

VERSAR INC  
Form 10-K/A  
October 04, 2005

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K/A**

Amendment No. 1

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended  
July 1, 2005**

**Commission File  
No. 1-9309**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE  
(I.R.S. employer identification no.)  
incorporation or organization)**

**54-0852979  
(State or other jurisdiction of**

**6850 Versar Center, Springfield, Virginia  
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**22151  
(Zip code)**

**(703) 750-3000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Common Stock, \$.01 par value**

(Title of Class)

**American Stock Exchange**

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ( )

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of December 31, 2004 was approximately \$25,034,193.

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of September 2, 2005 was 7,998,701.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.



**Explanatory Note**

Versar, Inc. is filing this amendment to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 1, 2005, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 26, 2005. The table in Note K Quarterly Financial Information on page F-20 of the 2005 Form 10-K, reported quarterly loss from discontinued operations for fiscal year 2005 as \$164,000, \$185,000, \$111,000 and \$699,000 for quarters ended October 1, 2004, December 31, 2004, April 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005, respectively. The corrected loss from discontinued operations are \$96,000, \$111,000, \$185,000 and \$767,000 for quarters ended October 1, 2004, December 31, 2004, April 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005, respectively. Additionally, the correct gross revenue for quarter ended July 1, 2005 is \$14,842,000 instead of the \$14,845,000 as reported in Form 10-K.

Except for the amendments described above, this Form 10-K/A does not modify or update other disclosures in, or exhibits to, Versar, Inc. 2005 Form 10-K.

---

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

#### Forward-Looking Statements

*This report contains certain forward-looking statements which are based on current expectations. Actual results may differ materially. The forward-looking statements include those regarding the continued award of future work or task orders from government and private clients, cost controls and reductions, the expected resolution of delays in billing of certain projects, and the possible impact of current and future claims against the Company based upon negligence and other theories of liability. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially, including, but not limited to, the possibilities that the demand for the Company's services may decline as a result of possible changes in general and industry specific economic conditions and the effects of competitive services and pricing; the possibility the Company will not be able to perform work within budget or contractual limitations; one or more current or future claims made against the Company may result in substantial liabilities; the possibility the Company will not be able to attract and retain key professional employees; changes to or failure of the Federal government to fund certain programs in which the Company participates; and such other risks and uncertainties as are described in reports and other documents filed by the Company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.*

#### Business Overview

Versar, Inc., a Delaware corporation organized in 1969, (the Company or Versar), is a professional services firm that provides the government and the private sector with value-added, high quality innovative solutions for infrastructure, facilities management, construction, environmental quality, defense and homeland security needs. Versar operates in two primary business segments: 1) Infrastructure and Management Services and 2) National Security.

The Infrastructure and Management Services business segment provides services including environmental compliance management, environmental restoration, environmental conservation, engineering, design, and construction management services. The National Security business segment provides a comprehensive range of testing, evaluation, system integration and field support services in the chemical domain. Capabilities include systems testing and evaluation; development and evaluation of detection technologies; development and production of personal protective systems; counter-terrorism planning; air quality analysis; and information technology support.

In fiscal year 2005, Versar combined the Infrastructure and Management Services and the former Engineering and Construction business segment because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, shared similar customers, business opportunities and was duplicative in nature. Such a combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations.

In fiscal year 2005, Versar expanded both our capabilities and geographic support to the government sector by adding a cultural resources unit and providing extensive Title II engineering oversight services overseas in Iraq. In addition, management continued to reduce overhead and facility costs, and refocus the marketing and sales efforts on our core business and strategic business targets.

In fiscal year 2005, the Company discontinued its biological laboratory operations due to poor financial performance, market concentration, and poor business outlook. As such, the financial results have been segregated into discontinued operations and the assets and liabilities have been segregated until the final disposition of the laboratory has occurred.

## **Infrastructure and Management Services**

Versar's customers are incorporating environmental health and safety activities into their management systems and day-to-day operations. Versar supports our customers by providing the full range of scientific, engineering and program management services to address prevention, detection, control, management, and clean-up of toxic substances and hazardous waste. The Infrastructure and Management Services business segment is the largest component of Versar's business base. Support to the Department of Defense (DoD), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and state and local governments comprise the majority of this work. Programs generally focus on six major service areas, described below: compliance with environmental regulations, restoration of contaminated sites, prevention of pollution at the source, conservation of natural and cultural resources, engineering and design, and construction oversight.

*Compliance:* Versar provides support for regulatory compliance programs involving air, water and other media. The trend in DoD compliance services is to use the capabilities of personnel from professional services firms to support on-site activity at DoD facilities. In 2005, Versar continued its growth in its professional services division providing on-site environmental management and compliance services. Our onsite professional services are an increasingly attractive alternative as DoD shifts emphasis to its core mission of defense of the nation. Versar's company-wide federal outsourcing technical support business revenue increased by 14% in fiscal year 2005 providing technical and professional staff primarily to the U. S. Army and Air Force. Versar in 2005 added over 20 professional and administrative on-site support staff at over 19 DoD installations and industrial facilities not previously serviced. The current Administration's public commitment to utilize the resources of the private sector to meet its personnel needs is another factor that has driven this segment of the business.

Compliance and scientific support for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) continued to show stable revenue in fiscal year 2005. All existing contracts were used to capacity, with EPA adding options to several base contracts.

*Environmental Restoration:* Federal restoration program revenue generally stayed stable in fiscal year 2005 as DoD restoration projects were converted from traditional cost plus to performance-based, fixed price contracts. Versar recognized this shift in contract needs and adjusted its bidding process to accommodate the new contracting process. As a result, Versar was awarded three such contracts in fiscal years 2004 and 2005 by the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE). The first contract, awarded in 2004, is a contract for remediation of chlorinated solvents and hydrocarbons at Charleston AFB, SC, and will result in payments aggregating \$4 million over a four-year period. The second contract, also awarded in 2004, is a \$2.8 million performance-based contract to close a missile launch control facility and a fire training facility at Vandenberg AFB, CA. Two follow-on Task Orders representing \$2.5 million in additional work are scheduled for award in early fiscal year 2006. The third contract, in fiscal year 2005 was a \$6 million, 6-year contract from the Army to clean up sites and provide onsite O&M support at Schofield barracks and Tripler Medical facility in Honolulu, Hawaii. This project opens Versar to the Pacific Rim arena for additional DoD infrastructure services support.

Another significant development in the restoration arena in 2005 was the award of two grants through the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP) to develop decision support tools for investigating sites with munitions and explosives of concern.

The Air Force, however, continues to be our largest remediation customer, and through our existing AFCEE contracts we continue to bid and win task efforts at bases worldwide. In 2005, Versar continued to perform restoration support services at Vandenberg AFB, Beale AFB, and Buckley AFB, in the U.S., and Ramstein and Moron AFB, in Europe. Work at military training ranges is also expanding, through subcontracting opportunities with large DoD operations and maintenance firms. We have increased our staff of field personnel at Nellis AFB, Nevada, on a 15-year contract, and expect to provide more personnel at Nellis AFB and other ranges in fiscal year 2006. At Nellis AFB, Versar is saving and recycling UXO residue. The residue is sold as scrap and the resultant funds are turned over to the installation for further range cleaning.

Remediation of mold has also been a growth area for Versar. Versar's indoor air quality business has increased in the past year led by an expansion in mold investigation and remedial services. In fiscal year 2004, Versar completed an \$11 million project involving mold remediation, moisture infiltration mitigation and engineering management services for a national real estate development company, John Laing Homes.

*Pollution Prevention:* Versar has been involved with innovative pollution prevention (P2) programs since the 1980s. The market has shifted from the assessment of opportunities for P2 to engineering solutions that use sustainable, low impact technology to replace traditional processes.

Our premiere P2 program continues to revolve around the technology associated with cleaning oxygen systems aboard military aircraft. The Aircraft Oxygen Line Cleaning System (OLCS) development project is complete, and has successfully been demonstrated on a number of aircraft platforms in the DoD inventory, including the B-1 bomber, the F-15 and F-16 fighter aircraft, and the C-130 transport. In 2004, a smaller-scale Oxygen Cart Cleaning System was developed and tested. Work continued in fiscal year 2005 as we demonstrated the technology on a B-52 aircraft and helped an aircraft manufacturer prove that crew-expansion projects could be performed in a clean manner relative to oxygen systems. We also provided oxygen converter cleaning services in the Tinker AFB oxygen shop.

*Conservation of Natural and Cultural Resources:* Versar continues to grow our specialized expertise in ecological assessment, restoration and resource management. For more than 30 years we have supported the states of Maryland, Virginia and Delaware as well as the EPA, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) in the assessment of the ecological health of the Chesapeake Bay, and the development of management practices to restore this endangered ecosystem. In 2005, Versar was awarded a 5-year contract to continue monitoring of biota living in the Bay's sediments and an additional contract to monitor the small planktonic organisms that serve as food for fish. As a cooperator with the University of Maryland, Versar is currently conducting an ecological risk assessment of the proposal by various states to introduce the Asian oyster to the Bay to enhance the Bay's ecology and sustain commercial fishing in the region. Through our contracts with the Philadelphia and Wilmington Districts of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Versar has continued to assess the ecological consequences of beach restoration through removal of sand from offshore deposits. Our recent work has employed innovative technologies, such as underwater video, to evaluate how fish and other marine organisms may respond to USACE dredging programs.

Our watershed planning and storm water management programs have expanded and diversified in the past year. For a comprehensive planning project in an urban watershed in Fairfax County, Virginia, Versar has been developing low impact development (LID) alternatives for improving water and habitat quality. For Frederick County, Maryland, we have been identifying sites in impaired watersheds that may present cost-effective and ecologically beneficial locations for restoration programs.

Versar's Cultural Resources (CR) Division, newly acquired in April 2005 from Parsons Corporation, added a new dimension to Versar's broad range of professional services that already included professional services cultural staff supporting several DoD installations. Operating since 1977, the CR Division has successfully executed nearly 1,000 projects for federal, state, local and municipal, commercial, industrial and private clients in 49 states, Puerto Rico, Argentina and Japan. The staff of 12 professionals with a full-scale archaeological laboratory conducts projects in compliance with the National Environmental Protection Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, and other legislation. As cultural resources compliance is an integral and mandatory part of environmental planning, the addition of this group gives Versar the edge in satisfying customers' total environmental needs in future projects.

*Engineering and Design:* In 2005, Versar completed the renovation and security upgrades of a materials processing center for a confidential federal client in the metropolitan Washington, DC area which includes 76,000 square feet of renovation and fit-out. This state-of-the-art facility provides worker and facility protection, including protection from explosive, radiological, biological and chemical agent exposure, which is technically superior to that currently being utilized by other similar facilities in the public and private sectors.

Versar has completed design services and performed construction inspection for infrastructure projects at industrial process facilities, cleanrooms, laboratories (e.g., BSL-3 and Entomology), and weapons destruction facilities, for example, at Merck, Printpack, Barr Laboratories, Newport News Shipbuilding, Dynax, U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency.





*Construction Management:* Versar completed three major construction projects that were initiated in late fiscal year 2004 including a roofing systems repair/replacement project for the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) for two buildings at the DLA Defense Distribution Center in San Diego, California; a modular infectious disease laboratory for the Air Force Surgeon General at Lackland AFB, Texas; and a demolition and remediation project at Vandenberg AFB, California.

Versar was also awarded a task order by AFCEE to provide Quality Assurance and Construction Oversight (Title II services) at AFCEE reconstruction projects throughout Iraq. Under the \$4 million task order, Versar quickly demonstrated its construction expertise through an initiative to develop skilled-labor trade schools for the Iraqi workforce and an initiative to create the first ever, comprehensive Constructions Standards for Iraq.

Versar's repeat federal and state engineering and construction clients in fiscal year 2005 included U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army, General Services Administration, U.S. Department of State, Virginia Department of Transportation, Virginia Department of Mental Health, Virginia Tech, University of Virginia, and Virginia Commonwealth University. For these customers, Versar has provided a wide variety of services including those described above, ranging from new facility design, facility renovations, energy improvement projects, infrastructure evaluation, and related support services.

Versar is recognized by our clients for providing vulnerability assessment, environmental health and safety systems integration and facility hardening, along with innovative design/build services. Versar has the expertise to cost-effectively design/construct facilities on an expedited basis, including those with homeland defense and anti-terrorism/force protection considerations.

#### **National Security**

The National Security segment consists primarily of the operations of GEOMET Technologies, LLC. The National Security segment operates in several defense markets:

*Personal Protection Equipment:* GEOMET, is a leader in developing, testing and manufacturing personal protection equipment (PPE). In fiscal year 2004, GEOMET announced its DTAPS® (Disposable Toxicological Agent Protective System) Level B coverall chemical/biological protective suits, which are the first in the industry to be certified by the Safety Equipment Institute (SEI) to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 1994, Class 2 standards. This certification, called the NFPA 1994, *Standard on Protective Ensembles for Chemical/Biological Terrorism Incidents*, will help fire and emergency services personnel select the proper personal protective equipment to use when conducting assessment, extrication, rescue, triage, and treatment operations at domestic terrorism incidents involving dual-use industrial chemicals, chemical terrorism agents, or biological terrorism agents. The DTAPS® Level B coverall ensemble is a fully integrated and chemical warfare agent tested protective system including a coverall suit, a reusable chemical splash hood, boots, and breathing system.

GEOMET was awarded a contract by the Greek government to supply DTAPS® Level A chemical protective suits and associated equipment plus training to the Greek military forces as part of their preparation to respond to possible terrorist incidents at the 2004 Olympic Games held in the summer of 2004 in Athens, Greece. Over the past eight years, Versar has provided chemical protective suits and associated equipment to United States and Australian military services to strengthen their chemical and biological capabilities to respond to terrorist incidents at both Summer and Winter Olympic Games.

The PPE marketplace will continue to be highly competitive, with decisions often based on price. Our strategy is to continue to work with customers to become a one stop supplier for protection needs, and to assist the customer in determining what systems are needed and how many to purchase.

The Company continues to be successful with submittals to the Technical Support Working Group (TSWG). TSWG is the U.S. national forum that identifies, prioritizes, and coordinates interagency and international research and development (R&D) requirements for combating terrorism. TSWG has awarded the Company an R&D task, for example, to develop a heat stress calculation system for personal protection system use which accurately predicts how long an individual can operate in specific conditions. The system, based on a personal data assistant device, allows the user to rapidly assess and calculate the heat stress on an individual outfitted with PPE, and how long they can operate safely. Work continues in 2006 towards deployment of an operational system for TSWG under this contract.

*Chemical Surety Laboratory:* Versar owns and operates one of four non-federal DoD licensed Surety Laboratories in the U.S. The laboratory provides cost-effective materials testing services to the U.S. Government and to private industries, particularly manufacturers of chemical protective equipment and clothing. Other laboratory services include evaluation of new chemical agent detection instrumentation, chemical agent decontamination and destruction techniques, site remediation/environmental cleanup support, analysis of environmental samples (air, soil, water, and sludge) for the presence of chemical and biological agents and degradation products, and testing of personal protective systems for component survivability.

GEOMET was also selected to be the lead subcontractor, providing nuclear, chemical and biological test and evaluation services to the West Desert Test Center (WDTC) located on the U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground (DPG), Utah. The prime contract is a cost plus fixed fee contract with a value of \$285 million and a one-year basic period of performance along with fourteen options and award terms, making the potential total contract period 15 years. Versar's estimated portion of this contract is \$35 million over the 15 year period of performance. The WDTC test programs include evaluation of munitions, chemical/biological detection and protection devices, testing to determine nuclear, biological, chemical contamination and decontamination survivability of various Department of Defense material and equipment, and a wide range of developmental testing and applied research related to tactics, techniques, and procedures.

The Chemical Surety laboratory worked in fiscal year 2005 to improve its compliance with required regulations promulgated by the U.S. Army and the U.S. Department of Commerce, which are the agencies that provide oversight of operations and inspections of the facility. During fiscal year 2005, the Company was awarded a \$6.9 million, five-year contract with the U.S. Marine Corps, Marine Logistics Command to support the Joint Service Chemical Agent Testing Program. GEOMET will provide chemical, and other testing services across a broad range of programs to protect military and homeland security personnel against weapons of mass destruction threats.

#### **Versar/GEOMET Collaboration Programs**

*Navy Systems Engineering Support:* The Company was selected as a team member on two teams for a major Navy Contract Vehicle, called SEAPORT II. These teams are headed by Lockheed Martin Corp. and ELS, Inc., and will compete for naval ship and weapon systems support services awarded by the Naval Sea Systems Command. Versar will support each team with vulnerability assessments, systems engineering, test and evaluation, science and technology, field support, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) support services, and environmental services. The Navy estimates the value to all contractors of this 15 year program will be worth up to \$19.5 billion for the design, building and maintenance of the Navy's ships and combat systems. This is an opportunity to provide Versar's unique defense services to the Navy's ship and weapons program for the next 15 years.

*GSA IT:* In fiscal year 2004, GEOMET was awarded a General Services Administration (GSA) Information Technology (IT) schedule contract which simplifies the process for government agencies to obtain Versar IT services. Versar will now be able to support homeland security and e-government type initiatives and extend existing corporate IT capabilities in the area of processing real-time data. The five-year base contract (with five years of options) allows for a wide-range of IT services to the government including: database management, systems engineering, programming, web design/hosting, training, IT needs determination, modeling & simulation and scenario development.



*Vulnerability Assessments:* Versar continued to build a track record involving the assessment of critical infrastructure for vulnerability to terrorist attack. Versar is a licensee of Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) Risk Assessment Methodology for the execution of vulnerability assessments. Contracts were awarded by over 80 cities for water utility vulnerability assessments across the nation. The firm holds SNL licenses for Risk Assessment Methodology in the following areas: Water (W), Chemical Facilities (CF), Communities (C), Transmission Lines (T), and Dams (D). Versar has trainers in RAM-W™, RAM-CF™, and RAM-C™, and has offered training sessions from Atlanta to Honolulu. There are less than 100 trainers for these approaches in the U.S. for protection, and response to potential terrorist threats.

*USAF Vulnerability Assessments:* In fiscal year 2004, Versar was awarded a \$1.1M contract by the Air Force Institute of Operational Health to perform food service vulnerability assessments (FSVAs) at over 90 Air Force installations around the country. The FSVAs use the Operational Risk Management (ORM) method to check the food supply chain from the vendors (both prime vendor and other vendors) to the fork of the airmen's mouths. Recommendations are made in the vulnerability assessments to improve protection of the food supply chain. By 2005, Versar had performed FSVAs at 12 Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC) bases, and 78 Air National Guard (ANG bases) around the U.S. during the past two years.

*DoD Contract Support:* The Company was successful as a subcontractor on the ECBC (Edgewood Chemical Biological Center) engineering support Contract awarded by the Edgewood Chemical Biological Center in Edgewood, MD. The Company will market this contract vehicle during fiscal year 2006 to secure task orders involving chemical and biological warfare agents, support systems and programs. Versar believes this contract will become an important foundation for future work in this arena.

#### **Markets**

Versar's services are continuing to evolve in response to clients' changing needs and our market opportunities are being driven by the availability of technology aimed at enhancing operating performance and profitability. The Company has focused its emphasis on outsourcing professional services for government customers, developing long term level of effort contracts to stabilize the Company's business base and on the challenging homeland security market.

Terrorism and defense issues are the biggest short-term threat facing the economy, well ahead of government spending and the deficit. The next president will devote at least half of his time to the Middle East and terrorism. Management believes that each business segment has expertise to address the needs raised by these national security issues. The Company believes that Versar is well positioned in the defense and national security sectors in the coming years.

The industrial environmental marketplace, in our view, will remain highly competitive, as no major new regulatory requirements are expected to be placed on industry in the near future. Some of our private sector customers are beginning to return to funding capital expenses for environmental projects. Given the current economic and regulatory situation, we will continue to pursue those opportunities that can be performed profitably.

Success in the federal markets continues to be driven by a cost-effective set of solutions, such as the Guaranteed Fixed Price Remediation Program, outsourcing at the point of need, and relationships with key customers.

We believe the next round of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) will drive a new round of base study and clean-up work, starting in fiscal year 2006. Government reorganization and privatization also present opportunities that we will continue to pursue. In addition, testing and training ranges have become more and more important to the

environmental market, as new rules require more emphasis on the cleanup of munitions and safe disposal of unexploded ordnance. Water quality is also a focus area, and we have successfully entered new markets at the state and local level using our credentials gained from working at the federal level.

**Competition**

Versar continues to face substantial competition in each market in which it operates and expects to continue to face substantial competition as it diversifies its business. Competitors are often larger and have greater financial resources than Versar, which means that we have to be very selective in our marketing and sales program efforts. However, we believe that our larger size relative to many of the smaller, niche companies with which we compete is an advantage. We are better able to compete with these smaller companies for certain contracts available only to companies qualifying as a small business under federal regulations because we have greater resources than they do. Generally, a company with more than 500 hundred employees will not qualify as a small business so our larger competitors are unable to compete with us on these contracts. In addition, there has been major consolidation in the environmental services market, with two brackets of firms emerging the large, diversified firms with a wide range of capabilities, and the smaller, niche firms with limited capability in specific horizontal or vertical markets.

Our market areas of environmental, architecture and engineering and defense reflect a mix of business that we believe will be stable and allow for growth, while retaining our core capability. The synthesis of our core capabilities, however, is an important selling feature as customers look for one source to meet their needs. We believe that we are among the few firms that combine environmental health and safety/risk assessment, hard engineering design and construction, and chemical and biological defense capability in one package, and we are actively pursuing customers that require these combined services.

Our pricing structure has been adjusted to ensure that we remain competitive, particularly for outsourcing, where procurement decisions are very sensitive to pricing. Similarly, we are concentrating our marketing efforts on getting the most return on investment, through expanding support for existing customers, developing tasks under existing contracts, and collaborating with firms that need our niche expertise. We are targeting and identifying specific programs that match our capability.

Versar will also continue to target small business set aside opportunities in the federal marketplace, under the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes that provide opportunities for firms with fewer than 500 employees. We continue to work with customers to make them aware of the benefits of setting aside work under these NAICS codes, and expect that trend to continue. Typically, for large, environmental contracts, at least one of the awards is targeted for a small business, and Versar believes it is well equipped with its depth of expertise to compete in that sector.

**Backlog**

Versar evaluates its backlog two ways: funded and total contract backlog. Funded backlog represents the total amount of uncompleted work for which the Company has received firm contractual commitments whether through a signed contract, written task order under a large contract vehicle or master contract or some other written authorization. Normally, at least 80% of all funded backlog is performed in the succeeding twelve months. Funded backlog amounts have historically resulted in revenue, however, no assurance can be given that all amounts included in funded backlog will ultimately be realized as revenue.

Total contract backlog includes funded backlog, existing written contracts and unutilized contract capacity, including option periods, in which funding for work or tasks has not yet been authorized. The amount of total contract backlog is what Versar reasonably believes, based on past experience and present expectations, will become funded backlog over the next five to seven years. There cannot be any assurance, however, that all amounts included in total contract backlog will ultimately become funded backlog and, when if funded, that it will ultimately be realized as revenue.

As of June 30, 2005, funded backlog for Versar was approximately \$31 million, a decrease of 33% compared to approximately \$46 million as of June 30, 2004. The backlog decrease was attributable to the substantial completion of two major construction projects that were awarded in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004.

As of June 30, 2005, total contract backlog for Versar, including unfunded government task orders, was approximately \$405 million, as compared to approximately \$370 million as of June 30, 2004, an increase of 9%. The increase is due to the award of six new contract vehicles during fiscal year 2005.

### **Employees**

At July 1, 2005, Versar had approximately 379 full-time employees, of which eighty-five percent are engineers, scientists, and other professionals. Eighty-five percent of the Company's professional employees have a bachelor's degree, thirty-four percent have a master's degree, and six percent have a doctorate degree.

### **Item 2. Properties**

The Company's executive office is located in Springfield, Virginia, a suburb of Washington, D.C. Versar currently leases 68,014 square feet in two buildings from Springfield Realty Investors, LLC. The rent is subject to a two-percent escalation per year through May 31, 2009.

As of September 2, 2005, the Company had under lease an aggregate of approximately 173,000 square feet of office and laboratory space in the following locations: Springfield, Lynchburg and Norfolk, VA; Tempe, AZ; Fair Oaks, CA; Northglenn, CO; Lombard, IL; Baltimore, Columbia, Gaithersburg and Germantown, MD; Oklahoma City, OK; San Antonio, TX; and Horsham, PA. The lease terms primarily range from two to six years.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

In August 1997, Versar entered into a contract with the Trustees for the Enviro-Chem Superfund Site, which provided that, based upon an existing performance specification, Versar would refine the design, and construct and operate a soil vapor extraction system. During the performance of the contract, disputes arose between Versar and the Trustees regarding the scope of work. Eventually, Versar was terminated by the Trustees for convenience. The Trustees then failed to pay certain invoices and retainages due Versar.

On March 19, 2001, Versar instituted a lawsuit against the Trustees and three environmental consulting companies in the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, entitled Versar, Inc. v. Roy O. Ball, Trustee, URS Corporation, Environmental Resources Management and Environ Corp., No. 01CV1302. Versar, in seeking to recover amounts due under the remediation contract from the Trustees of the Superfund Site, claimed breach of contract, interference with contractual relationships, negligent misrepresentations, breach of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and implied contract. Mr. Ball and several defendants moved to dismiss the action or, in the alternative, transfer the action to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, where, on April 20, 2001, the two Trustees had filed suit against Versar in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, entitled, Roy O. Ball and Norman W. Bernstein, Trustees v. Versar, Inc., Case No. IPO1-0531 C H/G. The Trustees alleged breach of contract and breach of warranty with respect to the remediation contract and asked for a declaratory judgment on a number of the previously stated claims.

On July 12, 2001, the Federal District Court in Pennsylvania granted defendants' motion to transfer the Pennsylvania lawsuit and consolidate the two legal actions in Indiana. The Company filed an answer and counterclaim to the Indiana lawsuit. The plaintiffs and third-party defendants filed Motions to Dismiss the Company's counterclaim. The court granted the motions in part and denied them in part. Versar amended its answer and counterclaim. In the meantime, plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which the Judge granted in part and denied in part. The Judge held that certain agreements entered into by the parties prevented Versar from recovering certain amounts under its counterclaim but that Versar could pursue its claim for fraud in other areas. Written and oral discovery which has continued for several years is now completed. The court granted Versar's demand that the Trustees supply requested

information and documents. Versar continues to seek additional discovery compliance by the Trustees. Motions for summary judgement are expected to be filed by both parties. No trial date is presently scheduled. Based upon discussions with outside counsel, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution under the Trustees' lawsuit will have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Versar and its subsidiaries are parties from time to time to various other legal actions arising in the normal course of business. The Company believes that any ultimate unfavorable resolution of these legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's security holders during the last quarter of fiscal year 2005.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The current executive officers of Versar, and their ages as of September 6, 2005, their current offices or positions and their business experience for at least the past five years are set forth below.

| NAME                | AGE | POSITION WITH THE COMPANY  |
|---------------------|-----|--|
| Theodore M. Procriv | 57  | President and Chief Executive Officer  |
| Lawrence W. Sinnott | 43  | Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |
| Jerome B. Strauss   | 56  | Senior Vice President, Infrastructure and Management Services Business Segment           |
| Paul W. Kendall     | 52  | Senior Vice President, National Security Business Segment                                |
| James C. Dobbs      | 60  | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary                                     |

**Theodore M. Procriv**, Ph.D., joined Versar as President on November 1, 1999 and was elected Chief Executive Officer on July 1, 2000. From 1995 to August 1998, Dr. Procriv served as the Deputy Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Chemical and Biological Matters, and subsequently as the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Army for Chemical Demilitarization. Before joining the Department of Defense, Dr. Procriv was Corporate Vice President of Environmental Business at Science Applications International Corporation, (SAIC) 1993-1994, and served as the Vice President for Government Systems at Battelle Memorial Institute 1979-1993.

**Lawrence W. Sinnott**, MBA, CPA, joined Versar in 1991 as Assistant Controller. In 1992, he became Corporate Controller. In 1993, he was elected Treasurer and Corporate Controller. In 1994, he became Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. In October 1999, he was elected Senior Vice President. On September 6, 2005, he was elected Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. From 1989 to 1991, he was Controller of a venture capital company, Defense Group, Inc.

**Jerome B. Strauss**, P.E., joined Versar in 1977, was elected Vice President in 1999, and Senior Vice President in 2003. He served as Division Director for Waste Management from 1989-2002, and Unit Manager for Atlantic Operations from 2001-2002. Mr. Strauss has led work in virtually all areas of environmental services during his 27 years with Versar.

**Paul W. Kendall**, B.S., J.D., joined Versar in 1994 as Manager of Business Development, was elected Vice President in 2000, served as Vice President of Corporate Development from January to October 2003, and since November 2003 as Senior Vice President, Defense Business Segment and President of GEOMET Technologies LLC.

**James C. Dobbs**, J.D., L.L.M., joined Versar in 1992 as Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary. In October 1999, he was elected Senior Vice President. From 1984 to 1992, Mr. Dobbs was employed by Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. as Vice President and General Counsel where he was responsible for providing legal and regulatory advice to senior management.



**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters****Common Stock**

The Company's common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange (AMEX), under the symbol VSR. At July 1, 2005, the Company had 1,156 stockholders of record, excluding stockholders whose shares were held in nominee name. The quarterly high and low sales prices as reported on the AMEX during fiscal years 2005 and 2004 are presented below.

**Fiscal Year 2005**

|             | <b>High</b> | <b>Low</b> |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 4th Quarter | \$ 3.80     | \$ 3.00    |
| 3rd Quarter | 4.45        | 3.59       |
| 2nd Quarter | 4.70        | 3.90       |
| 1st Quarter | 4.88        | 3.70       |

**Fiscal Year 2004**

|             | <b>High</b> | <b>Low</b> |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 4th Quarter | \$ 5.40     | \$ 3.21    |
| 3rd Quarter | 3.84        | 3.20       |
| 2nd Quarter | 3.80        | 2.56       |
| 1st Quarter | 3.29        | 2.55       |

No cash dividends have been paid by Versar since it began public trading of its stock in 1986. The Board of Directors intends to retain any future earnings for use in the Company's business and does not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Under the terms of the Company's revolving line of credit, approval would be required from the Company's primary bank for the payment of any dividends.

The Company has established equity compensation plans to attract, motivate and reward good performance of high caliber employees, directors and service providers to serve Versar, Inc. and its affiliates. Currently, there are four stock option plans which were previously approved by the security holders: the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, the 1996 Stock Option Plan, the 1992 Stock Option Plan and the 1987 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data (unaudited)**

The selected consolidated financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with Versar's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto beginning on page F-3 of this report. The financial data is as follows:

|  | July 1,<br>2005                       | June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 | June 30,<br>2002 | June 30,<br>2001 |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|  | For the Years Ended                   |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data) |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations related data:           |                                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Gross Revenue  | \$ 67,678                             | \$ 60,067        | \$ 56,646        | \$ 67,988        | \$ 65,816        |
| Net Service Revenue  | 35,638                                | 33,656           | 34,747           | 38,189           | 39,481           |
| Operating Income (Loss)                                      | 1,427                                 | 1,913            | 612              | 619              | (352)            |
| Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations                     | 1,361                                 | 1,827            | (658)            | 159              | (1,030)          |
| (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations                   | (1,159)                               | (636)            | (350)            | (80)             | 243              |
| Net Income (Loss)  | 202                                   | 1,191            | (1,008)          | 79               | (787)            |
| Income (Loss) per share from Continuing Operations Diluted   | \$ .16                                | \$ .24           | \$ (.09)         | \$ .02           | \$ (.16)         |
| (Loss) income per share from Discontinued Operations Diluted | \$ (.14)                              | \$ (.09)         | \$ (.05)         | \$ (.01)         | \$ .04           |
| Net Income (Loss) per share Diluted                          | \$ .02                                | \$ .16           | \$ (.14)         | \$ .01           | \$ (.12)         |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding - Diluted                | 8,263                                 | 7,675            | 7,231            | 6,998            | 6,470            |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet related data:                     |                                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Working Capital  | \$ 7,887                              | \$ 7,494         | \$ 4,940         | \$ 6,600         | \$ 7,073         |
| Current Ratio  | 1.86                                  | 1.87             | 1.47             | 1.70             | 1.86             |
| Total Assets   | 20,912                                | 20,085           | 19,336           | 21,273           | 20,117           |
| Current Portion of Long-Term Debt                            |                                       |                  |                  |                  | 170              |
| Long-Term Debt   |                                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Total Debt, excluding bank line of credit                    |                                       |                  |                  |                  | 170              |
| Stockholders Equity  | \$ 10,552                             | \$ 10,065        | \$ 7,396         | \$ 8,324         | \$ 6,013         |

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**  
**Financial trends**

From fiscal year 2002 to 2004, the net service revenue of the Company declined as the Company wound down the Army STEPO suit production contract in the National Security business segment. With increased funded contract backlog in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2004 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2005, the Company began to reverse that trend. Multi-million dollar contracts were awarded to the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment. These included roofing projects in San Diego in support of the Defense Logistic Agency and hurricane emergency response in various locations in Florida. The Company achieved significant gross revenue growth in the first half of fiscal year 2005 as a result of increased Infrastructure and Management Services work. Such continued growth is dependent upon winning additional follow-on projects and additional new contracts in order to keep the funded contract backlog at levels that would support continued growth. Management continues to pursue many business opportunities to continue such growth, and recently, the Company was awarded a \$4 million contract for construction oversight in Iraq. However, there can be no assurance that the Company's efforts to grow the business base will be successful or that the Company will receive sufficient contract awards to replace work as contracts are completed.

During the third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2005, gross revenues on the major construction projects declined compared to the first half of the fiscal year due to delays in obtaining follow-on and new projects. The resulting reduction in gross revenues along with the delay in resolution of several construction change orders negatively impacted the Company's operating results for the second half of fiscal year 2005.

Additionally, there were delays in contract funding for the Environmental Protection Agency and certain delays with civilian agencies also experienced delays because of the diversion of funds for the war effort, and the announcement of additional military base closings by the BRAC commission, which impacted funding by approximately \$5 million.

In fiscal year 2005, the Company discontinued the operations of its biological laboratory primarily due to the continued poor operating performance, market saturation and future business outlook. Such results are presented as discontinued operations for financial statement purposes and the assets and liabilities have been segregated as the Company winds down the business affairs of the laboratory.

There are a number of risk factors or uncertainties that could significantly impact our financial performance including the following:

General economic or political conditions;

Threatened or pending litigation;

The timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;

Employee hiring, utilization, and turnover rates;

The seasonality of spending in the federal government and for commercial clients;

Delays in project contracted engagements;

Unanticipated contract changes impacting profitability;

Reductions in prices by our competitors;

The ability to obtain follow on project work;

Failure to properly manage projects resulting in additional costs;

The cost of compliance for the Company's laboratories; - The impact of a negative government audit potentially impacting our costs, reputation and ability to work with the federal government;

Loss of key personnel;

The ability to compete in a highly competitive environment; and

Federal funding delays due to war in Iraq.

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**  
**Results of Operations**

*This report contains certain forward-looking statements which are based on current expectations. Actual results may differ materially. The forward-looking statements include those regarding the continued award of future work or task orders from government and private clients, cost controls and reductions, the expected resolution of delays in billing of certain projects, and the possible impact of current and future claims against the Company based upon negligence and other theories of liability. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially, including, but not limited to, the possibilities that the demand for the Company's services may decline as a result of possible changes in general and industry specific economic conditions and the effects of competitive services and pricing; the possibility the Company will not be able to perform work within budget or contractual limitations; one or more current or future claims made against the Company may result in substantial liabilities; the possibility the Company will not be able to attract and retain key professional employees; changes to or failure of the Federal government to fund certain programs in which the Company participates; and such other risks and uncertainties as are described in reports and other documents filed by the Company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.*

Versar's gross revenue for fiscal year 2005 totaled \$67,678,000, a \$7,611,000 (13%) increase compared to gross revenue of \$60,067,000 for fiscal year 2004. Gross revenue for fiscal year 2004 increased by 3,421,000 (6%) over that reported in fiscal year 2003. The increase in fiscal year 2005 was primarily due to increased construction revenues in the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment of \$7,347,000 primarily in the first half of year. The increase in gross revenue in the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment is attributable to roof replacement work in San Diego, the construction of a specialized material handling facility, and Title II construction quality control work in Iraq in support of the Air Force and other government agencies. In the second half of fiscal year 2005, the Company has not been successful in replacing that business volume. The increase in fiscal year 2004 was due to increased revenues in the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment primarily related to subcontracted mold remediation work. This is further discussed under the heading "Financial Trends".

Purchased services and materials for fiscal year 2005 totaled \$32,040,000, a (21%) increase over that reported in fiscal year 2004. Purchased services and materials for fiscal year 2004 increased by \$4,512,000 (21%) over fiscal year 2003. The increase in 2005 was due to increased subcontracted construction work in the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment as mentioned above. The increase in fiscal year 2004 was due to higher subcontractor costs associated with the increase in mold remediation activity as mentioned above.

Net service revenue is derived by deducting the costs of purchased services from gross revenue. Versar considers it appropriate to analyze operating margins and other ratios in relation to net service revenue, because such revenues reflect the actual work performed by the Company's labor force. Net service revenue increased by 6% in fiscal year 2005, primarily due to an increase in direct project labor, which generated higher margins as compared to fiscal year 2004. Net service revenues decreased by 3% in fiscal year 2004 due to a decrease in revenue generated from the Company's work force compared to fiscal year 2003.

Direct costs of service and overhead include the cost to Versar of direct and overhead staff, including recoverable and unallowable costs that are directly attributable to contracts. The percentage of these costs to net service revenue increased slightly to 78.2% in fiscal year 2005 compared to 78.1% in fiscal year 2004 and 79.3% in fiscal year 2003. The increase in the percentage in fiscal year 2005 is due to lower profit margins in the Company's Infrastructure and Management Services business segment in which the Company recorded lower revenue due to schedule slippage and a contract dispute that has not been resolved as of the end of the fiscal year. As per the Company's revenue recognition policy, the disputed revenue will not be recorded until resolved.

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**

Selling, general and administrative expenses approximated 17.2% of net service revenue in fiscal year 2005, compared to 16.2% in fiscal year 2004 and 16.6% in fiscal year 2003. The increase is due to higher marketing labor, the engagement of consultants, and Sarbanes Oxley compliance costs to begin assessing and documenting internal controls in preparation for Section 404 internal control requirements.

In fiscal year 2005, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$217,000 for the estimated costs of terminating a long term office lease in Versar's northeast regional office. Such costs were recorded due to a business downturn in that office, as a result of the BRAC closures. This made it no longer viable to continue occupying the facility. In fiscal year 2003, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$800,000 to reduce the Company's overall cost structure and to reduce costs in non-performing divisions. These costs included severance payments to terminated employees and estimated costs to restructure certain facility leases.

Operating income for fiscal year 2005 was \$1,427,000, compared to \$1,913,000 in fiscal year 2004. Operating income from continuing operations decreased in fiscal year 2005 primarily due to the restructuring charge and lower profitability as a result of a contract dispute as mentioned above. Fiscal year 2004 operating income of \$1,913,000 was \$1,301,000 higher than that recorded in fiscal year 2003 due to the \$800,000 restructuring charge recorded in fiscal year 2003 as mentioned above and improved operating results.

Interest expense during fiscal year 2005 was \$66,000, a decrease of \$87,000 from fiscal year 2004. The decrease is primarily attributable to reduced borrowing under the Company's line of credit. In fiscal year 2004, interest expenses decreased by \$13,000 from fiscal year 2003. The reduction was due to lower interest rates on the Company's line of credit.

No tax expense was recorded in fiscal year 2005. In fiscal year 2004, the Company recorded a \$67,000 tax benefit. In fiscal year 2003, the Company increased the tax valuation allowance by \$1,096,000. The increase was due to the reduced operating performance in fiscal year 2003. The Company has approximately \$4.4 million in deferred tax assets of which a \$3.5 million valuation allowance has been established against such assets. Management provides for a valuation allowance until such time as it can conclude more likely than not that the Company will derive a benefit from such assets. The valuation allowance is adjusted as necessary based upon the Company's ability to generate taxable income, including management's ability to implement tax strategies that will enable the Company to benefit from such deferred tax assets.

Income from continuing operations for fiscal year 2005 was \$1,361,000, compared to \$1,827,000 in fiscal year 2004. Income from continuing operations decreased in fiscal year 2005 primarily due to the restructuring charge and lower profitability as a result of a contract dispute as mentioned above. Fiscal year 2004 income from continuing operations was \$2,485,000 higher than that recorded in fiscal year 2003 due to the \$800,000 restructuring charge recorded in fiscal year 2003 as mentioned above and improved operating results, and the increase to the tax valuation allowance recorded in fiscal year 2003.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005, management approved a plan to discontinue the operations of its biological laboratory facilities due to the lack of business volume, market concentration, and poor operating performance. Operating losses for the biological laboratory were \$556,000, \$636,000, and \$350,000 for fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. In addition, the Company recorded an additional loss of \$603,000 for the disposition of the biological laboratory, which included a charge of \$183,000 for the write-off of certain equipment and leasehold improvements and a charge of \$420,000 for the estimated termination costs of the facilities lease.

In summary, Versar's net income for fiscal year 2005 was \$202,000 compared to net income of \$1,191,000 in fiscal year 2004 and net loss of \$1,008,000 in fiscal year 2003.

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**  
**REVENUE**

Versar provides professional services to various industries, serving government and commercial clients. A summary of revenue generated from the Company's client base is as follows:

|                       | July 1,<br>2005                        |      | For the Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 |      | June 30,<br>2003 |      |
|-----------------------|--|------|---|------|------------------|------|
|                       | (In thousands, except for percentages) |      |   |      |                  |      |
| Government            |  |      |   |      |                  |      |
| EPA                   | \$ 3,972                               | 6%   | \$ 4,315                                | 7%   | \$ 3,312         | 6%   |
| State & Local         | 8,620                                  | 13%  | 7,785                                   | 13%  | 8,865            | 16%  |
| Department of Defense | 31,182                                 | 46%  | 22,921                                  | 38%  | 24,343           | 43%  |
| Other                 | 14,786                                 | 22%  | 8,558                                   | 14%  | 7,644            | 13%  |
| Commercial            | 9,118                                  | 13%  | 16,488                                  | 28%  | 12,482           | 22%  |
| <br>                  |  |      |   |      |                  |      |
| Gross Revenue         | \$ 67,678                              | 100% | \$ 60,067                               | 100% | \$ 56,646        | 100% |

The increase in Department of Defense and other government revenues were primarily due to the large construction projects performed during fiscal year 2005. Such increases were in part offset by the winding down of a large commercial mold remediation project during the year.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's working capital as of July 1, 2005 approximated \$7,887,000, an increase of \$393,000 (5%). In addition, the Company's current ratio at July 1, 2005 was 1.86, which was slightly lower than that reported on June 30, 2004 due to the increase in receivables and prepaids and the utilization of the line of credit during the year.

In September 2003, Versar entered into a new line of credit facility with United Bank (the Bank) that provides for advances up to \$5,000,000 based upon qualifying receivables. Interest on the borrowings is based on prime plus one and a half percent (7.25% as of July 1, 2005). As of July 1, 2005, Versar had outstanding borrowing of \$777,000 and additional availability of \$4,223,000 under the line of credit. Obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by the Company and each subsidiary individually and are collectively secured by accounts receivable, equipment and intangibles, plus all insurance policies on property constituting collateral. The credit facility is scheduled for renewal in November 2005, and is subject to certain covenants related to the maintenance of financial ratios. These covenants require a minimum tangible net worth of \$6,500,000, a maximum total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio not to exceed 2.5 to 1; and a minimum current ratio of at least 1.25 to 1. Failure to meet the covenant requirements gives the Bank the right to demand the amount due under the line of credit, which may impact the Company's ability to finance its working capital requirements. At July 1, 2005, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

We believe that our borrowing capacity and the renewal of the line of credit, together with anticipated cash flows from operations, is sufficient to meet the Company's liquidity needs for the next year. There can be no assurance, however, that amounts available in the future from existing sources of liquidity will be sufficient to meet future capital needs.

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**  
**Liquidity Requirements**

At July 1, 2005, the Company had unfunded contractual payment obligations of approximately \$3,361,000 due within the next twelve months. The table below specifies the total contractual payment obligations as of July 1, 2005.

| <b>Contractual Obligations</b><br>(In thousands) | <b>Total Cost</b> | <b>Less than 1 year</b> | <b>1-3 years</b> | <b>4-5 years</b> | <b>After 5 years</b> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Operating leases                                 | \$ 10,977         | \$ 2,809                | \$ 4,653         | \$ 2,889         | \$ 626               |
| Capital lease                                    | 1,007             | 46                      | 99               | 109              | 753                  |
| Notes payable                                    | 529               | 506                     | 23               | 00               | 00                   |
| Total contractual cash obligations               | \$ 12,513         | \$ 3,361                | \$ 4,775         | \$ 2,998         | \$ 1,379             |

**Critical Accounting Policies and Related Estimates That Have a Material Effect on Versar's Consolidated Financial Statements**

Below is a discussion of the accounting policies and related estimates that we believe are the most critical to understanding the Company's consolidated, financial position, and results of operations which require management judgments and estimates, or involve uncertainties. Information regarding our other accounting policies is included in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report on Form 10-K.

Revenue recognition: Contracts in process are stated at the lower of actual costs incurred plus accrued profits or net estimated realizable value of costs, reduced by progress billings. On cost-plus fee contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred plus a proportionate amount of fee earned, and on time-and material contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered plus material and other reimbursable costs incurred. The Company records income from major fixed-price contracts, extending over more than one accounting period, using the percentage-of-completion method. During the performance of such contracts, estimated final contract prices and costs are periodically reviewed and revisions are made as required. Fixed price contracts can be significantly impacted by changes in contract performance, contract delays, liquidated damages and penalty provisions, and contract change orders, which may effect the revenue recognition on a project. Losses on contracts are recognized in the period when they become known.

From time to time we may proceed with work based on customer direction pending finalizing and signing of contract funding documents. We have an internal process for approving any such work. The Company recognizes revenue based on actual costs incurred to the extent that the funding is assessed as probable. In evaluating the probability of funding being received, we consider our previous experience with the customer, communications with the customer regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program. If funding is not assessed as probable, costs are expensed as they are incurred.

There is the possibility that there will be future and currently unforeseeable significant adjustments to our estimated contract revenues, costs and margins for fixed price contracts, particularly in the later stages of these contracts. It is most likely that such adjustments could occur with our larger fixed priced projects. Such adjustments are common in the construction industry given the nature of the contracts. These adjustments could either positively or negatively impact our estimates due to the circumstances surrounding the negotiations of change orders, the impact of schedule slippage, subcontractor claims and contract disputes which are normally resolved at the end of the contract. Adjustments to the financial statement are made when they are known.



**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**

Allowance for doubtful accounts: Disputes arise in the normal course of the Company's business on projects where the Company is contesting with customers for collection of funds because of events such as delays, changes in contract specifications and questions of cost allowability or collectibility. Such disputes, whether claims or unapproved change orders in process of negotiation, are recorded at the lesser of their estimated net realizable value or actual costs incurred and only when realization is probable and can be reliably estimated. Claims against the Company are recognized where loss is considered probable and reasonably determinable in amount. Management reviews outstanding receivables on a regular basis and assesses the need for reserves, taking into consideration past collection history and other events that bear on the collectibility of such receivables.

Deferred tax valuation allowance: The Company has approximately \$4.4 million in deferred tax assets of which a \$3.5 million valuation allowance has been established against such assets. Management provides for a valuation allowance until such time as it can conclude more likely than not that the Company will derive a benefit from such assets. The valuation allowance is adjusted as necessary based upon the Company's ability to generate taxable income, including management's ability to implement tax strategies that will enable the Company to benefit from such deferred tax assets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets: On January 30, 1998, Versar completed the acquisition of The Greenwood Partnership, P.C. subsequently renamed (Versar Global Solutions, Inc. or VGSI). The transaction was accounted for as a purchase. Goodwill resulting from this transaction was approximately \$1.1 million. In fiscal year 2003, the Company adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" which eliminated the amortization of goodwill, but requires the Company to test such goodwill for impairment annually. Currently, the carrying value of goodwill is approximately \$776,000 relating to the acquisition of VGSI, which is now part of the Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) reporting unit. The IMS business segment was combined with the Engineering and Construction business segment during fiscal year 2005 because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, shared similar customers, business opportunities, and was duplicative in nature. Such a combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations. In performing its goodwill impairment analysis, management has utilized a market-based valuation approach to determine the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit. Management engages outside professionals and valuation experts, as necessary, to assist in performing this analysis. An analysis was performed on public companies and company transactions to prepare a market-based valuation. Based upon the analysis, the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit was \$23M which is in excess of the carrying amount of goodwill on the books. Should the IMS reporting unit financial performance not meet estimates, then impairment of goodwill would have to be further assessed to determine whether the write down to the asset would be warranted. If such a write down were to occur, it would negatively impact the Company's financial position and results of operations. However, it would not impact the Company's cash flow or financial debt covenants.

During fiscal years 2005 and 2004, management concluded, based upon its impairment analysis, that goodwill relating to the Infrastructure and Management Services reporting unit was not impaired.

On April 15, 2005, the Company acquired the Cultural Resources Group from Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group, Inc., a subsidiary of Parsons Corporation for a purchase price of approximately \$260,000 in cash. The Cultural Resources Group, based in Fairfax County, Virginia provides archaeological, cultural and historical services to federal, state and municipal clients across the country. The acquisition will expand the Company's existing and future capabilities in cultural resources work. Their expertise will enhance and compliment Versar's environmental core business. The Cultural Resources Group will be incorporated into the Company's Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) segment. As part of the acquisition, the Company has executed a two year marketing agreement with Parsons to give Versar the first right of refusal to certain Parsons cultural resources work from existing Parsons clients. Thereafter, this agreement is annually renewable should both parties agree. Substantially all of the purchase price has been allocated to contract rights being amortized over a three-year period.

**ITEM 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)**

*New accounting pronouncements:* Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). This Statement revises SFAS No. 123 by eliminating the option to account for employee stock options under APB No. 25 and generally requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (the fair-value-based method). The Company is in the process of assessing the impact on the adoption of 123(R).

**Impact of Inflation**

Versar seeks to protect itself from the effects of inflation. The majority of contracts the Company performs are for a period of a year or less or are cost plus fixed-fee type contracts and, accordingly, are less susceptible to the effects of inflation. Multi-year contracts provide for projected increases in labor and other costs.

**Business Segments**

Versar currently has two business segments: Infrastructure and Management Services and National Security. The details on these segments are in Note B of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company has not entered into any transactions using derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments and believes that its exposure to interest rate risk and other relevant market risk is not material.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data begin on page F-2 of this report.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) was carried out as of the last day of the fiscal period covered by this report. This evaluation was made by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (a) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is timely recorded, processed, summarized and reported and (b) include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed or

submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

*Changes in Internal Controls*

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART III**

**Item 9B. Other Information**

**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Information required by this item with respect to directors of the Company will be contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the Company's 2005 fiscal year end and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item with respect to executive officers of the Company is included in Part I of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

For the purpose of calculating the aggregate market value of the voting stock of Versar held by non-affiliates as shown on the cover page of this report, it has been assumed that the directors and executive officers of the Company and the Company's Employee 401(k) Plan are the only affiliates of the Company. However, this is not an admission that all such persons are, in fact, affiliates of the Company.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which is expected to be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's 2005 fiscal year.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which is expected to be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's 2005 fiscal year.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which is expected to be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's 2005 fiscal year.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which is expected to be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the Company's 2005 fiscal year.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K**

(A)(1) Financial Statements:

The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of Versar, Inc. and Subsidiaries are filed as part of this report and begin on page F-1.

- a) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- b) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004
- c) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003
- d) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003
- e) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003
- f) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

- a) Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the Years Ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003

All other schedules, except those listed above, are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits:

The exhibits to this Form 10-K are set forth in a separate Exhibit Index which is included on pages 23 through 25 of this report.

(B) Reports on Form 8-K

A Form 8-K, which reported the Company's third quarter Results of Operations and Financial Condition, was furnished to, but not filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2005.

A Current Report Form 8-K, which reported the accelerated vesting of certain stock options, was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 29, 2005.

### Exhibit Index

| Item No. | Description  | Page Number/<br>Reference |
|----------|--|---------------------------|
| 3.1      | Restated Articles of Incorporation of Versar, Inc. filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 effective November 20, 1986 (File No. 33-9391) | (A)                       |
| 3.2      | Bylaws of Versar, Inc.   | (A)                       |
| 3.3      | Amendment to the Bylaws of Versar, Inc.  | (W)                       |
| 4        | Specimen of Certificate of Common Stock of Versar, Inc.  | (A)                       |
| 10.10    | Incentive Stock Option Plan *.   | (B)                       |
| 10.11    | Executive Tax and Investment Counseling Program.   | (A)                       |
| 10.12    | Nonqualified Stock Option Plan *.  | (B)                       |
| 10.13    | Employee Incentive Plan, as amended *.   | (E)                       |
| 10.52    | Incentive Stock Option Plan of Versar, Inc. dated December 1, 1992 *   | (I)                       |
| 10.66    | Agreement dated January 13, 1994 between the Registrant and the Department of the Air Force  | (K)                       |
| 10.90    | AFCEE RAC Contract   | (Q)                       |
| 10.100   | AFCEE ENRAC Contract   | (U)                       |
| 10.103   | Securities Purchase Agreement, dated June 14, 2002 between Registrant and Radyr Investments Limited  | (V)                       |
| 10.105   | 4P Architect-Engineering Contract dated March 14, 2003   | (W)                       |
| 10.108   | Employment Agreement dated December 1, 2003 between Versar, Inc. and Theodore M. Prociw*   | (X)                       |
| 10.109   | Change of Control Severance Agreement dated March 1, 2004 between the Registrant and Lawrence W. Sinnott*  | (X)                       |
| 10.110   | Change of Control Severance Agreement dated March 1, 2004 between the Registrant and James C. Dobbs*   | (X)                       |
| 10.111   | Modification Agreement of the Revolving Commercial Note dated May 12, 2004 between Registrant and United Bank  | (X)                       |
| 10.112   | AFCEE WERC Contract dated December 5, 2003   | (X)                       |

10.113 2002 Stock Incentive Plan

23

(Y)

---

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-K/A

| Item No. | Description  | Page Number/<br>Reference |
|----------|--|---------------------------|
| 10.114   | Employment Agreement dated February 8, 2005 between Versar, Inc. and Theodore M. Prociv*   | 28-42                     |
| 10.115   | Form of Stock Option Agreement   | 43-46                     |
| 10.116   | Air National Guard Contract dated July 6, 2005   | 47-122                    |
| 22       | Subsidiaries of the Registrant   | (Q)                       |
| 23       | Consent of Independent Certified Public Accountants, Grant Thornton LLP to register shares under the Company's Option Plans under Form S-8 dated September 7, 2004   | (X)                       |
| 31.1     | Certifications by Theodore M. Prociv, CEO and President pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14  | 123                       |
| 31.2     | Certifications by Lawrence W. Sinnott, Exec. Vice President and CFO pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14  | 124                       |
| 32.1     | Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, for the period ending July 1, 2005 by Theodore M. Prociv, CEO and President             | 125                       |
| 32.2     | Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, for the period ending July 1, 2005 by Lawrence W. Sinnott, Exec. Vice President and CFO | 126                       |

\* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

- (A) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form S-1 Registration Statement ( Registration Statement ) effective November 20, 1986 (File No. 33-9391).
- (B) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1987 ( FY 1987 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 28, 1987.
- (C) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1988 ( FY 1988 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 28, 1988.
- (D) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1989 ( FY 1989 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 28, 1989.
- (E) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1990 ( FY 1990 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 28, 1990.





Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-K/A

- (K) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1994 ( FY 1994 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 27, 1994.
- (O) Incorporated by reference to the similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form S-4 registration number 333-33167.
- (Q) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1998 (AFY1998 Form 10-K@) filed with the Commission on September 28, 1998.
- (R) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1999 ( FY1999 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 17, 1999.
- (S) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K/A Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1999 ( FY1999 Form 10K/A ) filed with the Commission on September 1, 2000.
- (T) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2000 ( FY2000 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 26, 2000.
- (U) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001 ( FY2001 Form 10-K ) filed with the Commission on September 28, 2001.
- (V) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2002 ( FY2002 Form 10K ) filed with the Commission on September 16, 2002.
- (W) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2003 ( FY2003 Form 10K ) filed with the Commission on September 26, 2003.
- (X) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004 ( FY2004 Form 10K ) filed with the Commission on September 27, 2004.
- (Y) Incorporated by reference to similarly numbered exhibit to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on October 11, 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

VERSAR, INC.  
(Registrant)

/S/ Paul J. Hoeper

Date: September 6, 2005

Paul J. Hoeper  
Chairman and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURES                                       | TITLE   | DATE              |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /S/ Paul J. Hoeper<br>Paul J. Hoeper             | Chairman and Director   | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Theodore M. Procriv<br>Theodore M. Procriv   | Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director  | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Lawrence W. Sinnott<br>Lawrence W. Sinnott   | Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, and Principal Accounting Officer | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Michael Markels, Jr.<br>Michael Markels, Jr. | Chairman Emeritus and Director  | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Robert L. Durfee<br>Robert L. Durfee         | Director  | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ James L. Gallagher<br>James L. Gallagher     | Director  | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Fernando V. Galaviz<br>Fernando V. Galaviz   | Director  | September 6, 2005 |

| SIGNATURES                                   | TITLE    | DATE              |
|--|----------|-------------------|
| /S/ James V. Hansen<br>James V. Hansen       | Director | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Amoretta M. Hoeber<br>Amoretta M. Hoeber | Director | September 6, 2005 |
| /S/ Amir A. Metry<br>Amir A. Metry           | Director | September 6, 2005 |

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Board of Directors and  
Shareholders of Versar, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Versar, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) (the Company), and subsidiaries as of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 1, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Versar, Inc., and subsidiaries as of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 1, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited Schedule II for the year ended July 1, 2005. In our opinion, this schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information therein.

/S/ Grant Thornton, LLP  
Vienna, Virginia  
September 6, 2005

F-1

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

|   | July 1,<br>2005  | June 30,<br>2004 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                  |                  |
| Current assets  |                  |                  |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 132           | \$ 817           |
| Accounts receivable, net  | 14,577           | 14,144           |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 2,017            | 1,013            |
| Deferred income taxes   | 308              | 168              |
| <b>Total current assets</b>   | <b>17,034</b>    | <b>16,142</b>    |
| Property and equipment, net   | 1,855            | 2,108            |
| Deferred income taxes   | 457              | 501              |
| Goodwill  | 776              | 776              |
| Other assets  | 790              | 558              |
| <b>Total assets</b>   | <b>\$ 20,912</b> | <b>\$ 20,085</b> |
| <br><b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>  |                  |                  |
| Current liabilities   |                  |                  |
| Bank line of credit   | \$ 777           | \$               |
| Accounts payable  | 3,958            | 4,520            |
| Billing in excess of revenue  | 92               | 433              |
| Accrued salaries and vacation   | 1,490            | 1,967            |
| Other liabilities   | 2,550            | 1,728            |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations, net   | 280              |                  |
| <b>Total current liabilities</b>  | <b>9,147</b>     | <b>8,648</b>     |
| Other long-term liabilities   | 1,041            | 1,163            |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations, net   | 172              | 209              |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>  | <b>10,360</b>    | <b>10,020</b>    |
| <br>Commitments and contingencies   |                  |                  |
| <br>Stockholders equity   |                  |                  |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 7,924,116 shares and 7,837,033 shares issued July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively; 7,908,611 shares and 7,821,528 shares outstanding at July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively | 79               | 78               |
| Capital in excess of par value  | 22,119           | 21,835           |
| Accumulated deficit   | (11,574)         | (11,776)         |

|  |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Treasury stock                             | (72)      | (72)      |
| Total stockholders' equity                 | 10,552    | 10,065    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 20,912 | \$ 20,085 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-2

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

|   | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| GROSS REVENUE   | \$ 67,678       | \$ 60,067                       | \$ 56,646        |
| Purchased services and materials, at cost                         | 32,040          | 26,411                          | 21,899           |
| <br>NET SERVICE REVENUE   | <br>35,638      | <br>33,656                      | <br>34,747       |
| Direct costs of services and overhead                             | 27,871          | 26,279                          | 27,566           |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses                      | 6,123           | 5,464                           | 5,769            |
| Restructuring charge  | 217             |                                 | 800              |
| <br>OPERATING INCOME  | <br>1,427       | <br>1,913                       | <br>612          |
| <br>OTHER EXPENSE   |                 |                                 |                  |
| Interest expense  | 66              | 153                             | 166              |
| Income tax (benefit) expense                                      |                 | (67)                            | 1,104            |
| <br>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS                      | <br>1,361       | <br>1,827                       | <br>(658)        |
| <br>DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS                                       |                 |                                 |                  |
| Loss from discontinued operations                                 | (556)           | (636)                           | (350)            |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations                       | (603)           |                                 |                  |
| <br>LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS                             | <br>(1,159)     | <br>(636)                       | <br>(350)        |
| <br>NET INCOME (LOSS)   | <br>\$ 202      | <br>\$ 1,191                    | <br>\$ (1,008)   |
| <br>INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING<br>OPERATIONS BASIC   | <br>\$ 0.17     | <br>\$ 0.25                     | <br>\$ (0.09)    |
| <br>INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING<br>OPERATIONS DILUTED | <br>\$ 0.16     | <br>\$ 0.24                     | <br>\$ (0.09)    |
| <br>LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATION BASIC AND<br>DILUTED         | <br>\$ (0.07)   | <br>\$ (0.09)                   | <br>\$ (0.05)    |

|  |           |         |           |
|--|-----------|---------|-----------|
| LOSS ON DISPOSAL OF DISCONTINUED OPERATION BASIC AND DILUTED | \$ (0.07) | \$      | \$        |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE BASIC                            | \$ 0.03   | \$ 0.16 | \$ (0.14) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE DILUTED                          | \$ 0.02   | \$ 0.16 | \$ (0.14) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC          | 7,890     | 7,360   | 7,231     |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED        | 8,263     | 7,675   | 7,231     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---



**VERSAR, INC.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity**

(In thousands)

Years Ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004, and 2003

|  | Common<br>Shares | Stock<br>Amount | Capital in<br>Excess of<br>Par Value | Accumu-<br>lated<br>Deficit | Treasury<br>Shares | Treasury<br>Stock | Total<br>Stock-<br>holders<br>Equity |
|--|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Balance, June 30, 2002                     | 7,226            | \$ 72           | \$ 20,270                            | \$ (11,959)                 | (13)               | \$ (59)           | \$ 8,324                             |
| Exercise of stock options                  | 32               | 1               | 77                                   |                             |                    |                   | 78                                   |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options |                  |                 | 2                                    |                             |                    |                   | 2                                    |
| Net loss                                   |                  |                 |                                      | (1,008)                     |                    |                   | (1,008)                              |
| Balance, June 30, 2003                     | 7,258            | 73              | 20,349                               | (12,967)                    | (13)               | (59)              | 7,396                                |
| Exercise of stock options                  | 579              | 5               | 1,486                                |                             |                    |                   | 1,491                                |
| Purchase of Treasury stock                 |                  |                 |                                      |                             | (3)                | (13)              | (13)                                 |
| Net income                                 |                  |                 |                                      | 1,191                       |                    |                   | 1,191                                |
| Balance, June 30, 2004                     | 7,837            | 78              | 21,835                               | (11,776)                    | (16)               | (72)              | 10,065                               |
| Exercise of stock options                  | 87               | 1               | 195                                  |                             |                    |                   | 196                                  |
| Share-based compensation                   |                  |                 | 33                                   |                             |                    |                   | 33                                   |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options |                  |                 | 56                                   |                             |                    |                   | 56                                   |
| Net income                                 |                  |                 |                                      | 202                         |                    |                   | 202                                  |
| Balance, July 1, 2005                      | 7,924            | \$ 79           | \$ 22,119                            | \$ (11,574)                 | (16)               | \$ (72)           | \$ 10,552                            |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(In thousands)

|  | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities   |                 |                                 |                  |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations   | \$ 1,361        | \$ 1,827                        | \$ (658)         |
| Loss from discontinued operations  | (1,159)         | (636)                           | (350)            |
| Net income (loss)  | 202             | 1,191                           | (1,008)          |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by continuing operations |                 |                                 |                  |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 740             | 670                             | 699              |
| Loss on sale of property and equipment   | 183             | 1                               | 26               |
| Provision for doubtful accounts receivable   | 100             | 191                             | 300              |
| Non-qualified stock option   | 33              |                                 |                  |
| (Decrease) increase in deferred tax asset  | (96)            | (67)                            | 1,097            |
| Tax benefit on exercise of non-qualified stock options   | 56              |                                 | 2                |
| Changes in assets and liabilities  |                 |                                 |                  |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable   | (533)           | (471)                           | 356              |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets   | (1,174)         | 333                             | (169)            |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable  | (562)           | 1,360                           | (1,266)          |
| Decrease in accrued salaries and vacation  | (477)           | (42)                            | (186)            |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities   | 359             | (1,003)                         | 219              |
| Net cash (used in) provided by continuing operations   | (1,169)         | 2,163                           | 70               |
| Changes in net assets/liabilities of discontinued operations                                       | 243             | (110)                           | (42)             |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities  | (926)           | 2,053                           | 28               |
| Cash flows used in investing activities  |                 |                                 |                  |
| Purchases of property and equipment  | (670)           | (615)                           | (415)            |
| (Increase) decrease in life insurance cash surrender value   | (61)            | (55)                            | 11               |
| Net cash used in investing activities  | (731)           | (670)                           | (404)            |
| Cash flows from financing activities   |                 |                                 |                  |
| Net borrowing (payment) on bank line of credit   | 777             | (2,125)                         | 266              |
| Purchase of treasury stock   |                 | (13)                            |                  |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock   | 195             | 1,491                           | 78               |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities  | 972             | (647)                           | 344              |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents   | (685)           | 736                             | (32)             |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-K/A

|  |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year | 817    | 81     | 113    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year       | \$ 132 | \$ 817 | \$ 81  |
| Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:     |        |        |        |
| Cash paid during the period for                        |        |        |        |
| Interest   | \$ 69  | \$ 134 | \$ 156 |
| Income Taxes   | \$ 39  | \$ 41  | \$ 78  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE A SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Principles of consolidation:* The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Versar, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (Versar or the Company). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's major business segments are infrastructure and management services and national security (see Note B).

*Accounting estimates:* The preparation of financial statements is in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

*Contract accounting:* Contracts in process are stated at the lower of actual cost incurred plus accrued profits or net estimated realizable value of incurred costs, reduced by progress billings. The Company records income from major fixed-price contracts, extending over more than one accounting period, using the percentage-of-completion method. During performance of such contracts, estimated final contract prices and costs are periodically reviewed and revisions are made as required. The effects of these revisions are included in the periods in which the revisions are made. On cost-plus-fee contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred plus a proportionate amount of fee earned, and on time-and-material contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered plus material and other reimbursable costs incurred. Losses on contracts are recognized when they become known. Disputes arise in the normal course of the Company's business on projects where the Company is contesting with customers for collection of funds because of events such as delays, changes in contract specifications and questions of cost allowability or collectibility. Such disputes, whether claims or unapproved change orders in the process of negotiation, are recorded at the lesser of their estimated net realizable value or actual costs incurred and only when realization is probable and can be reliably estimated. Claims against the Company are recognized where loss is considered probable and reasonably determinable in amount. Management reviews outstanding receivables on a regular basis and assesses the need for reserves taking into consideration past collection history and other events that bear on the collectibility of such receivables.

*Depreciation and amortization:* Depreciation and amortization are computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

*Goodwill and other intangible assets:* On January 30, 1998, Versar completed the acquisition of The Greenwood Partnership, P.C. subsequently renamed (Versar Global Solutions, Inc. or VGSI). The transaction was accounted for as a purchase. Goodwill resulting from this transaction was approximately \$1.1 million. In fiscal year 2003, the Company adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" which eliminated the amortization of goodwill, but requires the Company to test such goodwill for impairment annually. Currently, the carrying value of goodwill is approximately \$776,000 relating to the acquisition of VGSI, which is now part of the Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) reporting unit. The IMS business segment was combined with the Engineering and Construction business segment during fiscal year 2005 because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, shared similar customers, business opportunities, and was duplicative in nature. Such a combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations. In performing its goodwill impairment analysis, management has utilized a market-based valuation approach to determine the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit. Management engages outside professionals and valuation experts, as necessary, to assist in performing this analysis. An analysis was performed on public

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

companies and company transactions to prepare a market-based valuation. Based upon the analysis, the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit was \$23M which is in excess of the carrying amount of goodwill on the books. Should the IMS reporting unit financial performance not meet estimates, then impairment of goodwill would have to be further assessed to determine whether the write down to the asset would be warranted. If such a write down were to occur, it would negatively impact the Company's financial position and results of operations. However, it would not impact the Company's cash flow or financial debt covenants.

During fiscal years 2005 and 2004, management concluded, based upon its impairment analysis, that goodwill relating to the Infrastructure and Management Services reporting unit was not impaired.

On April 15, 2005, the Company acquired the Cultural Resources Group from Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group, Inc., a subsidiary of Parsons Corporation for a purchase price of approximately \$260,000 in cash. The Cultural Resources Group, based in Fairfax County, Virginia provides archaeological, cultural and historical services to federal, state and municipal clients across the country. The acquisition will expand the Company's existing and future capabilities in cultural resources work. Their expertise will enhance and compliment Versar's environmental core business. The Cultural Resources Group will be incorporated into the Company's Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) segment. As part of the acquisition, the Company has executed a two year marketing agreement with Parsons to give Versar the first right of refusal to certain Parsons cultural resources work from existing Parsons clients. Thereafter, this agreement is annually renewable should both parties agree. Substantially all of the purchase price has been allocated to contract rights being amortized over a three-year period.

*Direct costs of services and overhead:* These expenses represent the cost to Versar of direct and overhead staff, including recoverable overhead costs and unallowable costs that are directly attributable to contracts performed by the Company.

*Income taxes:* The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of certain assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is established, as necessary, to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized in future periods.

*Discontinued operations:* In fiscal year 1998, the Company discontinued a significant portion of the operations of Science Management Corporation (SMC). As such, the Company disposed of portions of SMC and wound down the remaining assets and liabilities. The remaining liability at June 30, 2005 of \$32,000 is primarily reserved to wind down the remaining benefit plans of SMC.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005, management approved a plan to discontinue the operations of its biological laboratory facilities due to the lack of business volume and poor operating performance. Operating losses for the biological laboratory were \$556,000, \$636,000, and \$350,000 for fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. In addition, the Company recorded an additional loss of \$603,000 for the disposition of the biological laboratory, which included a charge of \$183,000 for the write-off of certain equipment and leasehold improvements and a charge of \$420,000 for the estimated termination costs of the facilities lease.

*Stock-based compensation:* The Company accounts for employee stock option grants using the intrinsic method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and accordingly associated compensation expense, if any, is measured as the excess of the underlying stock price over the exercise price on the date of grant. The Company complies with the disclosure option of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

No. 148 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure which requires pro-forma disclosure of compensation expense associated with stock options under the fair value method.

Had compensation cost been recognized based on the fair values of options at the grant dates consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income (loss) and basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share would have been changed to the following pro forma amounts:

|   | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|   |                 | (In thousands)                  |                  |
| Net income  | \$ 202          | \$ 1,191                        | \$ (1,008)       |
| Add: Share-based compensation expense included in reported net income                   | 33              |                                 |                  |
| Deduct: Total share-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method | (232)           | (87)                            | (125)            |
| <br>Pro forma net (loss) income   | <br>\$ 3        | <br>\$ 1,104                    | <br>\$ (1,133)   |
| <br>Earnings (loss) per share:  |                 |                                 |                  |
| Basic as reported   | \$ 0.03         | \$ 0.16                         | \$ (0.14)        |
| Diluted as reported   | \$ 0.02         | \$ 0.16                         | \$ (0.14)        |
| Basic pro forma   | \$              | \$ 0.15                         | \$ (0.16)        |
| Diluted pro forma   | \$              | \$ 0.14                         | \$ (0.16)        |

Weighted average shares:

|  |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic   | 7,890 | 7,360 | 7,231 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted | 8,263 | 7,675 | 7,231 |

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). This Statement revises SFAS No. 123 by eliminating the option to account for employee stock options under APB No. 25 and generally requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (the fair-value-based method).

Effective June 21, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company accelerated the vesting of certain previously awarded unvested and out-of-the-money stock options that have an exercise price per share of \$3.00 or more for all employees and officers of the Company. The awards accelerated were made under the Versar, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. As a result, options to purchase 306,010 shares of the Company's common stock became exercisable immediately. All other terms and conditions applicable to the outstanding stock option grants remain in effect. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the American Stock Exchange on June 21, 2005 was \$3.00. The acceleration of the out-of-the-money stock options was done in order to avoid the impact of adopting SFAS 123(R). The non-qualified stock options were not included in the acceleration. Based on the potential for these options to have value over their expected life, the Company expects to reduce the stock option expense it otherwise would have been required to recognize in its consolidated statements of income by approximately \$124,000 over the next four years on a pre-tax basis, as a result of the acceleration.

*Net income (loss) per share:* Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common share also includes common equivalent shares outstanding during the period if dilutive. The Company's

common equivalent shares consist of stock options. For fiscal year 2003, the assumed exercise of the Company's outstanding stock options and warrants of 172,000 shares using the Treasury Stock Method are not included in the calculation as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

F-8

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The following is a reconciliation of weighted average outstanding shares for basic net income per share to diluted net income per share, in thousands:

|   | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004<br>(In thousands) | June 30,<br>2003 |
|---|-----------------|---|------------------|
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic   | 7,890           | 7,360   | 7,231            |
| Effect of assumed exercise of options                 | 373             | 315   |                  |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding diluted | 8,263           | 7,675   | 7,231            |

*Deferred compensation:* The Company permitted employees to defer a portion of their compensation, during fiscal years 1988 through 1991, to provide for future annual payments, including interest. Interest is accrued on a monthly basis at the amount stated in each employee's agreement. The Company has liabilities for deferred compensation of \$800,000 and \$922,000 at July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively, included in other long-term liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Versar purchased key-man life insurance policies to fund the amounts due under the deferred compensation agreements. The cash surrender value of the policies, net of loans, was \$431,000 and \$370,000 at July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively.

*Cash and cash equivalents:* All investments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

*Classification:* Certain prior year information has been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

**NOTE B BUSINESS SEGMENTS**

The Company's business segments are Infrastructure and Management Services and National Security. The Infrastructure and Management Services segment provides a full range of services including remediation/corrective actions, site investigations, remedial designs, and construction, operation and maintenance of remedial systems, engineering, design and construction management to industrial, commercial and government facilities. The National Security segment provides expertise in developing, testing and providing personal protection equipment.

In fiscal year 2005, Versar combined the Infrastructure and Management Services and the former Engineering and Construction business segment because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, shared similar customers, business opportunities and was duplicative in nature. Such a combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations, with the cyclical nature of the former Engineering and Construction business segment. The Company now evaluates the business along these two business lines. The prior year segment information has been restated to conform to the new presentation.



**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The Company evaluates and measures the performance of its business segments based on net service revenue and operating income. As such, selling, general and administrative expenses, interest and income taxes have not been allocated to the Company's business segments.

Summary financial information for each of the Company's segments follows:

|  | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|  |                 | (In thousands)                  |                  |
| <b><u>NET SERVICE REVENUE</u></b>            |                 |                                 |                  |
| Infrastructure and Management Services       | \$ 29,745       | \$ 28,454                       | \$ 28,984        |
| National Security                            | 5,893           | 5,202                           | 5,763            |
|  | \$ 35,638       | \$ 33,656                       | \$ 34,747        |
| <br>   |                 |                                 |                  |
| <b><u>OPERATING INCOME<sup>(A)</sup></u></b> |                 |                                 |                  |
| Infrastructure and Management Services       | \$ 6,655        | \$ 5,999                        | \$ 5,193         |
| National Security                            | 1,112           | 1,378                           | 1,988            |
|  | \$ 7,767        | \$ 7,377                        | \$ 7,181         |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (6,123)         | (5,464)                         | (5,769)          |
| Restructuring charge                         | (217)           |                                 | (800)            |
| <br>   |                 |                                 |                  |
| <b>OPERATING INCOME</b>                      | <b>\$ 1,427</b> | <b>\$ 1,913</b>                 | <b>\$ 612</b>    |

(A) Operating income is defined as net service revenue less direct costs of services and overhead.

|  | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|
|  | (In thousands)  |                                 |
| <b>IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS</b>             |                 |                                 |
| Infrastructure and Management Services | \$ 15,270       | \$ 14,618                       |
| National Security                      | 1,770           | 2,997                           |
| Corporate and Other                    | 3,872           | 2,470                           |

Total Assets

\$ 20,912

\$ 20,085

F-10

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

**NOTE C ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE**

|                                 | Years Ended     |                  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                                 | July 1,<br>2005 | June 30,<br>2004 |
| (In thousands)                  |                 |                  |
| Billed receivables              |                 |                  |
| U.S. Government                 | \$ 6,410        | \$ 4,189         |
| Commercial                      | 2,783           | 4,487            |
| Unbilled receivables            |                 |                  |
| U.S. Government                 | 5,600           | 5,422            |
| Commercial                      | 246             | 1,039            |
|                                 | 15,039          | 15,137           |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (462)           | (993)            |
|                                 | \$ 14,577       | \$ 14,144        |

Unbilled receivables represent amounts earned which have not yet been billed and other amounts which can be invoiced upon completion of fixed-price contracts, attainment of certain contract objectives, or completion of Federal and state governments' incurred cost audits. Management anticipates that the July 1, 2005 unbilled receivables will be substantially billed and collected in fiscal year 2006.

**NOTE D PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

|   | Estimated<br>Useful Life<br>in Years | Years Ended     |                  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|   |                                      | July 1,<br>2005 | June 30,<br>2004 |
| (In thousands)                            |                                      |                 |                  |
| Furniture and fixtures                    | 10                                   | \$ 755          | \$ 760           |
| Equipment                                 | 3 to 10                              | 6,030           | 5,493            |
| Capital leases                            | Life of lease                        | 712             | 712              |
| Leasehold improvements                    | Life of lease                        | 1,329           | 1,570            |
|   |                                      | 8,826           | 8,535            |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization |                                      | (6,971)         | (6,427)          |
|   |                                      | \$ 1,855        | \$ 2,108         |

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment included as expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations was \$740,000, \$670,000, and \$699,000 for the years ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Maintenance and repair expenses approximated \$264,000, \$279,000, and \$289,000 for the years ended July 1, 2005, June 30, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

**NOTE E DEBT**

In September 2003, Versar entered into a new line of credit facility with United Bank (the Bank) that provides for advances up to \$5,000,000 based upon qualifying receivables. Interest on the borrowings is based on prime plus one and a half percent (7.25% as of July 1, 2005). As of July 1, 2005, Versar had outstanding borrowing of \$777,000 and additional availability of \$4,223,000 under the line of credit. The credit facility is guaranteed by the Company and each subsidiary individually and is collectively secured by accounts receivable, equipment and intangibles, plus all insurance policies on property constituting collateral. The credit facility is scheduled for renewal in November 2005, and is subject to certain covenants related to the maintenance of financial ratios. These covenants require a minimum tangible net worth of \$6,500,000, a maximum total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio not to exceed 2.5 to 1; and a minimum current ratio of at least 1.25 to 1. Failure to meet the covenant requirements gives the Bank the right to demand the amount due under the line of credit, which may impact the Company's ability to finance its working capital requirements. At July 1, 2005, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

We believe that our borrowing capacity and the extension of the line of credit, together with anticipated cash flows from operations, is sufficient to meet the Company's liquidity needs for the next year. There can be no assurance, however, that amounts available in the future from existing sources of liquidity will be sufficient to meet future capital needs.

**NOTE F STOCK OPTIONS**

In November 2002, the stockholders approved the Versar, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2002 Plan). The 2002 Plan provides for the grant of options, restricted stock and other types of stock-based awards to any employee, service provider or director to whom a grant is approved from time to time by the Company's Compensation Committee. A service provider is defined for purposes of the 2002 Plan as an individual who is neither an employee nor a director of the Company or any of its affiliates but who provides the Company or one of its affiliates substantial and important services. The aggregate number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that may be issued upon exercise of options or granted as restricted stock or other stock-based awards under the 2002 Plan is 700,000. Grants of restricted stock, performance equity awards, options and stock appreciation rights in any one fiscal year to any one participant may not exceed 250,000 shares. The maximum amount of compensation that may be received by any one employee with respect to performance unit grants in any one fiscal year may not exceed \$250,000.

In November 1996, the stockholders approved the Versar 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan) to provide employees and directors of the Company and certain other persons an incentive to remain as employees of the Company and to encourage superior performance. The Company also maintains the Versar 1992 Stock Option Plan (the 1992 Plan) and the Versar 1987 Stock Option Plan (the 1987 Plan). Options have been granted from these plans to purchase the Company's common stock.

Under the 1996 Stock Option Plan, options may be granted to key employees, directors and service providers at the fair market value on the date of grant. The vesting of each option will be determined by the Administrator of the Plan. Each option expires on the earlier of the last day of the tenth year after the date of grant or after expiration of a period designated in the option agreement.

Under the 1992 Plan, options through November 2002, were generally granted to key employees at the fair market value on the date of grant and became exercisable during the five-year period from the date of the grant at 20% per year. Options were granted with a ten year term and expire if not exercised by the tenth anniversary of the grant date. The 1992 plan has expired and no additional options may be granted. The Company will continue to maintain the plan until all previously granted options have been exercised, forfeited or expire.

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Participants in the 1987 Plan included employees, independent contractors, and, in certain circumstances, directors of the Company. This Plan has expired and no additional options may be granted under its terms. The Company will continue to maintain the 1987 Plan until all options previously granted under it have been exercised, forfeited or expired without exercise.

Total incentive stock options granted under the 2002, 1996, and 1992 Plans are as follows:

|                               | Optioned<br>Shares                     | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Option<br>Price Per<br>Share | Total    |
|-------------------------------|--|--|----------|
|                               | (In thousands, except per share price) |  |          |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2002  | 970                                    | \$ 2.94  | \$ 2,855 |
| Granted                       | 492                                    | 2.09   | 1,028    |
| Exercised                     | (8)                                    | 2.25   | (18)     |
| Cancelled                     | (50)                                   | 2.48   | (124)    |
| Reclassified to non-qualified | (157)                                  | 2.98   | (468)    |
| <br>                          |  |  |          |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2003  | 1,247                                  | \$ 2.62  | \$ 3,273 |
| Granted                       | 395                                    | 3.16   | 1,247    |
| Exercised                     | (210)                                  | 2.20   | (463)    |
| Cancelled                     | (34)                                   | 2.47   | (84)     |
| Reclassified to non-qualified | (277)                                  | 2.92   | (809)    |
| <br>                          |  |  |          |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2004  | 1,121                                  | \$ 2.82  | \$ 3,164 |
| Granted                       | 293                                    | 4.10   | 1,200    |
| Exercised                     | (42)                                   | 2.17   | (91)     |
| Cancelled                     | (71)                                   | 3.38   | (240)    |
| Reclassified to non-qualified | (19)                                   | 2.71   | (52)     |
| <br>                          |  |  |          |
| Outstanding at July 1, 2005   | 1,282                                  | \$ 3.10  | \$ 3,981 |

Effective on June 21, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company accelerated the vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money stock options that have an exercise price per share of \$3.00 or more for all employees and officers at the Company. The awards subject to acceleration related to the Versar, Inc. 1996 and 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. As a result, options to purchase 306,010 shares of the Company's common stock became exercisable immediately. All other terms and conditions applicable to the outstanding stock option grants remain in effect. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the American Stock Exchange on June 21, 2005 was \$3.00. The acceleration of the out-of-the-money options was done in order to avoid the impact of adoption of FAS 123(R). The non-qualified stock options were not included in the acceleration. Based on the potential for these options to have value over their expected life, the Company expects to reduce the stock option expense it otherwise would have been required to recognize in its consolidated statements of income by approximately \$124,000 over the next four years on a pre-tax basis, as a result of the acceleration.



**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**  
 Details of total exercisable incentive stock option at July 1, 2005 are as follows:

| Number<br>of<br>Shares<br>Underlying<br>Options | Range of Option<br>Price | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Option Price | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Remaining Life | Number of<br>Shares<br>Underlying<br>Exercisable<br>Options |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| (In thousands, except as noted)                 |                          |                                      |  |   |
| 148   | \$1.75 to<br>\$1.94      | \$ 1.80                              | 6.4 years                              | 87  |
| 556   | \$2.05 to<br>\$2.92      | 2.50                                 | 6.9 years                              | 362   |
| 301   | \$3.00 to<br>\$3.82      | 3.62                                 | 8.0 years                              | 299   |
| 277   | \$4.00 to<br>\$4.95      | 4.43                                 | 6.7 years                              | 277   |
| 1,282   |                          | \$ 3.10                              | 7.1 years                              | 1,025   |

Total non-qualified stock options granted under the 2002, 1996, and 1992 plans are as follows:

|                              | Optioned<br>Shares<br>(In thousands, except per share price) | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Option<br>Price Per<br>Share | Total    |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2002 | 252  | \$ 3.42  | \$ 862   |
| Granted                      | 129  | 1.82   | 235      |
| Exercised                    | (24)   | 2.50   | (60)     |
| Cancelled                    | (16)   | 3.13   | (50)     |
| Reclassified from ISO        | 157  | 2.98   | 468      |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2003 | 498  | \$ 2.92  | \$ 1,455 |
| Granted                      | 44   | 3.00   | 132      |
| Exercised                    | (370)  | 2.79   | (1,029)  |
| Reclassified from ISO        | 277  | 2.93   | 809      |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2004 | 449  | \$ 3.05  | \$ 1,367 |
| Granted                      | 25   | 4.66   | 116      |
| Exercised                    | (46)   | 2.32   | (105)    |
| Cancelled                    | (40)   | 2.70   | (108)    |
| Reclassified from ISO        | 19   | 2.71   | 52       |

Outstanding at July 1, 2005

407

\$ 1,322

The accelerated vesting of certain unvested out-of-the-money stock options did not include any non-qualified outstanding options. The non-qualified options were granted to members of the Board, key employees and service providers at fair market value on the grant date.

F-14

---



**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Details of total exercisable Non-Qualified Stock Option Plans at July 1, 2005 are as follows:

| Number<br>of<br>Shares<br>Underlying<br>Options | Range of Option<br>Price | Weighted-<br>Average Option<br>Price<br><br>(In thousands, except as noted) | Weighted-<br>Average<br>Remaining Life | Number of<br><br>Shares<br>Underlying<br>Exercisable<br>Options |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|
| 87  | \$ 1.75 to \$1.88        | \$ 1.81   | 6.4 years                              | 70  |
| 54  | \$ 2.06 to \$2.80        | 2.56  | 7.7 years                              | 24  |
| 152   | \$ 3.00 to \$3.65        | 3.08  | 2.4 years                              | 152   |
| 84  | \$ 4.14 to \$4.65        | 4.45  | 5.2 years                              | 76  |
| 15  | \$ 5.75                  | 5.75  | 6.6 years                              |   |
| 15  | \$ 6.50                  | 6.50  | 6.6 years                              |   |
| 407   |                          | \$ 3.24   | 4.8 years                              | 322   |

The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$2.22, \$1.81 and \$1.21 during fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The fair value is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants in 2005, 2004 and 2003: expected volatility of 61.1%, 68.8% and 69.3% for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 3.5%, 3.1% and 3.1% for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively; and expected lives of five years after the grant date.

**NOTE G INCOME TAXES**

The income taxes (benefit) expense applicable to income from continuing operations consists of the following:

|                     | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                     | (In thousands)  |                                 |                  |
| Current             |                 |                                 |                  |
| Federal             | \$ (7)          | \$                              | \$               |
| State               | 47              |                                 | 7                |
| Deferred            |                 |                                 |                  |
| Federal             | 197             | 221                             | 119              |
| State               | 15              | 42                              | 22               |
| Valuation Allowance | (252)           | (330)                           | 956              |
|                     | \$              | \$ (67)                         | \$ 1,104         |

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Deferred tax assets are comprised of the following (in thousands):

|  | July 1,<br>2005 | June 30,<br>2004 |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Deferred Tax Assets:                       |                 |                  |
| Employee benefits                          | \$ 550          | \$ 587           |
| Accrued warranty costs                     |                 | 76               |
| Bad debt reserves                          | 175             | 394              |
| All other reserves                         | 391             | 300              |
| Discontinued operations reserves           |                 | 111              |
| Alternative minimum tax credits            | 125             | 117              |
| Net operating losses                       | 1,401           | 1,244            |
| Net operating losses of purchased business | 915             | 915              |
| State tax net operating losses             | 436             | 436              |
| Depreciation                               | 354             | 256              |
| Other                                      | 31              | 15               |
| <br>Total Deferred Tax Assets              | <br>4,378       | <br>4,451        |
| <br>Valuation Allowance                    | <br>(3,530)     | <br>(3,782)      |
| <br>Deferred Tax Liabilities:              |                 |                  |
| Goodwill                                   | (83)            |                  |
| <br>Net Deferred Tax Assets                | <br>\$ 765      | <br>\$ 669       |

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon generation of sufficient income by Versar and in some cases sufficient income in specific jurisdictions and by specific office locations. As of July 1, 2005, the Company has provided a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. A portion of the valuation allowance has been identified as relating to the excess tax benefit from the exercise of nonqualified stock options and will be charged to additional paid-in capital in future periods. At July 1, 2005, the Company had \$125,000 of alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards which can be carried forward indefinitely. The Company has established a valuation allowance on the deferred tax assets at amounts expected to be realized in future periods.

SMC has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$7.0 million for federal income tax purposes, which will expire in the years 2006 through 2012. Due to the substantial changes in SMC's ownership, there are limitations on the amount of the carryforwards that can be utilized.

A reconciliation of the Company's income tax (benefit) expense for the federal statutory rate is as follows:

|  | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|  |                 | (In thousands)                  |                  |
| Expected provision at federal statutory rate | \$ 69           | \$ 382                          | \$ 51            |
| Change in valuation allowance                | (252)           | (330)                           | 956              |
| State income tax expense                     | 60              | 51                              | 5                |
| Permanent items                              | 41              | 30                              | 44               |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-K/A

|  |    |         |          |
|--|----|---------|----------|
| Tax effect of non-qualified stock option exercised |    |         | 2        |
| NOL adjustments and other                          | 82 | (200)   | 46       |
|  | \$ | \$ (67) | \$ 1,104 |

Income taxes paid for the years ended July 1, 2005, June 30 2004, and 2003 were \$39,000, \$41,000, and \$78,000, respectively.

F-16

---

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

**NOTE H EMPLOYEE SAVINGS AND STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN**

Historically, the Company maintained an Employee Savings and Stock Ownership Plan (ESSOP), which included Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) and Employee Savings Plan (401(k) Plan) components. Contributions to the ESOP were made at the discretion of the Company in the form of the Company's stock or cash, which was invested by the ESOP Trustees in the Company's stock. The Company received approval from the Internal Revenue Service to terminate the ESOP effective December 31, 2002 and the plan assets were distributed to the participants and the plan closed in September 2003. Prior to its termination, no contributions had been made to the ESOP since fiscal year 1993.

The Company continues to maintain the 401(k) Plan, which permits voluntary participation upon employment. The 401(k) Plan was adopted in accordance with Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Under the 401(k) Plan, participants may elect to defer up to 15% of their salary through contributions to the plan, which are invested in selected mutual funds or used to buy insurance. In fiscal year 2005, the Company matched 100% for the first 3% and 50% for the next 2% of the employee qualified contribution for a total of 4% match. In fiscal year 2004, the Company matched qualified contributions of 100% of each employee's deferral up to 2% of the employee's salary. The employer contribution may be made in Company's stock or cash. In fiscal year 2005 and 2004, the Company made cash contributions of \$665,000 and \$320,000, respectively. All contributions to the 401(k) Plan vest immediately.

In January 2005, the Company established an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) under Section 423 of the United States Internal Revenue Code. The ESPP allows eligible employees of the Company and its designated affiliates to purchase, through payroll deductions, shares of common stock of the Company from the open market. The Company will not reserve shares of authorized but unissued common stock for issuance under the ESPP. Instead, a designated broker will be purchasing shares for participants on the open market. Eligible employees may purchase the shares at a discount rate of 90% of the closing price of the Company's shares on the American Stock Exchange on the purchase date.

GEOMET, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Versar, has a profit-sharing retirement plan for the benefit of its employees. Contributions are made at the discretion of GEOMET's Board of Directors. No contributions have been made to this plan since fiscal year 1998. Vesting occurs over time, such that an employee is 100% vested after seven years of participation.

**NOTE I COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Versar has a substantial number of U.S. Government contracts, the costs of which are subject to audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency. All fiscal years through 2003 have been audited and closed. Management believes that the effect of disallowed costs, if any, for the periods not yet audited will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The Company leases approximately 173,000 square feet of office space, as well as data processing and other equipment under agreements expiring through 2020. Minimum future obligations under operating leases are as follows:

| Years Ending June 30, | Total<br>Amount<br>(In<br>thousands) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2006                  | \$ 2,855                             |
| 2007                  | 2,478                                |
| 2008                  | 2,274                                |
| 2009                  | 2,182                                |
| 2010                  | 816                                  |
| 2011 and thereafter   | 1,379                                |
|                       | \$ 11,984                            |

Certain of the lease payments are subject to adjustment for increases in utility costs and real estate taxes. Total office rental expense approximated \$2,799,000, \$3,008,000 and \$2,943,000, for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

In May 2004, the Company entered into a letter of credit with the Greek government for approximately \$140,000 to guarantee the performance under a contract to deliver chemical protective suits for the Greek Olympics. The letter of credit was terminated in early fiscal year 2005 with the completion of the Company's obligations under the contract.

**Legal Proceedings**

In August 1997, Versar entered into a contract with the Trustees for the Enviro-Chem Superfund Site, which provided that, based upon an existing performance specification, Versar would refine the design, and construct and operate a soil vapor extraction system. During the performance of the contract, disputes arose between Versar and the Trustees regarding the scope of work. Eventually, Versar was terminated by the Trustees for convenience. The Trustees then failed to pay certain invoices and retainages due Versar.

On March 19, 2001, Versar instituted a lawsuit against the Trustees and three environmental consulting companies in the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, entitled Versar, Inc. v. Roy O. Ball, Trustee, URS Corporation, Environmental Resources Management and Environ Corp., No. 01CV1302. Versar, in seeking to recover amounts due under the remediation contract from the Trustees of the Superfund Site, claimed breach of contract, interference with contractual relationships, negligent misrepresentations, breach of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and implied contract. Mr. Ball and several defendants moved to dismiss the action or, in the alternative, transfer the action to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, where, on April 20, 2001, the two Trustees had filed suit against Versar in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, entitled, Roy O. Ball and Norman W. Bernstein, Trustees v. Versar, Inc., Case No. IPO1-0531 C H/G. The Trustees alleged breach of contract and breach of warranty with respect to the remediation contract and asked for a declaratory judgment on a number of the previously stated claims.

On July 12, 2001, the Federal District Court in Pennsylvania granted defendants' motion to transfer the Pennsylvania lawsuit and consolidate the two legal actions in Indiana. The Company filed an answer and counterclaim to the Indiana lawsuit. The plaintiffs and third-party defendants filed Motions to Dismiss the Company's counterclaim. The court granted the motions in part and denied them in part. Versar amended its answer and counterclaim. In the meantime, plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which the Judge granted in part and denied in part. The Judge held that certain agreements entered into by the parties prevented Versar from recovering certain amounts



**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

under its counterclaim but that Versar could pursue its claim for fraud in other areas. Written and oral discovery which has continued for several years is now completed. The court granted Versar's demand that the Trustees supply requested information and documents. Versar continues to seek additional discovery compliance by the Trustees. Motions for summary judgement are expected to be filed by both parties. No trial date is presently scheduled. Based upon discussions with outside counsel, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution under the Trustees lawsuit will have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Versar and its subsidiaries are parties from time to time to various other legal actions arising in the normal course of business. The Company believes that any ultimate unfavorable resolution of these legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

**NOTE J CUSTOMER INFORMATION**

A substantial portion of the Company's service revenue is derived from contracts with the U.S. Government as follows:

|                                      | July 1,<br>2005 | Years Ended<br>June 30,<br>2004 | June 30,<br>2003 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                                      |                 | (In thousands)                  |                  |
| U.S. Department of Defense           | \$ 31,182       | \$ 22,921                       | \$ 24,343        |
| U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | 3,972           | 4,315                           | 3,312            |
| Other U.S. Government agencies       | 14,786          | 8,558                           | 7,644            |
| <br>                                 |                 |                                 |                  |
| Total U.S. Government                | \$ 49,940       | \$ 35,794                       | \$ 35,299        |

**NOTE K QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Quarterly financial information for fiscal years 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| Quarter ending  | Fiscal Year 2005 |           |           |           | Fiscal Year 2004 |           |           |           |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | Jul 1            | Apr 1     | Dec 31    | Oct 1     | Jun 30           | Mar 31    | Dec 31    | Sept 30   |
| Gross Revenue   | \$ 14,842        | \$ 14,922 | \$ 18,956 | \$ 18,958 | \$ 17,356        | \$ 15,019 | \$ 14,182 | \$ 13,510 |
| Net Service Revenue                                   | 8,980            | 8,822     | 8,792     | 9,044     | 8,970            | 8,342     | 8,168     | 8,176     |
| Operating (loss) income                               | 62               | 307       | 542       | 516       | 575              | 200       | 561       | 577       |
| Income from continuing operations                     | 43               | 283       | 532       | 503       | 619              | 157       | 528       | 523       |
| Loss from discontinued operations                     | (767)            | (185)     | (111)     | (96)      | (158)            | (136)     | (162)     | (180)     |
| Net (loss) income                                     | \$ (724)         | \$ 98     | \$ 421    | \$ 407    | \$ 461           | \$ 21     | \$ 366    | \$ 343    |
| Income per share from continuing operations diluted   | \$               | \$ 0.03   | \$ 0.06   | \$ 0.06   | \$ 0.07          | \$ 0.02   | \$ 0.07   | \$ 0.07   |
| Loss per share from discontinued operations diluted   | \$ (0.09)        | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02)        | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.02) |
| Net (loss) income per share diluted                   | \$ (0.09)        | \$ 0.01   | \$ 0.05   | \$ 0.05   | \$ 0.06          | \$        | \$ 0.05   | \$ 0.05   |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding diluted | 7,924            | 8,302     | 8,345     | 8,312     | 8,105            | 7,737     | 7,569     | 7,518     |

Quarterly earnings per share data may not equal annual total due to fluctuations in common shares outstanding.





## Schedule II

**VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

|   | BALANCE<br>AT<br>BEGINNING<br>OF<br>YEAR | ADDITIONS<br>CHARGED<br>TO<br>COSTS AND<br>EXPENSES | CHARGE<br>OFF | BALANCE<br>AT<br>END OF<br>YEAR |
|---|--|---|---------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS</b>  |  |   |               |                                 |
| 2003                                    | 868,251                                  | 300,137   | (267,996)     | 900,392                         |
| 2004                                    | 900,392                                  | 190,916   | (97,931)      | 993,377                         |
| 2005                                    | 993,377                                  | 99,578  | (631,464)     | 461,491                         |
| <b>DEFERRED TAX VALUATION ALLOWANCE</b> |  |   |               |                                 |
| 2003                                    | 3,156,000                                | 1,097,000   | (141,000)     | 4,112,000                       |
| 2004                                    | 4,112,000                                |   | (330,000)     | 3,782,000                       |
| 2005                                    | 3,782,000                                |   | (252,000)     | 3,530,000                       |

F-21