

RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
May 15, 2012

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012**
- or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the transition period from** \_\_\_\_\_ **to** \_\_\_\_\_

**001-33737**  
**(Commission File Number)**

---

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**  
**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware**  
**(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation**  
**Or Organization)**

**84-1374481**  
**(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)**

---

**1251 Avenue of the Americas**  
**New York, New York 10020**

---

**(Address of principal executive offices)**

**Registrant's telephone number: (212) 356-0500**

---

**(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changes Since Last Report)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of May 3, 2012, there were 32,805,399 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

---

**SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements reflect the current view about future events and financial performance based on certain assumptions. They include opinions, forecasts, projections, assumptions, guidance, expectations, beliefs or other statements that are not statements of historical fact. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as may, can, will, should, could, expects, hopes, believes, anticipates, estimates, predicts, projects, potential, intends, approximates or the negative or other variation of such terms and other combinations of words and phrases. Forward-looking statements in this report may include statements about:

- the successful development, implementation and adoption of our new DirectMarkets platform;
- future financial and operating results, including projections of revenues, income, expenditures, cash balances and our capital requirements and the need for additional financing;
- our ability to secure new client engagements;
- our ability to successfully consummate financing and merger and acquisition transactions on behalf of our clients;
- our ability to execute our growth, expansion and acquisition strategies;
- the outcome of various regulatory and legal proceedings in which we are currently involved;
- the performance of any of our financial products and their potential to generate revenues;
- development of new financial products;
- current and future economic and political conditions;
- overall industry and market performance and trends;
- competition;
- management's goals and plans for future operations;
- the impact of increased regulatory scrutiny on future operations;
- the revenue and profit volatility stemming from our operations;
- the performance of service providers upon which our operations rely;
- the additional risks and uncertainties stemming from entry into new businesses;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property rights and secure the right to use other intellectual property that we deem to be essential to the conduct of our business;
- the impact of expanded corporate governance on the number of available business opportunities;
- the impact of legal liability on future operations;
- the impact of employee misconduct on future operations;
- the increased risk of financial liability and reputational harm resulting from adverse regulatory action;
- the impact of the Investment Company Act of 1940 on future operations; and
- other assumptions described in this prospectus underlying or relating to any forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this report are only predictions. Actual results could, and likely will, differ materially from these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks described under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. No guarantee about future results, performance or achievements can be made. These forward-looking statements are made only as of the date hereof, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.



RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>	
<b><u>Part I. Financial Information</u></b>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	1
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	29
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	30
<b><u>Part II. Other Information</u></b>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	31
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	32
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	32
	<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	33

RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I  
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three month period ended March 31, 2012 (unaudited) and for the year ended December 31, 2011</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	6

## RODMAN &amp; RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011  
Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Unrestricted	\$ 6,534	5,789
Restricted	1,762	1,602
<b>Total cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>8,296</b>	<b>7,391</b>
Financial instruments owned, at fair value:		
Corporate equity securities	5,302	5,064
Merchant banking investments	4,722	9,559
Derivatives	5,904	4,767
Fixed income	2,713	1,093
Other investments	1,327	1,379
<b>Total financial instruments owned, at fair value</b>	<b>19,968</b>	<b>21,862</b>
Private placement and other fees receivable	3,113	1,766
Receivable from brokers, dealers & clearing agencies	3,427	1,828
Prepaid expenses	829	512
Property and equipment, net	2,717	3,027
Other assets	2,604	2,440
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	986	
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 41,940</b>	<b>38,826</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Accrued compensation payable	\$ 4,492	5,924
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,742	4,610
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		
Corporate equity securities	2,916	1,643
Derivatives	533	
<b>Total financial instruments sold, not yet purchased, at fair value</b>	<b>3,449</b>	<b>1,643</b>
Long term convertible debt	5,781	5,997
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>19,464</b>	<b>18,174</b>
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 7)		
<b>Stockholders Equity</b>		
Common stock, \$0.001, par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 33,027,699 and 33,672,699 issued as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	33	34
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 authorized; none issued		
Additional paid-in capital	74,077	74,221
Treasury stock, 53,500 shares and 100,000 shares as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	(55)	(46)
Accumulated deficit	(51,579)	(53,557)

Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

<b>Total Stockholders Equity</b>	22,476	20,652
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 41,940</b>	<b>38,826</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## RODMAN &amp; RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**Consolidated Statements of Operations for the  
Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)**  
Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Investment banking	\$ 23,476	27,471
Merchant banking	(5,037)	566
Brokerage	6,510	1,162
Conference fees		446
Principal transactions	204	(2,315)
Interest and other income	291	15
	<u>25,444</u>	<u>27,345</u>
Total revenues		
<b>Operating expenses:</b>		
Compensation and benefits	13,882	17,863
Conference expense		2,907
Professional and consulting	2,688	1,574
Occupancy and equipment rentals	823	773
Advertising and marketing	187	307
Communication and market research	1,883	914
Execution and clearing	1,010	78
Depreciation and amortization	403	397
Business development	1,006	1,299
Interest expense	114	205
Bad debt expense		37
Hudson acquisition related expense		418
Other	1,470	862
	<u>23,466</u>	<u>27,634</u>
Total operating expenses		
Operating income (loss)	1,978	(289)
Income tax expense (benefit)		(103)
	<u>1,978</u>	<u>(186)</u>
Net income (loss)		
<b>Net income (loss) per common share:</b>		
Basic	\$ 0.05	(0.01)
Diluted	\$ 0.05	(0.01)
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</b>		
Basic	36,423	35,208
Diluted	42,549	35,208

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## RODMAN &amp; RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the  
Three Month Period Ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited) and the Year Ended December 31, 2011  
Dollars in Thousands**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2012</b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2011</b>
<b>Common stock:</b>		
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 34	33
Acquisition related issuance		3
Treasury stock retirement	(1)	(2)
Balance, end of the period	<u>\$ 33</u>	<u>34</u>
<b>Additional paid-in-capital:</b>		
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 74,221	69,654
Stock based compensation	601	2,290
Treasury stock retirement	(518)	(3,134)
Acquisition related issuance		5,260
Other	(227)	151
Balance, end of the period	<u>\$ 74,077</u>	<u>74,221</u>
<b>Accumulated deficit:</b>		
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ (53,557)	(17,166)
Net income (loss)	1,978	(36,391)
Balance, end of the period	<u>\$ (51,579)</u>	<u>(53,557)</u>
<b>Treasury stock, at cost:</b>		
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ (46)	(260)
Treasury stock purchases	(528)	(2,923)
Treasury stock retirement	519	3,137
Balance, end of the period	<u>\$ (55)</u>	<u>(46)</u>
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<u>\$ 22,476</u>	<u>20,652</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## RODMAN &amp; RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the  
Three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)  
Dollars in Thousands**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,978	(186)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	566	397
Restricted cash	(160)	(1)
Stock based compensation	601	851
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	2,094	6,009
Private placement and other fees receivable	(1,347)	(229)
Receivable from brokers, dealers & clearing agencies	(1,599)	7,162
Prepaid expenses	(317)	87
Other assets	195	1,158
Financial instruments sold not yet purchased, at fair value	1,481	(3,913)
Accrued compensation payable	(1,432)	(8,156)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	155	286
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>2,215</u>	<u>3,465</u>
<b>Cash flows used in investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment and capitalization of software development costs	(438)	(208)
Merchant banking investments	(200)	
Acquisition	(250)	(126)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(888)</u>	<u>(334)</u>
<b>Cash used in financing activities:</b>		
Extinguishment of convertible debt	(54)	
Purchase of treasury stock	(528)	(636)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(582)</u>	<u>(636)</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	745	2,495
<b>Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period</b>	<u>5,789</u>	<u>13,350</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents end of period</b>	<u>\$ 6,534</u>	<u>15,845</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Income taxes paid	\$ 32	204
Interest paid	\$ 58	205

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**NOTE 1 - Organization, Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation**

**General**

Rodman & Renshaw Capital Group, Inc. ( RRCG ) is a Delaware holding company which was organized on December 20, 2006. RRCG, through its various subsidiaries, is engaged in investment banking, sales and trading, merchant banking and the development of the first-ever 24/7 automated electronic transaction platform to link issuers with investors for primary offerings of securities by existing publicly-traded companies. RRCG's principal operating subsidiaries are: (i) Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ( R&R ); (ii) Rodman Principal Investments, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ( RPI ); and (iii) Direct Markets, Inc., a Delaware corporation ( DirectMarkets ). R&R is a broker dealer registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ( FINRA ). RPI serves as the holding vehicle for all of RRCG's principal-related businesses, including Aceras BioMedical LLC ( Aceras BioMedical ), a joint venture through which RRCG, in partnership with Aceras Partners, LLC ( Aceras Partners ), makes principal investments in early-stage biotechnology and life sciences companies. DirectMarkets will operate an automated state-of-the art electronic transaction platform to directly link existing public company issuers and investors seeking to transact primary offerings of securities. RRCG and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to herein as Company, we, our or us .

On May 4, 2012, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Rodman & Renshaw Capital Group, Inc, the stockholders approved the name change of the Company to Direct Markets Holdings Corp. It is expected that the name change will be implemented on or about June 1, 2012.

**NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Interim Financial Statements**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for interim financial information. In the opinion of management, the unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2012, the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. The results for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any subsequent quarter or the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements that are prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ).

These unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2011 as filed with the SEC.

**Principles of Consolidation**

The Company's policy is to consolidate all entities in which it owns more than 50% of the outstanding voting stock and has control. In addition, the Company consolidates entities which lack characteristics of an operating entity or business for which it is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party who has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has an obligation to absorb losses of the entity or a right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity. In situations where the Company has significant influence but not control of an entity that does not qualify as a variable interest entity, the Company applies the equity method of accounting. In those cases where its investment is less than 20% and significant influence does not exist, the investments are carried at fair value. Significant influence generally is deemed to exist when the Company owns 20% to 50% of the voting equity of an entity or when it holds at least 3% of a limited partnership interest. If the Company does not consolidate an entity or applies the equity method of accounting, it accounts for the investment at fair value.

All material intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Financial Instruments at Fair Value**

As defined in Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 820, fair value generally is based on quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on other relevant factors, including dealer price quotations, price activity for equivalent instruments and valuation pricing models. Among the factors considered in determining the fair value of financial instruments are discount margins, weighted average spreads, discounted anticipated cash flows, the terms and liquidity of the instrument, the financial condition, operating results and credit ratings of the issuer or underlying company, the quoted market price of publicly traded securities with similar duration and yield, as well as other measurements. Certain financial instruments have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, such financial instruments are adjusted to the point within the bid-ask range that meets the Company's best estimate of fair value. For offsetting positions in the same financial instrument, the same price within the bid-ask spread is used to measure both the long and short positions.

The valuation process for financial instruments may include the use of valuation models and other techniques. Adjustments to valuations derived from valuation models may be made when, in management's judgment, either features of the financial instrument such as its complexity, or the market in which the financial instrument is traded require that an adjustment be made to the value derived from the models. An adjustment may be made if a financial instrument is subject to sales restrictions that would result in a price less than the quoted market price. Adjustments from the price derived from a valuation model reflect management's judgment that other participants in the market for the financial instrument being measured at fair value would also consider in valuing that same financial instrument and are adjusted for assumptions about risk uncertainties and market conditions. Results from valuation models and valuation techniques in one period may not be indicative of future period fair value measurements.

Financial instruments owned and financial instruments sold, not yet purchased are stated at fair value, with related changes in unrealized appreciation or depreciation reflected in principal transactions, net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Equity interests in certain private equity securities and limited partnership interests are reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements at fair value, which is often represented at initial cost until significant transactions or developments indicate that a change in the carrying value of the securities is appropriate. This represents the Company's best estimate of exit price. Generally, the carrying values of these securities will be increased or decreased based on company performance in those instances where market values are readily ascertainable by reference to substantial transactions occurring in the marketplace or quoted market prices.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company uses various methods including market, income and cost approaches. Based on these approaches, the Company utilizes assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and or the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable firm inputs. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Financial instrument assets and liabilities carried at fair value have been classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 includes those financial instruments whose valuations are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies calibrated to observable market inputs. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including discount margins, credit spreads, discounted anticipated cash flows, the terms and liquidity of the instrument, the financial condition, operating results and credit ratings of the issuer or underlying company, the quoted market price of publicly traded securities with similar duration and yield, time value, yield curve, default rates, as well as other measurements. In order to be classified as Level 2, substantially all of these assumptions would need to be observable in the marketplace or able to be derived from observable data or supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Level 3 is comprised of financial instruments whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies utilizing significant inputs that are unobservable from objective sources. Included in this category are warrants, private securities, restricted securities and limited partnership interests.

**Value of Underwriter and Placement Agent Warrants**

As a part of the Company's compensation for its activities as underwriter or placement agent, it may receive warrants exercisable to purchase securities similar to those that are offered and sold in the financing transaction. The Company values such warrants using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (Black-Scholes). The model requires management to use five inputs: price, risk-free interest rate, exercise price, time remaining on the warrant and expected price volatility. When the Company initially receives a warrant in connection with, or prior to an initial public offering, it applies a portfolio wide volatility assumption based on the volatility of an index of comparable companies, since there is no price history for new publicly traded or private companies. As each warrant approaches its expiration date, its volatility factor is derived primarily from the implied volatility of traded options or warrants, when available, or the historical prices of its underlying common stock. Management cannot assure that it ultimately will be able to liquidate any of the warrants received in a way that will realize the value attributed to the warrants in the financial statements through the application of Black-Scholes.

The fair value of warrants is recorded in financial instruments owned as derivatives, at fair value on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. When a warrant is received, its fair value is included in investment banking revenue as of the close of the date on which it is earned. Subsequently, any change in fair value is recorded as principal transactions. When a warrant is exercised, the fair value is adjusted to reflect the value of the securities purchased, net of the exercise price, and the adjustment amount is recorded as income or loss for the relevant period. If a warrant expires unexercised, the fair value is adjusted to zero and the decrease is recorded as a loss in the relevant period.

**Value of Merchant Banking Assets**

Merchant banking revenue consists of gains and losses on investments by our Aceras BioMedical joint venture and other principal investments activity. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. ("BioMarin"), the purchaser of Huxley Pharmaceuticals from Aceras ("Huxley"), recorded an impairment charge of \$6.7 million related to certain assets acquired. These assets were IPR&D assets associated with U.S. marketing rights of a product candidate for the treatment of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome that is in Phase 3 clinical trials in the U.S. BioMarin had previously disclosed that it was exploring strategic options for this product candidate in the U.S., including potential out licensing arrangements. In March 2012, BioMarin disclosed that it had determined to suspend these initiatives. Based on this triggering event and lower than expected reported European sales revenue, the Company revised the carrying value of the contingent payments related to the sale of Huxley and recognized a non-cash charge of \$5.0 million in merchant banking revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The value of Aceras BioMedical's assets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was determined based on an independent valuation performed by a third party valuation firm, which took into consideration, where applicable, cash received, cost of the investment, market participant inputs, estimated cash flows based on entity specific criteria, purchase multiples paid in other comparable third-party transactions, market conditions, liquidity, operating results and other qualitative and quantitative factors. The values at which the Company's investments are carried on its books are adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter taking into account, factors including, general economic and stock market conditions.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company generally invests its excess cash in money market funds. Most of the restricted cash relates to letters of credit issued in connection with the Company's office lease agreement and cash held at financial institutions for capital purposes. Cash and cash equivalents includes \$0.4 million on deposit in a Special Reserve Bank Account for the Exclusive Benefit of Customers pursuant to Rule 15c3-3 of the Exchange Act and relates to the Company's soft dollar credit balances.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Revenue Recognition**

*Investment Banking.* Underwriting and placement agent revenues and fees from mergers and acquisitions and other financial advisory assignments are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations when the services related to the underlying transaction are completed under the terms of the engagement. Expenses associated with such transactions are estimated and recorded when the related revenue is recognized or the engagement is otherwise concluded. Underwriting and placement agent revenues are presented net of related expenses. When the Company is not the lead manager for an underwriting transaction, management must estimate the Company's share of transaction-related expenses incurred by the lead manager in order to recognize revenue. Transaction-related expenses are deducted from the underwriting fee and therefore reduce the revenue the Company recognizes as co-manager. Such amounts are adjusted to reflect actual expenses in the period in which the Company receives the final settlement, typically within 90 days following the closing of the transaction.

In connection with some underwritten transactions, the Company may hold in inventory, for a period of time, securities positions to facilitate the completion of the underwritten transactions. Realized and unrealized net gains and losses on these positions are recorded in investment banking revenues.

When the Company receives warrants as a component of its compensation for investment banking services, revenue is recognized based on the fair value of those instruments. Revenue from the receipt of warrants is recognized, as of the close of the date on which it is earned, based on the estimated fair value of the securities received using Black-Scholes, which takes into account the exercise price, remaining life of the warrant, the current price and expected price volatility of the underlying stock, expected dividends on the stock and the risk-free interest rate for the remaining term of the warrant. The following provides details of the Company's investment banking revenue for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Private placements	\$ 12,511	10,637
Warrants	973	4,346
Equity	628	
Strategic advisory	7,745	1,793
Underwriting	1,619	10,695
	\$ 23,476	27,471

*Merchant Banking Revenue.* Merchant banking revenue, consisting of gains and losses on investments by the Company's Aceras BioMedical joint venture and other principal investments activity, was a \$5.0 million loss. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. ("BioMarin"), the purchaser of Huxley Pharmaceuticals from Aceras ("Huxley"), recorded an impairment charge of \$6.7 million related to certain assets acquired. These assets were IPR&D assets associated with U.S. marketing rights of a product candidate for the treatment of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome that is in Phase 3 clinical trials in the U.S. BioMarin had previously disclosed that it was exploring strategic options for this product candidate in the U.S., including potential out licensing arrangements. In March 2012, BioMarin disclosed that it had determined to suspend these initiatives. Based on this triggering event and lower than expected reported European sales revenue, the Company revised the carrying value of the contingent payments related to the sale of Huxley and recognized a non-cash charge of \$5.0 million in merchant banking revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The value of Aceras BioMedical's assets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was determined based on an independent valuation performed by a third party valuation firm, which took into consideration, where applicable, cash received, cost of the investment, market participant inputs, estimated cash flows based on entity specific criteria, purchase multiples paid in other comparable third-party transactions, market conditions, liquidity, operating results and other qualitative and quantitative factors. The values at which the Company's investments are carried on its books are adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter taking into account, factors including, general economic and stock market conditions.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

*Principal Transactions.* Investment related financial instruments owned and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased (all of which are recorded on a trade-date basis) are carried at fair value with gains and losses reflected in principal transactions on a trade-date basis.

*Brokerage.* Brokerage revenues consist of (a) commissions resulting from securities transactions executed as agent or principal and recorded on a trade date basis, net of soft dollar expenses of \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and (b) related net trading gains and losses from market making activities.

The Company permits institutional customers to allocate a portion of their gross commissions to pay for third party research products and other services (commonly referred to as soft dollar arrangements). The Company accounts for the cost of these arrangements on an accrual basis and since the Company is not the primary obligor, expenses relating to soft dollars arrangements are netted against brokerage revenues.

*Conference Fees.* The Company may receive conference deposits from presenters, which are recorded as a liability and then recognized as revenue when the fees are non-refundable. The Company also makes advance payments for conference facilities, entertainment and related costs, which are recorded as prepaid expenses and then recognized as expenses when the conference is conducted.

**Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets (generally three to ten years). Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of related leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets, whichever is shorter.

**Software Development Costs**

The Company capitalizes software development costs related to its DirectMarkets business in accordance with FASB ASC Subtopic 985-20 (Costs of Software to be Sold, Leased, or Marketed). Software development costs are capitalized after technological feasibility is established. The DirectMarkets software products are not yet available to clients and potential clients as such the Company as of March 31, 2012 has not commenced amortizing such costs over the related product's estimated economic useful life. Net capitalized software development costs (included in other assets) totaled \$1.4 million at March 31, 2012.

**Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill is not amortized; instead, it is reviewed for impairment at least annually and written down when impaired. Goodwill is impaired when the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the reporting unit.

Intangible assets consist of customer relationships. Customer relationships acquired in business combinations under the purchase method of accounting are recorded at fair value net of accumulated amortization since the acquisition date. Customer relationships are recorded at cost net of accumulated amortization. Intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives using a method of amortization that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are consumed or otherwise used. Amortization is calculated using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives, generally three years.

The Company reviews its finite-lived intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of finite-lived intangible asset may not be recoverable pursuant to the provisions of ASC 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. Recoverability of a finite-lived intangible asset is measured by a comparison of its carrying amount to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset, which is determined based on discounted cash flows.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Earnings Per Share ( EPS )**

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, which includes restricted stock and restricted stock units ( RSUs ) for which service has been provided. Diluted EPS includes the components of basic EPS and also includes the dilutive effects of restricted stock and RSUs for which service has not yet been provided, employee stock options and warrants. Additionally, diluted EPS includes an addback of the convertible debt interest expense and the convertible debt share equivalents to the numerator and denominator, respectively.

**Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Management evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets quarterly. In determining the possible future realization of deferred tax assets, the future taxable income from the following sources is taken into account: (a) the reversal of taxable temporary differences; (b) future operations exclusive of reversing temporary differences; and (c) tax planning strategies that, if necessary, would be implemented to accelerate taxable income into years in which net operating losses might otherwise expire.

ASC Subtopic 740-10 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. Management does not believe that the Company has any material uncertain tax position requiring recognition or measurement in accordance with the provisions of ASC Subtopic 740-10.

The Company's policy is to classify penalties and interest associated with uncertain tax positions, if required, as a component of its income tax provision. As a result of having no material unrecognized tax benefits on uncertain tax positions, the Company has no material amounts for associated interest and penalties recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition or the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Legal Reserves**

The Company recognizes a liability for a contingency when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. When a range of probable loss can be estimated, the Company accrues the most likely amount of such loss, and if such amount is not determinable, then the Company accrues the minimum of the range of probable loss.

Reserves related to legal proceedings are established and maintained. The determination of these reserve amounts requires significant judgment on the part of management. The Company's management considers many factors including, but not limited to: the amount of the claim; the basis and validity of the claim; previous results in similar cases; and legal precedents and case law. Each legal proceeding is reviewed with counsel in each accounting period and the reserve is adjusted as deemed appropriate by management. As of March 31, 2012, there were no material legal reserves accrued in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements is in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**Concentrations of Credit Risk**

R&R is engaged in trading and provides a broad range of securities brokerage and investment services to institutional clients as well as private placement services to business entities. Counterparties to the R&R's business activities include broker-dealers, clearing organizations, banks, investment banking clients, and other financial institutions.

R&R uses clearing brokers to process transactions and maintain client accounts on a fee basis. R&R permits the clearing firms to extend credit to a client secured by cash and securities in the client's account. R&R's exposure to credit risk associated with the non-performance by its clients and counterparties in fulfilling their contractual obligations can be directly impacted by volatile or illiquid trading markets, which may impair the ability of clients and counterparties to satisfy their obligations to R&R. R&R has agreed to indemnify its clearing brokers for losses incurred while extending credit to R&R's clients. R&R's policy is to review, as necessary, the credit standing of its clients and counterparties. Amounts due from clients that are considered uncollectible are charged back to R&R by the clearing brokers when such amounts become determinable.

Financial instruments sold but not yet purchased commit R&R to deliver specified securities at predetermined prices. The transactions may result in market risk since, to satisfy the obligation, R&R must acquire the financial instruments at market prices, which may exceed the values reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

**Forgivable Loans**

The Company issues forgivable loans as a retention vehicle to certain new and existing employees. These loans are subject to a substantive service requirement by the employees and are amortized over the service period on a straight-line basis. As of March 31, 2012, the net unamortized balance of forgivable loans was \$0.2 million, which is included in other assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The Company recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million of compensation expense related to the amortization of these loans during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company measures its compensation cost for all stock-based awards at fair value on the date of grant, taking into account any post vesting selling restrictions, and recognizes the compensation expense over the requisite service period pursuant to the provisions of ASC Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation. Expenses associated with such grants are generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures.

Stock based compensation for performance-based stock awards is recognized on a graded vesting basis over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures, if it is probable that the performance condition will be achieved.

Deferred stock based compensation costs with respect to shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units and stock options granted are presented as part of additional paid in capital in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity.

**NOTE 3 Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued accounting updates to ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements Topic—Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, which provide clarifying guidance on how to measure fair value and additional disclosure requirements. The amendments prohibit the use of blockage factors at all levels of the fair value hierarchy and provide guidance on measuring financial instruments that are managed on a net portfolio basis. Additional disclosure requirements include transfers between Levels 1 and 2; and for Level 3 fair value measurements, a description of our valuation processes and additional information about unobservable inputs impacting Level 3 measurements. The updates are effective March 1, 2012 and will be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220) on presenting comprehensive income with the intention of increasing its prominence in financial statements by eliminating the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholder's equity. The standard requires comprehensive income to be reported in either a single statement that presents the components of net income, the components of other comprehensive income, and total comprehensive income, or in two consecutive statements. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows or the presentation of those financial statements as the Company had no other comprehensive income in 2012.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU, Testing Goodwill for Impairment ( ASU 2011-08 ) to ASC Topic 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. The update outlines amendments to the two step goodwill impairment test permitting an entity to first assess qualitative factors in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. The update is effective for annual and interim goodwill tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to affect our financial condition, results of operation or cash flows.

**NOTE 4 - Financial Instruments, at Fair Value**

The following is a summary of the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by level within the fair value hierarchy (in thousands of dollars):

	<b>March 31, 2012</b>			
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Assets:</b>				
Financial instruments owned:				
Corporate equity securities	\$ 5,286		16	5,302
Merchant banking investments			4,722	4,722
Derivatives	19		5,885	5,904
Fixed income		2,713		2,713
Other investments			1,327	1,327
	\$ 5,305	2,713	11,950	19,968
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Corporate equity securities	\$ 2,916			2,916
Derivatives	1		532	533
	\$ 2,917		532	3,449

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Financial instruments owned:				
Corporate equity securities	\$ 4,928		136	5,064
Merchant banking investments			9,559	9,559
Derivatives	20		4,747	4,767
Fixed income		1,093		1,093
Other investments			1,379	1,379
	\$ 4,948	1,093	15,821	21,862
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased	\$ 1,643			1,643
	\$ 1,643			1,643

Financial instruments are assessed on a quarterly basis to determine the appropriate classification within the fair value hierarchy, as defined by ASC 820. Transfers between fair value classifications occur when there are changes in pricing observability levels. Transfers of financial instruments among the levels occur at the end of the reporting period. There were no significant transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 classified instruments during 2012.

The following is a description of the valuation basis, including valuation techniques and inputs, used in measuring the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis:

*Corporate Equity Securities.*

**Exchange Traded Equity Securities:** Exchange-traded equity securities, including over-the-counter securities, are measured based on quoted exchange prices, which are generally obtained from pricing services, and are categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

**Non-exchange Traded Equity Securities:** Non-exchange traded equity securities, excluding over-the-counter securities, are categorized as Level 3 financial instruments and measured using valuation techniques involving quoted prices of, or market data for, comparable companies, similar company ratios and multiples (e.g., price/EBITDA, price/book value), discounted cash flow analyses and transaction prices observed for subsequent financing or capital issuance by the company. When using pricing data of comparable companies, judgment must be applied to adjust the pricing data to account for differences between the measured security and the comparable security (e.g., issuer market capitalization, yield, dividend rate, geographical concentration).

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

*Merchant Banking Investments.*

The value of the Company's investment in Aceras BioMedical's assets is categorized as Level 3 financial instruments. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. ("BioMarin"), the purchaser of Huxley Pharmaceuticals from Aceras ("Huxley"), recorded an impairment charge of \$6.7 million related to certain assets acquired. These assets were IPR&D assets associated with U.S. marketing rights of a product candidate for the treatment of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome that is in Phase 3 clinical trials in the U.S. BioMarin had previously disclosed that it was exploring strategic options for this product candidate in the U.S., including potential out licensing arrangements. In March 2012, BioMarin disclosed that it had determined to suspend these initiatives. Based on this triggering event and lower than expected reported European sales revenue, the Company revised the carrying value of the contingent payments related to the sale of Huxley and recognized a non-cash charge of \$5.0 million in merchant banking revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The value of Aceras BioMedical's assets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was determined based on an independent valuation performed by a third party valuation firm, which took into consideration, where applicable, cash received, cost of the investment, market participant inputs, estimated cash flows based on entity specific criteria, purchase multiples paid in other comparable third-party transactions, market conditions, liquidity, operating results and other qualitative and quantitative factors. The values at which the Company's investments are carried on its books are adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter taking into account, factors including, general economic and stock market conditions.

*Derivatives.*

Warrants

As a part of the Company's compensation for its activities as underwriter or placement agent, it may receive warrants exercisable to purchase securities similar to those that are offered and sold in the financing transaction. Additionally, the Class B warrants which were issued in conjunction with the Company's 10% Senior Secured Convertible Debentures are accounted for as a separate liability component because the number of shares underlying the warrants are not fixed and determinable.

The Company values warrants using Black-Scholes. The model requires management to use five inputs: price, risk-free interest rate, exercise price, time remaining on the warrant and price volatility. These warrants are categorized as Level 3 financial instruments.

Stock Options

Exchange-traded stock options are measured based on quoted exchange prices, which are generally obtained from pricing services, and are categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

*Fixed Income.*

Fixed income consists of municipal securities which are categorized as Level 2 and measured based on quoted prices obtained from external data providers.

*Other Investments.*

Other investments consist of investments in shell companies and equity limited partnerships which are categorized as Level 3 financial instruments. The shell companies are valued at cost, which for this type of instrument approximates fair value and the equity limited partnerships are valued based on net asset values.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

*Level 3 Financial Assets and Liabilities.*

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities that have been classified as Level 3 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2012**

	<b>Corporate Equity Securities</b>	<b>Merchant Banking</b>	<b>Derivatives</b>	<b>Other Investments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Securities owned:</b>					
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 136	9,559	4,747	1,379	15,821
Purchases / issuances		200	973		1,173
Sales / settlements			(476)	(60)	(536)
Realized and unrealized gains/(losses) (1)	(120)	(5,037)	641	8	(4,508)
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$ 16	4,722	5,885	1,327	11,950
Change in unrealized gains relating to instruments still held at March 31, 2012	\$ (120)	(5,037)	641	8	(4,508)
<b>Securities sold, not yet purchased:</b>					
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$				
Sales / settlements			297		297
Realized and unrealized gains/(losses) (1)			235		235
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$		532		532
Change in unrealized gains relating to instruments still held at March 31, 2012	\$		235		235

(1) Reported in principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2011**

	<b>Corporate Equity Securities</b>	<b>Merchant Banking</b>	<b>Derivatives</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>Other Investments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Securities owned:</b>						
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 1,797	10,557	15,570	2,197	2,159	32,280
Purchases / issuances	788		3,040			3,828
Sales / settlements			(3,921)			(3,921)
Realized and unrealized gains/(losses) (1)	126	309	(3,076)	334	(87)	(2,394)

Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Balance, March 31, 2011	\$	2,711	10,866	11,613	2,531	2,072	29,793
Change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at March 31, 2011	\$	126	309	(3,771)	336	(87)	(3,087)

(1) Reported in principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

16

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**NOTE 5 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

In February 2012, the Company acquired certain assets of The Investor Relations Group, Inc. ( IRG ) an investor and public relations firm. The Company paid approximately \$0.25 million which was allocated to customer list intangible asset and provided for approximately \$0.75 million in estimated contingent payments upon achievement of certain performance criteria, which is reflected in the accounts payable and accrued expenses line item in the consolidated state of financial condition with the offset reflected as goodwill.

The following table represents a summary of the changes to intangible assets from December 31, 2011 to March 31, 2012 (in thousands of dollars):

	<u>Goodwill</u>	<u>Customer Relationships</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$		
Additions	750	250	1,000
Amortization		(14)	(14)
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$ 750	236	986

**NOTE 6 Long-Term Convertible Debt**

Long-term convertible debt is accounted for on an amortized cost basis. The following is a summary of the carrying value (including unamortized discounts) of the Company's long-term debt as of March 31, 2012 (in thousands of dollars):

	<u>March 31, 2012</u>
10.0% Senior Secured Convertible Debentures, due 2013, net of discount (effective interest rate of 11.8%)	\$ 5,781
Long-term convertible debt	\$ 5,781

On October 31, 2011, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement ) with the accredited investors named therein (the Purchasers ). Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company sold, and the Purchasers purchased, jointly and not severally, \$6,650,000 original principal amount of the Company's 10% Senior Secured Convertible Debentures due October 31, 2013 (the Debentures ) with a net share settlement feature, and warrants (the Warrants ) to purchase shares of the Company's Common Stock (the Offering ). The Debentures are convertible into up to 4,433,333 shares of the Company's common stock (the Common Stock ) at any time prior to maturity, based on a conversion price of \$1.50 per share. A net share settlement feature of the Debentures could reduce the number of shares of Common Stock issued upon conversion. The Warrants include Class A Warrants covering up to 2,216,667 shares of Common Stock, which are exercisable commencing six months following the date of issuance and until their expiration on October 31, 2014, at \$1.50 per share, and Class B Warrants covering up to 2,216,667 shares of Common Stock, which are exercisable only in the event of a prepayment of the Debentures and then only to the extent of up to 50% of the number of shares of Common Stock that would have been issued had the prepaid portion of the Debentures been converted into shares of Common Stock. The Class B Warrants expire on October 31, 2013 and have an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The sale and issuance of the securities was in reliance upon an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, set forth in Section 4(2) thereof and/or Regulation D thereunder.

Since the settlement structure of the debt permits settlement in cash upon conversion, we are required to separately account for the liability and equity components of the convertible debt in a manner that reflects our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. This entails bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in equity and then accretion of the resulting discount on the debt being reflected in the Statement of Operations as part of interest expense.

The Class B warrants were accounted for as a separate liability component because the number of shares underlying the warrants was not fixed and determinable. As of March 30, 2012, the value of the Series B Warrants was \$0.5 million. The unrealized change in value of the Series

B Warrants was recorded as a component of principal transactions revenue.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

The Class A warrants which amounted to \$0.4 million and were accounted for as a separate equity component and the Class B warrants which originally amounted to \$0.3 million; both represent part of the original discount on the debt.

**NOTE 7 - Commitments and Contingencies**

**Lease Commitments**

The Company leases its headquarters and other office locations under non-cancelable lease agreements which expire between 2011 and 2014. The Company lease for the 20th floor at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY (the NY Lease ) expires in October 2013. As of September 30, 2011, the only significant change in the Company's lease agreements since December 31, 2010 is the assumption of Hudson Holding Corporation's ( Hudson's ) Jersey City, New Jersey office lease which expires in 2012. The New Jersey office was for the most part abandoned in August 2011.

**Letter of Credit**

In connection with the NY Lease, the Company issued a letter of credit in favor of the landlord in the sum of \$0.8 million, as a security deposit. The letter of credit expires in February 2013 but is subject to automatic extension. The Company has issued additional letters of credit totaling \$0.4 million in favor of other landlords for other office locations.

**Equity Commitment**

The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Rodman Principal Investments, LLC ( RPI ), has made an investment commitment to Aceras BioMedical to fund its operating budget and Aceras BioMedical's principal investments in life science companies. At March 31, 2012, \$10.3 million of this commitment remained unfunded.

**NOTE 8 - Net Capital Requirements**

R&R is subject to various regulatory requirements, including the SEC's Uniform Net Capital Rule (SEC Rule 15c3-1). These regulations place limitations on certain transactions, such as repaying subordinated borrowings, paying cash dividends, and making loans to a parent, affiliates or employees. Broker-dealers are prohibited from such transactions which would result in a reduction of its total net capital to less than 120% of its required minimum net capital. Moreover, broker-dealers are required to notify the SEC before entering into any such transactions, which if executed, would result in a reduction of 30% or more of its excess net capital (net capital less the minimum requirement). The SEC has the ability to prohibit or restrict such transactions if the result is detrimental to the financial integrity of the broker-dealer.

The Company has elected to use the alternative method of computing regulatory net capital pursuant to Rule 15c3-1. At March 31, 2012, R&R had net capital of \$9.0 million, which was \$8.0 million in excess of its required net capital of \$1.0 million.

**NOTE 9 - Income Taxes**

Management evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets quarterly. In determining the possible future realization of deferred tax assets, the future taxable income from the following sources is taken into account: (a) the reversal of taxable temporary differences; (b) future operations exclusive of reversing temporary differences; and (c) tax planning strategies that, if necessary, would be implemented to accelerate taxable income into years in which net operating losses might otherwise expire.

Management evaluated the realizability of its deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2012. Due to the uncertainty in the current operating environment and the resulting impact on the realizability of deferred tax assets the Company continued to record a full valuation allowance on such assets at March 31, 2012.

The Company does not anticipate any significant change in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

During 2011, the United States Internal Revenue Service completed its examination of the Company's U.S. Federal income tax return for the year 2009 with no changes to the tax return as filed. The Company is not currently under examination by the United States Internal Revenue Service or any other state, local, or foreign taxing jurisdictions.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states, local and foreign jurisdictions. The Company's income tax returns filed for tax years 2008, 2009 and 2010 are subject to examination by the U.S. federal, state and foreign taxing jurisdictions.

**NOTE 10 - Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company recorded stock-based compensation of \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The unamortized deferred stock-based compensation balance as of March 31, 2012 was \$6.4 million and will be fully amortized through 2017.

There were no stock option grants in the first three months of 2012. A summary of stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2012 is as follows (shares in thousands):

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,190	\$ 4.33	\$ 1.11		
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	2,190	\$ 4.33	\$ 1.11	1.0 year	\$
Exercisable at March 31, 2012	2,190	\$ 4.33	\$ 1.11	1.0 year	\$

There was no compensation cost associated with stock options for both the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following tables detail the activity of restricted stock units ( RSUs ) (shares in thousands):

	Shares		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	
	Future Service Required	No Future Service Required (1)	Future Service Required	No Future Service Required
Balance at December 31, 2011	5,396	3,018	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.14
Granted	3,664		0.64	
Forfeited	(660)		1.37	
Vested	(244)	244	0.48	0.48
Balance at March 31, 2012	8,156	3,262	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.09

(1) Represents fully vested RSUs which are still subject to transferability restrictions.

Approximately 3.7 million RSUs were granted, primarily to DirectMarkets related employees, during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Total compensation cost associated with RSUs was \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**NOTE 11 Earnings per Share**

In conjunction with the acquisition of Hudson, outstanding warrants to purchase Hudson common stock were converted into 310,817 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at an average exercise price of \$23.85. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate intrinsic value of these warrants was zero.

The table below reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) computations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Earnings (loss):</b>		
Earnings (loss) for basic earnings per share	1,978	(186)
Addback: Convertible debt related interest	321	
Earnings (loss) for diluted earnings per share	2,299	(186)
<b>Shares:</b>		
Shares outstanding (1)	33,272	33,290
Unearned restricted stock (2)		(45)
Earned RSUs (3)	3,151	1,963
Shares outstanding, basic	36,423	35,208
Convertible debt (4)	4,401	
Unearned RSUs (5)	1,725	
Shares outstanding, diluted	42,549	35,208
<b>Earnings (loss) per share:</b>		
Basic	0.05	(0.01)
Diluted	0.05	(0.01)

- (1) Shares outstanding represents shares issued less shares repurchased in treasury stock.
- (2) As restricted stock is contingent upon a future service condition, unearned shares are removed from shares outstanding in the calculation of basic EPS as the Company's obligation to issue these shares remains contingent.
- (3) As earned RSUs are no longer contingent upon a future service condition and are issuable upon a certain date in the future, earned restricted stock units are added to shares outstanding in the calculation of basic EPS.
- (4) Calculated using the if converted method. The if converted method assumes the conversion of the outstanding convertible debt at the beginning of the period. Related interest expense is added back to the diluted EPS numerator.
- (5) Calculated under the treasury stock method. The treasury stock method assumes the issuance of only a net incremental number of shares as proceeds from issuance are assumed to be used to repurchase shares at the average stock price for the period.

**NOTE 12 Segment Reporting**

The Company operates in three business segments, Capital Markets, DirectMarkets and Merchant Banking. The Capital Markets reportable segment includes the Company's investment banking, sales and trading activities and research. The Capital Markets reportable

segment is managed as a single operating segment that provides the following principal sources of revenue:

investment banking fees, which are derived from corporate finance activities and strategic advisory services;  
realized and unrealized gains with respect to securities held for the Company's own account;  
commissions on sales and trading activities;  
conference fees; and  
other miscellaneous sources of revenues, such as interest.

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

Although the Company has multiple sources of revenue derived within Capital Markets, most of its revenue is derived from (a) investment banking services and consists of private placement, underwriting and strategic advisory fees earned upon the successful completion of financing or other types of corporate transactions, such as mergers, acquisitions and dispositions and (b) sales and trading activities.

The DirectMarkets segment is comprised of operating activities related to the DirectMarkets platform. This year the Company introduced the DirectMarkets platform, the first-ever 24/7 automated electronic transaction platform to link issuers with investors for primary offerings of securities by existing publicly-traded companies. The DirectMarkets platform was developed by the Company over the past several years, building on the expertise obtained in completing more than 580 financing transactions for public companies since 2002. During the last decade, capital-raising options and alternatives for public companies have evolved from traditional follow-on offerings to registered directs, at the market and confidentially marketed public offerings. Through this evolution, the issuer has gained more influence over the capital-raising process by acting on shorter notice and reducing its exposure to market volatility during the life of a deal. The DirectMarkets platform is the next and logical evolutionary step in empowering the issuer and bringing access to the capital markets directly into the C-Suite of public companies through an electronic interface linking public company issuers with investors.

Total DirectMarkets revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.3 million, which came from non-investment banking advisory fees related to the IRG assets recently acquired. The DirectMarkets expenses relate to the non-capitalizable employee and other costs of developing and marketing the DirectMarkets platform.

The Merchant Banking segment is primarily comprised of operating activities related to Aceras BioMedical. On May 12, 2008, the Company formed Aceras BioMedical, a joint venture through which it, in partnership with Aceras Partners, LLC, makes principal investments in early-stage biotechnology and life sciences companies. In conjunction with the establishment of the joint venture, the Company formed RPI, which holds a 50% stake in Aceras BioMedical and serves as the holding vehicle for all of its principal-related businesses. At March 31, 2012, \$10.3 million of this commitment remained unfunded. RPI receives 50% of Aceras BioMedical's economic interest in all investments made.

The Company's net revenues, expenses, and total assets by segment are summarized below (in millions of dollars):

	<u>Capital Markets</u>	<u>Direct Markets</u>	<u>Merchant Banking</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b><u>2012</u></b>				
<b>Three Months Ended March 31</b>				
Total revenues	\$ 30.1	0.3	(5.0)	25.4
Expenses	\$ 20.7	1.9	0.8	23.4
Operating income (loss)	\$ 9.4	(1.6)	(5.8)	2.0
Segment assets	\$ 34.4	2.8	4.7	41.9
<b><u>2011</u></b>				
<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>				
Total revenues	\$ 26.7		0.6	27.3
Expenses	\$ 26.8		0.8	27.6
Operating loss	\$ (0.1)		(0.2)	(0.3)
Segment assets	\$ 55.6	2.7	10.9	69.2

**RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**NOTE 13 – Subsequent Event**

On May 15, 2012, the Company issued a press release addressing the implementation plan for its name change to Direct Markets Holdings Corp., its new business strategy and changes to its board of directors. The press release included disclosure that:

- The Company intends to effect its name change to Direct Markets Holdings Corp. on June 1, 2012;
- The Company expects to begin trading on NASDAQ under a new ticker symbol, MKTS, as soon as practicable thereafter;
- The Company's board of directors has appointed Kevin Lupowitz as Chief Executive Officer of the Company effective September 1, 2012;
- Effective immediately, Kevin Lupowitz will become a member of the Company's board of directors;
- Effective May 11, 2012, Wesley Clark and Anthony Sanfilippo have resigned as directors of the Company; and
- The Company is assessing and exploring strategic alternatives for the Company's investment banking business.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.*

### Overview

We are a full-service investment bank dedicated to providing corporate finance, strategic advisory and related services to public and private companies across multiple sectors and regions. We also provide research and sales and trading services primarily to institutional investors. We are the leader in the PIPE (private investment in public equity) and RD (registered direct offering) transaction markets. We have been ranked the #1 Placement Agent by deal volume of PIPE and RD financing transactions completed every year since 2005. The sectors that we currently serve include life science/healthcare, industrials, airlines and technology. Our primary product and service offerings include financing transactions, including private placements and public offerings. We also provide research and sales and trading services to institutional investors.

This year we introduced our DirectMarkets platform, the first-ever 24/7 automated electronic transaction platform to link issuers with investors for primary offerings of securities by existing publicly-traded companies. The DirectMarkets platform was developed by us over the past several years, building on the expertise that we have obtained in completing more than 580 financing transactions for public companies since 2002. During the last decade, capital-raising options and alternatives for public companies have evolved from traditional follow-on offerings to registered directs, at the market and confidentially marketed public offerings. Through this evolution, the issuer has gained more influence over the capital-raising process by acting on shorter notice and reducing its exposure to market volatility during the life of a deal. The DirectMarkets platform is the next and logical evolutionary step in empowering the issuer and bringing access to the capital markets directly into the C-Suite of public companies through an electronic interface linking public company issuers with investors.

### Business Segments

We operate in three business segments, Capital Markets, DirectMarkets and Merchant Banking. The Capital Markets reportable segment includes our investment banking, sales and trading activities and research. The Capital Markets reportable segment is managed as a single operating segment that provides the following principal sources of revenue:

- investment banking fees, which are derived from corporate finance activities and strategic advisory services;
- realized and unrealized gains with respect to securities held for our own account;
- commissions on sales and trading activities;
- conference fees; and
- other miscellaneous sources of revenues, such as interest.

Although we have multiple sources of revenue derived within Capital Markets, most of its revenue is derived from (a) investment banking services and consists of private placement, underwriting and strategic advisory fees earned upon the successful completion of financing or other types of corporate transactions, such as mergers, acquisitions and dispositions and (b) sales and trading activities.

The DirectMarkets segment is comprised of operating activities related to the DirectMarket platform. This year we introduced our DirectMarkets platform, the first-ever 24/7 automated electronic transaction platform to link issuers with investors for primary offerings of securities by existing publicly-traded companies. The DirectMarkets platform was developed by us over the past several years, building on the expertise that we have obtained in completing more than 580 financing transactions for public companies since 2002. During the last decade, capital-raising options and alternatives for public companies have evolved from traditional follow-on offerings to registered directs, at the market and confidentially marketed public offerings. Through this evolution, the issuer has gained more influence over the capital-raising process by acting on shorter notice and reducing its exposure to market volatility during the life of a deal. The DirectMarkets platform is the next and logical evolutionary step in empowering the issuer and bringing access to the capital markets directly into the C-Suite of public companies through an electronic interface linking public company issuers with investors.

The Merchant Banking segment is primarily comprised of operating activities related to Aceras BioMedical. On May 12, 2008, we formed Aceras BioMedical, a joint venture through which we, in partnership with Aceras Partners, make principal investments in early-stage biotechnology and life sciences companies. In conjunction with the establishment of the joint venture, we formed a new wholly-owned subsidiary which holds a 50% stake in Aceras BioMedical and serves as the holding vehicle for all of our principal-related businesses. At March 31, 2012, our outstanding investment commitment to Aceras BioMedical to fund operations and the joint venture's principal investments in life science companies was \$10.3 million. We receive 50% of Aceras BioMedical's economic interest in all investments made.

## Business Environment

2011 was a very difficult period in our industry and the trend has continued to date. With the many geopolitical and economic uncertainties, it is difficult to time a market recovery or predict its sustainability. Market conditions and valuations for companies in the sectors in which we are active, as well as general market conditions, can materially affect our financial performance. Declining valuations in various sectors in which we are active, unprecedented volatility and lack of liquidity in certain sectors of the capital markets, as well as a slowing of economic growth generally has led to declines in financing activity, smaller financing transactions, and declining trading activity and a resulting decline in revenue from prior periods. It is not possible to predict whether, and to what degree, these conditions will continue, abate, or reverse, and the level of capital markets and trading activity is expected to remain uncertain for the foreseeable future. In addition, the nature of our revenue generation, including the size of transactions, the timing of transaction closings and the sectors in which those transactions occur, make future performance difficult to predict and potentially highly variable. Revenues for many of the services we provide are earned only upon the successful completion of a transaction. Accordingly, revenues and net income in any period may not be indicative of full-year results or the results of any other period and may vary significantly from period to period.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. Actual results can and will differ from estimates. These differences could be material to the financial statements.

We believe our application of accounting policies and the estimates required therein are reasonable. These accounting policies and estimates are constantly re-evaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change. Historically, actual results have not differed materially from those determined using necessary estimates.

Our management believes that our critical accounting policies (policies that are both material to the financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult subjective or complex judgments) are our valuation of financial instruments, valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes and our use of estimates related to compensation and benefits during the year.

### *Valuation of Financial Instruments*

As defined in ASC Topic 820, fair value generally is based on quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on other relevant factors, including dealer price quotations, price activity for equivalent instruments and valuation pricing models. Among the factors considered in determining the fair value of financial instruments are discount margins, weighted average spreads, discounted anticipated cash flows, the terms and liquidity of the instrument, the financial condition, operating results and credit ratings of the issuer or underlying company, the quoted market price of publicly traded securities with similar duration and yield, as well as other measurements. Certain financial instruments have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, such financial instruments are adjusted to the point within the bid-ask range that meets the Company's best estimate of fair value. For offsetting positions in the same financial instrument, the same price within the bid-ask spread is used to measure both the long and short positions.

The valuation process for financial instruments may include the use of valuation models and other techniques. Adjustments to valuations derived from valuation models may be made when, in management's judgment, either features of the financial instrument such as its complexity, or the market in which the financial instrument is traded require that an adjustment be made to the value derived from the models. An adjustment may be made if a financial instrument is subject to sales restrictions that would result in a price less than the quoted market price. Adjustments from the price derived from a valuation model reflect management's judgment that other participants in the market for the financial instrument being measured at fair value would also consider in valuing that same financial instrument and are adjusted for assumptions about risk uncertainties and market conditions. Results from valuation models and valuation techniques in one period may not be indicative of future period fair value measurements.

Financial instruments owned and financial instruments sold, not yet purchased are stated at fair value, with related changes in unrealized appreciation or depreciation reflected in principal transactions, net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Equity interests in certain private equity securities and limited partnership interests are reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements at fair value, which is often represented at initial cost until significant transactions or developments indicate that a change in the carrying value of the securities is appropriate. This represents the Company's best estimate of exit price. Generally, the carrying values of these securities will be increased or decreased based on company performance in those instances where market values are readily ascertainable by reference to substantial transactions occurring in the marketplace or quoted market prices.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company uses various methods including market, income and cost approaches. Based on these approaches, the Company utilizes assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and or the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable firm inputs. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Financial instrument assets and liabilities carried at fair value have been classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 includes those financial instruments whose valuations are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies calibrated to observable market inputs. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including discount margins, credit spreads, discounted anticipated cash flows, the terms and liquidity of the instrument, the financial condition, operating results and credit ratings of the issuer or underlying company, the quoted market price of publicly traded securities with similar duration and yield, time value, yield curve, default rates, as well as other measurements. In order to be classified as Level 2, substantially all of these assumptions would need to be observable in the marketplace or able to be derived from observable data or supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace.

Level 3 is comprised of financial instruments whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies utilizing significant inputs that are unobservable from objective sources. Included in this category are warrants, private securities, restricted securities and limited partnership interests.

#### *Compensation and Benefits*

The use of estimates is important in determining compensation and benefits expenses for interim and year end periods. A substantial portion of our compensation and benefits represents discretionary bonuses. In addition to the level of net revenues and pre-tax income, our overall compensation expense in any given year is influenced by prevailing labor markets, revenue mix and our use of equity-based compensation programs. We believe the most appropriate way to allocate estimated annual discretionary bonuses among interim periods is in proportion to net revenues and pre-tax income earned or reasonably expected. Consequently, we generally accrue interim compensation and benefits based on annual targeted compensation amounts and interim revenues received.

#### *Goodwill*

At least annually, and more frequently if warranted, we assess whether goodwill has been impaired by comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The fair value of reporting units are based on valuations techniques that we believe market participants would use, although the valuation process requires significant judgment and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. The estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value could have a significant effect on whether or not an impairment charge is recorded and the magnitude of such a charge. Adverse market or economic events could result in impairment charges in future periods. (Refer to Note 5, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, in our Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail on our assessment of goodwill.)

*Income Taxes*

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Management on an ongoing basis, at least quarterly, evaluates our tax positions and ascertains whether those tax positions that may be uncertain require de-recognition or re-measurement. We do not believe that there are any material uncertain tax position requiring de-recognition or measurement.

*First quarter of 2012 compared to first quarter of 2011*
**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	March 31, 2012		March 31, 2011	
		% of Net Revenue		% of Net Revenue
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Investment banking	\$ 23,476		27,471	
Merchant banking	(5,037)		566	
Brokerage	6,510		1,162	
Conference fees			446	
Principal transactions	204		(2,315)	
Interest and other income	291		15	
Total revenues	25,444		27,345	
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Compensation and benefits	13,882	54.6%	17,863	65.3%
Conference expense		0.0%	2,907	10.6%
Professional and consulting	2,688	10.6%	1,574	5.8%
Occupancy and equipment rentals	823	3.2%	773	2.8%
Advertising and marketing	187	0.7%	307	1.1%
Communication and market research	1,883	7.4%	914	3.3%
Execution and clearing	1,010	4.0%	78	0.3%
Depreciation and amortization	403	1.6%	397	1.5%
Business development	1,006	4.0%	1,299	4.8%
Interest expense	114	0.4%	205	0.7%
Bad debt expense		0.0%	37	0.1%
Hudson acquisition related expense		0.0%	418	1.5%
Other	1,470	5.8%	862	3.2%
Total operating expenses	23,466	92.2%	27,634	101.1%
Income (loss) before income taxes	1,978	7.8%	(289)	-1.1%
Income tax expense (benefit)			(103)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,978		(186)	



## Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 included the following non-cash expenses (in thousands of dollars):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31, 2012</b>	<b>March 31, 2011</b>
Stock-based compensation	\$ 601	851
Amortization of forgivable loans	117	546
Depreciation and amortization	403	397
Total	\$ 1,121	1,794

### **Revenues**

#### **Merchant Banking Segment**

Merchant banking revenue consists of gains and losses on investments by our Aceras BioMedical joint venture and other principal investments activity. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. ("BioMarin"), the purchaser of Huxley Pharmaceuticals from Aceras ("Huxley"), recorded an impairment charge of \$6.7 million related to certain assets acquired. These assets were IPR&D assets associated with U.S. marketing rights of a product candidate for the treatment of Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome that is in Phase 3 clinical trials in the U.S. BioMarin had previously disclosed that it was exploring strategic options for this product candidate in the U.S., including potential out licensing arrangements. In March 2012, BioMarin disclosed that it had determined to suspend these initiatives. Based on this triggering event and lower than expected reported European sales revenue, we revised the carrying value of the contingent payments related to the sale of Huxley and recognized a non-cash charge of \$5.0 million in merchant banking revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The value of Aceras BioMedical's assets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was determined based on an independent valuation performed by a third party valuation firm, which took into consideration, where applicable, cash received, cost of the investment, market participant inputs, estimated cash flows based on entity specific criteria, purchase multiples paid in other comparable third-party transactions, market conditions, liquidity, operating results and other qualitative and quantitative factors. The values at which our investments are carried on our books are adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter taking into account, factors including, general economic and stock market conditions.

#### **DirectMarkets Segment**

Total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.3 million, which came from non-investment banking advisory fees related to the Investment Relations Group assets recently acquired.

#### **Capital Market Segment**

Within our Capital Markets segment we derive revenues from two primary sources investment banking and sales and trading.

Total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$30.2 million, representing an increase of 13% from \$26.8 million in the comparable period of 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$5.3 million increase in brokerage revenues related to the Hudson Holding Corporation ( Hudson ) business acquired in the second quarter of 2011.

#### **Investment Banking Revenue**

Our investment banking revenue is derived from private placement and underwriting activities and strategic advisory services. The following table sets forth our revenue from our investment banking activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

#### **Three Months Ended**

	<u>March 31, 2012</u>	<u>March 31, 2011</u>
<b>Revenue:</b>		
Private placement and underwriting	\$ 15,731	25,678
Strategic advisory	7,745	1,793
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total investment banking revenue	\$ 23,476	27,471
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

## Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Investment banking revenue was \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, which included \$1.0 million related to warrants received as compensation for activities as underwriter or placement agent valued using Black-Scholes, as compared to revenue of \$27.5 million, which included \$4.3 million related to warrants received as compensation for activities as underwriter or placement agent valued using Black-Scholes, in the comparable period of 2011:

Private placement and underwriting revenue for the quarter was \$15.7 million, including \$1.0 million of fair value related to warrants received, compared to \$25.7 million, including \$4.3 million of fair value related to warrants received, in the comparable period of 2011.

Strategic advisory fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were \$7.7 million, compared to \$1.8 million for the comparable period of 2011. The increase in investment banking revenue was due in part to one significant advisory fee of \$7.2 million (part of a total \$11.7 million investment banking fee from ZaZa Energy LLC).

During the first quarter of 2012, we earned approximately \$16.8 million in metals & mining and oil and gas transactions. We no longer focus on the metals & mining and oil and gas sectors and the employees who focused on these sectors are no longer employed with us.

### ***Sales and Trading***

Brokerage revenue was \$6.5 million, net of \$0.5 million of soft dollar expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared with \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in brokerage revenues was primarily due to the Hudson business acquired in the second quarter of 2011.

### ***Principal Transactions***

Principal transactions revenue was \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared with a \$2.3 million loss for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in principal transactions is related to the increase in certain biotechnology warrants, partially offset by a loss in our Class B warrants issued in connection with our convertible debt.

*The following discussion combines Capital Markets, DirectMarkets and Merchant Banking expenses.*

### ***Expenses***

#### ***Compensation***

Employee compensation and benefits expense for the first quarter of 2012 was \$13.9 million, compared to \$17.9 million for the first quarter of 2011. Employee compensation and benefits expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012, excluding the \$0.2 million principal transactions gain from revenue, represented 55% of transaction related revenue (revenue excluding principal transactions), compared to 60% in the comparable 2011 period. We target a compensation ratio of 55% to 60% of transaction related revenue on an annualized basis.

#### ***Non-Compensation Expenses***

Non-compensation expense was \$9.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, comparable to the \$9.8 million for the same prior year period. The slight decrease from the prior year's quarter in non-compensation expense was substantially due to:

Additional fixed and variable expenses related to the acquisition of Hudson, including, a \$1.0 million increase in communication and market research and a \$0.9 million increase in execution and clearing;

An increase in professional and consulting fees of \$1.1 million primarily related to certain regulatory inquiries and the expansion of DirectMarkets. As compared to prior periods, during the three-months ended March 31, 2012, we experienced an increase in the scope and amount of information requested by FINRA related to various aspects of our broker-dealer business;

offset by,

A decrease of \$2.9 million related to the discontinuation of the China conference in 2011.

#### ***Income Taxes***

Due to the uncertainty in the current operating environment and the resulting impact on the realizability of our deferred tax assets we continue to record a full valuation allowance on such assets at March 31, 2012.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically satisfied our capital and liquidity requirements through cash generated internally from operations; however, on October 31, 2011 we raised \$6.65 million of 10% Convertible Secured Debentures due 2013 with a net settlement feature, and warrants in a private placement financing.

At December 31, 2011, we had liquid assets, consisting of unrestricted cash, restricted cash, Level 1 assets less Level 1 liabilities, Level 2 assets (consisting of municipal securities) less Level 2 liabilities (also consisting of municipal securities), net current receivables, and due to / due from clearing brokers of \$15.5 million. As of March 31, 2012, we had liquid assets of \$19.9 million. The increase in liquid assets primarily relates to an \$11.7 million investment banking fee from ZaZa Energy LLC, partially offset by year-end bonus and severance payments of approximately \$5.2 million paid during the first quarter of 2012 and treasury stock purchases of \$0.5 million.

The following table sets forth the calculation of liquid assets (non-GAAP) as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in millions of dollars):

	<u>March 31, 2012</u>	<u>December 31, 2011</u>
Total cash and cash equivalents	8.3	7.4
Financial instruments owned, at fair value (Level 1 and Level 2)	8.0	6.1
Private placement and other fees receivable	3.1	1.8
Receivable from brokers, dealers & clearing agencies	3.4	1.8
Financial instruments sold, not yet purchased, at fair value (Level 1)	(2.9)	(1.6)
<b>Liquid Assets (non-GAAP)</b>	<u>19.9</u>	<u>15.5</u>

The timing of bonus and retention compensation payments to our employees may significantly affect our cash position and liquidity from period-to-period. While our employees are generally paid salaries and draws on a semi-monthly basis during the year, bonus payments, which make up a significant portion of total compensation, are generally paid semi-annually or annually.

As a registered securities broker-dealer, we are subject to the net capital requirements of the uniform net capital requirement set forth in Rule 15c3-1 promulgated by the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act. SEC regulations also provide that equity capital may not be withdrawn or cash dividends paid if certain minimum net capital requirements are not met. At March 31, 2012, we had excess net capital of \$8.0 million. Regulatory net capital requirements may change based on investment and underwriting activities.

Because of the nature of settlement transactions in our investment banking and brokerage business, we regularly monitor our liquidity position, including our cash and net capital positions. In light of the uncertainty with respect to the timing of a market recovery and its potential impact on the timing of our receipt of anticipated funds from operating activities, we regularly explore capital raising alternatives.

### Cash Flows

Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents were \$6.5 million at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$0.7 million from \$5.8 million at December 31, 2011.

Operating activities provided \$2.2 million of cash and cash equivalents during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The primary components of cash used for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were: (a) \$0.5 million in treasury stock purchases and (b) \$0.3 million in capitalization of software development costs and \$0.1 in property, equipment and leasehold purchases.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may result from the change in value of a financial instrument due to fluctuations in its market price. Market risk is inherent in all financial instruments. Market risk may be exacerbated in times of trading illiquidity when market participants refrain from transacting in normal quantities and/or at normal bid-offer spreads. Our exposure to market risk is directly related to our role as a financial intermediary in customer trading and to our market-making and investment activities.



## Edgar Filing: RODMAN & RENSHAW CAPITAL GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

We trade in equity securities as an active participant in both listed and OTC equity markets. We maintain securities in inventory to facilitate our market-making activities and customer order flow. We use a variety of risk management techniques and hedging strategies in the ordinary course of our trading business, including establishing position limits by product type and industry sector, closely monitoring inventory turnover, maintaining long and short positions in related securities, and using exchange-traded equity options and other derivative instruments.

In connection with our trading business, management also reviews reports appropriate to the risk profile of specific trading activities. Typically, market conditions are evaluated and transaction details and securities positions are reviewed.

These activities seek to ensure that trading strategies are within acceptable risk tolerance parameters, particularly when we commit our own capital to facilitate client trading. Our accounting department is actively involved in ensuring the integrity and clarity of the daily profit and loss statements, to the extent that we maintain trading positions for a period longer than one day. Activities include price verification procedures, position reconciliation and review of transaction booking. We believe that these procedures, which stress timely communications between our traders and senior management, are important elements of the risk management process.

At March 31, 2012, \$5.9 million, or 30% of \$20.0 million of financial instruments owned, at fair value, represented investments in warrants received in conjunction with our investment banking activities. \$4.7 million or 24% of financial instruments owned is related to our merchant banking activity. The remaining 46% of the financial instruments owned represents listed equity securities, restricted securities and investments in affiliates at fair value.

The primary quantifiable market risk associated with our financial instruments is sensitivity to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk represents the potential loss from adverse changes in market interest rates. The risk management strategies that we employ use various risk sensitivity metrics to measure such risk and to examine behavior under significant adverse market conditions. We use an interest rate sensitivity simulation to assess our interest rate risk exposure. For purposes of presenting the possible earnings effect of a hypothetical, adverse change in interest rates over the 12-month period from our reporting date, we assume that all interest rate sensitive financial instruments will be impacted by a hypothetical, immediate 100 basis point increase in interest rates as of the beginning of the period. The sensitivity is based upon the hypothetical assumption that all relevant types of interest rates that affect our results would increase instantaneously, simultaneously and to the same degree.

The sensitivity analyses of the interest rate sensitive financial instruments are hypothetical and should be used with caution. Changes in fair value based on a 1% or 2% variation in an estimate generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in the estimate to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular estimate on the fair value of financial instruments is calculated independent of changes in any other estimate; in practice, changes in one factor may result in changes in another factor, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. In addition, the sensitivity analyses do not consider any action that we may take to mitigate the impact of any adverse changes in the key estimates.

Based on our analysis, as of March 31, 2012, the effect of a 100+/- basis point change in interest rates on the value of our warrant portfolio and the resultant effect on our pre-tax income is considered immaterial.

The value of Aceras BioMedical's assets in our merchant banking segment was determined based on a valuation which takes into consideration, when applicable, cash received, cost of the investment, market participant inputs, estimated cash flows based on entity specific criteria, purchase multiples paid in other comparable third-party transactions, market conditions, liquidity, operating results and other qualitative and quantitative factors. The values at which our investments are carried on our books are adjusted to estimated fair value at the end of each quarter and the instability in general economic conditions, stock markets and regulatory conditions may result in significant changes in the estimated fair value of these investments. The primary quantifiable market risk associated with Aceras BioMedical's assets is sensitivity to changes in interest rates. Based on our analysis as of March 31, 2012 assuming a 100 basis point increase in interest rates, we estimated the reduction of pre-tax income to be immaterial.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We face significant legal risks in our businesses and in recent years, as we have expanded, including into China, the volume of claims and amount of damages sought in litigation and regulatory proceedings against investment banking firms have been increasing. These risks include potential liability under Federal securities and other laws in connection with securities offerings and other transactions, including public offerings in which we act as underwriter, as well as advice and opinions we may provide concerning strategic transactions. While we are typically indemnified in connection with such claims, indemnification provisions are often difficult to enforce, particularly in circumstances where the indemnifying party's principal assets are located outside of the United States, as is the case in many of our underwritten offerings. In addition, like most investment banking firms, we could be the subject of claims made by current and former employees arising out of their employment or termination of employment with us. These claims often relate to dissatisfaction with an employee's bonus or separation payment, or involve allegations that the employee was the subject of some form of discrimination, retaliation or other unlawful employment practice.

During 2011, we were named as a party defendant in various litigations brought as class actions and one derivative action relating to underwritten public offerings that we participated in involving China based businesses. To date, we have been completely dismissed from one of the class actions and the plaintiffs in two of the class actions have voluntarily dismissed those actions in their entirety. The remaining actions are in the preliminary stages and while we believe that we have meritorious defenses, the proceedings are at too early a stage to effectively evaluate the merits or predict the outcome. There is no assurance that we will not be named in other actions brought or that the result of the pending actions or any future actions, or the costs of defense of those actions, will not adversely affect us.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information regarding our risk factors appears in Part I, Item 1A. of our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, as amended. These risk factors describe some of the assumptions, risks, uncertainties and other factors that could adversely affect our business or that could otherwise result in changes that differ materially from our expectations. There have been no material changes to the risk factors contained in our annual report except for the following additional risk factor related to our broker dealer business.

***Our broker-dealer business is the subject of ongoing inquiries and examinations by FINRA. We are presently unable to assess the potential impact that ongoing inquiries and examinations and/or the results of such inquiries and examinations will have on the operations of our broker-dealer business or our financial condition or result of operations.***

Since March 2004, we have received inquiries, subpoenas and requests for production of documents from the SEC, FINRA and the Attorney General of the State of New York with respect to PIPE transactions in which we acted as placement agent. We have responded to each such inquiry, subpoena and request, and, to our best knowledge, our responses have been to the satisfaction of the inquiring party. In addition, we regularly receive inquiries from FINRA relating to various aspects of our business including, but not limited to, our participation in financing transactions in which we acted as placement agent or underwriter. Recently, we have experienced an increase in the scope and amount of information requested by FINRA related to various aspects of our broker-dealer business and several inquiries and examinations are ongoing. We expect that these inquiries and examinations will continue and that FINRA will seek further information from us in connection therewith. We are not currently in a position to assess the likely outcome of these pending inquiries and examinations, or whether incremental inquiries or examinations will be initiated. We intend, however, to continue to fully cooperate with FINRA and respond to any incremental information requests. There is no assurance, however, that the outcome of any presently pending inquiry or examination, or future inquiry or examination, will not have a material adverse effect on our broker-dealer business, financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds***Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities*

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	(d) Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
January 1 - January 31, 2012	200,000	\$ 0.61	200,000	1,625,704
February 1 - February 29, 2012	200,000	\$ 0.97	200,000	1,425,704
March 1 - March 31, 2012	198,500	\$ 1.07	198,500	1,227,204 (2)
<b>Total</b>	<b>598,500</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>598,500</b>	

(1) On May 25, 2010 we announced a stock repurchase program covering the purchase of up to an aggregate of \$5 million of our common stock in the open market in accordance with Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act.

On November 15, 2010 we announced an increase of an additional \$5 million to our stock repurchase program.

(2) Based on the closing price per share of our common stock on March 31, 2012 (\$1.05 per share).

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
101.INS **	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Furnished with this report. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 15, 2012

**RODMAN & RENSHAW  
CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**

By: /s/ Edward Rubin

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Edward Rubin  
Title: Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ David J. Horin

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: David J. Horin  
Title: Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)