions. We also determine which additional

Table of Contents

markets to enter into based on the following criteria:

- Average potential customer revenue;
- Number of locations to be serviced;
- Underwriting risk; and
- Required technological upgrades.

We have focused significantly on the hospitality industry and, in particular, independent restaurants. The number of independent restaurants to which we provide our products and services was 42,069 as of December 31, 2013.

We have also historically had success in marketing our products and services through relationships with key trade associations, agent banks and value-added resellers.

As of December 31, 2013, we had preferred partner agreements with more than 250 trade associations, approximately 42 percent of which are in the hospitality industry. Of these partnerships, 46 are state restaurant associations and another 44 are state lodging associations. In addition, we are the American Hotel & Lodging Association's and National Restaurant Association's endorsed provider for credit card processing, gift card marketing and payroll services.

In exchange for an association's endorsements and recommendation of our products and services to their members, and upon the installation of a new merchant that is a member of the association, we pay to the trade association a signing bonus and residual commission.

We offer programs to banks across the United States that allow them access to leverage our extensive sales and support teams and other resources. The program provides our partner banks a source to refer their customers for merchant services as well as payroll processing and other electronic payments processing services. We offer on-site sales, training, installation, and on-going service and support to the referred merchants. In exchange for a bank's endorsement of our products and services, we typically pay the bank a monthly residual fee based on the referred merchant's processing volumes or margin. As of December 31, 2013, we provide these services to more than 1,587 banking locations in the United States.

In order to further market our products and services, we enter into arrangements with value-added resellers and third-party software developers. Value-added resellers typically sell complementary products and services such as hardware and software applications and point-of-sale hardware, software and communication network services to merchants in markets similar to ours. Our agreements with value-added resellers provide that, in exchange for their endorsement of our products and services and upon the installation of a new merchant referred by them, we will pay the value-added reseller a portion of the sales commission from the Relationship Manager responsible for that merchant and/or a transaction fee.

As we continue to expand our product offerings, we intend to introduce capabilities that will allow our systems to be compatible with third-party software developers while working to reduce merchants' third-party up-front costs for processing with us. We are committed to passing along our cost efficiencies to our merchants and their point-of-sale providers to encourage joint technology partnerships.

Merchant and Transaction Risk Management

We focus our sales efforts on low-risk bankcard merchants and have developed systems and procedures designed to minimize our exposure to potential merchant losses.

We have developed significant expertise in industries that we believe present relatively low risks as the customers are generally present and the products or services are generally delivered at the time the transaction is processed. These industries include restaurants, brick and mortar retailers, convenience and liquor stores, automotive sales and repair shops, gas stations, professional service providers, lodging establishments and others. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 25.2% of our SME merchants were restaurants, approximately 18.7% were brick and mortar retailers, approximately 12.7% were professional service providers, approximately 11.3% were convenience and liquor stores, approximately 7.6% were automotive sales and repair shops, approximately 3.2% were hotel and lodging establishments, and approximately 1.6% were gas stations.

Effective risk management helps us minimize merchant losses for the mutual benefit of our merchants and ourselves. Our risk management procedures also help protect us from fraud perpetrated by our merchants. We believe our knowledge and experience in dealing with attempted fraud has resulted in our development and implementation of effective risk management and fraud prevention systems and procedures for the types of fraud discussed in this section. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, we

7

Table of Contents

experienced losses of 0.41 basis points, 0.28 basis points and 0.76 basis points, respectively, of our SME card processing volume.

We employ the following systems and procedures to minimize our exposure to merchant and transaction fraud: Underwriting. Our sales force sends new applications to their regional service team for scoring and account set up. Higher-risk applications are routed to our credit underwriting department for review and screening. Our underwriting department's review of these applications serves as the basis for our decision whether to accept or reject a merchant account. The review also provides the criteria for establishing cash deposit or letter of credit requirements, processing limits, average transaction amounts and pricing, which assists us in monitoring merchant transactions for those accounts that exceed those pre-determined thresholds. The criteria set by our underwriting department also assist our risk management staff in advising merchants with respect to identifying and avoiding fraudulent transactions. Depending upon their experience level, our underwriting staff has the authority to render judgment on new applications or to take additional actions such as adjusting processing limits supported by prior processing history, analyzing average charge per transaction information or establishing cash deposits/letters of credit, reserves, and delayed funding requirements for new and existing merchants. Our underwriting department prepares accounts that are risk sensitive for our Credit Committee review. The Credit Committee consists of a Manager of Underwriting, Manager of Risk Review, Director of Underwriting and Executive Director of HSC Operations. Merchant accounts that exceed certain committee thresholds are reviewed by either our CEO, Vice Chairman or Chief of Operations. Our sponsor banks also review and approve our merchant underwriting policies and procedures to ensure compliance with Visa and MasterCard operating rules and regulations.

Merchant Monitoring. We employ several levels of merchant account monitoring to help us identify suspicious transactions and trends. Daily merchant activity is sorted into a number of customized reports by our systems. Our risk management team reviews any unusual activity highlighted by these reports, such as larger than normal transactions or credits, and monitors other parameters that are helpful in identifying suspicious activity. We have daily windows to decide if any transactions should be held for further review, which provides us time to interview a merchant or issuing bank to determine the validity of suspicious transactions. We have also developed a fraud management system for HPS Exchange that is fully integrated with our internal customer relationship management software and has detailed review capabilities to further streamline our monitoring of those transactions. We also place merchants who require special monitoring on alert status and have engaged a web crawling solution that scans all merchant websites for content and integrity.

Investigation and Loss Prevention. If a merchant exceeds any parameters established by our underwriting and/or risk management staff or violates regulations established by the applicable bankcard network or the terms of our merchant agreement, one of our investigators will identify the incident and take appropriate action to reduce our exposure to loss and the exposure of our merchant. This action may include requesting additional transaction information, withholding or diverting funds, verifying delivery of merchandise or even deactivating the merchant account. Additionally, Relationship Managers may be instructed to retrieve equipment owned by us.

Collateral. We require some of our merchants to establish cash deposits or letters of credit that we use to offset against liabilities we may incur. We hold such cash deposits or letters of credit for as long as we are exposed to a loss resulting from a merchant's payment processing activity. In addition, we maintain a 5-day delayed deposit policy on transactions processed by our Internet merchants and newly established merchants who have not previously processed bankcards to allow for additional risk monitoring. We also place a "hold" on batches containing questionable transactions, diverting the funds to a separate account pending review. As of December 31, 2013, these cash deposits and delayed and "held" batches totaled approximately \$7.7 million.

Industry Overview

The payment processing industry provides merchants with credit, debit, gift and loyalty card and other payment processing services, along with related information services. The industry continues to grow as a result of wider merchant acceptance, increased consumer use of bankcards and advances in payment processing and telecommunications technology. According to The Nilson Report, total expenditures for all card type transactions by U.S. consumers are expected to grow to \$6.0 trillion by 2017, representing a compound annual growth rate of 9.8%. The proliferation of bankcards has made the acceptance of bankcard payments a virtual necessity for many businesses,

regardless of size, in order to remain competitive. This increased use of bankcards and prepaid cards, enhanced technology initiatives, efficiencies derived from economies of scale and the availability of more sophisticated products and services to all market segments has resulted in a highly competitive and specialized industry.

The payment processing industry is dominated by a small number of large, fully-integrated payment processors that sell directly to, and handle the processing needs of, the nation's largest merchants. These processors include:

8

Table of Contents

First Data Corporation
Global Payments Inc.
Vantiv, Inc.
Chase Paymentech Solutions
Bank of America Merchant Services
Elavon, Inc.
Total Systems Services, Inc.

These integrated processors serve a broad market spectrum from large to small merchants and some provide banking, ATM and other payment-related services and systems in addition to card payment processing. Large national merchants with multiple locations and high volumes of bankcard transactions typically demand and receive the full range of payment processing services at low per-transaction costs.

SME merchants' payment processing needs generally are served by a large number of smaller payment processors, including banks and Independent Sales Organizations, that generally procure most of the payment processing services they offer from large payment processors. It is difficult, however, for banks and Independent Sales Organizations to customize payment processing services for the SME merchant on a cost-effective basis or to provide sophisticated value-added services.

Accordingly, services to the SME merchant market segment historically have been characterized by basic payment processing without the availability of the more customized and sophisticated processing, information-based services or customer service that is offered to large merchants. The continued growth in bankcard transactions is expected to cause SME merchants to increasingly value sophisticated payment processing and information services similar to those provided to large merchants.

We compete with other providers of payment processing services on the basis of the following factors:

- Sales force effectiveness
- Range of product offering
- Quality of service
- Reliability of service
- Professional association endorsements
- Ability to evaluate, undertake and manage risk
- Speed in approving merchant applications
- Price
- Brand name

Some of our large competitors have substantially greater capital resources than we have and operate as subsidiaries of financial institutions or bank holding companies, which may allow them on a consolidated basis to own and conduct depository and other banking activities that we do not have the regulatory authority to own or conduct.

Since they are affiliated with financial institutions or banks, these competitors may not incur the costs associated with being sponsored by a bank for registration with card networks and they can settle transactions quickly for the merchants with a deposit account at the bank.

Competitive Advantage

We believe our competitive strengths related to card payment processing, particularly SME, include the following:

Large, Experienced, Efficient, Direct Sales Force

We sell and market our SME card payment processing services through a nationwide direct sales force of 844 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers, and 58 Senior Product Advisors who work exclusively for us. Many of our competitors rely on Independent Sales Organizations that often generate merchant accounts for multiple payment processing companies simultaneously. Our sales professionals have local merchant relationships and industry-specific knowledge that allow them to effectively compete for merchants. These relationships are also supported by our customer service and support teams located in our service center.

Recurring and Predictable Revenue

9

Table of Contents

We generate recurring revenue in most of our businesses and products. This recurring revenue grows as the number of transactions or dollar volume processed for an SME merchant increases or as we add new SME merchants and as we add transactions or merchants in Network Services. In 2013, approximately 91% of our SME card processing volume came from merchants we installed in 2012 and earlier.

Internal Growth

We grow our SME payment processing business exclusively through internal expansion by generating new SME merchant contracts submitted by our own direct sales force. We believe our control of sales, underwriting and servicing both enhances our SME merchant retention and reduces our risks. We also believe that internally generated SME merchant contracts generally are of a higher quality and are more predictable than contracts acquired from third parties, and the costs associated with such contracts generally are lower than the costs associated with contracts acquired from third parties.

Strong Position and Substantial Experience in Our Target Markets

As of December 31, 2013, we were providing card payment processing services to 166,697 active SME merchants located across the United States. We believe our understanding of the needs of SME merchants and the risks inherent in doing business with them, combined with our efficient direct sales force, provides us with a competitive advantage over larger service providers that access this market segment indirectly. We also believe that we have a competitive advantage over service providers of a similar or smaller size that may lack our extensive experience and resources, and so do not benefit from the economies of scale that we have achieved.

At December 31, 2013, we also provided card payment processing services to approximately 1,024 Network Services merchants with approximately 42,669 locations. These Network Services merchants are predominately in the petroleum industry. We believe that our understanding of the processing needs of petroleum merchants and the products we offer them provides us with a competitive advantage.

Expertise and Focus

Historically, we have focused our sales efforts on SME merchants who have certain key attributes and on industries in which we believe our direct sales model is most effective and the risks associated with card processing are relatively low. These attributes include owners who are typically on location, interact with customers in person, value a local sales and servicing presence and often consult with trade associations and other civic groups to help make purchasing decisions.

To further promote our products and services, we have entered into referral arrangements with various trade associations, with an emphasis on state restaurant and hospitality groups. We believe that these partnerships have enabled us to gain exposure and credibility within the restaurant industry and have provided us with opportunities to market our products to new merchants.

Our historical focus on SME merchants has diversified our merchant portfolio and we believe has reduced the risks associated with revenue concentration. In 2013, no single SME merchant represented more than 0.81% of our total SME Visa and Mastercard processing volume, consistent with prior years.

Our Network Services business has further diversified our total merchant portfolio adding a substantial base of large national merchants, predominately in the petroleum industry.

Merchant-centric Culture

We have built a corporate culture and established practices that we believe improve the quality of services and products we provide to our merchants. We developed and endorsed The Merchant Bill of Rights, an advocacy initiative that details ten principles we believe should characterize all merchants' processing relationships. The Merchant Bill of Rights allows our sales team to differentiate our approach to bankcard processing and we believe that a focus on these principles will enhance our merchant relationships. We believe that our culture and practices allow us to maintain strong merchant relationships and differentiate ourselves from our competitors in obtaining new merchants.

Our merchant-centric culture spans from our sales force, which maintains a local market presence to provide rapid, personalized customer service, through our service center, which is segmented into regional teams to optimize

Table of Contents

responsiveness, and to our technology organization, which has developed a customer management interface and information system that alerts our Relationship Managers to any problems a merchant has reported and provides them with detailed information on the merchants in their portfolio. Additionally, we believe that we are one of the few companies that fully discloses our pricing to merchants. We think this approach contributes substantially to building long-term merchant relationships.

Scalable Operating Structure

Our scalable operating structure generally allows us to expand our operations without proportionally increasing our fixed and semi-fixed support costs. In addition, our front-end and back-end card processing technology platforms were designed with the flexibility to support significant growth and drive economies of scale with relatively low incremental costs. Most of our operating costs are related to the number of individuals we employ. We have in the past used, and expect in the future to use, technology to leverage our personnel, which should cause our personnel costs to increase at a lower rate than our card processing volume.

Advanced Technology

We employ information technology systems which use the Internet to improve management reporting, enrollment processes, customer service, sales management, productivity, merchant reporting and problem resolution. We believe that these systems help attract both new merchants and Relationship Managers and provide us with a competitive advantage over many of our competitors who rely on less flexible legacy systems.

We actively leverage the latest advances in technology to provide the best payments experience for merchants within liability levels that we believe exceed industry norms.

Heartland School Solutions

Heartland School Solutions provides cafeteria point of sale solutions to more than 29,000 schools, making us the largest provider of kindergarten through 12th grade ("K to 12") food-service technology in the nation. In conjunction with this core POS business, approximately 80% of our customer schools actively use Heartland's online prepayment solutions to allow parents to fund accounts for school lunches or other on-campus activities. Parents can opt to establish recurring payments with customized low balance thresholds, make one-time payments, or simply see what their students ate for lunch that day. With a consistently high annual renewal rate for its POS customers, those platforms serve as a reliable base on which to offer additional value-added products and services. We offer back office management software, hardware, annual technical support, and training to our customer school districts.

Heartland School Solutions has been built through a series of five acquisitions in 2010, 2011 and 2012. On June 29, 2012, Heartland completed its latest K to 12 acquisition of Rochester, NY based Nutrikids, Inc. Following the acquisitions, we focused on merging the management and operational structures of the five acquired businesses that make up the School Solutions group. The more than 200 combined School Solutions professionals now operate under a single management structure and go-to-market strategy. While continuing to maintain certain legacy POS platforms for the foreseeable future, we combined all online prepayment activity into two platforms: MySchoolBucks and MyLunchMoney. Together these two systems have more than 4 million registered users. During 2013, we made a significant investment in our consumer-focused marketing strategy in order to encourage more widespread adoption of our online payments products, which resulted in organic growth of total volume by 16% between 2012 and 2013. Revenues for Heartland School Solutions were \$50.5 million, \$36.6 million, and \$11.2 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012, and 2011.

The K to 12 food service technology industry is competitive, with our largest competitor being Horizon Software International and others being much smaller, privately-held companies. Competition in the K to 12 food-service technology industry is primarily based on the products and services offered, innovation, customer service, reputation and price. Products must keep pace with government regulations for schools. Payment processing is becoming an increasingly important element of the overall offering for schools, which provides us with a competitive advantage. We believe we are well positioned to gain new school customers and generate additional revenue with our existing customers primarily through greater adoption of payment processing services.

Heartland Ovation Payroll

We operate a full-service nationwide payroll processing service. Payroll processing revenues were \$44.6 million, \$21.4 million and \$19.5 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012 and 2011. Our payroll services include check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits, accounting documentation and human resources information. In order to

Table of Contents

improve operating efficiencies and ease-of-use for our customers and to decrease our own processing costs, we offer electronic and paperless payroll processing that allows an employer to submit its periodic payroll information to us via the Internet. If a customer chooses the online option, all reports and interactions between the employer and Heartland Ovation Payroll can be managed electronically, eliminating the need for cumbersome paperwork. Approximately 65% of our payroll customers currently submit their information electronically. However, if a customer chooses not to submit their payroll data online, they may submit such information via phone or facsimile. Regardless of input method, clients can choose to have Heartland Ovation Payroll print and ship their payroll package or to receive this information electronically.

On December 31, 2012, we acquired Ovation, adding over 10,000 customers to our payroll business. As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we provided payroll processing services to 24,088, 22,553 and 11,841 customers, respectively. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, we installed 5,797, 3,399 and 3,723 new payroll processing customers, respectively.

We operate a comprehensive payroll management platform, which we refer to as HOP (formerly PlusOne Payroll), which streamlines all aspects of the payroll process to enable time and cost savings. We consider our HOP payroll platform to be state-of-the art, enabling us to process payroll on a large scale and provide customizable, cost-effective solutions for businesses of all sizes. HOP enables faster processing and continuous updates to help businesses remain compliant with payroll, tax and human resources regulations. The HOP platform features web-hosted access, enabling businesses and their accountants to securely access all payroll data from virtually anywhere with SSL-encryption protection. It also provides robust, easy-to-use reporting for better business analysis. HOP is equipped to interface with certain leading providers of accounting services and time and attendance applications, as well as restaurant and retail point-of-sale systems. Our platform also includes an Employee Self-Serve web portal that allows employees to review copies of their paychecks, vouchers, payroll detail and maintain their individual demographic information. The acquisition of Ovation added scale to our HOP payroll platform, leveraging operating costs, and also added experienced payroll management, a new specialized payroll sales approach, an affinity partner referral network, and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

The payroll processing services industry is highly competitive, with services provided by outsourced providers like Heartland Ovation Payroll, but also accounting firms and self-service options. Overall the industry is comprised of two major segments: large national full-service payroll providers, and numerous much smaller national, regional, local and on-line providers. While large national payroll service firms such as ADP, Paychex, Ceridian, and Intuit are highly recognized, their combined market share in the industry approximates only 15%. We compete with both segments.

Competition in the payroll processing industry is primarily based on service responsiveness, payroll accuracy, quality, reputation, range of product offering and price. The payroll industry faces continually evolving tax, regulatory and technology environments, which for smaller competitors create increasingly complex tax and in the future Affordable Care Act compliance, technology, service, and platform development challenges that they may lack the technical and financial resources to overcome. We believe Heartland Ovation Payroll is well-positioned to continue to gain payroll customers from those challenged providers. Additionally, we believe our competitive position is enhanced through our ability to offer payment processing services to payroll customers and thus offer an integrated services suite which will provide our customers with efficient and convenient options.

Campus Solutions

In December 2012, we added to our Campus Solutions segment by acquiring ECSI, which provides a suite of solutions to support administrative services for higher education including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. ECSI's core services support the management, payment and collection of student loans including Perkins and institutional financing. Since its founding in 1972, ECSI has printed and mailed 500 million billing statements, processed over 400 million tuition and loan payments and managed accounts for approximately 7 million students and borrowers. ECSI also processes nearly 5 million tax documents every year. With ECSI, our Campus Solutions currently serves over 2,000 colleges and universities across multiple higher education sectors including Non-Profit, For-Profit, Private, and Community Colleges.

Campus Solutions also provides open- and closed-loop payment solutions for college or university campuses to efficiently process small value electronic transactions. Besides payment processing, our One-Card product enables personal identification, door access, cashless vending transactions, cashless laundry, meal plans and cashless printing at campus facilities. Our innovative Give Something Back Network adds Internet and phone accessible closed-loop debit card based financial services to the students, faculty, staff and local community merchants of an educational institution. In addition, our Refund Select program, which we introduced in 2010, addresses the major operational needs of campuses by providing an open-loop debit card platform onto which schools will load financial aid refunds. At December 31, 2013, we had thirty colleges enrolled, representing 150,000 students and over \$280 million in annual reimbursement, under the Refund Select

Table of Contents

program. We currently have 208 OneCard and Refund Select college and university accounts. Revenues for Campus Solutions were \$36.2 million, \$8.1 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The Campus Solutions industry is competitive with no single competitor providing the complete set of solutions that we provide. However, companies such as Higher One, NelNet and Tuition Management Systems are larger competitors that compete with some of the solutions provided by us. Competition is primarily based on the products and services offered, innovation, customer service, reputation and price. Products must be compliant with various government regulations which impedes new, smaller entrants. We believe we're well positioned to gain customers and generate additional revenue by selling additional products to our existing base of customers.

Micropayments

We began addressing the small value transaction market in 2006. Revenues for Micropayments were \$12.6 million, \$8.2 million and \$7.2 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012 and 2011. We manufacture and sell solutions comprising unattended online wireless credit card based payment systems, and unattended value top-up systems for off-line closed-loop smart (chip) card based payment systems. Our electronic cash systems provide small value transaction processing for laundromat machines, vending machines, and cash registers, which are located in apartment laundries, cruise ships, corporate and university campuses, and penitentiaries. These systems offer consumers convenient ways to use their debit and credit cards in laundromats with our new Waverider system, to purchase and reload electronic cash cards, and to spend the value on the card for small value purchases in both attended and unattended point of sale locations. In addition, we provide merchants financial settlement between the value (electronic cash card) issuer and the vendor/merchant who accepts the card as payment. We believe that there is increasing consumer demand for, and merchant interest in, card-based solutions for small denomination transactions, and expect to make additional investments in the future in developing solutions in this area.

The unattended payments industry is competitive with the largest competitor being USA Technologies. Other competitors include vending machine manufacturers and privately-held companies focusing on specific vertical markets. Competition is primarily based on the products and services offered, ease of installation and setup, innovation and price. As payment processing is a core component of the services offered, we believe that we have a distinct advantage over many of our competitors. As unattended payments are becoming more commonplace and expected, we believe that we will continue to add new customers.

Heartland Marketing Solutions

We continue to leverage our November 2008 acquisition of Chockstone, Inc. to provide a suite of marketing solutions including gift and rewards. Revenues for Heartland Marketing Solutions were \$9.6 million, \$13.4 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012 and 2011. Through our Marketing Solutions group, we deliver a full suite of mobile and card-based marketing services to merchant locations through real-time communications with the merchant point-of-sale, enabling us to leverage existing installations across our merchant base and enhancing our overall value proposition to the merchant community. In addition to servicing the SME merchant market, the Marketing Solutions group also provides gift and rewards services to regional and national brands.

The Marketing Solutions space continues to evolve and become even more relevant as merchants are looking for deeper engagement with their customers. Heartland Marketing Solutions has spent 2013 laying the groundwork to support enhancements such as mobile presentment, data & analytics and integration into third party mobile applications. As of December 31, 2013, Heartland Marketing Solutions has signed over 10,840 merchant locations and loaded \$83 million on approximately 2.3 million consumer gift cards, compared to 10,239 merchant locations and \$68 million loaded on approximately 2.0 million cards as of December 31, 2012.

Virtualization of the gift card space continues to grow as a means of increasing revenue generated from gift sales. The cash value on virtual cards is expected to reach \$10 billion in the U.S. in 2015, up from less than \$500 million in 2011, says consulting firm Aite Group. A survey of broad sector retailers by Aberdeen Group in late 2012 found that 21% of those retailers offer a digital gift card that can be sent via email, and 55% plan to implement such a digital gift card program in the next 12 to 18 months. In addition, there has been prolific emergence of mobile application providers to enable merchants to create a branded mobile application to compete with a "Starbucks-like" solution, which

is considered the gold standard in mobile payment applications. Heartland Marketing Solutions has reacted by developing a robust set of Application Programming Interfaces ("APIs") to enable integration in the fast moving market to allow for quick integration into multiple partners. This option enables merchants to partner with any application developer to integrate gift and rewards into the application of their choosing. In addition, Heartland Marketing Solutions is piloting a mobile application solution in the hospitality space that

Table of Contents

enables merchants to offer consumers a branded application with robust functionality such as gift and rewards management, location look up and mobile ordering.

The core gift space, historically defined as merchant branded gift card, is highly competitive. Most solutions are currently sold and provisioned by merchant processors. However, with the emergence of mobile we are seeing new creative gift providers emerge, although with relatively slow market adoption. Competition usually focuses on one of two markets depending on their merchant base; medium to large merchant providers where solutions are highly flexible, feature rich and allow for customization, and small merchant providers where solutions are still feature rich but have been streamlined to minimize implementation costs. Competition includes First Data Gift Card, StoredValueSystems (owned by ComData), Valutec (owned by FIS) and Givex. We believe that very few gift card providers have a robust rewards platform, so merchants usually have to go to two different providers to satisfy their gift and rewards needs. Heartland Marketing Solutions is one of the few providers whose platform was specifically built to support both gift and rewards implementations.

Intellectual Property

Most of our services and products are based on proprietary software or processes that are updated to meet customer needs and remain competitive. Our proprietary software is critical, as it allows us to offer distinctive services and products to customers, which differentiates us from our competitors. We own and are pursuing numerous patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. In addition, we own various trademarks and have applied for numerous others.

WHERE YOU CAN GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy our reports or other filings made with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room, located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You can also access these reports and other filings electronically on the SEC's web site, www.sec.gov.

In addition, certain of our SEC filings, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports, can be viewed, free of charge, and printed from the investor information section of our website at www.heartlandpaymentsystems.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after filing with the SEC. Certain materials relating to our corporate governance, including our code of ethics for chief executive and senior financial officers, code of business conduct and ethics, corporate governance guidelines and board committee charters, are also available in the investor relations section of our website.

The information on the websites listed above, is not and should not be considered part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report or document we file with the SEC and is not incorporated by reference in this document or any other report or document we file with the SEC. These websites are, and are only intended to be, inactive textual references.

In May 2013, we submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the CEO certification required by Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual without qualification.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the following risks and other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and other SEC filings before you decide whether to buy our common stock. If any of the events contemplated by the following discussion of risks should occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could suffer significantly. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of the money you paid to buy our common stock.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Unauthorized disclosure of merchant, cardholder or other user data, whether through breach of our computer systems (such as the Processing System Intrusion discussed in Item 3 Legal Proceedings) or otherwise, could expose us to liability and protracted and costly litigation, as well as harm our reputation.

We collect and store sensitive data about merchants, including names, addresses, social security numbers, driver's license numbers and checking account numbers. In addition, we maintain a database of cardholder and other user data relating to specific transactions, including bankcard numbers, in order to process the transactions and for fraud prevention. Any loss of

Table of Contents

data by us or our merchants could result in significant fines and sanctions by the card networks or governmental bodies, which could have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial position and/or results of operations. In addition, a significant breach could result in our being prohibited from processing transactions for card networks.

Our computer systems have been, and could be in the future, subject to penetration by hackers and our encryption of data may not prevent unauthorized use. In this event, we may be subject to liability, including claims for unauthorized purchases with misappropriated bankcard information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims. We could also be subject to liability for claims relating to misuse of personal information, such as unauthorized marketing purposes. These claims also could result in protracted and costly litigation. In addition, we could be subject to penalties or sanctions from the card networks, or our reputation could be harmed, which may deter clients from using our services.

Although we generally require that our agreements with our service providers who have access to merchant and customer data include confidentiality obligations that restrict these parties from using or disclosing any customer or merchant data except as necessary to perform their services under the applicable agreements, we cannot assure you that these contractual measures will prevent the unauthorized use or disclosure of data. In addition, our agreements with financial institutions require us to take certain protective measures to ensure the confidentiality of merchant and consumer data. Any failure to adequately enforce these protective measures could result in protracted and costly litigation.

If we fail to comply with the applicable requirements of the Visa and MasterCard bankcard networks, Visa or MasterCard could seek to fine us, suspend us or terminate our registrations. Fines could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, and if these registrations are terminated, we will not be able to conduct our primary business.

On occasion, we have received notices of non-compliance and fines, which have typically related to excessive chargebacks by a merchant or data security failures on the part of a merchant. If we are unable to recover fines from our merchants, we will experience a financial loss. The termination of our registration, or any changes in the Visa or MasterCard rules that would impair our registration, could require us to stop providing Visa and MasterCard payment processing services, which would make it impossible for us to conduct our business on its current scale.

We rely on sponsor banks, which have substantial discretion with respect to certain elements of our business practices, in order to process bankcard transactions. If these sponsorships are terminated and we are unable to secure new bank sponsors, we will not be able to conduct significant portions of our business.

Over 73% of our revenue is derived from processing Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions. Because we are not a bank, we are not eligible for membership in the Visa and MasterCard networks and are, therefore, unable to directly access the bankcard networks. Instead, Visa and MasterCard operating regulations require us to be sponsored by a member bank in order to process bankcard transactions. We are currently registered with Visa and MasterCard through Wells Fargo Bank N.A. since 2012, The Bancorp Bank since November 2009, and Barclays Bank Delaware since March 2011.

Our sponsorship agreements with Wells Fargo Bank N.A., The Bancorp Bank and Barclays Bank Delaware expire February 2016, February 2015 and March 2016, respectively. If our sponsorships are terminated and we are unable to secure another bank sponsor or sponsors, which could arise due to future consolidation of sponsor banks or because sponsor banks exit this line of business, we will not be able to process Visa and MasterCard transactions. Furthermore, some agreements give the sponsor banks substantial discretion in approving certain aspects of our business practices, including our solicitation, application and qualification procedures for merchants, the terms of our agreements with merchants and our customer service levels. Our sponsor banks' discretionary actions under these agreements could be detrimental to our operations.

Current or future bankcard network rules and practices could adversely affect our business.

We are registered with the Visa and MasterCard networks through our bank sponsors as an Independent Sales Organization with Visa and a Member Service Provider with MasterCard. We are currently a sales agent and service agent for American Express and a registered acquirer with Discover. The rules of the bankcard networks are set by their boards, which may be strongly influenced by card issuers, and some of those card issuers are our competitors with respect to these processing services. Many banks directly or indirectly sell processing services to merchants in direct competition with us. These banks could attempt, by virtue of their influence on the networks, to alter the networks' rules or policies to the detriment of non-members like us. The termination of our registration or our status as an Independent Sales Organization or Member Service Provider, or any changes in card network or issuer rules that limit our ability to provide payment processing services, could have an adverse effect on our bankcard processing volumes, revenues or operating costs. In addition, if we were precluded from processing Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions, we would lose the majority of our revenues.

Table of Contents

Any new laws and regulations, or revisions made to existing laws, regulations, or other industry standards affecting our business may have an unfavorable impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Our business is impacted by laws and regulations that affect the bankcard industry. The number of new and proposed regulations has increased significantly, particularly pertaining to interchange fees on bankcard transactions, which are paid to the bank card issuer. In July 2010, Congress passed The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which significantly changed financial regulation. Changes included restricting amounts of debit card fees that certain issuer banks can charge merchants and allowing merchants to offer discounts for different payment methods. The impact which new requirements imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act or other new regulation will have on our operating results is difficult to determine, as their implementation could result in the need for us to modify our services and processing platforms. As new requirements are mandated, these regulations could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Furthermore, the requirements of the new regulations and the timing of their effective dates could result in changes in our clients' business practices that may alter their delivery of their products and services to consumers and the timing of their investment decisions, which could change the demand for our services as well as alter the type or volume of transactions that we process on behalf of our clients.

Additionally, all persons engaged in commerce, including, but not limited to, us and our merchant and financial institution customers, are subject to Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices, or UDAP. The Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, has authority to take action against nonbanks that engage in UDAP and to the extent we are processing payments for a merchant engaged in UDAP, we may be subject to action by the FTC.

On July 26, 2011, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the U.S. Department of the Treasury, or FinCEN, issued a final rule regarding the applicability of the Bank Secrecy Act's regulations to “prepaid access” products and services. This rulemaking clarifies the anti-money laundering obligations for entities engaged in the provision and sale of prepaid services such as prepaid cards. We have registered with FinCEN as a “money services business-provider of prepaid access” in accordance with the rule. Notwithstanding previously implemented anti-money laundering procedures pursuant to various contractual obligations, the rule increases our regulatory risks and, as with other regulatory requirements, violations of the rule could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

These and other laws and regulations could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, even an inadvertent failure to comply with laws and regulations, given rapidly evolving social expectations of corporate fairness, could damage our business or our reputation.

Governmental regulations designed to protect or limit access to consumer information could adversely affect our ability to effectively provide our services to merchants.

Governmental bodies in the United States and abroad have adopted, or are considering the adoption of, laws and regulations restricting the transfer of, and safeguarding, non-public personal information. For example, in the United States, all financial institutions must undertake certain steps to ensure the privacy and security of consumer financial information. While our operations are subject to certain provisions of these privacy laws, we have limited our use of consumer information solely to providing services to other businesses and financial institutions. We limit sharing of non-public personal information to that necessary to complete the transactions on behalf of the consumer and the merchant and to that permitted by federal and state laws. In connection with providing services to the merchants and financial institutions that use our services, we are required by regulations and contracts with our merchants to provide assurances regarding the confidentiality and security of non-public consumer information. These contracts require periodic audits by independent companies regarding our compliance with industry standards and best practices established by regulatory guidelines. The compliance standards relate to our infrastructure, components, and operational procedures designed to safeguard the confidentiality and security of non-public consumer personal

information shared by our clients with us. Our ability to maintain compliance with these standards and satisfy these audits will affect our ability to attract and maintain business in the future. The cost of such systems and procedures may increase in the future and could adversely affect our ability to compete effectively with other similarly situated service providers.

Global economics, political and other conditions may adversely affect trends in consumer spending, which may adversely impact the our revenue and profitability.

A recessionary economic environment could have a negative impact on our merchants, which may, in turn, adversely impact our revenue and profitability, particularly if the recessionary environment disproportionately affects some of the market

Table of Contents

segments that represent a larger portion of our card processing volume, like restaurants. If our merchants make fewer sales of their products and services, we will have fewer transactions to process, resulting in lower revenue. In addition, we have a certain amount of fixed and semi-fixed costs, including rent, processing contractual minimums and salaries, which could limit our ability to quickly adjust costs and respond to changes in our business and the economy.

In a recessionary environment our merchants could also experience a higher rate of business closures, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition. During prior recessions, including the 2008-2009 recession, we experienced negative same-store sales growth (or contraction) and an increase in business closures. In the event of a closure of a merchant, we are unlikely to receive our fees for any transactions processed by that merchant in its final month of operation.

We have faced, and will in the future face, chargeback liability when our merchants refuse or cannot reimburse chargebacks resolved in favor of their customers, reject losses when our merchants go out of business, and merchant fraud. We cannot accurately anticipate these liabilities, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

In the event a billing dispute between a cardholder and a merchant is not resolved in favor of the merchant, the transaction is normally “charged back” to the merchant and the purchase price is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If we or our clearing banks are unable to collect such amounts from the merchant's account, or if the merchant refuses or is unable, due to closure, bankruptcy or other reasons, to reimburse us for the chargeback, we bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder. The risk of chargebacks is typically greater with those merchants that promise future delivery of goods and services rather than delivering goods or rendering services at the time of payment. We may experience significant losses from chargebacks in the future. Any increase in chargebacks not paid by our merchants may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Reject losses arise from the fact that we typically collect our fees from our SME merchants on the first day after the monthly billing period. This results in the build-up of a substantial receivable from our customers, which significantly exceeds the receivables of any of our competitors which assess their fees on a daily basis. If a merchant has gone out of business during the billing period, changes their demand deposit account, or institutes blocks on its demand deposit account, we may be unable to collect such fees. In addition, if our sponsor bank is unable, due to system disruption or other failure, to collect our fees from our merchants, we would face a substantial loss.

We have potential liability for fraudulent bankcard transactions initiated by merchants. Merchant fraud occurs when a merchant knowingly uses a stolen or counterfeit bankcard or card number to record a false sales transaction, processes an invalid bankcard or intentionally fails to deliver the merchandise or services sold in an otherwise valid transaction. We have established systems and procedures designed to detect and reduce the impact of merchant fraud, but we cannot assure you that these measures are or will be effective. It is possible that incidents of fraud could increase in the future. Failure to effectively manage risk and prevent fraud would increase our chargeback liability. Increases in chargebacks could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We incurred charges relating to chargebacks, reject losses and merchant fraud of \$3.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$5.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We rely on various financial institutions to provide clearing services in connection with our settlement activities. If we are unable to maintain clearing services with these financial institutions and are unable to find a replacement, our business may be adversely affected.

We rely on various financial institutions to provide clearing services in connection with our settlement activities. If such financial institutions should stop providing clearing services, we must find other financial institutions to provide

those services. If we are unable to find a replacement financial institution we may no longer be able to provide processing services to certain customers, which could negatively impact our revenue and earnings.

Increased merchant attrition that we cannot offset with increased card processing volume from same store sales growth or new accounts would cause our revenues to decline.

We experience attrition in merchant card processing volume resulting from several factors, including business closures, transfers of merchants' accounts to our competitors and account closures that we initiate due to heightened credit risks. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we experienced average annualized attrition in our SME card processing volume of 12.9% , 12.8% and 13.5% , respectively. Substantially all of our SME processing contracts may be terminated by either party on relatively short notice. We cannot predict the level of attrition in the future, and it could increase.

Table of Contents

Increased attrition in merchant card processing volume may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to establish accounts with new merchants or otherwise increase our card processing volume in order to counter the effect of this attrition, our revenues will decline.

If we cannot pass increases in bankcard network interchange fees, assessments and transaction fees along to our merchants, our operating margins will be reduced.

We pay interchange fees and other network fees set by the bankcard networks to the card issuing bank and the bankcard networks for each transaction we process. From time to time, the bankcard networks increase the interchange fees and other network fees that they charge payment processors and the sponsor banks. At their sole discretion, our sponsor banks have the right to pass any increases in interchange fees on to us and have always done so in the past. We are allowed to, and in the past we have been able to, pass these fee increases along to our merchants through corresponding increases in our processing fees. However, if we are unable to do so in the future, our operating margins will be reduced.

The payment processing industry is highly competitive and we compete with certain firms that are larger and that have greater financial resources. Such competition could increase, which would adversely influence our prices to merchants, and as a result, our operating margins.

The market for payment processing services is highly competitive. Other providers of payment processing services have established a sizable market share in the small and mid-sized merchant processing sector. Maintaining our historic growth will depend on a combination of the continued growth in electronic payment transactions and our ability to increase our market share. The weakness of the current economic recovery could cause future growth in electronic payment transactions to slow compared to historical rates of growth and the Processing System Intrusion could negatively impact our ability to increase our market share. According to The Nilson Report, we accounted for approximately 4.5% of the 72.9 billion of purchase transactions processed by all bankcard acquirers in 2012. The competition we face may affect the prices we are able to charge. If the competition causes us to reduce the prices we charge, we will have to aggressively control our costs or our profit margins will decline. In addition, some of our competitors are financial institutions, subsidiaries of financial institutions or well-established payment processing companies. Our competitors that are financial institutions or subsidiaries of financial institutions do not incur the costs associated with being sponsored by a bank into the card networks and can settle transactions more quickly for their merchants than we can for ours. These competitors have substantially greater financial, technological, management and marketing resources than we have. This may allow our competitors to offer more attractive fees to our current and prospective merchants, or other products or services that we do not offer. This could result in a loss of customers, greater difficulty attracting new customers, and a reduction in the price we can charge for our products and services.

The payment processing market in which we compete is subject to rapid technological changes. These markets are characterized by technological change, new product introductions, evolving industry standards and changing customer needs. In order to remain competitive, we are continually involved in a number of projects to offer our customers convenient and efficient solutions for their payment needs. These projects carry the risks associated with any development effort, including cost overruns, delays in delivery and performance problems. In the payment processing market these risks are even more acute. In addition, many of our competitors may have the ability to devote more financial and operational resources than we can to the development of new technologies and services, including Internet payment processing services and mobile payment processing services that provide improved operating functionality and features to their product and service offerings. If more successful than ours, their development efforts could render our product and services offerings less desirable to customers, again resulting in the loss of customers or a reduction in the price we could demand for our offerings.

Our systems and our third-party providers' systems may fail due to factors beyond our control, which could interrupt our service, cause us to lose business and increase our costs.

We depend on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer network systems, software, data center and telecommunications networks, as well as the systems of third parties. Our systems and operations or those of our third-party providers could be exposed to damage or interruption from, among other things, fire, natural disaster, power loss, telecommunications failure, unauthorized entry and computer viruses. Our property and business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate us for all losses or failures that may occur. Defects in our systems or those of third parties, errors or delays in the processing of payment transactions, telecommunications failures or other difficulties could result in:

• loss of revenues;

• loss of merchants, although our contracts with merchants do not expressly provide a right to terminate for business interruptions;

• loss of merchant and cardholder data;

• harm to our business or reputation;

Table of Contents

• exposure to fraud losses or other liabilities;
• negative publicity;
• additional operating and development costs; and/or
• diversion of technical and other resources.

If we fail to protect our intellectual property rights and defend ourselves from potential patent infringement claims, our competitive advantages may diminish or our ability to offer services to our customers could be restricted.

Our patents, trademarks, proprietary software and other intellectual property are critical to our future success. We rely on proprietary technology. It is possible that others will independently develop the same or similar technologies. Assurance of protecting our rights and proprietary information cannot be guaranteed. Our patents could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by others and may not be of sufficient scope or strength to provide any meaningful protection or advantage. If we are unable to maintain the proprietary nature of our technologies, we could lose competitive advantages and be materially adversely affected. Adverse determinations in judicial or administrative proceedings could prevent us from precluding others from selling competing services, and thereby may have a material adverse affect on our business and results of operations.

Additionally, claims have been made, and other claims may be made in the future, with regard to our services or technology infringing on a patent or other intellectual property rights of others. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that could be infringed by our services or technology. Any of these third parties could make a claim of infringement against us with respect to our services or technology. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, license usage or other intellectual property rights. Any claim from third parties may result in a limitation on our ability to use the intellectual property subject to these claims, restrict us from delivering the related service or result in an unfavorable settlement that could be material to our operating results, financial condition and cash flow. Also, in recent years, individuals and groups have been purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from companies like ours. Even if we believe that intellectual property related claims are without merit, defending against such claims is time consuming and expensive and could result in the diversion of the time and attention of our management and employees. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected services, enter into costly settlement or license agreements, pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our services. Even if we have an agreement for indemnification against such costs, the indemnifying party, if any in such circumstances, may be unable to uphold its contractual obligations. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our revenue and earnings could be adversely impacted.

Finally, we use open source software in connection with our technology and services. Companies that incorporate open source software into their products have, from time to time, faced claims challenging the ownership of open source software. As a result, we could be subject to suits by parties claiming ownership of what we believe to be open source software. Some open source software licenses require users who distribute open source software as part of their software to publicly disclose all or part of the source code to such software and/or make available any derivative works of the open source code on unfavorable terms or at no cost. While we monitor the use of open source software in our technology and services and try to ensure that none is used in a manner that would require us to disclose the source code to the related technology or service, such use could inadvertently occur and any requirement to disclose our proprietary source code could be harmful to our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Adverse conditions in markets in which we obtain a substantial amount of our card processing volume, such as our largest SME merchant markets of California, Texas, New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania, could negatively affect our results of operations.

Adverse economic or other conditions in California, Texas, New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania would negatively affect our revenue and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations. In December 2013, SME merchants located in the following states represented the following percentages of our SME processing volume:

California represented 9.6%, Texas represented 6.6%, New York represented 4.8%, Florida represented 4.7%, and Pennsylvania represented 4.2% . As a result of this geographic concentration of our merchants in these markets, we are exposed to the risks of downturns in these local economies and to other local conditions, which could adversely affect the operating results of our merchants in these markets. No other state represented more than 4% of our SME card processing volume in December 2013.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to attract additional qualified personnel as we grow, our business could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We are dependent upon the ability and experience of a number of our key personnel who have substantial experience with our operations, the rapidly changing payment processing industry and the selected markets in which we offer our services. It is possible that the loss of the services of one or a combination of our senior executives or key managers, particularly Robert O. Carr, our Chief Executive Officer, would have an adverse effect on our operations. Our success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, manage and retain other qualified middle management and technical and clerical personnel as we grow. We may not continue to attract or retain such personnel.

If we are unable to attract and retain qualified sales people, our business and financial results may suffer.

Unlike many of our competitors who rely on Independent Sales Organizations or salaried salespeople and telemarketers, we rely on a direct sales force whose compensation is entirely commission-based. Through our direct sales force of approximately 844 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers and 58 product specialists (SPAs), we seek to increase the number of merchants using our products and services. We intend to significantly increase the size of our sales force. Our success partially depends on the skill and experience of our sales force. If we are unable to retain and attract sufficiently experienced and capable Relationship Managers, Territory Managers and SPAs, our business and financial results may suffer.

Any potential strategic acquisitions or buyouts of our sales persons portfolio equity that we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

We expect to evaluate potential strategic acquisitions of complementary businesses, products or technologies. We may not be able to successfully finance or integrate any businesses, products or technologies that we acquire. Furthermore, the integration of any acquisition may divert management's time and resources from our core business and disrupt our operations. We may spend time and money on projects that do not increase our revenue. To the extent we pay the purchase price of any acquisition in cash, it would reduce our cash reserves or increase our borrowings, and to the extent the purchase price is paid with our stock, it could be dilutive to our stockholders. While we, from time to time, evaluate potential acquisitions of businesses, products and technologies, and anticipate continuing to make these evaluations, we have no present understandings, commitments or agreements with respect to any acquisitions.

We also regularly buy out the residual commissions of our Relationship Managers and sales managers, at multiples that typically amount to 2 1/2 years of such commissions. If the merchants included in the portfolios we purchase do not generate sufficient incremental margin after the purchase, we will not achieve a positive return on the cash expended.

Our operating results are subject to seasonality, which could result in fluctuations in our quarterly net income.

We have experienced in the past, and expect to continue to experience, seasonal fluctuations in our revenues as a result of consumer spending patterns. Historically our revenues have been strongest in our second and third quarters, and weakest in our first quarter.

We may become subject to additional U.S., state or local taxes that cannot be passed through to our merchants, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

Companies in the payment processing industry, including us, may become subject to taxation in various tax jurisdictions based on our net income or revenues. Taxing jurisdictions have not yet adopted uniform positions on taxation. If we are required to pay additional taxes on our net income or revenues and are unable to pass the tax expense through to our merchants, our costs would increase and our net income would be reduced.

We may need to raise additional funds to finance our future capital needs, which may prevent us from growing our business.

We may need to raise additional funds to finance our future capital needs, including developing new products and technologies, and operating expenses. We may need additional financing earlier than we anticipate if we:

- expand faster than our internally generated cash flow can support;
- purchase portfolio equity (the portion of our commissions that we have committed to our sales force for as long as the merchant processes with us, which we may buy out at an agreed multiple) from a large number of Relationship Managers or sales managers;
- add new merchant accounts faster than expected;
- need to reduce pricing in response to competition;
- repurchase our common stock or pay dividends; or
- acquire complementary products, business or technologies.

Table of Contents

If we raise additional funds through the sale of equity securities, these transactions may dilute the value of our outstanding common stock. We may also decide to issue securities, including debt securities that have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock. We may be unable to raise additional funds on terms favorable to us or at all. If financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to fund our future needs. This may prevent us from increasing our market share, capitalizing on new business opportunities or remaining competitive in our industry.

Risks Related to Our Company

Borrowings under our Credit Agreement could adversely affect our financial condition, and the related debt service obligations may adversely affect our cash flow and ability to invest in and grow our businesses.

The interest rates on debt outstanding under our Credit Agreement are floating based on the LIBOR rate; accordingly, if the LIBOR rate increases, our interest expense will be higher on the portion of our debt outstanding not managed with interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2013, there was \$150.0 million outstanding under our Credit Agreement. We are party to fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having a notional amount of \$25.0 million at December 31, 2013. These interest rate swaps convert \$25.0 million of our borrowings under our Credit Agreement to fixed rate. These interest rate swaps amortize at \$2.5 million per quarter in 2014 and \$3.75 million per quarter in 2015 until their expiry in November 2015. At December 31, 2013 the fair value of these interest rate swaps, a liability of \$0.4 million, was recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

We intend to fulfill our total debt service obligations primarily from cash generated by our operations. Such funds will not be available to use in future operations, or investing in our businesses. This may adversely impact our ability to expand our businesses or make other investments. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations, we could be forced to restructure or refinance our obligations, to seek additional equity financing or to sell assets, which we may not be able to do on satisfactory terms or at all. As a result, we could default on those obligations and in the event of such default, our lenders could accelerate our debt or take other actions that could restrict our operations.

Our borrowing agreements contain restrictions which may limit our flexibility in operating and growing our business.

Our borrowing agreements contain covenants regarding our maintenance of certain leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios. Our borrowing agreements also include covenants that limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions, including among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness or issue equity;
- pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of our common stock;
- make certain investments (including acquisitions) and distributions;
- sell certain assets;
- create liens;
- consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets;
- enter into certain transactions with respect to our affiliates,
- ability to enter into business combinations, and
- certain other financial and non-financial covenants.

We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2013. Failure to be in compliance with these covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all or a portion of our outstanding indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our balance sheet includes significant amounts of goodwill and intangible assets. The impairment of a significant portion of these assets would negatively affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

As a result of recent acquisitions, a significant portion of our total assets consist of goodwill and intangible assets. Combined goodwill and intangible assets, net of amortization, accounted for approximately 27% of the total assets on our balance sheet at both December 31, 2013 and 2012. We may not realize the full carrying value of our intangible assets and goodwill. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of goodwill. We also evaluate intangible assets on an ongoing basis for indicators of impairment. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred. If testing indicates that impairment has occurred, we would be required to

Table of Contents

write-off the impaired portion of goodwill and such intangible assets, resulting in a charge to our earnings. An impairment of a significant portion of goodwill or intangible assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The costs and effects of pending and future litigation, investigations or similar matters, or adverse facts and developments related thereto, could materially affect our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flow.

We are from time-to-time involved in various litigation matters and governmental or regulatory investigations or similar matters arising out of our current or future business. Our insurance or indemnities may not cover all claims that may be asserted against us, and any claims asserted against us, regardless of merit or eventual outcome, may harm our reputation. Furthermore, there is no guarantee that we will be successful in defending ourselves in pending or future litigation or similar matters under various laws. Should the ultimate judgments or settlements in any pending litigation or future litigation or investigation significantly exceed our insurance coverage, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. For more information about the Company's legal proceedings, refer to "Item 3: Legal Proceedings" herein.

Future sales of our common stock, or the perception in the public markets that these sales may occur, could depress our stock price.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception in the public markets that these sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. This could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities. At December 31, 2013, we had 36,950,886 shares of our common stock outstanding. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, we had outstanding stock options and restricted share units totaling 2,035,646 shares issued under our amended and restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and our 2000 Incentive Stock Option Plan, of which 394,252 were vested. Assuming the exercise of all outstanding options to acquire our common stock and the vesting of all restricted share units and performance share units, our current stockholders would own on a fully-diluted basis 95% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, and the number of shares of our common stock available to trade could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In addition to the adverse effect a price decline could have on holders of our common stock, such a decline could impede our ability to raise capital or to make acquisitions through the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or other equity securities.

Failure to maintain effective systems of internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures could cause a loss of confidence in our financial reporting and adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Effective internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide accurate financial information. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires us to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of each fiscal year and to include a management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal control, we may not be able to conclude and report that we have effective internal control over financial reporting. If we are unable to adequately maintain our internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results, which could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, negatively affecting the trading price of our common stock, or our ability to access the capital markets.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage a takeover that our stockholders may consider favorable or could cause current management to become entrenched and difficult to replace.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, in our bylaws and under Delaware law could make it more difficult for other companies to acquire us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain the following provisions, among others, which may inhibit an acquisition of our company by a third party:

- advance notification procedures for matters to be brought before stockholder meetings;
- a limitation on who may call stockholder meetings;
- a prohibition on stockholder action by written consent; and
- the ability of our Board of Directors to issue up to 10 million shares of preferred stock without a stockholder vote.

If any shares of preferred stock are issued that contain an extraordinary dividend or special voting power, a change in control could be impeded.

Table of Contents

We are also subject to provisions of Delaware law that prohibit us from engaging in any business combination with any “interested stockholder,” meaning, generally, that a stockholder who beneficially owns more than 15% of our stock cannot acquire us for a period of three years from the date this person became an interested stockholder unless various conditions are met, such as approval of the transaction by our Board of Directors. Any of these restrictions could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control.

We may be unable or we may decide not to pay dividends on our common stock at a level anticipated by stockholders, which could depress our stock price.

The payment of dividends on our common stock in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on, among other factors, our earnings, stockholders' equity, cash position and financial condition. No assurance can be given that we will be able to or will choose to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

At December 31, 2013, we owned one facility and leased twenty two facilities which we use for operational, sales and administrative purposes.

Our principal executive offices are located in approximately 9,300 square feet of leased office space on Nassau Street in Princeton, New Jersey. The Nassau Street lease expires in June 2023. We own 54 acres of land in Jeffersonville, Indiana, on which we constructed our card processing operations and service center, including 19 acres we purchased on October 4, 2013, which will be used for future expansion of the service center. The current facility is comprised of 238,000 square feet of space supporting customer service, operations, deployment, day care, fitness, cafeteria, and large company meetings.

We also leased the following facilities as of December 31, 2013:

| Location | Square Feet | Expiration |
|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Alpharetta, Georgia | 11,484 | May 31, 2016 |
| Auburn, Alabama | 8,035 | May 15, 2016 |
| Chattanooga, Tennessee | 9,461 | June 30, 2014 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 41,595 | June 30, 2019 |
| Colorado Springs, Colorado | 9,920 | February 28, 2015 |
| Coraopolis, Pennsylvania | 8,186 | July 31, 2016 |
| Coraopolis, Pennsylvania | 41,556 | July 31, 2016 |
| Edmond, Oklahoma | 3,038 | January 31, 2015 |
| Edmond, Oklahoma | 2,932 | August 31, 2018 |
| Harlan, Kentucky | 5,000 | May 25, 2014 |
| Johnson City, Tennessee | 5,252 | April 17, 2014 |
| Plano, Texas | 26,020 | January 31, 2015 |
| Plano, Texas | 53,976 | May 31, 2015 for 26,988 square feet. January 14, 2019 for 26,988 square feet. |
| Pleasanton, California | 3,306 | July 31, 2015 |
| Portland, Oregon | 10,838 | December 31, 2016 |
| Rochester, New York | 18,000 | June 30, 2023 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Rochester, New York | 12,708 | November 30, 2018 |
| Santa Ana, California | 6,186 | June 30, 2014 |
| Tempe, Arizona | 14,315 | February 28, 2020 |
| Tempe, Arizona | 2,500 | February 28, 2020 |
| West Windsor Township, New Jersey | 22,414 | May 31, 2023 |

We believe that our facilities are suitable and adequate for our current business operations and, if necessary, could be replaced with little disruption to our Company. We periodically review our space requirements and may acquire new space to meet our business needs or consolidate and dispose of or sublet facilities which are no longer required.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Processing System Intrusion Legal Proceedings

On January 20, 2009, the Company publicly announced the discovery of a criminal breach of its payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed by the Company during the transaction authorization process. The Company believes the breach did not extend beyond 2008.

To date, we have had several lawsuits filed against us and additional lawsuits may be filed. These include lawsuits which assert claims against us by banks that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion (including various putative class actions seeking to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion), seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief. The actions generally assert various common-law claims such as claims for negligence and breach of contract, as well as, in some cases, statutory claims such as violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, state data breach notification statutes, and state unfair and deceptive practices statutes. The putative financial institution class actions seek compensatory damages, including recovery of the cost of issuance of replacement cards and losses by reason of unauthorized transactions, as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

The putative financial institution class actions filed against us through February 27, 2014 are described below.

Putative Financial Institution Class Actions

| Name of the Court | Date Filed | Principal Parties |
|---|-------------------|--|
| United States District Court for the District of New Jersey | February 6, 2009 | Lone Summit Bank v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 3:09-cv-00581 |
| United States District Court for the District of New Jersey | February 13, 2009 | TriCentury Bank et al. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 3:09-cv-00697 |
| United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas | February 16, 2009 | Lone Star National Bank v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 7:09-cv-00064 |
| United States District Court for the District of New Jersey | February 20, 2009 | Amalgamated Bank et al. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 3:09-cv-00776 |
| United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida | March 19, 2009 | First Bankers Trust Co., N.A. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-00825 |
| United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida | March 31, 2009 | PBC Credit Union et al. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 9:09-cv-80481 |
| United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas | April 22, 2009 | Community West Credit Union, et al. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01201 |
| United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas | April 22, 2009 | Eden Financial Corp. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01203 |
| | | Heritage Trust Federal Credit |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

United States District Court for the
Southern District of Texas

April 28, 2009

Union v. Heartland Payment
Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01284
Pennsylvania State Employees

United States District Court for the
Southern District of Texas

May 1, 2009

Credit Union v. Heartland Payment
Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01330

On June 10, 2009, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the “JPML”) entered an order centralizing the class action cases for pre-trial proceedings before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, under the caption In re Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, MDL No. 2046, 4:09-md-2046. On August 24, 2009, the court appointed interim co-lead and liaison counsel for the financial institution plaintiffs.

Table of Contents

On September 23, 2009, the financial institution plaintiffs filed a Master Complaint in the MDL proceedings, which we moved to dismiss on October 23, 2009. On December 1, 2011, the Court entered an order granting in part our motion to dismiss the financial institution plaintiffs' master complaint against us, but allowing the plaintiffs leave to amend to re-plead certain claims. Plaintiffs elected not to file an amended complaint. The parties then jointly moved for the entry of final judgment on those claims in the master complaint that the Court had dismissed. On August 16, 2012, the Court entered final judgment on the dismissed claims and, on September 17, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from that final judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. On September 12, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal with prejudice of the remaining claims pending before the District Court. Briefing on Plaintiffs' appeal was complete on February 8, 2013. On September 3, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the District Court, holding that the economic loss doctrine under New Jersey law does not preclude the financial institution plaintiffs' negligence claim at the motion to dismiss stage, but declined to address in the first instance Heartland's other arguments for affirming the District Court. The Fifth Circuit remanded to the District Court for further proceedings.

Other actions have been filed against us seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief on an individual basis. On December 28, 2009, Putnam Bank of Putnam, Connecticut filed a complaint in Connecticut Superior Court, Putnam Bank v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., case no. WWM-CV-10-6001208-S. On January 20, 2010, we removed the action to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, case no. 3:10-cv-0061 (JBA), and, on January 27, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On March 17, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 9, 2010, OmniAmerican Bank filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00563-2012. The complaint identifies as a party in interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$1,005,077.50, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-114, and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 18, 2010, Quad City Bank and Trust filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00721-2010. The complaint identifies as a party in interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$432,420.32, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-115 and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On May 5, 2010, Napus Federal Credit Union filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-1616, and the action was consolidated with the MDL proceedings on June 9, 2010.

On January 19, 2010, financial institution plaintiffs, including certain of the named plaintiffs in the MDL proceedings, commenced an action against our sponsor banks at the time of the Processing System Intrusion in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, captioned Lonestar National Bank, N.A. et al. v. KeyBank NA, et al., Civ. No. 4:10-cv-00171. This action against our sponsor banks asserts common-law claims similar to those asserted against us, and likewise seeks to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion. On March 4, 2010, this action was transferred to the judge overseeing the MDL proceedings. On April 9, 2010, our sponsor banks moved to dismiss the complaint. On March 31, 2011, the Court entered an order granting the sponsor banks' motions to dismiss the complaint and invited additional briefing on the effect of the Court's order on our pending motion to dismiss. On April 18, 2011, in accordance with its order dismissing the claims against Heartland Bank for lack of personal jurisdiction, the court transferred the action against Heartland Bank to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Heartland Bank filed a notice with the Judicial Panel on

Multidistrict Litigation on July 27, 2011 designating the transferred action as a potential tag-along action to the MDL proceedings. On August 11, 2011, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On August 14, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal of the claims against Heartland Bank. The sponsor banks could seek indemnification from us in regard to the claims asserted in this action.

Although we intend to defend the lawsuits and inquiries described above vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of such lawsuits and inquiries.

Since our announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2013, we have expensed a total of \$148.0 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The majority of the total charges, or approximately \$114.7 million, were related to settlements of claims. Approximately \$33.3 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services.

Table of Contents

We do not consider it a reasonable possibility that losses exceeding the amounts already recognized on the matters subject to the settlement agreements entered into to date will be incurred. With regard to the unsettled claims related to the Processing System Intrusion, we determined material losses in addition to those previously accrued are not considered reasonably possible on any such claim disclosed. We are prepared to vigorously defend ourselves against any unsettled claims relating to the Processing System Intrusion that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks to date. We feel we have strong defenses to all the claims that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Additional costs we expect to incur for legal fees and costs for defending the unsettled claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion will be recognized as incurred. Such costs are not expected to be material to our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

Other Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "HPY." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock and dividend paid per share for the four quarters during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | High | Low | Dividend Per Share |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| 2012 | | | |
| Quarter Ended: | | | |
| March 31, 2012 | \$30.43 | \$23.35 | \$0.06 |
| June 30, 2012 | \$34.00 | \$26.97 | \$0.06 |
| September 30, 2012 | \$33.09 | \$28.55 | \$0.06 |
| December 31, 2012 | \$32.91 | \$25.76 | \$0.06 |
| 2013 | | | |
| Quarter Ended: | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | \$33.70 | \$29.30 | \$0.07 |
| June 30, 2013 | \$37.39 | \$30.29 | \$0.07 |
| September 30, 2013 | \$40.38 | \$36.73 | \$0.07 |
| December 31, 2013 | \$50.36 | \$37.57 | \$0.07 |

Holders of Common Stock

The number of stockholders of record of our common stock as of February 24, 2014 was 19.

Dividends

Our Board of Directors has declared a quarterly cash dividend on our common stock each quarter since the third quarter of 2006. The payment of dividends on our common stock in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on, among other factors, our earnings, stockholders' equity, cash position and financial condition. On

Table of Contents

February 5, 2014, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share of common stock, payable on March 14, 2014 to stockholders of record as of March 3, 2014.

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

We maintain the Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan under which shares of our common stock are authorized for issuance. For more information on this plan, see Note 14, "Stock Incentive Plans." Information regarding the common stock issuable under this plan as of December 31, 2013 is set forth in the following table:

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 2,037,646 | \$25.29 | 2,037,646 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | None | N/A | None |
| Total | 2,037,646 | \$25.29 | 2,037,646 |

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

On each of October 21, 2011, July 27, 2012, and November 2, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of our outstanding common stock under each authorization. On May 8, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$75 million of our outstanding common stock. Repurchases under the October 21, 2011 and July 27, 2012 authorizations were completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 and repurchases under the November 2, 2012 authorization were completed during the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The May 8, 2013 authorization is ongoing and has no expiration date. Repurchases under these programs were made through the open market from time to time in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. We intend to fund any repurchases with cash flow from operations, existing cash on the balance sheet, and other sources including our Revolving Credit Facility and the proceeds of options exercises. The manner, timing and amount of repurchases, if any, will be determined by management and will depend on a variety of factors, including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, market conditions and other corporate liquidity requirements. The repurchase program may be modified or discontinued at any time. At December 31, 2013, we had remaining authorization to repurchase up to \$54.5 million worth of our common stock under the May 8, 2013 authorization.

The following table presents information with respect to those purchases of our common stock made during the three months ended December 31, 2013:

| Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | programs (In thousands) |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------------|
| October 1—31, 2013 | 54,000 | \$38.81 | 54,000 | \$62,497 |
| November 1—30, 2013 | 109,000 | \$42.58 | 109,000 | \$57,855 |
| December 1 — 31, 2013 | 73,700 | \$45.37 | 73,700 | \$54,512 |
| | 236,700 | \$42.59 | 236,700 | |

27

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the percentage change in cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock for the past five years with the cumulative total returns over the same period of (i) the S&P 500 Index and (ii) the S&P Information Technology Index.

The below comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2008 in our common stock and in the S&P 500 Index and the S&P Information Technology Index, and assumes reinvestment of dividends, if any. Historical stock prices are not indicative of future stock price performance.

| | Base Period 12/31/2008 | Period Ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | 12/31/2009 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2013 |
| Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. | \$100.00 | \$75.54 | \$88.94 | \$141.63 | \$172.92 | \$294.46 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 126.46 | 145.51 | 148.59 | 172.37 | 228.19 |
| S&P Information Technology Index | 100.00 | 161.72 | 178.20 | 182.50 | 209.55 | 269.13 |

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our selected historical consolidated financial information and other data for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, which are derived from our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Historical consolidated financial information for 2010 and 2009 are derived from our consolidated financial statements for those years (not included herein). The information in the following table should be read together with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Statement of Operations Data: | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$2,135,372 | \$2,013,436 | \$1,985,577 | \$1,855,839 | \$1,646,119 |
| Costs of services: | | | | | |
| Interchange | 1,335,487 | 1,284,038 | 1,359,448 | 1,299,631 | 1,142,112 |
| Dues, assessments and fees | 200,903 | 199,503 | 155,233 | 119,834 | 89,844 |
| Processing and servicing | 237,232 | 216,863 | 208,542 | 225,802 | 197,626 |
| Customer acquisition costs | 42,109 | 43,547 | 46,140 | 50,415 | 50,362 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,975 | 19,750 | 14,368 | 14,653 | 15,314 |
| Total costs of services | 1,835,706 | 1,763,701 | 1,783,731 | 1,710,335 | 1,495,258 |
| General and administrative | 173,568 | 139,934 | 125,765 | 99,912 | 101,286 |
| Total expenses | 2,009,274 | 1,903,635 | 1,909,496 | 1,810,247 | 1,596,544 |
| Income from operations | 126,098 | 109,801 | 76,081 | 45,592 | 49,575 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 124 | 201 | 142 | 134 | 106 |
| Interest expense | (5,429) | (3,446) | (4,122) | (4,778) | (2,695) |
| Gain (loss) on investments | — | — | — | 25 | (31) |
| (Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs | (353) | (563) | (1,012) | 14,138 | (128,943) |
| Other, net | 112 | (949) | (1,550) | 47 | (8) |
| Total other (expense) income | (5,546) | (4,757) | (6,542) | 9,566 | (131,571) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 120,552 | 105,044 | 69,539 | 55,158 | (81,996) |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,450 | 40,691 | 26,551 | 20,975 | (30,050) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 74,102 | 64,353 | 42,988 | 34,183 | (51,946) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax | 3,970 | 2,185 | 1,359 | 477 | 216 |
| Net income (loss) | 78,072 | 66,538 | 44,347 | 34,660 | (51,730) |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (610) | — | — | — | — |
| Discontinued operations | 56 | 649 | 408 | 123 | 66 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Heartland | \$78,626 | \$65,889 | \$43,939 | \$34,537 | \$(51,796) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$2.03 | \$1.67 | \$1.10 | \$0.90 | \$(1.39) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$0.11 | \$0.04 | \$0.03 | \$0.01 | 0.01 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$2.14 | \$1.71 | \$1.13 | \$0.91 | \$(1.38) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$1.96 | \$1.60 | \$1.07 | \$0.87 | \$(1.39) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$0.10 | \$0.04 | \$0.02 | \$0.01 | 0.01 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$2.06 | \$1.64 | \$1.09 | \$0.88 | \$(1.38) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 36,791 | 38,468 | 38,931 | 37,994 | 37,483 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Diluted | 38,053 | 40,058 | 40,233 | 39,310 | 38,028 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$0.28 | \$0.24 | \$0.16 | \$0.04 | \$0.055 |
| Other Data: | | | | | |
| Net revenue* | \$598,982 | \$529,895 | \$470,896 | \$436,374 | \$414,163 |
| Operating margin | 21.1% | 20.7% | 16.2% | 10.4% | 12.0% |
| Number of active card merchants serviced (at period end - in thousands) | 209 | 229 | 237 | 236 | 234 |
| Card processing volume for the period (in millions) | \$102,347 | \$100,388 | \$83,698 | \$74,939 | \$69,262 |

*Net revenue is defined as total revenues less Interchange fees and dues, assessments, and fees.

Table of Contents

| | As of December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$71,932 | \$48,440 | \$37,882 | \$40,249 | \$31,294 |
| Funds held for customers | 127,375 | 131,405 | 42,511 | 36,523 | 29,667 |
| Receivables, net | 200,040 | 180,448 | 175,822 | 174,864 | 148,981 |
| Goodwill | 190,978 | 168,062 | 94,255 | 59,030 | 52,074 |
| Total assets | 900,305 | 813,414 | 596,921 | 561,464 | 562,206 |
| Due to sponsor banks | 19,109 | 37,586 | 63,881 | 72,573 | 80,007 |
| Accounts payable | 70,814 | 64,065 | 46,715 | 41,779 | 31,936 |
| Customer fund deposits | 127,375 | 131,405 | 42,511 | 36,523 | 29,667 |
| Processing liabilities | 130,871 | 95,273 | 30,675 | 28,690 | 27,211 |
| Current portion of borrowings | — | 102,001 | 15,003 | 38,286 | 58,547 |
| Long-term portion of borrowings | 150,000 | 50,000 | 70,000 | 85,000 | 8,419 |
| Total liabilities | 633,642 | 602,253 | 376,869 | 383,870 | 432,251 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 260,475 | 209,786 | 219,410 | 177,293 | 129,741 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements and the risk factors included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

General

Our primary business is to provide card payment processing services to merchants throughout the United States. This involves providing end-to-end electronic payment processing services to merchants by facilitating the exchange of information and funds between them and cardholders' financial institutions. To accomplish this, we undertake merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant assistance and support, and risk management. We also sell and rent point-of-sale devices. Our card-accepting customers primarily fall into two categories: our core small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") and Network Services merchants, predominately petroleum industry merchants of all sizes (referred to as "Network Services merchants").

We provide additional services such as:

- School nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions, including online prepayment solutions, to K-12 schools through Heartland School Solutions,
- Full-service payroll processing and related tax filing services through Heartland Ovation Payroll,
- Payment processing, higher education loan services and open- and closed-loop payment solutions to colleges and universities through Campus Solutions,
- Prepaid and stored-value card solutions through Micropayments, and marketing solutions including loyalty and gift cards, which we provide through Heartland Marketing Solutions.

Card Payment Processing

At December 31, 2013, we provided our card payment processing services to 166,697 active SME merchants located across the United States. This compares to 169,994 active SME merchants at December 31, 2012. At December 31,

2013, we provided card payment processing services to approximately 1,024 Network Services merchants with approximately 42,669 locations, compared to 396 Network Services merchants with 47,064 locations at December 31, 2012.

We sold our interest in Collective POS Solutions Ltd. ("CPOS") in a transaction settled in January 2013. CPOS has historically represented an insignificant component of our financial position and results of operations. However, as further disclosed elsewhere in the notes to consolidated financial statements, we recognized a gain on the sale of CPOS in the first quarter of 2013. As a result, we presented the net assets of CPOS as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and presented the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

Our total card processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$102.3 billion, a 2.0% increase from the \$100.4 billion processed during the year ended December 31, 2012. Our SME card processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$74.6 billion, a 4.0% increase over \$71.7 billion in 2012. This increase in processing volume reflects same store sales growth and the addition of SME merchants whose processing volume exceeded that of merchants who attrited during the year. Our card processing volume for 2013 also includes \$27.7 billion of settled volume for Network Services merchants, compared to \$27.9 billion for 2012. Network Services' merchants are primarily in the petroleum industry and the slight decline in settled volume reflects lower average petroleum prices in 2013. The increase in settled volume in the 2012 period over 2011 primarily reflects the expansion of a contract with a large petroleum merchant to include back end settlement. Card processing volume for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In millions) | | |
| SME merchants | \$74,578 | \$71,724 | \$67,499 |
| Network Services merchants | 27,710 | 27,894 | 15,533 |
| Canada (a) | 59 | 770 | 666 |
| Total card processing volume (b) | \$102,347 | \$100,388 | \$83,698 |

(a) Canadian operations were discontinued as result of the sale of CPOS in January 2013.

(b) Card processing volume includes volume for credit and signature debit transactions.

Merchant attrition is expected in the card payment processing industry in the ordinary course of business. We experience attrition in merchant card processing volume resulting from several factors, including business closures, transfers of merchants' accounts to our competitors and account closures that we initiate due to heightened credit risks. We measure SME processing volume attrition relative to all SME merchants that were processing with us in the same month a year earlier. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we experienced 12.9% average annualized attrition in our SME card processing volume compared to average attrition of 12.8% and 13.5% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In our SME business, we measure same store sales growth, or contraction, as the change in card processing volume for all card merchants that were processing with us in both the same month a year earlier and the current month. In 2013, same store sales grew 2.0% on average, compared to 2.2% and 2.6% same store sales growth in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Same store sales growth or contraction results from the combination of the increasing or decreasing use by consumers of bankcards for the purchase of goods and services at the point of sale, and sales growth or contraction experienced by our retained SME merchants. Historically, our same store sales experience has tracked overall economic conditions. The following table compares our same store sales growth or contraction during 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| Same Store Sales | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|--------|
| Growth (Contraction) | | | | |
| First Quarter | 2.2% | 3.4% | 3.2% | (1.5)% |
| Second Quarter | 1.9% | 2.2% | 2.5% | 1.1% |
| Third Quarter | 1.6% | 1.8% | 2.3% | 2.0% |
| Fourth Quarter | 2.4% | 1.5% | 2.5% | 3.8% |
| Full Year | 2.0% | 2.2% | 2.6% | 1.3% |

We measure the overall production of our sales force by new gross margin installed, which reflects the expected annual gross profit from a merchant contract after deducting processing and servicing costs associated with that revenue. We measure installed margin primarily for our SME card processing, payroll processing and loyalty and gift card marketing businesses. In 2013, our newly installed gross margin for the year increased 22% from the gross margin we installed during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to increases of 12% and 5% in 2012 and

2011, respectively . We attribute this increase in newly installed gross margin to growth in the number of salespersons in our sales force and improved individual productivity achieved by our salespersons. Our combined Relationship Managers and Territory Managers count amounted to 844, 739 and 790 at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, we employed an additional 58 Senior Product Advisors ("SPA"), primarily payroll specialists, who have augmented our generalist SME sales force. We expect to drive increases in year-over-year installed margin in future periods primarily by increasing our Relationship and Territory Managers, and SPA count.

The card processing revenue we earn in our SME business is recurring in nature, as we typically enter into three-year service contracts with our card processing SME merchants that, in order to qualify for the agreed-upon pricing, require the merchant to achieve card processing volume minimums. Our SME revenue is generated primarily from payment processing fees, which are a combination of a fee equal to a percentage of the dollar amount of each transaction we process plus a flat fee per transaction. We make mandatory payments of interchange fees to the card issuer through the card networks and dues,

Table of Contents

assessments and other network fees to Visa, MasterCard and Discover. Our SME gross card processing revenue is largely driven by the Visa and MasterCard volume processed by our merchants. We also realize card processing revenues from processing transactions for our SME merchants accepting American Express and from processing Discover transactions.

In contrast to SME card processing revenues, revenues from our Network Services merchants are largely driven by the number of transactions we process (whether settled, or only authorized), not our processing volume, as the merchants which comprise Network Services' customer base pay on a per transaction basis for processing services. The number of Network Services transactions decreased in 2013 primarily due to a decrease in the number of locations we serve at one large retail merchant. Network Services' increase in the number of transactions processed for the 2012 period is due to the expansion of a contract with a large petroleum merchant to include back end settlement. Additionally, we provide authorization, settlement and account servicing services on our front and back end systems for American Express transactions for larger merchants, and merchants signed to American Express by other processors; for those services we receive compensation from American Express on a per transaction basis. The number of transactions we processed for Network Services merchants and American Express for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Network Services merchants: | (In thousands) | | |
| Settled | 967,230 | 957,756 | 553,078 |
| Authorized | 2,347,776 | 2,481,174 | 2,720,595 |
| Total Network Services | 3,315,006 | 3,438,930 | 3,273,673 |
| American Express (a) | 32,016 | 32,306 | 31,704 |
| Total | 3,347,022 | 3,471,236 | 3,305,377 |

(a) Includes only those transactions not eligible for residual compensation

Our ability to manage our front-end authorization systems, HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS, provides us greater control of the electronic transaction process, allows us to offer our merchants a differentiated product offering, and offers economies of scale that we expect will increase our long-term profitability. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, approximately 96%, 95% and 93%, respectively, of our SME transactions were processed through HPS Exchange. All of our Network Services transactions were processed through VAPS or NWS.

We provide clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services through our own internally developed back-end processing system, Passport. Passport enables us to customize these services to the needs of our Relationship Managers and merchants. At both December 31, 2013 and 2012, substantially all of SME merchants were processing on Passport and all Network Services settled transactions were processed on Passport.

Heartland School Solutions

We provide school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions including online prepayment, to kindergarten through 12th grade ("K to 12") schools through-out the United States. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our Heartland School Solutions business provided services to over 29,000 public and private schools, compared to over 19,000 public and private schools at December 31, 2011. Our Heartland School Solutions business has been built through a series of five acquisitions in 2010, 2011 and 2012. On June 29, 2012, we acquired the K to 12 school solutions business of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. accounting for the increase in the number of schools served in 2012 and 2013.

Heartland Ovation Payroll

We provide payroll processing services throughout the United States. On December 31, 2012, we acquired Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation") adding over 10,000 customers to our existing payroll business. At December 31, 2013, we

processed payroll for 24,088 customers, an increase of 6.8% from 22,553 payroll customers at December 31, 2012. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, we installed 5,797, 3,399 and 3,723, respectively, new payroll processing customers. Installs for 2013 included Ovation installation activity. We operate a comprehensive payroll management system, which we refer to as HOP (formerly PlusOne Payroll), that streamlines all aspects of the payroll process to enable time and cost savings. HOP was made available to new and existing customers beginning in 2010. We fully converted all of our existing payroll customers to HOP in 2011. The HOP platform enables us to process payroll on a large scale and provide customizable solutions for businesses of all sizes. The acquisition of Ovation added scale to our HOP platform, leveraging operating costs, and also added management, a new sales approach including an affinity partner network, and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

Table of Contents

Campus Solutions

We provide open and closed loop payment solutions and higher education loan services to campuses throughout the United States and Canada. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our Campus Solutions business served more than 2,000 colleges and universities, compared to approximately 200 at December 31, 2011. The increase reflects our acquisition of Educational Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") on December 14, 2012, expanding our Campus Solutions business into higher education and post-graduate school/student services, including student loan servicing.

2013 Financial Highlights

Our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 benefited from 13.0% year-over-year growth in net revenue, partially offset by increases of 9.4% in processing and servicing costs and 24.0% in general and administrative expenses. We define net revenue as total revenues less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees. For 2013, we recorded net income from continuing operations attributable to Heartland of \$74.7 million, or \$1.96 per share compared to net income of \$64.4 million, or \$1.60 per share, in 2012. The following is a summary of our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net revenue increased 13.0% to \$599.0 million during 2013, from \$529.9 million during 2012. The increase in net revenue was driven by organic growth in Card Payment processing and Heartland School Solutions and increases in net revenue for Heartland Ovation Payroll and Campus Solutions, primarily reflecting the 2012 acquisitions of Ovation and ECSI, respectively, and the acquisition of NutriKids in Heartland School Solutions.

SME card processing volume increased 4.0% to \$74.6 billion, compared to \$71.7 billion in 2012. We earn percentage-based revenues on our SME processing volume. The year-over-year increase reflects same store sales growth and the addition of SME merchants whose processing volume and net revenue exceeded that of merchants who attrited in the same period.

Processing and servicing expenses for 2013 increased by \$20.4 million, or 9.4%, compared with 2012. The increase in processing and servicing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to increased costs associated with processing and servicing higher SME card processing volume, increased residual commissions, and increased cost of sales and servicing related to higher Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, and Payroll processing revenues. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in service center costs.

Our general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by \$33.6 million, or 24.0%, from \$139.9 million in 2012 to \$173.6 million in 2013. This increase is primarily due to personnel and other expenses added through the 2012 acquisitions of ECSI, Ovation and NutriKids. General and administrative expenses in the year ended December 31, 2013 also included \$2.9 million for our periodic sales and servicing organization summit which was held in October 2013, and reflected increases in personnel costs for other headcount increases and costs of investments in various growth initiatives. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of net revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 29.0%, up from 26.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, increased 14.8% to \$126.1 million for 2013 from \$109.8 million in 2012 and our operating margin, which we measure as operating income divided by net revenue, was 21.1% for 2013, compared to 20.7% for 2012. These year-over-year improvements reflect maintaining aggregate spending increases below the rate of growth in net revenue.

See “—Results of Operations —Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012” for a more detailed discussion of our full year operating results.

Components of Revenues and Expenses

Revenue. We classify our revenues into five categories: Card Payment Processing, Heartland School Solutions, Heartland Ovation Payroll, Campus Solutions and Prepaid Card/Other.

Our Card Payment Processing revenue primarily consists of discount, per-transaction and periodic (primarily monthly) fees from the processing of Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover transactions for our SME merchants and per-transaction fees for the authorization and settlement of transactions for our Network Services merchants. Also included in this category are American Express and Discover servicing fees, merchant service fees, fees for processing chargebacks, termination fees on terminated contracts and fees from selling, renting and deploying point-of-sale devices. Interchange fees, which are our most significant expense, are set by the card networks and paid to the card issuing banks. For the majority of our

Table of Contents

SME card processing revenue, we do not offset processing revenues and interchange fees because our business practice is to advance the interchange fees to most of our SME merchants when settling their daily transactions (thus paying the full amount of the transaction to the merchant), and then to collect our full discount fees from merchants on the first business day of the next month. For SME merchants to whom we do not advance interchange, we record card processing revenues net of interchange fees. As Network Services does not advance interchange fees to its merchants, we record its card processing revenues net of interchange fees. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment or service is provided.

Heartland School Solutions revenues include fees from sales and maintenance of cafeteria point-of-sale solutions and associated payment solutions, including online prepayment solutions, back office management and hardware and technical support. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Heartland Ovation Payroll revenue includes fees charged for payroll processing services, including check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits and providing accounting documentation and interest income earned on funds held for customers. Revenues are recorded at the time service is provided.

Campus Solutions revenue includes fees associated with providing solutions to support administrative services for higher education institutions including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. Campus Solutions revenue also includes fees from the sale and maintenance of open- and closed-loop payment hardware and software solutions for college or university campuses to process small value electronic transactions. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Prepaid Card and Other revenues include Micropayments fees from selling hardware and software for unattended wireless credit card based payment systems, and unattended value top-up systems for off-line closed-loop smart (chip) card based payment systems. Also included in this category are Heartland Marketing Solutions fees from selling mobile and card-based marketing services, gift cards and rewards services. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Net Revenue. We define net revenue as total revenue less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees. Management uses net revenue to assess our operating performance, including operating margin.

Expenses. In addition to interchange fees, we also pay Visa, MasterCard and Discover, as well as certain PIN networks, dues, assessments and fees, which are a combination of a percentage of the dollar volume processed and per-transaction fees. Interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees are recognized at the time transactions are processed. It is our policy to pass along to our merchants any changes in interchange fees and card network dues, assessments and fees. Since the card networks regularly adjust those rates, our gross card processing revenue will increase or decrease, but all the impact of such changes will be paid to the card issuing banks and our net revenue and income from operations will not be affected.

Costs of services also include processing and servicing costs, customer acquisition costs, and depreciation and amortization. Processing and servicing costs include:

processing costs, which are either paid to third parties, including our bank sponsors, or represent the cost of our own authorization/capture and accounting/settlement systems. During 2013 and 2012, costs we paid to third parties represented about 39% of our processing costs;

residual commission payments to our Relationship Managers, sales managers, trade associations, agent banks and value-added resellers, which are a percentage of the gross margin we generated from our merchant contracts during the accounting period;

the costs of operating our service center and other customer support locations, including telecommunications costs, personnel costs, occupancy costs, losses due to merchant defaults, depreciation and amortization, and other direct

servicing costs; and

the costs of merchant supplies, bankcard terminals, POS systems, hardware and software deployed in our businesses.

Customer acquisition costs reflect the amortization over the initial three-year contract term of the cash signing bonus paid, and the deferred acquisition costs accrued for vested Relationship Managers and sales managers, as well as changes in the accrued buyout liability, which reflect the impact of buying out residual commissions and volume attrition (see “—Critical Accounting Estimates —Accrued Buyout Liability”).

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization expenses consist of depreciation on our investments in property, equipment and software, and our amortization of acquired intangible assets. Depreciation and amortization expenses are primarily recognized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset.

General and administrative expenses include personnel and other administrative expenses related to our information technology infrastructure costs, our marketing expenses and other administrative functions.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. The critical accounting estimates described here are those that are most important to the depiction of our financial condition and results of operations, including those whose application requires management's most subjective judgment in making estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The line items on our income statement and balance sheet, which are impacted by management's estimates, are described below.

Revenue

Our card processing revenue is derived from processing and settling Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover bankcard transactions for our merchant customers. Our most significant expense related to the generation of those revenues is interchange fees, which are set by the card networks, and paid to the card issuing banks. For our SME merchant card processing, we do not offset card processing revenues and interchange fees in our income statement because our business practice is to advance the interchange fees to most of our SME merchants when settling their daily transactions (thus paying the full amount of the transaction to the merchant), and then to collect our full discount fees from our merchants on the first business day of the next month. We fund interchange advances to our SME merchants from a combination of our operating cash, processing cash and advances from our sponsor banks. We believe this policy aids in new business generation, as our merchants benefit from bookkeeping simplicity. However, this practice results in our carrying a large receivable from our merchants at each period-end, and a corresponding but smaller payable to our sponsor banks, which are settled on the first business day after the period-end. As we are at risk for the advance receivables, we record the associated revenues on a gross processing revenue basis in our consolidated Statements of Income. We have merchant portability, credit risk, and the ultimate responsibility to the merchant and, as such, revenue is reported at the time of settlement on a gross basis. Payment processing services are transaction based and priced either as a fixed fee per transaction or calculated as a percentage of the transaction value. The fees are charged for the processing services provided and do not include the gross sales price paid by the ultimate buyer to the merchant. Certain of our competitors report their processing revenue net of interchange fees. This is because the card issuing banks make their payments to these competitors net of those interchange fees, and these acquirers pay this reduced amount to their merchants. For our Network Services merchants, we also record a portion of our processing revenues net of interchange fees because the daily cash settlement with Network Services' merchants is net of interchange fees.

We evaluate our contractual arrangements for indications that multiple element arrangements may exist. For contracts with multiple deliverables, we record revenue based on vendor specific objective evidence of selling price where applicable, or based on the best estimate of the selling price.

Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs

Capitalized customer acquisition costs consist of (1) up-front signing bonuses paid to Relationship Managers and sales managers, referred to as the "salesperson" or "salespersons," for the establishment of new merchant relationships, and

(2) deferred acquisition cost representing the estimated cost of buying out the commissions of vested salespersons at some point in the future. Capitalized customer acquisition costs represent incremental, direct customer acquisition costs that are recoverable through gross margins associated with SME merchant contracts. The capitalized customer acquisition costs are amortized using a method which approximates a proportional revenue approach over the initial three-year term of the merchant contract.

The amount of the up-front signing bonus paid for new SME card, payroll and loyalty marketing accounts is based on the estimated gross margin for the first year of the merchant contract. The gross signing bonuses paid during 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$32.7 million, \$32.4 million and \$30.5 million, respectively. The signing bonus paid, amount capitalized, and related amortization are adjusted at the end of the first year to reflect the actual gross margin generated by the merchant

Table of Contents

contract during that year. The net signing bonus adjustments made during 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$(3.7) million, \$(3.1) million and \$(1.5) million, respectively. Negative signing bonus adjustments occur when the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during the first year is less than the estimated gross margin for that year, resulting in the overpayment of the up-front signing bonus, which overpayment would be recovered from the relevant salesperson. Positive signing bonus adjustments result from prior underpayments of up-front signing bonuses, and would be paid to the relevant salesperson.

The deferred acquisition cost component of capitalized customer acquisition costs is accrued for vested salespersons over the first year of SME card, payroll and loyalty marketing merchant processing, consistent with the build-up in the accrued buyout liability, which is described below.

Management evaluates the capitalized customer acquisition costs for impairment by comparing, on a pooled basis by vintage month of origination, the expected future net cash flows from underlying merchant relationships to the carrying amount of the capitalized customer acquisition costs. If the estimated future net cash flows are lower than the recorded carrying amount, indicating an impairment of the value of the capitalized customer acquisition costs, the impairment loss will be charged to operations. We have not recognized an impairment loss in 2013 or 2012.

Accrued Buyout Liability

We pay our salespersons residual commissions based on the gross margin generated from the monthly processing activity of SME merchants signed by them. We refer to these residual commissions as the "owned" portion of such commissions, or "portfolio equity." The salesperson has no obligation to perform additional services for the merchant for so long as the merchant continues processing with us. We have the right, but not the obligation, to buy out some or all of these commissions, and intend to do so periodically. We accrue the buyout liability, which represents the estimated current settlement cost of buying out all vested and expected-to-vest salespersons for the owned portion of such commissions. We also record a deferred acquisition cost asset related to those buyouts, and amortize that asset as an expense over the initial three year contract term. Beginning in June 2012, our salespersons earn portfolio equity on their newly installed payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset related to these payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts are developed the same as the SME card merchant portfolio equity.

We consider a salesperson to be vested once they have established merchant relationships that generate the equivalent of \$10,000 of monthly gross margin. Vested status entitles the salesperson to his or her residual commissions for as long as the merchant processes with us, even if the salesperson is no longer employed by us.

The accrued buyout liability is based on the merchants we have under contract at the balance sheet date, the gross margin we generated from those accounts in the prior twelve months, the "owned" commission rate, and the fixed buyout multiple of 2.5 times the commissions. The liability related to a new merchant is therefore zero when the merchant is installed, and increases over the twelve months following the installation date. A small portion of our accrued buyout liability, less than 2%, relates to salespersons who have opted to receive a multiple of 2.0 times commissions in exchange for a larger company contribution towards health insurance.

For unvested salespersons, the accrued buyout liability is accrued over the expected vesting period; however, no deferred acquisition cost is capitalized as future services are required in order to vest. In calculating the accrued buyout liability for unvested salespersons, we have assumed that 31% of unvested salespersons will vest in the future, which represents our historical vesting rate. A 5% increase to 36% in the expected vesting rate would have increased the accrued buyout liability for unvested salespersons by \$0.1 million at both December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Buyout payments made to salespersons reduce the outstanding accrued buyout liability. Given our view of the duration of the cash flows associated with a pool of merchant contracts, we believe that the benefits of such buyouts significantly exceed the cost, which typically represents 2 ½ years of commissions. If the cash flows associated with a

pool of bought out contracts does not exceed this cost, we will incur an economic loss on our decision to buyout the contracts. During 2013, 2012, and 2011, we made buyout payments of approximately \$13.7 million, \$11.9 million and \$10.4 million, respectively.

Processing Liabilities

Processing liabilities result primarily from our card processing activities and include merchant deposits maintained to offset potential liabilities arising from merchant chargebacks. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, processing liabilities totaled approximately \$130.9 million and \$95.3 million, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we accelerated the end-

Table of Contents

of-day presentment of transaction funding files to the bankcard networks, resulting in our sponsor banks receiving settlement cash one day earlier and increasing our funding obligations to our SME merchants, which we carry in processing liabilities.

Disputes between a cardholder and a merchant periodically arise as a result of, among other things, the cardholder's dissatisfaction with merchandise quality or merchant services. Such disputes may not be resolved in the merchant's favor. In

these cases, the transaction is "charged back" to the merchant, which means the purchase price is refunded to the customer by the card-issuing bank and charged to the merchant. If the merchant is unable to fund the refund, we must do so. We also bear the risk of reject losses arising from the fact that we collect our fees from our merchants on the first day after the monthly billing period. If the merchant has gone out of business during such period, we may be unable to collect such fees. We maintain cash deposits or require the pledge of a letter of credit from certain merchants, generally those with higher average transaction size where the card is not present when the charge is made or the product or service is delivered after the charge is made, in order to offset potential contingent liabilities such as chargebacks and reject losses that would arise if the merchant went out of business. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we held SME merchant deposits totaling \$7.7 million and \$5.9 million, respectively. Most chargeback and reject losses are charged to processing and servicing as they are incurred. However, we also maintain a loss reserve against losses including major fraud losses, which are both less predictable and involve larger amounts. The loss reserve was established using historical loss rates, applied to recent card processing volume. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, our loss reserve totaled \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. Aggregate SME merchant losses, including losses charged to operations and the loss reserve, were \$3.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Chargeback losses originating from Network Services card processing on Passport during the year ended December 31, 2013 were immaterial.

Share-based Compensation

We expense employee share-based payments under the fair value method. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Stock Options. We estimate the grant date fair value of the stock options we issue using a Black-Scholes valuation model for "plain-vanilla" stock options and performance-based stock options, and we use a lattice valuation model to measure grant date fair value for stock options containing market vesting conditions. Our assumption for expected volatility is based on our historical volatility data related to market trading of our own common stock. We base our assumptions for the expected life of new stock option grants on our analysis of the historical exercise patterns of our stock options. Our dividend yield assumption is based on dividends expected to be paid over the expected life of the stock option. Our risk-free interest rate assumption for stock options granted is determined by using U.S. treasury rates of the same period as the expected option term of each stock option.

The weighted-average fair value of options we granted during 2012 and 2011 were \$9.36 and \$7.95, respectively. No stock options were granted during 2013. The fair value of options granted during 2012 and 2011 was estimated at the grant date using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Expected volatility | 55 | % 55 | % |
| Expected life | 3.75 years | 3.65 years | |
| Expected dividends | 1.00 | % 0.80 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.51 | % 0.55 | % |

Restricted Share Units. In the fourth quarters of 2011, 2012, and 2013, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved grants of performance-based Restricted Share Units with grant-specific

vesting and performance target terms as shown in the following table:

37

Table of Contents

| | Performance Awards by Grant Date | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 4th Quarter 2011 | 4th Quarter 2012 | 4th Quarter 2013 |
| RSU's Granted | 164,808 | 72,004 | 115,223 |
| Vesting during 2014 | 50% | — | — |
| Vesting during 2015 | 50% | 50% | — |
| Vesting during 2016 | — | 50% | — |
| Vesting during 2017 | — | — | 50% |
| Vesting during 2018 | — | — | 50% |
| Grant Performance Target | (a) | (b) | (c) |

(a) These Restricted Share Units will vest 50% in 2014 and 50% in 2015 since we achieved a pro forma diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 in excess of the performance target. The CAGR achieved for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 resulted in holders earning the maximum 100% increase in the number of shares awarded.

(b) These Restricted Share Units will vest only if we achieve a pro forma diluted earnings per share CAGR of fifteen percent (15%) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. For each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two year period ending on December 31, 2014 is above the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 2.08%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 125%. Likewise, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two-year period ending on December 31, 2014 is below the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.31%. If the target CAGR is missed by 67% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. We recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 15% target.

(c) These Restricted Share Units will vest only if we achieve a pro forma diluted earnings per share growth rate of forty percent (40%) over the three-year period ending December 31, 2016. For each 1% that the growth rate actually achieved for the three- year period ending on December 31, 2016 is above the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 1.20%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 150%. Likewise, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016 is below the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.50%. If the target growth rate is missed by 50% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. We recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 40% target.

Pro forma diluted earnings per share for (a), (b) and (c) performance targets will be calculated excluding non-operating gains and losses, if any, and excluding the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense. The closing price of our common stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested Restricted Share Units awards and will be recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved target grants of 72,345 Relative Total Shareholder Return Restricted Share Units (referred to as "Relative TSRs"). These Relative TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of our common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 86 peer companies. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 225%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 9, 2015, divided by the closing price on December 10, 2012. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group. We recorded expense on these Relative TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Relative TSRs.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Compensation Committee approved target grants of 57,598 Relative TSRs. These Relative TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of our common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 91 peer companies. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group. We recorded expense on these TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Relative TSRs.

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Compensation Committee approved target grants of 59,533 Absolute Total Shareholder Return Restricted Share Units (referred to as “Absolute TSRs”). These Absolute TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on our three or four year total shareholder return of our common stock. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016 or December 6, 2017, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 33% over three years or 46% over four years. We recorded expense on these Absolute TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Absolute TSRs.

Diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were computed based on the weighted average outstanding common shares plus equivalent shares assuming exercise of stock options and vesting of Restricted Share Units, where dilutive.

Amounts we recognized in our financial statements during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 with respect to share-based compensation plans were as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Compensation expense recognized on share-based plans before income tax benefit | \$ 12,838 | \$ 14,187 | \$ 9,548 |
| Related income tax benefit recognized in the income statement | 4,981 | 5,458 | 3,635 |
| Cash received from stock option exercises | 14,174 | 18,303 | 9,685 |
| Excess tax benefit recorded for tax deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options | 11,596 | 5,954 | 3,454 |
| Tax benefit realized as reductions of estimated tax payments during the period | 8,587 | 4,220 | 2,954 |

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair values of net assets acquired in business combinations. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of the reporting unit. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred. Such changes may include, among others: a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained decline in market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; and slower growth rates. We perform annual goodwill impairment testing in the fourth quarter. Our evaluation indicated that no impairment existed as of December 31, 2013 or 2012. The fair value of each reporting unit exceeded the carrying value of each reporting unit as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, goodwill of \$191.0 million and \$168.1 million, respectively, was recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We may be required to record goodwill impairment losses in future periods, whether in connection with our next annual impairment testing in the fourth quarter of 2014 or prior to that, if any such indicators constitute a triggering event in other than the quarter in which the annual goodwill impairment test is performed. It is not possible at this time to determine if any such future impairment loss would result or, if it does, whether such charge would be material.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are recorded to reflect the future tax consequences attributable to the effects of differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities for financial reporting and for income tax purposes. Judgments are required in determining the amount and

probability of future taxable income, which in turn is critical to a determination of whether a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets is appropriate.

We also account for the recognition and measurement of tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions. This requires evaluations of individual tax positions to determine whether any part of that position can be recognized or continues to be recognized in the financial statements. An uncertain tax position exists if it is unclear how a transaction will be treated under tax law. We had approximately \$5.6 million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2013, approximately \$3.8 million of which would impact the effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

The following table shows certain income statement data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated (in thousands of dollars):

| | 2013 | % of Net Revenue | 2012 | % of Net Revenue | Change Amount | % |
|---|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------|
| Net revenue: | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$2,135,372 | | \$2,013,436 | | \$121,936 | 6.1 % |
| Less: Interchange | 1,335,487 | | 1,284,038 | | 51,449 | 4.0 % |
| Less: Dues, assessments and fees | 200,903 | | 199,503 | | 1,400 | 0.7 % |
| Total net revenue | 598,982 | 100.0 % | 529,895 | 100.0 % | 69,087 | 13.0 % |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Processing and servicing | 237,232 | 39.6 % | 216,863 | 40.9 % | 20,369 | 9.4 % |
| Customer acquisition costs | 42,109 | 7.0 % | 43,547 | 8.2 % | (1,438) | (3.3)% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,975 | 3.3 % | 19,750 | 3.7 % | 225 | 1.1 % |
| General and administrative | 173,568 | 29.0 % | 139,934 | 26.4 % | 33,634 | 24.0 % |
| Total expenses | 472,884 | 78.9 % | 420,094 | 79.3 % | 52,790 | 12.6 % |
| Income from operations | 126,098 | 21.1 % | 109,801 | 20.7 % | 16,297 | 14.8 % |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 124 | | 201 | | (77) | (38.3)% |
| Interest expense | (5,429) | (0.9)% | (3,446) | (0.7)% | (1,983) | (57.5)% |
| Provision for processing system intrusion costs | (353) | (0.1)% | (563) | (0.1)% | 210 | 37.3 % |
| Other, net | 112 | | (949) | (0.2)% | 1,061 | 111.8 % |
| Total other expense | (5,546) | (0.9)% | (4,757) | (0.9)% | (789) | (16.6)% |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 120,552 | 20.1 % | 105,044 | 19.8 % | 15,508 | 14.8 % |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,450 | 7.8 % | 40,691 | 7.7 % | 5,759 | 14.2 % |
| Net income from continuing operations | 74,102 | 12.4 % | 64,353 | 12.1 % | 9,749 | 15.1 % |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax | 3,970 | 0.7 % | 2,185 | 0.4 % | 1,785 | 81.7 % |
| Net income | 78,072 | 13.0 % | 66,538 | 12.6 % | 11,534 | 17.3 % |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | (610) | | — | | (610) | |
| Discontinued operations | 56 | | 649 | | (593) | |
| Net income attributable to Heartland | \$78,626 | 13.1 % | \$65,889 | 12.4 % | \$12,737 | 19.3 % |

Revenue. The following tables summarize total revenue and total net revenue (which we define as total revenue less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees) by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands of dollars):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Change from Prior Year | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | % |
| Total revenue: | | | | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$1,981,011 | \$1,923,145 | \$57,866 | 3.0 % |
| Heartland School Solutions | 50,541 | 36,614 | 13,927 | 38.0 % |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 44,565 | 21,368 | 23,197 | 108.6 % |
| Campus Solutions | 36,186 | 8,065 | 28,121 | 348.7 % |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------|----|
| Prepaid Card and Other | 23,069 | 24,244 | (1,175 |) (4.8 |)% |
| Total revenue | \$2,135,372 | \$2,013,436 | \$121,936 | 6.1 | % |
| | Year Ended | | Change from | | |
| | December 31, | | Prior Year | | |
| Total net revenue: | 2013 | 2012 | Amount | % | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$444,621 | \$439,604 | \$5,017 | 1.1 | % |
| Heartland School Solutions | 50,541 | 36,614 | 13,927 | 38.0 | % |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 44,565 | 21,368 | 23,197 | 108.6 | % |
| Campus Solutions | 36,186 | 8,065 | 28,121 | 348.7 | % |
| Prepaid Card and Other | 23,069 | 24,244 | (1,175 |) (4.8 |)% |
| Total net revenue | \$598,982 | \$529,895 | \$69,087 | 13.0 | % |

Table of Contents

Card Payment Processing

Card Payment Processing net revenue increased \$5.0 million, or 1.1%, from \$439.6 million in 2012 to \$444.6 million in 2013. This increase was driven by a \$6.5 million or 1.7% increase in SME net revenue, reflecting a 4.0% increase in SME processing volume from \$71.7 billion in 2012 to \$74.6 billion in 2013. This increase in processing volume reflects same store sales growth and the addition of SME merchants whose processing volume exceeded that of merchants who attrited during the year. This SME processing volume includes processing for merchants in our Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, and Prepaid Card businesses. However, net revenue related to that processing volume is included in the net revenue reported for those businesses. Partially offsetting the increase in SME net revenue is a decrease in Network Services net revenue due to a renegotiated contract with a large national petroleum industry customer and a decrease in the number of locations we serve at a large retail merchant.

Heartland School Solutions

Heartland School Solutions net revenue increased 38.0% from \$36.6 million in 2012 to \$50.5 million in 2013. The increase in Heartland School Solutions net revenue reflects the acquisition of Nutrikids made on June 29, 2012 (see "-Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on this acquisition), as well as increases in transaction processing and equipment-related revenues.

Heartland Ovation Payroll

Heartland Ovation Payroll net revenue increased 108.6% from \$21.4 million in 2012 to \$44.6 million in 2013. The increase in payroll processing net revenue is primarily due to the acquisition of Ovation made on December 31, 2012 (see "-Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on this acquisition). Also contributing to the increase in payroll processing net revenue was a 6.8% increase in payroll processing customers from 22,553 at December 31, 2012 to 24,088 at December 31, 2013.

Campus Solutions

Campus Solutions net revenue increased 348.7% from \$8.1 million in 2012 to \$36.2 million in 2013, primarily due to the acquisition of ECSI on December 14, 2012 (see "-Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on this acquisition) and its student loan servicing related revenue, as well as growth in revenue related to our student refund product.

Prepaid Card and Other

Prepaid Card and Other net revenue decreased 4.8% from \$24.2 million in 2012 to \$23.1 million in 2013, due primarily to a decrease in Prepaid card revenue related to the exit of a large customer from Heartland Marketing Solutions in the fourth quarter of 2012 and the sale of a group of merchant contracts that occurred in March 2013. Partially offsetting these decreases in other net revenues is an increase in Micropayments revenue reflecting growth in equipment sales and payment processing for unattended payment locations such as laundry facilities, kiosks and vending machines.

Total expenses. Total expenses increased 12.6% from \$420.1 million in 2012 to \$472.9 million in 2013, due to the increases in Processing and servicing and General and administrative expenses. Total expenses represented 78.9% of total net revenue in 2013, compared to 79.3% in 2012.

Processing and servicing expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by \$20.4 million, or 9.4%, compared with the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in processing and servicing expense was due to increased costs associated with processing and servicing higher SME card processing volume, increased residual commissions and increased cost of sales and servicing related to higher Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, Micropayments and Heartland Ovation Payroll revenues. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in service center costs. As a percentage of total net revenue, processing and servicing expense decreased to 39.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared with 40.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Customer acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased by \$1.4 million, or 3.3% compared with the year ended December 31, 2012. As reflected in the table below, this decline results from faster growth in the capitalized customer acquisition cost asset due to improved levels of new installed margin, than the growth in the accrued buyout liability, which was reduced throughout the year by attrition and buyouts. Customer acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 included the following components (in thousands of dollars):

41

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Amortization of signing bonuses, net | \$27,767 | \$29,244 |
| Amortization of capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | 17,881 | 15,881 |
| Increase in accrued buyout liability | 17,620 | 15,638 |
| Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | (21,159 |) (17,216 |
| Total customer acquisition costs | \$42,109 | \$43,547 |

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased 1.1% from \$19.8 million in 2012 to \$20.0 million in 2013. The increase reflects higher depreciation and amortization for investments in our information technology platforms and amortization of intangible assets recorded in the 2012 acquisitions in our Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions and Heartland Ovation Payroll businesses. Most of our investments in information technology have been for security-related enhancements and in support of the continuing development of our processing platforms. Depreciation and amortization expense recorded on these investments is included in processing and servicing expense. Additionally, we capitalized salaries, fringe benefits and other expenses incurred by our employees that worked on internally developed software projects and we capitalized some outsourced programming expenses as well. Amortization does not begin on the internally developed software until the project is complete and placed in service, at which time we begin to amortize the asset over expected lives of three to seven years. The amount capitalized increased from \$26.7 million in 2012 to \$38.4 million in 2013. The total amount of capitalized costs for projects placed in service in 2013 and 2012 was \$25.7 million and \$22.7 million, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased \$33.6 million, or 24.0%, from \$139.9 million in 2012 to \$173.6 million in 2013. General and administrative expenses in 2013 included \$2.9 million for our periodic sales and servicing organization summit held in October 2013 and during 2012 included \$1.1 million for the summit that was held in March 2012. Excluding these summit expenses, our general and administrative expenses in 2013 increased \$31.8 million, or 22.7%, primarily due to increased personnel costs. This increase in personnel costs is primarily attributable to the 2012 acquisitions of Nutrikids, ECSI and Ovation, as well as other headcount increases. The remaining increase in other general and administrative expenses resulted from our acquisitions, as well as from increased investments and various growth initiatives. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total net revenue for 2013 was 29.0%, an increase from 26.4% for 2012.

Income from operations. As a result of the increase in net revenue and lower aggregate increases in processing and servicing expenses, depreciation and amortization, and a decline in customer acquisition costs, our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, improved to \$126.1 million for 2013, from \$109.8 million for 2012. Our operating margin, which we measure as operating income divided by net revenue, was 21.1% for 2013, compared to 20.7% for 2012.

Interest expense. Interest expense for 2013 and 2012 was \$5.4 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Interest expense in both periods includes interest incurred under our Credit Agreement and Prior Credit Agreement, and interest we recorded on payables to our sponsor banks. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities” for more detail on our Credit Agreement and Prior Credit Agreement. The increase in interest expense primarily reflects higher average borrowings for 2013 and \$0.6 million for the acceleration of prepaid costs associated with our Prior Credit Agreement.

Other income (expense), net. Other, net for 2013 included pre-tax income of approximately \$0.2 million relating to the sale of a group of merchant contracts within our Prepaid Card business.

Other, net for 2012 included pre-tax charges of \$0.9 million related primarily to write downs of capitalized information technology development projects.

Income taxes. Income tax expense from continuing operations for 2013 was \$46.5 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.5%. This compares to income tax expense from continuing operations of \$40.7 million for 2012, an effective tax rate of 38.7%. The overall decrease in our effective tax rate for 2013, as compared to 2012, is due to recording the research and development credits for both the retroactively applied 2012 credit and the current 2013 credit. On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 ("ATR Act") was enacted which included an extension of the federal research and development credit retroactively to 2012 and prospectively for 2013. We recognized the effects of the research and development credits in 2013 in conjunction with filing our 2012 tax return. The favorable impact of the research and development credits on the effective tax rate for 2013 was partially offset by a valuation allowance recorded against the deferred tax assets arising from Leaf's operating losses, and an increase in the state tax rates.

Table of Contents

Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax. Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax reflects the results of operations from our interest in CPOS, which we sold in a transaction settled on January 31, 2013 and recognized a gain on the sale of \$3.8 million, net of tax. We presented the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Net income attributable to Heartland. As a result of the above factors, we recorded net income of \$78.6 million for 2013. This compares to a net income of \$65.9 million for 2012.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

The following table shows certain income statement data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated (in thousands of dollars):

| | 2012 | % of Net Revenue | 2011 | % of Net Revenue | Change Amount | % |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--------|
| Net revenue: | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$2,013,436 | | \$1,985,577 | | \$27,859 | 1.4 % |
| Less: Interchange | 1,284,038 | | 1,359,448 | | (75,410) | (5.5)% |
| Less: Dues, assessments and fees | 199,503 | | 155,233 | | 44,270 | 28.5 % |
| Total net revenue | 529,895 | 100.0 % | 470,896 | 100.0 % | 58,999 | 12.5 % |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Processing and servicing | 216,863 | 40.9 % | 208,542 | 44.3 % | 8,321 | 4.0 % |
| Customer acquisition costs | 43,547 | 8.2 % | 46,140 | 9.8 % | (2,593) | (5.6)% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,750 | 3.7 % | 14,368 | 3.1 % | 5,382 | 37.5 % |
| General and administrative | 139,934 | 26.4 % | 125,765 | 26.7 % | 14,169 | 11.3 % |
| Total expenses | 420,094 | 79.3 % | 394,815 | 83.8 % | 25,279 | 6.4 % |
| Income from operations | 109,801 | 20.7 % | 76,081 | 16.2 % | 33,720 | 44.3 % |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 201 | | 142 | | 59 | 41.5 % |
| Interest expense | (3,446) | (0.7)% | (4,122) | (0.9)% | 676 | 16.4 % |
| (Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs | (563) | (0.1)% | (1,012) | (0.2)% | 449 | 44.4 % |
| Other, net | (949) | (0.2)% | (1,550) | (0.3)% | 601 | 38.8 % |
| Total other expense | (4,757) | (0.9)% | (6,542) | (1.4)% | 1,785 | 27.3 % |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 105,044 | 19.8 % | 69,539 | 14.8 % | 35,505 | 51.1 % |
| Provision for income taxes | 40,691 | 7.7 % | 26,551 | 5.6 % | 14,140 | 53.3 % |
| Net income from continuing operations | 64,353 | 12.1 % | 42,988 | 9.1 % | 21,365 | 49.7 % |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax | 2,185 | 0.4 % | 1,359 | 0.3 % | 826 | 60.8 % |
| Net income | 66,538 | 12.6 % | 44,347 | 9.4 % | 22,191 | 50.0 % |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (a) | 649 | | 408 | | 241 | |
| Net income attributable to Heartland | \$65,889 | 12.4 % | \$43,939 | 9.3 % | \$21,950 | 50.0 % |

(a) Attributable to income from discontinued operations.

Revenue. The following tables summarize total revenue and total net revenue (which we define as total revenue less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees) by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | 2011 | Change from Prior Year Amount | % |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Total revenue: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------|----|
| Card Payment Processing | \$1,923,145 | \$1,925,667 | \$(2,522 |) (0.1 |)% |
| Heartland School Solutions | 36,614 | 11,178 | 25,436 | 227.6 | % |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 21,368 | 19,505 | 1,863 | 9.6 | % |
| Campus Solutions | 8,065 | 6,488 | 1,577 | 24.3 | % |
| Prepaid Card and Other | 24,244 | 22,739 | 1,505 | 6.6 | % |
| Total revenue | \$2,013,436 | \$1,985,577 | \$27,859 | 1.4 | % |

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended | | Change from | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------|---|
| | December 31, | | Prior Year | | |
| Total net revenue: | 2012 | 2011 | Amount | % | % |
| Card Payment Processing | \$439,604 | \$410,986 | \$28,618 | 7.0 | % |
| Heartland School Solutions | 36,614 | 11,178 | 25,436 | 227.6 | % |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 21,368 | 19,505 | 1,863 | 9.6 | % |
| Campus Solutions | 8,065 | 6,488 | 1,577 | 24.3 | % |
| Prepaid Card and Other | 24,244 | 22,739 | 1,505 | 6.6 | % |
| Total net revenue | \$529,895 | \$470,896 | \$58,999 | 12.5 | % |

Card Payment Processing

Card Payment Processing net revenue increased 7.0% from \$411.0 million in 2011 to \$439.6 million in 2012, reflecting a 6.3% increase in SME processing volume from \$67.5 billion in 2011 to \$71.7 billion in 2012. This increase in processing volume reflects same store sales growth and the addition of SME merchants whose processing volume exceeded that of merchants who attrited during the year. This SME processing volume includes processing for merchants in our Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, and Prepaid Card businesses. However, net revenue related to that processing volume is included in the net revenue reported for those businesses. Partially offsetting the increase in SME card payment processing net revenue is a decrease in net revenue for Network Services due to renegotiated contracts with large national petroleum industry customers and a decrease in the number of locations we serve at a large retail merchant.

Heartland School Solutions

Heartland School Solutions net revenue increased 227.6% from \$11.2 million in 2011 to \$36.6 million in 2012. The increase in Heartland School Solutions net revenue reflects acquisitions we made in 2011 and 2012 (see "—Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on these acquisitions). At December 31, 2012, our customer base included 29,000 K to 12 schools, compared to approximately 19,000 K to 12 schools at December 31, 2011. Of those, approximately 25,000 and 15,000 schools at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were using our online prepayment system.

Heartland Ovation Payroll

Payroll processing net revenue increased 9.6% from \$19.5 million in 2011 to \$21.4 million in 2012, primarily due to price increases for at least half of our payroll processing customers and a 4.7% increase in the number of payroll processing customers (excluding Ovation customers acquired on December 31, 2012) from 11,841 at December 31, 2011 to 12,403 at December 31, 2012.

Campus Solutions

Campus solutions net revenue increased 24.3% from \$6.5 million in 2011 to \$8.1 million in 2012, primarily due to \$1.0 million of net revenue associated with the acquisition of ECSI on December 14, 2012 (see "—Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on this acquisition), as well as growth in revenue related to our student refund product.

Prepaid Card and Other

Prepaid Card and Other net revenue increased 6.6% from \$22.7 million in 2011 to \$24.2 million in 2012, due primarily to an increase in Micropayments revenue reflecting growth in equipment sales and payment processing for unattended payment locations such as laundry facilities, kiosks and vending machines and an increase in Marketing Solutions net revenue.

Total expenses. Total expenses increased 6.4% from \$394.8 million in 2011 to \$420.1 million in 2012, due to increases in general and administrative, processing and servicing, and depreciation and amortization expenses. Partially

offsetting these increases was a decrease in customer acquisition costs. Total expenses represented 79.3% of total net revenue in 2012, compared to 83.8% in 2011.

Processing and servicing expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$8.3 million, or 4.0%, compared with the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in processing and servicing expense was due to increased costs associated with processing and servicing higher SME card processing volume, increased residual commission expense and increased cost of sales and servicing related to higher Heartland School Solutions revenues. The increase in processing and servicing expense also reflects refined allocations of certain information technology related expenses, previously reported in general and administrative expense, to processing and servicing in the year ended December 31, 2012. Partially offsetting the increases in processing and servicing expense were decreases in merchant losses, service center expense and card related equipment costs. As a percentage of total net revenue, processing and servicing expense decreased to 40.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with 44.3% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Customer acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased by \$2.6 million, or 5.6% compared with the year ended December 31, 2011. This decline primarily reflects the impacts of lower signing bonus amortization, as capitalized signing bonuses recorded in periods having higher new gross margin installs have become fully amortized. Customer acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 included the following components (in thousands of dollars):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Amortization of signing bonuses, net (a) | \$29,244 | \$32,088 |
| Amortization of capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | 15,881 | 15,460 |
| Increase in accrued buyout liability | 15,638 | 13,228 |
| Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | (17,216) | (14,276) |
| Total customer acquisition costs | \$43,547 | \$46,500 |

(a) Includes Other, net impairment expense related to our remote check deposit product, Express Funds in 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased 37.5% from \$14.4 million in 2011 to \$19.8 million in 2012. The increase reflects higher depreciation and amortization for investments in our information technology platforms and amortization of intangible assets recorded in the Heartland School Solutions and Campus Solutions acquisitions. Most of our investments in information technology have been for security-related enhancements and in support of the continuing development of our processing platforms. Depreciation and amortization expense recorded on these investments is included in processing and servicing expense. Additionally, we capitalized salaries, fringe benefits and other expenses incurred by our employees that worked on internally developed software projects and we capitalized some outsourced programming expenses as well. Amortization does not begin on the internally developed software until the project is complete and placed in service, at which time we begin to amortize the asset over expected lives of three to seven years. The amount capitalized increased from \$22.6 million in 2011 to \$26.7 million in 2012. The total amount of capitalized costs for projects placed in service in 2012 and 2011 was \$22.7 million and \$15.9 million, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased \$14.2 million, or 11.3%, from \$125.8 million in 2011 to \$139.9 million in 2012. General and administrative expenses increased primarily due to an \$11.5 million increase in personnel costs, including a \$4.6 million increase for share-based compensation and increased personnel costs related to the Heartland School Solutions acquisitions. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 benefited from refined allocations of certain information technology related expenses, previously reported in general and administrative expense, now recorded in processing and servicing expense. Offsetting this benefit was the increase in personnel costs which included additional personnel costs relating to the acquisition of the Heartland School Solutions businesses and an increase in share-based

compensation expense. See "—Critical Accounting Estimates - Share-based Compensation" for more detail on share-based compensation expense. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total net revenue for 2012 was 26.4%, a decrease from 26.7% for 2011.

Income from operations. As a result of the increase in net revenue and lower increases in processing and servicing expenses and general and administrative expenses, our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, improved to \$109.8 million for 2012, from \$76.1 million for 2011. Our operating margin, which we measure as operating income divided by net revenue, was 20.7% for 2012, compared to 16.2% for 2011.

Interest expense. Interest expense for 2012 and 2011 was \$3.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. Interest expense in both periods includes interest incurred under our Credit Facilities and interest we recorded on payables to our sponsor banks.

The decline in interest expense primarily reflects lower borrowings for most of the year due to payments we made on our Term

Loan Facility. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities" for more detail on our borrowings.

Provision for processing system intrusion costs. On January 20, 2009, we publicly announced the discovery of a criminal breach of our payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed during the transaction authorization process. We believe the breach did not extend beyond 2008.

Since our announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2012, we have expensed a total of \$147.6 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The

Table of Contents

majority of the total charges, or approximately \$114.7 million, were related to settlements of claims. Approximately \$32.9 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services.

During 2012, we incurred approximately \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per share, for legal fees and costs incurred related to the Processing System Intrusion. During 2011, we expensed approximately \$1.0 million, or \$0.02 per share, related to the Processing System Intrusion.

Other income (expense), net. Other, net for 2012 included pre-tax charges of \$0.9 million related primarily to write downs of capitalized information technology development projects.

Other, net for 2011 included pre-tax charges of \$0.8 million reflecting the costs (primarily accrued staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with closing our Johnson City, Tennessee service center and pre-tax charges of \$1.1 million relating to the decision to discontinue Express Funds, our remote deposit check capture product. Also included in other, net is pre-tax income of \$0.3 million related to an earnout payment relating to the 2010 sale of SME merchant processing contracts.

Income taxes. Income tax expense from continuing operations for 2012 was \$40.7 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.7%. This compares to income tax expense from continuing operations of \$26.6 million for 2011, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.2%. The increase in the effective tax rate for 2012 was due to recording income tax expense on the undistributed earnings of CPOS. In the fourth quarter 2012, we entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because we will no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, we accrued and charged to U.S. income tax expense net of foreign tax credits the amount of \$0.4 million on our 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012.

Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax. Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax reflects the results of operations from our interest in CPOS, which we sold in a transaction settled on January 31, 2013 and recognized a gain on the sale of \$3.8 million, net of tax. We presented the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Net income attributable to Heartland. As a result of the above factors, we recorded net income of \$65.9 million for 2012. This compares to a net income of \$43.9 million for 2011.

Balance Sheet Information

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$71,932 | \$48,440 |
| Funds held for customers | 127,375 | 131,405 |
| Receivables, net | 200,040 | 180,448 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Capitalized customer acquisition costs, net | 61,027 | 56,425 |
| Property and equipment, net | 147,388 | 125,031 |
| Goodwill | 190,978 | 168,062 |
| Intangible assets, net | 49,857 | 53,594 |
| Total assets | 900,305 | 813,414 |
| Due to sponsor banks | 19,109 | 37,586 |
| Accounts payable | 70,814 | 64,065 |
| Customer fund deposits | 127,375 | 131,405 |
| Processing liabilities | 130,871 | 95,273 |
| Borrowings: | | |
| Current portion | — | 102,001 |
| Long-term portion | 150,000 | 50,000 |
| Accrued buyout liability: | | |
| Current portion | 13,943 | 10,478 |
| Long-term portion | 25,436 | 24,932 |
| Total liabilities | 633,642 | 602,253 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 260,475 | 209,786 |

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013 Compared to December 31, 2012

Total assets increased \$86.9 million, or 10.7%, to \$900.3 million at December 31, 2013 from \$813.4 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to increases of \$23.5 million in cash, \$19.6 million in receivables, \$22.4 million in property and equipment, and \$22.9 million in goodwill. Partially offsetting these increases was a \$17.0 million decrease in assets held for sale related to CPOS, which settled in January 2013. The increase in goodwill is primarily due to the September 11, 2013 purchase of 66.67% of the outstanding capital stock of Leaf Holdings, Inc ("Leaf"). See “- Liquidity and Capital Resources” for more detail on the Leaf transaction. The increase in property and equipment reflects our continued investments in our information technology and products.

At December 31, 2013, cash totaled \$71.9 million, an increase of \$23.5 million, or 48.5%, compared to cash of \$48.4 million at December 31, 2012. Our December 31, 2013 cash balance included approximately \$32.1 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral, compared to approximately \$31.6 million of cash in transit and collateral at December 31, 2012. Excluding the processing-related cash balances, our operating cash balance increased \$23.1 million, or 137.3%. At December 31, 2013, we did not use any of our available cash to fund merchant advances, while at December 31, 2012, we had used \$3.8 million of our available cash to fund advances of interchange. Interchange advances are included in our receivables from card processing merchants and are collected at the beginning of the following month. See “— Liquidity and Capital Resources” for more detail on cash provided by operating activities.

Our receivables, which increased \$19.6 million, or 10.9%, to \$200.0 million at December 31, 2013 from \$180.4 million at December 31, 2012, are primarily due from our card processing merchants and result in large part from our practice of advancing interchange fees to most of our SME merchants during the month and collecting those fees from merchants at the beginning of the following month, as well as from transaction fees we charge merchants for processing transactions. The portion of our total receivables which are due from SME card processing merchants increased \$11.3 million from December 31, 2012. The amount due to our sponsor banks for funding merchant interchange advances was \$17.8 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$36.3 million at December 31, 2012. The payable to sponsor banks is repaid at the beginning of the following month out of the fees we collect from our merchants.

Total receivables also include amounts due from bankcard networks for pre-funding Discover and American Express transactions to our merchants. These amounts are recovered from the bankcard networks the following business day from the date of processing the transaction. Amounts due from bankcard networks at December 31, 2013 increased \$6.9 million from December 31, 2012 reflecting overall higher processing volume for the period ending December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. Processing liabilities at December 31, 2013 also increased, reflecting this higher processing volume.

Total borrowings decreased \$2.0 million, or 1.3%, to \$150.0 million at December 31, 2013 from \$152.0 million at December 31, 2012, primarily reflecting \$15.0 million of quarterly amortization payments made under the Term Credit Facility partially offset by additional borrowings of \$13.0 million that were made under our Credit Facility. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities” for discussion of our Credit Facilities.

Total stockholders' equity increased \$50.7 million from December 31, 2012 primarily due to recording net income of \$78.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$14.2 million of proceeds received from the exercise of stock options, tax benefits of \$11.6 million related to those stock option exercises and \$12.8 million of share-based compensation expense. Partially offsetting this increase was \$50.3 million reflecting the cost of purchasing treasury shares and \$10.3 million for dividends declared on our common stock.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. Liquidity and capital resource management is a process focused on providing the funding we need to meet our short and long-term cash and working capital needs. We have used our funding sources to build our merchant portfolio, our technology platforms, and our service center, and to make acquisitions with the expectation that these investments will generate cash flows sufficient to cover our working capital needs and other anticipated needs for capital.

Our cash requirements include funding payments to salespersons for signing bonuses, residual commissions and residual buyouts, paying interest expense and other operating expenses, including taxes, investing in our technology infrastructure, and making acquisitions of businesses or assets. We expect that our future cash requirements will continue to include amounts used to repurchase our common stock and pay dividends, both as authorized by our Board of Directors.

Other than borrowings we used to fund certain acquisitions, we fund our cash needs primarily with cash flow from our operating activities and through our agreements with our sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances. We believe that our

Table of Contents

current cash and investment balances, cash generated from operations and our agreements with our sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our anticipated needs for operating capital for at least the next twelve months.

Working Capital. Our working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, was positive by \$37.8 million at December 31, 2013, compared to negative working capital of \$85.5 million at December 31, 2012. The change in working capital from negative in 2012 to positive in 2013 resulted primarily from refinancing our Prior Credit Agreement and classifying our borrowings of \$150.0 million under the new Revolving Credit Facility as a long-term liability at December 31, 2013. The revolving borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility at December 31, 2012 were classified as a current liability since management's intent was to repay the revolving borrowings within twelve months. The refinancing occurred on October 23, 2013. During 2012, our working capital was negative primarily due to classifying \$102.0 million of our borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility as current and using \$103.4 million of operating cash to repurchase 3,634,044 shares of our common stock during 2012. See “—Credit Facilities” for a discussion of our Revolving Credit Facility and “—Common Stock Repurchases” for a discussion of our common stock repurchase programs.

At December 31, 2013 we had cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheet totaling \$71.9 million compared to cash of \$48.4 million at December 31, 2012. Our cash balance included processing-related cash in transit and collateral of \$32.1 million and \$31.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On December 31, 2013, we had \$200.0 million available under our Revolving Credit Facility. See “— Credit Facilities” for more details.

Acquisitions and Divestitures. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we, along with the 30% non-controlling shareholders of CPOS, entered into an agreement to sell CPOS to a third party. CPOS, which operates as a provider of payment processing services in Canada, was not a significant subsidiary and we had no continuing involvement in its operations. After receiving regulatory approval, the buyer settled this sale on January 31, 2013. The total sales price was \$30.3 million cash including net working capital, of which we received \$20.9 million for our 70% ownership position.

On September 11, 2013, we purchased 66.67% of Leaf's outstanding capital stock for a \$14.5 million cash payment. The purchase price was financed from operating cash flows. Leaf provides us with a cloud-based, software-as-a-service (SaaS), Point-of-Sale (POS) system to deliver an open-architecture platform supporting numerous vertical-specific business applications. Leaf products provide a mobile payment platform built for local commerce, helping retail stores, restaurants, and other local merchants improve the speed and ease of checkout and offering easy-to-use business management, analytics, and customer engagement.

On December 31, 2012, we purchased for a \$44.2 million cash payment the stock of Ovation. The cash purchase price was financed under the our Prior Credit Facility. The acquisition expanded our existing payroll processing business. Ovation added over 10,000 clients in 48 states providing payroll processing, payroll tax preparation, Internet payroll reporting, and direct deposit. This acquisition added scale to our HOP payroll platform, leveraging operating costs, and also adds management, a new sales approach including an affinity partner network and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

On December 14, 2012, we purchased for a \$37.6 million cash payment the stock of ECSI and net assets of related entities. The cash purchase price was financed under our Prior Credit Facility. The acquisition expanded our Campus Solutions division. ECSI supports the entire life cycle of higher education and post-graduation school/student services, including student loan payment processing, default solutions, refund services, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing to more than 1,800 colleges and universities nationwide. With this acquisition, our Campus Solutions business gained ECSI's client portfolio, boosting its number

of higher education clients to more than 2,000 colleges and universities throughout North America.

We initiated our Heartland School Solutions business through acquisitions of the school solutions businesses operated by Lunchbox, Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link Technologies and Lunch Byte Systems which served approximately 4,400, 3,700, 900, 10,000 and 10,000 schools, respectively. Heartland School Solutions develops, manufactures, sells, services and maintains POS systems that include software designed to facilitate accounting and management functions of school food service operations. These acquisitions allowed us to enter this business line, and provided the Company with the ability to offer Internet payment capability to parents, which facilitates on-line deposits of funds into student accounts and enables schools to operate more efficiently. We have consolidated the individual platforms and products to optimize synergies, cost efficiencies and product offerings to customers. The following summarizes funding of these five acquisitions:

Table of Contents

On December 30, 2010, we purchased for a \$7.7 million cash payment the net assets of Lunchbox. This acquisition was financed through a combination of cash on hand and our Prior Credit Facility. We repaid the amount we borrowed in the first quarter of 2011.

On January 12, 2011, we purchased for a \$6.1 million cash payment the net assets of Comalex, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On February 4, 2011, we purchased for a \$1.5 million cash payment the net assets of mySchoolBucks, LLC. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On September 30, 2011, we purchased for a \$15.6 million cash payment the net assets of School-Link Technologies, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On June 29, 2012, we purchased for a \$26.0 million cash payment the net assets of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a. "Nutrikids"). The \$26.0 million cash payment made on June 29, 2012 was funded through our Prior Credit Facility and subsequently repaid with cash on hand in July 2012.

Cash Flow Provided By Operating Activities. We reported net cash provided by operating activities of \$112.1 million in 2013, compared to \$171.8 million in 2012 and \$107.2 million in 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2013, 2012 and 2011 reflects the benefit of increases in pre-tax net income for those years as adjusted for non-cash operating items including depreciation and amortization and deferred taxes, and offset by the gain on the sale of business. Cash provided by operating activities in 2013 and 2012 were reduced by payments for income taxes of \$38.8 million and \$25.8 million, respectively, while 2011 operating cash flow benefited from a \$16.7 million tax refund received from the carry back of our 2010 federal tax operating loss to prior years.

Cash provided by operating activities is impacted by the timing of cash flows related to our processing-related assets and liabilities. In 2013, cash provided by operating activities reflects uses of cash for an increase in receivables and a decrease in amounts due to sponsor bank, partially offset by an increase in processing liabilities. In 2012, cash provided by operating activities reflects benefits from increases in payables, especially related to increased dues and fees payable to the card networks, and an increase in processing liabilities, partially offset by a reduction in amounts due to sponsor banks.

Other major determinants of operating cash flow are net signing bonus payments, which consume operating cash as we install new merchants, and payouts on the accrued buyout liability, which represent the costs of buying out residual commissions owned by our salespersons. See "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs" and "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Accrued Buyout Liability" for more information. We paid net signing bonuses of \$29.1 million, \$29.3 million, and \$29.0 million, respectively, in 2013, 2012 and 2011. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, we reduced our accrued buyout liability by making buyout payments of \$13.7 million, \$11.9 million, and \$10.4 million, respectively.

Cash Flow Used In Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$52.0 million for 2013, \$139.8 million for 2012 and \$60.7 million in 2011. Cash flows from investing activities for 2013 reflect the \$19.3 million of net proceeds received from the sale of CPOS. The amount of cash used in investing activities during 2013 included \$14.5 million for the investment in Leaf. The amount of cash used in investing activities during 2012 included \$103.5 million for the acquisitions of NutriKids, ECSI, and Ovation. During 2011, we used cash of \$23.2 million for the acquisitions of Comalex, mySchoolBucks and School-Link Technologies.

We made capital expenditures of \$52.9 million, \$34.5 million and \$36.5 million in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. We continue building our technology infrastructure, primarily for hardware and software needed for the development and expansion of our products and operating platforms. To further develop our technology, we anticipate that these expenditures will continue near current levels.

Cash Flow Used In Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$38.8 million in 2013, \$21.8 million in 2012 and \$47.8 million in 2011.

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, we made term loan amortization payments due under our Prior Credit Agreement of \$15.0 million each year. On October 23, 2013, we drew down \$150.0 million on our Revolving Credit Facility and

used those proceeds to repay borrowings outstanding under our Prior Credit Agreement. During 2012, we drew down a total of \$133.0 million on our Prior Revolving Credit Facility and repaid \$51.0 million on that revolving facility during that year. The outstanding balance of \$82.0 million at December 31, 2012 was used to fund the acquisitions of ECSI and Ovation. See “— Credit Facilities” for more details.

Cash used in financing activities in 2013, 2012 and 2011 included cash used for common stock repurchases. See “—Common Stock Repurchases” for more information on our common stock repurchase authorizations. We used \$49.6 million of cash to repurchase 1,486,783 shares of our common stock during 2013, \$103.8 million of cash to repurchase 3,634,044 shares

Table of Contents

of our common stock during 2012 and \$16.4 million of cash to repurchase 778,889 shares of our common stock during 2011. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, employees exercised stock options generating cash proceeds in the aggregate of \$14.2 million, \$18.3 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, and tax benefits of \$11.6 million, \$6.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

Cash dividends paid in 2013 were \$10.3 million, compared to \$9.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, in 2012 and 2011. See “— Dividends on Common Stock” for more information on our common stock dividends.

Credit Facilities. On October 23, 2013, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and certain lenders who are a party to the Credit Agreement. This Credit Agreement replaces our November 2010 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Prior Credit Agreement”). Credit extended under the Credit Agreement is guaranteed by our subsidiaries and is secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our subsidiaries.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility in the aggregate amount of up to \$350 million (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), of which up to \$35 million may be used for the issuance of letters of credit and up to \$35 million is available for swing line loans. The Revolving Credit Facility also provides for, upon the prior approval of the administrative agent and subject to the receipt of commitments, an increase of \$150 million to the total revolving commitments for a total commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility of \$500 million. The Revolving Credit Facility is available to us on a revolving basis until October 23, 2018. All principal and interest not previously paid on the Revolving Credit Facility will mature and be due and payable on October 23, 2018.

The Credit Agreement and the Prior Credit Agreement contain covenants which include: maintenance of certain leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios; limitations on our indebtedness, liens on our properties and assets, investments in, and loans to other business units, our ability to enter into business combinations and asset sales; and certain other financial and non-financial covenants. These covenants also apply to our subsidiaries. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and expect we will remain in compliance with the covenants of the Credit Agreement for at least the next twelve months.

The Prior Credit Agreement provided a term credit facility (the “Term Credit Facility”). The Term Credit Facility required amortization payments in the amount of \$5.0 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014.

On October 23, 2013, we drew down \$150.0 million on our Revolving Credit Facility and used those proceeds to repay borrowings then outstanding under our Prior Credit Agreement which included: \$55.0 million under the Term Credit Facility and \$91.0 million under the Prior Credit Agreement revolving credit facility (the “Prior Revolving Credit Facility”). The remainder of the proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility was used to provide ongoing working capital and for other general purposes. At December 31, 2013, there was \$150.0 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2012, there was \$70.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility and \$82.0 million outstanding under the Prior Revolving Credit Facility.

Common Stock Repurchases. On each of October 21, 2011, July 27, 2012, and November 2, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50.0 million of our outstanding common stock under each authorization. On May 8, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$75.0 million of our outstanding common stock. Repurchases under the October 21, 2011 and July 27, 2012 authorizations were completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 and repurchases under the November 2, 2012 authorization were completed during the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The May 8, 2013 authorization is ongoing. Repurchases under these programs were made through the open market from time to time in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. We intend to

fund any repurchases with cash flow from operations, existing cash on the balance sheet, and other sources including our Revolving Credit Facility and the proceeds of options exercises. The manner, timing and amount of repurchases, if any, will be determined by management and will depend on a variety of factors, including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, market conditions and other corporate liquidity requirements. The repurchase program may be modified or discontinued at any time.

A summary of repurchase activity under these authorizations is as follows:

50

Table of Contents

| | Repurchase Programs by Authorization Date | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| | October 2011 | July 2012 | November 2012 | May 2013 | Total |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | — | — | 952,183 | 534,600 | 1,486,783 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | — | — | \$29,813 | \$20,488 | \$50,301 |
| Average cost per share | — | — | \$31.31 | \$38.32 | \$33.83 |
| Remaining authorization (in thousands) | — | — | — | \$54,512 | \$54,512 |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | 1,157,440 | 1,760,804 | 715,800 | — | 3,634,044 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | \$33,172 | \$50,000 | \$20,187 | — | \$103,359 |
| Average cost per share | \$28.66 | \$28.40 | \$28.20 | — | \$28.44 |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | 778,889 | — | — | — | 778,889 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | \$16,828 | — | — | — | \$16,828 |
| Average cost per share | \$21.61 | — | — | — | \$21.61 |

Dividends on Common Stock. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, our Board of Directors declared the following quarterly cash dividends on common stock:

| Date Declared | Record Date | Date Paid | Amount Paid Per Common Share |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
| February 7, 2013 | March 4, 2013 | March 15, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| April 30, 2013 | May 24, 2013 | June 15, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| July 30, 2013 | August 23, 2013 | September 13, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| October 29, 2013 | November 22, 2013 | December 13, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
| February 8, 2012 | March 2, 2012 | March 15, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| May 1, 2012 | May 24, 2012 | June 15, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| July 27, 2012 | August 24, 2012 | September 14, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| November 1, 2012 | November 23, 2012 | December 14, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | | | |
| February 16, 2011 | March 4, 2011 | March 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| May 13, 2011 | May 24, 2011 | June 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| August 2, 2011 | August 24, 2011 | September 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| October 21, 2011 | November 24, 2011 | December 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |

On February 5, 2014, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share of common stock, payable on March 14, 2014 to stockholders of record as of March 3, 2014.

Contractual Obligations. The card brand networks generally allow chargebacks up to four months after the later of the date the transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to the cardholder. If the merchant incurring the chargeback is unable to fund the refund to the card issuing bank, we must do so. As the majority of our SME transactions involve the delivery of the product or service at the time of the transaction, a good basis to estimate our exposure to chargebacks is the last four months' card processing volume on our SME portfolio, which was \$24.4 billion for the four months ended December 31, 2013 and \$23.5 billion for the four months ended December 31, 2012. However, during the four months ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we were presented with \$11.7 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, of chargebacks by issuing banks. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, we incurred merchant credit losses of \$3.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, on total SME card dollar volumes processed of \$74.6 billion, \$71.7 billion and \$67.5 billion respectively. These credit losses are included in processing and servicing expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

| Contractual Obligations | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 to 3 years | 3 to 5 years | More than 5 years |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Processing providers (a) | \$12,922 | \$6,844 | \$6,078 | \$— | \$— |
| Telecommunications providers (b) | 6,939 | 3,359 | 2,743 | 837 | — |
| Facility and equipment leases | 38,907 | 10,410 | 13,226 | 7,251 | 8,020 |
| Revolving Credit Facility (c) | 150,000 | — | — | 150,000 | — |
| | \$208,768 | \$20,613 | \$22,047 | \$158,088 | \$8,020 |

(a) We have agreements with several third-party processors to provide to us on a non-exclusive basis payment processing and transmittal, transaction authorization and data capture services, and access to various reporting tools. Our agreements with third-party processors require us to submit a minimum monthly number of transactions or volume for processing. If we submit a number of transactions or volume that is lower than the minimum, we are required to pay the third-party processors the fees that they would have received if we had submitted the required minimum number or volume of transactions.

(b) We have agreements in place with several large telecommunications companies that provide data center services, wide area network connectivity, and voice services that are used by both our call center and card payment processing platforms. These providers require both dollar and term commitments for the services they provide. If we do not meet the minimum terms, then there is a requirement to pay the remaining commitments.

(c) Interest rates on the Revolving Credit Facility are variable in nature; however, we are party to fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having a remaining notional amount at December 31, 2013 of \$25.0 million. If interest rates were to remain at the December 31, 2013 level, we would make interest payments of \$1.4 million in the next year and \$0.5 million in the next 1 to 3 years or a total of \$2.0 million including net settlements on the fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps. The Revolving Credit Facility is available on a revolving basis until October 23, 2018.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits. At December 31, 2013, we had gross tax-effected unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$5.6 million. See “— Critical Accounting Estimates — Income Taxes.” As of December 31, 2013 we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authority, hence the unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded from the above commitment and contractual obligations table.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any transactions with third parties or unconsolidated entities whereby we have financial guarantees, subordinated retained interest, derivative instruments, or other contingent arrangements that expose us to material continuing risks, contingent liabilities, or other obligations other than for chargebacks and reject losses described under “— Critical Accounting Estimates.”

Legal and Regulatory Considerations

Processing System Intrusion Legal Proceedings

To date, we have had several lawsuits filed against us that arose out of the Processing System Intrusion and additional lawsuits may be filed. For information concerning pending lawsuits, see “— Item 3. Legal Proceedings.” Although we intend to defend the lawsuits described in Item 3 vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of such lawsuits.

Other Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk. Our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates.

We have interest rate risk related to our payable to our sponsor banks. Within our amount payable to our sponsor banks are balances which our sponsor banks have advanced to our SME merchants for interchange fees. Historically, these advances to our SME merchants were funded first with our available cash, then by incurring a payable to our sponsor banks when that cash had been expended. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2012, these merchant advances are first funded from settlement cash received from bankcard networks when receipt of that settlement cash precedes the funding obligation to the SME merchant. At December 31, 2013, our payable to sponsor banks included \$17.8 million for funding advances to our merchants. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the average daily interest-bearing balance of that payable was approximately

Table of Contents

\$41.1 million. A hypothetical 100 basis point change in short-term interest rates applied to our average payable to sponsor banks would result in a change of approximately \$411,000 in annual pre-tax income.

We also incur interest rate risk on borrowings under our Credit Agreement. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities” for more detail on our Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility in the aggregate amount of up to \$350 million (the “Revolving Credit Facility”). The Revolving Credit Facility is available to the Company on a revolving basis until October 23, 2018. All principal and interest not previously paid on the Revolving Credit Facility will mature and be due and payable on October 23, 2018. At December 31, 2013, there was \$150.0 million of variable rate debt outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. We entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having a remaining notional amount of \$25.0 million at December 31, 2013. These interest rate swaps are assigned to the Revolving Credit Facility and convert \$25.0 million of variable rate debt to fixed rate, managing the risk of changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the variable interest rate. These interest rate swaps amortize at \$2.5 million per quarter in 2014 and \$3.75 million per quarter in 2015 until their maturing in November 2015. The impact which a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in short-term interest rates would have on our outstanding December 31, 2013 balances under the Credit Agreement would be a decline of approximately \$1.3 million in annual pre-tax income, including the effect from interest rate swaps.

While the bulk of our cash and cash-equivalents are held in checking accounts or money market funds, we do hold certain fixed-income investments with maturities within three years. At December 31, 2013, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in short-term interest rates would result in an increase of approximately \$79,000 in annual pre-tax income from money market fund holdings, but a decrease in the value of fixed-rate investments of approximately \$172,000. A hypothetical 100 basis point decrease in short-term interest rates would result in a decrease of approximately \$79,000 in annual pre-tax income from money market funds, but an increase in the value of fixed-rate instruments of approximately \$172,000.

Foreign Currency Risk. Substantially all of our business is conducted in U.S. dollars. Prior to January 2013, our previously 70% owned Canadian processing subsidiary, CPOS, which conducted its operations in Canadian dollars, exposed us to foreign currency risk. Consequently, a portion of CPOS' revenues and expenses, which are reflected in Income from Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income, were affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We were also affected by fluctuations in exchange rates on assets and liabilities, which are reflected in assets held for sale and liabilities related to assets held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, related to our CPOS subsidiary. We had not hedged our translation risk on foreign currency exposure. For the year ended December 31, 2013, foreign currency exposures had an immaterial impact on our revenues and our net income. For 2012, fluctuations in exchange rates on CPOS' assets and liabilities increased our total other comprehensive income and noncontrolling interests by \$0.3 million.

We do not hold or engage in the trading of foreign exchange instruments.

New Accounting Pronouncements—From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) or other standards setting bodies that are adopted by us as of the specified effective date.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which provides guidance on the risks that are permitted to be hedged in a fair value or cash flow hedge. Among those risks for financial assets and financial liabilities is the risk of changes in a hedged item's fair value or a hedged transaction's cash flows attributable to changes in the designated benchmark interest rate (referred to as interest rate risk). This update is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which provides explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The amendments in this update are expected to reduce diversity in practice by providing guidance on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits and will reflect the manner in which an entity would settle at the reporting date any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position when net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards exist. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The amendments would be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The implementation of this update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries:

Page

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Consolidated Financial Statements

55

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

56

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

57

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

58

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended

59

December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31,

60

2013, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and

61

2011

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

62

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 27, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
February 27, 2014

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated February 27, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
February 27, 2014

56

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Balance Sheets
 (In thousands, except share data)

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$71,932 | \$48,440 |
| Funds held for customers | 127,375 | 131,405 |
| Receivables, net | 200,040 | 180,448 |
| Investments | 4,101 | 1,199 |
| Inventory | 11,087 | 9,694 |
| Prepaid expenses | 15,284 | 10,421 |
| Current tax assets | 10,426 | — |
| Current deferred tax assets, net | 9,548 | 10,475 |
| Assets held for sale | — | 17,044 |
| Total current assets | 449,793 | 409,126 |
| Capitalized customer acquisition costs, net | 61,027 | 56,425 |
| Property and equipment, net | 147,388 | 125,031 |
| Goodwill | 190,978 | 168,062 |
| Intangible assets, net | 49,857 | 53,594 |
| Deposits and other assets, net | 1,262 | 1,176 |
| Total assets | \$900,305 | \$813,414 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Due to sponsor banks | \$19,109 | \$37,586 |
| Accounts payable | 70,814 | 64,065 |
| Customer fund deposits | 127,375 | 131,405 |
| Processing liabilities | 130,871 | 95,273 |
| Current portion of accrued buyout liability | 13,943 | 10,478 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 49,861 | 47,817 |
| Current portion of borrowings | — | 102,001 |
| Current tax liabilities | — | 4,323 |
| Liabilities related to assets held for sale | — | 1,672 |
| Total current liabilities | 411,973 | 494,620 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | 40,600 | 29,632 |
| Reserve for unrecognized tax benefits | 5,633 | 3,069 |
| Long-term portion of borrowings | 150,000 | 50,000 |
| Long-term portion of accrued buyout liability | 25,436 | 24,932 |
| Total liabilities | 633,642 | 602,253 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 17) | — | — |
| Equity | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 37,485,486 and 37,571,708 shares issued at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012; 36,950,886 and 36,855,908 outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | 37 | 38 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 245,055 | 222,705 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (88 |) (399 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|
| Retained earnings | 35,960 | 7,629 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (534,600 and 715,800 shares at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012) | (20,489 |) (20,187) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 260,475 | 209,786 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 6,188 | 1,375 |
| Total equity | 266,663 | 211,161 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$900,305 | \$813,414 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total revenues | \$2,135,372 | \$2,013,436 | \$1,985,577 |
| Costs of services: | | | |
| Interchange | 1,335,487 | 1,284,038 | 1,359,448 |
| Dues, assessments and fees | 200,903 | 199,503 | 155,233 |
| Processing and servicing | 237,232 | 216,863 | 208,542 |
| Customer acquisition costs | 42,109 | 43,547 | 46,140 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,975 | 19,750 | 14,368 |
| Total costs of services | 1,835,706 | 1,763,701 | 1,783,731 |
| General and administrative | 173,568 | 139,934 | 125,765 |
| Total expenses | 2,009,274 | 1,903,635 | 1,909,496 |
| Income from operations | 126,098 | 109,801 | 76,081 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 124 | 201 | 142 |
| Interest expense | (5,429) |) (3,446 |) (4,122 |
| Provision for processing system intrusion costs | (353) |) (563 |) (1,012 |
| Other, net | 112 | (949) |) (1,550 |
| Total other expense | (5,546) |) (4,757 |) (6,542 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 120,552 | 105,044 | 69,539 |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,450 | 40,691 | 26,551 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 74,102 | 64,353 | 42,988 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax of \$2,135, \$803 and \$575 | 3,970 | 2,185 | 1,359 |
| Net income | 78,072 | 66,538 | 44,347 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | |
| Continuing operations | (610) |) — | — |
| Discontinued operations | 56 | 649 | 408 |
| Net income attributable to Heartland | \$78,626 | \$65,889 | \$43,939 |
| Amounts Attributable to Heartland: | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interests | \$74,712 | \$64,353 | \$42,988 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax and noncontrolling interests | 3,914 | 1,536 | 951 |
| Net income attributable to Heartland | \$78,626 | \$65,889 | \$43,939 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$2.03 | \$1.67 | \$1.10 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$2.14 | \$1.71 | \$1.13 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$1.96 | \$1.60 | \$1.07 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.02 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted earnings per share | \$2.06 | \$1.64 | \$1.09 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 36,791 | 38,468 | 38,931 |
| Diluted | 38,053 | 40,058 | 40,233 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsHeartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 78,072 | \$ 66,538 | \$ 44,347 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of income tax of \$8, \$21 and \$(4) | 12 | 33 | (5) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of tax of \$153, \$29 and (\$341) | 254 | 51 | (556) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (54) | 281 | (223) |
| Comprehensive income | 78,284 | 66,903 | 43,563 |
| Less: Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (570) | 733 | 341 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Heartland | \$ 78,854 | \$ 66,170 | \$ 43,222 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

59

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Equity
 (In thousands)

| | Heartland Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| | Common Stock | Additional | Accumulated | (Accumulated | Treasury | Noncontrolling | Total | |
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Deficit) Retained Earnings | Stock | Interests | Equity |
| Balance, January 1, 2011 | 38,415 | \$38 | \$185,689 | \$ 37 | \$(8,471) | — | \$ 301 | \$177,594 |
| Issuance of common stock—options exercised | 1,134 | 1 | 9,684 | — | — | — | — | 9,685 |
| Issuance of common stock – RSU's vested | 78 | — | (732) | — | — | — | — | (732) |
| Excess tax benefit on employee share-based compensation | — | — | 3,454 | — | — | — | — | 3,454 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (779) | — | — | — | — | (16,828) | — | (16,828) |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 9,548 | — | — | — | — | 9,548 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | (717) | — | — | (67) | (784) |
| Dividends on common stock (\$0.16 per share) | — | — | — | — | (6,232) | — | — | (6,232) |
| Net income for the year | — | — | — | — | 43,939 | — | 408 | 44,347 |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 38,848 | \$39 | \$207,643 | \$(680) | \$29,236 | \$(16,828) | \$ 642 | \$220,052 |
| Issuance of common stock—options exercised | 1,522 | 2 | 18,301 | — | — | — | — | 18,303 |
| Issuance of common stock – RSU's vested | 120 | — | (1,641) | — | — | — | — | (1,641) |
| Excess tax benefit on employee share-based compensation | — | — | 5,954 | — | — | — | — | 5,954 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (3,634) | — | — | — | — | (103,359) | — | (103,359) |
| Retirement of treasury stock | — | (3) | (21,739) | — | (78,258) | 100,000 | — | — |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 14,187 | — | — | — | — | 14,187 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 281 | — | — | 84 | 365 |
| Dividends on common stock (\$0.24 per share) | — | — | — | — | (9,238) | — | — | (9,238) |
| Net income for the year | — | — | — | — | 65,889 | — | 649 | 66,538 |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 36,856 | \$38 | \$222,705 | \$ (399) | \$ 7,629 | \$(20,187) | \$ 1,375 | \$211,161 |
| Issuance of common stock—options exercised | 1,265 | 1 | 14,173 | — | — | — | — | 14,174 |
| Issuance of common stock – RSU's vested | 317 | — | (6,233) | — | — | — | — | (6,233) |
| Excess tax benefit on employee share-based compensation | — | — | 11,596 | — | — | — | — | 11,596 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (1,487) | — | — | — | — | (50,302) | — | (50,302) |
| Retirement of treasury stock | — | (2) | (10,024) | — | (39,974) | 50,000 | — | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | 12,838 | — | — | — | — | 12,838 |
| Changes in equity from sale of discontinued operation | — | — | — | 83 | — | — | (1,415) | (1,332) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | — | — | — | 228 | — | — | (16) | 212 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiary acquired | — | — | — | — | — | — | 6,798 | 6,798 |
| Dividends on common stock (\$0.28 per share) | — | — | — | — | (10,321) | — | — | (10,321) |
| Net income (loss) for the year | — | — | — | — | 78,626 | — | (554) | 78,072 |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 36,951 | \$37 | \$245,055 | \$ (88) | \$ 35,960 | \$(20,489) | \$ 6,188 | \$266,663 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$78,072 | \$66,538 | \$44,347 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization of capitalized customer acquisition costs | 45,648 | 45,125 | 47,188 |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 35,389 | 28,213 | 27,837 |
| Addition to loss reserves | 2,787 | 2,595 | 6,011 |
| Provision for doubtful receivables | 195 | 1,043 | 2,423 |
| Deferred taxes | 8,403 | 5,136 | 778 |
| Share-based compensation | 12,838 | 14,187 | 9,548 |
| Gain on sale of business | (3,786) |) — | — |
| Write off of fixed assets and other | 1,034 | 1,066 | 2,044 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Increase in receivables | (19,693) |) (665) |) (1,409) |
| (Increase) decrease in inventory | (1,343) |) 1,460 |) (194) |
| Payment of signing bonuses, net | (29,091) |) (29,320) |) (29,035) |
| Increase in capitalized customer acquisition costs | (21,159) |) (17,216) |) (14,276) |
| Increase in prepaid expenses | (2,664) |) (612) |) (1,677) |
| (Increase) decrease in current tax assets | (3,138) |) 9,118 |) 23,522 |
| Increase in deposits and other assets | (1,118) |) (451) |) (65) |
| Excess tax benefits on employee share-based compensation | (11,596) |) (5,954) |) (3,454) |
| Increase in reserve for unrecognized tax benefits | 2,564 | 1,251 | 510 |
| Decrease in due to sponsor banks | (18,477) |) (26,295) |) (8,692) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 2,136 | 11,840 | 3,779 |
| Decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,605) |) (964) |) (763) |
| Increase (decrease) in processing liabilities | 32,761 | 61,993 | (4,104) |
| Payouts of accrued buyout liability | (13,651) |) (11,886) |) (10,380) |
| Increase in accrued buyout liability | 17,620 | 15,638 | 13,228 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 112,126 | 171,840 | 107,166 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Purchase of investments | (5,262) |) (6,556) |) (3,781) |
| Maturities of investments | 2,000 | 4,714 | 2,934 |
| Decrease (increase) in funds held for customers | 4,040 | (88,839) |) (6,163) |
| (Decrease) increase in customer fund deposits | (4,030) |) 88,893 |) 5,988 |
| Proceeds from sale of business | 19,343 | — | — |
| Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired | (15,182) |) (103,470) |) (23,165) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (52,924) |) (34,549) |) (36,543) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (52,015) |) (139,807) |) (60,730) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings, net | 156,416 | 133,000 | — |
| Principal payments on borrowings | (161,001) |) (66,003) |) (38,287) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 14,174 | 18,303 | 9,685 |
| Excess tax benefits on employee share-based compensation | 11,596 | 5,954 | 3,454 |
| Repurchases of common stock | (49,625) |) (103,774) |) (16,414) |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Dividends paid on common stock | (10,321) | (9,238) | (6,232) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (38,761) | (21,758) | (47,794) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 21,350 | 10,275 | (1,358) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | 1 | 5 | (70) |
| Cash at beginning of year | 50,581 | 40,301 | 41,729 |
| Cash at end of year | \$71,932 | \$50,581 | \$40,301 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$4,598 | \$2,991 | \$3,783 |
| Income taxes | 38,827 | 25,832 | 2,349 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | | |

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization and Operations

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation— The accompanying consolidated financial statements include those of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. (the “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Heartland Ovation Payroll, Inc. (“Ovation”), Educational Computer Systems, Inc. (“ECSI”), Debitek, Inc. (“Debitek”) and Heartland Acquisition LLC (“Network Services”), and as of September 11, 2013, Leaf Acquisition, LLC (which holds 66.67% of the outstanding capital stock of Leaf Holdings, Inc (“Leaf")), and until January 31, 2013, its previously 70% owned subsidiary Collective POS Solutions Ltd. (“CPOS”). The Company entered into an agreement during the fourth quarter of 2012 to sell CPOS. The transaction was settled on January 31, 2013 and the Company recorded a gain on the sale in the first quarter of 2013. The Company presents CPOS as a discontinued operation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. See Note 20, Discontinued Operations for more detail. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All intercompany balances and transactions with the Company's subsidiaries have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Business Description—The Company’s primary business is to provide card payment processing services to merchants throughout the United States, and until January 31, 2013 in Canada (See Note 20, Discontinued Operations for more detail). This involves providing end-to-end electronic payment processing services to merchants by facilitating the exchange of information and funds between them and cardholders' financial institutions. To accomplish this, the Company undertakes merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant assistance and support, and risk management. Card payment processing services also includes selling and renting point-of-sale devices. The Company also provides additional services, including those provided through subsidiaries, such as:

School nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions, including online prepayment solutions to kindergarten through 12th grade (“K to 12”) schools throughout the United States provided by Heartland School Solutions,

Full-service payroll processing and related tax filing services throughout the United States provided by Heartland Ovation Payroll,

Payment processing, higher education loan services and open- and closed-loop payment solutions to colleges and universities throughout the United States and Canada provided by Campus Solutions,

Prepaid Card including stored-value card solutions throughout the United States and Canada provided by

Micropayments, and marketing solutions including loyalty and gift cards throughout the United States, provided through Heartland Marketing Solutions.

Over 73% of the Company's revenue is derived from processing and settling Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions for its merchant customers. Because the Company is not a "member bank" as defined by Visa and MasterCard, in

order to process and settle these bankcard transactions for its merchants, the Company has entered into sponsorship agreements

with member banks. Visa and MasterCard rules restrict the Company from performing funds settlement or accessing merchant

settlement funds and require that these funds be in the possession of the member bank until the merchant is funded. A sponsorship agreement permits the Company to route Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions under the member bank's

control and identification numbers to clear credit and signature debit bankcard transactions through Visa and

MasterCard. A sponsorship agreement also enables the Company to settle funds between cardholders and merchants

by delivering funding files to the member bank, which in turn transfers settlement funds to the merchants' bank accounts. These restrictions place the settlement assets and obligations under the control of the member bank.

The sponsorship agreements with the member banks require, among other things, that the Company abide by the bylaws and regulations of the Visa and MasterCard networks, and certain of the sponsor banks require a certificate of deposit or a cash balance in a deposit account. If the Company were to breach a sponsorship agreement and under certain circumstances,

the sponsor banks may terminate the agreement and, under the terms of the agreement, the Company would have 180 days to identify an alternative sponsor bank. The Company is generally dependent on its sponsor banks, Visa and MasterCard for notification of any compliance breaches. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has not been notified of any such issues by its sponsor banks, Visa or MasterCard.

At December 31, 2013, the Company is party to three bank sponsorship agreements.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

On February 8, 2012, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("WFB"). The WFB sponsorship agreement will be in effect until February 8, 2016 and will automatically renew for successive three year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other party. Processing for small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") under the WFB sponsorship commenced in August 2012, when that activity was transferred from its previous sponsor, KeyBank, National Association.

In November 2009, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with The Bancorp Bank ("TBB") to sponsor processing for the Company's Network Services merchants. The agreement with TBB expires in February 2015 and will automatically renew for successive one-year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other party.

On October 1, 2013, the Company transferred sponsorship and processing for a portfolio SME merchants from Heartland Bank to TBB. The Company was party to a prior sponsorship agreement with Heartland Bank, an unrelated third party, to sponsor SME merchant processing. In March 2013, the Company notified Heartland Bank of its intention to terminate the sponsorship agreement and made arrangements for continuing sponsorship with TBB under the terms of the November 2009 sponsorship agreement.

On March 24, 2011, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware to sponsor processing for certain of the Company's large national merchants. The agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware expires in March 2016 and will automatically renew for successive one-year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other party.

Following is a breakout of the Company's total Visa and MasterCard settled card processing volume for the month ending December 31, 2013 by percentage processed under its individual bank sponsorship agreements:

| Sponsor Bank | % of December 2013 Card Processing Volume |
|------------------------|---|
| Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 67% |
| The Bancorp Bank | 22% |
| Barclays Bank Delaware | 11% |

The Company also provides card transaction processing for DFS Services, LLC ("Discover") and is designated as an acquirer by Discover. The agreement with Discover allows the Company to acquire, process and fund transactions directly through Discover's network without the need of a bank sponsor. The Company processes Discover transactions similarly to how it processes Visa and MasterCard transactions. The Company must comply with Discover acquirer operating regulations and uses its sponsor banks to assist in funding its merchants' Discover transactions.

Under a sales and servicing program agreement with American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. ("American Express") the Company: (a) provides solicitation services by signing new-to-American Express merchants directly with American Express; (b) provides transactional support services on behalf of American Express to the Company's American Express accepting merchants; and (c) provides processing, settlement, customer support and reporting to merchants, similar to the services provided for the merchants' Visa, MasterCard and Discover transactions.

Working Capital— The Company's working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, was positive by \$37.8 million at December 31, 2013 and negative by \$85.5 million at December 31, 2012. The change in working capital from negative to positive from 2012 to 2013 resulted primarily from refinancing the Company's Prior Credit Agreement and classifying borrowings of \$150.0 million under the new Revolving Credit Facility as a long-term liability at December 31, 2013. The revolving borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility at December 31, 2012 were classified as a current liability because management's intent was to repay the revolving borrowings within twelve months. The refinancing occurred on October 23, 2013. During 2012, the working capital was negative primarily due to classifying \$102.0 million of the Company's borrowings under the Prior Credit Facility as current liabilities and using \$103.4 million of operating cash to repurchase 3,634,044 shares of the Company's common stock during 2012. See Note 10, Credit Facilities for information on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility and Note 12, Stockholders' Equity for information on common stock repurchases. The Company believes that its current cash and investment balances, cash generated from operations and its agreements with its sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its anticipated needs for operating

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

capital for at least the next twelve months.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates include, among other things, the accrued buyout liability, capitalized customer acquisition costs, goodwill, loss reserves, certain accounts payable and accrued expenses and certain tax assets and liabilities as well as the related valuation allowances, if any. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents— At December 31, 2013, cash included approximately \$32.1 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral, compared to approximately \$31.6 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral at December 31, 2012. Processing-related cash in transit and collateral includes funds in transit associated with timing differences arising between the amounts our sponsor banks receive from the bankcard networks and the amounts funded by the Company's merchants. Processing related cash in transit and collateral also includes merchant deposits, collateral deposits, and funds in transit relating to timing differences for non-card payment processing businesses.

Receivables—The Company's primary receivables are from its bankcard processing merchants. In addition to receivables for transaction fees the Company charges its merchants for processing transactions, these receivables include amounts resulting from the Company's practice of advancing interchange fees to most of its SME merchants during the month and collecting those fees at the beginning of the following month. The Company does not advance interchange fees to its Network Services merchants. Network Services merchants are invoiced monthly, on payment terms of 30 days net from date of invoicing. Receivables from merchants also include receivables from the sale of point-of-sale terminal equipment.

Historically, the Company funded interchange advances to its SME merchants first with its available cash, and when that cash had been expended, by directing its sponsor banks to fund advances thereby incurring a payable to sponsor banks. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company accelerated the end-of-day presentment of transaction funding files to the bankcard networks resulting in its sponsor banks receiving settlement cash one day earlier and increasing funding obligations to its SME merchants, which are carried in processing liabilities. As a result of accelerated presentment, the Company funds these merchant interchange advances/receivables first from the accelerated settlement cash received from bankcard networks, then from the Company's available cash or by incurring a payable to its sponsor banks. At December 31, 2013, the Company did not use any of its available cash to fund merchant advances. At December 31, 2012, the Company used \$3.8 million of its available cash to fund merchant advances. The amount due to sponsor banks for funding advances was \$17.8 million at December 31, 2013 and \$36.3 million at December 31, 2012. The Company pays its sponsor banks the prime rate on these payables. The payable to sponsor banks is repaid at the beginning of the following month out of the fees the Company collects from its merchants.

Receivables also include amounts resulting from the pre-funding of Discover and American Express transactions to the Company's merchants as well as amounts due from PIN debit transactions. These amounts are recovered the next business day following the date of processing the transaction.

Receivables also include amounts resulting from the sale, installation, training and repair of payment system hardware and software for Campus Solutions, Heartland School Solutions and Prepaid Card systems. These receivables are mostly invoiced on terms of 30 days net from date of invoicing.

Receivables are stated net of allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company estimates its allowance based on experience with its merchants, customers, and sales force and its judgment as to the likelihood of their ultimate payment. The Company also considers collection experience and makes estimates regarding collectability based on trends in the aging. Historically, the Company has not experienced significant charge offs for its merchant and customer receivables.

Investments and Funds Held for Customers—Investments, including those carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Funds held for customers, consist primarily of equity investments, fixed income bond funds and certificates of deposit. Funds held for customers also include overnight bank deposits. The majority of investments carried in Funds held for customers are available-for-sale and recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices. Certificates of deposit are classified

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

as held to maturity and recorded at cost. In the event of a sale, cost is determined on a specific identification basis. At December 31, 2013, Funds held for customers included cash and cash equivalents of \$114.1 million and investments available for sale of \$13.2 million.

The asset funds held for customers and the liability customer fund deposits include: (i) amounts collected from customers prior to funding their payroll liabilities, as well as related tax and fiduciary liabilities for those customers, and (ii) amounts collected by Campus Solutions in its capacity as loan servicer, which will be remitted to the customer/owner of the student loans the following month.

Inventories—Inventories consist of point-of-sale terminal equipment held for sale to merchants, prepaid card and cashless payment systems hardware for sale to end users, resellers and distributors, and campus payments solutions equipment for sale to end users. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market price. Cost is arrived at using the first-in, first-out method. Market price is estimated based on current sales of equipment.

Inventories also include purchased data encryption software licenses held for sale to merchants who acquire the Company's End-to-End Encryption Solution ("E3") for processing bankcard transactions, or purchase the upgraded terminal and point-of-sale devices incorporating E3.

Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs, net—Capitalized customer acquisition costs consist of (1) up-front signing bonus payments made to Relationship Managers and sales managers (the Company's sales force, which are referred to as "salespersons") for the establishment of new merchant relationships, and (2) a deferred acquisition cost representing the estimated cost of buying out the residual commissions of vested salespersons. Capitalized customer acquisition costs represent incremental, direct customer acquisition costs that are recoverable through gross margins associated with merchant contracts. The capitalized customer acquisition costs are amortized using a method which approximates a proportional revenue approach over the initial three-year term of the merchant contract.

The up-front signing bonus paid for new SME bankcard, payroll and loyalty marketing accounts is based on the estimated gross margin for the first year of the merchant contract. The signing bonus, amount capitalized, and related amortization are adjusted after the first year to reflect the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during that year. The deferred customer acquisition cost asset is accrued over the first year of SME bankcard, payroll and loyalty marketing merchant processing, consistent with the build-up in the accrued buyout liability, as described below.

Management evaluates the capitalized customer acquisition costs for impairment on an annual basis by comparing, on a pooled basis by vintage month of origination, the expected future net cash flows from underlying merchant relationships to the carrying amount of the capitalized customer acquisition costs. If the estimated future net cash flows are lower than the recorded carrying amount, indicating an impairment of the value of the capitalized customer acquisition costs, the impairment loss will be charged to operations.

The Company believed that no impairment of capitalized customer acquisition costs had occurred as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Property and Equipment—Property and equipment are carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation for the Company's owned service center building in Jeffersonville, Indiana is computed straight-line over 39 years with depreciation on certain building improvements computed over 15 years. Depreciation is computed straight-line over

periods ranging from 3 to 10 years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the economic useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease.

Equipment held under capitalized lease arrangements is included in property and equipment, and the associated liabilities are included in current and long-term borrowings as appropriate. Amortization of equipment under capitalized leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense.

Fully depreciated property and equipment are retained in property and equipment and accumulated depreciation accounts until their disposal or removal from service. When fully depreciated property and equipment is taken out of service, the original cost basis and matching accumulated depreciation amounts are written off.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Rent expense on operating leases is recorded on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease agreement. Tenant improvement allowances are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease agreement as a reduction to rent expense.

The Company capitalizes software development costs and amortizes such costs on a straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of 3 to 7 years. Preliminary project costs incurred prior to establishing technological feasibility are charged to operations as such costs are incurred. Once technological feasibility is established, costs are capitalized until the software is placed in service.

Long-Lived Assets—The Company evaluates the potential for impairment when changes in circumstances indicate that undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the related assets are less than the carrying amount. Management believed that no such changes in circumstances or impairment have occurred as of December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Goodwill— Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair values of net assets acquired in business combinations. The Company has recorded goodwill in connection with its acquisitions, including the 2011, 2012 and 2013 acquisitions of Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link, Lunch Byte, Educational Computer Systems, Ovation Payroll and Leaf. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of the reporting unit. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred. Such changes may include, among others: a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained decline in market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; and slower growth rates. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment testing in the fourth quarter. The Company's evaluation indicated that no impairment exists and the fair value of each reporting unit subject to the impairment evaluation exceeded the carrying value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, goodwill of \$191.0 million and \$168.1 million, respectively, was recorded on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities— Accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets includes deferred revenue of \$18.2 million and \$13.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which is primarily related to the Company's Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, and Payroll businesses.

Also included in accrued expenses and other liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012 is \$3.4 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, relating to the allocation of purchase price to an unfavorable processing contract associated with our September 30, 2011 acquisition of School-Link Technologies, Inc. During 2013, we recorded an adjustment to the carrying value of this unfavorable processing contract of \$1.6 million to adjust the liability to reflect the latest estimate of the expected cash processing costs to be paid over the remainder of the contract. During the years ended 2013 and 2012, we amortized \$2.4 million and \$3.0 million of this accrued liability against the cash processing costs paid under that contract. This amortization was included in Cost of services in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Processing Liabilities—Processing liabilities result primarily from the Company's card processing activities.

Processing liabilities primarily reflect funds in transit associated with differences arising between the amounts our sponsor banks receive from the bankcard networks and the amounts funded to the Company's merchants. Such

differences arise from timing differences, interchange expense, merchant advances, merchant reserves and chargeback processing. These differences result in payables or receivables. If the settlement received from the bankcard networks precedes the funding obligation to the merchant, the Company records a processing liability. Conversely, if funding to the merchant precedes the settlement from the bankcard networks, the Company records a receivable from the bankcard network. In addition, certain bankcard networks restrict the Company from accessing merchant settlement funds and require that these funds be controlled by the Company's sponsor banks. The amounts are generally collected or paid the following business day.

Chargebacks periodically arise due to disputes between a cardholder and a merchant resulting from the cardholder's dissatisfaction with merchandise quality or the merchant's service, and the disputes may not always be resolved in the merchant's favor. In some of these cases, the transaction is "charged back" to the merchant and the purchase price is refunded to the cardholder by the credit card-issuing institution. If the merchant is unable to fund the refund, the Company is liable for the full amount of the transaction. The Company's obligation to stand ready to perform is minimal. The Company maintains a deposit or the pledge of a letter of credit from certain merchants as an offset to potential contingent liabilities that are the responsibility of such merchants. The Company evaluates its ultimate risk and records an estimate of potential loss for

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

chargebacks based upon an assessment of actual historical loss rates compared to recent bankcard processing volume levels. The Company believes that the liability recorded as loss reserves approximates fair value.

Accrued Buyout Liability—The Company's Relationship Managers and sales managers are paid residual commissions based on the gross margin generated by monthly SME merchant processing activity. The Company has the right, but not the obligation, to buy out some or all of these commissions, and intends to do so periodically. Such purchases of the commissions are at a fixed multiple of the last twelve months' commissions. Because of the Company's intent and ability to execute purchases of the residual commissions, and the mutual understanding between the Company and the Relationship Managers and sales managers, the Company has accounted for this deferred compensation arrangement pursuant to the substantive nature of the plan. The Company therefore records the amount that it would have to pay (the "settlement cost") to buy out non-servicing related commissions in their entirety from vested Relationship Managers and sales managers, and an accrual, based on their progress towards vesting, for those unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers who are expected to vest in the future. As noted above, as the liability increases over the first year of a SME merchant contract, the Company also records a related deferred acquisition cost asset for currently vested Relationship Managers and sales managers. The accrued buyout liability associated with unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers is not included in the deferred acquisition cost asset since future services are required in order to vest. Subsequent changes in the estimated accrued buyout liability due to merchant attrition, same-store sales growth and changes in gross margin are included in the same income statement caption as customer acquisition costs expense.

Beginning in June 2012, Relationship Managers and sales managers earn portfolio equity on their newly installed payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset are accrued in the same manner as the SME bankcard merchant portfolio equity.

The accrued buyout liability is based on merchants under contract at the balance sheet date, the gross margin generated by those merchants over the prior twelve months, and the contractual buyout multiple. The liability related to a new merchant is therefore zero when the merchant is installed, and increases over the twelve months following the installation date. The same procedure is applied to unvested commissions over the expected vesting period, but is further adjusted to reflect the Company's estimate that 31% of unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers become vested, which represents the Company's historical vesting rate.

The classification of the accrued buyout liability between current and non-current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets is based upon the Company's estimate of the amount of the accrued buyout liability that it reasonably expects to pay over the next twelve months. This estimate is developed by calculating the cumulative annual average percentage that total historical buyout payments represent of the accrued buyout liability. That percentage is applied to the period-end accrued buyout liability to determine the current portion.

Revenue—The Company classifies its revenues into five categories: Card Payment Processing, Heartland School Solutions, Heartland Ovation Payroll, Campus Solutions and Prepaid Card/Other. The Company recognizes revenue when (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been performed; (3) the price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

Card Payment Processing revenue primarily consists of discount, per-transaction and periodic (primarily monthly) fees from the processing of Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover transactions for SME merchants and

per-transaction fees for the authorization and settlement of transactions for Network Services merchants. Also included in this category are American Express and Discover servicing fees, merchant service fees, fees for processing chargebacks, termination fees on terminated contracts and fees from selling, renting and deploying point-of-sale devices. Interchange fees, which are the Company's most significant expense, are set by the card networks and paid to the card issuing banks. For the majority of SME card processing revenue, the Company does not offset processing revenues and interchange fees because its business practice is to advance the interchange fees to most SME merchants when settling their daily transactions (thus paying the full amount of the transaction to the merchant), and then to collect the full discount fees from merchants on the first business day of the next month. The Company has merchant portability, credit risk, and the ultimate responsibility to the merchant and, as such, revenue is reported at the time of settlement on a gross basis. Payment processing services are transaction based and priced either as a fixed fee per transaction or calculated as a percentage of the transaction value. The fees are charged for the processing services provided and do not include the gross sales price paid by the ultimate buyer to the merchant. For SME merchants to whom the

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Company does not advance interchange, it records card processing revenues net of interchange fees. As Network Services does not advance interchange fees to its merchants, the Company records its card processing revenues net of interchange fees.

The Company evaluates its contractual arrangements for indications that multiple element arrangements may exist. For contracts with multiple deliverables, the Company records revenue based on vendor specific objective evidence of selling price where applicable, or based on the best estimate of the selling price.

Heartland School Solutions revenues include fees from sales and maintenance of cafeteria point-of-sale solutions and associated payment solutions, including online prepayment solutions, back office management and hardware and technical support. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Heartland Ovation Payroll revenue includes fees charged for payroll processing services, including check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits and providing accounting documentation and interest income earned on funds held for customers. Revenues are recorded at the time service is provided.

Campus Solutions revenue includes fees associated with providing solutions to support administrative services for higher education, including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. Campus Solutions revenue also includes fees from the sale and maintenance of open- and closed-loop payment hardware and software solutions for college or university campuses to process small value electronic transactions. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Prepaid Card and Other revenues include Micropayments fees from selling hardware and software for unattended online wireless credit card based payment systems, and unattended value top up systems for off-line closed-loop smart (chip) card based payment systems. Also included in this category are Heartland Marketing Solutions fees from selling mobile and card-based marketing services, gift cards and rewards services. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, over the maintenance period, or at the provision of services.

Loss Contingencies and Legal Expenses—The Company records a liability for loss contingencies when the liability is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. Legal fees associated with loss contingencies are recorded when the legal fees are incurred. The Company records recoveries from its insurance providers when cash is received from the provider.

Other Income (Expense)—Other income (expense) consists of interest income on cash and investments, the interest expense on our borrowings, the gains or losses on the disposal of property and equipment and other non-operating income or expense items.

In 2013, other income (expense) includes:

- Pre-tax income of \$0.2 million relating to the sale of a group of merchant contracts within our Prepaid Card business.
- Pre-tax charges of \$0.4 million related to the Provision for Processing System Intrusion costs. See Note 17, Commitments and Contingencies for information on the Processing System Intrusion.

In 2012, other income (expense) includes:

- Pre-tax charges of \$0.9 million primarily due to write downs of capitalized information technology development projects.
- Pre-tax charges of \$0.6 million related to the Provision for Processing System Intrusion costs.

In 2011, other income (expense) includes:

Pre-tax charges of \$0.8 million reflecting the costs (primarily staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with closing of the Company's Johnson City, Tennessee service center.

A pre-tax charge of \$1.1 million reflecting costs associated with the decision to discontinue Express Funds, the Company's remote deposit capture product, including writedowns of receivables, inventory, customer acquisition costs and fixed assets.

A pre-tax charge of \$1.0 million for legal fees and costs incurred for defending various claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion.

Pre-tax income of \$0.3 million related to an earnout payment relating to the 2010 sale of SME merchant bankcard processing contracts.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Income Taxes—The Company accounts for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. The impact on deferred assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that the rate change is enacted. Valuation allowances are recorded when it is determined that it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because the Company would no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, the Company recognized income tax expense net of foreign tax credits, of \$0.4 million in 2012 on the Company's 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012. See Note 13, Income Taxes and Note 20, Discontinued Operation, for more detail.

Share-Based Compensation—The Company expenses employee share-based payments under the fair value method. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Excess tax benefits are generated when employees exercise non-qualified stock options, make disqualifying dispositions of shares acquired through their exercise of incentive stock options and vest in restricted share units. These excess tax benefits are reported as a financing cash inflow rather than a reduction of taxes paid, which is included within operating cash flows. Accordingly, cash provided by operating activities decreased and cash provided by financing activities increased by \$11.6 million in 2013, \$6.0 million in 2012 and \$3.5 million in 2011 related to excess tax benefits from stock-based awards.

Earnings per Share—Basic earnings per share was computed by dividing net income by weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share was computed based on the weighted average outstanding common shares plus equivalent shares assuming exercise of stock options and vesting of Restricted Share Units, where dilutive.

Derivative Financial Instruments—The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk on certain borrowings under its Credit Agreement (as defined in Note 10 herein). The Company recognizes the fair value of derivative financial instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in investments, or accrued expenses and other liabilities. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments are recognized immediately in earnings unless the derivative is designated and qualifies as a hedge of future cash flows. For derivatives that qualify as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recorded in other comprehensive income and reclassified into interest expense in the same periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. Any ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges would be recognized in other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Income during the period of change.

In January 2011, the Company entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having an initial notional amount of \$50 million as a hedge of future cash flows on the variable rate debt outstanding under its credit facilities. These interest rate swaps convert the related notional amount of variable rate debt to fixed rate. The following table summarizes the components of the interest rate swaps.

| December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands) | |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------|---|----------|
| Notional value | \$25,000 | | \$35,000 |
| Fair value (a) | (411 |) | (817) |
| Deferred tax benefit | 153 | | 313 |

(a) Recorded as a liability in accrued expenses and other liabilities

Foreign Currency—The Canadian dollar was the functional currency of CPOS, which operated in Canada. CPOS' revenues and expenses were translated at the average exchange rates prevailing during the period. The foreign currency assets and liabilities of CPOS were translated at the period-end rate of exchange. The resulting translation adjustment was allocated between the Company and CPOS' noncontrolling interests and is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. At December 31, 2012, the cumulative foreign currency translation reflected a loss of \$45,000. CPOS was sold in a transaction which settled on January 31, 2013. See Note 20, Discontinued Operations for more detail.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Noncontrolling Interests— Noncontrolling interests represent noncontrolling stockholders' share of the equity and after-tax net income or loss of Leaf as of December 31, 2013 and CPOS as of December 31, 2012.

Noncontrolling stockholders' share of after-tax net income or loss of Leaf is included in Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests as of December 31, 2013, continuing operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2013. The minority stockholders' interests included in noncontrolling interests in the December 31, 2013 Consolidated Balance Sheet is \$6.2 million and reflects the original investments by these minority shareholders in Leaf, along with their proportionate share of earnings or losses of Leaf. Noncontrolling stockholders' share of after-tax net income or loss of CPOS is included in Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests as of December 31, 2012, discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. The minority stockholders' interests included in noncontrolling interests in the December 31, 2012 Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$1.4 million and reflected the original investments by these minority shareholders in CPOS, along with their proportionate share of earnings or losses of CPOS. CPOS was sold in a transaction which settled on January 31, 2013. See Note 20, Discontinued Operations for more detail.

Subsequent Events—The Company evaluated subsequent events through the issuance date with respect to the Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013.

New Accounting Pronouncements—From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) or other standards setting bodies that are adopted by us as of the specified effective date.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which provides guidance on the risks that are permitted to be hedged in a fair value or cash flow hedge. Among those risks for financial assets and financial liabilities is the risk of changes in a hedged item's fair value or a hedged transaction's cash flows attributable to changes in the designated benchmark interest rate (referred to as interest rate risk). This update is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which provides explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The amendments in this update are expected to reduce diversity in practice by providing guidance on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits and will reflect the manner in which an entity would settle at the reporting date any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position when net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards exist. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The amendments would be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The implementation of this update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

3. Acquisitions

The Company initiated its Heartland School Solutions business through its acquisitions of the school solutions businesses operated by Lunchbox, Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link Technologies and Lunch Byte Systems

which currently serves approximately 4,400, 3,700, 900, 10,000 and 10,000 schools, respectively. The combined Heartland School Solutions develops, manufactures, sells, services and maintains computer software designed to facilitate accounting and management functions of school food service operations. These acquisitions provide the Company with the ability to offer Internet payment capability to parents, which facilitates on-line deposits of funds into student accounts. The Company has consolidated the individual platforms and products. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of these acquisitions were not material in the years acquired. The entire amount of goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax reporting. Details of the individual acquisition transactions follow:

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Lunchbox

On December 30, 2010, the Company purchased for a \$7.7 million cash payment the net assets of the K to 12 School Solutions business previously operated by Lunchbox. The acquisition was financed through a combination of cash on hand and our credit facilities. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning December 30, 2010, Lunchbox's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$6.0 million to goodwill, \$1.9 million to intangible assets and \$0.2 million to net tangible liabilities.

Comalex, Inc.

On January 12, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$6.1 million cash payment the net assets of Comalex, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning January 12, 2011, Comalex's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$4.9 million to goodwill, \$1.8 million to intangible assets and \$0.6 million to net tangible liabilities.

mySchoolBucks LLC

On February 4, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$1.5 million cash payment the net assets of mySchoolBucks, LLC. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning February 4, 2011, mySchoolBucks' results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$1.0 million to goodwill and \$0.5 million to intangible assets.

School-Link Technologies, Inc.

On September 30, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$15.6 million cash payment the net assets of School-Link Technologies, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning October 1, 2011, School-Link's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$25.2 million to goodwill, \$4.3 million to intangible assets, \$2.8 million to net tangible liabilities, and \$11.1 million to the liability for an unfavorable processing contract. The fair values of School-Link's assets acquired and liabilities assumed were estimated as of their acquisition date.

Lunch Byte Systems, Inc.

On June 29, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$26.0 million cash payment the net assets of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a. "Nutrikids"). The \$26.0 million cash payment made on June 29, 2012 was funded through the Company's credit facilities and subsequently repaid with cash on hand in July 2012. Beginning July 1, 2012, Lunch Byte's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$16.1 million to goodwill, \$7.0 million to intangible assets and \$2.9 million to net tangible assets.

Other acquisition transactions in 2012 included:

Educational Computer Systems, Inc.

On December 14, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$37.6 million cash payment, the stock of Education Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") and net assets of related entities. The cash purchase price was financed under the Company's Credit Facility. The acquisition expanded the Company's Campus Solutions division. ECSI supports the entire life

cycle of higher education and post-graduation school/student services, including student loan payment processing, default solutions, refund services, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing to more than 1,800 colleges and universities nationwide. With this acquisition, the Company's Campus Solutions business gained ECSI's client portfolio, increasing the number of higher education clients to more than 2,000 colleges and universities throughout North America.

The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning December 15, 2012, ECSI results of operations were included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$32.5 million to goodwill, \$10.5 million to intangible assets and \$5.4 million to net tangible liabilities. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of this acquisition was not material. Only a portion of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax reporting.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Ovation Payroll, Inc.

On December 31, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$44.2 million cash payment, the stock of Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation"). The cash purchase price was financed under the Company's Credit Facility. The acquisition expands the Company's existing payroll processing business. Ovation serves over 10,000 clients in 48 states providing payroll processing, payroll tax preparation, Internet payroll reporting, and direct deposit.

The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning January 1, 2013, Ovation's results of operations were included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$31.0 million to goodwill, \$6.6 million to intangible assets and \$6.6 million to net tangible assets. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of this acquisition was not material. Goodwill is not expected to be deductible for income tax reporting.

Leaf Holdings, Inc.

On September 11, 2013, the Company purchased 66.67% of the outstanding capital stock of Leaf for a \$14.5 million cash payment. The cash purchase price was financed from operating cash flows.

The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning on September 11, 2013, Leaf's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$20.6 million to goodwill, \$6.9 million to intangible assets, \$6.2 million to net tangible liabilities and \$6.8 million to noncontrolling interest. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of this acquisition was not material. Goodwill is not expected to be deductible for income tax reporting.

The weighted average amortization life for the 2013 acquired finite lived intangible assets related to acquisition of Leaf is as follows:

| Weighted-average amortization life | (In years) |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Software | 7.0 |
| Patents | 5.0 |
| Overall | 6.9 |

4. Receivables

A summary of receivables by major class was as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Accounts receivable from merchants | \$ 172,147 | \$ 160,702 |
| Accounts receivable from bankcard networks | 26,842 | 19,588 |
| Accounts receivable from others | 2,083 | 1,596 |
| | 201,072 | 181,886 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,032) | (1,438) |
| Total receivables, net | \$ 200,040 | \$ 180,448 |

Included in accounts receivable from others are amounts due from employees (predominately salespersons), which are \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Accounts receivable related to bankcard networks are primarily amounts which were pre-funded to merchants for processing Discover and American Express bankcard transactions as well as amounts due from Visa for PIN debit transactions.

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

A summary of the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Beginning balance | \$1,438 | \$1,407 | \$661 |
| Additions to allowance | 180 | 818 | 2,234 |
| Charges against allowance | (586 |) (787 |) (1,488 |
| Ending balance | \$1,032 | \$1,438 | \$1,407 |

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

5. Funds Held for Customers and Investments

A summary of Funds held for customers and investments, including the cost, gross unrealized gains (losses) and estimated fair value for investments held to maturity and investments available-for-sale by major security type and class of security was as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Funds Held for Customers: | | | | |
| Conservative income bond fund - available for sale | \$ 12,000 | \$ 10 | \$— | \$ 12,010 |
| Fixed income bond fund - available for sale | 968 | 254 | — | 1,222 |
| Cash held for payroll customers | 88,376 | — | — | 88,376 |
| Cash held for Campus Solutions customers | 25,767 | — | — | 25,767 |
| Total Funds held for customers | \$ 127,111 | \$ 264 | \$— | \$ 127,375 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Investments held to maturity - Certificates of deposit (a) | \$ 33 | \$— | \$— | \$ 33 |
| Total investments | \$ 33 | \$— | \$— | \$ 33 |
| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Funds Held for Customers: | | | | |
| Fixed income bond fund - available for sale | \$ 968 | \$ 244 | \$— | \$ 1,212 |
| Cash held for payroll customers | 110,334 | — | — | 110,334 |
| Cash held for Campus Solutions customers | 19,859 | — | — | 19,859 |
| Total Funds held for customers | \$ 131,161 | \$ 244 | \$— | \$ 131,405 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Investments held to maturity - Certificates of deposit | \$ 1,199 | \$— | \$— | \$ 1,199 |
| Total investments | \$ 1,199 | \$— | \$— | \$ 1,199 |

(a) Certificates of deposit have remaining term of 8 months.

Also included in Investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet are other investments, at cost. As of December 31, 2013, other investments, at cost, includes a \$4.0 million investment in the equity of ATX Innovation, Inc. ("Tabbedout").

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not experience any other-than-temporary losses on its investments.

All available-for-sale debt securities and held to maturity investments, having aggregate amortized cost of \$5.1 million and estimated fair value of \$5.3 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013, are due in one year or less.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

6. Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs, Net

A summary of net capitalized customer acquisition costs as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Capitalized signing bonuses | \$86,886 | \$84,728 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (43,775) | (42,941) |
| | 43,111 | 41,787 |
| Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | 45,241 | 37,736 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (27,325) | (23,098) |
| | 17,916 | 14,638 |
| Capitalized customer acquisition costs, net | \$61,027 | \$56,425 |

A summary of the activity in capitalized customer acquisition costs, net for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$56,425 | \$55,014 | \$59,251 |
| Plus additions to: | | | |
| Capitalized signing bonuses, net | 29,091 | 29,320 | 29,035 |
| Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | 21,159 | 17,216 | 14,276 |
| | 50,250 | 46,536 | 43,311 |
| Less amortization expense on: | | | |
| Capitalized signing bonuses, net (a) | (27,767) | (29,244) | (32,088) |
| Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs | (17,881) | (15,881) | (15,460) |
| | (45,648) | (45,125) | (47,548) |
| Balance at end of period | \$61,027 | \$56,425 | \$55,014 |

Net signing bonus adjustments from estimated amounts to actual were \$(3.7) million, \$(3.1) million, and \$(1.5) million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, representing reductions of amounts paid. Net signing bonus adjustments are netted against additions in the table above. Negative signing bonus adjustments occur when the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during the first year is less than the estimated gross margin for that year, resulting in the overpayment of the up-front signing bonus and would be recovered from the relevant salesperson. Positive signing bonus adjustments result from the prior underpayment of signing bonuses and would be paid to the relevant salesperson.

Fully amortized signing bonuses of \$27.8 million, \$31.2 million and \$42.3 million, respectively, were written off during the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. In addition, fully amortized customer deferred acquisition costs of \$13.7 million, \$16.0 million and \$16.4 million, respectively, were written off during the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The Company believes that no impairment of capitalized customer acquisition costs has occurred as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

7. Property and Equipment, Net

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

A summary of property and equipment, net as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Computer hardware and software | \$184,515 | \$151,916 |
| Building | 54,910 | 54,359 |
| Leasehold improvements | 7,815 | 5,734 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 17,761 | 16,342 |
| Land | 7,427 | 5,873 |
| | 272,428 | 234,224 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (125,040) | (109,193) |
| | \$147,388 | \$125,031 |

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Depreciation expense for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$30.1 million, \$25.7 million and \$23.0 million, respectively.

Included in property and equipment at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$30.2 million and \$17.0 million, respectively, representing the cost of assets not yet placed in service. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the amount of capitalized costs for internally developed projects amounted to \$38.4 million, \$26.7 million, and \$22.6 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the amounts of capitalized costs for internally developed projects placed in service were \$25.7 million, \$22.7 million, and \$15.9 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 there were \$42.4 million and \$34.1 million, respectively, of net capitalized costs for internally developed projects placed into service.

The estimated depreciation expense related to capitalized costs for internally developed projects placed in service for the next five years is as follows:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| 2014 | \$18,624 |
| 2015 | 12,914 |
| 2016 | 6,709 |
| 2017 | 1,810 |
| 2018 | 1,463 |
| Thereafter | 857 |
| | \$42,377 |

8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible Assets — Intangible assets consisted of the following as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | December 31, 2013 | | | Amortization Life and Method |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| | Gross Assets (In thousands) | Accumulated Amortization | Net Asset | |
| Finite Lived Assets: | | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$49,814 | \$14,107 | \$35,707 | 3 to 18 years—proportional cash flow |
| Merchant portfolio | 4,095 | 2,703 | 1,392 | 7 years—proportional cash flow |
| Software | 20,750 | 10,934 | 9,816 | 2 to 5 years—straight line |
| Non-compete agreements | 4,489 | 1,880 | 2,609 | 3 to 5 years—straight line |
| Other | 385 | 52 | 333 | 2 to 9 years—straight line |
| | \$79,533 | \$29,676 | \$49,857 | |
| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
| | Gross Assets (In thousands) | Accumulated Amortization | Net Asset | Amortization Life and Method |
| Finite Lived Assets: | | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$52,125 | \$8,318 | \$43,807 | 3 to 18 years—proportional cash flow |
| Merchant portfolio | 3,345 | 2,316 | 1,029 | 7 years—proportional cash flow |
| Software | 14,150 | 9,016 | 5,134 | 3 to 5 years—straight line |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| Non-compete agreements | 4,590 | 1,030 | 3,560 | 3 to 5 years—straight line |
| Other | 85 | 21 | 64 | 2 to 9 years—straight line |
| | \$74,295 | \$20,701 | \$53,594 | |

Amortization expense related to the intangible assets was \$9.0 million, \$5.1 million and \$4.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of ContentsHeartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The estimated amortization expense related to intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| (In thousands) | |
| 2014 | \$8,499 |
| 2015 | 7,954 |
| 2016 | 6,952 |
| 2017 | 5,628 |
| 2018 | 4,398 |
| Thereafter | 16,426 |
| | \$49,857 |

Goodwill — The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013,

| 2012 and 2011 were as follows: | Card Payment Processing | Heartland Ovation Payroll | Heartland School Solutions | Campus Solutions | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$43,701 | \$— | \$5,507 | \$3,321 | \$6,501 | \$59,030 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | — | — | 34,506 | — | — | 34,506 |
| Other (a) | — | — | 719 | — | — | 719 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 43,701 | — | 40,732 | 3,321 | 6,501 | 94,255 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | — | 30,831 | 15,231 | 30,358 | — | 76,420 |
| Other (a) | — | — | (2,613 |) — | — | (2,613 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 43,701 | 30,831 | 53,350 | 33,679 | 6,501 | 168,062 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | 20,619 | — | — | — | — | 20,619 |
| Other (a) | — | 187 | — | 2,110 | — | 2,297 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$64,320 | \$31,018 | \$53,350 | \$35,789 | \$6,501 | \$190,978 |

(a) Reflects adjustments to preliminary allocations of purchase price within the measurement period.

Percentage of total reportable segments' assets that was goodwill as of December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 is as follows:

| | Percent of Goodwill to Reportable Segments' Total Assets | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Card Payment Processing | 11.3% | 9.4% | 9.7% |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 19.9% | 18.5% | — |
| Heartland School Solutions | 67.9% | 74.9% | 77.6% |
| Campus Solutions | 43.8% | 43.6% | 48.7% |
| Other | 37.7% | 20.0% | 20.3% |

9. Processing Liabilities

Processing liabilities result primarily from the Company's card processing activities and include merchant deposits maintained to offset potential liabilities from merchant chargeback processing. A summary of processing liabilities and loss reserves was as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| December 31, | 2012 |
|----------------|------|
| 2013 | |
| (In thousands) | |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Merchant bankcard processing | \$ 121,143 | \$ 86,882 |
| Merchant deposits | 8,223 | 6,436 |
| Loss reserves | 1,505 | 1,955 |
| | \$ 130,871 | \$ 95,273 |

In addition to the merchant deposits listed above, the Company held letters of credit related to merchant bankcard processing totaling \$260,000 and \$100,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company's merchants have the liability for any charges properly reversed by the cardholder through a mechanism known as a chargeback. If the merchant is unable to pay this amount, the Company will be liable to the card brand networks for

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

the reversed charges. The Company has determined that the fair value of its obligation to stand ready to perform is minimal. The Company requires personal guarantees and merchant deposits from certain merchants to minimize its obligation.

The card networks generally allow chargebacks up to four months after the later of (1) the date the transaction is processed or (2) the delivery of the product or service to the cardholder. As the majority of the Company's SME merchant transactions involve the delivery of the product or service at the time of the transaction, a reasonable basis for determining an estimate of the Company's exposure to chargebacks is the last four months' processing volume on the SME portfolio, which was \$24.4 billion and \$23.5 billion for the four months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. However, for the four months ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the Company was presented with \$11.7 million, \$11.8 million, and \$12.2 million, respectively, in chargebacks by issuing banks. In the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the Company incurred merchant credit losses of \$3.1 million, \$2.0 million, and \$5.1 million, respectively, on total SME card processing volumes processed of \$74.6 billion, \$71.7 billion, \$67.5 billion, respectively. These credit losses are included in processing and servicing costs in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income and Other Comprehensive Income.

The loss recorded by the Company for chargebacks associated with any individual merchant is typically small, due both to the relatively small size and the processing profile of the Company's SME merchants. However, from time to time the Company will encounter instances of merchant fraud, and the resulting chargeback losses may be considerably more significant to the Company. The Company has established a contingent reserve for estimated currently existing credit and fraud losses on its Consolidated Balance Sheets, amounting to \$1.5 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2.0 million at December 31, 2012. This reserve is determined by performing an analysis of the Company's historical loss experience applied to current processing volume and exposures.

A summary of the activity in the loss reserve for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Beginning balance | \$1,955 | \$1,957 | 1,625 |
| Additions to reserve | 2,738 | 2,123 | 5,658 |
| Charges against reserve (a) | (3,188) | (2,125) | (5,326) |
| Ending balance | \$1,505 | \$1,955 | 1,957 |

(a) Included in these amounts are Payroll Processing segment losses for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 of \$111,000, \$93,000 and \$223,000

Chargeback losses originating from Network Services' bankcard processing on Passport during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were immaterial.

10. Credit Facilities

On October 23, 2013, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and certain lenders who are a party to the Credit Agreement. This Credit Agreement replaces the Company's November 2010 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Prior Credit Agreement"). Credit extended under the Credit Agreement is guaranteed by the Company's subsidiaries and is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets and the assets of the Company's subsidiaries.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility in the aggregate amount of up to \$350 million (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), of which up to \$35 million may be used for the issuance of letters of credit and up to \$35 million is available for swing line loans. The Revolving Credit Facility also provides for, upon the prior approval of the administrative agent and subject to the receipt of commitments, an increase to the total revolving commitments of \$150 million for a total commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility of \$500 million. The Revolving Credit Facility is available to the Company on a revolving basis until October 23, 2018. All principal and interest not previously paid on the Revolving Credit Facility will mature and be due and payable on October 23, 2018.

The Credit Agreement and the Prior Credit Agreement contain covenants which include: maintenance of certain leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios; limitations on our indebtedness, liens on our properties and assets, investments in, and loans to other business units, our ability to enter into business combinations and asset sales; and certain other financial and non-financial covenants. These covenants also apply to the Company's subsidiaries. The Company was in compliance

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

with these covenants as of December 31, 2013.

The Prior Credit Agreement provided a term credit facility (the "Term Credit Facility"). The Term Credit Facility required amortization payments in the amount of \$5.0 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, and \$7.5 million for each fiscal quarter during the period commencing on January 1, 2015 through the Term Credit Facility maturity date on November 24, 2015.

On October 23, 2013, the Company drew down \$150.0 million on its Revolving Credit Facility and used those proceeds to repay borrowings then outstanding under its Prior Credit Agreement; \$55.0 million under the Term Credit Facility and \$91.0 million under the Prior Credit Agreement revolving credit facility (the "Prior Revolving Credit Facility"). The remainder of the proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility was used to provide ongoing working capital and for other general purposes. At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$150.0 million under the Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$70.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility and \$82.0 million outstanding under the Prior Revolving Credit Facility.

Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, the Company may borrow, at its option, at interest rates equal to one, two, three or six month adjusted LIBOR rates, or equal to the greater of the prime rate, the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and the adjusted LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case plus a margin determined by the Company's current leverage ratio.

The weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2013 was 1.7%. Total fees and direct costs paid for the Company's credit facilities as of December 31, 2013 were \$3.3 million, including \$2.6 million paid on October 23, 2013. These costs are being amortized to interest expense over the life of the Credit Agreement.

11. Accrued Buyout Liability

A summary of the accrued buyout liability was as follows as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | December 31, | |
|---|----------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Vested Relationship Managers and sales managers | \$38,082 | \$33,926 |
| Unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers | 1,297 | 1,484 |
| | 39,379 | 35,410 |
| Less current portion | (13,943) | (10,478) |
| Long-term portion of accrued buyout liability | \$25,436 | \$24,932 |

In calculating the accrued buyout liability for unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers, the Company has assumed that 31% of the unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers will vest in the future, which represents the Company's historical vesting rate. A 5% increase to 36% in the expected vesting rate would have increased the accrued buyout liability for unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers by \$0.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

A summary of the activity in the accrued buyout liability for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | (In thousands) | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Beginning balance | \$35,410 | \$31,658 | \$28,810 |
| Increase in settlement obligation, net | 17,620 | 15,638 | 13,228 |
| Buyouts | (13,651) | (11,886) | (10,380) |
| Ending balance | \$39,379 | \$35,410 | \$31,658 |

The Company buys out portfolio equity regularly. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, we made total buyout payments of approximately \$13.7 million, \$11.9 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. Residual commission expense is recorded in Processing and servicing costs on the Consolidated Statement of Income. The amount of future annual reductions in residual commission expense will be impacted by any additional portfolio equity buyouts and merchant attrition. Partially offsetting the impact of these buyouts are increases in the settlement obligation due to new SME merchant account signings, as adjusted for

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

changes in same-store sales growth, changes in gross margin for existing merchant relationships, and the impact of SME merchant attrition.

12. Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock Repurchases. On each of October 21, 2011, July 27, 2012 and November 2, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding common stock. Repurchases under the October 21, 2011 and July 27, 2012 authorizations were completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 and repurchases under the November 2, 2012 authorization were completed during the second quarter of 2013. Repurchases under these programs were made through the open market in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. On May 8, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$75 million of the Company's outstanding common stock. Repurchases under the May 8, 2013 authorization are ongoing. The Company intends to fund any repurchases with cash flow from operations, existing cash on the balance sheet, and other sources including the Company's Revolving Credit Facility and the proceeds of options exercises. The manner, timing and amount of repurchases, if any, will be determined by management and will depend on a variety of factors, including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, market conditions and other corporation liquidity requirements. The repurchase program may be modified or discontinued at any time.

A summary of repurchase activity under these authorizations is as follows:

| | Repurchase Programs by Authorization Date | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| | October 2011 | July 2012 | November 2012 | May 2013 | Total |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | — | — | 952,183 | 534,600 | 1,486,783 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | — | — | \$29,813 | \$20,488 | \$50,301 |
| Average cost per share | — | — | \$31.31 | \$38.32 | \$33.83 |
| Remaining authorization (in thousands) | — | — | — | \$54,512 | \$54,512 |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | 1,157,440 | 1,760,804 | 715,800 | — | 3,634,044 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | \$33,172 | \$50,000 | \$20,187 | — | \$103,359 |
| Average cost per share | \$28.66 | \$28.40 | \$28.20 | — | \$28.44 |
| Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Shares repurchased | 778,889 | — | — | — | 778,889 |
| Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands) | \$16,828 | — | — | — | \$16,828 |
| Average cost per share | \$21.61 | — | — | — | \$21.61 |

On November 1, 2012, the Company's board of directors resolved to retire all common shares repurchased and include the retired shares in the authorized and unissued shares of the Company. Until November 1, 2012, the final disposition of the repurchased shares had not been decided. The excess of the purchase price of the treasury stock over the stated

value was allocated between additional paid-in-capital and retained earnings. It is expected that future retirements of common shares repurchased will be recorded as repurchase authorizations are completed.

Dividends on Common Stock. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company's Board of Directors declared the following quarterly cash dividends on common stock:

79

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

| Date Declared | Record Date | Date Paid | Amount Paid Per Common Share |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
| February 7, 2013 | March 4, 2013 | March 15, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| April 30, 2013 | May 24, 2013 | June 15, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| July 30, 2013 | August 23, 2013 | September 13, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| October 29, 2013 | November 22, 2013 | December 13, 2013 | \$0.07 |
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
| February 8, 2012 | March 2, 2012 | March 15, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| May 1, 2012 | May 24, 2012 | June 15, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| July 27, 2012 | August 24, 2012 | September 14, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| November 1, 2012 | November 23, 2012 | December 14, 2012 | \$0.06 |
| Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | | | |
| February 16, 2011 | March 4, 2011 | March 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| May 13, 2011 | May 24, 2011 | June 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| August 2, 2011 | August 24, 2011 | September 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |
| October 21, 2011 | November 24, 2011 | December 15, 2011 | \$0.04 |

On February 5, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share of common stock, payable on March 14, 2014 to stockholders of record as of March 3, 2014.

13. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 consists of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Continuing Operations: | | | |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$31,326 | \$32,155 | \$23,949 |
| State | 4,810 | 3,481 | 740 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 8,766 | 3,737 | (435) |
| State | 1,548 | 1,318 | 2,297 |
| Total provision for income taxes from continuing operations | 46,450 | 40,691 | 26,551 |

The differences in federal income taxes provided (benefited) from continuing operations and the amounts determined by applying the federal statutory tax rate of 35% to income before income taxes for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | % | Amount (In thousands) | % | Amount (In thousands) | % | Amount (In thousands) |
| U.S. federal income tax at statutory rate | 35.00 | % \$42,193 | 35.00 | % \$36,765 | 35.00 | % \$24,338 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----|----------|---|--------|----|----------|---|--------|----|----------|
| U.S. state and local income taxes, net | 3.26 | % | 3,932 | | 2.93 | % | 3,065 | | 2.88 | % | 2,001 |
| Nondeductible expenses | 0.52 | % | 621 | | 0.46 | % | 492 | | 0.37 | % | 261 |
| Research and development credit, net | (1.19) |)% | (1,435) |) | — | % | — | | — | % | — |
| Valuation allowance | 0.60 | % | 724 | | — | % | — | | (0.01) |)% | (5) |
| U.S. tax on foreign income, net | — | % | — | | 0.38 | % | 400 | | — | % | — |
| Other | 0.34 | % | 415 | | (0.03) |)% | (31) |) | (0.06) |)% | (44) |
| Provision for income taxes from continuing operations | 38.53 | % | \$46,450 | | 38.74 | % | \$40,691 | | 38.18 | % | \$26,551 |

On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 ("ATR Act") was enacted which included an extension of the federal research and development credit retroactively to 2012 and prospectively for 2013. The effect of the research and development credit for 2012 is being reflected in 2013 in conjunction with the filing of the Company's 2012 tax return. The

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

effect of the estimated research and development credit for 2013 is also reflected in 2013. The research and development credit expired December 31, 2013 and has not been renewed.

As discussed in Note 20, Discontinued Operations, in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because the Company would no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, the Company recognized income tax expense net of foreign tax credits, of \$0.4 million in 2012 on the Company's 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012. The Company presented CPOS as a discontinued operation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The Company recorded a liability of approximately \$5.6 million, \$3.1 million and \$1.8 million for total gross unrecognized tax benefits of which approximately \$3.8 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, would impact the effective tax rate. The Company does not expect any significant changes within the next twelve months in its unrecognized tax benefits.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance at January 1, | \$3,069 | \$1,819 | \$1,309 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 2,681 | 1,429 | 637 |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (117) | (32) | (127) |
| Settlements | — | (147) | — |
| Balance at December 31, | \$5,633 | \$3,069 | \$1,819 |

The Company accrues interest related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense and accrues penalties in general and administrative expense. At December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the Company had an accrued interest liability on uncertain tax positions of approximately \$441,000, \$281,000, and \$216,000, respectively. During 2013, 2012, and 2011 the Company recognized \$160,000, \$65,000, and \$48,000, respectively, of interest expense related to uncertain tax positions. The Company does not expect to be assessed any penalties on its uncertain tax positions.

The tax years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 remain subject to examination by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the Company is no longer subject to state income tax examinations prior to 2007, except in California where a Protest of Proposed Assessments for the years 2004 to 2008 is currently in progress. Currently the Company does not expect that its state liability will significantly increase or decrease during the next 12 months. The Company files income tax returns in all states where required.

During 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded current tax assets reflecting excess tax benefits of \$11.6 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, resulting from employees exercising non-qualified stock options, making disqualifying dispositions of shares acquired through their exercise of incentive stock options, and vesting of restricted share units. The Company classified the \$11.6 million and \$6.0 million of excess tax benefits for 2013 and 2012, respectively, as cash inflows from financing activities and cash outflows from operating activities in its Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The net deferred tax asset (liability) was comprised of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Merchant contract costs | \$8,542 | \$8,165 |
| Loss reserve and accounts receivable allowance | 859 | 1,189 |
| Share-based compensation | 8,154 | 8,702 |
| FIN No. 48 deferred tax reserve-state tax | 1,968 | 1,185 |
| Other comprehensive income | 170 | 312 |
| Net operating loss carry-forward | 11,034 | 8,333 |
| Reserve for litigation | 591 | 605 |
| State net operating loss carry-forwards | 24 | 30 |
| Reserve for processor incentive | 44 | 217 |
| Deferred compensation | 2,632 | 2,950 |
| Deferred state tax assets | 1,487 | 1,141 |
| Other | 1,229 | 799 |
| Deferred tax assets | 36,734 | 33,628 |
| Less valuation allowance | (2,906 |) (158 |
| Net deferred tax assets | 33,828 | 33,470 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Capitalized signing bonus | 17,173 | 16,068 |
| Software development | 27,419 | 18,970 |
| Unearned rent | (240 |) 227 |
| Property and equipment | 3,597 | 3,916 |
| Goodwill | 12,591 | 9,044 |
| Investment in CPOS | — | 400 |
| Intangibles | 4,340 | 4,002 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 64,880 | 52,627 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | (31,052 |) (19,157 |
| Less current deferred tax asset, net | 9,548 | 10,475 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities - non-current portion | \$(40,600 |) \$(29,632 |

Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. Significant judgment is required in the evaluation of the deferred tax benefits and differences in future results from management's estimates could result in material differences in the realization of these assets.

On September 11, 2013, the Company purchased 66.67% of the outstanding capital stock of Leaf. Because Leaf is less than 80% owned, it cannot be consolidated for tax purposes and must file a separate tax return. Since Leaf recorded cumulative net operating losses in recent years and projects losses in the near term, management has provided a 100% valuation allowance against its deferred tax asset resulting from its net operating losses carryforwards and will continue to carry the valuation allowance until such time as management believes that these deferred tax assets are more-likely- than-not to be realized.

A valuation allowance has not been recorded to reduce the tax benefit of the state net operating loss carry-forwards of \$307,000, for December 31, 2010 or other net deferred tax assets as management believes that the Company will attain sufficient future taxable income within the carry-forward periods to fully recover these taxes. If not used, the state net operating loss carry-forwards will begin to expire in 2022.

On December 31, 2012, the Company acquired Ovation and its \$23.2 million of net operating losses ("NOLs"). This acquisition was a "change of ownership" within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, and, as a result, such NOLs are subject to an annual limitation. Based upon the historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over periods in which these NOLs will be deductible, we believe that it is more likely than not that the Company will be able to fully utilize these NOLs before the carry-forward periods begin to expire in fiscal 2023 and therefore a valuation allowance is not required.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

14. Stock Incentive Plans

As described below, the Company maintained two share-based plans for its employees under which it has granted stock options, Restricted Share Units and performance-based Restricted Share Units. Amounts the Company recognized in its consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 with respect to these share-based plans were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Compensation expense recognized on share-based plans before income tax benefit | \$ 12,838 | \$ 14,187 | \$ 9,548 |
| Related income tax benefit recognized in the income statement | 4,981 | 5,458 | 3,635 |
| Cash received from stock option exercises | 14,174 | 18,303 | 9,685 |
| Excess tax benefit recorded for tax deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options | 11,596 | 5,954 | 3,454 |
| Tax benefit realized as reductions of estimated tax payments during the period | 8,587 | 4,220 | 2,954 |

The Company expenses employee share-based payments under the fair value method. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Stock Options. The Company estimates the grant date fair value of the stock options it issues using a Black-Scholes valuation model for “plain-vanilla” stock options and performance-based stock options, and uses a lattice valuation model to measure grant date fair value for stock options containing market vesting conditions. The Company's assumption for expected volatility is based on its historical volatility data related to market trading of its own common stock. The Company bases its assumptions for the expected life of new stock option grants on its analysis of the historical exercise patterns of its stock options. The dividend yield assumption is based on dividends expected to be paid over the expected life of the stock option. The risk-free interest rate assumption for stock options granted is determined by using U.S. treasury rates of the same period as the expected option term of each stock option.

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$9.36 and \$7.95, respectively. No stock options were granted during the year ended December 31, 2013. The fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was estimated at the grant date using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Expected volatility | 55 | % 55 | % |
| Expected life | 3.75 years | 3.65 years | |
| Expected dividends | 1.00 | % 0.80 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.51 | % 0.55 | % |

Restricted Share Units. In the fourth quarters of 2011, 2012, and 2013, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved grants of performance-based Restricted Share Units with grant-specific vesting and performance target terms as shown in the following table:

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | Performance Awards by Grant Date | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 4th Quarter 2011 | 4th Quarter 2012 | 4th Quarter 2013 |
| RSU's Granted | 164,808 | 72,004 | 115,223 |
| Vesting during 2014 | 50% | — | — |
| Vesting during 2015 | 50% | 50% | — |
| Vesting during 2016 | — | 50% | — |
| Vesting during 2017 | — | — | 50% |
| Vesting during 2018 | — | — | 50% |
| Grant Performance Target | (a) | (b) | (c) |

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(a) These Restricted Share Units will vest 50% in 2014 and 50% in 2015 since the Company achieved a pro forma diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 in excess of the performance target. The CAGR achieved for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 resulted in holders earning the maximum 100% increase in the number of shares awarded.

(b) These Restricted Share Units will vest only if the Company achieves a pro forma diluted earnings per share CAGR of fifteen percent (15%) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. For each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two year period ending on December 31, 2014 is above the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 2.08%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 125%. Likewise, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two-year period ending on December 31, 2014 is below the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.31%. If the target CAGR is missed by 67% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. The Company has recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 15% target.

(c) These Restricted Share Units will vest only if the Company achieves a pro forma diluted earnings per share growth rate of forty percent (40%) over the three-year period ending December 31, 2016. For each 1% that the growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016 is above the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 1.20%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 150%. Likewise, for each 1% that the growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016 is below the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.50%. If the target growth rate is missed by 50% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. The Company has recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 40% target.

Pro forma diluted earnings per share for (a), (b) and (c) performance targets will be calculated excluding non-operating gains and losses, if any, and excluding the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested Restricted Share Units awards and will be recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved target grants of 72,345 Relative Total Shareholder Return Restricted Share Units (referred to as "Relative TSRs"). These Relative TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of the Company's common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 86 peer companies. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 225%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 9, 2015, divided by the closing price on December 10, 2012. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group. The Company recorded expense on these Relative TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Relative TSRs.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Compensation Committee approved target grants of 57,598 Relative TSRs. These Relative TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of the Company's common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 91 peer companies. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on

achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group. The Company recorded expense on these TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Relative TSRs.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Compensation Committee approved target grants of 59,533 Absolute Total Shareholder Return Restricted Share Units (referred to as "Absolute TSRs"). These Absolute TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the Company's three or four year total shareholder return of our common stock. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016 or December 6, 2017, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 33% over three years or 46% over four years. The Company recorded expense on

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

these Absolute TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Absolute TSRs.

Diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were computed based on the weighted average outstanding common shares plus equivalent shares assuming exercise of stock options and vesting of Restricted Share Units, where dilutive.

At December 31, 2013, there was a total of \$28.2 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options and Restricted Share Units, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. In May 2010, the Company approved the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. The maximum number of share awards which may be granted under the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan is 7,700,000, of which 1,297,064 stock options, 881,989 Restricted Share Units and 817,957 performance-based Restricted Share Units were granted during 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010. The stock options and Restricted Share Units granted in 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 generally vest over four years, while the performance-based Restricted Share Units will vest only if, over the term of these Restricted Share Units, performance targets are achieved. At December 31, 2013, 6,121,399 shares of the 7,700,000 authorized shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan remain available for future grant.

The stock options and Restricted Share Units were granted with terms of 5 years and an exercise price equal to the closing market price on the date of grant. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised under the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan during 2013 and 2012 was \$27.9 million and \$22.6 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of Restricted Share Units vested under the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan during 2013 and 2012 was \$17.0 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

Amended and Restated 2000 Equity Incentive Plan. The Amended and Restated 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2000 Equity Incentive Plan”) was replaced in May 2008 by the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. No awards were granted under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan in 2013, 2012, 2011 or 2010. At December 31, 2013, there were 49,571 options outstanding and vested under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan during 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$3.1 million, \$4.0 million and \$6.4 million, respectively.

Share-Based Plan Activity. During 2013 and 2012, employees exercised 1,264,825 and 1,522,429 stock options, respectively, to acquire the Company's common stock, generating \$14.2 million and \$18.3 million of stockholders' equity from the exercises and \$11.6 million and \$6.0 million of stockholders' equity related to tax deductions, which accrued to the Company as employees exercised non-qualified stock options, vested in Restricted Share Units, or made disqualifying dispositions of shares acquired through the exercise of incentive stock options. Stock option and restricted share activity in the Company's share-based plans during 2011, 2012 and 2013 was as follows:

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

| | Stock Options | | Restricted Share Units | | Combined | |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Price | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise/Grant Price |
| Options outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 7,454,166 | \$ 15.28 | 880,110 | \$ 14.23 | 8,334,276 | \$ 15.17 |
| Issued | 31,568 | \$ 20.59 | 372,532 | \$ 22.02 | 404,100 | \$ 21.91 |
| Exercised/vested | (1,133,974) | \$ 8.54 | (112,480) | \$ 10.59 | (1,246,454) | \$ 8.72 |
| Forfeited/cancelled | (385,695) | \$ 20.39 | (37,060) | \$ 16.35 | (422,755) | \$ 20.04 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 5,966,065 | \$ 16.26 | 1,103,102 | \$ 17.11 | 7,069,167 | \$ 16.39 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2011 | 2,264,769 | \$ 16.67 | — | \$ — | 2,264,769 | \$ 16.67 |
| Issued | 27,496 | \$ 24.28 | 568,624 | \$ 29.88 | 596,120 | \$ 29.62 |
| Exercised/vested | (1,522,429) | \$ 12.03 | (175,000) | \$ 14.57 | (1,697,429) | \$ 12.29 |
| Forfeited/cancelled | (240,945) | \$ 22.20 | (32,090) | \$ 21.78 | (273,035) | \$ 22.15 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 4,230,187 | \$ 17.39 | 1,464,636 | \$ 22.31 | 5,694,823 | \$ 18.65 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2012 | 808,962 | \$ 12.16 | — | \$ — | 808,962 | \$ 12.16 |
| Issued | — | \$ — | 491,542 | \$ 42.50 | 491,542 | \$ 42.50 |
| Exercised/vested | (1,264,825) | \$ 11.21 | (502,225) | \$ 18.72 | (1,767,050) | \$ 13.34 |
| Forfeited/cancelled | (2,283,218) | \$ 21.84 | (98,451) | \$ 23.88 | (2,381,669) | \$ 21.92 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 682,144 | \$ 14.26 | 1,355,502 | \$ 30.83 | 2,037,646 | \$ 25.29 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2013 | 394,252 | \$ 13.20 | — | \$ — | 394,252 | \$ 13.20 |

Stock options and Restricted Share Units which were outstanding at December 31, 2013 totaled 2,037,646 and had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 2.1 years, a weighted average exercise/grant price of \$25.29, and total intrinsic value of \$100.2 million. Stock options which were exercisable at December 31, 2013 totaled 394,252 and had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 1.2 years, a weighted average exercise price of \$13.20, and total intrinsic value of \$14.4 million. We have historically issued new shares to satisfy the exercise of options. Stock options and Restricted Share Units outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2013 are summarized by exercise price below:

| Exercise price per share | Outstanding | | | Exercisable | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------|
| | Stock Options | Restricted Share Units | Total | Stock Options | Restricted Share Units | Total |
| \$6.25 to \$12.16 | 164,371 | — | 164,371 | 164,371 | — | 164,371 |
| \$15.22 to \$24.28 | 517,773 | 457,715 | 975,488 | 229,881 | — | 229,881 |
| \$29.57 to \$44.62 | — | 897,787 | 897,787 | — | — | — |
| | 682,144 | 1,355,502 | 2,037,646 | 394,252 | — | 394,252 |

The table below summarizes stock options and Restricted Share Units outstanding at December 31, 2013 by their weighted average remaining contractual term:

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| Exercise price per share | Stock Options | | Restricted Share Units | |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Outstanding | Average Remaining Contractual Term | Outstanding | Average Remaining Contractual Term |
| \$6.25 to \$12.16 | 164,371 | 0.6 years | — | — |
| \$15.22 to \$24.28 | 517,773 | 1.7 years | 457,715 | 1.2 years |
| \$29.57 to \$44.62 | — | — | 897,787 | 3.2 years |
| | 682,144 | 1.4 years | 1,355,502 | 2.4 years |

15. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company applies a fair value framework in order to measure and disclose its financial assets and liabilities which include fixed income equity securities, interest swap derivatives and certain other financial instruments. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or through the use of alternative approaches when market quotes are not readily accessible or available.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

The Company's framework for measuring fair value provides a three-level hierarchy, which prioritizes the factors (inputs) used to calculate the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices, such as a New York Stock Exchange closing price, in active markets for identical assets. Level 1 is the highest priority in the hierarchy.

Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as other significant inputs that are observable at commonly quoted intervals, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable and are based on the Company's assumptions due to little, if any, observable market information. Level 3 is the lowest priority in the hierarchy.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, there have been no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 categories. The following tables provide the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| December 31, 2013 | | Fair Value Measurement Category | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments available for sale: | | | | | |
| Conservative income bond fund (a) | \$12,010 | \$12,010 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Fixed income bond fund (a) | 1,222 | 1,222 | — | — | — |
| Total assets | \$13,232 | \$13,232 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$411 | \$— | \$411 | \$— | \$— |
| Total liabilities | \$411 | \$— | \$411 | \$— | \$— |
| December 31, 2012 | | Fair Value Measurement Category | | | |
| | | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments available for sale: | | | | | |
| Fixed income bond fund (a) | \$1,212 | \$1,212 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Total assets | \$1,212 | \$1,212 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$817 | \$— | \$817 | \$— | \$— |
| Total liabilities | \$817 | \$— | \$817 | \$— | \$— |

(a) amounts included in Funds held for customers on the Consolidated Balance Sheet

The following tables provide the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| December 31, 2013 | | Fair Value Measurement Category | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments held to maturity: | | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$33 | \$— | \$33 | \$— | \$— |
| Total assets | \$33 | \$— | \$33 | \$— | \$— |

Liabilities:

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|------------|-----|
| Revolving credit facility | \$ 150,000 | \$— | \$ 150,000 | \$— |
| Total liabilities | \$ 150,000 | \$— | \$ 150,000 | \$— |

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

| December 31, 2012 | Total (In thousands) | Fair Value Measurement Category | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments held to maturity: | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$1,199 | \$— | \$1,199 | \$— |
| Total assets | \$1,199 | \$— | \$1,199 | \$— |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Term Credit Facility | \$70,000 | \$— | \$70,000 | \$— |
| Prior Revolving Credit Facility | 82,000 | — | 82,000 | — |
| Total liabilities | \$152,000 | \$— | \$152,000 | \$— |

The Company's liabilities include interest rate swaps that are measured at fair value using observable market inputs including the Company's credit risk and its counterparties' credit risks. Based on these inputs, the interest rate swaps are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Based on the Company's continued ability to enter into these swaps, the Company considers the markets for its fair value instruments to be active. The Company's liabilities as of December 31, 2012 also include borrowings under its credit facilities and the carrying value of these liabilities approximates fair value.

The Company's financial instruments also include cash and cash equivalents and cash held for customers and their carrying values approximate fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, because they bear interest at market rates and have maturities of less than 90 days at the time of purchase.

16. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company offers a defined contribution plan (the "Plan") to all employees. Company contributions are generally based upon fixed amounts of eligible compensation. The Company contributed approximately \$2.5 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.6 million to the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

17. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation—The Company is involved in ordinary course legal proceedings, which include all claims, lawsuits, investigations and proceedings, including unasserted claims, which are probable of being asserted, arising in the ordinary course of business and otherwise not described below. The Company has considered all such ordinary course legal proceedings in formulating its disclosures and assessments. In the opinion of the Company, based on consultations with outside counsel, material losses in addition to amounts previously accrued are not considered reasonably possible in connection with these ordinary course legal proceedings.

The Company has also been subject to lawsuits, claims, and investigations which resulted from the criminal breach of its payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). See Contingencies below for a description of the Processing System Intrusion.

Contingencies—The Company collects and stores sensitive data about its merchant customers and bankcard holders. If the Company's network security is breached or sensitive merchant or cardholder data is misappropriated, the Company could be exposed to assessments, fines or litigation costs.

On January 20, 2009, the Company publicly announced the Processing System Intrusion. The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed by the Company during the transaction authorization process. The Company believes the breach did not extend beyond 2008. The Company does not consider it a reasonable possibility that losses exceeding the amounts previously recognized on the matters subject to Processing System Intrusion settlement agreements entered into to date will be incurred. With regard to unsettled claims related to the Processing System Intrusion, the Company determined material losses in addition to those previously accrued are not considered reasonably possible on any such claim disclosed. The Company is prepared to vigorously defend itself against any unsettled claims relating to the Processing System Intrusion that have been asserted against it and feels it has strong defenses to all claims that have been asserted against it relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Leases—The Company leases various office spaces and certain equipment under operating leases with remaining terms ranging up to 10 years. The majority of the office space lease agreements contain renewal options and generally require the Company to pay certain operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Future minimum lease payments for all non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2013 were as follows:

| For the Years Ended December 31, | Operating Leases (a) (In thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 2014 | \$10,410 |
| 2015 | 7,747 |
| 2016 | 5,479 |
| 2017 | 3,627 |
| 2018 | 3,624 |
| Thereafter | 8,020 |
| Total future minimum lease payments | \$38,907 |

(a) There were no material capital leases at December 31, 2013.

Rent expense for leased facilities and equipment was \$10.2 million, \$8.0 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Commitments—Certain officers of the Company have entered into employee confidential information and non-competition agreements under which they are entitled to severance pay equal to their base salary and medical benefits for six months, one year or two years depending on the officer and a pro-rated bonus in the event they are terminated by the Company other than for cause. There were no payouts under these agreements in the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table reflects the Company's other significant contractual obligations, including leases from above, as of December 31, 2013:

| Contractual Obligations | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 years | More than 5 years |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Processing providers (a) | \$12,922 | \$6,844 | \$6,078 | \$— | \$— |
| Telecommunications providers (b) | 6,939 | 3,359 | 2,743 | 837 | — |
| Facility and equipment leases | 38,907 | 10,410 | 13,226 | 7,251 | 8,020 |
| Revolving Credit Facility (c) | 150,000 | — | — | 150,000 | — |
| | \$208,768 | \$20,613 | \$22,047 | \$158,088 | \$8,020 |

The Company has agreements with several third-party processors to provide to us on a non-exclusive basis payment processing and transmittal, transaction authorization and data capture services, and access to various reporting tools. The Company's agreements with third-party processors require it to submit a minimum monthly number of transactions or volume for processing. If the Company submits a number of transactions or volume that is lower than the minimum, it is required to pay the third-party processors the fees that they would have received if the Company had submitted the required minimum number or volume of transactions.

The Company has agreements in place with several large telecommunications companies that provide data center services, wide area network connectivity, and voice services that are used by both the Company call center and (b) card payment processing platforms. These providers require both dollar and term commitments for the services they provide. If the Company does not meet the minimum terms, then there is a requirement to pay the remaining commitments.

Interest rates on the Revolving Credit Facility are variable in nature; however, the Company is party to fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having a remaining notional amount at December 31, 2013 of \$25.0 million. If interest rates were to remain at the December 31, 2013 level, the Company would make interest payments of \$1.4 million in the next year and \$0.5 million in the next 1 to 3 years or a total of \$2.0 million including net settlements on the fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps. The Revolving Credit Facility is available on a revolving basis until October 23, 2018.

18. Segments

The Company bases its business segments on how it monitors and manages the performance of its operations as determined by the Company's chief operating decision maker or decision making group. The Company's operating segments are strategic business units that offer different products and services. They are managed separately because each business requires different marketing strategies, personnel skill sets and technology.

The Company has the following five reportable segments: (1) Card Payment Processing, which provides card payment processing and related services to our SME merchants and Network Services Merchants (2) Heartland Ovation Payroll, which provides payroll processing and related tax filing services, (3) Heartland School Solutions, which provides school nutrition and point-of-sale solutions and associated payment solutions, (4) Campus Solutions, which provides payment processing, higher education loan services and open- and closed-loop payment solutions, and (5) Other. The Other segment consists of Prepaid Card, which provides prepaid card, stored-value card and loyalty and gift card marketing solutions and other miscellaneous

Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

income. The components of the Other segment do not meet the defined thresholds for being an individually reportable segment.

SME merchants and Network Services merchants are aggregated for financial reporting purposes in the Card Segment, as they both provide processing services related to bankcard transactions, exhibit similar economic characteristics, and share the same systems to provide services.

The Company allocates revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities to segments only where directly attributable. The unallocated corporate administration amounts consist primarily of costs attributed to finance, corporate administration, human resources and corporate services. Reconciling items include eliminations of intercompany investments and receivables.

The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Company believes the terms and conditions of transactions between the segments are comparable to those which could have been obtained in transactions with unaffiliated parties.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, 62% and 67%, respectively, of Heartland Ovation Payroll's total assets were funds that the Company holds as a fiduciary in its payroll processing services activities for payment to taxing authorities. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, 32% and 26%, respectively, of the Campus Solutions segment's total assets represent funds held for our loan servicing customers related to payment processing services provided for federal student loan billing and processing that are payable to higher education institutions and other businesses. See Note 8, Intangible Assets and Goodwill for goodwill as a percentage of the reportable segments' total assets.

A summary of the Company's segments for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was as follows:

| | December 31, 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | (In thousands) | | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$1,981,011 | \$1,923,145 | \$1,925,667 |
| Heartland School Solutions | 50,541 | 36,614 | 11,178 |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 44,565 | 21,368 | 19,505 |
| Campus Solutions | 36,186 | 8,065 | 6,488 |
| Other | 23,069 | 24,244 | 22,739 |
| Total revenues | \$2,135,372 | \$2,013,436 | \$1,985,577 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$26,934 | \$24,057 | \$23,313 |
| Heartland School Solutions | 2,282 | 482 | 1,412 |
| Heartland Ovation Payroll | 3,478 | 1,153 | 694 |
| Campus Solutions | 2,330 | 418 | 263 |
| Other | 1,641 | 1,481 | 1,288 |
| Unallocated Corporate Administration Amounts | (1,311 |) 241 | 471 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$35,354 | \$27,832 | \$27,441 |
| Interest Income | | | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$121 | \$201 | \$142 |
| Campus Solutions | 3 | — | — |
| Total interest income | \$124 | \$201 | \$142 |
| Interest Expense | | | |
| Card Payment Processing | \$5,425 | \$3,729 | \$4,329 |

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Campus Solutions | 7 | 7 | 2 | |
| Other | — | — | 3 | |
| Reconciling | (3 |) (290 |) (212 |) |
| Total interest expense | \$5,429 | \$3,446 | \$4,122 | |