MFA FINANCIAL, INC. Form 10-Q May 01, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number: 1-13991

MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3974868 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

350 Park Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, New York

10022 (Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

(212) 207-6400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

361,278,928 shares of the registrant s common stock, \$0.01 par value, were outstanding as of April 24, 2013.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012	3
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012	5
	Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	$\epsilon$
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	37
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	62
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	69
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	70
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	70
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	70
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	70
<u>Signatures</u>		71

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  Assets:		March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)		December 31, 2012
Mortgage-backed securities ( MBS ):				
Agency MBS, at fair value (\$6,739,499 and \$6,747,299 pledged as collateral, respectively)	\$	7,153,905	\$	7,225,460
Non-Agency MBS, at fair value (\$1,851,879 and \$1,602,953 pledged as collateral,	φ	7,133,903	φ	7,223,400
respectively)		2,795,645		2,762,006
Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated variable interest entities ( VIEs ) (1)		2,793,043		2,620,159
Securities obtained and pledged as collateral, at fair value		408,118		408,833
Cash and cash equivalents		601,570		401,293
Restricted cash		5,017		5,016
MBS linked transactions, net ( Linked Transactions ), at fair value		12,572		12,704
Interest receivable		42,106		44,033
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair value		324		203
Goodwill		7,189		7,189
Prepaid and other assets		33,674		30.654
Total Assets	\$	,	\$	13,517,550
Total Assets	Ψ	13,032,732	Ψ	13,317,330
Liabilities:				
Repurchase agreements	\$	8,902,827	\$	8,752,472
Securitized debt (2)	Ψ	542,014	Ψ	646,816
Obligation to return securities obtained as collateral, at fair value		508,187		508,827
8% Senior Notes due 2042 (Senior Notes)		100,000		100,000
Accrued interest payable		12,867		16,104
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair value		50,839		63,034
Dividends and dividend equivalents rights ( DERs ) payable		259,607		72,222
Payable for unsettled purchases		200,000		33,479
Excise tax and interest payable		5,000		7,500
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		5,863		6,090
Total Liabilities	\$	10,387,204	\$	10,206,544
	Ψ	10,507,201	Ψ	10,200,011
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)				
Stockholders Equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; series A 8.50% cumulative redeemable 5,000 shares				
authorized; 3,840 shares issued and outstanding (\$96,000 aggregate liquidation preference)	\$	38	\$	38
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 895,000 shares authorized; 358,419 and 357,546 issued and				
outstanding, respectively		3,584		3,575
Additional paid-in capital, in excess of par		2,813,878		2,805,724
Accumulated deficit		(444,324)		(260,308)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		892,372		761,977
Total Stockholders Equity	\$	3,265,548	\$	3,311,006
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$	13,652,752	\$	13,517,550

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs represent assets of the consolidated VIEs that can be used only to settle the obligations of each respective VIE.

(2) Securitized Debt represents third-party liabilities of consolidated VIEs and excludes liabilities of the VIEs acquired by the Company that eliminate in consolidation. The third-party beneficial interest holders in the VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. (See Notes 9 and 15 for further discussion.)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

1

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (UNAUDITED)

		Three Mont March		ed
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)		2013	ŕ	2012
Interest Income:				
Agency MBS	\$	42,787	\$	53,300
Non-Agency MBS		41,047		25,794
Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs		38,868		44,410
Cash and cash equivalent investments		36		19
Interest Income	\$	122,738	\$	123,523
Interest Expense:				
Repurchase agreements	\$	34,675	\$	36,070
Securitized debt		3,476		4,057
Senior Notes		2,007		
Total Interest Expense	\$	40,158	\$	40,127
Net Interest Income	\$	82,580	\$	83,396
Other-Than-Temporary Impairments:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$		\$	(879)
Portion of loss reclassed from other comprehensive income				(41)
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	\$		\$	(920)
Other Income, net:				
Unrealized net gains and net interest income from Linked Transactions	\$	1,536	\$	7,699
Gain on sales of MBS and U.S. Treasury securities, net		1,633		2,953
Other, net		55		
Other Income, net	\$	3,224	\$	10,652
Operating and Other Expense:				
Compensation and benefits	\$	5,273	\$	5,612
Other general and administrative expense		3,180		2,803
Operating and Other Expense	\$	8,453	\$	8,415
Net Income	\$	77,351	\$	84,713
Less: Preferred Stock Dividends		2,040		2,040
Net Income Available to Common Stock and Participating Securities	\$	75,311	\$	82,673
Earnings per Common Share - Basic and Diluted	\$	0.21	\$	0.23
	Φ.	0.50	Φ.	0.24
Dividends Declared per Share of Common Stock	\$	0.72(1)	\$	0.24

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes a special dividend of \$0.50 per share.

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (UNAUDITED)

	Three Mon Marcl		ed
(In Thousands)	2013	,	2012
Net income	\$ 77,351	\$	84,713
Other Comprehensive Income:			
Unrealized loss on Agency MBS, net	(27,291)		(3,158)
Unrealized gain on Non-Agency MBS, net	146,730		262,602
Reclassification adjustment for MBS sales included in net income	(1,360)		(2,901)
Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairments included in net income			920
Unrealized gain on derivative hedging instruments, net	12,316		12,091
Other Comprehensive Income	130,395		269,554
Comprehensive income before preferred stock dividends	\$ 207,746	\$	354,267
Dividends declared on preferred stock	(2,040)		(2,040)
Comprehensive Income Available to Common Stock and Participating Securities	\$ 205,706	\$	352,227

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

#### (UNAUDITED)

**Three Months Ended** March 31, 2013 Dollars (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Shares Preferred Stock, Series A 8.50% Cumulative Redeemable - Liquidation Preference \$25.00 per Share: Balance at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 \$ 38 3,840 Common Stock, Par Value \$.01: Balance at December 31, 2012 3,575 357,546 Issuance of common stock (1) 883 Repurchase of shares of common stock (1) (10)Balance at March 31, 2013 3,584 358,419 Additional Paid-in Capital, in excess of Par: Balance at December 31, 2012 2,805,724 Issuance of common stock, net of expenses (1) 7,359 Equity-based compensation expense 885 Repurchase of shares of common stock (1) (90)Balance at March 31, 2013 2,813,878 **Accumulated Deficit:** Balance at December 31, 2012 (260,308)Net income 77,351 Dividends declared on common stock (258,404)Dividends declared on preferred stock (2,040)Dividends attributable to DERs (923)Balance at March 31, 2013 (444,324)**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:** Balance at December 31, 2012 761,977 Change in unrealized gains on MBS, net 118,079 Change in unrealized losses on derivative hedging instruments, net 12.316 Balance at March 31, 2013 892,372 Total Stockholders Equity at March 31, 2013 \$ 3,265,548

<sup>(1)</sup> For the three months ended March 31, 2013, includes approximately \$90,000 (9,607 shares) surrendered for tax purposes related to equity-based compensation awards.

Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (UNAUDITED)

		Three Mor		ed
(In Thousands)		Marc 2013	ch 31,	2012
(III Thousands)		2013		2012
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:				
Net income	\$	77,351	\$	84,713
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Gain on sales of MBS and U.S. Treasury securities		(1,633)		(2,953)
Other-than-temporary impairment charges				920
Accretion of purchase discounts on MBS		(12,058)		(9,418)
Amortization of purchase premiums on MBS		14,793		10,782
Depreciation and amortization on fixed assets and other assets		1,097		427
Equity-based compensation expense		885		1,101
Unrealized gains and other on Linked Transactions		(1,136)		(6,245)
Decrease in interest receivable		1,891		244
(Increase)/decrease in prepaid and other assets		(4,088)		1,844
Decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities, and excise tax and interest		(2,699)		(3,886)
(Decrease)/increase in accrued interest payable on financial instruments		(3,237)		2,404
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	71,166	\$	79,933
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:				
Principal payments on MBS	\$	711,901	\$	558,516
Proceeds from sale of MBS and U.S. Treasury securities		206,203		71,103
Purchases of MBS		(569,006)		(737,950)
Additions to leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures		(54)		(130)
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	\$	349,044	\$	(108,461)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Principal payments on repurchase agreements	\$	(18,024,857)	\$	(14,744,454)
Proceeds from borrowings under repurchase agreements	<del>-</del>	18,175,212	-	14,840,227
Proceeds from issuance of securitized debt				186,691
Principal payments on securitized debt		(104,802)		(94,789)
Payments made on obligation to return securities obtained as collateral		(200,050)		(- ),,
Payments made for resecuritization related costs		, , ,		(1,763)
Cash disbursements on financial instruments underlying Linked Transactions		(57,977)		(299,173)
Cash received from financial instruments underlying Linked Transactions		59,245		218,269
Payments made for margin calls on repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps (Swaps)				(1,590)
Proceeds from reverse margin calls on repurchase agreements and Swaps				4,090
Proceeds from issuances of common stock		7.278		4,090
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(2,040)		(2,040)
Dividends paid on common stock and DERs		(2,040)		(96,792)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	\$	(219,933)	\$	9,127
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$	200,277	\$	(19,401)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$ \$	401,293	\$	394,022
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ \$	601,570	\$ \$	374,621
Cash and Cash equivalents at end of period	φ	001,370	ψ	374,021

MBS recorded upon de-linking of Linked Transactions	\$	\$ 122,826
Net increase in securities obtained as collateral/obligation to return securities obtained as		
collateral	\$ 200,099	\$ 197,339
Dividends and DERs declared and unpaid	\$ 259,607	\$ 86,778

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

#### 1. Organization

MFA Financial, Inc. (the Company ) was incorporated in Maryland on July 24, 1997 and began operations on April 10, 1998. The Company has elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ) for federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT, the Company must comply with a number of requirements under federal tax law, including that it must distribute at least 90% of its annual REIT taxable income to its stockholders. (See Note 11)

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### (a) Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The interim unaudited financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted according to these SEC rules and regulations. Management believes that the disclosures included in these interim financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the opinion of management, all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial condition of the Company at March 31, 2013 and results of operations for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 should not be construed as indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although the Company's estimates contemplate current conditions and how it expects them to change in the future, it is reasonably possible that actual conditions could be worse than anticipated in those estimates, which could materially impact the Company's results of operations and its financial condition. Management has made significant estimates in several areas, including other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on Agency and Non-Agency MBS (Note 3), valuation of Agency and Non-Agency MBS (Notes 3 and 14), derivative hedging instruments (Notes 5 and 14) and income recognition on certain Non-Agency MBS purchased at a discount (Note 3). In addition, estimates are used in the determination of taxable income used in the assessment of REIT compliance and contingent liabilities for related taxes, penalties and interest (Note 2 (m)). Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of all subsidiaries; significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

(	<b>b</b> )	Ageno	ev and	Non-A	gency	MBS	(including	Non-A	gencv	MBS tr	ransferred	to a	i consolidated	VIE)

The Company has investments in residential MBS that are issued or guaranteed as to principal and/or interest by a federally chartered corporation, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or any agency of the U.S. Government, such as Ginnie Mae (collectively, Agency MBS), and residential MBS that are not guaranteed by any U.S. Government agency or any federally chartered corporation (Non-Agency MBS), as described in Note 3.

Designation

The Company generally intends to hold its MBS until maturity; however, from time to time, it may sell any of its securities as part of the overall management of its business. As a result, all of the Company s MBS are designated as available-for-sale and, accordingly, are carried at their fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings (except when an OTTI is recognized, as discussed below) and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) ( AOCI ), a component of stockholders equity.

Upon the sale of an investment security, any unrealized gain or loss is reclassified out of AOCI to earnings as a realized gain or loss using the specific identification method.

Revenue Recognition, Premium Amortization and Discount Accretion

Interest income on securities is accrued based on the outstanding principal balance and their contractual terms. Premiums and discounts associated with Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS rated AA and higher at the time of purchase are amortized into interest income over the life of such securities using the effective yield method. Adjustments to premium amortization are made for actual prepayment activity.

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Interest income on the Non-Agency MBS that were purchased at a discount to par value and/or are considered to be of less than high credit quality is recognized based on the security s effective interest rate. The effective interest rate is based on management s estimate of the projected cash flows for each security, which are based on the Company s observation of current information and events and include assumptions related to fluctuations in interest rates, prepayment speeds and the timing and amount of credit losses. On at least a quarterly basis, the Company reviews and, if appropriate, makes adjustments to its cash flow projections based on input and analysis received from external sources, internal models, and its judgment about interest rates, prepayment rates, the timing and amount of credit losses, and other factors. Changes in cash flows from those originally projected, or from those estimated at the last evaluation, may result in a prospective change in the yield/interest income recognized on these securities or in the recognition of OTTIs. (See Note 3)

Based on the projected cash flows from the Company s Non-Agency MBS purchased at a discount to par value, a portion of the purchase discount may be designated as non-accretable purchase discount (Credit Reserve), which effectively mitigates the Company s risk of loss on the mortgages collateralizing such MBS and is not expected to be accreted into interest income. The amount designated as Credit Reserve may be adjusted over time, based on the actual performance of the security, its underlying collateral, actual and projected cash flow from such collateral, economic conditions and other factors. If the performance of a security with a Credit Reserve is more favorable than forecasted, a portion of the amount designated as Credit Reserve may be reallocated to accretable discount and recognized into interest income over time. Conversely, if the performance of a security with a Credit Reserve is less favorable than forecasted, the amount designated as Credit Reserve may be increased, or impairment charges and write-downs of such securities to a new cost basis could result.

Determination of MBS Fair Value

In determining the fair value of the Company s MBS, management considers a number of observable market data points, including prices obtained from pricing services, brokers and repurchase agreement counterparties, dialogue with market participants, as well as management s observations of market activity. (See Note 14)

Impairments/OTTI

When the fair value of an investment security is less than its amortized cost at the balance sheet date, the security is considered impaired. The Company assesses its impaired securities on at least a quarterly basis and designates such impairments as either temporary or other-than-temporary. If the Company intends to sell an impaired security, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the impaired security before its anticipated recovery, then the Company must recognize an OTTI through charges to earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment s amortized cost and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If the Company does not expect to sell an other-than-temporarily impaired security, only the portion of the OTTI related to credit losses is recognized through charges to earnings with the remainder recognized through AOCI on the consolidated balance sheet. Impairments recognized through other comprehensive income/(loss) (OCI) do not impact earnings. Following the recognition of an OTTI through earnings, a new cost basis is established for the security and may not be adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value through earnings. However, OTTIs recognized through charges to earnings may be

accreted back to the amortized cost basis of the security on a prospective basis through interest income. The determination as to whether an OTTI exists and, if so, the amount of credit impairment recognized in earnings is subjective, as such determinations are based on factual information available at the time of assessment as well as the Company s estimates of the future performance and cash flow projections. As a result, the timing and amount of OTTIs constitute material estimates that are susceptible to significant change. (See Note 3)

Non-Agency MBS that are purchased at significant discounts to par/and are otherwise assessed to be of less than high credit quality on which impairments are recognized have experienced, or are expected to experience, credit-related adverse cash flow changes. The Company's estimate of cash flows for its Non-Agency MBS is based on its review of the underlying mortgage loans securing the MBS. The Company considers information available about the past and expected future performance of underlying mortgage loans, including timing of expected future cash flows, prepayment rates, default rates, loss severities, delinquency rates, percentage of non-performing loans, Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) scores at loan origination, year of origination, loan-to-value ratios, geographic concentrations, as well as reports by credit rating agencies, such as Moody's Investors Services, Inc. (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Corporation (S&P), or Fitch, Inc. (collectively, Rating Agencies), general market assessments, and dialogue with market participants. As a result, significant judgment is used in the Company's analysis to determine the expected cash flows for its Non-Agency MBS. In determining the OTTI related to credit losses for securities that were purchased at significant discounts to par and/or are considered to be of less than high credit quality, the Company compares the present value of the remaining cash flows expected to be collected at the purchase date (or last date previously revised) against the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected at the current financial reporting date. The discount rate used to calculate the present value of expected future cash

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

flows is the current yield used for income recognition purposes. Impairment assessment for Non-Agency MBS that were purchased at prices close to par and are considered to be of high credit quality involves comparing the present value of the remaining cash flows expected to be collected against the amortized cost of the security at the assessment date. The discount rate used to calculate the present value of the expected future cash flows is based on the instrument seffective interest rate.

Balance Sheet Presentation

The Company s MBS pledged as collateral against repurchase agreements and Swaps are included in MBS on the consolidated balance sheet with the fair value of the MBS pledged disclosed parenthetically. Purchases and sales of securities are recorded on the trade date. However, if on the purchase settlement date, a repurchase agreement is used to finance the purchase of an MBS with the same counterparty and such transactions are determined to be linked, then the MBS and linked repurchase borrowing will be reported on the same settlement date as Linked Transactions. (See Notes 2(n) and 5)

#### (c) Securities Obtained and Pledged as Collateral/Obligation to Return Securities Obtained as Collateral

The Company has obtained securities as collateral under collateralized financing arrangements in connection with its financing strategy for Non-Agency MBS. Securities obtained as collateral in connection with these transactions are recorded on the Company s consolidated balance sheet as an asset along with a liability representing the obligation to return the collateral obtained, at fair value. While beneficial ownership of securities obtained remains with the counterparty, the Company has the right to sell the collateral obtained or to pledge it as part of a subsequent collateralized financing transaction. (See Note 2(i) for Repurchase Agreements and Reverse Repurchase Agreements)

#### (d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on deposit with financial institutions and investments in money market funds, all of which have original maturities of three months or less. Cash and cash equivalents may also include cash pledged as collateral to the Company by its repurchase agreement and/or Swap counterparties as a result of reverse margin calls (i.e., margin calls made by the Company). The Company did not hold any cash pledged by its counterparties at March 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all of the Company s cash investments were comprised of overnight money market funds, which are not bank deposits and are not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. (See Notes 7 and 14)

#### (e) Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents the Company s cash held by its counterparties as collateral against the Company s Swaps and/or repurchase agreements. Restricted cash, which earns interest, is not available to the Company for general corporate purposes, but may be applied against amounts due to counterparties to the Company s repurchase agreements and/or Swaps, or returned to the Company when the collateral requirements are exceeded or at the maturity of the Swap or repurchase agreement. The Company had aggregate restricted cash held as collateral against its Swaps of \$5.0 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. (See Notes 5, 6, 7 and 14)

#### (f) Goodwill

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had goodwill of \$7.2 million, which represents the unamortized portion of the excess of the fair value of its common stock issued over the fair value of net assets acquired in connection with its formation in 1998. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently under certain circumstances, at the entity level. Through March 31, 2013, the Company had not recognized any impairment against its goodwill.

#### (g) Depreciation

Leasehold Improvements and Other Depreciable Assets

Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the related assets or, in the case of leasehold improvements, over the shorter of the useful life or the lease term. Furniture, fixtures, computers and related hardware have estimated useful lives ranging from five to eight years at the time of purchase.

### (h) Resecuritization and Senior Notes Related Costs

Resecuritization related costs are costs associated with the issuance of beneficial interests by consolidated VIEs and incurred by the Company in connection with various resecuritization transactions completed by the Company. Senior Notes related costs are costs incurred by the Company in connection with the issuance of its Senior Notes in April, 2012. These costs may include underwriting, rating agency, legal, accounting and other fees. Such costs, which reflect deferred charges, are included on the Company s consolidated balance sheet in prepaid and

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

other assets. These deferred charges are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense using the effective interest method, based upon the actual repayments of the associated beneficial interests issued to third parties and over the stated legal maturity of the Senior Notes.

#### (i) Repurchase Agreements and Reverse Repurchase Agreements

The Company finances the acquisition of a significant portion of its MBS with repurchase agreements. Under repurchase agreements, the Company sells securities to a lender and agrees to repurchase the same securities in the future for a price that is higher than the original sale price. The difference between the sale price that the Company receives and the repurchase price that the Company pays represents interest paid to the lender. Although legally structured as sale and repurchase transactions, the Company accounts for repurchase agreements as secured borrowings, with the exception of certain repurchase agreements accounted for as components of Linked Transactions. (See Note 2(n) below.) Under its repurchase agreements, the Company pledges its securities as collateral to secure the borrowing, which is equal in value to a specified percentage of the fair value of the pledged collateral, while the Company retains beneficial ownership of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of a repurchase financing, unless the repurchase financing is renewed with the same counterparty, the Company is required to repay the loan including any accrued interest and concurrently receives back its pledged collateral from the lender. With the consent of the lender, the Company may renew a repurchase financing at the then prevailing financing terms. Margin calls, whereby a lender requires that the Company pledge additional securities or cash as collateral to secure borrowings under its repurchase financing with such lender, are routinely experienced by the Company when the value of the MBS pledged as collateral declines as a result of principal amortization and prepayments or due to changes in market interest rates, spreads or other market conditions. The Company also may make margin calls on counterparties when collateral values increase.

The Company s repurchase financings typically have terms ranging from one month to six months at inception, but may also have longer or shorter terms. Should a counterparty decide not to renew a repurchase financing at maturity, the Company must either refinance elsewhere or be in a position to satisfy the obligation. If, during the term of a repurchase financing, a lender should default on its obligation, the Company might experience difficulty recovering its pledged assets which could result in an unsecured claim against the lender for the difference between the amount loaned to the Company plus interest due to the counterparty and the fair value of the collateral pledged by the Company to such lender, including accrued interest receivable or such collateral. (See Notes 2(n), 5, 6, 7 and 14)

In addition to the repurchase agreement financing arrangements discussed above, as part of its financing strategy for Non-Agency MBS, the Company has entered into contemporaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements with a single counterparty. Under a typical reverse repurchase agreement, the Company buys securities from a borrower for cash and agrees to sell the same securities in the future for a price that is higher than the original purchase price. The difference between the purchase price the Company originally paid and the sale price represents interest received from the borrower. In contrast, the contemporaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase transactions effectively resulted in the Company pledging Non-Agency MBS as collateral to the counterparty in connection with the repurchase agreement financing and obtaining U.S. Treasury securities as collateral from the same counterparty in connection with the reverse repurchase agreement. No net cash was exchanged between the Company and counterparty at the inception of the transactions. Securities obtained and pledged as collateral are recorded as an asset on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. Interest income is recorded on the reverse repurchase agreement and interest expense is recorded on the repurchase agreement on an accrual basis. Both the Company and the counterparty have the right to make daily margin calls based on changes in the value of the collateral obtained and/or pledged. The Company s liability to the counterparty in connection

with this financing arrangement is recorded on the Company s consolidated balance sheet and disclosed as Obligation to return securities obtained as collateral. (See Note 2(c))

#### (j) Equity-Based Compensation

Compensation expense for equity based awards is recognized ratably over the vesting period of such awards, based upon the fair value of such awards at the grant date. With respect to awards granted in 2009 and prior years, the Company has applied a zero forfeiture rate for these awards, as they were granted to a limited number of employees, and historical forfeitures have been minimal. Forfeitures, or an indication that forfeitures are expected to occur, may result in a revised forfeiture rate and would be accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate.

During 2010, the Company granted certain restricted stock units (RSUs) that vest after either two or four years of service and provided that certain criteria are met, which are based on a formula that includes changes in the Company s closing stock price over a two- or four-year period and dividends declared on the Company s common stock during those periods. During 2011 and 2012, the Company granted certain RSUs that vest annually over a one

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

or three-year period, provided that certain criteria are met, which are based on a formula that includes changes in the Company s closing stock price over the annual vesting period and dividends declared on the Company s common stock during those periods. Such criteria constitute a market condition which impacts the amount of compensation expense recognized for these awards. Specifically, the uncertainty regarding whether the market condition will be achieved is reflected in the grant date fair valuation of the RSUs, which in addition to estimates regarding the amount of RSUs expected to be forfeited during the associated service period, determines the amount of compensation expense that is recognized. Compensation expense is not reversed should the market condition not be achieved, while differences in actual forfeiture experience relative to estimated forfeitures will result in adjustments to the timing and amount of compensation expense recognized.

The Company has awarded DERs that may be attached to or awarded separately from other equity based awards. Compensation expense for separately awarded DERs is based on the grant date fair value of such awards and is recognized over the vesting period. Payments pursuant to these DERs are charged to stockholders equity. Payments pursuant to DERs that are attached to equity based awards are charged to stockholders equity to the extent that the attached equity awards are expected to vest. Compensation expense is recognized for payments made for DERs to the extent that the attached equity awards do not or are not expected to vest and grantees are not required to return payments of dividends or DERs to the Company. (See Notes 2(k) and 13)

#### (k) Earnings per Common Share ( EPS )

Basic EPS is computed using the two-class method, which includes the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period and other securities that participate in dividends, such as the Company's unvested restricted stock and RSUs that have non-forfeitable rights to dividends and DERs attached to/associated with RSUs and vested stock options to arrive at total common equivalent shares. In applying the two-class method, earnings are allocated to both shares of common stock and securities that participate in dividends based on their respective weighted-average shares outstanding for the period. For the diluted EPS calculation, common equivalent shares are further adjusted for the effect of dilutive unexercised stock options and RSUs outstanding that are unvested and have dividends that are subject to forfeiture using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, common equivalent shares are calculated assuming that all dilutive common stock equivalents are exercised and the proceeds, along with future compensation expenses associated with such instruments, are used to repurchase shares of the Company s outstanding common stock at the average market price during the reported period. (See Note 12)

#### (l) Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

The Company s comprehensive income/(loss) available to common stock and participating securities includes net income, the change in net unrealized gains/(losses) on its MBS and its derivative hedging instruments, currently comprised of Swaps, (to the extent that such changes are not recorded in earnings), adjusted by realized net gains/(losses) reclassified out of AOCI for MBS and is reduced by dividends declared on the Company s preferred stock.

#### (m) U.S. Federal Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the Code ) and the corresponding provisions of state law. The Company expects to operate in a manner that will enable it to satisfy the various requirements to maintain its status as a REIT. In order to maintain its status a REIT, the Company must, among other things, distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to stockholders in the timeframe permitted by the Code. The Company is not subject to tax to the extent that it distributes 100% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders within the permitted timeframe. Should this not occur, the Company would be subject to federal taxes at prevailing corporate tax rates on the difference between its REIT taxable income and the amounts deemed to be distributed for that tax year. As the Company s objective is to distribute 100% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders within the permitted timeframe, no provision for current or deferred income taxes has been made in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Should the Company incur a liability for corporate income tax, such amounts would be recorded as REIT Income Tax Expense on the Company s consolidated statements of operations. To the extent that the Company incurs interest, penalties or related excise taxes in connection with its tax obligations, including as a result of its assessment of uncertain tax positions, such amounts shall be included within Operating and other expense on the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

Based on its analysis of any potential uncertain tax positions, the Company concluded that it does not have any material uncertain tax positions that meet the relevant recognition or measurement criteria as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, or March 31, 2012. The Company s tax returns for tax years 2009 through 2011 are open to examination. The Company expects to file its 2012 tax return prior to September 15, 2013.

## Table of Contents

Non-Hedging Activity/Linked Transactions

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## MARCH 31, 2013

(n) Derivative Financial Instruments
Hedging Activity
As part of the Company s interest rate risk management, it periodically hedges a portion of its interest rate risk using derivative financial instruments, currently comprised of Swaps. Hedge accounting is used to account for these instruments.
The Company documents its risk-management policies, including objectives and strategies, as they relate to its hedging activities and the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged liability. The Company assesses, both at inception of a hedge and on a quarterly basis thereafter, whether or not the hedge is highly effective.
The Company discontinues hedge accounting on a prospective basis and recognizes changes in the fair value through earnings when: (i) it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting cash flows of a hedged item (including forecasted transactions); (ii) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or (iii) it is determined that designating the derivative as a hedge is no longer appropriate.
Although permitted under certain circumstances, the Company does not offset cash collateral receivables or payables against its net derivative positions. (See Notes 5, 7 and 14)
Swaps
Swaps are carried on the Company s balance sheet at fair value, as assets, if their fair value is positive, or as liabilities, if their fair value is negative. Changes in the fair value of the Company s Swaps are recorded in OCI provided that the hedge remains effective. Changes in fair value for any ineffective amount of a Swap are recognized in earnings. The Company has not recognized any change in the value of its existing Swaps through earnings as a result of hedge ineffectiveness.

It is presumed that the initial transfer of a financial asset (i.e., the purchase of an MBS by the Company) and contemporaneous repurchase financing of such MBS with the same counterparty are considered part of the same arrangement, or a linked transaction, unless certain criteria are met. The two components of a linked transaction (MBS purchase and repurchase financing) are not reported separately but are evaluated on a combined basis and reported as a forward (derivative) contract and are presented as Linked Transactions on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities underlying Linked Transactions and associated interest income and expense are reported as unrealized net gains/(losses) and net interest income from Linked Transactions on the Company s consolidated statements of operations and are not included in OCI. However, if certain criteria are met, the initial transfer (i.e., the purchase of a security by the Company) and repurchase financing will not be treated as a Linked Transaction and will be evaluated and reported separately, as an MBS purchase and repurchase financing. When or if a transaction is no longer considered to be linked, the MBS and repurchase financing will be reported on a gross basis. In this case, the fair value of the MBS at the time the transactions are no longer considered linked will become the cost basis of the MBS, and the income recognition yield for such MBS will be calculated prospectively using this new cost basis. (See Notes 5 and 14)

#### (o) Fair Value Measurements and the Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

The Company s presentation of fair value for its financial assets and liabilities is determined within a framework that stipulates that the fair value of a financial asset or liability is an exchange price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability in the market in which the reporting entity would transact for the asset or liability, that is, the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability is a hypothetical transaction at the measurement date, considered from the perspective of a market participant that holds the asset or owes the liability. This definition of fair value focuses on exit price and prioritizes the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs when determining fair value. In addition, the framework for measuring fair value establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the observability of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. (See Note 14)

Although permitted under GAAP to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value, the Company has not elected the fair value option for any of its assets or liabilities. If the fair value option is elected, unrealized gains and losses on such items for which fair value is elected would be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. A decision to elect the fair value option for an eligible financial instrument, which may be made on an instrument by instrument basis, is irrevocable.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

#### (p) Variable Interest Entities

An entity is referred to as a VIE if it meets at least one of the following criteria: (1) the entity has equity that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support of other parties; or (2) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (a) the power to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance; (b) the obligation to absorb the expected losses; or (c) the right to receive the expected residual returns; or (3) have disproportional voting rights and the entity s activities are conducted on behalf of the investor that has disproportionally few voting rights.

The Company consolidates a VIE when it has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and a right to receive benefits or absorb losses of the entity that could be potentially significant to the VIE. The Company is required to reconsider its evaluation of whether to consolidate a VIE each reporting period, based upon changes in the facts and circumstances pertaining to the VIE.

The Company has entered into resecuritization transactions which result in the Company consolidating the VIEs that were created to facilitate the transactions and to which the underlying assets in connection with the resecuritizations were transferred. In determining the accounting treatment to be applied to these resecuritization transactions, the Company evaluated whether the entities used to facilitate these transactions were VIEs and, if so, whether they should be consolidated. Based on its evaluation, the Company concluded that the VIEs should be consolidated. If the Company had determined that consolidation was not required, it would have then assessed whether the transfer of the underlying assets would qualify as a sale or should be accounted for as secured financings under GAAP.

Prior to the completion of its initial resecuritization transaction in October 2010, the Company had not transferred assets to VIEs or Qualifying Special Purpose Entities ( QSPEs ) and other than acquiring MBS issued by such entities, had no other involvement with VIEs or QSPEs. (See Note 15)

(q) New and Proposed Accounting Standards and Interpretations

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2013

Balance Sheet

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, (ASU 2011-11) regarding disclosures concerning the offsetting of assets and liabilities. Under ASU 2011-11, an entity is required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope includes derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. This disclosure is intended to support further the convergence of U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards requirements. ASU 2011-11 was effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ( ASU 2013-01 ). The main objective of this ASU is to limit the scope of the new balance sheet and offsetting disclosure requirements of ASU 2011-11 to certain derivatives (including bifurcated embedded derivatives,) repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions. The amendments of ASU 2013-01 should be applied for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the required disclosures retrospectively for all comparative periods presented.

The Company s adoption of ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 beginning on January 1, 2013 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

12

**Table of Contents** 

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2013

Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* ( ASU 2013-02 ). The amendments of this ASU do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or OCI in financial statements. However, the amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of AOCI by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 was effective prospectively for the Company for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company s adoption of ASU 2013-02 beginning on January 1, 2013 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Proposed Accounting Standards

The FASB has recently issued or discussed a number of proposed standards on such topics as investment companies and investment property entities, repurchase agreements and similar transactions, measurement of credit impairment, financial instrument measurement and classification, revenue recognition, leases, hedging and disclosures about liquidity risk and interest rate risk. Some of the proposed changes are potentially significant and could have a material impact on the Company s reporting. The Company has not yet fully evaluated the potential impact of these proposals but will make such an evaluation as the standards are finalized.

#### 3. MBS

The Company s MBS are comprised of Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS. These MBS are secured by: (i) hybrid mortgages ( Hybrids ), which have interest rates that are fixed for a specified period of time and, thereafter, generally adjust annually to an increment over a specified interest rate index; (ii) adjustable-rate mortgages ( ARMs ); (iii) mortgages that have interest rates that reset more frequently (collectively, ARM-MBS ); and (iv) 15-year and longer-term fixed rate mortgages. MBS do not have a single maturity date, and further, the mortgage loans underlying ARM-MBS do not all reset at the same time.

The Company pledges a significant portion of its MBS as collateral against its borrowings under repurchase agreements and Swaps.

Non-Agency MBS that are accounted for as components of Linked Transactions are not reflected in the tables set forth in this note, as they are accounted for as derivatives. (See Notes 5 and 7)

Agency MBS: Agency MBS are guaranteed as to principal and/or interest by a federally chartered corporation, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or an agency of the U.S. Government, such as Ginnie Mae. The payment of principal and/or interest on Ginnie Mae MBS is explicitly backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Since the third quarter of 2008, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have been under the conservatorship of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, which significantly strengthened the backing for these government-sponsored entities.

Non-Agency MBS (including Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs): The Company s Non-Agency MBS are secured by pools of residential mortgages, which are not guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government or any federally chartered corporation. Non-Agency MBS may be rated by one or more Rating Agencies or may be unrated (i.e., not assigned a rating by any Rating Agency). The rating indicates the opinion of the Rating Agency as to the creditworthiness of the investment, indicating the obligor s ability to meet its full financial commitment on the obligation. A rating of D is assigned when a security has defaulted on any of its contractual terms.

## MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## MARCH 31, 2013

The following tables present certain information about the Company s MBS at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

## March 31, 2013

								iscount										
7. m	]	Principal/ Current		Purchase		Accretable Purchase		Designated as Credit Reserve		Amortized			Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized			
(In Thousands) Agency MBS:		Face	Pr	emiums	Dis	scounts	and	OTTI (1)		Cost (2)	F	air Value		Gains	L	osses	Gan	n/(Loss)
Fannie Mae	\$	5,631,101	\$	188,186	¢	(51)	\$		\$	5,819,236	ф	5,974,186	¢	157,790	¢	(2,840)	¢	154,950
Freddie Mac	Ψ	1,100,024		42,866	Ψ	(31)	Ψ		Ψ	1,146,602	Ψ	1,164,812	Ψ	21,227	Ψ	(3,017)		18,210
Ginnie Mae		14,170		245						14,415		14,907		492		(0,001)		492
Total Agency MBS		6,745,295		231,297		(51)				6,980,253		7,153,905		179,509		(5,857)		173,652
Non-Agency MBS (3)																		
Rated AAA		22,658		135		(205)				22,588		23,338		750				750
Rated A		1,008		21						1,029		988				(41)		(41)
Rated BBB		42,818		580		(1,346)				42,052		43,440		2,079		(691)		1,388
Rated BB		118,881		53		(9,028)		(874)	1	109,032		116,887		8,088		(233)		7,855
Rated B		241,825				(31,771)		(10,377)	)	199,677		228,401		28,724				28,724
Rated CCC		1,205,624		13		(115,858)		(188,857)	1	900,922		1,063,379		163,933		(1,476)		162,457
Rated CC		554,897				(36,537)		(132,586)	)	385,774		459,565		73,791				73,791
Rated C		733,338				(47,516)		(126,533)	1	559,289		639,835		80,568		(22)		80,546
Unrated and D-rated																		
(4)		3,392,056				(139,652)		(853,725)	)	2,398,679		2,812,444		413,925		(160)		413,765
Total Non-Agency MBS		6,313,105		802		(381,913)		(1,312,952)	,	4,619,042		5,388,277		771,858		(2,623)		769,235
Total MBS	\$	13,058,400	\$	232,099	\$	(381,964)	\$	(1,312,952)	\$	11,599,295	\$	12,542,182	\$	951,367	\$	(8,480)	\$	942,887

## **December 31, 2012**

(In Thousands)	Principal/ Current Face	Purchase Premiums	Accretable Purchase Discounts	Discount Designated as Credit Reserve and OTTI (1)	Amortized Cost (2)	]	Fair Value	U	Gross nrealized Gains	Ur		Net Unreal Gain/(I	lized
Agency MBS:													
Fannie Mae	\$ 5,977,388	\$ 196,686	\$ (58)	\$	\$ 6,174,016	\$	6,351,621	\$	178,970	\$	(1,365)	\$ 177	7,605
Freddie Mac	800,854	30,447			835,724		858,560		22,925		(89)	22	2,836
Ginnie Mae	14,526	251			14,777		15,279		502				502
Total Agency MBS	6,792,768	227,384	(58)		7,024,517		7,225,460		202,397		(1,454)	200	),943
Non-Agency MBS (3)													
Rated AAA	25,209	158	(219)		25,148		25,905		757				757

Edgar Filing: MFA FINANCIAL, INC. - Form 10-Q

Rated A	1,147	24			1,171	1,086		(85)	(85)
Rated BBB	49,301	637	(1,741)	(378)	47,819	48,563	1,806	(1,062)	744
Rated BB	118,031	39	(8,892)	(853)	108,325	112,905	4,937	(357)	4,580
Rated B	247,532		(31,133)	(12,462)	203,937	225,281	21,452	(108)	21,344
Rated CCC	1,235,638	14	(107,618)	(201,126)	926,908	1,055,757	131,826	(2,977)	128,849
Rated CC	579,632		(41,191)	(132,061)	406,380	468,017	61,739	(102)	61,637
Rated C	952,984		(55,294)	(166,529)	731,161	812,523	81,850	(488)	81,362
Unrated and D-rated									
(4)	3,300,086		(125,538)	(867,097)	2,307,451	2,632,128	325,796	(1,119)	324,677
Total Non-Agency									
MBS	6,509,560	872	(371,626)	(1,380,506)	4,758,300	5,382,165	630,163	(6,298)	623,865
Total MBS	\$ 13,302,328 \$	228,256 \$	(371,684)\$	(1,380,506) \$	11,782,817 \$	12,607,625 \$	832,560 \$	(7,752) \$	824,808

<sup>(1)</sup> Discount designated as Credit Reserve and amounts related to OTTI are generally not expected to be accreted into interest income. Amounts disclosed at March 31, 2013 reflect Credit Reserve of \$1.266 billion and OTTI of \$46.9 million. Amounts disclosed at December 31, 2012 reflect Credit Reserve of \$1.332 billion and OTTI of \$48.7 million.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes principal payments receivable of \$3.7 million and \$4.4 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which are not included in the Principal/Current Face.

<sup>(3)</sup> Non-Agency MBS, including Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs, are reported based on the lowest rating issued by a Rating Agency, if more than one rating is issued on the security, at the date presented.

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes 268 Non-Agency MBS that were D-rated and had an aggregate amortized cost and fair value of \$2.348 billion and \$2.754 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2013 and 246 Non-Agency MBS that were D-rated and had an aggregate amortized cost and fair value of \$2.252 billion and \$2.573 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2012.

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Unrealized Losses on MBS and Impairments

The following table presents information about the Company s MBS that were in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2013:

#### **Unrealized Loss Position For:**

	Less than 12 Months					12 Months or more				Total			
(In Thousands)	Fair Value	_	realized Losses	Number of Securities		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Number of Securities	Fair Value	_	Unrealized Losses	
Agency MBS:													
Fannie Mae	\$ 550,988	\$	2,063		66	\$ 42,957	\$	777	11	\$ 593,945	\$	2,840	
Freddie Mac	525,500		3,015		63	2,623		2	1	528,123		3,017	
Total Agency													
MBS	1,076,488		5,078		129	45,580		779	12	1,122,068		5,857	
Non-Agency MBS:													
Rated A						989		41	2	989		41	
Rated BBB						20,225		691	2	20,225		691	
Rated BB						2,130		233	3	2,130		233	
Rated CCC						23,683		1,476	4	23,683		1,476	
Rated C	3,869		22		2					3,869		22	
Unrated and other	15,190		88		1	16,563		72	2	31,753		160	
Total													
Non-Agency													
MBS	19,059		110		3	63,590		2,513	13	82,649		2,623	
Total MBS	\$ 1,095,547	\$	5,188		132	\$ 109,170	\$	3,292	25	\$ 1,204,717	\$	8,480	

At March 31, 2013, the Company did not intend to sell any of its MBS that were in an unrealized loss position, and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell these MBS before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at their maturity. With respect to Non-Agency MBS held by consolidated VIEs, the ability of any entity to cause the sale by the VIE prior to the maturity of these Non-Agency MBS is either specifically precluded, or is limited to specified events of default, none of which have occurred to date.

Gross unrealized losses on the Company s Agency MBS were \$5.9 million aMarch 31, 2013. Given the credit quality inherent in Agency MBS, the Company does not consider any of the current impairments on its Agency MBS to be credit related. In assessing whether it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell any impaired security before its anticipated recovery, which may be at their maturity, the Company considers the significance of each investment, the amount of impairment, the projected future performance of such impaired securities, as well as

the Company s current and anticipated leverage capacity and liquidity position. Based on these analyses, the Company determined that at March 31, 2013 any unrealized losses on its Agency MBS were temporary.

Unrealized losses on the Company s Non-Agency MBS (including Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs) were \$2.6 million at March 31, 2013. Based upon the most recent evaluation, the Company does not consider these unrealized losses to be indicative of OTTI and does not believe that these unrealized losses are credit related, but are rather due to non-credit related factors. The Company has reviewed its Non-Agency MBS that are in an unrealized loss position to identify those securities with losses that are other-than-temporary based on an assessment of changes in expected cash flows for such MBS, which considers recent bond performance and expected future performance of the underlying collateral.

The Company did not recognize any credit-related OTTI losses through earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company recognized credit-related OTTI losses through earnings of \$920,000 on Non-Agency MBS during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

MBS on which OTTI is recognized have experienced, or are expected to experience, credit-related adverse cash flow changes. The Company s estimate of cash flows for its Non-Agency MBS is based on its review of the underlying mortgage loans securing these MBS. The Company considers information available about the structure of the securitization, including structural credit enhancement, if any, and the past and expected future performance of underlying mortgage loans, including timing of expected future cash flows, prepayment rates, default rates, loss severities, delinquency rates, percentage of non-performing loans, FICO scores at loan origination, year of origination, loan-to-value ratios, geographic concentrations, as well as Rating Agency reports, general market assessments, and dialogue with market participants. Significant judgment is used in both the Company s analysis of the expected cash flows for its Non-Agency MBS and any determination of the credit component of OTTI.

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

## NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The following table presents the composition of OTTI charges recorded by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
(In Thousands)	2013	,	12			
Total OTTI losses	\$	\$	(879)			
OTTI reclassified from OCI			(41)			
OTTI recognized in earnings	\$	\$	(920)			

The following table presents a roll-forward of the credit loss component of OTTI on the Company s Non-Agency MBS for which a non-credit component of OTTI was previously recognized in OCI. Changes in the credit loss component of OTTI are presented based upon whether the current period is the first time OTTI was recorded on a security or a subsequent OTTI charge was recorded.

	Three Months Ended March 31,						
(In Thousands)		2013		2012			
Credit loss component of OTTI at beginning of period	\$	36,115	\$	34,915			
Additions for credit related OTTI not previously recognized				458			
Subsequent additional credit related OTTI recorded				462			
Credit loss component of OTTI at end of period	\$	36,115	\$	35,835			

The significant inputs considered and assumptions made at time of impairment in determining the measurement of the component of OTTI recorded in earnings for the Company s Non-Agency MBS for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2013	2012	
Credit enhancement (1) (2)			
Weighted average (3)		4.40%	
Range (4)		0.00-16.50%	
Projected CPR (2) (5)			
Weighted average (3)		10.20%	
Range (4)		9.40-13.30%	
Projected Loss Severity (2) (6)			

Weighted average (3)	55.40%
Range (4)	45.90-60.00%
60+ days delinquent (2) (7)	
Weighted average (3)	21.50%
Range (4)	18.20-23.80%

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents a level of protection for these securities, expressed as a percentage of total current underlying loan balance.

- (2) Information provided is based on loans for all groups that provide credit enhancement for MBS with credit enhancement. If an MBS no longer has credit enhancement, information provided is based on loans for the individual group owned by the Company.
- (3) Calculated by weighting the relevant input/assumptions for each individual security by current outstanding face of the security.
- (4) Represents the range of inputs/assumptions based on individual securities.
- (5) CPR conditional prepayment rate.
- (6) Projected loss severity represents the projected amount of loss realized on liquidated properties as a percentage of the principal balance.
- (7) Includes, for each security, underlying loans 60 or more days delinquent, foreclosed loans and other real estate owned.

#### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Purchase Discounts on Non-Agency MBS

The following tables present the changes in the components of the Company s purchase discount on its Non-Agency MBS between purchase discount designated as Credit Reserve and OTTI and accretable purchase discount for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				
(In Thousands)		Discount Designated as Credit Reserve and OTTI (1)		Accretable iscount (1) (2)	Discount Designated as Credit Reserve and OTTI (3)	1	Accretable Discount (2)(3)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$	(1,380,506)	\$	(371,626) \$	(1,228,766)	\$	(250,479)		
Accretion of discount				12,051			9,410		
Realized credit losses		50,307			22,394				
Purchases		(23,535)		11,229	(108,449)		(7,433)		
Sales		6,283		932					
Reclass discount for OTTI					684		(684)		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings					(920)				
Unlinking of Linked									
Transactions					(38,579)		(6,078)		
Transfers/release of credit									
reserve		34,499		(34,499)	8,918		(8,918)		
Balance at end of period	\$	(1,312,952)	\$	(381,913) \$	(1,344,718)	\$	(264,182)		

<sup>(1)</sup> In addition, the Company reallocated \$13,000 of purchase discount designated as Credit Reserve to accretable purchase discount on Non-Agency MBS underlying Linked Transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Impact of MBS on AOCI

<sup>(2)</sup> Together with coupon interest, accretable purchase discount is recognized as interest income over the life of the security.

<sup>(3)</sup> The Company reallocated \$629,000 of purchase discount designated as accretable purchase discount to Credit Reserve on Non-Agency MBS underlying Linked Transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table presents the impact of the Company s MBS on its AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

**Three Months Ended** March 31, 2013 2012 (In Thousands) AOCI from MBS: Unrealized gain on MBS at beginning of period \$ 824,808 \$ 55,491 Unrealized loss on Agency MBS, net (27,291)(3,158)Unrealized gain on Non-Agency MBS, net 146,730 262,602 Reclassification adjustment for MBS sales included in net income (1,360)(2,901)Reclassification adjustment for OTTI included in net income 920 Change in AOCI from MBS 118,079 \$ 257,463 Balance at end of period \$ 942,887 \$ 312,954

Sales of MBS

During the first three months of 2013, the Company sold certain Non-Agency MBS for \$6.1 million, realizing gross gains of \$1.7 million. During the first three months of 2012, the Company sold certain Agency MBS for \$71.1 million, realizing gross gains of \$3.0 million. The Company has no continuing involvement with any of the sold MBS.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### MARCH 31, 2013

MBS Interest Income

The following table presents the components of interest income on the Company s Agency MBS for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months E	nded M	arch 31,	
(In Thousands)	2013	2012		
Coupon interest	\$ 57,504	\$	64,008	
Effective yield adjustment (1)	(14,717)		(10,708)	
Agency MBS interest income	\$ 42,787	\$	53,300	

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes amortization of premium paid net of accretion of purchase discount. For Agency MBS, interest income is recorded at an effective yield, which reflects net premium amortization based on actual prepayment activity.

The following table presents components of interest income for the Company  $\,$  s Non-Agency MBS (including MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,						
(In Thousands)		2013		2012			
Coupon interest	\$	67,933	\$	60,860			
Effective yield adjustment (1)		11,982		9,344			
Non-Agency MBS interest income	\$	79,915	\$	70,204			

<sup>(1)</sup> The effective yield adjustment is the difference between the net income calculated using the net yield, which is based on management s estimates of future cash flows for Non-Agency MBS, less the current coupon yield.

### 4. Interest Receivable

The following table presents the Company s interest receivable by investment category at March 31, 2013

and December 31, 2012:

	N	March 31,	December 31,
(In Thousands)		2013	2012
MBS interest receivable:			
Fannie Mae	\$	16,157	\$ 17,597
Freddie Mac		3,246	2,696
Ginnie Mae		23	24
Non-Agency MBS		22,658	23,707
Total MBS interest receivable		42,084	44,024
Money market and other investments		22	9
Total interest receivable	\$	42,106	\$ 44,033

### 5. Derivatives

The Company s derivatives are comprised of Swaps, which are designated as cash flow hedges against the interest rate risk associated with its borrowings, and Linked Transactions, which are not designated as hedging instruments. The following table presents the fair value of the Company s derivative instruments and their balance sheet location at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Derivative Instrument	Designation	Balance Sheet Location	March 31, 2013	]	December 31, 2012
Swaps, at fair value (\$100.0 million notional at March 31,					
2013)	Hedging	Assets	\$ 324	\$	203
Linked Transactions, at fair value	Non-Hedging	Assets	\$ 12,572	\$	12,704
Swaps, at fair value (\$2.414 billion notional at March 31, 2013)	Hedging	Liabilities	\$ (50,839)	\$	(63,034)

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Linked Transactions

The Company s Linked Transactions are evaluated on a combined basis, reported as forward (derivative) instruments and presented as assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The fair value of Linked Transactions reflect the value of the underlying Non-Agency MBS, linked repurchase agreement borrowings and accrued interest receivable/payable on such instruments. The Company s Linked Transactions are not designated as hedging instruments and, as a result, the change in the fair value and net interest income from Linked Transactions is reported in other income on the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

The following tables present certain information about the Non-Agency MBS and repurchase agreements underlying the Company s Linked Transactions at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

#### Linked Transactions at March 31, 2013

Linked Rep	purchas	se Agreements	Linked MBS								
		_	Weighted Average Interest				Aı	nortized	Pai	r/Current	Weighted Average Coupon
Maturity or Repricing (Dollars in Thousands)	_	Balance	Rate	Non-Agency MBS (Dollars in Thousands)	Fa	ir Value		Cost		Face	Rate
Within 30 days	\$	23,236	1.67%	Rated AA	\$	12,654	\$	11,578	\$	11,937	5.00%
>30 days to 90 days		10,900	1.45	Rated A		3,019		2,431		3,178	0.75
Total	\$	34,136	1.60%	Rated BBB		8,270		7,121		8,680	2.53
				Rated BB		7,162		6,540		7,234	2.70
				Rated D		15,478		13,894		18,606	5.82
				Total	\$	46,583	\$	41,564	\$	49,635	4.27%

### Linked Transactions at December 31, 2012

Linked Repurchase Agreements Linked MBS											
Maturity or Repricing	]	Balance	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Non-Agency MBS	Fa	iir Value	Aı	mortized Cost	Pa	r/Current Face	Weighted Average Coupon Rate
(Dollars in Thousands)				(Dollars in Thousands	)						
Within 30 days	\$	13,672	1.57%	Rated AA	\$	13,588	\$	12,817	\$	13,192	5.00%

>30 days to 90 days	21,599	1.66 Rated A	3,075	2,548	3,342	0.76
Total	\$ 35,271	1.63% Rated BBB	8,299	7,226	8,847	2.55
		Rated BB	7,365	6,854	7,593	2.75
		Rated D	15,501	14,372	19,303	5.80
		Total	\$ 47,828	\$ 43.817	\$ 52,277	4.28%

At March 31, 2013, Linked Transactions also included \$175,000 of associated accrued interest receivable and \$50,000 of accrued interest payable. At December 31, 2012, Linked Transactions also included \$185,000 of associated accrued interest receivable and \$38,000 of accrued interest payable.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The following table presents certain information about the components of the unrealized net gains and net interest income from Linked Transactions included in the Company s consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Components of Unrealized Net Gains and Net Interest Income					
from Linked Transactions	Three Months Ended March 31,				
(In Thousands)		2013		2012	
Interest income attributable to MBS underlying Linked Transactions	\$	668	\$	2,288	
Interest expense attributable to linked repurchase agreement borrowings					
underlying Linked Transactions		(140)		(504)	
Change in fair value of Linked Transactions included in earnings		1,008		5,915	
Unrealized net gains and net interest income from Linked Transactions	\$	1,536	\$	7,699	

Derivative Hedging Instruments

Consistent with market practice, the Company has agreements with its Swap counterparties that provide for the posting of collateral based on the fair values of its derivative contracts. Through this margining process, either the Company or its derivative counterparty may be required to pledge cash or securities as collateral. Collateral requirements vary by counterparty and change over time based on the market value, notional amount and remaining term of the derivative contract. Certain derivative contracts provide for cross collateralization with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty.

A number of the Company s derivative contracts include financial covenants, which, if breached, could cause an event of default or early termination event to occur under such agreements. Such financial covenants include minimum net worth requirements and maximum debt-to-equity ratios. If the Company were to cause an event of default or trigger an early termination event pursuant to one of its derivative contracts, the counterparty to such agreement may have the option to terminate all of its outstanding derivative contracts with the Company and, if applicable, any close-out amount due to the counterparty upon termination of the derivative contracts would be immediately payable by the Company. The Company was in compliance with all of its financial covenants through March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the aggregate fair value of assets needed to immediately settle derivative contracts that were in a liability position to the Company, if so required, was approximately \$53.1 million, including accrued interest payable of approximately \$2.2 million.

The following table presents the assets pledged as collateral against the Company s derivative contracts at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(In Thousands)	March	31, 2013	December 31, 2012	
Agency MBS, at fair value	\$	57.119	\$ 68.91	5

Restricted cash	5,017	5,016
Total assets pledged against derivative contracts	\$ 62,136	\$ 73,931

The use of derivative hedging instruments exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk. In the event of a default by a derivative counterparty, the Company may not receive payments to which it is entitled under its derivative agreements, and may have difficulty recovering its assets pledged as collateral against such agreements. If, during the term of a derivative contract, a counterparty should file for bankruptcy, the Company may experience difficulty recovering its assets pledged as collateral which could result in the Company having an unsecured claim against such counterparty s assets for the difference between the fair value of the derivative and the fair value of the collateral pledged to such counterparty. At March 31, 2013, all of the Company s derivative counterparties were rated A or better by a Rating Agency.

The Company s derivative hedging instruments, or a portion thereof, could become ineffective in the future if the associated repurchase agreements or securitized debt that such derivatives hedge fail to exist or fail to have terms that match those of the derivatives that hedge such borrowings. At March 31, 2013, all of the Company s derivatives were deemed effective for hedging purposes and no derivatives were terminated during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

**Swaps** 

The Company s Swaps have the effect of modifying the repricing characteristics of the Company s repurchase agreements and securitized debt and cash flows for such liabilities. To date, no cost has been incurred at the inception of a Swap, pursuant to which the Company agrees to pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a variable interest rate, generally based on one-month or three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), on the notional amount of the Swap. The Company has not recognized any change in the value of its derivative hedging instruments in earnings as a result of the hedge or a portion thereof being ineffective during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2013, the Company had Swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$2.514 billion, which had net unrealized losses of \$50.5 million, and extended 18 months on average with a maximum term of approximately 47 months. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company entered into one new Swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million, a fixed-pay rate of 0.67% and an initial maturity of four years, and had Swaps amortize and/or expire with an aggregate notional amount of \$56.0 million. The following table presents information about the Company s Swaps at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Maturity (1) (Dollars in Thousands)	Notional Amount	March 31, 2013 Weighted Average Fixed-Pay Interest Rate	Weighted Average Variable Interest Rate (2)	Notional Amount	December 31, 2012 Weighted Average Fixed-Pay Interest Rate	Weighted Average Variable Interest Rate (2)
Within 30 days	\$ 287,832	1.85%	0.21%\$	25,828	3.88%	0.28%
Over 30 days to 3 months	239,443	1.36	0.21	30,185	3.96	0.26
Over 3 months to 6						
months	357,012	4.18	0.21	527,275	1.63	0.21
Over 6 months to 12						
months	75,902	4.00	0.25	391,063	4.17	0.22
Over 12 months to 24						
months	1,053,361	2.06	0.21	685,042	2.28	0.22
Over 24 months to 36 months	350,000	2.07	0.20	710,171	1.97	0.21
Over 36 months to 48 months	150,000	0.54	0.20	150,000	1.03	0.21
Total Swaps	\$ 2,513,550	2.24%	0.21%\$	2,519,564	2.31%	0.22%

<sup>(1)</sup> Each maturity category reflects contractual amortization and/or maturity of notional amounts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects the benchmark variable rate due from the counterparty at the date presented, which rate adjusts monthly or quarterly based on one-month or three-month LIBOR, respectively.

The following table presents the net impact of the Company s derivative hedging instruments on its interest expense and the weighted average interest rate paid and received for such Swaps for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

#### **Three Months Ended** March 31, (Dollars in Thousands) 2013 2012 Interest expense attributable to Swaps \$ 12,969 \$ 20,823 Weighted average Swap rate paid 2.27% 2.78% Weighted average Swap rate received 0.31% 0.21%

Impact of Hedging Instruments on AOCI

The following table presents the impact of the Company s derivative hedging instruments on its AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
(In Thousands)		2013		2012				
AOCI from derivative hedging instruments:								
Balance at beginning of period	\$	(62,831)	\$	(114,194)				
Unrealized gain on Swaps, net		12,316		12,091				
Balance at end of period	\$	(50,515)	\$	(102,103)				

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Counterparty Credit Risk

By using derivative hedging instruments, the Company is exposed to counterparty credit risk if counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as expected. If a counterparty fails to perform, the Company s counterparty credit risk is equal to the amount reported as a derivative asset on its balance sheet to the extent that amount exceeds collateral obtained from the counterparty or, if in a net liability position, the extent to which collateral posted exceeds the liability to the counterparty. The amounts reported as a derivative asset/(liability) are derivative contracts in a gain/(loss) position, and to the extent subject to master netting arrangements, net of derivatives in a loss/(gain) position with the same counterparty and collateral received/(pledged). The Company attempts to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures, executing master netting arrangements and obtaining collateral, where appropriate. Counterparty credit risk related to the Company s derivative hedging instruments is considered in determining fair value of such derivatives and its assessment of hedge effectiveness.

#### 6. Repurchase Agreements

The Company s repurchase agreements are collateralized by the Company s MBS and U.S. Treasury securities (obtained as part of a reverse repurchase agreement) and cash and bear interest that is generally LIBOR-based. (See Note 7) At March 31, 2013, the Company s borrowings under repurchase agreements had a weighted average remaining term-to-interest rate reset of 32 days and an effective repricing period of five months, including the impact of related Swaps. At December 31, 2012, the Company s borrowings under repurchase agreements had a weighted average remaining term-to-interest rate reset of 28 days and an effective repricing period of five months, including the impact of related Swaps.

The following table presents information with respect to the Company s borrowings under repurchase agreements and associated assets pledged as collateral at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in Thousands)	March 31, 2013	Decemb	er 31, 2012
Repurchase agreement borrowings secured by Agency MBS	\$ 6,338,378	\$	6,353,489
Fair Value of Agency MBS pledged as collateral under repurchase			
agreements	\$ 6,682,380	\$	6,678,384
Weighted average haircut on Agency MBS (1)	4.76%	)	4.80%
Repurchase agreement borrowings secured by Non-Agency MBS (2)	\$ 2,155,812	\$	1,988,172
Fair Value of Non-Agency MBS pledged as collateral under repurchase			
agreements (2) (3)	\$ 3,817,527	\$	3,463,128
Weighted average haircut on Non-Agency MBS (1)	30.16%	)	30.49%
Repurchase agreements secured by U.S. Treasuries	\$ 408,637	\$	410,811
Fair value of U.S. Treasuries pledged as collateral under repurchase			
agreements	\$ 408,118	\$	408,833

Weighted average haircut on U.S. Treasuries (1)

0.89%

1.74%

- (1) Haircut represents the percentage amount by which the collateral value is contractually required to exceed the loan amount on the Company's repurchase agreements borrowings.
- (2) Does not reflect Non-Agency MBS and repurchase agreement borrowings that are components of Linked Transactions.
- (3) Includes \$1.966 billion and \$1.860 billion of Non-Agency MBS acquired from consolidated VIEs at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively, that are eliminated from the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The following table presents repricing information about the Company s borrowings under repurchase agreements, which does not reflect the impact of associated derivative hedging instruments, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		1, 2012 Weighted Average			
Time Until Interest Rate Reset (Dollars in Thousands)		Balance (1)	Average Interest Rate	Balance (1)	Interest Rate
Within 30 days	\$	6,776,242	0.77%\$	6,293,802	0.71%
Over 30 days to 3 months		2,000,536	0.82	2,458,670	1.21
Over 6 months to 12 months		126,049	2.14		
Total	\$	8,902,827	0.80%\$	8,752,472	0.85%

<sup>(1)</sup> At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had repurchase agreements of \$34.1 million and \$35.3 million, respectively, that were linked to Non-Agency MBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the above table. (See Note 5)

The following table at March 31, 2013 presents contractual maturity information about the Company s borrowings under repurchase agreements and does not reflect the impact of derivative contracts that hedge such repurchase agreements:

	March 31, 2013							
Contractual Maturity (Dollars in Thousands)		Balance (1)	Weighted Average Interest Rate					
Overnight	\$		%					
Within 30 days		6,357,923	0.65					
Over 30 days to 90 days		1,952,583	0.78					
Over 90 days to 12 months		216,049	2.25					
Over 12 months		376,272	2.62					
Total	\$	8,902,827	0.80%					

<sup>(1)</sup> At March 31, 2013, the Company had repurchase agreements of \$34.1 million that were linked to Non-Agency MBS purchases and were accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the above table. (See Note 5)

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The Company had repurchase agreements with 26 counterparties at March 31, 2013 and 26 counterparties at December 31, 2012. The following table presents information with respect to any counterparty for repurchase agreements and/or Linked Transactions for which the Company had greater than 5% of stockholders equity at risk in the aggregate at March 31, 2013:

#### March 31, 2013

Counterparty (Dollars in Thousands)	Counterparty Rating (1)	Amount at Risk (2)	Weighted Average Months to Maturity for Repurchase Agreements	Percent of Stockholders Equity
Credit Suisse	A/Aa2/A	\$ 723,319	1	22.1%
Wells Fargo (3)	AA-/Aa3/AA-	375,693	10	11.5
UBS (4) (5)	A/A2/A	271,219	27	8.3
Deutsche Bank	A+/A2/A+	242,065	2	7.4

<sup>(1)</sup> As rated at March 31, 2013 by S&P, Moody s and Fitch, Inc., respectively. The counterparty rating presented is the lowest published for these entities.

- (3) Includes \$256.1 million with Wells Fargo Bank, NA and \$119.6 million at risk with Wells Fargo Securities LLC.
- (4) Includes \$261.7 million at risk with UBS AG and \$9.5 million at risk with UBS Securities LLC.
- (5) Includes Non-Agency MBS pledged as collateral with contemporaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements.

#### 7. Collateral Positions

The Company pledges securities or cash as collateral to its counterparties pursuant to its borrowings under repurchase agreements and its derivative contracts that are in an unrealized loss position, and it receives securities or cash as collateral pursuant to financing provided under reverse repurchase agreements and its derivative contracts in an unrealized gain position. The Company exchanges collateral with its counterparties based on changes in the fair value, notional amount and term of the associated repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements and

<sup>(2)</sup> The amount at risk reflects the difference between (a) the amount loaned to the Company through repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements underlying Linked Transactions, including interest payable, and (b) the cash and the fair value of the securities pledged by the Company as collateral and MBS underlying Linked Transaction, including accrued interest receivable on such securities.

derivative contracts, as applicable. Through this margining process, either the Company or its counterparty may be required to pledge cash or securities as collateral. When the Company s pledged collateral exceeds the required margin, the Company may initiate a reverse margin call, at which time the counterparty may either return the excess collateral, or provide collateral to the Company in the form of cash or high-quality securities.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company s collateral positions, which includes collateral pledged and collateral held, with respect to its borrowings under repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements and derivative hedging instruments at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		March 31, 2013			December 31, 2012				
(In Thousands)	As	ssets Pledged	Col	lateral Held		Assets Pledged	Co	llateral Held	
Derivative Hedging									
Instruments:									
Agency MBS	\$	57,119	\$		\$	68,915	\$		
Cash (1)		5,017				5,016			
		62,136				73,931			
Repurchase Agreement									
Borrowings:									
Agency MBS	\$	6,682,380	\$		\$	6,678,384	\$		
Non-Agency MBS (2)(3)		3,817,527				3,463,128			
U.S. Treasury securities		408,118				408,833			
		10,908,025				10,550,345			
Reverse Repurchase Agreements:									
U.S. Treasury securities	\$		\$	408,118	\$		\$	408,833	
				408,118				408,833	
Total	\$	10,970,161	\$	408,118	\$	10,624,276	\$	408,833	

<sup>(1)</sup> Cash pledged as collateral is reported as restricted cash on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

The following table presents detailed information about the Company s assets pledged as collateral pursuant to its borrowings under repurchase agreements and derivative hedging instruments at March 31, 2013:

	Assets I	Pledged Under Repui	chase	Assets P	<b>Total Fair</b>		
		Agreements		Н	edging Instrument	S	Value of
(In Thousands)	Fair Value/	Amortized	Accrued	Fair Value/	Amortized	Accrued	Assets
	Carrying	Cost	Interest on	Carrying	Cost	Interest on	Pledged and
	Value		Pledged	Value		Pledged	Accrued

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes \$1.966 billion and \$1.860 billion of Non-Agency MBS acquired in connection with resecuritization transactions from consolidated VIEs at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, that are eliminated from the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

<sup>(3)</sup> In addition, \$761.7 million and \$759.2 million of Non-Agency MBS are pledged as collateral in connection with contemporaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements entered into with a single counterparty at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: MFA FINANCIAL, INC. - Form 10-Q

			MBS			MBS	Interest
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 408,118	\$ 408,118	\$	\$	\$	\$ \$	408,118
Fannie Mae	\$ 5,720,377	\$ 5,571,620	\$ 15,488	\$ 44,598	\$ 42,786	\$ 129 \$	5,780,592
Freddie Mac	959,883	943,334	2,719	4,405	4,220	18	967,025
Ginnie Mae	2,120	2,062	3	8,116	7,837	13	10,252
Agency MBS	\$ 6,682,380	\$ 6,517,016	\$ 18,210	\$ 57,119	\$ 54,843	\$ 160 \$	6,757,869
Rated AAA	\$ 21,352	\$ 20,621	\$ 74	\$	\$	\$ \$	21,426
Rated BBB	32,546	31,902	108				32,654
Rated BB	350,926	313,831	1,156				352,082
Rated B	99,753	82,188	297				100,050
Rated CCC	360,821	309,280	1,304				362,125
Rated CC	210,485	183,877	970				211,455
Rated C	134,328	120,472	550				134,878
Rated D	1,003,800	883,150	5,086				1,008,886
Not Rated	1,603,516	1,152,991	7,613				1,611,129
Non-Agency							
MBS (1) (2)(3)	\$ 3,817,527	\$ 3,098,312	\$ 17,158	\$	\$	\$ \$	3,834,685
Total	\$ 10,908,025	\$ 10,023,446	\$ 35,368	\$ 57,119	\$ 54,843	\$ 160 \$	11,000,672

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$1.966 billion of Non-Agency MBS acquired in connection with resecuritization transactions from consolidated VIEs at March 31, 2013, that are eliminated from the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reported based on the lowest rating issued by a Rating Agency, if more than one rating is issued on the security, at the date presented.

<sup>(3)</sup> In addition, \$761.7 million of Non-Agency MBS are pledged as collateral in connection with contemporaneous repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements entered into with a single counterparty at March 31, 2013.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### MARCH 31, 2013

### 8. Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

The following tables present information about certain assets and liabilities that are subject to master netting arrangements (or similar agreements) and can potentially be offset on the Company s consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Offsetting of Financial Assets and Derivative Assets

(In Thousands)	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets	Gross Amount Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Asse the	et Amounts of ets Presented in Consolidated alance Sheet	Gross Amounts N the Consolidated I Financial Instruments	
March 31, 2013						
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair						
value	\$ 324	\$	\$	324	\$ (324)	\$ \$
Total	\$ 324	\$	\$	324	\$ (324)	\$ \$
December 31, 2012						
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair						
value	\$ 203	\$	\$	203	\$ (203)	\$ \$
Total	\$ 203	\$	\$	203	\$ (203)	\$ \$

Offsetting of Financial Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities

(In Thousands)	R	Gross mounts of ecognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Li	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		Gross Amounts No Consolidated Ba Financial (Instruments (1)		
March 31, 2013									
Derivative hedging									
instruments, at fair									
value (2)	\$	50,839	\$	\$	50,839	\$	(45,822)	\$	(5,017) \$
Repurchase									
agreements (3)		8,902,827			8,902,827		(8,902,827)		

\$ 8,953,666	\$	\$	8,953,666	\$	(8,948,649)	\$	(5,017) \$
\$ 63,034	\$	\$	63,034	\$	(58,018)	\$	(5,016) \$
8,752,472			8,752,472		(8,752,472)		
\$ 8,815,506	\$	\$	8,815,506	\$	(8,810,490)	\$	(5,016) \$
\$	\$ 63,034 8,752,472	\$ 63,034 \$ 8,752,472	\$ 63,034 \$ \$ 8,752,472	\$ 63,034 \$ \$ 63,034 8,752,472 8,752,472	\$ 63,034 \$ \$ 63,034 \$ 8,752,472 8,752,472	\$ 63,034 \$ \$ 63,034 \$ (58,018) 8,752,472 8,752,472 (8,752,472)	\$ 63,034 \$ \$ 63,034 \$ (58,018) \$ 8,752,472 8,752,472 (8,752,472)

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts disclosed in the Financial Instruments column of the table above represents collateral pledged that is available to be offset against liability balances associated with repurchase agreement and derivative transactions. Amounts disclosed in the Cash Collateral Pledged column of the table above represents amounts pledged as collateral against derivative transactions.

Nature of Setoff Rights

In the Company s consolidated balance sheets, all balances associated with the repurchase agreement and derivatives transactions are presented on a gross basis.

Certain of the Company s repurchase agreement and derivative transactions are governed by underlying agreements that generally provide for a right of setoff in the event of default or in the event of a bankruptcy of either party to the transaction. For one repurchase agreement counterparty, the underlying agreements provide for an unconditional right of setoff.

<sup>(2)</sup> The fair value of securities pledged against the Company s Swaps was \$57.1 million and \$68.9 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of securities pledged against the Company s repurchase agreements was \$10.908 billion and \$10.550 billion at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

#### 9. Senior Notes

On April 11, 2012 the Company issued \$100.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its Senior Notes in an underwritten public offering. The total net proceeds to the Company from the offering of the Senior Notes were approximately \$96.6 million, after deducting offering expenses and the underwriting discount. The Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 8.00% per year, paid quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year and will mature on April 15, 2042. The Company may redeem the Senior Notes, in whole or in part, at any time on or after April 15, 2017 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not excluding, the redemption date.

The Senior Notes are the Company s senior unsecured obligations and are subordinate to all of the Company s secured indebtedness, which includes the Company s repurchase agreements, securitized debt, obligation to return securities obtained as collateral, and other financing arrangements, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness.

### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

#### (a) Lease Commitments

The Company pays monthly rent pursuant to two operating leases. The lease term for the Company's headquarters in New York, New York extends through May 31, 2020. The lease provides for aggregate cash payments ranging over time from approximately \$2.4 million to \$2.5 million per year, paid on a monthly basis, exclusive of escalation charges. In addition, as part of this lease agreement, the Company has provided the landlord a \$785,000 irrevocable standby letter of credit fully collateralized by cash. The letter of credit may be drawn upon by the landlord in the event that the Company defaults under certain terms of the lease. In addition, the Company has a lease through December 31, 2016 for its off-site back-up facility located in Rockville Centre, New York, which provides for, among other things, cash payments ranging over time from \$28,000 to \$30,000 per year, paid on a monthly basis.

### (b) Representations and Warranties in Connection with Resecuritization Transactions

In connection with the resecuritization transactions engaged in by the Company (See Note 15 for further discussion), the Company has the obligation under certain circumstances to repurchase assets from its VIEs upon breach of certain representations and warranties.

### 11. Stockholders Equity

#### (a) Dividends on Preferred Stock

At March 31, 2013, the Company had issued and outstanding 3.8 million shares of 8.50% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred Stock), with a par value of \$0.01 per share and a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share. Beginning April 27, 2009, the Company s Series A Preferred Stock became redeemable at \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) exclusively at the Company s option. The Series A Preferred Stock is entitled to receive a dividend at a rate of 8.50% per year on the \$25.00 liquidation preference before the Company s common stock is paid any dividends and is senior to the common stock with respect to distributions upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. The Series A Preferred Stock generally does not have any voting rights, subject to an exception in the event the Company fails to pay dividends on such stock for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive). Under such circumstances, the Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to vote to elect two additional directors to the Company s Board of Directors (the Board), until all unpaid dividends have been paid or declared and set apart for payment. In addition, certain material and adverse changes to the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock cannot be made without the affirmative vote of holders of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

From the time of original issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock through March 31, 2013, the Company has declared and paid all required quarterly dividends on such stock. The following table presents the relevant dates with respect to such quarterly cash dividends, of \$0.53125 per share, from January 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013:

<b>Declaration Date</b>	Record Date	Payment Date
February 15, 2013	March 1, 2013	April 1, 2013
November 20, 2012	December 3, 2012	December 31, 2012
August 22, 2012	September 4, 2012	October 1, 2012
May 18, 2012	June 1, 2012	July 2, 2012
February 17, 2012	March 1, 2012	April 2, 2012

On April 16, 2013, the Company announced that it will redeem all 3.8 million outstanding shares of its Series A Preferred Stock on May 16, 2013. (See Note 16 for further information regarding such redemption.)

### (b) Dividends on Common Stock

The following table presents cash dividends declared by the Company on its common stock from January 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013:

			Dividend Per
Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Share (1)
March 28, 2013	April 12, 2013	April 30, 2013	\$ 0.22
March 4, 2013	March 18, 2013	April 10, 2013	0.50
December 12, 2012	December 28, 2012	January 31, 2013	0.20
September 28, 2012	October 12, 2012	October 31, 2012	0.21
June 27, 2012	July 13, 2012	July 31, 2012	0.23
March 23, 2012	April 4, 2012	April 30, 2012	0.24

<sup>(1)</sup> At March 31, 2013, the Company had accrued dividends and DERs payable of \$79.2 million related to the common stock dividend declared on March 28, 2013 and \$180.1 million of accrued dividends payable related to the special cash dividend on common stock declared on March 4, 2013.

#### (c) Discount Waiver, Direct Stock Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Plan ( DRSPP )

On November 22, 2011, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the 1933 Act), for the purpose of registering additional common stock for sale through its DRSPP. Pursuant to Rule 462(e) of the 1933 Act, this shelf registration statement became effective automatically upon filing with the SEC and, when combined with the unused portion of the Company s previous DRSPP shelf registration statements, registered an aggregate of 10 million shares of common stock. The Company s DRSPP is designed to provide existing stockholders and new investors with a convenient and economical way to purchase shares of common stock through the automatic reinvestment of dividends and/or optional cash investments. At March 31, 2013, 7.2 million shares of common stock remained available for issuance pursuant to the DRSPP shelf registration statement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company issued 834,038 shares of common stock through the DRSPP, raising net proceeds of \$7,367,194. From the inception of the DRSPP in September 2003 through March 31, 2013, the Company issued 17,362,063 shares pursuant to the DRSPP, raising net proceeds of \$151.2 million.

#### (d) Controlled Equity Offering Program

On August 20, 2004, the Company initiated a controlled equity offering program (the CEO Program ) through which it may, from time to time, publicly offer and sell shares of common stock through Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. ( Cantor ) in privately negotiated and/or at-the-market transactions. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company did not issue any shares through the CEO Program. From inception of the CEO Program through March 31, 2013, the Company issued 30,144,815 shares of common stock in at-the-market transactions through the CEO Program, raising net proceeds of \$194,908,570. In connection with such transactions, the Company paid Cantor aggregate fees and commissions of \$4,189,247. Shares for the CEO Program are issued through the automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 that was filed on October 22, 2010, as amended by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 thereto, which was filed on April 2, 2012.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

On December 12, 2008, the Company entered into its most recent Sales Agreement (the Agreement ) with Cantor, as sales agent. In accordance with the terms of the Agreement, the Company may offer and sell up to 40 million shares of common stock (the CEO Shares ) from time to time through Cantor. Sales of the CEO Shares, if any, may be made in privately negotiated transactions and/or by any other method permitted by law, including, but not limited to, sales at other than a fixed price made on or through the facilities of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE ), or sales made to or through a market maker or through an electronic communications network, or in any other manner that may be deemed to be an at-the-market offering as defined in Rule 415 of the 1933 Act. Cantor will make all sales on a best efforts basis using commercially reasonable efforts consistent with its normal trading and sales practices on mutually agreed terms between the Company and Cantor.

#### (e) Stock Repurchase Program

As previously disclosed, in August 2005, the Company s Board authorized a stock repurchase program (the Repurchase Program ), to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of its outstanding common stock under the Repurchase Program. The Board reaffirmed such authorization in May 2010. Such authorization does not have an expiration date and, at present, there is no intention to modify or otherwise rescind such authorization. Subject to applicable securities laws, repurchases of common stock under the Repurchase Program are made at times and in amounts as the Company deems appropriate, using available cash resources. Shares of common stock repurchased by the Company under the Repurchase Program are cancelled and, until reissued by the Company, are deemed to be authorized but unissued shares of the Company s common stock. The Repurchase Program may be suspended or discontinued by the Company at any time and without prior notice. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock under the Repurchase Program. At March 31, 2013, 2,759,709 shares remained authorized for repurchase.

### (f) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

The following table presents changes in the balances of each component of the Company s AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

(In Thousands)	Unrealized Gain on le-for-Sale MBS	Net Unrealized (Loss)/Gain on Swaps	Total AOCI
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 824,808	\$ (62,831) \$	761,977
OCI before reclassifications	119,439	12,316	131,755
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (1)	(1,360)		(1,360)
Net current period OCI (2)	118,079	12,316	130,395
Balance at end of period	\$ 942,887	\$ (50,515) \$	892,372

- (1) See separate table below for details about these reclassifications.
- (2) For further information regarding changes in current period OCI, see the Company s consolidated statement of comprehensive income/(loss).

The following table presents information about the significant amounts reclassified out of the Company s AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Details about AOCI Components (In Thousands)			Item in the Statement Income is Presented
Available-for-sale MBS:			
Realized gain on sale of securities	\$	(1,360) Gain on sales of MBS ar	nd U.S. Treasury securities, net
Total reclassifications for period	\$	(1,360)	

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had OTTI recognized in AOCI of \$979,000 and \$2.6 million, respectively.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

#### 12. EPS Calculation

The following table presents a reconciliation of the earnings and shares used in calculating basic and diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

		ded		
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)		2013		2012
Numerator:				
Net income	\$	77,351	\$	84,713
Dividends declared on preferred stock		(2,040)		(2,040)
Dividends, DERs and undistributed earnings allocated to				
participating securities		(321)		(416)
Net income to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$	74,990	\$	82,257
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares for basic and diluted earnings				
per share (1)		358,110		356,167
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$	0.21	\$	0.23

<sup>(1)</sup> At March 31, 2013, the Company had an aggregate of 1.6 million equity instruments outstanding that were not included in the calculation of diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. These equity instruments were comprised of 427,000 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$10.14 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 0.6 years, approximately 447,000 shares of restricted common stock with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$7.73 and approximately 728,000 RSUs with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$6.43. These equity instruments may have a dilutive impact on future EPS.

### 13. Equity Compensation, Employment Agreements and Other Benefit Plans

### (a) 2010 Equity Compensation Plan

In accordance with the terms of the Company s Amended and Restated 2010 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2010 Plan), directors, officers and employees of the Company and any of its subsidiaries and other persons expected to provide significant services for the Company and any of its subsidiaries are eligible to receive grants of stock options (Options), restricted stock, RSUs, DERs and other stock-based awards under the 2010 Plan.

Subject to certain exceptions, stock-based awards relating to a maximum of 13.5 million shares of common stock may be granted under the 2010 Plan; forfeitures and/or awards that expire unexercised do not count towards such limit. At March 31, 2013, approximately 9.5 million shares of common stock remained available for grant in connection with stock-based awards under the 2010 Plan. A participant may generally not receive stock-based awards in excess of 1,500,000 shares of common stock in any one-year and no award may be granted to any person who, assuming exercise of all Options and payment of all awards held by such person, would own or be deemed to own more than 9.8% of the outstanding shares of the Company s common stock. Unless previously terminated by the Board, awards may be granted under the 2010 Plan until May 20, 2020.

**DERs** 

A DER is a right to receive a distribution equal to the dividend distributions that would be paid on a share of the Company s common stock. DERs may be granted separately or together with other awards and are paid in cash or other consideration at such times and in accordance with such rules, as the Compensation Committee of the Board (the Compensation Committee) shall determine at its discretion. Payments made on the Company s existing DERs are charged to stockholders equity when the common stock dividends are declared to the extent that such DERs are expected to vest. The Company made DER payments of approximately \$313,000 and \$447,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At March 31, 2013, the Company had 1,280,898 DERs outstanding, of which 408,250 were attached to common stock options and 872,648 were awarded in connection with, or attached to, RSUs. At March 31, 2013, the average forfeiture rate on DERs outstanding attached to RSUs was 18.2%. On the remaining DERs outstanding that are not attached to RSUs, a 0% forfeiture rate was assumed at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, all outstanding DERs were entitled to receive non-forfeitable distributions and are scheduled to elapse over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

**Options** 

Pursuant to Section 422(b) of the Code, in order for Options granted under the 2010 Plan and vesting in any one calendar year to qualify as an incentive stock option ( ISO ) for tax purposes, the market value of the common stock to be received upon exercise of such Options as determined on the date of grant shall not exceed \$100,000 during such calendar year. The exercise price of an ISO may not be lower than 100% (110% in the case of an ISO granted to a 10% stockholder) of the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The exercise price for any other type of Option issued under the 2010 Plan may not be less than the fair market value on

30

#### **Table of Contents**

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

the date of grant. Each Option is exercisable after the period or periods specified in the award agreement, which will generally not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

The Company did not grant any stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. There were no stock options cancelled during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 50,000 stock options cancelled during the three months ended March 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013, 427,000 stock options were outstanding, all of which were vested and exercisable, with a weighted average exercise price of \$10.14. As of March 31, 2013, the aggregate intrinsic value of total Options outstanding was approximately \$23,000.

Restricted Stock

The Company awarded 12,331 shares of restricted common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and awarded 14,881 shares of restricted common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had unrecognized compensation expense of \$3.3 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, related to the unvested shares of restricted common stock. The Company had accrued dividends payable of \$510,000 and \$428,000 on unvested shares of restricted stock at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The unrecognized compensation expense at March 31, 2013 is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.4 years.

Restricted Stock Units and Associated DERs

Under the terms of the 2010 Plan, RSUs are instruments that provide the holder with the right to receive, subject to the satisfaction of conditions set by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, a payment of a specified value, which may be a share of the Company's common stock, the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock, or such fair market value to the extent in excess of an established base value, on the applicable settlement date. Although the 2010 Plan permits the Company to issue RSUs settleable in cash, all of the Company's outstanding RSUs as of March 31, 2013 are designated to be settled in shares of the Company's common stock. The Company did not grant any RSUs during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. All RSUs outstanding at March 31, 2013 had DERs attached or issued as separate associated instruments in connection with RSUs. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had unrecognized compensation expense of \$2.3 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, related to RSUs and DERs. The unrecognized compensation expense at March 31, 2013 is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had an expected average forfeiture rate of 15.9% with respect to unvested RSUs.

Expense Recognized for Equity-Based Compensation Instruments

The following table presents the Company s expenses related to its equity-based compensation instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended March 31,										
(In Thousands)		2013			2012						
Restricted shares of common stock	\$		544	\$	762						
RSUs			290		280						
DERs			51		59						
Total	\$		885	\$	1,101						

### (b) Employment Agreements

At March 31, 2013, the Company had employment agreements with six of its officers, with varying terms that provide for, among other things, base salary, bonus and change-in-control payments upon the occurrence of certain triggering events.

### (c) Deferred Compensation Plans

The Company administers deferred compensation plans for its senior officers and non-employee directors (collectively, the Deferred Plans ), pursuant to which participants may elect to defer up to 100% of certain cash compensation. The Deferred Plans are designed to align participants interests with those of the Company s stockholders.

Amounts deferred under the Deferred Plans are considered to be converted into stock units of the Company. Stock units do not represent stock of the Company, but rather are a liability of the Company that changes in value as would equivalent shares of the Company s common stock. Deferred compensation liabilities are settled in cash at the termination of the deferral period, based on the value of the stock units at that time. The Deferred Plans are non-qualified plans under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and, as such, are not funded. Prior to the time that the deferred accounts are settled, participants are unsecured creditors of the Company.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The Company s liability for stock units in the Deferred Plans is based on the market price of the Company s common stock at the measurement date. The following table presents the Company s expenses related to its Deferred Plans for its non-employee directors and senior officers for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

#### 

The following table presents the aggregate amount of income deferred by participants of the Deferred Plans through March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 that had not been distributed and the Company s associated liability for such deferrals at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		March 3	31, 2013			Decembe	r 31, 2012	31, 2012		
Undistributed					ι	<b>Indistributed</b>				
	In	Liab	ility Under		Income	Liability Under				
(In Thousands)	Defe	rred (1)	Defe	rred Plans		Deferred (1)	Defe	rred Plans		
Non-employee directors	\$	195	\$	342	\$	199	\$	302		
Total	\$	195	\$	342	\$	199	\$	302		

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the cumulative amounts that were deferred by participants through March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, which had not been distributed through such date.

#### (d) Savings Plan

The Company sponsors a tax-qualified employee savings plan (the Savings Plan ), in accordance with Section 401(k) of the Code. Subject to certain restrictions, all of the Company s employees are eligible to make tax deferred contributions to the Savings Plan subject to limitations under applicable law. Participant s accounts are self-directed and the Company bears the costs of administering the Savings Plan. The Company matches 100% of the first 3% of eligible compensation deferred by employees and 50% of the next 2%, subject to a maximum as provided by the Code. The Company has elected to operate the Savings Plan under the applicable safe harbor provisions of the Code, whereby among other things, the Company must make contributions for all participating employees and all matches contributed by the Company immediately vest 100%. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized expenses for matching contributions of \$62,000 and \$60,000, respectively.

### 14. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

security, which are also observable inputs,

A financial instrument s categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The three levels of valuation hierarchy are defined as follows:
Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.
The following describes the valuation methodologies used for the Company s financial instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.
Securities Obtained and Pledged as Collateral/Obligation to Return Securities Obtained as Collateral
The fair value of U.S. Treasury securities obtained as collateral and the associated obligation to return securities obtained as collateral are based upon prices obtained from a third-party pricing service, which are indicative of market activity. Securities obtained as collateral are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.
Agency MBS, Non-Agency MBS and Securitized Debt
The Company determines the fair value of its Agency MBS, based upon prices obtained from third party pricing services, which are indicative of market activity and repurchase agreement counterparties.
For Agency MBS, the valuation methodology of the Company is third-party pricing services incorporate commonly used market pricing methods

trading activity observed in the marketplace and other data inputs. The methodology also considers the underlying characteristics of each

**Table of Contents** 

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

including: collateral vintage; coupon; maturity date; loan age; reset date; collateral type; periodic and life cap; geography; and prepayment speeds. Management analyzes pricing data received from third-party pricing services and compares it to other indications of fair value including data received from repurchase agreement counterparties and its own observations of trading activity observed in the marketplace.

In determining the fair value of its Non-Agency MBS and securitized debt, management considers a number of observable market data points, including prices obtained from pricing services and brokers as well as dialogue with market participants. In valuing Non-Agency MBS, the Company understands that pricing services use observable inputs that include, in addition to trading activity observed in the marketplace, loan delinquency data, credit enhancement levels and vintage, which are taken into account to assign pricing factors such as spread and prepayment assumptions. For tranches that are cross-collateralized, performance of all collateral groups involved in the tranche are considered. The Company collects and considers current market intelligence on all major markets, including benchmark security evaluations and bid-lists throughout the day from various sources, when available.

The Company s MBS and securitized debt are valued using various market data points as described above, which management considers directly or indirectly observable parameters. Accordingly, the Company s MBS and securitized debt are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Linked Transactions

The Non-Agency MBS underlying the Company s Linked Transactions are valued using similar techniques to those used for the Company s other Non-Agency MBS. The value of the underlying MBS is then netted against the carrying amount (which approximates fair value) of the repurchase agreement borrowing at the valuation date. The fair value of Linked Transactions also includes accrued interest receivable on the MBS and accrued interest payable on the underlying repurchase agreement borrowings. The Company s Linked Transactions are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative Hedging Instruments (Swaps)

The Company determines the fair value of its derivative hedging instruments considering valuations obtained from a third party pricing service and such valuations are tested with internally developed models that apply readily observable market parameters. In valuing its derivative hedging instruments, the Company considers the creditworthiness of both the Company and its counterparties, along with collateral provisions contained in each derivative agreement, from the perspective of both the Company and its counterparties. All of the Company s derivative hedging instruments are subject to bilateral collateral arrangements. Consequently, no credit valuation adjustment was made in determining the fair value of such instruments. The Company s derivative hedging instruments are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents the Company s financial instruments carried at fair value as of March 31, 2013, on the consolidated balance sheet by the valuation hierarchy, as previously described:

### Fair Value at March 31, 2013

(In Thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Agency MBS	\$	\$ 7,153,905	\$	\$ 7,153,905
Non-Agency MBS, including MBS transferred to consolidated				
VIEs		5,388,277		5,388,277
Securities obtained and pledged				
as collateral	408,118			408,118
Linked Transactions		12,572		12,572
Derivative hedging instruments		324		324
Total assets carried at fair value	\$ 408,118	\$ 12,555,078	\$	\$ 12,963,196
Liabilities:				
Derivative hedging instruments	\$	\$ 50,839	\$	\$ 50,839
Obligation to return securities				
obtained as collateral	508,187			508,187
Total liabilities carried at fair				
value	\$ 508,187	\$ 50,839	\$	\$ 559,026

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

Changes to the valuation methodologies used with respect to the Company s financial instruments are reviewed by management to ensure any such changes result in appropriate exit price valuations. As markets and products develop and the pricing for certain products becomes more transparent, the Company continues to refine its valuation methodologies. The methods described above may produce fair value estimates that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with those used by market participants, the use of different methodologies, or assumptions, to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. The Company uses inputs that are current as of the measurement date, which may include periods of market dislocation, during which price transparency may be reduced. The Company reviews the classification of its financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy on a quarterly basis, which could cause its financial instruments to be reclassified to a different level.

The following table presents the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company s financial instruments, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	March 31, 2013				Decembe	r 31, 2012		
(In Thousands)	Carrying Value		Estimated Fair Value		Carrying Value			Estimated Fair Value
Financial Assets:								
Agency MBS	\$	7,153,905	\$	7,153,905	\$	7,225,460	\$	7,225,460
Non-Agency MBS, including MBS transferred								
to consolidated VIEs		5,388,277		5,388,277		5,382,165		5,382,165
Securities obtained and pledged as collateral		408,118		408,118		408,833		408,833
Cash and cash equivalents		601,570		601,570		401,293		401,293
Restricted cash		5,017		5,017		5,016		5,016
Linked Transactions		12,572		12,572		12,704		12,704
Derivative hedging instruments		324		324		203		203
Financial Liabilities:								
Repurchase agreements		8,902,827		8,902,024		8,754,472		8,754,521
Securitized debt		542,014		544,873		646,816		647,070
Obligation to return securities obtained as								
collateral		508,187		508,187		508,827		508,827
Senior Notes		100,000		106,120		100,000		103,040
Derivative hedging instruments		50,839		50,839		63,034		63,034

In addition to the methodologies used to determine the fair value of the Company s financial assets and liabilities reported at fair value, as previously described, the following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in arriving at the fair value of the Company s other financial instruments presented in the above table:

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash: Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash are comprised of cash held in overnight money market investments and demand deposit accounts. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company s money market funds were

invested in securities issued by the U.S. Government, or its agencies, instrumentalities, and sponsored entities, and repurchase agreements involving the securities described above. Given the overnight term and assessed credit risk, the Company s investments in money market funds are determined to have a fair value equal to their carrying value.

Repurchase Agreements: The fair value of repurchase agreements reflects the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted at market interest rates at the valuation date for repurchase agreements with a term equivalent to the remaining term to interest rate repricing, which may be at maturity. Such interest rates are estimated based on LIBOR rates observed in the market. The Company s repurchase agreements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

*Senior Notes:* The fair value of Senior Notes is determined using the end of day market price quoted on the NYSE at the reporting date. The Company s Senior Notes are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

#### 15. Use of Special Purpose Entities and Variable Interest Entities

A Special Purpose Entity (SPE) is an entity designed to fulfill a specific limited need of the company that organized it. SPEs are often used to facilitate transactions that involve securitizing financial assets or resecuritizing previously securitized financial assets. The objective of such transactions may include obtaining non-recourse financing, obtaining liquidity or refinancing the underlying securitized financial assets on improved terms. Securitization involves transferring assets to a SPE to convert all or a portion of those assets into cash before they would have been realized in the normal course of business, through the SPE s issuance of debt or equity instruments. Investors in an SPE usually have recourse only to the assets in the SPE and depending on the overall structure of the transaction, may benefit from various forms of credit enhancement, such as over-collateralization in the form of excess assets in the SPE, priority with respect to receipt of cash flows relative to holders of other debt or equity instruments issued by the SPE, or a line of credit or other form of liquidity agreement that is designed with the objective of ensuring that investors receive principal and/or interest cash flow on the investment in accordance with the terms of their investment agreement.

#### Resecuritization transactions

Since October 2010, the Company has entered into several resecuritization transactions that resulted in the Company consolidating as VIEs the SPEs that were created to facilitate the transactions and to which the underlying assets in connection with the resecuritizations were transferred. See Note 2(p) for a discussion of the accounting policies applied to the consolidation of VIEs and transfers of financial assets in connection with resecuritization transactions.

The following table summarizes the key details of the resecuritization transactions the Company has been involved in to date:

(Dollars in Thousands)	]	February 2012		June 2011		February 2011		October 2010
Name of Trust (Consolidated as a VIE)	V	VFMLT Series		CSMC Series		CSMC Series		
		2012-RR1		2011-7R		2011-1R	D	MSI 2010-RS2
Principal value of Non-Agency MBS sold	\$	433,347	\$	1,283,422	\$	1,319,969	\$	985,228
Face amount of Senior Bonds issued by the VIE								
and purchased by 3rd party investors	\$	186,691	\$	474,866	\$	488,389	\$	246,307
Outstanding amount of Senior Bonds at March 31,								
2013	\$	133,284	\$	193,405	\$	206,686	\$	8,639
Pass-through rate for Senior Bonds issued			O	ne-month LIBOR	O	ne-month LIBOR	On	e-month LIBOR
				plus 125 basis		plus 100 basis		plus 125 basis
		2.85%	ó	points		points		points
Face amount of Senior Support Certificates								
received by the Company (1)	\$	246,656	\$	808,556	\$	831,580	\$	738,921

Cash received	\$ 186,691	\$ 474,866	\$ 488,389 \$	246,307
Notional amount acquired of non-rated, interest				
only senior certificates	\$ 186,691	\$ 474,866	\$ 488,389 \$	246,307
Expenses incurred (2)	\$ 1,814	\$ 3,230	\$ 3,527 \$	3,562

<sup>(1)</sup> Provides credit support for the sequential Senior Non-Agency MBS sold to third-party investors in resecuritization transactions ( Senior Bonds ).

The Company engaged in these transactions primarily for the purpose of obtaining non-recourse financing on a portion of its Non-Agency MBS portfolio, as well as refinancing a portion of its Non-Agency MBS portfolio on improved terms. As a result of engaging in these transactions, the risks facing the Company are largely unchanged as the Company remains economically exposed to the first loss position on the underlying MBS transferred to the VIEs.

The activities that can be performed by an entity created to facilitate a resecuritization transaction are predominantly specified in the entity s formation documents. Those documents do not permit the entity, any beneficial interest holder in the entity, or any other party associated with the entity to cause the entity to sell or replace the assets held by the entity, or to limit such ability to specific events of default.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amortized to interest expense based upon the actual repayments of the associated beneficial interests.

#### **Table of Contents**

### MFA FINANCIAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### MARCH 31, 2013

The Company concluded that the entities created to facilitate these transactions are VIEs. The Company then completed an analysis of whether each VIE created to facilitate the resecuritization transaction should be consolidated by the Company, based on consideration of its involvement in each VIE, including the design and purpose of the SPE, and whether its involvement reflected a controlling financial interest that resulted in the Company being deemed the primary beneficiary of each VIE. In determining whether the Company would be considered the primary beneficiary, the following factors were assessed:

- Whether the Company has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and
- Whether the Company has a right to receive benefits or absorb losses of the entity that could be potentially significant to the VIE.

Based on its evaluation of the factors discussed above, including its involvement in the purpose and design of the entity, the Company determined that it was required to consolidate each VIE created to facilitate these resecuritization transactions.

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate fair value of the Non-Agency MBS that were resecuritized as described above was \$2.593 billion and \$2.620 billion, respectively. These assets are included in the Company s consolidated balance sheet and disclosed as Non-Agency MBS transferred to consolidated VIEs. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate outstanding balance of Senior Bonds issued by consolidated VIEs was \$542.0 million and \$646.8 million, respectively. These Senior Bonds are included in the Company s consolidated balance sheet and disclosed as Securitized debt. The holders of the Senior Bonds have no recourse to the general credit of the Company, but the Company does have the obligation, under certain circumstances to repurchase assets from the VIE upon the breach of certain representations and warranties in relation to the Non-Agency MBS sold to the VIE. In the absence of such a breach, the Company has no obligation to provide any other explicit or implicit support to any VIE.

Prior to the completion of the Company s first resecuritization transaction in October 2010, the Company had not transferred assets to VIEs or QSPEs and other than acquiring MBS issued by such entities, had no other involvement with VIEs or QSPEs.

### 16. Subsequent Event

Offering of Series B Preferred Stock/Redemption of Series A Preferred Stock

On April 15, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 8.0 million shares of its 7.50% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series B Preferred Stock), liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, in an underwritten public offering. The estimated aggregate net proceeds to the Company from the offering of the Series B Preferred Stock were approximately \$193.3 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses. The Company plans to use the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, the redemption of all of its outstanding Series A Preferred Stock (as discussed below), and to use any remaining net proceeds to acquire additional MBS, consistent with its investment policy, and for working capital, which may include, among other things, the repayment of its repurchase agreements.

On April 16, 2013, the Company announced that it will redeem all 3,840,000 outstanding shares of its Series A Preferred Stock on May 16, 2013, at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends for the period from April 1, 2013, through and including the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price per share of Series A Preferred Stock of approximately \$25.27153 (for an aggregate of approximately \$97.0 million).

### Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we refer to MFA Financial, Inc. and its subsidiaries as we, us, or our, unless we specifically state otherwise or the context otherwise indicates.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and accompanying notes included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### **Forward Looking Statements**

When used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in future filings with the SEC or in press releases or other written or oral communications, statements which are not historical in nature, including those containing words such as will, believe, expect, anticipate, estimate, plan, c intend, should, could, would, may or similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of Sect of the 1933 Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (or the 1934 Act), and, as such, may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

These forward-looking statements include information about possible or assumed future results with respect to our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, plans and objectives. Statements regarding the following subjects, among others, may be forward-looking: changes in interest rates and the market value of our MBS; changes in the prepayment rates on the mortgage loans securing our MBS, an increase of which could result in a reduction of the yield on MBS in our portfolio and an increase of which could require us to reinvest the proceeds received by us as a result of such prepayments in MBS with lower coupons; changes in the default rates and management s assumptions regarding default rates on the mortgage loans securing our Non-Agency MBS; our ability to borrow to finance our assets and the terms, including the cost, maturity and other terms, of any such borrowings; implementation of or changes in government regulations or programs affecting our business; our estimates regarding taxable income and the timing and amount of distributions to stockholders; our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes; our ability to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (or the Investment Company Act), including statements regarding the concept release issued by the SEC relating to interpretive issues under the Investment Company Act with respect to the status under the Investment Company Act of certain companies that are in engaged in the business of acquiring mortgages and mortgage-related interests; and risks associated with investing in real estate assets, including changes in business conditions and the general economy. These and other risks, uncertainties and factors, including those described in the annual, quarterly and current reports that we file with the SEC, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements we make. All forward-looking statements are based on beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance, taking into account all information currently available. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they are made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time and it is not possible to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### **Business/General**

We are a REIT primarily engaged in the business of investing, on a leveraged basis, in residential Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS. Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders resulting from the difference between the interest and other income we earn on our investments and the interest expense we pay on the borrowings that we use to finance our leveraged investments and our operating costs.

At March 31, 2013, we had total assets of approximately \$13.653 billion, of which \$12.542 billion, or 91.9%, represented our MBS portfolio. At such date, our MBS portfolio was comprised of \$7.154 billion of Agency MBS and \$5.388 billion of Non-Agency MBS. Our remaining investment-related assets were primarily comprised of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, collateral obtained in connection with reverse repurchase agreements, Linked Transactions and MBS-related receivables.

The results of our business operations are affected by a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, and primarily depend on, among other things, the level of our net interest income, the market value of our assets, the supply and demand for MBS in the marketplace, the terms and availability of adequate financing, general economic and real estate conditions (both on national and local level), the impact of government actions in the real estate and mortgage sector, and the credit performance of our Non-Agency MBS. Our net interest income varies

### Table of Contents

primarily as a result of changes in interest rates, the slope of the yield curve (i.e., the differential between long-term and short-term interest rates), borrowing costs (i.e., our interest expense) and prepayment speeds on our MBS, the behavior of which involves various risks and uncertainties. Interest rates and CPRs (which measure the amount of unscheduled principal prepayment on a bond as a percentage of the bond balance), vary according to the type of investment, conditions in the financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty.

With respect to our business operations, increases in interest rates, in general, may over time cause: (i) the interest expense associated with our borrowings to increase; (ii) the value of our MBS portfolio and, correspondingly, our stockholders equity to decline; (iii) coupons on our ARM-MBS to reset, on a delayed basis, to higher interest rates; (iv) prepayments on our MBS to decline, thereby slowing the amortization of our MBS purchase premiums and the accretion of our purchase discounts; and (v) the value of our derivative hedging instruments and, correspondingly, our stockholders equity to increase. Conversely, decreases in interest rates, in general, may over time cause: (i) the interest expense associated with our borrowings to decrease; (ii) the value of our MBS portfolio and, correspondingly, our stockholders equity to increase; (iii) coupons on our ARM-MBS to reset, on a delayed basis, to lower interest rates; (iv) prepayments on our MBS to increase, thereby accelerating the amortization of our MBS purchase premiums and the accretion of our purchase discounts; and (v) the value of our derivative hedging instruments and, correspondingly, our stockholders equity to decrease. In addition, our borrowing costs and credit lines are further affected by the type of collateral we pledge and general conditions in the credit market.

We are exposed to credit risk in our Non-Agency MBS portfolio, generally meaning that we are subject to credit losses in our Non-Agency MBS portfolio that correspond to the risk of delinquency, default and foreclosure on the real estate collateralizing our Non-Agency MBS. In particular we have significantly higher exposure in our Non-Agency MBS portfolio in California, Florida, New York, Virginia and New Jersey. However, the remaining credit support built into Non-Agency MBS transaction structures is designed to mitigate the extent of expected credit losses. In addition, we believe the discounted purchase prices paid on certain of our Non-Agency MBS effectively mitigates our risk of loss in the event, as we expect on most, that we receive less than 100% of the par value of these securities. Our Non-Agency MBS investment process involves analysis focused primarily on quantifying and pricing credit risk. Interest income on Non-Agency MBS purchased at a significant discount is recorded at an effective yield, based on management sestimate of expected cash flows from each security, which estimate is based on our observation of current information and events and include assumptions related to fluctuations in interest rates, prepayment speeds and the timing and amount of credit losses.

As of March 31, 2013, approximately \$8.945 billion, or 71.3%, of our MBS portfolio was in its contractual fixed-rate period or were fixed-rate MBS and approximately \$3.383 billion, or 27.0%, was in its contractual adjustable-rate period, or were floating rate MBS. Our ARM-MBS in their contractual adjustable-rate period primarily include MBS collateralized by Hybrids for which the initial fixed-rate period has elapsed, such that the interest rate will typically adjust on an annual or semiannual basis. In addition, at March 31, 2013, we had \$210.7 million, or 1.7%, of MBS with interest rates that reset monthly.

Premiums arise when we acquire MBS at a price in excess of the principal balance of the mortgages securing such MBS (i.e., par value). Conversely, discounts arise when we acquire MBS at a price below the principal balance of the mortgages securing such MBS. Premiums paid on our MBS are amortized against interest income and accretable purchase discounts on our MBS are accreted to interest income. Purchase premiums on our MBS, which are primarily carried on our Agency MBS, are amortized against interest income over the life of each security using the effective yield method, adjusted for actual prepayment activity. An increase in the prepayment rate, as measured by the CPR, will typically accelerate the amortization of purchase premiums, thereby reducing the yield/interest income earned on such assets. Generally, if prepayments on our Non-Agency MBS are less than anticipated, we expect that the income recognized on such assets would be reduced and impairments could result.

Conditional prepayment rate (or CPR) levels are impacted by, among other things, conditions in the housing market, new regulations, government and private sector initiatives, interest rates, availability of credit to home borrowers, underwriting standards and the economy in general. In particular, CPR reflects the conditional repayment rate (or CRR), which measures voluntary prepayments of mortgages collateralizing a particular MBS, and the conditional default rate (or CDR), which measures involuntary prepayments resulting from defaults. CPRs on Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS may differ significantly. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our Agency MBS portfolio experienced a weighted average CPR of 19.1%, and our Non-Agency MBS portfolio (including Non-Agency MBS underlying our Linked Transactions) experienced a weighted average CPR of 15.1%.

38

### Table of Contents

Over the last consecutive eight quarters, ending with March 31, 2013, the monthly fair value weighted average CPR on our MBS portfolio ranged from a high of 19.5% experienced during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to a low of 15.2%, experienced during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, with an average CPR over such quarters of 17.5%.

When we purchase Non-Agency MBS at significant discounts to par value, we make certain assumptions with respect to each security. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, future interest rates, voluntary prepayment rates, default rates, mortgage modifications and loss severities. As part of our Non-Agency MBS surveillance process, we track and compare each security s actual performance over time to the performance expected at the time of purchase or, if we have modified our original purchase assumptions, to our revised performance expectations. To the extent that actual performance of our Non-Agency MBS deviates materially from our expected performance parameters, we may revise our performance expectations, such that the amount of purchase discount designated as credit discount may be increased or decreased over time. Nevertheless, credit losses greater than those anticipated or in excess of the recorded purchase discount could occur, which could materially adversely impact our operating results.

It is our business strategy to hold our MBS as long-term investments. On at least a quarterly basis, we assess our ability and intent to continue to hold each security and, as part of this process, we monitor our securities for other-than-temporary impairment. A change in our ability and/or intent to continue to hold any of our securities that are in an unrealized loss position, or a deterioration in the underlying characteristics of these securities, could result in our recognizing future impairment charges or a loss upon the sale of any such security. At March 31, 2013, we had net unrealized gains of \$173.7 million on our Agency MBS, comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$179.5 million and gross unrealized losses of \$5.9 million, and had net unrealized gains on our Non-Agency MBS of \$769.2 million, comprised of gross unrealized gains of \$771.9 million and gross unrealized losses of \$2.6 million. At March 31, 2013, we did not intend to sell any of our MBS that were in an unrealized loss position, and we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell those MBS before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be at their maturity. (See following discussion on Recent Market Conditions and Our Strategy .)

We rely primarily on borrowings under repurchase agreements to finance our Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS. Our MBS have longer-term contractual maturities than our borrowings under repurchase agreements. We have also engaged in resecuritization transactions with respect to our Non-Agency MBS, which provide access to non-recourse financing. Even though the majority of our MBS have interest rates that adjust over time based on short-term changes in corresponding interest rate indices (typically following an initial fixed-rate period for our Hybrids), the interest rates we pay on our borrowings and securitized debt will typically change at a faster pace than the interest rates we earn on our MBS. In order to reduce this interest rate risk exposure, we may enter into derivative hedging instruments, which are currently comprised of Swaps.

Our derivative hedging instruments are designated as cash-flow hedges against a portion of our current and forecasted LIBOR-based repurchase agreements and securitized debt. Our Swaps do not extend the maturities of our repurchase agreements and/or securitized debt; they do, however, lock in a fixed rate of interest over their term for the notional amount of the Swap corresponding to the hedged item. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we entered into one new Swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million, a fixed-pay rate of 0.67% and an initial maturity of four years, and had Swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$56.0 million and a weighted average fixed-pay rate of 3.92% amortize and/or expire. At March 31, 2013, we had Swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$2.514 billion with a weighted average fixed-pay rate of 2.24% and a weighted average variable interest rate received of 0.21%.

#### **Recent Market Conditions and Our Strategy**

During the first quarter of 2013, we continued to invest in both Agency and Non-Agency MBS. During the three months ended March 31 2013, we acquired approximately (i) \$469.9 million of Agency MBS at a weighted average purchase price of 104.2% of par value and (ii) \$65.6

million of Non-Agency MBS at a weighted average purchase price of 84.2% of par value. At March 31, 2013, our combined MBS portfolio was approximately \$12.542 billion compared to \$12.608 billion at December 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we experienced a decrease in our MBS portfolio primarily due to principal repayments exceeding the addition of newly acquired assets and the increase in net unrealized gains.

At March 31, 2013, \$7.154 billion, or 57.0% of our MBS portfolio, was invested in Agency MBS. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we experienced a decrease of \$71.6 million in our Agency MBS primarily due to \$499.5 million of principal repayments, a decrease in net unrealized gains of \$27.3 million and \$14.7 million of premium amortization which was partially offset by the addition of \$469.9 million of newly acquired assets.

### Table of Contents

At March 31, 2013, \$5.388 billion, or 43.0% of our MBS portfolio, was invested in Non-Agency MBS. In addition, we had \$46.6 million of Non-Agency MBS that were reported as a component of our Linked Transactions. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we experienced an increase of \$6.1 million in our Non-Agency MBS portfolio primarily due to an increase in net unrealized gains of \$145.4 million for the portfolio as a result of market price appreciation, the addition of \$65.6 million of newly acquired assets and \$12.0 million of discount accretion which was partially offset by \$212.4 million of principal repayments and the sale of Non-Agency MBS with an amortized cost of \$4.5 million.

Our book value per common share was \$8.84 as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$8.99 at December 31, 2012. The decrease in book value per share was primarily the result of the declaration on March 4, 2013 of a special cash dividend of \$0.50 per share of common stock which was paid on April 10, 2013. Excluding the impact of the special dividend, our book value would have increased in the quarter due primarily to continued appreciation within our Non-Agency MBS portfolio.

Due to the interest rate environment in 2012 and the first three months of 2013, yields on acquired assets were lower than in prior periods. At the end of the first quarter of 2013, the average coupon on mortgages underlying our Agency MBS was lower compared to the end of the first quarter of 2012, due to acquisition of assets in the marketplace at generally lower coupons reflecting current market conditions and as a result of prepayments on higher yielding assets and resets on Hybrid and ARM-MBS within the portfolio. As a result, the coupon yield on our Agency MBS portfolio declined 53 basis points to 3.25% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 3.78% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, the net Agency MBS yield decreased to 2.42% for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from 3.15% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Our Non-Agency MBS portfolio yielded 6.80% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 6.95% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in the yield on our Non-Agency MBS portfolio is primarily due to the downward movement of the forward yield curve, which causes us to lower the projected future coupons and therefore the expected yields on our Hybrid Non-Agency MBS and the addition of newly acquired assets at yields less than our overall portfolio yield.

We continue to believe that loss-adjusted returns on Non-Agency MBS represent attractive investment opportunities. The yields on our Non-Agency MBS that were purchased at a discount are generally positively impacted if prepayment rates on these securities exceed our prepayment assumptions. A combination of low mortgage rates, rising multifamily rents, limited housing supply, capital flows into own-to-rent foreclosure purchases and demographic-driven U.S. household formation, has led to home price appreciation on a nationwide basis. We believe that our \$1.313 billion Credit Reserve appropriately factors in remaining uncertainties regarding housing fundamentals and the potential impact on future cash flows. A combination of both home price appreciation and mortgage amortization has led to a decrease in the Loan-to-Value ratio (or LTV) for many of the mortgages underlying our Non-Agency portfolio. Due to this lower LTV, we have reduced estimated future losses within our Non-Agency portfolio. As a result, during the three months ended March 31, 2013 we transferred \$34.5 million to accretable discount from Credit Reserve bringing the total transferred over the last nine months to \$169.6 million. This increase in accretable discount prospectively increases the yield on Non-Agency MBS and will be realized in income over the life of the assets.

With \$601.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$410.7 million of unpledged Agency MBS at March 31, 2013, we believe that we are positioned to continue to take advantage of investment opportunities within the residential MBS marketplace. During the remainder of 2013 we intend to continue to selectively acquire Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS. We believe that our Non-Agency assets will be positively impacted going forward as the existing private label MBS universe continues to decline in size due to prepayments, defaults and limited issuance. In addition, while most Non-Agency MBS in our portfolio will not return their full face value due to loan defaults, we believe that they will deliver attractive loss adjusted yields due to our discounted average amortized cost of 73% of face value at March 31, 2013. We expect that the majority of our assets will remain in Agency MBS.

To finance the growth of our portfolio, we continue to pursue diversified financing sources, including longer term forms of repurchase agreement financing. In the first quarter of 2013, we renewed a \$126.0 million one-year repurchase agreement. See Liquidity and Capital

Resources below for more information regarding our financing sources and strategies.

#### **Table of Contents**

We believe the financial environment continues to be favorably impacted by accommodative U.S. monetary policy. Repurchase agreement funding for both Agency MBS and Non-Agency MBS continues to be available to us from multiple counterparties. Typically, repurchase agreement funding involving Non-Agency MBS is available from fewer counterparties, at terms requiring higher collateralization and higher interest rates, than for repurchase agreement funding involving Agency MBS. At March 31, 2013, our debt consisted of borrowings under repurchase agreements with 26 counterparties, securitized debt and Senior Notes outstanding, and obligation to return securities obtained as collateral, resulting in a debt-to-equity multiple of 3.1 times. (See table on page 56 under Results of Operations that presents our quarterly leverage multiples since March 31, 2012.)

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the U.S. Federal Reserve announced that it intends to keep the target range for the Federal Funds rate at 0% to 0.25% and anticipates this exceptionally low range for the federal funds rate will be appropriate at least as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6-1/2 percent, inflation between one and two years ahead is projected to be no more than a half percentage point above the Federal Open Market Committee s two percent longer-run goal, and longer-term inflation expectations continue to be well anchored. The U.S. Federal Reserve also announced that it will continue purchasing additional Agency MBS by \$40 billion per month and longer-term Treasury securities at a pace of \$45 billion per month until the labor market improves. It is also continuing its policy of reinvesting principal payments from existing Agency holdings and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction. These actions have put downward pressure on Agency MBS yields.

### **Recent Developments**

On April 15, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 8.0 million shares of its 7.50% Series B Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, in an underwritten public offering. The estimated aggregate net proceeds to the Company from the offering of the Series B Preferred Stock were approximately \$193.3 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses. The Company plans to use the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, the redemption of all of its outstanding Series A Preferred Stock (as discussed below), and to use any remaining net proceeds to acquire additional MBS, consistent with its investment policy, and for working capital, which may include, among other things, the repayment of its repurchase agreements.

On April 16, 2013, the Company announced that it will redeem all 3,840,000 outstanding shares of its Series A Preferred Stock on May 16, 2013, at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends for the period from April 1, 2013, through and including the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price per share of Series A Preferred Stock of approximately \$25.27153 (for an aggregate of approximately \$97.0 million).

# Table of Contents

# **Information About Our Assets**

The tables below present certain information about our asset allocation at March 31, 2013:

### ASSET ALLOCATION

GAAP Basis At March 31, 2013 (Dollars in Thousands)	A	gency MBS	Non-Agency MBS	MBS Portfolio	Cash (1)	Other, net (2)	Total
Amortized Cost	\$	6,980,253 \$	4,619,042 \$	11,599,295 \$	606,587	(287,865)\$	11,918,017
Market Value	\$	7,153,905 \$	5,388,277 \$	12,542,182 \$	606,587	(287,865)\$	12,860,904
Less Repurchase Agreements		(6,338,378)	(2,564,449)	(8,902,827)			(8,902,827)
Less Securitized Debt			(542,014)	(542,014)			(542,014)
Less Senior Notes						(100,000)	(100,000)
Equity Allocated	\$	815,527 \$	2,281,814 \$	3,097,341 \$	606,587	(387,865)\$	3,316,063
Less Swaps at Market Value						(50,515)	(50,515)
Net Equity Allocated	\$	815,527 \$	2,281,814 \$	3,097,341 \$	606,587	(438,380) \$	3,265,548
Debt/Net Equity Ratio (3)		7.77x	1.36x				3.08x

Non-GAAP Adjustments At March 31, 2013 (Dollars in Thousands)	Agency MBS	N	MBS (4)		MBS Portfolio	Cash (1)	O	ther, net (4)	Total
Amortized Cost	\$	\$	41,564	\$	41,564	\$	\$	87,103	\$ 128,667
Market Value	\$	\$	46,583	- 1		\$	\$	,	\$ 133,686
Repurchase Agreements			374,501		374,501			,	374,501
Multi-year Collateralized									
Financing			(508,187)		(508,187)				(508,187)
Arrangements									
Equity Allocated	\$	\$	(87,103)	\$	(87,103)	\$	\$	87,103	\$
Less Swaps at Market Value									
Net Equity Allocated	\$	\$	(87,103)	\$	(87,103)	\$	\$	87,103	\$

Non-GAAP Basis At March 31, 2013 (Dollars in Thousands)	Agency MBS		Non-Agency MBS (4)		MBS Portfolio		Cash(1)		Other, net(6)	Total
Amortized Cost	\$	6,980,253	\$	4,660,606	\$ 11,640,859	\$	606,587	\$	(200,762) \$	12,046,684
Market Value	\$	7,153,905	\$	5,434,860	\$ 12,588,765	\$	606,587	\$	(200,762) \$	12,994,590
Less Repurchase Agreements		(6,338,378)		(2,189,948)	(8,528,326)					(8,528,326)
Less Multi-year Collateralized										
Financing Arrangements				(508,187)	(508,187)					(508,187)
Less Securitized Debt				(542,014)	(542,014)					(542,014)
Less Senior Notes									(100,000)	(100,000)
Equity Allocated	\$	815,527	\$	2,194,711	\$ 3,010,238	\$	606,587	\$	(300,762) \$	3,316,063
Less Swaps at Market Value									(50,515)	(50,515)
Net Equity Allocated	\$	815,527	\$	2,194,711	\$ 3,010,238	\$	606,587	\$	(351,277) \$	3,265,548
Debt/Net Equity Ratio (5)		7.77x		1.48x						3.09 x

(1) Includes cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

- (2) Includes securities obtained and pledged as collateral, Linked Transactions, interest receivable, goodwill, prepaid and other assets, obligation to return securities obtained as collateral, interest payable, dividends payable, excise tax and interest payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities.
- (3) For the Agency and Non-Agency MBS portfolio, represents the sum of borrowings under repurchase agreements and securitized debt as a multiple of net equity allocated. The numerator of our Total Debt/Net Equity ratio also includes the obligation to return securities obtained of \$508.2 million and Senior Notes.
- (4) Includes Non-Agency MBS and repurchase agreements underlying Linked Transactions. The purchase of a Non-Agency MBS and contemporaneous repurchase borrowing of this MBS with the same counterparty are accounted for under GAAP as a linked transaction. The two components of a linked transaction (MBS and associated borrowings under a repurchase agreement) are evaluated on a combined basis and are presented net as Linked Transactions on our consolidated balance sheet.
- (5) For the Agency and Non-Agency MBS portfolio, represents the sum of borrowings under repurchase agreements, multi-year collateralized financing arrangements of \$508.2 million and securitized debt as a multiple of net equity allocated. The numerator of our Total Debt/Net Equity ratio also includes borrowings under repurchase agreements of \$408.6 million for which U.S. Treasury securities are pledged as collateral and Senior Notes.
- (6) Includes securities obtained and pledged as collateral, interest receivable, goodwill, prepaid and other assets, borrowings under repurchase agreements of \$408.6 million for which U.S. Treasury securities are pledged as collateral, interest payable, dividends payable, excise tax and interest payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities.

### Table of Contents

### **Agency MBS**

The following table presents certain information regarding the composition of our Agency MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2013:

		Weighted	Weighted		Weighted Average	****	Weighted
(Dollars in Thousands)	Current Face	Average Purchase Price	Average Market Price	Fair Value (1)	Loan Age (Months) (2)	Weighted Average Coupon (2)	Average 3 Month CPR
15-Year Fixed Rate:						-	
Low Loan Balance (3)	\$ 2,017,692	104.4%	105.9% \$	2,136,762	14	3.14%	10.3%
HARP (4)	224,971	104.7	105.9	238,149	11	3.07	4.6
Other (Post June 2009) (5)	263,419	103.4	107.1	282,001	33	4.18	30.9
Other (Pre June 2009) (6)	1,967	104.9	107.7	2,118	46	4.50	25.9
Total 15-Year Fixed Rate	\$ 2,508,049	104.3%	106.0% \$	2,659,030	15	3.24%	12.2%
Hybrid:							
Other (Post June 2009) (5)	\$ 2,495,475	103.9%	105.6% \$	2,635,205	25	3.25%	23.0%
Other (Pre June 2009) (6)	1,554,989	101.4	106.9	1,661,545	74	3.92	24.0
Total Hybrid	\$ 4,050,464	102.9%	106.1% \$	4,296,750	44	3.51%	23.4%
CMO/Other	\$ 186,782	102.5%	104.1% \$	194,413	147	2.53%	9.5%
Total Portfolio	\$ 6,745,295	103.4%	106.0% \$	7,150,193	36	3.38%	19.1%

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include principal payments receivable of \$3.7 million at March 31, 2013.

The following table presents certain information regarding our 15-year fixed-rate Agency MBS as of March 31, 2013:

Coupon (Dollars in Thousands)	(	Current Face	Weighted Average Purchase Price	Weighted Average Market Price	Fair Value (1)	Weighted Average Loan Age (Months) (2)	Weighted Average Loan Rate	Low Loan Balance and/or HARP (3)	Weighted Average 3 Month CPR
15-Year Fixed Rate:									
2.5 %	\$	957,479	104.2%	103.8% \$	994,026	4	3.02%	100%	2.3%

<sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average is based on MBS current face at March 31, 2013.

<sup>(3)</sup> Low loan balance represents MBS collateralized by mortgages with original loan balance of less than or equal to \$175,000.

<sup>(4)</sup> Home Affordable Refinance Program (or HARP) MBS are backed by refinanced loans with loan-to-value ratio (or LTV) greater than or equal to 80% at origination.

<sup>(5)</sup> MBS issued in June 2009 or later. Majority of underlying loans are ineligible to refinance through the HARP program.

<sup>(6)</sup> MBS issued before June 2009.

Edgar Filing: MFA FINANCIAL, INC. - Form 10-Q

3.0	533,072	105.9	106.0	565,254	9	3.49	100	4.2
3.5	19,947	103.5	106.8	21,297	29	4.16	100	24.4
4.0	836,743	103.3	108.1	904,732	29	4.40	82	21.6
4.5	160,808	105.2	108.0	173,721	32	4.87	32	30.7
Total 15-Year Fixed Rate	\$ 2,508,049	104.3%	106.0% \$	2,659,030	15	3.71%	89%	12.2%

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include principal payments receivable of \$3.7 million at March 31, 2013.

<sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average is based on MBS current face at March 31, 2013.

<sup>(3)</sup> Low Loan Balance represents MBS collateralized by mortgages with original loan balance less than or equal to \$175,000. HARP MBS are backed by refinanced loans with LTV greater than or equal to 80% at origination.

# Table of Contents

The following table presents certain information regarding our Hybrid Agency MBS as of March 31, 2013:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Current Face	Weighted Average Purchase Price	Weighted Average Market Price	Fair Value (1)	Weighted Average Coupon (2)	Weighted Average Loan Age (Months) (2)	Weighted Average Months to Reset (3)	Interest Only (4)	Weighted Average 3 Month CPR
Hybrid Post									
June 2009:									
Agency 5/1	\$ 1,096,421	103.3%	105.2% \$	1,153,944	3.43%	32	27	24%	25.8%
Agency 7/1	1,362,432	104.4	105.9	1,442,701	3.12	19	64	21	21.1
Agency 10/1	36,622	104.4	105.3	38,560	2.95	9	110		10.4
Total Hybrids Post									
June 2009	\$ 2,495,475	103.9%	105.6% \$	2,635,205	3.25%	25	49	22%	23.0%
Hybrid Pre June 2009:									
Coupon $< 4.5\% (5)$	\$ 887,738	101.6%	106.7% \$	947,203	2.76%	80	5	53%	14.1%
Coupon >= 4.5% (6)	667,251	101.2	107.1	714,342	5.46	66	24	77	35.6