Jefferies Group LLC Form 10-Q April 08, 2016 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended February 29, 2016 OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number 1-14947

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	95-4719745
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
520 Madison Avenue, New York, New York	10022
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212)	284-2550

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer ý Smaller reporting company."

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No ý

The Registrant is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Leucadia National Corporation and meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions H(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is therefore filing this Form 10-Q with a reduced disclosure

format as permitted by Instruction H(2).

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC	
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q	
February 29, 2016	
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited)- February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015	<u>2</u>
Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)- Three Months Ended February 29, 2016 and Three Month	S ₂
Ended February 28, 2015	_
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)- Three Months Ended February 29, 2016 and	\underline{d}_{1}
Three Months Ended February 28, 2015	4
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited)- Three Months Ended February 29, 2016 and	<u>5</u>
Year Ended November 30, 2015	<u>_</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)- Three Months Ended February 29, 2016 and Three	<u>6</u>
Months Ended February 28, 2015	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>8</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>60</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>87</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>87</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>88</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>88</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>88</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>88</u>

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (UNAUDITED)

(In thousands)

(In thousands)		
	February 29,	November 30,
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (\$2,492 and \$2,015 at February 29, 2016 and		
November 30, 2015, respectively,	\$2,599,637	\$3,510,163
related to consolidated VIEs)		
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited	d	
with clearing and depository	679,812	751,084
organizations		
Financial instruments owned, at fair value, (including securities pledged of		
\$11,174,383 and \$12,207,123		
at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively; and \$79,667 and	13,629,559	16,559,116
\$68,951 at	15,029,559	10,339,110
February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively, related to consolidated		
VIEs)		
Investments in managed funds	57,200	85,775
Loans to and investments in related parties	756,119	825,908
Securities borrowed	7,347,587	6,975,136
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	3,526,686	3,857,306
Receivables:		
Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	1,769,450	1,574,759
Customers	1,177,330	1,191,316
Fees, interest and other (\$476 and \$329 at February 29, 2016 and November 30,		
2015, respectively,	275,117	260,924
related to consolidated VIEs)		
Premises and equipment	247,284	243,486
Goodwill	1,649,547	1,656,588
Other assets (\$27,332 and \$0 at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015,		
respectively,	1,477,541	1,072,411
related to consolidated VIEs)		
Total assets	\$35,192,869	\$38,563,972
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Short-term borrowings	\$311,885	\$310,659
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased, at fair value	7,476,658	6,785,064
Collateralized financings:		
Securities loaned	2,670,611	2,979,300
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	8,252,356	10,004,428
Other secured financings (\$897,344 and \$762,909 at February 29, 2016 and		
November 30, 2015,	897,344	762,909
respectively, related to consolidated VIEs)		
Payables:		
Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	1,242,473	2,742,001
Customers	2,599,508	2,780,493
	781,707	1,049,019

Accrued expenses and other liabilities (\$2,652 and \$893 at February 29, 2016 a November 30, 2015, respectively, related to consolidated VIEs) Long-term debt (includes \$37,118 and \$0 at fair value at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015,				
respectively; and \$22,207 and \$0 related to consolidated VIEs at February 29, 2	20165,698,169		5,640,722	
and November 30,				
2015, respectively)				
Total liabilities	29,930,711		33,054,595	
EQUITY				
Member's paid-in capital	5,355,856		5,526,855	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:				
Currency translation adjustments	(86,560)	(36,811)
Changes in instrument specific credit risk	(302)		
Additional minimum pension liability	(8,056)	(8,135)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	(94,918)	(44,946)
Total member's equity	5,260,938		5,481,909	
Noncontrolling interests	1,220		27,468	
Total equity	5,262,158		5,509,377	
Total liabilities and equity	\$35,192,869		\$38,563,972	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended February 2016	29,	Three Months Ended February 28, 2	015
Revenues:				
Commissions and other fees	\$155,824		\$166,922	
Principal transactions	(103,373)	105,477	
Investment banking	230,930		271,995	
Asset management fees and investment income (loss) from managed funds	9,530		(9,837)
Interest	221,945		228,870	
Other	(21,751)	19,905	
Total revenues	493,105		783,332	
Interest expense	194,118		191,660	
Net revenues	298,987		591,672	
Non-interest expenses:				
Compensation and benefits	349,743		365,215	
Non-compensation expenses:				
Floor brokerage and clearing fees	40,479		55,080	
Technology and communications	64,989		72,387	
Occupancy and equipment rental	24,585		24,184	
Business development	24,854		21,937	
Professional services	23,512		24,256	
Other	20,701		15,729	
Total non-compensation expenses	199,120		213,573	
Total non-interest expenses	548,863		578,788	
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	(249,876)	12,884	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(83,107)	331	
Net earnings (loss)	(166,769)	12,553	
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	44		871	
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Jefferies Group LLC	\$(166,813)	\$11,682	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Ended E		Three Months Ended	
	February 29, 2016		February 28, 2015	
Net earnings (loss)	\$(166,769)	\$12,553	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Currency translation and other adjustments	(49,670)	(4,331)
Changes in instrument specific credit risk	(302)	—	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (1)	(49,972)	(4,331)
Comprehensive income (loss)	(216,741)	8,222	
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	44		871	
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Jefferies Group LLC	\$(216,785)	\$7,351	

(1)None of the components of other comprehensive income (loss) are attributable to noncontrolling interests. See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended February 29, 2016	Year Ended November 30, 2015	
Member's paid-in capital:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$5,526,855	\$5,439,256	
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Jefferies Group LLC	(166,813)	93,534	
Tax benefit (detriment) for issuance of share-based awards	(4,186)	(5,935)
Balance, end of period	\$5,355,856	\$5,526,855	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (1) (2):			
Balance, beginning of period	\$(44,946)	\$(14,673)
Currency adjustments	(49,749)	(27,157)
Changes in instrument specific credit risk	(302)	_	
Pension adjustment, net of tax	79	(3,116)
Balance, end of period	(94,918)	(44,946)
Total member's equity	\$5,260,938	\$5,481,909	
Noncontrolling interests:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$27,468	\$38,848	
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	44	1,795	
Distributions		(4,982)
Deconsolidation of asset management company	(26,292)	(8,193)
Balance, end of period	\$1,220	\$27,468	
Total equity	\$5,262,158	\$5,509,377	

(1) The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are attributable to Jefferies Group LLC. None of the components of other comprehensive income (loss) are attributable to noncontrolling interests.

(2) There were no material reclassifications out of Accumulated other comprehensive income during the three months ended February 29, 2016 and the year ended November 30, 2015.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

Cash flams from energing activities:	Three Months Ended February 29, 2016	Three Months Ended February 28, 20	015
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ (1((7(0	¢ 10 550	
Net earnings (loss)	\$(166,769)	\$12,553	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	(2,735	3,327	
(Income) loss on loans to and investments in related parties	23,416	(20,689)
Distributions received on investments in related parties	25,410	9,765)
Other adjustments	 8,670	(62,770)
Net change in assets and liabilities:	8,070	(02,770)
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or			
deposited	70,939	257,319	
with clearing and depository organizations	10,939	237,319	
Receivables:			
Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	(211,449)	(39,313)
Customers	13,863	(93,823)
Fees, interest and other		(14,530	Ś
Securities borrowed		285,891	,
Financial instruments owned	2,830,082	(471,101)
Investments in managed funds	1,551	19,686	,
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	298,260	179,290	
Other assets		(107,908)
Payables:	(=,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(,
Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	(1,477,131)	384,163	
Customers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1,481,640)
Securities loaned		575,750	
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased	750,826	(966,190)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(1,721,276)	654,705	ĺ.
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(247,374	(494,018)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,099,977	(1,369,533)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Contributions to loans to and investments in related parties	(141,735)	(600,844)
Distributions from loans to and investments in related parties	188,108	558,335	
Net payments on premises and equipment	(20,958)	(17,381)
Payment on purchase of aircraft	(27,500)	·	
Deconsolidation of asset management entity	(39		
Cash received from contingent consideration	466	996	
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,658	(58,894)

Continued on next page.

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – CONTINUED (UNAUDITED) (In thousands)

	Three Months Three Months		
	Ended	Ended	
	February 29, 2016	February 28, 2015	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Excess tax benefits from the issuance of share-based awards	\$162	\$245	
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	1,397,552	3,385,000	
Payments on short-term borrowings	(1,396,326)	(2,985,002)	
Proceeds from secured credit facility		611,000	
Payments on secured credit facility		(576,000)	
Net proceeds from other secured financings	134,435	254,778	
Net proceeds from issuance of structured notes, net of issuance costs	43,538	—	
Net proceeds from issuance of secured notes, net of issuance costs	22,196		
Net cash provided by financing activities	201,557	690,021	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(10,448)	(1,597)	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(910,526)	(740,003)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,510,163	4,079,968	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$2,599,637	\$3,339,965	
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid (received) during the period for:			
Interest	\$188,217	\$180,068	
Income taxes, net	(7,450)	701	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.			

JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES	
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
(Unaudited)	
Index	
Note	Page
Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation	<u>9</u>
Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>10</u>
Note 3. Accounting Developments	<u>16</u>
Note 4. Fair Value Disclosures	<u>17</u>
Note 5. Derivative Financial Instruments	<u>32</u>
Note 6. Collateralized Transactions	<u>37</u>
Note 7. Securitization Activities	<u>40</u>
Note 8. Variable Interest Entities	<u>41</u> <u>45</u>
Note 9. Investments	<u>45</u>
Note 10. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets	<u>47</u>
Note 11. Short-Term Borrowings	<u>48</u>
Note 12. Long-Term Debt	<u>49</u>
Note 13. Noncontrolling Interests	<u>50</u>
Note 14. Benefit Plans	<u>50</u>
Note 15. Compensation Plans	<u>51</u>
Note 16. Income Taxes	<u>53</u>
Note 17. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	50 51 53 53 55
Note 18. Net Capital Requirements	
Note 19. Segment Reporting	<u>56</u>
Note 20. Related Party Transactions	<u>57</u>
Note 21. Exit Costs	<u>58</u>

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Jefferies Group LLC and its subsidiaries operate as a global full service, integrated securities and investment banking firm. The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements represent the accounts of Jefferies Group LLC and all our subsidiaries (together "we" or "us"). The subsidiaries of Jefferies Group LLC include Jefferies LLC ("Jefferies"), Jefferies Execution Services, Inc. ("Jefferies Execution"), Jefferies International Limited, Jefferies Hong Kong Limited, Jefferies Financial Services, Inc., Jefferies Funding LLC, Jefferies Derivative Products, LLC, Jefferies Financial Products, LLC, and Jefferies Leveraged Credit Products, LLC and all other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest or are the primary beneficiary. On April 9, 2015, we entered into an agreement to transfer certain of the client activities of our Futures business to Société Générale S.A. and initiated a plan to substantially exit the remaining aspects of our futures business. At February 29, 2016, we have transferred all of our client accounts to Société Générale S.A. and other brokers. We substantially completed the exit of the Bache business during the third quarter of fiscal 2015. For further information on the exit of the Bache business, refer to Note 21, Exit Costs. Jefferies Group LLC is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Leucadia National Corporation ("Leucadia"). Leucadia does not guarantee any of our outstanding debt securities. Our 3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures due 2029 are convertible into Leucadia common shares (see Note 12, Long-Term Debt, for further details). Jefferies Group LLC operates as a full-service investment banking firm and as the holding company of its various regulated and unregulated operating subsidiaries, retains a credit rating separate from Leucadia and is a Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") reporting company, filing annual, quarterly and periodic financial reports. Richard Handler, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, is the Chief Executive Officer of Leucadia, as well as a Director of Leucadia. Brian P. Friedman, our Chairman of the Executive Committee, is Leucadia's President and a Director of Leucadia. We operate in two business segments, Capital Markets and Asset Management. Capital Markets, which represents substantially our entire business, includes our securities, commodities, futures and foreign exchange trading and investment banking activities, which provides the research, sales, trading, origination and advisory effort for various equity, fixed income and advisory products and services. Asset Management provides investment management services to various private investment funds and separate accounts.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2015.

We have made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The most important of these estimates and assumptions relate to fair value measurements, compensation and benefits, goodwill and intangible assets, the ability to realize deferred tax assets and the recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions. Although these and other estimates and assumptions are based on the best available information, actual results could be materially different from these estimates. Consolidation

Our policy is to consolidate all entities in which we control by ownership a majority of the outstanding voting stock. In addition, we consolidate entities which meet the definition of a variable interest entity ("VIE") for which we are the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party who has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has an obligation to absorb losses of the entity or a right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity. For consolidated entities that are less than wholly owned, the third-party's holding of equity interest is presented as Noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity. The portion of net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interests are presented as Net earnings to noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

In situations where we have significant influence, but not control, of an entity that does not qualify as a variable interest entity, we apply either the equity method of accounting or fair value accounting pursuant to the fair value option election under U.S. GAAP, with our portion of net earnings or gains and losses recorded within Other revenues or Principal transaction revenues, respectively. We also have formed nonconsolidated investment vehicles with third-party investors that are typically organized as

partnerships or limited liability companies and are carried at fair value. We act as general partner or managing member for these investment vehicles and have generally provided the third-party investors with termination or "kick-out" rights.

Intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition Policies

Commissions and Other Fees. All customer securities transactions are reported on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition on a settlement date basis with related income reported on a trade-date basis. We permit institutional customers to allocate a portion of their gross commissions to pay for research products and other services provided by third parties. The amounts allocated for those purposes are commonly referred to as soft dollar arrangements. These arrangements are accounted for on an accrual basis and, as we are not the primary obligor for these arrangements, netted against commission revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The commissions and related expenses on client transactions executed by Jefferies, a futures commission merchant ("FCM"), are recorded on a half-turn basis. In addition, we earn asset-based fees associated with the management and supervision of assets, account services and administration related to customer accounts.

Principal Transactions. Financial instruments owned and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased (all of which are recorded on a trade-date basis) are carried at fair value with gains and losses reflected in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings on a trade date basis. Fees received on loans carried at fair value are also recorded within Principal transaction revenues.

Investment Banking. Underwriting revenues and fees from mergers and acquisitions, restructuring and other investment banking advisory assignments or engagements are recorded when the services related to the underlying transactions are completed under the terms of the assignment or engagement. Expenses associated with such assignments are deferred until reimbursed by the client, the related revenue is recognized or the engagement is otherwise concluded. Expenses are recorded net of client reimbursements and netted against revenues. Unreimbursed expenses with no related revenues are included in Business development and Professional services expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

Asset Management Fees and Investment Income From Managed Funds. Asset management fees and investment income from managed funds include revenues we earn from management, administrative and performance fees from funds and accounts managed by us, revenues from management and performance fees we earn from related-party managed funds and investment income from our investments in these funds. We earn fees in connection with management and investment advisory services performed for various funds and managed accounts. These fees are based on assets under management or an agreed upon notional amount and may include performance fees based upon the performance of the funds. Management and administrative fees are generally recognized over the period that the related service is provided. Generally, performance fees are earned when the return on assets under management exceeds certain benchmark returns, "high-water marks" or other performance targets. Performance fees are accrued (or reversed) on a monthly basis based on measuring performance to date versus any relevant benchmark return hurdles stated in the investment management agreement. Performance fees are not subject to adjustment once the measurement period ends (generally annual periods) and the performance fees have been realized.

Interest Revenue and Expense. We recognize contractual interest on Financial instruments owned and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, on an accrual basis as a component of interest revenue and expense. Interest flows on derivative trading transactions and dividends are included as part of the fair valuation of these contracts and recognized in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings rather than as a component of interest revenue or expense. We account for our short- and long-term borrowings on an accrual basis with related interest recorded as Interest expense. Discounts/premiums arising on our long-term debt are accreted/amortized to

Interest expense using the effective yield method over the remaining lives of the underlying debt obligations. In addition, we recognize interest revenue related to our securities borrowed and securities purchased under agreements to resell activities and interest expense related to our securities loaned and securities sold under agreements to repurchase activities on an accrual basis.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include highly liquid investments, including certificates of deposit and money market funds, not held for resale with original maturities of three months or less.

Cash and Securities Segregated and on Deposit for Regulatory Purposes or Deposited With Clearing and Depository Organizations

In accordance with Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Jefferies as a broker-dealer carrying client accounts, is subject to requirements related to maintaining cash or qualified securities in a segregated reserve account for the exclusive benefit of its clients. In addition, certain financial instruments used for initial and variation margin purposes with clearing and depository organizations are recorded in this caption. Certain other entities are also obligated by rules mandated by their primary regulators to segregate or set aside cash or equivalent securities to satisfy regulations, promulgated to protect customer assets.

Financial Instruments and Fair Value

Financial instruments owned and Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased are recorded at fair value, either as required by accounting pronouncements or through the fair value option election. These instruments primarily represent our trading activities and include both cash and derivative products. Gains and losses are recognized in Principal transaction revenues in our Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price).

Fair Value Hierarchy

In determining fair value, we maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs reflect our assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. We apply a hierarchy to categorize our fair value measurements broken down into three levels based on the transparency of inputs as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the reported date. Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these financial instruments include cash instruments for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, derivative instruments whose fair value have
- Level 2: which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, derivative instruments whose fair value have been derived using a model where inputs to the model are directly observable in the market, or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data, and instruments that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed. Instruments that have little to no pricing observability at the reported date. These financial instruments are
- Level 3: measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

Financial instruments are valued at quoted market prices, if available. Certain financial instruments have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, the financial instrument is valued at the point within the bid-ask range that meets our best estimate of fair value. We use prices and inputs that are current at the measurement date. For financial instruments that do not have readily determinable fair values using quoted market prices, the determination of fair value is based upon consideration of available information, including types of financial instruments, current financial information, restrictions on dispositions, fair values of underlying financial instruments and quotations for similar instruments.

The valuation of financial instruments may include the use of valuation models and other techniques. Adjustments to valuations derived from valuation models may be made when, in management's judgment, features of the financial instrument such as its complexity, the market in which the financial instrument is traded and risk uncertainties about market conditions require that an adjustment be made to the value derived from the models. Adjustments from the

price derived from a valuation model reflect management's judgment that other participants in the market for the financial instrument being measured at fair value would also

consider in valuing that same financial instrument. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The availability of observable inputs can vary and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of financial instrument and market conditions. As the observability of prices and inputs may change for a financial instrument from period to period, this condition may cause a transfer of an instrument among the fair value hierarchy levels. Transfers among the levels are recognized at the beginning of each period. The degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. Valuation Process for Financial Instruments

Our Independent Price Verification ("IPV") Group, which is part of our Finance department, in partnership with Risk Management, is responsible for establishing our valuation policies and procedures. The IPV Group and Risk Management, which are independent of our business functions, play an important role and serve as a control function in determining that our financial instruments are appropriately valued and that fair value measurements are reliable. This is particularly important where prices or valuations that require inputs are less observable. In the event that observable inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to assure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable. The IPV Group reports to the Global Controller and is subject to the oversight of the IPV Committee, which is comprised of our Chief Financial Officer, Global Controller, Chief Risk Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, among other personnel. Our independent price verification policies and procedures are reviewed, at a minimum, annually and changes to the policies require the approval of the IPV Committee.

Price Testing Process. The business units are responsible for determining the fair value of our financial instruments using approved valuation models and methodologies. In order to ensure that the business unit valuations represent a fair value exit price, the IPV Group tests and validates the fair value of our financial instruments inventory. In the testing process, the IPV Group obtains prices and valuation inputs from independent sources, consistently adheres to established procedures set forth in our valuation policies for sourcing prices and valuation inputs and utilizing valuation methodologies. Sources used to validate fair value prices and inputs include, but are not limited to, exchange data, recently executed transactions, pricing data obtained from third party vendors, pricing and valuation services, broker quotes and observed comparable transactions.

To the extent discrepancies between the business unit valuations and the pricing or valuations resulting from the price testing process are identified, such discrepancies are investigated by the IPV Group and fair values are adjusted, as appropriate. The IPV Group maintains documentation of its testing, results, rationale and recommendations and prepares a monthly summary of its valuation results. This process also forms the basis for our classification of fair values within the fair value hierarchy (i.e., Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3). The IPV Group utilizes the additional expertise of Risk Management personnel in valuing more complex financial instruments and financial instruments with less or limited pricing observability. The results of the valuation testing are reported to the IPV Committee on a monthly basis, which discusses the results and is charged with the final conclusions as to the financial instrument fair values in the consolidated financial statements. This process specifically assists the Chief Financial Officer in asserting as to the fair presentation of our financial condition and results of operations as included within our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Report on Form 10-K. At each quarter end, the overall valuation results, as concluded upon by the IPV Committee, are presented to the Audit Committee.

Judgment exercised in determining Level 3 fair value measurements is supplemented by daily analysis of profit and loss performed by the Product Control functions. Gains and losses, which result from changes in fair value, are evaluated and corroborated daily based on an understanding of each of the trading desks' overall risk positions and developments in a particular market on the given day. Valuation techniques generally rely on recent transactions of suitably comparable financial instruments and use the observable inputs from those comparable transactions as a validation basis for Level 3 inputs. Level 3 fair value measurements are further validated through subsequent sales testing and market comparable sales, if such information is available. Level 3 fair value measurements require

documentation of the valuation rationale applied, which is reviewed for consistency in application from period to period; and the documentation includes benchmarking the assumptions underlying the valuation rationale against relevant analytic data.

Third Party Pricing Information. Pricing information obtained from external data providers (including independent pricing services and brokers) may incorporate a range of market quotes from dealers, recent market transactions and benchmarking model derived prices to quoted market prices and trade data for comparable securities. External pricing data is subject to evaluation for reasonableness by the IPV Group using a variety of means including comparisons of prices to those of similar product types,

quality and maturities, consideration of the narrowness or wideness of the range of prices obtained, knowledge of recent market transactions and an assessment of the similarity in prices to comparable dealer offerings in a recent time period. We have a process whereby we challenge the appropriateness of pricing information obtained from external data providers (including independent pricing services and brokers) in order to validate the data for consistency with the definition of a fair value exit price. Our process includes understanding and evaluating the external data providers' valuation methodologies. For corporate, U.S. government and agency and municipal debt securities, and loans, to the extent independent pricing services or broker quotes are utilized in our valuation process, the vendor service providers are collecting and aggregating observable market information as to recent trade activity and active bid-ask submissions. The composite pricing information received from the independent pricing service is thus not based on unobservable inputs or proprietary models. For mortgage- and other asset-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations, our independent pricing services use a matrix evaluation approach incorporating both observable yield curves and market yields on comparable securities as well as implied inputs from observed trades for comparable securities in order to determine prepayment speeds, cumulative default rates and loss severity. Further, we consider pricing data from multiple service providers as available as well as compare pricing data to prices we have observed for recent transactions, if any, in order to corroborate our valuation inputs.

Model Review Process. Where a pricing model is to be used to determine fair value, the pricing model is reviewed for theoretical soundness and appropriateness by Risk Management, independent from the trading desks, and then approved by Risk Management to be used in the valuation process. Review and approval of a model for use may include benchmarking the model against relevant third party valuations, testing sample trades in the model, backtesting the results of the model against actual trades and stress-testing the sensitivity of the pricing model using varying inputs and assumptions. In addition, recently executed comparable transactions and other observable market data are considered for purposes of validating assumptions underlying the model. Models are independently reviewed and validated by Risk Management annually or more frequently if market conditions or use of the valuation model changes.

Investments in Managed Funds

Investments in managed funds include our investments in funds managed by us and our investments in related-party managed funds in which we are entitled to a portion of the management and/or performance fees. Investments in nonconsolidated managed funds are accounted for at fair value based on the net asset value ("NAV") of the funds provided by the fund managers with gains or losses included in Asset management fees and investment income (loss) from managed funds in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

Loans to and Investments in Related Parties

Loans to and investments in related parties include investments in private equity and other operating entities made in connection with our capital markets activities in which we exercise significant influence over operating and capital decisions and loans issued in connection with such activities. Loans to and investments in related parties are accounted for using the equity method or at cost, as appropriate. Revenues on Loans to and investments in related parties are included in Other revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. See Note 9, Investments, and Note 20, Related Party Transactions, for additional information regarding certain of these investments. Securities Borrowed and Securities Loaned

Securities borrowed and securities loaned are carried at the amounts of cash collateral advanced and received in connection with the transactions and accounted for as collateralized financing transactions. In connection with both trading and brokerage activities, we borrow securities to cover short sales and to complete transactions in which customers have failed to deliver securities by the required settlement date, and lend securities to other brokers and dealers for similar purposes. We have an active securities borrowed and lending matched book business in which we borrow securities from one party and lend them to another party. When we borrow securities, we generally provide cash to the lender as collateral, which is reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as Securities

borrowed. We earn interest revenues on this cash collateral. Similarly, when we lend securities to another party, that party provides cash to us as collateral, which is reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as Securities loaned. We pay interest expense on the cash collateral received from the party borrowing the securities. The initial collateral advanced or received approximates or is greater than the fair value of the securities borrowed or loaned. We monitor the fair value of the securities borrowed and loaned on a daily basis and request additional collateral or return excess collateral, as appropriate.

Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (collectively "repos") are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at their contracted resale or repurchase amount plus accrued interest. We earn and incur interest over the term of the repo, which is reflected in Interest income and Interest expense on our Consolidated Statements of Earnings on an accrual basis. Repos are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition on a net-basis by counterparty, where permitted by U.S. GAAP. We monitor the fair value of the underlying securities daily versus the related receivable or payable balances. Should the fair value of the underlying securities decline or increase, additional collateral is requested or excess collateral is returned, as appropriate.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets (generally three to ten years). Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the related leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets, whichever is shorter. Premises and equipment includes internally developed software. The carrying values of internally developed software ready for its intended use are depreciated over the remaining useful life.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess acquisition cost over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized and is subject to annual impairment testing on August 1 or between annual tests if an event or change in circumstance occurs that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. In testing for goodwill impairment, we have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, we conclude that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is not required. If we conclude otherwise, we are required to perform the two-step impairment test. The goodwill impairment test is performed at the reporting unit level by comparing the estimated fair value of a reporting unit with its respective carrying value. If the estimated fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not impaired. If the estimated fair value is less than carrying value, further analysis is necessary to determine the amount of impairment, if any, by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill. The fair value of reporting units are based on widely accepted valuation techniques that we believe market participants would use, although the valuation process requires significant judgment and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. The methodologies we utilize in estimating the fair value of reporting units include market valuation methods that incorporate price-to-earnings and price-to-book multiples of comparable exchange traded companies and multiples of merger and acquisitions of similar businesses. The estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value could have a significant effect on whether or not an impairment charge is recorded and the magnitude of such a charge. Adverse market or economic events could result in impairment charges in future periods. Intangible Assets. Intangible assets deemed to have finite lives are amortized on a straight line basis over their estimated useful lives, where the useful life is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly, or indirectly, to our future cash flows. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment on an interim basis when certain events or circumstances exist. For amortizable intangible assets, impairment exists when the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value. At least annually, the remaining useful life is evaluated. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is not amortized but assessed for impairment annually, or more frequently, when events or changes in circumstances occur indicating that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived asset is impaired. Impairment exists when the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. In testing for impairment, we have the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than

not that an impairment exists. If it is determined that it is not more likely than not that an impairment exists, a quantitative impairment test is not necessary. If we conclude otherwise, we are required to perform a quantitative impairment test. Our annual indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment testing date is August 1. To the extent an impairment loss is recognized, the loss establishes the new cost basis of the asset that is amortized over the remaining useful life of that asset, if any. Subsequent reversal of impairment losses is not permitted. Refer to Note 10, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, for further information.

Income Taxes

Our results of operations are included in the consolidated federal and applicable state income tax returns filed by Leucadia. In states that neither accept nor require combined or unitary tax returns, certain subsidiaries file separate state income tax returns. We also are subject to income tax in various foreign jurisdictions in which we operate. We account for our provision for income taxes using a "separate return" method. Amounts provided for income taxes are based on income reported for financial statement purposes and do not necessarily represent amounts currently payable. Pursuant to a tax sharing agreement entered into between us and Leucadia, payments are made between us and Leucadia to settle current tax assets and liabilities.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for tax loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Under acquisition accounting, the recognition of certain assets and liabilities at fair value created a change in the financial reporting basis for our assets and liabilities, while the tax basis of our assets and liabilities remained the same. As a result, deferred tax assets and liabilities were recognized for the change in the basis differences. We provide deferred taxes on our temporary differences and on any carryforwards that we could claim on our hypothetical tax return. The realization of deferred tax assets is assessed and a valuation allowance is recorded to the extent that it is more likely than not that any portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized on the basis of its projected separate return results.

The tax benefit related to share-based awards are recognized as an increase to Additional paid-in capital. These amounts, and other windfall tax benefits/(detriments), are included in "Tax benefit/(detriment) for issuance of share-based awards" on the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity. In the event tax deductions associated with share-based awards are less than the cumulative compensation cost recognized for financial reporting purposes, we look to Leucadia's consolidated pool of windfall tax benefits in the calculation of our income tax provision. At February 29, 2016, the consolidated pool of windfall tax benefits had been exhausted. As a result, these tax detriments are now recognized in our Consolidated Statement of Earnings until such time the Leucadia consolidated cumulative compensation cost recognized for financial reporting purposes. We record uncertain tax positions using a two-step process: (i) we determine whether it is more likely than not that each tax position will be sustained on the basis of the technical merits of the position; and (ii) for those tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, we recognize the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the related tax authority. Legal Reserves

In the normal course of business, we have been named, from time to time, as a defendant in legal and regulatory proceedings. We are also involved, from time to time, in other exams, investigations and similar reviews (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding our businesses, certain of which may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties or other injunctions.

We recognize a liability for a contingency in Accrued expenses and other liabilities when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a probable loss is a range, we accrue the most likely amount of such loss, and if such amount is not determinable, then we accrue the minimum in the range as the loss accrual. The determination of the outcome and loss estimates requires significant judgment on the part of management. We believe that any other matters for which we have determined a loss to be probable and reasonably estimable are not material to the consolidated financial statements.

In many instances, it is not possible to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible or to estimate the amount of any loss or the size of any range of loss. We believe that, in the aggregate, the pending legal actions or regulatory proceedings and any other exams, investigations or similar reviews (both formal and informal) should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. In addition, we believe that any amount that could be reasonably estimated of potential loss or range of potential loss in excess of what has been provided in the consolidated financial statements is not material.

Share-based Compensation

Share-based awards are measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award and recognized over the period from the service inception date through the date the employee is no longer required to provide service to earn the award. Expected forfeitures are included in determining share-based compensation expense.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries having non-U.S. dollar functional currencies are translated at exchange rates at the end of a period. Revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the period. The gains or losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars, net of hedging gains or losses and taxes, if any, are included in Other comprehensive income. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Securitization Activities

We engage in securitization activities related to corporate loans, consumer loans, commercial mortgage loans and mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities. Such transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when we have relinquished control over the transferred assets. The gain or loss on sale of such financial assets depends, in part, on the previous carrying amount of the assets involved in the transfer allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests, if any, based upon their respective fair values at the date of sale. We may retain interests in the securitized financial assets as one or more tranches of the securitization. These retained interests are included within Financial instruments owned in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. Any changes in the fair value of such retained interests are recognized within Principal transactions revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

When a transfer of assets does not meet the criteria of a sale, we account for the transfer as a secured borrowing and continue to recognize the assets of a secured borrowing in Financial instruments owned and recognize the associated financing in Other secured financings in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Note 3. Accounting Developments

Accounting Standards to be Adopted in Future Periods

Employee Share-Based Payments. In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU No. 2016-09"). The guidance simplifies various aspects related to how share-based payments are accounted for and presented in the consolidated financial statements. The amendments include income tax consequences, the accounting for forfeitures, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the statement of cash flows. The guidance is effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2018 and early adoption is permitted if all amendments are adopted in the same period. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

Leases. In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases ("ASU No. 2016-02"). The guidance affects the accounting for leases and provides for a lessee model that brings substantially all leases onto the balance sheet. The guidance is effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2019 and early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

Financial Instruments. In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. The guidance affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option and the presentation and disclosure requirements of

financial instruments. The guidance is effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance related to equity investments and the presentation and disclosure requirements of financial instruments on our consolidated financial statements. Early adoption is permitted for the accounting guidance on financial liabilities under the fair value option and we have early adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2016. This guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU No. 2014-09") and in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Deferral of Effective

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

Date. The accounting guidance defines how companies report revenues from contracts with customers, and also requires enhanced disclosures. We intend to adopt the new guidance on December 1, 2017 and are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

Adopted Accounting Standards

Debt Issuance Costs. In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ASU No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. The accounting guidance requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be reported in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. The guidance is effective retrospectively and we have adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2016. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Consolidation. In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. The amendment eliminates the deferral of certain consolidation standards for entities considered to be investment companies and modifies the consolidation analysis performed on certain types of legal entities. The guidance is effective beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2017 and we have adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2016 using a modified retrospective approach. The adoption of this accounting guidance resulted in the deconsolidation of an asset management vehicle, which resulted in the following adjustment to the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition on December 1, 2015: a decrease of \$27.0 million in Investments in managed funds, a decrease of \$0.7 million in Accrued expense and other liabilities and a decrease of \$26.3 million in Noncontrolling interests. For further information on the adoption of ASU No. 2015-02, refer to Note 8, Variable Interest Entities.

Note 4. Fair Value Disclosures

The following is a summary of our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding Investments at fair value based on NAV of \$32.8 million and \$36.7 million at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively, by level within the fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

February 29 2016

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

	February 29,	2016			
	Level 1(1)	Level 2(1)	Level 3	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting (2)	l Total
Assets:					
Financial instruments owned:	¢ 1 700 000	¢140.160	¢ 20 5 40	¢	¢ 1 070 020
Corporate equity securities	\$1,708,230	\$140,168 2,516,020	\$30,540	\$ —	\$1,878,938 2,542,562
Corporate debt securities		2,516,929	25,634		2,542,563
Collateralized debt obligations U.S. government and federal agency		61,290	67,348		128,638
securities	1,018,909	310,273	—	—	1,329,182
Municipal securities		609,169			609,169
Sovereign obligations	1,490,358	799,541	119	_	2,290,018
Residential mortgage-backed securities		2,243,865	68,019	—	2,311,884
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		816,047	21,994		838,041
Other asset-backed securities		263,877	33,124		297,001
Loans and other receivables		820,132	155,442	—	975,574
Derivatives	754	6,159,142	22,975	(5,850,803)	332,068
Investments at fair value		54	63,582		63,636
Total financial instruments owned,					
excluding	\$4,218,251	\$14,740,487	\$488,777	\$ (5,850,803)	\$13,596,712
Investments at fair value based on NAV					** ***
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,599,637	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$2,599,637
Cash and securities segregated and on					
deposit for regulatory purposes (3)	\$679,812	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$679,812
Liabilities:					
Financial instruments sold, not yet					
purchased:					
Corporate equity securities	\$1,593,560	\$75,166	\$38	\$ —	\$1,668,764
Corporate debt securities	_	1,877,856		_	1,877,856
U.S. government and federal agency	1,299,982	_		_	1,299,982
securities		7 10,000			
Sovereign obligations	1,131,337	710,989			1,842,326
Residential mortgage-backed securities		20,585			20,585
Loans		432,782	7,744	(5.070.100)	440,526
Derivatives	186	6,170,891	34,732	(5,879,190)	326,619
Total financial instruments sold, not yet purchased	\$4,025,065	\$9,288,269	\$42,514	\$ (5,879,190)	\$7,476,658
Other secured financings	\$—	\$—	\$538	\$ —	\$538
Long-term debt	\$—	\$37,118	\$—	\$ —	\$37,118

(1) There were no material transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for the three months ended February 29, 2016.

(2) Represents counterparty and cash collateral netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy for positions with the same counterparty.

(3) Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations includes U.S. treasury securities with a fair value of \$99.9 million.

November 30, 2015

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

	November 3	0, 2015			
A	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (1)	Level 3	Counterparty an Cash Collateral Netting (2)	d Total
Assets:					
Financial instruments owned:	¢ 1 052 251	¢ 122 722	¢ 40.006	¢	¢ 2 027 020
Corporate equity securities	\$1,853,351	\$133,732 2,867,165	\$40,906 25,876	\$ —	\$2,027,989 2,893,041
Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations		2,807,105 89,144	25,870 85,092		174,236
U.S. government and federal agency			05,092		,
securities	2,555,018	90,633			2,645,651
Municipal securities		487,141			487,141
Sovereign obligations	1,251,366	1,407,955	120		2,659,441
Residential mortgage-backed securities		2,731,070	70,263		2,801,333
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		1,014,913	14,326		1,029,239
Other asset-backed securities		118,629	42,925		161,554
Loans and other receivables		1,123,044	189,289		1,312,333
Derivatives	1,037	4,395,704	19,785	(4,165,446)	251,080
Investments at fair value		26,224	53,120		79,344
Physical commodities					
Total financial instruments owned,					
excluding	\$5,660,772	\$14,485,354	\$541,702	\$ (4,165,446)	\$16,522,382
Investments at fair value based on NAV		A	.	.	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,510,163	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$3,510,163
Cash and securities segregated and on	¢751.004	¢	¢	¢	ф 751 004
deposit for	\$751,084	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$751,084
regulatory purposes Liabilities:					
Financial instruments sold, not yet					
purchased:					
Corporate equity securities	\$1,382,377	\$36,518	\$38	\$ —	\$1,418,933
Corporate debt securities		1,556,941		↓ 	1,556,941
Collateralized debt obligations					
U.S. government and federal agency	1 400 101				1 400 101
securities	1,488,121				1,488,121
Sovereign obligations	837,614	505,382			1,342,996
Residential mortgage-backed securities		117			117
Loans		758,939	10,469		769,408
Derivatives	364	4,446,639	19,543	(4,257,998)	208,548
Total financial instruments sold, not yet purchased	\$3,708,476	\$7,304,536	\$30,050	\$ (4,257,998)	\$6,785,064
Other secured financings	\$—	\$—	\$544	\$ —	\$544

(1) There were no material transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for the year ended November 30, 2015.

(2) Represents counterparty and cash collateral netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy for positions with the same counterparty.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

The following is a description of the valuation basis, including valuation techniques and inputs, used in measuring our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis: Corporate Equity Securities

Exchange Traded Equity Securities: Exchange-traded equity securities are measured based on quoted closing exchange prices, which are generally obtained from external pricing services, and are categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, otherwise they are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Non-exchange Traded Equity Securities: Non-exchange traded equity securities are measured primarily using broker quotations, pricing data from external pricing services and prices observed for recently executed market transactions and are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Where such information is not available, non-exchange traded equity securities are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and measured using valuation techniques involving quoted prices of or market data for comparable companies, similar company ratios and multiples (e.g., price/EBITDA, price/book value), discounted cash flow analyses and transaction prices observed for subsequent financing or capital issuance by the company. When using pricing data of comparable companies, judgment must be applied to adjust the pricing data to account for differences between the measured security and the comparable security (e.g., issuer market capitalization, yield, dividend rate, geographical concentration). Equity warrants: Non-exchange traded equity warrants are generally categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and are measured using the Black-Scholes model with key inputs impacting the valuation including the underlying security price, implied volatility, dividend yield, interest rate curve, strike price and maturity date. **Corporate Debt Securities**

Corporate Bonds: Corporate bonds are measured primarily using pricing data from external pricing services and broker quotations, where available, prices observed for recently executed market transactions and bond spreads or credit default swap spreads of the issuer adjusted for basis differences between the swap curve and the bond curve. Corporate bonds measured using these valuation methods are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. If broker quotes, pricing data or spread data is not available, alternative valuation techniques are used including cash flow models incorporating interest rate curves, single name or index credit default swap curves for comparable issuers and recovery rate assumptions. Corporate bonds measured using alternative valuation techniques are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and comprise a limited portion of our corporate bonds. High Yield Corporate and Convertible Bonds: A significant portion of our high yield corporate and convertible bonds are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and are measured primarily using broker quotations and pricing data from external pricing services, where available, and prices observed for recently executed market transactions of comparable size. Where pricing data is less observable, valuations are categorized within Level 3 and are based on pending transactions involving the issuer or comparable issuers, prices implied from an issuer's subsequent financings or recapitalizations, models incorporating financial ratios and projected cash flows of the issuer and market prices for comparable issuers.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Collateralized debt obligations are measured based on prices observed for recently executed market transactions of the same or similar security or based on valuations received from third party brokers or data providers and are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy depending on the observability and significance of the pricing inputs. Valuation that is based on recently executed market transactions of similar securities incorporates additional review and analysis of pricing inputs and comparability criteria including but not limited to collateral type, tranche type, rating, origination year, prepayment rates, default rates, and severities.

U.S. Government and Federal Agency Securities

U.S. Treasury Securities: U.S. Treasury securities are measured based on quoted market prices and categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

U.S. Agency Issued Debt Securities: Callable and non-callable U.S. agency issued debt securities are measured primarily based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services and are generally categorized within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Municipal Securities

Municipal securities are measured based on quoted prices obtained from external pricing services and are generally categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Sovereign Obligations

Foreign sovereign government obligations are measured based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services, where available, or recently executed independent transactions of comparable size. To the extent external price quotations are not available or recent transactions have not been observed, valuation techniques incorporating interest rate yield curves and country spreads for bonds of similar issuers, seniority and maturity are used to determine fair value of sovereign bonds or obligations. Foreign sovereign government obligations are classified in Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the country of issuance.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities

Agency Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities: Agency residential mortgage-backed securities include mortgage pass-through securities (fixed and adjustable rate), collateralized mortgage obligations and interest-only and principal-only securities and are generally measured using market price quotations from external pricing services and categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Agency Residential Interest-Only and Inverse Interest-Only Securities ("Agency Inverse IOs"): The fair value of agency inverse IOs is estimated using expected future cash flow techniques that incorporate prepayment models and other prepayment assumptions to amortize the underlying mortgage loan collateral. We use prices observed for recently executed transactions to develop market-clearing spread and yield curve assumptions. Valuation inputs with regard to the underlying collateral incorporate weighted average coupon, loan-to-value, credit scores, geographic location, maximum and average loan size, originator, servicer, and weighted average loan age. Agency inverse IOs are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. We also use vendor data in developing our assumptions, as appropriate.

Non-Agency Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities: Fair values are determined primarily using discounted cash flow methodologies and securities are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy based on the observability and significance of the pricing inputs used. Performance attributes of the underlying mortgage loans are evaluated to estimate pricing inputs, such as prepayment rates, default rates and the severity of credit losses. Attributes of the underlying mortgage loans that affect the pricing inputs include, but are not limited to, weighted average coupon; average and maximum loan size; loan-to-value; credit scores; documentation type; geographic location; weighted average loan age; originator; servicer; historical prepayment, default and loss severity experience of the mortgage loan pool; and delinquency rate. Yield curves used in the discounted cash flow models are based on observed market prices for comparable securities and published interest rate data to estimate market yields.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

Agency Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities: Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") project loans are measured based on inputs corroborated from and benchmarked to observed prices of recent securitization transactions of similar securities with adjustments incorporating an evaluation for various factors, including prepayment speeds, default rates, and cash flow structures as well as the likelihood of pricing levels in the current market environment. Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") Delegated Underwriting and Servicing ("DUS") mortgage-backed securities are generally measured by using prices observed for recently executed market transactions to estimate market-clearing spread levels for purposes of estimating fair value. GNMA project loan bonds and FNMA DUS mortgage-backed securities are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Non-Agency Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities: Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities are measured using pricing data obtained from external pricing services and prices observed for recently executed market

transactions and are categorized within Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

Other Asset-Backed Securities

Other asset-backed securities include, but are not limited to, securities backed by auto loans, credit card receivables, student loans and other consumer loans and are categorized within Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Valuations are primarily determined using pricing data obtained from external pricing services and broker quotes and prices observed for recently executed market transactions. Loans and Other Receivables

Corporate Loans: Corporate loans categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are measured based on market price quotations where market price quotations from external pricing services are supported by market transaction data. Corporate loans categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are measured based on market price quotations that are considered to be less transparent, market prices for debt securities of the same creditor, and estimates of future cash flow incorporating assumptions regarding creditor default and recovery rates and consideration of the issuer's capital structure.

Participation Certificates in Agency Residential Loans: Valuations of participation certificates in agency residential loans are based on observed market prices of recently executed purchases and sales of similar loans. The loan participation certificates are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy given the observability and volume of recently executed transactions and availability of data provider pricing.

- Project Loans and Participation Certificates in GNMA Project and Construction Loans: Valuations of participation certificates in GNMA project and construction loans are based on inputs corroborated from and benchmarked to observed prices of recent securitizations of assets with similar underlying loan collateral to
- derive an implied spread. Securitization prices are adjusted to estimate the fair value of the loans incorporating an evaluation for various factors, including prepayment speeds, default rates, and cash flow structures as well as the likelihood of pricing levels in the current market environment. The measurements are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy given the observability and volume of recently executed transactions.

Consumer Loans and Funding Facilities: Consumer and small business whole loans and related funding facilities are valued based on observed market transactions incorporating additional valuation inputs including, but not limited to, delinquency and default rates, prepayment rates, borrower characteristics, loan risk grades and loan age. These assets are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Escrow and Trade Claim Receivables: Escrow and trade claim receivables are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy where fair value is estimated based on reference to market prices and implied yields of debt securities of the same or similar issuers. Escrow and trade claim receivables are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy where fair value is based on recent trade activity in the same security. Derivatives

Listed Derivative Contracts: Listed derivative contracts that are actively traded are measured based on quoted exchange prices, which are generally obtained from external pricing services, and are categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Listed derivatives for which there is limited trading activity are measured based on incorporating the closing auction price of the underlying equity security, use similar valuation approaches as those applied to over-the-counter derivative contracts and are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. OTC Derivative Contracts: Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative contracts are generally valued using models, whose inputs reflect assumptions that we believe market participants would use in valuing the derivative in a current period transaction. Inputs to valuation models are appropriately calibrated to market data. For many OTC derivative contracts, the valuation models do not involve material subjectivity as the methodologies do not entail significant judgment and the inputs to valuation models do not involve a high degree of subjectivity as the valuation model inputs are readily observable or can be derived from actively quoted markets. OTC derivative contracts are primarily

categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy given the observability and significance of the inputs to the valuation models. Where significant inputs to the valuation are unobservable, derivative instruments are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

OTC options include OTC equity, foreign exchange, interest rate and commodity options measured using various valuation models, such as the Black-Scholes, with key inputs impacting the valuation including the underlying security, foreign

exchange spot rate or commodity price, implied volatility, dividend yield, interest rate curve, strike price and maturity date. Discounted cash flow models are utilized to measure certain OTC derivative contracts including the valuations of our interest rate swaps, which incorporate observable inputs related to interest rate curves, valuations of our foreign exchange forwards and swaps, which incorporate observable inputs related to foreign currency spot rates and forward curves and valuations of our commodity swaps and forwards, which incorporate observable inputs related to commodity spot prices and forward curves. Credit default swaps include both index and single-name credit default swaps. External prices are available as inputs in measuring index credit default swaps and single-name credit default swaps. For commodity and equity total return swaps, market prices are observable for the underlying asset and used as the basis for measuring the fair value of the derivative contracts. Total return swaps executed on other underlyings are measured based on valuations received from external pricing services.

Investments at Fair Value and Investments in Managed Funds

Investments at fair value based on NAV and Investments in Managed Funds include investments in hedge funds, fund of funds, private equity funds, convertible bond funds and commodity funds, which are measured at the net asset value of the funds provided by the fund managers and are excluded from the fair value hierarchy. Investments at fair value also include direct equity investments in private companies, which are measured at fair value using valuation techniques involving quoted prices of or market data for comparable companies, similar company ratios and multiples (e.g., price/EBITDA, price/book value), discounted cash flow analyses and transaction prices observed for subsequent financing or capital issuance by the company. Direct equity investments in private companies are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Additionally, investments at fair value include investments in insurance contracts relating to our defined benefit plan in Germany. Fair value for the insurance contracts is determined using a third party and is categorized within Level 3 of the fair value 3 of the fair value 3 of the fair value 4 or the fair value hierarchy.

The following tables present information about our investments in entities that have the characteristics of an investment company (in thousands):

	February 29, 2016	5	
	Fair Value (1)	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency (if currently eligible)
Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds (2)	\$48,951	\$—	Monthly, Quarterly
Fixed Income and High Yield Hedge Funds (3)	1,321		—
Fund of Funds (4)	279		—
Equity Funds (5)	39,496	20,512	
Total	\$90,047	\$20,512	
	November 30, 20	15	
	Fair Value (1)	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency (if currently eligible)
Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds (2)	,	Unfunded	· · · ·
Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds (2) Fixed Income and High Yield Hedge Funds (3)	Fair Value (1)	Unfunded Commitments	(if currently eligible)
	Fair Value (1) \$78,083	Unfunded Commitments	(if currently eligible)
Fixed Income and High Yield Hedge Funds (3)	Fair Value (1) \$78,083 1,703	Unfunded Commitments \$—	(if currently eligible)
Fixed Income and High Yield Hedge Funds (3) Fund of Funds (4)	Fair Value (1) \$78,083 1,703 287	Unfunded Commitments \$ 94	(if currently eligible)
Fixed Income and High Yield Hedge Funds (3) Fund of Funds (4) Equity Funds (5)	Fair Value (1) \$78,083 1,703 287 42,111	Unfunded Commitments \$ 94	(if currently eligible) Monthly, Quarterly — —

(1) Where fair value is calculated based on NAV, fair value has been derived from each of the funds' capital statements.

(2)

This category includes investments in hedge funds that invest, long and short, in primarily equity securities in domestic and international markets in both the public and private sectors. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, investments representing approximately 100% and 100%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category are redeemable with 30-90 days prior written notice.

Includes investments in funds that invest in loans secured by a first trust deed on property, domestic and international public high yield debt, private high yield investments, senior bank loans, public leveraged equities

(3) international public high yield debt, private high yield investments, senior bank loans, public leveraged equities, distressed debt, and private equity investments. There are no redemption provisions. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, the

underlying assets of 7% and 8%, respectively, of these funds are being liquidated and we are unable to estimate when the underlying assets will be fully liquidated.

Includes investments in fund of funds that invest in various private equity funds. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, approximately 69% and 95%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category

(4) are managed by us and have no redemption provisions, instead distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying assets of the fund of funds, which are estimated to start liquidating in the next nine months. For the remaining investments, we have requested redemption; however, we are unable to estimate when these funds will be received.

At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, approximately 99% and 100%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category include investments in equity funds that invest in the equity of various U.S. and

(5) foreign private companies in the energy, technology, internet service and telecommunication service industries. These investments cannot be redeemed, instead distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying assets of the funds which are expected to liquidate in one to eight years.

This category represents an investment in the Jefferies Umbrella Fund, an open-ended investment company (6) managed by us that invests primarily in convertible bonds. The remaining investments were in liquidation at

⁽⁰⁾November 30, 2015 and the underlying assets were fully liquidated during the three months ended February 29, 2016.

Other Secured Financings

Other secured financings that are accounted for at fair value include notes issued by consolidated VIEs, which are classified as Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. Fair value is based on recent transaction prices for similar assets.

Long-term Debt-Structured Notes

Long-term debt includes variable rate and fixed to floating rate structured notes that contain payment terms and redemption values based on the performance of certain interest rate indices and are generally measured using valuation models for the derivative and debt portions of the notes. These models incorporate market price quotations from external pricing sources referencing the appropriate interest rate curves and are generally categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The impact of the Company's own credit spreads is also included based on observed secondary bond market spreads and asset-swap spreads.

Long-term Debt -Embedded Conversion Option

The embedded conversion option presented within long-term debt represents the fair value of the conversion option on Leucadia shares within our 3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures, due November 1, 2029 and categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. The conversion option was valued using a convertible bond model using as inputs the price of Leucadia's common stock, the conversion strike price, 252-day historical volatility, a maturity date of November 1, 2017 (the first put date), dividend yield and the risk-free interest rate curve.

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that have been categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the three months ended February 29, 2016 (in thousands):

	Three Mo	nths Endec	1 F	ebruary 29	, 2016						<u>.</u>	
	Balance at November 30, 2015	losses	ano	dPurchases	Sales	Settlem	er	ntssuanc	Net transfers einto/ (out of) Level 3	Balance at February 29, 2016	Change in unrealized g (losses) relating to instrumen still held at February 29, 2016 (1)	ıts
Assets: Financial instruments owned:											2010 (1)	
Corporate equity securities	\$40,906	\$ 3,071		\$ 2,087	\$—	\$—		\$—	\$(15,524)	\$30,540	\$ 3,560	
Corporate debt securities	25,876	(2,602)	15,337	(15,12)9	(111)		2,263	25,634	(2,540)
Collateralized debt obligations	85,092	(16,573)	1,021	(20,17)8	(463)		18,449	67,348	(17,003)
Sovereign obligations	120	(1)	_		_		—	_	119	(1)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	170,263	(4,548)	62,844	(64,92)6	(114)	_	4,500	68,019	(3,358)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	114,326	(971)	2,962	_	(878)		6,555	21,994	(1,387)
Other asset-backed securities	42,925	1,662		15,425	(2,100)	(1)	_	(24,787)	33,124	1,679	
Loans and other receivables	189,289	(5,772)	181,264	(114,6)6	7(95,354)		682	155,442	(9,113)
Investments at fair value Liabilities:	53,120	(16,515)	1,187	—	(273)		26,063	63,582	(16,515)
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased:												
Corporate equity securities	\$38	\$—		\$—	\$—	\$—		\$—	\$—	\$38	\$ —	
Net derivatives (2) Loans	(242) 10,469	10,304 (345)	(2,240)		2,558 (1,077)	554 —		11,757 7,744	(8,135 345)
Other secured financings	544	(6)				,	_		538		
5					.					a 11.4	1.9	

(1) Realized and unrealized gains/losses are reported in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

(2) Net derivatives represent Financial instruments owned—Derivatives and Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased —Derivatives.

Analysis of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for the Three Months Ended February 29, 2016

During the three months ended February 29, 2016, transfers of assets of \$119.0 million from Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are primarily attributed to:

Collateralized debt obligations of \$39.5 million and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$20.4 million, for which no recent trade activity was observed for purposes of determining observable inputs; Investments at fair value of \$26.1 million due to a lack of observable market transactions.

During the three months ended February 29, 2016, transfers of assets of \$100.8 million from Level 3 to Level 2 are primarily attributed to:

Other asset-backed securities of \$28.8 million and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$15.9 million for which market trades were observed in the period for either identical or similar securities;

Collateralized debt obligations of \$21.0 million due to a greater number of contributors for certain vendor quotes supporting classification into Level 2;

Corporate equity securities of \$19.2 million due to an increase in observable market transactions.

Net losses on Level 3 assets were \$42.2 million and net losses on Level 3 liabilities were \$10.0 million for the three months ended February 29, 2016. Net losses on Level 3 assets were primarily due to decreased valuations of collateralized debt obligations, investments at fair value, loans and other receivables, residential mortgage-backed securities and corporate debt securities, partially offset by an increase in valuation of corporate equity securities and other asset-backed securities. Net losses on Level 3 liabilities were primarily due to increased valuations of certain derivative instruments.

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that have been categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the three months ended February 28, 2015 (in thousands):

A soata:		
Assets:		
Financial instruments owned:		
Corporate equity securities \$20,964 \$63 \$- \$(168) \$- \$- \$(2,649) \$18,210 \$	\$ 243	
Corporate debt securities 22,766 (311) 469 (533) — 2,404 24,795 43	43	
Collateralized debt obligations 124,650 (17,642) — (13,519) (1,296) — 4,644 96,837 (1	(17,506)	
Sovereign obligations — 13 — (1) — — 321 333 12	12	
Residential mortgage-backed 82,557 (2,863) 2,100 (1,375) (23) — (443) 79,953 78 securities	783	
Commercial mortgage-backed 26,655 (531) — (382) (6,864) — 5,751 24,629 (1 securities	(1,369)	
Other asset-backed 2,294 (167) 26 (1) — 4,994 7,146 (1	(167)	
Loans and other 97,258 (5,033) 40,019 (16,122) (15,448) — 10,736 111,410 (3	(3,262)	

Three Months Ended February 28, 2015

receivables												
Investments, at fair	53,224	1,256		5,010	(184)	(277)		69,203	128,232	1,262	
value												
Liabilities:												
Financial												
instruments sold,												
not yet purchased	•											
Corporate equity	\$38	\$ —		\$ —	\$ —	\$ —		\$ —	¢	\$38	\$ —	
securities	φ 3 δ	ф —		φ—	φ—	φ —		ф —	Ф —	\$ 3 0	φ —	
Corporate debt	223	(115	`	(6,683)	6 608				(123)			
securities	223	(115)	(0,085)	0,098				(123)			
Net derivatives (2)	(4,638)	6,938				(58)	1,072		3,314	(8,771)
Loans	14,450	(39)	(2,877)	825				(3,032)	9,327	39	
Other secured	30,825					(2,218	`	36,995		65,602		
financings	50,825					(2,210)	50,995		05,002	—	
Embedded												
conversion	693	132								825	(132)
option												
-												
26												

(1) Realized and unrealized gains/losses are reported in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

(2) Net derivatives represent Financial instruments owned—Derivatives and Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased —Derivatives.

Analysis of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for the Three Months Ended February 28, 2015

During the three months ended February 28, 2015, transfers of assets of \$205.2 million from Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are primarily attributed to:

Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$35.3 million and commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$10.3 million for which no recent trade activity was observed for purposes of determining observable inputs;

Loans and other receivables of \$16.4 million due to a lower number of contributors comprising vendor quotes to support classification within Level 2;

Collateralized debt obligations of \$64.6 million which have little to no transparency related to trade activity; Investments at fair value of \$69.2 million due to a lack of observable market transactions.

During the three months ended February 28, 2015, transfers of assets of \$110.2 million from Level 3 to Level 2 are primarily attributed to:

Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$35.7 million for which market trades were observed in the period for either identical or similar securities;

Collateralized debt obligations of \$59.9 million due to a greater number of contributors for certain vendor quotes supporting classification into Level 2;

Corporate equity securities of \$4.4 million due to an increase in observable market transactions.

Net losses on Level 3 assets were \$25.2 million and net losses on Level 3 liabilities were \$6.9 million for the three months ended February 28, 2015. Net losses on Level 3 assets were primarily due to decreased valuations of certain collateralized debt obligations, loans and other receivables, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and corporate debt securities, partially offset by an increase in valuation of certain investments at fair value. Net losses on Level 3 liabilities were primarily due to increased valuations of certain derivative instruments.

Quantitative Information about Significant Unobservable Inputs used in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015

The tables below present information on the valuation techniques, significant unobservable inputs and their ranges for our financial assets and liabilities, subject to threshold levels related to the market value of the positions held, measured at fair value on a recurring basis with a significant Level 3 balance. The range of unobservable inputs could differ significantly across different firms given the range of products across different firms in the financial services sector. The inputs are not representative of the inputs that could have been used in the valuation of any one financial instrument (i.e., the input used for valuing one financial instrument within a particular class of financial instruments may not be appropriate for valuing other financial instruments within that given class). Additionally, the ranges of inputs presented below should not be construed to represent uncertainty regarding the fair values of our financial instruments; rather the range of inputs is reflective of the differences in the underlying characteristics of the financial instruments in each category.

For certain categories, we have provided a weighted average of the inputs allocated based on the fair values of the financial instruments comprising the category. We do not believe that the range or weighted average of the inputs is indicative of the reasonableness of uncertainty of our Level 3 fair values. The range and weighted average are driven by the individual financial instruments within each category and their relative distribution in the population. The disclosed inputs when compared with the inputs as disclosed in other periods should not be expected to necessarily be indicative of changes in our estimates of unobservable inputs for a particular financial instrument as the population of financial instruments comprising the category will vary from period to period based on purchases and sales of financial instruments during the period as well as transfers into and out of Level 3 each period.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

February 29, 2016 Financial Instruments Owned Corporate equity	Fair Value (in thousands) \$27,079	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input(s)	Input / Range	Weight Averag	
securities Non-exchange traded sec		Market approach	EBITDA (a) multiple Underlying stock price	15.2 \$1-\$102	\$15.2	
Corporate debt securities	\$21,487	Convertible bond model	Discount rate/yield Volatility	13% 40%		
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond price	\$39		
Collateralized debt obligations	\$38,604	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	10%-20%	19	%
			Constant default rate	2%-10%	3	%
			Loss severity	25%-70%	31	%
Residential			Yield	5%-20%	13	%
mortgage-backed securities	\$68,019	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	0%-50%	12	%
securities			Constant default rate	1%-9%	1	%
			Loss severity	25%-70%	30	%
			Yield	2%-11%	6	%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	\$21,994	Discounted cash flows	Yield	8%-29%	14	%
securities			Cumulative loss rate	2%-69%	16	%
Other asset-backed securities	\$27,298	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	0%-20%	15	%
			Constant default rate	0%-15%	11	%
			Loss severity	0%-100%	83	%
			Yield	4%-24%	19	%
Loans and other receivables	\$120,475	Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$100	_	
		Market approach	Discount rate/yield Transaction level	2%-51% \$81	21	%
	¢ 22 075	Scenario analysis	Estimated recovery percentage	6%-100%	76	%
Derivatives	\$22,975		\mathbf{D}^{*}	(00		
Commodity forwards		Market approach	Discount rate/yield	60%		
Unfunded commitments		Comparable pricing	Transaction level Comparable loan price	\$6,500,000 \$100		
Credit default swaps		Comparable pricing Market approach	Credit spread	292 bps	_	
Credit default swaps		Market approach	Credit spread	667 bps - 800		
Interest rate swaps				bps	718 bp:	S
Total return swaps Investments at fair value		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$90	_	

Private equity securities	\$13,662	Market approach	EBITDA (a) multiple Transaction level Enterprise value	8.4 \$0-\$74 \$5,200,000	\$55 —
Liabilities					
Financial Instruments So	ld, Not Yet Pur	chased:			
Derivatives	\$34,732				
Equity options		Option model	Volatility	45%	
		Default rate	Default probability	0%	
Unfunded commitments		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$100	
		Market approach	Discount rate/yield	3%-35%	26
Variable funding note swaps		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	20%	_
_			Constant default rate	2%	
			Loss severity	25%	
			Yield	14%	
Foreign exchange forwards		Market approach	Credit spread	500bps	
Total return swaps		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$90	
Loans and other receivables	\$7,744	Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$100	
(a) Earnings before intere	est, taxes, depred	ciation and amortization ('	'EBITDA'').		
• •					

28

%

November 30, 2015 Financial Instruments Owned	Fair Value (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input(s)	Input / Range	Weighte Average	
Corporate equity securities	\$20,285			8-	8	-
Non-exchange traded sec	curities	Market approach	EBITDA multiple Transaction level Underlying stock price	4.4 \$1 \$5-\$102		
Corporate debt securities	\$20,257	Convertible bond model	Discount rate/yield	86%		
~		Market approach	Transaction level	\$59		
Collateralized debt obligations	\$49,923	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	5%-20%	13	%
			Constant default rate Loss severity Yield	2%-8% 25%-90% 6%-13%	2 52 10	% % %
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$70,263	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate		13	%
			Constant default rate	1%-9% 25%-70%	3 39	% %
			Loss severity Yield	23%-70% 1%-9%	59 6	% %
Commercial				- /- / /-	-	, -
mortgage-backed securities	\$14,326	Discounted cash flows	Yield	7%-30%	16	%
			Cumulative loss rate	2%-63%	23	%
Other asset-backed securities	\$21,463	Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	6%-8%	7	%
			Constant default rate Loss severity Yield	3%-5% 55%-75% 7%-22%	4 62 18	% % %
		Over-collateralization	Over-collateralization percentage	117%-125%	118	%
Loans and other receivables	\$161,470	Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$99-\$100	\$99.7	
		Market approach	Yield EBITDA multiple	2%-17% 10.0	12	%
		Scenario analysis	Estimated recovery percentage	6%-100%	83	%
Derivatives Commodity forwards	\$19,785	Market approach	Discount rate/yield Transaction level	47% \$9,500,000		%
Unfunded commitments		Comparable pricing Market approach	Comparable loan price Credit spread	\$100 298 bps	_	
Total return swaps Investments at fair value	\$7,693	Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$91.7-\$92.4	9 92.1	

Private equity securities		Market approach	Transaction level Enterprise value	\$64 \$5,200,000		
Liabilities			-			
Financial Instruments Sc	ld, Not Yet Pu	rchased:				
Derivatives	\$19,543					
Equity options		Option model	Volatility	45%		
		Default rate	Default probability	0%		
Unfunded commitments		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$79-\$100	\$82.6	
		Market approach	Discount rate/yield	3%-10%	10	%
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	20%		
			Constant default rate	2%		
			Loss severity	25%		
			Yield	11%		
Total return swaps		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$91.7-92.4	\$92.1	
Loans and other receivables	\$10,469	Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$100	—	

The fair values of certain Level 3 assets and liabilities that were determined based on third-party pricing information, unadjusted past transaction prices, reported net asset value or a percentage of the reported enterprise fair value are excluded from the above tables. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, asset exclusions consisted of \$127.2 million and \$156.2 million, respectively,

primarily comprised of certain corporate debt and equity securities, investments at fair value, private equity securities, collateralized debt obligations, sovereign obligations and certain loans and other receivables. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, liability exclusions consisted of \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively of certain corporate equity securities and other secured financings.

Sensitivity of Fair Values to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in significant unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those unobservable inputs (if any) are described below:

Loans and other receivables, corporate debt securities, loan and unfunded commitments and total return swaps using comparable pricing valuation techniques. A significant increase (decrease) in the comparable loan or bond price in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Corporate debt securities using a convertible bond model. A significant increase (decrease) in the bond discount rate/yield or volatility would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Non-exchange traded securities, corporate debt securities, loans and other receivables, unfunded commitments, commodity forwards, credit default swaps, interest rate swaps, foreign exchange forwards and private equity securities using a market approach valuation technique. A significant increase (decrease) in the EBITDA or other multiples in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the discount rate/yield of a corporate debt security, loan and other receivable or certain derivatives would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the transaction level of a private equity security, loan and other receivable or commodity forward would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the enterprise value of a private equity security would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the enterprise value of a private equity security would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the underlying stock price of the non-exchange traded securities would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the underlying stock price of the non-exchange traded securities would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the underlying stock price of the non-exchange traded securities would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the credit spread of certain derivatives would result in a significantly higher fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the credit spread of certain derivatives would result in a significantly higher fair value measurement.

Loans and other receivables using scenario analysis. A significant increase (decrease) in the possible recovery rates of the cash flow outcomes underlying the investment would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement for the financial instrument.

Collateralized debt obligations, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities, variable funding notes and unfunded commitments using a discounted cash flow valuation technique. A significant increase (decrease) in isolation in the constant default rate, and loss severities or cumulative loss rate would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. The impact of changes in the constant prepayment rate would have differing impacts depending on the capital structure of the security. A significant increase (decrease) in the loan or bond yield would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Certain other asset-backed securities using an over-collateralization model. A significant increase (decrease) in the over-collateralization percentage would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Derivative equity options using an option model. A significant increase (decrease) in volatility would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Derivative equity options using a default rate model. A significant increase (decrease) in default probability would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Fair Value Option Election

We have elected the fair value option for all loans and loan commitments made by our capital markets businesses. These loans and loan commitments include loans entered into by our investment banking division in connection with client bridge financing and loan syndications, loans purchased by our leveraged credit trading desk as part of its bank loan trading activities and mortgage loan commitments and fundings in connection with mortgage- and other asset-backed securitization activities. Loans and loan commitments originated or purchased by our leveraged credit

and mortgage-backed businesses are managed on a fair value basis. Loans are included in Financial instruments owned and loan commitments are included in Financial instruments owned and Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The fair value option election is not applied to loans made to affiliate entities as such loans are entered into as part of ongoing, strategic business ventures. Loans to affiliate entities are included within Loans to and investments in related parties on the Consolidated Statements of Financial

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

Condition and are accounted for on an amortized cost basis. We have also elected the fair value option for our structured notes, which are managed by our capital markets businesses and are included in Long-term debt on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. We have elected the fair value option for certain financial instruments held by subsidiaries as the investments are risk managed by us on a fair value basis. The fair value option has also been elected for certain secured financings that arise in connection with our securitization activities and other structured financings. Other secured financings, Receivables – Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations, Receivables – Customers, Receivables – Fees, interest and other, Payables – Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations and Payables – Customers, are accounted for at cost plus accrued interest rather than at fair value; however, the recorded amounts approximate fair value due to their liquid or short-term nature.

The following is a summary of gains (losses) due to changes in instrument specific credit risk on loans, other receivables and debt instruments and gains (losses) due to other changes in fair value on long-term debt measured at fair value under the fair value option (in thousands):

	Three Months	Three Months
	Ended	Ended
	February 29, 2016	February 28, 2015
Financial Instruments Owned:		
Loans and other receivables	\$(15,462)	\$5,389
Financial Instruments Sold:		
Loans	\$48	\$(1,022)
Loan commitments	(3,746)	(7,166)
Long-term Debt:		
Changes in instrument specific credit risk (1)	\$(302)	\$—
Other changes in fair value (2)	6,816	

Changes in instrument-specific credit risk related to structured notes are included in the Consolidated Statements
Comprehensive Income.

(2) Other changes in fair value include \$6.9 million included within Principal transactions revenues and \$42,000 included within Interest expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

The following is a summary of the amount by which contractual principal exceeds fair value for loans and other receivables and long-term debt measured at fair value under the fair value option (in thousands).

	February 29, 2016	November 30, 2015
Financial Instruments Owned:		
Loans and other receivables (1)	\$494,444	\$408,369
Loans and other receivables greater than 90 days past due (1)	29,555	29,720
Loans and other receivables on nonaccrual status (1) (2)	154,319	54,652
Long-term debt	6,420	—

(1) Interest income is recognized separately from other changes in fair value and is included within Interest revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

(2) Amounts include all loans and other receivables greater than 90 days past due.

The aggregate fair value of loans and other receivables that were greater than 90 days past due was \$11.0 million and \$11.3 million at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively.

The aggregate fair value of loans and other receivables on nonaccrual status, which includes all loans and other receivables greater than 90 days past due, was \$131.8 million and \$307.5 million at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively.

Note 5. Derivative Financial Instruments

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

We have contractual commitments arising in the ordinary course of business for securities loaned or purchased under agreements to resell, repurchase agreements, future purchases and sales of foreign currencies, securities transactions on a when-issued basis and underwriting. Each of these financial instruments and activities contains varying degrees of off-balance sheet risk whereby the fair values of the securities underlying the financial instruments may be in excess of, or less than, the contract amount. The settlement of these transactions is not expected to have a material effect upon our consolidated financial statements.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our derivative activities are recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition in Financial instruments owned and Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased, net of cash paid or received under credit support agreements and on a net counterparty basis when a legally enforceable right to offset exists under a master netting agreement. Net realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in Principal transaction revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings on a trade date basis and as a component of cash flows from operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Acting in a trading capacity, we may enter into derivative transactions to satisfy the needs of our clients and to manage our own exposure to market and credit risks resulting from our trading activities. (See Note 4, Fair Value Disclosures, and Note 17, Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for additional disclosures about derivative financial instruments.)

Derivatives are subject to various risks similar to other financial instruments, including market, credit and operational risk. The risks of derivatives should not be viewed in isolation, but rather should be considered on an aggregate basis along with our other trading-related activities. We manage the risks associated with derivatives on an aggregate basis along with the risks associated with proprietary trading as part of our firm wide risk management policies. In connection with our derivative activities, we may enter into International Swaps and Derivative Association, Inc. ("ISDA") master netting agreements or similar agreements with counterparties. A master agreement creates a single contract under which all transactions between two counterparties are executed allowing for trade aggregation and a single net payment obligation. Master agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be settled or otherwise eliminated by applying amounts due against all or a portion of an amount due from the counterparty or a third party. In addition, we enter into customized bilateral trading agreements and other customer agreements that provide for the netting of receivables and payables with a given counterparty as a single net obligation.

Under our ISDA master netting agreements, we typically also execute credit support annexes, which provide for collateral, either in the form of cash or securities, to be posted by or paid to a counterparty based on the fair value of the derivative receivable or payable based on the rates and parameters established in the credit support annex. In the event of the counterparty's default, provisions of the master agreement permit acceleration and termination of all outstanding transactions covered by the agreement such that a single amount is owed by, or to, the non-defaulting party. In addition, any collateral posted can be applied to the net obligations, with any excess returned; and the collateralized party has a right to liquidate the collateral. Any residual claim after netting is treated along with other unsecured claims in bankruptcy court.

The conditions supporting the legal right of offset may vary from one legal jurisdiction to another and the enforceability of master netting agreements and bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt. The right of offset is dependent both on contract law under the governing arrangement and consistency with the bankruptcy laws of the jurisdiction where the counterparty is located. Industry legal opinions with respect to the enforceability of certain standard provisions in respective jurisdictions are relied upon as a part of managing credit risk. In cases where we have not determined an agreement to be enforceable, the related amounts are not offset. Master netting agreements are a critical component of our risk management processes as part of reducing counterparty credit risk and managing liquidity risk.

We are also a party to clearing agreements with various central clearing parties. Under these arrangements, the central clearing counterparty facilitates settlement between counterparties based on the net payable owed or receivable due and, with respect to daily settlement, cash is generally only required to be deposited to the extent of the net amount. In the event of default, a net termination amount is determined based on the market values of all outstanding positions and the clearing organization or clearing member provides for the liquidation and settlement of the net termination amount among all counterparties to the open derivative contracts.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

The following tables present the fair value and related number of derivative contracts at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015 categorized by type of derivative contract and the platform on which these derivatives are transacted. The fair value of assets/liabilities represents our receivable/payable for derivative financial instruments, gross of counterparty netting and cash collateral received and pledged. The following tables also provide information regarding 1) the extent to which, under enforceable master netting arrangements, such balances are presented net in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as appropriate under U.S. GAAP and 2) the extent to which other rights of setoff associated with these arrangements exist and could have an effect on our financial position (in thousands, except contract amounts).

	February 29, 2016 (1)					
	Assets		Liabilities			
	Fair Value	Number of Contracts	Fair Value	Number of Contracts		
Interest rate contracts:						
Exchange-traded	\$517	37,308	\$157	57,663		
Cleared OTC	3,935,958	2,978	3,946,991	2,943		
Bilateral OTC	851,824	2,068	727,533	683		
Foreign exchange contracts:						
Exchange-traded		480		436		
Bilateral OTC	466,668	10,332	477,380	9,520		
Equity contracts:						
Exchange-traded	806,754	2,604,349	927,251	2,230,202		
Bilateral OTC	77,970	899	71,604	1,067		
Commodity contracts:						
Exchange-traded		2,498	_	1,718		
Bilateral OTC	11,213	15	2,124	14		
Credit contracts:						
Cleared OTC	400	10	276	11		
Bilateral OTC	31,567	115	52,493	92		
Total gross derivative assets/ liabilities:						
Exchange-traded	807,271		927,408			
Cleared OTC	3,936,358		3,947,267			
Bilateral OTC	1,439,242		1,331,134			
Amounts offset in the Consolidated						
Statements of Financial Condition (2):						
Exchange-traded	(775,729)	(775,729)		
Cleared OTC	(3,906,560)	(3,906,560)		
Bilateral OTC	(1,168,514)	(1,196,901)		
Net amounts per Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (3)	\$332,068		\$326,619			

Exchange traded derivatives include derivatives executed on an organized exchange. Cleared OTC derivatives include derivatives executed bilaterally and subsequently novated to and cleared through central clearing

⁽¹⁾ counterparties. Bilateral OTC derivatives include derivatives executed and settled bilaterally without the use of an organized exchange or central clearing counterparty.

(2) Amounts netted include both netting by counterparty and for cash collateral paid or received.

We have not received or pledged additional collateral under master netting agreements and/or other credit support (3) agreements that is eligible to be offset beyond what has been offset in the Consolidated Statements of Financial

Condition.

	November 30, 2015 (1) Assets		Liabilities	
		Number of		Number of
	Fair Value	Contracts	Fair Value	Contracts
Interest rate contracts:				
Exchange-traded	\$998	52,605	\$364	70,672
Cleared OTC	2,213,730	2,742	2,202,836	2,869
Bilateral OTC	695,365	1,401	646,758	1,363
Foreign exchange contracts:				
Exchange-traded	—	441		112
Bilateral OTC (4)	453,202	7,646	466,021	7,264
Equity contracts:				
Exchange-traded	955,287	3,054,315	1,004,699	2,943,657
Bilateral OTC	61,004	1,039	81,085	1,070
Commodity contracts:				
Exchange-traded		1,726		1,684
Bilateral OTC (4)	19,342	29	4,628	28
Credit contracts:				
Cleared OTC	621	39	841	44
Bilateral OTC	16,977	100	59,314	135
Total gross derivative assets/liabilities:				
Exchange-traded	956,285		1,005,063	
Cleared OTC	2,214,351		2,203,677	
Bilateral OTC	1,245,890		1,257,806	
Amounts offset in the Consolidated				
Statements of Financial Condition (2):				
Exchange-traded	(938,482)	(938,482)
Cleared OTC	(2,184,438)	(2,184,438)
Bilateral OTC	(1,042,526)	(1,135,078)
Net amounts per Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (3)	\$251,080		\$208,548	

Exchange traded derivatives include derivatives executed on an organized exchange. Cleared OTC derivatives (1) include derivatives executed bilaterally and subsequently novated to and cleared through central clearing

⁽¹⁾ counterparties. Bilateral OTC derivatives include derivatives executed and settled bilaterally without the use of an organized exchange or central clearing counterparty.

(2) Amounts netted include both netting by counterparty and for cash collateral paid or received.

We have not received or pledged additional collateral under master netting agreements and/or other credit support (3)agreements that is eligible to be offset beyond what has been offset in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Bilateral OTC commodity contracts increased in assets by a fair value of \$19.3 million and by 29 contracts and in liabilities by a fair value of \$4.6 million and by 28 contracts with corresponding decreases in bilateral OTC foreign

(4) liabilities by a fair value of \$4.6 million and by 28 contracts with corresponding decreases in bilateral OTC foreign exchange contracts from those amounts previously reported to correct for the classification of certain contracts. The total amount of bilateral OTC contracts remained unchanged.

The following table presents unrealized and realized gains (losses) on derivative contracts:

	Three Months Ended		
Gains (Losses)	February 29, 2016	February 28, 2015	
Interest rate contracts	\$(72,525) \$(42,793)
Foreign exchange contracts	1,589	15,172	
Equity contracts	(225,666) 71,041	
Commodity contracts	(1,875) 14,491	
Credit contracts	(12,889) (6,042)
Total	\$(311,366) \$51,869	

OTC Derivatives. The following tables set forth by remaining contract maturity the fair value of OTC derivative assets and liabilities at February 29, 2016 (in thousands): . (1)

	OTC Derivative Assets (1) (2) (3)						
	0 – 12 Mont	ths1 – 5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Cross-Matur Netting (4)	ity	Total	
Commodity swaps, options and forwards	\$2,124	\$9,089	\$ —	\$ —		\$11,213	
Equity swaps and options	35,094	2,870				37,964	
Credit default swaps		6,472		(85)	6,387	
Total return swaps	24,628	297		(215)	24,710	
Foreign currency forwards, swaps and options	69,199	20,257	_	(8,067)	81,389	
Interest rate swaps, options and forwards	84,106	188,839	113,854	(76,104)	310,695	
Total	\$215,151	\$227,824	\$113,854	\$ (84,471)	472,358	
Cross product counterparty netting						(6,972)
Total OTC derivative assets included in							
Financial						\$465,386	
instruments owned							

At February 29, 2016, we held exchange traded derivative assets and other credit agreements with a fair value of $(1)_{226}$ 1 will be related to the state of the second \$36.1 million, which are not included in this table.

OTC derivative assets in the table above are gross of collateral received. OTC derivative assets are recorded net of (2) collateral received on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At February 29, 2016, cash collateral received was \$169.4 million.

(3) Derivative fair values include counterparty netting within product category.

Amounts represent the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty within product category across maturity categories.

OTC Derivative Liabilities $(1)(2)(3)$				
0 – 12 Mont	hsl – 5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Cross-Maturi Netting (4)	^{ty} Total
\$2,124	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$2,124
7,929	20,068	617		28,614
	8,421	1,094	(85) 9,430
16,379	4,124		(215) 20,288
86,133	14,085	_	(8,067) 92,151
	0 – 12 Mont \$2,124 7,929 — 16,379	0 – 12 Monthsl – 5 Years \$2,124 \$ 7,929 20,068 8,421 16,379 4,124	\$2,124 \$ \$ 7,929 20,068 617 8,421 1,094 16,379 4,124	0 - 12 Monthsl - 5 YearsGreater Than 5 YearsCross-Maturi Netting (4) $$2,124$ $$ $ 7,929$ 20,068 617 $ $,421$ $1,094$ (85) $16,379$ $4,124$ $-$ (215)

OTC Derivative Liabilities (1)(2)(3)

Fixed income forwards Interest rate swaps, options and forwards Total Cross product counterparty netting Total OTC derivative liabilities included in	7,405 48,138 \$168,108	 102,141 \$148,839	 127,194 \$ 128,905	 (76,104 \$ (84,471))	7,405 201,369 361,381 (6,972)
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased						\$354,409	

At February 29, 2016, we held exchange traded derivative liabilities and other credit agreements with a fair value $(1)_{af} \notin 170.0$ of \$170.0 million, which are not included in this table.

OTC derivative liabilities in the table above are gross of collateral pledged. OTC derivative liabilities are recorded (2) net of collateral pledged on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At February 29, 2016, cash collateral pledged was \$197.8 million.

(3) Derivative fair values include counterparty netting within product category.

Amounts represent the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty within (4)product category across maturity categories.

At February 29, 2016, the counterparty credit quality with respect to the fair value of our OTC derivatives assets was as follows (in thousands): 1.

BBB- to BBB+ 84,878 BB+ or lower 71,655 Unrated 91,689	Counterparty credit quality (1):	
BB+ or lower 71,655 Unrated 91,689	A- or higher	\$217,164
Unrated 91,689	BBB- to BBB+	84,878
	BB+ or lower	71,655
Total \$465,386	Unrated	91,689
	Total	\$465,386

We utilize internal credit ratings determined by our Risk Management. Credit ratings determined by Risk (1)Management use methodologies that produce ratings generally consistent with those produced by external rating agencies.

Contingent Features

Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that require our debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If our debt were to fall below investment grade, it would be in violation of these provisions and the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment or demand immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on our derivative instruments in liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015 is \$139.3 million and \$114.5 million, respectively, for which we have posted collateral of \$77.3 million and \$97.2 million, respectively, in the normal course of business. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, we would have been required to post an additional \$68.0 million and \$19.7 million, respectively, of collateral to our counterparties.

Note 6. Collateralized Transactions

We enter into secured borrowing and lending arrangements to obtain collateral necessary to effect settlement, finance inventory positions, meet customer needs or re-lend as part of our dealer operations. We monitor the fair value of the securities loaned and borrowed on a daily basis as compared with the related payable or receivable, and request additional collateral or return excess collateral, as appropriate. We pledge financial instruments as collateral under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements and other secured arrangements, including clearing arrangements. Our agreements with counterparties generally contain contractual provisions allowing the counterparty the right to sell or repledge the collateral. Pledged securities owned that can be sold or repledged by the counterparty are included within Financial instruments owned and noted parenthetically as Securities pledged on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The following tables set forth the carrying value of securities lending arrangements and repurchase agreements by class of collateral pledged (in thousands):

Colletorel Bladgady	February 29, 2016 Securities Lending Arrangements	Repurchase Agreements	Total
Collateral Pledged:	\$2,102,900	\$169,750	\$2 272 650
Corporate equity securities			\$2,272,650
Corporate debt securities	524,824	1,542,462	2,067,286
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		2,956,153	2,956,153
U.S. government and federal agency securities	42,887	7,543,014	7,585,901
Municipal securities	—	429,167	429,167
Sovereign obligations	—	1,897,266	1,897,266
Loans and other receivables	—	441,170	441,170
Total	\$2,670,611	\$14,978,982	\$17,649,593
	November 30, 201	5	
	Securities Lending Arrangements	Repurchase Agreements	Total
Collateral Pledged:			
Corporate equity securities	\$2,195,912	\$275,880	\$2,471,792
Corporate debt securities	748,405	1,752,222	2,500,627
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	_	3,537,812	3,537,812
U.S. government and federal agency securities	34,983	12,006,081	12,041,064
Municipal securities	_	357,350	357,350
Sovereign obligations	_	1,804,103	1,804,103
Loans and other receivables	_	462,534	462,534
Total	\$2,979,300	\$20,195,982	\$23,175,282

The following tables set forth the carrying value of securities lending arrangements and repurchase agreements by remaining contractual maturity (in thousands):

	February 29, 2	2016			
	Overnight and	•	30-90 Days	Greater than	Total
	Continuous	Days	20 90 2 u jo	90 Days	1000
Securities lending arrangements	\$1,391,681	\$—	\$1,278,930	\$—	\$2,670,611
Repurchase agreements	7,216,429	2,937,670	3,856,051	968,832	14,978,982
Total	\$8,608,110	\$2,937,670	\$5,134,981	\$968,832	\$17,649,593
	November 30,	2015			
	Overnight and	Up to 30	30-90 Days	Greater than	Total
	Continuous	Days	50-70 Days	90 Days	Total
Securities lending arrangements	\$1,522,475	\$—	\$973,201	\$483,624	\$2,979,300
Repurchase agreements	7,850,791	5,218,059	5,291,729	1,835,403	20,195,982
Total	\$9,373,266	\$5,218,059	\$6,264,930	\$2,319,027	\$23,175,282

We receive securities as collateral under resale agreements, securities borrowing transactions and customer margin loans. We also receive securities as collateral in connection with securities-for-securities transactions in which we are the lender of securities. In many instances, we are permitted by contract or custom to rehypothecate the securities received as collateral. These securities may be used to secure repurchase agreements, enter into securities lending transactions, satisfy margin requirements on derivative transactions or cover short positions. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, the approximate fair value of securities received as collateral by us that may be sold or repledged was \$22.6 billion and \$26.2 billion, respectively. At February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, a substantial portion of the securities received by us had been sold or repledged.

Offsetting of Securities Financing Agreements

To manage our exposure to credit risk associated with securities financing transactions, we may enter into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties. Generally, transactions are executed under standard industry agreements, including, but not limited to, master securities lending agreements (securities lending transactions) and master repurchase agreements (repurchase transactions). A master agreement creates a single contract under which all transactions between two counterparties are executed allowing for trade aggregation and a single net payment obligation. Master agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be settled or otherwise eliminated by applying amounts due against all or a portion of an amount due from the counterparty or a third party. In addition, we enter into customized bilateral trading agreements and other customer agreements that provide for the netting of receivables and payables with a given counterparty as a single net obligation.

In the event of the counterparty's default, provisions of the master agreement permit acceleration and termination of all outstanding transactions covered by the agreement such that a single amount is owed by, or to, the non-defaulting party. In addition, any collateral posted can be applied to the net obligations, with any excess returned; and the collateralized party has a right to liquidate the collateral. Any residual claim after netting is treated along with other unsecured claims in bankruptcy court.

The conditions supporting the legal right of offset may vary from one legal jurisdiction to another and the enforceability of master netting agreements and bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt. The right of offset is dependent both on contract law under the governing arrangement and consistency with the bankruptcy laws of the jurisdiction where the counterparty is located. Industry legal opinions with respect to the enforceability of certain standard provisions in respective jurisdictions are relied upon as a part of managing credit risk. Master netting agreements are a critical component of our risk management processes as part of reducing counterparty credit risk and managing liquidity risk.

We are also a party to clearing agreements with various central clearing parties. Under these arrangements, the central clearing counterparty facilitates settlement between counterparties based on the net payable owed or receivable due and, with respect to daily settlement, cash is generally only required to be deposited to the extent of the net amount. In the event of default, a net

February 29, 2016

termination amount is determined based on the market values of all outstanding positions and the clearing organization or clearing member provides for the liquidation and settlement of the net termination amount among all counterparties to the open repurchase and/or securities lending transactions.

The following tables provide information regarding repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending arrangements that are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and 1) the extent to which, under enforceable master netting arrangements, such balances are presented net in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as appropriate under U.S. GAAP and 2) the extent to which other rights of setoff associated with these arrangements exist and could have an effect on our financial position (in thousands).

	rebluary 29,	Netting in	Net Amounts in			
	Gross Amounts	•	Consolidated	Additional Amounts Available for Setoff (1)	Available Collateral (2)	Net Amount (3)
Assets Securities borrowing		¢	• - - - - - - - - - -	¢ (500 115)	ф <i>(С</i> ОО 554))	¢ < 100.000
arrangements	\$7,347,587	\$—	\$ 7,347,587	\$(533,115)	\$(623,574)	\$ 6,190,898
Reverse repurchase agreements	10,253,312	(6,726,626)	3,526,686	(151,575)	(3,345,710)	29,401
Liabilities						
Securities lending arrangements	\$2,670,611	\$—	\$ 2,670,611	\$(533,115)	\$(2,096,442)	\$ 41,054
Repurchase agreements	14,978,982 November 30		8,252,356	(151,575)	(6,323,605)	1,777,176
	G	Netting in Consolidated	Net Amounts in Consolidated	Additional Amounts	Available	
	Gross Amounts	Statement of Financial Condition	Statement of Financial Condition	Available for Setoff (1)	Collateral (2)	Net Amount (4)
Assets		Financial	Financial	Available for		Net Amount (4)
Securities borrowing arrangements		Financial	Financial	Available for		Net Amount (4) \$ 5,829,046
Securities borrowing arrangements Reverse repurchase agreements	Amounts	Financial Condition	Financial Condition \$ 6,975,136	Available for Setoff (1)	Collateral (2) \$(667,099)	
Securities borrowing arrangements Reverse repurchase	Amounts \$6,975,136 14,048,860	Financial Condition \$— (10,191,554)	Financial Condition \$ 6,975,136 3,857,306	Available for Setoff (1) \$(478,991) (83,452)	Collateral (2) \$(667,099) (3,745,215)	\$ 5,829,046 28,639
Securities borrowing arrangements Reverse repurchase agreements Liabilities	Amounts \$6,975,136	Financial Condition \$—	Financial Condition \$ 6,975,136 3,857,306 \$ 2,979,300	Available for Setoff (1) \$(478,991)	Collateral (2) \$(667,099) (3,745,215) \$(2,464,395)	\$ 5,829,046 28,639

Under master netting agreements with our counterparties, we have the legal right of offset with a counterparty, which incorporates all of the counterparty's outstanding rights and obligations under the arrangement. These ⁽¹⁾balances reflect additional credit risk mitigation that is available by counterparty in the event of a counterparty's

default, but which are not netted in the balance sheet because other netting provisions of U.S. GAAP are not met. Includes securities received or paid under collateral arrangements with counterparties that could be liquidated in

(2) the event of a counterparty default and thus offset against a counterparty's rights and obligations under the respective repurchase agreements or securities borrowing or lending arrangements.

Amounts include \$6,158.2 million of securities borrowing arrangements, for which we have received securities collateral of \$5,970.0 million, and \$1,767.0 million of repurchase agreements, for which we have pledged (3) securities collateral of \$1,822.2 million of the securities coll

⁽³⁾ securities collateral of \$1,823.3 million, which are subject to master netting agreements but we have not determined the agreements to be legally enforceable.

Amounts include \$5,796.1 million of securities borrowing arrangements, for which we have received securities collateral of \$5,613.3 million, and \$1,807.2 million of repurchase agreements, for which we have pledged (4) securities collateral of \$1,875.2 million of repurchase agreements, for which we have pledged

⁽⁴⁾ securities collateral of \$1,875.3, which are subject to master netting agreements but we have not determined the agreements to be legally enforceable.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

Cash and Securities Segregated and on Deposit for Regulatory Purposes or Deposited with Clearing and Depository Organizations

Cash and securities deposited with clearing and depository organizations and segregated in accordance with regulatory regulations totaled \$679.8 million and \$751.1 million at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015, respectively. Segregated cash and securities consist of deposits in accordance with Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which subjects Jefferies as a broker-dealer carrying customer accounts to requirements related to maintaining cash or qualified securities in segregated special reserve bank accounts for the exclusive benefit of its customers.

Note 7. Securitization Activities

We engage in securitization activities related to corporate loans, commercial mortgage loans, consumer loans and mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities. In our securitization transactions, we transfer these assets to special purpose entities ("SPEs") and act as the placement or structuring agent for the beneficial interests sold to investors by the SPE. A significant portion of our securitization transactions are securitization of assets issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. These SPEs generally meet the criteria of variable interest entities; however we generally do not consolidate the SPEs as we are not considered the primary beneficiary for these SPEs. See Note 8, Variable Interest Entities, for further discussion on variable interest entities and our determination of the primary beneficiary.

We account for our securitization transactions as sales provided we have relinquished control over the transferred assets. Transferred assets are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reflected in Principal transactions revenues in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings prior to the identification and isolation for securitization. Subsequently, revenues recognized upon securitization are reflected as net underwriting revenues. We generally receive cash proceeds in connection with the transfer of assets to an SPE. We may, however, have continuing involvement with the transferred assets, which is limited to retaining one or more tranches of the securitization (primarily senior and subordinated debt securities in the form of mortgage- and other-asset backed securities or collateralized loan obligations), which are included within Financial instruments owned and are generally initially categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. We apply fair value accounting to the securities.

The following table presents activity related to our securitizations that were accounted for as sales in which we had continuing involvement (in millions):

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended
	February 29, 2016	February 28, 2015
Transferred assets	\$1,948.9	\$1,562.9
Proceeds on new securitizations	1,962.7	1,564.5
Cash flows received on retained interests	9.6	2.4

We have no explicit or implicit arrangements to provide additional financial support to these SPEs, have no liabilities related to these SPEs and do not have any outstanding derivative contracts executed in connection with these securitization activities at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015.

The following tables summarize our retained interests in SPEs where we transferred assets and have continuing involvement and received sale accounting treatment (in millions):

	February 29, 2016	
Securitization Type	Total Assets	Retained Interests
U.S. government agency residential mortgage-backed securities	\$11,455.8	\$ 270.0
U.S. government agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	2,598.3	78.0
Collateralized loan obligations	4,514.4	40.5

Consumer and other loans

	November 30, 2015	
Securitization Type	Total Assets	Retained Interests
U.S. government agency residential mortgage-backed securities	\$10,901.9	\$ 203.6
U.S. government agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	2,313.4	87.2
Collateralized loan obligations	4,538.4	51.5
Consumer and other loans	655.0	31.0

Total assets represent the unpaid principal amount of assets in the SPEs in which we have continuing involvement and are presented solely to provide information regarding the size of the transaction and the size of the underlying assets supporting our retained interests, and are not considered representative of the risk of potential loss. Assets retained in connection with a securitization transaction represent the fair value of the securities of one or more tranches issued by an SPE, including senior and subordinated tranches. Our risk of loss is limited to this fair value amount which is included within total Financial instruments owned on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Although not obligated, in connection with secondary market-making activities we may make a market in the securities issued by these SPEs. In these market-making transactions, we buy these securities from and sell these securities to investors. Securities purchased through the securities are included in Financial instruments owned. To the extent we purchased securities through these market-making activities and we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, these securities are included in agency and non-agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations in the nonconsolidated variable interest entities section presented in Note 8, Variable Interest Entities.

Note 8. Variable Interest Entities

Variable interest entities ("VIEs") are entities in which equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. VIEs are consolidated by the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party who has both (1) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (2) an obligation to absorb losses of the entity or a right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity.

Our variable interests in VIEs include debt and equity interests, commitments, guarantees and certain fees. Our involvement with VIEs arises primarily from:

Purchases of securities in connection with our trading and secondary market making activities, Retained interests held as a result of securitization activities, including the resecuritization of mortgage- and other asset-backed securities and the securitization of commercial mortgage, corporate and consumer loans,

Acting as placement agent and/or underwriter in connection with client-sponsored securitizations,

Financing of agency and non-agency mortgage- and other asset-backed securities,

Warehousing funding arrangements for client-sponsored consumer loan vehicles and collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") through participation certificates and revolving loan and note commitments, and

•Loans to, investments in and fees from various investment vehicles.

We determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE upon our initial involvement with the VIE and we reassess whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis. Our determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE is based upon the facts and circumstances for each VIE and requires significant judgment. Our considerations in determining the VIE's most significant activities and whether we have power to direct those activities include, but are not limited to, the VIE's purpose and design and the risks passed through to investors, the voting interests of the VIE, management, service and/or other agreements of the VIE, involvement in the VIE's initial design and the existence of explicit or implicit financial guarantees. In situations where we have determined that the power over the VIE's significant activities is shared, we assess whether we are the party with the power over

the most significant activities. If we are the party with the power over the most significant activities, we meet the "power" criteria of the primary beneficiary. If we do not have the power over the most significant activities or we determine that decisions require consent of each sharing party, we do not meet the "power" criteria of the primary beneficiary.

<u>Table of Contents</u> JEFFERIES GROUP LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - CONTINUED (Unaudited)

We assess our variable interests in a VIE both individually and in aggregate to determine whether we have an obligation to absorb losses of or a right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The determination of whether our variable interest is significant to the VIE requires significant judgment. In determining the significance of our variable interest, we consider the terms, characteristics and size of the variable interests, the design and characteristics of the VIE, our involvement in the VIE and our market-making activities related to the variable interests.

Consolidated VIEs

The following table presents information about our consolidated VIEs at February 29, 2016 and November 30, 2015 (in millions). The assets and liabilities in the tables below are presented prior to consolidation and thus a portion of these assets and liabilities are eliminated in consolidation.

	February 29, 2016			November 30, 2015	
	Securitization Vehicles	Aircraft Financing Vehicle	Other	Securitization Vehicles	Other
Cash	\$1.0	_	\$1.5	\$0.5	\$1.5
Financial instruments owned	79.1	_	0.6	68.3	0.6
Securities purchased under agreement to resell (1)	878.1	_	_	717.3	—
Aircraft (3)		27.3			
Fees, interest and other receivables	0.5		0.2	0.3	0.2
	\$958.7	27.3	\$2.3	\$786.4	\$2.3
Other secured financings (2)	\$956.6		\$ —	\$785.0	\$—
Long-term debt	_	22.7			
Other liabilities	2.1				