

UNITED ENERGY CORP /NV/  
Form 10-K  
July 14, 2010

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-30841

UNITED ENERGY CORP.  
(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

22-3342379  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

600 Meadowlands Parkway, #20  
Secaucus, New Jersey  
(Address of principal  
executive offices)

07094

(Zip Code)

(201)-842-0288

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered Under Section 12(b) of the Act

Title of each class  
None

Name of each exchange on which registered  
None

Securities registered Under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value  
\$.01 per share

Edgar Filing: UNITED ENERGY CORP /NV/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

The aggregate market value of approximately 24,267,782 shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant on September 30, 2009, based on the average bid and asked price of the common shares on the OTC Bulletin Board of \$0.21 per share on September 30, 2009 was approximately \$5,338,912.

As of July 14, 2010, the registrant had 31,504,449 common shares outstanding.

UNITED ENERGY CORP.  
2009 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<b>PART I</b>		
ITEM 1.	DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	1
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	4
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	9
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	9
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	10
ITEM 4.	(Removed and Reserved)	10
<b>PART II</b>		
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	11
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	13
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION	13
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	18
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	18
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	18
ITEM 9A(T).	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	19
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	19
<b>PART III</b>		
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	20
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	22
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	24
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	27
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	30
<b>PART IV</b>		
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	31

## PART I

### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### Overview

We develop and distribute environmentally friendly specialty chemical products with applications in several industries and markets. Our current line of products includes our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil industry and related products.

Through our wholly owned subsidiary, Green Globe Industries, Inc. (“Green Globe”), we provide the U.S. military with a variety of solvents, paint strippers and cleaners under our trade name “Qualchem.” Green Globe is a qualified supplier for the U.S. military and has sales contracts currently in place with no minimum purchase requirements, which are renewable at the option of the U.S. military.

A key component of our business strategy is to pursue collaborative joint working and marketing arrangements with established international oil and oil service companies. We intend to enter into these relationships to more rapidly and economically introduce our K-Line of Chemical Products to the worldwide marketplace for refinery, tank and pipeline cleaning services. We provide our K-Line of Chemical Products and our Green Globe Chemical Products to our customers and generated revenues of \$1,771,720 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and \$1,206,321 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

#### Organizational History

We were originally incorporated in Nevada in 1971 as Aztec Silver Mining Co. We engaged in the manufacturing and distribution of printing equipment from 1995 through 1998. During that period, we began to develop specialty chemical products for use in the printing industry. In March 1998, we discontinued our printing equipment operations and changed our business focus to the development of specialty chemical products. In March 2007, we discontinued the sale of our Uniproof proofing paper.

#### Business Operations and Principal Products

Our principal products include our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil industry and our Green Globe Chemical Products, which consist of a variety of solvents, paint strippers and cleaners.

#### K-Line of Chemical Products

KH-30 is a mixture of modified oils, dispersants and oil-based surfactants designed to control paraffin and asphaltene deposits in oil wells. When applied in accordance with our recommended procedures, KH-30 has resulted in substantial production increases in paraffin-affected oil and gas wells by allowing for a faster penetration of paraffin and asphaltene deposits. KH-30 disperses and suspends paraffin and asphaltene in a free-flowing state and prevents solids from sticking to each other or to oil well equipment. KH-30 is patented in the United States and other major oil producing countries.

KX-100 is a proprietary formula where contact time is limited for removal of a plug of paraffin or asphaltene. It is fast acting and an effective dispersant that can be used in temperatures as low as –25F. It can be used in nearly any application.

SR-3 Scale and Rust Remover is a fast acting corrosion inhibited product developed to remove both calcium carbonate and calcium sulfate salt deposits. SR-3 is a broad spectrum, water-soluble scale remover designed to rapidly alleviate hard and soft deposits and to restore full flow capacity. The product is also designed to remove rust while adding protection against further rusting by providing a phosphatized surface on ferrous metals.

HPD-1 PLUS is specifically formulated to offer prompt and effective remediation of tough clogging problems with upper medium to high molecular weight paraffin. It performs multi-functional characteristics to impart wettability, penetration and dispersion, and is an exceptional solvency for paraffin rich heavy sludge with the proper treatment dosage and application.

GSA Gun & Bore Cleaner is a proprietary formula, which is a safer, and more effective bore and chamber cleaner. It can be used on everything from small handguns to 16" guns. Currently, GSA Gun & Bore Cleaner is being marketed and distributed by TopDuck Products LLC under their trademark "Gunzilla BC-10".

#### Green Globe Chemical Products

Leak Detection Compound Type I and II is a gas leak detection compound that is compatible with oxygen. It is intended for use in detecting leaks in both high and low-pressure oxygen systems in aircraft and other related oxygen systems

Corrosion Inhibitor is an additive intended for use with anti-freeze in water at a concentration of 3% to retard corrosion.

Corrosion Removing Compound Type I, II and III are corrosion removing and metal conditioning compounds, which when diluted with water, will remove rust from ferrous metal surfaces.

Ethylene Glycol/Water Coolant is a mixture of Ethylene Glycol and distilled water to provide a coolant mixture for use in radar domes used by the military.

NPX Powder Coating is an effective reusable paint stripper. NPX is a powerful blend of chemicals which we believe will out perform all other aluminum safe strippers in the powder coat industry and provide a safe method of stripping metals, including magnesium, zinc, high strength steel and titanium. NPX does not contain any methylene chloride, phenol, chromates or caustics.

Green Globe products are sold under the trade name Qualchem™. Green Globe is a qualified supplier for the U.S. military and has sales contracts currently in place with no minimum purchase requirements, which are renewable at the option of the U.S. military.

#### Manufacturing and Sales

All of the raw materials necessary for the manufacture of our products are generally available from multiple sources. Although we have negotiated favorable arrangements with some of our current suppliers, (which include Pride Solvents and Chemical Co. of NJ Inc., Hy-Test Packaging Corp, and Air Products and Chemicals), we would have to negotiate new arrangements if one or more of our current suppliers were no longer able to supply these raw materials to us. We do not own any special manufacturing facilities. Our chemical products are generally manufactured by contract blenders at a number of different locations. This method of manufacturing has reduced the need for us to invest in facilities and to hire the employees to staff them. Chemical blenders are relatively easy to replace and are bound by confidentiality agreements, where appropriate, which obligate them not to disclose or use our proprietary information.

We are not responsible for any environmental expenditure with respect to the manufacturing of our products. First, the chemical products that we use are generally "environmentally friendly" products in that they are low in toxicity and rank high in biodegradability. Further, any environmental issues involved in manufacturing are the responsibility of the blending facilities, provided they receive adequate and accurate information from us as to the components of the

chemicals involved, however, there can be no assurance that we will not be liable as we are subject to various foreign, federal, state and local law and regulations relating to the protection of the environment.

In the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010, Petrobras America Inc., Solarium Oil Solutions, Facility Solutions LTD. and Ainex Corporation purchased our KX-100 oil cleaning products, which accounted for approximately 68.1% of our total customer sales. In the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009, Petrobras America Inc., Nalco Company and United Energy Petroleum Services LTD. purchased our KX-100 oil cleaning products, which accounted for approximately 50.2% of our total customer sales.

Except for these current customers, no other single entity has accounted for more than 10% of our sales during any of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

All of our products are sold in U.S. dollars and, therefore, we have had no foreign currency fluctuation risk.

Our current operations do not require a substantial investment in inventory other than minimum commitments to our distributors. However, we anticipate that any growth in our business will require us to maintain higher levels of inventory.

As of March 31, 2010, the Company's backlog included \$351,494 of chemical sales. Backlog represents products that the Company's customers have committed to purchase. The Company's backlog is subject to fluctuations and is not necessarily indicative of future sales.

### Marketing and Distribution

We have engaged the services of independent contractors to market our K-Line of Chemical Products. These contractors work under various non-exclusive commission and distribution agreements and have substantial contacts among oil well owners and major oil companies in the United States, Mexico, South America, Africa, Europe and the Middle East. These contractors earn a commission based upon the sales value of the products that they sell. These independent contractors use our marketing materials, brochures and website to interest clients and to describe the attributes of our products.

Although we have not achieved the volume of sales we had anticipated for the oil dispersant products, there have been significant barriers to entry in this market. Most of these potential customers require substantial testing of our product to prove its efficacy at cleaning wells, tanks and flow lines. In many cases, additional laboratory testing is required to prove that our chemical products are compatible with refinery systems and will not interfere with certain chemical processes and safety requirements of the potential clients. This process of testing has taken a great deal longer than was originally anticipated. We believe that we have made significant inroads and currently expect a higher volume of sales in the next fiscal year ending March 31, 2011, although there can be no assurance that sales will increase in fiscal 2011.

### Research and Development

Our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil industry are developed and ready for market. All of these products are the result of research and development. Expenditures were paid for research and development costs in the amounts of \$222,476 and \$329,186 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We have had available the services of one research chemist and one analytical chemist, as well as one petroleum engineer, to lead in the development of our products. A significant amount of market adaptation has taken place in the field involving the development of application procedures for products. We do not anticipate having to make significant research and development expenditures on existing products in the future. However, we do expect to continue to develop new products to complement our existing product lines.

### Competition

We compete directly or indirectly with other producers of specialty chemical products with similar uses, most of which are more established companies and have greater resources than we have. Generally, we attempt to compete by offering what we hope to be lower prices and better service. However, our KH-30, KX-100, KX-91 and KH-30S products for the oil industry are often more expensive, and with these products we attempt to compete by emphasizing product effectiveness and environmental safety.





### Proprietary Technologies

With respect to our formulations, which are proprietary, we have patented our KH-30 oil well cleaner in the United States and other major oil producing countries.

In addition to applying for patent protection on our KH-30 product, we have also registered "KH-30" as a trademark.

### Employees

As of March 31, 2010 we employed eight people on a full-time basis and had available the services of two other individuals under consulting or product/production cooperation arrangements. The latter arrangement is meant to include a situation where a chemist, engineer or significant marketing person is engaged by an organization under contract with us to manufacture or market one or more of our products. None of our employees are represented by a union. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

### Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, information statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at Station Place, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following material risks, before you decide to buy our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition would likely suffer. In these circumstances, the market price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

**WE HAD A CURRENT ACCUMULATED DEFICIT OF \$23,546,072 AS OF MARCH 31, 2010 AND IF WE CONTINUE TO INCUR OPERATING LOSSES, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO SUPPORT OUR BUSINESS PLAN, WHICH WILL HAVE A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON OUR STOCK.**

We have incurred losses in each of our last three fiscal years. As of March 31, 2010, we had an accumulated deficit of \$23,546,072. If we continue to incur operating losses and fail to become a profitable company, we may be unable to support our business plan, namely to market our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil and gas industry, and the Green Globe Chemical Products. We incurred net losses from continuing operations of \$1,464,892 and \$1,338,237 in the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our future profitability depends in large part on our ability to market and support our Specialty Chemical Products which we derive the majority of our revenues. We cannot assure you that we will achieve or sustain significant sales or profitability in the future.

**WE ARE DEPENDENT ON OUR ABILITY TO RAISE CAPITAL FROM EXTERNAL FUNDING SOURCES. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO OBTAIN NECESSARY CAPITAL FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES, WE WILL BE FORCED TO REDUCE OR CURTAIL OPERATIONS.**

We have limited financial resources. As a result we need to obtain additional capital from outside sources to continue operations and commercialize our business plan. We cannot assure that adequate additional funding will be

available. If we are unable to continue to obtain needed capital from outside sources, we will be forced to reduce or curtail our operations.

Our ability to execute our business plan depends upon our ability to obtain financing through

- bank or other debt financing,
- equity financing,

- -
- strategic relationships and/or  
other means.

OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITORS HAVE EXPRESSED THAT THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

Our independent auditors issued an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern on our financial statements for fiscal 2010, based on the significant operating losses and a lack of external financing. Neither our March 31, 2010 nor March 31, 2009 financial statements include any adjustments that resulted from the outcome of this uncertainty. Our inability to continue as a going concern would require a restatement of assets and liabilities on a liquidation basis, which would differ materially and adversely from the going concern basis on which our consolidated financial statements have been prepared.

THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT OBSTACLES TO ENTERING THE OIL AND GAS PRODUCING INDUSTRY THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE SLOW PACE AT WHICH OUR K-LINE OF CHEMICAL PRODUCTS ARE BEING INTRODUCED TO THE MARKET.

Our business plan is focused largely on marketing efforts for our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil and gas industry. Although we believe that the application of our K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil and gas industry on a continuous basis will result in higher production and lower operating costs, the introduction of our K-Line of Chemical Products into the oil and gas producing industry has been extremely difficult. Many entrenched players such as the “hot oilers” and the major oil service companies that benefit from high markups on their proprietary products have no incentive to promote the use of our chemical products. Moreover, oil production engineers are extremely reluctant to risk damage to a well from a product that does not have the endorsement of a major enterprise. Consequently, the pace of introduction of our K-Line of Chemical Products has been much slower than we initially anticipated. If we and our K-Line of Chemical Products marketing partners are unable to successfully achieve market acceptance our products, our future results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected.

THE SUCCESS OF OUR K-LINE OF CHEMICAL PRODUCTS WILL BE HIGHLY DEPENDENT UPON THE LEVEL OF ACTIVITY AND EXPENDITURES IN THE OIL AND NATURAL GAS INDUSTRIES AND A DECREASE IN THE LEVELS THEREOF WOULD, IN ALL LIKELIHOOD, ADVERSELY IMPACT SALES OF OUR K-LINE OF CHEMICAL PRODUCTS.

We anticipate that demand for our oil and gas-cleaning product will depend on the levels of activity and expenditures in the industry, which are directly affected by trends in oil and natural gas prices. We anticipate that demand for our K-Line of Chemical Product sales will be particularly sensitive to the level of development, production and exploration activity of, and corresponding capital spending by, oil and natural gas companies. Prices for oil and gas are subject to large fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and gas, market uncertainty, political stability and a variety of other factors that are beyond our control. Any prolonged reduction in oil and natural gas prices will depress the level of exploration, and development and production activity. Lower levels of activity are expected to result in a corresponding decline in the demand for our oil and gas well products, which could have an adverse impact on our prospects, results of operations and financial condition. Factors affecting the prices of oil and natural gas include:

- worldwide political, military and economic conditions, including the ability of OPEC (the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries) to set and maintain production levels and prices for oil and gas;
- overall levels of global economic growth and activity;

- global weather conditions;

5

---

- the level of production by non-OPEC countries;
- the policies of governments regarding the exploration for and production and development of their oil and natural gas reserves; and
- actual and perceived changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas.

**WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE SUBSTANTIAL REVENUES FROM OUR GREEN GLOBE CHEMICAL PRODUCTS.**

Our sales to date have been substantially dependent on sales of our K-Line of Chemical Products. Sales of Green Globe Chemical Products accounted for approximately 11% of revenues for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The U.S. military represented approximately 86% of such revenues from the sales of our Green Globe Chemical Products for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. If we fail to develop significant revenue from Green Globe Chemical Products or the U.S. military ceases or decreases its use of our Green Globe Chemical Products, our business plan and financial condition will be adversely affected.

**IF OUR STRATEGIC PARTNERS DO NOT EFFECTIVELY MARKET OUR PRODUCTS, WE WILL NOT GENERATE SIGNIFICANT SALES OR PROFITS AND WE DO NOT CURRENTLY HAVE THE INTERNAL RESOURCES TO MARKET OUR PRODUCTS DIRECTLY.**

We utilize third parties to assist in marketing, selling and distributing our products. We believe that the establishment of a network of third party strategic partners, particularly abroad, with extensive and specific knowledge of the various applications in the oil and gas industry is important for our success. We cannot assure you that our current or future strategic partners will purchase our products at sufficient levels or provide us with adequate support. If one or more of our partners under performs or if any of our strategic relationships are terminated or otherwise disrupted, our operating performance, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected.

**WE DEPEND ON A SMALL NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS FOR A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF OUR REVENUES, BUT WE HAVE NO LONG TERM CONTRACTS OR BINDING PURCHASE COMMITMENTS FROM THESE CUSTOMERS.**

We currently have a limited number of recurring customers for our products, none of whom have entered into long-term contracts or binding purchase commitments with us. Our four largest customers accounted for 68% of our revenues for fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and our three largest customers accounted for 50% of our revenues for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

**WE RELY ON THIRD PARTIES FOR THE RAW MATERIALS NECESSARY TO MAKE OUR PRODUCTS, LEAVING US POTENTIALLY VULNERABLE TO SUBSTANTIAL COST INCREASES AND DELAYS.**

All of the raw materials necessary for the manufacture of our products are generally available from multiple sources. We have negotiated favorable arrangements with our current suppliers. If one or more of our current suppliers were no longer able to supply the raw materials that we need, we would be required to negotiate arrangements with alternate suppliers, which would likely include some cost or delay and could be substantial. In addition, no assurance can be given that any alternative arrangements that we secure would be on terms as favorable as our current arrangements.

**WE DEPEND ON INDEPENDENT MANUFACTURERS OF OUR PRODUCTS; ANY PROLONGED INTERRUPTION IN THEIR BUSINESS COULD CAUSE US TO LOSE OUR CUSTOMERS.**

We do not own any manufacturing facilities. Our chemical products are generally manufactured by contract blenders at a number of different facilities. Chemical blenders are relatively easy to replace. While we believe these facilities have the capacity to meet our current production needs and also meet applicable environmental regulations, we cannot be certain that these facilities will continue to meet our needs or continue to comply with environmental laws. In addition, these facilities are subject to certain risks of damage, including fire, which would disrupt production of our products. To the extent we are forced to find alternate facilities, it would likely involve delays in manufacturing and potentially significant costs.

The chemical blenders that manufacture our products are bound by confidentiality agreements that obligate them not to disclose or use our proprietary information. A breach of one or more of these agreements could have a detrimental effect on our business and prospects.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS AND LIABILITIES COULD ARISE AND BE COSTLY FOR US TO CLEAN UP.

We are subject to various foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including the Industrial Site Recovery Act, a New Jersey statute requiring clearance by the state prior to the sale of any industrial facility. These laws provide for retroactive strict liability for damages to natural resources or threats to public health and safety, rendering a party liable without regard to its negligence or fault. Sanctions for noncompliance may include revocation of permits, corrective action orders, and administrative or civil penalties or even criminal prosecution. We have not, to date, incurred any serious liabilities under environmental regulations and believe that we are in substantial compliance therewith. Nevertheless, we cannot be certain that we will not encounter environmental problems or incur environmental liabilities in the future that could adversely affect our business.

#### BECAUSE WE ARE SMALLER AND HAVE FEWER FINANCIAL AND MARKETING RESOURCES THAN MANY OF OUR COMPETITORS, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE IN THE EXTREMELY COMPETITIVE CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES.

We compete directly or indirectly with other producers of specialty chemical products, most of which are or have aligned themselves with more established companies, have greater brand recognition and greater financial and marketing resources. Generally, we attempt to compete by offering what we hope to be lower prices and better service. However, the prices for our K-Line of Chemical Products and Green Globe Chemical Products are higher than competing products; therefore, we attempt to compete by emphasizing product effectiveness and environmental safety.

#### WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO RETAIN OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO WE NEED TO SUCCEED, AND ADDITIONAL QUALIFIED PERSONNEL ARE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO ATTRACT.

Our performance depends, to a significant extent, upon the efforts and abilities of our executive officers. We do not maintain any key man insurance on their lives for our benefit. The loss of the services of our executive officers could have a serious and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our success will also depend upon our ability to recruit and retain additional qualified senior management personnel. Competition is intense for highly skilled personnel in our industry, and accordingly, no assurance can be given that we will be able to hire or retain sufficient personnel.

#### OUR MANAGEMENT OWNS A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF OUR STOCK AND IS CAPABLE OF INFLUENCING OUR BUSINESS AND AFFAIRS.

Our directors and executive officers beneficially own approximately 46.9% of our outstanding common stock. As such, they will be able to significantly influence the election of the members of our board of directors and the outcome of corporate actions that require shareholder approval, such as mergers and acquisitions. In addition, Pursuant to the terms of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock") and an agreement with Sherleigh Associates Profit Sharing Plan ("Sherleigh"), as holder of all of the outstanding shares of Preferred Stock, Sherleigh has the right to and has designated a majority of the members of our board of directors. Jack Silver, one of our directors, as the trustee of Sherleigh, has voting control over the shares of Preferred Stock held by Sherleigh. The level of ownership by our directors and executive officers, together with particular provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Nevada law, may have a significant effect in delaying, deferring or preventing



any change in control and may adversely affect the voting and other rights of our other shareholders.

7

---

IF WE CANNOT PROTECT OUR PROPRIETARY RIGHTS AND TRADE SECRETS OR IF WE WERE FOUND TO BE INFRINGING ON THE PROPRIETARY RIGHTS OF OTHERS, OUR BUSINESS WOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY HARMED.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to protect the proprietary nature of our products, preserve our trade secrets and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. If other companies obtain and copy our technology or claim that we are making unauthorized use of their proprietary technology, we may become involved in lengthy and costly disputes. If we are found to be infringing on the proprietary rights of others, we could be required to seek licenses to use the necessary technology. We cannot assure you that we could obtain these licenses on acceptable terms, if at all. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries may not provide adequate protection for our proprietary technology.

To protect our intellectual property, we seek patents and enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, manufacturers and marketing and distribution partners. We cannot assure you that our patent applications will result in the successful issuance of patents or that any issued patents will provide significant protection for our technology and products. In addition, we cannot assure you that other companies will not independently develop competing technologies that are not covered by our patents. There is also no assurance that confidentiality agreements will provide adequate protection of our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information. Any unauthorized disclosure and use of our proprietary technology, whether in breach of an agreement or not, could have an adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations and financial condition.

THE PUBLIC MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK HAS BEEN CHARACTERIZED BY A LOW VOLUME OF TRADING AND OUR STOCKHOLDERS MAY NOT BE ABLE TO RESELL THEIR SHARES AT OR ABOVE THE PRICE AT WHICH THEY PURCHASED THEIR SHARES, IF AT ALL.

Historically, the volume of trading in our common stock has been low. A more active public market for our common stock may not develop or, even if it does in fact develop, may not be sustainable. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- product liability claims and other litigation;
- the announcement of new products or product enhancements by us or our competitors;
- developments concerning intellectual property rights and regulatory approvals;
- quarterly variations in our competitors' results of operations;
- developments in our industry; and
- general market conditions and other factors, including factors unrelated to our own operating performance.

Recently, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. In particular, market prices of securities of specialty chemical products companies have experienced fluctuations that are often unrelated to or disproportionate from the operating results of these companies. Continued market fluctuations could result in extreme volatility in the price of shares of our common stock, which could cause a decline in the value of our shares. Price volatility may be worse if the trading volume of our common stock is low.



**WE HAVE OUTSTANDING WARRANTS AND OPTIONS, AND WE ARE ABLE TO ISSUE “BLANK CHECK” PREFERRED STOCK, THAT COULD BE ISSUED RESULTING IN THE DILUTION OF COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP.**

As of June 30, 2010, we had outstanding Preferred Stock, warrants and options that, when exercised and converted, could result in the issuance of up to 24,103,363 additional shares of common stock. In addition, our Articles of Incorporation allow the board of directors to issue up to 100,000 shares of preferred stock and to fix the rights, privileges and preferences of those shares without any further vote or action by the shareholders. We currently have 3 shares of Preferred Stock outstanding. To the extent that outstanding warrants, options and preferred stock or similar instruments or convertible preferred stock issued in the future are exercised or converted, these shares will represent a dilution to the existing shareholders. The preferred stock could hold dividend priority and a liquidation preference over shares of our common stock. Thus, the rights of the holders of common stock are and will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock. Any such issuance could be used to discourage an unsolicited acquisition proposal by a third party.

**OUR COMMON STOCK IS CONSIDERED A “PENNY STOCK” AND MAY BE DIFFICULT TO SELL WHEN DESIRED.**

The SEC has adopted regulations that define a “penny stock”, generally, to be an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to specific exemptions. The market price of our common stock has been less than \$5.00 per share. This designation requires any broker or dealer selling our securities to disclose certain information concerning the transaction, obtain a written agreement from the purchaser and determine that the purchaser is reasonably suitable to purchase the securities. These rules may restrict the ability of brokers or dealers to sell our common stock and may affect the ability of stockholders to sell their shares. In addition, since our common stock is currently quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, stockholders may find it difficult to obtain accurate quotations of our common stock, may experience a lack of buyers to purchase our shares or a lack of market makers to support the stock price.

**A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF OUR SHARES ARE ELIGIBLE FOR SALE AND THEIR SALE OR POTENTIAL SALE WILL PROBABLY DEPRESS THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR STOCK.**

Sales of a significant number of shares of our common stock in the public market could harm the market price of our common stock. Some or all of the shares of our common stock may be offered from time to time in the open market without registration pursuant to Rule 144, and these sales could have a depressive effect on the market for our common stock.

**WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE PAYING DIVIDENDS ON OUR COMMON STOCK IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE; THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD NOT BUY THIS STOCK IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE CASH DIVIDENDS.**

We currently intend to retain our future earnings in order to support operations and finance expansion; therefore, we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

#### **ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

We lease 4,800 square feet of office space at 600 Meadowlands Parkway, #21, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094. Under the terms of the lease, which runs through June 2013, the monthly rent is \$6,400. In addition, we leased office and warehouse space on a month-to-month basis in Odessa, TX, at a rate of \$535 per month through September 2008.

We use independent non-affiliated contract chemical blending and manufacturing facilities in various locations around the United States for the manufacture of our products. We contract the production of our products to independent manufacturers and blenders and our products are therefore produced at the manufacturing facilities of those entities. We do not own any manufacturing facilities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In July 2002, an action was commenced against us in the Court of Common Pleas of South Carolina, Pickens County, brought by Quantum International Technology, LLC and Richard J. Barrett. Plaintiffs allege that they were retained as a sales representative of ours and in that capacity made sales of our products to the United States government and to commercial entities. Plaintiffs further allege that we failed to pay to plaintiffs agreed commissions at the rate of 20% of gross sales of our products made by plaintiffs. The complaint seeks an accounting, compensatory damages in the amount of all unpaid commissions plus interest thereon, punitive damages in an amount treble the compensatory damages, plus legal fees and costs. Plaintiffs maintain that they are entitled to receive an aggregate of approximately \$350,000 in compensatory and punitive damages, interest and costs. In June 2003, the action was transferred from the court in Pickens County to a Master in Equity sitting in Greenville, South Carolina and was removed from the trial docket. The action, if tried, will be tried without a jury. No trial date has yet been scheduled. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the action and intend to vigorously defend the case. The outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

No other legal proceedings are currently pending or threatened against us.

ITEM 4. (Removed and Reserved)

## PART II

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND  
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

As of July 14, 2010 there were approximately 453 record holders of our common stock and there were 31,504,449 shares of our common stock outstanding. We have not previously declared or paid any dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring any dividends in the foreseeable future.

The following table shows the high and low bid prices of our common stock as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board by quarter during each of our last two fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and for each quarter after March 31, 2010. These quotes reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commissions and may not represent actual transactions. The information below was obtained from those organizations, for the respective periods.

Fiscal Year ended March 31	Quarter	High	Low
2009	First Quarter (April-June 2008)	\$ .42	\$ .25
	Second Quarter (July-September 2008)	.38	.15
	Third Quarter (October-December 2008)	.25	.05
	Fourth Quarter (January-March 2009)	.21	.06
2010	First Quarter (April-June 2009)	\$ .20	\$ .09
	Second Quarter (July-September 2009)	.48	.11
	Third Quarter (October-December 2009)	.41	.21
	Fourth Quarter (January-March 2010)	.29	.08
2011	First Quarter (April-June 2010)	\$ .22	\$ .06
	Second Quarter (through July 12)	.15	.08

The high and low bid prices for shares of our common stock on July 12, 2010 were \$.09 and \$.08 per share, respectively, based upon bids that represent prices quoted by broker-dealers on the OTC Bulletin Board. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and may not represent actual transactions.

## Dividend Policy

While there are no restrictions on the payment of dividends, we have not declared or paid any cash or other dividends on shares of our common stock in the last two years, and we presently have no intention of paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our current policy is to retain earnings, if any, to finance the expansion of our business. The future payment of dividends will depend on the results of operations, financial condition, capital expenditure plans and other factors that we deem relevant and will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors.

We intend to pay regular semi-annual dividends on our preferred stock outstanding in an amount not to exceed \$1,440 per year. Any decision to declare and pay dividends in the future will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, cash requirements, financial condition, contractual restrictions and other factors our board of directors may deem relevant.

On June 30, 2008, December 31, 2008, June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009 we declared dividends on our preferred stock at a rate of \$480.00 per share. The dividends were paid for a total amount of \$2,160 on July 3, 2008, January 13, 2009 and September 2, 2009, respectively. Dividends declared on December 31, 2009 for \$720 have been accrued.



## Related Party Loans

In March 2009, Ronald Wilen, a director, the President and the Chief Executive Officer, Martin Rappaport, a director, and Sherleigh Associates Profit Sharing Plan (“Sherleigh”), a trust of which Jack Silver, a director, is the trustee, provided the Company with short term loans in the amount of \$50,000 each, and each received warrants to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.125 per share which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Subsequently, in May 2009, the loan by Sherleigh was repaid, and Messrs Wilen and Rappaport loaned the Company an additional \$50,000 each, and Hilltop Holding Company, L.P. (“Hilltop”), a limited partnership of which Mr. Silver is the managing partner, loaned the Company \$101,017. In addition, the Company amended Messrs Wilen’s and Rappaport’s March 2009 notes into secured convertible notes (the “Amended March Loan Notes”) and issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “May Loan Notes”) for their May 2009 loans to the Company. The amended notes extended the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes to July 13, 2009. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the May 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes from July 13, 2009 to August 13, 2009 and as consideration for such extension received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 611,825 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July/August 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop each loaned the Company an additional \$50,000. In October 2009, the Company issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “August Loan Notes”) for their July/August 2009 loans to the Company. In connection with the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes to January 29, 2010. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years. In addition, the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes was lowered from \$.12 per share to \$.09 per share.

Thereafter, pursuant to an agreement dated January 29, 2010, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes to January 31, 2011. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,414,705 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.222 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years. As a result of the foregoing, each of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes are convertible into common stock of the Company at a conversion price of \$.09 per share, bear interest at 12% per annum, are due January 31, 2011 and are secured by substantially all the assets of the Company.

The issuance of the August Loan Notes and the warrants in October 2009 and the reduction of the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes triggered the anti-dilution provisions of the Company’s outstanding Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. As a result, in October 2009, the Company entered into an Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement with the holders of Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, including Sherleigh, whereby the exercise price of the Series A Warrants, the Series B Warrants and the Series C Warrants were reduced from \$0.12 to \$0.09 per share; however, such holders agreed to waive any increase in the number of shares underlying

the Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants and Series C Warrants as a result of such reduction in the exercise price. In addition, Sherleigh, as the sole holder of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, agreed to waive the anti-dilution provisions of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

Pursuant to the terms of an employment agreement dated April 17, 2007, with Ronald Wilen, Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary, for each of the next five (5) years of the term of the agreement (commencing with April 17, 2008), Mr. Wilen is to receive an option to purchase fifty thousand (50,000) shares of common stock of the Company. The exercise price with respect to any option granted pursuant to the employment agreement is to be the fair market value of the common stock underlying such option on the date such option was granted. The initial grant of 50,000 stock options is to be granted out of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan at the one-year anniversary. In addition, the stock option to purchase 200,000 shares has been reserved for Mr. Wilen out of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.

On January 28, 2009, the Company issued a convertible term note in the amount of \$35,000, which accrued interest at 7% per year. Principal and interest is payable on the maturity date of June 30, 2009. The holder of this term note has the option to convert all or a portion of the note (including principal and interest) into shares of common stock at any time at a conversion price of \$0.12 per share. The conversion price is subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and similar events. On June 2, 2009, the term note was converted. In consideration for the conversion, the Company issued to the holder of the note, warrants to acquire 140,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.12, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five years.

On November 6, 2009, the Company issued a convertible term note in the amount of \$30,000, which accrues interest at 7% per year. Principal and interest is payable on demand. The holder of this term note has the option to convert all or a portion of the note (including principal and interest) into shares of common stock at any time at a conversion price of \$0.21 per share. The conversion price is subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and similar events.

In February 2009, the Company awarded each of the six (6) directors of the Company warrants to purchase up to 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share. The warrants are exercisable for a period of ten (10) years. Also in February 2009, the Company issued to an employee warrants to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years and to a former employee in connection with his termination of employment, warrants to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.30 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of three (3) years from the date of termination.

In June 2009, the Company awarded four (4) of the six (6) directors of the Company warrants to purchase up to 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, and awarded one (1) of the directors warrants to purchase up to 300,00 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share. The warrants are exercisable for a period of ten (10) years.

### ITEM 6.

### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Because we are a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information called for by this item.

### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

You should read the following description of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this Annual Report beginning on page F-1.

### Overview

We develop and distribute environmentally friendly specialty chemical products with applications in several industries and markets. Our current line of products includes K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil industry and related products.

Through our wholly owned subsidiary, Green Globe Industries, Inc., we provide the U.S. military with a variety of solvents, paint strippers and cleaners under our trade name "Qualchem." Green Globe is a qualified supplier for the U.S. military and has sales contracts currently in place with no minimum purchase requirements which are renewable at the option of the U.S. military.

A key component of our business strategy is to pursue collaborative joint working and marketing arrangements with established international oil and oil service companies. We intend to enter into these relationships to more rapidly and economically introduce our K-Line of Chemical Products to the worldwide marketplace for refinery, tank and pipeline cleaning services.

We provide our K-Line of Chemical Products and our Green Globe Chemical Products to our customers and generated revenues of \$1,771,720 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and \$1,206,321 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to product returns, bad debts, inventories, valuation of options and warrants, intangible assets, long-lived assets and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

#### Revenue Recognition

Our primary source of revenue is from sales of our products. We recognize revenue upon shipment and transfer of title.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We monitor our accounts and note receivable balances on a monthly basis to ensure they are collectible. On a quarterly basis, we use our historical experience to determine our accounts receivable reserve. Our allowance for doubtful accounts is an estimate based on specifically identified accounts, as well as general reserves. We evaluate specific accounts where we have information that the customer may have an inability to meet its financial obligations. In these cases, management uses its judgment, based upon the best available facts and circumstances, and records a specific reserve for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific reserves are re-evaluated and adjusted as additional information is received that impacts the amount reserved. We also establish a general reserve for all customers based upon a range of percentages applied to aging categories. These percentages are based on historical collection and write-off experience. If circumstances change, our estimate of the recoverability of amounts due to us could be reduced or increased by a significant amount. A change in estimated recoverability would be accounted for in the period in which the facts that give rise to the change become known.

#### Results of Operations

Comparison of Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2010 to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2009

Revenues. Revenues for the year ended March 31, 2010 were \$1,771,720, a \$565,399 or 47% increase from revenues of \$1,206,321 for the year ended March 31, 2009. The increase was primarily related to a 36% increase in the level of our K-Line of Chemical Products reflecting a higher level of orders, and by an 817% increase in the level of our Green Globe/Qualchem military sales during the year. Our four largest customers accounted for 68% of our revenues for fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and our three largest customers accounted for 50% of our revenues for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

**Cost of Goods Sold.** Cost of goods sold increased to \$668,326 or 38% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$567,758, or 47% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2009. The increase in cost of goods sold was due to the increased level of sales compared to the prior year.

**Gross Profit.** Gross profit increased to \$1,103,394 or 62% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$638,563, or 53% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2009. The increase in gross profit and gross profit percentage reflects the higher level of sales of Specialty Chemicals and our Green Globe/Qualchem military sales.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$2,249,047, or 127% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$1,586,355, or 132% of sales, for the year ended March 31, 2009. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily related to an increase in the allowance for bad debts and in financing costs associated with the issuance of warrants, offset by a decrease in professional fees, salaries, employee benefits and travel and entertainment.

**Research and Development.** Research and development expenses decrease to \$222,476, or 13% of sales for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$329,186, or 27% of sales for the year ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in research and development expenses was primarily related to a decrease in salaries and lab supplies.

**Depreciation and Amortization.** Depreciation and amortization decreased to \$48,499 for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$59,795 for the year ended March 31, 2009, reflecting the Company's use of an accelerated method of depreciation, offset by an increase in fixed assets.

**Interest Income.** Interest income decreased to \$27 for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$950 for the year ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was due to the use of cash received in connection with the private placement completed in March 2006.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased to \$48,291 for the year ended March 31, 2010 from \$2,414 for the year ended March 31, 2009. The increase was due to the indebtedness outstanding on the loans by directors and their affiliates.

**Net Loss.** For the year ended March 31, 2010, we incurred a net loss of \$1,464,892 or \$0.05 per share, as compared to a net loss of \$1,338,237 for the year ended March 31, 2009, or \$0.04 per share. The average number of shares of common stock used in calculating earnings per share increased 305,037 shares to 31,335,152 from 31,030,115 shares as a result of 298,472 shares issued in the connection of the conversion of the convertible note and 175,862 shares issued in the connection with the exercise of warrants.

**Preferred Dividends,** Preferred dividends of \$1,440 were paid at a rate of \$480.00 per share for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since 1995, operations have been financed primarily through loans and equity contributions from directors, executive officers and third parties supplemented, by funds generated by our business. As of March 31, 2010, we had \$311,506 in cash and cash equivalents.

**Net Cash Used in Continuing Operations.** During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, net cash used in continuing operations was \$6,308 compared with \$858,846 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, net cash used in investing activities decreased to \$40,899 compared with \$91,917 for the year ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily a result of a decrease in payments for patents and fixed assets, offset by an increase in payments on loans.



Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, net cash provided by financing activities consisted of related party loans of \$303,781, offset by \$1,440 of preferred stock dividends. This compares to net cash provided in financing activities consisting of related party loans of \$150,000, offset by \$1,440 of preferred stock dividends during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has year-end losses from operations in 2010. For the year ended March 31, 2010 the Company incurred net loss approximating \$1,464,892. Further, the Company has inadequate working capital to maintain or develop its operations, and is dependent upon funds from private investors and the support of certain stockholders.

These factors raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. In this regard, the Company may need to raise additional funds through loans, additional sales of its equity securities, increased sales volumes and the ability to achieve profitability from the sales of our product lines. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

Sales to four of our customers, Petrobras America Inc., Solarium Oil Solutions, Facility Solutions LTD. and Ainex Corporation accounted for approximately 68.1% of our sales for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and sales to three of our customers, Petrobras America Inc., Nalco Company and United Energy Petroleum Services LTD. accounted for approximately 50.2% of our sales for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

#### Contractual Obligations

Below is a table, which presents our contractual obligation commitments at March 31, 2010:

Contractual Obligation	Total	Less than		3-5	After
		1 Year	1-3 Years	Years	5 Years
Short-term debt Obligations(1)	\$ 483,781	\$ 483,781	\$ —	\$ —	—
Operating leases	263,693	80,823	182,870	—	—
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 747,474	\$ 564,604	\$ 182,870	\$ —	—

(1) Short-term debt obligations include amounts due to the Hilltop, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Ron Wilen and one of our directors, Martin Rappaport. The amount due as of March 31, 2010 is \$453,781. The loans bear interest at 12% per annum, are due January 31, 2011 and are secured by substantially all the assets of the Company. At March 31, 2008, the Company had a note due to related party totaling \$244,141. During fiscal year 2009, this amount was written off.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to our stockholders.

Inflation

We do not believe that inflation in the cost of our raw materials has had in the past or will have in the future any significant negative impact on our operations. However, no assurance can be given that we will be able to offset such inflationary cost increases in the future.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements that the Company has adopted or that will be required to adopt in the future are summarized below.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-12. "Income Taxes" (Topic 740). ASU No.2010-12 amends FASB Accounting Standard Codification subtopic 740-10 Income Taxes to include paragraph 740-10-S99-4. On March 30, 2010 The President signed the Health Care & Education Affordable Care Act reconciliation bill that amends its previous Act signed on March 23, 2010. FASB Codification topic 740, Income Taxes, requires the measurement of current and deferred tax liabilities and assets to be based on provisions of enacted tax law. The effects of future changes in tax laws are not anticipated." Therefore, the different enactment dates of the Act and reconciliation measure may affect registrants with a period-end that falls between March 23, 2010 (enactment date of the Act), and March 30, 2010 (enactment date of the reconciliation measure). However, the announcement states that the SEC would not object if such registrants were to account for the enactment of both the Act and the reconciliation measure in a period ending on or after March 23, 2010, but notes that the SEC staff "does not believe that it would be appropriate for registrants to analogize to this view in any other fact patterns." The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-13 "Stock Compensation" (Topic 718). ASU No.2010-13 provides amendments to Topic 718 to clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments in this Update should be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The cumulative-effect adjustment should be calculated for all awards outstanding as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the amendments are initially applied, as if the amendments had been applied consistently since the inception of the award. The cumulative-effect adjustment should be presented separately. Earlier application is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-17. "Revenue Recognition-Milestone Method" (Topic 605) ASU No.2010-17 provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research or development transactions. An entity often recognizes these milestone payments as revenue in their entirety upon achieving a specific result from the research or development efforts. A vendor can recognize consideration that is contingent upon achievement of a milestone in its entirety as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone meets all criteria to be considered substantive. Determining whether a milestone is substantive is a matter of judgment made at the inception of the arrangement. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. Entities can apply this guidance prospectively to milestones achieved after adoption. However, retrospective application to all prior periods is also permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-18. "Receivables" (Topic 310). ASU No.2010-18 provides guidance on accounting for acquired loans that have evidence of credit deterioration upon acquisition. Paragraph 310-30-15-6 allows acquired assets with common risk characteristics to be accounted for in the aggregated as a pool. Upon establishment of the pool, the pool becomes the unit of accounting. When loans are accounted for as a pool, the purchase discount is not allocated to individual loans; thus all of the loans in the pool accrete at a single pool rate (based on cash flow projections for the pool). Under subtopic 310-30, the impairment

analysis also is performed on the pool as a whole as opposed to each individual loan. Paragraphs 310-40-15-4 through 15-12 establish the criteria for evaluating whether a loan modification should be classified as a troubled debt restructuring. Specifically paragraph 310-40-15-5 states that “a restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring for purposes of this subtopic if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor’s financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider.” The ASU is effective for modification of loans accounted for within pools under subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010. The amendments are to be applied prospectively. Early application is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position and results of operations.

In May 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-19 “Foreign Currency”. (“ASU No. 2010-19”). ASU 2010-19, codifies the SEC staff announcement made at the March 18, 2010, EITF meeting. The ASU “provides the SEC staff’s views on certain foreign currency issues related to investments in Venezuela.” These issues relate to Venezuela’s highly inflationary status. The ASU became effective on March 18, 2010. We are currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this standard on our financial position, and results of operations.

#### Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The market risk inherent in our market risk sensitive instruments and positions are the potential losses arising from adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

Although our business is international in scope, to date our product sales have been all U.S. dollar-denominated. As we expand, we may be affected by exchange rate fluctuations in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. We do not currently use derivative financial instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

### SPECIAL NOTE ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the “Form 10-K”), including statements under “Item 1. Business,” “Item 3. Legal Proceedings” and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation”, constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (collectively, the “Reform Act”). Certain, but not necessarily all, of such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” or “anticipates” or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparative terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this Form 10-K regarding our financial position, business strategy and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements.

Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and other factors referenced in this Form 10-K. We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Because we are a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information called for by this item.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section of this Report beginning on page F-1.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

18

---

## ITEM 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of the Company's Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Principal Accounting Officer (Interim Chief Financial Officer), of the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of March 31, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Principal Accounting Officer (Interim Chief Financial Officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, in all material respects, with respect to the recording, processing, summarizing, and reporting, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, of information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurances of achieving the desired control objectives, as ours are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The effectiveness of any system of internal control over financial reporting is subject to inherent limitations and therefore, may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that as of March 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective. Specifically, the Company's management and its auditors determined that a material weakness existed in our internal control over financial reporting. The material weakness relates to the lack of segregation of duties in financial reporting, as our financial reporting and all accounting functions are performed by our Interim Chief Financial Officer. Due to our lack of funds, the Company has an insufficient number of personnel having adequate knowledge, experience and training, and the Company does not anticipate having the ability to retain such qualified personnel until it is able to obtain adequate funding.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

### Changes in Control Over Financial Reporting

Management has not identified any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

19

---



## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following table shows the positions held by our board of directors and executive officers and their ages as of June 30, 2010.

Name	Age	Position
Ronald Wilen	71	Director, Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary
Jack Silver	67	Director and Chairman of the Board
James McKeever, CPA	44	Interim Chief Financial Officer
Adam Hershey	37	Director
Peter Garson-Rappaport	27	Director
Martin Rappaport	73	Director
John A. Lack	65	Director

The principal occupations for the past five years (and, in some instances, for prior years) of each of our executive officers and directors are as follows:

**Ronald Wilen.** Mr. Wilen has served as a member of our board since October 1995, our Chief Executive Officer since November 2007, and Secretary since May 2006. Mr. Wilen also served as our Chief Executive Officer from October 1995 to September 2004, our President from October 1995 to August 2001, our Executive Vice President of Research and Development from October 1995 to November 2007 and as our Chairman of the Board from August 2001 to January 2008.

**Jack Silver.** Mr. Silver has served as a member of the Board as its Chairman since January 2008. Mr. Silver is the principal investor and manager of SIAR Capital, LLC, an independent investment fund that invests primarily in undervalued, emerging growth companies, and is the trustee of Sherleigh and the managing partner of Hilltop.

**James McKeever, CPA.** Mr. McKeever has been our Interim Chief Financial Officer since January 2004. He also continues to be a partner in the accounting firm of Abrams & McKeever CPA's, which he joined in January 2000. Mr. McKeever has more than 20 years' experience in public accounting and financial reporting, and is a member of the New Jersey Society of Certified Public Accountants.

**Adam Hershey.** Mr. Hershey has served as a member of the Board since January 2008. Mr. Hershey has been a partner at SIAR Capital, LLC since September 2007. In addition, Mr. Hershey is the sole managing member of Hershey Strategic Capital, LP and independent investment fund that invests primarily in undervalued, emerging growth companies since its inception in July 2009. From March 2005 until joining SIAR, Mr. Hershey was a Vice President and Portfolio Manager of Neuberger Berman, LLC, a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers, managing capital for institutions and high net worth individuals. From 2003 to March 2005, Mr. Hershey was a Partner and Portfolio Manager at Sloate, Weisman, Murray & Company, a registered investment advisor that was acquired by Neuberger Berman, LLC in March 2005.

Peter Garson-Rappaport. Mr. Rappaport has served as a member of the Board since March 2008. He is an associate at Ledgemont Capital, a merchant bank. From December 2006 to May 2009, Mr. Rappaport was an analyst at SIAR Capital, LLC. He previously was a co-owner and manager of Wash U Wash, a third party provider of laundry and dry-cleaning services.

Martin Rappaport. Mr. Rappaport has served as a member of our board since June 2001. Mr. Rappaport is self-employed. For more than 30 years, he has developed and managed commercial and residential real estate. Mr. Rappaport is an active supporter and contributor to Blythedale Children's Hospital in Valhalla, New York.

John A. Lack. Mr. Lack has served as a member of our board since June 2008. Since 1998, Mr. Lack has been the managing general partner of Digital, a media investment and consulting company. In his 35-year career in the media and entertainment industries, Mr. Lack is best known for creating MTV. Most recently Mr. Lack was a founding partner & CEO of Firebrand, the first multi-platform network dedicated to commercial culture. Mr. Lack is also the Chairman of the Guardian's Council at the Pollock-Krasner House and Study Center in East Hampton, NY and Chairman of the ASGOG Foundation.

Directors are elected annually and serve until the next annual meeting of the Company's stockholders, and until their successors have been elected and have qualified. Officers are appointed to their positions, and continue in such positions, at the discretion of the directors.

#### Committees of the Board

The Board of Directors is the acting Audit Committee. Our Board of Directors has determined that there is no person on our Board of Directors who qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as that term is defined by applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules. The Board of Directors believes that obtaining the services of an audit committee financial expert is not economically rational at this time in light of the costs associated with identifying and retaining an individual who would qualify as an audit committee financial expert.

#### Indebtedness of Executive Officers and Directors

No executive officer, director or any member of these individuals' immediate families or any corporation or organization with whom any of these individuals is an affiliate is or has been indebted to us since the beginning of our last fiscal year.

#### Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our executive officers and directors.

#### Legal Proceedings

During the past ten years, none of our executive officers, directors, promoters or control persons has been involved in a legal proceeding material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of such person, except in July 2001, in connection with an allegation of failure to timely file a Schedule 13D made by the Securities and Exchange Commission, Jack Silver entered into a consent order whereby he agreed not to violate Section 10(b) or Section 13(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

#### Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% our outstanding common stock, to file with the SEC, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our equity securities. These persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all the reports they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of the reports furnished to us and written or oral representations that no other reports were required for those persons during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, we believe that all of our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners complied with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, other than as follows:

- John Lack, a director, failed to timely file a Form 4 with respect to warrants granted to him in June 2009;
- Martin Rappaport, a director, failed to timely file Form 4s with respect to warrants granted to him in June 2009, convertible notes and warrants acquired by him in June 2009, warrants acquired by him in August 2009, and warrants acquired by him in February 2010;

- Ronald Wilen, a director and our chief executive officer, president and secretary, failed to timely file Form 4s with respect to warrants acquired by him in August 2009, and warrants acquired by him in February 2010; and
- Jack Silver, a director, failed to timely file Form 4s with respect to warrants acquired by Hilltop in August 2009, and warrants acquired by Hilltop in February 2010.

#### Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the “Code”) that applies to all of our employees (including executive officers) and directors. The Code is available on our website at [www.unitedenergycorp.net](http://www.unitedenergycorp.net) under the heading “Investor Relations”. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement regarding any waiver of a provision of the Code applicable to any executive officer or director, by posting such information on such website.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following Summary Compensation Table sets forth, for the years indicated, all cash compensation paid, distributed or accrued for services, including salary and bonus amounts, rendered in all capacities by our Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers who received or are entitled to receive remuneration in excess of \$100,000 during the stated periods.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	All other Compensation (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
Ronald Wilen	2010	196,154	28,125(2)	8,716(3)	232,995
Chief Executive Officer and President	2009	205,385	26,535(2)	9,335(3)	241,255

(1) We pay for medical insurance for all employees. Included in the table is the amount of the premiums paid by us dependent on the coverage provided.

(2) Includes 150,000 warrants valued at \$28,125, which warrants are exercisable at any time prior to June 22, 2019 at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, and 150,000 warrants valued at \$26,535, which warrants are exercisable at any time prior to February 13, 2019 at an exercise price of \$.12 per share. All such warrants were awarded to Mr. Wilen for his services as a director.

(3) During the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we paid for the lease on one automobile used by Mr. Wilen under monthly lease payments. We also paid for medical insurance for Mr. Wilen at a rate of \$349.78 per month.

#### Employment Agreement

Effective as of April 16, 2007, we entered into an employment agreement with Ronald Wilen that provides for his continued employment through April 16, 2012, which agreement was amended and restated as of December 31, 2008 for the purposes of making it more compliant with the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the terms of the employment agreement, Mr. Wilen receives annual base compensation in the amount of \$200,000 per year, subject to a 2.5% increase each year. Mr. Wilen is also entitled to receive benefits (including health, disability and life insurance benefits) provided to other employees of the Company. In addition, Mr. Wilen is entitled to receive options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock for each year of employment.

If Mr. Wilen is terminated without cause, as defined in the his employment agreement, or by Mr. Wilen for good reason, as defined in the employment agreement, he will receive (i) his then current base salary for the lesser of twelve (12) months or the remainder of the employment term under his employment agreement and (ii) health and disability insurance for a period of eighteen (18) months.

The agreement also contains covenants governing confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation. The non-competition continues for a period of two (2) years following termination of Mr. Wilen's employment.

#### Director Compensation

Each director receives warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock in lieu of an annual retainer and meeting fees, except for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, Jack Silver elected to forgo the grant of warrants to him, and as a result, the warrants that would have been otherwise granted to him were granted to Adam Hershey. Other than the warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock there are no special fees, contracts entered into, or payments made in consideration of any director's service as a director.

The following table shows compensation paid to all directors who are not also employees during fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

Name	Option Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Jack Silver (1)	-	-
Adam Hershey (2)	56,250	56,250
John A. Lack (3)	28,125	28,125
Peter Garson-Rappaport (4)	28,125	28,125
Martin Rappaport (5)	28,125	28,125

- (1) As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate number of options and/or warrants outstanding held by Mr. Silver, for which he was granted for his services as a director, was 150,000 warrants.
- (2) As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate number of options and/or warrants outstanding held by Mr. Hershey, for which he was granted for his services as a director, was 450,000 warrants.
- (3) As of March 31, 2010, there were no options and/or warrants outstanding held by Mr. Lack, for which he was granted for his services as a director.
- (4) As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate number of options and/or warrants outstanding held by Mr. Garson-Rappaport, for which he was granted for his services as a director, was 300,000 warrants.
- (5) As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate number of options and/or warrants outstanding held by Mr. Rappaport, for which he was granted for his services as a director, was 300,000 warrants and 80,000 options.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Option Awards	
		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
		Ronald Wilen, Chief Executive Officer, and President	10,000
	10,000	.12	3/30/2017
	50,000	.12	4/10/17
	10,000	.12	3/31/2018
	50,000	.12	4/10/18
	150,000	.12	2/13/2019
	150,000	.12	6/22/2019
Brian King, Former President and Chief Executive Officer	500,000	1.00	9/15/2014
	500,000	1.06	4/1/2015
	250,000	2.05	4/1/2016

## Stock Option Plan

In August 2001, our stockholders approved the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan which provides for the grant of stock options to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock to any employee, non-employee director or consultant at our board's discretion. Under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, options may be exercised for a period up to ten years from the date of grant. Options issued to employees are exercisable upon vesting, which can range between the date of the grant to up to five years.

An amendment and restatement of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan increasing the number of shares issuable under the plan to a total of 4,000,000 was approved by the Board of Directors on May 29, 2002 and was approved by our shareholders at the annual meeting.

Under the 2001 Plan, options are granted to non-employee directors upon election at the annual meeting of stockholders at a purchase price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant. In addition, non-employee director stock options shall be exercisable in full twelve months after the date of grant unless determined otherwise by the compensation committee.

There were stock options to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock available for future grant as of March 31, 2010 under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

### Beneficial Ownership Information

The following table sets forth information regarding the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned on July 14, 2010, by each of our directors, each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above, all of our executive officers and directors as a group, and by any person or "group," as that term is used in



Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Except as otherwise set forth below, the address of each of the persons listed below is c/o United Energy Corp., 600 Meadowlands Parkway, #20, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Class (1)
Ronald Wilen	7,006,269(2)	19.3%
James McKeever, CPA	3,000	*
Jack Silver SIAR Capital LLC 660 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10021	6,798,304(3)	18.9%
Martin Rappaport	6,923,329(4)	19.1%
Adam Hershey	450,000(5)	1.4%
Peter Garson-Rappaport	300,000(6)	*
John A. Lack	175,862	*
All current executive officers and directors as a group (7 persons)	21,656,764	46.9%
<b>5% or Greater Stockholders:</b>		
Joseph J. Grano, Jr. c/o Centurion Holdings LLC 1185 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 2250 New York, NY 10036	3,087,916(7)	9.4%

\* Less than 1% of outstanding shares.

(1) As of July 14, 2010, the Company had 31,504,449 shares of common stock and three shares of Preferred Stock outstanding. Unless otherwise indicated in these footnotes, each stockholder has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned. All share amounts reflect beneficial ownership determined pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act. All information with respect to beneficial ownership has been furnished by the respective director, executive officer or stockholder, as the case may be.

(2) Includes (i) 2,236,000 shares of common stock, (ii) stock options to purchase 130,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, (iii) warrants to purchase 754,054 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, (iv) convertible notes which are convertible into 1,677,964 shares at a conversion price of \$.09 per share, (v) warrant to purchase 400,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, and (vi) warrants to purchase 1,808,251 shares at an exercise price of \$.222 per share, but excludes (i) warrants to purchase 150,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share and (ii) warrants to purchase 200,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.125 per share, which warrants provide that they cannot be exercised to the extent following such exercise the holder or its affiliates would beneficially own more than 9.99% of the total number of outstanding shares of common stock.



- (3) Includes (i) 2,313,333 shares of common stock held by Sherleigh, a trust of which Mr. Silver is the trustee, (ii) warrants to purchase 604,054 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share held by Hilltop, a limited partnership of which Mr. Silver is the general partner, (iii) convertible note which is convertible into 1,677,963 shares at a conversion price of \$.09 per share held by Hilltop, (iv) warrant to purchase 400,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.09 per share held by Hilltop, and (v) warrants to purchase 1,802,954 shares at an exercise price of \$.222 per share held by Hilltop, but excludes (i) warrants to purchase 5,482,667 shares at an exercise price of \$.09 per share held by Mr. Silver; (ii) 200,000 shares issuable upon conversion of 3 shares of preferred stock held by Sherleigh; (iii) warrants to purchase 200,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.125 per share held by Sherleigh and (iv) warrants to purchase 150,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share held by Mr. Silver, which warrants and preferred stock provide that they cannot be exercised or converted to the extent following such exercise or conversion the holder or its affiliates would beneficially own more than 9.99% of the total number of outstanding shares of common stock. The three shares of preferred stock constitute 100% of the class of such voting equity securities.
- (4) Includes (i) 2,210,000 shares of common stock, (ii) stock options to purchase 10,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.70 per share, (iii) stock options to purchase 10,000 shares at an exercise price of \$1.30 per share, (iv) stock options to purchase 10,000 shares at an exercise price of \$1.18 per share, (v) stock options to purchase 40,000 shares at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, (vi) stock options to purchase 10,000 shares at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share, which are currently exercisable, (vii) warrants to purchase 753,717 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, (viii) convertible notes which are convertible into 1,676,112 shares at a conversion price of \$.09 per share, (ix) warrant to purchase 400,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, and (x) warrants to purchase 1,803,500 shares at an exercise price of \$.222 per share, but excludes (i) warrants to purchase 150,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share and (ii) warrants to purchase 200,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.125 per share, which warrants provide that they cannot be exercised to the extent following such exercise the holder or its affiliates would beneficially own more than 9.99% of the total number of outstanding shares of common stock.
- (5) Includes warrants to purchase 450,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share.
- (6) Includes warrants to purchase 300,000 shares at an exercise price of \$.12 per share.
- (7) Includes 1,791,665 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 1,296,251 shares of common stock.

#### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information regarding the status of our existing equity compensation plans at March 31, 2010.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding option, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,237,500	\$ 1.06	400,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,000,000	\$ 1.67	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,187,500</b>		

#### Change of Control

Pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement, dated March 18, 2005, among the Company and the Purchasers identified therein, including Sherleigh, as amended by the First Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 26, 2006, and by the Second Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2006 (as amended, the “Purchase Agreement”), during the period of March 2005 through March 2006, Sherleigh purchased from the Company (a) 1,333,333 shares of common stock, (b) warrants to acquire 5,682,667 shares of common stock, and (c) three shares of the Company’s Preferred Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,090,331.

The Purchase Agreement and the Preferred Stock provide that, upon the occurrence of a “Triggering Event” and during the “Period of Triggering Event”, the holders of the majority of the outstanding shares of Preferred Stock have the right to designate up to a majority of the members of our board of directors. “Triggering Event” is defined as (i) failure of the Company to have gross revenues of at least \$5 million for the six month period ending September 30, 2006 or (ii) material breach by the Company of any of its representations, warranties, agreements or covenants contained in the Purchase Agreement and certain other agreements and instruments entered into in connection therewith. The Company failed to have gross revenues of at least \$5 million during the six months ended September 30, 2006, and thus, a Triggering Event has occurred. “Period of the Triggering Event” is defined as date commencing upon the occurrence of a Triggering Event and ending on the date the purchasers under the Purchase Agreement no longer hold in the aggregate at least 1,500,000 shares of common stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and issuable upon the exercise of any warrants issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement or upon conversion of the Preferred Stock. Accordingly, pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement and the Preferred Stock, Sherleigh, as the holder of all outstanding shares of Preferred Stock, has the right to designate a majority of the members of our board of directors.

As of June 30, 2009, three of our six directors have been designated by Sherleigh. Such designees of Sherleigh are Jack Silver, Adam Hershey and Peter Garson-Rappaport.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

In March 2009, Ronald Wilen, a director, the President and the Chief Executive Officer, Martin Rappaport, a director, and Sherleigh Associates Profit Sharing Plan (“Sherleigh”), a trust of which Jack Silver, a director, is the trustee, provided the Company with short term loans in the amount of \$50,000 each, and each received warrants to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.125 per share which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Subsequently, in May 2009, the loan by Sherleigh was repaid, and Messrs Wilen and Rappaport loaned the Company an additional \$50,000 each, and Hilltop Holding Company, L.P. (“Hilltop”), a limited partnership of which Mr. Silver is the managing partner, loaned the Company \$101,017. In addition, the Company amended Messrs Wilen’s and Rappaport’s March 2009 notes into secured convertible notes (the “Amended March Loan Notes”) and issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “May Loan Notes”) for their May 2009 loans to the Company. The amended notes extended the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes to July 13, 2009. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the May 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes from July 13, 2009 to August 13, 2009 and as consideration for such extension received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 611,825 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July/August 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop each loaned the Company an additional \$50,000. In October 2009, the Company issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “August Loan Notes”) for their July/August 2009 loans to the Company. In connection with the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes to January 29, 2010. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of

five (5) years. In addition, the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes was lowered from \$.12 per share to \$.09 per share.

Thereafter, pursuant to an agreement dated January 29, 2010, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes to January 31, 2011. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,414,705 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.222 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years. As a result of the foregoing, each of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes are convertible into common stock of the Company at a conversion price of \$.09 per share, bear interest at 12% per annum, are due January 31, 2011 and are secured by substantially all the assets of the Company.

The issuance of the August Loan Notes and the warrants in October 2009 and the reduction of the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes triggered the anti-dilution provisions of the Company's outstanding Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. As a result, in October 2009, the Company entered into an Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement with the holders of Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, including Sherleigh, whereby the exercise price of the Series A Warrants, the Series B Warrants and the Series C Warrants were reduced from \$0.12 to \$0.09 per share; however, such holders agreed to waive any increase in the number of shares underlying the Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants and Series C Warrants as a result of such reduction in the exercise price. In addition, Sherleigh, as the sole holder of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, agreed to waive the anti-dilution provisions of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

#### Securities Purchase Agreement

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, in March 2005, Sherleigh purchased from the Company 533,333 shares of common stock and Series A Warrants to acquire 266,667 shares of common stock for a purchase price of \$426,664. Thereafter, during the period of August 2005 through January 2006, Sherleigh purchased, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, 800,000 additional shares of common stock and additional Series A Warrants to acquire 400,000 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$639,667. Then in March 2006, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Sherleigh purchased 3 shares of Preferred Stock, Series B Warrants to acquire 12,000 shares of common stock and Series C Warrants to acquire 5,004,000 shares of common stock for a purchase price of \$24,000.

In addition, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, and the Preferred Stock, upon the occurrence of a "Triggering Event" and during the "Period of Triggering Event", the holders of the majority of the outstanding Preferred Stock have the right to designate up to a majority of the members of the Company's board of directors. "Triggering Event" is defined as (i) failure of the Company to have gross revenues of at least \$5 million for the six month period ending September 30, 2006 or (ii) material breach by the Company of any of its representations, warranties, agreements or covenants contained in the Purchase Agreement and certain other agreements and instruments entered into in connection therewith. The Company failed to have gross revenues of at least \$5 million for the six months ended September 30, 2006, and thus a Triggering Event has occurred. "Period of the Triggering Event" is defined as date commencing upon the occurrence of a Triggering Event and ending on the date the purchasers under the Purchase Agreement no longer hold in the aggregate at least 1,500,000 shares of common stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement or issuable upon the exercise of any warrants issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement or upon conversion of the Preferred Stock.

#### Series A, Series B and Series C Warrants

Each of the Series A, Series B and Series C Warrants provide that they may be exercised at any time prior to the five year anniversary date of the issuance of such warrants. In June 2008, the Company agreed to extend the exercise period of such warrants to June 30, 2013. The warrants also provide for cashless exercise at the option of the holder and anti-dilution protection in the event the Company is deemed to have issued shares of common stock for a price



less than the exercise price. In connection with the issuance of warrants to employees and directors, the issuance of a convertible note and the reduction of exercise price of certain options in February 2009, the Company and Sherleigh entered into an anti-dilution waiver whereby the exercise price of the Series A, Series B and Series C Warrants held by Sherleigh were reduced from \$1.00 to \$.12 per share. In addition, Sherleigh agreed not to increase the number of shares underlying such warrants. In connection with the issuance of the August Loan Notes and the warrants in October 2009, the Company and Sherleigh entered into an anti-dilution waiver whereby the exercise price of the Series A, Series B and Series C Warrants held by Sherleigh were reduced from \$.12 to \$.09 per share, and, Sherleigh agreed not to increase the number of shares underlying such warrants.

### Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

Each share of Preferred Stock earns dividends at the rate of 6% per annum of the Stated Value of \$8,000. Such dividends are payable from legally available funds on June 30th and December 30th of each year, or at the option of the holder, in shares of common stock of the Company at the applicable conversion rate.

Each share of Preferred Stock is convertible into 66,667 shares of common stock at the option of the holder. Such conversion rate is subject to anti-dilution protections in the event the Issuer is deemed to have issued shares of common stock at a price less than the conversion price.

The holders of the Preferred Stock have no voting rights except as required by law and except the right to designate and elect a majority of the Company's board of directors upon the occurrence of a Triggering Event, as described above.

In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's business, the holders of the Preferred Stock have a liquidation preference equal to \$8,000 per share of Preferred Stock plus all accrued but unpaid dividends thereon.

### Registration Rights Agreements

In connection with the Purchase Agreement the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, wherein it agreed to file a registration statement registering the common stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and the common stock underlying the Series A Warrants, the Series B Warrants and the Preferred Stock.

In connection with the Second Amendment to the Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, wherein it agreed to file a registration statement registering the common stock underlying the Series C Warrants.

### Consulting Agreement

In September 2008, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with SIAR Capital, LLC ("SIAR") pursuant to which the Company retained SIAR to provide management consulting services for a period of five (5) years. Mr. Silver is the principal of SIAR. In addition, Adam Hershey, a director, is employed by SIAR and Peter Garson-Rappaport, a director, is a former employ of SIAR.

As compensation of the consulting services, the Company agreed to pay an amount equal to two percent of the annual increase in the market capitalization of the Company during each twelve (12) month period of the consulting term, as determined by the average closing price for the thirty trading days preceding the end of each such twelve month period as compared to the higher of (i) the average closing price for the thirty trading days preceding the end of the prior twelve month period (the "Base Year") and (ii) the highest average closing price for the thirty trading days preceding the end of any prior Base Year, except that the market capitalization at the commencement of the consulting term shall be based on a price of \$.50 per share. The compensation payable is limited to a maximum aggregate increase in market capitalization during the term of \$200 million.

### Director Independence

The board of directors have determined that Messrs Silver, Hershey, Garson-Rappaport, Rappaport and Lack are independent directors as defined in NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2).



#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

##### Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed by Jewett Schwartz Wolfe & Associates for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and for the reviews of the interim financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the fiscal year was approximately \$34,500 and \$35,500 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

##### Audit-Related Fees

Fees of \$22,500 and \$22,500 were billed by Jewett Schwartz Wolfe & Associates, for audit-related services for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009.

##### Tax Fees

No fees were billed by Jewett Schwartz Wolfe & Associates and Imowitz for tax services rendered for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

##### All Other Fees

Jewett Schwartz Wolfe & Associates did not render any other services, other than the services described above under "Audit Fees," "Audit-Related Fees" and "Tax Fees" for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 or for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

##### Audit Committee

Our board of directors has established a policy requiring its pre-approval of all audit services and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditors, along with the associated fees for those services. The policy requires the specific pre-approval of all permitted services. When considering the pre-approval of non-audit services, our board considers whether the provision of such non-audit service is consistent with the auditor's independence and the Securities and Exchange Commission rules regarding auditor independence. Additionally, our board considers whether the independent auditors are best positioned and qualified to provide the most effective and efficient service, based on factors such as the independent auditors' familiarity with our business, personnel, systems or risk profile and whether provision of the service by the independent auditors would enhance our ability to manage or control risk or improve audit quality or would otherwise be beneficial to us.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of United Energy Corp. (1)
3.2	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation. (2)
3.3	Articles of Incorporation: Articles Fourth, Fifth and Seventh. (1)
3.6	By-Laws of United Energy Corp. (1)
3.7	By-Laws: Article I: Sections: Six, Seven, Eight, Nine, Ten; Article II: Section Nine: Article IV: Section Two. (1)
3.8	New Article V of the Bylaws. (13)
3.9	Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of United Energy Corp. (10)
3.10	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. (13)
4.1	Form of Stock Certificate of United Energy Corp. (1)
4.2	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated March 24, 2004. (3)
4.3	Form of March 2005 Series A Purchase Warrant. (7)
4.4	Form of March 2005 Series B Purchase Warrant. (7)
4.5	Warrant Certificate, dated April 27, 2005. (8)
4.6	2002 Common Stock Purchase Warrant. (9)
4.7	Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated February 28, 2005. (6)
4.8	Form of Series C Warrant. (13)
4.9	Form of Warrant between United Energy Corp. and Connie Kristan. (15)
4.10	Form of Warrant between United Energy Corp. and Joseph Grano. (15)
4.11	Form of Director warrants issued February 13, 2009 (19)
4.12	Form of the Secured Convertible Promissory Note. (20)
4.13	Form of the Purchase Warrants. (20)

- 10.1 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended on May 29, 2002. (4)
- 10.2 Securities Purchase Agreement dated March 18, 2005, between United Energy Corp. and the Purchasers identified therein. (7)
- 10.3 Registration Rights Agreement dated March 18, 2005, between United Energy Corp. and the Purchasers identified therein. (7)

- 10.4 Consulting Services Agreement dated April 27, 2005, between United Energy Corp. and Ben Barnes. (8)
- 10.5 2002 Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement. (9)
- 10.6 United Energy Corp. 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated Effective May 29, 2002. (10)
- 10.7 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement. (11)
- 10.8 Form of Stock Option Agreement. (11)
- 10.9 First Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated January 26, 2006, by and among United Energy Corp., Sherleigh Associates, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Joseph J. Grano, Jr. (12)
- 10.10 Second Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2006, by and among United Energy Corp., Sherleigh Associates, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Joseph J. Grano, Jr. (13)
- 10.11 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2006, by and between United Energy Corp. and Sherleigh Associates, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan. (13)
- 10.12 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of March 24, 2006. (14)
- 10.13 Form of Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 24, 2006. (14)
- 10.14 Form of First Amendment to Securities Purchase Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 24, 2006. (14)
- 10.15 Employment Agreement with Ronald Wilen dated April 17, 2007. (16)
- 10.16 Master Purchase Agreement, dated February 23, 2006, between Petrobras America Inc. and the Company. (18)
- 10.17 Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement, dated February 13, 2009 by and between the Company and Joseph Grano. (19)
- 10.18 Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement, dated February 13, 2009 by and between the Company and Sherleigh Associates Inc. Profit Sharing Plan. (19)
- 10.19 Consulting Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2008, by and between the Company and SIAR Capital, LLC. (17)
- 10.20 Agreement, dated October 31, 2009, among the Company, Ronald Wilen, Hilltop Holding Company, L.P. and Martin Rappaport. (20)
- 10.21 Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement, , dated October 31, 2009, among the Company, Sherleigh Associates Inc. Profit Sharing Plan, Joseph Grano and Connie Kristen. (20)
- 10.22 Agreement, dated January 29, 2010, by and between the Company and Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop. (21)

- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Small Business Issuer (15)
- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer's Certificate, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. \*
- 31.2 Interim Chief Financial Officer's Certificate, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. \*
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer's and Interim Chief Financial Officer's Certificate, pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. \*



\* Filed herewith

- (1) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 10 on June 20, 2000.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2001.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Schedule 14A for the year ended March 31, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333 115484).
- (6) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on March 23, 2005.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2005.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form S-3 filed on September 13, 2005.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Definitive Schedule 14A filed on July 18, 2005
- (11) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form S-8 filed on September 29, 2005.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on January 27, 2006.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on March 9, 2006.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form SB-2 filed on April 24, 2006.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 10-KSB filed on May 29, 2006.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 10-QSB filed on August 14, 2007.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2008.
- (18) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 10-KSB filed on July 14, 2008.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with Form 10-Q filed on February 17, 2009.
- (20) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with the Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2009.
- (21) Incorporated by reference from the exhibits filed with Form 10-Q filed on February 16, 2010.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED ENERGY CORP.

Date: July 14, 2010

By: /s/ Ronald Wilen  
 Ronald Wilen  
 Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ James McKeever  
 James McKeever  
 Interim Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Ronald Wilen Ronald Wilen	Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary (principal executive officer)	July 14, 2010
/s/ James McKeever James McKeever	Interim Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	July 14, 2010
/s/ Adam Hershey Adam Hershey	Director	July 14, 2010
/s/ Peter Garson-Rappaport Peter Garson-Rappaport	Director	July 14, 2010
/s/ John Lack John Lack	Director	July 14, 2010
/s/ Martin Rappaport Martin Rappaport	Director	July 14, 2010
/s/ Jack Silver Jack Silver	Director, Chairman of the Board of Directors	July 14, 2010

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-K

ITEM 8

INDEX OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

The following financial statements of United Energy Corp. and its subsidiaries required to be included in Item 7 are listed below:

	Page
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	F-2
Report of prior year independent registered public accounting firm	F-3
Consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2009	F-4-5
For the periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:	
Consolidated statements of operations	F-6
Consolidated statements of stockholders' equity	F-7
Consolidated statements of cash flows	F-8-9
Notes to consolidated financial statements	F-10-21

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of United Energy, Corp. and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provided a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of United Energy, Corp. and Subsidiaries, as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has operating and liquidity concerns, has incurred net losses approximating \$23,550,000 as of March 31, 2010. These factors raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. In this regard, Management is proposing to raise any necessary additional funds through loans, additional sales of its common stock and increased sales volumes and the ability to achieve profitability from the sales of our products lines. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital.

/s/ Jewett, Schwartz, Wolfe & Associates  
Jewett, Schwartz, Wolfe & Associates

Hollywood, Florida  
July 13, 2010

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 311,506	\$ 56,372
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$77,711 and \$7,032, respectively	190,915	140,531
Inventory	80,870	155,427
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	62,827	79,237
Loan receivable, net of reserve of \$25,000	25,000	25,000
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>671,118</b>	<b>456,567</b>
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation</b>	<b>79,050</b>	<b>108,094</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
Goodwill, net of accumulated amortization of \$17,704	15,499	15,499
Patents, net of accumulated amortization of \$280,306 and \$242,000, respectively	317,318	347,661
Loans receivable	35,793	3,843
Deposits	1,385	1,385
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,120,163</b>	<b>\$ 933,049</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 234,948	\$ 189,609
Accrued expenses	362,925	107,622
Convertible term note payable	30,000	35,000
Due to related parties	453,781	150,000
Total current liabilities	1,081,654	482,231
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Series A Convertible Preferred Stock:		
\$8,000 stated value; 100,000 shares		
authorized; 3 shares issued and outstanding		
as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively		
	24,000	24,000
Common Stock: \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000		
shares authorized; 31,504,449 and 31,030,115		
shares issued and outstanding as of		
March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively		
	315,045	310,301
Additional paid-in capital	23,245,536	22,196,257
Accumulated deficit	(23,546,072)	(22,079,740)
Total stockholders' equity	38,509	450,818
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,120,163	\$ 933,049

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
REVENUES, net	\$ 1,771,720	\$ 1,206,321
COST OF GOODS SOLD	668,326	567,758
Gross profit	1,103,394	638,563
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Selling, general and administrative	2,249,047	1,586,355
Research and development	222,476	329,186
Depreciation and amortization	48,499	59,795
Total operating expenses	2,520,022	1,975,336
Loss from operations	(1,416,628)	(1,336,773)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), net:		
Interest income	27	950
Interest expense	(48,291)	(2,414)
Total other income, net	(48,264)	(1,464)
Net loss	(1,464,892)	(1,338,237)
PREFERRED DIVIDENDS	(1,440)	(1,440)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (1,466,332)	\$ (1,339,677)
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE,	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.04)
BASIC AND DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING:	31,335,152	31,030,115

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	Common Stock		Preferred	Additional	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Stock	Paid-In Capital	Deficit	
BALANCE, April 1, 2008	31,030,115	\$ 310,301	\$ 24,000	\$ 21,775,204	\$ (20,740,063)	1,369,442
Compensation expense associated with options	-	-	-	17,702	-	17,702
Compensation expense associated with warrants	-	-	-	159,210	-	159,210
Related party payable write off				244,141	-	244,141
Dividends paid on preferred shares	-	-	-	-	(1,440)	(1,440)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,338,237)	(1,338,237)
BALANCE, March 31, 2009	31,030,115	310,301	24,000	22,196,257	(22,079,740)	450,818
Compensation expense associated with options	-	-	-	1,425	-	1,425
Compensation expense associated with warrants	-	-	-	1,017,598	-	1,017,598
Conversion of convertible note into common stock	298,472	2,985	-	32,015	-	35,000
Exercise of warrants into common stock	175,862	1,759	-	(1,759)	-	-
Dividends paid on preferred shares	-	-	-	-	(1,440)	(1,440)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,464,892)	(1,464,892)
BALANCE, March 31, 2010	31,504,449	\$ 315,045	\$ 24,000	\$ 23,245,536	\$ (23,546,072)	\$ 38,509

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated statements



UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (1,464,892)	\$ (1,338,237)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	68,336	75,384
Allowance for doubtful accounts	70,180	(18,297)
Compensation expense associated with options	1,425	17,702
Compensation expense associated with warrants	1,017,598	159,210
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(120,564)	125,513
Inventory	74,557	(13,760)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	16,410	83,018
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	330,642	50,621
Net cash used in operating activities	(6,308)	(858,846)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds on loans receivable	2,050	2,400
Payment on loans receivable	(34,000)	(1,220)
Payments for acquisition of property and equipment	(986)	(83,453)
Payments for patent	(7,963)	(8,644)
Cash used in investing activities	(40,899)	(90,917)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Payments of related party payable	303,781	150,000
Preferred stock dividend	(1,440)	(1,440)
Net cash provided by financing activities	302,341	148,560
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	255,134	(802,203)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	56,372	858,575
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 311,506	\$ 56,372

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
<b>Cash paid during the period</b>		
Interest	\$ 1,555	\$ 1,691
Income taxes	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,860
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Note payable issued in conversion of accounts payable	\$ 30,000	\$ 35,000
Related party payable write off	\$ -	\$ 244,141
Exercise of warrant's into common stock	\$ 1,759	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

UNITED ENERGY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

United Energy Corp. (“United Energy” or the “Company”) considers its primary business focus to be the development, manufacture and sale of environmentally friendly specialty chemical products with applications in several industries and markets. Our current line of products includes K-Line of Chemical Products for the oil industry and related products.

Through our wholly owned subsidiary, Green Globe Industries, Inc. (“Green Globe”), we provide the U.S. military with a variety of solvents, paint strippers and cleaners under our trade name “Qualchem.” Green Globe is a qualified supplier for the U.S. military and has sales contracts currently in place with no minimum purchase requirements, which are renewable at the option of the U.S. military.

2. GOING CONCERN

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has year end losses from operations in 2010. For the year ended March 31, 2010 the Company incurred net loss approximating \$1,464,892. Further, the Company has inadequate working capital to maintain or develop its operations, and is dependent upon funds from private investors and the support of certain stockholders.

These factors raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. In this regard, the Company may need to raise additional funds through loans, additional sales of its equity securities, increased sales volumes and the ability to achieve profitability from the sales of our product lines. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital.

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of United Energy and its wholly-owned subsidiary Green Globe and currently inactive subsidiaries, Nor-Graphic Industries and United Energy Oil. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires United Energy to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, United Energy evaluates its estimates, including those related to valuation of options and warrants, bad debts, inventories, intangible assets, contingencies and litigation. United Energy bases its estimates on

historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

F-9

---

## Revenue Recognition

Revenue includes product sales. The Company recognizes revenue from product sales in accordance with Topic 605 “Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements”, formerly Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, “Revenue Recognition in Financial Statement” which is at the time customers are invoiced at shipping point, provided title and risk of loss has passed to the customer, evidence of an arrangement exists, fees are contractually fixed or determinable, collection is reasonably assured through historical collection results and regular credit evaluations, and there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

## Inventories

The Company inventory is carried at the lower of cost or net realizable value using the first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) method. The Company evaluates the need to record adjustments for inventory on a regular basis. Our policy is to evaluate all inventories including raw materials, and finished goods. These inventories consisted of chemical products. At March 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of the company’s inventory was \$80,870 and \$155,427, respectively.

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company monitors its accounts, loans and note receivable balances on a monthly basis to ensure they are collectible. On a quarterly basis, the Company uses its historical experience to determine its accounts receivable reserve. The Company’s allowance for doubtful accounts is an estimate based on specifically identified accounts as well as general reserves. The Company evaluates specific accounts where it has information that the customer may have an inability to meet its financial obligations. In these cases, management uses its judgment, based upon the best available facts and circumstances, and records a specific reserve for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific reserves are reevaluated and adjusted as additional information is received that impacts the amount reserved. The Company also establishes a general reserve based upon a range of percentages applied to aging categories. These percentages are based on historical collection and write-off experience. If circumstances change, the Company’s estimate of the recoverability of amounts due the Company could be reduced or increased by a material amount. Such a change in estimated recoverability would be accounted for in the period in which the facts that give rise to the change become known.

## Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation has been calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from 3 to 15 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lives of the respective leases, which are shorter than the useful life. The cost of maintenance and repairs is expensed as incurred. Depreciation expense for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$30,030 and \$26,714, respectively.

Property and equipment consists of the following at March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Furniture and fixtures	\$ 83,355	\$ 83,355
Machinery and equipment	419,612	418,626
Vehicles	42,001	42,001
Leasehold improvements	26,203	26,203

	571,171	570,185
Less- Accumulated depreciation	(492,121)	(462,091)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 79,050	\$ 108,094

F-10

---

## Goodwill

The Company capitalized goodwill related to the acquisition of Green Globe in September of 1998. Goodwill represents cost in excess of fair value on the net assets acquired. Goodwill was amortized over a 15 year period using a straight line amortization method until the adoption of (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (“ASC”) Topic 350 “Goodwill and other Intangible Assets” formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 142 “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets,” on April 1, 2002. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized but are reviewed annually (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) for impairment. Separable intangible assets that are not deemed to have indefinite lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives (but with no maximum life).

As required Topic 350 “Goodwill and other Intangible Assets” formerly SFAS No. 142, the Company completed its transitional impairment testing of intangible assets. Under Topic 350 “Goodwill and other Intangible Assets” formerly SFAS No. 142, a goodwill impairment exists if the net book value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value. The impairment testing is performed in two steps: (i) the Company determines impairment by comparing fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying value, and (ii) if there is an impairment the Company measures the amount of impairment loss by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill.

As of March 31, 2010 the Company completed its annual impairment testing of goodwill. The Company estimated the fair value of its goodwill by using discounted cash flow analysis. As a result of the impairment tests, the Company did not record a goodwill impairment charge related to Green Globe, during the year ended March 31, 2010.

## Research & Development

Our products are the result of research and development expenditures. The Company’s policy is to expense any research and development costs as they are incurred. The Company incurred research and development costs of \$222,476 and \$329,186 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Patents

The Company capitalizes legal costs incurred to obtain patents. Amortization begins when the patent is approved using the straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of 15 years.

## Accounting for Long-Lived Assets

The Company’s long-lived assets include property and equipment and patents. In accordance with ASC Topic 360, “Long Lived Assets” formerly, SFAS No. 144, long-lived assets other than goodwill are reviewed on a periodic basis for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

## Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and the income tax bases of assets and liabilities and for net operating loss carry forwards existing at the balance sheet date using enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is

established when it is considered more likely than not that such assets will not be realizable. The effect on deferred tax assets or liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period in which the tax change occurs.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

In accordance with ASC Topic 718 “Compensation” formerly, SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), “Share-based payments”, the Company records compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to employees based on estimated fair value.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements that the Company has adopted or that will be required to adopt in the future are summarized below.

F-11

---



In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-12. "Income Taxes" (Topic 740). ASU No.2010-12 amends FASB Accounting Standard Codification subtopic 740-10 Income Taxes to include paragraph 740-10-S99-4. On March 30, 2010 The President signed the Health Care & Education Affordable Care Act reconciliation bill that amends its previous Act signed on March 23, 2010. FASB Codification topic 740, Income Taxes, requires the measurement of current and deferred tax liabilities and assets to be based on provisions of enacted tax law. The effects of future changes in tax laws are not anticipated." Therefore, the different enactment dates of the Act and reconciliation measure may affect registrants with a period-end that falls between March 23, 2010 (enactment date of the Act), and March 30, 2010 (enactment date of the reconciliation measure). However, the announcement states that the SEC would not object if such registrants were to account for the enactment of both the Act and the reconciliation measure in a period ending on or after March 23, 2010, but notes that the SEC staff "does not believe that it would be appropriate for registrants to analogize to this view in any other fact patterns." The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-13 "Stock Compensation" (Topic 718). ASU No.2010-13 provides amendments to Topic 718 to clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments in this Update should be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The cumulative-effect adjustment should be calculated for all awards outstanding as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the amendments are initially applied, as if the amendments had been applied consistently since the inception of the award. The cumulative-effect adjustment should be presented separately. Earlier application is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-17. "Revenue Recognition-Milestone Method" (Topic 605) ASU No.2010-17 provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research or development transactions. An entity often recognizes these milestone payments as revenue in their entirety upon achieving a specific result from the research or development efforts. A vendor can recognize consideration that is contingent upon achievement of a milestone in its entirety as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone meets all criteria to be considered substantive. Determining whether a milestone is substantive is a matter of judgment made at the inception of the arrangement. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. Entities can apply this guidance prospectively to milestones achieved after adoption. However, retrospective application to all prior periods is also permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-18. "Receivables" (Topic 310). ASU No.2010-18 provides guidance on accounting for acquired loans that have evidence of credit deterioration upon acquisition. Paragraph 310-30-15-6 allows acquired assets with common risk characteristics to be accounted for in the aggregated as a pool. Upon establishment of the pool, the pool becomes the unit of accounting. When loans are accounted for as a pool, the purchase discount is not allocated to individual loans; thus all of the loans in the pool accrete at a single pool rate (based on cash flow projections for the pool). Under subtopic 310-30, the impairment analysis also is performed on the pool as a whole as opposed to each individual loan. Paragraphs 310-40-15-4 through 15-12 establish the criteria for evaluating whether a loan modification should be classified as a troubled debt restructuring. Specifically paragraph 310-40-15-5 states that "a restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring for purposes of this subtopic if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider." The ASU is effective for

modification of loans accounted for within pools under subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010. The amendments are to be applied prospectively. Early application is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In May 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2010-19 "Foreign Currency". ("ASU No. 2010-19"). ASU 2010-19, codifies the SEC staff announcement made at the March 18, 2010, EITF meeting. The ASU "provides the SEC staff's views on certain foreign currency issues related to investments in Venezuela." These issues relate to Venezuela's highly inflationary status. The ASU became effective on March 18, 2010. We are currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this standard on our financial position, and results of operations..

F-12

---

### Earnings Per Share

ASC Topic 260 "Earnings Per Shares" formerly, SFAS No. 128 establishes standards for computing and presenting earnings per share ("EPS"). The standard requires the presentation of basic EPS and diluted EPS. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing income/loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing income/loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to reflect potentially dilutive securities. Diluted loss per share for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 does not include 24,203,363 and 15,036,833 stock options, warrants and convertible preferred stock, respectively, since the inclusion would have been antidilutive.

### Concentrations of Risk

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company maintains cash balances at financial institutions insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Balances exceeded these insured amounts during the year.

#### Accounts Receivable

The Company had two customers that accounted for 83% of the total accounts receivable at March 31, 2010 and three customers that accounted for 84% of the total accounts receivable at March 31, 2009. One company accounted for 22% and 42%, the second accounted for 0% and 32%, the third accounted for 61% and 0% and the last accounted for 0% and 10% at March 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively. Credit losses, if any, have been provided for in the consolidated financial statements and are based on management's expectations.

#### Significant Customers

The Company's revenues from major customers, as a percentage of revenues, for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

	2010	2009
Customer A	21%	0%
Customer B	21%	29%
Customer C	15%	0%
Customer D	0%	11%
Customer E	0%	10%
Customer F	11%	0%

#### Vendors

The Company purchased supplies from major vendors for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 as follows:

	2010	2009
Vendor A	16%	46%
Vendor B	13%	37%
Vendor C	38%	0%

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, accounts receivable and payable approximate fair value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments.

F-13

---

Fair value of certain of the Company’s financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, inventory, account payable, accrued expenses, notes payables, and other accrued liabilities approximate cost because of their short maturities. The Company measures and reports fair value in accordance with ASC 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure” defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value investments.

Fair value, as defined in ASC 820, is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value of an asset should reflect its highest and best use by market participants, principal (or most advantageous) markets, and an in-use or an in-exchange valuation premise. The fair value of a liability should reflect the risk of nonperformance, which includes, among other things, the Company’s credit risk.

Valuation techniques are generally classified into three categories: the market approach; the income approach; and the cost approach. The selection and application of one or more of the techniques may require significant judgment and are primarily dependent upon the characteristics of the asset or liability, and the quality and availability of inputs. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under ASC 820 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. ASC 820 also provides fair value hierarchy for inputs and resulting measurement as follows:

Level 1

Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2

Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3

Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair values.

Fair value measurements are required to be disclosed by the Level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements in their entirety fall. Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (in Level 3 measurements) are subject to expanded disclosure requirements including a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances, separately presenting changes during the period attributable to the following: (i) total gains or losses for the period (realized and unrealized), segregating those gains or losses included in earnings, and a description of where those gains or losses included in earning are reported in the statement of income.

4.INVENTORY

Inventory consists of the following as of March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Blended chemicals	\$ 29,734	\$ 92,944

Raw materials		51,136		62,483
Total inventory		\$ 80,870	\$	155,427

5. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In March 2009, Ronald Wilen, a director, the President and the Chief Executive Officer, Martin Rappaport, a director, and Sherleigh Associates Profit Sharing Plan (“Sherleigh”), a trust of which Jack Silver, a director, is the trustee, provided the Company with short term loans in the amount of \$50,000 each, and each received warrants to purchase up to 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.125 per share which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

F-14

---

Subsequently, in May 2009, the loan by Sherleigh was repaid, and Messrs Wilen and Rappaport loaned the Company an additional \$50,000 each, and Hilltop Holding Company, L.P. (“Hilltop”), a limited partnership of which Mr. Silver is the managing partner, loaned the Company \$101,017. In addition, the Company amended Messrs Wilen’s and Rappaport’s March 2009 notes into secured convertible notes (the “Amended March Loan Notes”) and issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “May Loan Notes”) for their May 2009 loans to the Company. The amended notes extended the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes to July 13, 2009. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the May 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes from July 13, 2009 to August 13, 2009 and as consideration for such extension received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 611,825 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.12 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years.

Thereafter, in July/August 2009, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop each loaned the Company an additional \$50,000. In October 2009, the Company issued to Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop secured convertible notes (the “August Loan Notes”) for their July/August 2009 loans to the Company. In connection with the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes to January 29, 2010. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date and for making the July/August 2009 loans, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop each received warrants to purchase up to 400,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years. In addition, the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes was lowered from \$.12 per share to \$.09 per share.

Thereafter, pursuant to an agreement dated January 29, 2010, Messrs Wilen and Rappaport and Hilltop agreed to extend the maturity date of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes to January 31, 2011. In consideration for agreeing to extend the maturity date, Messrs Wilen, Rappaport and Hilltop received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,414,705 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.222 per share, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five (5) years. As a result of the foregoing, each of the Amended March Loan Notes, the May Loan Notes and the August Loan Notes are convertible into common stock of the Company at a conversion price of \$.09 per share, bear interest at 12% per annum, are due January 31, 2011 and are secured by substantially all the assets of the Company.

The issuance of the August Loan Notes and the warrants in October 2009 and the reduction of the conversion price of the Amended March Loan Notes and the May Loan Notes triggered the anti-dilution provisions of the Company’s outstanding Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. As a result, in October 2009, the Company entered into an Anti-Dilution Waiver Agreement with the holders of Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants, Series C Warrants and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, including Sherleigh, whereby the exercise price of the Series A Warrants, the Series B Warrants and the Series C Warrants were reduced from \$0.12 to \$0.09 per share; however, such holders agreed to waive any increase in the number of shares underlying the Series A Warrants, Series B Warrants and Series C Warrants as a result of such reduction in the exercise price. In addition, Sherleigh, as the sole holder of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, agreed to waive the anti-dilution provisions of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

6.

#### CONVERTIBLE DEBT

On January 28, 2009, the Company issued a convertible term note in the amount of \$35,000, which accrued interest at 7% per year. Principal and interest is payable on the maturity date of June 30, 2009. The holder of this term note has

the option to convert all or a portion of the note (including principal and interest) into shares of common stock at any time at a conversion price of \$0.12 per share. The conversion price is subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and similar events. The holder of this term note agreed to convert the note and on June 2, 2009, the term note was converted. In consideration for the conversion, the Company issued to the holder of the note, warrants to acquire 140,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.12, which warrants are exercisable for a period of five years.

On November 6, 2009, the Company issued a convertible term note in the amount of \$30,000, which accrues interest at 7% per year. Principal and interest is payable on demand. The holder of this term note has the option to convert all or a portion of the note (including principal and interest) into shares of common stock at any time at a conversion price of \$0.21 per share. The conversion price is subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and similar events.

F-15

---



## 7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Litigation

In July 2002, an action was commenced against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas of South Carolina, Pickens County, brought by Quantum International Technology, LLC and Richard J. Barrett. The plaintiffs allege that they were retained as the sales representatives of the Company and in that capacity made sales of the Company's products to the United States government and to commercial entities. The plaintiffs further allege that the Company failed to pay to the plaintiffs agreed commissions at the rate of 20% of gross sales of the Company's products made by the plaintiffs. The complaint seeks an accounting, compensatory damages in the amount of all unpaid commissions plus interest thereon, punitive damages in an amount treble the compensatory damages, plus legal fees and costs. The plaintiffs maintain that they are entitled to receive an aggregate of approximately \$350,000 in compensatory and punitive damages, interest and costs. In June 2003, the action was transferred from the court in Pickens County to a Master in Equity sitting in Greenville, South Carolina and was removed from the trial docket. The action, if tried, will be tried without a jury. No trial date has yet been scheduled. The Company believes to have meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the action and intends to vigorously defend the case. The outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

## Lease Commitments

The Company leases office facilities, equipment and autos under operating leases expiring on various dates through 2012. Certain leases contain renewal options. The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments under operating leases having remaining terms in excess of one year as of March 31, 2010.

Year		
2011	\$	80,823
2012		82,910
2013		79,176
2014		20,784
Total minimum lease payments	\$	263,693

Operating lease expense was \$136,368 and \$135,899 for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## 8. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue up to 100,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series, with such voting powers, designations, preferences, limitations, restrictions and relative rights as may be established by resolution of the Board of Directors.

The Company has issued three shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock"), with a par value of \$0.01 per share and a stated value of \$8,000 per share. The Preferred Stock is non redeemable and is convertible at the election of the holder into shares of Common Stock, based on the stated value per share, at an initial conversion price of \$1.00 per share. The Preferred Stock pays a dividend at a rate of 6% per annum. Upon any liquidation of the Company, the holder of the Preferred Stock will be entitled to be paid, prior to the Common Stock or any other security that by their terms are junior to the Preferred Stock. The Preferred Stock has no voting rights, however upon the occurrence of a Triggering Event (as defined in the agreement), the holder of the Preferred Stock has the right, at their option, to designate and elect a majority of the Company's Board of Directors. The Triggering Event occurred

during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 and the holder of the Preferred Stock exercised the option during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008.

On February 13, 2009, the company modified the conversion price per share from \$1.00 to \$0.12, upon approval of the Board of Directors subject to the terms of the anti-dilution adjustment pursuant to the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

During January 2010, 300,000 warrants were exercised in a cashless transaction and converted into 172,862 shares of common stock.

F-16

---

## Warrants

The Company has issued warrants in conjunction with various private placements of its stock, convertible notes and as compensation to directors and employees. All warrants are currently exercisable.

The following table summarizes warrant information for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding April 1, 2008	7,991,000	\$ 1.410
Issued	3,833,333	\$ 0.126
Expired	(275,000)	\$ 1.320
Outstanding March 31, 2009	11,549,333	\$ 0.800
Issued	9,466,530	\$ 0.173
Expired	(100,000)	\$ 0.150
Exercised	(300,000)	\$ 0.120
Outstanding March 31, 2010	20,615,863	\$ 0.261

As of March 31, 2010, outstanding common stock warrants are as follows:

Number of Warrants	Exercise Price	Expiration Date
100,000	\$ 1.250	March 24, 2011
100,000	\$ 1.500	March 24, 2011
100,000	\$ 1.750	March 24, 2011
100,000	\$ 0.300	August 24, 2011
100,000	\$ 1.250	February 28, 2012
100,000	\$ 1.500	February 28, 2012
100,000	\$ 1.750	February 28, 2012
6,016,000	\$ 0.120	June 30, 2013
2,033,333	\$ 0.120	June 30, 2013
140,000	\$ 0.120	January 1, 2014
200,000	\$ 0.120	February 13, 2014
600,000	\$ 1.125	March 13, 2014
1,200,000	\$ 0.090	May 13, 2014
611,825	\$ 0.090	July 15, 2014
1,200,000	\$ 0.090	October 13, 2014
5,414,705	\$ 0.222	January 29, 2015
500,000	\$ 1.340	April 27, 2015
500,000	\$ 2.000	April 27, 2015
750,000	\$ 0.120	February 13, 2019
750,000	\$ 0.120	June 22, 2019
20,615,863	\$ 0.261	



## 9. INCOME TAXES

The provision (benefit) for income taxes from continued operations for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following:

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
<b>Current:</b>		
Federal	\$ -	\$ -
State	-	-
	-	-
<b>Deferred:</b>		
Federal	\$ 124,872	\$ 407,939
State	32,687	106,784
	157,559	514,723
Valuation allowance	(157,559)	(514,723)
<b>Provision benefit for income taxes, net</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

The difference between income tax expense computed by applying the federal statutory corporate tax rate and actual income tax expense is as follows:

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
Statutory federal income tax rate	34.0%	34.0%
State income taxes and other	8.9%	8.9%
Valuation allowance	(42.9)%	(42.9)%
<b>Effective tax rate</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences in the recognition of income and expenses for the financial reporting purposes and for tax purposes. The tax effect of these temporary differences representing deferred tax asset and liabilities result principally from the following:

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
Net operating loss carryforward	157,559	514,723
Valuation allowance	(157,559)	(514,723)
<b>Deferred income tax asset</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

The Company has a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$18,310,000 available to offset future taxable income through 2028.

## 10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PLAN

### Stock Option Plans

In August 2001, the Company's stockholders approved, the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2001 Plan"), which provides for the grant of stock options to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock to any employee, non-employee director, or consultant at the Board's discretion. Under the 2001 Plan, these options may be exercised for a period up to ten years from the date of grant. Options issued to employees are exercisable upon vesting, which can range between the dates of the grant to up to 5 years.

An amendment and restatement of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan increasing the number of shares for a total of 4,000,000 was approved by the Board of Directors on May 29, 2002 and was approved by the shareholders at the annual meeting.

Under the 2001 Plan, options are granted to non-employee directors upon election at the annual meeting of stockholders at a purchase price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant. In addition, the non-employee director stock options shall be exercisable in full twelve months after the date of grant unless determined otherwise by the compensation committee.

There were stock options to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock available for future grant as of March 31, 2010 under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.

### Fair Value of Stock Options

For disclosure purposes under ASC 718 "Compensation" formerly, SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 123(R), the fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2010	2009
Expected life (in years)	10	10
Risk-free interest rate	4.54%	4.54%
Volatility	179.8	142.4
Dividend yield	0%	0%

Utilizing these assumptions, the weighted average fair value of options granted with an exercise price equal to their fair market value at the date of the grant is \$1.05 and \$1.33 for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Summary Stock Option Activity

The following table summarizes stock option information with respect to all stock options for the years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding March 31, 2008	3,787,500	\$ 1.15	6.36	
Granted	—			
Cancelled	(500,000)	\$ 1.25		
Options outstanding March 31, 2009	3,287,500	\$ 1.24	6.16	
Granted	—			
Options outstanding March 31, 2010	3,287,500	\$ 1.05	4.67	
Vested and expected to vest – end of year	3,287,500	\$ 1.05	4.67	\$ —
Exercisable – end of year	3,187,500	\$ 1.08	4.60	\$ —

Pursuant to the terms of an employment agreement dated April 17, 2007, with Ronald Wilen, Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary, for each of the next five (5) years of the term of the agreement (commencing with April 17, 2008), Mr. Wilen will receive an option to purchase fifty thousand (50,000) shares of common stock of the Company. The exercise price with respect to any option granted pursuant to the employment agreement is to be the fair market value of the common stock underlying such option on the date such option was granted. The initial grant of 50,000 stock options is to be granted out of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan at the one-year anniversary. In addition, the stock option to purchase 200,000 shares have been reserved for Mr. Wilen out of the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.

Options outstanding at March 31, 2010 have an exercise price ranging between \$0.12 to \$2.05.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total intrinsic value (the difference between United Energy's closing stock price on March 31, 2010 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had vested option holders exercised their options on March 31, 2010. This amount changes based upon changes in the fair market value of United Energy's stock. As of March 31, 2010, \$2,845 of the total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options is expected to be recognized over the next three years.

11.

## SEGMENT REPORTING

ASC 280 "Segment Reporting" formerly, SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," establishes standards for the way that public companies report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires reporting of selected information about operating segments in interim financial statements issued to the public. It also establishes standards for disclosures regarding products and services, geographic areas and major customers. ASC 280 "Segment Reporting" formerly, SFAS No. 131 defines operating segments as components of a company about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

## Geographic Information:

	2010	2009
U.S.	\$ 1,391,560	\$ 1,084,409
Nigeria	264,000	—
Panama	116,160	—
Trinidad	—	121,912
Totals	\$ 1,771,720	\$ 1,206,321

## 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

The Company did not have any material subsequent events to disclose from the balance sheet date to the filing date. The Company evaluated subsequent events through July 13, 2010.

F-21