

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC /DE/
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended **September 30, 2012**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-13649

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware **94-2563513**
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

160 Broadway, New York, New York 10038
(Address of Principal Executive (Zip Code)
Offices)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: **(212) 791-5362**

N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. (See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer "
Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company x
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes " No x

As of November 12, 2012, there were 14,416,198 outstanding shares of the issuer's Common Stock, \$.10 par value.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-Looking Statements. *Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not based on historical fact may be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "believe", "may", "will", "expect", "estimate", "anticipate", "continue" or similar terms identify forward-looking statements. A wide variety of factors could cause the actual results and experiences of Berkshire Bancorp Inc. (the "Company") to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by the Company's forward-looking statements. Some of the risks and uncertainties that may affect operations, performance, results of the Company's business, the interest rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities, and the adequacy of its loan loss allowance, include, but are not limited to: (i) deterioration in local, regional, national or global economic conditions which could result, among other things, in an increase in loan delinquencies, a decrease in property values, or a change in the housing turnover rate; (ii) changes in market interest rates or changes in the speed at which market interest rates change; (iii) changes in laws and regulations affecting the financial services industry; (iv) changes in competition; (v) changes in consumer preferences; (vi) changes in banking technology; (vii) ability to maintain key members of management; (viii) possible disruptions in the Company's operations at its banking facilities; (ix) cost of compliance with new corporate governance requirements, rules and regulations; (x) the potential impact on our operations and customers resulting from natural or man-made disasters, including the potential impact of Hurricane Sandy; and other factors referred to in this Quarterly Report and in Item 1A, "Risk Factors", of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.*

Certain information customarily disclosed by financial institutions, such as estimates of interest rate sensitivity and the adequacy of the loan loss allowance, are inherently forward-looking statements because, by their nature, they represent attempts to estimate what will occur in the future.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance upon any forward-looking statement contained in this Quarterly Report. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they were made and the Company assumes no obligation to update or revise any such statements upon any change in applicable circumstances.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

INDEX

| | Page No. |
|---|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (unaudited) | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations For The Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 8 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 33 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk | 51 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 52 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | 53 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 53 |
| Signatures | 54 |
| Index of Exhibits | 55 |

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)
(unaudited)

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 5,260 | \$ 12,105 |
| Interest bearing deposits | 100,019 | 88,931 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 105,279 | 101,036 |
| Investment Securities: | | |
| Available-for-sale | 398,679 | 415,170 |
| Held-to-maturity, fair value of \$274 in 2012 and \$293 in 2011 | 281 | 298 |
| Total investment securities | 398,960 | 415,468 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 308,900 | 317,021 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (13,525) | (17,720) |
| Net loans | 295,375 | 299,301 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,414 | 3,224 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 7,241 | 7,474 |
| Other assets | 37,002 | 35,626 |
| Total assets | \$ 847,271 | \$ 862,129 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 78,823 | \$ 74,073 |
| Interest bearing | 572,171 | 584,819 |
| Total deposits | 650,994 | 658,892 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Borrowings | 2,672 | 6,139 |
| Subordinated debt | 7,217 | 22,681 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,722 | 6,996 |
| Other liabilities | 2,259 | 1,893 |
| Total liabilities | 714,864 | 746,601 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock - \$.01 Par value: 2,000,000 shares authorized - none issued | | |
| Common stock - \$.10 par value Authorized — 25,000,000 shares Issued — 14,416,198 shares Outstanding — September 30, 2012, 14,416,198 shares December 31, 2011, 14,443,183 shares | 1,442 | 1,444 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 143,903 | 143,900 |
| Accumulated Deficit | (10,636) | (19,299) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (2,302) | (10,517) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 132,407 | 115,528 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 847,271 | \$ 862,129 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Dollars In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)
(unaudited)

| | For The Three Months Ended September 30, | | For The Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|----------|---|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| INTEREST INCOME | | | | |
| Loans, including related fees | \$ 4,658 | \$ 5,229 | \$ 14,445 | \$ 16,406 |
| Investment securities | 2,406 | 3,240 | 7,143 | 9,901 |
| Interest bearing deposits | 59 | 65 | 243 | 199 |
| Total interest income | 7,123 | 8,534 | 21,831 | 26,506 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,144 | 1,503 | 3,544 | 4,612 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 446 | 481 | 1,337 | 1,475 |
| Interest expense on borrowings | 69 | 240 | 410 | 752 |
| Total interest expense | 1,659 | 2,224 | 5,291 | 6,839 |
| Net interest income | 5,464 | 6,310 | 16,540 | 19,667 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | (4,193) | — | (4,193) | 1,600 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 9,657 | 6,310 | 20,733 | 18,067 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME | | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 110 | 127 | 344 | 364 |
| Investment securities gains | 61 | 1,100 | 169 | 963 |
| Other income | 595 | 82 | 897 | 43,246 |
| Total non-interest income | 766 | 1,309 | 1,410 | 44,573 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,263 | 2,243 | 7,123 | 7,077 |
| Net occupancy expense | 567 | 921 | 1,728 | 1,986 |
| Equipment expense | 86 | 76 | 252 | 236 |
| FDIC assessment | 300 | 300 | 900 | 952 |
| Data processing expense | 112 | 74 | 336 | 335 |
| Other | 652 | 728 | 1,984 | 2,475 |
| Total non-interest expense | 3,980 | 4,342 | 12,323 | 13,061 |
| Income before provision for taxes | 6,443 | 3,277 | 9,820 | 49,579 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3,260 | 281 | 1,157 | 2,374 |
| Net income | \$ 3,183 | \$ 2,996 | \$ 8,663 | \$ 47,205 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | — | 1,200 | — | 3,600 |
| Income (loss) allocated to common stockholders | \$ 3,183 | \$ 1,796 | \$ 8,663 | \$ 43,605 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$.22 | \$.25 | \$.60 | \$ 6.18 |
| Diluted | \$.22 | \$.25 | \$.60 | \$ 6.18 |

Number of shares used to compute net income (loss) per common share:

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| Basic | 14,416 | 7,054 | 14,416 | 7,054 |
| Diluted | 14,416 | 7,054 | 14,416 | 7,054 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)
 (unaudited)

| | For The Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net earnings | \$ 8,663 | \$ 47,205 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available- for-sale securities, net of taxes (benefits) of \$5,409 and (\$1,203), in 2012 and 2011, respectively | 8,114 | (1,805) |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gains (losses) included in net earnings, net of taxes of \$68 and \$385, in 2012 and 2011, respectively | 101 | 578 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 16,878 | \$ 45,978 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollars In Thousands, Except Share Data)

(Unaudited)

| | Common Shares | Preferred Shares | Common Stock Par Value | Preferred Stock Par Value | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), net | Retained Earnings/ (Accumulated deficit) | Treasury stock | Comprehensive income (loss) | Total stockholders' equity |
|--|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 7,698 | 60 | \$770 | \$1 | \$150,985 | \$(8,589) | \$(65,123) | \$(6,411) | | \$71,633 |
| Net income | | | | | | | 47,205 | | 47,205 | 47,205 |
| Other comprehensive loss net of taxes | | | | | | (1,227) | | | (1,227) | (1,227) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$45,978 | |
| Cash dividends - Preferred Stock | | | | | | | (3,600) | | | (3,600) |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 7,698 | 60 | \$770 | \$1 | \$150,985 | \$(9,816) | \$(21,518) | \$(6,411) | | \$114,011 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 14,443 | — | \$1,444 | \$— | \$143,900 | \$(10,517) | \$(19,299) | \$— | | \$115,528 |
| Net income | | | | | | | 8,663 | | 8,663 | 8,663 |
| Other comprehensive income net of taxes | | | | | | 8,215 | | | 8,215 | 8,215 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$16,878 | |
| Adjustment | (27) | | (2) | | 3 | | | | | 1 |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | 14,416 | — | \$1,442 | \$— | \$143,903 | \$(2,302) | \$(10,636) | \$— | | \$132,407 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

6

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

| | For The Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 8,663 | \$ 47,205 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Realized gains on investment securities | (169) | (963) |
| Net amortization of premiums of investment securities | 1,833 | 838 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 373 | 352 |
| Provision for loan losses | (4,193) | 1,600 |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (190) | 303 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (1,376) | 11,857 |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | (4,908) | 2 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 33 | 61,194 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | | |
| Purchases | (382,025) | (385,431) |
| Sales, maturities and calls | 405,068 | 319,758 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | | |
| Maturities | 17 | 15 |
| Net decrease in loans | 8,119 | 35,299 |
| (Acquisition) sale of premises and equipment | (140) | 216 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 31,039 | (30,143) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in non interest bearing deposits | 4,750 | 4,648 |
| Net decrease in interest bearing deposits | (12,648) | (24,547) |
| Repayment of borrowings | (18,931) | (3,372) |
| Net cash (used in) financing activities | (26,829) | (23,271) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 4,243 | 7,780 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 101,036 | 79,117 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 105,279 | \$ 86,897 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash used to pay interest | \$ 10,565 | \$ 6,536 |
| Cash used to pay income taxes, net of refunds | \$ (2) | \$ (2,810) |
| Schedule of non-cash financing activities: | | |
| Dividends declared and not paid | \$ — | \$ 3,600 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

7

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2012 and 2011

(unaudited)

Note 1. General

Berkshire Bancorp Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. References herein to "Berkshire", the "Company" or "we" and similar pronouns, shall be deemed to refer to Berkshire Bancorp Inc. and its wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries unless the context otherwise requires. Berkshire's principal activity is the ownership and management of its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, The Berkshire Bank (the "Bank"), a New York State chartered commercial bank. The Bank is owned through Berkshire's wholly-owned subsidiary, Greater American Finance Group, Inc. ("GAFG").

The accompanying financial statements of Berkshire Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries include the accounts of the parent company, Berkshire Bancorp Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: The Berkshire Bank, GAFG and East 39, LLC.

We have prepared the accompanying consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") for interim financial reporting. These consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, are unaudited and, in our opinion, include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments and accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of our consolidated balance sheets, operating results, and cash flows for the periods presented. Operating results for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the remaining quarters of fiscal 2012 due to a variety of factors. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Subordinated Debt

In April 2012, we received a notice from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York stating that they do not object to the Company's request to redeem \$15.5 million of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debt Securities Due 2034. On July 23, 2012, we redeemed said security, including accrued interest.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(unaudited)

Note 2. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average common stock outstanding, excluding stock options from the calculation. As of and for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no potential dilutive shares. The following tables present the Company's calculation of income per common share:

| | For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$3,183 | | | \$2,996 | | |
| Dividends paid to preferred shareholders | — | | | (1,200) | | |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$3,183 | 14,416 | \$.22 | \$1,796 | 7,054 | \$.25 |

| | For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$8,663 | | | \$47,205 | | |
| Dividends paid to preferred shareholders | — | | | (3,600) | | |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$8,663 | 14,416 | \$.60 | \$43,605 | 7,054 | \$ 6.18 |

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(unaudited)

Note 3. Income Taxes

The tax effect of the principal temporary differences at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 December 31, 2011 (In thousands) | |
|--|---|-----------|
| Net deferred tax assets | | |
| Loan loss provision | \$ 6,089 | \$ 7,992 |
| Depreciation | (823) | (303) |
| Non accrual interest | 128 | 104 |
| Net operating loss | 7,324 | 9,483 |
| Other | 789 | 402 |
| Other than temporary impairment | — | 425 |
| Valuation reserve | — | (3,912) |
| Unrealized loss on investment securities | 5,477 | 6,445 |
| Net deferred tax assets included in other assets | \$ 18,984 | \$ 20,636 |

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had \$20.1 million and \$24.4 million, respectively, of net operating losses ("NOL's") available to offset future taxable income for federal income tax purposes. The NOL's will begin to expire in 2029.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded a valuation reserve of \$3.9 million relating primarily to NOL's. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the valuation reserve was released due to additional current earnings and the expectation that we will recognize the remaining benefit of the NOL's within the next few years. Of the remaining deferred tax asset, management has determined that it is more likely than not that we will realize the net deferred tax asset based upon the nature and timing of the items referred to above. In order to fully realize the net deferred tax asset, the Company will need to generate future taxable income. Management has projected that the Company will generate sufficient taxable income to utilize the net deferred tax asset. However, there can be no assurance that such levels of taxable income will be generated.

In the normal course of business, the Company's Federal, New York State and New York City Corporation tax returns are subject to audit. The Company is currently open to audit by the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") under the statute of limitations for years after 2008. The Company is currently undergoing an examination by the IRS for the years 2008 and 2009. This examination has not yet been completed, however, no significant issues have been raised

and we do not expect material adjustments.

The Company has performed an evaluation of its tax positions and has concluded that as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no significant uncertain tax positions requiring additional recognition in its financial statements and does not believe that there will be any material changes in its unrecognized tax positions over the next twelve months.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. There were no accruals for interest or penalties during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or the year ended December 31, 2011.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(unaudited)

Note 4. Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth information concerning the Company's loan portfolio by type of loan at the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial and Industrial and Finance Leases Secured by Real Estate | \$ 15,967 | 5.2 % | \$ 15,660 | 4.9 % | |
| Residential | 98,347 | 31.8 | 104,854 | 33.0 | |
| Multi family | 14,593 | 4.7 | 12,169 | 3.8 | |
| Commercial Real Estate and Construction | 178,516 | 57.6 | 183,819 | 57.9 | |
| Consumer | 2,073 | 0.7 | 1,240 | 0.4 | |
| Total loans | 309,496 | 100.0% | 317,742 | 100.0 % | |
| Deferred loan fees | (596) | | (721) | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (13,525) | | (17,720) | | |
| Loans, net | \$295,375 | | \$299,301 | | |

The Bank had \$511,000 and \$647,000 of non-accrual loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and no loans delinquent more than ninety days and still accruing interest at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Bank did not foreclose on any loans during both the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011. The Bank classified the non-accrual loans as impaired loans at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. However, no specific reserves for impaired loans was made because the collateral underlying the impaired loans was deemed to be sufficient to cover any loss in the event of a default. Therefore, the allowance for loan loss is includable in the calculation of regulatory capital up to a maximum of 125% of risk-weighted assets or approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.2 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Average impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were approximately \$31.9 million and \$27.4 million, respectively, and \$22.8 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. Interest income that would have been recognized had these loans performed in accordance with their contractual terms was \$8,000 and \$25,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$6,000 and \$9,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

The following table sets forth information concerning activity in the Company's allowance for loan losses for the indicated periods.

| | For The Three Months Ended | | For The Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | September | September | September | September |
| | 30, 2012 | 30, 2011 | 30, 2012 | 30, 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$17,718 | \$ 17,730 | \$ 17,720 | \$ 16,105 |
| Provision charged to operations | (4,193) | — | (4,193) | 1,600 |
| Loans charge-offs | — | — | (2) | (2) |
| Recoveries | — | — | — | 27 |
| Balance at end of period | \$13,525 | \$ 17,730 | \$ 13,525 | \$ 17,730 |

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Financing Receivables

The qualitative factors are determined based on the various risk characteristics of each loan class. Relevant risk characteristics are as follows:

Commercial and industrial loans - Loans in this class are made to businesses. Generally these loans are secured by assets of the business and repayment is expected from the cash flows of the business. A weakened economy, and resultant decreased consumer and/or business spending will have an effect on the credit quality in this loan class.

Commercial real estate - Loans in this class include income-producing investment properties and owner-occupied real estate used for business purposes. The underlying properties are generally located largely in our primary market area. The cash flows of the income producing investment properties are adversely impacted by a downturn in the economy as evidenced by increased vacancy rates, which in turn, will have an effect on credit quality. In the case of owner-occupied real estate used for business purposes a weakened economy and resultant decreased consumer and/or business spending will have an adverse effect on credit quality.

Construction loans - Loans in this class primarily include land loans to local individuals, contractors and developers for developing the land for sale or for the purpose of making improvements thereon. Repayment is derived from sale of the lots/units including any pre-sold units. Credit risk is affected by market conditions, time to sell at an adequate price and cost overruns. To a lesser extent this class includes commercial development projects we finance which in most cases have an interest-only phase during construction and then convert to permanent financing. Credit risk is affected by cost overruns, market conditions and the availability of permanent financing, to the extent such permanent financing is not being provided by us.

Residential real estate - Loans in this class are made to and secured by owner-occupied residential real estate and repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower. The overall health of the economy, including unemployment rates and housing prices, will have an effect on the credit quality in this loan class. The Company generally does not originate loans with a loan-to-value ratio greater than 80 percent and does not grant subprime loans.

Multi-Family real estate - Loans in this class are made to and secured by owner-occupied residential real estate and repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower. The overall health of the economy, including unemployment rates and housing prices, will have an effect on the credit quality in this loan class. The Company generally does not originate loans with a loan-to-value ratio greater than 80 percent and does not grant subprime loans.

Consumer loans - Loans in this class may be either secured or unsecured and repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower and, if applicable, sale of the collateral securing the loan (such as automobile or other secured assets). Therefore the overall health of the economy, including unemployment rates and housing prices, will have an effect on the credit quality in this loan class.

Financing Leases - Loans in this class may be either secured or unsecured and repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower and, if applicable, sale of the collateral securing the loan (such as equipment or other secured assets). Therefore the overall health of the economy, including unemployment rates and housing prices, will have an effect on the credit quality in this loan class.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(unaudited)

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(In thousands)

| | Commercial Industrial | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Multi Family | Residential Real Estate | Consumer | Finance Leases | Unallocated | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 950 | \$ 7,857 | \$ 609 | \$ 411 | \$ 6,490 | \$ 53 | \$ 126 | \$ 1,224 | \$ 17,720 |
| Charge-offs | (2) | | | | | | | | (2) |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | | | |
| Provision | (297) | (1,433) | 512 | 82 | (1,779) | 29 | (83) | (1,224) | (4,193) |
| Ending balance | \$ 651 | \$ 6,424 | \$ 1,121 | \$ 493 | \$ 4,711 | \$ 82 | \$ 43 | \$ 0 | \$ 13,525 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 651 | \$ 6,424 | \$ 1,121 | \$ 493 | \$ 4,711 | \$ 82 | \$ 43 | \$ 0 | \$ 13,525 |
| Financing Receivables: | | | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 14,242 | \$ 157,750 | \$ 20,766 | \$ 14,593 | \$ 98,347 | \$ 2,073 | \$ 1,725 | \$ 0 | \$ 309,496 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 0 | \$ 17,583 | \$ 9,730 | \$ 0 | \$ 7,897 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 35,210 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 14,242 | \$ 140,167 | \$ 11,036 | \$ 14,593 | \$ 90,450 | \$ 2,073 | \$ 1,725 | \$ 0 | \$ 274,286 |

The Company believes the reversal of the unallocated amount in the allowance for credit losses is appropriate given the nature of the portfolio, the size of individual loans, historical loss experience and the current economy's impact on the real estate market. The Company will continue to closely monitor the environment and loan portfolio and make

adjustments when appropriate.

Among the loans reviewed for impairment, \$2.4 million of residential loans and \$1.3 million of commercial real estate loans were identified as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). TDRs are the result of an economic concession being granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructuring and may only return to performing status after considering the borrower's sustained repayment performance under the revised payment terms for a reasonable period, generally six months. We evaluated all of the impaired loans by analyzing the collateral value and by evaluating the discounted cash flow. Based on the nature of the modifications no impairment was required.

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
(In thousands)

| | Commercial Industrial | Commercial Real Estate | Construction | Multi Family | Residential Real Estate | Consumer | Finance Leases | Unallocated | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 417 | \$ 8,610 | \$ 2,784 | \$ 147 | \$ 2,066 | \$ 25 | \$ 419 | \$ 1,637 | \$ 16,105 |
| Charge-offs | (12) | | | | | | | | (12) |
| Recoveries | 27 | | | | | | | | 27 |
| Provision | 518 | (753) | (2,175) | 264 | 4,424 | 28 | (293) | (413) | 1,600 |
| Ending balance | \$ 950 | \$ 7,857 | \$ 609 | \$ 411 | \$ 6,490 | \$ 53 | \$ 126 | \$ 1,224 | \$ 17,720 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 950 | \$ 7,857 | \$ 609 | \$ 411 | \$ 6,490 | \$ 53 | \$ 126 | \$ 1,224 | \$ 17,720 |
| Financing Receivables: | | | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 11,006 | \$ 169,015 | \$ 14,804 | \$ 12,169 | \$ 104,854 | \$ 1,240 | \$ 4,654 | \$ 0 | \$ 317,742 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 122 | \$ 23,343 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,566 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 25,031 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | | | | | |
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 10,884 | \$ 145,672 | \$ 14,804 | \$ 12,169 | \$ 103,288 | \$ 1,240 | \$ 4,654 | \$ 0 | \$ 292,711 |

The Company believes the unallocated amount included in the allowance for credit losses is appropriate given the nature of the portfolio with the size of individual loans and the current economy's impact on the real estate market. The Company will continue to closely monitor the environment and loan portfolio and make adjustments when appropriate.

Among the loans reviewed for impairment, \$2.4 million of residential loans and \$1.3 million of commercial real estate loans were identified as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). TDRs are the result of an economic concession being

granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructuring and may only return to performing status after considering the borrower's sustained repayment performance under the revised payment terms for a reasonable period, generally six months. We evaluated all of the impaired loans by analyzing the collateral value and by evaluating the discounted cash flow. Based on the nature of the modifications no impairment was required.

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans
As of September 30, 2012
(In thousands)

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans | Recorded Loans > 90 Days and Accruing |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| Commercial & industrial | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ 2 | \$14,240 | \$14,242 | \$ — |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | 20,766 | 20,766 | — |
| Commercial real estate | — | — | 15 | 15 | 157,735 | 157,750 | — |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | 1,882 | 1,882 | — |
| Overdrafts | — | — | — | — | 191 | 191 | — |
| Residential - prime | 909 | — | 496 | 1,405 | 96,942 | 98,347 | — |
| Residential - multi family | — | — | — | — | 14,593 | 14,593 | — |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | 1,725 | 1,725 | — |
| Total | \$ 909 | \$ 2 | \$ 511 | \$ 1,422 | \$308,074 | \$309,496 | \$ — |

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans
As of December 31, 2011
(In thousands)

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans | Recorded Loans > 90 Days and Accruing |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 11 | \$ — | \$ 122 | \$ 133 | \$10,873 | \$11,006 | \$ — |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | 14,804 | 14,804 | — |
| Commercial real estate | 21 | — | — | 21 | 168,994 | 169,015 | — |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | 778 | 778 | — |
| Overdrafts | — | — | — | — | 462 | 462 | — |
| Residential - prime | 63 | — | 525 | 588 | 104,266 | 104,854 | — |
| Residential - multi family | — | — | — | — | 12,169 | 12,169 | — |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | 4,654 | 4,654 | — |
| Total | \$ 95 | \$ — | \$ 647 | \$ 742 | \$317,000 | \$317,742 | \$ — |

Impaired Loans

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

(In thousands)

| | Recorded | Unpaid Principal | Related Allowance | Average Recorded | Interest Income Recognized | Interest Income Foregone |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | Loan | Balance | Allowance | Loan | Recognized | Foregone |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Construction | 9,730 | 9,730 | — | 9,777 | 448 | — |
| Commercial real estate | 17,583 | 17,583 | — | 17,749 | 861 | — |
| Consumer | 14 | 14 | — | 15 | — | — |
| Residential - prime | 7,883 | 7,883 | — | 7,904 | 256 | 25 |
| Residential - multi family | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$ 35,210 | \$ 35,210 | \$ — | \$ 35,445 | \$ 1,565 | \$ 25 |
| Commercial | 27,313 | 27,313 | — | 27,526 | 1,309 | — |
| Consumer | 14 | 14 | — | 15 | — | — |
| Residential | 7,883 | 7,883 | — | 7,904 | 256 | 25 |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Impaired Loans
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
 (In thousands)

| | Recorded | Unpaid Principal | Related Allowance | Average Recorded | Interest Income Recognized | Interest Income Foregone |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | Loan | Balance | Allowance | Loan | Recognized | Foregone |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 122 | \$ 122 | \$ — | \$ 31 | \$ — | \$ 13 |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial real estate | 23,343 | 23,343 | — | 18,898 | 2,021 | 3 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Residential - prime | 1,566 | 1,566 | — | 1,235 | 56 | 54 |
| Residential - multi family | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$ 25,031 | \$ 25,031 | \$ — | \$ 20,164 | \$ 2,077 | \$ 70 |
| Commercial | 23,465 | 23,465 | — | 18,929 | 2,021 | 16 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Residential | 1,566 | 1,566 | — | 1,235 | 56 | 54 |
| Finance leases | — | — | — | — | — | — |

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
(unaudited)

Note 4. - (continued)

Loans on Nonaccrual Status
As of

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ — | \$ 122 |
| Construction | — | — |
| Commercial real estate | 15 | — |
| Consumer | — | — |
| Residential | 496 | 525 |
| Residential - multi family | — | — |
| Finance leases | — | — |
| Total | \$ 511 | \$ 647 |

Credit Exposure
Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grades
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(In thousands)

| Grade: | Commercial | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | & Industrial | Construction | Commercial Real Estate |
| Pass | \$ 14,089 | \$ 11,036 | \$ 122,373 |
| Watch | — | — | 8,290 |
| Special Mention | — | — | 10,267 |
| Substandard | 153 | 9,730 | 16,820 |
| Total | \$ 14,242 | \$ 20,766 | \$ 157,750 |

| | Residential | Multi Family |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Grade: | | |
| Pass | \$ 90,093 | \$ 14,593 |
| Watch | 719 | — |
| Special Mention | 1,466 | — |
| Substandard | 6,069 | — |
| Total | \$ 98,347 | \$ 14,593 |

| | Consumer | Consumer | Finance |
|---------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Overdrafts | Other | Leases |
| Performing | \$ 191 | \$ 1,882 | \$ 1,725 |
| Nonperforming | — | — | — |
| Total | \$ 191 | \$ 1,882 | \$ 1,725 |

Credit Exposure
 Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grades
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
 (In thousands)

| | Commercial | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | & | | Commercial |
| | Industrial | Construction | Real Estate |
| Grade: | | | |
| Pass | \$ 10,840 | \$ 14,804 | \$ 114,508 |
| Watch | — | — | 8,650 |
| Special Mention | — | — | 19,489 |
| Substandard | 166 | — | 26,368 |
| Total | \$ 11,006 | \$ 14,804 | \$ 169,015 |

| | Residential | Multi Family |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Grade: | | |
| Pass | \$ 96,475 | \$ 12,169 |
| Watch | 731 | — |
| Special Mention | 1,561 | — |
| Substandard | 6,086 | — |
| Total | \$ 104,853 | \$ 12,169 |

| | Consumer | Consumer | Finance |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Overdrafts | Other | Leases |
| Performing | \$ 463 | \$ 778 | \$ 4,654 |
| Nonperforming | — | — | — |
| Total | \$ 463 | \$ 778 | \$ 4,654 |

The Company utilizes a grade risk rating system for commercial and industrial, commercial real estate and construction loans.

On a quarterly basis, or more often if needed, the Company formally reviews the ratings on all classified commercial and industrial, commercial real estate and construction loans. Semi-annually, the Company engages an independent third-party to review a significant portion of loans within these segments. Management uses the results of these reviews as part of its periodic review process.

Loan Modifications
(Dollars in Thousands)

| Troubled Debt Restructuring | As of September 30, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Loans | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Loans |
| Residential - prime | 8 | \$ 2,351 | \$ 2,351 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 4 | 1,301 | 1,301 |
| | 12 | \$ 3,652 | \$ 3,652 |

| Troubled Debt Restructuring | As of December 31, 2011 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Loans | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Loans |
| Residential | 8 | \$ 2,388 | \$ 2,388 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 3 | 1,326 | 1,326 |
| | 11 | \$ 3,714 | \$ 3,714 |

The loans restructured during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were restructured by extending maturity dates or reducing interest rates. No loans were restructured into two notes nor are there any commitments to extend additional funds on any TDRs. The commercial real estate loans are individually evaluated for impairment with any loss recognized in the allowance for loan losses.

In August 2012, the Bank sold a loan to K.F. Investors LLC, of which the Company and the Bank's Chairman of the Board and his immediate family members who serve as directors of the Bank are general partners, for approximately \$6.8 million, which represented the Bank's carrying value.

Note 5. Investment Securities

The following is a summary of held to maturity investment securities as of the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2012 | | | Fair value |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$281 | \$ — | \$ (7) | \$ 274 |

(In thousands)

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | Amortized | Gross | Gross | Fair |
| | Cost | unrealized | unrealized | value |
| | | gains | losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$298 | \$ 1 | \$ (6 |) \$293 |

The following is a summary of available-for-sale investment securities as of the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | Amortized | Gross | Gross | Fair |
| | Cost | unrealized | unrealized | value |
| | | gains | losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$49,990 | \$33 | \$ — | \$50,023 |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 148,637 | 764 | (8 |) 149,393 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 122,964 | 3,026 | (373 |) 125,617 |
| Corporate notes | 10,097 | 142 | | 10,239 |
| Municipal securities | 2,719 | 311 | (366 |) 2,664 |
| Auction rate securities | 58,200 | — | (7,717 |) 50,483 |
| Marketable equity securities and other | 1,145 | 4 | (20 |) 1,129 |
| Trading securities | 9,218 | — | (87 |) 9,131 |
| Totals | \$402,970 | \$4,280 | \$ (8,571 |) \$398,679 |

| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | Amortized | Gross | Gross | Fair |
| | Cost | unrealized | unrealized | value |
| | | gains | losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$80,072 | \$141 | \$ — | \$80,213 |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 130,389 | 510 | (33 |) 130,866 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 140,049 | 2,750 | (440 |) 142,359 |
| Corporate notes | 12,949 | 103 | (49 |) 13,003 |
| Municipal securities | 2,682 | — | (687 |) 1,995 |
| Auction rate securities | 65,700 | — | (21,205 |) 44,495 |
| Marketable equity securities and other | 2,284 | — | (45 |) 2,239 |
| Totals | \$434,125 | \$3,504 | \$ (22,459 |) \$415,170 |

Management uses a multi-factor approach to determine whether each investment security in an unrealized loss position is other-than-temporarily impaired ("OTTI"). An unrealized loss position exists when the current fair value of an investment is less than its amortized cost basis. The valuation factors utilized by management incorporate the ideas and concepts outlined in relevant accounting guidance. These include such factors as:

*The length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost;

*The financial condition of the issuer of the security as well as the near and long-term prospect for the issuer;

*The rating of the security by a national rating agency;

*Historical volatility and movement in the fair market value of the security; and

*Adverse conditions relative to the security, issuer or industry.

The following table shows the outstanding auction rate securities aggregated by type of underlying collateral at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Preferred Shares of Money Center Banks | \$ 58,200 | \$ 50,483 | \$ 63,700 | \$ 42,495 |
| Public Utility Debt and Equity Securities | — | — | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Totals | \$ 58,200 | \$ 50,483 | \$ 65,700 | \$ 44,495 |

In accordance with ASC 320-10, Investment - Debt and Equity Securities, Management's impairment analysis for the corporate and auction rate securities that were in a loss position as of September 30, 2012 began with management's determination that it had the intent to hold these securities for sufficient time to recover the cost basis. Management also concluded that it was unlikely that it would be required to sell any of the securities before recovery of the cost basis.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the amortized cost of our auction rate securities was \$58.2 million and \$65.7 million, respectively. The fair value of the auction rate securities was \$50.5 million and \$44.5 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The fair value of the auction rate securities is determined by management valuing the underlying security. The auction rate securities allow for conversion to the underlying preferred security after two failed auctions. As of September 30, 2012, there have been more than two failed auctions for all outstanding auction rate securities. It is our intention to continue to hold these securities and not convert to the underlying preferred securities. We also perform a discounted cash flow analysis, but we considered the market value of the underlying preferred shares to be more objective and relevant in pricing auction rate securities.

In determining whether there is OTTI, management considers the factors noted above. The financial performance indicators we review include, but are not limited to, net earnings, change in liquidity, and change in cash from operating activities, and, for money center banks, the regulatory capital ratios and the allowance for loan losses to the nonperforming loans. Through September 30, 2012, the auction rate securities have continued to pay interest at the highest rate as stipulated in the original prospectus. Currently, the interest rate paid approximates the rate paid on money market deposit accounts.

At September 30, 2012, we had 6 auction rate securities with an aggregate fair market value of \$30.6 million which were below investment grade. At December 31, 2011, we had four auction rate securities with an aggregate fair market value of \$15.3 million which were below investment grade.

Based upon our methodology for determining the fair value of the auction rate securities, we concluded that as of September 30, 2012, the unrealized loss for the auction rate securities is due to the market interest volatility, the continued illiquidity of the auction rate markets, and uncertainty in the financial markets as there has not been a deterioration in the credit quality of the issuer of the auction rate securities or a downgrade of additional auction rate securities from investment grade. It is not more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell the auction rate securities prior to recovery of the unrealized loss, nor does the Company intend to sell the security at the present time.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011, \$2.0 million and zero auction rate securities, respectively, were redeemed.

There has been one credit rating down grade on our auction rate securities subsequent to December 31, 2011.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company owned preferred and common stock (collectively "equity securities"), with an amortized cost of \$1.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The fair value of the equity securities was \$1.1 million and \$2.2 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company has investments in certain debt securities that have unrealized losses or may be otherwise impaired, but an OTTI has not been recognized in the financial statements as management believes the decline is due to the credit markets coupled with the interest rate environment.

The following table indicates the length of time individual securities that we consider temporarily impaired have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

| Description of Securities | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$ 9,131 | \$ 87 | \$ — | \$ — | \$9,131 | \$ 87 |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 16,963 | 7 | 26 | 1 | 16,989 | 8 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,136 | 7 | 8,237 | 366 | 9,373 | 373 |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 50,483 | 7,717 | 50,483 | 7,717 |
| Municipal securities | — | — | 858 | 366 | 858 | 366 |
| Subtotal, debt securities | 27,230 | 101 | 59,604 | 8,450 | 86,834 | 8,551 |
| Marketable equity securities and other | — | — | 41 | 20 | 41 | 20 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 27,230 | \$ 101 | \$ 59,645 | \$ 8,470 | \$ 86,875 | \$ 8,571 |

The Company had a total of 28 debt securities with a fair market value of \$86.9 million which were temporarily impaired at September 30, 2012. The total unrealized loss on these securities was \$8.6 million, which is attributable to the market interest volatility, the continued illiquidity of the debt markets, and uncertainty in the financial markets. We also had one equity security with a fair market value of \$41,000 and an unrealized loss of \$20,000. The unrealized loss on our debt securities is comprised of a loss of \$7.7 million on nine auction rate securities which have declined in value due to auction failures beginning in February 2008 and a loss of \$834,000 on other debt securities. It is not more likely than not that we would sell the auction rate securities before maturity, and we have the intent to hold all of these securities to maturity and will not be required to sell these securities, due to our ratio of cash and cash equivalents of approximately 12.4% of total assets at September 30, 2012. Therefore, the unrealized losses associated with these securities are not considered to be other than temporary.

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity, by contractual maturity, at September 30, 2012 are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | September 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Available for Sale | | Held to Maturity | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$42,198 | \$42,223 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Due after one through five years | 26,260 | 26,558 | — | — |
| Due after five through ten years | 56,971 | 57,814 | — | — |
| Due after ten years | 208,978 | 211,341 | 281 | 274 |
| Auction rate securities | 58,200 | 50,483 | — | — |
| Marketable equity securities and other | 1,145 | 1,129 | — | — |
| Trading securities | 9,218 | 9,131 | — | — |
| Totals | \$402,970 | \$398,679 | \$ 281 | \$ 274 |

Gross gains realized on the sales of investment securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and 2011 were approximately \$1.3 million and \$7.3 million, respectively. Gross losses realized for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$1.1 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

At both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, securities sold under agreements to repurchase with a book value of \$50.0 million were outstanding. The book value of the securities pledged for these repurchase agreements was \$57.5 million and \$55.6 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company did not own investment securities of any one issuer where the carrying value exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity.

Note 6. Deposits

The following table summarizes the composition of the average balances of major deposit categories:

| | Nine Months Ended | | Twelve Months Ended | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
| | Average Amount | Average Yield | Average Amount | Average Yield |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$73,373 | — | \$ 76,900 | — |
| NOW and money market | 26,808 | 0.26 % | 25,688 | 0.36 % |
| Savings deposits | 202,510 | 0.18 | 191,316 | 0.40 |
| Time deposits | 363,464 | 1.18 | 379,860 | 1.34 |
| Total deposits | \$666,155 | 0.70 % | \$ 673,764 | 0.87 % |

Note 7. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC 220, Comprehensive Income, ("ASC 220") which includes net income as well as certain other items which result in a change to equity during the period. The following table presents the components of comprehensive income (loss):

| For The Nine Months Ended | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| September 30, 2012 | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
| Before tax amount | Tax (expense) benefit | Net of tax amount | Before tax amount | Tax (expense) benefit | Net of tax amount |

Edgar Filing: BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)

Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | \$13,523 | \$ (5,409) | \$ 8,114 | \$(3,008) | \$ 1,203 | \$(1,805) |
| Less reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) realized in net income | 169 | (68) | 101 | 963 | (385) | 578 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities | 13,692 | (5,477) | 8,215 | (2,045) | 818 | (1,227) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net | \$13,692 | \$(5,477) | \$ 8,215 | \$(2,045) | \$ 818 | \$(1,227) |

Note 8.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure", ("ASC 820") defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about fair value. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. There have been no material changes in valuation techniques as a result of the adoption of ASC 820.

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities that are developed using the reporting entities' estimates and assumptions, which reflect those that market participants would use.

The Company is required to disclose the estimated fair value of its assets and liabilities considered to be financial instruments. For the Company, as for most financial institutions, the majority of its assets and liabilities are considered financial instruments. However, many such instruments lack an available trading market, as characterized by a willing buyer and seller engaging in an exchange transaction. Also, it is the Company's general practice and intent to hold its financial instruments to maturity and not to engage in trading or sales activities, except for certain loans. Therefore, the Company had to use significant estimations and present value calculations to prepare this disclosure.

Changes in the assumptions or methodologies used to estimate fair values may materially affect the estimated amounts. Also, there may not be reasonable comparability between institutions due to the wide range of permitted assumptions and methodologies in the absence of active markets. This lack of uniformity gives rise to a high degree of subjectivity in estimating financial instrument fair values.

Estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using the best available data and an estimation methodology suitable for each category of financial instruments. The estimation methodologies used, the estimated fair values, and recorded book balances at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are outlined below.

| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying amount | Estimated fair value | Carrying amount | Estimated fair value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Investment securities | \$398,960 | \$398,953 | \$415,468 | \$415,463 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 308,900 | 311,328 | 317,021 | 326,711 |
| Time Deposits | 348,052 | 349,297 | 369,259 | 371,266 |
| Repurchase Agreements | 50,000 | 51,443 | 50,000 | 52,432 |
| Borrowings | 2,672 | 2,696 | 6,139 | 6,256 |
| Subordinated debt | 7,217 | 7,217 | 22,681 | 22,681 |

For cash and cash equivalents, the recorded book values of \$105.3 million and \$101.0 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, approximates fair value because of the relatively short term between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Therefore, the Company believes the measurement of fair value of cash and cash equivalents is derived from Level 1 inputs.

The estimated fair values of investment securities are based on quoted market prices (Level 1 inputs), if available. Estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments if quoted market prices are not available (Level 2 inputs). Estimated fair values are also determined using unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market values and significant assumptions and estimates (Level 3 inputs).

The net loan portfolio at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 has been valued using a present value discounted cash flow where market prices were not available. The discount rate used in these calculations is the estimated current market rate adjusted for credit risk. The Company believes the fair value of portfolio loans is derived from Level 3 inputs.

The carrying value of interest receivable and payable approximates fair value and is derived from Level 1 inputs.

The estimated fair values of demand deposits (i.e. interest (checking) and non-interest bearing demand accounts, savings and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). The fair value of such deposits is derived from Level 2 inputs. The fair value of time deposits have been valued using net present value discounted cash flow and is derived from Level 2 inputs.

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated based upon the amount of unamortized deferred loan commitment fees. The fair value of letters of credit is based upon the amount of unearned fees plus the estimated cost to terminate letters of credit. Fair values of unrecognized financial instruments, including commitments to extend credit, and the fair value of letters of credit are considered immaterial. As such, no disclosures are made on the fair value of commitments.

The fair value of interest rate caps, included in borrowings, are based upon the estimated amount the Company would receive or pay to terminate the contracts or agreements, taking into account current interest rates and, when appropriate, the current creditworthiness of the counterparties. The aggregate fair value for the interest rate caps were zero at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The fair value of borrowings, repurchase agreements and subordinated debt approximates the carrying value due to the re-pricing of the debt. The Company measures the fair value of borrowings, repurchase agreements and subordinated debt using Level 2 inputs.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

A description of the valuation methodologies used for financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis, as well as the classification of the instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, are as follows:

Securities Available for Sale

When quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. If quoted market prices are not available or accessible, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, matrix pricing, or discounted cash flow models. The fair values of securities estimated using pricing models or matrix pricing are generally classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When discounted cash flow models are used there is omitted activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation and securities are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Level 1 securities generally include equity securities valued based on quoted market prices in active markets. Level 2 instruments include U.S. government agency obligations, state and municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and corporate bonds. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. Level 3 securities available for sale consist of instruments that are not readily marketable and may only be redeemed with the issuer at par such as Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock. These securities are valued at par value.

Assets measured at fair value at September 30, 2012 and at December 31, 2011 are summarized below.

| | At September 30, 2012 Fair Value Measurement Using | | | Balance September 30, 2012 |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Impaired Loans (1) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 35,210 | \$ 35,210 |
| Investment securities available for sale: (2) | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | 50,023 | — | — | 50,023 |
| U.S. Government Agencies | — | 149,393 | — | 149,393 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | — | 125,617 | — | 125,617 |
| Corporate notes | 10,239 | — | — | 10,239 |
| Municipal securities | 2,664 | — | — | 2,664 |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 50,483 | 50,483 |
| Trading securities | 9,131 | — | — | 9,131 |
| Marketable equity securities and other | 65 | 1,064 | — | 1,129 |
| Total Investment securities available for sale | 72,122 | 276,074 | 50,483 | 398,679 |
| Total assets | \$72,122 | \$ 276,074 | \$ 85,693 | \$ 433,889 |

(1) Non-recurring basis - impaired loans represent carrying amount as no write-downs were taken to date.

(2) Recurring basis

The above table includes \$8.6 million in net unrealized losses on the Company's available for sale securities. The Company has reviewed its investment portfolio at September 30, 2012, and determined that the unrealized losses are temporary.

The fair value of the derivative is zero and valued as a Level 3 input. Further disclosures are not included because they were not deemed material.

| | At December 31, 2011 Fair Value Measurement Using | | Balance December 31, |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| | Quoted Prices for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | |
| | | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |

| | Active Markets for Identifiable (Level 2) | Markets Inputs (Level 3) | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Assets/Liabilities | | | | |
| (Level 1) | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Impaired Loans (1) | \$— | \$ — | \$ 25,031 | \$ 25,031 |
| Investment securities available for sale: (2) | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | 80,213 | — | — | 80,213 |
| U.S. Government Agencies | — | 130,866 | — | 130,866 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | — | 142,359 | — | 142,359 |
| Corporate notes | 13,003 | — | — | 13,003 |
| Municipal securities | 1,995 | — | — | 1,995 |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 44,495 | 44,495 |
| Marketable equity securities and other | 803 | 1,436 | — | 2,239 |
| Total Investment securities available for sale | 96,014 | 274,661 | 44,495 | 415,170 |
| Total assets | \$96,014 | \$ 274,661 | \$ 69,526 | \$ 440,201 |

(1) Non-recurring basis - impaired loans represent carrying amount as no write-downs were taken to date.

(2) Recurring basis

The above table includes \$19.0 million in net unrealized losses on the Company's available for sale securities. The Company has reviewed its investment portfolio at December 31, 2011, and determined that the unrealized losses are temporary.

The fair value of the derivative is zero and valued as a Level 3 input. Further disclosures are not included because they were not deemed material.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The following table presents a reconciliation for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

| (Dollars in thousands) | Investment Securities Available for Sale |
|---|---|
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ 44,495 |
| Total gains/losses (realized/unrealized) | |
| Included in earnings | (187) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 13,488 |
| Purchases | — |
| Sales | (7,313) |
| Issuances | — |
| Settlements | — |
| Redemptions | — |
| Interest | — |
| Other than temporary impairment expense | — |
| Capital deductions for operating expenses | — |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | \$ 50,483 |

| | |
|---|------|
| The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at September 30, 2012 | \$ — |
|---|------|

Note 9.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, which amends the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The amendments remove from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. ASU No. 2011-03 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, which results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition but resulted in additional disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05 in order to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. This standard eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This update requires all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. This update is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. Adoption of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition. See the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, which amends Topic 210, "Balance Sheet," to require an entity to disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments, such as sales and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing/lending arrangements, and derivative instruments that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and/or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. ASU 2011-11 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

Note 10.

Subsequent Events

In late October 2012, Hurricane Sandy caused damage and business interruption to the Company's cable systems, with the most significant impact in the New York metropolitan area. The Company is in the early stages of assessing the

financial, operational and customer impacts of the storm, including impact on properties held by the Bank as collateral, and is, therefore, unable to estimate its full financial and operational impact. However, it is not expected to be significant to the Company's overall fourth-quarter 2012 financial results.

We evaluated subsequent events under ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events. We did not identify any items which would require disclosure in or adjustment to the interim financial statements, except as disclosed in Form 10-Q as of and for the period ended September 30, 2012.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The objective of the Company's Internal Control Program is to allow the Bank and management to comply with Part 363 of the FDIC's regulations ("FDICIA") and to allow the Company to comply with Sections 302 and 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX"). In November 2005, the FDIC amended Part 363 of its regulations by raising the asset-size threshold from \$500 million to \$1 billion for internal control assessments by management and external auditors. The final rule was effective December 28, 2005.

Section 302 of SOX requires the CEOs and CFOs of the Company to, among other matters, (i) certify that the annual and quarterly reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission are accurate and (ii) acknowledge that they are responsible for establishing, maintaining and periodically evaluating the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures. Section 404 of SOX requires management to (i) report on internal control over financial reporting, (ii) assess the effectiveness of such internal controls, and (iii) obtain an external auditor's report on management's assessment of its internal control.

The Company is not an accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Act into law. The Dodd-Frank Act includes a provision which permanently exempts non-accelerated filers, including the Company, from the requirement to obtain an external audit on the effectiveness of internal financial reporting controls provided in Section 404(b) of SOX. Disclosure of management's attestations on internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(a) of SOX is still required.

The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) methodology may be used to document and test the internal controls pertaining to the accuracy of Company issued financial statements and related disclosures. COSO requires a review of the control environment (including anti-fraud and audit committee effectiveness), risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and ongoing monitoring.

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Executive Summary

We are a Delaware corporation organized in March 1979, and a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. We acquired The Berkshire Bank (the "Bank"), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary in March 1999. The Bank was organized in 1987 as a New York State chartered commercial bank. Our principal activity is the ownership and management of the Bank. Our activities are primarily funded by cash on hand, rental income, income from our portfolio of investment securities and dividends, if any, received from the Bank. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "BERK."

The Bank's principal business consists of gathering deposits from the general public and investing those deposits together with funds generated from ongoing operations and borrowings, primarily in residential and commercial loans, debt obligations issued by the U.S. Government and its agencies, debt obligations of business corporations, and mortgage-backed securities. The Bank operates from seven deposit-taking offices in New York City, four deposit-taking offices in Orange and Sullivan Counties, New York, and one deposit-taking office in Teaneck, New Jersey. The Bank's revenues are derived principally from interest on loans, and interest and dividends on investments

in the securities portfolio. The Bank's primary regulator at the state level is the New York Superintendent of Banks and the New York Banking Board, while at the federal level its primary regulator is the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). Deposits are insured to the maximum allowable amount by the FDIC. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The Company, as a bank holding company, is regulated by the Federal Reserve Board of New York.

Our results of operations depend primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the income earned on our interest-earning assets and the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is the result of our interest rate margin, which is the difference between the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, adjusted for the difference in the average balance of interest-earning assets as compared to the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities. We also generate non-interest income from loan fees, service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage servicing fees, and other fees, dividends on Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB-NY") stock and net gains and losses on sales of securities and loans. Our operating expenses consist principally of employee compensation and benefits, occupancy and equipment costs, other general and administrative expenses and income tax expense. Our results of operations also can be significantly affected by our periodic provision for loan losses and specific provision for losses on loans.

On July 21, 2010 the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law by President Obama. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "Bureau"), and will require the Bureau and other federal agencies to implement many new and significant rules and regulations. At this time it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting rules and regulations will impact our business. Compliance with these new laws and regulations will likely result in additional costs, and may adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Our investment policy, approved by the Board of Directors, is designed primarily to manage the interest rate sensitivity of our overall assets and liabilities, to generate a favorable return without incurring undue interest rate and credit risk, to complement our lending activities and to provide and maintain liquidity. In establishing our investment strategies, we consider our business and growth strategies, the economic environment, our interest rate risk exposure, our interest rate sensitivity "gap" position, the types of securities to be held, and other factors. We classify our investment securities as available for sale.

We decreased our provision for loan losses by \$4.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$1.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was deemed appropriate as a result of the regular quarterly analysis of the allowance for loan losses. The regular quarterly analysis is based on management's evaluation of the risk inherent in the various components of the loan portfolio and other factors, including historical loan loss experience (which is updated at least annually), changes in the composition and volume of the portfolio, collection policies and experience, trends in the volume of non-accrual loans and regional and national economic conditions. See "Provision for Loan Losses" below in this Item 2 for further discussion of the allowance for loan losses.

Net income, before the provision or benefit for income taxes, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$6.4 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, compared to net income, before dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock and before the provision for income taxes, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$3.3 million and \$49.6 million, respectively. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 includes the gain of \$4.2 million due to the decrease in the provision for loan losses. No Series A Preferred Stock was outstanding during 2012. Net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes a one time gain of \$42.5

million due to the settlement agreement discussed below.

Net income allocated to common stockholders, after the provision for income taxes, was \$3.2 million and \$8.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Net income allocated to common stockholders, after dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock and provision for income taxes, was \$1.8 million and \$43.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

In April 2012, we received a notice from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York stating that they do not object to the Company's request to redeem \$15.5 million of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debt Securities Due 2034. On July 23, 2012, we redeemed said security, including accrued interest.

On May 16, 2011, we entered into a settlement agreement with the selling financial institution of the auction rate securities in the Bank's investment portfolio. Pursuant to the agreement, in settlement of all claims made by the Bank, the institution paid to the Bank the sum of \$42.5 million, which is recorded in other income on the Statement of Operations.

Recent Developments

In late October 2012, Hurricane Sandy caused damage and business interruption to the Company's cable systems, with the most significant impact in the New York metropolitan area. The Company is in the early stages of assessing the financial, operational and customer impacts of the storm, including impact on properties held by the Bank as collateral, and is, therefore, unable to estimate its full financial and operational impact. However, it is not expected to be significant to the Company's overall fourth-quarter 2012 financial results.

The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide a better understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Berkshire Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries. All references to earnings per share, unless stated otherwise, refer to earnings per diluted share. References to Notes herein are references to the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" of the Company located in Item 1 herein.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company considers that the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of judgment and complexity than any of its other significant accounting policies. The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining a reserve level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated credit losses. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the loan portfolio and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including, among others, expected default probabilities, loss given default, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, mortgages, and general amounts for historical loss experience. The process also considers economic conditions, uncertainties in estimating losses and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. All of these factors may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan losses may be required that would adversely impact earnings in future periods. See

"Provision for Loan Losses" below in this Item 2 for further discussion of the allowance for loan losses.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax effects of temporary differences, net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits. Deferred tax assets are subject to management's judgment based upon available evidence that future realization is more likely than not. If management determines that the Company may be unable to realize all or part of net deferred tax assets in the future, a direct charge to income tax expense may be required to reduce the recorded value of the net deferred tax asset to the expected realizable amount.

The Company conducts a periodic review and evaluation of its securities portfolio, taking into account the severity and duration of each unrealized loss, as well as management's intent and ability to hold the security until the unrealized loss is substantially eliminated, in order to determine if a decline in market value of any security below its carrying value is either temporary or other than temporary. Unrealized losses on held-to-maturity securities that are deemed temporary are disclosed but not recognized. Unrealized losses on debt or equity securities available-for-sale that are deemed temporary are excluded from net income and reported net of deferred taxes as other comprehensive income or loss. All unrealized losses that are deemed other than temporary on either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities are recognized immediately as a reduction of the carrying amount of the security, with a charge recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The following table presents the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in both dollars and rates.

| | For The Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | | | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Average Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Average Yield/Rate | | |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$312,192 | \$ 4,658 | 5.97% | \$332,246 | \$ 5,229 | 6.30 | % | |
| Investment securities | 405,846 | 2,406 | 2.37 | 418,746 | 3,240 | 3.09 | | |
| Other (2)(5) | 121,233 | 59 | 0.19 | 108,682 | 65 | 0.24 | | |
| Total interest-earning assets | 839,271 | 7,123 | 3.39 | 859,674 | 8,534 | 3.97 | | |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 28,505 | | | 29,937 | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$867,776 | | | \$889,611 | | | | |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits | 238,740 | 118 | 0.20% | 219,882 | 236 | 0.43 | % | |
| Time deposits | 358,442 | 1,026 | 1.14 | 377,837 | 1,267 | 1.34 | | |
| Other borrowings | 60,358 | 515 | 3.41 | 80,437 | 721 | 3.59 | | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 657,540 | 1,659 | 1.01 | 678,156 | 2,224 | 1.31 | | |
| Demand deposits | 75,733 | | | 78,270 | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities | 4,302 | | | 11,751 | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity (5) | 130,201 | | | 121,434 | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$867,776 | | | \$889,611 | | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 5,464 | | | \$ 6,310 | | | |
| Interest-rate spread (3) | | | 2.38% | | | 2.66 | % | |

| | | | | |
|--|------|-------|------|---|
| Net interest margin (4) | | 2.60% | 2.94 | % |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities | 1.28 | 1.27 | | |

36

- (1) Includes nonaccrual loans.
(2) Includes interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.
(3) Interest-rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin is net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
(5) Average balances are daily average balances except for the parent company which have been calculated on a monthly basis.

| | For The Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Average | Average | Interest | Interest | Average | Average |
| | Average | Interest | Yield/Rat | Balance | and | and | Yield/Rate | Yield/Rate |
| | Balance | Dividends | | | Dividends | Dividends | | |
| | | | (Dollars in Thousands) | | | | | |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$ 317,757 | \$ 14,445 | 6.06 % | \$ 343,668 | \$ 16,406 | 6.37 % | | |
| Investment securities | 412,310 | 7,143 | 2.31 | 390,962 | 9,901 | 3.38 | | |
| Other (2)(5) | 109,651 | 243 | 0.30 | 93,964 | 199 | 0.28 | | |
| Total interest-earning assets | 839,718 | 21,831 | 3.47 | 828,594 | 26,506 | 4.27 | | |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 28,432 | | | 30,799 | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$ 868,150 | | | \$ 859,393 | | | | |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits | 229,318 | 325 | 0.19 % | 213,552 | 754 | 0.47 % | | |
| Time deposits | 363,464 | 3,219 | 1.18 | 383,221 | 3,858 | 1.34 | | |
| Other borrowings | 71,820 | 1,747 | 3.24 | 81,567 | 2,227 | 3.64 | | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 664,602 | 5,291 | 1.06 | 678,340 | 6,839 | 1.34 | | |
| Demand deposits | 73,373 | | | 78,306 | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities | 4,714 | | | 7,970 | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity (5) | 125,461 | | | 94,777 | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 868,150 | | | \$ 859,393 | | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 16,540 | | | \$ 19,667 | | | |
| Interest-rate spread (3) | | | 2.41 % | | | 2.93 % | | |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 2.63 % | | | 3.16 % | | |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities | 1.26 | | | 1.22 | | | | |

(1) Includes nonaccrual loans.

(2) Includes interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.

(3) Interest-rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin is net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

(5) Average balances are daily average balances except for the parent company which have been calculated on a monthly basis.

Results of Operations

Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011.

Net Income Allocated to Common Stockholders. Net income allocated to common stockholders for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 was \$3.2 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, or \$.22 and \$.60 per common share, respectively. Net income allocated to common stockholders for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.8 million and \$43.6 million, respectively, or \$.25 and \$6.18 per common share, respectively.

The net income allocated to common stockholders reported for the three months ended September 30, 2012 includes a provision for income taxes of \$3.3 million, or \$.23 per common share. The net income allocated to common stockholders reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 includes a provision for income taxes of \$1.2 million, or \$.08 per common share. The net income allocated to common stockholders reported for the three months ended September 30, 2011 includes (i) dividends accrued on our Series A Preferred Stock of \$1.2 million, or \$.17 per common share and (ii) provision for income taxes of \$281,000, or \$.04 per common share. The net income allocated to common stockholders reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes (i) dividends accrued on our Series A Preferred Stock of \$3.6 million, or \$.51 per common share, (ii) a provision for income taxes of \$2.4 million, or \$.34 per common share, and (iii) a one-time settlement payment of \$42.5 million, or \$6.02 per common share.

The Company's net income is largely dependent on interest rate levels, the demand for the Company's loan and deposit products and the strategies employed to manage the interest rate and other risks inherent in the banking business.

Net Interest Income. The Company's primary source of revenue is net interest income, or the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowings. The amount of interest income is dependent upon many factors including: (i) the amount of interest-earning assets that the Company can maintain based upon its funding sources; (ii) the relative amounts of interest-earning assets versus interest-bearing liabilities; and (iii) the difference between the yields earned on those assets and the rates paid on those liabilities. Non-performing loans adversely affect net interest income because they must still be funded by interest-bearing liabilities, but they do not provide interest income. Furthermore, when we designate an asset as non-performing, all interest which has been accrued but not actually received is deducted from current period income, further reducing net interest income.

For the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, net interest income was \$5.5 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, compared to net interest income of \$6.3 million and \$19.7 million for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in net interest income during the 2012 periods compared to the 2011 periods was primarily due to the decrease in the average yields earned on the average amount of interest-earning assets, partially offset by the decrease in the average amount of interest-bearing liabilities and the decrease in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

The average yields earned on interest-earning assets declined to 3.39% and 3.47% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, from 3.97% and 4.27% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities declined to 1.01% and 1.06% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, from 1.31% and 1.34% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The Company's interest-rate spread, the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, declined to 2.38% and 2.41% during the three and nine months September 30, 2012, respectively, from 2.66% and 2.93% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Net Interest Margin. Net interest margin, or annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets, was 2.60% and 2.63% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 2.94% and 3.16% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. We seek to secure and retain customer deposits with competitive products and rates, while making strategic use of the prevailing interest rate environment to borrow funds at what we believe to be attractive rates. We invest such deposits and borrowed funds in a prudent mix of fixed and adjustable rate loans, investment securities and short-term interest-earning assets. The decrease in net interest margin is primarily due to the decrease in the average amounts of higher yielding loans as a percentage of the total mix of interest-earning assets.

Interest Income. Total interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.4 million to \$7.1 million from \$8.5 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in total interest income was primarily due to the decrease in the average yield earned on the average amount of interest-earning assets to 3.39% during the 2012 quarter from 3.97% during the 2011 quarter, and the decrease in the average amount of higher yielding loans to \$312.2 million during the 2012 quarter from \$332.2 million during the 2011 quarter.

Total interest income for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$4.7 million to \$21.8 million from \$26.5 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in total interest income was due to the decrease in the average yield earned on the average amount of interest-earning assets to 3.47% during the nine-month period of 2012 from 4.27% during the nine-month period of 2011 and the decrease in the average amount of higher yielding loans to \$317.8 million during the nine-month period of 2012 from \$343.7 million during the nine-month period of 2011.

The following tables present the composition of interest income for the indicated periods:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Interest | % of | Interest | % of |
| | Income | Total | Income | Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Loans | \$4,658 | 65.39 % | \$5,229 | 61.27 % |
| Investment Securities | 2,406 | 33.78 | 3,240 | 37.97 |
| Other | 59 | 0.83 | 65 | 0.76 |
| Total Interest Income | \$7,123 | 100.00 % | \$8,534 | 100.00 % |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Interest | % of | Interest | % of |
| | Income | Total | Income | Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Loans | \$14,445 | 66.17 % | \$16,406 | 61.90 % |
| Investment Securities | 7,143 | 32.72 | 9,901 | 37.35 |
| Other | 243 | 1.11 | 199 | 0.75 |
| Total Interest Income | \$21,831 | 100.00 % | \$26,506 | 100.00 % |

Loans, which are inherently risky and therefore command a higher return than our portfolio of investment securities and other interest-earning assets, decreased to 37.2% and 37.8% of total average interest-earning assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, from 38.6% and 41.5% of total interest-earning assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The average amounts of investment securities was 48.4% and 49.1% of total average interest-earning assets during the three and nine months ended

September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 48.7% and 47.2% of total interest-earning assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. While we actively seek to originate new loans with qualified borrowers who meet the Bank's underwriting standards, our strategy has been to maintain those standards, sacrificing some current income to avoid possible large future losses in the loan portfolio.

At September 30, 2012 and 2011, total non-performing loan assets were \$511,000 and \$6.3 million, respectively, all of which were non-accrual loans. Depending upon the contractual interest rate of a loan, significant additions to non-performing loans, were such additions to occur, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. The effect of the decrease in non-accrual loans in 2012 from 2011 was negligible.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock. The Bank owns stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank New York ("FHLB-NY") which is necessary for it to be a member of the FHLB-NY. Membership requires the purchase of stock equal to 1% of the Bank's residential mortgage loans or 5% of the outstanding borrowings, whichever is greater. The stock is redeemable at par. Therefore, its cost is equivalent to its redemption value. The Bank's ability to redeem FHLB-NY shares is dependent upon the redemption practices of the FHLB-NY. At September 30, 2012, the FHLB-NY neither placed restrictions on redemption of shares in excess of a member's required investment in stock, nor stated that it will cease paying dividends. The Bank did not consider this asset impaired at either September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$500,000 to \$1.7 million from \$2.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest expense was due to the decrease in the average amounts of interest-bearing liabilities to \$657.5 million from \$678.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the decrease in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities to 1.01% during the 2012 quarter from 1.31% during the 2011 quarter.

Total interest expense for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$1.5 million to \$5.3 million from \$6.8 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in interest expense was due to the decrease in the average amounts of interest-bearing liabilities to \$664.6 million from \$678.3 million during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the decrease in the average rates paid on such liabilities to 1.06% from 1.34% during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following tables present the components of interest expense as of the dates indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| | Interest | % of | Interest | % of |
| | Expense | Total | Expense | Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Interest-Bearing Deposits | \$ 118 | 7.11 % | \$ 236 | 10.61 % |
| Time Deposits | 1,026 | 61.85 | 1,267 | 56.97 |
| Other Borrowings | 515 | 31.04 | 721 | 32.42 |
| Total Interest Expense | \$ 1,659 | 100.00 % | \$ 2,224 | 100.00 % |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|--------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | |
| | Interest | % of | Interest | % of | |
| | Expense | Total | Expense | Total | |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | |
| Interest-Bearing Deposits | \$325 | 6.14 | % | \$754 | 11.03 |
| Time Deposits | 3,219 | 60.84 | | 3,858 | 56.41 |
| Other Borrowings | 1,747 | 33.02 | | 2,227 | 32.56 |
| Total Interest Expense | \$5,291 | 100.00 | % | \$6,839 | 100.00 |

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income consists primarily of realized gains on sales of marketable securities and service fee income. Total non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$766,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively, compared to \$1.3 million and \$44.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. In May 2011, we entered into a settlement agreement with the selling financial institution of the auction rate securities in the Bank's investment portfolio. Pursuant to the agreement, the institution paid to the Bank the sum of \$42.5 million. This amount has been included in other non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Excluding the settlement payment of \$42.5 million, total non-interest income was \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense includes salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses, legal and professional fees and other operating expenses associated with the day-to-day operations of the Company. Total non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$4.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, compared to \$4.3 million and \$13.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The following tables present the components of non-interest expense as of the dates indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | |
| | Non-Interest | % of | Non-Interest | % of | |
| | Expense | Total | Expense | Total | |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | |
| Salaries and Employee Benefits | \$2,263 | 56.86 | % | \$2,243 | 51.66 |
| Net Occupancy Expense | 567 | 14.25 | | 921 | 21.21 |
| Equipment Expense | 86 | 2.16 | | 76 | 1.75 |
| FDIC Assessment | 300 | 7.54 | | 300 | 6.91 |
| Data Processing Expense | 112 | 2.81 | | 74 | 1.70 |
| Other | 652 | 16.38 | | 728 | 16.77 |
| Total Non-Interest Expense | \$3,980 | 100.00 | % | \$4,342 | 100.00 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | Non-Interest Expense | % of Total | Non-Interest Expense | % of Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Salaries and Employee Benefits | \$7,123 | 57.81 % | \$7,077 | 54.18 % |
| Net Occupancy Expense | 1,728 | 14.02 | 1,986 | 15.21 |
| Equipment Expense | 252 | 2.04 | 236 | 1.81 |
| FDIC Assessment | 900 | 7.30 | 952 | 7.29 |
| Data Processing Expense | 336 | 2.73 | 335 | 2.56 |
| Other | 1,984 | 16.10 | 2,475 | 18.95 |
| Total Non-Interest Expense | \$12,323 | 100.00 % | \$13,061 | 100.00 % |

Provision for Income Tax. During the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$3.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, compared to income tax provision of \$281,000 and \$2.4 million, respectively, for three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011. The Company has net operating loss carry forwards which can be offset against current taxable income. In addition, the Company had significant valuation allowances on the deferred tax asset for the remaining net operating loss carry forwards. The valuation allowance was released during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 due to additional current earnings and the expectation that we will recognize the remaining benefit of the net operating loss carry forwards within the next few years. This release was the primary driver of the tax benefits recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The tax expense recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the 2011 periods mainly relates to changes in deferred tax balances as a result of tax returns filed.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On May 15, 2003, The Company's Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to an additional 450,000 shares of its Common Stock in the open market, from time to time, depending upon prevailing market conditions, thereby increasing the maximum number of shares which may be purchased by the Company from 1,950,000 shares of Common Stock to 2,400,000 shares of Common Stock. Since 1990 through September 30, 2012, the Company has purchased a total of 1,898,909 shares of its Common Stock. We did not repurchase shares of the Company's Common Stock during the first three quarters of 2012. At September 30, 2012, there were 501,091 shares of Common Stock which may yet be purchased under our stock repurchase plan.

Provision for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is the estimated amount considered necessary to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses that is charged against income. In determining the allowance for loan losses, management makes significant estimates which involve a high degree of judgment, subjectivity of the assumptions utilized, and potential for changes in the economic environment that could result in changes to the amount of the recorded allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses has been determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, principally FASB ASC 450, "Contingencies", ("ASC 450") and FASB ASC 310, "Receivables", ("ASC 310"). Under the above accounting principles, we are required to maintain an allowance for probable losses at the balance sheet date. We are responsible for the timely and periodic determination of the amount of the allowance required. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover specifically identifiable losses, as well as estimated losses inherent in our portfolio for which certain losses are probable but not specifically identifiable.

Management performs a monthly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. The analysis of the allowance for loan losses has two components: specific and general reserves. Specific reserves are made for loans determined to be impaired. Impairment is measured by determining the present value of expected future cash flows or, as a practical expedient for collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral adjusted for market conditions and selling expenses. The Bank considers its investment in one-to-four family real estate loans and consumer loans to be smaller balance homogeneous loans and therefore excluded from separate identification for evaluation of impairment. These homogeneous loan groups are evaluated for impairment on a collective basis under FASB ASC 310.

The general reserve is determined by segregating the remaining loans by type of loan, risk weighting (if applicable) and payment history. Management also analyzes historical loss experience, delinquency trends, general economic conditions, geographic concentrations, and industry and peer comparisons. This analysis establishes factors that are applied to the loan segments to determine the amount of the general reserves. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses management has established which could have a material negative effect on the Company's financial results.

On a monthly basis, the Bank's management committee reviews the current status of various loan assets in order to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. In this evaluation process, specific loans are analyzed to determine their potential risk of loss. This process includes all loans, concentrating on non-accrual and classified loans. Each non-accrual or classified loan is evaluated for potential loss exposure. Any shortfall results in a recommendation of a specific allowance if the likelihood of loss is evaluated as probable. To determine the adequacy of collateral on a particular loan, an estimate of the fair market value of the collateral is based on the most current appraised value available. This appraised value is then reduced to reflect estimated liquidation expenses.

As a substantial amount of our loan portfolio is collateralized by real estate, appraisals of the underlying value of property securing loans are critical in determining the amount of the allowance required for specific loans. Assumptions for appraisal valuations are instrumental in determining the value of properties. Overly optimistic assumptions or negative changes to assumptions could significantly impact the valuation of a property securing a loan and the related allowance determined. The assumptions supporting such appraisals are carefully reviewed by management to determine that the resulting values reasonably reflect amounts realizable on the related loans. Based on the composition of our loan portfolio, management believes the primary risks are increases in interest rates, a decline in the economy, generally, and a decline in real estate market values in the New York metropolitan area. Any one or combination of these events may adversely affect our loan portfolio resulting in increased delinquencies, loan losses and future levels of loan loss provisions. Management believes the allowance for loan losses reflects the inherent credit risk in our portfolio, the level of our non-performing loans and our charge-off experience.

A loan is considered nonperforming when it becomes delinquent ninety days or when other adverse factors become known to us. We generally order updated appraisals from independent third party licensed appraisers at the time the loan is identified as nonperforming. Depending upon the property type, we receive appraisals within thirty to ninety

days from the date the appraisals are ordered. Upon receipt of the appraisal, which is discounted by us to take account of estimated selling and other holding costs, we compare the adjusted appraisal amount to the carrying amount of the real estate dependent loan and record any impairment through the allowance for loan loss at that time.

The majority of our real estate dependent loans are concentrated in the New York City metropolitan area, we do not make adjustments to the appraisals for this concentration. We do not increase the appraised value of any property. Any adjustments we make to the appraisals are to decrease the appraised value due to selling and other holding costs.

Although management believes that we have established and maintained the allowance for loan losses at adequate levels, additions may be necessary if future economic and other conditions differ substantially from the current operating environment. Although management uses what it believes is the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate that is subject to significant judgment and short-term change. In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the New York State Department of Financial Services, and other regulatory bodies, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on its judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following table sets forth information with respect to activity in the Company's allowance for loan losses during the periods indicated (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average loans outstanding | \$312,192 | \$332,246 | \$317,757 | \$343,668 |
| Allowance at beginning of period | 17,718 | 17,730 | 17,720 | 16,105 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | |
| Commercial and other loans | — | — | 2 | 2 |
| Real estate loans | — | — | — | — |
| Total loans charged-off | — | — | 2 | 2 |
| Recoveries: | | | | |
| Commercial and other loans | — | — | — | 27 |
| Real estate loans | — | — | — | — |
| Total loans recovered | — | — | — | 27 |
| Net recoveries (charge-offs) | — | — | (2) | 25 |
| Provision for loan losses charged to operating expenses | (4,193) | — | (4,193) | 1,600 |
| Allowance at end of period | 13,525 | 17,730 | 13,525 | 17,730 |
| Ratio of net recoveries (charge-offs) to average loans outstanding | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.01 % |
| Allowance as a percent of total loans | 4.37 % | 5.34 % | 4.37 % | 5.34 % |
| Total loans at end of period | \$309,496 | \$331,853 | \$309,496 | \$331,853 |

Loan Portfolio.

Loan Portfolio Composition. The Company's loans consist primarily of mortgage loans secured by residential and non-residential properties as well as commercial loans which are either unsecured or secured by personal property collateral. Most of the Company's loans are either made to individuals or personally guaranteed by the principals of the business to which the loan is made. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had loans, net of unearned income, of \$308.9 million and \$317.0 million, respectively, and an allowance for loan losses of \$13.5 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. From time to time, the Bank may originate residential mortgage loans, sell them on the secondary market, normally recognizing fee income in connection with the sale.

Interest rates on loans are affected by the demand for loans, the supply of money available for lending, credit risks, the rates offered by competitors and other conditions. These factors are in turn affected by, among other things, economic conditions, monetary policies of the federal government, and legislative tax policies.

In order to manage interest rate risk, the Bank focuses its efforts on loans with interest rates that adjust based upon changes in the prime rate or changes in United States Treasury or similar indices. Generally, credit risks on adjustable-rate loans are somewhat greater than on fixed-rate loans primarily because, as interest rates rise, so do borrowers' payments, increasing the potential for default. The Bank seeks to impose appropriate loan underwriting standards in order to protect against these and other credit related risks associated with its lending operations.

In addition to analyzing the income and assets of its borrowers when underwriting a loan, the Bank obtains independent appraisals on all material real estate in which the Bank takes a mortgage. The Bank generally obtains title insurance in order to protect against title defects on mortgaged property.

Commercial Mortgage Loans. The Bank originates commercial mortgage loans secured by office buildings, retail establishments, multi-family residential real estate and other types of commercial property. Substantially all of the properties are located in the New York City metropolitan area.

The Bank generally makes commercial mortgage loans with loan to value ratios not to exceed 75% and with terms to maturity that do not exceed 15 years. Loans secured by commercial properties generally involve a greater degree of risk than one-to four-family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on such loans are often dependent on successful operation or management of the properties, repayment may be subject, to a greater extent, to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. The Bank seeks to minimize these risks through its underwriting policies. The Bank evaluates the qualifications and financial condition of the borrower, including credit history, profitability and expertise, as well as the value and condition of the underlying property. The factors considered by the Bank include net operating income; the debt coverage ratio (the ratio of cash net income to debt service); and the loan

to value ratio. When evaluating the borrower, the Bank considers the financial resources and income level of the borrower, the borrower's experience in owning or managing similar property and the Bank's lending experience with the borrower. The Bank's policy requires borrowers to present evidence of the ability to repay the loan without having to resort to the sale of the mortgaged property. The Bank also seeks to focus its commercial mortgage loans on loans to companies with operating businesses, rather than passive real estate investors.

Commercial Loans and Finance Leases. The Bank makes commercial loans to businesses for inventory financing, working capital, machinery and equipment purchases, expansion, and other business purposes. These loans generally have higher yields than mortgage loans, with maturities of one year, after which the borrower's financial condition and the terms of the loan are re-evaluated. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$16.0 million and \$15.7 million, respectively, or 5.2% and 4.9%, respectively, of the Company's total loan portfolio consisted of such loans.

Commercial loans tend to present greater risks than mortgage loans because the collateral, if any, tends to be rapidly depreciable, difficult to sell at full value and is often easier to conceal. In order to limit these risks, the Bank evaluates these loans based upon the borrower's ability to repay the loan from ongoing operations. The Bank considers the business history of the borrower and perceived stability of the business as important factors when considering applications for such loans. Occasionally, the borrower provides commercial or residential real estate collateral for such loans, in which case the value of the collateral may be a significant factor in the loan approval process.

Residential Mortgage Loans (1 to 4 family loans). The Bank makes residential mortgage loans secured by first liens on one-to-four family owner-occupied or rental residential real estate. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$98.3 million and \$104.9 million, respectively, or 31.8% and 33.0%, respectively, of the Company's total loan portfolio consisted of such loans. The Bank offers both adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMS") and fixed-rate mortgage loans. The relative proportion of fixed-rate loans versus ARMs originated by the Bank depends principally upon current customer preference, which is generally driven by economic and interest rate conditions and the pricing offered by the Bank's competitors. At September 30, 2012, 13% of the Bank's residential one-to-four family owner-occupied first mortgage portfolio were ARMs and 87% were fixed-rate loans. At December 31, 2011, approximately 8% of the Bank's residential one-to-four family owner-occupied first mortgage portfolio were ARMs and 92% were fixed-rate loans. The percentage represented by fixed-rate loans tends to increase during periods of low interest rates. The ARMs generally carry annual caps and life-of-loan ceilings, which limit interest rate adjustments.

The Bank's residential loan underwriting criteria are generally comparable to those required by Fannie Mae and other major secondary market loan purchasers. Generally, ARM credit risks are somewhat greater than fixed-rate loans primarily because, as interest rates rise, the borrowers' payments rise, increasing the potential for default. The Bank's teaser rate ARMs (ARMs with low initial interest rates that are not based upon the index plus the margin for determining future rate adjustments) were underwritten based on the payment due at the fully-indexed rate.

In addition to verifying income and assets of borrowers, the Bank obtains independent appraisals on all residential first mortgage loans and title insurance is required at closing. Private mortgage insurance is required on all loans with a loan-to-value ratio in excess of 80% and the Bank requires real estate tax escrows on such loans. Real estate tax escrows are voluntary on residential mortgage loans with loan-to-value ratios of 80% or less.

Fixed-rate residential mortgage loans are generally originated by the Bank for terms of 15 to 30 years. Although 30 year fixed-rate mortgage loans may adversely affect our net interest income in periods of rising interest rates, the Bank originates such loans to satisfy customer demand. Such loans are generally originated at initial interest rates which exceed the fully indexed rate on ARMs offered at the same time. Fixed-rate residential mortgage loans originated by the Bank generally include due-on-sale clauses, which permit the Bank to demand payment in full if the borrower sells the property without the Bank's consent.

Due-on-sale clauses are an important means of adjusting the rates on the Bank's fixed-rate mortgage loan portfolio, and the Bank will generally exercise its rights under these clauses if necessary to maintain market yields.

ARMs originated in recent years have interest rates that adjust annually based upon the movement of the one year treasury bill constant maturity index, plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.75%. These loans generally have a maximum interest rate adjustment of 2% per year, with a lifetime maximum interest rate adjustment, measured from the initial interest rate, of 5.5% or 6.0%.

The Bank offers a variety of other loan products including residential single family construction loans to persons who intend to occupy the property upon completion of construction, home equity loans secured by junior mortgages on one-to-four family owner-occupied residences, and short-term fixed-rate consumer loans either unsecured or secured by monetary assets such as bank deposits and marketable securities or personal property. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$195.2 million and \$212.9 million, respectively, or 63% and 67%, respectively, of the Company's total loan portfolio consisted of such other loan products.

Origination of Loans. Loan originations can be attributed to depositors, retail customers, phone inquiries, advertising, the efforts of the Bank's loan officers, and referrals from other borrowers, real estate brokers and builders. The Bank originates loans primarily through its own efforts, occasionally obtaining loan opportunities as a result of referrals from loan brokers.

At September 30, 2012, the Bank was generally not permitted to make loans to one borrower in excess of approximately \$19.8 million, with an additional amount of approximately \$13.2 million being permitted if secured by readily marketable collateral. The Bank was also not permitted to make any single loan in an amount in excess of approximately \$19.8 million. At September 30, 2012, the Bank was in compliance with these standards.

Delinquency Procedures. When a borrower fails to make a required payment on a loan, the Bank attempts to cause the deficiency to be cured by contacting the borrower. The Bank reviews past due loans on a case by case basis, taking the action it deems appropriate in order to collect the amount owed. Litigation may be necessary if other procedures are not successful. Judicial resolution of a past due loan can be delayed if the borrower files a bankruptcy petition because collection action cannot be continued unless the Bank first obtains relief from the automatic stay provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

If a non-mortgage loan becomes delinquent and satisfactory arrangements for payment cannot be made, the Bank seeks to realize upon any personal property collateral to the extent feasible and collect any remaining amount owed from the borrower through legal proceedings, if necessary.

It is the Bank's policy to discontinue accruing interest on a loan when it is 90 days past due or if management believes that continued interest accruals are unjustified. The Bank may continue interest accruals if a loan is more than 90 days past due if the Bank determines that the nature of the delinquency and the collateral are such that collection of the

principal and interest on the loan in full is reasonably assured. When the accrual of interest is discontinued, all accrued but unpaid interest is charged against current period income. Once the accrual of interest is discontinued, the Bank records interest as and when received until the loan is restored to accruing status. If the Bank determines that collection of the loan in full is in reasonable doubt, then amounts received are recorded as a reduction of principal until the loan is returned to accruing status.

Capital Adequacy

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets. Management believes that, as of September 30, 2012, the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of September 30, 2012, the Bank met all regulatory requirements for classification as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since that date that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The following table sets forth the actual and required regulatory capital amounts and ratios of the Company and the Bank as of September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Actual | | For capital adequacy purposes | | To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions | |
|--|------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|--|---------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Company | \$ 146,915 | 37.6 % | \$ 31,242 | ≥8.0 % | — | N/A |
| Bank | 133,572 | 35.4 % | 30,224 | ≥8.0 % | \$ 37,780 | ≥10.0 % |
| Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Company | 141,927 | 36.3 % | 15,621 | ≥4.0 % | — | N/A |
| Bank | 128,741 | 34.1 % | 15,112 | ≥4.0 % | 22,668 | ≥6.0 % |
| Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |
| Company | 141,927 | 16.4 % | 34,711 | ≥4.0 % | — | N/A |
| Bank | 128,741 | 14.9 % | 34,511 | ≥4.0 % | 43,139 | ≥5.0 % |

RECENT PROPOSED CHANGES TO REGULATORY CAPITAL RULES

During the second quarter of 2012, the federal bank regulatory agencies jointly issued three notices of proposed rulemaking ("NPRs") that would revise and replace the agencies' current capital rules. The NPRs include numerous revisions to the existing capital regulations, including, but not limited, to the following:

*Revises the definition of regulatory capital components and related calculations.

* Adds a new common equity tier 1 capital ratio.

*Increases the minimum tier 1 capital ratio requirement from 4 percent to 6 percent.

*Incorporates the revised regulatory capital requirements into the Prompt Corrective Action framework.

*Implements a new capital conservation buffer that would limit payment of capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers and key risk takers if the banking organization does not hold certain amounts of common equity tier 1 capital in addition to those needed to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

*Provides a transition period for several aspects of the proposed rule, including the phase-out period for certain non-qualifying capital instruments, the new minimum capital ratio requirements, the capital conservation buffer, and the regulatory capital adjustments and deductions.

*Revises risk weights for residential mortgages based on loan-to-value ratios and certain product and underwriting features.

*Increases capital requirements for past-due loans, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, and certain short-term loan commitments.

*Removes references to credit ratings consistent with Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act.

*Establishes due diligence requirements for securitization exposures.

The proposed NPRs will result in the Company, in addition to the Bank, becoming subject to capital requirements. Based on our preliminary assessment of the NPRs, we believe we will see an increase in our total risk-weighted assets. However, the Company and the Bank would meet the requirements of the NPRs to be considered well-capitalized.

Liquidity

The management of the Company's liquidity focuses on ensuring that sufficient funds are available to meet loan funding commitments, withdrawals from deposit accounts, the repayment of borrowed funds, and ensuring that the Bank and the Company comply with regulatory liquidity requirements. Liquidity needs of the Bank have historically been met by deposits, investments in federal funds sold, principal and interest payments on loans, and maturities of investment securities. Additional liquidity, up to approximately \$409.3 million, is available from the Federal Reserve Bank and the FHLB-NY.

The current uncertainties in the credit markets have negatively impacted our ability to liquidate, if necessary, investments in auction rate securities. We are not certain as to when the liquidity issues relating to these investments will improve; however, we have the intent to hold these available for sale securities to maturity, and do not believe we will be required to sell these securities prior to maturity.

Based on our expected operating cash flows and our other sources of cash, we do not expect the potential lack of liquidity in these auction rate securities to affect our capital, liquidity or our ability to execute our current business plan. We have cash and cash equivalents totaling \$105.3 million, or 12.4% of total assets at September 30, 2012. In addition, we have the capacity to borrow up to approximately \$274.0 million from the Federal Reserve Bank and approximately \$135.3 million from the FHLB-NY if the need should arise.

For the parent company, Berkshire Bancorp Inc., liquidity means having cash available to fund its normal operating expenses and to pay stockholder dividends on its common stock, when and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors. On March 31, 2009, the Company announced that it would temporarily suspend its previously announced policy of paying a regular cash dividend on the Company's common stock.

The ability of the Company to fund its normal operating expenses is not currently dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank. At September 30, 2012, the Company had cash of approximately \$1.0 million and investment securities with a fair market value of \$2.7 million. However, the payment of dividends on its common stock when and if declared by the Board of Directors, will be dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank.

The Bank maintains financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments, \$9.8 million at September 30, 2012, include commitments to extend credit, stand-by letters of credit and loan commitments. The Bank also had interest rate caps with a notional amount of \$40.0 million.

At September 30, 2012, the Bank had outstanding commitments of \$354.5 million; including \$2.7 million of borrowings, \$3.8 million of operating leases, and \$348.0 million of time deposits. These commitments include \$251.5 million that mature or renew within one year, \$102.3 million that mature or renew after one year and within three years, \$678,000 million that mature or renew after three years and within five years and no commitments that mature or renew after five years.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Company's financial statements measure financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increasing cost of the Company's operations. The assets and liabilities of the Company are largely monetary. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on the Company's performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. In addition, interest rates do not necessarily move in the direction, or to the same extent, as the price of goods and services. However, in general, high inflation rates are accompanied by higher interest rates, and vice versa.

ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk. Fluctuations in market interest rates can have a material effect on the Bank's net interest income because the yields earned on loans and investments may not adjust to market rates of interest with the same frequency, or with the same speed, as the rates paid by the Bank on its deposits.

Most of the Bank's deposits are either interest-bearing demand deposits or short term certificates of deposit and other interest-bearing deposits with interest rates that fluctuate as market rates change. Management of the Bank seeks to

reduce the risk of interest rate fluctuations by concentrating on loans and securities investments with either short terms to maturity or with adjustable rates or other features that cause yields to adjust based upon interest rate fluctuations. In addition, to cushion itself against the potential adverse effects of a substantial and sustained increase in market interest rates, the Bank has from time to time purchased off balance sheet interest rate cap contracts which generally provide that the Bank will be entitled to receive payments from the other party to the contract if interest rates exceed specified levels. These contracts are entered into with major financial institutions.

The Company seeks to maximize its net interest margin within an acceptable level of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the amount of the forecasted net interest income that may be gained or lost due to favorable or unfavorable movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk, or sensitivity, arises when the maturity or repricing characteristics of assets differ significantly from the maturity or repricing characteristics of liabilities.

ITEM 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its "disclosure controls and procedures" as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Disclosure Controls"). The Disclosure Controls are designed to allow the Company to reach a reasonable level of assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that any information relating to the Company is accumulated and communicated with management, including its principal executive/financial officer to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The evaluation of the Disclosure Controls ("Controls Evaluation") was done under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), who is also the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"). Based upon the Controls Evaluation, the CEO/CFO has concluded that as of September 30, 2012, the Disclosure Controls were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

In accordance with SEC requirements, the CEO/CFO notes that during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012, no changes in the Company's "internal control over financial reporting", as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Internal Control") have occurred that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's Internal Control.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls.

The Company's management, including the CEO/CFO, does not expect that its Disclosure Controls and/or its Internal Control will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs.

Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur

because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 6. Exhibits**

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 31 | Certification of Principal Executive and Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive and Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | <p>The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) related notes to consolidated financial statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 401 of Regulation S-T that the information contained in the XBRL documents is unaudited and these are not the official publicly filed financial statements of the Company.</p> <p>Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.</p> |

53

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BERKSHIRE BANCORP INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 14, 2012 By: /s/ Steven Rosenberg
Steven Rosenberg
President and Chief
Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 31 | Certification of Principal Executive and Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive and Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | <p>The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) related notes to consolidated financial statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 401 of Regulation S-T that the information contained in the XBRL documents is unaudited and these are not the official publicly filed financial statements of the Company.</p> <p>Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.</p> |

55