

ARMOR HOLDINGS INC

Form 10-Q

August 04, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2006, or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO .

Commission File Number 0-18863

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
13386 International Parkway
Jacksonville, Florida
(Address of principal executive offices)

59-3392443
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

32218
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (904) 741-5400

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

operating results and financial position as of June 30, 2006, and for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, and June 30, 2005.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

3

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(IN THOUSANDS)

	June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005*
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35,791	\$ 471,841
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,677 and \$6,763)	266,101	212,124
Inventories	309,767	210,517
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	87,731	38,087
Total current assets	699,390	932,569
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (net of accumulated depreciation of \$43,831 and \$37,041)	154,247	79,929
GOODWILL (net of accumulated amortization of \$4,024 and \$4,024)	942,181	273,696
PATENTS, LICENSES AND TRADEMARKS (net of accumulated amortization of \$22,320 and \$15,256)	431,104	130,620
OTHER ASSETS	23,335	46,048
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,250,257	\$ 1,462,862

*Condensed from audited financial statements.
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS — CONTINUED

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT FOR SHARE DATA)

	June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005*
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,873	\$ 430
Short-term debt	343,901	344,274
Accounts payable	141,214	90,963
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	256,360	100,924
Income taxes payable	8,739	8,767
Total current liabilities	752,087	545,358
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:		
Long-term debt, less current portion	499,117	151,910
Other long-term liabilities	47,090	10,475
Deferred income taxes	156,995	44,537
Total liabilities	1,455,289	752,280
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 14)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; 41,553,795 and 41,347,628 issued and 35,493,573 and 35,287,406 outstanding at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, respectively	416	415
Additional paid-in capital	529,938	525,890
Retained earnings	333,460	257,991
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3,471	(1,397)
Treasury stock	(72,317)	(72,317)
Total stockholders' equity	794,968	710,582
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 2,250,257	\$ 1,462,862

*Condensed from audited financial statements.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
REVENUES:				
Aerospace & Defense	\$446,820	\$262,770	\$791,923	\$528,465
Products	78,914	70,723	155,750	134,056
Mobile Security	26,192	38,149	49,693	74,086
Total revenues	551,926	371,642	997,366	736,607
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of revenues	439,295	275,840	780,105	549,495
Selling, general and administrative expenses	45,399	35,534	81,541	69,350
Amortization	4,808	2,038	7,067	4,076
Integration	407	834	877	1,634
Other charges	(1,530)	—	(1,530)	—
OPERATING INCOME	63,547	57,396	129,306	112,052
Interest expense, net	9,459	1,514	9,718	3,759
Other income, net	(129)	(3,093)	(936)	(1,970)
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR				
INCOME TAXES	54,217	58,975	120,524	110,263
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	20,157	21,560	45,055	41,819
NET INCOME	\$ 34,060	\$ 37,415	\$ 75,469	\$ 68,444
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.99
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.05	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.93
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES – BASIC	35,425	34,480	35,384	34,321
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES – DILUTED	36,954	35,562	36,835	35,536

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (UNAUDITED)
(IN THOUSANDS)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 75,469	\$ 68,444
Adjustments to net income to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	19,812	10,588
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	347	503
Deferred income taxes	3,420	3,640
Fair value adjustment for put options	(710)	(3,793)

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

SERP expense	1,450	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	13,050	(2,648)
Decrease (increase) in inventories	2,848	(32,538)
Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets	(4,804)	(1,255)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(91,924)	14,592
(Decrease) increase in income taxes payable	(5,041)	498
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,917	58,031
CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(16,346)	(8,146)
Purchase of patents and trademarks	(115)	(100)
Purchases of short-term investment securities	(538,310)	(562,750)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investment securities	538,310	186,225
Sale of put options	—	4,790
Collection of note receivable	428	—
Purchase of equity investment	(57)	—
Additional cash received from sale of business	—	300
Additional consideration for purchased businesses	(150)	(4,604)
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired	(758,511)	(1,362)
Net cash used in investing activities	(774,751)	(385,647)
CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1,166	4,304
Taxes paid for withheld shares on restricted stock issuances	(430)	(5,794)
Windfall tax benefit of stock options	2,444	—
Cash paid for debt issue costs	(5,329)	—
Borrowings of long-term debt	100,000	—
Repayments of long-term debt	(25,289)	(314)
Borrowings under lines of credit	578,937	9,634
Repayments under lines of credit	(329,086)	(6,985)
Net cash provided by financing activities	322,413	845
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	2,371	(2,121)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(436,050)	(328,892)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	471,841	421,209
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 35,791	\$ 92,317

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 — BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Armor Holdings, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries ("Armor", the "Company", "we", "our", "us") have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for interim information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary to present a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three and six month periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, as amended. The amounts disclosed in the footnotes are related to continuing operations unless otherwise indicated. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2 — ACQUISITIONS

On April 6, 2006, we acquired 100% of the stock of Swiss-Photonics AG. Swiss-Photonics, through its trade name, Projectina, which manufactures, markets and distributes highly specialized document examination equipment used in verifying document authenticity and detecting counterfeit currency and crime lab microscopes used to evaluate ballistics and bullet casings. Projectina also develops optical subsystems for leading electronic original equipment manufacturers. Based in Heerbrugg, Switzerland, Projectina serves the forensics, homeland security and crime scene markets, predominantly in Europe and Asia. Projectina generated revenue of approximately \$9.2 million in 2005. As a result of the Projectina acquisition, we expect to (1) enter the document examination equipment business; (2) achieve cross-selling opportunities by leveraging our global sales force and relationships; (3) leverage the multi-lingual skills of Projectina's European based customer service team, and (4) offer opportunities for cost reduction through integration and rationalization of operations.

On May 25, 2006, we completed the acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson for approximately \$792.0 million in cash, excluding transaction costs and after deducting Stewart & Stevenson's net cash balance. Stewart & Stevenson designs and manufactures tactical wheeled vehicles ("TWV") used by the U.S. and international militaries, and is one of the three major original equipment manufacturers ("OEM") of TWV sold to the U.S. military. Stewart & Stevenson is the sole manufacturer of the U.S. Army's Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles ("FMTV"), has delivered over 30,000 of these vehicles since 1991, and is currently under contract to produce the FMTV through September 2008. The U.S. Army's stated acquisition objective for the FMTV program is approximately 83,000 vehicles. In addition, Stewart & Stevenson has been selected as the only manufacturer for the U.S. Army's next generation technology demonstrator vehicle, referred to as the Maneuver Sustainment Variant, which is part of the Future Tactical Truck System. Stewart & Stevenson derived approximately 75% of its revenues of \$726.4 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2006, from the production of FMTV and vehicle cabs.

A primary strategic benefit of our acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson is our new position as an OEM of the TWV fleet. As the U.S. Army transitions its long-term armoring strategy, TWV OEMs are expected to gain contracting authority for all ballistic and blast protection armoring systems, giving us the ability to better position our armoring technology and survivability systems on the FMTV. We believe that the combination of our core competency in vehicle armoring and Stewart & Stevenson's leading design and fabrication operations will allow us to capitalize on the U.S. military's evolving needs for its TWV fleet.

On June 30, 2006, we acquired 100% of the stock of Integrated Textile Systems, Inc. ("ITS"), a Monroe, North Carolina-based manufacturer of the high-strength material, Tensylon(TM).

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Tensylon(TM), derived from an ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene polymer, has a wide variety of applications, including advanced fiber composites for use in ballistic products. The Tensylon high performance fiber or tape can be processed into any number of specialty tailored composites, depending on the unique application. This acquisition is expected to allow us to develop complete solutions for emerging blast and ballistic threats by starting with the base material.

We expect to make capital investments of \$12 to \$15 million over the next two years to significantly increase Tensylon(TM) capacity, which we expect to utilize largely in force protection products and systems. Our application development phases are largely complete and we plan to qualify Tensylon(TM) into a variety of our programs including, among others, vehicle armoring applications which are currently underway. ITS will give us core technology for our markets, and allow us to gain control over a versatile, low-cost, high-performance ballistic material. We expect to utilize this material as a complementary solution with other ballistic materials we currently procure through key suppliers.

These three acquisitions were accounted for as purchase business combinations, and accordingly, the results of operations were included in our financial statements from the acquisition date. The costs to acquire all of the stock of Stewart & Stevenson, Projectina and ITS, which were acquired during the three months ended June 30, 2006, have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed according to their estimated fair values at the time of the acquisition as follows:

	Stewart & Stevenson	Projectina	ITS	Total
		(In thousands)		
Working capital, net of cash	\$ (87,447)	\$ 1,590	\$ (76)	\$ (85,933)
Property and equipment	60,690	2,023	1,408	64,121
Other long-term assets	5,295	—	—	5,295
Long-term debt	(25,227)	—	—	(25,227)
Other long-term liabilities	(33,172)	(466)	—	(33,638)
Deferred tax liability	(111,088)	—	—	(111,088)
Customer-related intangibles	275,964	1,398	—	277,362
Technology-related intangibles	8,519	522	1,921	10,962
Marketing-related intangibles	18,998	468	—	19,466
Goodwill	664,189	2,059	1,921	668,169
	\$ 776,721	\$ 7,594	\$ 5,174	\$ 789,489

The customer-related intangible assets relate to acquired customer relationships and are being amortized over an eleven year weighted-average useful life on a straight-line basis. The technology-related intangible asset relates to certain acquired patents and is being amortized over a sixteen year weighted-average useful life on a straight-line basis. The marketing-related intangible assets relates to acquired trade names and trademarks, which have an indefinite useful life. The allocations of the purchase prices to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are preliminary and subject to change because, among other things, with the assistance of an independent valuation firm, we are finalizing amounts assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired in the transactions and the transactions occurred late in the second quarter. The goodwill acquired in the acquisitions of Stewart & Stevenson and Projectina

is not deductible for tax purposes, while the goodwill acquired in the acquisition of ITS is deductible for tax purposes.

Unaudited pro forma results. Businesses acquired are included in consolidated results from the date of acquisition. Pro forma results of the 2006 acquisitions of Projectina and ITS, and the 2005 acquisitions of Optimize and Second Chance are not presented, as they would not differ by a material amount from actual results. The following unaudited pro forma consolidated results are presented to

9

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

show the results on a pro forma basis as if the 2006 acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson had been made as of January 1, 2005:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)			
Revenues	\$ 664,543	\$ 552,842	\$ 1,297,122	\$ 1,072,513
Net income	\$ 24,417	\$ 38,031	\$ 49,309	\$ 68,750
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.39	\$ 2.00
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.93

NOTE 3 — COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of comprehensive income, net of income tax provision of \$269,000 and income tax benefit of \$298,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and net of tax provision of \$506,000 and income tax benefit of \$412,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are listed below:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Net income	\$ 34,060	\$ 37,415	\$ 75,469	\$ 68,444
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized (loss) gain on equity securities	(10)	—	(10)	—
Elimination of unrealized gain on equity securities upon consolidation	(18,261)	—	2,484	—
Foreign currency translation, net of tax	1,326	(2,021)	2,395	(4,064)
Comprehensive income	\$ 17,115	\$ 35,394	\$ 80,338	\$ 64,380

NOTE 4 — INVENTORIES

The components of inventory as of June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Raw material	\$ 197,345	\$ 127,465
Work-in-process	78,905	48,900
Finished goods	33,517	34,152
Total inventories	\$ 309,767	\$ 210,517

10

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

NOTE 5 — ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities as of June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 126,735	\$ 76,576
Vest exchange program / warranty revision accrual (See Note 16)	9,968	18,511
Customer deposits	119,657	5,837
Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 256,360	\$ 100,924

NOTE 6 — SHORT- AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Short-Term Debt

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
2% Convertible Notes, due November 1, 2024 ^(a)	\$ 341,838	\$ 341,751
Credit facility – Colombia ^(b)	2,063	1,565
Credit facility – Brazil ^(c)	—	958

(a) On October 29, 2004, we completed the placement of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 2.00% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due November 1, 2024 ('2% Convertible Notes'). On November 5, 2004, Goldman, Sachs & Co. exercised its option to purchase an additional \$45 million principal amount of the 2% Convertible Notes. The 2% Convertible Notes are guaranteed by most of our domestic subsidiaries on a senior subordinated basis (see Note 15). The 2% Convertible Notes provide for interest at a rate of 2.00% per year, payable on November 1 and May 1 of each year beginning on May 1, 2005 and ending on November 1, 2011. The 2% Convertible Notes provide for accretion of the principal amount beginning on November 1, 2011, at a rate that provides holders with an aggregate annual yield to maturity of 2.00%, as defined in the agreement. The 2% Convertible Notes provide for contingent interest during any six-month period beginning November 1, 2011, of 15 basis points paid in cash if the average trading price of the notes is above certain levels. The 2% Convertible Notes are convertible, at the bond holder's option, at any time prior to maturity, initially at a conversion rate of 18.5151 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is the equivalent conversion price of approximately \$54.01 per share, subject to adjustment. Upon conversion, we are obligated to satisfy our conversion obligation with respect to the accreted principal amount of the notes to be converted in cash, with any remaining amount to be satisfied in shares of our common stock. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment, without duplication, upon the occurrence of any of the following events: (1) stock dividends in common stock, (2) issuance of rights and warrants, (3) stock splits and combinations, (4) distribution of indebtedness, securities or assets, (5) cash distributions, (6) tender or exchange offers, and (7) repurchases of common stock. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the 2% Convertible Notes are classified as short term debt as they can be converted at any time prior to maturity.

(b) On March 12, 2003, we entered into a collateralized revolving credit facility with Corporaci3n Financiera to provide for working capital needs for our Colombia facility. In 2004, we expanded

11

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

the collateralized revolving credit facility with four additional Colombian banks. The Colombian credit facility is a one-year revolving credit facility and, among other things, provides for total maximum borrowings of 6.5 billion Colombian Pesos (U.S. \$2.6 million based on the exchange rate as of June 30, 2006). All borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to the Colombian Central Bank rate based on averages of 30 day loans, plus an applicable margin ranging from 3.5% to 4.0%. The Colombian credit facility is guaranteed by a U.S. \$100,000 standby letter of credit and bank signature notes.

(c) In February 2005, we entered into a collateralized revolving credit facility with Itaú S.A. to provide working capital funds for our Brazilian facility. The Brazilian credit facility ran through March 2006 and was not renewed.

Long-Term Debt

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Revolving facility ^(a)	\$ 250,000	\$ —
Term loan ^(a)	100,000	—
8.25% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 ^(b)	144,086	148,099
7.38% Senior Notes due May 2006 ^(c)	—	—
Other long term debt	2,766	2,814
Plus fair value of interest rate swaps ^(d)	4,138	1,427
	500,990	152,340
Less current portion	(1,873)	(430)
	\$ 499,117	\$ 151,910

(a) On May 25, 2006, we terminated our prior senior secured credit facility and entered into a new senior secured credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) with Wachovia Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, Bank of America, N.A., and Suntrust Bank, as co-syndication agents, Key Bank National Association and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as co-documentation agents, and the other lenders party thereto. The Credit Facility establishes a commitment to the Company to provide up to \$825,000,000 in the aggregate of loans and other financial accommodations consisting of (i) a five year senior secured revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$425,000,000 (“the Revolving Facility”), (ii) a five year senior secured term loan in an aggregate principal amount of \$100,000,000 (the “Term Loan”), and (iii) a thirty day senior secured interim term loan in aggregate principal amount of \$300,000,000 (the “Interim Term Loan”). The Revolving Facility, Term Loan and Interim Term Loan make up the “Senior Secured Facilities”. The Revolving Facility includes a sublimit of up to an aggregate amount of \$75,000,000 in letters of credit and a sublimit of up to an aggregate of \$20,000,000 in swing line loans. At June 30, 2006, we had \$156 million in availability under our credit facility excluding \$19 million in outstanding letters of credit.

All borrowings under the Credit Facility will bear interest at either (i) a rate equal to LIBOR, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.875% to 1.5%, depending on certain conditions, or (ii) an alternate base rate which will be the higher of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (b) the Wachovia prime rate, plus an additional margin ranging from 0.0% to 0.25% depending on certain conditions.

12

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

The Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries and is secured by, among other things, (a) a pledge of (i) all of the issued and outstanding shares of stock or other equity interests of certain of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries, (ii) 65% of the issued and outstanding shares of voting stock or other voting equity interests of certain of our direct and indirect foreign subsidiaries, and (iii) 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of nonvoting stock or other nonvoting equity interests of certain of our direct and indirect foreign subsidiaries pursuant to a pledge agreement delivered in connection with the Credit Facility (the “Pledge Agreement”) and (b) a first priority perfected security interest on certain of our domestic assets and certain domestic assets of certain of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries pursuant to a

security agreement delivered in connection with the Credit Facility (the “Security Agreement”). We have made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the Credit Agreement, Pledge Agreement and Security Agreement. The Credit Facility is subject to customary rights of the lenders and the administrative agent upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default, including, under certain circumstances, the right to accelerate payment of the loans made under the Credit Facility and the right to charge a default rate of interest on amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility.

The Interim Term Loan was paid in full in the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

- (b) On August 12, 2003, we completed a private placement of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of 8.25% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 (the “8.25% Notes”). The 8.25% Notes are guaranteed by most of our domestic subsidiaries on a senior subordinated basis (see Note 15). The 8.25% Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In 2004, after the completion of the private placement of the 8.25% Notes, we conducted an exchange offer pursuant to which holders of the privately placed 8.25% Notes exchanged such notes for 8.25% Notes registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During 2003, we used a portion of the funds to fund acquisitions, including Simula and Hatch, repay a portion of our outstanding debt and for general corporate and working capital purposes, including the funding of capital expenditures. Interest on the 8.25% Notes is payable semiannually on the fifteenth of February and August of each year. The 8.25% Notes were issued at a discount of approximately \$2.5 million to investors. The 8.25% Notes may be redeemed at our option in whole or in part on a pro-rata basis, on and after August 15, 2008, at certain specified redemption prices plus accrued interest payable to the redemption date.
- (c) In connection with our May 25, 2006, acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson, we assumed \$25 million of 7.38% Senior Notes due May 31, 2006. We paid these notes in full on May 31, 2006.
- (d) On September 2, 2003, we entered into interest rate swap agreements, designated as fair value hedges as defined under SFAS 133 with an aggregate notional amount totaling \$150 million. The agreements were entered to exchange the fixed interest rate on the 8.25% Notes for a variable interest rate equal to six-month LIBOR, set in arrears, plus a spread ranging from 2.735% to 2.75% fixed semi-annually on the fifteenth day of February and August. At June 30, 2006, the six-month LIBOR was 5.6%. The agreements are subject to other terms and conditions common to transactions of this type. In accordance with SFAS 133, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements offset changes in the fair value of the fixed rate debt due to changes in the market interest rate. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreements was approximately \$4.1 million at June 30, 2006. The agreements are deemed to be perfectly effective fair value

13

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

hedges and therefore qualify for the short-cut method of accounting under SFAS 133. As a result, no ineffectiveness is expected to be recognized in earnings associated with the interest rate swap agreements on the 8.25% Notes.

Maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

	(In thousands)
Twelve Month Periods	
July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007	\$ 1,873
July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008	6,772
July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009	10,376
July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010	26,567
July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011	306,544
Thereafter	148,858
	\$ 500,990

NOTE 7 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 138, “Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities — an Amendment of SFAS 133,” and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149 “Amendment of SFAS 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” (collectively “SFAS 133”). SFAS 133 requires all freestanding and embedded derivative instruments to be measured at fair value and recognized on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as either assets or liabilities. In addition, all derivative instruments used in hedging relationships must be designated, reassessed and accounted for as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges pursuant to the provisions of SFAS 133.

As defined in SFAS 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedge Activities” the contingent interest feature of the 2% Convertible Notes is an embedded derivative that is not considered clearly and closely related to the host contract. The fair value of this bifurcated derivative at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, is immaterial to our financial position.

At December 31, 2005, there was a \$1.4 million asset included in other assets, which, as a result of the change in fair value, is a \$4.1 million hedge liability at June 30, 2006. The fair values of our interest rate swap agreements are obtained from our counter-parties and represent the estimated amount we would receive or pay to terminate the agreement, taking into consideration the difference between the contract rate of interest and rates currently quoted for agreements of similar terms and maturities.

NOTE 8 — GOODWILL AND IDENTIFIED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” (“SFAS 142”), goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually or more often if indicators of impairment arise. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2006, are as follows:

14

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

	Aerospace & Defense	Products	Mobile Security	Corporate	Total
	(In thousands)				
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 155,772	\$ 109,791	\$ 6,490	\$ 1,643	\$ 273,696
Goodwill acquired during fiscal 2006	664,189	3,980	—	—	668,169
Finalization of purchase price	—	134	—	—	134
Foreign currency translation and other adjustments	—	155	27	—	182
Balance at June 30, 2006	\$ 819,961	\$ 114,060	\$ 6,517	\$ 1,643	\$ 942,181

Included in patents, licenses and trademarks in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets are the following intangible assets as of June 30, 2006:

	Customer Relationships	Technology	Marketing	Total
	(In thousands)			
Gross amount	\$ 337,760	\$ 31,018	\$ 84,646	\$ 453,424
Accumulated amortization	(14,277)	(5,068)	(2,975)	(22,320)
Net amount	\$ 323,483	\$ 25,950	\$ 81,671	\$ 431,104

Included in Marketing are approximately \$80.6 million of marketing-related intangible assets, which have indefinite lives.

NOTE 9 — INFORMATION CONCERNING BUSINESS SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHICAL REVENUES

Revenues, operating income and total assets, net for each of our segments are as follows (net of intercompany eliminations):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Revenues:				
Aerospace & Defense	\$ 446,820	\$ 262,770	\$ 791,923	\$ 528,465
Products	78,914	70,723	155,750	134,056
Mobile Security	26,192	38,149	49,693	74,086
Total revenues	\$ 551,926	\$ 371,642	\$ 997,366	\$ 736,607
Operating income (loss):				
Aerospace & Defense	\$ 55,998	\$ 49,224	\$ 114,586	\$ 97,292
Products	13,271	12,501	25,423	20,972
Mobile Security	1,981	5,312	2,870	10,918
Corporate	(7,703)	(9,641)	(13,573)	(17,130)
Total operating income	\$ 63,547	\$ 57,396	\$ 129,306	\$ 112,052

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Total assets:		
Aerospace & Defense	\$ 1,763,550	\$ 546,141
Products	355,094	347,932
Mobile Security	92,557	87,866
Corporate	39,056	480,923
Total assets	\$ 2,250,257	\$ 1,462,862

Beginning in 2006, responsibility for Cyconics International Training Systems, Inc., a subsidiary providing certain training services, was transferred from the Products segment to the Aerospace & Defense segment. Accordingly, business segment information presented for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, has been reclassified to conform with the current period's presentation.

Financial information of our continuing operations with respect to revenues based on the geographic location of the customer, and property and equipment, net, to principal geographic areas, based on the actual location of the principal facility, is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Revenues:				
United States of America	\$ 511,240	\$ 324,465	\$ 927,215	\$ 643,725
North America (excluding the United States of America)	2,581	2,344	4,251	4,533
South America	5,393	5,934	10,362	10,327
Africa	3,336	5,256	5,697	10,000
Europe/Asia	29,376	33,643	49,841	68,022
Total revenues	\$ 551,926	\$ 371,642	\$ 997,366	\$ 736,607

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Total property and equipment, net:		
North America	\$ 129,928	\$ 60,573
South America	1,212	1,419
Europe/Asia	23,107	17,937
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 154,247	\$ 79,929

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)
CONTINUED

NOTE 10 — EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following details the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for income from continuing operations:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Net income	\$ 34,060	\$ 37,415	\$ 75,469	\$ 68,444
Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average shares outstanding	35,425	34,480	35,384	34,321
Effect of shares issuable under stock option and stock grant plans, based on the treasury stock method	1,529	1,082	1,451	1,215
Denominator for diluted earnings per share – adjusted weighted average shares outstanding	36,954	35,562	36,835	35,536
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.99
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.05	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.93

Our 2% Convertible Notes include net share settlement of the conversion option and cash settlement of the par amount of \$345 million. As a result, this requires us to use the treasury stock method to calculate the dilutive effect of our 2% Convertible Notes, which had no effect on our diluted share count during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

NOTE 11 — EMPLOYEE PENSION AND OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

On May 25, 2006, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Stewart & Stevenson (See Note 2). Included in Stewart & Stevenson's liabilities that we acquired is \$30.6 million related to the following: (1) a frozen noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of Stewart & Stevenson's full-time employees; (2) an unfunded defined benefit supplemental executive retirement plan covering certain highly compensated employees; and (3) an unfunded defined benefit postretirement medical benefit plan.

Effective July 1, 2003, Stewart & Stevenson froze the benefits earned under its defined benefit pension plans and its defined benefit postretirement medical plan, with the exception of a small transition group.

In December 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the “Act”) was signed into law. The Act introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (“Medicare Part D”) as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. The defined benefit postretirement medical plan was amended by Stewart & Stevenson prior to our acquisition such that, effective January 1, 2006, prescription medication coverage was discontinued for all participants who are both eligible for prescription drug benefits under Medicare and for whom Medicare is the primary payor.

17

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

The net periodic benefit cost (income) associated with our previously existing and recently acquired defined benefit pension plans and defined benefit postretirement medical plan consisted of the following components:

Pension Benefits

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Service cost	\$ 354	\$ —	\$ 690	\$ —
Interest cost	968	119	1,237	237
Expected return on assets	(840)	(135)	(984)	(269)
Prior service cost amortization	245	—	489	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 727	\$ (16)	\$ 1,432	\$ (32)

Other Postretirement Benefits

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Interest cost	\$ 11	\$ —	\$ 11	\$ —
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 11	\$ —	\$ 11	\$ —

We expect to contribute approximately \$265,000 to our defined benefit plans in the year ended December 31, 2006.

NOTE 12 — STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred stock. On July 16, 1996, our stockholders authorized a series of preferred stock with such rights, privileges and preferences as the Board of Directors shall from time to time determine. We have not issued any of this preferred stock.

Common stock. On June 22, 2004, our stockholders approved an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, that increased the number of shares of our authorized capital stock to 80,000,000. Of this amount, 75,000,000 shares are common stock and 5,000,000 shares of which are preferred stock.

Treasury stock. We had 6,060,222 shares in treasury as of June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005.

Stock options and grants. On June 22, 2005, we implemented the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2005 Stock Incentive Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 2,500,000 shares of our common stock. Any shares of our common stock granted as restricted stock, performance stock or other stock-based awards will be counted against the shares authorized as one and eight-tenths (1.8) shares for every one share issued in connection with such award. The 2005 Stock Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of stock options, restricted stock, performance awards and other stock-based awards to employees, officers, directors and consultants, independent contractors and advisors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Upon adoption of our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, we agreed to not grant awards under any of our pre-existing stock incentive plans.

During 2002, we implemented two new stock option plans. The 2002 Stock Incentive Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 2,700,000 shares of our common stock upon the exercise of stock options or in connection with the issuance of restricted stock and stock bonuses. On June 22, 2004,

18

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

our stockholders approved an amendment to increase, by 2,000,000 shares, the total number of shares of common stock that may be awarded under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2002 Stock Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of stock options, restricted stock and stock bonuses to employees, officers, directors and consultants, independent contractors and advisors of the Company and its subsidiaries. The 2002 Executive Stock Plan provides for the grant of a total of 470,000 stock options and stock awards to our key employees. The terms and provisions of the 2002 Executive Stock Plan are substantially the same as the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, except that we may only grant non-qualified stock options under the 2002 Executive Stock Plan. The 2002 Executive Stock Plan was adopted on March 13, 2002, and all shares available for grant under the 2002 Executive Stock Plan were granted to our executive officers on March 13, 2002.

In 1999, we implemented the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan. We reserved 2,000,000 shares of our common stock for the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan. The 1999 Stock Incentive Plan provides for the granting of options to employees, officers, directors, consultants, independent contractors and advisors of the Company. The option prices of stock which may be purchased under the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan are not less than the fair market value of common stock on the dates of the grants. During 1998, we implemented a new non-qualified stock option plan. Pursuant to the new plan, 725,000

shares of common stock were reserved and made available for distribution. On January 1, 1999, we distributed all 725,000 shares allocated under the plan.

In 1996, we implemented an incentive stock plan and an outside directors' stock plan. These plans collectively provide for the granting of options to certain key employees and directors. Pursuant to such plans, as amended, 2,200,000 shares of common stock were reserved and made available for distribution. The option prices of stock that may be purchased under the incentive stock plan are not less than the fair market value of common stock on the dates of the grants.

In 1994, we implemented an incentive stock plan and an outside directors' stock plan. These plans collectively provide for the granting of options to certain key employees as well as providing for the grant of common stock to outside directors and to all full time employees. Pursuant to such plans, 1,050,000 shares of common stock were reserved and made available for distribution. The option prices of stock that may be purchased under the incentive stock plan are not less than the fair market value of common stock on the dates of the grants. Effective January 19, 1996, all stock grants awarded under the 1994 incentive stock plan were accelerated and considered fully vested.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payments" ("FAS 123R"), requiring us to recognize expense related to the fair value of our stock option awards. We recognize the cost of share-based awards on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the award. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for our stock option plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accountant Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"). Excluding modification to stock option agreements, no stock option-based employee compensation cost was recognized in the income statement, as all stock options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FAS 123R, using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized during the first six months of 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. Results for prior periods have not been

19

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

restated. As a result of adopting FAS 123R on January 1, 2006, our income before income taxes and net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$273,000 and \$164,000 lower and \$476,000 and \$296,000 lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for share-based compensation under ABP 25.

Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R, we presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the statement of cash flows. Beginning on January 1, 2006, we changed our cash flow presentation in accordance with FAS 123R, which requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits

resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (windfall tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows.

We have estimated the fair value of our option awards granted after January 1, 2006, using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expected life of the options granted is management's estimate and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. We currently do not pay dividends. Volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The fair value of each option grant during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, is estimated on the date of grant with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
Expected life of option	4.8 yrs	4.2 yrs	4.8 yrs	5.1 yrs
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Volatility	44.2%	48.7%	44.7%	48.6%
Risk free interest rate	4.8%	3.7%	4.7%	4.0%

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands, except per share data)		(In thousands, except per share data)	
Fair value of each option granted	\$ 25.16	\$ 16.58	\$ 24.13	\$ 19.13
Total number of options granted	80	233	105	1,668
Total fair value of all options granted	\$ 2,013	\$ 3,863	\$ 2,534	\$ 31,909

Outstanding options, consisting of incentive and non-qualified stock options, generally vest and become exercisable over a three to five year period from the date of grant. Other options granted are immediately vested, but are subject to lock-up provisions that disallow the recipient from selling the shares until the lock-up expires, which is generally staggered over a seven year period. The outstanding options generally expire ten or seven years from the date of grant or upon retirement from the Company, respectively, and are contingent upon continued employment during the applicable ten year or seven year period.

[Table of Contents](#)

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, to options granted under our stock option plans during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005. For purposes of this pro forma disclosure, the value of the options is amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period and forfeitures are recognized as they occur. Our pro forma information follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 (In thousands, except for per share amounts)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (In thousands, except for per share amounts)
Net income as reported	\$ 37,415	\$ 68,444
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(2,714)	(36,650)
Add: Employee compensation expense for modification of stock option awards included in reported net income, net of income taxes	—	118
Pro forma net income	\$ 34,701	\$ 31,912
Earnings per share:		
Basic – as reported	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.99
Basic – pro forma	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.93
Diluted – as reported	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.93
Diluted – pro forma	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.90

Of the stock-based employee compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2005, \$15.3 million is related to accelerated vesting of certain existing stock options and \$21.3 million is related to certain stock options issued in the six months ended June 30, 2005.

A summary of the status of stock option grants as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2006, is presented below:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	3,810,557	\$ 31.51	
Granted	105,000	\$ 54.26	
Exercised	(72,994)	\$ 16.32	
Forfeited	(16,800)	\$ 34.72	
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	3,825,763	\$ 32.49	\$ 85,459
Options exercisable at June 30, 2006	3,586,022	\$ 31.76	\$ 82,737

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)
CONTINUED

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at June 30, 2006:

Exercise Price Range	Outstanding	Exercisable	Remaining Life In Years
\$7.50 – \$11.19	50,559	50,559	3.0
13.19 – 13.98	54,129	54,129	5.5
14.00 – 14.55	398,089	398,089	6.8
15.05 – 17.12	273,010	273,010	6.7
23.09 – 23.26	46,117	46,117	5.6
24.07 – 25.07	306,800	303,466	6.1
25.69 – 28.90	367,333	365,667	7.6
33.04 – 36.05	440,226	315,485	8.1
37.90 – 38.99	938,000	938,000	8.7
39.20 – 45.93	871,500	841,500	7.9
56.26 – 57.12	80,000	—	6.9
Total	3,825,763	3,586,022	7.59

Remaining non-exercisable options as of June 30, 2006, become exercisable as follows:

Remainder of 2006	22,208
2007	63,065
2008	56,399
2009	56,402
Thereafter	41,667

The fair value of nonvested shares is determined based on the market price of our shares on the grant date. A summary of the status of our nonvested shares as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, is as follows:

	Shares (In thousands, except share data)	Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2005	178,906	\$ 2,415
Granted	105,000	2,534
Vested	(27,365)	(651)

Forfeited	(16,800)	(274)
Nonvested at June 30, 2006	239,741	\$ 4,024

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4 years.

22

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

Restricted stock and stock bonuses. We granted the following restricted stock and stock bonuses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands, except share data)		(In thousands, except share data)	
Restricted stock and stock bonus shares granted	11,000	10,000	11,000	58,402
Weighted-average fair value per share at grant date	\$ 57.04	\$ 35.99	\$ 57.04	\$ 43.18
Compensation cost recognized	\$ 128	\$ 143	\$ 238	\$ 270

Other contingent shares. The dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock award plans does not include 62,169 stock options awarded that were anti-dilutive as of June 30, 2006. In addition, certain of our executives are entitled to receive performance stock bonus awards of 450,000 shares of our common stock if at any time between January 1, 2005, and December 31, 2007, certain conditions are met as defined in their employment agreements. At the Compensation Committee of the Board of Director's discretion, we are able to settle these performance stock bonus awards in cash.

NOTE 13 — RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48"), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our financial statements and currently plan to adopt this interpretation in the first quarter of 2007.

NOTE 14 — LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 16, 1998, our Services Division ceased operations in Angola and subsequently became involved in various disputes with SHRM S.A. ("SHRM"), its minority joint venture partner, relating to the Angolan joint venture known as

Defense System International Africa (“DSIA”). Since March 1998, we have been and continue to be involved in various legal proceedings before French courts with SHRM, which is part of the Compass Group, regarding damages from the circumstances under which DSIA ceased doing business in Angola due to the decree of the Angolan government expelling the employees of our Services Division from Angola. A possible loss estimate related to this case cannot be reasonably made and no accrual has been recorded.

Kroll, Inc. Matters

O’Gara-Hess & Eisenhardt Armoring do Brasil Ltda. (“OHE Brazil”) was audited by the Brazilian federal tax authorities and the Company has been informed that they were assessed over 10 Million Reals (US \$4.5 million based on the exchange rate as of June 30, 2006). In addition, in January 2004, OHE Brazil was audited and the Company has been informed that they were assessed over 20 Million Reals (US \$9.0 million based on the exchange rate as of June 30, 2006) for activities that occurred prior to the Company’s acquisition of the O’Gara Companies in 2001. OHE Brazil has appealed both tax assessments and the cases are pending. To the extent that there may be any liability resulting from such assessments, we believe that we are entitled to indemnification from Kroll, Inc. for up to \$7.8 million under the terms of our purchase agreement dated April 20, 2001, because the events in question with respect to up to \$7.8 million of such assessments occurred prior to our purchase of the O’Gara Companies from Kroll, Inc.

In December 2001, O’Gara-Hess & Eisenhardt France S.A., which was acquired from Kroll, Inc. (“OHE France”) in August 2001, sold its industrial bodywork business operated under the name

23

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

Labbe/Division de O’Gara Hess & Eisenhardt France/ Carrosserie Industrielle (“Carrosserie”) to SNC Labbe. Subsequent to the sale, the members of Labbe Family Estate (“LFE”), who are joint owners of the leasehold interest upon which the Carrosserie business is operated, sued OHE France and SNC Labbe claiming the transfer of the leasehold was not valid because they did not give their consent to the transfer as allegedly required under the terms of the lease. LFE members sought to have OHE France, as sole tenant, maintain and repair the leased building with an estimated cost of between US \$4.0 and US \$7.7 million, based on the exchange rate as of June 30, 2006. In a decision dated February 28, 2006, the French “Tribunal de Grande Instance” (Court of First Degree) of Saint-Brieuc decided that the transfer of the leasehold was not valid regarding LFE members, but rejected their claim concerning the maintaining and repairing of the leased building. The court also decided that SNC Labbe was to indemnify the Company for all condemnations pursuant to its decision, including judicial fees and costs. An appeal is still possible against such court’s decision and we are unable to predict the outcome of this matter and as such, no accrual has been made. In the meantime, actions have been undertaken in order to organize a new transfer of the leasehold in conformity with the applicable regulations. Such new transfer is currently pending and has not yet been completed. Although we do not have any insurance coverage for this matter, at this time, we do not believe this matter will have a material impact on our financial position, operations or liquidity.

Zylon®

In April, 2004, two class action lawsuits were filed against us in Florida state court by police organizations and individual police officers, alleging that ballistic-resistant soft body armor (vests) containing Zylon®, manufactured and sold by American Body Armor™, Safariland® and PROTECH®, failed to meet the warranties provided with the vests. On November 5, 2004, the Jacksonville, Florida (Duval County) Circuit Court gave final approval to a settlement reached with the Southern States Police Benevolent Association (“SSPBA”) which provided that (i) purchasers of certain Zylon®-containing vest models could exchange their vests for other vests manufactured by the Company and, (ii) the Company would continue its internal used-vest testing program (VestCheck™). The other class action suit filed by the National Association of Police Organizations, Inc. (“NAPO”), in Ft. Myers, Florida (Lee County), was voluntarily dismissed with prejudice on November 16, 2004.

On August 24, 2005, the United States Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice (“NIJ”), released its Third Status Report to the Attorney General on Body Armor Safety Initiative Testing and Activities (the “Third NIJ Report”). The Third NIJ Report contained, among other items, information and testing data on Zylon® and Zylon®-containing vests, and substantially modified compliance standards for all ballistic-resistant vests with the implementation of the NIJ 2005 Interim Requirements for Ballistic-Resistant Body Armor. As a result of the actions of the NIJ, the Company halted all sales or shipment of any Zylon®-containing vest models effective August 25, 2005, and immediately established a Supplemental Relief (renamed the Zylon® Vest Exchange (“ZVE”)) Program that provides either a cash or voucher option to those who purchased any Zylon®-containing vests from us through August 29, 2005. The ZVE Program, with the consent of the SSPBA, was given final approval by the Jacksonville, Florida Court on October 27, 2005. (See also Note 16 for information regarding the estimated cost of the ZVE program.)

We are also voluntarily cooperating with a request for documents and data received from the Department of Justice, which is reviewing the entire body armor industry's use of Zylon®, and a subpoena served by the General Services Administration for information relating to Zylon®. On March 27, 2006, we entered into an agreement with the Department of Justice to toll the statute of limitations until September 30, 2006, with regard to possible civil claims the United States could assert against the Company with respect to certain body armor products made by us which contain Zylon®.

24

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)
CONTINUED

STEWART & STEVENSON MATTERS

The matters listed below relate to Stewart & Stevenson, which was acquired by the Company on May 25, 2006.

Klickitat Litigation

Stewart & Stevenson and several of its subsidiaries in the Distributed Energy Solutions business were defendants in a suit filed by the Klickitat County Public Utility District No. 1 (“KPUD”) on December 11, 2003, arising out of claims relating to a landfill gas power generation facility in Roosevelt, Washington (the “Project”), Cause No. CY-03-3175-LRS; Klickitat County Public Utility District No. 1 v. Stewart & Stevenson Services, Inc., Stewart & Stevenson Power, Inc., Sierra Detroit Diesel Allison, Inc., Pamco International, Inc. and Waukesha Engine Dresser, Inc.; in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washington. The plaintiff asserted claims with respect to

equipment installed and used since 1999 for breach of contract; promissory estoppel; violations of the Washington Products Liability Act; breach of warranties; intentional or negligent misrepresentation; and violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act and sought recovery of damages. In an order filed April 7, 2006, the court granted partial summary judgment dismissing all but two of plaintiff's nine causes of action with prejudice. On June 14, 2006, Stewart & Stevenson and KPUD entered into a confidential settlement agreement, the terms of which had no material impact on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flow. Pursuant to that agreement, the Court entered a formal dismissal of the claims against Stewart & Stevenson on July 19, 2006.

Actions involving the Stewart & Stevenson Acquisition

(i) Oshkosh Truck Corporation v. Stewart & Stevenson Services, Inc., District Court of Harris County, Texas. On May 12, 2006, Oshkosh Truck Corporation ("Oshkosh") filed a petition seeking to declare void and unenforceable certain "standstill" provisions contained in a confidentiality agreement between Oshkosh and Stewart & Stevenson, and to enjoin the merger of Stewart & Stevenson with the Company (the "Petition"). The court denied the request by Oshkosh for a temporary restraining order and for expedited discovery, and scheduled an injunction hearing for June 9, 2006. In the interim, the merger was approved by the Stewart & Stevenson shareholders and the acquisition completed, and Oshkosh canceled the injunction hearing. We believe that this action is without merit and intend to vigorously defend the claims. On May 31, 2006, Stewart & Stevenson filed its answer denying the material allegations of the Petition and also filed counterclaims for breach of contract, tortious interference and fraudulent inducement arising from Oshkosh's conduct in its efforts to interfere with the merger. Stewart & Stevenson has also filed special exceptions to the Petition and has moved to dismiss the Petition on the ground, among others, that Oshkosh lacks standing to assert fiduciary claims which belong to Stewart & Stevenson shareholders. On or about July 25, 2006, Oshkosh and Stewart & Stevenson agreed, among other things, and subject to certain conditions, to nonsuit without prejudice their respective claims against each other pending in Texas state court.

(ii) Marron v. Ream, et al., United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. On April 11, 2006, this lawsuit was filed as a shareholder class action against former Stewart & Stevenson directors and Stewart & Stevenson as a nominal defendant, claiming, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the Armor merger in failing to maximize shareholder value, favoring Armor in lieu of superior offers and failing to disclose material information or disclosing materially false information in proxy materials relating to the Stewart & Stevenson shareholders meeting. We believe that this action is without merit and intend to vigorously defend the claims. The lawsuit was first filed in Texas state court and then removed to federal court. Armor intervened in the action and cross-claimed against Stewart & Stevenson for a declaratory judgment that Stewart & Stevenson cannot waive the prohibitions set forth in its confidentiality agreement with Oshkosh. Marron subsequently filed an amended complaint to restructure his claims as a shareholder derivative suit, but that pleading was dismissed without prejudice for failure to make the required demand on directors.

25

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)
CONTINUED

Marron may re-file his complaint after the expiration of the waiting period specified by Article 5.14 of the Texas Business Corporation Act.

(iii) *Green Meadows Partners LLP v. Ream, et al.*, District Court of Harris County, Texas. On May 24, 2006, this shareholder class and derivative petition was filed against Stewart & Stevenson's former directors and Stewart & Stevenson as a nominal defendant, claiming, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the Armor merger in failing to maximize shareholder value, favoring Armor in lieu of superior offers and failing to disclose material information or disclosing materially false information in proxy materials relating to the Stewart & Stevenson shareholders meeting (the "Petition"). The Petition seeks an injunction and declaratory judgment challenging the proposed merger of Stewart & Stevenson with Armor, rescission of the acquisition agreement and a constructive trust upon any benefits received as a result of the acquisition. We believe that this action is without merit and intend to vigorously defend the claims. On July 31, 2006, Stewart & Stevenson filed its answer denying the material allegations of the Petition. Stewart & Stevenson has also filed a motion to abate the Petition on the ground, among others, that the plaintiff failed to comply with the demand prerequisites of Article 5.14 of the Texas Business Corporation Act, and because the Petition asks for relief in excess of that requested in plaintiff's demand.

OTHER MATTERS

In addition to the above, in the normal course of business and as a result of previous acquisitions, we are subjected to various types of claims and currently have on-going litigation in the areas of product liability, general liability and intellectual property. Our products are used in a wide variety of law enforcement situations and environments. Some of our products can cause serious personal or property injury or death if not carefully and properly used by adequately trained personnel. We believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for most claims that are incurred in the normal course of business. In such cases, the effect on our financial statements is generally limited to the amount of our insurance deductible or self-insured retention. Our annual insurance premiums and self insurance retention amounts have risen significantly over the past several years and may continue to do so to the extent we are able to purchase insurance coverage. At this time, we do not believe any existing claims or pending litigation will have a material impact on our financial position, operations and liquidity.

NOTE 15 — GUARANTOR AND NON-GUARANTOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On August 12, 2003, we sold \$150 million of the 8.25% Notes in private placements pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S. The 8.25% Notes are uncollateralized obligations and rank junior in right of payment to our existing and future senior debt. On October 29, 2004, we completed the placement of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the 2% Convertible Notes. On November 5, 2004, Goldman, Sachs & Co. exercised its option to purchase an additional \$45 million principal amount of the 2% Convertible Notes. The 8.25% Notes and 2% Convertible Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally on a senior subordinated and uncollateralized basis, by most of our domestic subsidiaries. Each of the subsidiary guarantors is a direct or indirect 100% owned subsidiary of the parent.

The following consolidating financial information presents the Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and the related Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, and 2005 for:

- Armor, the parent,
- the guarantor subsidiaries,
- the non-guarantor subsidiaries, and

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED)

CONTINUED

- Armor, on a consolidated basis

The information includes elimination entries necessary to consolidate Armor, the parent, with the guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent using the equity method of accounting. The guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are presented on a combined basis. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions. Separate financial statements for the guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are not presented because management believes such financial statements would not be meaningful to investors.

27

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	June 30, 2006 Non-guarantor Subsidiaries (In thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,366	\$ 1,323	\$ 25,102	\$ —	\$ 35,791
Short-term investment securities	—	—	—	—	—
Accounts receivable, net	—	243,514	22,587	—	266,101
Intercompany receivables	501,909	470,894	38,398	(1,011,201)	—
Inventories	—	268,803	40,999	(35)	309,767
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,745	81,307	4,679	—	87,731
Total current assets	513,020	1,065,841	131,765	(1,011,236)	699,390
Property and equipment, net	1,800	126,961	25,486	—	154,247
Goodwill, net	—	937,952	4,229	—	942,181
Patents, licenses and trademarks, net	—	413,911	17,193	—	431,104
Other assets	16,183	7,013	139	—	23,335
Investment in subsidiaries	2,019,819	215,388	70,850	(2,306,057)	—

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Total assets	\$2,550,822	\$2,767,066	\$249,662	\$(3,317,293)	\$2,250,257
Liabilities and stockholders' equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,250	\$ 296	\$ 327	\$ —	\$ 1,873
Short-term debt	341,838	—	2,063	—	343,901
Accounts payable	940	128,117	12,157	—	141,214
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	22,806	211,559	21,995	—	256,360
Income taxes payable	(9,727)	17,382	1,084	—	8,739
Intercompany payables	886,325	13,494	111,382	(1,011,201)	—
Total current liabilities	1,243,432	370,848	149,008	(1,011,201)	752,087
Long-term debt, less current portion	496,974	1,895	248	—	499,117
Other long-term liabilities	9,636	37,454	—	—	47,090
Deferred income taxes	5,812	150,149	1,034	—	156,995
Total liabilities	1,755,854	560,346	150,290	(1,011,201)	1,455,289
Stockholders' equity:					
Preferred stock	—	1,450	—	(1,450)	—
Common stock	416	99,004	8,165	(107,169)	416
Additional paid in capital	529,938	1,657,292	93,876	(1,751,168)	529,938
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	333,460	448,974	(2,669)	(446,305)	333,460
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,471	—	—	—	3,471
Treasury stock	(72,317)	—	—	—	(72,317)
Total stockholders' equity	794,968	2,206,720	99,372	(2,306,092)	794,968
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,550,822	\$2,767,066	\$249,662	\$(3,317,293)	\$2,250,257

28

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2005				
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
			(In thousands)		
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 423,961	\$ 23,879	\$ 24,001	\$ —	\$ 471,841
Accounts receivable, net	—	191,583	20,541	—	212,124
Intercompany receivables	101,956	109,177	39,170	(250,303)	—
Inventories	—	185,032	25,485	—	210,517

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,316	32,806	2,965	—	38,087
Total current assets	528,233	542,477	112,162	(250,303)	932,569
Property and equipment, net	2,052	57,326	20,551	—	79,929
Goodwill, net	—	271,708	1,988	—	273,696
Patents, licenses and trademarks, net	—	130,216	404	—	130,620
Other assets	15,221	2,089	28,738	—	46,048
Investment in subsidiaries	795,098	117,776	—	(912,874)	—
Total assets	\$ 1,340,604	\$ 1,121,592	\$ 163,843	\$ (1,163,177)	\$ 1,462,862
Liabilities and stockholders' equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 283	\$ 147	\$ —	\$ 430
Short-term debt	341,752	—	2,522	—	344,274
Accounts payable	607	80,300	10,056	—	90,963
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	16,660	65,346	18,918	—	100,924
Income taxes payable	(5,105)	12,257	1,615	—	8,767
Intercompany payables	115,076	22,682	112,545	(250,303)	—
Total current liabilities	468,990	180,868	145,803	(250,303)	545,358
Long-term debt, less current portion	149,528	2,115	267	—	151,910
Other long-term liabilities	7,333	3,142	—	—	10,475
Deferred income taxes	4,171	39,390	976	—	44,537
Total liabilities	630,022	225,515	147,046	(250,303)	752,280
Stockholders' equity:					
Preferred stock	—	1,450	—	(1,450)	—
Common stock	415	3,193	7,852	(11,045)	415
Additional paid – in capital	525,890	533,682	14,778	(548,460)	525,890
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	257,991	357,752	(5,833)	(351,919)	257,991
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,397)	—	—	—	(1,397)
Treasury stock	(72,317)	—	—	—	(72,317)
Total stockholders' equity	710,582	896,077	16,797	(912,874)	710,582
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,340,604	\$ 1,121,592	\$ 163,843	\$ (1,163,177)	\$ 1,462,862

29

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

Three Months ended June 30, 2006				
Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Total

	(In thousands)				
Revenues:					
Aerospace & Defense	\$	—	\$ 439,289	\$ 10,536	\$ (3,005) \$ 446,820
Products		—	74,197	4,717	— 78,914
Mobile Security		—	8,240	18,767	(815) 26,192
Total revenues		—	521,726	34,020	(3,820) 551,926
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of revenues		—	414,225	28,854	(3,784) 439,295
Selling, general and administrative expenses		7,353	33,411	4,635	— 45,399
Amortization		—	4,700	108	— 4,808
Integration		120	198	89	— 407
Other charges		—	—	(1,530)	— (1,530)
Related party fees (income)		—	(1)	1	— —
Operating (loss) income		(7,473)	69,193	1,863	(36) 63,547
Interest expense (income), net		9,767	(271)	(37)	— 9,459
Other (income) expense, net		—	(116)	(13)	— (129)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries		(45,595)	(1,403)	—	46,998 —
Income before (benefit) provision for income taxes		28,355	70,983	1,913	(47,034) 54,217
(Benefit) provision for income taxes		(5,705)	24,881	981	— 20,157
Net income	\$	34,060	\$ 46,102	\$ 932	\$ (47,034) \$ 34,060

30

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

	Three Months ended June 30, 2005				
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	(In thousands)				
Revenues:					
Aerospace & Defense	\$	—	\$ 263,646	\$ —	\$ (876) \$ 262,770
Products		—	55,356	15,367	— 70,723
Mobile Security		—	13,366	26,119	(1,336) 38,149
Total revenues		—	332,368	41,486	(2,212) 371,642
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of revenues		—	244,885	33,167	(2,212) 275,840

Selling, general and administrative expenses	9,236	22,672	3,626	—	35,534
Amortization	—	2,037	1	—	2,038
Integration	247	587	—	—	834
Related party management fees (income)	—	(1)	1	—	—
Operating (loss) income:	(9,483)	62,188	4,691	—	57,396
Interest expense (income), net	1,494	(105)	125	—	1,514
Other (income) expense, net	(3,024)	(139)	70	—	(3,093)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(42,846)	(2,119)	—	44,965	—
Income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	34,893	64,551	4,496	(44,965)	58,975
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(2,522)	22,363	1,719	—	21,560
Net income	\$ 37,415	\$ 42,188	\$ 2,777	\$ (44,965)	\$ 37,415

31

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

	Six Months ended June 30, 2006				
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
			(In thousands)		
Revenues:					
Aerospace & Defense	\$ —	\$ 779,284	\$ 17,559	\$ (4,920)	\$ 791,923
Products	—	145,778	9,972	—	155,750
Mobile Security	—	9,694	41,316	(1,317)	49,693
Total revenues	—	934,756	68,847	(6,237)	997,366
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of revenues	—	729,803	56,503	(6,201)	780,105
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,967	60,265	8,309	—	81,541
Amortization	—	6,957	110	—	7,067
Integration	265	523	89	—	877
Other charges	—	—	(1,530)	—	(1,530)
Related party fees (income)	16	(18)	2	—	—
Operating (loss) income	(13,248)	137,226	5,364	(36)	129,306
Interest expense (income), net	10,361	(658)	15	—	9,718
Other income, net	(710)	(66)	(160)	—	(936)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(91,507)	(2,843)	—	94,350	—

Income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	68,608	140,793	5,509	(94,386)	120,524
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(6,861)	49,571	2,345	—	45,055
Net income	\$ 75,469	\$ 91,222	\$ 3,164	\$ (94,386)	\$ 75,469

32

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

	Six Months ended June 30, 2005				
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
			(In thousands)		
Revenues:					
Aerospace & Defense	\$ —	\$ 536,450	\$ —	\$ (7,985)	\$ 528,465
Products	—	107,316	26,740	—	134,056
Mobile Security	—	25,570	50,022	(1,506)	74,086
Total revenues	—	669,336	76,762	(9,491)	736,607
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of revenues	—	498,347	60,639	(9,491)	549,495
Selling, general and administrative expenses	16,709	45,626	7,015	—	69,350
Amortization	—	4,075	1	—	4,076
Integration	394	1,240	—	—	1,634
Related party management fees (income)	16	(18)	2	—	—
Operating (loss) income	(17,119)	120,066	9,105	—	112,052
Interest expense (income), net	3,687	(154)	226	—	3,759
Other (income) expense, net	(1,902)	(155)	87	—	(1,970)
Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	(82,684)	(3,888)	—	86,572	—
Income from continuing operations before (benefit) provision for income taxes	63,780	124,263	8,792	(86,572)	110,263
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(4,664)	43,101	3,382	—	41,819
Net income	\$ 68,444	\$ 81,162	\$ 5,410	\$ (86,572)	\$ 68,444

33

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flow

	Six Months ended June 30, 2006				Consolidated Total
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries (In thousands)	Eliminations	
Cash flow from operating activities:					
Net Income	\$ 75,469	\$ 91,222	\$ 3,164	\$(94,386)	\$ 75,469
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	6,113	12,187	1,512	—	19,812
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	—	219	128	—	347
Deferred income taxes	1,294	2,221	(95)	—	3,420
Fair value adjustment for put options	(710)	—	—	—	(710)
SERP expense	1,450	—	—	—	1,450
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(91,507)	(2,843)	—	94,350	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:					
Decrease in accounts receivable	—	6,510	6,540	—	13,050
Decrease (increase) in intercompany receivables and payables	2,209	(5,743)	3,534	—	—
Decrease (increase) in inventories	—	7,283	(4,471)	36	2,848
Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets	(299)	(4,361)	(144)	—	(4,804)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	7,006	(90,244)	(8,686)	—	(91,924)
Decrease (increase) in income taxes payable	(4,467)	(3)	(571)	—	(5,041)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(3,442)	16,448	911	—	13,917
Cash flow from investing activities:					
Purchase of property and equipment	(284)	(14,113)	(1,949)	—	(16,346)
Purchase of patents and trademarks	—	(111)	(4)	—	(115)
Purchases of short-term investment securities	(538,310)	—	—	—	(538,310)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investment securities	538,310	—	—	—	538,310
Collection of note receivable	—	428	—	—	428
Purchase of equity securities	(57)	—	—	—	(57)
Additional consideration for purchase of businesses	(150)	—	—	—	(150)
Purchase of businesses, net of cash received	(758,511)	—	—	—	(758,511)
Net cash used in investing activities	(759,002)	(13,796)	(1,953)	—	(774,751)
Cash flow from financing activities:					

Edgar Filing: ARMOR HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,166	—	—	—	1,166
Taxes paid for withheld shares on restricted stock Issuances	(430)	—	—	—	(430)
Windfall tax benefit of stock options	2,444	—	—	—	2,444
Cash paid for debt issue costs	(5,329)	—	—	—	(5,329)
Borrowings of long-term debt	100,000	—	—	—	100,000
Repayments of long-term debt		(25,208)	(81)	—	(25,289)
Borrowings under lines of credit	577,275	—	1,662	—	578,937
Repayments under lines of credit	(327,277)	—	(1,809)	—	(329,086)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	347,849	(25,208)	(228)	—	322,413
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	2,371	—	2,371
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(414,595)	(22,556)	1,101	—	(436,050)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	423,961	23,879	24,001	—	471,841
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 9,366	\$ 1,323	\$25,102	\$ —	\$ 35,791

34

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

Armor Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flow

	Six Months ended June 30, 2005				Consolidated Total
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
	(In thousands)				
Cash flow from operating activities:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 68,444	\$ 81,162	\$ 5,410	\$(86,572)	\$ 68,444
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,366	7,839	1,383	—	10,588
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	—	153	350	—	503
Deferred income taxes	2,491	1,075	74	—	3,640
Fair value gain for put options	(3,793)	—	—	—	(3,793)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(82,684)	(3,888)	—	86,572	—
Changes in operating assets & liabilities, net of acquisitions:					
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	—	777	(3,425)	—	(2,648)
	47,749	(48,127)	378	—	—

Decrease (increase) in intercompany receivables & payables					
(Increase) decrease in inventory	—	(33,779)	1,241	—	(32,538)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses & other assets	523	(624)	(1,154)	—	(1,255)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	7,823	8,877	(2,108)	—	14,592
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable	990	417	(909)	—	498
Net cash provided by operating activities	42,909	13,882	1,240	—	58,031
Cash flow from investing activities:					
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,516)	(5,575)	(1,055)	—	(8,146)
Purchase of patents and trademarks	—	(100)	—	—	(100)
Purchases of short-term investment securities	(562,750)	—	—	—	(562,750)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investment securities	186,225	—	—	—	186,225
Sale of put options	4,790	—	—	—	4,790
Additional cash received from sale of business	—	300	—	—	300
Additional consideration for purchased businesses	(317)	(4,287)	—	—	(4,604)
Purchase of businesses net of cash acquired	(1,362)	—	—	—	(1,362)
Net cash used in investing activities	(374,930)	(9,662)	(1,055)	—	(385,647)
Cash flow from financing activities:					
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4,304	—	—	—	4,304
Taxes paid for withheld shares on restricted stock issuances	(5,794)	—	—	—	(5,794)
Repayments of long-term debt	—	(238)	(76)	—	(314)
Borrowings under lines of credit	5,485	—	4,149	—	9,634
Repayments under lines of credit	(5,485)	—	(1,500)	—	(6,985)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,490)	(238)	2,573	—	845
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	(2,121)	—	(2,121)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(333,511)	3,982	637	—	(328,892)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	388,727	21,173	11,309	—	421,209
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 55,216	\$ 25,155	\$ 11,946	\$ —	\$ 92,317

35

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (UNAUDITED) CONTINUED

NOTE 16 — VEST EXCHANGE PROGRAM/WARRANTY REVISION

As a result of our voluntary Zylon® vest Supplemental Relief (renamed ZVE) Program relating to our Zylon®-containing vests, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$19.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2005, which is net of the remaining \$1.1 million liability from the Exchange Program previously announced in the third quarter of 2004. Since December 31, 2005, we have incurred \$9 million of ZVE Program costs against the liability. We have a remaining liability of \$10 million at June 30, 2006. The liability has been classified in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2006. As the charge has been based upon various estimates, differences in actual return rates and program costs could result in material adjustments to the recorded charge.

NOTE 17 — PUT OPTION TRANSACTIONS

We account for put option transactions on Company stock in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150, “Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity,” (“SFAS 150”). SFAS 150 requires put options to be measured at fair value and recognized on the balance sheet as liabilities.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we sold put options on Company stock in various private transactions covering 3.5 million shares of Company stock for \$6.6 million in premiums. During the year ended December 31, 2005, put options covering 2.5 million shares expired unexercised. In February 2006, the remaining outstanding put options covering 1 million shares expired unexercised. Accordingly, we recognized \$710,000 in other income, net, in the six months ended June 30, 2006. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, we recognized fair value gains of \$4.9 million and \$3.8 million recorded in other income, net, related to outstanding put options on Company stock. The fair values of the put options of Company stock are obtained from our counter-parties and represent the estimated amount we would have received or paid to terminate the put options, taking into account the consideration we received for the sale of the put options. We had no outstanding put options on Company stock remaining as of June 30, 2006.

NOTE 18 — INTEREST EXPENSE, NET

Interest expense, net is comprised of the following:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands)			
Interest expense	\$ 13,084	\$ 5,049	\$ 18,615	\$ 9,907
Interest income	(3,625)	(3,535)	(8,897)	(6,148)
Interest expense, net	\$ 9,459	\$ 1,514	\$ 9,718	\$ 3,759

In the three months ended March 31, 2006, the average of the closing prices of our common stock on each of the last ten trading days of the quarter exceeded our conversion price of \$54.01, effectively accelerating the first put date to the first quarter of 2006. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we wrote off \$5 million of previously capitalized loan costs on our 2% Convertible Notes. As the impact of not recording this cost was determined not to be material to the first quarter of 2006, we recorded the cost in the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion of the results of operations and analysis of financial condition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The results of operations for purchase business combinations are included since their effective acquisition dates. The following discussion may be understood more fully by reference to the consolidated financial statements, notes to the consolidated financial statements, and management's discussion and analysis contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates from the information provided in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", included in our annual report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, except as follows:

Stock options and grants. On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payments" ("FAS 123R"), requiring us to recognize expense related to the fair value of our stock option awards. We recognize the cost of share-based awards on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the award. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for our stock option plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accountant Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"). Excluding modification to stock option agreements, no stock option-based employee compensation cost was recognized in the income statement, as all stock options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

We adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FAS 123R, using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized during the first six months of 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FAS 123R. Results for prior periods have not been restated. As a result of adopting FAS 123R on January 1, 2006, our income before income taxes and net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$273,000 and \$164,000 lower and \$476,000 and \$296,000 lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for share-based compensation under ABP 25.

Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R, we presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the statement of cash flows. Beginning on January 1, 2006, we changed our cash flow presentation in accordance with FAS 123R, which requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (windfall tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We have estimated the fair value of our option awards granted after January 1, 2006, using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expected life of the options granted is management's estimate and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. We currently do not pay dividends. Volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The fair value of each option grant during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, is estimated on the date of grant with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
Expected life of option	4.8 yrs	4.2 yrs	4.8 yrs	5.1 yrs
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Volatility	44.2%	48.7%	44.7%	48.6%
Risk free interest rate	4.8%	3.7%	4.7%	4.0%

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
	(In thousands, except per share data)		(In thousands, except per share data)	
Fair value of each option granted	\$ 25.16	\$ 16.58	\$ 24.13	\$ 19.13
Total number of options granted	80	233	105	1,668
Total fair value of all options granted	2,013	\$ 3,863	\$ 2,534	\$ 31,909

Outstanding options, consisting of incentive and non-qualified stock options, generally vest and become exercisable over a three to five year period from the date of grant. Other options granted are immediately vested, but are subject to lock-up provisions that disallow the recipient from selling the shares until the lock-up expires, which is generally staggered over a seven year period. The outstanding options generally expire ten or seven years from the date of grant or upon retirement from the Company, respectively, and are contingent upon continued employment during the applicable ten year or seven year period.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123, to options granted under our stock option plans during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005. For purposes of this pro forma disclosure, the value of the options is amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period and forfeitures are recognized as they occur. Our pro forma information follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 (In thousands, except for per share amounts)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (In thousands, except for per share amounts)
Net income as reported	\$ 37,415	\$ 68,444
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(2,714)	(36,650)
Add: Employee compensation expense for modification of stock option awards included in reported net income, net of income taxes	—	118
Pro forma net income	\$ 34,701	\$ 31,912
Earnings per share:		
Basic – as reported	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.99
Basic – pro forma	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.93
Diluted – as reported	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.93
Diluted – pro forma	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.90

Of the stock-based employee compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2005, \$15.3 million is related to accelerated vesting of certain existing stock options and \$21.3 million is related to certain stock options issued in the six months ended June 30, 2005.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48"), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our financial statements and currently plan to adopt this interpretation in the first quarter of 2007.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005.

Net income. Net income declined \$3 million, or 9%, to \$34 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$37 million in the three months ended in June 30, 2005.

Total revenues. Total revenues increased \$180 million, or 49%, to \$552 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$372 million in the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the three months ended June 30, 2006, total revenue increased 22% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses with zero effect from foreign currency movements.

39

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Internal revenue growth represents period-over-period increases in revenue from businesses that were either owned or acquired by us during the periods presented. Our calculation of internal revenue growth takes into consideration pro-forma revenue for relevant periods of acquired entities in determining period-over-period growth.

Aerospace & Defense revenues. Aerospace & Defense revenues increased \$184 million, or 70%, to \$447 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$263 million in the three months ended June 30, 2005. Aerospace & Defense revenues increased 37% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses and increased \$86 million, or 33%, from the acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson in May 2006. Internal growth was primarily due to the following factors:

(1) We experienced strong demand for the Up-Armored High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicle (Up-Armored HMMWV, commonly known as the Humvee), including spare part revenues, as we shipped 2,641 Up-Armored HMMWVs (includes both M1114 and M1151/52 models) in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 1,672 in the three months ended June 30, 2005, a 58% increase. There continues to be new orders under our current M1114 Up-Armored HMMWV contract and additional orders for the next-generation versions known as the M1151/52 Up-Armored HMMWVs. We believe the U.S. military's plans include procurement of a replacement military light transport vehicle with current status being in the early development stage. While the U.S. military's current acquisition strategies plan for possible production of new light and medium support vehicles beginning as early as 2008, there is no assurance as to when a replacement for the HMMWV may be selected, and furthermore, we believe that the HMMWV will continue for some time to be one of the primary transport vehicles in the U.S. military. In the event the HMMWV is replaced, based on U.S. military procurement plans, it is anticipated that vehicle armoring for a replacement vehicle would be provided to the vehicle OEM for inclusion in the manufacturing process as components to be integrated with the vehicle while additional armor components would be provided for attachment to the vehicle at a later time when warranted by threat conditions. We anticipate that procurements for the potential HMMWV replacement models would be competitive and could be awarded to multiple armor suppliers based on full and open competition. Although we anticipate continuation of developmental efforts and enhancement of manufacturing capabilities, at this time, there is no certainty of obtaining armoring contracts for any HMMWV replacement vehicles selected by the U.S. military, and if successful in competitive programs, we cannot determine the specific levels of effort likely under such military contracts.

An additional consideration and risk factor regarding the introduction of new vehicle versions for tactical wheeled vehicles is the U.S. military's recent pronouncements that it is the U.S. Government's preference to own technical data

rights for new major end items of equipment and sub-systems, including the vehicle armoring packages. The U.S. Government's intent to mitigate risk of limitations on producibility and to ensure commonality of armor components produced by multiple sources may negatively influence future manufacturing content and product pricing.

See risk factor "A Replacement of the HMMWV in the U.S. military may affect our results of operations" under Item 1A in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

(2) During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we shipped 566 FMTV trucks subsequent to the May 25, 2006 Stewart & Stevenson closing date. This compares with 242 FMTV trucks shipped by Stewart & Stevenson in the same period last year.

(3) During the three months ended June 30, 2006, revenue from add-on armor components for fielded vehicles including add-on armor kits for the light, medium and heavy tactical truck fleet decreased 25% compared to the three months ended June 30, 2005. Although we may receive

40

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

additional add-on armor kit business in the future, the majority of armoring of fielded trucks has been completed. We believe, however, that the U.S. military's tactical wheeled vehicle procurement strategy includes replacement or additional production of current type medium or heavy trucks and these vehicles are also planned to receive armor subsystems in the future.

(4) Small arms protective insert ("SAPI") plate volume increased by 45% in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in volume was a result of: (1) increasing requirements by the U.S. military, (2) the ability of our industrial base to increase production capacity, and (3) our ability to qualify additional sources of supply.

Continued growth in the SAPI plate business is dependent upon the continued level of hostilities in the Middle East as well as the U.S. Government's requirements for improved technology and performance of the SAPI plate. Future revenues may be constrained by our ability to procure certain raw materials necessary for the manufacture of the SAPI plate. See the risk factor "There are limited sources for some of our raw materials, which may significantly curtail our manufacturing operations" under Item 1A in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

(5) Outer tactical vest ("OTV") units increased by 163% in the three months ended June 30, 2006, over the three months ended June 30, 2005. We experienced a 17% unit decline in helmets due to the implementation of improved helmet specifications. We expect helmet volumes to rebound in the third quarter of 2006. Also, our Modular Lightweight Load-Carrying Equipment ("MOLLE") revenue increased 84%, and aerospace revenues increased 10%.

Products revenues. Products revenues increased \$8 million, or 12%, to \$79 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$71 million in the three months ended June 30, 2005. For the three months ended June 30, 2006, Products revenue decreased 4% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses and

increased \$11 million, or 16%, from the acquisition of the law enforcement business of Projectina and Second Chance, acquired in April 2006 and July 2005, respectively. The primary driver for the internal growth decline was a delay in spending by the Iraqi government for body armor and other hard armor protective products. Excluding the impact of sales at Armor Products International, the internal growth would have been 6%.

Mobile Security revenues. Mobile Security revenues decreased \$12 million, or 31%, to \$26 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$38 million in the three months ended June 30, 2005. Commercial vehicle shipments decreased 12%, to 380 vehicles in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 434 vehicles in the three months ended June 30, 2005. The Mobile Security's internal revenue decline was primarily the result of the change in model year for the Suburban and the S-Class Mercedes and delayed spending by the U.S. Department of State and the Iraqi government.

Cost of revenues. Cost of revenues increased \$163 million, or 59%, to \$439 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$276 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. As a percentage of total revenues, cost of revenues increased to 79.6% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006, from 74.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2005.

Gross margins in Aerospace & Defense were 16.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 22.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. This reduction was primarily due to: (1) the acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson, which operates at lower gross margins; (2) an increased mix of lower-margin revenues from Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement ("MTVR") cabs; and (3) reduced SAPI plate margins related to changing product specifications. The inclusion of Stewart & Stevenson reduced the Aerospace & Defense Group's gross margin by 3.9%.

41

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Gross margins in Products were 40.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 38.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Gross margin improvement reflects improved manufacturing efficiencies and fixed cost absorption on higher production volumes particularly within our domestic soft body armor segment; and favorable product mix within the less lethal and automotive segments.

Gross margins in Mobile Security were 21.1% in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 23.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The decrease in margins was primarily due to decreased overhead absorption caused by reduced production throughput.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$10 million, or 28%, to \$45 million (8.2% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$36 million (9.6% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The \$10 million increase was primarily due to: (1) the acquisitions of Stewart & Stevenson, Second Chance and Projectina, which added \$6 million; (2) increased selling and marketing expenses; and (3) increased research and development expense.

Aerospace & Defense selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$7 million, or 78%, to \$15 million (3.4% of Aerospace & Defense revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$9 million (3.3% of Aerospace & Defense revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was due primarily to the acquisition of Stewart and Stevenson, increased research and development expense, and other administrative expenses as a result of the increased size of the business. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to leveraging of the selling, general and administrative expenses over a larger revenue base. We expect Stewart & Stevenson's operating expenses as a percentage of sales to decrease over the next 12 months as we rapidly eliminate overhead associated with Stewart & Stevenson's legacy business, which have been sold or discontinued, and the old public company infrastructure.

Products selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$4 million, or 26%, to \$17 million (22.0% of Products revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$13.8 million (19.5% of Products revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase reflects the impact of acquisitions which amounted to \$2.2 million and our decisions earlier this year to expand our sales force and increase our investment in sales training and marketing.

Mobile Security selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$1 million, or 37%, to \$5 million (19.4% of Mobile Security revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$4 million (9.7% of Mobile Security revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expense was primarily due to increased selling and marketing programs launched globally with the introduction of the new brand, Centigon. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was due to the items listed above and the large decrease in revenues.

Corporate general and administrative expenses decreased \$2 million, or 19%, to \$8 million (1.4% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$9 million (2.5% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2005. This decrease in general and administrative expenses is primarily associated with reduced bonus provisions partially offset with increased expenses associated with the adoption of FAS 123R (expensing of stock options) and a SERP plan.

Amortization. Amortization expense increased \$3 million, or 136%, to \$5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005, primarily due to the acquisitions of Stewart & Stevenson in May 2006, Projectina in April 2006, and Second Chance in July 2005. Amortization expense is related to patents and trademarks with finite lives, and acquired amortizable intangible assets that meet the criteria for recognition as an asset apart from goodwill under SFAS 141.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Integration. Integration decreased \$0.4 million, or 51%, to \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Included in integration in the second quarter of 2006 were charges for the integration of Second Chance and Stewart & Stevenson and costs related to accounting, legal and other due diligence costs related to acquisitions that were not consummated. Included in integration in the second quarter of 2005 were charges primarily for Specialty Defense and Bianchi, which were acquired in the fourth

quarter of 2004.

Other charges. Other charges for the three months ended June 30, 2006 included \$2 million for a reversal of a previously recorded accrual relating to an export fine that we recently settled for an inconsequential amount.

Operating income. Operating income increased \$6 million, or 11%, to \$64 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$57 million in the three months ended June 30, 2005, due to the factors discussed above.

Interest expense, net. Interest expense, net, increased \$8 million, or 525%, to \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is primarily due to: (1) additional borrowings under our new credit facility for the acquisition of Stewart and Stevenson, (2) the use of the majority of our investment balances to acquire Stewart & Stevenson, (3) the write-off of \$5 million of loan costs related to our convertible debenture, and (4) the increase in interest expense on our variable rate debt as a result of the increase in the six-month LIBOR. In the three months ended March 31, 2006, the average of the closing prices of our common stock on each of the last ten trading days of the quarter exceeded our conversion price of \$54.01, effectively accelerating the first put date to the first quarter of 2006. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we wrote off \$5 million of previously capitalized loan costs on our 2% Convertible Notes. As the impact of not recording this cost was determined not to be material to the first quarter of 2006, we recorded the cost in the three months ended June 30, 2006. On September 2, 2003, we entered into interest rate swap agreements that effectively exchanged the 8.25% fixed rate on the 8.25% Notes for a variable rate of six-month LIBOR (5.58% at June 30, 2006, and 3.64% at June 30, 2005), set in arrears, plus a spread of 2.735% to 2.75%.

Other income, net. Other income, net, decreased \$3 million, or 96%, to \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Other income, net, for the three months ended June 30, 2006, relates primarily to gains on disposals of fixed assets. Other income, net, for the three months ended June 30, 2005, relates primarily to an increase in the fair market value of our previously announced put option contracts, net of a non-operating asset write-off.

Income before provision for income taxes. Income before provision for income taxes decreased \$5 million, or 8%, to \$54 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$59 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005, due to the reasons discussed above.

Provision for income taxes. Provision for income taxes was \$20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$22 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The effective tax rate was 37.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 36.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increased tax rate relates primarily to the non-taxable fair market gain on put options in the three month period ended June 30, 2005; partially offset by the reversal of a previously non-deductible accrual in the three month period ended June 30, 2006.

Net income. Net income decreased \$3 million, or 9%, to \$34 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$37 million in the three months ended in June 30, 2005, due to the factors discussed above.

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006, COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005.

Net income. Net income increased \$7 million, or 10%, to \$75 million in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$68 million in the six months ended in June 30, 2005.

Total revenues. Total revenues increased \$261 million, or 35%, to \$997 million in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$737 million in the six months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, total revenue increased 21% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses, 14% from acquisitions, and decreased 0.3% due to foreign currency movements. Internal revenue growth represents period-over-period increases in revenue from businesses that were either owned or acquired by us during the periods presented. Our calculation of internal revenue growth takes into consideration pro-forma revenue for relevant periods of acquired entities in determining period-over-period growth.

Aerospace & Defense revenues. Aerospace & Defense revenues increased \$263 million, or 50%, to \$792 million in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$528 million in the six months ended June 30, 2005. Aerospace & Defense revenues increased 34% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses and increased \$86 million, or 16%, from the acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson in May 2006. Internal growth was primarily due to the following factors:

(1) We experienced strong demand for the Up-Armored HMMWV, including spare part revenues, as we shipped 4,999 Up-Armored HMMWVs (includes both M1114 and M1151/52 models) in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 3,167 in the six months ended June 30, 2005, a 59% increase. There continues to be new orders under our current M1114 Up-Armored HMMWV contract and additional orders for the next-generation versions known as the M1151/52 Up-Armored HMMWVs. We believe the U.S. Military's plans include procurement of a replacement military light transport vehicle with current status being in the early development stage. While the U.S. military's current acquisition strategies plan for possible production of new light and medium support vehicles beginning as early as 2008, there is no assurance as to when a replacement for the HMMWV may be selected, and furthermore, we believe that the HMMWV will continue for some time to be one of the primary transport vehicles in the U.S. military. In the event the HMMWV is replaced, based on U.S. military procurement plans, it is anticipated that vehicle armoring for a replacement vehicle would be provided to the vehicle OEM for inclusion in the manufacturing process as components to be integrated with the vehicle while additional armor components would be provided for attachment to the vehicle at a later time when warranted by threat conditions. We anticipate that procurements for the potential HMMWV replacement models would be competitive and could be awarded to multiple armor suppliers based on full and open competition. Although we anticipate continuation of developmental efforts and enhancement of manufacturing capabilities, at this time, there is no certainty of obtaining armoring contracts for any HMMWV replacement vehicles selected by the U.S. military, and if successful in competitive programs, we cannot determine the specific levels of effort likely under such military contracts.

An additional consideration and risk factor regarding the introduction of new vehicle versions for tactical wheeled vehicles is the U.S. military's recent pronouncements that it is the U.S. Government's preference to own technical data rights for new major end items of equipment and sub-systems, including the vehicle armoring packages. The U.S. Government's intent to mitigate risk of limitations on producibility and to ensure commonality of armor components produced by multiple sources may negatively influence future manufacturing content and product pricing.

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

See risk factor "A Replacement of the HMMWV in the U.S. military may affect our results of operations" under Item 1A in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

(2) During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we shipped 566 FMTV trucks subsequent to the May 25, 2006 Stewart & Stevenson closing date. This compares with 242 FMTV trucks shipped by Stewart & Stevenson in the same period last year.

(3) During the six months ended June 30, 2006, revenue from add-on armor components for fielded vehicles including add-on armor kits for the light, medium and heavy tactical truck fleet decreased 32%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. Although we may receive additional add-on armor kit business in the future, the majority of armoring of fielded trucks has been completed. We believe, however, that the U.S. Military's tactical wheeled vehicle procurement strategy includes replacement or additional production of current type medium or heavy trucks and these vehicles are also planned to receive armor subsystems in the future.

(4) SAPI plate volume increased by 67% in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in volume was a result of: (1) increasing requirements by the U.S. military, (2) the ability of our industrial base to increase production capacity, and (3) our ability to qualify additional sources of supply.

Continued growth in the SAPI plate business is dependent upon the continued level of hostilities in the Middle East as well as the U.S. Government's requirements for improved technology and performance of the SAPI plate. Future revenues may be constrained by our ability to procure certain raw materials necessary for the manufacture of the SAPI plate. See the risk factor "There are Limited Sources for Some of Our raw materials, which may significantly curtail our manufacturing operations" under Item 1A in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

(5) OTV units increased by 233% in the six months ended June 30, 2006, over the six months ended June 30, 2005. We experienced 35% unit growth in helmets. Also, our MOLLE revenue increased 60%, and aerospace revenues increased 12%.

Products revenues. Products revenues increased \$22 million, or 16%, to \$156 million in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$134 million in the six months ended June 30, 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Products revenue increased 2% internally, including period-over-period changes in acquired businesses and increased \$18 million, or 14%, from the acquisition of the law enforcement business of Second Chance, acquired in July 2005 and Projectina, acquired in April 2006. Internal growth was primarily driven by strong domestic sales of body armor, as this market rebounded from the uncertainty within the market caused by the NIJ Zylon decertification in the later part of 2005. Also, contributing to the internal growth were strong sales within our automotive and forensics' product lines. This overall growth was offset in part by declining international sales of body armor into Iraq and the decline of gas masks sales as we made the transition to a new supplier of these products.

Mobile Security revenues. Mobile Security revenues decreased \$24 million, or 33%, to \$50 million in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$74 million in the six months ended June 30, 2005. Commercial vehicle shipments decreased 18%, to 697 vehicles in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 847 vehicles in the six months ended June 30, 2005. The Mobile Security's internal revenue decline was primarily the result of the change in model year for the Suburban and the S-Class Mercedes and delayed spending by the Department of State and the Iraqi government.

Cost of revenues. Cost of revenues increased \$231 million, or 42%, to \$780 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$549 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005. As a percentage of total revenues, cost of revenues increased to 78.2% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006, from 74.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

45

Table of Contents

ARMOR HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Gross margins in Aerospace & Defense were 18.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 22.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2005. This reduction was primarily due to: (1) the acquisition of Stewart & Stevenson, which operates at lower gross margins; (2) an increased mix of lower-margin revenues from MTVR cabs; and (3) reduced SAPI plate margins related to changing product specifications. The inclusion of Stewart & Stevenson reduced the Aerospace & Defense Group's gross margin by 2.2%.

Gross margins in Products were 39.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 38.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2005. Gross margin improvement reflects improved manufacturing efficiencies and fixed cost absorption on higher production volumes particularly within our domestic soft body armor segment; and favorable product mix within the less lethal and automotive segments.

Gross margins in Mobile Security were 21.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 24.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The decrease in margins was primarily due to decreased overhead absorption caused by reduced production throughput.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$12 million, or 18%, to \$82 million (8.2% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$69 million (9.4% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The \$12 million increase was primarily due to: (1) the acquisitions of Stewart & Stevenson, Second Chance Body Armor and Projectina, which added \$7 million; (2) increased selling and marketing expenses; and (3) increased research and development expense.

Aerospace & Defense selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$7 million, or 41%, to \$25 million (3.1% of Aerospace & Defense revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$17 million (3.3% of Aerospace & Defense revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was due primarily to the acquisition of Stewart and Stevenson, increased research and development expense, and other administrative expenses as a result of the increased size of the business. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was due to leveraging of the selling, general and administrative expenses over a larger revenue base and the acquisition of Stewart and Stevenson, which operates with lower selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues. We expect Stewart & Stevenson's operating expenses as a percentage of sales to decrease over the next 12 months as we rapidly eliminate overhead associated with Stewart & Stevenson's legacy business, which have been sold or discontinued and the old public company infrastructure.

Products selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$6 million, or 22%, to \$34 million (21.9% of Products revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$28 million (20.8% of Products revenues) for the six

months ended June 30, 2005. This increase reflects the impact of acquisitions, which amounted to \$3.8 million, and our decisions earlier this year to expand our sales force and increase our investment in sales training and marketing.

Mobile Security selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$2 million, or 31%, to \$10 million (19.2% of Mobile Security revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$7 million (9.9% of Mobile Security revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in selling, general and administrative expense was primarily due to increased selling and marketing programs launched globally with the introduction of the new brand, Centigon. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was due to the items listed above and the large decrease in revenues.

Corporate general and administrative expenses decreased \$3 million, or 20%, to \$13 million (1.3% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$17 million (2.3% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2005. This decrease in general and administrative