

STEEL DYNAMICS INC
Form DEF 14A
March 27, 2019

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[Table of Contents](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Steel Dynamics, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

To our Stockholders:

On behalf of our Board of Directors, it is our privilege to cordially invite you to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of stockholders for Steel Dynamics, Inc. to be held on Thursday, May 16, 2019 at 9:00am EDT in the Grand Wayne Center, 120 West Jefferson Boulevard, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will vote on the following items of business which are detailed in the following proxy statement. The Board recommends a FOR vote for each of the following proposals:

- (1) To elect eleven (11) Directors for a one-year term.
- (2) To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.
- (3) To hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers.
- (4) To approve the Amended and Restated Steel Dynamics, Inc. 2015 Equity Incentive Plan.

These proxy materials are available on the Internet at the following website: <http://materials.proxyvote.com/858119>, and are also available on our Internet site at www.steeldynamics.com under the heading "Investors."

The record date has been set as March 18, 2019 to determine stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting. This letter and the accompanying Notice, proxy statement and proxy card, for those receiving paper copies, as well as a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials, with instructions for accessing the proxy materials and Annual Report on the Internet, is being first sent or made available to stockholders on or about April 5, 2019.

We look forward to seeing you at our 2019 Annual Meeting on May 16, 2019.

Sincerely,

MARK D. MILLETT
President and Chief Executive Officer
March 27, 2019

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Proxy Summary</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Business Highlights</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Governance Highlights</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Executive Compensation Highlights</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Voting Information</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Voting Shares Held by Brokers, Banks, Custodians or Other Nominees</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Voting Shares Held in Your Name</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Required Vote</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Your Choices on How to Vote by Proxy</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Governance of the Company</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Governance Policy</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Committees and Meetings of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>The Compensation Committee</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>The Audit Committee</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Statement of Policy for the Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Information Concerning Experience, Qualifications, Attributes, and Skills of the Director Nominees and Other Executive Officers</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Proposal No. 2 Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as Auditors</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Executive Summary</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Administration of Executive Compensation Program</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Summary of The Executive Compensation Program Components</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Other Programs and Policies</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Tax and Accounting Considerations</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Report of the Compensation Committee</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Compensation Tables</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>CEO Pay Ratio</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Proposal No. 3 Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Named Executive Officers</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Proposal No. 4 Approval of the Amended and Restated Steel Dynamics, Inc. 2015 Equity Incentive Plan</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Other Matters</u>	<u>72</u>

Table of Contents**Proxy Summary***Business Highlights**Our Company*

We are one of the largest domestic steel producers and metal recyclers in the United States, based on current estimated steel shipping capacity of 13 million tons and actual metals recycling volumes. The primary source of revenues is from the manufacture and sale of steel products, processing and sale of recycled ferrous and nonferrous metals, and fabrication and sale of steel joists and deck products.

Our Performance

We thank you, our loyal customers, vendors, communities and stockholders, for your continued support of our company. Thank you to our extraordinary colleagues for your passion, innovation and dedication to each other and to a spirit of excellence. The entire Steel Dynamics team achieved a record performance during 2018. We reached numerous milestones and performed at the top of our industry, both operationally and financially. In addition, our safety record remained strong during 2018 with a total recordable incident rate of 1.8 and each operating platform performing significantly better than their respective peer industry averages. The following table highlights various financial and operational milestones achieved during 2018 (in millions):

	2017	2018	% Increase
Net Sales	\$ 9,539	\$ 11,822	24%
Operating Income	1,067	1,722	61%
Net Income	813	1,259	55%
EBITDA ¹	1,360	2,038	50%
Steel Shipments (tons)	9,727	10,610	9%
Steel Fabrication Shipments (tons)	627	642	2%
Metals Recycling Ferrous Shipments (tons)	4,953	5,124	3%

Our Strategy

Our strategic focus to create value for our stockholders, customers, employees and communities is differentiated through our six Core Operating and Leadership Principles:

§

Safety We are focused on providing a safe working environment for all employees. Our goal is to achieve zero injuries no accidents, no lost workdays, and no injuries.

§

Enhancing Customer Relationships and Commitment Our customers are critical to our success and are important business partners. We strive to be a preferred partner by providing outstanding products and solutions, exceeding both current expectations and future needs, and deliver greater value.

§

Sustaining Superior Operating Culture Our entrepreneurial culture fosters a team of energetic, positive, driven and innovative individuals by utilizing open communication and performance-based compensation aligned to our strategic focus. This results in our safe, low-cost, and highly efficient operations, which drive "best-in-class" operating and financial performance.

§

Strategic Growth, Intentional Margin Expansion and Consistency Through the Cycle We are focused on growth opportunities that provide sustainable, higher volume and profitability throughout both strong and weak market environments, with diversification in end markets and product offerings. We are squarely focused toward continued strategic growth both organic and transactional.

¹ 2017 EBITDA is calculated as \$806 million of net income, \$129 million of income tax expense, \$124 million of net interest expense, \$265 million of depreciation, \$29 million of amortization and \$7 million of non-controlling interests. 2018 EBITDA is calculated as \$1,256 million of net income, \$364 million of income tax expense, \$104 million of net interest expense, \$283 million of depreciation, \$28 million of amortization and \$3 million of non-controlling interests.

Table of Contents

§

Driving Innovation Through employee creativity and ingenuity, we drive innovation to improve safety, quality and productivity, implementing innovative technologies and processes in order to perform at the highest level and consistently achieve excellence in all that we do.

§

Financial Strength and Flexibility We have a disciplined focus on remaining a low-cost, highly efficient, customer-centric company generating best-in-class financial and operating performance that provides strong cash flow generation to support our current operations and continued growth.

Sustainability

We published our inaugural sustainability report during 2018. While this was our first formal report, Steel Dynamics was a company focused on sustainability from its inception, valuing our colleagues, partners and communities. We produce steel using cleaner and more efficient electric arc furnace technology (which has a much lower environmental impact than traditional technologies) by utilizing recycled ferrous scrap as our primary raw material. We are also one of the largest nonferrous metals recyclers and the 2nd largest ferrous recycler in the U.S. We reintroduced over 1.1 billion pounds of recycled nonferrous scrap and over 11 million tons of recycled ferrous scrap into the manufacturing life cycle in 2018 alone. We also use recycled copper and aluminum within our own manufacturing businesses. Our sustainability report can be found on our website at <http://www.steeldynamics.com/Sustainability.aspx>.

Our current operations and growth strategy are aligned with our focus toward sustainability of resources.

§

Valuing our people, through the importance we place on our culture, individual health and safety, respect, and development opportunities drive our long-term success and growth of stockholder value.

§

Valuing our customers, through our focus to be our customers' preferred partner by providing the highest quality products and innovative supply-chain solutions, exceeding both their current expectations and anticipating their future needs.

§

Valuing our environment, through our "Vertically Connected Sustainable Production Life-Cycle," enhances and complements our commitment to high environmental standards in all areas. Our performance-based incentive programs encourage our employees to create innovative solutions to reduce raw material usage, reuse secondary materials, and generally increase material conservation and recycling.

§

Valuing our communities, through the positive impact of our charitable foundation and corporate donations, which benefit the communities in which we work and live. We also strongly encourage and support our teams to give time to active community volunteerism.

Table of Contents

Governance Highlights

Our Best Practices

We are committed to best practices of corporate governance, which we believe promote the long-term interests of our business and maximize returns for our stockholders. The following are some of the highlights of our governance framework:

Lead Independent Director	Independent directors meet at least quarterly in executive sessions
Robust Stock Ownership Guidelines for Executive Officers and Directors	Committees consist of 100% independent directors
Board Refreshment Director Retirement Policy	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics
All Board members attended at least 75% of Board and Committee meetings	Annual director evaluation process
Ongoing succession planning at all senior management and operational levels	Diverse director backgrounds and perspectives with a mix of tenures and ages

Effective Stockholder Engagement

We remain committed to engaging with a significant and diverse portion of our stockholders on topics of importance to both them and the Company. We regularly reach out to our stockholder base. In 2018, we engaged with stockholders representing more than 50% of our outstanding shares. Our discussions cover topics such as strategic near and long-term growth initiatives, capital allocation execution and principles, sound governance practices, our performance-based compensation philosophy, our sustainable business model, and other matters. This process aids our Board to ensure issues important to our stockholders are heard and adequately addressed.

Executive Compensation Highlights

Our Best Practices

We are committed to sound compensation practices that encourage a long-term focus to value creation and sustainability as highlighted by the following items:

Highly variable compensation that focuses on pay-for-performance	"Double-trigger" change-in-control severance
Strong stockholder say-on-pay support with over 97% in favor during 2018	No excise tax gross ups
Stock ownership guidelines for all executive officers	No hedging of Company stock
Clawback policy regarding executive compensation	Independent compensation consultant retained by the Compensation Committee

Table of Contents*Pay for Performance Methodology*

Our programs continued to be highly levered to pay-for-performance. Approximately 83% of the target compensation for our CEO during 2018 and approximately 74% of the target compensation for our other NEOs during 2018 was performance-based. The following table highlights the key components:

Compensation Component	Performance-Based	Compensation Highlights
Base Salary		Set at a level of compensation necessary to recruit and retain the type of high-performing, entrepreneurial executives we seek to attract
Annual Incentive Plan	Yes	Provides for a mix of cash and equity compensation earned when our performance exceeds pre-established thresholds, capped at a maximum percentage of base salary
Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan	Yes	Equity compensation earned by our executives when our financial and operational performance, as measured by a number of stated metrics, exceeds those measured of a pre-established set of our steel sector competitors
Stock Appreciation Rights	Yes	Equity award that vests over three years, rewarding executives for share price appreciation over a ten-year term
Restricted Stock Units		Our company-wide equity award program for employees that "cliff" vest at the end of the two-year period

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 4

Table of Contents

Voting Information

Purpose

We are providing you with this Proxy Statement and these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors, to be voted at our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at any postponement or adjournment thereof. We will hold the meeting on May 16, 2019, beginning at 9:00 a.m. EDT, in the Grand Wayne Center, 120 West Jefferson Boulevard, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802.

Our fiscal year begins January 1 and ends December 31. Therefore, any reference to the year 2018 in these materials refers to the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2018.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

As permitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") "notice and access" rules, we are making our proxy statement and 2018 annual reports (which are not part of the proxy solicitation materials) available to most of our stockholders via the Internet rather than by mail. Accordingly, by April 5, 2019, we will begin distributing to our stockholders either (i) a paper copy of our proxy statement, the accompanying form of proxy card, and our 2018 annual report, or (ii) a *Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials* (the "Notice"), explaining how to access this proxy statement and other materials via the Internet, how to vote your shares, and, if you prefer, how to also obtain a paper copy of these materials at no charge.

Who Can Vote

You are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 18, 2019.

Shares Outstanding

On March 18, 2019, there were 222,931,285 shares of common stock outstanding. A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting is available at our corporate office and will also be available at the meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the meeting.

Voting of Shares

If your shares of common stock are registered in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are the stockholder of record. But if your shares are registered in the name of a broker, bank, custodian, or other nominee, that person is the stockholder of record and you are considered the "beneficial" owner.

Voting Shares Held by Brokers, Banks, Custodians or Other Nominees

Many stockholders arrange to have their shares held by brokers, banks, custodians or other nominees. These are referred to as "Broker Held Shares". In this situation, the record or "registered holder" is that particular broker, bank, custodian or nominee. This is often referred to as holding shares in "street name." In such case, your name, as the actual "beneficial owner," does not appear in our stock register. Therefore, for Broker Held Shares, the process of distributing the proxy materials and tabulating votes involves two-steps. The broker first informs us how many of their clients are beneficial owners, and we provide them with the number of sets of proxy materials that correspond to the number of beneficial owners. Each broker then forwards those proxy materials to its clients, to obtain their voting instructions. So if you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials from your broker, the accompanying return envelope is self-addressed to return your executed proxy card with your voting instructions to your broker. Shortly before the meeting, each broker totals the votes and submits a proxy card to our vote tabulator reflecting the aggregate votes of the beneficial owners for whom it holds shares.

Table of Contents

For this reason, if your shares are held by your broker, you should follow your broker's instructions included on that form.

If you do not give your voting instructions to your broker, your broker may not be able to vote your shares. Under applicable rules of self-regulatory organizations governing brokers, your broker, bank, custodian or other nominee will only be able to vote your shares with respect to so-called items that are considered "discretionary." Discretionary items are proposals considered routine under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, so in such situations your broker may vote these shares even in the absence of your voting instructions.

For the 2019 Annual Meeting, however, the only discretionary item is Proposal No. 2, the ratification of the selection of our independent auditors. On all other non-discretionary items, including the Election of Directors (Proposal No. 1); the non-binding Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Named Executive Officers (Proposal No. 3); and the Approval of the Amended and Restated Steel Dynamics, Inc.'s 2015 Equity Incentive Plan (Proposal No. 4), if you do not give voting instructions to your broker, those shares will not be voted and will be treated as "broker non-votes."

Voting Shares Held in Your Name

On the other hand, if you are the record owner, then, regardless of whether you have received a paper copy of these proxy materials or only a Notice, you may vote your shares (1) in person at the Annual Meeting, (2) by telephone, (3) on the Internet following the instructions contained in these proxy materials, or (4) by proxy if you properly fill in and sign your proxy card and mail it in the enclosed, prepaid and addressed envelope. In that situation, your "proxy" that is, the persons named in your proxy card will then vote your shares as you have directed.

With respect to shares you hold in your own name, if you send in your proxy and do not revoke it, your shares will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you do not specify how you want your shares voted with respect to one or more proposals, your shares will be voted FOR Proposal No. 1 (the election as directors of all nominees listed under "Election of Directors"); FOR Proposal No. 2 (the "Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as Auditors"); FOR Proposal No. 3 (the non-binding "Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Named Executive Officers"); and FOR Proposal No. 4 (the "Approval of the Amended and Restated Steel Dynamics, Inc.'s 2015 Equity Incentive Plan").

We realize that most of you will not be able to attend the meeting in person. It is very important that your shares be voted. We can only take action at the Annual Meeting, with respect to a particular matter, if a quorum, or majority, of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote on that matter is "present" at the Annual Meeting. Therefore, unless you intend to come to the Annual Meeting and vote in person, or you intend to vote via the Internet or by phone, we are asking for your proxy to authorize the persons named in the proxy to be present at the Annual Meeting, to represent you, and to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your instructions.

Required Vote

Quorum

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares that are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum for all purposes and all proposals.

Table of Contents

Election of Directors

For purposes of the election of directors (Proposal No. 1), the eleven director nominees who receive the highest number of votes cast "FOR" the election of those directors will be elected. However, the Board has adopted a Policy requiring that, in advance of and as a condition to being approved as a candidate for election, each candidate must have agreed that if he or she receives a "WITHHOLD" vote of greater than 50% of all the votes cast or present at the meeting, the Board will consider that a majority vote "against" that person. Accordingly, he or she will be deemed to have automatically resigned. Under that Policy, should this occur, the Board, in the exercise of its discretion, has the ability to override the resignation, but only if, upon a consideration of all pertinent factors, the Board makes an affirmative determination that accepting such resignation would not be in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders.

Accordingly, you may vote "FOR ALL" of the director nominees, "WITHHOLD ALL" of the director nominees, or "WITHHOLD" your vote from one or more nominees, by checking the "For All Except" box and writing in the name of the nominee or nominees *from whom you want to withhold your vote*. Checking the "For All Except" box and writing in the name of a particular nominee will have the same effect as a vote "AGAINST" that nominee and will trigger that nominee's resignation if the total "WITHHOLD" votes for that nominee aggregate to more than 50% of the total votes cast or present with respect to that nominee.

Other Proposals

For all proposals, *other than Proposal No. 1* (the election of directors), the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote on the item will be required for approval. On such matters, you may vote "FOR," "AGAINST" or "ABSTAIN." A proxy marked "Abstain" with respect to an item will not be voted, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Accordingly, an abstention will have the same effect as a negative vote.

Your Choices on How to Vote by Proxy

There are four possible choices of how to vote by proxy:

§

Mail: If you have received a paper copy of these proxy materials, you may mark, sign, date and return your enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope;

§

Telephone: If you have received a paper copy of these proxy materials, you may vote by using the toll-free telephone number and instructions shown on your proxy card, if your shares are registered directly in your name or, if not, by marking, signing dating and returning your enclosed voting card; if this option is also offered by your bank or broker, in either case using a secure control number and account number;

§

Internet: Whether in paper form or by Notice only, by using the Notice or web site information and instructions listed on your proxy card or in the form of Notice, if your shares are registered directly in your name or, if not, if this option is also offered by your bank or broker in either case using a secure control number and account number; and

§

In person at the meeting: If your shares are registered directly in your own name, you may vote by paper ballot handed out at the Annual Meeting, provided that you have with you the Notice or proxy card that you received from the Company. *But if your shares are registered in the name of your bank or broker, and if you wish to be able to vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, you will first need to check the box on the Voter Instruction Form you will receive with your proxy material, ask that you be provided with a "Legal Proxy" and then actually use this to vote your shares. If you don't use that Legal Proxy, your vote will not count.*

Telephone and Internet voting will be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Both the Internet and telephone voting instructions are designed to prompt you on how to proceed, and you will be able to confirm that your instructions have been properly received and recorded. For both of these methods, you will also need a

Table of Contents

control number, which is noted on your proxy card or in the Notice. The telephone and Internet voting facilities will close at 11:59 p.m. EDT on May 15, 2019.

The method by which you vote will not limit your right to vote in person at the meeting if you decide to attend the meeting, provided that you follow the foregoing instructions for voting in person.

We do not know of any business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting, other than those matters described in this Proxy Statement. However, should any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, including consideration of a motion to adjourn the meeting to another time or place in order to solicit additional proxies in favor of the recommendations of the Board of Directors, the persons named as proxies and acting thereunder will have the discretion to vote on those matters according to their best judgment, to the same extent as the person granting the proxy.

Revocation of a Proxy

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the meeting in one of four ways:

- § Notify our Chief Financial Officer, Theresa E. Wagler, in writing and before the meeting;
- § Submit the appropriate form of proxy with a later date than your earlier proxy;
- § Vote by telephone or Internet on a later date than the date you earlier voted; or
- § Vote in person at the meeting in accordance with the foregoing instructions.

Multiple Stockholders Sharing the Same Address

Under rules adopted by the SEC, we are permitted to deliver a single copy of our Proxy Statement and annual report, or notice of availability of these materials, to stockholders sharing the same last name and address. This process, called householding, allows us to reduce the number of copies of these materials that we must print and mail. However, if you share the same last name and address with other Steel Dynamics stockholders and would like to stop householding for your account, you may contact our Investor Relations Department in the manner described below under the heading "Investor Relations Department," including your name, address, and account number.

Cost of Preparing, Mailing and Soliciting Proxies

We will pay all of the costs of preparing, printing and mailing this Proxy Statement or Notice and of soliciting these proxies. We will ask brokers to forward the proxy materials or Notice to the persons who were our beneficial owners on the record date. We will reimburse such brokers for their expenses incurred in sending proxies and proxy materials to our beneficial owners.

In addition, proxies may be solicited on our behalf in person, or by telephone, e-mail or other electronic means, by our officers, directors, and employees who will receive no additional compensation for soliciting. We have also engaged Okapi Partners to assist us in the solicitation of proxies. We have agreed to pay Okapi Partners a fee of approximately \$9,000, plus expenses for these services.

Voting Results

We will publish the voting results on our Company's website at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors" following the Annual Meeting, as well as in a current report on Form 8-K, which we will file with the SEC within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Investor Relations Department

You may contact our Investor Relations Department in one of three ways:

§

Writing to Steel Dynamics, Inc., Investor Relations Department, 7575 West Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804;

§

E-mail to investor@steeldynamics.com; or

§

Phone the Investor Relations Department at 260.969.3500.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

If you wish to communicate with our Board of Directors, our non-executive Chairman of the Board, our Lead Independent Director, or the Chair of any particular Board committee, you may do so by sending a communication, marked "Stockholder Communication," in care of our Chief Financial Officer, Theresa E. Wagler at our corporate offices, 7575 West Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804. Your letter should describe your share ownership and how held. Our Chief Financial Officer will review each such communication and, depending upon the subject matter, will forward the communication to the director or committee chair to whom it is addressed, or, as appropriate, to our non-executive Chairman of the Board, to our Lead Independent Director or to the Company's legal counsel or deal with the subject matter directly.

Table of Contents

Governance of the Company

Governance Policy

Our business affairs are managed under the direction of our Board of Directors in accordance with the Indiana Business Corporation Law, our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation and our Amended and Restated Bylaws. The role of our Board of Directors is to effectively govern the affairs of the Company for the long-term benefit of our stockholders and other constituencies. The Board ensures the continuity of the Company and its mission through the election and appointment of qualified management to manage the Company and with the Board, to develop the Company's long-term strategy. In addition, management regularly keeps Board members informed regarding our business and industry. The Board is also responsible for ensuring that our activities are conducted in a responsible and ethical manner. We are committed to sound corporate governance principles.

We operate under corporate governance principles and practices that are reflected in a set of written Corporate Governance Policies. These policies were recently amended and are available on our Company's website at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance."

These include the following principles:

- § At least a majority of our directors and all of the members of our Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees are required, at a minimum, to meet all applicable objective and subjective standards for independence, including the Nasdaq Listing Rules for director independence, as more fully described in the discussion of "Director Independence" below. Eight of our eleven director nominees for election in 2019 have been determined to be independent.
- § Our Board and each Board committee have the authority to engage independent legal, financial or other advisors as they deem necessary, at our expense.
- § Our Board engages with management regarding near-term and long-term strategic planning regularly, during which it reviews and assesses our business strategies and associated risks.
- § Independent and non-employee directors meet in executive session, no less than quarterly.
- § Our Board and Board committees conduct annual self-evaluations.
- § Our Board regularly reviews succession planning and related considerations, of both management and director talent development issues at all critical management and Board levels.
- § Directors have unrestricted access to our officers and employees.
- § Ensure a robust process is provided by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Compensation Committee to utilize input from our independent directors to conduct an annual review of our Chief Executive Officer's performance.
- § Our Board ensures our directors have a diverse set of backgrounds and experiences through a robust director selection process. Additionally, the Board ensures a mix of tenures with our mandatory retirement age, allowing new perspectives

with newer directors and depth of knowledge from experienced directors.

Board Leadership Structure

Chairman of the Board

Mr. Keith E. Busse serves as our non-independent, non-executive Chairman of the Board. If elected at the Annual Meeting, Mr. Busse will continue to serve in that capacity. Mr. Busse, as the non-executive Chairman, presides at meetings of the Board.

Table of Contents

Lead Independent Director

The Board operates with a Lead Independent Director, selected annually from among the independent directors. James C. Marcuccilli has served as our Lead Independent Director since 2011 and, if elected at the Annual Meeting, will continue to serve in that capacity. The Chairman and Lead Independent Director serve at the pleasure of the Board and are appointed annually following the Annual Meeting.

The Lead Independent Director:

- § presides at all Board meetings at which the Chairman is not present;
- § presides at all meetings of the executive sessions of the independent directors;
- § serves as a liaison between management and the Board as well as between the Chairman and the independent directors;
- § assists the Board committee chairs in preparing agendas for the respective committee meetings;
- § has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors, and
- § other functions and responsibilities requested by the Board or the independent directors from time to time.

The Board considers that the Lead Independent Director's active involvement in the foregoing functions and activities will ensure the Board will be able to maintain an appropriate level of independent oversight over its critical information flow and decision-making processes.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Board believes that evaluating the executive team's management of the various risks confronting the Company is one of its most important areas of oversight. In carrying out this critical responsibility, the Board, with management's assistance, regularly reviews the Company's significant business risks, including safety, financial, operational, data and cyber security, business continuity, legal, environmental, trade, technological and regulatory exposures. In this regard, the Board reviews the steps management has taken to actively manage, monitor and control these exposures. Outlined below is how the Committees support the Board in this critical function.

The Audit Committee reviews the Company's risk management processes, systems and controls which management has established. Specifically, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the risks related to the financial reporting process as well as credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk. The Audit Committee regularly discusses with management the Company's significant financial risk exposures to ensure adequate mitigation is in place.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews legal and regulatory compliance risks as they relate to corporate governance structure and processes, and the Compensation Committee reviews risks related to compensation matters. While the Board and its committees oversee risk management strategy, management is responsible for implementing and supervising day-to-day risk management processes and reporting to the Board and its committees on such matters.

The Compensation Committee reviews whether our executive compensation programs encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. As part of its review, the Compensation Committee receives a risk analysis report prepared by its compensation consultant, Compensia, which it considers during the process. After its 2018 review, the Compensation Committee again determined that our compensation programs do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking.

Executive officers' base salaries are fixed in amount and thus do not encourage risk-taking. Annual cash incentives and payouts are formulaic and tied to specific company financial performance metrics. The majority of compensation provided to the executive officers is in the form of time-based and performance-based equity awards that vest or are earned over several years and help further align executive officers' interests with those of our

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

stockholders. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee believes that these awards do not encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking because the ultimate value of the awards is tied to the Company's performance over several years, and because awards are subject to regular vesting schedules to help ensure that a significant component of executive compensation is tied to long-term stockholder value creation.

The Compensation Committee has also reviewed the Company's compensation programs for employees generally and has concluded these programs as well do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's cash bonus programs and long-term equity awards provide an effective and appropriate mix of incentives to help ensure performance is focused on long-term stockholder value creation and do not encourage short-term risk taking at the expense of long-term results.

Committees and Meetings of the Board of Directors

During 2018, the Board of Directors had three standing committees each consisting entirely of independent directors: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Board, together with management, periodically reviews the applicable provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Act and the implementing rules thereunder, the rules and pronouncements of the SEC, pertinent provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), and the Listing Rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market regarding corporate governance policies, processes, and listing standards, including applicable audit and compensation committee independence standards. In conformity with such requirements, the committees of the Board operate under written charters. All three committees regularly update and revise their charters to take into account increased charter, legislative, regulatory and listing standards requirements, as well as other governance changes. We also operate under a set of Corporate Governance Policies, which are reviewed frequently. All charters and policies may be found on our website, at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance", or by writing to Steel Dynamics, Inc., Attention: "Investor Relations Department," 7575 West Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804 and requesting copies.

The members of each committee, and the chair of each committee, are appointed annually by the Board. Each committee had the following members during 2018:

	Director Tenure	Corporate Governance and Nominating	Compensation	Audit	Independent Director	Other Public Company Boards
Mark D. Millett	Founder					
Sheree L. Bargabos	1 year			Member	Yes	1
Keith E. Busse	Founder					
Frank D. Byrne, M.D.	14 years	Member		Member	Yes	
Kenneth W. Cornew	3 years	Member	Member		Yes	
Traci M. Dolan	7 years	Member		Chair	Yes	
Dr. Jürgen Kolb James C. Marcuccilli	23 years		Member	Member	Yes	
Bradley S. Seaman	6 years	Chair	Member	Member	Yes	1
Gabriel L. Shaheen Steven A. Sonnenberg	10 years		Chair	Member	Yes	
Richard P. Teets, Jr.	1 year	Member			Yes	1
Committee Meetings		7	4	8		

The Board held five regularly scheduled and special meetings during 2018. All directors attended at least 75% of those meetings, as well as the meetings of each of the committees on which they served. As the Board, the Company's independent directors met in executive session four times during 2018, without management present.

The Board also engages in regular discussions with the Chief Executive Officer regarding succession planning at senior levels, and to the identification, development, and promotion of critical talent to address both

Table of Contents

planned and unplanned leadership transitions. The Chief Executive Officer reports at least semi-annually on succession and leadership development planning.

We encourage all members of the Board to attend our Annual Stockholders Meeting. At the 2018 Annual Meeting all directors were in attendance.

Director Independence

Each of our Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee charters require that each member of each committee meet:

§ all applicable criteria defining "independence" prescribed from time to time under Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Listing Rules for Nasdaq-listed companies, as well as those enhanced independence requirements for Audit Committee members prescribed pursuant to Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act");

§ the enhanced standards of independence, in effect during 2018, applicable to compensation committee members, including the requirement to consider the impact upon independence of the receipt of any consulting, advisory, or compensatory fees paid by the Company (other than board fees), of which there were none; or of the existence of any "affiliate" relationship between the member and the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, of which there were none; and

§ the criteria for a "non-employee director" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act.

The Board must also annually make both an affirmative objective and subjective determination that all such independence standards have been, and continue to be met by the independent directors and members of each of our three standing committees, as well as the additional heightened independence standards prescribed by SEC and Nasdaq Listing Rules for audit committee and compensation committee members. To be found to be objectively independent, each director must be neither an officer nor an employee of Steel Dynamics, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries, nor an individual who has any relationship with Steel Dynamics, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries, or with management (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an entity that has such a relationship) which, in the Board's opinion, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In addition, a director is presumptively considered to be non-independent if:

§ the director or a family member, directly or indirectly through either a family or entity relationship, received any payments from the Company or any of our subsidiaries, during any period of twelve consecutive months within the preceding three years, (other than for Board or Committee service, from investments in the Company's securities, or from any Company retirement plan) relating to the provision of accounting, consulting, legal, investment banking, or financial advisory services;

§ the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any 12-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees;

§ the director is or has a family member of a person who is, or at any time during the three prior years was employed as an executive officer by the Company or any of our subsidiaries;

§ the director is, or has a family member who is, a partner in, an executive officer or controlling stockholder of any entity to which the Company made, or from which the Company received payments for property or services, in the current or prior three years, that exceed 5% of the recipient's consolidated gross revenues for that year, or \$200,000, whichever is more (other than, with other minor exceptions, payments arising solely from investments in the Company's securities);

§ the director is, or has a family member who is employed as an executive officer of another entity where, at any time within the prior three years, any of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee of the other entity; or

§

the director is or has a family member who is a current partner of the Company's independent public auditor, or was a partner or employee of that firm who worked on the Company's audit at any time during the prior three years.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 13

Table of Contents

The Board made its independence determination with respect to each director for calendar year 2018 and for each director nominee for election to the Board of Directors at the 2019 Annual Meeting. The Board has similarly made an additional affirmative determination of independence with respect to each member of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, under the special audit committee and compensation committee independence criteria set forth under applicable SEC rules and Nasdaq Listing Rules.

The Board determined that during 2018 nine of the twelve members of our Board of Directors serving during 2018 met all independence requirements, thus at all times constituting more than a majority of the twelve member Board. The independent members are Sheree L. Bargabos, Frank D. Byrne, M.D., Kenneth W. Cornew, Traci M. Dolan, Dr. Jürgen Kolb, James C. Marcuccilli, Gabriel L. Shaheen, Bradley S. Seaman and Steven A. Sonnenberg. The Board has determined that, if elected at the 2019 Annual Meeting, of the eleven persons nominated as candidates for election as directors, eight directors (Sheree L. Bargabos, Frank D. Byrne, M.D., Kenneth W. Cornew, Traci M. Dolan, James C. Marcuccilli, Gabriel L. Shaheen, Bradley S. Seaman and Steven A. Sonnenberg) would continue to meet all such independence criteria.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible, among other things, for:

- § reviewing and evaluating developments in corporate governance practices, and reviewing and recommending to the Board effective corporate governance policies and procedures and appropriate charter provisions, as well as Board organization, size and composition;
- § establishing criteria for and ensuring diverse Board composition by identifying, evaluating, and recommending for election as directors both incumbent and prospective nominees who meet the Committee's and the Board's criteria of board member requirements. Additionally, by virtue of the nominee's background, knowledge, attributes, skills, business, financial, life experience and expertise, are willing and able to actively and materially contribute as a board member, either for election by our stockholders at each Annual Meeting, or for appointment by the Board to fill any director vacancies;
- § identifying Board members for assignment to various Board committees;
- § drafting and overseeing a Code of Ethics for our Principal Executive Officers and Senior Financial Officers, a Company-wide Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and from time to time such other policies as are necessary or appropriate in the interest of good governance practices. Copies of the foregoing and other policies are available on our website at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance";
- § determining, recommending, or rendering advice to the Board regarding applicable statutory, regulatory or Nasdaq Listing Rules regarding the "independence" requirements for board or committee membership, as well as rendering objective and subjective independence determinations; and
- § reviewing and evaluating, at least annually, the performance of the Board and Board committee members and making recommendations to the Board concerning the number, function, and composition of the Board's committees.

Director Nomination Process

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee regularly reviews the Company's Board composition to continually update incumbent director skills, contributions and experiences, and for the purpose of identifying potential candidates for board membership in the event of possible retirements, unanticipated vacancies, board expansion and refreshment. During 2018, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee continued this process, which first identifies skills that are needed to support the Company and its near and long-term strategies, while considering other factors such as background, general life experiences and diversity, and then identifies potential nominees to fill the need. Proposed nominees may be referred or recommended to the Committee from many different sources, including but not limited to members of the Committee, by other

Table of Contents

directors, by outside persons or advisors, by a stockholder in accordance with the procedures described below, or under the direction of the Committee and for its consideration and approval, by an outside independent professional search firm.

The Committee reviews background information on each proposed nominee, including the proposed nominee's accomplishments, experience, and skills. In addition to a candidate's inventory of skills and substantive qualifications, the Committee looks for various other attributes, including:

- § business acumen;
- § a reputation for high ethical and moral standards
- § financial literacy;
- § integrity;
- § independent judgment;
- § notable personal or professional achievements;
- § collegiality;
- § a proper understanding of the role of a director in governance;
- § a commitment to represent the long-term interests of the Company and our stockholders;
- § diversity, including, but not limited to, gender, race, ethnicity and age; and
- § a commitment to prepare for, attend and actively participate in Board and committee meetings as well as a willingness to devote the necessary time and attention to the Company's business and the needs of the Board and its committees.

Additionally, the Committee takes into account such factors as industry and general business knowledge, operating experience, demonstrated ethical business conduct, familiarity with or experience regarding business matters, exposure to public company governance matters, considerations such as safety, logistics, legal/governmental/environmental regulation experience, information technology, and risk assessment, as part of the director candidate qualification process.

During 2018, the Committee performed a director search process utilizing two independent outside search firms (including a firm specializing in identifying diverse candidates), asking both firms to bring the Committee a diverse, qualified slate of candidates. As a result, the Committee selected Sheree L. Bargabos and Steven A. Sonnenberg as new directors during 2018. Accordingly, both Ms. Bargabos and Mr. Sonnenberg are listed as candidates for election to the Board in 2019 in connection with Proposal No. 1.

Annual Director Evaluations

Members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also evaluate the continued candidacy of incumbent Board members based on the same criteria applicable to new candidates, taking into consideration such factors as age, board tenure, membership on other public company's boards of directors, diversity, ability to provide subject matter expertise and insight into our long-term strategic direction and the extent to which through his or her prior participation and performance he or she has met the applicable criteria for continued Board membership and has developed a valuable in-depth knowledge of the Company.

Table of Contents

Board Refreshment Director Retirement Policy

As adopted as part of our Corporate Governance Policies in 2019, the Board has established a retirement age of 75 for directors. Pursuant to that policy, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will not recommend to the Board, any nominee for election or appointment as a director who is or will have attained age 75 or older at the time of the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, subject to a transition exception applicable to the Company's current Board Chairman and co-founder, Keith Busse, whose retirement age has been extended to 80.

Stockholder Nominations

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider suggestions from stockholders for potential director nominees. In order to provide the Committee sufficient time to evaluate proposed nominees, a stockholder desiring to recommend a proposed nominee for consideration by the Committee, for nomination at the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, should send any such recommendation to Steel Dynamics, Inc., Attention: Chief Financial Officer, Theresa E. Wagler, 7575 West Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804, no later than December 6, 2019, who will then forward it to the Committee. Any such recommendation should include a description of the proposed nominee's qualifications for Board service, the proposed nominee's written consent to be considered for nomination and to serve if nominated and elected, stock ownership information, including date or dates of purchase, the proposed nominee's resume, information regarding any relationship, as well as any understandings between the proposing stockholder, the proposed nominee, and any other person or organization regarding the proposed nominee's board service, if elected, and the addresses and telephone numbers for contacting the stockholder and/or the proposed nominee for more information.

The Compensation Committee

The Board determined that all members of the Compensation Committee were independent during 2018 within the meaning of Rules 5605(a)(2) and 5605(d)(2)(A) of the NASDAQ General Marketplace Rules (which includes the enhanced independence standards applicable to compensation committee members), and that they also met the definition of a "non-employee director" within the scope of Exchange Act Rule 16b-3.

The Compensation Committee is responsible, among other things, for:

- § establishing, reviewing, and approving corporate goals and objectives relating to our Chief Executive Officer's and Named Executive Officers' compensation;
- § in combination with the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, evaluating our Chief Executive Officer's and other executive officers' (as well as the Company's overall) performance, at least annually, in light of those corporate goals and objectives and determining and approving their compensation based on this evaluation;
- § reviewing and approving our executive compensation plans and agreements, including our equity-based plans; and, at least annually, reviewing the operation of all such plans and agreements and assessing the relationship between our overall compensation policies and practices and financial risk;
- § exercising general oversight with respect to our compensation agreements and incentive and equity-based plans relating to our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers;
- § reviewing and making recommendations to the Board, taking into account Company performance and the duties and responsibilities of each board or committee position, regarding compensation of the non-employee members of the Board;
- § overseeing regulatory compliance with respect to compensation matters and engaging the services of independent professional compensation consultants and advisors, with costs paid by the Company;
- §

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

reviewing and determining compliance, with respect to each Compensation Committee member, of all required objective and subjective factors governing independence as well as the independence of the Committee's advisors, including its compensation consultant and other advisors;

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 16

Table of Contents

§ acting as the "Administrator" or "Committee" in connection with the operation and administration of our equity and cash-based incentive compensation programs, with the authority to approve and authorize both equity and cash-based awards; and

§ approving an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and Proxy Statement, and reviewing and discussing with management the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis, to determine whether to recommend to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included either in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, or alternatively, in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference from this Proxy Statement into our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of our current or former officers or employees or any current or former officers or employees of our subsidiaries, served as a member of the Compensation Committee during 2018. Moreover, during 2018 (a) none of our executive officers served on the compensation committee of another entity, any of whose executive officers served on our Compensation Committee, and (b) none of our executive officers served as a director of another entity, any of whose executive officers served on our Compensation Committee.

The Audit Committee

The Board has both objectively found and also affirmatively determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) and Rule 5605(c)(2) of the NASDAQ General Marketplace Rules, and also met all additional or enhanced criteria for Audit Committee membership independence set forth in Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) thereunder.

In addition, our Board has also determined that, for 2018, each member of our Audit Committee, by virtue of his or her extensive financial and business experience and training, met, and continues to meet, the criteria of an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of that term in Rule 407 of Regulation S-K. No members of the Audit Committee served on the audit committee of another public company.

The Audit Committee is responsible, among other things, for:

§ the adequacy, quality, and integrity of the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and the integrity of its financial statements;

§ the appointment, retention, compensation, independence, performance, and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm;

§ the audits of the Company's financial statements;

§ in consultation with management and with legal counsel, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory filings and requirements;

§ the soundness and performance of the Company's internal audit function, internal accounting controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and internal control over financial reporting;

§ together with the Board, the Company's risk management process, system and controls, including risks related to the financial reporting process, credit risk, liquidity risk, cybersecurity risk and market risk;

§

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

the approval of an Audit Committee Report required by the rules of the SEC for inclusion in the Company's annual Proxy Statement;

§

the establishment of procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding potential fraud or other questionable accounting, financial, or auditing matters; and

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 17

Table of Contents

§

the maintenance and oversight of a policy governing related party transactions required to be disclosed under Item 404 of SEC Regulations S-K, including the process the Audit Committee employs to identify related party transactions for review, in response to PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 18, as well as governing the review, approval or ratification of any such permitted related party transactions.

The Audit Committee meets periodically with management and with our independent auditors in the discharge of its responsibilities. The Committee reviews our financial statements and discusses them with management and our independent auditors before those financial statements or the results thereof are publicly released and before they are filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee also regularly meets privately with the independent auditors. Details are set forth in the section titled "Report of the Audit Committee" later in this Proxy Statement.

Statement of Policy for the Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

Transactions with "related persons" are subject to our Statement of Policy for the Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions With Related Persons. A copy of this policy is available on our Company's website at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance."

Under our policy, once a person has been identified as a "related person," and if there is a proposed transaction of \$120,000 or more involving the related person and the Company or any of its subsidiaries, the transaction must be considered, approved or ratified by the Audit Committee. For purposes of our Policy, a "related person" is a person who is (or at any time since the beginning of our last fiscal year was) a director, director nominee, executive officer, 5% stockholder, immediate family member of any of the foregoing, an entity which is owned or controlled by any of such persons, or any other person which our Audit Committee or Board has so identified.

We have established a lower transactional threshold amount than is required by law, so that even transactions above the threshold amount but still below the otherwise required dollar amount to trigger an inquiry will nonetheless be reviewed for fairness and appropriateness. Our Policy does permit the employment of a related person in the ordinary course of business, if terms are comparable to similar positions with non-related persons, and if that person is not an executive officer required to be reported in our annual Proxy Statement. Transactions involving competitive bids are considered pre-approved for purposes of our policy.

Covered transactions will normally be approved in advance by the Audit Committee, unless, upon certification by our Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer that a determination cannot be practicably made prior to the next Audit Committee meeting, the Chair of the Audit Committee is able to review and approve the proposed related person transaction, subject, however, to the prompt reporting of the transaction to the full Audit Committee.

In reviewing any related person transaction, the Audit Committee must consider the proposed benefits to the Company and the availability of other sources of comparable products or services, must make an assessment of whether the proposed transaction is at least on terms comparable to the terms available to an unrelated third party or to employees generally, and must then determine whether the transaction is fair and reasonable to the Company.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our non-employee directors and our executive officers to file with the SEC initial reports of beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock and other equity securities or derivatives as well as reports of changes in beneficial ownership. These persons are required to provide us with a copy of their required Section 16(a) reports as and when they are filed. Based on our records and information furnished to us by our executive officers and directors, we believe that all Exchange Act filing requirements with respect to 2018 were met.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Proposals for 2020

Any stockholder satisfying the requirements of the Exchange Act Rule 14a-8, and wishing to submit a proposal for inclusion in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, must submit the proposal in writing to the attention of our Chief Financial Officer, Theresa E. Wagler, at 7575 West Jefferson Blvd., Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804, no later than December 6, 2019.

In addition, Section 2.9 of our bylaws, which is an advance notice bylaw, governs the timely submission of nominations for director or other business proposals that a stockholder may wish to have considered at the annual meeting. There were no such proposals submitted for this Annual Meeting. Pursuant to that bylaw, any stockholder who does not submit a timely or otherwise qualifying proposal for inclusion in next year's (2020's) Annual Meeting Proxy Statement, but may still wish to make a proposal at that 2020 Annual Meeting, will be required to have delivered written notice to our Chief Financial Officer no later than December 6, 2019. That notice, if any, must contain the information required by Section 2.9 of the bylaws, a copy of which can be viewed on our Company's website, at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance," or a copy of which may be requested, addressed as noted above, free of charge. If such a proposal were to be made at next year's Annual Meeting, a proxy granted by a stockholder prior to that Annual Meeting will be deemed to have given discretionary authority to the proxies to vote that individual's shares on any matter introduced pursuant to the foregoing Section 2.9 advance notice bylaw.

Table of Contents

Proposal No. 1
Election of Directors

Our stockholders will be asked to elect eleven directors at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

Nine of the persons listed below are incumbent members of our Board, and, except for Sheree L. Bargabos and Steven A. Sonnenberg, were elected at the 2018 Annual Meeting. Ms. Bargabos and Mr. Sonnenberg, however, were appointed to the Board during 2018 following an exhaustive search conducted by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee with the assistance of two nationally recognized search firms. As a result of its ongoing incumbent director performance review by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (see "The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Director Nomination Process"), which included Ms. Bargabos and Mr. Sonnenberg, each incumbent Board member's service and performance as a director during 2018 was evaluated by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and was determined to have met all expectations for continued Board membership. The Committee determined that it would be in the best interest of the Company that each incumbent director, all of whom have expressed his or her willingness to continue to serve, should continue to do so. Accordingly, all eleven director candidates, having indicated their willingness to stand for election for an additional one-year term, were recommended for nomination by the Committee and are hereby nominated for election to the Board:

Name	Position with Steel Dynamics, Inc.	Director Since
Mark D. Millett	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	1993
Sheree L. Bargabos	Director	2018
Keith E. Busse	Director, Non-Executive Chairman of the Board	1993
Frank D. Byrne, M.D.	Director	2005
Kenneth W. Cornew	Director	2016
Traci M. Dolan	Director	2012
James C. Marcuccilli	Director, Lead Independent Director	2005
Bradley S. Seaman	Director	2013
Gabriel L. Shaheen	Director	2009
Steven A. Sonnenberg	Director	2018
Richard P. Teets, Jr.	Director	1993

Each director, if elected, will serve until our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or until a qualified successor director has been elected. All but Messrs. Millett, Busse and Teets are and will continue to be independent directors. In the event that any nominee at the time of the election is unable to serve or is otherwise unavailable for election, the Board, upon recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, may select a substitute nominee. In that event the persons named in the enclosed proxy intend to vote the proxy for the person so selected. We do not anticipate that any nominee will be unable to serve.

In addition, the Board has also reviewed all transactions during 2018 between Steel Dynamics, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, and companies or entities in which a director or a family member or affiliate might have owned any interest, for the purpose of ensuring that such transactions, if any, were approved in accordance with our Statement of Policy For the Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions With Related Persons, and, further, for the purpose of determining whether any of such transactions impacted the independence of any director. The Board has affirmatively determined that none of the independent directors is an officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries and none of such persons have any relationships which, in the opinion of our Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Ownership of a significant amount of our stock, by itself, does not constitute a material relationship or impact that person's independence.

Table of Contents

Director Tenure

Director Independence

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE
FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING NOMINEES:**

**INFORMATION CONCERNING EXPERIENCE, QUALIFICATIONS,
ATTRIBUTES AND SKILLS OF DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

Mark D. Millett

Position: Co-founder, Director and President and Chief Executive Officer

Director Since: 1993

Age: 59

Outside Public Company Directorships: None

Committees: None

Education: Bachelor's degree in metallurgy from the University of Surrey in England (1981)

Professional Background: Mr. Millett co-founded the Company in 1993. Mr. Millett has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2012. Prior to that, he has held various positions, including President and Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President of Metals Recycling and Ferrous Resources, President and Chief Operating Officer of OmniSource Corporation, and Executive Vice President of Flat Roll Operations. Mr. Millett was responsible for the design, construction, and start-up operation of numerous steelmaking facilities, including portions of our Butler Flat Roll Division. Mr. Millett was named Steelmaker of the Year in 2014 by the Association of Iron and Steel Technology.

Key Qualifications: Mr. Millett brings to the Board strong leadership and industry experience having co-founded the Company and led the Company as its President and CEO during the past six years, through a period of tremendous long-term strategic growth. His experience as a seasoned public company CEO, coupled with his steel industry experience and his operational, commercial, cultural and strategic expertise, are valuable assets to the Board.

Table of Contents

Sheree L. Bargabos

Position: Independent Director

Director Since: 2018

Age: 63

Outside Public Company Directorships: PGT Innovations, Inc.

Committees: Audit Committee Member

Education: Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry from McGill University in Montreal, Quebec, Canada and an M.B.A. from Babson College in Wellesley, Massachusetts

Professional Background: Retired. Ms. Bargabos served from 2002 through 2012 as the President of the Roofing and Asphalt Division of Owens Corning, a global manufacturer of composites and building materials. In her capacity as President, Ms. Bargabos was responsible for the \$2 billion roofing and asphalt business segment, managing 2,000 employees across 14 manufacturing locations. From 2013 through her retirement in 2015, Ms. Bargabos assumed the role of Vice President, Customer Experience, Roofing, helping to facilitate the successful transition of her successor, among other responsibilities.

Key Qualifications: Among numerous strong leadership characteristics, Ms. Bargabos brings a strong background in industrial operations, safety practices, commercial platforms, employee matters and operational excellence to our Board.

Keith E. Busse

Position: Co-founder, Director and non-executive Chairman of the Board

Director Since: 1993

Age: 76

Outside Public Company Directorships: None (previously served as Chairman of the board of Tower Financial Corporation from 1999-2014 and as a director of Accuride Corporation from 2012-2016)

Committees: None

Education: Undergraduate degree in accounting from International Business College, a degree in business finance from the University of St. Francis and a master's degree in business administration from Indiana University

Professional Background: Retired. Mr. Busse served as our Chief Executive Officer from inception through 2011. Mr. Busse also, from 1993 until May 2007, was our President, at which time he became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. He grew the Company from 1.4 million tons of annual steelmaking capability to 7.4 million tons upon his retirement in 2011. He also initiated the Company's fabrication and metals recycling platforms during that timeframe. Mr. Busse was named Steelmaker of the Year in 2005 by the Association of Iron and Steel Technology and was named by Business Week Magazine as one of the Top 10 entrepreneurs in the United States.

Key Qualifications: Mr. Busse brings to the Board strong leadership and industry experience having co-founded the Company. He brings an innovative and strategic steel industry perspective to our Board, providing unique insight and guidance having led our Company through significant growth during his 18 years of leadership as CEO.

Table of Contents

Frank D. Byrne, M.D.

Position: Director

Director Since: 2005

Age: 66

Outside Public Company Directorships: None (previously served as a director for Lincare Holdings from 1999-2012)

Committees: Audit Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Member

Education: Bachelor of Science degree in pre-professional studies from the University of Notre Dame (1974), a doctor of medicine degree from S.U.N.Y. Downstate Medical Center (1977), and a Master of Medical Management degree from Carnegie Mellon University (1999).

Professional Background: Retired. Dr. Byrne is President Emeritus and Foundation Board member of SSM Health St. Mary's Hospital Madison, Wisconsin. He currently serves as advisor to a healthcare information technology venture fund, chairs the hospital advisory board of MedPro Group (a Berkshire-Hathaway company), and is a Trustee of Edgewood College. He also consults, advises, and teaches on corporate governance, leadership development, and strategy. He served as president of SSM Health St. Mary's Hospital, part of SSM Health Care, a multi-state healthcare delivery system, from 2004-2015. Previously, he served in a variety of executive leadership and governance roles at Parkview Health, a regional healthcare system in northeast Indiana, from 1991-2004, including serving as President of Parkview Hospital from 1995-2002.

Key Qualifications: Dr. Byrne brings to the Board executive leadership and governance experience, as well as experience in management of complex organizations, employment-related matters, compensation policies, mergers and acquisitions, safety, process improvement and information technology. Dr. Byrne also served in numerous governance roles in not-for-profit and privately held organizations for more than 25 years, providing a wealth of experience to the Board.

Kenneth W. Cornew

Position: Director

Director Since: 2016

Age: 54

Outside Public Company Directorships: None

Committees: Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Compensation Committee Member

Education: Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from Rutgers University (1987) and an MBA from the Drexel University (1995)

Key Qualifications: Mr. Cornew brings to the Board a comprehensive understanding and experience in power operations, commodity cycles, commercial expertise, strategic growth, mergers and acquisitions, safety, and process improvement. He also brings an extensive knowledge and understanding of public company governance and regulatory matters.

Professional Background: Mr. Cornew has been Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of Exelon Corporation and President and CEO of Exelon Generation since 2013. Mr. Cornew is responsible for the operations of Exelon's nuclear, fossil, and renewable fleets, as well as the commercial and retail businesses of Constellation. In 1990 Mr. Cornew joined Exelon where, throughout his career, he was instrumental in establishing and growing the company's competitive energy business. Prior to joining Exelon, Mr. Cornew worked for PJM Interconnection, a regional transmission organization and part of the U.S. Eastern Interconnection Grid serving several states in the Mid-Atlantic and Mid-West regions. Mr. Cornew has been and continues to be a leader in advocacy for the industry, he currently serves on the Board of Directors of the Electric Power Research Institute whose focus is research and development relating to the generation, delivery

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

and use of electricity. Mr. Cornew currently serves on the Industry Advisory Board for Rutgers School of Engineering, the Advisory Board of FM Global Washington/Philadelphia, as well as the Board of Trustees for the Living Classrooms Foundation.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 23

Table of Contents

Traci M. Dolan

Position: Director

Director Since: 2012

Age: 61

Outside Public Company Directorships: None

Committees: Audit Committee Chairperson and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Member

Education: Bachelor of Science Degree in Business from Indiana University (1981)

Professional Background: Retired. Ms. Dolan served for ten years (2004 – 2014) with ExactTarget, Inc., a salesforce.com company, which provides global cross-channel interactive marketing software-as-a-service. From July 2011 to February 2014, she served as Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate Secretary, responsible for human resources, executive compensation, legal and corporate governance, real estate, risk management, and shareholder relations. Prior to this, she served as principal financial officer responsible for all financial and administrative functions, including financial and strategic planning, accounting, tax and treasury functions, among other responsibilities. From 2000 – 2004, Ms. Dolan served as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration, Secretary and Treasurer of Made2Manage Systems, Inc.

Key Qualifications: Ms. Dolan brings to the Board a comprehensive knowledge of accounting, finance and financial management, as well as, experience in managing and overseeing regulatory compliances in the areas of executive compensation and risk management involving public companies. Her background also brings an understanding of information technology and cyber risk to the Board to help ensure proper risk oversight.

James C. Marcuccilli

Position: Lead Independent Director

Director Since: 2005

Age: 68

Outside Public Company Directorships: None

Committees: Compensation Committee, Audit Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Member

Education: Bachelor's degree in business finance from the University of Notre Dame (1973)

Professional Background: Mr. Marcuccilli has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of STAR Financial Bank, a regional bank based in Fort Wayne, Indiana since 2016 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of STAR Financial Bank from 1997 to 2016. Mr. Marcuccilli serves as a director of STAR Financial Group, Inc., the holding company parent of STAR Financial Bank, as well as a director of STAR Financial Bank. Prior to that, Mr. Marcuccilli had responsibility for oversight of nine of STAR's financial institutions throughout Indiana. He has served as chairman of the Northeast Indiana Regional Partnership from 2008-2009 and a board member of the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (2004 to 2017).

Key Qualifications: Mr. Marcuccilli brings to the Board his comprehensive experience in financial analysis, commercial understanding, banking, organizational management, strategic growth, and information technology and cyber risk. His background as a successful financial entrepreneur also brings a depth of knowledge concerning regulatory and governance matters.

Table of Contents

Bradley S. Seaman

Position: Director

Director Since: 2013

Age: 59

Outside Public Company Directorships: CPI Card Group, Inc.,
Chairman

Committees: Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee
Chairperson and Compensation Committee Member

Education: Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration
from Bowling Green State University (1982) and an MBA from the
University of Dallas (1986)

Professional Background: Mr. Seaman has been employed, since August 1999, by Parallel49 Equity, a private equity firm (successor brand of Tricor Pacific Capital) that makes control investments in lower middle market companies in the United States and Canada. From 1999 through December 2011, Mr. Seaman was Managing Director and leader of its U.S. operations, and, since January 2012, has served as its Managing Partner, responsible for leading overall firm operations, strategy, funding, and investments. Mr. Seaman was employed by the General Electric Company from 1984 to 1999 in a series of increasingly responsible positions in both GE Plastics and GE Capital. At GE Capital, Mr. Seaman was ultimately promoted to lead transaction origination and structuring teams in the New York and Chicago offices for the Commercial Finance business which was focused on providing debt and equity for private equity backed transactions and he led GE's equity investment in the start-up of Steel Dynamics.

Key Qualifications: Mr. Seaman brings to the Board a comprehensive understanding and experience in the private equity markets, strategic initiatives, mergers & acquisitions, management experience, and both operational and corporate governance experience. He also brings an extensive knowledge and understanding of public company governance and regulatory matters.

Gabriel L. Shaheen

Position: Director

Director Since: 2009

Age: 65

Outside Public Company Directorships: None
(previously served as Chairman of the board of Horace Mann
Educators Corporation from 2010 to 2018).

Committees: Compensation Committee Chairperson and Audit
Committee Member

Education: Bachelor's degree in actuarial math from the University
of Michigan (1976) and a master's degree in actuarial science from
the University of Michigan (1977)

Professional Background: Mr. Shaheen was a founding partner of Insurex, LLC in 2018, served since 2000 as President, Chief Executive Officer and a principal of GLS Capital Ventures, LLC and partner of NxtStar Ventures, LLC from 2000 through 2018, all of them providing private advisory services to both start-up and existing life insurance, annuity insurance, and other financial services organizations, as well as to entities that serve such organizations. From January 1998 through December 1999, Mr. Shaheen served as Chairman, President and Chief

Key Qualifications: Mr. Shaheen brings an extensive background of training, skills, and experience in the world of risk assessment and management, as well as management skills and experience in operating and supervising complex institutional relationships and major operating units of large publicly traded companies.

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

Executive Officer of Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, with responsibility for all of Lincoln's life and annuity operations throughout the United States.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 25

Table of Contents

Steven A. Sonnenberg

Position: Director

Director Since: 2018

Age: 66

Public Company Directorships: Tennant Company, Lead Independent Director

Committees: Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Member

Education: Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology and an M.B.A in Business Administration from the University of Virginia Darden School of Business.

Professional Background: Mr. Sonnenberg served from 2008 through 2016 as President of Emerson Electric Co.'s \$8.5 billion Process Management Group, a worldwide 40,000-employee, eight business unit manufacturer of automation products for process industries, including oil and gas, chemical processing, power, life sciences, and metals and mining. In 2016, Mr. Sonnenberg became Chair of Emerson Electric Co.'s Automation Solutions business, which assists manufacturers to maximize performance through Emerson's industry-leading portfolio of technologies to measure, control, optimize and power their operations. In that role, and until his retirement in 2018, he also served as a senior advisor on large acquisitions and on the development of the company's highest-level customer relationships. Currently, Mr. Sonnenberg serves as a senior advisor to Emerson and works part time in the areas of leadership development and customer relations. For more than 15 years prior to his appointment as Emerson's Process Management Group's President, Mr. Sonnenberg managed various Emerson affiliated companies with operations throughout Asia and Europe.

Richard P. Teets, Jr.

Position: Co-founder and Director

Director Since: 1993

Age: 63

Public Company Directorships: None

Committees: None

Education: Bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Lafayette College (1977) and a master's degree in business administration from Duquesne University (1982)

Professional Background: Retired. Mr. Teets had been our Executive Vice President for Steelmaking and President and Chief Operating Officer of Steel Operations since August 2008 through March 2016. In April 2007, Mr. Teets became an Executive Vice President, overseeing the Company's four long-products steelmaking divisions and the steel fabrication platform. From 1998 to 2007, he managed the construction, start-up, and operation of the Structural and Rail Division and was responsible for its commercial success and growth. Prior to this, from 1993 to early 1996, Mr. Teets was responsible for the design, construction, and start-up operation of the Company's Butler Flat Roll Division. Mr. Teets was named the Steel Advocate of the Year during 2016 by the American Metal Market.

Key Qualifications: Among numerous strong leadership characteristics, Mr. Sonnenberg brings a strong background in industrial and international operations to our Board, as well as a comprehensive understanding of public company governance and regulatory matters, talent development and succession practices, and commercial acumen.

Key Qualifications: Mr. Teets brings to the Board strong leadership and industry experience having co-founded the Company. Mr. Teets brings to the Board a strong academic, innovative, strategic and operational background and business experience in the design, construction and operation of steel mill facilities. Additionally, he offers demonstrated leadership in organizing, planning and directing complex projects, both at the construction and operational levels.

Table of Contents

Other 2018 Named Executive Officers

Theresa E. Wagler (48) is our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since May 2007. Ms. Wagler joined the Steel Dynamics corporate finance team in 1998, and has held various finance and accounting positions, including Chief Accounting Officer and Vice President and Corporate Controller, and was appointed to her current position in May 2007. She is responsible for and oversees accounting, risk management, taxation, treasury, and information technology functions, as well as, financial planning and analysis, investor relations, human resources and safety, and corporate communications. Prior to joining Steel Dynamics, Ms. Wagler served as Assistant Corporate Controller for Fort Wayne National Bank and as a certified public accountant with Ernst & Young LLP. She graduated cum laude from Taylor University with a bachelor's degree in accounting and systems analysis. In addition, Ms. Wagler serves as a director and chair of the audit committee of CF Industries Holdings, Inc., a public company, and also serves as a director and audit committee chair for Trine University.

Russell B. Rinn (61) is our Executive Vice President for Metals Recycling since July 2011. Mr. Rinn is responsible for OmniSource's ferrous and nonferrous metals recycling operations in the eastern half of the United States, as well as sourcing, marketing, trading, and logistics activities spanning the nation. OmniSource procures metal scrap, processes it, and markets these recycled metals to external customers and supplies ferrous scrap to the company's steel mills. Prior to joining Steel Dynamics, Mr. Rinn was an Executive Vice President of Commercial Metals Company (CMC), a Texas-based mini-mill steel company. He has more than 30 years of experience in the steel and metals recycling industries. Mr. Rinn is a graduate of the Executive Program of the Stanford University Graduate School of Business and of the Management Development Program at the University of Michigan's Business School. He holds a bachelor's degree in Finance, Marketing and Business Administration from Texas Lutheran University.

Glenn A. Pushis (53) is our Senior Vice President, Special Projects, effective February 1, 2019. In this new position, Mr. Pushis is responsible for the successful design and construction of the company's planned new flat roll steel mill developed to serve the Southwestern U.S. and Mexico. He has extensive experience in this capacity and has been instrumental in numerous construction projects for Steel Dynamics since its foundation. Since 2016, Mr. Pushis served as Senior Vice President, Long Products Steel Group responsible for the company's four long product steel mills. Prior to that, Mr. Pushis served as a Vice President overseeing the company's Butler Flat Roll Division and six flat roll coating facilities. He has been with Steel Dynamics since 1994, holding various operational and leadership roles, and he was part of the team that constructed the company's first steel mill in 1994- Butler Flat Roll Steel Mill. He held various leadership positions within the company's steel group, including the positions of General Manager for the Engineered Bar Products Division from 2003 to 2007 and more recently, the Butler Flat Roll Division from 2007 to 2014. Mr. Pushis earned a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Purdue University and his MBA from Indiana University.

Barry T. Schneider (50) is our Senior Vice President, Flat Roll Steel Group since March 2016. Since 2014, Mr. Schneider served as a Vice President overseeing the company's Engineered Bar Products and Roanoke Bar steel divisions. Mr. Schneider is responsible for the company's two flat roll steel mills and numerous flat roll coating lines, including the recently acquired Heartland Flat Roll Division, which together have approximately 8.4 million tons of annual capacity, producing hot roll, cold roll and coated steel products, including a wide variety of specialty products, such as light gauge hot roll, galvanized and painted products. Mr. Schneider was also part of the team that constructed the company's first steel mill in 1994, serving in several engineering and operational roles in the melt shop during the company's first five years of operations. He was the manager of the Butler Flat Roll Division's hot strip mill and later the cold rolling and coating facilities from 2000 to 2007. Mr. Schneider then held the position of General Manager for the Engineered Bar Products Division from 2007 to 2014. Mr. Schneider earned a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering and a Master of Science in engineering management from Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation**

The following table presents the total compensation for each person who served as a non-employee member of the Board during 2018. Other than as set forth in the table, and described more fully below, we did not pay any other compensation or make any equity or non-equity awards to any of the non-employee members of the Board. Mr. Millett, who is our Chief Executive Officer, received no compensation for his service as a member of the Board and, consequently, is not included in this table. The compensation received by Mr. Millett as a current employee of the Company is presented in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table.

Cash Compensation

For 2018, the standard cash compensation retainer for the non-employee members of the Board, as well as any additional cash received for respective committee participation, were as follows:

	Annual Retainers	Committee Chair	Committee Member
Non-employee Director	\$ 100,000		
Lead Independent Director	140,000		
Non-Executive Chairman of the Board	250,000		
Audit Committee		\$ 25,000	\$ 12,000
Compensation Committee		20,000	7,500
Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee		15,000	7,500

Non-employee members of the Board may elect to defer up to 100% of their annual cash retainer relating to their Board service, in increments of 10%, in the form of additional deferred stock units ("DSU"), as further described below under "Equity Compensation". The actual number of DSUs is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the board service cash retainer amount that is the subject of the election by the closing price of the Company's common stock at the close of business on the last business day preceding the date of the elected cash retainer payment. This deferral election must be made prior to December 31st of the calendar year preceding the year for which the deferral election is made. The director is required to elect, in advance, the desired deferral period, specifically, for a period of either one year, or the earlier to occur of five years or one year following his or her retirement from the Board.

Equity Compensation

Non-employee members of the Board also receive an annual equity award, in the form of DSUs. In 2018, these director DSU awards each had a grant date fair value of \$130,001. The grant is made annually, as of June 1, and the number of DSUs is determined by a formula, set forth in Section 7.6(g) of the Company's 2015 Equity Incentive Plan, under which the equity portion of the annual Board service retainer, which was \$130,000 for 2018, is divided by the closing price of the Company's common stock at the close of business on the last business day preceding June 1, to arrive at the specified number of DSUs. Each DSU is a book-entry award expressed in common stock equivalent units and ultimately settled at the end of the deferral period in a like number of shares of the Company's common stock.

Equity Ownership Policy for Directors

We maintain an equity ownership policy for the non-employee members of the Board. Under this policy, each non-employee member of the Board is required to own and hold shares of the Company's common stock equal to at least five times his or her annual cash retainer, currently \$100,000, for an aggregate of \$500,000. We review compliance with this policy annually and require each non-employee member of the Board to meet his or her respective equity ownership requirement within five years of joining the Board. We believe that each of the existing non-employee members of the Board either satisfies, or will satisfy this requirement, on a timely basis.

Table of Contents*2018 Director Compensation Table*

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (b)	Stock Awards (c) (2)	Total (h)
Sheree L. Bargabos	\$ 28,000	\$ 97,518	\$125,518
Keith E. Busse	235,000	130,001	365,001
Frank D. Byrne, M.D.	114,500	130,001	244,501
Kenneth W. Cornew	110,000	130,001	240,001
Traci M. Dolan	127,500	130,001	257,501
Dr. Jürgen Kolb	114,500	130,001	244,501
James C. Marcuccilli	162,000	130,001	292,001
Bradley S. Seaman	117,500	130,001	247,501
Gabriel L. Shaheen	127,000	130,001	257,001
Steven A. Sonnenberg	26,875	97,518	124,393
Richard P. Teets, Jr. (1)	95,000	130,001	225,001

- (1) Mr. Teets received a DSU award for 2,146 shares of the Company's common stock with a grant date fair value of \$95,000 in lieu of his annual cash retainer.
- (2) The amounts reported in this column represent the grant date fair value of the DSU awards granted under the Company's 2015 Equity Incentive Plan ("2015 Plan"). The DSU awards with an award value of \$130,001 were each for 2,630 shares of the Company's common stock on the basis of the Nasdaq closing market price for the Company's common stock on the last business day prior to June 1, 2018. Additionally, Ms. Bargabos and Mr. Sonnenberg each received a DSU award with an award value of \$97,518 for 2,421 shares of the Company's common stock, representing a pro rata portion of the full-year award, on the basis of the Nasdaq closing market price for the Company's common stock on the last business day prior to November 14, 2018. Each 2018 DSU award vested in full on the grant date, subject only to the particular deferred settlement date elected in advance by the director for settlement of his or her DSU award into shares of the Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers**

The following table shows how much of the Company's common stock the directors and the Named Executive Officers, and all directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially owned as of March 18, 2019. For purposes of the following table, beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13d-3.

	Beneficial Ownership as of March 18, 2019		Total	Percent Owned*
	Current Beneficial Holdings	Shares Subject to Options		
Named Executive Officers				
Mark D. Millett	3,331,347	208,087	3,539,434	1.6%
Theresa E. Wagler	364,346	51,012	415,358	0.2%
Russell B. Rinn	220,459	43,176	263,635	0.1%
Glenn A. Pushis	87,458	17,506	104,964	0.0%
Barry T. Schneider	81,943	17,506	99,449	0.0%
Directors				
Sheree L. Bargabos	2,435		2,435	0.0%
Keith E. Busse (1)	1,007,668		1,007,668	0.5%
Frank D. Byrne, M.D.	69,697		69,697	0.0%
Kenneth W. Cornew	11,223		11,223	0.0%
Traci M. Dolan	35,456		35,456	0.0%
Dr. Jürgen Kolb	36,514		36,514	0.0%
James C. Marcuccilli	71,427		71,427	0.0%
Bradley S. Seaman	25,241		25,241	0.0%
Gabriel L. Shaheen (2)	56,305		56,305	0.0%
Steven A. Sonnenberg	2,435		2,435	0.0%
Richard P. Teets, Jr. (3)	5,117,646		5,117,646	2.3%
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (18 persons)	10,556,918	354,793	10,911,711	4.9%

Represents currently exercisable options and options exercisable within 60 days.

*

Assumes exercise of all stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days covering 354,793 shares with a corresponding increase in the number of outstanding shares from 222,931,285 on the record date to 223,286,078.

- (1) Mr. Busse's ownership includes 5,000 shares held by a custodian for his minor grandchild. Mr. Busse's ownership includes 249,000 shares representing 25% of his total shares held, which are pledged as collateral to secure a loan.
- (2) Mr. Shaheen's ownership includes 3,000 shares held in his retirement plan.
- (3) Mr. Teets' ownership includes 94,089 shares of the Company's common stock owned by Mr. Teets' spouse.

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

At December 31, 2018, based upon filings with the SEC, and based upon a total of 225,272,174 shares issued and outstanding at that time, the following persons owned more than 5% of the Company's common stock.

Name and Address	Amount of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Ownership
BlackRock Inc. (1) 55 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10055	23,894,257	10.6%
The Vanguard Group (2) 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	21,605,144	9.6%

(1) Share amounts are based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 31, 2019, reporting beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2018, which indicates that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power of 21,904,434 of the shares shown and sole dispositive power of 23,894,257 of the shares shown.

(2) Share amounts are based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2019, reporting beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2018, which indicates that The Vanguard Group has sole voting power of 160,183 of the shares shown, shared voting power of 73,187 of the shares shown, sole dispositive power of 21,377,467 of the shares shown and shared dispositive power of 227,677 of the shares shown.

Table of Contents

Proposal No. 2
Ratification of the Appointment of Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm as Auditors

In accordance with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) as our independent registered public accounting firm, to conduct our annual audit for the year 2019. Although not legally required, but in accordance with established policy, we are submitting this appointment to stockholders for ratification. In the event the appointment is not ratified, we anticipate that no change in auditors would be made for the current year because of the difficulty and expense of making any change mid-year. However, any such vote would be considered in connection with our deliberation of the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm for 2020.

Ernst & Young conducted our annual audit for 2018, and representatives of Ernst & Young will be present and will be available at the meeting to respond to questions from stockholders, and, if the representatives desire, will have an opportunity to make a statement.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the appointment of
Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2019.

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees for services rendered by Ernst & Young, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2018.

	2017	2018
Audit Fees	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,585,000
Audit Related Fees		
Tax Fees	39,000	56,000
All Other Fees		
	\$ 2,289,000	\$ 2,641,000

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditor

Consistent with SEC policies regarding auditor independence, the Audit Committee must pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditors. Our Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy covers all services to be performed by our independent auditors. The policy contemplates a general pre-approval for all audit, audit-related, tax, and all other services that are permissible, with a general pre-approval period of twelve months from the date of each pre-approval. Any other proposed services that are to be performed by our independent auditors, not covered by or exceeding the pre-approved levels or amounts, must be specifically approved in advance of service.

Prior to engagement, the Audit Committee will pre-approve the following categories of services.

§

Audit fees include fees for (1) services rendered in connection with the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in its Form 10-K and reviews of financial statements included in the quarterly Forms 10-Q; and (2) the review of internal control over financial reporting with the objective of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Such work also includes, but is not limited to, fees for the review of the Company's valuation of business combinations, accounting consultations on matters addressed during the audit including implementation of new accounting standards, services rendered in connection with comfort letters, statutory audits of subsidiaries, and services associated with statutory or regulatory filings or engagements, including SEC registration statements, periodic

Table of Contents

reports, and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

§

Tax fees include fees related to services performed by the independent auditors' tax personnel, except those services specifically related to the financial statements which are included in audit fees, and included tax advisory and compliance fees (including assistance with tax audits and appeals, tax compliance related to tax returns, tax advice relating to mergers and acquisitions, indirect tax matters, due diligence assistance regarding tax matters, and transfer pricing studies).

Applicable SEC rules and the Audit Committee's pre-approval policy permits the delegation of pre-approval authority for services not covered by the Audit Committee's general pre-approval to the Chair of the Audit Committee.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee operates under a written Charter that is available on our Company's website at www.steeldynamics.com under "Investors Corporate Governance." The Audit Committee is comprised of five non-employee independent directors, each of whom met the definition of "audit committee financial expert."

The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing our accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements, and is directly responsible for the appointment and oversight of our independent auditors, including review of their qualifications, independence and performance. In carrying out its oversight responsibilities, the Committee relies on the expertise and knowledge of management, the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm, legal counsel, and other advisors. While the Audit Committee's specific responsibilities are also summarized in this Proxy Statement under "Governance of the Company The Audit Committee," the Audit Committee, among its other responsibilities, oversees:

§

The integrity of our financial statements, our accounting and financial reporting processes, and our systems of internal control over financial reporting and safeguarding of our assets;

§

Our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

§

The performance of our internal auditors and internal audit functions; and

§

Our guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management.

Roles and Responsibilities

Management, our independent registered public accounting firm, and the Audit Committee each have different roles and responsibilities with respect to our financial statements and internal control over financial reporting. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation, and integrity of our consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal control over financial reporting, and disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards, applicable laws and regulations. Management is also responsible for objectively reviewing and evaluating the adequacy, effectiveness, and quality of our system of internal control.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements and for expressing an opinion, based on the results of their audit, whether the consolidated financial statements are fairly presented in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. In addition, Ernst & Young is also responsible for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Oversight and Assessment of the Independent Auditors

The Audit Committee selects and appoints our independent auditors, reviews the performance of the independent auditors in the annual audit and in assignments unrelated to the audit, and reviews and approves the

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

independent auditors' fees. The Audit Committee approved the selection and engaged the services of Ernst & Young as our independent auditing firm for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, after employing its annual quality and review process described below.

In 2018, the Audit Committee, with assistance from management, conducted a formal performance appraisal of Ernst & Young, soliciting the opinions of the Audit Committee, internal audit, executive management and other relevant Company employees. In determining whether to appoint Ernst & Young as Steel Dynamics' independent auditor for 2019, the Audit Committee took into consideration a number of factors, including the frankness and quality of the Audit Committee's ongoing discussions with our auditor, the auditor's independence, and the assessment of the professional qualifications and past performance of both Ernst & Young as a whole and the Lead Audit Partner. The results assessed Ernst & Young's performance to have met all expectations. In that regard, the Audit Committee recommends engaging Ernst & Young as our independent auditing firm for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.

Required Disclosures and Discussions

In connection with the December 31, 2018 audited consolidated financial statements, the Audit Committee:

§

Met with Ernst & Young eight times with management present and four times without management present.

§

Discussed with the Company's independent auditors, Ernst & Young, the matters required to be discussed in Auditing Standard No. 16 (*Communication with Audit Committees*), issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB"), now codified as AS No. 1301, as well as Auditing Standard No. 18 (*Related Parties*).

§

Received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young required by PCAOB Rule 3526 (Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence) and has discussed with the auditors their independence.

§

Reviewed and discussed with management and with Ernst & Young management's report on Steel Dynamics' internal control over financial reporting and Ernst & Young's attestation report on the effectiveness of Steel Dynamics' internal control over financial reporting.

§

Discussed whether the provision of services by Ernst & Young and the fees paid to them for services not related to the audit of the financial statements referred to above, is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young's independence.

Recommendation to Include the Financial Statements in the Annual Report

Based upon the Audit Committee's discussions with management and our independent registered public accounting firm, and the Audit Committee's review of the audited financial statements and the representations of management and the report of our independent registered public accounting firm to the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The Audit Committee:

Traci M. Dolan, Chair
Sheree L. Bargabos, Member
Frank D. Byrne, M.D., Member
Dr. Jürgen Kolb, Member
James C. Marcuccilli, Member
Gabriel L. Shaheen, Member

March 27, 2019

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED INFORMATION****Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") provides a detailed description of our compensation program for our Named Executive Officers (our "NEOs"). It also provides an overview of our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices, which are designed to achieve our financial, operational and strategic business objectives. For 2018, our NEO's were:

Name	Position
Mr. Millett	President and Chief Executive Officer
Ms. Wagler	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Mr. Rinn	Executive Vice President for Metals Recycling
Mr. Pushis	Senior Vice President, Long Products Steel Group (Beginning February 2019, Senior Vice President, Special Projects)
Mr. Schneider	Senior Vice President, Flat Roll Steel Group

Executive Summary*2018 Business Achievements*

We achieved record financial and operating results during 2018. The domestic steel industry benefited from a steady improvement in underlying steel consumption, based on strength from the automotive, construction and energy sectors. Increased steel consumption, coupled with generally lower finished steel imports, created a strong market environment. Additionally, the acquisition of Heartland will further add to our product diversification and increase our value-added steel production capabilities.

We recorded numerous achievements during 2018, including the following:

- § Record sales of \$11.8 billion;
- § Record operating income of \$1.7 billion;
- § Record steel and fabrication shipments;
- § Record net income of \$1.3 billion and EBITDA of 2.0 billion²;
- § Record annual cash flow from operations of \$1.4 billion; and
- § Record end-of-year liquidity of \$2.2 billion, even after reinvesting in our facilities, increasing annual 2018 cash dividends by 21% and completing share repurchases of \$524 million.

As demonstrated, we believe that our business model and unique operating culture generate strong cash flow through all market cycles based on the low, highly-variable cost structure of our operations and our highly diversified, value-added product offerings. The strength of our through-cycle cash generation, coupled with a strong credit and capital structure profile provides great opportunity for continued organic and inorganic growth. We are squarely focused on the continuation of sustainable, optimized value creation.

Results of 2018 Say-on-Pay Vote

At our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we conducted a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our NEOs, commonly referred to as a "say-on-pay" vote. Our stockholders approved the compensation with 97% of the votes cast on the proposal voted in favor of our executive compensation program.

² 2018 EBITDA is calculated as \$1,256 million of net income, \$364 million of income tax expense, \$104 million of net interest expense, \$283 million of depreciation, \$28 million of amortization and \$3 million of non-controlling interests.

Table of Contents

Consistent with the recommendation of the Board and the preference of our stockholders, as expressed at the 2017 Annual Meeting, to hold advisory say-on-pay votes on the compensation of our NEOs on an annual basis, the Board has decided to continue this policy. Accordingly, following this Annual Meeting (to which this Proxy Statement relates), which will include this year's (2019) annual advisory say-on-pay vote, the next advisory say-on-pay vote will take place in 2020. The next *say-on-frequency* vote will take place in 2023.

As the Compensation Committee evaluated our executive compensation policies and practices throughout 2018, it was mindful of the strong support our stockholders expressed for our compensation philosophy and approach to "pay-for-performance" by linking the incentive compensation opportunities of our NEOs to a combination of short-term overall profitability and long-term incentives linked to a number of performance-based measures compared to our steel sector competitors. As a result, the Compensation Committee has retained the program's emphasis on both short-term annual incentives that reward our NEOs when we meet certain profitability hurdles and long-term performance-based incentive compensation opportunities that promote the creation of sustainable long-term value for our stockholders.

2018 Executive Compensation Actions

For 2018, the Compensation Committee took the following compensation actions (each described in more detail below):

- § Increased Mr. Millett's annual base salary by 21% to \$1,250,000 and increased the annual base salaries of the other NEOs by an average of 9%;
- § Based on our 2018 record profitability, approved the Annual Plan's formula-based compensation award for Mr. Millett equal to the maximum Plan award of 350% of his annual base salary, and likewise approved annual incentive compensation awards for our other NEOs ranging from 300% to 350% of their annual base salaries;
- § Approved three-year performance share awards (from 2018 to 2020) under our Long-Term Incentive Program ("LTIP") for Mr. Millett, having a target grant date fair value of \$1,875,000, and for our other NEOs, having target grant date fair values ranging from \$475,000 to \$645,000;
- § Approved ten-year stock appreciation right awards under our Stock Appreciation Right ("SAR") Program for Mr. Millett, having a grant date fair value of \$2,459,584, and for our other NEOs, having grant date fair values ranging from \$199,426 to \$398,852; and
- § As part of our company-wide annual Restricted Stock Unit ("RSU") award program for substantially all employees, including our NEOs, approved an RSU award to Mr. Millett with a grant date fair value of \$87,004, and RSU awards to our other NEOs with grant date fair values ranging from \$50,224 to \$69,619.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation program reflects a continuation of the team-oriented entrepreneurial culture upon which the Company was founded and that has contributed to our success. While the type of executive we seek to attract and retain might have the opportunity to work elsewhere at a higher guaranteed base wage, nonetheless, we believe that he or she will want to work for us because of the opportunity to earn a higher multiple of that guaranteed base wage in years in which his or her efforts have contributed to a substantially more profitable year for the Company, its employees and its stockholders. Fundamental to this philosophy is the recognition of the central role that teamwork, collaboration and transparency plays in the achievement of this kind of consistent superior financial and operational performance, under all market conditions, both at the executive and plant levels. This philosophy is, in fact, reflected at every level of the Company, from the employee on the plant floor to the corporate and divisional executive management team.

This philosophy drives the following compensation design principles:

- § *base salary* is fixed, payable in cash, and generally set at or below the median of the competitive market, yet, when combined with the potential from our highly-leveraged annual incentive

Table of Contents

compensation, aspires to be sufficiently competitive to attract and retain the type of entrepreneurial executives we seek;

§

annual incentive compensation should be awarded only after Company profits and/or divisional earnings first exceed certain minimum threshold levels established by the Compensation Committee from time to time and designed to initially provide a minimum return to stockholders, with annual incentive compensation awards dependent upon additional earnings beyond such minimums, capped, however, at pre-established multiples of base salary;

§

long-term incentive compensation should be predominantly performance-based, with the amounts earned measured by how our executives have performed relative to our steel sector competitors over a multi-year period, based on pre-established key financial and operational measures;

§

total direct compensation across all market conditions should be market competitive when Company performance so merits, but below market norms when that performance lags; and

§

rewards for exemplary individual effort and performance over time should generally be expressed through annual increases in the level of base salary.

The following charts illustrate the 2018 target total direct compensation mix of our Chief Executive Officer and the average for other NEOs as approved by the Compensation Committee:

CEO
Target Compensation
% of target performance
based = 83%

Average Other NEOs
Target Compensation
% of target performance
based = 74%

Pay for Performance

As previously noted, both our *annual incentive compensation award opportunities* and our *long-term incentive compensation award opportunities* have a common and intentional "pay-for-performance" design.

Each program rewards one or more elements important to the short-term or long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Annual Incentive Compensation Award Opportunities

Pursuant to our 2018 Executive Incentive Compensation Plan, which we refer to as our "Annual Plan", the annual incentive compensation award opportunities for those officers identified under the Annual Plan as "corporate executive officers," including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, are based on Company-wide profitability in excess of a pre-established minimum percentage return of stockholders' equity. The annual incentive compensation award opportunities of those officers identified under the Annual Plan as

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

"divisional executive officers," with both Company-wide and business unit responsibilities, are based in part on Company-wide profitability and in part on the profitability of the division or business units that they manage, in excess of a pre-established hurdle rate of return on assets deployed to that division or business unit. We have

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 37

Table of Contents

selected these measures as the primary performance measures for determining the annual incentive compensation awards under the Annual Plan because we deem them to be both objective and clearly aligned with driving long-term stockholder value creation. There is a strong relationship between our profits-oriented financial performance under the Annual Plan, as illustrated by our net income (excluding non-cash impairment charges), and our Chief Executive Officer's annual incentive compensation award under the Annual Plan, over the last five years:

CEO Annual Incentive Compensation Plan Awards

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Award Opportunities

The long-term incentive compensation award opportunities granted to the designated executive officers under our existing Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, which we refer to as the "LTIP," are based on Company-wide operating performance over a long-term (three-year) measurement period, measured on the basis of four financial and operating measures selected annually by the Compensation Committee (for the 2018 Awards: revenue growth, operating margin, cash flow from operations as a percentage of revenue and after-tax return on equity), compared to the same performance measures of a pre-established group of steel sector competitors. We have selected these performance measures for determining the amount of the awards earned because we believe that they are also objective indicators of our ability to execute on our long-term strategic initiatives in a dynamic and volatile global economy and industry. The relationship between the average of the Company's rankings

Table of Contents

(1 being the best) against the steel sector competitors on the four financial and operating measures under the LTIP and the percentage of the maximum shares earned under the LTIP, is illustrated below:

CEO Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan Awards

Based on the Company's record performance, both in absolute terms and in comparison to the company's steel industry peers in 2018, our Chief Executive Officer received maximum payouts under our performance-based annual and long-term incentive plans, resulting in total direct compensation of \$10,116,690. Even then, his compensation is only at the 25th percentile of the chief executive officers of the companies included in our compensation peer group, based on their prior year 2017 compensation disclosure.

Administration of Executive Compensation Program

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has responsibility for the development, implementation, monitoring, and oversight of our executive compensation program, as well as responsibility for ensuring that our compensation plans and programs remain consistent with our compensation philosophy. The Compensation Committee annually evaluates and establishes the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and, with the input of our Chief Executive Officer, the compensation of our other executive officers, including our other Named Executive Officers; evaluates and establishes the compensation for the non-employee members of the Board; and reviews and approves all cash and equity-based incentive plans and awards under such plans.

The Compensation Committee meets throughout the year, as necessary, to perform its duties and responsibilities. During 2018, the Compensation Committee held four meetings. From time to time, the Compensation Committee may invite our Chief Executive Officer, our Board Chair or other executive officers to attend and to participate in portions of its meetings, but only Compensation Committee members are present during compensation-related decision-making.

Role of Our Chief Executive Officer

Our Chief Executive Officer supports the work of the Compensation Committee by providing necessary background information and updates on the operations of the Company and the performance of each of our executive officers.

Our Chief Executive Officer recommends adjustments to the base salaries, target annual incentive compensation award opportunities, and long-term incentive awards of our executive officers, including our Named

Table of Contents

Executive Officers who report directly to him. He also provides the Compensation Committee with an annual performance evaluation of each executive officer.

The Compensation Committee receives a recommendation from our Chief Executive Officer as to any proposed adjustment to his own base salary, as well as his self-assessment of his performance for the year under review. The Compensation Committee, however, evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer based on its own assessment of his performance and inputs from all other directors, and exercises its judgment as to whether, and to what extent, to adjust his compensation levels and whether to adjust the compensation levels of any of our executive officers.

Role of Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has authority to engage the services of one or more compensation consultants or other advisors, at the Company's expense, as it deems necessary or appropriate in the discharge of its duties and responsibilities. During 2018, the Compensation Committee engaged the services of Compensia, Inc., a national compensation consulting firm, to provide ongoing executive and director compensation advisory services.

Compensia reports directly to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee may replace its compensation consultant or hire additional advisors at any time. Representatives of Compensia attend meetings of the Committee, as requested, and communicate with the Committee Chairperson and with management as circumstances warrant. All decisions regarding the compensation of our executive officers, however, are made by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Compensia taking into account, among other things, the enhanced independence standards and factors set forth in Exchange Act Rule 10C-1 and the applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules, and concluded that Compensia meets all applicable independence criteria, and that there are no conflicts of interest with respect to the work that Compensia performs for the Compensation Committee.

During 2018, the Company engaged Pearl Meyer, a national compensation consulting firm, to assist in determining the appropriateness of our compensation peer group, analyze the compensation for our named executive officers and evaluate the compensation of our named executive officers against our compensation peer group and the broader market. Compensia reviews and provides input on Pearl Meyer's work before it is delivered to the Compensation Committee.

Use of Competitive Data

To monitor the competitiveness of our executive officers' compensation, the Compensation Committee uses a compensation peer group which reflects the pay of executives in comparable positions at similarly-situated companies. This compensation peer group is composed of a cross-section of direct steel competitors as well as companies in related industrial or basic materials sectors. During 2018, the Compensation Committee revisited and, after review and deliberation, decided to maintain the existing peer group comprised of the metals and industrial companies listed below. The Compensation Committee used the following compensation peer group as a reference in the course of its compensation deliberations in 2018:

Peer Group Companies

AGCO Corporation	Fluor Corporation	Nucor Corporation
AK Steel Holding Corporation	Masco Corporation	Oshkosh Corporation
Commercial Metals Company	Navistar International Corporation	Reliance Steel & Aluminum Co.
Dover Corporation	Newmont Mining Corporation	United States Steel Corporation
Flowserve Corporation		

We do not believe that it is appropriate to make compensation decisions based strictly upon any type of benchmarking to a peer or other representative group of companies. The Compensation Committee believes, however, that information regarding the compensation practices at other companies is useful in at least two respects. First, the Compensation Committee recognizes that our compensation policies and practices must be competitive in the marketplace to attract and retain executive talent. Second, this information is useful in assessing the reasonableness and appropriateness of individual executive compensation components and of our overall executive compensation program. Peer group information is only one of a number of factors that the Compensation Committee considers, however, in making its decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers.

Table of Contents**Summary of the Executive Compensation Program Components**

The following describes each component of our executive compensation program and how compensation amounts were determined for our NEOs for 2018.

Base Salary

We seek to orient compensation significantly toward substantial "at-risk" incentive compensation, consistent with the Compensation Committee's approved risk-assessment review of the Company's compensation plans. Consistent with this approach, we use base salaries to provide an essential level of compensation we believe to be necessary to recruit and retain the type of entrepreneurial executives we seek to attract, and who are willing to accept such base-level compensation in challenging market conditions, even in situations in which their individual and collective efforts and performance has been outstanding.

The Compensation Committee, in the course of its annual performance review process, considers each executive officer's position, responsibilities and duties, as well as his or her experience, qualifications, unique value, and performance, for purposes of determining whether to adjust his or her base salary. Base salary adjustments are also influenced by the Compensation Committee's analyses of the base salary levels for executives in comparable positions in the competitive marketplace, prepared by its compensation consultant or by the Committee itself.

In February 2018, the Compensation Committee reviewed the base salaries of our executive officers, taking into consideration the factors described above as well as the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and, exercising its judgment and discretion, increased Mr. Millett's annual base salary by 21%, and increased the annual base salaries of the other NEOs by an average of 9%.

Base salaries paid to our NEOs for 2018 are set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table following this CD&A.

Annual Incentive Compensation Plan

Consistent with our compensation philosophy, the majority of the annual compensation opportunity for our NEOs is provided through objectively-determined Company and divisional performance-based incentive compensation awards under the Annual Plan. The Annual Plan has a short-term focus, consistent with our objective of providing annualized incentive compensation linked to Company and/or business unit profits above a pre-established minimum threshold level.

In 2018, our broad group of executive officers, including but not limited to our NEOs (a group of 26 individuals) were eligible to participate in the Annual Plan. Each NEO, based on his or her role and responsibilities, was eligible to participate as one of the two broad categories of officers identified in the Annual Plan "Corporate Executive Officer" or "Divisional Executive Officer." This determines the amount of the maximum award that he or she is eligible to receive, and the determining factors used to calculate that award.

The following table highlights the total opportunity, as well as each of the cash and equity components of the Annual Plan, expressed for each NEO, as the minimum and maximum bonus opportunities as a percentage of base salary:

NEO	Total	Divisional ROA		Cash Component	Restricted Stock Component
		Corporate Bonus Pool Component	Bonus Pool Component		
Mr. Millett	0% to 350%	0% to 350%	N/A	0% to 250%	0% to 100%
Ms. Wagler	0% to 350%	0% to 350%	N/A	0% to 250%	0% to 100%
Mr. Rinn	0% to 350%	0% to 175%	0% to 175%	0% to 250%	0% to 100%
Mr. Pushis	0% to 300%	0% to 120%	0% to 180%	0% to 200%	0% to 100%
Mr. Schneider	0% to 300%	0% to 120%	0% to 180%	0% to 200%	0% to 100%

Table of Contents

Annual incentive compensation awards are determined on February 1 of the year following the year for which the incentive compensation is earned, based upon the Company's audited results of operations. The number of shares of restricted stock issuable to an executive officer, if any is earned, is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the restricted stock component of the award by the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the last business day prior to February 1.

These restricted stock awards vest as to one-third of the shares of the Company's common stock covered by the award at the time of issuance and as to the remaining two-thirds of the shares covered by the award in equal installments on the first and second anniversaries of the date of issuance.

Award Measures and Calculations

Corporate Bonus Pool Component

In the case of the Corporate Executive Officers and other corporate pool participants, their annual incentive compensation award opportunities are based entirely on their participation in the "Bonus Pool" component of the Annual Plan. The size of the Bonus Pool is determined based on Company-wide "Adjusted Net Income", defined below, in excess of a pre-determined threshold return on "Average Stockholders Equity", expressed as a percentage. For 2018, the Compensation Committee established the applicable percentage at 10%. For purposes of the Annual Plan, "Adjusted Net Income" is defined as consolidated net income, before taxes and extraordinary items, including adjustments for occasional start-up expenses associated with significant capital expenditures or businesses, non-cash asset impairments and charges associated with refinancing activities.

The Bonus Pool is determined by multiplying our "Adjusted Net Income" for the year in excess of the 10% of Average Stockholders Equity threshold by a percentage amount, which is set annually by the Compensation Committee and for 2018 was kept at 5.5%. The exclusion from the Bonus Pool of the amount of the "Average Stockholders Equity" component is intended to preserve within the Company a deemed return on equity before any incentive compensation is paid, predicated on Company profits and, consequently, operates as a threshold level of performance that must be exceeded before the Bonus Pool (if any) is determined. For 2018, our "Average Stockholders Equity" was \$3.7 billion, which was derived by taking the sum of "Total Steel Dynamics, Inc. Equity," as determined by the Company's balance sheet for the month ended December 31, 2017, and for each month during 2018, and then dividing that amount by 13.

Divisional ROA Bonus Component

In the case of the Divisional Executive Officers and other operational level pool participants, their incentive compensation award opportunities are based both on a Company-wide performance measure (as determined by the "Bonus Pool" component of the Annual Plan) and on a profitability-based performance measure based upon the profitability of the divisional or business unit under their management, against a calculated return on assets percentage amount referred to as the "Minimum ROA Target".

For 2018, the Compensation Committee set the Minimum ROA Target, which varied by business unit (between 0% and 6%), below which no divisional or business unit profitability-based annual incentive compensation award will be paid. The Compensation Committee also set a "Maximum ROA Target," which also varied by business unit (between 20% and 50%), at which level a Divisional Executive Officer would be entitled to receive his or her maximum divisional or business unit annual incentive compensation award. The primary considerations included in determining the Minimum ROA Targets and Maximum ROA Targets were as follows: the amount of capital assets required to operate and maintain the particular division or business unit; the expected financial margin that a specific division or business unit has the opportunity to achieve (in both moderate and exceptional market environments); and the materiality of the contribution that a specific division or business unit may have on the consolidated financial results of the Company.

Table of Contents

For 2018, the division or business unit's performance was measured by calculating that unit's "Divisional Return on Assets," using the formula set forth in the Annual Plan, by dividing the sum of (i) the appropriate entity's pre-tax income for the year, (ii) the amount of certain corporate expenses allocated to that entity, and (iii) the amount of incentive compensation award compensation expenses associated with the Annual Plan, by the "Average Divisional ROA Assets" or "Average Divisional Group ROA Assets".

2018 Annual Incentive Award Earned

The Compensation Committee determined that the Bonus Pool maximum of \$14.5 million was reached for 2018 requiring payments equal to 100% of each pool participant's maximum annual incentive award opportunity payable out of the Bonus Pool. With respect to the portion of pool participant's incentive compensation award opportunities based on Divisional results, the total payments were \$9.3 million. In combination, \$23.9 million in performance-based compensation was awarded to the 26 pool participants, of which Mr. Millett received \$4.4 million and the other four NEO's received \$7.0 million.

The following table summarizes the key components of the 2018 annual incentive awards earned by our NEOs:

NEO	Corporate Bonus Pool-Actual % of Base Salary	Divisional Results-Actual % of Base Salary	Targeted % of Base Salary	Actual % of Base Salary	% of maximum incentive award opportunity	% of Actual Base Salary Paid in Cash	% of Actual Base Salary Paid in Restricted Stock
Mr. Millett	350%	N/A	175%	350%	100%	250%	100%
Ms. Wagler	350%	N/A	175%	350%	100%	250%	100%
Mr. Rinn	175%	170%	175%	345%	99%	250%	95%
Mr. Pushis	120%	180%	150%	300%	100%	200%	100%
Mr. Schneider	120%	180%	150%	300%	100%	200%	100%

Further information about the annual incentive compensation awards paid to our NEOs for 2018 are set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table following this CD&A.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan

Consistent with our pay-for-performance compensation philosophy, the LTIP, adopted pursuant to the 2015 Equity Incentive Plan, provides long-term incentive compensation opportunities to our NEOs based on our relative financial performance compared against our steel sector competitors. During 2018, each of our NEOs was eligible to participate in the LTIP. The Compensation Committee considers the award opportunities for executives in comparable positions in our peer group when determining annual LTIP awards to grant.

2018 Award Measures and Calculations

For purposes of the 2018 LTIP awards (the "2018 Awards"), the Compensation Committee established four performance measures, to be weighted equally (25% each) to be used throughout the performance periods. As previously noted, the Compensation Committee has selected these performance measures for determining the amount of the awards earned because they believe that they are also objective indicators of our ability to execute on our long-term strategic initiatives in a dynamic and volatile global economy and industry. Additionally, the Compensation Committee selected the steel sector competitors which consisted of AK Steel Holding Corporation, Commercial Metals Company, Nucor Corporation, TimkenSteel Corporation and United States Steel Corporation. These companies were selected because the Compensation Committee determined that they best represent the principal companies within our industry with which we compete for business.

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The performance measures selected for the 2018 Awards were as follows:

Performance Measures	Calculation
Revenue Growth	Total revenue in current performance period minus total revenue in previous performance period divided by total revenue in previous performance period
Operating Margin	Total operating income for the performance period divided by total revenue for the performance period
Cash Flow from Operations as a Percentage of Revenue	Total cash flow from operations for the performance period divided by total revenue for the performance period
After-Tax Return on Equity	Total net income for the performance period divided by total quarterly average equity for the performance period

The amount of a NEO's maximum award is to be determined by the Compensation Committee, based on a multiple of his or her annual base salary as of the first day of the performance period (for example, January 1). This value is then converted into a maximum number of shares of the Company's common stock, using the closing market price at the close of business on the first day of the performance period. Generally, awards will be granted during February of each year.

In the case of the 2018 Awards, for each performance measure, the award payout with respect to that measure has a range from zero to 100% of the maximum number of shares awarded, subject to further review during the time allotted for determination for each subsequent award, based on the Company's ranking for that measure as compared to the steel sector comparator group:

If the Ranking is	Then the Payout is
1 st or 2 nd	100%
3 rd	60%
4 th	40%
5 th or 6 th	0%

The performance measures and comparator group were required to be established by the Compensation Committee within the first 90 days of the three-year performance period.

Any shares of the Company's common stock earned pursuant to the 2018 Awards will vest at the time the amount of the award payout is determined (approximately mid-March of the year following the completion of the three-year performance period).

2018 LTIP Awards Granted

The 2018 Awards were granted to the NEOs with a three-year performance period (2018 to 2020) with targeted multiples of annual base salary, a targeted number of shares of the Company's common stock, targeted award values, a maximum number of shares of the Company's common stock that could be earned, and maximum award values that could be earned as summarized in the following table:

NEO	Number of Years in Performance Period	Targeted Multiple of Annual Base Salary	Target Number of Shares	Target Award Value	Maximum Number of Shares	Maximum Award Value
Mr. Millett	3 years	150%	41,797	\$ 1,875,000	83,594	\$ 3,750,000
Ms. Wagler	3 years	100%	14,379	645,000	28,757	1,290,000
Mr. Rinn	3 years	100%	12,261	550,000	24,521	1,100,000
Mr. Pushis	3 years	100%	10,589	475,000	21,177	950,000
Mr. Schneider	3 years	100%	10,589	475,000	21,177	950,000

Further information about the 2018 Awards is set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table and the 2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table, following this CD&A.

Table of Contents*LTIP Awards Earned*

2016 LTIP Awards

The following chart illustrates our performance with respect to each of the applicable measures versus the steel sector competitors under the 2016 LTIP award earned for the initial three-year performance period ended December 31, 2018:

LTIP Performance Metrics

The number of shares of the Company's common stock earned with respect to the 2016 Awards that were eligible to be earned for the three-year performance period from 2016 to 2018, was determined in February 2019. As a result of the Company's performance in each of the four areas of performance measured relative to the performance of the five steel sector competitors (AK Steel Holding Corporation, Commercial Metals Company, Nucor Corporation, TimkenSteel Corporation and United States Steel Corporation), as shown in the table below, the award payout was calculated to be 100% of the maximum potential number of shares granted. This resulted in 170,609 shares of the Company's common stock being earned by Mr. Millett, 65,316 shares earned by Ms. Wagler, 57,433 shares earned by Mr. Rinn, 11,262 shares earned by Mr. Pushis and 10,558 shares earned by Mr. Schneider.

Performance Measure	Ranking (out of 6)	Payout Percentage	Performance Award as a Percentage of Maximum Number of Shares (Maximum of 25% per Performance Measure)
Revenue Growth	1 st	100%	25%
Operating Margin	1 st	100%	25%
Cash Flow from Operations as a Percentage of Revenue	1 st	100%	25%
After-Tax Return on Equity	1 st	100%	<u>25%</u>
			100%

Table of Contents

2017 LTIP Awards

The number of shares of the Company's common stock earned with respect to the 2017 Awards that were eligible to be earned for the initial two-year performance period from 2017 to 2018 (the transition awards for our Senior Vice Presidents), was determined in February 2019. As a result of the Company's performance in each of the four areas of performance measured relative to the performance of the five steel sector competitors (AK Steel Holding Corporation, Commercial Metals Company, Nucor Corporation, TimkenSteel Corporation and United States Steel Corporation), as shown in the table below, the award payout was calculated to be 90% of the maximum potential number of shares granted. This resulted in 5,220 shares earned by Mr. Pushis and 5,220 shares earned by Mr. Schneider.

Performance Measure	Ranking (out of 6)	Payout Percentage	Performance Award as a Percentage of Maximum Number of Shares (Maximum of 25% per Performance Measure)
Revenue Growth	3 rd	60%	15%
Operating Margin	1 st	100%	25%
Cash Flow from Operations as a Percentage of Revenue	1 st	100%	25%
After-Tax Return on Equity	1 st	100%	<u>25%</u>
			90%

Outstanding LTIP Awards

The 2017 Award with the three-year performance period (2017 to 2019) remains outstanding, with the number of shares of the Company's common stock, if any, to be earned with respect to the award to be determined in March 2020, after the end of the performance period on December 31, 2019. Shares earned, if any, with respect to the 2017 Award will vest as to one-third of the earned shares, at the time the award payout is determined, and as to the remaining two-thirds of the earned shares, in equal installments on the first and second anniversaries of the corresponding award determination date, subject to the continued employment of each NEO. The 2018 Award with the three-year performance period (2018 to 2020) remains outstanding, with the number of shares of the Company's common stock, if any, to be earned with respect to the award to be determined in March 2021, after the end of the performance period on December 31, 2020. Shares earned, if any, with respect to the 2018 Award will vest in full on the determination date in March 2021.

*Other Equity Awards**SARs*

During 2018, the Compensation Committee granted SAR awards to our NEOs as set forth in the table below, further aligning their long-term incentive opportunity with the long-term interests of our stockholders. The Compensation Committee considers award opportunities for the executives in comparable positions in our peer group when determining annual SARs awards to grant.

NEO	Number of SARs	Strike Price	Grant Date Fair Value
Mr. Millett	185,000	\$ 46.79	\$ 2,459,584
Ms. Wagler	30,000	\$ 46.79	398,852
Mr. Rinn	25,000	\$ 46.79	332,376
Mr. Pushis	15,000	\$ 46.79	199,426
Mr. Schneider	15,000	\$ 46.79	199,426

The SARs have a ten year term and a three year graduated vesting schedule, such that, one-third of shares of the Company's common stock subject to the awards will vest (become exercisable) 12 months following the date of grant, and, thereafter, 1/24th of the remaining shares subject to the awards will vest monthly in equal installments, contingent upon each NEO's continued employment with the Company on the applicable vesting date. The exercise price of the SARs was equal to 100% of the fair market value of the shares of the Company's common stock on the grant date. When exercised, the exercise price of the SAR may be paid for by the recipient either in shares of the Company's common stock, in cash or a combination thereof, following which, the Company

Table of Contents

will pay the recipient an amount at settlement only in cash, subject to mandatory tax withholdings, equal to the product of the appreciation value of the SAR multiplied by the number of exercised SARs.

Further information about these awards is set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table and the 2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table, following this CD&A.

RSUs

The Company, since its inception, has provided regular equity-based awards, currently in the form of an RSU award for shares of the Company's common stock, at prescribed award levels, to all full-time, non-union, U.S. employees, including our NEOs. These RSU awards are granted on November 21st of each year, using the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the last business day prior to that date. Eligible employees are granted an annual RSU award for shares of the Company's common stock, which are subject to a two-year time-based vesting requirement, which commences on the date of grant. The 2018 RSU Awards granted to our NEOs are set forth in the following table:

NEO	Number of RSUs	Grant Date Fair Value
Mr. Millett	2,292	\$ 87,004
Ms. Wagler	1,834	66,941
Mr. Rinn	1,834	69,619
Mr. Pushis	1,376	50,224
Mr. Schneider	1,376	50,224

Further information about these awards is set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table and the 2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table following this CD&A.

Other Programs and Policies*Welfare, Health and Other Benefits; Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits*

The welfare and health benefits received by our NEOs are provided on the same general terms as to all of our full-time employees. In 2018, the Company paid the premiums associated with term life insurance for Mr. Millett with a benefit amount equal to \$900,000. Perquisites or other personal benefits are not a significant component of our executive compensation program.

Profit-Sharing and Retirement Savings Plan

We have established a Profit Sharing and Retirement Savings Plan for eligible employees, including our NEOs, which is a "qualified plan" for federal income tax purposes. For 2018, under the plan, we allocated to eligible plan participants \$139 million which was based on 8% of our consolidated pre-tax income, excluding noncontrolling interests and other items (the "profit-sharing pool"). The profit-sharing pool is used to fund the plan, which includes a separate cash profit sharing allocation that may be paid to employees in March of the following year. For 2018, the amounts allocated to each of our NEOs, based on the profit-sharing pool and the cash profit sharing allocation was \$59,993.

Additionally, we match employee contributions with a minimum match of 10% and a maximum match of 50% based on a return on asset calculation. For 2018, the amounts for our NEOs based upon the Company's average matching percentage during the year of 35% of his or her individual contributions, ranged from \$5,577 to \$7,516, including a matching contribution of \$5,673 to our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Millett.

Table of Contents

Post-Employment Compensation

Unrelated to Change in Control

Even though we do not have written employment agreements with our NEOs, we have operated under an informal policy that presumes an initial two calendar year term of employment, at the applicable base salary rate. Pursuant to this policy, absent an actual termination of employment or the delivery of a notice of non-renewal by the Company on or before October 1 of a given year (at which time he or she would still have 15 months remaining of his or her employment term), that individual's employment term, at his or her then-current annual base salary, would be deemed to have been extended for one additional calendar year.

Under this policy, employment is "at will" and we may terminate the employment of a NEO or give notice of non-renewal without regard to cause. If termination of employment or a notice of non-renewal occurs or is delivered prior to October 1, that individual's term of employment will extend only to the end of the calendar year following the then current year. If neither termination of employment occurs nor delivery of a notice of non-renewal occurs by October 1, that individual's term of employment will extend to the end of the second calendar year following that October 1. Depending upon when, during the calendar year, a termination of employment or notice of non-renewal occurs, if at all, our NEO may have a guaranteed remaining employment term, at his or her current annual base salary, of not less than 15 months nor more than 27 months.

Related to Change in Control

The Company adopted a "double trigger" Change in Control Protection Plan (the "CIC Plan"), applicable only to our designated NEOs, which provides for specified payments and benefits to our NEOs in the event of a change in control of the Company, accompanied by an involuntary termination of employment, without "cause" by the Company, or for "good reason" by the executive officer, within the period of six months prior to or 24 months following the change in control of the Company. For purposes of the CIC Plan, the terms "change in control," "cause," and "good reason" are defined in the plan.

The payments and benefits provided under the CIC Plan are intended to ensure that in the event of a proposed change in control of the Company, our senior executive officers remain focused upon the pending transaction. The Board believes that providing our senior executive officers with transitional compensation protection if their employment ends as a result of a change in control encourages them to act in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders by eliminating personal concerns and uncertainties he or she might otherwise have concerning his or her future employment. The Board further believes that these payments and benefits offer a fair reward for hard work and value creation, assist in retaining our senior executive officers during a time of transition, and provide incentives for them to remain with the Company during periods of uncertainty.

For a summary of the material terms and conditions of the CIC Plan, as well as an estimate of the potential payments and benefits payable to our NEOs under the CIC Plan, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

Compensation Recovery Policy

We have adopted a Compensation Recovery Policy that provides that in the event that the Company is required to restate its financial results, whether based upon fraud or other financial misconduct by an executive officer, or any other material misstatement, and in the further event that any bonus or incentive-based compensation is found to have been based, in whole or in part, upon the misstated financial results, the Company, after taking into account all applicable factors, is required to take such action as it deems appropriate to recoup from and require reimbursement of any bonus or incentive compensation awarded, paid or otherwise payable to the executive officer, to the extent that the amount was affected by the restatement. The recoupment must be initiated within three years following the restatement, and the amount subject to recoupment is limited to the difference between the amount of the bonus or incentive-based compensation actually awarded, paid or payable to

Table of Contents

the executive officer and the amount that would have been awarded, paid or payable to the executive officer had the financial results been appropriately reported.

This policy (a) applies to any executive officer, including any NEO, covered by and eligible to receive bonus or incentive-based compensation under any Company plan or program that awards such compensation based, in whole or in part, on Company-wide, divisional or plant-level earnings results, and (b) will be deemed incorporated into and made a part of the terms and conditions of employment applicable to each covered executive officer.

Equity Ownership Policy for our Executive Officers

We maintain an equity ownership policy for our executive officers. Under this policy, they are required to own and hold shares of the Company's common stock with a fair market value as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Requirement
Chief Executive Officer	No less than five times base salary
Chief Financial Officer	No less than three times base salary
Executive Vice President	No less than two and one half times base salary
Senior Vice Presidents	No less than two times base salary

The Compensation Committee reviews compliance with the policy annually and requires that the specific ownership levels be met within five years of becoming an executive officer. As of December 31, 2018, each of our NEOs had met his or her specific ownership level.

Hedging and Pledging of Company Securities

Directors and NEOs may neither engage in any short-term trading in or short-selling of shares of the Company's common stock, nor may they purchase, sell or otherwise trade in any publicly traded or other options with respect to the Company's common stock. In addition, they are prohibited from engaging in any hedging transactions or similar monetizing activities involving shares of the Company's common stock. Our policy also prohibits the acquisition or maintenance of the Company's shares in a brokerage margin account. Subject to a limited exception, however, set forth in the Policy, including a requirement for pre-approval by the Audit Committee and subject to strict guidelines, our policy also prohibits the use of Company shares as collateral to secure a loan. The guidelines include a maximum limit on the number of pledged shares, a required demonstration of the pledgor's ability to retire the loan without the need to liquidate the pledged shares and other limitations.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

The Company's federal income tax deduction for compensation paid to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and our additional executive officers whose compensation is required to be disclosed to our stockholders under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "covered employees") are limited to \$1.0 million per taxable year for each such officer, as a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the "Act"), subject only to a very limited exception for certain performance-based compensation granted prior to January 1, 2018 that qualifies under narrow grandfather rules under the terms of the Act. Although loss of deductibility for such excess compensation results in an increased cost to the Company, the Compensation Committee believes, and believes that our stockholders support the philosophy, that performance-based compensation best aligns our executives with long-term stockholder interests, and that such performance-based compensation should be paid, even if non-deductible. This has guided the Company's compensation system from the very inception and is predicated on the notion that employees will deliver maximum effort and achieve exemplary results when motivated by a compensation system that establishes goals and rewards outstanding performance when such goals are achieved, as measured by objective criteria.

Table of Contents

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We follow the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("ASC 718") for our stock-based compensation awards. ASC 718 requires companies to calculate the grant date fair value of their stock-based awards using a variety of assumptions. This calculation is performed for accounting purposes and reported in the compensation tables below, even though recipients may never realize any value from their awards. ASC 718 also requires companies to recognize the compensation cost of their stock-based awards in their income statements over the period that an employee is required to render service in exchange for his or her award.

Report of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K and, based on such review and discussion, has recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and, as incorporated by reference, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Compensation Committee:

Gabriel L. Shaheen, Chair
Kenneth W. Cornew, Member
Dr. Jürgen Kolb, Member
James C. Marcuccilli, Member
Bradley S. Seaman, Member

March 27, 2019

Table of Contents

**COMPENSATION
TABLES**

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 51

Table of Contents**2018 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table presents summary information regarding the total compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to each of our NEOs for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016.

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (c)	Stock Awards(1) (e)	Option Awards(2) (f)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(3) (g)	All Other Compensation(4) (i)	Totals(5) (j)
Mark D. Millett President and Chief Executive Officer	2018	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 3,212,028	\$ 2,459,584	\$ 3,125,000	\$ 70,078	\$ 10,116,690
	2017	\$ 1,035,000	4,062,647	1,107,154	2,587,500	45,756	8,838,057
	2016	1,010,000	2,609,140	383,040	2,525,000	36,002	6,563,182
Theresa E. Wagler Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2018	645,000	1,356,950	398,852	1,612,500	66,022	4,079,324
	2017	610,000	1,606,995	332,146	1,525,000	41,244	4,115,385
	2016	580,000	1,227,305	109,440	1,450,000	31,769	3,398,514
Russell B. Rinn Executive Vice President for Metals Recycling	2018	550,000	1,140,770	332,376	1,375,000	67,943	3,466,089
	2017	530,000	1,101,835	276,788	1,325,000	42,608	3,276,231
	2016	510,000	767,169	95,760	1,275,000	32,394	2,680,323
Glenn A. Pushis Senior Vice President Long Products Steel Group	2018	475,000	1,000,235	199,426	950,000	67,805	2,692,466
	2017	420,000	965,332	166,073	840,000	42,922	2,434,327
	2016	393,750	403,487		787,500	32,388	1,617,125
Barry T. Schneider Senior Vice President Flat Roll Steel Group	2018	475,000	1,000,235	199,426	950,000	66,003	2,690,664
	2017	420,000	965,332	166,073	840,000	85,727	2,477,132
	2016	363,750	379,149		727,500	31,623	1,502,022

(1)

The amounts reported in this column for 2018 include the grant date fair value of the performance share awards grant pursuant to the LTIP, grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards earned under the Annual Plan and the grant date fair value of the RSU awards granted under the 2015 Plan, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts reported for the 2018 performance share awards are based on the probable outcome at the grant date, which we estimated to be 50% of the maximum award values, and which were \$3,750,000 in the case of Mr. Millett, \$1,290,000 in the case of Ms. Wagler, \$1,100,000 in the case of Mr. Rinn, \$950,000 in the case of Mr. Pushis and \$950,000 in the case of Mr. Schneider. The amounts reported in this column for 2017 include the grant date fair value of the performance share awards grant pursuant to the LTIP, grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards earned under the Annual Plan and the grant date fair value of the RSU awards granted under the 2015 Plan, including a 2017 one-time RSU grant of \$1.4 million to Mr. Millett and a one-time RSU grant of \$330,000 to Ms. Wagler, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts reported for the 2017 performance share awards are based on the probable outcome at the grant date, which we estimated to be 50% of the maximum award values, and which were \$3,105,000 in the case of Mr. Millett, \$1,220,000 in the case of Ms. Wagler,

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

\$1,060,000 in the case of Mr. Rinn and \$210,000 to \$420,000 in the case of Mr. Pushis and Mr. Schneider. The amounts reported in this column for 2016 include the grant date fair value of the performance share awards grant pursuant to the LTIP, grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards earned under the Annual Plan and the grant date fair value of the RSU awards granted under the 2015 Plan, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The amounts reported for the 2016 performance share awards are based on the probable outcome at the grant date, which we estimated to be 50% of the maximum award values, and which were \$3,030,000 in the case of Mr. Millett, \$1,160,000 in the case of Ms. Wagler, \$1,020,000 in the case of Mr. Rinn, \$200,000 in the case of Mr. Pushis and \$187,500 in the case of Mr. Schneider. Our methodology and rationale for the incentive compensation paid to our NEOs is described in the *Annual Incentive Compensation Plan* and *Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan* and *Other Equity Awards* sections of the CD&A. For a discussion of the assumptions, if any, used in determining the grant date fair value of the stock awards reported in this column, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2019.

(2)

The amounts reported in this column represent the grant date fair values of the SAR awards. For 2018, we estimated the fair value of the SARs awards on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model assuming an estimated life of 6.5 years, a risk-free interest rate of 2.8%, a dividend yield of 1.3% and an expected volatility of 27%.

Table of Contents

- (3) The amounts reported in this column include the amounts paid to our NEOs under the Annual Plan. The amounts were paid for services performed during the indicated fiscal year, but paid in the subsequent fiscal year. Our methodology and rationale for the annual incentive compensation paid to our NEOs is described in the *Annual Incentive Compensation Plan* section of the CD&A.
- (4) The amounts reported in this column for 2018 include insurance premiums of \$3,978 for Mr. Millett and Company matching and profit sharing contributions to the Company's Profit Sharing and Retirement Savings Plan. The amounts reported in this column also include the cash portion of the profit sharing allocation made pursuant to the Company's Profit Sharing and Retirement Savings Plan paid in March 2019 for services performed during the previous fiscal year.
- (5) Column (d), Bonus, and column (h), Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings, have been omitted, as none of our NEOs received any such compensation during any of the covered fiscal years.

Table of Contents

2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table presents, for each of our NEOs, information concerning each plan-based award of cash or equity made during 2018. This information supplements the information about these awards, set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

	Award Type	Grant Date (b)	Estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards			Estimated future payouts under equity incentive plan awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of shares of stock or units (i)	All other option awards: number of securities underlying options (#) (j)	Exercise or base price of option awards (\$/sh) (k)
			Threshold (\$ (c))	Target (\$ (1) (d))	Maximum (\$ (e))	Threshold (#) (f)	Target (#) (2) (g)	Maximum (#) (h)			
Mlett	Annual Plan Profit Sharing		\$	\$ 2,187,500	\$ 4,375,000						
	LTIP	02/15/2018		29,783			41,797	83,594			
	SARS	02/15/2018							185,000	\$ 46.79	
	Annual RSU	11/21/2018						2,292			
Wagler	Annual Plan Profit Sharing			1,128,750	2,257,500						
	LTIP	02/15/2018		29,705			14,379	28,757			
	SARS	02/15/2018							30,000	\$ 46.79	
	Annual RSU	11/21/2018						1,834			
Linn	Annual Plan Profit Sharing			962,500	1,925,000						
	LTIP	02/15/2018		31,626			12,261	24,521			
	SARS	02/15/2018							25,000	\$ 46.79	
	Annual RSU	11/21/2018						1,834			
Shis	Annual Plan Profit Sharing			712,500	1,425,000						
	LTIP	02/15/2018		31,488			10,589	21,177			
	SARS	02/15/2018							15,000	\$ 46.79	
	Annual RSU	11/21/2018						1,376			
neider	Annual Plan Profit Sharing			712,500	1,425,000						
	LTIP	02/15/2018		29,686			10,589	21,177			
	SARS	02/15/2018							15,000	\$ 46.79	
	Annual RSU	11/21/2018						1,376			

(1)

Edgar Filing: STEEL DYNAMICS INC - Form DEF 14A

The amounts reported in this column reflect the target annual incentive compensation for 2018 pursuant to the Annual Plan which are not awarded or paid until February 2019, as well as the cash portion of the Company's Profit Sharing and Retirement Savings plan. For the Annual Plan, a portion of the actual amount earned during 2018 was paid in cash with the remainder in shares of restricted stock in amounts of \$1,250,024 for Mr. Millett, \$645,009 for Ms. Wagler, \$521,151 for Mr. Rinn, \$475,011 for Mr. Pushis and \$475,011 for Mr. Schneider.

(2)

The amounts reported in this column reflect the targeted long-term incentive compensation granted pursuant to the LTIP in the form of performance share awards for shares of the Company's common stock. The 2018 Awards were granted to all NEOs with a performance period of three years. These shares will vest at the time the award payout is determined in March 2021. The amounts reported in the "Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards" column in the same row reflect the grant date fair value of the performance share awards based on the target number of shares.

Table of Contents

(3)

The amounts reported in this column represent the grant date fair value of the performance share awards grant pursuant to the LTIP, the grant date fair value of the SARs granted under the 2015 Plan, and the grant date fair value of the RSU awards granted under the 2015 Plan, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. 2019 Proxy Statement 55

Table of Contents**2018 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table**

The following table presents, for each of our NEOs, information regarding SARs and stock awards held as of December 31, 2018. The market value of the shares of the Company's common stock reflected in the table is based upon the market price per share on the last trading day of 2018 (which was \$30.04).

Name (a)	Grant Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards				
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (1) (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (2) (c)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Grant Date	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#) (3) (g)	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$) (h)	Equity incentive plan awards: Number of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#) (4) (i)	Equity incentive plan awards: Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$) (j)
Mark D. Millett	02/17/16	52,899	3,101	\$ 18.57	02/17/26	02/20/14	32,916	\$ 988,797		
	02/17/17	61,113	38,887	37.53	02/17/27	02/18/15	82,914	2,490,737		
	02/15/18		185,000	46.79	02/15/28	02/17/16	113,739	3,416,720		
						02/01/17	9,957	299,108		
						02/17/17			42,875	\$ 1,287,965
						02/01/18	15,198	456,548		
						02/15/18			41,797	1,255,582
						02/01/19	22,775	684,161		
Theresa E. Wagler	02/17/16	15,124	876	18.57	02/17/26	02/20/14	17,187	516,297		
	02/17/17	18,340	11,660	37.53	02/17/27	02/18/15	36,851	1,107,004		
	02/15/18		30,000	46.79	02/15/28	02/17/16	43,544	1,308,062		
						02/01/17	5,718	171,769		
						02/17/17			16,847	506,084
						11/21/17	10,716	321,909		
						02/01/18	8,958	269,098		
					02/15/18			14,379	431,945	
						11/21/18	1,834	55,093		
						02/01/19	11,752	353,030		
Russell B. Rinn	02/17/16	13,225	775	18.57	02/17/26	02/20/14	15,972	479,799		
	02/17/17	15,283	9,717	37.53	02/17/27	02/18/15	32,831	986,243		
	02/15/18		25,000	46.79	02/15/28	02/17/16	38,288	1,150,172		