

ENCISION INC
Form 10QSB
November 14, 2006

U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-QSB

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-28604

ENCISION INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Colorado

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

84-1162056

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6797 Winchester Circle, Boulder, Colorado 80301

(Address of principal executive offices)

(303) 444-2600

(Registrant's telephone number)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date:

Common Stock, no par value

Class

6,430,437 Shares__

(outstanding at October 31, 2006)

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format Yes No

ENCISION INC.

FORM 10-QSB

For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2006

INDEX

PART I.

UNAUDITED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1

Condensed Interim Financial Statements:

Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and March 31, 2006

Condensed Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Condensed Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements

ITEM 2

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

ITEM 3

Controls and Procedures

PART II.

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6

Exhibits

SIGNATURE

PART I **FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1** **CONDENSED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**ENCISION INC.CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

| | September 30, 2006 (unaudited) | March 31, 2006 (audited) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,169,661 | \$ 901,541 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$23,500 and \$38,000, respectively | 1,087,562 | 942,494 |
| Inventory, net of reserve for obsolescence of \$72,000 and \$70,000, respectively | 1,380,928 | 1,398,848 |
| Prepaid expenses | 206,002 | 81,828 |
| Total current assets | 3,844,153 | 3,324,711 |
| EQUIPMENT, at cost: | | |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 983,885 | 935,899 |
| Customer-site equipment | 609,065 | 596,439 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation | (1,303,412) | (1,216,160) |
| Equipment, net | 289,538 | 316,178 |
| PATENTS, net of accumulated amortization of \$98,417 and \$92,339, respectively | 156,065 | 152,930 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 6,688 | 23,483 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,296,444 | \$ 3,817,302 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 441,440 | \$ 299,766 |
| Accrued compensation | 247,725 | 228,913 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 583,253 | 556,949 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,272,418 | 1,085,628 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding | | |
| Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 6,430,437 (September 30, 2006) and 6,398,146 (Mar. 31, 2006) shares outstanding | 19,077,487 | 18,920,885 |
| Accumulated (deficit) | (16,053,461) | (16,189,211) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 3,024,026 | 2,731,674 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 4,296,444 | \$ 3,817,302 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

ENCISION INC.CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|---------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| REVENUE, NET | \$ 2,651,466 | \$ 2,302,454 |
| COST OF SALES | 952,637 | 925,618 |
| Gross profit | 1,698,829 | 1,376,836 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | |
| Sales and marketing | 1,070,931 | 930,285 |
| General and administrative | 366,717 | 343,618 |
| Research and development | 249,122 | 263,511 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,686,770 | 1,537,414 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS | 12,059 | (160,578) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | |
| Interest income | 10,912 | 6,765 |
| Other income (expense), net | 9,190 | (7,693) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ 32,161 | \$ (161,506) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE: | | |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.03) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.03) |
| Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share | 6,430,437 | 6,370,926 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share | 6,435,468 | 6,370,926 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

ENCISION INC.CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|---------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| REVENUE, NET | \$ 5,405,653 | \$ 4,591,082 |
| COST OF SALES | 2,001,102 | 1,829,816 |
| Gross profit | 3,404,551 | 2,761,266 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | |
| Sales and marketing | 2,143,085 | 1,799,548 |
| General and administrative | 678,115 | 603,745 |
| Research and development | 474,230 | 504,303 |
| Total operating expenses | 3,295,430 | 2,907,596 |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS | 109,121 | (146,330) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): | | |
| Interest income | 19,322 | 13,172 |
| Other (expense), net | 7,307 | (9,653) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ 135,750 | \$ (142,811) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE: | | |
| Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.02) |
| Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share | 6,415,174 | 6,343,914 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share | 6,434,109 | 6,343,914 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

ENCISION INC.CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 135,750 | \$ (142,811) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities - | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 93,330 | 98,373 |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options | 94,654 | |
| Provision for bad debts | (14,500) | 35,500 |
| Inventory reserves | 2,000 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities - | | |
| Accounts receivable | (130,568) | (288,602) |
| Inventory | 15,920 | (207,158) |
| Other assets | (107,379) | 11,328 |
| Accounts payable | 141,674 | (163,709) |
| Accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities | 45,116 | 134,859 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 275,997 | (522,220) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Investment in equipment | (60,612) | (155,505) |
| Patent costs | (9,213) | (45,612) |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (69,825) | (201,117) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 61,948 | 83,138 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 61,948 | 83,138 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 268,120 | (640,199) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 901,541 | 1,472,385 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 1,169,661 | \$ 832,186 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ENCISION INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

(1) ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

Encision Inc. is a medical device company that designs, develops, manufactures and markets patented surgical instruments that provide greater safety to patients undergoing minimally-invasive surgery. We believe our patented AEM® surgical instrument technology is changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and instruments by providing a solution to a patient safety risk in laparoscopic surgery. Our sales to date have been made principally in the United States.

We achieved profitable operations in fiscal years 2004 and 2003. However, in each fiscal year prior to 2003 and in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, we incurred losses and had an accumulated deficit of \$16,053,461 at September 30, 2006. Operations have been financed primarily through the issuance of our common stock.

Our strategic marketing and sales plan is designed to expand the use of our products in surgically active hospitals in the United States. We expect these efforts to result in continued revenue increases for fiscal year 2007.

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The condensed interim financial statements included herein have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The condensed interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto, included in our Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, filed on Form 10-KSB on June 30, 2006.

The accompanying condensed interim financial statements have been prepared, in all material respects, in conformity with the standards of accounting measurements set forth in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28 and reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to summarize fairly the financial position and results of operations for such periods in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the most recent interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions. Such estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as well as disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recorded when we ship the product and title has passed to the customer, provided that we have evidence of a customer arrangement and can conclude that collection is probable. Our shipping policy is FOB Shipping Point. We recognize revenue from sales to stocking distributors when there is no right of return, other than for normal warranty claims. We have no ongoing obligations related to product sales, except for normal warranty.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

We have no significant off-balance sheet concentrations of credit risk such as foreign exchange contracts, options contracts or other foreign hedging arrangements. We maintain the majority of our cash balances with two financial institutions in the form of demand deposits and money market funds.

7

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

Accounts receivable are typically unsecured and are derived from transactions with and from entities in the healthcare industry primarily located in the United States. Accordingly, we may be exposed to credit risk generally associated with the healthcare industry. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments.

The net accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2006 of \$1,087,562 included no more than 4% from any one customer. The net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2006 of \$942,494 included no more than 4% from any one customer.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market. We reduce inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Inventory consisted of the following:

| | September 30, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 938,896 | \$ 869,571 |
| Finished goods | 514,032 | 599,277 |
| | 1,452,928 | 1,468,848 |
| Less - Reserve for obsolescence | (72,000) | (70,000) |
| | \$ 1,380,928 | \$ 1,398,848 |

Accrued Liabilities

We have accrued \$100,000 related to warranty claims, \$135,008 related to sales commissions and \$113,870 related to rent normalization and have included these amounts in accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets as of September 30, 2006.

Research and Development Expenses

We expense research and development costs for products and processes as incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

On April 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, (SFAS 123(R)) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes our previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) for periods beginning in fiscal year 2007. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) relating to SFAS 123(R). We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in our adoption of SFAS 123(R).

We have adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of April 1, 2006, the first day of our fiscal year 2007. Our financial statements as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$47,327 which consisted of stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options. There was no stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in our Statement of Operations. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). Under the intrinsic value method, no stock-based compensation expense had been recognized in our Statement of Operations because the exercise price of our stock options granted to employees and directors equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in our Statement of Operations for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 included compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of September 30, 2006 based on the grant date fair

8

value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123 and compensation expense for the share-based payment awards granted subsequent to July 30, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). Compensation expense for all share-based payment is recognized using the straight-line single-option method. As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Statement of Operations for the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In our pro forma information required under SFAS 123 for the periods prior to fiscal year 2007, we accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we continued to use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (Black-Scholes model) which was previously used for our pro forma information required under SFAS 123. Our determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to our expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Although the fair value of employee stock options is determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and SAB 107 using an option-pricing model, that value may not be indicative of the fair value observed in a willing buyer/willing seller market transaction.

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3 Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. We have elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in the FASB Staff Position for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123(R). The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (APIC pool) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Segment Reporting

We have concluded that we have one operating segment.

Basic and Diluted Income and Loss per Common Share

Net income or loss per share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share (SFAS No. 128). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, basic net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing net income or loss for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing the net income or loss for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the period if the effect of the potential common shares is dilutive.

For the three month period ended September 30, 2006, we had currently-exercisable stock options outstanding that could create future dilution to our common shareholders and are not currently classified as our outstanding common shares. The common stock number is based on specific conversion or issuance assumptions pursuant to the corresponding terms of each instrument. Potential stock issuance excluded from earnings per share because their effect was anti-dilutive was 440,000 and 120,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

The following is a table that reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | For the Three Months Ended: September 30, 2006 | | | September 30, 2005 | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Income (Loss) (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per-Share Amount | Income (Loss) (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per-Share Amount |
| Net income (loss) | | | | | | |
| Basic EPS | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 32,161 | 6,430,437 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (161,506) | 6,370,926 | \$ (0.03) |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities Stock Options | | 5,031 | | | | |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 32,161 | 6,435,468 | \$ 0.00 | \$ (161,506) | 6,370,926 | \$ (0.03) |

Income (loss) available
to common
stockholders + dilutive
securities

9

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

| | For the Six Months Ended: | | | September 30, 2005 | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| | September 30, 2006 | | | September 30, 2005 | | |
| | Income (Loss) | Shares | Per-Share | Income (Loss) | Shares | Per-Share |
| | (Numerator) | (Denominator) | Amount | (Numerator) | (Denominator) | Amount |
| Net income (loss) | | | | | | |
| Basic EPS | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 135,750 | 6,415,174 | \$ 0.02 | \$ (142,811) | 6,343,914 | \$ (0.02) |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities Stock Options | | 18,935 | | | | |
| Diluted EPS | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) available to common stockholders + dilutive securities | \$ 135,750 | 6,434,109 | \$ 0.02 | \$ (142,811) | 6,343,914 | \$ (0.02) |

(3) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We currently lease our facilities under noncancelable lease agreements through August 14, 2009 at 6797 Winchester Circle, Boulder, Colorado. The minimum future lease payments as of September 30, 2006 were as follows:

| Year ended March 31, | |
|----------------------|------------|
| 2007 | 77,089 |
| 2008 | 166,930 |
| 2009 | 172,685 |
| 2010 | 65,566 |
| | \$ 482,270 |

We are subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA provides regulations governing the manufacture and sale of our products and regularly inspects us and other manufacturers to determine compliance with these regulations. As of September 30, 2006 we believe we were in substantial compliance with all known regulations. FDA inspections are conducted periodically at the discretion of the FDA. We were last inspected in May 2004 and were notified of six potential deficiencies from that inspection, none of which we believe to be material.

The results of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 should not be taken as an indication of the results of operations for all or any part of the balance of the year.

(4) VALUATION AND EXPENSE INFORMATION UNDER SFAS 123(R)

On April 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors including employee stock options based on estimated fair values. The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and employee stock purchases under SFAS 123(R) for the three months ended September 30, 2006 which was allocated as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2006 |
| Sales and marketing | \$ 10,588 | \$ 21,176 |
| General and administrative | 28,240 | 56,480 |
| Research and development | 8,499 | 16,998 |
| Stock-based compensation expense included in operating expenses | \$ 47,327 | \$ 94,654 |

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

There was no stock-based compensation expense recognized for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005.

The table below reflects net income and diluted net income per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared with the pro forma information for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as follows:

10

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|---------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income (loss) as reported for the prior period (1) | N/A | \$ (161,506) |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options (2) | \$ 47,327 | \$ 55,739 |
| Net income (loss), including the effect of stock-based compensation expense (3) | \$ 32,161 | \$ (217,245) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share as reported for the prior period (1) | N/A | \$ (0.03) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share, including the effect of stock-based compensation expense (3) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.03) |

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|---------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income (loss) as reported for the prior period (1) | N/A | \$ (142,811) |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options (2) | \$ 94,654 | \$ 109,948 |
| Net income (loss), including the effect of stock-based compensation expense (3) | \$ 135,750 | \$ (252,759) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share as reported for the prior period (1) | N/A | \$ (0.02) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share, including the effect of stock-based compensation expense (3) | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.04) |

(1) Net income and net income per share prior to fiscal year 2007 did not include stock-based compensation expense for employee stock options under SFAS 123 because we did not adopt the recognition provisions of SFAS 123.

(2) Stock-based compensation expense prior to fiscal year 2007 is calculated based on the pro forma application of SFAS 123.

(3) Net income and net income per share prior to fiscal year 2007 represents pro forma information based on SFAS 123.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R) and prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the value of each employee stock option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model for the purpose of financial information in accordance with SFAS 123.

The use of a Black-Scholes model requires the use of actual employee exercise behavior data and the use of a number of assumptions including expected volatility, risk-free interest rate and expected dividends. The weighted-average estimated value of employee stock options granted during the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1.95 per share using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Expected volatility | 63.1 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 5.0 % |
| Expected dividends | 0.0 % |

As of September 30, 2006, \$566 thousand of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested stock is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of three years.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

In September 2006, SFAS 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pensions and Other Post-Retirement Plans* (SFAS 158), was issued by the FASB and is effective for financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. SFAS 158 improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit organization. This Statement also improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement or financial position, with limited exceptions. We anticipate that SFAS 158 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain statements contained in this section on Management's Discussion and Analysis are not historical facts, including statements about our strategies and expectations about new and existing products, market demand, acceptance of new and existing products, technologies and opportunities, market and industry segment growth, and return on investments in products and markets. These statements are forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and involve substantial risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward looking statements. All forward looking statements in this section on Management's Discussion and Analysis are based on information available to us on the date of this document, and we assume no obligation to update such forward looking statements. Readers of this Form 10-QSB are strongly encouraged to review the section entitled *Risk Factors*.

General

Encision Inc., a medical device company based in Boulder, Colorado, has developed and launched innovative technology that is emerging as a standard of care in minimally-invasive surgery. We believe our patented AEM® Surgical Instruments are changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and laparoscopic instruments by providing a solution to a well documented patient safety risk in laparoscopic surgery.

We were founded to address market opportunities created by the increase in minimally-invasive surgery (MIS) and surgeons' preference for using electrosurgery devices in these procedures. The product opportunity was created by surgeons' continued widespread demand for using monopolar electrosurgery instruments which, when used in laparoscopic surgery, are susceptible to causing inadvertent collateral tissue damage outside the surgeon's field of view. The risk of unintended electrosurgical burn injury to the patient in laparoscopic surgery has been well documented. This risk poses a threat to patient safety and creates liability exposure for surgeons and hospitals that do not adequately address the issue.

Our patented AEM technology provides surgeons with the desired tissue effects, while preventing stray electrosurgical energy that can cause unintended and unseen tissue injury. AEM Laparoscopic Instruments are equivalent to conventional instruments in functionality but they incorporate active electrode monitoring technology to dynamically and continuously monitor the flow of electrosurgical current, thereby helping to prevent patient injury. With our shielded and monitored instruments, surgeons are able to perform electrosurgical procedures more safely and effectively than when using conventional instruments. In addition, our AEM instruments are cost competitive with conventional non-shielded, non-monitored instruments. The result is advanced patient safety at comparable cost and with no change in surgeon technique.

AEM technology has been recommended and endorsed by sources from all groups involved in minimally-invasive surgery. Surgeons, nurses, biomedical engineers, the medicolegal community, malpractice insurance carriers and electrosurgical device manufacturers advocate the use of AEM technology. Recommendations from the malpractice insurance and medicolegal communities complement the broad clinical endorsements AEM technology has garnered over the past few years.

Adding further credibility to the benefits of our AEM technology are our supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, two of the largest Group Purchasing Organizations (GPOs) in the United States. Together, Novation and Premier represent over 3,000 hospitals which perform over 50% of all surgery in the U.S. We believe that these GPO supplier agreements give further indication that AEM technology is gaining broader acceptance in the market. We believe that having the nation's leading medical purchasing groups recognize the value of our technology reflects the potential impact that AEM products can have in the market and in advancing patient safety in surgery nationwide. These agreements do not involve purchase commitments, but we expect these relationships to expand the market visibility of AEM technology and to ease the procurement process for new hospital customers.

We have focused our marketing strategies to date on expanding the market awareness of the AEM technology and our broad independent endorsements, and have continued efforts to improve and expand the AEM product line. Accordingly, we are currently focusing on modernizing our accepted AEM instruments to include ergonomics and user functionalities for which surgeons have been expressing a preference. During fiscal year 2006, we announced enTouch, an ergonomically-designed handle for our articulating instruments, and further new additions to the AEM product line are planned for introduction in fiscal year 2007.

When a hospital changes to AEM technology we receive recurring revenue from sales of replacement instruments. We believe that there is no directly competing technology to supplant AEM products once a hospital switches to our products. The replacement market of reusable and disposable AEM products in changed hospitals represents over 90% of Encision's revenue over the past three months. This revenue stream is expected to grow as the base of newly changed hospitals continues to grow. In addition, we intend to develop disposable versions of more of our AEM products in order to meet market demands and expand our revenue opportunities.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

We achieved profitable operations in fiscal years 2004 and 2003. However, in each fiscal year prior to 2003 and in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, we incurred losses and had an accumulated deficit of \$16,053,461 at September 30, 2006. Operations have been financed primarily through the issuance of our common stock.

12

During the six months ended September 30, 2006, we provided \$275,997 of cash from our operations and used \$69,825 for investments in equipment. As of September 30, 2006, we had \$1,169,661 in cash and cash equivalents available to fund future operations, an increase of \$268,120 from March 31, 2006. Our working capital was \$2,571,735 at September 30, 2006.

Historical Perspective

We were organized in 1991 and spent several years developing the AEM monitoring system and protective sheaths to adapt to conventional electro-surgical instruments. During this period, we conducted product trials and applied for patents with the United States Patent Office and with international patent agencies. Patents were issued to us in 1994, 1996, 1997, 1998 and 2002.

As we evolved, it became clear to us that our active electrode monitoring technology needed to be integrated into the standard laparoscopic instrument design. As the development program proceeded, it also became apparent that the merging of electrical and mechanical engineering skills in the instrument development process for our patented, integrated electro-surgical instruments was a complex and difficult task. As a result, instruments with integrated AEM technology were not completed for several years. Prior to offering a full range of laparoscopic electro-surgical instrumentation, it was difficult for hospitals to commit to the AEM solution, as we did not have adequate comparable surgical instrument options to match what surgeons demanded. As of fiscal year 2001, a sufficiently broad product line was available to provide hospital operating rooms with AEM instruments in most of the designs common for laparoscopic surgery.

The launch of an expanded line of AEM instruments was accomplished over the past two years. We are now turning our focus to developing next generation versions of our AEM instruments to better meet market demands, particularly demand for improved ergonomics and simplified user functionalities. This strategy coincides with the independent endorsements for our AEM technology. Recommendations from the malpractice insurance and medicolegal communities complement the broad clinical endorsements that AEM technology has garnered over the past few years. During fiscal year 2006, we announced the introduction of enTouch, an ergonomically-designed handle for our articulating instruments, and further new additions to the AEM product line are planned for introduction in fiscal year 2007.

Outlook

Installed Base of AEM Monitoring Equipment: We believe that the installed base of AEM monitors has the potential for increasing revenue as the inherent risks associated with monopolar laparoscopic electro-surgery become more widely acknowledged and as we focus on increasing our sales efficiency. We expect that the replacement sales of electro-surgical instruments and accessories will increase as additional hospitals adopt AEM technology. We anticipate that the efforts to improve the quality of sales representatives carrying the AEM product line, along with increased marketing efforts and the introduction of next generation products, may provide the basis for increased revenue and maintaining profitable operations. However, these measures, or any others that we may adopt, may not result in either increased revenue or maintaining profitable operations. Furthermore, most of our next generation products are in the early stages of development. Further additions to the AEM product line are planned for introduction in fiscal year 2007.

We believe the unique performance of the AEM technology and our breadth of independent endorsements provides an opportunity for continued market share growth. In our view, market awareness and awareness to the clinical credibility of the AEM technology, as well as awareness of our endorsements, are continually improving, and we expect this awareness to benefit our sales efforts for the remainder of fiscal year 2007. Our objective in the remainder of fiscal year 2007 is to maintain expense controls while optimizing sales execution in the field, to expand market awareness of the AEM technology and to maximize the number of additional hospital accounts switching to AEM instruments, while retaining existing hospital customers. In addition, acceptance of AEM products depends on surgeons' preference for our instruments, which depends on factors such as ergonomics and ease of use in addition to the technological advantage of AEM products. If surgeons prefer other instruments to our instruments, our business results will suffer.

Possibility of Operating Losses: We achieved profitable operations in fiscal years 2004 and 2003. However, in fiscal years prior to 2003 and in fiscal years 2006 and 2005 we incurred losses and had an accumulated deficit of \$16,053,461 at September 30, 2006. We have made strides toward improving our operating results. Due to the ongoing need to develop, optimize and train the direct sales managers and the independent sales representative network, the need to support the development of refinements to our product line and the need to increase sustained revenues to a level

adequate to cover fixed and variable operating costs, we may operate at a net loss from time to time. Sustained losses, or our inability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to fund our obligations, may result in a need to raise additional capital.

Revenue Growth: We expect to generate increased revenue in the U.S. from sales to new hospital customers and from expanded sales in existing hospitals as our network of direct and independent sales representatives become more efficient. We believe that the visibility and credibility of the independent clinical endorsements for AEM technology will contribute to new hospital accounts and increase revenues in fiscal year 2007. We also expect that supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, which together represent over 3,000 U.S. hospitals, will expose more hospitals to the benefits of AEM technology and may stimulate new hospital accounts. We also expect to increase market share through promotional programs of placing our AEM monitors at no charge into hospitals that commit to standardize AEM instruments. However, all of these efforts to increase market share and grow revenues will depend in part on our ability to expand the efficiency and effective coverage range of our direct and independent sales representatives.

We also have longer term initiatives in place to improve our prospects. We expect that development of next generation versions of our AEM products will better position our products in the marketplace and improve our retention rate at hospitals that have changed to AEM technology, enabling us to grow our revenue. We may also explore overseas markets to assess opportunities for revenue growth internationally. Finally, we intend to explore opportunities to capitalize on our proven AEM technology via licensing arrangements and strategic alliances. These efforts to generate additional revenue and further the market penetration of our products are longer term in nature and may not materialize. Even if we are able to successfully develop next generation products or identify potential international markets or strategic partners, we may not be able to capitalize on these opportunities.

Gross Profit and Gross Margins: Gross profit and gross margins can be expected to fluctuate from quarter to quarter, as a result of product sales mix and sales volume. Gross margins on products manufactured or assembled by us are expected to improve at higher levels of production and sales.

Sales and Marketing Expenses: We continue our efforts to expand domestic and international distribution capability, and we believe that sales and marketing expenses will decrease as a percentage of net revenue with increasing sales volume.

Research and Development Expenses: Research and development expenses are expected to increase modestly to support development of refinements to our AEM product line, further expanding the instrument options for surgeons. Further additions to the AEM product line are planned for introduction in fiscal year 2007.

Results of Operations

For the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Net revenue. Revenue for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, was \$2,651,466 compared to \$2,302,454 for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 15%. The increase is attributable to the addition of new hospital accounts, partially offset by business lost from hospitals that previously changed to AEM technology. We opened eight new hospital accounts for AEM technology in the three months ended September 30, 2006 versus twelve new hospital accounts for AEM technology in the three months ended September 30, 2005. We have changed and added new sales managers and independent sales representatives in an effort to capitalize on identified market opportunities. It will take a number of months before new sales managers and new independent sales representatives generate new hospital accounts, but we expect that the combination of these new additions will provide the focus that is needed to achieve market gains.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 of \$1,698,829 represented an increase of 23% from gross profit of \$1,376,836 for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue (gross margin) increased from 60% for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 to 64% for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The increase in gross margin was primarily the result of higher levels of production, while the manufacturing process became more efficient compared with one year ago. In addition, approximately 1½% of our gross margin increase was attributed to a decrease in accrued liabilities related to warranty claims. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, we provided \$3,573 in AEM monitors at no charge to newly changed hospitals as part of a sales incentive program.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses of \$1,070,931 for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 represented an increase of 15% from sales and marketing expenses of \$930,285 for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. The increase was a result of increased compensation for increased sales employees and increased commissions as a result of higher revenue. These increases were partially offset by reduced by catalog printing costs.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses of \$366,717 for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 represented an increase of 7% from general and administrative expenses of \$343,618 for the quarter ended

September 30, 2005. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in stock-based compensation expense, which was not required to be recognized in the prior year's quarterly results, increased compensation and outside services.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses of \$249,122 for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 represented a decrease of 5% compared to \$263,511 for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. The decrease was a result of decreased outside services.

Net income (loss). Net income was \$32,161 for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 compared to a net (loss) of \$(161,506) for the quarter ended September 30, 2005. The increase was a result of increased revenue and higher gross margin.

For the six months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the six months ended September 30, 2005.

Net revenue. Revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2006, was \$5,405,653 compared to \$4,591,082 for the six months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 18%. The increase is attributable to the addition of new hospital accounts, partially offset by business lost from hospitals that previously changed to AEM technology. We opened 21 new hospital accounts for AEM technology in the six months ended September 30, 2006 versus 24 new hospital accounts for AEM technology in the six months ended September 30, 2005. We have changed and added new sales managers and independent sales representatives in an effort to capitalize on identified market

opportunities. It will take a number of months before new sales managers and new independent sales representatives generate new hospital accounts, but we expect that the combination of these new additions will provide the focus that is needed to achieve market gains.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the six months ended September 30, 2006 of \$3,404,551 represented an increase of 23% from gross profit of \$2,761,266 for the six months ended September 30, 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue (gross margins) increased from 60% for the six months ended September 30, 2005 to 63% for the six months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in gross margins was primarily the result of higher levels of production, while the manufacturing process became more efficient compared with one year ago. For the six months ended September 30, 2006, we provided \$12,626 in AEM monitors at no charge to newly changed hospitals as part of a sales incentive program.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses of \$2,143,085 for the six months ended September 30, 2006 represented an increase of 19% from sales and marketing expenses of \$1,799,548 for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was a result of increased compensation for increased sales employees, increased commissions as a result of higher revenue and increased sales samples. These increases were partially offset by reduced by catalog printing costs.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses of \$678,115 for the six months ended September 30, 2006 represented an increase of 12% from general and administrative expenses of \$603,745 for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in stock-based compensation expense, which was not required to be recognized in the prior year's quarterly results, increased compensation and outside services.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses of \$474,230 for the six months ended September 30, 2006 represented a decrease of 6% compared to \$504,303 for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease was a result of decreased compensation and decreased test and prototype materials cost.

Net income (loss). Net income was \$135,750 for the six months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a net (loss) of \$(142,811) for the six months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was a result of increased revenue and increased gross margin.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

To date, operating funds have been provided primarily by sales of our common stock and of warrants to purchase our common stock, which together totaled \$19,077,487 through September 30, 2006, and, to a lesser degree by funds provided by sales of our products.

Our operations provided \$275,997 of cash in the six months ended September 30, 2006 on sales of \$5,405,653. Prior to fiscal year 2003 and in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, the use of cash in our operations resulted primarily from the funding of annual net losses. These amounts of cash generated from and used in operations are not indicative of the expected cash to be generated from or used in operations in fiscal year 2007. As of September 30, 2006, we had \$1,169,661 in cash and cash equivalents available to fund future operations. Working capital was \$2,571,735 at September 30, 2006 compared to \$2,239,083 at March 31, 2006. Current liabilities were \$1,272,418 at September 30, 2006, compared to \$1,085,628 at March 31, 2006.

If we are not successful in obtaining profitability and positive cash flow, additional capital may be required to maintain ongoing operations. We have explored and are continuing to explore options to provide additional financing to fund future operations as well as other possible courses of action. Such actions include, but are not limited to, securing a line of credit, sales of debt or equity securities (which may result in dilution to existing shareholders), licensing of technology, strategic alliances and other similar actions. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional funding (if needed) through a sale of our common stock, loans from financial institutions or other third parties or any of the actions discussed above. If we cannot sustain profitable operations and additional capital is unavailable, lack of liquidity could have a material adverse effect on our business viability, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

We lease our facilities under a noncancelable lease agreement with minimum future lease payments as of September 30, 2006 as follows:

| Year ended March 31, | |
|----------------------|------------|
| 2007 | 77,089 |
| 2008 | 166,930 |
| 2009 | 172,685 |
| 2010 | 65,566 |
| | \$ 482,270 |

Capital expenditures of \$60,612 in the six months ended September 30, 2006 include the \$12,626 capital expenditure associated with placing AEM monitors in hospitals under various promotional programs. Placing our AEM monitors into hospitals at no charge to facilitate a hospital's use of AEM instruments is an initiative to accelerate new hospital accounts. Under these promotional programs we maintain ownership of the AEM monitor, and the cost is capitalized and depreciated as cost of sales over the projected five year life of the asset.

15

Our fiscal year 2007 operating plan is focused on increasing new hospital accounts, retaining existing hospital customers, growing revenue, increasing gross profits and conserving cash. We also are investing in research and development efforts to develop next generation versions of the AEM product line. We can not predict with certainty the expected revenue, gross profit, net income or loss and usage of cash and cash equivalents for fiscal year 2007. However, we believe that our cash resources will be sufficient to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months. If we are unable to manage our business operations in line with budget expectations, it could have a material adverse effect on our business viability, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. If we are not successful in achieving profitability and positive cash flow, additional capital may be required to maintain ongoing operations.

Income Taxes

As of March 31, 2006, net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$16,500,000 are available to reduce taxable income in the future. The net operating loss carryforwards expire, if not previously utilized, at various dates beginning in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. We have not paid income taxes since our inception. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 and other income tax regulations contain provisions which may limit the net operating loss carryforwards available to be used in any given year, if certain events occur, including changes in ownership interests. We have established a valuation allowance for the entire amount of our deferred tax asset since inception due to our history of losses. Should we achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, we may conclude that some or all of the valuation allowance should be reversed. If some or all of the valuation allowance were reversed, then, to the extent of the reversal, a tax benefit would be recognized which would result in an increase to income.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to bad debts, inventories, sales returns, warranty, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances would be required, which would increase our expenses during the periods in which any such allowances were made. The amount recorded as a provision for bad debts in each period is based upon our assessment of the likelihood that we will be paid on our outstanding receivables, based on customer-specific as well as general considerations. To the extent that our estimates prove to be too high, and we ultimately collect a receivable previously determined to be impaired, we may record a reversal of the provision in the period of such determination.

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While we engage in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of our component suppliers, we have experienced some costs related to warranty. The warranty accrual is based upon historical experience and is adjusted based on current experience. Should actual warranty experience differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

We reduce inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Any write-downs of inventory would reduce our reported net income during the period in which such write-downs were applied. To the extent that our estimates prove to be too high, and we ultimately utilize or sell inventory previously determined to be impaired, we may record a reversal of the provision in the period of such determination.

We recognize deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future income tax consequences, based on enacted tax laws, of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are then reduced, if deemed necessary, by a valuation allowance for the amount of any tax benefits which, more likely than not based on current circumstances, are not expected to be realized. Should we achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, we may conclude that all or some of the valuation allowance should be reversed.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 10QSB

We depreciate our property and equipment primarily on a double-declining basis over the estimated useful life of the asset, generally three to five years. Our owned, customer-site AEM Monitors are depreciated on a double-declining basis for a period of 5 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major additions, replacements and improvements are capitalized.

We amortize our patent costs over their estimated useful lives, which is typically the remaining statutory life. From time to time, we may be required to adjust these lives based on advances in technology, competitor actions, and the like. We review the recorded amounts of

16

patents at each period end to determine if their carrying amount is still recoverable based on our expectations regarding sales of related products. Such an assessment, in the future, may result in a conclusion that the assets are impaired, with a corresponding charge against earnings.

We currently estimate forfeitures for stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options at 7% and evaluate the forfeiture rate quarterly.

Subsequent Events

On November 10, 2006, we entered into a credit facility agreement with Silicon Valley Bank. The terms of the credit facility include a line of credit for \$2 million for three years at an interest rate calculated as prime rate plus 1.25%. In connection with the credit facility, we issued warrants to Silicon Valley Bank to purchase 28,000 shares of our common stock at a per share price of \$2.75. Our borrowing under the credit facility is limited by our eligible receivables and inventory at the time of borrowing.

We had previously been exploring the benefit of obtaining equity capital financing. As a result of obtaining the credit facility, we discontinued our relationship with an investment advisory firm during the third quarter ending December 31, 2006. We incurred equity capital financing costs, including costs to the investment advisory firm, of approximately \$73,000. These costs will be expensed in the third quarter ending December 31, 2006.

Risk Factors

We wish to caution you that there are risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to be materially different from those indicated by forward-looking statements that we make from time to time in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, news releases, reports, proxy statements, registration statements and other written communications, as well as oral forward-looking statements made from time to time by our representatives. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those listed in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2006. These risks and uncertainties and additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may cause our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows to be materially adversely affected. Except for the historical information contained herein, the matters discussed in this analysis are forward looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to general business conditions and other factors which are often beyond our control. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements except as required by law.

ITEM 3 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) We have carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting and Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14c of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Principal Accounting and Financial Officer concluded as of September 30, 2006 that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by our under the Exchange Act was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified under the Exchange Act rules and forms.

(b) During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, nor were there any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in such disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting requiring corrective actions. As a result no corrective actions were taken.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

At our Annual Meeting of shareholders on August 2, 2006 the following actions were taken:

- (i) The following persons were elected director of Encision Inc. for terms expiring in 2007:

| | Votes For | Votes Withheld |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Bruce L. Arfmann | 6,020,781 | 12,444 |
| Robert H. Fries | 6,015,339 | 17,886 |
| Vern D. Kornelsen | 5,979,765 | 53,460 |
| David W. Newton | 6,015,930 | 17,295 |
| Roger C. Odell | 6,015,007 | 18,218 |
| John R. Serino | 6,016,990 | 16,235 |
| George A. Stewart | 6,020,781 | 12,444 |

- (ii) Ratification of appointment of Gordon, Hughes & Banks, LLP to serve as Company's Independent Auditors in 2007:

| | |
|---------------|-----------|
| Votes for | 6,022,650 |
| Votes against | 375 |
| Abstentions | 10,200 |

ITEM 6 Exhibits

The following exhibits are attached to this report on Form 10KSB or are incorporated by reference:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended. (Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement #333-4118-D dated June 25, 1996).
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Company. (Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement #333-4118-D dated June 25, 1996).
- 4.1 Form of certificate for shares of Common Stock. (Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement #333-4118-D dated June 25, 1996).
- 10.1 Lease Agreement dated June 3, 2004 between Encision Inc. and DaPuzzo Investment Group, LLC (Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 12, 2004).
- 10.2 Encision Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement #333-4118-D dated June 25, 1996).
- 10.3 Encision Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference from Proxy Statement dated July 15, 1997).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial and Accounting Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)

32.1 Certification of Periodic Reports pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

19

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

| Name | Title | Date |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | |
| /s/ Marcia McHaffie | Controller | November 13, 2006 |
| Marcia McHaffie | Principal Accounting Officer & Principal Financial Officer | |

20
