

TRUSTMARK CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 11, 2008

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-03683

Trustmark Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

64-0471500  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

248 East Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi  
(Address of principal executive offices)

39201  
(Zip Code)

(601) 208-5111  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of July 30, 2008, there were 57,296,449 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock (no par value).

---

---

---

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION  
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(\$ in thousands)

	(Unaudited)	
	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks (noninterest-bearing)	\$ 296,628	\$ 292,983
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	23,901	17,997
Securities available for sale (at fair value)	908,949	442,345
Securities held to maturity (fair value: \$263,156 - 2008; \$276,631 - 2007)	260,741	275,096
Loans held for sale	184,858	147,508
Loans	6,859,375	7,040,792
Less allowance for loan losses	86,576	79,851
Net loans	6,772,799	6,960,941
Premises and equipment, net	154,026	151,680
Mortgage servicing rights	76,209	67,192
Goodwill	291,145	291,177
Identifiable intangible assets	25,958	28,102
Other assets	319,835	291,781
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 9,315,049</b>	<b>\$ 8,966,802</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
<b>Deposits:</b>		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 1,443,553	\$ 1,477,171
Interest-bearing	5,680,130	5,392,101
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>7,123,683</b>	<b>6,869,272</b>
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	748,137	460,763
Short-term borrowings	260,812	474,354
Subordinated notes	49,725	49,709
Junior subordinated debt securities	70,104	70,104
Other liabilities	126,703	122,964
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>8,379,164</b>	<b>8,047,166</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Common stock, no par value:</b>		
Authorized: 250,000,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding: 57,296,449 shares - 2008; 57,272,408 shares - 2007	11,938	11,933
Capital surplus	126,881	124,161
Retained earnings	814,674	797,993
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(17,608)	(14,451)
<b>Total Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>935,885</b>	<b>919,636</b>

Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 9,315,049	\$ 8,966,802
--	--------------	--------------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

2

---

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Income  
(\$ in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 107,456	\$ 122,804	\$ 225,506	\$ 241,138
Interest on securities:				
Taxable	11,079	9,018	16,936	18,098
Tax exempt	1,263	1,649	2,619	3,360
Interest on federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	168	457	347	1,433
Other interest income	475	541	1,047	1,133
<b>Total Interest Income</b>	<b>120,441</b>	<b>134,469</b>	<b>246,455</b>	<b>265,162</b>
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Interest on deposits	36,881	51,686	80,244	102,041
Interest on federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	3,019	5,014	6,092	8,827
Other interest expense	2,923	3,937	7,752	8,520
<b>Total Interest Expense</b>	<b>42,823</b>	<b>60,637</b>	<b>94,088</b>	<b>119,388</b>
<b>Net Interest Income</b>	<b>77,618</b>	<b>73,832</b>	<b>152,367</b>	<b>145,774</b>
Provision for loan losses	31,012	145	45,255	1,784
<b>Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses</b>	<b>46,606</b>	<b>73,687</b>	<b>107,112</b>	<b>143,990</b>
<b>Noninterest Income</b>				
Service charges on deposit accounts	13,223	13,729	25,787	26,422
Insurance commissions	8,394	9,901	16,650	18,673
Wealth management	7,031	6,400	14,229	12,279
General banking - other	6,053	6,418	11,841	12,588
Mortgage banking, net	6,708	1,799	17,764	4,554
Other, net	6,999	2,194	10,220	4,018
Securities gains, net	58	29	491	87
<b>Total Noninterest Income</b>	<b>48,466</b>	<b>40,470</b>	<b>96,982</b>	<b>78,621</b>
<b>Noninterest Expense</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits	42,771	42,853	86,355	86,019
Services and fees	9,526	9,041	18,956	18,599
Net occupancy - premises	4,850	4,634	9,651	9,048
Equipment expense	4,144	4,048	8,218	7,952
Other expense	8,323	8,257	16,260	16,621
<b>Total Noninterest Expense</b>	<b>69,614</b>	<b>68,833</b>	<b>139,440</b>	<b>138,239</b>
<b>Income Before Income Taxes</b>	<b>25,458</b>	<b>45,324</b>	<b>64,654</b>	<b>84,372</b>
Income taxes	7,906	15,496	20,923	28,687
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 17,552</b>	<b>\$ 29,828</b>	<b>\$ 43,731</b>	<b>\$ 55,685</b>

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Earnings Per Share

Basic	\$	0.31	\$	0.52	\$	0.76	\$	0.96
Diluted	\$	0.31	\$	0.51	\$	0.76	\$	0.95
Dividends Per Share	\$	0.23	\$	0.22	\$	0.46	\$	0.44

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

---

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity  
 (\$ in thousands)  
 (Unaudited)

	2008	2007
Balance, January 1,	\$ 919,636	\$ 891,335
Comprehensive income:		
Net income per consolidated statements of income	43,731	55,685
Other comprehensive income:		
Net change in fair value of securities available for sale	(3,621)	1,126
Net change in defined benefit plans	464	610
Comprehensive income	40,574	57,421
Cash dividends paid	(26,497)	(25,630)
Common stock issued-net, long-term incentive plans	(48)	232
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements	136	7
Compensation expense, long-term incentive plans	2,084	1,654
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	-	(38,859)
Balance, June 30,	\$ 935,885	\$ 886,160

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(\$ in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 43,731	\$ 55,685
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	45,255	1,784
Depreciation and amortization	13,676	13,676
Net amortization of securities	313	356
Securities gains, net	(491)	(87)
Gains on sales of loans	(3,632)	(3,072)
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(4,173)	2,924
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	719,276	579,487
Purchases and originations of loans held for sale	(742,157)	(607,680)
Net increase in mortgage servicing rights	(10,839)	(8,329)
Net (increase) decrease in other assets	(8,488)	1,862
Net increase in other liabilities	241	460
Other operating activities, net	(925)	(2,822)
Net cash provided by operating activities	51,787	34,244
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities held to maturity	14,308	10,909
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available for sale	158,672	181,676
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	43,007	62,170
Purchases of securities available for sale	(669,537)	(93,012)
Net (increase) decrease in federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	(5,904)	7,178
Net decrease (increase) in loans	125,885	(211,815)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(8,086)	(15,554)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	8	191
Proceeds from sales of other real estate	2,520	1,116
Net cash used in investing activities	(339,127)	(57,141)
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Net increase in deposits	254,411	93,021
Net increase in federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	287,374	33,008
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(224,391)	(138,717)
Cash dividends	(26,497)	(25,630)
Common stock issued-net, long-term incentive plan	(48)	232
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements	136	7
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	-	(38,859)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	290,985	(76,938)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,645	(99,835)



Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	292,983	392,083
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 296,628	\$ 292,248

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

---

TRUSTMARK CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION AND PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q include the accounts of Trustmark Corporation (Trustmark) and all other entities in which Trustmark has a controlling financial interest. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, included in Trustmark's 2007 annual report on Form 10-K. Operating results for the interim periods disclosed herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year or any future period. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

Management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the opinion of Management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for the fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements have been included.

NOTE 2 – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

For the periods presented, loans consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Loans secured by real estate:		
Construction, land development and other land loans	\$ 1,158,549	\$ 1,194,940
Secured by 1-4 family residential properties	1,633,021	1,694,757
Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties	1,300,753	1,325,379
Other real estate secured	148,588	167,610
Commercial and industrial loans	1,313,620	1,283,014
Consumer loans	994,475	1,087,337
Other loans	310,369	287,755
Loans	6,859,375	7,040,792
Less allowance for loan losses	86,576	79,851
Net loans	\$ 6,772,799	\$ 6,960,941

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented (\$ in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Beginning balance	\$ 81,818	\$ 72,049	\$ 79,851	\$ 72,098
Loans charged-off	(28,820)	(4,187)	(43,996)	(8,469)
Recoveries	2,566	2,941	5,466	5,535
Net charge-offs	(26,254)	(1,246)	(38,530)	(2,934)
Provision for loan losses	31,012	145	45,255	1,784
Balance at end of period	\$ 86,576	\$ 70,948	\$ 86,576	\$ 70,948

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by Management, based on estimated probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. Trustmark's allowance for possible loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as other regulatory guidance. Accordingly, Trustmark's methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of loan and internal risk ratings, homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments considering environmental factors such as current economic events, industry and geographical conditions and portfolio performance indicators. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors, in compliance with the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses published by the governmental regulating agencies for financial services companies. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates, including the amounts and timings of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant changes. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses adequately provides for probable losses in its loan portfolio at June 30, 2008.

At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the carrying amounts of nonaccrual loans, which are considered for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," were \$95.3 million and \$65.2 million, respectively. When a loan is deemed to be impaired, the difference between the carrying amount of the loan and the net realizable value is charged-off and, as such, the impaired loan has no specific allowance for loan loss reserves. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, impaired loans totaled \$45.5 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. During the first half of 2008, specific charge-offs related to impaired loans totaled \$26.1 million while the provisions charged to net income totaled \$16.4 million. For the first half of 2007, both specific charge-offs related to impaired loans and provisions charged to net income were zero.

At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, nonaccrual loans, not specifically impaired and written down to net realizable value, totaled \$49.8 million and \$58.7 million, respectively. In addition, these nonaccrual loans had allocated allowance for loan losses of \$10.5 million and \$11.3 million at the end of the respective periods. No material interest income was recognized in the income statement on impaired or nonaccrual loans during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

#### NOTE 3 – MORTGAGE BANKING

The fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) is determined using discounted cash flow techniques benchmarked against third-party opinions of value. Estimates of fair value involve several assumptions, including the key valuation assumptions about market expectations of future prepayment rates, interest rates and discount rates. Prepayment rates are projected using an industry standard prepayment model. The model considers other key factors, such as a wide range of standard industry assumptions tied to specific portfolio characteristics such as remittance cycles, escrow

payment requirements, geographic factors, foreclosure loss exposure, VA no-bid exposure, delinquency rates and cost of servicing, including base cost and cost to service delinquent mortgages. Prevailing market conditions at the time of analysis are factored into the accumulation of assumptions and determination of servicing value.

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments, specifically exchange-traded Treasury note futures and option contracts, to offset changes in the fair value of MSR attributable to changes in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in mortgage banking income and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR, as shown in the accompanying table. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in interest rates. Ineffectiveness of hedging MSR fair value is measured by comparing total hedge position to the fair value of the MSR asset attributable to market changes. Changes in yields created fluctuating values in both MSR and the hedge during the second quarter of 2008. Rising mortgage rates during the second quarter resulted in an increase of \$13.1 million in the MSR value which is in contrast to the first quarter of 2008 when the MSR value declined by \$10.2 million due to a drop in mortgage rates. Conversely, the hedge value declined by \$10.5 million during the second quarter of 2008 after posting a first quarter increase of \$17.6 million due to rising yields on ten-year Treasury notes. The \$2.6 million in positive net ineffectiveness during the second quarter is primarily attributed to income resulting from a steep yield curve.

The activity in mortgage servicing rights is detailed in the table below (\$ in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 67,192	\$ 69,272
Origination of servicing assets	12,504	9,393
Disposals	(1,665)	(1,057)
Change in fair value:		
Due to market changes	2,911	3,945
Due to runoff	(4,733)	(4,598)
Balance at end of period	\$ 76,209	\$ 76,955

#### NOTE 4 - DEPOSITS

At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, deposits consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 1,443,553	\$ 1,477,171
Interest-bearing deposits:		
Interest-bearing demand	1,265,901	1,210,817
Savings	1,837,675	1,577,198
Time	2,576,554	2,604,086
Total interest-bearing deposits	5,680,130	5,392,101
Total deposits	\$ 7,123,683	\$ 6,869,272

#### NOTE 5 – STOCK AND INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS

Trustmark accounts for stock and incentive compensation following the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." This statement establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for stock awards and requires the application of a fair value based measurement method in accounting for compensation cost, which is recognized over the requisite service period. Trustmark implemented the provisions of this statement using the modified prospective approach, which applies to new awards as well as any previously granted awards outstanding on January 1, 2006. Compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service had not

been rendered as of the date of adoption, is being recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes previously under SFAS No. 123.

#### Stock Option Grants

During the first six months of 2008, there were no grants of stock option awards. Stock option-based compensation expense totaled \$502 thousand and \$611 thousand for the first six months of 2008 and 2007, respectively. Stock option-based compensation expense totaled \$217 thousand and \$109 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Restricted Stock Grants

##### Performance Awards

During the first six months of 2008, Trustmark awarded 76,464 shares of performance based restricted stock to 28 key members of Trustmark's executive management team and board of directors. These performance awards vest based on performance goals of return on average tangible equity (ROATE) and total shareholder return (TSR) compared to a defined peer group. These awards are restricted until December 31, 2010 and are valued in accordance with SFAS No. 123R. The TSR portion of the award is valued utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate fair value of the awards at the grant date, while the ROATE portion is valued utilizing the fair value of Trustmark's stock at the grant date based on the estimated number of shares expected to vest.

The performance based restricted stock issued in May 2005, vested on December 31, 2007. The stock related to this grant was issued to the participants free of restriction during the first quarter of 2008. As a result of achieving 132% of the performance goals during the performance period, 21,060 excess shares were awarded and will vest at either the date of Trustmark's Annual meeting of Shareholders in 2010 or May 31, 2010, whichever comes first.

##### Time-Vested Awards

Trustmark's time-vested awards are granted as an incentive in both employee recruitment and retention and are issued to Trustmark's directors, executive management team and non-executive management associates. During the first six months of 2008, Trustmark awarded 99,979 shares of time-vested restricted stock to key members of Trustmark's management team and board of directors. These time-vested awards are restricted for thirty-six months from the award dates. The weighted average share price of the shares awarded during the first six months of 2008 was \$20.99.

During the first six months of 2008 and 2007, Trustmark recorded compensation expense for restricted stock awards of \$1.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark recorded compensation expense for restricted stock awards of \$761 thousand and \$429 thousand, respectively.

#### NOTE 6 – BENEFIT PLANS

##### Pension Plan

Trustmark maintains a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (Trustmark Capital Accumulation Plan), which covers substantially all associates employed prior to January 1, 2007. The plan provides retirement benefits that are based on the length of credited service and final average compensation as defined in the plan and vests upon five years of service.

In December 2006, Trustmark adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" and elected to move its measurement date for the plan to December 31 from October 31. The following table presents information regarding the net periodic benefit cost for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net periodic benefit cost				
Service cost	\$ 499	\$ 326	\$ 910	\$ 653
Interest cost	1,234	1,175	2,468	2,349
Expected return on plan assets	(1,399)	(1,323)	(2,797)	(2,645)
Amortization of prior service cost	(128)	(128)	(255)	(255)
Recognized net actuarial loss	341	564	842	1,127
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 547	\$ 614	\$ 1,168	\$ 1,229

The acceptable range of contributions to the plan is determined each year by the plan's actuary. Trustmark's policy is to fund amounts allowable for federal income tax purposes. The actual amount of the contribution will be determined based on the plan's funded status and return on plan assets as of the measurement date, which was December 31, 2007 for amounts related to 2008. In 2008, Trustmark's minimum required contribution is expected to be zero.

#### Supplemental Retirement Plan

Trustmark maintains a non-qualified supplemental retirement plan covering directors that elect to defer fees, key executive officers and senior officers. The plan provides for defined death benefits and/or retirement benefits based on a participant's covered salary. Trustmark has acquired life insurance contracts on the participants covered under the plan, which may be used to fund future payments under the plan. The measurement date for the plan is December 31.

The following table presents information regarding the plan's net periodic benefit cost for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net periodic benefit cost				
Service cost	\$ 317	\$ 328	\$ 608	\$ 648
Interest cost	523	454	1,046	908
Amortization of prior service cost	32	35	70	70
Recognized net actuarial loss	34	24	94	47
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 906	\$ 841	\$ 1,818	\$ 1,673

#### NOTE 7 – CONTINGENCIES

##### Letters of Credit

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to insure the performance of a customer to a third party. Trustmark issues financial and performance standby letters of credit in the normal course of business in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers. A financial standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to repay an outstanding loan or debt instrument. A performance standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to perform some contractual, nonfinancial obligation. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for standby and commercial letters of credit was \$158.8 million and \$168.3 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years, which



have an immaterial carrying value. Trustmark holds collateral to support standby letters of credit when deemed necessary. As of June 30, 2008, the fair value of collateral held was \$32.2 million.

### Legal Proceedings

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to the lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

### NOTE 8 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the effect of potentially dilutive stock grants outstanding during the period. The following table reflects weighted-average shares used to calculate basic and diluted EPS for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Basic shares	57,296	57,807	57,290	58,156
Dilutive shares	39	218	32	259
Diluted shares	57,335	58,025	57,322	58,415

### NOTE 9 - STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Trustmark paid \$38.4 million in income taxes during the first half of 2008, compared to \$27.1 million paid during the first half of 2007. Interest paid on deposit liabilities and other borrowings approximated \$99.9 million in the first half of 2008 and \$119.1 million in the first half of 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, noncash transfers from loans to foreclosed properties were \$17.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

### NOTE 10 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

Trustmark's management reporting structure includes four segments: general banking, wealth management, insurance and administration. General banking is responsible for all traditional banking products and services, including loans and deposits. Wealth management provides customized solutions for affluent customers by integrating financial services with traditional banking products and services such as private banking, money management, full-service brokerage, financial planning, personal and institutional trust, and retirement services, as well as life insurance and risk management services provided by TRMK Risk Management, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Trustmark National Bank (TNB). Insurance includes two wholly-owned subsidiaries of TNB: The Bottrell Insurance Agency and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated. Through Bottrell and Fisher-Brown, Trustmark provides a full range of retail insurance products, including commercial risk management products, bonding, group benefits and personal lines coverages. Administration includes all other activities that are not directly attributable to one of the major lines of business. Administration consists of internal operations such as Human Resources, Executive Administration, Treasury and Corporate Finance.



The accounting policies of each reportable segment are the same as those of Trustmark except for its internal allocations. Noninterest expenses for back-office operations support are allocated to segments based on estimated uses of those services. Trustmark measures the net interest income of its business segments with a process that assigns cost of funds or earnings credit on a matched-term basis. This process, called "funds transfer pricing", charges an appropriate cost of funds to assets held by a business unit, or credits the business unit for potential earnings for carrying liabilities. The net of these charges and credits flows through to the Administration Division, which contains the management team responsible for determining the bank's funding and interest rate risk strategies. During the first half of 2008 as a result of a steeper yield curve, the earnings credited to segments on deposits declined by a greater amount than the cost of funds charged to segments on loans, causing an increase in net interest income to the Administration Division.

The following tables disclose financial information by reportable segment for the periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

Trustmark Corporation  
Segment Information  
(\$ in thousands)

For the three months ended	General Banking Division	Wealth Management Division	Insurance Division	Administration Division	Total
June 30, 2008					
Net interest income	\$ 62,328	\$ 995	\$ 37	\$ 14,258	\$ 77,618
Provision for loan losses	30,863	(12)	-	161	31,012
Noninterest income	28,167	7,180	8,353	4,766	48,466
Noninterest expense	49,767	5,093	5,907	8,847	69,614
Income before income taxes	9,865	3,094	2,483	10,016	25,458
Income taxes	3,401	1,088	946	2,471	7,906
Segment net income	\$ 6,464	\$ 2,006	\$ 1,537	\$ 7,545	\$ 17,552

Selected Financial Information

Average assets	\$ 7,549,643	\$ 95,549	\$ 17,620	\$ 1,511,946	\$ 9,174,758
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 5,307	\$ 83	\$ 109	\$ 1,273	\$ 6,772

For the three months ended

June 30, 2007					
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 69,037	\$ 1,010	\$ (1)	\$ 3,786	\$ 73,832
Provision for loan losses	39	(19)	-	125	145
Noninterest income	24,242	6,528	9,919	(219)	40,470
Noninterest expense	49,727	4,966	6,254	7,886	68,833
Income (loss) before income taxes	43,513	2,591	3,664	(4,444)	45,324
Income taxes	15,007	916	1,423	(1,850)	15,496
Segment net income (loss)	\$ 28,506	\$ 1,675	\$ 2,241	\$ (2,594)	\$ 29,828

Selected Financial Information

Average assets	\$ 7,267,903	\$ 89,263	\$ 19,412	\$ 1,429,079	\$ 8,805,657
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 5,439	\$ 101	\$ 102	\$ 1,412	\$ 7,054

Trustmark Corporation  
Segment Information  
(\$ in thousands)

For the six months ended	General Banking Division	Wealth Management Division	Insurance Division	Administration Division	Total
June 30, 2008					
Net interest income	\$ 127,383	\$ 2,055	\$ 48	\$ 22,881	\$ 152,367
Provision for loan losses	45,076	(12)	-	191	45,255
Noninterest income	59,875	14,598	16,606	5,903	96,982
Noninterest expense	100,198	10,593	11,895	16,754	139,440
Income before income taxes	41,984	6,072	4,759	11,839	64,654
Income taxes	14,480	2,149	1,827	2,467	20,923
Segment net income	\$ 27,504	\$ 3,923	\$ 2,932	\$ 9,372	\$ 43,731

Selected Financial Information

Average assets	\$ 7,586,047	\$ 95,786	\$ 18,633	\$ 1,312,769	\$ 9,013,235
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 10,760	\$ 166	\$ 205	\$ 2,545	\$ 13,676

For the six months ended

June 30, 2007					
Net interest income (expense)	\$ 137,861	\$ 1,976	\$ (2)	\$ 5,939	\$ 145,774
Provision for loan losses	2,167	(20)	-	(363)	1,784
Noninterest income	47,854	12,525	18,725	(483)	78,621
Noninterest expense	100,056	9,988	12,098	16,097	138,239
Income (loss) before income taxes	83,492	4,533	6,625	(10,278)	84,372
Income taxes	28,823	1,609	2,568	(4,313)	28,687
Segment net income (loss)	\$ 54,669	\$ 2,924	\$ 4,057	\$ (5,965)	\$ 55,685

Selected Financial Information

Average assets	\$ 7,230,770	\$ 87,500	\$ 19,386	\$ 1,472,541	\$ 8,810,197
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 10,441	\$ 211	\$ 200	\$ 2,824	\$ 13,676

## NOTE 11 – FAIR VALUE

On January 1, 2008, Trustmark adopted SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements.” SFAS No. 157 established a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2, “Effective Date of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 157,” Trustmark will defer application of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until January 1, 2009.

Trustmark measures a portion of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Fair value is used for certain assets and liabilities in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Examples of these include derivative instruments, available for sale securities, loans held for sale and MSR. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, Trustmark uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating fair value, which are in accordance with SFAS No. 157.

In accordance with SFAS No. 157, Trustmark groups its assets and liabilities carried at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets or quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active.

Level 3 – Valuation is based on significant valuation assumptions that are not readily observable in the market.

When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at and/or marked to fair value, Trustmark considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. When possible, Trustmark looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, Trustmark looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and Trustmark must use alternative valuation techniques to derive a fair value measurement.

The methodologies Trustmark uses in determining the fair values are based primarily on the use of independent, market-based data to reflect a value that would be reasonably expected upon exchange of the position in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The large majority of assets that are stated at fair value are of a nature that can be valued using prices or inputs that are readily observable through a variety of independent data providers. The providers selected by Trustmark for fair valuation data are widely recognized and accepted vendors whose evaluations support the pricing functions of financial institutions, investment and mutual funds, and portfolio managers. Trustmark has documented and evaluated the pricing methodologies used by the vendors and has maintained internal processes that regularly test valuations for anomalies.

Mortgage loan commitments are valued based on the securities prices of similar collateral, term, rate and delivery for which the loan is eligible to deliver in place of the particular security. Trustmark acquires a broad array of mortgage security prices that are supplied by a market data vendor, which in turn accumulates prices from a broad list of securities dealers. Prices are processed through a mortgage pipeline management system that accumulates and segregates all loan commitment and forward-sale transactions according to the similarity of various characteristics (maturity, term, rate, and collateral). Prices are matched to those positions that are deemed to be an eligible substitute or offset (i.e. “deliverable”) for a corresponding security observed in the market place.





In situations where there is little market activity such as MSR, Trustmark uses independent experts to evaluate fair value through the use of prevailing market participant assumptions, and market participant valuation processes. These values and processes are periodically tested and validated by other third-party experts.

At this time, Trustmark presents no fair values that are derived through internal modeling. Should positions requiring fair valuation arise that are not relevant to existing methodologies, Trustmark will make every reasonable effort to obtain market participant assumptions, or independent evaluation.

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2008 (\$ in thousands):

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Securities available for sale	\$ 908,949	\$ 6,012	\$ 902,937	\$ -
Loans held for sale	184,858	-	184,858	-
Mortgage servicing rights	76,209	-	-	76,209
Other assets - derivatives	2,542	1,483	420	639
Other liabilities - derivatives	1,899	1,899	-	-

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2008 are summarized as follows (\$ in thousands):

	Other Assets - Derivatives	MSR
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 198	\$ 67,192
Total net gains (losses) included in net income	2,318	(1,822)
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	(1,877)	10,839
Net transfers into/out of Level 3	-	-
Balance, end of period	\$ 639	\$ 76,209

The amount of total gains for the period included in earnings that are attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses still held at June 30, 2008	\$ 948	\$ 2,911
---	--------	----------

Trustmark may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Assets at June 30, 2008 which have been measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include impaired loans. Loans for which it is probable Trustmark will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, Management measures impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan." Specific allowances for impaired loans are based on comparisons of the recorded carrying values of the loans to the present value of the estimated cash flows of these loans at each loan's original effective interest rate, the fair value of the collateral or the observable market prices of the loans. At June 30, 2008, impaired loans were written down to net realizable value based on the fair value of the collateral or other unobservable input and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Trustmark had outstanding balances of \$45.5 million in impaired loans as of June 30, 2008.

Certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test, as well as intangible assets. As stated above, SFAS No. 157 will be applicable to these fair value measurements beginning January 1, 2009.



NOTE 12 – RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2008

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.” SFAS No. 159 allows entities the option to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value as of specified dates. Such election, which may be applied on an instrument-by-instrument basis, is typically irrevocable once elected. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early application is allowed under certain circumstances. Management elected not to apply the fair value option to any of its assets or liabilities at January 1, 2008.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 06-11, “Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards.” EITF 06-11 states that an entity should recognize a realized tax benefit associated with dividends on nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units and outstanding equity share options charged to retained earnings as an increase in additional paid in capital. EITF 06-11 should be applied prospectively to income tax benefits of dividends on equity-classified share-based payment awards that are declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of EITF 06-11 did not have a material impact on Trustmark’s balance sheets or results of operations.

In November 2007, the SEC issued SAB No. 109 (SAB 109), “Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings.” SAB 109 rescinds SAB 105’s prohibition on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. SAB 109 also applies to any loan commitments for which fair value accounting is elected under SFAS No. 159. SAB 109 is effective prospectively for derivative loan commitments issued or modified in fiscal quarters beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of SAB 109 did not have a material impact on Trustmark’s balance sheets or results of operations.

New Accounting Standards

For additional information on new accounting standards issued but not effective until after June 30, 2008, please refer to Recent Pronouncements included in Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following provides a narrative discussion and analysis of Trustmark Corporation's (Trustmark) financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the supplemental financial data included elsewhere in this report.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements relating to anticipated future operating and financial performance measures, including net interest margin, credit quality, business initiatives, growth opportunities and growth rates, among other things and encompass any estimate, prediction, expectation, projection, opinion, anticipation, outlook or statement of belief included therein as well as the management assumptions underlying these forward-looking statements. Should one or more of these risks materialize, or should any such underlying assumptions prove to be significantly different, actual results may vary significantly from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected.

These risks could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations of Management and include, but are not limited to, changes in the level of nonperforming assets and charge-offs, local, state and national economic and market conditions, material changes in market interest rates, the costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation, competition in loan and deposit pricing, as well as the entry of new competitors into our markets through de novo expansion and acquisitions, changes in existing regulations or the adoption of new regulations, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits, technological changes, changes in the financial performance or condition of Trustmark's borrowers, the ability to control expenses, changes in Trustmark's compensation and benefit plans, greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business and other risks described in Trustmark's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although Management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Trustmark undertakes no obligation to update or revise any of this information, whether as the result of new information, future events or developments or otherwise.

### OVERVIEW

#### Business

Trustmark is a multi-bank holding company headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi, incorporated under the Mississippi Business Corporation Act on August 5, 1968. Trustmark commenced doing business in November 1968. Through its subsidiaries, Trustmark operates as a financial services organization providing banking and financial solutions through approximately 150 offices and 2,600 associates predominantly within the states of Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas.

Trustmark National Bank (TNB), Trustmark's wholly-owned subsidiary, accounts for over 98% of the assets and revenues of Trustmark. Initially chartered by the state of Mississippi in 1889, TNB is also headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi. In addition to banking activities, TNB provides investment and insurance products and services to its customers through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Trustmark Investment Advisors, Inc., The Bottrell Insurance Agency, Inc. (Bottrell), TRMK Risk Management, Inc., and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated (Fisher-Brown). TNB also owns all of the stock of Trustmark Securities, Inc., an inactive subsidiary.



Trustmark also engages in banking activities through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Somerville Bank & Trust Company (Somerville), headquartered in Somerville, Tennessee. Somerville presently has five locations in Somerville, Hickory Withe and Rossville, Tennessee. Trustmark also owns all of the stock of F. S. Corporation and First Building Corporation, both inactive nonbank Mississippi corporations.

In order to facilitate a private placement of trust preferred securities, Trustmark formed a Delaware trust affiliate, Trustmark Preferred Capital Trust I (Trustmark Trust). Also, as a result of the acquisition of Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc., Trustmark owns Republic Bancshares Capital Trust I (Republic Trust), a Delaware trust affiliate. As defined in applicable accounting standards, both Trustmark Trust and Republic Trust, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Trustmark, are considered variable interest entities for which Trustmark is not the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the accounts of both trusts are not included in Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Trustmark's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and follow general practices within the financial services industry. Application of these accounting principles requires Management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, actual financial results could differ from those estimates.

Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. There have been no significant changes in Trustmark's critical accounting estimates during the first six months of 2008.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Trustmark's net income totaled \$17.6 million in the second quarter of 2008, which represented basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.31. Trustmark's second quarter 2008 net income produced returns on average tangible equity and average assets of 11.70% and 0.77% respectively. During the first six months of 2008, Trustmark's net income totaled \$43.7 million, which represented basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.76. Trustmark's performance during the first half of 2008 resulted in returns on average tangible equity and average assets of 14.61% and 0.98%, respectively.

Net income for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2008, decreased \$12.3 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, when compared with the same periods in 2007. The decrease in both periods is primarily related to additional provision for loan losses resulting from changes in credit quality in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market. In addition, earnings during the quarter included a gain on sale of MasterCard stock that increased net income by \$3.3 million, or \$0.058 per share.

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Selected income statement data and other selected data for the comparable periods were as follows (\$ in thousands, except per share data):

	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	6/30/2008	6/30/2007	6/30/2008	6/30/2007
Net interest income-fully taxable equivalent	\$ 79,865	\$ 76,139	\$ 156,935	\$ 150,634
Taxable equivalent adjustment	2,247	2,307	4,568	4,860
Net interest income	77,618	73,832	152,367	145,774
Provision for loan losses	31,012	145	45,255	1,784
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	46,606	73,687	107,112	143,990
Noninterest income	48,466	40,470	96,982	78,621
Noninterest expense	69,614	68,833	139,440	138,239
Income before income taxes	25,458	45,324	64,654	84,372
Income taxes	7,906	15,496	20,923	28,687
Net income	\$ 17,552	\$ 29,828	\$ 43,731	\$ 55,685
Earnings per common share - basis	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.96
Earnings per common share - diluted	0.31	0.51	0.76	0.95
Dividends per common share	0.23	0.22	0.46	0.44
Return on average assets	0.77%	1.36%	0.98%	1.27%
Return on average tangible equity	11.70%	21.38%	14.61%	20.08%

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed in the section captioned "Results of Operations."

Non-GAAP Disclosures

Management is presenting, in the accompanying table, adjustments to net income as reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for significant items occurring during the periods presented. Management believes this information will help users compare Trustmark's current results to those of prior periods as presented in the table below (\$ in thousands):

	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	6/30/2008	6/30/2007	6/30/2008	6/30/2007
Net Income as reported-GAAP	\$ 17,552	\$ 29,828	\$ 43,731	\$ 55,685
Adjustments (net of taxes):				
MasterCard Class A Common	(3,308)	-	(3,308)	-
Visa Litigation Contingency	-	-	(936)	-
Hurricane Katrina	-	-	-	(665)
	(3,308)	-	(4,244)	(665)
Net Income adjusted for specific items (Non-GAAP)	\$ 14,244	\$ 29,828	\$ 39,487	\$ 55,020

MasterCard Class A Common

During the second quarter of 2008, MasterCard offered Class B shareholders the right to convert their stock into marketable Class A shares. Trustmark exercised its right to convert its shares and sold them through a liquidation program. The conversion and sale resulted in an after-tax gain of \$3.3 million.

Visa Litigation Contingency

In the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark recognized an after-tax gain of \$936 thousand resulting from the Visa initial public offering. This gain more than offsets an after-tax accrual of \$494 thousand that Trustmark recorded in the fourth quarter of 2007 for the Visa litigation contingency relating to the Visa USA Inc. antitrust lawsuit settlement with American Express and other pending Visa litigation (reflecting Trustmark's share as a Visa member).



#### Hurricane Katrina

In the third quarter of 2005, immediately following the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, Trustmark estimated possible pre-tax losses resulting from this storm of \$11.7 million. Trustmark revised these estimates quarterly and any subsequent adjustments are reflected in the table above, net of taxes. At June 30, 2008, the allowance for loan losses included \$405 thousand related to possible Hurricane Katrina losses.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the principal component of Trustmark's income stream and represents the difference, or spread, between interest and fee income generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates, as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, can materially impact net interest income. The net interest margin (NIM) is computed by dividing fully taxable equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets and measures how effectively Trustmark utilizes its interest-earning assets in relationship to the interest cost of funding them. The accompanying Yield/Rate Analysis Table shows the average balances for all assets and liabilities of Trustmark and the interest income or expense associated with earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The yields and rates have been computed based on interest income and expense adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis using a 35% federal marginal tax rate for all periods shown. Nonaccruing loans have been included in the average loan balances, and interest collected prior to these loans having been placed on nonaccrual has been included in interest income. Loan fees included in interest income associated with the average loan balances are immaterial.

Net interest income-FTE for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2008, increased \$3.7 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, when compared with the same time periods in 2007. Trustmark has been able to maintain its net interest margin in spite of interest rates continuing to fall during the second quarter of 2008, by keeping its borrowing costs down through disciplined deposit pricing. This strategy has been successful because Trustmark's strong liquidity position has allowed Management to be selective in utilizing its deposit base as a funding source. The combination of these factors resulted in a four basis point increase in NIM to 3.92%, when the first six months of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007, while the second quarter NIM of 3.91% was two basis points higher than in the second quarter of 2007. For additional discussion, see Market/Interest Rate Risk Management included later in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Average interest-earning assets for the first six months of 2008 were \$8.059 billion, compared with \$7.823 billion for the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$236.4 million. More importantly, the mix of average earning assets changed dramatically when comparing 2008 to 2007. Average total loans during the first six months of 2008 increased \$404.7 million, or 6.0%, relative to the same time period in 2007, while average investment securities decreased by \$143.5 million, or 14.2%, during the same time period. However, interest rates continued to fall during the first half of 2008 which contributed to a decline in the yield on loans of 87 basis points when compared to the same time period in 2007. The combination of these factors resulted in a decline in interest income-FTE of \$19.0 million, or 7.0%, when the first half of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007. The impact of these factors is also illustrated by the yield on total earning assets decreasing from 6.96% for the first six months of 2007, to 6.26% for the same time period of 2008, a decrease of 70 basis points.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the first six months of 2008 totaled \$6.537 billion compared with \$6.300 billion for the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$237.3 million, or 3.8%. However, the mix of these liabilities has changed when these two periods are compared. Management's strategy of disciplined deposit pricing resulted in a 1.0% increase in interest-bearing deposits during the first half of 2008 while the combination of federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and borrowings increased by 26.9%. The impact of utilizing these higher cost interest-bearing liabilities was offset somewhat by the decrease in the overall yield of 191 basis

points on these products when the first six months of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007. As a result of these factors, total interest expense for the first six months of 2008 decreased \$25.3 million, or 21.2%, when compared with the same time period in 2007.

Yield/Rate Analysis Table  
(\$ in thousands)

	Quarter Ended June 30,					
	2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate
<b>Assets</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 30,567	\$ 168	2.21%	\$ 33,811	\$ 457	5.42%
Securities - taxable	955,837	11,079	4.66%	852,239	9,018	4.24%
Securities - nontaxable	112,809	1,943	6.93%	139,118	2,536	7.31%
Loans (including loans held for sale)	7,080,495	109,023	6.19%	6,784,126	124,224	7.34%
Other earning assets	41,481	475	4.61%	35,033	541	6.19%
Total interest-earning assets	8,221,189	122,688	6.00%	7,844,327	136,776	6.99%
Cash and due from banks	253,545			285,424		
Other assets	782,986			748,306		
Allowance for loan losses	(82,962)			(72,400)		
Total Assets	\$ 9,174,758			\$ 8,805,657		
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 5,746,237	36,881	2.58%	\$ 5,615,752	51,686	3.69%
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	618,227	3,019	1.96%	426,738	5,014	4.71%
Other borrowings	322,602	2,923	3.64%	262,607	3,937	6.01%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	6,687,066	42,823	2.58%	6,305,097	60,637	3.86%
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	1,409,371			1,484,611		
Other liabilities	134,237			120,879		
Shareholders' equity	944,084			895,070		
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 9,174,758			\$ 8,805,657		
Net Interest Margin		79,865	3.91%		76,139	3.89%
Less tax equivalent adjustment		2,247			2,307	
Net Interest Margin per Consolidated Statements of Income		\$ 77,618			\$ 73,832	

The prior period has been restated to include the addition of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock in other earning assets.



Yield/Rate Analysis Table  
(\$ in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2008			2007		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate
<b>Assets</b>						
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>						
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 26,744	\$ 347	2.61%	\$ 53,832	\$ 1,433	5.37%
Securities - taxable	749,261	16,936	4.55%	866,128	18,098	4.21%
Securities - nontaxable	115,305	4,029	7.03%	141,945	5,169	7.34%
Loans (including loans held for sale)	7,128,864	228,664	6.45%	6,724,206	244,189	7.32%
Other earning assets	39,220	1,047	5.37%	36,909	1,133	6.19%
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>8,059,394</b>	<b>251,023</b>	<b>6.26%</b>	<b>7,823,020</b>	<b>270,022</b>	<b>6.96%</b>
Cash and due from banks	256,469			315,532		
Other assets	779,352			744,071		
Allowance for loan losses	(81,980)			(72,426)		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 9,013,235</b>			<b>\$ 8,810,197</b>		
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>						
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 5,671,729	80,244	2.85%	\$ 5,617,638	102,041	3.66%
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	517,783	6,092	2.37%	389,475	8,827	4.57%
Other borrowings	347,326	7,752	4.49%	292,449	8,520	5.87%
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>6,536,838</b>	<b>94,088</b>	<b>2.89%</b>	<b>6,299,562</b>	<b>119,388</b>	<b>3.82%</b>
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	1,400,107			1,489,999		
Other liabilities	137,988			124,054		
Shareholders' equity	938,302			896,582		
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 9,013,235</b>			<b>\$ 8,810,197</b>		
<b>Net Interest Margin</b>		<b>156,935</b>	<b>3.92%</b>		<b>150,634</b>	<b>3.88%</b>
<b>Less tax equivalent adjustment</b>		<b>4,568</b>			<b>4,860</b>	
<b>Net Interest Margin per Consolidated Statements of Income</b>		<b>\$ 152,367</b>			<b>\$ 145,774</b>	

The prior period has been restated to include the addition of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock in other earning assets.

#### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is determined by Management as the amount necessary to adjust the allowance for loan losses to a level, which, in Management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans, net charge-offs or recoveries and growth in the loan portfolio among other factors. Accordingly, the amount of the provision reflects both the necessary increases in the allowance for loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, as well as the actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools.

PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	6/30/2008	6/30/2007	6/30/2008	6/30/2007
Florida	\$ 24,145	\$ 482	\$ 33,702	\$ 576
Mississippi (1)	3,667	360	6,474	1,562
Tennessee (2)	2,440	(368)	3,219	(372)
Texas	760	(329)	1,860	18
Total provision for loan losses	\$ 31,012	\$ 145	\$ 45,255	\$ 1,784

(1) - Mississippi includes Central and Southern Mississippi Regions

(2) - Tennessee includes Memphis, Tennessee and Northern Mississippi Regions

As shown in the table above, the provision for loan losses for the first six months of 2008 totaled \$45.3 million, or 1.28% of average loans, compared with \$1.8 million during the same time period in 2007. The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2008 totaled \$31.0 million, or 1.76% of average loans, compared with \$145 thousand during the same time period in 2007. Trustmark's provision for the first half of 2008 was impacted by an increase of \$67.5 million and \$17.3 million in nonaccrual loans when compared to June 30, 2007 and March 31, 2008, respectively.

These increases are largely attributed to continued credit deterioration in the construction and land development portfolio in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market resulting from prolonged depression in real estate demand. During the second quarter, Trustmark's loan review teams conducted reviews encompassing approximately 85% of the Florida loan portfolio. Trustmark's Florida loan officers, in conjunction with corporate credit administration officers, credit workout groups, other real estate specialists and legal department officers secured updated financials on all guarantors of significant credits. In addition, 159 updated property appraisals were obtained during the second quarter. As a result, nonaccrual loans for that market grew to \$70.5 million at June 30, 2008, an increase of \$64.1 million when compared with June 30, 2007 and \$20.8 million when compared with March 31, 2008. In addition, net charge-offs in the Florida market during the second quarter of 2008 totaled \$22.1 million, an increase of \$12.4 million when compared with the first quarter of 2008. Trustmark's Management believes that the Florida construction and land development portfolio is appropriately risk rated and adequately reserved based upon current conditions. Trustmark's Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas loan portfolios continued to perform relatively well in the current economic environment as seen by the changes in provision for loan losses illustrated in the table above.

See the section captioned "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

#### Noninterest Income

Trustmark's noninterest income continues to play an important role in improving net income and total shareholder value. Total noninterest income before security gains, net for the first six months of 2008 increased \$18.0 million, or 22.9%, compared to the same time period in 2007. For the second quarter of 2008, noninterest income before security gains, net increased \$8.0 million, or 19.7% when compared to the second quarter of 2007. Please see the discussion below for the selected items which supported this increase. The comparative components of noninterest income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are shown in the accompanying table.

The single largest component of noninterest income continues to be service charges for deposit products and services, which totaled \$25.8 million for the first six months of 2008 compared with \$26.4 million for the first six months of 2007, a decrease of \$635 thousand, or 2.4%. This decline was due to a decrease in NSF revenues which was negatively impacted by the issuance of U.S. Government Economic Stimulus checks as well as a reduction in service charges due to a shift in the relative mix of deposit products towards lower cost or free accounts.





Noninterest Income  
(\$ in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 13,223	\$ 13,729	\$ (506)	-3.7%	\$ 25,787	\$ 26,422	\$ (635)	-2.4%
Insurance commissions	8,394	9,901	(1,507)	-15.2%	16,650	18,673	(2,023)	-10.8%
Wealth management	7,031	6,400	631	9.9%	14,229	12,279	1,950	15.9%
General banking - other	6,053	6,418	(365)	-5.7%	11,841	12,588	(747)	-5.9%
Mortgage banking, net	6,708	1,799	4,909	n/m	17,764	4,554	13,210	n/m
Other, net	6,999	2,194	4,805	n/m	10,220	4,018	6,202	n/m
Total Noninterest Income before securities gains, net	48,408	40,441	7,967	19.7%	96,491	78,534	17,957	22.9%
Securities gains, net	58	29	29	n/m	491	87	404	n/m
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 48,466	\$ 40,470	\$ 7,996	19.8%	\$ 96,982	\$ 78,621	\$ 18,361	23.4%

n/m - percentages greater than +/- 100% are considered not meaningful

Insurance commissions were \$16.7 million during the first half of 2008, a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 10.8%, when compared with the first half of 2007. The decline in insurance commissions experienced during the first half of 2008 is primarily attributable to Fisher-Brown, Trustmark's wholly-owned insurance subsidiary located in the Florida Panhandle, which has been impacted by a decline in revenues resulting from a decrease in premium rates charged by its insurance carriers.

Wealth management income totaled \$14.2 million for the first six months of 2008, compared with \$12.3 million during the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 15.9%. Wealth management consists of income related to investment management, trust and brokerage services. The growth in wealth management income during the first half of 2008 is largely attributed to an increase in trust and investment management fee income resulting from new account growth. In addition, revenues from brokerage services have increased due to solid and improved production from Trustmark's team of investment representatives. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark held assets under management and administration of \$7.6 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively, as well as brokerage assets of \$1.2 billion at both period ends.

General banking-other totaled \$11.8 million during the first six months of 2008, compared with \$12.6 million in the same time period in 2007. General banking-other income consists primarily of fees on various bank products and services as well as bankcard fees and safe deposit box fees. This decrease is primarily related to a decline in fees earned on an interest rate driven product.

Net revenues from mortgage banking were \$17.8 million during the first six months of 2008, compared with \$4.6 million in the first six months of 2007. As shown in the accompanying table, net mortgage servicing income has increased \$595 thousand, or 8.6% when the first half of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007. This increase coincides with growth in the balance of the mortgage servicing portfolio as well as an increase in mortgage

production. Loans serviced for others totaled \$4.8 billion at June 30, 2008 compared with \$4.2 billion at June 30, 2007. Trustmark's highly regarded mortgage banking reputation has enabled it to take advantage of competitive disruptions and expand market share.

The following table illustrates the components of mortgage banking revenues included in noninterest income in the accompanying income statements (\$ in thousands):

## Mortgage Banking Income

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
Mortgage servicing income, net	\$ 3,804	\$ 3,478	\$ 326	9.4%	\$ 7,551	\$ 6,956	\$ 595	8.6%
Change in fair value-MSR from market changes	13,104	4,392	8,712	n/m	2,911	3,945	(1,034)	-26.2%
Change in fair value of derivatives	(10,453)	(5,492)	(4,961)	90.3%	7,146	(4,777)	11,923	n/m
Change in fair value-MSR from runoff	(2,303)	(2,494)	191	-7.7%	(4,733)	(4,598)	(135)	2.9%
Gains on sales of loans	2,542	1,496	1,046	69.9%	3,620	2,841	779	27.4%
Other, net	14	419	(405)	-96.7%	1,269	187	1,082	n/m
Mortgage banking, net	\$ 6,708	\$ 1,799	\$ 4,909	n/m	\$ 17,764	\$ 4,554	\$ 13,210	n/m

n/m - percentages greater than +/- 100% are considered not meaningful

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments such as Treasury note futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts to offset changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) attributable to changes in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in mortgage banking income and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR, as shown in the accompanying table. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in interest rates. Changes in yields created fluctuating values in both MSR and the hedge during the second quarter of 2008. During the first six months of 2008, the MSR value increased \$2.9 million primarily due to a 32 basis point increase in mortgage rates. The hedge improved in value by \$7.1 million due to three factors; a five basis point decline in Treasury market yields, increased income from options due to higher levels of volatility and additional income due to a steeper yield curve. The impact of implementing this strategy resulted in a net positive ineffectiveness of \$10.1 million. Changes in the fair value of MSR from present value decay, referred to as "runoff," reduced total mortgage banking income by \$4.7 million and \$4.6 million for the first half of 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Other income for the first half of 2008 was \$10.2 million, compared to \$4.0 million in the same time period in 2007. During the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark achieved a \$1.0 million gain from the redemption of Trustmark's shares in Visa upon their initial public offering along with \$1.1 million in insurance benefits resulting from insurance policies used to cover participants in Trustmark's supplemental retirement plan. Another portion of the increase shown during the first half of 2008 occurred during the second quarter and is related to Trustmark's conversion and sale of MasterCard Class A common stock. During the quarter, MasterCard offered Class B shareholders the right to convert their stock into marketable Class A shares. Trustmark exercised its right to convert these shares and sold them through a liquidation program achieving a gain of \$5.4 million. These transactions are offset by decreases of \$588 thousand in income earned from Trustmark's investment in various limited partnerships and \$635 thousand in revenues earned on a product driven by interest rates.

Securities gains totaled \$491 thousand during the first six months of 2008 compared with securities gains of \$87 thousand during the same time period in 2007. The securities gains for 2008 came primarily from an effort to reduce Trustmark's holding of corporate bonds.

#### Noninterest Expense

Trustmark's noninterest expense for the first half of 2008 increased \$1.2 million, or 0.9%, compared to the same time period in 2007. The comparative components of noninterest expense for the three and six-month periods of 2008 and 2007 are shown in the accompanying table.

Management considers expense control a key area of focus in the support of improving shareholder value. During the second quarter of 2008, noninterest expense totaled \$69.6 million, marking the fifth consecutive quarter below Management's target of \$70.0 million. Trustmark's success in this regard was the result of ongoing human capital management programs as well as a heightened awareness of expense management across the organization. Management remains committed to identifying additional reengineering and efficiency opportunities designed to enhance shareholder value.

Noninterest Expense  
(\$ in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 42,771	\$ 42,853	\$ (82)	-0.2%	\$ 86,355	\$ 86,019	\$ 336	0.4%
Services and fees	9,526	9,041	485	5.4%	18,956	18,599	357	1.9%
Net occupancy - premises	4,850	4,634	216	4.7%	9,651	9,048	603	6.7%
Equipment expense	4,144	4,048	96	2.4%	8,218	7,952	266	3.3%
Other expense	8,323	8,257	66	0.8%	16,260	16,621	(361)	-2.2%
Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 69,614	\$ 68,833	\$ 781	1.1%	\$ 139,440	\$ 138,239	\$ 1,201	0.9%

Salaries and employee benefits, the largest category of noninterest expense, were \$86.4 million in the first half of 2008 and \$86.0 million in the same time period in 2007. During the first half of 2008, salary expense remained relatively flat when compared with the same time period in 2007 and was positively impacted by Trustmark's human capital management programs which resulted in a decrease of 57 FTE employees at June 30, 2008, when compared with the same time period in 2007. Employee benefits expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased by approximately \$435 thousand when compared to the same time period in 2007 and is primarily attributed to increased costs for employee insurance programs offset by declines in expenses related to Trustmark's 401(k) plan as well as payroll taxes.

Changes in net occupancy and equipment expenses have resulted from Trustmark's continued banking center expansion program as well as the initial implementation of technology enhancements. Expenses in these two categories increased by approximately \$869 thousand on a comparative year-to-date basis with \$312 thousand of this increase occurring during the second quarter of 2008.

Other expenses decreased \$361 thousand, or 2.2%, when comparing the first six months of 2008 to the same time period in 2007. This change has been heavily impacted by an event which occurred during the first quarter of 2008. In March 2008, in connection with its initial public offering (IPO), Visa used a portion of the IPO proceeds to fund an escrow account with respect to its previously mentioned litigation matters. This enabled Trustmark to recognize its portion of the escrow account totaling \$473 thousand as a reduction to other expenses.

#### Income Taxes

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, Trustmark's combined effective tax rate was 32.4% compared to 34.0% for the same time period in 2007. The decrease in Trustmark's effective tax rate is due to immaterial changes in permanent items as a percentage of pretax income.

#### LIQUIDITY

Liquidity is the ability to meet asset funding requirements and operational cash outflows in a timely manner, in sufficient amount and without excess cost. Consistent cash flows from operations and adequate capital provide internally generated liquidity. Furthermore, Management maintains funding capacity from a variety of external sources to meet daily funding needs, such as those required to meet deposit withdrawals, loan disbursements and security settlements. Liquidity strategy also includes the use of wholesale funding sources to provide for the seasonal fluctuations of deposit and loan demand and the cyclical fluctuations of the economy that impact the availability of

funds. Management keeps excess funding capacity available to meet potential demands associated with adverse circumstances.

The asset side of the balance sheet provides liquidity primarily through maturities and cash flows from loans and securities, as well as the ability to sell certain loans and securities while the liability portion of the balance sheet provides liquidity primarily through noninterest and interest-bearing deposits. Trustmark utilizes Federal funds purchased, brokered deposits, FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase to provide additional liquidity. Access to these additional sources represents Trustmark's incremental borrowing capacity.

At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had \$581.0 million of Federal funds purchased from upstream correspondents, compared to \$279.5 million at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had an estimated additional capacity of \$1.276 billion, compared with \$1.337 billion at December 31, 2007. Based on internal guidelines, Trustmark had the capacity to increase brokered deposits by \$352.5 million, compared to \$328.8 million at year end. Trustmark also maintains a relationship with the FHLB, which provided \$150.0 million in short-term advances at June 30, 2008, compared with \$375.0 million in short-term advances at December 31, 2007. Under the existing borrowing agreement, Trustmark had sufficient qualifying collateral to increase FHLB advances by \$1.498 billion. Another borrowing source is the Federal Reserve Discount Window (Discount Window). At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had approximately \$626.0 million available in collateral capacity at the Discount Window from pledges of auto loans and securities, compared with \$712.5 million at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had \$7.0 million outstanding on a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit facility that expires in March 2010. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, Trustmark repaid the outstanding balance on this line of credit and terminated the agreement.

During 2006, TNB issued \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of Subordinated Notes (the Notes) due December 15, 2016. At June 30, 2008, the carrying amount of the Notes was \$49.7 million. The Notes were sold pursuant to the terms of regulations issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and in reliance upon an exemption provided by the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Notes are unsecured and subordinate and junior in right of payment to TNB's obligations to its depositors, its obligations under bankers' acceptances and letters of credit, its obligations to any Federal Reserve Bank or the FDIC and its obligations to its other creditors, and to any rights acquired by the FDIC as a result of loans made by the FDIC to TNB. The Notes, which are not redeemable prior to maturity, qualify as Tier 2 capital for both TNB and Trustmark. Proceeds from the sale of the Notes were used for general corporate purposes.

Also during 2006, Trustmark completed a private placement of \$60.0 million of trust preferred securities through a newly formed Delaware trust affiliate, Trustmark Preferred Capital Trust I, (the Trust). The trust preferred securities mature September 30, 2036 and are redeemable at Trustmark's option beginning after five years. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, these trust preferred securities qualify as Tier 1 capital. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities were used by the Trust to purchase \$61.856 million in aggregate principal amount of Trustmark's junior subordinated debentures. The net proceeds to Trustmark from the sale of the junior subordinated debentures to the Trust were used to assist in financing Trustmark's merger with Republic.

Another funding mechanism set into place in 2006 was Trustmark's grant of a Class B banking license from the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority. Subsequently, Trustmark established a branch in the Cayman Islands through an agent bank. The branch was established as a mechanism to attract dollar denominated foreign deposits (i.e. Eurodollars) as an additional source of funding. At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had \$46.6 million in Eurodollar deposits outstanding.

The Board of Directors currently has the authority to issue up to 20 million preferred shares with no par value. The ability to issue preferred shares in the future will provide Trustmark with additional financial and management flexibility for general corporate and acquisition purposes. At June 30, 2008, no such shares have been issued.





Liquidity position and strategy are reviewed regularly by the Asset/Liability Committee and continuously adjusted in relationship to Trustmark's overall strategy. Management believes that Trustmark has sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet presently known cash flow requirements arising from ongoing business transactions.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

At June 30, 2008, Trustmark's shareholders' equity was \$935.9 million, an increase of \$16.2 million from its level at December 31, 2007. During the first six months of 2008, shareholders' equity increased primarily as a result of net income of \$43.7 million offset by a \$3.2 million increase in accumulated other comprehensive loss and dividends paid of \$26.5 million. Trustmark utilizes a sophisticated capital model in order to provide Management with a monthly tool for analyzing changes in its strategic capital ratios. This allows Management to hold sufficient capital to provide for growth opportunities, protect the balance sheet against sudden adverse market conditions while maintaining an attractive return on equity to shareholders.

### Common Stock Repurchase Program

At June 30, 2008, Trustmark had remaining authorization for the repurchase of up to 1.4 million shares of its common stock. Collectively, the capital management plans adopted by Trustmark since 1998 have authorized the repurchase of 24.3 million shares of common stock. Pursuant to these plans, Trustmark has repurchased approximately 22.7 million shares for \$518.1 million. Trustmark did not repurchase any shares during the first six months of 2008.

### Dividends

Dividends for the six months ended June 30, 2008, were \$0.46 per share, increasing 4.5% when compared with dividends of \$0.44 per share for the same time period in 2007. Trustmark's indicated dividend for 2008 is currently \$0.92 per share, up from \$0.88 per share for 2007.

### Regulatory Capital

Trustmark and TNB are subject to minimum capital requirements, which are administered by various federal regulatory agencies. These capital requirements, as defined by federal guidelines, involve quantitative and qualitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet instruments. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements of both Trustmark and TNB. Trustmark aims to exceed the well-capitalized guidelines for regulatory capital. As of June 30, 2008, Trustmark and TNB have exceeded all of the minimum capital standards for the parent company and its primary banking subsidiary as established by regulatory requirements. In addition, TNB has met applicable regulatory guidelines to be considered well-capitalized at June 30, 2008. To be categorized in this manner, TNB must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the accompanying table. There are no significant conditions or events that have occurred since June 30, 2008, which Management believes have affected TNB's present classification.

In addition, during 2006, Trustmark enhanced its capital structure with the issuance of trust preferred securities and Subordinated Notes. For regulatory capital purposes, the trust preferred securities qualify as Tier 1 capital while the Subordinated Notes qualify as Tier 2 capital. The addition of these capital instruments provided Trustmark a cost effective manner in which to manage shareholders' equity and enhance financial flexibility.

Regulatory Capital Table  
(\$ in thousands)

	June 30, 2008					
	Actual Regulatory Capital		Minimum Regulatory Capital Required		Minimum Regulatory Provision to be Well-Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 833,070	11.46%	\$ 581,666	8.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	808,273	11.27%	573,887	8.00%	\$ 717,358	10.00%
<b>Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 696,769	9.58%	\$ 290,833	4.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	677,426	9.44%	286,943	4.00%	\$ 430,415	6.00%
<b>Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 696,769	7.87%	\$ 265,501	3.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	677,426	7.79%	260,991	3.00%	\$ 434,984	5.00%

## EARNING ASSETS

Earning assets serve as the primary revenue streams for Trustmark and are comprised of securities, loans, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. Average earning assets totaled \$8.221 billion, or 89.6% of total assets, for the second quarter of 2008, \$7.898 billion, or 89.2% of total assets for the first quarter of 2008 and \$7.844 billion, or 89.1% of total assets, for the second quarter of 2007.

## Securities

From 2005 through 2007, Trustmark allowed its investment portfolio to run-off given a flat yield curve and limited spread opportunity. The cash flow created by this run-off was reinvested in higher yielding loans resulting in an improved net interest margin percentage. In the first quarter of 2008, given a steeper yield curve and improved spread opportunities on investment securities versus traditional funding sources, Trustmark began purchasing securities.

When compared with December 31, 2007, total investment securities increased by \$452.2 million during the first half of 2008. This increase resulted primarily from purchases of Agency issued or guaranteed collateralized mortgage obligation securities offset by maturities and paydowns. In addition, during the first six months of 2008, Trustmark sold approximately \$48.5 million of corporate bonds, which carried a high risk-rating for risk-based capital purposes, generating a gain of approximately \$404 thousand. This was a strategy undertaken to reduce lower yielding, higher risk-weight investment portfolio assets.

Management uses the securities portfolio as a tool to control exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be adjusted by altering both the duration of the portfolio and the balance of the portfolio. Trustmark has maintained a strategy of offsetting potential exposure to higher interest rates by keeping both the duration and the balances of investment securities at relatively low levels. The duration of the portfolio was 2.87 years at June 30, 2008 and 1.77 years at December 31, 2007. Duration during the first six months of 2008 was somewhat lengthened as a result of the recent investment strategy mentioned above.

AFS securities are carried at their estimated fair value with unrealized gains or losses recognized, net of taxes, in accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2008, AFS securities totaled \$908.9 million, which represented 77.7% of the securities portfolio, compared to \$442.3 million, or 61.7%, at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, net unrealized losses on AFS securities of \$7.0 million, net of \$2.7 million of deferred income taxes, were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, compared with net unrealized losses of \$1.2 million, net of \$0.4 million in deferred income taxes, at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, AFS securities consisted of U.S. Treasury securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, mortgage related securities and corporate securities.

Held to maturity (HTM) securities are carried at amortized cost and represent those securities that Trustmark both intends and has the ability to hold to maturity. At June 30, 2008, HTM securities totaled \$260.7 million and represented 22.3% of the total portfolio, compared with \$275.1 million, or 38.3%, at the end of 2007.

Management continues to focus on asset quality as one of the strategic goals of the securities portfolio, which is evidenced by the investment of approximately 82% of the portfolio in U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agency-backed obligations and other AAA rated securities. None of the securities in the portfolio are considered to be sub-prime. Furthermore, outside of membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas, Trustmark does not hold any equity investment in government sponsored entities.

#### Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans (excluding loans held for sale) and the allowance for loan losses are reflected in the accompanying table (\$ in thousands). Loans at June 30, 2008 totaled \$6.859 billion compared to \$7.041 billion at December 31, 2007, a decrease of \$181.4 million. Average loans declined \$96.7 million for the same comparable period. These declines are directly attributable to a strategic focus to reduce certain loan classifications, specifically construction and land development loans, as well as indirect consumer auto loans. In addition, these decreases have been impacted by current economic conditions. Construction and land development loans have decreased by \$36.4 million when compared with December 31, 2007, while consumer loans have decreased by \$92.9 million during the same time period. The decline in construction and land development loans can be primarily attributable to Trustmark's Florida market, which at June 30, 2008 had loans totaling \$321.9 million; a decrease of \$64.3 million from December 31, 2007. The consumer loan portfolio decrease of \$92.9 million primarily represents a decrease in the indirect consumer auto portfolio. The declines in these classifications are expected to continue until the real estate market stabilizes in Florida and overall economic conditions improve.

LOANS BY TYPE	6/30/2008	12/31/2007	\$ Change	% Change
Loans secured by real estate:				
Construction, land development and other land loans	\$ 1,158,549	\$ 1,194,940	\$ (36,391)	-3.0%
Secured by 1-4 family residential properties	1,633,021	1,694,757	(61,736)	-3.6%
Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties	1,300,753	1,325,379	(24,626)	-1.9%
Other real estate secured	148,588	167,610	(19,022)	-11.3%
Commercial and industrial loans	1,313,620	1,283,014	30,606	2.4%
Consumer loans	994,475	1,087,337	(92,862)	-8.5%
Other loans	310,369	287,755	22,614	7.9%
Loans	6,859,375	7,040,792	(181,417)	-2.6%
Less Allowance for Loan Losses	86,576	79,851	6,725	8.4%
Net Loans	\$ 6,772,799	\$ 6,960,941	\$ (188,142)	-2.7%

The loan composition by region at June 30, 2008 is reflected in the following table (\$ in thousands). The table reflects a diversified mix of loans by region.

LOAN COMPOSITION BY REGION	Total	June 30, 2008			
		Florida	Mississippi (Central and Southern Regions)	Tennessee (Memphis, TN and Northern MS Regions)	Texas
Loans secured by real estate:	\$ 1,158,549	\$ 321,864	\$ 475,381	\$ 108,384	\$ 252,920

Construction, land development and other land loans					
Secured by 1-4 family residential properties	1,633,021	93,946	1,326,666	175,723	36,686
Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties	1,300,753	178,869	725,791	188,347	207,746
Other real estate secured	148,588	12,648	105,114	12,303	18,523
Commercial and industrial loans	1,313,620	22,739	934,086	67,399	289,396
Consumer loans	994,475	2,905	945,704	32,862	13,004
Other loans	310,369	12,704	276,744	15,123	5,798
Loans	\$ 6,859,375	\$ 645,675	\$ 4,789,486	\$ 600,141	\$ 824,073

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$86.6 million and \$79.9 million at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for estimated loan losses charged against earnings. The allowance reflects Management's best estimate of the probable loan losses related to specifically identified loans, as well as, probable loan losses in the remaining loan portfolio and requires considerable judgment. The allowance is based upon Management's current judgments and the credit quality of the loan portfolio, including all internal and external factors that impact loan collectibility. SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," and SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," limit the amount of the loss allowance to the estimate of losses that have been incurred at the balance sheet reporting date. Accordingly, the allowance is based upon past events and current economic conditions.

Trustmark's allowance has been developed using different factors to estimate losses based upon specific evaluation of identified individual loans considered impaired, estimated identified losses on various pools of loans and/or groups of risk rated loans with common risk characteristics and other external and internal factors of estimated probable losses based on other facts and circumstances.

Trustmark's allowance for probable loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as on other regulatory guidance. The level of Trustmark's allowance reflects Management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio growth, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. This evaluation takes into account other qualitative factors including recent acquisitions, national, regional and local economic trends and conditions, changes in credit concentration, changes in levels and trends of delinquencies and nonperforming loans, changes in levels and trends of net charge-offs, changes in interest rates and collateral, financial and underwriting exceptions. The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 114 based on probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 5 based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends; and (iii) qualitative risk valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 5 based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors, both internal and external, to Trustmark.

At June 30, 2008, the allowance for loan losses was \$86.6 million, resulting in allowance coverage of nonperforming loans of 90.8%. When impaired loans, which have been written down to net realizable value, are excluded from nonperforming loans, the revised allowance coverage of nonperforming loans is 173.6%. Trustmark's allocation of its allowance for loan losses represents 1.67% of commercial loans and 0.60% of consumer and home mortgage loans, resulting in an allowance to total loans of 1.26% at June 30, 2008.

Nonperforming assets totaled \$118.2 million at June 30, 2008, an increase of \$44.7 million relative to December 31, 2007, and represented 1.67% of total loans and other real estate. As seen in the table below, this change was largely attributable to increases in nonaccrual loans and other real estate originating in Trustmark's Florida market.

The details of Trustmark's nonperforming assets are shown in the accompanying table (\$ in thousands):

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>NONPERFORMING ASSETS</b>		
Nonaccrual loans		
Florida	\$ 70,484	\$ 43,787
Mississippi (1)	12,572	13,723
Tennessee (2)	5,216	4,431
Texas	7,039	3,232
Total nonaccrual loans	95,311	65,173
Other real estate		
Florida	10,398	995
Mississippi (1)	5,258	1,123
Tennessee (2)	6,778	6,084
Texas	438	146
Total other real estate	22,872	8,348
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 118,183	\$ 73,521
<b>LOANS PAST DUE OVER 90 DAYS</b>		
Loans	\$ 3,056	\$ 4,853
Loans HFS - guaranteed GNMA serviced loans	15,809	11,847
Total loans past due over 90 days	\$ 18,865	\$ 16,700

(1) - Mississippi includes Central and Southern Mississippi Regions

(2) - Tennessee includes Memphis, Tennessee and Northern Mississippi Regions

During the second quarter of 2008, Trustmark conducted extensive reviews of the construction and land development portfolio of its Florida Panhandle market. In addition to obtaining current financial information on borrowers and guarantors, updated property appraisals were obtained on a substantial portion of this \$321.9 million portfolio. Through the review and appraisal process, \$21.0 million in loans were charged-off based upon current property values in the marketplace. As seen in the accompanying table, approximately \$96.6 million in construction and land development loans have been classified and reserved for at appropriate levels, including \$42.4 million of impaired loans that have been written down to net realizable value. At June 30, 2008, Management believes that this portfolio is appropriately risk rated and adequately reserved based upon current conditions. Trustmark's Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas loan portfolios continue to perform relatively well in the current economic environment.

FLORIDA CREDIT QUALITY	Total Loans	Criticized Loans	Classified Loans	Nonaccrual Loans	Impaired Loans
Construction and land development loans:					
Lots	\$ 84,936	\$ 15,711	\$ 11,071	\$ 7,455	\$ 1,628
Development	41,098	19,245	19,245	19,245	10,107
Unimproved land	120,422	65,058	37,417	21,660	18,178
1-4 family construction	33,151	10,757	10,757	6,340	6,016
Other construction	42,257	25,636	18,119	9,861	6,497
Construction and land development loans	321,864	136,407	96,609	64,561	42,426
Commercial, commercial real estate and consumer	323,811	34,695	16,660	5,923	289

Total Florida loans	\$	645,675	\$	171,102	\$	113,269	\$	70,484	\$	42,715
---------------------	----	---------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----	--------

FLORIDA CREDIT QUALITY	Total Loans Less Impaired Loans	Loan Loss Reserves	Loan Loss Reserve % of Non-Impaired Loans
Construction and land development loans:			
Lots	\$ 83,308	\$ 4,037	4.85%
Development	30,991	3,328	10.74%
Unimproved land	102,244	5,065	4.95%
1-4 family construction	27,135	1,002	3.69%
Other construction	35,760	2,326	6.50%
Construction and land development loans	279,438	15,758	5.64%
Commercial, commercial real estate and consumer	323,522	6,587	2.04%
Total Florida loans	\$ 602,960	\$ 22,345	3.71%



As seen in the table below, Trustmark's net charges-offs totaled \$26.3 million during the second quarter of 2008 and \$38.5 million for the first half of the year. These increases can be attributed to work performed by Trustmark's loan review teams as well as a continued lack of residential real estate sales activity in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market. Management continues to monitor the impact of declining real estate values on borrowers and is proactively managing these situations.

NET CHARGE-OFFS	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Florida	\$ 22,064	\$ (19)	\$ 31,752	\$ 81
Mississippi (1)	4,214	1,193	5,788	2,426
Tennessee (2)	48	48	234	50
Texas	(72)	24	756	377
Total net charge-offs	\$ 26,254	\$ 1,246	\$ 38,530	\$ 2,934

(1) - Mississippi includes Central and Southern Mississippi Regions

(2) - Tennessee includes Memphis, Tennessee and Northern Mississippi Regions

Trustmark's loan policy dictates the guidelines to be followed in determining when a loan is charged-off. Commercial purpose loans are charged-off when a determination is made that the loan is uncollectible and continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. Consumer loans secured by residential real estate are generally charged-off or written down when the credit becomes severely delinquent, and the balance exceeds the fair value of the property less costs to sell. Non-real estate consumer purpose loans, including both secured and unsecured, are generally charged-off in full no later than when the loan becomes 120 days past due. Credit card loans are generally charged-off in full when the loan becomes 180 days past due.

#### Other Earning Assets

Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements were \$23.9 million at June 30, 2008, an increase of \$5.9 million when compared with December 31, 2007. Trustmark utilizes these products as a short-term investment alternative whenever it has excess liquidity.

#### DEPOSITS AND OTHER INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

Trustmark's deposit base is its primary source of funding and consists of core deposits from the communities served by Trustmark. Total deposits were \$7.124 billion at June 30, 2008, compared with \$6.869 billion at December 31, 2007, an increase of \$254.4 million, or 3.7%. When compared with March 31, 2008, deposit balances during the second quarter decreased by \$220.7 million. In both comparisons, noninterest-bearing deposits remained relatively unchanged. Declines in interest-bearing deposits during the second quarter were impacted by a roll-off of seasonal deposits received during the first quarter as well as Trustmark's decision to maintain a strategy of disciplined deposit pricing in light of its strong liquidity position.

Trustmark's commitment to increasing its presence in higher-growth markets is illustrated by its strategic initiative to build additional banking centers within its four-state banking franchise. This commitment will also benefit Trustmark's continued focus on increasing core deposit relationships. Thus far in 2008, Trustmark has opened five new banking centers and anticipates opening an additional banking center later this year.

Trustmark uses short-term borrowings to fund growth of earning assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, short-term FHLB advances and the treasury tax and loan note option account. Short-term borrowings totaled \$1.009 billion at June 30,

2008, an increase of \$73.8 million when compared with balances at December 31, 2007. The utilization of these wholesale funding products increased during the second quarter as Trustmark utilized a strategy of disciplined deposit pricing in order to control borrowing costs. Other borrowings also included \$70.1 million in junior subordinated debentures and \$49.7 million in subordinated notes outstanding at June 30, 2008.

## LEGAL ENVIRONMENT

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. In recent years, the legal environment in Mississippi has been considered by many to be adverse to business interests, with regards to the overall treatment of tort and contract litigation as well as the award of punitive damages. However, tort reform legislation that became effective during recent years may reduce the likelihood of unexpected, sizable awards. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Trustmark makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby and commercial letters of credit in the normal course of business in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers. These loan commitments and letters of credit are off-balance sheet arrangements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend money to customers pursuant to certain specified conditions. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Trustmark applies the same credit policies and standards as it does in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based upon the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark had commitments to extend credit of \$1.6 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to ensure the performance of a customer to a third party. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for letters of credit was \$158.8 million and \$168.3 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years. Trustmark holds collateral to support certain letters of credit when deemed necessary.

## ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

### Overview

Market risk reflects the potential risk of loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates and market prices. Trustmark has risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk. Trustmark's primary market risk is interest rate risk created by core banking activities. Interest rate risk is the potential variability of the income generated by Trustmark's financial products or services, or the value of same, which results from changes in various market interest rates. Market rate changes may take the form of absolute shifts, variances in the relationships between different rates and changes in the shape or slope of the interest rate term structure.

Management continually develops and applies cost-effective strategies to manage these risks. The Asset/Liability Committee sets the day-to-day operating guidelines, approves strategies affecting net interest income and coordinates

activities within policy limits established by the Board of Directors. A key objective of the asset/liability management program is to quantify, monitor and manage interest rate risk and to assist Management in maintaining stability in the net interest margin under varying interest rate environments.

### Market/Interest Rate Risk Management

The primary purpose in managing interest rate risk is to invest capital effectively and preserve the value created by the core banking business. This is accomplished through the development and implementation of lending, funding, pricing and hedging strategies designed to maximize net interest income performance under varying interest rate environments subject to specific liquidity and interest rate risk guidelines.

Financial simulation models are the primary tools used by Trustmark's Asset/Liability Committee to measure interest rate exposure. Using a wide range of sophisticated simulation techniques provides Management with extensive information on the potential impact to net interest income caused by changes in interest rates. Models are structured to simulate cash flows and accrual characteristics of Trustmark's balance sheet. Assumptions are made about the direction and volatility of interest rates, the slope of the yield curve and the changing composition of Trustmark's balance sheet, resulting from both strategic plans and customer behavior. In addition, the model incorporates Management's assumptions and expectations regarding such factors as loan and deposit growth, pricing, prepayment speeds and spreads between interest rates.

Based on the results of the simulation models using static balances at June 30, 2008, it is estimated that net interest income may decrease 1.8% in a one-year, shocked, up 200 basis point rate shift scenario, compared to a base case, flat rate scenario for the same time period. At March 31, 2008, the results of the simulation models using static balances indicated that net interest income would increase 3.3% in the same one-year, shocked, up 200 basis point shift scenario. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates using static balances at June 30, 2008, it is estimated net interest income would remain flat; compared with a decrease of 2.3% at March 31, 2008. A 200 basis point decline in interest rates would yield an estimated decrease in net interest income of 2.3% using static balances at June 30, 2008, compared with a decrease of 6.3% at March 31, 2008. The shift in interest rate risk position during the second quarter is primarily the result of adding fixed rate investment portfolio assets which have been funded with short-term liabilities. This has created a shift from an asset sensitive balance sheet to one that is more liability sensitive. In regards to the various results at June 30, 2008, while there has been a shift in the interest rate position, the changes in net interest income in all three scenarios are considered minimal. These minor changes in forecasted net interest income in the various second quarter rate scenarios illustrate Management's strategy to mitigate Trustmark's exposure to changes in interest rates by maintaining a neutral position in its interest rate risk position. Management cannot provide any assurance about the actual effect of changes in interest rates on net interest income. The estimates provided do not include the effects of possible strategic changes in the balances of various assets and liabilities throughout 2008 or additional actions Trustmark could undertake in response to changes in interest rates. Management will continue to prudently manage the balance sheet in an effort to control interest rate risk and maintain profitability over the long term.

Another component of interest rate risk management is measuring the economic value-at-risk for a given change in market interest rates. The economic value-at-risk may indicate risks associated with longer term balance sheet items that are not fully reflected in the shorter time period evaluated in the net interest income simulation. Trustmark also uses computer-modeling techniques to determine the present value of all asset and liability cash flows (both on- and off-balance sheet), adjusted for prepayment expectations, using a market discount rate. The net change in the present value of the asset and liability cash flows in the different market rate environments is the amount of economic value at risk from those rate movements which is referred to as net portfolio value. As of June 30, 2008, the economic value of equity at risk for an instantaneous up 200 basis point shift in rates produced a decline in net portfolio value of 5.9%, while an instantaneous 200 basis point decrease in interest rates produced a decrease in net portfolio value of 0.8%. In comparison, the models indicated a net portfolio value decrease of 0.2% as of March 31, 2008, had interest rates moved up instantaneously 200 basis points, and a decrease of 2.4%, had an instantaneous 200 basis points decrease in interest rates occurred.



## Derivatives

Trustmark uses financial derivatives for management of interest rate risk. The Asset/Liability Committee, in its oversight role for the management of interest rate risk, approves the use of derivatives in balance sheet hedging strategies. The most common derivatives employed by Trustmark are interest rate lock commitments, forward contracts and both futures contracts and options on futures contracts.

As part of Trustmark's risk management strategy in the mortgage banking area, various derivative instruments such as interest rate lock commitments and forward sales contracts are utilized. Rate lock commitments are residential mortgage loan commitments with customers, which guarantee a specified interest rate for a specified period of time. Trustmark's obligations under forward contracts consist of commitments to deliver mortgage loans, originated and/or purchased, in the secondary market at a future date. These derivative instruments are designated as fair value hedges for certain of these transactions that qualify as fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. Trustmark's off-balance sheet obligations under these derivative instruments totaled \$298.4 million at June 30, 2008, with a positive valuation adjustment of \$1.1 million, compared to \$211.3 million at December 31, 2007, with a negative valuation adjustment of \$686 thousand.

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments, specifically Treasury note futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts, to offset changes in the fair value of MSR attributable to changes in interest rates. These transactions are considered freestanding derivatives that do not otherwise qualify for hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in noninterest income in mortgage banking, net and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in market rates. Ineffectiveness of hedging MSR fair value is measured by comparing total hedge cost to the fair value of the MSR attributable to market changes. This hedge is discussed further in the mortgage banking section of the noninterest income discussion earlier in this document.

## RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

### Accounting Standards Adopted in 2008

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 allows entities the option to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value as of specified dates. Such election, which may be applied on an instrument-by-instrument basis, is typically irrevocable once elected. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early application is allowed under certain circumstances. Management elected not to apply the fair value option to any of its assets or liabilities at January 1, 2008.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 06-11, "Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards." EITF 06-11 states that an entity should recognize a realized tax benefit associated with dividends on nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units and outstanding equity share options charged to retained earnings as an increase in additional paid in capital. EITF 06-11 should be applied prospectively to income tax benefits of dividends on equity-classified share-based payment awards that are declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of EITF 06-11 did not have a material impact on Trustmark's balance sheets or results of operations.

In November 2007, the SEC issued SAB No. 109 (SAB 109), "Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings." SAB 109 rescinds SAB 105's prohibition on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. SAB 109 also applies to any loan commitments for which fair value accounting is elected under SFAS No. 159. SAB 109 is effective prospectively for derivative loan commitments issued or modified in fiscal quarters beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of SAB 109 did not have a material impact on Trustmark's balance sheets or results of operations.





#### New Accounting Standards

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after June 30, 2008, include the following:

On June 16, 2008, the FASB posted FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities." FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 stipulates that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 will have on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles." SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States (the GAAP hierarchy). The hierarchical guidance provided by SFAS No. 162 did not have a significant impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

On April 25, 2008, the FASB posted FSP 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets." This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under Statement 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141R, "Business Combinations." This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that FSP 142-3 will have on Trustmark's balance sheets and results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 expands quarterly disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 161 will have on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(revised), "Business Combinations." SFAS No. 141R expands the definition of transactions and events that qualify as business combinations; requires that the acquired assets and liabilities, including contingencies, be recorded at fair value determined on the acquisition date; changes the recognition timing for restructuring costs; and requires the expensing of acquisition costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is required to be applied to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption being prohibited.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an Amendment of ARB No. 51." SFAS No. 160 states that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This statement is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 160 on its balance sheets and results of operations.



## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is included in the discussion of Market/Interest Rate Risk Management found in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by Trustmark's Management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer), of the effectiveness of Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Principal Financial Officer concluded that Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material developments for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, other than those disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of this Form 10-Q.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed in Trustmark's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table shows information relating to the repurchase of common shares by Trustmark Corporation during the three months ended June 30, 2008:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2008 through April 30, 2008	-	\$ -	-	1,370,581
May 1, 2008 through May 31, 2008	-	\$ -	-	1,370,581

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

June 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008	-	\$	-	-	1,370,581
Total	-			-	

39

---

The repurchase program is subject to Management's discretion and will continue to be implemented through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The annual meeting of Trustmark's shareholders was held on May 13, 2008. At this meeting, the following individuals were elected to serve as Directors of Trustmark until the annual meeting of shareholders in 2009 or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. The vote was cast as follows:

	Votes Cast in Favor		Against/Withheld	
	Number	%	Number	%
Reuben V. Anderson	47,876,546	98.20%	882,393	1.80%
Adolphus B. Baker	47,933,207	98.31%	825,732	1.69%
William C. Deviney, Jr.	47,897,135	98.24%	861,804	1.76%
C. Gerald Garnett	47,746,885	97.93%	1,012,054	2.07%
Daniel A. Grafton	47,905,827	98.26%	853,112	1.74%
Richard G. Hickson	47,886,824	98.22%	872,115	1.78%
David H. Hoster	47,925,052	98.29%	833,887	1.71%
John M. McCullouch	47,927,427	98.30%	831,512	1.70%
Richard H. Puckett	47,924,535	98.29%	834,404	1.71%
R. Michael Summerford	47,905,902	98.26%	853,037	1.74%
Kenneth W. Williams	47,933,032	98.31%	825,907	1.69%
William G. Yates, Jr.	47,905,940	98.26%	852,999	1.74%

In addition, shareholders also voted to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as Trustmark's independent accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. The vote was cast as follows:

Total Votes For	48,565,579
Total Votes Against	124,788
Total Abstentions	68,570

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed herewith or are incorporated herein by reference.

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31-b Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32-b Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

All other exhibits are omitted, as they are inapplicable or not required by the related instructions.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TRUSTMARK CORPORATION

BY: /s/ Richard G. Hickson  
Richard G. Hickson  
Chairman of the Board, President  
& Chief Executive Officer

BY: /s/ Louis E. Greer  
Louis E. Greer  
Treasurer and Principal  
Financial Officer

DATE: August 8, 2008

DATE: August 8, 2008