

BANCORPSOUTH INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission file number 1-12991
BANCORPSOUTH, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi

64-0659571

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Mississippi Plaza
201 South Spring Street
Tupelo, Mississippi

38804

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (662) 680-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common stock, \$2.50 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Common stock purchase rights	New York Stock Exchange
Guarantee of 8.15% Preferred Securities of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common stock, \$2.50 par value
Common stock purchase rights
Guarantee of 8.15% Preferred Securities of BancorpSouth Capital Trust I

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large Accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2007 was approximately \$1,910,000,000, based on the last reported sale price per share of the registrant's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2007.

As of February 21, 2008, the registrant had outstanding 82,374,748 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement used in connection with the registrant's 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be held April 23, 2008, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

BANCORPSOUTH, INC.
FORM 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	17
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	20
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	21
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	21

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	22
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	23
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	43
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	82
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	82
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	83

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	83
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	86
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	86
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	86
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	86

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	86
	<u>EX-10.(R) CHANGE IN CONTROL AGREEMENT</u>	
	<u>EX-11 STATEMENT RE COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS</u>	
	<u>EX-21 SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	
	<u>EX-23 CONSENT OF KPMG LLP</u>	
	<u>EX-31.1 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO</u>	
	<u>EX-31.2 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO</u>	
	<u>EX-32.1 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO</u>	
	<u>EX-32.2 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

GENERAL

BancorpSouth, Inc. (the Company) is a financial holding company incorporated in 1982. Through its principal bank subsidiary, BancorpSouth Bank (the Bank), the Company conducts commercial banking and financial services operations in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida and Missouri. At December 31, 2007, the Company and its subsidiaries had total assets of approximately \$13.2 billion and total deposits of approximately \$10.1 billion. The Company's principal office is located at One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804 and its telephone number is (662) 680-2000.

The Company's Internet website address is www.bancorpsouth.com. The Company makes its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports available free of charge on its website on the Investor Relations webpage under the caption SEC Filings as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The Company's Internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this Report).

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Bank has its principal office in Tupelo, Lee County, Mississippi, and conducts a general commercial banking, trust and insurance business through 295 offices in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida and Missouri. The Bank has grown through the acquisition of other banks and insurance agencies and through the opening of new branches and offices.

The Bank and its subsidiaries provide a range of financial services to individuals and small-to-medium size businesses. The Bank operates investment services, credit insurance and insurance agency subsidiaries which engage in investment brokerage services and sales of other insurance products. The Bank's trust department offers a variety of services including personal trust and estate services, certain employee benefit accounts and plans, including individual retirement accounts, and limited corporate trust functions. All of the Company's assets are located in the United States and all of its revenues generated from external customers originate within the United States.

The Company has registered the trademarks BancorpSouth, both typed form and design, and Bank of Mississippi, both typed form and design, with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The trademark BancorpSouth will expire in 2011, and Bank of Mississippi will expire in 2010, unless the Company extends these trademarks for additional 10 year periods. Registrations of trademarks with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office generally may be renewed and continue indefinitely, provided that the Company continues to use these trademarks and files appropriate maintenance and renewal documentation with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at times required by the federal trademark laws and regulations.

At December 31, 2007, the Company and its subsidiaries had approximately 4,400 full-time equivalent employees. The Company and its subsidiaries are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements and employee relations are considered to be good.

COMPETITION

Vigorous competition exists in all major areas where the Bank is engaged in business. The Bank competes for available loans and depository accounts with state and national commercial banks as well as savings and loan associations, insurance companies, credit unions, money market mutual funds, automobile finance companies and financial services companies. None of these competitors is dominant in the entire area served by the Bank.

The principal areas of competition in the banking industry center on a financial institution's ability and willingness to provide credit on a timely and competitively priced basis, to offer a sufficient range of deposit and investment opportunities at competitive prices and maturities, and to offer personal and other services of sufficient quality and at competitive prices. The Company and its subsidiaries believe they can compete effectively in all these areas.

Table of Contents

REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

The following is a brief summary of the regulatory environment in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate and is not designed to be a complete discussion of all statutes and regulations affecting such operations, including those statutes and regulations specifically mentioned herein. Changes in these applicable laws, and their application by regulatory and law enforcement agencies, cannot necessarily be predicted, but could have a material effect on the business and results of the Company and its subsidiaries.

The Company is a financial holding company regulated as such under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the Bank Holding Company Act) and is subject to regulation and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve). The Company is required to file annual reports with the Federal Reserve and such other information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may also conduct examinations of the Company. According to Federal Reserve policy, a financial holding company must act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support each such subsidiary. This support may be required at times when a financial holding company may not be able to provide such support.

The Bank is incorporated under the laws of the State of Mississippi and is subject to the applicable provisions of Mississippi banking laws and the laws of various states in which it operates, as well as federal law. The Bank is subject to the supervision of the Mississippi Department of Banking and Consumer Finance and to regular examinations by that department. Deposits in the Bank are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) and, therefore, the Bank is subject to the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and to examination by the FDIC. FDIC regulations require that management report annually on its responsibility for preparing its institution's financial statements, and establishing and maintaining an internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting and compliance with designated laws and regulations concerning safety and soundness. The Bank is not a member of the Federal Reserve.

The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) permits, among other things, the acquisition of savings associations by financial holding companies, irrespective of their financial condition, and increased the deposit insurance premiums for banks and savings associations. FIRREA also provides that commonly controlled, federally insured financial institutions must reimburse the FDIC for losses incurred by the FDIC in connection with the default of another commonly controlled financial institution or in connection with the provision of FDIC assistance to such a commonly controlled financial institution in danger of default. Reimbursement liability under FIRREA is superior to any obligations to shareholders of such federally insured institutions (including a financial holding company such as the Company if it were to acquire another federally insured financial institution) arising as a result of their status as shareholders of a reimbursing financial institution.

The Company and the Bank are subject to the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA). This statute provides for increased funding for the FDIC's deposit insurance fund and expands the regulatory powers of federal banking agencies to permit prompt corrective actions to resolve problems of insured depository institutions through the regulation of banks and their affiliates, including financial holding companies. Its provisions are designed to minimize the potential loss to depositors and to FDIC insurance funds if financial institutions default on their obligations to depositors or become in danger of default. Among other things, FDICIA provides a framework for a system of supervisory actions based primarily on the capital levels of financial institutions. FDICIA also provides for a risk-based deposit insurance premium structure. The FDIC charges an annual assessment for the insurance of deposits based on the risk a particular institution poses to its deposit insurance fund. While most of the Company's deposits are in the Bank Insurance Fund, certain other of the Company's deposits which were acquired from thrifts over the years remain in the Savings Association Insurance Fund.

The Company is required to comply with the risk-based capital guidelines established by the Federal Reserve and with other tests relating to capital adequacy that the Federal Reserve adopts from time to time. See Note 20 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report for a discussion of the Company's capital amounts and ratios.

The Company is a legal entity that is separate and distinct from its subsidiaries. There are various legal limitations on the extent to which the Bank may extend credit, pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to the Company or its affiliates. In particular, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal law, including without limitation,

sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, on any extensions of credit to the Company or, with certain exceptions, other affiliates.

The primary source of funds for dividends paid to the Company's shareholders is dividends paid to the Company by the Bank. Various federal and state laws limit the amount of dividends that the Bank may pay to the

Table of Contents

Company without regulatory approval. Under Mississippi law, the Bank must obtain approval of the Commissioner of the Mississippi Department of Banking and Consumer Finance prior to paying any dividend on the Bank's common stock. Under FDICIA, the Bank may not pay any dividends, if after paying the dividend, it would be undercapitalized under applicable capital requirements. The FDIC also has the authority to prohibit the Bank from engaging in business practices that the FDIC considers to be unsafe or unsound, which, depending on the financial condition of the Bank, could include the payment of dividends.

In addition, the Federal Reserve has the authority to prohibit the payment of dividends by a financial holding company if its actions constitute unsafe or unsound practices. In 1985, the Federal Reserve issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by financial holding companies, which outlined the Federal Reserve's view that a financial holding company that is experiencing earnings weaknesses or other financial pressures should not pay cash dividends that exceed its net income, that are inconsistent with its capital position or that could only be funded in ways that weaken its financial health, such as by borrowing or selling assets. The Federal Reserve indicated that, in some instances, it may be appropriate for a financial holding company to eliminate its dividends.

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (IBBEA) permits adequately capitalized and managed financial holding companies to acquire control of banks in states other than their home states, subject to federal regulatory approval, without regard to whether such a transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. IBBEA permits states to continue to require that an acquired bank must have been in existence for a certain minimum time period that may not exceed five years. IBBEA prohibits a financial holding company, following an interstate acquisition, from controlling more than 10% of the nation's total amount of bank deposits or 30% of bank deposits in the relevant state. States retain the ability to adopt legislation to effectively raise or lower the 30% limit. Federal banking regulators may approve merger transactions involving banks located in different states, without regard to laws of any state prohibiting such transactions; provided, however, that mergers may not be approved with respect to banks located in a state that, prior to June 1, 1997, enacted legislation prohibiting mergers by banks located in such state with out-of-state institutions. Federal banking regulators may permit an out-of-state bank to open new branches in another state if such state has enacted legislation permitting interstate branching. Affiliated institutions are authorized to accept deposits for existing accounts, renew time deposits and close and service loans for affiliated institutions without being deemed an impermissible branch of the affiliate.

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) and its implementing regulations provide an incentive for regulated financial institutions to meet the credit needs of their local community or communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of such financial institutions. The regulations provide that the appropriate regulatory authority will assess reports under CRA in connection with applications for establishment of domestic branches, acquisitions of banks or mergers involving financial holding companies. An unsatisfactory rating under CRA may serve as a basis to deny an application to acquire or establish a new bank, to establish a new branch or to expand banking services. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had a satisfactory rating under CRA.

Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the GLBA), banks may associate with a company engaged principally in securities activities. The GLBA also permits a bank holding company to elect to become a financial holding company, allowing it to exercise expanded financial powers. Financial holding company powers relate to financial activities that are determined by the Federal Reserve to be financial in nature, incidental to an activity that is financial in nature or complementary to a financial activity (provided that the complementary activity does not pose a safety and soundness risk). The GLBA expressly characterizes certain activities as financial in nature, including lending activities, underwriting and selling insurance, providing financial or investment advice, securities underwriting, dealing and making markets in securities and merchant banking. In order to qualify as a financial holding company, a bank holding company's depository subsidiaries must be both well-capitalized and well-managed and must have at least a satisfactory rating under CRA. The Company elected to become a financial holding company during 2004.

In addition, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001, as extended and revised by the PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005 (the USA Patriot Act), requires each financial institution (i) to establish an anti-money laundering program;

(ii) to establish due diligence policies, procedures and controls with respect to its private banking accounts and correspondent banking accounts involving foreign individuals and certain foreign financial institutions; and (iii) to avoid establishing, maintaining, administering or managing correspondent accounts in the United States for, or on behalf of, foreign financial institutions that do not have a physical presence in any country. The USA Patriot Act also requires that financial institutions must follow certain minimum standards to verify the identity of customers, both foreign and domestic, when a customer opens an account. In addition, the USA Patriot Act contains a

5

Table of Contents

provision encouraging cooperation among financial institutions, regulatory authorities and law enforcement authorities with respect to individuals, entities and organizations engaged in, or reasonably suspected of engaging in, terrorist acts or money laundering activities.

The activities of the Company and its subsidiaries are also subject to regulation under various federal laws and regulations thereunder, including the Truth-in-Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act (Bank Secrecy Act), among others, as well as various state laws.

The GLBA and other federal and state laws, as well as the various guidelines adopted by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, provide for minimum standards of privacy to protect the confidentiality of the personal information of customers and to regulate the use of such information by financial institutions. The Company and its subsidiaries have adopted a customer information security program to comply with these regulatory requirements.

The Bank's insurance subsidiaries are regulated by the insurance regulatory authorities and applicable laws and regulations of the states in which they operate.

The Bank's investment services subsidiary is regulated as a registered investment adviser and broker-dealer by federal and/or state securities regulations and self-regulatory authorities.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act is applicable to all companies with equity or debt securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established: (i) requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise and responsibilities; (ii) responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; (iii) standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and (v) civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

In addition, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals that would have an impact on the operation of financial holding companies and their bank and non-bank subsidiaries. Management is not able to predict whether or in what form these proposals may be adopted in the future and, if adopted, what their effect will be on the Company and its subsidiaries.

LENDING ACTIVITIES

The Bank's lending activities include both commercial and consumer loans. Loan originations are derived from a number of sources including direct solicitation by the Bank's loan officers, existing depositors and borrowers, builders, attorneys, walk-in customers and, in some instances, other lenders, real estate broker referrals and mortgage loan companies. The Bank has established systematic procedures for approving and monitoring loans that vary depending on the size and nature of the loan, and applies these procedures in a disciplined manner.

Commercial Lending

The Bank offers a variety of commercial loan services including term loans, lines of credit, equipment and receivable financing and agricultural loans. A broad range of short-to-medium term commercial loans, both secured and unsecured, are made available to businesses for working capital (including inventory and receivables), business expansion (including acquisition and development of real estate and improvements), and the purchase of equipment and machinery. At times, the Bank also makes construction loans to real estate developers for the acquisition, development and construction of residential subdivisions.

Commercial loans are granted based on the borrower's ability to generate cash flow to support its debt obligations and other cash related expenses. A borrower's ability to repay commercial loans is substantially dependent on the success of the business itself and on the quality of its management. As a general practice, the Bank takes as collateral a security interest in any available real estate, equipment, inventory, receivables or other personal property, although such loans may also be made infrequently on an unsecured basis. In many instances, the Bank requires personal guarantees of its commercial loans to provide additional credit support.

The Bank has had very little exposure as an agricultural lender. Crop production loans have been either fully supported by the collateral and financial strength of the borrower, or a 90% loan guaranty has been obtained through the Farm Service Agency on such loans.

Table of Contents**Residential Consumer Lending**

A portion of the Bank's lending activities consists of the origination of fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans secured by owner-occupied property located in the Bank's primary market areas. Home mortgage lending is unique in that a broad geographic territory may be serviced by originators working from strategically placed offices either within the Bank's traditional banking facilities or from affordable storefront locations in commercial buildings. In addition, the Bank offers construction loans, second mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit.

The Bank finances the construction of individual, owner-occupied houses on the basis of written underwriting and construction loan management guidelines. First mortgage construction loans are made to contractors on both a pre-sold and a speculation basis. Such loans are also made to qualified individual borrowers and are generally supported by a take-out commitment from a permanent lender. The Bank makes residential construction loans to individuals who intend to erect owner-occupied housing on a purchased parcel of real estate. The construction phase of these loans has certain risks, including the viability of the contractor, the contractor's ability to complete the project and changes in interest rates.

In most cases, the Bank sells its mortgage loans with terms of 15 years or more in the secondary market and either retains or releases the right to service those loans. The sale of mortgage loans to the secondary market allows the Bank to manage the interest rate risks related to such lending operations. Generally, after the sale of a loan with servicing retained, the Bank's only involvement is to act as a servicing agent. In certain cases, the Bank may be required to repurchase mortgage loans upon which customers have defaulted that were previously sold in the secondary market if these loans did not meet the underwriting standards of the entity that purchased the loans. These loans would be held by the Bank in its mortgage loan portfolio.

In most cases, the Bank requires fire, extended casualty insurance and, where appropriate, wind and hail insurance and, where required by applicable regulations, flood insurance to be obtained by the borrower. The Bank maintains its own errors and omissions insurance policy to protect against loss in the event of failure of a mortgagor to pay premiums on fire and other hazard insurance policies. Mortgage loans originated by the Bank customarily include a due on sale clause giving the Bank the right to declare a loan immediately due and payable in the event, among other matters, that the borrower sells or otherwise disposes of the real property subject to a mortgage. In general, the Bank enforces due on sale clauses. Borrowers are typically permitted to refinance or repay residential mortgage loans at their option without penalty.

Non-Residential Consumer Lending

Non-residential consumer loans made by the Bank include loans for automobiles, recreation vehicles, boats, personal (secured and unsecured) and deposit account secured loans. In addition, the Bank provides federally insured or guaranteed student loans to students at universities and community colleges in the Bank's market areas. In most cases, the Bank sells its student loans under existing contracts and releases the right to service those loans. Non-residential consumer loans are attractive to the Bank because they typically have a shorter term and carry higher interest rates than those charged on other types of loans. Non-residential consumer loans, however, do pose additional risks of collectability when compared to traditional types of loans granted by commercial banks such as residential mortgage loans.

The Bank also issues credit cards solicited on the basis of applications received through referrals from the Bank's branches and other marketing efforts. The Bank generally has a small portfolio of credit card receivables outstanding. Credit card lines are underwritten using conservative credit criteria, including past credit history and debt-to-income ratios, similar to the credit policies applicable to other personal consumer loans.

The Bank grants consumer loans based on employment and financial information solicited from prospective borrowers as well as credit records collected from various reporting agencies. Financial stability of the borrower and credit history are the primary factors the Bank considers in granting such loans. The availability of collateral is also a factor considered in making such loans. The Bank seeks collateral that can be assigned and has good marketability with an adequate margin of value. The geographic area of the borrower is another consideration, with preference given to borrowers in the Bank's primary market areas.

OTHER FINANCIAL SERVICES

The Bank's insurance service subsidiary serves as an agent in the sale of title insurance, commercial lines of insurance and a full line of property and casualty, life, health and employee benefits products and services and operates in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana and Missouri.

7

Table of Contents

The Bank's investment services subsidiary provides brokerage, investment advisory and asset management services and operates in certain communities in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas and Missouri.

See Note 21 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for financial information about each segment of the Company, as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

ASSET QUALITY

Management seeks to maintain a high quality of assets through conservative underwriting and sound lending practices. Management intends to follow this policy even though it may result in foregoing the funding of higher yielding loans. While there is no assurance that the Bank will not suffer losses on its loans, management believes that the Bank has adequate underwriting and loan administration policies in place and personnel to manage the associated risks prudently.

In an effort to maintain the quality of the loan portfolio, management seeks to minimize higher risk loans. These loans include loans to provide initial equity and working capital to new businesses with no other capital strength, loans secured by unregistered stock, loans for speculative transactions in stock, land or commodity markets, loans to borrowers or the taking of collateral outside the Bank's primary market areas, loans dependent on secondary liens as primary collateral and non-recourse loans. To the extent risks are identified, additional precautions are taken in order to reduce the Bank's risk of loss. Commercial loans entail certain additional risks because they usually involve large loan balances to single borrowers or a related group of borrowers, resulting in a more concentrated loan portfolio. Further, because payment of these loans is usually dependent upon the successful operation of the commercial enterprise, the risk of loss with respect to these loans may increase in the event of adverse conditions in the economy.

The Board of Directors of the Bank focuses much of its efforts and resources, and that of the Bank's management and lending officials, on loan review and underwriting policies. Loan status and monitoring is handled through the Bank's loan administration department. Weak financial performance is identified and monitored using past due reporting, the internal loan rating system, loan review reports, the various loan committee functions and periodic asset quality rating committee meetings. Senior loan officers have established a review process with the objective of quickly identifying, evaluating and initiating necessary corrective action for substandard loans. The results of loan reviews are reported to the Audit Committee of both the Company's and the Bank's Board of Directors. This process is an integral element of the Bank's loan program. Nonetheless, management maintains a cautious outlook in anticipating the potential effects of uncertain economic conditions (both locally and nationally) and the possibility of more stringent regulatory standards.

RECENT ACQUISITIONS

On March 1, 2007, City Bancorp, a bank holding company with approximately \$850 million in assets headquartered in Springfield, Missouri, merged with and into the Company. As a result of the merger, City Bancorp's subsidiary, The Signature Bank, became a subsidiary of the Company. Consideration paid to complete this transaction consisted of 3,313,848 shares of the Company's common stock in addition to cash paid to City Bancorp's shareholders in the aggregate amount of approximately \$83.4 million. This transaction was accounted for as a purchase. This acquisition was not material to the financial position or results of operations of the Company. Effective July 1, 2007, The Signature Bank merged with and into BancorpSouth Bank.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Set forth in this section is certain selected financial information relating to the business of the Company and the Bank.

Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differential

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -Results of Operations -Net Interest Revenue included herein for information regarding the distribution of assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity, and interest rates and interest differential.

Table of Contents**Analysis of Changes in Effective Interest Differential**

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -Results of Operations Net Interest Revenue included herein for information regarding the analysis of changes in effective interest differential.

Investment Portfolio**Held-to-Maturity Securities**

The following table shows the amortized cost of the Bank's held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	2007	December 31 2006	2005
		(In thousands)	
U. S. Treasury securities	\$	\$ 10,038	\$ 5,148
U. S. Government agency securities	1,375,656	1,514,882	1,211,551
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	49,238	5,561	9,029
Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions	194,021	185,932	166,776
Other securities	7,001	7,007	20,025
Total	\$ 1,625,916	\$ 1,723,420	\$ 1,412,529

The following table shows the maturities and weighted average yields at December 31, 2007 for the investment categories presented above:

	U.S. Treasury Securities	U.S. Government Agency Securities	December 31, 2007 Obligations of		Weighted Average Yield
			States and Political Subdivisions	Other Securities	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Period to Maturity:					
Maturing within one year	\$	\$ 352,878	\$ 61,676	\$ 7,001	4.44%
Maturing after one year but within five years		821,372	44,738		4.64%
Maturing after five years but within ten years		201,406	57,747		5.14%
Maturing after ten years			79,098		6.08%
Total	\$	\$ 1,375,656	\$ 243,259	\$ 7,001	

The yield on tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions has been adjusted to a taxable equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate.

Available-for-Sale Securities

The following table shows the book value of the Bank's available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

9

Table of Contents

	2007	December 31 2006 (In thousands)	2005
U. S. Treasury securities	\$	\$	\$
U. S. Government agency securities	856,524	897,118	1,178,326
Taxable obligations of states and political subdivisions	7,732	7,382	7,161
Tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions	78,149	95,602	117,523
Other securities	58,789	41,897	50,872
Total	\$ 1,001,194	\$ 1,041,999	\$ 1,353,882

The following table shows the maturities and weighted average yields at December 31, 2007 for the investment categories presented above:

	December 31, 2007				Weighted Average Yield
	U.S. Treasury Securities	U.S. Government Agency Securities	Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions	Other Securities	
Period to Maturity:					
Maturing within one year	\$	\$ 170,435	\$ 12,952	\$ 10,051	3.67%
Maturing after one year but within five years		557,736	28,099	3,020	3.87%
Maturing after five years but within ten years		76,420	15,217		5.82%
Maturing after ten years		51,933	29,613	45,718	5.66%
Total	\$	\$ 856,524	\$ 85,881	\$ 58,789	

The yield on tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions has been adjusted to a taxable equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Securities and Other Earning Assets included herein for more information regarding the Company's securities portfolio.

Loan and Lease Portfolio

The Bank's loans and leases are widely diversified by borrower and industry. The table below shows the composition of the Bank's loans and leases by collateral type at December 31 for the years indicated. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Loans and Leases included herein for more information regarding the Bank's loan and lease portfolio.

Table of Contents

	2007	2006	December 31 2005 (In thousands)	2004	2003
Commercial and agricultural Consumer and installment loans to individuals	\$ 1,236,776	\$ 968,915	\$ 930,259	\$ 765,096	\$ 743,286
Real estate mortgage	450,882	388,212	388,610	415,615	533,755
Lease financing	7,020,431	6,205,491	5,746,669	5,393,231	4,738,715
Other	285,865	312,313	302,311	262,035	227,918
	233,541	42,592	33,363	29,067	23,583
Total gross loans and leases	\$ 9,227,495	\$ 7,917,523	\$ 7,401,212	\$ 6,865,044	\$ 6,267,257

Maturity Distribution of Loans and Leases

The maturity distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio is one factor in management's evaluation by collateral type of the risk characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio. The following table shows the maturity distribution of the Bank's loans and leases net of unearned income as of December 31, 2007:

	One Year or Less	One to Five Years (In thousands)	After Five Years
Commercial and agricultural	\$ 678,082	\$ 460,656	\$ 98,038
Consumer and installment loans to individuals	246,992	167,794	35,710
Real estate mortgage	3,849,062	2,614,865	556,504
Lease financing	130,728	88,811	18,901
Other	128,042	86,986	18,513
Total loans and leases, net of unearned income	\$ 5,032,906	\$ 3,419,112	\$ 727,666

Sensitivity of Loans and Leases to Changes in Interest Rates

The interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's loan and lease portfolio is important in the management of effective interest differential. The Bank attempts to manage the relationship between the interest rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities to produce an effective interest differential that is not significantly impacted by the level of interest rates. The following table shows the interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's loans and leases net of unearned income as of December 31, 2007:

	Fixed Rate (In thousands)	Variable Rate
Loan and lease portfolio due after one year	\$ 2,402,228	\$ 1,744,550

Non-Accrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans and Leases

Non-performing loans and leases consist of both non-accrual loans and leases and loans and leases that have been restructured (primarily in the form of reduced interest rates) because of the borrower's weakened financial condition. The Bank's non-performing loans and leases were as follows at December 31 for the years indicated:

Table of Contents

	2007	2006	December 31 2005 (In thousands)	2004	2003
Non-accrual loans and leases	\$ 9,789	\$ 6,603	\$ 8,816	\$ 12,335	\$ 18,139
Loans and leases 90 days or more past due	18,671	15,282	17,744	19,554	30,634
Restructured loans and leases	721	1,571	2,239	2,107	2,659
Total non-performing loans and leases	\$ 29,181	\$ 23,456	\$ 28,799	\$ 33,996	\$ 51,432

The total amount of interest earned on non-performing loans and leases was approximately \$385,000, \$114,000, \$194,000, \$195,000 and \$248,000 in 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The gross interest income that would have been recorded under the original terms of those loans and leases if they had not been non-performing amounted to \$964,000, \$475,000, \$600,000, \$784,000 and \$1,334,000 in 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Loans considered impaired under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, as amended by SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan

Income Recognition and Disclosure, are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank's recorded investment in loans considered impaired at December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$9,546,000, \$9,087,000, \$13,505,000, \$11,523,000 and \$13,979,000, respectively, with a valuation allowance of \$4,404,000, \$4,511,000, \$6,117,000, \$5,279,000 and \$6,854,000, respectively. The average recorded investment in impaired loans during 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$7,976,000, \$9,633,000, \$12,794,000, \$14,579,000 and \$15,695,000, respectively.

The Bank's policy provides that loans and leases are generally placed in non-accrual status if, in management's opinion, payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or payment of principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan or lease is both well-secured and in the process of collection.

In the normal course of business, management becomes aware of possible credit problems in which borrowers exhibit potential for the inability to comply with the contractual terms of their loans and leases, but which do not currently meet the criteria for disclosure as non-performing loans and leases. Historically, some of these loans and leases are ultimately restructured or placed in non-accrual status. At December 31, 2007, no single loan or lease of material significance was known to be a potential non-performing loan or lease.

At December 31, 2007, the Bank did not have any concentration of loans or leases in excess of 10% of total loans and leases outstanding. Loan concentrations are considered to exist when there are amounts loaned to a multiple number of borrowers engaged in similar activities, which would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. The Bank conducts business in a geographically concentrated area but does not consider this factor alone in identifying loan concentrations. The ability of the Bank's borrowers to repay loans is somewhat dependent upon the economic conditions prevailing in the Bank's market area.

Summary of Credit Loss Experience

In the normal course of business, the Bank assumes risks in extending credit. The Bank manages these risks through its lending policies, loan review procedures and the diversification of its loan portfolio. Although it is not possible to predict credit losses with certainty, management regularly reviews the characteristics of the loan portfolio to determine its overall risk profile and quality.

Attention is paid to the quality of the loan portfolio through a formal loan review process. The Board of Directors of the Bank has appointed a loan loss reserve valuation committee (the Loan Loss Committee) that is responsible for ensuring that the allowance for credit losses provides coverage of both known and inherent losses. The Loan Loss Committee considers estimates of loss for individually analyzed credits as well as factors such as historical

experience, changes in economic and business conditions and concentrations of risk in determining the level of the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee meets at least quarterly to determine the amount of adjustments to the allowance for credit losses. The Loan Loss Committee is composed of senior management from the Bank's loan administration, lending and finance departments. In each period, the Loan Loss Committee bases the allowance for credit losses on its loan classification system as well as an analysis of general economic and business trends in the Bank's region and nationally. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses included herein for more information regarding the provision and the allowance for credit losses.

Any loan or portion thereof which is classified as loss by regulatory examiners or which is determined by management to be uncollectible because of factors such as the borrower's failure to pay interest or principal, the borrower's financial condition, economic conditions in the borrower's industry or the inadequacy of underlying collateral, is charged off.

The table below presents (a) the breakdown of the allowance for credit losses by loan category and (b) the percentage of each category in the Bank's loan portfolio to total loans at December 31 for the years presented. The breakdown of the allowance by loan category is based in part on evaluations of specific loans' past history and on economic conditions within specific industries or geographical areas. Because these conditions are subject to change, the allocation is not necessarily indicative of the breakdown of any losses.

	2007		2006		2005	
	Allowance for Credit Loss	% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Credit Loss (Dollars in thousands)	% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Credit Loss	% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Commercial & agricultural	\$ 15,109	13.40%	\$ 11,361	12.24%	\$ 12,171	12.57%
Consumer & installment loans to individuals	9,013	4.89	6,665	4.90	10,458	5.25
Real estate mortgage	88,061	76.08	77,279	78.38	75,570	77.64
Lease financing	2,656	3.10	2,896	3.94	3,014	4.08
Other	358	2.53	633	0.54	287	0.46
Total	\$ 115,197	100.00%	\$ 98,834	100.00%	\$ 101,500	100.00%

	2004		2003	
	Allowance for Credit Loss	% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans (Dollars in thousands)	Allowance for Credit Loss	% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Commercial & agricultural	\$ 10,143	11.14%	\$ 12,116	11.86%
Consumer & installment loans to individuals	7,659	6.05	10,311	8.52
Real estate mortgage	69,572	78.56	66,161	75.61
Lease financing	2,814	3.82	2,758	3.64
Other	1,485	0.43	766	0.37
Total	\$ 91,673	100.00%	\$ 92,112	100.00%

The table below sets forth certain information with respect to the Bank's loans (net of unearned income) and the allowance for credit losses for the five years ended December 31, 2007. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and

Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses included herein for more information regarding the Bank's allowance for credit losses.
13

Table of Contents

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)				
LOANS					
Average loans for the period	\$ 8,784,940	\$ 7,579,935	\$ 7,026,009	\$ 6,387,656	\$ 6,276,805
ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 98,834	\$ 101,500	\$ 91,673	\$ 92,112	\$ 87,875
Loans charged off:					
Commercial and agricultural	(2,533)	(1,479)	(2,172)	(7,598)	(7,681)
Consumer and installment					
loans to individuals	(6,393)	(5,305)	(7,651)	(9,413)	(11,895)
Real estate mortgage	(7,792)	(8,790)	(10,187)	(7,119)	(4,686)
Lease financing	(123)	(529)	(423)		(479)
Total loans charged off	(16,841)	(16,103)	(20,433)	(24,130)	(24,741)
Recoveries:					
Commercial and agricultural	913	1,739	1,063	1,230	834
Consumer and installment					
loans to individuals	1,962	2,401	2,384	2,528	2,140
Real estate mortgage	1,396	658	1,089	808	865
Lease financing	84	62	21	11	9
Total recoveries	4,355	4,860	4,557	4,577	3,848
Net charge-offs	(12,486)	(11,243)	(15,876)	(19,553)	(20,893)
Provision charged to operating					
expense	22,696	8,577	24,467	17,485	25,130
Acquisitions	6,153		1,236	1,629	
Balance, end of period	\$ 115,197	\$ 98,834	\$ 101,500	\$ 91,673	\$ 92,112
RATIOS					
Net charge-offs to average					
loans	0.14%	0.15%	0.23%	0.31%	0.33%

Deposits

Deposits represent the principal source of funds for the Bank. The distribution and market share of deposits by type of deposit and by type of depositor are important considerations in the Bank's assessment of the stability of its fund sources and its access to additional funds. Furthermore, management shifts the mix and maturity of the deposits depending on economic conditions and loan and investment policies in an attempt, within set policies, to minimize cost and maximize effective interest differential. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Financial Condition - Deposits included herein for more information regarding

deposits made with the Bank.

The following table shows the classification of the Bank's deposits on an average basis for the three years ended December 31, 2007:

14

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31					
	2007		2006		2005	
	Average Amount	Average Rate	Average Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Average Rate	Average Amount	Average Rate
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	\$ 1,654,149		\$ 1,712,934		\$ 1,523,793	
Interest bearing demand deposits	3,191,433	2.63%	2,886,030	2.08%	2,849,199	1.37%
Savings deposits	718,080	1.30%	744,106	1.07%	738,555	0.81%
Other time deposits	4,636,436	4.65%	4,211,371	4.09%	3,998,864	3.16%
Total deposits	\$ 10,200,098		\$ 9,554,441		\$ 9,110,411	

The Bank's other time deposits of \$100,000 and greater, including certificates of deposits of \$100,000 and greater, at December 31, 2007 had maturities as follows:

Maturing in	Amount (In thousands)
Three months or less	\$ 735,517
Over three months through six months	450,220
Over six months through 12 months	555,184
Over 12 months	316,803
Total	\$ 2,057,724

Return on Equity and Assets

Return on average shareholders' equity, return on average assets and the dividend payout ratios based on net income for the three years ended December 31, 2007 were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31		
	2007	2006	2005
Return on average shareholders' equity	12.31%	12.52%	12.33%
Return on average assets	1.07	1.06	1.05
Dividend payout ratio	49.11	50.32	51.70

The Company's average shareholders' equity as a percentage of average assets was 8.72%, 8.48% and 8.52% for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In 2007, the Company's return on average shareholders' equity (which is calculated by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity) and dividend payout ratio (which is calculated by dividing dividends declared per share by net income per share) decreased compared to 2006 and its return on average assets (which is calculated by dividing net income by average total assets) increased compared to 2006. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview included herein for more information regarding the Company's net income and the calculation of return on average shareholders' equity and return on average assets.

Short-Term Borrowings

The Bank uses borrowed funds as an additional source of funds for growth in earning assets. Short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, flexible repurchase agreements purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information about the Bank's short-term borrowings and the components thereof:

15

Table of Contents

	2007				Maximum Outstanding at any Month End
	End of Period		Daily Average		
	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Federal funds purchased	\$ 200	2.8%	\$ 39,558	5.3%	\$ 185,281
Flexible repurchase agreements purchased			4,149	4.2	8,581
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	809,698	3.4	737,861	4.4	912,691
Short-term FHLB advances	706,586	2.9	279,125	4.9	706,586
Total	\$ 1,516,484		\$ 1,060,693		\$ 1,813,139
	2006				
	End of Period		Daily Average		Maximum Outstanding at any Month End
	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate	
Federal funds purchased	\$ 2,400	4.8%	\$ 19,809	5.3%	\$ 51,450
Flexible repurchase agreements purchased	10,957	4.1	38,237	4.0	55,875
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	659,081	4.5	637,026	4.3	715,011
Short-term FHLB advances	200,000	5.2	111,789	5.3	325,000
Total	\$ 872,438		\$ 806,861		\$ 1,147,336
	2005				
	End of Period		Daily Average		Maximum Outstanding at any Month End
	Balance	Interest Rate	Balance	Interest Rate	
Federal funds purchased	\$ 2,300	3.8%	\$ 9,953	3.0%	\$ 45,000
Flexible repurchase agreements purchased	59,531	4.0	12,877	3.8	59,556
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	686,308	3.4	481,238	2.6	686,308
Short-term FHLB advances	2,000	3.8	20,874	3.1	62,000
Total	\$ 750,139		\$ 524,942		\$ 852,864

Federal funds purchased generally mature the day following the date of purchase while securities sold under agreement to repurchase generally mature within 30 days from the date of the sale. Short-term FHLB borrowings generally mature within 30 days following the date of purchase. At December 31, 2007, the Bank had established

informal federal funds borrowing lines of credit aggregating \$635 million.

Long-Term Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings

The Bank has entered into a blanket floating lien security agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Dallas. Under the terms of this agreement, the Bank is required to maintain sufficient collateral to secure borrowings in an aggregate amount of the lesser of 75% of the book value (unpaid principal balance) of the Bank s eligible mortgage loans pledged as collateral or 35% of the Bank s assets. At December 31, 2007, there were no call features on long-term FHLB borrowings.

At December 31, 2007, the following FHLB fixed long-term advances were repayable as follows:

Table of Contents

Final due date	Interest rate		Amount (In thousands)
2009	3.40%	5.90%	\$ 2,627
2010	3.02%	5.86%	3,500
2011	5.28%	6.93%	2,850
2012		4.71%	1,500
Thereafter	4.69%	5.99%	78,500
Total			\$ 88,977

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report may not be based on historical facts and are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, as amended. These forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period(s) or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, predict, foresee, will, would, could or intend, future or conditional verb tenses, and variations or negatives of such terms. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those relating to the expiration of the Company's trademarks, the Company's ability to compete effectively, the effect of changes in laws, governmental regulations and legislative proposals affecting financial institutions, examinations of the Company by the Federal Reserve, Company's operating results, growth strategies and growth opportunities, interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, unsecured loans, credit card losses, commercial loans, mortgage loans, economic conditions in the Company's market area, internal control over financial reporting, maturities of held-to-maturity securities, valuation of mortgage servicing rights, diversification of revenue stream, the Company's policy regarding asset quality, net interest revenue, net interest margin, interest rate sensitivity, credit quality and credit losses, capital resources, sources of liquidity and liquidity strategies, sources of maturing loans and investment securities, sales of loans held for sale, cash from operating activities, deposits, non-performing assets, the Company's ability to declare and pay dividends, future acquisitions, market risk, significant accounting policies, underwriting and loan administration policies, indirect lending activities, market conditions, stock repurchase program, the impact of Hurricane Katrina, allowance for credit losses, financial condition of the Company's borrowers, off-balance sheet arrangements, pension and other post-retirement benefit amounts, loans in the Bank's consumer finance subsidiary, expansion of products and services offered by the Company's insurance agencies, charge-offs, legal and regulatory limitations and compliance, junior subordinated debt securities and the effect of certain legal claims and pending lawsuits.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report in that actual results could differ materially from those indicated in such forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

The ability of the Company to increase noninterest revenue and expand noninterest revenue business;

Changes in general business or economic conditions or government fiscal and monetary policies;

Fluctuations in prevailing interest rates and the effectiveness of the Company's interest rate hedging strategies;

The ability of the Company to maintain credit quality;

The ability of the Company to provide and market competitive products and services;

Changes in the Company's operating or expansion strategy;

Geographic concentration of the Company's assets and susceptibility to economic downturns in that area;

The availability of and costs associated with maintaining and/or obtaining adequate and timely sources of liquidity;

Laws and regulations affecting financial institutions in general;

The ability of the Company to operate and integrate new technology;

The ability of the Company to manage its growth and effectively serve an expanding customer and market base;

The ability of the Company to attract, train and retain qualified personnel;

Changes in consumer preferences;

Table of Contents

The ability of the Company to repurchase its common stock on favorable terms;

The ability of the Company to collect amounts due under loan agreements and to attract deposits;

Legislation and court decisions related to the amount of damages recoverable in legal proceedings;

Possible adverse rulings, judgments, settlements and other outcomes of pending litigation; and

Other factors generally understood to affect the financial results of financial services companies.

The Company undertakes no obligation to update its forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this Report.

In addition to the factors listed above that could influence our forward-looking statements, management believes that the risk factors set forth below should be considered in evaluating the Company's business. Other relevant risk factors are outlined below and may be supplemented from time to time in the Company's press releases and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We realize net interest income primarily from the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and borrowings, and changes in interest rates may adversely affect our profitability and assets.

Changes in prevailing interest rates may hurt our business. We derive our net interest income mainly from the difference or spread between the interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets, and interest paid on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. In general, the larger the spread, the more we earn. When market rates of interest change, the interest we receive on our assets and the interest we pay on our liabilities will fluctuate. This can cause decreases in our spread and can adversely affect our income.

Interest rates affect how much money we can lend. For example, when interest rates rise, the cost of borrowing increases and loan originations tend to decrease. In addition, changes in interest rates can affect the average life of loans and investment securities. A reduction in interest rates generally results in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities, as borrowers refinance their debt in order to reduce their borrowing cost. This causes reinvestment risk, because we generally are not able to reinvest prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates we earned on the prepaid loans or securities. Changes in market interest rates could also reduce the value of our financial assets. If we are unsuccessful in managing the effects of changes in interest rates, our financial condition and results of operations could suffer.

Changes in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our earnings and financial condition are dependent to a large degree upon net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned from loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and borrowings. The narrowing of the spread between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and borrowings could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors including:

The rate of inflation;

Economic conditions;

Federal monetary policies; and

Stability of domestic and foreign markets.

Changes in market interest rates will also affect the level of prepayments on loans as well as the payments received on mortgage backed securities, requiring the reinvestment at lower rates than the loans or securities were paying.

The Bank originates a significant amount of residential mortgage loans for sale and for our portfolio. The origination of residential mortgage loans is highly dependent on the local real estate market and the level of interest

rates. Increasing interest rates tend to reduce the origination of loans for sale and consequently fee income, which we report as gain on sale of loans. Conversely, decreasing interest rates have the effect of causing clients to refinance mortgage loans faster than anticipated. This causes the value of mortgage servicing rights on the loans sold to be lower than originally anticipated. If this happens, the Company may be required to write down the value of our mortgage servicing rights faster than anticipated, which will increase expense and lower earnings.

Monetary policies and economic factors may limit our ability to attract deposits or make loans.

The monetary policies of federal regulatory authorities, particularly the Federal Reserve, and economic conditions in our service area and the United States generally, affect our ability to attract deposits and extend loans. We cannot predict either the nature and timing of any changes in these monetary policies and economic conditions,

Table of Contents

including the Federal Reserve's interest rate policies, or their impact on our financial performance. The banking business is subject to various material business risks, which may become more acute in periods of economic slowdown or recession. During such periods, foreclosures generally increase and such conditions could also lead to a potential decline in deposits and demand for loans.

Our allowance for credit losses may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectibility of our loan and lease portfolio and provide an allowance for potential losses based on a number of factors. If our assumptions or judgments are wrong, our allowance for credit losses may not be sufficient to cover our actual losses, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results, and may also cause us to increase the allowance in the future. Further, our net income could decrease for any period in which we add additional amounts to our allowance for credit losses.

Hurricanes or other adverse weather events could negatively affect local economies where we maintain branch offices or cause disruption or damage to our branch office locations, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

We have operations in Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, Texas and Florida, which include areas susceptible to hurricanes or tropical storms. Such weather conditions can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our branch office locations or negatively affect the local economies in which we operate. In late August 2005, Hurricane Katrina devastated parts of the Mississippi Gulf Coast, causing substantial damage to residences and businesses in these areas, including 13 of our banking locations. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage caused by future hurricanes or storms will affect our operations or the economies in our market areas, but such weather conditions could result in a decline in loan originations and an increase in the risk of delinquencies, foreclosures or loan losses. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of devastating hurricanes or storms.

Our operations are subject to extensive governmental regulation.

BancorpSouth, Inc. is a financial holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act and BancorpSouth Bank is a Mississippi state banking corporation. Both are subject to extensive governmental regulation, legislation and control. These laws and regulations limit the manner in which we operate, including the amount of loans we can originate, interest we can charge on loans and fees we can charge for certain services. We cannot predict whether, or the extent to which, the government and governmental organizations may change any of these laws or controls. We also cannot predict how such changes would adversely affect our business and prospects.

We face risks in connection with completed or potential acquisitions.

Historically, we have grown through the acquisition of other financial institutions as well as the development of de novo offices. If appropriate opportunities present themselves, we intend to pursue additional acquisitions in the future that we believe are strategic. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, negotiate or finance future acquisitions successfully or integrate such acquisitions with our current business.

Upon completion of an acquisition, we are faced with the challenges of integrating the operations, services, products, personnel and systems of acquired companies into our business, which may divert management's attention from ongoing business operations. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in effectively integrating any acquisition into the operations of our business. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the anticipated benefits of any acquisition will be realized.

The success of our acquisitions is dependent on the continued employment of key employees. If acquired businesses do not meet projected revenue targets, or if certain key employees were to leave, we could conclude that the value of the businesses has decreased and that the related goodwill has been impaired. If we were to conclude that goodwill has been impaired, it would result in an impairment of goodwill charge to us, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Issuing additional shares of our common stock to acquire other banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies may result in dilution for existing shareholders and may adversely affect the market price of our stock.

In connection with our growth strategy, we have issued, and may issue in the future, shares of our common stock to acquire additional banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies.

Table of Contents

Resales of substantial amounts of common stock in the public market and the potential of such sales could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities. We usually must pay an acquisition premium above the fair market value of acquired assets for the acquisition of banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies. Paying this acquisition premium, in addition to the dilutive effect of issuing additional shares, may also adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock.

Our ability to declare and pay dividends is limited by law.

We derive our income solely from dividends received from owning the Bank's common stock. Federal and state law limit the Bank's ability to declare and pay dividends. In addition, the Federal Reserve may impose restrictions on our ability to declare and pay dividends on our common stock.

Our growth strategy includes risks that could have an adverse effect on financial performance.

A significant element of our growth strategy is the acquisition of additional banks, bank holding companies, financial holding companies and insurance agencies in order to achieve greater economies of scale. We cannot assure you that the current level of growth opportunities will continue to exist, that we will be able to acquire banks, insurance agencies, bank holding companies and financial holding companies that satisfy our criteria or that any such acquisitions will be on terms favorable to us. Further, our growth strategy requires that we continue to hire qualified personnel, while concurrently expanding our managerial and operational infrastructure. We cannot assure you that we will be able to hire and retain qualified personnel or that we will be able to successfully expand our infrastructure to accommodate future acquisitions or growth. As a result of these factors, we may not realize the expected economic benefits associated with our acquisitions. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Diversification in types of financial services may adversely affect our financial performance.

As part of our business strategy, we may further diversify our lines of business into areas that are not traditionally associated with the banking business. As a result, we would need to manage the development of new business lines in which we have not previously participated. Each new business line would require the investment of additional capital and the significant involvement of our senior management to develop and integrate the service subsidiaries with our traditional banking operations. We can offer no assurances that we will be able to develop and integrate new services without adversely affecting our financial performance.

We compete with other financial holding companies, bank holding companies, banks, insurance and financial services companies.

The banking business is extremely competitive in our service areas in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida and Missouri. We compete, and will continue to compete, with well-established banks, credit unions, insurance agencies and other financial institutions, some of which have significantly greater resources and lending limits. Some of our competitors provide certain services that we do not provide.

Anti-takeover provisions may discourage a change of our control.

Our governing documents and certain agreements to which we are a party contain provisions which make a change-in-control difficult to accomplish, and may discourage a potential acquirer. These include a shareholder rights plan, or poison pill, a classified or staggered Board of Directors, change-in-control agreements with members of management and supermajority voting requirements. These anti-takeover provisions may have an adverse effect on the market for our common stock.

Securities that we issue, including our common stock, are not FDIC insured.

Securities that we issue, including our common stock, are not savings or deposit accounts or other obligations of any bank and are not insured by the FDIC, the Bank Insurance Funds, any other governmental agency or instrumentality or any private insurer and are subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of principal

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The physical properties of the Company are held by its subsidiaries as follows:

- a. BancorpSouth Bank The main office is located at One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street in the central business district of Tupelo, Mississippi in a seven-floor, modern, glass, concrete and steel office building owned by the Bank. The Bank occupies approximately 75% of the space, with the remainder leased to various unaffiliated tenants.

The Bank owns 240 of its 272 branch banking facilities. The remaining 32 branch banking facilities are occupied under leases with unexpired terms ranging from one to 11 years. The Bank also owns other buildings that provide space for computer operations, lease servicing, mortgage lending, warehouse needs and other general purposes.

The Bank considers all its buildings and leased premises to be in good condition. The Bank also owns several parcels of property acquired under foreclosure. Ownership of and rentals on other real property by the Bank are not material.

- b. BancorpSouth Insurance Services, Inc. This wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank owns four of the 14 offices it occupies. It leases ten offices that have unexpired terms varying in duration from one to nine years.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions with numerous customers through offices in eight states. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, litigation presents an ongoing risk.

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business, including claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such matters should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. Litigation is, however, inherently uncertain, and the Company cannot make assurances that it will prevail in any of these actions, nor can it estimate with reasonable certainty the amount of damages that it might incur.

The Company reported litigation expense of approximately \$2.3 million in 2007 due to legal and other accruals established relative to the Company's proportionate share of projected Visa, Inc.'s litigation charges. These reserves pertain to Visa, Inc.'s settlement with American Express, as well as other pending Visa, Inc. litigation and was based on information available from Visa, Inc. and other member banks. The Bank, as a member of Visa, Inc. is obligated to share in certain liabilities associated with Visa, Inc.'s settled and pending litigation.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matter was submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders during the fourth quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK**

The common stock of the Company trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol BXS. The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the range of sale prices of the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange:

		High	Low
2007	Fourth	\$25.78	\$21.19
	Third	26.50	21.75
	Second	25.55	23.22
	First	27.56	23.51
2006	Fourth	\$28.32	\$24.61
	Third	28.60	26.03
	Second	27.25	23.60
	First	24.69	21.78

HOLDERS OF RECORD

As of February 21, 2008, there were 9,346 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock.

DIVIDENDS

The Company declared cash dividends each quarter in an aggregate annual amount of \$0.83 per share during 2007 and \$0.79 per share during 2006. Future dividends, if any, will vary depending on the Company's profitability, anticipated capital requirements and applicable federal and state regulations. See Item 1. Business Regulation and Supervision and Note 16 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for more information on restrictions and limitations on the Company's ability to pay dividends.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company made the following purchases of its common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2007:

Table of Contents

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share \$	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 - October 31				2,668,000
November 1 - November 30	113,700	22.22	113,700	2,554,300
December 1 - December 31				2,554,300
Total	113,700			

(1) On March 21, 2007, the Company announced a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company may purchase up to three million shares of its common stock during the period between May 1, 2007 and April 30, 2009. During the three months ended December 31, 2007, the Company terminated no repurchase plans or programs and no such plans or programs expired.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

The table below sets forth the Company's selected financial and operating data. When reviewing this selected financial and operating data, it is important that you read along with it the historical financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Report, as well as the section of this Report captioned "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for, among other things, a discussion of accounting changes and business combinations.

	Year Ended December 31				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands)				
Earnings Summary:					
Interest revenue	\$ 801,242	\$ 681,891	\$ 559,936	\$ 497,629	\$ 526,911
Interest expense	378,343	296,092	204,379	163,837	175,805
Net interest revenue	422,899	385,799	355,557	333,792	351,106
Provision for credit losses	22,696	8,577	24,467	17,485	25,130
Net interest revenue, after provision for credit losses	400,203	377,222	331,090	316,307	325,976
Noninterest revenue	231,799	206,094	198,812	183,519	190,086
Noninterest expense	428,058	393,154	362,102	342,945	322,594
Income before income taxes	203,944	190,162	167,800	156,881	193,468
Income tax expense	66,001	64,968	52,601	46,261	62,334
Net income	\$ 137,943	\$ 125,194	\$ 115,199	\$ 110,620	\$ 131,134

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)				
Per Share Data:					
Net income: Basic	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.69
Diluted	1.69	1.57	1.47	1.43	1.68
Cash dividends	0.83	0.79	0.76	0.73	0.66
Book value	14.54	12.98	12.33	11.74	11.15
Balance Sheet-Year-End Balances:					
Total assets	\$ 13,189,841	\$ 12,040,521	\$ 11,768,674	\$ 10,848,193	\$ 10,305,035
Total securities	2,627,110	2,765,419	2,766,411	2,988,407	3,081,681
Loans, net of unearned income	9,179,684	7,871,471	7,365,555	6,836,698	6,233,067
Total deposits	10,064,099	9,710,578	9,607,258	9,059,091	8,599,128
Long-term debt	88,977	135,707	137,228	141,094	138,498
Total shareholders equity	1,196,626	1,026,585	977,166	916,428	868,906
Selected Ratios:					
Return on average assets	1.07%	1.06%	1.05%	1.05%	1.28%
Return on average equity	12.31%	12.52%	12.33%	12.67%	15.50%

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**OVERVIEW**

The Company is a regional financial holding company with approximately \$13.2 billion in assets headquartered in Tupelo, Mississippi. The Company's wholly-owned banking subsidiary has commercial banking operations in Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Florida and Missouri. The Bank and its consumer finance, credit insurance, insurance agency and brokerage subsidiaries provide commercial banking, leasing, mortgage origination and servicing, insurance, brokerage and trust services to corporate customers, local governments, individuals and other financial institutions through an extensive network of branches and offices.

Management's discussion and analysis provides a narrative discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations for the previous three years. For a complete understanding of the following discussion, you should refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes presented elsewhere in this Report. This discussion and analysis is based on reported financial information, and certain amounts for prior years have been reclassified to conform with the current financial statement presentation. The information that follows is provided to enhance comparability of financial information between years and to provide a better understanding of the Company's operations.

As a financial holding company, the financial condition and operating results of the Company are heavily influenced by economic trends nationally and in the specific markets in which the Company's subsidiaries provide financial services. Most of the revenue of the Company is derived from the operation of its principal operating subsidiary, the Bank. The financial condition and operating results of the Bank are affected by the level and volatility of interest rates on loans, investment securities, deposits and other borrowed funds, and the impact of economic downturns on loan demand and creditworthiness of existing borrowers. The financial services industry is highly competitive and heavily regulated. The Company's success depends on its ability to compete aggressively within its markets while maintaining sufficient asset quality and cost controls to generate net income.

The table below summarizes key indicators of the Company's financial performance for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	2007	% Change	2006	% Change	2005
Net income	\$ 137,943	10.2%	\$ 125,194	8.7%	\$ 115,199
Net income per share: Basic	\$ 1.69	7.0	\$ 1.58	7.5	\$ 1.47
Diluted	\$ 1.69	7.6	\$ 1.57	6.8	\$ 1.47
Return on average assets	1.07%	1.1	1.06%	1.0	1.05%
Return on average shareholders' equity	12.31%	(1.7)	12.52%	1.5	12.33%

The increase in the Company's net income for 2007 when compared to 2006 was primarily attributable to the increase in its net interest revenue. The primary source of revenue for the Company is the amount of net interest revenue earned by the Bank. Net interest revenue is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and other obligations. Net interest revenue for 2007 was \$422.9 million, compared to \$385.8 million for 2006 and \$355.6 million for 2005. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's long-term objective is to manage those assets and liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit, liquidity and capital risks. In 2007, net interest revenue was significantly impacted by the acquisition of The Signature Bank in the first quarter of 2007. Also in 2007, the Company's net interest revenue continued to be positively impacted by increases in interest rates earned on loans and investment securities as well as the increased loan demand throughout most of the Bank's markets and the Company's continued focus on funding this growth with maturing investment securities and lower-cost liabilities.

While the increase in net interest revenue in 2007 compared to 2006 positively impacted net income, the provision for credit losses increased in 2007 when compared to 2006, negatively impacting net income. The increase in the provision for credit losses for 2007 was a result of the loan growth experienced during 2007 as well as a result of the reduction in credit reserves related to Hurricane Katrina in 2006. During 2005, the Company increased its provision by \$7.6 million related to the expected impact of Hurricane Katrina on the Mississippi Gulf Coast region. Because the actual effect of Hurricane Katrina on the Company's customers was less than what was originally estimated in 2005, the Company reversed \$5.9 million of the allowance for credit losses that was related to Hurricane Katrina during 2006. Net charge-offs remained fairly stable in 2007 at 0.14% of average loans after decreasing to 0.15% of average loans in 2006 from 0.23% of average loans in 2005 as a result of improved asset quality. Because mortgage lending decisions are based on conservative lending policies, the Company continues to have nominal exposure, approximately \$329,000 as of December 31, 2007, to the credit issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market.

The Company has taken steps to diversify its revenue stream by increasing the amount of revenue received from mortgage lending operations, insurance agency activities, brokerage and securities activities and other activities that generate fee income. Management believes this diversification is important to reduce the impact of fluctuations in net interest revenue on the overall operating results of the Company. Noninterest revenue for 2007 was \$231.8 million, compared to \$206.1 million for 2006 and \$198.8 million in 2005. One of the primary contributors to noninterest revenue in 2007 was the increase in insurance commissions. Insurance commissions increased 12.5% in 2007 compared to 2006 after increasing 15.3% in 2006 compared to 2005 as a result of the increase in policies written in 2007 and 2006, including substantial new business generated in the Mississippi Gulf Coast region, coupled with higher policy premiums. Debit card, credit card and merchant fees increased in 2007 compared to 2006 as a result of an increase in the numerical and monetary volume of items processed. Service charges on deposit accounts increased in 2007 compared to 2006 because of higher volumes of items processed and growth in the number of deposit accounts. Noninterest revenue in 2007 was also positively impacted by gains of \$3.4 million related to the sale or redemption of a portion of the Company's MasterCard common stock holdings.

Noninterest expense for 2007 was \$428.1 million, an increase of 8.9% from \$393.2 million for 2006, which was an increase of 8.6% from \$362.1 million for 2005. The increases in noninterest expense primarily resulted from additional salaries and employee benefits associated with the acquisitions of two banks since December 2005 and increased occupancy costs from opening new offices during 2007 and 2006 as the Company continued to reinvest by

expanding its branch and ATM networks while systems and operational consolidation efforts continued. The Company completed the acquisition of City Bancorp on March 1, 2007. City Bancorp's banking subsidiary, The Signature Bank, merged with and into the Bank on July 1, 2007. The Company completed the acquisition of American State Bank Corporation on December 1, 2005. Pursuant to the merger, American State

25

Table of Contents

Bank Corporation's banking subsidiary, American State Bank, merged with and into the Bank. Income tax expense was \$66.0 million in 2007, \$65.0 million in 2006 and \$52.6 million in 2005. Income tax expense increased in 2007 primarily as a result of an increase in pretax income in 2007 while income tax expense increased in 2006 primarily as a result of an increase in the provision for income taxes of \$6.8 million due to a statutory limitation that prevented the Company from recovering excess income taxes paid in prior years. The major components of net income are discussed in more detail in the various sections that follow.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions (see Note 1 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report). The Company believes that its determination of the allowance for credit losses, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights and the estimation of pension and other post retirement benefit amounts involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity than the Company's other significant accounting policies. Further, these estimates can be materially impacted by changes in market conditions or the actual or perceived financial condition of the Company's borrowers, subjecting the Company to significant volatility of earnings.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses is established through the provision for credit losses, which is a charge against earnings. Provisions for credit losses are made to reserve for estimated probable losses on loans. The allowance for credit losses is a significant estimate and is regularly evaluated by the Company for adequacy by taking into consideration factors such as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio; trends in actual and forecasted portfolio credit quality, including delinquency, charge-off and bankruptcy rates; and current economic conditions that may affect a borrower's ability to pay. In determining an adequate allowance for credit losses, management makes numerous assumptions, estimates and assessments. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different provisions for credit losses. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses included herein for more information. At December 31, 2007, the allowance for credit losses was \$115.2 million, representing 1.25% of total loans and leases at year-end.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes as assets the rights to service mortgage loans for others, known as mortgage servicing rights (MSRs). Prior to the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, MSRs were capitalized based on the relative fair value of the servicing right and the mortgage loan on the date the mortgage loan is sold. As a result of the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 156 on January 1, 2006, the Company carries MSRs at fair value with subsequent remeasurement of MSRs based on change in fair value. In determining the fair value of MSRs, the Company utilizes the expertise of an independent third party. An estimate of the fair value of the Company's MSRs is determined by the independent third party utilizing assumptions about factors such as mortgage interest rates, discount rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, market trends and industry demand. This estimate and the assumptions used by the independent third party to arrive at the estimate are reviewed by management. Because the valuation is determined by using discounted cash flow models, the primary risk inherent in valuing the MSRs is the impact of fluctuating interest rates on the estimated life of the servicing revenue stream. The use of different estimates or assumptions could also produce different fair values. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of MSRs and, therefore, the Company is susceptible to significant fluctuations in the fair value of its MSRs in changing interest rate environments. At December 31, 2007, the Company's mortgage servicing asset was valued at \$32.5 million.

Pension and Postretirement Benefits

Accounting for pension and other postretirement benefit amounts is another area where the accounting guidance requires management to make various assumptions in order to appropriately value any related asset or liability. Estimates that the Company makes to determine pension-related assets and liabilities include actuarial assumptions, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, rate of compensation increase for participants and discount rate. Estimates that the Company makes to determine asset and liability amounts for other postretirement

Table of Contents

benefits include actuarial assumptions and a discount rate. Changes in these estimates could impact earnings. For example, lower expected long-term rates of return on plan assets could negatively impact earnings, as would lower estimated discount rates or higher rates of compensation increase. In estimating the projected benefit obligation, actuaries must make assumptions about such factors as mortality rate, turnover rate, retirement rate, disability rate and the rate of compensation increases. The Company accounts for the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit and postretirement plans as an asset or liability in its consolidated balance sheets and recognizes changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income as required by SFAS No. 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of SFAS No. 87, 88, 106 and 132R which was adopted on December 31, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 had no material impact on the regulatory requirements for capital of the Company. In accordance with SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, the Company calculates the expected return on plan assets each year based on the balance in the pension asset portfolio at the beginning of the year and the expected long-term rate of return on that portfolio. In determining the reasonableness of the expected rate of return, the Company considers a variety of factors including the actual return earned on plan assets, historical rates of return on the various asset classes of which the plan portfolio is comprised and current/prospective capital market conditions and economic forecasts. The Company used an expected rate of return of 8% on plan assets for 2007. The discount rate is the rate used to determine the present value of the Company's future benefit obligations for its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The Company determines the discount rate to be used to discount plan liabilities at the measurement date with the assistance of our actuary using the Citigroup Pension Liability Curve. The Company developed a level equivalent yield using the expected cash flows from the BancorpSouth, Inc. Retirement Plan based on the December 31, 2007 Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. The Citigroup Pension Liability Curve is published on the Society of Actuaries website along with a background paper on this interest rate curve. Based on this analysis, the Company established its discount rate assumption for determination of the projected benefit obligation of the pension plans at 6.33% based on a December 31, 2007 measurement date.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Net Interest Revenue**

Net interest revenue increased 9.6% to \$422.9 million in 2007 from \$385.8 million in 2006, which represented an increase of 8.5% from \$355.6 million in 2005. The increase in net interest revenue for 2007 and 2006 is related to the combination of growth in loans and the Company's continued focus on funding this growth with maturing investment securities and lower-cost liabilities. The increase in net interest revenue for 2007 was also attributed to the acquisition of The Signature Bank during the first quarter of 2007. Net interest revenue is the difference between interest revenue earned on assets such as loans, leases and securities, and interest expense paid on liabilities such as deposits and borrowings, and continues to provide the Company with its principal source of revenue. Net interest revenue is affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The Company's long-term objective is to manage interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities to maximize net interest revenue, while balancing interest rate, credit, liquidity and capital risks. For purposes of the following discussion, revenue from tax-exempt loans and investment securities has been adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent basis, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

Interest revenue increased 17.2% to \$811.2 million in 2007 from \$692.0 million in 2006, which represented an increase of 21.6% from \$569.1 million in 2005. The increase in interest revenue during 2007 was attributable to a 9.7% increase in average interest earning assets to \$11.7 billion in 2007 and an increase in the yield of those assets of 44 basis points to 6.90% in 2007. The acquisition of The Signature Bank in the first quarter of 2007 was the primary contributor to the increases in interest revenue and average interest earning assets in 2007 when compared to 2006. The increase in asset yields in 2007 when compared to 2006 resulted from increased loan demand with the Company funding this loan demand with maturing lower interest rate securities. The increase in interest revenue during 2006 was attributable to a 6.9% increase in average interest earning assets to \$10.7 billion in 2006 and an increase in the yield of those assets of 78 basis points to 6.46% in 2006. The increase in interest revenue during 2005 was attributable to a 2.8% increase in average interest earning assets to \$10.0 billion in 2005 and an increase in the yield of those assets of 48 basis points to 5.68% in 2005.

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Interest expense increased 27.8% to \$378.3 million in 2007 from \$296.1 million in 2006, which represented an increase of 44.9% from \$204.4 million in 2005. The increase in interest expense during 2007 was attributable to a 10.9% increase in average interest bearing liabilities to \$9.9 billion in 2007 and an increase in the

27

Table of Contents

average rate paid on those liabilities of 50 basis points to 3.82% in 2007. Again, the acquisition of The Signature Bank during the first quarter of 2007 was the primary contributor to the increases in average interest bearing liabilities and the average rate paid on those liabilities in 2007 when compared to 2006. The increase in interest expense during 2006 was attributable to a 6.4% increase in average interest bearing liabilities to \$8.9 billion in 2006 and an increase in the average rate paid on those liabilities of 88 basis points to 3.32% in 2006. The increase in interest expense during 2005 was attributable to a 1.5% increase in average interest bearing liabilities to \$8.4 billion in 2005 and an increase in the average rate paid on those liabilities of 46 basis points to 2.44% in 2005.

The relative performance of the Company's lending and deposit-raising functions is frequently measured by two calculations—net interest margin and net interest rate spread. Net interest margin is determined by dividing fully-taxable equivalent net interest revenue by average earning assets. Net interest rate spread is the difference between the average fully-taxable equivalent yield earned on interest earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is generally greater than the net interest rate spread because of the additional income earned on those assets funded by noninterest bearing liabilities, or free funding, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits and shareholders' equity.

Net interest margin for 2007 was 3.68%, a decrease of 2 basis points from 3.70% for 2006 which represented an increase of 6 basis points from 3.64% for 2005. Net interest rate spread for 2007 was 3.09%, a decrease of 5 basis points from 3.14% for 2006, which represented an increase of 10 basis points from 3.24% for 2005. The decrease in net interest margin for 2007 was primarily a result of the larger percentage increase in average earning assets relative to the percentage increase in the earning asset yield. Conversely, the increase in net interest margin for 2006 was primarily a result of the larger percentage increase in the earning asset yield relative to the percentage increase in the average earning assets. The earning asset yield increase for 2007 and 2006 was a result of favorable economic activity throughout most of the Bank's markets, resulting in stronger loan demand. The Company has invested funds from maturing securities in higher rate loans or new higher rate short- and intermediate-term investments. The Company has also chosen to fund its loan growth with lower rate short-term FHLB borrowings rather than higher rate time deposits. The decrease in the net interest rate spread for 2007 was primarily a result of the larger increase in the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities, from 3.32% in 2006 to 3.82% in 2007, than the increase in the average rate earned on interest earning assets from 6.46% in 2006 to 6.90% in 2007. The decrease in the net interest rate spread for 2006 was primarily a result of the larger increase in the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities, from 2.44% in 2005 to 3.32% in 2006, than the increase in the average rate earned on interest earning assets from 5.68% in 2005 to 6.46% in 2006. The increase in net interest margin and net interest rate spread in 2005 was primarily a result of the larger increase in the average rate earned on interest earning assets, from 5.20% in 2004 to 5.68% in 2005, than the increase in the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities, from 1.98% in 2004 to 2.44% in 2005. The earning asset yield increase for 2005 was a result of the favorable economic activity throughout most of the Bank's markets, driving increased interest rates as well as stronger loan demand while the Company maintained a conservative stance in the average maturity of its investment assets mitigating the Company's liability-sensitivity during 2005 as interest rates increased.

The Company experienced growth in average interest earning assets and average interest bearing liabilities during the three years ended December 31, 2007. Average interest earning assets increased 9.7% during 2007, 6.9% during 2006 and 2.8% during 2005. Average interest bearing liabilities increased 10.9% during 2007, 6.4% during 2006 and 1.5% during 2005 because of increases in the Company's deposits and short-term borrowings. The larger increases in average interest earning assets and average interest bearing liabilities in 2007 were a result of the acquisition of The Signature Bank during the first quarter of 2007.

The table below presents average interest earning assets, average interest bearing liabilities, net interest income, net interest margin and net interest rate spread for the three years ended December 31, 2007. Each of the measures is reported on a fully-taxable equivalent basis.

Table of Contents

(Taxable equivalent basis)	2007			2006			2005		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate
(Dollars in thousands)									
ASSETS									
Loans and leases (net of unearned income) (1)(2)	\$ 8,784,940	\$ 672,193	7.65%	\$ 7,579,935	\$ 556,320	7.34%	\$ 7,026,009	\$ 453,094	6.45%
Loans held for sale	95,313	5,962	6.26%	67,196	4,353	6.48%	72,291	3,195	4.42%
Held-to-maturity securities:									
Taxable	1,530,247	68,142	4.45%	1,517,430	63,010	4.15%	1,100,432	38,839	3.53%
Non-taxable (3)	189,234	12,701	6.71%	183,986	12,297	6.68%	143,679	10,027	6.98%
Available-for-sale securities:									
Taxable	977,459	41,212	4.22%	1,135,506	42,352	3.73%	1,412,600	49,319	3.49%
Non-taxable (4)	84,292	6,194	7.35%	106,635	7,729	7.25%	129,519	9,307	7.19%
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreement to resell and short-term investments	87,948	4,831	5.49%	121,639	5,895	4.85%	139,444	5,294	3.80%
Total interest earning assets and revenue	11,749,433	811,235	6.90%	10,712,327	691,956	6.46%	10,023,974	569,075	5.68%
Other assets	1,217,135			1,184,643			1,040,527		
Less: allowance for credit losses	(109,433)			(98,817)			(95,627)		
Total	\$ 12,857,135			\$ 11,798,153			\$ 10,968,874		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY									
Deposits:									
Demand interest bearing	\$ 3,191,433	\$ 83,833	2.63%	\$ 2,886,030	\$ 60,145	2.08%	\$ 2,849,199	\$ 38,947	1.37%
Savings	718,080	9,301	1.30%	744,106	7,987	1.07%	738,555	5,967	0.81%
Other time	4,636,436	215,723	4.65%	4,211,371	172,368	4.09%	3,998,864	126,183	3.16%
Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase and short-term FHLB borrowings	1,057,057	48,098	4.55%	807,860	35,835	4.44%	526,274	14,080	2.68%
Junior subordinated debt securities	159,939	13,067	8.17%	144,847	11,791	8.14%	138,714	11,142	8.03%
Long-term FHLB borrowings	144,006	8,321	5.77%	136,411	7,966	5.84%	137,902	8,060	5.84%

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Total interest bearing liabilities and expense	9,906,951	378,343	3.81%	8,930,625	296,092	3.32%	8,389,508	204,379	2.44%
Demand deposits									
noninterest bearing	1,654,149			1,712,934			1,523,793		
Other liabilities	175,035			154,262			121,010		
Total liabilities	11,736,135			10,797,821			10,034,311		
Shareholders' equity	1,121,000			1,000,332			934,563		
Total	\$ 12,857,135			\$ 11,798,153			\$ 10,968,874		
Net interest revenue		\$ 432,892			\$ 395,864			\$ 364,696	
Net interest margin			3.68%			3.70%			3.64%
Net interest rate spread			3.09%			3.14%			3.24%
Interest bearing liabilities to interest earning assets			84.32%			83.37%			83.69%

(1) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$3,380,000, \$3,055,000 and \$2,372,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

(2) Non-accrual loans are included in Loans (net of unearned income).

(3) Includes taxable equivalent adjustments to interest of \$4,445,000, \$4,304,000 and \$3,509,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, using an effective tax

rate of 35%.

- (4) Includes taxable equivalent adjustment to interest of \$2,168,000, \$2,706,000 and \$3,258,000 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, using an effective tax rate of 35%.

29

Table of Contents

Net interest revenue may also be analyzed by segregating the rate and volume components of interest revenue and interest expense. The table below presents an analysis of rate and volume change in net interest revenue from 2006 to 2007 and from 2005 to 2006. Changes that are not solely a result of volume or rate have been allocated to volume.

(Taxable equivalent basis)	2007 over 2006 Increase (Decrease)			2006 over 2005 Increase (Decrease)		
	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total
	(In thousands)					
INTEREST REVENUE						
Loans (net of unearned income)	\$ 92,203	\$ 23,670	\$ 115,873	\$ 40,655	\$ 62,571	\$ 103,226
Loans held for sale	1,759	(150)	1,609	(330)	1,488	1,158
Held-to-maturity securities:						
Taxable	571	4,561	5,132	17,315	6,856	24,171
Non-taxable	352	52	404	2,694	(424)	2,270
Available-for-sale securities:						
Taxable	(6,664)	5,524	(1,140)	(10,335)	3,368	(6,967)
Non-taxable	(1,642)	107	(1,535)	(1,659)	81	(1,578)
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreement to resell and short-term investments	(1,851)	787	(1,064)	(863)	1,464	601
Total	84,728	34,551	119,279	47,477	75,404	122,881
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Demand interest bearing	8,022	15,666	23,688	768	20,430	21,198
Savings	(337)	1,651	1,314	60	1,960	2,020
Other time	19,777	23,578	43,355	8,698	37,487	46,185
Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase and short-term FHLB borrowings	11,339	924	12,263	12,491	9,264	21,755
Junior subordinated debt securities	1,233	43	1,276	500	149	649
Long-term FHLB borrowings	452	(97)	355	(87)	(7)	(94)
Total	40,486	41,765	82,251	22,430	69,283	91,713
Total increase (decrease)	\$ 44,242	\$ (7,214)	\$ 37,028	\$ 25,047	\$ 6,121	\$ 31,168

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between the maturity or repricing opportunities of interest sensitive assets and interest sensitive liabilities for a given period of time. A prime objective of asset/liability management is to maximize net interest margin while maintaining a reasonable mix of interest sensitive assets and liabilities. The following table presents the Company's interest rate sensitivity at December 31, 2007:

Table of Contents

	Interest Rate Sensitivity		Maturing or Repricing	
	0 to 90 Days	91 Days to 1 Year	Over 1 Year to 5 Years	Over 5 Years
	(In thousands)			
INTEREST EARNING ASSETS:				
Interest bearing deposits with banks	\$ 12,710	\$	\$	\$
Held-to-maturity securities	47,677	395,216	878,171	304,852
Available-for-sale and trading securities	129,809	96,375	412,348	362,662
Loans, net of unearned income	4,822,969	1,665,395	2,483,136	208,184
Loans held for sale	102,674	273	1,711	23,874
Total interest earning assets	5,115,839	2,157,259	3,775,366	899,572
INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES:				
Interest bearing demand deposits and savings	3,974,724			
Other time deposits	1,407,815	2,244,225	765,359	1,778
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase and short-term FHLB borrowings	1,487,310	3,171	26,003	
Long-term FHLB borrowings and junior subordinated debt securities			10,477	238,812
Other		49	39	111
Total interest bearing liabilities	6,869,849	2,247,445	801,878	240,701
Interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ (1,754,010)	\$ (90,186)	\$ 2,973,488	\$ 658,871
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap	\$ (1,754,010)	\$ (1,844,196)	\$ 1,129,292	\$ 1,788,163

In the event interest rates decline after 2007, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, it is likely that the Company would experience slightly increased net interest revenue in the following one-year period, as the cost of funds will decrease at a more rapid rate than interest revenue on interest earning assets. Conversely, in the event interest rates increase after 2007, based on this interest rate sensitivity gap, the Company would likely experience decreased net interest revenue in the following one-year period. It should be noted that the balances shown in the table above are at December 31, 2007 and may not be reflective of positions at other times during the year or in subsequent periods. Allocations to specific interest rate sensitivity periods are based on the earlier of maturity or repricing dates.

Provisions for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses is the periodic cost of providing an allowance or reserve for estimated probable losses on loans and leases. The Bank employs a systematic methodology for determining its allowance for credit losses that considers both qualitative and quantitative factors and requires that management make material estimates and assumptions that are particularly susceptible to significant change. Some of the quantitative factors considered by the Bank include loan and lease growth, changes in nonperforming and past due loans and leases, historical loan and lease loss experience, delinquencies, management's assessment of loan and lease portfolio quality, the value of collateral and concentrations of loans and leases to specific borrowers or industries. Some of the qualitative factors that the Bank considers include existing general economic conditions and the inherent risks of individual loans and leases.

The allowance for credit losses is based principally upon the Bank's loan and lease classification system, delinquencies and historic loss rates. The Bank has a disciplined approach for assigning credit ratings and classifications to individual credits. Each credit is assigned a grade by the appropriate loan officer, which serves as a basis for the credit analysis of the entire portfolio. The assigned grade reflects the borrower's creditworthiness, collateral values, cash flows and other factors. An independent loan review department of the Bank is responsible for reviewing the credit rating and classification of individual credits and assessing trends in the portfolio, adherence to internal credit policies and procedures and other factors that may affect the overall adequacy of the allowance. The work of the loan review department is supplemented by governmental regulatory agencies in

31

Table of Contents

connection with their periodic examinations of the Bank, which provides an additional independent level of review. The loss factors assigned to each classification are based upon the attributes of the loans and leases typically assigned to each grade (such as loan to collateral values and borrower creditworthiness). Further, the Bank requires that a relatively narrow group of loans that have adverse internal ratings or that are significantly past due be subject to testing for impairment as required by SFAS No. 114. Management periodically reviews the loss factors assigned in light of the general economic environment and overall condition of the loan and lease portfolio and modifies the loss factors assigned to each classification as it deems appropriate. The overall allowance generally includes a component representing the results of other analyses intended to ensure that the allowance is adequate to cover other probable losses inherent in the portfolio. This component considers analyses of changes in credit risk resulting from the differing underwriting criteria in acquired loan and lease portfolios, industry concentrations, changes in the mix of loans and leases originated, overall credit criteria and other economic indicators.

The provision for credit losses, the allowance for credit losses as a percentage of loans and leases outstanding at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and net charge-offs and net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases for those years are shown in the following table:

	2007	December 31 2006	2005
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Provision for credit losses	\$22,696	\$ 8,577	\$24,467
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of loans and leases outstanding	1.25%	1.26%	1.38%
Net charge-offs	\$12,486	\$11,243	\$15,876
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases	0.14%	0.15%	0.23%

The increase in the provision for credit losses in 2007 compared to 2006 was a result of the increased credit risk from the loan growth experienced by the Company, an increase in net charge-offs, as well as some downward migration of loans within the Bank's loan and lease credit ratings and classifications coupled with the \$5.9 million reduction in the provision for credit losses in 2006 related to Hurricane Katrina because losses in the area impacted by the hurricane were less than originally anticipated. The decrease in the provision for credit losses in 2006 compared to 2005 was largely a result of the special provision for credit losses of \$7.6 million recorded in 2005 related to the expected impact of Hurricane Katrina on the Mississippi Gulf Coast region with the Company recording a \$5.9 million reduction in the provision for credit losses as contacts with customers in the hurricane-impacted area were re-established and losses related to loans in such area were determined not to be as great as originally anticipated immediately following the hurricane. Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases declined slightly as a result of the Company's commitment to conservative lending policies throughout the economic cycle. Because mortgage lending decisions are based on these conservative lending policies, the Company continues to have nominal exposure, approximately \$329,000 as of December 31, 2007, to the credit issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market. Net charge-offs in 2006 reflected the recovery of \$1.4 million in life insurance proceeds from a policy assigned to the Company to secure a loan that was previously charged-off.

Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans and leases, loans and leases more than 90 days past due, restructured loans and leases and foreclosed real estate. These assets serve as one indication of the quality of the Bank's loan and lease portfolio. Non-performing assets totaled \$53.5 million at December 31, 2007, compared to \$33.9 million at December 31, 2006 and \$44.7 million at December 31, 2005. The increase in the Bank's non-performing assets in 2007 when compared to 2006 primarily reflected additional foreclosed properties resulting from the weakening in the residential real estate sector affecting certain of our markets. The Bank recorded losses from the loans that were secured by these foreclosed properties in the allowance for credit losses during 2007. For more information on nonperforming assets, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Financial Condition - Loans and Leases.

Noninterest Revenue

The components of noninterest revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and the percentage change between years are shown in the following table:

Table of Contents

	2007		2006		2005
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Mortgage lending	\$ 6,214	1.6%	\$ 6,117	(36.1)%	\$ 9,573
Credit card, debit card and merchant fees	29,836	15.7	25,779	15.2	22,373
Service charges	68,479	8.5	63,124	8.0	58,470
Trust income	10,154	(2.3)	10,388	22.7	8,466
Securities (losses) gains, net	121	202.5	40	(91.5)	472
Insurance commissions	71,182	12.5	63,286	15.3	54,876
Other	45,813	22.6	37,360	(16.2)	44,582
Total noninterest revenue	\$ 231,799	12.5%	\$ 206,094	3.7%	\$ 198,812

The Company's revenue from mortgage lending typically fluctuates as mortgage interest rates change and is primarily attributable to two activities—origination and sale of new mortgage loans and servicing mortgage loans. The Company's normal practice is to generate mortgage loans to sell them in the secondary market and to either retain or release the associated MSR with the loan sold. The Company adopted SFAS No. 156 on January 1, 2006, and, as a result, records MSRs at fair value. Prior to the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 156, MSRs were capitalized based on the relative fair value of the servicing right and the mortgage loan on the date the mortgage loan was sold with the MSR amortized in subsequent periods. For more information about the Company's treatment of MSRs, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Mortgage Servicing Rights of this Report.

Origination revenue, a component of mortgage lending, is comprised of gains or losses from the sale of the mortgage loans originated. Origination volume of \$876.1 million, \$614.9 million and \$588.6 million produced origination revenue of \$5.4 million, \$4.1 million and \$4.8 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Revenue from the servicing process, the other component of mortgage lending revenue, includes fees from the actual servicing of loans and the recognition of changes in the valuation of the Company's MSRs. Revenue from the servicing of loans was \$9.1 million, \$9.1 million and \$9.2 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Changes in the fair value of the Company's MSRs are generally a result of changes in mortgage interest rates from the previous reporting date. An increase in mortgage interest rates typically results in an increase in the fair value of the MSRs while a decrease in mortgage interest rates typically results in a decrease in the fair value of MSRs. The Company does not hedge the change in fair value of its MSRs and is susceptible to significant fluctuations in their value in changing interest rate environments. The decline in fair value on MSRs was \$8.3 million, \$7.1 million and \$4.5 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table presents the Company's mortgage lending operations for 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	2007		2006		2005
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Origination revenue	\$ 5,428	32.2%	\$ 4,105	(14.5)%	\$ 4,803
Servicing:					
Servicing revenue	9,104	0.2	9,088	(1.6)	9,237
Decline in fair value	(8,318)	(17.6)	(7,076)	(58.4)	(4,467)

Edgar Filing: BANCORPSOUTH INC - Form 10-K

Total	786	(60.9)	2,012	(57.8)	4,770
Mortgage revenue	\$ 6,214	1.6	\$ 6,117	(36.1)	\$ 9,573
			(Dollars in thousands)		
Origination volume	\$ 876	42.4	\$ 615	4.6	\$ 588
Mortgage loans serviced at year-end	\$ 2,864	2.7	\$ 2,788	0.9	\$ 2,763

Debit card, credit card and merchant fees increased in 2007 when compared to 2006 as a result of an increase in the numerical and monetary volume of items processed. Service charges on deposit accounts increased

33

Table of Contents

in 2007 when compared to 2006 because of higher volumes of items processed and growth in the number of deposit accounts. The acquisition of The Signature Bank in the first quarter of 2007 also contributed to the increase in card fees and service charges on deposit accounts.

Trust income decreased slightly in 2007 when compared to 2006 as the Company changed from recognizing trust income as collected to the recognition of trust income on the accrual method during 2006. This change resulted in a positive adjustment to trust income in 2006 of approximately \$900,000. Net securities gains of approximately \$121,000, \$40,000 and \$472,000 were recorded in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts reflected the sales of securities from the available-for-sale portfolio and certain securities that were within three months of maturity from the held-to-maturity portfolio. The increase in insurance commissions in 2007 when compared to 2006 as well as the increase in 2006 when compared to 2005 was primarily a result of the increase in policies written in 2007 and 2006, including substantial new business generated in the Mississippi Gulf Coast region, coupled with higher policy premiums.

Other noninterest revenue increased in 2007 when compared to 2006 as a result of increases in corporate analysis charges, check printing fees, brokerage revenue and gains related to the disposition of fixed assets. Also reflected in other noninterest revenue for 2007 were gains of \$3.4 million related to the redemption of a portion of the Company's MasterCard common stock holdings. While other noninterest revenue for 2006 included a gain of approximately \$732,000 from the redemption of Class B shares of MasterCard common stock held by the Company, other noninterest revenue for 2006 decreased when compared to 2005 as the Company recorded a \$6.9 million gain from insurance proceeds relating to the hurricane during the last quarter of 2005. This \$6.9 million gain was primarily the result of insurance proceeds exceeding the Company's write-off of damage to its premises and equipment as a result of the hurricane. Other noninterest revenue in 2005 also included an approximate \$765,000 gain related to the sale of certain insurance agency accounts, an approximate \$831,000 gain on the sale of a branch bank and a \$1.7 million gain on the sale of the Company's membership in the PULSE Network, an electronic banking network in which the Company continues to participate and retain access. Other noninterest revenue included gains of \$2.3 million, \$2.9 million and \$3.1 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, from the sales of student loans originated by the Company.

Noninterest Expense

The components of noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and the percentage change between years are shown in the following table:

	2007		2006		2005
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 255,342	8.9%	\$ 234,580	10.7%	\$ 211,950
Occupancy, net	35,098	9.8	31,972	17.8	27,137
Equipment	24,214	3.4	23,422	5.6	22,179
Other	113,404	9.9	103,180	2.3	100,836
Total noninterest expense	\$ 428,058	8.9%	\$ 393,154	8.6%	\$ 362,102

Salaries and employee benefits expense for 2007, 2006 and 2005 increased as a result of increases in incentive payments (especially commission-based), salary increases, increases in the cost of employee health care benefits, compensation costs associated with the acquisition of American State Bank Corporation on December 1, 2005 and of The Signature Bank on March 1, 2007, and the hiring of employees to staff the banking and insurance locations added during those years. Pension plan costs, a component of salaries and employee benefits expense, decreased to \$6.8 million in 2007 after increasing to \$8.7 million in 2006 compared to \$7.1 million in 2005. Occupancy expense increased in 2007, 2006 and 2005 principally as a result of additional branch offices, additional bank buildings and the bank acquisitions previously discussed. Equipment expense increased when comparing 2007 to 2006 as well as when

comparing 2006 to 2005 because of increased depreciation related to equipment purchased in 2007 and 2006. The renovation and reconstruction of facilities, along with new equipment purchased as a result of the destruction caused by Hurricane Katrina, contributed to the increased facility and equipment depreciation expense in those years. Virtually all categories of noninterest expense reflect some increase in 2007 when compared to 2006 as a result of the acquisition of The Signature Bank on March 1, 2007.

Table of Contents**Income Taxes**

Income tax expense was \$66.0 million in 2007, \$65.0 million in 2006 and \$52.6 million in 2005. The increase in the income tax expense in 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily a result of an increase in the level of pretax income as pretax income increased 7.25% in 2007 compared to 2006. Income tax expense increased in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily as a result of an increase in the provision for income taxes of \$6.8 million due to a statutory limitation that prevented the Company from recovering excess income taxes paid in prior years. This increase was partially offset by the reversal of a previously recorded tax contingency of approximately \$2.0 million related to a tax assessment resulting from an audit performed by the State Tax Commission of the State of Mississippi for tax years 1998 through 2001. The issues related to the audit were resolved in June 2006. With the previously recorded contingency no longer deemed necessary, that amount was credited against the 2006 income tax expense. The remaining increase in 2006 income tax expense was a result of the 13.3% increase in pre-tax income. Income tax expense for 2005 fluctuated based on pre-tax income. The effective tax rate for 2007 was 32.4% compared to 34.2% for 2006 and 31.3% for 2005. The decrease in the effective tax rate from 2006 to 2007 as well as the increase in the effective tax rate from 2005 to 2006 was primarily a result of the increase of \$6.8 million in 2006 previously mentioned. Details of the deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in Note 12 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report. Further information about the resolution of the Mississippi tax audit are included in Note 22 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report.

FINANCIAL CONDITION**Loans and Leases**

The Bank's loan and lease portfolio represents the largest single component of the Company's earning asset base, comprising 74.8% of average earning assets during 2007. The following table indicates the average loans and leases, year-end balances of the loan and lease portfolio and the percentage increases for the years presented:

	2007		2006		2005
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount
	(Dollars in millions)				
Loans and leases, net of unearned - average	\$8,785	15.9%	\$7,580	7.9%	\$7,026
Loans and leases, net of unearned - year-end	9,180	16.6	7,871	6.9	7,366

Average loans increased 15.9% in 2007 compared to 2006. Loans outstanding at December 31, 2007 increased 16.6% compared to December 31, 2006. The increase in year-end and average loans at December 31, 2007 when compared to December 31, 2006 is primarily a result of the additional loans from The Signature Bank acquisition during the first quarter of 2007. Average loans increased 7.9% in 2006 compared to 2005. Loans outstanding at December 31, 2006 increased 6.9% compared to December 31, 2005.

The Company's non-performing assets, which are carried either in the loan account or other assets on the consolidated balance sheets, depending on foreclosure status, were as follows at the end of each year presented:

	2007	2006	2005
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Foreclosed properties	\$ 24,281	\$ 10,463	\$ 15,947
Non-accrual loans	9,789	6,603	8,816
Loans 90 days or more past due, still accruing	18,671	15,282	17,744
Restructured loans	721	1,571	2,239

Non-performing assets increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of the additional foreclosed properties added by the Bank in the fourth quarter of 2007. The increase in foreclosed properties is reflective of the general slow down in the residential real estate sector in certain of the Bank's markets. The Bank

Table of Contents

recorded losses from the loans that were secured by these foreclosed properties in the allowance for credit losses during 2007. The Company has not, as a matter of policy, made or participated in any loans or investments relating to extraordinary corporate transactions such as leveraged buyouts or leveraged recapitalizations. At December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company did not have any concentration of loans in excess of 10% of loans outstanding. Loan concentrations are considered to exist when there are amounts loaned to multiple borrowers engaged in similar activities which would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. The Company conducts business in a geographically concentrated area but does not consider this factor alone in identifying loan concentrations. The ability of the Company's borrowers to repay loans may be dependent upon the economic conditions prevailing in the Company's market area.

Included in non-performing assets discussed above were loans the Company considered impaired totaling \$9.5 million, \$9.1 million and \$13.5 million at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Securities and Other Earning Assets

The Company uses its securities portfolio to make various term investments, to provide a source of liquidity and to serve as collateral to secure certain types of deposits and borrowings. A portion of the Company's securities portfolio continues to be tax-exempt. Investments in tax-exempt securities totaled \$272.2 million at December 31, 2007, compared to \$281.5 million at the end of 2006. The Company invests only in investment grade securities, with the exception of obligations of certain counties and municipalities within the Company's market area, and avoids other high yield non-rated securities and investments.

At December 31, 2007, the Company's available-for-sale securities totaled \$1.0 billion. These securities, which are subject to possible sale, are recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2007, the Company held no securities whose decline in fair value was considered other than temporary.

Net unrealized gains on investment securities as of December 31, 2007 totaled \$33.9 million. Net unrealized gains on held-to-maturity securities comprised \$25.5 million of that total, while net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities were \$8.4 million. Net unrealized losses on investment securities as of December 31, 2006 totaled \$23.9 million. Of that total, \$11.7 million was attributable to held-to-maturity securities and \$12.2 million was attributable to available-for-sale securities.

Deposits

Deposits are the Company's primary source of funds to support its earning assets. The Company has been able to effectively compete for deposits in its primary market areas, which has resulted in the increases in deposits for the years presented.

The following table presents the Company's average deposit mix and percentage change for the years indicated:

	2007		2006		2005
	Average Balance	% Change	Average Balance	% Change	Average Balance
	(Dollars in millions)				
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 8,546	9.0%	\$ 7,841	3.3%	\$ 7,587
Noninterest bearing deposits	1,654	(3.4)	1,713	12.4	1,524
Total average deposits	\$ 10,200	6.8	\$ 9,554	4.9	\$ 9,111

Liquidity and Capital Resources

One of the Company's goals is to provide adequate funds to meet increases in loan demand or any potential increase in the normal level of deposit withdrawals. This goal is accomplished primarily by generating cash from the Bank's operating activities and maintaining sufficient short-term liquid assets. These sources, coupled with a stable deposit base and a strong reputation in the capital markets, allow the Company to fund earning assets and maintain the availability of funds. Management believes that the Bank's traditional sources of maturing loans and investment securities, sales of loans held for sale, cash from operating activities and a strong base of core deposits are adequate to

meet the Company's liquidity needs for normal operations over both the short-term and the long-term.

Table of Contents

To provide additional liquidity, the Company utilizes short-term financing through the purchase of federal funds and securities lending arrangements. Further, the Company maintains a borrowing relationship with the FHLB which provides access to short-term and long-term borrowings. During 2007, the Company chose to fund its loan growth with short-term FHLB advances rather than with higher rate time deposits resulting in an increase in short-term advances from the FHLB of 253.3% to \$706.6 million at December 31, 2007 from \$200.0 million at December 31, 2006. The Company had long-term advances totaling \$89.0 million, a decrease of 34.4% from \$135.7 million at December 31, 2006. The Company has pledged eligible mortgage loans to secure the FHLB borrowings and had approximately \$2.1 billion in additional borrowing capacity under the existing FHLB borrowing agreement at December 31, 2007.

The Company had informal federal funds borrowing arrangements aggregating \$635.0 million at December 31, 2007. Secured borrowing arrangements utilizing the Company's securities portfolio also provide substantial additional liquidity to the Company. Such arrangements typically provide for borrowings of 95% to 98% of the unencumbered fair value of the Company's federal government and government agencies securities portfolio. If these traditional sources of liquidity were constrained, the Company would be forced to pursue avenues of funding not typically used and the Company's net interest margin could be impacted negatively. The Company utilizes, among other tools, maturity gap tables, interest rate shock scenarios and an active asset and liability management committee to analyze, manage and plan asset growth and to assist in managing the Company's net interest margin and overall level of liquidity. The Company's approach to providing adequate liquidity has been successful in the past and management does not anticipate any short- or long-term changes to its liquidity strategies.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into various off-balance sheet commitments and other arrangements to extend credit that are not reflected on the consolidated balance sheets of the Company. The business purpose of these off-balance sheet commitments is the routine extension of credit. As of December 31, 2007, commitments to extend credit included \$159.0 million for letters of credit and \$2.4 billion for interim mortgage financing, construction credit, credit card and other revolving line of credit arrangements. While most of the commitments to extend credit are made at variable rates, included in these commitments are forward commitments to fund individual fixed-rate mortgage loans of \$18.6 million at December 31, 2007, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a gain of approximately \$67,000, which has been recognized in the Company's results of operations. Fixed-rate lending commitments expose the Company to risks associated with increases in interest rates. As a method to manage these risks, the Company also enters into forward commitments to sell individual fixed-rate mortgage loans. At December 31, 2007, the Company had \$60.3 million in such commitments to sell, with a carrying value and fair value reflecting a loss of approximately \$199,000. The Company also faces the risk of deteriorating credit quality of borrowers to whom a commitment to extend credit has been made; however, no significant credit losses are expected from these commitments and arrangements.

Regulatory Requirements for Capital

The Company is required to comply with the risk-based capital guidelines established by the Federal Reserve. These guidelines apply a variety of weighting factors which vary according to the level of risk associated with the assets. Capital is measured in two Tiers: Tier I consists of common shareholders' equity and qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets; and Tier II consists of general allowance for losses on loans and leases, hybrid debt capital instruments, and all or a portion of other subordinated capital debt, depending upon remaining term to maturity. Total capital is the sum of Tier I and Tier II capital. The Company's Tier I capital and total capital, as a percentage of total risk-adjusted assets, were 10.96% and 12.14%, respectively, at December 31, 2007, compared to 12.34% and 13.55%, respectively, at December 31, 2006. Both ratios exceeded the required minimum levels of 4% and 8%, respectively, for each period. In addition, the Company's Tier I leverage capital ratio (Tier I capital divided by total assets, less goodwill) was 8.39% at December 31, 2007 and 8.73% at December 31, 2006, compared to the required minimum Tier I leverage capital ratio of 4%.

The FDIC's capital-based supervisory system for insured financial institutions categorizes the capital position for banks into five categories, ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. For a bank to classify as well capitalized, the Tier I capital, total capital and leverage capital ratios must be at least 6%, 10% and 5%, respectively.

The Bank met the criteria for the well capitalized category as of December 31, 2007 as its Tier I capital, total capital and leverage capital ratios were 10.63%, 11.81% and 8.13%, respectively.

37

Table of Contents

There are various legal and regulatory limits on the extent to which the Bank may pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to the Company. In addition, federal and state regulatory agencies have the authority to prevent a bank or bank holding company from paying a dividend or engaging in any other activity that, in the opinion of the agency, would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. The Company does not expect these limitations to have a material adverse effect on its ability to meet its cash obligations.

Uses of Capital

The Company may pursue acquisition transactions of depository institutions and businesses closely related to banking which further the Company's business strategies. The Company anticipates that consideration for any such transactions would be shares of the Company's common stock, cash or a combination thereof. For example, the merger with City Bancorp was completed on March 1, 2007 and the merger with American State Bank Corporation was completed on December 1, 2005. The consideration in each transaction was a combination of shares of the Company's common stock and cash (see Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report).

On March 21, 2007, the Company announced a new stock repurchase program whereby the Company may acquire up to three million shares of its common stock in the open market at prevailing market prices or in privately negotiated transactions during the period between May 1, 2007 and April 30, 2009. The extent and timing of any repurchases will depend on market conditions and other corporate considerations. Repurchased shares will be held as authorized but unissued shares. These authorized but unissued shares will be available for use in connection with the Company's stock option plans, other compensation programs, other transactions or for other corporate purposes as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. At December 31, 2007, 445,700 shares had been repurchased under this program. The Company will continue to evaluate additional share repurchases under this repurchase program and will evaluate whether to adopt a new stock repurchase program before the current program expires. The Company conducts its stock repurchase program by using funds received in the ordinary course of business. The Company has not experienced, and does not expect to experience, a material adverse effect on its capital resources or liquidity in connection with its stock repurchase program during the term of the program.

From January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2007, the Company had repurchased approximately 12.0 million shares of its common stock under various approved repurchase programs.

In 2002, the Company issued \$128.9 million in 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities to BancorpSouth Capital Trust I (the "Trust"), a business trust. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of five million shares of 8.15% trust preferred securities, \$25 face value per share, to acquire the 8.15% Junior Subordinated Debt Securities. Both the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the trust preferred securities mature on January 28, 2032, and are callable at the option of the Company after January 28, 2007. The \$125 million in trust preferred securities issued by the Trust qualifies as Tier I capital under Federal Reserve guidelines. The Company may prepay the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities, and in turn the trust preferred securities, at a prepayment price of 100% of the principal amount of these securities within 90 days of a determination by the Federal Reserve that trust preferred securities will no longer qualify as Tier I capital.

The Company assumed \$6.2 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$6.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 31, 2004 with Business Holding Corporation and assumed \$3.1 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$3.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 31, 2004 with Premier Bancorp, Inc. The Company also assumed \$6.7 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$6.5 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on December 1, 2005 with American State Bank Corporation and \$18.5 million in Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related \$18.0 million in trust preferred securities pursuant to the merger on March 1, 2007 with City Bancorp. The Junior Subordinated Debt Securities and the related trust preferred securities assumed from Premier Bancorp, Inc. were redeemed on November 7, 2007 (see Note 11 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report). After the redemption, the Company's remaining aggregate \$30.5 million in assumed trust preferred securities qualifies as Tier I capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines.

Contractual Obligations

The Company has contractual obligations to make future payments on debt and lease agreements. See Notes 9, 10, 11 and 22 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Report for further disclosures regarding contractual obligations. The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations at December 31, 2007:

Table of Contents

	Total	Payments Due by Period			After 5 Years
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
(Dollars in thousands)					
Contractual obligations:					
Deposit maturities	\$ 10,064,099	\$ 9,296,961	\$ 577,131	\$ 188,228	\$ 1,779
Junior subordinated debt	160,312				160,312
Long-term FHLB borrowings	88,977		6,127	4,350	78,500
Short-term FHLB and other borrowings	706,830	706,675	56	36	63
Operating lease obligations	19,868	5,192	6,070	3,787	4,819
Purchase obligations	19,986	14,948	4,085	953	
Total contractual obligations	\$ 11,060,072	\$ 10,023,776	\$ 593,469	\$ 197,354	\$ 245,473

The Company's operating lease obligations represent short and long-term operating lease and rental payments for facilities, certain software and data processing and other equipment. Purchase obligations represent obligations to purchase goods and services that are legally binding and enforceable on the Company and that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The purchase obligation amounts presented above primarily relate to certain contractual payments for services provided related to information technology.

Certain Litigation Contingencies

The Company and its subsidiaries are engaged in lines of business that are heavily regulated and involve a large volume of financial transactions with numerous customers through offices in eight states. Although the Company and its subsidiaries have developed policies and procedures to minimize the impact of legal noncompliance and other disputes, litigation presents an ongoing risk.

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business, including claims against entities to which the Company is a successor as a result of business combinations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such matters should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. Litigation is, however, inherently uncertain, and the Company cannot make assurances that it will prevail in any of these actions, nor can it estimate with reasonable certainty the amount of damages that it might incur.

The Company reported litigation expense of approximately \$2.3 million in 2007 due to legal and other accruals established relative to the Company's proportionate share of projected Visa, Inc.'s litigation charges. These reserves pertain to Visa, Inc.'s settlement with American Express, as well as other pending Visa, Inc. litigation and was based on information available from Visa, Inc. and other member banks. The Bank, as a member of Visa, Inc. is obligated to share in certain liabilities associated with Visa, Inc.'s settled and pending litigation.

Income Tax Contingencies

During the second quarter of 2006, the State Tax Commission of the State of Mississippi and the Company resolved the issues related to the State Tax Commission's audit of the Company's income tax returns for the tax years 1998 through 2001. As a result, the Company paid additional taxes in the amount of approximately \$40,000 plus interest of approximately \$25,000. The balance of the previously recorded liability related to this matter of approximately \$2.0 million was credited against the Company's second quarter's income tax expense.

Recent Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of SFAS 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial

statement disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim

39

Table of Contents

periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Adoption of FIN 48 has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In February 2006, SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, was issued. SFAS No. 155 permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. In January 2007, the FASB issued Derivatives Implementation Group Issue B-40, Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Securitized Interests in Prepayable Financial Assets (DIG B40). DIG B40 provides an exemption from the embedded derivative test of paragraph 13(b) of SFAS No. 133 for instruments that would otherwise require bifurcation if the test is met solely because of a prepayment feature included within the securitized interest and prepayment is not controlled by the security holder. SFAS No. 155 and DIG B40 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 has had no material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In September 2006, SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, was issued. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. In November 2007, the FASB proposed a one year deferral of the fair value measurement requirements for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of S