

U-Store-It Trust
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009.

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 001-32324

U-STORE-IT TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

460 East Swedesford Road

20-1024732
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Wayne, Pennsylvania
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

19087
(Zip Code)

(610) 293-5700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class	Outstanding at August 5, 2009
common shares, \$.01 par value	60,664,846

Table of Contents**U-STORE-IT TRUST****TABLE OF CONTENTS****Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	29
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	29
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	30
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	30

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by U-Store-It Trust (we, us, our or the Company), contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as believes, expects, estimates, may, will, should, anticipates, or intends or the negative of such terms or other comparable terms by discussions of strategy. Such statements are based on assumptions and expectations that may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, future events and actual results, performance, transactions or achievements, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results, performance, transactions or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. As a result, you should not rely on any forward-looking statements in this Report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, as predictions of future events nor guarantees of future performance. We caution you not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as the date of this Report or as of the dates indicated in the statements. All of our forward-looking statements, including those in this Report, are qualified in their entirety by this statement.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this Report. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the risks referenced in Item 1A.

Risk Factors in the U-Store-It Trust Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, in Part II. Item 1A. Risk Factors set forth below and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

- national and local economic, business, real estate and other market conditions;

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

- the effect of competition from new and existing self-storage facilities or other storage alternatives, which would cause rents and occupancy rates to decline;
- the execution of our business plan;
- financing risks including the risk of over-leverage and the corresponding risk of default on our mortgage and other debt and potential inability to refinance existing indebtedness;
- recent disruptions in the credit and financial markets and resulting difficulties in raising capital or obtaining credit at reasonable rates, or at all;
- increases in insurance premiums, property tax assessments and other operating and maintenance expenses;
- risks related to our participation in joint ventures;

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

- counterparty non-performance related to the use of derivative financial instruments;
- our ability to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes;
- difficulties in our ability to evaluate, finance and integrate acquired and developed properties into our existing operations and to lease up those properties, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- delays in the development and construction process, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- the impact of the regulatory environment as well as national, state, and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, those governing REITs, which could increase our expenses and reduce our cash available for distribution;
- potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;
- risks associated with international operations including, but not limited to, unfavorable foreign currency rate fluctuations that could adversely affect our earnings and cash flows;
- disruptions or shutdowns of our automated processes and systems; and
- general risks associated with the ownership and operation of real estate including changes in demand, adverse changes in tax, real estate and zoning laws and regulations, the impact of natural disasters and potential terrorist attacks and acts of war.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as may be required in securities laws.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Storage facilities	\$ 1,869,114	\$ 1,888,123
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(344,029)	(328,165)
Storage facilities, net	1,525,085	1,559,958
Cash and cash equivalents	1,657	3,744
Restricted cash	18,798	16,217
Loan procurement costs, net of amortization	5,392	4,453
Assets held for sale		2,378
Other assets, net	11,780	10,909
Total assets	\$ 1,562,712	\$ 1,597,659
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Revolving credit facility	\$ 104,000	\$ 172,000
Unsecured term loan	200,000	200,000
Secured term loan	46,447	57,419
Mortgage loans and notes payable	593,182	548,085
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	32,212	39,410
Distributions payable	1,630	1,572
Deferred revenue	9,484	9,725
Security deposits	472	472
Other liabilities held for sale		22
Total liabilities	987,427	1,028,705
Noncontrolling interests of the Company	45,649	46,026
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common shares \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 60,137,647 and 57,623,491 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	601	576

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	812,247	801,029
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,165)	(7,553)
Accumulated deficit	(279,047)	(271,124)
Total shareholders' equity	529,636	522,928
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,562,712	\$ 1,597,659

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
REVENUES				
Rental income	\$ 52,336	\$ 54,274	\$ 105,890	\$ 108,399
Other property related income	4,676	4,096	8,511	7,625
Total revenues	57,012	58,370	114,401	116,024
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Property operating expenses	26,002	24,777	50,370	49,168
Depreciation and amortization	18,700	19,817	37,365	39,289
General and administrative	5,626	6,469	11,102	11,964
Total operating expenses	50,328	51,063	98,837	100,421
OPERATING INCOME	6,684	7,307	15,564	15,603
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Interest:				
Interest expense on loans	(11,473)	(12,965)	(22,826)	(26,791)
Loan procurement amortization expense	(545)	(486)	(1,028)	(957)
Interest income	55	32	100	91
Other	(1)	71	(13)	139
Total other expense	(11,964)	(13,348)	(23,767)	(27,518)
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(5,280)	(6,041)	(8,203)	(11,915)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Income from discontinued operations	72	1,031	209	2,001
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	2,122	5,308	2,622	5,880
Total discontinued operations	2,194	6,339	2,831	7,881
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(3,086)	298	(5,372)	(4,034)
NET LOSS (INCOME) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	242	(35)	419	315
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY	\$ (2,844)	\$ 263	\$ (4,953)	\$ (3,719)
Basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders				
	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.19)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders				
	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.13
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to common shareholders				
	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.06)
Weighted-average basic and diluted shares outstanding				
	58,165	57,620	57,928	57,606

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

**AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE
COMPANY S COMMON SHAREHOLDERS:**

Loss from continuing operations	\$	(4,860)	\$	(5,634)	\$	(7,554)	\$	(11,094)
Total discontinued operations		2,016		5,897		2,601		7,375
Net income (loss)	\$	(2,844)	\$	263	\$	(4,953)	\$	(3,719)

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (5,372)	\$ (4,034)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	38,503	41,127
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations	(2,622)	(5,880)
Equity compensation expense	1,714	1,669
Accretion of fair market value adjustment of debt	(233)	(266)
Changes in other operating accounts:		
Other assets	(1,257)	1,430
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,374)	(5,905)
Other liabilities	(197)	749
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 27,162	\$ 28,890
Investing Activities		
Acquisitions, additions and improvements to storage facilities	(8,339)	(22,613)
Proceeds from sales of properties	11,180	16,173
Increase in restricted cash	(2,581)	(2,381)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ 260	\$ (8,821)
Financing Activities		
Proceeds from:		
Revolving credit facility	9,500	36,700
Secured term loans		9,975
Mortgage loans and notes payable	51,900	
Principal payments on:		
Revolving credit facility	(77,500)	(43,500)
Secured term loans	(10,972)	
Mortgage loans and notes payable	(6,570)	(4,350)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net	9,530	
Distributions paid to shareholders	(2,900)	(20,799)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(254)	(1,828)
Loan procurement costs	(2,243)	(133)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (29,509)	\$ (23,935)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,087)	(3,866)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,744	4,517
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,657	\$ 651
Supplemental Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized	\$ 22,700	\$ 27,231
Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities:		

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Acquisition of facilities				
Additions to storage facilities	\$		\$	1,023
Derivative valuation adjustment	\$	2,915	\$	945
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$	769	\$	3

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

U-STORE-IT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF OPERATIONS

U-Store-It Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust (collectively with its subsidiaries, we, us or the Company), is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, active in acquiring, developing and operating self-storage properties for business and personal use under month-to-month leases. The Company's self-storage facilities (collectively, the Properties) are located in 26 states throughout the United States, and in the District of Columbia and are managed under one reportable operating segment: we own, operate, develop, and acquire self-storage facilities. The Company owns substantially all of its assets through U-Store-It, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of June 30, 2009, owned a 92.2% interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company manages its assets through YSI Management, LLC (the Management Company), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. The Company owns 100% of U-Store-It Mini Warehouse Co. (the TRS) in addition to three other subsidiaries, which it has elected to treat as taxable REIT subsidiaries. In general, a taxable REIT subsidiary may perform non-customary services for tenants, hold assets that the Company cannot hold directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) regarding interim financial reporting and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). Accordingly, readers of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should refer to the Company's audited financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, and the related notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2008, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 as certain footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted from this report pursuant to the rules of the SEC. The results of operations for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period or the full year.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (SFAS 166) [ASC 860], which requires entities to provide more information regarding sales of securitized financial assets and similar transactions, particularly if the entity has continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures. SFAS 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We have not completed our assessment of the impact SFAS 166 will have on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (FAS 167) [ASC 810-10], which modifies how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. SFAS 167 clarifies that the determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. SFAS 167 requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 also requires additional disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. SFAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We have not completed our assessment of the impact SFAS 167 will have on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (SFAS

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

168). This Standard establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. The Codification is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, and as of the effective date, all existing accounting standard documents will be superseded. The Codification is effective for us in the third quarter of 2009, and accordingly, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending September 30, 2009 and all subsequent public filings will reference the Codification as the sole source of authoritative literature.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* (EITF 03-6-1). EITF 03-6-1 states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. EITF 03-6-1 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of EITF 03-6-1 in 2009 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133 and the impact of derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 161 in 2009 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

3. STORAGE FACILITIES

The book value of the Company's real estate assets are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(in thousands)	
Land and improvements	\$ 386,649	\$ 387,831
Buildings and improvements	1,297,109	1,300,711
Equipment	182,755	198,981
Construction in progress	2,601	600
Total	1,869,114	1,888,123
Less accumulated depreciation	(344,029)	(328,165)
Storage facilities, net	\$ 1,525,085	\$ 1,559,958

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's acquisition and disposition activity during the period January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009:

Facility/Portfolio	Location	Transaction Date	Total Number of Facilities	Gross Purchase / Sale Price (in thousands)
<i>2009 Dispositions:</i>				
68th Street Asset	Miami, FL	January 2009	1	\$ 2,973
Albuquerque, NM Asset	Albuquerque, NM	April 2009	1	2,825
S. Palmetto Asset	Ontario, CA	June 2009	1	5,925
				\$ 11,723

4. REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY, UNSECURED TERM LOAN AND SECURED TERM LOAN

As of June 30, 2009, the Company and its Operating Partnership had in place a three-year \$450 million unsecured credit facility, which was entered into in November 2006, consisting of \$200 million in an unsecured term loan and \$250 million in unsecured revolving loans. The outstanding balance on the Company's credit facility as of June 30, 2009 was \$304 million and was comprised of \$200 million of term loan borrowings and \$104 million of unsecured revolving loans. As of June 30, 2009, approximately \$146 million was available under the Company's credit facility. The facility has a November 20, 2009 maturity date, subject to a one year extension to November 20, 2010 at the Company's option, provided we pay an extension fee of 15 basis points, or \$675,000, and are not in default under the facility. The Company currently intends to exercise this extension option prior to the November 20, 2009 maturity date unless the Company procures a replacement credit facility prior to that time making such extension unnecessary. See Note 12 - Subsequent Events. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, in each case, plus an applicable margin based on our leverage ratio or our credit rating. The alternative base interest rate is a fluctuating rate equal to the higher of the prime rate or the sum of the federal funds effective rate plus 50 basis points. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate will vary from 0.00% to 0.50% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.00% to 0.25% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. The Eurodollar rate is a rate of interest that is fixed for interest periods of one, two, three or six months based on the LIBOR rate determined two business days prior to the commencement of the applicable interest period. The applicable margin for the Eurodollar rate will vary from 1.00% to 1.50% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.425% to 1.00% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. At June 30, 2009, borrowings under the unsecured credit facility had a weighted average interest rate of 1.81% and the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants of the agreement.

On September 14, 2007, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a credit agreement that allowed for total secured term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million and subsequently amended the agreement on April 3, 2008 to allow for total secured term loan borrowings of \$57.4 million. The term loans each have a November 20, 2009 termination date, subject to a one year extension to November 20, 2010 at the Company's option, provided we pay an extension fee of 15 basis points, or \$86,000, and are not in default under the facility. The Company currently intends to exercise these extension options prior to the November 20, 2009 termination date unless the Company is able to refinance such term loans prior to that time making such extension unnecessary. See Note 12 - Subsequent Events. Each term loan bears interest at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, at our option, in each case plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate will vary from 0.10% to 0.60% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.00% to 0.25% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. The Eurodollar rate is a rate of interest that is fixed for interest periods of one, two, three or six months based on the LIBOR rate determined two business days prior to the commencement of the applicable interest period. The applicable margin for the Eurodollar rate will vary from 1.10% to 1.60% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.425% to 1.00% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. The outstanding term loans are secured by a pledge by our Operating Partnership of all equity interests in YSI RT LLC, the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership that acquired eight self-storage facilities in September 2007 and one self-storage facility in May 2008. As of June 30, 2009, there was one term loan outstanding totaling \$46.4 million that had a weighted average interest rate of 1.91% and the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants of the agreement.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

5. MORTGAGE LOANS AND NOTES PAYABLE

The Company's mortgage loans and notes payable are summarized as follows:

Mortgage Loan	Carrying Value as of:		Effective Interest Rate	Maturity Date
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008		
	(in thousands)			
Acq VI	\$	\$ 1,701	8.43%	Aug-09
YSI III		84,128	5.09%	Nov-09
YSI I		84,228	5.19%	May-10
YSI IV		6,108	5.25%	Jul-10
YSI XXVI		9,601	5.00%	Aug-10
YSI XXV		8,034	5.00%	Oct-10
Promissory notes		16	5.97%	Nov-10
YSI II		84,351	5.33%	Jan-11
YSI XII		1,541	5.97%	Sep-11
YSI XIII		1,325	5.97%	Sep-11
YSI VI		77,959	5.13%	Aug-12
YASKY		80,000	4.96%	Sep-12
USIFB		3,981	4.28%	Oct-12
YSI XIV		1,838	5.97%	Jan-13
YSI VII		3,194	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI VIII		1,825	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI IX		2,007	6.50%	Jun-13
YSI XVII		4,306	6.32%	Jul-13
YSI XXVII		524	5.59%	Nov-13
YSI XXX		7,687	5.59%	Nov-13
YSI XI		2,517	5.87%	Dec-13
YSI V		3,323	5.25%	Jan-14
YSI XXVIII		1,618	5.59%	Feb-14
YSI XXXIV		15,000	8.00%	Jun-14
YSI X		4,201	5.87%	Jan-15
YSI XV		1,941	6.41%	Jan-15
YSI XX		65,118	5.97%	Nov-15
YSI XXXV		4,500	6.90%	Jul-19
YSI XXXII		6,200	6.75%	Jul-19
YSI XXXI		14,000	6.75%	Jun-19
YSI XXXIII		11,650	6.42%	Jul-19
Unamortized fair value adjustment		461		694
Total mortgage loans and notes payable	\$	593,182	\$	548,085

The following table presents the future principal payment requirements on outstanding mortgage loans and notes payable at June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

2009	\$	88,278
2010		113,246
2011		89,151
2012		162,475
2013		22,432
2014 and thereafter		117,139
Total mortgage payments		592,721
Plus: Fair value adjustment		461
Total mortgage indebtedness	\$	593,182

The Company currently intends to fund its 2009 future principal payment requirements from cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from property dispositions and additional borrowings under our credit facility (approximately \$146 million available as of

Table of Contents

June 30, 2009). As of June 30, 2009 we were in compliance with all events of default covenants under the applicable loan agreements.

We believe that, as a publicly traded REIT, we will have access to multiple sources of capital to fund long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot provide any assurance that this will be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt will be dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. In addition, the current dislocation in the United States debt markets has significantly reduced the availability and increased the cost of long-term debt capital, including conventional mortgage financing and commercial mortgage-backed securities financing. Our ability to access the debt and equity capital markets will also be dependent upon a number of factors, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about us. Consequently, we can provide no assurance that such capital will be readily available in the foreseeable future.

6. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company's use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate agreements or other instruments to manage interest rate risk exposures and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with the Company's operating and financial structure, as well as to hedge specific transactions. The counterparties to these arrangements are major financial institutions with which the Company and its subsidiaries may also have other financial relationships. The Company is potentially exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by these counterparties. However, because of the high credit ratings of the counterparties, the Company does not anticipate that any of the counterparties will fail to meet these obligations as they come due. The Company does not hedge credit or property value market risks.

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements that qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges designed to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on its variable rate debt. Therefore, the interest rate swaps are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value and the related gains or losses are deferred in shareholders' equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss. These deferred gains and losses are amortized into interest expense during the period or periods in which the related interest payments affect earnings. However, to the extent that the interest rate swaps are not perfectly effective in offsetting the change in value of the interest payments being hedged, the ineffective portion of these contracts is recognized in earnings immediately. Ineffectiveness was immaterial for all periods presented.

The Company formally assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an on-going basis, whether each derivative is highly-effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged item. If management determines that a derivative is highly-effective as a hedge, it accounts for the derivative using hedge accounting, pursuant to which gains or losses inherent in the derivative do not impact the Company's results of operations. If management determines that a derivative is not highly-effective as a hedge or if a derivative ceases to be a highly-effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and will reflect in its statement of operations realized and unrealized gains and losses in respect of the derivative.

The Company had an interest rate cap agreement that effectively limited the interest rate on \$40 million of credit facility borrowings at 5.50% per annum through June 2008. The following table summarizes the terms and fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments at June 30, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Hedge Product	Hedge Type	Notional Amount	Strike	Effective Date	Maturity	Fair Value	
						June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Swap	Cash flow	\$ 50,000	4.7725%	8/24/2007	11/20/2009	\$ (836)	\$ (1,683)
Swap	Cash flow	25,000	4.7160%	9/4/2007	11/20/2009	(412)	(830)
Swap	Cash flow	25,000	2.3400%	3/28/2008	11/20/2009	(186)	(326)
Swap	Cash flow	200,000	2.7625%	5/28/2008	11/20/2009	(1,804)	(3,314)
						\$ (3,238)	\$ (6,153)

Table of Contents

The fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments is classified in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

7. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the methods of fair value as described in the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157) to value its financial assets and liabilities. As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is based on the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considering counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

To comply with the provisions of SFAS No. 157, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of June 30, 2009, the Company has assessed the significance of the effect of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

For financial liabilities that utilize Level 2 inputs, the Company utilizes both direct and indirect observable price quotes, including LIBOR yield curves, bank price quotes for forward starting swaps, NYMEX futures pricing and common stock price quotes. Below is a summary of valuation techniques for Level 2 financial liabilities:

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

- Interest rate swap derivative assets and liabilities valued using LIBOR yield curves at the reporting date. Counterparties to these contracts are most often highly rated financial institutions none of which experienced any significant downgrades during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 that would reduce the amount owed by the Company.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position on SFAS 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, and APB Opinion 28, Interim Financial Reporting, entitled Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends SFAS 107 by requiring disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements and APB 28 by requiring disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. This FSP is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments are based on pertinent information available to management as of the valuation date. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts at which these instruments could be purchased, sold or settled. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The Company estimates the fair value of its fixed rate debt and the credit spreads over variable market rates on its variable rate debt by discounting the future cash flows of each instrument at estimated market rates or credit spreads consistent with the maturity of the

Table of Contents

debt obligation with similar credit policies. Credit spreads take into consideration general market conditions and maturity. As of June 30, 2009, the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's debt was \$943.6 million and \$904.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's debt was \$977.5 million and \$951.0 million respectively. The carrying value of the Company's other financial instruments approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments.

8. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The Company has adopted SFAS 160 effective January 1, 2009. Per SFAS 160, noncontrolling interests are the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The ownership interests in the subsidiary that are held by owners other than the parent are noncontrolling interests. Under SFAS 160, such noncontrolling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, separately from the Company's equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, revenues, expenses and net income or loss from less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries are reported at the consolidated amounts, including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. Presentation of consolidated equity activity is included for both quarterly and annual financial statements, including beginning balances, activity for the period and ending balances for shareholders' equity, noncontrolling interests and total equity.

However, per FASB Emerging Issues Task Force Topic No. D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities* (EITF D-98), securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at the option of the holder, not solely within the control of the issuer, must be classified outside of permanent equity. This would result in certain outside ownership interests being included as redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company makes this determination based on terms in applicable agreements, specifically in relation to redemption provisions. Additionally, with respect to noncontrolling interests for which the Company has a choice to settle the contract by delivery of its own shares, the Company considered the guidance in EITF 00-19 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock* to evaluate whether the Company controls the actions or events necessary to presume share settlement. EITF D-98 also requires that noncontrolling interests be adjusted each period so that the carrying value equals the greater of its carrying value based on the accumulation of historical cost or its redemption value.

The consolidated results of the Company include results attributable to units of the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company, which amounted to approximately 7.8% as of June 30, 2009 and 8.1 % as of December 31, 2008 of all outstanding units. These interests were issued in the form of Operating Partnership units and were a component of the consideration the Company paid to acquire certain self-storage facilities. Limited partners who acquired Operating Partnership units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their Operating Partnership units for, at the Company's option, an equivalent number of common shares of the Company or cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of common shares of the Company. However, the partnership agreement contains certain circumstances that could result in a settlement outside the control of the Company. Accordingly, consistent with EITF D-98, the Company will record these noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Net income or loss related to these noncontrolling interests is excluded from net income or loss in the consolidated statements of operations. Based on the Company's evaluation of the redemption value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest, the Company has reflected these interests at their carrying value as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 as carrying cost exceeded the estimated redemption value.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The table below presents consolidated equity and noncontrolling interest activity for the period January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008 as well as for the period January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Shareholders Equity		Noncontrolling Interest	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at January 1	\$ 522,928	\$ 555,619	\$ 46,026	\$ 48,982
Issuance of restricted shares	1			
Amortization of restricted shares	415	474		
Share compensation expense	443	382		
Net loss	(2,109)	(4,037)	(177)	(298)
Adjustment for noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership		(9)		9
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swap	1,005	(1,548)	89	
Unrealized gain on foreign currency translation	246	3	22	
Distributions	(1,456)	(10,412)	(127)	(923)
Balance at March 31	\$ 521,473	\$ 540,472	\$ 45,833	\$ 47,770
Issuance of restricted shares	27			
Issuance of common shares	9,503			
Amortization of restricted shares	409	344		
Share compensation expense	447	469		
Net income (loss)	(2,844)	263	(242)	35
Adjustment for noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership		(242)		242
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap	1,675	2,493	146	
Unrealized gain on foreign currency translation	462		39	
Distributions	(1,516)	(10,410)	(127)	(923)
Balance at June 30	\$ 529,636	\$ 533,389	\$ 45,649	\$ 47,124

The fair value of the Company's common shares when calculated for the purposes of unit redemption will be equal to the average of the closing trading price of the Company's common shares on the New York Stock Exchange for the 10 trading days before the date the Company receives the redemption notice. At December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, 5,079,923 units were outstanding, and as of June 30, 2009, the calculated aggregate redemption value of outstanding Operating Partnership units based upon the Company's share price was approximately \$22.9 million.

The Company has a 97% interest in USIFB, LLP (the Venture), and through a wholly-owned subsidiary and together with its joint venture partner, operations began at one facility in London, England during 2008. The Venture was formed to own, operate, acquire and develop self-storage facilities in England. We have determined that the Venture is a variable interest entity as defined by FIN 46R, and that we are the primary beneficiary. The 3% interest that is owned by our joint venture partner is reflected in noncontrolling interest on the consolidated balance sheet. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Venture are consolidated in our consolidated financial statements. At June 30, 2009, the Venture had total assets of \$7.0 million and total liabilities of \$4.1 million and a mortgage loan of \$4.0 million secured by assets with a net book value of \$6.5 million.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

During 2005 and 2006, the Operating Partnership entered into various office lease agreements with Amsdell and Amsdell, an entity owned by Robert Amsdell and Barry Amsdell (each a former trustee). Pursuant to these lease agreements, we rented office space in the Airport Executive Park, an office and flex development located in Cleveland, Ohio, which is owned by Amsdell and Amsdell. The Company's independent Trustees approved the terms of, and entry into, each of the office lease agreements by the Operating Partnership. In addition to monthly rent, the office lease agreements provide that the Operating Partnership reimburse Amsdell and Amsdell for certain maintenance and improvements to the leased office space. The aggregate amount of payments by us to Amsdell and Amsdell under these lease agreements for each of the three months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 was approximately \$0.1 million. Additionally, the aggregate amount of payments for each of the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 was approximately \$0.2 million. We vacated the office space owned by Amsdell and Amsdell in 2007, but remained obligated under certain of the lease agreements through 2014. Subsequently, we entered into a sublease agreement for the space with a third party for the remainder of the lease term.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Total future minimum rental payments under the related party lease agreements as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

	Due to Related Party Amount	(in thousands)	Due from Subtenant Amount
2009	\$	227	\$ 157
2010		453	314
2011		475	314
2012		475	314
2013		499	314
2014 and thereafter		499	315
	\$	2,628	\$ 1,728

10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, income from discontinued operations relates to two properties sold through June 30, 2009 and five properties that the Company sold during 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008, income from discontinued operations relates to three properties sold during 2009 and seven properties sold during 2008. Each of the sales during 2009 resulted in the recognition of a gain, which in the aggregate totaled \$2.1 million and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

The following table summarizes the revenue and expense information for the properties classified as discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
REVENUES				
Rental income	\$ 162	\$ 2,170	\$ 454	\$ 4,630
Other property related income	19	168	48	347
Total revenues	181	2,338	502	4,977
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Property operating expenses	69	836	183	1,980
Depreciation	40	471	110	996
Total operating expenses	109	1,307	293	2,976
INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations	2,122	5,308	2,622	5,880
Total discontinued operations	\$ 2,194	\$ 6,339	\$ 2,831	\$ 7,881

Table of Contents**11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (3,086)	\$ 298	\$ (5,372)	\$ (4,034)
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments	1,821	2,493	2,915	945
Unrealized income on foreign currency translation	501		769	3
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (764)	\$ 2,791	\$ (1,688)	\$ (3,086)

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS*New Credit Facility*

On August 6, 2009, the Company received a commitment letter and term sheet from Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Bank of America Merrill Lynch, for a new credit facility. The Company launched the syndication process in early July and by August 7, 2009 had received \$420 million of lender commitments for a new, senior secured credit facility. The syndication efforts will continue through mid-August at which time the Company will determine the appropriate size and composition of the new facility. The term sheet contemplates, and the Company expects, the facility to be comprised of a \$200 million secured term loan and a \$250 million secured revolving credit facility. The new credit facility will have a three-year term and will be secured by the real and personal property interests in certain of the Company's properties. The Company will use the proceeds from the new credit facility to repay outstanding balances under and to replace its existing \$450 million credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on November 20, 2009, and to repay a \$46 million secured term loan. See Note 4 Revolving Credit Facility, Unsecured Term Loan and Secured Term Loan.

The term sheet provides for customary covenants including a maximum leverage ratio of 65 percent (67.5 percent during the initial year of the agreement), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.45x, a minimum tangible net worth covenant, and limitations on certain permitted investments, dividends and distributions, and the amount of floating rate interest exposure. Pricing on the new facility will range, depending on leverage levels, from 3.25 to 4.00 percent over LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5 percent.

The new credit facility is subject to lender due diligence, formal documentation and closing requirements, and is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Joint Venture

On August 6, 2009, the Company, through a wholly-owned affiliate, signed a definitive agreement to form a joint venture with an affiliate of Heitman, LLC. Under the joint venture agreement, the Company's joint venture partner will contribute cash to a newly-formed limited partnership and the Company will contribute certain unencumbered wholly-owned properties with an agreed upon value of approximately \$102

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

million to such limited partnership. In exchange for its contribution of those properties, the Company will receive a cash distribution from the joint venture partnership of approximately \$51 million and will retain a 50 percent interest in the joint venture. The Company will be the managing partner of the joint venture. The Company will be the manager of the properties owned by the joint venture and will be paid a market rate management fee for its management services. The joint venture transaction is subject to customary due diligence and closing requirements, and is anticipated to close by August 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The Company makes certain statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this report entitled "Forward-Looking Statements." Certain risk factors may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the following discussion. For a discussion of such risk factors, see the section entitled "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Overview

The Company is an integrated self-storage real estate company, which means that it has in-house capabilities in the operation, design, development, leasing, and acquisition of self-storage facilities. The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal tax purposes. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company owned 384 and 387 self-storage facilities, respectively, totaling approximately 24.7 million rentable square feet and 25.0 million rentable square feet, respectively.

The Company derives revenues principally from rents received from our customers who rent units at our self-storage facilities under month-to-month leases. Therefore, our operating results depend materially on our ability to retain our existing customers and lease our available self-storage units to new customers while maintaining and, where possible, increasing our pricing levels. In addition, our operating results are affected by the ability of our customers to make required rental payments to us. We believe that our decentralized approach to the management and operation of our facilities allows us to respond quickly and effectively to changes in local market conditions. Emphasis on local, market level oversight and control enhances our ability to optimize occupancy and pricing levels.

Currently, the United States is in a recession that has resulted in higher unemployment, shrinking demand for products, large-scale business failures and tight credit markets. Our results of operations may be sensitive to changes in overall economic conditions that impact consumer spending, including discretionary spending, as well as to increased bad debts due to recessionary pressures. A continuation of ongoing adverse economic conditions affecting disposable consumer income, such as employment levels, business conditions, interest rates, tax rates, fuel and energy costs, and other matters could reduce consumer spending or cause consumers to shift their spending to other products and services. A general reduction in the level of discretionary spending or shifts in consumer discretionary spending could adversely affect our growth and profitability.

In the future, the Company intends to focus on increasing our internal growth and selectively pursuing targeted dispositions and selective acquisitions and developments of self-storage facilities. We intend to incur additional debt in connection with any such future acquisitions or developments.

The Company's self-storage facilities are located in major metropolitan areas as well as rural areas and have numerous tenants per facility. No single tenant represents 1% or more of our revenues. The facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided approximately 18%, 15%, 9% and 7%, respectively, of total revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the facilities in Florida, California, Texas and Illinois provided approximately 18%, 15%, 9% and 7%, respectively, of total revenues.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies and estimates that management believes are critical to an understanding of the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this report. These policies require the application of judgment and assumptions by management and, as a result, are subject to a degree of uncertainty. Due to this uncertainty, actual results could differ from estimates calculated and utilized by management.

Self-Storage Facilities

The Company records self-storage facilities at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation on the buildings and equipment is recorded on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from 5 to 39 years. Expenditures for significant

Table of Contents

renovations or improvements that extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

When facilities are acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values. When a portfolio of facilities is acquired, the purchase price is allocated to the individual facilities at fair value which may include an income approach or a cash flow analysis using appropriate risk adjusted capitalization rates, which take into account the relative size, age and location of the individual facility along with current and projected occupancy and rental rate levels or appraised values, if available. Allocations to the individual assets and liabilities are based upon comparable market sales information for land, buildings and improvements and estimates of depreciated replacement cost of equipment.

In allocating the purchase price, the Company determines whether the acquisition includes intangible assets or liabilities, which may include value of in place leases, above or below market lease intangibles, and tenant relationships. Substantially all of the leases in place at acquired facilities are at market rates, as the majority of the leases are month-to-month contracts. Accordingly, to date no portion of the purchase price has been allocated to above- or below-market lease intangibles. To date, no intangible asset has been recorded for the value of tenant relationships, because the Company does not have any concentrations of significant tenants and the average tenant turnover is fairly frequent.

Long-lived assets classified as held for use are reviewed for impairment when events and circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. The carrying values of these long-lived assets are compared to the undiscounted future net operating cash flows attributable to the assets. An impairment loss is recorded if the net carrying value of the asset exceeds the undiscounted future net operating cash flows attributable to the asset. The impairment loss recognized equals the excess of net carrying value over the related fair value of the asset. Future events, or facts and circumstances that currently exist, that we have not yet identified, could cause us to conclude in the future that our long-lived assets are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. No impairment was recorded for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The Company considers long-lived assets to be held for sale upon satisfaction of the following criteria: (a) management commits to a plan to sell a facility (or group of facilities), (b) the facility is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such facilities, (c) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the facility have been initiated, (d) the sale of the facility is probable and transfer of the asset is expected to be completed within one year, (e) the facility is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (f) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Typically these criteria are all met when the relevant assets are under contract, significant non-refundable deposits have been made by the potential buyer, the assets are immediately available for transfer and there are no contingencies related to the sale that may prevent the transaction from closing. In most transactions, these contingencies are not satisfied until the actual closing of the transaction; and, accordingly, the facility is not identified as held for sale until the closing actually occurs. However, each potential transaction is evaluated based on its separate facts and circumstances. Properties classified as held for sale are reported as the lesser of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell.

Revenue Recognition

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Management has determined that all of our leases with tenants are operating leases. Rental income is recognized in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements or contracts, which generally are month-to-month. Revenues from long-term operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases is included in deferred revenue, and contractually due but unpaid rents are included in other assets.

Share-Based Payments

We apply the fair value method of accounting for contingently issued shares and share options issued under our equity incentive plans. Accordingly, share compensation expense is recorded ratably over the vesting period relating to such contingently issued shares and options. The Company has elected to recognize compensation expense on a straight-line method over the requisite service period.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (FAS 166) [ASC 860], which requires entities to provide more information regarding sales of securitized financial assets and similar transactions, particularly if the entity has continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. FAS 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and requires additional disclosures. FAS 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We have not completed our assessment of the impact FAS 166 will have on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (FAS 167) [ASC 810-10], which modifies how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. FAS 167 clarifies that the determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. FAS 167 requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. FAS 167 also requires additional disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. FAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We have not completed our assessment of the impact FAS 167 will have on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (FAS 168). This Standard establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. The Codification is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, and as of the effective date, all existing accounting standard documents will be superseded. The Codification is effective for us in the third quarter of 2009, and accordingly, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending September 30, 2009 and all subsequent public filings will reference the Codification as the sole source of authoritative literature.

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* (EITF 03-6-1). EITF 03-6-1 requires that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. EITF 03-6-1 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of EITF 03-6-1 in 2009 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 enhances required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including enhanced disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133 and the impact of derivative instruments and related hedged items on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 161 in 2009 did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 requires that ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified. In addition, it requires that the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the income statement. SFAS 160 became effective on January 1, 2009 and resulted in the elimination of minority interests, and the inclusion of noncontrolling interests in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Additionally, certain Statement of Operations captions were reclassified to conform to the required format of SFAS 160.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto. Historical results set forth in the consolidated statements of operations reflect only the existing facilities and should not be taken as indicative of future operations.

Acquisition and Development Activities

The comparability of the Company's results of operations is affected by acquisition and disposition activities in 2009 and 2008. At June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company owned 384 and 403 self-storage facilities and related assets, respectively. The following table summarizes the change in number of self-storage facilities from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009 (unaudited):

	2009	2008
Balance - Beginning of year	387	409
Facilities acquired		1
Facilities consolidated		
Facilities sold	(3)	(23)
Balance - End of period	384	387

The facility acquired in January 2008 was purchased for a gross purchase price of \$13.3 million, is located in Washington, DC and is commonly referred to as the Uptown asset. Results of operations for the Uptown asset from and after the acquisition date are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

The following table and subsequent discussion provides information pertaining to our portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

	Same Store Property Portfolio				Properties Acquired		Other/ Eliminations		Total Portfolio			
	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	% Change
REVENUES:												
Rental income	\$ 51,379	\$ 53,448	\$ (2,069)	-4%	\$ 957	\$ 826	\$	\$	\$ 52,336	\$ 54,274	\$ (1,938)	-4%
Other property related income	4,502	4,016	486	12%	174	80			4,676	4,096	580	14%
Total revenues	55,881	57,464	(1,583)	-3%	1,131	906			57,012	58,370	(1,358)	-2%
OPERATING EXPENSES:												
Property operating expenses	23,428	22,013	1,415	6%	589	809	1,985	1,955	26,002	24,777	1,225	5%
Subtotal	23,428	22,013	1,415	6%	589	809	1,985	1,955	26,002	24,777	1,225	5%
NET OPERATING INCOME:												
	32,453	35,451	(2,998)	-8%	542	97	(1,985)	(1,955)	31,010	33,593	(2,583)	-8%
Depreciation and amortization									18,700	19,817	(1,117)	-6%
General and administrative									5,626	6,469	(843)	-13%
Subtotal									24,326	26,286	(1,960)	-7%
Operating income									6,684	7,307	(623)	-9%
Other Income (Expense):												
Interest:												
Interest expense on loans									(11,473)	(12,965)	(1,492)	-12%
Loan procurement amortization expense									(545)	(486)	59	12%
Interest income									55	32	23	72%
Other									(1)	71	(72)	-101%
Total other expense									(11,964)	(13,348)	(1,384)	-10%
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS									(5,280)	(6,041)	761	13%
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS												
Income from discontinued operations									72	1,031	(959)	-93%
Net gain on disposition of discontinued operations									2,122	5,308	(3,186)	-60%
Total discontinued operations									2,194	6,339	(4,145)	-65%
NET INCOME (LOSS)									(3,086)	298	(3,384)	-1136%
NET LOSS (INCOME) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS									242	(35)	277	791%
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE COMPANY									\$ (2,844)	\$ 263	\$ (3,107)	-1181%

Total Portfolio

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Total Revenues

Rental income decreased from \$54.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$52.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 4%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of rental income from the same-store properties of \$2.1 million due to decreased occupancy levels during the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Other property related income increased from \$4.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$4.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$0.6 million, or 14%. This increase is primarily attributable to increased insurance commissions and merchandise sales income of \$0.5 million across the portfolio of storage facilities during the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Total Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses increased from \$24.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$26.0 million the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 5%. Increases of \$1.2 million in advertising expenses and \$0.2 million in property tax expenses during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period were offset by decreases of \$0.1 million in repairs and maintenance expenses and \$0.2 million in insurance expenses, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization decreased from \$19.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$18.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 6%. The decrease is primarily attributable to amortization expense of \$2.0

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

million incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2008 related to two in place lease intangible assets in conjunction with property acquisitions during 2008 and 2007, with no similar activity during the three months ended June 30, 2009, offset by an increase in depreciation expense during the 2009 period of \$0.8 million as compared to the 2008 period related to capital improvements during 2008 and 2009.

Total Other Expenses

Interest expense decreased from \$13.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$11.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.5 million, or 12%. The decrease is attributable to lower interest rates on unsecured debt as well as lower outstanding borrowings on the credit facility during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period resulting in an overall decrease in interest expense during 2009 as compared to 2008.

Interest income remained constant at \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations decreased from \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 90%. The decrease is primarily attributed to income generated in the 2008 period with no similar income generated in the 2009 period for the 2008 dispositions as the Company did not own the properties in 2009. Net gains on disposition of discontinued operations decreased from \$5.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of the sale of five assets during the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the sale of two assets during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Same-Store Property Portfolio

The Company considers its same-store portfolio to consist of only those facilities owned at the beginning and at the end of the applicable periods presented. Same-store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because it provides information relating to changes in facility-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions, developments or dispositions.

Same-store revenues decreased from \$57.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$55.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 3%, primarily attributable to a 5% decrease in average occupancy rates offset by a 2% increase in realized annual rent per occupied square foot on the same-store portfolio in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period. Same-store property operating expenses increased from \$22.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$23.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.4 million or 6%. Increases of \$1.2 million in advertising expenses and \$0.4 million in real estate taxes were offset by decreases of \$0.1 million in repairs and maintenance expenses and \$0.1 million in property insurance expenses in the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008

Total Revenues

Rental income decreased from \$108.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$105.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$2.5 million, or 2%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of rental income from the same-store properties of \$2.7 million due to decreased occupancy levels during the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Other property related income increased from \$7.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$8.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$0.9 million, or 12%. This increase is primarily attributable to increased insurance commissions and merchandise sales income of \$0.8 million across the portfolio of storage facilities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

Total Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses increased from \$49.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$50.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 2%. Increases of \$1.5 million in marketing expenses during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period were offset by a decrease of \$0.4 million in repairs and maintenance expenses.

Depreciation and amortization decreased from \$39.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$37.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 5%. The decrease is primarily attributable to amortization expense of \$3.8 million incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2008 related to two in place lease intangible assets in conjunction with property acquisitions during 2008 and 2007, with no similar during the six months ended June 30, 2009, offset by an increase in depreciation expense during the 2009 period of \$1.9 million as compared to the 2008 period related to capital improvements during 2008 and 2009.

Total Other Expenses

Interest expense decreased from \$26.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$22.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$4.0 million, or 15%. The decrease is attributable to lower interest rates on unsecured debt as well as lower outstanding borrowings on the credit facility during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period resulting in an overall decrease in interest expense during 2009 as compared to 2008.

Interest income remained constant at \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations decreased from \$2.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1.8 million, or 90%. The decrease is primarily attributed to income generated in the 2008 period with no similar income generated in the 2009 period for the 2008 dispositions as the Company did not own the properties in 2009. Net gains on disposition of discontinued operations decreased from \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of the sale of seven assets during the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the sale of three assets during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

NOI

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

We define net operating income, which we refer to as NOI, as total continuing revenues less continuing property operating expenses. NOI also can be calculated by adding back to net loss: interest expense on loans, loan procurement amortization expense, depreciation and amortization, and general and administrative; and deducting: income from discontinued operations, gains on disposition of discontinued operations, other and interest income. NOI is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

We use NOI as a measure of operating performance at each of our facilities, and for all of our facilities in the aggregate. NOI should not be considered as a substitute for operating income, net income, cash flows provided by operating, investing and financing activities, or other income statement or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We believe NOI is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because:

- It is one of the primary measures used by our management and our facility managers to evaluate the economic productivity of our facilities, including our ability to lease our facilities, increase pricing and occupancy, and control our property operating expenses;
- It is widely used in the real estate industry and the self-storage industry to measure the performance and value of real estate assets without regard to various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, such as depreciation and amortization, which can vary depending upon accounting methods and the book value of assets; and

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

- We believe it helps our investors to meaningfully compare the results of our operating performance from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense on our outstanding indebtedness) and depreciation of our basis in our assets from our operating results.

There are material limitations to using a measure such as NOI, including the difficulty associated with comparing results among more than one company and the inability to analyze certain significant items, including depreciation and interest expense, that directly affect our net income. We compensate for these limitations by considering the economic effect of the excluded expense items independently as well as in connection with our analysis of net income. NOI should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, other measures of financial performance reported in accordance with GAAP, such as total revenues, operating income and net income.

Cash Flows

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

A comparison of cash flow for operating, investing and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

	Six Months Ended March 31,		
	2009	2008	Change
	(in thousands)		
<u>Net cash flow provided by (used in):</u>			
Operating activities	\$ 27,162	\$ 28,890	\$ (1,728)
Investing activities	\$ 260	\$ (8,821)	\$ 9,081
Financing activities	\$ (29,509)	\$ (23,935)	\$ (5,574)

Cash flows provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$27.2 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, a decrease of \$1.7 million. The decrease compared to the prior year primarily relates to the timing of payments associated with certain annual payments such as real estate tax expenses offset by the increase in certain prepaid expenses associated with periodic payment schedules such as property insurance services.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and the cash used in investing activities was \$8.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, a change of \$9.1 million. The change primarily relates to the purchase of the Uptown asset in January 2008 for approximately \$13.3 million with no similar sales during the 2009 period offset by proceeds from five asset sales in the 2008 period as compared to two asset sales during the 2009 period.

Cash used in financing activities increased from \$23.9 million to \$29.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The increase in cash used in financing activities over the prior year period relates primarily to proceeds from borrowings related to the revolving credit facility to fund the Uptown asset acquisition in the 2008 period with no similar activity in 2009 and increased net debt payoffs during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period offset by proceeds of approximately \$9.5 million from the issuance of common

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

shares in the 2009 period and distributions paid at \$0.18 per share in the 2008 period as compared to similar distributions paid at \$0.025 per share during the 2009 period.

Issuance of Common Shares

In April 2009, the Company commenced the sale of up to 10 million common shares pursuant to a continuous offering program. Through June 30, 2009, the Company sold 2.4 million common shares as part of this program. The net proceeds from the offering of \$9.5 million through June 30, 2009 were used for general corporate purposes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Overview

Our cash flow from operations has historically been one of our primary sources of liquidity to fund debt service, distributions and capital expenditures. We derive substantially all of our revenue from customers who lease space from us at our facilities. Therefore, our ability to generate cash from operations is dependent on the rents that we are able to charge and collect from our customers. We believe that the facilities in which we invest self-storage facilities are less sensitive than other real estate product types to current near-term economic downturns. However, prolonged economic downturns will adversely affect cash flow from operations.

Table of Contents

In order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains, to our shareholders on an annual basis or pay federal income tax. The nature of our business, coupled with the requirement that we distribute a substantial portion of our income on an annual basis, will cause us to have substantial liquidity needs over both the short term and the long term.

Our short-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses associated with our facilities, refinancing of certain mortgage indebtedness, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on debt, expected distributions to limited partners and shareholders and recurring capital expenditures. These expenses, as well as the amount of recurring capital expenditures that we incur, will vary from year to year, in some cases significantly. We expect such recurring capital expenditures in the 2009 fiscal year to be approximately \$7 million to \$9 million. In addition, our currently scheduled principal payments on debt, including borrowings outstanding on the credit facility and secured term loans, are \$438.7 million in 2009 and \$113.3 million in 2010.

Our most restrictive debt covenants limit the amount of additional leverage we can add; however, we believe the sources of capital described below are adequate to execute our current business plan and remain in compliance with our debt covenants.

Our liquidity needs beyond 2010 consist primarily of contractual obligations which include repayments of indebtedness at maturity, as well as potential discretionary expenditures such as (i) non-recurring capital expenditures; (ii) redevelopment of operating facilities; (iii) acquisitions of additional facilities; and (iv) development of new facilities. We will have to satisfy our needs through either additional borrowings, including borrowings under a new or revised revolving credit facility, sales of common or preferred shares and/or cash generated through facility dispositions and joint venture transactions.

We believe that, as a publicly traded REIT, we will have access to multiple sources of capital to fund long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot provide any assurance that this will be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt will be dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. In addition, the current dislocation in the United States debt markets has significantly reduced the availability and increased the cost of long-term debt capital, including conventional mortgage financing and commercial mortgage-backed securities financing. There can be no assurance that such capital will be readily available in the foreseeable future. Our ability to access the equity capital markets will be dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about us.

Current and Expected Sources of Cash Excluding Credit Facility

As of June 30, 2009, we had approximately \$1.7 million in available cash and cash equivalents. In addition, we had approximately \$146.0 million of availability for borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Subsequent to June 30, 2009, we sold one additional self-storage facility for net proceeds of

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

approximately \$3.6 million and obtained a term loan secured by a mortgage on another self-storage facility providing an additional \$2.3 million of cash.

On August 6, 2009, we entered into a definitive agreement with an affiliate of Heitman, LLC to form a joint venture in which we would contribute 22 unencumbered self-storage properties to a newly-formed limited partnership, the Heitman affiliate would contribute approximately \$51.0 million of cash, and we would receive an immediate cash distribution from the joint venture of approximately \$51.0 million and would receive a 50% partnership interest in the joint venture. Consummation of the joint venture is subject to customary due diligence and closing requirements. It is anticipated that the joint venture will be consummated in August 2009 and that we would receive the \$51.0 million cash distribution from the joint venture at the time of consummation. Funds received from the joint venture will be available to repay existing debt obligations.

We anticipate consummating additional sales of self-storage properties before the end of 2009. In addition, we are in discussions with several community banks with respect to potential term loans secured by mortgages on self-storage properties. While we believe that we will sell a number of additional properties over the next 12 months and will procure additional financing in the form of term loans having maturities of 3 to 7 years, we can provide no assurance that we will sell additional properties or procure such term loans.

Bank Credit Facilities

In November 2006, we and our Operating Partnership entered into a three-year, \$450.0 million unsecured credit facility with Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Keybank Capital Markets, replacing our existing \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The facility consists of a \$200 million unsecured term loan and a \$250 million revolving credit facility. The facility has a November 20, 2009 maturity date, subject to a one year extension to November 20, 2010 at the Company's option, provided we pay an extension fee of 15 basis points, or \$675,000, and are not in default under the facility. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, in each case, plus an applicable margin based on our leverage ratio or our credit rating. The alternative base interest rate is a fluctuating rate equal to the higher of the prime rate or the sum of the federal funds effective rate plus 50 basis points. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate will vary from 0.00% to 0.50% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.00% to 0.25% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. The Eurodollar rate is a rate of interest that is fixed for interest periods of one, two, three or nine months based on the LIBOR rate determined two business days prior to the commencement of the applicable interest period. The applicable margin for the Eurodollar rate will vary from 1.00% to 1.50% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.425% to 1.00% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. As of June 30, 2009, borrowings under the unsecured credit facility had a weighted average interest rate of 1.81%.

On September 14, 2007, the Company and its Operating Partnership entered into a credit agreement that allowed for total secured term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million and subsequently amended the agreement on April 3, 2008 to allow for total secured term loan borrowings of \$57.4 million. The term loans each have a November 20, 2009 maturity date, subject to a one year extension to November 20, 2010 at the Company's option, provided we pay an extension fee of 15 basis points, or \$86,000, and are not in default under the facility. Each term loan bears interest at either an alternative base rate or a Eurodollar rate, at our option, in each case plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for the alternative base rate will vary from 0.10% to 0.60% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.00% to 0.25% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. The Eurodollar rate is a rate of interest that is fixed for interest periods of one, two, three or nine months based on the LIBOR rate determined two business days prior to the commencement of the applicable interest period. The applicable margin for the Eurodollar rate will vary from 1.10% to 1.60% depending on our leverage ratio prior to achieving an investment grade rating, and will vary from 0.425% to 1.00% depending on our credit rating after achieving an investment grade rating. As of June 30, 2009, there was one secured term loan outstanding totaling \$46.4 million. The outstanding term loans are secured by a

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

pledge by our Operating Partnership of all equity interests in YSI RT LLC, the wholly-owned subsidiary of our Operating Partnership that acquired eight self-storage facilities in September 2007 and one self-storage facility in May 2008. As of June 30, 2009, the outstanding term loans had an interest rate of 1.91%. Financial covenants for the secured term loans are identical to the financial covenants for the unsecured credit facility.

Our ability to borrow and extend the maturity date under this credit facility and secured term loan will be subject to our ongoing compliance with the following financial covenants, among others:

Maximum total indebtedness to total asset value of 65%;

Minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.0:1.0;

Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.6:1.0; and

Minimum tangible net worth of \$673.2 million plus 75% of net proceeds from future equity issuances.

We are currently in compliance with all of our covenants and anticipate being in compliance with all of our covenants through the duration of the term of the credit facility and secured term loan, including any extension period.

On August 6, 2009, the Company received a commitment letter and term sheet from its lead arrangers, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Bank of America Merrill Lynch, with respect to a new credit facility. The Company launched the syndication process in early July and by August 7, 2009 had received \$420 million of lender commitments for a new, senior secured credit facility. The syndication efforts are expected to continue through mid-August, 2009 at which time the Company will determine the appropriate size and composition of the new facility. The term sheet contemplates, and the Company expects, the facility to be comprised of a \$200 million secured term loan and a \$250 million secured revolving credit facility. The new credit facility will have a three-year term and will be secured by the real and personal property interests in the Company's borrowing base properties. The term sheet provides for customary covenants including a maximum leverage ratio of 65 percent (67.5 percent during the initial year of the agreement), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.45x, a minimum tangible net worth covenant, and limitations on certain permitted investments, dividends and distributions, and the amount of floating rate interest exposure. Pricing on the new facility will range, depending on leverage levels, from 3.25 to 4.00 percent over LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.5 percent. The Company will use the proceeds from the new credit facility to repay outstanding balances under and to replace its existing \$450 million credit facility, which is scheduled to mature on November 20, 2009, and to repay the \$46 million outstanding balance on the secured term loan discussed above. The new credit facility is subject to lender due diligence, formal documentation and closing requirements. The Company expects to close and fund the new credit facility on or before November 20, 2009. If the Company and its lenders are unable to reach agreement on definitive documentation for the new credit facility with the lenders or the new credit facility otherwise does not close and/or is not funded on or before November 20, 2009, then the Company will utilize its extension options described above with respect to its existing credit facility and existing secured term loan to extend the maturity dates of those loans to November 20, 2010.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

During 2007 and 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges that are designed to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on its variable rate debt. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had interest rate swap agreements for notional principal amounts aggregating \$300 million. The swap agreements effectively fix the 30-day LIBOR interest rate on \$50 million of borrowings at 4.7725% per annum, \$25 million of borrowings at 4.716% per annum and on \$25 million of borrowings at 2.3400% per annum, in each case until November 20, 2009. Additionally, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements on \$40 million of LIBOR based borrowings at 5.50% per annum that matured in June 2008. In May 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements for notional principal amounts aggregating \$200 million, that effectively fix the 30-day LIBOR interest rate on \$200 million of LIBOR based borrowings at 2.7625% per annum until November 20, 2009. The notional amount at June 30, 2009 provides an indication of the extent of the Company's involvement in these instruments at that time, but does not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks. The Company is exposed to the potential risk of counterparty default or non-payment with respect to its interest rate swap agreements, in which event the Company could suffer a material loss on the value of those agreements; however, the Company does not currently believe that its counterparties on its swap agreements are likely to default or not perform their obligations under those agreements.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing interest rates.

Market Risk

Our investment policy relating to cash and cash equivalents is to preserve principal and liquidity while maximizing the return through investment of available funds. The carrying value of these investments approximates fair value on the reporting dates.

Effect of Changes in Interest Rates on our Outstanding Debt

The analysis below presents the sensitivity of the fair value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market rates. The range of changes chosen reflects our view of changes which are reasonably possible over a one-year period. Fair values are the present value of projected future cash flows based on the market rates chosen.

Our financial instruments consist of both fixed and variable rate debt. As of June 30, 2009, our consolidated debt consisted of \$593.2 million in fixed rate loans payable, \$104.0 million in borrowings under our variable rate revolving credit facility, \$200.0 million in a variable rate unsecured term loan and \$46.4 million in a variable rate secured term loan. All financial instruments were entered into for other than trading purposes and the fair value of these financial instruments is referred to as the financial position. Changes in interest rates have different impacts on the fixed and variable rate portions of our debt portfolio. A change in interest rates on the fixed portion of the debt portfolio impacts the financial instrument position, but has no impact on interest incurred or cash flows. A change in interest rates on the variable portion of the debt portfolio impacts the interest incurred and cash flows, but does not impact the financial instrument position.

If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt, excluding the effect of interest rate swap derivatives, increase by 1%, the increase in annual interest expense on our variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$3.5 million a year. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt decrease by 1%, the decrease in interest expense on our variable rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$3.5 million a year.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

If market rates of interest increase by 1%, the fair value of our outstanding fixed-rate mortgage debt would decrease by approximately \$14.1 million. If market rates of interest decrease by 1%, the fair value of our outstanding fixed-rate mortgage debt would increase by approximately \$14.7 million.

During 2007 and 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges that are designed to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on its variable rate debt. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had interest rate swap agreements for notional principal amounts aggregating \$300 million. The swap agreements effectively fix the 30-day LIBOR interest rate on \$50 million of borrowings at 4.7725% per annum, \$25 million of borrowings at 4.716% per annum, \$25 million of borrowings at 2.3400% per annum and \$200 million of borrowings at 2.7625% per annum, in each case until November 20, 2009. Additionally, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements on \$40 million of LIBOR based borrowings at 5.50% per annum that matured in June 2008. The notional amount at June 30, 2009 provides an indication of the extent of the Company's involvement in these instruments at that time, but does not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of June 30, 2009 our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in causing material information relating to us to be recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management on a timely basis and to ensure the quality and timeliness of our public disclosures with SEC disclosure obligations.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Developments in California may have an adverse impact on our business and financial results.

We own and operate 59 self-storage facilities, approximately 16% of our total facilities, in California. The state of California and many local jurisdictions are facing severe budgetary problems. Action that may be taken in response to these problems, such as increases in property taxes on commercial properties, changes to sales taxes or other governmental efforts to raise revenues could adversely impact our business and results of operations. In addition, we could be adversely impacted by efforts to reenact legislation mandating medical insurance for employees of California businesses and members of their families.

Regional Concentration of Our Business May Subject Us to Economic Downturns in the States of California, Florida, Texas and Illinois.

As of June 30, 2009, 181 of our 384 self-storage facilities are located in the states of California, Florida, Texas and Illinois. For the period ended June 30, 2009, these facilities accounted for approximately 49% of our total revenues. This concentration of business in California,

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

Florida, Texas and Illinois exposes us to potential losses resulting from a downturn in the economies of those states. If economic conditions in those states continue to deteriorate, we will experience a reduction in existing and new business, which may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about repurchases of the Company's common shares during the three month period ended June 30, 2009:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
April 1- April 30	0	N/A	N/A	3,000,000
May 1- May 31	0	N/A	N/A	3,000,000
June 1- June 30	2,906	\$ 3.71	N/A	3,000,000
Total	2,906			3,000,000

(1) Represents common shares withheld by the Company upon the vesting of restricted shares to cover employee tax obligations.

Table of Contents

(2) On June 27, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program for up to 3.0 million of the Company's outstanding common shares. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Board of Trustees, the program will expire when the number of authorized shares has been repurchased. The Company has made no repurchases under this program.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

At the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 26, 2009, holders of the Company's common shares elected John C. Dannemiller, William M. Diefenderfer III, Harold S. Haller, Daniel B. Hurwitz, Dean Jernigan, Marianne M. Keler, David J. LaRue and Charles Elliott Andrews as trustees to serve one-year terms expiring at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Shareholders also approved the ratification of KPMG, LLP as the Company's independent auditor.

Following are the final results of the votes cast:

Proposal 1. Election of Trustees

	For	Withheld
John C. Dannemiller	46,171,160	865,894
William M. Diefenderfer III	46,128,865	908,189
Harold S. Haller	45,108,165	1,928,889
Daniel B. Hurwitz	45,120,501	1,916,553
Dean Jernigan	45,173,628	1,863,426
Marianne M. Keler	42,702,600	4,334,454
David J. LaRue	46,148,818	888,236
Charles Elliott Andrews	44,573,458	2,463,596

Proposal 2. Ratification of Independent Auditors

For	45,947,897
Against	1,005,041
Abstain	84,115

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.

12.1 Statement regarding Computation of Ratios of U-Store-It Trust.

Edgar Filing: U-Store-It Trust - Form 10-Q

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed here with)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed here with)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished here with)

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES OF REGISTRANT

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

U-STORE-IT TRUST

(Registrant)

Date: August 10, 2009

By: /s/ Dean Jernigan
Dean Jernigan, Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 10, 2009

By: /s/ Timothy M. Martin
Timothy M. Martin, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)