

TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC
Form 10-K
March 13, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012
Commission File No. 000-50047

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State of incorporation or organization)

52-1948274
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

24 North Main Street, Berlin, Maryland 21811
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (410) 641-1700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes
 No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock, all of which has voting rights, held by non-affiliates of the registrant, as of June 30, 2012 was \$58,659,192. This calculation is based upon the last price known to the registrant at which its Common Stock was sold as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. As of June 30, 2012, the last known sale price was \$23.60 per share. There is not an active trading market for the Common Stock and it is not possible to identify precisely the market value of the Common Stock.

On February 28, 2013, there were 2,969,776 shares of the registrant's common stock were issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in Part I, Item 1 and Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14.

The Company's Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2012, pages 1 through 28, are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in Part II, Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

This Report contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements appear in a number of places in this Report and include all statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of the Company, its directors, or its officers with respect to, among other things: (i) the Company's financing plans; (ii) trends affecting the Company's financial condition or results of operations; (iii) the Company's growth strategy and operating strategy; and (iv) the declaration and payment of dividends. Investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors discussed herein and those factors discussed in detail in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. (Company) was incorporated as a Maryland corporation on October 31, 1995. The Company owns all of the stock of Calvin B. Taylor Banking Company of Berlin, Maryland (Bank). The Bank, which commenced operation in 1890, is a commercial bank incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on December 17, 1907, with a main office located in Berlin, Maryland.

Location and Service Area

The Company, through the Bank, is engaged in a general commercial and retail banking business serving individuals, small- to medium-sized businesses, professional organizations, and governmental units. The Bank operates nine branches located throughout Worcester County, Maryland and one branch located in Sussex County, Delaware. The Bank draws most of its customer deposits and conducts most of its lending transactions within the communities in which these branches are located.

Much of the Bank's service area is located in or in close proximity to the coastal resort areas of Maryland, Delaware and Virginia, which have grown as resort and retirement communities. The principal components of the local economy are tourism, agriculture, and healthcare. The largest employers within the Bank's service area include local and state governments, healthcare facilities, and the hospitality industry of the coastal resort areas.

Banking Products and Services

The Bank offers a full range of deposit services including checking, NOW, Money Market, and savings accounts, and time deposits including certificates of deposit. The transaction, savings, and certificate of deposit accounts are tailored to the Bank's principal market areas at rates competitive with those offered in the area by other community banks. The Bank also offers Individual Retirement Accounts (IRA), Health Savings Accounts, and Education Savings Accounts. All deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to the maximum amount allowed by law. The Bank solicits these accounts from individuals, businesses, associations and organizations, and governmental authorities. The Bank offers individual customers up to \$50 million in FDIC insured deposits through the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Services® network (CDARS).

The Bank also offers a full range of short- to medium-term commercial and personal loans. Commercial loans include both secured and unsecured loans for working capital (including inventory and receivables), business expansion (including acquisition of real estate and improvements), and purchase of equipment and machinery. Consumer loans include secured and unsecured loans for financing automobiles, home improvements, education, and personal investments. The Bank originates commercial and residential mortgage loans and real estate construction and acquisition loans. These lending activities are subject to a variety of lending limits imposed by state and federal law. The Bank lends to directors and officers of the Company and the Bank under terms comparable to those offered to other borrowers entering into similar loan transactions. The Board of Directors approves all loans to officers and directors and reviews these loans every six months.

Other bank services include cash management services, 24-hour ATMs, debit cards, safe deposit boxes, direct deposit of payroll and social security funds, and automatic drafts for various accounts. The Bank offers bank-by-phone and Internet banking services, including electronic bill-payment, as well as electronic statement delivery to both commercial and retail customers. The Bank's commercial customers can subscribe to a remote capture service that enables them to electronically capture check images and make on-line deposits. The Bank also offers non-deposit products including retail repurchase agreements.

Competition

The Company and the Bank face strong competition in all areas of operations. The competition comes from entities operating in Worcester County, Maryland and Sussex County, Delaware and neighboring counties and includes branches of some of the largest banks in Maryland, Delaware, and Virginia. Its most direct competition for deposits comes from other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and credit unions operating in its service areas. The Bank also competes for deposits with money market mutual funds and corporate and government securities. The Bank competes for loans with the same banking entities, as well as mortgage banking companies and other institutional lenders. The competition for loans varies from time to time depending on factors including, the general availability of lendable funds and credit, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels, conditions in the mortgage market, and other factors which are not readily predictable. Some competitors may provide products and/or services not offered by the Bank as a result of different risk management practices, additional resources, and less regulatory oversight.

The Bank employs traditional marketing media including local newspapers and radio advertisements, to attract new customers. Bank officers, directors, and employees are active in numerous community organizations and participate in community-based events. These activities and referrals by satisfied customers are utilized to create new business.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank employed 92 full-time equivalent employees. The Company's operations are conducted through the Bank. Consequently, the Company does not have separate employees. None of the employees of the Bank are represented by any collective bargaining unit. The Bank considers its relations with its employees to be good.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

The Company and the Bank are subject to state and federal banking laws and regulations which impose specific requirements or restrictions on, and provide for general regulatory oversight with respect to, virtually all aspects of operations. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors, the federal deposit insurance fund, and not for the protection of stockholders and creditors. The following is a summary of certain significant laws and regulations affecting the Company and the Bank. To the extent that the following summary describes statutory or regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statutory and regulatory provisions. Proposed legislative changes and the policies of various regulatory authorities may affect the operations of the Company and the Bank and those effects may be material. The Company is unable to predict the nature or the extent of the effect on its business and earnings that fiscal or monetary policies, economic controls, or new federal or state legislation may have in the future.

Recent Developments

Monetary Policy

The Federal Reserve Board of Governors and the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) are responsible for setting the nation's monetary policy to promote the objectives of maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates. The regulatory policies and actions of the Federal Reserve can have a significant impact on the operating results of banks. In the most stable economic times, the Company cannot reliably predict the effect of changing government policies on earnings, or loan and deposit levels.

The Federal Reserve Open Market Committee (FOMC) reduced the federal funds rate from 4.25% at the beginning of 2008 to a range of 0.00% to 0.25% at the end of 2008. This rate has remained through 2012 and the FOMC has recently indicated that the fed funds rate will remain at this low level through 2015. Other short-term investments have experienced similar declines. The impact on the Company is reduced interest revenues and yields on federal funds sold, debt securities, and certificates of deposit in other banks. Increased liquidity during the same period and the short term nature of the Company's investment portfolio resulted in significant downward repricing of debt securities and certificates of deposit in other banks. In addition, the historically low interest rate environment has

decreased the loan portfolio yield as new loans are originated at competitive market rates and some existing borrowers request market interest rate adjustments.

The Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, financial regulatory reform legislation entitled The “Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act” (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) was signed into law. It implements changes in financial institution regulations that include:

- Creation of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with responsibility for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws.
- Changes to the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital, elimination of the cap on the size of the Deposit Insurance Fund (“DIF”) and an increase to the lowest level permissible for the DIF.
- Provision of unlimited federal deposit insurance for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts in insured depository institutions until December 31, 2012.
- Repeal of the federal regulations prohibiting the payment of interest on demand deposit accounts of businesses depositors.
- Amendment of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (“EFTA”) to authorize the Federal Reserve to issue rules governing interchange fees charged for debit card transactions for card issuers having assets over \$10 billion, and giving Federal Reserve enforcement power over a new requirement that these fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of the transaction to the issuer.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC approved a final rule on Deposit Insurance Assessments, Dividends, Assessment Base and Large Bank Pricing which implemented changes to the assessment system as promulgated by the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule was effective April 1, 2011. Refer to "Deposit Insurance" below for further discussion.

Title 14 of the Dodd-Frank Act includes requirements for lenders to prove that the borrower meets an "ability to repay" test for mortgage loans which is intended to improve underwriting to ensure that borrowers only get loans that have a proven ability to be repaid. The "ability to repay" test can be challenged in court for the entire life of the loan, raising the risk of litigation tremendously. On May 11, 2011, the Federal Reserve Board published for notice and comment a proposed rule amending Regulation Z (Truth in Lending) to implement amendments to the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) made by the Dodd-Frank Act. The proposed rule addressed new "ability to repay" requirements that generally will apply to consumer credit transactions secured by a dwelling and the definition of a "qualified mortgage." Among other consumer financial protection laws, the Dodd-Frank Act transferred the Board's rulemaking authority for TILA to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau as of July 21, 2011. The final rule is required to be issued by January 2013 in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act. Depending on the requirements specified in the final rule the Company's loan origination could be significantly impacted including the ability to offer mortgages with demand features which help the Company manage interest rate risk.

Effective August 21, 2011, the Federal Reserve Board repealed Regulation Q which prohibited the payment of interest on demand deposits accounts of business depositors. Due to the historically low interest rate environment there was no adverse impact to the Company and the Bank thus an interest bearing business deposit product has not been offered.

On October 1, 2011, the Federal Reserve Board debit card interchange rates rule became effective for institutions with assets in excess of \$10 billion. Although the Bank does not have assets in excess of \$10 billion, it is anticipated that over time smaller community banks may be forced to match the fee reductions of larger institutions with which they compete. Management has not identified adverse impacts to debit card interchange revenue as a result of this rule. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act to the Company and the Bank cannot be determined at this time due to the complexity of the implementation of the legislation, ongoing political debate of its affects, and possible changes in community banking practices and markets. Due to the ongoing implementation of various rules from the Dodd-Frank Act over the coming years Management anticipates an increase in overall compliance costs.

The Company

Bank Holding Company Act of 1956

The Company is a bank holding company within the meaning of the Federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHCA). Under the BHCA, the Company is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve and is required to file periodic reports of its operations and such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Company's and the Bank's activities are limited to banking, managing or controlling banks, furnishing services to or performing services for its bank subsidiary, or engaging in any other activity that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking or managing and controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

Investments, Control, and Activities. With certain limited exceptions, the BHCA requires a bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before (i) acquiring substantially all the assets of any bank, (ii) acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank if after such acquisition it would own or control more than 5% of the voting shares of such bank (unless it already owns or controls the majority of such shares), or (iii) merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

In addition, and subject to certain exceptions, the BHCA and the Change in Bank Control Act, together with regulations thereunder, require Federal Reserve approval (or, depending on the circumstances, no notice of disapproval) prior to any person or company acquiring "control" of a bank holding company, such as the Company. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank holding company. Because the Company's Common Stock is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, under Federal Reserve regulations, control will be rebuttably presumed to exist if a person acquires at least 10% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of the Company. The regulations provide a procedure for challenge of the rebuttable control presumption.

Under the BHCA, the Company is generally prohibited from engaging in, or acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in non-banking activities, unless the Federal Reserve, by order or regulation, has found those activities to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

Source of Strength; Cross-Guarantee. Under Federal Reserve policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank and to commit resources to support the Bank in circumstances in which the Company might not otherwise do so. The Federal Reserve may require a bank holding company to terminate an activity or relinquish control of a nonbank subsidiary if the Federal Reserve determines that such activity or control poses serious risk to the financial soundness or stability of a subsidiary bank. Further, federal bank regulatory authorities have discretion to require a bank holding company to divest itself of any bank or nonbank subsidiary if the agency determines that divestiture may aid the depository institution's financial condition. The Bank may be required to indemnify, or cross-guarantee, the FDIC against losses it incurs with respect to any other bank controlled by the Company, which in effect makes the Company's equity investments in healthy bank subsidiaries available to the FDIC to assist any failing or failed bank subsidiary of the Company.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (also known as the Financial Services Modernization Act) was signed into law in 1999. Among other things, the Act repeals the restriction contained in the Glass-Steagall Act, on banks affiliating with securities firms. The Act permits bank holding companies to engage in a statutorily provided list of financial activities, including insurance and securities underwriting and agency activities, merchant banking, and insurance company portfolio investment activities. The Act also authorizes activities that are “complementary” to financial activities. The Act is intended to grant certain powers to community banks that larger institutions have accumulated on an ad hoc basis. The Act also required banks to provide notice to customers describing the bank's policies regarding the sharing of nonpublic personal information with non-affiliated third parties. The Company has not engaged in any of the financial activities permitted by the Act, and it is not possible to determine the full effect that the Act has had on the competitive landscape of the Company and the Bank. One certain consequence of the Act is the increased cost of compliance with required disclosures.

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

The Company's common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Securities Act). The Company is, therefore, subject to periodic and ad hoc information reporting, proxy solicitation rules, restrictions on insider trading, and other requirements of the Act. The Dodd-Frank Act amended the Securities Act requiring that shareholders be provided the opportunity to submit advisory votes on executive compensation. The Company incorporated this requirement in its annual proxy statement.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) of 2002 imposed additional disclosure requirements in the Company's reports filed with the SEC related to establishing and maintaining adequate internal control structure and procedures and assessing their effectiveness at the end of each fiscal year. SOX and SEC's implementing regulations defined new standards of independence for insiders, provided guidance for certain Board committees including the composition of those committees, and established corporate governance requirements.

The Bank

General. The Bank operates as a state nonmember banking association incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland. It is subject to examination by the FDIC and the state department of banking regulation for each state in which it has a branch. The States and the FDIC regulate or monitor all areas of the Bank's operations, including security devices and procedures, adequacy of capitalization and loss reserves, loans, investments, borrowings, deposits, mergers, issuances of securities, payment of dividends, interest rates payable on deposits, interest rates or fees on loans, establishment or closure of branches, corporate reorganizations, maintenance of books and records, and adequacy of staff training to carry on safe lending and deposit gathering practices. The FDIC requires the Bank to maintain certain capital ratios and imposes limitations on the Bank's aggregate investment in real estate, bank premises, and furniture and fixtures. The Bank is required by the FDIC to prepare and submit quarterly reports on the

Bank's financial condition.

Under provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), all insured institutions must undergo periodic on-site examination by the appropriate banking agency. The cost of examinations of insured depository institutions and any affiliates may be assessed by the agency against each institution or affiliate, as it deems necessary or appropriate. FDICIA also directs the FDIC to develop with other appropriate agencies a method for insured depository institutions to provide supplemental disclosure of the estimated fair market value of assets and liabilities, to the extent feasible and practicable, in any balance sheet, financial statement, report of condition, or other report of any insured depository institution. FDICIA also requires the federal banking regulatory agencies to prescribe, by regulation, standards for all insured depository institutions and depository institution holding companies relating, among other things, to: (i) internal controls, information systems, and audit systems; (ii) loan documentation; (iii) credit underwriting; (iv) interest rate risk exposure; and (v) asset quality.

Transactions With Affiliates and Insiders

The Bank is subject to Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, which places limits on the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, or investment in, or certain other transactions with affiliated companies, and on the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. The aggregate of all covered transactions is limited in amount, as to any one affiliate, to 10% of the Bank's capital and surplus and, as to all affiliates combined, to 20% of the Bank's capital and surplus. In addition, each covered transaction must meet specific collateral requirements. The Bank is also subject to Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act which, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in certain transactions with certain affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to such institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies.

The Bank is subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, certain principal stockholders, and their related interests. Such extensions of credit (i) must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties, and (ii) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act requires that the Bank shall be evaluated by its primary federal regulator with respect to its record in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operations. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. The Bank received a satisfactory performance rating from the FDIC based on its most recent evaluation.

The Bank Secrecy Act and USA Patriot Act

The Bank Secrecy Act of 1970 (BSA) requires financial institutions to assist federal agencies to detect, deter, and prevent money laundering by filing and/or maintaining reports of large cash transactions or other suspicious transactions and establishing anti-money laundering programs and compliance procedures. BSA is also referred to as anti-money laundering law as it is designed to detect money laundering, tax evasion, or other criminal activities.

In response to the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, Congress passed the Patriot Act. The Patriot Act requires that Banks prepare and retain additional records designed to assist the government in an effort to combat terrorism. The Act includes anti-money laundering and financial transparency provisions, and guidelines for verifying customer identification during account opening. The Act promotes cooperation between law enforcement, financial institutions, and financial regulators in identifying persons involved in illegal acts such as money laundering and terrorism.

Other Regulations

Interest and certain other charges collected or contracted for by the Bank are subject to state and federal laws concerning interest rates. The Bank's loan operations are also subject to certain federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

- Truth-In-Lending Act governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers
- Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act requiring lenders to provide disclosures to consumers at various times during an applicable transaction and which outlaws kickbacks that increase the cost of settlement services
- Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 requiring financial institutions in metropolitan statistical areas to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves
- Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed, or other prohibited bases in extending credit
- Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1978 governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies
- Fair Debt Collection Act governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies, and the rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such

federal laws.

- Deposit operations of the Bank are subject to the Truth in Savings Act which governs disclosures of rate and fee information to consumer deposit customers
 - Right to Financial Privacy Act which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of customers' financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records
- Electronic Fund Transfers Act as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation E which governs automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

Deposit Insurance

The FDIC establishes rates for the payment of deposit insurance premiums by federally insured banks and thrifts. The Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) is maintained for commercial banks and thrifts, with insurance premiums from the industry used to offset losses from insurance payouts when banks and thrifts fail. Since 1993, insured depository institutions like the Bank have paid for deposit insurance under a risk-based premium system. The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 creates a revised deposit insurance assessment rate structure, effective January 1, 2007. Under this system, assessment rates are based on Risk Categories as determined by a combination of CAMELS (Capital adequacy, Asset quality, Management, Earnings, Liquidity, Sensitivity to market risk) component ratings and financial ratios. In addition to the amount paid for deposit insurance, banks are assessed an additional amount to service the interest on the bond obligations of the Financial Corporation (FICO). Any increase in deposit insurance premiums for the Bank will increase the Bank's operating expenses, and there can be no assurance that such costs can be passed on to the Bank's customers.

During 2009, as a consequence of recent bank and thrift failures, the DIF fell below target levels. FDIC replenished the fund in mid-2009 by levying a special assessment on insured depository institutions. This special assessment was expensed in the second quarter of 2009. Late in 2009, additional DIF replenishment was achieved by FDIC collecting prepaid insurance premiums from insured depository institutions in an amount calculated to cover premiums for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012. The prepaid amount was paid in December 2009 and is being expensed in the periods to which it applies.

The Dodd-Frank Act changes the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated assets less tangible capital, elimination of the cap on the size of the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") and an increase to the lowest level permissible for the DIF. The final rule implementing the change in assessment base was effective April 1, 2011 and has resulted in a decrease to the Bank's federal deposit insurance assessment going forward. Due to the differences between the assessment base at the time of the prepayment and as determined under the final rule the Bank has an excess prepaid amount at the end of 2012. The FDIC has announced that any prepaid amount remaining after payment of the March 2013 assessment invoice will be refunded on the June 2013 assessment invoice. The Bank will receive a refund of approximately \$400,000 as a result of this procedure.

Dividends

The principal source of the Company's cash revenues comes from dividends received from the Bank. The amount of dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company depends on the Bank's earnings and capital position and is limited by federal and state laws, regulations, and policies. The Federal Reserve has stated that bank holding companies should refrain from or limit dividend increases or reduce or eliminate dividends under circumstances in which the bank holding company fails to meet minimum capital requirements or in which earnings are impaired. The Company's ability to pay any cash dividends to its stockholders in the future will depend solely on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company. In order to pay dividends to the Company, the Bank must comply with the requirements of all applicable laws and regulations. Under Maryland law, the Bank must pay a cash dividend only from the following, after providing for due or accrued expenses, losses, interest, and taxes: (i) its undivided profits, or (ii) with the prior approval of the Department of Financial Regulation, its surplus in excess of 100% of its required capital stock. As of December 31, 2012, the Bank has \$46,851,416 of undivided profits available for distribution. Under FDICIA, the Bank may not pay a dividend if, after paying the dividend, the Bank would be undercapitalized. See "Capital Regulations" below. See Item 5 for a discussion of dividends paid by the Company in the past three years.

In addition to the availability of funds from the Bank, the future dividend policy of the Company is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including future earnings, financial condition, growth opportunities, and general business conditions. The amount of dividends that might be declared in the future presently cannot be estimated and it cannot be known whether such dividends would continue for future periods.

Capital Regulations

The federal bank regulatory authorities have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for banks and bank holding companies that are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies, account for off-balance sheet exposure, and minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. The resulting capital ratios represent qualifying capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. The guidelines are minimums, and the regulators have noted that banks and bank holding companies contemplating significant expansion programs should not allow expansion to diminish their capital ratios and should maintain ratios well in excess of the minimums.

Current guidelines require bank holding companies and federally regulated banks to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets equal to 8%, of which at least 4% must be Tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital includes common stockholders' equity before the unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, qualifying perpetual preferred stock, and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, but excludes goodwill and most other intangibles, and excludes the allowance for loan losses. Tier 2 capital includes the excess of any preferred stock not included in Tier 1 capital, mandatory convertible securities, hybrid capital instruments, subordinated debt and intermediate term-preferred stock, and general reserves for loan losses up to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets. Total capital is the sum of Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital. The federal bank regulatory authorities have also implemented a leverage ratio, which is Tier 1 capital as a percentage of average total assets less intangibles, to be used as a supplement to the risk-based guidelines. The principal objective of the leverage ratio is to place a constraint on the maximum degree to which a bank holding company may leverage its equity capital base. The minimum required leverage ratio for top-rated institutions is 4%, but this minimum ratio is increased by 100 to 200 basis points for other than the highest-rated institutions.

FDICIA established a capital-based regulatory scheme designed to promote early intervention for troubled banks and requires the FDIC to choose the least expensive resolution of bank failures. The capital-based regulatory framework contains five categories for compliance with regulatory capital requirements, including "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." To qualify as a "well capitalized" institution, a bank must have a leverage ratio of no less than 5%, a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of no less than 6%, and a total risk-based capital ratio of no less than 10%, and the bank must not be under any order or directive from the appropriate regulatory agency to meet and maintain a specific capital level. As of December 31, 2012, the Company and the Bank were qualified as "well capitalized." For further discussions, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Capital."

On June 7, 2012, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (collectively the "banking agencies") issued joint notices of proposed rulemaking that would revise and replace the banking agencies' current regulatory capital framework. The proposed rules would implement the Basel III capital standards as established by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. As proposed, the new regulatory capital framework would apply to the Bank and would establish higher minimum regulatory capital ratios, add a new Common Tier 1 regulatory capital ratio, establish capital conservation buffers, and significantly revise the rules for calculating risk weighted assets. As currently written, the proposed rules would not apply to the Company as its total assets are currently less than \$500 million.

During 2012, management analyzed the proposed rules and estimated the potential impact on the Bank's regulatory capital ratios, capital planning, and operations. A detailed comment letter identifying the potential impact on the Bank including opposition to the proposed rules was written by management and submitted to the Bank's regulators. A copy of the letter, in its entirety, can be found on the website of the FDIC. In summary, three areas of the proposed rules will have a significant impact on the Bank's regulatory capital ratios including, inclusion of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in regulatory capital, increased risk weighting for residential mortgages and increased risk weighting for unused commitments. Inclusion of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities would create volatility in the Bank's regulatory capital ratios as interest rates increase or decrease. However, the changes in capital would only be temporary as the Bank typically holds its securities until maturity or until a call option is exercised. Changes in the risk-weighting of residential mortgages and unused commitments, as written in

the proposed rules, are estimated to decrease the Bank's regulatory capital ratios by at least 550 bps. This reduction is significant but the Bank's capital ratios would remain substantially in excess of regulatory minimum requirements. Due to the aforementioned impacts of the proposed rules, the Bank may be required to designate fewer investment securities as available-for-sale, make significant changes to its residential mortgage and line of credit product offerings, and consider selling residential mortgages from its portfolio. Costs of compliance related to the proposed rules are expected to have a negative impact on the Bank's earnings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company and the Bank are subject to various types of risk during the normal conduct of business. Investors should consider these risks and their possible consequences when making a decision to invest in the stock of the Company. Any of these risks could adversely affect the Company's results of operation and financial condition causing the market price of the Company's stock to decline.

The following are descriptions of the significant categories of risk most relevant to the Company. The risks described below are not the only risks that apply to the Company. Management may not be aware of some risks and may judge others to be unlikely to have a material effect on the Company or the Bank. In the opinion of management, there has been no material increase in any level of risk incurred by the Company or the Bank during the period covered by this report.

The Company may be adversely affected by conditions in the economy and financial markets.

The Company's asset quality and earnings are affected by general economic conditions. The Company relies on loan demand to generate earning assets that are the source of most of its revenues but also pose the greatest risk of loss during challenging economic times. The banking industry continues to endure adverse consequences of the regional and national economic recession which began in 2008. Borrowers have experienced both loss of income and declines in the value of their homes, businesses and investments, in addition to increased costs of living. As borrowers experience hardships that affect their ability to repay loans, the Bank experiences atypically high delinquencies, restructuring requests, loan charge offs, collateral repossessions, and mortgage foreclosures relative to its history. The impact on future results of operation of the Company and the Bank due to changes in macro and micro economic conditions cannot be estimated.

The Company is exposed to lending risks including those related to asset quality and regulatory compliance.

The primary source of revenue for the Company and the Bank is lending. During the ongoing economic downturn which began in 2008, the Company has suffered historically high levels of delinquencies, troubled debt restructurings, nonaccruals, and loan losses. Management expects continued high levels of delinquencies and loan losses to continue in subsequent periods until economic conditions improve. For further discussion, see the section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation titled Composition of the Loan Portfolio. The banking regulations governing disclosures on loan originations, collections, and foreclosures are complex and change frequently. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in penalties or other enforcement actions against the Company.

The Company's allowance for loan losses may be underestimated.

The Company's earnings may suffer from the failure of borrowers to fulfill their contractual commitment to the Bank. This risk encompasses the potential loss on a particular loan as well as the potential for loss from a group of related loans. The Bank provides for loan losses through an allowance for loan loss, which represents management's estimate of inherent and specifically identified losses in the Bank's loan portfolio. If the allowance for loan losses is not adequate, loan losses will reduce earnings and capital. For further discussion, see the section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation titled Loan Quality and the Allowance for Loan Losses.

The Company may have reduced earnings due to interest-rate risk caused by market conditions.

The primary source of income for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between revenue on interest-earning assets, such as investment securities and loans, and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing sources of funds, such as deposits and borrowings. Monetary policy and actions of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System exert strong influence over the rates the Company can earn on loans or investment securities. Competitive pressures also factor into the rates the company pays on deposits or other borrowings. If the rates on interest-bearing deposits and other borrowings increase faster than the rates on loans and investments, the result could be a decline in earnings. The same result could occur if rates on loans and investments fall faster than

rates on deposits and other borrowings. For further discussion, see the Net Interest Income section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

The Company's internal controls or transactional procedures may fail or be circumvented.

Management maintains a system of policies, procedures, checks and balances known as "internal controls." Internal controls are designed to ensure the likelihood of meeting corporate goals of accuracy, efficiency and legal compliance. A failure of internal controls could have an adverse effect on the Company's reputation, results of operations, and financial condition.

The Company's procedures for completion of various transactions and operating processes include system automation, employee training and integrity, and procedural instructions. Problems with service or product delivery may adversely impact the Company's reputation, results of operations, and financial condition.

The Company and the Bank could fail to comply with complex laws, regulations, and supervisory guidance. Compliance risk is the risk to earnings or capital from noncompliance with federal and state laws, rules, and regulations. Compliance risk is often the greatest risk a bank faces regardless of its size or products. Compliance is subject to examination by federal and state bank regulators. A significant failure of compliance could result in monetary fines or penalties, restriction of banking or corporate activities, and damage to the Company's reputation.

The Company's reputation could be damaged.

As a community bank, community and customer relations are critical to the Bank's success. Anything that would impair that reputation poses a significant risk and could have an adverse effect on earnings as well as the ability to retain or attract customers.

The Company's failure to make sound business decisions or to plan for future events could impair earnings or capital. The Company's Strategic Plan is general in nature, emphasizing customer service and profitability as its mission and profitability as its primary objective. The Plan mentions basic loan underwriting criteria as a foundation for asset quality. It includes sections on management succession and community involvement. If the plan is misdirected or improperly implemented, the Company's earnings or capital may be adversely affected.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The Company has ten branch locations, all of which are owned by the Company or the Bank. The Bank leases the land on which the East Berlin branch is located. The locations are described as follows:

Office	Location	Square Footage
Main Office, Berlin	24 North Main Street, Berlin, Maryland 21811	24,229
East Berlin Office	10524 Old Ocean City Boulevard, Berlin, Maryland 21811	1,500
20th Street Office	100 20th Street, Ocean City, Maryland 21842	3,100
Ocean Pines Office	11103 Cathell Road, Berlin, Maryland 21811	2,420
Mid-Ocean City Office	9105 Coastal Highway, Ocean City, Maryland 21842	1,984
North Ocean City Office	14200 Coastal Highway, Ocean City, Maryland 21842	2,545
West Ocean City Office	9923 Golf Course Road, Ocean City, Maryland 21842	2,496
Pocomoke Office	2140 Old Snow Hill Road, Pocomoke, Maryland 21851	2,624
Snow Hill Office	108 West Market Street, Snow Hill, Maryland 21863	3,773
Ocean View, Delaware Office	50 Atlantic Avenue, Ocean View, Delaware 19970	4,900

The Berlin office is the centralized location for the Company and the Bank. Executive offices, loan processing, proof, bookkeeping, and the computer department are housed there. Most branches have a manager who also serves as a loan officer. All offices participate in normal day-to-day banking operations. The Company operates automated teller machines in all branches and at one non-branch location in a local hospital.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

- (a) There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or the Bank or any of their properties are subject.
- (b) No proceedings were terminated during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company's Articles of Incorporation, as amended, authorize it to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of common stock. As of February 28, 2012 there were approximately 997 stockholders of record and 2,969,776 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding. All outstanding shares of common stock of the Company are entitled to share equally in dividends from funds legally available, when, as, and if declared by the Board of Directors. The Company paid or declared dividends of \$0.93 per share in 2012, \$0.92 per share in 2011, and \$0.91 per share in 2010.

The following table presents high and low bid information obtained from the Over the Counter Bulletin Board and from other trades known to management of the Company. Because transactions in the Company's common stock are infrequent and are often negotiated privately between the persons involved in those transactions, actual prices may be higher or lower than those included in this table. Additionally, the number of shares traded at high or low prices may vary significantly. There is no established public trading market in the stock, and there is no likelihood that a trading market will develop in the near future.

Sales price per share	2012		2011	
	High	Low	High	Low
First quarter	\$ 24.50	\$ 22.35	\$ 34.00	\$ 26.50
Second quarter	\$ 24.85	\$ 22.52	\$ 28.50	\$ 26.00
Third quarter	\$ 26.00	\$ 23.51	\$ 32.00	\$ 21.00
Fourth quarter	\$ 26.75	\$ 24.85	\$ 25.50	\$ 22.10

The Company publicly announced on August 14, 2003, that it would repurchase up to 10% of its outstanding equity stock at that time. As of January 1, 2005, and again on May 18, 2007, this plan was renewed by public announcement, making up to 10% of the Company's outstanding equity stock available for repurchase at the time of each renewal. On January 13, 2010 and again on February 9, 2011, as part of its capital planning, the Board of Directors voted to suspend the stock buy-back program. On September 14, 2011, the Board reinstated this program and the Company publicly announced that it would repurchase up to 10% of its outstanding equity at that time (300,050 shares).

There is no set expiration date for this program. No other stock repurchase plan or program existed or exists simultaneously, nor has any other plan or program expired during the period covered by this table. Common shares repurchased under this plan are retired. From its inception through December 31, 2012, there were 261,446 shares retired under this program with 17,769 of those shares being retired during 2012. As of December 31, 2012, there are 278,096 shares available to repurchase under the reinstated program announced on September 14, 2011.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents selected financial data for the five years ended December 31, 2012.

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands, except for per share data)				
At Year End					
Total assets	\$442,893	\$416,228	\$406,148	\$393,528	\$372,603
Total deposits	\$360,555	\$336,057	\$326,778	\$312,648	\$292,459
Total loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses	\$227,347	\$227,534	\$237,001	\$240,062	\$241,431
Total stockholders' equity	\$76,880	\$75,723	\$74,195	\$72,278	\$72,283
Common shares issued and outstanding	2,978,554	2,996,323	3,000,508	3,000,508	3,048,397
For the Year					
Average total assets	\$432,062	\$413,811	\$401,060	\$386,038	\$366,900
Average stockholders' equity	\$77,301	\$75,753	\$73,733	\$71,898	\$73,726
Net interest income	\$14,238	\$14,927	\$15,377	\$15,360	\$15,978
Net income	\$4,357	\$4,619	\$5,197	\$5,110	\$6,059
Cash dividend	\$2,773	\$2,759	\$2,730	\$2,704	\$6,566
Per share data					
Book value	\$25.81	\$25.27	\$24.73	\$24.09	\$23.71
Net income	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.73	\$1.69	\$1.97
Cash dividends declared	\$0.93	\$0.92	\$0.91	\$0.90	\$2.15
Other ratios					
Return on average assets	1.01	% 1.12	% 1.30	% 1.32	% 1.65
Return on average equity	5.64	% 6.10	% 7.05	% 7.11	% 8.22
Dividend payout ratio	63.70	% 59.74	% 52.60	% 53.25	% 109.14
Average equity to average assets ratio	17.89	% 18.31	% 18.38	% 18.62	% 20.09

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

The following discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and related notes and other statistical information included in this report.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's financial condition and results of operations are sensitive to accounting measurements and estimates of inherently uncertain matters. When applying accounting policies in areas that are subjective in nature, management uses its best judgment to arrive at the carrying value of certain assets. One of the most critical accounting policies applied is related to the valuation of the loan portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses (ALLL) represents management's best estimate of inherent probable losses in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. It is one of the most difficult and subjective judgments. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is evaluated no less than quarterly. The determination of the balance of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgments about the credit quality of the loan portfolio as of the review date. It should be sufficient to absorb losses in the loan portfolio as determined by management's consideration of factors including an analysis of historical losses, specific reserves for impaired loans, delinquency trends, portfolio composition (including segment growth or shifting of balances between segments, products and processes, and concentrations of credit, both regional and by relationship), portfolio management (including lending staff experience and changes), critical documentation and policy exceptions, risk rating analysis, interest rates and the competitive environment, economic conditions in the Bank's service area, and results of independent reviews, including audits and regulatory examinations.

Overview

Consolidated income of the Company is derived primarily from operations of the Bank. Net income for 2012 was \$4,357,100 compared to \$4,618,511 for 2011, and \$5,196,779 for 2010. The Company had a return on average equity of 5.64% and return on average assets of 1.01% for 2012, compared to returns on average equity of 6.10% and 7.05%, and returns on average assets of 1.12% and 1.30%, for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Results of Operations

The Company's net income of \$4,357,100, or \$1.46 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2012, was a decrease of \$261,411 (5.66%) from net income of \$4,618,511, or \$1.54 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2011. Contributing to this was a \$689,413 decrease in net interest income, a \$28,691 decrease in noninterest revenue, and an increase of \$233,707 in noninterest expenses. Offsetting these amounts was a \$521,600 decrease in the provision for loan losses. These factors are discussed further in the following pages.

The Company's net income of \$4,618,511, or \$1.54 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2011, was a decrease of \$578,268 (11.13%) from net income of \$5,196,779, or \$1.73 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2010. Contributing to this was a \$449,214 decrease in net interest income, a \$392,580 decrease in noninterest revenue, an increase of \$115,300 in provision for loan losses, and an increase of \$7,784 in noninterest expenses. These factors are discussed further in the following pages.

The Company's net income of \$912,135 or \$0.31 per share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2012, decreased by \$218,494 (19.32%) from the net income of \$1,130,629 or \$0.38 per share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2011. The primary reason for the decrease was higher losses on the revaluation of Other Real Estate Owned (OREO), which was \$164,360 higher in the 4th quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

The Company's net income of \$1,130,629 or \$0.38 per share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2011, increased by \$171,975 (17.94%) from the net income of \$958,654 or \$0.32 per share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2010. The primary reason for the increase was a lower provision for loan losses, which was \$235,600 lower in the 4th quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Net Interest Income

The primary source of income for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between revenue on interest-earning assets, such as investment securities and loans, and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing sources of funds, such as deposits and borrowings. The level of net interest income is determined primarily by the average balances of interest-earning assets and the Company's funding sources, such as deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and the rate spreads between interest-earning assets and funding sources. Changes in net interest income from period to period result from increases or decreases in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and increases or decreases in the average rates earned and paid on such assets and liabilities. The volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities is affected by the ability to manage the earning-asset portfolio, which includes loans, and the availability of particular sources of funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits.

The key performance measure for net interest income is the "net margin on interest-earning assets," or net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets. The Company's net interest margin for 2012 on a non-GAAP tax-equivalent basis was 3.68%, compared to 3.99% and 4.26% for 2011 and 2010, respectively. Because most of the Bank's loans are written with a demand feature and the Bank originates very few variable rate loans, the income of the Bank should not change dramatically as interest rates change. However, due to the short term nature of the Company's investment portfolio and its size as a percentage of interest-earning assets, the net interest income of the Bank can be impacted as interest rates change and investments reprice. Management of the Company monitors net margin on interest-earning assets and executes strategies to maximize it. The net interest margin may decline, however, if competition increases, loan demand decreases, the volume of nonaccruing loans increases, or the cost of funds rises faster than the return on loans and securities. Although such expectations are based on management's judgment, actual results will depend on a number of factors that cannot be predicted with certainty, and fulfillment of management's expectations cannot be assured. The Bank's historically high levels of nonaccruing loans are one of the adverse consequences of a prolonged economic downturn during which many borrowers have experienced financial distress.

The following tables present information including average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the amount of related interest income and interest expense, and the resulting yields by category of interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability. In these tables, dividends and interest on tax-exempt securities and loans are reported on a fully taxable equivalent basis, which is a non-GAAP measure as defined in SEC Regulation G and Item 10 of SEC Regulation S-K. Management believes that these measures provide better yield comparability as a tool for managing net interest income.

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Average Balances, Interest, and Yields

(Dollars stated in thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012			For the Year Ended December 31, 2011			For the Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Yield
Assets									
Federal funds sold	\$34,112	\$44	0.13%	\$37,803	\$47	0.12%	\$35,853	\$66	0.19%
Interest-bearing deposits	12,264	56	0.57%	10,259	58	0.57%	9,582	58	0.61%
Investment securities:									
U. S. Treasury	102,856	693	0.67%	78,798	895	1.14%	63,485	1,134	1.79%
U. S. Government Agency	7,736	36	0.47%	8,964	77	0.86%	8,497	100	1.18%
State and municipal	5,870	69	1.18%	6,164	90	1.46%	4,425	81	1.83%
Other	1,834	40	2.29%	1,901	44	2.29%	1,934	66	3.41%
Total investment securities	118,296	838	0.71%	95,827	1,106	1.15%	78,341	1,381	1.76%
Loans:									
Commercial	12,979	819	6.31%	14,745	927	6.29%	18,241	1,208	6.63%
Mortgage	215,136	13,454	6.25%	221,354	14,326	6.47%	223,980	14,719	6.57%
Consumer	1,808	139	7.69%	1,658	130	7.84%	1,968	161	8.18%
Total loans	229,923	14,412	6.27%	237,757	15,383	6.47%	244,189	16,088	6.58%
Allowance for loan losses	727			1,282			739		
Total loans, net of allowance	229,196	14,412	6.29%	236,475	15,383	6.51%	243,450	16,088	6.61%
Total interest-earning assets	393,868	15,350	3.90%	380,364	16,594	4.36%	367,226	17,593	4.79%
Noninterest-bearing cash	20,833			18,308			18,157		
Premises and equipment	6,064			6,243			6,421		
Other assets	11,297			8,896			9,256		
Total assets	\$432,062			\$413,811			\$401,060		
Interest-bearing deposits									
NOW	\$62,119	114	0.18%	\$61,381	172	0.28%	\$57,230	223	0.39%
Money market	53,529	114	0.21%	46,128	204	0.44%	38,434	191	0.50%
Savings	53,801	87	0.16%	49,655	143	0.29%	48,178	219	0.45%
Other time	87,688	541	0.93%	93,217	862	0.93%	99,531	1,277	1.28%
Total interest-bearing deposits	257,137	856	0.33%	250,381	1,381	0.55%	243,373	1,910	0.78%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase									
Borrowed funds	-	-		-	-		34	2	6.00%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	262,842	869	0.33%	255,101	1,403	0.55%	249,663	1,943	0.78%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	91,804	-		82,858	-		77,085	-	
Total interest-bearing liabilities and noninterest-bearing deposits	354,646	869	0.25%	337,959	1,403	0.42%	326,748	1,943	0.59%
Other liabilities	115			99			579		
Stockholders' equity	77,301			75,753			73,733		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$432,062			\$413,811			\$401,060		
Net interest spread			3.57%			3.81%			4.05%
Net interest income		\$14,481			\$15,191			\$15,650	
Net margin on interest-earning assets			3.68%			3.99%			4.24%
Tax equivalent adjustment included in:									
Investment income		\$77			\$98			\$116	
Loan income		\$166			\$165			\$158	

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Average Balances, Interest, and Yields

(Dollars stated in thousands)

For the Year Ended
December 31, 2009

For the Year Ended
December 31, 2008

	Average Balance	Interest	Yield		Average Balance	Interest	Yield	
Assets								
Federal funds sold	\$33,355	\$67	0.20	%	\$36,328	\$732	2.02	%
Interest-bearing deposits	12,227	158	1.30	%	9,659	325	3.37	%
Investment securities:								
U. S. Treasury	56,949	1,498	2.63	%	44,359	1,902	4.29	%
U. S. Government Agency	9,926	199	2.00	%	10,330	468	4.53	%
State and municipal	2,541	72	2.83	%	1,359	65	4.82	%
Other	1,934	92	4.77	%	1,934	109	5.64	%
Total investment securities	71,350	1,861	4.39	%	57,982	2,544	4.39	%
Loans:								
Commercial	21,104	1,338	6.34	%	24,272	1,628	6.71	%
Mortgage	218,800	14,617	6.68	%	212,104	14,917	7.03	%
Consumer	2,191	181	8.24	%	2,497	206	8.23	%
Total loans	242,095	16,136	6.67	%	238,873	16,751	7.01	%
Allowance for loan losses	725				237			
Total loans, net of allowance	241,370	16,136	6.69	%	238,636	16,751	7.02	%
Total interest-earning assets	358,302	18,222	5.09	%	342,605	20,352	5.94	%
Noninterest-bearing cash	13,634				10,906			
Premises and equipment	6,546				6,391			
Other assets	7,556				6,998			
Total assets	\$386,038				\$366,900			
Interest-bearing deposits								
NOW	\$50,932	138	0.27	%	\$48,624	201	0.41	%
Money market	34,946	189	0.54	%	32,070	305	0.95	%
Savings	44,648	221	0.50	%	41,667	309	0.74	%
Other time	99,614	1,958	1.97	%	90,596	3,149	3.48	%
Total interest-bearing deposits	230,140	2,506	1.09	%	212,957	3,964	1.86	%
Securities sold under								
agreements to repurchase	6,527	32	0.50	%	4,792	53	1.11	%
Borrowed funds	60	4	6.21	%	85	5	6.14	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	236,727	2,542	1.07	%	217,834	4,022	1.85	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	76,666	-			74,262	-		
	313,393	2,542	1.38	%	292,096	4,022	1.38	%
Other liabilities	747				1,078			
Stockholders' equity	71,898				73,726			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$386,038				\$366,900			
Net interest spread			4.02	%			4.09	%
Net interest income		\$15,680				\$16,330		
Net margin on interest-earning assets			4.38	%			4.77	%
Tax equivalent adjustment included in:								

Investment income	\$147	\$183
Loan income	\$173	\$169

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income
(Dollars stated in thousands)

	Year ended December 31, 2012 compared with 2011 variance due to			Year ended December 31, 2011 compared with 2010 variance due to		
	Total	Rate	Volume	Total	Rate	Volume
Interest-earning assets						
Federal funds sold	\$(3)	\$2	\$(5)	\$(19)	\$(23)	\$4
Interest-bearing deposits	(2)	(13)	11	-	(4)	4
Investment securities:						
U. S. Treasury	(202)	(475)	273	(239)	(513)	274
U. S. Government Agency	(41)	(30)	(11)	(23)	(29)	6
State and municipals	(21)	(17)	(4)	9	(23)	32
Other	(4)	(2)	(2)	(22)	(21)	(1)
Loans:						
Commercial	(108)	3	(111)	(281)	(49)	(232)
Mortgage	(872)	(470)	(402)	(393)	(220)	(173)
Consumer	9	(3)	12	(31)	(6)	(25)
Total interest revenue	(1,244)	(1,005)	(239)	(999)	(888)	(111)
Interest-bearing liabilities						
NOW	(58)	(60)	2	(51)	(67)	16
Money market	(90)	(123)	33	13	(25)	38
Savings	(56)	(68)	12	(76)	(83)	7
Other time deposits	(321)	(270)	(51)	(415)	(334)	(81)
Other borrowed funds	(9)	(14)	5	(11)	(1)	(10)
Total interest expense	(534)	(535)	1	(540)	(510)	(30)
Net interest income	\$(710)	\$(470)	\$(240)	\$(459)	\$(378)	\$(81)

In the preceding table, the variance that is both rate and volume related is reported with the rate variance.

Composition of the Loan Portfolio

Because loans are expected to produce higher yields than investment securities and other interest-earning assets (assuming that loan losses are not excessive), the absolute volume of loans and the volume as a percentage of total earning assets is an important determinant of net interest margin. Average loans, net of the allowance for loan losses, were \$229,196,000, \$236,475,000, and \$243,450,000, during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, which constituted 58.19%, 62.17%, and 66.29% of average interest-earning assets for the periods. The Company's ratio of net loans to deposits was 63.05%, 67.71%, 72.53%, at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Average net loans to average deposits were 65.68%, 70.96%, and 75.97%, for 2012, 2011, and 2010. The decrease in the average loan to deposit ratio from 2010 to 2011 is attributable to a 2.87% decrease in the average loan portfolio while average deposits grew by 3.99%. This trend continued in 2012 as average loans decreased 3.08% and average deposits grew 4.71%.

The average balance table above reveals a 3-year pattern of growth in average loan balances from 2008 through 2010, followed by decreases in 2011 and 2012 which resulted in average loans in 2012 falling below 2008 levels. Despite the challenges posed by general economic conditions since 2008, the Bank has continued to fund loans in a manner consistent with the Company's philosophy of safe and sound lending practices. The Bank does not engage in risky lending practices such as subprime mortgages, high loan-to-value lending, or teaser rate lending. Through 2011 and

the majority of 2012 the Bank experienced lackluster demand for credit of an acceptable quality. In the 4th quarter of 2012, loan demand increased such that total loans outstanding as of December 31, 2012 of \$227,346,558 are only \$187,851 lower than the December 31, 2011 balance of \$227,534,139.

The Bank extends loans primarily to customers located in and near Worcester County, Maryland and Sussex County, Delaware. Although the portfolio is diversified, its performance may be influenced by regional economic conditions. The Company has a substantial portion of its loans in real estate and performance will be influenced by the real estate market in the region. Additionally, the coastal geography is subject to catastrophic storms. The local agricultural and fishing community is subject to adverse weather conditions throughout their productive seasons. The resort areas in Worcester and Sussex counties were mostly spared from significant damage from Hurricane Sandy in October 2012 and timing of the storm did not impact the traditional summer tourism season. There are no adverse weather conditions as of December 31, 2012 or through the subsequent event period.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank had approximately \$48.3 million in secured and unsecured loans and unfunded lines to borrowers in the hospitality industry, including hotels, motels, and other rentals. Ocean City MD, the state's only ocean resort, is located in the Bank's market area. During summer months, Ocean City becomes Maryland's second largest city through a seasonal population growth averaging 250,000. If tourism in the resort area falls off due to the current recession, this industry may encounter cash flow challenges. Management closely monitors this situation and works with customers to assure timely repayment of seasonal working capital lines. As of December 31, 2012, only one of the 47 accounts in this category was past due by 30 days or more. This loan was 46 days past due and had an outstanding balance of \$519,766 comprising 1.1% of the total outstanding balances in this category. Since mid-2007, general economic conditions have caused a widespread decline in real estate values and an increase in time to market many properties within the Bank's service area. Conservative underwriting practices have somewhat insulated the Bank from severe adverse consequences such as significant loan losses and high volumes of foreclosures. Management monitors fluctuations in the value of real estate held as collateral and, if deemed necessary, obtains additional collateral to limit the Bank's loss exposure; still the adverse effects on many of the Bank's customers have become apparent in increased loan delinquencies and requests for troubled debt restructurings. The Bank experienced higher than usual loan losses and nonaccrual classifications of loans from 2009 through 2011 with the overall levels stabilizing during 2011. During 2012, the Bank experienced fewer loan losses and decreases in the levels of nonperforming and impaired loans. While the improvements in 2012 are notable the Bank is still experiencing historically high levels of loan losses, delinquencies, and nonperforming loans. The local real estate market and economy are showing signs of improvement but uncertainty still remains, which leads Management to believe that soft economic conditions will continue to challenge borrowers and result in continued historically high loan losses and levels of delinquent, impaired, and nonperforming loans until economic conditions improve in subsequent periods.

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company's loan portfolio for each of the five most recent year ends.

Composition of the Loan Portfolio Stated in Dollars and Percentages

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Real estate mortgages					
Construction, land development, and land	\$ 13,819,207	\$ 13,162,460	\$ 21,792,060	\$ 21,952,873	\$ 30,330,261
Residential 1 to 4 family, 1st liens	81,794,242	85,772,367	92,635,944	94,757,873	95,203,258
Residential 1 to 4 family, subordinate liens	1,932,743	2,015,355	1,660,805	2,460,550	2,952,418
Commercial properties	115,655,467	113,010,943	102,578,171	102,476,713	89,302,549
Commercial	12,946,639	12,507,978	17,596,451	16,915,476	21,990,067
Consumer	1,978,753	1,737,297	1,720,966	2,136,145	2,359,513
Total loans	228,127,051	228,206,400	237,984,397	240,699,630	242,138,066
Less allowance for loan losses	780,493	672,261	983,178	637,761	707,152
Loans, net	\$ 227,346,558	\$ 227,534,139	\$ 237,001,219	\$ 240,061,869	\$ 241,430,914

Real estate mortgages										
Construction, land development, and land	6.06	%	5.77	%	9.16	%	9.12	%	12.53	%
Residential 1 to 4 family, 1st liens	35.85	%	37.59	%	38.93	%	39.37	%	39.32	%
Residential 1 to 4 family, subordinate liens	0.85	%	0.88	%	0.70	%	1.02	%	1.22	%
Commercial properties	50.69	%	49.52	%	43.10	%	42.57	%	36.88	%
Commercial	5.68	%	5.48	%	7.39	%	7.03	%	9.08	%
Consumer	0.87	%	0.76	%	0.72	%	0.89	%	0.97	%
Total loans	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%	100.00	%

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution, classified according to sensitivity to changes in interest rates, for selected components of the Company's loan portfolio as of December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, 89.64% of total loans were either variable rate loans or loans written on demand.

Loan Maturity Schedule and Sensitivity to Changes in Interest Rates
December 31, 2012

	One year or less	Over one through five years	Over five years	Total
Real estate mortgages				
Construction, land development, and land	\$ 13,819,207	\$-	\$-	\$ 13,819,207
Residential 1 to 4 family, 1st liens	81,794,242	-	-	81,794,242
Residential 1 to 4 family, 2nd liens	1,932,743	-	-	1,932,743
Commercial properties	106,370,559	4,451,717	4,833,191	115,655,467
Commercial	12,379,636	393,594	173,409	12,946,639
Consumer	758,800	953,951	266,002	1,978,753
Total	\$ 217,055,187	\$ 5,799,262	\$ 5,272,602	\$ 228,127,051
Fixed interest rate				
Fixed interest rate	\$ 12,560,256	\$ 5,799,262	\$ 5,272,602	\$ 23,632,120
Variable interest rate (or demand)				
Variable interest rate (or demand)	204,494,931	-	-	204,494,931
Total	\$ 217,055,187	\$ 5,799,262	\$ 5,272,602	\$ 228,127,051

The Company has the following commitments, lines of credit, and letters of credit outstanding as of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

	2012	2011	2010
Construction and land development loans	\$ 5,486,662	\$ 1,999,670	\$ 8,569,169
Other loan commitments	22,177,291	22,346,026	21,164,229
Standby letters of credit	1,506,289	1,486,677	1,590,367
Total	\$ 29,170,242	\$ 25,832,373	\$ 31,323,765

Loan commitments are agreements to lend to customers as long as there is no violation of any conditions to the contracts. Loan commitments generally have interest at current market rates, fixed expiration dates, and may require the payment of a fee. Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Loan commitments and letters of credit are made on the same terms, including collateral, as outstanding loans. The Company's exposure to loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is represented by the contract amount of the commitment. As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, there are no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers who have loans outstanding that were modified in a troubled debt restructuring or have been placed on nonaccrual status.

Loan Quality and the Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents an amount which management believes to be adequate to absorb identified and inherent losses in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Valuation of the allowance is completed no less than quarterly. The determination of the allowance is inherently subjective as it relies on estimates of potential loss related to specific loans, the effects of portfolio trends, and other internal and external factors.

The ALLL consists of (i) formula-based reserves comprised of potential losses in the balance of the loan portfolio segmented into homogeneous pools, (ii) specific reserves comprised of potential losses on loans that management has identified as impaired and (iii) unallocated reserves. Unallocated reserves are not associated with a specific portfolio segment or a specific loan, but may be appropriate if properly supported and in accordance with GAAP.

The Company evaluates loan portfolio risk for the purpose of establishing an adequate allowance for loan losses. In determining an adequate level for the formula-based portion of the ALLL, management considers historical loss experience for major types of loans. Homogenous categories of loans are evaluated based on loss experience in the most recent five years, applied to the current portfolio. This formulation gives weight to portfolio size and loss experience for categories of real-estate secured loans, other loans to commercial borrowers, and other consumer loans. However, historical data may not be an accurate predictor of loss potential in the current loan portfolio. Management also evaluates trends in delinquencies, the composition of the portfolio, concentrations of credit, and changes in lending products, processes, or staffing. Management further considers external factors such as the interest rate environment, competition, current local and national economic trends, and the results of recent independent reviews by auditors and banking regulators. The protracted slow-down in the real-estate market has affected both the price and time to market residential and commercial properties. Management closely monitors such trends and the potential effect on the Company. Since the beginning of the current adverse economic conditions in late 2007, the Company has experienced historically high loan losses and provisions for loan losses. While 2011 resulted in stabilization of loan losses and provisions for loan losses, the Company experienced a notable decrease in loan losses and levels of nonperforming and impaired loans during 2012, yet the levels remain historically high. Economic data and observable economic conditions lead Management to believe that historically high loan losses and levels of nonperforming, and impaired loans will continue into subsequent periods.

Management utilizes a risk rating system which gives weight to collateral status (secured vs. unsecured), and to the absence or improper execution of critical contract or collateral documents. Unsecured loans and those loans with critical documentation exceptions, as defined by management, are considered to have greater loss exposure. Management incorporates these factors in the formula-based portion of the ALLL. Additionally, consideration is given to those segments of the loan portfolio which management deems to pose the greatest likelihood of loss. Management believes that in a general economic downturn, such as the region has experienced since late 2007, the Bank has an increased likelihood of loss in unsecured loans - commercial and consumer, and in secured consumer loans. Reserves for these segments of the portfolio are included in the formula-based portion of the ALLL. As of December 31, 2012, management reserved 135 bp against all unsecured loans, and consumer loans secured by other than real estate. Additionally, management reserved 20% against overdrawn checking account balances which are a distinct high risk category of unsecured loan. The Bank does not offer an approved overdraft loan product, so all overdrawn deposit balances result from unauthorized presentment of items against insufficient funds.

Borrowers whose cash flow is impaired as a result of prevailing economic conditions likely have also experienced depressed real estate values. Management recognizes that the combination of these circumstances – reduced revenue and depressed collateral values, may increase the likelihood of loss in the Bank's real estate secured loan portfolio. Management closely monitors conditions that might indicate deterioration of collateral value on significant loans and, when possible, obtains additional collateral as required to limit the Bank's loss exposure. The Bank foreclosed on mortgages during 2010, 2011, and 2012 and expects additional foreclosures in 2013. Foreclosures may result in loan losses, costs to hold real estate acquired in foreclosure, and losses on the sale of real estate acquired in foreclosure. While management is unable to predict the financial consequences of future foreclosure activity, losses on anticipated loan foreclosures are charged off since the loan is deemed to be collateral dependent.

In determining an adequate level for the specific reserve portion of the ALLL, management reviews the current portfolio giving particular consideration to problem loans. Management prepares a Watch List of troubled loans for review by the Board of Directors at their monthly meeting. The allowance may include reserves for specific loans identified as impaired during management's loan review or the Company's independent loan review or internal audit functions. For significant impaired loans, management's review consists of evaluation of the current financial strengths of the borrowers and guarantors, the related collateral, and the effects of economic conditions as it relates to the borrowers and guarantors.

The provision for loan losses is a decrease or increase to earnings in the current period to bring the allowance to a level established by application of management's allowance methodology. The allowance is increased by recoveries of amounts previously charged-off and decreased when loans are charged-off as losses, which occurs when they are

deemed to be uncollectible. Provisions for loan losses of \$605,700, \$1,127,300, \$1,012,000, \$850,000, and \$617,526 were recorded in 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Management considers the December 31, 2012 allowance appropriate and adequate to absorb identified and inherent losses in the loan portfolio. There can be no assurance that charge-offs in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan loss or that additional increases in the loan loss allowance will not be required. As of December 31, 2012, management had not identified any loans which were anticipated to be fully charged-off within the next 12 months. The following is a schedule of transactions in the allowance for loan losses for each of the five most recent years ended December 31. Increased losses, as compared to historical averages, began in 2008 and continued in years thereafter which reflect the impact of ongoing recessionary conditions on the Bank's borrowers, who are troubled by job losses, higher costs of living, lower real estate values, lower investment returns and decreases in business activity.

	Allowance for Loan Losses				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$672,261	\$983,178	\$637,761	\$707,152	\$195,525
Loans charged-off:					
Real estate - construction and land	45,081	227,197	100,000	75,000	-
Real estate - mortgage	445,750	1,218,921	190,093	656,191	-
Commercial	18,559	18,492	354,854	200,357	76,383
Consumer	14,253	19,650	52,935	47,321	34,532
Total loan losses	523,643	1,484,260	697,882	978,869	110,915
Recoveries on loans charged off:					
Real estate - construction and land	-	39,072	-	-	-
Real estate - mortgage	16,843	300	1,100	669	-
Commercial	103	410	1,073	40,364	3,785
Consumer	9,229	6,261	29,126	18,445	1,231
Total loan recoveries	26,175	46,043	31,299	59,478	5,016
Net loan charge-offs (recoveries)	497,468	1,438,217	666,583	919,391	105,899
Provision for loan loss expense	605,700	1,127,300	1,012,000	850,000	617,526
Balance at end of year	\$780,493	\$672,261	\$983,178	\$637,761	\$707,152
Gross loans outstanding at year end	\$228,127,051	\$228,206,400	\$237,984,397	\$240,699,630	\$242,138,066
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans outstanding at end of year	0.34	% 0.29	% 0.41	% 0.26	% 0.29
Average gross loans	\$229,923,000	\$237,757,000	\$244,189,000	\$242,095,000	\$238,873,000
Net charge-offs to average gross loans	0.22	% 0.60	% 0.27	% 0.38	% 0.04

The loan portfolio is divided into homogeneous categories of loans for the purpose of calculating formula-based reserves. The categories of real estate – construction and real estate – mortgage loans share similar risks of potential collateral deterioration or devaluation. However, these loans tend to be more adequately secured than those commercial and consumer loans that are not real estate secured. During 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company made provisions for loss on real estate secured loans of \$609,763, \$1,159,740, \$736,537, \$450,911, and \$475,099, respectively. Historically, non-real estate secured loans, commercial and consumer, posed a greater risk of loss due to erosion of the borrower’s ability to repay the loan in a timely manner. Collateral on these loans is generally, although not always, less reliable than real estate as a source of recovery if default occurs. The Bank’s loan losses in 2008 were consumer and commercial loans which were unsecured or secured with collateral other than real estate. Management attributes the high level of real estate secured loan loss in 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009 to the current economic downturn and an accompanying erosion of real estate values. Real estate secured loan losses were \$490,831, \$1,446,118, \$290,093, and \$731,191 in 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. These losses represented 93.73%, 97.43%, 41.57%, and 74.70% of total loan losses for 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The historically high losses on real estate secured loans in 2011 were attributable to the Bank’s adherence to the federal

financial regulatory agencies' Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. This guidance provides that a loss is recognized when the Bank's recorded investment of a collateral-dependent loan exceeds the fair market value of the collateral. The Bank recorded loan losses of \$392,707 and \$1,189,009 in 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to collateral-dependent real estate loans for which the liquidation of collateral is the only source of repayment. Management expects additional losses on real estate secured loans in subsequent periods until economic conditions improve and those losses may be significant.

The following table details the allocation of the allowance for loan losses to major categories of loans and the percentage of loans in each category relative to total loans at the five most recent year-ends.

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans
Real estate - construction and land						
Formula-based	\$ 119,036		\$ 160,392		\$ 235,437	
Specific reserves	-		-		-	
Total real estate - construction and land	119,036	5.77 %	160,392	5.77 %	235,437	9.16 %
Real estate - mortgage						
Formula-based	412,765		235,634		76,836	
Specific reserves	-		-		330,759	
Total real estate - mortgage	412,765	87.99 %	235,634	87.99 %	407,595	82.73 %
Commercial						
Formula-based	168,033		197,353		194,946	
Specific reserves	-		-		-	
Total commercial	168,033	5.48 %	197,353	5.48 %	194,946	7.39 %
Consumer						
Formula-based	55,595		60,487		119,228	
Specific reserves	-		-		-	
Total consumer	55,595	0.76 %	60,487	0.76 %	119,228	0.72 %
Subtotal	755,429	100.00 %	653,866	100.00 %	957,206	100.00 %
Unallocated	25,064		18,395		25,972	
Total	\$ 780,493		\$ 672,261		\$ 983,178	

	December 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans
Real estate - construction and land				
Formula-based	\$ 110,000		\$ -	
Specific reserves	35,262		170,000	
Total real estate - construction and land	145,262	12.53 %	170,000	12.53 %
Real estate - mortgage				
Formula-based	50,226		178,125	
Specific reserves	-		126,974	
Total real estate - mortgage	50,226	77.42 %	305,099	77.42 %
Commercial				
Formula-based	156,554		73,963	
Specific reserves	223,607		128,521	
Total commercial	380,161	9.08 %	202,484	9.08 %
Consumer				
Formula-based	53,638		30,807	
Specific reserves	-		-	

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Total consumer	53,638	0.97	%	30,807	0.97	%
Subtotal	629,287	100.00	%	708,390	100.00	%
Unallocated	8,474			(1,238)		
Total	\$ 637,761			\$ 707,152		

24

Unallocated reserves are not associated with a specific portfolio segment or a specific loan, but may be appropriate if properly supported and in accordance with GAAP. Because no portion of the ALLL is calculated on a total loan portfolio basis, management anticipates maintaining low levels of unallocated reserves.

The accrual of interest on a loan is discontinued when principal or interest is 90 days past due or when the loan is determined to be impaired, unless collateral is sufficient to discharge the debt in full and the loan is in process of collection. When a loan is placed in nonaccruing status, any interest previously accrued but unpaid, is reversed from interest income. Interest payments received on nonaccrual loans may be recorded as cash basis income, or as a reduction of principal, on a loan by loan basis, based upon management's judgment. All nonaccrual loan payments received in 2012 were recorded as reductions of principal. Accrual of interest may be restored when all principal and interest are current and management believes that future payments will be received in accordance with the loan agreement.

Nonperforming loans are loans past due 90 or more days and still accruing plus nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans combined with real estate acquired in foreclosure and held for sale (OREO). Nonperforming assets decreased \$968,641 (16.79%) from \$5,767,831 at December 31, 2011 to \$4,799,190 at December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of decreases in nonaccrual loans from short sales, charge-offs on collateral dependent loans, and losses on revaluation of OREO during the same period. Management monitors the accruing loans in this category closely to assure that collateral is sufficient to fully discharge the debt to the Bank and collection is in process.

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Loans 90 days or more past due and accruing	\$684,422	\$684,422	\$684,422	\$787,580	\$4,647,792
Nonaccruing loans					
Current	788,141	965,708	1,185,435	423,227	-
Past due 30 days or more	1,885,727	2,402,563	2,921,086	599,856	199,724
Total nonaccruing loans	2,673,868	3,368,271	4,106,521	1,023,083	199,724
Total nonperforming loans	3,358,290	4,052,693	4,790,943	1,810,663	4,847,516
Other real estate owned	1,440,900	1,715,138	779,500	1,433,000	-
Total nonperforming assets	\$4,799,190	\$5,767,831	\$5,570,443	\$3,243,663	\$4,847,516

Included in amounts past due 90 days or more and still accruing at December 31, 2008, was a loan with a principal balance of \$4,500,000. Late in 2008, the Bank was notified that there was a lien on the property securing this loan that was superior to the Bank's liens, and which the settlement agent did not discover during the title examination process. During 2010 the Bank was restored to first lien position and in 2011 the property securing the loan was sold at auction with a minimal loss recognized by the Bank.

A troubled debt restructuring (TDR), which is defined as a modification or restructuring of terms of a loan that results in a concession by the lender to accommodate a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulties, is an important risk management tool utilized to improve the likelihood of recovery. TDRs are considered impaired loans since all principal and interest payments according to the original contractual terms will not be collected. TDRs are evaluated for impairment at the time of restructure and each subsequent reporting period. Defaults have occurred on restructured loans which resulted in losses and, if needed, additional restructuring to accommodate changes in the borrower's financial position. Other restructured loans have been collected with no loss of principal, returned to their original contractual terms, or refinanced at market rates and terms.

An identified loss on a TDR is recorded as a specific reserve in the allowance for loan losses or charged-off if the loan is deemed to be collateral dependent. A loss of \$26,054 was recorded as part of a restructure completed in 2012, while no losses were recorded as part of a restructure in the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010. Total TDR losses were \$291,956, \$1,400,252, and \$182,256 in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Losses occurring within 12 months of restructuring were \$206,707, \$301,876, and \$0 in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The historically high losses on TDRs in 2011 were attributable to the Bank's adherence to the federal financial regulatory guidance which provides that a loss is recognized when the Bank's recorded investment of a collateral-dependent loan exceeds the fair

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

market value of the collateral. Non-accruing TDRs decreased from 21.66% of total TDRs as of December 31, 2011 to 15.49% of total TDRs as of December 31, 2012 as a result of several short sales completed in 2012. Troubled debt restructurings with outstanding principal balances as of December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Total		Paying as agreed under modified terms		Past due 30 days or more or nonaccruing	
	Number of contracts	Current Balance	Number of contracts	Current Balance	Number of contracts	Current Balance
Real Estate mortgages						
Construction, land development, and land	1	\$327,415	-	\$-	1	\$327,415
Residential 1 to 4 family, 1st liens	13	3,331,253	9	1,607,262	4	1,723,991
Residential 1 to 4 family, subordinate liens	2	117,450	2	117,450	-	-
Commercial properties	8	5,623,057	6	4,212,324	2	1,410,733
Total	24	\$9,399,175	17	\$5,937,036	7	\$3,462,139

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information, management considers it unlikely that collection of principal and interest payments will be made according to contractual terms. A performing loan may be categorized as impaired based on knowledge of circumstances that are deemed relevant to loan collection, including the deterioration of the borrower's financial condition or devaluation of collateral. Not all impaired loans are past due nor are losses expected for every impaired loan. Impaired loans may have specific reserves, or valuation allowances, allocated to them in the ALLL. Estimates of loss on impaired loans may be determined based on any of the three following measurement methods which conform to authoritative accounting guidance: (1) the present value of future cash flows, (2) the fair value of collateral, if repayment of the loan is expected to be provided by the sale of the underlying collateral (i.e. collateral dependent), or (3) the loan's observable fair value. The Bank selects and applies, on a loan-by-loan basis, the appropriate valuation method. Upon identification of a loss on a collateral dependent impaired loan, the loss amount is recorded as a charge-off consistent with regulatory guidance. Loans determined to be impaired, but for which no specific valuation allowance is made because management believes the loan is secured with adequate collateral or the Bank will not take a loss on such loan, are grouped with other homogeneous loans for evaluation under formula-based criteria described previously.

The following table sets forth principal balances of impaired loans and the related valuation allowances as of December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Impaired loans with valuation allowances, including nonaccruing loans	\$-	\$-	\$2,478,049	\$799,833	\$4,328,618
Valuation allowances on impaired loans	\$-	\$-	\$330,759	\$258,869	\$605,405
Impaired loans with no valuation allowances	\$11,301,555	\$13,508,334	\$12,924,345	\$8,200,966	\$5,511,800

Other real estate owned

Other real estate owned is comprised of real estate acquired in satisfaction of a loan receivable either by foreclosure or deed taken in lieu of foreclosure. Other real estate owned is recorded at the lower of cost or net realizable value, which is fair value less estimated costs to sell the property. If net realizable value is less than the book value of the related loan at the time of foreclosure, a loan loss is recorded through the allowance for loan losses. Quarterly, the Company reviews net realizable value estimates and records known declines in value through expense. Annually, the Company obtains independent appraisals to support net realizable value estimates. Costs to maintain properties, such as maintenance, utilities, taxes and insurance are expensed as they are incurred. Gains or losses resulting from the sale of other real estate owned are included in noninterest income. The following table presents the number of and types of property in other real estate owned at December 31:

	2012		2011		2010	
	Number	Balance	Number	Balance	Number	Balance
Construction, land development, and land	2	\$ 574,300	3	\$ 785,987	1	\$ 597,000
Residential 1 to 4 family, 1st liens	1	866,600	1	929,151	1	182,500
	3	\$ 1,440,900	4	\$ 1,715,138	2	\$ 779,500

Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity

The primary objective of asset/liability management is to ensure the steady growth of the Company's primary source of earnings, net interest income. Net interest income can fluctuate with significant interest rate movements. To lessen the impact of these margin swings, the balance sheet should be structured so that repricing opportunities exist for both assets and liabilities in roughly equivalent amounts at approximately the same time intervals. Imbalances in these repricing opportunities at any point in time constitute interest rate sensitivity.

Liquidity represents the ability to provide steady sources of funds for loan commitments and investment activities, as well as to provide sufficient funds to cover deposit withdrawals and payment of debt and operating obligations. These funds can be obtained by converting assets to cash or by attracting new deposits. Average liquid assets (cash and amounts due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks, federal funds sold, and investment securities) were 53.16% of average deposits for 2012, compared to 48.67% and 44.29% for 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the average liquid asset to deposit ratio from 2011 to 2012 is attributable to a 12.56% increase in average liquid assets compared to a 4.71% increase in average deposits. The increase in liquid assets is a result of decreases in averages loans which stemmed from lower demand throughout most of 2012, accelerated payoffs, and the recording of charge-offs. The continued increase in deposits has raised the Company's liquidity level but caused a negative impact on earnings as the deposited funds could not be deployed through lending activities and were thus placed in investments that have a substantially lower yield than loans.

Average net loans to average deposits were 65.68%, 70.96%, and 75.97%, for 2012, 2011, and 2010. The decrease in the average loan to deposit ratio over the last three years is due to decreases in total loans while total deposits have increased.

As of December 31, 2012, \$71,367,910 (51.58%) of total debt securities mature in one year or less, of which, \$41,048,970 are classified as “available-for-sale.” Federal funds sold provide additional liquidity. Other sources of liquidity include letters of credit, overnight federal funds, and reverse repurchase agreements available from correspondent banks. The total lines and letters of credit available from correspondent banks were \$28,000,000 as of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

The following table shows a distribution of investment securities by their contractual maturities and their yields for the various maturity timeframes. In this schedule, investment securities classified as available for sale are presented at fair value and investments classified as held to maturity are presented at amortized cost.

Investment Securities Maturity Distribution and Yields

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
U. S. Treasury						
One year or less	\$67,009,266	0.29 %	\$54,064,546	0.65 %	\$37,720,874	0.89 %
Over one through five years	54,134,605	0.47 %	35,092,814	0.71 %	36,174,343	1.15 %
Over ten years	3,008,800	7.29 %	2,995,400	7.28 %	2,691,562	7.28 %
Total U.S. Treasury securities	124,152,671	0.54 %	92,152,760	0.89 %	76,586,779	1.24 %
U.S. Government Agencies						
One year or less	3,000,000	0.36 %	2,000,004	0.43 %	2,002,278	0.93 %
Over one through five years	6,000,000	0.40 %	7,500,000	0.72 %	5,000,170	0.78 %
Total U. S. Government Agencies	9,000,000	0.38 %	9,500,004	0.66 %	7,002,448	0.82 %
State, county, and municipal						
One year or less	1,358,644	0.74 %	3,407,716	0.95 %	2,127,951	0.84 %
Over one through five years	3,857,744	0.64 %	3,015,103	0.68 %	4,051,980	1.07 %
Total state, county, and municipal	5,216,388	0.66 %	6,422,819	0.82 %	6,179,931	0.99 %
Total debt securities						
One year or less	71,367,910	0.30 %	59,472,266	0.66 %	41,851,103	0.89 %
Over one through five years	63,992,349	0.47 %	45,607,917	0.71 %	45,226,493	1.11 %
Over ten years	3,008,800	7.29 %	2,995,400	7.28 %	2,691,562	7.28 %
Total debt securities	138,369,059	0.53 %	108,075,583	0.86 %	89,769,158	1.19 %
Equity securities						
	1,706,150	1.49 %	1,645,531	1.57 %	2,336,334	2.33 %
Total securities	\$140,075,209	0.55 %	\$109,721,114	0.87 %	\$92,105,492	1.22 %

Interest rate sensitivity refers to the responsiveness of interest-bearing assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. The rate-sensitive position, or gap, is the difference in the volume of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities at a given time interval. The general objective of gap management is to actively manage rate-sensitive assets and liabilities to reduce the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the net interest margin. Management generally attempts to maintain a balance between rate-sensitive assets and liabilities as the exposure period is lengthened to minimize the overall interest rate risk to the Company.

Interest rate sensitivity may be controlled on either side of the balance sheet. On the asset side, management exercises some control over maturities. Also, loans are written with demand features to provide repricing opportunities on fixed rate notes. The Company's investment portfolio, including federal funds sold, provides the most flexible and fastest control over rate sensitivity since it can generally be restructured more quickly than the loan portfolio. During the recent surge in the Company's liquidity the resultant investment purchases continued the preference towards short term maturities allowing the Company to maximize earnings when interest rates rise from the current historical lows.

On the liability side, deposit products are structured to offer incentives to attain the maturity distribution desired. Competitive factors sometimes make control over deposits more difficult and, therefore, less effective as an interest rate sensitivity management tool. Increases in deposit balances experienced during and following the recession in 2008 and 2009 were generally unsolicited by the Company and are presumed to be a result of general financial market instability.

The asset mix of the balance sheet is continually evaluated in terms of several variables: yield, credit quality, appropriate funding sources, and liquidity. Management of the liability mix of the balance sheet focuses on expanding the various funding sources.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company was cumulatively asset-sensitive for all time horizons. For asset-sensitive institutions, if interest rates should decrease, the net interest margins should decline. Since all interest rates and yields do not adjust at the same velocity, the gap is only a general indicator of rate sensitivity.

December 31, 2012

	Within three months	After three but within twelve months	After one but within five years	After five years	Total
Assets					
Earning assets					
Federal funds sold	\$20,842,304	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$20,842,304
Interest-bearing deposits	5,460,278	5,848,154	2,279,457	-	13,587,889
Investment debt securities	32,000,301	39,345,653	63,947,993	1,997,220	137,291,167
Loans	216,458,368	596,818	5,799,263	5,272,602	228,127,051
Total earning assets	\$274,761,251	\$45,790,625	\$72,026,713	\$7,269,822	\$399,848,411
Liabilities					
Interest-bearing deposits					
NOW	\$71,663,157	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$71,663,157
Money market	53,087,483	-	-	-	53,087,483
Savings	56,743,398	-	-	-	56,743,398
Certificates \$100,000 and over	14,062,146	15,151,059	6,841,439	-	36,054,644
Certificates under \$100,000	14,907,002	22,695,455	8,706,855	-	46,309,312
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	5,230,572	-	-	-	5,230,572
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$215,693,758	\$37,846,514	\$15,548,294	\$-	\$269,088,566
Period gap	\$59,067,493	\$7,944,111	\$56,478,419	\$7,269,822	\$130,759,845
Cumulative gap	\$59,067,493	\$67,011,604	\$123,490,023	\$130,759,845	
Ratio of cumulative gap to total earning assets	14.77	% 16.76	% 30.88	% 32.70	%

Deposits and Other Interest-Bearing Liabilities

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$7,742,000 (3.03%) to \$262,842,000 in 2012, from \$255,100,000 in 2011. During the past two years, management has deployed those additional funds primarily into investment securities on which yields have been decreasing. In order to maintain a reasonable net interest spread, management has lowered rates on deposits to offset reductions of interest revenue. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$6,757,000 (2.70%) to \$257,137,000 in 2012 from \$250,380,000 in 2011, while average noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$8,945,000 (10.80%) to \$91,804,000 in 2012 from \$82,859,000 in 2011.

At December 31, 2012, total deposits were \$360,555,055, compared to \$336,056,504 at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$24,498,551 (7.29%). In 2012, deposits continued the recent trend of year over year increases but at an accelerated rate compared to 2011 and similar to increases seen in 2010 and 2009. Approximately, \$7,100,000 of deposits on hand at December 31, 2012 related to higher than normal temporary Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (IOLTA) deposits which, if excluded, would have resulted in an increase in total deposits of 5.18% from 2011 to 2012. The improving general economic outlook, recent stock market performance, and further reduction of interest rates paid on deposits could have led to deposit outflows, Management believes the strong tourism season in the local beach resort areas and continued economic uncertainty contributed to the accelerated rate of deposit growth. Management did not observe decreases in deposits leading up to the December 31, 2012 expiration of unlimited deposit insurance on non-interest bearing transaction accounts.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$5,438,000 (2.18%) to \$255,101,000 in 2011, from \$249,663,000 in 2010. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$7,007,000 (2.88%) to \$250,380,000 in 2011 from \$243,373,000 in 2010, while average noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$5,774,000 (7.49%) to \$82,859,000 in 2011 from \$77,085,000 in 2010. At December 31, 2011, total deposits were \$336,056,504, compared to \$326,777,754 at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$9,278,750 (2.84%). In 2011 deposits continued the recent trend of year over year increases but at a lower rate than seen in 2010 and 2009.

The following table sets forth the deposits of the Company by category as of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

	2012		December 31,				2010	
	Amount	Percent of deposits	Amount	Percent of deposits	Amount	Percent of deposits		
Non-interest bearing	\$96,697,061	26.82 %	\$83,136,325	24.74 %	\$76,763,686	23.48 %		
NOW	71,663,157	19.88 %	63,986,093	19.04 %	59,410,096	18.18 %		
Money market	53,087,483	14.72 %	49,398,754	14.70 %	43,030,285	13.17 %		
Savings	56,743,398	15.74 %	51,454,152	15.31 %	48,417,028	14.82 %		
Time deposits less than \$100,000	46,312,912	12.84 %	50,032,394	14.89 %	55,243,123	16.91 %		
Core deposits	324,504,011		298,007,718		282,864,218			
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	36,051,044	10.00 %	38,048,786	11.32 %	43,913,536	13.44 %		
Total deposits	\$360,555,055	100.00 %	\$336,056,504	100.00 %	\$326,777,754	100.00 %		

Core deposits, which exclude certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more, provide a relatively stable funding source for the Company's loan portfolio and other earning assets. The Company's core deposits increased \$26,496,293 in 2012 and \$15,143,500 in 2011. Deposits, and particularly core deposits, have been the Company's primary source of funding and have enabled the Company to meet both its short-term and long-term liquidity needs. Management anticipates that while such deposits will continue to be the Company's primary source of funding in the future, reductions in deposit levels, if coupled with growth in the Company's loan portfolio, could require periodic borrowing of funds. In this event, it is likely that Management would purchase overnight federal funds or borrow against

existing lines of credit which could require pledges of investment securities.

The maturity distribution of the Company's time deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2012, is shown in the following table.

	Within three Months	After three through six months	After six through twelve months	After twelve months	Total
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	\$ 14,058,545	\$ 4,469,783	\$ 10,681,276	\$ 6,841,440	\$ 36,051,044

Customers who invest in large certificates of deposit tend to be highly sensitive to interest rate levels, making these deposits less reliable sources of funding for liquidity planning purposes than core deposits. Some financial institutions partially fund their balance sheets using large certificates of deposit obtained through brokers. These brokered deposits are generally expensive and are unreliable as long-term funding sources. Accordingly, the Company does not accept brokered deposits under these conditions. Since 2007, the Bank has been a member of the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS). This service allows the Bank to offer depositors up to \$50 million in FDIC insurance through a network of member banks. While CDARS deposits are considered to be brokered deposits for regulatory reporting, they are not considered volatile as they typically remain in the program until maturity. At December 31, 2012, there were no time deposits issued to customers of other CDARS member banks under the reciprocal program.

Noninterest revenue

Noninterest revenue for 2012 decreased \$28,681 (1.6%) from the previous year. The nominal decrease was not associated with a single item but a result of the net of the following changes. Service charges on deposit accounts declined \$127,518 (14.5%) led by lower NSF fees by \$88,800 (16.3%) and decreases in online banking fees of \$17,456 (91.6%). Prohibition of NSF fees on non-recurring consumer point of sale transactions, as discussed further below, and free online bill pay contributed to these decreases. Income from the increase in the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance (BOLI) improved by \$78,563 (44.7%) as a result of an additional BOLI purchase of \$2,000,000 in February 2012. Losses from the sale and revaluation of other real estate owned increased \$160,381 due to further reductions in appraised values. Other than temporary impairment losses decreased by \$157,090 (83.1%) as Management identified fewer losses in the current year. Miscellaneous revenue increased by \$48,229 (16.2%) due to increases in fees from merchant services and wire transfer services.

Effective in August 2010, banks are prohibited from collecting a fee for processing consumer non-recurring debit card charges which present against insufficient funds. The Bank prevents authorization of debit card transactions against insufficient funds, but a combination of customers' inattention to their account balances and outstanding transactions, and merchants' failure to process card transactions in a manner that assures that adequate funds are in the deposit account, result in point of sale transactions posting to accounts that do not have sufficient funds.

Noninterest revenue for 2011 decreased \$392,580 (17.98%) from the previous year. The decrease was primarily attributable by nonrecurring gains and other revenue from sale of collectible coin and real property of \$295,989 recorded in the prior year and the recognition of a loss in the current period of \$188,994 related to other than temporary impairment of certain equity securities. The decrease in noninterest revenue from these items was partially offset by a decrease of \$143,033 in losses from the sale and revaluation of other real estate owned and decreases in service charges on deposit accounts as discussed below.

The following table presents the principal components of noninterest revenue for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

	Noninterest revenue		
	2012	2011	2010
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 752,987	\$ 880,505	\$ 949,377

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

ATM and debit card revenue	683,415	683,641	676,329
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	254,419	175,856	171,261
Gain (loss) on sale of assets	(24,522)	(74)	252,703
Loss on other real estate owned	(218,252)	(57,871)	(200,904)
Loss on other than temporary impairment of investment value	(31,904)	(188,994)	-
Miscellaneous revenue	345,986	297,757	334,634
Total noninterest revenue	\$ 1,762,129	\$ 1,790,820	\$ 2,183,400
Noninterest revenue as a percentage of average total assets	0.41 %	0.43 %	0.54 %

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased \$233,707 (2.78%) from 2011 to 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$179,325 increase in salaries and employee benefits expense resulting from increased group insurance costs, annual employee compensation increases and higher headcount. Other notable changes include increases in professional fees of \$112,629 (57.88%) over the prior year due to additional consulting services provided in 2012. These increases were partially offset by decreases in occupancy expenses of \$87,335 (10.74%) associated with reductions in branch maintenance and milder winter weather, and decreases in deposit product services expense of \$32,882 (25.97%) as a result of converting to internal processing of certain deposit products.

Noninterest expense increased \$7,874 (0.09%) from 2010 to 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$103,543 increase in salaries and employee benefits expense resulting from increased group insurance costs and annual employee compensation increases. In addition, professional fees increased \$23,010 (13.41%) over the prior year due to the high level of nonperforming loans and related collection expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in federal deposit insurance of \$79,541 as a result of changes to the assessment base effective April 1, 2011. For further discussion of the changes to the deposit insurance refer to Item 1 above in the section titled Deposit Insurance.

The following table presents the principal components of noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, respectively.

Noninterest expense						
	2012		2011		2010	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$4,981,623		\$4,802,298		\$4,698,755	
Occupancy expense	725,586		812,921		811,373	
Furniture and equipment	458,670		471,195		441,459	
ATM and debit card	287,948		256,822		287,829	
Deposit insurance	201,101		216,577		296,118	
Advertising	172,477		163,466		180,336	
Armored car service	72,913		75,270		73,985	
Deposit product services	93,738		126,620		127,322	
Data processing	285,937		260,990		269,950	
Correspondent bank fees	62,358		66,126		65,488	
Courier service	45,180		45,180		45,360	
Director fees	155,300		164,650		183,350	
Dues, donations, and subscriptions	81,392		80,862		74,337	
Liability insurance	31,696		27,636		26,049	
Postage	147,146		143,268		155,168	
Professional fees	307,219		194,590		171,580	
Stationery and supplies	72,563		65,862		58,628	
Telecommunications	174,440		155,393		165,464	
Miscellaneous	272,115		265,969		255,270	
Total noninterest expense	\$8,629,402		\$8,395,695		\$8,387,821	
Noninterest expense as a percentage of average total assets	2.00	%	2.03	%	2.09	%

Capital

Under capital guidelines adopted by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC, the Company and the Bank are currently required to maintain a minimum risk-based total capital ratio of 8%, with at least 4% being Tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital consists of common stockholders' equity – common stock, additional paid-in capital, and retained earnings. In addition, the Company and the Bank must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average assets) of at least 4%, but this minimum ratio is increased by 100 to 200 basis points for other than the highest-rated institutions.

At December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company and the Bank were well-capitalized, exceeding all minimum requirements, as set forth in the following table.

	Analysis of Capital		To be well capitalized	Required minimums
	Consolidated Company	Bank		
2012				
Total risk-based capital ratio	35.4%	34.0%	10.0%	8.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	35.0%	33.7%	6.0%	4.0%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	17.1%	16.4%	5.0%	4.0%
2011				
Total risk-based capital ratio	35.0%	33.6%	10.0%	8.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	34.6%	33.3%	6.0%	4.0%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	17.9%	17.1%	5.0%	4.0%
2010				
Total risk-based capital ratio	33.6%	32.1%	10.0%	8.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	33.0%	31.7%	6.0%	4.0%
Tier 1 leverage ratio	17.5%	16.9%	5.0%	4.0%

Website Access to Securities and Exchange Commission Reports

The Bank maintains an Internet website at www.taylorbank.com. The Company's periodic SEC reports, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, are accessible through this website. Access to these filings is free of charge. The reports are available as soon as practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC.

New Accounting Standards

The following accounting pronouncements have been approved by the Financial Accounting Standards Board but had not become effective and adopted by the Company as of December 31, 2012, or were first effective or adopted in this reporting period. These pronouncements would apply to the Company, upon the effective dates noted, if the Company or the Bank entered into an applicable activity.

ASU No. 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210) - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," amends Balance Sheet (Topic 210), to require an entity to disclose both gross and net information about both financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The financial instruments and transactions would include derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending arrangements. ASU 2011-11 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income." ASU 2011-05 amends Topic 220, "Comprehensive Income," to eliminate the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity, among other amendments. An entity has the option

to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. ASU 2011-05 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011; however, certain provisions related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments have been deferred by ASU 2011-12 “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05,” as further discussed below. ASU 2011-05 was adopted early by the Company and applied to the financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2011. The Company’s financial statements include a single continuous statement of comprehensive income that includes the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income.

ASU No. 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-12 defers changes in ASU No. 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments to allow the FASB time to further deliberate whether to require presentation on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-12 allows entities to continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before ASU No. 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by ASU No. 2011-12. The accounting policies adopted by management are consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and are consistent with those followed by peer Banks.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Impact of Inflation

Unlike most industrial companies, the assets and liabilities of financial institutions such as the Company and the Bank are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, interest rates have a more significant effect on the Company's performance than do the effects of changes in the general rate of inflation and change in prices. In addition, interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services. As discussed previously, management seeks to manage the relationships between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation. See "Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity" above.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

In response to this Item, the information included on pages 1 through 28 of the Company's Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2012, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

There have been no changes in or disagreements with accountants on accounting or financial disclosure during the fiscal year covered by this report.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed and maintained by the Company to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's publicly filed reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner. Such information must be available to management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Treasurer, to allow them to make timely decisions about required disclosures. Even a well-designed and maintained control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives are achieved. Inherent limitations in any system of controls include flawed judgment, errors, omissions, or intentional circumvention of controls.

The Company's management, including the CEO and Treasurer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and Treasurer, has concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. The projection of an evaluation of controls to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate due to changes in conditions including the degree of compliance with procedures.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. maintains a system of internal control over financial reporting, which is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation of reliable published financial statements. The system includes an organizational structure and division of responsibility, established policies and procedures including a code of conduct to foster a strong ethical climate, and the careful selection, training and development of our staff. The system contains self-monitoring mechanisms, and an internal auditor monitors the operation of the internal control system and reports findings and recommendations to management and the board of directors. Corrective actions are taken to address control deficiencies and other opportunities for improving the system as they are identified. The board, operating through its audit committee, which is composed entirely of directors who are not officers or employees of the Company, provides oversight to the financial reporting process.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal controls, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even an effective internal control system can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Furthermore, the effectiveness of an internal control system may vary over time and with circumstances.

The Company assessed its internal control system as of December 31, 2012 in relation to criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting as described in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its assessment, the Company believes that, as of December 31, 2012, its system of internal control over financial reporting met those criteria.

CALVIN B. TAYLOR BANKSHARES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Raymond M. Thompson

Raymond M. Thompson
Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ William H. Mitchell

William H. Mitchell, Vice President
and Treasurer
Principal Financial & Accounting
Officer

Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.
Berlin, Maryland

We have audited Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. and Subsidiary's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. and Subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in the United States of America, the balance sheets and the related statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows of Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. and Subsidiary, and our report dated March 13, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Rowles & Company, LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

March 13, 2013

35

Changes in Internal Controls

During the quarter ended on the date of this report, there were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have had or are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's management, including the CEO and Treasurer, has concluded that the Company's internal controls over financial reporting are effective.

Audit Committee and Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has adopted a written Audit Policy, which serves as a charter for the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is comprised of seven independent directors, including Chairman James R. Bergey, Jr., CPA who serves as the financial expert. The Audit Committee is scheduled to meet quarterly and held four meetings in 2012.

Item 9B. Other Information

There is no information required to be disclosed on Form 8-K which has not been reported.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item is included in the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) to be filed in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is included in the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) to be filed in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is included in the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) to be filed in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is included in the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) to be filed in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is included in the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) to be filed in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1), (2) Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2012

(3) A list of Exhibits to this Form 10-K is set forth in (b) below.

(b) Exhibits

3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registration Statement Form S-4, File No. 33-99762.

3.2 Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Registration Statement Form S-4, File No. 33-99762.

13 Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2012

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CALVIN B. TAYLOR BANKSHARES, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Raymond M. Thompson

Raymond M. Thompson
Chief Executive Officer and
President

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ William H. Mitchell

William H. Mitchell, Vice President
and Treasurer
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ James R. Bergey, Jr.

James R. Bergey, Jr., Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ John H. Burbage, Jr.

John H. Burbage, Jr., Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Todd E. Burbage

Todd E. Burbage, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Charlotte K. Cathell

Charlotte K. Cathell, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Reese F. Cropper, Jr.

Reese F. Cropper, Jr.
Chairman of the Board of Directors

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Reese F. Cropper, III

Reese F. Cropper, III, Director

Edgar Filing: TAYLOR CALVIN B BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ Hale Harrison

Hale Harrison, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ Gerald T. Mason

Gerald T. Mason, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ William H. Mitchell

William H. Mitchell, Director
Vice President and Treasurer

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ Joseph E. Moore

Joseph E. Moore, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ Louis H. Taylor

Louis H. Taylor, Director

Date: March 13,
2013

By:/s/ Raymond M. Thompson

Raymond M. Thompson, Director
President and Chief Executive Officer

Certification - Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

We, the undersigned, certify that to the best of our knowledge, based upon a review of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012 of the Registrant (the "Report"):

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Raymond M. Thompson

Raymond M. Thompson
Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ William H. Mitchell

William H. Mitchell, Vice President
and Treasurer
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer

Certification of Principal Executive Officer
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Raymond M. Thompson, certify that:

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.;

Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiary, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluations; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ Raymond M. Thompson

Raymond M. Thompson
Chief Executive Officer

Certification of Principal Financial Officer
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, William H. Mitchell, certify that:

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.;

Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiary, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluations; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.

Date: March 13,
2013

By: /s/ William H. Mitchell

William H. Mitchell, Vice President
and Treasurer
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer

