CENTENE CORP Form 10-Q April 26, 2011

	UNITED STATES
	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	WASHINGTON, DC 20549
	FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)	

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-31826

CENTENE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 42-1406317 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

7700 Forsyth Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri

63105

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(314) 725-4477

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: T Yes £ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). T Yes £ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer T Accelerated filer T Non-accelerated filer T (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company T

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes £ No T

As of April 15, 2011, the registrant had 49,973,957 shares of common stock outstanding.

CENTENE CORPORATION

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	Part I	
	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	
	(unaudited)	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March	
	31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	2
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity as of March 31, 2011	
	(unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March	
	31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	4
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	5
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	9
	<u>Operations</u>	
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	13
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	13
	Part II	
	Other Information	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	20
Item 6.	Exhibits	21
<u>Signatures</u>		22

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than statements of current or historical fact, contained in this filing are forward-looking statements. We have attempted to identify these statements by terminology including "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "estimate," "intend," "seek," "target," "goal," "may," "will," "should," "can," "continue" and other similar words or expression connection with, among other things, any discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these statements include statements about our market opportunity, our growth strategy, competition, expected activities and future acquisitions, investments and the adequacy of our available cash resources. These statements may be found in the various sections of this filing, including those entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors." Readers are cautioned that matters subject to forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including economic, regulatory, competitive and other factors that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

All forward-looking statements included in this filing are based on information available to us on the date of this filing and we undertake no obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements included in this filing, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this filing. Actual results may differ from projections or estimates due to a variety of important factors, including:

- our ability to accurately predict and effectively manage health benefits and other operating expenses;
 - competition;
 - changes in healthcare practices;
- changes in federal or state laws or regulations, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act and any regulations enacted thereunder;
 - inflation;
 - provider contract changes;
 - new technologies;
 - reduction in provider payments by governmental payors;
 - major epidemics;
 - disasters and numerous other factors affecting the delivery and cost of healthcare;
 - the expiration, cancellation or suspension of our Medicaid managed care contracts by state governments;
 - availability of debt and equity financing, on terms that are favorable to us; and
 - general economic and market conditions.

Table of Contents

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements.

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	ľ	March 31, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations	\$	492,045	\$	433,914
Cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations		_		252
Total cash and cash equivalents		492,045		434,166
Premium and related receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of				
\$17 and \$17, respectively		132,023		136,243
Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost \$51,950 and \$21,141,				
respectively)		52,699		21,346
Other current assets		67,062		64,154
Current assets of discontinued operations other than cash				912
Total current assets		743,829		656,821
Long-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost \$548,013 and \$585,862,				
respectively)		556,806		595,879
Restricted deposits, at fair value (amortized cost \$26,502 and \$22,755,				
respectively)		26,482		22,758
Property, software and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$148,051				
and \$138,629, respectively		334,180		326,341
Goodwill		278,105		278,051
Intangible assets, net		27,813		29,109
Other long-term assets		36,470		30,057
Long-term assets of discontinued operations				4,866
Total assets	\$	2,003,685	\$	1,943,882
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Medical claims liability	\$	471,659	\$	456,765
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		214,900		185,218
Unearned revenue		127,451		117,344
Current portion of long-term debt		3,037		2,817
Current liabilities of discontinued operations		_		3,102
Total current liabilities		817,047		765,246
Long-term debt		302,326		327,824
Other long-term liabilities		53,116		53,378
Long-term liabilities of discontinued operations		_		379

Total liabilities	1,172,489	1,146,827
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 52,533,873 issued		
and 49,965,357 outstanding at March 31, 2011, and 52,172,037 issued and		
49,616,824 outstanding at December 31, 2010	53	52
Additional paid-in capital	396,380	384,206
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax	5,969	6,424
Retained earnings	477,488	453,743
Treasury stock, at cost (2,568,516 and 2,555,213 shares, respectively)	(50,888)	(50,486)
Total Centene stockholders' equity	829,002	793,939
Noncontrolling interest	2,194	3,116
Total stockholders' equity	831,196	797,055
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$	2.003.685 \$	1.943.882

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 2010								
Revenues:		2011		2010					
Premium	\$	1,152,777	\$	999,315					
Service	Ψ	26,384	Ψ	22,907					
Premium and service revenues		1,179,161		1,022,222					
Premium tax		37,196		46,499					
Total revenues		1,216,357		1,068,721					
Expenses:		1,210,337		1,000,721					
Medical costs		957,074		839,708					
Cost of services		20,176		17,152					
General and administrative expenses		162,581		135,507					
Premium tax		37,429		46,743					
Total operating expenses		1,177,260		1,039,110					
Earnings from operations		39,097		29,611					
Other income (expense):		,		Ź					
Investment and other income		3,749		7,057					
Interest expense		(5,695)		(3,813)					
Earnings from continuing operations, before income tax expense		37,151		32,855					
Income tax expense		14,328		12,525					
Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense		22,823		20,330					
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense of \$0 and \$4,440,									
respectively		_		3,920					
Net earnings		22,823		24,250					
Noncontrolling interest		(922)		248					
Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation	\$	23,745	\$	24,002					
Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders:									
Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	\$	23,745	\$	20,082					
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense		_		3,920					
Net earnings	\$	23,745	\$	24,002					
Net earnings per share attributable to Centene Corporation:									
Basic:									
Continuing operations	\$	0.48	\$	0.43					
Discontinued operations		_		0.08					
Earnings per common share	\$	0.48	\$	0.51					
Diluted:	_								
Continuing operations	\$	0.46	\$	0.41					
Discontinued operations	4			0.08					
Earnings per common share	\$	0.46	\$	0.49					

Weighted average number of shares outstanding:

Basic		49,750,430	47,260,714
Diluted		51.811.721	48,761,528

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

2

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

_									
			Centene S	Stockhol	ders' Equity				
	Common St	ock		1 .	1	Treasur	y Stock		
Dalamas	\$.001 Par Value Shares		dditional (Paid-inCom _l	umulated Other prehensivncome		\$.001 Par Value Shares		Non ntrolling interest	Total
Balance, December 31,									
2010	52 172 037	\$ 52 \$	384 206 \$	6.424	\$ 453 743	2 555 213	\$ (50,486) \$	3 116 \$	797.055
Comprehensive	32,172,037	Ψ 32 Ψ	304,200 ψ	0,424	Ψ 433,743	2,333,213	φ (30,400) φ	5,110 φ	171,033
Earnings:									
Net earnings	_		_	_	23,745	_	_	(922)	22,823
Change in unrealized investment gain,									
net of \$(258) tax		_	_	(455)	_			_	(455)
Total comprehensive									22.260
earnings Common stock									22,368
issued for employee benefit	2(1.02(1	6.716						6.717
plans	361,836	1	6,716					_	6,717
Common stock repurchases	_	_	_		. <u>-</u>	- 13,303	(402)	_	(402)
Stock compensation									
expense	_		4,394		. <u>-</u>				4,394
Excess tax benefits from stock									
compensation	_	_	1,064	_			_	_	1,064
Balance, March			,						,
31, 2011	52,533,873	\$ 53 \$	396,380 \$	5,969	\$ 477,488	2,568,516	\$ (50,888) \$	2,194 \$	831,196

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

3

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Т	Three Mon 2011	ths En	ded N	March 31, 2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net earnings	\$	22,823		\$	24,250	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities						
Depreciation and amortization		14,325			12,527	
Stock compensation expense		4,394			3,460	
Gain on sale of investments, net		(118)		(3,547)
Gain on sale of UHP		_			(8,201)
Deferred income taxes		(700)		950	
Changes in assets and liabilities						
Premium and related receivables		4,216			(4,457)
Other current assets		(1,636)		(1,375)
Other assets		151			1,937	
Medical claims liabilities		13,430			(33,129)
Unearned revenue		10,106			(73,282)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		26,268			40,433	
Other operating activities		732			1,934	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		93,991			(38,500)
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Capital expenditures		(15,725)		(12,520)
Capital expenditures of Centene Center LLC		(1,157)		(10,579)
Purchases of investments		(40,423)		(146,935	5)
Proceeds from asset sales		_			13,420	
Sales and maturities of investments		45,327			117,469	
Investments in acquisitions, net of cash acquired		_			(2,019)
Net cash used in investing activities		(11,978)		(41,164)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		6,518			519	
Proceeds from borrowings		127,300			22,030	
Proceeds from stock offering		_			104,557	
Payment of long-term debt		(152,577)	7)		(97,136)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest		_			(3,585)
Excess tax benefits from stock compensation		1,132			96	
Common stock repurchases		(402)		(480)
Debt issue costs		(6,105)			
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(24,134)		26,001	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		57,879			(53,663)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		434,166			403,752	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	492,045		\$	350,089	

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 1,714	\$ 345
Income taxes paid	\$ 9,567	\$ 8,272
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	\$ _	\$ 306
Capital expenditures	\$ 1,477	\$ 789

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

4

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars in thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying interim financial statements have been prepared under the presumption that users of the interim financial information have either read or have access to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on Form 10-K on February 22, 2011. The unaudited interim financial statements herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, footnote disclosures, which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 31, 2010 audited financial statements, have been omitted from these interim financial statements where appropriate. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented.

Certain 2010 amounts in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on net earnings or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

2. Investments and Restricted Deposits

Short-term and long-term investments and restricted deposits by investment type consist of the following:

			1	March 3	1, 20	D									
			C	Gross	C	Gross				(Gross	G	iross		
	An	nortized	Unr	ealized	Unı	realized	Fair	A	mortized	Un	realized	Unr	ealized		Fair
		Cost		Gains	L	osses	Value		Cost	(Gains	Lo	osses		Value
U.S. Treasury															
securities and															
obligations of U.S.															
government															
corporations and															
agencies	\$	27,065	\$	437	\$	(171) \$	27,331	\$	28,665	\$	510	\$	(140)	\$	29,035
Corporate securities		192,230		2,942		(491)	194,681		197,577		3,124		(586)		200,115
Restricted certificate	S														
of deposit		6,811		_	_	_	6,811		6,814		_	_	_	_	6,814
Restricted cash															
equivalents		14,351		_	_	_	14,351		8,814		_	_	_	_	8,814
Municipal securities:															
General obligation		107,243		3,342		_	110,585		109,866		3,601		(6)		113,461
Pre-refunded		32,282		744			33,026		32,442		756		_	_	33,198
Revenue		96,990		2,585		(31)	99,544		100,198		2,781		(15)		102,964
Variable rate demand	1														
notes		111,490		_	_	_	111,490		106,540		_	_	_	_	106,540
Asset backed															
securities		16,530		200		(35)	16,695		17,391		243		(43)		17,591
Cost method		7,010		_	_		7,010		7,060		_	_	_	_	7,060
investments and															

equity method securities
Life insurance

Life insurance									
contracts	14,463	_	_	14,463	14,391	-	_		14,391
Total	\$ 626,465	\$ 10,250 \$	(728) \$	635,987 \$	629,758	\$ 11,015	\$	(790) \$	639,983

The Company's investments are classified as available-for-sale with the exception of life insurance contracts and certain cost method and equity method investments. The Company's investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital and maximize total return on invested assets with the focus on high credit quality securities. The Company limits the size of investment in any single issuer other than U.S. treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government coporations and agencies. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had no single issue with a par value greater than \$5,000. As of March 31, 2011, 37% of the Company's investments in securities recorded at fair value that carry a rating by Moody's or S&P were rated AAA or higher, 76% were rated AA- or higher, and 99% were rated A- or higher. At March 31, 2011, the Company held certificates of deposit, life insurance contracts and cost and equity method invesments which did not carry a credit rating.

The fair value of available-for-sale investments with gross unrealized losses by investment type and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows:

					March 3	1, 20)11		December 31, 2010								
	L	ess Th	an	12	Months	12 Months or More				Less Than 12 Months				12 Months or More			More
	Un	realize	ed		Fair	Unrealized Fair			Fair	Unrealized Fair			Fair	Unrealized			Fair
	Losses Value		Value	Losses Valu		/alue	Losses		Value		Losses		Value				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations																	
and agencies	\$	(171)	\$	10,620	\$		\$	_	\$	(140)	\$	9,246	\$	_	\$	
Corporate securities		(491)		42,844	_		_		(586)		T	40,341			7	
Municipal securities:																	
General obligation		_			_		_		_		(6)		1,131		_		
Revenue		(31)		4,677				—		(15)		2,419				
Asset backed securities		(35)		5,265		_		_		(43)		5,276		_		
Total	\$	(728)	\$	63,406	\$	_	\$		\$	(790)	\$	58,413	\$		\$	—

As of March 31, 2011, the gross unrealized losses were generated from 57 positions out of a total of 374 positions. The decline in fair value of fixed income securities is a result of movement in interest rates subsequent to the purchase of the security.

For each security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether it intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If the security meets this criterion, the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary and is recorded in earnings. The Company does not intend to sell these securities prior to maturity and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell these securities prior to maturity; therefore, there is no indication of other than temporary impairment for these securities.

The contractual maturities of short-term and long-term investments and restricted deposits as of March 31, 2011, are as follows:

Invest	ments	Restricted	Deposits
Amortized		Amortized	
Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value

One year or less	\$ 51,950	\$ 52,699 \$	21,391	\$ 21,392
One year through five years	416,815	425,580	5,111	5,090
Five years through ten years	40,361	40,348		
Greater than ten years	90,837	90,878	_	
Total	\$ 599,963	\$ 609,505 \$	26,502	\$ 26,482

Table of Contents

The contractual maturities of short-term and long-term investments and restricted deposits as of December 31, 2010, are as follows:

		Invest	mei	nts	Restricted	d Deposits		
	A	mortized	A	mortized				
		Cost	F	air Value	Cost	Fa	air Value	
One year or less	\$	21,141	\$	21,346 \$	17,387	\$	17,392	
One year through five years		464,270		474,255	5,368		5,366	
Five years through ten years		39,732		39,731				
Greater than ten years		81,860		81,893				
Total	\$	607,003	\$	617,225 \$	22,755	\$	22,758	

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to call or prepayment options. Asset backed securities are included in the one year through five years category, while equity securities and life insurance contracts are included in the five years through ten years category. The Company has an option to redeem at amortized cost substantially all of the securities included in the Greater than ten years category listed above.

Realized gains and losses are determined on the basis of specific identification or a first-in, first-out methodology, if specific identification is not practicable. The Company's gross recorded realized gains and losses on investments were as follows:

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended March								
		31,								
	2011	2010								
Gains	\$ 133	\$ 3,034								
Losses	(15) —								
Net realized gains	\$ 118	\$ 3,034								

Realized gains in 2010 included a gain of \$2,961 representing a gain from a distribution from the Reserve Primary fund in excess of our adjusted basis.

The Company continuously monitors investments for other-than-temporary impairment. Certain investments have experienced a decline in fair value due to changes in credit quality, market interest rates and/or general economic conditions. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for cost and equity method investments when evidence demonstrates that it is other-than-temporarily impaired. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investee to sustain a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment.

Investment amortization of \$2,512 and \$2,864 was recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

3. Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon the extent to which the fair value estimates are based upon observable or unobservable inputs. Level inputs are as follows:

Level Input: Input Definition:

Level I

Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.

Level II Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data at the measurement date.

Level III Unobservable inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at March 31, 2011, for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ Level I 492,045	Level II	Level III \$	\$ Total 492,045
Investments available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.				
government corporations and agencies	\$ 16,415	\$ 5,596	\$	\$ 22,011
Corporate securities		194,681		194,681
Municipal securities:				
General obligation		110,585		110,585
Pre-refunded		33,026		33,026
Revenue		99,544		99,544
Variable rate demand notes		111,490		111,490
Asset backed securities		16,695		16,695
Total investments	\$ 16,415	\$ 571,617	\$	\$ 588,032
Restricted deposits available for sale:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,351	\$	\$	\$ 14,351
Certificates of deposit	6,811			6,811
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.				
government corporations and agencies	5,320			5,320
Total restricted deposits	\$ 26,482	\$	\$	\$ 26,482
Total assets at fair value	\$ 534,942	\$ 571,617	\$	\$ 1,106,559

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at December 31, 2010, for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ Level I 433,914	Level II	Level III \$	\$ Total 433,914
Investments available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.				
government corporations and agencies	\$ 14,809	\$ 7,096	\$	\$ 21,905
Corporate securities		200,115		200,115
Municipal securities:				
General obligation		113,461		113,461
Pre-refunded		33,198		33,198
Revenue		102,964		102,964
Variable rate demand notes		106,540		106,540
Asset backed securities		17,591		17,591
Total investments	\$ 14,809	\$ 580,965	\$	\$ 595,774
Restricted deposits available for sale:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,814	\$	\$	\$ 8,814
Certificates of deposit	6,814			6,814
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.				
government corporations and agencies	7,130			7,130
Total restricted deposits	\$ 22,758	\$	\$	\$ 22,758
Total assets at fair value	\$ 471,481	\$ 580,965	\$	\$ 1,052,446

The Company periodically transfers U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies between Level I and Level II fair value measurements dependent upon the level of trading activity for the specific securities at the measurement date. The Company utilizes matrix pricing services to estimate fair value for securities which are not actively traded on the measurement date. The Company designates these securities as Level II fair value measurements. The aggregate carrying amount of the Company's life insurance contracts and cost-method investments, which approximates fair value, was \$21,473 and \$21,451 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

4. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	March 31,		D	ecember
	2011		1 31, 2	
Senior notes	\$	175,000	\$	175,000
Revolving credit				
agreement		35,000		60,000
Mortgage notes payable		89,016		89,500
Capital leases and other		6,347		6,141
Total debt		305,363		330,641
Less current portion		(3,037)		(2,817)
Long-term debt	\$	302,326	\$	327,824

Revolving Credit Agreement

In January 2011, the Company replaced its \$300,000 revolving credit agreement with a new \$350,000 revolving credit facility, or the revolver. The revolver is unsecured and has a five-year maturity with non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, maximum debt to EBITDA ratios and minimum net worth. Borrowings under the revolver bear interest based upon LIBOR rates, the Federal funds rate, or the prime rate. There is a commitment fee on the unused portion of the agreement that ranges from 0.25% to 0.50% depending on the total debt to EBITDA ratio, as defined. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had \$35,000 in borrowings outstanding under the agreement, leaving availability of \$315,000. The outstanding borrowings at March 31, 2011 bore interest at 3.09%.

The Company has letters of credit of \$43.3 million as of March 31, 2011, which are not part of the revolver. The outstanding letters of credit bore interest at 1.75% on March 31, 2011.

5. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per common share:

	Three Months Ended March 31 2011 2010						
Earnings attributable to Centene Corporation							
common shareholders:							
Earnings from continuing operations, net of tax	\$	23,745	\$	20,082			
Discontinued operations, net of tax				3,920			
Net earnings	\$	23,745	\$	24,002			
Shares used in computing per share amounts:							
Weighted average number of common shares							
outstanding		49,750,430		47,260,714			
Common stock equivalents (as determined by							
applying the treasury stock method)		2,061,291		1,500,814			
Weighted average number of common shares and							
potential dilutive common shares outstanding		51,811,721		48,761,528			
Net earnings per share attributable to Centene							
Corporation:							
Basic:							
Continuing operations	\$	0.48	\$	0.43			
Discontinued operations				0.08			
Earnings per common share	\$	0.48	\$	0.51			
Diluted:							
Continuing operations	\$	0.46	\$	0.41			
Discontinued operations				0.08			
Earnings per common share	\$	0.46	\$	0.49			

The calculation of diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, excludes the impact of 124,946 and 2,202,671 shares, respectively, related to anti-dilutive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

6. Segment Information

Centene operates in two segments: Medicaid Managed Care and Specialty Services. The Medicaid Managed Care segment consists of Centene's health plans including all of the functions needed to operate them. The Specialty Services segment consists of Centene's specialty companies offering products for behavioral health, care management software, health insurance exchanges, individual health insurance, life and health management, long-term care programs, managed vision, telehealth services, and pharmacy benefits management. The health plans in Arizona, operated by our long-term care company, and Massachusetts, operated by our individual health insurance provider, are included in the Specialty Services segment.

Segment information for the three months ended March 31, 2011, follows:

	Medicaid anaged Care	pecialty Services	El	iminations	Co	onsolidated Total
Premium and service revenues from	_					
external customers	\$ 1,000,639	\$ 178,522	\$	_	\$	1,179,161
Premium and service revenues from						
internal customers	15,747	147,120		(162,867)		_
Total premium and service revenues	\$ 1,016,386	\$ 325,642	\$	(162,867)	\$	1,179,161
Earnings from operations	\$ 28,066	\$ 11,031	\$		\$	39,097

Segment information for the three months ended March 31, 2010, follows:

				pecialty	T711		Co	onsolidated
	Mai	naged Care	2	Services	El	iminations		Total
Premium and service revenues from								
external customers	\$	879,979	\$	142,243	\$	_	\$	1,022,222
Premium and service revenues from								
internal customers		15,126		124,986		(140,112)		_
Total premium and service revenues	\$	895,105	\$	267,229	\$	(140,112)	\$	1,022,222
Earnings from operations	\$	18,700	\$	10,911	\$		\$	29,611

7. Comprehensive Earnings

Differences between net earnings and total comprehensive earnings resulted from changes in unrealized gains on investments available for sale, as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2011			2010	
Net earnings	\$	22,823		\$	24,25	0
Reclassification adjustment, net of tax		169			74	
Change in unrealized gains on investments,						
net of tax		(624)		(219)
Total change		(455)		(145)

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive earnings	22,368	24,105
Comprehensive earnings attributable to the		
noncontrolling interest	(922)	248
Comprehensive earnings attributable to		
Centene Corporation	\$ 23,290	\$ 23,857

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this filing. The discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve both known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of this Form 10-Q.

OVERVIEW

Our financial performance for the first quarter of 2011 is summarized as follows:

- Quarter-end at-risk managed care membership of 1,542,500, an increase of 71,200 members, or 4.8% year over year.
- Premium and service revenues from continuing operations of \$1.2 billion, representing 15.4% growth year over year.
 - Health Benefits Ratio from continuing operations of 83.0%, compared to 84.0% in 2010.
 - General and Administrative expense ratio from continuing operations of 13.8%, compared to 13.3% in 2010.
 - Diluted net earnings per share from continuing operations of \$0.46, compared to \$0.41 in the prior year.
 - Total operating cash flows of \$94.0 million, or 4.1 times net earnings.

The following items contributed to our revenue and membership growth over the last year:

- Arizona. In December 2010, Cenpatico Behavioral Health of Arizona began operating under an expanded contract to manage behavioral healthcare services for an additional four counties. In February 2011, Bridgeway Health Solutions, LLC began operating under an agreement with Pima Health Systems of Arizona to administer their long-term care program on a non-risk basis.
- Celtic Insurance Company, Inc. In July 2010, we closed on the acquisition of certain assets and liabilities of NovaSys Health, LLC, a third party administrator in Arkansas that complements our existing Celtic business. In November 2010, Celtic began operating under a new contract with the Texas Department of Insurance to provide affordable health insurance plans for Texas small businesses under the new Healthy Texas initiative.
 - Florida. During 2010, we completed the conversion of approximately 26,000 members from Access Health Solutions LLC, or Access, to our subsidiary, Sunshine State Health Plan, on an at-risk basis. Additionally, in December 2010, we completed the acquisition of Citrus Health Care, Inc., a Medicaid and long-term care health plan.
- Massachusetts. In April 2010, we began offering an individual insurance product, under the names of Commonwealth Choice and CeltiCare Direct, for residents who do not qualify for other state funded insurance programs.
 - South Carolina. In June 2010, we completed the acquisition of Carolina Crescent Health Plan.
- Texas. In February 2011, we began operating under an additional STAR+PLUS ABD contract in Texas in the Dallas service area.

In January 2011, we began operating in Mississippi through the Mississippi Coordinated Access Network (MississippiCan) program, serving 33,100 members at March 31, 2011. While the plan has been operating since January 1, 2011 and we have received monthly premium payments and paid claims, the contract remains subject to CMS approval. Accordingly, we did not recognize revenue of \$54.5 million and associated medical costs, which delayed the recognition of earnings of approximately \$0.07 per diluted share related to the Mississippi operations. General and administrative expenses related to the Mississippi operations were recognized in our consolidated statement of operations. Upon CMS approval, the revenues, medical costs and related earnings from our Mississippi operations will be recognized in our consolidated statement of operations in the period final approval is obtained, retroactive to January 1, 2011.

We expect the following item to contribute to our future growth potential:

— In September 2010, our new subsidiary, IlliniCare Health Plan, was selected as one of two vendors to provide managed care services to older adults and adults with disabilities under the Integrated Care Program in six counties of Illinois. We expect operations to commence in the second quarter of 2011.

In April 2010, we were notified by the Wisconsin Department of Health Services that our Wisconsin subsidiary, Managed Health Services (MHS), was not awarded the Southeast Wisconsin BadgerCare Plus Managed Care contract. The change was effective November 1, 2010; after a two-month transition period (September through October), MHS no longer served BadgerCare Plus Standard and Benchmark members in Milwaukee, Washington, Ozaukee, Waukesha and Kenosha counties. MHS continues to serve more than 7,800 Wisconsin Core Plan and SSI members in this region and more than 74,000 members in other regions of the state. In 2010, we filed a legal challenge to the State of Wisconsin's decision on the southeast region reprocurement. The lawsuit is currently pending before the Wisconsin court of appeals. The timing and outcome of any decision from the appellate court is unknown at this time.

MEMBERSHIP

From March 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011, we increased our at-risk managed care membership by 4.8%. The following table sets forth our membership by state for our managed care organizations:

			December
	March	31,	31,
	2011	2010	2010
Arizona	22,600	21,700	22,400
Florida	188,800	105,900	194,900
Georgia	303,300	301,000	305,800
Indiana	209,400	211,400	215,800
Massachusetts	34,100	26,900	36,200
Ohio	160,900	156,000	160,100
South Carolina	84,900	53,900	90,300
Texas	456,700	459,600	433,100
Wisconsin	81,800	134,900	74,900
Total at-risk			
membership 1	1,542,500	1,471,300	1,533,500
Non-risk membership	10,400	62,200	4,200
Total	1,552,900	1,533,500	1,537,700

¹ In January 2011, we began operating in Mississippi through the Mississippi Coordinated Access Network (MississippiCan) program, serving 33,100 members at March 31, 2011. While the plan has been operating since January 1, 2011 and we have received monthly premium payments and paid claims, the contract remains subject to CMS approval.

The following table sets forth our membership by line of business:

			December
	March	31,	31,
	2011	2010	2010
Medicaid	1,169,700	1,088,300	1,177,100

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

CHIP & Foster Care	208,900	266,300	210,500
ABD & Medicare	123,800	87,100	104,600
Hybrid Programs	35,200	26,900	36,200
Long-term Care	4,900	2,700	5,100
Total at-risk			
membership	1,542,500	1,471,300	1,533,500
Non-risk membership	10,400	62,200	4,200
Total	1,552,900	1,533,500	1,537,700

Table of Contents

The following table provides supplemental information of other membership categories:

			December
	March	31,	31,
	2011	2010	2010
Cenpatico Behavioral			
Health:			
Arizona	172,700	119,300	174,600
Kansas	44,000	39,800	39,200

RESULTS OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is based on our consolidated statements of operations, which reflect our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

Summarized comparative financial data for the three months ended March 31 is as follows (\$ in millions):

					Change	
	2011		2010	20	010-2011	
Premium	\$ 1,152.8	\$	999.3		15.4	%
Service	26.4		22.9		15.2	%
Premium and service revenues	1,179.2		1,022.2		15.4	%
Premium tax	37.2		46.5		(20.0)%
Total revenues	1,216.4		1,068.7		13.8	%
Medical costs	957.1		839.7		14.0	%
Cost of services	20.2		17.2		17.6	%
General and administrative expenses	162.6		135.5		20.0	%
Premium tax expense	37.4		46.7		(19.9)%
Earnings from operations	39.1		29.6		32.0	%
Investment and other income, net	(2.0)	3.2		(160.0)%
Earnings from continuing operations, before						
income tax expense	37.1		32.8		13.1	%
Income tax expense	14.3		12.5		14.4	%
Earnings from continuing operations, net of						
income tax expense	22.8		20.3		12.3	%
Discontinued operations, net of income tax						
expense of \$0 and \$4.4 respectively			3.9		(100.0))%
Net earnings	22.8		24.2		(5.9)%
Noncontrolling interest	(0.9))	0.2			%
Net earnings attributable to Centene						
Corporation	\$ 23.7	\$	24.0		(1.1)%
Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders:						
Earnings from continuing operations, net of						
income tax expense	\$ 23.7	\$	20.1		18.2	%
			3.9		(100.0)%

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

Discontinued operations, net of income tax			
expense			
Net earnings	\$ 23.7	\$ 24.0	(1.1)%
·			
Diluted earnings per common share			
attributable to Centene Corporation:			
Continuing operations	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.41	12.2 %
Discontinued operations		0.08	(100.0)%
Total diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.49	(6.1)%

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

Revenues and Revenue Recognition

Premium and service revenues increased 15.4% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 over the corresponding period in 2010 as a result of membership growth and net premium rate increases over the last twelve months. The premium rates specified in our state contracts are generally updated on an annual basis through contract amendments. In the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received premium rate adjustments in certain markets which yielded a net 0% composite change across all of our markets.

Operating Expenses

Medical Costs

Results of operations depend on our ability to manage expenses associated with health benefits and to accurately predict costs incurred. The Health Benefits Ratio, or HBR, represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenues (excluding premium taxes) and reflects the direct relationship between the premium received and the medical services provided. The table below depicts the HBR for our membership by member category for the three months ended March 31:

	2011	2010
Medicaid		
and CHIP	82.4%	85.6%
ABD and		
Medicare	85.1	80.3
Specialty		
Services	82.7	80.6
Total	83.0	84.0

The consolidated HBR for the three months ended March 31, 2011 of 83.0% was a decrease of 1.0% over the comparable period in 2010 primarily as a result of decreased utilization.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses, or G&A, increased by \$27.1 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010. This was primarily due to expenses for additional staff and facilities to support our membership growth.

The consolidated G&A expense ratio for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was 13.8%, and 13.3%, respectively. The increase in the G&A expense ratio reflects an increase of 0.6% as a result of the general and administrative costs recorded in our new Mississippi market without recording the corresponding revenue.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense)

The following table summarizes the components of other income (expense) for the three months ended March 31, (\$ in millions):

	2011	2010
Investment		
income	\$3.7	\$4.0
Gain on		
Reserve		
Primary		
Fund		
distributions		3.0
Interest		
expense	(5.7)	(3.8)
Other		
income		
(expense),		
net	\$(2.0)	\$3.2

The decrease in investment income in 2011 reflects the decline in market interest rates.

Interest expense increased during the quarter by \$1.9 million primarily reflecting increased borrowings on the revolving credit agreements as well as borrowings on the mortgage loan associated with the real estate development including our corporate headquarters. The real estate development was placed in service in the third quarter of 2010 and accordingly we ceased capitalizing interest on the project.

Income Tax Expense

Excluding the effects of noncontrolling interests, our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 37.6% compared to 38.4% in the corresponding period in 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily related to the tax benefit from disqualified dispositions of incentive stock options.

Segment Results

The following table summarizes our operating results by segment for the three months ended March 31, (in millions):

			% Change
	2011	2010	2010-2011
Premium and Service			
Revenues			
Medicaid Managed			
Care	\$ 1,016.4	\$ 895.1	13.5%
Specialty Services	325.7	267.2	21.9%
Eliminations	(162.9)	(140.1)	16.2%
Consolidated Total	\$ 1,179.2	\$ 1,022.2	15.4%

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

Earnings from			
Operations			
Medicaid Managed			
Care	\$ 28.1	\$ 18.7	50.1%
Specialty Services	11.0	10.9	1.1%
Consolidated Total	\$ 39.1	\$ 29.6	32.0%

Medicaid Managed Care

Premium and service revenues increased 13.5% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to membership growth and net premium rate increases in 2010. Earnings from operations increased 50.1% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 reflecting overall growth in our membership, reduced HBR and leveraging of our general and administrative expenses.

Specialty Services

Premium and service revenues increased 21.9% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily due to growth of our operations in Arizona and Massachusetts, as well as membership growth in our Medicaid segment and the associated specialty services provided to this increased membership. Earnings from operations increased 1.1% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 reflecting growth in service revenue, offset by a higher HBR in 2011 and increased general and administrative expenses resulting from business expansion costs for new specialty services.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shown below is a condensed schedule of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, used in the discussion of liquidity and capital resources (\$ in millions).

	Three Months Ended March				
	31,				
	2	2011	,	2010	
Net cash provided by (used in)					
operating activities	\$	94.0	\$	(38.5)	
Net cash used in investing activities		(12.0)		(41.2)	
Net cash (used in) provided by					
financing activities		(24.1)		26.0	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and					
cash equivalents	\$	57.9	\$	(53.7)	

Normal operations are funded primarily through operating cash flows and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Operating activities provided cash of \$94.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to using cash of \$38.5 million in the comparable period in 2010. We record prepayments from our states as unearned revenue. As of March 31, 2011, we had unearned revenues \$127.5 million, representing advance payments from four of our state customers. In comparison, at March 31, 2010, we had unearned revenue of \$18.4 million, representing an advance payment from one state.

The table below details the impact to cash flows from operations flow from the timing of payments from our states (\$ in millions).

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 2010

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

Premium and related receivables	\$ 4.2	\$ (4.5)
Unearned revenue	10.1	(73.3)
Net increase (decrease) in operating		
cash flow	\$ 14.3	\$ (77.8)

We expect our cash flow provided by operating activities to moderate during the remainder of 2011; however the states in which we operate may decide to adjust their payment schedules which could positively or negatively impact our reported cash flows from operating activities in any given period.

Investing activities used cash of \$12.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and \$41.2 million in the comparable period in 2010. Cash flows from investing activities in 2011 and 2010 primarily consisted of additions to the investment portfolio of our regulated subsidiaries, including transfers from cash and cash equivalents to long-term investments, and capital expenditures.

Our investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital and maximize total return on invested assets within our guidelines. Net cash provided by and used in investing activities will fluctuate from year to year due to the timing of investment purchases, sales and maturities. As of March 31, 2011, our investment portfolio consisted primarily of fixed-income securities with an average duration of 2.1 years. These securities generally are actively traded in secondary markets and the reported fair market value is determined based on recent trading activity, recent trading activity in similar securities and other observable inputs. Our investment guidelines are compliant with the regulatory restrictions enacted in each state.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our cash and investment balances (\$ in millions):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term				
investments	\$	544.7	\$	455.2
Long-term investments		556.8		595.9
Restricted deposits		26.5		22.8
Total cash, investments and restricted				
deposits	\$	1,128.0	\$	1,073.9
•				
Unregulated cash and investments	\$	31.7	\$	30.9
Regulated cash, investments and restricted				
deposits		1,096.3		1,043.0
Consolidated Total	\$	1,128.0	\$	1,073.9

We spent \$14.3 million and \$8.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, on capital expenditures for system enhancements and market expansions. We also spent \$1.4 million and \$3.6 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively, for costs associated with our headquarters development including land, tenant improvements and furniture. We anticipate spending approximately \$45 million additional on capital expenditures in 2011 primarily associated with our new data center, system enhancements and market expansions.

During 2009, we began construction of a real estate development that includes the Company's corporate headquarters. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, Centene Center LLC had capital expenditures of \$1.2 million and \$10.6 million, respectively, for costs associated with the real estate development. The development was placed into service in the third quarter of 2010. We anticipate spending approximately \$10 million additional on capital expenditures in 2011 associated with the real estate development.

Our financing activities used cash of \$24.1 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to providing cash of \$26.0 million in the comparable period in 2010. During 2011, our financing activities primarily related to repayments of long term debt on our credit facility, proceeds from borrowings under our credit facility and proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

At March 31, 2011, we had working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, of \$(73.2) million, as compared to \$(108.4) million at December 31, 2010. We manage our short-term and long-term investments with the goal of ensuring that a sufficient portion is held in investments that are highly liquid and can be sold to fund short-term requirements as needed. Our working capital was negative due to our efforts to increase investment returns through purchases of investments that have maturities of greater than one year and, therefore, are classified as long-term.

At March 31, 2011, our debt to capital ratio, defined as total debt divided by the sum of total debt and total equity, was 26.9%, compared to 29.3% at December 31, 2010. Excluding the \$79.6 million non-recourse mortgage note, our debt to capital ratio is 21.4%, compared to 23.9% at December 31, 2010. We utilize the debt to capital ratio as a measure, among others, of our leverage and financial flexibility.

In January 2011, we replaced our \$300 million revolving credit agreement with a new \$350 million revolving credit facility, or the revolver. The revolver is unsecured and has a five-year maturity with non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, maximum debt to EBITDA ratios and

minimum net worth. Borrowings under the revolver will bear interest based upon LIBOR rates, the Federal funds rate, or the prime rate. There is a commitment fee on the unused portion of the agreement that ranges from 0.25% to 0.50% depending on the total debt to EBITDA ratio. As of March 31, 2011, we had \$35.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the agreement, leaving availability of \$315.0 million. As of March 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all covenants.

Based on our operating plan, we expect that our available cash, cash equivalents and investments, cash from our operations and cash available under our credit facility will be sufficient to finance our general operations and capital expenditures for at least 12 months from the date of this filing.

REGULATORY CAPITAL AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our operations are conducted through our subsidiaries. As managed care organizations, these subsidiaries are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state, and restrict the timing, payment and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us. Generally, the amount of dividend distributions that may be paid by a regulated subsidiary without prior approval by state regulatory authorities is limited based on the entity's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus.

Our subsidiaries are required to maintain minimum capital requirements prescribed by various regulatory authorities in each of the states in which we operate. As of March 31, 2011, our subsidiaries had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of \$541.3 million, compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus requirements of \$318.5 million and we estimate our Risk Based Capital, or RBC, percentage to be in excess of 350% of the Authorized Control Level.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners has adopted rules which set minimum risk-based capital requirements for insurance companies, managed care organizations and other entities bearing risk for healthcare coverage. As of March 31, 2011, each of our health plans was in compliance with the risk-based capital requirements enacted in those states.

12

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

INVESTMENTS

As of March 31, 2011, we had short-term investments of \$52.7 million and long-term investments of \$583.3 million, including restricted deposits of \$26.5 million. The short-term investments generally consist of highly liquid securities with maturities between three and 12 months. The long-term investments consist of municipal, corporate and U.S. Agency bonds, life insurance contracts, U.S. Treasury investments, asset backed securities and equity securities and have maturities greater than one year. Restricted deposits consist of investments required by various state statutes to be deposited or pledged to state agencies. Due to the nature of the states' requirements, these investments are classified as long-term regardless of the contractual maturity date. Our investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. Assuming a hypothetical and immediate 1% increase in market interest rates at December 31, 2010, the fair value of our fixed income investments would decrease by approximately \$10.2 million. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income. For a discussion of the interest rate risk that our investments are subject to, see "Risk Factors–Risks Related to Our Business–Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from reductions in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity."

INFLATION

While the inflation rate in 2010 for medical care costs was slightly less than that for all items, historically inflation for medical care costs has generally exceeded that for all items. We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of healthcare cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through our state savings initiatives and contracts with independent providers of healthcare services. Through these contracted care providers, our health plans emphasize preventive healthcare and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services. Additionally, our contracts with states require actuarially sound premiums that include health care cost trend.

While we currently believe our strategies to mitigate healthcare cost inflation will continue to be successful, competitive pressures, new healthcare and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from healthcare providers and customers, applicable regulations or other factors may affect our ability to control the impact of healthcare cost increases.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures - We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms; and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the filing of this Form 10-Q, management evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of March 31, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting - No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

13

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS AND THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK

You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, in which case you could lose all or part of your investment. You should also refer to the other information in this filing, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we currently believe may materially affect our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of or that we currently deem immaterial also may become important factors that affect our Company.

Risks Related to Being a Regulated Entity

Reduction in Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding could substantially reduce our profitability.

Most of our revenues come from Medicaid, CHIP and ABD premiums. The base premium rate paid by each state differs, depending on a combination of factors such as defined upper payment limits, a member's health status, age, gender, county or region, benefit mix and member eligibility categories. Future levels of Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding and premium rates may be affected by continuing government efforts to contain healthcare costs and may further be affected by state and federal budgetary constraints. Recent budget proposals for 2012 have suggested federal cuts to Medicaid funding (ie. through block grants and other means) by as much as \$1 trillion over 10 years.

States periodically consider reducing or reallocating the amount of money they spend for Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD. The current adverse economic conditions have, and are expected to continue to, put pressures on state budgets as tax and other state revenues decrease while the Medicaid eligible population increases, creating more need for funding. We anticipate this will require government agencies with whom we contract to find funding alternatives, which may result in reductions in funding for current programs and program expansions, contraction of covered benefits, limited or no premium rate increases or premium decreases. In recent years, the majority of states have implemented measures to restrict Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD costs and eligibility. If any state in which we operate were to decrease premiums paid to us, or pay us less than the amount necessary to keep pace with our cost trends, it could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the accompanying Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act were enacted. The Acts permit states to expand Medicaid to all individuals under age 65 with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level beginning April 1, 2010 and requires this expansion by January 1, 2014. Additional federal funds will be provided to states in 2014, but the amount of the federal support decreases each year. We cannot predict when the states will make these expansions. Further, because the states have to pay for a portion of the care, states may reduce our rates in order to afford the additional beneficiaries.

The American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009, which was signed into law on February 17, 2009, provided \$87 billion in additional federal Medicaid funding for states' Medicaid expenditures between October 1, 2008 and December 31, 2010. On August 10, 2010, a six-month extension of the enhanced match funding law was signed, such that the enhanced match, at a reduced level, will continue until June 30, 2011. States meeting certain eligibility requirements will temporarily receive additional money in the form of an increase in the federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP). Thus, for a limited period of time, the share of Medicaid costs that are paid for by the federal government will go up, and each state's share will go down. We cannot predict whether states are, or will remain,

eligible to receive the additional federal Medicaid funding, or whether the states will have sufficient funds for their Medicaid programs.

Changes to Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD programs could reduce the number of persons enrolled in or eligible for these programs, reduce the amount of reimbursement or payment levels, or increase our administrative or healthcare costs under these programs, all of which could have a negative impact on our business. Recent legislation generally requires that eligibility levels be maintained, but this could cause states to reduce reimbursement or reduce benefits in order to afford to maintain eligibility levels. Further, a number of states have requested waivers to the requirements to maintain eligibility levels. We believe that reductions in Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD payments could substantially reduce our profitability. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds.

If we are unable to participate in CHIP programs, our growth rate may be limited.

CHIP is a federal initiative designed to provide coverage for low-income children not otherwise covered by Medicaid or other insurance programs. The programs vary significantly from state to state. Participation in CHIP programs is an important part of our growth strategy. If states do not allow us to participate or if we fail to win bids to participate, our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected.

If CHIP is not reauthorized or states face shortfalls, our business could suffer.

Federal support for CHIP has been authorized through 2019, with funding authorized through 2015. We cannot be certain that funding for CHIP will be reauthorized when current funding expires in 2015. Thus, we cannot predict the impact that reauthorization will have on our business.

States receive matching funds from the federal government to pay for their CHIP programs which have a per state annual cap. Because of funding caps, there is a risk that states could experience shortfalls in future years, which could have an impact on our ability to receive amounts owed to us from states in which we have CHIP contracts.

If any of our state contracts are terminated or are not renewed, our business will suffer.

We provide managed care programs and selected services to individuals receiving benefits under federal assistance programs, including Medicaid, CHIP and ABD. We provide those healthcare services under contracts with regulatory entities in the areas in which we operate. Our contracts with various states are generally intended to run for one or two years and may be extended for one or two additional years if the state or its agent elects to do so. Our current contracts are set to expire or renew between June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2016. When our contracts expire, they may be opened for bidding by competing healthcare providers. There is no guarantee that our contracts will be renewed or extended. For example, on April 12, 2010, the Wisconsin Department of Health Services notified us that our Wisconsin subsidiary was not awarded a Southeast Wisconsin BadgerCare Plus Managed Care contract. While we will continue to serve other regions of the state, we transitioned the affected members to other plans by November 1, 2010. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds. For example, the Indiana contract under which we operate can be terminated by the State without cause. Our contracts could also be terminated if we fail to perform in accordance with the standards set by state regulatory agencies. If any of our contracts are terminated, not renewed, renewed on less favorable terms, or not renewed on a timely basis, our business will suffer, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows may be materially affected.

Table of Contents

Changes in government regulations designed to protect the financial interests of providers and members rather than our investors could force us to change how we operate and could harm our business.

Our business is extensively regulated by the states in which we operate and by the federal government. The applicable laws and regulations are subject to frequent change and generally are intended to benefit and protect the financial interests of health plan providers and members rather than investors. The enactment of new laws and rules or changes to existing laws and rules or the interpretation of such laws and rules could, among other things:

- force us to restructure our relationships with providers within our network;
- require us to implement additional or different programs and systems;
- mandate minimum medical expense levels as a percentage of premium revenues;
- restrict revenue and enrollment growth;
- require us to develop plans to guard against the financial insolvency of our providers;
- increase our healthcare and administrative costs;
- impose additional capital and reserve requirements; and
- increase or change our liability to members in the event of malpractice by our providers.

Regulations may decrease the profitability of our health plans.

Certain states have enacted regulations which require us to maintain a minimum health benefits ratio, or establish limits on our profitability. Other states require us to meet certain performance and quality metrics in order to receive our full contractual revenue. In certain circumstances, our plans may be required to pay a rebate to the state in the event profits exceed established levels. These regulatory requirements, changes in these requirements or the adoption of similar requirements by other regulators may limit our ability to increase our overall profits as a percentage of revenues. Most states, including but not limited to Georgia, Indiana, Texas and Wisconsin have implemented prompt-payment laws and many states are enforcing penalty provisions for failure to pay claims in a timely manner. Failure to meet these requirements can result in financial fines and penalties. In addition, states may attempt to reduce their contract premium rates if regulators perceive our health benefits ratio as too low. Any of these regulatory actions could harm our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Certain states also impose marketing restrictions on us which may constrain our membership growth and our ability to increase our revenues.

We face periodic reviews, audits and investigations under our contracts with state government agencies, and these audits could have adverse findings, which may negatively impact our business.

We contract with various state governmental agencies to provide managed healthcare services. Pursuant to these contracts, we are subject to various reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with the contracts and applicable laws and regulations. Any adverse review, audit or investigation could result in:

- cancellation of our contracts:
- refunding of amounts we have been paid pursuant to our contracts;
- imposition of fines, penalties and other sanctions on us;
- loss of our right to participate in various markets;
- increased difficulty in selling our products and services; and
- loss of one or more of our licenses.

Failure to comply with government regulations could subject us to civil and criminal penalties.

Federal and state governments have enacted fraud and abuse laws and other laws to protect patients' privacy and access to healthcare. In some states, we may be subject to regulation by more than one governmental authority, which may

impose overlapping or inconsistent regulations. Violation of these and other laws or regulations governing our operations or the operations of our providers could result in the imposition of civil or criminal penalties, the cancellation of our contracts to provide services, the suspension or revocation of our licenses or our exclusion from participating in the Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD programs. If we were to become subject to these penalties or exclusions as the result of our actions or omissions or our inability to monitor the compliance of our providers, it would negatively affect our ability to operate our business.

HIPAA broadened the scope of fraud and abuse laws applicable to healthcare companies. HIPAA created civil penalties for, among other things, billing for medically unnecessary goods or services. HIPAA established new enforcement mechanisms to combat fraud and abuse, including civil and, in some instances, criminal penalties for failure to comply with specific standards relating to the privacy, security and electronic transmission of most individually identifiable health information. The HITECH Act expanded the scope of these provisions by mandating individual notification in instances of data breach, providing enhanced penalties for HIPAA violations, and granting enforcement authority to states' Attorneys General in addition to the HHS Office of Civil Rights. It is possible that Congress may enact additional legislation in the future to increase penalties and to create a private right of action under HIPAA, which could entitle patients to seek monetary damages for violations of the privacy rules.

We may incur significant costs as a result of compliance with government regulations, and our management will be required to devote time to compliance.

Many aspects of our business are affected by government laws and regulations. The issuance of new regulations, or judicial or regulatory guidance regarding existing regulations, could require changes to many of the procedures we currently use to conduct our business, which may lead to additional costs that we have not yet identified. We do not know whether, or the extent to which, we will be able to recover from the states our costs of complying with these new regulations. The costs of any such future compliance efforts could have a material adverse effect on our business. We have already expended significant time, effort and financial resources to comply with the privacy and security requirements of HIPAA and will have to expend additional time and financial resources to comply with the HIPAA provisions contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act. We cannot predict whether states will enact stricter laws governing the privacy and security of electronic health information. If any new requirements are enacted at the state or federal level, compliance would likely require additional expenditures and management time.

Changes in healthcare law and benefits may reduce our profitability.

Changes in applicable laws and regulations are continually being considered, and interpretations of existing laws and rules may also change from time to time. We are unable to predict what regulatory changes may occur or what effect any particular change may have on our business. For example, these changes could reduce the number of persons enrolled or eligible to enroll in Medicaid, reduce the reimbursement or payment levels for medical services or reduce benefits included in Medicaid coverage. For example, some states, including Indiana and Ohio have removed, and others could consider removing, pharmacy coverage from the services covered by managed care entities. We are also unable to predict whether new laws or proposals will favor or hinder the growth of managed healthcare in general.

The recently enacted health care reform law and the implementation of that law could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the accompanying Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act were enacted. This legislation provides comprehensive changes to the U.S. health care system, which will be phased in at various stages through 2018. Among other things, by January 1, 2014, states will be required to expand their Medicaid programs to provide eligibility to nearly all people under age 65 with income below 133 percent of the federal poverty line. As a result, millions of low-income adults without children who

currently cannot qualify for coverage, as well as many low-income parents and, in some instances, children now covered through CHIP, will be made eligible for Medicaid. States were permitted to begin such expansions on April 1, 2010.

The legislation also imposes an annual insurance industry assessment of \$8 billion starting in 2014, with increasing annual amounts thereafter. Such assessment may not be deductible for income tax purposes. If this federal premium tax is imposed as enacted, and if the cost of the federal premium tax is not included in the calculation of our rates, or if we are unable to otherwise adjust our business model to address this new tax, our results of operations, financial position and liquidity may be materially adversely affected.

There are numerous outstanding steps required to implement the legislation, including the promulgation of a substantial number of new and potentially more onerous federal regulations. Further, various health insurance reform proposals are also emerging at the state level. Federal legislation has been introduced to permit states as early as 2014 (as opposed to 2017 as is in the current health care reform law) to opt out of the health care reform law and provide their own model in certain circumstances. Because of the unsettled nature of these reforms and numerous steps required to implement them, we cannot predict what additional health insurance requirements will be implemented at the federal or state level, or the effect that any future legislation or regulation will have on our business or our growth opportunities.

Table of Contents

In addition, there have been a number of lawsuits filed that challenge all or part of the health care reform law. On January 31, 2011, a Florida District Court ruled that the entire health care reform law is unconstitutional. This judgment has been stayed pending appeal. Other courts have ruled in favor of the law or have only struck down certain provisions of the law. These cases are under appeal and others are in process. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of any of the litigation. Further, various Congressional leaders have indicated a desire to revisit some or all of the health care reform law during 2011. While the U.S House of Representatives voted to repeal the whole health care reform law, the U.S. Senate voted against such a repeal, and there have separately been a number of bills introduced that would repeal, change or defund certain provisions of the law. The 2011 budget eliminates two programs funded under the health care reform law – the Consumer Operated and Oriented Plan (CO-OP) and the Free Choice Voucher programs). Because of these challenges, we cannot predict whether any or all of the legislation will be implemented as enacted, overturned, repealed or modified.

Although we believe the legislation may provide us with significant opportunities to grow our business, the enacted reforms, as well as future regulations and legislative changes, may in fact have a material adverse affect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity. If we fail to effectively implement our operational and strategic initiatives with respect to the implementation of health care reform, or do not do so as effectively as our competitors, our business may be materially adversely affected.

If a state fails to renew a required federal waiver for mandated Medicaid enrollment into managed care or such application is denied, our membership in that state will likely decrease.

States may administer Medicaid managed care programs pursuant to demonstration programs or required waivers of federal Medicaid standards. Waivers and demonstration programs are generally approved for two year periods and can be renewed on an ongoing basis if the state applies. We have no control over this renewal process. If a state does not renew such a waiver or demonstration program or the Federal government denies a state's application for renewal, membership in our health plan in the state could decrease and our business could suffer.

Changes in federal funding mechanisms may reduce our profitability.

Changes in funding for Medicaid may affect our business. For example, on May 29, 2007, CMS issued a final rule that would reduce states' use of intergovernmental transfers for the states' share of Medicaid program funding. By restricting the use of intergovernmental transfers, this rule may restrict some states' funding for Medicaid, which could adversely affect our growth, operations and financial performance. On May 23, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia vacated the final rule as improperly promulgated. On November 30, 2010, CMS issued final regulations that remove these provisions and restore the regulatory language that was in place before the 2007 regulations were issued. While this rule has been removed, we cannot predict whether another similar rule or any other rule that changes funding mechanisms will be promulgated, and if any are, what impact they will have on our business.

Legislative changes in the Medicare program may also affect our business. For example, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 revised cost-sharing requirements for some beneficiaries and required states to reimburse the federal Medicare program for costs of prescription drug coverage provided to beneficiaries who are enrolled simultaneously in both the Medicaid and Medicare programs.

If state regulatory agencies require a statutory capital level higher than the state regulations, we may be required to make additional capital contributions.

Our operations are conducted through our wholly owned subsidiaries, which include health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, and managed care organizations, or MCOs. HMOs and MCOs are subject to state regulations

that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Additionally, state regulatory agencies may require, at their discretion, individual HMOs to maintain statutory capital levels higher than the state regulations. If this were to occur to one of our subsidiaries, we may be required to make additional capital contributions to the affected subsidiary. Any additional capital contribution made to one of the affected subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to grow.

If state regulators do not approve payments of dividends and distributions by our subsidiaries to us, we may not have sufficient funds to implement our business strategy.

We principally operate through our health plan subsidiaries. If funds normally available to us become limited in the future, we may need to rely on dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries to fund our operations. These subsidiaries are subject to regulations that limit the amount of dividends and distributions that can be paid to us without prior approval of, or notification to, state regulators. If these regulators were to deny our subsidiaries' request to pay dividends to us, the funds available to us would be limited, which could harm our ability to implement our business strategy.

Risks Related to Our Business

Ineffectiveness of state-operated systems and subcontractors could adversely affect our business.

Our health plans rely on other state-operated systems or sub-contractors to qualify, solicit, educate and assign eligible members into the health plans. The effectiveness of these state operations and sub-contractors can have a material effect on a health plan's enrollment in a particular month or over an extended period. When a state implements new programs to determine eligibility, new processes to assign or enroll eligible members into health plans, or chooses new contractors, there is an increased potential for an unanticipated impact on the overall number of members assigned into the health plans.

Failure to accurately predict our medical expenses could negatively affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our medical expense includes claims reported but not yet paid, or inventory, estimates for claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR, and estimates for the costs necessary to process unpaid claims at the end of each period. Our development of the medical claims liability estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimate, and include the changes in estimates in medical expense in the period in which the changes are identified.

We can not be sure that our medical claims liability estimates are adequate or that adjustments to those estimates will not unfavorably impact our results of operations. For example, in the three months ended June 30, 2006 we adjusted IBNR by \$9.7 million for adverse medical costs development from the first quarter of 2006.

Additionally, when we commence operations in a new state or region, we have limited information with which to estimate our medical claims liability. For example, we commenced operations in South Carolina in December 2007, began our Foster Care program in Texas in April 2008, commenced operations in Florida in February 2009, in Massachusetts in July 2009, in Mississippi in January 2011, and expect to commence operations in Illinois in 2011. For a period of time after the inception of business in these states, we base our estimates on state-provided historical actuarial data and limited actual incurred and received claims. The addition of new categories of individuals who are eligible for Medicaid under new legislation may pose the same difficulty in estimating our medical claims liability and utilization patterns.

From time to time in the past, our actual results have varied from our estimates, particularly in times of significant changes in the number of our members. The accuracy of our medical claims liability estimate may also affect our ability to take timely corrective actions, further harming our results.

Receipt of inadequate or significantly delayed premiums would negatively affect our revenues, profitability or cash flows.

Our premium revenues consist of fixed monthly payments per member and supplemental payments for other services such as maternity deliveries. These premiums are fixed by contract, and we are obligated during the contract periods to provide healthcare services as established by the state governments. We use a large portion of our revenues to pay the costs of healthcare services delivered to our members. If premiums do not increase when expenses related to medical services rise, our earnings will be affected negatively. In addition, our actual medical services costs may exceed our estimates, which would cause our health benefits ratio, or our expenses related to medical services as a percentage of premium revenue, to increase and our profits to decline. In addition, it is possible for a state to increase the rates payable to the hospitals without granting a corresponding increase in premiums to us. If this were to occur in one or more of the states in which we operate, our profitability would be harmed. In addition, if there is a significant delay in our receipt of premiums to offset previously incurred health benefits costs, our cash flows or earnings could be negatively impacted.

Table of Contents

In some instances, our base premiums are subject to an adjustment, or risk score, based on the acuity of our membership. Generally, the risk score is determined by the State analyzing encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership. The risk score is dependent on several factors including our providers' completeness and quality of claims submission, our processing of the claim, submission of the processed claims in the form of encounters to the states' encounter systems and the states' acceptance and analysis of the encounter data. If the risk scores assigned to our premiums that are risk adjusted are not adequate or do not appropriately reflect the acuity of our membership, our earnings will be affected negatively.

Failure to effectively manage our medical costs or related administrative costs or uncontrollable epidemic or pandemic costs would reduce our profitability.

Our profitability depends, to a significant degree, on our ability to predict and effectively manage expenses related to health benefits. We have less control over the costs related to medical services than we do over our general and administrative expenses. Because of the narrow margins of our health plan business, relatively small changes in our health benefits ratio can create significant changes in our financial results. Changes in healthcare regulations and practices, the level of use of healthcare services, hospital costs, pharmaceutical costs, major epidemics or pandemics, new medical technologies and other external factors, including general economic conditions such as inflation levels, are beyond our control and could reduce our ability to predict and effectively control the costs of providing health benefits. In 2009, the H1N1 influenza pandemic resulted in heightened costs due to increased physician visits and increased utilization of hospital emergency rooms and pharmaceutical costs. We cannot predict what impact the H1N1 influenza virus or any other epidemic or pandemic will have on our costs in the future. Additionally, we may not be able to manage costs effectively in the future. If our costs related to health benefits increase, our profits could be reduced or we may not remain profitable.

Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from changes in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity.

As of March 31, 2011, we had \$544.7 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$583.3 million of long-term investments and restricted deposits. We maintain an investment portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments in a variety of securities which may include asset backed securities, bank deposits, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, money market funds, municipal bonds, corporate bonds, instruments of the U.S. Treasury and other government corporations and agencies, insurance contracts and equity securities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. Substantially all of these securities are subject to interest rate and credit risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase or one of the issuers' credit ratings is reduced. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. For example, in the third quarter of 2008, we recorded a loss on investments of approximately \$4.5 million due to a loss in a money market fund.

Our investments in state, municipal and corporate securities are not guaranteed by the United States government which could materially and adversely affect our results of operation, liquidity or financial condition.

As of March 31, 2011, we had \$437.8 million of investments in state, municipal and corporate securities. These securities are not guaranteed by the United States government. State and municipal securities are subject to additional credit risk based upon each local municipality's tax revenues and financial stability. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Difficulties in executing our acquisition strategy could adversely affect our business.

Historically, the acquisition of Medicaid and specialty services businesses, contract rights and related assets of other health plans both in our existing service areas and in new markets has accounted for a significant amount of our growth. Many of the other potential purchasers have greater financial resources than we have. In addition, many of the sellers are interested either in (a) selling, along with their Medicaid assets, other assets in which we do not have an interest or (b) selling their companies, including their liabilities, as opposed to the assets of their ongoing businesses.

We generally are required to obtain regulatory approval from one or more state agencies when making acquisitions. In the case of an acquisition of a business located in a state in which we do not currently operate, we would be required to obtain the necessary licenses to operate in that state. In addition, even if we already operate in a state in which we acquire a new business, we would be required to obtain additional regulatory approval if the acquisition would result in our operating in an area of the state in which we did not operate previously, and we could be required to renegotiate provider contracts of the acquired business. We cannot provide any assurance that we would be able to comply with these regulatory requirements for an acquisition in a timely manner, or at all. In deciding whether to approve a proposed acquisition, state regulators may consider a number of factors outside our control, including giving preference to competing offers made by locally owned entities or by not-for-profit entities.

We also may be unable to obtain sufficient additional capital resources for future acquisitions. If we are unable to effectively execute our acquisition strategy, our future growth will suffer and our results of operations could be harmed.

Execution of our growth strategy may increase costs or liabilities, or create disruptions in our business.

We pursue acquisitions of other companies or businesses from time to time. Although we review the records of companies or businesses we plan to acquire, even an in-depth review of records may not reveal existing or potential problems or permit us to become familiar enough with a business to assess fully its capabilities and deficiencies. As a result, we may assume unanticipated liabilities or adverse operating conditions, or an acquisition may not perform as well as expected. We face the risk that the returns on acquisitions will not support the expenditures or indebtedness incurred to acquire such businesses, or the capital expenditures needed to develop such businesses. We also face the risk that we will not be able to integrate acquisitions into our existing operations effectively without substantial expense, delay or other operational or financial problems. Integration may be hindered by, among other things, differing procedures, including internal controls, business practices and technology systems. We may need to divert more management resources to integration than we planned, which may adversely affect our ability to pursue other profitable activities.

In addition to the difficulties we may face in identifying and consummating acquisitions, we will also be required to integrate and consolidate any acquired business or assets with our existing operations. This may include the integration of:

- additional personnel who are not familiar with our operations and corporate culture;
- provider networks that may operate on different terms than our existing networks;
- existing members, who may decide to switch to another healthcare plan; and
- disparate administrative, accounting and finance, and information systems.

Additionally, our growth strategy includes start-up operations in new markets or new products in existing markets. We may incur significant expenses prior to commencement of operations and the receipt of revenue. As a result, these start-up operations may decrease our profitability. In the event we pursue any opportunity to diversify our business internationally, we would become subject to additional risks, including, but not limited to, political risk, an unfamiliar regulatory regime, currency exchange risk and exchange controls, cultural and language differences, foreign tax issues, and different labor laws and practices.

Accordingly, we may be unable to identify, consummate and integrate future acquisitions or start-up operations successfully or operate acquired or new businesses profitably.

Acquisitions of unfamiliar new businesses could negatively impact our business.

We are subject to the expenditures and risks associated with entering into any new line of business. Our failure to properly manage these expenditures and risks could have a negative impact on our overall business. For example, effective July 2008, we completed the previously announced acquisition of Celtic Group, Inc., the parent company of Celtic Insurance Company, or Celtic. Celtic is a national individual health insurance provider that provides health insurance to individual customers and their families. While we believed that the addition of Celtic would be complementary to our business, we had not previously operated in the individual health care industry.

If competing managed care programs are unwilling to purchase specialty services from us, we may not be able to successfully implement our strategy of diversifying our business lines.

We are seeking to diversify our business lines into areas that complement our Medicaid business in order to grow our revenue stream and balance our dependence on Medicaid risk reimbursement. In order to diversify our business, we must succeed in selling the services of our specialty subsidiaries not only to our managed care plans, but to programs operated by third-parties. Some of these third-party programs may compete with us in some markets, and they therefore may be unwilling to purchase specialty services from us. In any event, the offering of these services will require marketing activities that differ significantly from the manner in which we seek to increase revenues from our Medicaid programs. Our inability to market specialty services to other programs may impair our ability to execute our business strategy.

Table of Contents

Failure to achieve timely profitability in any business would negatively affect our results of operations.

Business expansion costs associated with a new business can be substantial. For example, in order to obtain a certificate of authority in most jurisdictions, we must first establish a provider network, have systems in place and demonstrate our ability to obtain a state contract and process claims. If we were unsuccessful in obtaining the necessary license, winning the bid to provide service or attracting members in numbers sufficient to cover our costs, any new business of ours would fail. We also could be obligated by the state to continue to provide services for some period of time without sufficient revenue to cover our ongoing costs or recover business expansion costs. The expenses associated with starting up a new business could have a significant impact on our results of operations if we are unable to achieve profitable operations in a timely fashion.

Adverse credit market conditions may have a material adverse affect on our liquidity or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms.

The securities and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption over the past several years. The availability of credit, from virtually all types of lenders, has been restricted. Such conditions may persist during 2011 and beyond. In the event we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay capital expenditures, or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant, particularly if we are unable to access our existing credit facility.

Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as prevailing economic and credit market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, and perceptions of our financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all. We believe that if credit could be obtained, the terms and costs of such credit could be significantly less favorable to us than what was obtained in our most recent financings.

We derive a majority of our premium revenues from operations in a small number of states, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows would be materially affected by a decrease in premium revenues or profitability in any one of those states.

Operations in a few states have accounted for most of our premium revenues to date. If we were unable to continue to operate in any of our current states or if our current operations in any portion of one of those states were significantly curtailed, our revenues could decrease materially. Our Medicaid contract with Kansas, which terminated December 31, 2006, together with our Medicaid contract with Missouri, accounted for \$317.0 million in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006. Our reliance on operations in a limited number of states could cause our revenue and profitability to change suddenly and unexpectedly depending on legislative or other governmental or regulatory actions and decisions, economic conditions and similar factors in those states. For example, states we currently serve may bid out their Medicaid program through a Request for Proposal, or RFP, process. Our inability to continue to operate in any of the states in which we operate would harm our business.

Competition may limit our ability to increase penetration of the markets that we serve.

We compete for members principally on the basis of size and quality of provider network, benefits provided and quality of service. We compete with numerous types of competitors, including other health plans and traditional state Medicaid programs that reimburse providers as care is provided. In addition, the impact of health care reform and potential growth in our segment may attract new competitors. Subject to limited exceptions by federally approved

state applications, the federal government requires that there be choices for Medicaid recipients among managed care programs. Voluntary programs, increases in the number of competitors and mandated competition may limit our ability to increase our market share.

Some of the health plans with which we compete have greater financial and other resources and offer a broader scope of products than we do. In addition, significant merger and acquisition activity has occurred in the managed care industry, as well as in industries that act as suppliers to us, such as the hospital, physician, pharmaceutical, medical device and health information systems businesses. To the extent that competition intensifies in any market that we serve, our ability to retain or increase members and providers, or maintain or increase our revenue growth, pricing flexibility and control over medical cost trends may be adversely affected.

In addition, in order to increase our membership in the markets we currently serve, we believe that we must continue to develop and implement community-specific products, alliances with key providers and localized outreach and educational programs. If we are unable to develop and implement these initiatives, or if our competitors are more successful than we are in doing so, we may not be able to further penetrate our existing markets.

If we are unable to maintain relationships with our provider networks, our profitability may be harmed.

Our profitability depends, in large part, upon our ability to contract favorably with hospitals, physicians and other healthcare providers. Our provider arrangements with our primary care physicians, specialists and hospitals generally may be cancelled by either party without cause upon 90 to 120 days prior written notice. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to continue to renew our existing contracts or enter into new contracts enabling us to service our members profitably.

From time to time providers assert or threaten to assert claims seeking to terminate non-cancelable agreements due to alleged actions or inactions by us. Even if these allegations represent attempts to avoid or renegotiate contractual terms that have become economically disadvantageous to the providers, it is possible that in the future a provider may pursue such a claim successfully. In addition, we are aware that other managed care organizations have been subject to class action suits by physicians with respect to claim payment procedures, and we may be subject to similar claims. Regardless of whether any claims brought against us are successful or have merit, they will still be time-consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

We will be required to establish acceptable provider networks prior to entering new markets. We may be unable to enter into agreements with providers in new markets on a timely basis or under favorable terms. If we are unable to retain our current provider contracts or enter into new provider contracts timely or on favorable terms, our profitability will be harmed.

We may be unable to attract and retain key personnel.

We are highly dependent on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel to operate and expand our business. If we lose one or more members of our senior management team, including our chief executive officer, Michael F. Neidorff, who has been instrumental in developing our business strategy and forging our business relationships, our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be harmed. Our ability to replace any departed members of our senior management or other key employees may be difficult and may take an extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in the Medicaid managed care and specialty services industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to operate and successfully expand a business such as ours. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these personnel.

Negative publicity regarding the managed care industry may harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The managed care industry has received negative publicity. This publicity has led to increased legislation, regulation, review of industry practices and private litigation in the commercial sector. These factors may adversely affect our ability to market our services, require us to change our services, and increase the regulatory burdens under which we operate. Any of these factors may increase the costs of doing business and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Claims relating to medical malpractice could cause us to incur significant expenses.

Our providers and employees involved in medical care decisions may be subject to medical malpractice claims. In addition, some states have adopted legislation that permits managed care organizations to be held liable for negligent treatment decisions or benefits coverage determinations. Claims of this nature, if successful, could result in substantial damage awards against us and our providers that could exceed the limits of any applicable insurance coverage. Therefore, successful malpractice or tort claims asserted against us, our providers or our employees could adversely affect our financial condition and profitability. Even if any claims brought against us are unsuccessful or without merit, they would still be time consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

Loss of providers due to increased insurance costs could adversely affect our business.

Our providers routinely purchase insurance to help protect themselves against medical malpractice claims. In recent years, the costs of maintaining commercially reasonable levels of such insurance have increased dramatically, and these costs are expected to increase to even greater levels in the future. As a result of the level of these costs, providers may decide to leave the practice of medicine or to limit their practice to certain areas, which may not address the needs of Medicaid participants. We rely on retaining a sufficient number of providers in order to maintain a certain level of service. If a significant number of our providers exit our provider networks or the practice of medicine generally, we may be unable to replace them in a timely manner, if at all, and our business could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer if state and federal budgets decrease or do not increase.

Less favorable economic conditions may cause our membership to increase as more people become eligible to receive Medicaid benefits. During such economic downturns, however, state and federal budgets could decrease, causing states to attempt to cut healthcare programs, benefits and rates. Additionally, the number of individuals eligible for Medicaid managed care will likely increase as a result of the recent health care reform legislation. We cannot predict the impact of changes in the United States economic environment or other economic or political events, including acts of terrorism or related military action, on federal or state funding of healthcare programs or on the size of the population eligible for the programs we operate. If federal or state funding decreases or remains unchanged while our membership increases, our results of operations will suffer.

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons may be countercyclical, which could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer when general economic conditions are improving.

Historically, the number of persons eligible to receive Medicaid benefits has increased more rapidly during periods of rising unemployment, corresponding to less favorable general economic conditions. Conversely, this number may grow more slowly or even decline if economic conditions improve. Therefore, improvements in general economic conditions may cause our membership levels to decrease, thereby causing our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer, which could lead to decreases in our stock price during periods in which stock prices in general are increasing.

If we are unable to integrate and manage our information systems effectively, our operations could be disrupted.

Our operations depend significantly on effective information systems. The information gathered and processed by our information systems assists us in, among other things, monitoring utilization and other cost factors, processing provider claims, and providing data to our regulators. Our providers also depend upon our information systems for membership verifications, claims status and other information.

Our information systems and applications require continual maintenance, upgrading and enhancement to meet our operational needs and regulatory requirements. Moreover, our acquisition activity requires frequent transitions to or from, and the integration of, various information systems. We regularly upgrade and expand our information systems' capabilities. If we experience difficulties with the transition to or from information systems or are unable to properly maintain or expand our information systems, we could suffer, among other things, from operational disruptions, loss of existing members and difficulty in attracting new members, regulatory problems and increases in administrative expenses. In addition, our ability to integrate and manage our information systems may be impaired as the result of events outside our control, including acts of nature, such as earthquakes or fires, or acts of terrorists.

We rely on the accuracy of eligibility lists provided by state governments. Inaccuracies in those lists would negatively affect our results of operations.

Premium payments to us are based upon eligibility lists produced by state governments. From time to time, states require us to reimburse them for premiums paid to us based on an eligibility list that a state later discovers contains individuals who are not in fact eligible for a government sponsored program or are eligible for a different premium category or a different program. Alternatively, a state could fail to pay us for members for whom we are entitled to payment. Our results of operations would be adversely affected as a result of such reimbursement to the state if we had made related payments to providers and were unable to recoup such payments from the providers.

We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate insurance.

We maintain liability insurance, subject to limits and deductibles, for claims that could result from providing or failing to provide managed care and related services. These claims could be substantial. We believe that our present insurance coverage and reserves are adequate to cover currently estimated exposures. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage in the future at acceptable costs or that we will not incur significant liabilities in excess of policy limits.

From time to time, we may become involved in costly and time-consuming litigation and other regulatory proceedings, which require significant attention from our management.

We are a defendant from time to time in lawsuits and regulatory actions relating to our business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and regulatory proceedings, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings. An unfavorable outcome could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, regardless of the outcome of any litigation or regulatory proceedings, such proceedings are costly and time consuming and require significant attention from our management. For example, we have in the past, or may be subject to in the future, securities class action lawsuits, IRS examinations or similar regulatory actions. Any such matters could harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

An unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential member information could have an adverse effect on our business.

As part of our normal operations, we collect, process and retain confidential member information. We are subject to various federal and state laws and rules regarding the use and disclosure of confidential member information, including HIPAA and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 further expands the coverage of HIPAA by, among other things, extending the privacy and security provisions, requiring new disclosures if a data breach occurs, mandating new regulations around electronic medical records, expanding enforcement mechanisms, allowing the state Attorneys General to bring enforcement actions and increasing penalties for violations. Despite the security measures we have in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules, our facilities and systems, and those of our third party service providers, may be vulnerable to security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors or other similar events. Any security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure or use of confidential member information, whether by us or a third party, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities First Quarter 2011

	FIISI	. Quai	ner 2011		
				Total	
				Number	Maximum
				of Shares	Number of
				Purchased	Shares
				as	that May
	Total			Part of	Yet Be
	Number			Publicly	Purchased
	of	A	verage	Announced	Under
	Shares		Price	Plans	the Plans
	Purchased	P	aid per	or	or
Period	1		Share	Programs	Programs2
January 1 – January					
31, 2011	393	\$	27.98	_	1,667,724
February 1 –					
February 28, 2011	7,506		29.94	_	1,667,724
March 1 – March					
31, 2011	5,404		30.74	_	1,667,724
Total	13,303	\$	30.21	_	1,667,724

⁽¹⁾ Shares acquired represent shares relinquished to the Company by certain employees for payment of taxes or option cost upon vesting of restricted stock units or option exercise.

⁽²⁾ Our Board of Directors adopted a stock repurchase program of up to 4,000,000 shares. No duration has been placed on the repurchase program, however, the terms of the Senior Notes require that all such redemptions are consummated on or before April 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits.

Exhibits.

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
10.11	Amendment R (Version 1.18) to Contract between the Texas Health and Human Services Commission and Superior HealthPlan, Inc.
12.1	Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.
31.1	Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.12	XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document.
101.22	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.32	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.42	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.52	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.62	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

¹ The Company has requested confidential treatment of the redacted portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and has separately filed a complete copy of this exhibit with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

² XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized as of April 26, 2011.

CENTENE CORPORATION

By: /s/ MICHAEL F. NEIDORFF

Chairman, President and Chief Executive

Officer

(principal executive officer)

By: /s/ WILLIAM N. SCHEFFEL

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

(principal financial officer)

By: /s/ JEFFREY A. SCHWANEKE

Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief

Accounting Officer

(principal accounting officer)